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PROCEED I NGS

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: A hearing of the House Transportation Committee is being conducted as a result of this Committee considering House Bill 1551 by Representative Schlossberg. And we're going to have testimony today by Representative Schlossberg, as well as PennDOT and AAA Federation. Our Chairman, Chairman Keller, is on his way, but in the meantime, Representative Schlossberg is not only going to serve as the boss of the minority here, but also he'll be our major witness.

But before we do that, I'd like to introduce Chairman Kathy Watson is on my far left, Representative Maria Donatucci, Eric Bugaile from our Committee, Representative Schlossberg will be next, but before that we have Eric Nelson from our staff, and we welcome back Meredith Biggica, who was away from us for a little bit having her child, so congratulations, Meredith. And to Meredith's right is Representative Bryan Barbin.

So thank you all for being here. As our audience probably understands, there's a tremendous amount of items going on in the Capitol today. But for us this is an important issue for us to consider, child safety issues, and we will consider this bill as well.

But we thought that it would be important to have
the Committee here and have testimony, have the public here, some rationale behind House Bill 1551 and why child safety seats and the way that Representative Schlossberg wants to do it is important and get the reaction from our Department of Transportation, as well as AAA.

We've also been joined by Representative Mike Reese, and I'm sure there'll be some other Members joining us throughout the hour. But I'd like to now turn it over to Representative Mike Schlossberg.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Thank you, Chairman Taylor, and thank you to the Members and to all of you who are here. And I do, to Chairman Taylor and to Chairman Keller, want to express my sincere appreciation, as well as the rest of the staff. One of the reasons this is genuinely one of my favorite committees is because we all work so well together on such an important issue. And while we certainly had more fun than $I$ think any of us ever want to have again with the passage of Act 89 last session, there are obviously still significant issues that remain in the Pennsylvania transportation arena.

One of those issues, something that I discovered in working with our staff here, is that of car seats. Current Pennsylvania law requires that a child 4 or under have a car seat or a booster, but it doesn't actually specify which direction the car seat faces. This is
important because studies have shown that rear-facing car seats for children under the age of 2 can make a dramatic difference in whether or not a child survives an accident. According to a 2007 study published in the medical journal of Injury Prevention, a child under the age of 2 was 75 percent more likely to die or be seriously injured in a forward-facing car seat.

Now, my legislation, House Bill 1551, would require that a child under the age of 1 be in a rear-facing car seat. That is in line with guidelines proposed by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, as well as the American Academy of Pediatrics.

One of the things I really do want to discuss, however, is the practicality, legality, and logistics of amending this legislation to be under the age of 2. Right now, 12 States have legislation that requires that a child under the age of 1 be in a rear-facing car seat. Three States have legislation, including our neighbor New Jersey, that requires a child under the age of 2 be in a rearfacing car seat. And I really think this is something that's worth discussing that I'd like to explore a little bit more in the course of this hearing.

So with that being said, I'll turn it back over to you, Chairman Taylor. Again, thank you very much. Thank you to everybody for being here and I'm looking
forward to learning more.
MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Thanks. We've been joined by Representative Tim Briggs, Representative Lynda Culver. And I would just suggest to our Members, although if you have a compelling question right now for Representative Schlossberg, you can ask it, but I would just move forward with our testimony and then we'll have some questions at the end.

So with that, it's wonderful that we've been joined by Glenn Rowe, the Division Chief of Highway Safety and Traffic operations Division; and Gavin Gray, Section Chief for Highway Safety Section, both of our Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

Gentlemen, thank you so much for being here with us today. And whoever is scheduled to go first, just identify yourself for the record and you can proceed.

MR. ROWE: Okay. Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Glenn Rowe. I'm the Division Chief for Highway Safety and Traffic Operations for the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Gavin Gray is the Section Chief for Highway Safety. He's in charge of, and lead for, the Department when it comes to reducing fatalities, looking at education enforcement.

