1	HOUSE VETERANS AFFAIRS &
2	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
3	PUBLIC HEARING
4	WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2012 PROPOSED REWRITE OF TITLE 35
5	PROPOSED REWRITE OF TITLE 35
6	
7	ROSE TREE FIRE COMPANY, 1275 NORTH PROVIDENCE ROAD
8	MEDIA, PENNSYLVANIA
9	
10	
11	
12	BEFORE REPRESENTATIVES:
13	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHEN BARRAR, CHAIRMAN, REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS SAINATO, CHAIRMAN
14	REPRESENTATIVE FRANK A. FARRY REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPH T. HACKETT
15	REPRESENTATIVE TINA M. DAVIS REPRESENTATIVE MARIA P. DONATUCCI
16	REPRESENTATIVE TED HARHAI
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

VETERANS AFFIARS & EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS MEETING HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HEARING

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	RICK O'LEARY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR THE VETERANS AFFAIRS
3	& EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
4	SEAN E. HARRIS, RESEARCH ANALYST
5	JIM DOUGHERTY, RESEARCH ANALYST
6	
7	
8	INDEX OF SPEAKERS
9	BY MR. FULL 7 BY MS. GILLETTE 19
10	BY MR. GOCKLEY 27 BY MR. KAGEL 32
11	BY MR. FISH 46 BY MR. BUSHER 51
12	BY MS. ZUKOWSKI 52 BY MR. TILL 61
13	BY MS. MUSSELMAN 67 BY MR. SAVAGE 84
14	BY MR. ROACH 88
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Good morning, everyone. 1 2 I'm Representative Steve Barrar, Chairman of the House 3 Veterans Affairs, Emergency Preparedness Committee. I would like to call this hearing to 4 order, and I would like to start out by saying the Pledge 5 of Allegiance. We have several of our Delaware County 6 volunteer firefighters here today, and I would ask them 7 to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance, if they would. 8 (Pledge of Allegiance.) 9 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you. I would like 10 11 to start by asking the members, starting on my far right here, if they would introduce themselves and the county 12 that they come from. Also, the staff members that are 13 14 here today. REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Ted Harhai, 15 Westmoreland County, 58th Legislative District and also a 16 17 bit of Fayette County. REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Maria 18 19 Donatucci, 185th District, Philadelphia and part of 20 Delaware County. 21 Jim Dougherty, research MR. DOUGHERTY: 22 analyst, Representative Sainato. 23 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: Representative Chris Sainato, I'm from Lawrence County. I'm the Democratic 24 Chairman of the Committee. 25

MR. O'LEARY: Rick O'Leary, I'm the 1 2 Executive Director for Chairman Barrar. 3 MR. HARRIS: Sean Harris, research analyst for the Committee. 4 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: 5 Joe Hackett, 161st District, Delaware County, Pennsylvania. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Frank Farry, 142nd District, Bucks County. 8 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you. Of course, 9 we're here today to examine House Bill 2562, which I am 10 11 the prime sponsor of. 12 This legislation is a result of over five years of work put forth by PEMA, the county EMA 13 14 officials, emergency responder groups and other interested stakeholders from both the public and private 15 16 sector. 17 The purpose of today's hearing is to properly vet this bill in the Eastern Region of 18 19 Pennsylvania, with additional hearings in Western 20 Pennsylvania on September 19th, and a hearing that we 21 conducted two weeks ago in Lewistown at the Fire Academy. 22 Title 35 contains the emergency management service code which governs how PEMA functions and 23 provides a framework for how the Commonwealth and our 24 25 local governments prepare for and respond to disasters.

And with that I'm going to ask Chairman 1 2 Sainato if he would like to make some comments. 3 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: Thank you, Chairman I would just like to echo what Chairman Barrar 4 5 We had a very productive meeting a few weeks ago in Harrisburg. It's really great to be in Eastern 6 Pennsylvania, to get the views and get the response from 7 all the stakeholders out here. 8 You have a beautiful area out here. 9 Representative Hackett and Representative Barrar, it's 10 11 always good to be in Eastern Pennsylvania. I'm from Western Pennsylvania. You have a beautiful area out 12 13 here. 14 And I look forward to today's hearing, as well as our hearing coming up in Western Pennsylvania. 15 16 This is such an important issue. Public safety, I think, 17 is the most important issue we have in the state of Pennsylvania. 18 19 After year's disasters which we had, I 20 think it put it into a much brighter light. The hard 21 work of our emergency responders, making sure everyone is 22 on the same page, and the great work done by Secretary 23 Cannon and all the stakeholders in Pennsylvania. So I look forward to our testimony today. 24 25 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you, Chairman

Sainato. And we are in Representative Hackett's district, and I would like to ask him to make some comments.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. And I would like to thank all the ladies

and gentlemen in the audience today especially, for

coming out and spending some time with us for such an

important cause.

I would also like to reach out and thank the Rose Tree Fire Company for giving us such a use to a great hall here. I think it works perfectly.

What better than talking about this legislation in what really takes us, I guess, back to boots on the ground, to people that really do the work for us, the volunteers and the men and women with the emergency management system, right here in their social fire hall, right next to the firehouse.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm bringing a little bit of experience with 26 years in law enforcement to the committee here today. This is personal; this touches home when we talk about this.

Prior to this legislation getting passed,

I believe that the Code was terribly outdated. And I

believe through Chairman Barrar's House Bill 2562 that we
have a chance to bring it into the, into the present and

to move on to the future. 1 2 So again, I would like to welcome all the 3 participants here today that are giving testimony and those that came out to help support this bill. 4 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you, 5 Representative. 6 We have today with us an excellent panel 7 of testifiers before us today, and I want to personally 8 thank them for taking the time to be here today. 9 Each panel will be allotted 20 minutes to 10 11 speak. And if you happen to speak too long the disco ball that is in the center of the room will probably go 12 13 off to inform you that you have overstayed your welcome at the table here. 14 15 And as a reminder to everyone, we are 16 being videotaped today. I would ask you to put your 17 cellphones on silent so that they're not disrupting the hearing. 18 19 Our first testifier is PEMA Director --20 Assistant Director Bob Full. 21 Assistant Director Full, if you would like 22 to come up. I would like to thank you for being here, 23 and you can start your comments as soon as you want to. 24 MR. FULL: Good morning. Chairman Barrar, 25 Chairman Sainato, and members of the Committee, I am Bob Full. I am the Chief Deputy Director of the Pennsylvania
Emergency Management Agency.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this statement and discuss House Bill 2562, which will amend the Emergency Management Services Code, commonly referred to as Title 35.

Even though PEMA is a small agency, we have a very large mission. Our mission is to save lives, reduce suffering, and when possible, protect property and the environment.

PEMA has the enormous responsibility to direct and coordinate state agency response and to support county and local governments in the areas of disaster preparedness, planning, responses and recovery from man-made or natural disasters.

Governor Corbett has made it clear that his administration would make a very strong commitment to public safety for all the citizens of the Commonwealth. He has followed through on that commitment. And this rewrite of Title 35 is another important step further enhancing public safety.

Title 35 contains the Emergency Management Services Code, which governs how PEMA functions and provides the framework on how the Commonwealth and our local governments prepare and respond to disasters.

The current Title 35 has been in place for about 34 years. And the last significant amendments to it were 16 years ago, in 1996.

The general assembly last updated Title 35 again in 1996 as a result of the winter storm and flood disasters that took place that year.

Since 1996 there have been significant events and new federal laws and changes that necessitate changes to Title 35.

Some of the significant events and federal law changes include the 2007 Valentine's Day winter storm up in the Harrisburg center portion of the Commonwealth; Hurricane Katrina; the terrorist attacks on September 11th, 2001; the creation of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security; the creation of the Commonwealth's nine regional task forces; cyber attacks, which are occurring and happening now on a very frequent basis; and new federal guidelines and programs relating to all hazard disasters.

Some of those federal initiatives include the National Preparedness Goal, the National Incident Management System, the National Infrastructure Protection Program, the National Pandemic Preparedness Program, and the National Response Framework.

In addition, in August and September of

last year, 2011, Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee caused the most significant and widespread flooding in the Commonwealth since Hurricane Agnes in 1972.

Across the board the response to those storms was remarkable and highly successful, due in part to a lot of the great work of the men and women that are sitting behind me today from the emergency services.

But as always, we learn some lessons that will further enhance our emergency response capabilities in the future. Simply put, the requirements of emergency management professionals in 2012 are quite different than those in 1996.

It is no longer enough to be able to respond, we must work towards comprehensive emergency management programs focused on the preparedness and the ability to prevent, protect against, respond to and recover from any disaster emergency situation.

Frankly, the changes needed in Title 35 are long overdue. As a result of those significant incidents and changes to federal and state law, we conducted a comprehensive review of our entire emergency management program, including Title 35.

In addition to our internal review,

Mr. James Lee Witt, the highly regarded former director

of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and Ridge

Global with our former Governor and first U.S. Department of Homeland Security Secretary, Tom Ridge, as its principal, conducted an independent review of the Commonwealth's disaster response framework and issued the reports recommending enhancements to our current program.

And most important, over the past
years PEMA conducted numerous meetings and had
considerable outreach with those in the emergency
community and elected official associations and so forth,
and drafted changes to the current Title 35.

Some of the outreaches included many meetings with the Keystone Emergency Management
Association, the County Emergency Management
Coordinators; three regional statewide meetings involving the emergency management folks from the local areas as well as elected officials; and meetings with associations and groups affected by the proposed legislation, including elected official associations such as the County Commissioners Association, the State Association for Township Supervisors, the State Association of Boroughs, and the Municipal League and First Responder Associations.

And with any piece of legislation that is this lengthy and comprehensive, I am sure that there are some matters to which stakeholders, that they may want to

1 | make additional changes.

PEMA is open to any change that makes this legislation better. For example, two weeks ago at the KEMA board meeting -- KEMA standing for the Keystone Emergency Management Association -- board meeting, which we held at the PEMA headquarters building, during that meeting KEMA raised two very important changes to the legislation.

They made sense. And PEMA concurred with those changes and has drafted language to address those issues.

