COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

SPINNERSTOWN HOTEL QUAKERTOWN, PA

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2021 1:01 P.M.

PRESENTATION ON
CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING
SOUTHEAST REGION

BEFORE:

HONORABLE SETH M. GROVE, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE RUSS DIAMOND

HONORABLE DAWN W. KEEFER

HONORABLE ANDREW LEWIS

HONORABLE RYAN E. MACKENZIE

HONORABLE BRETT R. MILLER

HONORABLE ERIC R. NELSON

HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT

HONORABLE FRANCIS X. RYAN

HONORABLE PAUL SCHEMEL

HONORABLE LOUIS C. SCHMITT, JR.

HONORABLE CRAIG T. STAATS

HONORABLE SCOTT CONKLIN, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE ISABELLA V. FITZGERALD

HONORABLE BENJAMIN V. SANCHEZ

HONORABLE JARED G. SOLOMON

HONORABLE JOE WEBSTER

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

HONORABLE JOHN A. LAWRENCE

HONORABLE F. TODD POLINCHOCK

HONORABLE MEGHAN SCHROEDER

HONORABLE WENDI THOMAS

* * * *

Debra B. Miller

dbmreporting@msn.com

I N D E X

TESTIFIERS

* * *

<u>PAGE</u>
BOB HARVIE COMMISSIONER, BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS11
GENE DiGIROLAMO FORMER HOUSE MEMBER; COMMISSIONER, BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS14
MARIAN D. MOSKOWITZ CHAIR, CHESTER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS; CHAIR, CHESTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS16
PAUL DRUCKER FORMER HOUSE MEMBER; RESIDENT OF PAOLI, CHESTER COUNTY22
ARDITH TALBOTT RESIDENT OF NEW HOPE, BUCKS COUNTY25
JIM GREENWOOD FORMER CONGRESSMAN, 8 TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT; SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR, DLA PIPER LAW FIRM32
DEIRDRE GIBSON RESIDENT OF MEDIA, DELAWARE COUNTY40
ERIC BRUNO RESIDENT OF LEVITTOWN, BUCKS COUNTY46
PHYLLIS BLUMBERG RESIDENT OF BALA CYNWYD, MONTGOMERY COUNTY49
ROBERT PAUL RESIDENT OF MERION, MONTGOMERY COUNTY56
ANGEL FIGUEROA RESIDENT OF READING, BERKS COUNTY59

TESTIFIERS (continued):
<u>NAME</u> <u>PAGE</u>
VICTOR MARTINEZ PRESIDENT/CEO, SPANISH BROADCASTING COMPANY; RESIDENT OF ALLENTOWN, LEHIGH COUNTY66
MARIAN LEVINE RESIDENT OF MERION STATION, MONTGOMERY COUNTY70
JAN SWENSON RESIDENT OF MERION STATION, MONTGOMERY COUNTY74
SUSAN HANCOCK RESIDENT OF OXFORD, CHESTER COUNTY78
SUSAN GOBRESKI BOARD DIRECTOR FOR GOVERNMENT POLICY, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF PENNSYLVANIA82
JOSEPH CHAPMOND RESIDENT OF NOTTINGHAM, CHESTER COUNTY88
MELISSA EGBERTSON RESIDENT OF HORSHAM TOWNSHIP, MONTGOMERY COUNTY
MIKE WALSH RESIDENT OF GULPH MILLS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY100
ROBERT WILLIAMS RESIDENT OF TREDYFFRIN TOWNSHIP, CHESTER COUNTY
SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY
* * *
See submitted written testimony and handouts online under "Show:" at:
https://www.legis.state.pa.us/cfdocs/Legis/TR/Public/tr finder public action.cfm?tr doc typ=T&billBody=&billTyp=&billNbr=&hearing month=&hearing day=&hearing year=&NewCommittee=State+Government&subcommittee=&subject=&bill=&new title=&new salutation=&new first name=&new middle name=&new last name=&new suffix=&hearing loc=

PROCEEDINGS

2 * * *

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Good afternoon.

Today, the House State Government Committee will convene the seventh of eight regional hearings across

Pennsylvania on congressional redistricting. Today we'll be hearing testimony about the southeast region of our Commonwealth. Thank you to Spinnerstown Hotel and Representative Staats for hosting us.

I'll turn it over to Representative Craig Staats for a welcome.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Thank you, Chairman Grove, and good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the best district in Pennsylvania, that being the 145th Legislative District right here in Bucks County.

I would like to thank my colleagues for joining the Committee today. I would also like to thank the testifiers. We have the most testifiers of all the hearings so far. I think it's about 45. Twenty-two are in person today and 23 have submitted their testimony, so.

I would also like to take a moment and recognize Sam Morris. Sam works in my district office. Sam received his master's degree from Shippensburg University. He has been with us for about 2 years, and I always say you're only as good as your team, and I have got a really, really

strong team and Sam is a big part of that. So thanks for being here, Sam.

Lastly, yes, I would like to thank the Dale family -- John, Susan, and Anna -- for opening up their restaurant for us today. This is a great establishment, and we're very lucky to have it here in Bucks County.

Back to you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

As a matter of housekeeping, before we get started, a reminder that each testifier will be given 10 minutes to present their testimony before the Members of the Committee. Just look at me and I'll give you a countdown -- 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 -- on the minutes. I will be keeping firmly to those time limits. We are here to listen. No questions will be asked of testifiers.

Testifiers, please keep your comments relevant to the topic at hand. We are here to learn about this region of the State -- its industries, its values, its unique communities of interest -- and due to recent changes in the Sunshine Law, the subject matter of testimony must be confined to the hearing topic only. We don't want the Office of Open Records knocking on either of our doors after the hearing.

Testimony outside the scope of the hearing will not be permitted. We are here to learn from you and take

your input on congressional districts that will be in effect for the next decade. This is an important task, so if a testifier begins to stray from relevant testimony, I will ask you to refocus to the topic before us. If you cannot, I will move on to the next listed speaker.

We also ask that testifiers speak clearly into the microphone and that any attendees here in the room with us today remain quiet and respectful. Today's public input hearing is being livestreamed at www.paredistricting.com and is also being recorded. Please help us to ensure that those who are watching at home can easily hear all testimony. Additionally, under the Sunshine Law, if the video stream stops, we will recess the hearing until the issue is fixed.

For the Members attending virtually, please ensure your microphones are off, and recognize that if your video is on, we can see you.

I would be remiss if I did not also mention that in addition to those testifiers who are present today, the Committee has also received testimony from people all across the Commonwealth who have submitted their written comments online.

For the southeast regional hearing, we have received written testimony from Tobin W. from Douglassville, Pennsylvania; Allan P. from West Chester;

- 1 Lisa C. from Bryn Athyn; James V. from Easton; Adam B. from
- 2 | Lincoln University; Ruth Y. from Schwenksville; Kim K. from
- 3 Oxford; Gillian S. from Villanova; Anne M. from Glen Mills;
- 4 | Ginny K. from Newtown Square; Olivia N. from Hatboro;
- 5 | Sandra K. from Pipersville; John S. from Brookhaven;
- 6 Jeanne O. from Doylestown; Ruth S. from Brookhaven;
- 7 Nevaya W. from Lititz; Michael G. from University Park;
- 8 Christopher R. from Shillington, PA; Eric T. from Media;
- 9 Rachel G. from Elkins Park; Norman J. from Norristown; and
- 10 Kathy B. from Warrington. That written testimony is before
- 11 each Member of the House State Government Committee for
- 12 today's hearing and will be posted online after we
- conclude.
- And finally, before we begin, to our testifiers,
- 15 | both in person and whose testimony we hold in our hands,
- 16 thank you, thank you, thank you for taking an interest in
- 17 redistricting and for participating in this
- 18 once-in-a-decade process.
- 19 With that, I'll turn it over to Chairman Conklin
- for opening remarks.
- 21 MINORITY CHAIRMAN CONKLIN: With that said, we
- 22 | have a lot of testifiers. I'm more anxious to listen than
- I am to speak. So, sir, the floor is back to you.
- 24 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: We will begin this
- 25 hearing with an introduction from Members, starting with

1 Members present and then Members attending virtually. 2 We'll start to my right. REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 5 John Lawrence. I represent the 13th Legislative District in southwestern Chester County and a part of 6 7 Lancaster County. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: I'm Representative 9 Paul Schemel. I represent portions of the at least equally 10 beautiful Franklin County. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Seth Grove, State 12 Representative, the 196th District, York County. 13 MINORITY CHAIRMAN CONKLIN: Scott Conklin, the 14 77th District, Centre County. REPRESENTATIVE POLINCHOCK: I'm Todd Polinchock 15 16 from the 144th, right down the road here in Central Bucks 17 County. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Good afternoon. 19 Ryan Mackenzie from the 134th District in portions 20 of Lehigh in Berks Counties. 21 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Wendi Thomas, the 178th, 22 Northampton and Washington Crossing, the New Hope area. 23 Thank you. 24 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Lewis.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Chairman.

25

1	Representative Lewis, representing the lovely
2	105th District in Dauphin County.
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Miller.
4	REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Brett Miller, the
5	41st District, Lancaster County.
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Owlett.
7	REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Representative Owlett,
8	the 68th District, Tioga and parts of Bradford and Potter
9	Counties.
10	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Keefer.
11	REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: Good afternoon.
12	Representative Dawn Keefer, the 92^{nd} District,
13	York and Cumberland Counties.
14	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Nelson.
15	REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Good afternoon,
16	everybody.
17	Representative Eric Nelson, Westmoreland
18	County.
19	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative
20	Fitzgerald.
21	REPRESENTATIVE FITZGERALD: Good afternoon.
22	Isabella Fitzgerald, representing the
23	203rd Legislative District in Philadelphia West Oak Lane,
24	East Oak Lane, and the Lower Northeast.
25	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Ryan.

1	REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Frank Ryan, representing
2	the $101^{\rm st}$ District in Lebanon County.
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Schmitt.
4	REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Good afternoon,
5	everyone.
6	Representative Lou Schmitt, the $79^{\rm th}$ Legislative
7	District the city of Altoona and the townships of Logan
8	and Allegheny in Blair County. And I want to congratulate
9	Representative Staats and the Committee on such a wonderful
10	turnout today.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Diamond.
12	REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Good afternoon,
13	everyone.
14	Representative Russ Diamond from the
15	102 nd District in Lebanon County.
16	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Sanchez.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Good afternoon.
18	It's Ben Sanchez from the 153rd District in
19	Montgomery County.
20	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Solomon.
21	REPRESENTATIVE SOLOMON: Jared Solomon, the
22	202 nd Legislative District in Northeast Philadelphia.
23	Thank you.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Webster.
25	REPRESENTATIVE WEBSTER: Good afternoon, everyone.

It's Joe Webster, and I represent the

150th District in western Montgomery County.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: And the catchall:

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: And the catchall: Did I miss anybody?

All right. Hearing none, we will move on to testifiers. And also for Members in attendance, there is also an overflow room out in the bar area of the tavern. We do have a TV up so you can watch it from there also.

With that, our first testifiers are Commissioner
Bob Harvie and Commissioner Gene DiGirolamo, Bucks County
Board of Commissioners.

Gentlemen, please come on up. And as long as there's a little green button, and I'll put Gene in charge of that. As a former House Member, he should be used to that. And when you gentlemen are comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin.

MR. HARVIE: Good afternoon.

Thank you for giving me a chance to speak with you, distinguished Members of the Committee, and thank you for the work that you're doing.

The task ahead of you and the rest of the Representatives in Harrisburg is a difficult one, and I appreciate the effort that you are committing to learn all you can about how your decisions might impact Pennsylvanians across the Commonwealth.

Like my fellow commissioner, Gene DiGirolamo, I am a lifelong Bucks County resident. I have served as an elected official at the local level and now the county level for 18 years and am very proud to represent the county here today.

Bucks County is one of the three original counties created at the time of Pennsylvania's founding almost 340 years ago. It's impossible to tell the history of Pennsylvania without including the history of Bucks County, and even the founding of our republic has deep roots in this county. Our history is one of the things which unifies this county and one of the reasons why I feel strongly about keeping it contiguous in any redistricting.

In addition to our history, Bucks County communities are knitted together through economic and social ties, including strong organizations of police and first responders who work collaboratively to pursue grants and other opportunities to help them better serve our residents. All these connections and efforts would be much more difficult should Bucks County be divided.

For us as county commissioners, having one
United States representative for our county is extremely
important. Having one representative allows us to
communicate more clearly about county issues and advocate
for our residents more effectively. In addition, having

one representative also impacts our residents and our local elected officials. They, too, benefit from a system that gives Bucks County one recognized voice in our nation's capital.

The creators of previous district boundaries have recognized this benefit as well, and not just as it applies to Bucks County. A look at the existing map of Pennsylvania congressional districts shows that an overwhelming majority of our 67 counties, 56 in fact, are kept intact, and of those counties who are divided between districts, three of them are counties larger than Bucks County who, quite simply, have too many people to be a district in and of themselves. This explains why they have had to be divided up between different districts. But even in those cases, the effort was made to keep them as whole as possible.