On behalf of the Secretary Leslie Richards, I appreciate the opportunity to testify today on the status
of child occupancy protection.
Reducing crashes and fatalities due to occupant protection is tied to one of our vital seven safety focus areas of our Strategic Highway Safety Plan, and as such, the Department of Transportation dedicates funds to promote education associated with occupant protection.

State crash data shows a 6 percent decrease in unrestrained crashes and a 10 percent decrease in unrestrained fatalities from 2013 to 2014. Unfortunately, specific to children under the age of 2 , the numbers show a 38 percent increase in crashes involving these children not using car seats, while the number of injuries has remained level during that same period of time. Fortunately, none of these injuries resulted in fatalities, and according to police reports, approximately 75 percent of the children not in car seats appear to have been at least restrained in some fashion. By way of comparison, our statewide utilization rate for last year was 83 percent.

Currently, the American Academy of Pediatrics has determined that the best practice is to have children remain rear-facing until age 2. Additionally, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration recommends children keep rear-facing until the child reaches the top height or weight limit allowed by the restraint's manufacturer, typically between the ages of 1 and 3 .

A combination of these best practices, for example, requires rear-facing up to the age of 2 or until the child outgrows the maximum weight and height limit allowed by the manufacturer. This would provide the most comprehensive guidance to the parents.

Statistics have shown that children in the second year of life are five times less likely to die or be seriously injured if restrained rear-facing compared to forward-facing. Our local safety partners and community outreach groups are encouraged to use the resources and public awareness materials from our website such as JustDrivePA.org.

As part of these outreach efforts, a national media campaign also occurs each year during Child Passenger Safety Week in September. During this year's campaign, 84 child safety seat events were conducted by the Pennsylvania State Police and other safety partners. A total of 933 car seats were checked during this time. It is hoped that our outreach programs supported by the media campaign will result in a reduction in crashes and fatalities on our roadways due to occupant protection.

We'd like to thank you for your continued interest in this topic, as well as other safety issues that the Department faces. Our only suggestion would be to modify the bill to align with the combination of best
practices for age, height, and weight. And we think this would strengthen the language and help eliminate confusion that parents may perceive based on national and State guidance.

At this time, I'm available for any questions you may have. Thank you for giving me this opportunity.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: And is Gavin going to testify or Gavin is here for support or --

MR. ROWE: Gavin's here for the technical support.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Okay. And, yes, I would just like to ask, on the maximum weight and height, I guess, guidelines for these seats, I mean, from a legislative point of view, if we try to put that in the statute, I mean, how easy is that to comply with if you are a Pennsylvania motorist in terms of the availability of that information? Is that like boldly put on every seat or --

MR. ROWE: Most of them --
MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: -- how hard would that be for us to do?

MR. ROWE: Yes, most of the seats are labeled on the seats, but they're also in directions, the manuals with each of the seats.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Representative, do you
have something?
REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: I do. Thank you, Chairman.

Can you construct the legislation so that the child is mandated to be in rear-facing until the age of 2 or when they reach their maximum weight/height
restrictions? I know Representative Reese and I were once having a conversation about what happens if you do have a very large child and they can't fit in there? Can you write the legislation that way?

MR. ROWE: Gavin, you want this one?
MR. GRAY: Yes. Currently, in looking at the vendors having my staff reach out to grantees that we have that support these types of efforts, I had them do some research on nationally the manufacturers' requirements. And there are quite a substantial number of manufacturers that make these types of car seats that would be both rearfacing and then convertible into frontwards-facing. And those would be the ones that, for this age group and demographic, that that would apply to.

Being that there's probably in the neighborhood of 50 different vendors, I don't know, unless you used general terms of --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Yes, and I have a 3and a 4-1/2-year-old. You should see my car seats in my
car. I've become a pro at installing them. They're the most annoying things in the world. But they do have, as you said, the labels are clearly affixed to the car seats. They're in the instruction manuals. Could you make a reference to instruction manuals in the legislation? How could -- could you do it?