Since our last meeting we also had additional comments which we have been working on for some additional minor changes to the legislation.

Subject to those changes, and at the board meeting, the KEMA board voted unanimously to support the current version of Title 35. Therefore, I believe that this legislation will have broad and strong support from our stakeholders.

PEMA's role in disasters and emergency is to direct and coordinate state agency response and support county and local governments.

At the state level this truly requires a team effort among all of our agencies. In the proposed amendments to Title 35, we worked very closely with our

sister agencies in state government to make sure their needs were met in the legislation.

For example, the Pennsylvania State

Police, we worked to ensure that law enforcement

sensitive information would be protected whenever

information needed for consequence management was shared,
needed to be shared.

This also will enhance appropriate information sharing with the Office of Homeland Security. With the Department of Health we also ensured that the public health isolation and quarantine measures fit the needs along with those of law enforcement.

With the Department of Public Welfare and the Department of Education, we worked to ensure there will be enhanced dependent care facility planning in the event of a disaster and emergency.

Cooperation and coordination among state agencies is essential in managing a widespread major disaster or single incident.

You had to be in our State Emergency
Operations Center during Hurricane Irene and Tropical
Storm Lee to fully appreciate how important a role is of
cooperation, coordination, and how it played successfully
in our responding to those storms last year.

Even as we sit here today, our Emergency

Operations Center has close watch on Hurricane Isaac, as well as all those things that are taking place in addition in the tropics, as we continue to watch as we are here today.

With regards to the proposed amendments, the text of Title 35 is quite lengthy and comprehensive. And therefore, for consideration of the minimal time today we have -- I will not go into great detail about each section of the proposed legislation, but highlight some of the key changes to the current Title 35.

It has in it enhanced dependent care facility planning; more clearly detailing the powers and duties of county emergency management programs; more clearly detailing the powers and duties of local emergency management programs; certification and accreditation of emergency management professionals at all levels of government, the local municipal level, at the county level as well as the state; support of county animal rescue teams; enhanced coordination of regional all-hazards counter terrorism task forces; enhanced large event emergency planning; more comprehensive workers' compensation coverage for our emergency management volunteers and workers; enhanced information sharing for better disaster consequence management; expanded public health isolation and quarantine measures; promoting the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

regionalization of emergency management programs.

The overarching goal of the amendments to
Title 35 is to provide an efficient and effective way for
the Commonwealth and local governments to prepare and
respond to all hazard disasters.

The natural disasters of Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee, wildfires in the Western states, the recent tragic shootings in Colorado and Wisconsin, the things that are taking place today in the South are all a constant reminder of what our business is all about.

Most days our agency is out of the public view. During those days we are behind the scenes doing planning and preparing that is crucial to successfully respond to an incident. But when a disaster or emergency hits, sometimes with advance warning but most of the time with little or no warning, we must come through for our citizens.

And as our new PEMA motto states, "Hope is not a plan, and failure is not an option."

I believe that the proposed changes to Title 35 will further enhance emergency management capabilities across the state, and also at the county and the local levels.

The end result of enacting this

legislation, the citizens of our Commonwealth will be 1 2 better protected in the event of a future disaster 3 emergency. Mr. Chairman, thank you for your 4 introducing of House Bill 2562 and your leadership on 5 this important matter. 6 Also, I would like to thank Chairman 7 Sainato and the many members of this Committee that have 8 co-sponsored House Bill 2562. 9 On behalf of Governor Corbett, Director 10 11 Cannon and the 12 million Pennsylvanians we serve, I also 12 thank the members of the Committee for your continued support of PEMA and our partners in public safety across 13 14 the state. I believe that with Governor Corbett's 15 16 commitment and your support of our mission, PEMA will 17 continue to move forward and become a premier emergency management agency in the nation. 18 19 I appreciate the opportunity to be here 20 today and I would be very happy to answer any questions 21 that the members may have at this time. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you. We've been joined by Representative Tina Davis, Montgomery County. 23 24 REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS: Bucks County. 25 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Bucks County.

And I think that's all the new members that have showed up.

Questions from the members. Are there members with questions?

One question, part of the legislation allows the Governor to appropriate funding in an emergency situation of up to \$25 million. And the money -- I'm trying to remember the term that he used, and it's in the legislation.

The use of, use and appropriation of unused Commonwealth funds. Can you explain a little bit more of that, how it addresses that in the legislation?

MR. FULL: As we have within the legislation the amount of \$25 million, you know, as we try to lean forward, and we have the ability to already respond and to be able to pay for things in advance and up front of a disaster before we have the official declaration and so forth, that money is made available to us to be able to respond, to pay for the National Guard, the callups of those, and so forth.

That total amount of money is allocated within the bill here. And we cannot exceed that cap until there's appropriation, further appropriation from the General Assembly for us to do that.

That's advance money that the Governor has

1	available to him at his discretion so we can meet the
2	immediate needs of any disaster and to reimburse for the
3	appropriate cost of that disaster up to that amount.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Does the Governor have
5	to, in order to take that money I don't think is
6	this \$25 million, first of all, is it budgeted? I don't
7	think it's budgeted? Is it unused Commonwealth funds?
8	MR. FULL: That's right.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRAR: But he has to declare a
10	state of emergency.
11	MR. FULL: It would be under a declaration
12	of an emergency. It would certainly be under that, in
13	that regard.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I think there were some
15	questions at the last hearing.
16	MR. FULL: Yes. There would have to be a
17	declaration of emergency on behalf of the Governor to be
18	able to access that funding. Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Great. And then the
20	Legislature can then appropriate additional monies.
21	MR. FULL: Additional monies, yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Other questions from the
23	members? Anyone? Thank you.
24	MR. FULL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	Moving along to our next testifier, we

have with us Ms. Angela Gillette, who is the President of 1 KEMA for the eastern region. 2 Ms. Gillette, thank you. Are you here 3 today? 4 5 MS. GILLETTE: I'm here. I'm sorry, it's Angel. 6 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: 7 MS. GILLETTE: Angel. CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I think I found these 8 glasses somewhere; I'm struggling to read. You can begin 9 your testimony when you're ready. 10 11 MS. GILLETTE: Thank you. 12 Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee. 13 14 My name is Angel Gillette. I am the Hazard Mitigation/Disaster Recovery Manager for 15 16 Northampton County, Pennsylvania. In addition to my 17 duties with the county's Division of Emergency Management Services, I also have the honor of serving as the Eastern 18 19 Area President for the Keystone Emergency Management Association. 20 21 I thank you for the opportunity to offer testimony on KEMA's behalf to you today on House Bill 22 2562. 23 24 The Keystone Emergency Management 25 Association, as you've heard known as KEMA, is the

statewide professional association dedicated to enhancing the Emergency Management profession in the Commonwealth.

KEMA's mission is to promote, support, and advocate comprehensive emergency management at all levels in Pennsylvania's public and private sectors.

Since it's inception in 1977, KEMA has provided a statewide forum for emergency management professionals to exchange ideas, techniques, and share best practices.

Our membership consists of emergency managers at state, county, and local levels, members of the military, emergency management students and representatives from both the public and private sectors.

KEMA has a long-standing tradition of working closely with local, state, and national organizations.

This includes our relationship with the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency, as well as our parent organization, the International Association of Emergency Managers. It is these many collaborations and relationships that provide our organization with crucial insights into the current state of emergency management in Pennsylvania and how the proposed changes will strengthen the safety of our communities.

There are numerous items in this bill

that, if enacted, would enhance our emergency management program. In consideration of everyone's time today, I'll only highlight three of those items.

The first one being regionalization. The regionalization of emergency management is consistently brought to the forefront whenever the topic of improving service delivery is discussed.

The approach outlined in this bill encourages neighboring municipalities to leverage resources through increased collaboration. Here in the eastern region I have witnessed how a successful regionalized emergency management effort can be.

In Montgomery County 20 percent of the county's municipalities came together to establish the Eastern Montgomery County Emergency Management Group.

This formalized group leveraged resources to more effectively plan for the safety and security of more than 300,000 residents. That's nearly 40 percent of the county's population.

The success of the regional initiative was recognized and awarded the 2002 Governor's Award for Excellence in Local Government.

The second item of the bill I would like to touch on is workers' compensation coverage. I would like to highlight the language that would provide for

1 more workers' compensation coverage for emergency
2 management volunteers.

Our volunteers routinely risk their own safety to meet the public safety needs of their community. To quote a former U.S. President, "Volunteerism is an act of heroism on a grand scale and it matters profoundly. It does more than help people beat the odds; it changes the odds."

Ensuring that these volunteers are protected is something that KEMA believes is long overdue.

And finally, the last item is the evolution of emergency management. Title 35 serves as the compass for emergency management in the Commonwealth. It is the umbrella under which we must work to address the ever-changing hazards impacting our communities.

The existing legislation that governs emergency management is no longer relevant to the world we live in today.

As we know, the last time it was amended was in 1996. And as PEMA Director Cannon and just now Deputy Chief Full had mentioned in previous testimony, simply put, the requirements of emergency management professionals in 2012 are quite different than those that

were in place in 1996. 1 2 Emergency management has evolved to 3 incorporate and plan for events such as the 2007 Valentine's Day winter storm, Hurricane Katrina, 4 September 11th, Tropical Storm Lee, the creation of U.S. 5 Department of Homeland Security, and numerous other state 6 and federal changes. 7 Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, 8 I want to again thank you for allowing me to express 9 KEMA's support for House Bill 2562. The update to Title 10 11 35 is much needed, and the positive effects of these changes far outweigh any potential clarity or technical 12 13 issues that may be present in its current form. 14 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you, Ms. Gillette. That concludes my testimony 15 MS. GILLETTE: 16 for today. Thank you very much. If anyone, of course, 17 has any questions, please feel free to ask or you can ask later. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Okay. Questions from 20 any of the Members? 21 Were you -- can I ask you a question? 22 MS. GILLETTE: Sure. 23 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: In Montgomery County, 24 were you involved hands-on with the cooperation between 25 -- whenever the word "regionalization" is thrown out

- there, I think a lot of our emergency responders, you know, get upset with that. They feel they're going to lose local control. I know elected officials feel any type of regionalization results in loss of local
 - Did you see the spirit of cooperation?

