## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL

EAST WING

ROOM 60

HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2009 9:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON
BUDGET PROPOSAL AND FEDERAL ECONOMIC STIMULUS

## BEFORE:

HONORABLE JOSEPH J. MARKOSEK, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MIKE CARROLL

HONORABLE PAUL COSTA

HONORABLE MICHAEL GERBER

HONORABLE GARY HALUSKA

HONORABLE TED HARHAI

HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI

HONORABLE TONY PAYTON

HONORABLE JOSEPH PETRARCA

HONORABLE JOHN SIPTROTH

HONORABLE TIM SOLOBAY

HONORABLE CHELSA WAGNER

HONORABLE RICHARD GEIST, MINORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE JOHN EVANS

HONORABLE KATE HARPER

HONORABLE DICK HESS

HONORABLE RON MARSICO

HONORABLE RON MILLER

HONORABLE KATHARINE WATSON

HEATHER L. ARTZ, RMR, CRR REPORTER

JEAN DAVIS REPORTING

7786 Hanoverdale Drive • Harrisburg, PA 17112

Phone (717) 503-6568 • Fax (717) 566-7760

1	I $N$ $D$ $E$ $X$	
2	TESTIFIERS	
		PAGE  3 25 29 33 41 59 63 76
24 25		

## P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Good morning, everybody.

Thank you for attending. The first order of business is to have our good friend Representative Joe Petrarca from Westmoreland County lead us in the pledge.

(Pledge of allegiance was said.)

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you. This is our second hearing in a week's time relative to stimulus and budget transportation issues. And again, as our lead-off hitters here, we have PennDOT lined up, back for more -- by popular demand, Jim Ritzman, as well as Suzanne Itzko who is here today. Suzanne, welcome, relatively new deputy secretary at PennDOT. So welcome and I'm sure we'll be working quite a bit with you.

Jim, if you'd like to maybe start off with kind of the Cliff Notes version of where we left off last week and we'll have Suzanne.

MR. RITZMAN: Okay, great. Again, thank you for the opportunity to be here this morning and just share a little bit about the hot issues in transportation. And obviously those things we all know amount to money. Money makes the world go round and also allows us to get an awful lot of things done.

So with that said, primarily, the testimony I have is exactly the same as what it was before. I said probably three numbers changed, two of them have to do with dates and the other one is just a little bit of clarification. Again, a lot of these numbers are ever changing and, again, I would say nothing substantial changed from the last time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, as a high level overview of what's happened since last week, as you know, the Senate passed their version of the Economic Recovery Package yesterday. So right now we have a House bill and a Senate bill, conference committee, membership has been drafted or put together, and we believe they're starting those discussions today. The intent or the hope is still to have a bill for the President to sign by President's Day. So it's a very aggressive schedule. There are some major issues between the House bill and the Senate bill, and I would say the biggest one, Pennsylvania is right there on the House bill, our portion would be about \$1.25 billion and on the Senate side it's about \$900 million. So there's a 350 gap or difference between the two bills. that's huge.

One of the other primary components that's in the Senate bill is there's a competitive process

for discretionary projects nationwide. It's \$5.5 billion. And that would be for projects in the range of 20 million to 500 million that you could make application for. So that's the other component that's there in the Senate side.

1.3

other than that, the primary difference I would say is the issue of use it or lose it. On the House side, you need to obligate 50 percent of the funds within 90 days. And on the Senate side it would be obligating 50 percent of the funds within 180 days. So I think those are the main issues that are going to be worked through in that conference committee. And, again, we expect it to happen pretty quickly.

The one key point that I made last week is while this sounds like a tremendous amount of money, you know, a billion dollars, somewhere around that for Pennsylvania, it's greatly appreciated, greatly needed, but when you look at our overall inventory of needs, it doesn't get us over the hump.

We have about 5900 structurally deficient bridges, and this is about another year's worth of winnowing that down. So it's key to remember that it's economic stimulus. We'll be thrilled to take advantage of those resources. We want to be in a position to catch anything that comes our way.

And that's, I guess, the transition to the next point that I want to make of how Pennsylvania approached being prepared. What we did, primarily as PennDOT, looked at those projects that were on the existing TIP in the years two, three, and four. So those projects that are funded in 2010, 11, and 12, we looked to see which projects of those could be accelerated or advanced into this current year. And that was our primary target for identifying the economic stimulus or economic recovery projects.

We also used our existing formulas to distribute funds across the state to say here are the guidelines or the targets that we have for each region. If those accelerated projects fell short of the target of \$1.5 billion, over and above what we anticipated to see, we looked for additional quick delivery-type projects that had minimal to no right-of-way, utility, permitting requirements and identified those as well. Our whole emphasis was to be able to be in a position to deliver \$1.5 billion over and above our current letting program within a six-month time period. Again, just with all the speculation going on, that's how we worked it.

So we have a candidate list that we've shared with our MPOs and our RPOs and everybody who's

interested. And what we're in the process now of doing also is also looking at other locally driven projects or other projects that counties or municipalities have identified as shovel ready, and the MPOs and the RPOs are the forum for us to really focus on the vetting process and prioritization so we know which projects can be advanced.

1.3

And our main thrust is to say, you know, right now on the House side, obligation within 90 days, so that means you have to have a project that's ready for the contractors to bid on in that 90 days. And that's what we're saying. We really need to be sticklers on to make sure that Pennsylvania utilizes not only the resources that are allocated to Pennsylvania, but is in great -- great position in being ready for those projects or those resources that other states may not be available -- may not be ready to utilize.

And again, that's pretty much what I wanted to focus on and the Cliff Notes version. I'd be happy to answer any questions at this time.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Thank you, Jim.

Before we move on to Suzanne, just the information to the members that we're not taking any formal roll today, although your presence will be noted by the

```
1
      staff.
             Representative Hickernell was here earlier, as
2
     well as Representative Marsico. There's a lot of
3
     meetings going on. Folks will be coming and going as
      we speak. So there's no formal votes with this
5
     meeting, but we will recognize the members certainly
6
      that are here and that will be noted.
 7
               Also, Representative Geist I'm told is on
8
     his way and should be here soon as well.
9
               With that, Suzanne.
10
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Can we ask questions
11
     now or later?
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Well, I quess we can do
12
     either one. Suzanne, if you'd wait a second.
1.3
14
               MS. ITZKO: That's fine.
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Sure, we'll take some
15
16
     questions of Mr. Ritzman.
17
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER:
                                       Right.
18
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Harper.
19
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: I'm not sure this is
20
      working. You can hear me anyway?
21
               MR. RITZMAN: Sure.
22
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: My question is the
23
     two packages differ also in the 90 days and the 180
     days. And I understood that within 90 days you get a
24
25
      lot of paving projects. Within 180 days you can do a
```

1 little bit more sophisticated stuff, maybe some
2 intersectional improvements, maybe some bridges.

Do you guys maintain two lists or will you be able to, because I would hate to see us not use -- do a bridge project because we thought we had to be ready for 90 days if the final version of the bill gives us 180 days to get ready. Are you ready for that?

MR. RITZMAN: Good question. One of the illustrations I used last time was if somebody gave me a thousand dollars and said I had 15 minutes to spend it or 24 hours to spend it, I'd spend it differently. And it's sort of the same thing. We want to be ready, we have a package of projects that we can have ready, but until we know, one, how much you have, and two, what the rules are, we don't want to say positively this is the list, because you're right. If you have 90 days or 180 days, you may make different choices.

REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Right.

MR. RITZMAN: And we want to be ready to catch whatever happens and we want to make sure that the planning process doesn't slow down the production process. But the final decision of where -- which projects, we want to be as close to the end line as we can be but not across it.

1 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Okav. 2 MR. RITZMAN: So excellent point. 3 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Thanks. And I do appreciate PennDOT is ready, because I think we're one of the few states in the nation that is ready if the 5 6 money comes. 7 MR. RITZMAN: Absolutely. REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Great. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Miller. 10 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. York County, in looking at the 12 delegation as we looked at the project list, we were a 13 little disappointed there were some projects that 14 weren't on. And we've been talking to the York County 15 Planning Commission and trying to understand this. 16 And also it appears like neighboring counties, there's 17 huge amounts of projects on their list, minimal amount 18 on the York County list. But what I think I heard you 19 say was the funds are being driven out the projects by 20 the existing formula for MPOs. 21 MR. RITZMAN: Correct. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: So that the fairness 23 issue shouldn't become an issue down the road. 24 MR. RITZMAN: Correct. 25 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: The second

question -- I appreciate hearing that. The second question I have is if we have these constraints, and one of the projects that I asked about was the bridge on I-83, bridges over Lake Redman are also scheduled to be done. They're already in the works because of the decking and it's just -- you can't keep the blacktop on them. They patch them about every week this winter. So they're scheduled to be done.

1.3

But if you have this demand that they must be let within the 90 days, could you not take a project like that and shift it to this and gain yourself an extra 180 days to let additional projects with the existing TIP funding?

MR. RITZMAN: Yeah, excellent questions, both of them. And I'll hit the first one first because I may have skimmed over that. What we did was just by way of distribution, we looked at -- we just recently went through appropriating update and we took the same share that each of the regions received in that last program update that was developed through consensus and all that kind of stuff. So we focused that to say here's the fair share, because we wanted an even distribution and we set up projects. If there are other projects that seem to make sense, we're certainly willing to consider those. And, again, the

MPO is the process, this MPO planning process is the way to get those prioritized and vetted.

1.3

The second piece with the bridges is, yeah, there's a number of different things. We've been working closely with Federal Highway Administration as they're striving to interpret what some of the wording means. On the House side there's some -- it's called maintenance of effort. There's some more stringent rules on how you have -- how a state would have to ensure that all the state resources that were originally planned to be utilized in the given time period are still being utilized so that the federal funds don't supplant the existing funds.

We believe there's some opportunity to do some flipping of projects of which ones are characterized as economic recovery and which ones are characterized as just the regular program which would run -- expire September 30th. So we believe there may be some opportunity. We just weren't counting on it at this point until we see that.

The other big benefit here is when we've looked at advancing TIP projects to use this money, what that creates is an opportunity in the existing TIP then for other projects to be advanced. So it's a -- almost a two tier. While we get some of the

1 already prioritized projects advanced, it also creates 2 capacity for some additional projects to be identified 3 next year. So it's really helpful for a number of 4 different points. 5 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Thank you. Thank 6

you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Joe Petrarca, Westmoreland.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Quick questions. This stimulus money that we're getting, is it -- will it only be for construction or will any of that go to maintenance projects? What's the breakdown there? And I have a follow-up question about my county's, Westmoreland.