MR. GRAY: Yes, a lot of the actual car seats now have actually labels on them referencing those weight and height restrictions, as well to the instruction manuals that Glenn referenced. So that would probably be the easiest way --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Okay.
MR. GRAY: -- to capture the broadness of the number of manufacturers that make these types of devices.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Okay. Great. Thank you. Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Representative Watson.
REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Gentlemen, thank you for being here.
I have some experience in this issue from when I first got here, and indeed did the booster seat, worked on that with the law. I have two questions. The first is more technical, and you were referencing it, and that is what do we do with the child who perhaps weight limit doesn't go over but is physically -- tall parents, tall
child? In other words, when you look at the dynamics of that and the mechanics of the rear-facing, there's a point at which they outgrow because their legs are too long. So I'm not sure how we do that. I mean there would be something, when I think of that, that a parent would choose to do it, not great, but literally the child, it doesn't work not in terms of weight but certainly in terms of height. And to make it specific, I don't see us doing it. Am I correct in that? Do most manufacturers do weight and height? It used to be they just did the weight.

MR. GRAY: Yes, from the research that I've done, most of the manufacturers now list both. And in preparing for that question, looking at the CDC guidance on looking at height and weight for both boys and girls, looking at that, typically the boys are reaching that length issue sooner than girls. Typically, for most of the manufacturers out there, recommendations for the rearfacing using the 95 percentile for height and weight, that would get them up to approximately 20 months for most of the manufacturers. So that's why we would say that it would probably have to be some combination of the 2 years and also the height and weight.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Okay. And my second
question, looking at your testimony, the second paragraph, Mr. Rowe, when you talk about 38 percent increase in
crashes involving children not using car seats. Now, again, as I said, I've been involved since I got here in 2001, and I did the Highway Safety Program and developed that for Bucks. So it's one of the avocations. I still look at all of that information. That's significant because we had a long time where indeed everybody was doing what they should, putting their child in the car seat, and, quite frankly, American Academy of Pediatrics had a different rule, too, as to what they recommended, so some of that has changed over the 15 years.

But what do you attribute to where we are falling down? We certainly do more car seat checks. We have lots more in the way -- we even have Child Passenger Safety Week, which didn't exist when I started, and all those things. So it's disturbing to see that we have children not being properly restrained on the incline.

Now, later you say well, they had some kind of restraint, so I guess I'm asking a dual question. What's going on with them not being restrained? And what are they using in place of car seats because I'm getting to, certainly, are these folks of an economic status that they simply couldn't afford a car seat and do without? Because we've done programs for that. Thank you.

MR. ROWE: Well, first, I want to thank you for some of your initiatives in moving the car seat, seatbelt
safety along.
The 38 percent, it does show that they were using some type of safety, so whether they were actually compliant with the law is really probably the issue. And, you know, we spend about a quarter-million dollars a year on education and outreach, and we think that's really a significant part of it, making sure people understand the laws.

I don't know if we have any data that shows as far as, you know, the income level whether they can afford the seats or not. Gavin, have you seen anything on that particular issue?

MR. GRAY: I think just from talking to our staff that does support the seatbelt checks, it's not as clearly defined from a data perspective, as Glenn was alluding to. The cost range for these types of devices range -- of the 50 different manufacturers, there's approximately anywhere from seats that are ranging from $\$ 40$ up to $\$ 400$. So there's a lot of disparity in the pricing and the features associated with them.

But I think from our interviews with folks, parents are confused with what the actual requirements are. You know, speaking personally for, you know, having two children myself, before I had this position, it was difficult to find where that guidance is. So that's why we
put as much of an emphasis on the educational component as possible to make sure that parents are informed as regulation changes occur and what is really required of the parents so --

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: And I would add grandparents, too, that need to know that because, quite frankly, we've found certainly where I'm from that many grandparents are tasked with watching their grandchildren preschool and, same thing, they need to understand how laws have changed and do that. In fact, at a senior expo that $I$ do, we actually have demonstrations because it's tough for anybody to install that car seat, Representative Schlossberg. It doesn't matter. It's just bad stuff.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: I'm in shape and that is the worst thing to do.

