THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE BILL No. 1917 Session of 2003

INTRODUCED BY HARPER, HERMAN, DAILEY, TANGRETTI, WATSON, BARRAR, CLYMER, CRAHALLA, DENLINGER, FEESE, FICHTER, GRUCELA, HERSHEY, McILHINNEY, O'NEILL, PAYNE, PETRI, RUBLEY, SCAVELLO, STEIL, WEBER, YOUNGBLOOD, ROSS, BALDWIN, HENNESSEY, SATHER, Lagrotta, Mundy, Horsey and Zug, August 5, 2003

AS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AS AMENDED, NOVEMBER 17, 2003

AN ACT

Amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), entitled, 2 as amended, "An act to empower cities of the second class A, and third class, boroughs, incorporated towns, townships of 3 4 the first and second classes including those within a county of the second class and counties of the second through eighth classes, individually or jointly, to plan their development and to govern the same by zoning, subdivision and land 7 development ordinances, planned residential development and 8 9 other ordinances, by official maps, by the reservation of 10 certain land for future public purpose and by the acquisition of such land; to promote the conservation of energy through 11 the use of planning practices and to promote the effective 12 13 utilization of renewable energy sources; providing for the 14 establishment of planning commissions, planning departments, 15 planning committees and zoning hearing boards, authorizing 16 them to charge fees, make inspections and hold public 17 hearings; providing for mediation; providing for transferable development rights; providing for appropriations, appeals to 18 19 courts and penalties for violations; and repealing acts and 20 parts of acts," further providing for contents of subdivision 21 and land development ordinance. FEES CHARGED BY THE 22 MUNICIPALITY.

<---

- 23 The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
- 24 hereby enacts as follows:
- 25 Section 1. Section 503(1) of the act of July 31, 1968
- 26 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities

- 1 Planning Code, reenacted and amended December 21, 1988
- 2 (P.L.1329, No.170) and amended June 22, 2000 (P.L.495, No.68),
- 3 is amended to read:
- 4 Section 503. Contents of Subdivision and Land Development
- 5 Ordinance. -- The subdivision and land development ordinance may
- 6 include, but need not be limited to:
- 7 (1) Provisions for the submittal and processing of
- 8 plats, including the charging of review fees, and
- 9 specifications for such plats, including certification as to
- 10 the accuracy of plats and provisions for preliminary and
- final approval and for processing of final approval by stages
- or sections of development. Such plats and surveys shall be
- prepared in accordance with the act of May 23, 1945 (P.L.913,
- No.367), known as the "Engineer, Land Surveyor and Geologist
- Registration Law, " except that this requirement shall not
- 16 preclude the preparation of a plat in accordance with the act
- of January 24, 1966 (1965 P.L.1527, No.535), known as the
- 18 "Landscape Architects' Registration Law," when it is
- 19 appropriate to prepare the plat using professional services
- 20 as set forth in the definition of the "practice of landscape
- 21 architecture" under section 2 of that act. Review fees may
- 22 include reasonable and necessary charges by the
- municipality's <u>SOLICITOR</u>, professional consultants
- 24 <u>including</u>, but not limited to, the municipality's solicitors
- 25 <u>or other providers of legal service</u>, or engineer for review
- 26 and report thereon to the municipality. Such review fees
- 27 shall be based upon a schedule established by ordinance or
- 28 resolution. Such review fees shall be reasonable and in
- 29 accordance with the ordinary and customary charges by the
- 30 municipal SOLICITOR, engineer or consultant for similar

service in the community, but in no event shall the fees
exceed the rate or cost charged by the <u>SOLICITOR</u>, engineer or <-consultant to the municipalities when fees are not reimbursed
or otherwise imposed on applicants.

- (i) In the event the applicant disputes the amount of any such review fees, the applicant shall, within 14 days of the applicant's receipt of the bill, notify the municipality that such fees are disputed, in which case the municipality shall not delay or disapprove a subdivision or land development application due to the applicant's request over disputed fees.
- (ii) In the event that the municipality and the applicant cannot agree on the amount of review fees which are reasonable and necessary, then the applicant and the municipality shall follow the procedure for dispute resolution set forth in section 510(g), provided that the professionals resolving such dispute shall be of the same profession or discipline as the consultants whose fees are being disputed.