MR. RITZMAN: Okay. Again, I would say I'm not sure how it's going to end up. What we believe is that depending on how this whole maintenance effort is that we'll have some flexibility to arrange things. We have set it up, I would say, very conservatively to say we're looking at this point of only construction so that we're in a position for the more stringent of the requirements. And then if there are more relaxed where we could switch some funding around, then it provides some more opportunity to advance more of the -- I would still call them system preservation

type projects but probably what you mean by the maintenance.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: And Westmoreland in District 12-0 I had heard last year, so that this information is old, that in Westmoreland there may only be about 20 miles of paving work done in the summer of '09. Obviously the paving contractors and municipalities, a number of people are very worried about that. And I don't know, apparently liquid asphalt and some of the costs would come down from last year, but I don't know if that is the case or that was the case of 20 miles, let's say, if that is changing or has changed at this point.

Is there any timetable to know where we will be this year and when you expect to know based on the stimulus bill where we will be and how all this will fall out?

MR. RITZMAN: I would say a month,
hopefully. Hopefully winter is behind us. I know
it's been rather rough winter by way of maintenance
costs. So you know, from that side of things I think
there's probably not additional revenue or additional
resources from the maintenance funds that can be
redirected to highways. I will say about half of the
stimulus money was for pavement and about half was for

```
structures. So we really looked at trying to balance
1
2
      that out.
3
               REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: And to see the
      list that you're talking about, the current list, we
 4
5
     can contact your office?
 6
               MR. RITZMAN: Sure. And also I would say,
7
      again, we're working with SPC to identify -- they've
8
      already identified some of the projects that the
9
      counties have raised and that we really need to focus
10
      on figuring out which ones get the priority for the
11
     resources available.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you.
                                                      Thank
1.3
     you, Chairman.
14
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                   Thank you.
15
     Representative Dick Hess from Bedford County.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Thank you,
17
     Mr. Chairman. Just a few quick questions. You say
18
     shovel ready projects. How close to the line are you
19
     on shovel ready? Is it contracts been let?
20
               MR. RITZMAN: No. What the -- the
21
     requirement is that it needs to be obligated in 90
22
     days. That's the more stringent of the two. What
23
      that means is we have to have a package for the
24
     contractors to bid on that's able to be approved by
25
      federal highways to say advertise for it. So that's
```

shovel ready.

It pretty much means if you already don't have things that you're just touching up the corners, you're probably thinking of a -- need to think of a different project. For instance, you know, anything with the right-of-way, utility kinds of issues are awfully difficult. I know we've had a couple inquiries about from some townships who said, oh, here's a great bridge that's closed, we'd love to have this on the stimulus project. And we're saying if you don't have a reimbursement agreement established already, that's probably enough to hang you up from, again, this initial surge of projects that would be delivered with the economic recovery monies.

REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Well, really you're talking about shovel ready, I think that's the wrong term to use because people think you use shovel ready, tomorrow you're going to start throwing dirt. And that's not so, because you haven't even have the contracts let yet.

MR. RITZMAN: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE HESS: You haven't even let it for bid yet and there may be a window of 30 days or 60 days or 90 days depending on the size of the project you're going to be using. So I think the

1 shovel ready is the wrong term to use. 2 MR. RITZMAN: Okay. 3 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Even permits 4 themselves can hold them up a long time because you wouldn't have the permits yet even. 5 6 MR. RITZMAN: No, but I guess what we're 7 concerned about is the perception that, okay, we have 8 time to bring a new project to the table and we can 9 advance that project with these resources. 10 So it needs to be putting the ribbon on the 11 package rather than thinking what gift I'm going to 12 buy kind of thing. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: I just feel that 14 that's the wrong term because of the deception to the 15 general public, because they think you're going to 16 start throwing dirt or pushing dirt in the next week 17 when you say shovel ready. And you might be 18 three months down the road. 19 MR. RITZMAN: Sure. 20 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Maybe even longer 21 depending on your permits and the size of the project 22 and so on. Okay. Thank you very much. 23 MR. RITZMAN: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Kathy 25 Watson, Bucks County.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you. We agreed this doesn't work, so I'll speak up. And if -- thank you. And if we can have something perhaps of a dialogue, your one answer might spark another question.

MR. RITZMAN: Okay.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: I have a couple in my head. But following along what others have been asking you, about looking at your handout piece, deliverable -- in your second paragraph, second page on your economic recovery, you use the phrase "deliverable within six months of the signing of the legislation."

Has that in either of these proposed packages, is it defined specifically? Does deliverable mean, I guess following Representative Hess, in the common vernacular, that would mean we cut the ribbon within six months and the bridge is open? Is it really that or what is it? Because I think that has to be terribly limiting in terms, and that will spark another question.

MR. RITZMAN: Right. What we use, this was work that we did in advance of either the House bill or the Senate bill. We just said Pennsylvania needs to be ready. We're going to put together a project

listing that says we can -- deliverable is my definition of saying we can get it to construction letting. We can bid it out to the contractors within six months. Currently the House bill says half of the money needs to be obligated in 90 days. And that's almost the same thing except for an advertisement time. So that means federal highways needs to be able to say yes, these projects are ready to be bid. So that's the term that's currently both in the House and in the Senate. So that our approach was somewhat different than the House's currently, but we're still prepared for what the House is doing now.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: All right. And following along with that, you mentioned, of course, I think we all know the number, but 5900 structurally deficient bridges. And indeed we know, especially those of us who are married to civil engineers and get nightly lectures, but we know about the different grades in that, that just to say structurally deficient, some are perfectly fine and some are at least have a weight restriction and others might have been closed.

Can we be assured or is it possible that those that are seriously structurally deficient, are they going to be on the list to be fixed or do they

somehow, because something wasn't done and they're missing -- missing the boat seem -- and I understand and you and I can have the discussion, yes, but I'm going to take the money then for this so then we'll switch the listing --

MR. RITZMAN: Exactly.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: -- to here so -- I mean, reassure me.

MR. RITZMAN: Well, you're right with the terminology for structurally deficient. Structurally deficient does not mean it's not safe to travel and it means that there's a major deterioration that we need to fix. So it's a matter of timing, looking at those projects.

Again, one of the real issues with this stimulus -- with the shorter time frame is to say what's deliverable, what's able to get to construction letting so the -- the hundred or so bridges that would be advanced that are structurally deficient would not be the hundred worse projects. A lot of those are already in building our existing program, our Transportation Improvement Program, or if we think they still have useful life of a certain amount of time and there's not going to be any traffic implications we may be prioritizing other bridges.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: And final question if I might, Mr. Chairman, following, and this is more of an internal question for PennDOT and I certainly mean no disrespect, having worked with District 6 extensively and I know the hard work they do and the people, but this is going to put, in a shorter amount of time, an inordinate amount of pressure on PennDOT workers inside, review plans, get this, do this, all of that process which traditionally or historically has taken longer than the time frame we're talking here.

Are we going to be ready for that in light of there's been some downsizing through PennDOT?

Somebody has it I saw -- I'm sorry, stepped on your report there.

MS. ITZKO: That's okay.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: -- that you've done a good job in one way, okay, but then suddenly there's this immense crisis and are we going to be able to do all of this with the reviews? I mean, I've seen the time frames with some of my municipalities over time. And, you know, good work, just takes them a long time. Can we make all that?

MR. RITZMAN: We're very confident that we've done, I'll say, the preliminary work to identify

those projects that can be delivered in the timely fashion. So we're very comfortable with the list that we've prepared as a candidate list. And it's -again, it's larger than the amount of funds that we anticipate receiving. So I think we'll be in a really good position. No doubt it's going to be an awful lot of work. And when we said about under pressure and under stress, that will definitely be the case because our normal goal for construction lettings next year is \$1.8 billion. So this is putting another billion or so in a short time period over and above what is already on the plate. So I appreciate that comment. REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: You're welcome. Representative Mike Carroll. REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. My questions focus on the second 50 percent. The first 50 percent has to be obligated within 180 days, at least we get that to be the case. And then in your testimony suggests that the selection process emphasize candidates, bridge deficiency, paving needs and so on. Is that same criteria in

place for the second 50 percent? You talked a little

bit about what projects and how the selection process

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will occur for that second 50 percent.

MR. RITZMAN: We would really like to see -again, it's an economic recovery package. So we
really want to get that second 50 percent out the door
as quickly as possible, too. So at this point we're
saying, view them all as economic recovery; let's
deliver these projects that we can get people to work
in Pennsylvania as quickly as possible. It also sets
the stage for us to be in the front of the line if
there are other states who are not able to use their
funds, that we have a better case to receive
additional resources for Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: But will your selection process for that extra 50 percent, will it be projects that may not be defined by bridge deficiency, paving needs, and safety?

MR. RITZMAN: No. Right now we're saying let's advance as much as we possibly can focusing on our bridge needs or system preservation needs, and then I think the opportunity to advance additional projects is because of those projects that for the future years we've been able to advance now. That gives us a little bit more time to think about the kinds of project that we want to advance.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Because it just

seems to me we have so much money directed towards the bridges. I know it's important, but we have the Act 44 money that I know the district has been using for bridges, we have private money that is being used for bridges, and now we have this additional stimulus money on top of the regular program. And it seems to me at some point we're going to have to look beyond just bridges and just look at some other projects.

1.3

MR. RITZMAN: I would really like to be optimistic about that, but I can't fathom it under the current funding scenarios that we have or we envision, that in 20 years, the next 20 years we'll be talking about dealing with our structurally deficient bridge problem because it's that mammoth.

Right now, our 2007 we estimated needing \$11 billion today to deal with just the bridge issue. So when you winnow away, you know, that there's other bridges that are becoming structurally deficient, it's a very serious problem that we probably don't talk about enough, even though people are tired of hearing it.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Chairman Geist has arrived and also I was remiss in not mentioning Secretary Biehler had planned to be here today. Was

1 called to the Whitehouse, asked for our permission to go there instead. I reluctantly gave him that permission. And but he said that he would send his top notch staff, they're all here pretty much. do appreciate PennDOT folks that are here today. they will stick around throughout the meeting I'm told. So we have additional questions.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Suzanne, you've been waiting patiently and you may proceed.

MS. ITZKO: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. Thank you for having us here today to review the Department of Transportation's '09-10 budget.

First slide you have in front of you is a depiction of Motor License Fund non-restricted revenues. As you know, we rely heavily on revenues from the motor license funds to deliver our program. You'll see from the graph last fiscal year we've remained stable in the first half of the year and beginning in January, as a result of the weakening economy and higher fuel prices, revenues started to go That downward trend continued in the first half of this fiscal year. And we project a shortfall of \$150 million by the end of the current fiscal year.

