COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES JOINT COMMITTEE HEARING HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE HOUSE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING, ROOM 140
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2008, 9:35 A.M.

BEFORE:

HONORABLE JAMES R. ROEBUCK, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE ANTHONY J. MELIO, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE RUSSEL H. FAIRCHILD, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE DAVID J. STEIL, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE RICHARD T. GRUCELA, SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE PATRICK J. HARKINS

HONORABLE THADDEUS KIRKLAND

HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI

HONORABLE MARK COHEN

HONORABLE EDDIE DAY PASHINSKI

HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY

HONORABLE THOMAS P. MURT

HONORABLE KATHY L. RAPP

HONORABLE SAM ROHRER

HONORABLE CARL W. MANTZ

HONORABLE SCOTT E. HUTCHINSON

HONORABLE JIM MARSHALL

HONORABLE JOHN D. PAYNE

HEATHER L. ARTZ, RMR, CRR REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

1		I	N	D	E	Х	
2	SPEAKER						PAGE
3	Mark Cohen						5
4	Rick Masters						2 9
5	Candace Wheeler						4 5
6	Joseph York						5 4
7	Susan Wuestner						60
8	Dr Patricia Sanker						73
9	Stuart Knade						82
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
1
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
                                  Good morning.
                                                  I'd like
2
     to call the committee hearing to order. This is a
3
      joint hearing of the House Education,
      Intergovernmental Affairs, and Veterans Affairs and
 4
 5
      Emergency Preparedness committees on the issue of the
 6
      Interstate Compact With Educational Opportunity for
 7
     Military Children.
 8
               I'd like to first recognize -- I'm Jim
9
     Roebuck, chairman of the House Education Committee.
10
      I'd like to recognize the other chairs who are here,
11
      if they would introduce themselves.
12
               CHAIRMAN FAIRCHILD:
                                     Thank you,
1.3
     Mr. Chairman. I am minority chairman of the military
14
      and veteran -- or Veterans and Emergency Preparedness
15
     Committee.
16
               CHAIRMAN MELIO:
                                Tony Melio, chairman --
17
     majority chairman Veteran and Emergency Preparedness.
18
               REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Dave Steil, Bucks
19
     County, I'm the Republican chair for the
20
      Intergovernmental Affairs committee.
21
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
                                  Thank you. And I'd also
22
     then ask that the members might introduce themselves
23
     as well.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: Representative Kathy
25
      Rapp. I represent the 65th District of Warren,
```

```
1
      Forest, and Ford townships in McKeane County.
2
               REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: Carl Mantz, 187
3
     Legislative District straddling Burks and Lehigh
     counties.
 5
               REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: Representative Jim
6
     Marshall, 14th District, Beaver County.
 7
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Mark Cohen, Northeast
8
     Philadelphia.
9
               REPRESENTATIVE PAYNE: John Payne, 106th
10
     District, Southeastern Dauphin County.
11
               REPRESENTATIVE HUTCHINSON: Scott
12
     Hutchinson, 64th District, Venango and a portion of
13
     Butler counties.
14
               REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: Sam Rohrer, 28th
15
     District, Berks County.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE HARKINS: Pat Harkins, 1st
17
     District, Erie and Lawrence Park.
18
               REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Eddie Day
19
     Pashinski, Luzerne County, 121st District.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: I'm Rich Grucela
21
     from Northampton County, and I am sitting down.
22
               REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Very short.
23
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you. I'd like then
24
     to go to the legislative House Bills 2518 and House
25
     Bill 2566 and ask that the sponsors of the
```

legislation, Representative Mark Cohen, Representative
Tom Murt, might come forward and give us introductory
remarks on the legislation.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. With so many chairs here I guess I just
say Mr. Chairmen and members of the respective

committees, thank you for considering legislation that
would assist school transfers of students in military
families. That would resolve the complications that
many military families regularly face.

Our defense needs call for frequent restationing of soldiers. This frequent restationing uproots their families. We owe our soldiers and their families reassurances that will ease these readjustments their children face in transferring between schools.

There should be swifter and easier school records transfers. Military students should be properly placed into the correct courses at the new schools soon after their arrival.

While each state or school district may have separate processes they think are best for their citizens, we need to recognize students in military families often are only temporary students in any state's school systems. These military transfer

students are harmed when records transfers are slow and difficult for a new school to match with their programs. We need schools to provide the best education offerings for each student, including students of military families.

1.3

Students from military families have been told they can't graduate because they took classes in one state that aren't accepted in the school district they moved into. This is something that one cannot predict and plan for for the simple reason that the military personnel do not control their assignments.

This compact will solve these problems.

This compact is something we can do for our soldiers that makes their lives less complicated. This is something we can do for ourselves, as sometimes people decide against volunteering for military service knowing the hardships that it places on their children and their children's education. It's something we can do for the students who will benefit the most from the compact's work.

Why is this bill important? Many of us remember the military kids who were in our classrooms, maybe some of you were military students. Their parents were career military and perhaps even short-term enlistments, and suddenly they were gone

from your classes. The military needed a parent to be elsewhere, and the children were told, we're the military, or precisely the branch of service they were in, told them to go. The students who suddenly disappeared or who suddenly appeared in your classes often in the middle of the year went to or came from other schools, often you notice that these students were in the wrong classes, maybe they already had the material that was being taught, perhaps they were overwhelmed by what the teacher was saying.

1.3

Eventually the teacher would announce they received information from the previous school and the student was moved into another class, yet much time had been wasted on that kid being in the wrong room.

Perhaps you saw one of these kids your senior year. They, like you, were about to complete four years of high school, yet maybe there was a certain requirement your school had which their previous school didn't. Maybe someone should have pointed this out to the student so the student could have enrolled in that class needed to graduate. Or maybe there just wasn't time to complete the requirement, yet maybe there was something the student had taken that could have counted as fulfilling the graduation requirement, yet you graduated and the

military student was left behind.

1.3

Wouldn't it have been better if that kid could have graduated with you and at least could have gotten the diploma issued from his or her previous school?

This bill will allow Pennsylvania to join the Interstate Compact on Opportunity For Military Children. It was developed with the cooperation of the Council of State Governments and has been awarded the best practices designation by the National Governor's Association.

This compact creates uniform and speedy reporting among states joining this compact of school information regarding a student with an active duty military personnel parent. This includes the reserves and the National Guard. The student information will be for those in kindergarten through twelfth grade. The student information will be uniformly shared. The student information to be uniformly shared will be information assisting school district administrators in properly placing these students into their correct courses. State immunization requirements would remain in effect. Students would receive recognition of their new schools for any advance placement and honors work completed. The new school district would have a

right to evaluate that previous achievement has been

obtained and that the student is enrolled in the right

programs and courses.

1.3

This compact will allow a military student to graduate if comparable previous work satisfies the graduation requirements in a new school district. The school district will work with the student to enable the student to graduate on time. An exit exam or English course exam passed in one state would be accepted in other compact states. The military compact -- a military student, rather, who transfers during senior year who is unable to match past work with the graduation requirement in a new school would be able to graduate with the diploma from the previous school.

The compact will work with each member state and seek means to make these military student transfers occur quickly and accurately. It will collect and exchange data on these transcripts. There already are 11 states that have joined the compact. And more, I'm certain, will follow. The states that have already joined the compact are Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, North Carolina, and Oklahoma.

This compact is beginning to meet and should

be operational within a few months. Should we join
this compact and for some reason change our minds,
states are permitted to leave this compact.

A State Council on Interstate Educational
Opportunity For Military Children would be created if
this bill becomes law. States are required by the
compact to have statewide councils. The only
difference between my bill and Representative Murt's
bill are a slight difference in the composition of our
council. My bill would have the council consistent of
the education secretary as well as three gubernatorial
appointees. It would require one of the appointees be
a school district superintendent and in a district
with military students, one be a representative of the
military, and one be a representative of the executive
branch.

Representative Murt's bill calls for four appointees by the governor with no specifications as to their background. His bill also places the compact administrator on the council, whereas mine does not.

We both call for four appointees from each of the four legislative appointees, one appointee per legislative leader. We prepared our bills independently and unaware of each other's bill. Thus, members of the committee may decide which council

composition they prefer or amend one of our bills to create a council composition they prefer.

Compacts between the states have existed since colonial days. There are approximately 200 compacts with each state belonging to about 25 compacts. It varies from state to state. Some compacts are national compacts, some compacts are just regional compacts.

Compacts are useful to states in supporting future governmental grasps state authority. This compact proposal is an excellent way to demonstrate our commitment to making military students' school transfers occur in the best interest of these students.

The U.S. Defense Department estimates the additional cost of complying with the goals of this compact will be approximately \$1 per military student transfer. The Department of Defense states there were 18,725 military school age children living in Pennsylvania as of last June. An average of about one-fourth of these students annually transfer, so the total cost to compliance across the state should be under \$5,000.

If opponents of this bill complain it will cost more because school officials will be forced to

take the time to consider what education path is best for military students, my response is it is already the responsibility of school administrators to take the time to consider what educational path is best for all their students, and this legislation merely calls attention to the fact that military students have needs that are different from others.

1.3

The council of state governments estimates that the annual cost for the compact itself will be under \$650,000, which will be split among the member states. If Pennsylvania adopts it we'll have at least 12 states, and I am confident that the number will get higher very quickly.

This is a low cost investment in improving the education futures of our students and helping our military families.

Now, there are various questions that have been raised about this bill, and I'd like to take the opportunity to answer them.

Doesn't this attempt to create a national standardized record exchange that would take away local control and make it more difficult for school administrators to do their jobs? And the answer I would give to that is there is little loss of local control at the educational system over making

administrators quickly send records that can be understood by other school administrators.

1.3

This compact does not deal with any local control issues and is strictly limited to military transfer -- military student transfers. It may, in fact, improve education if all student transfer records were standardized, yet this compact is limited only to military student transfers. This recognizes the need and request for our military that schools be willing to make a very slight sacrifice for our military efforts.

Would this compact create additional burdens and costs upon school administrators? This compact is only asking school administrators to do what they are supposed to do in their jobs, yet do so in a timely and comprehensive fashion. In this day of electronic and fax communication, it is not that much of a burden to require school records to be sent from one school to another in required number of days. And these days of the Internet, it is not unreasonable to expect the school in Pennsylvania will have contacts with the school in another state.

These records will have to be provided at some point. Administrators may need to discuss and evaluate how some courses match with courses in other

This may conceivably increase costs, but the Defense Department estimates that the increased costs are minimal, about \$1 per student transfer. Indeed, perhaps school districts that used to transfer records by mail that switched to sending them electronically may find themselves saving a dollar per transfer. Either way, this is not a huge cost item. It may well cost more to lobby against this bill than it would cost your school district to comply with it.

1.3

Finally, the last two questions we face that I have answers for being doesn't this create another layer of costly bureaucracy upon our school system. The answer is no. The compact is not a complex and costly entity. The Council of State Government estimates that the annual budget will be under 650,000, which will be split among the member states. Pennsylvania, as I said before, will be the twelfth state to join, and in all likelihood many more states will join. The total cost for Pennsylvania will be under 55,000 and likely much less than that, as other states divide off the administrative costs.

What it does -- what this compact does do is show that states can respond among themselves from a federal request from the Defense Department. An

alternative would be that Congress legislate the
nature of school records. Compacts preserve the
rights of states while addressing simultaneously a
national need.

1.3

Finally, isn't it true that once the state
joins the compact that it's stuck in that compact and
can never leave? That is not true. The compact and
the bill allows for Pennsylvania or any other state to
change its mind and withdraw from the compact. The
state would have to remain in the compact for one year
before withdrawing, but it can decide to leave after
that one year period.

I think this bill is a very simple bill.

The people who came up with this, with the compact and at the Council of State Governments, did deserve a lot of credit. It makes me proud to have been appointed last year, along with Representative Roebuck and other members of the legislature, as a member of the executive committee of the Eastern Regional Council of the Council of State Governments. This is a worthwhile national proposal for state governments. I would urge our support of it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you, Representative

Cohen. I'm advised that Representative Murt is not

here, but he has given us his comments on the

