# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

CHILDREN AND YOUTH COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING ROOM 60 EAST WING

MONDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2014 10:32 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON
HOUSE BILL 569
HOUSE BILL 973
EDUCATIONAL STABILITY FOR
CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

### **BEFORE:**

HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR

HONORABLE FRED KELLER

HONORABLE JOHN LAWRENCE

HONORABLE DAN MOUL

HONORABLE DONNA OBERLANDER

HONORABLE WILL TALLMAN

HONORABLE TARAH TOOHIL

HONORABLE JESSE TOPPER

HONORABLE LOUISE BISHOP, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRWOMAN

HONORABLE STEPHEN KINSEY

HONORABLE STEPHEN MCCARTER

HONORABLE DAN MILLER

\* \* \* \*

Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

HONORABLE SANDRA MAJOR HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN

COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:

GREGORY GRASA

MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, CHILDREN AND YOUTH MEREDITH SCHULER

MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT DONTIE BROOKS

MAJORITY RESEARCH ASSISTANT

ROSEANN CADAU

DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

VALERIE WHITNEY

DEMOCRATIC RESEARCH ANALYST

# I N D E X

## TESTIFIERS

\* \* \*

NAME PAGE	E
REPRESENTATIVE TARAH TOOHIL PRIME SPONSOR OF HOUSE BILL 5697	
MAURA MCINERNEY, ESQ.  SENIOR STAFF ATTORNEY,  EDUCATION LAW CENTER	
JOAN L. BENSO PRESIDENT AND CEO, PA PARTNERSHIPS FOR CHILDREN24	
LUCY JOHNSTON-WALSH, J.D., M.S.W. CLINICAL PROFESSOR, PENN STATE DICKINSON SCHOOL OF LAW and DIRECTOR, CHILDREN'S ADVOCACY CLINIC, Testifying on behalf of PA BAR ASSOCIATION'S CHILDREN'S RIGHTS COMMITTEE41	
LORINE OGURKIS FRIEND OF BRITTANY BULLOCK49	
BRITTANY BULLOCK  FORMER FOSTER CHILD WHO SPENT NINE YEARS IN CYS PLACEMENT	
MICHELE HAYDT EDUCATION LIAISON, MONROE COUNTY CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES57	
KATHERINE M. FITZ-PATRICK, ESQ.  DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, MEMBER SERVICES,  PA SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION, and  DIRECTOR,  PA SCHOOL BOARD SOLICITORS ASSOC	
DEBBIE STAUB, Ph.D. EDUCATION ADVISOR, CASEY FAMILY PROGRAMS	

I N D E X
TESTIFIERS (cont'd)
* * *
<u>NAME</u> <u>PAGE</u>
KATHERINE BURDICK, ESQ.  EQUAL JUSTICE WORKS FELLOW, sponsored by  GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP,  JUVENILE LAW CENTER84
SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY
* * *
(See submitted written testimony and handouts online.)

### PROCEEDINGS

2 \* \* \*

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Welcome to today's hearing of the Children and Youth Committee on education in foster care, a very, very important topic. And we are on a fairly strict time constraint today, so we will go ahead and get started.

My name is State Representative Dan Moul. I come from Adams County and I am pinch-hitting today for Representative Watson, who is a little bit under the weather.

This is going to be a very informative meeting. We have a good list of testifiers today. And I want to remind everyone that we are being recorded or live and if you would silence your cell phones, that would be a very good thing.

Right now, I'd like to ask Ms. Bishop, my Co-Chair today, if you have a few words.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN BISHOP: I am grateful that we have the opportunity to be here this morning to address such a critical issue for the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, so I am ready to roll, get started, so that we can get some work done.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: And thank you.

And before we hear from our first testifier, if

2.2

1 we could just go around the room and introduce ourselves 2 and where we're from, and if you would, please. REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Jesse Topper from the 3 4 78th District, Bedford County. 5 REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Good morning. 6 Representative Tarah Toohil, southern Luzerne County, 7 116th. 8 REPRESENTATIVE DUNBAR: Representative Dunbar, 9 Westmoreland County. 10 REPRESENTATIVE TALLMAN: Will Tallman. I share 11 Adams County with Representative Moul, and if we smell like 12 chicken this morning, it's not our fault. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MCCARTER: Steve McCarter, House 14 District 154, Montgomery County and Philadelphia. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Dan Miller, 42nd State 16 House District, Allegheny County. 17 REPRESENTATIVE MAJOR: State Representative Sandy Major, Susquehanna and Wayne, Wyoming Counties up in the 18 19 northeast, and while I'm not a Member of the Committee, 20 Mr. Chair and Madam Chair, this is a very important topic that I wanted to come down and hear some of the testimony. 21 2.2 Thank you. 23 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN BISHOP: Welcome. 24 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Good morning.

Representative Kinsey, Philadelphia County.

1 MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN BISHOP: Co-Chair 2 Representative Bishop from Philadelphia County.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Okay. Thank you. And with that, I do want to offer Representative Toohil an opportunity to speak being that this is an issue very, very near and dear to your heart.

REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, both Chairs. Thank you both. And Greg Grasa
has worked incredibly hard on this, as did Research on both
sides.

This issue of educational stability is something that is impacting foster children across the Nation but also here in Pennsylvania right now today. Children are getting moved from one foster home to another without the school that they go to being taken into consideration.

My background is I grew up in a home where I was the only biological child, but my parents took in over 42 foster children. One of my former foster sisters was in my office last week; both of her children are currently in placement in foster care. She's trying to get her life together. And I told her about this bill and I said,

Tawny, how many schools did you go to? And she said I went to 13. And I said 13? And so she started listing them.

So she wasn't one of the lucky ones that got to stay.

She said if I could be there today, she would

want to tell all of you legislators that she went to Coughlin, G.A.R., Pittston Area, Crestwood Area, Abington Heights. And she just kept on listing these schools, and she said I didn't have a comfort zone. So when you don't have a family and you don't have stability, you don't have that comfort zone. And she said I regret so much that I did not walk. I see people walking in graduations; I didn't walk in graduation because I didn't feel any connection with the school that I was at because it was the 13th school and I didn't know anyone there. And she said that she didn't get to walk. She got her GED; she regrets that terribly. And she said she would have liked to go to the prom.

2.2

So I want to have all of you keep her in mind today and her two children that are currently in foster care, that she's trying to learn at the age of 24 how to be a mother so she can get those kids out of placement and stop this cycle. So it's a very important hearing. So those legislators that aren't here right now because they're in other meetings, I hope that they watch it on TV so that we can get this started to be some sort of piece of legislation in January.

So thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Tarah.

Let's go ahead and get started. Our first

1 testifier is Maura McInerney. Did I get that ---2 MS. MCINERNEY: McInerney. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: McInerney. MS. MCINERNEY: Very close. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: I took a shot at it. 6 you. 7 MS. MCINERNEY: It means "son of a monk." 8 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Okay. If you would, start 9 whenever you're ready. 10 Thank you so much for this MS. MCINERNEY: 11 opportunity to participate in this hearing here today. 12 Representative Toohil has underscored, this is a critical 13 issue for children in the child welfare system. 14 I am a Senior Staff Attorney at the Education Law 15 center. As many of you know, ELC, or the Education Law 16 Center, represents children who are educationally at risk, 17 that is children who may have disabilities, children of poverty, English language learners, children experiencing 18 19 homelessness, and of critical importance, children in the 20 child welfare system. 21 Over its 40-year history, the Education Law 22 Center has been committed to improving educational outcomes for children in foster care through legislative 23 24 initiatives, through litigation strategies. We also serve

at the national level. We are a cofounder of the Legal

Center for Foster Care and Education that addresses this issue on a national scale; how can we improve educational outcomes for at-risk children in foster care? We're also a cofounder of the National Working Group on Foster Care and Education, again, national organizations that focus their energies and attention on this critical issue.

2.2

In addition of importance, I am also a very, very vocal participant in this statewide Pennsylvania State Roundtable on Educational Success and Truancy Prevention, and that's a statewide multi-stakeholder group that meets very, very regularly to address educational outcomes for children in foster care.

So I'd like to start with what I am seeing on the ground floor, because ELC, in order to ensure a quality education for all children, has an intake line where anyone in the State can call and explain what their issue is with respect to the education of children who are at risk.

Over the past eight years, I have received a number of calls concerning kids who just want to stay in the same school. I cannot underscore for you and us how critically important it is to have school stability. It impacts their educational outcomes. It really impacts their life trajectories. And I'd like to give you a few examples of this. In addition, I have attached to my testimony a series of stories from youth across the State

who've experienced instability and were unable to stay in the same school. I had one client who had been in 27 different schools during her time in foster care.

2.2

So I'd like to start with Michael. Michael is a youth who was in 12th grade when we intervened in his life. Over middle school, he had been in many, many different schools. When he hit ninth grade, he finally hit his stride and he was able to stay in the same school in 9th, 10th, and 11th grades. It made a critical difference for him. He made friends, he had connections there, he learned that he could be successful in school. He said to me school is the only thing that is going right for me. It's the only thing.

When these kids are in chaos, when they're moving around from placement to placement, school is often the only thing that remains a source of stability in their lives. He said to me that his school was like home; it was the place he felt safe, he felt wanted. It made a critical difference for him. But in 12th grade he received a notice that he would be disenrolled from school immediately because he had changed foster care placements. He was told that he had to leave; he had to get out.

So when he called our office and his caseworker called our office, they needed help. They were facing a disenrollment hearing, and this child just wanted to be

able to graduate with his friends. He said if I have to start over again in a new school, entirely different graduation requirements, I will drop out. I don't know what else to do. This is a child who was on track to graduate on time and he had already applied to colleges. So school stability makes a critical difference for these children.

In addition, I wanted to share the story of Andrea, who by the age of 16 had been in 11 different schools and she had not been in one school more than two years. In her case it was very difficult to identify that she was a child who happened to have special education needs because she was changing schools so often. She was with different foster parents; no one was identifying what her needs were. When she was finally able to get an advocate in her foster parent who said she has to say in the same school, that's when they were able to identify that she had special education needs and she was able to thrive in that school.

And finally, I wanted to share with you the story of Jarrett. Jared was a child who had been in many different schools, had experienced significant trauma in his life. When there were only three weeks left in the semester, he was told that he was changing foster care placements and he had to change schools. He asked if he

could stay in the same school just until the end of the semester. He asked if he could take his exams early, but he wasn't able to do so. When he changed schools, he had a grade point average of 3.6 and it plummeted to 1.4. Why? Because he had missing coursework. His education records had not followed him. He then had to retake the courses that he had taken at the prior school.

So we know that school instability means a lot for these children. It may mean that they can't graduate on time. We have 500 different school districts; we have 500 different school district requirements. It may mean that their needs go unidentified because they're not in one place long enough to determine what they need. And we also know that it often leads to failure.

Children in foster care are in educational crisis. Only 50 percent will graduate on time. They are far more likely to have lower standardized test scores. They are much more likely to repeat a grade. They have higher rates of absenteeism in school. They often do not engage. Can you imagine going from one school to another, being in all these different schools? It's very difficult to invest yourself in school, to engage, to feel attached to that school. So we know that school instability is undermining educational success for these children.