Added to the problem, on the next slide

describes our actual expenditures versus our adjusted buying power. And what this chart is showing you is that our expenditures do the same level of projects has more than doubled in the past 20 years.

The next slide shows our current \$72 million shortfall. The Motor License Fund is composed of three major components, the first of which is taxes on the sale of liquid fuels; the second is on fees generated through the sale of licenses and registrations; and the third component is the, quote, other component, which is comprised of payments from the turnpike, vehicle code violations, and interests from investment earnings. Of these \$72 million, \$51 million of that loss is as a result of losses in investment earnings.

This will have an impact on three major program areas at PennDOT. The first of which, if you look at the slide called local highway and bridge, described our payments to municipalities. We make a major payment on April 1st of every year. These payments are going to be reduced by 4.9 percent in the '09-10 budget year. The blue portion of the bar describes the April 1st payment. And the top part describes the turnpike program funding for local projects and other maintenance funds. And that will

be decreased in the next fiscal year.

1.3

The next chart, which is called State

Highway and Bridge Improvement, describes our

construction funding. We expect funds for

construction to be decreased by 9.7 percent in '09-10.

The blue portion of the bar represents our primary

construction funds. The right portion describes our

funding for the restricted bridge account as well as

other expenditures.

As you know, in the current fiscal year Governor included \$350 million in bonds to address our structurally deficient bridges.

We target 411 structurally deficient bridges in combination with other construction appropriations and restricted bridge account. We are on target to meet that goal. We have let so far 175 bridges since the program began July 1st, 2008. And that is described on the next two charts.

In the '09-10 budget the Governor has included an additional \$200 million in bonds for the accelerated bridge program. Again, combined with our restricted bridge account and other construction funds, we will be able to target an additional 360 structurally deficient bridges not including in the fund.

Our final category is state highway maintenance. Our maintenance funds are expected to be decreased in '09-10 by 7.2 percent. We've done a number of revisions in budget in the last year, every Maintenance is time we got news about revenues. always the last to be cut. Last year we increased the maintenance fund by \$63 million. This year, as I mentioned earlier, they will be reduced by 7.2 percent. And if you look at the chart, the biggest charge will be the addition of supplemental With our base maintenance funding we will be funds. back to previous levels and when the economy gets better we hope to get those levels higher to what they were in '06-07, for example.

The next page will show you some Department cost wide savings. Beginning last summer as we headed into the '08-09 fiscal year, we initiated a series of video conferences with our districts to identify and brainstorm some cost savings initiatives that we could take advantage of. We continue to track those savings. We continue to push that initiative down to the districts and to the county level. And these are just some of the examples that we've done in combination with other things that we've done in central office.

Next slide shows you a complement. We are currently authorized at 12,011 positions with a current vacancy rate of 96 percent. We expect the reduction of 135 vacant positions by the end of this fiscal year, bringing our new authorized total to 11,876. And I should note that the 135 positions are outside of project delivery. For example, we will not be eliminating any positions in our construction or maintenance programs.

1.3

Next slide gives you an overview of the Rebuild Pennsylvania Initiative. And with that I'm going to have Eric Madden, deputy secretary for rail and aviation, come up and tell us about the rail mediation.

MR. MADDEN: Good morning. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My pleasure
to talk about the aviation and rail freight portion of
the budget.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: One second. Will you just identify yourself for the stenographer?

MR. MADDEN: Of course. My name is Eric

Madden, I'm the deputy secretary for aviation and rail

freight and at PennDOT.

Again, building on the success that the governor had put forth in last year's budget and

Rebuild PA, as you'll note in fiscal year 2008-2009, there was a \$10 million increase in the Rail

Transportation Assistance Program and a \$5 million increase in the Aviation Transportation Assistance

Program, which had been very successful and we have done a fantastic job of getting those projects which are truly ready to go out the door and shovel ready and operating.

1.3

As a part -- as part of phase two of Rebuild PA, the Governor, as you heard in his budget address, wants to go forward with an initiative to assist specifically CSS -- CSX and Norfolk Southern Transportation. These initiatives, as you may understand, CSX is part of a national gateway initiative which is a corridor-wide project which starts basically in North Carolina, comes up through Virginia, D.C., makes a left-hand turn in Maryland, comes through the tail end of Pennsylvania through Bedford County, through Pittsburgh and on to Ohio.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Did you say Bedford County's the tail end of Pennsylvania?

MR. MADDEN: Not necessarily. The tail end of the corridor. Sorry. Specifically, in the whole corridor has a cost of about \$724 million. Specific to Pennsylvania, CSX has initiative where we would

have two intermodal terminals, one of them is also already existing in Chambersburg. A second will be built just outside of Pittsburgh and along the line in that corridor heading through Bedford County, which is an important part of Pennsylvania.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: The jewel.

MR. MADDEN: The jewel of Pennsylvania. On through, there would be 17 clearances which the bridge clearances have a cost of about \$70 million. This is a joint, true public-private partnership between us, the federal government, and CSX. The state would be putting up \$35 million, the federal government \$35 million, and CSX will be putting up \$98 million for the project.

a thousand employees here. This would have an immediate impact of about a hundred jobs and regional has the potential for about 2500 jobs throughout the region here. Norfolk Southern, this is part of the crescent corridor. This is an initiative to basically relieve some of the congestion of I-81, the truck congestion on I-81. The corridor from basically Louisiana all the way up through -- through Pennsylvania on all the way to the Port Authority of New York/New Jersey. Specific to Pennsylvania, this

is a project that would have -- well, the
corridor-wide the project is about \$2.5 billion.

Again another state investment, federal, investment by

5 Mike Fesen who is here today.

Specific in Pennsylvania, this would include, broken out over several phases, this would include improvement through several of the intermodal terminals which are already in Pennsylvania and providing opportunity to double track the mainline to provide increased frequency and better service.

Norfolk Southern, and I'm sure you may hear more from

Through these two initiatives, including initiative outside of which is happening outside of Philadelphia on the CSX mainline, through this double-stack initiative, Pennsylvania will be one of the very few states that have double-stack clearance north, south, east, west, border to border for three Class 1s, which is -- this is big deal.

When talking about particularly Class 1 and double-stack clearance, you're essentially talking about an interstate and doubling it, doubling the capacity of it. So this is a great opportunity that we have to take advantage of. And I should mention Norfolk Southern has over 5,000 employees in this state. If we do crescent corridor there's an

```
1
      opportunity to increase that number -- well,
2
      regionally by 2,000 -- by 20,000 but specifically to
3
      Pennsylvania there's an opportunity to do another
      10,000 employees here.
 4
 5
               So if this is true economic development and
 6
      we wanted to -- the Governor actually put this program
7
      together and wanted to do it over and above the
      existing $41 million program to not impact the
8
9
      voracious need of the existing short line and regional
10
     railroads here in Pennsylvania.
11
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay.
12
               MR. MADDEN:
                            Thank you.
13
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Eric and
14
      Suzanne. We have some questions, Eric maybe.
15
                           We're going to have --
               MS. ITZKO:
16
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Oh, Toby Fauver.
17
               MS. ITZKO: -- Toby Fauver talk about mass
18
      transit.
19
                            Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
               MR. FAUVER:
20
     members of the committee. My name is Toby Fauver,
21
     deputy secretary for local and area transportation.
22
      Just take a couple minutes and give you an update on
23
      what's going on with public transportation funding.
24
      You'll see a graph in your package. Let's see.
                                                       It's
25
      the last graph in the packet. I get mine open.
                                                        There
```

we go. And you'll see public transportation funding continuing to grow. And there's -- it may be a little bit misleading, though, because the overall public transportation funding continues to grow because of Act 44 and the capital piece that the turnpike pays in growing by another \$50 million, in the next fiscal year.

The sale -- the operating piece of public transportation funding is now dedicated from turnpike payments. It also comes from sales tax, dedicated piece of sales tax. The dedicated piece of sales tax is declining with overall tax revenues. And decline hit us this year. Fortunately, we're in a trust fund. We have a small balance. It was 6 or \$8 million balance of funds that you could apply instead of prorating or reducing operating funding in the year we're in. We've been able to apply that balance that was left in the trust fund to make up for the projected deficit by the end of the year to keep operating payments whole, which has been a good thing. And it's actually a good result of the trust fund.

Going into next year, we sent letters out to all the transit agencies in the state in November warning them that the next budget year may be difficult. Sales tax revenues are declining;

funding -- tax revenues across the Commonwealth are declining; local tax revenues are declining. We asked transit agencies to identify action plans back to us, modeled after what the state is doing, but identify action plans back to us of things that they're going to do to save money, administrative funds, travel funds, whatever, that they can identify in order to preserve as much service as they can going into next year. We don't -- I don't believe that there will have to be any service cuts given the projections we have next year, but it's possible if the economy takes a turn for the worse.

1.3

was his number.

And we -- I want to make sure that the transit agencies are thinking in that mindset and are doing everything they can to preserve service with the funding that we're providing to them.

So if there's any questions, glad to take them.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Chairman Geist.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: You mentioned the

revenue from the turnpike. Are you anticipating the

decrease in revenue? I met with Joe Brimmeier

yesterday. He said ridership is down 5 percent. Tha

MR. FAUVER: On the turnpike.

```
1
               REPRESENTATIVE GEIST:
                                      Yeah.
                                             And that
2
      translates into a pretty big loss in capital, right?
3
               MR. FAUVER: Well, the payments coming in
      from the turnpike are quaranteed in the legislation.
5
      So this -- this coming year, the total payment that
     public transportation will get will be 400 million.
 6
 7
     250 million for operating and 150 million for capital,
8
     which is 50 million higher than capital than what we
9
      did in the year we're in.
10
               The following year, though, because
11
      Interstate 80 tolling hasn't taken place, there will
12
     be a decline in that. The capital -- the capital goes
1.3
     to zero in the following year and the operating
14
     payment remains the same in 250 million with no
     growth. So that will present a challenge in the
15
16
     following year. But right now we're still planning a
17
     $400 million level this coming year.
18
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Representative
19
     Marsico.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Give me -- can you
21
     go to someone else?
22
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: One moment.
23
     Representative Paul Costa.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Actually, I had a
25
      question for Mr. Madden.
```

MR. MADDEN: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Eric, you talk about the double stacking being able to go east, west, north, south in Pennsylvania. What happens now when they come from other states? Do they have to unload or --

MR. MADDEN: You have to unload. If you don't have the clearance you have to take it off.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: And how do those states around us, are they -- do they have the ability to do this also?

