HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * * * * * * * *

House Bill 10

* * * * * * * * * * *

House Transportation Committee

Main Capitol Building Room 140 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Tuesday, March 9, 1999 - 9:07 a.m.

--000--

BEFORE:

Honorable Richard Geist, Majority Chairperson

Honorable Ellen Bard

Honorable Russell Fairchild

Honorable Dick Hess

Honorable Dennis Leh

Honorable Ronald Marsico

Honorable John Pippy

Honorable Joseph Battisto, Minority Chairperson

Honorable Frank Gigliotti

Honorable Susan Laughlin

Honorable David Levdansky

Honorable Anthony Melio

Honorable Joseph Preston

Honorable Dante Santoni

ALSO PRESENT:
Dana Alwine, Esquire Office of Majority Chief Counsel
Eric C. Bugaile Majority Research Analyst
 Theresa Jones Majority Secretary

CONTENTS

WITNESSES	PAGE
LaShira Horton Urmika Chatterjee Jarrett Coger High School Students Nationwide Insurance Highway Safety Advocacy Projects	6 8 11
Ted Leonard Executive Director Pennsylvania AAA Federation	21
Richard Hornfeck, Retired Director Indiana University Institute For Highway Safety Ron Strapel, Executive Secretary Pennsylvania Association for Safety Education	32
Brian Stauffer Shelly Stauffer Parents	51 54
Betty Serian Deputy Secretary of Safety Administration PennDOT	56
Rebecca Bickley Director, Bureau of Drivers Licensing PennDOT	60

1	4 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Good morning, everyone.
2	Now that we have the microphones fixed, I think we'll get
3	started. I'm State Representative Rick Geist. And this is
4	the second day of the hearing we're going to continue on.
5	Before we do that, I'm going to ask Theresa to call the
6	roll. Not the roll for this, but the roll that will count
7	for our vote when we reconvene after the end of the
8	hearing.
9	And I think that way, we have some members who
10	are coming and going and some who have given me their
11	proxies already. But I'd like to do a roll now, please.
12	MS. JONES: Chairman Geist?
13	CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Here.
14	MS. JONES: Representative Argall?
15	Representative Bard?
16	REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Here.
17	MS. JONES: Druce? Fairchild?
18	REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Here.
19	MS. JONES: Forcier? Hess?
20	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Here.
21	MS. JONES: Leh? Maher? Marsico?
22	REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Here.
23	MS. JONES: Pippy?
24	REPRESENTATIVE PIPPY: Present.
25	MS. JONES: Platts? Smith? Stairs?

is Jarrett -- is it Coger? He's a high school student.

25

And LaShira Horton, a high school student, and Urmika Chatterjee. Is that pretty close? You want to go over to the table. We'd love to have you. When you folks are going to testify, you'll have to share the microphone. Is that okay?

MISS HORTON: Good morning. My name is LaShira Horton, and I am a student at -- and I am a sophomore at Furness High School in Philadelphia. I am here today with eight other students also from the Philadelphia area, including Urmika Chatterjee and Jarrett Coger who are sitting beside me.

We are all members of the Nationwide Insurance Highway Safety Advocacy Project. We have been going to meetings almost every week on legislative advocacy and highway safety issues since October of 1998. These meetings have been led by -- by the Temple LEAP and Street Law.

We have volunteered to be part of this project so that we will learn about how our legislative process works and how to become active and involved citizens. One of the highlights of this project was our first trip to Harrisburg last month when we met with Representatives Myers and Youngblood and also Senator Schwartz's aide.

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, we are very excited to be testifying here before you today and

thank you for this wonderful opportunity. We would be happy to answer any questions at the end of our testimony. We have shortened our remarks for the purpose of conserving time, but ask if our entire written testimony could be submitted for the record.

We would like to talk about the dire need to stop the deaths and injuries of our peers on Pennsylvania's roads. Throughout our country, motor vehicle crashes are the leading cause of death for young people 15 to 20 years of age, causing approximately one-third of all fatalities in this age group.

In Pennsylvania, in 1997, forty-seven 16- and 17-year-old drivers died tragically on our roads and nearly 6,000 more were injured. Additionally, people of all ages are affected by the mistakes of young drivers. In 1997, 88 people were killed in crashes involving 16- or 17-year-old drivers.

Furthermore, fatalities and crashes involving young drivers are increasing and are disproportionate to those of all drivers and age groups. In fact, one of seven 16-year-old male drivers had a reportable crash before his 17th birthday.

We cannot wait any longer to address the deaths and injuries of our friends and families occurring on our roads. The high number of deaths and injuries

caused by young drivers has been attributed to the lack of driving experience and greater risk exposure coupled by 3 risk-taking behavior and immaturity.

1

2

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to be clear, when we speak of immaturity, we are not saying that we are not responsible young adults capable of handling many of life's challenges. But we can say that generally teenagers are involved in more higher risk activities than older people. This outlook combined with inexperience behind the wheel can be a lethal combination.

MISS CHATTERJEE: After working with the Nationwide Insurance Project, we have learned that improving the current graduated driver licensing system and getting young people to wear their safety belts would curb the number of teenage deaths and injuries.

To accomplish that goal, four major parts of the current graduated driver licensing system need to be improved in Pennsylvania. They are: Increasing the supervised driving time, nighttime driving restrictions, conviction-free requirement, and mandatory safety belt usage.

Right now House Bill 10 addresses the first three of these four components but does not mandate that teenage drivers and passengers wear their safety belts.

Supervised driving time. Currently, there is

only a 30-day waiting period to hold a learner's permit before taking a road test and no minimum adult supervised behind-the-wheel training. It is only required that another driver who is at least 18 years of age be in the car with the permitted driver.

In reality, this means that a 16-year-old can get her permit and be driving with her 18-year-old boyfriend in the car without her having any supervised behind-the-wheel training. Increasing the waiting period to 6 months and the age of the accompanying driver to 21 years old as well as mandating 50 hours of behind-the-wheel training, as House Bill 10 provides, will address this dangerous scenario.

These improvements will increase the time for essential behind-the-wheel skill building as increasing the experience level of the supervising adult.

Nighttime driving restrictions. The current system for junior licenses restricts nighttime driving from midnight to 5:00 a.m. Considering that a large majority of crashes with novice drivers happens between 3:00 p.m. and midnight, reducing the time teens can be on the road without supervision will limit their exposure to dangerous nighttime driving.

While night driving restrictions reduce crashes during the restricted time, those restrictions

beginning earlier reduce a greater number of crashes
because more drivers are effective. We support the
provision in House Bill 10 which would extend the
restriction for an additional hour beginning at 11:00 p.m.

We also agree with the provision which provides for limited exceptions to this restriction.

Participating in volunteer work, such as being a volunteer fire fighter or holding a job, are activities which demonstrate a certain level of responsibility.

The goal of nighttime restrictions is not to deny essential driving at night but is, rather, to limit high risk recreational driving. The teenagers who either chose to be involved with volunteering or who have a job should be given the privilege of driving to get to these activities.

