01	BEFC	ORE THE HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
02	OF	
03	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF PENNSYLVANIA	
04	* * * * * * *	
05	IN RE: DRIVING DISTRACTIONS	
06		* * * * * * * *
07	BEFORE:	RICHARD A. GEIST, Chair
08		KEITH R. MCCALL, Chair
09		Representative Josh Shapiro, Member
10		Representative Roy E. Baldwin, Member
11		Representative Dante Santoni, Jr., Member
12		Representative Jess M. Stairs, Member
13		Representative John R. Evans, Member
14		Representative Mario M. Scavello, Member
15		Representative Joseph A. Petrarca, Member
16	HEARING:	Thursday, September 7, 2006
17		10:00 a.m.
18	LOCATION:	Wistar Institute
19		3601 Spruce Street
20		Philadelphia, PA
21		
22		Reporter: Gina Brower
23	I	any reproduction of this transcript
24	i	s prohibited without authorization
25		by the certifying agency.

01	WITNESSES:	Craig Reed, Captain Dennis Dougherty,
02		Chris Ross, Josh Shapiro, Gary Horewitz,
03		Dan Mullin, Cathy Rossi, Ted Leonard,
04		Joe Divis, Bobby Ryder, Paul P. Jovanis,
05		Ed McCarthy, Matt Sundeen, Tom McCarey,
06		Eric Bugaile
07		
08		
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

01	I N D E X	
02		
03	OPENING REMARKS	
04	By Chairman Geist	5 - 6
05	TESTIMONY	
06	By Chairman McCall	6 - 7
07	By Mr. Reed	7 - 15
08	By Captain Dougherty	15 - 30
09	By Representative Ross	31 - 37
10	By Representative Shapiro	38 - 46
11	By Mr. Horewitz	46 - 55
12	By Mr. Mullin	55 - 56
13	By Mr. Divis	56 - 72
14	By Ms. Rossi	73 - 80
15	By Mr. Ryder	80 - 82
16	By Mr. Jovanis	82 - 104
17	By Mr. McCarthy	105 - 110
18	By Mr. Sundeen	110 - 128
19	By Mr. McCarey	128 - 133
20	CERTIFICATE	134
21		
22		
23		
24		

01		EXHIBITS	
02			
03			Page
04	Number	Description	Offered
05		NONE OFFERED	
06			
07			
08			
09			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

02 _____ 03 CHAIR: 04 We'll call this hearing to order. Brief 05 remarks. For years we've been talking about 06 distracting driving and one of the things we wanted to 07 do was during this --- the time of the summer and late 80 summer was to go out and collect some remarks in the public about the overall subject. And we could think 09 10 of no better place than to come here, to the 11 University of Pennsylvania and hear from some very interesting people. 12 13 I would like the Committee members to introduce themselves, starting at my left. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE BALDWIN: 16 Representative Roy Baldwin from Lancaster 17 County. 18 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 19 Representative Josh Shapiro. I'm an interloper on this Committee. 20 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 21 22 Keith McCall, Minority Chairman. 23 **REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI:** Dante Santoni, Berks County. 24 25 **REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:**

01 Jess Stairs, Westmoreland County.

25

02 **REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO:** 03 Mario Scavello, Monroe County. 04 **REPRESENTATIVE EVANS:** 05 John Evans, Erie County. 06 CHAIR: 07 And that's it for members. We have some 80 staff folks here. Eric Bugaile and Paul Jovanis. And 09 I'm going to turn the mic over to Keith, and we're going to get started. 10 11 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me just 12 take this opportunity to thank Chairman Geist, members 13 of the Committee for participating in today's hearing. 14 15 This is an extremely important hearing in my mind, and one that is timely. It is an issue --- driving 16 distraction is an issue that has confronted both the 17 18 House and the Senate over the course of the last 10 or 15 years. There has been much debate by the public at 19 20 large, as well as in the press as to what the overall 21 cause and real cause of driving distraction is on our 22 roads. 23 And I think it's incumbent upon us to 24 reach out to the public and get input from various

constituencies on their thoughts, so we can really

б

ol shape a good piece of legislation for consideration by the House of Representatives. So I want to thank the Chairman, and certainly Representative Gerber, who has been a leader in our Congress on this issue for taking the time to bring the Committee here for this important hearing.

07 CHAIR:

08 Thank you. First on the list is Craig 09 Reed, PE, Director of Bureau of Highway Safety & 10 Traffic Engineering. If you want to use the podium, 11 you can, or if you want to pace and lecture, you can 12 do that.

13 MR. REED:

Good morning. Like you said, my name is 14 15 Craig Reed. I'm the Director of the Bureau of Highway Safety & Traffic Engineering in PennDOT. The Bureau 16 17 is responsible for a variety of highway transportation 18 programs that are intended to maintain and improve the 19 mobility and safety of transportation system users. 20 Our safety programs range from pavement markings and 21 traffic control devices to programs for injuries and 22 seat belt usage and decreasing aggressive driving or 23 driving under the influence.

24 Our responsibilities also include25 collecting and managing the information on all

01 recordable motor-vehicle crashes within the

02	Commonwealth. On behalf of Secretary Biehler, I'd
03	like to thank you for the opportunity to provide
04	testimony at this public hearing.
05	Determining the causes of crashes is
06	vital to identifying and prioritizing crash mitigation
07	programs. Distracted driving is one type of causal
08	factor and is typically defined as an object or event
09	that draws one's attention from the driving task.
10	Research literature identifies four ways in which
11	drivers may be distracted: visually, audibly,
12	physically or cognitively. For the purposes of this
13	kind of testimony, I also include fatigue as a
14	distraction, even though fatigue driving is
15	characterized by a progressive withdrawal of
16	attention, rather than an object or event.
17	A 2004 study by the National Highway
18	Traffic Safety Administration attributes one-fourth of
19	all crashes to inattentive driving. That study
20	defines inattentive as distracted, fatigued or look
21	but didn't see. Approximately 12 percent of these
22	inattentive crashes involved one or more distracted
23	drivers, four percent that were sleepy or had fallen
24	asleep and ten percent that looked, but didn't see.
25	The study also observed that an estimated one percent

of all heavy truck crashes, 36 percent of fatal truck
crashes and 15 to 33 percent of fatal to the truck
occupant crashes are attributed to fatigue.
It should also be noted that distracted

and fatigued driving are thought to be under reported since there is most often no evidence of driver distraction or fatigue at the crash scene and drivers may be reluctant to admit distraction.

Other statistics of interest observed 09 10 from the national data include 23 percent of 11 distracted drivers say they were distracted by looking for something outside the vehicle, such as a building 12 or a street sign. Nineteen (19) percent of drivers 13 were dealing with a child or another passenger. 14 15 Fourteen (14) percent were looking for something inside the vehicle. Eleven (11) percent were 16 distracted by another driver. Three percent were 17 18 distracted by an animal outside of the car, and 20 percent were distracted by some other distraction. 19

Taking a look a little more closely here at home, Pennsylvania crash report includes 31 driver codes that attempt to identify actions that may have contributed to causing a crash. These 31 codes include driver was distracted, driving using a handheld phone, and driving using a hands-free phone.

01 It should be noted that the phone action codes were 02 added to Pennsylvania's crash report form in 2001. 03 In Pennsylvania, over the last five 04 years, 2001 to 2005, distracted driving had been 05 recorded for an average of nearly 11,000 crashes a 06 year, or approximately eight percent of all reportable 07 crashes in the Commonwealth. These crashes, over that 80 five-year period, accounted for 45,000 injuries, 1,300 major injuries and 301 fatalities. 09 10 Over that same period, police reported 11 distraction due to the use of handheld or hand-free phones on approximately 1,000 crashes per year, or 12 less than one percent of all reportable crashes. 13 When considering gender and age, we 14 15 compared the percentage of male and female driver 16 involvement in all crashes versus involvement in reported distracted driver crashes. In all cases 17 18 except the 18 to 24-year-old females, and 55 to 64-year-old males, the percentages were equal. 19 In the category of 18 to 24-year-old 20 21 females, these drivers accounted for 13.6 percent of 22 all crashes, and 16.2 percent of distracted driver 23 crashes, suggesting an over representation in 24 distracted driver crashes. For males age 55 to 64, we 25 found an under representation based upon involvement

01 of five percent of all crashes and only four percent 02 of distracted driver crashes. Overall, Pennsylvania 03 statistics are generally consistent with those derived 04 from national data.

05 In closing, I would like to share with 06 you a few other observations that cannot be directly 07 correlated to distracted driving, but are worthy to 08 note. Each year, approximately 1,500 fatalities occur 09 on Pennsylvania's highways. Although research report 10 varies in the exact number, the majority of crashes 11 are due to driver error. Secondly, approximately 40 percent of all crashes involve, single vehicle run-12 off-the-road crashes. 13

14 Finally, 29 percent of fatal crashes
15 involving a 16-year-old driver occur when the number
16 of occupants is three or more. For the 20 to 49 year
17 old age group, this percentage decreases to 18
18 percent.

19 I'm sure we all recognize, but I point 20 out that distracted driving is a behavioral issue. 21 Like all other behavioral issues, it's difficult to 22 address. Successful intervention most often results 23 from a comprehensive coordinated use of engineering 24 education and enforcement actions. Thank you. 25 CHAIR: 01 Thank you very much. Just a couple 02 questions. When you read about this stuff, and I 03 guess, you get to the point you read so much. How 04 many of these are multiple factors? Distraction, not 05 just where it would fit into one category. 06 MR. REED: 07 I don't have an exact number, but I would 80 say the majority --- you know, I'd say a very high percentage. Eighty (80) to 90 percent all involve 09 10 some type of multiple factors. 11 CHAIR: And then the next question is, if the 12 percentage is so high, why are there so few tickets 13 written in Pennsylvania by police forces for 14 15 inattentive driving? MR. REED: 16 Well, again, ---. 17 18 CHAIR: 19 They have to observe it if we observe it. 20 MR. REED: 21 I guess as you point out --- I point out 22 in the testimony, often by the time a --- unless a 23 police officer observes the crash, by the time they 24 get to the crash scene, there's very little evidence 25 that inattentiveness may have been a contributing

01 factor to the crash. And a lot of times drivers are 02 very hesitant to admit that, because they're afraid 03 --- even though we're a no-fault state, they're afraid 04 that if they admit that, they're going to be assigned 05 some sort of blame, and it would be --- you know, 06 present more problems for them. So it really is very 07 difficult to pin down an end report. 08 CHAIR: What I'm referring to is that person 09 who's in traffic reading a book, doing all these 10 11 different things, do they ever get written up? MR. REED: 12 Perhaps your next speaker could address 13 that more appropriately than I. I would doubt 14 15 that ---. 16 CHAIR: 17 Why don't you address it right now? Just 18 do it. 19 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 20 Usually what happens at --- if somebody's committing a violation, such as you're describing, 21 22 falls under the vehicle code careless driving, which 23 somebody operates a vehicle in careless, disregard for personal safety is ---. That's what could be cited 24 for careless driving. Usually, even if somebody's 25

01 engaging in another activity, they're going to commit 02 another moving violation, whether it's following a 03 vehicle too closely, weaving, which will be driving on 04 roadways waiting for traffic. And quite often, if 05 they're going to be cited, they're going to be cited 06 for the more applicable moving violation, the actual 07 violation, rather than ---. Careless driving is a very broad vehicle 08

09 code section, and sometimes it's difficult to prove, 10 because when you take it to the next level, to court, 11 how do you prove it was careless if no crash resulted 12 from it.

13 CHAIR:

14 Thank you. Anybody else? Dante.

15 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI:

I think we're going to be hearing about a 16 17 bill that deals with not using the cell phones while 18 driving. But in your testimony you talk about codes --- a handheld phone and a hands-free phone. Is there 19 --- and I know there's a bill that restricts it to 20 just hands-free. Is there a distinction with more 21 22 crashes with a handheld than hands-free, or is it just 23 as dangerous with anything --- any type of distraction while using a hands-free or not? 24

MR. REED:

01 I think any time you're distracted it's 02 just as dangerous. And as I reported in some of the statistics from the national studies, there's just a 03 04 whole ton of ways a driver can be distracted. I 05 couldn't assign a heavier weight to any one over 06 another. 07 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: 08 You don't have statistics that speak --there's no statistics that describes so many crashes 09 10 happened hands-free, and ---? MR. REED: 11 I could produce those. I don't have 12 13 those with me right now. 14 CHAIR: 15 Anything else? Thank you very much. MR. REED: 16 17 You're welcome. 18 CHAIR: 19 Next to re-appear, Captain Dennis Dougherty, Director of Patrol Services Division. And 20 before you start, do you want to give us a report on 21 22 Captain McHale (phonetic). Is he surviving? 23 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 24 He graduated last week there. So I 25 actually saw him down there, provisional with somebody

01 who's next in line to go. And I think he's enjoying 02 himself. Not that he didn't enjoy himself then with 03 members of the legislature, but he is having a good 04 time down there, I believe.

05 Good morning. As you stated, my name is 06 Dennis Dougherty. I'm the Captain with the 07 Pennsylvania State Police. I'm the Director of the 08 Patrol Services Division and the Bureau of Patrol. On behalf of Colonel Jeffrey B. Miller, the Commissioner 09 10 of State Police, I would like to thank the Committee 11 for the invitation to provide testimony at this public 12 hearing today.

Distracted driving is a major concern to 13 the State Police because highway safety is a major 14 15 objective of the State Police. In our efforts to achieve this objective, troopers enforce the traffic 16 laws of the Commonwealth as part of their normal 17 18 patrol duties. Troopers also investigate vehicle 19 crashes in the hope of identifying causal factors and 20 then taking the appropriate enforcement action to 21 hopefully help prevent future crashes.

According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, NHTSA, which is more commonly known as acronym. Craig Reed mentioned this study, driver inattention is the leading factor in most

01 crashes and near crashes. And some of the primary 02 causes of inattention that NHTSA mentioned are cell 03 phone use and drowsiness. It mentioned some other 04 more common types of distractions, such as reaching 05 for a moving object in the vehicle, reading or 06 applying makeup.

07 The Pennsylvania Driver's Manual states 08 driving requires constant awareness of everything around you, such as speed, signs and road conditions. 09 10 Many drivers at some point look away from the road 11 while they're driving, whether it's to dial a cell 12 phone, when talking on the phone, to read a map, newspaper, to apply makeup, eat fast food, drink a cup 13 of coffee, check their BlackBerry, or even only 14 15 changing radio station or a CD.

However, the more frequently a driver engages in such multi-tasking activities, the greater the chances they'll be involved in a vehicle crash. No one can be sure when it is safe to look away from the road. The situation on a highway can change in an instant, leaving the driver little or no time to react.

Such examples of distracted driving are even more of a problem when the driver is already committing another motor-vehicle violation, such as

01 following a vehicle too closely or speeding.

02 Again, the Pennsylvania Driver's Manual 03 recommends that for safety purposes, drivers should 04 pull to the side of the road to make or receive calls. 05 The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 06 states that the primary responsibility of the driver 07 is to operate a motor vehicle safely. 08 The task of driving requires full 09 attention and focus. Cell phone use can distract drivers from this task, risking harm to themselves and 10 11 others. And therefore, the safest course of action is to refrain from using a cell phone while driving. 12 According to the NHTSA study I saw, six 13 percent of drivers use handheld phones while driving 14 15 in 2005, and that was a slight increase from five percent in 2004. The number of drivers speeding with 16 17 headsets on are using a hands-free phone while 18 driving, and increased from only .4 percent in 2004 to .7 percent in 2005. 19 20 Since 2001 Pennsylvania State Police have 21 been collecting data on the use of cellular telephones 22 by drivers involved in vehicle crashes investigated by

23 the State Police. I would note that part of this data 24 collection effort, that we did not note or collect

25 information to show whether the cell phone use

01 contributed to the crash.