MR. MADDEN: That's why we need the multistate initiative specifically for their double-stack clearance. And CSX, their national gateway there are, I believe, North Carolina is double-stack cleared; Virginia's double-stack cleared. There is one issue with the Virginia Avenue Tunnel which is in D.C. That tunnel right now is currently single track and single stacked. That, of course, would have to be addressed, which is a significant --somewhere in the ballpark of 130 million for that project alone. The rest of Maryland is double-stack cleared. Pennsylvania is not currently along that line. And there are, I think, one or two bridge clearances in Ohio that need to be double-stack

```
1
      cleared.
2
               REPRESENTATIVE COSTA:
                                      Thank you.
3
               MR. MADDEN:
                            Sure.
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Ron Marsico.
 5
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:
                                         Thank you,
 6
     Mr. Chairman. Susan -- is it Susan?
 7
               MS. ITZKO: Suzanne.
 8
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Is it Itzko?
               MS. ITZKO: Yes.
9
10
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:
                                        So I got that part.
11
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:
                                        The hard part.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:
                                        Right.
13
     mentioned about talking about the complement,
14
     personnel complement, and the fact that you've had a
15
      decrease in the complement and, in fact, you've had a
16
      decrease in the complement. How does that compare, I
17
     mean, your analysis of outside consultants that have
18
     been hired in terms of comparing that to the number of
19
     in-house PennDOT employees that were decreased?
20
     know that those consulting contracts have gone up.
21
     And that's a major concern to me, especially in this
22
     area where a lot of the state workers live in my
23
     district have lost their jobs or have been shifted
24
      somewhere else or most have lost their jobs to outside
25
      consultants. And that's a big concern of mine.
```

```
1
               MS. ITZKO: Like I said earlier, we -- we do
2
      expect a decrease of 135 positions. But none of those
3
     positions will be in project delivery-type areas.
                                                         So
      there will be obviously with the addition of stimulus
5
     money there will be a lot of work going out to the
 6
     private sector. A lot of the work we want to keep
7
     in-house because we have done analysis what it costs
8
     for our people to do it versus what an outside
9
      consultant can do, and that comes out to, I believe it
10
      was, $53 an hour difference for our people versus the
11
      outside.
12
               But there's a lot of money we hope coming to
13
      Pennsylvania, which will hopefully get our positions
14
      filled as well as put some work out to the private
15
      sector.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:
                                        Okay. But your
17
      outside consultant contracts have risen in the last
18
     several years; is that correct?
19
               MS. ITZKO: I believe so, yes.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Okay. Thank you,
21
     Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
22
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: You're welcome.
23
     Representative Katie Harper.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: My question's for
25
     you, Suzanne, also. And it may be that I don't
```

```
1
      understand the chart on Page 2.
2
               MS. ITZKO: Yeah.
3
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Or it may be that
      the chart doesn't go far enough.
 4
5
               MS. ITZKO: Okay.
 6
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: I understood that
7
      when gas prices -- this is the gas tax revenue
8
      largely?
9
               MS. ITZKO: That's a portion of it.
10
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Okay. I understood
11
      when gas prices hit a high last summer, I guess, you
12
      know, we had a substantial drop. But gas prices have
13
      actually moderated since then. Are we seeing an
14
     increase in people driving or not?
15
               MS. ITZKO: There was a slight increase in
16
     consumption, which was part of the stabilization that
17
     I was talking about in the last four months. So it
18
      went up slightly.
19
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Okay. So this chart
20
     just doesn't go far enough.
21
               MS. ITZKO: It goes to the end of the fiscal
22
     year.
23
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: But it still shows
24
     it dropping.
25
               MS. ITZKO: Right.
```

1 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: I quess what I'm 2 trying to figure out is it less of a drop or we 3 actually get back up where we were? It looks like it's -- it looks like it keeps dropping. And I had 5 had thought we had a blip that we were possibly going 6 to recover from. And I'm not sure whether we have 7 recovered or not. Are we getting the gas tax revenue 8 today that we expected at this time last year. 9 MS. ITZKO: Would it be okay if I call our 10 budget director up? 11 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Dave Margolis. 12 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Sorry, didn't mean 13 to give you --14 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: We have the talent here, 15 we might as well. Dave, if you can introduce, 16 identify yourself for the --17 MR. MARGOLIS: Dave Margolis, David Margolis 18 the director of bureau of fiscal management. 19 what's happened is that we pretty well now are 20 continuing near estimate for fuels and for our 21 licenses and fees. The area that we're continuing to 22 run below estimate is primarily in treasury earnings. 23 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Okay. Which we all 24 know are still down. 25 MR. MARGOLIS: Which had been estimated to

be 5 or 6 million a month, and at this point we are not losing money, but we're not gaining any treasury earnings either. So most of the estimate by Department of Revenue between now and the end of the year will be the fact they're not expecting us to gain any of that treasury earnings. Hopefully that will pick up next year.

1.3

So we've stabilized in the -- in really the PennDOT, you know, the fuels and the licenses and fees, but until the treasury earnings start picking up we'll be on a downward slope compared to previous estimates.

REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Okay. My second question's related, so don't go away. On the Act 44 money, the turnpike, I know, experienced a decrease in volume. We talked about that a little bit earlier. And that is -- part of that's probably related to the decrease in the economy, you know, fewer vehicles, whatever. But in my area of the state, which is the southeast, which accounts for two-thirds of all the traffic, most of the traffic is commuter traffic there. Okay. And some of it, I guess, was affected by the most recent toll increase.

What are the projections for the turnpike's revenues? You know, I mean, are they as bleak as this

```
1
      or are we looking at a blip there because we had a
2
     toll increase and we also had an economic issue that's
3
     probably depressing the amount of traffic on the
      turnpike which of course we need for mass transit and
 4
5
      everything else?
 6
               MR. MARGOLIS: Well, as Toby pointed out,
7
      the Act 44 set an amount of payment from the turnpike
8
     regardless --
9
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Right. It's
10
      quaranteed.
11
               MR. MARGOLIS: -- of their ridership.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: It just means
13
      they're going to have a problem.
14
               MR. MARGOLIS: In PennDOT we certainly had
15
      our own financial problems. And, frankly, I, you
16
     know, we haven't looked at the turnpike situation
17
     because it's their obligation under Act 44 to make the
18
     numbers work, just as it's our obligation to provide
     you with a balanced budget.
19
20
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Right. They've
21
     indicated they're going to make the payment, but I'm
22
     just thinking, you know, where are they getting the
23
     money?
24
               MR. MARGOLIS: Well, I'm sure as we've done,
25
     as Suzanne indicated, a number of budget revisions
```

```
1
      ourselves in order to bring you a balanced budget,
2
      that they're in the same situation. As you indicated,
3
      because of the toll increase I'm sure this is a
     particularly challenging year for them.
 5
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Thank you very much.
 6
      Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 7
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: You're welcome.
8
      Representative Mike Carroll.
9
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:
                                         Thank vou,
10
     Mr. Chairman. Eric, my question's for you and it has
11
      to do with the crescent corridor.
12
               MR. MADDEN: Yes.
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Could you please
1.3
14
      expand a little bit, you mentioned in your testimony
15
      that you're talking about the parallel track in
      certain areas and intermodal terminal improvements.
16
17
      Can you expand a little bit on what exactly's been
18
      happening in Pennsylvania and what the split of the
19
      financing is with respect to the Commonwealth
20
     participation and the rail participation?
21
               MR. MADDEN: In Pennsylvania, the -- for the
22
      whole improvement that is necessary for the crescent
23
      corridor is in the ballpark of $449 million.
      this will be, again, much like the national gateway,
24
25
      will be a partnership between the federal government,
```

1 Norfolk Southern, and the State of Pennsylvania. 2 This first phase, which we are talking about right now, is \$45 million, which will be a state 3 investment. Norfolk Southern will actually be putting 4 5 in another significant investment of their own for 6 another -- an intermodal terminal of which they're not 7 at liberty to identify where that will particularly 8 be, but it will be in Pennsylvania at the moment. 9 There are two terminals, intermodal 10 terminals outside of Allentown and one in Harrisburg, 11 which will have -- just they'll do track improvements 12 inside just to make it more efficient to move goods 13 and services. 14 They are trying to -- and Mike may elaborate 15 on this more, try to bifurcate their domestic and 16 international market coming out of Louisiana and Port Authority of New York/New Jersey. And they basically 17 18 want to use Pennsylvania as a hub to try to manage the 19 traffic. 20 REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: So the \$45 million 21 in phase -- Phase 1 --22 MR. MADDEN: Yes. 23 REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: -- is a state 24 investment? 25 MR. MADDEN: Yes.

```
1
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:
                                        And that is to do
2
      exactly what?
3
               MR. MADDEN:
                            That is to do two improvements
      in the two terminals in Harrisburg and just south side
 4
5
      of Allentown and to do roughly about 20 million miles
      of double tracking.
 6
 7
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: When do we expect
8
      that to happen?
9
               MR. MADDEN: Well, it's one of the great
10
      things about railroad projects are they are quite
11
     honestly truly shovel ready, because you don't have to
12
      go -- it's all within the right-of-way. Once the
     money is set aside and -- you have to realize this is
1.3
14
     a reimbursement program, so they need to outlay the
15
      cash first. So they can go ahead, they can start
16
     bidding and they can start spending the cash first and
      then they come to us and ask for reimbursement.
17
18
               So once it's approved by in the graces of
19
      the General Assembly, there is really not too much
20
      stopping us.
21
               REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL:
                                         Thank you.
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you.
22
23
     Representative John Siptroth, Monroe County.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH:
                                          Thank you,
25
     Mr. Chairman. Eric, it appears that the aviation side
```

of the budget is pretty flat lined. Would you concur
with that? And/or can we expect any type of stimulus
money regarding aviation at all?

1.3

MR. MADDEN: Yes. On the state side is flat in terms of funding for the aviation program. In regard to the stimulus, there are two proposals out there. Of course the House version has a \$3 billion proposal for AIP discretionary funds which would basically be distributed using existing formula at 95 percent, not a hundred percent federal money, to the states. The Senate version has approximately \$1.2 billion, same formula, same distribution, and some of that would be coming to Pennsylvania, which we would be ready to go.

In terms of identifying those projects which are ready to go, we have received guidance from the Federal Aviation Administration which is probably a step further than what is out there on the highway side. They have basically told us that design work has to be completely done. There's no design for this whatsoever. We are -- basically once the money comes we go out to bid and we start moving dirt.

REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Are we in a financial position to meet that 5 percent obligation on the projects?