Conviction-free requirement. Right now if a young driver is convicted of a high speed violation or multiple other traffic violations, the system only provides for discretionary sanctioning as determined by PennDOT. The lack of a specific and strong punishment for young drivers who display a lack of respect for the responsibilities of having a junior license tells these drivers that their behavior is acceptable.

As long as Pennsylvania allows teen drivers to speed and disobey traffic laws consequence-free, some teens

will do it. We support the provision in the bill which establishes accountability for dangerous behavior, mandating a 90-day suspension for a first offense and 120 days for every subsequent offense.

MR. COGER: <u>Safety belts</u>. Pennsylvania current -- Pennsylvania's current law stipulates that children three years of age and younger must be properly buckled up and if they are not, a police officer can pull over the driver and give him a ticket.

However, if a driver is not belted, an officer can't pull him over unless he has broken another law.

These laws seem to contradict each other in terms of the role the government plays to protect its citizens. The government seems to value the life of a baby more than a 5-year-old or a 16-year-old or the parent of that baby.

It does not make sense to us that there is a device in our cars that saved an estimated 9,500 lives each year across the nation but the law does not allow an officer to give a ticket to an unbelted driver. Drivers can get tickets if they run red lights, if they speed and for violating every other traffic law but not for failure to wear a safety belt.

We urge you to consider amending House Bill 10 to include a provision which will protect young drivers.

In Pennsylvania, approximately 70 percent of teenagers

killed on the highways were not wearing their safety belts.

Not only is enacting a standard enforcement law one of the

most effective ways we get more people to buckle up, it

also increases the number of children who are protected by

5 occupant restraints.

Adult safety belt use is the best predictor of child restraint use. And teenage drivers would be those adult drivers who have children sitting in the back seat within a few years. Additionally, per miles traveled, black and Hispanic male teenagers are nearly twice as likely to die in a motor vehicle crash as white male teens.

While black men are less likely to buckle up than their white and Hispanic counterparts, research shows that for black men age 18 to 29, belt use is significantly higher in the standard enforcement states than in the secondary law states.

According to a 1997 PennDOT crash booklet, if everyone buckled up, 489 lives could be saved every year and thousands of injuries prevented. Furthermore, over 2.7 billion in economic loss could be saved each year. Since most of the graduated driver licensing systems are relatively new, the extent to which they will prevent deaths and injuries cannot yet be fully measured.

However, the systems enacted so far demonstrated major steps towards expanding the learning

process, reducing risk exposure and improving driving
proficiency. Systems which include proponents such as
supervised driving time, nighttime driving restrictions,
conviction-free requirements, and strong safety belt
provisions allow young drivers to learn in increments and
build up on their experience.

Although these restrictions will restrict our ability to get around and may inconvenience both us and our parents, these restrictions have been demonstrated to save lives. We urge you to enact House Bill No. 10 and to improve Pennsylvania's safety belt law. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much. I just want to say something before we open up for questions after he turns my mike on for me. You guys were wonderful. Do you realize that you've gone farther than we ever have in this law because we didn't think we could go that far.

Now, just one quick question. Are you guys working for Betty Serian at the Department?

MS. SERIAN: Well briefed.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: I have two questions when I read through the testimony that jumped out at me that is something I really -- I've never considered before. When you talk about the number of crashes in the urban area that minorities have compared to the regular driving public, if we were to change the curriculum from driver's ed. to

flip-flop it, as has been suggested by other people
testifying, that would require 30 -- 30 years. Yeah. -- 30
hours of behind-the-wheel training and 6 to 10 hours in the
classroom, would you think that a special curriculum for
urban driving skills would be in order?

MISS HORTON: Yes.

MR. COGER: Well, there has been no, like, proven facts about driver's ed. so we will not carry a position on driver's ed. There is nothing, like, that proves that driver's ed. has helped out crash -- has prevented less crashes or anything like that.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: That's correct. But we've never seen driver's ed. as has -- as it has now been recommended by different people. And I talked with Representative Stairs after yesterday's testimony. And I know that as Chairman of the Education Committee, our two committees will be doing something about this after this bill is passed.

So we would appreciate it if you want to give us some more input to come back, that would be fine. The other thing is, I think your parents and people that came up with you should be really proud of you. You guys -- none of you have mike fright. You did a tremendous job.

And this is going all over the state. So congratulations. We're glad to have you. Questions?

Fairchild, Representative.

REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I also concur and commend you. I think you've
just done an excellent job of laying out the key portion of
the bill. My one question is, I've had some feedback from
students in my area. And they were a little concerned
about the 50 hours of supervised driving.

Do you see that as any type of problem of being able to get that 50 hours in of supervised driving?

MISS CHATTERJEE: I think that the more experience one gets, the better driver that person can be. So I think that for 50 hours, that's a lot more experience that these people will be getting on the roads. And therefore, when they are -- when they do have their full license, they might be able to handle different situations differently rather than if they had only had 30 hours of experience or none.

REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Do you think that there's -- I guess there's no nice way to say this. But there are people that have a lot of cars in their families and a lot of money that could do it. What about a parent that -- a single parent or parent that may not have a vehicle at all that may use mass transit?

If you are a son or daughter, what kind of opportunities will you have to get that -- those 50 hours

1 | in?

2

3

5

6

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

maybe an uncle or an aunt or a relative might have a car.

Or maybe there would be some other way for them to get to a vehicle. But I strongly believe that the more experience one has, the better a driver that they can be and they could prevent much more deaths and crashes.

REPRESENTATIVE FAIRCHILD: Thank you very

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Marsico.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you, Mr.

12 Chairman. Again, I agree that you've given expert

13 | testimony. I wanted to get your opinion about something

14 | that's been thrown out there from last year or so by

15 experts. And we keep hearing from the experts that the

16 problems for the high rate of crashes among teenagers is

17 | immaturity.

That's one of the problems besides inexperience and besides lack of driver education and training, et cetera. What is your view -- what are your views with regard to maybe raising the -- the eligibility from age -- from 16 to 17?

MR. COGER: Well, immaturity is always a factor. It's -- I don't think -- I don't believe that changing the driver's age from 16 to 17 will really -- will

really change that much because a lot of people aren't getting their license till they're around 17 years old.

And experience weighs more than immaturity.

If they just learn like, like, if we can learn the effects of, like, our actions of driving fast, learn the effects of, like, driving fast and how we can destroy our lives, we could just learn that -- that experience will -- I don't know. -- that experience just weighs more than immaturity.

PERPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: All right. Thank

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you. Ellen Bard has a question. But before she does, I think that the three of you need to meet Mr. Glatfelter before you leave because I think that you guys have been in co -- in -- working together on your testimony.

But Art gave some presentations and has been talking about this and has written extensively about it.

And you guys are right on the beam. Ellen Bard.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just like to get your perspective on the aspect of how this act takes effect. And the way it's scheduled to go into effect would impact only on those who are in the -- who get their permit after it's gone into effect, and it would not effect those who already have their permit.