```
1
      legislation, which is part of the material that you
2
     have. I'm also aware that other members have joined
3
      us. I'd ask that those who have come in since the
      initial introductions might, in fact, now introduce
 5
     themselves.
 6
               REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Representative
 7
     Wheatley from Allegheny.
               REPRESENTATIVE LONGIETTI: Representative
9
     Longietti from Mercer County.
10
               REPRESENTATIVE KIRKLAND: Representative
     Kirkland, Delaware County.
11
12
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
                                  Thank you. Questions to
1.3
     Representative Cohen?
14
               REPRESENTATIVE STEIL:
                                      Thanks,
15
      Representative Cohen, for the testimony.
                                                I very much
16
     appreciate it. It's a subject that many of us have
     not been familiar with.
17
18
               You use the term compact administrator, and
19
      I'm trying to understand is the compact administrator
20
      in Pennsylvania or is there a national compact
21
     administrator someplace who oversights the states who
22
     are members of the compact itself?
23
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I would assume there
24
     would be a compact administrator in Pennsylvania.
25
     doesn't have to be a separate job. It would just be
```

1 somebody who is assigned to those responsibilities. 2 REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you. 3 REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I would think within the Department of Education presumably you would field 4 any requests for information about it. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you. 9 Representative Pashinski. 10 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINKSI: Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. Representative Cohen, could you just 12 take us through the process of how this would work 1.3 now, if we're going to get a military student to 14 transfer as opposed to the way it is now, can you give 15 us a comparison with what the difference would be? 16 REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: The difference is 17 that we have a state structure and a state requirement and that we know that what we do, at least the other 18 19 compact states are going to be doing. Let's say a 20 student transfers from Oklahoma, which was one of the 21 compact states, into a school district in Luzerne 22 County, Wilkes-Barre, a school district, right now. 23 What all too often happens is that it takes a while to get the transcript from the previous school 24 25 in Oklahoma because there's no institutionalized

relationship between and Oklahoma school district and the Wilkes-Barre school district, or an Oklahoma district and the Philadelphia district, or an Oklahoma district and the Camp Hill district. And a student is quickly assigned to classes, and often because there's radical change -- the radical differences perhaps in the curriculum the student has taken in Oklahoma and the curriculum in the Pennsylvania school district, the student is either given course material that is duplicative or material that is too hard or material that doesn't deal with the graduation requirements.

1.3

And then, you know, sooner or later people gradually get around, either in Oklahoma, to tracking where the student has left, or in Wilkes-Barre or in Philadelphia or Camp Hill or wherever, somebody gets around to figuring out where this district in Oklahoma is and eventually they get the information. And sometimes the information shows that the student was placed in the wrong classroom and then the student is transferred. Sometimes the information shows that the student failed to complete a requirement in the Pennsylvania school district that did not exist in Oklahoma.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINKSI: I understand that. But I'm saying, though, we have student A who's

going to come from Oklahoma to Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINKSI: So what is the student -- if you had a compact student's record, would go to the compact and then the compact would be the distributor?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: It would be required to go directly to the school district. And presume -- and this sends a wake-up call, the ratification of this amendment, A, sends a wake-up call to school districts because there's a problem here and that they are to recognize that there's a problem. And right now -- right now they tend not to in many cases. I'm sure there are good administrators all over who do realize it's a problem, but it is a problem. It's unrecognized in too many cases. And it sets up a framework where there's a cooperative relationship.

If the student, for instance, cannot meet the requirements in Pennsylvania and the Pennsylvania school he came from, and Pennsylvania says look, we in good conscience cannot grant him a degree because he didn't meet our requirements and we believe this requirement is necessary to graduate from school in Pennsylvania, this would require the school in Oklahoma to grant the kid a degree, if he met the

Oklahoma requirements and not the Pennsylvania requirement.

Similarly, it offers the same protection for a student who grew up in Pennsylvania who goes to Oklahoma, that Oklahoma is now required to either grant -- to either grant him a degree or notify the Pennsylvania school he came from that they cannot in good conscience under their laws grant him a degree, and then the Pennsylvania school offers the kid a degree.

So we have kids benefiting both ways. Both kids who come to Pennsylvania and kids who come from Pennsylvania will benefit. This just seeks to force a cooperative relationship. And the low cost of this bill is due to the fact that everybody really means well. There's no sense that there's a vast conspiracy here. This is just a problem that tends to fall through the cracks, through the small number of students and, you know, only a fraction of the 5,000 students I think have problems graduating. But this is a recognition that the state government can do something about a national problem.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINKSI: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Grucela.

REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Representative Cohen and Representative Murt, I think you have two excellent bills here. I totally support both of them. And those of us in the room here who have been educators know these are great kids to have in class, when they come from another state especially. Actually, I'd like to see it for all students that come from different states, but that's a different subject.

1.3

But I do have two questions. You mentioned the barriers, one of the barriers, graduation requirements. What -- how about -- what other barriers do these students face?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Well, there may be -REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Let me put it this
way, Mark. Instead of like -- I'm thinking about a
specific example that wasn't a military family
student, how about like in extracurricular activities,
let's say athletics, okay. And I'm also concerned
with whether we might create a double standard in how
we get around that, but in my local school district
there was a student who came in from Virginia and the
bottom line was the local district committee did not
allow him to participate in extracurricular
activities. Now, had he been and we passed this bill
and it becomes law and had he been the son of a

military family, he would have been able to

participate if this would become law, but does that -
I guess it overrules the PIAA and the local districts

powers?

1.3

law.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I think it would.

You know, I'm not certain it would have that effect,
but if it did, but certainly -- an interstate compact
does overrule the PIAA. It's somewhere below federal
law.

REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Okay. Because -REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: It's prior to state

where the PIAA fits in here, because they tend to be independent of us in a lot of ways and have caused a lot of problems over the years. That was my question, and whether or not we might not have a double standard and some people complain or even take to court the fact that from another state one person can't be in extracurricular activities and another can. So but something we may need to think about.

And my other question is what about if the student came from overseas? What if the student is transferring in to Pennsylvania and had spent school time with the military parent overseas somewhere?

```
1
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: If it's stationed by
2
     the U.S. military --
3
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Does this bill
      cover it? This is like interstate compact. But what
5
      if the student -- and is it possible to amend it to do
 6
     that?
 7
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN:
                                      No.
                                           This is not a
8
     compact with foreign countries. Our state government
9
      really doesn't have the power to compact to foreign
10
      countries.
11
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: But we could pass a
12
      separate bill that says that if students from a
13
     military family transferred in to Pennsylvania, you
14
     know, they could -- they would not face the same
15
     barriers because there are always -- I've had students
16
     who have spent time -- again, they're better yet when
17
     you've been in a foreign country to compare education,
18
     but over the course of the time I have had students
19
     who actually --
20
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: We could certainly
21
     pass legislation.
22
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Would be separate.
23
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: It would be separate
24
     from this compact.
25
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA:
                                        There's no way to
```

```
include it because in here, because it's a compact
1
2
     among the state and we can't include anything foreign,
3
      I guess that's what you're saying.
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I believe that's what
 4
     the case is. It might be worth looking at what our
 5
 6
      authority is to deal with foreign countries, but we
 7
     can certainly help the students who come in from
8
     foreign countries even if we cannot mandate that the
9
      foreign countries reciprocate.
10
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: I just didn't want
11
     to leave them out. Thank you.
12
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Rapp.
1.3
               REPRESENTATIVE RAPP:
                                     Thank you,
14
     Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Cohen. I find this bill
15
     to be very interesting and certainly a bill that I can
16
     support.
```

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: If you can please -you're welcome. Just let me give a for instance. If
a family or whoever the child's caretaker is would
move to Pennsylvania from another state from a
military base or from overseas or wherever, I'm not
sure -- and say they're a junior in high school so
looking at graduation, or even a senior, the beginning
of their senior year, and they're waiting and waiting

for those records, what recourse do parents have right
now? And I know the real issue is we're looking at
time here.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Right.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: And being familiar with, say, personal education laws, those times are set into calendar days or actual school days. But how will this correct procedurally for parents, this compact with states, how will this allow parents to have some type of process and shorten the time where records and curriculum can be resolved in a more timely fashion for those students?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Well, this certainly gives parents a legal authority to rely on in dealing with the school students. They say the state has ratified this interstate commerce -- this interstate compact, and as a result of the state's ratification of this interstate compact, this school district has a duty to properly contact his district in another state. And, you know, if the school says they're busy, you say you have the duty under the interstate compact to do it. And we can file a lawsuit to compel you to do it. We don't want to do that, and 99 percent of the time nobody's going to have to do it because the people are very reasonable people in the

school district.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: So does this compact set up something civilly or something that's administratively within the Department of Education?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: It would be administratively within the Department of Education.

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: Okay. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I assume some other -- some relevant person within the Department of Education would be assigned this as one of -- as one of the number of responsibilities. I don't think it requires a separate bureaucracy. I'm talking about 5,000 students here a year, many of them don't have any problems at all.

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: And I'm assuming that also administratively that parents wouldn't have an avenue to file some type of complaint then directly through the Department?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: That would be a matter of regulation. The state would have to set up a state council. Representative Murt and I have slightly different compositions of the -- of the state council. The state council could issue regulations through the ERK process just like any other state government agency could do that.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Let me just observe here that we do have other witnesses who will be presenting on this bill, and perhaps some of the questions in more detail, they might be able to handle. And hopefully at the end of the hearing or the end of the day we'll answer all these questions and not put the entire burden on our colleague.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Okay.

1.3

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: That's agreeable. Let me just ask one question, if I might. I was a little -- on the observation you made about if a student comes and they don't meet the requirements and they go back to their old district and get a diploma, is there any provision in the -- in your proposal that talks about the time at which that might be invoked? I can understand if you come as a senior, you might legitimately go back to your old and say, look, I was a senior and I should be able to complete my degree. If you're a sophomore it's somewhat different. So is there any provision that sets a time frame within the language of this legislation?

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: I believe it's safe to say that assuming the student graduates and completes the requirements of the school district he is not -- his final school district, by the normal