02	An analysis of the data collected
03	indicate that the number of drivers in possession of a
04	cell phone has more than doubled since 2001, but those
05	persons using a cell phone at the time of the crash
06	has remained at a fairly constant rate of just over
07	one percent. 2001, 33 percent of drivers in crashes
08	had a cell phone with them at the time of the crash,
09	and of these drivers, 1.2 percent were using the cell
10	phone at the time of the crash. In 2005, 80 percent
11	of the drivers involved in crashes had a cell phone
12	with them, but again, only 1.2 percent of these
13	drivers were using the phone at the time of the crash.
14	However, as Mr. Reed alluded to, in many
14 15	However, as Mr. Reed alluded to, in many cases these figures were based solely on the
15	cases these figures were based solely on the
15 16	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an
15 16 17	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This
15 16 17 18	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This reflects a good part of any data that we collect
15 16 17 18 19	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This reflects a good part of any data that we collect related to the role of cell phone usage or any
15 16 17 18 19 20	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This reflects a good part of any data that we collect related to the role of cell phone usage or any secondary activity in a vehicle crash.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This reflects a good part of any data that we collect related to the role of cell phone usage or any secondary activity in a vehicle crash. Mr. Reed also mentioned that the new
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cases these figures were based solely on the statements of the drivers, and they may not be an accurate reflection of cell phone usage. This reflects a good part of any data that we collect related to the role of cell phone usage or any secondary activity in a vehicle crash. Mr. Reed also mentioned that the new Department of Transportation crash report does contain

01 the statement of the driver to make a determination in 02 most cases whether a cell phone use or some other type 03 of distracted driving played a part in the crash. So 04 again, this may not be a true indicator of the effect 05 of cell phone usage in vehicle crashes.

06 As I mentioned when I was in the 07 audience, if a trooper determines that use of a cell 80 phone by a driver was a contributing factor to a crash or any traffic violation, can be cited under the 09 10 vehicle code, Section 3714 for careless driving. But 11 again, often a more specific traffic violation occurs 12 as a result of a driver engaging in a secondary activity while they're driving. The more common ones 13 are following too closely, driving on roadways waiting 14 15 for traffic, and driving on the right side of the 16 roadway. And both of those later involved changing lanes. It's just a matter of if it's a two-lane 17 18 highway or a four-lane highway.

19 Troopers, as all law enforcements are 20 expected to conduct enforcement against every observed 21 traffic law violator when possible. Special emphasis 22 of giving a driver under --- driving under the 23 influence of alcohol, controlled substance, exceeding 24 maximum speed limits and any other hazardous violation 25 which creates a risk of personal injury and/or

01 contributes to a traffic crash. The troopers must 02 also consider what offenses are the most serious and 03 readily provable. Some vehicle code violations are 04 easier to articulate and prove than others.

05 While the ability to multi-task may be a 06 desirable attribute in the office or at home, all 07 available research I've seen indicates that drivers 80 should do their best to focus solely on driving and 09 any potential hazards when they're behind the wheel. 10 Engaging in any other activity while driving increases 11 the chances that the driver will be involved in a vehicle crash. 12

13 The State Police have and will continue 14 to take appropriate enforcement action against those 15 drivers who commit traffic violation in our effort to 16 minimize the number of vehicle crashes and resulting 17 injuries and fatalities that result far too often.

18 Once again, thanks for the opportunity to 19 appear before this Committee today, and I'd be happy 20 to answer any questions.

21 CHAIR:

I have just one, especially to Keith. This just struck me. Correct me if I'm wrong. There is a higher percentage of people involved in accidents with inattentive driving than a percentage of non-use

01 of seat belts, based upon Pennsylvania's numbers; is 02 that correct? CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 03 04 I'm not sure I'm aware of those. I mean, 05 we don't --- Pennsylvania, I didn't collect those. 06 This just shows that one of the leading is inattentive 07 driving. Not wearing his seat belt wouldn't be a 08 cause of a traffic accident. 09 CHAIR: 10 Right. And I know that that's such an 11 emphasis of the State Police is that they --- the secondary offense is seat belt law. 12 13 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: See, that would go to reducing injuries 14 15 and fatalities. It would not prevent crashes, but it would minimize the number of people hurt in a traffic 16 17 accident. 18 CHAIR: 19 But if we emphasized inattentive driving more, we would probably have less crashes; correct? 20 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 21 22 We would hope so. I would hope so, yes. 23 CHAIR: I just realized ---. 24 25 CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

01	Just a little follow-up on how you
02	collect data. And it's obvious that in 2001 to 2005,
03	the use of these people reporting that they had phone
04	or cell phones in their car at the time of an
05	accident, though exponentially, the actual admission
06	remained constant at 1.2 percent both in 2001 and
07	2005. So it's telling me that I mean, it seems
08	skewed that that number wouldn't move, that it
09	wouldn't be higher. And certainly, I don't think
10	there's really any incentive for anybody to tell you
11	that they were on the telephone, unless you observe it
12	when an accident occurs.
13	I'm wondering, do you keep any
14	information on your enforcement activity with people
15	that may be cited for, you know, driving too closely,
16	wreckless driving and changing lanes, that the police
17	officers are observing I mean, there are a lot of
18	us who are on the highway all the time. We see people
19	driving by with the cell phone or putting makeup on,
20	all those things. Not just cell phones, I don't want
21	to just say a lot of it is cell phones.
22	CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:
23	Sure.
24	CHAIRMAN MCCALL:
25	But what, I guess, kind of data does the

01 State Police have on citations that have been issued 02 in those instances where it may have been a cell phone 03 or some type of other distraction?

04 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

05 We don't collect the data. We get our 06 information from the traffic citation that is 07 submitted, and the Administrative Office of Cordea 08 P.C. (phonetic) tracks a lot of the citation issues. I didn't bring it with me, but I kind of get you 09 10 following too closely is written quite often. But 11 again, that's a difficult violation to prove if there wasn't a crash, because quite often --- well, how do 12 you know he's too close if a crash didn't result. But 13 that's why part --- a lot of times they have the facts 14 15 on the highways, another indicator, if you wanted to 16 cite somebody.

I could get those dots, I didn't bring them with me. As far as how many number per year, certain citations. Following too closely is written quite often, but it's not nearly as often as, say, speeding.

CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

22

Because the numbers just --- when you
look at the numbers that you report, it really doesn't
show that that is certainly maybe a significant cause

01 --- it's a cause, ---

02 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

03 Right.

04 CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

05 --- but it may not be a significant 06 cause, especially since there's such a great number 07 reporting that they now have those phones in their car 08 you can --- the accident rate where the person's 09 claiming that they're using them doesn't grow ---. 10 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

11 Right. And again, that's --- you know, that's based on their statement, and there may be a 12 witness observation. So there's no other independent 13 evidence. But you know, again, citation like 14 15 following too closely is difficult to prove in court unless a crash resulted. Because quite often the 16 District Magistrate or District Judge may say, well, 17 18 how can you tell if somebody was too close if there 19 was no accident.

20

CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

What would you say --- just your professional opinion. I mean, we're trying to look at it as, as maybe, I don't know, are we looking for, you know, a problem that maybe isn't there, but, you know, we've always heard that it's a matter of maybe doing 01 engineering changes on our roadways or education 02 enforcement. In your professional opinion in law 03 enforcement, what should we be looking at, as policy 04 makers on this issue? I mean, when you talk driver 05 distraction, the big picture, not just cell phones? 06 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

07 I think education is probably the main component, just as any traffic violation, we need the 80 09 public to cooperate and take more time, you know, when 10 they're driving not to be in such a hurry to get to 11 work. That's probably one of the main causes that people are taking --- pulling out into intersections 12 when they shouldn't be, misjudging other vehicles' 13 speed. So education is always a primary component. 14 15 Enforcement of distracted driving is going to be 16 difficult, because there's so many people who engage in distracted driving, and how can you articulate that 17 18 that particular activity led to a crash. So enforcement strictly of a distracted activity would be 19 20 difficult to do. And I think education is probably a 21 very important aspect of it. 22 CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

It's always difficult to legislate
behavior, because really what we're talking about here
is behavior modification. How do we change people's

01 behavior. And probably the best solution to that is

02 education.

03 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

04 Right.

05 CHAIRMAN MCCALL:

06 Thank you.

07 CHAIR:

08 The Dean of Education in the House of09 Representatives, Jess Stairs.

10 REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:

11 I got a couple on here. I'd like to ask you a educational question, since I got that. I was 12 just wanting to follow up on the Representative's 13 questions. And in your remarks, you know, you state 14 15 about research saying that drivers should focus on driving only, and then, of course, in your end remarks 16 or engage any other activity while driving increases 17 18 the chances the driver might have an accident. And of 19 course, I'm not here to pick on the cell phone, because I know there's people that's eating their 20 lunch and disciplining kids and 1,001 other things 21 22 maybe while they're driving. 23 So before we pass bills or legislation

24 to, you know, restrict any practice --- and you
25 mentioned education. What have we done in education

01 --- and you may --- would not have the information in 02 front of you right now, and maybe you can get that for 03 the Committee, but what have we done statewide, 04 whether it be PennDOT or the State Police or other 05 agencies, AAA, for example, I know they're here today, 06 to educate drivers --- and I know that's saying 07 something and making it sound very simple, educating 80 drivers. I think it's very complex to get people to 09 --- you know, to drive and try to be alert 99.9 percent of their time on driving rather than other 10 11 activities, whether they're late for work or what have you. But what have we done in education? Do you 12 think we've done enough, or we have done the right 13 things, or is it askew? We give an education and go 14 to some really --- okay, let's start issuing 15 16 citations, or passing laws? CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 17 From the State Police perspective, I'm 18 not sure what education efforts we undertake. We have 19 20 community services officers make presentations. To be 21 honest, I don't know what their lesson plans are, how 22 much it includes distracted driving, other than ---23 I'm sure in high school they teach you basic driving rules, but other than that, as far as State Police, I 24 really don't have any information. I can check to see 25

01 what particular programs we have designed that way, 02 but I'm not familiar to that.

03 REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:

04 Well, maybe some of the other presenters 05 today, they might not have that with them today, or 06 they might present that, but I'd ask them if they 07 could give that to our Committee, whether it be the 08 Department of Transportation or AAA, or any agency out 09 there, just give us an opinion on what we're doing in 10 education, and whether it's futile or it's the wrong 11 way of doing, we should change our style of education to give people. That's all I want to know, is how can 12 --- are they reachable, or are people going to still 13 drive while doing other things, because it won't 14 15 happen to me, it'll happen to somebody else. And 16 they'll just ignore the education.

17 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

18 In most cases, State Police were doing --- just safe driving as a whole. I mean, we 19 20 concentrate on DUIs, speed limits, but any type of 21 crash results, people are either going to be cited for 22 a different violation, which is, you know, part of the 23 vehicle codes, so ---. You know, it's particularly 24 focused on distracted driving. As far as education, it's probably not something we do a whole lot of. 25

REPRESENTATIVE	0777700.
NEENEGENIALLVE	OTATUO.

02 And maybe we can look at that again, and you might want to change your mind. Okay. Thank you. 03 04 CHAIR: 05 Mario? 06 **REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO:** 07 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, 80 Captain, for your testimony. In the states that have adopted cell phone --- eliminated cell phone usage in 09 10 a vehicle, has there --- are there any statistics that accidents from distracted drivers have been reduced? 11 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 12 13 I don't have that information. I know New York has it as a secondary violation, but I don't 14 know how long they've had it, what the effect is. 15 **REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO:** 16 17 So it's been over a year, at least a 18 couple of years. CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY: 19 Yeah, I don't have that information. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: 21 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIR: 24 Thank you very much. 25 CAPTAIN DOUGHERTY:

01 Thank you.

02 CHAIR:

03 Next up is that fellow that keeps me 04 straight all the time on the floor, House 05 Representative Chris Ross, who has been involved in 06 this issue, I guess, from the get go. You probably 07 have battle stripes on inattentive driving than anybody in the House, so ---. We're anxious to hear 08 09 what you have to say. 10 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for inviting me here. I'm sorry, I wasn't here for 12 the start. I got tangled up in a little bit of 13 traffic, which has been known to happen down here in 14 15 Philadelphia from time to time. It is true that I've been interested in 16 17 this subject for a number of years. As a matter of 18 fact, I actually know the legislator in New York who 19 introduced the cell phone ban there in --- or the 20 adjustment to the cell phone rules in New York City 21 and New York State, and was concerned about this issue 22 for a number of years. And my immediate reaction ---23 and I'm not holding myself out here as an expert. 24 You're certainly are going to hear from a number of 25 other people today that are --- really have more

01 detail and facts, and perhaps technical expertise in 02 this subject, but really is coming before you as a 03 layperson who is --- drives the roads, looks at other 04 people as they're driving, and also just really 05 considering what goes on in the car, and what seems to 06 be dangerous behavior.

07 And it struck me that the idea of 80 focusing strictly on cell phones was too narrow. And 09 really looking at some of the information that has 10 been presented to me, and I among other things have 11 had a chance to look at a rather thick document here, 12 which I didn't make copies for all of you before, but does go into a tremendous amount of detail. This was 13 done in Australia actually, and they refer to a number 14 15 of studies in Canada, the United States and elsewhere. 16 And they really frame this discussion in a much 17 broader way than merely referring simply to cell 18 phones. They talk about inattention and then 19 separately is also distracted driving. Inattention, 20 letting your mind wander, daydreaming, not paying 21 strict attention to the road, maybe getting a little 22 drowsy, and then distracted driving, which has an 23 incredibly large number of possible sources, everything from, obviously, the cell phone to other 24 kinds of electronic devices, navigational equipment 25

01 that is being used in a lot of cars to a whole range 02 of other kinds of behavior that distract people. Obviously, moms with --- and dads with 03 04 kids that are acting up in the backseat. The idea 05 that some people actually go out and try and read the 06 morning newspaper or a novel as they're driving down 07 the highways, doing your morning shaving because 80 you're a little late to work or doing your makeup. So a broad range of different kinds of activities. 09 And so a number of terms ago, I put a 10 11 piece of legislation together, which was designed to consider a broad array of different kinds of 12 distracted driving and fit it into the code as it 13 currently exists, tied into the subject of careless 14 15 driving, which is currently, I think, on the books and is an offense, which has a series of different fines 16 associated with it. And the idea behind this session 17 is my House Bill, number 946, which applies an 18 additional penalty where distracted driving can be 19 20 determined, either through witnesses, as was described 21 earlier, or through direct observation or admission. 22 And it's a penalty if you actually engage 23 in activity that causes you to be pulled over by the police, or if you're in a traffic accident, or 24 something along that line. And it talks about a 25

01 variety of other kinds of things, including but not 02 limited to the use of a cell phone. Any electronic, 03 electrical, mechanical, personal grooming device, 04 food, drink, book or printed matter shall be an 05 additional penalty added on, or otherwise that are 06 found to be violating the rules of the road in one way 07 or another or contributing to an accident. 80 I think this is sort of a logical 09 approach, because it broadens the scope out a little 10 bit and really considers all of the different sources 11 rather than simply narrowly focusing on one. And I did note in reviewing the information from Australia 12 that this was not considered the most frequent cause 13 of distracted driving accidents, the use of cell 14 15 phones, that there are a variety of others, 16 particularly distractions from other passengers. And I did pick up some of the comments earlier that they 17 18 actually talk about confusing road signs as being a 19 source of accidents. So there are a range of other 20 things that go beyond the legislation that I've got before you this morning, that also need to be 21 22 considered to help us reduce the number of crashes 23 that we have on the road, and improve the safety for 24 all of our constituents and the people of Pennsylvania as a whole. 25