Do you think that that's the way it should be

handled, or would you like to see it expanded to those who currently might have their permit?

MISS CHATTERJEE: I think it would be great to be able to expand it to those who currently also have their permit. I don't know if that's possible. But I think the more that we can reach drivers -- young drivers, the better -- the safer our roads can be, the more that they will understand the -- that driving is a serious thing.

It's not something to be taken lightly. That
the more experience that they get -- I believe that the
more experience that they get, they'll mature more; they'll
be able to handle different situations better. And so I
think that if currently the people who are getting -- in
the process of getting their permits, if this could reach
them also, I think it would make a big difference.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: For the last question, Representative Melio.

on your testimony. I just -- you have strong support for the bill. Do you have any criticisms or something that could make the bill stronger? Yesterday we heard from a student that thought that the 6 months should be reduced to 60 days because of work problems, that they need the car for work and that 60 -- or 6-month period would create a

problem for someone who has a job. Do you see -- is that the way you feel?

what job that they have. It depends how necessary it is.

But I think they should be able to find a way to work

around that because I think then if you give some people -
if you tell some people that sure, we'll lessen it to 60

days for you, then you will get a lot of other people who

will bring in other situations that they also need to

lessen the amount of days.

And I think that basically this program is for the benefit of everyone and that the more that people that this can affect, more people will understand. We will see probably a difference in the statistics that people are dying right now.

I think that anything we can do to stop those unnecessary deaths, that we should do that. So I think that they could probably find a way to work around that.

MR. COGER: Yeah. And I know from experience, like, I know a lot of people who have got their license because you know how it's, like, currently 30 days. Sixty days, that's not very much more than 30 days. That's only a month more.

People have gotten a license because, like, with the 50 hours, certification that you need 50 hours to

drive, that's proving a whole lot because I know a lot of people, they just sit there with their permit. They don't do any driving because the driver's test is fairly easy.

And then it's just like -- it's just driving around which is really easy and parallel parking which you can learn real quick. But with the 50 hours, you can't learn how to play basketball, be the best basketball player in two months. It takes a long -- it takes a long approach. It takes a long time.

Like, the longer that you -- the longer that you practice basketball, the better the basketball player you'll be. So the longer that you practice driving, the better driver you'll be. We can't have people driving around who have drove around for like maybe two hours because only setting a certain time limit, that doesn't mean that they're going to go drive for that time limit.

Saying a certain hour that you have to drive for sets the amount of time, lets the people know the amount of time that they have to practice driving. So 50 hours would be -- certifying 50 hours would be the best thing.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: I want to thank you all very much. When we set out to build this bill, the one thing that we wanted to have was responsible drivers who were well trained. And boy, you guys sure reinforced that

today. And I want to thank you.

Our next presenter will be Ted Leonard. And Ted, I don't know how you follow an act like this. But Ted has been deeply involved, as the AAA have, all over the country in trying to implement this program. And we're just pleased with the support that we've gotten from the AAA both in research and the push that they have given this legislation. So Ted, it's all yours.

MR. LEONARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You're indeed correct. This is a very tough act to follow. I am Ted Leonard. I'm Executive Director of the Pennsylvania AAA Federation. We are very pleased and grateful for this opportunity today to appear before the Committee in support of House Bill 10.

We believe it is appropriate, timely and necessary for the General Assembly to enact a bill such as House Bill 10 to address the licensing process for novice drivers. As I'm sure that most of you are aware, each passing month gives this issue a new urgency.

Almost every legislative district has experienced a death or injury of a teen driver. One can hardly pick up a newspaper anymore without reading about another teen driver accident with fatalities or serious injuries.

This PennDOT chart -- PennDOT chart that you

have in front of you depicts the teen accidents, fatalities and injuries throughout the Commonwealth in 1997. But behind each of these symbols on the chart, the statistics is someone's son or daughter or brother or sister.

Many organizations like AAA have worked hard to prevent these tragedies by reducing crashes by novice drivers. What exactly is our young driver problem? Well, quite simply, teen drivers are vastly over-represented in automobile crashes.

Car crashes are the number one cause of death among 15- to 20-year-olds. No other form of injury or disease is as great a threat to our teens' safety.

Nationwide on an annual basis, 6,300 teens are killed on America's roads. That's 17 a day, 120 a month -- 120 a week or 525 a month.

In Pennsylvania, teen drivers make up roughly
4 percent of the driving population but account for about
13 percent of the traffic fatalities. Forty-five percent
of all 16- and 17-year-old girls that die do so as a result
of an automobile accident.

Among boys of the same age, 36 percent of the deaths are due to crashes. Nationwide the crash rate for teens is four times higher than it is for adults. The reasons for this over-representation of teen drivers in crash data are many, but they can be summarized as follows:

Number one, inexperience. Young drivers lack the skills to drive in all road conditions and environments such as inclement weather and nighttime driving. In many cases, it's possible to obtain a driver's license with very little behind-the-wheel experience. Young driver accidents typically involve single vehicle, run-off-the-road crashes, hitting fixed objects such as trees, embankments and other stopped cars.

Number two, high risk behavior.

Characteristics of young drivers include a feeling of invulnerability, immaturity and impulsiveness leading to poor driving judgment and participation in high risk behaviors such as speeding, traveling too fast for conditions.

These behaviors are especially evident with an increase in the number of teen drivers who accompany -- a number of teen passengers who accompany a teen driver.

Studies have shown that allowing passengers to ride with a 16-year-old driver increases their chances of being involved in a fatal crash by 100 percent through peer distractions, peer pressure and encouragement to drive unsafely.

A lack of seat belt use is also evident in teen crashes. The use of alcohol is also a significant factor. Twenty-one percent of teens involved in fatal

crashes had been drinking.

Third, high risk exposure. Young drivers drive during nighttime, high risk hours, again, often with young teen passengers. The risk of fatal crashes for teenagers is highest between 9:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. Fifty-five percent of fatal teen crashes occur between 9:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m.

To address this nationwide problem of teen driving deaths, the American Automobile Association is advocating a program of graduated licensing. Graduated licensing is designed to ease beginning drivers into the driving environment by progressing or graduating through driver licensing stages before unrestricted licensing.

Mistakes are a part of any learning process, including driving. The issue is how to minimize the likelihood that crashes will occur while young people are learning to drive. Typically in a graduated license system, novice drivers progress through three stages: The learner's permit, the intermediate license, and the full license.

The key components include increased
behind-the-wheel driving experience. House Bill 10
accomplishes this by extending the learner's permit phase
to 6 months and requiring permit parent/instructor
certification of 50 hours of supervised training. We feel

1 | that this is extremely important.

Nighttime driving restrictions. Again, House Bill 10 addresses this problem.

Teenage passenger restrictions. Two-thirds of all teenage passenger deaths occur in crashes in which another teen is driving. We recommend that teen passengers be restricted to at least the number of seat belts available in the vehicle.

