HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE HEARING

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 2464, TRANSPORTATION REVITALIZATION INVESTMENT

MILTON S. HERSHEY MEDICAL CENTER ROOM C-3621 500 UNIVERSITY DRIVE HERSHEY, PENNSYLVANIA

MONDAY, AUGUST 19, 2002, 10:13 A.M.

BEFORE:

HON. RONALD MARSICO, ACTING CHAIRMAN

HON. RUSSELL FAIRCHILD

HON. RICHARD HESS

HON. KEITH McCALL

HON. EUGENE McGILL

HON. JOSEPH PETRARCA

HON. DANTE SANTONI

HON. JERE STRITTMATTER

HON. KATHARINE WATSON

ALSO PRESENT:

HON. CAROLE RUBLEY ROBERT MUSTIN · DANA SHAW

JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC



ARCHIVE REPORTING SERVICE

2336 N. Second Street (717) 234-5922 Harrisburg, PA 17110 FAX (717) 234-6190

1		I N D E X	
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	Richard Bickel	5	
5	Mary Jo Morandini	7	
6	Carole Rubley	13	
7	Paul Morris	16	
8	John McPhee	55	
9	William Fontana	61	
L 0	Carlos Rodrigues	69	
l 1	Edward Troxell	88	
12	Dennis Louwerse	91	
13			
۱4			
15			
16			
١7			
l 8			
19			
2 0			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Good morning, everyone. My name is Representative Ron Marsico, Dauphin County. I'm the Subcommittee Chair for Rail. I'm pinch hitting today as the Chair of this Transportation Hearing on House Bill 2464.

You may or may not know that

Representative Geist, Chairman of the Transportation

Committee, had a small bicycle accident last week

while vacationing and, in fact, is having

reconstructive surgery this morning here at Penn

State on his elbow.

Anyway, we welcome you. We look forward to your information and your testimony today.

Let me, while I have the mike here, thank Penn State Medical Center for hosting us here today. We certainly appreciate the hospitality and the accommodations.

We will start with introductions of the members of the committee and staff starting at my left over here with Representative Hess.

My name is Representative Dick Hess. I represent southcentral Pennsylvania. I represent Bedford County, Fulton County and Huntingdon County, and I

```
have been on this committee for 16 years.
1
                   REPRESENTATIVE WATSON: Good morning.
 2
 3
     I'm Representative Kathy Watson. I represent a
 4
     portion of Bucks County in the southeast. I guess
 5
     if we're doing times, this is my first term and,
     therefore, I'm very happy to be a member of the
 6
 7
     Transportation Committee.
                   REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD:
 8
                                               Good
9
     morning. My name is Representative Russ Fairchild.
10
     I'm from Snyder and Union County and serve on this
11
     committee as subcommittee chairman on aviation
12
     issues.
13
                   REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Good morning.
14
     I'm Representative Dante Santoni, and I'm from Berks
15
     County.
16
                   MR. SHAW: Good morning. My name is
17
     Dana Shaw, and I'm here on behalf of State
18
     Representative LeAnna Washington of the 200th
19
     Legislative District, Philadelphia.
20
                   MR. MUSTIN: Bob Mustin, minority
21
     counsel.
22
                   REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you
2.3
     very much. We are going to adjust the schedule here
24
     a little bit, the agenda. Representative Rubley is
25
     running a little bit late. She was going to be the
```

first to testify. We are going to have Richard

Bickel from the Delaware Valley Regional Planning

Commission come up and give us a brief explanation

of House Bill 2464. Richard.

MR. BICKEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

My name is Richard Bickel. I'm Deputy Director of

Regional Planning at the Delaware Valley Regional

Planning Commission.

The Transit Revitalization Investment
District legislation is intended to encourage
transit oriented development planning and
implementation throughout the Commonwealth. It is
intended to facilitate TOD, or transit oriented
development, in stable, growing and redevelopment
locations.

It also adds a value capture provision that would enable transit agencies to participate in developing improvements in the TRID area and also to get more involved in the development activity process.

It's intended to promote partnerships and opportunities for local governments, transit agencies and the private sector to work together cooperatively to implement transit oriented development. And it's also intended to support

1 | community involvement in the TOD process.

Pennsylvania has quite a few redevelopment provisions, and this legislation is intended to add another tool that communities in Pennsylvania can use to promote the preservation, revitalization and reinvestment in a healthy downtown area or other area within the community focused on transit facilities and stations to promote transit oriented development.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you very much. Are there any questions from the members at this time?

Thank you. I'm sure we will have some other questions.

Next on the agenda is Paul Morris,

FASLA, Senior Professional Associate, Urban Design
and Community Development.

MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm going to be operating a powerpoint presentation,
and so you may wish to slide to the side if that's
more convenient and comfortable for you. I was
hoping it would be on the screen. Maybe they
powered down.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Speak right

1 into the microphone. 2 MR. MORRIS: I'm going to try to do 3 that. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Why don't we readjust the schedule again. 5 6 Let me see my agenda. Next to speak 7 is Mary Jo Morandini, General Manager, Beaver County Transit Authority. Is Mary Joe here? 8 9 MS. MORANDINI: Yes. Good morning. 10 I'm Mary Jo Morandini, General Manager of the Beaver 11 County Transit Authority located in Beaver County, 12 adjacent to Allegheny County and the city of 13 Pittsburgh. 14 I would like to thank you for inviting 15 me here today. I appreciate the opportunity to 16 testify before this committee on legislation that 17 can have a tremendous impact on transportation and 18 economic development throughout the Commonwealth. 19 Indeed, this is legislation that encourages growth 20 that is consistent with the principles of sound land 21 use planning, that is comprehensive rather than 22 fragmented and achieved through a community 23 consensus building process. 24 BCTA would welcome such legislation.

In November 2001, our transit authority completed a

25

highly visible and welcomed project to the municipality of Center Township and the county of Beaver. Construction was completed on a \$14 million travel center strategically located about 15 minutes north of the Greater Pittsburgh International Airport, just off the Route 60 expressway. We call our project the Expressway Travel Center.

The travel center is a multifaceted, state-of-the-art facility that functions as BCTA's fleet maintenance and storage facility, an operations and dispatch center, a customer service center that includes some of the newest transit technologies. And, in addition, there is a 250-car park and ride and bus terminal area.

The services operated from the travel center provide access to the Route 60 corridor, including the Greater Pittsburgh International Airport, retail and commercial activity in the corridor and, most importantly, jobs.

BCTA's travel center was constructed to increase mobility, to improve operational efficiency and to stimulate economic development in the largely vacant strip mall called Center Place where our facility is located.

There is a vacant grocery store and

approximately 23,000 square feet of empty retail space. The McDonald's there remains open at the entrance to Center Place, largely because of BCTA's project and the potential they believe it brings to the site.

as an opportunity to gain control at the remainder of the Center Place property to ensure that transit-friendly development occurs. BCTA would like to play the role of coordinator and consensus builder to lay the groundwork for a project that makes sense for the community and the prospective developers.

For example, we would like to partner with county government, the township, adjacent property owners, the Corporation for Economic Development, and others to gain consensus on a conceptual design for the remainder of the property and seek developers who may want to invest in the property if tax incentives were offered.

BCTA is appreciative of the flexibility in this proposed legislation and could choose to take on an even broader role in preparing the site, securing funding through the Corporation of Economic Development or another entity, and

seeking out potential leases for the developer.

We suggest this because of our close ties with various organizations and government entities in our community, and a history of building successful partnerships with many of the organizations and agencies in the development of our service system, and the construction of two major facilities in our county and a regional park and ride project completed in cooperation with the Port Authority of Allegheny County.

The opportunity that TRIDS presents here and throughout Pennsylvania are abundant and thought-provoking. Again, BCTA is appreciative of the Transportation Committee's interest in our project and some of our ideas. If we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to ask. And just for the record, I did pass out a brochure containing a lot of information about our new Expressway Travel Center for members of the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you very much. Before we move on, the chair would like to acknowledge and recognize the Minority Democratic Chair of the Committee, Keith McCall, and also from Allegheny County, Representative Joe Petrarca.

I notice that Representative Rubley is here, and we will put you on next. Okay.

`25

Are there any questions at all from the members regarding this testimony? If not, I have one. The local funding part of this, what kind of funding is available for this from the counties and municipalities? Is there anything there that has provided --

MS. MORANDINI: We haven't fully explored that yet, but we have a pretty good relationship with the Corporation for Economic Development, and we thought that we would probably work through that agency first.

We have a history with them. A project has never actually materialized with that partnership yet, but they were involved in looking at other sites for our project early on and expressed an interest in working cooperatively with us, and we believe that that might be a potential partnership.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: You mean the County Economic Development --

MS. MORANDINI: The Corporation for Economic Development is a private nonprofit. The County Economic Development Agency is another group

that we have stayed in touch with, and there may be some potential there. We haven't explored it yet.

Our county in general is very interested and supportive of public transit. We have one of the largest commitments of county dollars in our public transit system anywhere in the state.

They have long supported our project, provided local match on the travel center and some other capital projects, as well as our operating budget. They are probably one of the most supportive groups in the state in terms of contributing dollars to public transit in our county.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Okay.

MS. MORANDINI: We also had a very, very good relationship with Center Township when we began this project. We thought that there might be some zoning issues and some potential issues with the planning commission there and the zoning board there, but none of that materialized. They welcomed the project and are very anxious to continue to work with the transit authority and developers to continue to develop that site.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you

very much. We appreciate it.

If we could go back to Representative Rubley, Carole Rubley is here to testify on her bill, House Bill 2464.

REPRESENTATIVE RUBLEY: Good morning.

Thank you. I'm sorry for the delay. A little traffic problem getting here this morning.

Thank you for providing the opportunity to discuss and present TRID or the Transit Revitalization Investment District legislation. I am pleased to be the prime sponsor of this bill and have worked with Representative Steve Stetler on this.

I also applaud the members of DVRPC,
Pennsylvania Environmental Council and 10,000
Friends for their hard work in initiating and
promoting this very innovative proposal.