1 MR. MADDEN: Yes. We'll use existing 2 resources in the aviation development program to --3 well, two and a half percent. The way it's broken, 95 percent federal government, two and a half from the 4 5 state, two and a half percent from the locals. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Thank vou. 7 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative John 8 Evans, Erie County. 9 REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Thank vou, 10 Mr. Chairman. I believe this question should be directed to the budget secretary who spoke earlier. 11 12 There was legislation introduced last session, and I 1.3 believe it has been reintroduced this session, to move 14 the funding for the state police complement out of the 15 liquid fuels category into another category freeing up 16 that money for highway projects and so on. How much 17 of a fiscal impact would that make on the budget if 18 that was to happen? 19 MR. MARGOLIS: I appreciate the promotion. 20 Suzanne prefers just to call me director. I probably 21 won't get a promotion, particularly in this economic 22 climate. 23 The decision on the placement of the state police is really up to the General Assembly. 24 25 Certainly the constitution and statute permits highway patrol to be funded out of the motor fund. So it's really a matter of the ability of some other funding source, some other fund to pay the state police costs.

So with the roughly half a billion dollars that's funded to the state police from a strictly state transportation standpoint it would certainly, you know, be beneficial to be able to use additional money on the roads. But on the other hand, the state police provide a certainly invaluable service and it has to be funded somewhere. So that's something as we don't really control the Motor License Fund, so that's really a call for you in the General Assembly.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Are there any other states that do it like we do, as far as the funding of that matter -- manner I should say?

MR. MARGOLIS: Well, highway funding varies among states is that most states do not even have a dedicated Motor License Fund. So a lot of states rely on extra general revenues even for their highway program. So we really benefit in terms of stability in funding in that regard. But in most cases the General Assembly would be making that choice not only for how much fund the -- you know, the state police, but in terms of balancing their general fund, they would also be determining how much went to highways.

1 We have the different structure, so the Motor License 2 Fund does stand on it's own. 3 But as to whether or not the highway patrol is funded out of the Motor License Fund, certainly by 5 tradition it's always been done that way. But it doesn't need to be. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Chairman Geist. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Thank you very much. 9 I have a series of a couple questions. Are there any 10 of the federal monies that come to Pennsylvania that 11 do not have to be appropriated by this General 12 Assembly back for PennDOT's use? Is this normal 1.3 federal cash flow? Simple question. 14 MR. RITZMAN: I think so. REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: You either know or 15 16 you don't know. Somebody has to know. 17 MR. RITZMAN: Yeah, I mean the answer as we 18 understand is yes. Again, it's a bill, so until we 19 know what actually happens. 20 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: And every one of the 21 projects that we're going to do that are not 22 maintenance really need to go through and be voted on 23 by the State Transportation Commission; is that 24 correct? 25 MR. RITZMAN: It would be a matter of

```
1
      timing, I believe.
                          So that's -- we could certainly
2
     talk about that at tomorrow's meeting. Because of
      this -- we would need to schedule another State
3
      Transportation Commission meeting in order to do
5
      something like that.
 6
               REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: I would think by that
7
      law that has to happen.
 8
               Now, here's another question for you.
9
      Knowing that all this has to take place as a process,
10
     and knowing the fact that many legislators have had
11
     projects cut -- poor Gary Haluska up there has taken a
12
     terrible beating -- did the Department consult with
13
     any members of this General Assembly about the ability
14
      to do projects in their districts and was any input
15
      sought by the Department with any members of the
16
     House?
17
               MR. RITZMAN: Not directly, no.
18
               REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: The MPO process, was
19
     it dictated by the Department or did it go up through
20
      the MPO process?
21
               MR. RITZMAN: I'm not sure what your
22
     question is.
23
               REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Every one of the
24
     projects has to be on the MPO, correct?
25
               MR. RITZMAN: Correct.
```

1 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: And there are 2 projects that have been listed that weren't on MPOs, 3 correct? MR. RITZMAN: Oh, absolutely, about half the 5 projects. REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Where did they come 6 7 from? 8 MR. RITZMAN: Primarily from our district 9 office assessing preservation needs and quick 10 delivery. 11 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: And did those 12 district engineers consult with any members of the 13 General Assembly that had those projects in their districts? 14 15 MR. RITZMAN: I'm not aware of those 16 conversations. 17 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Why not? These are the folks that have to make the votes. How do you 18 19 explain something -- when a House member has to know 20 their district better than anybody, how do you explain 21 to them how a project was spawned, moved something 22 else out of the way, something else is there, without 23 input from the people who have to vote for the federal 24 funds to go through? I've been doing this for 25 31 years, and it kind of amazes me that that doesn't

happen. I would -- I would think that our district engineers should be in Gary Haluska's office talking about those projects. I would think that they should be in all of our offices. That didn't happen at all. So tomorrow, the State Transportation Commission will move a changed budget once again with many changes I assume?

MR. RITZMAN: Yes.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: And somehow, some way, that MPO process, which I believe is totally flawed because it cuts the legislator out of the process unless they're on the MPO, we have to find a way for the Department to work with the people who make the votes to fund you. And there's a huge disconnect in this process that's gone on. Huge disconnect.

MR. RITZMAN: I guess the point I'd want to make is the MPO/RPO process is a federally mandated process.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: That is correct.

MR. RITZMAN: So --

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: We happen to be state representatives and we also have the responsibility to appropriate every dollar that comes back to us and the Feds hold us hostage. How many things do we have to

do before we get our own tax monies back? Seven now,

correct?

1.3

MR. RITZMAN: Again, one point I want to make is with regards to the candidate list. The intent of that candidate list was to show that Pennsylvania was ready to catch whatever could come our way. The whole vetting and prioritization process is just beginning.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: The process was flawed in the fact that you published stuff and put stuff out that blind sided representatives in their district who complained to me that they had absolutely no input in that process and that he read it in the paper. And I just wonder why that disconnect is there. I mean, is it on purpose? Is it something that's done as a policy matter? Or how does it get done anymore?

MR. RITZMAN: Again, the intent of that list was a candidate list to say that we're ready to catch whatever comes our way in a short-term duration before that list was public, was -- was publicized. We did sit down and review with the House and Senate transportation staff, review those lists.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: Have any of the projects that have been cut because they were designed

and ready to go, have any of those been added to that
federal list to bring them back on? I think you

called it right sizing.

1.3

MR. RITZMAN: Have any of the projects -REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: 219, I mean, we have
projects all over the place that were cut, have any of
those been reinstated as candidate projects?

MR. RITZMAN: None of the projects of the candidate list have been approved yet. That's just the beginning of a process. Again, the key point here is focussing on quick delivery projects. Advancing those that are already prioritized on the TIP in the out years in the year 2010, 11, and 12, advancing those projects and then identifying additional projects if needed. And about half of them were just for acceleration.

anymore questions. I'm going to make one recommendation. I really strongly recommend that the relationship between the Department and the General Assembly is vastly improved, that you listen to members and get their input on what's needed in their districts. I think it's imperative that that be done.

MR. RITZMAN: We'd be happy to entertain your suggestions on what projects are the appropriate

projects, knowing federal eligibility requirements as well as the quick turnaround time. So if anybody does have projects, again, the MPOs in different parts of the state have solicited project information from various counties and municipalities. That's all part of the vetting and prioritization process that's beginning and yet to continue. CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. With that line of 

questioning, we have three other members now that want to say something. We do have other folks who were nice enough to show up today and be part of this and we are running out of time. I see at least one member has waived off. PennDOT people will be around.

For very, very short last comments,
Representative Costa and then Haluska, please.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I represent the 134th Legislative

District which is in District 11. And I don't know if

it's because I'm on the transportation committee or

Dan Cessna is a constituent of mine, but he's in

constant contact with our office all the time --

MR. RITZMAN: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE COSTA: -- and checks with us all the time. I don't know how things work in other areas, but we're fortunate to have Dan Cessna. He

keeps in contact with us.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Haluska.

3 REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Thank you,

It's kind of ironic that I had to deal Mr. Chairman. with the Ridge Administration and along comes the Rendell Administration and we're doing some work on Route 219 and they call me up and tell me, Well, Gary, if you don't have the money to build the road we're not going to do any preliminary engineering or anything. And here we find ourselves not only with federal money that need ready made projects that are ready to go to work. Well, if you continue that philosophy that Rendell Administration said you'd be sitting here holding the bag because you wouldn't -you didn't have the money for any of these projects and you wouldn't be doing the preliminary engineering, so lo and behold here comes the federal money, you guys would have been standing here going we didn't spend any money to do any engineering or anything so we don't have any ready made projects so I quess we don't get any money. So it's kind going to come back to bite you I think.

MR. RITZMAN: There's a delicate balance of what we do to look at ensuring that we have designs and the construction and the right balance of those

projects. What we want to do is make sure that as part of planning you as part of our long-range planning requirements and 12-year program planning requirements, our STIP planning requirements, that we look at what our anticipated revenues are and that we balance to ensure that we have the designs squared away with construction and make sure that we don't design too much that just sits, that can't get to construction.

1.3

What we encountered over the past years,

2004 I believe, 2006, was the last time that we looked
at this, we just had massive amounts of engineering
resources going into projects that we couldn't
realistically envision go into construction ever, and
we said this does not make sense. We need to make
sure that we're taking care of our existing system as
best we can and maximize our whole focus to maximize
the dollars going to construction.

So what we try to do is, you know, the just-in-time delivery of making sure that as resources are available, we have the right number of projects ready for construction. If you spend too much on engineering, you're not going to get enough construction done. And that's where the real change happens.

1 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Thank you, Jim, 2 Eric, Suzanne, Toby, the budget secretary. Thank you 3 all. You, again, did a very good job, very 4 professional job. We appreciate it very much. 5 Okay. We have our friends from the rail industry here today. We see Joe Gerdes and our good 6 7 friend Jerry Vest. And I'm told that Mike Fesen 8 cannot attend but he will submit comments for the 9 record. 10 MR. GERDES: That's correct. For the record, Mike unfortunately could not be here today and 11 12 he asked for consent to submit his comments for the 13 record, make himself available. Mike is asking if he can submit his comments and he'll make himself 14 available also to members of the committee if there's 15 16 any questions. 17 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Well, we're glad that 18 you're here. And you may proceed. 19 MR. GERDES: I'll go first, Mr. Chairman. 20 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Whoever wants to be the 21 lead-off hitter. Jerry can be the clean-up hitter. 22 MR. GERDES: My name is Joe Gerdes. I'm the 23 executive director of the Keystone State Railroad 24 Association. Good morning, Chairman Markosek, 25 Chairman Geist, and members of the Transportation

Committee. Thank you for allowing me to speak today on behalf of the membership of the Keystone State Railroad Association, an association representing many of the Commonwealth's Class I, II, and III railroads, non-operating railroad owners, and numerous vendors and suppliers to railroad industry.