Bucks County's population of about 646,000 makes us the fourth largest county in Pennsylvania and also means that we already contain about 84 percent of what the population of a new congressional district would look like. What's more, because we are surrounded by counties larger than us, or counties almost as large as us than other counties in Pennsylvania, any map which divides up Bucks County will necessitate dividing up other counties around us as well in order to make districts of the proper size.

This would lead to a domino effect, creating more fractured counties, not fewer.

I join Commissioner DiGirolamo in imploring you to keep Bucks County intact in the process of redistricting. We are representatives to different parties, as you know, but we are united in our commitment to this cause.

So I thank you for your time and your efforts again.

MR. DiGIROLAMO: Okay. Thank you, Chairman

Grove, Chairman Conklin. Good to see everybody. It really

is. I certainly miss all my friends up there in

Harrisburg, and it's good to see everybody. But doing very

well here as county commissioner.

Just a little nostalgia, and I'm looking at Chairman Grove, and I remember a few years ago when during the budget, they wanted to collapse the Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs, if you remember that, and me and you kind of got together, and do you remember we put them large poster boards out in the rotunda? And we ended up defeating the move from the Administration to collapse the department, and it ended up turning to be a bipartisan effort, because it just wasn't the Republicans. There were quite a few Democrats that were opposed to collapsing that department.

So I think the most important part of the both of us being here, you can look at it as bipartisan, keeping the 1st Congressional District whole. And we passed a resolution back in June, and Bucks County has been one congressional district for 170 years. That's 10 years before the Civil War. So it just makes all the sense in the world to keep it to one congressional district again.

Our Congressman, Congressman Fitzpatrick, who is a Republican, he is in favor of keeping it as well one congressional district. And as probably all of you know, there are now more registered Democrats in Bucks County than there are Republicans.

So, I mean, and I look back at my time in the Legislature, and I went through two reapportionments in 2000 and 2010, and I actually practice what I preach, because my legislative district for most of the time, there were almost 5,000 more Democrats in my legislative district than there were Republicans. So it would have made sense for me to advocate and try to push to change the district a lot, but I only had one township, Bensalem Township, but I thought it was important to keep it just the way it was. So I never advocated for trying to go somewhere and get more Republican voters, and I don't think that we should be doing that. I mean, that's not what reapportionment should be about.

So, you know, with that, we passed a resolution, the three county commissioners, and signed it back in June. I have got a copy of the resolution. I'm not quite sure if the Committee has that or not. I know we sent it somewhere. But I'm going to leave you a copy. Can I bring it up?

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yeah.

MR. DiGIROLAMO: For both Chairmen. And it outlines, and again, this is bipartisan. This is good for everybody. It's good for the residents of Bucks County, 645,000-plus residents here in Bucks County. It's good for the residents. It's good for the political process. And so we're just advocating on behalf to please, when you're making your decisions and going through this, please consider keeping Bucks County as one congressional district. So thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Gentlemen, thank you both. We appreciate your time and your willingness to come and testify. Thank you both so much.

Our next is Commissioner Marian Moskowitz, Chair, the Chester Board of Commissioners and the Chester County Board of Elections, West Chester, Pennsylvania.

MS. MOSKOWITZ: Almost.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: The hardest thing I have to do is try to get names right, so I do apologize.

1 MS. MOSKOWITZ: I know; I know. I do, too.

2 | That's fine. I answer to anything close, so don't worry.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: So, Commissioner, when you're comfortable and ready, as long as you have a green light, the floor is yours.

MS. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you. Thanks. There we go.

Good afternoon. I'm Marian Moskowitz. I'm Chair of the Chester County Board of Commissioners and Chair of the Board of Elections. I thank you very much for this opportunity to share my views on the upcoming redistricting of Pennsylvania's congressional districts.

By way of background, I have been a Chester

County Commissioner since January of 2020 and have served

in many volunteer roles in the county and statewide,

including the Board of Governors of the Pennsylvania State

System of Higher Education and the Chester County Economic

Development Council. And I have been an enthusiastic

supporter of free enterprise, having partnered with my

husband to create over 450 jobs for people in Chester

County.

So why am I committed to fairness in redistricting and want to ensure the same? My experiences in both the for-profit and nonprofit worlds have taught me that the economic well-being and good public policy is dependent upon a system of transparent rules by which we

all play. When those principles are manipulated to benefit one group or another, our faith in the whole system is endangered.

Indeed the practice of drawing legislative boundaries to favor one party or reduce the influence of certain voters is a fundamental assault on our system, resulting in a political monopoly antithetical to our democracy. And both parties do it, resulting in districts that are less competitive, push candidates to support party over policy, and result in polarization instead of problem-solving. Numerous studies confirm that gerrymandering contributes significantly to our current hyper-partisanship.

While gerrymandering has been around for a long time, sophisticated software has allowed both parties to take the practice to a whole new level, causing notable increases in gridlock. Research shows that while only 25 percent of "salient issues" deadlocked in Congress during the 1940s, the figure has reached 74 percent by this past decade. And because "being primaried" has emerged as the principal threat to politicians, they are more ideological and have been forced to tack left and right.

In the 2010 redistricting, my home Chester County was the victim of some of the worst examples of gerrymandered congressional districts in American history.

While most everyone remembers the infamous "Goofy kicking Donald Duck" 7th District that spread across four counties, including Chester County, with some sections only a block wide, many forget that other districts, such as the 6th, the 12th, and the 13th and the 16th were nearly as badly drawn specifically for political advantage.

As an elected official responsible for elections and a whole host of services in our county, and during my years of work in economic development, I saw firsthand how the extreme gerrymandering of Chester County confused our voters, stalled work to achieve policy reforms, and made our efforts to access Federal resources more difficult than necessary.

You have the power to prevent this from happening again and restoring public faith in our system of government, and I urge you to do the following:

When drawing districts, start with a blank map with no predetermined lines or efforts to protect or punish sitting Legislators. And do not use political data except to test that the districts are not inadvertently gerrymandered.

Keep communities and counties whole unless absolutely necessary and provide an explanation

1 to the public if you decide not to do so. 2 that end and based on its population and 3 overlapping communities of interest, keep Chester County in one district. Under no circumstances should any precincts be split.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Please consult publicly with experts on the best ways to ensure racial equity and adherence to the Voting Rights Act. Communities in my county such as Coatesville, Kennett Square, and Phoenixville should not be divided up to reduce their majority-minority influence.

13

14

15

16

Please resolve to count incarcerated persons in their home communities as statute requires. than 1,000 Chester County residents incarcerated in prisons across the Commonwealth rely on their families and friends in our municipalities while receiving little or no support from the places that they serve their time in. Indeed, Legislators in those districts that host prisons routinely ignore requests for help from inmates. They should be counted in their hometowns, information the Department of Corrections has and an adjustment you can make to the census data

21

22

23

24

25

that the Supreme Court permits.

Be mindful of geographic features that represent natural borders for districts. In some regions, that means running lines along a mountain ridge rather than over it and recognizing major rivers as natural boundaries. The Schuylkill River separating Chester County and Montgomery County is an example of a river boundary that matters.

Communities of interest should be considered.

For example, Chester County produces more mushrooms than anywhere in the world, and its growers face unique challenges. It would make no sense to divide that largely local community of interest into multiple districts. Please use input like this as you set your mapping priorities.

Finally, while I congratulate you on making this redistricting process more transparent than any other in my memory, we can do more. Please agree to publicly share and accept suggestions on your proposed congressional districts map before you vote on them. Give the public at least 4 weeks

1 to review the map and offer suggestions before it 2 is finalized. And please supply your map in a 3 format the public can understand, along with information about the criteria used to draw it. 5 6 I thank you for giving me this opportunity to 7 offer this testimony and for your work to ensure that our new congressional district map is fair. You have it in 8 9 your power to help restore citizens' faith in our 10 democracy, and I'm very grateful that you allowed me to 11 speak. 12 Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Thank you, 14 present Commissioner. We greatly appreciate your testimony 15 and willingness to testify today. Next is Fred Gaines. Did Fred arrive? All 16 17 right. We will try to come back if he does arrive. 18 Next is Representative Paul Drucker, Paoli, 19 Pennsylvania. Paul, come on up, and when you're comfortable and ready, and just make sure that little green 20 21 light is on, the floor is yours, sir. 22 MR. DRUCKER: Good afternoon. 23 My name is Paul Drucker. I was the State 24 Representative for the 157th District for the 2008-2010

I followed Carole Rubley, who was the Republican

25

session.

Representative for 16 years.

I was the first Democrat elected to represent this district. This is important, because until my election, the 157th was considered safe Republican. After my election in its then configuration, it was considered a toss-up. As a result, in the subsequent State reapportionment, in order to protect the then majority, the incumbent Republican Representative and the then majority, the district became one of, if not the most, gerrymandered district in the State House.

I can speak to the effects of gerrymandering on the populace from a firsthand perspective. When I was elected, the 157th was gerrymandered, but only to a slight extent. I represented all of Tredyffrin, Schuylkill, and Phoenixville, all in Chester County. I also represented parts of Montgomery County. I represented two districts in Lower Providence and one district in West Norriton, which is part of a point I hope to make. This portion of my district was so insignificant that I don't even remember, and I'm not sure I ever knew, of the actual dimensions of the representation.

Schuylkill, Phoenixville, and East Pikeland consist of the Phoenixville School District. East Pikeland was, by far, the smallest portion of the district.

East Pikeland was contiguous to and to a certain extent --

fire and police protection as an example -- synonymous with the other two townships. Nevertheless, it was in a different legislative district. West Norriton and Lower Providence were in separate school districts from each other and also from the Chester County townships. time I was in office, I don't remember ever going to a 7 school district event in these Montgomery County districts. Conversely, I don't remember ever seeing the Representative from East Pikeland Township at any of the Phoenixville events. East Pikeland was approximately the same size as the Montgomery County districts.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I tried not to miss any Tredyffrin or Phoenixville or Schuylkill events, either school board or township related. I don't think I ever missed a Phoenixville Chamber event. I joined the Chamber and the Paoli businessmen's association. I joined the Chester County TMACC and was on the Board of Directors. I can only remember a few Montgomery County events in which I participated. I joined nothing in Montgomery County.

I fought long and hard for funding and grants for my Chester County residents. Sometimes I was even successful. I don't remember obtaining anything of a similar nature from Montgomery County.

What I'm trying to say is, geography matters, school districts matter, governmental units matter, rivers

1 and available access matter. Political affiliation doesn't, except in Harrisburg, and your concern is not good 2 3 governance in the welfare of the populace but in your 4 continuously being reelected and maintaining a voting 5 majority in the Legislature. 6 I beseech you not to use party affiliation to 7 draw the lines for voting districts and, by so doing, 8 disenfranchising the citizens of Pennsylvania. Instead, 9 utilize established geographic, community, and school 10 district boundaries to create the "contiguous and compacted 11 districts" that the PA Constitution requires and the 12 citizenry deserves. 13 Thank you. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, 15 Representative Drucker. I appreciate your time and your 16 willingness to testify today. 17 Just double-checking. Did Fred Gaines arrive? 18 Fred Gaines? No? 19 All right. Ardith Talbott, New Hope, Pennsylvania. Ardith, are you here? There you are. 20 21 And as long as you have a little green light 22 there and you're comfortable, feel free to begin when 23 you're ready.

MS. TALBOTT: So I would like to start by just thanking you.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Is that light on?

MS. TALBOTT: It is; yeah.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Pull it a little closer.

MS. TALBOTT: Thank you, Representative Grove and Members of the Committee, the State Government Committee.

Some of you I have met. It's good to see you today, and thank you for this opportunity to provide input to the congressional redistricting process of Pennsylvania.

I have lived in Pennsylvania for 46 years and now reside in Bucks County. In my professional career, I conducted market research for consumer products and pharmaceuticals, and in doing so, I have studied many communities of interest and maps.

Since I retired, I have worked as a volunteer and citizen to end gerrymandering and to ensure fair voting districts. I have been speaking with hundreds of Democrats and Republicans, meeting with Legislators, writing letters to the editor, collecting signatures on petitions, and most recently I've been studying census data and drawing and sharing maps. My comments today reflect my personal views and conclusions based on that experience.

As you well know, the 2020 census data shows that Pennsylvania has grown only 2.4 percent in the last decade, resulting in the loss of one congressional seat. However,

the seven counties which are the focus of today's hearing grew 5.3 percent, twice the growth rate of the State overall. The 10-year growth rate was the highest in Lehigh County, which grew by 7.2 percent, followed by Montgomery County, 7.1 percent, and Chester County also up 7.1 percent. The population in this seven-county region is currently equivalent to five congressional districts. Just as Pennsylvania is becoming less rural and more multicultural, so is this seven-county southeastern region.

