COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

IRVIS OFFICE BUILDING ROOM G-50

THURSDAY, MARCH 18, 2021 11:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON
ELECTION OVERSIGHT HEARINGS:
NO EXCUSE MAIL-IN AND ABSENTEE BALLOTS

BEFORE:

HONORABLE SETH GROVE, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MARGO DAVIDSON, MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN

HONORABLE RUSS DIAMOND

HONORABLE MATT DOWLING

HONORABLE DAWN KEEFER

HONORABLE ANDREW LEWIS (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE RYAN MACKENZIE (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE BRETT MILLER

HONORABLE ERIC NELSON

HONORABLE JASON ORTITAY (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE FRANK RYAN (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE PAUL SCHEMEL (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE LOUIS SCHMITT (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE CRAIG STAATS

HONORABLE JEFF WHEELAND (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE ISABELLA FITZGERALD (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE KRISTINE HOWARD (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE BENJAMIN SANCHEZ (VIRTUAL)

HONORABLE JOE WEBSTER (VIRTUAL)

HOUSE COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:

SHERRY EBERLY

MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT MICHAELE TOTINO (VIRTUAL)

MAJORITY ED FOR STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

MICHAEL HECKMANN

MAJORITY RESEARCH ANALYST

MATTHEW RINDFUSS

MAJORITY RESEARCH ANALYST

NICHOLAS HIMEBAUGH

DEMOCRACTIC ED FOR STATE GOVERNTMENT COMMITTEE

* * * * *

Pennsylvania House Of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

INDEX

TESTIFIERS

* * *

NAME	PAGE
JONATHAN MARKS DEPUTY SECRETARY,	
BUREAU OF ELECTIONS AND COMMISSIONS	13
DR. CHARLES STEWART III PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL SCIENCE,	60
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (MIT)	60
PAM ANDERSON PRINCIPAL FOR CONSILIUM COLORADO, LLC	89
DR. THAD HALL DIRECTOR, VOTER REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS FOR MERCER COUNTY	131

SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY

* * *

(See submitted written testimony and handouts online.)

PROCEEDINGS

2 * * *

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Good morning, everyone. It's still technically morning. I guess we can get away with it, 11:00. Welcome to Public Hearing of the Pennsylvania House State Government Committee on No Excuse Mail-In and Absentee Ballots. Our Committee's extensive election oversight hearing schedule allows the Committee to complete a deep dive into Pennsylvania election law from 1937, how elections are administered in this Commonwealth in order to inform the public and this Committee, so when we facilitate election changes which ensure our voting process is designed so the voters select the winners and not the process.

While the 2020 general election has been debated and litigated ad nauseam, the General Assembly still has an important constitutional job to execute legislative oversight of laws we pass and agencies which administer these laws. Act 77 of 2019 and Act 12 of 2020 brought significant changes to our election laws last session. It is our job to ascertain how these new laws as well as the entire 1937 Election Law are administered.

We all know election changes are a requirement.
We have heard from numerous stakeholders, including
repeatedly by county commissioners and election directors,

the need for election law changes. Article VII, Section 14 provides the constitutional provisions for absentee ballots, which clearly articulate the specific uses of absentee ballots.

The legislature shall, by general law, provide a manner in which the time and place at which qualified electors, who may, on the occurrence of an election, be absent from the municipality of their residence because of their duties, occupation, or business require them to be elsewhere, or who on the occurrence of any election are unable to attend their proper polling place because of illness or physical disability, or who will not attend a polling place because of the observance of a religious holiday or cannot vote because of election day duties, in the case of a county employee, may vote for the return and canvass of their votes in the election district in which they respectively design.

Further, no excuse mail-in ballots were created under Article VII, Section 4 of the Pennsylvania

Constitution, which is Methods and Secrecy in Voting, and it states all elections by the citizens shall be by ballot or by such other method as may be prescribed by law provided that secrecy in voting be preserved. These two constitutional provisions provide for the constitutional construction of our mail-in election process, which

supports our statutory provisions under the Pennsylvania Election Code. Constitutional absentee ballots and no excuse mail-in ballots may seem similar, but our state constitution provides a clear distinction.

Lastly, our state constitution expressly mandates uniformity in our election laws under Article VII, Section 6, and I quote: All laws regulating the holding of elections by the citizens or for the registration of electors shall be uniform throughout the state.

We have four panels for this hearing. Panel 1 is the Department of State, panel 2 is Academic Research and Data, panel 3 is Election Expert, panel 4 is a County Election Official. The committee is also in receipt of written testimony from Richard T. Gebbie, CEO from Midwest Direct and the National Vote at Home Institute. I look forward to working with my colleagues, stakeholders, and citizens to improve upon our Commonwealth's election laws to ensure elections are easy to vote but hard to cheat.

Chairwoman Davidson, any opening comments?

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a few. I wasn't sure of the efficacy of these hearings. We certainly don't want to re-litigate the 2020 election that was litigated, quite frankly, ad nauseam during the process and immediately following the election, and elections were certified and voted overwhelmingly by --

in a bipartisan vote by Congress, both the House and the Senate, that the election was fair, uniform, accurate.

And so what has come out of these hearings has been some uniformity in terms of testifiers regarding the need to pre-canvass. County official after county official, most from red counties, as a matter of fact, also talked about the lack of time that they had to count the mail-in ballots, and how it would've been preferable had they been able to pre-canvass. And many states that do mail-in voting -- universally do mail-in voting, we're calling it in Pennsylvania no-excuse mail-in voting. But many states that do vote by mail do so without excuse and also pre-canvass so that on election night, their results were in when our results were not because we still had so much counting to do. Because of the pandemic, many, many people, many, many Pennsylvanians, hundreds of thousands of Pennsylvanians decided that it was safer to vote by mail.

And so in the pandemic, you know, there really was a reason that people were voting by mail is because it wasn't really safe to come to polling places, so I hope that as we look at that, there has been bipartisan support for pre-canvassing. And I know we did try to do that -- amend Act 77 close to the election to allow for that, and it did fail at that time.

But Pennsylvania is rated as one of the most

closed and restrictive election states in the nation, and Act 77 did broaden the ability for people to be able to vote, be able to vote safely, and be able to vote in a number of modalities, and everyone uniformly had access to those same voting options. And so hopefully, we will see as a result of, you know, an unprecedented voter turnout in the presidential election because people had those options. Hopefully, we don't restrict voters' access to the ballot box, but we continue to provide greater access for people to exercise their constitutional right as a United States citizen, as a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So one of the things that has come out of these hearings is that there is a need for pre-canvassing, and there was bipartisan support from county officials and election officials that that is something that needs to be done so that we can build greater confidence in the public by being able to accurately and swiftly count the election results and be able to report those results to the public in a timely fashion, which was not the case in this last election. It was accurately counted, but it took some time to do so because there was no pre-canvassing. So thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I hope we can get that done this term.

(Pause - Audio Difficulties)

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: All right. Let me redo

that. My apologies. I didn't have my speaker on. It was an amazing speech, just amazing.

We have members and testifiers in attendance virtually as well as the public viewing via livestream.

Due to Sunshine Law requirements, if any of these platforms experience technical difficulties, we will pause the meeting in order to correct the issues. For members participating virtually, please mute your microphone.

Please know when you speak we all hear you. If you want to be recognized for comments, please use the raise hand function. After being recognized, but prior to speaking, please turn on your camera and unmute your microphone.

After you've completed your question, please mute your microphone again.

We'll go to Committee introductions. We'll start with committee members in the room. For members attending virtually, I will call on you one by one. Just unmute your mic, turn on your camera, and introduce yourself and then we will move on. Chairwoman, go ahead.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Yes. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. I'm Representative Margo Davidson,
Democratic Chair of this Committee and Representative of
the 164th Legislative District in Delaware County.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Good morning. Craig Staats, the 145th District in Bucks County.

1	REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Brett Miller, 41st
2	District, Lancaster County.
3	REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: Dawn Keefer, 92nd
4	District, York County.
5	REPRESENTATIVE DOWLING: Matt Dowling 51st
6	District, Fayette and Somerset Counties.
7	REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Representative Russ
8	Diamond, Lebanon County, the 102nd District. Best district
9	in the entire state, by the way.
10	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Second best district in
11	the entire state. Seth Grove, 196th District, York County.
12	And we could probably go around the room on that one. Well
13	done. Well played, sir. First up on virtual, Andrew
14	Lewis. Representative Lewis.
15	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	Andrew Lewis, representing the 105th District of Dauphin
17	County, West Hanover, South Hanover, and Lower Paxton
18	Townships. Good to be here.
19	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Clinton
20	Owlett.
21	REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Representative Owlett. I
22	have the privilege of serving Tioga County, which has the
23	Pennsylvania Grand Canyon, and parts of Bradford and Potter
24	County.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative

1	Fitzgerald.
2	REPRESENTATIVE FITZGERALD: Good morning.
3	Representative Isabella Fitzgerald representing the 203rd
4	Legislative District of Philadelphia, West Oak Lane, East
5	Oak Lane, and the Lower Northeast.
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Ryan.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Representative Frank Ryan,
8	101st District of Lebanon County on the really great side
9	of the county itself. Serves as a buffer between
10	Harrisburg and the 102nd District.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Touché'.
12	Representative Howard.
13	REPRESENTATIVE HOWARD: Hi. It's Christine
14	Howard from the 167th District in Chester County.
15	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Ortitay.
16	REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Good morning everyone.
17	Representative Jason Ortitay, representing the 46th
18	District in Alleghany and Washington Counties.
19	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative
20	Wheeland.
21	REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Good morning, everyone.
22	Representative Jeff Wheeland, County of Lycoming, City of
23	Williamsport, home of Little League Baseball.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Schemel.
25	REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL. Representative Paul

1	Schemel representing portions of Franklin County. Would
2	like to remind Representative Lewis that to be recognized,
3	he needs to be wearing a tie, and I can lend him one if he
4	needs one. Thank you.
5	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Schmitt.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Good morning, everybody.
7	Paul, I'm sorry. I don't have anybody to help me put my
8	tie on. I only have one arm that works right now, so I'm
9	begging leave to not have to wear a tie. But good morning,
10	everybody. Lou Schmitt, 79th Legislative District, City of
11	Altoona, Logan Township, and portion of Alleghany Township.
12	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative
13	Mackenzie.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Good morning.
15	Representative Ryan Mackenzie from the 134th District in
16	portions of Lehigh and Burks Counties.
17	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative virtual
18	warrior Sanchez.
19	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	Ben Sanchez, relieved to be wearing a tie here, so I can
21	put my camera on and be recognized, and proudly
22	representing my hometown the 153rd District in Montgomery
23	County, PA.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: And the virtually

roving legislator, Representative Joe Webster.

```
1
                REPRESENTATIVE WEBSTER: In fact, I will not live
 2
      that down, Mr. Chairman.
 3
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: No. You will not.
 4
                REPRESENTATIVE WEBSTER: And I sincerely
 5
      apologize.
 6
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: It's all good.
 7
      enjoyed it.
 8
                REPRESENTATIVE WEBSTER: Joe Webster, House
 9
      District 150 in Western Montgomery County, and I'm very
10
      pleased to be here. Thank you.
11
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. The members
12
      in the public could probably tell we spend a lot of time
13
      together on this Committee, and we spend a lot of time with
14
      our first panelist, Deputy Secretary from the Department of
15
      State, Jonathan Marks, who we greatly appreciate his
16
      insight into the election process. So Deputy Secretary,
17
      good morning. How are you?
18
                MR. MARKS: Good morning. I'm doing very good.
19
      How are --
20
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Good.
21
                MR. MARKS: -- you doing, Chairman?
22
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Doing very well.
23
      Thanks again for, once again, joining us. If you just mind
24
      raising your right hand.
```

(Oath administered)

1 MR. MARKS: I do. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, sir. 3 opening remarks or comments? 4 Just a couple real quick. MR. MARKS: 5 quess I'm going to have to get into the competition. 6 live in the 86th District, formerly Representative Mark 7 Keller's district, now Perry Stambaugh's district. And I 8 would argue that we have the best legislative district in 9 the Commonwealth. I know that will be --10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Well, we're 26 11 better --12 MR. MARKS: -- an ongoing race. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: -- sir. Twenty-six 14 better. Can you do the math? 15 MR. MARKS: Oh, Lord. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. 17 MR. MARKS: But I'm very happy to be here with 18 you again. This is my sixth hearing, and as I understand 19 it, it may be my last at least in this series, and I want 20 to tell you, Chairman Grove as well as Chair Davidson, that 21 I've enjoyed the opportunity. I'm thankful for the 22 opportunity. Hopefully, the testimony I've provided in 23 previous hearings has been helpful to the committee 24 members, and I certainly look forward to you know, 25 continued discussions with committee members about

additional election reforms.

You know, the 2020 Election was historic in many ways and it was challenging in many ways, but it was overall a successful election. I know you're going to hear testimony this morning from people much smarter than me who can give you some really good data to bear that point out, but I think it -- I think I'd be remiss if I didn't take this opportunity in my last hearing in this series to say how proud I am of Pennsylvanians who turned out at a rate of 76 percent more than at any time in the last 100 years and how proud I am of county election officials across the Commonwealth and local election officials, who under very difficult circumstances in the midst of a global pandemic worked -- you know, to be blunt, worked their butts off to make sure that Pennsylvanians had the freedom to vote, had the freedom to cast their ballots.

And you know, I think the election was a success on a lot of levels, and that is really because of the work of county and local election officials. And I'm also proud of the staff here at the Department of State, who worked long hours to support those counties and county and local election officials in a variety of ways. So again, I've thoroughly enjoyed the opportunity to talk to the Committee. I look forward to your questions this morning about today's topic related to absentee and mail-in voting,

and I look forward to the future and how the department can work with the Committee to address additional election reform and build upon the success of last year.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Mr. Deputy Secretary, and we've appreciated the insight, and hopefully, throughout these hearings, we've educated the general public on all the details that they miss on the behind-the-scenes actions that occur to make elections possible. I know from committee discussion in talking with members thus far, it has been invaluable the amount of education we -- I joke with the committee members the other day. We could probably all be, at some point, county elections directors for all the detail work they've been doing diving into the election law, so we do appreciate that.

And I'll just start off by asking a simple question to get this off. Can you just provide us some background information on the no excuse mail-in ballots as well as the absentee voting in Pennsylvania, and then maybe provide some background detail and some data in a little bit? Maybe start off with the background.

MR. MARKS: Sure. So you know, as we've discussed previously, Act 77 bipartisan legislation enacted in the General Assembly in October of 2019, provided for no excuse mail-in balloting, which was really a sea change in

Pennsylvania. We've always had absentee balloting in Pennsylvania, at least over my rather lengthy career now, but having no excuse mail-in balloting certainly was a huge change. There are a number of other changes in Act 77 as well that I think were valuable as we went into 2020.

Certainly, I would expect that none of the folks who voted on Act 77 -- and certainly I didn't see a global pandemic coming. You know, call it providence or whatever you want to call it. I think it was fortuitous that the General Assembly enacted Act 77 just months before the global pandemic so that we had some time to prepare ourselves for the volume of mail-in ballots that we would see. In a typical presidential election, we would've had -- previously, prior to 2020, we would have expected around 300,000 absentee ballots. In the 2020 November election, we had -- between absentee ballots and mail-in ballots, we had roughly 2.7 -- just about 2.7 million absentee and mail-in ballots cast by voters in the Commonwealth; an exponential increase.

Certainly, that was driven by Act 77 to some extent, but a lot of it was driven by COVID-19 and the global pandemic and having that additional option for voters I believe was invaluable. You know, voters we've heard from and voters that you've heard from, I think, you know, by and large, were thankful for the opportunity to be

able to cast their ballot in a way that would protect their health.

You know, in addition, the Department and the counties worked very hard to make sure that the nearly 4.2 million voters who showed up to vote on Election Day at the polling places could do so safely. We helped counties procure and we actually procured for counties personal protective equipment. I know every county procured their own personal protective equipment to protect both poll workers and voters alike during the 2020 November election.

So you know again, I think the addition of mailin balloting was fortuitous, and I can't imagine what administering last year's election, the Primary and the November election, would've been like without that option. You know, I would imagine we would've been discussing trying to ramp up absentee balloting in a moment's notice. Having Act 77 in place, I think, gave us a little bit of runway so that we could prepare for it, and we were ready to support that influx of mail-in balloting that would be conducted in both the Primary and the November election.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Mr.

Secretary. And just note of members, Representative Nelson is here and Representative Miller have arrived as well.

Chairwoman, any questions of (indiscernible)?

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Excuse me. Just a

few. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If the Legislature were to enact new sweeping changes to election laws -- Act 77 was sort of a sea change in Pennsylvania in terms of how we do elections. If we were to do something similar in scope, how much time would you say election officials need to properly prepare for sweeping changes to election law?

MR. MARKS: I'll be careful here. I don't want to speak on behalf of the election directors across the Commonwealth. You know, certainly they would have their own opinion about it. I think one of the lessons we learned from Act 77 -- and if you look at other states, I know you're going to hear testimony from Pam Anderson of Colorado about Colorado's system of mail-in voting. Most jurisdictions when they've made those kinds of substantive changes have done that across a number of years. We did it with Act 77 -- and again, successfully, but we did it with just, you know, little bit less than six months, and really, you know, with the technical changes that needed to be made, it was just a few months.

So I think normally, depending on the specific election reforms, I would think you would at least need months to do it, you know. And keep in mind too that the election calendar starts many weeks before Election Day. Ballots are going out to military and overseas civilian voters two months before an election, and then around 50

days before an election, counties start to send out -- you know, and in the weeks immediately after that they start to send out domestic absentee ballots. So you really have to be prepared for that well in advance of two or three months before the election.

So I would say depending on the specific changes, you would at least need months. Perhaps a year or more, you know, depending on what -- you know, what enhancements needed to be made to election infrastructure to carry out whatever the election reform is.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: And last question, what kind of budgetary challenges did you have -- the Department of State is a small department, in terms of enacting sweeping changes to election law?

MR. MARKS: We were fortunate, you know, with the current system and the current support contract for the system. We have an ongoing process for making updates and changes, so there was already a process through which we could make changes -- systematic changes. You know, we have a regular schedule of builds to make enhancements, so we were a little ahead of the game in that respect. Of course, it obviously required us to set every other priority aside and focus those efforts on making the changes necessary to implement Act 77.

We did get some funding as well from the federal

government that we were able to use to make those changes in the form of CARES Act funding to make additional enhancements, you know, for example, to provide some level of ballot tracking so that voters could track the process of their absentee or mail-in ballot requests. So I don't want to downplay the fiscal impact it had on the Department and certainly the counties, but we were well positioned because we do have an ongoing process for making enhancements when they're necessary.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Mackenzie.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Deputy Secretary, can you describe for us from the Department's end, what safeguards are in place to ensure that mail-in ballots are only sent to eligible voters?

MR. MARKS: Sure. That's a great question. So the first thing I want to make clear because I know there's been a lot of conflation, you know, with what other states do. Pennsylvania is not a universal absentee or universal mail-in state. If you want a ballot, you have to request a ballot. We do have a permanent absentee and permanent mail-in list, which was another change made by Act 77, but you at least have to submit an application once a year to

receive a ballot, so we're not sending ballots out automatically to registered voters.

They have to make a request, and on that request they have to provide identification. And that identification has to be verified, so that's either a driver's license or other PennDOT ID number or the last four digits of their Social Security number. If that doesn't match or if they can't provide that, they'll still be issued a ballot, but their ballot cannot be counted until they provide some form of identification as outlined in the Pennsylvania Election Code within six days after the election. So that ballot will basically be set aside. If they don't provide that identification, it can't be counted.