```
graduation date, he has to get a graduate -- he has to
1
2
     get a degree from either the school district he came
3
      from originally or the school district he is currently
     in.
 4
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: I thought we were talking
 5
 6
      about a student who somehow did not meet the
 7
     graduation requirements in the district into which he
8
     moved in Pennsylvania.
9
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: And then if he did
10
     not meet it then he has to get a degree from the other
11
     school district.
12
                                  Well, at what point?
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: As long as he met the
1.3
14
     Pennsylvania requirement I -- there is no cutoff
15
     point --
16
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
                                  Okay. Thank you.
17
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: -- in this bill.
18
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Okay. Thank you. Are
     there any other questions?
19
                                  If not, thank you.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE COHEN:
                                      Thank vou,
21
     Mr. Chairman.
22
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Let us then go to Rick
23
     Masters, special counsel for the Interstate Compacts
24
     Council of State Governments. Let me just say before
25
     we begin, I know that those who are going to testify
```

submitted written testimony to us, so those presenters need not feel the necessity to read word for word what they've given us. But I think the best recourse would be if we could have dialogue on the legislation.

MR. MASTERS: Mr. Chair, that was exactly what I had in mind. Let me introduce myself, respective chairs and members, I'm Rick Masters. I'm legal counsel -- special legal counsel to the Council of State Governments at our headquarter's office in Kentucky.

As Representative Cohen's already mentioned, we're happy to have several members of your legislature serving on the Eastern Regional Council on the Council of State Governments. As many of you know, CSG has been in the interstate compact business since its founding back in 1937. And we just recently celebrated our 75th anniversary in Lexington.

I have been involved in interstate compacts for many years after leaving the State of Kentucky as assistant attorney general. Also might add that I'm happy to be back in Pennsylvania where my mother hails from, and I have a daughter and son-in-law in Philadelphia who are in some of our higher educational institutions at Villanova and Temple. And thank you for the opportunities that are provided to my family.

I have been involved in the preparation of a number of interstate compacts over the years, including several which I'm proud to say the legislature of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has introduced and passed, including the Interstate Compact For Adult Defender Supervision has a governing structure much like this one, the Interstate Compact For Juveniles and a number of other interstate compacts. I've also been involved in litigation concerning these instruments in which interpretation of various provisions of compacts has been one of the issues.

I was happy and pleased to be able to participate with the Department of Defense, which has been a partner with the Council of State Governments in this effort. And they agree with CSG's position which, as you know, is historically to preserve the position of the states within our federal system. And the important stake that states have in many areas of legislative activity, including education, we think should be a function of state government.

And I'm pleased to tell you the Department of Defense, even though they're a federal agency, they agree with that proposition, which is one of the reasons that this is being presented to you in the

form of an interstate compact.

Now, interstate compacts, as I have mentioned in my remarks, aren't new to Pennsylvania or any other state. In fact, Pennsylvania's a member of about 34 interstate compacts, including a number that are national in scope, and including several that have administrative bodies that are set up to operate much like the one that is in the bill before you.

So this is nothing new and you've used them to manage a number of different issues from environmental management of river basins to transportation issues, multi-state taxation, health issues, corrections issues, and in this case education.

Now, we didn't all get together in an upper room and draft this without recourse to some national education groups. And so I want to reassure you that we've had some input from the types of education groups that you would expect us to have consulted with before drafting and recommending to legislators like Representative Cohen and the committee here this legislation.

Some of these groups -- including the National School Board Association, the National Association of State Boards of Education, the National

Education Association, the National Association of Elementary School Principals, the National PTA, military impact with schools association, and the education commission of states -- therefore, there were a lot of other organizations involved, but all of these groups had membership on the advisory committee that directed the drafting group of which I was a major participant in trying to shape this compact to deal with the issues that most effect military kids.

Now, as Representative Cohen has already pointed out, this only applies to military children of active duty members of the military, including National Guard and reserve members who have been activated. And it would only apply when they are transferring from one school district of one state to a school district of another state.

There is provision, in answering one of the other member's questions or in response to that, for the deployed persons that are overseas. And the Department of Defense school system handles those students, and then they would relate directly to this compact so that we have information that can be submitted when a state gets one of these kids independent of the compact itself.

What we have tried to do in this particular

compact is to look at the major issues that affect these kids and try to deal with what we attempted to establish as a lowest common denominator that all states could live with but did not unduly interfere with the prerogatives of the education departments. And we're certainly aware that, you know, school systems need to be independent and need to run education systems because they're the experts. But what we're trying to do is deal with four primary areas that this compact covers. And I'll just summarize these briefly, and if possible maybe respond to one or two questions that came up earlier.

The four areas that we're primarily focussing on in this compact are enrollment, eligibility, placement, and graduation.

Now, enrollment, as Representative Cohen has already made reference to, deals with the frequently encountered problem of military family. And by the way, you'll have several military families here that will tell you about anecdotal situations in their own lives that illustrate these points. But with enrollment one of the big problems has been when a military family transfers from one state to another, frequently they will not have the original record with them with which to get enrolled.

Some of the mothers or fathers of these children will hand carry copies of the documents, but believe it or not, there are some school systems, I'm sure not in Pennsylvania, but in some states that won't accept these photocopies even on a provisional basis. So we're trying to set up a minimal standard that says at least allow the student to get enrolled based on what appears to be a legitimate document and then agree to a period, which this compact provides of 10 days, within which the original record must be sent from the sending state school district to the receiving district.

With eligibility, we're talking about things like athletics and extracurricular activities, such as National Honor Society, Beta Club, or any of these groups. Many times, as I'm sure the members are well aware, military families don't have a choice about when they move during the year. So they may arrive in the school district after cheerleading tryouts, after football tryouts, after the candlelight induction ceremony for the Beta Club or National Honor Society, and their student is left without recourse of any kind. And in districts in other states simply shrug and say we're sorry, you're just too late.

The compact simply says we'd like you to

reasonably attempt to accommodate these students, if they're otherwise qualified. If your student has a 3.57 grade point average and is eligible for the Beta Club or the National Honor Society, give them an opportunity to be a member and don't count them out just because they didn't show up for the ceremony because the parent didn't get transferred soon enough.

1.3

It doesn't require the school district to make a new position on the football team or the basketball team. It doesn't require a school district to allow a student that's not qualified to play those sports to participate. It simply says if there is a reasonable opportunity that can be given to this student, if there is a position available on the team, if they're otherwise qualified to participate, we'd like you to make a good faith effort to accommodate this student. And that's really the philosophy throughout this compact.

It's somewhat like the civil rights statutes that -- and the burdens that they impose which is to make a reasonable effort, a reasonable duty to accommodate a student. We realize that not every student in every case can be accommodated. But at least attempt to do it and don't just say no because that's the easiest thing to do. And there are

districts, believe it or not, where that is the response.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A third area where the compact attempts to bring some fairness is in the area of placement. Under the compact, it doesn't require a school district to refrain from giving placement tests or making their own independent decisions about placement, but it does suggest that to facilitate enrollment that the school district in the receiving state accept the placement determination of the sending state on a provisional basis, and then once the student is enrolled, if the receiving school district, say in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, wants to do a test or conduct other placement determinations, they're free to do that and even reclassify that student, just as you would anybody else. But don't let placement issues hold up enrollment, because that's really the overarching goal here is to make the transfer of the student as least disruptive as possible.

Finally, with graduation, I know there was a question or two about that. This doesn't require accommodation of a student who transfers in a sophomore year, because they've got time after they get here to transition. But if -- if your student is

transferring from California where they were a senior and they come to Pennsylvania and all the sudden they're a junior because they didn't take the state exit exam or they didn't take Pennsylvania history, we're asking the state to do something, if possible, to accommodate that requirement in the senior year. It is possible to waive that placement test or exit exam or allow a substitute normative test to be substituted, we're asking the state to do that.

We realize that may not be possible, so we're also asking states, are there other course requirements that you can allow that would be given credit so that student could graduate. And the default position is if no other alternative is available, at least cooperate with the sending district where that senior came from to see if we can get that student a diploma and they can graduate on time, rather than disrupt their lives and make them stay in school for another year or two when it's really unreasonable to do that.

So I appreciate the opportunity to be here.

I tried to give you kind of a thumbnail sketch of
what's here, and be happy to answer any questions that
the members may have. Thanks for the opportunity to
be here.

1 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: 2 Questions? Yes, Representative Grucela. 3 REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Thank you, 4 Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Masters. Just one, if I 5 understood you correctly, take me through this 6 overseas thing again. 7 MR. MASTERS: All right. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: Is it covered in 9 overseas transfer? 10 MR. MASTERS: Interstate compacts, like 11 treaties, may require congressional approval, although 12 we believe that with the type of compact we're 1.3 proposing here it is legally acceptable for states to 14 enter into it without the necessity of going to 15 Congress. That's not to -- not true with a -- what 16 would amount to a treaty with a foreign country. And 17 under the treaty, because of the federal constitution, 18 I don't think we could make a foreign country a member 19 of this without congressional consent. And, frankly, 20 I really hadn't planned to do that. 21 What we are able to do is use the Department 22 of the Defense school system, which runs though those 23 overseas schools, which will have a representative as 24 an ex-officio member of this governing body that is 25 set up under this compact to help facilitate the

```
1
      transfer of those student records independent of the
2
     compact, and then once they get into a state, then the
3
     compact would take care of them for any other moves
     that take place after that.
 5
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: So we are pretty
 6
     much covered.
 7
               MR. MASTERS: Yes. I don't think there's a
8
     gap there that would present a problem. And I know
9
     Mr. Kriner here with Department of Defense can
10
     probably fill you in even in more detail than I can,
11
      since I don't work with DOD.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE GRUCELA: This way I don't
     have to introduce a bill that will die in the senate.
1.3
14
               MR. MASTERS: Exactly. I don't think that
15
     will be necessary, Representative.
                                          Thank you.
16
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Steil.
17
               REPRESENTATIVE STEIL:
                                      Thank you,
18
     Mr. Chairman. Can you just tell me what the dispute
19
     resolution process would be when disagreements arise
20
     between two states on interpretation of the compact?
21
               MR. MASTERS: Good question. And I think
22
     where that question may ultimately lead is is there a
23
     private right of action for a parent under this
24
     compact. The answer is no. And there's clear case
25
      law on the subject. In fact, I'm litigating a case
```

