COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
CHILDREN AND YOUTH COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING
STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING ROOM 60, EAST WING
MONDAY, APRIL 4, 2016 11:05 A.M.
PRESENTATION ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES AGENCIES' CASEWORKER COMPLEMENT AND RETENTION
BEFORE:
HONORABLE KATHARINE WATSON, MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN HONORABLE KATE ANNE KLUNK HONORABLE HARRY LEWIS, JR. HONORABLE DAVID MALONEY HONORABLE BRETT MILLER HONORABLE DAN MOUL HONORABLE TEDD NESBIT HONORABLE DAVID PARKER HONORABLE GREG ROTHMAN HONORABLE RICK SACCONE HONORABLE TARAH TOOHIL HONORABLE SCOTT CONKLIN, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN
HONORABLE SCOTT CONKLIN, DEMOCRATIC CHAINMAN HONORABLE LESLIE ACOSTA HONORABLE PAMELA DELISSIO HONORABLE PATTY KIM HONORABLE STEPHEN MCCARTER HONORABLE DAN MILLER

* * * * *

Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT: GREGORY GRASA MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MEREDITH SCHULER MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

I N D E X

TESTIFIERS

* * *

NAME	<u>AGE</u>
SHILOH HAGERTY CASEWORKER II, CUMBERLAND COUNTY CYS1	.1
NICHOLAS RANNEY INTAKE CASEWORKER, FRANKLIN COUNTY CYS1	. 6
LYNNE D. KALLUS-RAINEY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BUCKS COUNTY CYS	8
CATHY UTZ DEPUTY SECRETARY, PA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, OFFICE OF CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES4	9
BRIAN C. BORNMAN, ESQ. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PA CHILDREN AND YOUTH ADMINISTRATORS, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ASSOCIATION OF PA5	56
GEORGE P. HARTWICK, III DAUPHIN COUNTY COMMISSIONER6	57
BERNADETTE BIANCHI EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PA COUNCIL OF CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILY SERVICES7	6
SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY	
* * *	
(See submitted written testimony and handouts online	֥)

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Good morning, ladies 3 and gentlemen. Good morning to everyone. Welcome to our 4 5 public hearing convened by the House Children and Youth 6 Committee. 7 I do want to remind everyone that this meeting is being recorded. Therefore, I would ask that your cell 8 9 phones, any other electronic devices be set to a silent 10 mode. If you want to check that now, that would be 11 important. 12 We usually start by taking the roll. I'm going 13 to wait a moment to do that and ask you all in a minute to 14 rise for a moment of silence. That is something unusual. 15 But we have had, I'll say, a death in the family in the 16 last few months, and that was one of the advocates for children, one of the tireless advocates for children who 17 18 goes all the way back to the Task Force on Child Protection 19 who was actively involved. 20 And if you are local, that is in central Pennsylvania, you knew his name from all kinds of other 21 22 things aside from being an attorney but related to 23 children. And that would be Jason Kutulakis. And I waited 24 and I thought it would be helpful, especially with a number 25 of people who are here, and I recognize many of them knew

1	Jason. He was all over this State and did a lot of work,
2	remember, all as a volunteer, all because, indeed, for him
3	it really was about the children, not about the convenience
4	of adults.
5	So I would ask respectfully if you would rise for
6	a moment of silence for Jason Kutulakis.
7	
8	(A moment of silence was taken.)
9	
10	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you.
11	And now, Meredith, as secretary, would you please
12	take the roll?
13	
14	(Roll was taken.)
15	
16	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you.
17	Today, ladies and gentlemen, this Committee is
18	beginning an important discussion concerning a critical
19	issue of statewide significance. This is just the first in
20	what will be a series of Committee hearings that will
21	examine the state of Pennsylvania's child welfare system
22	and county CYS agencies.
23	What's the objective? The objective is
24	sustaining and improving the system as it continues its
25	vital mission.

1 This Committee, as you well know, played a very key role in developing and enacting the first comprehensive 2 update and improvement of Pennsylvania's child abuse and 3 child welfare laws actually in nearly two decades. 4 5 Enacting the landmark legislation to better protect 6 Pennsylvania's children certainly was a major 7 accomplishment. And personally, I'm very proud of the work that this Committee did. They worked long and they worked 8 9 hard.

10 But the real work or -- that's what I told them 11 because I had to get them to work the first part -- but now 12 I'm telling them, oh, yes, the real work is beginning now 13 after the enactment of the 24 bills, and it really 14 continues to begin today, because here is the challenge of 15 implementing the sweeping changes made to the Child 16 Protective Services law, and that's what we have entrusted 17 to our Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, to the Office of Children, Youth, and Families, and to the 67 18 19 county Children and Youth Services agencies across the 20 Commonwealth. That's a lot of responsibility.

They were with us as we were changing the law, but we heard from them in saying, but, you know, this is going to be really hard to implement, and with what we have, how do we do it? We kept saying we'll help. We will help. We will do this. This is an ongoing issue. It's

1 not a once-and-done. I mentioned that many times in saying it's not as if, if we take these laws and put them stone, 2 we hang them on the building and we're finished and done, 3 no, it is not. 4 The dedicated child welfare professionals who 5 6 work at the county and State levels, they certainly did 7 applaud the Legislature's actions on behalf of children, and I certainly have to say they've accepted the challenge. 8 9 But they've also said to us we need more. 10 The children and youth directors, the 11 supervisors, the caseworkers, the private providers, and 12 even the Department of Human Services staff have spent this 13 year or so doing their best to meet those increased demands 14 brought on by all of our legislative mandates, especially 15 with regard to the reporting and the investigating of 16 suspected child abuse. 17 It's imperative then on us that these dedicated professionals have the resources to accomplish the expanded 18 mission that we gave them, and that's the beginning of the 19 20 hearing here. We recognize there are issues and there are 21 problems. Our goal is to work through all of that. And 22 the overarching goal has remained the same, and that is it is for the betterment of the children of Pennsylvania, not 23

25

24

At the same time, we applaud what has gone on in

necessarily for the convenience of adults.

1 the past. We applaud many who give hours and hours beyond 2 their job to look after children. And we hear stories all 3 the time of how they've gone that extra mile. But we know that we need to enhance staff recruitment and retention if 4 5 counties are able to maintain a stable and a well-prepared 6 workforce in the child welfare system. If we don't address 7 that issue alone even, county agencies soon may be unable to provide even the most critical of services. So that's 8 9 our first step in our goal.

But as I said to you, please understand, ladies and gentlemen, this is a problem that won't solve itself or be finished in a month or six months. It is ongoing now. And certainly, the Committee that I have the good fortune to chair is up to the task and they've already proven that. So we will simply move forward.

Therefore, examining the state of Pennsylvania's child welfare system is definitely a huge, multifaceted task, and it's a formidable challenge for us. Please consider today's hearing as the starting point, not the end, just the beginning.

We begin our fact-finding mission today by focusing on county children and youth agencies caseworker complements, caseloads, caseworker turnover, caseworker retention, and strategies to further professionalize this critically important workforce. As you can imagine, notice 1 the word caseworker kept repeating itself because indeed 2 caseworkers really are the underpinning of the entire 3 system, and the job they do is terribly important.

4 We're fortunate to have with us today a panel of 5 experts in the field who will share their insights into the 6 problems and challenges facing children and youth staff and 7 those who are contracted to provide services to children and youth families. On behalf of Chairman Conklin and 8 9 myself, I wish to thank each of our testifiers for taking 10 the time to join this discussion today. The Committee 11 certainly will benefit from your wealth of expertise, 12 listening to and learning from a range of perspectives.

I would ask one thing, and we had agreed on this, 13 14 and that would be we recognize and have copies and any 15 Member not here will receive a copy of your testimony. But 16 indeed some of them are 7 to 10 pages. I appreciate the 17 time and effort you've put into it, but would you please excerpt that when you talk to the Committee because I 18 19 worked it out, you know, the former English teacher, I'm 20 sitting there figuring out how will that be if they read 21 that, they read it about this, and I read some. Ladies and 22 gentlemen, we're going to be here until 2:30, so we can't do that because we have sessions. So we would ask if you 23 24 would condense some of that knowing that my Members are 25 They'll read every single word that you printed and great.

1

sent to us.

I look forward then to us moving in a very positive, constructive dialogue over the next two hours. It's just the first two hours. There will be more dialogue and more things to come. The Committee will be squarely focused on what's best for Pennsylvania's children and their families. I promise you that.

8 This Committee and this House and this 9 Legislature certainly want to partner with you because we 10 are all working for the same goal: to make Pennsylvania's 11 child welfare system the absolute best it can be to serve 12 the children of the Commonwealth and again promote a better 13 life for all children.

14 Chairman Conklin, I have mentioned him as the15 Democrat Chair, sir, did you wish to comment?

16 DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN CONKLIN: In light of time, 17 Madam Chairwoman, I think you've done an elegant job of 18 giving the pre before the meeting starts, so I'm going to 19 leave it to you to begin.

20 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: All right. And we 21 are running -- we're five minutes late. I don't want to 22 stress you out, but I will mention that. But now it's time 23 to begin today's testimony.

24 We thought it would be good to first hear from 25 the caseworkers themselves, the people that we certainly 1 praise but then go, oh, we think you're doing a fine job but we're going to give you more to do and no more time and 2 no more money and all those things. So indeed, we're going 3 4 to start with Shiloh Hagerty if she would come forward, 5 Caseworker II with Cumberland County Children and Youth. 6 And we thank you for joining us today. And please, you may 7 begin your testimony when ready. Members, you have a copy of that. We'll just keep moving along. Thank you. 8

9 MS. HAGERTY: Good morning. I would first like 10 to thank Chairwoman Watson, Vice Chairman Moul, Executive 11 Director Greg Grasa, as well as the honorable Committee for 12 this opportunity.

My name is Shiloh Hagerty. I am currently employed at Cumberland County Children and Youth Services as an intake and investigation caseworker. I have been doing this job for 12 years, 7-1/2 in Cumberland County and 4-1/2 in Monroe County.

As an intake caseworker, I conduct investigations of serious abuse, including physical, sexual, emotional, as well as serious neglect investigations. Also, I conduct general assessments, including allegations of drug abuse, deplorable housing, ungovernable teenagers, et cetera.

Since being in the child welfare field, I have
seen numerous deficits to the system which prevent children
and youth agencies and their caseworkers to complete their

1 job effectively and to assure the safety of children to the 2 fullest extent possible. One deficit includes an enormous 3 amount of paperwork that has to be completed by a 4 caseworker while conducting an investigation/assessment, as 5 well as caseworkers who provide ongoing services to 6 families. The amount of paperwork over the past 12 years 7 has drastically increased, causing caseworkers to spend numerous hours at the office or on a computer rather than 8 where they need to be, which is in the field with their 9 10 families.

When I first began as a caseworker, I was able to complete paperwork for a family within at minimum 30 minutes. The minimum amount of time now would be at least one to two hours per family, which creates a lot of time in the office rather than, again, in the field.