In terms of racial composition, the population growth in these seven counties is driven especially by the growth in the Hispanic population, which has reached 406,000, up 48 percent since 2010. To put that in perspective, 406,000 is more than the population of either Lehigh County or Northampton County.

It was very interesting to me to learn that the Hispanic population is primarily in the lower tier of Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton Counties. Specifically, Hispanics represent fully 52 percent of Pennsylvania's prosperous and third-largest city of Allentown, 69 percent of Reading, 29 percent of Bethlehem, and 26 percent of Easton.

Many municipalities around these four cities have also had significant growth in the Hispanic population. A Muhlenberg College Spanish professor I know,

Erika Sutherland, says that the Lehigh Valley's growing Hispanic population is increasingly highly educated and actively participates in politics.

I am here today to ask you to prioritize three things when drawing the congressional districts.

First, we know that the districts must meet the Federal requirements of having equal population and having majority-minority districts where possible to comply with the Voting Rights Act.

Second, although the minority Black and Brown communities may not be sufficiently large to constitute a majority district in these seven counties, much of this population is large, growing, and lives in compact areas and should be fairly represented. I ask that the voting power of the minority communities in this region not be diluted by dividing them.

Third, I ask you to draw congressional districts that fairly represent both Democrats and Republicans here and in Pennsylvania overall versus gerrymandering the districts to serve the interest of a particular party or candidate. It's essential to our representative form of government that citizens of both parties be represented proportionally.

The current congressional districts are a major improvement in parts and fairness versus the 2011 map, so

we know this can be done. To illustrate how we might achieve these goals, I'd like to share a congressional map that I have drawn and focus on just four districts in the seven-county area.

I believe you have a copy of the map, but if you don't, I'll just hold this up. Very good.

Northampton Counties, please direct your attention to the teal-colored district here. This district extends from Easton on the eastern edge of Pennsylvania through Bethlehem, Allentown, and Reading, Reading being in Berks County here. These cities have much in common in terms of their urban and suburban character, cultural attractions such as museums and large seasonal festivals, and institutions of higher education.

The cities here also face similar challenges due to rapid industrial and population growth, including challenges to public schools, transportation, and housing. And Amtrak is planning two new passenger rail lines, one from New York City to Allentown and another from Philadelphia to Reading. These communities are also physically connected by Route 78 and Route 222. It is known as the 222 corridor. It takes little more than an hour to drive from Easton to Reading.

As mentioned earlier, this lower tier of the

three counties is home to a very high minority population, most of which is Hispanic. Importantly, 28 percent of this teal district would be Hispanic, which would help ensure fair representation of the large Hispanic community in these three counties. The district overall would cover 69 percent of the total population of those three counties.

So next I would like to focus on the northern tier of these three counties in the district colored in yellow on the map. That's the northern tier in yellow. This area consists of more rural, suburban, and mostly White communities, and to achieve the necessary population of 765,000 per congressional district, this district would need to be combined with neighboring counties. This map shows how the district could include counties to the north, which are also more rural and mostly White. The population of the yellow district has grown by only 1 percent in the last 10 years versus the 6 percent growth.

Now moving southward to Bucks and Montgomery

Counties, the map shows two congressional districts, one in

blue and the other in green. The two counties have a

combined population of 1.5 million, equivalent to two

congressional districts. Both counties tend to have

densely populated, suburban communities bordering

Philadelphia, ranging from very affluent to low income.

Meanwhile, the northernmost parts of the two counties,

which is where we are today, are somewhat sparsely populated with very small to midsized towns as well as some rural and some small farm areas.

Racially speaking, Bucks County is 80 percent
White, Montgomery County is 72 percent White, 11 percent
Black, and with the balance in the Asian and Hispanic
communities, but the minority communities in Bucks and
Montgomery Counties are not as concentrated or as compact
and unified as they are in the Berks, Lehigh, and
Northampton Counties.

The blue district includes all of Bucks County, which my previous testifiers have requested, and I echo that, plus some communities in Montgomery County. The boundaries are very close, actually, to the current district boundaries. The boundaries of the green district, which is mainly Montgomery County, are also very close to the current lines.

So those are the four congressional districts that I wanted to address today.

To summarize, in addition to meeting the constitutional requirements, it would be very desirable, I think, to create a district in the seven-county area that would provide strong congressional representation to the fast growing multicultural communities in Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton Counties.

1 So I also look forward to seeing a preliminary 2 congressional map and another round of regional hearings to 3 allow the public to comment, and thank you very much for 4 taking my testimony and your consideration as you undertake 5 this important process. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you very much. 7 You did good. It was a lot. And we do have your written testimony with everything and your maps, too, 8 9 so. 10 MS. TALBOTT: Okay. Some of it has been revised, 11 and I'll be resubmitting it. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: It's all good. Thank 13 you so much for your time and willingness to testify. 14 Our next testifier is Congressman 15 James Greenwood, New Hope, Pennsylvania. Congressman, when you're comfortable and ready 16 17 and the green light is on, the floor is yours. 18 CONGRESSMAN GREENWOOD: Thank you. Thank you. 19 Thank you, Chairman Grove, Minority Chairman 20 Conklin, and all the Members of the Committee here and 21 attending virtually and those who are not on the Committee 22 and are here just because of your sense of public duty. 23 And I welcome the opportunity to see my State 24 Representative, Wendi Thomas. Thank you for the

25

opportunity.

I am Jim Greenwood, and I am currently with the DLA Piper law firm, and my wife and I reside in Upper Makefield Township in Bucks County.

From 2005 through 2020, I served as the President and CEO of the Biotechnology Innovation Organization, the trade association for more than a thousand biopharmaceutical companies. From 1993 through 2005, I represented what was then the 8th Congressional District, comprised of all of Bucks County and portions of Montgomery County and Philadelphia. I served in the Pennsylvania Senate from 1987 to 1993 and in the Pennsylvania House from 1981 to 1987. I am a Republican.

In 1991, I announced my intention to run for Congress. I was challenging Democratic incumbent Peter Kostmayer, who had been elected in 1976. I had been the campaign manager for the Republican candidate that year. Also in 1991, Democratic State Representative Paul McHale announced his intention to challenge Republican incumbent Congressman Don Ritter, who had been elected to represent the Lehigh Valley district just to the north of Bucks County in 1979. 1991 was the year that the congressional districts were to be redrawn to reflect the 1990 census.

Congressman Kostmayer and Congressman Ritter were sufficiently threatened by State Representative McHale and

me that they collaborated to devise a gerrymander that would divide their districts east and west instead of north and south the way the county boundaries went. Had they succeeded, they would have created for themselves districts that put many of Ritter's Democrats into Kostmayer's district and many of Kostmayer's Republicans into Ritter's. The gerrymander would not have benefited the constituents at all, splitting asunder the Bucks County and the Lehigh-Northampton County communities.

Now, the problem that Kostmayer and Ritter had was that their challengers, Representative McHale and I, were serving in the Legislature and thus positioned to frustrate their scheme. We kept the districts much as they were and we each defeated the incumbent.

Now fast-forward 10 years later. I was the incumbent during the 2001 redistricting process, and there I was, in Harrisburg, staring over the Republican staff's shoulders, pouring over their computer screens, trying to figure out how to keep my district as safe as possible, in this case by getting Philadelphia Democrat precincts out and replacing them with Republican precincts from

Montgomery County. In neither of these cases was the goal to benefit the constituents but rather to increase the likelihood of my reelection. What I was engaged in and what Congressman Kostmayer and Ritter attempted was

gerrymandering, plain and simple, and it is the normal behavior of incumbents across the country.

In the 2020 general election, more seats in the U.S. House were contested than had been since 1920 -- 415 of 435 -- a record number not exceeded in 100 years. Yet, with all of those challenges, only 13 incumbents were defeated, all Democrats, and it's no wonder that so few incumbents lost their elections.

In the 1980s and 90s, there were on average about 150 toss-up races for the House seats, meaning that the candidates' polling numbers were close enough that the election could have swung either way. In recent years, there have been no more than 40.

The Cook Political Report analyzes the nation's congressional districts and assigns a Partisan Voter Index, or PVI, to each. In 1997, 165 districts had PVI scores that were within the national average of plus or minus 5 percent of either party. In other words, they were considered swing districts. By 2016, that number had been reduced from 165 to 72. More than half of the current congressional districts have nine or more percent of voters registered in one party than in the other. In these overwhelmingly lopsided districts, when there is any real competition, it's in the primary elections, not the general, and this has two effects.

First, people who aspire to serve in Congress in these lopsided districts have no viable choice but to challenge the incumbent in the primary. Knowing that the turnout in primaries generally is low and consists largely of the most partisan and activist voters, Republican challengers often try to run to the right of the incumbent and Democrats to the left of the incumbent. That's how House Republican Majority Leader Eric Cantor lost his Virginia seat in the primary to an unknown Tea Party candidate in 2014 and how House Democratic Caucus Chair Joe Crowley lost his New York primary to Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez in 2018.

The overall result of this move to the margins is further polarization in Congress, which is increasingly composed of far right and far left Members. It also results in incumbents being unwilling to compromise with the other party for fear of making themselves ideologically "impure" and vulnerable to primary challengers, and that leads to the worsening paralysis of the Congress and our nation's inability to address critical challenges.

The second impact of this is that incumbents with overwhelming registration leads don't feel threatened in the general elections, and thus they have no need or incentive to move toward the center to keep their seats.

Again, more ideological extremism, polarization, and

government paralysis.

Gerrymandering also leads to public cynicism as the voters observe yet another example of politicians scratching each other's backs rather than working to serve the public. Voter turnout declines and Members of Congress retire from frustration.

Our Founding Fathers worried about the danger of political parties and partisanship. In his Farewell Address, George Washington said, quote, "Over the decades the common and continual mischiefs of the spirit of party are sufficient to make it the interest and the duty of a wise people to discourage and restrain it," close quote.

Now, we have long since tolerated and, indeed, embraced political parties, and during my 24 years in elective office, I fought hard to win my elections and to help gain and retain Republican majorities in the Pennsylvania House and Senate and in Congress. But the real value of parties is not to simply divide us into red and blue teams battling for power but rather for Americans to associate with other citizens who share their basic values and beliefs and to engage in the intellectual debates, learning from the differences in perspective born of our varying professions, our religions, our life experiences, and our circumstances.

When candidates are elected by winning these debates rather than having gerrymandered their way to victory, the political process attracts and rewards those who do their homework, master the facts, and have the intelligence to persuade the voters to their points of view. As is the case wherever competition is stifled, the quality of the outcome is diminished. Where competition is encouraged, the product is excellence.

I'm a self-proclaimed centrist, not because I fail to steadfastly adhere to a set of principles but because it has been my experience that the truth usually lies closer to the middle than to the extremes. When far too many congressional districts include candidates from each party who have a chance to prevail by winning on the issue debates, when far too few of them do, we sacrifice the opportunity to sharpen our thinking and to be convinced by factual arguments.

As I illustrated in my opening, I fully understand that political parties want to use whatever leverage they can have to protect their incumbents and to defeat candidates of the other party. I know that arena well. My recommendations to you, though, are to take the long view rather than drawing congressional districts designed so that the politicians choose their constituents. Where you can, endeavor to draw competitive

districts so the constituents choose their elected Representatives.

Clearly given the geographic distribution of Republicans and Democrats in Pennsylvania, not all districts can be drawn to be competitive in general elections. But in areas where voters of the two major parties are more evenly balanced, I urge you to resist the political pressure to undo that balance by manipulating the congressional district boundaries to artificially favor one party over the other. Bucks County's 1st District, where we sit, is a perfect example of a swing district that should not be so divided.

True patriotic leaders put the long-term strength of our nation ahead of short-term partisan issues. I'll close with this quote. Martin Luther King said, quote, "It's time for political leaders across the ideological spectrum to realize that, while partisanship is understandable, hyper-partisanship is destructive to our country. We need more visionary leaders who will earnestly strive for bipartisanship and finding policy solutions that can move America forward." These are wise words.

Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Congressman, for your time and willingness to testify this morning. We appreciate it.

Our next testifier is Deirdre Gibson from Media,
Pennsylvania.

I'll also announce we are joined by Representative Meghan Schroeder.

And when you're comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin. And that little green light is on, so go ahead.

MS. GIBSON: It is on; yep.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

MS. GIBSON: Good afternoon. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak with you today about the process of public involvement in this year's redistricting work.

I'm a lifelong resident of Pennsylvania, and I have lived in McKean, Bucks, Centre, Philadelphia, and now Delaware County. I have worked for 50 years both in the private sector and the Federal government in large-scale land planning, and I have extensive experience in conducting public processes around decisions that affect the lives and well-being of citizens. I mention this because my testimony today concerns the mechanics of the public process that will lead to the development of new congressional maps for Pennsylvania districts.

I was encouraged on July $12^{\rm th}$ to hear the Committee promise to conduct the "most transparent"

redistricting process to date. Representative Benninghoff stated during the announcement of the process that "the coming slate of hearings, the publicly accessible website, and the ability for Pennsylvanians to submit their own maps and communities of interest clearly makes this effort the most transparent congressional redistricting in Pennsylvania history. The work that will be put into this by the House State Government Committee shows our caucus's commitment to a process-oriented approach that is reflective of the people's voice," close quotation. That commitment to a transparent and reflective process is what I'll comment on this afternoon.