```
1
      right now for another compact agency. And the Supreme
2
     Court of the United States and a lot of other federal
3
     courts and no other courts to the contrary have said
     that unless the compact specifically says we're giving
     a private right of action to an individual citizen
 5
      under this compact, you don't have one.
 6
 7
               So the grievances are really for resolution
8
     of disputes among the states that are members. And so
9
     what we've got in mind with alternative dispute
10
     resolution is if a couple of states disagree over how
11
     a student transfer ought to be handled and that can't
12
     be worked out any other way, we got a provision for
13
     mediation or arbitration so we can at least resolve
14
     that without having to sue somebody to enforce this
15
      instrument.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you,
17
     Mr. Chairman.
18
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK:
                                  Thank you.
19
     Representative Fairchild.
20
               CHAIRMAN FAIRCHILD:
                                    Thank vou,
21
     Mr. Chairman. On the eligibility portion of your
22
     testimony --
23
               MR. MASTERS: Yes, sir.
24
               CHAIRMAN FAIRCHILD: -- where you mentioned
```

members should be provided with a reasonable

25

opportunity to be included in extracurricular activities.

1.3

MR. MASTERS: I think we use the term facilitate a reasonable opportunity, so.

CHAIRMAN FAIRCHILD: In practicality, how does that work for some of the types of extracurricular activities where there's a process that one goes through to participate and then the student comes in and maybe the game is in process or the play is in process or the musical in process, how -- in a practical world, how does that take place?

MR. MASTERS: All right. We realize that not every situation can be remedied. And there will be cases where a student has simply gotten there too late and there's nothing that can be done. But we're asking school districts to act in good faith and not just deny an opportunity without at least investigating is there some way this child could participate that's reasonable. We're not expecting that the Pennsylvania Athletics Association or the athletic association of every state is going to allow a student to be given a newly created football position when there's a certain number that are allowed to be on the varsity or junior varsity, and we understand those limits are going to provide some

1 impediments no matter what happens, but that's why 2 we've used this language of facilitating an 3 opportunity if -- if it exists. Its, again, it's a 4 reasonable accommodation. It may not always be 5 possible, but we are asking for a good faith effort. 6 And that's really all that the compact requires. 7 CHAIRMAN FAIRCHILD: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Are there other 9 questions? Could I just get some clarification --10 MR. MASTERS: Yes, sir. 11 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: -- on the last thing 12 about participation. Is the receiving district held 13 harmless if, indeed, a student comes in, they make 14 accommodation, then it's subsequently they find out 15 there's some reason they shouldn't have made that 16 accommodation? 17 MR. MASTERS: Well, again, there is no 18 private right of action that is given to the parent or 19 the student, so I would think that, you know, that 20 student isn't going to have some legal basis that's 21 independently created. My quess is that a student 22 that's denied participation could probably file a suit 23 now, whether they're military or not if they think 24 that that position was based on some unfair or illegal 25 ground.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: I guess I'm making the reverse of that. If the student comes in and the school district makes accommodation for them and then they find out under PIAA rules in Pennsylvania that student was, in fact, not eligible for the team -
MR. MASTERS: I gotcha.

1.3

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: -- is that then, are they forced -- are they in a position where they might have to forfeit a game because that student participated in some way?

MR. MASTERS: You know, we haven't dealt with that level of specificity, but that's one reason we've got a governing structure here that does have some limited rule making ability, because we realize there are going to be issues like that, Mr. Chair, and we simply couldn't agree as a drafting or advisory group on how to micromanage those, but we do think they should be dealt with through rules.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Okay. Thank you. Any further questions?

REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: Would the compact contemplate the transfer of disciplinary records as well, the expedient transfer of disciplinary records or disruptive student of one district coming in another school district, out of state?

1 MR. MASTERS: Again, I think that would be a 2 fertile field for some rule making. I know there 3 might be some issues concerning confidentiality that you might be getting that would be a concern, so if it 5 doesn't directly affect the enrollment or the 6 placement or the academic success of the students I'm 7 not sure it would be transferred. I think provisions 8 could be made, certainly to respect the privacy and 9 confidentiality laws. And we're not -- we're not 10 trying to run afoul of those. And again, that might 11 be an area where we would need to nuance this so to a 12 certain extent with some rule making. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: If there are no further 15 questions, thank you very much. 16 MR. MASTERS: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: I understand we've been 18 joined by Senator Robbins, if you'd like to just 19 introduce yourself. 20 SENATOR ROBBINS: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: I'd like to then call up 22 the next group of testifiers, Candace Wheeler, deputy

director government relations National Military

Families Association; Joseph York, director of

military family program U.S. War College, and Susan --

23

24

25

1 MS. WUESTNER: Wuestner.

2 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: -- Wuestner, military 3 parent and teacher.

I also would like to note that we do have with us Ed Kriner who is the deputy -- who is -- who can answer any defense questions, is representative of the Department of Defense. Thank you.

MS. WHEELER: Mr. Chairman and members of both committees, first of all, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the Interstate Compact For Educational Opportunities for Military Children.

My name is Candace Wheeler, as was mentioned, and I am the deputy director for government relations for the National Military Family

Association. We are a nonprofit organization that has been in existence for nearly 40 years, and we advocate on behalf of all seven uniform services and their families. And I am also, in addition to that role, I am a military spouse. My husband was on active duty service in the Air Force for 26 years, and we have two children that have actually personally experienced a lot of what the compact deals with.

And one of the things that I'd like to start with is that Mr. Rick Masters did talk about what the

compact actually holds. But what this panel would like to do is talk more in terms of the challenges that military children face when transitioning, how the interstate compact will actually help alleviate many of these challenges, and why it is important that Pennsylvania sign on to this compact now.

So with that, I'd like to start and talk about a little bit that I think most of you know that military life-style is a transient life-style. We do move constantly, every two to three years. And for our children, they often are moving from school to school. Many of them will experience going through two to three different high schools during their career. And oftentimes they are in six to nine different schools over the length of their school career.

To give you an example, our daughter was in five different schools in the seven years you count for elementary school, when you count kindergarten as well. And this is not an uncommon occurrence. One parent wrote and told us that as, you know, moving in the military is our way of life. We are transitioning on a continual basis. Our children have to pick up and start over again and again. They leave friends behind and try to make new ones as -- at their new

location. My daughter has attended a different school
every year since the fourth grade, and she is
currently in the tenth.

So this is not an uncommon occurrence that we see with military families. What is changing or what has changed since the first Persian Gulf War is we have an increased ops tempo as well, meaning more and more service families are having a service member deployed during this time period. And so many families are going through the normal transitioning period, but also lay on top of them deployment, either they may be transitioning without their service member or getting ready for a deployment on top of that.

So this is adding to the stress that children feel. And school and education is very important to military families. It grounds them.

It's the first thing we do, honestly. It's the thing we do in advance. Where we live has to do with what schools our children will go to. So we look at these things in advance. We will even consider being separated from one another in order to make certain that our children have the best education available to them and a consistent education. So we do place a high priority on education.

But one of the things that we see is that

children are going through transitions anyway. And as we go from elementary to junior high to high school, you have the normal type of transitions that children have, such as the fact that as they're in the teen years we find those are very difficult anyway.

1.3

So think of a child, now, who has moved and they are 13 years old and starting middle school and not only a new school but a new state. And now mom and dad are getting ready to deploy on top of that.

And they're lonely; they're angry; they're trying to deal with all of these emotions, and now you lay the educational problems on top of that. And this is not just a concern for our children. It's a concern for the entire family. It impacts all of them, to include the service member who oftentimes, if they are deployed, is trying to do their job in harm's way.

So education affects not only the child but the entire military family. And that's something that we address when we think about some of the transition issues that have been talked about in the compact. I think Rick did mention them, but specifically they deal with kindergarten start age, participation in extracurricular activities, immunization, timely transfer of records, placement in appropriate courses, missed or redundant entrance or exit testing, and

support for children of deployed service members, and an emphasis on on-time graduation.

1.3

We have heard -- NMFA has been hearing from a lot of our families, and first of all this is good news for our military families, and this is not a new problem. I think the military communities has not always been our best champions. But we are learning to be better champions for ourselves, especially when it has to deal with our children.

And we've had parents write in and tell us how they feel this will be helpful. I have included a few of those narratives in the testimony that's in front of you. I will not read them for the sake of time. But I will bring a point up, a personal one, that our son went through. And this really, I think, hits home the whole idea of being a good sending and a good receiving state. What we mean by that is Pennsylvania may do many, many things right. But you have taken on one of the our military children. And as -- when they're here in your state receiving education and your resources, we would like to see you protect that as you send them to another state.

We were actually here at the Army War College between 2000 and 2001. And after that period of time, we were sent to -- my husband was working

down at the Pentagon. And we ended up living in the Fairfax County area of Virginia. When we arrived -- our son had already been in the gifted and talented program here in Pennsylvania. When we arrived in Fairfax County, and maybe some of you know that Fairfax County is an excellent county for school, but they also do have a very high opinion of their education, as does every school area, from what we find, and rightfully so.