In addition to that, once you do apply for an absentee or mail-in ballot, the county issues it -- they issue the ballot with a unique identification number that is tied directly to your voter registration record, and that ballot is sent to the address that you've provided your -- either your residence address or if you've provided an alternate address on your application, it will be sent to that address and only that address. And that unique identifier is both on the outgoing packet as well as the incoming packet so that when it comes back, counties can connect that through that unique ID to the request and to

the voter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: So if I can, just a quick follow-up on that. So we had heard at a previous hearing about this option if you don't have your driver's license number or the last four digits of your Social, you could check off a box and still receive a ballot saying that you didn't have that information. But you're saying that those ballots that don't have that information wouldn't be counted unless additional information was provided then?

MR. MARKS: That's correct. There is a list of -- first of all, I want to be clear. You're signing and affirmation that says you don't have either one of those. It's not an option. You can't decide I don't want to provide it. You have to affirm that you have neither a driver's license nor a Social Security number, and the ballot can be issued. But when it comes back to the county -- so it is marked systematically as ID not verified. When it comes back to the county, for that ballot to be counted, they have to provide an alternate form of identification. And I don't have the list off the top of my head, but it does include other forms of government photo ID as well as some forms of non-photo ID. But you have to provide that within six days after the election; otherwise, your ballot will be set aside.

Ιt

1 REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Okay. And the county 2 would do that additional check, correct? 3 MR. MARKS: That's correct. Yes. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Okay. Great. All 5 Well, thank you and thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 MR. MARKS: Thank you. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. 8 Representative Miller. 9 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 And good morning, again, Deputy Secretary. I want to 11 follow up on Representative Mackenzie's question concerning 12 mail-in ballots. What is the Department doing to ensure 13 that the mail-in ballots that have been sent and received 14 by the counties are in fact genuine and were in fact sent 15 by that specific voter? 16 MR. MARKS: So again, the application process 17 requires identification that has to go through a 18 verification process. The balloting materials 19 themselves -- so whatever type of ballot or voting system a 20 county uses, they're going to provide an official absentee 21 or official mail-in ballot inside the envelope packet, and 22 that, again, has a unique identifier tied directly to the 23 voter's registration record. But that official ballot has

the signatures of the County Board of Elections on it.

has other data and information on it that is hard to

24

reproduce, I guess, for lack of a better description. So it's an official ballot issued by the County Board of Elections. It bears indicia that is both required by the Election Code and also built into the voting system to ensure that those are bona fide ballots.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: And what steps are being taken to verify that a specific voter actually sent in their own ballot and no one else sent it in for them?

MR. MARKS: So again, it —— the ballot is going directly to the voter at their address. They have to complete a declaration on the incoming ballot, send it back to the County Board of Elections or deliver it in person to the County Board of Elections in order for that to be counted. And there are very specific statutory requirements. If somebody assists the voter, they have to go through a very specific process. The voter has to authorize them to provide that level of assistance, and only then can they deliver balloting materials or assist the voter in returning their ballot to the Board of Elections. If they do not follow that process, there are penalties in the Election Code —— you know, some rather harsh penalties for not following that process.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: I just want to specify that if it's not necessarily their home address, it's whatever address is put down on the application that the

mail-in ballot is sent to.

MR. MARKS: They have to provide both their residence address and an alternative address. So for example, if a voter is -- you know, spends half of their time -- or a significant portion of their time in the winter months in Florida, for example, or Arizona, they may be providing an address -- a mailing address that is different that their residence address. It happens most often with your military and overseas civilian voters. So you have military voters who may be assigned to a base, you know, anywhere in the United States or sometimes overseas, and they will be providing their military address for delivery of their balloting material, but they are still entitled to vote from their last residence address here in Pennsylvania before being deployed.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Okay. Going back to the previous question. You said that a person could register to vote and then if they -- then vote, and if they did not provide the documentation needed within six days after the election, that vote would not be counted. Your thoughts on why a person should be allowed to register to vote without that information being affirmed prior to the vote.

MR. MARKS: Well, the voter registration requirements are different. So to register to vote, you do have to provide ID, and it's the same identification. If

you have a driver's license you have to provide that. If you don't have a driver's license but do have a Social Security number you have to provide that Social Security number and that goes through the verification process as well. However, there's no explicit federal requirement or state requirement that requires that identification to be validated. So if a voter registers to vote and they say they don't have either one of those identification numbers, the county will try to get the information. They have to make reasonable efforts to get the information. They can't flat out, absolute reject the application, but they do mail a voter registration card to the voter at the address provided.

And that voter, the first time they vote whether it's by absentee ballot, mail-in ballot, or whether they vote in person will have to show ID because we do have a first-time voter identification requirement here in Pennsylvania, which is a little more narrow than the federal requirement. In Pennsylvania, a first-time voter is considered anyone who's voting for the first time in their precinct. So you may have lived in Pennsylvania all your life, but if you move, you know, from one precinct to another, you're going to have to provide ID the first time you vote in that precinct whether you're voting in person or by mail.

1 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Okay. Well, thank you, 2 and just the last question. I have requested some 3 information from the Department of State full voter export. 4 It's been three weeks, and I still have not heard anything. 5 As you know, there's a fee for that. I've paid the fee. 6 I've contacted the legislative liaison about that, and it's 7 been over three weeks since I've heard anything or received 8 the information that I've requested. Is there anything you 9 could do to expedite receipt of that information? 10 MR. MARKS: Yes. Absolutely. That file is 11 produced on a weekly basis, and it should be downloadable. 12 Once you make the request, the file is actually posted in 13 the location, and you should be able to download it within 14 a day or two. So I will absolutely look into that and make 15 sure that you get the file you requested. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Well, thank you. 17 This was actually a previous one, but I was told that I 18 paid the fee and I should be able to get it. Still it's 19 been over three weeks, so I would appreciate your help with 20 that. Thank you very much. 21 MR. MARKS: Absolutely. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Diamond. 23 REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 Thank you, Deputy Secretary, for joining us once again. I

do want to cover a couple things you already talked about

and just get some clarification. Mail-in ballots can be requested to be sent to addresses other than the voter's registered address. Is there any additional scrutiny given to those requests or any attempt to contact the voter to ensure that such a request is genuine?

MR. MARKS: Well, again, the process is transparent. And I want to be clear. When you apply for a ballot you have to provide both your residence address and whatever the mail-to address is that you want your balloting material to be mailed to, so the voter is providing that information on the application. I mentioned that our website provided for a tracking system, and we also provide a file, a statewide file, that -- and the counties are required to provide this file, too, that is essentially a list of everyone who has requested a ballot, you know, whether an absentee or a mail-in ballot, the date they requested it, the status of that request, so the process is very transparent.

If somebody wanted to determine if -- you know, if a ballot was requested in their name, they could go onto our website and just by putting in their name and I think date of birth, they would be able to determine whether a ballot has been requested. So I think the transparency of the process, which, again was a provision of Act 77, making sure all of that information regarding absentee and mail-in

requestors was completely transparent, I think adds an additional security component into the process.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay. Well, given that we do have an absentee voting process in Pennsylvania, why would we permit somebody applying for a mail-in ballot for a different address? Shouldn't they actually be applying for an absentee ballot, if they're not going to be at their address of residence to cast a legal vote in Pennsylvania?

MR. MARKS: You know, that was something that was debated, actually, and amendments were made in Act 12.

There was some concern that voters, if they made a request for a mail-in ballot but should've made a request for an absentee ballot that their ballot would be rejected purely on the basis that they used the wrong avenue, and the General Assembly determined that that was not the outcome that they wanted.

So there were actually specific changes made in Act 12 to the original requirements of Act 77 that basically said if a person requests a mail-in ballot versus an absentee ballot, or the other way around, that that would not be a reason to set aside their ballot or to deny their request for a ballot. You know, the process, whether you're requesting an absentee or mail-in ballot, the process is still the same. You still have to provide the same identification. You still have to meet the same

requirements whether you're voting by absentee or mail-in.

So -- you know, that -- you know, it's a valid point,

but -- and it is something, though, that the General

Assembly debated and made specific changes in Act 12 to

make sure that somebody wasn't denied their freedom to vote

based purely on which avenue they chose to vote.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay. Let me just clarify one thing because quite frankly, you know, the ability to send a mail-in ballot to a different address other than your residence, this issue was brought to my attention by a county commissioner, and this was just a couple weeks ago, who I called and had a conversation with, and he did this on behalf of some elderly relatives that he had who can't operate a computer. But it was his claim, if I recall the conversation correctly, that all he needed was their name and their birthdate in order to order a mail-in ballot and then have that mail-in ballot sent to a different address. So can somebody order -- apply for a mail-in ballot with just a birthdate?

MR. MARKS: Uh, No. And --

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay.

MR. MARKS: -- again, there are ID requirements that are on both the absentee and the mail-in application, and even if you check the box -- and you know, as I mentioned earlier, even if you check the box indicating

that you don't have either one of those, you're still at some point going to have to provide some form of identification for that ballot to be counted, but no. The application process requires you to provide your name, your date of birth, and identification.

It also has additional -- I think it requires you to indicate how long you've been a resident of the district to provide, you know, your residence address and the name of the municipality you live in, so you know, all of those things have to be provided on the application for your application to be approved. And if you don't provide or have -- provide a verified ID at the time of application, you're going to have to provide it at some point before your ballot can be counted.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay. All right. And just one follow-up, and it's kind of like a homework assignment for you on your last appearance here. Could you provide us with a statewide count of how many mail-in ballots were mailed to addresses other than an address of residence or mailing address that's included with the voter registration -- the voter's registration file?

MR. MARKS: Yes. I believe we can do that.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay.

MR. MARKS: We would be able to pull records of that and alternate mailing address.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay. Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Representative Ortitay.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good to see you again, Deputy Secretary. I know this might be early. I'm just going to throw out this question. Does the Department have an estimate or just a gauge of the level of use of mail-in voting their expecting in the future whether -- I know we're still dealing with COVID here, but even beyond COVID. I know we've only had one election so far under this current act but just wondering what you expect and what the Department expects moving forward.

MR. MARKS: It really is hard to say. You know, we looked at the experience of other states as we were implementing Act 77, and we expected that there would be sort of a slow build up in terms of people adopting a mailin ballot. COVID-19 blew all of that out of the water.

Our projections, obviously, were extremely low, and I think that the permanent list is going to play a role.

I think you're going to see increased participation because, you know, just by luck or whatever you want to call it, we saw that very quick ramp-up in terms of adoption and a significant number of voters who

voted in 2020 also asked to be placed on the permanent list. And counties back last month mailed out applications to them, and I believe a significant percentage -- this is anecdotal so far, but you know, we've heard from individual counties a significant percentage of those have come back and people have requested ballots for this municipal election.

So I think you're going to see increased participation. I think it's a little early to say. I think we have to be a few elections in before we figure out, sort of, what you know, where that threshold will ultimately land. But I certainly expect that it's going to be a lot more than have previously voted by absentee ballot in a municipal election cycle for sure.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Well, thank you for that. I was just curious. I didn't think that there was a model or anything out there quite yet. But as we move forward --

MR. MARKS: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: -- that's going to have a big impact on our counties and the amount of precincts that we have. I know presidentials usually bring out a lot more people to vote regardless. But just moving forward just spacing those out making sure we have enough employees, staff, whether that's people counting ballots or

people actually working the polls, I think those numbers are going to be very important moving forward. So anything we can do to help work on get those estimates up and be better prepared moving forward.

MR. MARKS: I agree.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: All right. Thank you, and thank you Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Representative Wheeland. There you are, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Deputy Secretary. Thanks for participating once again. Prior to the start of this hearing, you had indicated that you had been in a lot of communication with counties, I'm assuming, a lot of the election directors. Could you provide, based on your communication with those folks, what do you think the top two, three, perhaps four or five, whatever -- what are you hearing from them as far as recommended legislative changes that would allow for mail-in voting to be more efficient and easy for the counties? What are you hearing from the folks that are on the front lines?

MR. MARKS: Well, certainly the ability to precanvass voted ballots is at the top of everyone's list -- you know, that's election directors, county commissioners, that seems to be -- and I believe Chair Davidson mentioned

this earlier as well. That's, you know, probably what you're hearing from a lot of your constituents or a lot of them, you know, county election officials yourselves.

That's at the very top.

I know there's a desire as well among county election directors to look at the deadlines and perhaps align the voter registration deadline and the absentee and mail-in deadline. You know, I know that's something that, you know, I've talked to a number of county election directors about. You know, providing as much time as possible to process the amount of work that they're doing with the mail-in balloting.

You know, the rest of the issues, you know, I don't know that there's necessarily a consensus on those. Certainly, it has an impact on their staffing levels and will have an impact on their staffing levels going forward, so you know, having the -- you know, the additional equipment and having the infrastructure necessary to streamline it. We saw in 2020, for example, counties purchasing equipment that they probably never imagined that they would purchase. You know, mail slicers, you know, very specific exclusive type of equipment that was designed for opening mail. So I think after those two things, the pre-canvass and the deadlines themselves, I think that, you know, counties' focus is on, you know, what is the best way

to make this process efficient so that it doesn't require so much manual work on the part of county staff.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEELAND: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Representative Staats.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Thank you, Chairman

Grove. And Deputy Secretary, thank you once again for your time. We appreciate it. So we saw an increase of third-party groups sending numerous and in some cases, inaccurate mail-in ballot applications, which left many voters confused, including my house. My wife applied for a ballot early on, and we continued to get applications in the mail, which seemed like daily. And many counties were burdened by that confusion, you know, fielding phone calls and emails and whatnot. So my question, does the Department believe that it would be legally permissible to restrict mail-in ballot applications to distribution by counties only and to prohibit applications from being sent by outside groups?

MR. MARKS: Well, I'm not the Department's legal counsel, so I won't opine on whether such a change would hold up, you know, in court or pass constitutional muster. I will say this, if you restrict the sending of, you know, application forms to the Department and counties, you're

probably going to hear from the political parties at both the national and state level. I know, you know, both political parties and even minor political parties here in the state do quite a bit of mailing of applications themselves. I received multiple applications from my party in each election cycle, and I know a lot of people who do as well.

You know, I think from a policy perspective, without weighing in on, you know, whether restricting it that far would pass constitutional muster. You know, it's something that you'd have to look at. I would think that the political parties would object pretty strenuously to restricting it that far because it is something that they do on a regular basis to get out their political party's voters.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: And just a follow-up. How do these outside groups go about getting that information?

MR. MARKS: Well, it depends on the group. You know, political parties focus a lot of their effort on supervoters, so they're looking at voter registration data that they obtain from the Department or obtain from the county, and they're looking at folks who vote in every election religiously. But they're also looking at, you know, newly registered voters that they've registered

during their own voter registration drives.

Some of the other independent organizations, they're trying to reach people who don't regularly participate so they may start by using commercial mail lists. You know, they'll go to a vendor that provides a commercial advertising-type mail list. You know, we all get junk mail, and usually whoever is sending us the junk mail has gotten that from a commercial mail list of people in the state or across the nation. So it really depends on the organization. Political parties are probably focused more on voter raw data, whereas a third party may be focused on that, in addition to that commercial mailing list as well.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: I appreciate your answers. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Owlett.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Deputy, for being here today. I want to talk a little bit more about some security measures with mail-in ballots, something that I hear about quite often in my district that I'm sure we all agree on needs to be of the utmost important. But before that, I just want to --okay. We've learned a lot of things even today. I mean, for me I learned a lot about mail-in ballots, but specifically, just really quick if you could clarify. So

```
1
      if somebody -- in order to get a ballot in my hands, if I'm
 2
      in person, I have to show and get that -- that ID has to be
 3
      verified. If I go in person to get that ballot in my
 4
      hands, I have -- that ID has to be verified; is that
 5
      correct?
 6
                MR. MARKS:
                            Correct.
 7
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: For the first time.
 8
                MR. MARKS: If you're talking about voting in
 9
      person --
10
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: In person.
11
                MR. MARKS: -- at that --
12
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah.
13
                MR. MARKS: -- polling place.
14
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah.
15
                MR. MARKS: Yes. You have to show a form of
16
      either a photo ID or nonphoto ID.
17
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: But if I'm doing mail-in,
18
      I don't necessarily have to have the ID to get that ballot
19
      in my hands. That would be, then, verified on the back end
20
      six day -- hopefully within the six days, right?
21
                MR. MARKS: Right. You either have to provide an
22
      ID that can be verified during the application process, or
23
      you have to present to the County Board of Elections some
24
      other form of identification within six days after the
25
      election. Otherwise, your ballot won't be counted.
```

question is this, why don't we require that on the front end versus the back end the same that we -- before we actually put the ballot in the voter's hands like we do in person? Why don't we make that ID -- especially for the first time even with mail-in before they get that in their hands, why don't we -- wouldn't that be a security measure that would be -- instead -- I'm thinking of the whole six-day thing? We had the whole holding ballots, and that was problematic. It seems to me like, we -- to stay away from that, wouldn't we want to do that on the front end?

MR. MARKS: Certainly. And the overwhelming majority of, you know, applications that do come in the person, obviously, is able to provide, a driver's license or Social Security number that can be verified. But you know, for the small percentage that that can't, I guess the question is what would be the mechanism? Would they submit a photocopy? You know, you'd have to look at the logistics of that. But I certainly think it would be beneficial to the voter to have that vetting done as early in the process as possible so that they don't have to worry about submitting a ballot and then somehow getting to their County Board of Elections to present some other form of ID.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Well that's --

MR. MARKS: So --

1 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah. That's my thought, 2 too, because our county officials are busy as it is on 3 Election Day, and now all of a sudden that's an additional 4 sorting process that really doesn't need to be taking place 5 MR. MARKS: Right. 6 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- at that time. I would 7 think that ID could've been and should've been 8 produced ahead of time. So do we -- do counties keep 9 track, or like, do we have any idea in the last election as 10 far as how many of these ballots that the ID needed to be 11 followed up on? Is that data that's available? 12 MR. MARKS: It is. That is data that we could 13 get from the database. 14 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: That would be great if 15 you could provide that to the Committee. I'm just 16 curious --17 MR. MARKS: And I --18 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- what kind of 19 (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) this happens. 20 MR. MARKS: -- did want to clarify something. So 21

MR. MARKS: -- did want to clarify something. So I had mentioned first-time voters at a polling place, and I want to be clear. With absentee and mail-in, you have to do it every time. So even if you voted before by absentee or mail-in, each time you apply, you have to provide identification, so it's something that has to be done every

22

23

24

25

single time. If you're going in person, you only have to do it the first time you voted in the precinct.

But no, it's an interesting idea, and I agree doing it on the front end probably benefits -- certainly benefits the county. I think it probably benefits the voter, but you just have to talk through the logistics. You know, not everyone can photocopy a form of ID. You know, you just have to look at how you would actually go about implementing.

anything we can do to streamline it on the front end makes sense. So going into the security world a little bit deeper, I'm sure you guys have thought -- I mean, the security aspect is important. It's got to be. What are some reasonable legislative changes that would reassure voters of the security of mail-in ballots?

MR. MARKS: Well, I think -- you know, I first want to say I believe voters -- you know, and you'll hear shortly from Dr. Stewart, I think the majority of voters do have high confidence that the process is secure; that their ballot was counted. But to the extent that someone does have concerns about security, you know, there are some other mechanisms. I believe our process is secure. I believe we're following best practices in terms of security and integrity, but there are additional measures that can

be taken. You know, we just talked about one. You know,
the --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right.

MR. MARKS: -- representation of the ID

requirements. I know some states require that ID to be

provided again at the time that the ballot is sent. You

know, and again, you have to talk about the logistics. As

I understand it, you're entering it, you know, on -- inside

the ballot envelope, so we have to be careful that it

doesn't jeopardize the secrecy of the ballot, but there are

ways to do that without going too far. You can go too far.

