THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE RESOLUTION

No. 539

Session of 2005

INTRODUCED BY NAILOR, ADOLPH, FEESE, ARGALL, GABIG, GRELL, MARSICO, BAKER, BALDWIN, BUNT, CAUSER, CIVERA, CLYMER, CORNELL, CREIGHTON, DENLINGER, DIGIROLAMO, ELLIS, FAIRCHILD, FORCIER, GEIST, GILLESPIE, GINGRICH, GODSHALL, HERMAN, HERSHEY, HESS, HUTCHINSON, M. KELLER, KILLION, LEH, MACKERETH, MAITLAND, MAJOR, MCILHINNEY, MCNAUGHTON, MICOZZIE, MILLARD, R. MILLER, NICKOL, PERZEL, PETRI, PHILLIPS, PICKETT, QUIGLEY, RAPP, ROSS, RUBLEY, SATHER, SAYLOR, SEMMEL, S. H. SMITH, SONNEY, R. STEVENSON, T. STEVENSON, WATSON, WILT AND ZUG, DECEMBER 13, 2005

INTRODUCED AS NONCONTROVERSIAL RESOLUTION UNDER RULE 35, DECEMBER 13, 2005

A RESOLUTION

- 1 Directing the Department of Environmental Protection to place a
- 2 moratorium on all actions required of affected entities
- 3 relating to implementation of the Pennsylvania Chesapeake Bay
- 4 Tributary Strategy for a period of nine months from the date
- 5 the adoption of this resolution.
- 6 WHEREAS, The Chesapeake Bay is the nation's largest estuary,
- 7 with its watershed spanning 64,000 square miles, including parts
- 8 of six states and the District of Columbia; and
- 9 WHEREAS, The Chesapeake Bay is a biologically diverse area
- 10 that provides habitat for more than 3,600 species of plants,
- 11 fish and shellfish; and
- 12 WHEREAS, The Chesapeake Bay has the highest land-to-water
- 13 ratio of any estuary in the world, allowing the bay to be
- 14 susceptible to activities that take place on surrounding lands;
- 15 and

- 1 WHEREAS, These activities have led to a decline in the
- 2 overall environmental quality of the Chesapeake Bay including a
- 3 decline in water clarity, oyster and fish populations and
- 4 underwater grasses that provide habitat for various species of
- 5 shellfish; and
- 6 WHEREAS, The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has found
- 7 through numerous studies of the Chesapeake Bay that excess
- 8 nutrients from agricultural development, population growth and
- 9 discharges from wastewater treatment plants along with
- 10 overharvesting, habitat loss and disease have contributed to an
- 11 overall decline in the aquatic health and environmental quality
- 12 of the bay; and
- 13 WHEREAS, The environmental status of the bay has led the
- 14 Chesapeake Bay Commission, a tristate legislative assembly
- 15 comprising Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, the District of
- 16 Columbia and the EPA, to adopt voluntary agreements to restore
- 17 the environmental integrity of the bay; and
- 18 WHEREAS, The Commonwealth has recognized the role it must
- 19 play in being a contributing partner with the other Chesapeake
- 20 Bay Commission states in helping to improve the environmental
- 21 well-being of the bay and has worked diligently over the last
- 22 two decades to implement programs that will improve the waters
- 23 of the bay; and
- 24 WHEREAS, In the most recent voluntary agreement, the
- 25 participating Chesapeake Bay Commission states established an
- 26 agenda for environmental improvements to the bay calling for
- 27 initiatives that will further address restoring vital habitats,
- 28 water quality and developing sound land use practices that will
- 29 maintain reduced pollutant loadings for the bay; and
- 30 WHEREAS, The Department of Environmental Protection has

- 1 released "Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy" in
- 2 response to these initiatives that calls for the reductions of
- 3 nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment entering the Susquehanna and
- 4 Potomac River basins and eventually, the Chesapeake Bay; and
- 5 WHEREAS, These pollutants come from agricultural sources,
- 6 storm water runoff, wastewater treatment plants, septic systems,
- 7 forested lands and atmospheric deposition; and
- 8 WHEREAS, The strategy envisions that major stakeholders,
- 9 including the agricultural community, local government,
- 10 individual homeowners, businesses and land developers, will need
- 11 to contribute more than \$8 billion to achieve this pollutant
- 12 reduction effort by the year 2010; and
- 13 WHEREAS, The costs associated with corrective actions are not
- 14 commensurate with available financial resources from the
- 15 Federal, State or local governments and may require a
- 16 disproportionate financial burden being placed upon individual
- 17 citizens, businesses and farmers through increased rates, taxes,
- 18 fees or new practices; and
- 19 WHEREAS, Through the course of testimony during public
- 20 meetings on the strategy in both the Senate and the House of
- 21 Representatives, a number of issues were raised regarding:
- 22 (1) the participation of major stakeholders in
- 23 developing the strategy;
- 24 (2) whether the chosen activities and expenditures
- outlined in the strategy represent the best investment of
- 26 public and private moneys to accomplish overall nutrient
- 27 reduction goals;
- 28 (3) the lack of any legislative or regulatory initiative
- 29 to provide a review and oversight process that ensures
- 30 adequate public review and comment; and

- 1 (4) consideration of alternative approaches;
- 2 and
- 3 WHEREAS, These public meetings further highlighted the fact
- 4 that the key elements necessary to facilitate implementation of
- 5 the strategy in the form of a workable nutrient trading program
- 6 and a watershed permitting process are not yet fully developed
- 7 and deployed; and
- 8 WHEREAS, The House of Representatives fully support efforts
- 9 directed to the environmental improvement of the Chesapeake Bay
- 10 and its surrounding watershed provided those efforts can be
- 11 clearly demonstrated to yield the environmental benefits sought
- 12 for the financial resources expended without creating a
- 13 disproportionate financial burden on the taxpayers of this
- 14 Commonwealth or sacrificing important and needed economic
- 15 development projects to move forward; therefore be it
- 16 RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives direct the
- 17 Department of Environmental Protection to place a moratorium on
- 18 all actions required of affected entities relating to
- 19 implementation of the Pennsylvania Chesapeake Bay tributary
- 20 strategy for a period of nine months from the date of the
- 21 adoption of this Resolution; and be it further
- 22 RESOLVED, That during this period the Department of
- 23 Environmental Protection be directed to:
- 24 (1) implement a formal strategy stakeholder process to
- obtain further input from major stakeholders, the general
- 26 public and the General Assembly;
- 27 (2) determine the specific costs associated with
- 28 implementing various nutrient reduction strategies and their
- 29 effects upon the various sectors responsible for implementing
- 30 these strategies;

- 1 (3) determine the specific environmental benefits
- 2 associated with each nutrient reduction strategy and the
- 3 overall effect that these programs will have on improving the
- 4 water quality of the bay, its tributaries and downstream
- 5 waters;
- 6 (4) explore alternatives to the strategy as initially
- 7 proposed in December 2004; and
- 8 (5) fully identify whether there are sufficient
- 9 financial resources to adequately and uniformly implement the
- 10 possible nutrient reduction strategies that may be needed;
- 11 and be it further
- 12 RESOLVED, That the Department of Environmental Protection be
- 13 directed to report to the House of Representatives the results
- 14 of the actions taken by the department as directed by this
- 15 Resolution through a comprehensive revision of the strategy
- 16 dated December 2004 that shall include a public participation
- 17 process that ensures full engagement of all impacted
- 18 stakeholders and the General Assembly.