MR. ROWE: Yes.
REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: It is. Absolutely, it is. And you're so panic-stricken that if you don't get it tight enough, something will happen, so yes. But I would be concerned when I see a rise and an increase that somehow the money that we are spending and what we thought was doing a good job, it would be good to find out why and what's really going on, like what do we have to modify, do differently to protect children because if it's on the increase, even if the law changes and it's up to 2 and you
think, well, that's a better safety feature, we've learned that now, it looks like a lot of people don't pay attention. So we need to find out why not and how do we fix that. That ultimately makes children safer. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: I'm going to go to Representative Barbin in a second, but in the meantime, could Ted Leonard from AAA Federation, could you just come to the table and join us? I'm going to ask Gavin and Glenn if they could stay in place as well. And then we're going to have Bryan ask a question and then we'll go to your testimony, okay, Ted?

But before Bryan, I'd like to recognize that Chairman Keller has joined us. Bill, welcome.

Representative Barbin?
REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Mr. Chairman, if it's okay with you, I'm going to wait until Mr. Leonard testifies because my question was on his testimony. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Great. So just to go back, from the Pennsylvania AAA Federation, Ted Leonard is our Executive Director, is someone that appears in front of this Committee on a semi-regular basis on a variety of issues and interested in hearing your perspective on this legislation.

MR. LEONARD: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Ted?
MR. LEONARD: Good afternoon, Chairmen and Members of the House Transportation Committee, and thank you for this opportunity to present our views and information on the issue of child passenger safety.

My name is Ted Leonard. I'm the Executive Director of the Pennsylvania AAA Federation, which is the State association of the AAA clubs in Pennsylvania, encompassing over 3.1 million member-motorists.

We strongly support Representative Schlossberg's House Bill 1551 with an amendment to require all infants and toddlers to ride in a rear-facing safety seat until they are 2 years of age. They should continue to ride in rear-facing seats until they reach the highest weight or height recommended by the manufacturer of the seat

Although child passenger safety has dramatically evolved over the past decade, motor vehicle crashes continue to be the leading cause of death of children 4 years and older. Quoting Dr. Ben Hoffman of the American Academy of Pediatrics, "a 10-pound bowling ball dropped out of a third-story window would result in the force of 330 pounds of inertia. A car traveling at 30 miles an hour hitting a tree, a 10-pound child would develop 450 pounds of inertia."

The Academy, in a policy update published in

Pediatrics in April if 2011, advised parents to keep their toddlers in rear-facing cars seats until the age of 2 , or until they reached the maximum height and weight for their seat. It also advises that most children will need to ride in belt-positioning booster seats until they have reached 4'9" tall and are between 8 and 12 years of age.

The previous policy from 2002 advised that it is safest for toddlers and infants to ride in rear-facing seats up to the limits of the car seat, but it also cited age 12 months and 20 pounds as a minimum. As a result, many parents turned the seat to face the front of the car when their child turned age 1. Dr. Dennis Durbin, lead author of the academy technical report, stated, "A rearfacing child safety seat does a better job of supporting the head, neck, and spine of infants and toddlers in a crash because it distributes the force over the entire body." Children aged 1 to 2 years riding in rear-facing seats have a 532 percent decreased risk of injury.

I saw last week a State Police report on the recent holiday weekend crashes and fatalities, and the report noted that 318 motorists were cited for not securing children in child safety seats. Considering the likely sample size, this is a rather startling high number.

While parents would not consciously endanger their child passengers, they may not be aware of the best
way to keep them safe. Laws influence parents and increase public awareness. Oklahoma and New Jersey have both enacted laws this year to codify the rear-facing seat recommendation. And we urge Pennsylvania lawmakers to utilize the child passenger safety research by the American Academy of Pediatrics, NHTSA, and the NTSB and others to increase motor vehicle safety for our youngest of passengers. We respectfully request that you amend House Bill 1551 with a 2-year requirement and pass the bill. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Thank you, Ted. Eric just mentioned to me that the staff feels that the State Police, if you take a car seat to them, they will instruct you how to install.