Although the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania as a whole is not growing in population

to any great extent, much of the suburban areas are

experiencing intensive growth as more and more

farmland is converted into housing developments and

shopping centers, people and businesses are leaving

our older developed communities, including boroughs

and inner ring suburbs, resulting in a declining tax

base and deteriorating infrastructure.

. 18

In 1998, I served as a member of Governor Ridge's 21st Century Environment Commission and on the Task Force on Land Use. The Commission recognized that the No. 1 problem facing the Commonwealth as we move into the 21st Century was the need to promote responsible land use, including the need to revitalize our older communities.

The final report contains many outstanding recommendations, including the need to develop transportation and infrastructure plans on a regional basis, encouraging transit oriented development, ensuring that mass transit is accessible, safe, reliable and affordable.

The Legislature, as you know, has implemented two very important initiatives coming from the 21th Century Commission's Report, both Growing Greener and Growing Smarter. We have seen a lot of progress from these programs, but more still needs to be done.

TRID offers the opportunity for local governments, transit agencies and the private sector to work together to promote economic development, community revitalization, and increased transit ridership with its associated environmental

benefits.

am pleased to have the opportunity to get constructive input from the members of this esteemed committee and hope that we can then work together to actually obtain passage of this legislation. I could go into some of the details of the bill, but I'm sure you are familiar with it and you will hear more of the details from the other testifiers.

So in the interest of time, I would be happy to take any questions.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you, Representative Rubley. Any questions from the committee?

Let me just ask, as far as the groups that would be involved, coming partners, the transit authority, do you have a definition for transit authority or transit organizations? Do you have any ideas on that?

REPRESENTATIVE RUBLEY: We do have a definition section. We define the planning study and also talk about the financing, the creative means of financing under the value captive area. I mean, we are being flexible in this, because it can be a group of municipalities, it can be

```
1
     public/private ventures, it can be authorities, it
 2
     can be regional authorities. So we don't want to
 3
     stymie this whole movement by being too specific,
     but we want to keep it open-ended enough so that it
 4
 5
     will work, recognizing the differences that exist
     throughout this Commonwealth.
 6
 7
                   REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Very good.
 8
     Thank you very much.
 9
                   REPRESENTATIVE RUBLEY: You're
10
     welcome.
               Thank you.
11
                   REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Paul, thanks
12
     for your patience.
13
                   MR. MORRIS: My pleasure.
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Are we ready
15
     to go now?
16
                   MR. MORRIS: We are live. And if you
17
     wish, again I will invite you to perhaps step a
18
     little bit back from the screen. It will be, I
19
     hope, a little more comfortable for you.
20
                   Mr. Chairman and members of the
21
     committee, as was previously stated, my name is Paul
22
     Morris. I am a Senior Professional Associate in
23
     Urban Design and Community Development with Parsons,
24
     Brinckerhoff, Quade & Douglas; and in that capacity,
25
     serve as one of the firm's national leaders in
```

transit lines of development, so this is something that I have the privilege to participate in in a variety of levels around the United States with various communities.

.11

I think to start off my presentation,

I would like to comment in regard to the whole issue of partnership briefly and just say that while the first slide in my powerpoint presentation talks about the marriage of land use and transportation, I think the message I would like to leave with you today as it relates to this whole notion of the Commonwealth participating in the support of community livability and community quality of life agendas is, in that it helps facilitate the emergence of partnerships between the community and private sector, as well as with other agencies who have an interest in the infrastructure and development activity that occurs in those communities.

My presentation is designed to provide you with a primer of sorts on the state of the practice of transit oriented development around the United States. And so to do so, I will be sharing with you a few of the key elements and some case study examples of places around the United States

that have been exploring and implementing this whole program.

б

Clearly, there are about six, if not other, major key limits that affect transit oriented development, or ToD, or T-O-D, depending on your personal preferences. The key is to look at moderate to higher density. And this really isn't about defining density in specific numbers as much as it is relating it to the community's needs and goals for the kind of scale of housing that they wish to have.

A key to all of this, though, is that whatever happens, that there be a good transportation network within proximity of where the transit station may be, regardless of the mode of transit that's provided, that provides a safe and comfortable walk for pedestrians who are going to make use of the system.

And what this means is not just to have different kinds of housing in an area, but, in fact, to have housing and jobs and civic opportunities, institutional uses, parks and retail, so that folks can go either way on a transit system; and that they know when they reach a destination, they have the

ability to actually continue to use the means they have within walking distance.

1.5

It also is a more marketable and more successful community that's created, which is one of the key benefits. It needs to be designed for the pedestrian, as I mentioned, both in scale and orientation. And the beauty of good transit oriented development is that it accommodates either redevelopment or new construction. That is one of the beauties that affects especially a place where you have a history of transit already in place and you're looking at revitalization and reinvestment. And the bottom line in all of this is that if it is done well, it actually stimulates or encourages transit ridership.

There's a series of elements that prove to be the success recipe. The first is that you create or stimulate a supportive real estate market. That is key, which means that you actually have participation of the real estate community, the development community, involved in your planning efforts. But it is integrated with transit system design.

And the key there is that often transit systems have been designed around the notion

of moving people along the line with not as much attention paid to what happens when people get to the stations. The key here is to actually make sure that the stations are located and designed in a manner that is complementary.

It is most important that there be community partnerships. And one of the most beneficial aspects of the TRID legislation that's before you is that it provides meaningful guidance to communities on how to do that.

The understanding of real estate becomes key, because every TOD is not the same, every community is not the same; therefore, it needs to be uniquely customized or tailored to fit the needs of every community as it evolves.

And the nicest thing about it is that it provides a mechanism to think ahead to plan for growing smart in the coming years. So that even if a project doesn't happen in its entirety out of the gate, it's put in place the mechanisms and the processes and the elements that are necessary, that as the market changes or the interests of the development community change, the guidance is already set in place for them to be able to provide to predictability and certainty in what they want to

do.

. 18

One of the things we know that is a critical element to making TOD work, which explains why it doesn't happen naturally, is that there needs to be the right mix of incentives in place, and those incentives come in a variety of forms and shapes.

Now, there is also this notion that we have become familiar with and begun to recast, and that is, in fact, to create development oriented transit. So rather than thinking about it as development beside transit, in fact, trying to make the transit system fully integrated with the development activity that is going around it.

So that comes down to when you're looking at existing routes or new routes, making sure that you have the corridors in the right places, the stations are functioning properly, there's good pedestrian access within the quarter mile or half mile walk that is appropriate and desired, that the parking is appropriately available and located in a manner that supports both the transit access as well as the commercial or residential or other functions that need to be close to the station.

And then the community partnerships become really the glue that binds it all together, and then ultimately you incorporate the TOD, as I said, of guiding principles for development.

It used to be that people thought about transit as a place to get on to go somewhere else. What we're increasingly finding is that in many cases, successful transit oriented developments are, in fact, places that are successful themselves, that people can actually move either direction on a transit system; and that when they get done at the end of the day, they can come home to a place that, in fact, actually has the services and other functions they would like to have.

Why are we doing this now as opposed to in the past or waiting until the future? Well, first of all, there really is a true renaissance. Transit oriented development may not have been a vision of the past, but it certainly was a practice of the past. We know that increasing congestion is diminishing the quality of life in many communities. And transit oriented development provides an alternative to only having the option of increasing highway widths and speeds as the option to overcome congestion.

This is an increasing movement both politically and demographically back into central places; the first tier suburban communities, the older villages and boroughs of the Commonwealth, and the innercity itself. And that demographic shift is proving to illustrate that an aging population, perhaps one that many of us are going to be a part of, really does desire to be able to have alternatives to having to drive for all of their purposes, as well as being able to maintain the ability to live in place and age in place, which requires them to have access to other modes of travel such as transit.

This doe's support a smart growth agenda. Every Commonwealth, every state in the country defines that a little bit differently. But what we've learned is that transit oriented development has become a common link or a common indicator for the kinds of smart growth that many communities are trying to achieve, because it marries transit and transportation to land use patterns with development.

Most importantly, I think, one of the most gratifying changes is that federal policy now embraces and supports transit oriented development.

The Federal Transit Administration and the new starts criteria that is used to rate funding at the federal level for appropriations at a state level now looks at the land use connection and transit oriented development as key tests or criteria to determine whether a community actually rates high enough to receive funding over the hundreds of other communities around the country that are exploring it.

. 21

I would like to share with you just a few snapshots of how these thoughts or these elements and guidelines play themselves out, and it's through examples of projects around the country that have been built.

The first I'll show you is Arlington Heights, which is a suburban community outside of Chicago in the Chicago metropolitan area. It was a project guided by downtown redevelopment of a community, with the notion being for them that the first and most important thing to create as a part of their TOD was a performing arts or civic center.

They provided structured parking as a part of the value added that the community used to help trigger or stimulate investment when the development community was unable or not ready to

respond. And they made a very important statement that they wanted main street retail at the ground floor of those parking structures, so that people actually had continuity of activity from the station to the residential areas that in this case were higher density condominium ownership with ground floor retail below.

What's most fascinating about this particular project is that they actually put a McDonald's into the transit station. It has no parking or drive-thru, and you actually go to the transit station to get your hamburger or your Egg McMuffin; very interesting approach.

California, a suburban community, an older established community outside of Los Angeles. I share this project with you to suggest that in some cases, while there are opportunities to go back into communities where transit exists and reinvest to make the system work better, there are some communities that are actually creating opportunities for the development to happen ahead of the transit.

In this particular case, if you view the slide that is up in the right-hand corner where it says LRT here, what it's displaying is the fact

that the housing in this project was built over the air rights of where the future train would go and completed. And it's been about two and a half years, and they are now just beginning to bring the train in and they're actually excavating out. And the train will actually be built after the housing and the commercial development are in place.

1.8

Transit oriented development doesn't just relate to trains. It also is very successful in areas where you have buses, especially where you have either rapid bus transit or where you have primary trunk routes, where you have the frequency that supports development.

This is a project in uptown San Diego, an older established multi-ethnic neighborhood, where there was a Sears department store that was going to be torn down. And the city concluded that, in fact, it was more financially smart and development savvy to preserve the building and convert it into civic uses, and combine that with a new transit oriented development for buses, 14-acre site that has housing, retail, office uses associated with it.

