COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

* * * * * * * * * *

PUBLIC HEARING IN RE: DAIRY

* * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: Mike Hanna, Chairman

David Kessler, Vice Chair, Mike Carroll,

Richard Mirabito, Joseph Preston, Tim

Solobay, Tim Mahoney, Nick Kotik, Deborah

Kula, Tim Harhai, Pete Daley, John Maher,

Michele Brooks, Gordon Denlinger, Members

HEARING: Monday, August 24, 2009

Commencing at 1:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Penn State Fayette - Eberly Campus

Maggie Hardy Magerko Auditorium

One University Drive

Uniontown, Pennsylvania

WITNESSES: Joseph Segilia, Rick Ebert, John Piwowar,

John Frey, Keith Bierly

Reporter: Kelly L. Bedor

Any reproduction of this transcript

is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency

		2
1	INDEX	
2		
3	TESTIMONY	
4	By Joseph Segilia 4 - 10	
5	By Rick Ebert 10 - 20	
6	By John Piwowar 20 - 50	
7	By John Frey 50 - 77	
8	By Keith Bierly 77 - 114	
9	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 114 - 118	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

1

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

The hour of one o'clock having come and gone, we are going to call this meeting of the House Agriculture Committee to order. I'd like to start by introducing myself. I am Representative Mike Hanna, in the 76th District, which is all of Clinton County and portions of Centre County in the north central part of the state. I have the honor of chairing committee along with John Maher. John just called a few minutes ago, but he should be coming in the door any moment. He urges us to go ahead and get started and he will join us when he got here.

Let me call on our host, Representative Deborah Kula, for some introductory comments.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA:

Thank you, Chairman. And I thank you for convening this meeting here today. This public hearing that is so important to this area. As Mike indicated, I am Deborah Kula. I am the Representative here in the 52nd District and it is my great honor to 23 have Penn State Fayette Eberly Campus here in my area and to welcome all of you.

This meeting has come about from

constituents contacting me concerning the dairy farmers in this area and Chairman Hanna was very kind and gracious in scheduling this public hearing to afford everyone an opportunity to hear the testimony firsthand from the testifiers here today.

I thank all of you for being here today. I especially have to thank this committee. A number of the members have driven great distances to be here today, but they also know the importance of the issue that we're dealing with. But again, I thank you, Chairman Hanna, for this opportunity.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Thank you. Next, I'd like to call on Joseph Segilia, who is the Director of Outreach and Continuing Education here at Penn State, Penn State Fayette. Joe.

MR. SEGILIA:

18 Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone. It's my pleasure to open today's program on behalf of 19 20 our Chancellor, Dr. Emmanuel Osagie, who unfortunately 21 is not able to join us today. So, on behalf of 22 Dr. Osagie and our Penn State Advisory Board, our 23 facility, our staff and our students, welcome to our 24 campus. Welcome to Penn State Fayette, the Eberly 25 Campus.

A lot of times when we do these events, I like to see if we have any new people here. Is anybody here for the first time, other than legislators? Anybody here from the area? Well, welcome. It's nice to see you and hope you come back and visit us often.

1

2

3

Penn State Fayette is very fortunate to have such a wonderful entity to exist as this facility is in Fayette County we will appreciate our local 10 supporters who have helped us and our legislators who have helped us build this wonderful theater. 11 encourage all of you to consider coming here to use 12 13 this facility for your use in the future. One of the 14 important public service rules that Penn State 15 University plays in our Commonwealth is to provide a pathway to our educational opportunities in 16 17 educational outreach. We do that in a number of ways. 18 Through our degrees, through our certificate 19 programming, through work place development 20 initiatives and especially for information on the 21 issue for which we're here today. Today, Pennsylvania 22 House of Representatives Agricultural and Normal Affairs Committee is one example of that important 23 24 role that we play. Legislation and related issues 25 which impact the agricultural community are very

important to our area and we appreciate the 2 opportunity to work with state legislature and 3 Representative Hanna's office, who have been wonderful, by the way, to help put this hearing together.

6

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

I would also like to thank our Representative Kula for considering this event and to the other legislatures and elected officials who are here today. Thank you for your continuing support on our campus efforts. I hope today's event will provide 11 meaningful information for the legislature so that 12 those important issues facing rural Pennsylvania can 13 be addressed. And I'm really overwhelmed to see what a great turn out of legislators and we really appreciate you taking the time to come here and listen to our meeting. Again, both welcome and please let me know if we can do anything to make your stay in Fayette much more meaningful. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you very much, Joe. And thank you 21 Penn State. We really appreciate the opportunity to be here. Now, I'd like to let our panel introduce themselves. So we'll start on my far right with Representative Mirabito.

REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO:

Representative Rick Mirabito, from the 1 2 83rd District Williamsport, Lycoming County. REPRESENTATIVE KOTIK: 3 Representative Nick Kotik, 45th 4 Legislative District, Allegheny County. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY: Tim Solobay. I'm from the 48th District in Washington County. 9 REPRESENTATIVE KULA: 10 Debbie Kula, 52nd District, Fayette and 11 Westmoreland County. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS: 13 Michele Brooks. Representative from the 14 17th Legislative District, which represents a portion 15 of the Mercer, Crawford and Lawrence County, also a 16 very strong agricultural area. And I'd also like to 17 thank the Chairman and Representative Kula for 18 convening this meeting today. 19 MR. HOWES: 20 Jay Howes, policy. 21 MS. LAURET: 22 Alycia Lauret, research analyst. 23 REPRESENTATIVE PRESTON:

Representative Joe Preston, 24th

2.4

25 District, Allegheny County.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Representative Mike Carroll, from Luzerne and Monroe Counties.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

David Kessler, from the 130th District of Berks County, where over the last ten years we have felt enormous pressure from the Philadelphia area and King of Prussia area, but Berks County is still a thriving County for farming where we preserve approximately 55,000 acres through the state program.

REPRESENTATIVE DALEY:

I don't think we have a microphone that So I'll just --- Representative Pete Daley, works. Fayette and Washington County, former Democrat Chair of the Ag Committee and the current Democratic Chair, Majority Chair of the House Commerce Committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

Tim Mahoney, Fayette County,

19 51st District.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you all for being here. appreciate the turn out from the members and I think 23 that reflects our tremendous interest in this. Before we begin, I would like to take this opportunity to again thank Penn State Fayette for hosting us today.

On behalf of the entire House Agricultural and Rural Affairs Committee, I would like to thank you all for being here to discuss an issue that is vital to our state's economy. Agriculture is our state's largest industry. It plays a major role in each and every Pennsylvanian, whether they know it or not, because the people in this industry produce much of what we eat and drink every day.

A crucial member of the agricultural family, Pennsylvania's dairy industry, supports more than 40,000 jobs and contributes more than \$4 billion annually to the state's economy. However, this industry is not immune to the economic crisis that is fighting much of our nation. Dairy farmers throughout the state are dealing with historically low milk prices. They are being paid approximately 40 percent less for the product than they were just one year ago. With the cost of heat and fuel continuing to rise, dairy farmers throughout Pennsylvania are having a hard time making ends meet.

Our dairy market in Pennsylvania is in crisis. We risk losing our valuable dairy farmers unless we provide support to help them maintain their businesses and their trade. It is my sincere hope that this public hearing will help us begin doing just

that. We have an excellent panel here today that will bring light to many of the current issues facing dairy 3 farmers in Pennsylvania and I would like to begin by introducing Rick Ebert, Vice President of the Pennsylvania Farm Bill. And Rick will be our first presenter. While Rick comes up to the podium, let me remind everyone when we get to the guestions it's important that you identify yourself before you begin the question. Of course, as always, this hearing is 10 being recorded. We have a stenographer over here and she needs to know who is speaking when questions are 11 12 asked. So please, before you ask a question, identify yourself so we can make a complete record of this 13 14 hearing. And with that, we'll turn to Rick for his 15 opening comments. Rick?

REPRESENTATIVE EBERT:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Good afternoon. This testimony is offered on behalf of the Pennsylvania Farm Bureau, an organization representing nearly 47,000 farm and rural family members in 63 counties. My name is Richard Ebert. My brother and I operate Wil-Mar-Re Farms in Westmoreland County. We formed our partnership in 1982, after I graduated from Penn State with a BS in Dairy Production and a minor in Ag Education. My brother has an Associate's Degree in Ag

Business Management.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Today, we milk approximately 80 2 3 Holsteins, and farm corn, alfalfa hay, and soybeans. Seventy-five (75) percent of our income comes from our In addition to our on-farm dairy operation. activities, I am the Vice President for Pennsylvania Farm Bureau and also serve as the Chairman for PMMB's Dairy Committee. Farm Bureau would like to thank Chairman Hanna, Minority Chairman John Maher and the 10 members of the House Ag and Rural Affairs Committee for the opportunity to testify today. As you will 11 12 hear, dairy farmers are currently suffering through one of the worst periods of dairy prices in memory. 13

Reduced demand for exports, excess milk and dairy product supply and high feed and energy costs have created a perfect storm within the dairy industry driving prices so low that the very survival of dairy farmers is threatened. And I think it is important to give you a bit of perspective on how the last few years have affected dairy farmers. In 2006, 21 milk prices were extremely low, straining dairy farmers' budgets to the limit and forcing us to make difficult decisions around the farm. For example, we 24 might consider how much we could reduce our fertilizer usage without a significant reduction in our crop

output.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As milk prices climbed in 2007, and much 2 3 of 2008, we tried to get caught up on the bills and where possible make improvements around the farm. However, higher input costs quickly offset the gains from strong milk prices and we are again facing hard decisions. On my farm, I have seen an average increase of 20 percent in prices of alfalfa hay, feed concentrate and roasted soybeans per ton when comparing prices between 2007 and 2009. 10 During that period, seed prices increased an average of 30 11 12 percent, fertilizer prices as much as 125 percent and 13 chemicals reduced in cost by an average of 126 14 percent.

when considering that the gross value of my milk check decreased by 41 percent, plummeting from \$21.63 per hundredweight in July of last year to \$12.77 per hundredweight in July of 2009. The margin between the price received and input costs is critical. Milk prices must be higher than input costs for farmers to see positive returns. Now, as margins are seriously in the red, we are seeing farmers selling off cows and their farms and going out of business. This not only has consequences on the farmer and their family, but

on the local economy and the agricultural infrastructure as well.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

3 Making this situation even worse is the fact that we're in a global economic recession. Credit is tighter, a grim prospect for farmers on the bubble who may not be credit worthy enough for loans from the bank. Today, my son Josh is starting his junior year at Penn State as an animal science major. Though he has always helped on the farm, this summer 10 he wanted to gain hands on experience in the complete workings of our dairy operation. He started every 11 morning at 5:30 with the morning milking and worked 12 13 until we finished anywhere between 7:30 and 9:30 in 14 the evening.

During this summer he truly enjoyed the work as he learned about cow management and the farm's cropping program. Josh also gained some real serious lessons on-farm finances and experienced the frustration of having such low milk prices coupled with high input costs. He saw that even though his uncle and I have a low debt loan because we worked the past 27 years to pay down debt, our farm's cash flow 23 has tightened dramatically.

I truly believe that the worst may be yet come to the dairy industry, unless we see some relief

in either higher milk prices or government payments. 2 I've read estimates that say dairy farmers are losing between \$100 and \$300 per cow per month. Based on 3 Pennsylvania's average sized herd of 68 cows, at \$100, that's \$6,800 per yearly loss per cow or \$80,000. \$300 it's over \$228,000 a year loss. Farmers are borrowing money against any equity that they have or using revolving credit lines for their daily operating expenses. And that's only if they have access to 10 additional credit. The situation is very serious for dairy farmers. 11

12 We appreciate the House Ag Committee drawing further attention to this issue, and we also 13 14 recognize the dairy policy is largely a federal issue. 15 At the same level, we've work closely with the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board and Center for Dairy 16 17 Excellence in supporting measures which help 18 Pennsylvania's dairy industry. There has been much 19 discussion regarding what should be done to help dairy 20 farmers weather this economic downturn, and most in 21 the industry agree that there needs to be both short 22 and long term solutions in the dairy crisis.

For the short term it means putting more 24 money in the hands of the dairy farmers as soon as possible through the increases in programs like the

23

Milk Income Loss program and raising payment levels in the dairy price support program. In the long term,

Farm Bureau seeks a market oriented national dairy program which is consistent with a worldwide fair and open trade policy, modification in the federal order to increase prices paid to dairy farmers, reduced volatility in the market place and an expansion of opportunities in both domestic and international markets.

