1	
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AGING AND OLDER ADULT SERVICES COMMITTEE
4	
5	ROOM 60 EAST WING
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
7	
8	PUBLIC HEARING ON
9	HOUSE BILLS 122 AND 726 SENIOR/SILVER ALERT SYSTEMS
10	
11	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 10, 2010 9:32 A.M.
12	9:32 A.M.
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	HONORABLE PHYLLIS MUNDY, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
16	HONORABLE SIEVE SAMOELSON HONORABLE WILLIAM C. KORTZ, II HONORABLE RICK MIRABITO
17	HONORABLE KICK MIKABITO HONORABLE KEVIN P. MURPHY HONORABLE KEN SMITH
18	HONORABLE REN SMITH HONORABLE TIM HENNESSEY, MINORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE RANDY VULAKOVICH
19	HONORABLE KATHARINE M. WATSON HONORABLE KAREN BOBACK
20	HONORABLE RAKEN BOBACK HONORABLE DUANE MILNE HONORABLE ROSEMARIE SWANGER
21	HONORABLE ROSEMARIE SWANGER
22	
23	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
24	P. O. BOX 278 MAYTOWN, PA 17550
25	717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX

	Δ	
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2	REPRESENTATIVE ROSITA YOUNGBLOOD	
3	CHARLES W. QUINNAN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (D)	
4	SUZANNE CHADWICK , LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT (D) SHARON SCHWARTZ, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (R)	
5	CAROL TURNER, LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT (R)	
6		
7		
8	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR	
9	REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	J
2	NAME	PAGE
3	OPENING REMARKS	4
4	INTRODUCTIONS	9
5	TIFFANI CHAMBERS FAMILY SERVICE COORDINATOR ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION	12
7 8 9	CAPTAIN BRET WAGGONER DIRECTOR OF THE SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION BUREAU OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE	29
10 11	CORPORAL RICHARD BRAMHALL TROOP F, MONTOURSVILLE PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE	42
12 13 14	RAYMOND PRUSHNOK DEPUTY SECRETARY PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF AGING AND LONG-TERM LIVING	55
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Good morning, everyone. I'd like to call this meeting of the Aging and Older Adult Services Committee to order.

I think perhaps after Representative Youngblood makes her remarks, perhaps there will be more members here. There are a number of other committee meetings this morning, so I think our members are going to straggle in over the course of the next hour or so. I think we'll wait to introduce them until more of them get here.

So the purpose of today's meeting is to review what some people call the Silver Alert System, which is designed to protect vulnerable senior citizens who might wander away from their caregivers and be lost out in the community. And we have with us this morning Representative Rosita Youngblood, who is the prime sponsor of House Bill 726, which is Silver Alert System bill.

There is also another bill that we will be talking about, although Representative

1	Casorio can't be here this morning to explain
2	his bill, but it's House Bill 122.
3	So we will begin with Representative
4	Rosita Youngblood, who will explain
5	briefly explain her bill and why she offered
6	it for consideration.
7	REPRESENTATIVE YOUNGBLOOD: Thank
8	you, Chairman Mundy.
9	Can you hear me?
10	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: It is a little
11	difficult. I don't know why. Is it turned
12	on?
13	REPRESENTATIVE YOUNGBLOOD: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Okay.
15	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Could you
16	guys hear Phyllis?
17	REPRESENTATIVE YOUNGBLOOD: Yes, I
18	heard her.
19	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: I think speak more
20	directly into the microphone.
21	REPRESENTATIVE YOUNGBLOOD: Okay.
22	Thank you, Chairman Mundy, for having
23	me here regarding this Silver Alert, which is
24	House Bill 726.
25	The reason I introduced this bill was

to alert the public as well as other entities that our seniors are wandering off, either because of Alzheimer's, dementia, or some other mental impairment. And I thought it was important because the first twenty-four hours are critical. If we don't locate them in the first twenty-four hours, it has been proven that when they wander off, they'll either die or we'll find them in another location and they can't get back.

So we thought, in patterning this after the Texas Silver Alert, that it would be important that we notify the state police and all local authorities and Department of Aging to put out a notice that the senior has wandered off. Now, the state police will use their discretion on if they think it is a true emergency for our seniors.

But I think we need to protect our most vulnerable citizens and help them at this crucial point in life.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you, Representative Youngblood.

Chuck Quinnan, our executive director, I think I'm going to ask you to sort

of talk about some of the provisions of the bill, and then we will take testimony.

MR. QUINNAN: House Bill 726
establishes the Silver Alert for Missing
Senior Citizens Act for the purposes of
locating missing senior citizens who have some
form of mental impairment. This would apply
to senior citizens aged sixty-five and older.

It directs the Pennsylvania

Department of Aging, in cooperation with the

Pennsylvania State Police, to develop and

implement the state-wide Silver Alert System.

Secretary of Aging would be responsible for appointing a director to serve as the state-wide coordinator of the alert.

Some of the duties of the Department of Aging and the state police, the department's responsible for adopting the rules and regulations and issuing any directives to implement this program, the procedures local law enforcement must following, verifying that the senior citizen is, in fact, missing and has an impaired mental condition, direction of circumstances under which law enforcement is required to

report a missing person to the department as well as procedures to be used for reporting information about a missing person to designated media outlets.

As far as notification, a law enforcement agency may notify the department if it receives notice of a missing senior citizen and verifies, as I mentioned, the person's sixty-five years of age, the person's location is unknown, the person resides in the commonwealth, and the person, in fact, has an impaired mental condition.

The department's required to confirm the accuracy of the information submitted by law enforcement, and if confirmed, to immediately issue an alert to designated media outlets. Provides for the consent of the alert as well as provisions for the termination of the Silver Alert.

The law enforcement agency that locates the subject of the alert must notify the department as soon as possible when that person has been found.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you.

I think right now we're going to go

1 around and introduce ourselves -- my 2 understanding is that this is on PCN or will be on PCN in a taped version -- starting with 4 Representative Vulakovich. 5 REPRESENTATIVE VULAKOVICH: Representative Randy Vulakovich from Allegheny 6 County, 30th District. 7 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: 8 9 Representative Steve Samuelson from the Lehigh 10 Valley. REPRESENTATIVE KORTZ: Good morning, 11 12 everyone. My name is Bill Kortz, state 13 representative, 38th District, Allegheny 14 County. REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Good 15 16 morning. My name is Representative Kevin 17 Murphy, Lackawanna County. 18 MS. SCHWARTZ: Good morning. I'm 19 Sharon Schwartz, and I'm the Republican executive director for the caucus committee. 20 21 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 22 Hennessey, Republican chair of the House Aging 23 and Older Adult Services Committee, northern 24 and western Chester County, down in the 25 southeast.