16 Although the thorough documentation in completing 17 risk and safety assessments is necessary to conduct investigations, the time spent doing so for each family 18 leads into another deficit in the child welfare field, 19 which is high caseloads, which then creates unrealistic 20 demands of a caseworker. Caseworkers are working numerous 21 22 hours of overtime in the evenings after already working eight-hour days. Very rarely do we get lunch breaks. We 23 24 are working late into the night just meeting with families and also trying to complete paperwork as we have deadlines 25

1 set by the State for when our paperwork has to be 2 Those demands on a caseworker also then leads completed. 3 into personal issues and issues in their own families. 4 In addition, we also have been required to attend 5 family engagement meetings, which has been developed so 6 families can succeed. However, those meetings are numerous 7 hours to coordinate, as well as to attend. Although they're very well-needed, when you have a high caseload and 8 9 have numerous families that you need to do that for, it 10 creates a lot of time. 11 I have seen so many caseworkers leave the child 12 welfare profession because of the stress and the long hours that are being worked. Although they love to work with 13 14 children, they cannot overcome the stress and the long 15 hours that they need to work. 16 The child welfare system also lacks as a whole the funding needed not only to provide caseworkers with an 17 income that they deserve but also to provide services for 18 19 the families that we work with. Providing services to a 20 family can be difficult on a low budget for the child 21 welfare system. If we had additional funding, we would be 22 able to provide necessary services to the families that 23 they need, which then can prevent a lot of placement of children, as well as I do believe if caseworkers were 24

supplemented with a salary they deserve, that would help

25

with the high turnover rate as well.

1

I truly do believe that based upon my firsthand 2 3 experience in working in this field for 12 years, it has 4 been proven that having a manageable caseload of 5 approximately 15 families per caseworker would drastically 6 decrease the stress of the job. Having a manageable 7 caseload would allow caseworkers to spend to spend more time with our clients to assure the safety of children and 8 9 that caregivers are the most effective they can be for 10 those children. Caseworkers would be able to complete 11 their paperwork and attend all of their necessary family 12 engagement meetings that we need to complete. If having a 13 manageable caseload would reduce stress and overall 14 paperwork, then many caseworkers may choose to remain in 15 the child welfare system, making caseworker retention an 16 attainable outcome.

17 Hiring the best individuals for the caseworker job may also start within the communities. Teaching and 18 preparing students interested in the child welfare field 19 20 that are attending colleges, the reality of the job, is key 21 to caseworker retention. Many students who intern at 22 children and youth agencies are then hired and then realize, wow, this is a lot of stress, and they then 23 24 oftentimes leave and go elsewhere for employment that 25 doesn't require long hours and high stress.

1 In conclusion, I did not choose this profession because I thought I would become wealthy. However, I did 2 choose this career for the love in helping of working with 3 4 children. I continue to work in this career because I do 5 enjoy knowing that I have helped to improve the life of 6 children and/or caregivers. We're oftentimes called 7 numerous names, we're threatened. However, there are some instances when a child or a caregiver says thank you to us, 8 9 and that means the world to a caseworker. Knowing that 10 you've helped a family and have been recognized for such is 11 worth the work that we do.

12 I do know that if the deficiencies in the child 13 welfare field are not fixed, that this is not a career that 14 I can continue in myself. Addressing the caseworker 15 retention issues and improving caseworkers' job experience 16 is where the start of improving the overall child welfare 17 system begins. If we can start now to move toward more positive changes in the child welfare system and retaining 18 19 caseworkers, this may one day be an issue of the past.

20 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you very much 21 for your comments. Would you stay there for a moment --22 MS. HAGERTY: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: -- and Mr. Ranney,
Nicholas Ranney, would you join, please, Caseworker II,
Cumberland County. I'm sorry, Franklin County. How could

I make that mistake? But if you would join us. And then I though, ladies and gentlemen, if you have a quick question for caseworkers, we'll do that. Then, we'll move to the administration at that level with Mrs. Rainey talking to us. But if you would, sir, begin, that would be terrific.

6 MR. RANNEY: Okay. Good morning. I would first 7 like to thank Chairwoman Watson and the honorable Committee 8 for allowing me this opportunity.

9 My name is Nicholas Ranney, and I am an intake 10 caseworker with the Franklin County Children and Youth 11 Service. I was hired in May of 2008 after I graduated from 12 the West Virginia University with my bachelor's degree. I 13 come before you today to offer my experience within the 14 child welfare field and to hopefully share a perspective 15 which may help to better the field and practice as a whole.

16 In my short time within this profession I have seen many changes. Most notably, I have seen many 17 caseworkers come and go in my eight short years. I've had 18 19 the opportunity to meet many other caseworkers within the 20 State and all share similar stories about staff coming and 21 going. Retention, particularly within the intake unit, has 22 been a struggle even when I was first hired. I believe there are many reasons for this which I will touch upon. 23

Currently, a Franklin County intake worker is
averaging two cases being assigned to them per day, or a 40

percent increase since the inception of the new Child Protective Services law. Meanwhile, our intake staff has not increased at all. The increased caseload alone is enough to cause our staff to leave.

5 I believe another problem caseworkers face is a 6 lack of training. Since I have begun this career, I have 7 had to develop extensive knowledge within the fields of 8 child development, mental health, human anatomy, biology, 9 pharmaceuticals, narcotics, addiction, interrogations, 10 crime scene investigations, technology, and the list goes 11 on.

12 The training provided to new caseworkers is named 13 Charting the Course and consists of 10 modules. While 14 these modules do well to introduce caseworkers to the forms 15 and general practices used within an agency, they do little 16 by way of preparing a caseworker for the field.

I had to seek out and get permission to attend additional trainings that helped shape my abilities as a caseworker. These trainings include Finding Words offered by the National Child Protection Training Center, ChildFirst PA, a PA forensic interview training developed by the National Child Training Center, which offered free tuition to child welfare workers with usage of grant money.

In 2011, the Pennsylvania Solicitor'sAssociation, along with the Pennsylvania District

Attorney's Association, brought ChildFirst to Pennsylvania
 and began training multidisciplinary investigative teams
 consisting of Child Protective Services workers, law
 enforcement, prosecutors, solicitors, forensic
 interviewers, and medical providers.

6 In 2012, Pennsylvania became certified as a 7 ChildFirst training State. ChildFirst is the only in-state training of its kind. It trains teams to understand the 8 9 importance of having a county investigative protocol, how 10 to interview children involved in investigations of 11 allegations of child abuse and neglect. The ChildFirst PA 12 training was cited in the Dauphin County Grand Jury report 13 in June of 2015 as being the only training that effectively 14 prepares caseworkers handling child abuse investigations.

I've also attended lectures and trainings done by doctors at the Penn State Milton S. Hershey Children's Hospital, the National Children's Alliance, and the Pennsylvania Chapter of Children's Advocacy Centers and Multi-Disciplinary Teams. Those are just naming a few, none of which were required, and all of which helped to mold me into a competent caseworker.

Now, imagine being given limited tools with which
to accomplish the task. Overwhelmed, daunted, terrified,
pressured, scrutinized, stressed, tired, and drained are
only a few of the adjectives used when new workers speak

1 about their current day-to-day.

2 Other issues make it hard to retain staff as 3 well. I won't go into the details, as I believe my 4 colleague Shiloh spoke about paperwork, and I echo her 5 sentiments as well.

6 Also, within the last few years, CWIS was 7 introduced, a statewide database in which we now do all of our work. This was rolled out statewide, and caseworkers 8 9 were only offered a one-day training on this system. Also, 10 it was only limitedly piloted. The difficulties with this 11 system, as well as the redundancy of paperwork tasked to 12 caseworkers are too much to detail, but I will be happy to 13 answer any specific questions.

Now, imagine all the problems that myself and
Shiloh have spoken about and increasing one's workload by
40 percent. Also, be aware that there is no monetary
incentive to this job. The starting pay of a caseworker,
one tasked with investigations, is less than a ChildLine
worker who takes the reports.

Young people who enter this profession quickly learn that their earning potential is far greater elsewhere and more likely less stressful. Those who do stay long enough to maybe have a family run into other problems like providing for their family on a meager income, stress of the job interfering with their family relationships, and long hours detracting from one's own family.

1

It is for this reason that I feel that child welfare has entered a breaking point and will soon be in a crisis. Young, talented, and well-intended people are avoiding or leaving this profession at an exceptionally high rate. And for those who remain, the amount of work and stress is becoming near unbearable.

I would like to offer some suggestions as to how 8 9 we can help caseworkers do their intended job. I believe 10 decreasing a caseworker's caseload would provide the most 11 immediate and impactful solution to issues caseworkers are 12 facing. Fewer cases would allow caseworkers to spend more 13 time with their families in the field, feel less rushed, 14 and ease the stress of the job. The more time one can 15 spend with a family, the better an assessment can be 16 completed. The better assessment one can complete will in 17 turn allow for better services to be provided. With appropriate services in place, agencies could avoid 18 19 potential out-of-home placements and recidivism. This can 20 only be accomplished by increasing staff sizes. As I previously stated, within my agency staff size has not 21 22 increased in five years.

Caseworkers need to be provided better training in the beginning. The amount of knowledge a caseworker is expected to possess is outrageous and beyond one college

degree. While I understand some of what we do is learned while being in the field, most new caseworkers aren't receiving adequate supervision or time with experienced caseworkers because of the increased workloads and demands. We need to look into a more comprehensive training program for new caseworkers that not only provide classroom lecture but can recreate real-world experience.

At the National Child Protection Training Center 8 9 there is a mock house used to set up real-world examples of 10 referrals caseworkers may see. ChildFirst PA uses actual 11 children, as well as trained adult actors, for caseworkers 12 to practice their interview skills. ChildFirst PA also 13 offers courtroom training and how to testify. Doctors, 14 such as ones at the Penn State Children's Hospital, should 15 be consulted or have caseworkers attend their lectures.

I, as well as all other caseworkers, did not take this job because I wanted to be rich. I do this job because I believe that children are our most valuable and important resource but also the most fragile. I do this because I hope that I have the ability to impact a child's life for the better.

I cannot, in the current climate, continue to do the job I love as effectively as I should be able to. No caseworker can. Because of this, I fear that children will be left behind, hurt, neglected, or forgotten. I don't

1 seek change to better my work life. I seek change to 2 better the life of a child. Thank you for your time, and again, thank you for 3 this opportunity. 4 5 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you very much. 6 And certainly that last statement, "I seek change to better 7 the life of a child," really sums up why this Committee is here and Members ask to be on this Committee, to do that. 8 9 So I would hope that we will work on that over time 10 together. 11 Members, were there any questions for the 12 caseworker? 13 Representative, go right ahead. And then 14 Representative Saccone will be next. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: Thank you, Chairwoman. 16 To be clear -- and I'm a relatively new Member of 17 this Committee -- are you county employees or State employees? 18 19 MS. HAGERTY: County employees. 20 MR. RANNEY: We're county employees. 21 REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: You're county 22 employees. So are the changes that need to happen at the county level of government or the State level of 23 government? 24 25 MR. RANNEY: I believe it starts with statewide,

1 as the State level guides our practices and what we do. REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: And that comes out of 2 3 the State Department of Human Services? MR. RANNEY: Yes. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: You've mentioned 6 caseload several times and that the ideal caseload might be 7 What is an approximate current caseload? 15. MS. HAGERTY: Currently, caseworkers can have 8 9 anywhere from 20 to approximately 40 cases. 10 REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: Twenty to forty? 11 MS. HAGERTY: Yes. 12 MR. RANNEY: I would agree. I think I'm averaging somewhere between 25, upwards of 40 cases at any 13 14 given time. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DELISSIO: That's the questions I 16 have at the moment, Madam Chairwoman. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Good. Thank you. 18 Representative Saccone, go right ahead. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SACCONE: Thank you, Madam Chair, 20 and thank you for your testimony. Thank you for all the 21 work you do in our communities. I really do appreciate 22 that. 23 I'm interested in the reduction of paperwork 24 because in every part of the bureaucracy I view, I see that 25 we're capturing many times needless data that we never

actually use or never try to re-access or rarely try to reaccess but we're still filling out papers and collecting data that, you know, it's taking up your time and it's really not necessary anymore. It may have been necessary years ago or whatever. There's lot of reasons why we do it.