But what we found was they were second-guessing the fact that our son had been already in a gifted and talented program, and they wanted to test him again before putting him into that program. Our feeling was, he'd already been tested in Pennsylvania; he'd already been in the program. But instead of putting him in right away and retesting him, which would have been appropriate -- we certainly wouldn't have wanted to keep him in that if he wasn't up to the challenge, but instead, he lagged behind.

So eventually paperwork caught up, they decided to test him, he was put into the gifted and talented program. The following year we actually were given the opportunity for our son to go to a magnet school for gifted and talented that was at another elementary school. The problem for us was we figured

that we would be moving probably in another year. Our son had already just gone to school in Pennsylvania, two years in Alaska, and we did not want to send him to another school.

1.3

Had we known this opportunity existed, had they recognized the fact that he was in this program, we could have put him in that elementary school to start with. And so actually they had already placed him in that and we had determined that we would rather keep him in the regular elementary school and have him in the regular classes than sending him into the gifted and talented program.

Now, in the long run it did not hurt him, but these are things that certainly could have been alleviated had Virginia taken into consideration what Pennsylvania had already known.

So that is one of the thins that we see. We've already heard from parents about graduation requirement. And I will read just one, because I thought it was excellent.

Our son didn't pass the last portion of the TAKS exit exam. The school board does allow him to walk with his class after much pressure, but he did not receive a diploma. They said he could retake the last portion of the test on the 12th of July. My

husband received short orders to take a command position overseas, and he needed to be there the 10th of July. After he arrived in country, we put our son in school taking guitar and weightlifting because he needed no credits to graduate but he needed to say he went to their school. Two months later, the principal saw no need for him to continue and he then graduated him.

I understand that each state has their own graduation requirements, but when a child is moved their senior year, I feel like there should be -- they should be allowed to stay on the course that they were on. It is so difficult to have them try to make up credits because of a new district.

And that is one of the things that is covered in the compact, making certain that this child actually had already fulfilled their graduation requirements where they had lived, and was actually having to put themselves into school. Some of what we're seeing is the fact that we have a child that will transition into school, let's say their junior year of high school, and because they haven't taken the history class that you have to take in the State of Pennsylvania, they are having to retake it. And this was something maybe they should have had in

middle school. But as a junior now they are having to retake this history class, instead of perhaps taking an AP class or being able to take an elective. And when you're looking at your junior year of school, you are certainly getting yourself ready for college. And many of these children within military families are going on to college. And this puts them at a disadvantage as well.

1.3

We do place a high value on education as military families. And we also place a high value on service. But we do not want our children to suffer for that. And we want to do as much as we can to protect them and their education.

But we do not want to make a different system where they are getting undue special privileges. That is not what we're looking for. We are looking for an even playing field. And this compact actually helps to do that. And one of the things I would like to mention to you is that with Pennsylvania signing onto it now, you'll have the opportunity to join 11 states that have already signed on and have the opportunity to help craft some of the language that is going forward. And this is a very good time to do so. I think Pennsylvania has shown that they are very serious about education and we

would welcome you to the compact. And with that I'd like to transfer over to now to Joe York, who is actually coming from Carlisle and from the Army War College.

1.3

MR. YORK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's really nice to be able to talk to you about the compact. Really what we're all in this room about today is for the children. And if we can do anything to further the education of our children, it be military or the local community, that's what we're all about.

the War College, every year. They come from all over the world. Many are forward deployed, let's say they're in Europe, to Iraq or Afghanistan. So many times this lady here will be the person that moves this family to Carlisle barracks. And the first thing she does is she looks for Joe York and says what's happening in the schools. And she's going to talk a little bit about that.

We also have 42 international fellows that come every year. And this creates a problem for our local school districts because they have to have ESL instructors; again, some of the records, things that you talked about. Now, this is -- the transition

program -- problems in the military has been going on for quite a while. About six, seven years ago they -- in Fort Hood, Texas, they formed a Military Child Education Coalition, much like this compact. We ask our local school districts to sign a memorandum of agreement to try to make transitioning for military student -- students easier. They were a little apprehensive, as I can sense some of the apprehension on this compact, that they were going to be locked into a bunch of rules that the military was going to impose on them. But really all it did was open up a dialogue where we could solve the problems of the student athlete coming in.

Carlisle holds a couple spaces. Now, if they're no good, they're not going to get on the team. Let's face it. They hold student government positions open. South Middleton I think does the same thing. So you'll find that we were able to solve these problems, but they come up year after year after year.

When I first come in the Army, you know, we used to say there's 50 different armies out there, with the guard. And that's kind of the way we're dealing with these 50 different states on the standards of transfer and things of that nature. So I think we can solve this, especially records transfer.

A standardization of just the standards for grades, they're different from state to state. And I just sent two of my kids off to Pennsylvania State

Colleges. And it's very, very competitive. And so these families are coming in to the War College and coming in to Pennsylvania, basically they want their children to get higher education. All the things that you're talking about today impact on that resumé for them to submit to get a higher education.

1.3

Now, it's a two-edged sword. We owe a lot of things to the State of Pennsylvania, the military does. We have formed this memorandum of agreement with our five supporting school districts, and I'm very glad to see Dr. Sanker here is one of our signatures. And we work on these problems constantly to try to solve that. But if we had this overarching set of -- not rules but things to negotiate from the states to create a standard that at least all of us would be talking about the same thing, it would help.

We have created transition or counselor institutes. We had Carlisle counselors that had never even been on the post at Carlisle barracks. So we talk -- tell them to look for posttraumatic stress in the kids, because they see these IEDs on TV.

Counselors can help these young children that are

1 seeing these things.

Our signatures for the memorandum of agreement are CV, Big Spring, Mechanicsburg, South Middletown, and Carlisle. Of course Carlisle gets the bulk of our War College students.

Now, the Army had also done other things to help with this transitioning student. We have a thing called Senior Stabilization. That and unfortunately with -- you know, we've been at war for seven years, and every -- when you're in a deployment cycle, you go, you reset, and you go again. So they're going to be in that cycle. And Sue's case, her husband was extended. He was one of those ones that had to stay several months more. And that was completely out of the blue. Who solved those school problems? But it was a spouse or a family member.

And so we can help -- and this transition counselor institute substitutes for the training that teachers need, I think, what is it, Act 39 or whatever. They give credit for taking courses to continue their certification.

Now I'm just going to just tell one war story because I really want to hear from military spouse. I was called -- we have family readiness support assistance assigned to every combat unit that

1 goes to the global war on terror. And this person was stationed Fort Knox, Kentucky. And she contacted me, said, hey, we have a young man in Gettysburg that can you help him out?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, this son, his serg -- the sergeant's deployed from Fort Knox, is in Iraq. The son is staying with the grandparents in Gettysburg. He's a senior. And they wanted to do a VTC. I have a group of 35 families that we keep at Carlisle barracks that deployed right out of school to Iraq or Afghanistan that we take care of. So they'll be in this group -he'll be in this group called Seminar 21.

I'm happy to say on this Saturday he'll do a VTC with his father in Iraq. And oh, by the way, I bring in PHEAA to give courses on financial aids and I also do college planning because they're coming from all over the world, and they're -- if they have a senior, you know, they've got to pick out a college, and that's very hard to do when you move state to state to state. And many want to stay in Pennsylvania because we have some of the finest education, higher educational institutions around. So you don't know what's out there in Pennsylvania.

You have recruiters, you have project managers, you have Picatinny, Letterkenny, the navy depot has enlarged because they're trying to move headquarters out of the national capital region into areas that are not as sensitive. And so they're moving more people into Mechanicsburg. And our goal is to grow the War College. Because we want more and more State Department and some of our high ranking civilians to go through the course, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we're in a growth pattern. You'll see more military. And what's happening is Dr. Sanker, because Carlisle's pretty well built up, its outlying school districts are getting many of these military children. But I have to say when we look at this issue, several years ago, it's unbelievable how many people transition, not only military, but corporations, you know. Cumberland Valley has over 30 percent turnover every year. So transitioning children is a problem, but really a problem for us because we are going to move every couple years. I'm a career military person and I was very fortunate in my home of record is Missouri, but I chose Pennsylvania to retire in, and my children went to South Middleton, which is really a fine school district.

And with that I'll turn the floor over to $\mbox{\it Sue.}$

MS. WUESTNER: Hello and good morning. My name is Sue Wuestner. And the military has brought us full circle. I'm originally from Berks County. I attended Governor Mifflin High School. My husband attended Central York High School. I have my bachelor's degree in special education from Bloomsburg University and a master's degree in school administration and supervision from Campbell University in North Carolina.

I speak to you as a military spouse and educator. I counted up. I took a quick look. I believe we've moved at least 11 times. We have -- my husband's an active duty colonel. We're stationed at Carlisle barracks. My children attend the Carlisle school district, which I will say does a very good job with military children. There's a pretty large population that comes into the district each year and they're very open to dialogue and very open to taking a good hard look at some of the things that need to be done to help those students. So I'm very proud of that school district.

We have three boys. And I was kind of smiling to myself when the sports -- the questions about extracurricular activities were mentioned. My old -- or oldest son is 17. He's a senior at

Carlisle. We have a freshman who is 14 at Carlisle
also, and an 11 year old son who is in sixth grade
also attending Carlisle School District.

1.3

