| | | 1 | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 1 | | ? PENNSYLVANIA | |
| 2 | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND | | |
| 3 | COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE AI | ND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | |
| 4 | In Re: Joint Public Hearing, Transportation Modalities and Their Role in Economic Development | | |
| 5 | * * * * * | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Stenographic record of hearing held in Room 8E-A East Wing, Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA | | |
| 8 | Wednesday, | | |
| 9 | September 15, 1999 10:00 a.m. | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | ू स्वयंत्र | * * * | |
| 12 | HON. RICHARD A. GEIST, CHAIRMAN, TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE HON. GEORGE C. HASAY, CHAIRMAN, COMMERCE & ECONOMIC | | |
| 13 | DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE | | |
| 14 | MEMBERS OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES | | |
| 15 | Hon. Robert Allen | Hon. Susan Laughlin | |
| 16 | Hon. David Argall | Hon. Phyllis Mundy | |
| 17 | Hon. Ellen Bard Hon. Robert Bastian | Hon. Joseph Petrarca Hon. Joseph Preston | |
| 18 | Hon. Joseph Battisto Hon. Thomas Caltagirone | Hon. Dante Santoni Hon. Samuel Smith | |
| | Hon. Peter Daley | Hon. Edward Staback | |
| 19 | Hon. Thomas Druce Hon. Robert Flick | Hon. Stephen Stetler Hon. Thomas Stevenson | |
| 20 | Hon. John Gordner | Hon. Jere Strittmatter | |
| 21 | Hon. Jeffrey Habay Hon. Dick Hess | Hon. Thomas Tangretti Hon. Matthew Wright | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | Also Present: Bric Bugaile, Majority Research Analyst, Transportation | | |
| 24 | Paul Gnazzo, Majority Research Analyst, Transportation Paul Parsells, Minority Executive Director, Transportation | | |
| 25 | Jodie Stuck, Majority Research Analyst, Commerce David Krantz, Minority Executive Director, Commerce | | |
| Ļ | William Andring, Minority Counsel, Commerce | | |
| | | 1 | |

ORIGINAL

| Г | | 2 |
|----------|--|-------|
| | | - |
| 1 | INDEX TO WITNESSES | |
| 2 | WITNESS: | PAGE |
| 3 | Elizabeth Sarge Voras, Deputy Secretary for Aviation, Rail, Freight, and Ports, PennDOT | 6 |
| _ | | |
| 5 | Tim McNulty, Executive Deputy Secretary, Department of Community and Economic Development | 35 |
| 6 7 | Frances Egan, Assistant General Manager, Public Government Affairs, SEPTA | 67 |
| 8 | Nancy Hudder, Chief Government Affairs Officer, SEPTA | 79 |
| 9 | Kevin H. Evans, Director of Site Engineering, | 86 |
| 10 | Crown American Realty Trust | |
| 11 | Paul J. Canevari, Treasurer, Monroe County Railroad Authority | 98 |
| 12 13 | Charles A. Leonard, Executive Director, Monroe County Industrial Development Authority | 102 |
| 14 | Martin J. Marasco, Executive Director, Altoona/ Blair County Development Corporation | 110 |
| 15 16 | Brian K. McFarland, Director of Logistics, Ward Corporation | 123 |
| 17 | Stephen C. Thienel, Regional Vice President, State Relations, CSX Corporation | 135 |
| 18 | Sharon W. Disque, Manager, Industrial Development, | 136 |
| 19 | CSX Transportation | |
| 20 | Phillip D. McFarren, Executive Director, Keystone State Railroad Association | 147 |
| 21 | | 1 7 0 |
| 22 | Fred J. Gurney, Ph.D., President and CEO, Maglev, Inc. | 172 |
| 23 | David G. Holdsworth, Executive Director, Susquehanna Area Regional Airport Authority | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

1 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Good morning. The hour of 2 10:00 o'clock having arrived, the House Commerce and Economic Development Committee and the House 3 Transportation Committee will come to order. This hearing 4 5 is about commerce and about transportation and the 6 importance that transportation has on commerce. 7 To my far left at the front table is 8 Representative Habay from Allegheny County; and Representative Battisto, Monroe County; Chairman Geist 9 10 from Blair County; and to my far right is Representative 11 Laughlin from Beaver and Allegheny Counties; and 12 Representative Hess from Bedford County. And at the 13 second table to the far left is Representative Mundy from 14 Luzerne County, Representative Bastian from Somerset 15 County, Representative Bard from Montgomery County, 16 Representative Smith from Jefferson County, Representative 17 Tangretti from Westmoreland, and Representative Argall 18 from Schuylkill. 19 REPRESENTATIVE (STABACK: And Representative 20 Staback from Lackawanna. 21 CHAIRMAN HASAY: As I said before, 22 Representative Staback from Lackawanna County. 23 So I'll turn it over now to Representative 24 Geist, the Chairman of the House Transportation 25 Committee.

1 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much, George. 2 Transportation plays a huge role in economic 3 development, whether we like to believe it or not. We in 4 western Pennsylvania have seen the effects of a decline in 5 transportation and the rebuilding of transportation, and 6 one of the things that we have learned, that if we don't 7 have all of our modalities blended together, then we are 8 doomed to repeat the sins of the past.

9 We have some things that have happened in the 10 last few years that have really changed the face of 11 economic development. For instance, in Pete Daley's 12 district, and other districts around the State, we know 13 that where we once had very strong heavy manufacturing, 14 those businesses went out of business, and then because of 15 our DER and other laws that we have, those areas were not 16 conducive for development because nobody wanted the sins 17 of the past to carry along in liability.

18 We in this General Assembly passed a brownfields 19 law which has opened up huge areas of Pennsylvania to be 20 reseeded economically. Last year we passed the Keystone Opportunity Zones, which has opened up other huge areas, 21 22 and a lot of them are the same areas in Pennsylvania. 23 Fortunately, or unfortunately, during the building of the 24 interstates, we bypassed many of these communities. Now 25 what do we have? We have these wonderful economic

development sites without highway access adequate for
 heavy trucking and without rail sitings and the blending
 of the truck and the train into these ripe fields for
 economic development.

Our committee is going to be having some of the 5 very best and brightest of the industry and government 6 7 testify as to that blending of government and private 8 sector as we go about the business of developing Pennsylvania. Whether it's western Pennsylvania and the 9 10 Pitcairn yard which will become a huge intermodal port with both truck and rail, whether it's Harrisburg 11 International Airport which will become an intermodal 12 13 facility for air freight, trucking, and train, and rail 14 passenger, or whether it's Rutherford yards here, or 15 whether it's Bethlehem, and we could go on and on with 16 that list.

17 This committee, in joint hearing, will be taking a look at what we in Pennsylvania are going to do for the 18 19 next 10 years to rapidly have an infusion of economic 20 development and hard investment capital both in 21 transportation and economic development. We know that the 22 12-year plan that we work with is basically a Federal 23 layout for all the MPOs that every State and Pennsylvania 24 Pennsylvania has a lot of different has to use. 25 situations than other States, and we want to make sure in

1 that MPO process that what we use in Pennsylvania is
2 conducive so that we can have economic development
3 transportation projects move up very high on the 12-year
4 plan. And as we go into that cycle right now that we're
5 into right now with testimony all over the State of
6 Pennsylvania, I think that Liz Voras and her crew will be
7 coming to the forefront of that process.

8 So without further adieu to get things rolling, 9 I'd like to introduce Elizabeth Sarge Voras, very, very 10 knowledgeable in this business, former head of the Senate 11 Transportation Committee. This is the woman who wrote the 12 Rail Freight Advisory Committee legislation and probably 13 knows more about intermodalism than anybody in the State 14 of Pennsylvania.

Along with her will be Tim McNulty, Executive Deputy Secretary for the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development. That partnership should become very, very strong as we move to seed these sites in the part of Pennsylvania that does not have economic recovery.

Liz, you're on.

21

DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Good morning, committee Chairmen. At the first outset, I want to apologize for Secretary Mallory. I know that he had been invited to testify. He's actually in a meeting with all of our

district engineers today as they go over their budget
 presentations in preparation for the next fiscal year, so
 I do apologize for Secretary Mallory.

4 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Brad Mallory is a new hero in 5 transportation. He's the only Secretary of Transportation 6 who ever rode 130 miles on a bicycle in two days with the 7 Governor of Pennsylvania, and I just want to congratulate 8 him. Ellen Bard behind me also rode that 130 miles, and 9 I'm really proud of her. And there's 400 other people 10 today that are hurting all over Pennsylvania.

(Laughter.)

11

12 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: It's indeed an honor 13 for me to join you this morning to discuss transportation 14 and its relationship to economic development. Few of us 15 would argue the benefits of an interconnecting highway and 16 bridge system. It's what's necessary to get raw materials 17 to the plant and finished products to the market. It's 18 what is necessary to get people to and from work, whether 19 it be to a factory, an apple orchard, or a professional 20 office in a towering skyscraper. On the other hand, too 21 many of us are totally unaware of the important role that 22 railroads and aviation play in the total transportation 23 mix and their impact on the economy locally and globally. 24 As Deputy Secretary for these two modes, I took 25 it upon myself to have as one of my major goals before I

leave this administration to bring that knowledge of the
 role that these two modes play in economic development to
 the forefront, not only to people like yourselves, but to
 communities across Pennsylvania and to the media as well.
 In my presentations that I do across Pennsylvania do some
 of that accomplishing that goal.

7 Let me start with the impact of railroads. I'11 tell you some things that you may not know: 62 of the 67 8 9 counties in the State have workers employed in rail or 10 rail created jobs. Direct railroad employment totals 11 nearly 11,000 workers in Pennsylvania with wages equaling 12 more than \$5 million annually. On the aviation side, the 13 147 public use airports in the Commonwealth contribute 14 directly and indirectly to more than 230,000 jobs, with an 15 associated payroll of more than \$4.5 billion. These job 16 numbers and total payrolls themselves are impressive, but 17 the raw materials and finished products that the railroads 18 and aircrafts move for Pennsylvania businesses and our consumption across the State and throughout this country 19 20 are the real contributing factors to economic development 21 here at home.

We at PennDOT understand it takes everyone, both the public and the private sector, working together to make the Commonwealth economically viable. Therefore, the department has developed partnerships with those in both

the rail freight industry and rail related businesses, as 1 2 well as our airports and our aviation service providers. 3 And many of you actually serve on these committees or have 4 staff members that are very faithful in coming to these 5 committee meetings. We have the Rail Freight Advisory 6 Committee, we have the Aviation Council of Pennsylvania, 7 we have the System Changes Task Force of PennDOT, and 8 lately in the last year we've been conducting regional 9 chats across the Commonwealth to get our message out to 10 the airports and the authorities members and to get the 11 airports and the authority members, quite frankly, to talk 12 with each other. That has opened unprecedented lines of 13 communication within the industries and the department.

14 Few of us experienced it, but many of us recall 15 stories of the glory days of trains when the rails were 16 king. Over time, highways became the rails and trucks 17 became the trains, but with Norfolk Southern and CSX 18 Transportation earlier this year assuming the former Conrail tracks in Pennsylvania, with doublestack service 19 20 becoming a reality and intermodal connections increasing, 21 the working days of railroads have emerged.

Three Class 1 railroads now serve Pennsylvania -Norfolk Southern, CSX Transportation, and Canadian Pacific Railways. In addition, with nearly 70 shortline and regional railroads feeding the Class 1's, Pennsylvania

ranks first in the nation with the number of operating
 railroads. With nearly 5,300 miles of track, we're fifth
 in track mileage among the 50 States.

Pennsylvania sees as one of its major rail 4 5 responsibilities getting the products to and from the major carriers. Our goal has been to financially support 6 those rail maintenance, improvement and construction 7 projects that facilitate regional and shortline railroads' 8 movement between the Class 1's and their customers. 9 An 10 important thing to note here is that shippers in 11 Pennsylvania, the businesses themselves, are eligible to 12 receive both the Rail Freight Assistance Program money and our capital budget money. That's an important distinction 13 14 that we have here in Pennsylvania.

15 With the commitment of Governor Ridge and the 16 concurrence of the General Assembly, for which I 17 personally thank you, available funding to the Rail 18 Freight Assistance Program has increased. In 1996, those 19 grants total \$3.6 million. The next year the total went 20 to more than \$8 million. The amount for the current 21 fiscal year represents still another modest increase to 22 \$8.5 million. I think that if you listen to anybody who's 23 been in State government, most notably transportation, for 24 any length of time, they will tell you that the Rail 25 Freight Assistance Program, although small in dollar

amount when compared to say a highway project, probably has the most bang for its buck of any program that we have here in Pennsylvania. The applicants estimate that upon completion, more than 6,000 new jobs will be created with that \$8.5 million this year. Through the capital budget program, we're also able to fund an additional \$10 million annually to railroads and businesses that use rail.

8 Increased use of rail freight also helps make 9 our highways safer by cutting back on the number of trucks 10 on the road. Let me state right upfront, however, that 11 PennDOT sees a place for both trains and truck when it 12 comes to hauling raw materials and finished products. 13 What's really exciting is the trend that we see developing 14 between the two modes; not a trend of increased 15 competition, but a growing desire to further cooperation. 16 Perhaps most simply put, trains make the long haul and 17 trucks take the shorter routes. Through intermodal 18 cooperation you're seeing more and more trailers hauled on 19 flat cars or jacked up as high railers, with tractors 20 waiting at the end of the line to take the trailer to the 21 final destinations.

The Ridge administration and the General
Assembly have recognized the resurgence of rail freight
service through increased appropriations to the Rail
Freight Assistance Program. We need to have our regional

and shortline railroads in position to meet the feeder
 needs of CSX, NS, and CP. The State appropriations
 provided for this purpose have been an investment in that
 local, regional, and State economic development activity.

5 As you're all aware, 1999 brought with it a 6 significant change in rail operations in the 7 Commonwealth. I believe that NS and CSXT pre-split did everything in their power to insure the success of this 8 major endeavor. But despite all of the advanced planning 9 10 and cooperation, the changeover has not occurred without 11 problems, and the railroads are the first to acknowledge 12 this fact.