MR. LEONARD: That's correct. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Do you agree with that?

MR. LEONARD: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: That'd be a pretty
interesting fact, too, to try to get out there.
MR. LEONARD: AAA also and $I$ know other -- I
think Safe Kids and some of the other organizations have trained technicians to instruct parents on how to safely and correctly install safety seats.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: So having heard that,
and of course it's been a long time since I've had to deal with child seats in a car, but is it that complicated that you would want to leave them in permanently or is it easy enough to take the child out of the car in this seat, use it as a seat inside a house, bring it back in and out. I mean if you need the State Police to tell you how to do it and you're expressing some concern that -- is it something that once it's put in it should stay in? Or how hard is it? Give me some folks that are dealing with young children here.

MR. GRAY: I can say from my personal experience with my own children --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Here we go.
MR. GRAY: -- the model that $I$ have can remain in the car. That way the angle of the incline that is the difficult part for the parent or grandparent that would be installing it. That's the difficult part to get right. The piece that $I$ have to do then is continually to make sure that it's tightened because it has a toe harness that needs to be taut. So that's the piece that I continually have to check back in. It's not that I would have to go back to one of those checkpoints to have them reinstall it every time. But in my case I'm in a position where I can leave mine in the car and I don't have to take it out.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Yes, I mean, I think
someone with taking adult passengers to work, taking older kids to games or whatever, you'd be constantly moving it and taking it in and out. But I'll move on.

Representative Barbin, are you ready now?
REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, gentlemen, for your testimony.

I read both of your testimonies, and I guess my first question is really towards PennDOT, which is, as I understand the law, it's a citation of $\$ 75$ if you do this wrong, whatever -- and however we amend this, it's still going to be a $\$ 75$ fine. So for me the perspective, listening to your testimony, the thing that's most important is the concussion issues that relate to a child from the time of birth until 2 and then concussion issues from the time of 4 until the time of 8 because our law currently says you've got to have a restraint system if you're under 4 and you've got to have a seatbelt or something that holds you in if you're from 4 to 8.

So what I'm suggesting is what would your position be and does any other State have a statute that says from 0 to 2 or 20 pounds -- and I'm assuming that's the manufacturers' weight for the seats -- you have to have your child in that type of rear-facing seat. And from 4 to 8 you have to have your child in a seatbelt system at least
until -- is it 4'9", until your child gets big? And does any State have that sort of situation?

MR. GRAY: I'm not aware. I would have to look into the specifics of the height and weight requirements. I think most of the manufacturers for the front-facing -and I was just going to look that up here real quick so that I could give you kind of a range, but I'm not aware --

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: But the testimony you gave was the academy physicians say until 2, the concussion forces are so strong that you want them in the seat and you want them facing the direction of whatever the impact is so that the impact can be spread over the whole body as opposed to the head moving forward and whiplashed.

MR. LEONARD: That's correct. Oklahoma and New Jersey have passed rear-facing requirements up to the age of 2. I'm not sure how strong their laws are after that, but I would imagine they have a booster seat requirement like we do.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Since this is a $\$ 75$ fine, is it a better policy for Pennsylvania to have to say it's up to 2 unless you are more than 20 pounds in weight?

MR. LEONARD: Yes, that's what we would support. And it's not so much to us the importance of the fine, but I think laws help to educate parents, and I think the education component is probably more important than the
fine component. Parents look to the law often to see what is the best way to keep their children safe.

And to the Chairman's comment, taking a seat in and out, I think what the State Police do and I know what our trainers do is they train the parent to put the seat in properly so that they understand how it's supposed to go in.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: From 2 to 4 is it your belief that if you're younger than 4 but you're above 4'9', you don't need to be in a child restraint seat?