There are many statistics that have been quoted

in my testimony and I also attached for you a national fact sheet on educational outcomes of children in foster care that delineates in great detail the poor academic outcomes of these children, and also that they failed to reach the plateau of higher ed. We have about 17 percent at best that will be applying to community colleges. The graduation rate of children in foster care? Three percent.

So we know that there are many reasons for this. It isn't just anecdotal based on my experience, my empirical evidence that tells me that school stability is important, but we have research that backs that up.

Research shows that one of the most significant barriers to school success for these children is the high rates of mobility. On average, in one foster care episode, they will change living placements 2.8 times, very likely to change schools. In a two-year period, most children are changing schools and 1/3 of them will change placements five times or more, again, ending up in school changes.

The studies that have been done in terms of school changes say that 2.7 times in two years for children in foster care, and we know from the research that children lose between 4 to 6 months of academic progress with each school move. It's very difficult for these children to catch up. They're losing those months of academic progress. They try to make it up. In one study it

concluded that if a child had actually change schools five times, it would be almost impossible, virtually impossible for these children to be successful in school.

2.2

So the negative impact of school stability has a lot of collateral consequences. You have delays in school enrollment, sometimes inappropriate school placements midyear, failure to receive full course credits. We have a lot of kids that have to take the same course over and over again. One child who had been very successful in Spanish II told me that she had to retake Spanish I when she changed schools.

So delays in school enrollment, there are many statistics on that. In addition, they face challenges in developing and sustaining relationships when they're in school. Correlatively, educational stability improves academic achievement. In one national study of 1,087 foster care alumni, youth who had even one fewer placement change were twice as likely to graduate from high school. Researchers in a subsequent study also underscored the importance of this and they looked at children who changed placements just a few times and then had more school placement changes, et cetera.

In addition, we have information with respect to academic achievement. In a Minneapolis study that compared homeless and highly mobile youth, a three-year study of

children in grades second through fifth grade, even as the researchers controlled for ethnicity, English as a second language, and attendance, homeless and highly mobile students still scored lower on reading and math than their stable peers.

It is precisely because of the importance of school stability that in 1987 we had the McKinney-Vento Act, which ensures school stability for children experiencing homelessness. It says that even if you are changing where you're going in terms of shelters and where you're being housed and you're going from one household to another, you're able to stay in the same school where you were before you became homeless. It's made a critical difference for children experiencing homelessness, and it's precisely why in 2008 Congress enacted the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act, which insures school stability for children in the foster care system.

Now, that was a huge amendment, as everyone on this Committee knows, to Title IV-E to the Social Security Act that ensured that in the case plan, we are detailing and ensuring that children who are changing placements, that you have taken into account the proximity of the school they are currently attending and tried to find a placement nearby; in addition, if the child is moving, that

you coordinate with your local education agency to ensure school stability for those children.

2.2

we have 30 States that have enacted laws to ensure school stability for children in foster care, and yet in Pennsylvania we have not done that at this time. Prior to the enactment of Fostering Connections, California in 2004 had a law that insured school stability for children in foster care. So I'd like to turn now to talking about what is going on in terms of school stability in Pennsylvania and how can we ensure that these children are able to stay in the same school, that they have the protection of the Fostering Connections Act.

You know, in May of 2014 there was a joint letter from HHS and the Department of Education that underscored that the school stability provisions of the Fostering Connections Act were not intended solely for child welfare agencies but that they needed to coordinate with local education agencies to make the protection of school stability a reality.

So I think there are several issues that need to be addressed in order to implement the full protection of the Fostering Connections Act. I know from my work on a daily basis working with families, working with children in the child welfare system, caseworkers, with courts, that this is not currently happening for all children. There

are pockets across the Commonwealth where school districts are coordinating with their child welfare agencies to try to work these things out, but at the current time, we need to delineate with precision what are the duties and obligations of a child welfare agency, what are the duties and obligations of our courts, and what are the duties and obligations of local education agencies, of school districts in order to make this a reality.

So the House Bills that have been proposed to ensure school stability we think are a critical first step to making school stability a reality for children in foster care because they ensure that the case plan mirrors the language of the Fostering Connections Act and does in fact delineate the obligations of child welfare agencies.

Similarly, House Bill 569, the proposed amendments to that, to the Judicial Procedure Act, also direct courts to play a critical role in addressing this issue.

We would also add that in order to further strengthen the impact and the scope of this legislation that some additional issues should be underscored:

 Establish a consistent presumption in favor of school stability. If you look at the Federal Guidance, it says that it's so important to make this a child-centered decision and to consider what's in the best interest of the child. It's up to the child welfare system and the courts to do that.

2.2

- Clarify that a change in placement means every time a child changes schools that it includes the school the child attended before they entered the foster care system, as well as the school that the child is currently attending.
- Ensure immediate enrollment in the new school.

  And by immediate, the Guidance says we mean immediate, that it happens right away, and to also mirror the fact that our School Code says that it has to be the next day that the child presents for enrollment or within five business days.
- Revise the legislation to ensure that transportation costs are provided in a prompt manner. And that issue needs to be addressed in coordination with local education agencies.

I have certainly in my experience seen many instances in which school districts are able to provide transportation at no or minimal cost and they can coordinate with the child welfare agency in order to do that. I urge you to look at the Transportation Brief that was issued by the Legal Center for Foster Care and

Education that walks through what other States are doing with regard to transportation and the different options that are available, and also that county child welfare agencies should have latitude in this regard to choose the best way to provide transportation in the most costeffective manner.

2.2

But most importantly, I think we need to look at our School Code. We need to ensure school stability through amendments to the Pennsylvania School Code. And there are a few things that I wanted to highlight:

Obviously, we want to ensure that school districts are permitting these children to stay in their school of origin or in their current school. Currently, there is no law that requires school districts to do so. Other States have enacted laws that absolutely require it very, very clearly for school districts to ensure school stability to allow them to stay.

We also have to address that an educating school district will be authorized to obtain tuition reimbursement from the fostering school district. That's a child accounting issue that needs to be addressed at the regulatory level.

We need to ensure immediate enrollment. New Jersey, Arkansas specifically delineated. It means the next day or within three days. Texas and Missouri have

adopted laws that talk about education records and ensuring that they are transferred in a prompt manner.

We need to ensure transportation to support school stability, ensure that it's provided promptly. We have several States where Education is paying. Maine, New Jersey, Arkansas would be a few examples. Or child welfare pays. I would recommend that we look at making this a collaborative effort to ensure that we're not overtaxing either system but that we're doing this in a cost-effective manner and that we're covering reasonable transportation costs.

Also, to address credit transfers, a lot of
States have liaisons in our schools to ensure that there's
a point of contact for every child in the foster care
system. As you know, we have that under the McKinney-Vento
Act. We have McKinney-Vento liaisons in every school
district. A lot of States, Virginia would be an example -Arkansas, California, Colorado, Texas all require education
liaisons. We have them at the child welfare side, right?
Every county child welfare agency has an education liaison
and we need to have counterparts at the school side.

In addition, I would recommend providing access to a State-issued diploma. We have 500 different school districts, all different school district requirements. The only way you can get a State-issued diploma right now is if

you're incarcerated. But if you are a child who's in the foster care system and you were bounced around from one school district to another, you're out of luck. Maine has enacted similar legislation to provide access to a child to a State-issued diploma if they cannot meet school district requirements but they meet State standards and State requirements.

2.2

And finally, I just wanted to underscore the importance of interagency collaboration between school districts and county child welfare agencies.

And in conclusion, educational achievement is so critical to a child's well-being and it's just as critical to not only their educational outcomes and their well-being while in foster care but to their life outcomes. We have a high number of students who are not graduating in the foster care system. Those children are eight times more likely to be incarcerated, 50 percent more likely to be homeless or to also be on drugs.

So I'd like to leave you with something that Michael had actually said to me. I told him that I was testifying here today and he asked me to just leave you with these thoughts. "Please ensure that a quality education and high school graduation don't remain beyond reach of children in foster care. Please move forward to provide school stability."

He is now in college, by the way. I wanted to let you know he was able to stay in the same school because, fortunately, his caseworker and others and the court advocated for him.

"Without school stability, I would have dropped out. Without school stability, it would have changed everything for me."

Thank you very much.

2.2

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Maura. That's a whole lot of information in just a very short amount of time. We certainly do appreciate you testifying here. And what we're going to do so that we can make sure we get all the testifiers in is to hold questions until the end. If you can stick around until then---

MS. MCINERNEY: Thank you so much for this opportunity.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: ---we would certainly appreciate it very much.

MS. MCINERNEY: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: I'd like to let the record show that we've also been joined by Representative Fred Keller. And we will have Reps coming in and out as the day continues. There are plenty of hearings and meetings going on around the Capitol today, so some of us have to be in two places at the same time if that's at all possible.

```
1
                 Our next testifier is Joan Benson -- or Benso.
       I'm sorry. My mistake. You'd think after eight years of
 2
 3
       working with you I wouldn't make such a simple mistake like
 4
       that.
                MS. BENSO: That's okay, Representative Mule.
 5
 6
       It's fine.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Touché. If vou'll
       introduce---
 8
 9
                MS. BENSO: Only because we're friends do I get
10
       to tease you that way.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: If you will introduce
12
       yourself and then please---
                MS. BENSO: Sure. Good morning. Thank you.
13
14
                My name is Joan Benso. I'm the President and CEO
15
       of Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children. PPC is a
16
       statewide, independent, evidence- and data-driven child
17
       advocacy organization. It is our pleasure to be here
       today, and especially our gratitude to Representatives
18
19
       Toohil and Brown for advancing these important issues.
20
       want to thank Chairwoman Bishop and Representative Moul for
21
      presiding over this hearing today.
22
                 And I will note that this child advocate lobbyist
23
       is supposed to be in about nine places today, too, so I
      will have to leave after my testimony, but I've no doubt
24
25
      Maura could answer any question that I might bring to
```

anyone's mind.

Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children has been in business for more than 20 years but we only began to work on child welfare policy reforms about six years ago through our initiative the Porch Light Project, which seeks to reform and ensure all children grow up in families where their needs for safety, permanency, and well-being are met.

Our State child welfare efforts are advised by a leadership council that includes a diverse group of State and national experts, including legal and judicial officials, seven county children and youth or human services directors, former foster care youth, resource parents, and a legislator from all four legislative caucuses. Grounded in a partnership is our relationship with Casey Family Programs, the Nation's largest operating foundation focusing on child welfare, and you'll be very lucky to hear from my esteemed colleagues behind us today.

Many of you know our work in K-12 education and an early learning and in healthcare or I sure hope you do, so it's just a natural extension of our child welfare work to consider education stability issues. My remarks today will focus on the education challenges children and youth in foster care encounter, ways we can work to improve their education outcomes, and statutory changes we think are necessary to promote educational stability for this

valuable population. Again, Representative Toohil and Representative Brown have given us an excellent foundation to begin.