7 Can you identify some of those things for us as a 8 Committee that could help in reducing the paperwork, things 9 that might no longer be needed or things that you think 10 could be combined or streamlined? And if you would just 11 add what is the starting salary for a caseworker?

MS. HAGERTY: Well, Cumberland County is in a middle ground kind of right now, so the starting salary for a caseworker I believe in Cumberland, which is the highest in the State, is 47 to 48,000. That is not a clear picture of what the starting salary is of a caseworker across the State.

18 MR. RANNEY: In Franklin County, a starting19 caseworker begins at, I believe, \$15 or \$16 an hour.

In terms of the paperwork, unless you see it, you may not understand, but there's a lot of forms, there's things called safety assessments and risk assessments that we do quite frequently. Part of my belief is is that if we feel that there is no safety or risk, do we really need to do a form that says that or can our contacts, just our simple notes, could we just express it in there, that we
felt that the situation was safe, that there was no danger
present rather than saying that in our contact notes and
then going through another form saying that there are no
safety threats, followed by a risk assessment again saying
that there is no risk. I feel that there is some
redundancy there.

I do believe that if there are safety threats, if 8 9 there is clear abuse or neglect, then, yes, I do believe 10 that there needs to be proper documentation, especially if 11 there's court involvement. But I think for some of our other cases where there is no threat, you know, maybe we 12 13 just refer them to a few services. I think just 14 documenting that effort should be sufficient. That's just 15 my belief.

16 MS. HAGERTY: I was going to say the same thing. 17 There are two forms we have to fill out. Although I believe thorough documentation of meeting with a family and 18 what you spoke to that family about and how you identify 19 20 that a child is safe or unsafe is extremely important in 21 your dictation, which can take time when you're meeting 22 with families for long periods of time. However, when you have to complete what's called a risk assessment and safety 23 24 assessment, they are redundant of each other. You are 25 putting in the safety assessment exactly what you just put

1 in your dictation, and the risk assessment is very similar to what you just wrote in your safety assessment of how and 2 why you determined a child was safe or unsafe in their 3 4 home. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SACCONE: Is that? That was the 6 only one? 7 MR. RANNEY: I think we could go on for a very long time --8 9 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Right. 10 MR. RANNEY: -- but those are just specific 11 examples. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Okay. Thank you 13 very much. 14 Vice Chairman Moul. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Hi. I'm just going to speak loudly [inaudible]. 16 17 First of all, thank you for your commitment to this very noble profession. It's a job that not many can 18 19 do. And we understand on this Committee that it takes a 20 special person to stick with this, so I applaud both of you 21 for doing so. I know that you're getting fabulously 22 wealthy doing this job. But in any event, a couple of my questions were 23 already answered, but are you required to get continuing ed 24 25 as part of your job?

1 MS. HAGERTY: Yes, we are required to have 20 hours of training per year. However, a lot of those 2 trainings include how to complete certain types of 3 4 paperwork or not specific trainings -- there's a lot of 5 trainings in-house on how to complete a new safety 6 assessment, those kinds of things, which are important. 7 However, we do need more training which are more beneficial when you're training about when you see various types of 8 9 injuries on a child what that looks like, what that could 10 be from, or just identifying developmental delays in 11 children and drug and alcohol concerns and mental health. 12 Being aware of what those risk factors look like is what is 13 more beneficial for a caseworker. 14 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: So what I'm hearing is that

15 there is a lot of redundancy with paperwork/computer work 16 that you have to do when that time could be better spent 17 learning about injuries and things of such. Is that 18 mandated by DHS or is that through your county, the 19 different various paperwork?

MS. HAGERTY: DHS.

20

24

25

21 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: DHS, okay. Okay. I'll 22 hold it there. I know we're running short on time, but 23 I'll come back to you guys later. Thank you.

MR. RANNEY: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Madam Chair.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: All right. And next, Representative Maloney has a question, followed by --2 3 we'll organize this -- Representative Acosta, followed by 4 Representative Klunk. Folks, you're on. Go right ahead. 5 REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY: Thank you, Chairwoman. Thank you for your testimony. There are a few 6 7 questions that were asked that I thought were rather interesting, but in terms of the 40 percent increase in 8 9 casework that I think was said a couple times, could you 10 explain why you think that has taken place and has it been 11 more recently? 12 MR. RANNEY: I referenced the 40 percent 13 increase. That's just in Franklin County. I can't speak 14 for other counties, but I have heard upwards of 100 percent 15 increase to 30 to 40, 50 percent. 16 I think when the Child Protective Services law 17 changed, which was a good thing -- I think every caseworker would agree that it was much-needed -- it broadened 18 19 mandated reporter requirements so there are far more 20 mandated reporters. There was mandatory training for all 21 mandated reporters, which was great, but when you push that 22 to the forefront, it does in turn increase more mandated reporters calling in, which is excellent, that's what we 23 want, but we didn't increase staff on a county level behind 24 25 that.

1 REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY: So I quess it would be fair to say, and I think everybody would agree, that there 2 3 was a serious neglect in what was being identified or what 4 we would call a report? MR. RANNEY: I think what it did was it made 5 6 child abuse -- it brought it more into the face of the 7 public, especially our mandated reporters. I think that it became a very hot topic that a lot more people became aware 8 9 of it, that they were cognizant, and they started to get 10 better at recognizing it, which is, again, very good, but I 11 just think that there needs to be staff support behind it. 12 REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY: Okay. And because of 13 the time, I think just one last quick question with respect 14 to that paperwork, the reports that you have now that are 15 increased, what would you say or how would you terminate a 16 case as being satisfied? 17 MS. HAGERTY: When you have a completed case, when you go to a home and meet with the entire family and 18 19 interview all of the children and the family members and 20 you determine that the child is not a safety risk, a lot of 21 times we receive reports of all of these allegations and 22 you actually meet with the child and they say none of that is true or that's not really what happened. So if you can 23 24 identify the home is safe, the parents are appropriate, the 25 child's needs are being met, et cetera, and that the child

1 is safe, you can then close the case, which as an intake 2 caseworker, we have 60 days to close a case or to transfer 3 a case into a different unit.

REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY: But this isn't just
homes. You're referring to homes as being the biggest
reason for the cases, or would you be referring to
organizations, places they could be, somewhere else outside
of the home that needs to be investigated?

9 MS. HAGERTY: Depending, that's a case-by-case 10 scenario, I would say. If you receive an alleged daycare 11 abuse, then you have to do an investigation at that 12 daycare, as well as follow up with those children in their 13 own private homes. You meet with children at school and 14 you assess that situation. You also have to follow it at 15 home. So there are many times when you are meeting with 16 them in various locations.

17 REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY: Okay. Thank you.
18 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Representative?
19 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yes, thank you. Good
20 morning.

I just wanted to say I was a previous social worker myself. I worked for the Public Defender's Office as a child advocate social worker. And going back to Representative DeLissio's question in regards to the caseload, I handle 300 back then. I started as a social

1	worker in 1996. You mentioned about 20 to 40 cases, but
2	that translates into how many cases? So you're saying 20,
3	40, but in essence, in terms of how many cases a person
4	actually has, how much would that be?
5	MR. RANNEY: That's how many cases at one time.
6	REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Is that including
7	families, though, the entire family?
8	MR. RANNEY: That's the entire family. So there
9	could be one child, there could be eight children.
10	REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: So in essence, you're
11	saying 20 to 40, but it could be actually more because
12	you're servicing the entire family, is that correct?
13	MR. RANNEY: Correct.
14	REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. The question that
15	I have in terms of visitations with the family, how often
16	are you visiting that child in that home?
17	MR. RANNEY: I think it's a case-by-case basis.
18	You need to assess a lot of different things go into it
19	like the child's age, what the concerns are reported. If
20	it's something like incorrigibility or truancy of a
21	teenager, you might be in that home less but actually
22	following up with the child at school more. If it's a very
23	young child, very vulnerable child with serious concerns,
24	there's cases where I'm in that home every other day if not
25	every day. So it's a case-by-case determination.

Г

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: So when the case is 1 adjudicated through court, you're mandated to go visit that 2 child based on that court order how often? It all depends? 3 MR. RANNEY: So if a case is adjudicated in which 4 5 the agency takes custody of that child, places that child 6 in out-of-home placement, that case then leaves our desk 7 and goes to a placement unit. Those placement workers, I 8 believe, are mandated to see the child at least once every 9 30 days. 10 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. And then that 11 report comes back to you in terms of the status of that 12 home, is that correct? How is the information correlated 13 between your agency and the private agency that you 14 contract to go see that child? 15 MS. HAGERTY: We see the children ourselves. Ιf 16 children are placed outside of their home through a provider, their visitations with that child in the home, 17 they do not count towards our visitations with the child in 18 19 the home. 20 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: It does not. So your 21 visitation is separate from the other individual --22 MS. HAGERTY: Correct. 23 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: -- visiting that family? 24 MS. HAGERTY: Correct. 25 MR. RANNEY: Yes.

1 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: How many services could be provided for that one family outside of your agency? So 2 how many people can actually be coming in and out of that 3 4 home? 5 MR. RANNEY: Numerous. We could have the agency 6 involved doing home visits. We could also have the foster 7 agency involved doing home visits. We could have mobile therapy in the home. We could have early intervention in 8 9 the home, physical therapy, occupational therapy. So there 10 could be a lot of services provided in that home. 11 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: So what I'm trying to get 12 at that there is someone constantly visiting that home and 13 updating information and communicating with your office the 14 safety of that child, is that correct? 15 MR. RANNEY: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. I have one more 16 17 question and I'm done. In terms of the statewide database that was just implemented, and it was rolled out, I 18 19 believe, this year, is that correct? 20 MR. RANNEY: No, it was rolled out April 2014. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay, '14. Can you talk 22 a little bit about what that database does for the agency? 23 That database has to capture what in essence? 24 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Representative, 25 could we hold that question because we're going to hear

1 from DHS --2 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. So that's a DHS --MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Yes. And I think --3 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. 4 5 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: -- that you -- and 6 that's no offense. You have some experience, but I think 7 we'll just go right to the big --REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: That's fine. 8 9 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: -- honcho and find 10 out --11 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Okay. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: -- about it. 13 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: All right. Well, that's 14 all my questions. And I just, once again, commend you for 15 the work that you do. 16 MR. RANNEY: Thank you. 17 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I have a lot of respect for social workers, so thank you. 18 19 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: All right. 20 Representative Klunk with the last question and then we 21 really need to move on. Thank you. 22 REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Thank you, Chairwoman. 23 And thank you for joining us today. Thank you 24 for everything that you do for our children across 25 Pennsylvania.