I think everyone agrees that security and integrity are

very important, but I think we also agree that we don't

want to put burdens up or barriers up that prevent --

MR. MARKS: -- people from exercising their constitutional right, their freedom to vote. So it's really that how it's done is just as important as whether it should or shouldn't be done.

Right.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT:

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah. I totally agree with that. Last question, really quick, Mr. Chairman. So if somebody has done this, and they've -- they didn't provide the ID and they did the mail-in, is that name, then, flagged in any way, shape, or form for next time saying this ballot -- they didn't provide ID? Is there any

way for the county officials to be able to see that -- for the next election, that they did not provide the adequate -- or the ID that was needed, and then that ballot was not counted?

MR. MARKS: The data is there to do that, but I don't believe -- I'd have to verify this. I don't believe there's, like a canned report or something that they could run that, you know, just focuses in on that list of individuals --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right.

MR. MARKS: -- whose ID didn't verify, but certainly, the data is there. And as we -- you know, as we work on the new SURE database, I think we'll be able to take a lot of -- take advantage of the more modern technology to give counties additional tools to run -- you know, for example, to run ad hoc reports that they can't do in the current system. So you know, I like this back and forth. I think you've clearly thought a lot about it, and you know, I think anything we can do to leverage the technology, newer technology, to make these things easier for counties and easier for voters, I think, would be very beneficial.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right. Thanks for your time, again. Thanks for coming to all these hearings. We really --

1 MR. MARKS: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) 2 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- do appreciate it. 3 MR. MARKS: Thank you. 4 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Schemel. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 And Mr. Marks it won't seem like a state government hearing 8 without you here next time, so (indiscernible -9 simultaneous speech) maybe you can come as a -- just as a 10 participant. Question --11 MR. MARKS: I'll miss your very striking bowties. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: Yeah. Thanks. 13 MR. MARKS: It's a good look. 14 REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: They're out for loan, as 15 you can ask Mr. Lewis. Real quickly, with regard to ballot 16 curing of nonfatal defects in ballots, some other states do 17 this as well. I was curious to know how the Department 18 sort of developed its guidelines, and then post-election, 19 what variations you saw among the 67 counties and how they 20 addressed ballot curing. 21 MR. MARKS: I think, I -- you know, the term you 22 used I think was a good one: variations. You know, it's 23 always important to remember that we're a commonwealth, and 24 we focus on government closest to the people, and I think

that's a good thing and it has a lot of benefits.

25

You know, the challenge of course is that you do have some level of variation from one county to another.

And I think this is something that the General Assembly should address and provide, you know, very explicit authority for a curing process, where a voter has an opportunity to cure their ballot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right now, the only option -- you know, statutory option is to cast a provisional ballot. If your ballot has been set aside for some reason and there's nothing you can do to correct that error, you have to go cast a provisional ballot, and the county has to adjudicate all of that on the back end. You know, what we saw was -- because the process was new, we saw during the -- first of all, not every county pre-canvassed. It's not something their required to It's optional (indiscernible - background noise) you have that variation, and then even in counties that precanvassed and during the pre-canvass, there was some You know, it's a public process; it's a public meeting, and if you're making determinations about ballots, you obviously have to announce that or provide information to folks who are in attendance. It's the whole point of having authorized representatives there is to provide that information.

But at that point in the process, a voter's option really is kind of (indiscernible - background

```
1
      noise). So I think having a -- you know, kind of,
 2
      (indiscernible - background noise) providing for and
 3
      noticing cure process, I think, would certainly benefit the
 4
      voters, and I think it would provide less variation among
 5
      counties in terms of how they handle voters who haven't
 6
      done everything they need to do to have their ballot count.
      I'm sorry. Are you --
 8
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: You're on mute,
 9
      Representative --
10
                MR. MARKS: -- are you on mute, or?
11
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE:
                                          -- Schemel.
12
                REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL:
                                          There we are. Sorry.
13
      Did the Department provide quidance in regard to ballot
14
      curing, or really, did the 67 counties kind of each address
15
      that in their own way?
16
                MR. MARKS: The Department provided guidance
17
      regarding the pre-canvass and canvass process, making sure
18
      that what the counties were doing was transparent in that,
19
      you know, authorized representatives, people in attendance
20
      would have the opportunity to know why a ballot is being
21
      set aside. In terms of the, you know, what counties may
22
      have done prior to Election Day and prior to pre-canvass,
23
      the Department did not provide any specific guidance on
24
      curing ballots or (indiscernible - background noise).
```

REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL:

Okay.

Thanks.

And one

25

last question. This stems off of, sort of, where
Representative Mackenzie was going in regard to ballot
security.

In my own mind, I want to make sure that -- I think that the law needs to ensure going forward that there's parity between those voters that vote in person and those that vote by mail so that whatever levels of security scrutiny we have for a voter in person when they show up at the ballot -- at the polling station, not only the first time do they have to exhibit ID, but following that, they have to sign their name and presumably the clerk, then, will compare that to the signature that they already have on file. We do that with Act 77. We, you know, had, of course, the signatures that would be on the ballots -- or on the exterior envelope, and I recognize that was not the Department's guidance to eliminate the necessity of comparing those.

But I think, through a senior living facility in my own district, where they had the data that you already described that you need, you know, Social Security numbers and so forth, they actually requested mail-in ballots on behalf of all their residents as a -- sort of, a courtesy to their residents, and then they would present them to the resident and see if they wanted to complete them. But without something -- a security feature like a signature

that actually demonstrates or exhibits that the voter actually had their hands on that ballot, what other security could there be that just someone working at the senior living facility or other facility just completed all of them?

So I was asking -- wanted to know your comment on signatures if that's the best way from what you've seen from other states, or is there some other security feature that we can use on these mail-in ballots to make sure they're scrutinized in the same way that in-person ballots are.

MR. MARKS: Yeah. I did mention one, you know, verifying the ID during the actual casting of the ballot process. In terms of signature comparison, and as you mentioned, you know, the courts ruled on this -- that is not -- and you're actually going to hear testimony, again, from Dr. Stewart, and I believe he has some additional information in terms of best practices. But states that do signature verification, you know, have the infrastructure to do it.

Having human beings who aren't specifically trained to do that, I'm not sure that is a successful model, and you know, we've seen that. Someone who is not an expert on, you know, doing that kind of analysis is likely going to find -- is going to end up setting aside a

lot of ballots that shouldn't be set aside. So the states that do it use technology to their advantage, and they use signature verification software. So I think you'd have to look at the infrastructure if you're going to do signature verification, but there are other ways to validate ID.

And you can certainly put restrictions on -- you know, you mentioned -- and I've heard this before. I think facilities like that, they're trying to do something good for the residents of their facility, but it does raise questions. You know, the more somebody else intervenes in that process, the less confidence folks outside of that process have, and they're looking at it probably skeptically.

You know, so again, you don't want to put up unnecessary burdens. You don't want to prevent people from exercising their freedom to vote, but there are things that can be done to give folks more confidence in the process.

But if you're going to do that, you have to have the infrastructure, and I think that's -- you know, you'll probably hear that also from Ms. Anderson about Colorado and how they've leveraged technology to make sure that they're not setting aside ballots that shouldn't be set aside.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: Okay. Very well. Thanks so much.

MR. MARKS: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Nelson, followed by Representative Ryan.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And thank you for your testimony. I know we're going to miss you, you know, with our frequent hearings and your information. It really is helpful because I think, you know, there is so very much that we agree on -- you know, the goal of being easy to vote but hard to cheat is something I think all Pennsylvanians can really build on as we're trying to correct some of the concerns that voters have.

Along that security perspective -- and we've had past discussions about voter application or the ability for people to go. Earlier in your testimony, when you were touching on the signature or just clicking the box about not having a driver's license and not having a Social Security number, I'm on the PA Voter Services, and it is just a box that you could click that says I do not have a driver's license or a PennDOT ID or a Social Security number. How would a lawful citizen not have a Social Security number in Pennsylvania?

MR. MARKS: You know, what we've learned -- I'm not an expert on Social Security numbers, who they're issued to, but what we have learned over the years is you

have some elderly folks, who, for whatever reason, were never issued a Social Security number. I know that is something that is -- and some of them don't even have birth certificates. Then you also have individuals who may have come here from Puerto Rico. I understand that, you know, birth certificates and Social Security numbers were -- you know, were not something that were issued to folks until recently.

So you know, I think in most cases, it's probably a carryover. And again, this is a very small percentage of -- it's less than a percent -- you know, a fraction of a percent. You know, the overlying majority of folks who request -- you know, apply for an absentee or mail-in ballot are able to provide one of those numbers, and those can be verified as well.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: So I know we're tight on time, but if we're circling back in the third party, you know, Rock the Vote API, we had a political organization that was given access to collect that information and you just shared that, like, 99 percent of the voters supplied all of that information, and in earlier testimony and hearings, Rock the Vote gets to keep that information. You know, now that the Department of State does have its own website up and has the ability, even though you're not doing anything with the data, you're saying at least it's

there, is there a need to continue to let political groups collect that information of our citizens? Because they do get to keep it, right?

MR. MARKS: Certainly. I mean, the political parties -- you know, whether you're doing it through API or whether you're doing it on paper, if somebody is filling out a voter registration form during a voter registration drive, there is nothing that prevents that organization from, you know, keeping photocopies, for example, of a paper voter registration mail application. Now, they do have a --

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: My question is specifically on the --

MR. MARKS: -- duty to not allow --

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- the third party --

MR. MARKS: -- that information --

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- API -- the electronic third party API access. And they uploaded batches of these voters to your office, which then uploaded them in the counties, and counties testified that it was problematic because data was missing. Do you feel that Pennsylvania needs to continue to use third parties for API access moving forward? Because remember that was one of the areas of election security -- that cybersecurity report that said, hey, this is a really vulnerable thing. You know,

```
1
      now that we have our own website up and running, do you
 2
      think we could maybe step away from that third party API
 3
      access, or is that necessary?
 4
                MR. MARKS: I don't think it's necessary to step
 5
      away. And again, I want to be clear. The third parties do
 6
      not have access to the system. They don't have access to
 7
             They're given data by voters during the process of
      data.
 8
      registering to vote, and they're providing that data to us
 9
      through an API, and that is not, in any way, connected to
10
      the system.
11
                REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Correct.
12
                MR. MARKS: So I want to be clear --
13
                REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: And I agree. They --
14
                MR. MARKS: -- about that. It's just as
15
      disconnected --
16
                REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- email that --
17
                MR. MARKS: -- as a paper (indiscernible -
18
      simultaneous speech)
19
                REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: They supply that to your
20
      department --
21
                MR. MARKS: -- administration.
22
                REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- which has a very small
23
      number of people. Electronically, a batch of voters gets
24
      sent to your department, and then your department -- which
25
      I understand and respect your limited on your vote -- on
```

your manpower, your department, then, uploads that batch into the SURE system. So there is a step, but it's a pretty direct step. And I appreciate -- maybe we have difference of opinion there on the need to continue --

MR. MARKS: Yeah. And --

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- to allow that third party API access versus having the state, maybe, coordinate it on its own. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MARKS: And I'm just going to say, too, I want to be clear. If the application information is incomplete, then the county can't process the application. They can't accept it, and they'll have to reject the application or reach out to the applicant --

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: It was actually -MR. MARKS: -- directly.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- confirmed earlier that counties have the choice to do that, that that information is uploaded, the voter gets to vote. Six days after the election, they can be pulled, but we don't even know if anybody has withdrawn that because -- I mean, you said the data is there just nobody's looking at it. I mean, we agree. We want it to be hard to cheat, but we should be implementing some of these checks and balances because there is a direct pathway that if somebody wanted to maybe be inappropriate, you can connect those dots. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Deputy

Secretary, we are out of time. We need to move on to our

next panel. Again, thank you so much for your time. We

appreciate your vast knowledge. And thank you, again, for

your participation. It has been invaluable.

MR. MARKS: Thank you. And I will follow up on a couple of immediate items, I believe, one for Representative Miller, as well as the other requests, I'll follow up with our legislative staff. But thank you, again, for the opportunity. And again, I hope --

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Mr. Chairman --

MR. MARKS: -- my testimony over the course of these hearings has been helpful. Thank you.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Yes. Deputy

Secretary, I just wanted -- I was just confused by the

last -- I just wanted to make sure we were all clear on the

last batch of questions regarding third party registration.

I think it's an important point, so I want to make sure

we're all on the same page on what your answer is and what

the issue is.

I believe the issue was regarding third party organizations, which gets voter information from various sources. There's outside sources that have voter information. The Democratic Party has access to voter

- 1 rolls. The Republican Party has access to voter rolls.
- 2 Many third party organizations have access to voter rolls.
- 3 There's public information regarding the voter rolls. So
- 4 | voter rolls are -- you know, people call voter rolls all
- 5 | the time, so that's an open -- everyone has -- almost
- 6 everybody has access to voter information. When folks are
- 7 registered by a third party organization, they have a
- 8 number of ways of getting that information about the voter
- 9 and registering voters. They do not have access to the
- 10 | SURE system; is that correct?
- MR. MARKS: That's correct. Yes
- 12 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: But if they
- 13 register folks, whether it's an organization or individual,
- 14 any registration goes to your office if they do it on the
- website. What is the process -- I guess for clarity. What
- 16 is the process when a third party or anybody registers and
- 17 | it goes to the State Department website? What is the
- 18 process?
- MR. MARKS: Well, it doesn't go to the website.
- 20 So it'll be a data file that we then upload. And I want
- 21 to -- I also want to make, you know, something else clear.
- You know, every one of these organizations has to register.
- 23 We go through a testing process. You know, we have IP
- addresses. I think we can actually -- if somebody were to
- do something nefarious with one of these applications, I

think we would have a much better chance of tracking down the culprit through this process than we would through a paper-based process because we have all of that additional information about the organization, the IP address it came through, et cetera, so -- but yeah. It's coming through in workflow -- it's a batch of data. It's not direct connectivity to the database.

And what I was trying to clarify, is there's nothing -- and you know, maybe there should be. You know, that's a discussion that the General Assembly will have to be. There's nothing that necessarily prevents a third party, whether they're conducting voter registration electronically or on paper, from collecting information about people that are registering. There are certainly laws that prevent them from disseminating, you know, personal information about those individuals.

But you know, they're not getting it from the Department of State. We do not provide any personal identification -- identifying information other than the birthdate, which is required to be provided in the public available -- publicly available lists. But we're not providing driver's license or even partial Social Security numbers.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Again,

1 thank you, Deputy Secretary. Dr. Stewart --2 Thank you very much. MR. MARKS: 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Will do. Thank you. 4 Dr. Stewart, are you there? 5 DR. STEWART: I am indeed. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much for 7 joining us from Massachusetts. We got tons of out of state 8 -- we had Florida last time. We have Massachusetts today. 9 We have Colorado. So we're grateful for your participation 10 in our hearing schedule. Let me first swear you in, so if 11 you don't mind raising your right hand quickly. 12 (Oath administered) 13 DR. STEWART: I do. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. And I 15 understand your time crunch, so we will expedite questions 16 as fast as we can. And any opening comments or statements, 17 please feel free to begin. 18 DR. STEWART: Sure. And thank you, Chair Grove, 19 for holding these hearings and for inviting me today. 20 discovered at the beginning of today's session that I'm, 21 first of all, required to extoll the virtues of my state 22 legislative district, which in this case in the 25th 23 Middlesex, but it's the wrong commonwealth, so I'll just 2.4 stop there. But --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: We won't hold that --

25

DR. STEWART: We're all in --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: -- against you. It's okay.

DR. STEWART: We all live in great legislative districts. So it's a delight to be here today. Given the time and my desire to be helpful to the Committee, I would just like to say a few words by way of introduction, and then I'd be delighted to take questions. Also just given the nature of the work that I do, I'd be delighted to take questions away from me and to get back to the Committee if there's any particular data analysis or other types of research the Committee would be interested in. It's the sort of thing that I do.

So the first thing I would say is that it's an honor to be on a series of panels with Deputy Secretary

Marks, Pam Anderson, Thad Hall, all of whom are -- I mean,

I've known for many years. In fact, with one of these

people, I've even written an academic paper. They're all superstars in this space, and they've gotten there by being hands-on and running elections, and I cannot compete with that. And so it's great that you all have access to them.

What I do is I -- you know, I study elections.

I've been studying elections and election administration

for 20 years. You can probably guess which historic event

got me into this business. And I've been trying to do what

we do best at MIT, which is to approach election administration and election policy from a dispassionate, nonpartisan, scientific basis. And that's what I do as an individual, and that's what I have done collectively with my colleagues in the two labs that I run in this area, so that's my perspective.

The written testimony that I've provided is long, and I beg your indulgence. I'll just say, you know, there are three parts, and the parts that I would just highlight are the following from each of those. First of all, in part 1, is a historic look at mail balloting in the United States and has already been reflected by Deputy Secretary Marks, you know, COVID really, kind of, knocked us back in many, many ways. But I would say, most importantly, in the development of mail balloting policy across the country, that states have been gradually adopting mail and absentee ballot laws, expanding them, making them more flexible and have been doing it in a slow and organic way.

And in fact, have been doing it in a way that I think, you know, we've been witnessing here today, which is that rolling things out -- rolling them out in -- you know, initially in a few small elections, learning from them, coming back and filling in the holes. And I think what we saw in 2020 what can happen when one has to really hurry up and innovate on the fly.

Pennsylvania was lucky in Act 77 and the other pieces of legislation that had gotten y'all already set up to do no excuse mail balloting for this election. I think we saw in several other states, and if I can just mention one -- since they're probably not listening, New York, which was not prepared, quite frankly, and -- which gave rise to all sorts of problems that I think were not quite under the spotlight the way maybe it should've been because it wasn't a battleground state.

So y'all are actually lucky in certain ways in that you have a good foundation, a legal foundation. You learned a lot during the 2020 election, and now just listening to the testimony, it looks like you're trying to fine tune it, which is great. But be happy to talk about my experience, what I've learned as other states have rolled out mail-in and absentee balloting.

The second part of my written testimony points to research that I have done through the Survey of the Performance of American Elections, the SPAE, which is a survey that I've been conducting after every presidential election since 2008. And there's a lot in that survey. I highlight in my written testimony, first of all, the experience of Pennsylvanians when they marked their ballots by mail, and it was, by and large, a positive one as it was in the rest of the nation. The one outlier there was

Pennsylvanians seem to be more likely to say that they had delays in receiving their ballots, but even there, the percentages were very small: four percent of my respondents compared to two percent nationwide. But overall, you know, very good experiences among Pennsylvania voters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Apropos, one of the questions that was asked in the last session, less than half of the people who voted by mail said that they were very likely to vote by mail in the future. That's less than respondents nationwide. are ways of trying to estimate demand for mail balloting, and I'd be happy to talk about that moving forward. There's some computer modeling, there's experience, et cetera, and there's ways of trying to figure out what's going to happen most reliably on state elections. But I think we're all kind of flying by the seat of our pants nonetheless in trying to figure out what's going to happen in the future. But I think we can assure that -- we can be certain that demand for mail balloting in Pennsylvania as well as in the rest of the nation will recede in coming elections.

And then finally, in part three, I just mention some policy issues, many of which were just discussed and I would be more than happy to talk about those issues related to signature matching this verification, particularly when

the ballot comes back. Deadlines -- I mean, one of the big issues has been the deadline on the back end, that is, what's a cut-off date for the receipt of ballots. And then, finally, just the signature-matching process and maybe alternatives to signature matching that may, in fact, be more secure and more objective.