The series of public hearings that the Committee is holding is an encouraging first step, and I followed with interest the testimony that citizens have taken the time to prepare and to provide to you. Hearing testimony and posting it on a website, though, is only a first step towards your promise of a transparent and reflective process.

Since July 12th, I have been waiting to hear more about your process, and I'm a bit concerned that the rest of it is missing or completely opaque. It's regrettable that there's no law, standard, or even precedent in Pennsylvania for a truly transparent and reflective redistricting public process, because this puts you in the

difficult-to-defend position of having to make this up as you go along. Yet, hundreds if not thousands of transparent public processes are conducted each year in the U.S., and the steps are well accepted and effective.

So I'll suggest some steps to illustrate what "transparency" comprises, steps that will produce a result that the public can feel confident of, even if they don't like it, particularly since public confidence is one of the Committee's stated goals:

First, provide a timeline for your work.

State what the target date is for release of your initial draft map, the dates for public review, and for submission to the Governor.

The recent month-long delay in the scheduling of half of the hearings is a concern given the time constraints that the late census results have posed, and it certainly leads to the question of whether and how public testimony will be used in your decisionmaking. Publishing a timeline would help to assuage this concern for us.

Second, make the process responsive and interactive rather than one way. Again, limiting

1 the process to simply taking and posting 2 testimony means that the information is only 3 flowing in one direction, toward you, and without actionable results. Surprisingly, Committee 5 Members who have taken the time to travel to the 6 remote locations of the hearings -- and I 7 appreciate how many of you are here today. This is the best turnout yet, right? But you're not 8 9 taking the time to ask questions of the citizens 10 who have taken time to appear. 11 "Transparent and reflective" means that the 12 Committee will clarify how the information that 13 we citizens have provided will be analyzed and 14 used in your work. 15 Tell us how the information will be 16 documented, both qualitatively and 17 quantitatively, so that you'll have the ability 18 to use it in your work. 19 And prepare and publish a summary set of 20 conclusions that you have reached based on the 21 compendium of our public testimony. 22 23 Third, clarify what criteria are being used 24 to draw the map.

Clarify whether the Committee has committed

25

to using the criteria provided by the U.S.

Constitution and the Voting Rights Act as the key

criteria for developing the map and whether there

are any additional criteria that you'll use as

quidelines. It was so interesting to hear former

Congressman Greenwood describe the criteria that had been used in past redistricting efforts that he was aware of.

State the priority order of the importance of the criteria.

Fourth, clarify who is involved in decisionmaking.

Describe how the House State Government Committee will work with the Senate State Government Committee.

Clarify how minority Members of the

Committee and the Legislature will be involved

and included in mapmaking. Are you willing to

commit to an inclusive process in which

amendments offered by other Legislators will be

presented to the public in a timely way and, most

importantly, receive a vote?

And disclose the name and qualifications of any vendor or other consultant or advisor who is

drawing the actual map.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

Fifth, build in time for actual reflection. "Reflective" means that there will be a responsive dialogue between the Legislature and the public. The Legislature was unhappy when the State Supreme Court handed down a map in 2018 with no consultation. The public will be unhappy if you do the same thing this year.

So ensure that you have built in a minimum of 30 days for the public to review and comment on your initial draft map.

Present the draft map in a publicly accessible and user-friendly format such as the Dave's Redistricting app to make commenting easy.

And ensure that you have the time to incorporate the comments that you'll receive and to make needed changes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Six, show your work. "Transparency" means that the question of "why" is fully answered.

So provide a written report to accompany both the initial draft map and the final submitted map that describes for the public how and why decisions were made for each district.

I'll conclude by saying that in my experience,

few public processes have outcomes that make everyone

happy, and redistricting won't make everyone happy either.

But a well-run, fully transparent, interactive process,

incorporated in steps like those I have just outlined, does

give the public confidence that this outcome is honest,

reflective, and as fair as possible.

Chairman Grove, as you stated on July 12th, and I'll quote, "At a time when public trust in government is low, it is vital that the voices of the people are heard," close quote. Mr. Grove and Members of this committee, Pennsylvanians ask you to follow through and meet the commitment that you made.

Thank you very much for your kind attention this afternoon.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you very much for your testimony and willingness to come in and testify.

Thank you so much.

Our next testifier is Eric Bruno from Levittown. Eric, are you here? Come on up.

Thank you so much for taking time out of your busy schedule. When you're comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin.

MR. BRUNO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee.

I think I speak for everyone, or at least everyone who is not fully politically engaged, to say that I'm tired. We're all tired of political shenanigans that go into gerrymandered districts with non-Euclidean geometric shapes that span time and space half the time that we see here. It has been formulating at this point for the past two decades, at least.

First, partisans create maps that effectively disenfranchise voters, then other groups correctly sue and take the Legislature to court. The courts look at the maps and rule that they are unconstitutional, which took 8 years last time. The districts get redrawn to be fair for a couple of years, then we start all over again. Meanwhile, Americans get disenfranchised, politicians see no consequences for their actions, and we all end up worse off for it.

Can we skip it this time? Seriously. We the voting public are tired of these elementary schoolyard games. We only ask that you serve in our Legislature with a sense of integrity, honor, and duty to your constituents. Let's stop wasting time and money on these battles where every Pennsylvanian ends up on the losing end.

This is your warning, though. History shows that if you make democracy more difficult, you'll make governing impossible. I don't want that. I want us seen

as a unified people, so Democrats and Republicans need to work together in Harrisburg and be reasonable with the new maps.

As we move forward with the country, there needs to be a reckoning about who we are as a people. Are we fair, equitable, impartial, and cohesive, or will historians call our society unjust, nakedly biased, and selfish? I think we can be better.

Instead of setting up these fights that can be avoided, we can make our district lines reasonable from the beginning. The alternative leads us down a dark road, but one that we can evade with relative ease. All you have to do is be honest with the districting, honest with the Pennsylvania public, and honest with yourselves.

My comments are much shorter than most of the people who are here, but I just wanted to be short, sweet, and to the point.

Thank you for all of your time.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for taking the time out of your schedule to come in and testify. We greatly appreciate it.

Our next testifier is Dennis Baylor.

And we'll move on to Phyllis Blumberg, and if Dennis does arrive, we will add him on at the tail end.

Phyllis Blumberg from -- Bala Cynwyd? Did I get

that right? Bala Cynwyd. Obviously, I'm not from the southeast.

MS. BLUMBERG: No, obviously you're not Welsh.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yeah.

Phyllis, when you're comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin. Thank you so much for taking time out and testifying today.

MS. BLUMBERG: Thank you, Congressperson Grove and the Members of the Committee for this opportunity for me to testify today.

I am Phyllis Blumberg, and I live in Bala Cynwyd,
Lower Merion Township, in Montgomery County, in
Pennsylvania's 4th Congressional District. I'm a
middle-class suburban woman, but I care deeply about proper
representation for all people, especially those of color
and frontline communities.

I have lived in this district for over 25 years, and my area has been redistricted several times. To deny adequate representation in my suburban Philadelphia and leaning-toward-Democratic section of Montgomery County, we have been redistricted inappropriately into other districts.

Once we were sliced into a very thin section and added to a more rural and leaning-toward-Republican Berks

County district. Next, we were packed into a solidly

Democrat and largely Black district in Philadelphia. In both cases, my community was a token in that district and not even worth putting into a bus terminal.

The representative only was interested in courting our money when they were up for reelection, but had absolutely no interest in talking to us once they were elected. In fact, we felt that we were not even represented in the U.S. House of Representatives, because they never came to our neighborhood, they never talked to us, they never answered our calls, and they never addressed any of our issues.

This was my experience, and this mirrors exactly what Mr. Greenwood said as an elected official, but this was my experience as a citizen. I am also concerned that redistricting can throw us, once again, into a district that does not represent suburban Philadelphians.

While my current district represents my community, I do not think it represents the entire district appropriately. My current district includes much of Montgomery County, which I think is appropriate, which is suburban Philadelphia, but it also includes a small part of the eastern part of Berks County, which is more rural and not as metropolitan Philadelphia centric.

I also have concerns about the overall House district map, and these are really where I want to spend

most of my time. Here are my recommendations for how to improve the redistricting process to make it better to reflect the reality of Pennsylvania:

1. I am concerned about diluting the voices of urban populations, especially for communities of color that tend to be concentrated in urban areas. I offer several examples of the current dilution of that representation.

- The maps that were drawn in 2018 were meant to be proportional in terms of our overall population voting tendencies.
 While this was a step in the right direction, we did not achieve the goal completely, because the Republicans continue to have a geographic advantage since the Democrats tend to be concentrated in urban areas. This allows districts to be cracked and packed, which dilutes the voices of urban communities.
 - when people of color are all packed into the same district, they get a representative, and they get a voice and that representative gets elected, but their power is diluted. This may sound

1 counterintuitive, but the dilution of 2 power occurs for the following reasons: 3 When districts are packed into a supermajority of people of color, their 5 voices are limited to just those few 6 districts, tending to have far less 7 representation overall. 8 Many studies have shown that more 9 racially diverse districts tend to lead 10 to people of color having more voice. 11 When districts are made up of greater 12 than about a third of a specific race, 13 there is a good opportunity that that 14 person of that "specific" race might 15 represent the district. 16 People of any race or whether they are 17 urban or rural should not be divided into 18 other districts so that they can only be 19 a token in that overall population. 20 was exactly what I experienced in my two previous districts. 21 22 Blacks, Hispanics, and Latinx should not 23 be packed unnecessarily into a few 24 districts, particularly as their 25 population is growing in Pennsylvania.

• Determining the districts, especially in Philadelphia, Reading, Pittsburgh, and the Lehigh Valley, needs special attention to be given for equitable representation to Blacks, Hispanics, and the Latinx communities.

2. Local voting precincts should be kept intact, as many people have already said. School districts in Montgomery County should be kept intact as a district, but this is not the case now.

3. Districts should not be drawn in such a way that the shape is crazy. I have a cartoon hanging in my house that shows the crazy districts of various parts of Pennsylvania as well as the nation, and they kind of look like a child was just scribble-scrabble.

4. Pennsylvania needs to lose one U.S.

Representative. There has been a population

shift away from central, northern, and western

parts of the State and toward the suburban

metropolitan areas, particularly of Philadelphia

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Pittsburgh. Therefore, the districts need to reflect the current reality with fewer seats where they have lost population and appropriating more seats in the metropolitan areas, particularly suburban Allegheny County, Philadelphia, and the five collar counties around Philadelphia.

1

- 5. Redistricting should not prioritize keeping incumbents in office. And I'm going to say that again: Redistricting should not prioritize keeping incumbents in office. It would be better to start with a clean slate to make district maps.
 - This might mean that the two incumbent officials might actually run against each other, but that would be an effective way for voters to choose who represents them.
 - It would also provide opportunities for new candidates to emerge. And having had some friends who would like to run but feel that they have no chance of ever winning, I think it would be a good opportunity for new candidates to emerge.

Representatives in Congress is seeking election to the U.S. Senate, that does not mean that the voters from that district should be reapportioned into other districts as a way of protecting the current incumbents. This district is actually gaining in population and should not be chopped up.

In summary, my major concerns are diluting the voices of urban populations, especially for communities of color that tend to be concentrated in urban areas, and my second major area is prioritizing current elected officials to keep incumbents in office and not prioritizing the voice of the people and population shifts.

Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to provide the testimony of a citizen and for your attention to these concerns.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for your testimony, Phyllis. We greatly appreciate your time.

Our next testifier is Robert Paul from Merion, Pennsylvania.

Robert, come on down. Thank you so much for your time and willingness to testify today.

1 MR. PAUL: Oh, thank you, sir.

2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: The green light is on, 3 so when you're comfortable and ready, please feel free to 4 begin.

MR. PAUL: All right. Well, thank you for having me.

I'm unfortunately in the middle of a hearing in Federal Court, which I'm rushing in in the middle of to do this.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: I hope you're not the plaintiff.

MR. PAUL: I don't think I'll answer that question.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Good.

MR. PAUL: Either way.

While reapportionment -- and I speak and I'll say this very quickly. I have been certified as an expert in reapportionment by the Federal Court in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In the 1980 reapportionment, I designed maps in the 1980 reapportionment, and I testified in front of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania on that subject, so I have a little bit of knowledge in this area.

While reapportionment is a political process, it can only be performed in conformity with the requirements of the Pennsylvania Constitution. These requirements were

set out clearly in two Pennsylvania Supreme Court cases -Mellow v. Mitchell in 1992 and League of Women Voters v.

Commonwealth in 2018, which I'm sure you're all familiar
with, the second certainly. Some of you may not be
familiar with the first one. These cases provide that the
plan for the districts must be compact, contiguous, equal
in population, does not divide a county, city, town,
borough, township, or ward -- my specific election division
happens to be split between two congressional districts
under the present plan -- except when necessary to ensure
equality of population.