 How was that brought together to increase the regionalization impact there?
 - MS. GILLETTE: That's a very good question. And what I would like to stress with that particular regional group as well as the ones that we are encouraging, it is not a consolidation, more so leveraged resources of individual neighboring municipalities to maximize their efforts.
 - So I can understand that that concept might make local officials nervous; however, it's more of a thought -- work smarter not harder. Everyone still has their own local control; however, the regionalized -- it just, it increases the success of the planning efforts as far as emergency management.
 - Instead of operating in different silos, we bring everyone together and it helps when the actual event happens that we all are working from the same page. So it's not consolidation; it's more of a formalized partnership.

control.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Okay. We hear a lot 1 about the workers' comp issues that are currently out 2 3 there today. KEMA is okay with what we're trying to do with the workers' comp? 4 5 I think you've expanded a little bit in your testimony on that. Can you give us a little bit 6 more of what you're looking for in the workers' comp 7 8 area? MS. GILLETTE: We certainly support this 9 legislation. As most groups, we would want to increase 10 11 the benefits to any emergency management volunteers. As 12 it stands now, the way that the workers' compensation coverage is, at a minimum, everyone that volunteers for 13 14 emergency management would be covered. Of course, KEMA would want to see an 15 16 investment, an additional investment financially into the 17 emergency management program. However, at a minimum, this protects all of the volunteers, regardless of the 18 19 situation of which municipality they're volunteering 20 with. 21 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Chairman Sainato, any 22 questions? 23 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: Just to follow up I think, Chairman Barrar, when the disaster hit here, this 24

area here, was there -- I've asked this question at the

last hearing, it more went to the communications issue. 1 2 Was there any problems with the communication between the 3 departments? 4 MS. GILLETTE: I can speak on my Northampton County role. 5 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: 6 Okay. 7 MS. GILLETTE: Okay. So we actually -the administration and the way that the response and 8 recovery efforts came together for Tropical Storm Lee and 9 following Hurricane Irene were very good considering what 10 we have to work with. 11 12 So in Northampton County we did not necessarily have communication issues with our municipal 13 14 responders, but I can't say that for other municipalities, because they're all operating 15 differently, so there could certainly be issues. 16 17 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: I know Director Cannon was talking about that was a priority of his, to 18 19 make sure everybody was on the same page so we don't have 20 problems communicating. I think that's a worthy goal and 21 it seems like you guys are ahead of the curve. 22 MS. GILLETTE: Just to comment on that, 23 certainly emergency management is one of those unique systems that we all need to be standardized and working 24

from the same sheet of music so that we can keep it going

in a system that saves lives. 1 2 CO-CHAIRMAN SAINATO: Thank you for your 3 testimony. Thank you very much. 4 MS. GILLETTE: 5 Thank you, Ms. Gillette. CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Next on our agenda is Mr. Robert Kagel, 6 7 the Deputy Director of Emergency Management in Chester Is he here? 8 County. And Randy Gockley, Director of 9 Yes. Emergency Management in Lancaster County, if you would 10 11 come up. 12 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Mr. Kagel, Mr. Gockley, you can begin your testimony when you're ready. 13 14 MR. GOCKLEY: Good morning. I'm Randall Gockley, Emergency Management Coordinator for the County 15 16 of Lancaster. I've been employed with the county's 17 agency since 1988 and have served as the County Coordinator since 1992. 18 19 Besides having attained Professional 20 Certification as an Emergency Management Coordinator, 21 I've also served my local community as a volunteer 22 firefighter for 39 years, and am in my 16th year as the 23 Chief of the Lincoln Volunteer Fire Company in Ephrata. I thank the Committee leadership for the 24 25 opportunity to comment today on House Bill 2562, which is a much-needed update of Title 35, the Emergency
Management Services Code.

In my career I've seen great changes in the type of incidents that Emergency Management at all levels is expected to prepare for and respond to.

Besides the serious wind and flooding events that we see in Lancaster County, history has shown us that we need to be prepared for situations associated with two nuclear power plants within ten miles of our county, plus the two additional nuclear power plants within 50 miles of our border.

In the past 20 years we have experienced five drought warnings, the blizzards of 1996 and 2010, as well as the coldest day in Commonwealth history in 1993 when the city of Harrisburg had a high temperature of minus 15 degrees that particular day.

We have seen our share of large-scale fires, hazardous material incidents and the technological scare of Y2K, as all levels of government and our citizens held their breath that computers would continue to work.

Also in Lancaster County it started out as a pleasant day on October 2nd, 2006. A gunman entered a one-room Amish school house. As a result, five innocent young girls were killed, several others critically

wounded, and the lives of many community members and first responders changed forever.

We experienced an event that received worldwide exposure in one of our most rural communities within the county. To this day I cannot express enough heartfelt thanks to the emergency management community and all emergency and social services that responded to the shooting and assisted with the recovery during the months and the years that have followed.

What these tragic events have done is demonstrate that serious events can occur in any community, large or small. The changes reflected in the current version of House Bill 2562 meets the needs of our political subdivisions at every level of government to ensure the safety and confidence of all our citizens during natural and man-made events.

Municipalities in Lancaster County, like many others, rely heavily on volunteers providing a large percentage of the personnel needed during large-scale emergencies and disasters.

As I studied House Bill 2562 I feel that the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency, the Keystone Emergency Management Association, and the numerous partnership organizations have done a remarkable job of increasing the expected professionalism of the

emergency management program while maintaining expectations that can still be reasonably met and carried out by our valuable volunteers.

The additional emphasis on intergovernmental cooperation as outlined in Section 7501(f) will assure increased cooperation and resources as well as the avoidance of duplications at all levels of municipal government during times of preparedness as well as response.

I agree with Section 7501(e.1), which allows the PEMA director to have the discretion of recognizing prior experience and training in meeting the agency's requirements.

This proposed legislation also recognizes the importance of intergovernmental support, not just at the municipal level but at the county level as well, with the continued establishment of regional task forces.

Since 1999, the South Central Task Force, of which Lancaster County is a member, has repeatedly shown that the shared strengths of the counties improve the response capabilities at all levels and with all disciplines, including private business and industry.

House Bill 2562 continues to recognize the importance of the task forces and allows them to continue their common region goals based on available funding and

future support from state and federal levels. 1 2 The legislation authorizes the 3 Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency to establish the task forces. 4 5 I offer one caution. And that is that PEMA continues to work with the current nine task forces 6 in examining any reduction in task force numbers or any 7 changes in jurisdictional boundaries. 8 I fully support the increased planning and 9 exercise requirements for dependent care facilities. 10 11 House Bill 2562 is very clear on its expectations of 12 public schools. However, I would like to recommend a clear 13 14 definition to assure that private schools also have the same planning and exercise requirements as public 15 schools, as outlined in Section 7701(q). 16 We need to assure that school 17 administrators of students and staff have emergency plans 18 19 in place for all educational venues, including public, 20 parochial, and private. 21 The emergency management profession, both 22 volunteer and career, have recognized for quite some time 23 that the Emergency Services Act, Management Services Act needs updating. 24 25 This has been created by the ever changing

and increasing threats that we face and must be prepared for. Our citizens have made it very clear that they have a high expectation for their safety during times of major emergencies and disasters.

Citizens must also share in being prepared for any community emergency. House Bill 2562 provides a great framework to minimize the impact of disasters. However, we must not lose sight that the individuals must also share in their preparedness and safety by having basic disaster supplies, knowledge of community plans, and the willingness to follow the advice and guidance of government officials.

All facets of emergency management require a strong partnership at all levels of government. The proposed legislation ensures that our citizens have a high level of confidence with the public safety community, and assures the professionalism and teamwork required in being prepared for and responding to the 21st century disasters.

I thank the Chair and Committee for allowing me the opportunity to provide my support and comments on this important bill before you.

Thank you.

MR. KAGEL: Chairman Barrar, Chairman Sainato, distinguished members of the Committee, I'm

Robert Kagel. I'm the Deputy Director for Emergency
Management in Chester County. And thank you for the
opportunity to provide this statement and discuss House
Bill 2562, which will amend the Emergency Management
Services Code, which is commonly referred to as Title 35.

Title 35 has stood as our enabling legislation for years. It has served our community well. But given advances in emergency management and changes to our world caused by people that want to hurt us, Title 35 needs to be refreshed for guite some time.

I applaud you and thank you for doing just that. The updating of Title 35 has been done in a cooperative spirit. My boss, Edward J. Atkins, led the efforts on behalf of the Keystone Emergency Management Association, or KEMA.

He and other emergency managers from across the Commonwealth came together to discuss topics, such as professional education, certification and continuing education requirements for emergency managers, the role and structure of regional task forces, and the responsibilities of local and county emergency management programs, as well as the role and responsibility of the Pennsylvania Emergency Management agency.

The goal was to give tools and resources to the organizations to execute critical functions that

protect and save the lives of our citizens. 1 After KEMA had completed with their 2 3 rewrite, PEMA took their comments, added their own, and now we have House Bill 2562 before you today. 4 The revisions provided will add clarity to 5 the roles, responsibilities, and duties of municipal and 6 7 County Emergency Management Programs. The duties assigned to our programs are 8 all functions we do today. The revisions simply empower 9 us to do what we are doing already. 10 11 In Chester County, for example, I will not require any additional staff or funding to carry out any 12 of our newly defined roles. 13 14 I believe the language in the bill encourages regionalization of municipal emergency 15 16 management programs. This is key to ensuring an 17 efficient and fiscally responsible municipal emergency 18 management program. 19 In Chester County we have four regional 20 emergency management groups and I have seen firsthand the 21 success of regionalization. 22 The Avon Grove Regional Emergency 23 Management Group, or AGREM, was our first regional

emergency management group in the county. It is

comprised of seven municipalities.

24

25

They plan together,

they respond together, and they marshal their individual resources for the collective good.

We have regional emergency management groups in the Oxford area, the Octorara area, and the West Chester area. We even have a group currently discussing the regionalization of emergency management programs within the Limerick Generating Station Emergency Planning Zone, which in Chester County consists of 13 different municipalities.