So with all of those, I'm happy to -- with that throat clearing out of the way, I'm more than delighted to take any questions that might come my way.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Will do. Thank you so much, Dr. Charles. Representative Mackenzie.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. If you can, Doctor, you started down the path of talking about the history of mail voting over recent decades. Can you just continue to expand on that and tell us about the trend and what you're seeing in that expansion of voting?

DR. STEWART: Sure. And thanks for the question. The first thing I'll say is, you know, let's pretend like 2020 hadn't happened, just for the moment because I think that that helps to characterize how states, just in their, kind of, normal state of the world, have been expanding on this. You know, starting in the 1980s, states began to move away from excuse requirements. And from the 1980s up until 2016, we've seen almost a linear growth in the

percentage of ballots cast by mail nationwide. So that in 2016, it was about 20 percent, I believe, that were cast nationwide. Most of that growth has come in two ways: one through loosening up -- I mean, basically getting rid of the excuse requirement, and then the second in the western states, particularly Oregon, Washington, and Colorado, going to an all-mail systems.

So actually, as an aside, when you look at -- in my testimony I have a timeline from 1996 to 2020. You see the steady growth. Half of that growth is actually these three vote-by-mail states. That states that have gone to no excuse absentee voting have seen their numbers go from around say five -- three to five percent up to usually in the 12 to 15 percent range, maybe 20, depending on the details, but usually in the 12 to 15 percent range.

So up until 2016, that was the -- you know, that was the trend. By 2016, something of an order of all but about a dozen states now had no excuse absentee voting, although what we call it in Massachusetts is no-lie absentee voting because what was happening in Massachusetts, for instance, is -- which has only recently gone to no excuse absentee voting, is that we were discovering that there were a whole lot of people in the wealthy suburbs of Boston who found themselves out of town on Election Day, and so they don't have to misrepresent

where they're going to be anymore. So that was kind of how things went.

2020 -- I mean, I think we all lived through that experience. The percentage of people voting by mail more than doubled for a lot of reasons that all of y'all know. About four states on a temporary basis went to all mail balloting, and all but three or four states at the very least, even if they didn't have no excuse absentee balloting allowed no excuse absentee balloting for this one election. So we saw Election Day turnout plummet to something around 20 percent, I believe, and most ballots being cast by mail. But that very enormously nationwide -- I mean, really enormously nationwide. And we can talk about why it varied so much by -- you know, state by state.

The Pennsylvania experience in 2020 actually was kind of typical for a state that moved from having an excuse to having no excuse absentee balloting under these circumstances. I believe 20 percent, roughly, of your ballots in 2020 were cast by mail, which is kind of what we would've expected under these circumstances. So anyway, that may be more than you bargained for, but be happy to -- any other questions to follow up on that.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Yeah. I appreciate that. And the only follow-up I have is, I have -- personally, I've seen data and stories that say increased

mail voting access has led to greater participation, and then in other cases they say no change in participation in voting. What's your either opinion or your data show or tell you?

DR. STEWART: I think the scientific evidence shows the following that in high turnout elections -- and there's actually a couple of things. I would distinguish between no excuse absentee balloting going to that or going to all vote by mail, which I know is not an issue here, but it does get caught up in these discussions about the consequences of voting by mail.

From my reading of the literature, at most in a high turnout election, a state adopting vote by mail may get a point or two more turnout as a consequence of that. It primarily is a method for adding convenience to people who would've voted anyway. From what I can tell, the causal effect of going to no excuse absentee balloting is no effect at all on turnout. Again, it's a convenience feature.

Finally, I will say there's another question sometimes that comes behind this one about turnout, about partisan use -- kind of how the parties use it. And up until 2020, there was, in general, no partisan -- nationwide partisan tilt of the use of mail balloting, and there's been some new research done to I think pretty

definitively show that, at least in a causal sense, that just expanding mail voting doesn't have -- doesn't appear to have partisan consequences.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: So just so that I can restate, just so that I'm clear. So you're saying in high-turnout elections, all mail would increase turnout by one or two percent; is that what you said?

DR. STEWART: Yes. Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Okay. And your opinion -- your reading of the literature and the data is that no excuse mail voting does not lead to any change?

DR. STEWART: That's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Okay. All right.

Well, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very

much. I appreciate you being here. And I'll turn it back

over to the Chair. Thank you, again.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Representative Dowling.

REPRESENTATIVE DOWLING: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And thank you so much for being here today. One of the concerns that's been expressed in the past is the length of time prior to Election Day that you have -- that some votes are cast, especially in presidential primaries. We know that there are, you know, some cases where a candidate may pass away shortly before an election. We also have all

heard of the October surprise that can come up. My question is, specifically, the statistical impacts of the effect that these votes have been cast and locked in so early, have you seen statistical impacts like that in the past?

DR. STEWART: Thanks for the question. I don't know that this is actually a statistical question other than just an -- almost like an accounting question really. And we certainly observe situations where, as you say, particularly in primaries, and especially presidential primaries, where the rolling nature -- it's a combination of the rolling nature of the season plus requirements, say, under the UOCAVA Act that basically has mail ballots going out so early, that you could have voters end up voting for people who are out of the running. And we've certainly seen that -- we certainly saw that in 2020. Like, California was a good example of that and some other states.

There have been some proposed solutions for that, which actually have been implemented more often really with UOCAVA voters -- overseas voters because of these deadlines, and that is to allow some sort of ranking, for instance. As you probably know there are activists and zealots about rank choice voting and those sorts of things, and I'm not one of those folks, but I do think that if it

is a concern about the length of the period, especially in primaries, then allowing people, perhaps, to rank -- you know, rank candidates might be valuable.

The final thing I'll say as well, though, and this ends up being a problem in the primaries, is that so many presidential candidates when they pull out actually, quote/unquote, suspend their campaign. So I mean, it seems to me that if the Legislature were to want to go down the route of providing some fail-safe for people who have already put their ballot in, then one needs to think about the conditions under which the state recognizes someone as having withdrawn from the race because they may not have formally withdrawn their candidacy.

REPRESENTATIVE DOWLING: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Keefer.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So the question I have -- sorry. The question I have is, so do you know of any other states providing means for voters to cancel or amend their already mailed-in votes in these -- in any type of situation?

DR. STEWART: The one state that comes immediately to mind, and there may be a couple of others, is the state of Michigan, which has a process -- I won't -- I'm not an expert on it. There are people -- we can get you information on that, but as I understand it, if you

have already sent in your ballot, you may request to withdraw it, I believe, up to the day before the election and maybe even on Election Day, which is, you know, responsive to the concerns that was just responding to.

And it also is one of the reasons why, in Michigan, they've -- you know, I think in Michigan it was harder for them to consider pre-canvass provisions, right, because once you've canvassed a ballot and taken it out of the privacy sleeve, maybe, then you can't withdraw that ballot. But in any case, Michigan would be one of the places to take a look at for this.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Lewis.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I want to thank the gentleman from Franklin County for his coaching and assistance and style inspiration on making sure I have the appropriate attire to be recognized with a tie. Professor Stewart, thank you for being with us today. Members of the Pennsylvania House, we run in relatively small districts, only around 62,000 constituents on average, and we're pretty far down on the ballot.

But some races are even further down the ballot than us in smaller jurisdictions than ours, and so campaigning can take place up to and including on Election Day and even with folks on their way to the polls, and this

1 has been a vital part of local politics for a long time. 2 And so my question to you is, is there any scholarly 3 analysis or data on whether the national transition to 4 mail-in voting has harmed local or less funded political 5 campaigns? Thank you. 6 DR. STEWART: That's a great question, and I will 7 have to -- I will punt by saying I'm actually not aware of 8 research, but I can certainly take a look and report back. 9 I would also encourage you to ask the same question of Ms. 10 Anderson later on because she was, you know, an election 11 clerk in Colorado when their changes were made. So she has 12 direct, on-the-ground experience about the effects on local 13 politics. 14 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Wonderful. I thank you, 15 sir. I thank you for your contributions to research, which 16 has been so impactful. 17 DR. STEWART: Thank you. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: That's a beautiful 19 bowtie, Representative. 20 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Chairman. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Diamond. 22 REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 And I didn't realize that Instagram filters were able to be 24 used on these teams meetings. So congratulations to

Representative Lewis for doing that. Dr. Stewart, what is

25

the impact, if any, on under voting after the expansion of mail-in voting, just so we can clarify for people who are watching. My understanding of under voting, and you can clarify or correct me if I'm wrong, is when someone gets a ballot -- and we all get the ballots, and they have a number of different races on, and you only vote in a couple of those races, and like down ballot, you kind of just skip those races because you don't know who those people are.

That's what we're talking about here about under voting.

And if you have any clarification of that, go ahead. But what is the impact, after the expansion of mail-in voting, on the number of voters who actually under vote because it seems like they would take a little bit more time to not feel rushed when they're voting at home, you know, that sort of thing.

DR. STEWART: Yes. Thanks for that question.

There's two aspects to the question. And on the question of under voting, I mean, that -- the claim that you make is a common one, and I think -- I actually think it's intuitive. I will admit that it's hard to find academic research on that question. And actually my lab has supported a little bit of research to try to, kind of, get at these issues of under voting down ballot and also of increased information for voters. That's another justification for these. And so I -- again, I will punt on

that. I will also look for -- you know, kind of, look for good academic studies on that and get back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: All right. Well, thank you so much. It's actually interesting to me as someone, you know, who's involved in the process here because I know a lot of times, especially in presidential years, people go out and just vote in the presidential race. And there are other important races. I've always thought that the local races were way more important than the presidential race, but that's because I'm kind of a local guy, but I always thought they were more important. So it would be of interest. If you do find anything, we would appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. STEWART: Happy to do that. And actually, if I could just say one more thing, and this relates to the first question I was asked. The research on turnout, by the way, does show that in vote by mail states, they actually get greater turnout in local elections when you —not surprisingly when you mail everybody a ballot. What I don't know is — again, there's probably an analog there, too, for instance, what happens with local elections when someone is, say, on a permanent list and they get a — say, a primary ballot when they may not have thought about voting in a primary or in a city or a county election. So there's also similar questions there as well.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Representative Schemel.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Dr. Stewart, you had said in response to one of the earlier questions that you expect demand for mail-in voting to recede when we don't have a pandemic. So looking at your own testimony and the information you provided -- looked like about 42 percent you believe voted by mail. Do you expect those percentages to recede significantly? Is voting by mail going to be a modest percentage of the vote going forward do you think, or is this a new trend where, you know, we will have a permanent, sort of, stock of people that always select that option?

DR. STEWART: I wish I -- honestly, I wish I knew the answer to that question. I think, you know, certainly supporters of vote by mail will claim that once you've taken a bite out of the apple, you're going to love it and you're going to keep doing it. I think, though, it's very clear from my research that a lot of people were voting by mail this time because they were worried about the pandemic. And you know, there are people who enjoy voting in person. There are people who, you know, would like to wait late if they could, et cetera. And so we're going to have to wait this one out. I mean, I do think that the local elections will be certainly informative coming up.

One of the things that I've been doing, and I actually did it before this presidential election, was actually doing public opinion surveys before the election asking people what they intended to do, and I and some other groups had a good experience doing that. And so if there is great concern moving — especially moving into the state elections where you might be worried about missing big, one could do fairly inexpensive public opinion surveys to figure out ahead of the election whether it's going to be, you know, big, medium, or small moving forward. So this is — I mean, obviously I'm going to say we need more research, but I really think in this case, we need — we do need to do a little research.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: So following on that, in your answers to some of the other questions, is there any data that would indicate whether there's any large percentage in states -- especially states that have more mature vote-by-mail programs that there's -- do many people express voter regret, where maybe their mind was changed between when they cast their mail-in ballot and Election Day, or is there really no good data to indicate that one way or the other?

DR. STEWART: Yeah. I don't know that I would say there's no good data, but we just -- when we ask people about their experience voting by mail, I mean, that issue

doesn't come up in large enough numbers to kind of make a dent in survey research.

I think one of the things to keep in mind is that people who vote by mail, especially in -- most states are like Pennsylvania where you have to apply for a ballot. And people who are applying for a ballot are generally, you know, more interested in politics and public affairs, and usually, quite frankly, already know how they're going to vote. And so they're less primed to be disappointed in a big way than people who -- you know, who will vote in person. People who vote in person in a normal election tend to be -- I think, more likely to be swayed by events at the last minute, so no.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHEMEL: Very good. Yeah. Thank you so much.

DR. STEWART: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Dr.

Stewart, I want to discuss signature verification because obviously integrity is a critical part of the mail-in ballot process. You know, we've had discussions. Here in Pennsylvania, we basically have your -- for the most part, your original signature you sign with and a lot of states update that. They capture all the signatures so they have a robust analysis throughout the year. Some even allow individuals with Parkinson's and other diseases that may

have an impact on your handwriting to actually file paperwork and disclose that for, obviously, that signature check purpose. Can you review, kind of, that signature verification process?

And also I'm very interested in how other states are doing it because it's a very difficult task to do.

Obviously, we have some sorting machines here in

Pennsylvania that use AI. Not every county has that option. So what are other states looking at as alternatives of signature verification and how successful have they been with that?

DR. STEWART: Right. And I was looking really quickly at my report to see if I had included the citations associated with the Healthy Elections Project, which I ran before the election. We had a couple of reports specifically on signature verification, and if they aren't cited in my testimony, I will get them to you for you to take a look at. One is a report about what happened in California counties, which are about as variable as the state's, and then another report by law students at Stanford University Law School on the nationwide issue, and so I will definitely get those to you.

So signature verification, a number of points to be made. The first one is that, you know, signature verification really is intuitively appealing to people. It

is to me. And I mean, I'm not denigrating it. It's intuitively appealing, but it's very hard to implement consistently. It's harder than it looks. And some states seem to take that difficulty more seriously than others.

And evidence of that is in two forms. One, some states spend a lot of time and effort at training election workers in how to do this.

One example, for instance, that I've gotten to know because I'm on the secretary -- in Georgia, I'm on the Secretary of State's Advisory Committee, and I've learned there, for instance, that they have the Georgia Bureau of Investigation train workers in signature matching. So you know, relying on people who have forensic experience -- experience in forensic signature matching, and I believe that's the model in western states as well. Ms. Anderson probably has some good ideas about how they -- but I know she has good knowledge and ideas about what they do in Colorado. So training. That's the first thing.

The second thing is bringing in automation to do that. Bringing in automation is controversial because it does take the human element out of that, and the rules are usually that you can't -- you can accept ballots through automation, but you can't reject them. And so that's something that needs to be looked at carefully. The one thing I will say as a general matter in elections is that

automation -- whether it be in counting ballots or verifying signatures or doing things like that, automation, machines, computers are better at tedious things than human beings are, and verifying a signature and counting a ballot are two really tedious things.

And so I think that, especially for large jurisdictions, there is good reason to investigate artificial intelligence machines that have been developed in the commercial setting. That technology has been developed and financed, and I know there are vendors willing to sell that. Again, I think talking to Ms. Anderson about that would be really informative.

And so just to wrap up, I would say that training and automation are the two issues. And off the top of my head, I don't have a really good state-by-state mapping of who does it well, except to say the western states because they've had more time with this and -- you know, when you do all of your ballots by mail you think really hard about all of these issues with respect to mail ballots. And so Washington, Oregon and Colorado would be the states that I would grill them on how they do it and learn from them.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Will do. And from your experience and research, particularly with a lot of western states and states completely going to mail-in votes, has those signature requirements and the integrity provisions

put on those mail-in ballots, were they effective in ensuring that those ballots were true ballots and those integrity provisions were effective?

DR. STEWART: I know because I've listened to the secretaries of states and the election directors out there testify about this, and they're convinced that they've been able to verify signatures with integrity. And again, Ms. Anderson -- I mean, you'll definitely want to hear from her. They will also point out, by the way, that all voteby-mail states do other things to make sure that the entire process is -- that you're getting the ballots to the right people.

And one of the things for vote-by-mail states, they'll also say is that if you are only dealing with -- if you are always dealing with voters by mail, you will always know when they move, and you can kind of keep track of them better. If you're mailing somebody a ballot four or five times a year and you're working closely with the postal service to make sure that you have good addresses, then your voter roll is cleaner. So there are other things that they will also point out that go beyond signature matching that make them more satisfied, certain that the ballots are going to the right people and the right places.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Excellent. And just a point of -- the articles you pointed out, they're the

articles on what other states have used outside of signature verification to try to build in some integrity in the process, correct?

DR. STEWART: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Okay. Thank you. Chairwoman Davidson.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you. Thank you for being here. I just wanted to clarify a few of the points that you made and then I do have one question. You talked originally about the percentage of folks. I believe you were talking about the percentage of people in terms of the growth of mail-in voting over the course of a time period. Can you just restate that so I'm clear on what those statistics are?

DR. STEWART: Yes. And actually, now that I've actually opened up my report, I can give you the exact numbers. If you had stopped in 2016, about 20 percent of Americans nationwide had voted by mail, 60 percent voted in person on Election Day, and another 20 percent, roughly, voted early in person. So let's call it, 60/20/20.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay.

DR. STEWART: In the 2020 election, 46 percent -so I probably misspoke before, but 46 percent according -and this is survey based, but 46 percent of Americans voted
by mail, and then roughly a quarter of Americans, then,

voted either on Election Day or early in person.

wasn't sure when you were speaking then, if you were talking about the percentage of voters, which now I'm clear you were. I'm interested in the number of states, also.

Do you have data in terms of the number of states that had early -- I'm sorry, mail-in voting, that was no excuse or however they termed it, in 2016 as opposed to 2020?

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okav. And I

DR. STEWART: I do. Excuse me. I do. It's not in my written testimony. I can certainly send this to the Committee offline, but the National Conference of State Legislatures is actually where I go to get this information.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay.

DR. STEWART: Their website has really excellent coverage of what the policy is and actually very helpful here, they actually make distinctions between what the permanent legislation is in various states, what happened in -- what those states did in 2020 that may have been emergency or one time only. But I can get those -- you know, those citations for you.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: And finally, on this part of my questions, there's only three states in the union that have all mail-in voting systems; is that correct?

DR. STEWART: That was true up until 2016.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay.

DR. STEWART: That was Washington, Oregon, and Colorado. For the 2020 Election, Hawaii -- I'm going to get this wrong, but Hawaii, the District of Columbia, and one more state that I'm blanking -- Utah also decided to do all vote by mail.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay.

DR. STEWART: And then there were some other states, such as Vermont and California, Nevada, which mailed -- and New Jersey, of course, that mailed ballots to all voters but only for the 2020 election. And again, I can -- rather than going off the top of my head, I can also get you those exact states.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. All right. Thank you so much. Just a couple of other points as it relates to down-ballot voting. I have a few phrases that I like to say around here, some that annoy people, but I like to say gerrymandered Republican Majority, and I also like saying that I didn't vote for Act 77. It's the second thing I like to say.

And particularly because of down-ballot voting, I was really concerned since we changed the whole straight ticket voting, which I know -- I don't know Pennsylvania is one of the few states that still does that. But we had

straight party voting prior to Act 77, and I don't know if there was a drop-off in down-ballot voting in the 2020 election as a result of that. What have you seen in other states, if you've been able to study that at all? I'm not sure. But if you have, what do you have on that?

DR. STEWART: Yes. In general, when you get rid of -- and many states have abandoned straight ticket voting over the last two decades, and when you do that, quite naturally, as one would imagine, the number of votes down ballot is reduced. That's certainly true.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. And my -DR. STEWART: So the number of under votes down
ballot increases as a consequence, so.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. My final two questions, I'm going to ask them together because I know I'm running out of time. You said that the signature verification system -- the automated system accepts but not rejects. So in that instance, if you have a batch of votes that go into the automated system, it just tells you which ones are accepted, then there's some that are not accepted, but the system -- the automated system can't reject them, what happens then? Is that when it goes to an in-person system? Because I'm sure each party would want to know, you know, what signatures had a problem and whether or not it gets rejected. Is that how that usually works in

states?