Further, the League of Women Voters court held that partisan gerrymandering violates the Pennsylvania Constitution. In Mellow, the court appears to have said that maximizing the ability of minority populations to be heard by not cramming them into one or more districts or cracking them by spreading them out among districts so their voices cannot be heard as they are too small a group in the district should also be a goal in the process to prevent both packing and cracking.

Further, given the importance of the matter, the Committee should alert the public to its thinking, and not just in a hearing such as this, but actually give us the maps that you are planning to do before you adopt them, and provide opportunity for public comment on the plan as it is

being developed, not just release a plan and then force the public to comment by litigation only. Because the reality is, there's going to be litigation about this plan no matter what.

My point and the reason I'm urging upon you to do a nonpartisan way of looking at this is that whatever your private preferences are in the matter of the districts should be put aside in favor of the public interest as described in the League of Women Voters case. The plan must be in place by January 24th. A plan which fails to meet the criteria in the League case will be rejected by the courts, leading to chaos. This should be avoided. A plan more like 2018 than 2011 should be adopted by you to make the process of the 2022 election go more smoothly. People need to know what district they live in sooner rather than later, and the counties need to be prepared and the more time the better.

Finally, while our Montgomery County population is bigger than one district, the least amount of division should be the goal. Spread out elongated districts designed to reduce the role of cities into split counties, such as the split in Pottstown and other places, and smaller units from areas of natural affinity by geography should be avoided.

So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions

1 you guys may have, but otherwise, thank you for the opportunity. And please, please, please do not make this a 2 3 partisan process, make it a nonpartisan process, because 4 otherwise, the Supreme Court is going to decide it. And 5 would you rather have a plan that you can sign off on? 6 And I'll speak as a lawyer. Why do cases settle? 7 Cases settle because both parties would rather have their 8 fates decided by themselves rather than by some judge or a 9 jury, and I urge you to give that serious, serious 10 consideration in the way this plan is proposed and the way 11 it is adopted. 12 Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you very much. 14 appreciate your time and your willingness to pop out of 15 court to give us your testimony today. 16 Our next testifier is Angel Figueroa. Did I get 17 your last name kind of right? 18 MR. FIGUEROA: I'll correct you when I get up 19 there. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: All right. 21 appreciate that. 22 MR. FIGUEROA: It's not Segura. 23 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Segura. 24 MR. FIGUEROA: It's Figueroa. 25 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Figueroa.

1 MR. FIGUEROA: Yes.

2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

---from Reading, Pennsylvania. And the green light is on. When you're ready and comfortable, please begin.

MR. FIGUEROA: Hello. My name is Angel Figueroa.

I am a resident of Berks County, Pennsylvania, where my
wife, Isamac Torres-Figueroa, and I have decided to raise
our two beautiful daughters, Olivia and Natalia.

Isamac is currently the Interim Chairwoman of the Berks County Latino Chamber of Commerce, and in her professional capacity, she works for the Kutztown University Small Business Development Center, helping new and existing entrepreneurs. I tell you this so you can see that our family is fully vested in making sure our communities move forward.

As a former veteran of the Pennsylvania Army
National Guard, I learned early on in my career that
service to country is one of my core values, hence why I am
employed by the Institute of Leadership, which is a
nonprofit located in southeast Pennsylvania helping
underserved communities to seek the resources and skills
they need to get out of poverty.

As the first and former Puerto Rican elected official in the city of Reading, I want to thank all of

you, especially thanks to Chairman Grove and the Committee Members, for giving this opportunity today, because I, too, understand what it is and what it takes to listen to your constituencies. So I applaud you.

My focal point today will really emphasize an opportunity for all of you to do something great during this time of redistricting. So I would encourage you to please pay attention.

The seven counties which we are focused on in this hearing is home to nearly one-third of Pennsylvania's total population, which translates to five congressional districts. The seven-county region is the fastest growing region, with a population increase of 5.3 percent versus 2.4 percent for the State overall. Moreover, Allentown and Reading are two of the State's fifth largest cities, and most recently, the city of Reading was named the fourth largest city in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The map below shows population changes by region over the last two decades and shows a strong two decades of growth in southeast Pennsylvania versus other regions.

This seven-county region is also multicultural, with 29 percent counting as "minorities." It is home to 406,000 Hispanics, or 39 percent of the State's growing Hispanic population. The Hispanic population is up by 48 percent in this seven-county region, the Black

population is up 7 percent, while the White population dropped 4 percent.

I would like to propose two districts which would include Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton Counties. These districts are included in the map of the 17 congressional districts for the whole State that is suggested here in the link which you currently have, according to Dave's Redistricting app.

First, looking at Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton
Counties which are yellow and teal, there in the northern
tier is the yellow, which is mostly rural, racially White,
and declining in population. That region is similar to the
counties of the north -- Schuylkill, Carbon, and Monroe.
So the northern tier of Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton
Counties is included with those neighboring counties to
create the district in the yellow on the map.

The second region and the district that one of my colleagues has drawn in teal is the one that we want you to particularly focus on today, and you can see it to my far left, which is the teal section. It represents the southern tier of Berks, Lehigh, and Northampton Counties. This district is a growing urban, suburban, industrial corridor and includes Easton, Bethlehem, Allentown, and Reading and southwestern Berks County. Along this well-traveled corridor, you'll find the world headquarters

of Air Products, PPL, Crayola, as well as the Mac Trucks, Braun, and 700 manufacturing companies, and the fastest growing warehouse industry, including Amazon.

The urban corridor is connected by Interstate 78 and Routes 22 and 222, which are very crowded highways.

Allentown International Airport is in the region. Amtrak is planning to expand passenger lines to Allentown and Reading, which will only fuel growth and the accompanying increase in the demand for improved systems to support the region.

It is important to state that this growth be sustained. There's excellent universities and colleges such as Lehigh, Muhlenberg, Lafayette, and I would be remiss if I don't name some in Reading, Albright and Alvernia, as well as Kutztown University, which I got my MBA from, by the way.

The district is also home to a large, growing

Hispanic population. It represents over 70 percent of

Reading, over 50 percent of Allentown, and over 30 percent

of Bethlehem. The Latino community calls it "el Corazon de

la comunidad," the heart of the community, or what I would

call "el Corazon de la condados," connecting three major

counties.

I have shared with you some links so you could reference them within my speech.

In this congressional district, Hispanics will represent 28 percent of the total population, and Blacks will represent 11 percent. Given the concentration in growth of Hispanic populations in the southeast region, this is a very important district. Keeping these Hispanic communities united in one district protects their interests. Having a congressional representative focus on the changes needed of this vibrant region over the next 10 years is the right thing to do.

As a side note, I will give you a real example of this reality. Currently with the American Recovery funds that have been disbursed in many towns, cities, and counties throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by far, Hispanics and Blacks have been disproportionately not included in the processes in terms of making decisions on how these funds will be allocated.

Another example. Most recently, our Berks County Latino Chamber collaborated with the Berks County Chamber of Commerce in the distribution of over \$700,000 during the CHIRP funds, which all of you were very instrumental in appropriating, over \$700,000 for Latino entrepreneurs that perhaps if we would not have been in contact, on the ground, knocking on these businesses, they would not have known, and this was very true and very evident in other communities. Fortunately, in the city of Reading, that was

not the case.

In summary, population growth and population decline both present a policy challenge, but there are different challenges with different solutions. For example, population growth, particularly in the 222 corridor in Northampton, Lehigh, and Berks Counties, brings a huge amount of challenges of strained infrastructure, education, and services, including the need for workforce. I would like to ask the State Government Committees to introduce a map that will provide a congressional district support to serve the interests of each of these distinctly different areas.

One way to ensure that this continues going forward is to have voting districts that represent the interests of urban parts of the region very well and also have districts that will represent rural regions well. The interests are different, but well-drawn districts will enable voters to elect candidates that will truly represent their interests. If we do not, we risk having districts that are highly polarized, which I believe tends to paralyze progress on important issues and angers constituents.

A good example of Latino voters on why my testimony today is very nonpartisan, Berks County is an example of electing the first Puerto Rican county

- commissioner in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,
- 2 | Michael Rivera; the first Puerto Rican elected to the city
- 3 of Reading, Eddie Moran; and the first Hispanic and Berks
- 4 | County State Representative, Manuel Guzman. This speaks to
- 5 | the fact that Latinos tend to vote for people. We care
- 6 less about the partisan rhetoric. All we want to do is
- 7 what's right to serve our communities and really continue
- 8 to be a part of our great American democracy.
- 9 I look forward to seeing the preliminary
- 10 congressional map that the State Government Committee
- proposes and to the future hearings to comment on this map.
- Once again, gracias. Thank you for this opportunity.
- In the event that you would like to host this
- hearing in Reading or in Berks County or the 222 corridor,
- 15 let me know, and again, thank you.
- 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much, and
- 17 | thank you for your service. We really appreciate it.
- 18 All right. Our next testifier is
- 19 Victor Martinez, Vice President of Spanish Broadcasting,
- 20 Allentown, Pennsylvania.
- Victor, please come on down. The green light is
- 22 on, so when you are comfortable and ready, please feel free
- 23 to begin.
- MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you for having me here
- 25 today. And much like my friend, Angel Figueroa, I am here

representing our Hispanic community.

First of all, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to be here today and testify in front of you.

My name is Victor Martinez. I live, work, and raise my family in Allentown, Pennsylvania, which is a lot better than having to drive to Harrisburg to testify, which I did a couple of weeks ago.

I am the owner of the only independent Spanish
Broadcasting Company in Pennsylvania. I am proud to say
that I own three Spanish radio stations in this great
Commonwealth -- one in Philadelphia; one here in the
Lehigh Valley, Allentown; and one in Reading, Pennsylvania.

As part of these radio stations, I also host a morning show every day, Monday through Friday, and this gives me a unique opportunity to talk to our local residents, and this issue is very present with them right now. We have talked about it on the radio. We have discussed it with them on the radio. And there's a big concern in the community, a fear of being left out.

I have been involved with and I have rallied the Hispanic community around several outstanding Hispanic candidates running for office in the past few years, and we have come close each and every single time. But, you see, the legislative lines are drawn every 10 years, but somehow the Hispanic community is divided between the lines, making

1 it near impossible for us to elect someone of our choice.
2 But that's a topic that I covered during the LRC.

In your case, the body in charge of drawing the congressional lines, I want to share that even when our community is not big enough to anchor a congressional district by itself, we have been able to develop enough influence to be engaged and have some influence with the current congressional map. That's why I would like to respectfully urge you to please keep the Lehigh Valley together in a single congressional district. With a relatively large and growing Hispanic population, this area should be kept in the district map, it should keep us together in the district map, so we have one common interest.

According to the latest census, we now are over a million strong here in the State of Pennsylvania. The Hispanic community has grown to over a million Latinos. In case you don't know, in the city of Allentown, the Hispanic population now, it's 55 percent. In Reading, we're 70 percent. In Bethlehem, we're 30 percent. In Philadelphia, we are 15 percent, and we continue to grow.

The Allentown School District currently has
73.13 percent Latino population. So if you want to get a
window of what the future is going to look, if you want to
be able to some way, somehow predict what the community is

going to look like, look no further than the Allentown

School District, because if the school district right now

is 73 percent, that means within the next 10 years, the

Hispanic population in the city of Allentown, it's going to

be 60, 70 percent.

These numbers and the population decline in western Pennsylvania justifies the argument that the new map for eastern Pennsylvania should see minimal changes from the 2018 court-ordered map. Our community, the Hispanic community in Pennsylvania, deserves more representation in Washington, DC, or at least have the same level of representation. Our small businesses, our school children, need a voice and need to be represented.

So I ask you to please keep this in mind when you are making the decision and when you are making your maps. It is important for us to be able to engage and participate and have a voice, have someone that can represent us. So once again, I am here to respectfully ask you to keep the Lehigh Valley together in one district.

Thank you very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Since you own some Spanish broadcasting, I just want to let you know, Speaker Cutler needs some practice on his Spanish. He is semi-good, so if you need somebody, yeah.

MR. MARTINEZ: And the great thing is that even

- 1 though we are a Spanish radio station, we are bilingual.
- 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Great. I want to
 3 challenge him, because it has been a while, so. You can
 4 tell him Craig Staats sent you.
- 5 MR. MARTINEZ: There you go.
- 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for your testimony.
- MR. MARTINEZ: No, no, thank you for having me.
- 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: We really appreciate
- 10 it. Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And our next testifier is Marian Levine from
 Merion Station.
- Marian, come on down, and when you are comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin.
- MS. LEVINE: Thank you.
 - My name is Marian Levine. I live in Merion

 Station, not Marion Station as it says there, in Montgomery

 County, 1 mile west of the Philadelphia city line, and I

 have been here for 35 years.
 - I have voted in every election, including primaries, since I turned 21, which is how old you had to be then. I taught my kids to vote regularly, too. The issue of drawing up districts is important to me because the division of Pennsylvania, and other States, into districts is fundamental to our entire democracy.