It's a pleasure to be here today. You will be hearing from Jerry Vest with Genesee & Wyoming and who will do a much better job than I talking about the specifics of some of the projects and some of the challenges and opportunities that the railroad industry faces here in Pennsylvania. So I will be extremely brief.

This committee knows all too well the issues facing the transportation infrastructure here in the Commonwealth. And we as an industry certainly understand the complexity in trying to find the solution on how to fund the many vital projects that are out there and simply need to be done.

As you and your colleagues in the House and across the rotunda in the Senate work to finalize this year's budget, I ask that you keep in mind the successes of the partnership that you've already created with the rail industry in Pennsylvania.

This is a partnership that is working and is

helping to prepare Pennsylvania's transportation
infrastructure for tomorrow.

1.3

Thanks to you, Pennsylvania has been a leader in partnering with the rail industry to ensure rail's vital place in Pennsylvania's modern transportation system.

I know I'm preaching to the choir, but one thing is certain, the amount of freight that will be moving through the northeast, and particularly Pennsylvania, will be growing at an astronomical rate over in the next 10 to 15 years. This, coupled with increased vehicular traffic, will put a tremendous strain on our roads, bridges, and highways.

The Commonwealth's freight rail network will be a vital pressure relief valve to help move this freight and keep commerce and people moving efficiently in an environmentally sound way in Pennsylvania. It's also important to highlight many of the areas serviced by our railroads, particularly our Class II and our Class III railroads, are areas that might well be cut off from any future economic expansion because of lack of efficient and reliable transportation to get their goods marketed.

The funding provided by the state through the Rail Freight Assistance Program and the Rail

Transportation Assistance Program and any leveraged stimulus dollars are important investments by the Commonwealth to make sure this doesn't happen, the investments, as you will hear, that will have an immediate and direct effect on our economy but more importantly will pay tremendous dividends in the years to come.

Again, Mr. Chairman, thanks to you and your colleagues for your leadership and helping to foster and grow our Commonwealth's rail infrastructure.

As Deputy Secretary Madden was here, I just wanted to also acknowledge the great work that he and his staff do. They are our industry's interface with the Commonwealth and have done an extremely great job administering these programs. Our Governor and our legislature on both sides of the aisle have been great partners with our industry and we look forward to working with you to ensure the support and partnership continues.

And with that I'd like to thank the chair and pass it on to Jerry.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Joe. Jerry

Vest from Genesee & Wyoming Railroad, turns out to be

an international railroad actually.

REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: We need a trip to

Australia. We need to go look at that.

1.3

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Took the words right out of my mouth. They have an Australian line.

MR. VEST: They haven't let me go there yet. Thank you, Chairman Markosek, Chairman Geist, and members of the committee. It's a pleasure and an honor to be here, be invited to give a few brief remarks about the role that short line regional railroads play in the Commonwealth and specifically the opportunity we have in Pennsylvania for Class II and III railroads to play a role in our recovery and our investment infrastructure.

Let me preface my comments by just a few data points, if I could. And Deputy Secretary Ritzman said that the numbers are all over the board here as it relates to stimulus. And I don't want to aggravate that, but in my prepared document I reference the definitions of Class I, II, and III railroads. It's established by the Service Transportation Board, in my written document I have, Class I railroads are 250 million annual revenue and above. That actually is a base number. It's currently indexed to \$360 million and above. And it's important to note that the smallest Class I is also 2 billion in annual revenue. So there are seven Class I's in the United States.

There are four of them present in our -- in our state

here. But they are -- they are large, extremely large

and on the top end of this range.

1.3

Regional railroads we have two, one of which is owned by the Genesee & Wyoming, Buffalo and Pittsburgh Railroad. There are two in the state; there are fewer than 20 nationwide. They range from below the 360 million down to 29 million. And they typically are clustered down in the lower end of that range too in annual revenues.

And then finally the vast bulk of railroads in the United States, regional railroads are short lines or Class III railroads. They on the current index are revenues less than 29 million a year. And they are typically significantly less than that.

There are approximately 550 Class II and III regional short line railroads in the United States.

In the Commonwealth here we have 55. So we have 10 percent presence of all these smaller railroads in the state, which is significant. In fact,

Pennsylvania's routinely referenced as the leading state in terms of freight railroad presence.

Class II and III railroads cover the entire Commonwealth, from Erie to metropolitan Philadelphia to the northern quadrant of the state down to

Washington County.

Short line regional railroads play a critical role. There's approximately 2,900 miles of track used by the short line regional railroads utilizing 450 -- approximately 450 locomotives. The most important statistic I think is that the freight equivalent of what we handle a year is 1.6 million truckloads. And those are trucks that are not on our roads, not on our highways, not on the turnpike, deteriorating pavement, deteriorating our bridges faster, and deteriorating our quality of life through air and congestion.

One catchy statistic perhaps many of you heard is that a freight railroad can handle 1 ton of freight with 1 gallon of fuel 436 miles, which is a phenomenal statistic. But this is -- it's one that is well justified and I think really reflects the green nature of our industry.

Beyond these statistics, there's four facts or qualitative facts that I'd like to touch on too as a way of introduction. Very briefly, first is that without the short line regional railroads, many communities and hundreds of customers in the Commonwealth would be without rail freight service. And we -- these communities are truly, we consider,

the jewels of the Commonwealth. They are very important to us. They're our lifeline. We are made up of short line regional railroads supply that typically lighter density cast off by larger railroads. And in that nature we provide what is called the first mile and last mile for many movements of freight for these customers.

1.3

Rail network, rail freight network in the United States is a network. And there's a tremendous amount of traffic that's handled by multiple railroads. It's very common for one of our regional or short line railroads to be the originator or terminating carrier for a move that might certainly involve one Class I but could involve multiple Class 1s and even another short line or regional railroad.

This low density, this tremendous local connection is another factor that leads us to be extremely customer oriented. We pride ourselves in our intense focus and serving our customers and communities because without that local interface we wouldn't survive. And that is the key for our industry to be able to expand freight and to actually grow our business.

And that really reflects itself in this entrepreneurial spirit that most short line railroads

really demonstrate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's another common theme that goes with regional and short line railroads in the state. that is deferred maintenance. It's a significant These lines are almost all spinoffs of large railroads, but four of the large railroads dispose of them either through a sale or lease to short line or regional railroads. They went through a period of non-investing in them. So we are, you know, in a continual effort to catch up. Some of you probably are well aware of the standard of 286,000 pounds per loaded freight car. This is a national standard that Class I railroads are probably 99.9 percent capable over their lines of handling 286,000-pound cars. as a sector of the freight industry are constantly working to upgrade our rail and our bridges so we can handle those cars.

Specific to the Genesee & Wyoming, we own and operate four regional short line railroads. I mentioned Buffalo and Pittsburgh as the regional railroad. We also have York Railway and October 1st of last year part of Pittsburgh and Ohio Central and the Aliquippa and Ohio River short lines. All four of these properties have shovel ready projects and as Deputy Commissioner Madden mentioned in his testimony,

shovel ready is truly shovel ready. We operate on our own property and usually our projects can be addressed from an environmental standpoint through a categorical exclusion, which is basically filling out a form saying that there is no need for further environmental study or reporting.

And especially with the short line and regional freight railroad, our investments are to rebuild our bridges, to rebuild our lines, to replace track that was taken out but the footprint remains. So these projects, what we need are money.

And the money is an issue. The Association of American Railroads three years ago did a survey of large Class I railroads and found that 17 percent of their gross revenues on average is reinvested back into their physical plan. They compared that to other industries in the United States that we typically think of as capital intensive, things like petrochemical, steel, mining, automotive and those industries were all in the range of 5 to 8 percent. So 17 percent of the top line -- of course you all know the bottom line. Our cash that comes out of the bottom is what funds our investment. So 17 percent off the top is phenomenal.

When I saw that my first reaction was to do

an impromptu survey of our own property. The Buffalo and Pittsburgh, for instance, is above 17 percent in terms of what we reinvest of our gross revenues back into our physical plant and infrastructure.

So we're faced with this long-term challenge of deferred maintenance. We have a series of projects that are ready for this recovery package. They will help not only long-term, very long-term, certainly longer than my life or remaining life, improvements to the freight infrastructure in the Commonwealth, but they will also represent adding stability and improving the service level for the industrial sector jobs at the companies we serve and that is critical. So as we improve and make more reliable our service, we are actually helping the economy in that manner.

And finally, there is a stimulating impact in this if as we go out and do this work on our railroads we bring in contractors to do the work and so we will be helping that sector of the economy.

And it's important on that note, too, if I could, that Pennsylvania is a unique state and the rail industry as it relates to suppliers. In our state we have a rail producer, two manufacturers of locomotives. We have many producers of cross-ties and quarries producing ballasts. So we are truly in a

unique situation where we invest money in our rail infrastructure in Pennsylvania, there's a multiplying effect with those investments that stay in our state.

We have, as Eric Madden mentioned,

supplied -- we were one of the railroads that supplied

PennDOT with a list of shovel ready projects on the

Buffalo and Pittsburgh line. We had nine projects in

the western part of the Commonwealth totalling

10.6 million total investment potential.

My final comments really I've been in government affairs for Genesee & Wyoming for going on over four years now. I've been in the rail industry for over 20. This is my first forte into state and government affairs. Most of my job's been in other areas of railroading.

But it's been very much a learning
experience for me. We have presence in over 20 states
across the country. And one thing that's amazed me is
every one of these states are different in how they
approach infrastructure, how they approach rail
freight, how they approach within the DOTs their focus
in terms of the multi-level balanced approach. And I
take quite a bit of pride being a resident in the
Commonwealth that Pennsylvania is truly a leader in
this area.

PennDOT over the years has developed a great track record and Eric Madden and his team certainly continue that, working very closely with freight railroads. And through your alls efforts in the state legislature, you've continued to focus to give opportunities for public/private partnerships for funding. And we feel strongly that both either of the House or the Senate bill can provide an opportunity for flexing a small piece of this funding through rail infrastructure needs. So we thank you very much for this opportunity to be here this morning.

and Joe. I have a question and it's relative to, I guess, the economy. You know seeing the reduction in the number of traffic in general, whether it's on the turnpike or trucks, those kinds of things, how significant has the reduction been in the rail industry and do you benefit in the -- that's the wrong word, but since a lot of truck -- truck industry is either shut down or in some cases gone out of business, do you then -- are you then able to get a lot more local freight, you know, through the rails?

