# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INSURANCE COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

IRVIS OFFICE BUILDING ROOM G-50

MONDAY, JUNE 23, 2014 10:00 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING TO EXAMINE INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR CONSUMERS WHEN UTILIZING EXPERIMENTAL SERVICE FOR TRANSPORTATION

#### BEFORE:

HONORABLE TINA PICKETT, MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN

HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN

HONORABLE GARY DAY

HONORABLE GLEN GRELL

HONORABLE DAVID HICKERNELL

HONORABLE THOMAS KILLION

HONORABLE NICK MICCARELLI

HONORABLE BRAD ROAE

HONORABLE CURTIS SONNEY

HONORABLE TONY DELUCA, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE BRYAN BARBIN

HONORABLE RYAN BIZZARRO

HONORABLE DOM COSTA

HONORABLE TINA DAVIS

HONORABLE NICHOLAS KOTIK

HONORABLE EDDIE DAY PASHINSKI

\* \* \* \* \*

Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO

COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:

GARTH SHIPMAN

MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SHAUNA BOSCACCY, ESQ.

MAJORITY LEGAL COUNSEL

NICOLE WILBOURN

MAJORITY RESEARCH ANALYST

JENNIFER KEATON

MAJORITY COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER

JILL BRIGHTBILL

MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT

ALAN COHN

DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

CHERYL HALDI

DEMOCRATIC RESEARCH ANALYST

SEAN BRENNAN

DEMOCRATIC LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT

## INDEX

## TESTIFIERS

\* \* \*

<u>NAME</u>	AGE
JAMES D. CAMPOLONGO  PRESIDENT & CEO,  PITTSBURGH TRANSPORTATION GROUP	. 6
JIM BLACK  EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT,  LYFT, INC	. 8
J. ROBERT WOOLEY, ESQ. ON BEHALF OF UBER5	58
SCOTT COOPER, ESQ. PA ASSOCIATION FOR JUSTICE	<sup>7</sup> 6
SAMUEL MARSHALL  PRESIDENT & CEO,  INSURANCE FEDERATION OF PENNSYLVANIA8	36
REPRESENTATIVE MARK MUSTIO9	)2
SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY	
* * *	
(See submitted written testimony and handouts online	· )

#### PROCEEDINGS

2 \* \*

2.2

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Well, it looks like it's 10 o'clock on a Monday morning, so I'm going to call this meeting of the House Insurance Committee to order.

And I want to thank all of the Members for attending and I do welcome the testifiers to today's public hearing on examining insurance coverage for consumers while utilizing experimental service for transportation, better known as "ridesharing." I applaud the companies who are using technological advances to provide a more convenient service and potentially less costly mode of transportation for the consumers.

Today, we hope we can learn more about how and when consumers as fare-paying passengers are covered with insurance. The basic question is what entity is providing coverage and when does that entity's coverage start and stop?

I'm looking forward to hearing from each of the testifiers, and I believe Chairman DeLuca would like to have some opening comments, too.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I want to thank you

for holding this hearing at a crucial time for ridesharing companies in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2.2

Previously, I sent a letter to the PUC Chairman

Powelson on May 6th of this year expressing my support for

competition in the ridesharing market, but only when it's

done in a responsible manner. This would include proper

insurance standards for the drivers and passengers of these

vehicles when operating for commercial purposes and making

sure there are no gaps in coverage.

This innovative mode of transportation is not unique to the Commonwealth but seems to be spreading throughout the Nation. Unfortunately, the providers of the service have had some difficulties bringing this concept to the people. Some of the difficulties may be trying to mesh innovation with current laws, but some of those struggles seem to be of their own doing. Currently, both Uber and Lyft have racked up fines from the PUC for operating without a certificate.

I would be remiss if I did not mention the

California incident on New Year's Eve where an Uber driver

allegedly -- let me make sure you understand -- allegedly

struck and killed a six-year-old child in San Francisco.

While the driver was reported to be on the clock, who was

ultimately liable for this tragedy and was proper insurance

in place? The applicants for these ridesharing entities

should both recognize that personal auto policies do not have exclusions for their conduct that these operators are performing. Meanwhile, the PUC has approved Yellow X in a responsible manner requiring them to insure each vehicle when operating for commercial use.

Let me finally say I do support competition but only when our constituents are protected with proper insurance coverage.

And, Madam Chairman, I also look forward to the testimony today to make ourselves more knowledge about this issue. And as we know, technology continues to change and this is a new mode. And so again, thank you for holding these hearings.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you, Chairman DeLuca.

And our first testifier today is Mr. Campolongo of Pittsburgh Transportation Group. Whenever you're ready.

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Good morning. Chairman Pickett, Chairman DeLuca, thank you, Committee, for allowing us to speak on this important issue.

Today, I am going to kind of go through what we did with respect to new technology that's emerging in our industry and it's emerging across the country, not only in the Commonwealth. And I want to kind of walk you through the steps that we took to provide this transportation in a

safe, efficient manner.

As way of background, Pittsburgh Transportation

Group is the largest passenger transportation group in the

Commonwealth. We've done events as big as the Major League

All-Star Game; we've done all the transportation for the

G20 event that was in Pittsburgh; we're in limousine, taxi,

share ride, airport transfer, charter bus business. Our

biggest company is Yellow Cab Company of Pittsburgh. It

operates 335 cabs in and about the Pittsburgh area.

So when the technological advances came out and different rideshare companies started operating in the Commonwealth, we went to the PUC, looked at our options on how we could get into this business. The best way for us was to apply for an application for experimental service, which was accepted by the PUC, and after that time was vetted through the PUC. There were no protests to it.

We're permitted now to operate this Transportation Network Company for the next two years and the PUC can determine a set of rules and regulations and determine whether it is good for the consumers and the Commonwealth.

Our new transportation service is Yellow X, which is an extension of the Yellow Cab Company. We will use similar technology to all the rideshare companies across the country. We have our own app that is currently up, working, and operating. We're owned by Veolia Worldwide.

That app is operating in 11 different cities now in the U.S. and operates fine, in a very similar manner than anything you'd see.

How we will achieve this to satisfy a myriad of issues relative to control, operating safely, and insurance is by actually leasing the vehicle from the consumer, a private car, and in that lease, the entire lease will be responsible for the actions of the driver, will be responsible for his trips. We ensure the driver with primary insurance from the time he engages in service with us until the time that the last passenger or he disengages in service with us.

And there is no mixed message here about our insurance. It is the exact same insurance that you would have if you were riding in a Yellow Cab, no different whatsoever. There are some nuances to it, but none of those are barred by any contractual obligation between us and the driver. We're responsible, we will remain responsible for any accident that happens.

There are a few things that we have to do relative to [inaudible] on the vehicle so that it's identifiable, that it has a PUC number on it so that the consumer can actually understand, get a number, and if he needs to make a complaint, a comment to the PUC so that he can adjudicate that, he can do that by identifying the car

with the number.

We'll train our drivers and in abridged training sessions they'll come to our offices. The drivers will be vetted, fingerprinted, run through Child Welfare Act, Act 34, criminal background check, their MVR as any other cab driver would be in the State of the Commonwealth will do that.

While they're there, we'll inspect their vehicle to make sure that the vehicle meets all the standards of the PUC relative to its condition and relative to its age, and we'll have our mechanics do that while they're there.

There'll be an insurance certificate that the driver can carry with him both on paper and electronic and they will run and operate under our self-insurance program that we have with the Department of Transportation in the Commonwealth.

And that's what I have to kind of bridge the gap between understanding but I'm happy to take questions from anybody relative to this.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. And

I'll start off with that. In talking about the way that

you insure, you say that you pick up the insurance from the

time the driver logs on with you?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: That's correct.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: He logs on with you

when he has a call for a customer or---

2.2

MR. CAMPOLONGO: No, he will log on for a predetermined period of time. So if we would lease the car to him from 5:00 a.m. on Friday until 6:00 a.m. on Sunday, then he would have that electronic lease, would sign that electronically. When he engages in service, as soon as he logs onto the system, he would be insured. So he's insured while he's on a trip, he's insured between trips, he's insured after the trip until he disengages from the service, which he does electronically.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: And he's not allowed to do any personal driving during that time period?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: He is allowed to do personal driving during that time period. When I said there were nuances to the insurance issues here, those are some of those that we're working out and how we separate the two of those. We're not there yet but he's insured when he's in our vehicle. When he's engaged in that lease, if he doesn't have a customer and he's not on the system engaged looking for a customer, then the insurance wouldn't be in place because he basically takes himself out of service. So if he's available for work and he's working, then he would be covered.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: You accept him as a log-in, then he's insured.

1 MR. CAMPOLONGO: That's correct. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: How do you know if 2 3 he's doing other things during that time period? MR. CAMPOLONGO: Well, I mean the tablets are all 4 5 GPS. We're really going to send him trips because we're 6 available to send overflow trips from Yellow Cab to him, so 7 we could send those trips to him. It's like any other rideshare. It's hard to know when they're in service and 8 9 when they're out of service. For us, because we want to 10 make sure we're the primary liability source, we'll engage 11 in a time period for that lease and insure him for that 12 time period. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Well, the minute or 13 14 second that a consumer steps into that vehicle, though, 15 there's a definite insurance agreement that covers anything and everything that could happen? 16 17 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: And you know when 19 somebody steps into that vehicle obviously? 20 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes. Yes, we know when he 21 engages in service and when he takes a trip, yes. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Yes. Chairman 23 DeLuca? 24 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Madam 25

Chair.

1 Jim, the Chairman was hitting on some of the things and just opened some avenues. So if the individual, 2 3 he can get calls on his own. He doesn't have to be filtered through you, am I right, with the GPS? 4 5 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes, we can't---6 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: No, you can't monitor 7 that, am I right? 8 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Right. 9 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Okay. Now, suppose he 10 builds up two or three customers that call him all the 11 time. He decides that he's not going to go through you; 12 he's just going on his own. Isn't there a problem for the 13 consumer if, God forbid, he gets in an accident because 14 you're not covering him because he's not on the clock, am I 15 correct? 16 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes, there's definitely a risk 17 to the consumer if they don't engage in service with us. It would be no different than a jitney operating without a 18 19 taxicab license. 20 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: See, that's what we're 21 actually dealing with here. 2.2 MR. CAMPOLONGO: That's what we're doing. 23 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Let's not kid 24 ourselves. I mean I'm all for competition but I also want 25 to make sure that the consumers are protected. I know

technology is great, but God forbid if you get in an accident and nobody wants to take care of your liability and your hospitalization and all that. It creates a problem.

You have no problems, I would imagine, if you are able to get the PUC to go along with your Yellow X, you have no problems as far as the competition part, am I correct?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: No, we---

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: You know what I'm saying. You said nobody objected to you, you wouldn't be up here objecting if they followed all the rules and everything else the same as Yellow X did.

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: You know what I'm saying?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Just to kind of prove that point, I mean there have been a lot of applications for transportation network companies that have come after ours that we've not protested any of those.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Okay.

MR. CAMPOLONGO: We've developed our program, we're going to follow the rules, and we'll let the PUC and the Legislature determine how to deal with people who do not.