Mandatory use of seat belts. Several states have passed a primary seat belt enforcement law and some have made seat belt enforcement as a part of their graduated driver license program.

Evaluations in three states show the benefits of graduated driver license programs. California reported a 5 percent reduction in crashes for 8 drivers age 15 to 17. Maryland reported a 5 percent reduction in crashes and a 10 percent reduction in traffic convictions for drivers age 16 to 17. Oregon reported a 16 percent reduction in crashes for male drivers age 16 to 17.

Additionally, the states of Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Michigan, North Carolina and Ohio have recently implemented graduated driver licensing programs. In a recent survey of AAA members which we conducted last year, 91 percent responded that they would favor a graduated licensing program for novice drivers which would require

more behind-the-wheel experience before full driving
privileges are granted.

I thank the Committee for this opportunity to comment on these needed novice driver reforms. We are willing to work in any way with the Legislature to address the problem of teen driving. And I would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much, Ted.

I have one question. After listening to those kids, did we
go far enough?

MR. LEONARD: Perhaps not. Perhaps not. I mean, you're certainly hearing it from the folks on whom this is going to have the greatest impact.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: And then one other question. Do you feel now that we are putting responsibility into the law that what we want are responsible drivers?

MR. LEONARD: This goes further towards responsibility than what we have now certainly. And I think that everything we can do to educate young drivers, everything we can do to ensure that they have adequate experience before they get on the road behind the wheel of a 3,000 ton weapon, we're doing a great job.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you. Representative Battisto. We're glad to have him up here with us now who

is the Democratic Chair of this Committee.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much.

Ted, in your testimony, you talk about restricting the

number of occupants in a vehicle to the number of seat

belts. If you have four seat belts, four in a car; if you

have a van with six seat belts, it would be six, right? Is

that what you're saying?

MR. LEONARD: That's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Are you implying any way that those under 18-year-olds should be -- and naturally you want them all to have seat belts. But you're not implying that we should change anything to mandate that under 18-year-olds should have seats belts?

MR. LEONARD: No, no. Part of that is certainly that they should be wearing their seat belts. That is a part of our program, our recommendation for graduated driver license. Our studies have shown that the more teens you pile into a car, the greater the percentage is that they're going to have an accident through distractions and so forth.

Also, a part of our program is that at a minimum, the number of passengers should be limited to the number of seat belts and they should be wearing those seat belts.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: The idea of primary

28 enforcement is sort of a controversial thing so you don't 1 want to muddy this bill up with that, do you? 2 MR. LEONARD: I didn't want to say those 3 4 words. But certainly that's the idea, yes. REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Okay. 5 Thank you 6 very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Leh. REPRESENTATIVE LEH: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 8 Mr. Leonard, thank you also. My question is, 9 Chairman. you cited in your testimony on page 2 high risk exposure, 10 driving between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. in the 11 I think we all realize that. I guess -- and I 12 morning. think somebody advocated this yesterday. 13 And maybe the Chairman can jog my memory. 14 But how would your association feel about 15 requiring part of those 50 hours to be nighttime driving to 16 give young people experience during dark hours because it's 17 18 a totally different experience out there when you're driving at nighttime? 19 Absolutely. 20 MR. LEONARD: REPRESENTATIVE LEH: I don't know how we would 21 22 do that to make sure that it could be documented but --It's going to be done. 23 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: MR. LEONARD: AAA had created a logbook in 24

which we had recommended that a portion of the hours be

25

given to nighttime driving, a portion be given to inclement
weather, and even given some of the problems we've had, for
example, with work zone safety.

Perhaps a parent should take a teen driver out and drive through a work zone where construction is going on and teach them that, look, just slow down in work zones. And so that 50 hours needn't be straight daylight, freeway, traffic driving; but it should be under varying conditions.

REPRESENTATIVE LEH: I know. I only asked that -- and it was a while ago when I got my permit to drive. And I remember -- I don't recall my parents ever taking me out at nighttime. And all of a sudden, I got behind the wheel of a car. I had my license.

And all of a sudden, it was dark out. And the lights didn't cover near what the daylight sun did.

MR. LEONARD: Yes, you're absolutely correct.

REPRESENTATIVE LEH: So it is a different

18 experience.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

MR. LEONARD: Nighttime is a very different environment, as is inclement weather.

21 REPRESENTATIVE LEH: Okay. Thank you, Mr.

22 | Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Leh has been in the dark for a lot of years. Representative Bard.

25 REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would like to compliment the AAA organization for its leadership role across the country and trying to promote graduated licensing. I think it's very helpful and very worthwhile.

Δ

My question has to do with your position on education and how worthwhile you feel education is and mandates and incentives, that sort of thing, if you have any information to share with us.

MR. LEONARD: We -- as an organization, we stand behind driver education. And in fact, AAA National is at the moment working on modifying and changing its driver's education curriculum. And I understand it's not a part of this bill.

We do strongly support driver education. And hopefully, that will be taken up in another form and at a later date. The thing about driver education is that it doesn't always stick well. Sometimes students are given driver education in a classroom in their junior year and they don't start driving until their senior year. So a lot of that training has gone away.

The curriculum we're looking at, as the Chairman mentioned, was flip-flopping the on-the-road experience with classroom experience; in other words, 30 hours behind the wheel and 6 hours or so in the classroom, and also looking at giving driver's education in segments.

For example, one portion of driver education
during the learner permit phase; a second portion of driver
education once the teen drivers have some experience on the
road. An interesting fact is that in surveys of seat belt
usage, seat belt usage is highest among teen drivers who
have been through driver education.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Tony Melio.

REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: Ted, you brought up some interesting points, especially the one seat belt for every driver. I don't think, trying to find out and inquiring with some of the people here, that we have any laws of overloading vehicles, not just for teenagers but for the whole population. So that if -- if there's only four seat belts in a car and you had 5 people, then that would be a violation, right?

MR. LEONARD: If you had a primary seat belt enforcement, yes. And overloading a vehicle is certainly a problem for older experienced drivers but becomes even more so for the teen driver.

REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: So then this is something we should address in this bill, or should that be a different bill?

MR. LEONARD: I think limiting the number of teen passengers and seat belt usage is something that needs

1 to be addressed.

2 REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: Thank you, Mr.

3 | Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much, Ted.

Our next presenter will be Richard Hornfeck, retired

director, Indiana University Institute for Highway Safety;

and Ron Strapel, Executive Secretary, Pennsylvania

Association for Safety Education. And if you fellows could

not read your testimony, we would certainly appreciate it.

MR. HORNFECK: That's fine. Okay. Mr.

11 | Chairman --

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: You've done this fire drill many times.

MR. HORNFECK: Okay. -- and members of the House Transportation Committee, I want to thank you for allowing me to be here this morning. Some of the information I had an opportunity to give to you at the hearing that you had in Carlisle at the Cumberland Valley High School.