1	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Phyllis Mundy,
2	representative from the 120th District in
3	Luzerne County. I'm chair of this committee.
4	MR. QUINNAN: Chuck Quinnan, majority
5	executive director to the committee.
6	REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Karen Boback,
7	parts of Wyoming, Luzerne, Columbia Counties,
8	House District 117.
9	REPRESENTATIVE SWANGER: RoseMarie
10	Swanger from Lebanon County, House District
11	102.
12	REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Good morning.
13	I'm Representative Kathy Watson. I represent
14	a portion of Bucks County, 144th Legislative
15	District.
16	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you all.
17	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Madam
18	Chairman, if I could just for purposes of
19	roll call, if we're doing that at all, I have
20	a proxy, should we need that, from
21	Representative Swanger.
22	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: We won't be voting
23	today, but thank you. And Representative
24	Swanger's here.
25	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I'm

sorry. I didn't even look around. Sorry.

any further, we heard about House Bill 726 from the prime sponsor. As I mentioned, there is another bill, Representative Casorio's bill, House Bill 122, and I'm going to ask Chuck to describe that one as well, before we begin the testimony.

MR. QUINNAN: House Bill 122 establishes the Pennsylvania Senior Alert Act to aid in the recovery of missing endangered adults through prompt notification to law enforcement and the public.

One of the main differences with this bill, it defines missing endangered adults as a person eighteen years of age or older who has been reported through law enforcement authority and is believed to be either a temporary or permanent resident of Pennsylvania, at a location that cannot be determined by someone familiar with the missing person, or incapable of returning home without assistance because of mental illness, mental retardation, dementia, or another physical or mental incapacity.

An alert issued under this act shall 1 2 be known as an Endangered Adult Medical Alert and signify that law enforcement is searching 3 4 for the missing endangered adult. 5 The bill also provides for the preparation, content, and distribution of 6 missing endangered adult reports as well as 7 8 relevant information and for the participation 9 of media, broadcaster, print, et cetera. There's also notification requirement 10 11 as well as provisions dealing with false 12 reports and related penalties. 13 Lastly, I'd like to point out that 14 the bill does provide for immunity for those who, in good faith -- in good faith, conduct 15 16 or compliance with the act's provisions. 17 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: All right. Our 18 first testifier for this morning is the 19 Alzheimer's Association, Pennsylvania chapter, 20 Tiffani Chambers, the family service 21 coordinator. 22 Good morning, Miss Chambers. 23 MS. CHAMBERS: Good morning. 24 Thank you for being CHAIRMAN MUNDY:

25

here.

1 Thank you for having MS. CHAMBERS: 2 me. 3 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: You can begin 4 whenever you're ready. 5 MS. CHAMBERS: Can you hear me? CHAIRMAN MUNDY: I think so. You 6 need to speak right into the microphone to be 7 8 heard. 9 MS. CHAMBERS: Madam Chair, Mr. Vice 10 Chair, and members of the House Aging and 11 Older Adult Services Committee, I welcome the 12 opportunity to provide testimony on behalf of 13 the individuals and caregivers touched by 14 Alzheimer's disease or related dementia and 15 the Alzheimer's Association's Pennsylvania 16 public policy counsel -- coalition -- sorry --17 regarding legislation to implement a state 18 Silver Alert System. 19 The association continues to support 20 the creation of a Silver Alert System that is 21 responsive and sensitive to the needs of 22 people -- persons living with dementia and their families. 23 24 As we have the same goal at heart, 25 which is the safe return of individuals who

wander from their families and care setting, I would wish to reiterate the seriousness of wandering by sharing the following incident.

An 89-year-old Pittsburgh woman who suffered from dementia and heart problems was found on the roof of a large metropolitan hospital. The woman wandered, unnoticed, from her twelfth room -- twelfth-floor room on Tuesday, December 2nd, 2008, and made her way to the roof. Her body was found by maintenance workers on Wednesday morning. Overnight temperatures dipped to twenty-three degrees, and she was dressed only in a hospital gown and slippers.

Six out of ten people with

Alzheimer's disease will wander. This can
happen at any stage in the disease and
frequently occurs by foot but can occur by car
or other forms of transportation. It only
takes a moment for someone to wander. This is
just as true at home as it is at the local
mall or grocery store.

Most people with Alzheimer's disease who wander are found within 1.5 miles of home, and up to half of these individuals who wander

will suffer serious injury or death if not found within twenty-four hours.

An 85-year-old Philadelphia man suffered from dementia, wandered away from his home on a cold February night in 2004. Still functioning well at home, his family was not concerned about leaving him alone and often kept a close eye on him. However, this time was different. He never returned home.

After an intensive five-week search, his body was found in the backyard about a mile and a half away from his home.

Authorities placed his likely time of death that first night that he was gone.

As we have testified in previous hearings, Pennsylvania sets a ground zero with a sizable aging-in-place population and upwards of four hundred thousand of its citizens currently afflicted with Alzheimer's disease or related dementia.

Wandering and becoming lost is a dangerous situation for individuals with dementia as it is often difficult for them to recognize when they are in danger.

Many of them have lost their

cognitive ability to remember when to eat, drink, or even to protect themselves from extreme weather. For this reason, a timely local search response is crucial.

In thinking about Alzheimer's disease or related dementia, we also need to consider that the face of dementia is changing. It is no longer a disease of the elderly. Younger onset Alzheimer's disease, other dementias like Lewy Bodies and Frontotemporal Dementia affect people who are in their thirties, forties, and fifties.

In 2009, as many as 5.3 million people were living with Alzheimer's disease nationwide. This includes as many as two hundred thousand people under the age of sixty-five.

Dementia does not have an age threshold and neither should an all-inclusive Silver Alert program.

The Alzheimer's Association is the leading source of information, education, and support for millions of individuals, caregivers, and care professionals who face dementia every day. Silver Alert legislation

can enhance current successful protocols such as our MedicAlert Safe Return program.

The Alzheimer's Safe Return program
was initiated in April 1993 in partnership
with the Department of Justice. In November
2007, we partnered with the MedicAlert
Foundation to launch the MedicAlert Safe
Return program. This program also offered
vital information to law enforcement and helps
in the response of facilitating the reunion.

Since 1993, over a hundred sixty thousand people are enrolled. We have facilitated sixteen thousand reunions, and we boast nearly a hundred percent recovery rate for enrollment.

Whether reported missing by a caregiver, law enforcement, or a good samaritan, MedicAlert Safe Returns notifies the appropriate parties and the local Alzheimer's Association chapter. A large part of our success is that we provided education to families and law enforcement.

The Alzheimer's Association is committed to working with lawmakers to ensure that a Silver Alert System is comprehensive

and will grow with the increasing and everchanging population of individuals touched by some form of dementia.

Based on our earlier testimony,

upwards of two hundred forty thousand

Pennsylvanians with dementia will wander at

some time during the disease process. Many of

these people will wander multiple times.