Just a little background to start, more than 21,000 children and youth lived in foster care during 2013. When they were removed from their families and placed into foster care, they became our collective responsibility, not just to county children and youth administrators. Part of that responsibility also includes ensuring their education success, which requires an additional set of cooperative relationships than a child who is not living in foster care, a partnership between the child welfare agency, the courts, and our public schools. When this cooperation fails, former foster care children and youth can experience a lifetime of negative consequences that diminish their future and we can our communities.

We know from research compiled by the National Legal Center for Foster Care and Education that among children and youth in foster care:

- Only half complete high school by age 18 in comparison to 70 percent of the general population.
- Half to three-quarters change schools upon entering foster care.
- · A third change schools five times or more.

2.2

Now, you heard Maura talk about the loss of education attainment in those changes.

More than 80 percent of children and youth who

are placed in foster care express their desire

to attend college or postsecondary education,

but only 20 percent who graduate ever get to

attend.

2.2

A 2012 research study of Pennsylvania children specifically conducted by the Policy Lab, a research institute at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, provided some additional insight. The study followed more than 200 foster care children ages 5 to 8 that entered foster care to determine their education experiences, specifically how changes in placement in foster care impacted their education stability. The study found that, on average, children placed in foster care missed 25 days a year of school and had twice as many absences as children in the same neighborhoods, in the same school district, in the same community as children who never entered foster care, 25 days of school of a 180-day school year calendar. Imagine what you miss if you miss that.

The research also indicated that children who experienced more stable foster care placements within 45 days of first entering foster care had considerably less

absenteeism and fewer school changes when compared to children who continued to experience placement changes in foster care. Children who had stable placements in the first 45 days, the first placement being the best placement, were half as likely to change schools, which means their education stability was much more resolved.

In other words, when we're quickly able to place a child stably in foster care, we are very likely to be able to better enhance the likelihood they'll achieve academically. Pennsylvania has made remarkable gains in reducing the number of children who are placed in foster care in our State over the last many years, but it's important to note that last year, in 2013, a third of children who are placed in foster care and lived in foster care between one and two years experienced three or more different out-of-home placements.

Now, unfortunately, our State does not collect data on how many school placements that meant so I can't tell you that information. You guys know we love to throw data at you in our shop, but we can't give you that information. It's safe to assume, though, that for many children who experienced a change in foster care placement, they very likely also experienced a change in school placement.

We all know that foster care is meant to be

temporary. Our goal is to have foster care placement be for the shortest time necessary for a child to safely return home or join another permanent family. When children live in foster care, it's in their best interest to be placed with relatives or kin and maintain consistent engagement with their friends, their school, and their community.

School districts are a critical partner to ensure education stability for children living in foster care. However, clear Federal guidance and State guidance to ensure this doesn't really exist. Now, Maura mentioned to you earlier the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, which requires education stability only for a portion of children who enter foster care, those who are awaiting placement and are considered homeless. Traditionally, these kids are in short-term emergency shelter.

In 2008 the Federal Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act sought to address education stability for all children in foster care. The statutory requirements were focused on the role of child welfare agencies to coordinate with LEAs to ensure the children remained in the school they attended at their time of placement in foster care unless doing so wouldn't be in the best interest of the child.

As recently as this past May, the U.S. Department

of Education and the U.S. Department of Human Services sent a letter to State education agencies, child welfare agencies, and local education agencies indicating that Fostering Connections impose specific obligations on both child welfare agencies and LEA and they each played a critical role, but if you look at the attached letter, it's a little squishy.

In response to the Federal requirements, DPW -- I guess we can't call it the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services yet, right; it takes 45 days or 60 days for the name change to go into effect -- issued guidance to county agencies to better address the education needs of children they serve. The guidance wonderfully extended beyond Fostering Connections and requires the use of a screening tool to identify needed information by the agency to help ensure children's needs are best met. It does, as Maura mentioned, very helpfully require at least one county child welfare worker be trained and designated as an education liaison to help county staff take appropriate steps to ensure education stability.

You will remember that my organization and many others in this room led efforts in 2010 to pass a law called the Foster Care Bill of Rights. That guaranteed kids' rights to education stability.

So why do we still have a problem if we have all

these rules and laws? Well, despite the ambiguity in guidance, there is some excellent work going on in our State and some work we should consider and think about replicating. For example, in Bucks County the child welfare agency and school districts where most of children are placed, not every school district in the county, have worked very consistently together to ensure the McKinney-Vento provisions. Children usually only miss about one or two days of school when they're placed in foster care because they've taken extra time and attention to make this happen.

But the county will tell you that they have a bigger challenge with kids who don't fall under the parameters of McKinney-Vento and we need to do more -- they think the Commonwealth needs to do more -- before we wait for more guidance from the Federal Government.

Allegheny County, often a leader in child welfare reform in our Nation, was able to secure some private funding to develop data- and information-sharing agreements. Right now these agreements exist with 13 of the 43 school districts in Allegheny County, again, the school districts that have the largest percent of children in placement and foster care, and the Allegheny County Intermediate Unit.

These agreements support research while

protecting student privacy and, with parental consent, enable the agency and the school to share real-time information on students and track their attendance through web-based case management. The system alerts the caseworker when kids don't go to school.

Allegheny County has also obtained a Federal grant to develop a tool to help better identify the needs of foster care children and youth as they're placing them. This "Best Interest Placement Tool" is like a matching database where foster care providers note information on perspective foster families and caseworkers enter information on children. It's this great match. The system or tool generates a list of perspective foster families that are ranked best by the fit, so again, identifying, most simply put, foster care placement opportunities that are in the catchment area of the child homeschool. This ranking/rating process actually allows them to place more children in their home schools and communities and factors the distance between home and foster care placement.

The State should consider replicating aspects of the Allegheny County placement tool by amending Act 160 of 2004, which established a statewide resource family or foster family registry. Under this law, all foster or resource families in Pennsylvania are required to be

registered in part to ensure current and prospective families have appropriate criminal and child abuse clearances to register or adopt. County agencies were recently given access to the State registry but only for their kids in their own county, so sometimes the information in the county next to you is equally important. We should open this up.

2.2

We should also allow school information to be added to the registry. This would be a worthwhile addition, not hard to do, not terribly costly, though it would have fiscal impact, but the remediation costs we already spend on children who are placed in foster care would way better be invested up front than paying for their later education failure.

The foundation for this important work really requires a collaborative between schools, child welfare agencies, and the courts. These are like three legs of a stool. You need each leg to ensure education stability. The package of bills we have before us today, very, very important first steps, but they only have two legs of a stool. They include the courts and the child welfare agency. The missing leg of the stool is the schools.

Despite recent communication from the Federal Government requiring Fostering Connections, it's clear that all public schools and the Pennsylvania Department of

Education don't think they're responsible for ensuring education stability for all youth. We attached some official guidance from PDE to school districts on enrollment status, and it says districts "are strongly encouraged to develop policies or agreements to enable a student who is in foster care to remain in the educational program in the same school or school district even if that student is in residence in another school attendance area within the school district or in another school district." Encourage, not require. Please note that we have attached this guidance. It really gives schools the option of engaging in this type of partnership, which is hard, takes resources, is about a very small part of their population, and we all know that schools are stressed to the max.

The guidance interprets Section 1305 of the Public School Code, which governs school districts' requirements for foster children and is also attached. Section 1305(a) doesn't provide for students living in foster care to remain in his or her current school. It only addresses the rights of nonresident dependent children to attend school in the district of residence such as where the child is now placed. 1305 of the School Code was really written a long time ago to address custody issues and it didn't consider that certain circumstances, a child living in multiple places such as foster care, could also

be the case. It doesn't adequately protect the interests of foster care children to remain in their current school, whether that's a district-operated school or a charter school.

As you consider revisions to these bills and legislative strategy for next session, PPC urges the Committee to propose the necessary statutory changes to 1305 of the School Code that would help the court ensure education stability by requiring districts to comply with court directives related to education placement.

For example, this might include the court directing a district to transport a child back and forth within a reasonable travel distance either within a school district or outside a school district. Now, clearly, we don't want an eight-year-old on a bus for an hour-and-a-half, but if we're talking about children in neighboring school districts, the value of that requirement to transport would be very effective.

Or requiring the district the child resides in after foster care placement to educate the child if it's deemed in the best interest, for example, there could be family safety issues at play for a child that would actually say we should give up that stability of them being in their home district and move them to where they're now living. Such an amendment would reinforce that the

responsibility to make the best determination of education stability lies with children and youth agencies and the courts, not a decision left to school districts.

The requirements in House Bill 569 and 973 for strong mandated cooperation between child welfare agencies and the courts, as I've said, is a great step in the right direction. House Bill 569 cements the shared legal responsibility of county child welfare agencies and the courts to help ensure education stability by requiring their oversight on placement. This is similar to the responsibility the courts already possess in foster care placement that are in the child's best interests.

By providing this additional legal presumption, the bill improves the likelihood of education stability. However, we think 569 could be strengthened in a few ways. We would strike the term "unreasonable" in the section as it relates to foster care in emergency shelter placement. There's already a general well-being exception for a disposition hearing that would apply here. So therefore, something like unreasonable travel would already be taken care of.

We would add the general well-being exception for a disposition hearing for all children entering foster care, not just those who enter into the emergency shelter care provision like McKinney-Vento.

And we would require that school stability findings be revisited at permanency review hearings and whenever a child changes custody or placement.

House Bill 973 stresses the important roles child welfare agencies have in requiring them to develop education plans based on the best interest of determinations of the courts. These plans would help ensure agencies are complying with Federal requirements to collaborate with LEAs to either ensure stability in the same school or immediate enrollment in another school. The bill would also clarify the role of agencies in covering transportation when children do not fall under McKinney-Vento.

PPC is concerned about the language in 973 that would allow child welfare agencies to make a determination that education stability is "impractical." School stability might be "impractical" for a county agency, but not for a child. Again, the decision about whether or not a school change must occur should be solely based on the safety and the well-being of the child, thus making these decisions child-focused and consistent with 569.

We are just technically also recommending that the term "school stability" versus "education stability" be used throughout the bill for clarity purposes.

As we work to better ensure education stability,

there is a likelihood of increased transportation costs.

announcing a new public school funding campaign, the
Campaign for Fair Education Funding, and as we meet with
all of you about it, you will be really pleased by the
diversity of partners that have come together to urge you
to work with us to solve our school funding issues in
Pennsylvania. So it's not the same education association,
education reform alphabet soup list of groups. The four
major business associations of the State are with us, the
charter school community is with us, so we're coming to you
in partnership.

I worry about these transportation costs as somebody who does a lot of work on school funding. I'm not saying they should get in our way. I am saying we're going to need to figure out how to share the responsibility for doing them, but it doesn't have to be a barrier. Fostering Connections enables Pennsylvania child welfare agencies to draw down Federal foster care maintenance funding for eligible children to cover approximately half of the transportation costs. We asked the Department of Public Welfare for this information; we couldn't get it. We urge you to ask them for it. County child welfare agencies also have the opportunity to budget for this in the Needs-Based Budgeting process. The ability of county children and

youth agencies to request this combination of State and Federal and generate their own local funds could meet the challenges of transportation.