And the information was primarily taken -well, in fact, all the information that I've given you this
morning was taken from the curriculum project that the
University had an opportunity to start in 1993. And this
was a project in which I served as project director at the
University at the Highway Safety Center with the support of

the Departments of Education and Transportation.

And this project was a project that was started well before 1993. It was a project of Ketron (Phonetic), a research outfit out of Philadelphia. The name of the project was Pennsylvania's Enhanced Driver Education Curriculum Project. And our primary goal was to attempt, at the recommendations of Ketron, to put into a curriculum, the state-approved curriculum, certain areas to reduce the risk of the young driver.

And those four areas that were implemented or started or put together to put into this curriculum was a component on decision-making, there was a component on visual training, there was a component on night driving, and there was a component on parent participation.

The project was started in the school districts in the school year of '93/'94. The data that I'm using this morning that I've used in the report was collected over a 3-year period. It represents 24 high schools. Approximately 12 high schools were representing our treatment group and 12 high schools were representing our control group.

The data was taken from approximately 1,800 student surveys and 1,800 parent surveys. That's a combination of both groups, treatment and control. The surveys were self-reporting surveys. They were given to

1 the students.

There were two surveys that were given to the students. The one survey was given to the students in both groups as soon as they completed both phases of driver education, the classroom and the in-car instruction. The parent at that time also received a survey from both groups.

The second survey that was given to the students was a self-reporting survey. And we asked the teachers to put a clock on the students once they completed their program within a 6 months -- 6-month period to fill out a self-reporting survey.

And it was a rather in-depth survey in which we gathered a lot of information because we wanted to check to see if our groups as far as exposure, our treatment groups and our control groups, were all fairly similar so that we would get a pretty good feel for the random sampling that we had set up with our students.

The data analysis showed us several things.

Number one, I heard Mr. Leonard mention about safety belt or seat belt usage. Safety belt usage for the students in the treatment group was significantly higher for our treatment students versus our control students.

There was probably, I think, about an 8 to 9 percent difference. And we're talking as drivers. We

asked the students do they use their safety belt as a driver, and there was approximately an 8 to 9 percent difference between the two groups.

Our treatment group was in the 90-plus percent. Our control group was reflective of 80-plus percent. To support the idea that we need some type of mandatory seat belt usage for the young driver and their passengers was demonstrated with the surveys because when the students moved from the driver side to the front seat passenger side, we had a significant drop in usage of safety belts.

For what reason? They're not driving, perhaps they feel that they don't need them at that point. It was approximately a 10 percent drop for both groups. When they moved from the front seat to the back seat, it was a very significant drop.

So when they were riding in the back seat as passengers, more often than not, approximately half of the students would be using their safety belts, they indicated that they wore their safety belts. Our Department of Transportation data shows that the teens that died in motor vehicle crashes in '95, 46 percent of them were passengers; in '96, 48 percent; and in '97, 48 percent.

So approximately half of the teenagers that are dying in motor vehicle crashes are dying as passengers

in the motor vehicles, not as drivers. And this -- the information also shows that the majority of them were not wearing safety belts at the time of the crash.

We did not have a significant difference between the two groups in relation to moving violations. There was a 2 percent difference, but that is not statistically significant. The most frequent moving violation for both groups was speeding significantly, very high, followed by traffic light or stop sign violation, and then failing to yield. And then the rest of the moving violations were relatively low.

In examining the data, I do not feel that the state should wait until a young driver, either on -- on a restricted license gets to 6 points because we find in our report from the students that filled out the self-reporting survey, approximately 6 percent of both groups indicated that they had accrued 3 or more points.

Keep in mind that is less -- a minimum of 6 months after getting their driver's license and less than 12 months. So it was somewhere between the 6- and 12-month period. That supports the recommendation that I've made, is that the young driver would have their license suspended with fewer points than 6 as is currently in the bill. And there would also be some type of remedial training for the youthful offender before being re-licensed.

The young students from -- the students from Philadelphia indicated the question about attitude and perception and so forth. There are a certain group that do need, let's say, greater counseling and so forth as it relates to their responsibilities as a driver.

Something that we asked the students in the self-reporting survey, Have you had a collision? And we weren't concerned just about reported collisions. We were asking them any type of collision, whether it was reported or not reported.

There are significant more collisions that aren't reported to the Department of Transportation. Our treatment group had a 4.4 percent difference, fewer collisions than our control group. It was significant, the difference.

The greatest risk for both groups occurred within the first two months of licensure. Eight percent of the individuals after getting their license within 2 months had a collision. This rate -- this high rate continued between the 3rd and 4th month, and it also continued through the 5th and 6th month.

After the 6th month on the driver's license, the percentage of crashes dropped significantly for the group. Now, these were all crashes, just not reported crashes to the Department. Predominant driving errors

identified by the erring drivers were misjudging space, speed too fast for conditions and not seeing the other user, as they indicated.

The other thing that was quite interesting in the self-reporting surveys, 26 percent of our young drivers in the treatment group and control group received their permit within the -- I mean their license. I'm sorry. -- within the first month followed by approximately the same in the second month and the same within the third month.

So over 75 percent of our young drivers had their license within 3 months of securing their permit.

Our parents indicated -- 58 percent in both groups indicated that young drivers should be required to spend -- practice 3 or more months on a permit before taking the license exam.

So the parents were supportive of a -- a minimum of 3 or more months before taking the exam. This supports the 6 months on the learner's permit. It also supports the adult/parent supervision with a minimum of time that was -- that is spent there.

The other thing that we asked the parents and students was to rate their program, rate their teacher, the curriculum and so forth. The students and parents in the treatment group consistently rated the driver education program higher than the respective teacher and program in

the control group.

The treatment group also showed that 80 to -80 percent of the students and 91 percent of the parents
felt that the new driver should be required to complete a
state-approved driver education program versus the 74
percent and the 71 percent of the control group.

The majority -- I didn't say all. -- but the majority of the parents in the treatment group indicated that the meeting with the driver education teacher concerning their role and responsibility was extremely worthwhile in this endeavor.

In both groups, our data showed that the 16and 17-year-olds -- we asked a question, Have you had a
reportable crash? Our treatment group showed more
reportable crashes than our control group. So the students
were being quite honest in giving us their data.

But when we asked them the number and so forth, our group showed 61 collisions between both groups. In using that particular year from Department of Transportation, that group of 16- and 17-year-olds represented a -- 7.03 crashes for every 100 licensed drivers in that group versus the state's data at that time was 11.69 crashes for -- reported crashes for every 16- and 17-year-old.

This is a little bit more than 4 1/2 percent

fewer crashes for the students that completed a state-approved program either as a treatment student or control student that year -- or those 3 years. It also should be noted in that particular year that only 36 percent of the licensed drivers, the 16- to 17-year-olds in 1995 completed a state-approved driver education program.

In fact, in 1996 it's even less. It was under 30 percent. This data and the other information from the survey I think supports the recommendation that a young driver should be required to complete some type of state-approved driver education program while they are learning and practicing with their parent or adult.