There's a new provision for large event planning. This will allow local and county emergency management programs to know when an event is taking place that has the potential to create an issue within our jurisdiction.

While the Department of Health had requirements for the provision of emergency medical services at large events, the emergency management community was often left out of the discussion. We learned of these events by reading them in the newspaper, seeing advertisements or being a patron.

A challenge we have and continue to experience is getting organizations to actually plan. In Chester County we have 36 high-hazard dams. We have consistently stated about 84 percent compliance. Some of those dams are owned and operated by homeowner

associations or private citizens. We have similar experiences with dependent care facilities.

The requirements in Title 35 will strengthen our ability to collect these plans. We have also had difficulty getting municipalities to develop and improve their basic emergency operations plans.

In fact, across the Commonwealth this is a common trend. The bill emphasizes the importance of thorough planning at all levels.

Workers' compensation has been a concern for many of our municipal and county emergency management volunteers, centered around the question, who is going to cover me if I get hurt.

The revision to Title 35 adequately addresses that issue. The workers' compensation provision is long overdue. I would like to acknowledge PEMA's flexibility and efforts to ensure this revision allows us to do our jobs.

Chester County is part of the Southeastern Pennsylvania Regional Task Force. It's a mouthful, so we abbreviate it SEPA RTF. That includes Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery Counties, as well as the City of Philadelphia.

The SEPA RTF utilizes a third-party fiduciary agent to manage the Homeland Security grants

awarded to our urban area.

Drafts were written such that we would be unable to utilize a third-party fiduciary. One of our member counties would be required to act as the fiduciary agent. We tried that and it just didn't work.

PEMA heard and understood our concerns.

They have agreed to revise that language.

During Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm

Lee, Chester County was fortunate. We certainly weren't

the hardest-hit areas, but we still had enough flooding

and damage to warrant a Presidential disaster

declaration.

During those storms we opened three shelters. Working with our partners we were able to provide a safe place for more than 500 people to ride out the storm.

Hurricane Katrina taught us that many people would not leave their homes without their pets. Because of this lesson we were able to establish a pet shelter during Irene and Lee. In total we provided shelter to four dogs, two cats, and even a bird.

The revisions to Title 35 emphasize the importance of supporting animal response teams. It's the animal response teams that make our human shelters pet friendly. Without this important capability, who knows

1 | if we would have lost anyone during Irene and Lee.

I applaud you for specifically calling out the support of these teams in Title 35.

Emergency management, in my opinion, has one of the hardest jobs: coordination. We joke it's the equivalent to herding cats. We have sophisticated systems that warn of impending weather, we're able to take actions to save lives and property by closing roads that will flood, sandbagging susceptible critical infrastructure, and evacuating those most at risk.

Wouldn't it be great if we had a similar system to warn us of criminal or terrorist activity? We do. But because of the nature of some of this information it wasn't being shared.

Title 35 catapults us to where we need to be. It establishes requirements to share vital information that can save lives, while protecting the sensitivity of the information. It ensures law enforcement operations are never compromised, and allows decision makers access to plan and prepare for the consequences of imminent threats.

Overall, a seemingly simple portion of Title 35 provision can save lives and property. This is a critical step forward and is sorely needed.

Finally, we're fortunate to have a local

health department. We enjoy a strong relationship and 1 2 rely on each other's strengths. The revision to Title 35 3 is an important step forward for the public health community. 4 5 The language included in the revisions provides a clear avenue for protecting the health and 6 welfare of our citizens. 7 We understand how to quarantine and 8 isolate those that pose a significant public health risk, 9 all while protecting their constitutional rights as 10 11 Americans. 12 In my view this is huge, both as an 13 emergency manager and as an American. 14 Mr. Chairman, thank you for introducing House Bill 2562 and your leadership on a matter that lies 15 16 so close to the hearts of everyone in Pennsylvania's 17 emergency management community. Chairman Sainato and members of the 18 19 committee, thank you for your co-sponsorship of House 20 Bill 2562. 21 I appreciate the opportunity to appear 22 before you today and would be happy to answer any questions you may have. 23 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Gentlemen, thank you for 24 25 your testimony. I will ask the members if they have

questions. Representative Hackett for a question. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman, and this question may also be directed to part of our committee, too, and also to staff. 4 5 I just want to clear something up. you brought up 7701(q) and I looked at (f) also. 6 basically it's saying that pretty much everywhere has to 7 have a plan in place annually. I saw the word "annually" 8 in there for the schools and custodial child care 9 facilities. 10 11 And then in Chester County you mentioned that for this to develop, to be part of this bill, that 12 there will be no further cost to you. 13 14 So when you see the influx of these school plans coming in and charter schools and all the schools, 15 you don't see you'll have to put on additional staff? 16 17 MR. KAGEL: There's a requirement for it The current Title 35 actually requires schools to 18 now. 19 develop emergency plans annually, submit them to the 20 local emergency management coordinator or emergency management program, and the county is supposed to get a 21 22 copy of it. So again, what we're asking folks to do 23 isn't new. 24 It exists. 25 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: According to the

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HEARING language here it's new. Maybe I'm reading it wrong. 1 Ιt 2 says "Every dependent --" this is all new. "Every 3 dependent care facility, including -- " and maybe Rick is the guy who can clear that up. 4 5 MR. GOCKLEY: In the current law there was just a requirement for public schools that are funded by 6 public dollars. 7 8 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Okay. So now private schools are added to traditional schools, right? 9 So it's not in place now, correct? 10 11 MR. GOCKLEY: Many private schools are 12 doing it, but I -- many are not, exactly. And that was the point of my testimony. We would like to see it 13 across the board. 14 The shooting that happened in Lancaster 15 16 County was in a one-room Amish school. We have 80-plus 17

Amish schools in Lancaster County. And at this point we don't have a single plan for many of those schools.

Again, it puts the responsibility on the school board. And PEMA has a very good planning kit that's available. That boilerplate helps the schools do that.

It's just important in my mind for all schools across the board to make sure the language is very clear that all schools need some kind of emergency

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 plan.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I agree with you, and I believe it should be in the bill. I was more concerned about the actual costs. Are counties able to absorb that cost, or do you see some areas out there that maybe may entice the private sector to get involved in some way. Maybe the private sector could come in and do that service for that school and present their plans for them.

Any entrepreneurs out there that want to move into Pennsylvania and start that business, I think this is a great day to start.

MR. GOCKLEY: There are consultants that will make themselves available to provide that service. Within the emergency management community, what we're responsible for is reviewing the plan, not writing the plan itself for the facilities.

As far as the plan review, I agree with Chester County and Lancaster County. We have the staff that, if every school, which I hope they would do, would provide a plan to us, we can do it with existing staff, as far as a review and comments back to them.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Great, Randy.

Thank you very much. And Mr. Chairman, a great part of the bill here. I really like this section. Thank you

very much. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Great. Thank you. 3 Questions down here. Representative Donatucci. REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for your testimony today. 5 You had mentioned that you have difficulty 6 getting municipalities to develop and improve their basic 7 emergency operations. 8 Having said that, how do we, outside of 9 alert notifications, get individuals to take their own 10 responsibility, if we're having trouble getting 11 12 municipalities to do it? MR. KAGEL: September actually provides, 13 14 and the timing of this hearing provides a great opportunity to answer that question. It's National 15 16 Preparedness Month in September. And it's just a 17 constant process of being out in front and educating the public of the importance of being prepared. 18 We don't necessarily encourage folks to 19 20 get prepared right before an emergency. Just like 21 everyone else, it's a constant process of just 22 continually being prepared and the education process. 23 MR. GOCKLEY: I'm sure we're like many counties and use media as much as possible. And the 24

aftermath of situations to -- for example, last year we

lost three lives because people were either driving or walking through water.

Unfortunately, you try to educate the people after the fact and use the tragedy to say you shouldn't take steps like that. We do the same thing.

We try, prior to the disaster occurring, to remind them about their disaster supply kits, but also afterwards to try to reinforce to them. And again, it's through the media, it's through municipal newsletters and websites.

Plus also I have to say Pennsylvania

Emergency Management Agency and readypa.gov has some
excellent information available on their websites for
people. It's just getting them motivated to do it.

One of the things in my career, and I think we can all agree, December 31st, 1999 preparedness was at a high point in the United States, both individuals, businesses, everybody was well prepared for anything that Y2K would have occurred.

Unfortunately, we lost some of that momentum and it's a constant reminder to the public.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you.

Well, I always tell everybody to sign up for the alerts.

I get them all day on my cellphone, and then I tweet it

out to everybody else that follows me, to let them know

what precautions to take. And it is great. Thank you. 1 MR. KAGEL: If I may, you bring up an 2 3 important point in terms of tweeting and Twitter. And the whole social media has really changed the way we can 4 communicate with the public. I'm sure that you've seen 5 it, getting information to your constituencies. 6 Social media has really expanded that and 7 allowed us to provide that sort of instantaneous 8 information through just a variety of different means and 9 it served us well. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Are there other questions from any other members? 12 Gentlemen, thank you for your testimony 13 14 today. I appreciate your taking your time to be here. 15 MR. GOCKLEY: Thank you. 16 MR. KAGEL: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I just want to call to the members' attention that we had, in your packets you 18 19 have testimony which has been submitted by the County 20 Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania, the 21 Southwestern Chapter of the Pennsylvania American Red 22 Cross. 23 And also the City of Philadelphia has submitted comments which, Representative Hackett, I would 24 25 like to draw to your attention that on page 4, to follow

up on your question, that the City of Philadelphia is 1 2 somewhat objecting to the comments that you made about 3 notifications of schools. You may want to take a look at the fourth 4 5 page there. The fourth page 6 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: of the testimony? 7 The testimony of 8 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Philadelphia, yes, where they kind of, I quess they 9 dispute that. You may want to discuss that with the 10 11 representatives from Philadelphia as we go forward. 12 Next on the agenda is Mr. Eric Fish, 13 Senior Legislative Counsel for the Uniform Law 14 Commission. Mr. Fish, you have a panel that is going to come up with you? 15 16 MR. FISH: Sure. 17 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: The Pennsylvania Nurse Association. 18 19 Mr. Fish, if you would like to begin your 20 testimony. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and 21 MR. FISH: Members of the Committee. For the record I am Eric Fish 22 and I'm Senior Legislative Counsel at the Uniform Law 23 Commission based out of Chicago, flew in this morning. 24 So this tight fit, I'm sort of used to 25

it. My USAir flight was just like this.