2.2

We commend Representative Brown for proposing a way to finance transportation and to understand that we can't create additional unfunded mandates on Pennsylvania schools, but we shouldn't let this be an obstacle.

We look forward to working with you next session, with the prime sponsors of the bill, with this Committee, with Members of the Education Committee, because this indeed is a shared responsibility, and all of the General Assembly to further refine and enhance these proposals. These reforms are critical if all children in foster care are to experience educational stability and ultimately graduate from high school ready for postsecondary education, the military, and the workforce.

Thank you so much for the time, for hearing us today, and we look forward to your support.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Joan.

MS. BENSO: Thanks to you.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Don't jump up quite yet.

MS. BENSO: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Representative Topper must leave but he has one very important and very quick question---

1 MS. BENSO: Okay. REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: --- for you. 2 3 MS. BENSO: Let's just hope I can answer it; 4 otherwise, I'm turning to Maura. 5 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: And there very well might 6 be somewhere we can get the information. 7 What kind of an impact does it have on the classes also that these children are going to? That's a 8 9 concern that I would have. Obviously, we're uprooting this 10 particular child and that means a lot to them, but I'm 11 assuming it also has an impact on the classes that they're 12 leaving and then going to as well. I don't know if that's 13 something that can be found in data but I think it's 14 probably something that should be considered for the 15 teachers and for those students that are affected in that 16 way as well. 17 MS. BENSO: Yes, I don't know of specific studies about the impact of the classroom the child leaves behind 18 19 or the classroom the child goes into, but school classes 20 are pretty homogenous groups. We know that in child 21 development kids form normative relationships---2.2 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Especially in elementary

MS. BENSO: Absolutely. Absolutely. And I think it's an important thing to consider as well.

23

24

25

school early on.

1 I mean ultimately our goal here has to be to be sure that every Pennsylvania child, regardless of their 2 3 home circumstances, has an equal shot at an excellent education and ending their school career ready for their 4 5 lives, right? And these kids we're failing in a big way. 6 REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Thank you. 7 MS. BENSO: Thank you so much. Thanks again for your time. Thank you, Representative Brown. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Joan, appreciate 10 it. 11 Before I go on, we have been joined by 12 Representatives Oberlander, Lawrence, and Brown. 13 And our next presenter is Professor Lucy 14 Johnston-Walsh. And if you will please introduce yourself. 15 MS. JOHNSTON-WALSH: Good morning. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Welcome. 17 MS. JOHNSTON-WALSH: My name is Lucy Johnston-Walsh and I am here today on behalf of the Pennsylvania Bar 18 Association. And I'd like to thank the Committee Members 19 20 and the Committee Chairs, the Committee staff, and the 21 bills' sponsors for your hard work on behalf of children in 2.2 the foster care system. The Pennsylvania Bar Association is also very committed to supporting the well being of all 23 24 youth in the foster care system.

And you've already heard from the prior folks

25

testifying about how the research shows that children in foster care change schools frequently and the negative impact on school change, how that inhibits children's progress academically. The Bar Association echoes all those concerns and would like to support your efforts to make a change in that regard in the legislation that you're working on.

The Bar Association would like to suggest some potential amendments to the legislation and would first like to suggest that school stability not be addressed only at the initial placement of a child. So perhaps you're familiar with the foster care system and when children first come into foster care there's a shelter care hearing. At that time you have to decide the disposition and where the child is going, and clearly in those urgent situations there's limited time of the courts to make an emergency safety decision, but also any safety decision then impacts the school placement. So it's our recommendation that not only at the shelter care hearing but all the followup hearings that you have after that placement, that you also address school issues.

As I mentioned, as an attorney that represents children in the foster care system, I am here today on behalf of the Children's Rights Committee, which is a group of attorneys that represent children across Pennsylvania.

And we all, in preparation for today, shared stories about cases, and I can give you case after case. An example is when you're in the emergency level of a court hearing, you are making an immediate safety decision on behalf of the child, and school is often a sort of second or third thought when you're looking at emergency safety placements. And so we ask that that come to the forefront at the time of the emergency shelter hearing but all the subsequent hearings. So oftentimes children have to go into a shelter placement, which is temporary placement, while the agency is trying to find a longer-term foster care placement. So each of those placement changes also impact schooling and we ask that the court decide that at each subsequent hearing.

So there are circumstances where the shelter care placement should not last more than 90 days but then they're ultimately placed in a foster home, and the foster home might not work out. And so then you have to look at another placement. I can give you one case example where one of my clients, who lived in Cumberland County, was attending a school in Dauphin County. It was a special school due to her special education needs. And so when she was placed in the shelter facility, that was in Franklin County. So we were able to argue under the McKinney-Vento Act, which you've already heard about today, that she was

essentially a homeless child under the McKinney-Vento Act, that she could remain in her original placement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when the shelter placement was extended for a longer period of time, this became harder and harder to maintain the transportation from Franklin County to Dauphin County to attend the school. We argued in court on a regular basis as her advocate that that was most appropriate; it was in her best interest. And so that continued. And then she was placed in a foster home, which was in yet another school district. So now we're on three different school districts that we're looking at on behalf of that particular child. Each time, we ask that the court look at those issues when a child is coming before the court's attention and that the child's issues will be coming to the court's attention at each hearing. So you have the emergency shelter hearing, you have the permanency review hearings, the dispositional hearings, and each time we're asking that the court relook at it and examine what's best.

Going back to my case example, in that particular situation, because of the distance, in rural communities like Cumberland and Franklin County, the transportation is a huge issue when you look at the time that is involved in a child's life in driving back and forth. But perhaps the best time to look at it is the second placement. So if the

child, you determine in a shelter care setting that they should remain in their original school district but then when they're placed in a foster home you might want to look at that. Is that school district better? Each time, we're asking that you look at it and perhaps you might identify that it's actually the second school district that is the best for that child as compared to the original home school district if you're following the case story that I'm giving. But we just ask that each time the court really examine and look at all the options for what's best for that particular child.

Another example I could provide is a child that was in her senior year of high school and she was placed in a foster home, which is not considered to be temporary.

She needed to graduate. And so we argued in court that she should remain in a school district so she could complete her graduation requirements and graduate with her class.

The court recognized the importance of that and did direct that to happen from the court hearing.

The other argument that I'd like to make about this legislation is that it actually would be the court that makes the decisions and not the child welfare agency or not the school district, that the court directs that. The court is the authority that has the ability to look at the entire picture. So we're asking that the court can

make an objective evaluation about what is in the child's best interest.

I'll give you another example of that. We had a case recently where a child was placed in their first semester moving from Dauphin County to Perry County school districts but Cumberland County Court had jurisdiction over her particular case. She was being moved at the beginning of the school year and we had some discussion with the school district and with the child welfare agencies, again, informal discussions about what was going to happen with her schooling. When we got to court, we actually made an argument that she be able to remain in that placement in her school district until January. This required a lot of cooperation and organization on behalf of foster care agencies, child welfare agencies, and school districts. The foster parents were very involved. But in that particular case it was in the best interest.

However, as the attorney, when I called the school district, they had heard another story. They heard that her parents' rights had been terminated; they had heard that she needed to move immediately and that they couldn't hold the placement. But when we got it to the court's attention, we were allowed to really make a best-interest determination because all the information was being presented at the same time and all the information

about what that particular child needed. So I think that's what's important is that it be the court that makes that decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in that particular case we were able to make arguments about that child had some special education We were able to have enough time to figure out how those needs could be addressed in her new school district. We were also able to address the fact that she had a big field trip coming up that she was really looking forward to in her original school district in Dauphin County, and little things like that made the world of difference. That's all that my client cared about was the field trip, and in the meantime, she was worried about basketball tryouts because he wanted to participate on the basketball So we were able to allow for all that to happen when we weren't functioning in the emergency state to move this kid tonight and without giving the time that was necessary to address all those things. So she was able to attend her field trip; she was able to try out for the basketball team in the new school district. She was able to complete the semester.

And the other thing is, as you are well aware, with all the school districts that we have in Pennsylvania, each of them might have different time periods for when the marking period ends and when the examinations are given,

and we were able to allow her to have special transportation arranged so she could complete the school requirements for that particular semester and then wait until the new semester began at her new school district, and the transition went far more smoothly than it would have if she had been immediately removed that night.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, we would like to suggest that, as you have already heard from Maura and from Joan, that the School Code also needs to be changed, because without that, there is less direction on the part of the school districts. In the cases that I just referenced to you, oftentimes I'd be the one on the phone contacting the school district. I talked to them about Fostering Connections and the school district would be like what are you talking about? That's not the Pennsylvania School Code. And I would have to reference the requirements under the Fostering Connections Act that would allow them this chance to be flexible and creative. School districts have been very responsive and said they understand the concerns that I'm bringing to their attention, but without direction in the School Code, it is less clear to the school districts that they have the same requirement. some recent court rule changes. We have the Federal law. But I think it also would be very helpful if we could amend the School Code to follow the same direction.

So again, I thank you for your time this morning and I appreciate the focus that you are having on this issue and we just ask that those amendments be made. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you for your testimony, Lucy. That's very informative. And I think we're all on the same page. And I for one like to hear the good stories---

MS. JOHNSTON-WALSH: Okay.

2.2

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: ---as well as the bad ones. So I'm so glad it worked out for that young lady. Stick around, please.

Our next testifier is Brittany Bullock.

Please do, Representative Toohil.

REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I wanted to just comment. Brittany Bullock is my constituent and I'm very proud that she's here today. And she is with my dear friend who is a foster children advocate, Attorney Lori Ogurkis. So we are so glad that, Brittany, you could be here today to speak for all the children that are in foster care now and can't speak for themselves so that you can change the statistics by changing Pennsylvania law. So we're really glad you're here today.

MS. OGURKIS: Thank you, State Representative

Tarah Toohil. I'm honored to be here today, and I'm even more honored to be sitting next to Ms. Brittany Bullock, who I believe is my true success story as far as children in Pennsylvania, and I'm going to go into a little bit about how we met.

I am her unofficial adoptive mother and was only able to connect with her by chance within the past two years. I was lucky enough to adopt my newborn son from foster care two years ago. During that time we were fostering him, I was teaching at night at Luzerne County Community College. Brittany would sit quietly at the back of the room, and every time I mentioned Michael, she would pop her head up. When she worked up the nerve, she came up after class to tell me how she was in foster care, that it did not work out that well for her.

She was in foster care from the ages of 9 to 18. She was taken to a local park when she aged out and told to find her way. She said to me do you know what it's like to know that if I died tomorrow, it wouldn't matter to anyone? Out of her mouth I heard my son speaking for the first time of what could have been if he was not so fortunate.

From that moment, I made a promise to Brittany that I would that support system that she unfortunately was not able to find, or most importantly, the child welfare system was not able to find for her. I call her my success

story every day. She is a phenomenal child who has had every obstacle placed in front of her and yet she is a success.

Attorney McInerney stated when testifying that children who are in foster care only make up 3 percent of the children in college. They are more likely to become homeless, in jail, on drugs, or pregnant. She is the exception, and for that she is a success story. Brittany is about to complete her associate's degree and is on the dean's list.