I know this has been mentioned. A driver education program, a quality driver education program by itself cannot guarantee a lower risk driver nor can the parent or adult working alone. On the other hand, I think a quality driver education program along with an active parent or adult involvement in supervision and certain restrictions -- restrictions are critical. -- can and will produce a lower risk driver.

The enhanced driver education curriculum will be ready for distribution to the school districts with the 1999 school year. We are currently finishing up the curriculum for distribution purposes.

In closing, our young drivers are at great

1 risk as either drivers or passengers in motor vehicles.

2 Our Legislature, governmental agencies and other concerned

3 groups and individuals are really to be applauded for their

4 efforts to address this epidemic.

A well-planned comprehensive graduated driver licensing law will change the young driver's perception and even the adult's perception of the responsibilities that this individual is assuming when they are entering the driver licensing system in this state.

I spoke to a group approximately 3 months ago. And perception is very important. If we perceive entering the driver licensing system not as a privilege but as a right. And you might say why or how would we view that as such. Well, when we look at the cost of the permit, the cost of the license, the ease of securing, the test, et cetera, we can see that perhaps the perception is that it's not that difficult. But it is difficult.

The young drivers demonstrate that, and other drivers demonstrate that. But the risk is greatest for that 16- and 17-year-old, 18-year-old when they are entering the system. At this time, I will entertain questions.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much,
Richard. I think the state of Pennsylvania owes you a vote
of gratitude, you and everyone else who have helped us

build this piece of legislation. And I think that from
your testimony, it's very clear that this thing just didn't
happen overnight.

There's been a lot of thought that's gone into the process. And we hope that what we've done is, with your help, built the best bill in the United States. I have no questions. Representative Santoni has one. Oh, I'm sorry. Joe.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: One question, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: In the area of your

Yes, sir.

testimony where you talk about the tremendous difference between a driver -- person moving from the driving part of the vehicle to the front passenger, then the back seat, in fact significantly different, 37 to 43 percent?

MR. HORNFECK:

MR. HORNFECK: That's correct.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: And you make -- your last statement is, This data demonstrates a need for a primary safety belt law for young drivers and the occupants who ride with them. I agree with you. But I asked the previous testifier about this. And of course, I think he would agree, too.

But I used the phrase about muddying the waters up in this legislation. Is it your suggestion that we not address that in this legislation and try to do it

separately? I have an amendment, by the way, that would do
that. But I'm vacillating about that. But I'd like to
hear your comments.

MR. HORNFECK: If it's going to muddy the legislation, I would recommend that the Legislature address that issue at a later date. But in talking with law enforcement personnel, our problem is not unique in Pennsylvania.

In fact, I was down in Tennessee last week doing some training for law enforcement personnel. And they would like to see a primary safety belt law as they have a primary safety belt law for youth, you know, for the young kids.

So, you know, to pick on the 16- and the 17-year-old, sure, they are more apt to be killed in a motor vehicle crash unrestrained as passengers. But I believe that's true with a lot of our people that do ride in vehicles as passengers, not just the 16- and 17- to 18- and 19-year-old.

If it's going to muddy the legislation, perhaps back off at this time and then work on that after this legislation is passed because we do need this legislation.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 MR. HORNFECK: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: I'm sorry. Representative Santoni.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. A lot of times we look at what other states do obviously and try not to re-invent the wheel in a lot of situations. And the last two days of testimony we've been hearing about how kids need more experience behind the wheel.

Maybe the -- their age isn't really that important. Yesterday someone said that 16- and 17-year-olds are mature enough to drive; they just need a little bit more experience behind the wheel. In the state of Virginia -- I know this because I have some family down there. -- they allow their drivers to actually get their permit when they're 15.

Just -- and then they have to meet a specified number of hours. I'm not sure how many hours, whether it's 50 or -- I think it's more than that. So in their case, I think they're looking at having their kids have a little bit more time behind the wheel. They're going to be only 15 years old.

In your opinion, would that make sense to do, maybe giving kids a little bit more opportunity, a little bit more experience, a little bit more time behind the

wheel and giving them the opportunity to start that when they turn 15 where they can't get their license? Similar to the way we have it here in Pennsylvania when they're 16 and 17, but have that extra year behind the wheel with a parent or we're talking about education and training and things like that. I'd just like your opinion on that.

MR. HORNFECK: I think most of the states that have lowered the age limit in regards to the permit -- and the reason that they've done that to some degree is that in making the change requiring the supervision, longer time on the permit, the driver education component, Virginia does have requirements for driver education for licensure at a certain age.

I think what they -- the reason that they're doing that is so that it doesn't restrict the individual when they -- when they become 17 or 16 1/2, whenever it is that they can get the restricted license. My feelings on that is we have been 16, I think, 16 years of age. I would not recommend that we would drop that lower.

The key thing is with graduated driver licensing is that the student or the young driver is getting supervision while they are learning. One of the biggest problems I think that we have with the young driver when we have them in a class or when we have them in a car is that the student does not actually see the relevancy of

what you're trying to get across to them. They don't see how it's applicable.

And when Ted Leonard mentioned about the individuals coming back at a later time for a second phase, I think that's something that some states are looking at. Michigan is a good example. They do have a second phase. Because when the student then comes back, they now see the application, the applicability of what it is you're trying to get across to them; for example, in using your eyes and making decisions as a driver.

year, 6 months to really grasp that -- that concept that you're trying to teach them and get them to use. So to drop the age, I would not recommend that. I really wouldn't because I think 16 years right now we have it, I believe a 16-year-old can be taught properly with parental supervision, adult supervision, school and the parent working together.

In fact, it's a total community involvement because you also have the enforcement aspect working with you as well.

22 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you, Mr.

23 | Chairman.

24 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much.
25 Representative Melio.

47 REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: Yeah. I'll just ask 1 you two questions, but I'll put them together to save time. 2 3 MR. HORNFECK: Okay. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: The one is, do you ask 5 any of the students if they have insurance or if they would drive with insurance? The second one is, in overloading or, you know, the kids want to go to an event and maybe 20 7 of them pile in a car. 8 9 I mean, that may be an exaggeration. But does that come into your accidents in a back seat where, you 10 know the kids --11 MR. HORNFECK: Well, the data that we 12 collected, there were -- there was no question as it 13 relates to insurance. Okay. Secondly, as it relates to 14 number of passengers, there were no questions related to 15 16 Something that I know other states have used is no more passengers than there are safety belts for the young 17 driver that is on the restricted license, for the young 18 driver on the restricted license or junior license as we 19 20 have in Pennsylvania. 21 REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: Thank you. MR. HORNFECK: You're welcome. 22 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Ellen Bard for the last 23 question. 24

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD:

25

I just wanted to ask you about your testimony. You said you felt that the state should not wait until 6 points were accumulated to suspend the license?

MR. HORNFECK: That's right.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: What number of points do you recommend, 3, 4? How many? What's your recommendation?