MR. LEONARD: That's the recommendation of the Academy of Pediatrics.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, my only comment is I think we need a 2 -year requirement unless you're 20 pounds or more. And I think since this is education, it's not really a fine, it's not really a criminal violation, we should be changing the law at the same time for the people that are in the seatbelts from 4 to 8. We should have some way of saying you can get out of a child restraint system from 2 to 4 if you're above 4'9", but if not, you're required to be in that seat because it's the concussions that matter, you know. That's what the testimony of that Dr. Durbin -- I apologize, Mr. Leonard. MR. LEONARD: Yes.
with Dr. Durbin, but your testimony, Durbin says you need to have those people to avoid the concussion. That's why you have them in the rear-facing.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: [inaudible]?
MR. ROWE: Only for the -- no --
MR. GRAY: Yes, the existing basically says to switch at 4 years and older, that you would have to have the booster seat based on the language that's in the 2 and under. There's requirements based on the manufacturer's qualifications and a lot of them --

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: But should we have that in, the manufacturer's weight?

MR. GRAY: Yes, I was just looking. It typically ranges from product, but a lot of them that allow rearfacing up to 40 pounds and 49 inches. There are some that exceed that and go higher. But a lot of the confusion is that the instructions will say at 20 pounds you can switch over to the forward-facing, and that's where the parents sometimes get confused as to well, if the instructions say that, then $I$ can probably switch them around.

So that's part of the educational outreach that we try to do. We typically have -- in addition to what Pennsylvania State Police does, we have grantees that assist with those checkpoints. There's approximately 1,400 technicians that support in this type of effort statewide
to assist with that training and education component.
REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: All right. Lastly, I just think since it's really educational that we're thinking about, and we're kind of dealing with concussions on the same basis in the '50s they dealt with whether smoking was bad for you. Thirty or forty years later they found out just how bad it was. Today, we're doing exactly the same thing with concussions. People that have multiple concussions have permanent brain injury, and until you get to be 18, you're still developing your nervous system. So anything we can do to make the concussion element of this less severe we should be doing because we're going to find out 20 years from now that we could have done more and it had like long-lasting impacts on children we just didn't know.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: An exemption based on child's weight or height, on vehicle characteristics, children 4 years of age but younger than 8 years of age who weigh less than 40 pounds may, in lieu of use of a booster seat, be securely fastened in a child passenger restraint system appropriate for their weight and height and in accordance with the recommendations of the manufacturer.

Children 4 years of age but younger than 8 years of age riding in a passenger position in a vehicle which was not originally equipped with a shoulder safety belt
shall be fastened in the safety seatbelt system without the use of a child passenger seat, or may be fastened in a child passenger seat. It goes on. So I mean at least from my point of view in terms of the education, I think maybe it's going to be prudent for us on this committee to make sure every one of our Members has a clear understanding of this so they can get that out to their constituents because I don't know if everybody understands this.

But I know Representative Watson does, so,
Chairman.
REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure about that.

I wanted to clear up with Representative Barbin and his comments. I would suggest respectfully it can't all be done in one bill and that we would need, $I$ think, for all the Members to be here but a little more testimony. I think it's very clear, and we have other States that have done it, that indeed we follow through with Representative Schlossberg's bill with the amendment.

When you move into the next area, and this is where parents will say, remember, children are vocal by this point and they're telling you, well, I don't need to do that, I want to ride in a big seatbelt, no one has talked about what's called submarining and the fact that if you are a certain age and height, that seatbelt was never
designed for a child. You will hear that when they talk about a small grown woman who is just physically diminutive and that technically it's not designed for her either.

You will also hear when they talk about, depending upon height and weight, sitting up front, because we even know -- perhaps some of you don't, but like I said, this is my thing -- but quite frankly, what is that 12-year-old who certainly doesn't want to sit in the back seat with a brother and a sister, especially if one's in a child safety seat, so they want to be up front. That's like a biggie. And yet what they tell us from the American Academy of Pediatrics and certainly NHTSA and everyone, that's called -- when they're doing car safety, that's the death seat. That front seat passenger is the one that takes the greatest force.

So years ago when we started the Highway Safety Program, and that goes back to the '80s, we were trying to advise parents that if you're really smart and care, what you would do is keep your child in the back seat probably up to age 12 because the usual size of a 12-year-old, they could stand the forces that will be exerted in a crash on any front seat driver or passenger.