But the Uniform Law Commission is a nationwide group that was founded in 1892, and all states send us commissioners. In Pennsylvania we have several commissioners that are very active, many of whom you likely know: Nora Winkelman, Vince DiLiberato, and Ray Pepe, who is the Chairman of this drafting committee that drafted what is known as H.B. 2120, which is the Emergency Volunteer Health Practitioner's Act.

The ULC has a long history in solving interstate problems. The commercial code, the Uniform Commercial Code is one of those products; uniform Probate Code; child support guidelines, child support and child custody guidelines; and Anatomical Gift Act is one of our most successful acts, allowing people to recognize a donation of a gift throughout the country.

The issue in H.B. 2120, which I understand has been endorsed by Representative Delozier, is to be included as you go forward with H.B. 2562, came out of Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita. It's somewhat eerie that we're here today, as New Orleans is getting hit, discussing a bill that was drafted in response to some of the issues that we saw seven years ago.

During Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane
Rita several emergency health practitioner volunteers,

these were doctors, nurses, mental health practitioners, we had veterinarians and others flock to the region to aid in the relief efforts.

Unfortunately, when they got down to New Orleans and Mississippi and Alabama, they were met with a bureaucratic hurdle, which is their licenses of being doctors in, say, Chicago or even Texas were not recognized and they were afraid to practice. They were turned away. And they couldn't reach the people that they had come to help.

One of the doctors that I've talked to was actually from Louisiana and then wanted to go to Mississippi to help because Louisiana had had so many volunteers. Mississippi wouldn't recognize their license.

So the Uniform Law Commission, in response to this question, drafted the Uniform Emergency Volunteer Health Practitioners Act. What this act does is it allows for interstate recognition of healthcare licenses in emergency situations.

I'm just going to go through the bill briefly. I've provided packets that many of you have, and they're in the back, that go through the bill in all the detail.

But what this bill does is it creates a

registration system. It creates an ability for your state emergency managers and local bodies to handle these volunteers and know who's out there; it allows for advanced deployment and registration; and makes sure that the residents of Pennsylvania have the volunteers at the ready that can help during an emergency.

Just to go briefly through the registration system. The registration system can be run by a state agency, like PEMA; it can be run by a local agency. As we heard earlier, many of these smaller townships and counties are forming together into alliance groups. It can also be run by groups like the nurses association; it could be run by the state Red Cross; it can be run by the state College of Surgeons.

These groups will set up an emergency registration system which is approved by the state agency, in this case PEMA, and it allows volunteers to preregister and sign up for alerts so that in case if emergency is needed, they can be drawn from that group. Their licenses would be credentialed.

All that paperwork that met many of the volunteers in Louisiana has already been taken care of.

PEMA would be the agency that directs where these people go. They are always in control. It's not as if we're setting up separate volunteer systems. The state agency

1 | is always in control.

I liken it to having a baseball manager looking into his bullpen and seeing who he can call upon for that situation. At times it might just be veterinarians; at times it might just be surgeons or nurses. But this creates a robust system that the state can call from.

Just to go briefly through how this differs from something like the ESAR-VHP system, which some of you may know about. ESAR-VHP is a federal program that allows for interstate deployment of volunteers.

Unfortunately, the definitions in the ESAR-VHP do not go as far as some of the definitions in the Uniform Act.

So in conjunction with ESAR-VHP, the Uniform Act reaches out to veterinarians, mental health practitioners, and a lot of different people that are important to a public health response.

It's just not doctors and nurses anymore.

A lot of it does involve the mental health and even
animal welfare, as we heard earlier.

Nationally, 15 states have enacted this act. It was completed in 2006, and Louisiana is one of those states that has enacted this. And so I'm going to

be interested to see if they deploy any individuals using
this system.

The states are setting up these registries and today we haven't had any complaints or questions about these issues. But it's also something that's been supported nationally by a lot of different interest groups.

I'm joined here with members of the Red Cross and the Pennsylvania Nurses Association, but we also support from American College of Surgeons, we have support from veterinarian groups, mental health groups.

So this is something that the practitioners see a benefit to, a lot of emergency managers see a benefit to, and hopefully this committee sees a benefit to include as they go forward with changes to H.B. 2562.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you. Kevin.

MR. BUSHER: Good morning, Chairman, and distinguished Members of the Committee.

On behalf of the Pennsylvania State Nurses
Association we appreciate the opportunity to testify in
support of the many provisions of House Bill 2120 and its
inclusion into House Bill 2562.

My name is Kevin Busher and I'm the Director of Government Affairs for PSNA. We are a

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HEARING nonprofit voice for nurses in the Commonwealth of 1 2 Pennsylvania, representing more than 209,000 registered 3 nurses. We work to be essential in advancing, 4 5 promoting, and supporting the profession of nursing to improve health for all in the Commonwealth. 6 The Commonwealth's registered nurses, 7 8 licensed practical nurses and advanced practice nurses are the backbone of our healthcare system. 9 Nurses play a vital role when disaster strikes. When the healthcare 10

need of care, registered nurses can and will respond. Therefore, lawmakers must ensure that when nurses and other healthcare professionals respond, laws exist that support and promote this response on the part

system is disrupted and when patients and citizens are in

Today I am accompanied by Rebecca Zukowski, a PSNA member and a registered nurse. Rebecca will be presenting the remainder of our testimony.

of the health professionals.

MS. ZUKOWSKI: Good morning. As Kevin stated, my name is Rebecca Zukowski, a registered nurse for over 30 years and a current educator of our future nurse workforce.

I am here on behalf of the Pennsylvania Nurses Association. As a former navy nurse corps officer

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and proud veteran, I am keenly aware of the critical role of a response force when human lives are at stake.

As is true with the military force, the disaster workforce must be well trained, competent, and willing to be present and accounted for when needed.

Registered nurses serve at all levels of disaster planning and response. We need to ensure that registered nurses will be easily and efficiently and effectively brought into the Commonwealth to serve those in need when needed.

Since 2009 I have worked, as a part of my doctoral studies, with the National Center for Disaster Medicine and Public Health located in Rockville,
Maryland. This center was established, based upon the October 17th, 2007 Homeland Security Presidential 21, which was released.

It specifically addressed the establishment of an academic joint program for disaster medicine and public health.

The National Center for Disaster Medicine and Public Health was created and is hosted by the Department of Defense under the Uniform Services University. It serves as an academic center of excellence for the dissemination of core curriculum for health professionals in disaster medicine public health.

As a part of the National Center for
Disaster Medicine and Public Health, I was a contributing
author on the Report of the Domestic Natural Disaster
Health Work Force, which was released in November of
2011.

It identified the challenges of ensuring that personnel are employed optimally, given the specialties they have. Prior to release of this report, I personally facilitated a conference seeking feedback regarding the disaster workforce, particularly as it concerned professional volunteers.

Representatives from local communities impacted by disaster across this nation were invited to attend. They described the influencers and barriers to volunteerism from actual experience.

This group indicated that volunteers needed to feel assured by incident command management leadership that they will be properly utilized in the event of a disaster. Too often this group commented that volunteers, when called upon to respond, were called upon without a real assessment of the need that could be addressed by the capabilities of these volunteers.

One nurse described how he responded to Hurricane Katrina, ready to use the full scope of his nursing skills, only to be asked to drive a truck hauling

water. Although he followed through on this request, it was not a good use of his skills and would deter him from volunteering in the future.

This committee and the department should address many of the barriers that are of concern to health professionals when considering whether or not to respond to a disaster.

It must also provide a response framework that is developed within the jurisdiction by restricting the application of its provisions to those who respond within an established registry or other recognized response mechanism.

Ultimately, passage of this legislation could create a legal environment that welcomes health practitioners and nurses into the Commonwealth during a declared emergency.

In addition, I served with the national center as, and was deployed aboard the USS IWO JIMA in support of Operation Continuing Promise 2010. And I investigated military and civilian simulations to disaster while delivering care to patients in rural Haiti six months following the 2010 earthquake.

I experienced, with boots-on-the-ground approach, that allowed me to work side by side with nurses, physicians, and other healthcare providers

1 delivering care in Port-de-Paix, Haiti.

I observed firsthand the challenges to disaster response that appeared related to a lack of resources, unskilled responders, inadequate infrastructures and overwhelming morbidity and mortality.

Observations during this activity broadened my awareness of response and recovery capabilities required of individuals, organizations and communities in response to disasters, and highlighted the critical importance of registered nurses during disaster response operations.

These scenes which unfolded showed clearly that registered nurses serve at all levels of the disaster plan response cycle. They are clinicians, coordinators, researchers, and leaders.

We continue to need to be able to mobilize nurses with the right skills to disaster impacted areas with as much ease as possible in order to use their skills appropriately and efficiently.

We do not want a system that blocks or inhibits those nurses who have the necessary skills from quickly or easily volunteering.

However, we know that the system needs to be coordinated and responsive to the needs of the local

community and ensures that nurses and other healthcare providers responding are licensed and in good standing.

An established registry or other recognized response mechanism is a way to ensure that this happens, while at the same time, alerting the responder to the activities that can be performed within the recognized scope of the disaster.

An important component is the recognition of the out-of-state license of health practitioners while providing the Commonwealth with the opportunity to limit activities of out-of-state health professionals.

Coupled with the requirement that health professionals responding under this act must do so to an officially recognized registration system, the Commonwealth can be assured that providers responding are licensed, are in good standing, while the practitioners can be certain about the activities they can perform.

Coordinated disaster response and competent intervention by healthcare provider workforces saves lives. A catastrophic health event, such as a terrorist attack with a weapon of mass destruction, a naturally occurring pandemic, or a calamitous meteorological or geological event could cause tens or hundreds of thousands of casualties.