Although we have a functioning mechanism to respond to wandering, we understand that we don't reach the silent majority of people that are affected.

A program such as Silver Alert has
the potential to increase human interest and
awareness of people who are at risk and offer
the community a positive solution to a problem
that occurs every day. It is an appropriate
way to provide support to families and help
them work with law enforcement and community
services.

It is necessary for the state to move forward to join the efforts to support and educate communities about persons living with the effects of dementia. The most effective system will foster a relationship between

families, law enforcement, local government, and organizations like the Alzheimer's
Association.

We feel a successful program will, at minimum, work with existing programs like the MedicAlert Safe Return program and include a mechanism to enroll individuals in the program. This should also include dementia-specific training for first responders and involved organizations which would include information, at minimum, about wandering behaviors.

There should not be an age threshold, and it should be tailored to the needs of individuals with Alzheimer's or dementia who wander, no specific proof of diagnosis should be required; instead, we should require a caregiver's statement.

We should also use a tiered approach to emphasize local search first and then only escalate to regional and state wide if a person has left the immediate area.

We should allow for searching to begin within twenty-four hours of a reported disappearance.

And, finally, it should collect data on the safe alert -- I'm sorry -- on the Silver Alert usage and evaluate program operation.

In conclusion, the Alzheimer's
Association welcomes the opportunity to assist
Pennsylvania in joining thirteen states, most
recently New Jersey, that have successfully
enacted Silver Alert legislation.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you very much,
Miss Chambers.

Questions from the committee?

I have one. Okay. You talk about your MedicAlert Safe Return program. And I'm wondering, can you give me statistics how many families are already enrolled in that program?

MS. CHAMBERS: I can get back to you with that. I don't have the exact number for Pennsylvania.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: I did hear you say that although you have such a program, there are an awful lot of people who don't know about it, who aren't enrolled in it. So I think, you know, if nothing else comes out of

1 this hearing, hopefully Alzheimer's families 2 will become aware that there is such a 3 program. 4 Can you tell me more about the 5 program, how you go about enrolling in it? you just call your local Alzheimer's 6 Association? 7 8 MS. CHAMBERS: You can enroll via our 9 website, by phone, or you can contact your 10 local chapter. There is a fee of 54.90 to enroll initially, and there's a twenty-five 11 12 dollar annual fee. 13 We take the caregiver's information. 14 We also take the person that has dementia, all 15 their medication, if they're allergic to 16 anything. Anything that we need to know about 17 the person, we keep on our database. And if 18 they're reported missing, then that's shared 19 with the appropriate people. 20 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: So it's largely paid 21 for through the fees that you charge? 22 MS. CHAMBERS: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Okay. And, 24 obviously, the overhead of the Alzheimer's

Association comes from donations and other --

25

1	MS. CHAMBERS: Exactly.
2	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: And some government
3	funding.
4	MS. CHAMBERS: Um-hum.
5	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Not much.
6	MS. CHAMBERS: And it's more the fee
7	goes to operation, having people, you know,
8	there to answer the phones if there are
9	incidents and things like that.
10	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Sure. Sure.
11	Okay. Can you tell me, with the
12	MedicAlert Safe Return program, just give me a
13	little idea of how your relationship is with
14	the state police.
15	MS. CHAMBERS: I think
16	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: And it is the state
17	police that you contact, or is it local
18	police?
19	MS. CHAMBERS: It's local police
20	first in the immediate area, and if we do need
21	to go as far out as the state police, we do.
22	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: But first you
23	contact your local police. And what is your
24	experience with the local police?
25	MS. CHAMBERS: In this area, I found

1 that it's really responsive. They are 2 sensitive to dementia. I have also had some police officers 3 4 give me a call and make referrals on, you 5 know, educating caregivers and getting them resources in the community. 6 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Representative 7 Boback, I think that might be a topic that we 8 9 would want to take up with our elder abuse 10 task force. Not that it's -- we're expanding 11 our mission, I think. 12 MS. CHAMBERS: Um-hum. CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Always expanding our 13 14 mission. 15 Representative Hennessey, do have 16 questions? 17 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I do. 18 Thank you. Miss Chambers, you had mentioned the 19 20 safe return program at the Alzheimer's 21 Association. I guess that's formulated from 22 scratch; you've created that program? 23 MS. CHAMBERS: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Is that a 25 nationwide program?

MS. CHAMBERS: It is nationwide, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay. I noticed in some of your research that probably two-thirds of the states have already adopted Silver Alert programs or something rather similar to that.

MS. CHAMBERS: Um-hum.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: And there's a number of other programs that are in process, doing the same thing. How does the safe return program interface with a successful Silver Alert program?

MS. CHAMBERS: I think that it's very important to have an organization like the Alzheimer's Association, where if there is an incident, a referral can be made to us where we would educate the family. We could do some environmental things with them to see, you know, why the person wandered or if there was a communication problems, what escalated to this situation.

I think we could work with the program on a referral basis to provide that education and support and, you know, the local resources that the police officer can't always

take the time to do.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: So the safe return program, then, is an immediate step taken by the Alzheimer's Association locally when a report comes in?

MS. CHAMBERS: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: The report would have come in from the family, though, not from the police. Or do they involve you when they can?

MS. CHAMBERS: They do involve us.

If there's a wandering incident, we're one of
the first people that are contacted. So what
we have to do within twenty-four hours is
follow up with that family, you know, to offer
support and, you know, reenact the situation
with them to see if there's anything that, you
know, could be changed or just to educate them
a little bit more.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay.

Currently, before the legislature, we have three, I'll say, parallel approaches. We have Senate Bill 976, which is Senator O'Pake. We have the Casorio bill here in the house, and we have Representative Youngblood's bill here

in the house.

Has the Alzheimer's Association

locally taken a look at each of those three

bills? Do you have a preference? Do you feel

that any of them or none of them really meet

the needs of the successful Silver Alert

program, that would work with you in this -
you know, interface with this safe return

program?

MS. CHAMBERS: At this time, I can't pick one, but I think it's important that we realize that dementia is not age specific.

And if we put an age limit on that, then we will be leaving out the people that have the younger onset Alzheimer's disease that are in their thirties and forties and even fifties.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: So the emphasis is that it's just to get us away from thinking in terms of age specific sixty-five or sixty and older --

MS. CHAMBERS: Exactly.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: -- and realizing that it affects a wider spectrum of our society.

MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 1 Thank you. 2 Thank you, Madam Chairman. CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Other questions? 4 Representative Watson. 5 REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 6 Good morning. And I thank you for 7 8 testifying. 9 I looked at your testimony again 10 where you actually bullet-point suggestions or 11 what you see as a successful program to 12 incorporate. When you say "allow local 13 searching to begin within, " you mean --14 "twenty-four hours of reported disappearance," 15 I assume what you're suggesting to us is that, 16 based on the anecdotes that you gave, it's 17 critical that searching begin before a twenty-18 four-hour period because it is usually during 19 that time when someone comes to some kind of 20 harm --21 MS. CHAMBERS: Exactly. 22 REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: -- in this 23 situation. 24 MS. CHAMBERS: Yes. 25 REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: And I know

1	that I believe, and I'm sure we'll hear
2	some testimony, that usually the protocol is
3	always, you wait twenty-four hours to see
4	in regular missing persons, you know, to see
5	if someone turns up, whatever it might be.
6	But it is I just want to be careful that
7	whatever we determine, your recommendation
8	would be working with whether it's local
9	police, or in some cases in Pennsylvania, not
10	where I am from, but I know that the local
11	police would be the state police. But it is
12	your recommendation that it all take place or
13	begin before that twenty-four hour
14	MS. CHAMBERS: Exactly.
15	REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: because
16	that's the critical period.
17	MS. CHAMBERS: Yes.
18	REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Okay. I just
19	wanted to be clear on that.
20	Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Other questions from
22	committee members?
23	All right. Thank you very much, Miss
24	Chambers.
25	MS. CHAMBERS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Appreciate your testimony and the work of the Alzheimer's Association.

Next we have the Pennsylvania State

Police, Captain Bret Waggoner, director of the

Special Investigations Division, Bureau of

Criminal Investigation.

Thanks so much, Captain, for coming today. Appreciate your being here.

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. And good morning.

As introduced, I'm Bret Waggoner, captain with the Pennsylvania State Police with the Bureau of Criminal Investigation.

With me today is Corporal Rick Bramhall. The reason I brought Rick is he's actually in the field. He's assigned to Troop F,

Montoursville, Criminal Investigation

Assessment.

As far as the Amber Alert system,
which I know you're all familiar with, Rick is
actually hands-on with that system, has a lot
of experience. And although we're looking, in
this situation, away from the Amber situation,
he does bring specific knowledge to it that,

when it comes to the question-and-answer period, he may be able to more appropriately address.

I do commend your committee for taking this issue on, because we realize how serious it is. I tried to equate -- if could I back up a second, I do have some, in paragraph form, testimony that I assume is in front of you. That's for your reading.

The way I present this is we'll, more or less, be going over that paragraph by paragraph, but I won't be reading from it verbatim, just try to make my point from the bullet notes I have here.

As I thought about this situation, the only thing I could equate it to in my personal life -- I think that's always important, your background -- to get a perspective on it, is a two -- my two-year-old son -- this goes back many years ago -- for that three or four minutes, he was missing as far as we were concerned. He really wasn't missing. He was right there at a church picnic of about a thousand people. But he wandered away from us.

And if anyone ever goes through that, whether it's a child or a parent, it is -- it has to be one of the most devastating feelings to happen. So I can relate to it.

Again, fortunately, we found him within three or four minutes. He had just wandered off to the playground. Of course, I felt like a delinquent parent for allowing that to happen. But I -- it is something that we can relate, to know how serious something like this is.

So that's why, as law enforcement officers, it is important for us to work and do our level best to look at our policies, to look at what we have in place that we can bring these situations to a successful resolution.

I won't stick to Amber too much.

That is our background. We've been experienced with it, I think it was 2002. But the situation with Amber as it relates to this, there is very strict criteria for activating, for giving an Amber Alert.

First off, a child -- it has to be a child, someone less than eighteen years of

age. There has to be an abduction involved or indication of an abduction. That really narrows the scope of how Amber can be used.

Obviously, the situation we're discussing here this morning, they don't apply.

Even so, there are situations, like I said, missing adults with special needs, hourly, and even missing children who are outside the Amber parameter. There's not an indication of abduction, but they're still missing. What can we do for them?

One of the primary concerns we, at the state police, have for expanding the overall inclusion is the word "alert" as it is. We are very protective of the Amber program because of the success of it. And whenever we talk about adding other alerts as named alerts, it causes a little concern, because one of the things we don't want to happen is to have -- is for the public, the main resource in the recovery, to become desensitized.

I tried -- I will give you an example totally unrelated to what we're talking about: Reality shows. One, two reality shows

came on TV, then what do we have? We have an explosion, so much so that if you flipped the dial -- it's exaggerating, but every three channels, you fall into a reality show. We don't want that to happen with emergency alerts. There's so many out there that the worse thing that could happen is the public becomes desensitized to the information that's being distributed.

Another example -- this backfired on me the last time I used it in a private conversation, but I'll give it a run -- is a car alarm. If I park my car in a mall parking lot and I get out and I start walking in towards the mall and I hear a car alarm, what's the first thing that I think of? I don't think of car theft. That's the purpose of the car alarm. It's to prevent car theft.

That's not what I think. I think, someone missed a key, someone reached back into the car to unlock it inappropriately, because I've done it myself on my Honda. On at least three occasions, I've inappropriately done it and the alarm goes off. That's what we don't want to happen with any type of

additional alerts we put out there.

So, with that in mind, the

Pennsylvania State Police, in cooperation with
the Pennsylvania Amber Alert stakeholders and
the National Center for Missing and Exploited
Children developed what is called Missing and
Endangered Persons Advisory System, or the
acronym MEPAS.

What is a MEPAS? It's to offer investigating agencies the ability to transmit information to the public rapidly across a broad spectrum of technology without interrupting broadcast programming, except at the discretion of the broadcasting entities. That would be up to them if they would do that.

The MEPAS would use existing, secure e-mail systems to notify radio and television stations of a missing person investigation.

This notification provides the opportunity to further distribute the information to the public in a timely manner.

Moving on to address the different bills that we're looking at today. As I add my comments, I don't want to take away from

the overall effort of what's going on here. I fully recognize that it's very good what we're doing, so I don't want my comments to appear overly critical or detract from the intent.

But regarding House Bill 122, some things we noticed as we read through the bill. And this one is the one establishing the Senior Alert System. Although titled Senior Alert System, the system applies to anyone eighteen years and older. That, by name, could cause a little confusion with the public. Whenever you hear the word "senior," what do you think of? I think of what someone might have said today, sixty-five or older. I don't think of a nineteen-, twenty-year-old.

And, you know, conversely, with this proposal, it doesn't allow law enforcement to use, in a situation where the child or the missing person is less than eighteen and they don't meet that Amber criteria, if you recall, about abduction. We could have a missing child, ten years old, that is not an abduction situation. There is no evidence of that. But by the way this is written, we won't be able to apply this system because they're less than

eighteen years of age.

The House Bill 122 contains specific investigative procedures for law enforcement to follow in conducting a missing person investigation. And I'm not so sure it's written as "shall," so there possibly is some leeway here.