As a dairy farmer, I am very worried about the future of the dairy industry in the United States, in Pennsylvania and on my own farm. I question how farmers many of whom have not economically recovered from the effects of 2006's low milk prices will make it through this severe economic downturn. I wonder how my brother and I will manage as depressed prices and increased costs continue.

And perhaps worst of all, I question if my farm will survive long enough to be passed on to my son, Josh, who, despite the challenges we are both facing on the farm and industry, wants to become a part of our family's dairy tradition. Josh and I both know that the future is uncertain. Thanks again for allowing me to testify today and I would welcome any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, Rick. We'll start with questions and let me start. Could you tell us what the single most important thing we could do on the state level to support dairy farmers might be?

MR. EBERT:

Basically, I would think what you could probably help us most would be to encourage federal legislation out there. Right now, Senator Gillibrand from New York has a Bill 1330 that would double MILC payments. So we could get Pennsylvania's delegation to write letters in support of the legislation and get that passed. I think that would be a quick infusion of cash back on the farm to keep the farm going.

REPRESENTATIVE HANNA:

Representative Brooks is next.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Representative Hanna had touched upon the Milk Income Loss Contract, but if you can elaborate on that program and how you feel that that could balance out the market and, you know, what your comments are regarding that?

MR. EBERT:

Milk Income Loss, the MILC program, when prices get to a certain point the trigger price is

1 \$15.94 and the feed adjustor in the farm bill. It
2 makes up the difference between those prices for us,
3 but part of the problem is there is a limit of
4 2.9 million pounds of milk. So when a farm reaches
5 that level they're excluded from any other payments.
6 So any farm that is over 125 cows, they will run out
7 of their MILC payment and they're left with nothing at
8 all right now. Senator Gillibrand's bill would double
9 that payment. And I think that would be, like I said,
10 just a nice infusion of money back into the dairy
11 economy to keep farmers going.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Okay. Thank you. And just as a follow up, I understand that we're talking about the federal level, but specifically on a state level, what would you like to see done other than talking with delegation? What do you feel we can do?

MR. EBERT:

Well, support with the Milk Marketing

20 Board.

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKE:

Right, which is a federal program.

MR. EBERT:

No. The Milk Marketing Board, whatever support they can give. Also, the Center of Dairy

18 1 Excellence is working, you know, with project teams to try to help farmers, you know, look at their budget and see what can be done. And unless you want to 3 bring money. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BROOKE: 6 That only happens on the federal level. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN HANNA: 9 And before we can continue with 10 questions, we've had two additional Representatives join us. Representative Harhai, would you like to 11 12 introduce yourself? 13 REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: 14 Thank you. Representative Ted Harhai, 15 58th Legislative District, Fayette and Westmoreland 16 County. 17 CHAIRMAN HANNA: 18 Thank you. 19 REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: 20 Representative Gordon Denlinger, from 21 Eastern Lancaster County. 22 CHAIRMAN HANNA: 23 And I think our next question is going to 24 be from Representative Kessler.

25

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Ι

Thank you for your testimony. I just want to go into a little more detail about 1330. think you said Senator Brown would have an effect upon ---.

MR. EBERT:

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Senator Gillibrand.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Senator Gillibrand, out of New York.

Could you go into a little more detail?

MR. EBERT:

What it does, it doubles --- right now 12 the level is 45 percent of the milk that's eligible for the MILC payments. It would double it to 90 percent and also it would be retroactive through this past March.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Next is Representative Carroll.

Thank you for your testimony, as well.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

was a little interested in a little bit more 23 discussion about your contents on page four where you 24 talked about the farmers support in the worldwide fair 25 and local trade policy. My research on the subject

indicates that one of the biggest problems that we have with respect to dairy farming is the imports and the milk coming from concentrates with respect to the last five years. Do the farmers see that as a problem? Do you recognize the increase in imports and its impact on the ---?

MR. EBERT:

We take a look at that. 8 Yes. asked Congress to put tariffs on that and they said 10 that it's up to customs to regulate that. We've asked customs and they will tell you Congress has to do it. 11 12 We looked at --- it depends on what report you read. 13 The MPC imports have increased some, but we're also 14 producing some now in the U.S. and it take a little 15 bit for the industry to get caught up with what's being asked for in the marketplace. 16

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

So you're saying that Congress supports the tariff on the MPC?

MR. EBERT:

Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Any additional questions on my left? How

about on my right? All right. Rick, we thank you and we thank the farm bureau for your valuable input on this subject and we appreciate you being here today.

MR. EBERT:

3

4

5

6

9

John.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Next is John Piwowar, a retired dairyman.

MR. PIWOWAR:

10 Good afternoon. I'd also like to add to Joe Segilia's welcome for those of you who have not 11 12 been to Fayette County. I'd like you to go outside 13 today and take a good look it's a beautiful time of 14 the year to be in Fayette County. If you go outside 15 and you look straight ahead you'll see the first bridge of the Appalachian Mountains, Chestnut Ridge. 16 17 For those of us who had geography in Pennsylvania as a ninth grader, you'll know that's the mountain region 18 or the center region of Pennsylvania, that's the 19 20 beginning of it. Behind you, you will see the 21 Allegheny plateau. Those rolling hilltops will go 22 from here to the Erie coastal plain up north and it's a wonderful area and I don't want you to miss an 23 2.4 opportunity to take a look at our wonderful county in 25 the summertime.

My name is John Piwowar. I'm a Fayette County native and a retired dairy farmer. My apologies, I didn't get a chance to hand up any more biographical data, but I'm a Penn State graduate of 1968, and I received my Master's degree in dairy science upon my return from Vietnam in 1972. I continue to keep abreast of goings on in the dairy industry very much as I did as a producer and a DFA member.

I have tried to understand the complexity of the milk pricing system over the years and now see it as another --- from another perspective. I speak here today for myself, but I sure hope I would speak for fellow dairyman in Western Pennsylvania, as well as my cooperative Dairy Farmers of America.

The dairy industry is in a state of turmoil and frustration for milk producers now as they experience farm gate prices far below the cost of production. I am particularly disturbed because the huge export markets we enjoyed in the past two years because of the devalued dollar which drove our milk prices has disappeared and no one can predict when they will return. We've experienced ups and downs in milk prices in the past, but this cycle is tearing apart dairy farm families. I sense despair as well as

frustration when talking to dairymen today. 1 that \$16 Class III prices, that's \$16 per 2 hundredweight, which would be at the lower level of 3 producer economic recovery, are not likely to return until mid-2010.

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Costs of production for Pennsylvania dairy producers are near or over \$20 per hundredweight. And as you heard Mr. Ebert say, the prices can vary around just over a little \$12. frustration is compounded by the fact that the milk pricing process and the price of discovery mechanisms are so complex that most dairymen don't even understand them. We see discussions every low cycle of supply management proposals and other measures, some extreme, as well as the usual blame game played 16 by the usual pundits.

All this has made the struggling dairymen weary and confused. I would like to speak to the confusion created by the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board. Most dairymen believe that PMMB is a state agency set up primarily to help dairy farmers. read PMMB's statement one could even arrive at that conclusion. PMMB is a state agency set up to benefit the state's milk dealers and fluid milk processors. They conduct periodic hearings to establish retail

milk prices assuring the milk dealers a reasonable return based on their costs. Pennsylvania's milk consuming public pays minimum prices set by PMMB.

To sell milk for less is a violation of the law. It is no stroke of luck that Pennsylvania has more milk dealers than the surrounding states.

They do a great job of protecting their own. Dealers large and small benefit from this arrangement. In 1988 PMMB started paying premiums to dairy producers based only on the fluid milk sales. While PMMB boasts on the right side that they returned \$211 million to dairymen in 1988 to 2000, it must be put into perspective that during those years Pennsylvania dairymen produced over 130 billion pounds of milk.

That is less than 17 cents per
hundredweight for all dairymen. Some got none at all
and even today no dairyman gets a full \$2.15 premium
that PMMB boasts. Pennsylvania's dairy producers are
all equal out there on the farm. They must produce
milk under the same regulatory rules. Why should they
not be treated equally in sharing extra money from a
state agency? Those of us whose milk goes into cheese
and butter production perform a valuable market
function of balancing the market. Are we less
valuable than those whose milk ends up in a jug on a

grocery shelf? Only 15 percent of milk producers in Pennsylvania qualifies for the PMMB premiums.

Milk must be produced, processed and sold in the Commonwealth to qualify. The dealers use creative ways to avoid paying it when they can. However, 100 percent of all fluid milk sold in Pennsylvania is subject to the minimum pricing provisions regardless of where it's produced or processed.

The mission statement by PMMB is quote, to ensure that Pennsylvania's dairy industry remains vital, the Milk Marketing Board provides a regulatory environment that facilitates a safe, adequate supply of wholesome milk by providing security for its dairy farmers and milk dealers, while providing an adequate supply of dairy products to consumers, end quote.

The security for dairy farmers refers to a security fund paid into by dealers which will pay dairy farmers any lost revenues should the dealer experience bankruptcy. I would submit to you that providing an adequate supply of dairy products for consumers, quote, by providing security for its milk dealers is absurd. Milk comes from cows not pasteurizing or bottling machines. There has never been an inadequate supply of dairy products in

Pennsylvania, especially fluid milk. We are ranked number five in milk production nationally and historically the whole state has always had 50 percent of that production sold as fluid milk and roughly 50 percent of other dairy products.

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

I would suggest that if PMMB is serious in their efforts and conduct hearings into the costs of production by dairymen and then establish a minimum price to be paid for milk at the farm. This is a concept long discussed by producers, especially in hard times like these. Make it mandatory for dealers to pay these prices to Pennsylvania dairymen. course this wouldn't work because Pennsylvania milk dealers will buy their milk elsewhere. Pennsylvania milk dealers have --- my point is that fixing prices based on input costs is a super deal if you can get it. Pennsylvania milk dealers have such a deal. dairy farmers deserve less?

The Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board will tell you that they accept minimum farm prices, 21 but this, again, is only for qualified milk dealers. For July of 2009 --- this is from your website, quote, statistical average minimum prices in Pennsylvania producers selling in Pennsylvania, \$12.14 25 | hundredweight. That's roughly what we've heard.

While whole milk costs the producer --- the consumer in Pennsylvania \$3.04 a gallon. That's about \$35 a hundredweight.

Now, if you go --- the following was some data from the website regarding the producers price retail set for this. I'll go to July 2008. That's when we had high producer prices. Again, if you take all the statistical average minimum prices in Pennsylvania to producers selling to Pennsylvania, \$21.38. I can tell you that's true because I got it. \$1.83 a gallon --- in Pennsylvania milk sold for \$4.80 a gallon. Dairymen got \$1.83 a gallon. That's a \$25.42 per hundredweight spread for the milk dealers.

Now, some of you may be confused, but I'd like to remind you that one cent a quart is about equal to 46 cents per hundredweight. Dairymen talk in terms of hundredweights is the best way they can sell their milk in quarts or gallons. Okay. So fast forward to 2009, as I just went over. The minimum price is \$3.09 a gallon. That's an average of six areas, statistical average minimum price in Pennsylvania for producing and selling in PA is \$12.14 or \$1.04 a gallon. A \$23.76 spread for the milk dealer. So why would dairymen support PMMB.

There is no chance to ensure they will

make anything over their cost. So what should be done? I believe there are several approaches. Number one, PMMB needs to share its producer premiums with all dairy producers in Pennsylvania equally. As an agency authorized by the legislature, they cannot, in all fairness, give more to some and less to others.

Number two, PMMB needs to be frank and forthright with the legislature, dairy producers and the consuming public as to what it does and for whom.

And number three, the legislature needs to evaluate whether the state needs to be in the business of fixing retail milk prices for milk dealers and whether it is a good thing for consumers.

In summary, I would like to thank
Representative Hanna for organizing this forum and
affording me an opportunity to present my views. I
would also like to thank all those organizations and
individuals who are working towards finding a solution
for some economic security for the Pennsylvania dairy
industry, the Commonwealth's economic kingpin in the
Commonwealth's largest industry.

The key to resolving this crisis is that some consensus be reached by all segments of the business, a consensus which would result in solutions which would help everyone prosper. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, John, and you will get some questions, as well, if you're open for questions.