MR. VEST: That's an excellent question,
Chairman Markosek. And to answer, it's kind of
multi-facetted. We are not immune to the economy on

our end of our four railroads. We've had customers that are either shutting down or basically furloughing operations. One of which you'll recall, one of our three customers on our northern sub in Butler County announced several weeks ago a three-month furloughing of operations. And so there's several hundred jobs that people don't have incomes against and those are hundreds of carloads of freight we don't have to handle.

1.3

So it's -- we are certainly impacted by this. What we saw on our properties last year, the spiking of diesel prices for trucking was a renewed focus and interest on rail freight. And I think that was generally seen across the rail industry, rail freight industry.

What has dramatically tempered that, though, is the other element of your question, and that is with the downturn of the economy there is less demand for movement. As you all know, we're drive demand. People aren't making things, people aren't importing or exporting things, they don't need to move them.

And so this -- the decline in the economy has hit the trucking industry as it has hit us. And that has made them much more hungry for traffic. So actually the stabilization of diesel fuel prices and the decline in

1 them over the last couple months and the softening of 2 the economy has actually created a very 3 hypercompetitive situation with the trucking industry. 4 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Representative Miller. REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't know. I guess I have a 6 7 question and maybe the Department has to answer it, 8 but the federal stimulus money coming in, is there a 9 specific pot that has to go to railroad or can they be 10 funded out of the general transportation side of the 11 pot of stimulus money coming in? I don't know if 12 that's -- the Department can answer that for me or 1.3 maybe you know. 14 MR. VEST: Deputy Secretary Madden and I 15 have been in many, many dialogues as we've been 16 watching this unfold in the federal level. And until 17 the final bill comes out of conference, all we can do 18 is report --19 REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Speculate. 20 MR. VEST: -- on what we see transpiring. 21 There's nothing definitive. Neither the House nor the 22 Senate bill has specific allocation of money for rail 23 freight. However, it then becomes an issue or 24 question, I believe, of what money is in the recovery 25 infrastructure funding that could be possibly used for

rail freight. The Senate bill, I believe, and I don't have the final read of it, but I believe had language in it that allowed very clearly for the flexing of the highway money, the 27 billion across all states, to be part of the -- at the discretion of the state to be flexed to rail freight. The House bill in its final form did -- had no reference. But there -- I believe there is a precedent of taking federal highway accounts and with cause flexing them to rail freight projects. But this is something that until the final bill is determined, it's hard to say.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: With that answer, I guess what it appears to me is that we have the perfect opportunity here for a backstop if we would find ourselves not being able to release enough projects, whether it's environmental clearances or whatever, you know, that maybe I'd like to see rail get some money out of it. By the same token, if we were in danger of losing any federal funds, if we truly have rail projects that are shovel ready, we could use them, we should certainly not lose that money. That was all I was going at.

MR. MADDEN: And Jerry was very correct. In the Senate version there is about \$27 billion, which is, again, this is in the draft form of the bill,

which would be allocated to the states which would be useable flexible, quote unquote, for all highway -- all modes, highway, port, rail industry as well. Also in the Senate there is a \$5.5 billion allocation which would be administered by the U.S. DOT to target much larger projects of national significance, corridor projects, for example, if you would. You could fund something such as the CSX national gateway or a Norfolk Southern crescent corridor and as well as Union Pacific and Burlington North Santa Fe, they have corridor projects as well that are multi-billion dollar national corridors.

The way -- at least the way we have been told it might be set up is administered by DOT, industries would actually go and apply for and the grants would be somewhere between 25 million and \$500 million. Now, that's -- again, that's in the bill. That's very speculative. But the money that would be coming to states supposedly would be possible for flex. And that would be up to the states themselves and the governors and their legislators to determine what projects would be ready to go and what would be flexed.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: I appreciate that.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

```
1
                                   Thank you. Eric, also,
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
2
      I think for the information of the members, and I
3
     don't know which version, there was money also set
     aside for Amtrak passenger.
5
               MR. MADDEN: Correct. There is actually
6
      specific language in reference to rail and but what
7
     they have rail in the House and the Senate version,
8
     it's specifically in reference to Amtrak, inner city
9
     passenger rail, high speed rail.
10
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Any other
11
     questions? Okay. Gentlemen, thank you all.
12
     Appreciate it. Very good job.
13
               The next person, I won't say the last
14
     person, or perhaps our favorite person in many ways,
15
     Bob Latham from Associated Pennsylvania Constructors.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: The tail end.
17
               MR. LATHAM: Did you just call me the
18
     horse's end?
19
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: No. I said the tail
20
      end.
21
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: The jewel of our --
22
               REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: The jewel, exactly.
23
               CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you. Bob,
24
     proceed.
25
               MR. LATHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
```

Pleasure to be here. I have submitted to the committee eleven pages of testimony which I will now read. No, I'm just kidding.

1.3

I really know how to clear a room.

Appreciate the opportunity to come here and meet with you and talk about the economic stimulus package. Again, my name is Bob Latham. I'm the executive vice president of the Associated

Pennsylvania Constructors and I'm here not on behalf of the Pennsylvania highway industry, but we also are a part of the broader based coalition known as the transportation construction industry, which represents material suppliers, asphalt, concrete companies and the like, sort of the whole gamut of the construction industry.

I'm going to talk very briefly about a few things. First of all, I'm going to talk a little bit about the economic stimulus package as we've been looking at it over the last several weeks, and what we think the impact will be on the construction industry and what we think the impact will be on Pennsylvania. I'm going to talk a little bit about what PennDOT and the industry are doing in partnership in order to compress the time frame in which we can complete some of these projects, because we know that this is a

serious concern that people have.

We're going to put forth to you a few ideas on how we think that the Commonwealth can reduce its cost of construction. I've been asked to comment on Missouri's Safe and Sound Bridge Program. And we've done an analysis of that for you. A comment on that, and finally we'll talk a little bit about the transportation funding in total and on into the future and accomplish this all in 15 minutes.

So ready, go. First of all, we did a survey of our industry recently. And as you all know, PennDOT has been focussing primarily on repair of bridges over the past couple of years. And this is rightfully so. We have the highest number of deficient bridges in the country, highest percentage number and also one of the higher number of bridges as well. So we have a very serious bridge problem here in the Commonwealth.

What that's done, with limited funding, or what that's -- what has occurred with the limited funding that we have is by focussing on bridges we've kind of taken the focus away from some of the paving work. And we talked earlier today about capacity enhancement. So I don't need to tell you there is very little if any capacity enhancement in the

Department's program going forward due to fiscal constraints. And also people who are in paving business are finding that their program's particularly down this year.

Companies that are in that business have gone through layoffs of their personnel, particularly they lay off in November and bring them back in April. They were laying them off as early as August this year. And what we're seeing with our survey is an average of 15 percent higher layoffs throughout the industry this year as opposed to the previous years.

We also did the survey of our -- as part of the survey, we asked our company how many people they think they might bring back to work as a result of the economic stimulus package that we sort of define as getting \$600 million this fiscal year and \$600 million next fiscal year under the rules as it's been laid out, and we talk about that at length. So I'm not going to go back into that unless somebody would like me to.

But we think that we will put 8- to 10,000 people back to work in construction right away. And that's just the conservative -- that's conservative number. You know, you hear a lot of talk about, well, a billion dollars in highway work equals 30-some

thousand jobs, but I think a very real number is we got 8- to 10,000 people that are ready to go back to work.

1.3

Well, I have quite a few pages there on the bill itself which we've already talked about, so I won't bore you with that.

But I would like to point to page three of my testimony. What we've done there is sort of an analysis of the time frames, at least under the House bill as it passed. And I don't think there's a huge, real huge amount of differences in this regard in at least the House and the Senate given this package.

But if you can see if they enact the bill tomorrow, we're looking at what we call funds proportional on February 19th and then there's three weeks lag time in federal and state law. In other words, projects have to be advertised for three weeks before they can be let to contract. So as you can see, we're looking at time frames of the first bids on March 1st, 90 day limit's in May and so on and so forth, and by going down here and calculating then I guess the bottom line is we're looking at a seven-week period of bidding out about \$75 million worth of additional work per week, which is on top of PennDOT's program.

And this is a very aggressive schedule. And I guess the good thing that I can say here today is we as an industry are ready to deliver this program. We have the resources to do it. I just mentioned that we have the people who are ready to go back to work. And we can deliver the program now.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, another thing we like to point out is as far as getting ready to move these projects forward, and we had a discussion here this morning about how the Department is prioritizing and rightly or wrongly, the main point is that the stimulus money is very, very tightly directed to projects that can go now, construction projects that can go now. that regard, what we've learned in talking with people at the national level is that PennDOT is probably more prepared to move projects and move this program forward than any other state in the country. And I think that's important to know, because there is a use-it-or-lose-it provision in here. And we think that a lot of states are going to be in position to lose it, because they are not prepared to move projects forward.

Obviously some of the projects that are going to be on the priority list may not be on the -- on everybody's priority list. But they do have

meaningful surface improvement projects, bridge improvement projects that are ready to go.

And I might add that the Department has also met with the industry several times to look at how we can coordinate and make sure that everybody's prepared and ready to go. We have not just been sitting back and sort of waiting to see what happens. We've been very proactively getting together, and that's why I have a few things here that I can talk to you about in terms of what we're looking at in modifying not only the PennDOT's process but ours as well.

First of all, the Department's looking at getting -- putting out to us a better bid schedule. I mean, right now some of the information occasionally is spotty but only because some uncertainty as to whether a project is ready to go to advertisement or not. So they're working on getting a longer lead time list of projects that will be available for us to know what's going to be bid in a certain area on a certain day so we can plan better and be prepared to provide better bids in that regard.

As far as moving from the bidding process, let me just walk through that very quickly. PennDOT will advertise the project for construction. We'll have three weeks in which that advertisement, those

plans and specifications are available. They'll bid that project. They will have then 30 days to review the contract and review the contractor's bid to make sure that he's a responsible bidder. And then you have the notice to proceed and then you actually get out there. And there are things that happen between the notice to proceed and when you get out there. And that's what I'm talking about here when I say simplify free start boiler plate.

Look at things like some of the -- some of
the -- some of the mandatory journal things we have to
do in order to provide their project engineers with an
office, allowing us to go ahead and to order materials
in an expedited manner before we have a contract
award, get a letter of intent going. These are things
that kind of can compress that time frame from the
time of bid to actually getting out on the work.