13 We have been monitoring this situation in the 14 State. Department officials have met with CSX and NS to 15 identify the source of the problems and their plans to 16 resolve them. We have received weekly updates from 17 railroad officials to keep us apprised. We have 18 facilitated meetings between shippers and businesses and 19 developed a complaint processing procedure to address 20 complaint resolution between shippers and the railroads. 21 Monitoring by the Bureau of Rail Freight will continue so 22 long as we feel there are major problems interrupting their rail operations in Pennsylvania. 23 24 I want to break from my written testimony here

25 | to tell you that I was probably one of the ones in the

1 beginning when the merger was announced that very strongly 2 advocated in Pennsylvania with the Governor's Office that 3 we have a partnership approach with NS and CSX in this 4 endeavor. I felt, and I've learned that you can catch 5 more flies with honey than with vinegar, and knowing of 6 the relationship that Conrail had had through the years 7 with administrative officials, legislative officials, it 8 was bumpy at times, and I really didn't want to leave that 9 legacy as we welcome such a major new partner to 10 Pennsylvania. So from the very beginning of NS coming to 11 town and CSX playing a larger role, I firmly believed, and 12 still believe, that the right thing to do was to play a 13 partnership role with them as opposed to an adversarial 14 role with them. I think as a result of that as a State, 15 we probably have gotten more commitments and will continue 16 to receive more economic development dollars from them 17 than any other State in which they operate. You may want 18 to ask them about that tomorrow, but I've heard Vice 19 President Craig Lewis say that to a whole room full of 20 people up and down the eastern seaboard that our approach 21 worked very well when it came to developing that 22 relationship.

I must admit though that I and many others had
hoped that this transition would have been much smoother.
However, I do continue to give credit to the men and women

1 of Norfolk Southern and CSX Transportation for their 2 diligence and commitment to resolving their outstanding 3 problems, and their efforts to continue through all of 4 that to make major infrastructure improvements and 5 expansion in Pennsylvania that they committed to early on. 6 The chairman had alluded to some of these. They're 7 investing \$5 million in Bethlehem intermodal yard, \$40 8 million facility at the Rutherford yard here in 9 Harrisburg, a \$5 million expansion at Pitcairn, the 10 retooling and expansion of locomotive shops in Altoona, 11 Juniata, and Hollidaysburg to the tune of about \$67 12 million.

13 The bottom line is despite everything else, it 14 is in everyone's best interest for NS and CSX to succeed 15 in their endeavor. If they don't succeed, then we can't 16 succeed in our economic development activities.

17 I would like to turn and discuss the aviation 18 side of economic development. It's hard to put a price 19 tag on the economic contribution of aviation. However, 20 five years ago we did a study at PennDOT that estimated that our airport system in Pennsylvania on an annual basis 21 22 supports over 230,000 tenant and visitor related jobs, 23 with an associated payroll of more than \$4.4 million. Businesses rely on our airport system to transport their 24 25 employees. Corporate aircraft daily shuttle CEOs,

technicians and clients from different facilities
throughout the State and the nation. Many businesses rely
on aviation to transport their goods by air. From the
U.S. Postal Service to United Parcel Service to Federal
Express, movers and shakers find next-day delivery
essential. And without airports and airplanes, this would
be virtually impossible.

15

8 Aerial photography, aerial ag spraying, aerial 9 pipeline safety inspections are a few of the least 10 realized but important uses of aviation. Law enforcement, 11 military and medical airlifts contribute on a daily basis 12 to the quality of a life of a community and the State.

13 Obviously, we can't forget the obvious: the 14 hundreds of thousands of personal individual business men 15 and women and tourists who use our 16 scheduled service 16 airports to travel both near and far. Pennsylvania, 17 again, only with your support, has provided funding for 18 important safety and maintenance projects, as well as new 19 construction projects. Gurrently, 147 public use airports 20 can qualify for the financial assistance. In fact, we are 21 one of the few States that recognize the importance of 22 privately owned but publicly used aviation facilities by 23 making them eligible for our funding streams as well. 24 For more than 30 years, which is one of the

oldest programs in the country, PennDOT's Bureau of

Aviation has administered a restricted fund for aviation
 improvement projects. The program has grown from \$1
 million annually to \$7.5 million a year today. That money
 comes from the tax placed on the sales of aviation fuels
 in the State.

6 We are now one of only nine States that receive 7 a block grant to further improve airport maintenance and 8 construction. Again, these dollars come from taxes on fuel, airline ticket fees, freightway bills, and 9 10 international departure fees. The Bureau of Aviation has received \$8.5 million in Federal funds to distribute to 11 12 eligible Pennsylvania airports throughout the past two 13 years. In addition, Governor Ridge has annually 14 appropriated dollars for both aviation and rail freight projects that have been approved for funding in the 15 16 State's General Fund budget.

17 I believe that PennDOT has been there to help, but not only by passing out dollars and checks. As I 18 19 stated previously, we share our thoughts, our ideas, our 20 expertise with airport operators and rail freight 21 leadership in the Commonwealth. We are in the process of 22 conducting major studies that will further enhance the 23 ability of the transportation system to attract businesses 24 and further show the value of that system to all 25 concerned.

1 One of the ones I want to highlight I have right 2 here in front of me, some of you are aware of it, it's called the Rail Freight Properties Directory, it's hot off 3 the presses. It was an endeavor that I began probably a 4 year and a half ago. In this compilation will be all of 5 6 the rail served sites along our regionals and our shortlines that are available for development. The Class 7 1's already have something like this. The regionals and 8 9 the shortlines did not have something like this, so we 10 took it upon ourselves to find some money. Actually, it was highway money, if you want to know the truth of it, 11 12 Rick, which is quite interesting with this, and the FHWA came through with us for funding to do a Rail Freight 13 Properties Directory. This will be placed in the hands of 14 Chambers of Commerce, yourselves, all the railroads, 15 16 anybody out there that is in the business of trying to 17 market these parcels along these lines. It will be 18 available through a partnership with DCED on the Team Pennsylvania web site, on the PennDOT web site, so it will 19 20 be in electronic format as well. 21 I will leave these here and I encourage you to

22 look at it, because I think from an economic development 23 perspective it will go a long way toward attracting new 24 businesses. It has everything from what utilities are 25 there, the size of the parcels, the road access, so all

1 the information that someone would need to help make that decision is going to be contained in this directory. 2 We're also doing a heavy car study to ensure 3 4 that shortlines and regionals that need to be upgraded to 5 handle the larger cars. As the Class 1's move to a 6 heavier car, we've got to make sure that regionals and 7 shortlines can accommodate those cars for consistency, and we're in the process of just getting started with a 8 9 statewide aviation system plan and pavement management 10 system that will ensure our limited funds will be spent 11 where they benefit the system most. 12 In the time allotted, I hope if you weren't 13 aware that you're now aware of the economic impact that 14 these two modes of transportation have at the local, 15 regional, State, national, and, yes, even international 16 impact. I appreciate the past support provided by the 17 General Assembly, and I look forward to working in 18 partnership with you as PennDOT continues to move 19 Pennsylvania forward with all modes of transportation. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you, Deputy Secretary 22 Voras. 23 I would just like to comment, before we go into 24 questions, about your assistance with the Iron Highway 25 Express that comes through Luzerne County that goes from

Washington, D.C., to Montreal, Canada, which is a new rail 1 2 that was purchased by Canadian Pacific, your help has been 3 very much appreciated by the people in the villages of Wapwallopen and Mauchinauqua. And I would also like to 4 5 thank the McFarren Group for their communication link that 6 brought together, because it was hard in the past for a 7 legislator to contact the railroad to get a response from the railroad or get a return letter from the railroad, but 8 9 it's now starting to change, and with that cooperation, I 10 think it's going to be very helpful for other legislators 11 and the citizens of this Commonwealth as well. So I thank 12 you again, Deputy Secretary Voras, very much for that 13 assistance. 14 I would like to acknowledge for the record we 15 have Representative Caltagirone, the Democratic Chairman 16 from Berks County that's here, Representative Daley from 17 Westmoreland County, Representative Stevenson from 18 Allegheny County, and Representative Preston from 19 Allegheny County. 20 Chairman Geist. 21 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much, Liz, for 22 your outstanding testimony. The question that I'm going to ask you for the 23 committee is one that had really knocked my socks off when 24

you had the consultants in on the air freight and the

25

opportunities in Pennsylvania and the tying of rail access and highway access into a rail freight/air freight facility. Can you explain to this committee a little bit about why you need 6,000 acres and why you need that inand-out ability to move stuff in and out rapidly? That blending of the modalities makes so much sense, and yet we don't hear anything about it.

8 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Actually, there is a 9 Federal program, it's the NHS Connectors Program, that was 10 started in 1991 with ISTEA and has since had a resurgence 11 of activity. There's a committee ongoing at PennDOT that John is member of and Mary Kay heads up to look at that 12 13 exact issue of connectivity, most especially as it relates 14 to air freight. The connector road that was built to the 15 Harrisburg International Airport is a perfect example of 16 an airport that was there that needed to have a road 17 constructed to it, and air freight at HIA is booming, and 18 in fact the growth at that airport will probably be air 19 freight.

20 So the Chairman is correct in saying that 21 airports especially tend to be necessarily on the 22 outskirts of the town, and so you have to be sure that you 23 have the highway transportation. Rail transportation 24 isn't as important because things that move by air freight 25 are generally high value/lightweight as opposed to rail

1 transportation and freight transportation, which is 2 generally low value and heavier weight. So it's really 3 the highway access that you need to insure, and in fact then the passenger access as well. I know, Rick, that's 4 near_and_dear_to your_heart, as it is to mine, that people 5 6 have a way to get to airport facilities not only by car 7 but by transit and/or light rail as well. 8 So there is a committee at PennDOT that has been re-established, re-energized to look at this issue of 9 10 interconnectivity. 11 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much. 12 I would also like to introduce Representative Dante Santoni is here with us. 13 14 And at this time I would like to turn this over 15 to my partner in crime, you couldn't have a better guy 16 than Joe Battisto as the Chairman of the Democratic side of this committee, and Joe, it's all yours. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much, Rick, and we do work together very carefully in this 19 20 committee and try to do the best for Pennsylvania with 21 respect to transportation needs. 22 Liz, in your testimony I can only say that I concur with almost everything you said with respect to the 23 24 importance of rail freight and of course aviation and the whole mix of intermodal transportation. But in particular 25

you talk about the increase in rail freight assistance,
 which is good, it's up to \$8.5 million. With respect to
 that, do we have a large backlog of pending applications
 concerning, you know, rail freight assistance projects?

5 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Typically, when we put 6 the applications out, and we have them on the Internet now 7 so they go pretty broadly, we get approximately \$20 8 million to \$22 million worth of requests, and that's State 9 share only, for an \$8.5 million program. So you're 10 correct in your assertion that we still have a lot of 11 unmet needs out there as it pertains to our industry, and 12 we have probably the best program in the country. We do 13 more for our shortlines and regionals than any other State 14 in the country, but that is typically the disparity in the 15 amounts of money.

16 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: But as you pointed 17 out, \$3.6 million to now \$8.5 million, that increased, but 18 that pales compared to what we put in other 19 infrastructure, and I think it only proves the point that 20 nationwide our policy has been too much directed towards 21 highways alone. They're a very important part of 22 infrastructures, but rail dependent industries, as you 23 know, I'm concerned about a rail line that moves through 24 Monroe County that Norfolk Southern has taken over as part 25 of it now. The other part is owned by Lackawanna Rail

1 Authority and Monroe County Rail Authority, and we have 2 just situated on that line two rail dependent industries. 3 Without that rail line, we wouldn't have had these two industries, and there's a great chance for considerable 4 5 expansion at one of those facilities for many other jobs, 6 and it ideally works. As you said, the train brings the 7 bulky stuff in, the wheat and other products like that, 8 the oil, and the trucks take out the packaged flour and other material. 9

23

10 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Well, and typically 11 they're good jobs, high paying and stable jobs.

12 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: And I just have one 13 other question about that. One of the problems we've encountered, and I know it's a statewide problem, 14 15 nationwide problem, are the grade crossings, and it's very expensive to eliminate these. The \$8.5 million would be 16 eaten up in just one project. And I know there's some 17 question about whether we could fund those kinds of 18 19 activities with rail assistance money. I don't know 20 whether we, I think we sort of rejected an application. DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: We have done it. We 21 22 don't do it on a regular basis because there is a Section 23 130 program that money from the Federal Highway Administration or FRA comes down through to PennDOT for 24

grade crossings. But again, grade crossings are something

1 in Pennsylvania I think this most recent capital budget 2 showed that how many of them that were in there. It's an 3 issue that is out there and it does definitely need to be dealt with in one way, shape, or form, because we have a 4 5 lot of unmet needs when it comes to grade crossings, and 6 quite frankly, the traveling public, the vehicular traffic 7 demands it. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: And that Federal 9 program is minuscule compared to the needs. 10 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Right. 11 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I almost think that we 12 have to, we're talking about \$10 million in capital 13 budget, we almost have to allocate a certain amount of 14 capital budget moneys for grade crossing improvement, 15 because that is a real problem. 16 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: I think what happened 17 with the capital budget, in my mind, perhaps didn't need 18 to happen as far as the bluelining if only we had had 19 better lines of communication. I was unaware, as deputy, 20 that all of that was transpiring, and I really wished that 21 someone would have come and talked to me about it --22 CHAIRMAN GEIST: So do we. 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: -- so I could have 24 worked some things behind this scenes to talk to some 25 folks about how it is, but you live and learn, as my dear

deceased father always said, and if in fact you're going 1 2 to be doing another capital budget, I think we should open those lines of communication earlier. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you, Deputy 5 Secretary. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Ouestions? 7 Representative Argall. REPRESENTATIVE ARGALL: In your comments about 8 9 the major changes in the mergers of railroads in the last 10 few years, I think a lot of us have a lot of concerns 11 about that, and I think in the rural counties of 12 Pennsylvania, especially those rural counties that despite 13 this extraordinary economic growth that we've seen in the 14 last few years, not all of our rural counties are taking 15 part fully in that. Chairman Geist had noted the work 16 we've done on the Keystone Opportunity Zones and some of 17 our other efforts, the brownfields, to try to stimulate 18 growth in many cases where industry used to be and it 19 evaporated over the years. How has the merger helped or 20 hurt those rural counties that still are at the bottom of 21 our unemployment data, or the top I guess, depending on 22 how you read the numbers? 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Quite frankly, I would 24 say the merger helped in two ways. First of all, NS is 25 much more aggressive in their marketing. Now quite

1 frankly, you can say right now maybe we haven't seen that, 2 but rightfully so they've been dealing with this other bigger issue. But they have a massive marketing effort 3 and a massive marketing division. They get out and market 4 their lines. Therefore, if they market their lines, then 5 connecting railroads and the shortlines that feed into 6 7 their lines will see the benefits of that. They go with 8 the shortlines. They partner, NS does. When they're 9 approaching businesses, they don't always look to just 10 say, well, business, you locate on my line, NS's line. 11 They look at the entire system that exists in the State, 12 and it's just as good for them if they get the connection 13 to feed and they carry it the long way, so it's just as 14 important to them to locate on the shortline. 15 The second thing that NS brings to the table that we didn't have here in Pennsylvania prior to their arrival was new markets. NS can get Pennsylvania goods to markets that Pennsylvania goods were never able to get to with_freight_service. At_least_not_get_to_easily. We've

16 17 18 19 20 got single line service to places in this country that we 21 never could get to before. Those two things is what NS 22 brings to the table. In my mind, what we bring to the 23 table as the Commonwealth is this group that I've started 24 called the System Changes Task Force, because the bottom 25 line is the big railroads do not want to be in the

business of having all these little spaghetti lines. I
 think ultimately they want to have a streamlined system,
 and that makes sense.