1	MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: As an owner, you
2	started into this new technology. Do you have any problems
3	so far with any accidents from these individuals that you
4	have?
5	MR. CAMPOLONGO: No. I mean we are basically in
6	the beta testing stages of our operations, so no. But we
7	feel confident because, 100 years of service at Yellow Cab
8	in the State of Pennsylvania, we've paid every claim.
9	We're going to vet these drivers, inspect their vehicles
10	like they're our own, so we're reasonably comfortable that
11	he's the same driver if he drives that car or if he got in
12	a Yellow Cab. So we're confident in that.
13	MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: And evidently, your
14	mayor doesn't have any problems with liability in
15	Pittsburgh, right?
16	MR. CAMPOLONGO: We have
17	MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: He doesn't care
18	whether the consumers are protected or not, as long as they
19	can call somebody up.
20	MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes. Yes. The mayor
21	[inaudible].
22	MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I just wanted to throw
23	that in, Jim.
24	MR. CAMPOLONGO: Thank you.
25	MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you. Thank you,

1 Madam Chairman.

2.2

2 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

So exactly what is the status of your licensing with PUC right now?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Our license was approved completely, our entire program was approved. The PUC is waiting for us to go through some administrative work, get our Schedule E filed with them for insurance, and a copy of our lease and some agreements relative to the insurance. Other than that, we could start tomorrow.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Okay. And when the driver has a customer he's gotten through you -- by the way, there's no way the consumer knows exactly about this coverage/no coverage situation if they make a deal with a guy to go to the airport or whatever, right? They don't know? That's a problem, I guess.

So the driver has a customer through you and he's got a specific route he's supposed to follow, I guess, with the GPS system?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Do you track him? Do you know that he's on that route?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: Well, what we do is we know where he went. On our system if we have the pickup, the destination, it will route that the shortest route. We

```
1
       don't know if he -- he can vary from the route. So what's
 2
       important for everyone to understand is if he varies from
 3
       that route, then that passenger, that consumer can complain
 4
       to us as any other taxi would complain to us and then we'll
 5
       kind of adjudicate that and find out is the driver trying
 6
       to raise the fare on him and do all that stuff.
 7
                 So it's the same set of rules that we're governed
 8
      by today.
                The good news is you can call us and talk to us
 9
       and we can work through those issues.
10
                MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Okay. Are there
11
       any other questions?
12
                 Oh, I'm sorry, yes.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Representative Costa.
14
                MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Representative
15
       Costa.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair.
17
                 Let me ask you. These PUC numbers that are going
       to be attached to the vehicles, how are they going to be
18
19
       attached? Magnetically or permanently attached?
20
                MR. CAMPOLONGO: No, they'll be magnetic.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: So in other words, I can
2.2
      put it on and take it off as I wish if I'm a driver?
23
                MR. CAMPOLONGO: Absolutely.
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: So there's really no way
25
       of a consumer really knowing if they look at the vehicle if
```

1 it was PUC-regulated or not? 2 MR. CAMPOLONGO: That's correct. 3 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. MR. CAMPOLONGO: I mean we'll govern that the 4 5 best we can, but if the driver takes the markings off --6 when we go out to the community and to the consumer, I mean 7 we'll remind them that our vehicles are marked and that they should expect a marked vehicle to show up. And really 8 9 consumers will help us kind of govern that. 10 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: And if I can have one 11 more, please. 12 The background checks, are your drivers required 13 to report the traffic citations, accidents that they have 14 not while working and physical arrest by---15 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Yes. So the answer to that is 16 yes. We have a very rigid license check, so you have a 17 pre-engagement license check, you have three random checks per year, you have a check post-complaint, post-accident, 18 19 and then an annual. So we'll pick it up. The Commonwealth 20 doesn't have the ability yet to transmit that data to us electronically. They're working on it. That would be 21 2.2 helpful. REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: What eliminates someone 23

from a background? A felony, a misdemeanor, do you know?

MR. CAMPOLONGO: We have an entire vetting

24

25

1 process. I mean I don't have it in my head but I can tell you that felonies would definitely -- DUIs on their driving 2 3 would disqualify them from operating with us. And we take certain smaller incidents, speeding, reckless driving and 4 assign points to all those, and if they get to a spot where 5 6 if they're in that range, then we wouldn't engage in 7 service with them. 8 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. I thank you, sir. 9 I appreciate it. 10 MR. CAMPOLONGO: You're very welcome. 11 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. Any 13 further questions? 14 If not, we thank you so much for your testimony 15 today. 16 MR. CAMPOLONGO: Thank you for your time. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Appreciate hearing 18 from you. And the next person to testify with us today is 19 20 Jim Black, the Executive Vice President of Lyft. 21 Good morning, Mr. Black. 22 MR. BLACK: Good morning. Good morning, 23 Chairwoman Pickett and Chairman DeLuca, and all the other members of the House Insurance Committee. My name is Jim 24 25 Black. I'm Executive Vice President of Lyft. I have also

presented testimony regarding Lyft in several States including Texas, California, Colorado, Illinois, and Ohio.

Lyft commends the Committee for undertaking a review of the insurance coverage for consumers and drivers that utilize experimental transportation throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Lyft is a Delaware corporation headquartered in California but registered with the Pennsylvania Department of State. Lyft has pending with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission Applications for Experimental Service that, if approved, will allow Lyft to provide service throughout Pennsylvania.

For several reasons, Lyft will soon file Amended Applications to refine its proposed service; the largest substantive difference involves insurance requirements. We view the Amended Applications as an opportunity to address and adjust a few things that many not have been sufficiently highlighted when Lyft filed its Applications for Experimental Service before the Commission.

Accordingly, I'll outline some very specific and comprehensive insurance provisions that support Lyft and its service in Pennsylvania.

The substance of my testimony this morning is divided into three parts. First, I believe it's important to describe Lyft, what is it and the service it seeks to

provide in Pennsylvania. Second, I'll specify and outline the key insurance provisions that demonstrate the insurance Lyft has obtained to support its service, that it meets or exceeds the State requirements now in place. And lastly, I'll do my best to address questions from the Committee.

Lyft proposes to operate a peer-to-peer ridesharing network that uses a digital platform to facilitate transactions between passengers and drivers. The purpose, of course, is to enhance transportation, to reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips, as well as to even reduce vehicle ownership. People who own one car may get rid of that car. People who own one may not buy a second car. It's to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. It's to help people get home when they've had too much to drink. In essence, what we're trying to do is fill up the empty seats on the road. If we could take what's currently about a 20 percent occupancy rate, if we could take that to just 25, we could take as many as 20 percent of the cars off the road.

Lyft is a Transportation Network Company, and this refers to a company offering transportation service through a mobile software application to connect individuals seeking transportation with qualified drivers.

It's an innovative form of prearranged transportation accessible through a mobile application on a smartphone.

Currently we're available both on the Apple operating system, as well as the Android operating systems.

The need for it is clear. Existing prearranged and on-demand transportation services rely on full-time professional drivers, and that unnecessarily limits the scope of authorized services available to meet the fluctuating consumer demand. In a study in Seattle, they show that regardless of how many drivers you had, you would always run into a shortage situation events on the weekends at the nightlife areas, hence the long, long wait for people trying to get home from a night of going out with friends and really in a situation where they shouldn't be driving.

In addition, the existing services can be costly, and therefore, beyond the resources of many consumers.

TNCs, or Transportation Network Companies, such as Lyft effectively make use of available technology to enhance safety and consumer convenience and to efficiently distribute available resources, to efficiently distribute the extra car seats that people have available.

Ridesharing, where non-professionals with vehicles providing rides to friends, neighbors, casual acquaintances, as you said earlier, is nothing new, nothing new in the Commonwealth, nor really nothing new in the United States. Consumers have engaged in ridesharing for

many decades, utilizing such low-tech forums as carpool lists, commuter pickup lines, "slugging" as they call it in Washington, D.C., employer and community ride boards, and even electric fora such as Craigslist.

and unmistakable; it reduces single-occupancy vehicle trips while providing tremendous societal benefits, including enhanced access to transportation, reduction in traffic congestion and greenhouse gas emissions. And one thing that doesn't often get mentioned is it really helps build the social fabric. It's neighbors meeting neighbors. It's the front porch all over again where you can actually meet people and get to know some of your neighbors.

The TNC proposed by Lyft is accessible through the Lyft mobile application and operated by Lyft. It would make use of these technological advances to allow Pennsylvania residents in need of transportation to quickly and efficiently communicate with individuals willing to provide transportation to points within the Commonwealth using their personal vehicles. As a certificated entity, Lyft would be responsible for providing the rider with a durable record of each trip completed through the platform, including the identity of the passenger and the driver, a photograph of the vehicle, description of the trip, you know, the time, the location, the origination and

destination, and GPS record of the route taken.

Lyft would further be responsible for obtaining criminal backgrounds, driving history checks for all the drivers offering services throughout the platform. In addition to the background checks, drivers must observe Lyft's zero tolerance policy. So if any complaint is made with respect to a suspicion of drug or alcohol use, the driver is immediately taken off the platform, not at the end of the shift when they come in, not at the end of the week when the dispatcher finally catches up with them, but immediately through a flip of the switch of the network they can be taken off the system.

Drivers who fail to meet each and every one of the foregoing requirements simply would not qualify as a Lyft driver. Yet this comprehensive scrutiny does make it possible for non-professional and occasional drivers to provide transportation, thereby enhancing access and availability of affordable, high-quality transportation while maintaining safety standards.

Now, let's talk about insurance. As with any new innovation or variance from a traditional, long-standing practice, there are concerns regarding liability and exposure to risk. It is not unexpected and quite frankly understandable. However, misinformation is sometimes spread around with respect to what's out there and I think

it's important for us to amend our applications to make it clear what kind of insurance we have. We may not have done a good enough job of doing that and I'm happy to talk about that now, as well as what will be in our Amended Applications for Experimental Service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I will set forth in detail the insurance program and how it meets or exceeds the State law and Commission regulations. First, we'll talk about during what I call the "match" period, and Chairwoman Pickett, as you were asking about when a rider is in the car, this is before a rider is in the car when somebody is driving around, they may be doing their personal work or going to the drugstore. Again, these are casual drivers, which is one of the things that makes this work. As they're going to drugstore or perhaps sitting at home watching television, perhaps at a Starbucks having a coffee, they'll get a request for a ride, say can you give a ride, and here's where the person is. They'll have a certain amount of time to say yes or no. The very second they say yes, that is a match period. Now they are matched with that passenger. While they are in route to getting the passenger, once they pick up the passenger, during the ride right up to the point that they drop off the passenger, they would be insured under one of Lyft's policies.

Lyft's policy is an excess policy. It's a policy

that rides above the personal insurance but it specifically provides in its terms that if, for any reason, that driver's policy doesn't cover it -- and as you mentioned, there may be a livery exception or something like that where that policy would not cover that ride -- the Lyft policy, a \$1 million policy, \$1 million policy, would drop down and cover the very first dollar. So it's not merely excess; it's access with a dropdown, and it expressly says it becomes primary in that situation. So that's during the match. So en route to getting the passenger, picking up the passenger, driving the passenger, and dropping them off.

In addition, during that period, there is a \$1 million uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage. So if the car were to get into an accident by a third party who didn't have adequate insurance, that would be covered as well.

In addition, during that period, there is a contingent policy for collision and comprehensive. And that means if the driver is out there -- and this is really something that affects the driver more than the passenger or third parties. And when I talked about liability before, we're talking about the driver's liability. Now, it could be to the passenger, it could be to a third party on the street, it could be property damage, it could be a

fire hydrant, a building, what have you.