The effect of this project, which people didn't believe would be high, was that it not

only increased ridership but it actually stimulated reinvestment in the surrounding area, incorporating a new Ralph's department store, higher-end department store than this community had had in the past. Where they wanted to be there so badly, they actually put their parking under the building and incorporated escalators that allowed grocery carts to be taken up and down with them.

is a really interesting project, and there's some important lessons to be learned when it comes to community vision. The beauty of the TRID legislation is that it really provides guidance and funding, but it directs the local community to take the leadership to set the long-term vision.

The Fruitvale project took many years with lots of meetings to create a very grand vision. But the community vision was almost too grand, and as a consequence it's only now, after about eight years, that they have been able to actually begin to implement.

So one of the messages is think about projects and break them down into small pieces so that it can be implemented incrementally and the development community can respond appropriately.

The Lindbergh Center in Atlanta is a reinvestment project in the inner city, where MARTA, which is their heavy rail, commuter rail transit system, had been planned for this area. And, in fact, this was an old industrial site. BellSouth, which is one of the baby Bells, had actually looked at relocating their corporate headquarters out into the suburbs. But they found they could not afford all the parking that was necessary to make it work.

They located here and are systematically building all of their campus together. What they did in concert with this, which is kind of interesting, is that they actually decided to go out and build smaller park and ride projects out at key MARTA transit stations on the suburban fringe, so their employees would actually have dedicated parking to get onto the transit system and come into downtown. It allowed them to both meet their parking needs but also provide a service to the community and continue to provide benefits to their employees.

Englewood, Colorado is a project just outside of Denver in a failed shopping center that was abandoned and was actually going to be torn down. It's on a rail project that not only has

commuter light rail transit but also has heavy rail coal trains that go by here regularly.

`13

`23

The city ultimately took over the failed mall as a part of their reinvestment project and converted it into their civic center, where they have community gathering space, a city hall and other services. And they oriented the whole project around that civic amenity and used that as the leverage to attract development in a fairly blighted area.

The new city hall also provided with it 900 parking spaces and a park and ride, so that folks coming into the area would have the basic needs up front; and as the housing and other things came along, there would be parking to support them.

One of the most interesting aspects of this project is that there actually is a Wal-Mart that's been redesigned to incorporate itself into a more community oriented setting around transit activity.

And then Gresham Central, this is a project where the federal congestion management air quality standards idea really came along and created some interesting ideas. It was a former industrial and vacant right-of-way area, not a huge transit

oriented development, certainly a much smaller area than what might be permitted with the TRID legislation.

But what was interesting is that they created a unique nexus between affordable housing within proximity to transit. They basically were able to argue that by creating quality housing next to transit, they were able to increase the amount of people who could live near transit. And they would also increase ridership, which would reduce vehicle miles traveled or car use, which would ultimately clean the air.

Now, that seems like somewhat of a circuitous route to get to the nexus, but it was proven. That proven element actually created TEA-21 money for them to improve the quality of the housing right next to the train for things like gables, porches and a sidewalk and esplanade.

So in the final analysis, what we know and you can see from just the few examples I've illustrated, transit oriented development really does have benefit if it is catered to the local community in partnership with the development community.

There are factors that really

determine whether the transit and the urban form work. It takes some vision, and that vision needs to be regional in the sense that it incorporates the municipalities, the development community, the citizens at large. It needs to involve the respect of institutions that exist there today rather than just trying to create something new.

It needs to play off a more supportive transit culture, one that actually sees the benefit or has already experienced some of the benefits of having it. It relies on high quality transit service, whether it's rail or bus. It relates to regional growth by providing linkages between stations. It provides station areas with development potential. It actually serves as a catalyst for reinvestment where it's appropriate.

It relates to regional and local policies by marrying land use actions to real substantial infrastructure investment, whether it's in rail or roadways, and ultimately it provides focus for the long term.

I talked a little bit about density.

But what you can see is in any project, there's a variety of densities that can be pursued and achieved. And the real goal in many of the transit

oriented developments that are most successful is to look for ways to actually have a way of variety of density and health type, so people actually have choices and are able to stay there longer.

.16

What TRID can overcome and the challenges that lie before us. Transit oriented development doesn't happen often naturally. It takes a concerted effort and a partnership. So it means that agencies that are involved in developing the transit system should be looking more at transit system design. So involving the transit agencies or authorities as a part of the legislation is key.

It addresses local community concerns as the driving force. So that this really is a grassroots home rule kind of issue that provides guidance but really gives the directive to the local communities to address.

It needs to provide the ability to overcome the lack of transit-friendly zoning. What I can tell you is that in many cases transit oriented development is actually prohibited unintentionally. The codes and policies that exist today actually make it harder to do rather than easier.

What you will also learn is that the

development community, who may often want to do
this, cannot overcome some of the financial costs
and risks of time. So what TRID does is creates a
mechanism to the value captured element to permit
them to actually begin to overcome some of those
barriers. And that relates to financing as well.

And then in the final analysis what we know is that there aren't many built examples that are contemporary. Especially in the early years, what your TRID bill will permit is the state's ability to support projects that the communities are trying to do and to develop a log or a catalog of examples in Pennsylvania that really are useful and beneficial to communities.

What we know, too, is that TRID

legislation can help make TOD the rule rather than
the exception. It fosters the development of real
TODs as opposed to developments that aren't quite
there. It creates the legalizing mechanism. It
provides the ability to design transit with TOD in
mind, and it also builds transit oriented
development expertise all around the Commonwealth.

So what are some of the conclusions that we have learned from other places around the country that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania can

benefit from?

The first is that there are an increasing and now record number of transit oriented developments being created around the United States. The trends are positive. The challenges have not all been overcome, but the movement is in the right direction.

The benefits for transit oriented development are real. The ridership numbers increase, the value added is there for communities once it's in place. The nicest thing about that is it returns or it adds value back into the community, often in places where they need it the most. It works best with rail, but also works great with bus where it is available.

There are barriers; they are real, they are significant. It takes a marriage and a partnership of all the parties in order to overcome them. It also is best successful when it links to community vision. And what we found in many communities is that they're now using it to actually help foster and grow their own community visions of how they want to be in the future.

And in the final analysis, it really does provide for and needs to be designed for

1 pedestrians. 2 That concludes my overview. I am at 3 your pleasure for any questions you may have. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you. 5 Are there any questions from the members? 6 Representative Fairchild. 7 REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Thank you 8 very much for your presentation. I have just a few . 9 questions. 10 Recently I watched the History 11 Channel. I'm a large fan of that when they have 12 railroads and subways on. And one of the 13 interesting things that I think relates to the TRID 14 process is that originally way back when, they had 15 kind of the same problems we are having now. And 16 the private developers that owned -- at one time 17 owned the railroad and subways, they had to realize 18 that the big problem was they didn't have the .19 So they went out and purchased land and 20 built houses and communities along the rails. 21 It seems like we are trying to 22 reinvent the wheel here, that's what we're trying to

do here, and maybe roll in a lot of other elements, smart grow.

23

24

25

MR. MORRIS: You are absolutely right.

We lost sight of some important lessons that go back a century. When the Continental Railroad was built, one of the things that happened along the way was the creation of key land parcels retained by the railroad companies, because they understood that wherever their trains stopped, they needed to have industry, employment and housing.

They were able to control the land at that time. Over the years, there have been examples where communities have done it as they become more and more urban. However, we really took a turn in the '50s where we started migrating away from our communities, the urban area and both the historical villages and boroughs and first tier suburbs.

And we are now coming back to those places that in some cases have suffered and realizing that the land is no longer in single ownership. It has aging infrastructure and development that has to be either adaptively reused or renovated.

And the lessons we gained from the history are that bringing that land together, finding a way to assemble key parcels, finding a way to, if not assemble them, bring the owners together in a way that partners them, is really a new way of

doing a time-tested lesson.

My second question has to do with expanding development, especially in southeast Pennsylvania.

We seem to be -- I think if you took -- I have seen legislators take polls. We want to slow down growth, etc., because we can't keep up with the advance of growth. It seems like this process perhaps in the long run may be a little counterproductive to that. It seems every time growth takes place in certain high growth areas, they come back to us and seek relief in some form of either long or economic comprehension to slow that down. Sometimes it's against the developer.

Then we have other parts of the state, the rural parts, that welcome growth, that need growth. Any comments on that?

MR. MORRIS: A couple comments. One, really the nice thing about the TRID resolution and the legislation language is that it really provides a tool without directing the state to do anything. It provides support and assistance. And it's really at the discretion of the communities to initiate the activity.

And it's unlikely that the kind of

growth that translates into kind of continual sprawl out into the countryside would benefit from this.

The communities that often benefit from it the most are the communities that exist today that are kind of wanting to focus their energy and attention back into their downtowns.

.12

. 22

In aging communities around the fringe who have been kind of left by the wayside as growth has happened out further, and the inner city areas which are really in need of being able to respond to an aging population.

one of the things we know -- and I work about half my time with development communities, so I kind of wear two hats on the public and private side -- is that almost 75 percent of all households over the next 20 years are projected to be one and two person households with no more than one child and in many cases no children.

Well, we know where that's coming from. A lot of it is both declining birth rate among married couples and, more importantly, an aging population; that is, the baby boom generation. We are not creating the kind of living environments that they request. We have a very strong interest

```
1
     in single family housing, in large lot development,
     to support the traditional family, and they will
 2
 3
     continue to be there but they won't be the majority.
 4
                   So what this is really able to do is
     focus that where the folks who need it have it, and
 5
     that's around the stations.
 6
 7
                   REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: My last
     question, the provisions of the bill call for, I
 8
 9
     believe, $5 million from the state general fund
10
     essentially.
11
                   That's a little counter to what you
12
     said, I believe, as far who is going to pay.
13
     referencing rural Pennsylvania; Huntingdon, Snyder,
14
     Union, Warren, Elk Counties, perhaps and say why
15
     should we pay for this when if benefits that area.
16
     And, as I understand it, other than that, it will --
17
     the funds that are generated will come from the TRID
18
     to real estate assessment taxes.
19
                   MR. MORRIS: There's two questions
20
     there.
21
                   REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: I'm going
22
     to ask you one more.
23
                   MR. MORRIS: Okay, go ahead.
24
                   REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: What if a
25
     TRID area, say new and redeveloped, utilize a
```

housing area you could actually go into an existing area that you want to rehab it or bring a transit to it or bring it up to scale, again, we hear so much about property tax and problems with property tax.