Brittany moved into our home not long after. As a mother, I was able to see the effects of the educational instability that it had on Brittany. She missed out on so many things that children who are not in foster care are able to experience: forming strong bonds between friends, teachers, guidance counselors; experiencing sports, school activities. She missed out on gaining the confidence in herself that is so critical for her to succeed in life. She was socially awkward and unsure about herself and if what she was doing was right or wrong. She second-guessed herself every step of the way.

While we may not be able to control the life circumstances that brought Brittany into foster care, what we can do as legislators is require that we control their educational stability.

Brittany was moved from school to school to school to school to school all within miles of each other, and then she was homeschooled for three years, constantly moving, constant disruption, constant delay, constantly being the new kid, constantly being the new foster kid, then being cyber-schooled from home where she went unseen, unheard, and any voice that she could have had to be able to form her own identity was taken away from her.

In order to ensure the success in the lives of children in foster care presently, I am requesting this change so that what happened to Brittany does not occur to any other child in foster care in Pennsylvania. I request that movement from the child's home school district or cyber-schooling from home for foster children must not occur unless mandated by a child psychologist and only upon court order. It is imperative that we change what is happening, what has happened in the child welfare system regarding educational stability. The foster children of Pennsylvania are counting on us.

MS. BULLOCK: Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to share with you my experience. Can you hear me?

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: You're fine.

MS. BULLOCK: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Pull the microphone right

2.2

up to you and you just go right for it.

MS. BULLOCK: Okay. So my name is Brittany
Bullock. I'm 20 years old. I live in Conyngham with my
mom Lori and Michael Ogurkis. I went into foster care at
the age of nine. I was separated from five siblings, and
we all were separated in different schools, different
homes. It was very traumatic for me. When in foster care,
I was in five different schools: Hunlock Creek Elementary
for two years, Dan Flood Elementary for one year and a
half, Solomon Plains for two years, cyber-school from home
for three years from 9th through 11th grade, and then I
went to Coughlin for my senior year.

When I was transitioning from schools, I was really awkward. I didn't' have any friends and it affected me with learning, too. I was put into a learning support class, and I knew I was smart enough for it, but it affected my learning because I was so distracted with not fitting in and being picked on.

It's hard to put into words what it meant to have to restart my life over and over and over again, new places, new faces, not able to make the connections with people because as soon as I started to feel comfortable, I was forced to move again. It interrupted my schooling, it interrupted my education, it interrupted my life.

The stigma of being in foster care or being a

foster child is a hard label to wear. People made me feel like I was secondhand, worthless. What made me so unlovable? Every time I started a new school, I was forced to repeat the story over and over again. Kids are not afraid to ask: Why are you here? Where do you live?

My constant moving from school to school did not allow me to create the connections I needed so desperately to have as a child. I was always the new student. I could not create friendships; I could not play on sports. I became socially awkward and even shy. I was cyber-schooled for three years from a foster care home. Imagine missing out on all the dances, the football games, the school lunches, the friends, the life that I should have had but I didn't like everyone else.

When I was in Solomon, though, for two years, I was there my 7th grade year and I didn't talk. When I became more comfortable with it when I tried for my 8th grade year and I made lots of new friends. And everybody's like, oh, you came out of your shell. What happened? And it was because I felt safe and I felt comfortable. And then when it came to my 9th grade year, I was taken out of school and cyber-schooled, no choice to have that. And it made me upset. I didn't want to do it but -- sorry.

I'm respectfully requesting you to move -- I'm
sorry.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Take your time.

2.2

MS. BULLOCK: I'm respectfully requesting you to move to adopt this bill in order to prevent foster care agencies from moving children out of their home school district unless for extreme circumstances and only through a court order. I am speaking for them because I have the power. And I am also requesting the same for cyberschooling. Unless a child psychologist says so or a judge says, then that's when it only should be allowed. I had so many chances taken away from me and I do not want another child to experience that. It's hard and it's very emotional. We're not like everyone else like we're labeled and it's sad.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Very well done, young lady.

MS. BULLOCK: I'm sorry I couldn't contain

myself.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: No apologies necessary.

We're all friends here and I think that you put the exclamation point on this hearing for us already as to how important it is when we look at a very bright, articulate, and strong young lady like yourself, how important it is hearing from someone like yourself. It really touches home for all of us, and I can assure you that this Committee is going to look at this very, very intensely as the new session begins.

1 Thank you, and please stick around.

MS. BULLOCK: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Our next testifier is Michele Haydt, Education Liaison, Monroe County Children and Youth Services.

And whenever you're ready, Michele.

MS. HAYDT: Thank you. Brittany is a tough act to follow.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to be here. This is my first time testifying at such a hearing so I apologize for my nervousness ahead of time.

My name is Michele Haydt. I'm a Program Manager for the Permanency Services Unit of Monroe County Children and Youth. I'm also the appointed Educational Liaison that you heard discussed earlier for our county with our school districts.

With the passing of the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act in 2008, out of that, Us public welfare the Pennsylvania Department of public welfare published a bulletin requiring each county children and youth agency to appoint educational liaisons for that county to work with the school districts for the purpose of the educational stability. The main strength of this was that there was now one person in each children and youth agency that the school districts could contact in regards

to our foster care children, making sure that their needs were met, and hopefully improving the outcomes for these children.

The downfall of that was that the education liaisons that were selected didn't always have the training or requirements to be that education liaison. My primary focus in the agency was with permanency. I worked with children that were in placement and adoption, and so when I was appointed the education liaison, I took it on because I think education stability for our kids is very important but I really didn't know what my role was.

There was training that was provided from the State, and it was mainly in regards to the education screening tool that was touched on earlier, but we don't use that tool. There were some issues that came up through DPW and stuff, so that tool has not been used and it was a very helpful tool that could help with that educational stability.

Other things with the Fostering Connections that you heard earlier were in regards that the county agencies were required to make every effort to maintain the school placement for the child, their home school placement, but another part of Fostering Connections was that we were also supposed to make efforts to keep siblings together. As you heard Brittany say, she was separated from her siblings,

separated from her home school district, so in order for placements to be made, sometimes you have to look at which is more important for that family, keeping the siblings together or keeping them in their home school districts, and a lot of times that's not all the same thing.

In Monroe County we have four different school districts and some of those school districts have a lot of foster homes in them where we can place children but some of them do not. So if we have, for example, a child that needs to come into care from the Stroudsburg School District, there are very few foster homes within Stroudsburg School District, so then that child would have to move to one of the neighboring school districts.

In our '12/'13 school year, we had a great deal of stability for our children that came into foster care with keeping them in their same schools or for working with the schools under McKinney-Vento for them to help with the transportation and keeping them in their same schools.

In the school year '13/'14, there was less of this educational stability. There was less cooperation from our school districts to allow children to stay in their home school districts. But on the flipside of that, we had more siblings that were placed together in care. So it's a hard thing to do sometimes trying to keep kids together, keep them in their same school district. It's a

very big challenge for our agency, as well as other agencies across the State.

2.2

A big challenge that we have is our collaboration and cooperation with our school districts. A lot of times when we tried to keep our children in the same school, under McKinney-Vento they would allow it up until the point where the child was adjudicated dependent, and therefore, they said they no longer qualified under McKinney-Vento so they would not provide the transportation. And although Fostering Connections allows for reimbursement to county agencies for that transportation to their home school district, it's a very difficult task because foster parents work. Children and youth caseworkers a lot of times had to provide that transportation, which put a lot of stress on their already stressful caseload. So that's always a very difficult thing of who's going to provide that transportation.

And there have been occasions where we've been able to work with the school districts, like we would transport maybe to the school district line, and then the bus that came to that would pick them up, but most of the time it was just a very difficult process to get going.

Another huge challenge with this is that caseworkers at the children and youth agency do not have the training regarding education and educational stability.

Every new caseworker that comes through the children and youth agency has to undergo 120 hours of training through the Child Welfare Training Center. None of that training time, 120 hours, is devoted to education and how the caseworker can advocate for that child in the education setting, what the requirements are for registering a child in school, what the requirements are if they have special education needs. None of that training is provided in that initial training, which is a very big carrier to ensuring that our kids that are in foster care get those educational services that they need, they're in the right placements. They just don't know what they're doing when they go to these meetings so a lot of times they just sign the paperwork without really understanding because they don't have that training.

In regards to the special education part of it, a lot of our children that are in foster care do have special education needs. The schools have their guidelines that they follow. The children and youth caseworkers are not able to sign off on special education paperwork and IEPs and things like that, but the schools still ask the children and youth caseworkers to do that. And again, without the training, for them to know that they can't sign off, they do sign off without really understanding the whole process. So a lot of times those special education

needs are based on what the school thinks without a collaborative effort from everyone involved.

2.2

Communication, as has been already stated before, is a major barrier as well. I like the analogy with the three-legged stool, that we need collaboration from the children and youth agencies, the courts, as well as the schools for everybody to have the same understanding of what is needed, what is required, and everyone to work together rather than everyone follows their own rules and those rules don't always mesh for the best needs of the children.

You've heard all the statistics already about children that are in foster care take longer to graduate, don't graduate, don't have their educational needs met, and this is an ongoing issue that, even with Brittany speaking, goes to show that that effort to collaborate and communicate for these youth is very important. So, again, I believe that the primary focus is to get that training for children and youth agencies.

Talking about the funding in the Needs-Based Budget, I'm a part of that Needs-Based Budget on the adoption part of it but all the different things that are required for children and youth agencies, a lot of the changes that have been made in the past several years to improve outcomes, to improve safety for children are all

exceptional things, but the thing that hasn't changed is the amount of time a caseworker has to complete all of these things. So the quality kind of goes to the wayside because of the quantity.

Caseworkers can have a caseload up to 30 cases, and this has been in place for probably over 50 years. So 30 cases could mean 30 families and over 100 children that they're required to provide services for, educational services, mental health services, getting the family back together, special counseling to meet their mental health needs. So that is a huge burden for the caseworkers to be able to spend that quality time to make sure all the needs of the child are met when they have so many children on their caseload.

I think it's very important to look at that and to improve the quality of work that we're providing to our families so that we don't have Brittanys who leave care with very little. We need to look at the amount of cases that the caseworkers have in order to be able to provide those services.

Also part of our county as part of the local roundtable through the Statewide Children's Roundtable, and we do have an Education Committee that I am a part of, working with the schools. So we are making some strides in improving these educational outcomes. Our truancy program

1 is doing very well but there's a long way to go for us to continue to offer and provide these positive outcomes for 2 these children. 3 Thank you very much for the opportunity to be 4 5 here. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you very much, 7 Michele, appreciate it. And it seems like you've also identified a major need here of communication between the 8 9 school districts and children and youth agencies because 10 school is such a huge part of these children's lives. 11 MS. HAYDT: Yes. 12 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Looking forward to asking a 13 bunch of questions. Thank you. 14 MS. HAYDT: Thank you. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Our next testifier is 16 Katherine Fitz-Patrick, Deputy General Counsel, Member 17 Services, for Pennsylvania School Boards Association and the Solicitors Association. Welcome, Katherine. 18 19 MS. FITZ-PATRICK: Thank you. 20 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: And just remember, pull the 21 microphone right up to you so we can hear you very plainly. 2.2 And you may start any time. Thank you. MS. FITZ-PATRICK: Good morning. My name is 23

Katherine Fitz-Patrick, and I am Deputy General Counsel

with the Pennsylvania School Boards Association. On behalf

24

25

of PSBA, I would like to thank the Committee for providing PSBA with the opportunity to give testimony on House Bills 569 and 973.