1 My question goes to, I believe, Nicholas, you mentioned the ChildFirst training program. Can you speak a 2 3 little bit more about what that training program involved? 4 And you've gone through it in Franklin County. What are your friends throughout the community saying are the 5 6 benefits of it. And especially those counties that have 7 gone through the program, is that making them more efficient and effective at servicing these children and 8 9 families? And is that allowing them to better leverage 10 those multidisciplinary individuals in different 11 departments in really making sure we're using all of the 12 resources available to help children?

13 MR. RANNEY: Yes, I have attended ChildFirst 14 training here in Pennsylvania as well at the National Child 15 Protection Training Center. It's a weeklong course, very 16 intense. I think the positive of it is it's not only 17 classroom lecture but you get to use what you've learned. There's actually real children brought in that are used for 18 you to speak with to learn some, you know, and to practice 19 20 the tools that you've learned. There's also adult actors 21 who play children that you get to speak with. That's when 22 you start speaking about more serious things. Obviously, we don't want to use real children for that. 23

But I think the other benefit is is it alsobrings in several different fields into one room. So each

county, jurisdiction is encouraged to bring not only a
 caseworker but also law enforcement, District Attorney's
 Office, all sorts of fields so that they're all in the same
 room all learning together.

5 So I think that's one of the many trainings I've 6 attended that have truly had an impact on my day-to-day 7 job.

8 REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Shiloh, do you have any 9 comments?

10 MS. HAGERTY: I have attended the training as 11 well. I agree with everything that he's said. It's a very 12 hands-on training and real-life situations and how to speak with children, which I think is absolutely important that 13 14 we do our job. How you speak to a child is how you're 15 going to get the best interview and make them feel 16 comfortable if something is going on in their home that 17 they need to tell you about in order for us to make them safe. So ChildFirst is one of the best trainings that I 18 19 have personally been to.

20 REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: So you would recommend, 21 you know, all counties across the State and all caseworkers 22 to go through at least that program or something similar to 23 make sure that they are providing the best care possible 24 and coordinating all of those services?

MS. HAGERTY: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Thank you.

1

14

15

2 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: All right. Thank 3 you. We're going to pick up the pace a little bit. And I would ask that testifiers, you really do have to speak into 4 the microphone because there's a lot of ambient noise 5 6 that's here, and we have trouble sitting up here -- not 7 just because I'm old but they're having trouble, too -hearing you sometimes. So we would ask that you would each 8 9 do that.

I want to thank you both for the job that you do day in and day out and for taking the time to come to give testimony and have some specific examples for us to think about. Thank you so much.

MR. RANNEY: Thank you, Chairwoman.

MS. HAGERTY: Thank you.

16 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: All right. Next, 17 we're going to -- and I have to be honest and fair about this. We're moving along to talking to children and youth 18 administrators and indeed what's their perspective and what 19 20 do they see is going on at the local county level. I went 21 and got a very good friend of mine so I admit, because we 22 have to have transparency here -- but indeed, Lynne Kallus-Rainey, please come up. She's the Executive Director of 23 24 Bucks County's Children and Youth, and has done that job 25 for a number of years. And I am one of the regulars who

1 bothers her and her assistant and calls up Marge McKeone and says, look, I have this problem with a constituent and 2 3 I don't know; what's the best advice you can give me? What do I do and how do I help? 4 5 So I then called this time and said, look, I have 6 a Committee hearing and we need to get some people up here, 7 and Lynne is very active with the administrators, and I thought what better way to give us kind of a collective 8 9 wisdom on what they think. 10 So please begin your testimony. 11 MS. KALLUS-RAINEY: Thank you, Chairwoman Watson, 12 and good morning, Chairman Conklin, Vice Chairman Moul, 13 Mr. Grasa, honorable Committee. Thank you for the honor of 14 inviting me here today. 15 I am the Executive Director at Bucks County 16 Children and Youth, as Kathy just stated. I've been the 17 appointed Director for 8-1/2 years. I've been with the agency since 1979, and I've been, I think, in every 18 19 capacity just about within the agency. There are five main points -- and I want to also 20 21 commend Shiloh and Nicholas. I think that they nailed it. 22 I can't imagine anybody else describing any better what life is like on a daily basis at the county agency in 23 Pennsylvania. We are struggling. 24 25 I want to take it to another level, however, from the Director's perspective, and there are five main points that I want to leave you with today: number one, a basic understanding of how the county child welfare agency operates. I think that that kind of understanding is helpful for you perhaps when considering legislation for new mandates, as well as how to improve the system.

7 Number two, that the elements that are essential to making public child welfare work intersect with other 8 9 major systems, which all operate independently and apart 10 from the child welfare system. Shiloh and Nicholas 11 mentioned a few of those. For example, the courts, the 12 Department of Education, the Department of Health, early 13 childhood and education, mental health, drug and alcohol to 14 name just a few.

15 Number three, that the core of what makes child 16 protection services work is in-person, in-home visitation. 17 Consistent engagement of individuals and families by qualified, well-trained professionals, two of whom you just 18 19 had the honor of meeting with, are necessary to make this work. What we need to do is learn how to attract and 20 21 retain career-minded, educated professionals to make change 22 in the ongoing system for Pennsylvania.

Number four, that public child welfare agencies
are vulnerable to changes in the political, social, and
economic policies of elected officials at any given time.

And while we are all subject to that, it may be helpful that when legislating new mandates, it is necessary to keep in mind that to address the needs of the workforce to enhance the professionalism and retention are always forefront.

6 Number five, it is helpful to consider new 7 structural approaches of service delivery systems when 8 developing new mandates. Thinking through an integrated 9 interdisciplinary approach to mandates so that dramatic 10 impact on any one system is lessened and the means to the 11 desired outcomes is shared. An integrated approach can 12 develop service systems using the same amount or fewer 13 resources and achieve more targeted results.

14 The nature of the job: The job has never been 15 easy or simple. Caseloads have always exceeded the ideal. 16 Salaries are not on parity with similar type public sector 17 work such as teachers and/or criminal court employees. The child welfare caseworker requires extensively more 18 19 training, skill development, responsibility, and personal 20 risk than a caseworker in aging or mental health, yet those 21 jobs usually pay the same and/or in some cases more.

While salary ranges within the court and human service departments are unique among the counties. What is fairly universal is that the child welfare caseworker and their role is often overlooked and the vital role they play in public safety, public health, and our communities.

1

So why do people stay? What is it about child 2 3 welfare that makes it one of the most rewarding yet 4 disagreeable jobs on the planet? How many times does a 5 caseworker hear I wouldn't want your job, I couldn't do 6 your job, or why do you stay? We know that caseworkers, 7 supervisors, managers, and executive directors stay when their clients, their work, and their agency are valued and 8 9 appreciated. Effective workload distribution through 10 responsive management and staff complement is seen as a 11 message from the county commissions and State legislators 12 as saying the work you do is vital, we know it is not easy, 13 but we have your back.

Why people leave, you just heard from two people why people are leaving. However, whether it ever happens to you or not, the underlying pulse in public child welfare is what if a child dies on my watch? The death of a child is a life-changing event. It is a fact of the world we live in and our work is about preventing it when possible.

Imagine yourself 25 years old having worked with a family for eight weeks, seeing the newborn once or twice a week. You've brought formula, diapers, food, transported Mom and newborn to the doctors. Then, an early-morning call wakes you from your sleep and you are told that that baby was just slammed against a wall causing fatal

1 injuries. What is the first thing you say to yourself? 2 What could I have done to prevent this? 3 You think of that beautiful baby and have nowhere to go with your personal grief because you know a 4 5 magnifying lens will now be applied to every element of 6 your character, your work, your judgment, your skill, and 7 your worthiness. Your name and that of the supervisor may be released to the media. The media have been known to 8 9 scandalize child deaths and to highlight perceived 10 deficiencies before even all the facts are known. Local 11 elected officials are sensitive to media, and it happens 12 that administrators and management of the agencies are fired. 13

14 There may be a criminal or a civil suit that you 15 are named in. Caseworkers leave. Supervisors direct more 16 cases to court. This will continue unless a child death is 17 approached from a "what can we learn" framework on all levels, the level of caseworker, supervisor, managers, 18 19 organizations, county structures and protocols, and State 20 systems, to examine all the multi-elements and 21 intersections of where this child and family interfaced 22 with various parts of the community and systems. Imagine what a difference it would make if a professional crisis 23 24 intervention team swooped in on the agency, came in, worked 25 with the staff, worked with the county, as well as provided

the framework for meaningful solutions.

I wanted to say a few words about court. 2 The 3 court process is something that people don't usually hear 4 about, yet it consumes a large part of what the child 5 welfare caseworker must be proficient in and spends 6 numerous hours, days, and even weeks at times having to be 7 adept in. There are numerous hearings that the child welfare caseworker -- and I call them the child welfare 8 9 professional -- must be proficient. And I'm going to just 10 name the number of hearings because this is what part of 11 that life of the daily life of a caseworker is consumed by.

12 Shelter care hearings, adjudicatory dependency 13 hearings, interim permanency reviews, permanency reviews, 14 judicial reviews, termination-of-parental-right hearings, 15 and adoption proceedings. Each one of those hearings 16 requires paperwork for due process notification, court 17 reports, as well as preparation for oral testimony by the 18 caseworker.

19 The caseworker in many of those hearings must 20 testify as to how parental or child behaviors and home 21 environmental characteristics cause serious concern for the 22 safety and/or well being of a child based on the statutes 23 of the Juvenile Act, Child Protective Services law, or the 24 Adoption Act.

25

1

The Judge has a dependency bench book. This

1 includes questions for the bench to consider. Typically, caseworkers are prepped by their supervisors. Many times 2 3 in the hearing the judge and/or opposing counsel may ask a 4 difficult question or something may be said that throws the 5 caseworker off balance. It is not uncommon for the 6 caseworker to hesitate or perhaps not even know the answer 7 to the question on the spot. Unfortunately, it is all too often that the credibility of the caseworker is called into 8 9 question.

While trained for that occurrence, that court experience, depending on the temperament of the caseworker and of the bench can be felt as humiliating and defeating over time. And I will say that I have had caseworkers come and resign because of their court experiences.

15 So systemic issues on legal representation of all 16 parties, parents may be represented by an attorney at all 17 stages of the proceedings. Each child is appointed an attorney as a guardian ad litem. An agency solicitor 18 represents the agency. However, the caseworker is not 19 20 personally represented. The caseworker is not the direct 21 client of the agency solicitor. Often, caseworkers do not 22 even have an opportunity to prep with a solicitor until a few minutes before the hearing outside the courtroom. 23

You already heard that there are many skillsnecessary involved in the caseworker role. The hiring for

1 those skills sets stands to be changed if something is
2 really going to be done seriously about the retention and
3 hiring for child welfare.