MR. HORNFECK: Well, when you look at the point -- the violation and the points, so forth, there are very few violations that are less than 3. Serious violations start at 3 points. And I think -- and if you look at the -- and we're not talking about a low speeding violation.

I believe that -- I believe you would have to indicate 3 points. And -- because what you're doing at 3 points, if you look at the data that we have moving violations and causes of crashes, most of them were caused because of failure to yield, speed too fast for conditions.

And 3 to 4 points would probably be the max because if you allow a -- what I call 6 points as we do for the unrestricted license at this time, we're saying, hey, that's okay. And once again, it's that perception. If it's okay to exceed the posted speed by 15 and only get 3 points, then, you know, I know I've got 3 points. It might be going through their head.

So I believe 3 to 4 points would probably be the maximum for a person on a restricted license or junior license.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you.

MR. HORNFECK: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Pippy.

REPRESENTATIVE PIPPY: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. You had mentioned something, Dr. Hornfeck, earlier that I found very interesting as far as remedial training. I know there are other states where if you are caught, your first violation during a one-year period, you can go back for 8-hour safety course.

So you won't get points. You still pay the fine for the ticket; however, you won't get the points, subsequently the increase in the insurance rate. Is that the type of program you're talking about? I know being in the military, I've been in a couple of states and had the opportunity to take one of those courses once.

And they focused more on safety, seat belt usage, showed a lot of films on what happens to those who are in the back seat and don't have seat belts. Is that the type of program that you think may be something we should pursue in Pennsylvania?

MR. HORNFECK: Well, let me answer that question by mentioning earlier that Ted Leonard mentioned

about a second phase of driver education. Well, if we don't have a second phase of driver education, not every student might need that.

But if a student does need a second phase,
perhaps it might be the remedial phase coming back. Now,
to the type of program, I do not believe it should be a
canned program. I don't believe that it should be anything
from the AAA or National Safety Council or any group that
currently has a driver improvement program out there.

I think it should be a program geared to the young driver, the 16- and 17-year-old that's demonstrated -- demonstrating that they are not using the responsibilities or taking the responsibilities of driving to the maximum.

And it's just a small percentage of what we showed with our data with the students reporting. But the amount of hours, yes, it's not going to be anything -- I wouldn't recommend anything great length and so forth. But it's just a matter of perhaps reinforcing what it is they should be doing or complementing what they don't have, that they didn't get prior to coming there.

22 REPRESENTATIVE PIPPY: Thank you. Thank you, 23 Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much. And we certainly appreciate your testimony. Our next presenter

is Brian Stauffer. He's a parent. And I think that Brian
will be the perfect way to bring up our end of our
testimony before we hear from the Deputy Secretary. Brian,

MR. STAUFFER: I really don't have anything prepared, just a few notes.

do you have a prepared statement with you?

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: That's all you need.

MR. STAUFFER: I just walked through the door so I'm glad we were able to get here. I'd just like to thank Representative Snyder for giving me the opportunity to be here and for Judy Stish for putting things together so that I get this appointment for this time.

I didn't feel the need for a prepared speech.

I'm not a speechmaker. I'll leave that all up to you. I'm here to just tell my story, as I'll call it, because being unprepared is what exactly I've been experiencing since the death of my daughter. Why? Because I've had so many things happen in the last couple of weeks.

We've had Tara's mother and her stepfather and our families and loved ones and everyone. We just have been completely overwhelmed. I'd just like to share with you a little bit of what we've gone through and how maybe it can relate to what I've been introduced to in this legislative newsletter regarding the licensing reform for teen drivers.

First of all, I'd just like to say that I

wholeheartedly support it. If every one of these bulletin

items can happen as quickly as possible, then that would be

a start, I'll say, because I'm sure that from that point on

things can be worked on even further as we see what would

happen. But I just wanted to let everyone know in this

room that I wholeheartedly support it.

The Friday night of the accident was pretty
much like any other Friday night for my daughter Tara. She
was just going to go out to a basketball game with her best
friend. And my wife Shelly and our youngest daughter
Lynzie had just spoken with her before they had gone out.

And up until that point, you're pretty much just not, you know, you're kind of going through life, you know, as I'll call it. And we pretty much spent the night watching the explosion that had happened in the Allentown area on television and gone to bed like usual until that phone call came.

Then from that point on, my life has been changed. And some neighbors of ours had given us this newsletter from Representative Snyder. And when I read that this licensing reform was a possibility, I immediately felt like I had to do something, at least let Representative Snyder know that I support it and what could I do, what could I do instead of being helpless?

I know my daughter is dead. I saw it so many times. I can't bring her back. But if I can urge you to make this happen quickly, then maybe yourselves as parents or relation to nieces and nephews that are about to undertake this license -- driving, friends of your family, whatever, that are going to be approaching this age or are already there -- you don't have to be the driver.

Tara wasn't the driver. She was a passenger. So I just wanted to urge you all and without sharing any other details of that night, just having experienced it all and still experiencing it all. Visiting her grave almost daily, I still don't believe it. I don't.

And I wouldn't want to see anybody else go through it either. I have nieces and nephews that are approaching this age. I don't know how long this would take. I don't -- I don't know. But I would hope that things can be moved along quickly enough.

You know, not having been involved with this sort of thing, because this is my very first time speaking, that a lot of what needs to be done I guess must take place. And if that can be moved along quickly so that something can be put in place, something to have a start so that any teens that are going to -- in the next couple of months, for that matter, that would be eventually getting to that age that could be getting a teen license, to have

something happen quickly enough to start protecting, safeguard them.

I always think about the possibilities of what had something -- if something like these reforms had been in place already. Would that have helped Tara? Maybe. There's a lot of if's that are unanswered. But I really think so. I don't know what you can do. All I ask is that you do it quickly.

That's really all I have to say. Shelly, did you want to say anything at all? This is my wife Shelly, Tara's stepmother.

MRS. STAUFFER: Before this had happened, we all know that there's always been crashes and accidents with kids. And just a week after this happened with Tara, there was another two girls killed in our area, the Bethlehem area, 16 and 17-years-old.

And we just say as parents that something has to change. This has to stop. We don't have the answers, but we are willing to come here and talk and share and push and do whatever we can to make it happen because we don't want other parents going through this.

It's -- we just know something has to be done, and that's why we're here. It's been a rough time. It's going to get worse. But maybe by the time our daughter drives -- she's only four now and misses her sister dearly.

We're going to be strict on her whether or not these
change. Maybe making parents more responsible for these
kids.

We're not sure exactly what. But every week seeing something in the paper that this is happening, it just has to stop. Something has to be done. And if we can do more -- I'm sure --

MR. STAUFFER: We'll do it.

MRS. STAUFFER: -- I speak for Brian, too. -we're here. You know, we will do it. Not only from a
parent's side but from any other side, legal or whatever it
takes. Tara was a great kid. She was -- she just started
having everything going in her life, 15 years old.