This weakens our economy, damages public

morale and confidence and it threatens our national security. It is critical that we establish a strategic vision in the Commonwealth that will enable a level of public health and medical preparedness, in addition, to address the needs of the nation and our Commonwealth when disaster strikes.

Nurses constitute the largest sector of the healthcare workforce within the United States and will most certainly be on the front lines for disaster response.

Because nurses make up the largest proportion of the healthcare workforce in the country, it is critical that we are prepared to meet the needs of the Commonwealth with a disaster workforce composed of competent nurses that are willing to respond to the call.

We urge the Committee, the Governor, and the Department to address the barriers that exist for health professionals when considering whether or not to respond to disasters.

Ultimately we support the passage of legislation that will create a welcoming environment for healthcare practitioners and nurses to come to the Commonwealth during a declared emergency.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you for your

testimony. I can assure you that the Losier bill and the 1 2 language in there will be, with the support of the 3 Committee here, will be incorporated into in this rewrite. 4 5 To me, just listening to you sitting here, it's common sense. Do you really need legislation to, 6 you know, to do something like this? 7 I can't believe that in a disaster that 8 licensed healthcare professionals from anywhere would be 9 turned away in a situation of disaster like that. 10 11 MR. FISH: Mr. Chair, if I may. 12 Louisiana the Governor had actually said anybody who has a license can come in and issued an executive order. 13 14 With the communication breakdown, those executive orders were not dispatched to the counties and to the parishes, 15 16 so that these practitioners and the barriers they faced were to be removed. It just didn't happen. 17 So this would create a system that is 18 19 already in place if there is a communication breakdown. 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: But this recognizes the individual? 21 Yes. The individual would 22 MR. FISH: 23 register with the system that would be set up by a local health community or a practitioner group or even a county 24 25 or city.

Is there a provision, 1 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: then, that just allows out some type of reciprocity for 2 3 just a license? If you're a licensed Pennsylvania R.N., wouldn't it just make more sense, though, just to say 4 5 anyone with that particular license is automatically -because in a sizeable disaster you would probably get 6 more volunteers than they have registered, or you may 7 prevent people from volunteering because they may not 8 have properly registered. 9 I don't know if that makes more sense to 10 11 go that way. 12 MR. FISH: The registration system does help know who's out there. It also helps for training. 13 14 You can work on deployment as you do drills, who you'd call in this sort of situation. 15 Internally, individuals can register and 16 17 those groups can then go to other states that have this bill and be part of a response force. So it works both 18 19 ways. 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Okay. Questions from the Committee here? Are there any questions? 21 22 Well, thank you for your testimony. And I appreciate, Eric, your taking the time to fly in from 23 24 Chicago. 25 MR. FISH: You're welcome. It's probably

faster to get here than it is some places in Chicago 1 2 during rush hour. Thank you, sir. 3 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Rebecca, thank you for your service to our country. 4 We just had a, the fire company had asked 5 that if anyone is parked in front of the firehouse, 6 possibly blocking any of the -- if they're in front, to 7 move your cars in case there were a fire alarm called in. 8 Next on our agenda is Mr. Martin Till, 9 President and Chief Executive Officer of the Penn- Jersey 10 11 Advance and Publisher for the Easton Express-Times. 12 Mr. Till, thank you for your testimony, being here today. 13 14 MR. TILL: Good morning. Thank you. Thank you for allowing us to be here. I'm representing 15 the Pennsylvania Newspaper Association, talking about 16 17 House Bill 2562. Really, our concern is Section 7715 of 18 19 this bill, which talks about the confidentiality. And 20 you have my, the written testimony, so I won't bore you 21 with reading it. But I was thinking about it on the way 22 23 here and just thinking about sort of a common sense approach to this stuff. I think all of you know that 24 25 Pennsylvania already has an extensive rights-to-know

process that protects government municipalities, school districts and also the citizens to have knowledge about what's going on within their government.

There's a line in this legislation that will become very well-known throughout the Commonwealth if this goes through. I would just like to read you that. The line is that it is reasonably likely to jeopardize or threaten public safety or preparedness or public protection activity.

That line would allow anybody from the volunteer firefighter to municipality clerk, anybody, to automatically exclude any record to citizens of this Commonwealth, including the media.

And what's really scary about that is if that is done, there's no recourse. There's no way for us to challenge that and say well, why, why is this not available. And that's very concerning.

I was thinking about this on the way
here. I don't know why, and it's more on a national
level, but I'm a former Army guy, served in the U.S. Army
for a number of years, and the story of Pat Tillman
always sort of got me, because of a guy giving up his NFL
career to go join the Ranger Battalion, which is what I
was in, and then lost his life.

If you remember that tragedy, he lost his

life in battle, and it was a friendly fire incident. We all know that happens. And I think those with big thinking want to learn about friendly fire and how it happened, why it happened, and then put in processes in place so it doesn't happen again.

Those who were connected to it didn't want people to know it was friendly fire for whatever their reasons were and tried to cover that up. And because the family had access through records and processes, were eventually able to get the truth out. And I would argue that that in time will save lives, military lives.

And one of my concerns with this is any time you talk about, quote, national security, it almost becomes un-American to challenge, that we would in some way jeopardize the life of a police officer or anybody.

Obviously, we would never want to do that. We have great respect for that.

But there is a balance. And any, any document, any meeting that we can say, Well, it's closed; nobody's allowed to know what went on in this meeting.

Well, why not? Well, because it's going to threaten national security if we know about it.

There has to be reasonableness for us to say Well, why is that the case. You can't just blanketly give everybody, and I mean everybody, the ability to sort

of exclude citizens from asking questions about what 1 their government is doing, why they're doing it. 2 3 And when you do that, if you exclude that ability to ask questions, you are just opening 4 5 opportunity for fraud, you're opening opportunity for behaviors that would not be done if there's potential to 6 be seen. And I think that's very, very dangerous for the 7 Commonwealth and for the citizens. 8 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Is that it? 9 That's it. Thank you very 10 MR. TILL: much. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Are there questions? 13 Representative Hackett has a question. 14 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, thank you, Mr. Till, for appearing here 15 16 today. 17 I'm still trying to just get my head around it. So what information under that section you 18 referred to, 7715, what information do you think you 19 20 would not get? 21 MR. TILL: If they invoke the line that I 22 read, if we asked for a document or what happened at that meeting, if you say the line, That if we tell you that it 23 would reasonably be likely to jeopardize or threaten 24 25 public safety or preparedness or public protection

activity, we would get nothing. 1 2 It's a blanket. They don't have to tell 3 us anything. Don't have to tell the citizens. And more importantly, there's no way to challenge that. We can't 4 go back and say, Well, why is it a threat to national 5 security? Why is it a threat? And have some 6 reasonableness to explain why that is. 7 And again nobody's looking for national 8 But there has to be a reasonableness. 9 secrets. If the local fire department or local 10 11 police department can just blanketly say we don't have to tell you because it's going to jeopardize national 12 security, it's a very, very scary process. 13 14 And again, what we really want to point out is the Pennsylvania Right-To-Know Law already, as it 15 16 exists, puts in more-than-adequate protections and 17 processes for everybody. 18 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Mr. Chairman, can you give me a little bit of leeway here? 19 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Yes. 21 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I just want to 22 expand on this a little bit. And I know you told a story, and I'm going to tell a little story, too. 23 Before I get to that, so let's say 24 25 emergency management, they have their meeting going on,

there's some plans, what happens if we have to do a lockdown on a certain school, we've got an active shooter going on. So you guys want access to that information.

Okay. So let's say the meeting's over and we say all right, they'll give it to them after the meeting. That would affect, depending on how that was written, that would affect plans down the road for the next school down the road, the next school lockdown drill that we had down the road. That's what I'm a little bit concerned about.

I can tell you also presently and in my career as a law enforcement officer, I've actually had a warrant crunched on a guy, which means signed by a judge. By the time I got to that house to serve the warrant he was sitting on his computer when I walked in the front door. To me that's a very dangerous situation, sir.

And I just don't think we're stepping over any lines here. I think it's adequate. I don't think we're holding anything back from the public.

I also, as an elected official, most recently have knocked on a whole bunch of doors. And I asked this question of the citizens. And the citizens tell me, we don't need to know everything. We don't need to know every little act that you guys are doing out

Why are we always giving away our trade secrets? 1 there. I said my peace, you said yours. 2 Ι 3 just wanted to make it clear like that. I take this to the heart. I think some things should not be shared so 4 readily or openly sometimes, because I do believe that 5 definition in there, that it could cause, it could cause 6 a problem to these firefighters and all when they're 7 hitting a building. 8 I've hit the meth labs, I've done that. 9 These are safety issues and emergency management guys 10 deal with that. 11 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm sorry. 13 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: That's okay. That's why 14 we're here. If I may, Representative, 15 MS. MUSSELMAN: I'm Deb Musselman, I'm Director of Government 16 thank you. 17 Affairs with the association. I would like to ask you to refer to what 18 19 we've labeled Attachment A on the pages of our testimony, 20 which lays out the extremely detailed material and 21 information which is protected now under the Right-to-22 Know Law. 23 I think probably you could easily put that lockdown planning information would fall under the 24 planning provisions of exception three. 25

1	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: So again I ask
2	the question then, what information do you want to get?
3	MR. TILL: Let me put it this way. I
4	don't disagree with you. If you're going to raid a meth
5	lab, we're not going to put it on the website, Hey, in
6	ten minutes go stand across the street, they're going to
7	raid a meth lab.
8	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: You won't do it,
9	but it's been done.
10	MS. MUSSELMAN: And that's all protected
11	under the
12	MR. TILL: That's here. My point would
13	be, let's assume that you raid a home and the public
14	wants to know why, after the fact. If you invoke this
15	little language, they'll never know. And we have no way
16	to come back to you and say, well you say, Well, it's
17	in the interest of national security, we're not going to
18	tell you.
19	We say, Well, wait. I think we have the
20	reasonableness to say, Well, can you explain why?
21	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: You do. And I'm
22	going to give you a real story. I raided
23	MR. TILL: We don't. With this language
24	we don't.
25	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I get drug

dealers inside that meth lab, I don't put their names out 1 2 there and tell you why we raided it because I use those 3 two dealers to get two more dealers up the ladder, and if I give it to you guys that name gets on the street and I 4 can't go any further to get the big guys. 5 MR. TILL: And that's fine. But at some 6 point --7 Excuse me. And that's 8 MS. MUSSELMAN: actually protected under the judicial records provision 9 of the Right-To-Know Law, Representative. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: So what 12 information do you need? MS. MUSSELMAN: The question is -- I don't 13 14 think we really know for sure what kind of information might be denied under the language in the bill. 15 16 If you look elsewhere in our testimony, we 17 reference the case of Bowling vs. PEMA, the paper in Western Pennsylvania was interested in learning what had 18 19 been purchased with some federal grant money. 20 They said No, you can't find out about anything. Commonwealth Court did rule on that and said 21 22 No, security, locations of computer servers, that is justified. It fits within these exceptions. 23 bunqee cords, you can release bungee cords. 24