I think the compact is fantastic because simply I think what it does is it allows an opportunity for dialogue and for school districts to consider concerns of parents that they don't necessarily -- it's not that they don't want to think about those issues, it's just that they haven't in the past. So it provides districts with an opportunity to stop and think and go, gee, I wonder if we're going to have students transferring in that might want to try out for an activity or might want to need to be inducted into National Honor Society, how do we stop and fit those transitioning students into that situation?

So I think it's fantastic. I think it's the best thing for children. For example, when I took a look at transfer of records, which has been discussed quite a bit this morning, I think about special education, a child who perhaps has a handicap, handicap being disability. And they're recognized --let's say they go to school in Pennsylvania and they start first grade and they're evaluated. It takes a long time for that initial evaluation to occur. If

they are -- if their parents are at the War College or perhaps going to be moving, as most military families do, it might take that whole year for that child's evaluation to finally become complete. Then they move to another state. Oftentimes what happens is that state will say, well, no, we need to start our process over again.

1.3

With the compact, that child could start where they need to be placed initially and then they could go ahead if they feel the need to reevaluate and start that process then. Otherwise, it could take up two years for the child to finally end up in the correct educational program.

So particularly with students with special needs, I see this as being a very big problem for parents -- for military parents who have those children because oftentimes, believe it or not, school districts want to start all over again in different states with the way they do things. And this would certainly be what is best for the child, the placement in the correct classroom or perhaps the correct classroom until that state can do their own evaluation. So that's a lot of heartache for family members. I see that often.

Course sequencing is another interesting

one. Something as simple as we came from the 172nd Striker Brigade in Alaska and we moved here, my son Gregory was a sophomore. And in Alaska he had computer programming classes his freshman year, and when he came into the Carlisle District -- again it's a fantastic district with how they handle students, but he wanted to take the Java programming class. And that was a class that was an AP class and it was not offered for students that were sophomores. And so I said to the guidance counselor, well, the course work is what is appropriate for him. It's what he needs next. Even though it's an AP class, he's ready for the course work.

1.3

And the guidance counselor and I spoke and she contacted an administrator and they said okay, that's fine. Had I not been as persistent as I was, chances would have been that he would have not been allowed to take that class because it was not something that was normally offered for students that were sophomores. And so I think, again, the compact lends itself for someone to stop and say okay, let's -- let's not just look at the names of the classes, let's take a look at the sequence and what might be best for the students.

Also, in course sequencing and course

sequencing and transferring, you have a child that comes in at the high school level and Pennsylvania is requiring, let's say, geo environmental as the science class, but they've had Earth science somewhere else. You wonder, is there really that much difference in the course work that the student would have to retake it or would it be possible to take a look at the syllabus and maybe allow that student to take a class that might be something new and something different, you know, rather than just hold those requirements so fast and hard.

1.3

The graduation requirements, I've had friends whose children were enrolled in JROTC programs in other states, and not all the school districts here have that program, but it does count for credits. And so when they become seniors sometimes they take a look and say, well, you're kind of short some credits or we don't have the program here, you can't transfer in. And so that's, again, something else for districts to kind of take a look at and to iron out and figure out how they're going to work those out so the kids are able to take the classes that are best for them.

And the extracurricular activities, without repeating what everyone else has said, all three boys are involved in athletics. Oftentimes what happens is

the students, if the military families don't move till August, sometimes it's September, and what happens is they miss tryouts, they miss camps, attending camps and things like that. And it has not been our experience here, Carlisle does hold slots open. They are very positive with regards to inviting members to come out and tryout for teams, to join teams, all those things. And that's all fine and dandy, but there are other states that a child might transfer to where oftentimes tryouts are held right at the end of the school year and so, you know, many children don't get the opportunity to try out for a team or to become a part of a team.

1.3

There -- again, I'd like to say I don't think in most instances school districts deliberately do those things. I think it's just that they're not thinking in terms of there's a possibility we may have a transient population coming in, a military population, and so maybe we just need to stop for a second and just take a look and see how if there's any possible way we can fit these children in. We're certainly not asking for them to make a team or to be placed somewhere that they don't belong, but we're definitely looking for the -- for them to have the opportunity. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you very much. Are there questions from the committee? Let me just ask something that has come up indirectly which relates less to the process of getting through high school. But if you transfer in to Pennsylvania and then you get a degree from a Pennsylvania school, does that bring with it recognition of state residency for purposes of college attendance?

1.3

MR. YORK: Yes. Basically if you -- when they come on orders to the War College and they start Pennsylvania -- let's say down at Shippensburg, then they would get in-state tuition. Now, Senator Pippy has a bill up, and I'm working with his assistant and on the national level they have passed a law, now, that all military -- basically.

MS. WHEELER: The Higher Education Act.

MR. YORK: Yeah, the Higher Education Act that all children of active duty -- all dependents of active duty military would be given in-state tuition at every state. But there's going to be a six-month lag there, so I think this bill, 739 that's in the Senate Education Committee, we're trying to get that out and I'm working with Cheryl Shriner on that. But yes, and most of the state schools if they knew they were military member, they would work with them to

1 give them in-state tuition, because a lot of them have 2 an ROTC contingent. 3 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN MELIO: Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN MELIO: I was just talking with 7 Representative Steil. That's one of our problems is 8 that a lot of our colleges do not have the ROTC. 9 was wondering if the education committee could come up 10 with some kind of situation where they could require 11 to have. 12 MR. YORK: You know, one of the -- one of

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YORK: You know, one of the -- one of the -- I worked with -- we have a lot of retired -- it's land of full colonels over at Carlisle barracks. They had a lot of colonels that retire in this area because they love this area. And a lot of them have stood up Junior ROTC. In fact, they just stood up a Junior ROTC down at Gettysburg High School. And I talked to the regional ROTC director and they want more Junior ROTC contingents in the northeast.

Historically they've always been in the south, you know. So if schools want that, I think we could get them on a merit list bumped up. If Carlisle wants a Junior ROTC I think Pennsylvania schools would have a real good opportunity to do that.

And same with way with ROTC, you know, a lot of the programs are expanding because we need more and more officers with the continued war effort that's happening. And they're really great programs. We deal a lot with Dickinson and -- and my son goes to IUP which has an ROTC, too.

1.3

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Rapp.

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I just have a real quick question on basically something that I asked Representative Cohen. I think timelines are very critical, especially transfer of records in special education in particular. And one thing I would like to see is a conformity of timelines. And I think school districts for the most part do a good job, but if there's something in writing that commits them to a timeline to transfer of records -- special education laws are very clear as far as IEPs, you know, as far as testing and all of that. And that should be uniform throughout all states under IDEA.

But I think for our military families

transferring, having timelines for a transfer of

records and when programs are in place and when a

student's schedule should be in place, especially when

they're in their high school years would be critical.

So from hearing your testimony and reading it over, it seems to me that a lot does have to do with timelines, because every day a student sits in a classroom frustrated is a day that he is not learning due to that frustration, and whether it's special education or whatever. So I'm just going to ask you briefly, do you see something in a uniform timeline that would be good from state to state that could be agreed upon?

MS. WHEELER: Well, within the compact itself it does talk about timelines when you're actually transferring records. That is written in there, how many days you actually have. You can hand carry records, which is what we've had to do before as well until another record can be delivered. And it does put into place that they have to get them delivered within a certain period of time. So, yes, that is in there for that.

Now, as far as testing them within a certain period of time, what we're asking for them to do, instead of even saying that you have to test them within 30 days that they arrive, what we're saying is please accept what the sending state is telling you and make sure that you at least brought them in where they were, then it's up to you to retest them. And

we're not trying to impose that over the top of each

state. But at least give the benefit of the doubt to

the sending state.

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: Thank you.

MS. WHEELER: You're welcome.

MR. YORK: Yes, we negotiated in this memorandum of agreement, I have a book they call it the purple book. It's basically a book written by spouses that are going to be coming to Carlisle barracks and to the War College. And basically we go to the school districts, what are your rules that we have to comply with. And they know that up front. And they can access that through the Internet. And they can actually do records transfers electronically, they can hand carry records. We encourage that. And most of the school districts will give them records to hand carry.

But we encourage our parents to create a portfolio so that if you're in AP class, go and Xerox that book that they used that AP class and bring that portfolio with you. We encourage them to get tapes of their football performance, get tapes if they're a cheerleader and send that ahead of time. I also tell the story that people grow up in Carlisle, being the Carlisle band. It's a nationally known band. And

it's hard to get in the Carlisle band. And we were able to, you know, through negotiation, say, hey, can you accept -- they actually have done VTCs for us where the person played the instrument and Mr. Rohrer would listen to them.

1.3

So this is all about negotiation. And you can create these timelines. It would really help us if someone would do it at a higher level. We've done it at the local level through a lot of work. What used to be an adversary relationship and now it's a negotiated -- in fact, we didn't have an education meeting last year. I'm on the education committee at the Carlisle barracks, because we worked these issues over and over again and pretty well solved them. And we do get people from Europe, you know, DOD schools, Korea, Thailand, you name it. We're in 32 countries. We have soldiers in 32 countries.

MS. WHEELER: That is one thing I wanted to speak to. When the discussion about children coming from overseas, this is not a myopic problem. It isn't just a state-to-state problem. It isn't children just coming from overseas location. But like we had said, they're primarily coming from DOD schools. And so when we are talking about having to deal with other countries' laws, for the most part that is not what