01 So that's sort of a brief summary of what 02 I'm attempting to do with my legislation. And 03 obviously, I'm happy to try and answer any questions 04 that, Mr. Chairman, you or any of the members of the 05 Committee might have. 06 CHAIR: 07 Well, being a subject of nobody's an 80 expert, are you the House expert? REPRESENTATIVE ROSS: 09 10 That's fairly freightening. 11 CHAIR: We admit it. That's why we're having 12 this hearing. Anybody have any questions? I would 13 like to introduce --- I keep forgetting to do this, 14 15 but Joe Petrarca, another member of Armstrong and Westmoreland County, joined us. And he's here with 16 17 Eric and the late Mike Gerber. Go ahead, Keith. 18 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 19 Just a quick question, Representative 20 Ross. And it goes back to the previous question. 21 Anything on education in your legislation that would 22 require, maybe, our driver's manuals to address driver 23 distraction, or, you know, some form of education 24 component? 25 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:**

01 No. My Bill is really pretty narrow and 02 focused on this particular tweet to the whole subject 03 of careless driving, extending that, but I think it's 04 an excellent idea, and certainly would be supportive 05 of that sort of an effort, because clearly sometimes 06 people don't think about what they're doing, and that 07 winds up creating accidents. So anything that we can 80 heighten people's sensibilities in this area a little bit, probably even merely the discussions we're having 09 10 here today, being broadcast on the news media I'm sure 11 are going to be helpful in and of themselves. CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 12 All right. Thank you very much. 13 CHAIR: 14 15 Roy? REPRESENTATIVE BALDWIN: 16 17 Representative Ross, in a previous 18 hearing we had heard about distraction as it deals with teenage drivers and the number of occupants in a 19 20 car. Is there any, I guess, statistical data that 21 you've seen that would indicate that that's a major 22 distraction with teenagers, or ---? 23 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** 24 I noticed that that was one of the things that was discussed in the report from Australia, that 25

01 particularly new drivers who don't have as much 02 experience are a little bit exuberant and perhaps have some excited people in the car with them, that that 03 04 increases the level of distraction. I don't have any, 05 you know, hard and fast data, but that was something 06 that was mentioned in here as being an important 07 issue. And certainly, I know that the idea of giving 08 them a sort of half step that we've actually done in legislature already for the new drivers to try and get 09 10 them comfortable, get a little road experience 11 underneath them before they add all the layers of distraction that might otherwise be tempting to lose 12 their focus a little bit, I think, is certainly a good 13 idea. 14 15 **REPRESENTATIVE BALDWIN:** 16 Thank you. CHAIR: 17 18 Just to note, we're going to be going on --- when we come back we'll address that. 19 REPRESENTATIVE ROSS: 20 21 I think it's a great idea. CHAIR: 22 23 Yeah, so remedial action to the teenage driving. Anyone else? Thank you very much, Chris. 24 25 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:**

01 Thank you.

02 CHAIR: 03 Is this your former campus? 04 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** 05 No, no. I have daughter --- I send some 06 money here, but ---. 07 CHAIR: 08 I'm just joking. Next up is Josh Shapiro, an ad hoc member of our Committee. 09 10 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** Thank you. Chairman Geist, Chairman 11 McCall, fellow colleagues, thank you for the 12 13 opportunity to testify before you today on the topic of driver distractions, and in particular, the 14 15 distraction posed by the use of handheld cell phones while driving. 16 17 In June I introduced House Bill 2821, 18 with over 30 co-sponsors, which would make it a 19 summary offense to operate a vehicle while using a 20 handheld cell phone. Exceptions were carved out in my 21 legislation for emergency workers or for drivers in 22 emergency situations. Drivers would still be 23 permitted to use their cell phones while driving, 24 provided they use the hands-free device, such as a 25 speakerphone or a headset or Blue Tooth.

01 While cell phones have clearly become a 02 key to managing our daily lives, and not a tool which I wish to eliminate I do seek to make their use safer. 03 04 A driver does have the right to use a cell phone while 05 driving, but the driver does not have the right to 06 threaten the lives of other drivers and passengers 07 through the use of that cell phone. 08 My aim is simple. To disconnect distracted drivers and to make our roadways safer. I 09 10 think the facts are clear. According to the National 11 Highway Traffic Safety Administration in their April

2006 report, driver inattention is the leading factor 12 in most crashes and near crashes. The most common 13 distraction, the use of cell phones. In Pennsylvania, 14 15 according to PennDOT figures, 1,170 crashes were reported in 2004 because of the use of handheld cell 16 phones. That number is likely higher, as you heard 17 18 earlier from Captain Dougherty, because the reporting 19 is done on a voluntary basis by those drivers.

20 National Highway Traffic Safety 21 Administration reports that the percentage of drivers 22 holding cell phones to their ears increased from five 23 percent to six percent between 2004 and 2005. Let me 24 put that in perspective for you. That means that at 25 any given moment on the U.S. roadways 974,000

01 motorists are operating their vehicle while using a
02 cell phone.

Unfortunately, young people between the 03 04 ages of 16 to 24 are most likely to be driving with a 05 handheld cell phone. A full ten percent of the 06 drivers on our roadways using a handheld cell phone 07 are between the age of 16 and 24, and given the 80 legislation that Chairman Geist just referred to, that we will be considering when we return, I think we all 09 10 recognize the dangers posed by young drivers. And I 11 think this is hardly the demographic that we want distracted behind the wheel. 12

Studies show that using a hands-free or 13 handheld cell phone is a distraction for a driver. I 14 15 acknowledge that. I also acknowledge that there are all sorts of distractions for drivers, as 16 Representative Ross talked about. If we can construct 17 18 a car without a radio, without buttons, without knobs, 19 without children, without food, without passengers, we would certainly make our roadways safer. And I agree 20 with Director Reed, that these behaviors are certainly 21 22 behaviors, it's very hard to legislate them.

23 We have the technology at our disposal to 24 address at least one of these distractions. House 25 Bill 2821, my legislation, is a realistic attempt to

01 make our drivers less distracted, make use of the 02 technology to make our roadways safer. Studies have 03 shown that getting a cell phone out of the hand of 04 drivers makes them drive more safely.

In a recent study done by Design Science, with a hands-free device, 71 percent of the drivers tested steered more accurately. 100 percent of the drivers had faster brake time. And 92 percent of those drivers maintained more consistent speed when using a headset and having their hands available to be on the wheel.

Other states are far ahead of us. New 12 York passed a ban on the use of handheld cell phones, 13 as Representative Ross alluded to in 2001. New 14 15 Jersey, Connecticut and the District of Columbia have 16 also passed laws to ban the use of handheld cell phones. And California passed a bill in both the 17 18 House and Senate, and the Governor has indicated his willingness to sign it when it reaches his desk. 19 20 It is time for us to make our roadways 21 safer, and I believe my legislation is a concrete 22 first step. It clearly has public support and has 23 already passed in a slightly different version in the 24 State Senate.

25 After introducing my legislation, I

01 received significant support from across the state. I 02 want to share with you a few of the e-mails and 03 letters I've received, and we received dozens of them. 04 And Mr. Chairman, I'd just like to share just a couple 05 of them. This one is from Christina in Spring Grove, 06 Pennsylvania. Although, I'm not in your district, I 07 just want to thank you for proposing the banning the 80 use of handheld cell phones. My father was hit head-on and killed by a man operating a vehicle by 09 10 using a cell phone. It has been two years since the 11 accident, and we're now awaiting for the driver to 12 appear for a preliminary hearing.

13 The proposed ban would be a great asset 14 to Pennsylvania's roadways, since the cell phone use 15 has gotten out of hand. We asked Christina to appear 16 today. Unfortunately, she was attending this hearing 17 --- attending the preliminary hearing and couldn't be 18 here today.

I also received an e-mail from the Chief Risk Officer of one of the largest insurance companies in the State of Pennsylvania, from out in the Pittsburgh area. Prompt passage of this legislation will save many Pennsylvanians from injury or death. As Chief Risk Officer for a major asset manager, it is my business to think about ways to control risk. Your

01 bill is a sterling example of improved risk control.

02 This is from Allen in Jenkintown, 03 Pennsylvania. I'm 200 percent behind your effort to 04 enact a hands-free cell phone law. I almost got hit 05 the other day when I turned into a one-way street, and 06 the woman had just exited a parking lot going the 07 wrong way while talking on her handheld cell phone. 08 She was oblivious to the fact that she was going the 09 wrong way on a one-way street. Please get this bill 10 through, it's long overdue. 11 This is from John in Highspire, who's not a lover of government, as you'll see. I do not want 12 politicians legislating people's behavior until their 13 behavior adversely affects others. I believe that to 14 15 be the case here, and I hope your legislation passes. Mr. Chairman, I have dozens of those 16 e-mails. I won't share any more with the Committee, 17 18 but I do believe that this is a reasonable approach to address an out-of-control problem. It is a way in 19 which we can use the technology available to us to 20 21 make our roadways safer without infringing upon the 22 rights of Pennsylvanians. So Mr. Chairman, I would be 23 more than happy to address any questions from you or 24 Committee members. And I wanted to thank you for honoring your word and commitment to having this 25

01 hearing today. Thank you.

02 CHAIR:

- 03 Anybody have any questions?
- 04 REPRESENTATIVE EVANS:

05 Representative, would you not agree that 06 one of the most distractions --- one of the most 07 distracted times when using a cell phone is when 08 you're dialing the phone?

09 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

10 I do, and I think that that is an issue 11 that many of the cell phone companies who are going to testify later will address. Many cell phones now come 12 equipped with voice dialing. Many cell phones now 13 come equipped with speed dialing so that the time in 14 15 which you have to hold the phone in your hand is limited under the new technologies that have been made 16 available by the cell phone companies. 17

18 REPRESENTATIVE EVANS:

Wouldn't though be a flaw in your
legislation, because hands-free, you still have to
dial the number. That's the most distractible time,
or one of the most distractible instances is when
you're making the call. I just don't see how using a
hands-free phone in your legislation would eliminate
that aspect.

01 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

02	Sure. Representative Evans, with certain
03	phones you certainly can do that with voice dialing,
04	and many more phones are coming equipped with that
05	today. Certainly not all, and I acknowledge that
06	point. As the technology progress as newer phones get
07	developed, I think they'll all probably have that.
08	I'd be interested to hear what the cell phone
09	companies have to say. But certainly dialing is a
10	distraction. Any of these uses of phones are a
11	distraction, but if we can limit the amount of time
12	people are holding them in their hands, I think that
13	we're going to be able to make our roadways safer.
14	REPRESENTATIVE EVANS:
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIR:
17	Keith?
18	CHAIRMAN MCCALL:
19	Just to follow-up with the question asked
20	of Representative Ross. And I thank you,
21	Representative Shapiro, for your work you've been
22	doing on this legislation. Just as an education
23	component, do you have an education component in your
24	
	legislation, anything that would require us to put

01 type of public education programs?

02 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

We do not, but I think that that would be 03 04 an important aspect of this. I have noted that 05 various cell phone companies have had --- they do have 06 posters that are up in various places that encourage 07 the use of driving with a headset. That's in a lot of 80 their advertisements nowadays. I think that'd be an excellent amendment or additional piece of legislation 09 10 to compliment this bill. 11 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: Thank you. 12 13 CHAIR: 14 Thank you very much. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: 16 Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. CHAIR: 17 Next is Sprint Nextel, Gary Horewitz. 18 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION 19 20 MR. HOREWITZ: Mr. Chairman, two things. We do have a 21 22 DVD of one of Sprint Nextel's --- a 30-second clip of 23 one of our education programs that I do want to share, 24 that I do want to share with the Committee at an 25 appropriate time this evening.

01 CHAIR: 02 How many minutes do you need to set that 03 up? 04 MR. HOREWITZ: 05 I think it's --- well, they're going to 06 set up now, so probably --- we can do it at the end. 07 CHAIR: 80 Well, why don't we just take five minutes here and let you set up, and we'll come back here and 09 10 Josh has pop over here. MR. HOREWITZ: 11 The other question, I wonder if my 12 13 colleagues, Joe Divis from Cingular Wireless and Dan 14 Mullin from Verizon Wireless can join me and speak as 15 well. CHAIR: 16 Absolutely. We need all the help we can 17 18 get. MR. HOREWITZ: 19 That's for sure. 20 SHORT BREAK TAKEN 21 VIEWS VIDEO 22 23 MR. HOREWITZ: 24 I just want to point out, at the end of 25 the video, Mr. Chairman, but is that --- and of

01 course, the dean of education will tell you that one 02 of the best way to teach teens is with other --- is 03 with their peers, and that's why the focus on driving 04 program you'll see in the video is teens talking to 05 other teens. And again, we'll present some more 06 material on that as we testify. Thank you.

07 Mr. Chairman, Committee and guests. I 08 want to, again, thank you for the opportunity to speak 09 on this issue. My name, again, is Gary Horewitz. I'm 10 with Sprint Nextel. Joined by my colleagues, Don 11 Mullin and Joe Divis. Cingular Wireless, Verizon 12 Wireless and Sprint Nextel are pleased to be here on 13 this very important topic of driver distraction.

We, as three of the four national and 14 15 largest wireless carriers are very strongly committed 16 to increase the safe driving practices continually educating our customers and the need for safe and 17 18 commonsense driving. We believe this education and effort is showing its value. Wireless phone users 19 20 make millions of calls every day, including emergency 21 calls that can help make our roads and communities 22 safer when people report accidents and hazardous 23 situations.

Right now our nation has about 218million wireless phone users, and that use of those

01 phones has proven a valuable resource for police and 02 other emergency personnel, reporting the incidents 03 that we talked about.

The 911 calls to report drunk or aggressive drivers, accidents and other highway situations, and the industry association, the Cellular Telephone Industry Association estimates that there's over 224,000 calls to 911 from wireless phones every day.

10 In addition to instantly put people in 11 touch with health and emergency, the cellular phone 12 aids public safety by giving peace of mind to hurried 13 motorists permitting some drivers to communicate with 14 their destination, allowing them to thus drive with 15 less anxiety.

16 The business person who's caught in 17 traffic, travels the road more safely and securely, 18 because he or she can call ahead to the destination 19 when delayed, rather than anxiously trying to make up 20 that time. Parents become better motorists when they 21 have the ability to check in with their children or to 22 make arrangements if road delays occur.

But I think we've heard today in our testimony about the myriad of distractions and, of course, education being so crucial, and that is really

01 the focus of our testimony today. There are a number 02 of activities other than the driving test and a 03 commonly used phrase in some of the literature is 04 secondary task, by which a driver could be distracted 05 from the most important one, safe driving.

O6 Secondary tasks that could potentially
O7 hamper safe driving include eating, operating the
O8 radio, searching for that elusive CD, eating, reading,
O9 applying makeup, using a wireless phone, or other
10 wireless device, and other grooming tasks.

11 A recent magazine, the article Wireless Way, I believe it's been distributed to all of you and 12 we've made extra copies here available. It cites some 13 transportation experts that we'd like to note about 14 15 these myriad of potential distractions and the roll of 16 education. Colonel James Champagne, who's the 17 chairman of the Governor's Highway Safety Association, 18 Pennsylvania's a member, is quoted in the article as saying, quote, national traffic crash data does not 19 20 indicate the cell phone use while driving is anywhere 21 near the top when looking at the different types of 22 distracted driving. In fact, cell phone use as a 23 cause of traffic crashes ranked behind drowsy driving, reaching for something in the car while driving, 24 turning the radio knob, eating, putting on makeup and 25

01 talking with passengers. That's not to diminish the 02 importance that we understand about all distractions, including the potential use for a wireless phone. 03 04 But to that point, at least one recent 05 study shows the act of talking to a passenger in the 06 car can require the same level of cognitive effort as 07 communicating on the cell phone. 08 James Sayer, he's a Ph.D. at the Human Factors Division of University of Michigan 09 10 Transportation Institute, he authored a 2005 study, 11 the effects of secondary tasks, naturalistic driving 12 performance. That study illustrates the comparison of talking to the passengers and using a wireless device. 13 Dr. Sayer provides further insight when he's quoted as 14 15 saying --- the research was found that, quote, 16 learning that doing something other than driving 17 basically always has a negative effect on performance. 18 So again, there's an acknowledgement that tasks --any secondary task other than driving can potentially 19 20 cause a problem. 21 Sayer continued in the article saying,

quote, I'm not claiming that cell phones are safe, but I think that they have been vilified because they are new and visible. There are lots of other things in the car that have negative consequences in terms of

01 driving.