So it's a matter of the agency needs to

have the same checks and balances applicable to it as all 1 of the other agencies. The major problem with the 2 3 provision, it's on page 85 of the bill, is that there is There's no checks and balances or appeal 4 no appeal. whatsoever in this confidentiality section. That's 5 unique. 6 Nobody else, legislative records, any 7 executive agency records, they're all, if the agency says 8 no, if there's an appeal process. Not here. 9 And that is really unique in our democracy. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: So last year when the --12 I'm sorry, Representative Hackett, did you have a 13 question? 14 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: No, I'm okay, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: So last year when the 17 PEMA council met, was there any information withheld from you during the PEMA council meetings that may have 18 happened over the tropical storms, was it two years ago 19 20 we had those storms? Would have been last year. 21 MS. MUSSELMAN: We haven't studied that. MR. TILL: I wouldn't know. 22 23 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I know the PEMA council 24 met numerous times in a ten-day period when the two 25 tropical storms and the earthquake and everything else

that has happened. I'm just looking to see if there's been any reason to object to that, where you have been denied information in the past from any of these agencies.

MR. TILL: The concern is not -- today there are no problems. The concern with this language is that it's so restrictive and it's so absolute in its decision, and it's absolute to pretty much anybody.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Restrictive because it says the meetings of the PEMA council and any emergency management program are not subject to the open meeting law under Title 65?

MR. TILL: Right. Our argument would be with the protections that are already in place, there's enough -- this incredibly restrictive language, it's not necessary. There's no reason to go to that extreme, and again, to say every meeting has to be closed. Well, I mean why?

To say that I can just, anybody, and again, any personnel, if you're involved with law enforcement, school district, municipality, state and local emergency services can just cut off any knowledge of any meeting, of any expenditure.

If we want to know something, we're not going to tell you. And the concern is there, again,

there's no recourse to go back and say what. And my concern as a publisher, and I cover the Lehigh Valley, so Allentown, Bethlehem, Easton area, we had four years ago -- little bit longer than that, five years ago, we had an Easton cop killed in the Easton Police Department by another police officer.

And it was ridiculous the time it took to find out what happened. We didn't want to show photos of the dead police officer. We were incredibly respectful to his wife and family and other police officers. We understood it was a mistake.

But if this is in place they can say Well, it's national security, we really can't tell you anything. And in this case we have no recourse. We can't go back and say explain to us why that is the case.

And my concern is that that will happen on a regular basis. That little statement will be invoked on a regular basis. And I understand the extreme ways that it can be used to benefit. But we got to think about all the ways it can be used on the negative side as well.

Again, find some balance. We believe strongly that the language that exists today, and we really encourage you to read it and go through it,

already gives all of the restrictions that are necessary to invoke privacy, to protect lives and do what the bill needs to do. We're not fighting against that.

The key is there's got to be some followup. There's got to be some way for us to be able to challenge the decision and ask in a reasonableness way why is that the case.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Representative Farry.

REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. A couple points, and my colleague to my right raised the question several times in terms of what information are you looking for.

If there's an expenditure, and let's talk on the local government level, whether you're talking county or municipal, if there's an expenditure you're going to have access to the bill list.

MS. MUSSELMAN: Excuse me. Not under the way the bill is written right now. The Right-To-Know Law, but not under the Section 7715.

REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: I think you will be able to get that because it's going to be a government action by the local body approving that expenditure. But regardless, the local government is accountable to their citizens, quite frankly, and you referenced citizens.

But something I think ought to be clear, Mr. Till, your

company is for profit, I presume. 1 Right? 2 MR. TILL: Correct. 3 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: So when we talk about the citizens, if the residents here in Media are 4 5 unhappy with their town council or their town supervisors in terms of releasing information, whether it's a police 6 shooting that you referenced, or something relating to 7 emergency management, the government is going to be 8 accountable to those citizens and they'll be able to come 9 to a public meeting and ask questions. And if they're 10 11 dissatisfied they can eventually throw those folks out. 12 MR. TILL: With all due respect, that's assuming the citizens know about it. 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Right. Correct. MR. TILL: And if it's held under wrap and 15 there's no challenge to it, it may never be known. 16 17 may not know about it. They very well may 18 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: But the items we're talking about here, we're 19 20 talking about emergency management planning, we're 21 talking about the preparation. 22 The one gentleman testified about the plans for schools. Very simply, if the emergency manager 23 has the plans for a school and what they're going to do 24 in various emergency situations, whether it be a fire,

whether it be a hostage situation, I would beg to differ with your opinion because I believe that should be held in confidence.

If you have somebody planning an act of violence against the school and they have access to what that plan is for the school in the event of an emergency you're aiding them in -- not you specifically --

MR. TILL: I got you.

REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: -- but the release of the information is aiding the assailant there. And I think that's very problematic.

I can speak firsthand for something that happened in Bucks County. A resident sought the emergency response for a township's fire companies. And very simply, if that person intended to be a terrorist and set off a primary device at say Sesame Place, which is within that town, and the explosion goes off at Sesame Place and they know these five fire companies are the first to respond, and they had secondary devices, which is a common thing with terrorist activity, set up at those fire departments that go off, say, five minutes later, they could have wiped out the whole initial response force. It was actually an open records battle that went on.

And the burden was on the emergency

responders and the municipal government and the county 1 2 government to fight that open records request. 3 And what I think this does is this provides the protection for the emergency services. 4 5 MR. TILL: Can I ask who won that? REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: What's that? 6 7 MR. TILL: Who won that fight? 8 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Ultimately, the municipality. 9 MS. MUSSELMAN: That's under the current 10 law. 11 12 MR. TILL: That's our argument, there are no protections. And again, I don't disagree with you. 13 14 I've got two kids in school, I get it. I have no desire to put my children at risk or any other children at 15 16 risk. 17 But again, there has to be a balance. I think that's what my argument is. And that is the 18 point, that didn't become public knowledge, and the 19 20 process and the laws that are already in place protect 21 that. But they allow a process to get there. 22 And again, nobody wants to help terrorists. I mean it's common sense. But there has to 23 be -- we have to have the ability, and this is my sort of 24 25 thing. We have to have the ability to hold government

accountable. We just do. I mean we just do. And not -when I say "we" I don't mean the newspapers or the media,
I mean citizens.

If you invoke this amount of confidentiality, we lose that ability. And I would argue, as we say, that the laws that are already written and the Pennsylvania Right-To-Know laws already allow those cases that, you're right, that are going to risk lives and put police officers or citizens at risk. They already exist. It's already there.

It's a common sense approach, and it may be annoying and it may be time consuming that we have to sort of fight these battles and common sense should prevail. But even if common sense doesn't prevail, you go to a hearing or you go to court; the protections are already there. We don't need more. More becomes too restrictive.

REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: A point I would like to make, referring back to the case that happened in Bucks County, luckily that one fire department's chief was a lawyer, and he led the fight.

Quite frankly, a lot of fire departments don't have that level of sophistication and they're put in a very unfair position. Personally, I believe fire companies are exempt from the open records law. I'm

sure you disagree with that.

But fire companies are separate nonprofit entities serving the community. In terms of the emergency management planning, that is done as a municipal or county function. And obviously it goes on at the state level as well.

But the specificity of it is at a local level. And I, quite frankly, agree with what's here. I respect your point, you should have some degree of access to it. And you may have a high degree of journalistic ethics, but there's a lot of media outlets that don't.

And if that fire company didn't have that attorney as chief leading that fight, those records would have been opened and it would have been precedent-setting on every other fire company or municipality in the Commonwealth would have been subject to those records being released.

And quite frankly, that would be extremely compromising. Because that decision would have been cited, no different than you're citing the federal grant decision from Western Pennsylvania.

MR. TILL: But what do you do in a case where you've got a local municipality or school district and they get a grant, \$50,000 grant, and the question is what did you spend the grant money on?

REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: 1 But they're 2 accountable to the grant agency that awarded that grant. 3 If that grant wasn't spent -- if this fire company got a grant for a thermal imaging camera, for example, and they 4 turned around and spent it on liquor for their bar right 5 here, quite frankly the state agency is going to crack 6 down on that. 7 I think you have to have some degree of 8 respect for your governing bodies that the money's going 9 to be spent properly. I mean in that case in terms of 10 11 the Homeland Security grant from out west, I mean 12 personally if you're talking about terrorist sophistication, I don't want them knowing who has gas 13 14 monitoring meters or who has decontamination equipment. 15 I don't think that should be publicly out 16 I think the governing agency that awarded that 17 grant is the party that's responsible for ensuring that grant is properly spent. 18 19 MR. TILL: I think there's got to be a 20 balance. And again, I think hopefully we already have 21 it. 22 And again, I do trust my government, to a point. And I think that's the way we should all be. 23 even if only 1 percent or .1 percent we can't trust, we 24

need to have a way to check them.