20 * * *

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 21 SECTION 2. SECTION 510(G) OF THE ACT IS AMENDED TO READ:
- 22 SECTION 510. RELEASE FROM IMPROVEMENT BOND.--* * *
- 23 (G) THE MUNICIPALITY MAY PRESCRIBE THAT THE APPLICANT SHALL
- 24 REIMBURSE THE MUNICIPALITY FOR THE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY
- 25 EXPENSE INCURRED FOR THE INSPECTION OF IMPROVEMENTS. SUCH
- 26 REIMBURSEMENT SHALL BE BASED UPON A SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED BY
- 27 ORDINANCE OR RESOLUTION. SUCH EXPENSE SHALL BE REASONABLE AND IN
- 28 ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDINARY AND CUSTOMARY FEES CHARGED BY THE
- 29 MUNICIPAL SOLICITOR, ENGINEER OR CONSULTANT FOR WORK PERFORMED
- 30 FOR SIMILAR SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY, BUT IN NO EVENT SHALL THE

- 1 FEES EXCEED THE RATE OR COST CHARGED BY THE SOLICITOR, ENGINEER
- 2 OR CONSULTANT TO THE MUNICIPALITIES WHEN FEES ARE NOT REIMBURSED
- 3 OR OTHERWISE IMPOSED ON APPLICANTS.
- 4 (1) IN THE EVENT THE APPLICANT DISPUTES THE AMOUNT OF
- 5 ANY SUCH EXPENSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE INSPECTION OF
- 6 IMPROVEMENTS, THE APPLICANT SHALL, WITHIN TEN WORKING DAYS OF
- 7 THE DATE OF BILLING, NOTIFY THE MUNICIPALITY THAT SUCH
- 8 EXPENSES ARE DISPUTED AS UNREASONABLE OR UNNECESSARY, IN
- 9 WHICH CASE THE MUNICIPALITY SHALL NOT DELAY OR DISAPPROVE A
- 10 SUBDIVISION OR LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION OR ANY APPROVAL
- 11 OR PERMIT RELATED TO DEVELOPMENT DUE TO THE APPLICANT'S
- 12 REQUEST OVER DISPUTED ENGINEER EXPENSES.
- 13 (2) IF, WITHIN 20 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF BILLING, THE
- 14 MUNICIPALITY AND THE APPLICANT CANNOT AGREE ON THE AMOUNT OF
- 15 EXPENSES WHICH ARE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY, THEN THE
- 16 APPLICANT AND MUNICIPALITY SHALL JOINTLY, BY MUTUAL
- 17 AGREEMENT, APPOINT ANOTHER PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY,
- 18 AS THE CASE MAY BE, LICENSED AS SUCH IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
- 19 PENNSYLVANIA TO REVIEW THE SAID EXPENSES AND MAKE A
- 20 DETERMINATION AS TO THE AMOUNT THEREOF WHICH IS REASONABLE
- 21 AND NECESSARY.
- 22 (3) THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY SO APPOINTED
- 23 SHALL HEAR SUCH EVIDENCE AND REVIEW SUCH DOCUMENTATION AS THE
- 24 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY IN HIS OR HER SOLE OPINION
- 25 DEEMS NECESSARY AND RENDER A DECISION WITHIN 50 DAYS OF THE
- 26 BILLING DATE. THE APPLICANT SHALL BE REQUIRED TO PAY THE
- 27 ENTIRE AMOUNT DETERMINED IN THE DECISION IMMEDIATELY.
- 28 (4) IN THE EVENT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY AND APPLICANT
- 29 CANNOT AGREE UPON THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY TO BE
- 30 APPOINTED WITHIN 20 DAYS OF THE BILLING DATE, THEN, UPON

- 1 APPLICATION OF EITHER PARTY, THE PRESIDENT JUDGE OF THE COURT
- 2 OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT IN WHICH THE
- 3 MUNICIPALITY IS LOCATED (OR IF AT THE TIME THERE BE NO
- 4 PRESIDENT JUDGE, THEN THE SENIOR ACTIVE JUDGE THEN SITTING)
- 5 SHALL APPOINT SUCH ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY, WHO, IN THAT CASE,
- 6 SHALL BE NEITHER THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER NOR ANY PROFESSIONAL
- 7 ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY WHO HAS BEEN RETAINED BY, OR PERFORMED
- 8 SERVICES FOR, THE MUNICIPALITY OR THE APPLICANT WITHIN THE
- 9 PRECEDING FIVE YEARS.
- (5) THE FEE OF THE APPOINTED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR 10
- 11 ATTORNEY FOR DETERMINING THE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY
- 12 EXPENSES SHALL BE PAID BY THE APPLICANT IF THE AMOUNT OF
- 13 PAYMENT REQUIRED IN THE DECISION IS EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN
- THE ORIGINAL BILL. IF THE AMOUNT OF PAYMENT REQUIRED IN THE 14
- 15 DECISION IS LESS THAN THE ORIGINAL BILL BY \$1,000 OR MORE,
- 16 THE MUNICIPALITY SHALL PAY THE FEE OF THE PROFESSIONAL
- 17 ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY, BUT OTHERWISE THE MUNICIPALITY AND THE
- 18 APPLICANT SHALL EACH PAY ONE-HALF OF THE FEE OF THE APPOINTED
- 19 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OR ATTORNEY.
- Section $\frac{2}{3}$. This act shall take effect in 60 days. 20