One of the things is a requirement for law enforcement to complete the associated report within five hours. I will assume that the legislative intent with that isn't a final report, dotted on the line, submitted to the supervisor. I'm just going to take the lead there and assume that's not what the intent was. The intent was to get the information out within five hours. Which I don't know if we can put an arbitrary figure, number, on how quickly it goes out.

Only thing I want to stress is when you're following up leads, when you are still doing interviews, when you're getting information, the clock keeps rolling. The important thing is to get enough accurate information that you can do some sort of emergency advisory. But as far as completing

a report, that's essentially probably not possible in most situations.

And the other thing, a minor thing I noted, it directed law enforcement to actually speak with the person making the report and get input from them how far the distribution of the information can go. I think in most cases law enforcement, from the information they give, can make that decision, depending on the pattern of the person, depending on where they have other relatives, how far to distribute the information.

Moving on to House Bill 726, known as the Silver Alert System, the bill directs the Pennsylvania State Police to work in conjunction with the Department of Aging to establish an alert system for seniors. As described, they are sixty-five years of age and older.

The one thing about that is, I think it was already alluded to in the prior testimony from the Alzheimer's Association, what if you run into a situation, everything's the same but the person's sixty years old and they have dementia. I think the way it's

written would not apply because they're not sixty-five. So, again, putting an age on it could -- could cause some restrictions probably not intended.

The bill places significant
administrative and implementation
responsibility on the Department of Aging. In
briefly talking to the gentleman from the
Department of Aging, I tried to get a feel,
because I didn't know, are they staffed
twenty-four/seven to handle something like
that? Because these things could come in the
middle of the night.

I think -- they do have a hotline for further issues. I will assume that could be expanded, but I don't know at this current point whether they're ready to go in a situation like this, twenty-four hours a days, seven days a week.

And, again, as I previously mentioned, the age issue there at sixty-five.

The gentleman mentioned Senate Bill 976, and that's included in my remarks. This senate bill was drafted in coordination with the Pennsylvania State Police and with the

Pennsylvania Amber Alert stakeholders, so I do ask, as you go through your process, you just consider that. And I will highlight some of the points of that.

When I say that, it was actually our Amber people that sat down with Senator O'Pake's office when that was drafted for input. And that included, as I said, the stakeholders.

Essentially, what it does, it amends the current Amber Alert law to establish MEPAS, as I previously defined as Missing Endangered Person Advisory System. It uses parts of the existing and proven Amber Alert framework to assist in the recovery of any missing person — it's not age specific — regardless of age, who is proven to be missing and endangered.

Part of the effort includes
establishing a system, as I also previous, to
avoid alerts. And, again, that goes back to
our protectiveness of the Amber program, to
not -- to keep that separate, so as to not
desensitize or confuse the public.

We have -- what we attempted to do

here is focus on a catch-all system that can
be used in various situations outside the
Amber system. Many states have already
implemented this type of advisory system, as
the gentleman alluded to. I think about
two-thirds of the fifty states, and that's
right on with what we have. We are looking at
about thirty-four states have some sort of
system beyond an Amber system already
established.

And what we're proposing here with Senate Bill 976 is use the tested methodology that we already have in place for Amber.

So that concludes my formal remarks.

Again, I do complement you for what you're doing here, because I do see it as necessary.

The Pennsylvania State Police see it as necessary. And it is a good thing, and I salute you for it.

I'll be glad to take your questions at this time. I may kick them over to Corporal Bramhall, because, as I alluded to earlier, I consider him as being one of our six designees, is somewhat of a subject expert on the emergency alert system.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you, Captain.

Appreciate your testimony very much.

I'd love to move the senate bill, except that it's not in my committee. But it is helpful to know that it was drafted in conjunction with your department. And perhaps we can do some amendments that would be more closely reflect the senate bill. So that is very helpful information.

Can you tell me what -- what happens now when there is a missing person -- not the Amber Alert but a missing person? What role does the state police play now? What time frame does that occur in, your activity, your role? And how does that all work?

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: I'll answer that initially, and then you can kick in with any more specific comments.

If we get a call for a missing person, we'll go out to the scene, and say it is an adult, we'll conduct an investigation right then and there. There doesn't -- there's no set time limit as far as waiting.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: So this -- so the

referred to is simply that's the time frame
during which we're most likely to find a
person who's missing. You want to get to it
as quickly as possible. But, from your
perspective, there is no requirement that you
wait for someone to be missing for twenty-four
hours. I think people get that from
television.

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: You're exactly right, that's where they do. Call us back in twenty-four hours. No. We take immediate -- there's certain steps we can take immediately for that investigation.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Okay. And then what happens after that? You go out to the scene and you begin to investigate.

CORPORAL BRAMHALL: Yes, ma'am.

That's correct. Just like the captain said,

the response is immediate. And there is no

twenty-four-hour waiting period.

If there are special issues, you know, special needs, dementia, Alzheimer's, autism or anything like that, you know, that entry can be made into the National Crime

Information Center computer, the NCIC computer, immediately as soon as the information's gained, because that person is or would be considered endangered because of their condition.

So it doesn't require any kind of waiting period. The only time period that would pass before there's an entry into the --into the national computer would simply be in gathering the information.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: So when you begin the investigation, at what point do you notify the public through the media, the radio, the television? What triggers that? And what's the time frame for doing something like that?

CORPORAL BRAMHALL: Well, the way the situation exists right now in the Pennsylvania State Police, as in most local police departments, that notification to the public would occur by means of a public information report or news release to the media.

And in what's proposed in Senate Bill 976, the MEPAS, what that would allow us to do is utilize the framework that is already used in the Amber Alert, you know, that being the

1 emergency alert system throughout 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pennsylvania, to put that information for missing endangered person of all ages who don't meet the Amber criteria due to age or other circumstances, to put that information out to the media in a much more timely manner. Because, you know, as you can imagine when something like this occurs, it's very burdensome, sometimes, on the police department in trying to organization searches, et cetera, et cetera. And so there's some delay between when the information is obtained and searches are organized and begin to occur and an actual media release goes out.

This would enable us to get the media alert out quicker to help that police department at a time when they certainly could use it.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: So there is no particular time frame. It's just whenever you feel it's necessary.

CORPORAL BRAMHALL: Yes, I would say that's correct. There is no time frame. you know, it often comes down to a matter of as soon as someone can get to completing that

media release or that news release.

1

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CAPTAIN WAGGONER: Just a further point of clarification, there is internal, 3 4 within policing, information system, to pass 5 it on. Those aren't open to the public. But the corporal mentioned NCIC. We also has what is called CLEAN, Commonwealth Law Enforcement 7 8 Network, and if we do send one of those out 9 then that can be controlled as far as how many 10 miles it goes. So that's open for all Pennsylvania law enforcement. They 11 12 participate in that, so they get the 13 information.

> As an agency, we do four-hour reporting, where we send out -- and that's done through e-mails. So we do have it pretty well covered as far as internally within law enforcement, but we're just -- as you are looking to expand it to the public.

> CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Right. Okay. Thank you.

Other committee members have questions?

Representative Watson.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you,

Madam Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gentlemen, good morning. And thank you very much for being here.

I was just trying, as I read through your testimony and listened to you summarize, would it be -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth -- would it be that your recommendation would be that continue the Amber Alert, there's only, for the public's perception, one name that goes out there, but if I were to do my English teacher background and outline, under the roman numeral Amber Alert, would be categories, the A, B, and Cs. And we would fold in those who have some form of a dementia, what might be, regardless of age, into one category, much like you have the category four, children who are believed to have been taken by a person known or unknown who doesn't have custody.

Is that what I'm getting? So, in effect --

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: I'll answer that by throwing a question back. It's my understanding what you're saying, roman numeral one would be Amber Alert. Then we

would see it as roman numeral two with the 1 2 MEPAS, which is the catch-all for all other 3 situations. 4 REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Okay. All 5 right. But you would use a lot of the same -the system you already have set up for 6 protocols and who gets contacted when and the 7 scope of an investigation, that would all be 8 9 the same, because that method has worked, 10 regardless of the age or mental acuity of --I'll call it victims, not really victim but 11 12 the missing person. 13 CAPTAIN WAGGONER: Correct. The 14 structure's all there. We would be using the 15 same structure. 16 REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Okay. That 17 helps me try to figure out what bill and what 18 we should be doing. 19 Thank you very much. 20 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Chairman Hennessey. 21 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, 22 Madam Chairman. 23 Captain, Corporal, recently I heard 24 on the news some discussion where police 25 departments are actually reaching out to --

especially in the cities -- taxi drivers, with taxi cab dispatchers quickly getting the information, so that there are more -- you know, not just in the broadcasting it to the police officers to be on the watch for somebody in the local locale, but also notifying the taxi cab dispatcher to try to get that information out to -- in the sense just putting more eyes on the street as the taxi cab drivers go about their daily work.

It would seem to me that that might be something that we would -- through police efforts -- we don't want to do it legislatively, I don't think. I don't know whether we could properly handle that in trying to draft a bill that would cover that. But it would seem to me that that might be an avenue approach that PSP might want to look into to see whether or not -- certainly in the areas where they have established dispatchers for taxi cabs, you might want to look into seeing whether you can incorporate them into the MEPAS system or some other type of system that we might develop as a result of this hearing and the legislation that's running.

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: If I could just comment to that. That's an excellent idea.

What we constantly do with the Amber program is looking for additional communication avenues. I'll give you an example. The lottery has come on board some time back, where once we have an Amber Alert, when the ticket prints out for the lottery ticket, there will be information on that lottery ticket and displayed at the digital billboards. As you go into a Sheetz or something like that, there's the digital billboards at the lottery. They'll put the information up there. So we continually look for other communication avenues.

And I don't know if -- Corporal

Bramhall, I don't if know taxi drivers or taxi

cabs have ever come up before as a

communication resource to your knowledge?

CORPORAL BRAMHALL: I have heard of local departments using that. I think it's a great idea.

I would just point out, on that note, that, you know, in -- within -- within the senate bill and within the idea behind the

MEPAS, we specifically do not want to infringe upon local departments from utilizing existing procedures and protocols that they have. So it's a supplement to help them get the information out to the public through the media. But if they have a system in place, for example, where they utilize, you know, public transportation dispatchers, then they are free to continue to do that and encouraged to do that.

We don't want to try to take them over from them. We simply want to assist them in getting the information out in a timely manner.

mentioned it because I thought -- when I heard on the news broadcast, I thought it was a rather interesting and very innovative type of way to get more help to our local police and to the state police in terms of having people watching and being aware.

Most police departments I know of are interconnected with their computers in the cars now, you -- it would seem to me that in addition to the description of a person, if

they said it was me, I have gray hair -- and I don't know how else they'd like to describe me, a big guy wearing a blue suit. But it might be helpful if they could immediately transmit a photograph across the computer system.

I don't know whether the taxi people would have that kind of computer capability, maybe they do, but it would seem to me that, you know, in the day and age that we live in today, we could feed pictures into scanners and immediately have photographs, not just descriptions, because somebody could take off the blue overcoat that they were wearing, somebody — just — change shirts or whatever. It just seems to me a photograph would really be helpful.

And it seems to me that we have the ability to do that, if we could try to work that in to either your existing system. Maybe you already have. But, you know, photographs -- you know, picture's worth a thousand words, as they said before, and do we have that kind of capability within PSP?

Would it be difficult to clone that for a

local police department?

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: I'm almost sure they do have that in the computers in the cars to transmit pictures.

existing -- the existing Amber Alert system,
this advisory, missing endangered person
advisory, would go out using the EMnet secured
e-mail portion of the emergency alert system.
And that absolutely does have the capability
to attach photographs. The same way that we
do them with Amber Alert.

And we get those photographs out to the media if and when possible, and they go up on the billboards, you might see now, the digital billboard billboards. They're a secondary distributor and a great resource for getting images out there to the motoring public, et cetera.

And so, yes, we do have that capability, utilizing the existing system of the emergency alert system.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: That's comforting to know.

And just one reference -- excuse

me -- the idea that we don't want to
necessarily infringe on the protocols of the
police departments, I understand the
sensitivity there, but we also want to make
sure that the local police departments are
made aware of and update their protocols and
they're really taking advantage of all the new
technology that's out there.

I mean, it's a brand-new world out
there in terms of communications and the
instantaneous transmission of that
information, and maybe the local police need
some sort of boost to make sure they're up to
speed on that.

CAPTAIN WAGGONER: Just so you know, as with Amber, there's an education process to Amber, too, where the individuals, such as Corporal Bramhall and Sergeant Menetto (phonetic), who's not here today, they go out and speak in any forum they can that's appropriate to discuss the Amber, and especially the local police.

So depending how this is expanded and the role the state police end up playing, that will happen. There'll be an education

1 process, working through the PA chief's 2 association, working through local police officers themselves to be briefed on any 3 4 changes to what is implemented as per the role 5 of the state police in that new system. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, 6 Captain Waggoner. 7 8 Thank you, Corporal Bramhold, is it? 9 CORPORAL BRAMHALL: Bramhall. You're 10 correct. Bramhall, sir. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you 11 12 very much. 13 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Other questions from 14 committee members? 15 I have one final question about the 16 cost. And I think, since you helped draft the 17 senate bill, perhaps we could focus on that 18 for a moment, and just ask what you think any 19 additional cost to the Pennsylvania State 20 Police might be as a result of instituting the 21 MEPAS system? 22 CAPTAIN WAGGONER: There's no way for 23 me to give you a dollar figure. The 24 structure's already there, so I don't see any

administrative cost for that.