There are two mechanisms by which children and youth agencies in Pennsylvania can hire. One, and the most traditional and most common, is still through the Pennsylvania State Civil Service Commission. The other is a State-approved county merit system for hire, which must be approved by the State civil service system after a county applies for this.

11 The Pennsylvania State Civil Service Commission 12 is slow. It is laden with rigid rules that dictate when 13 and what positions are open for testing, how the rule of 14 three must apply in hiring based on test scores, despite 15 actual suitability for the children and youth job. It 16 requires delays as when not authorizing a new list of 17 eligible candidates until several weeks after the previous list had been exhausted, as well as then another two-week 18 19 delay after the new list is issued so that candidates on 20 that new list can be surveyed for availability. And it 21 qoes on.

In the dynamic world of child welfare, hiring through civil service is like putting a moped engine in a formula 500 racecar. It contributes to caseworker overload, multi-case transfers per case, and ultimately resignations. This contributes to putting vulnerable children and youth who have been reported to the agency at more risk because less detail can be attended to per investigation and case.

5 You've heard about the training, and I will just 6 add, as I think Nicholas and Shiloh also highlighted very 7 well, that preparing a new worker for today's public child welfare is complicated, it is never-ending. In addition to 8 the foundational laws, philosophy, and interviewing skills 9 10 that are required, the on-the-job training particularly of 11 personal safety is foremost and must be seriously 12 considered again when looking at the number of cases that a 13 caseworker must carry.

14 Retention, so how to retain a competent, 15 experienced child welfare professional workforce? 16 Financially, the State, through its OCYF office, Office of 17 Children, Youth, and Families, as well as the county structure of the administration and commissioners must 18 19 ensure adequate funds so that the necessary number of 20 positions can be staffed at the county agency. Optimal State reimbursement for counties to be able to do that 21 22 would look like a 90 to 100 percent reimbursement on 23 caseworker positions to counties.

24 Due to the nature of the work, caseload size is25 difficult to quantify. You heard about those family

engagement meetings. They are part of the best practices that are employed by children and youth agencies to do the work. They are effective. However, they do require long hours. The use of best practices, the skill of the caseworker, and the intensity of the cases has to be evaluated on an ongoing basis in order to determine what adequate caseload size must look like.

The recently enacted Child Protective Services 8 9 laws included the new data reporting system that you've 10 already heard about, the Child Welfare Information System, 11 aka, CWIS. Caseload size has to incorporate the interface 12 of support personnel to assist with CWIS because it is not 13 working yet to the point to where we all hope it will get 14 to. It also decreases supervisory time. Supervisory time 15 is critical not only to the safety of the children that 16 we're looking at but also to the personal safety of our 17 caseworkers. This is a situation that has to be urgently addressed. 18

19 So basic recommendations in addition to what I've 20 stated is that when considering any new laws that impact 21 child welfare, remembering the old adage of it taking a 22 village to raise a child must be in the forefront. 23 Questions of how to formulate a cross-systems approach with 24 relevant State partners on new mandates will serve to 25 lessen dramatic impact on any one system and again enhance

the achievement of desired outcomes. It may be time for an
 integrated structural approach to the delivery of services.

It is critical that legislators understand the job of the county child welfare worker when considering these new mandates. The job has reached its capacity. Structural approaches to how counties and State can address today's challenges will help the struggling child welfare workforce promote its strengths through a clear identity and a focused mission.

10

I thank you for your time and attention today.

11 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you very much. 12 And I would want to note we're going to keep moving. 13 Notice the hands are up now, keep moving. But I must note 14 the presence of Representative Kim, Representative 15 McCarter, Representative Dan Miller, Representative Dave 16 Parker. And we thank you for joining us. You saw some 17 Members leave. That's because everybody's schedules, meetings on Monday morning because we have a one o'clock 18 start. So we've had people going from one Committee 19 20 meeting to another. We thank you for coming here.

If we may now be joined by -- somebody said shift gears. I don't think so. I think it's this continuum, but we're moving through all the layers that make up our children and youth in Pennsylvania. So we will welcome Cathy Utz, the Deputy Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, her charge specifically, the
 Office of Children, Youth, and Families.

3 Deputy Secretary Utz has testified before us for a number of times. We appreciate you continuing to share 4 5 insight and expertise. And I think we're trying to give 6 you Members a general overview of what the system is doing 7 now and what they are. As I said, for those who've come in, this is not the end-all hearing. This is the beginning 8 9 of what will be a long journey to decide what can we do in 10 terms of policy. What do we do, perhaps in what order. 11 And I honestly think this goes beyond this calendar year of 12 2016. We will get started but it will be ongoing.

Deputy Secretary Utz, thank you for being here,and please begin.

MS. UTZ: Thank you. Good morning, Chairwoman Watson, Chairman Conklin, Committee Members, and staff. It's my honor to serve as the Deputy for the Office of Children, Youth, and Families. And on behalf of Secretary Dallas, I would like to thank you for the opportunity today to testify regarding Pennsylvania child welfare system.

Protecting Pennsylvania's children, I think as we heard, from abuse and neglect is a shared responsibility that doesn't solely rest with the formal child welfare system. It requires the collective collaboration of community partners and Pennsylvania citizens to provide local safety nets for children and families that are facing
 challenges within our communities and neighborhoods.

3 The past few years have presented unique challenges, yet numerous opportunities, geared toward 4 improving our ability to better protect our children. 5 Ι 6 think we've all talked about the 24 pieces of legislation 7 that have been enacted by the General Assembly, and together, we've been able to expand our definition of 8 abuse. We've identified more individuals who we want to 9 10 report abuse, and we've enhanced our clearance 11 requirements. And now, certain mandated reporters are 12 required to obtain training on recognizing and reporting 13 child abuse. And then we've heard about the implementation 14 of the Child Welfare Information Solution.

So what I'd like to do is take a few minutes to provide you with some data to let you know that in 2015 we've seen an all-time high in the number of reports of child abuse that have been reported and investigated statewide. These numbers increased from 29,520 in 2014 to 42,005 in 2015. That represents a 42 percent increase statewide.

Additionally, the calls received at the child abuse hotline increased from 165,000 to over 188,000. Additionally, the number of clearances went from nearly 600,000 to 1.5 million. Of those 1.5 million, 69 percent were received online through the implementation of the
 Child Welfare Information Solution.

The number of requests for clearances for volunteers increased from 50,000 to 400,000 statewide. Additionally, the number of individuals that were identified as being on the database as a perpetrator of child abuse increased from just over 1,100 to over 2,200 individuals.

And from November of 2014 to January of 2016,
over 600,000 individuals were trained through the three
contracts the Department holds with the Pennsylvania Family
Support Alliance, the Pennsylvania Chapter of the American
Academy of Pediatrics, and the Pennsylvania Child Welfare
Resource Center through the University of Pittsburgh.

15 So while that data shows that our efforts are 16 paying off, I think we've really heard about the challenges 17 that are being experienced at the county, local levels, and 18 so that we have much work to do.

As you've heard, we're a State-supervised, county-administered child welfare system where the Department provides oversight and technical assistance to the 67 county children and youth agencies, and that we reimburse counties for the services that are delivered to children and families in their local communities. We pay for staff salaries, we pay for placement services, we pay for in-home services, and that ranges from 100 percent reimbursement for certain adoption services, 80 percent reimbursement for staff salaries, and 60 percent reimbursement for placement of children in institutional care. Approximately 65 percent of the county child welfare budget comes from State funds. The remaining comes from county and Federal funds.

And I think as we've heard really the first step in our journey to improve Pennsylvania's child welfare system was by amending our Child Protective Services law, which hadn't been amended for over 20 years as extensively as it is today.

And so I think what we've also heard about from 13 14 our staff is that they work tirelessly each and every day 15 to ensure that children are safe, that they have permanent 16 homes, and that their well-being needs are met. Often, that comes at a risk to their own families and to their own 17 emotional well-being. We've heard about vicarious trauma 18 and secondary traumatic stress. They're prevalent in our 19 20 county child welfare staff, as they are nationwide.

Recruitment and retention of public child welfare agency staff is a national challenge. Data suggests that one-third of the Nation's child welfare workforce have formal social work education. The annual turnover rate nationwide is 30 to 40 percent. The average caseload size 1 nationwide is 24 to 31 cases, and the average salary is
2 \$33,000.

3 As the realm of social problems grows and 4 intensifies, workers are responsible for serving children 5 and families with multiple challenges. Typically, families 6 include high substance abuse rates, behavioral health 7 issues, domestic violence, and poverty. A majority of our frontline workers have encountered actual violence or 8 9 threats themselves. Pennsylvania data closely mirrors that 10 of the Federal data.

11 And in an effort to really strengthen our child 12 welfare workforce, we've had a longstanding partnership 13 with our county children and youth agencies, as well as the 14 University of Pittsburgh's Child Welfare Resource Center. 15 And what we're trying to do is support youth and attract 16 our workforce from staff who have bachelor's in social work 17 degrees.

We work with 14 schools across the State to offer 18 19 financial support to approximately 60 to 75 undergraduates 20 in their final year of study. Through this program, 21 students complete an internship at a public child welfare 22 agency, receive child welfare-specific coursework, and are enrolled in the previously mentioned core trainings that 23 the staff talked about earlier. In turn, students commit 24 to employment in a child welfare agency for at least a 25

year. And to date, the program has an 88 percent
 completion rate.

Additionally, we're looking to strengthen our 3 4 workforce by ensuring that there's an opportunity for 5 bachelor of social work degreed staff to actually then go 6 on and complete their master's in social work. We partner 7 with 12 schools across the State, and then as of July 2015, there were a total of 1,200 graduates from that program 8 9 with 99 percent of them completing their commitment post-10 graduation.

11 Twenty five percent of all county child welfare 12 positions are held by a CWEL graduates or current students, 13 and we see a correlation between the success of the program 14 in that individuals who were once CWEB program students now 15 are enrolled in the CWEL program to get their master's. 16 All but 13 graduates actually completed their commitment 17 since 1996. And of all program graduates, only 8 percent have left public child welfare agency for reasons to 18 include death, disability, relocation to another State, or 19 20 obtaining employment elsewhere.

High caseload size, quality supervision, and agency support and paperwork, and lack of pay are among the reasons that we heard earlier for folks leaving our system. Through the statewide Leadership Roundtable, which is led by Supreme Court Justice Max Baer, the Recruitment and Retention Workgroup was charged with exploring these
 issues. This workgroup is comprised of representatives by
 both the county, State, legal representatives, the
 judiciary, and DHS.

5 The first report of that workgroup will be issued 6 in May. The report will include a review of information 7 gathered and a set of preliminary recommendations for how 8 we could move the recruitment and retention efforts forward 9 in this State. Once the report is final, we'll be sure to 10 share it with the Committees that are responsible for 11 oversight of DHS.