```
1
      we're doing. Our children attended the Department of
2
     Defense schools overseas as well, and that's a common
3
     thing. Very seldom are they attending a country's
      school instead. And the Department of Defense works
 4
 5
     very closely. They also have formed a educational
 6
     partnership directorate where they are working
 7
     directly with each state and to try to form stronger
 8
     ties.
9
               So I would imagine that within that
10
     directorate they will champion this issue as well.
11
     But they're very much on board with the interstate
12
     compact.
13
               MR. YORK: And we have DOD schools on larger
14
     military installations also.
15
               REPRESENTATIVE RAPP:
                                     Thank you.
16
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you. Let me then
17
     call our final panel. Dr. Patricia Sanker,
18
      superintendent South Middletown School District,
19
      Stuart Knade, chief counsel Pennsylvania School
20
     Boards' Association.
21
               MR. KNADE: Good morning, chairman.
22
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Good morning.
23
               MR. KNADE: I'm Stuart Knade, chief counsel
24
     Pennsylvania School Board Association. We're very
25
     glad to be here today. We'd like to lead off with
```

```
Dr. Patricia Sanker, who has been referred to several
1
2
     times today, as well as her school district.
3
               DR. SANKER: Thank you very much. I brought
 4
             As a good teacher, I want to illustrate some
5
     of the memorabilia that I have from our school
 6
     district initially entering into a Memorandum of
 7
     Agreement in the year 2002. And if your staff would
8
      like to share those with you, I'd be happy to do that.
9
               First of all, I have a --
10
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: This is in addition to
11
     what we already have; is that right?
12
               DR. SANKER: Pardon me?
1.3
               CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: We already have the
14
     written testimony that was --
15
               DR. SANKER: Absolutely, I don't intend to
16
     read the written testimony to you.
17
               They can have those. I have a couple of
18
     programs, copies of the program that they can look at
19
     and photograph. And I also brought -- and, Stuart, if
20
     you can help me here -- this is a copy of the
21
     Memorandum of Agreement that we have. And when I went
22
     looking for the actual agreement that was executed
23
     yesterday, I couldn't find it. And I called over to
24
     the War College and I said I don't have it in my
25
      files. And they said it's because it's hanging on the
```

wall over here. So I don't have an executed copy, but
I did get a print from -- of the actual executed
agreement that was done and signed at a ceremony at
the United States War College in Carlisle.

MR. YORK: We also re-signed it five years later.

1.3

DR. SANKER: I know. We just re-signed in '07. Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the committee. My name is Patty Sanker. I am the superintendent of the South Middleton School District in Boiling Springs, Pennsylvania. And I have been superintendent there at this wonderful district for ten years.

I'd like to speak to the fact that I'm very, very proud to be one of five school districts that entered into a memorandum of agreement in 2002 with the Military Child Coalition.

I looked on the website for the Military
Child Coalition yesterday and noticed that there are
now six school districts in Pennsylvania, with the
addition of Pocono Mountain, who are now members. So
we have six school districts in Pennsylvania who have
entered into this coalition. In 2002, my neighboring
school districts of Big Spring School District in
Newville, Pennsylvania; Carlisle School District in

- 1 Carlisle, Pennsylvania; Cumberland Valley School
- 2 District in Mechanicsburg; and Mechanicsburg School
- 3 District in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania; and the South
- 4 | Middleton School District in Boiling Springs,
- 5 Pennsylvania, the five of us entered into the Military
- 6 Child Coalition agreement in 2002.
- 7 This was an initiative of Mrs. Marianne
- 8 Ivany. She was the wife of the general who was
- 9 stationed at the United States War College at the
- 10 time. And as a lot of first ladies do, they bring an
- 11 | initiative that is something near and dear to their
- 12 hearts as what they're going to do during their
- 13 | husband's tenure in office. And at the time
- 14 | Mrs. Ivany was very passionate about this particular
- 15 issue, because she had four children who had gone
- 16 | through many, many schools as her husband was
- 17 transferred throughout his career.
- So she approached us about this idea of
- 19 entering into a memorandum of understanding, or
- 20 agreement, so that we could ensure that all of our
- 21 | students were given the very best care when they are
- 22 transferred to our school district.
- And I'm very proud to say that we did enter
- into that agreement in 2002, and in November of 2007
- 25 | we re-signed that agreement for another five years.

I did a lot of research in preparing my remarks for today because I wanted to make sure that what we were doing in our school district was -- I wanted to see the differences on how we handle our military children and how we handle our other transfer students who come into the school districts. And when I spoke with my counselors at the schools, they said, Patty, we don't treat them any differently than we treat our other children. We treat them very, very well.

And I'd like to say that we have a uniformity in our district of all students who transfer into our district that we help them to transition into our district to the best of our ability.

It doesn't matter whether they're military children or they're children from another family who has relocated to our district. We do the same things for them. We help kids. That's what schools do. We recognize the difficulties that children have moving into our school districts from other areas. We look at credits and we really make every attempt possible that courses are not duplicated, that we recognize the example was given, a student who is gifted, that we recognize those scores from another state and that we

place those children appropriately in our district.

By law we must recognize an IEP from another school district or another state. That's federal law. And we do everything possible to make sure that these kids are acclimated to our schools as easily as possible.

1.3

We've spoken today about PIAA regulations.
What if they move in and they've missed the first day of football camp? What happens if they haven't had their physical? What do we do here? My athletic director says we take care of those kids, we'll even help find a physician to get a physical so that they can start out with the team. It doesn't matter that they didn't go to football camp this summer with the team, and it doesn't matter that they didn't start the first day of practice on the second Monday in August like all teams do in Pennsylvania. We'll do what we can to get that child on the team.

We recognize that military children bring a richness of experience to our district that our students normally don't have. Many students in our small town have never met children from -- who have been stationed in Alaska, or children who have been in Guam. This is their first experience to meet children who have travelled internationally. So we welcome these children, because they bring a richness to our

student body that many of our students don't have.

When I tell you that we're affiliated with the United States Army War College because we are located less than ten miles from there, I want you to understand that although most of our military children's parents are affiliated with the Army War College in one way or another, we also have military children whose parents are in the National Guard, we have a family whose parents travel from the Carlisle area to Fort Indiantown Gap every day to work. We have parents who travel to the New Cumberland Army Depot and we have parents who travel to the navy depot in Mechanicsburg. I also have a set of -- a military family whose parent drives to Washington, D.C., from the Carlisle area.

So not all of our children are specifically affiliated with the U.S. Army War College, although the majority are.

I went back to statistically look at how many children come to us who are military families.

We are a very small school district. South Middletown enjoys a student population of 2200 students. We are one county school district in the county of Cumberland -- one township school district, excuse me, in the South Middletown Township in the Cumberland

County, 51 square miles. Our school district boarders the township.

1.3

In the 2200 student body, we have this year 29 students who are affiliated with military families. Last year we had 36 students who are affiliated with military families. For a small school district, that's a lot.

Of these children, we also have some international students. And these international students are children of international fellows who are attending the U.S. Army War College School University for one year. And those children come from Kuwait and Afghanistan, Taiwan, Pakistan, Poland, Saudi Arabia, Lebanon. Those children also bring a large richness in culture to our school district. My remarks, however, with relation to the Military Child Coalition deal with our children of the United States military. Those are whom I'm addressing specifically because those are the parents who are transferred over and over and over again.

We take very good care of them. We have this agreement with the Military Child Coalition, but I want you to understand that if we -- even if we had never signed this agreement we would still be doing what we need to do to help those children be

successful in our school district. We do
electronically send records back and forth. Often the
records arrive before the families have even located
in the district. We do have on our website all the
enrollment forms you could possibly need that could be
downloaded by any parent who's looking to transfer in
to our district, and they could walk in with all that
information completed.

If for one reason or another we don't have someone's records, we'll look at a report card from the previous year. A lot of times parents bring in, physically carry report cards. That will at least give us a head start on getting a schedule together for the kids. An IEP is often one that the parent will bring their copy in until we get that copy from the other school district. We'll look at it. It's a legal document. We'll honor it. Are we going to retest these children? Not if we can see that they were just recently tested. The kids don't need to go through that kind of testing again.

What I'm saying to you is regardless of whether we sign a piece of paper, we're doing right by the children who enter our school districts. That's our job. We're not here to make it hard for kids.

It's not their fault their parent got transferred. We

welcome them. We think it's a great opportunity for our kids, and as we said earlier, what wonderful experiences they bring to our student body. I can't think of one situation in which we haven't bent over backwards to make sure that these kids are members of our student body and active and happy.

1.3

Our counselors work with them. I gave you a list in my testimony of the type of activities that we do in transitioning our students in and transitioning our students out of our school district. I have to believe that this is the norm. I'd like to think that our counselors are doing this across the state. I can't tell you that for sure. I do know that our counselors attend meetings at the Army War College that they set up to help to transition them in. Our counselors are very cognizant of the needs of the military child.

The reason that I'm speaking to you today is because I want to make sure that you understand that, yes, there may be some anomalies out there in which some military children have had an experience that was not as welcoming as it should have been, but I want you to think that the norm across the schools in Pennsylvania is that we welcome the children and we help those kids transition into our student body.

Thank you.

1.3

MR. KNADE: Good morning. Again, I'm Stuart Knade and I appreciate the opportunity to give you some thoughts. I get to kind of be the bad guy here because I want to throw on a yellow light with regard to this.

Pennsylvania School Board's Association should come as no surprise to you to hear that we regard regulation from above restrictions on the local solutions to things with some degree of wariness, and as all citizens probably should.

In this case, we're not talking about regulation from a state or from the federal government, but, in fact, the surrender of some of the Commonwealth's sovereignty to a contractually created commission that would have the power to issue regulations that would supersede even statutes enacted by the -- our General Assembly.

So that's a whoa kind of moment. Do we need -- do we really need to do this? Is this the right vehicle. Let me be crystal clear about one thing. The Pennsylvania School Boards' Association strongly believes in the kinds of solutions that Dr. Sanker has described and strongly believes that the children of military families should not have to

encounter disadvantages of the kinds that we've heard some of the people describe. Let's not have any question about that.

1.3

The question that we ask is is there anything about the things that the proposed compact proposes to address that we're not already doing in our Pennsylvania statutes and regulations of the State Board of Education and the regulation of the Department of Health or that we couldn't do with some minor tweaking of those things. We've heard -- I've been waiting to hear somebody, not necessarily hoping I'd hear it, but waiting to hear somebody talk about the bad experiences in Pennsylvania. And maybe there have been some, but we're -- it doesn't look like our laws are creating these obstacles that local officials can't work -- you know, that they are putting local officials in a position where they can't work with the military families that are coming in.

Obviously when you deal with those kinds of situations you do have to come up with -- sometimes have to come up with unique solutions. And in my own 28 years of military service I worked with thousands of soldiers over the years helping them with their legal problems or legal issues that affect their families, helped the Pennsylvania Bar Association

design a training program for attorneys to help them become more familiar with some of the issues that particularly impact military families. So we understand that it's important to be able to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I think the question is, is the surrender of sovereignty under a compact really the way to do it, or should we do what we're going to do, need to do anyway, which is to do a -- to really make sure we have a complete list of all of these state statutes or regulations, administrative regulations that would be trumped by the provisions of the compact or the future regulations that the compact commission would do so that we know, we know where the conflicts are going to come into play, where the inconsistencies are going to be, where to tell the school officials, okay, your formal way of doing business doesn't apply here, you have to follow this set of rules instead. And if we're going to do that, why not just simply tweak these rules to make sure that we're doing what This is one way to approach it. we have to do?

So we think that's a question that needs to be asked and answered. And I haven't really heard the answer to that today. A problem in Pennsylvania, is there any problem in Pennsylvania that we haven't already solved or couldn't pretty easily solve without

necessarily binding ourselves to an interstate agreement that has a financial im -- you know, financial obligation that's come along with it, you know, and a commission that can sue us if we don't comply with rules they haven't even promulgated yet? This is the wariness that I described earlier on.

I think the second part of our concern is that we see some problems in the wording of the compact that are troubling to us. And it would be very nice -- if we were going to enter in the compact, we think it would be very important if we had the opportunity to fix that. But one of the questions is can we do that? And maybe Mr. Walker's in a better position to answer that question than I, but I'm not sure you can vary the terms of a compact. All the material I've read about it, including the resource of materials from Council of State Government, you have to adopt the identical language that's presented to you or you're not in. I don't --

MR. MASTERS: It's not -- I can answer that if --

MR. KNADE: In any event, I'll just give you an example, are the Title 10 of the United States Code sections referred to on Page 3, Line 11, are not sections that have anything to do with the definition

of who active duty people are. They're basically errors. They're erroneous. North Carolina tried to fix those and made some other changes when they adopted the compact a short time ago.

A similar problem that we see is the definition of military families talks about the school age child in the household of an active duty member, which sort of raises the question of it's applicability to these children in a very frequently -- frequent situation which is referred to earlier with the student in Gettysburg, who are temporarily or for some period of time not in the household of an active duty member. And there are some other examples.

We are troubled by the regardless of age and enrollment requirements that appear in the compact, Page 8, Line 16 and 20, because we understand that the thinking may have been the minimum age required for enrollment, but we also in Pennsylvania have a maximum age of 21 for entitlement to school -- free attendance privileges. And the way the compact is written it would trump that, which I don't think is a result that we would find desirable and doesn't seem to be justified by the -- by the unique kinds of challenges that military families encounter.