25

1 The only increased cost would be 2 personnel costs, because if these things occur 3 after hours, people have to be called in -- or 4 called -- actually, the laptop, they do much 5 of the work from their residence if it's after hours, if not all of the work from the 6 residence. 7 So only thing we'd be looking at is 8 9 additional personnel costs, which I wouldn't 10 be able to put a dollar figure on. CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Okay. Thank you 11 12 very much. 13 Thank you, Captain. Thank you, 14 Corporal, for being here today and for the 15 valuable information you've provided us. 16 CORPORAL BRAMHALL: Thank you. 17 CAPTAIN WAGGONER: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN MUNDY: And last but 19 certainly not least, we have the Pennsylvania 20 Depart of Aging, Ray Prushnok, deputy 21 secretary. 22 DEPUTY SECRETARY PRUSHNOK: Good 23 morning, Chairman Mundy, Chairman Hennessey, 24 and thank you for the opportunity to testify

25

this morning.

First, let me say, we've reviewed both pieces of legislation for -- in the house as well as the senate bill, and I'll speak more generally about aspects that we believe should be included in any legislation that addresses this important issue.

And I'll first set the context by again thanking you for the opportunity to testify regarding Alzheimer's disease last fall. As you recall, early onset Alzheimer's was discussed at length, and that's an important issue that we would -- in addition to the comments you heard earlier from both testifiers, I believe should be included in any legislation.

As you know, through the Department of Aging and the Office of Long-Term Living, we represent individuals and have services and programs for individuals eighteen and older, including waivers that serve individuals with traumatic brain injuries that may not have an exact diagnosis of Alzheimer's but may exhibit many of the same conditions and have similar concerns with wandering. So we believe that it's important for any emergency alert

legislation to encompass any adults with cognitive impairments.

In addition, we -- both bills before us today have names that don't really embrace those populations, Senior Silver Alert, so we would recommend something that would be a little broader so it wouldn't be perceived to be more limited in scope.

One thing that I did want to highlight today is that we have a dual role in terms of our advocacy and protection of older adults and the balance of self direction. And we support consumer independence and their personal choices as much as possible. And it's important to make sure that when we --we're weighing the safety of our older adults and younger individuals with cognitive impairments with their own privacy and dignity. So keeping in mind that, you know, many individuals who wander may not have a diagnosis of Alzheimer's so it really can't be a diagnosis-based system but balancing out that concern.

So we all understand, and I think as the captain pointed out his own experience

with his son, families often err on the side of caution. When they're seeing changes in their loved ones, if they have a parent who has the beginnings of Alzheimer's disease or related dementia, they -- their concern may not be as great as is what would be established by a more objective party, like the Area Agencies on Aging who would be capable of doing an assessment to help acknowledge or, you know, a medical professional to determine whether or not that person is in a capacity to make their own decision and care for him or herself. knowing that those conditions may change, it is important to honor what that individual chooses.

So an -- in your testimony, we included an example where, you know, if a daughter were to stop by her mother's home and find that she's not there, she may be concerned. And, you know, perhaps, you know, put forward an alert that -- that obviously would have a response and activate the local community, media, and law enforcement. But it turns out that she may have gone out to run

some errands or gone for lunch. So we need to make sure that we adequately address her independence, because that, of course, would -- for an individual who may be suffering from the early signs of dementia, she -- her independence and dignity would certainly be sacrificed by having that in the local media when it may have just been a false alarm.

So think in terms of how we balance our care and concern with, you know, rights of the independence is an important part of this conversation.

And in addition to that, we, again, would emphasize that the scope of this legislation should ultimately be not limited to specific diagnoses or to populations or certain, you know, age thresholds.

In addition, we feel that the most effective way to administer such a program would be at the local level. We also believe that having some kind of registry, where we can expedite the process would be an important step. So if an individual chose, they could, you know, go through a process where they

could have an assessment by a AAA or a medical professional so that they could be on a registry similar to the kind that the Alzheimer's Association maintains in order to expedite a -- a alert or advisory when the local police department begins their investigation.

And, in closing, I think there were a couple items that we'd like to see in any legislation that we want to include. First, that we would like to see that individuals that are found through this type of alert or advisory be put in touch with the appropriate social service agencies that may be able to connect them to, you know, eliminate risks for further elopements.

In addition, a provision for data collection would be an important piece, to make sure that we're monitoring the degree to which this is happening across the commonwealth.

Again, we support the intent of both pieces of legislation before us today, but, again, wanted to emphasize our concerns. And we look forward to working with the committee

as they consider this issue moving forward.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Thank you.

One of my big issues was the cost.

And it was good to hear the captain from the state police say that the only cost would be, perhaps, additional personnel. So I would like to move a bill.

It does seem as though we should move a bill more aligned to the senate bill than the ones that are currently in the committee, which would, of course, require amending one of those two bills that are currently here.

As far as your concerns about the dignity and privacy of individuals with dementia or early onset Alzheimer's and some of the concerns you raise, I honestly don't know how you get at that through legislation, and perhaps you can enlighten me as to what ideas you might of have.

For every law that's on the books,
there are abusers of it, whether it's domestic
violence Protection from Abuse Orders, you
know, there are people who abuse those. You
know, if you raised the issue of daughter who

didn't approve of her mother taking trips and spending money, how do you prevent a daughter from making a false report or a report just based on her anger at her mother that she's doing these things? I really don't know how you get at that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you have any suggestions?

DEPUTY SECRETARY PRUSHNOK: Sure. Madam Chair, I think that's a fair point, and I think in looking at legislation in other states, it really goes across the spectrum. think Texas, which is one of the longest established programs, they have a more stringent threshold where law enforcement shall require that the family of the missing senior citizen provide documentation from a medical or mental health professional of the senior's condition. And that's sort of one extreme where you have to have a documented diagnosis of Alzheimer's, for example, whereas, on the other extreme, other states have a more open approach.

So, ultimately, that's going to come down to local discretion and the judgment of law enforcement or the AAAs in terms of who --

who is implementing this kind of a law, and, obviously, how that's handled will impact the cost on personnel.

In terms of, you know, the Department of Aging, again, I think, we -- as the captain pointed out, while we have some limited capacity through the Older Adults Protective Services Act and our protective services programs, we are accessible twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, that's for a separate and distinct purpose, and nor do we have, you know, I think, limitless capacity in the AAAs to conduct these types of investigations as well, so that would have to be balanced.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Well, I don't really see the role of the agency either at the state level or the local level as conducting the investigation. I don't read the bills that way. Do you?

DEPUTY SECRETARY PRUSHNOK: The -within -- not within the individual
investigations, but in the -- it was the -- I
guess within the Youngblood bill, the
department will be responsible for the

development of regulations, and, I think,

working on the protocols as well as

coordinating alerts to media. So there would

have to be obvious coordination to ensure that

the department is -- knows when an

investigation is concluded and can get that

message out.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Yeah. I missed that last part when I read the bill. But what I took her language to mean is that you would set up -- you would do the regulatory piece to the bill, which would set up whatever regulations might flow from the bill, as you do with most every piece of legislation that we pass; not that you would, on an ongoing basis, have a direct role in regulating or implementing that program. And that's what I understood it to mean.