But now we'll talk about the driver and it's the contingent collision and comprehensive coverage. So if during that match period there is an accident to the car and it's not another person's fault, if it were the driver's fault, their car could be damaged. They could also have a deer jump out in front of them or something like that and have comprehensive damage. If their policy were not to cover that, if they have comprehensive coverage, if they've elected to get that but their policy were to say, sorry, that was a livery operation and you're not going to be covered, the Lyft contingent policy would step in and provide up to \$50,000 of coverage for that kind of damage, for the collision and comprehensive.

Now, during the period of the pre-match, this the app-on. Now, in many cases with these new applications people aren't driving around looking at the sidewalk for somebody to flag them down. They're not looking for rides; the rides are actually looking for them. There's no reason to drive around endlessly putting miles on your car and using up gas. People are often, as I said, sitting in a Starbucks. They may be sitting at home, literally sitting at home with the phone on in their pocket, and that's really one of the biggest benefits.

I've had people in San Francisco and the western

parts of San Francisco say it's amazing; I used to wait an hour-and-a-half for a ride and I get one in six minutes now. But so often it's the same person. I'm kind of amazed. And I sort of laughed and I said, well, that's because they live six minutes away. That's how it's supposed to work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in the situation that they were to get into an accident prior to being matched with a rider, they had the app on so perhaps you're taking the kids to school in the morning, somebody's taking the kids to school, they don't have the app on then because they couldn't accept a ride with somebody else in the car. But they drop them off, they turn the app on on the way home. Maybe they can pick somebody up on the way home and give them a ride. Before they've been matched if something were to happen, in that case, it's not a commercial activity. They weren't giving a ride. They weren't matched with a ride. They may have actually been going to the drugstore on their own but they happened to have the app on. But if for some reason, and we haven't seen it yet, but if for some reason a personal insurer were to say that is a commercial activity, we're not going to cover it, the Lyft policy would step in with a \$50,000 per person, \$100,000 aggregate for injury, and \$25,000 property damage coverage that would step into that period. Again, we haven't seen that determined to be a

commercial activity so far, but if it were, there's something to cover that as well.

2.2

So that gives you an idea of what the insurance coverages are. In an effort to clarify this we will be amending our applications for -- oh, there is another and I'll point that out in a second, but again, we will be amending our experimental applications shortly to clarify that.

Also for Pennsylvania Lyft has contracted to supplement its coverage with something more for Pennsylvania, and that would provide a \$25,000 first party medical coverage, as well as the \$10,000 in wage loss benefits, which were coverages that are specific to Pennsylvania and something that we will have as well.

Hopefully, this is a help somewhat to some of the questions you may have and perhaps prompted some questions, so I'm happy to answer any questions that you may have.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. The first question I have is do you require all of the folks that answer your apps who say they're willing to be on your list to go out in the rain?

MR. BLACK: I'm sorry, what?

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: I'm just kidding.

I could never get a ride in the rain. Okay.

I didn't hear it in your testimony; I'm not sure.

Do you have the drivers that are part of your network tested for drug and alcohol?

MR. BLACK: They're not tested for drug and alcohol going in. What we've found is drug and alcohol testing can be very, very inaccurate. I mean CBS, Huffington Post, others have reported a 20 to 30 percent false positive as well as false negatives. What we have is something, and this has been reviewed by a number of -- I mean California, for example, Washington, D.C., others have looked it -- it's called a zero tolerance policy which they actually like more.

And that is if there's any suspicion of drug or alcohol use, any complaint, we even have filters that catch comments if somebody uses a word that would have something to do with that or if they specifically complain, that driver is taken off -- and this is in the statute itself -- taken off the system immediately until an investigation is conducted. So there's no question and there's also no waiting, as I said, to the end of the shift or the end of the week when you finally catch up with the person.

They're off the system immediately.

Now, one of the benefits of the system like this is rides can only be obtained through the network. People aren't picking people up. There's no cash, which is another big safety issue. Each and every ride is rated.

There's a five-star rating. If there's ever a three-star rating or below, that driver will never be matched with that passenger again. And also if there's ever below a five-star rating, so anything below the top rating there's a second tier of questions: was it safety, was it courtesy, was it cleanliness, and was it navigation? If it's safety, in fact if it's any of those, we'll be following up. If it's safety, we'll be following up very quickly.

And if there's any allegation at all of somebody acting erratically something that would indicate drug or alcohol use, the driver is simply taken off the network immediately until an investigation is done. And we've found that that's actually much more useful than a determination of has that person ever used drugs in the past.

Now, if they've been arrested for something like that, but if they have something in their system, I mean what CBS pointed out is that with the levels that are in some drugs today, somebody could go to a concert and get second-hand smoke and it would actually show up in their blood. Poppy seeds can indicate opiates. And these things really happen, and what happens is if somebody has the money to go out and appeal it, they may get around it. If somebody doesn't it really unfairly cuts against people who aren't in a position to do that.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Bad actors have a way of finding their spot into something that they can work in, so I engaged with your company. You matched me with a driver. I know what my rate is. I see the route. Is that true? I see all of this on my smartphone?

MR. BLACK: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: So at that point let's say that this driver is a bad actor and decides to deviate from that route and maybe rob me or something, is that something you can see? Can you see them deviating and do you question that or can you follow them?

MR. BLACK: We can see the deviation. We're not following each and every ride to see is there a deviation because we may not know exactly which way they're going, we may not know if traffic — but my daughter is probably one of the best examples. When she first told me about ridesharing, she was walking home through San Francisco at 1:00 in the morning, and I live in the suburbs and I said you've got to be kidding me. You're 24 years old, you've been out with your friends, walking home. And she said I take ridesharing. And I said, well, what is that? And she said, well, it's regular people in regular cars.

My first reaction was, oh, my God, you'd be better off walking home. She actually pointed out to me that there were background checks, there were vehicle

inspections, things like that. And then she said, besides, every single ride is GPS-tracked. They know exactly what car I got into, who I got into with, where we got in, what time we got in, where we went, and so on. And it was really dawning on me. And she said, and besides, Dad, have you been in the incumbents lately? Have you been -- a random car on a dark street picking me up I mean without those GPS tracking. And it really hit me over the head that this is a much, much better way to go.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Okay. And so even something lesser than that, a safety issue, driving too fast or too erratic, you're going to pull that guy or person, that woman out of the system. But let's just say that there is something that sort of damages that consumer at the point, maybe robbing or whatever, is your insurance going to cover that?

MR. BLACK: I believe so. On top of all of the others we have CGL, the commercial general liability.

We're talking mostly about the driving insurance. But without going deep into it, I mean I'm sure that everybody would be named in a suit like that. We haven't seen one but I'm sure everybody would be named and I expect they would. I mean I don't want to make a representation of that particular policy because I'm just not aware of the details of it.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Okay. Mr. Chairman. 2 3 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 4 I want to thank you for your testimony, 5 Mr. Black. 6 7 Let me just follow up on something. We talk about ridesharing and your paragraph B you talk about the 8 new innovations, and I understand there are new 9 10 innovations. As we know, if I'm not mistaken, my personal 11 car insurance does not permit me to do commercial stuff, am 12 I correct? 13 MR. BLACK: Your personal car insurance does 14 permit you to do commercial stuff. 15 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I can do commercial 16 stuff? 17 MR. BLACK: It permits you---MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I can go out as a 18 19 business on my personal insurance? 20 MR. BLACK: It permits you to do it. It simply doesn't cover it. 21 22 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Well, it doesn't cover it---23 24 MR. BLACK: Right. 25 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---I'm not going to be

```
1
       doing it because then I open myself for a lot of liability.
 2
                MR. BLACK: Right. No, that's correct.
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: So what I don't
 3
 4
       understand is you're going to cover the person while he's
 5
       on duty in that there, but I also hear that his personal
 6
       car insurance is going to be the first payer. Do I hear
 7
       that right?
                MR. BLACK: His personal---
 8
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: You'll cover
 9
10
       afterwards, is that correct?
11
                MR. BLACK: No, his personal---
12
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: If that's what I heard
13
       you testify.
14
                MR. BLACK: Sure.
15
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Maybe I misinterpreted
16
      what you said.
17
                MR. BLACK: So his personal car insurance would
18
      remain primary---
19
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Primary.
20
                MR. BLACK: --- and if it's denied, ours drops
21
       down---
2.2
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Yours will---
                MR. BLACK: ---to the very first dollar, not just
23
      what's above there but down to the very first dollar,
24
25
       whatever is not covered. So in other words if, and in your
```

case from what you're saying, when, they don't cover, ours would drop down to the very first dollar and provide not the personal amount, personal level, but it would provide a full million dollars.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I understand that part, but under Pennsylvania law, your personal insurance does not cover any commercial business that you do, am I correct? So why would my insurance company be liable for a vehicle that's going to be used -- and it is a commercial -- we talked about ridesharing.

MR. BLACK: Right. Right.

enterprise. I mean let's not kid ourselves. It's not like going and picking somebody up, your neighbors, I heard you say about neighbors and all that. That's great, but it's not going out and picking your neighbors up because I could pick my neighbors up and if there's an accident they can sue my insurance in that there. But they're not paying me. This is a business venture. It's commercial. And I understand that. So it's a little different than picking your neighbors and knowing your neighbors and all that. The Chairman calls me up and says, Tony, pick me, I'll pick her up. She doesn't give me her credit card so she's not paying me.

MR. BLACK: Just don't put anything in the glove

compartment. Don't throw a few dollars in there.

I'm a little worried about the fact that in Pennsylvania right now we do have pretty reasonable rates in car insurance, and I'm just worrying about if this venture does happen, do we create an entity where it's going to cost us more money, all of us who are not doing this ridesharing program because we'll pick up the tab. That's one of my main concerns. Do you feel there's any problem with gaps in coverage by doing this venture?

MR. BLACK: I don't think there's any problem with gaps in coverages. I mean what you said I agree with 100 percent and that is that if a policy is written to have a commercial exception and this is deemed to be commercial and I can see how it certainly would, then it wouldn't cover. But there is insurance to cover exactly that. I mean companies deny claims all the time for all kinds of reasons, so this would just be one of them.

But the Lyft policy is not a personal policy; it's a commercial policy, commercial automotive liability policy that specifically covers -- I mean it's written on an ISO form and they have the various coverages. There's a coverage that specifically names out Lyft drivers. It's their liability because some people might say, well, what about Lyft's liability? They're not driving the car. No,

this is not only Lyft's liability but the driver's liability, you know, their negligence.

So it's commercial automotive liability coverage that covers the driver, and as I said, drops down to the very first dollar in the event -- specifically says becomes primary in the event that the claim is denied by the personal insurer. So it's designed for exactly what you said with that in mind.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Now, you are going in and amending your---

MR. BLACK: Application.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---application, okay. Is your application going to be any different than what you have heard testify today with Yellow X? Is it going to be the same avenue? Is there any difference between you and Yellow X or you have any problems with following -- if they do give Yellow X the okay, is your application going to be the same thing? And why would they deny you if they give them the okay and you're going to be the same thing?

MR. BLACK: Great question. Great question.