As we look to identify solutions and retain county staff, we must ensure that we first understand why they choose the field of child welfare, why they chose it as their career path originally. We must remember that we are not alone when it comes to high turnover rates because other States share our challenges and are also seeking to find viable options.

19 Recruiting and maintaining quality staff requires 20 a multifaceted goal that doesn't rest with one agency or 21 entity. It requires a collaborative response and 22 commitment. If it were an easy issue to address, we 23 wouldn't be facing those challenges today. 24 Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you,

25

Secretary.

1

If you would stick around, I know Lynne is, too, 2 3 but we are going to move on with testimony so that we try to get back a little bit on schedule. And we would first 4 5 then welcome, I believe, Brian Bornman. Brian's the 6 Executive Director of Pennsylvania Children and Youth 7 Administrators, which is an arm or a leg -- I'm not sure -of the County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania. 8 Ι 9 would suggest, sir, I don't know which is more important, 10 but indeed it is an important part of CCAP. 11 And you may begin whenever you choose. MR. BORNMAN: Thank you. Yes, I'm not sure what 12 part it would be. It's certainly not the brains of the 13 14 operation but I'm along for the ride. 15 Chairwoman Watson, Representative Conklin and 16 Moul and the rest of the Committee, Mr. Grasa, I take this opportunity to thank you for the opportunity to address you 17 18 today. 19 My name is Brian Bornman and I came to this 20 position in a somewhat roundabout way. I actually started 21 in social work in 1988 when I was in the Army stationed in 22 Germany, at the time started volunteering with what was 23 then described as emotionally disturbed children. Throughout that time I obtained a bachelor's in psychology, 24 25 a master's in counseling, and a law degree from Widener

University, and had the opportunity to work as both a
 caseworker for Child Protective Services, a child
 therapist, and ultimately as a solicitor for Franklin
 County Children and Youth representing their child welfare
 agency.

And I can tell of all those positions, by far, the caseworkers do the hardest job. And Mr. Ranney and Ms. Hagerty today who testified, I have known both of them for a number of years, and they're both excellent caseworkers, which is really why we wanted to have them have the opportunity to address you today.

12 Which kind of leads to my first point, many times 13 we talk about the system, the child welfare system and how 14 can we better the system, and I think we sometimes lose 15 sight of the fact that the system are people. They are the 16 caseworkers, they are the legal counsel who represent the 17 agencies, they're the supervisors who direct the caseworkers, they're the commissioners who find funding for 18 19 them. So I think we always need to keep that in mind and 20 really try to provide the support necessary to allow those 21 people to really do the jobs that we're asking them to do.

22 Some of the challenges in Pennsylvania right now, 23 caseload ratios is something that's been discussed quite a 24 bit up to this point, and I just wanted to advise the 25 Committee of kind of where we're at with that at this

1 point. PCYA and CCAP has approached Penn State University, 2 the Network on Child Protection and Well-Being, tasked them 3 to assist us in doing a comprehensive time study of what is 4 a realistic caseload and is there a better way that we can 5 look at that rather than just saying cases, because right 6 now, the regulations say that a caseworker can carry up to 7 30 cases, but that doesn't specify any number of children. So it could be a single child in that case or it could be 8 9 15 children in that case depending on how many children are 10 associated with that household.

11 So one of the things we're really looking at both 12 with the support of Penn State and with Deputy Secretary 13 Utz and her staff are how can we better quantify that? Ιs 14 there a better way to weight those systems or to weight 15 those caseload ratios so that we can have a little more 16 science behind it rather than simply pulling a number out 17 of the air and saying 15 cases is what can be handled because not all cases are the same and not all agencies are 18 19 the same.

There are some rural counties where the caseworkers provide all the in-home services. And there are many counties where the caseworkers really do true case management, and those services are contracted out to the providers. And I know Ms. Bianchi will be addressing this Committee shortly. So I think that's something that really 1 needs to be looked into is what's a realistic caseload and 2 how can we better look into that and ascertain what that 3 should be.

There's been some discussion about the increases 4 5 based upon the Child Protective Service law mandates that 6 went into effect beginning of 2015 and those changes. And 7 yes, they have had a dramatic impact. PCYA has been tracking those in a number of counties throughout the year, 8 9 and what we have seen has been an increase generally 10 anywhere from 32 percent to some counties maintaining a 11 sustained 100 percent plus increase in investigations.

12 And I'm not talking about referrals. I'm talking 13 when you even boil that down and you receive multiple 14 referrals, how many actual investigations are being done. 15 And we're looking at 32 to 100 percent, primarily looking 16 at the class 4 and higher counties because you get some 17 really screwy numbers when you start looking at the very small counties that get very few reports over the course of 18 19 a year.

Part of the problem with that is that, because of the way it falls within each base budget cycle, the staff weren't able to be added to that because at the time that the budget -- everybody knew this was coming but nobody knew what the increase was going to look like. So in good faith OCYF could not say, okay, you can do a 30 percent increase in your intake staffing complement because there were no numbers to back that up. And because of the needsbased budget process, it was unrealistic to be able to add that.

5 So one of the things I think we really need to 6 look at, both for this legislation that came out and has 7 such a dramatic impact but all legislation that comes out is how can we have some mechanism in place either to have a 8 9 supplemental appropriation to support additional staffing 10 if it turns out to have a dramatic impact and the needs 11 that arise there or some means to reopen the budget in 12 order to determine whether or not additional staffing need 13 to be added.

Because really at the point we're looking at now, those staff were able to be justified in the budgets that were submitted in August of last year because now you had numbers to back it up and support it. But they won't be able to be funded until July of next year because of the way the needs-based budgeting cycle.

So if the counties were lucky enough to have some additional funds in their budget that were under-spent in other areas, they could submit a revised budget and shuffle some funds around to bring additional staffing on. But if they weren't one of those counties that were lucky enough to have additional funds elsewhere in their budget, there was really nothing they could do other than try to
 struggle.

3 And what the result has been, as the caseworkers 4 testified, a dramatic overload of our intake departments, 5 which now are carrying upwards -- I've seen some counties 6 -- and I've had the luxury of going to all 67 counties at 7 this point -- some counties that actually were carrying upwards of 75 cases in their intake unit simply because 8 9 they had so many cases coming in and they had nowhere for 10 them to go. And they didn't have sufficient staff to be 11 able to handle that.

And I can tell you that with 75 cases, you're not getting quality casework, and we don't want to see a situation where the best intentions lead to negative outcomes because we're so overloaded that no good work is getting done.

17 There's been discussion about the Child Welfare Information Solution or System, and again, this is 18 19 something that's been long-needed in Pennsylvania, some 20 type of comprehensive data system. And PCYA is working 21 closely with Deputy Secretary Utz and her staff to try to 22 improve that system and how the data systems at the county level interact with the State system. But as Ms. Rainey 23 from Bucks County testified, I don't think we're there yet. 24 25 This is still a great source of frustration for

1 the counties. What used to be a simple matter of faxing in a two-page document at the completion of an investigation 2 3 now requires anywhere from 2 to 2 hours of working with the 4 computer system before it can finally go through and be 5 accepted. And what we're finding out now there's been a 6 number of errors and many of the counties are getting lists 7 of hundreds or even thousands of cases back that need to be corrected because there's validation errors in the system. 8

9 And what we really don't want to see is a 10 situation where we're jeopardizing children's safety, which 11 should be the very core of what we do in Pennsylvania 12 because we are looking for data. And I have concerns with 13 that sometimes when I see how much time and effort is being 14 spent at the county level to fix data and that those are 15 all caseworkers that are not then spending time in the 16 field doing assessments.

17 So some suggestions on improvement because I'm sure no one here wants us to just come in and tell you what 18 19 all is wrong, I think we really are at a point where we 20 need to have some type of moratorium and to the extent 21 possible not put any additional mandates or regulations on 22 the counties, really let all these changes sink in, let counties get their staffing levels back up to where they 23 need to be, and really try to stabilize because many of the 24 25 counties I've been to are in this kind of perpetual startup

mode where they have a lot of new staff, they get overloaded, and those new staff are gone inside of a year and kind of the old workhorses, the more experienced, stable staff are just getting overloaded and we're starting to burn out some of our very experienced staff. And that's truly a crisis point for the system.

We need to be realistic in what a caseworker can do. And I think the time study is going to help greatly with that.

10 And many of these mandates, while they're very 11 important, the psychotropic medications, the educational 12 stability, the family finding, all of these are needed for 13 the kids in care, but I'm not sure that we can always keep 14 giving it to a caseworker and saying now you're going to be 15 an expert on this, now you're going to be an expert on 16 psychotropic medication because they're caseworkers. Thev need to be focused on safety. They need to have 17 specialized training in that area. 18

So we may need to look at, as Ms. Rainey said, a more comprehensive system-wide approach in bringing in physicians and educational experts to really help address many of those other ancillary issues for kids in care to make sure that they get the best services available but without dumping it all on caseworkers.

25

7

8

9

When the new mandates are passed, it will impact

the workload, again supplemental appropriation, and we need to work at timing those so that there's enough lead time to get the system where it needs to be to absorb those. There's a lot of changes that are going to be coming out with the Preventing Sex Trafficking and Strengthening Families Act.

7 House Bill 477 was passed and signed into law. At the time it was signed there was two weeks for the 8 9 entire State to train all of the carequivers in the State 10 from the time it was actually enacted, and that's patently 11 unrealistic to expect a system as large as the Pennsylvania 12 child welfare system to be able to absorb that and train 13 all of those people in that short of a time frame. So we 14 need to be a little more plan-full in rolling out these 15 mandates.

We need to assure stable funding through budget impasses, and I know, I don't want to get down that road at all but just that there needs to be a means that counties can know they can rely on funding so that we don't have staff freezes and things like that.

We need to be realistic about the data needs, as I suggested, and just always keep in mind that whenever we have a loss of a child or one of these high-profile cases that that caseworker did not want that to happen. They have done everything they possibly can to assure that. And 1 it pains me greatly when I see some of the high-profile 2 cases and just the level of scrutiny that caseworkers are 3 placed under. I truly believe in my heart of hearts that 4 the caseworkers are doing the very best they can with the 5 resources and the knowledge they have available. And it's 6 terrible to see them kind of thrown under the bus when 7 something bad happens.

Unfortunately, it's a reactionary system. 8 We 9 only are involved when something bad has happened for the 10 most part, and there's no crystal ball. Whenever you go 11 into one of the homes, you don't know what's going to 12 happen when you walk about that door, so you make your best assessment based on the best science and the best training 13 14 that you have available to you. But there's really no way 15 of knowing what might happen.

16 Not meaning to sound like all doom and gloom, this is a good opportunity for the system in Pennsylvania. 17 Through the stress of many of these impacts recently and 18 19 some of the turmoil in the system, there's been some very 20 good partnerships made, the partnership working with the 21 Office of Children, Youth, and Family and PCYA has grown 22 stronger, I believe. We work very closely with Deputy Secretary Utz and her staff on retention issues, on the 23 24 CWIS issues. We have regular standing work group meetings to try to resolve many of these issues and free line of 25

1 communication there.