DR. STEWART: Yeah. So to be very clear -- and the last thing you said is really important. Every state is going to be slightly different. And in fact, I like to say that the one law in election administration is that every law of election administration you state will be false in at least one state. But in general, the practices are that if you have an automated system that -- at best, you can accept that the machine -- if you set it to the tolerances of -- in accepting at a certain level, if the machine decides to accept it, usually the decision is, okay, this ballot or this application or whatever will be accepted.

If the quality of the signature falls below a certain threshold and the machine does not accept it, that ballot envelope then is basically thrown into another bin, where it's then subject to human adjudication. And you can think about that adjudication as being the same sort of adjudication that you would give to an absentee ballot if you were looking at -- if you were manually judging every one.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: And my very final question, for historically disadvantaged communities, communities that have been historically discriminated against in voting, what does your research show in terms of

1 those communities voting by mail or not voting by mail 2 prior to 2020? 3 DR. STEWART: I could go on for a long time. The 4 short version of that is that I do know that African-5 American voters, in general, have been less likely to vote 6 by mail, once you control for party and other demographics. 7 And that was also true in 2020 as well. So African-8 Americans did vote by mail at greater numbers in 2020 than 9 in 2016 nationwide, but it wasn't as big of a jump as for 10 White voters and also for Hispanic voters as well. 11 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: That's consistent 12 with my findings and my reasons for voting no on Act 77. 13 Thank you. Thank you. This was very helpful. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Dr. Stewart. 15 We don't have any other questions. Well, I have one more, 16 and I got to do it because it's Pennsylvania. So as a 17 tenured professor at MIT, who is your number one favorite 18 alumni? 19 Of MIT? DR. STEWART: 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yes. Of the political 21 science department of MIT? 22 DR. STEWART: Of the political science department 23 of MIT. I'm wondering. Let me look to my bookcase over

here. Oh, look. I see a doctoral dissertation by one

Thomas Wolf, who wrote the best dissertation in the field

24

25

```
1
      of American politics in the year that he wrote it about a
 2
      son of Pennsylvania, Thomas Brackett Reed, and the
 3
      institution of the Reed Rules in the House of
 4
      Representatives, so I would say he's my favorite.
 5
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yeah.
                                                 I've read
 6
      through it. I believe the title and a lot was discussion
 7
      about -- I call it congressional chaos a little bit, but --
 8
      with the legislative branch, which some of us find very
 9
      ironic, but yeah.
10
                DR. STEWART: Yeah.
11
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: But thank you very
12
      much, Dr. Stewart. I appreciate that.
13
                DR. STEWART: No problem.
14
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Enjoy the rest of your
15
      day.
16
                DR. STEWART: Thank you very much. Good luck
17
      with your hearings.
18
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Next, we're
19
      going to Pam Anderson. She's a former election official
20
      from the State of Colorado and is a mail-in ballot expert,
21
      as Colorado has now gone to all mail-in ballots. Are you
22
      there Ms. Anderson?
23
                MS. ANDERSON: I'm right here. Can you hear me?
24
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: We can hear you.
```

Thank you. Can you click on your video?

25

1 MS. ANDERSON: So I am showing that my video is 2 active.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Yep. There you go.
Now we can see you. All right.

(Oath administered.)

MS. ANDERSON: I do.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you so much. And with that, any opening remarks for the Committee this morning?

MS. ANDERSON: Certainly. Yes. Thank you very much, and I very much appreciate the invitation to have me at your hearing -- at your committee hearing, honorable committee members. My name is Pam Anderson. I am President of Consilium Colorado, LLC, and an expert in elections policy administration. I've really appreciated the previous testimony, and a shout out to Dr. Stewart, who I have worked with in the past primarily around elections metrics and data, which has informed a lot of my elections administration.

I was elected the Wheat Ridge City Clerk back in 2003. I have about 17 years' experience as both an elected official for 13 years and a -- I'm sorry, 11 years and as an administrator for nearly 17. I inform and support local jurisdictions, states, federal agencies as well. I serve on multiple volunteer boards in elections administration

and best practices. I was also elected the clerk and recorder. We do several things as the clerk and recorder. I was a Republican election official for eight years.

Jefferson County, just to keep with the theme, is a large county west of Denver, that is both politically and geographically diverse. I represented constituents -- about 500,000 at the time, both urban and suburban as well as mountain precincts. We are the gateway. Our county seat is Golden, Colorado, so you may recognize that from Coors beer commercials, which has their original brewery in our state, and we were the territorial capital of Colorado prior to statehood.

My constituency as an election official -- and I was partisan elected as a county clerk and unaffiliated elected at the municipal level, so I'm happy to answer any of those local election race questions, as both I experienced three campaigns and am familiar with, sort of, that political environment as well. My county is politically diverse. And there's a saying here in Colorado, as Jeff Co goes, so does the state. Our political makeup represents the state -- overall statewide makeup. And at the time, we were a third Republican, a third Democrat, and a third unaffiliated. Now we see a much larger unaffiliated population in Colorado.

My written testimony that I submitted provides a

lot of detail around elections administration, but one of the main points I want to make is that elections are -- and I've heard this from others, are an ecosystem, and our system developed over time. The details will be primarily about mail ballot and absentee, the topic of the hearing today. There's no element or tool or vote method that can be evaluated in isolation.

I've heard previous hearings and previous testimony that, sort of, demonstrates that for you and for local election officials. And you know, we built our system over time, and it's very, very true, similar to what Dr. Stewart was saying, that we had the blessing and opportunity to evolve this over several decades with a lot of reform happening during my tenure, starting in 2006 through 2014.

As an election official, as an elected official, I served with the Colorado County Clerks Association, which is a very robust professional association. I served on the board. I was co-chair -- bipartisan co-chair for our Legislative Committee and our Election Statute Review Committee. I was also president of the association when we passed our major reform bill, the Colorado Voter Access & Modernization Bill in 2013 that codified our transition to our hybrid system.

You often and heard today that we are an all-

mail-ballot system for federal, state, and also odd-year coordinated elections, which include statewide initiatives. We are a very robust initiative state. We have very long ballots because local jurisdictions, whether they're municipalities, towns, or local special districts, like water or fire districts can coordinate their board and taxing elections. We had a constitutional amendment in 2000 -- or I'm sorry, 1992 that passed that required a vote of the people for any tax increases. And so within that amendment, it provided that taxing, odd-year taxing elections could also be conducted by mail.

We moved to mail-ballot primaries in 2010, and we had no excuse absentee for many decades. We went to a permanent mail list beginning in -- it passed in 2007, and my county was sort of on the forefront of that evolution. We typically saw, prior to the permanent mail list, about half of our voters choosing and requesting a ballot every single year. And so it became very clear that reducing that bureaucracy for our voters could be helpful.

My permanent mail list went from 50 percent requesting immediately to almost 70 percent being permanent mail by 2007, the 2007 election. By 2008, we were at 75 percent mail, and by 2000, permanent mail, and by 2014, when our all-mail system passed -- the first general election, the all-mail system passed the General Assembly.

Prior to that, our list was at 81 percent, so it was always a very popular option for my constituents.

Colorado model was evolved through a collaboration between legislature and local officials, as you are doing. I really commend you for inviting experts and local election officials from your state to give feedback. Voters can be securely provided options on voter registration and voting options with efficiency and transparency with ballot accountability safeguards, signature verification, and really robust public accounting and audits, which I can describe in more detail as we do it in Colorado.

Robust and modernized voter registration database and election management is vital. That's part of that ecosystem. I know you've had hearings on that topic alone. It's incredibly important. Participation by local election officials and functionality, development, upgrade, and maintenance of those systems is important. List maintenance couldn't be more important, not only for efficiency but accuracy of your voter rolls. We were a founding member of the ERIC program. I know you are a state that utilizes and are members of the ERIC program. And we fully use the data provided through that system to maintain our lists.

Our Colorado voting options, I would say it's a

little bit more of a hybrid system than what is routinely demonstrated. Yes. We do mail a ballot to every active eligible voter in the state, for generals, for presidentials, and for odd-year coordinated elections and any local taxing district elections. But vote centers and in-person options are available, hundreds of them, throughout the state. This is available for full-service options so that the choice comes to the voter on whether or not they need a replacement ballot that they can carry out. They can drop off their ballot. We have full, accessible voting for ADA, and also for anybody that just chooses to vote in person and have that preference.

So on average, we have about five to seven percent of our voters that actually choose to vote in person. So while our mail ballot mailing is all-mail ballot, the return is not all-mail ballot. Military overseas voters and voters with disabilities have additional access options for electronic delivery in our state as well.

Ballot preparation, I can answer any questions about how we do that in detail. I gave you some written testimony. And paper ballot accounting and audits are extremely important and a big part of our election ecosystem. We do have in-person paper ballots and mail ballots that are dropped off in person. All of our ballots

are actually centrally counted and tabulated, not in the precincts. This allows for us to do some best practices and audits. We're the first state -- and my co-chair and I were advocates at the legislature for risk-limiting audits. We passed that initially back in 2011. It actually took us nearly 10 years to fully implement that because the systems needed to be built and put into place.

Pre-election day processing, what you call precanvassing, we do do that in Colorado. Jurisdictions -local jurisdictions can begin processing, pre-validating,
as well as scanning ballots as early as 15 days before
Election Day. And I can describe to why I feel like that's
an extremely important option, not only for local elections
administration but for voter confidence as well.

I am an advocate for some standardization and especially for resources for training of local election officials, standardizing certain and various election process and training and statute, and more specifically, under the authority of your chief election official -- in our state, it's the Secretary of State -- with guidance, when practical, is beneficial for both voters and election officials in our state. It can allow for thoughtful differences in population density, urban versus rural, resource and space, and we've codified that in our state statute both on the number of vote centers that we make

available as well as drop boxes. And you know, space and resources is extremely important. We invest and require in local election official training with the state certification for training for local officials required for clerks and recorders in our state, and they also train their staff. And our Colorado County Clerks Association has robust training.

These types of certification programs can positively contribute to staff development in that election professional marketplace when you're experiencing a lot of turnover, as I've heard in previous testimony. We also have experienced a lot of turnover in our state for local election officials. I think the Baby Boomer turnover is going to be real and is a thing, and so training is important.

But I appreciate -- thank you. I can provide any other detail for questions, and I'm happy to answer -- I'm sorry, any of that detail.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much, Ms. Anderson. First question goes to Representative Schmitt. Representative Schmitt. There you are.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yep. I got it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Anderson, I was curious. Over what period of time was Colorado's system of mail-only elections implemented.

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Representative, for that question. Excuse me. I have a little tickle in my throat. So many decades for absentee -- so we've had no absentee for a very, very long time. And as I heard described earlier, you know, we often had -- have folks that travel. We have a lot of mountains. You might be aware the Rocky Mountains exist. We have a lot of strong tourism industry in Colorado, and we have a lot of snowbirds, so our no excuse absentee process has been in place forever.

Registration reform started back in the '80s for -- with Motor Voter. We actually passed under Republican legislature Motor Voter registration prior to the federal law. And so that type of reform started in the '80s. Dr. Stewart sort of describes that trend of reform in that. I think Colorado is probably a good case study for that trend. We're also a wonderful laboratory because we are so politically diverse and have been historically extremely competitive, and we also have coordinated ballots. So our local elections can be coordinated by the county to give that voter that down-ballot experience in a consolidated ballot.

Starting in 2006, is when we started seeing, you know, after the 2000 election, just like a lot of states experienced a lot of reform in elections administration,

and we weren't unique in that. Colorado in 2006, we were the first state to implement vote centers. So Larimer County, Scott Doyle, the clerk and recorder there, was the innovator on that, and it was really driven by a pragmatic approach. You know, we had early voting in vote centers where within the county jurisdiction, any voter can go to any location for the early voting period, and then on Election Day they were required to go to a specific precinct. It sort of made sense in our state to say, why can't you take that early voting model to that full county Election Day experience.

And so that passed by our legislature in 2006, at the county option to move to vote centers. My county actually did not go to vote centers in 2014. It was primarily driven by an equipment decision and I was shifting to paper ballots, which provides a more unique administrative challenge for vote centers. But we saw a lot of innovation, and I think that's my main theme here. A lot of innovation with technology that gave us better infrastructure to roll out more access points and choice for our voters.

And so after 2006, you saw online voter registration. We centralized and upgraded. I recommend this. We upgraded and rolled out our registration and election management system. In Colorado, we call that

SCORE, similar to your SURE database. That happened in 2008, and we did it in a presidential year; don't recommend that. But it was -- the voter registration system is your fundamental tool. And I think that's a really important thing.

We then went to permanent mail. We went to mail ballot primaries after permanent mail. And then again in 2013, we had a major election reform. We also saw multiple reforms on registration database maintenance. How we communicate with voters and what choices and integrity pieces we provide. We saw security elements like signature verification implemented as early as 1993.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Okay. Great. Your testimony notes that Colorado -- the Colorado ballot management system allows for reconciliation of ballots.

What are the security characteristics of the ballots themselves? I mean, are they serialized or secured in some other way?

MS. ANDERSON: So you know, ballots are -- have some in-built in -- built-in security with paper and certain functionality on the ballots. The ballots themselves are anonymous. We do have precinct numbers that are in place on that, and we also have, sort of, ballot layout and style provides some built-in security that the layman may not be familiar with. On our envelopes, we

require -- we have some templates that we provide. Our outgoing envelopes have, you know, our addressing, either -- you know, a smaller county will do labels.

And our voting system actually has the capability to print those, and so it's integrated with our voting system, which I think is extremely helpful. You're not dealing with a third party in your -- you know, in your office, but you're also providing all that data specifically into the label making from the system.

The other thing that we do on return envelopes is we do have our sworn affidavits. We do signature verification. We only ask for the signature and date for the affidavit. We don't require additional ID information, like, name or anything like that. The voter information is actually either over-sprayed or labeled by the election official based on the information from our database. We do uniquely code our elections with a ballot ID, so we do know that a particular ballot that goes out is assigned to a particular person in a particular precinct, and it has a numerical number for that ballot ID so that when it comes back it can be barcoded in what we -- I heard someone describe this in a previous hearing -- call binking (ph). That's like a thing in election administration. That's universal.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Binking?

MS. ANDERSON: Where you have a barcode scanner that can tell you what -- you know, that was the appropriate ballot sent out in the envelope. Anonymous ballots are very important, so we do not identify to a particular voter any identifying information. Voter privacy is built in to our state constitution.

And so we also provide replacement ballots. So you can get more than one ballot -- up to three ballots -- in Colorado. And the security is in the return. We know if that's the replacement ballot or the original. Our system can only accept one ballot back, and first ballot, whether it's the replacement or someone found the original and sent that one. We can void ballots in the system and make sure that we're accounting for that.

Our system also produced -- and that -- this has been built over time -- is administrative reports that help you to balance and account for the ballots that come back and the voters that have a record for voting, and all of that's extremely transparent. We have very robust ballot tracking as well.

In my county, we had a poll system of ballot tracking, where a voter could go to our website and that's built into our online voter registration system, and see the disposition of your ballot. That's an important confidence and security --

1 REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yes. 2 MS. ANDERSON: -- measure. 3 REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yes. Yes. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. And with the CARES Act this 4 5 year, we were really excited because the Secretary of State 6 was able to provide grant funding to allow for the push 7 ballot tracking system. Our medium- and large-sized 8 counties have had this for over 10 years, where you can 9 send an email or a text or a notification, your ballot's 10 been mailed, your ballot has been received, your ballot has 11 been accepted or rejected, and that voter has that more 12 push communication as across the entire state, including 13 our rural counties, which has been a functionality they 14 haven't had up until now. It's always been, the voter can 15 go look it up themselves. I think that's a really 16 important and valuable --17 REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: 18 MS. ANDERSON: -- tool --19 REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yes. 20 MS. ANDERSON: -- for --21 REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yes. Absolutely. 22 MS. ANDERSON: -- voters. You know, for example, 23 I heard earlier saying how does a voter know -- or how do 24 you know that person got the right ballot and sent -- they 25 were the one that sent it in.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: Yes.

MS. ANDERSON: The signature verification on return, ballot accounting on return, but also I can tell you after millions of ballots processed in my jurisdiction and with ballot tracking through that entire time, I can tell -- and we do notifications after an election. If someone did not vote, our records show you didn't vote -- you know, confirmation cards. If a person received a notification that their ballot was processed and they didn't send it in, we would hear from them, and we just don't see that.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMITT: All right. Well, I tell you what, this is fascinating to hear, and I would love to continue this conversation, but I cannot monopolize you.

So I appreciate all the information. And I'll turn it back over to Chairman Grove. Thank you. Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Representative Schmitt. Representative Owlett.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Pam, for joining us. Really quick, three-level question here. How does the signature verification work specifically? I know that it's something you talked about. Very important. So that'd be kind of the high level. Maybe middle level, if there's a dispute and

adjudication is needed, what's that process look like? And then the lower level, specifically what signature records, does Colorado use for comparison?

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Representative, for the question. And it's an extremely important one, and I am an advocate for signature verification, so let me tell you where I sit. And the reason why I'm an advocate is because I tested the system, and I want to share that with you a little bit as well. I'm a data geek, and my husband and I have a small manufacturing company, so quality assurance is a thing for me, so I'm going to --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Very much so.

MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. So I'm going to share my experience as both an election official and an elected official. And when I came in as a clerk and recorder, you know, mail ballot was popular, but before the expansion, I did a deep dive on the signature verification process. And as Dr. Stewart has described, I would completely agree with his characterization of signature verification. We've been doing it for many decades. And your infrastructure around that is important, and I know you guys are discussing your registration database. And we're very lucky in Colorado because we've built -- starting with our registration database, a lot of infrastructure in for that process and system. So first I'd like to address that.

With Motor Voter, we now -- and this is the driver's license. We have a fully integrated system with our driver's license system, so we can capture the driver's license signature image and import that into our registration system because we have an initial driver's license reference from every registered voter with a driver's license or ID; that's really important. And over time, we have also been able to scan, clip, and import any example of any signature on voted ballots, on registration forms, on back when we had absentee requests on those --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: So you're --

MS. ANDERSON: -- forms --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- constantly collecting those signatures all along so that you can -- keep an eye on them. Okay.

MS. ANDERSON: Correct. So with the voter, over time, they can build a library of signatures that show differences, you know, over time. I know, Mr. Chair, you mentioned -- I think it was you that mentioned -- you know, signatures can denigrate with age or health conditions. I don't know about you, I have -- my son just graduated from college. I have a college student. Eighteen-year-olds and older, 16-year-olds can pre-register in Colorado. Your signature may change a little when you're young, you know, as you're forming your signature identify. So we do have

libraries of images.

Now, for new voters and voters that just moved in, we may have a smaller number of signature references, but our signatures -- our voter registration system and verification program will populate the three most recent signatures onto a screen for our -- what we call election judges that are appointed, first by parties, and then if we need additional judges to review a series of signatures or at least one signature for every voter. If a ballot is rejected due to a mismatch or -- teams of two judges do a deeper dive into the analysis. So they can go in to the system and look at more references if they have them and have a more intense conversation around that signature.

We have signature automated equipment that is primarily used by large jurisdictions with mail sorters that have that software capability. It is the local election official's decision on whether or not they utilize automated signature verification. My county was the first county to implement a sorter -- a high-speed mail sorter on return. We did not -- initially, we did not do automated signature verification. That was early in the development of the technology. Now, that has expanded in Colorado.

We have limitations on how many signatures can be reviewed through an automated process. As Dr. Stewart described, they can only be accepted. Every rejected

ballot needs to have that bipartisan team scrutiny and have more signature references available to -- because the sorting equipment -- the automated signature equipment may only look at one: the most recent signature.