Ours is different. Yellow X is doing something innovative;

I applaud them for it. They have what I would call a trip

lease, so they're actually leasing the car. They're

controlling the car while the person is on the system. It

does run into the nuances that were brought up. Our

- insurance is set up so that -- I mean and in fact in almost
- 2 every State it says we cannot own or control the cars.
- These are personal automobiles. These are independent
- 4 | contractors. We don't get to own a fleet of cars and lease
- 5 them from or lease them to people. So that model wouldn't
- 6 | work for what we're doing. Our insurance company
- 7 | specifically provides that these are cars that we do not
- 8 own or control.
- 9 So again we couldn't lease cars from the drivers
- 10 the way Yellow X does. Again, I think what they did is
- 11 innovative and that's what this whole movement is doing is
- creating innovation and creating competition, and I applaud
- 13 them for actually stepping up and doing that. I think it's
- 14 a great idea.
- 15 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: As the individual who
- 16 is the business manager for this, would you be more at an
- 17 | advantage than Yellow X because of the fact that you don't
- have to follow all the regulations they're doing?
- MR. BLACK: I don't think so. I think we're
- 20 following---
- 21 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: That's why I'm asking
- 22 you. I don't know. I'm asking you.
- 23 MR. BLACK: I don't think we would. I mean I
- 24 think we're pretty much doing the same thing. They're
- using individual automobiles. They're inspecting them;

we're inspecting them. They're doing background checks;
we're doing background checks. They're doing a trip lease;
we're doing it differently with a different form of
insurance. I mean the trip lease, I believe, is more to
get the insurance coverage than anything else. I don't
know that there's an economic advantage one way or another.
I don't know what their split is with the drivers. Ours is
public. Based on the regulations, I think we're both doing
something very similar although a different model with this
trip lease versus not a trip lease.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: And if I heard them right, and Madam Chairman brought up a point about drug testing, I understand they're going to be drug testing there. I don't know if you're familiar with a lot of the employment applications have drug testing. To be a ditch-digger, you've got to be drug tested because something could happen out there. Why would we not want to have these individuals who they're going to be doing business with you, why wouldn't we want them protected? It not only protects you but also protects their primary insurance? Why wouldn't you want to do that?

MR. BLACK: Well, one, our insurance company is fully aware of exactly the steps we're going through. What we've found is that the drug tests are very, very inaccurate. I mean it's kind of shocking how inaccurate

they are. People can easily get around them. You go on the internet; you'll find 150 ways to get around one. The people who want to get around it will get around it very easily. The people who ate a poppy seed bagel that morning won't. It's really kind of shocking. But in talking about that with regulators in other States and demonstrating to them exactly how the zero tolerance policy works, they actually like that more and said this is a great way. I don't know that I care as much about whether somebody went to a party a week or two ago as much as what are they doing when they're driving. And that's the issue. And it is a zero tolerance, you're off the system immediately, no questions asked. And at that point—

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I understand that point. Just to finish up, I guess what really throws me off is the fact that the State Police, the city police, local police officers, the turnpike organization hiring all their workers, and you're saying these people, if it's so easy, they don't want jobs because they deny a lot of applicants because of this stuff, drug testing. And I will be asking them, if it's that easy, why these individuals are not circumventing that to get a job because there are a lot of people looking for jobs that are being denied jobs because they can't pass the drug test.

MR. BLACK: Right.

1 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: So I want to thank you for your testimony. 2 3 MR. BLACK: Sure. Sure. MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Madam 4 5 Chair. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. 7 Representative Day, you have a question. 8 REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Thank you. 9 Thanks for your testimony. I appreciate it. 10 MR. BLACK: You're welcome. 11 REPRESENTATIVE DAY: A couple of quick questions, 12 hopefully quick answers. The commercial coverage that you 13 offer drivers, is that through app Terms of Service, or is 14 that a traditional contract exchange with each driver? So 15 how do you cover them? What's the process? Is it when I 16 sign up as a driver I accept Terms of Service and, boom, 17 I'm covered or do you send out a contract to every driver? MR. BLACK: We do not send out a contract to 18 19 every driver; there is a Terms of Service. But the 20 insurance policy itself specifically states it would cover 21 each and every driver that is an active Lyft driver. 22 REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Well, I want to be a driver. 23 I want to make sure I'm covered. Do you send me any kind of liability sheet or anything? 24

I mean there are ACORD Certificates

MR. BLACK:

25

1 that are available to everyone, yes.

2.2

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: A driver would just have to trust that we're covered, right?

MR. BLACK: Yes, I mean that and the ACORD Certificate that would lay out who the insureds are.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: And government plays a role in regulation in temporary transportation now. How do you see government playing a role of regulation? I know if I was running the company, I would say don't worry about it, we'll handle everything, but that's not what we're probably going to do. We're going to want to have a hand in regulating everything that you talked about that you cover with drivers and everything. How do you see government regulating this?

MR. BLACK: I see exactly what you're saying.

What we do, we feel very comfortable with it and we would love to demonstrate it to each and every one of you and we have no problem being regulated and required to do those things. I think if you don't, we are good players, I think the other people who are here today are good players, but there may be players who aren't as good who come in in the future.

So I think regulation is important but I think that it's also important to come up with ways to regulate to enhance safety, yet to allow what I'd call casual

drivers, people who are really the people who are providing the biggest benefits here, not people who are going to come in and do nothing but work the downtown area or the nightlife area but the neighborhoods that you really can't get rides in now in neighborhoods where cars may not be willing to go. People who live there are more than happy to provide rides there.

So I think it's a great idea to regulate. We are regulated in a number of jurisdictions now and it's working out very, very well. So I see working very closely with the government for regulation.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Another quick question, following up what the Chairman had talked about and asked about. Does the app have a panic button available? So I have GPS apps on my phone and any time I can hit "help," I need help where I am. GPS locates where I am. Everyone in my family knows where I am and it's for everybody in my family. So it seems like an easy component to add for the driver and for the passenger. Is that something that's already in or will be in your---

MR. BLACK: You don't have a patent on that, do you? It's a nice idea.

To my knowledge it's not there. I know that some of the applications actually have something where you can actually tell somebody I'm getting in the car, this is

where I'm going, and I'm scheduled to be there at this time. So there are ways to track. I mean that's one that -- I mean there are so many things in the works. I mean stop and think of where the iPhone was five years ago, virtually nonexistent, where it is today, and where it's going to be two or three years from now. I can't imagine that something like that wouldn't be -- I mean it will be probably a process that we all have whether we're in a car or not.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: And last quick question for a quick answer, I think I can guess what the answer is but I don't like to guess; I like to just ask the question.

What is your business model? Is it a percentage of each transaction or how does that work?

MR. BLACK: Yes, it's a percentage of each transaction. The drivers get at least 80 percent, and in peak hours they may get more and more and more. We haven't figured out how to give them 110 percent yet. We try to get drivers out there. This is almost like energy, matching supply and demand. If people push the button four or five times and don't get a ride or if they drive all day and don't get a rider, they may not do it again or may not do it very often.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Does the rate change as like there's no drivers and they keep pushing the button? Is

there a rate change?

MR. BLACK: Our rate does change. I know that one of our competitors has something called search pricing. We have something called primetime tips. One thing, I don't know if it's unique but I'll just say about ours is that 100 percent of that primetime tip of the marginal amount goes to the driver. The idea is to have an incentive to get more drivers out there.

If it's raining, as you said, and you can't get a car, we try to do everything we can to get those cars out there with lots and lots of notices and being very clear it may end up costing a certain percentage more. It may cost 25, 50 percent more, but if you have the choice at that point and we're able to get drivers out there, the idea is let's find drivers, let's give them an incentive to get out there and give those rides at times where there's that peak demand.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Thank you for your testimony and being here to answer questions. I appreciate it.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Representative Miccarelli.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Thank you, Madam

24 Chair.

How exactly do you ensure that your drivers have

insurance?

MR. BLACK: We check their insurance certificates for each and every driver before. That's part of the onboarding process. We also track the expiration, make sure that we get a renewal, that they've renewed the policy a certain number of days or weeks before it's due. And again, if they don't, it's just an automatic flip of the switch and they can't get a ride until they do.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Is there an e-form sent to the PUC?

MR. BLACK: That's part of the Experimental

Application. There will be an e-form sent to the -- I

mean, yes. I mean we haven't -- it's part of the

Experimental Application. The e-form is provided in there.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. So it's part of the application but it's not currently being done?

MR. BLACK: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. I saw in the Pittsburgh newspaper that the PUC has fined Lyft \$130,000. Can you tell me why that is?

MR. BLACK: We're going to be having a hearing soon and we'll find out exactly why. If you read the ordinance, some would say we're covered; some would say we're not. We'll find out.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. And as far as

```
1
       your umbrella policy, who is the carrier for that?
 2
                MR. BLACK: James River.
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: James River?
                MR. BLACK: Yes.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: And they're a
 6
       licensed Pennsylvania--
 7
                MR. BLACK: They can provide coverage here. They
      may be in many States. They're a surplus provider.
 8
 9
       There's a process in every State to use surplus providers.
10
       We've gone through that process. They're A.M. Best-rated,
11
      A minus, excellent.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. Thank you very
13
      much.
14
                MR. BLACK: Sure. You're welcome.
15
                REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Thank you, Madam
16
       Chair.
17
                MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.
18
                 Representative Pashinski.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Thank you, Madam
20
       Chair, and thank you, sir, for your testimony.
21
                MR. BLACK: Sure.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Could we stay on your
23
      business profile for a little bit? How many employees do
24
       you expect you need in order to make this operation work?
25
                MR. BLACK: As we grow and grow and grow -- it's
```

a great question. Right now, we have probably well over

Perhaps you could restate it or maybe I could---

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Well, I'm trying to figure out what your outlay is since you don't have any vehicles. Like, for example, you said that your cars will also be inspected.

300 employees and I expect it to grow and grow from there.

MR. BLACK: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: So I'm assuming you have a particular point where all these cars have to go to get inspected to make sure they are up to standards. Would that---

MR. BLACK: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: ---be correct? Okay. So you have 300 employees now but now you're going to incorporate this new process. So how many employees, and what will their job description be in order to make this process work?

MR. BLACK: Those employees are currently conducting the process. The process has been in place for nearly 2 years. We've always done the inspections. We have a five- page protocol of exactly what to do. There are 19 safety points that are listed in the California regulation and the Washington, D.C., regulations, Detroit operating agreement, and elsewhere, and part of our

framework. And we also have five pages going through those 19 points of exactly what's done at each and every step.

So those have been conducted, so with who we have today and the people who are conducting it, I mean there's nothing new where we'd have to ramp-up to do these.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Okay. So that's been set, but now how about tracking the vehicles? You obviously would have some sort of elaborate electronic system, you know, how many people? Do you have people at terminals that are watching these vehicles? Can you record the travel route? How long do you store the data?

MR. BLACK: Yes to all of that. We have many, many, many people, I mean probably -- I'm guessing at this point but it's probably nearly 100 who are part of the Trust and Safety Team who are tracking these things, who are taking calls, who are responding, who are helping out drivers, helping out passengers, anybody, following up with low ratings or people who push safety when it gets to that second tier. So there are quite a few people doing exactly that. So, yes, there are terminals, there are -- so again, how many? I don't know exactly how many but quite a few. And the data, yes, it is stored and we have policies with respect to how long it's stored and so on.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: And the rate for this travel, is that the same as a Yellow Cab or---

1 MR. BLACK: It in most jurisdictions it's in the 2 range of 70 percent or so of what an---3 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: It would be less? MR. BLACK: ---incumbent would be. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Okay. Thank you very 6 much. 7 MR. BLACK: Sure. You're welcome. 8 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. 9 Representative Roae. 10 REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: Thank you, Madam 11 Chairwoman. And thank you, sir, for your testimony. 12 On page 3 of your handout you have some 13 information about your insurance coverage, and I just had a 14 question. Starting on line 15 where the handout discusses 15 there's \$1 million of excess liability coverage, is that \$1 16 million in liability coverage for Lyft, Inc., or is that \$1 17 million of liability coverage for the actual driver and for Lyft also? 18 19 MR. BLACK: It's for the actual driver and for 20 Lyft also. REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: Okay. Then the part below 21 22 starting on line 23, it says also regarding business auto coverage it talks about coverage of \$50,000 per person, 23 \$100,000 per accident if the person's personal insurer 24 25 denies coverage. So why would that be necessary if there's the \$1 million you talk about in the top?