She was on her way. She was like the perfect role model, "A" student, which maybe you've heard these stories before. Parents coming in. She didn't get a second chance in life. Why? We don't know why. People go to jail, come out. They get second chances, but she didn't. And she didn't deserve it. And we just want it stopped somehow.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: We want to thank you very, very much for testifying. Both Chairman Battisto and I have -- want to give you our heartfelt thanks. And we hope that the work of this Committee and everybody who has participated in building this piece of legislation can be a

testament to those who had this problem.

And we certainly want to thank you and your wife for coming down today. And I think in respect for Tara and you, we're going to not have questions from any of the House members. So we want to thank you very much for presenting. Thank you.

MR. STAUFFER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Our next presenter will be Betty Serian. Everybody in this Committee knows her. She is flanked by her lieutenant, Rebecca Bickley. And they have participated in framing this legislation in a partnership with the House and the Senate.

And Betty, if you could summarize your legislation, I'm sure that there are those on this panel who have questions for you. And then we want to get about the business of, as Mr. Stauffer said, moving ahead rapidly. And we want to move this bill out of Committee today.

So as soon as you're done, we're going to go about our work. Thank you.

MS. SERIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I really appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today. Almost everything that Becky and I would have to say, the Stauffer family pretty much said it for us. They urged swift passage of this legislation to save lives, and that

is exactly what we want to do.

We have put into place, we believe, under Governor Ridge's direction, the kinds of things that will help save young lives and reduce crashes. There is almost nothing else that I could say aside from my sorrow and condolences to the Stauffer family.

We can look at all of those statistics. We can talk about them all day long, but what you don't see behind those statistics are the heartaches of families like the Stauffers or the beautiful children like Tara's picture. So I don't want to talk a lot about statistics, Mr. Chairman.

I want to commend the Transportation Committee for your efforts and your role. And I want us to get about the business of passing, with your help, swift legislation that's going to save lives.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much.

Those are the kind of statements we like. If you would be so kind, I believe we have some questions from the House members that you and Becky can certainly answer. And we know that if you can't, Ann over here can handle anything. So Joe.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Just one question,

Betty. I have an amendment that would put the curfew part

of the legislation in effect within 30 days instead of

waiting 180 days. I know you need time -- you need time to mobilize your forces to put everything else into place.

But the issue of putting the -- the curfew part in effect as soon as possible I think is something I'd like to do. And I'd like to listen to your comment.

MS. SERIAN: And I think we should do that,
Representative Battisto. And we can do that very quickly.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: I have one question to ask for Sam Smith, and that's on the certification of the 50-hour requirement. The Department will promulgate a regulation on how that's to be done or to -- are we going to accept the signature of the parent, guardian or teacher as the certification?

MS. SERIAN: I'm sure that Becky and I would agree that we would hope we wouldn't have to go through regulation to do that. We believe that the strength of that certification should be on the signature of a parent, and we believe that most parents will certainly tell the truth.

22 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Hess.

REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Betty, we spoke about this yesterday. I'm sure you're prepared. What percentage of the first year drivers

1	59 have accidents?
1	
2	MS. SERIAN: Fourteen percent of 16-year-olds
3	represent
4	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Fourteen percent of 16?
5	MS. SERIAN: Uh-huh.
6	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: One more question. The
7	amount of permits that are applied for, they are \$5; am I
8	correct?
9	MS. SERIAN: That's correct.
10	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Where do those funds go
11	to?
12	MS. SERIAN: They go to motor license funds.
13	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Motor license funds?
14	MS. SERIAN: That's correct.
15	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Do they stay there, or
16	do they go to the Department of Education?
17	MS. SERIAN: They are not earmarked funds so
18	they stay there, and they are used with other revenues on
19	highway and bridge improvements.
20	REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Representative Melio.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: Yeah. Thank you. When
23	are you going to become captain?
24	MS. SERIAN: I'm sorry?
25	REPRESENTATIVE MELIO: He said your

lieutenant. I just wanted to say that it's always nice to
have amendments that could strengthen the bill. But I
think Chairman Geist will be happy to know that to get this
thing through, I won't enter any amendments even though
from this hearing, there has been some testimony that, you
know, has been very informative. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you. Representative Bard.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We've had testimony today. I have a couple of questions I wanted to ask you as follow-up. It was recommended that the number of points that would trigger a suspension be reduced to 3 or 4. What is your opinion on that?

MS. SERIAN: You go ahead, Becky, you have -Rebecca Bickley, who is the Director of Driver Licensing
has an awful lot of experience in this area as well as
sanctioning drivers in a lot of different ways. So please
go ahead.

MS. BICKLEY: Okay. The PennDOT proposal for 6 points leading to a suspension is based on a very lengthy systematic and comprehensive review of research regarding young drivers and why their crashes are inordinately high. We believe that at 3 points, we would be unnecessarily penalizing young drivers who may have a single error in judgment or a single mistake.

At 3 points, if someone rolled through a stop 2 sign once, they would automatically be suspended. At 3

sign once, they would automatically be suspended. At 3 points, if someone had a speeding conviction of just a little over 10 miles per hour over the speed limit, they

5 would automatically be suspended.

We think that is too onerous. And we're trying to, through the course of our development of this proposal, weigh truly safety and mobility and those concerns for each and every young driver.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Another -- we heard from the students that they felt that this could be effective for permit holders and try to speed up the effects of this law in that way by making it more applicable to those who are currently under the system. What is your perspective on that?

MS. SERIAN: That's a very -- that's a very good question, Representative Bard. And I -- without responding off-the-cuff on that, so to speak, we really would like to take a look at that. We'd need to look at all the details surrounding how to bring in those students who already have permits and try to apply that. And we'd be willing to take a very strong look if we can do that.

REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you. Representative Hess has a follow-up, and then we're going to adjourn.

62 1 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 Betty, how many license -- or how many permits do you issue 3 annually? 4 MS. SERIAN: About 48,000. 5 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: 48,000? 6 MS. SERIAN: Uh-huh. REPRESENTATIVE HESS: Now, I'm on the 7 8 understanding that PennDOT does pay a certain amount of dollars per student or whatever to the Department of 9 Education. How much is that? 10 MS. SERIAN: That's correct. I believe that's 11 \$35 per student. 12 \$35 per student. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: 14 then the \$5 permit fee does not near cover what PennDOT 15 pays to the Department of Ed.? MS. SERIAN: That's correct. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE HESS: I'm glad to hear that. 18 Sounds good. Sounds very good. Thank you very much. 19 MS. SERIAN: You're welcome. 20 CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much,

CHAIRPERSON GEIST: Thank you very much,

Betty. And I want to thank everybody who presented and
gave testimony. And I want to thank the members of this

Committee for their patience. At this time, the hearing is
adjourned. And we will move directly into a meeting of the

Committee.

21

22

23

24

25

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same. JENNIFER P. TROUTMAN Registered Professional Reporter My Commission Expires: April 30, 2001 JENNIFER P. TROUTMAN, RPR P.O. Box 1383 2nd & W. Norwegian Streets Pottsville, Pennsylvania 17901