Our laws -- our verification laws are written to the benefit of the voter. One of the very important pieces that we have regarding signature verification because as I described, it's an ecosystem -- no single thing; it's part of a system. We have cure available. And so in the event that a voter's signature is rejected or is missing, we notify the voter. By statute, it's within three days. It's a rolling window. It's one of the reasons why precanvassing is so very important for us because it gives voters that time opportunity that may be remote, military, overseas or temporarily away or serving in other -- or our snowbirds or anybody that may need a little time to have a cure instance to say, yeah, that was me.

know, people do move, so there are small numbers that are appropriately rejected because it wasn't voted by the appropriate person. Our rate of rejection is anywhere from 0.3 percent to about one percent on average. That varies by election. High-participation elections have a little bit higher rejection rate. In my experience that's because you have more new voters -- first-time voters with every --

you know, every four years --

2 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right.

MS. ANDERSON: -- presidential elections. We also have voters mistakenly sign a family members' ballot or an adult child, like a college student, mistakenly, and so that can be resolved and looked at more clearly.

And then I -- not -- it's not in any sort of flippant way, but we have drive-by drop off. I like to call them our steering wheel ballot, people that are signing their ballot on their steering wheel. Sometimes they're not -- you know, or your grocery store PIN pad signature. It needs to be precise, and so if you don't take care with your signature you may need to resolve that.

The cure is an extremely important piece for us.

We give voters up until eight days after Election Day to do
the cure. We make that easy. You can send in on the
affidavit as well as an acceptable form of ID to cure your
ballot, and you can do that electronically. So we also
make that list public. So from the political perspective,
we have seen campaigns actually reach out independently to
voters to cure their ballot and make sure they're noticed.
We notify them through every method of notification we can:
by mail, email, if we have one, and phone if we have it.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Great. Well, I appropriate your passion for this. It's something that's

super important, being able to verify that signature. I

think that's a -- it's a -- we do it in a lot of other

things in life. It makes sense to do it with our ballots.

I really appropriate your time, and thank you for being

I really appropriate your time, and thank you for being here, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MS. ANDERSON: If I could just add one more thing. The reason why I know this works -- and we -- and I -- as an election official I did this. I conducted operational audits of our signature verification process. I knew that ballots that were rejected got a real close scrutiny, but I also wanted to make sure -- the ballots that are accepted -- how are the judges doing it? How's our training? Training of this process is extremely important. We built our training over time, but we do use FBI and CBI based training -- forensic training. We train judges every single election, and a lot of times we get repeat -- election judges that come back to us with experience, which is also helpful.

And we audit -- you know, I audited that process to make sure -- is fatigue playing a role? You know, how are they doing? Is it accurate? And I have a lot of confidence in it. We saw no issues, once we started doing those operational audits. You know, and if you saw someone that had a slightly higher rejection rate or something like that, you went to them, you observed -- how's their

training? Do they need additional training? Anything of that nature. So I do have a pretty good level of confidence in the process.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Next question is Representative Ryan.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Ms. Anderson, first of all, thank you so much. I'm a CPA, so when you're talking about audits, I have a -- you just warmed my heart. And I also want to say I do quite a bit of -- used to do quite a bit of work with the Colorado Society of CPAs. And Colorado has always been known for its very robust auditing system. So a lot of your questions hit -- or comments hit on some of the concepts I'm looking at.

So you mentioned voter centers, and one of the issues that I'd like to really get an idea from is how they're used and inherent -- and from your prior answer about voter centers, I got the distinct impression that you have an incredibly balanced and intense performance audit capability along the way and a significant -- almost like a Six Sigma flowchart to ensure that they -- the system has enough touch points that you can tell if something is going wrong.

So in that same question of voter centers and your other testimony, could you give us some idea about your post-election audit results -- those kind of things.

And then finally, if you have any links to documents that you've used in the building up of the Colorado system, I would personally very much like it if we could get that to the Committee. So thank you very much.

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. Thank you,

Representative. Yeah. So election geeks like auditing

mostly. I mean, you know, I think having the

infrastructure in place to check the process, whether -
you know, from an operational perspective, having the tools

available to do it efficiently. You know, whether or not

they happen during the election or post-election, I

think -- I'm a fan of audits at whatever point you can do

it. Timelines are extremely important.

When we began talking about our risk-limiting audit in Colorado, you know, we passed legislation that said we were -- and it was in 2011, and -- as I recall.

And when we passed that legislation, we actually couldn't do it, technically. We had to set an implementation out.

You know, I think the original implementation date was five years out. It ended up being -- getting pushed off to 2017 because the voting systems, at the time, could not produce -- we were shifting to paper ballots whether they're delivered by mail or in person.

Voter-verified paper ballots are really important for us for independent election accountability. And so we

wanted to do a risk-limited audit that gave us a high level of confidence that the voting systems were tabulating the equipment -- that ballot appropriately.

One of the reasons we chose to centrally count is we -- it enabled us to do a risk-limited audit on -- where we could account and batch ballots and go -- our audit boards are publicly appointed -- our audit boards can go seek out a particular ballot and have a cast vote record on how that ballot was tabulated. And that's the significantly valid sampling of the ballot and tabulation system that we were able to build in Colorado.

I'm an advocate for any type of audit that -- you know, whether it's a comparison audit or otherwise a two -- I know many states do two percent. I think your state does that. You know, a more parallel audit where you're coming to the same outcome. I think, you know, ensuring that that equipment is operating effectively and accurately is important.

We do the same for the automated signature verification. Our code requires if you use automated signature verification that you audit the software in the system as you use. We have robust pre-election logic and accuracy testing as well as, we do our risk-limited -- statewide risk-limited audits. And we keep auditing, and we ramp up the number of ballots we select based on the

margin, how close an election is. And if we're not getting a satisfactory audit report, we audit more, which could result in a full hand count, if necessary, if we found -- if we could not have that level of confidence that the tabulation was accurate.

The signature verification on the manual system is not required by law for manual. This is a best practice that I recommend, and many of our counties do that.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: This has been helpful.

Just one very quick final question: if the system has not been completely audited and a performance check in advance, is a post-election risk-limited audit of significant value?

MS. ANDERSON: I believe it is. I think it's an incredibly important one from a voter confidence, as well as an election official confidence level. I think auditing's -- and audits are the standard across the country. And while we have a risk-limited audit, we've been able to build the infrastructure over time. I'm a fan of any types of audit. And a friend and colleague from Colorado, Jennifer Morrell, would be the person to come and talk to you because she's published great work on that that you can find and happy to send you reference for that.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Please do.

MS. ANDERSON: But I'm a fan of both some operational audits when you can. Another study that we did

is we looked at the type of ID that people presented in person and for ballots to, you know, help us both know how our election was operating. And we did that post-election, right, by taking a look back. But help to inform best practices or improvements. And one of the themes for local election officials is we're always looking to get things better.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Thank you so much. Mr.

Chairman, thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you, Representative Ryan. Representative Staats.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Thank you. And thank you, Ms. Anderson. Welcome to Pennsylvania, even if virtually. And in your testimony, you mentioned that your statewide voter registration database includes a ballot management system and electronic pollbook capability. Can you speak to those aspects and the benefit of those aspects?

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. Yes. It is a vital and important tool, both for providing the amount of access we can provide for our voters as well as some of the security elements in our system.

So Donetta Davidson was Secretary of State when we were starting to build and design our SCORE system. And one of the key decisions that I think helped is county

officials are required to register voters, and we do the verification and validation of voter registration, but our system is an independent county systems that feed into a state system. When we implemented it, it became a state system that fed -- that interacted back and forth with the county system.

So every county utilizes the SCORE system for registration as well as an election management system that allows us to keep ballot inventory information in our system as well as to provide -- and at the beginning to provide information on that ballot accounting. So assigning a particular ballot, not allowing another -- a second ballot for -- to be received. It gives you indications of that and as well as implementing our signature verification and providing efficiencies for that. So for example, having the ability to see signature references without any other information about the voter -- their name, their party, anything -- you're just looking at signatures. That sort of capability got built over time.

For accounting purposes, one of the really instrumental things for us was building in what is more commonly known as sort of an e-pollbook system -- a statewide e-pollbook system. It is a module web score that in our vote centers for early voting, we wanted a system that could issue a ballot to a voter -- an in-person ballot

or a mail ballot replacement as part of that entire system. It is internet based, so we -- you know, we have that access. We have contingencies for if the internet goes down, provisional ballots being one of them, paper pollbook backup for jurisdictions, if necessary. Election officials always work on, what's our backup plan? So that's also helpful.

Our registration system and our ballot accounting system provides reports. We have public participation reports, so campaigns and -- as a former candidate and elected official, you may be interested in -- and I think you probably have some of this as well, but you can do a chase of voters, whether they vote early, whether they vote by mail, who's voted, who hasn't, who we've received a ballot back. We also can provide reports that help in administration and accounting. We batch and account for our ballots, so that our public canvass board can account for the ballots that we received -- the pieces of paper, the batches, throughout the entire process and provide reports to -- for voter participation, which are mostly public and compare those and reconcile the election by precinct.

So one of the big developments -- and that was part of our 2013. List maintenance is extremely important. Our comparison database doing National Change of Address,

keeping people updated, we actually evolved that system.

We use National Change of Address. We do Social Security

number validations. We validate to our driver's license

database. We do data exchanges for address changes and new

voters daily with our Department of Revenue, who does our

driver's licenses.

And we also have connectivity to our Corrections database. In Colorado, you are eligible to vote if you are on probation or have served your sentence of time, not if you're incarcerated for a felony. And so that data changes for eligibility over time. We get monthly data exchanges for those. And so it has a lot of functionality that's been beneficial to those values of list maintenance integrity.

And what we've learned is our voters don't distinguish between agencies in government or levels of the government. You and I may not be the average voter. Most people say, well, if I change my driver's license address, why doesn't it change this here? And what we've found is that automatically updating registration -- we actually do automatic voter registration for new voters with driver's licenses. And being able to access that live across the entire state has provided us the ability not to have to ask voters to vote provisionally, which was one, very popular with voters -- voting in vote centers and getting issued a

ballot and doing same-day registration, but it provided the needed security to ensure that we can see statewide that a ballot was not cast by that voter anywhere else in the state.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: And then very quickly, regarding the e-pollbook, I mean, many times when you go to the poll, that's where a bottleneck takes place.

MS. ANDERSON: Uh-huh (affirmative).

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: I would imagine one benefit would be the e-pollbook is more efficient getting people through the line.

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you for that question. The e-pollbook is efficient now. I can tell you historically, the robustness of the system is extremely important for that to be true. So our e-pollbook is held in the SCORE system and it's held, you know, on servers and backup servers at the Secretary of State's office, and it is an internet system, and so, you know, outages whether they're local or statewide -- we did, early on, experience outages frequently in an election that did contribute to some line management issues. But building that infrastructure over time, we have not experienced any of that in the last couple of cycles, which has been great.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Well, very good. Thank you very much. And thank you, Chairman Grove.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

2 Representative Diamond.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Ms. Anderson. Your testimony mentions that Colorado requires the ballots be mailed to voters no later than 18 days prior to an election, and currently Pennsylvania law allows voters to request a ballot -- mailin ballot up to 7 days before an election. Can you describe the benefits of Colorado's earlier deadline?

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Representative. One of the big benefits of our 2013 reform was some of the consistency across county jurisdictions and our model. So this is very helpful, one, for voters and reducing voter confusion, but also it's frankly very helpful for campaigns and advocacy groups, and in medium markets across your state that vary in having a consistent message to voters. So we do have a window, so it's not a single day but a window of that initial ballot mailing for the original ballot to go out prior to an election, and as you said, it was 15 to 18 days.

Now, I have -- we do have a little additional time in the event that the election falls -- if there's a Monday federal holiday, because then mail service is slower, so we get a little extra time on the front end in the event of a federal holiday. We have one of the latest

mailing windows in the country, if I -- I believe. I think our local election officials wouldn't mind maybe just a little bit -- in hindsight, a little bit more time to ensure that any challenges with U.S. Postal Service can be accommodated, but one of the positive things is having that consistent window to communicate to voters across media markets, across campaigns, directly from election officials to their voters, your ballots will begin to be mailed out starting this date and you should see them, you know, going out across the state over that next week or so. Ballot delivery to our rural counties is a little bit -- takes a little bit longer so we want to provide enough time to get the ballot out, have a voter be able to take their ballot, contemplate, and vote it, and have time to mail it back.

We also have an eight-day -- we -- not that a voter can't mail their ballot back, but we cannot mail a ballot to a voter after eight days before the election day. We want to make sure we have enough time to get that out there. But another safeguard for us for that mail ballot is we have our vote centers, so in the event a voter had any challenge or they did not update their address, for example, or their ballot delivery was delayed, we have that (indiscernible) person option for someone to come get their ballot.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: All right. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative 3 Mackenzie.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And Ms. Anderson, thanks for joining us. I appreciate you being here and hearing your testimony today. So in your testimony, you mentioned that a large number of Colorado voters take their mail ballot and vote in-person on election day by dropping that off. So can you explain how that process works as compared to another drop-box location where they might drop it off or any other option they may have to drop off a ballot?

MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. So in Colorado, we value and have institutionalized voter choice. So we have hundreds of drop box locations in jurisdictions across the state. The minute we create -- same with vote centers, by statute, a minimum number required by county, and it's tiered by the size of your county, by the number of voters, the population density, and so forth. It's a floor, not a ceiling, so we allow local election officials to determine if they need more or want more. Voters have the option to go to 24-hour drop boxes to drop their ballot at any time during the voter -- voting period. Those ballot drop boxes open as soon as ballots are available. They can drop them

off also in their vote center, so they can carry them in and drop them off. They can be issued a mail ballot and then decide they want to vote it there and then drop it in the drop boxes. We have a lot of ballot custody and security around that process. Best practices that are also memorialized in Secretary of State Rule, so generally, the statute provides and the Secretary of State Rule then specifies some of the detail around security and provision of those access points.

But in Colorado, historically, our voters still like voting on election day. By mail, they vote -- the primary tool is their vote-at-home ballot, but they choose to drop it off on election day, so we get as much as a third to 40 percent of voters, even if they're voting their mail ballot, dropping them off. And we have a -- we're a ballot-at-hand state so that we have to receive it by close of polls, which in Colorado is 7 p.m. At that point, we have distributed staff that close the ballot boxes and we have personnel at U.S. Postal Services to secure those ballots and bring them back for processing.

So you do see, we're able to preproc -- you can see in time with results processing. You know, we have close of polls at 7:00. That's when the tabulation system brings together the election results and they get publicly published. We have a statewide election results system, so

that gets fed up to the state as soon as -- both at the local it can be projected, as well as fed into the statewide system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you see, shortly after close of polls -again, with -- you know, typically what you see with our local election officials, they go what we call, going in clean to election day where every ballot that they have, whether it's early in person or mail ballot has been preprocessed and accounted for prior to election day, so you'll see, shortly after close of polls, anywhere from, you know, 60 to 70 percent of election results that correlate to that pre-election day time period and then you see unofficial election results updated throughout the evening and over the next several days, because in our densely populated areas, you know, mail ballots take longer to process and verify. So you'll often see in our urban areas and some of our rural areas some unofficial updates the day after or a few days after election day. Those are going to be in-person election day voters and those voters that dropped their ballots off on election day.

The last unofficial results posting will be our military overseas voters that voted by election day but we give time for those voters to receive back their ballot, or those cure ballots that I referred to earlier, you have an opportunity up until election day. And with final results

posting, typically by Friday in the most densely populated areas, but you can see those results trends correlate in time that make sense and correlate with participation, which I think is helpful.

I'm sorry, Representative. I think you're on mute.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Thank you. So let me just ask a few logistical questions about, again, those mail voters who are choosing to drop it off on election day. Do they have to drop it off at their precinct or they can drop it off at any location -- any election or, you know, polling location?

MS. ANDERSON: Yes. Thank you for that question. So voters can drop off their ballot at any location statewide. So what we mostly see is, though, they'll drop their ballots off in the jurisdiction they reside in. You know, at times — so for example, one of the advantages to our system that we've discovered is it's very versatile and resilient in disasters. We have a lot of fires out here in the West, and so you may have a firefighter that is fighting a fire in a different part of the state. They can drop their ballot off in any location in the state.

They're secured by the election official and we exchange those ballots within that eight-day period in order to count every eligible vote that we can in Colorado.

1	REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: So here in
2	Pennsylvania, we had our mail ballots being counted at the
3	county level, so if these ballots come into an election day
4	polling location, they aren't counted there that evening;
5	they're then taken at the close of polls to the county
6	location. Is that correct?
7	MS. ANDERSON: That is correct.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Okay.
9	MS. ANDERSON: We centrally count all of our
10	ballots at our elections office.
11	REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: And then those that
12	are from outside the county, you're saying, get exchanged
13	over the next eight days?
14	MS. ANDERSON: That is correct.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Gotcha. Okay. All
16	right. That's all the questions I have. Thank you very
17	much again. Appreciate it.
18	MS. ANDERSON: Thank you.
19	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: All right.
20	MS. ANDERSON: I should probably add, and I don't
21	think I included this in my elections testimony, we do
22	enable voters, electors to give their ballot to someone
23	else to collect and drop off. Under State statute, an
24	individual can drop off up to 10 ballots in Colorado. That
25	was increased from, I think, 5 ballots to about 10 ballots

back in 2010. We do, again, with signature verification —
the signature verification is extremely important. Our
comms are, you know, we don't recommend voters give their
ballot to someone they don't know but it also really
supports our homebound voters. I believe healthcare
facilities came up earlier, as well. I thought I would
mention that we do send bipartisan teams of judges to
nursing homes and healthcare facilities with a threshold of
eight or more voters in order to provide voting assistance,
if needed, and we deliver those ballots and provide
accessible options, if they need them.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Is that -- is -- are those bipartisan teams, is that statutory language or is that just best practices?

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. No. It's statutory. So our process for assigning poll workers and election workers, I described it in some detail on the written testimony, is our local county parties provide lists of poll workers that election officials are required to use and choose from, if possible. Local — the clerks and recorders can supplement those judges if those — they can't find enough judges, which is — or poll workers, which is often the case, to — with their database of previous judges, and so on and so forth, and so first by the parties but then we can be supplemented. Election

judges can also -- or poll workers can also serve in a county that they don't reside in.

And so, for example, we often have -- you know, rural counties and urban counties may be more politically homogeneous. So my county was very diverse so I didn't really struggle as much at the time with finding bipartisan -- enough bipartisan poll workers -- or election workers. But my neighbor in Boulder, which is primarily Democratic, or my neighbor in El Paso County, which was very heavily Republican sometimes struggled with getting enough lists -- names for those interested in serving.

We're a -- we are a caucus state so we -- you know, the list starts at the caucus level and moves through the county party structure, but we have the flexibility because, you know, poll workers shortages are real, it's a thing, from, you know, to recruit and bring in additional workers, if needed.

All of our operations, by statute -- many of them by statute, many by rule -- for example, ballot collection, transferring ballots -- again, we centrally count from -- or collecting ballots from 24-hour drop boxes or our vote centers, must be done with bipartisan teams. I described our signature verification process. Our vote centers are balanced politically, as well.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Excellent. Thank you.

And unfortunately, we are out of time. We need to move on to our next panel. Thank you so much for your participation. It was very informative, and we really

4 appreciate it. Thank you so much.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you very much, and I really appreciate giving the Colorado experience.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Chairwoman?