MR. PIWOWAR:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

25

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

All right. Before we go to the questions, I'd like to give Chairman Maher an opportunity to introduce himself. John.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm very glad 11 we're having this hearing today and I hope we won't be 12 1.3 redundant or I won't be redundant in anything I say. 14 I do want to offer some --- there are many things in 15 the area that show what agriculture is all about. those who are here today appreciate that it's really 16 far more complicated. When you're doing things at 17 4:30 in the morning some of you realize you have to do 18 that seven days a week, 52 weeks a year. And last 19 20 year milk prices per hundredweight have fallen by 21 half. I don't know of any other area of the economy 22 that have seen prices that have gone that severe. 23 So as a result many farmers are working every day, every time they feed their son, every time 24

--- every morning when the sun comes up and they lose

1 money for doing farming. There's got to be some solution to this. And I told Chairman Hanna that I am very glad you organized this hearing. Dairy cows have got to be fed and milked every day. You can't just say go away for a couple of weeks and then come back.

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The national milk producer federation retired 101,000 cows last month. And there will be more this month. And that's above and beyond the ordinary slaughter that would happen. And it's significant and while that helped produce the supply of milk, it really hasn't helped the farmers and it's had a reverse effect of decreasing the price of feed. So you're darned if you do and you're darned if you don't. The number of dairy farms in Pennsylvania is declining. Pennsylvania dairy farms, once they're gone they won't come back.

I would like to see Pennsylvania continue to be reinforced in the dairy industry and I hope that through our testimony that we're going to hear about this proposed law at the federal level that will replace the current pricing and price support system with one that's based on the national average cost of production. Now, I'm not so sure that's a good idea for Pennsylvania, but I'd like to hear those in attendance talk about that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, John. And John, we'll return to you and let me start with the first question. In listening to your testimony, I came to the conclusion that you would be more comfortable with the market driven price rather than the Milk Marketing Board pricing system. Did I draw the wrong conclusion or was that fairly accurate?

MR. PIWOWAR:

I don't think you drew the wrong conclusion. I think you're a little bit confused as to what PMMB does. PMMB doesn't do anything for me as a dairyman. They do a lot for milk dealers. Yeah, I'd like to see market driven milk price on the farm, but as the data I showed, what PMMB sells their milk for has to do with dealer costs, in keeping dealers in business and assuring them a good margin so they stay in business and I assert to you that how can we assure Pennsylvania consumers an adequate supply of milk if there is nobody out there doing the same for our dairy farmers?

Dairy farm numbers in Pennsylvania have decreased at the same number as the states around us. So I don't believe PMMB can claim any victory in saying they slowed the exit of dairy farmers from the

business. Also, milk prices on the retail level in
the states around us are not that different. So why
do we need to set prices. And the answer is, try to
go to a Pennsylvania store today and see a special on
milk prices on milk. They can't do it. They have to
pay their prices.

I don't think that's fair to Pennsylvania consumers, one, and having been served on a school board for a number of years, think of all the millions of dollars that could be saved if there was competitive bidding for the milk contracts in school districts. It's a joke now. It's the minimum price set by PMMB. And these are large contracts for processes in Pennsylvania, but I would address with the dairy farmers, it's a real dilemma as to what is the best way to price milk.

They're always in that problem where if we raise the price, dairymen would respond by producing more. It produces --- it floods the mark up of milk and there is an over supply and prices go down. But the unique thing in the dairy industry is that an over supply can be one or two percent. That's all. Usually milk is converted from bottle to other manufacturing outlets, cheese or butter, the value of that product as sold goes down because the primary

driver that we like to see in milk prices is through
the milk, but for the last few years actually it's
cheese that drove the milk prices. A higher price of
cheese and in the last two years the huge amount of
exports that we were able to have because our price,
the value of the dollar went down and our milk
products became attractive on the world market.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, John. Questions on the right? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

The first thing you talked about is the purpose of farming and one of the factors that you mentioned was that there's a huge demand for products. I know farmers in Lycoming County talk to me about the MPC, milk protein concentration, and they have greater concerns that it's contributing to the perfect storm by requesting what you just described, the validity of the market when it comes to milk conversion. What's your opinion on the MPC? They also have concerns about the health aspect of it.

MR. PIWOWAR:

Well, the health aspect I don't think is a concern. There are a lot of funders out there are going around saying a lot of reactive things that are causing adverse public reaction to milk products in

I think that's wrong. The MPCs that are general. 1 2 imported today are regulated as far as safety is 3 concerned. So, again, that's not the issue. As far as the amount of it being imported, I think that there are certain amounts that are allowed and it simply has to do with the value of the dollar and the decisions that milk process --- or in the process of making dairy products, whether it's cheaper to buy --- like any other product in the world market. Whether it's 10 cheaper to buy it domestically or it's cheaper to buy it imported. 11

There has also been federal legislation that's in the workings now to close some of the loop holes that allow some MPC to get into this country through other routes, saying for manufacturing purposes, et cetera. So the problem is being addressed. I think that the problem with imports has been greatly over exaggerated by some people that pass blame rather than find a solution.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next will be Representative Brooks.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

I want to thank you for your very informative testimony. It was very interesting. I have two questions. In your testimony you said that

dealers use creative ways to avoid paying it when they can. What creative ways are you speaking of and how then can we find a solution or what would you like us to do to present that? And then my second question would be, I know we've talked a lot about milk prices, but I'd also like to talk about mandates on our local farmers and what Pennsylvania mandates do you find are even driving costs of our farmers even more and how you would like to see those changed?

MR. PIWOWAR:

The first question, creative ways. You're in a good location right here. We have a large processor. It used to be a locally owned business. It's now part of a multi-state business that processes milk. All they have to do is get half of the milk supply from Maryland, West Virginia and surrounding states and they only have to pay half the premium to dairy farmers so they do that and sell it all over the state.

The fact that 15 percent of the milk --all the milk produced in Pennsylvania, and only 15
percent, qualifies for that premium tells you
something. They don't want to pay it. Obviously they
want to get their product at the cheapest possible

price. Mandates for dairy farmers. First of all, we have to understand and consumers and the public has to 3 understand that milk is the most regulated food product we probably deal with. The on-farm regulations we have I believe are necessary to ensure consumer confidence in our product and the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture and Sanitation Division does a marvelous job in training our milk inspectors and making sure that this is carried out and there is continued confidence in our product. 10 mandatory requirements as far as milk safety is 11 concerned, milk sanitation, I don't think there is 12 13 anything more --- we don't want to give a perception 14 that we're adding to it. Other farm mandates have 15 become controversial. Farmers are required to have conservation lands on their farms. 16 I think that's a 17 good thing.

Farmers are required to have management plans on their farms, especially in the Susquehanna basin. I think that's a good thing because we have direct evidence that shows that sometimes we weren't doing a good job and we were environmentally lagging behind. So while all of these things, some of the ---24 most of the farms in Pennsylvania are not covered under the large animal and CAPO regulation because, by

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

and large, the size of our farms in Pennsylvania is a 2 lot smaller than say California and so we have a lot 3 of exceptions made in the law. Maybe some of the fellow dairymen that are out there could speak to some mandates they'd like to see lapse, but I certainly wouldn't want to recommend anything that has to with safety and sanitation.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Next would be Representative Solobay.

REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I guess in some of this kind of playing off the initial questions to you, something I heard in the past is that oftentimes things could be a little discriminatory with dairy operators as far as whether or not their milk is designated for fluid use or for other dairy uses which obviously would affect the prices, say, between per hundredweight and whatever may be the case and that's regardless if they are a sole operator that sells their milk directly through a processor or if they're part of a co-op that negotiates the price for their 24 milk. And then the percentage of what goes fluid and what goes to other dairy products. Do you feel ---

from your experience and your years, do you see that there is any type of discriminatory process other than that the milk comes in from out of state? Would you say, is there a challenge some producers have that they see that they're not getting an opportunity for the higher fluid rates and their milk always ends up becoming ice cream, or cheese, or whatever?

MR. PIWOWAR:

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I don't think that's a problem. No. There are some --- there are some producers who are large producers and I can think of a couple half of dozen in Pennsylvania that milks over 1,000 cows. They create for themselves their own investment of economic advantage say hauling milk. By hauling your own milk and owning their own tankers and delivering it to whoever is buying it and needs it at the economical price. Ultimately it's the handler who decides what that milk is going to go into. cooperative, my milk went wherever they needed it, whether it was a bottling plant and some of it went right into Uniontown, or whether it was a balancing plant that is making cheese in New Wilmington. matter of where there is a home for milk a lot of times. Some producers --- there are some in Pennsylvania who are really quite content with the

arrangement of PMMB because they live close to a
handler who is going to bottle a lot of milk saving at
go percent Class I utilization of bottled milk and
they can realize a lot of the portions are distributed
to other dairymen. My contention is, as a state
agency, that premium should go to all state dairymen.
In Western Pennsylvania we are getting a lot less and
in Central and Eastern Pennsylvania where the Class I
market is.

all Pennsylvania milk producers produce under the same traditions and they produce in the statewide market. If there were not the milk removal into other products, we'd have a serious problem with milk prices. If there is no home for the milk, then the prices really plummet. I hope I at least ---.

REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY:

No, you did very well. And I guess another thing tied to that, the challenges that oftentimes are met with not just dairy producers because of the side costs they also made, but just the agricultural venues in the state itself mean a lot of challenges because of our geology and the landscape.

MR. PIWOWAR:

That's a good point. Here, in Western Pennsylvania, you're talking about the infrastructure.

REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY:

Sure.

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

MR. PIWOWAR:

I think all the farmers here would agree that we have to drive a number of miles to get to some dealerships. Some equipment that we buy we have to maintain a stock for parts because there is just not a dealer nearby. A lot of the supplies we get come from far off places. The dairymen sometimes buy them in bulk to save money, but the infrastructure is a real problem. As equipment dealers disappear, as other support entities disappear from the dairy scene, it makes it more difficult for farmers to conduct their 14 business.

REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY:

And obviously you're referencing California. The challenge you have in Pennsylvania is because of the terrain itself. I mean, you're trying to plant crops on a hillside versus on a nice flat surface, that also brings challenges that affect your 21 bottom line.

MR. PIWOWAR:

Well, they do, but I think we're all here 24 because we want to be here and we choose where we want to farm and we make the best of what we can. Yeah,

our corn dealers are not going to be consistent with 250. Maybe a little closer to 150

REPRESENTATIVE SOLOBAY:

Plus somebody has got to feed the deer.

MR. PIWOWAR:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.4

25

Don't forget the raccoon.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Representative Kessler.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Thank you for educating us. In your testimony, you mentioned about having PMMB conduct 12 hearings with the dairy farmers and established 13 minimum prices and then went on to say of course this 14 wouldn't work setting a price because all product could be bought elsewhere. And then you mentioned about Maryland, where the dealers could buy at half premium.

MR. PIWOWAR:

That's not what I said. T said Pennsylvania dealers could go to Maryland or West 21 Virginia and buy half their raw milk. They still only 22 have to pay only half the premium because half of 23 their milk that they pull that they sell us comes from out of state therefore it's not qualified for the premium.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

So they're not --- in Maryland, they're not actually producing the milk at a cheaper cost.

MR. PIWOWAR:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Not at all. Not at all. T should also point out that none of the states around us have set retail milk prices. They tried. Maryland tried about ten years ago, but the consumers said no, we don't want set prices. What's wrong with competition? Us dairy farmers compete every day for various things and look at the price we get. It sure would be a nice deal if you were guaranteed to market it based on the average. That's what I'm saying. But, again, milk dealers are in business, too, to make a profit. they were given the option to buy milk for \$16 a hundredweight or go buy it in New York for \$12 a hundredweight, where they going to buy it? It's all the same milk. It's all the same quality.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

And the main reason why you just said about \$16 versus \$12 in New York, what makes up that difference?

MR. PIWOWAR:

Well, nobody in Pennsylvania is getting 25 \$16. I'm saying that if somebody said that, that base

\$16, their economist would tear that apart because there would be all sorts of problems as far as milk flow unless it was mandated that dealers were required to buy Pennsylvania milk and then, at that point, I don't think they'd ever support the Milk Marketing Board.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Any other questions on my left? Representative Mahoney.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

Thank you for appearing here today, John. As usual, you're out in front of this issue. You and I sat down with Representative Kula, in Harrisburg, for two-and-a-half hours with the Milk Marketing Board less than four months ago on April 28th, and I believe I came away from that meeting and thought I was coming away from a geometry class because the numbers --- I just could not fathom the way the numbers are set up with the cost and the pricing. In your opinion and your opinion only, do you think PMMB can spare to the supplier and the dealer? Do you believe or do you think that the dealer is getting the better deal with PMMB?