We have the System Changes Task Force which gets 4 5 together quarterly, and quite frankly, now we kind of chit-chat a little bit and there's not that much to talk 6 7 about, but that's a good thing, because the System Changes Task Force is all about getting the Class 1's to come to 8 us ahead of time and saying, you know, about a year from 9 10 now there's this line up in such-and-such a county and we 11 don't think we're going to want to hang onto it. It 12 doesn't make sense to us as a Class 1 to hang onto it. 13 But if they tell us early enough like that, then we can 14 get out there and start working with existing railroad 15 companies, work with the municipalities, work with the 16 counties to try and find a new buyer, a new home for that 17 rail line so that these lines just don't go out of existence. 18

19 So I would say the department plays a role in 20 restimulating lines that perhaps have kind of grown over 21 or don't have as many car loads as that they had, and NS 22 brings something to the table when it comes to new market 23 surge and their aggressive marketing campaign that they 24 will have in the Commonwealth. I'm sure that they will. 25 REPRESENTATIVE ARGALL: Has the merger

1 specifically had an impact in those KOZ sites vet? We 2 were ecstatic in my district when several of them won 3 through the application process, but we also understand 4 that there's a need to move on those quickly because an 5 11- or 12-year commitment for a tax-free zone is a heck of 6 a lot better than a 2- or 3-year commitment, and if we 7 wait too long, every year that incentive becomes much less 8 attractive, and some of these sites, well, they haven't 9 gotten a lot of attention over the last 20 or 30 years, 10 including an abandoned rail site right outside my 11 hometown, and so I know we need to take some time to clean 12 up the site, to get perhaps the rail sitings installed, 13 but if we take too long, the KOZ designation may mean very 14 little, and so I'm curious as to how this interplay is 15 reacting and how long it's going to take to get us where 16 we need to be.

17 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Well, in fact, you're 18 correct. We used the fact of the KOZ being established as 19 one of our criteria starting this year with regard to our 20 Rail Freight Assistance Program applications. Many of 21 them, as you noted, were selected that were in KOZs. I'm 22 a firm believer that it takes a lot of people to make a 23 dance work, and I'm not that familiar with the KOZ 24 legislation and exactly how it's supposed to work, but I'm 25 a firm believer that God helps those who help themselves,

and if local communities decide that they want to get 1 2 behind a site and help make something happen, I always 3 look for local commitment when I'm ready to make an investment in something, because I believe if the local 4 5 commitment is there, then my fiduciary responsibility is 6 going be to upheld and we're going to see a return on that 7 investment for years to come. And so I think it would be 8 incumbent upon the locales that have these KOZs in their 9 midst to become active.

10 I know that NS wants and needs to grow the rail business in Pennsylvania. Unfortunately, due to the 11 12 situation, they've not been able to take those folks that 13 are their marketing folks and put them full force on that efforts, but they will. I firmly believe that they will. 14 15 That's why we've taken a stance with regard to their 16 issues that they have right now that we try to help them 17 every way we can, because the sooner they can get their 18 problems resolved, the sooner we can all get about the business of growing economic development opportunities in 19 20 Pennsylvania.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Liz, follow that up with what probably becomes the heart of this matter, and Joe and I have talked about this before, when we do the 12-year plan, there is no category for economic development or economic recovery that weighs in the decision where a road

1 There is no category that says that you get extra qoes. 2 points because this is an intermodal project where you 3 blend rail and highway together. DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: There is an intermodal 4 5 category. 6 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right, but it doesn't weigh 7 heavily upon--8 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: The selection. 9 CHAIRMAN GEIST: -- the selection. The MPOs have 10 the process where they have traditionally gone that we 11 need this, this, this, this, this and this is the 12 inventory we have and we move these projects based on past 13 need. Future anticipated need never grades out well. How 14 do we as House members have input into that process when 15 that process is designed to freeze us out and we vote for 16 the moneys? 17 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Again, I look at the 18 impact that a local community then feeding into their MPO 19 can have on the process. I mean, the bottom line is it's 20 a trickle-up theory, and if rail projects become the 21 foremost activity that a community gets together and they 22 decide this is the most important thing for us, the MPO 23 will go along with it and the Commonwealth will go along 24 with it. It has to work both ways. 25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: For example, we went to

Pittsburgh last year with the commission. We listened to all the testimony from the MPO. There wasn't one word about Pitcairn, there wasn't one word about highway access to move 3,000 trucks a day in and out of there. It wasn't even on their radar screen.

DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Well, one of the things 6 7 that I can tell you we are going to be doing, and I'm glad 8 actually that you brought this up, we didn't plan this but 9 it's a good way for me to tell you about new positions 10 that we're creating in all of our MPOs and LDDs in every PennDOT district called IMS coordinators. We, up to this 11 12 point, have had kind of a loosey-goosey relationship with 13 the MPOs and the LDDs and the districts as it relates to 14 the non-highway modes, but starting this year we have 15 formally established IMS, intermodal management systems, 16 coordinators in all the PennDOT district engineering 17 offices, and it's going to be included in every single one 18 of the MPO and LDD's work plans. So in order for them to 19 continue to get money from the Feds through PennDOT, they 20 have to have an IMS coordinator. We have a serious 21 curriculum and training that they're all going to have to 22 go through, and so I would say that you've known PennDOT 23 longer than I've known PennDOT, and Rome wasn't built in a 24 day, but I think that we have come a long way at PennDOT 25 in having the entire department recognize the non-highway

1 modes and the role that they play. But you're correct. I mean, you've got to get 2 3 the MPOs and the LDDs, and some of them get it, but again, 4 we're going to put it in their work plan so they have to 5 do it. They have to look at the non-highway modes in order to continue to get their planning dollars from the 6 7 Federal government. 8 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you. 9 Representative Laughlin. 10 REPRESENTATIVE LAUGHLIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Ms. Voras, for coming down here. It was good to see 11 12 you a couple of months ago when you were in my district 13 with a large check. 14 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: A very large check. REPRESENTATIVE LAUGHLIN: You noticed though 15 16 when you came to the Leetsdale Park there you had to cross 17 a railroad crossing, and I've been working since 1992 to get an overhead bridge there. We did get on the first 18 19 phase of the Transportation Commission in order to get the 20 money and we do have the money, but there's been many 21 delays on it for studies for environmental and 22 archeological, so maybe you can help us speed that up. 23 You know I come from Conway, where they have the 24 largest -- used to have the largest push button rail yard 25 in the world, and hopefully we're going to get that \$30

1 million maintenance shop there, but what I want to ask you 2 now is over the last few months, over the summer, I've been getting an awful lot of complaints ever since the 3 4 takeover of CSX, and the complaint is the trains are 5 taking a long period of time at the railroad crossings and 6 keeping residents from going into their homes. So there 7 was someone monitoring that that lives there and it was 8 unbelievable how long those trains were just standing there. 9 10 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: We have had similar

11 | complaints.

12

REPRESENTATIVE LAUGHLIN: You have?

13 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: And I would tell you that the best thing for you to do is to have those folks 14 15 call us and we will directly get to the railroads. I have 16 not heard of one scenario where we didn't hear about it 17 and made a phone call and it stopped. So if your staff 18 wants to just forward those phone calls to us or capture 19 them somehow and get them to us, we will get to the 20 railroads posthaste and get it resolved.

21 REPRESENTATIVE LAUGHLIN: The other complaint 22 they had was the whistles. They said that the whistles 23 were like for minutes for a lot of time spent blowing that 24 whistle, and I did call someone from Philadelphia and they 25 told me that there is nothing that can be done about the whistles, but it seems like it's gotten worse since NS and
 CSX have taken over.

3 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: The whistle is 4 regulated. They do have to do that at certain intervals, 5 they do have to do it within certain mile limits of a 6 crossing and of town. Maybe what we're witnessing is 7 Conrail kind of had an internal way of interpreting that 8 and perhaps NS and CSX have a different internal way and 9 maybe what you're hearing is NS and CSX are doing more of 10 what -- I'm speculating here, but I would say again, 11 anything like that we would be happy to serve as an 12 ombudsman to get to the railroads and talk about it, 13 because quite frankly, they want to be good neighbors. Ι 14 mean, I haven't come across any of them and any of those 15 railroads that doesn't want to, I mean, when we brought it 16 to their attention, the folks that we've been dealing with 17 say that that shouldn't be happening, and they've dealt 18 with it promptly. 19 So again, any of those things that you get,

please send them to us and we'll take care of it.
 REPRESENTATIVE LAUGHLIN: Okay. I will do
 that.
 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Deputy Secretary McNulty of the
 Department of Community and Economic Development, you have

some comments to share as well, and then we'll go to

25

1 questions later.

2 DEPUTY SECRETARY MCNULTY: Thank you, Mr. 3 Chairman.

I want to apologize for Secretary McCullough, who could not be here this morning. I have submitted some written remarks for your review, but I think what I'll do is make a couple observations as it relates to those and then leave more time for questions.

I would like to talk a little bit about the 9 10 importance from an economic development point of view that 11 regards the competitiveness of our transportation 12 infrastructure, talk a little bit about the activities 13 we've undertaken in partnership with you and PennDOT over 14 the last five years, and then just touch very briefly on a 15 couple of issues that I think are particularly critical 16 from a DCED perspective for the future.

17 First, as has been stated I think very clearly 18 here, transportation infrastructure remains a fundamental 19 determinant of our ability to grow, attract, and retain 20 jobs. It is consistently among the top two to three factors that businesses investigate and rank us against in 21 22 considering Pennsylvania as a location for business. When 23 we have benchmarked ourselves against our competing 24 States, we've consistently found that CEOs in Pennsylvania 25 and CEOs in other States regard our transportation

infrastructure as superior to our competitors. So it
 remains a critical economic advantage for Pennsylvania and
 one that clearly we need to nurture and capitalize on.

I would also like to point out that our 4 5 transportation systems are in and of themselves an economic engine, as Liz mentioned. We remain a major rail 6 7 manufacturing and technology center with over 120,000 8 Pennsylvania workers engaged in the production of rail car 9 and rail technologies in supplying those technologies, and 10 transportation equipment remains the driving force of our 11 sales overseas in markets as diverse as Mexico and 12 Singapore. So our investments in transportation also need to nurture that role of our infrastructure as an economic 13 14 engine itself.

15 In the last five years, I think there has been a 16 tremendous partnership with the department, with PennDOT, 17 and with the General Assembly to really focus on 18 transportation as an economic development tool. With your 19 help, our infrastructure funding, which provides that kind 20 of last gap financing and grants for access roads and rail 21 spurs, and increasingly things such as fiberoptic 22 connections, we have increased that funding up to now \$33 23 million, with \$8 million of that money in this year's 24 budget set aside specifically for infrastructure 25 improvements in Keystone Opportunity Zones. And I think

it will be critical as we move through this year to see
 how effective that set-aside is in meeting the added
 infrastructure investments that those zones need to
 capitalize on job opportunities.

5 But as we have increased that program, the demand continues to significantly outstrip our available 6 7 resources. And in particular, I think what's interesting in that program is that the demand is changing 8 9 dramatically over the years. For instance, right now in 10 the northern tier portion of Pennsylvania, we're using our 11 infrastructure funding to help a manufacturer develop a 12 wireless tower and communications system so that they can 13 sell their products on the worldwide web. Those, I think, 14 are critical investments and appropriate investments for 15 our infrastructure funds to be used for because they do 16 have a direct impact on jobs. They do not lessen, though, 17 the demand for access roads and the other types of investments that we have used that program for. That same 18 19 manufacturer, when he is selling for 24 hours on the web, 20 will face increasing needs for improvements in access 21 roads and other types of investments. But our 22 infrastructure program has grown and with your partnership 23 I think is positioned to be strategic in areas such as 24 Keystone Opportunity Zones.

25

The second activity I would like to mention is

1 again a new budget initiative this year, and that is the 2 PennPlus program, which was enacted by the General 3 Assembly. It is only a \$4 million program, but I think, 4 Mr. Chairman, we're particularly eager in working with 5 PennDOT to explore the opportunities on a pilot basis as 6 to how that program can provide a leveraging tool to bring 7 Federal investment, particularly in transportation 8 facilities that are near to intermodal transportation.