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Yes. for your testimony. I know you're leaving. I just wanted to illuminate some of the things that you said that I found to be impressive. One, you know, the level of quality assurance. I like the use of that word, as opposed to election security. I think it kind of militarizes our election system, but you talked about quality assurance, which was very important, but you also balanced that with widespread voter access, widespread levels of voting, options for voters, ability to be able to cure your ballot, for lack of a better term. I don't really like that term because it sounds like the ballot is sick or something, but you used that term as well. So you allow voters many, many options so people can access the ballot box in a number of ways. They could drop it off at various locations. You also provide security where elections officials are there to be able to secure those ballots at the end of the day.

And nursing homes are particularly problematic

because you have a number of folks that are in there that lack capacity, so it is a place where quality could be jeopardized in many instances, but you had a way to even make sure that those folks — that the folks who are casting ballots know what ballots they're casting and are the actual person making those choices and making those decisions. So I really liked what you had to say today, balancing quality assurance as well as voter access. So thank you very much for your testimony.

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate that. I think -- you know, I appreciate those comments very much because, again, it is a full ecosystem and local election officials, myself as a former one, but I'm the number one fan for local election officials and a shout-out to the Commonwealth's officials that -- we all had an extremely challenging year last year with circumstances that were remarkable. Every local official really cares about their process. And helping with best practices and tools to enable and to take a look at their process and improve it, their value, that's where it's at. Resources, time, and support for training in those processes are -- you know, restrictions and that are real, and so any support that you can give in balancing that system, providing access and the security and integrity necessary to maintain that high level of confidence for

1 their local election official, as well as voters, you know, 2 benefits us all for our constitutional rights. 3 so much. 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much. 5 Dr. Hall, are you with us? 6 DR. HALL: Yes. I am. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Thank you 8 so much for joining us once again. 9 DR. HALL: Yes, sir. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Our final panel for 11 this hearing is Dr. Hall, who has been with us before. 12 is the Elections Director of Voter Registration Elections 13 for Mercer County; also a former elections official in the 14 State of Arizona. So if you could turn your screen on 15 and -- oh, there you are. Okay. I see you. Just raise 16 your right hand and we'll swear you in. 17 (Party sworn) 18 DR. HALL: I do. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you so much. 20 any opening statements or remarks? 21 DR. HALL: Yes. The 2020 election was quite an 22 experience for all the counties in Pennsylvania, and we 23 went from, you know, having a relatively small number of 24 people vote by mail in 2016 to having 26 percent of our

voters cast a mail ballot in 2020. And election officials

25

went from running primarily an election day election with some people voting absentee to now we run three different elections, basically. We run an election day election, just like we did before, but we're also running a mail-in voting election, which requires us to have staffing and support for mailing ballots out to people, getting them back, and also dealing with the forms required for people who request ballots. And then we're also doing in-person absentee voting, which should not be confused with early voting because, you know, what we're doing is bringing in -- people are coming to our offices and we're having them vote using the mail-in process but they're giving us their ballot at the end of that process. This has meant that counties have -- are working through what our staffing needs are going forward and understanding what our various resource needs are as we move into this new phase of elections in Pennsylvania.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's a couple of points I want to make. First is, there are some timeline issues that affect all of us. For example, the last day to challenge somebody for the election last year bumped into when we needed to have ballots printed and processed for our military and overseas voters and also pushed into when some of us wanted to have our ballots ready for mail-in to our regular voters. That deadline is something that's -- was established by a

Consent Decree, and it would be great if the timelines for our elections could be looked at broadly so that we can make sure that we have, as election directors, enough time to do the various tasks that we need to do.

The previous speaker was talking about the importance of having -- or the benefits of having a uniform date for mailing out ballots. When I was in Arizona, that date was between 27 and 24 days before the election, and as she was noting, it does allow for you to have consistent messaging across the state of when ballots are going to go out, which would be very beneficial, you know, to all election offices.

The issue of the election -- the request date for a mail-in ballot is also something that should be reviewed. Currently, it's a week prior to the election, and the issue we have is we can't actually ensure that we can mail somebody a ballot and process their application in that time. We want to serve everybody who wants a mail-in ballot, but we also need to be cognizant of the fact that if we accept an application and we mail something to them, they may not receive it in time to vote that ballot and then return it, and so looking at what a better date would be is important.

The other thing I would note for the primary elections is that the date for changing your -- for voter

registration for changing your party affiliation is actually after most of us are going to have mailed out all of our permanent mail—in ballots, so a person can actually change their party affiliation after we've done this mailing and then we have to cancel out the original ballot, and then we mail them a new one. If they returned the old ballot it wouldn't count, but it does create headaches for us in that area and so addressing what the cutoff is for party changes would be very important, as well.

Obviously, the canvassing deadline is something that affects all of us. We would like to be able to precanvass at least a week before the election. That would allow us to make sure that we can go through the ballots the best way possible. In Mercer County, we did not do any pre-canvassing prior to the 2020 election because we wanted to focus 100 percent of our resources on election day voting, which is where 75 percent of my voters voted, and it's a critical activity that requires, you know, very clear focus on election day.

One thing that probably has not come up that I did want to mention is the issue of people -- third party groups mailing information to people. All of our offices were flooded with calls because various interest groups were mailing documents to people that looked like they were either from the State or from our office, and it would be

very helpful if there was a required disclaimer so that people could understand what is an official piece of mail from my -- our office versus what is coming from third-party groups. Obviously, third-party groups have a first amendment right to do whatever mailings they want to, but if they had to -- if they were required to disclose that they're not the election office it would be very beneficial to us.

A couple of last things. One is the code section regarding drop boxes and satellite locations. It would be very helpful if we had better statutory guidance on how those are to be handled. In Mercer County, we did not have drop boxes, in part because that area of the law was murky to us. In addition, when we're going to do in-person mailin voting also would be beneficial for it to be cleared up -- you know, what the time period is for that, when it starts, when it stops, and how do we handle people who may have requested a mail-in ballot prior to the deadline but then they come to our office on Thursday or Friday, and then they want a ballot. You know, there are certain types of issues like that that arise that it would be very helpful to have clarified.

Finally, on signature verification, I know that that is something that came up earlier, as well. When I was in Arizona, no one was allowed to engage in signature

verification unless they've been trained by the State, so
the State hired experts to do training and it was very
problematic if a staff person did signature verification if
they had not completed and received a certificate that
they're allowed to do signature verification because it's
definitely an art and not a science, and it requires quite
a bit of training to do it effectively.

I'm happy to answer any questions you all have.

I'm sure that you have quite a few.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Absolutely. Thank you so much.

First, Representative Lewis.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Dr. Hall, for being with us. Can you talk a little bit about your perception and advice to us regarding drop boxes? I mean, do you think they should be treated like a polling location? What are your thoughts on drop boxes and your advice to us as a committee? Thank you, sir.

DR. HALL: Well, sure. There's -- let me answer that question in two ways. One is, I know that in the previous discussion the issue of dropping off ballots on election day at a polling place came up, and that's actually a relatively common activity. It varies by state on, you know, whether or not you're allowed to do that.

One benefit of dropping ballots off at a polling place is
that you can engage in any kind of checking you want to do
of who's dropping off the ballots and things like that.

It's also very convenient.

For drop boxes, the big issue with drop boxes is making sure that they're properly secured and that they won't be vandalized or otherwise — there won't be any kind of issue with the security of the box. And you know, Colorado, Arizona, and other states in the West have very good procedures for how to handle drop boxes and you know, they're very beneficial. I think that, you know, one of the things you do want to make sure of with drop boxes also is that there is some sort of consideration to making sure the drop boxes are dispersed uniformly across a jurisdiction so that there's no benefit to one party or another in how the boxes are being put out.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you. I understand you. So it sounds like, in your opinion, the security's important, as well as just the fairness of making sure equal distribution; is that what I'm hearing from you, sir?

DR. HALL: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Wonderful. I appreciate, Dr. Hall.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Representative Ortitay.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to kind of talk about the financial aspect here, because when we started Act 77, it was really an effort to offset the County's costs in purchasing new voting machines prior to the 2020 election.

DR. HALL: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: What financial impact did widely used mail-in voting have on your county?

DR. HALL: It's having an impact. It had an impact in 2020, and it's having an impact now. One of the biggest issues that we're all experiencing right now is the requirement that we do the annual mailing to people who are permanent mail-in voters. That mailing is very expensive for us, and so that was a new cost that we all incurred. And I can tell you that, you know, I've talked to several election directors over the last couple of days and the costs associated with processing all of those applications, especially in the SURE system before we had the new system, is very costly to us. It requires a lot of staff time, you know, to process them, and that has been a very big cost for all jurisdictions is just the manpower required to process the applications. You know, I know that there are counties who are hiring additional staff right now. are counties who were having staff work overtime on occasion to get them all processed. So that has been a big cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other cost that existed for the -- that's going to happen, going forward, is the cost associated with in-person absentee voting. You know, we had two people who worked for us as temporary employees who helped with that process, but you know, even if we just had mail-in voting in our -- in-person mail-in voting in our office, I need two or three people just to serve basically as poll workers in that situation, and so the staffing costs associated with the increase in in-person absentee voting, mail-in voting, and processing all the applications and making sure all the mailings are going out correctly -- you know, that staffing cost is real and it also is something that's extending throughout the year because we're processing those applications, you know, in the spring and summer, and then in the fall, you know, we need people to be helping with the in-person component and also with -- if you have drop boxes or anything like that you have to have staff go to it and you technically probably need two staff to go and pick up everything. And so there is a -- there's a lot of costs associated with this that we're all having to work through.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Could you give me a ballpark figure or maybe a percentage of how much your cost increased over the course of this election?

DR. HALL: Well, I can tell you, we hired three temporary employees that we did not normally hire and that cost us -- just those three people alone cost us more than \$50,000, and then I also had staff -- we were working -- especially during in-person early voting, I had three staff people that were working six hours of overtime a day. So you know, that's 90 hours of overtime pay that I was doing for, you know, four or five weeks, in addition to the period prior where they were working late to process all the applications, so the costs were quite -- you know, that's well over \$100,000 in just personnel costs alone, not including mailing costs and envelopes and all of that that were required with the mail-in voting.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: Well, judging by that response, I have a feeling, for my last question I think I know the answer to, but compared to the expected costs of replacing the voting machines after the governor decertified -- or yeah, decertification of the older machines --

DR. HALL: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: -- did your county benefit financially from the passage of Act 77?

DR. HALL: I wasn't here. I've only been here for eight months, but you know, I would -- you know, I think that the costs are -- there are definitely additional

personnel costs and mailing costs associated with this,
and -- you know, and that's something that -- in part, one
of the things that we're seeing is that counties in
Pennsylvania are kind of catching up with their counties in
other states where these costs have already existed. If -and so the staffing here in Pennsylvania is now becoming
like the staffing in -- for instance, in South Carolina or
Arizona, or other places.

REPRESENTATIVE ORTITAY: No. I appreciate that answer, and I just think it's important that the things that we do in Harrisburg — that we see the financial aspect of that and the impact that it has on our counties. And I believe we provided around \$90 million for the counties, but I just think it's important to keep that in mind as we move forward. I appreciate your answers.

And thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Representative Nelson.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just kind of building on, a little bit, as you were touching on the in-person mail-in voting, I think it was 26 percent of -- that you had testified earlier that used the mail-in ballot process. How many of those do you think were the in-person mail-in or in-person absentee voting?

1 DR. HALL: We only had one location, which was in 2 our courthouse, and I would estimate probably about 5 -- 5 3 to 10 percent out of those people voted -- about 5 percent 4 of the people who voted mail-in voted in person in our 5 office. 6 REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: So that was your only 7 satellite location -- or you had --8 DR. HALL: Yes. 9 REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- no satellite 10 locations. It was at your office during --11 DR. HALL: Yes. 12 REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: -- working hours. 13 nothing on the weekend or evening? 14 DR. HALL: That's correct. 15 REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: You already had touched 16 on the staffing requirements. If you were going to offer 17 some of those satellite locations -- you know, what type of 18 financial resources would you need in order to be able to 19 offer that? Some areas had a number of those within the 20 county. If you were going to try to offer some of those 21 satellite stations, what type of resources would you need 22 to have for that? 23 DR. HALL: Sure. Well, you can think about it

basically as when you open up a satellite location, it's

like opening up a polling place, and so you would need to

24

25

have four to five people working at the location, depending on the volume of people who went there, and so you would need to have that for two or three weeks. And so that's a fairly sizable cost. I can work out what the cost would be.

And the other thing you have to keep in mind too is -- so in Mercer County is a county where we have a -- you know, one urban area where we have in the cities of Hermitage, Sharon, Farrell, altogether, and then we have a much more rural part of the county with smaller townships. And you know, we would need to open up satellite locations, not just where our population center is, but in some of the other locations, as well, so that there's a fair distribution around the county of early voting sites, and obviously, that would make it more costly as well.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Yeah. That's a -- it would almost -- sounds like it might be a fourth election for you to work within.

After the election, in earlier testimony today, they talked about the missing information from some voters that were registered in the system and that there were six days that a county had in order to review those and remove those votes from the system. If the votes were already counted and then you were going to remove a voter from the system, how would that actually happen?

DR. HALL: So I apologize for not being on the entire hearing, so I'm not sure exactly what they were referring to, but you know, when people sent back their ballots, if we had not been able to verify their identity by doing matches against their driver's license, Social Security number, or other information, those ballots were held aside and those people were contacted. We had contacted — there was a form actually put in with their ballot to tell them that they needed to provide information to us because they had not provided it on their application for a mail-in ballot, and so those ballots were triaged separately. So we never — those ballots were — you know, in our office were kept separate and were not processed until that six-day period was up and we had received their information.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: That's great. And that seems to be a pretty appropriate way to address that. Was that something that your county came up with or was that a directive from the Department of State?

DR. HALL: It was -- to be honest, I came here in -- at the end of August, and that was the process that we had put in place to do that. So I think it was done by our county because I do know that there was some variation in how counties handled that.

REPRESENTATIVE NELSON: Okay. Great. Thank you.

1 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: You're welcome, 3 Representative. 4 Representative Owlett 5 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you so much. 6 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 Just real quick off of Representative Nelson, 8 about how many of those ballots that didn't have correct ID 9 information did Mercer County get in this past election, 10 just out of curiosity? 11 DR. HALL: It was probably around 50 or so. 12 was a very small number that we didn't have it, and about 13 half of those people did provide the information that they 14 needed to provide. 15 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Okay. 16 DR. HALL: And so it was a relatively small 17 number. 18 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah. When it comes to 19 the in-person absentee voting, when was -- when were you 20 notified that this was going to be part of this 2020 21 election? 22 DR. HALL: Well, when I arrived at the end of 23 August -- you know, we worked through a -- you know, a plan 24 for how we were going to handle everything. We ended up

using some money that we had received to purchase a ballot-

```
1
      on-demand printer, so we were able to service all of the
 2
      people who may come into our office. Otherwise, you had to
 3
      print a ballot when a person comes in for every precinct in
 4
      our county. And we opened up that process about, you know,
 5
      three weeks prior to the election, and it was just -- it
 6
      was something that we planned for although, you know, the
 7
      staffing needs that we had -- we planned for that also but
 8
      it's also complicated because of getting access to SURE
 9
      sometimes can be difficult, and so -- for staff to get
10
      them the security check-ins that they needed. And we
11
      didn't have -- our office also doesn't have a lot of space
12
      for in-person early voting.
13
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Well that was --
14
                DR. HALL: -- a challenge.
15
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Yeah. That was my other
16
      questions is what -- I mean, simultaneously, they're
17
      working at other tasks in your office --
18
                DR. HALL: Yes.
19
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- and then this --
20
                DR. HALL: Right.
21
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: -- so how did that work?
22
      I mean, what was the --
23
                DR. HALL: They --
24
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: (Indiscernible -
25
      simultaneous speech).
```

1 DR. HALL: -- they did not work at other tasks. 2 They -- we had to work on early --3 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: They didn't. 4 DR. HALL: -- in-person early voting and then, 5 you know, when 4:30 rolled around we, you know, locked our 6 doors and then we switched gears and did all the other 7 things that we normally would do in an election. 8 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: So --9 DR. HALL: -- processing voter registrations and 10 applications and pulling the labels you have to pull to 11 mail out the next set of ballots to people who, you know, 12 made requests. And so it was a very -- that's why we had 13 so many overtime costs. 14 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: So you probably weren't 15 encouraging voters to necessarily do that because you knew 16 the bandwidth wasn't there. 17 DR. HALL: We weren't discouraging it either. 18 We --19 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right. 20 DR. HALL: -- you know, obviously -- you know, we 21 made people aware of it and -- you know, it -- you know, 22 going forward, we'll be in a bigger -- we'll be in a --23 we're moving offices to a different office space that will 24 allow us to have a better flow of people. I think that the

new SURE System, which the company that's doing that system

```
1
      did the system in Arizona, which I'm familiar with.
 2
      much easier process to check somebody in, to vote in-person
 3
      mail in, and so I think that the process will be a lot
 4
      easier and we'll be able to handle it with temporary staff,
 5
      as opposed to having to have our permanent staff involved
 6
      in the process.
 7
                REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: All right. Thank you for
 8
      your time and your service. Appreciate it.
 9
                Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE:
                                         Thank you.
11
                Dr. Hall, I'm just going to inform you now
12
      because you have experience with the new SURE System,
13
      you're probably going to be our go-to guy moving forward
14
      when we have discussions about the new SURE System, so.
15
                DR. HALL: (Indiscernible - voice lowered).
16
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Congratulations, I
17
      think.
18
                DR. HALL:
                           Thank you. I'll trade that for if
19
      you'll make permanent mail-in voters permanent.
20
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: All right. All right.
21
      We can have discussions.
22
                DR. HALL: So we don't have to mail them things
23
      every year. If we could just do -- if they're -- want to
24
      be permanent, we would love for them to be permanent.
```

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Right. And actually, I

was going to ask that question at the end. How has that permanent list mailer been? I know my county elections director was very frustrated about the cost and having to do it, so you know, do we need it, and kind of, what's your thoughts on kind of improving that process?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. HALL: Sure. Well, so first of all, you know, last year was the first year where, you know, you had widespread use of vote by mail. The form that was used, I think, people have a way of just checking boxes on forms, and so we had a lot of people who checked box 7, which was the box to make yourself permanent, and so that created, you know, all sorts of issues going into the 2020 election. And then you had people who may have checked the box but then they decided that they wanted to vote in person, which created, you know, people voting provisionally in polling places at much higher rates than was normal, so the mail we did this year, I think, actually, even though it was -it's very frustrating, you know, may have been necessary just to allow people to cancel who made a mistake and want to cancel out.

But I think going forward, it would be very helpful if we could make people who are permanent permanent, and so we would just mail them a ballot for every election, or it could be -- they could at least be permanent for an election cycle, for four years, where we

1 would just do a mailing once every four years because the 2 processing of these applications and mailing them out is --3 the mail out is costly and the processing of them when they 4 come back is costly. And I know that many of us are -- you 5 know, have a couple thousand of them to process. I know in 6 Bucks County, they have tens of thousands of them that have 7 come back, and you know, having people process them is a 8 pretty slow endeavor. It's not a very -- it's not 9 something you can do very quickly in the current SURE 10 System. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Right. So addressing 12 that would be a --13 DR. HALL: Yes. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: -- a cost saver and an 15 efficiency for counties moving forward. 16 DR. HALL: It would, and it would also allow us 17 to plan better because we would know who was permanent. 18 And so if I know, for instance, that I have a polling place 19 with 2,000 voters but I know that 800 of them are permanent 20 voters, it lets me prepare better staffing for that polling 21 place and thinking about what that polling place needs. 22 And that's, you know, obviously very helpful. 23 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Okay. Thank you.