MR. PIWOWAR:

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

15

17

19

I should qualify my answer. PMMB is doing exactly what the law allows them to do because they have to. What I am saying is that the law that enables them to do what they do discriminates against producers.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

So they discriminate against the small dairy farmer in Pennsylvania?

MR. PIWOWAR:

Not necessarily the small one. Even the large dairy farmer, whose milk goes to the cheese 1.3 farm. And it should be pointed out that they --- the 14 very prices of milk in most of the state has to be competitive between dealers because they're competing for milk, too, and the farmers --- I know farmers that 16 have dumped milk markets for a dime per a 18 hundredweight. Some dairymen look for other things in the milk market. But my point is the dealers have to 20 be competitive, too, but, at this point, it's all competitive for cheap milk, and as I pointed out, the 21 22 spread between \$1.04 a gallon that dairymen get now for their milk is being sold for \$3.05 a gallon, well, 23 24 PMMB has data that says the milk dealers need that to 25 be, on the average, short of return. Now, I say

wouldn't it be nice if somebody would conduct a
hearing and said, all right, cheese is this much and
supplies are this much and fuel has gone up or down,
you need \$17.50 for your milk to break even. I'm sure
a lot of the guys here today would take \$17.50, but
nobody is doing that. Our milk price is set primarily
and the driver is the Federal Milk Marketing Board.
And not all Pennsylvania is covered by the Federal
Milk Marketing Board. They set the trend.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

So, in your opinion, do you think the PMMB should set up a hearing around the state for the dairy farmers?

MR. PIWOWAR:

10

11

12

13

14

15 I'm not going to recommend that because I know it won't work, unless you can find some 16 17 legislative way in the law to make it work. The milk 18 dealers don't want it to work. They don't want to pay \$500 a shipment. That's the dilemma we're stuck in. 19 20 Milk dealers want cheap milk and they want a quaranteed margin and they're getting it right now. 21 Α 22 year ago they were paying a lot more for their milk 23 because the margin wasn't as much. I would say that some were probably losing money. But milk --- they 24 25 were --- the consumer would spend \$46 a hundred or

\$4.05 a gallon and the farmers were getting \$1.83, which is a heck of a lot better than \$1.04 right now. 3 I know that some of the milk prices go up and you get that deer in the headlight look because you shifted from dollars per hundredweight to dollars per gallon and that kind of stuff. We deal with milk on a different scale.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

So, legislatively, what should we look to do to help our dairymen?

MR. PIWOWAR:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

One thing is look at a number of years ago. That is having them pull all their premiums. Don't make some producers 60 cents and some producers 80 cents. Find a way to get all producers in Pennsylvania whatever it is. And I suggest to you 16 that if 15 percent of the milk in Pennsylvania qualifies and the premium is \$2.15, 15 percent of that is going to be what about 35 cents? Sure 35 cents is better than nothing, but 35 cents doesn't even help pay the fuel bill. I mean, it's an insult to us. So what I'm saying is, they're not doing it 23 equally. So what the legislators could do and what the PMMB can do, which they refuse to do, there has to 25 be some organization on them now, too, is if they pull

these premiums. Now, why do I say that? Well, the farm organizations, this issue is like tax reform. 2 Ιf I give you a proposal, the first thing you do is 3 figure out, uh-huh, do I come out ahead or behind? So half the Pennsylvania dairymen are coming out ahead because they get a little bit of that premium and those of us that come out behind were in favor of pulling because we get a little more. So that's the way it works. So the Farm Bureau even supported 10 pulling at one point about eight years ago. don't at the moment or it's a dead issue. 11

Have I clarified that point? Farm organizations, my own cooperative, the northeast division did that, plus the PMMB because they have a large class on the market. The farmers in Western Pennsylvania are taking it on the chin and we can't be competitive with our own cooperative because they're getting the premiums and we're not because our milk is going primarily in manufacturing products.

REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman Maher.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Thank you. In the past, you mentioned

the current auditing that Pennsylvania dealers actually are buying milk from other states to avoid paying the premium.

MR. PIWOWAR:

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Not necessarily to avoid it. They always have. The dealer in Uniontown is much closer to the Maryland dairy farmers and some West Virginia dairy farmers than they are to Somerset County dairy farmers. So it's a natural thing to do. I would say that they're always going to look out for ways to --they're going to minimize their raw product prices. 12 They're in business to do that. So they're going to take any advantage, any way they possibly can to pay the least for their product.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Now, if these premiums were collected from dealers based upon their now hundredweight to process, regardless of whether or not --- where it came from and if those premiums then were to be distributed to producers based upon their 21 hundredweight produced regardless, would that iron out the quality concern, the fairness concern that you're expressing?

MR. PIWOWAR:

Well, where fairness is concerned, you

can pull those premiums, but keep in mind dealers can buy their milk wherever they want, but to qualify for that premium, according to Pennsylvania Milk Marketing 3 law, it has to be produced, processed and sold in Pennsylvania. So if it's produced in Pennsylvania and processed out of the state, it doesn't qualify. it's produced and processed in Pennsylvania and you sell it in the Baltimore market, it doesn't qualify.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

And that's out of the farmer's?

MR. PIWOWAR:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

That's absolutely out of the farmer's.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

The price the farmer gets then is set by the factors that are out of his hands?

MR. PIWOWAR:

Absolutely. The dealers are audited all the time for federal purposes, and also by the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board so they can determine how much jug milk gets sold. In the federal 21 market they determine --- the federal milk market pool 22 milk all the time. In fact, one could argue that 23 they're in contradiction with the Pennsylvania Milk 24 | Marketing Board because if I get close to a bottling plant, that's a more higher valued product. I could

seemingly get more money from that plant because it's going to be jugs.

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

3 The federal market requires the dairy to pool all those funds. So that if there are 50 percent --- if there is 70 percent Class I and 30 percent manufactured and the market averages 50/50, will they pay into the pool to get to other dairy? This is how --- I'm afraid I'm going to perpetrate the hearing because this is really getting complicated, 10 but the pooling process of milk in federal orders allows dairymen all over to benefit from Class I sales 11 by pooling Class I and allowing those monies to go 12 13 back to dairymen who live far away from the 14 manufacturing plants.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Would a similar pooling effect develop in Pennsylvania?

MR. PIWOWAR:

If the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board pulled their premium, I'm sure they can tell you how 21 many cents a hundredweight each dairymen in Pennsylvania would get. Last year we produced 10.6 billion pounds of milk. If you divided the total number of dollars they have into 10.6 billion pounds of milk some are not going to be anywhere near \$2.15.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

1

2

3

4

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we didn't have the system we have, what system would you recommend to pay the premium?

MR. PIWOWAR:

My contention is that if we didn't have 5 PMMB premiums that dairy producers could negotiate for 6 their own premiums. It's being done all the time. It's also, again, just my opinion --- I'm not speaking for anyone but myself, but PMMB, over the years, has 10 used this tool, or at least handlers have used this tool, to pit one dairymen against another. I didn't 11 pay the premium because I had 60 percent utilization. 12 13 So, in the federal pool, I can pay you more money 14 than somebody else who's taking it somewhere else. So 15 they ---- dairy dealers have used this to pit dairymen against dairymen. Again, that's my opinion only, but 16 I think it's substantial. 17

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Any other questions?

John, before you step down, I want to say that I think I might have learned more today from you than I have learned from anyone on milk pricing, but I have to also confess that I am still a little bit confused. I really do appreciate your testimony though. I have to say that you seem to understand it

1 better than anyone I have ever listened to before and we certainly thank you for taking the time to be part of this hearing.

MR. PIWOWAR:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

22

23

25

I'd like to make one final comment. The reason why I met with Representative Kula and Representative Mahoney was that I'm not going to get anything on the farm organization, but I think as a legislature and as a state agency, a state agency authorized by the legislation sort of has to be fair. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

John, can I urge you to stay in contact with Representative Kula and Representative Mahoney? I think this has been very, very helpful to all of us and I think your continued input on the subject maybe will help with those going forward.

MR. PIWOWAR:

19 Since I don't milk cows anymore, I have a 2.0 lot of time.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, John.

And our next presenter is John Frey,

2.4 Director of Center for Dairy Excellence. John.

MR. FREY:

Chairman Hanna, Minority Chairman Maher 1 2 and members of the House Ag and Rural Affairs 3 Committed, thank you for the opportunity to testify today on the issue of dairy farm profitability here in Pennsylvania. My name is John Frey, and I am the Executive Director at the Center for Dairy Excellence. A little background on the center. The center is a 501c6 non-profit created by Secretary of Agriculture Dennis Wolff and Governor Edward Rendell. We have our 10 offices at the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture and provide ongoing consulting to the Department in 11 areas impacting the dairy industry. 12

Our mission is fairly straightforward. It's to provide resources and programming which enhance profitability, sustainability and the viability of the dairy industry in the Commonwealth. You have provided ongoing support of the Center for Dairy Excellence and the work we are doing to help all dairy farm families throughout Pennsylvania and we at the center are very grateful for that.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A little background. In 1985, there were 21,000 dairy farms in Pennsylvania. By 1995 this 23 number had decreased to 11,800 and today this number is 7,600 according to NASS, which is the National AG Statistics Service.

Dairy represents over 42 percent of the 1 2 Ag economy in Pennsylvania. It also represents over 3 40,000 jobs from service providers to veterinarians to accounting services and supply businesses like feed and nutrition to equipment. The dairy farmers in Pennsylvania and across the United States are in the midst of an unprecedented economic crisis. foundation of this crisis has severely dropped in milk prices which has all dairy farmers selling milk for 10 approximately \$12 per hundred pounds while experiencing cost of production that is somewhere 11 between \$17.50 and \$24 per one hundred pounds. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These negative margins translate into net losses of approximately \$1,000 per cow per calendar year in 2009. For a 100 cow dairy, this translates into lost equity of \$100,000. For larger dairy farms, this figure escalates even higher. Those most affected are young dairy producers new to the industry and those who recently recapitalized and expanded to accommodate additional family members joining the business. Again, impacting the next generation of dairy food producers. My heart goes out to these young entrepreneurs impacted harshly be this current economy.

Our office fields many, what should I do,

calls and questions on a daily basis. At the core of these low prices is an imbalance of supply and demand largely due to lost markets both internationally and domestically. International sales alone for dairy products has dropped 50 percent from one year ago.

The drop in demand is the result of lost competitive advantages enjoyed by our currency, declining restaurant sales domestically and other variables impacting consumption around the world.

Previous to this severe down turn, our industry experienced cyclical markets impacted by supply and demand, all reasonably able to be weathered by effective business management practices and existing support programs. This current crisis is impacting all farms and threatens to remove many farm family businesses contributing to the food supply and the rural economy. And one thing that we notice in our office over and over again is even the most efficient dairy businesses are not immune to this massive equity erosion.

A few statistics we have gathered include: the downturn could eliminate 25 percent of Pennsylvania dairy farms or approximately 1,875 farms. This would result in the loss of approximately 100,000 cows from the state herd. Every nine cows in this

robust industry creates or retains one job related to dairy production. Losing 100,000 cows means over losing over 11,000 jobs. Research done by the University of Wisconsin shows each dairy cow contributes at least \$13,700 to the regional economy.

impact on rural economies to the tune of nearly \$1.4 billion. And my last statistic speaks to the future opportunities we have to profit from this critical dairy food industry here in the Commonwealth.

Pennsylvania dairy farms feed the Boston to DC corridor. Statistically, we remain the number two states for number of dairy farms and the five states for milk production in the U.S. We live within a one-day drive of a 50 percent of the U.S. population.

Dairy not only affects agriculture, it affects all rural economic growth here in Pennsylvania. It is often referred to as a renewable resource as each dollar in milk sales is spent numerous times over in the region it was generated. While we know businesses must grow to survive, our model for dairy production here in Pennsylvania is one of sustainability. Relatively small family farms 80 percent of them and less than 100 cows where feed is produced on land owned by the farm family.

So what can be done to ensure the viability of our dairy industry? I believe that both short term actions and long terms strategies are needed for survival. The most recent Federal Farm Bill or Food Act as it was originally called included numerous things directed towards the dairy industry and dairy policy. One vital thing the Farm Bill included was the development and implementation of a bi-partisan committee to evaluate the effectiveness and relevance of our current federal order system and federal dairy policy based on this system.

