## THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

## HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 385

Session of 2017

INTRODUCED BY HEFFLEY, MATZIE, DRISCOLL, FREEMAN, METZGAR, READSHAW, HENNESSEY, LONGIETTI, CORR, SOLOMON, ROEBUCK, TALLMAN AND MILLARD, JUNE 9, 2017

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION, JUNE 9, 2017

## A RESOLUTION

Directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to 1 conduct a study of the feasibility of providing two 2 additional passenger rail trips daily between Pittsburgh and 3 Harrisburg and its impact on existing freight rail service. 5 WHEREAS, Western Pennsylvania has historically had a high level of passenger rail service with 12 daily trains as recently 6 as the 1960s, and three daily passenger trains in 2004, serving 7 the Keystone corridor west of Harrisburg; and 8 9 WHEREAS, In February 2005, The Keystone West Passenger Train 10 Study, prepared for Norfolk Southern and the Department of Transportation, examined the Keystone corridor west of 11 Harrisburg and the impact that adding two passenger rail trains 12 a day to the then-existing two passenger rail trains a day would 13 have on freight service in the Keystone corridor west of 14 15 Harrisburg; and 16 WHEREAS, In Scenario No. 3, the study concluded that the additional service would be compatible with freight service if 17 18 improvements identified in the study were made to the rail

- 1 infrastructure; and
- 2 WHEREAS, In August 2014, the Keystone West High Speed Rail
- 3 Study: Feasibility Report & Preliminary Service Development
- 4 Plan, prepared for the Department of Transportation and the
- 5 Federal Railroad Administration, evaluated the feasibility of
- 6 options to reduce rail travel times and increase trip frequency
- 7 on Amtrak's Keystone West portion of the Pennsylvanian service
- 8 and identified incremental improvements that would make the line
- 9 compatible for both freight and passenger rail service; and
- 10 WHEREAS, In April 2014, On Track to Accessibility, Increasing
- 11 Service of the Pennsylvanian: Benefits and Costs, prepared for
- 12 the Pittsburgh Downtown Partnership, examined the cost of adding
- 13 two trains a day to the Pennsylvanian's existing single train a
- 14 day and estimated an annual cost of between \$10 million and
- 15 \$12.9 million, including costs for capital investments in
- 16 rolling stock, to operate three trains daily on the corridor;
- 17 and
- 18 WHEREAS, The Transportation Committee of the House of
- 19 Representatives held a hearing on August 23, 2016, the topic of
- 20 which was Increasing Passenger Train Service in the Harrisburg-
- 21 Pittsburgh Corridor; and
- 22 WHEREAS, The following have sent letters supporting two
- 23 additional passenger rail trains a day and provided their
- 24 reasons for doing so: Allegheny County Chief Executive, Rich
- 25 Fitzgerald; Cambria County Commissioners Thomas Chernisky, B.J.
- 26 Smith and Mark J. Wissinger; Mifflin County Commissioners Lisa
- 27 Nancollas, Stephen Dunkle and Kevin Kodish; Westmoreland County
- 28 Commissioners Charles Anderson, Tyler Courtney and Ted Kopas;
- 29 Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission Chair, Steve Craig;
- 30 Pittsburgh Mayor Bill Peduto; Johnstown Mayor Frank Janakovic;

- 1 Director, Johnstown Community and Economic Development, Renee
- 2 Daly; President, Greater Johnstown/Cambria County Chamber of
- 3 Commerce, Robert Layo; Coordinator, Lift Johnstown, Brad
- 4 Clemenson; Executive Director, Greater Johnstown/Cambria County
- 5 Convention and Visitors Bureau, Lisa Rager; President, Blair
- 6 County Chamber of Commerce, Joseph Hurd; President,
- 7 VisitPittsburgh, Craig Davis; and Chairman, Modern Transit
- 8 Partnership, Marshall Stevens; and
- 9 WHEREAS, The existing one train a day between Harrisburg and
- 10 Pittsburgh has excellent ridership but does not meet the
- 11 transportation needs of residents in the western communities
- 12 along the line and many others who depend upon passenger rail
- 13 service to travel; and
- 14 WHEREAS, Given the existing rail line, adding service would
- 15 not require entirely new rail infrastructure; and
- 16 WHEREAS, Residents of western Pennsylvania deserve the same
- 17 consideration and opportunity for increased frequency of service
- 18 on the existing line as residents of Pennsylvania in other parts
- 19 of this Commonwealth along the Keystone line; and
- 20 WHEREAS, Western Pennsylvanians and the communities along the
- 21 Pennsylvanian would like to obtain the economic development and
- 22 environmental benefits of passenger rail travel; and
- 23 WHEREAS, Increased frequency and dependable service, rather
- 24 than increased speed, are the main priorities of the residents
- 25 of these western communities; and
- 26 WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Passenger Rail Investment and
- 27 Improvement Act of 2008, Amtrak in 2010 recommended extending
- 28 Pennsylvanian service west of Pittsburgh to Cleveland and
- 29 Chicago once it had sufficient rail cars and track improvements;
- 30 therefore be it

- 1 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives direct the
- 2 Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a study of
- 3 the feasibility of providing two additional passenger rail
- 4 trains a day to the existing single daily train between
- 5 Pittsburgh and Harrisburg; and be it further
- 6 RESOLVED, That the study determine the improvements to the
- 7 rail infrastructure between Pittsburgh and Harrisburg that are
- 8 necessary to make the additional passenger rail service
- 9 compatible with the existing freight service; and be it further
- 10 RESOLVED, That the study consist of:
- 11 (1) A review of the existing studies referenced in this
- 12 resolution and the transcript of the Transportation Committee
- hearing of August 23, 2016.
- 14 (2) An estimate from the Department of Transportation
- and Amtrak of the cost and schedule for two additional trains
- 16 a day to provide such service.
- 17 (3) The minimum and optimum infrastructure improvements
- 18 and operational requirements needed to implement the service
- 19 proposed by Amtrak and the Department of Transportation along
- the Keystone West corridor.
- 21 (4) Locomotive power and rolling stock needed and
- 22 availability of Federal grants for purchase of equipment and
- 23 operations.
- 24 (5) An identification of the existing and proposed
- 25 Keystone West round trips that could feasibly be extended
- 26 west to Cleveland and Chicago pursuant to Federal
- 27 appropriations authorized under section 11104 of the Fixing
- America's Surface Transportation Act (Public Law 114-94);
- 29 and be it further
- 30 RESOLVED, That the committee issue to the Speaker of the

- 1 House of Representatives a report of its findings under
- 2 paragraphs (1), (2), (3) and (4) of the penultimate Resolved
- 3 Clause within nine months from the date of the adoption of this
- 4 resolution and a report of its findings under paragraph (5) of
- 5 the penultimate Resolved Clause within one year from the date of
- 6 the adoption of this resolution.