9 And finally, in terms of our activities over the 10 last five years, I'd like to mention an area that where we 11 have had very strong cooperation and coordination with 12 PennDOT, and that is in the area of better linking the 13 investments that the Department of Community and Economic 14 Development makes to help small and particularly rural 15 downtowns to revitalize themselves with our highway 16 investments and road improvement investments. We have, 17 over the last year, had a coordinated team from our Main 18 Street program in DCED and with PennDOT's highway 19 officials looking at how to link Federal funds for highway 20 improvement with our Main Street improvements and the 21 rehabilitation of buildings, and the development of Street 22 Scape and other types of improvements designed to bring 23 businesses back to the downtowns and to make the downtowns 24 simply more competitive economic units. In an area such 25 as Chambersburg and Newport, where we have begun that

1 effort, I think the signs are very promising that that 2 coordination will have a significant impact on better 3 economic growth in our rural downtowns in particular. 4 Lastly, in terms of looking to the future, I'd 5 like to mention just two trends that I think are 6 critically important from an economic development point of 7 view in terms of our future investments in 8 infrastructure. The first is the increasing trend for the 9 defining factor and the competitiveness of business to be 10 the ability to get products to market fast. I mean, we're 11 increasingly hearing that speed to market is the essential 12 determinant of business success, be it in a traditional 13 manufacturer, a service company, or any type of basic 14 business operation. 15 Our transportation assets clearly give us an 16 advantage as speed to market becomes more critical, but I 17 think that dynamic places an even greater emphasis on the 18 need for intermodal investments and our ability to link 19 dynamically access to information technologies and high 20 speed data services with highway, air, and rail services. 21 The last thing I'd like to mention as we look at 22 our transportation infrastructure from an economic 23 development point of view, the ability of that 24 infrastructure to serve what will soon be the world's 25 largest industry, the tourism industry, is also critically

1 important, and that perspective I think requires us to 2 continue to make investments that enable our ports to 3 handle not only the shipment of goods but cruise functions 4 and tour functions, to look at the opportunity to 5 capitalize on our rail system and the historic nature of 6 our rail system as a tourism development opportunity. And lastly, to begin, as we have with PennDOT, to look at a 7 8 comprehensive strategy for roadway signs that enables us 9 to better package our tourism destinations and be able to 10 move visitors sufficiently and effectively through the 11 Commonwealth.

Thank you very much.

12

22

13 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you, Secretary McNulty. 14 Referring to the railroads of Canadian Pacific 15 has the new main line, the Iron Highway, and Southern 16 Norfolk, have they significantly helped in economic 17 development with that new line that's coming through? 18 DEPUTY SECRETARY MCNULTY: I think we've had a 19 strong economic development partnership in developing 20 potential sites along that line, and I would say just 21 echoing Liz's comments regarding CSX and Norfolk Southern,

and having been in economic development in Pennsylvania 23 and having watched the role that those railroads played in winning the BMW location in South Carolina, and the 24 25 Mercedes-Benz location in Alabama, I think they are a

great addition from the perspective of an economic
 development partner.

CHAIRMAN HASAY: Well, that's indeed pleasing to hear that. With that we do have some of those crossings that we're going to have to install, some of those gates and bells and whistles, for the safety of the public. I'm sure that will be on a gradual basis in improving those crossings. Thank you.

9

10

Representative Mundy.

REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 I'd like to go back to Ms. Voras for a moment, 12 if I could. I really appreciate your emphasizing the 13 nature of rail and aviation in terms of economic 14 development, because I think those two issues are often 15 very much overlooked. And with regard to the issue of 16 aviation, I'm from northeastern Pennsylvania. We have the 17 Wilkes-Barre/Scranton International Airport, and it is a 18 constant challenge to get carriers, I'm talking about 19 passenger carriers now, I'm not all that familiar with 20 freight in and out of the airport, but passenger service 21 is really a problem. And I've had many CEOs and people 22 who use the airport indicate to me that it's very 23 difficult to get in and out of there quickly, 24 efficiently. Flights are cancelled. I mean, here's a CEO 25 who has a meeting in another part of the country and can't

get out of the Avoca Airport, having made that flight
 reservation well in advance, and all of a sudden the
 flight is cancelled.

And so I guess my question is, you know, we seem 4 5 to have done everything that we could logically to increase the service and improve the service, try to get 6 more carriers in, try to create competition. What are 7 other airports in the State doing to try to improve their 8 passenger service and make it more reliable, and frankly, 9 10 more affordable? Flights to Pittsburgh are probably more than they are to Florida, and that's a real problem for 11 12 And I just can't seem to get my hands on a solution. us. Do you have any suggestions for us? 13

14 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: I'm not an expert in 15 the airline industry, however, the little that I do know 16 has led me to the conclusion that typically when an 17 airline is trying to make a decision about whether to 18 serve a market or not, they look at it from a pure bottom 19 line perspective: Can they make money here or can't 20 they? If the market is there, and they have extensive 21 marketing departments that go out and really look, because 22 this is a big investment for them to make a decision to 23 come and serve at a particular airport, if they do their 24 marketing surveys and those surveys determine that if they 25 come there the ridership will be there, they will come.

| 1 | So the bottom line from an airport perspective |
|----|--|
| 2 | as far as what they can do to entice them: Nice |
| 3 | facilities, I mean, let's face it, terminal buildings do |
| 4 | make a difference; gates that are available, and gates are |
| 5 | where the airplanes get up to the terminal building, are |
| 6 | there available gates or will new ones have to constructed |
| 7 | in order to accommodate another carrier; and ridership. |
| 8 | So in the end, it's kind of like which comes |
| 9 | first, the chicken or the egg? And the area has to be |
| 10 | economically stable enough that there are going to be |
| 11 | enough people utilizing that airport for whatever reason, |
| 12 | business or pleasure, to entice a carrier to want to come |
| 13 | and make the big financial commitment to serve that |
| 14 | market. I mean, it really comes down to ridership. |
| 15 | REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: In the area of ridership, |
| 16 | do other areas of the State, for example the Allentown |
| 17 | airport, do they get their business community leaders all |
| 18 | together and get them to make commitments to the airline |
| 19 | that if you have flights to different areas of the country |
| 20 | where we go on a regular basis, we will use the airport? |
| 21 | DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Various airports in the |
| 22 | Commonwealth use various techniques. But I'm glad you |
| 23 | brought that up, because I am a firm believer that |
| 24 | communities have got to get behind their airports. I know |
| 25 | that Johnstown Airport did do exactly that when they were |
| | |

1 trying to entice another carrier to come to that airport. 2 Some of the authority members who were very active in the business community went out and got commitments from 3 4 businesses in those communities that if this carrier comes 5 they will use this carrier X amount of flights in the first year, second year, third year. Sometimes it does 6 7 take that much, if you're having trouble generating the 8 ridership on your own.

But again, it really does come down to how many
people in that greater metropolitan area are going to use
that facility either for business or pleasure. It comes
down to plain dollars and cents for an airline.

13 REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: What role does the MPO 14 play in aviation?

15 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Right now the role that an MPO plays in aviation is very dependent on where the 16 17 airport and what part of Pennsylvania you're talking 18 about. And it's not a large role. MPOs traditionally 19 have been highway and bridge and transit related. 20 Airports have, for the most part across the country, been 21 fairly self-sufficient in the way they look at themselves 22 and the role that they play within a community. I think 23 more and more they're seeing that they have to have a 24 strong relationship. But airports like Philadelphia 25 International and Pittsburgh International, even for our

relationship with them, it's more or less we'll just get
 the heck out of your way, because they do what they do,
 they know what they do, they more or less just need us to
 let them do what they do best.

5 REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: Does it make sense for 6 the airlines and the rail to both jointly approach the 7 MPOs and ask to be included? Is there anything that 8 precludes them from doing that in either law or regulation 9 at the State level?

10 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Right now an airport, 11 say Philadelphia International, decides what improvement 12 projects they're going to undertake, that's really 13 something that if we don't have any money involved in it, 14 we really don't and can't dictate what those projects 15 That's between them and the carriers that serve that are. 16 facility. So when you look at what an MPO's role is 17 traditionally, it's been their role, they're there at the 18 table because there's money that's coming to that region that the MPO legally, begause of ISTEA when it was passed 19 20 back in 1991 it gave the MPOs a legitimacy at the table.

For the airlines and the airports, that money is all self-contained. It's generated there, it's from the FAA, it doesn't flow through the MPOs, so there really isn't a clear-cut role. We are trying at PennDOT, through these IMS coordinators, to bring the MPOs, it's more of an

| 1 | educational thing. We've got to get them up to speed on |
|----|--|
| 2 | exactly how it all works. So it's more of an educational |
| 3 | thing as opposed to a blessing that an airport has to get |
| 4 | from an MPO, because quite frankly, they don't have to get |
| 5 | that blessing now. |
| 6 | REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: Thank you very much. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you. |
| 8 | I know we're running behind schedule, but |
| 9 | members do have questions. |
| 10 | Representative Smith. |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 12 | I want to reinforce the previous comments of |
| 13 | Representative Argall and Representative Geist in that I |
| 14 | think they tie in together as much as some of the rural |
| 15 | counties that have historically had higher unemployment |
| 16 | rates over the years don't always find themselves in the |
| 17 | position to gain advantage of some of the economic |
| 18 | development tools that we have, and that's what you two |
| 19 | are both before us to say. I especially appreciated the |
| 20 | comments of Chairman Geist in that the 12-year plan as it |
| 21 | relates to highways somehow doesn't seem to have at least |
| 22 | an identifiable component where economic development is a |
| 23 | factor in the prioritization and all in the 12-year plan, |
| 24 | and so perhaps as you folks have your internal discussions |
| 25 | with your bosses and others that you might emphasize that |
| | |

1 | that is an area of need.

| 2 | On the railroads also, Liz, you had commented |
|----|--|
| 3 | that you thought that NS was going to have a better |
| 4 | marketing perspective or approach and all. I was always |
| 5 | annoyed that Conrail, as they kind of left Pennsylvania |
| 6 | over the last 10 years, abandoned what you call the |
| 7 | spaghetti lines, I guess. They let them run down and |
| 8 | abandoned them and left either the shortlines to try to |
| 9 | pick up some of the pieces or the Commonwealth, through |
| 10 | our Rail Freight Assistance Program, as you are aware, it |
| 11 | takes a fair-amount of the money that our Rail Freight |
| 12 | Assistance Program goes into kind of rehabilitating those |
| 13 | old lines, and I wanted you to maybe reinforce your |
| 14 | comment relative to where NS would be going in its |
| 15 | relationship about the shortlines, because that is the |
| 16 | only connection we have in a lot of Pennsylvania with rail |
| 17 | freight transportation. |
| 18 | DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Having heard the horror |

stories when I first got this job and having known them, quite frankly, from working for Senator Corman for all those years, you're correct. I mean, it always would seem that if Conrail was going to abandon say a particular portion of their line, they'd cut the track right before a major shipper, so that little guys wouldn't have the car loadings that they needed to really make a go of it.

When NS came to town, and we knew this was going 1 2 to happen, one of the very first things that we said to 3 them was, you're not going to do this. If you're going to come into this State and eventually trim down and become a 4 5 lean, mean fighting machine, which I know they want to do, 6 and most Class 1's want to do that, we've got to have 7 acknowledgment that if you're going to abandon, we're 8 going to work on getting these lines into some hands of 9 other people, we have to make it viable. The lines have 10 got to be viable.

11 And from a corporate perspective, NS, in my 12 opinion, has a totally different way of thinking in that 13 relationship with the shortlines and the regionals. It's 14 a partnership. Because they get it. The fact is, if the 15 shortlines are successful, then the Class 1's are 16 successful. Conrail, in my mind, and I'm sure many of you 17 sitting there, it was more of an adversarial role that was 18 played with the regionals and the shortlines, and NS is 19 not like that. And that's why the System Changes Task 20 Force is so important, because they've committed to me 21 that we won't be the last ones to find out about it. 22 We're going to all have enough warning when they're going 23 to do these kinds of things that we will all have an ability. And I mean, you folks too, you will be involved 24 25 in this. Right now we have the Minority and Majority

1 Chairs of both the House and Senate that sit on that 2 committee and faithfully come to that meeting every 3 quarter before our STC meeting, and we hear from them. 4 Right now, quite frankly, we don't hear that much because 5 there isn't that much, but there probably will be. And 6 that's why we have the Governor's Office there, we have 7 DCED at those meetings, so we all can find out about things before it becomes a chaotic situation and we're 8 9 knee-jerking and trying to figure out what to do. 10 So again, the relationship that we've built with 11 NS and the relationship that they have, the partnership 12 that they see with the shortlines, and I think if you talk 13 to some of your shortlines in your area you'll probably 14 hear that from them too that it's been different since NS 15 has been here as far as that relationship building. REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Well, lately they've just 16 17 been wondering if NS's trains were going to keep moving, 18 but nevertheless--19 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: The train whistles are 20 near and dear to my heart too, let me tell you. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: I know why they were 22 sitting in Representative Laughlin's district, because 23 they were just not moving anywhere. 24 I appreciate your commitment to that and would 25 encourage you to hold strong to that. Also just one

1 parting comment. I do appreciate the work that both of 2 you individually have done when called upon to respond to 3 the economic and transportation needs, and I think that 4 you've done a good job and I do appreciate your time here 5 today and your help in the past. DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN HASAY: We have a few more members that have questions. 8 9 Representative Bard. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Thank you. I think that 11 it's so important today that the Chairmen have convened 12 this joint hearing to emphasize the interplay between 13 economic development, land use, transportation, and that 14 is critical, and I thank you for your testimony 15 emphasizing the need for intermodalism and so forth. 16 As a member of the Transportation Committee, I 17 am going to ask you a policy question, since you're sitting here for the Secretary, Ms. Voras. 18 19 With regard to transportation, and I come from 20 southeastern Pennsylvania, where we, for example, have had 21 an 18-acre Wanamaker site on the market for years and years and years. When I would talk with developers about 22 the potential of this site, they would say you're too far 23 24 from the turnpike, it takes too long down this outmoded 25 infrastructure that can't be expanded to bring our