How are you going to just adding more property taxes to either residents or businesses who participate?

Thank you.

`21

MR. MORRIS: Shall I try?

REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Sure.

MR. MORRIS: The first question had to deal with an issue, I think, relating to equity.

You asked the question which was if we're spending \$5 million in communities that want TRIDS, how do the other communities that don't have that money are going to benefit?

I think there are a number of ways, and the legislation talks about them. One is that it directs development in areas where people desire to have access to transit but are currently not able to live or work. So it concentrates near the station, which ultimately reduces costs for other forms of infrastructure, which reduces congestion and air quality impacts and ultimately environmental losses in the areas that you are trying to protect; namely, rural areas.

So it's an integrated relationship that this provides a reference for. The other thing about the \$5 million, from my understanding of the legislation is that that is a match grant program that relies on the local government to provide their share. So there is -- it does require the local communities to step up and be serious about what they are trying to do and put money out to make it possible.

Now, the way the value capture approach works basically is that if you have development that's in an area that is perhaps underperforming in terms of tax revenue, which often is the case, what this does is provides a mechanism or catalyst to stimulate the reinvestment that would otherwise not happen in that area.

So the tax benefits accrue from the reinvestment and the added value from that development activity, so it doesn't necessarily translate into a substantial change in the surrounding communities.

I will tell you that communities that do successful transit oriented development through this kind of approach through reinvestment strategy do see a ripple effect, where they see

1 revitalization transcend into areas outside the 2 direct impact area, but that happens through the 3 marketplace and it isn't directly related to it. And then your final question -- I 4 5 think I just forget -- I may have answered the second one more completely. 6 REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Thank you. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: 8 9 Representative McGill. 10 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: A little 11 background to help me understand this a little bit. 12 Tell me has community visioning played a role in all 13 of the projects that you sited from the Holly Street 14 Village? Did they all use community visioning? Was 15 that a part of their planning process or did they 16 use other means? 17 MR. MORRIS: Most of them went through 18 some community discussion. Visioning might be more 19 ambitious, something that all of them did. 20 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: 21 planners. 22 MR. MORRIS: Local planners, in many 23 cases they tried to actually identify key developers 24 who worked in their community. They most certainly 25 always worked with direct property owners on the

projects and effectively tried to engage often the transit agency, who was providing the operating system.

.14

The ones I did show you tended to relate to different relationships and different results that would be parallel to what you might experience with the TRID legislation. There have been examples in other places where it was driven completely by the private sector. In fact, we have had projects where they actually went and, I call it, bought a train station when they did their reinvestment project, because they found it was able to reduce their parking requirement, which is getting up to almost \$10,000 a stall.

And it was cheaper to buy the station and have it built at their facility which they then designed with walking access to it, very large campus, and other situations similar to that.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: How long was the history? How long has this been in existence from Holly Street Treat to Englewood? How long in existence?

MR. MORRIS: They vary considerably.

The oldest ones are 10 to 15 years old. Many of the things we are seeing are new reinvestment efforts.

Rail in many cases is coming to communities that didn't have it before, and reinvestment rail is starting at a time when communities are having a hard time accommodating for reinvestment.

examples of the important things we often talk about that work, maybe you can talk about some of the things that didn't work. It's easy to point to the things that work. What about the things that don't?

MR. MORRIS: Actually, in the interest of time, I cut that half of my presentation out, not intentionally. We actually have -- I could go through many slides and examples. I will just give you one. It relates to a notion called Transit Adjacent Development, which we affectionately call TAD or the evil twin of TOD. The notion there is where you create a transit station that doesn't actually have access to the development around it.

There are numerous examples of projects where the station is built and it's a sea of parking that goes a quarter of a mile before you actually get to the housing or in more cases the employment. It becomes really difficult to get ridership.

In one particular case, Sysco Systems

in California was asked to develop transit oriented development around a new BART station. And they thought they did so. But when you look at it on a map, you see this light rail going down the middle of an arterial and literally acres and acres and acres of parking with all the buildings on the fringe.

The highlight of their investment was -- I think it was a \$200 thousand sculpture that they put at the station, which for people who like art is great, because you can go there and you can have a really quiet time because no one is at the station. Their investment didn't quite click and they don't get the ridership. That's the pivotal distinction.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: In the examples that you cite how much development, how much by percentage is government development in these areas and how much is private monies?

MR. MORRIS: It varies considerably based on the scale of the project, the amount of redevelopment that's going on versus clear and vacant land. The need for parking is probably the biggest driver because often you get into parking structures and it's very expensive. So what the

community will often do is provide the bonding authority through the TRID value capture to finance the parking structures.

. 17

That can be -- we've seen projects where it's been as high as a 50/50 split on the upfront infrastructure to as little as 5 or 10 percent on the public side.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: In one of your overviews, you talk about a mix of incentives, recipe for success. Can you explain some of these?

MR. MORRIS: Yes. There are a number. One incentive is the ability of the local community to assemble a larger tract of land than a single builder or developer could do where you've got small lots that are all scattered around. The municipal capacity to do that is often more efficient and more acceptable to the community than a developer trying to do that.

That assembly reduces costs and accelerates the ability to develop in the key areas in the way that it is best. Another way is removing barriers and expediting the review process.

I talked a little about the notion that in many communities, what we found is that it's actually illegal to do transit oriented development

because the codes and comprehensive plans and development standards actually prohibit it. So the community can work to actually restore some of those opportunities by modifying those policies to be more supportive.

`21

In addition to that, many communities have found that the biggest cost to development of TODs is time. And by creating more proscriptive but yet more predictable policies on what they want to see happen, they are also willing to expedite the review process, because the community gets certainty of what they want. The developers are actually more willing to go along with it than what people might think, because what they want is predictability. And that time that's shaved off can be sometimes years, which is really their principal cost and it's the carrying cost of financing the money.

And then the final one, which is very interesting, that is being done actually in Washington D.C., is the city actually in this case purchased the land, sold it to the developer at the lowest end of the fair market appraisal, with a caveat that when the project was completed and the economic return was accrued, in this case the county, Montgomery County, would get everything over

1 a certain profit level. 2 What it did was it backloaded the 3 upfront cost of land purchase, which permitted the developer to do a better, faster project, which 4 5 actually sold faster, returned their money, and the 6 county actually got just over the mid range of the 7 appraisal when it was done. So they got what they 8 would have gotten in the front end but they got it 9 in a different sequence, and they were able and 10 willing to carry some of the time that the private 11 sector couldn't finance. 12 There are many other examples, but 13 those are four of the key ones. 14 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Generally, 15 these are all light rail projects? 16 MR. MORRIS: No. They're light rail, 17 heavy rail, subway, Amtrak stations, they are Rapid 18 Transit bus and they are just a standard commuter 19 bus on major routes. 20 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Generally, 21 these are all rail authorities that are providing 22 the infrastructures? 23 MR. MORRIS: Rail authorities, transit

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL:

Basically,

24

25

districts.

```
1
     public dollars bringing that back to service those
     districts?
 2
 3
                   MR. MORRIS:
                                 Often, not always.
                                                     Some
     of the development community will actually pay for
 4
 5
     the rail improvements where it fits them.
 6
     you the example of the project where they actually
     built their own station.
 7
                   REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Just one last
 8
 9
     question because I'm very confused. Contrary to one
10
     of the statements ridership is up. A lot of things
     that I read about some of these districts, the
11
12
     ridership has been disappointing. Do you care to
13
     comment on that?
14
                   MR. MORRIS: Without having specifics,
     it would be hard for me to know which ones.
15
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE McCALL:
                                            Sav Oregon.
17
                   MR. MORRIS: Which I come from, so I
18
     can speak to that one.
19
                   REPRESENTATIVE McCALL:
                                            Is it not true
20
     that Oregon, they had grandiose plans and they've
21
     been probably around the longest.
22
                   MR. MORRIS:
                                 In terms of light rail.
2.3
                   REPRESENTATIVE McCALL:
                                            Right, in
24
     terms of light rail. They have not seen the success
25
     that they thought they would.
```

MR. MORRIS: I'm not sure where you got those statistics, because the ridership is actually over projections, and I'll give you the most recent example. The city just finished a year ago and opened the new light rail to the airport, which basically runs on the existing line to the east and then splits off and heads north to the International Airport.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Prior to the airport line, what was it like?

MR. MORRIS: Well, we had different lines. But let me relate the specifics of that particular case. They segment that performance of that line based on its needs. And they actually started adding trains a year ahead of schedule, and their ridership is already at 2006 levels, so they're ahead of projections in both cases.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: I probably read four, five, six newspapers a day, and I just haven't seen a lot of positive things about light rail. I think it's a good idea. We could start talking about the clean air things and all those aspects. I'm just hopeful that ridership will support it, that's all.

It seems to me that a lot of things

. 24

. 14

2.3

that I am reading is contrary to what you are saying as far as you saying it's going to increase ridership. What I'm reading, they're saying there haven't been.

MR. MORRIS: If there hasn't been increased ridership, it typically is because there is a combination of factors happening. One is the development isn't happening as expected, and often parallel to that, the system isn't set up to support it. What that means is that the rail system actually has to be set up to have the stations in the right locations to support development around it. It needs to have frequencies that actually will trigger ridership, because anything short of 15 minutes in the off peak and as fast as eight minutes in the peak directly affect ridership; and the way the rest of the transit system works.

Light rail is one of several elements of a transit system. You've got local buses, you've got regional buses, you've got trollies, light rail, heavy rail and commuter rail; and they all provide different services to different parts of the commuting sector.