That would be my inclination of how to amend the bill, if that's the case.

DEPUTY SECRETARY PRUSHNOK: Nor do we believe that that's our appropriate role in terms of going out and doing search and --

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Right. Searching or being responsible for alerting the media. I

don't think that's appropriate either.

I think, again, the local police, in coordination with the state police, would be the ones, as a result of whatever investigation they're undertaking, if they decide that that's necessary, then that's what they should be doing, not the Department of Aging here in Harrisburg. That doesn't -- or the AAA, really.

Well, it does seem as though we need some more conversation, and we definitely need to amend one of these bills to be more in line with the O'Pake bill in the senate. But I would very much like to move a bill that would protect older adults in this fashion.

And, you know, I hear the concerns about not limiting it to those sixty-five and older. I think that's very well taken.

And, of course, any committee input from members, I welcome; what would you like to do and how would you like to proceed.

But I would very much like to move a bill, amended, on this topic.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Madam Chairman, along that -- pursuant to that

invitation, we might want the committee to take a look at Representative Matt Baker's bill, an adult protective services bill which deals with the, I think, age nineteen to fifty-nine community. That might give us some head start in terms of trying to look at the broader spectrum, applying these kind of alerts to people younger than sixty or sixty-five, who, you know, obviously need the kind of quick response that a wandering or missing person alert can bring.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: No. That bill is far different, far different from what we're talking about here. And there's also Representative Babette Josephs' bill along those same lines. And, also, Senator Vance has a bill about the Older Adults Protective Services Act and expanding it to those nineteen to fifty-nine.

So -- but --

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I'd just ask, if we get those bills to the committee members, we can all look them over and see what parts of the bills might be --

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Well, we could get

you the bill numbers.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: That's fine. It's not just me, but I mean the entire committee.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: We can get -whoever wants the bill number, we can send out
a notice about what those bills are.

But I would tell you that my issue with cost, those bills require some six million dollars annually in new expenses for a brand-new program. So -- well, and I will say, as I've said many times before, those who want to vote for that kind of legislation better be prepared to put up the money to pay for it.

This committee is not going to be moving bills with the false hope that we're going to raise taxes to pay for it or that we're going to take it away from other people in order to pay for it.

So I would simply urge you to be -you know, we're in the same kind of a budget
situation we were last year. The committee
members -- the committee chairmen on our side
of the aisle have been urged to be extremely

careful about moving bills that spend lots of money. We just don't have any right now.

Wheatley, who's subcommittee chairman in

Health and Human Services. He's very

interested in moving a bill that would expand

the Older Adults Protective Services Act. And

I would love to do that. I just don't know

where you come up with six million dollars in

this climate.

So that's why I asked about the cost of this bill. And I think the cost of this bill is much more in line with our current economic situation than the other ones.

So let's work on this bill for now.

Certainly not precluding running one of those other bills on the expansion of the Older

Adults Protective Services Act in future sessions or even this session, if our economic situation improves.

I might even be convinced to move it to Appropriations and let them deal with the cost, if we get to that point.

But I think, for now, this bill is a good place to start in protecting our

vulnerable senior citizens and vulnerable adults, people who are not covered by any other notification. Let's not call it an alert in deference to the state police. So we will continue to work on this bill with appropriate amendments.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Madam

Chairman, just so you understand, I wasn't suggesting that we necessarily move those other bills. I think there are other bills that deal with other age spectrums, and we ought to take a look at that to see if there's anything that we can pick and choose from those bills, whether it's Representative Josephs', Matt Baker's, or Representative Wheatley's, anybody.

There's certain ideas within those bills that may not have a cost factor attached to them and those ideas we might be able to pick and choose to improve these bills.

CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Well, that would be done through the amendment process. And anybody's welcome to offer amendments to whatever bills we bring up. So, of course.

Thank you.

Oh, Representative Vulakovich, you have a question?

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE VULAKOVICH: Maybe} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \textbf{More of a short statement.} \end{subarray}$

You know, I signed on to these two bills because I know that we need some type of system to get it out to the people when someone's missing. And I understand the state police because I was a former policeman, and we do not want to take away from the Amber Alert, because it is such a valuable program.

And if you start adding more and more on there, you get to the point that you do weaken it, and also you will continuously have more people who want to jump on for their special interest.

So I think that -- I agree with the state police, the captain, and the senate bill's probably -- is probably the better bill because it's not really reinventing the wheel. And there's really -- we don't want to throw any more on Aging. They've already got enough cost in there and things we want to do.

And as far as the cost of this system, I know there has to be someone to enter it, in the state police's situation, where they won't patrol for, maybe, twenty-four hours in a certain area because they're the only police force there, they may have to call someone up. But as far as the regular police force goes that is there twenty-four hours, there's not a lot of additional cost that — to run this program than there is for any other thing that you deal with. It's the nature of the job.

And I think there is a lot of questions here about legislate -- some people think you need to legislate some of the procedures that the police officers do. Well, stay away from that. That's what we do. And when we made mistakes in the past, we have corrected them. We've learned from our mistakes. We'll make some in the future, but you can't legislate everything that police officers do.

You know, in our police department, I took care of a lot of that. We had pictures of our Alzheimer's people that -- we got a

picture from the family. We had a description. We had the phone calls to make there. We don't wait twenty-four hours or within twenty-four hours. We start immediately looking for that person.

They tell us they have some type of mental incapacity there of any type, we don't wait. We do it right away. There's no, Well, we'll wait three hours or four hours. No. We have a system what we do. We start the furtherest point we think they could have made it to. You start people coming in that way and go in and come to a point.

And that cost is built in to the type of work that we do. So the only cost would be the entry and putting it out, maybe having to bring somebody in to do that if the state wouldn't have somebody there, or if the state police had to actually bring somebody out to investigate, which they do any ways. So as far as the system goes, I don't know how much cost there would really be to doing this.

And we don't need to reinvent the wheel. We have the Amber Alert system. Let's

1	just do it that way and let's not microanalyze
2	all the things that the police department do.
3	They know what their job is and they know how
4	to do it well.
5	CHAIRMAN MUNDY: Other committee
6	comments, questions?
7	I want to thank the people who came
8	to testify today, the state police, the
9	Department of Aging, and the Alzheimer's
10	Association. I believe we will be moving a
11	bill on this topic. We need to spend some
12	time looking at mandatory language. But I
13	thank you very much for coming forward today
14	to offer your valuable information.
15	Thank you.
16	Meeting adjourned.
17	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
18	10:43 a.m.)
19	
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public