1 customers to market, so to speak. And when I saw the 2 keystone address that was delivered by Governor 3 Glendenning at the American Public Transit Association 4 this year, there were some points that I thought were 5 applicable to this situation, and I have copies of that testimony for the members and I'm presenting it for the 6 7 record. But there was one rather provocative statement 8 that I wanted to ask that relates to transportation 9 policy. I wanted to quote what he said in his remarks: 10 "In the past this nation generally has dealt 11 with congestion issues from a vehicle-oriented 12 perspective. That is, how can we move more cars 13 farther and faster? Smart growth, by contrast, 14 demands that we take a more people-oriented, more 15 community-oriented view that actually asks the 16 heretical question: How can we reduce the need to use 17 the car? The transportation department that asks that 18 question comes to a dramatically different conclusion 19 than the one that is only trying to move more, " -and 20 that's his emphasis, "cars farther and faster." 21 And I was wondering if you could react to that 22 statement from the point of view of the department. 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: I have, on occasion, 24 heard the Secretary react to statements similar to that 25 one, and my understanding is that Brad's belief is that we

1 are in the business of not selecting one mode over another 2 mode, that we are in fact in the business of providing options for all people and letting the people decide which 3 4 mode it is that what they would like to use. And I know 5 he firmly believes that. REPRESENTATIVE BARD: As we're approaching this 6 7 issue from an economic development standpoint, one of the other aspects of this site is that there is a SEPTA 8 9 station within a block of this site. Is there a role, how 10 does the department become involved in making that an 11 option that would potentially weigh into some of these 12 development decisions, that that would be seen as an 13 asset? 14 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Is the SEPTA site 15 active now? Is there bus service to it? 16 REPRESENTATIVE BARD: Yes, it is. 17 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: The Commonwealth 18 provides, and Rick probably knows the number better than I 19 do, significant dollars to SEPTA for all kinds of things, 20 for operations, maintenance, buses, bus repair, so the Commonwealth is a major partner as it relates to our 21 22 transit systems in the Commonwealth and providing them 23 needed moneys so that they don't have to recoup all of 24 that at the fare box, because if they had to recoup it at

the fare box, most of them would not be in existence.

25

Most transit systems -- it's a good transit
 system that can recoup 50 percent of what it actually
 costs them to provide that trip at the fare box. The rest
 of the money is subsidy.
 REPRESENTATIVE BARD: And I guess the point
 about having these hearings is to focus attention on that

7 interplay between these different options, as you
8 mentioned, and the fact they can have a significant role
9 perhaps because Pennsylvania is such a diverse State, some
10 having more of a role in one area of the State, and
11 perhaps sorting that all out is--

12 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Certainly if it was a 13 labor intensive corridor built at that site, then 14 certainly the access to that SEPTA stop would be a very 15 beneficial one if you had to move people to get to work 16 every day. We can talk more about this afterwards, 17 because I'm-curious now where the site is and what exactly 18 the problems are with that site.

19REPRESENTATIVE BARD: All right, thanks very20much.

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN HASAY: Representative Tangretti. REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Interestingly that Representative Bard and I are
on the same wavelength.

| [| 54 |
|----|---|
| - | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: That's scary. |
| 2 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Right. |
| 3 | It just seems to me though by your answer, and |
| 4 | I'll ask Mr. McNulty to comment as well, that you do not |
| 5 | think that transit, and I don't want to put words in your |
| 6 | mouth, but you do not think that transit is part of |
| 7 | economic development. Do I sense that? Is that an |
| 8 | accurate portrayal of what you |
| 9 | DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Quite the contrary. |
| 10 | This administration has a very active welfare-to-work |
| 11 | program that, again, my Deputy Rick Peltz is in charge |
| 12 | of. So I'm not 100 percent familiar with the program, but |
| 13 | I know that there has been a major emphasis here in |
| 14 | Pennsylvania. |
| 15 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Let me clarify that, |
| 16 | because I guess, and I understand that and I've talked to |
| 17 | Mr. Peltz about transit issues and I understand his |
| 18 | commitment, but it just seems to me that when we talk |
| 19 | about economic development, we talk about infrastructure |
| 20 | needs, we talk about business needs, all tied into one, |
| 21 | and getting their products to market and getting the kind |
| 22 | of raw materials they need to produce their products, |
| 23 | whatever that may be, but there seems to be this void of |
| 24 | discussion about getting people to and from the |
| 25 | workplace. |

1 As a matter of fact, it seems to me that the 2 discussion has always solely been, primarily been, at 3 least in the MPO meetings that I've attended to a large 4 extent, about using highways for that purpose, and it just 5 seems to me that when CEOs are looking for quality of life 6 issues, or for that matter in freeing up highways for the 7 purposes of getting these products to and from markets, ought we not to be thinking about commuter rail lines, 8 9 light rail, some other sort of movement of people from 10 their home to their workplace and the reverse?

And I was interested in Mr. McNulty's comments about revitalizing the downtown areas. Certainly, that's not unique to Chambersburg and the other places that he mentioned. But it just seems that we haven't focused on that as a State as a commitment to economic development, and I'm just curious if either of you would comment on that.

18 DEPUTY SECRETARY MCNULTY: Well, I think that 19 bringing employment closer to individuals, that's the 20 focus, the heart of the KOZ and the brownfield effort. Ι 21 think also one of the things, I think we've done it very 22 well over the last four years, is focus our Governor's 23 Action Team on projects in downtown areas, be they center 24 city Philadelphia, some Wilkes-Barre projects we've done, 25 Uniontown, Pennsylvania. So I think we've tried to, in

working with employers and with prospects, is to focus on
 maximizing the competitiveness and the attractiveness of
 downtown sites that are close to individuals.

4 We have also not hesitated to use economic 5 development incentive money in cases where some bridge 6 financing is needed from a transit point of view. And the 7 best example of that I think is the UPS facility in 8 Philadelphia where we used our Opportunity Grant money 9 basically to seed fund a nighttime bus line for SEPTA as a 10 trial run to see if it could become self-sustaining. So 11 we've been willing to make those kind of pure economic 12 development investments when human capital and the ability 13 of individuals to really engage in employment

14 opportunities was at stake.

And so I think it is a fundamental focus, and I mentioned that infrastructure is always the top three factors that an employer brings to us. Certainly, access to skilled workers is generally first. So I think the interplay, you're correct on the interplay, and I think from a programmatic point of view and in some of our projects we have emphasized those interactions.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Let me jump in here and say
that the MPO process that we have in Pennsylvania, which
was foisted upon us by the Federal government, has some
serious flaws. That if you want to talk about running

1 light rail from Harrisburg to York to Baltimore, how many 2 MPO districts does that pass through or what priority would it have as an umbrella above them all? We aren't 3 prepared to deal with that, and we have serious flaws with 4 5 that. We have an MPO process that was designed to push 6 highways only. And although PennDOT recognizes it and we 7 in this room recognize it, we have to put our arms around 8 that problem and say let's solve this. Mass transit is an 9 economic generator first when you have to get people to 10 jobs. You aren't going to do it. We don't have enough 11 Schuylkill Expressways in Pennsylvania. You can't build 12 enough highways to move the number of people you need to 13 move in and out to get to jobs. We have to start 14 recognizing that in our MPO process.

15 We have to recognize the seamless travel between 16 Amtrak, SEPTA, U.S. Air, all of it. And we have to start 17 handling that. And somehow we've got to come to grips 18 with this in this General Assembly. And I think 19 Representative Tangretti's right, I think Representative 20 Argall, who's the number one expert in this General 21 Assembly on intercity development, those are the things 22 that we're about.

And we certainly don't have answers. None of us have answers, and you don't have answers. But we're going into that round right now of this whole process where you

1 have development districts that adjoin other districts, 2 that where you have Chester County, where they have as 3 many people going to work every day in Chester County who live in there, so you have this mass movement of people, 4 5 but yet there's no mass transit system to really take care 6 of that. You have employers who have come before us 7 saying, we have huge needs for people to work, but we 8 can't find them. And those are the kind of social 9 problems that go along with this that go along with the 10 economic development that we're trying to talk about.

11 But for those old worn-out steel towns and those 12 heavy manufacturing facilities where you have done a 13 fantastic job with the KOZs and everything else, we have 14 got to come up with some kind of solutions so that we can 15 have meaningful economic development in real jobs created 16 with the infusion and investment of our transportation 17 dollars, and I think that Tommy Tangretti's question just 18 hits the nail right on the head, and this is where we've 19 got to go with our MPO process, and this is where the 20 members of the General Assembly have to set up and say, 21 look, if the Federal government says this is the way it is 22 for Arizona, that's not the way it is for Allegheny 23 County, that's not the way it is for Philadelphia, and in 24 Lackawanna County that doesn't work there. For old 25 worn-out industrial places in Pennsylvania, we've got to

1 find a way to make this work as an infusion of real 2 investment dollars. 3 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: But again, Rick, I 4 mean, you and I have known each other for a long time. 5 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Too long. No. 6 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: But I'm still 24, 7 remember that. What it comes right down to is dollars and 8 cents. 9 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right. 10 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: There's just so much 11 money to go around, and the day that an MPO or a 12 legislator comes to the STC and says, you know that 13 highway project I've been talking about for the last 10 14 years? Well, now I want it to be a light rail system 15 I mean, there's difficult choices that have to instead. 16 be made with a limited amount of dollars. 17 CHAIRMAN GEIST: And you know we're really great at spending money. And we're really fortunate right now, 18 19 we have a huge surplus in dollars. We have the 20 opportunity to start looking at our resources and how we 21 allocate those resources in Pennsylvania, and I think 22 these hearings are going to be very telling when it comes 23 to those kind of needs. We're just looking forward. This 24 is public policy at its best. 25 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: I was just going to

60 1 say. 2 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. 3 Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Representative Argall for short 5 questions. 6 REPRESENTATIVE ARGALL: It won't even be a 7 question. If I could just ask Mr. McNulty to provide me 8 with some of the case histories on successful projects 9 where DCED and PennDOT have partnered together, because in 10 my largest community, DCED has been very helpful in the 11 downtown revitalization program, and when I've talked to 12 PennDOT's highway people, we all kind of stood on the 13 intersection corners and scratched our heads and no one 14 came up with helpful suggestions, and maybe in that case they simply don't exist, but I'd like to take a second 15 16 stab at it and see how other communities have done that. 17 Thank you very much. 18 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Representative Preston. Thank you, Mr. 19 **REPRESENTATIVE** (PRESTON: 20 Chairman. And I guess since I serve on both committees 21 I'm not going to take any extra time. Don't worry. 22 I guess it is good having all four Chairmen 23 here, and Ms. Mundy and I were sitting here talking that 24 if we just look at between the four subjects - rail, 25 freight, the ports - we probably need to have more

in-depth discussion and take each one separately and
 concentrate on this.

So I guess coming from Allegheny County and 3 having the largest port per se as far as tonnage is 4 concerned, I guess Representative Pippy and myself both 5 6 serve on the Port Commission, which is a State created agency, I know Barbara McNees planned on inviting you out, 7 8 we've been inviting people out similarly with the trips, but as we talk about the intermodal transportation, and I 9 10 think the different Representatives have raised these 11 issues about being more inclusive from the beginning 12 levels of transportation. And to give you an example, you 13 mentioned welfare-to-work, it is difficult for someone 14 from welfare to work that has to deal with child care and that has to also go on public transportation where we have 15 16 our IDC parks where public transportation has to let them 17 off because the park was never designed for public 18 transportation, and people have to walk three-quarters of 19 So when you have a need, here's a company that a mile. 20 has a need, we have to have a better plan, because most of 21 the people who are planning drove to the meeting. So it's npt really being inclusive. 22

And I say to my good friend here, Mr. Argall, if we improve on economic development, and I'm also on this River Task Force now that we have, which we're going to be

calling you as we develop an outline for the Three Rivers
 plan of economic development, the more people we have
 working, the more people we have buying the products from
 the area at more competitive prices and less spoilage. So
 the dollars turn around.

And I always sit down and think what would 6 7 happen if the schools in our area would cut out our milk program, how many people in the dairy producers would be 8 9 still sitting there if we would stop those programs, and I try to get the dairy producers, when they start chastising 10 people to support some of these subsidies for the milk 11 12 program, that they're cutting their own throats. We need 13 to communicate this even more.

14 In dealing with the ports and the rail issues, 15 and when you come from a metropolitan area, we cannot build the convention center, we're limited to what we can 16 17 do, the expansions and the things that we will be coming to the State and government and to our banks to be able to 18 19 look at, you know, which will be over a couple billion 20 dollars in the coming years. The issue about the rails, the rail beds and the safety issues are very 21 quality-of-life situations. What are you planning on 22 23 doing about that, as you heard the Chairman say that we 24 have a surplus. It would be nice instead of we just 25 increase it, maybe if we would just have one major shot,

one major inoculation to eliminate some of the situations
 so that you could go on to something else. What do you
 plan on doing about these things?

4 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Grade crossing safety 5 is something near and dear to my heart. We, in fact, at two meetings ago at the Rail Freight Advisory Committee, 6 7 established a subcommittee designed specifically to beef 8 up the efforts here in Pennsylvania as it relates to grade 9 crossing safety. I think, again, mostly what you find 10 with grade crossing and grade crossing safety is that 11 people aren't educated enough as far as how fast that 12 train is moving and they think that they can beat the 13 I mean, the video footage that I have seen of train. 14 tractor trailers going out around one bar across the track 15 and then out around the other bar to get across that track 16 and getting hit in the meantime, it's frightening. So we 17 have established a new subcommittee of the Rail Freight Advisory Committee. Actually, the FRA is sitting on that 18 19 committee, we have the School Bus Association, we have the 20 petroleum haulers sitting on that committee that are 21 reporting back to us as far as the re-energizing as far as 22 the massive campaign here in Pennsylvania.