Representative Ryan.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Mr. Hall, thank you so

24

much. And first of all, I promise you I'll keep you in my prayers since you've been now named the go-to person for the new SURE System --

DR. HALL: I know.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: -- since I wouldn't -- I wouldn't do that to anybody. But no, seriously, thank you.

First of all, Mercer County had exceptional results. In looking at a deep dive of all the numbers, you had a slight voter surplus, which is what should happen.

You were one of 19 counties in the Commonwealth where all the numbers literally tied in perfectly pretty quickly, so your expertise is very helpful in this.

And so you had mentioned in your testimony that -- your concerns and issues about the seven-day notification and the seven-day period of time created by being able to request a mail-in ballot seven --

DR. HALL: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: -- days in advance. Could you describe some of those challenges and how you yet were still able to pull off what I believe to be an absolutely perfect election result that we should be looking forward to and concur with that if, as a result of all your experiences, if you think there's any written comments that you could make back to the Committee at any point in time relative to all the recommendations you might have as

you're designing the new SURE System that would help us as we're looking at various legislative fixes?

DR. HALL: Sure. Well you know, part of -- you know, one of the things that's happening seven days out is that we're getting -- you know, so and this actually goes to people who were requesting that Thursday before. So if you think about the voter registration deadline. It's on a Tuesday -- or Monday, and you know, that's 15 days, so anything that we got -- received from a voter requesting a mail-in ballot basically after that Wednesday, so about 13 days out, you know, it has to be processed. We have other things going on in the office, so you know, they may be processed -- instead of being processed first thing in the morning, they may be processed at 8:00 at night. We print out their -- you know, we get their ballot.

We're mailing them out to them because, you know, for the last two weeks of the election we mail everything out of our office as opposed to using a vendor, and the big issue was there was just so many postal issues in this past election. We weren't sure if people were -- you know, when people were receiving things. And so getting those mailings out was just -- you know, it was time-consuming for our staff and it's also -- you know, we couldn't have confidence that it was going to get to that voter in time. And so -- and that creates a big problem for people because

then they get really nervous and then they're calling our office that -- where's my ballot, and then that takes up more bandwidth and resources.

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Mr. Hall, if I could ask you, Ms. Anderson made a comment that Colorado was looking at going to a push system, and I know in my district office a lot of the questions we got were -- even though Vote PA had -- the fact that a ballot went out and stuff like that it didn't really say that it was received. Do you --

REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: -- think that might help making people more willing to stay on a permanent mailing list?

DR. HALL: Right.

DR. HALL: Right. So one of the things that -you know, that you can do is to have ballot tracking, and
ballot tracking, I think, makes people much more confident
because they can know where their ballot is in the process.
They can at least know it's stuck in Pittsburgh, or
wherever it is, so I think that that makes -- you know,
that does make things a lot easier.

The other thing too is the more people that we have on the permanent list, those people are all going to receive their ballots basically, you know, 25, 30 days before the election, which benefits us. The problem is when you have these people dribbling in to get -- at the

```
1
      end to get mail-in ballots. And I think part of that was
 2
      because of COVID. I think that -- you know, going forward,
 3
      once everybody's vaccinated and hopefully things become
 4
      much more stable, we'll have more people who are on the
 5
      permanent list and fewer people who are making one-off
 6
      requests in the two weeks before the election.
 7
                REPRESENTATIVE RYAN: Fantastic. Thank you so
 8
      much.
 9
                Mr. Chairman, thank you so much.
10
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.
11
                Representative Staats.
12
                REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Thank you, Chairman.
13
                Thank you, Mr. Hall. We heard earlier testimony
14
      about the e-pollbooks, and on the surface I'd say it seems
15
      like a good system. In your testimony, it references the
16
      statutory difficulty of implementing --
17
                DR. HALL: Right.
18
                REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: -- e-pollbooks.
19
      you --
20
                DR. HALL: Right.
21
                REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: -- speak to that?
22
                DR. HALL: Sure. So one of the fun things about
23
      the Pennsylvania statute is you can read parts of it and
24
      determine which parts were written in 1890, that have been
25
      just continued on, and one of the components of it is we're
```

required to have two poll workers fill out a numbered list of voters, so you're supposed to have a democrat and a republican, and when this person is checked in, they write in a separate list on a piece of paper that person's name and then -- you know, they might write out their party or primary or -- you know, they put another piece of information there. And so when you have that requirement, that's two to three people because I may have a person with a pollbook and then two people with numbered lists of voters -- that's three people doing check-in when I really only need one person with a Poll Pad to do check-in, and if I'm legally required to have numbered lists of voters, it means that implementing Poll Pads requires me to either break the law or find a work-around for the numbered lists.

So you know, that's an example of one of the problems. You know, the benefit of the e-pollbooks is -you know, for instance in Arizona we had e-pollbooks and what that meant was at 8:00 -- at 8:01, I knew how many people had voted in every precinct in my county, and so -you know, because they did a last sync when they closed and that was -- that data came to our office, and I could know exactly how many people had voted in every precinct, which meant that when I was uploading results into our Electionware, I knew exactly how many votes should be uploaded into the system, so I knew immediately if I had

any kind of problem with people voting. So I knew, for instance, if a poll worker had had somebody who was a provisional voter sign the pollbook when they shouldn't have. I knew immediately that that happened.

And so -- you know, having the numbered lists and the specific requirements that certain people do certain tasks in polling places just makes things much more complicated for us because it's a legal requirement.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: I see. Do you like the system, I guess, is my question?

DR. HALL: Do I like e-pollbooks?

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Yes.

DR. HALL: They're a very -- they're very helpful. They can be costly and they do require having a bit of technical expertise, but they definitely make things easier. It would also mean that when we were doing our pollbooks for the election that we could have in-person early voting through the end of the -- if you had e-pollbooks, you could have in-person early voting go through the week prior to the election because you could then immediately sync up who had in-person voted and give them credit for voting and ensure that they couldn't vote in a polling place. And so being able to do those kind of updates electronically is very helpful.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: It seems to me like it's

a good system to increase efficiency at the polls, so that's very helpful. Thank you.

DR. HALL: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE STAATS: Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you.

Doctor, I want to follow up with e-pollbooks.

DR. HALL: Yes, sir.

on a multiple of reasons. You know, my brother votes in Florida and they have e-pollbooks. He walks up. He swipes his driver's license, and it populates everything.

Obviously, that's a function. I often think we all get voter registration cards mailed out as soon as you're registered to vote. Is it possible to put a Scantron on those so when you walk into your poll you just scan those in and it populates you, of course, and then you have the redundancy of looking up a person, as well?

DR. HALL: Yeah. To be honest, I'm not -- I'm -- I mean, I would think they would not be hard to put a bar code onto people's voter ID cards. In Arizona, we scan people's driver's licenses because people were required to show a photo ID, and you're absolutely right, it was a very -- it's a very effective way to pull people up because you can -- as long as they've updated their residency, you can -- you're getting the right person, you know who they

are, and it definitely provides for much more accuracy in the process.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Right. And right now, in my polling place, we use the paper books, right?

DR. HALL: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: So we break up A through M and N through Z. We have a lot of Krones in Dover, so the A through M line is always long and then the N through Z line usually goes a lot faster, so you don't have those alphabetical breaks up -- breakups, so you can literally have two lines of whoever you want. If you have two pollbooks, and you can get in whatever line you want, so it kind of equalizes that wait time and you don't have the poll worker looking up each individual one -- you know, 90 percent of people have a driver's license. You just walk up and boom, slide it through, or scan it, or do whatever, and it's populated. You sign and you move on. So I would assume it's a quick process, right?

DR. HALL: It is. There's one other benefit too. So for instance, in this primary election, we -- all the counties will have three types of ballots. We'll all have a Democratic ballot, a Republican ballot, and then we'll have a nonpartisan ballot for the constitutional amendments. One of the nice things about an e-pollbook is, is so if I go into a polling place and I check in for the

primary, it will know that I'm a -- I'm not party affiliated and when it scans my -- you know, when it looks me up, what it will do is it will print a ticket that will say I should get a nonpartisan ballot and it would say, you know -- Representative Grove, it would say you should receive a Republican ballot, and it would say, for a Democrat you should receive a Democratic ballot. And what that does is it provides greater assurance that the person who's handing out ballots hands out the right ballot because they're getting a ticket. They look at the ticket. They get -- look at the ballot. They make sure they're giving the right one. That's another very big benefit of e-pollbooks is that they can ensure that people are getting the right ballots and they also provide for a bit of quality -- you know, for quality control even in a general election.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: And I would assume -- like, I have read articles about them failing. Have they improved on that failure rate? And --

DR. HALL: I think they have improved. The big failure you get would be in areas where you have dead zones and so for instance, in Arizona we had two polling places that literally are off the grid. You can't even use satellite phones there. There's just nothing there. It was on the Navajo Nation. And you have to have procedures

in place for how to handle those types of polling places but -- and so the big failure you get is when you lose connectivity, but as long as you have connectivity the systems work, they work well, and the connectivity issue is most important if you have vote centers. If you're having precinct voting it's less of an issue because if I have a -- if I'm using a Poll Pad based system and I have a polling place lose connectivity, they can still bring in their Poll Pad and I can sync it in my office the day after the election or on election night and know how many people voted. So the big failures come when you have vote centers and you need 24/7 connectivity; that's the big failure you get.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: So for Pennsylvania, a precinct-based district, you basically --

DR. HALL: Right. It isn't really a problem.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: -- log on, you take them to the precinct, and you're good to go. And then finally, I guess, worst case scenario, you still have the paper backups, I would assume, correct?

DR. HALL: Right. So we -- yes. We provided -- in Arizona, we provided every polling place with a paper pollbook and -- as a backup in case the world ended, they were ready to keep processing people.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Great

1 And next up, Representative Keefer.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So Thad, I have a question. Your testimony referenced the Arizona signature verification procedures.

Can you --

DR. HALL: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: -- describe for the Committee how the process works and what the benefits -- DR. HALL: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: -- are?

DR. HALL: So we were all required to undergo training that the State -- they hired a vendor to do the training. The person who did my training and the training for our office was a person who is an expert witness in signature verification. So this person did court cases and they were a certified expert by the courts, and so they go through a three-hour process of explaining to you how to do signature verification, what to look for, what are the things to do, not to do. How do you -- you know, how do you take into account people's signatures changing over time?

So for instance, I have a couple who live in my county who -- you know, they were married in 1948, and they moved into a house in 1951, and they have never moved, and they have never changed their registration. And I am sure

that their signatures are slightly different now. And they explain to you, well, how do you deal with something like that?

And the other thing that they do in Arizona that I think is very important is that every time you sign a document through the elections process -- so if you're a mail-in voter, every time you sign, we re-captured their signatures. So for instance, I might have eight versions of your signature based on when you registered and then when you returned a mail-in ballot and all of that, and so I have -- I don't have just one comparison to make. I have a set of exemplars to look at, to know what is your signature and how is it changing over time, and that made things a lot easier, as well, to understand how that works.

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: That's great. Thank you

REPRESENTATIVE KEEFER: That's great. Thank you very much.

DR. HALL: Yes, ma'am.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. And final question, you went over a litany of election timeline changes, and that is --

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Wait.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Oh, Chairwoman, questions? Go ahead.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: I'm sure it's an oversight that you keep forgetting to ask me if I have

questions. But I do, and I want to give you the courtesy of going last, so I would like to be asked.

So anyway, a few questions I have regarding cost.

I'll start with that part at first. Most of your costs to
run the election apparatus in Mercer County comes from the
County; is that correct? And how much do you receive?

DR. HALL: We -- so it's hard to answer that for 2020, because we received grants from various people, and there was COVID funding, and we received funding from the Center for Technology and Civic Life, but we spent -- I want to say that we spent about \$100,000 more than we did the previous year, so it was -- you know, there was quite a bit of cost -- and part of -- and we're a pretty frugal county, and so we kept -- tried to keep our costs down as much as possible. But the personnel costs were a big cost and then all the mailings that we're doing -- you know, from the mailing we just did for the permanent mail-in voters to mailing out ballots to people and all of that -- you know, and printing envelopes --

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: I'm -- I'm sorry.

I'm asking what your budget is from the County for the office.

DR. HALL: Oh, it's -- I want to say we spent -- I'm not -- \$700,000, roughly.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. And then --

1 DR. HALL: (Indiscernible - simultaneous 2 speech) --3 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: -- as you stated, 4 you also got money from the State. Do you -- are you aware 5 of how much money you received from the State since Act 77 6 allocated \$90 million. I'm interested in how much counties 7 got, so what did you receive; do you know? 8 DR. HALL: To be honest, not, because quite a bit 9 of that money was spent prior to my arrival last year, and 10 so I apologize. 11 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. So it seems 12 like there -- but there were multiple revenue streams or 13 sources of income in anticipation, one, that there was a 14 presidential election that would -- where there was going 15 to naturally be --16 DR. HALL: Right. 17 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: -- an uptick in 18 participation. And two, we had a number of changes that 19 were required by statute that counties needed to make, so 20 you had multiple streams of revenue that you didn't 21 previously have; is that correct -- that helped you --22 DR. HALL: Yes. 23 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: -- to do some of 24 the things, even though you would have liked to have more;

25

is that correct?

DR. HALL: Right. And we also all need that money now when we have -- municipal elections are actually our most complicated elections because of how many ballot styles and races and contests there are, and so a lot of us are in the situation of -- you know, we had resources last year from outside of our counties and now that -- those funding sources don't exist and we're having to work within a constraint, but we still have a lot of the same amount of work that we did in the past.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. You talked about the mail-in -- I'm sorry. You talked about the drop boxes and how you've operated drop boxes in Mercer County. You have one drop box at the county location. You talked about having multiple people watch those drop boxes. I'm just curious as to why? Are they asking voters what they're doing there or -- how are they engaging the voters that they need to -- you need to have so many people?

DR. HALL: Oh, I'm sorry. What I -- we had one person at our -- so we had a drop box within the courthouse where people could come and drop things off, and it was one person there. What I was referring to is if you actually have to go out to drop boxes and pick up ballots, you need to have two people just so that there's a chain of custody and there's no -- nobody claims that there's a partisan advantage of having people picking up boxes who are

Democrats or Republicans. You want to have a bipartisan team go pick up those ballots. That's what I was referring to and so in our office --

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. If you have multiple locations. Thank you.

DR. HALL: -- we had one drop box and we had one person manning that drop box.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. If you had multiple locations, that was your concern of who would pick up the ballots.

DR. HALL: I would have had to have multiple -like two people go to pick up the ballots because you want
to have a bipartisan team do that just for -- even if you
trust everybody, you want to have it for how it looks.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: I understand.

Some of the things that you talked about that I wanted to highlight is — that I actually do agree with. The time frame for petition challenges in Pennsylvania is — it's a week, and then there's another week to do something else, and then there's another week. And you know, your timeline for mailing out ballots conflicts with those deadlines, and I know, even in Chester County, one petition challenge went all the way up to the Supreme Court, and they didn't necessarily fall within that three-week guideline for appeals and that sort of thing. So you would like to see

mail-in ballots sent out after that three- or four-week petition challenge period; is that what you were saying?

DR. HALL: Right. I would like to -- it would be great if the petition challenge period just -- if everything was moved back a month and so that we could -- you know, we were certain at the end of August what was going to be on our ballot so that we could have the time to get -- you know, there's a lot of programming involved and we also have to test all of the tabulators and our central count tabulators before we can mail anything out, and so there's just a lot of steps involved before we can just mail out ballots. We have to make sure that everything is tested and it works before we do the big printing of the ballots for everybody and then do the mailing, and having a uniform date for that mailing or a uniform small window obviously makes things better, as well.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Okay. And the final thing I would like to highlight from your testimony, which I thank you for, is the need to have a disclaimer from all -- for all third party groups that are sending out ballots because every group does them: nonpartisan groups, very partisan groups -- they all do them, and it is confusing for voters if they're getting seven different mail-in ballots and particularly if you have multiple people in a household that are registered differently,

1 which is often the case now in the United States of 2 America, you'll have just numerous mailings, which look 3 similar to the official ballot but don't have any kind of 4 claim as to who was sending it out. So you think that 5 would really cut down on the confusion of --6 DR. HALL: Yes. 7 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: -- who's sending 8

out a ballot?

DR. HALL: I do. And one of the issues that we ran into were -- there were groups that made their materials look like something from the State and then it would say you have not returned your mail-in ballot application, and they were sending that to everybody because the idea is, if I send it to you then you'll think, oh, my goodness, I must have not done this and then you'll send it in, which created phone calls to us of people being confused. It created numerous duplicates in the system that we had to then process. So we had people who sent in five, six, seven applications because they kept getting these forms in the mail and so it created a lot of headaches for all of us.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Understood. you so much for your testimony.

DR. HALL: Thank you.

Thank you Mr. Chairman.

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. Just a follow-up on the financial questions. I would assume that a lot of foundations after presidential elections kind of disappear from municipal because, unfortunately, nobody cares about municipal elections, when I would say they're probably far more important than the presidential because they impact your life on a day-to-day basis more than anyone, so when you go for foundation money, who are they, and then are -- do they continue -- like this year, can you go back to those foundations and get grants for this year or is it the next time they really care is the mid-year elections, or is it just presidential?

DR. HALL: Historically, groups care the most about presidential elections. There is -- there's likely to be an uptick in 2022, just because of redistricting. I would assume that there's going to be quite a bit of political activity surrounding that because of -- you know, you'll have new districts and new people running, and so people care about us definitely once every four years and they may care about us in 2022, but I wouldn't bet on them caring about us in 2026. And so -- and nobody is emailing us this year telling us that there is funding available, so it definitely makes for a challenging process.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Gotcha. I was curious about that because, again, this is school boards, judges.

1 It's an important election cycle and it's a real shame. 2 It's the lowest voter turnout, so. 3 With that, I don't think we have any other 4 questions, so Dr. Hall, thank you so much. You are --5 DR. HALL: Thank you. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: -- you're a wealth of 7 information on a multitude of fronts, so we have -- always 8 appreciate your testimony and hearing from you. 9 DR. HALL: Well, thank you very much. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE: Thank you. 11 Any closing comments, Chairwoman? 12 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN DAVIDSON: Thank you so much, 13 Mr. Chairman. You're a gentleman and a scholar. 14 I just want to say that I appreciate all the 15 testifiers, particularly from Colorado talked about a very 16 open and fair and secure election system, so as we continue 17 to look at Act 77, I do hope and pray that we will take 18 into consideration historic disparities in communities, 19 historic barriers and discrimination to various voting 20 communities and providing a system where there's open 21 access to our constitutional rights while also providing 22 security, and I think Colorado was an excellent example of 23 that. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN GROVE:

Thank you very much.

I'd like to thank all the testifiers too. I'd like to thank the Members for their patience and their questions. Today, we clearly heard testimony concerning the need of improving our election systems, our election timeline, ways to really benefit, I think, our voters and our counties moving forward. Specifically today, we heard testimony on the need for process change in mail-in balloting, as we see a drastic need for improved integrity provisions around signature verification. Having 1937 signature verification laws seems obsolete in 2021, especially with 2021 voting processes in place. Our integrity provisions under statute and provided by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court in their recent ruling in the fall of 2020, are far behind the times and completely ineffective.

Further, we need to ensure that law provides clarity between constitutional absentee ballots and no-excuse ballots, including uniformity and standardization among our counties. Uniformity in elections and voter registration is not a suggestion; it is a constitutional mandate. We must ensure our constitutional requirements under Article VII are at the forefront of any and all election policy changes we institute. I look forward to continuing the bipartisan election oversight hearings and partnering with stakeholders like our counties, Department

of State, and of course, our county election directors. With that, this hearing is adjourned. Have a great weekend, everyone.

$C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio on the said proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

Natalie Webb Opti-Script, Inc.

Transcriptionist