I see doing this, but respectfully, Mr. Chairman, I'd like a little more depth done, that we would move another bill that would continue the process. And I think
the time has come.
Certainly when it was done, and $I$ worked on it in 2002, American Academy of Pediatrics recommended something different. And much like, whether it's medical science or whatever, times change and we learn more. Representative Barbin referenced a few years ago when my son played soccer, he was the one -- that may account for a lot -- but that he would head the ball for the soccer team because he had a whole pile of hair right here and he would be perfect. We know that's not a really good and wise thing for kids to do now. They didn't know that before. So I think as times change, this should be a law that should be updated periodically.

But respectfully, I would keep this 1551 with its amendment and then move into the discussion to go further but with more data and all of us present to understand it. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Representative
Schlossberg, do you have any reaction to any of that?
REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Well, first of all, thank you of course again to all of you, and thank you to my colleagues for their questions.

And, Chairwoman Watson, I had no idea that there was that broad of depth of a review of that, and $I$ would certainly echo your call for a broader understanding
perhaps of how else we could change passenger safety laws later down the line. And as I commented to Chairman Taylor, this is interesting. You have a hearing and then how much more you wind up discovering.

The one question that I have -- and gentlemen, I'll address this to you; the State Police I would certainly want them to weigh in as well -- how do you enforce something like this? I mean, if somebody's pulled over and they see a child in the back, do you say how old is that child? How do you know if that's true or not? How --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Weight.
REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Weight? Yes, okay. Height and weight then --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: No, I'm saying weight and height. You'd be asking the same things, right?

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Yes, I guess you would.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: A lot of the time what the history has been -- at least it used to be -- they weren't issuing tickets. They use it as a teachable moment, and most of them say -- because you could certainly -- you know, they elicit from the parent how old is this child and do you know what's better? And you're not going to get a parent who goes I don't care that my child could
become a projectile. They really don't know. Or lots of times the child's just thrown a temper fit where Mom finally says that's it, fine, get out of this, sit over there, put a belt on, you know, be like your eight-year-old brother or sister. So they use it and they always said not to do a fine of $\$ 75$ but as a teachable moment and go through what and how and it makes a difference.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHLOSSBERG: Yes. Well, and hearing about some of the decreases in utilization $I$ think does make this all the more important that we can use this law as a way to garner positive attention for the need to actually appropriately restrain your child. So the timing of this seems pretty apt. So thank you, Chairman. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Other questions? Representative Donatucci. REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: And before you start, Maria, I failed to recognize Representative Doyle Heffley earlier. He has joined us.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: I don't have any questions. I just have a comment, that I partnered with the Pennsylvania Traffic Injury Prevention Project, and I give out car seats in my office for each age group. They come in, they trade. They're always brand new. And we
actually cut the -- when we have to get rid of them, we cut the straps so nobody can use them. But it's for a nominal fee, and if you don't have the fee, we don't deprive you of a car seat. And when you get to the end of it, if you take that last seat back, we give you your fee back. So we are trying to work along with everybody to keep children safe. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Other questions?
Chairman Keller?
DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN KELLER: This one isn't working so I'll speak loud. First, I apologize for being late. I was at an important meeting. You know it's the only reason I would be late.

And I believe Representative Schlossberg has an important issue here that no one's brought up before. I think we should move forward with this with amendment.

And then once again, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to thank you. I think this is how the committee process is supposed to work. We identify things and this is an important issue, and I'm going to thank you for bringing it up. And I think this is how the committee process is supposed to work. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Thanks. Are there any other questions or concerns?

To Glenn and Gavin, thank you very much for being
here. We appreciate it. If we have any further questions, maybe we'll reach out to you. This committee will move forward with House Bill 1551 probably with at least one amendment.

Ted, thank you very much, from the AAA point of view. We appreciate it.

And we're due to be on the Floor in 15 minutes, so this hearing is adjourned. Thanks.
(The hearing concluded at 12:44 p.m.)
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