There is something called Operation Lifesaver that is spearheaded by the FRA and the major rail carriers that's a national effort, but again, I personally believe

1 that it could be more targeted to school kids, more 2 targeted to school bus drivers, petroleum haulers, to get 3 the message out. I mean, every program I think goes 4 through its phases, and that's one that was up and down 5 and we need to just give it, like you said, a shot in the 6 arm to get the message out to the people.

7 REPRESENTATIVE PRESTON: Mr. Chairman, we need 8 to get together amongst ourselves to discuss this MPO 9 issue, because over the years we have always had a lot of 10 problems. As Rick was saying earlier when he came to 11 Allegheny County, it used to be that only roads were 12 considered. Now we're up to mass transit, but we're still 13 not looking at the other issues. And am I right, it is 14 the government who recognizes the MPO, because it's his 15 overall State report that they submit, and I know out in 16 the southeast there's four States involved with the MPO. 17 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: Counties. There's five 18 counties. The RPC actually has five counties in 19 Pennsylvania, but four counties in New Jersey as well. 20 **REPRESENTATIVE PRESTON:** Right. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HASAY: And one final question from 22 Representative Habay. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. **REPRESENTATIVE HABAY:** 24 Liz and Tim, thank you very much for coming

25 today, and on behalf of the newly formed Allegheny County

Airport Authority, of which I'm a member, it's finally
going to happen as it looks on October 8 with the FAA
transfer agreement, I want to thank you for your
cooperation in working with us to obtain \$100 million for
the new maintenance facility for U.S. Airways. Hopefully,
that will be a big boom for economic development and
transportation in our area.

8 The one question, there were a few questions on 9 aviation that I was going to ask, but in the interest of 10 time, I want to limit it only to a light rail question. I 11 represent a district, the North Hills, Allegheny Valley, 12 most of the northern border of the city of Pittsburgh, and 13 I've talked to many Representatives in the Allegheny 14 Valley, Pittsburgh, southern Butler County, some of my 15 neighbors in the other parts of Allegheny County, we've 16 come to the conclusion we have some incredible rail line 17 infrastructure that we have there. The possibilities of 18 bringing light rail or commuter rail on some of the CX 19 lines there, those are lines that extend into Butler 20 County, up towards Lawrence County on the northern sector and, the ones that go up into the Allegheny Valley, what 21 22 sort of advice would you give if somebody like myself and 23 the other Representatives were to begin this process, 24 because we've seen enormous success in the lines of the T 25 and the Port Authority system to go into the south hills.

What would be your advice now that we're doing the north shore connector from the city of Pittsburgh over to the new development sites in the north shore Pittsburgh for that to go about happening, and where should we really begin that process?

6 DEPUTY SECRETARY VORAS: In point of fact, that 7 process actually for those lines that you're talking about has already started. I learned about it quite recently 8 9 through an interview that I did with Russ Peterson who 10 owns those rail lines and uses them for rail freight. There is a study that is ongoing at SPC that the MPO in 11 your region has established of looking at the feasibility 12 of using those existing freight lines. Because you're 13 14 absolutely correct, when you look at from an 15 infrastructure and a people moving perspective, it's perfectly located. And I know that that study's ongoing 16 17 and we're all, I talked to my deputy, Rick Peltz, about that issue, and so he and his staff are well aware of that 18 study and we're all awaiting anxiously to see what the 19 20 feasibility study, but it actually has begun, the feasibility study, to look at the usability of those 21 22 freight lines as passenger lines. 23

23REPRESENTATIVE HABAY: Thank you very much.24CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you. Both Chairmen would25like to thank Deputy Secretary Vorgas and Deputy Secretary

| 1 | McNulty for coming here this morning and testifying before |
|----|--|
| 2 | the House Commerce and House Transportation Committee. |
| 3 | Representative Geist. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much, George. |
| 5 | At this time I would like to introduce our next person who |
| 6 | is going to testify, and this is somebody who is making a |
| 7 | homecoming to the General Assembly. Fran Egan served with |
| 8 | us in the House, and now she's the Assistant General |
| 9 | Manager of Public Government Affairs for SEPTA, and for |
| 10 | those of us who believe that mass transit systems are |
| 11 | economic development systems and no urbanized area can |
| 12 | really survive without them, we would like to turn it over |
| 13 | to Fran. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HASAY: Before you begin, for the |
| 15 | record, I would like to announce that Representative |
| 16 | Strittmatter was here and Representative Druce is here, |
| 17 | Representative Petrarca is here, and Representative Allen, |
| 18 | and Representative Stettler and Representative Wright, and |
| 19 | Representative Flick. |
| 20 | Fran, you may proceed at your convenience. It's |
| 21 | nice to see you again. |
| 22 | MS. EGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 23 | Good morning, Chairman Hasay and Chairman Geist, |
| 24 | and members of the House Transportation and House Commerce |
| 25 | and Economic Development Committees. My name is Frances |
| | |

Egan. I am the Assistant General Manager for Public and
Government Affairs at the Southeastern Pennsylvania
Transportation Authority. With me I have our Chief
Government Affairs Officer, Nancy Hudder. I would like to
introduce her this morning. And I do want to thank
Chairman Geist and his staff for accommodating my request
to present testimony today.

On a personal note, I just want to say that I am 8 9 proud and honored to be in a position now, after having 10 left the legislature 10 years ago, to be in a position to 11 work on important issues of mutual concern. And 12 Representative Geist, for 10 years you kidded me about 13 having the worst Philadelphia accent that you ever heard, 14 and you will probably be disappointed to discover as I read my testimony that it's probably gotten worse since I 15 16 haven't gotten out of Philadelphia in the last 10 years. 17 But it is a pleasure to be here.

I am pleased to provide testimony to support the 18 19 joint committee's study on the impact of transportation on economic development in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 20 21 We wholeheartedly concur with Representative Geist's observation that the success of economic development 22 23 initiatives within our great Commonwealth can only be 24 assured by addressing the issues of transportation 25 access.

1 A healthy transportation infrastructure can 2 serve as a vital tool to support economic prosperity. 3 Access to employment is essential to improve the quality 4 of life for all citizens and enable businesses to attract 5 qualified workers. Moreover, the time and expense of 6 bringing goods and services to the marketplace would be prohibited without a multimodal transportation system 7 8 utilizing public conveyance and private vehicles. 9 Investment in transportation infrastructure can also 10 provide attractive incentives to stimulate private capital 11 development interest in communities and business centers 12 accessible by these transit links. 13 As the fifth largest transit agency in the 14 nation and primary provider of public transportation 15 services for southeastern Pennsylvania, SEPTA recognizes 16 its role and responsibility to support regional economic 17 development by serving the needs of existing business

19 marketplaces.

18

Through the framework of our strategic business plan, SEPTA has taken a proactive approach to supporting regional economic development activities by establishing working partnerships with public and private entities to provide public transportation service. The authority has been working with the Pennsylvania Department of

centers and ensuring access to new and growing

1 Transportation in the development and implementation of 2 the Route 202 congestion mitigation plan designed to 3 support the three-year \$250 million construction project to rebuild a critical section of Route 202 in the Great 4 5 Valley/King of Prussia area. SEPTA has formulated a 6 comprehensive plan of new and enhanced public 7 transportation alternatives for the anticipated short-term 8 construction inconvenience and vehicular traffic 9 congestion, and to maximize the long-term economic 10 benefits that will accrue from improved access to this 11 growing economic hub.

12 Creating new transportation infrastructure, as 13 Representative Hasay noted, can indeed turn an entire 14 community around. To that end, SEPTA is working to build 15 on the strengths of our core service infrastructure to 16 establish new access opportunities in areas previously 17 unserved or underserved by public transportation. The 18 recent success of our small bus links, the Horsham and 19 Commonwealth Breeze services, created in partnership with 20 SEPTA, county officials, area businesses, and the county's 21 transportation management association, underscores the 22 point. Since the inception of the Horsam Breeze route in 23 1996, service has quadrupled, with more than 1,100 24 employees from area business and retail centers using this 25 service to get to and from work.

1 Horsham's success paved the way to create the 2 Commonwealth Breeze-to meet the transportation needs of 3 other area businesses not served by the original Breeze 4 route service. Owing to the success of these routes, the 5 authority is investigating the possibility of expanding 6 the Horsham Breeze into Philadelphia to better serve its 7 current customers and to provide area businesses with 8 access to a broader employee base. 9 The recent inauguration of the other bus route, 10 305, serving Darby and airport complex businesses, is 11 another example of establishing a new transportation 12 infrastructure in support of community economic 13 development initiatives. _ Working in partnership with the 14 Darby Revitalization Task Force and Delaware County, SEPTA has -created - a - new bus - service to connect individuals 15 16 seeking employment opportunities with growing employment 17 centers in and around Philadelphia International Airport. 18 SEPTA's ability to provide service to meet 19 capacity and to expand service as market demand increases 20 is essential to_keep our region's economic development 21 engine moving. As the network of transportation access 22 grows, the needs of both employers and those seeking 23 employment can be met. This win-win situation_also 24 represents a win for statewide and regional economies in

25 the form of tax revenues and dollars being spent on goods

1 and services in the local economies.

2 In addition to addressing current transportation needs, SEPTA believes in the importance of long-range 3 planning to evaluate the region's future access demands. 4 At present, we are engaged in two critical planning 5 studies for the Schuylkill Valley and Cross Country Metro 6 rail line projects. The proposed Schuylkill Valley Metro, 7 8 linking key locations in Bucks, Chester, Montgomery, and 9 Philadelphia Counties, offers the potential to provide 10 access to major retail, employment, and business centers to an estimated 20,000 to 30,000 daily riders. SEPTA last 11 operated rail service in this corridor in 1981, several 12 years before the opening of U.S. 422 expressway and the 13 recent explosion of growth in suburban communities 14 surrounding Philadelphia. 15

16 Development, sprawl, congestion, and few 17 transportation alternatives to private vehicle travel have caused SEPTA and its partner on this project, the Berks 18 Area Reading Transportation Authority, to look at the 19 20 possibility of bringing back rail service. Based on 21 comparable experiences in Portland, Oregon, the potential economic benefits accruing from a project like Schuylkill 22 23 Valley Metro may be in excess of \$14 billion. This project was recently mentioned in newspaper articles about 24 the proposed construction of a new baseball stadium in 25

Philadelphia. In this instance, the economic benefits of
 public transportation can extend beyond business interests
 to the Commonwealth's leisure and visitor and tourism
 industries.

5 The second study, the Cross County Metro, covers 6 a 60-mile corridor beginning at Glenloch in Chester County, traveling through King of Prussia and Norristown 7 in Montgomery County, and terminating in Morrisville, 8 9 Bucks County. Included in this assessment study will be 10 an evaluation of a service line between King of Prussia 11 and Glenloch to identify potential rail stops along the 12 Great Valley and Route 202 corridors. Creating enhanced 13 public transit access to key employment centers such as 14 King of Prussia will provide many benefits to Montgomery 15 County, county businesses, and individuals seeking job 16 opportunities.

17 Within our service region, the viability of public transportation infrastructure and service is 18 19 critical to-continue the recent course of economic and 20 demographic growth. On behalf of the Board and General Manager, Jack Leary, of SEPTA, I would like to pledge 21 22 SEPTA's support and collective professional expertise to 23 assist your joint efforts to formulate a transportation 24 agenda to enhance the economic well-being of the 25 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

1Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I would2be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Fran, thank you very, very
much.

5 Economic development and mass transit go hand in 6 hand. In this General Assembly are many that still think 7 of SEPTA being nothing but a patronage system. That is so 8 far antiquated that we need to be talking now about the 9 public policy of marrying the commuting automobile, the 10 airport, with your system. And that means that when we do 11 the MPO process in Philadelphia, we're talking about the 12 park, parking lots, the combination of a system so that we 13 can leave the pressure that we have all around the region, 14 not just in and out, but in the circular process.

15 The other thing that we need to be talking about 16 as a public policy with SEPTA is the economic development 17 bill that I've been working on for about five years so 18 that we can develop sites around the fixed structure of 19 SEPTA. When we put a billion dollars into your Franklin 20 Line and yet you see station sites around there, around 21 the station sites that look like Dresden after World War 22 II, it's time that we start talking about using that 23 system as an economic development tool. 24 We have a lot of Representatives from your area

25 | on this committee, and I don't want to waste any more of

my time talking about our economic development plans. I
 would rather open it up now to questions from members of
 the committee.

Representative Battisto.

4

25

5 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I just have one question. First of all, your testimony sort of goes hand 6 7 in hand with a question that a Representative asked 8 earlier today. Many people talk about public 9 transportation and don't see the effect that public 10 transportation has on economic development. And in your 11 efforts to open up more areas, more employment areas 12 around Philadelphia, around the growing counties of 13 Chester and Bucks and Montgomery to public transportation, 14 are you using in all cases, for example, are you using in 15 all cases existing lines, or is there a need for 16 additional infrastructure, more rail lines? Do you need 17 more public infrastructure investment to make these 18 corridors available to your service?

MS. EGAN: Well, I think the answer to that is both. We have, as cited in my testimony, created a few new bus routes in the surrounding counties of Philadelphia, but certainly hand-in-hand with that, and partnering with that down the road is increased dollars for enhancing our current infrastructure, too.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I travel 309 coming

through Quakertown and south through Bucks County into 1 2 Philadelphia, which is a very congested area. Was there 3 ever any thought, I don't mean on 309 of course, but was there ever any study done, for example, extending high 4 5 speed rail lines north through those counties to connect with SEPTA service that eventually would go into the city 6 7 of Philadelphia? I know that's an enormous undertaking, I 8 understand that.