MR. BLACK: Great, great question. Those are the two periods we were talking about. So while you're driving to the drugstore for your own personal business---

REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: Right.

MR. BLACK: ---you know, going up to pick up a prescription, you may have your phone on in driver mode. At that point you could get a request for a ride. You may, you may not. It depends if somebody even wants to. You may or may not accept that ride at that point because you're going to the drugstore. Once you get there, if you're pulling at your driveway, perhaps you could be at Starbucks or at home. That's what's called "app on" but pre-match. During that period, the coverage at line 23 and below covers it.

During the match period, in other words the moment you say yes, I will go pick up that ride, you could be miles from the rider but the moment you say yes and head out in that direction, you're covered under what I would call the match period. You've been matched with a ride at that point. So the \$1 million policy covers that match period. The 50, 100, 25 policy covers the pre-match period, and that's a contingent policy because that's not a commercial activity. We haven't seen an instance yet where a personal insurer has denied that.

So in that situation, if they were to deny it, we don't want there to be -- we're taking an extra step -- we don't want there to be a gap in coverage so we have this policy that would step in to place and have the 50, 100, 25.

REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: Okay. So, yes, there's different ways of writing that coverage. Like a good example would be a pizza store that has delivery. A lot of pizza stores, they make their drivers have their own personal insurance policy but then the store also has \$1 million excess liability policy as well. But that can be written in a way that only protects the store, not the driver, or it can be written in a way that protects the store and the driver both.

So you're saying yours is specifically written to provide -- like if the driver would be named in a lawsuit because of an accident and the driver himself gets sued for \$1 million, the policy would actually cover the driver, not just the Lyft company?

MR. BLACK: Unequivocally, that is absolutely correct.

REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: And that's how it should be. I'm glad you do it that way.

MR. BLACK: And it's interesting. An ISO policy,

I mean these policies are written on an ISO form. It's

sort of standard policy across almost every State. They have nine categories and those nine categories, you went through it, it covers exactly the pizza situation, cars you lease, cars you own, cars your employees drive, on and on and on. None of those cover what we're doing. I believe none of those cover what UberX is doing. And there's actually a category 10, a custom manuscripted provision that specifically called out the drivers who are contracted to drive for Lyft. So it covers their liability as well as our own.

REPRESENTATIVE ROAE: Okay. Thank you so much.

MR. BLACK: Sure.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Representative Killion.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Thank you.

Just following up on Representative Miccarelli's question. You said you verified the primary insurance, the personal insurance they have on the vehicles. Is there specific language in your contract that says it's a contract breach if in fact that coverage isn't there or should lapse and they didn't renew it?

MR. BLACK: I mean they are automatically terminated from the system, so I mean that's the way we deal with it. I have to go back and I'm sorry; it's a good question. I'd have to go back and look at the Terms of

Service to see that it's an actual contract breach. But it is something where if we don't get the renewal, it's just automatically they're off the system until they actually come in with it. And we require that a certain amount of time, weeks in advance, to be sure that the policy has been renewed as we track the expirations.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: I think it would be important to have that language specifically in there so a

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: I think it would be important to have that language specifically in there so a driver doesn't come back and try to say you're releasing me for -- I want to get back on.

MR. BLACK: Right. Good point. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Representative Costa.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you for being here, Mr. Black.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLACK: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: I have a couple of questions. You're currently operating in Pittsburgh?

MR. BLACK: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. If I came there and I wanted to be working for your company, where do I bring my vehicle to get inspected? Where do I come for an interview I guess in Pittsburgh to do that? What would I do? Or is this just all online and then you tell me where

to take the vehicle or how does that work?

MR. BLACK: The way it works is, first, you would sign up as a Lyft passenger. And there's a section on the application where you'd say I'd like to be a driver, at which point you fill out some things, what kind of car do you have. It's sort of the first level. Cars must be of a certain age, the cars must be four-door cars. We don't want people having to move seats around to get in and out of the car. So if it passes that, then a meeting is scheduled with a mentor, somebody who's going to come out and they can -- we try to make it, I would call it, as friction-free as possible.

If we said you've got to make an appointment 2
1/2 weeks from now, take your car someplace, leave it there
for four hours, maybe a day. If I want you or me to be
drivers, you're probably not going to do that or you're
going to put it off a long, long time and we're not going
to get the casual drivers; we're only going to get people
who really -- if they go through that much effort, it's
going to be difficult to get -- they're going to want to
work a lot more than casual.

So we try to make it as friction-free as possible by calling them up, arranging for somebody to come out, do the inspection, have the interview. Of course they've already have the background checks at that point because

Infosystems. We use others for the driver's check. If they pass those things, these meetings take place, the inspections are done. And if everything's passed there, then they have some training, they go through mentor rides and drive around with a mentor to make sure that they pass that and can become a driver.

2.2

So there isn't a specific place that they come and sit down. It's partly online but there's a lot of live one-on-one interviews and training and inspections.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Well, then correct me if I'm wrong, so in other words they just come out and look at the car in front of my house---

MR. BLACK: They can---

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: --- and say it's passed inspection?

MR. BLACK: There will be places that they could meet but if you see the protocol, and I'm happy to send out the protocol, it's a protocol that's put together by National Champion Auto Mechanic and the Plymouth Troubleshooting Contest. It's been reviewed and edited by one of the top automotive schools in the West Coast. These are safety inspections, remember, not vehicle -- we're not buying the car. Nobody's pulling the plugs and checking compression. You're checking the 19 safety points that are

- important, and if you go through the protocol, it's a very,
  very thorough inspection. And in many jurisdictions when
  they've seen it, they've said, wow, this is a lot more than
  we do.
  - REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Well, I disagree with that, but that's neither here nor there because the vehicle needs to be up on a rack, looked at, and things like that to be properly inspected to take people that are paying a commercial fee to go somewhere.
  - Okay. Now, as a customer, do you send me a picture of the driver and the car?
- MR. BLACK: Yes.

- REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: So I get a picture of both?
- MR. BLACK: You get a picture of both, including

  -- and you'll have the license plate number of the car as

  well.
- 18 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. And---
  - MR. BLACK: And if you push the button, it'll actually give you a full-screen -- if you push the picture of the driver, a picture of the car, it'll be a full-screen picture so you can see it very clearly.
  - REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. So than I assume that you will have PUC numbers on it if and when you get it?

1 MR. BLACK: Yes. Yes. REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. Thank you, 2 3 Mr. Black. I appreciate it. 4 MR. BLACK: Sure. You're welcome. 5 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. 7 And thank you, Mr. Black, for being with us 8 today. 9 MR. BLACK: Thank you. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: We appreciate your 11 testimony and your information. 12 Our next---13 MR. BLACK: I very much appreciate the 14 opportunity. Thank you. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. 16 Our next person to testify today is J. Robert 17 Wooley from Uber. 18 Good day. 19 MR. WOOLEY: Good morning, Chairwoman Pickett and 20 Chairman DeLuca and Members of the Committee. Thank you 21 for the opportunity to testify today about insurance issues 2.2 related to a new type of transportation service that is 23 commonly referred to as ridesharing and is often associated 24 with the two leading platforms on which it is offered, 25 UberX and Lyft.

I served as the Commissioner of Insurance of
Louisiana for 2000 to 2006. While my tenure predated the
emergence of these technologies, I am very familiar with
the types of insurance policy questions that have been
raised by policymakers in Pennsylvania and throughout the
country. In addition, I have closely examined the
insurance policy held by Rasier, LLC, which is Uber's
subsidiary which supports its low-cost service known UberX
in Pittsburgh.

Before delving into the details of Rasier's insurance policy, I would like to briefly comment on ridesharing services, which I believe have the potential to fundamentally reshape transportation in our country. As you may know, ridesharing platforms like UberX and Lyft enable individuals to connect with drivers who use their personal vehicles and carry personal auto insurance policies. These services have made finding a safe and affordable ride easier than ever and have created tens of thousands of new, well-paying jobs in more than 70 markets across the U.S., including in and around Pittsburgh

Importantly, indeed, most importantly for policymakers, these services are safe and the drivers who provide these services have more than adequate insurance coverage. In fact, I can unequivocally state that if I were still Insurance Commissioner of Louisiana, I would

welcome these services into my State and would have no problem whatsoever with their insurance policies. Here is why:

First, before allowing any drivers to operate on their platforms, these companies verify that drivers hold a valid personal auto insurance policy that meets the minimum standards mandated by the State in which they operate.

Thus, all drivers operating in Pennsylvania hold policies that meet or exceed Pennsylvania's requirements to operate a vehicle on public roads.

Second, these services back up drivers' personal policies with a commercial auto insurance policy. This commercial auto policy provides coverage that is excess to the driver's personal policy and is in place for whenever a trip is being conducted through the ridesharing app. If for whatever reason the driver's personal policy does not cover an incident, the excess commercial policy drops down and becomes the primary policy from the first dollar.

Specifically speaking about Rasier's policy, this policy provides \$1 million of coverage for third-party liability. This coverage provides for third-party liability and uninsured motorists exceeds the coverage requirements for the Pennsylvania PUC of \$35,000 and also includes the required Pennsylvania no-fault coverages mandated by the PUC.

Raiser's insurer is James River Company, which is rated A minus, or excellent, by A.M. Best and is listed on the Pennsylvania Insurance Department's list of eligible surplus line insurers.

Fourth, contrary to some critics' suggestions, rideshare drivers are covered at all times. When drivers are not logged into the app and using their vehicles for personal reasons, their personal policy will cover them. When drivers are logged into the app but have not yet accepted a trip request, their personal policy may cover them. If not, then our contingent coverage will kick in.

As you know, there are certain individuals and entities out there attempting to raise red flags about these services and their insurance policies. Some of these critics suggest that ridesharing companies should be required to provide 24/7 insurance coverage for drivers who operate on their platforms and do so at commercial limits. This would mean that these companies would insure these drivers even when they are using their vehicle for purely personal reasons such as driving to the grocery store.

They suggest that this is necessary because otherwise there would be uncertainty as to whether a driver's personal policy covers an incident and whether the rideshare company's policy covers and that this uncertainty will generate disputes that will takes years to wind

through the court system.

These concerns are overstated to say the least. As an initial matter, these types of insurance issues are no different than the insurance issues raised by countless other businesses in the United States that employ or partner with individuals who use personal vehicles for business purposes such as a pizza delivery person. Yet no one would suggest that a company such as Pizza Hut must provide insurance coverage for a pizza delivery person when he or she is using their vehicle to drive to the grocery store.

In any event, Uber and Lyft's technology enables insurers to pinpoint the precise moment and location when a driver has logged into the app and the precise moment and location when they have accepted a trip request. Thus, even if a driver's personal insurance policy contains an exclusion that legitimately allows the insurer to deny coverage because the driver engaged in a commercial activity, the risk of a lengthy insurance dispute is minimal.