And I think one of the things that sometimes happens is communities don't put the right

happen, too. They will put light rail in a computer corridor, and they don't see the ridership because the train isn't moving fast enough and the stations are too close together. Or they'll put a commuter rail in an area where you've got a lot of development, but they don't get enough ridership because the stations are too far apart.

.13

.23

So there is a huge interconnect that has to be very thoughtfully worked out from the system design standpoint as well as from the TRID neighborhood design.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you very much. Any other questions? Representative McGill.

REPRESENTATIVE McGILL: I have just a quick one. I have several people in my district who are old rail people. We have Rails to Trails which is extremely popular in Pennsylvania, and I get a phone call every time another rail is ripped up and a trail in put in.

I'm just wondering, do you think that this legislation works in conjunction with what we

have as far as Rails to Trails; or is the Rails to Trails program, the fact that we have now pulled up many of the rails and many of the bridges have been removed and things like that, does that put us back in a position where it's going to be extremely difficult to recover in trying to bring a plan like this?

I can see small areas, but I'm a little confused on how we go out further, simply because we ripped up a lot of the rails, we pulled down a lot of the standards, we pulled down the wires. We have long trails now.

MR. MORRIS: It can be. It doesn't have to be. It depends a lot on whether there is some thoughtful regional planning going on on alignment of future rails to serve the communities.

We have seen experiences in parts of the country where they decide for speed they are going to put the rail down the freeway versus through an existing rail line that serves communities because they think it will be quicker. But the problem is people have to drive so far to get to it that they don't get the ridership.

And often what it means is making sure that those are both an important part of the

conversation as the discussion goes along. Because they aren't always. Some communities, they benefit from a rail to trail conversion, because the rail is in a place that is really more suited for a trail function. And you are not likely to need the rail in that location.

In other cases, there are communities that actually hope that the Rails to Trails mission, which people often forget, is that it's always with the prospect that the alignment is preserved for future rail use. But I'm not one to suspect that's ever going to happen because people fall in love with Rails to Trails. And I've actually designed them in many states. I'm a landscape architect by training.

So I think you have brought up a very important issue that probably should be built into any guiding process for how communities look at both abandonment of rails or trails as well as alignment of new rail systems.

REPRESENTATIVE McGILL: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Any other questions? Thank you very much for your excellent presentation.

MR. MORRIS: Thank you. I appreciate

the honor of being here.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Next is John McPhee, Project Facilitator, Delta Organization, Inc.

MR. McPHEE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this morning about the TRID legislation.

The Delta Organization and its affiliated at the Delta Partnerships, we are a builder/developer company. We specialize in brown field property and urban corps center development. Specific in our mission statement is that we will not and do not develop green field properties.

Our most significant asset to date is the single purpose entity, the Phoenix Property Group, which is the owner of the 123 acre brown field site in the heart of the borough of Phoenixville, in Chester County, Pennsylvania.

This property is in a one and a half square mile borough that has 17,000 residents and a history of 150 years. And the existence of the borough and the infrastructure around it exists because of the former use of this property as the Phoenix Steel Company and prior to that Phoenix Iron

Works for 150 years. The demise and fall of that company in 1988 and subsequently its final closure in 1992 brought about serious economic retribution to the borough of Phoenixville and some of the surrounding areas.

. 11

.21

However, we as developers in the development community, I would like to point out that we are basically followers. We are not leaders. By that, I would like to explain that as followers we follow infrastructure. A key example of that is the Route 422 corridor in southeastern Pennsylvania, a highway system that traverses from King of Prussia out to the Reading area that was developed some 20 years.

And if you familiar with that area, you will see that there have been thousands and thousands of housing developments along that corridor, creating increased congestion along that corridor. As you develop houses, with every 2,000 new housing starts, you will then see a regional shopping center developed around that.

So the infrastructure begins the process with housing developments. Housing developments spawn the retail and other development. We follow the infrastructure that's put in place,

and we will continue to do that.

the heart of the -- or the center of the proposed Schuylkill Valley MetroRail Line. Schuylkill Valley Metro is proposed to travel from center city Philadelphia to west of Reading in the Wyomissing area. And I'm sure that Dennis Louwerse who will testify later will have more to say about the Schuylkill Valley Metro project.

This project is very significant to the entire corridor of southeastern Pennsylvania.

And the TRID legislation will become a key component for how municipalities and communities can embrace that proposed metro rail line and develop in a smart and appropriate manner around it.

The value of private development will be significant. In the area of Phoenixville, there is nearly \$20 million of infrastructure in and around our site that will be required. Much of this, other state agencies have already begun to partner with the borough of Phoenixville and Phoenix Property Group.

Our private investment will be nearly \$200 million. Our project will generate more than 800,000 square feet of new office space and nearly

500 new residential units and 100,000 square feet of new village retail. This will creat 5,000 new jobs in and around the Phoenixville area. And it will take a property that presently pays \$60,000 a year in real estate taxes and translate it into \$70,000 a week in state and local real estate and income and use taxes.

This project, while we are advancing at a very rapid pace to break ground ahead of the actual transit development, the only way this project can maximize and achieve those goals is that the train will eventually arrive. We can build certain portions of this project, probably 60 percent of the numbers that I have expressed to you, but to ultimately max the potential requires the ability to bring the train to Phoenixville and to the entire corridor.

As I said, the private development community, we in the Phoenix Property Group really embraced the local community and advanced the education process to develop appropriate zoning for this project to be able to be put in place. We have an approved unified development district, a UDD, that is an overlay zoning district for the entire 123 acre property.

And we see that this process has taken us six years and nearly \$7 million. We are committed to brown fields and urban centers and have been willing to do this in this particular community and in this particular project. As we at Delta Partnerships and my development team look to go beyond Phoenixville and develop other projects, quite frankly, we will not and cannot financially take the time to invest that many years and that many millions of dollars before we can project a return on investment.

I am happy to say that we are in the land development process in Phoenixville and we'll be breaking ground on the first office buildings and residential units in the spring of 2003.

As we go forward, we are looking for municipalities that will be taking a leadership role in creating the TOD areas and the vision to be able to work with us in a more aggressive fashion. The gentleman that preceded me talked at length about the risk to the development community and the need to accelerate the time frame in the financial outlay.

This legislation will provide the municipalities as well as the transit agencies the

ability to partner and work together with us and other developers so that we can advance in a positive fashion more quickly together and that the value that we create by the private development, the example of the jobs and the tax base that will be brought to Phoenixville.

There needs to be this kind of legislation that creates the ability to capture that value so that ultimately the operation and maintenance of that transit infrastructure can be maintained. While transit investment is huge on the front end, the dollars that it takes to implement of equal and maybe even greater impact is the ability to operate and maintain that system.

By capturing the value of what we the development community can bring to the table, this is a key component in how to operate and maintain. I would challenge you to consider the projects like Schuylkill Valley Metro are not just transit projects. They are economic projects for older corps communities that are accomplished through transit infrastructure.

And it is through a new partnering of the municipalities, the transit agencies and we as private developers that can implement this and truly

make it successful. We all need one another partnering in order to be successful.

Thank you for your time and I'm open to any questions.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you,

John. Any questions?

Next on the schedule is William

Fontana, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Downtown
Center.

MR. FONTANA: Good morning to the members of the committee. My name is Bill Fontana, and I am the Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Downtown Center.

PDC is the only statewide organization in the Commonwealth dedicated solely to the issue of revitalization of the Commonwealth's traditional central business districts, be they large, mid-sized or small. This year, 2002, is our fifteenth anniversary of operation. We have historically worked primarily with communities in the state's Main Street Program, but increasingly are working with business improvement districts as that revitalization tool grows as a method to sustain the downtown revitalization effort.

I've come here today to lend our

organization's call for and support for the passage of House Bill 2464.

. 19

In my previous professional lives before coming to the Pennsylvania Downtown Center, I worked in several capacities that have a direct bearing on the legislation you are considering today.

various planning and economic development capacities in Allegheny County. My longest stint was 15 years with the Redevelopment Authority there. I can tell you from firsthand experience that the proposed TRID legislation, had it been available during my tenure there, would have resulted in substantial private sector investment along the Port Authority of Allegheny County's light rail transit line.

I can recall quite clearly the attempt that was made to put a multimillion dollar development in place in the Borough of Dormont, over the McFarland Avenue Station. The borough was willing to participate. The Redevelopment Authority and the Port Authority were cooperating on the proposed development of the air rights above the station and a developer was in-hand.

The problem was that the topography

between the street level of West Liberty Avenue and the actual station on McFarland Avenue was extremely steep. The proposed solution was the construction of a five-level parking garage that would have brought the development site level with West Liberty Avenue, provided the station and the proposed development site with more than adequate parking and resulted in a substantial increase in the local tax base and the number of local jobs.

This proposed mixed-use development never saw the light of day, however. The Port Authority did not have the financial resources to facilitate the project and at that time probably didn't want to set the precedent even if they did. The project became economically unfeasible for the developer, had he had to bear the burden of constructing the garage at his own market rate costs.

Tax Increment Financing had not yet been passed by the Commonwealth, and the Urban Development Action Grant Program had just been cancelled. This wonderful, transit- and pedestrian-oriented, antisprawl, smart growth, older community revitalization project never got off the ground. Neither the financial resources nor the

existed. That station still has incredible potential to benefit from the development that was proposed almost 20 years ago. The TRID legislation could make that project a reality today.

I know we tend to feel that these types of projects will primarily benefit the larger communities of the Commonwealth -- Pittsburgh and Philadelphia and their related transit systems -- the Port Authority and SEPTA. And this is true. I can think of many stops along the South Hills LRT line; in Brookline, in Beechview and Pittsburgh, Dormont, Mt. Lebanon, and Castle Shannon where this legislation would be beneficial; along the airport busway in communities like Crafton and Carnegie.

I can also picture the potential for future development around the SEPTA station in Norristown and other SEPTA stations in that area. But I can also see the potential for smaller communities; the possibilities for the area around the intermodal facility in downtown Williamsport, the intermodal sites around the Amtrak stations in Altoona and Greensburg, Lancaster and Harrisburg, and the rest of the Keystone Line. And there is great potential for the use of this type of

legislation as the growth of the central

Pennsylvania region centered around the construction
in the State College area comes on line.