9 MS. EGAN: You know, I'm not sure. Under Jack 10 Leary's leadership, we have a whole strategic plan now 11 that takes us into the next five years, and that link that you're talking about, that link from the city north into 12 13 Bucks County, that was an issue raised, as a matter of 14 fact, when I was in Washington, by Congressman Greenwood 15 along the same issues, and I've asked our capital projects 16 manager to get back to me. So when I have that issue 17 addressed specifically, I could copy you on the answer. REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: That would be very 18 19 interesting. Thank you. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Tom. 22 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. 23 Chairman. It's still morning. 24 Good morning. It seems 25 like afternoon. You were here for the testimony of the

| 1 | two Deputy Secretaries, were you not? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. EGAN: Yes, I was. |
| 3 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: If I were quicker on |
| 4 | my feet, I would have pointed out to Deputy Secretary |
| 5 | Voras that now is the time that we ought to be thinking |
| 6 | about transit versus highway, since we have to, under the |
| 7 | new Federal law, do we not, project over the next 25 years |
| 8 | transportation systems for development as it links to |
| 9 | economic development and other things, 5-year increments, |
| 10 | the first 5 years obviously being those that are fiscally |
| 11 | restrained in terms of money that we have available to |
| 12 | us. Isn't that accurate? |
| 13 | MS. EGAN: Yes. |
| 14 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: So that to indicate |
| 15 | that a Representative who might want to make a switch |
| 16 | between a highway and a transit system is a little |
| 17 | disingenuous in view of the fact that now is the time that |
| 18 | we ought to be doing that, not five years from now or not |
| 19 | when the highway has already been designed and planned and |
| 20 | ready the let for construction, agreed? |
| 21 | MS. EGAN: Yes. |
| 22 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Has SEPTA been |
| 23 | involved with the planning process in southeastern |
| 24 | Pennsylvania, and to what extent? |
| 25 | MS. EGAN: We have been. We do have, and, well, |
| | |

.

1 I've only been at SEPTA a few months now. I already have 2 enjoyed what I feel is a very open and accessible 3 relationship with the Pennsylvania Department of 4 Transportation. They are hearing our concerns, they are 5 willing to talk about them. We do that in our 6 relationship individually, SEPTA to PennDOT, and we also 7 do that through associations like the PPTA. So while I 8 agree that there's always, you know, in our opinion 9 there's a lot more to be done, and probably the venue for 10 public transportation, in our opinion, needs to be opened 11 a lot wider than it has been, but on the other hand I 12 don't want to project to you that we don't have a good 13 relationship and that there isn't a willingness to 14 cooperate and hear our plans for the future. 15 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: What is the planning 16 organization for southeastern Pennsylvania? What's it 17 called? 18 MS. EGAN: Delaware Valley--19 MS. HUDDER: Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission. DVRPC is the acronym. 20 21 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: And your organization 22 is represented on that board? 23 MS. EGAN: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: And based on what 25 you've just said, you're satisfied with the level of

1 cooperation and the process undertaken for this projection 2 of transit and other transportation needs for the next 20 3 years?

4 MS. EGAN: Nancy Hudder would like to answer. 5 MS. HUDDER: There is one problem in that we're 6 not a voting member of the MPO, and how that happened I'm not sure, but we would like to have that revised. I think 7 that would have to be at the Federal level where SEPTA had 8 a vote on the board. We participate in planning, but we 9 10 don't have as strong a voice as we would like to have. REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: If this is 11 12 inappropriate, then you just say it's inappropriate. Do 13 you feel that there's a definite slant away from transit, 14 or an important point, more toward highway construction as 15 opposed to transit? 16 MS. EGAN: Well, the way I would answer that 17 question is to say that I wish, as representing SEPTA, we 18 would always wish that there would be more attention given 19 to public transportation, 20 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Very artfully done. 21 MS. EGAN: You know where I came from. 22 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Yes. 23 Final question. Who's funding the studies that 24 you mentioned in your testimony? 25 MS. EGAN: Well, I believe it's Federal.

1 MS. HUDDER: Yes. 2 MS. EGAN: Federal dollars are supporting those 3 studies--4 MS. HUDDER: Feasibility studies. 5 MS. EGAN: From T-21 funds. 6 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. 7 Chairman. Thank you very much. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GEIST: David. 9 REPRESENTATIVE ARGALL: Just to welcome Fran 10 back and to alert you that if the study for the Schuylkill 11 Valley Metro is successful in showing us that the number 12 of riders between Reading and Philadelphia and that whole 13 corridor proves to be successful and the trains begin to 14 run again, there are a number of folks in northern Berks 15 County and southern Schuylkill County that will be 16 petitioning SEPTA to re-open some rail lines that were 17 closed about 20 years ago and go a little further north. 18 We realize it's premature to be asking now, that you have 19 to prove that the larger metropolitan area can be served, 20 but there's a lot of excitement in the region and I wish 21 you the best of luck with that. 22 MS. EGAN: Thank you, Representative Argall. 23 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Representative Battisto. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Fran, one more 25 question that I neglected to ask when I was talking to

I know you're new in your position, and you heard 1 you. 2 comments and questions about the fact that MPOs seem to 3 be, oh, historically they've been sort of slanted toward 4 highway-oriented projects and other kinds of_projects 5 rather than airport and public transit projects. How closely, I mean, do you really, through either maybe an 6 7 elected Representative, you know Representatives, you know 8 politicians, do you have any direct connection with the 9 MPOs with respect to lobbying for projects that you think 10 are very essential to SEPTA's well-being? 11 MS. EGAN: _Well, we certainly recognize them as 12 a key player in bringing about the support we need to get 13 major projects through the State legislature and through 14 the Congress in Washington. So, I mean, we all have to be 15 partners in an effort like that or it won't be successful. 16 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Just one follow-up 17 quickly. You indicated that you don't have, you don't 18 have a voting position on the --19 MS. EGAN: _ On the Delaware Valley Regional 20 Planning Commission. 21 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: But you do attend 22 their meetings then? 23 Oh, yes. We're very active, and I MS. HUDDER: 24 think they understand, you know, that we play a big role 25 there. But that was one problem that I don't know how it

| 1 | fell through the cracks or how it happened, but we aren't |
|----|--|
| 2 | a voting member. |
| 3 | MS. EGAN: I guess I'll have to work on that in |
| 4 | my new job. |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: You'll have to work on |
| 6 | that, yeah, because we actually have a planning commission |
| 7 | in Monroe County, now we're a small county, but our |
| 8 | planning commission member is a voting member of the |
| 9 | regional MPO. |
| 10 | MS. EGAN: I'll have to see what we have to do |
| 11 | to get that corrected. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: One of the interests that I |
| 13 | have in planning process of public policy planning is that |
| 14 | when you do the location analysis of a 202 corridor or |
| 15 | some of the other rebuilds in the Philadelphia region, and |
| 16 | we are looking at PennDOT costs of as much as \$50 million |
| 17 | a mile to build six lanes of highway, have we had |
| 18 | consideration for using light rail instead of balancing |
| 19 | that off against the lane costs of new construction? Have |
| 20 | we done any of that kind of weighted transportation |
| 21 | planning, and have we used any of the methods that we have |
| 22 | of flexing the Federal highway moneys, the 80-20 moneys, |
| 23 | into these types of projects where we can move people |
| 24 | faster and quicker than we are now? |
| 25 | Philadelphia, for instance, and I read one study |
| | |

L

based upon one of the private light package and mail 1 2 movers was that the average speed across the Philadelphia 3 region in a 24-hour period was 17 miles an hour for their 4 vehicles, and we are close to gridlock on a lot of these. 5 No matter how much money we throw at a highway, we're 6 still going to have the same kind of problems. But vet we don't see anything coming out of that region or the 7 8 department that tells us that for this much more money we 9 could save this much money and do this and do that. And 10 is SEPTA an active partner in proposing and doing any of 11 that?

MS. EGAN: I'm not aware of that. However, I can tell you that's an excellent question. I'm going to ask our Assistant General Manager for Strategic Planning, whose responsibility right now is the design project of Schuylkill Valley and other projects, to look at that very question and try to come up with some kind of comparative number for you.

19 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Would PennDOT ask for proposals 20 when they go through this process after they go through 21 planning, is there a process for public transit to come in 22 with an unsolicited proposal to say, PennDOT, we believe 23 if you did this and we did this together, we can save an 24 awful lot of taxpayers' money and provide better service? 25 Has any of that ever been done, to your knowledge?

| 1 | MS. EGAN: I don't know. Do you know? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. HUDDER: Well, I would just say that the |
| 3 | State Transportation Committee is having hearings this |
| 4 | fall and that would be perhaps the vehicle for promoting |
| 5 | this, because I think that that hasn't been done, I don't |
| 6 | think. It's a very sensitive area between highway funding |
| 7 | and transit spending, but I think there's coming to be a |
| 8 | general recognition across the State in all areas, because |
| 9 | public transit really affects every county. We have an |
| 10 | organization called the Pennsylvania Public Transportation |
| 11 | Association, which includes every transit organization in |
| 12 | the State, and that's from the very small, from the very |
| 13 | littlest, Cumberland County with like four paratransit, up |
| 14 | to the largest, which is SEPTA, and I think the elected |
| 15 | officials are coming to the understanding that this all |
| 16 | has to mesh and this all has to, also with the highways we |
| 17 | have to consider a whole intermodal program that we can no |
| 18 | longer just look at one type of transportation. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: This General Assembly made that |
| 20 | statement with \$105 million that we cave you in capital |
| 21 | projects and the \$40-some million that we gave to |
| 22 | Pittsburgh with the hope that we could start accomplishing |
| 23 | a lot of that, and that was General Fund moneys. That |
| 24 | wasn't liquid fuels moneys. So I agree, I think that we |
| 25 | need to really get into this much, much more. As an |

economic development basis, we've got to show that there's 1 a tremendous return on investment for the investment of 2 3 public dollars into those systems rather than some of the other methodologies that we've used to test mass transit 4 in the past. And I know that our committees and this 5 6 joint hearing, we want to bring a lot of that stuff to the forefront. 7 8 MS. EGAN: And we'll attempt to do that, Chairman Geist. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Are there any other questions from the members? 11 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN GEIST: We thank you very much. 14 MS. EGAN: Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Our next testifier is Kevin 16 Evans, who is Director of Site Engineering for Crown 17 American Realty Trust. Crown American has been very active all over the State of Pennsylvania and all over 18 19 America, and they are probably, as far as I'm concerned, 20 the leading private company in working with PennDOT with 21 the infusion of private capital, along with the liquid 22 fuels moneys, to make access and egress possible for 23 creating and developing projects that really bring 24 employment to our State. 25 So Kevin, it's all yours.

1 MR. EVANS: Thank you for those kind words, Mr. 2 Chairman. 3 Good morning, members of the House Transportation Committee and the House Commerce and 4 5 Economic Development Committee. My name is Kevin Evans. I'm the Director of Site Engineering for Crown American 6 Realty Trust. Our headquarters is located in Johnstown, 7 8 Pennsylvania, and we are one of the largest developers of 9 enclosed shopping malls in the mid-Atlantic region, and 10 we're the owner/operator of 17 major retail centers within 11 the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 12 Our testimony today is on behalf of the 13 importance of encouraging public/private partnerships to 14 facilitate much-needed transportation improvements in the 15 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and to stress the economic 16 impacts that are created from these partnerships. To 17 illustrate these points, we would like to illustrate some 18 specific examples in which Crown America has worked 19 effectively with the Commonwealth and the Department of

Transportation as well as local transportation officials to accomplish regional objectives while improving the local road work and creating new economic development opportunities.

24The first project we'd like to talk about occurs25in the city of Scranton and Dixon City Borough in

1 Lackawanna County. Crown American there is owner of the 2 Viewmont Mall and Viewmont Commerce Center, which 3 combined, comprises 1.2 million square feet of retail 4 development. We have worked closely with the Commonwealth 5 of Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, Lackawanna 6 County, the city of Scranton, and the Borough of Dixon 7 City to develop a strategy to improve the local road 8 network while providing an opportunity for expansion of 9 the properties we have, the Viewmont Mall and the Viewmont 10 Commerce Center, as well as additional economic development by adjoining property owners. 11 It has been a 12 partnership that has really been spearheaded by PennDOT, 13 but all the other partners have worked closely together. 14 This partnership has resulted in approximately \$9 million 15 being invested to improve the local roadway system.

16 Let me talk a little bit about how that works. 17 First, to increase new development in the area, Crown 18 American has spent \$3.2 million of our own money to build 19 a new roadway called Viewmont Drive. It's an over 1 mile 20 road, four lanes which connects Main Avenue in Dixon City 21 Borough to Route 6. Today, Viewmont Drive is a primary 22 access road connecting Viewmont Mall, Viewmont Commerce 23 Center, and Dixon City Crossings. In addition, Crown has 24 contributed \$1.25 million to widen, resignalize, and 25 improve Main Avenue and also upgrade ramps to exit 56 of

1 | Interstate 81 in Lackawanna County.

2 In addition, PennDOT and the Dixon City Borough 3 General Authority contributed \$4.5 million for 4 improvements to Route 6 and the construction of a new roadway called Commerce Drive in Dixon City Borough, which 5 connects to Route 6. Together, these improvements provide 6 7 the highway capacity necessary to allow the expansion of Viewmont Mall to over 900,000 square feet. In addition, 8 9 they allowed for the opening of Viewmont Commerce Center, 10 a new 425,000 square foot office and retail development 11 area.

12 By allowing this new development to move 13 forward, the investment of local road systems served as 14 the economic stimulus for the region in need of job 15 creation and tax base expansion. During the construction 16 of Viewmont Mall, expansion and the opening of the 17 commerce center, nearly 400 new construction jobs were 18 generated, and an additional 1,100 new employment 19 opportunities were created for full-time residents. This 20 generates an annual payroll in excess of \$19 million, and 21 expansion to the local and State tax base by generating 22 annual revenue increases in excess of \$1.6 million in 23 property and real estate taxes, \$1.7 million in State 24 sales taxes, \$532,000 in State income tax, and nearly \$300,000 in local income tax. 25

While this partnership was successful in 1 2 increasing the access and mobility of the local road 3 network, Crown American has also continued to work 4 ongoingly with the Department of Transportation to address 5 additional needs along Interstate 81, specifically exits 56 and 57. On two occasions, Crown has offered testimony 6 7 at the State Transportation Commission in support of the 8 hearings, we've attended meetings of the MPO trying to 9 encourage and elicit the support of PennDOT District 4 to 10 secure funding for reconstruction of exit ramps at Exit 56 11 on Interstate 81. Although we have not been successful in 12 securing these funds, we have worked through the T-21 bill 13 to obtain \$1.3 million for the construction of the new 14 access ramp to be located midway between Exit 56 and 57. 15 This project is a much lower cost than reconstruction of 16 Exit 56, which is estimated at over \$10 million. 17 The proposed access ramp which we are currently 18 working on includes the construction of northbound 19 on-ramps and off-ramps approximately 5,000 feet north of 20 Exit 56 and 3,000 feet south of Exit 57. These ramps will 21 provide a direct access to the 180-acre retail center near 22 the project. 23 This appropriation of Federal highway funds for

23 This appropriation of Federal highway funds for
24 this project is an excellent example of how the public
25 investment through the roadway system can be used to

leverage public investment in the community and foster 1 2 economic revitalization. The access ramp will provide direct access to the existing retail center, while 3 channeling traffic away from Exits 56 and 57. 4 In addition, the new ramps will also provide opportunity for 5 continued development to out parcels currently designated 6 for future development. This combined development has the 7 8 potential for creating another 500 new jobs to the center, and an additional 200 jobs as spin-off jobs. These jobs 9 10 increase the annual payroll by another \$8 million.