02	Mr. Chairman and members of the
03	Committee, we believe that the core issue is found in
04	the word potential. Engaging in any secondary task
05	has the potential to distract the driver and poor
06	driving. The key is for drivers to understand that
07	secondary tasks may require physical or mental effort.
08	Drivers need to learn when secondary
09	tasks are inappropriate and when to give their full
10	mental and physical effort to the most important task.
11	Again, safe driving. Most importantly, focus on just
12	cell phone usage while ignoring these other secondary
13	tasks could lead to not addressing the vast majority
14	of potential distractions. And again, some of the
15	numbers that you've heard today from the other
16	witnesses would reflect this.
17	The information above and the experts
18	that you've heard from highlights the importance of
19	educating consumers about many forms of distracted
20	driving. Again, Cingular Wireless, Verizon Wireless
21	and Sprint Nextel provide some of this education in
22	the materials that we have put in our phones the
23	customers receive and without corporate communications
24	media. Some carriers provide brochures focused solely
25	on driving safety. But beyond that we provide direct

01 education on driver distraction, especially to younger 02 drivers such as the ones you saw on the video, who are 03 not as experienced in appreciating the impact of 04 potential distractions in order to manage them. 05 My colleague, Joe Divis, will speak more 06 about this, but Cingular Wireless has developed and 07 nationally distributes a program called Be Sensible, 80 don't drive yourself to distraction. There's a video 09 teacher's guide and a classroom poster and activities. 10 My company Sprint Nextel was partner with state 11 highway patrols. And again, we will make --- plan to make the Pennsylvania State Troopers and Pennsylvania 12 priority with this. And we have also partnered with 13 National Association of Secondary School Program on 14 15 our program, which is called Focused on Driving. We 16 use real teams shown in videos and the materials in 17 problem-solving discussions on distracted driving 18 recognizing peer-to-peer education is the best method --- one of the best methods to reach teens. 19

20 Verizon Wireless, my colleague, Dan, will
21 speak to that. Drive Responsibly, Call with Care
22 provides educational video and instructional guides
23 for new drivers. These programs have reached millions
24 of new drivers. Again, wireless phones, as we have
25 heard are very important to Pennsylvania citizenry.

01 They are invaluable to Pennsylvania businesses, and 02 they are critical to the safety of all Pennsylvania 03 residents and visitors.

04 A driver can engage in poor driving due 05 to a variety of reasons, including engaging in 06 secondary tasks at inappropriate times. The wireless 07 industry continues to provide crucial education about 08 the many potential distractions, the impact of distracted driving and making sure there's appropriate 09 10 focus on the most important task, safe driving. I 11 want to thank you for that.

And again, if my colleagues could speak a 12 moment about their similar education programs and 13 position as well, but I also would like to make some 14 15 of our posters available. And just as a quick example 16 of some of the posters we've put in the schools, it's a picture of a car and showing its 2800 pounds and 17 18 mascara being .6 ounces. It says taking the wheel is 19 a ton of responsibility. Similar posters show that 20 the 2800-pound car can carry the 32 ounces of fast 21 food. Compact discs being two ounces, and we, of 22 course, include the four-ounce cell phone with a 23 2800-pound car. So education is of paramount importance to Sprint Nextel as well as my colleagues 24 25 company. And I'll allow them to speak. And of

01 course, I welcome your questions. And thank you for 02 the opportunity.

MR. MULLIN:

03

04 Good morning. Dan Mullin with Verizon 05 Wireless. I just want to add a little bit to what 06 Gary said about what Verizon Wireless is doing. On 07 the technical front back in the year 2000, the company 08 sent out a request to all manufacturers to include voice activated dialing, two-way speaker phone and all 09 10 the phones that were manufactured, in addition to make 11 hands-free devices more widely available. I don't know if you recall back in the early days each phone 12 had a different size jack and you had to get a special 13 headset for that. We had asked manufacturers to 14 15 include a standard two and a half millimeter jack so that different headsets can be used with different 16 17 phones, and we asked that that be completed by 2002, 18 and we had accomplished that. And so now you can get 19 a headset pretty much anywhere and plug it into your phone to make it more --- hands-free more easily 20 21 available. 22 CHAIR: 23 You should do that with the chargers. MR. MULLIN: 24

25 In addition, similar to what Gary

01 described, Verizon Wireless has a VIQ videotape, 02 vehicle intelligence quest videotape. It's made 03 available to schools across the country for 04 educational programs. Again, mainly aimed at the 05 teen, teen segment of the population. In addition to 06 that, more recently we've come up with a stand-alone 07 brochure that's available at all of our stores and 08 information on our website that focuses solely on education about safe driving. 09

10 MR. DIVIS:

11 Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee. My name is Joe Divis with Cingular Wireless. And I 12 briefly mentioned Cingular's program. In 2002 13 Cingular Wireless launched Be Sensible, don't drive 14 15 yourself to distraction. It's an award winning 16 campaign with multiple facets, but the one I want to talk today is about that which is focused on teen 17 18 drivers. This is our second edition. This is actually our first edition, but we've updated it in 19 20 the last year. And it's not just Cingular putting it 21 together, but we've worked with partners from the 22 American Driver, Penn Traffic Safety Education 23 Association, the Driving School Association of America 24 as well as some technical assistance from NHTSA. 25 Since the program's been launched, we

01 estimate that it has reached over 11 million young 02 people in over 17,000, almost 18,000 high schools and driver education schools. So the distribution has 03 been significant. Twelve (12) states have adopted it 04 05 for statewide use. And I think what's really 06 important, is 99 percent of the educators who we've 07 talked to about it say they'll use it again with 88 08 percent saying the teens have learned how to manage the distraction, because it is --- it is a widely 09 10 ranging program with respect to the distractions that 11 teens particularly face in the automobile. So I think it goes to what was testified earlier with respect to 12 the importance of education and as discussed here, the 13 carrier's commitments to educating our customers, 14 15 particularly the younger segment, as well our National 16 Trade Association, CTIA has similarly been doing outreach with respect to PSAs and other educational 17 18 efforts to stress the importance of safe driving. Thank you. 19 20 CHAIR: 21 Questions? Jess. 22 **REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:** 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you,

24 gentlemen, for your testimony. I used to travel 25 approximately 240 miles a day from the Poconos to New

Vork City, and being on the road, you know, those --for those miles, sometimes with traffic it was even later. I witnessed people reading road maps, reading newspapers, having lunch, and I think one of the most distracting is drinking a beverage. If you reach over and everybody, especially in the morning they all have their cups of coffee, looking to place it.

- 08 CHAIR:
- 09 Eight O'clock.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:

11 Eight O'clock coffee, there you go. And 12 putting makeup on in the vehicle. So some of the things that we talked about, changing a compact disc, 13 there are a tremendous amount of distractions. Are we 14 15 going to legislate every one of them? Do our police, our State Police and our local police have the 16 opportunity to enforce all this. You know, with 17 18 everything else that they're burdened with. I do see 19 it as an issue with young kids, and if there's any 20 possible way that we can incorporate that into a 16 21 year old, that first year. I think it's some --- and 22 I applaud you for your --- for the information that 23 you have, the education. Have you offered that to the 24 counties? In many counties they have these safety coordinators that they meet with kids on a regular 25

01

basis. I know Monroe does. I'd love to ---.

02 MR. DIVIS:

For Cingular this is available. Anybody 03 04 can go onto our website, order this and we will ship 05 it out. We've shipped out, you know, thousands of 06 these. And even our most recent second edition, my 07 understanding, we're already in the thousands of those 80 being distributed. And we would certainly, I think --- because we don't have the market cornered on this. 09 10 Certainly you've seen Sprint Nextel and Verizon 11 Wireless. We'd certainly be glad to work with the Committee or whomever, the experts that have been here 12 today and get as many of these out as possible. 13 **REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:** 14 15 What we had seen earlier, was that yours or was that ---? 16 17 MR. HOREWITZ: 18 That was part of the Sprint Nextel program. And that particular program is relatively 19 20 new, just in the past couple years. **REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:** 21 22 Could you e-mail back to the legislators, 23 that kind of thing? 24 MR. HOREWITZ: 25 I would be happy ---.

01

REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:

02 And to the students as well. I'm going 03 to ask you gentlemen a question that I asked earlier 04 of the captain. In states that have adopted the cell 05 phone repeal, from using --- the use of the cell phone 06 in a vehicle, excuse me, has accidents --- those 07 reduced --- have we reduced accidents from distracted 08 driving. Do you have that information? 09 MR. HOREWITZ: 10 No, I'm not aware of any statistics in 11 those states to indicate that. **REPRESENTATIVE STAIRS:** 12 Okay. Thank you. 13 CHAIR: 14 15 The Northern Virginia study that was done 16 with the in-car cameras, I believe you have the study Have you read it? I read a synopsis of it. Does your 17 18 industry have that available? 19 MR. HOREWITZ: I think it's referred to, Chairman, in 20 the Wireless Way article. It is one of the things 21 22 illustrated in that study. I believe you're referring 23 to the 100-car camera study. One of the things that's reflected in that and that's reflected in the Wireless 24 25 Way article is the fact that ---.

01 CHAIR: 02 We have to call time out once again. Her 03 computer ---. 04 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION 05 MR. HOREWITZ: 06 I believe you were referring to ---. 07 CHAIR: 08 I was talking about the Virginia Tech study which clearly showed the habits that people 09 10 didn't even know they had. That's what started me to 11 really go down the road in education and a lot of hard education, rather than after-the-fact punishment. 12 13 MR. DIVIS: Mr. Chairman, I think you've heard from 14 15 the testimony today and the studies that have been 16 done, including the Virginia Tech, that there are a 17 multitude of distractions that drivers face, or can 18 avail themselves of. And certainly, you know, we're 19 very much aware of them and are trying to look at this in a holistic way from the educational efforts that we 20 21 engage in. 22 MR. HOREWITZ: 23 I think that one of the things that --- a study is looking at, again, there's a myriad of 24 25 distractions, and talk about distractions of trying to

01 determine what they called incidents versus near 02 crashes versus actual crashes, and there was a very 03 wide disparity from what they considered incidents or 04 of looking away from the road or having to make 05 driving adjustments and actual crashes. But it's 06 interesting to note that the follow-up studies will be 07 very interesting, and a follow-up analysis will be 80 interesting to look at the relative risk and weight of the various distractions, because one of the list of 09 10 distractions in that was daydreaming, which I think is 11 kind of interesting of how one would determine from a 12 video camera that --- watching a video camera that someone was daydreaming, and I think that we'll find 13 that looking at how much one person engages in one 14 15 potential secondary task and exposing that person to 16 additional risk versus another secondary task is probably the most important. 17

18 So I think our initial comment on that 19 study is that that study was illuminating, but more 20 importantly leads us to looking at more closely some 21 of the conclusions and more closely raises even more 22 questions to learn from it.

23 CHAIR:

24 One more thing. You mentioned that in 25 your testimony and the things that we've read, the

01 number of 911 calls that are made for cell phones 02 while moving. Do you have an industry-wide number that all of the providers can give us on 911 calls and 03 04 the nature of the calls to report accidents or crimes, 05 or ---? 06 MR. HOREWITZ: 07 The number --- the 224,000 number ---08 CHAIR: 09 Yeah. MR. HOREWITZ: 10 11 --- that was provided is actually ---. 12 CHAIR: 13 You said that was in a year? MR. HOREWITZ: 14 15 That's actually --- the 224,000 is every 16 day. CHAIR: 17 18 Every day. 19 MR. HOREWITZ: 20 And that's from wireless phones in general, whether moving or not, whether it's a person 21 22 walking down the street. So that's just basically 23 tracking the role of wireless phones, which I believe, 24 and I don't want to misstate this, is the number one 25 way that phone calls to 911 come in.

01	CHAIR:
02	I know I called in a drunk driver one day
03	while I was moving and following him, so
04	MR. HOREWITZ:
05	But the number that I cited was all types
06	of calls to emergency services, typically 911
07	CHAIR:
08	224,000 a day?
09	MR. HOREWITZ:
10	Per day.
11	MR. MULLIN:
12	Nationally.
13	MR. HOREWITZ:
14	Nationally. And again, a person may be
15	in a car and a person may not be in a car, just on the
16	street. And again, realizing that a crash on the
17	highway may lead to a lot of calls, a dozen calls into
18	911. You know, it's not just that means 224,000
19	instantly.
20	CHAIR:
21	Saving lives.
22	MR. HOREWITZ:
23	I'm sorry?
24	CHAIR:
25	You're saving lives through that.

01 MR. HOREWITZ:

02	That's one thing that there's no question
03	about, that wireless phones and people having more
04	wireless phones is enabling emergency services to
05	respond quicker and saving lives. There's never been
06	a question about that.
07	CHAIR:
08	I just want to get around to the
09	front-end of all this stuff. Keith.
10	CHAIRMAN MCCALL:
11	Just quick. The information or the
12	educational because I think one of the important
13	components of anything that we do will be education.
14	The bottom line. And there may be other aspects that
15	legislation would pass, but I think anything that we
16	do has to have an educational component. Are all
17	three of your educational CDs or information available
18	to the community?
19	MR. MULLIN:
20	Absolutely.
21	MR. HOREWITZ:
22	Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN MCCALL:
24	And say, I do something and my district
25	will say, the three have these are the programs

01 that are available. You know, I could write to my schools, and I think I will do that. Would they be 02 available free of charge to the school districts as 03 04 well? 05 MR. DIVIS: 06 Absolutely. 07 MR. HOREWITZ: 80 Yes. These are made free of charge. MR. MULLIN: 09 10 Yes. CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 11 How long have you been doing the 12 educational component? Is this new, relatively new, a 13 year, two, three years old? 14 MR. DIVIS: 15 2002. 16 17 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 2002? 18 MR. MULLIN: 19 The same with us, four years. 20 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 21 22 Have you been tracking or trying to see 23 the impact the educational component has had? Is it 24 showing up any way in statistics? Are you trying to 25 monitor that?

MR. DIVIS:

01

02 Well, in the survey we've done, that have 03 --- taking advantage of Cingular Wireless's Be 04 Sensible program, 99 percent of those educators would 05 use it again, and another 88 percent feel that it has 06 an impact with the students. Because it's --- you 07 know, as I'm sure as you saw with the other video, 08 it's done teen-on-teen, you know, and trying to reach 09 them in a way that you and I, we don't get reached. So we try to speak to the teens in their language. 10 11 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 12 I would be curious, though, to see if you can extrapolate the education component to real world 13 to say, look at the 16 to 24 year age group where we 14 15 are --- if you're targeted in one specific area or if 16 your programs are, say, in one particular school 17 district, school district wide, can you see a 18 reduction in fatalities or accidents through driver distraction? I know it's tough because a lot of that 19 20 data is not out there, but I'd just be curious to see 21 if they're going to try to track it or look at the 22 data that way to see that it's having an impact. 23 MR. HOREWITZ: I know we're --- we're all looking at 24 trying to, not necessarily in that particular method 25

01 you're talking about, but trying to determine impact, 02 and I'm not aware of impact data on a particular program, but I'm sure that's something we can look in 03 04 to see if --- that at least that we have tried to 05 measure impact and certainly provide that to the 06 Committee. 07 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 08 Great. Thank you. MR. MULLIN: 09 10 It'll also be difficult because we find 11 that most of the schools already have a driver education program, and this is just one thing added to 12 13 it. So to determine the impact of the difference is ---. 14 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 15 Well, that's another issue here. We 16 don't have mandatory driver education in Pennsylvania, 17 18 so ---. MR. MULLIN: 19 20 Yeah. CHAIRMAN MCALL: 21 22 Thank you very much. 23 CHAIR: 24 Any other members of the Committee? 25 Josh?

