THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 334

Session of 2009

INTRODUCED BY LEVDANSKY, YUDICHAK, SCAVELLO, WHITE, D. COSTA, P. COSTA, FRANKEL, FREEMAN, GINGRICH, GRUCELA, HALUSKA, KORTZ, MANDERINO, MARKOSEK, MILLARD, MILNE, MUNDY, MURPHY, MURT, READSHAW, SIPTROTH, K. SMITH, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STURLA, SWANGER, WAGNER, WATERS AND YOUNGBLOOD, JUNE 5, 2009

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON FINANCE, JUNE 5, 2009

A RESOLUTION

Directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, in conjunction with the Local Government Commission and the 2 State Tax Equalization Board, to request the assistance of 3 the Assessors and County Commissioners Associations of Pennsylvania to conduct a study of the Commonwealth's 5 fragmented system of property tax assessment, compare it to real property tax systems of other states, including specifically the real property tax reassessment systems of 8 Maryland and California, and identify measures to make the 9 Pennsylvania system more uniform, transparent, cost effective 10 and acceptable to the taxpayer, as well as determining the 11 impact of adopting the Maryland system. 12 13 WHEREAS, Pennsylvania operates under at least five major 14 assessment statutes, none of which are wholly consistent with 15 the others; and 16 WHEREAS, Each county operates under at least two statutes 17 concurrently, and there are assessment provisions sprinkled 18 among other statutes (County Code, e.g.) as well; and 19 WHEREAS, At least two home rule counties provide for 20 different administrative procedures in their home charters than 21 exist in State statute that previously applied to them; and

- 1 WHEREAS, There are no uniform revenue restraints for all
- 2 classes of political subdivisions following the implementation
- 3 of a countywide reassessment; and
- 4 WHEREAS, The current system provides little protection for
- 5 taxpayers who experience sudden and dramatic increases in their
- 6 property assessment as a result of a countywide reassessment;
- 7 and
- 8 WHEREAS, The current system results in a lack of uniformity
- 9 from county to county and property to property resulting in vast
- 10 inequities among taxpayers and taxing jurisdictions; and
- 11 WHEREAS, There is no funding base for reassessment, and the
- 12 significant expense of reassessment is the single greatest
- 13 reason they are not done regularly; therefore be it
- 14 RESOLVED, That the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee,
- 15 in conjunction with the Local Government Commission and the
- 16 State Tax Equalization Board, request the assistance of the
- 17 Assessors and County Commissioners Associations of Pennsylvania
- 18 to conduct a study of the current property tax assessment
- 19 systems operating in this Commonwealth; and be it further
- 20 RESOLVED, That the study shall include an analysis of the
- 21 following:
- 22 (1) The current systems of property tax reassessment in
- 23 Pennsylvania.
- 24 (2) The current systems and property tax reassessment in
- 25 effect in Maryland and California.
- 26 (3) The systems of property tax reassessment in effect
- in other states with demographics similar to Pennsylvania;
- 28 and be it further
- 29 RESOLVED, That the study of each state's property tax
- 30 reassessment system shall include at least the following:

- 1 (1) what levels of government levy the property tax,
- 2 (2) who conducts the real property tax reassessment, is
- 3 it a state or a local function and are government employees
- 4 used or is it contracted out,
- 5 (3) how are the property reassessments financed,
- 6 (4) how often are the property reassessments conducted,
 - (5) are there uniform procedures throughout the state,
- 8 (6) are there taxpayer protections as to the amount of
- 9 additional revenue which may be generated by the taxing
- 10 district and limitations on how much individual taxpayers can
- 11 have their taxes increased immediately following a
- 12 reassessment,

7

- 13 (7) how does the system for appeals operate, and
- 14 (8) are there constitutional provisions that impact the
- property tax reassessment;
- 16 and be it further
- 17 RESOLVED, That the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee
- 18 provide recommendations necessary or desirable to improve and
- 19 update the system of property tax assessment in Pennsylvania;
- 20 and be it further
- 21 RESOLVED, That the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee
- 22 report to the House of Representatives the result of its studies
- 23 and recommendations and file the report with the Chief Clerk of
- 24 the House of Representatives no later than June 30, 2010.