.13

.23

I spent in New Jersey where for almost three years I served as Executive Director of the Rahway Center Partnership, a New Jersey Special Improvement District which is a New Jersey counterpart to our Business Improvement Districts.

While there, I had the pleasure to work with New Jersey Transit on the construction of the Rahway Station, a major stop for NJT along the northeast corridor connecting New Jersey with Manhattan. The role that evolved there for the BID, just as you have envisioned in the proposed TRID legislation, was a partnership between New Jersey transit and the organization I managed to maintain the station and its immediate environs.

Over time, that partnership resulted in an annual allocation of approximately \$120,000 to the BID. We were able to provide maintenance and security personnel, purchase cleaning equipment, and more importantly maintain a safe and clean environment in that downtown business district that has resulted in substantial spin-off development,

including significant retail store rehabilitation, the soon-to-be-initiated construction of a small hotel and conference center, and the design and construction of an award winning public plaza with an open-air market and performance venue.

In short, from my practical real world experience, I am a firm believer that the proposed TRID legislation will have an immediate and beneficial impact on the communities of this Commonwealth.

As far as the specifics of the proposed legislation, I would offer only a few but I believe critical issues for your consideration. The first is found in Section 502.1 of the legislation. The proposed bill requires that if the public transit agency acquires property as a result of this program, the transit agency may offer the property for sale to the private sector through a competitive bid process.

I can tell you from my experience that the phone will ring off the hook from communities asking if that means for the highest price. I might suggest to the committee for their consideration that as with powers granted to redevelopment authorities, that in the particular case of property

acquired through the TRID process, that the land be able to be sold for the highest and best use consistent with the TRID plan.

I understand that the transit agency has the ability to contract with the local Redevelopment Authority and that such a highest and best use sale could occur through that vehicle. The unfortunate reality today, however, is that many of the Redevelopment Authorities in this Commonwealth have lost the professional ability to deal with redevelopment projects and instead tend to concentrate on housing rehabilitation issues.

It only seems to make sense that we allow the developer who has the best development plan consistent with the goals of the TRID plan to be the developer of choice. To do otherwise would be to make the plan for the area secondary to the ability to pay for the property.

Certainly, I would suggest that in the developer's proposal that price be a component of the decision-making process but not the sole determinant. The precedent was clearly set by allowing Redevelopment Authorities this power under the Pennsylvania Urban Redevelopment Law. I would urge your consideration of that power here for

transit authorities.

.17

Secondly, as we are defining the potential for a Neighborhood Improvement District to act as the TRID management entity, I might suggest that the potential eligible uses for TRID dollars be extended to those eligible under the NID legislation. This might allow for the use of some TRID dollars for additional soft costs, such as marketing and promotion of the transit village created by the TRID.

Finally, I am raising an issue that may have already been addressed. I know when I was working on tax increment financing issues before going to New Jersey, that school district participation in the TIF process was often a difficult matter. This was true due to the fact that the increased assessment resulting from the improvement of a property was reflected in a decrease in the Commonwealth's subsidy to the school district.

In the case where TIF type projects were put in place, the school district lost both the increased taxes as a result of the improvement as well as the prorated portion of the subsidy from the Commonwealth that the previously lower assessment

```
brought to the school district.
 1
 2
                    If this issue has not been addressed,
 3
      you may want to look at this matter as a way to
 4
      encourage greater school district participation, not
 5
      only in the TRID but in tax increment financing
 6
      projects generally.
 7
                    This concludes my remarks. Thank you
      for giving me the opportunity to provide this
 8
 9
      testimony, and I would be happy to answer any
10
      questions that you might have.
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you
`12
      very much. Any questions at all?
13
                    MR. FONTANA: Thank you.
14
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you.
15
                    As I look over to my right, I see
16
      Representative Strittmatter from Lancaster County.
      Nice of you to be here.
17
18
                    REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER:
                                                   Thank
19
      you.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Next is
21
      Carlos Rodrigues, Representative, New Jersey Office
22
      of Smart Growth.
2.3
                    MR. RODRIGUES: I was asked to come
24
      speak to the committee and describe briefly the
25
      various activities that the State of New Jersey has
```

, ,

to promote and support transit oriented development.

I believe you have in front of you a couple of
handouts that describe very briefly a number of
programs, also a brochure for one of those programs.

I would like to before going into that and describing them very quickly for you, I would sort of like to put that into context, why would the state of New Jersey support those types of activities. Well, it's not just because we want to support New Jersey Transit, which is one of our agencies, by increasing ridership.

It's because supporting this type of development and supporting transit use is one of the key elements of the state's smart growth strategies. It's a key theme in the state development and redevelopment plan, which is the state's smart growth blueprint, which essentially says that where we've committed significant public investments, we should continue to support those investments and reinforce them.

And our public transit systems are significant public investments. If we were to rebuild the systems now, we would be looking at enormous appropriations. And, in fact, we are because we are, in fact, bringing back some of the

these systems.

So to the extent that we have existing infrastructure that's underutilized in many cases, this is where we preferentially locate growth.

That's sort of one of the key principles of smart growth. So it makes sense to channel and foster and promote additional growth in the vicinity of public transportation systems, in particular along the rail corridors, although that's not exclusively what we do, because there is capacity for growth there.

In many cases, these communities welcome the additional developments. And the investments and transit or transit-related issues reinforce and revitalize these communities.

So with that, sort of giving you the context of why we are doing it, let me just briefly describe the programs that we have in place in New Jersey to promote this sort of thing.

Most of these programs are aimed at building or providing the planning capacity at the local level that will, in turn, promote and support transit oriented development.

New Jersey is a home rule state. We have 566 municipalities. Fortunately, we don't have to work with every single one of them on transit

issues, but we have to work with many. For the most part, the planning capacity to adequately address what can be very complex issues of transit oriented development does not exist locally. So the state and in some cases some of the counties as well as the metropolitan planning organizations are stepping up to the plate to provide these communities with the planning capacity to sort of figure it out and to develop plans, transit oriented plans, that, in fact, make sense and that will work in the marketplace.

.14

. 24

Jersey Transit has in place are programs that New precisely that. They provide specific communities who are obviously willing partners. We don't work with communities who are not interested in this stuff. We only work with communities that come to us and ask us for assistance. So provide these communities with a variety of planning, design, services that can help them develop the vision for transit oriented development and then sort of take it through the various necessary steps to making that come to fruition and become a reality.

Transit-Friendly Communities for New Jersey, the first program that you see listed there,

was initiated with federal money, TEA-21 money, and then sort of matched with state money. And that was a program where New Jersey Transit partnered with several other state agencies, including mine, the Office of Smart Growth and the Department of Community Affairs, and a series of civic groups to -- and then through a competitive selection process picked 11 communities and worked with them on developing plans for their stationaries.

In every community, the issue was different. So this is not one of those situations where you can come up with a template and then apply it across the board, no. One of the lessons from this program, which is now winding down in terms of the initial grants -- and I believe New Jersey Transit plans to reauthorize it using their own funds -- one of the lessons from this program is that every community had different needs, was in a different sort of stage of development, had different issues that needed to be addressed.

So, in fact, it took a broad range of consulting skills to tease them out and to work with these communities to identify what precisely the issues were and how to address them. In some cases, it was sort of straightforward redevelopment. In

other cases, it was access, providing pedestrian and bicycle access to the train station. In other cases, it turned out to be a roundabout, that was located directly in front of the station that didn't work for cars and didn't work for pedestrians either, and that had to be redesigned.

So quite a lot of lessons learned.

But the most important thing that sort of came
through here is the diversity of conditions and the
need to, in fact, have the planning and design
skills that can respond to that diversity.

New Jersey Transit, using sort of the experience from this first program, instituted their own program called Transit-Friendly Consultant

Assistants, which essentially means they've retained several teams of consultants which they then loan to interested communities to work with them on specific projects. So a community approaches New Jersey

Transit and says, we are interested in taking another look at the area around our train station or major bus depot. We think there are some redevelopment opportunities. Can you provide us with the technical assistance?

And the New Jersey Transit working through their planning department and their

consultants will set up a process and essentially provide free planning and design assistance to these communities under that program.

`17

2.0

The third program that New Jersey

Transit uses is the Joint Development Program. This is essentially taking advantage -- and whereas the others are sort of run from their planning division, the Joint Development Program is run from their real estate division. This is a program where they take advantage of land which they own, have acquired over the years, adjacent to train stations which are not needed for the original purposes and can be redeveloped for other uses.

So it's a process where, again, it's important to start working with the community at the very beginning developing sort of the planning and regulatory framework for the redevelopment of these parcels. In other words, it has to be a community that's willing to share a vision for redevelopment of these parcels, working with the community to sort of make the necessary changes to their planning framework and zoning framework so that this redevelopment can actually be transit oriented and make sense. And going from there, looking for the private sector partners to build these projects.

And obviously being sensitive to the fact that while these projects are in public ownership, they're not generating taxes locally, so looking for ways in which these projects can become tax generators for the community, which is of major interest to them.

The Transit Village Initiative, which you have the little brochure of, is a way for the different state agencies to sort of focus their collective resources to support transit oriented development. There is only so much that the transit agency can do on its own. What we found is that a partnership with other state agencies can be extremely beneficial.

The Transit Village Initiative is meant to sort of focus on communities that have already developed on their own or with the assistance of some of these other programs have developed a coherent planning and regulatory framework for redevelopment of their train station areas and then by designating them as a transit village, give them priority access to state agencies and priority funding for projects which they may need.

So if there is construction of, for example, affordable houses or housing that has an

there might be low-income housing tax credits involved. There might be other programs that the state housing mortgage finance agency administers. So this is meant to sort of provide a direct pipeline into these different projects and have the state agencies work as a team to collectively focus their resources; not just technical assistance in this case but actual investments in these designated areas.

And, finally, the Department of

Community Affairs, which is where I come from, has

its own smart growth planning grant program, which

is \$3 million a year. And we fund all kinds of

planning, from larger scale regional planning all

the way down to sort of individual sites. Some of

the projects that we've funded are transit oriented

development.