2.2

PSBA is a nonprofit statewide association representing the 4,500 elected officials who govern the Commonwealth's public school districts. PSBA is a membership-driven organization pledged to the highest ideals of local lay leadership for public schools and working to support reform for the betterment of public education that prepares students to be productive citizens and promote the achievements of public schools, students, and local school boards.

For over two years, I have participated in a workgroup devoted to educational stability and success of children in foster care and successful implementation of the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act in Pennsylvania with several other testifiers today. We all sit on that workgroup together. The workgroup is comprised of stakeholders from the courts, child welfare agencies, schools, and others groups, and is a subgroup of the Educational Success and Truancy Prevention Workgroup.

PSBA and the workgroup recognize that the Fostering Connections Act places the responsibility on child welfare agencies for ensuring the educational

stability of children in foster care. PSBA believes strongly that involvement is also needed by the courts and by the schools. In addition to collaboration among the systems, legislative changes and/or departmental guidance targeted to the identified systems is needed to provide a clearer delineation of rights and responsibilities.

PSBA applauds Representatives Toohil and Brown for their dedication to this issue and for introducing these important bills. PSBA recognizes the importance of educational stability and success of children in foster care and the possibility of changes to State law and regulations to successfully implement the Fostering Connections Act here in Pennsylvania.

However, we do have several concerns with how the proposed changes in House Bills 569 and 973 will impact current law and the ability of public school districts to serve children seeking enrollment. The specific concerns that I will address today relate to clarity and consistency with existing School Code provisions. Several other speakers today have also brought up the School Code.

Our experience has been that public policy implemented in the school environment is most effective when the legislation clearly communicates the obligations of school districts and resolves conflicts in statutory language that might frustrate the laudable goal of

promoting educational stability for children in Pennsylvania.

2.2

Although House Bill 569 amends the Juvenile Act and House Bill 973 amends the Public Welfare Code, both of these bills address residency; that is the right of students to attend school in a particular school district. Article XIII of the Pennsylvania School Code and Chapter 11 of the Pennsylvania State Board of Education Regulations govern student attendance. Section 1305 of the School Code already addresses nonresident children placed in the home of a resident and is applicable to some foster students.

The language in both of these bills conflicts with the language in 1305, altering residency, and making it unclear to school districts and administrators where a child has the right to attend school. The language is unclear, in that, when the county agency determines that remaining in the current school is impractical or poses a safety concern, it is unclear where the student has the right to attend.

Because the language in the bills may create confusion resulting in unnecessary delays for students seeking enrollment, the Fostering Connections implementation issues related to student residency and other school-related issues should be addressed in the School Code and not in the Public Welfare Code or the

Juvenile Act.

2.2

Before addressing these issues in the School
Code, a thorough review of which students are already
covered by the existing provisions needs to be conducted in
order to determine what other changes are needed. The
changes need to ensure that it is clear where a child has a
right to attend school, either the district of origin or
the district of placement. And if the county children and
youth agency makes a determination that the child shall not
remain in the current school pending a determination by the
court, the county agency must be required to work with
school administrators in both school districts.

In addition to these overall concerns, we have a couple of concerns related to specific provisions, which are listed in the testimony. I'm just going to briefly summarize those. One was the immediate enrollment provision that Maura talked about in her testimony, and we've just proposed some language to be consistent with the School Code and State Board Of Education Regulations.

Instead of reiterating what the time frames are, to refer to those State Board Of Education Regulations so that administrators and school districts know where to look in order to find those time frames.

There's also a provision in House Bill 973 that deals with the refusal of students and prohibiting that.

PSBA believes that if it's made clear through legislation where student has a right to attend school, as stated by several people, it's unclear; there's no State law that covers all of these students who may be in different situations. If it's made clear to school districts and administrators through legislation where student has a right to attend school, we feel that this prohibition would become unnecessary if it's clear.

Also we've heard several people talk today about the transportation cost. It would just be nice to have a clear understanding of what "no additional cost to the school district" would be and how that would be determined.

And lastly, with regards to our specific concerns, there is a provision in House Bill 973 which relates to school district subsidies, and we believe that anything dealing with school district subsidies should be addressed in the School Code.

In summary, although PSBA has concerns about the impact these bills will have on the School Code's residency requirements and calculations of subsidies, PSBA supports efforts to improve the educational outcomes for children in foster care through legislation or departmental guidance related to educational stability. In that spirit, PSBA will continue to work closely with the Educational Success and Truancy Prevention Workgroup, and we look forward to

working with this Committee and the rest of the Legislature on this important issue for the children of Pennsylvania.

PSBA thanks the Committee for the opportunity to provide comments and for the consideration of our concerns. And I'd be happy to stay to answer any additional questions at the end.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Katherine. I appreciate your testimony. I'm sure we're going to have questions. It sounds like we're on the same page, just got to get some of the wherefores and whatnots in the right places for you, but please stick around. Thank you very much.

MS. FITZ-PATRICK: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Our next testifier is

Debbie Staub, Ph.D., Education Advisor, Casey Family

Programs. And if you could introduce yourself, tell us a

little bit about the Casey Family Programs so that I myself

get an understanding of who it is that you work for, as

well as the rest of us.

DR. STAUB: Well, I would be happy to do that.

So I think we've slid into the afternoon, so good afternoon, everyone. It's a really nice opportunity to be able to speak about this important issue of why education stability is so important for all students, but particularly for those students who lack the anchor of a

permanent family to buffer the challenges that they face in their young lives.

I am education advisor with Casey Family

Programs. I came to Casey Family Programs 16 years ago to

help run a tutoring program for the students in foster care

that we were serving in direct service at Casey Family

Programs.

We are the largest operating foundation in the Nation, and our focus is on safely reducing the need and ultimately eliminating the need for foster care in general. Our mission is to provide and improve the outcomes that students and children in foster care experience, and one of those big areas is on well-being. If these children and students are in our care and our custody and well-being, we need to make sure that they are having all the opportunities that we would afford any other child.

We are located in every State around the country, not maybe necessarily physically but have a presence. My colleague Fran Gutterman is here with me today and she is Pennsylvania's Senior Director/Strategic Consultant for the State of Pennsylvania and I know has been working very hard with your colleagues around the State to address the issues and the challenges that children in foster care in the State face.

So I want to be able to speak about some of the

2.2

things that I have found over the 16 years of working for Casey Family Programs. I'm also a court-appointed special advocate, a CASA. I've been a CASA for about six years and my longest-standing case are twin girls that are entering third grade and I've known them since the age of two. And I've also seen how incredibly important early stability not only in their placement but in their educational lives, including their preschool stability has really impacted what's happened.

We've seen a remarkable shift in the attention given to the educational needs of students in foster care really beginning at about the early 2000s, and there have been many States that have enacted some legislation even prior to Fostering Connections, the Federal law, that have really prompted the mechanisms for better creation of strategies and practices for students in foster care.

We have miles to go but we're really learning now how students in foster care are doing educationally.

You've heard a lot of the research studies, but what is also happening is that many jurisdictions at the local school district level, State, and even a little bit at the Federal law are now being able to collect educational outcome data because of the Uninterrupted Scholars Act that was passed in early 2013 and signed into law, which allows for the reduction of barriers for sharing data between

education and child welfare. And that has helped us really get a handle on what are those educational outcomes that are happening for students in foster care in a real-time way so that we can have data-driven decisions.

2.2

Unfortunately, one of the hardest pieces of data to collect, and I'm not sure why it's so hard, but are school placement changes. We should know when students are enrolled in school so we should have a record of that and we should know when students are no longer enrolled in that school and we should be able to count that up and match it to that individual student to have a better sense. We continue to struggle with that, but I think data is a really important lynchpin for getting the attention of people and promoting the things that need to be promoted.

School instability is clearly harmful to students but it also wreaks havoc for hard-working teachers and support staff. And I know that was a question that came up earlier today and whether there is research to kind of show almost the collateral damage that happens when a student is coming in and out of classrooms, what that does for the other students' academic achievement and for the teachers' well-being.

So I came to Casey Family Programs after years of being in education and special education teacher. My very first job out of the gate was a teacher for children with

severe emotional and behavioral disabilities. This was long before McKinney-Vento even and Fostering Connections and all the other bills. A number of the students that I worked with were living in out-of-home care situations but I didn't know about those situations. Those weren't shared with me or explained to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I did know is that I had two students in my classroom. I had a six- and seven-year-old sibling pair, Kelly and Kyle. Their mother was abusing substances. would take off for the weekend, leave these two children unattended. They had some very significant challenges, and the more I've learned now about early development, I have a much better understanding of what was happening for them. But they would get placed in an emergency shelter placement while their mom was AWOL and they consequently would miss school. So there was no communication between myself as their teacher and the emergency shelter placement about the fact that these students were there and I was wondering where they were. Eventually they would return to the school and I would have to start many steps back to bring them back up to speed not only academically but emotionally and socially as well and it was disruptive and it was chaotic and it was very challenging for not only myself as a young teacher but also for the other students.

So I did what I thought was probably the right

thing to do but maybe not the most legally qualified thing to do is I developed a communication with the shelter worker to call me when the children were picked up and I just got in my car and picked them up and brought them to school with me because it was so much easier for me to educate them if I had them in my classroom. So rather than having days and months of not being in the classroom, I could help keep their stability. And it was just -- it was easier on me. In hindsight, I see that it was a service on behalf of the students as well.

So I think it's a very real concern and it is a way for educators to understand not only for that individual student because that can be very frustrating when you have a revolving door but what its impact is on the other students. And educators want to do the best for all their students. So I'm glad that question was raised.

The good news is that there is Federal, State, local legislation and Fostering Connections has been mentioned several times. I wanted to share a report that came out looking at the outcomes currently of the implementation of the Fostering Connections Act in terms of the educational provisions of that act. And GAO, the Government Accountability Office, did a study, as I said, earlier this spring to look at how States are doing with the school stability requirements, and what they found is

that there were three top practices used by States to implement required provisions related to school stability and these included decisions about keeping the child in the same school documented in writing. Thirty-four States require that. Sixteen States don't require it but recommend it. The second top answer is that what were the specific factors used to consider keeping the child in the same school. So 24 States require, 16 States not required but recommended. And finally, this one kind of was a little baffling to me but that schools consulted when considering if a child should be kept in the school. So 22 States require that and 15 States not required but recommended.

2.2

And I thought about that. If a school change is a potential reality for a student, who better to ask than first the student in an age-appropriate way that would be possible about what their needs are and what their desires are but then what about the teacher who is seeing that student day-to-day and knows best how that student is doing and what kinds of things that they need. And the fact that that's not just a normative practice that we've put in place is a little discouraging.