01

REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

02 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just to --- I 03 believe it was Representative Scavello that asked the 04 question about what has happening in other states 05 having the passage of --- and it's just banning the 06 use of handheld cell phones. No state has banned the 07 use of them. My understanding, and I'd be curious to 08 hear what NCLS has to say is that, in New York, which was the first state to go with the ban --- banning the 09 10 use of handheld cell phones, they saw a drop of, it 11 was 3. something percent to 1. something percent in the use of handheld cell phones. So at least in New York 12 --- and again, I would be curious to see what the 13 other states are showing there was a decrease in the 14 15 use of handheld cell phones. I wanted to come back to something that I 16 believe Verizon had testified about, in terms of 17 18 making hands-free devices or headsets available on all your phones, all your phones having the same size jack 19 20 and all your phones having voice dialing. 21 MR. HOREWITZ: 22 Yes. 23 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPRIRO:** 24 Did I get your testimony correct? MR. HOREWITZ: 25

01 Yes, that's correct.

02 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

03 In terms of Nextel Sprint and in terms of
04 Cingular, are you at the same place where Verizon is?
05 MR. HOREWITZ:

06 I think with respect to the jacks, that's 07 universal among the industry, that everything fits the 08 way it should. Depending on the manufacturer, I think depends on whether --- what equipment accompanies the 09 10 phone, and we all work with different manufacturers 11 and have different ways, you know, we --- over the last couple of years, Blue Tooth has come out, which 12 is, you know, becoming very popular, because it 13 doesn't have the strings that --- or the jack issue. 14 15 So I think you have a multitude of solutions out there that the carriers provide. 16

17 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

So is it fair to say the vast majority of your phones, if not all of your phones, as in the case of Verizon, has either the availability, because you're giving it --- a headset and voice dialing, is that --- I'm just trying to understand the technology here. Are all the phones at the same level of technology?

25 MR. HOREWITZ:

01 I'm not sure that I can say without 02 having done the research, but we'll do that to make 03 sure that every single one of our phones has voice 04 dialing, but we certainly have a significant amount of 05 our product line has voice dialing capability, and our 06 phones, again, have the universal jacks so that one 07 can buy a headset, or again, the Blue Tooth capability 08 of doing that wirelessly to the device itself. 09 I think that something is also very

10 instructive, that we were talking here today about, is behavior. And behavior, again, is very difficult to 11 change, very difficult to measure. Education is key. 12 Enforcement can play a role, but sometimes it's easier 13 --- sometimes things are difficult to enforce on 14 15 behavior as you heard as well, and also I think you're 16 trying to get at, Representative, is technology also has potential and solutions, and I think that's 17 18 something we have and continue to work toward every day to try to see if there's technological solutions, 19 because the easiest way to do --- to make the behavior 20 the safest is with technology. And I think that also 21 22 some of the research that is going on about trying to 23 tie in devices toward the future where the device is more plugged into the car directly and recognizing 24 that a driver is there, and potentially that the 25

01 driver is engaging a more complicated driving maneuver 02 as a sensor would do, would try to turn off 03 distractions.

04 In speaking to the University of 05 Michigan, that that's some of the research that 06 they're doing, and some of our manufacturers are 07 supporting, so I think it's something that we're 80 looking at every day and helping to support research into finding ways to make it easier and safer for our 09 10 customers. Again, voice dialing is one of the 11 examples. Having the availability of technology like headsets or devices that Blue Tooth are plugged into 12 the cars is, again, some ways we're trying to do it. 13 Maybe we don't know what the best way is doing it, but 14 15 that's something we work on every day. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: And we appreciate that. And so I guess 17 18 it's fair to say that if not all of your phones coming 19 with voice dialing and a headset, the vast, vast 20 majority of them do. And I would argue that behavior can be changed with very readily available technology, 21 22 which it sounds like the industry is committing itself 23 to, and I do appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. 24 Chairman.

25 CHAIR:

01 All right. Being that we're at the 02 University of Pennsylvania, we're going to recess, one 03 hour. 04 LUNCH BREAK TAKEN 05 CHAIR: 06 Now, the next presenter is --- it's not 07 because of you that everybody left. Everybody had to 80 get back to their districts, so ---. Next up is our good friend Ted Leonard, and his daughter Cathy. Ted, 09 10 you're up. 11 MR. LEONARD: Thank you. Actually, Cathy is going to 12 do the talking, be our expert witness. 13 MS. ROSSI: 14 15 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members 16 of the House Transportation Committee. Thank you for giving AAA the opportunity to address the issue of 17 18 distracted driving. Since the dawn of the automobile 19 age, more than 100 years ago, AAA has been a 20 motorist's friend and a safety advocate. Over the 21 past century we've seen many innovations draw debate. 22 Motor vehicle administrators gathered in an emergency 23 meeting in 1929 to address the threat to highway 24 safety, that the introduction of the AM radio would 25 have on drivers.

01 History tells us that it takes time to 02 fully understand the implications of innovations and 03 how we can best address the challenges that they 04 create. Like earlier innovations, cell phones have 05 added significant convenience and safety to people's 06 lives, yet they have also complicated distractions on 07 the road.

O8 Concerns about cell phone use while
O9 driving has grown significantly. It's important to
10 state at the outset that AAA believes cell phones are
11 only one of many distractions the drivers face.
12 People put a high premium on making the most of their
13 time, so much so that it can become a priority over
14 safety.

15 AAA is here to testify today that distracted driving ranks as one of the top safety 16 concerns everywhere, for drivers in Pennsylvania in 17 particular. In AAA poles, all members and of all 18 19 drivers both statewide and in the Philadelphia 20 five-county region distracted driving ranks among the 21 top three safety concerns of motorists. Driver 22 distraction is a factor in 25 to 50 percent of all 23 vehicle crashes nationwide.

In Pennsylvania the number of fatalitiesinvolving distracted drivers has more than doubled in

01 the past five years. These distractions can be 02 physical, intellectual or both. Physical distractions 03 like tuning a radio, dialing a cell phone, eating, 04 sipping coffee or minding children, all cause a driver 05 to take his or her hands off the wheel or eyes off the 06 road. Mental activities, such as having a 07 conversation with a passenger or thinking about a 80 confrontation with a spouse or a problem at work all take the driver's mind off the road. And then there's 09 10 the combination of activities that may take a driver's 11 hands, eyes and mind off driving. Reading maps, 12 newspapers or text messages. The bottom line is distractions cause 13 drivers to react more slowly to traffic conditions and 14 15 events, such as someone making a turn, yielding, 16 stopping, pulling out suddenly or changing lanes. The presence of a triggering event is what distinguishes a 17 18 distracted driver from a driver who is independent or lost in thought. 19 20 According to a study by the AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, and this is the study 21

that was done in 2001, young drivers, that is under the age of 20, are the most likely to be involved in distraction-related crashes. Statistically, teens are the most easily distracted drivers. And that's no

01 surprise to anyone who has parented teens.

02 Inexperience, immaturity, and inclination to take risk03 lead to teen crashes.

04 Distractions significantly increase the 05 risk for crash, specifically, a teen crash. That is 06 why AAA supports stronger teen driving laws that 07 include passenger restrictions for young drivers. 80 Passengers are a distraction. A study at Johns Hopkins found that the chance a 16 year old will die 09 10 in a crash increases 39 percent for the single 11 passenger, 86 percent with two passengers, and 182 percent with three or more passengers. A car full of 12 teen passengers driven by a teen has been described by 13 some as a rolling party barge. Crashes just waiting 14 15 to happen.

16 Thirty-four (34) states have laws with 17 passenger restrictions on young drivers. Pennsylvania 18 is not one of them. AAA urges legislators to do 19 something about it like passing stronger teen driving 20 restrictions. We must prevent young drivers from 21 loading a vehicle with distracting passengers and 22 becoming that proverbial party barge.

AAA supports laws that help keep young
drivers focused on one thing, and that is driving. My
colleagues have fielded test questions about crashes

01 caused by teenagers text messaging on their cells 02 while driving. AAA supports legislation that 03 prohibits young drivers from using a cell phone while 04 at the wheel except for emergencies at the side of the 05 road.

06 A AAA Foundation study shows that 07 handheld or hands-free, it is not the telephone itself 08 that is the big issue, it is the conversation that is 09 the distraction. The concentration and the 10 intellectual focus are the distraction.

Looking at outside objects, turning the radio or CD player are distractions that appear to contribute to more crashes than cell phones. Although, as more and more drivers acquire cell phones, this can change.

16 AAA prefers to comprehensively address 17 all distractions. For example, a cell phone is a 18 safety device much like jumper cables or other items that AAA recommends drivers keep in their vehicles. 19 20 AAA does not advocate using a cell phone while driving 21 or any device that takes attention away from driving. 22 We support efforts to educate drivers on safe cell 23 phone use, including the phone when the vehicle is not 24 in motion and avoiding emotional or complicated telephone conversations. 25

01 AAA urges the National Highway Traffic 02 Safety Administration, cell phone manufacturers and 03 the automobile industry to continue research, 04 identifying characteristics that will minimize driver 05 distraction during cell phone use, and use of other 06 information devices in motor vehicles. 07 Video, entertainment, computer or data 80 displays, such as GPS can pose significant driving distractions. Unless information is critical to 09 10 vehicle operation or navigation or emergency response 11 in installing these devices where they are visible to drivers should be prohibited by law. 12 Until we have more information available 13 through one-of-a-kind research, AAA does not encourage 14 15 legislative or administrative proposals restricting 16 the use of specific devices with some exceptions such as for best drivers and teen drivers. 17 18 AAA encourages law enforcement agencies to collect the data on all types of distractions 19 20 influencing drivers involved in crashes. There are 21 too many motor-vehicle crashes and too many tragic 22 losses associated with these crashes. 23 We recognize we cannot eliminate all driver distraction through legislation. We can teach 24 motorists, especially young drivers, how to better 25

01 manage distractions on the road and make safety the 02 priority. The reality is, as roads become more 03 congested and demands on drivers increase, it is 04 likely that newer in-vehicle technologies, that more 05 potential driver distractions can even change the 06 landscape of the challenges we face today. Thank you 07 for the opportunity to testify, and I'd be happy to take any questions. 80 09 CHAIR: 10 Thank you. Does anybody have any 11 questions? Keith. Thank you very much. MS. ROSSI: 12 I did want to add the educational answer 13 that I think has been raised earlier by one of the 14 15 legislators, and that is AAA does have driving 16 programs that incorporate distracted driving into its 17 programs. One of the driver improvement programs has 18 eight segments, and one is on distracted driving. 19 An interesting thing is that last year we 20 had 3,000 people take that course in the mid-Atlantic 21 region. Some states require certain offenders to take 22 the driver improvement program that AAA offers, and 23 it's offered by other agencies as well. In 24 Pennsylvania it's optional. In Maryland it's 25 mandatory. In Delaware and New Jersey, it's optional.

01 Our AAA Foundation that did the study I 02 referred to, also has a specific distracted driving 03 program now in the works. It will be implemented 04 probably sometime in the next year. When it is it 05 will be in the high schools first. 06 CHAIR: 07 Thank you very much. Next up is Teamsters Local 463, Bobby Ryder. The floor is yours. 08 09 MR. RYDER: 10 Yes. I was asked to come down here by 11 Rep Shapiro to support his Bill and represent drivers throughout the Tri-State area. And at first, I kind 12 of like just blew you guys off, because members will 13 just screw me up for being a part of this bill. 14 15 After representing Teamsters in a lot of accidents and they come back to me, I would say at 16 least 50 percent of them say I was on the telephone. 17 18 And the first thing I tell them, don't tell the 19 company you're on the telephone, because right away you could be terminated for working unsafe. 20 21 So I come down here to do the right thing 22 and testify for the Shapiro Bill and answer any 23 questions you fellows have. 24 CHAIR: 25 Chris?

01

REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:

02 I was just curious, did you have a sense 03 of how many of the drivers that you represent that use 04 hands-free versus handheld? 05 MR. RYDER: 06 I would say I don't have a sense of that. 07 Out of the officers that work for the union, there's 80 three of them that use hands-free cell phones. 09 CHAIR: 10 Josh. 11 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 12 Bobby, thank you for your testimony today. The 15-percent statistic that you cited, were 13 they --- they were Teamsters who were driving and they 14 15 were on the phone when the accident occurred, or they were struck by another driver using the phone? 16 17 MR. RYDER: 18 Most of the experience I had are with our own members. On a cell phone, not putting their truck 19 20 in gear. You're driving an 18-wheeler, shifting 21 gears, you're on a different route, your phone rings, 22 you grab your phone --- mostly drivers that I 23 represent, from my experience. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: 25 And I recognize it would be sort of a

01 change in culture for your drivers, frankly it would 02 be a change of culture for all motorists in Pennsylvania to be required to use a headset or use 03 some sort of speakerphone, but is it your sense that 04 05 that would cause any problems to Teamsters in this 06 case to outfit the trucks with hands-free devices? 07 And that's something that could be reasonably done? 80 MR. RYDER: Yeah, I would think so. I think it 09 10 probably cost about \$15 for headsets. 11 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** If that. Thank you. 12 13 CHAIR: Thank you very much. 14 15 MR. RYDER: All right. Thank you. 16 CHAIR: 17 18 Next up is Pennsylvania State University, 19 Paul Jovanis, Ph.D., Professor, Civil & Environmental 20 Engineering. OFF RECORD DISCUSSION 21 22 MR. JOVANIS: 23 I'd first like to thank the authors of 24 the legislation for inviting me to contribute to this 25 discussion on this important legislation. One thing

01 I'd like to make clear from the start is the opinions 02 expressed in my testimony and whatever questions and 03 answers I give today on my own, and they don't 04 represent Penn State or anyone at the University, 05 other than myself.

06 This is the second time that I've been 07 asked to testify about cell phones and driver 08 distraction. In 2000 I testified before another 09 hearing in Harrisburg, and at that time my position 10 was that we didn't have enough evidence to support any 11 changes in cell phone use and driving. And I'm here to tell you this afternoon that I've changed my 12 position on this, based on my experience in the last 13 six years, and the weight of the research evidence, 14 15 which I think fairly, clearly supports at a minimum restrictions on cell phone use of the type of that 16 you're contemplating here for hands-free operation. 17 18 And I would say a significant portion of the literature really supports the ban of the use of 19

19 the literature really supports the ban of the use of 20 cell phones while driving completely. The testimony 21 that I prepared kind of outlines the scientific 22 evidence to support that position, and I'll just try 23 to hit the highlights, and then allow some time for 24 questions.

25 I think there have been several studies

01 that have indicated that the use of cell phones is 02 increasing. I'm sure you've heard that earlier this 03 morning. And I think of particular concern in the 04 highway safety field is the increasing use of 05 electronic use of electronic devices, including cell 06 phones, by 16 to 24 year old drivers, which represent, 07 obviously the youngest driving group, but they're also 80 the worst drivers by a large number of measures, and 09 those that have the highest risk of being involved in 10 accidents.

11 And I have a 16 year old at home that 12 just got her learner's permit, and she's on the cell 13 phone all the time, and I'm seriously concerned about 14 how we're going to manage her paying attention to 15 driving while she's got that cell phone attached to 16 her hip.

17 So I think that's a particular concern as 18 we look forward, and more and more of those younger drivers are going to be getting into vehicles, and 19 20 those are drivers that really are electronically wired 21 to their friends, they communicate often. They text 22 message. They do everything that most of us that are 23 older don't do, and they do it at a very high rate. So I think that represents a particular imperative to 24 be aware of cell phone use while driving. 25

01 On the third page of the testimony you 02 see just sort of highlights of what I think are the 03 sort of salient points that come out of the research 04 literature in this field, and I think that one of the 05 things that caused me to change my mind on this 06 subject was just that virtually every research study 07 published after 2000 with one exception really points 80 to declines in driving performance when using cell 09 phones. And I think the particular problem that gets 10 highlighted is the difficulty in detecting events that 11 are occurring in the peripheries sort of on the outside part of your field of view, and anything from 12 pedestrians, bicyclists, people entering from cross 13 streets, people changing lanes. And I think that's a 14 15 particular type of event that I've observed just in 16 the last six years as being just --- detectable from cell phone drivers that I observe every day in the 17 18 State College area.