I have taught United States and Pennsylvania history and civics. I care about this stuff. I taught about how a democracy is supposed to work, both from the point of view of electing people and representing them. It should be a transparent process -- you and your community elect a representative. Your community is your township, your borough, city, county, State, depending on the level of government. And the composition of your district makes sense, usually based on geographic features or political boundaries and equal in population to the other districts. People need to see that their representative is speaking for them and their community.

District lines should not be drawn just for the sake of party politics. Once that's done, there is little opportunity for voter input on issues. Then the parties rule, not the people, and the parties tell the representatives what to support or block. That's not only the end of democracy, it's the end of government. There is no incentive for parties to work together, and people lose faith in their government.

We can see this just by looking at how dysfunctional government has become. At this time, we can see that the public has lost confidence in the ability of our government at all levels to move forward in any direction. Neither party wants to let the other take

credit for any achievement, so there's a stalemate. The public suffers, nothing gets done, and look no further than a Congress that cannot pass budget bills, can't even pass a resolution to allow borrowing for more than a month for a temporary budget. Neither the State nor Congress even considers long-term solutions to big problems.

Everything suffers -- individual people, the operation of government itself, our national and Commonwealth infrastructure, our national reputation.

What people learn and say is government can't be counted on. It doesn't do anything good; it doesn't do anything at all.

I have looked at the current maps of the

Pennsylvania State House and Senate and the United States

congressional districts where I live. I'm an intelligent

grown-up. If I can't make much sense out of the maps, I'm

not sure others can either.

I live in what through 2010 was the

7th Congressional District, or shall I say the infamous

7th Congressional District. It ran from here -- I wrote

this at home, so. It ran from part of Lower Merion all the

way to Chester and Lancaster Counties, and as I said, it

included only a part of my township, Lower Merion.

After redistricting, I was in the $$2^{\rm nd}$$ Congressional, a predominately Philadelphia district,

1	again dividing Lower Merion. As of 2018, I'm in the
2	$5^{ m th}$ District, a predominately Delaware County district.
3	And may I just say that one of our previous speakers who
4	also lives in Merion and lives around the corner and a
5	block and a half away from me is in the other district.
6	It's such an arbitrary line. Interestingly, almost all of
7	Montgomery County, except for my corner and part of
8	Lower Merion, is in the $4^{ ext{th}}$ District. So why would any of
9	my representatives care about us in Lower Merion or
LO	Montgomery County?
L1	I respectfully urge you to draw district lines in
L2	which:
L3	
L 4	The populations are equal.
L5	The districts are contiguous.
L 6	The districts are as compact as possible
L 7	without dividing municipalities such as
L 8	townships, boroughs, and counties, unless they
L9	are too large for one district.
20	The districts also should fairly represent
21	their constituents instead of the districts
22	choose their constituents.
23	And the divisions are not formed for the
24	purpose of "wasting" or disenfranchising a
25	portion of their constituents' votes.

1 Let us restore confidence in our government "of the people, by the people, for the people." Thank 2 3 you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for 4 5 your testimony and your time this afternoon. 6 Our next testifier is Jan Swenson, also from 7 Merion Station. MS. SWENSON: We're small but we're mighty. 8 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: It's all good. We 10 appreciate it. Hopefully you carpooled. 11 MS. SWENSON: Yeah, we did. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: The green light is on. 13 When you're comfortable and ready, please begin. 14 MS. SWENSON: Great. 15 Well, good afternoon, and thank you for this opportunity to offer my testimony and concerns for the 2021 16 17 redistricting. 18 I'm a longtime resident of Montgomery County, 19 Lower Merion Township and Merion Station. My first remark 20 concerns keeping Montgomery County intact as much as 21 possible. I request that you do not divide, split, or 22 fragment Montgomery County. It has a population that is 23 very similar to the target population of a congressional 24 district, and we need a representative Congress that

represents our views and values and also represents us as a

25

political entity.

If we as a county need help from the Federal Government or dollars for expressways or light rail or national parks, we can turn to a single congressperson who will really represent us instead of our trying to get the attention of multiple Members of Congress who only represent a fraction or a small piece of our county.

My second concern is about how partisan redistricting, or gerrymandering, damages democracy.

First, partisan redistricting, or gerrymandering, eliminates swing districts and polarizes any Legislature where gerrymandering is permitted. The practice of gerrymandering creates only red or blue districts with very few competitive districts, so you eliminate Members of Congress with more moderate views who seek to bridge the gap between Democrats and Republicans.

Second, partisan gerrymandering actively tries to predetermine the outcome of "democratic" elections. Voters have the correct viewpoint that their vote doesn't matter; their vote has been diluted. As a result, they become demoralized and withdraw from participating in the system, which hurts democracy.

Third, partisan gerrymandering in favor of incumbent Members of Congress is corrupt and leads to disrespect of the law and the legitimacy of a democratic

electoral system. Predetermined elections cause potential candidates and other people who want to get involved in politics to stay on the sidelines because they're disgusted. Gerrymandering turns elections into an insider game of "who you know," and when incumbents are handed districts that are designed for them, it deters credible challengers from running.

Fourth, gerrymandering results in contorted districts that make it hard for people to identify and get to know their Member of Congress. It damages communication between the elected officials and their constituents. It damages communication between a candidate and the voters.

Conversely, when districts are compact and counties and townships are kept whole, residents know their congressperson. For example, when you live in a county represented by one Member of Congress, you know who represents you. It's easier to get through to the Member's office to have them listen and understand you and to hold them accountable. All the members of that county know who and where their representative is, and they know who to turn to to have a voice in their government.

If a Legislator represents an entire township or county, everyone knows who to turn to for help and representation. When counties and townships and political subdivisions are fragmented, the connection between an

elected representative and the people is lost.

Finally, split or fragmented districts created by gerrymandering hurt democracy by making it difficult or impossible for candidates to run for office. A door-to-door campaign is nearly impossible, and campaigns are more expensive for challengers. It makes it difficult for candidates to communicate with voters.

When districts are elongated, simple visits with potential constituents, like to an Elks Lodge or a town center, become unreasonably time-consuming, burdensome, and costly. Personal visits are ineffective because the constituents in a fragmented district will be represented by incumbents from all the surrounding congressional districts. It's particularly difficult to walk a district if the district boundaries change every other block or the map is hard to follow.

In sum, gerrymandering polarizes Legislatures and hurts democracy itself. It breeds contempt for a corrupt system that is more suited to the politburo in China or a dictatorship like Russia. Gerrymandering is conduct unbecoming of any Legislator who has sworn an oath to support and defend the United States Constitution and the Pennsylvania Constitution.

I want to thank you for this opportunity to have my voice heard. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for your willingness to testify. We greatly appreciate it.

Our next testifier is Susan Hancock from Oxford, Pennsylvania.

Susan, thank you so much for joining us today. The green light is on, so when you are comfortable and ready, the floor is yours.

MS. HANCOCK: Good afternoon, Representative Grove and the Members of the House State Government Committee.

My name is Susan Hancock. I have been a resident of Chester County for 31 years with my husband, Tim. We have raised 3 daughters here, all of whom are now married, and we are enjoying 11 grandchildren.

I am here today to express my concerns about the current Pennsylvania 6th Congressional District. The district includes all of Chester County, along with a part of Berks County that juts out of Chester County. The part of Berks County is just large enough to bring in the city of Reading.

I would like the Committee to consider redrawing the district in a way that is more sensible. In researching the guidelines for reapportionment, I found four key points according to the National Conference of State Legislatures as well as the Pennsylvania

Constitution, Article II, Section 16.

Contiguity is one point -- bordering or being in direct contact with something. Chester County borders four Pennsylvania counties -- Delaware County, Montgomery, Berks, and Lancaster Counties. Looking at a map of Chester County and its neighbors, the smallest common border is with Berks County. Both Montgomery and Delaware County borders are slightly longer and are about the same, and our shared border with Lancaster County is much longer.

The second point is compactness: Constituents of a congressional district should live as near to each other as reasonably possible. It takes over an hour to drive from most of Chester County to Reading, which does not make the most compact area.

My third point is the preservation of political subdivisions. Ideally, counties, cities, towns, and boroughs should be kept together in congressional districts. While it is possible to keep cities, towns, and boroughs together in a district due to the need for each district to be the same population size, it's not possible to keep all counties together. We should expect that some counties will have to be split into more than one district.

The fourth point is communities of interest -common political, social, or economic interests. Reading
is more industrial than Chester County. Reading is a large

city with over 90,000 residents. Chester County's county seat is West Chester, which is our largest population area with only 18,461 residents. It seems odd that in a district that contains all of Chester County with a small part of Berks, the city of Reading is the largest and most dominant municipality from a population perspective.

Chester County is a collar county of Philadelphia and Berks is not.

Based on these four points, it seems that combining Chester County with part of Berks County, especially including the city of Reading, does not have much in the way of contiguity, compactness, or shared communities of interest.

According to the 2020 census, Pennsylvania has a lower population than the previous census and, as a result, will lose one congressional district, making each of the other districts slightly larger. Outside of Philadelphia, Montgomery County is the only county large enough in this area to be its own district. It is obvious that some counties will have to be divided and combined with other counties. With that being established, there is a need to find sections of counties that have contiguity, compactness, and communities of interest.

Lancaster and Chester Counties have the largest area of contiguity. Adding a section of Lancaster County

to Chester County or a section of Chester County to
Lancaster County would have compactness. Residents of both
counties cross the county border daily for many reasons,
including jobs, commerce, and going to church. Both
counties have large agricultural communities, including
the plain people communities. Lancaster General Health
Services has locations in both counties and recently
became affiliated with Chester County Hospital and the
Penn Medicine System. Lancaster and Chester Counties share
many communities of interest, much more so than Chester and
Berks.

To further underline the lack of common communities of interest, it is worth noting that the Reading-based Tower Health purchased several hospitals in Chester County a few years ago. The business model was supposed to funnel patients with serious medical needs from the outlying hospitals to the flagship Reading Hospital.

Two weeks ago, Tower Health announced they were closing or selling these Chester County hospitals. Part of the reason is that the hospitals are located in Chester County communities that have no historical relationship with the city of Reading. Patients with serious medical issues do not want to go to Reading, they want to go to Chester County Hospital in West Chester.

Reapportioning congressional districts using the

```
1
       aforementioned guidelines is sensible and promotes unity
       among the residents of all political persuasions.
 2
 3
       important to reapportion in a way that can be accepted by
 4
       both political parties and signed by the Governor without
 5
       being dictated by the PA Supreme Court. I would like my
 6
       children and grandchildren to live in a PA that is peaceful
 7
       and free.
                 Thank you so much for your time.
 8
 9
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Susan, for
10
       your time and willingness to testify. We greatly
11
       appreciate it.
                 And moving on to our next testifier,
12
13
       Susan Gobreski, the League of Women Voters of Pennsylvania,
14
       Philadelphia, PA.
15
                 Susan, come on down. I think the green light is
       on, so when you are comfortable and ready, the floor is
16
17
       yours.
18
                MS. GOBRESKI: Great.
19
                 Good job on the name.
20
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Once in a while, I get
       it right -- once in a while.
21
                MS. GOBRESKI: Actually, it's Polish, but it's
22
23
       phonetic, so it's a lot easier. Yeah.
24
                 Thank you, everyone, for having me, and I
```

appreciate all the work that you are all doing to listen.

25

I hope that we'll see aggregated comments and the trends of comments as you follow up. And also at the end, I have cards.

So I appreciate everyone for having me today. I now serve on the board of the statewide League of Women Voters. You are all familiar with our history on this issue and our interest in this issue, so I'm here to help represent that and to talk about some of our principles.

As you know, the League of Women Voters is informed by foundational principles. We start with the understanding that voting is a fundamental right that must be guaranteed. For over a century, the League of Women Voters has fought to protect the rights of eligible voters and expand access for those who have been left out.

To that end, one of the issues we have worked on is to support laws that ensure that elections are accessible, transparent, fair, secure, and promote universal voter participation and provide voters with meaningful choices when they go to the polls.

So a key imperative of that, of all of that, has to be that the voters must pick the Legislators rather than the Legislators picking the voters. At the end of the day, that is what it's about. This is a foundation of both republican and democratic governance. And I put in my testimony a little uncapitalized "r" and uncapitalized "d."

That is part of how we built our nation.

So we have been a leader in the fight for a redistricting process that follows principles, including advocating for legislation that would provide legal principles for redistricting to guide you. We remain concerned that no such principles and guidance exists.

And as a matter of principle, the League of Women Voters does not believe that the political process is a war and that "to the victor go the spoils." We think that is part of what happens when you get into gerrymandering.

Gerrymandering is quite simply so cynical that it is toxic to a free and fair society and a form of corruption. It is not constitutional.