The GAO report also found that the 36 States use

Title IV-E Foster Care maintenance funds to pay for

transportation so that children in foster care could remain

in their schools.

Additionally, when the GAO did site visits to California, Texas, and Virginia, they found that State law or agency policy requires school districts to designated foster care liaisons or points of contact at the district or school level who consist of caseworkers or foster parents with issues such as immediately enrolling students in foster care. If there is not a designated person, if nobody is taking full accountability and responsibility for making sure that collaboration happens, it doesn't happen. So it's absolutely imperative to have somebody who's the appointed person to make sure that those events are being triggered when a student is not only enrolled in the school but currently attending the school.

And we've heard a lot about McKinney-Vento. It really was kind of the lynchpin for looking at the needs of students in foster care. We are able to take that provision of awaiting foster care and see it enacted in different ways across the United States. There's one State in particular, Delaware, that has decided that all the students in foster care just qualify under that provision of awaiting foster care placement. So those students in foster care receive the same types of entitlements that the students who are homeless in their State do. So sometimes those decisions are made at the school district level;

sometimes they're made at the State level and it varies all over the place. But it's an opportunity to look at how it's being enacted in a State and how it might be utilized efficiently for students in foster care.

examples that are showing promising outcomes around ensuring educational stability for students in foster care. You've heard mentioned earlier the California Assembly Bill 490. That's one of the longest-standing bills I believe at a State level that has really taken the bull by the horns if you will around educational needs of students in foster care and has done a lot with that legislation, has really taken that legislation to promote best practice and local policy around how to help students in foster care.

And just recently this year in California they have created a separate funding stream for students in foster care so that a school district that has a certain number of percent of students in care in their school district receives a set level of funding, and that funding is provided in terms of training so that both educators and caseworkers have opportunities to be trained about how to better support students in foster care, to work with the courts, and then to support and employ education liaison positions that really do that go-between the foster care system, as well as the education and court system.

The other thing that the AB 490 does is it looks at the proximity of placement to the child's school attendance area, so it's not a given that every time a placement change is made that you just go off and, you know, find the first available placement. A lot of consideration is given to the fact that this is where the child currently resides and goes to school. These are the available placements within the catchment area that would allow the child to remain in the school, and if there aren't any, then they go out a little circle further. So that way they're really being strategic about how they're going to place the students or not to have to place the students.

I mentioned the Delaware example. And a number of States are really employing these education liaisons.

In my home State of Washington we also had early legislation around education and foster care about 2004, also Senate Bill 167, and it allowed for the funding of education liaisons in different districts around the State.

And the TreeHouse organization is a nonprofit organization in our State who is hired basically to run this program. And they collected data on the types of requests that they got when they first enacted the legislation and brought these education liaisons: What were the problems that were originating for students in

foster care? And what they found is that foster parents, relative providers would go to enroll a child in a public school and be told by the school that there was no room for that student to attend this school, so clearly violating some of the very basic rights to education. And those were the kinds of calls that initially those education advocates were getting, that the schools were pushing back and saying we don't have room for these students; you know, this student has a lot of challenges; we're not sure in our small rural school district that we can meet those challenges; wouldn't this child be better served in a different district?

So 10 years later we're seeing a totally different picture in the State because of all the training and awareness that has gone into place about educating the educators, as well as the caseworkers and the courts about how important education stability is for students in care.

TreeHouse, the organization I mentioned earlier, in the State of Washington three years ago they were able to provide a school Graduation Success program where they placed education liaison people in high schools in particular that have large percentages of students in foster care to really act in that role. And this year they just reported their findings and they increased the graduation rates of students in high school, graduating

from high school on time from foster care by 10 percentage points. So they are following a cohort of students and really giving them the support. So when we can really put our arms around the issue, we can definitely make a difference.

Some of the things that we see thematically that are happening around the country is that really when agencies are intentionally about finding a new placement for a student that it's in close proximity, that's when they're opening the doors to the collaboration and communication. One of my favorite examples is of a woman who's the Education Director for her county in the State of Florida and she positioned herself to sit right next to placement person. So she knew when placement changes were going to happen for a student and then she could work with him and put up a big map of the school district to do some geo-mapping to find how they could find a placement that was going to be accommodating to their school that they were currently attending. So geo-mapping is certainly one area that folks are using.

Creative solutions to transportation issues, it's always the big one that comes up, but utilizing different community groups, family members. In a county in California they were able to work with a group of transportation directors and hired a number of retired taxi

drivers who helped provide transportation for students. So they are using creative funding and creative ideas about how to transport children.

2.2

In Indiana their code states that the issue of transportation be equally shared between schools and child welfare, and so now collaboration has become the norm, as does shared accountability. It's both of their problem to solve equally and that has really helped them move forward on getting some of those things done.

So there are also things that can be done when school moves are imminent that we're seeing people really attend to. You've heard about the importance of immediate enrollment. Seventy-two hours definitely seems to be the theme around that issue with leeway for the records transfer, usually up to 30 days that the student is immediately enrolled with or without their records. We're not going to allow the records to hang up that immediate enrollment but then the child welfare needs to make sure that they get those records.

We must also recognize credit for school work completed, and you heard how challenging that is to move from one school to another, one school district to another, and it's really the reason why we have such dismal graduation rates for students in foster care because they're moving a lot and the graduation requirements

frequently change for them. So, for example, in Kansas there is Senate Bill 23, which requires school districts to issue diplomas to youth in State custody when they meet the minimum State Board education requirements of 21 hours. This was a youth-led effort. Kansas Youth Advisory Council came together and fought very hard to have this law passed because they felt it was so important not to be penalized for something that was happening to them that was not their fault. And AB 167 in California also exempts foster youth who transfer schools.

I think one of the most important things we can do around immediate enrollment, though, is trigger a series of events that happens. So when a student in foster care comes into a new school that the school knows about it and that there are a series of events that allows that child to have a smoother transition and for communication to be immediately established between the child welfare department, the school, and the courts so that everyone starts to get on the same page as quickly as possible so that we're not messing around with their lives.

So I just want to say in conclusion that school stability is not just about academic achievement. Brittany probably put it best today about how important it is to have that sense of knowing that your friends are going to be there when you wake up in the morning. Sometimes that

was the only thing that got one of my kids to school every morning was his friends being there. And so we know that school is not just about the academics, very important part of it but it's not just about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think school is often the community of hope. We talk about community of hope at Casey Family Programs, and so many of the young people that I have met who have been successful educationally and life success refer to an educator who has made a difference in their lives and sometimes becomes their forever family, as we even heard this morning. The schoolhouse and the school community is rich with opportunities for young people to find that permanency, to find those connections that are going to be lifelong and meaningful and impactful. So it's not just about the academic achievement; it's an opportunity for them to grow and flourish with all the opportunities that it provides them. And it's the best opportunity to have one piece of their lives that is normalized and can be predictable and safe and, as I said, that place to find their forever family.

So thank you so much for the opportunity to be here today. I appreciate and look forward to answering any questions.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Debbie. We appreciate your testimony. You gave us a lot to think

about there.

2.2

Our final testifier today is Katherine Burdick, Esquire, Equal Justice Works Fellow, Juvenile Law Center, from Philadelphia.

MS. BURDICK: Good afternoon.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: If you could introduce yourself and you may proceed at any time. Thank you.

MS. BURDICK: Thank you very much. Good afternoon, everyone. I really appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today about the importance of providing school stability to children and youth who are in foster care.

As Representative Moul mentioned, I'm Katherine Burdick. I'm an attorney and an Equal Justice Works Fellow sponsored by the law firm Greenberg Traurig at the Juvenile Law Center. Juvenile Law Center, as many of you know, has been advocating for youth in jeopardy for almost 40 years making it the oldest multi-issue public interest law firm for children in the United States. And we use the law to ensure that youth, particularly those who are involved in the juvenile justice system or the child welfare system, receive fair and developmentally appropriate treatment.

We, along with Education Law Center and the American Bar Association Center on Children and the Law, have collaborated to form the Legal Center for Foster Care

and Education that Maura mentioned. We are also a founding member of the National Working Group on Foster Care and Education. And through those channels we advocate for better educational outcomes for the children and youth who are in the child welfare system.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did want to mention that the Legal Center has created a comprehensive guide to improving education outcomes for children in care that's called the Blueprint for Change. I've included a cite to it there. It includes 8 goals and 56 corresponding benchmarks to improve those outcomes. And because school stability and seamless transitions when youth do need to change schools is so critical to the overall education success of kids in care. The very first Blueprint goal is making sure that children can stay in their same school when in their best interest when feasible, and the second goal is that when they do need to change schools, if that's in their best interest, that the transition be a smooth one. And I invite you to visit FosterCareAndEducation.org for more resources or to reach out to us if we can provide more information about the Blueprint and best practices around the country.

So we know that children unfortunately in our Commonwealth are bouncing between living placements and frequently changing schools when they do. As my colleagues have already gone over today, the statistics are very clear

that changing schools is detrimental to the education success of children in care, whereas stability in general can dramatically improve the chance of being able to graduate from high school.

I won't go over all those statistics but I do reference again the National Working Group's data sheet that Maura attached to her testimony. That is an excellent compilation of these facts. And I encourage you to recognize I think, as Brittany so artfully highlighted today, there are real individuals behind these statistics. We really need to keep in mind that these are actual children that we're talking about when we cite these very dramatic pieces of facts.

And I did want to go over the fact that changing schools leads to a host of collateral obstacles to school success. Enrollment is often delayed when a child is forced to change to a new school. I've heard of cases where children have been out of school for months in between those two schools. In addition, records may be lost or delayed entirely.

I was recently speaking with one young woman who was eligible for special education services and when she changed schools, her IEP did not go with her, and so she was in the wrong courses for months before her records finally arrived and were reviewed and everyone realized,

oh, wait, she has an IEP with a plan that we need to be followed. She should be in a completely different course of instruction.

Finally, as Debbie just mentioned, a chronic problem for highly mobile youth is the loss of academic credit. Students who are changing schools mid-term often receive no credit for work done in the first part of the semester, and if you have your records lost or the curriculum does not align between your two schools, that typically further compounds this problem. And unfortunately, it's common for students who are in care to have to retake courses. I can remember quite vividly one student who complained of having to take home a fake baby three different times for her child development class because every school she went to didn't give her credit for that course that she had taken in her previous districts.

Another student that I spoke to recently had taken Spanish I at one schools, changed to the second school, taken Spanish II, aced it, but because the second school had lost the records from the first school stating she took Spanish I and the second school required two language courses in order to graduate, she had to take Spanish I at the second school even though she had both already taken Spanish I and already taken and aced Spanish II. So that's a completely ridiculous scenario and a waste

of her time.

2.2

And unfortunately, many youth, especially older youth, get very frustrated understandably about having to retake these courses or perhaps be in classes with peers who are much younger than they are and tend to look towards getting a GED or dropping out entirely rather than waste their time and go through this hamster roll where they're getting nowhere in school.