19 I mean, I have sort of done a field trial 20 to sort of try to identify people who are using their 21 cell phone while they're driving, and virtually, every 22 time I see somebody locked into a lane, traveling at a 23 constant speed, virtually not paying attention to any 24 of the events around them. I pass the vehicle, or the 25 vehicles passes me, and I see somebody with a cell

01 phone. So I've obviously changed my position on this 02 completely, and am very concerned about crash risks 03 associated with cell phone use.

04 Hands-free operations, I think, help 05 unquestionably, because you don't have to physically 06 manipulate the device, but from my own personal point 07 of view, as I think AAA has testified, it's the 80 cognitive load and the additional mental attention 09 that needs to be spent on the conversation that's 10 occurring over the cell phone, which is potentially 11 dangerous to the driver.

As I said, I certainly support this 12 legislation, because it's a step in the right 13 direction, and it will help --- I would hope that once 14 15 we get more experience with legislation like this, 16 that the Commonwealth will support a complete ban. Hands-free laws have been implemented and 17 18 are successful. I don't know if you heard earlier this morning, but there's evaluation studies in 19 20 Washington D.C. where the cell phone use went down, 21 where there was adequate enforcement. So there's 22 evidence that the laws can be implemented and that 23 they are effective.

And I think lastly, I would just --- I'd be a little remiss if I didn't point out that in the 01 U.S. we've killed 42,000 people a year, pretty 02 consistently for the last 10 to 15 years. And we've made almost no dent in that number. I'm not really 03 04 too sure where Pennsylvania's number stands over that 05 time period, but I don't think we've done a whole lot 06 better here in the Commonwealth. It kind of bounces 07 up and down a little bit, but I don't think we've made 80 a lot of progress. And I think that's just an indication that we have an inability to take --- make 09 10 the tough judgment calls that we need to make to 11 improve highway safety. I think this is one of those tough 12 judgment calls. Obviously some people will be 13 inconvenienced, but I hope that we'll be able to pass 14 15 the legislation and improve the roads of the 16 Commonwealth. So I'd be happy to take any questions 17 from anyone. 18 CHAIR: 19 Chris, go ahead. 20 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** I have several. I'll just state one or 21 22 two and let the other members, particularly since I'm 23 not a full member of this Committee, I'm on here ---. 24 CHAIR: 25 You're a couple of rookies.

01 REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:

02	Just a couple of things that you raised
03	with the studies and the research that you've been
04	doing. One of your comments was the level of highway
05	deaths has stayed stable at about 42,000 per year.
06	And I was curious about that, because we've heard
07	earlier testimony that the cell phone usage has gone
08	up, and also I may be wrong, but I would imagine
09	that the amount of miles driven by the highway public
10	has also gone up. So would that in and of itself
11	indicate that there was a need for this particular
12	legislation at this time?
13	MR. JOVANIS:
14	Well, I wouldn't say this particular
14 15	Well, I wouldn't say this particular legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was
15	legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was
15 16	legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you
15 16 17	legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with
15 16 17 18	legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with comparable economies to ours, in western Europe,
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with comparable economies to ours, in western Europe, Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, England, have done a</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with comparable economies to ours, in western Europe, Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, England, have done a much better job in reducing the absolute number of</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with comparable economies to ours, in western Europe, Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, England, have done a much better job in reducing the absolute number of fatalities over the 10 to 15-year period that would</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>legislation, but I guess what I was trying to do was to set a context, in particular in the U.S. If you look internationally, comparable countries with comparable economies to ours, in western Europe, Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, England, have done a much better job in reducing the absolute number of fatalities over the 10 to 15-year period that would remain stable. Their VMT is growing, their mileage is</pre>

01 responsible and operate the vehicle in a safer way. 02 And I think that this is an example of 03 the kind of legislation that we have not been able to 04 enact in the past. Other countries have done so, and 05 have done so successfully, and I think as a result of 06 that, the numbers on their fatalities and their 07 accident-related losses have really declined. 08 CHAIR: 09 If I may, I have one follow-up. And that 10 bothered me about the other technique that you're using really a little bit, you focused in on cell 11 phone usage. Did you study or try to review other 12 13 distractions? MR. JOVANIS: 14 15 Well, yeah. I spent about five years working in California on in-vehicle navigation 16 displays, and we did a whole series of driving 17 18 simulator studies when I was a faculty member at UC 19 Davis. The whole question of driver distraction 20 21 is getting a lot more attention now, because we're 22 able to get a better handle on measuring it. 23 There have been two sets of major 24 studies, one at Virginia Tech and one at a site in 25 Michigan that allow us to look inside the vehicle and

01 be able to see what the driver is doing prior to crash 02 events and prior to other kinds of near misses and other critical events. And the drivers do all kinds 03 04 of crazy things that they shouldn't do. Using the 05 cell phone is one of many, and I think AAA --- I heard 06 AAA testify to that. Some of those other kinds of 07 behaviors are very difficult to change, other than 08 through education, which is a tough way to go. I think this is one way where you can enact legislation 09 10 with the support of the police with proper enforcement 11 and follow-up adjudication. You can have an effect on the behavior of the driver. 12 I just came back from a meeting in 13 Washington D.C. about three weeks ago, and we looked 14 15 at videotapes in the Virginia Tech study. And the kinds of driver distractions they were looking at is 16 somebody driving down the road, they looked down at 17 18 their feet. We don't know why. And literally two seconds later they run into a tree. Now, absent 19 looking at their feet, they would have been able to 20

21

- 22 CHAIR:
- 23 Or cell phone.

avoid that crash.

- 24 MR. JOVANIS:
- 25 There might have been a cell phone

01 ringing that they didn't pick up on the audio, but 02 just sometimes those distractions are extremely 03 difficult for safety folks to be able to understand 04 and to apply some measure to counteract. I guess 05 that's the point I'm trying to make. Cell phone 06 distractions is one where we have an opportunity to do 07 so. 80 CHAIR: 09 But if we had the opportunity to deal with the distractions in a more broad base way, that 10 11 wouldn't be changing the proposal? MR. JOVANIS: 12 No. Oh, no. No. 13 14 CHAIR: 15 And finally, the last question I have is, 16 you mentioned that the conversation on the cell phone is extremely distracting, and that seems to be a major 17 18 factor and you're quite concerned about that. Why would a conversation with someone on a cell phone be 19 20 more distracting than having a conversation with 21 someone in the backseat? 22 MR. JOVANIS: 23 Well, you don't have a face-to-face situation with that person, backset or front seat. 24 25 Okay. I think you --- I would say there's a

01 --- it's easier for the driver, presumably they're 02 friendlier with the person in the back, they're 03 socially interactive with them to just say hold on a 04 minute and attend to the driving task. 05 So I think that's the principal reason. 06 I know what I thought the people who are on a cell 07 phone, and I'm in my office and I'm talking to someone 08 in an automobile. If I hear a hesitancy in their voice, the first thing I'll tell them is, do you want 09 me to call you back later, or do you want to call me 10 11 back, because sometimes you can tell that they're being distracted and they're not able to process that. 12 I guess the other observation I make is 13 14 people conduct business over a cell phone in a car, 15 and those are tough discussions. You know, they can be negotiations, they can be disagreements, and I 16 think those kind of things handled over the telephone 17 18 are difficult enough to do as it is, let alone while you're trying to operate a motor vehicle. 19 20 CHAIRMAN MCCALL: 21 There was some different testimony from 22 AAA. 23 CHAIR: Yeah, let's follow up just a moment, the 24

25 Virginia Tech study. The stuff that I've read and the

01 synopsis in the articles, cell phones were way down on 02 the list, and especially the numbers that they compiled when it came to accidents caused by 03 04 distracted drivers. So why wouldn't you address the 05 number one, two, three causes if you want immediate 06 corrective action, rather than going to the ninth or 07 tenth cause. 08 MR. JOVANIS: Okay. Let me ---. 09 10 CHAIR: I mean, there's this tremendous --- I 11 know, glitz to, quote, unquote, cell phone. I mean, 12 you know, it's pretty tough --- when I read that I was 13 really surprised that it actually --- even though it 14 15 was done by the Hokies, not by Penn State, I felt that it really clarified a lot of stuff because of the 16 17 in-camera use. MR. JOVANIS: 18 19 Okay. Let me see, you asked a lot of questions in the middle there. Let me see how I can 20 knock them off one at a time. 21 BRIEF INTERRUPTION 22 23 MR. JOVANIS: 24 The Virginia Tech folks were able to 25 capture all kinds of different distractions in

01 vehicles.

02 CHAIR: 03 Correct. 04 MR. JOVANIS: 05 And I think while we would certainly like 06 to be able to eliminate those kinds of distractions 07 some of those are going to be extremely difficult to 80 eliminate just on the cause of the nature of the kinds of activities people are undertaking. I don't know 09 10 how you enact a law that says you can't put on your 11 makeup in a car, or you can't eat food in the car, or you can't --- you know, scratch your elbow in the car. 12 13 I mean, there's only --- look down like just prior to a crash if that occurring. I think some of those 14 15 kinds of behaviors, which they were ---. 16 CHAIR: They were the predominant behaviors, 17 18 though, resulting in accidents; correct? MR. JOVANIS: 19 As far as they could tell. 20 CHAIR: 21 22 Yes. 23 MR. JOVANIS: 24 Right. As far as they could tell. But 25 let me just point one thing out to you, though. Okay.

01 I don't want to get too far into the scientific 02 discussion on the Virginia Tech study. Those folks 03 are good folks, they know what they're doing, and 04 they're highly respected researchers in their field. 05 One of the things that they did not have a real good 06 sense of are questions like how long was the driver 07 driving down that particular route and how did that drive on that particular route influence their level 80 of attention, their level of fatigue, questions like 09 10 that. They were really focused on the driver. 11 And what I'll tell you, frankly, is when safety researchers or any researcher focus on one part 12 of a problem, they're likely to find that that part of 13 the problem is contributing to the phenomenon that 14 15 they're trying to study. So the fact that they found that drivers were doing things that they weren't 16 supposed to do, is not particularly surprising by 17 18 itself. The fact that they're able to get a really good insight about exactly what the driver was up to, 19 20 I think is really valuable for us in the long term. 21 CHAIR: 22 I'm sorry, I jumped into Chris's 23 question. 24 MR. JOVANIS: That's okay. And I just want to say one 25

01 other thing. I don't know specifically what kind of 02 numbers you saw from Virginia Tech. Numbers that talk about the number of times that crashes were preceded 03 04 by a particular activity, I would say are accurately 05 measured, okay. What you don't know is, how many 06 times did people undertake that activity and not be 07 involved in a crash because they typically haven't 80 been able to capture that.

09 And I know when they presented 10 information at this meeting I was at about three weeks 11 ago, and they tried to come up with some notion of the probability of having a crash when you do something 12 like talk on the cell phone, eat food in the car, 13 14 apply your makeup and a bunch of other distraction-15 type categories. Those numbers, I think, are a little 16 more questionable, because it takes the number of times you're doing something, the number of times you 17 18 had a crash divided by some number in the bottom, some 19 denominator, because you're coming up with a 20 percentage, and it's the number at the bottom that's questionable to me. I don't know that they derive 21 22 that number in the best way that they possibly could. 23 CHAIR: But it wasn't a product of study; right? 24 MR. JOVANIS: 25

01 I would say to the extent that they were 02 able to count and identify these crash events, I think there were about 66 crashes in 400 or 600 --- 450-some 03 04 odd near crash events, to the extent that they were 05 able to identify those, they were certainly 06 quantitative. 07 CHAIR: 08 People forgot they were on camera. 09 MR. JOVANIS: 10 Yeah. Well, they showed a picture of 11 somebody who was either drunk or under the influence of drugs, and it was a miracle this guy didn't kill 12 himself, because he literally went --- crossed over 13 the roadway and went head-on into a tractor-trailer 14 15 and barely missed him coming back the other way. And he was never --- he didn't get involved in a crash on 16 that trip. He managed to navigate himself home safely 17 18 somehow or other 19 So I don't want to be too negative about the Virginia Tech study, but I think it's one study 20 21 that tells you something about driver distraction, but 22 there's lots of other studies out there that tell you 23 other things that you should consider as well. 24 CHAIR: 25 Any other questions?

REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:

02	I'd just make a comment that there's no
03	reason why we couldn't prevent eating, reading,
04	putting makeup on in the car, too. And obviously,
05	people talk on the cell phones safely and people talk
06	on the cell phones unsafely, just as they eat safely
07	and unsafely in the car. And you know, from my point
08	of view we would want to stop the unsafe behavior and
09	reduce the risks. That would be more productive.
10	CHAIR:
11	Josh?
12	REPRESENTATIVE SHAPRIO:
13	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a brief
14	question. I want to focus a little bit on the
15	enforcement side. I don't know whether your research
16	has indicated this or not, but from your experience in
17	studying this issue, do you have any take on whether
18	or not from a law enforcement standpoint this should
19	be a primary offense or a secondary offense?
20	MR. JOVANIS:
21	Well, I can tell you from the safety
22	point of view, it should be a primary offense. That
23	would be from the safety point of view. Now, the
24	difficulties involved with law enforcement community
25	in having the manpower to support that, I wouldn't be

01 able to comment on. But I think unquestionably from a 02 safety perspective you would want to have it as a 03 primary offense. 04 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 05 So the only way to really enforce that 06 would be through visual sighting or visual by the 07 officer? 08 MR. JOVANIS: 09 Right. 10 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 11 As in my role as Chairman of the subcommittee on safety, we had discussions in 12 13 Harrisburg on the fact that our seatbelt laws are a secondary offense in Pennsylvania, and the rationale 14 behind some of the critics of those who wish to make 15 it a primary offense is that it leads to the potential 16 abuse by police officers for racial profiling and 17 18 other concerns that they have is one of the reasons 19 why we've been able to move seatbelts into a primary 20 offense in Pennsylvania. So I'm just wondering how this differs and, you know, do we get into situations 21 22 where an officer says a person was on the cell phone 23 and the person denies it. MR. JOVANIS: 24 25 Yeah, that's beyond my ability to

01 testify. I'm not an expert in that area. But I will 02 tell you that we should have a primary seatbelt law as 03 well. I mean, the safest you could do to prevent an 04 injury in a crash is to have a seatbelt on. Better 05 than airbags, better than anything else. 06 CHAIR: 07 Even if your state has a better 80 compliance as a secondary than they do as other states 09 as primary? 10 MR. JOVANIS: If we had it as a primary, it would be 11 better than what we have now. 12 13 CHAIR: Well, if you use that rationale --- if we 14 15 use the rationale of logic, we would actually say, well, if you would have 80 percent now, and the 16 mandatory 75, you can have a five-percent reduction. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE REED: 19 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your 20 support in all this, and I also appreciate your 21 comment that you made about what is realistic behavior 22 and modifier, and in this case, we do have the 23 technology available to try and modify the behavior as it pertains to using cell phones while driving. 24 25 I wanted to ask you, I agree, and I made

01 the comment in my testimony that you are cognitively 02 impaired, and we can argue degrees, when you have that 03 conversation on the cell phone, whether you're using a 04 hands-free device or a handheld device, I acknowledge 05 that, I accept that. There have been some studies 06 done, including the one that I cited earlier Design 07 Science, which talks about how you actually are able 80 to drive the car more effectively in terms of your 09 acceleration, direct the vehicle your brake time is 10 faster, your steering is more accurate when you have 11 the ability to have both hands on the wheel because 12 you're using a headset.

