THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE BILL

No. 1831 Session of 2009

INTRODUCED BY HOUGHTON, FREEMAN, ROSS, McILVAINE SMITH, BRADFORD, SANTARSIERO, MURPHY, HENNESSEY, HARPER, MELIO, SIPTROTH AND SWANGER, JULY 6, 2009

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT, JULY 6, 2009

AN ACT

Amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), entitled, as amended, "An act to empower cities of the second class A, 2 and third class, boroughs, incorporated towns, townships of 3 the first and second classes including those within a county of the second class and counties of the second through eighth classes, individually or jointly, to plan their development and to govern the same by zoning, subdivision and land development ordinances, planned residential development and 8 other ordinances, by official maps, by the reservation of 9 certain land for future public purpose and by the acquisition 10 of such land; to promote the conservation of energy through 11 the use of planning practices and to promote the effective 12 utilization of renewable energy sources; providing for the 13 establishment of planning commissions, planning departments, 14 15 planning committees and zoning hearing boards, authorizing them to charge fees, make inspections and hold public 16 hearings; providing for mediation; providing for transferable 17 development rights; providing for appropriations, appeals to 18 courts and penalties for violations; and repealing acts and 19 parts of acts," providing for review fees. 20

- 21 The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
- 22 hereby enacts as follows:
- 23 Section 1. Section 603 of the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805,
- 24 No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code,
- 25 reenacted and amended December 21, 1988 (P.L.1329, No.170), is
- 26 amended by adding a subsection to read:

- 1 Section 603. Ordinance Provisions.--* * *
- 2 (m) Zoning ordinances may include provisions for the
- 3 charging of review fees for the municipality's evaluation of
- 4 conditional use applications pursuant to express standards and
- 5 criteria set forth in the zoning ordinance, consistent with
- 6 sections 603(c)(2) and 913.2. Review fees may include reasonable
- 7 and necessary charges by the municipality's professional
- 8 consultants for review and report on a conditional use
- 9 application to the municipality. Review fees charged under this
- 10 subsection shall be based upon a schedule established by
- 11 ordinance or resolution and shall be in accordance with the
- 12 <u>ordinary and customary charges for similar service in the</u>
- 13 community, but in no event shall the fees exceed the rate or
- 14 cost charged by the professional consultants for comparable
- 15 <u>services to the municipality or services which are not</u>
- 16 reimbursed or otherwise imposed on applicants. Review fees
- 17 charged under this subsection shall not duplicate review fees
- 18 charged under section 503(1). Fees charged to the municipality
- 19 relating to any appeal of a decision on an application shall not
- 20 be considered review fees and may not be charged to an
- 21 applicant.
- 22 (1) Upon making a decision on an application, the
- 23 governing body shall submit to the applicant an itemized bill
- showing work performed, identifying the person performing the
- 25 <u>services and the time and date spent for each task.</u>
- 26 (2) In the event the applicant disputes the amount of
- 27 <u>any such review fees, the applicant shall, not later than 30</u>
- days after the date of transmittal of the bill to the
- applicant, notify the municipality and the municipality's
- 30 professional consultant that such fees are disputed and shall

1 explain the basis of its objections to the fees charged.

2 Failure of the applicant to dispute a bill within 30 days of

3 transmittal of the bill shall be a waiver of the applicant's

4 <u>right to arbitration of that bill pursuant to this</u>

5 <u>subsection.</u>

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

(3) If, within 30 days after the applicant's 6 notification of dispute, the applicant and the municipality's 7 professional consultant cannot agree on the amount of review 8 9 fees that are reasonable and necessary, then the applicant shall have the right to request the appointment of another 10 professional consultant to serve as an arbitrator. The 11 applicant and municipality's professional consultant shall, 12 13 within 20 days of the request and by mutual agreement, 14 appoint an arbitrator to review any disputed bills and make a determination as to the amount thereof that is reasonable and 15 necessary. The arbitrator shall be of the same profession as 16

consultant and applicant cannot agree upon the arbitrator to be appointed within 20 days of the request for appointment, then, upon application of either party, the president judge of the court of common pleas of the judicial district in which the municipality is located or, if at the time there be no president judge, then the senior active judge then sitting shall appoint such arbitrator, who, in that case, shall be neither the municipality's professional consultant nor any professional consultant who has been retained by, or performed services for, the municipality or the applicant within the preceding five years.

the professional consultant whose fees are being challenged.

30 (5) The arbitrator so appointed shall hear such evidence

1	<u>and</u>	review	such	<u>documentation</u>	as	the	<u>arbitrator</u>	in	his	or	her

- 2 <u>sole opinion deems necessary and shall render a decision not</u>
- 3 <u>later than 50 days after the date of appointment. Based on</u>
- 4 the decision of the arbitrator, the applicant or the
- 5 <u>municipality's professional consultant shall be required to</u>
- 6 pay any amounts necessary to implement the decision within 60
- days following the decision. In the event the municipality
- 8 <u>has paid the professional consultant an amount in excess of</u>
- 9 the amount determined to be reasonable and necessary, the
- 10 professional consultant shall within 60 days reimburse the
- 11 <u>excess payment.</u>
- 12 (6) The fee of the arbitrator shall be paid by the
- 13 <u>applicant if the review fee charged is sustained by the</u>
- 14 <u>arbitrator</u>, otherwise, it shall be divided equally between
- the municipality's professional consultant and the applicant.
- 16 <u>If the disputed fees are found to be excessive by more than</u>
- 17 \$5,000, the arbitrator shall have the discretion to assess an
- amount greater than 50% of the arbitration fee against the
- 19 municipality's professional consultant. The governing body
- and the consultant whose fees are the subject of the dispute
- 21 shall be parties to the proceeding.
- 22 Section 2. This act shall take effect immediately.