We are one Commonwealth, not two teams. We work together. We play Little League together. We shop. We drive on our roads and bump over them, change our flat tires together. We all pay the same prices for groceries and gas. We breathe the same air, and we drink the same water. So every person in Pennsylvania should believe that every member of our congressional delegation is just as likely to fight for us and for a responsive Federal Government.

Right now, you are the people with the most power to make sure that Pennsylvania is a stronger State regardless of which party has control of Congress. I'm

sure that sounds naïve to some people and an anathema to others, but I continue to believe in those ideals.

The court struck down the previous map because it prioritized partisan factors over neutral factors. The 2011 map was an egregious mockery of the process. It was also an egregious case of "sore winning" where political advantage was abused. The losers, though, were Pennsylvanians -- our votes, our right to fair representation, our hard-earned dollars, and our trust. We do not want that to happen again, and we will be passionate champions for a fair process and a fair outcome.

So a lot of this has been said. I thought, you know, spend time as everyone did agonizing over our testimony, and we all came to say the same thing, which I think, hopefully, means a lot to all of you that you are hearing the same kinds of things over and over. So:

- Transparent and objective principles should be expressed and evident.
- Maintain reasonable compactness; minimize the division of existing boundaries, like townships and municipalities.
- Start with a blank canvas: the interests of voters require that you ignore incumbents. We know that is really difficult, but it's the

1 job. 2 • Don't draw maps to lock in advantage for one 3 party or person, and avoid partisan bias. 4 5 So here's what we'll be looking for when we sit 6 down to talk with each other and any representation we 7 need: 8 9 That it follows the imperatives that are 10 stated and suggested by our Constitution; 11 That the geography of maps makes sense with 12 minimal division of existing governance 13 structures -- things like townships and 14 municipalities; 15 • Districts that reflect the proportion of registered voters -- we will look for evidence 16 17 of cracking and packing; 18 That communities of people of color are not 19 divided and diluted -- so neither cracked nor 20 packed; 21 That there is no discriminatory effect, 22 intended or not, on voters for their 23 affiliation or preferences; 24 That there is no punitive effect on voters for 25 their political preferences or affiliations;

1	 That there is a clear reliance on citizen and
2	expert input; and
3	 That we maximize the number of competitive
4	districts.
5	
6	I thank you for the opportunity to testify. What
7	stakeholders seek is fairness. We seek ideals to take
8	shape in the form of modern policy, to shift away from
9	partisanship that has taken ahold of genuine discussion and
10	debate, and to have a chance to decide on our
11	representation.
12	And I would also be remiss if I didn't mention
13	that I also come here today as a mother and as a person who
14	is raising children who will hopefully take over and do
15	better than we all did at running the nation. And I think
16	that my kids and your kids hopefully will be able to work
17	together for a common purpose. So thank you.
18	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Susan. We
19	greatly appreciate your testimony and willingness to come
20	in and testify. Thank you so much.
21	MS. GOBRESKI: Is it okay if I just leave these
22	up here?
23	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yeah; sure. Yep. Yep.
24	MS. GOBRESKI: Thank you.
25	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Next is Joseph Chapmond, Nottingham,
Pennsylvania.

Joseph, thank you so much for coming in and testifying. And the green light is on, so when you are comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin.

MR. CHAPMOND: Good afternoon, Representative

Grove and the Committee Members. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the congressional redistricting process.

My name is Joseph Chapmond, and I am a resident of Chester County, and my family has lived in the Oxford-Nottingham area since 2003.

We know that Pennsylvania is losing one congressional district next year. Seventeen new districts must be created, each with a larger population of about 147,000 residents per district. This is an opportunity to create more appropriate congressional districts in our southeast PA region.

I am speaking today specifically about the current $6^{\rm th}$ Congressional District and how that region of Pennsylvania could be redrawn.

Other speakers have talked about priorities of "compactness" and "contiguity," and those priorities are highly recommended by good government organizations. Such districts are closer to the people and may reduce the

appearance of partisan gerrymandering.

Another recommended priority, though, is "communities of interest." I would like to discuss this approach using my community as an example. We are identifying communities with a shared history or shared economic interests, land use, demographics, and so forth as a priority for redistricting.

The southwest corner of Chester County, where I live, borders Maryland to the south and Lancaster County to the west. The Oxford-Nottingham area is a rural community of about 7,000 people, with active farms up to 100 acres or more. Amish and Mennonite families are all around this area. There are many retirees and retirement communities around and a fair amount of manufactured housing. It is a quiet, friendly, laid-back area.

Our family incomes trend below the Chester County averages as you move further south and west. We have only a few large employers in the area, such as Herr Foods and Lincoln University. Ten miles east is Jennersville with a grocery store and some medical offices. Unfortunately, Tower Health has announced it is closing the Jennersville Hospital soon, leaving our community with a huge gap. This was already discussed by Mrs. Hancock. And then a bit further east towards Kennett Square is the large mushroom industry.

So this community of interest is important for southern Chester County, but our area of interest also includes southern Lancaster County, Solanco as we call it, just to the west and north. This includes areas around Octoraro Creek and the small towns of Quarryville, Kirkwood, Strasburg, Gap, et cetera. These southern areas of Lancaster County are close to that long border with Chester County, so even though we live in Chester County, Lancaster County is part of our life. We buy plants at Groff's and get house repairs from Amish carpenters. We go to the DMV in Lancaster, which is closer.

I can contrast this rural community of interest around Oxford and Solanco with Chester County overall.

Since 2010, the Chester County population has increased by 7.1 percent, about 35,000 more people. More population growth is expected, especially in the northeast part of Chester County, and that is roughly the areas on or north of Highway 30 and east of the Brandywine River.

In recent years, these areas in the northeast of Chester County have built up a dense suburban footprint.

This area is now a large, affluent community with a big retail base, corporations, and extensive medical facilities. In contrast, my community in the southwest, southwest Chester County, has grown more slowly and remains mostly rural and traditional with small boroughs.

So for a "communities of interest" approach, I have to say the populous suburban northeast part of Chester County has very little in common with my community in southern Chester County, okay? The land use, income, property taxes, and yes, the values, are very different.

Therefore, I request you to consider the following approach with Chester County and the current congressional 6th District:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Replace it with a new district that combines 1) the townships on both sides of the border between Chester County and Lancaster County. This district would also include communities in rural southern Chester County, including the districts of Oxford, Avon Grove, Kennett Consolidated, Octoraro, and Unionville. These are communities of interest with much more in common with southern Lancaster County than the rest of Chester County. These our rural, historical, agricultural areas, including the Pennsylvania Dutch and the mushroom industry. Also, this combination with Lancaster County will help to provide the higher population needed for the new congressional districts. Neither Chester County

1 nor Lancaster County is large enough to be its 2 own district. 3 In the more populous and suburban northeastern part of Chester County, a separate 5 congressional district should be created. district should combine with another similar 6 7 suburban county, such as adjacent Delaware County or parts of Montgomery County. Such combinations 8 9 will allow this new district to get the required 10 population target. 11 Finally, the portion of the current 12 6th District in Berks County should be removed. 13 Berks County and its city of Reading have even 14 less in common to Chester County, and Berks is 15 not a collar county around Philadelphia. 16 17 If communities of interest and shared values in 18 our State are important, then this gives you a reasonable 19 way to redistrict the current 6th Congressional District. 20 Thank you for your time and consideration. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Joseph. We 22 really appreciate your time and willingness to testify 23 today. Thank you so much. 24 Our next testifier is Melissa Egbertson, Ambler, 25 Pennsylvania.

Melissa, the green light is on, so when you are comfortable and ready, the floor is yours.

MS. EGBERTSON: Okay.

Good afternoon. Can you hear me okay? Okay.

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Committee

Members. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak

7 today.

My name is Melissa Egbertson, and I'm here today to give testimony about a community of interest, which is the townships and boroughs surrounding the former Willow Grove Naval Air Base. So my testimony will have four parts: first, my background and relationship with my proposed community of interest; water purity issues that affect my COI; redevelopment issues that affect my COI; and my suggestions for drawing districting lines to best represent my COI.

So first my background.

I am a resident of Horsham Township in Montgomery County. Currently, I am in U.S. Congressional District 1, Brian Fitzpatrick's district, and I'm represented in the PA House by Representative Todd Stephens, House District 151, and in the PA Senate by Senator Maria Collett, Senate District 12.

I live and work in the following places: I have lived and voted in Horsham Township for 32 years. My

children attended the Hatboro-Horsham public schools. I have an Ambler mailing address and worked at Merck & Co. in North Wales for 25 years as a medicinal chemist. I currently do pharmaceutical consulting for academic and start-up clients, operating from my home. I do most of my shopping in Montgomeryville, Spring House, Ambler, Willow Grove, and Warrington. I attend a religious congregation in Warrington that is located in State House Representative Todd Polinchock's district, No. 144.

I spend a lot of time in both Montgomery and Bucks Counties, and I spend a lot of time driving around the perimeter of the former Willow Grove Naval Joint Reserve Air Base. I am here to describe what I believe to be a community of interest, which consists of the townships and boroughs in Bucks and Montgomery Counties that surround or are impacted by the former Willow Grove Naval Joint Reserve Air Base and also the nearby Naval Air Development Center, which closed in 2011. These communities share common water purity and land development issues.

First, let's talk about water purity.

In 2016, Horsham Township's water supply was shut down after thousand part per trillion concentrations of PFOS, a chemical used in firefighting foams, was found in Horsham Township Water and Sewer Authority wells. High levels were also found in local residents' private wells.

A health advisory level for PFOS in drinking water has been set by the EPA at 70 parts per trillion. PFOS accumulates in tissue over time, so having very low concentrations in drinking water is very important.

Just last week on October 13th, the PA Departments of Environmental Protection, Agriculture, and Health issued a "Do Not Eat" advisory for all fish in and around Neshaminy Creek because of PFOS that continues to emerge from the contaminated soil and aquifer. Similarly, Warwick, Warminster, and Warrington Townships also draw from the local aquifer and found very high levels of PFOS. Ambler Borough wells are also affected, and in the future, three wells will be piped into a treatment system that will serve residents of Upper Dublin Township. In summary, the contaminated aquifer affects communities across the Bucks and Montgomery line.

Fortunately, action was taken by our local officials and Representatives, and we were able to tap into the North Wells Water Authority for drinking water while filtration devices were added to treat Horsham wells.

In 2019, in a bipartisan effort, PA Act 101 was passed that creates a mechanism to fund remediation in our local communities. In its first year, MIRIA, the Military Installation Remediation and Infrastructure Authority, channeled \$12.4 million in State taxes generated on parcels

of land to the Horsham, North Wales, and Warminster Water
Authorities for water remediation and another \$2.8 million
to local water-related infrastructure projects. Private
wells are being added to newly created water mains so that
everyone can have treated water. My neighbors on
McKean Road are having theirs done this week. I want to
emphasize that this is a cross-county effort. The board of
MIRIA includes both Bucks and Montgomery County
Representatives.

This is great work to manage the problem, but the problem is not solved. It will be with this community for a long time. The estimated levels of contaminants in the aquifer are high and will not go away soon. We will continue to need to draw on water supplies from the North Wales Water Authority. The contamination may spread further to other communities, and the contamination is the biggest bottleneck to the redevelopment of the old naval base property.

So now let's talk about redevelopment.

Ongoing support for funding will be needed to fix the contamination issue so that redevelopment of the old air base property can go forward. Some of you on the House Republican Policy Committee may have participated in a tour of the air base hosted by MIRIA and Horsham officials in September, so you will be familiar with the issue. We will

need dedicated representation to get the Federal military entities to acknowledge their part in this mess and to help fix it. Development could benefit the surrounding communities with new opportunities for businesses and greatly needed affordable housing; or, if the land remains a brownfield for the foreseeable future, that will also affect all the surrounding townships.

I am presenting to you this community of interest because when redistricting takes place, I think it would be beneficial to my community to be grouped together in some type of legislative district. I am not an expert at mapping, although I have made some attempts, and appreciate that this is not an easy job. That being said, I would like to suggest some possible ways to redraw the district lines around my community of interest.

First, to be clear, this is what I do not want.

I do not want to see my community split into three

U.S. congressional districts as was the case after the 2011 redistricting. Then, my home was gerrymandered into what was then called U.S. Congressional District 7 and combined with people as far away as the Delaware border and Lancaster County. The rest of my community of interest was divided between 2011 Districts 8 and 13. To put it mildly, this was not helpful to my community.

The current situation is the following:

Right now, many of the townships and boroughs I have described are grouped together in the PA Senate District 12, which crosses the Bucks-Montgomery county line. That is a good thing. So as this group is focusing on U.S. congressional redistricting, let's talk about that and if something similar could be accomplished.

The communities I have described are currently split up between two U.S. congressional districts,

District 4 and District 1, with the air base property in

Montco District 4 and the rest of Horsham, Warrington,

Warminster, and Warwick in Bucks District 1. Although

better than the 2011 lines, it is my opinion that splitting the communities in this way is not a good thing.