So my sense is, and I'm no expert, and 13 you clearly are, Doctor, but my sense is that there's 14 15 cognitive impairments and there's physical impairments 16 when you're using the cell phone. And I would accept the argument that cognitively, that your level is 17 18 diminished from your having that conversation, just as it is, I'm sure, when you're concentrating on a radio 19 20 station or having a conversation with a passenger in 21 the car, doing your makeup, et cetera. But 22 physically, are you seeing in your scientific look at 23 this, as Design Science saw it, that you're able to physically drive the car, get better when you're using 24 25 a hands-free device?

MR. JOVANIS:

01

02 Well, first of all, let me say, I 03 appreciate the invitation that your staff gave me to 04 come, and so I don't want to be in a situation where 05 I'm not supporting the legislation, because I do. The 06 difficulty is, the skill that it takes to mechanically 07 operate the vehicle, hold onto the steering wheel, direct the vehicle, apply the accelerator and the 08 brake, there's a physiological component to that, but 09 --- which is facilitated by not holding onto a cell 10 11 phone at the same time.

But there is a cognitive element that you 12 still have to go through to reach the decision, based 13 on the driving environment that's in front of you to 14 15 apply the brake, apply the accelerator or change the direction of the vehicle. And that's the aspect of 16 the cell phone use that is distracting to the driver. 17 18 And as I said, I think the --- especially the more recent studies really have indicated this notion of 19 20 the cognitive load that cell phone conversation places 21 on you.

You can have different people sort of testify to different things that would give you the impression that the physiological part of what you're doing in the car is separate from the cognitive part,

01 but they're not. And you know, one of the most sort 02 of famous things that you read about and that I teach 03 in my safety classes is that human beings are single 04 channel processors. There's only one place all this 05 information is going, and it's going right up in here. 06 CHAIR: 07 You can't get this all. 08 MR. JOVANIS: 09 She may be really fast at timesharing. 10 But we're a single channel processor. Now, you can do 11 multiple tasks and you do them real quickly, but you're basically timesharing those, and you're 12 spending a little bit of time on one, turning that one 13 off, going to a little bit of time on the other. So 14 15 it's all coming back to the same place, and that's you 16 cognitively making decisions about what particular 17 physical actions you're taking and how you're tracking 18 potential crash targets out in the roadway system. So 19 it's true to some extent, but I think it's important 20 to recognize this notion of the single channel 21 processor, which has been around for a long time. And 22 I think most people --- most researchers in the safety 23 field kind of accept that notion. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:

25 The Matthew study, which you cited,

01 saying that hands-free operation is the least 02 distracting from an operations testing. Can you just provide a little bit more background on that? 03 MR. JOVANIS: 04 05 I'll have to pull it out of here. They 06 looked at a number of different cell phone 07 configurations in the vehicle, and ---. 80 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: You can get back to me, if you want. 09 10 MR. JOVANIS: 11 This was an on-the-road test. Right. And they looked at a number of different 12 13 configurations and found that the hands-free was the best of the three that they tested. One of which was 14 15 sort of a voice recognition or a voice interactive-type system. I have the study here. I 16 can get back to you with a comment on that. 17 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 18 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIR: 21 Thank you very much. 22 MR. JOVANIS: 23 Thank you. 24 CHAIR: 25 I appreciate your testimony. Next is Ed

01 McCarthy.

02 MR. MCCARTHY:

03 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman. 04 Representatives of the Committee, thanks for the 05 opportunity to talk to you about driver distraction. 06 There's a large number of --- a large amount of 07 scientific evidence to indicate that drivers become 80 distracted as they drive. A substantial percentage of distractions are classified as external to vehicle. 09 10 Accident databases suggest that external to vehicle 11 driver distraction is a major contributory factor to accidents, and that these incidents are likely 12 underreported. 13

14 The census is now emerging that subjects 15 can be distracted even when concentrating on the task 16 in front of them, and that areas of high visual 17 clutter search times for important visual stimuli are 18 slowed considerably.

19 I'd like to touch upon four areas and 20 their relationship to driver distractions. Older 21 drivers, sign clutter, headlights and weather. The 65 22 and older age group will exceed 50 million by the year 23 2020, accounting for nearly one-fifth of the driving 24 population in the country. In effect, this design is 25 controlled by the 85th percentile performance

01 requirements, the design driver of the early 21st 02 century would be an individual over the age of 65. So I believe it's relevant to consider these design 03 04 drivers' ability to safely contend with distractions. 05 Safe driving demands competence ---06 sensory, cognitive and psychomotor functionality in 07 order to respond correctly to the constantly changing 80 highway environment. Critical information is relayed to motorists primarily through visual and auditory 09 10 signals. And of those, vision provides as much as 85 11 percent of the information needed to make safe driving 12 decisions. The amount of light needed to drive 13 roughly doubles every 13 years. A 45 year old 14 15 requires four times as much light as a 19 year old, a 16 60 year old requires nearly ten times as much. The ability to focus declines with age, 17 18 colors are harder to see, peripheral vision and depth perception narrow and declines with age. Glare 19 sensitivity increases. A 55 year old takes eight 20 21 times longer to recover from glare than a 16 year old. 22 Regarding sign clutter. There are over 23 500,000 billboards in the United States, and the number grows by approximately 5,000 to 10,000 a year. 24 25 Road users receive most of their information visually,

01 complex and cluttered backgrounds make individual 02 pieces of information more difficult to identify and 03 can make the driving task more difficult.

04 Research indicates that road users 05 perform best under moderate levels of demand. There 06 are periods of high task demand that is known that the 07 duration of drivers' glances at signs becomes shorter, 08 as more time is needed to accommodate control and 09 guidance tasks, and less is available for reading 10 signs.

11 Positive guidance, a concept developed by 12 the Federal Highway focuses on how road users, primarily motorists, acquire and interpret and apply 13 information in the driving task. In practical 14 15 application, positive guidance suggests competition 16 for driver attention. The presence of information 17 irrelevant to driving-related tasks may have a 18 negative impact on safety.

As for headlights. AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety made the following comments regarding headlight illumination. Headlight glare was cited as a growing problem for drivers. A thin layer of road grime can block 90 percent of a typical headlight's light. And 30-h iv style headlights actually diffuse light, increasing glare of others. One half of all 01 vehicles, or 110 million had misaligned headlamps in 02 states which inspect headlamp alignment.

Federal Highway also in December of 2003 Federal Highway also in December of 2003 stated in a report on retro reflectivity levels, or science, that regulatory warning and guidance information is compromised under dark conditions or conditions when headlamp illumination is less than optimal.

09 The global agreement concerning 10 halogenized headlights reduces overhead illumination 11 by 33 percent. It also noted that field experiments conducted with 50 different vehicles having a variety 12 of headlamp types and more than 1,500 headlamp 13 distributions found that overhead signs received 14 15 marginally sufficient illumination. Only 50 percent of the vehicles provided adequate illumination to meet 16 17 the legibility requirements.

18 Moving to weather. Rain makes headlamps 19 less effective. It acts like a lens which scatters 20 lights and distorts the visual image, filters away light and causes back scatter, which is light 21 22 reflected back by the rain to the driver's eye. 23 Windshield wipers are only 100 percent effective, and 24 they can smear across the windshield and only sweep 25 part of the visual field. The formation of dew on

01 sign surfaces can cause a reduction of up to 80 02 percent in retro reflected performance. Dirt can 03 cause reductions in lamp intensity and is large enough 04 to be a concern. And a layer of road salt on a 05 windshield will significantly reduce contrast 06 sensitivity through the film and increased reaction 07 time.

08 In an increasing complex driving environment, the risk of driver distraction is growing 09 with the sensory, cognitive and psychomotor abilities 10 11 of a design driver declining with age. The external visual environment, including the preponderance of 12 roadway advertising and logo signing creates 13 competition for drivers' attention to critical guide 14 15 signs and other traffic-controlled devices. In a 16 roadway environment where guide signing must compete 17 with increasingly provocative and explicit advertising 18 and in-vehicle distractions, fixed independent illumination of overhead signing will better direct 19 20 drivers' attention to the task at hand. Thank you. 21 CHAIR: 22 Thank you very much. Any questions? 23 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** 24 So if I'm understanding the bottom line, basically, the best way that we could really be 25

01 leaders in Pennsylvania in reducing distracted driving 02 is by a very broad comprehensive approach to a lot of these different factors that are causing people to 03 04 lose their attention? 05 ATTORNEY HOREWITZ: 06 Yes. 07 **REPRESENTATIVE ROSS:** 08 Thank you. 09 CHAIR: 10 Josh? Thank you very much. 11 ATTORNEY HOREWITZ: Thanks. 12 13 CHAIR: We appreciate it. Matt Sundeen. And 14 15 tonight you have a PowerPoint? MR. SUNDEEN: 16 I do. So with your indulgence, if you 17 want to take the comfortable seats. I think our tech 18 19 person just walked out of the room, but let's ---. Hello, Mr. Chairman and members of the 20 Committee. Thanks for having me here today. My name 21 22 is Matt Sundeen. I am with the National Conference 23 and State Legislatures and we're headquartered down in 24 Denver, Colorado. What I wanted to do is kind of give 25 you a little perspective nationally of what's going on 01 in this issue, what other states are doing with 02 distracted driving.

We talked about this a little bit earlier 03 04 this morning, the numbers attached to distracted 05 driving nationally. You see some of the figures up 06 there. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration 07 estimates 20 to 30 percent of motor-vehicle crashes 80 involved some sort of distracted driving as a 09 contributing cause, and you see what that translates into, in terms of fatalities, injuries, numbers of 10 11 motor-vehicle crashes and actual property damage from 12 crashes.

So nationwide it's a significant figure 13 that we're talking about here involving distracted 14 15 driving. And how significant is it for your fellow lawmakers nationwide. Well, over the last five years 16 we've seen every state in the United States address 17 18 this issue. In 2003 Congress took it up, although that Bill actually didn't go anywhere. There's as 19 20 many as 300 local communities nationwide, and actually that number might be a little bit higher that have 21 22 examined some sort of legislation or ordinance related 23 to distracted driving.

And then --- it's fairly a significantissue for us. Within the transportation program it's

01 clearly our number one issue in terms of the number of 02 calls that we get, and conference-wide it's right up 03 there with some of our top issues, elections, 04 redistricting, things like that. So it's something I 05 get a lot of calls about at NCSL. Our transportation 06 issue amongst all the transportation issues that we 07 handle, something that a lot of lawmakers are talking 08 about.

O9 So why is driver distraction such a big
10 issue that we're seeing it nationally. I think
11 clearly, you know, distraction has been an issue since
12 we've had model Ts. You can be distracted by an
13 endless list of things in the car, but clearly the
14 interest in driver distraction legislation has grown
15 since the introduction of technology into the car.

16 You see the number of cell phone subscribers up there. Over 204 million now in the 17 18 United States. And it's estimated between 50 and 75 percent of those used are phones while they're 19 20 driving. But of course, as you know, and it's been said in prior testimony, it's clearly not just the 21 22 phones in the car that's drawing all the attention. 23 If the visibility of the phone, if you're behind 24 someone and they have their hand to their ear, you know what they're doing. But it's also the complexity 25

01 of the phone, it's the texting ability, a lot of 02 things that you can do in your home or in your office, you can now do in the car, not just with phones, but 03 04 with navigational systems, fax machines, computers. 05 There's a lot of different technology 06 going in the car, and I think that's really the 07 primary responsibility drawing attention to this 08 particular issue. So the question is, are cell phones 09 dangerous to use while driving? I think clearly the 10 question here is more --- are cell phones more 11 dangerous than other activities in the car, because as we all know, there's an endless list of things that 12 can distract you in the car. 13 And I think the first thing to notice 14 15 really is the crash data that are out there in the 16 states don't answer this question effectively. 17 There's only a dozen states that are published

18 statistics, and a lot of the examples in the states, 19 the statistics come from pilot studies where maybe one 20 division would then --- the Department of Public 21 Safety was studying this issue or there were a limited 22 number of drivers. So you really don't have a 23 comprehensive picture from the crash data on how many 24 cell phones are involved in motor-vehicle crashes.

25 From the statistics that we do have, it

01 seems to indicate that a very small percentage of 02 crashes have cell phones as a causal factor. But 03 there's a lot of questions about data reliability. 04 The primary one is, if you come across a crash scene 05 and you're a law enforcement officer, there really 06 aren't any physical indicators there to show whether a 07 cell phone was involved in the crash. It's not 80 similar to things like drunk driving or seatbelts where it's clear from somebody's BAC level, if they 09 were drunk. There are physical indicators that 10 11 they're using a seatbelt. With a cell phone there's no physical 12 indicator. A lot of times law enforcement has to rely 13 on the self-reporting --- the driver or witness 14 15 reporting, and because of that, there are a lot of questions about the data reliability and the 16 effectiveness of this published data. There was an 17

18 example in 2002 where the California Highway Patrol sent a report to the state legislature where they 19 20 analyzed some of their data and tried to come up with 21 a result on motor-vehicle crashes. Well, the L.A. 22 Times got ahold of that data. They questioned the 23 validity of it and actually did their own analysis and came up with a much higher figure, CHP had to go back 24 and re-analyze their own statistics and come back with 25

a different figure than the legislature. So I think
that all goes to the questions of data reliability,
and whether we actually have a picture from the crash
data. And I think the answer is clearly no.

05 So then we talk about all the studies, 06 and there have been a number of them discussed today, 07 and I think there are studies out there that are on 08 both sides of the issue. There had been a couple done that seem to equate the use of a cell phone while 09 10 driving with drunk driving in terms of the number of 11 motor-vehicle crashes. There's also been several studies from the University of Utah, Harvard Center 12 for Risk Analysis, the Virginia Tech one has been 13 mentioned a lot today. And the one on the bottom you 14 15 really can't see, Insurance Institute for Highway 16 Safety has published a study from Australia.

17 So there's a number of studies that seem 18 to indicate that phones are risky. On the opposite 19 side there's a few studies out there that put cell 20 phones low on the list of distractions. So you know, 21 you have that NCSL and materials related to all of 22 these studies if you're interested.

Beyond that, I think, you as legislators
are interested in what the public opinion is on it.
This was from a Gallup Pole that was done in March of

2003 that indicates that while a lot in the public a 01 02 lot of people use their phones while driving, many 03 people seem to support some sort of restriction. 04 There's not a consensus on what's more dangerous, the 05 outgoing call, the incoming call, that type of thing, 06 but the public supports the increasing public 07 awareness, and in some cases they support restrictions 08 to varying degrees.

09 Here's kind of a chart on where we see 10 the state legislation going on this issue over the 11 last couple of years. You can see sort of a trending 12 upwards. The first column, the colors aren't really easy to distinguish there, but the purple on the left 13 is the number of proposed bills. And you can see the 14 15 total number of states who have proposed bills in the last couple of years is up around 50. 16

17 If you look at the past legislation, 18 that's been trending upwards. If you asked me about five or six years ago where legislation was going in 19 20 the states, in almost every example, legislation was pretty much dead on arrival. That's not true anymore. 21 22 We have a number of states that are passing 23 legislation, a lot of states considering legislation, it's moving through committees. And I think if you 24 get a picture of what the existing laws are out there, 25

01 I think a lot of times --- I know we had some press in 02 the room, and they were here earlier, a lot of times I 03 think it's mischaracterized as a ban on cell phones in 04 the car.

05 But clearly, states are doing a lot of 06 different things, 28 states plus D.C. have laws 07 related to cell phone use in the car. As was 80 mentioned earlier, the three states plus D.C. that have the prohibition on the handheld phones, 09 10 California will have it pretty soon. As was mentioned 11 earlier, passed through the Assembly and Senate. Governor Schwarzenegger will sign the bill. So we'll 12 have some big states there, too, New York and then 13 California and New Jersey with prohibition of a 14 15 handheld in a car.