For example, we are funding an evaluation at the redevelopment opportunities in five stations along the PATCO line, and that's entirely transit oriented development. And then we also work with major universities' planning and design programs which are always looking for places to do urban design studios.

1 And we've, in partnership with the 2 Department of Transportation or by ourselves, have 3 sort of matched them together with towns that look 4 for planning assistance. And planning and urban 5 design studios are an excellent way to sort of get the process rolling, because you get all sorts of 6 7 ideas, some of which are whacky but others are not. And, you know, it's relatively inexpensive and you 8 9 get a big brainstorm, lots of energy and sort of get 10 the ball rolling. 11 So these are some of the ways in which 12 we are supporting transit oriented development in 13 I would be happy to take any questions. New Jersev. 14 REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: 15 Representative McCall. 16 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Thank you. 17 Mr. Rodrigues, tell me, has the majority of your 18 investment in these areas been in existing 19 communities that have the railroad structure already 20 in place or bus terminal? Are you primarily 21 investing in areas that already have infrastructure 22 in place? 23 MR. RODRIGUES: Yes. We are building 24 new rail lines on existing rights-of-way. 25 new lines that have been built for the most part

have been on existing rights-of-way. The one exception is the line that runs along the Hudson waterfront which was not for the most part on an existing right-of-way.

. 14

. 24

Because it's such a hot real estate market anyway, by and large, the private marketplace sort of jumped on the availability of transit and built extensively around the train station and continues to do that.

But by and large, the new starts in New Jersey are on existing rights-of-way. The next one to come on line will be the Trenton to Camden light rail which runs on an existing right-of-way. These were all sort of rail communities that had service for many, many years and then it was discontinued. And now it's sort of starting up again.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: The investments that put the rail down, was that by the private developer or something that the New Jersey Transit Authority paid for?

MR. RODRIGUES: No. The private developers, no, we are not in the 19th Century anymore. It used to be that the railroad people would build the railroad and the town at the same

time, because they understood the synergies between the two. They made money on the railroad and they made money on the real estate. And the more money they made on the real estate, these things would sort of feed on each other.

We are not in that world anymore. So the public funding goes to the infrastructure. I think you might expect in some cases from the private sector some funding for infrastructure in the area immediately around the train station. If there's the need to put in new streets, if there's the need to rebuild sewer systems, you might expect some participation from private developers if the numbers work. And that depends entirely on the local real estate market. But the major investment in the infrastructure is going to come from the public sector.

REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: That was the following question. So then the bulk of the funding for these projects, is it coming from the government side, public funding or the private side? The overall development of these areas, where is the money coming from in New Jersey?

MR. RODRIGUES: For the housing, the office space, for the uses around the train station?

1 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Everything. 2 Give me a number. 3 MR. RODRIGUES: Well, the track --REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: 4 government investment is in these districts and --5 6 when I say government, what the public sector 7 investment is versus the private sector investment. MR. RODRIGUES: The track and the 8 9 rolling stock, that's public investments. 10 investment in the infrastructure around train 11 stations, I can't tell you. If there's a need to 12 again rebuild a street, put in a public plaza, 13 chances are that might be public investment. 14 The buildings are privately developed. 15 In some cases, they may require subsidies. 16 REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: I understand 17 all that. I'm just wondering if you have something 18 that we can guage, something that we can look at as 19 policymakers to say, all right, we as the state of 20 Pennsylvania are going to invest X number of dollars 21 in infrastructure. Here is the return on our 22 investment in those dollars. Our return is that `23 there has been \$2 billion worth of growth on the 24 private side. Do you have anything that we can look 25 at that says this is the New Jersey experience, our

```
1
      minor infrastructure dollars have provided $2
 2
      billion --
 3
                    MR. RODRIGUES: How many private
 4
      dollars, the public dollar leverage, I don't have
 5
      that here today, but I suspect it's available or can
      be made available.
 6
 7
                    REPRESENTATIVE McCALL: Thank you very
 8
      much.
 9
                    MR. RODRIGUES:
                                     Sure.
10
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:
11
      Representative Strittmatter.
12
                    REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER:
                                                   Thank
13
      you for coming today and helping us better
14
      understand what you are doing in New Jersey.
15
                    In Pennsylvania, specifically
16
      Lancaster County where I am from, we went through a
17
      planning process ten years ago and we came up with
      urban growth boundaries. The idea of better
18
19
      planning, that was something that was talked about
20
      at that time, saving farmland, having these
21
      boundaries.
22
                    The problem has been we have 2600
23
      municipalities, you have 500 municipalities.
24
      municipalities within the growth areas have decided
25
      they want to keep all the other types of growth or
```

development, for example, industrial growth that hasn't been there for 15 or 20 years. And yet they still want to not allow commercial development in the in-growth areas or other big public arenas or ballparks or stadiums, that they're resisted that.

Do you have any similar examples in New Jersey of where that has happened? You've gone through the planning process. You're a planner. The best plan 10 years ago was to go in this direction and have these villages have the growth. And now we come with the term smart growth and trying to get around infrastructure with rail.

We have rail in Lancaster, but yet they don't want to put certain things there that the private developers would bring. What the private developers want to bring, the citizens don't want.

What solutions have you found in New Jersey that work?

MR. RODRIGUES: What leads you to believe that we've found the solutions?

REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER: Well, for one reason a lot of our citizens come from New Jersey, and they're telling us that they know better now because they lived in New Jersey. They're telling Lancaster County not to make these mistakes,

how they learned from that. So I thought maybe you could update us on what our new neighbors are trying to tell us.

`16

MR. RODRIGUES: That's why they moved. These programs that I've described are all obviously based on the premise that there's a local willingness, that there's a local interest. There are many towns that have train stations that are just not interested in changing or think they are not interested in changing. Change happens whether you like it or not, but you really have to be prepared to embrace it and accept it and direct it.

You can put your head in the sand and sort of wait for things to happen. There has to be -- communities have to reach that point where they are ripe for accepting change and understanding that the world is changing around them and that they can with the assistance that the state or others provide them technically, financially and so forth, that they can take advantage of what essentially is an asset, the transit facility. Take advantage of that to turn themselves and to reinvent themselves and to turn themselves into better places.

REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER: What we were trying to do was, while we were a better place,

to make those changes without waiting to be depressed and have everyone without jobs and then say, oh, yes, now I need to do this. Is there a way to entice the neighborhoods and the citizens who don't have the same look into five or ten years out when there is going to be high unemployment and no jobs? Because what I've been told, that there's a very fine line between smart growth and no growth.

. 10

.20

MR. RODRIGUES: There can be. There can be. I think that without growth, the smart growth sort of falls apart or at least the growth part of it falls apart.

These places, many of these places have the capacity to absorb growth, because you have sort of changes in land uses and changes in the local economies. There are many industrial, former industrial facilities, that were located along or in close proximity to our train stations and they don't function anymore and they're prime for redevelopment.

In some cases, we've amassed vast areas for surface parking which when the real estate market reaches the appropriate point can be redeveloped with parking decks and other uses. So there is the capacity to accept considerable growth

at select locations, but there has to be the willingness locally to sort of participate in that vision. Without that willingness, nothing done.

Now, how do you influence that in states that have home rule and are reluctant to use the legislative hammer? You work very hard on educational initiatives. It's hard work. And you publicize your success stories. You do a very good job at putting them out there. Wherever you have a success story, demonstration projects, you make sure that everybody knows about them, because that's part of the educational process. And then you just hope that these things will build on each other, and that if the elected officials in a certain community don't see it, that eventually they will be replaced with others who can. This is the process that we have to work with.

REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER: The problem is then that the process to get it done goes through many election cycles, elections every two years. And you're talking about things that take maybe ten years. So you have four or five rolling elections that are taking place.

I appreciate you coming to Pennsylvania and sharing your insights. If you're

driving back by the way of 283 or 30, I would appreciate it if you'd look at our new Route 30 between there and the train station and around that area. And I invite you back in the future to help us do that while we are still strong, that we are able to convince people that now is the time to make those changes rather than having depressed areas and maybe trailer parks that go into areas that are now used as commercial at this time. And they can't pay for the good schools that they need because they are resisting and they want to keep the view open and available to them. These are the problems that we are facing.

And then the people who move from your state to our county will then move to another county or to another state, and we will be left with their bad decisions once again. Thank you very much.

MR. RODRIGUES: You're welcome.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Any other questions? Thank you very much, sir. We appreciate your time in coming to Pennsylvania.

MR. RODRIGUES: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Moving right along, we have Edward Troxell, Director, PSAB Government Affairs.

MR. TROXELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good afternoon. I want to thank the Transportation Committee and also my fellow transportation advocates for being here today and allowing me to testify before the committee on Representative Rubley's proposal for the creation of TRIDS, the Transportation Revitalization Investment Districts.

.13

.23

Once again, my name is Ed Troxell, and I am the Director of Government Affairs at the Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs. As the advocate for Pennsylvania's 961 boroughs, PSAB has actively represented its members since 1911.

During our 90 plus years, our borough communities, as we've heard here this morning, have undergone tremendous changes, sometimes for the better but oftentimes have we seen in recent years oftentimes for the worst.

Sadly, our communities were once the thriving hubs for commerce, development and transit. Having been affected now by out migration, sprawl, and economic contraction, we can witness some of the devastation in our communities as taking place today. However, a lot of our communities have continued to persevere and even flourish in light of

these and other conditions.

Boroughs, their elected officials who often work freely and voluntarily, along with citizens have used ingenuity and good old-fashioned pluck to insure community survival out of dedication to what they fondly regard as their hometown.

PSAB believes that, when given effective management tools, boroughs can simultaneously contribute to community revitalization and needy renewal of the Commonwealth's once heralded transit system. There is no reason to doubt that Representative Rubley's proposal, House Bill 2464, cannot be one of those tools that foster a transit renaissance in Pennsylvania.

Since colonial times, boroughs have served as crossroads communities. Yet today more than ever, they are the Commonwealth's often-overlooked integral transit nodes, typically serving as primary alignments for many existing transportation corridors.