In sum, having reviewed the insurance policy issues associated with rideshare services and having reviewed Rasier's policy, I can testify with confidence that their insurance coverage is more than adequate and will fully protect Pennsylvania consumers.

Thank you for your time and I would be happy to answer any questions.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you, Mr. Wooley.

Near the end of your testimony, could you just enlarge a little more on the exact process? I mean Pizza Hut, their drivers don't carry any people. It's a whole lot more complicated when people are involved in that vehicle, right? When something goes wrong, there's an accident, there's some issue that the person in the car feels that they have a right to some coverage, do they to your knowledge simply have to make an application — the driver makes an application to his company for coverage? Is it a simple denial? Is it going to end up in court for that denial before that other insurance actually kicks in? How's it going to work?

MR. WOOLEY: Well, if they are on the app, then they would make a claim under their insurance coverage.

And if they are then denied, then the Uber policy with

James River would kick in and provide coverage for any reason that they would be denied.

If in the event that they are actually with a passenger, then they have the \$1 million coverage, which is a commercial policy and they would not have to make any type of claim against their insurance.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: We would think in most cases that would be a denial, so is that to your knowledge an instant denial? Do we all know right away this is not covered by the personal policy? We have another policy. I'm on my way to a hospital or a doctor visit or whatever. Do I know that's kicking in right now?

MR. WOOLEY: If the app is turned on, then the coverage does exist. If the app is not turned on and you're just going to the hospital, then your personal policy is going to cover you. That's what you bought it for.

We haven't seen a case yet, as the previous gentlemen testified, where the personal policy has not covered when you just have it turned on but you're not involved in a ride and you have not picked someone up, and so therefore we're not sure that that will happen. But because it is a potential gap, these companies have both decided to go ahead and purchase insurance that would specifically drop-down as a contingent policy to be first-dollar coverage in that case.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: I'm kind of concerned about that passenger when something goes wrong.

MR. WOOLEY: Right.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: How quickly is that process going to happen, the application, the denial, the

1 other insurance jumps on? MR. WOOLEY: I would imagine it's pretty 2 instantaneous. Companies aren't very, I guess, timid about 3 filing their exclusions. I mean once they're notified of 4 an accident and if it's commercial, they're going to say we 5 6 feel this is commercial and it's excluded. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. Other questions? 8 9 Chairman. 10 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Madam 11 Chairman. 12 Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Wooley. 13 MR. WOOLEY: Yes, sir. 14 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: And let me first state 15 that we certainly are not against competition, and as Madam 16 Chairman says, we just want to make sure the consumers and 17 the public is protected. I certainly welcome competition out there. I think we need competition, but we've got to 18 19 make sure that the competition is done the right way. 20 It's my understanding as a former Insurance 21 Commissioner that in Pennsylvania 95 percent of the 22 personal policies exclude commercial operations. Am I 23 correct? 24 MR. WOOLEY: Yes, they do. 25 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Okay. Now, how do you

1 get around that because we're only talking about 5 percent Is your insurance company going to cover all the---2 3 MR. WOOLEY: We're not getting around it. MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Well, I mean if---4 5 MR. WOOLEY: What we're saying is is that it's 6 obvious once you pick someone up that it's commercial and 7 it's going to be denied on their personal policy. So therefore, the million-dollar coverage is in place from 8 9 dollar one. The only issue is when you have logged onto 10 the app and you're just driving to the grocery store or 11 doing some other errand, are you then engaged in a commercial activity if you have the app on? We have 12 13 purchased insurance that will provide for the coverage of 14 those people if it's ever determined. It has not ever yet 15 been determined that that is a commercial enterprise at 16 that point. 17 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Where you're losing me and maybe I don't---18 19 MR. WOOLEY: Right. 20 MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---understand the fact 21 that if 95 percent of the policies exclude your business---22 MR. WOOLEY: Right. MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---95 percent are 23 24 going to exclude your business, you're working with 5 percent, so you're actually ensuring the 5 percent. 25

```
1
       that correct?
 2
                MR. WOOLEY: If that's the math, then absolutely.
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Well, that's what it
 3
 4
       is in Pennsylvania.
 5
                MR. WOOLEY: Absolutely. We have coverage.
 6
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: So you're going to
 7
      be---
 8
                MR. WOOLEY: Exactly.
 9
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: So you're the primary
10
       care of this business, primary insurer, let's face it,
11
      because---
12
                MR. WOOLEY: Right.
13
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---95 percent are
14
       excluded so you're the primary---
15
                MR. WOOLEY: Right.
16
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: --- on it. So it just
17
       seems to me that I don't know how that affects your
      business model since you are going to have to pick up most
18
19
      of the insurance on it, number one.
20
                 Now, you mentioned the fact that these are good-
21
      paying jobs. Can you give me an example of what these jobs
22
      pay?
23
                MR. WOOLEY: Sir, I'm here---
24
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: No, no, I mean you
25
      mentioned in your testimony---
```

```
1
                MR. WOOLEY: ---testifying on the insurance --
 2
       right.
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: I mean---
 3
 4
                MR. WOOLEY: Well, that's the folks---
 5
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---you mentioned in
 6
       your testimony that you're creating a lot of jobs---
 7
                MR. WOOLEY: Right.
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: --- and good-paying
 8
 9
      jobs and I figured you had some information on that.
10
                MR. WOOLEY: The gentleman before me is more
11
       involved in operations ---
12
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Oh, okay. I'm only
13
       going---
14
                MR. WOOLEY: --- and I think answered some---
15
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Pardon me.
16
                MR. WOOLEY: ---good questions, but I'm really
17
      not in operations with the company.
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Okay. No, the only
18
19
      reason I bring that up---
20
                MR. WOOLEY: They'll look at the insurance.
21
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: ---is because you put
2.2
      it in your testimony.
23
                MR. WOOLEY: Yes, sir.
24
                MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Okay. That's all,
25
      Madam Chairman.
```

1 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. Thank
2 you.

Representative Killion.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

First, I would say I've used it Uber. I think it's a great service, but a couple questions are popping up. You say there could be a potential gap which means you feel that at some point the primary insurance could actually cover an accident or an injury. Is that---

MR. WOOLEY: Well, like I said, when you turn on the app, the minute you turn on the app you have this potential coverage with James River Insurance Company through Uber. It has never been determined that that actually is a commercial activity simply turning on the app. A lot of people will be running errands during the day, not have the app on, and then think, well, while I'm in this part of town I might turn it on and see but they're still not doing any real commercial activity. So because of that, because it hasn't been determined yet whether that period of time is commercial or not, we have gone ahead and purchased insurance that will cover that if it's ever determined that that is a commercial activity.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: A couple things pop up.

A million years ago I started my career as a property

```
1
       casualty underwriter for State Farm and I see a couple
 2
       problems. If I'm a driver and I have my personal policy
 3
       with State Farm, Farmers, Allstate, and I have an incident
 4
       and I have to report it to State Farm, I can tell you from
 5
       working at State Farm you're probably going to get
 6
       canceled. You're probably going to get canceled. Or if
 7
       they find -- I mean as an underwriter if I knew the risk
       was going to be doing commercial work in a vehicle that I
 8
 9
      was ensuring---
10
                 MR. WOOLEY: Right.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: ---I'm going to look at
12
      that risk a whole lot differently.
13
                 MR. WOOLEY: Right.
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: I would be petrified to
15
       call my agent on a claim when I was doing a commercial
       activity for fear that I would lose my insurance.
16
17
                 MR. WOOLEY: Right.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: You get the policies
19
       from your drivers, correct?
20
                 MR. WOOLEY: Right.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: You know they're
2.2
       insured?
23
                 MR. WOOLEY: Right.
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Is there a requirement
25
       that they notify their insurer that they're doing this work
```

```
or do you notify the insurer that they're doing this work?
 1
                MR. WOOLEY: I don't think there is a requirement
 2
 3
       to notify.
 4
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Okay. But as I said, I
       think it's a wonderful service, it's innovative, but
 5
 6
       there's a lot of red flags here, especially for the drivers
 7
       that risk that they expose---
 8
                MR. WOOLEY: Right.
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: --- themselves to
 9
10
       cancellation by their insurer.
11
                MR. WOOLEY: Right.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: It's something we need
13
       to look at. Thank you.
14
                 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.
15
                 Representative Costa.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair.
17
       Thank you, Mr. Wooley.
                 You're currently operating in Pittsburgh, your
18
19
       company, correct?
20
                 MR. WOOLEY: Yes, sir, from what I understand.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. You as well as Lyft
22
      are both being fined by the PUC as we speak---
23
                 MR. WOOLEY: As I understand---
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: --- on some disputes,
25
       right?
```

1 MR. WOOLEY: I do understand they have been---REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. And I assume that 2 3 the PUC is doing that because they haven't gotten all the i's dotted and the t's crossed? 4 5 MR. WOOLEY: I'm not positive of the---6 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Okay. 7 MR. WOOLEY: ---circumstances of that. 8 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Well, then I quess my 9 question would be because of the insurance and we say 10 there'll be no question if someone is injured in one of the 11 vehicles by your company, then why are you continuing to 12 operate in Pittsburgh if the PUC says you shouldn't be 13 because this is going to give any insurance company a right 14 to say, whoa, you're not even licensed to do this. And I 15 know I wouldn't want to be in one of your services and have 16 an accident and you're disputing that. You're a big 17 company; I'm just a regular guy. How am I going to -- if I have no insurance. There's people out there that still, 18 19 believe it or not, do not have insurance. 20 MR. WOOLEY: Sure. 21 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Where do they go? 2.2 MR. WOOLEY: Well---23 REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: I mean why are you 24 continuing to operate if the PUC has not sanctioned your 25 ability to operate in Pennsylvania?

MR. WOOLEY: I can't answer that question because I'm not in operations but I do know that they do have insurance coverage in place that would be accessible if there is any type of injury.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Well, but I can assure you my insurance company is going to tell me that I shouldn't have taken a ride if it was not PUC-regulated and paid for it. So, okay. I disagree with you but thank you, sir.

MR. WOOLEY: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Representative Miccarelli.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Thank you, Madam

Chair. And thank you for your testimony here today, sir.

Two quick questions, one is kind of piggybacking on what Representative Killion had brought up. Say a vehicle is registered in Montgomery County or one of the collared counties around Philadelphia, Delaware, my home county, where insurance rates are less than they are, say, in the City of Philadelphia. If that vehicle is primarily operating as a commercial vehicle within the Philadelphia City limits, would the insurance company need to be notified about that? Would that change anything in the insurance company's eyes, do you believe?

MR. WOOLEY: I mean that's going to be between

the person and their particular company that they have done business with. So we don't really control that. All that we can control is to say that we've looked at the insurance coverage issues that we potentially see out there today, and we have tried to cover and make sure that we offer coverage even if the coverage doesn't avail itself from the company of the driver. So the driver's insurer denies coverage, we're going to step down to first dollar and have coverage.

So that's all that we can control and that's what we've done. We've gone out and provided insurance for every potential that we can see, including the comprehensive and collision issue that they may have. So we've looked at all those and tried to cover all the gaps that we can see at this point.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. And my other question, if, say, someone is canceled, say their primary insurance is canceled and Uber somehow is not notified of that, would the umbrella insurance policy still kick in?

MR. WOOLEY: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: It's written in the policy that if someone was to be canceled and not have primary insurance, that they would still be covered under your insurance?