In the redistricting that will take place soon, Bucks County will need to be combined with part of some other county to meet population balance between U.S. congressional districts. As the lines are drawn now, something similar is happening with Souderton, Hatfield, parts of Lansdale, Montgomeryville, and the northern part of Horsham Township scooped out of Montgomery County and added to Bucks District 1.

This is what I would like to see:

I think it might make sense to slide the districting lines of the Montgomery County-Bucks County border southward so that all of Horsham Township,

including the air base, and Hatboro Borough is included in District 1. I am including Hatboro because then the Hatboro-Horsham School District would not be split.

Perhaps then the more northerly townships of
Montgomery and Hatfield currently in District 1 could be
drawn into District 4; or perhaps Warminster, Warwick, and
Warrington could be added to Montgomery County District 4
and parts of rural Montgomery County added to similarly
rural neighboring townships with common interests in
Upper Bucks as part of District 1.

I am not sure how all the population numbers would work exactly or where exactly to draw the lines, but keeping the communities surrounding the air base on both sides of the county line together as much as possible would, in my opinion, be a good thing.

The people in my community of interest share common concerns about their water supply and its effect on their health and would like to see the derelict property that is now a brownfield turned into a community Main Street -- office parks, housing, and retail space graced with beautiful green spaces. The path to the school would be helped or hindered by the redistricting that takes place this year.

I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today. I hope that my testimony will be used in a way that

is helpful not only to my community but also the rest of Pennsylvania.

Thank you for your consideration.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for your time and your willingness to testify today. Thank you so much.

Our next testifier is Mike Walsh, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

Mike, thank you so much for your time and willingness to testify today. And the green light is on, so when you are comfortable and ready, please feel free to begin.

MR. WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

My name is Mike Walsh, and I actually don't live in Conshohocken. I live in Gulph Mills, which is right next to Conshohocken. Conshohocken is just the mailing address, and Gulph Mills is a group of neighborhoods a few miles south of King of Prussia, and it's in Upper Merion Township, which is in the southwest portion of Montgomery County. And thanks for giving us all the opportunity to comment today.

I have participated in the Draw the Lines redistricting mapping competition, which used publicly available mapping software and the 2010 census data, and that competition took place between 2017 and 2021. It was

a yearly competition. And the contest was sponsored by the

Committee of Seventy. You may have heard of the Committee

of Seventy. It's a nonpartisan, good-government group

based in Philadelphia. The CEO of the Committee of Seventy

is David Thornburgh, son of Dick Thornburgh, former

Pennsylvania Governor and former U.S. Attorney General.

David Thornburgh you'll meet tomorrow. He will testify at your Philadelphia meeting.

In the five Draw the Lines competitions since 2017, 7200 Pennsylvanians from 40 of the Commonwealth's 67 counties participated in the competitions, and 1500 congressional maps were submitted during the competitions.

In 2020 -- well, and I should say, these competitions had different age groups -- high school, college, and an adult category.

In 2020, I participated. I was in the adult category, and I won first place for my congressional map. At about that time, the Draw the Lines team created the Citizen Map Corps, which is made up of Draw the Lines competition winners from all over the Commonwealth, and I am on that group, the Citizen Map Corps. The purpose of this group is to lobby Legislators like yourself for an open process and fair maps and against gerrymandering, so that's why I'm here.

Another reason I'm here is I was in the infamous

7th Congressional District nicknamed "Goofy kicking Donald Duck," and that was a humdinger. I think we can all agree.

When the 2020 census data came out in August, the Draw the Lines team and the Citizen Map Corps created what we are calling the Pennsylvania Citizens' Map. It is a composite of the 17-district congressional map that represents what thousands of Pennsylvanians have collectively mapped in the Draw the Lines competitions, a map that meets or exceeds the statistical benchmarks set by the 1500 congressional maps submitted during the competitions, and it reflects the values that mappers declared as important to them. The Citizens' Map in effect represents everyday Pennsylvanians.

This is the first such redistricting map that has ever been created by such a large group of citizens, at least as far as I know. The Citizens' Map also comes with a narrative explaining all major decisions that went into the map. It's titled "Story" of the Citizens' Map. And the Citizens' Map can be a very good starting point or an ending point for the General Assembly's work this fall.

The Citizens' Map is available for viewing at the Draw the Lines website, and I have the link on my submission. And we recommend that the General Assembly also produce a preliminary map and a narrative explaining

the decisions and priorities represented by the map and publish it for public feedback before voting for the final map.

And the PA Citizens' Map -- here's one more thing -- will be distributed in Harrisburg to all Legislators on October 26th. I don't want to spoil the surprise regarding the form of the map, but suffice it to say that all of you will receive a fun gift and a memento from the process, okay?

And to switch gears just for a second, I would like to discuss a different map that I created just Sunday night with the 2020 census data. I developed this map with no concern for party affiliation or potential election outcomes and no concern for the addresses of incumbents, and I believe that's how redistricting should be done. In my opinion, there should be no manipulation of the map to effect any election outcome.

I made this map as an experiment just to see how long it would take to create a non-gerrymandered congressional map of Pennsylvania. It took me 4 ½ hours. It would have been -- I would have done it a little more quickly if I had been more familiar with the mapping software, but that was the first time I was using davesredistricting.org website. The map has compact districts, and I have a picture of it here. I don't have a

color printer, but it is in color in the file that I submitted to you guys, okay?

My map has compact districts and population deviation down to less than half a percent. The map doesn't split any towns or cities except Philadelphia, which was unavoidable, because as you know, it's larger -- you know, it's too large for one district. It's too large for two districts, as a matter of fact. The map does not split any precincts.

After creating the map, I looked at the statistics regarding it, and I realized that it has eight majority Democratic Party districts and eight majority GOP districts with neither party in the majority. This was not by design. Equality for the parties came out without even trying.

This map was made simply to demonstrate that a fair map can be drawn quickly and easily. It's not that time-consuming, especially if you do not allow partisan interests to interfere with the mapping process.

So please, what I ask is simply put your nonpartisan caps on during the few hours that you do some redistricting, and I know that that's difficult, but I think you can do it. And my map is available if you would like to use it as a starting point for your map, or as we said before, as an ending point.

1	So thank you very much for your time. It was
2	great to be here.
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Mike.
4	Congratulations also.
5	MR. WALSH: Oh, thank you.
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: We appreciate your
7	time.
8	MR. WALSH: That was a year ago, but there have
9	been new winners since then.
10	See you later. Thanks.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: See you.
12	And our final testifier is Mr. Robert Williams
13	from Wayne, Pennsylvania. And your green light is on, so
14	when you're comfortable and ready, the floor is yours.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
16	Well, thank you all for coming to our part of
17	Pennsylvania. I appreciate it, Chairman, for you and all
18	of you to come down and meet with us.
19	My name is Rob Williams. I am also a participant
20	in the Draw the Lines, but I think I just met the person
21	who beat me, because I got honorable mention. But what I'm
22	more proud of in the Draw the Lines is I mentor two college
23	students, and I'll tell you about them a little later in my
24	testimony.

I live in Tredyffrin Township, which is in the

far northeast corner of Chester County, and I live right at that intersection where Montgomery, Delaware, and Chester County all come together. I live within a mile of that infamous place where Goofy is kicking Donald, so I pass that frequently in my daily trips.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For those of you who aren't here in southeastern Pennsylvania and those of you, I brought a sample of the acorns that are dropping, you know, from the sky. I picked up this sample from my yard. It's only about, you know, 1 square foot worth of acorns, but I bring it as an example of other things dropping from the sky, and I think Mike had mentioned this: It's maps. It's citizen maps that are going to be dropping on you. You know, as you heard from Draw the Lines, there were 1700 maps from 7,000 people. You can expect more, I think, and the question is, what do you do with those maps? How are you going to handle all of those? And my answer to that would be officially, put them aside at the beginning. Just move them aside, because there are other ways to draw the maps than trying to sift through thousands of citizen maps. There's an important place for them, but not yet.

As you heard from Ms. Hancock and Robert Paul, you know, you start with our Pennsylvania Constitution.

You start with the four principles. You know, the easy ones are compactness and contiguity -- contiguity; I have

trouble -- and then it's the equal population. So I think the U.S. Census has said there are 764,000-plus per district. You have to do plus or minus a person. So that's where you start, but after that, there is no more instructions. There is nothing to go on. Then it becomes, you know, your process inside the State House to figure it out.

But I have a way that I think would help, and the way that I would like to offer is starting with the example of southeast Pennsylvania here, Delaware County in particular. Philadelphia, as you know, has two congressional districts plus a smidgen more. That smidgen more would be added, about 74,000 added to Delaware County. That still leaves it about 100,000 people short. So the only place that Delaware County could get the rest from, we have already heard testimony about leaving Montgomery whole because of the size, so the only other place is Chester County, and that's what should happen.

So I'm offering you an idea of how to make maps with what I call the unfolding yardstick. And for those of you who remember these, and not many of us have these anymore, but the idea would be if this was the border of Delaware County, you simply add the first Chester County Township. You're not going to make it. Then you're going to need to add the second Chester County Township. And

- then you're going to need to add the third Chester County
- 2 Township. But notice they're all along the border.
- 3 They're all adjacent along the Delaware County line. This
- 4 is a great way to keep compactness, keep contiguity, and
- 5 you also are meeting that fourth obligation of not
- 6 splitting townships.
- 7 You keep this going until you're just shy of that
- 8 764,000, and then you pick up your other yardstick. And
- 9 for those of you who know, this is actually a historic
- 10 Craftsman yardstick, so this was hard to get.
- So this is the precincts, though, and this is
- what you do: You add one precinct at a time, and did you
- get to the second? Then you add a second precinct, and you
- keep doing that along the border until you get to that
- 15 target population. And you can do this throughout the
- 16 | State, and this is how I did my map. Granted, it wasn't a
- winner, but this is the way I did my map for the Draw the
- 18 | Lines. One thing that I think is important about this is
- 19 you keep that contiguity, compactness, and you are not
- 20 breaking political boundaries.
- 21 The thing that's a little disturbing about this
- 22 is you also have an opportunity, though, you could make a
- district like this, or you could make a district like this,
- or you could make a district even worse, which is what
- 25 we've seen. We have seen these long, spiny districts.

And I just looked at two other States which have already submitted their congressional maps, so the first being -- well, first off, if you remember, and this may remind you, Ohio was famous for a district called the "Snake by the Lake." It was a district that wove from Cleveland to Toledo, and it was about, you know, 5 miles wide, but it went hundreds of miles across Lake Erie.

The State of Illinois has published its maps, and it actually has four of these snakes, almost a snake den, you would think. So that is a Democrat, as largely acknowledged, a democratically gerrymandered State.

Texas has now published their map. Texas has three of these. So I don't know what the name will be, but, you know, somebody else will come up with a clever name. But that has also States that obviously are not representing compactness. I guess you have contiguity because they are all attached, but, you know, this is not the kind of districts we are hoping for.

So why this compactness? And I mentioned at the beginning that I mentored two college students in Draw the Lines. I worked with them separately. I taught them this unfolding yardstick method, and they both split first prize in the Draw the Lines competition -- and the prize money. I didn't see any of that, but that was going to college tuition.

1	So my point to you would be, think about this as
2	an alternative to other ways. There is a place, I will
3	say, at the end, once you create these, to bring these maps
4	back. It is very important to look at the community maps,
5	the community of interest, but only as that fine refining
6	detail. Move a line, move a township, move a district if
7	it, you know, makes, you know, a better map. But, you
8	know, bring this in only late. Think about making layers
9	in order to make your maps.
10	With that, thank you, and I think the bar is
11	open.
12	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: I didn't want to say
13	this, but it has been open the entire time.
14	But, yeah. Thank you so much for your testimony.
15	We greatly appreciate your presentation.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
17	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: With that, that was our
18	final testifier.
19	I'll throw it over for final comments, Chairman.
20	MINORITY CHAIRMAN CONKLIN: I want to thank
21	Chair Grove. I want to thank all the testifiers.
22	I want to thank the professor. We may need those
23	rulers as we go deep into session here soon. But most of
24	all, I want to thank the Committee and everyone for taking

the time to come out, because your voices are so important

1 in this process. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: I echo that and thank 3 the testifiers for all your input on the impact the redistricting process has on our communities. I also want 4 5 to thank the Members in attendance today, as well as our 6 hosts, the Spinnerstown Hotel and Representative 7 Craig Staats. 8 I hope it is evident that the House of 9 Representatives is committed to undertaking the most open, 10 transparent, and accountable redistricting process in 11 Pennsylvania history. We believe that transparency and 12 accountability form the bedrock of good governance, and 13 public input through these hearings plays an essential role 14 in that. 15 With that, we are adjourned.

16

17

(At 3:22 p.m., the public hearing adjourned.)

		12
1	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings	
2	are a true and accurate transcription produced from	
3	audio/video on the said proceedings and that this is a	
4	correct transcript of the same.	
5		
6		
7	Debra B. Meller	
8	Debra B. Miller	
9	Transcriptionist	
10	dbmreporting@msn.com	