This current federal dairy order system was developed in the 1940s. This committee was to be developed when funding was available. To date funding has not been made available in spite of numerous generous allocations directed towards agriculture. I believe this committee becoming a high priority, which is to be compromised of industry experts from each layer of the supply chain, is critical to the future of dairy production, manufacturing, and store sales. Currently, the USDA is considering calling an internal committee to replace this extended version called for in the Farm Bill.

The House of Ag and Rural Affairs

Committed could be instrumental in communicating to

the Pennsylvania Congressional delegation that the original intent of the Farm Bill be implemented as intended. Number two, an increase of the federal dairy support price from its current level of \$9.90 to \$12 to \$13. This actually recently has been approved for August through October. Currently the U.S. Senate has proposed another \$350 million for November through January.

Encouragement by the Pennsylvania House Ag and Rural Affairs Committed to the Pennsylvania Congressional delegation in Washington to approve this allocation of funding would be appropriate in our opinion. This price support program represents the point where dairy products are purchased by the Commodity Credit Corporation of the Federal Government and later placed back on the market when the market price is higher and demand seems to be closer to equilibrium with supply.

In some cases, these purchased products are allocated to regional food banks and feeding and nutrition programs for hungry people. Raising this support price has a direct impact on supply and in turn increases farmer pay price. Three, revise Federal MILC payment program and you've heard of the facility today by increasing the trigger price for

market loss payments. Currently, this program pays 45 percent of the difference between a trigger price of \$16.94 and the current Class III price.

This has resulted in several months'

payment of nearly \$2 per hundred pounds of milk.

Again, allocated on the first 2.98 million pounds

produced per year. We have proposed to the U.S. House

and Senate Ag Committee an increase in 79 percent.

And you heard earlier today that we're proposed to get

that even higher. However, this would require an act

of Congress to reopen the Federal Farm Bill. It

should be noted that many Amish dairy farmers do not

participate in this federal payment program.

And four, support increased funding for state, regional and federal nutritional programs including WIC and the Senior Feeding Programs. And five, the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board has provided over order dairy premiums to dairy producers and has maintained a minimum store price, which has allowed processors and retailers to capture a consistent margin. This is a result of the consistently higher prices for dairy producers. In fact, in the month of June according to NASS, the Pennsylvania price paid at the farm was the third highest in the U.S., surpassed only by Florida and

Virginia.

have received between 45 and 52 percent of the retail price of fluid milk. Currently however, this ratio has been closer to 33 percent. Recently the PMMB had an opportunity to take action during this devastating period and provide additional premiums for dairy farmers and voted not to do so. Whether it would be additional premiums for Pennsylvania produced milk or lowering the current mandated margin for processors and retailers, I believe that based on current margins an opportunity exists to decrease both processor and retail margins which would lower the PMMB minimum retail price for consumers and potentially increase sales thus decreasing overall supply and return to the state program.

much needed opportunity for the PMMB to bring new value to dairy producers in the Commonwealth. Support a reallocation of First Industry Funds from tourism to agriculture to encourage continued investment in growth and investment on dairy farms. And initiate a Pennsylvania Dairy Caucus here among the Pennsylvania General Assembly, which would meet routinely throughout the year to discuss dairy markets, economic

conditions on the farm and dairy food availability to consumers throughout the Commonwealth.

2.4

And lastly, support the Ag Excellence
Line, which funds our organization Center for Dairy
Excellence. And just for a little overview as to who
we are, our organization provides ongoing leadership
to and communication with the vital industry. An
example of this is in July and August alone we will
have interacted with nearly 1,000 dairy farmers
through CDE events and initiatives. Also included in
our work is the facilitation of the NEDLT or Northeast
Dairy Leadership Team.

This small group of industry leaders which includes Secretary of Agriculture Dennis Wolff and his counterparts Secretary Allbee from Vermont and Commissioner Hooker from New York meet routinely to discuss the challenges facing our regional industry and communicates routinely with the Northeast Congressional delegation in Washington regarding potential solutions. The dairy work of the Center for Dairy Excellence includes surrounding dairy farmers with resources from dairy professionals to business professionals through our Dairy Profit Team program which over 200 farms have or are using routinely.

We recently announced that the example of

these resources a partnership with the SCORE

organization which is the Service Core of Retired

Executives to bring new insights to these dairy teams.

We have an extensive list of tools for dairy farmers

to use including business planning templates for

dairy, risk management information and resources to

help them to understand and capture market

opportunities. We also place professionals on dairy

farms to help overcome performance bottlenecks and to

help in succession planning.

Last year we partnered with the Highmark Foundation called the Healthy High Five Nutrition Campaign to make available Discover Dairy, a state education standard approved dairy educational lesson series in over half of the school districts in the Commonwealth. We've done this because consumers remain far removed from their food sources and fewer and fewer are consuming their national dietary guideline of three a day of dairy. According to industry sources, if every U.S. man, woman and child were to consume their three a day, we'd have to increase U.S. production by nearly 50 percent.

Thus, we are working to stimulate demand domestically. Finally, our long-term strategies.

Number one, allocate funding to subsidize or buy down

costs associated with risk management options used to mitigate price volatility. We believe very strongly that any changes made to federal pricing mechanisms do not remove all volatility from this commodity based market. We also believe that an understanding of fundamental risk management tools will become an essential component of dairy business management.

3

22

24

25

Currently in the Midwest region of the 8 U.S. nearly one in two dairy farms have an open 10 application with a cooperative or trading company from which to be able to purchase risk management options 11 at any time. In Pennsylvania, our estimate is that 12 13 only one in twenty dairy producers have such an 14 agreement in place. Allocating funds for a dairy 15 options pilot programs support our work at the center which has maintained a strong focus in this area. 16 17 Currently, the USDA Risk Management Agency has a 18 unique program which is privately owned called Livestock Gross Margin or LGM for Dairy which combines 19 20 the put option on milk prices and call options on feed 21 components.

This program needs ongoing encouragement 23 from the Pennsylvania House Ag Committee to the Federal Crop Insurance Board to make this program more user friendly. Increase low interest loan

availability through FSA programs. And lastly,
initiate statewide tax credits for dairy facility
modernization and renewable energy projects on dairy
farms. Last year, Representative Art Hershey
introduced DAIRY-MAX which was a tax credit based
program to modernize dairy production facilities on
farms in Pennsylvania.

1.3

Wisconsin, the only state with more dairy farms in Pennsylvania invested over \$200 million in tax credits for this purpose from 2003 to 2007. And as a result, their dairy production has increased by over ten percent in the past five years. The impact on new construction and other infrastructure enhancement has been nothing short of phenomenal. A similar program in Pennsylvania would make great strides in providing new vitality to rural communities across the Commonwealth.

For us to compete with regions with vast land resources like the Western and Midwestern states, initiatives are similar to the DAIRY-MAX will be important to preserve the next generation of dairy farmers.

In summary, I would like to say thank you to the House of Representatives for this opportunity and for your past support of our work through the

Agriculture Excellence initiative. Pennsylvania has a very sustainable model for dairy. We view your investment in us as seed money directed to ensure a healthy and growing rural dairy and agriculture landscape across Pennsylvania. Thank you. You're welcome to questions.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you, John. Questions on my left?

MR. FREY:

And Chairman Hanna, in any support of question, I also have this resource piece of multi-colored sheet in front of me and I thought it might be useful. I did some comments relative to that.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Okay.

MR. FREY:

If you start in the far left of that sheet, column A, you will notice going down the rows from top to bottom that they have 25 monthly data on this sheet. We print this monthly. We call it the Pennsylvania dairy score card. And you'll notice row 59, Column A, is July of '09 and goes to rows 47 and July '08 back to row 35 divided by seven. So you've got, again, approximately two years worth of data.

Column B is account numbers done in the

State of Pennsylvania. From July of '07, 550,000 cows; and July of '08, 546,000 cows. The most recent month, 545,000 cows. So, at this point, cow numbers are relative unchanged in the State of Pennsylvania.

3

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to take you over to the middle of the sheet, Column J, K and L, for information that Penn State University prints on a monthly basis and we've printed here in a unique way. And I believe Column L is the most interesting to us. It's titled milk margin. I'll take you down to the current month, which is row 59. The current milk margin is \$6.72. 12 What that translates into is that for every 100 pounds of milk that's produced after feed cost is paid for there is \$6.72 cents on the average Pennsylvania farm left to pay all other expenses. That would be mortgage, other supplies, equipment, et cetera, et cetera. What's interesting, if you look back just to be in perspective on the prices that were entered, last year, the same month. Milk margin per hundred pounds of milk is \$12.59 and the July before that \$17.22. So in the last year margins are all 46.6 percent row 61. Obviously, significantly more than that if you look back to two years ago. This is actually one of three pages we print monthly.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Thank you. Any questions? Representative Denlinger.

2.4

REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you,
John, for your testimony. I appreciate it. Two
things. First of all, since you raised the issue of
the DAIRY-MAX club for the same you ones produced with
some slight alteration in the current section amended
many fellowmen in house so we hope to see that move
forward and appreciate you mentioning it in your
testimony. Secondarily, if I may, you mentioned risk
management tools. I'm wondering if you could
elaborate a little bit more on what kinds of tools you
provide for the farmers?

MR. FREY:

One of the things that we do is that we print a very unique template on a weekly basis called a market and management report, which, of course, only features trading on a daily basis. We track it on a daily basis, but we print them on a weekly basis. And the thing that we're attempting to do within Pennsylvania is print that information, albeit as complex as it is, in a way that can be more easily understood. And then there are a number of tools that we're encouraging dairy producers in the Commonwealth

It's only

to use and one fundamental example is simply to consider buying a put option on a future supply. 3 example, it's August, today if you look into the January and February, today you can protect a price that is significantly higher than the price today. Granted that price that we would protect in January isn't anywhere close to where it was just 12 to 15 months ago, but the question remains that if current prices would persist through January I'd much rather pay 80 or 90 cents a hundredweight to preserve and 10 protect a \$4 or \$5 higher price or go the 80 to 90 11 cents per hundredweight and live with the same price 12 that we're receiving today in January. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One other thing I'll mention, this livestock gross margin is, we believe, a very interesting product. It essentially takes that concept that I just explained buying a put option on the milk. But even if I protect my milk price, if corn goes from \$4.25 to \$8, as it did a couple of years ago, I didn't protect my margin. Hence the tool livestock gross margin. So it actually not only buys the put option on the milk side, it buys the call option on the corn and soybean side, so I can really protect and ensure my margin over a period of time.

We have some success stories.

been available for a year. It's a brand new product.

We have success stories that farms in Pennsylvania

who purchased that early last fall and obviously very,

very happy that they ensured their margins \$5 to \$6

per hundredweight higher. So this shows you that

about a \$7 milk margin they protected about \$13 or

even \$14 margins. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

2.4

Thank you. Representative Brooks.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

You had mentioned one of the tools, but can you talk about some of the other programs and the percentage that other farmers are utilizing these tools that are available to them and also their success rates as well as you had mentioned in your testimony that you'd like to see a price introduced? So I do want to let you know that Representative Karen Novak and myself have formally formed an understanding that there are many members that want to have their voices heard and understand they are from all across Pennsylvania earn more of a role and so we did form that caucus to try and help preserve our agriculture industry because, you know, often times we get different industries and it's from urban to rural and so we want to get a better understanding for all of

these legislative districts. So I am pleased that it's very successful and that I did want to let you know that we could check that off the list.

MR. FREY:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you. The first part of your question, again, was you said --- repeat that. Programs released by the profits and programs?

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Right. You had talked about risk management tools.

MR. FREY:

Right.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Would you talk about the percentage of farmers that are using these tools or utilizing them?

MR. FREY:

Yeah. The risk management --- again, I'll go back to my statistics in Pennsylvania. One in 20 have some form of application to use a risk management product. The profit team program is really one of the foundational programs at the center and I referenced in my testimony that we feel, what should I do with phone calls? And sometimes they're from 24 producers, sometimes they're from lenders. One of the 25 first things that we do in those cases is recommend

the profit team, which is essentially a board of directors that surround that farm family and there's a 3 lender looking at the account and its production people, like veterinarian fees, nutrition professionals, and that group strives to meet on a monthly or every other month basis and better understand what's happening on that farm and hopefully put that farm back on the pathway to profitability.

Frankly, we have two groups of producers that seek out that program. We had a very, what I would call forward thinking progressive dairy producers who knows the concept and was actually fantastic. And not that I put a board around that to help resources. We have that group that produces it and then the other group produces it. It is really, really difficult to shape and that program we believe is just a tremendous resource to those farms.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

We did some early work at Penn State. The first year we participated in that type of a program saw approximately 25 percent increase in production. They saw that in one of two ways. Either by adding cows or increasing pounds of milk per cow In most cases a combination of the two. per day. 24 Well, we know businesses have to continually be in a forward, downward and that helps them to be.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Any other questions on the right? Representative Mirabito?

REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO:

Just typically, what would be the cause of the put option to farmers and how does that compare to their ability to buy it? That's the first The other question I have is on page three question. that you said that most Amish farmers don't participate in the MILC program. What is the impact --- is the situation with them different? How do they fair in this whole crisis? And I'm curious as to why you wrote that, that they need that?

MR. FREY:

I'll do your first question first about the cost of risk management. Obviously, the closer that you are to attempting to purchase the commodity the less expensive or protective commodity the less expensive it is. If I learned to protect my September and October milk price the cost of the put option 21 might be approximately 60 cents a hundredweight. want to protect my February, or March, or April of '10 milk price, it's going to cost me \$1 to a \$1.10 per hundredweight. The price is significantly higher at this point in the future market than it is today.

Obviously, volatility in the marketplace stands to reason why it's a lot more expensive out there. In the same way the LGN were not only protecting the milk, but also protecting the ceiling for the corn and beans for a similar price just like a put option at this point. Does that answer your question?

REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO:

Yes.

MR. FREY:

Your second question was on MILC and you asked why I noted that Amish area producers in many cases do not participate. Because a week ago tonight there was a producer meeting in Lancaster and there was approximately 600 Amish dairy producers that came out to that meeting at 7:30 on Monday evening and it was that evening as we interacted with the Amish dairymen and I knew this but I hadn't thought about it for a while, but they simply did not want to hear any --- their fear is that if they signed up for MILC at their local FSA office that they will somehow tie them to other federal programs that they prefer not to participate in and that's unfortunate because they could have been a great help on a federal level to the dairy farmers.

The second part of your question is, how

are they fairing? I would say some are fairing reasonably well and some are not fairing so well.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Representative Kessler.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Thank you. If the public were today to pay X amount of dollars for a gallon of milk and then go tomorrow and the price went up ten cents, 20 cents, whatever, and that would be guaranteed to go back to the dairy farmer, what would that cents have be to under you chart to get that number back up to the price in July '08 when it was \$1.59?

MR. FREY:

I'm not able to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

I would like to know that. So that way we can somehow think of how to guarantee whether it was ten cents or 15 cents and you also mentioned that we're very close to 50 percent. So out in the Midwest, are they able to produce milk cheaper than we 21 are regulated by federal prices? So, therefore, shouldn't there be another set of prices for close to the east coast or higher populations and possibly is higher profits?

MR. FREY:

So is your first question, is the Midwest able to produce milk cheaper than we are?

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FREY:

Our industry is not unlike all other 6 industries in production equals the lower cost of production. So how do you deem efficiency? You need efficiency to improve management, through improved 10 performance and in many case scale of business. we have one of the lowest average number of cows per 11 12 herd in the country. So if we go to California, Idaho, and Arizona, where the average herd size is 13 14 probably close to 1,000 dairy cows, it is easy for 15 them to capture levels of efficiencies and lower cost of production than what we're able to capture here. 16

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

So then shouldn't we have the state or the country broken up into regions to set milk prices?

MR. FREY:

 $\label{to-some-extent} \mbox{To some extent it's already federal order}$ in regions across the country.

REPRESENTATIVE KESSLER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Chairman Maher.

2.4

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Thank you. We're pricing and developing who they pay and what. And you want to be careful about this because you might increase the ten cents or whatever it is. What you might not consider is in the crops. That was not your testimony that was encouraging an increase in price, but that we increase many. The first question is, has anybody done research recently about the price demand for retail milk?

MR. FREY:

I think the answer to that is yes.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

And if you could make out and send along the numbers. You also observed with the Pennsylvania Marketing Milk Board pricing that historically producers have received from anywhere 45, 50 percent retail price and that ratio is 33 percent. So there is a decline in terms of what the shelf price of milk is, 12 to 20 percent difference percent in the price of a gallon of milk less is going to the farmer than it used to be. Where is that going in terms of where the milk market board built up their prices?

MR. FREY:

I'm not sure I am able to answer to that.
CHAIRMAN MAHER:

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, maybe our next testifier will be able to help us with that. I didn't want to put you on the spot. You have to understand by paid premium for put option is high priced higher than the price of milk now. However, the converse would have been true as well, is whoever is going to be writing these set of options in that the price would be higher than the fair price then they are being executed. So while I think it is certainly an interesting thing to study, I think you've got to be careful about pushing too hard on getting our producers into the options because it's the people's plan in the markets on the other side of the transaction aren't there to lose money and then they're gathering information or whoever is looking at it that is writing the option and some would have a short sale. So I think you have to be very cautious about pushing options too quickly because in the falling market, if you fall on the price guarantee, you may have paid for something that is worth nothing. But the question I had for you on that is that the producers are paying if they were to buy close. the milk marketing board started factoring those in to determine what their minimum --- what their pricing is

or the premium should be?

2

MR. FREY:

3

1

Not to my knowledge.

4

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

5

Have any conversations along those lines?

6

MR. FREY:

No, we have not.

8

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

9

Okay. Thank you.

10

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

11

Thank you, John. That wraps up our

12

questions for you. I think I'd be remiss if I didn't

13 mention to you that in conversations I've had with

14

dairy farmers your profits are praised. They are very

15

effective and I believe they're well utilized and I

16 think that was one of Representative Brooks' questions

17

and the answer you provided, can you give us an idea

18

of how long ---?

19

MR. FREY:

20

We've had somewhere between 200 and 230

21

farms. I think about 200 currently are using the

22

program. The other 30 or 40 that haven't used the

23 24

program.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

25

And I'm sure you wouldn't object to

legislators putting that information out to dairy farmers. This is a program that has been well utilized by a number of dairy farmers successfully and maybe useful to all of them.

MR. FREY:

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

No, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Again, thank you, John. And our final testifier is Keith Bierly. Keith is the Secretary of Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board.

MR. BIERLY:

I want to thank you, Chairman Hanna, for the opportunity for the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing 14 Board to be here today and testify. Since the milk marketing board has been mentioned quite a bit earlier in the process, I think I ought to explain our structure a little bit so that everyone in the auditorium understands. There are three members of the milk marketing board who are appointed by the Governor of Pennsylvania and who confer the 21 Pennsylvania State Senate.

In the five-and-a-half years that I've 23 been here there, there have been four different 24 members. Boyd Wolff, who was previously chairman of 25 the milk marketing board and is a long time

Westmoreland County dairy farmer, very successful and Secretary of Agriculture. He is incredibly knowledgeable about agriculture in Pennsylvania. He was succeeded by Dave Kreidie, a farmer out of Columbia County, who started farming at the age of 19, that's in his 60s, and is still farming. He is 7 chairman now.

The other two members are Lou Brubaker, a very, very successful Lancaster County farmer, and Barbara Grumbine, who is a Realtor who is married to Dennis Grumbine, who previously was the executive director of the Pennsylvania Farm Show Complex. My point in raising this is that the people that I work for as Secretary of the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board are incredibly knowledgeable. I've been in government for 34 years and these people, with their integrity, their judgment, their knowledge of agriculture, in my opinion, is unparallel.

The only the people who apply their knowledge of agriculture in Pennsylvania, again, in my opinion, are three members of my staff; Tim Moyer, who is with us here today, who is a policy advisor for the board, has been with the milk marketing board and has some county background. Dave DeSantis, who is the chief of our law enforcement at the county, who I

think knows more about milk pricing than anybody alive. He is at the International Milk Control 2 Agency's conference today, as we speak. And Doug 3 Eberly, our legal counsel, who also is very knowledgeable. Again, my point of bringing that out is that I've heard words today about whether or not the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board would be fair in their policy. I've been in government for 34 years so I wouldn't work for the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing 10 Board if I didn't think that they did a fair and equitable job for everyone in the dairy industry. 11 just the producers and not just the processors, not 12 just the retailers, but the consumers, as well. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to read my testimony now, which constitutes about five pages, and then I'll be glad to try to answer your questions and also have Mr. Moyer come up here, who, again, is chief and the policy advisor to the board to also help address your questions. I would also mention at the start that I do agree with some of the previous testifiers and representatives in that milk pricing is as --- excuse me, as complex an area of government as I've ever seen. In fact, I've never seen anything as complex as milk pricing.

I question from time to time these

competitive --- it's a very complicated economy we
live in today --- so I think you always have to put
that in perspective as well as how much any of us know
about economic up turns and down turns. If we were
here 18 months ago, the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing
Board, I don't think, would be accused of having
prices at an all time record high if this hearing were
held then, but we are state employees and we hope to
respond to situations that, in this case, is a crisis
in the dairy industry.

In the decade following World War I, the dairy industry enjoyed an era of prosperity, strong foreign and domestic demand supported heavy production and adequate prices. With the onset of the depression, however, widespread unemployment and reduced incomes severely eroded much of this demand while production and supply continued at relatively constant levels. Just as we are seeing today, the usual result of such economic imbalance ensured then, producer prices were forced to decline.

In the unregulated environment of the depression era, destructive price wars accompanied and contributed to the downward spiral in producer prices. The middle of the supply chain the milk processor naturally attempted to shift the effects to the

producer and the prevailing economic conditions and characteristics of the industry made this a simple task. The processors in a particular region were the principal outlet for nearby producers. The high cost of transporting fluid milk and the perishability of the product eliminated more distant buyers from the producer's market.

With the unfavorable economic climate of weak demand combined with more than abundant supply, it is not difficult to see that the farmer had little choice but to accept the price dictated by the available processor. The alternative was dumping or spoilage of the dairy farmer's production. Fearful that meager returns to the producer would result in the elimination of costly sanitary practices and the abandonment of many farms, both of which threatened the adequacy of a wholesome milk supply Pennsylvania joined 22 other states and the federal government in enacting remedial legislation.

An emergency one year milk control statute was passed in 1934 extended once and then reenacted in permanent form in 1937. It is this law this subsequent amendments that is implemented today as the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Law. Today, the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board administers a

comprehensive milk pricing program that enhances the farm milk price while at the same time providing a fair and competitive price for consumers. The Board establishes minimum producer, wholesale and retail prices.

The minimum producer price includes a
Board mandated over order premium on Class I milk
produced, processed and sold in Pennsylvania. The
over order premium is adjusted periodically based on
evidence received at hearings held by the Board. By
maintaining minimum retail and wholesale prices,
destructive price wars at those levels are eliminated
and there is no pressure to reduce farm prices to meet
competition. The key to the program is that
Pennsylvania maintains the authority to regulate all
sales of milk where possession transfers within the
state's borders.

It is also important to keep in mind that the only transactions the Board is allowed to regulate are those that occur within our borders. To be more specific regarding how the Board's actions affect producer prices the over order premium directly impacts 15 to 20 percent of the milk produced in Pennsylvania. Since its inception in 1988 through the end of June 2009, the direct impact of the over order

premium has resulted in Pennsylvania producers being paid an additional \$476 million.

The over order premium also indirectly impacts most of the milk produced in Pennsylvania that it does not directly impact. This is because the over order premium sets that bar that milk purchasers must meet when buying producer milk. The market for producer milk is competitive and purchasers or producer milk must pay competitive prices to attract milk to their plants. Without both the direct and indirect impacts of the over order premium under current conditions of relatively high raw milk supply and relatively weak demand, the price received by all Pennsylvania producers would be significantly lower.

The all-milk price reported by the United States Department of Agriculture is illustrative.

During 2009, Pennsylvania producers have received a higher all milk price than the national average and have received a higher all milk price than producers in Ohio and New York, the surrounding states for which data were available. Many people ask why the Board mandated over order premium is not payable on all milk produced in Pennsylvania. There are several reasons.

First, Pennsylvania produces much more milk than our citizens consume.

Pennsylvania per capita milk productions 1 2 in 2008 was approximately 850 pounds while per capita fluid milk consumption was approximately 190 pounds. 3 The balance of the milk is sold for fluid consumption outside Pennsylvania or to produce cheese, butter and milk powder. Remember, the over order premium is payable on milk sold as a fluid beverage that is produced, processed, and sold in Pennsylvania. Since most of the milk produced in Pennsylvania is used to 10 manufacture Class II, III, and IV products such as cheese, butter, and milk powder, with some being used 11 as Class I beverage milk outside Pennsylvania. 12

It is not available directly impacted by the Board mandated over order premium. So why does the Board not mandate a premium on the other classes of milk? The cheese, butter and powder markets are national due to the less perishable nature of those products. In a national market there are many more opportunities to acquire milk at low prices.