MR. WOOLEY: The contingency is is that their

1 policy doesn't cover, then that would under this policy say 2 that since there is no coverage, it would not be covered. 3 But we do track and make sure that these people do have 4 personal policies, and much like Lyft, once we see they're 5 uninsured, they would come out of the system. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: And how would vou see 7 that they're uninsured? MR. WOOLEY: Because we ask to be notified 8 9 anytime that they have that issue come up. 10 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: So it's kind of an 11 honor system? 12 MR. WOOLEY: Right. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. Not often 14 heard in the Capitol. 15 One other quick question as well, when someone 16 joins up for a ride or someone goes to be a driver, are 17 they waiving any rights to sue? Are they waiving any ability to seek damages? 18 19 MR. WOOLEY: I don't think so. I haven't really 20 examined all of their contracts in between the drivers and 21 all, but I don't think they give up any of those rights. I

REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Okay. So you're not aware of anything in the Terms of Service?

was mainly just looking at the insurance issues to see if

there were gaps in coverage.

2.2

23

24

1 MR. WOOLEY: Not that I know of. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MICCARELLI: Not that you know, 3 okay. All right. Thank you very much. 4 MR. WOOLEY: Thank you. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you. 6 Within the major umbrella or overview, whatever 7 the coverage that your company carries, are there within that limits on liability for certain parts of it? 8 9 MR. WOOLEY: No. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: 11 MR. WOOLEY: No. Once you've picked up someone, 12 the million-dollar coverage is the commercial coverage that would cover all of that incident. 13 14 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Okay. Thank you. 15 We appreciate you being here today, Mr. Wooley, 16 and testifying with us. And our next person to testify today is Scott 17 Walker -- Cooper, sorry. I made you the Governor, didn't I 18 19 -- Pennsylvania Association for Justice. MR. COOPER: Chairlady Pickett, Chairman DeLuca, 20 21 my name is Governor Cooper, Scott Cooper. Sorry, Sam. I'm 22 a partner with the law firm of Schmidt Kramer here in 23 Harrisburg and I'm the past President of the Trial Lawyers 24 and also its Legislative Policy Chair. Thank you for

giving us the privilege of being here today.

I think what we've seen with the first three speakers is a lot of devil in the details, and really what we would hope as an organization is that this Committee tread carefully and look at a lot of the things that have come up here today, particularly -- and I'm not going to go through my testimony but just some of the things that I think we think need to be looked at and examined.

First of all, Colorado recently enacted detailed legislation dealing with these experimental ridesharing programs that actually address a lot of the issues which came up today thus far. The act was signed in April. It's Senate Bill 125. And what it establishes are minimum requirements not only for insurance; it also addresses the gap that Chairman DeLuca brought up about if the app is on but you're not actually on a trip, and I think we heard testimony or representations that there hasn't been an issue yet.

In California, New Year's Eve you had asked about. There was a six-year-old girl who was killed by Syed Muzaffar, who was an Uber driver. And the claim was made and apparently he was "trolling for a fare" at the time the girl was killed. The insurance company denied that the Uber claim existed because he was not on the fare at the time; he had the app on but was just trolling. So that's the gap that Representative DeLuca was asking about,

which at this point there is a lawsuit pending in San Francisco. But that's one of the things that well-crafted legislation could prevent.

established in the Colorado law as far as the minimum \$1 million because there are no guarantees that this is always going to be \$1 million. In fact, I was surprised when I saw it's \$1 million because even tractor-trailer drivers for interstate are only \$750,000. And our State -- and we could beg to differ and get into a different issue later on -- we think the minimum should be higher. But there's nothing to say that two years from now or three years from now they're not going to go down to \$100,000 or \$50,000 or just say we're the same as the taxi drivers.

In addition, there is in the law background checks which came up, that there are specific requirements that the companies have to do the background checks on the drivers, they have to do background checks on the cars, not just look at a picture of the car and say, oh, here's the picture. You know, of course, you're selling your car you're going to give people the best picture. It's not going to be the one that has the dents on the other side or something like that. It's going to check the hoses, the lighting, the parking, for air bags. So those are the things as part of legislation that would be important to

look at.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, looking at exclusions, and this has also come up. The James River policy, and on the internet there actually is a sample that shows the policy and it's an ISO policy which is a generic policy that's adopted.

And there's a lot of problems with the one that at least if this was the one that was going to be used in Pennsylvania that it would be invalid or not provide mandatory benefits that people need to have in Pennsylvania.

One, it does not provide mandatory PIP benefits at all. It just provides for the \$1 million of liability, \$1 million of uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage. It doesn't provide at least \$5,000 of mandatory PIP benefits. It also has provisions that are inconsistent and impermissibly conflict with what not only our law says but what the State Supreme Court says as far as provisions of what can be in a policy. There's a provision in here that says if you're working at the time, they don't provide uninsured or underinsured motorist coverage. invalid. It provides for a two-year statute of limitations for uninsured motorist coverage, which is really four years because it's a contract claim, that before anything is approved, to look at what the policies are going to be for specifically Pennsylvania. And we haven't seen the underinsured motorist provision. This is just something

you print off the internet. But it does have things you can look to.

As far as the excess and the primary and the umbrella, one of the concerns we have is not only that almost every insurance policy is now started on personal policies have exclusions that are placed in there. All of the companies, and I printed off one from the insurance Department, May 16th, 2014, Unitrin just added an exclusion to all their personal policies that exclude liability, medical payment, uninsured, comprehensive, and underinsured motorist coverage from anyone who's operating one of these vehicles or even in one of these vehicles at the time of an accident.

So from a primary purpose, the primary policy is almost never going to apply if the trend keeps going on how these companies are putting in the exclusions, which they're allowed to do, which is going to lead to more claims just on the front-end because what's going to happen is the driver is going to have to open up a claim because they're going to say you're the primary policy. So now the personal policies are going to start having more claims. They're going to have to do more coverage investigations. Then there's going to be the denials. Then you're going to turn it over to the excess.

The other potential problem, and you don't see it

often but it can happen, is in excess policies. Every once in a while you have one that's worded that says we don't pay as an access policy unless you fully exhausted all the underlying coverage that's available. And I could say I think it was an invalid argument, but insurance companies, their job is generally to make money and not pay claims. So an excess policy I could see hypothetically an argument being made, well, you have primary coverage, and because you have primary coverage, if there is no coverage, we're only excess over any collectible insurance. And your coverage is not collectible; therefore, our policy doesn't kick in even though the intent was to be primary.

So what we're really asking is for a lot of these things to be explored further with legislation and what the policies that they're going to use through James River.

One of the other things which I was just curious about and I'm sure we'll ask is Uber is not the named insured on at least the James River policy that I was able to print up. It's the Rasier, LLC, and Uber owns it as a parent corporation. So I'm not sure if there's a legal reason or not but it doesn't appear to me that Uber, even though they are going to be operating the coverage or the cars, is going to be the responsible entity. Ultimately it's going to be Rasier, LLC, which is a limited liability company.

So I guess overall to sum it up what we're just asking is to look at these things and consider all the different hypotheticals and how it could be played out and not to just allow a company to start operating without the same requirements that, say, a cab company or a taxi company has to have with mandatory minimums and do background checks and for people to actually understand you get in the car, you may not have coverage.

I would be happy to answer any questions.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

You sort of indicate in your testimony I think that you fee that the first line of oversight on this should be within the insurance department rather than the PUC. Could you enlarge on your thoughts on that a little bit?

MR. COOPER: Sure. Basically, this all comes down to an insurance issue and it's something that we see a lot with cab companies, mainly the cab companies and that's what raises the concern is that a lot of cab companies are insured by certain insurance companies either in Kansas or other places, and when we try to bring the claims for first-party benefits or liability benefits on behalf of injured victims who are involved in cab companies, there's just a lot of unresponsive companies that really don't enter defenses in the cases and you don't get -- not to

beat down on the PUC -- you just don't get the oversight with provisions and enforcement that you may get with a threat of an insurance department.

Also because most people tend to think PUC is utilities and electric, gas, things like that, they don't see every day the insurance enforcement aspect of it or what to approve and not to approve. So if a lot of these policies are going to be relied upon, they're going to have to be submitted to the insurance department.

And one of the concerns we also have is if the PUC approves the companies, then the companies are going to say, well, we don't have to submit anything to the insurance department; we could just do it all through the PUC and a lot of things will slip through the cracks. So if this is all done under the jurisdiction and implementation of the insurance department, at least they'll have an insurance expert, for lack of a better term, to look over it.

And also I think I saw Commissioner Constantine also had a press release or he put out a statement that he was concerned or the department was concerned about the transportation services.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Chairman DeLuca.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Just a brief

2.2

statement.

2.2

I agree with what you're saying. It should be under the Insurance Commissioner mainly because of the fact we're talking about protecting the public out there. And so I think that our main concern, and I know I speak for Madam Chairman, too, is we look for innovation on different technologies and I think this is a new idea and I think it's worth studying out there, and I think also the fact that we want to make sure that the public is taken care of and if the public is taken care of, then I can see nothing wrong with having competition out there. Like anything else, competition is good for the Commonwealth and good for the consumers. So we'll be looking at that. Thank you.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Any further questions?

Go ahead, Representative Barbin.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you.

Mr. Cooper, I noticed in your testimony that you've indicated our minimum coverage is very low. Is there any reason under current law we couldn't have a higher minimum liability for this new company until we determine whether or not the risks are higher to the public?

MR. COOPER: No. And that's one of the reasons

1 why we would advocate some type of legislation that would establish a minimum floor for what the primary policy would 2 3 There doesn't appear to be any -- because if you're 4 not doing background checks or you're doing minimal 5 investigation of the drivers, at least make sure that there 6 is a minimum of maybe \$25,000 per person, \$50,000 per 7 accident, or 50/100 to establish a primary policy for the person, and there's nothing that we've seen other than they 8 9 have to have insurance. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Have any of the other 11 States gone to the higher levels for just this special 12 industry? 13 MR. COOPER: Well, I think they've made it equal 14 -- at least a couple -- Colorado is I think equal to what 15 their State minimum is. 16 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: And what is Colorado? Is 17 it a \$50,000 or---MR. COOPER: I think it's 50/100. California has 18 regulations that they've established and it's \$50,000, I 19 20 believe, per person. And I think that's what the State 21 minimum is there, too. 2.2 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you. 23 Thank you, Madam Chairman. 24 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Cooper, for being with us today.

1 We appreciate your information.

2.2

And our next person to testify is Samuel

Marshall, the President and CEO of the Insurance Federation

of Pennsylvania.

Welcome, Mr. Marshall.

MR. MARSHALL: I'm going to be joined today -- I guess since he's on this side, for a bit he'll be Citizen Mustio, normally your colleague Representative Mustio, here today to join me as something of an insurance fellow himself and Representative Killion maybe a million years ago.

You have our remarks. You have my testimony.

But I'll just try to address some of the things that you've heard today. And all of this sort of reminds me of the old ad "Who insures you doesn't matter until it does." And that's really what the past couple of hours have been an exercise in considering.

What you have is an innovative service in some ways. I mean it's innovative in its use of technology but what it's saying is, you know what, we're going to have a lot of part-time cabdrivers. Okay. That's fine. But what they need to have is the insurance that you require of any and all cabdrivers, whether they're part-time, full-time, working overtime, whatever it is.

What you heard it today were a lot of platitudes

about a harmonious relation between the excess insurers and the primary insurers, but if you kick it around a bit, I mean if that were the case, I would have been on their panel. We would've been going at this together. We're not. In fact, representing primary insurers, we are objecting. We have questions.