2	The work with Penn State, I think, is going to
3	benefit the system as a whole in Pennsylvania, and we're
4	hoping to have something coming out of that by the end of
5	the year. So I think we're in a good place moving forward.
6	There are a number of issues that need to be addressed, but
7	I think we are moving in the right direction at this point.
8	Once again, thank you for this opportunity to
9	address the Committee.
10	Oh, and I would extend an invitation to anyone on
11	this Committee if you would ever like to see anything in
12	action at the county level, I would be more than happy to
13	set that up. And I know a number of counties that would be
14	very happy to have you come and ride along, see what it's
15	like to actually do the work of a caseworker. Thank you.
16	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Thank you,
17	Mr. Bornman.
18	And now, to build on that perspective and that is
19	more at the local level since indeed Children and Youth
20	Services are administered at the local level, we're honored
21	to have with us today the Honorable George P. Hartwick,
22	III. Mr. Hartwick is a Dauphin County Commissioner, and
23	Commissioner Hartwick also oversees Children and Youth
24	Services in Dauphin County. He is someone who is extremely
25	engaged in both statewide and nationally on these issues.

1 We've had the opportunity to meet once before, so I can personally say that's absolutely true. We are delighted 2 3 that you would come today to give us something of your 4 assessment, expertise, and suggestions you may have. 5 Commissioner, begin. 6 MR. HARTWICK: Thank you, Chairman Watson, 7 Chairman Conklin, Members of the Committee. Representative Kim, it's good to see you. 8 9 I'm going to do a little something different today. I've written a lot of testimony. I think it's

10 today. I've written a lot of testimony. I think it's 11 probably good to have an engaging conversation related to, 12 I think, some of the experiences that we've had, talk about 13 specific suggestions and areas where I think some concerns 14 particularly from the local level.

15 I'd like to first offer and expound on what Brian 16 had suggested to Members of the Committee. One of the 17 things that I sought out to do when I was a Commissioner and I've just done again in the past couple of weeks is 18 19 work each one of the jobs that we're responsible for 20 providing the oversight of. Be an intake worker, CPS, GPS, 21 go out, do investigations, work in the schools and see the 22 issues that exist in our own communities that we oftentimes 23 talk about. But without that firsthand experience, we all 24 know that sometimes in bureaucracy the stories that 25 translate from folks versus what you know as a kinesthetic

1 learner, when you see, feel, and touch, it's a whole lot
2 different.

3 So I'd like to extend an invitation to any 4 Members of the Committee to be able to come along and ride 5 along with us as a caseworker and understand the complex 6 challenges that exist. They're expected right out of 7 college to be in a position at a low wage, paying back student loans, oftentimes with young families, and they're 8 9 asked to be experts in areas of medical advice, addiction 10 counseling, significant mental illness, the ability for 11 them to juggle multiple caseloads that are high stress, 12 high volume, while trying to manage their own lives in a 13 way that's just getting them a foothold back out of a 14 college experience.

It's an extraordinarily difficult job, and I know 15 16 the people that work in our areas and we've had significant 17 turnover. As you're aware, Dauphin County has had some challenges in a high-profile case. But the ability for us 18 to retain and keep good staff is essentially important. 19 20 We've actually seen a 30 percent turnover of staff 21 countywide, and we've also seen an increase of 128 percent 22 in referrals and investigations, 128 percent. That is significant in our county. 23

And I think what we've heard is we're raising the awareness. April is Child Abuse Awareness Month, so it's perfect timing to have these meetings. I've got my blue little pin on today. I also know that what we've heard about the child welfare legislation is it's increasing referrals. We're seeing a number of investigations that didn't happen prior to these mandates being made.

The challenges at the local level, we're the ones who have to implement the changes that occur. And in the future instead of such sweeping changes that occur, the idea of incremental implementation at the local level, I think, is something that we would prefer to be able to work along with.

12 And I don't want to point fingers today because 13 I'm a person who wants to figure out a way to solve 14 problems, and Lord knows when you have one out, there's 15 usually three coming back at you. The idea of figuring out 16 how can we work together based upon these current changes 17 in an environment that is becoming more and more difficult to retain staff, we've seen increased caseloads, we're 18 19 uncertain about our financial future.

And I want to say Cathy Utz has been a great partner for us to work with. I know with the amount of work that exists I know the burden is great, but she's been open and communicating with the county and supporting us through some challenging times and difficult times as well. But we do see a lot of things on the front lines 1 that need significant attention and improvement, and I'm 2 going to mention some of those today. First of all, caseworker pay, again, we're talking about a caseworker I 3 4 in Dauphin County making \$33,758.40 as a caseworker I, 5 knowing those liabilities, responsibilities, and the amount 6 of stress and burden on those jobs. That's a very 7 challenging salary to be able to pay back student loans and to be able to face these kinds of challenges. 8

9 We also know that issues with ChildLine referrals 10 is a major concern. I think you heard that earlier. The 11 time that our staff spends in trying to ensure that the 12 information coming from ChildLine is correct can be 13 anywhere from five minutes to an hour per referral. And 14 that's essential time with caseloads that are significant 15 that we could be out in the field, making sure that we work 16 on the appropriate level of information and referrals that 17 oftentimes usually come electronically to the county is going to be critically important. 18

We've also talked about caseload sizes, what are the appropriate caseload sizes. You know, obviously, the State mandate, the maximum is 30. I think you heard from child welfare professionals today with the complex issues that exist the idea of trying to spend more time with a family rather than less is a definite and critical component to ensuring the safety of our kids.

1 Look, I'm a father of four. I understand that the safety of our kids is the most critical thing that we 2 can be doing at any level of government. And can I safely 3 sit here today with the volume of caseload what I've 4 5 experienced and seen in our agencies, the amount of cases 6 that exist every day. And again, from the two days that 7 I've worked, there are multiple follow-ups, individuals that have the ability to provide referrals for services, 8 the ability to engage with families becomes strained the 9 10 more and more cases that they continue to build up and then 11 the more and more paperwork that they're required to fill 12 out inside of county government, which sometimes, you know, 13 it really takes significant time away from those critical 14 and timely cases.

And meeting those regulatory requirements are important to all of us, but it's not just about meeting those boxes and regulatory requirements; it's about protecting and making sure our kids are safe. And in order to do that, we're looking forward to working together in order to get those tools.

21 One of the things that I believed in Dauphin 22 County we would not have had success in trying to address 23 the turnover issues had we still been in the State civil 24 service system. We were a county that transferred out from 25 our civil service system to a county-based merit hire system.

1

2 The county-based merit hire system has expanded 3 our pool of applicants, it's allowed us to reach 4 individuals in our community who we never would have gotten 5 through a civil service process. We've been able to 6 actually attract people from neighborhoods who are 7 represented and culturally competent, able to support the same neighborhoods that they grew up in, from the same 8 9 communities that they grew up in. That could have never 10 happened had it not been for our transition and the ability 11 to have a county-based merit hire system.

12 I know other counties across the Commonwealth may not have that option, and I think the Civil Service 13 14 Commission is now at a point where they realize they have 15 to make some level of changes. And it's particularly 16 distressing in rural counties where the selection of 17 candidates are even fewer, and it becomes even more and more distressful to get through the rule of three and the 18 19 process and the list of candidates. A lot of times it's 20 the same candidates that you may be seeing over and over 21 again rather than an ability to have a larger pool to 22 maintain and as folks continue to leave, to be able to actually hire them from the list of candidates that you 23 24 currently have. And our county-based merit hire system has 25 allowed us to do that well.

1 Our caseworkers see the very worst in human behavior, and it's especially hard when the victims are 2 3 young children. Again, as a result of the heightened awareness of the child abuse caused by the Sandusky case, 4 5 we've seen reporting go up. In Dauphin County and across 6 the Commonwealth, children and youth caseworkers are 7 committing to protecting the children in our communities, but these dedicated workers need the Legislature's support. 8 9 It's imperative that the State provide the resources needed 10 to ensure we have enough caseworkers to handle the 11 increased workload and that these caseworkers are certainly 12 properly compensated.

13 We also need to ensure better coordination and 14 cooperation at all levels before implementing laws that 15 impact the child welfare system. Enactment and effective 16 dates that fail to consider the timing of the needs-based 17 plan and budget put counties in a really tough spot with the potential for costs driven by changes implemented now 18 19 that are not captured in the budget until sometime in the 20 future.

Perhaps implementation in pilot counties with the time to analyze impact would make sense, or timing effective dates to the budget process could be the standard the Legislature agrees to for the future.

25

In exit interviews, our caseworkers often report

frustration with the requirements to fill out lengthy and often duplicated paperwork. We've talked about that. The lack of clarity and the regulatory lack of clarity is also a challenge. I know we've asked for and hopefully received the cooperation with so many law changes and so many bulletins and regulatory changes.

7 Oftentimes it's very difficult to find out in writing what is the actual change. And as you know, in a 8 9 changing environment, it's critically important that we 10 have those laws very clearly that are communicated to us so 11 we're able to provide the training necessary in order to 12 meet those regulations. That is something that I know 13 we've got a commitment from the Department to work through 14 but still seems to be a concern.

Another obstacle encountered by county children and youth agencies is providing quality training to staff to assure that they are complemented.

I know we have also enacted a new training
program at Dauphin County that is something that I wanted
to highlight as well. It is called the Field Staff Safety
Awareness training. And we had heard some of us talk about
some of the training programs and regimented. We actually
worked through a process with Harrisburg Area Community
College.

25

Right now, we're going to actually -- we've been

1 so successful in developing the curriculum. It's real hands-on training for both senior staff and direct staff 2 3 that has the ability to be in situations, to do home 4 assessments, to walk through and actually again being a 5 kinesthetic way, seeing, feeling, touching, and interacting 6 and dealing with specific instances that they're going to 7 see in the field. It's really assisted us, and I know we've received great feedback from our staff. And I know 8 9 now it's being considered as a curriculum also statewide. 10 So with that, I'd like to end my portion of the 11 testimony and ask if there's any questions of the 12 Committee. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: If I might, 14 Commissioner, I want to bring up our last testifier, 15 Bernadette Bianchi, and then we'll open it up for 16 questions --17 MR. HARTWICK: Great. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: -- so please do not 18 go away because certainly -- and, ladies and gentlemen, 19 20 there's a lot more that he has on those pages so you're 21 going to want to take a look at that, too. 22 I should say for the benefit of those here, we had, as you can see, even running a bit late, but a full 23 agenda of testifiers. However, Committee Members, you have 24 25 additional testimony that indeed different groups sent and

wanted you to have on the issue, and that is in your
packet.

I would like to assure everyone who's here attending, my Members are diligent. I can vouch for them. They started off when we first formed the -- well, when I got the Committee with a 400-page task force report that I handed each of them with their names on it. So I know they read and they pay attention and they ask questions.

9 I should say we will try to get this in, but if 10 we can't get all of your questions in, would you write them 11 to Mr. Grasa. He's going to collect and forward them to 12 all our testifiers, too, because, you know, we have to be on the Floor. It's one o'clock. We're running against, as 13 14 usual, time here. And we will continue. But I thank all 15 of you for being here, and I want to assure everyone if you 16 sent testimony in, it will indeed by read by the Members of 17 this Committee. They do a terrific job.