And I do want to highlight the fact that frequent school moves can also have devastating effects on the overall well-being of the child. I think Brittany's story portrays this really accurately, and unfortunately, her comments echo what we're hearing from students all over the Commonwealth. Changing schools causes greater stress and social anxiety that can affect the child for their entire lives. As Brittany mentioned, she felt so distracted about not fitting in at school and feeling like there were new people always around. Just when she would start to feel comfortable, she would have to move again. She was having to repeat her story over and over. I've heard those exact words from other youth I've talked to.

Always being the new kid, missing out on those normal teen experiences like extracurricular activities or going to the prom, that has devastating impact on youth who are in care in particular. One student who I talked to who

went to four or five different schools said, again, she didn't feel like repeating her story and she found little reason to make friends because she knew she was going to be moving again. She felt like people were spreading rumors about her at her school. Another student said she felt judged for being in a group home and she said it was very hard to focus on getting good grades when she was worried about when's the next time she's going to have to move.

I want to share the story of a young woman named Annika who had attended 11 schools after she entered care at age six, only two of which she attended for more than a year. She also noted that she had trouble making friends. She lied often about being in care to try to gain acceptance. At one school she was bullied but she didn't speak up because she didn't have an adult that she felt comfortable with that she could share that information. She noted that she still had trouble forming long-term relationships because she had to change so much in her early years.

And as Representative Toohil mentioned, there's often no comfort zone for these children. We can make school the comfort zone for them if we can create a stable experience. So many youth who do have a tumultuous home life point to consistency at school as the saving grace that allowed them to stay on track to graduating and

despite the onslaught of challenges they face outside the classroom.

I'll try to be as brief as possible here. I do just want to tell you the positive side of this young woman Annika, who I just mentioned. When she was finally able to stay in the same school in the end of her high school education, she finally did develop those close friendships that she says she still maintains now and close relationships with adults in the school and that that really helped her stay on track to graduate. And she gave some specific reasons why.

When she moved to a supervised independent living apartment when she was 17, her English teacher sat her down, explained the importance of staying in school and focused despite the fact that she was now going to be experiencing all this independence at home, and the same teacher actually sent her text messages in the morning to make sure she got up on time, lent her her own personal computer so that Annika could finish her senior project on time, and Annika was able to graduate and then started community college in Philadelphia. So she's one of our success stories because she had that stability.

Because of the importance of school stability for youth in care, Juvenile Law Center strongly supports the proposed legislation and commends the Committee for

thinking about this issue. We, like my colleagues today, do have a couple of suggestions for ways that the legislation could become even stronger or more clear. As Joan mentioned, we would also eliminate the reasonableness of travel time and whether or not a school placement is impractical as considerations in what school the child would attend and keep the inquiry focused specifically on the child's well-being.

2.2

And in HB 569 I note that you have considered the wishes of the parents in deciding which school the child will attend. We strongly support that. We would revise that language slightly to include other adults who may be authorized as educational decision-makers for the child. Our Juvenile Court procedural rules allow the court to appoint someone different to make the education decisions for the child. There are also, under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, other people such as a foster parent who, in certain situations, may be authorized to make decisions for the child. So we just would want that language to be flexible. And we of course are very supportive of the fact that the child's input will be considered in deciding what school is best for the child as well.

As Maura mentioned, we agree that the bill should be clarified to ensure that when a child does need to

change schools, that they be enrolled the next business day. Currently, the language is no later than five business days, which is included in our current regulation but doesn't have the aspect that it should occur the next business day. And that's also consistent with Fostering Connections, which requires immediate enrollment when a child does need to change schools.

We also would add a provision that requires the child welfare agency, consistent with Fostering

Connections, to maintain up-to-date education records for the child. We have found that that's very, very important for child welfare to have that information to help ease these transitions and be able to immediately provide the enrollment documents and the IEP, make sure that the child is in the right courses, getting credit for courses. If they have all of that, it just makes everything go so much more smoothly.

As others have emphasized, we also agree that these bills alone cannot create the complete robust right to a consistent school that these children need, and we would support amending the School Code as well to ensure that school districts and charter schools are equal partners in ensuring school stability. We frequently hear from child advocates and child welfare caseworkers who've attempted to maintain a child in their same school but have

gotten pushback from the school district. And that may be from the school district's misunderstanding of residency requirements or confusion about how to seek reimbursement, but as Lucy mentioned, we really do need to create clear guidelines to schools so they know that they are equal partners in making school stability a reality.

2.2

There are a number of other changes that we would also put into the School Code: requiring immediate enrollment, as I mentioned; making sure that school records accompany the student and are reviewed by a point of contact when the student does have to change schools. And we would want that point of contact to sit down with the student, go over the records, make sure that they're in the right courses and on track to graduate and meet the requirements of the new district.

We support having alternative methods for earning credit so that if a child may not have the right credits because of their situation that there be another way through credit recovery or perhaps getting credit for a work experience, something more flexible to make sure that they can come up to the level that they're supposed to be at.

And finally, we support what Maura mentioned earlier about having a statewide diploma available for students who may meet State standards but not a specific

requirement for a district that they just happen to end up in last.

2.2

I would also like to comment briefly on transportation. Although transportation costs are not supposed to be a factor in determining the best interest of the child and where they should go to school, it is of course often the key to making school stability a reality. And we would support that the child welfare agency be required to pay for transportation unless there's another agreement in place either by State or local entities or if a new law is passed that would require schools to pay.

But I do want to say that, importantly, there will be many students who can attain school stability without needing transportation to be paid for either because those students are staying living in the school district where they were previously going to school or they have completed high school already or have a GED or if they're in cyber-school or they remained at home in the first place, and then there of course will be students who need to change schools and that it's in their best interest to change schools. So this doesn't affect all students who are in foster care.

And there are low-cost ways to provide transportation in many situations by being creative and the ability to draw down IV-E dollars or use other funds to

support transportation. I do want to say that this is attainable. Other places are doing this around the country, as Debbie mentioned. I've provided a few cursory summaries in my testimony and I would be happy to serve as a resource. I highlight the Legal Center for Foster Care and Education's Transportation Brief on that topic.

But there are number of ways that this is being handled. For example, Butte County in California, they are drawing down funds and then sharing costs among five different entities. In San Diego it's the two school districts that are handling the cost. In Virginia it's just child welfare. We just urge you to be clear about how transportation will be provided when you are considering amending the legislation to make sure that school stability doesn't get tripped up simply because transportation is not being provided.

In conclusion, I hope I'm still okay within my time, I just want to thank you again for considering this topic and to all the speakers who have gone before me for their very insightful comments on this. A positive school experience is often the beacon that can light the path to transitioning to a successful self-sufficient adult, and we owe it to these children to give them a positive school experience, and it's really in the best interest of the Commonwealth as well to make sure that these students have

the access to education that they deserve.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Katherine. We appreciate it. I am sure that there's going to be a few questions. I now have a plethora of information packed in here. I'm surprised I'm not going to go hunt for a hat with a chimney on it this afternoon. But being that this is Representative Toohil's bill that we've referred to, I'm going to defer to you to start the questioning today.

REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I do have a lot of questions and I don't know -it's so multifaceted since we're dealing with the welfare code, the education code, and Title 42, so I don't know if at some point -- plus we need the other legislators to be at the table -- that with Representative Brown and myself and the key players that have partnered here today, that perhaps we'll be able to do some sort of a workgroup where we sit down with both of our Executive Directors and perhaps the Chairwomen will allow us to do that because we're going to have to go through the papers and the wording because there really are just so many questions and so much that needs to be edited and changed. The Education Committee has another standalone bill on that three-legged stool that you referenced. So I would even withhold my questions to do some sort of workgroup.

I just want to thank Brittany. You are a star of the day. You were amazing and I'm very proud that I represent you and that you live in my district and that you have a forever home in my district.

And I do want to thank all of the partners that came here today with their testimony because I can tell that you do care about your jobs and it's not just all these statistics that you're talking about, that you really do care about those children. So thank you for your jobs in social work because I know that it's very, very hard and disheartening at times. So thank you all for that. That's basically it.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Representative Brown.

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE BROWN:} Thank \ you \ all \ for \ your \\ testimony.$ 

And I think Representative Toohil is absolutely correct because with all the testimony that you gave, we have a tremendous amount of information, so thank you very much for being here. I apologize that I was late. I was actually in another Committee hearing for Education as well. So everything does tie together and we're working on definitely supporting our children and out youth and making sure that they are getting the right services and we're doing things correctly.

And, Brittany, just tremendous testimony and

really puts the face on it for everyone and it makes us feel very good as legislators to know that we are doing something that will make a difference. And that is why we're here. That's why our positions are here. So I think you. And, Mom, thank you very much as well.

2.2

Michele, I want to thank you for coming from Monroe County. We appreciate having you here as well. And I will have a lot of questions that we can garner.

And I thank the Children and Youth Committee for all their work and the staff as well. Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: And Chairman Bishop.

MINORITY CHAIRWOMAN BISHOP: Thank you very much.

I would like to begin by certainly thanking all of those
who have testified this morning because you have brought a
wealth of additional information.

I would like to thank Representative Toohil because she's been very active on this subject for agreeing that we should all come together for some kind of workshop so that we can address some of the things that we heard that we were not, many of us, aware of this morning. So I think it is proper, fitting, and overdue that we get together with a workgroup.

And certainly this bill is due, work on how we can improve it, intertwine some of the information we got today because all of us are here for the same reason: the

protection of our children. That is the most important thing that we can do as legislators. And we want to get it right so that when it becomes in law, we know that we have done the job, the best job that we could do and our children are going to benefit from it.

So thank you so much.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Chairwoman Bishop.

I also want to echo what my colleagues have said here. This issue is going to require quite a bit of roundtable discussion. I've got at least 50 questions I've written down during all the different testimonies. In the interest of time I certainly can't ask them and get answers, so if you'd all be kind enough in the future to let us lean on you for your expertise. And I'm going to see a bunch of heads please go up and down saying yes because this is such an important issue.

Brittany, I agree; you're the rock star today. I can tell you that you've got a bright future in front of you. Any young lady of your stature that's willing to sit in front of all these older people dressed in suits and ties and things and spit it out the way you did, I am sure you will do fine in life and thank you for being our shining star today.

We're going to be asking questions that will

require hours and hours and hours of debate, simple questions like how far is reasonable to transport a child?

When that question comes out among all the questions that we have, just getting that determined and then who pays for it, we've got a lot of work ahead of us, not to mention the liaisons from Children and Youth and the schools. How involved will the courts be in every decision that gets made? Of course we want them involved but do they have time to be involved? Can decisions be made sometimes if there's an agreement in all the parties? How much influence does the actual child have in what happens to their future? Are we going to consider that?

All these questions which I've just scratched the surface we will spend hours and hours and hours, and hopefully you all will be part of getting to a resolve on that.

With that, I want to say thank you, and this hearing of the Children and Youth Committee is now complete.

(The hearing concluded at 12:45 p.m.)

1	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings
2	are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio
3	on the said proceedings and that this is a correct
4	transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	Christy Snyder
8	Transcriptionist
9	Diaz Data Services, LLC