We're protesting their applications before the PUC because, as we look at their insurance coverage that they outline in those applications, there are significant gaps, significant potentials for gaps. We heard today that they're going to be revising those filings. Again, as Scott Cooper mentioned, the devil is in the details. As trite and cliché as that is, it's incredibly true in the world of insurance coverage.

But what we heard today was that there will be gaps. We heard, well, you know, as long as there's commercial coverage, we'll step in and not the primary insurer. First of all, that's an "if." There are legitimate questions as to whether it'll be commercial coverage or not commercial coverage.

And Representative Pickett, you honed right on it when you said, okay, you're on app but you don't have the business. They said, yes, well, you know, sure because if you just happen to have your cell phone on and you're driving to the drugstore or the supermarket, maybe you're

not really in a commercial setting. Okay. But what if it's a Saturday night and you're on app and you're driving around trolling for business? In both situations you're what was described as on app but pre-match, two very dramatically different uses and two dramatically different risks that are being undertaken there.

They also left out the scenario of what happens, you've dropped the passenger off and now you're driving home. You're in an area that you're not normally in and it's a whole different use. You may no longer be on app but from my perspective you're still engaged in a commercial use. You're coming back from dropping somebody off.

The other thing that was mentioned, and this can all be done but it really does have to be a much more coordinated -- I mean I say harmonious; it is ironic to say in this last week of budget season -- but certainly a much more coordinated effort than has been displayed to date.

The primary insurer should know. Representative Killion is correct. The primary insurer eventually will find out whether it's as a result of an accident or the result of a moving violation. It'll be known. And presumably these drivers will themselves be on a list with PUC. When that happens, there's a question of whether the primary insurer is going to say, you know what, that's not

the risk I undertook because there are questions as to when your policy applies, when it doesn't apply that you may have with Uber or Lyft or whoever. So that lack of notice is going to be a problem. It should be addressed because how a primary insurer is going to treat that may well vary from primary insurer to primary Insurer.

The other thing, and this goes into how to coordinate the relation, it's very easy to say that you're going to be the excess policy and you're going to step down the minute the primary insurer says no. If it was really the minute the primary insurer said no, that would be a lot easier. As a matter fact, just be primary because, as Scott Cooper I think erroneously stated, I guess all insurers ever do is deny claims. So you presumably will say no from the outset.

I think actually what insurance companies, certainly the primary auto insurers do, I mean it's our job to accurately underwrite, assess, and rate a risk and it's our job to pay the claims that we've insured, not the claims that we haven't insured. Sometimes that is an argument.

And in fact one of the great difficulties here the way insurance policies work in the world of auto insurance, the primary insurer would have the responsibility the minute there's an accident to step in.

He has a duty to defend. That's an obligation that he has to an insured. He may deny the coverage and say, you know what, this is excluded; it was a commercial use. But while that is being argued -- because I didn't quite hear Uber and Lyft say we'll always accept whatever that primary insurer's determination is. While that's being argued, the primary insurer has the expense and the obligation of the duty to defend.

All of that goes into challenges on the rating challenges, on the underwriting. And none of this is insurmountable, and for those who read the business section, Uber and Lyft appear to be the hottest companies on the planet. We heard today they're going to revolutionize everything. Their market valuation is before going public, but their market valuation is based on what the venture capital community says, it seems Uber goes up about a billion dollars every other week. Frankly, their valuations, sadly for me, are worth more than the insurance industry that I represent.

Given that, it would make a great deal of sense to say let's have the obligation on them. I mean all they have to do is say, you know what, we'll be primary insurers, and by the way, we'll notify our drivers' personal insurer that he's become a driver for us. And you want them notifying it because one of the questions -- and

again, I think it was Representative Killion who raised it, how do you verify that the primary insurance is in place? You can verify it on day one. How do you have an ongoing verification? You take on a driver and he quits paying his primary insurance, and for whatever reason his primary insurer cancels him, whether it's nonpayment of premium, whether it's a change in hazard that was being insured, those are the -- and you say, well, Sam, they just had the trial lawyers on just ahead of you. Aren't you used to the rough-and-tumble of litigation? Yes, we are, but consumers, particularly consumers who step into cabs, aren't used to that rough-and-tumble.

The whole reason the PUC has its requirements for cabs to have verifiable, accessible, identifiable insurance is because when you step into a cab and you get into an accident, it's supposed to be -- you may have some problems evaluating the claim, you may have some problems putting the exact dollar figure on it, but you shouldn't have to go through a couple of different channels to figure out who the insurer is.

What the applications, as they've been filed with the PUC, set up is a scenario where you do have to go through that, where you first -- I mean it's not just, gee, what was the damage, what were the total damages, what were my medical, property damage, et cetera, of the claim, but

you have to figure out, okay, first these two carriers, the primary and excess, have to argue it through. That's not what the PUC wants out of the cab system. It doesn't want to have some two-tier confrontational approach.

2.2

Again, it goes to you can have a primary and a secondary or excess insurer, but in the case of these services, it needs to be a lot more coordinated than what you've heard today and what we've seen in the application. Witness the fact that when we tried to interject and raise some of these questions in the form of their applications, their response wasn't to say, gee, let's see if we can work with the primary insurers and to do something along those lines. Their response was to file preliminary objections saying that we don't have the right to appear before the PUC and raise the questions even though it's our coverage and our policyholders who are on the risk.

All of that can be overcome. We're not nudniks and denying new technology or anything like that, but you better make sure that the insurance that they are providing as a new service is coordinated and is there, because if you don't, you're going to have legitimately disgruntled consumers saying it wasn't supposed to be this hard.

Representative Mustio?

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: Thank you. And thank you for letting me participate this morning.

I've been involved in this issue for probably a little over a month now, and when I first heard of these services, I immediately thought of an exclusion that was in the policy and I actually brought some of it for you to look at this morning as part of the record.

Just to be clear, you can use a personal car for business but there are specific businesses you cannot use it for where it's excluded. I can use it as a pharmaceutical rep going from doctor's office to doctor's office but I specifically cannot use it and have coverage if I'm using it while it's being used to carry persons or property for a fee. And that's really what this whole issue has been about.

So we've heard testimony this morning that while there is a policy out there with James River that provides coverage and that may or may not drop down. So I don't lead a very exciting life but I did read this policy and it's funny. It's like the last page, almost the last line that you really have to pay attention to. And it says named operators under endorsement and gives the number, "are insured provided such drivers are using a covered auto you don't own, hire, or borrow in your business or personal affairs and have entered into a contract with one or more of the named insureds prior to the time of the accident."

So that sort of opened up another can of worms.

We heard the Insurance Commissioner testify. Well, he hasn't reviewed all the contracts; he's read the policy, right? Well, that immediately said to me I better look at the contract. What's in the contract? So there's a section in the contract for one of the companies that testified today that says there are some requirements for the driver, and if the driver doesn't meet these requirements, he's in breach of the contract, okay? Which then raised questions in my mind should I contact the insurance company to see if they've actually been paying or denying claims because the contract was in breach? And the fact is that a lot of these claims are being denied because they're using the breach provision in this contract that they weren't actually insured under the policy at the time.

So that's why we need to do legislation that really buttons down this issue because it is a different animal. It's not the traditional here's the auto policy; let's go to the PUC, get filings made, and it's a policy that's owned by a company that's driving.

I applaud these companies for being innovative.

I think it's a great service. We as legislators just need to do what you're doing today to make sure we vet this properly and protect the public because the two people that are really at risk right now are the driver. He's financially at risk because he could be involved in a

serious accident or maybe one that's not so serious. Let's face it. If you're doing this, signing on as a driver, you probably need the extra cash, right? So you probably don't have a lot of assets to risk. So we want to make sure that that policy in my opinion as an insurance professional would be to make sure that their policy is primary.

We can't, in my recommendation, make the limits too onerous that the marketplace is not available to provide coverage that we're mandating. And the reason I say that, I'm in the process of trying to determine if there are other markets available. James River right now is not writing any new types of business along these lines because they sort of want to get their arms around it. They're not a real large insurance company, maybe a couple hundred million in surplus, but I think they've done a nice job in tailoring a policy. But it's the contract provisions. I don't think we should be enabling -- we're basically, if we let this continue, setting up the driver to fail in the event that there's an accident.

Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: And as you know,

I'll speak for myself, we buy insurance because we trust

the agent and sometimes we don't totally understand the

details of our insurance. But if I have personal insurance

for my own auto coverage and I get in one of these

vehicles, there is no coverage from my company on that basis, right?

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: You'd have to look at your own individual policy but the first-party benefits statute in Pennsylvania should cover you for your medical expenses while you're injured in an auto accident or hit by a vehicle even as a pedestrian.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: So whether it's a friend or it's an Uber or it's a whatever, it--

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: But what was testified earlier by the representative from the trial lawyers was that some of the insurance companies now are starting to put these endorsements on policies and I think that's something that this Committee really should look at and review to the extent that those endorsements do really in my opinion violate public policy.

MR. MARSHALL: The endorsement -- I mean where you'll see the tightening up in the endorsements won't go so much when you're a passenger as when you're a driver. And you will see -- frankly when everybody came up with livery exclusions and that became some standard parts of policies, and I actually think, Chairman DeLuca, it may be higher than 95 percent, it might even closer to 100. But when they did that, this wasn't envisioned. This is a whole new thing. So yes, there will be a tightening up of

1 your livery exclusions.

2.2

And that maybe the answer. We can tighten up a definition of commercial use. It's still going to be in certain situations fact-specific, and frankly that's going to be a cost to all ratepayers. It would make far more sense in terms of the expeditious handling of claims if, as Representative Mustio mentioned, if you consider legislation, and other States are doing it, to clarify how that's going to work, when it's going to be commercial, when it isn't.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: A question that the consumer will forget to ask when they're purchasing. Thank you.

Chairman.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: Thank you, Sam, and it's always informative, your testimony.

Mark, I want to thank you for your testimony, too.

I think there's a niche out there if we do it the right way, and I think there's a niche for this marketplace, the technology, and I think it's time we go into the 21st century. Some of the regulations with the PUC is outdated and I don't know if we should depend on them all the time. So I really respect your testimony out there and certainly I would like to work with you guys to

make sure that we tighten up some of the problems you see so that maybe this technology and people have an advantage because I think this is going to happen all over and we just want to make sure it's done right in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

You have no problems with the competition part, right? Sam, you always want competition.

MR. MARSHALL: You know how I am about competition.

2.2

MINORITY CHAIRMAN DELUCA: So I think I'd like to sit down with the Chairman and maybe we can work together and come up with something that not only benefits the public, certainly protects them, and certainly takes into consideration the insurance industry out there, too.

So thank you very much for your testimony.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN PICKETT: Absolutely. Any further questions?

And I want to thank everybody for being here today and it seems that we do have some things we need to look at, but on the other hand, we have a rather exciting service that we can perhaps enlarge on and do some good things with and have nothing but good results from. That would be the total goal here.

So thank you to everyone who's been part of this.

As you can see, Committee Members have had to scatter to

```
other things. It's a busy, busy week, as you know, so with
1
     no further announcements, I'll declare the hearing
2
     adjourned and thank everyone.
3
4
                (The hearing concluded at 12:00 p.m.)
5
```

1	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings
2	are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio
3	on the said proceedings and that this is a correct
4	transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	Christy Snyder
8	Transcriptionist
9	Diaz Data Services, LLC