Now, some of the things that we think can be done to accelerate completion, we have things called submittals, plan revision submittals, shop drawings, and other things and sometimes they take a lot of time for turnaround. We're trying to compress this mentality of 21 days into a five-day turnaround on very noncomplicated things.

Several years ago in 2006 we had a series of

floods in the northeast, in the Scranton and Wilkes-Barre area, took out a lot of bridges and we got into this emergency mentality up there and everybody made things move. And I think what the Department is trying to do and what we're trying to do in work with them, is look at let's put -- let's get ourselves into this sort of emergency mode for all work so that -- so that things can move on in a more expeditious manner.

1.3

And we have a couple of things here noted, you know, sometimes we have to submit a revision to the plans and then they come back and say we don't accept it and then we go back and forth and these things cause delays. If they can make a notation on a plan, say okay, the 90 things you have on there, 85 of them are approved so go ahead, but you got to change these five things that we noted on the plan, so we're working on moving in that regard.

The Department's moving towards design build, that's the process where you meld the design and the construction process together. But it's sort of in its infancy. We're looking at maybe better coordinating that within the districts. And then finally, on the bottom of page four I talk about the utility delays. And last -- last year, Legislative

Budget Finance Committee did an audit of PennDOT as directed by the General Assembly and they found that it was a number of time overruns, I guess, that could not be accounted for. A large percentage of the time overrun on PennDOT jobs are simply delays caused by utilities not moving their facilities in a timely manner. There are a number of reasons for that.

And I'm not really sitting here pointing fingers at the utilities, but it's a serious problem and it's something that I think the Department, utilities, we've talked to some of them, we're going to try to get together and see if we can't work on some -- some method to get these things moved in a more expeditious manner.

A prime example of that is the western

Pennsylvania, if you drive 22, Route 22 near

Blairsville, that project has been sort of torn up and a lot of times I hear from people, legislators and others, well, the project's just sitting there, you know, nobody's working on it. The road's torn up, how come. Well, if you look carefully you'll probably see phone poles that are just sitting there and they haven't been moved, or electrical poles and things like that. So that's a big issue that we all need to work on.

Other issues we have at this point, oddly enough, we'd like to get paid on time. Everybody here likes to get paid on time, those of you who are in business like the customers to pay you. So do we.

And for the most part, PennDOT pays on time, but every once in a while we have things like happened this December where the budget secretary told the comptroller's office to stop paying in 30 days and pull that, string it out for 15 more days.

Well, we didn't bid the job that way and we end up financing the Commonwealth when that happens.

I have a few paragraphs there on what happens with municipal -- known as municipal service contracts.

And they are a disaster when it comes to getting paid.

And, in fact, these -- these are when PennDOT bids a job for municipality. In fact, what we found in an investigation that due to certain criteria, the most -- the quickest you can get paid is two months.

And that needs to be addressed.

Finally, there are other things that cause us delays when working in and around railroads that we are finding that we encounter significant delays caused by in some cases where the railroads will deny right of entry or they will only limit the amount of time that can be worked on, say, bridges going over

their facilities. And if those things are not spelled out up front in the contract, the contract time doesn't assume delays associated with some of the unreasonable work rules or entry into right-of-way rules that some of the railroads require. And we found this particularly with Amtrak in the Philadelphia area in trying to do projects down there.

1.3

The other cost item that we're continuing to run into is some of the excessive liability clauses in the railroad right of entry agreements, some of which even go so far as to require the state to indemnify the railroad for willful acts of misconduct. And we've been trying to get some of those things straightened out and hopefully -- hopefully we will.

We find that Norfolk Southern is somewhat cooperative in that and CSX is probably the worst when it comes to dealing with railroad right of entry agreements. And the bottom line is if you have a clause in there that you can't buy insurance for the Commonwealth is going to self-insure -- is going to self-insure it through the taxpayer with it's own money, and -- and through the delays in trying to negotiate that.

So getting a little bit more reasonable in that regard we think would help reduce costs and move

some projects along, particularly when we're doing a lot of bridge work in the Commonwealth where a lot of bridges are going over rail facilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Existing legal barriers, Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission has listed the -- a new mussel species as threatened and endangered. What that's going to threaten and endanger as far as we're concerned, as far as the industry is concerned is a huge impact on available aggregate in Western Pennsylvania. And if we're going to move these projects forward and get things done, there has to be some coordination between PennDOT and the resource agencies and some oversight in these things. I mean, we're not asking that there be any -- any backing off of attention to the environment, but when you have a commission that can literally declare a huge source of aggregate and materials unavailable for work in Western Pennsylvania, that warrants some consideration.

We've been asked to talk about the Missouri
Safe and Sound Bridge Improvement Program. That's a
program where the State of Missouri sought to contract
out a bulk of 800 bridges at one time in one major
contract. They began this program back in 2007 and
pulled it back because it was a finance element and

the bids came in about two or three times higher than they had anticipated. So they pulled it back and went back to the drawing board in September of '08. And the program includes 802 total structures, about 550 of which are going to be a part of the package which they're bidding.

1.3

The typical replacement is about 140-some feet long, 60 years old and an average traffic count of less than 1,900 vehicles. So these are mainly rural bridges in Missouri.

They're selecting it by a two phase request for proposal process not by a low bid process. And we talk a little bit about how they're going about that.

There's a \$10 million up-front payment required of the contractor. And the contractor will assume the risk of cost inflation foundation, that's anything that's underneath the earth that you can't see. They'll assume the risk for sinkholes, for hard rock, for whatever in the design. The Department will incur risk for streambed coordination and other environmental permits. Completion date October 31st of 2014, and we estimate that they will complete about 111 bridges a year between 2010 and 2014. That's an important number.

Application to PennDOT -- or application to

the Pennsylvania's current bridge program, this

two-step RFP, otherwise known as best value process,

is currently under litigation in Pennsylvania, as it's

been challenged as not in accordance with the

procurement code. So we do have a -- we do have a

legal challenge on that method for selecting

construction. It's the way you do design for quite

some time.

1.3

PennDOT, as was mentioned earlier, has awarded 145 accelerated projects already. Their goal is to award 411 by June. And really cutting to the quick we think they're going to be able to accomplish about 275 projects under their current process per year over that same period of time that Missouri is going to do about 111.

Our analysis, there are relatively few national contractors that can take on this kind of a contract. They would have to set up a bureaucracy. We're not sure how they're going to work with 11 different engineering districts and all the nuances there. So I guess unless you're prepared to sort of overlook the district program in PennDOT, we're not sure how that would work.

We think that the cost would be increased, again, overhead for this -- you're going to have to

1 have a Bechtel or somebody come or Horrison's Transportation come in and set up a whole new bureaucracy to oversee this program, set up new offices, learn the ways of the engineering districts. I don't know what Missouri's wage situation is, whether they're union affiliation situation, but in 7 Pennsylvania, we have Philadelphia, central part of the state, and Western Pennsylvania, all with 9 different labor agreements. And I don't know how that 10 works when you try to have one contract that tries to figure out those agreements.

2

3

4

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And basically dealing with PennDOT's expectations, I mean, I quess what I'm getting at here is we think that the Department's accelerated bridge program is serving us well. And obviously everybody will be watching what happens in Missouri, but our quick analysis is that it may be more costly than the way PennDOT's going about it now.

Just comment a little bit about transportation funding going forward and the stimulus package.

Obviously if we get a billion 2 or a billion 3 on the stimulus package for one year, two years, we can put -- we can put people back to work in the short term.

What we cannot do as an industry without long-term stable funding, existing funding is -- is invest in the market. And one of the -- one of the problems we're seeing now is not just the layoffs of people, but the reduction in capital investments. And we will not be doing cap ex investments if we're looking at a one-time stimulus program.

We have issues at the state level that start with Act 44 that has to be back in fill. And I guess would just remind you that although we keep hearing the 2010, July 1, is the cut-off for the funding anticipated by IA, that has to get into the -- that lack of anticipated money will be into the system by September because you have to stop planning any projects or programs that were going forward there. And of course that not -- doesn't just impact PennDOT. It also impacts the transit agencies as well. So sometime some year we're going to have to deal with that. And I don't need to talk to you about the numbers.

I guess final closing comment, and that is we look at the budget document this year and I really encourage you to look at that under transportation, where they -- where they talk about the Department's program measures. And in almost every category here,

1 interstate highway system in poor condition, 2 interstate highway, non-interstate in poor condition 3 number of bridges resurface, maintenance resurfacing, surface repairs, it all goes down starting in 2010. 4 So we're on a -- we're on a glide path that is not 5 6 very good. So we'd like us to start to talk about our 7 transportation system in terms of what is the system 8 that we need to have in the future, what is our vision 9 for transportation, how does it play into -- and this 10 is not just highways but all modes, how does it play 11 into the Commonwealth's economic base, and where do we 12 want to be in 10 years and stop looking at in terms of cost or tax or tolls. 1.3 14 So with that, appreciate the time. 15 11:00. We have 30 seconds to --16 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER: Run to the floor. 17 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: That's okay. We can go 18 past 11:00 a.m. It's 11:00 p.m. where we have 19 problems. But thank you, Bob. And your last comment 20 was perhaps the most important. Stimulus or no 21 stimulus, we have major funding problems down the 22 road. And I would even push that schedule up a little 23 You talk about the schedule for design and 24 those kinds of things, that that has to stop maybe by 25 September. You know, politically once we get beyond

this spring and break for the summer, assuming we get a break and come back next year, you know, we start getting into the next election cycle and it gets tougher and tougher to pass legislation dealing with revenue enhancements or revenue replacements in this case. So, you know, we really have the bite of the apple is this spring for us in a lot of ways.

Sobering message for the members here, but you know, it's there nevertheless. And, you know, we need to deal with that. Any questions? Okay.

MR. LATHAM: One thing, there was a statement earlier about the state police funding and I would just like to make a point. Over the last seven years Motor License Fund has picked up from the historic 66 percent for the state police another 75 percent, another \$25 million was put in the Governor's budget for the state police. Between they and the Department of Agriculture, we're estimating 9 to 10 cents of the current 12 cent gas tax go not to highways but to state police and weights and measures. So when you look at the gas tax and look at opportunities for other ways to go, that would be one of them. I know you have a general fund problem with doing it, but.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Bob, thank you.

```
1
      Thank all of the folks that have testified.
                                                     I'11
 2
      remind the members next Thursday, the 19th, we have
      hearing with the Appropriations Committee on the
 3
      PennDOT mass transit and the turnpike hearings. And
 4
 5
      we are all invited to that. Thank you. Meeting
 6
      adjourned.
                (Proceedings concluded at 11:03 a.m.)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Heather L. Artz, RMR, CRR Notary Public