Among these corridors are the Schuylkill River Valley's Metro Project, which we'll be hearing about a little bit later from Mr.

Louwerse. In addition is the Keystone Corridor in

southcentral PA, which actually is home to Amtrak.

We also have the 28th Division Corridor which starts on 322, a little bit outside of Lancaster. And I was on that yesterday, as a matter of fact, traveling all the way through up into Harrisburg here and eventually it ends up out into Crawford County and eventually into Ohio; and, finally, the Northern Pocono Corridor, which traverses the rapidly growing counties of Wayne and Pike. And there's the growth with New Jersey, New York, and the people that commute a lot of times that way.

1.2

These illustrations lend just a few proofs to why PSA believes that this legislation can really lead to a renaissance and see a real value behind 2464.

As a borough advocate myself, these are some of the theoretical rationales behind why we promote this role. My role in government affairs also entails taking a look at the actual language itself of 2464. I have listed here some of the suggestions made to be changed. They're fairly technical, and I'll leave that to staff. We will work on this in the future.

And some of the changes listed here are just relatively to give the municipalities more

```
1
      of a role, an active role, not so much a dominating
  2
      role, but a more collaborative and cooperative role
  3
      there.
  4
                    With those technical changes made that
      we'll lead into, we really feel and look forward to
  5
 6
      supporting this legislation on its way for the
 7
      growth of Pennsylvania's boroughs and for the growth
  8
      of PennDOT's 28 corridors that they focused on
 9
      during their Penn Plan move. So I think altogether
10
      with the TRID legislation in place, this is not just
11
      a southeastern Pennsylvania bill, but it's a
12
      statewide Commonwealth beneficial product.
13
                    With that short opening there, I would
14
      just like to say I would be willing to answer any
15
      questions you may have at this point.
.16
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Any questions
17
      from the members?
                          Thank you very much, Ed.
18
                    MR. TROXELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Our last
20
      testifier is Dennis Louwerse, Executor Director/CEO,
21
      BARTA.
```

MR. LOUWERSE: I was talking to

Representative Rubley, and she said it's tough being

last. I always remind her, I only want to be first

when it really matters. Not that this doesn't

really matter, but I'll save my first for some other time.

I am Dennis Louwerse, Executive

Director/CEO of the Berks Area Reading

Transportation Authority, BARTA. I am happy that

you gave me this opportunity. I also recognize our

good friend and long-time supporter, Representative

Dante Santoni, who has been very supportive of

public transportation in our community.

I am hoping to bring to you today really another perspective, to provide you a small city's perspective and actually talk about some actual experience, what I call the preTRID activity that's taking place in Reading, Pennsylvania.

I would like to talk about two
projects in particular. The first is the BARTA
Transportation Complex Project. It is and was, in
fact, a TOD, a transit oriented development,
project. It was completed in downtown Reading.
And, again, it was completed without the benefit of
TRID, sort of hopefully a good example of why the
TRID is needed.

This project has three distinct but integral components. The first is the BARTA Transportation Center, which has been completed. We

moved in in March of this year. It is a total intermodal transportation hub. It is the focal point of the BARTA bus system. So right now it is a bus only TOD. Hopefully, with the Schuylkill Valley Metro, it will become really a multimodal in its nature.

It contains all the passenger amenities. It contains what we think is a very positive and unique situation, a community police station in our new facility. It also has retail space, parking and other opportunities that we are pursuing at this particular time.

The second component of the project is a park-n-transit facility which will be eventually a four-level parking transit facility with 450 parking spaces, which is currently being implemented.

And the third phase is the restoration of the Franklin Street Station, which is a historic railroad station. I'm sure you all played Monopoly when you were a child. This is the Reading-Franklin Street Station which we hope to restore in terms of a private/public partnership and a very important part of this whole area.

These three projects are three separate parcels which are located very close to one

another.

Again, this was a transit oriented development project. The overall transportation system of the community was and is improved. There was and is local government and multiagency cooperation, and there was limited private/public partnership. This project along with our neighbor, which is the convention center, which is called the Sovereign Center, which opened six months before the BTC, has brought dramatic revitalization to downtown Reading.

I believe that even greater opportunities could have been realized and benefits received if we would have had this TRID legislation.

One of the important things is working with all the other government entities. I don't think Reading is any different than anywhere else. Sometimes those processes take a lot of time and energy. But we worked with, of course, our Parking Authority, the city, the county, our Convention Center Authority, some of our private realtors. All this took a long effort and a long time because there are, in fact, different visions in terms of what is needed and what isn't needed.

I think the TRID legislation really

1 provides the process that communities can follow.

2 We were -- I just tried to use a common sense

3 | approach. Probably fortunately for me, in a

4 | previous life I was in the housing and redevelopment

5 | field. So that experience obviously helped me

6 having been in this field as well.

.13

. 23

Again, the cooperation is so vitally needed. If we would have value capture, that potential in the TRID, I think we would have -- that would have been a much greater benefit. I think we would have had greater private/public participation. Everybody wants to know what is the private's influence on public investment. Well, in our case it really is leasehold value in our facility and the rent which the retail space receives from the people who have their businesses in there, and also a service fee which is collected to go to pay the city for the services that these private businesses enjoy.

In reality, with the TRID legislation in place, I would have thought that we could have had some private ownership of our facilities, not just the public ownership.

We are very proud of that project. It had great success. However, I think if the TRID

would have been in place, we would be even happier about the results that we had.

The next project is called the Schuylkill Valley MetroRail Project. This is a joint project of BARTA and my little larger neighbor to the south, SEPTA. It is a proposed 62 mile rail line between Philadelphia, Reading and its western suburbs. The region within the corridor is one of the faster growing areas in southeastern Pennsylvania.

Its two principal highways, the Schuylkill Expressway, I-76 and US Route 422 Expressway as well as many of the arterial and secondary roads are quite frankly plagued by congestion. With the tremendous growth of jobs and population taking place in this corridor, land development is occurring rapidly with commensurate loss of farmland and open space.

Meanwhile, many of the older industrial towns in this corridor like Reading, Pottstown, Phoenixville, Norristown and others are in need of economic development. I would say to you that the Schuylkill Valley Metro Project is a transit-led rather than a highway-led project.

Now, we have had many highway-led

projects in this state. And some of you have had highway-led projects in your district. When that investment was made, many times people just thought, okay, well, we need to have more lanes, we need to carry the people faster. But those highway-led projects have resulted in tremendous development, tremendous tax base, a lot of economic growth.

I mean, any development project has negatives and positives. You get the money but you also get the overabundance of growth and all the problems that go with it.

The Schuylkill Valley Metro, again, is a transit-led project. The SVM MIS/DEIS include four broad goals; a transportation goal, a socioeconomic goal, an environmental goal and a financial goal. These goals were developed through a cooperative and community oriented process. The community process is an important aspect of the proposed TRID.

You are not just setting a legal structure. You are actually providing a community process.

I have been in the public side for many years. And believe me, the public's input and the public process is extremely important. It's not

easy. Believe me, it's not easy. I mean, some people like you a whole lot. Others don't want to talk to you at all. But the process is important.

In conclusion, the Schuylkill Valley MetroRail studies process and community-partner process developed a broad range of benefits.

Specifically, the MetroRail will provide a safe, high-quality, multi-modal transportation service in the corridor, which will help reduce gridlock, provide direct transit access to regional employment, residential, arts and cultural and recreation centers; serve to stimulate economic growth, create new construction and employment, create new real estate development opportunities, revitalize older communities, manage growth, preserve and promote environmental quality, incorporate modern rail technology and serve as a model for public/private development.

It is obvious at least to me that the benefits of the TRID legislation will greatly enhance our ability to hopefully have the successful completion of the Schuylkill Valley MetroRail Project. But it is not only -- this is not only for one part of the state. You have -- and I'm familiar with all of these. You have the Corridor One

Project, which is extremely important; again, another transit-led growth project. You have, of course, the Northeast Project from Scranton to the Poconos, to New Jersey, to New York. Of course, there are many activities in Pittsburgh. There's some activity going on regarding the Erie to Cleveland.

.10

.20

Quite honestly, we are in our Schuylkill Valley Metro Corridor, we are maxed out. There is no place to build another highway, but we are in a crisis mode when it comes to our transportation needs. We need another solution.

I think that solution is projects like the Schuylkill Valley Metro, and your legislative proposal will help those kind of projects move forward.

And finally -- I'm sure I said probably more than you wanted me to say -- if you are a student of history, which I am, you find that railroad, the railroads and heavy industry, fueled the economy of the Schuylkill River Corridor. That fueling of the economy had such great economic impact, it impacted Pennsylvania, it impacted the nation.

Now the MetroRail and a new and

diverse 21st Century industry can fuel the economy 1 2 Now, that can happen not only on the of tomorrow. Schuylkill Valley Metro Corridor but it can happen 3 on other corridors as well. Again, that history was 4 great when the trains were good and heavy industry 5 6 is good. Now we need to look at new modes of 7 transportation, new industries, high-tech pharmaceuticals and others that will gravitate to 8 9 these type of developments. In my opinion, the TRID legislation 10 11 can really help these potentials become reality. Ι 12 thank you very much for having me, and I would 13 certainly be very happy to answer any questions, 14 should you have any at this late hour. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you, 16 sir. Any questions? 17 Seeing no questions, we thank you very 18 much for being here and your input. Perhaps we 19 will have discussions in the future regarding your 20 project as well as Corridor One throughout central 21 Pennsylvania. 22 MR. LOUWERSE: I would be happy to do 23 that. Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you

very much. This concludes our hearing on House Bill

```
1
      2464. Once again, I want to thank the testifiers as
 2
      well as the Penn State University Medical Center as
      well as the Pennsylvania Cable Network for being
  3
  4
      here.
  5
                     Thank you.
  6
                     (The hearing concluded at 12:26 p.m.)
  7
  8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in
3	the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and
4	that this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	Jan An
7	Jean M. Davis, Reporter
8	Notary Public
9	
10	
11	Notanal Seal Jean M. Davis, Notary Public
12	Jean M. Davis, Notary Public Derry Twp., Dauphin County My Commission Expires Mar. 29, 2004 Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	