Our last testifier, indeed, someone I've had the
opportunity to work with, and that is Bernadette Bianchi,
Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Council of Children,
Youth, and Family Services.

Thank you for being patient, joining us today.
Sorry you're last on the agenda, but please begin your
testimony, Bernadette.

25

MS. BIANCHI: Thank you for this opportunity. I

appreciate the time and interest of the Committee Members in this subject. And it is nice to be last because it's not often that I have experienced this kind of testimony where all of the players, all of the components in our child welfare system in Pennsylvania are on the same page.

1

2

3

4

5

6 You've heard the same issues over and over and 7 over again, and I could repeat every one of the concerns 8 voiced by my colleagues at the State level and at the 9 county level. And the practitioners and the caseworkers 10 really share the experiences of the private sector.

11 So I work for the membership of the Pennsylvania 12 Council of Children, Youth, and Family Services. We're a 13 statewide membership association of the private providers. 14 We're kind of the rest of the story in the child welfare 15 system in Pennsylvania. We really work collaboratively 16 with the counties. The counties have the option of doing all of the services internally or they can contract for 17 certain services. And most often, that's done with private 18 19 agencies, and that's where we come into play.

We really share in those common goals of permanency and safety and well-being as the outcomes for children, youth, and their families. And the recruitment and retention of staff is a problem that has been long shared with our public sector colleagues, and the magnitude of the staffing issues has just continued to increase in recent years.

1

I began my career in the late '70s as a protective services caseworker in Allegheny County. I've been around for a decade or two, and I've seen this issue continue to grow in its challenges and in the responsibilities that are imposed upon all of the direct service workers across our system.

8 We recognize and support the issues around 9 training and compensation for our county counterparts. 10 They're really the first responders in these situations. 11 They're in there doing the intake and the investigations, 12 the assessment, the screening, and the referrals. And it's 13 at that point that many private agencies then become 14 involved with the county worker.

15 Our providers offer a broad variety of services. 16 You've heard about how the issues and the needs of families 17 cross-systems, that's what the provider network really does. And so we offer in-home services, we offer 18 prevention services, services focusing on truancy. 19 We 20 provide placement services, everything from working with 21 kin families, non-relative families, group care, congregate 22 care. And so the referrals that we get can cross over all those systems. 23

24 We also have many members who've expanded into 25 behavioral health services and education services, early intervention services. So when you look at that broad array of services that it takes to really keep children safe in Pennsylvania, the private provider network is at that ground level in delivering those services.

5 We share in the goal with our public sector 6 counterparts of really looking at high-caliber services to 7 those families that are involved with our system, and all 8 of this takes experienced and well-trained staff. We're 9 experiencing similar challenges in the private sector 10 sometimes for the same reasons and sometimes for other 11 reasons that I'd like to address.

We estimate that about 75 percent of the direct services in the child welfare system are delivered through the private sector. That's a lot of services, a lot of families, a lot of children that are touched by private agencies.

Public and private agencies do differ slightly in 17 the financial constraints that are experienced, as well as 18 19 the personnel criteria used to hire employees. You've 20 heard a lot of references to civil service. We're not 21 bound by those. We're not bound by a merit process. But 22 we do have accreditation standards, we do have regulatory standards imposed upon us in terms of education and 23 24 experience.

25

1

2

3

4

We have many, many contractual requirements.

1 Sixty-seven counties really do their contracts in 67 2 different ways, so when you look at duplication of effort, you look at variation and documentation and requirements, 3 it all comes through. Many of our providers contract with 4 5 managed care, and again, they're imposing criteria. And 6 then many of our agencies have also adopted prerequisites 7 for employment, education requirements, experience requirements. And they're configured by their board of 8 9 directors as nonprofit agencies.

10 The realities faced by private agencies include 11 increased prescriptive unfunded and underfunded performance 12 expectations. And a lot of these come through in contract requirements. Recently, in the recent years, contracts are 13 14 more often dictated than negotiated. Fixed or uniform 15 rates for services that haven't been adjusted for years add 16 to our problems in retention and recruitment. And an 17 inability to secure reasonable rate adjustments refect at actual cost also add to the challenges of delivering 18 19 mandated services.

This current year, fiscal year '15/'16, was really challenging for private agencies because of the budget impasse. Not only was there a delay in dollars flowing through this State to the counties and ultimately to the providers, there was also delays in contracting. And so midyear private agencies were still trying to figure 1 out what their operating budgets were going to look like 2 for the rest of the year. It is extremely difficult to 3 deal with personnel costs and wage adjustments when you 4 don't know what your realities are going to be around 5 budgeting.

6 And in the midst of all this, our basic operating 7 costs, occupancy, even if a building is owned by an agency, you still have maintenance. Transportation costs: Many of 8 9 our agencies are involved in transporting children for 10 visitation to medical appointments, to court hearings, to 11 sibling visits. All of those quality pieces of service 12 that we want for our children in child welfare are often done by private agencies. Insurance coverage, health care 13 14 operating insurances all have continued to increase, really 15 creating fiscal challenges for private providers.

Many agencies have gone without salary increases for a number of years. Those that were fortunate enough to get a 1 or 2 percent increase in recent years often have to have the employee pay that back to the agency to cover increasing health care costs, double digit health care costs with many of our agencies because they're small and they don't have leverage to negotiate.

We recently did a salary survey. We do them every two or three years within PCCYFS, and we looked at an average statewide turnover rate of about 25 percent. That really varied. It was lower in some areas, much, much higher in other areas. And the top reasons for leaving the position really mirror what we've heard already from our county counterparts. Low wages, dissatisfaction with the job, feeling overwhelmed, caseload is too high, taking a better position, and other personal reasons.

7 And while salaries were a factor in evaluating 8 job satisfaction, other issues really came into play in 9 evaluating worker satisfaction. Excessive paperwork and 10 documentation, one issue that you've heard a couple times 11 this morning. Increasing caseload size, agencies trying to 12 cut costs, caseload size is increasing. Limited 13 opportunities for advancement, minimal financial support 14 for advanced education, being on call, evenings and weekend 15 work schedules, worker safety, and negative public 16 perceptions all are factors that are identified in our 17 challenges around not only recruiting credible individuals to our field but also retaining them. 18

19 One big difference between the public and private 20 sector is the absence of support for that graduate-level 21 education and ultimately loan forgiveness that you heard 22 addressed in the CWEB and the CWEL program. While private 23 agencies can participate in the ongoing programming 24 available through the Child Welfare Resource Center. The 25 specific programs of CWEB and CWEL are limited to county

employees.

1

Inadequate preparation and understanding of job responsibilities are frequently also noted as a reason for leaving the field. Worker shortages require shorter orientation, shorter training times, people are assigned caseloads, they feel overwhelmed, they don't stay with us.

7 Most importantly in all of this and with all of the issues that you've heard already this morning, the 8 9 effects of staff turnover in the lives of the individuals 10 that are served by the agencies is really the biggest 11 consequence. These are the children, youth, and families 12 that really are depending upon appropriate, consistent supports and interventions to enable them to achieve and 13 14 maintain stability within their family. And a change in 15 workers at any level disrupts these relationships. Ιt 16 often causes them to step backward in their treatment process. How many times can you ask someone to tell their 17 story and yet that's often the situation when workers 18 19 change.

Each change in worker creates another loss situation for that child if there's been a relationship established, and certainly another trust challenge for the adults in that family as they work to address their plan.

There are also hard costs associated with each worker that we lose in our field, and one agency identified 1 a total cost of about \$3,000 in that process when you look at advertising, training time, overtime for others covering 2 3 cases, and all the indirect costs related to the strains that are put on the workers who are retained in our field.

4

5 Because Chairwoman Watson has reassured us 6 several times that you all are going to read every word of 7 every document, I am going to advise that there are numerous quotes taken from our private provider members on 8 9 several pages in the document. And I couldn't offer any 10 better insight or any better descriptives of what the 11 challenges are around this issue than they themselves have 12 offered, so I would encourage you to read their words and 13 understand that even though these are taken from individual 14 agency responses, they are truly reflective of statewide 15 experiences in the private sector.

16 We've been actively engaged in supporting the 17 provision of high-quality services in the child welfare system for 40 years as a membership association. We've 18 19 addressed this issue of worker retention and worker 20 recruitment on a number of occasions in years past. Ι 21 pulled testimony that we offered in 2004 to this Committee 22 on this same issue. We were in crisis mode them. We're back in crisis mode now. 23

24 There's a need for improved public perceptions, 25 affirmation of the value of the role that these individuals

1 fill in our society in Pennsylvania and the funds to 2 address not only wages but all of the other related issues 3 that you've heard this morning.

And we ask that as this Committee and this debate and this discussion and in future hearings really looks at options and solutions around child welfare issues that you not overlook the value and the component that is really filed by private agencies because we are a very active part of the child welfare system in Pennsylvania to address child safety and family stability.

11 We stand ready, just as Brian offered counties as 12 examples and as opportunities, private agencies would love I've given you the condensed version in the 13 to be here. 14 spirit of time constraints this morning. We could have 200 15 agencies in this room really all sharing their experiences 16 and their concerns around being able to maintain their 17 service array to continue providing the services that they are providing. 18

So again, I stand with my colleagues ready to
answer any questions and assist in any way in this effort.
Thank you for this opportunity.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Bernadette, thankyou very much.

I am looking at the clock and know there really isn't time to do what we should do, which would probably be

1 almost an hour of questions that everyone has. I would ask Members, would you write your questions and email them to 2 Greq. We are going to funnel them out to you folks to get 3 an answer.

4

25

5 Please know, ladies and gentlemen, we said it at 6 the beginning but it's been a long two hours, this is only 7 the beginning. We certainly want things to happen from this, but that isn't what it's about. It's about making a 8 9 difference. It's about moving us into what I call part 2 10 that we've done some of the legal work in the legislation, 11 but the implementation is equally as important. That's 12 where we are now. I think it will stretch over a long 13 period of time, but we need to hear from you. We will come 14 back to you for additional comments probably, along with 15 answers to questions.

16 And we think it's an important process that we 17 are beginning and we will see it through -- that is the Committee -- to its conclusion, much as we did with the 18 19 legislation to improve child protection in Pennsylvania.

20 Chairman, you might have something to say. 21 DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN CONKLIN: Just a well-run 22 meeting. In closing, I think we got it. No legislation without proper monetary representation, just like the 23 Boston Tea Party, let's go for it. 24

> MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Good thought.

1	REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Madam Chair, can I just
2	ask one quick question of Deputy Secretary Utz since she's
3	here in the room and we're all here together?
4	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: How about if we
5	grab
6	REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Okay.
7	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: Secretary Utz and
8	we'll do that because I know people want to get to the
9	Floor, and I understand that
10	REPRESENTATIVE KLUNK: Okay.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN WATSON: to be there. So
12	I'm going to adjourn the meeting officially. Please stick
13	around if you can, ask your questions, and know,
14	testifiers, we thank you.
15	
16	(The hearing concluded at 12:56 a.m.)

1	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings
2	are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio
3	on the said proceedings and that this is a correct
4	transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	Christy Snyder
8	Transcriptionist
9	Diaz Transcription Services