16 What's far more common, though, are 17 restrictions on drivers with learner's permits and 18 younger drivers. You have 13 states plus D.C. that have that kind of restriction, restrictions on school 19 20 bus driver use of the cell phone. An interesting one 21 that I like, Massachusetts requires they have at least 22 one hand on the steering wheel at all times while 23 using a cell phone. I thought that was sort of self-evident, but, you know, driving on highways, 24 maybe that's not true. 25

01 There are actually some states that have 02 restrictions on local jurisdiction action where they 03 are pre-empting local jurisdictions with statewide 04 laws, and that's actually something I'll talk about a 05 little bit in Pennsylvania, but something for you to 06 consider as well.

07 If you look at the legislation being 80 considered this year, I think this kind of captures what's consistent with already existing laws where you 09 10 see very few states considering this total prohibition 11 on phones in the car. Far more common are the things like the restrictions on the younger driver use, the 12 restrictions on the hands-free. We've also seen some 13 14 states looking at things like televisions, DVD players 15 in the car. Of course, another favorite of mine, a 16 few states looking at the types of videos that you can watch in the car. The infamous porn while driving 17 18 bills that came very popular about a year ago. So there's a variety of things that 19 20 states are considering related to cell phone use and other devices in the car. So what are the trends. 21

Again, cell phone legislation still leads. We have this whole range of distraction issues in the car, but by far and away, the one that generates the greatest amount of tension from legislators nationwide are the 01 cell phone types of bills.

02 A lot of growing interest in the novice 03 driver legislation, and I think that's --- there was a 04 NTSB, National Transportation Safety Board study done 05 a couple years ago where they recommended that states 06 pass some sort of limitation or restriction on younger 07 driver use with cell phones in the car. You see the 08 growing interest in other distractions. The laws that 09 passed in D.C. and Connecticut both included 10 provisions related to broader range of distractions in 11 the car, things like reading and unsecure pets. 12 And we're seeing a number of other states considering these types of provisions in their bills. 13 14 Also, greater interest in criminal cases, employer 15 liability insurance. We've had a number of lawsuits 16 now where employers have been held liable for 17 employees who are involved in crashes wile using the 18 cell phone. So that's another trend to watch. And states are also trying to improve their data 19 20 collection. 21 In terms of what's happened at the 22 federal level is pretty much nothing. Governor 23 Corzine, when he was a Senator in 2003 proposed a bill that pretty much went nowhere. I talked about the 24

NCSB report that was issued in 2003. The interesting

25

01 thing about that, it was issued on a report where the 02 younger driver using a cell phone in a high profile 03 SUV on a windy day, this is the first time driving her 04 car and she was involved in a fatal crash that killed 05 five people. So among the things that she was doing 06 was using cell phones, and because of that NTSB 07 recommended this novice driver restriction.

08 In terms of local action, I think this is 09 something to be interested here in Pennsylvania on. I 10 think a lot of states have been concerned about having 11 piecemeal restrictions in their states. We had some 12 local communities passing restrictions. And in fact, so far these 26 local communities nationwide have 13 passed some sort of handheld restriction. Most 14 15 significantly, Chicago, which did it last year, but 16 also Miami, Dade County, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Here in Pennsylvania there's a half dozen local 17 18 jurisdictions that have passed handheld restrictions. Conshohocken, West Conshohocken, all in through the 19 20 Philadelphia metro area.

The other interesting thing that has happened here in Pennsylvania, there was court decision related to one of those jurisdictions where it said that the statewide law pre-empted the local jurisdiction. That's not going to fly all across the

Ol State of Pennsylvania yet. And it certainly doesn't apply nationwide, but I think that's something to be aware of. What a lot of states are doing is legislators are looking at this and passing while it's just a --- this is a state issue, and we're not going to let the locals act on this issue, and that's one of trends we're seeing in state legislatures.

80 Internationally, there's a number of countries that have restrictions. I think this number 09 10 is actually closer to 50 now. You see some of the 11 examples that are up there, most of them are restrictions on handheld use in a car. And then in 12 terms of data collection, we talked a little bit about 13 that. We're seeing more and more states trying to 14 15 improve their crash data. You see some of those 16 listed up there, including Pennsylvania, which is now collecting the statistics, and also Pennsylvania, your 17 18 legislature, ordered a study a couple years ago on this issue, and it was published and it was one of 19 20 eight states published a study related to cell phone 21 use in the car.

A final thing is, as the federal level there's an effort, it's called a model minimum uniform crash criteria or MMUCC. Are there crash criteria that states use when they're trying to reform their

01 crash report forms. And there was a revision on that 02 nationally about two years ago to include cell phone 03 involvement in a motor-vehicle crash. So I think 04 we're going to see over the next couple years an 05 improvement on the number of statistics that are 06 collected by the states.

07 The question today are the handheld 08 phones effective. Are there technological solutions that can improve safety on the road. Well, of course, 09 10 I think it depends on who you talk to. Intuitively, 11 you would think if your hands are free to use your phone you have better control of the vehicle, even if 12 you are distracted. In a lot of jurisdictions that's 13 what's more politically palatable. I think clearly in 14 15 California that was a political compromise that 16 reached that allowed it to pass through the legislature. 17

18 A lot of the studies seem to indicate is 19 really the cognitive distraction that's key. When 20 you're trying to interact with someone on the phone 21 that may be a little bit different than when you're 22 interacting with them in your vehicle or in person. 23 You have to focus more, and because of that you may be 24 more distracted. So many of the studies seem to 25 indicate there's really no difference between the

01 hands-free and the handheld. But again, it's sort of 02 who you talk to on that.

03 In terms of the enforcement, clearly in 04 New York and D.C. the jurisdictions have the longest 05 experience here. There hasn't been much problem with 06 enforcement. Talking to the law enforcement officials 07 in New York, they said the first month of the law is 08 like shooting fish in the barrel. Pretty easy to ticket drivers, and a large number of drivers have 09 10 been ticketed in both jurisdictions.

11 The compliance rates interestingly --- it was mentioned in this study as well, initially after 12 the law passed, when there was a lot of publicity, 13 great compliance. About six months later, eight 14 15 months later, the compliance rates were down sort of 16 considerably. You know, there's no real study in New York that goes to whether the overall number of 17 18 motor-vehicle crashes has dropped because of the law. 19 Driver education, and this is one of my 20 last thoughts here. There's been a lot of talk about 21 that today. There was a study done by AAA back in 22 2003 to try to evaluate where states were on the 23 driver education programs. And you can see there, those are the total number of states with each of 24 those components in their driver education manuals. 25

01 The majority of states don't have things like cell 02 phone usage in their driver education manuals. You 03 see only close to 20 states have something about cell 04 phone involvement in the driver education materials. 05 Another key thing to note here is there's

06 some questions about the effectiveness. If you have 07 something in your manual to say outlaw cell phones on 80 the road, or it says in your manual, you know, you 09 shouldn't use your cell phone, but you don't have a 10 law that says that use of a cell phone is illegal, 11 it's questionable whether anybody's going to pay attention to it. If it's not illegal in your 12 jurisdiction, you know, okay, it's nice to know that 13 it's going to distract you. A lot of people know 14 15 that.

So we've seen a lot of industry campaigns 16 17 on this, and clearly driver education is a thing that 18 a lot of legislators were talking about. Finally, 19 just in terms of NCSL resources, I gave to you a copy of our 2005 state legislative update along with a copy 20 of my PowerPoint slides. We're going to be updating 21 22 this. We do it once a year. So it's on my to-do list 23 when I get back to the office, but a lot of 2006 24 updates coming out, I would guess in the next month or 25 so.

01 We also had a working group --- in fact, 02 we were talking to some of the people in the room here 03 from Verizon and Sprint who are involved in the 04 working group. Where we formed a group of wireless 05 service providers, safety groups like AAA, 06 legislators, legislative staff. We came up with some 07 recommendations where everybody in the room agreed on, 08 and that was captured of the report back in 2002. We also have a database available on our web today where 09 10 we track legislation. And then if you have any 11 additional questions after this meeting, this is my contact information. And I'm happy to take any 12 questions from the Committee right now. Thank you for 13 14 your time. 15 CHAIR:

Does anyone have any questions? That's a wonderful presentation, a lot of information in a very short period of time. But it clearly shows that, to me, that the technology is running way farther ahead of us in the state and local government.

21 MR. SUNDEEN:

I think, absolutely, that's true. The rate of technology can increase pretty quickly, and I think we all know that. Ten years ago, how many people had a cell phone, let alone a phone that they 01 used in their car, and if you did it was probably 02 something about this big; right? CHAIR: 03 04 Or they had a three-watt ---. 05 MR. SUNDEEN: 06 Right. And clearly, we didn't have that 07 type of technology in the car that ---. 08 CHAIR: 09 It turned around and hit me, because ---. 10 MR. SUNDEEN: 11 Yeah. But I mean, you, as legislators, know how long it takes to pass something in your 12 elected bodies. It's often quite difficult to do it. 13 It takes a lot of time, whereas the technology --- you 14 15 know, that changes on a daily basis almost. 16 CHAIR: We were discussing something back here 17 18 about the remarks you made. How many people do you 19 notice going down the road now that are watching television? 20 MR. SUNDEEN: 21 22 I think that number is growing. 23 CHAIR: 24 Can you see them with the little screens 25 on the dashboard. For me, I always had a GPS unit,

01 because I wanted to know my exact speed.

02 MR. SUNDEEN:

Right. Well, I think that number is 03 04 definitely growing, not only with the navigational 05 systems, but aftermarket products now allow people to 06 wire their cars in all sorts of different 07 configurations. And you know, I sort of jokingly 80 mentioned that no porn while driving craze. Well, 09 what happened with that is, a person pulled over in 10 New York, and they were watching --- they re-wired 11 their Mercedes so that they could watch videos through their visors, and pulled over watching, you know, a 12 pornographic video. And of course, that made the USA 13 Today and New York Times and Wall Street Journal. And 14 15 printed the guy's name and the title of the movie he 16 was watching. So let that be a lesson to all of you. 17 CHAIR: 18 Any other members have questions? I want to thank you. Make sure that Paul and Eric get all 19 20 the information. You just had a tremendous amount of stuff. 21 22 MR. SUNDEEN: 23 Absolutely. And many of the studies that were mentioned today, I have copies of in my office. 24

And I'm happy to share those with members of the

25

01 Committee. And the people who are on the Committee, 02 if you're interested in this information, feel free to contact me as well. Thank you very much. 03 04 CHAIR: 05 Okay. We have one more. Tom McCarey. 06 Why don't you just go ahead and ---. 07 MR. MCCAREY: 08 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Chairman and members of 09 the Committee. Good afternoon. I want to thank you 10 for the opportunity to represent the National Motorist 11 Association at this hearing. Mr. Sundeen's a tough act to follow, but it's good to be the headliner. 12 13 The National Motorists Association works to protect the rights and privileges of motorists 14 15 throughout North America. We are a member-funded grassroots organization working for traffic laws based 16 17 on sound engineering principles and public consensus, 18 not political win or knee jerk reactions. The 19 National Motorists Association opposes any law or regulation making use of cell phones illegal while in 20 21 an automobile. 22 The NMA deplores this seemingly 23 unconscious and dangerous driving on the part of 24 certain cell phone users. The NMA is equally 25 disturbed by similar driving practices exhibited by

01 other drivers not using cell phones. Therein lies the 02 true issue. Distracted inattentive driving that 03 results in dangerous driving. In response to this 04 problem, every state, including Pennsylvania has some 05 form of law that addresses inattentive driving. 06 The cause of the inattentiveness is 07 largely irrelevant. It doesn't matter that the driver 08 is distracted by a conversation with a passenger, 09 tuning the radio, eating a snack or talking on a cell 10 phone. If the level of distraction reaches a point 11 the driver is no longer safely and responsibly driving, they are guilty of inattentive driving and 12 other violations that may result from their 13 14 inattentiveness. 15 Inattentive driving in all its forms can 16 best be addressed through educational forums and to a limited degree enforcement activities. Ultimately, it 17 18 has to be recognized that people do not want to be involved in a traffic accident. If they are 19 20 sufficiently and frequently forewarned that 21 inattentiveness is the leading cause of all traffic 22 accidents, the message may well take hold. 23 Investing public resources into educational and public relations efforts focused on 24

inattentive driving would be a productive use of these

25

01 funds. Pre-emptive laws that make otherwise innocent 02 harmless acts illegal are pervasive in the United 03 States. The range of pre-emptive state and federal 04 statues is so extensive that it is literally 05 impossible for the average citizen to function from 06 day to day without violating multiple laws and 07 regulations. This is particularly true for those 80 persons driving on public roads.

09 Most of these pre-emptive laws are put in 10 place for one of two reasons. The first is the belief 11 that by making the innocent and harmless act illegal, it will eliminate the possibility that this act will 12 lead to another actually harmful act. The second 13 reason and the underlying reason for making the 14 15 harmless use of cell phones illegal by vehicle operators is ease of enforcement. 16

17 A blanket prohibition on cell phone use 18 is far easier to enforce than our inattentive driving laws. This eliminates the need for exercising 19 20 thoughtful discretion and reasoned judgment. The issue appears black and white. That the cell phone 21 22 user was causing no harm and endangering no one does 23 not have to enter into the decision-making process. 24 The National Motorists Association opposes this type of politically expedient enforcement 25

01 practice. Innocent harmless behavior in and of itself 02 should not be illegal.

To date, there is no statistical 03 04 correlation between cell phone use and increased 05 accidents and no correlation between cell phone bans 06 and fewer accidents. Even the studies that attempt to 07 show a correlation will state that the findings are 08 not conclusive due to a lack of information. 09 With the explosion in cell phone use, 10 there would be an expectation of an explosive increase in the number of accidents, but that has not happened. 11 If officials are truly interested in 12 increasing safety on our roads, they should forget 13 this current legislation. Instead there should be 14 15 more emphasis on enforcing the existing law of distracted driving and mounting a safety campaign, 16 emphasizing the dangers of distracted driving. This 17 18 single action would target the true problem drivers, 19 not just drivers who happen to be using a phone. I have a question and then a final 20 21 statement. Are there any points attached to this 22 Bill? Any points assessed to the driver? 23 CHAIR: 24 Mr. Shapiro? 25 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:**

01 No, there are not.

02 MR. MCCAREY: Why not? 03 04 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** 05 If you want to finish, I'd be more than 06 happy to ---. 07 MR. MCCAREY: 08 Well, that's part of my question. If this is such a serious offense, why aren't there 09 10 points? 11 **REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:** That's a fair question. 12 13 MR. MCCAREY: Do you have an answer? 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: It's a fair question. I'll be more than 16 happy to look into it. 17 MR. MCCAREY: 18 19 Do you think this is as serious as wreckless driving? It has six points and a six-month 20 suspension. Do you think it's as serious as careless 21 driving. It has three points. The distracted driving 22 23 statute is 37.18 carries two points. The fact that 24 this is --- \$250 with no points indicates that this 25 legislation is primarily to raise revenue. If it's so

01 serious, why aren't there points?

02 That's the National Motorists Association's position. There's already a law for 03 distracted driving. And I think you made a big 04 05 mistake not putting points on this, because it opens 06 the door for a lot of questions. And I'm ready to 07 answer any questions you guys have. 80 CHAIR: Thank you very much. 09 10 MR. MCCAREY: 11 I suppose you want to get out of here. I'm a little upset that the Channel Six guy left. So 12 13 I guess I'm not important enough for the news. 14 CHAIR: 15 Anybody have any questions from the Committee? Thank you very much. 16 MR. MCCAREY: 17 18 Thank you. CHAIR: 19 Good counterpoint. And that concludes 20 today's hearing. I want to thank everybody for 21 22 coming. * * * * * * * * 23 24 PUBLIC HEARING CONCLUDED AT 7:49 P.M. * * * * * * * * 25