And with this, with this incredibly 1 2 restrictive process -- or not even process, this 3 restrictive language, there's no checks and balances and I just think that's very dangerous. 4 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: One more question, 5 please. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Yes. 8 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: That .1 percent that you're referring to of government that may be 9 disingenuous, there's also that .1 percent of 10 11 journalists, though, that are going to put information out there that they shouldn't put out there that can be 12 compromising the emergency folks you see in this room. 13 14 I think the balance needs to be tilted in favor of those providing the emergency services. It's a 15 16 different world today with terrorists, with the probing, 17 the planning and everything else that goes on. It's not a matter of just fighting house 18 19 fires and having natural disasters anymore. There are 20 people that have ill intent, whether they're domestic or 21 foreign terrorists, and I think we need to err on the

MR. TILL: And so there should be no way to check if a school district says we're not telling you what's going on because it's in, it's national security,

side of emergency preparedness. I respect your opinion.

22

23

24

- and we're not going to tell you how money was spent,

 we're not going to tell you -- again not what the

 evacuation process is. Data, how it was used. They can

 just shut that down.
 - REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Well, we're kind of talking about two different things here. Because one, my concern is the journalist or the citizen is somehow going to publish on the Internet, for example, through blogging or whatever, what the emergency planning is. That's what my concern is.
 - In terms of grants and how they're spent, if it's good government, they're going to sit there and say we used that money to buy fire extinguishers, fire hoses, whatever. And they're going to provide that information.
 - If they don't want to provide that information, you can write the article and say we asked local government, XYZ, how this grant money was spent and they chose not to tell us.
 - You know what, if they're citizens, don't go to the meeting and ask their elected officials, then that's on their citizens.
 - I'm talking about the actual planning aspect, and that's what my concern is.
- 25 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I think you'll find out

that the majority of the members, not to speak for them, are concerned about this issue. And I think our goal to protect vital information is overwhelming here.

But I think on certain issues here, I think the committee would definitely sit down with PEMA and we're open to looking at ways to make sure you're given the information you're needed, without putting anybody on the line or anybody's life on the line here.

When it comes to government expenditures I would agree with you that I don't think anybody is opposed to the press having access to the way money is being spent, especially to make sure it's being spent in the wisest possible way, because I know myself I've seen, we've all seen it here at this table, grant money that's been abused and has been very difficult to find the trail where it's spent.

MR. TILL: Right. And again, I think we all do want the same thing. We really do. Again, the concern is that we just shut the door to any, any sort of conversation about why. And I think that's, in any sense of government, I think that's a lot of power to give to people, to have no, no recourse and no ability to question it. I think that's a very dangerous precedent to set in any part of the government.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: But we will stay in

1 touch with you, and as we talk to PEMA with this to see 2 if we can come up with some language that might be 3 suitable to change, to give you the access you are looking for. 4 5 We really appreciate that, MS. MUSSELMAN: Representative. We have seen some exposure drafts. 6 haven't received one since 2009. So there was a point 7 that we did have the opportunity to comment but, you 8 know, since then -- and ironically, that was just at the 9 point that this new law was going into effect. 10 11 security language in this act certainly was drafted with 12 Senator Pileggi, House leadership keeping, you know, 9/11 13 well in mind. 14 So we'll look forward to working with you. We appreciate it. 15 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you for your 16 17 testimony. 18 Thank you very much. MR. TILL: Wе 19 appreciate it. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: That was the last of our 21 testifiers. But we have with us a group of volunteer 22 firefighters and I wanted to ask you if you had anything 23 you wanted to add to the meeting, or were there any questions that you had concerning this information that's 24

been disseminated here today?

Tom, did you want to say a few words? 1 MR. SAVAGE: I just have a quick 2 3 question. Tom Savage from Delaware County. CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Why don't you come to 4 the table, make it easier. 5 MR. SAVAGE: I just have a quick 6 7 Tom Savage, Delaware County. As far as accountability and enforcement, how do you propose to 8 make this really work when it gets down to the 9 municipality level? 10 11 I know that you have to work as a partnership arrangement, but I know that many local 12 13 governments a few years ago, you'll remember, you gave 14 grants to do the emergency planning, and everybody got a chance to do their plan and got reimbursed by the state, 15 16 and we still couldn't get many municipalities to do the 17 plan. And many of those plans are not up to date as we sit here now. 18 I understand the rewrite, a hundred 19 20 percent in favor of that. But if there's any way to look at how do we make it effective, that would be 21 22 appreciated. 23 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Rick, did you want to 24 answer? 25 MR. O'LEARY: Some of that was mentioned

at the last hearing by the Township Commissioners
Association, particularly the funding. Funding is always
a big issue.

But the funding and the logistics, some municipalities are very small. We have villages out there in Pennsylvania, small little towns. The larger ones it seems a challenge for. But that's something the committee needs to look at, emergency management in the county -- state, county, region, and the local.

When it gets down to the local level, that's where the rubber meets the road a lot of times. But the challenges are very hard for them. We know with the floods, a lot of these municipalities, you're supposed to contact your EMA director or somebody at the borough office to file claims or get paperwork going.

Some of these municipalities, they don't have a person, or they do but they really don't have an office, should we say, you know? So it gets challenging when you're at the very small government level. And the committee, that's something we have to look at again, working with PEMA.

MR. SAVAGE: Also, second item was the emergency response system relies a lot in Pennsylvania on the volunteer companies. We all know, you guys know as well, there's issues and concerns there with

survivability, recruitment retention.

We talk about mergers and consolidations, we talk about working together, but there's no current resources available for those groups to do that. We have one person in the committee for economic development, Rob Brady, who does a tremendous job. That's one person for the entire state, and he travels all over.

Now, primarily, because there's no funding available and there's no staffing available, it ends up I come down -- he comes down, visits the company, talks to you about it, gives you an overview of what you ought to do, hands you some guidelines and says Good luck, because that's where it ends.

These companies do not have the resources; they do not have the skill levels any more; the administrative-type people to effectively take that and go further with it.

What most people don't know is there are many, many mergers and consolidations going on, if you talk to Rob, and there's others that would like to look at it. They realize there's a problem, but the resources are not there, the funding's not there.

We have enough people, I'm sure, in emergency services that could do these type -- deliver these types of programs. Pennsylvania Fire Emergency

Services Institute could organize that, but you have to have funding to do it. And you're building all of our emergency services on a shaky foundation unless we address those issues.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: I think when it comes to retention or recruitment of our volunteers here today, for this committee that is definitely a high priority to come up with some type of solution to basically attract new members into the volunteer fire companies and retain the members that we currently have. But again, it all comes down -- none of it can be done without funding.

MR. SAVAGE: I think you're going to see it move to more combination departments, and it's going to move to an area where you have two or three departments today merging. And by the way, that process that would work so well for the volunteer fire service community would also work well for municipalities in Pennsylvania.

We frankly just have too many and we can't fund it anymore.

CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Yes. I think most of us who served in local government realize that. Anybody have any comments? Any other gentlemen, ladies? Okay. Thank you.

Lloyd, who is a Chester County Emergency

1 | Management officer in this town.

MR. ROACH: Gentlemen, my name is Lloyd Roach. I am the emergency coordinator for Birmingham Township and Pennsbury Township in Chester County. And I just wanted to reiterate something that's been raised here before today.

I call it a volunteer time starvation.

All of us, especially in the volunteer corps, are now many times required to fulfill certain training requirements, which take copious amounts of time, especially from people who are employed in other jobs.

I am fortunate because I'm self-employed, but I really don't understand how many of them do it. I for one, for example, have taken over 80 courses in emergency management in my 30-year career in this.

And it is becoming an even greater burden. And back to what the fire department is expressing about the need for volunteers to maintain their enthusiasm and their performance, these combinations that we're putting together now, like the one in Avondale that Bobby Kagel mentioned, and the West Chester Emergency Management Group, of which I am vice chairman, may be part of the solution, to take and consolidate in some of these smaller townships.

Most townships I represent are under 5,000

population, so neither one of them can support a paid 1 2 person to do this kind of work. But it is becoming 3 apparent to me that since these two townships are adjoining, this might be a solution. 4 5 So I just want you to think about that as you're thinking about across the Commonwealth. 6 want to mention to you that I mentioned Bobby, the 7 support that we get from Chester County Emergency 8 Management is exceptional with respect to our local 9 emergency coordinators in the county. It really is. 10 11 They have a phenomenal training regimen 12 and I've been to many PEMA courses, both at State College and Harrisburg, and so you do a good job of supporting us 13 14 all. But it just takes a lot of time and you 15 16 have to think about that in terms of people who have real 17 jobs and what they're going. And thank you very much for letting me 18 19 comment. 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Representative Hackett, 21 do you have any questions? 22 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you. 23 Thanks for joining us today and thanks for your I agree with you wholeheartedly. 24 comments. 25 Do you see anywhere in the private sector

where it would create an interest with the private sector 1 for some tax credits to those businesses for the 2 3 volunteers that work for those companies? MR. ROACH: Well, I'll give you an 4 In our county -- Bobby can probably address it 5 example. better than me. We have several large organizations in 6 Great Valley which I participate in. And some of them are 7 enormous, like Vanquard, who have their own emergency 8 management capability inside the building. 9 Where it would help, in my humble opinion 10 11 -- I'm a navy vet here like Representative Barrar, and I remember that if you joined the service or you joined the 12 13 National Guard, your employer's got to let you go and 14 he's got to make sure you have a job when you get back. That is not the case with an EMC that's 15 16 been deployed to Florida or to Louisiana. So you might 17 think about that in terms of your planning. 18 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you. 19 MR. ROACH: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN BARRAR: Thank you, everyone. Ι 21 want to thank you all for your testimony today. What we heard here today will definitely help us in crafting the 22 final draft of this legislation. 23 24 Our next hearing will be in Pittsburgh, 25 Pennsylvania, on September 19. We will be at a downtown

VETERANS AFFIARS & EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS MEETING HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HEARING

1	firefighting facility, which has not yet been
2	determined.
3	So I want to thank the Members for
4	traveling out here today also. And our hearing will
5	conclude.
6	Thank you.
7	(Hearing concluded at 11:51 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I, JAMES DeCRESCENZO, a Registered

Diplomate Reporter and Notary Public in and for the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the

foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the

hearing of said witness(es) on the date and place herein

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, not related to nor employed by any of the parties in which this hearing was taken; and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this action, nor am I financially interested in this case.

JAMES DeCRESCENZO

before set forth.

Registered Diplomate Reporter and Notary Public