It's possible that there may be a better answer to my question before that helps with that, and I'd be interested to hear that.

Without going over all the points that are made in the written testimony, which you have before you, I also wanted to mention a couple of things regarding the questions that have come up earlier.

The disciplinary records question is addressed in the language of the compact, Page 3, Lines 29 to 30, where there's a reference to disciplinary records in the definition of educational records required to be transferred.

Also, the question about the diploma being issued by the former school system in the case of a transferring student is limited to people transferring at the beginning of or during senior year, and that's specified at Page 12, Line 17. And I don't recall who asked those questions, but I was trying to make a note of them.

And I agree with the comment I think I heard earlier that special education statutes, Individuals
With Disabilities Education Act, already requires
people to implement an IEP already in place until such time as there appears to be justification for -justification for re-evaluation or change in the IEP

through the administrative due process process. Now, that procedure may differ from state to state, but there are statutes in place and to the extent that military or other transferring families are encountering school systems that say, no, we're not going to implement until we have a chance to go through the testing process, would suggest those situations are already in violation of existing federal statutes and would violate our own state regulations regarding the incoming transferring special education students.

1.3

I guess to conclude, you know, we have two basic questions. You know, the need, the problem that we're trying to solve in Pennsylvania, our kids who go to other states are going to benefit from the provisions of the compact whether or not we're in it.

I don't see anything in the compact that would limit the treatment under the provisions of the compact to only military kids coming from states that are also members of the compact. Is it the right vehicle?

We -- in order to do -- we are in a better position to serve those needs if we have only a -- only our own adequate rules rather than two things that might create confusion and inconsistency, if there's an overlay from the compact requirements on top of our

1 existing statutes and laws; and then the catch 22 of, 2 you know, we're faced with a compact that has some 3 provisions in it that are troubling. And do we have a 4 way to fix those, is that even something we can do, 5 because we certainly should if we do. With that, I'm open to your questions and I appreciate your 6 7 attention. CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Thank you. Questions? 9 Representative Rapp. 10 REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have some comments. Just so you 11 12 know, I am a former military wife who moved several 13 times in that short military experience. I also 14 served for many years as an advocate for children with 15 special needs. So, yes, we do have a wonderful 16 federal legislation, IDEA. Does that mean that school 17 districts always comply to those timelines and to 18 those laws? No. That's why we have specific due 19 process proceedings for parents to follow with IDEA as 20 far as their rights when school districts are not 21 compliant. 22 Superintendent Sanker, I applaud you, you 23 know, for what you are doing in your school district. 24 It sounds like, and the information that you have, 25 that your specific school district is doing everything you can to try and help meet the needs of our military
families. And I -- you know, just the fact, Mr. -I'm sorry, is it Knade?

MR. KNADE: Knade.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: The parents are here raising this issue, parents, because it's usually parents who know that there is an issue, says to me there is an issue. Maybe not with this particular school district, but certainly probably across the State of Pennsylvania I'm sure there's one family who's probably had this issue, whether it's a problem obtaining records or a Pennsylvania school district -- let's say there's, you know, 500 of them, minus one -- that's not sending records in a timely fashion. So if there's one student out there that we need to correct the problem, we need to correct the problem.

Now, is this compact the answer? I'm not so sure. I think we need some more discussion on it. I applaud Representative Murt and Representative Cohen as far as bringing -- and the families, bringing this issue to our attention. If there's any families that we need to help in this United States, it is our military families whose husbands, wives, fathers, and sons are willing to go wherever in the world, to sacrifice their very life and lay down their life for

their brother, their family, their country. And if
they have a child that we are not educating and
accommodating in this Commonwealth, we need to address
the problem. And it is the families of this
Commonwealth that will bring those issues to this -these three committees and others. And if they are
bringing an issue to us that we need to address, we
need to address it.

And that, sir, is how I feel. No offense to PSBA, but if you're going to ask me to choose between PSBA and the military families, I'm going to go with the military families. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate your concerns. And but I do believe that this will probably take some more conversation as we take a look at the legalities of this compact. But if there's something missing in ways that we address the education of our military families, we need to do it in this Commonwealth.

MR. KNADE: Well, Representative Rapp, you certainly won't hear any argument about that from me or the School Boards' Association. And that is certainly not our point. And we also applaud the people who got the conversation going. And what our bottom line point is that we need to take a careful look at a number of things, including existing

framework that we have within the state. And that -in my interest in hearing specific scenarios of
problems that people have encountered in Pennsylvania,
not in Oklahoma or Virginia or other places, is aimed
at helping us pinpoint where the gaps are in what we
have on the books already in Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE RAPP: But I guess I take offense when you say that there is no evidence from, you know, the school districts. Well, I don't see that the school districts would actually say, oh, yes, we have, you know, Z, Y, and Z families and they're complaining about this issue. That's why I say that the issue will always come from families and parents, not necessarily that the school districts are always going to identify those issues and bring them to the table.

MR. KNADE: We did try to -- we did look for that kind of information. We asked committee staff about it to say, okay, please, what are you hearing? And we asked our own members about it, and that's all the point I was making.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Steil.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I have two questions. First for

Dr. Sanker, as a practical matter, is there any real

difference in the transfer of a military dependent student as opposed to the transfer of any other student for corporate purposes or anything else coming from -- and I guess I relate that specifically to the comment I think of your athletic director who said that we treat every student the same. So the bottom line is if there's, as a practical matter, no difference, should there be a difference? Are there differences between the transfers of students of military parent as opposed to the students that come from normal corporate activities or anything else?

1.3

DR. SANKER: I believe that there shouldn't be a difference. The only difference would be maybe a timeline, if they missed a physical or they missed a tryout, that we try to accommodate those children who by making sure they have a physical at the time or giving them another opportunity to try out for that particular sport.

I do want to caution that if I made exceptions for military children and didn't make exceptions for an executive transfer, then I think we have a double standard. So I -- I think in fairness that we try to do it for everyone.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you. And, Mr. Knade, my question goes, and I appreciate your

yellow light, your cautions. They are things that we have to be concerned with. But my question goes to if for some reason this compact didn't exist, there was no compact, and yet we as a legislative body and the School Boards' Association desired to have a standard by which we treated and accepted military students and other transfers, wouldn't we have to adopt legislation that ensures consistency among the 501 school districts regarding the treatment of those transferred students? Wouldn't we have to do it anyway, even if we didn't have a compact?

1.3

MR. KNADE: I think the answer to that question would depend on what the specific ouch point was that we were talking about, the specific obstacle or disadvantage that was being created by something that's missing. And that's kind of the struggle that we're -- we've been having with this issue is trying to say, okay, where are those ouch points under our existing structure. But it could very well require legislation. It might simply be a regulation tweak from the state board of education. It might be that we find that the issue is one of people's understanding and not whether or not we have what we need on the books.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: So I quess the bottom

line then is that even if for some reason we didn't join the compact, we still would have to do the legislation that ensures consistency of standards.

1.3

MR. KNADE: Absolutely. And I think this conversation, this process, that would be a very important outcome of this process, whether or not we enter the compact is to review what we have to make sure we don't set up an inconsistent overlay and that we reconcile what we have with the standards that we've chosen to adopt via the compact or otherwise.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. SANKER: If I could add, if I could add to that response, Secretary of Education often sends the superintendents e-mails, letters, reminders of things that he'd like completed and so forth.

Sometimes just an e-mail from him as a reminder of what our obligation is to our children and specifically to our military children, specifically right now but at all times, that's a very simple way of handling a reminder to the superintendents of whatever our obligations are and to speak to our counselors, speak to our principals, make sure that we're doing everything that we can do to help the military children.

1 We just received an e-mail reminding us that 2 many of the national -- there's a large deployment of 3 National Guard coming up. And that we needed to be 4 cognizant that this was going to happen and that we would have a lot of parents who would be leaving their 5 6 children and disrupting their children's lives and 7 could we please make our staff aware that this deployment's about to happen. I just received that 8 9 e-mail last week from the secretary. So this is not 10 uncommon for the secretary to e-mail us specifically 11 about topics, and this could be one topic that he 12 would handle. 13 MR. KNADE: And we also send out those kinds 14 of things. I mean, one of the issues that's going to 15 come along with that is a lot of children who pursuant 16 to military family care plan is going to be placed temporarily with somebody they're not used to living 17 18 with.

CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: Representative Cohen.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I assume the Pennsylvania School
Boards' Association is not very happy with the No

Child Left Behind law.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KNADE: You could say that.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Yeah. And that's a

big intrusive federal --

1.3

2 MR. KNADE: It certainly -- it certainly was 3 a sea change.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: -- statute. And here we're talking about this minimalistic national program that costs \$650,000 a year and the School Boards' Association across the nation does not require any hiring of additional staff in Pennsylvania and the School Boards' Association is so adamant against regulation on this very minimalistic standard that it's going to oppose it.

It frankly seems to me that if you're going to oppose minimalistic common sense regulations like this, you're making much greater intrusions inevitable. If you're saying seriously that anything, no matter how small, is a great threat to local autonomy and the School Board Association has to stand in the way of it, you're making stuff like No Child Left Behind absolutely inevitable.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KNADE: I'm not sure I detect the question there. I apologize for that.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: If you want to comment you can, but it seems -- this is an attempt to operate the scale. It's not a belief that this is a terrible major problem requiring vast expenditure of

state resources. And we were talking about very, very little state money here. You know, if a single school district hires a new teacher this year it will probably cost more state dollars than this whole statewide program. You know, I really think that taking a position even as small, minimalistic program like this is a threat to you just raises questions of responsibility and common sense on the school district's part. You know, I think -- federal government could easily pass a law setting forth a whole series of mandates which will cost more than the statewide costs of this. If they pass a law requiring the hiring of a single new employee in the State of Pennsylvania, that will cost more than this program.

1.3

MR. KNADE: I certainly couldn't argue with that. Our wariness has as much to do with the vehicle that we're talking about and fact that we -- there's the potential for regulations that we don't even -- we haven't ever seen a draft of yet coming from a commission that would be imposed on us.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: And if the federal government passes the law there's potential for other regulations coming down from the federal government, and those regulations will be a lot more sweeping than anything that can come out of an interstate compact.

That's frequently the case, yes. 1 MR. KNADE: 2 REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: And an interstate 3 compact, the state can withdraw with one year advance notice if they feel aggrieved by the compact, and you 4 can't withdraw from federal government without 5 6 creating a civil war. And I known don't know of any 7 federal program setting forth regulations which the 8 regulations are optional. Sometimes they're choices 9 within the regulations, the states don't -- generally don't have -- it's very, very rare the state would 10 11 have the option of being totally excluded. 12 would urge you to reconsider your position. 1.3 MR. KNADE: We're asking for further study 14 of this. That's really what our position is. 15 REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: So would it be 17 accurate or fair to capsulize -- to characterize the 18 PS -- Pennsylvania School Boards' Association position 19 as being -- as being that Pennsylvania's entry into 20 such a compact is, number one, unnecessary; two, 21 probably violative of Pennsylvania's constitution; and 22 number three, if Pennsylvania does join, potentially 23 obstructive to its school boards --24 I'm not sure --MR. KNADE: 25 REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: -- addressing the

perceived needs of transitioning students into the school districts?

1.3

MR. KNADE: I think to the extent that the -- that there -- some of what I see as drafting flaws in the compact could be potentially hampering our ability to actually serve the children of military families in the ways that -- we can always go above and beyond, but I think whenever you set up some ambiguities and inconsistencies it creates that potential. But I -- you know, the general intent of the compact certainly I don't think is on instructing what school boards typically try to do or school districts typically try to do, as Dr. Sanker describes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: I ask that in view of the statement at the bottom of the allegation, the assertion at the bottom of Page 46, your statement that to the extent anything more is needed in our Commonwealth PS -- Pennsylvania School Boards' Association believes that Pennsylvania could do a more effective job of addressing those needs on its own without the distractions, limitations, potential conflicts of law and the funding obligations that would come along with a compact membership, it is inevitable.

I think that's true. 1 MR. KNADE: I think 2 any state could do a more effective job on its own if 3 it had the will to do that and the -- and the -- and in fact got the job done. Now, it's obvious that not 4 5 all states have done that, but what we've heard today it sounds like Pennsylvania's doing a pretty good job 6 7 already. And that's our point is do we need to -- do 8 we need to tie ourselves to a new kind of governmental 9 structure in order to essentially replicate the 10 success we've already had? 11 REPRESENTATIVE MANTZ: And your great faith 12 in the ability of the states of the United States to 13 address all of the concerns effectively of its 14 citizens. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN ROEBUCK: I'd like to thank the 16 members of the committee who participated, too. I 17 want to also thank our recorder for her diligence. 18 Any other questions? If not, this hearing will stand 19 adjourned. Thank you. 20 (Proceedings concluded at 11:48 a.m.) 2.1 22 2.3

25

24

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that
4	this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	
8	Hackbarr I Archa DMD CDD
9	Heather L. Artz, RMR, CRR Notary Public
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	