Pennsylvania milk and the cheese, butter and powder manufactured from it compete nationally with products manufactured all over the country.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Mandating a premium in side Pennsylvania for non-Class I milk could make that milk and the products manufactured from it non-competitive in that

1 highly competitive national market. Similarly, the Class I over order premium must be established with the regional fluid milk in mind setting the over order 3 premium too high would at some point encourage milk purchasers to seek a lower cost supply from non-Pennsylvania producers or would encourage retailers to seek a lower cost wholesale supply outside Pennsylvania.

10

11

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a matter of fact, at the last over order premium hearing held back in June, a group of dairy cooperatives which included the Greater 12 Northeast Milk Marketing Agency, Dairylea Cooperative, the Northeast and Mideast Area Councils of Dairy Farmers of America, Land O'Lakes and Maryland and Virginia Milk Producers testified that the over order premium should not be increased because competitive conditions did not allow for an increase. that there is some concern and confusion regarding the relationship between retail milk prices and the prices paid to the producers.

In Pennsylvania, the Milk Marketing Board establishes a minimum producer, wholesale and retail prices based on the costs incurred by a cross section of each of those industry segments. There are three basic building blocks the Board uses to establish

1 minimum retail prices. The Class I price received by the dairy farmer, the cost to process raw milk, put it into consumer packages and deliver those packages to a store and the cost to handle and sell milk to the consumer in the store.

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The processor and retailer costs are determined once per year at a public hearing and remain virtually unchanged throughout the remainder of the year. Some of the processor and retailer costs are subject to minor monthly updates based on increases and decreases in certain input costs such as diesel fuel, natural gas, plastic resin, and the Consumer Price Index. The over order premium is also updated monthly based on changes in diesel fuel costs.

Because the processor and retailer costs used to determine minimum retail prices remain essentially unchanged throughout the year, changes in the retail price are driven almost exclusively by changes in the Class I price including the changes in the over order premium received by dairy farmers when the Class I producers price goes up, retail prices increase and when the Class I producer prices goes down, retail prices decrease. Because prices at all levels are based on the average costs of a cross section, less efficient producers, processors and

retailers have an incentive to reduce costs and become more efficient.

business because they must sell at prices above the minimum price or they will lose money by selling at minimum prices, which are below their costs. Since 1999, Pennsylvania dairy farmers have received 44 to 57 percent of the retail price of a gallon of two percent milk. During the same period, processors received 28 percent to 37 percent of the retail price while the percentage received by retailers has been 15 to 17 percent. The portion of the retail price of milk received by each segment of the dairy industry in Pennsylvania is fair, reasonable and based on market forces and actual costs.

In addition to the direct economic benefits that the Board provides for Pennsylvania dairy farmers, the Board also provides other benefits. The Board administers and enforces the Milk Producers' Security Act which requires that purchasers of Pennsylvania producer milk provide security to protect against defaults in payment for that milk. The Board also certifies milk weighers and samplers to ensure that producer milk is measured and tested accurately so that Pennsylvania producers receive accurate and

timely payment for their milk.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify 2 3 I do want to also say, while I prepared remarks here, I, as Secretary of the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board, day-to-day administrator of that agency, I am not a policy person. I don't make the decisions. There are people on that board much more qualified and capable than I am because of their background in agriculture, their years of experience, 10 their years of experience in decision-making decisions. I am, however, in the room when those 11 decisions are being made and these are high level 12 13 discussions based upon economics, upon policy and upon law. We hear a lot of discussion about what could 14 15 happen going out of state and in state. Well, some of you on this committee are members of the bar association and you realize there is a thing known as 17 18 the interstate commerce laws which impacts many of the decisions in the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board. 19 20 You also realize that economics doesn't stop at the state lines, that some of the cooperative owners are 21 22 national in nature and they are conducting their business in a national framework. 23

At the Milk Marketing Board, we also are obviously bound by what the federal government does,

2.4

25

as well as the state government. So there are many restraints on what can do and what we would like to do in the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board. As a lay person in agriculture, I don't have any suggestions here today as to how we come out of this crisis with the dairy industry. I just want to say that I do not in any way think that the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board, a state agency that's had a 70 or 80 year history in this Commonwealth, is somehow responsible today for the kind of dairy prices that we see.

I work with people that are on that board. I think they make good solid decisions. The last decision they made relative to milk purchase --- I'm sorry, process --- produced and processed in Pennsylvania and is sold out of state was an incredibly difficult decision. I mean, these people should all have law degrees in addition to agriculture based upon interstate commerce laws and all the ramifications surrounding that decision.

Tim, do you want to comment?

Mr. Moyer has been with the Board three times as long as I have. He is an accountant and is much more converse with the milk pricing and policy than I am, so one of us will try to answer your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

And again, we have both Keith All right. Bierly, Secretary of Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board, and now we have Tim Moyer, who's the policy advisor.

Let me start, if I could. We heard some discussion earlier about potentially pulling the premiums. I suspect that that is a policy decision that would not be made by either of you. To what extent has that been discussed by the Board and is there any --- could you perhaps educate us a little bit as to the ups and downs of ---.

MR. BIERLY:

I'll ask Tim address pulling. started before my tenure there. There's a history of it the Board maintains or is discussed.

MR. MOYER:

I think it was in the late '90s when that issue was brought to the Board by a cooperative at the time, as well. But in the late 90s it was an issue that was very much brought and discovered by the Board and there was multiple 23 meetings and public hearings to decide what these groups, what it is that caused an issue and as John 25 has suggested, there was a lot of discrepancy even

among the farm groups. The EFA. But the Board actually decided at one point they would pull 45 3 percent of this Class I premium and after that decision then there was another hearing such as this and I am not sure if it was the House or Senate Committee that met up at Penn State and later on I think different Board members of the decision was then to retract those regulations and leave them in the current status for handling the pulls. So that issue 10 hasn't been brought to the Board since then by any group of farmers or the farm bureau and since that 11 12 time what you have is a lot of the larger co-ops 13 developing a position of dairy market services and 14 they collectively market and demonstrate as a team 15 among the groups and management, as well. So they, I think, amongst themselves resolved that issue. 16

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thank you. Questions on my left?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

With all due respect, out of the top five in the state, how many do you know has a program?

MR. MOYER:

Well, California has a program outside of the federal programming. And then Wisconsin doesn't 25 have anything similar to what we have.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Does Ohio and West Virginia or Maryland or New York have any type of marketing?

MR. MOYER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York has a pulling probably in the western part of the state. Again, they're not as comprehensive as Pennsylvania is.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

So who sets the dairy prices for their dairy producers other than the federal?

MR. MOYER:

Well, by and large, most of the milk 13 produced in the country, like California, who has 14 class prices for all the products that are produced from them, and then the federal order program regulates new prices for most of the rest of the country. There are products that our federal government does not regulate prices on and there's 19 some of that here in Pennsylvania, but in New York the majority of their production is regulated by federal law.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Maryland, too, would be under federal?

MR. MOYER:

Correct.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

4

20

21

23

2.4

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Other questions on the left? How about on my right? Any questions on my right? Chairman Maher.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

I was --- I guess I knew it, 8 Thank you. but it was good to review it. It's been 75 years now 10 since the one-year emergency statute was passed to regulate the price of milk. I was fascinated at the 11 12 final page of your testimony. When you were talking 1.3 about prices being established and that creates 14 incentive to be efficient and producers, processors or 15 daily milk produced costs are not efficient and lose money and so forth. And it sort of sounded like you 16 17 were deriving incentive and consequences that were in 18 the early associated free market price. Did you intend for it to sound like ---? 19

MR. MOYER:

I think there is consolidation of free 22 market and minimum prices involved in this.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Well, let me then ask you what would be 25 the consequences of us not having, in Pennsylvania, a Milk Marketing Board? I'm not advocating that.

MR. MOYER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I won't, I won't ---. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

It's so hard for people to understand why in a sense of --- I would assure --- what would happen if it didn't exist at all?

MR. BIERLY:

The first year I was at Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board, I went up and I said why am I here?

THE REPORTER:

Speak in the microphone.

MR. BIERLY:

What good will it do here in this milk market? And they said a couple of things. They said, number one, we keep the playing field fair with the dairy farmers in Pennsylvania. Number two, we've got more milk processors than other states around us, which not only creates jobs there, but helps the economy overall. I believe that we actually do reach out for keeping everybody vibrant within the industry. By setting the minimum price I think we guarantee 23 farmers, obviously, that minimum price. I mean, I 24 have talked to so many people since I've been on the 25 Milk Marketing Board, particularly retailers, that

said I give milk away just to get people in here. use it as a lost liter. You know, you can do that with milk and eggs and bread. I mean, that's what they would sell the milk.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

The retailers still have to buy it in the market course of current prices, right?

MR. BIERLY:

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

The retailers --- I'm sorry?

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

The retailer wants to give it away. still have to pay for it. It's still purchasing.

MR. BIERLY:

Okay. All right. Through the certain government programs are subsidized in schools.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

But whoever is giving away or selling it at a retail level has to purchase it from someone?

MR. BIERLY:

That's correct.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

And this minimum pricing in your testimony says if somebody's selling it at minimum 24 price they're going to lose money. So the producers selling it for minimum price, they're going to lose

What does that accomplish for the producer? 1 money. 2 MR. BIERLY: 3 Where are you in my testimony? CHAIRMAN MAHER: 4 Page five. 5 6 MR. BIERLY: Where at? What paragraph? 8 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 9 The second paragraph. They'll lose money by selling at minimum prices which are below their 10 costs. You have to understand, I don't have a point 11 12 of view. While I'm Chairman of the Agriculture Committee, I'm very much into seeking education and 13 14 background. I'm just trying to understand this 15 process and it seems to me, from a producer's standpoint, it's not clear to me that if there is 16 17 anything of use of the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board at this point, especially if the minimum price 18 19 is something that is going to be impossible for them 20 to recover the cost of. 21 MR. MOYER: 22 I'd like to respond. 23 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 24 Yes. 25 MR. MOYER:

I think what that paragraph is intended 1 2 to illustrate was that, even though we do set the 3 prices based on the average cost and the most sufficient processors, that there still is an incentive for them to reduce their cost so they can be more profitable. This isn't how we establish a price for the industry. It tries to do their best to continue to be the best company they can. They're --that's the benefit of their company and they're going 10 to continue to become more efficient and then the next year, when it comes around for our costs, their costs 11 12 are going to be lower so then that in turn will lower the cost of milk to the consumer. 1.3

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

2.4

25

Why does that have the same effect with just market prices? What happens again --- what would happen if the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board did not exist?

MR. MOYER:

Okay. Currently the Board has a Class I 21 board allotted amount today is \$2.15 per hundredweight.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

What percentage do the farmers get? MR. MOYER:

Directly? 1 2 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 3 Directly. 4 MR. MOYER: 5 That is between 15 and 20 percent. 6 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 7 So one in five or one in six farmers would directly get that? 9 MR. MOYER: 10 No. It's 15 to 20 percent of the bill. 11 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 12 Okay. What percent of the farms? 13 MR. MOYER: 14 We haven't analyzed that recently. 15 CHAIRMAN MAHER: 16 Could you try to do something like that? 17 MR. MOYER: 18 It was done sometime ago. What happens 19 is a lot of this money is paid through the dealers and 20 cooperatives who market the milk and the members and 21 the cooperatives then, according to Pennsylvania law, 22 can distribute those monies to their members on 23 whatever basis they choose.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

24

25

So the cooperatives have pulling, in

101

essence? 1

3

6

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

2 MR. MOYER:

Cooperatives can deal in proceeds, And that's a based on their director's correct. decisions.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

So farmers are not a member of the farmer --- if he doesn't have whatever procedure fits the pulling profile, you got to be a member of the 10 cooperative and they get benefit from the premium?

MR. MOYER:

I believe that farmer that sells for Class I processor in Pennsylvania will get the current 14 benefits of this Class I.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Including consumers in Pennsylvania?

MR. MOYER:

18 Correct.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

For other people, nothing?

MR. MOYER:

Right. There is an opening in other

23 classes.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Is that a policy decision or is that a

statute?

2

1

3

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOYER:

That is not a statute. We have had most testimony about whether we should place people on other classes and no one has afforded that.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

When, John Piwowar testified I asked him a question and maybe you heard it. That what if the dealers had to pay X dollars or whatever that amount would be per hundredweight for all the milk that they processed, whatever the source, all milk processed in 12 Pennsylvania whatever the source And the amount collected would be then paid to the Pennsylvania dairy farmers pro rata per a hundredweight produced ultimately liquid or balancing or whatever. Has anybody studied that question to the Milk Marketing Board?

MR. MOYER:

The testimony that we received is that if manufactured plants in Pennsylvania had to pay more for their raw milk product than in the surrounding states what we're going to see would be these huge manufacturing --- they would be looking to relocate very quickly.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

In a certain sense, if the county asked what is Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board doing and in the testimony offered here I was surprised at the end of it the self asked question was, what suggestions does Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board have to get through this process? And the answer offered by the Secretary of the Board was they had no suggestions. And I would guess that if nobody has any suggestions. There are no suggestions?

MR. MOYER:

Well, what the Pennsylvania Milk
Marketing does is regulate the economics of the
industry in Pennsylvania. How we had supported
revenue primarily is through this over order premium
and I think we suggested that we have these available
on emergency basis. We had testimony about that on
their own basis, but the Farmers Bureau and the
cooperatives all felt that the current rate was about
as high as it could go. So that's the level of the
overall it should be for the rest of this year.

MR. BIERLY:

If I could also interject. I see

Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Board more as a judicial

agency than it was a legislative agency. They make

decisions based on who brings information to them and

they try to make a fair and equitable decision at that time based upon the evidence. In a previous life, I was a magistrate for 12 years, so I think I understand 3 evidence and I think I understand their role. role is like the legislators, to come up with different laws or money to help Pennsylvania dairy It's to decide those issues that come before farmers. it. And so I see them, in that sense, as a passive agency, the same way as Pennsylvania Supreme Court is. 10 If you ask the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, how would you change the law here? Well, they don't tell you 11 12 how they change it. They decide the case as it comes before them and that's how I see the Pennsylvania Milk 1.3 14 Marketing Board. I think they're the Supreme Court of 15 Pennsylvania and that they make decisions based upon their best evidence that they have when the parties 16 17 bring the evidence to them. And the parties that are affected are the ones that come in. 18 19

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

20

21

22

23

I appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Representative Brooks.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

24 Could you just go over, again, the date 25 or how many years have gone by since the last

comprehensive discussion on whether --- having it pulled?

MR. MOYER:

I don't know the exact date they showed I think it was either in 2000 or 2001 when that was filed and dropped from discussion.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

My follow-up question --- you said it could be in the late '90s?

MR. MOYER:

Yeah.

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

My follow-up question then would be is, since this concern has been brought up again, the market has changed, situations change, do you feel that it could be appropriate to have another candid comprehensive discussion on whether premiums should be pulled, just to have that dialogue again? And who would request that? Would the co-ops need to request that or ---?

MR. MOYER:

Anyone can petition the board to hold a It doesn't always have them, but I think 23 hearing. almost --- but if they want a petition in order to 25 hold a hearing on the topic, we can do that.

106

1 REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS: 2 Thank you very much. 3 CHAIRMAN HANNA: Representative Mirabito. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO: 6 I just want to see if I understand this. Isn't making policy decisions a form of negotiation based upon opinion so it's not more of a ---. 9 MR. BIERLY: 10 It's all in the testimony. 11 REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO: 12 It's all in the testimony? 13 MR. BIERLY: 14 Yes. Everything we do is essentially 15 following testimony unless you get into the enforcement aspect where we go out and enforce the 16 17 milk marketing and the rules and regulations in regard 18 to the milk marketing board and what is on now, but 19 everything else is based upon testimony in our office. 20 REPRESENTATIVE MIRABITO: The other quick question is, do you have 21 22 data on the size of the ---? 23 MR. BIERLY: 24 We'll try and get you that information. 25 I mean, you heard the testimony here today.

tried to give you certain numbers, I gave you numbers, and it was based upon when they were calculating and so, but we'll try and get you that information.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman Maher.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Thank you. Further follow up from the testimony of John Frey. If you observed that the Pennsylvania dairy farmers had received 45, 50 percent of retail price for the milk and currently raised 10 yours closer to 33 percent and I asked him what 11 12 happens to the 12 to 18 percent that isn't going to 13 the pockets of producers anymore, where is it going? 14 And he graciously said, but given Pennsylvania Milk 15 Marketing Board looks up the price and the studies issued --- if producers are getting a 45 to 50 percent 16 retail price of the milk as far as the current 33 17 percent, where is the rest of it going? 18

MR. MOYER:

Okay. I just would like to ---.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

The rest of it, being the part they used to get and are not getting?

MR. MOYER:

Let's take the opportunity to make sure

when people are comparing the retail price with what the farmers are getting paid, it's not accurate retail The current price and average that milk 3 farmers are getting paid for all classes of that --the real comparison is to compare the Class I for retail price of the Class I price because that's the value of the farmers is getting for that product.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

So you would imagine that 45 to 50 percent is --- 33 percent is the permanent price?

MR. MOYER:

I don't know about that, but I know that Mr. Piwowar was talking about our statistical average 14 number in comparing with the current retail price, which isn't a true comparison because the value of that Class I product retail, the Class I price is associated with that. That is not that one that's a higher price.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Is that 45 to 50 percent or 33 percent?

MR. FREY:

I assumed we were comparing apples to

23 apples.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

You didn't try to ---?

MR. FREY:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Could you research that and provide some information on the Class I relationship, what has that for a period and get that to him?

MR. MOYER:

I can respond without numbers. 8 have a cost process like Mr. Bierly suggested. As to 10 who offered that is a whole other question. Class I on the milk price and then you have the processors 11 cost and retail cost and you add that all together and 12 you get the retail price. Well, the only thing that 13 14 changes, basically, between the hearings, which 15 happens annually with the processors and the retailers, are the analysis prices in the U.S. today 16 17 and the board approving it. It has been pretty much 18 15 for last year. So what we're seeing is constant cost associated with processing retailing. While the 19 20 first thing, farm price has been reduced, so you will then see a reduction in that percentage of retail 21 22 price to the farmer, as well, because the other two 23 components are remaining the same, the retail prices 24 drop not as much as the milk price so that would 25 reduce it.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the difference is the dealer profit?

Between minimum price and farm price in cost of production? The dealer profits; is that correct? I'm trying to follow up your arithmetic.

MR. MOYER:

I guess I'm not explaining it very well, but there is three building blocks.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Well, rather than trying to do it with words, you do have the spreadsheets and co-ops maybe --- I'm sure you've been asked to do this already, but to gather those and work this thing out.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Representative Brooks.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

If I understood you correctly, you have annual meetings to discuss what's going on with the distributors and retailers; is that correct? Is that what you just said?

MR. MOYER:

Yeah. Only here in the public hearings.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Okay. Could I ask then, is there a possibility or ask what reason it is why you don't

hold annual meetings for the farmers, as well?

MR. MOYER:

Okay. We do hold them biannually. And information that the Board is receiving at those meetings by the farmers bureau and the co-ops is the cost of production numbers. It is the conclusions that are available in the surrounding states. This is as much information as we could get. So the Board is getting that information and that's typically co-ops and the farm bureau asking the Board to set a premium without any particular rate, and then resource is very close.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

So it's my understanding then that annually you hold meetings for the distributors and retailers and biannually you hold meetings that represent the producers as far as representation from the farm bureau and other organizations; is that correct?

MR. MOYER:

That's correct. At least that often, sometimes more.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

Okay.

MR. MOYER:

At their request.

REPRESENTATIVE BROOKS:

All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Representative Denlinger.

REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER:

Yeah. I just wanted to understand. So retail producers come in and they took the process and say, look you had an increase in cost this and this in production, you could take action to protect their price. If the farmers come in and say, look, we had the perfect storm, we had industry costs go up, we had products go up, do you take action to protect their price or do you simply adjust the premium?

MR. MOYER:

Well, again, the use to address prices is the overall premium. We talked about premiums for classes earlier and there has been no suggestion. In fact, most of the old industry I am not opposed to that. So that's a good idea and we would hear that testimony and make a decision, but it's by and large the overall premium rate which the Board used to reach the average and they heard the testimony that farmers are receiving less than the cost of production in the last year, but the Board's decided that \$2.15 was

currently the limit that the Board would establish without disturbing the market.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Representative Carroll has a question. Before I go to him let me ask, I mean, this is the federal components in pricing as well to reference to that and you have the federal norm, as well.

MR. MOYER:

Yes. And that's by far the most complicated and that's the federal government established the base prices for the Class III and IV 12 and there is a Class III milk is used primarily and Class IV is going higher and higher. And what it has established is the National Ag Statistics Service who surveys transactions, and determines buyers of those products and those transactions very closely for all the prices and important to watch for change. So what you see is pretty much a free market mechanism to establish those base prices. And that's across the country. So that's how the base price for milk is established, based upon the prices that these commodities were traded.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Based retail price or based price in the

25 market?

3

4

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. MOYER:

1

2

3

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Base on the farm. We don't set any retail prices for butter or powder or cheese or anything like that. Another thing I'd like to make a comment on, after they determine the price of these commodities, cheese, butter and powder, the federal government does something very similar to what the milk marketing board does. So the price of cheese is trading more. For example, they subtract from that cost, which is the cost nationally --- in terms of 10 cost to manufacture cheese and then what's left is 12 what the farmer gets. So they're using a cost based system as well. Just special commodity price. Subtract out the cost based on the commodity price 14 less the system and they come out with producer price. Very similar to what we do in terms of cost to the 16 dealers to provide Class I product.

AUDIENCE MEMBER:

Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question here?

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Not at this point, sir. Well, I hope you'll have an opportunity to.

AUDIENCE MEMBER:

Yeah. I just ask the Board ---.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

All right. Well, let me turn to Representative Carroll first for his question.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Now, that we're on the subject, it sounded like there is a balancing act going on here between producers and processors.

Is Pennsylvania considered a state with --- processors compared to New York, Ohio, West Virginia or Maryland?

MR. MOYER:

1.3

Yes. Pennsylvania has more processors than those states and numerous others. And it's interesting that if you follow the industry now there is a lot of talk about too much concentration in the industry, but if you --- by being a market, so to speak, in certain areas because of lack of competition. So the more buyers available in Pennsylvania Milk Marketing I think need more competition which price enhancement.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

So are there any processors in the other states or are we just the processor of milk?

MR. MOYER:

No. There are processors in several regions. There's several in New York.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Southern states you mentioned.

MR. MOYER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Yeah. They do all the processing.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Does any Pennsylvania milk go to the processors in the United States?

MR. MOYER:

Yes. Absolutely. New Jersey doesn't produce much at all. So their supply comes from the Pennsylvania market of process.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Processing milk?

MR. MOYER:

Absolutely. That's what I meant to say.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

Any further questions from the members? What we're going to have an opportunity to do --- we cannot open this floor for questions, so what I would 21 like to do at this point is actually bring the formal 22 part of the hearing to a close. I really do want to 23 hear your questions and I'll encourage our panelists 24 to remain and address your questions individually with you so we will have an opportunity to work through

1 those, but the hearing, in the way it's conducted is, we're not allowed during the process to open the floor to questions. So, at this point, I would like to 3 thank all of our audience for coming out today. I want to thank our Representatives. I think it's been very helpful and I know sometimes it's difficult, but I think it's very helpful to add information that you bring before us. I also want to take this opportunity to thank the members who came out today. I am sure, 10 as our audience knows, we had as many as 14 members today. That's an incredible turn out and that shows 11 you the level of interest that there is in the 12 13 legislature in this very complex and difficult 14 problem.

I want to particularly thank 16 Representative Kula for suggesting the idea for the hearing, for bringing us to her district to discuss this and for working very, very hard to put together the agenda for today. I think it's been very, very helpful to all of us. And I certainly want to thank Penn State University for allowing us to use their facilities here today. I think it's worked very well and we certainly appreciate their cooperation.

CHAIRMAN MAHER:

Chairman Maher, any closing comments?

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for enabling a very thorough open conversation. want to compliment the members and I want to thank you for coming close to my home. It's so much nicer to watch people drive six hours for a change. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANNA:

And again, I do want to encourage the audience members to remain and we would be happy to talk with you individually and try to address specific questions. And I know some of you are certainly closer and a lot of members may have six hours to get 12 back home so they may not want to stay, but I will certainly be happy to stay. So with that, we'll call 14 the hearing to a close. And once again, thank you to you all.

HEARING CONCLUDED AT 3:39 P.M.

* * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

25

1

2

3

6

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Uz J Bedon