In addition, the project would also provide access to another 335 acres of land which has been designated for industrial, commercial, and recreational use in Dixon City Borough. Development of this property presents an unprecedented opportunity for economic growth and new job creation.

17 The primary objective of the new ramp system is to facilitate the region's goal of relieving traffic 18 19 congestion and promote economic growth. The new ramps 20 reduce traffic and both back-ups on Exits 56 and 57 of Interstate 81, and also alleviates traffic on Route 6. 21 To 22 bring these projects to fruition, Crown is prepared to donate the right-of-way that would be needed for the 23 24 construction of these ramps. The estimated value of that is nearly \$600,000. 25

Looking at all these projects together, and if you look at the total contribution made to these projects, Crown's contribution to the project will be over \$5 million. In addition, the State and local government contribution is nearly \$6 million. We feel this is a prime example of how the public and private partnerships can work together to really facilitate a project.

8 Another project that we worked on successfully 9 is in Lackawanna County, where we worked with PennDOT, the 10 Lackawanna County Planning Commission to improve the 11 traffic in the vicinity of the Lycoming Mall, which is 12 located in Muncy Township. In consultation with the 13 Department of Transportation, Crown has entered into a 14 formal agreement with the Lycoming County Planning Commission to form a voluntary public/private partnership 15 16 to provide access to the mall, and at the same time create 17 jobs for the region.

As part of this agreement, Crown has made a
significant investment to the local road network,
including the installation of new traffic signals, the
installation of widening projects to the ramps, as well as
ramp improvements at Halls Station. These improvements
cost about \$425,000.

In addition, PennDOT completed a \$1.6 million
project in the same area of the Halls Station corridor,

1 again a way that both the public and private partnership 2 has worked together. We continue this today in that we're 3 working with the Lycoming County Planning Commission to 4 secure approximately \$7.8 million in Federal 5 appropriations for the construction of new exit ramps and 6 the widening of the bridge structure at the Interstate 7 180/Lycoming Mall road interchange. These improvements 8 are needed to meet the existing traffic demands and to 9 accommodate the planned expansions in and around the 10 facility. In total, this project will provide safer and 11 more efficient access to nearly 250 acres of land which 12 can be used for new development or expansion of existing 13 businesses. 14 Crown operates a mall in this area called the

Lycoming Mall, which is approximately 900,000 square feet. In addition, there are seven separately owned outparcel developments comprising another 200,000 square foot of space. This development provides 1,500 full- and part-time positions.

The implementation of the new ramp system and the road improvements will add an additional 500,000 square feet of development at the Lycoming Mall. This expansion will create an additional 1,200 full- and part-time positions, and at the same time create 500 spinoff jobs and more than 300 construction jobs. In

total, these jobs will result in \$28 million in new payroll, and once this is completed, the mall will employ approximately 2,700 full- and part-time workers. Local tax revenue will also increase substantially. Crown's expansion will provide increases in \$282,000 in local income taxes, \$20,000 in local occupational withholding taxes, and nearly \$789,000 in State withholding taxes.

8 In addition, by creating new job opportunities and increasing tax revenues, the improvements also serve 9 10 to reduce congestion and improve the safety of the local 11 roadway network. Traffic studies conducted by the 12 Lycoming County Planning Commission indicate that these 13 improvements will significantly reduce congestion 14 throughout the county corridor. This project was 15 specifically recommended in the County Planning 16 Commission's Phase II Pennsylvania Route 405 Corridor 17 Study, which was implemented to address transportation and 18 safety capacity efficiencies throughout Lycoming County.

19 These are the two projects where the 20 public/private partnership mechanism has really worked 21 successfully. It is clear that investments in our 22 transportation system can serve as an effective catalyst 23 for economic growth. However, the potential for new job 24 creation and development are best maximized through the 25 pooling of resources between private corporations and

public agencies. These public/private partnerships are
 essential to ensure that highway improvements are designed
 to serve the Commonwealth's goal of creating a safe and
 more efficient transportation network, while providing new
 opportunities for job creation and economic development.

6 On behalf of Crown American, I would like to 7 thank all members of the committees for allowing us to 8 present this testimony today with regard to the value of 9 public/private partnerships. Crown American looks forward 10 to making future public investments toward improving our 11 transportation system and creating new jobs for 12 Pennsylvania.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Kevin, thank you very much. 13 Ι think that the testimony that you gave was outstanding. 14 15 It clearly shows that PennDOT has been working with the private sector to create jobs, to create capital 16 17 investment, and the spinoff that you point out to local 18 school districts and local municipalities in the tax 19 revenues generated clearly show what we believe is what 20 this General Assembly is about in creating the atmosphere 21 for that kind of investment in development to happen with 22 the local government being able to have control of 23 projects once they're completed. I think that PennDOT should be applauded for what they're doing, and I think 24 25 that Crown American should be applauded also.

1 If there are any questions. 2 Joe. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much 4 for your testimony. I'm just south of that one 5 development you talk about, Viewmont Mall. I'm familiar with that. We're in Monroe County, and we have a 6 7 tremendous amount of pressure for commercial development along the Route 611 corridor and 940 corridor, and we do 8 9 this very thing that you're talking about. We meet with 10 private developers of course and assess certain amounts 11 that each entity will contribute, the private developer, 12 PennDOT, sometimes the Feds and the county and so forth. 13 We do it informally. My question to you is, is that the 14 way you work, informally? You sit down with PennDOT, you 15. and local governments sit down and assess impacts based 16 upon traffic counts? 17 I ask that because we do have a formal piece of legislation that I don't think anybody ever used, I don't 18 19 know if anybody uses that, because it seems to be a 20 cumbersome mechanism, but some people talk about the fact 21 that you can't arrive at decisions informally. I say you 22 can. I'm asking you, what method do you use? MR. EVANS: We've actually done it both ways. 23 24 In Lackawanna County, it was really an informal mechanism 25 where the city, the borough, PennDOT, as well as the

1 development community sat down together and we probably 2 met no fewer than two dozen times working through the 3 project and deciding who was going to be responsible for 4 what in determining whose share is responsible for what. 5 In that way it worked well informally. In Lycoming 6 County, there was actually a formal document that was 7 created between PennDOT, Crown, and the Lycoming County Planning Commission, where we projected what development 8 9 we were looking at doing, we prepared the traffic report, 10 working with PennDOT, to determine the improvements that 11 would be necessary, and then it was determined that there 12 were certain improvements that PennDOT could make that the 13 county could work together with and improvements that we 14 would work on, and we actually created a formal document 15 that listed, as the project came to fruition in phases, 16 what improvements needed to go in there. There were 17 improvements that we would actually put money into an 18 escrow account, that as PennDOT made improvements, those 19 funds were drawn. So it can really be done both ways. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: So you actually were 21 implementing the principle of concurrency? 22 MR. EVANS: Yes. 23 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: But you weren't using 24 the piece of legislation that we passed? 25 MR. EVANS: NO.

| | 97 |
|----|---|
| 1 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: You were dealing with |
| 2 | it just more informally? |
| 3 | MR. EVANS: Right. |
| 4 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much. |
| 5 | Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: I don't see any other |
| 7 | questions, and at this time I'm going to invoke the |
| 8 | Chairman's prerogative, since we're running so late in |
| 9 | that, I don't know if we're running on Norfolk Southern |
| 10 | time or General Assembly time, but at this time I'm going |
| 11 | to call a 35-minute recess so that we can grab lunch, and |
| 12 | then |
| 13 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Could I just ask you |
| 14 | one thing, before you do that, I hate to usurp excellent |
| 15 | cooperation, I have two people here who are testifying |
| 16 | next and both are business, one is a business person and |
| 17 | one that have to get back. So they will not take that |
| 18 | long, both Paul Canevari and Chuck Leonard. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Okay, we're going to do this |
| 20 | Norfolk Southern style and you guys can go next and then |
| 21 | we'll break for lunch. |
| 22 | And Joe said since they're buying lunch, they |
| 23 | can go next. |
| 24 | It's all yours. |
| 25 | MR. CANEVARI: Good afternoon, Honorable |

Chairmen and committee members. My name is Paul
 Canevari. I am on the Board of Directors of the Monroe
 County Railroad Authority, and Vice Chairman of the Monroe
 County Industrial Development Corporation, and Chairman of
 the Board of the Pocono Mountains Chamber of Commerce.

In my capacity as Community Development Director 6 7 for Pennsylvania Power & Light Company, I work directly 8 with local and regional agencies responsible for economic 9 development. I wish to thank this committee for the opportunity to address the issue of railroads and their 10 11 direct link to the success of economic development in 12 Pennsylvania. As a board member with the Monroe County 13 Railroad Authority, we have been directly involved in 14 preserving and rehabilitating rail lines in northeastern 15 Pennsylvania. These important rail lines faced 16 abandonment and liquidation.

17 Our efforts at preserving the former Conrail 18 line through the Poconos enabled a major economic 19 development project to be completed. The project resulted 20 in the location of two new industries in Pennsylvania. At 21 Mt. Pocono, in close partnership with the Lackawanna 22 County Railroad Authority and PP&L, we assisted in 23 locating a \$39 million state-of-the-art flour mill built 24 by Harvest States Cooperatives from Minneapolis, 25 Minnesota. The flour mill currently receives unit trains

1 of wheat over the former Conrail main line, which we have 2 rehabilitated. The mill has created approximately 40 new 3 permanent jobs in our region and will almost certainly 4 create hundreds more with the addition of a bakery and a 5 pasta plant.

In Fresco, the Monroe County Rail Authority was 6 7 crucial in locating Best Way Lumber Products, which will 8 provide many more new jobs to our region. Again, the 9 rehabilitation of the former Conrail line has been the 10 major motivating incentive for this company to relocate in 11 Pennsylvania. Rebuilt rail lines have become major 12 catalysts to spur location of new industries and jobs in 13 our great State.

14 I come before you today to ask your support and 15 assistance in the pursuit of further economic growth in our region of Pennsylvania. Specifically, on behalf of 16 17 Monroe County and the Monroe County Rail Authority, I ask 18 this committee's support and assistance in obtaining the 19 release of the Pennsylvania capital budget line item of 20 \$1.4 million. This money will allow the Monroe County 21 Rail Authority to acquire and rehabilitate a 15-mile rail 22 line that runs directly through the center of the county. 23 This rail line, currently owned by Norfolk Southern 24 Corporation, has been the subject of acquisition 25 negotiations between the Monroe County Rail Authority and Norfolk Southern. The release of these funds is now
 viable in order to complete the purchase of the
 rehabilitation of the rail line.

With the acumen and skills that the Monroe 4 5 County Rail Authority possesses, and working in close partnership with the Monroe County Industrial Development 6 7 Authority, this rail line acquisition will assuredly lead to further industrial relocations in Pennsylvania. Our 8 success speaks for itself. We are able to take 9 10 underutilized, even abandoned rail lines and turn them 11 into industrial corridors that become magnets for new 12 industry. We are experts in approaching and identifying 13 rail dependent industries like flour mills and lumber 14 companies and providing them with local incentives to 15 locate in Pennsylvania, even with the sharp competition 16 that exists with neighboring States. These companies 17 provide high quality, good paying jobs for the people of 18 Pennsylvania, and help the State and local tax base.

19 The acquisition of the 15-mile rail line that is 20 the subject of my remarks today also allows the operation 21 of tourist train excursions. Tourist trains will be an 22 obvious benefit to one of our other major industries in 23 the Poconos - the tourism industry. The acquisition of 24 this line will allow Monroe County Rail Authority to work 25 with the National Park Service through the Steamtown

National Historic site and the Delaware Water Gap National 1 2 Recreation Area to connect the two sites with steam power excursion trains which currently run between Scranton and 3 Mt. Pocono. This connection could open a new avenue of 4 5 travel for Pocono visitors, greatly enhancing the tourism potential for our region. In addition, this rail line 6 7 will form an integral link in the Scranton to New York City rail link, which is being reconstructed as a means of 8 9 commuter passenger service to help alleviate the severe 10 highway congestion problems occurring on Interstate 80 11 between Pennsylvania and New Jersey. We greatly 12 anticipate that the resumption of this passenger service 13 will also enhance white collar industry such as the 14 attraction of back office, administrative office parks in 15 Pennsylvania.

I again would like to thank this committee for 16 17 this opportunity to request your direct support in the 18 release of the State capital line item for this vital rail 19 economic development project for our region of 20 Pennsylvania. I also personally thank Representative Joe 21 Battisto for his unceasing efforts in directly helping us 22 to acquire and rehabilitate this rail line. Without his 23 efforts as our champion in these rail projects, we 24 certainly would not have come as far as we have or have 25 been as successful in our results.

Thank you very much.

2 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you. I also echo that 3 your champion is Joe Battisto. He's done a heck of a job 4 for you.

5 Let me ask Charles, since so much of your 6 testimony, I just read through both of them, are the same, 7 if you could go ahead and do yours and summarize, and I 8 have a couple questions and then I want to ask you about 9 the MPO process in your region.

10 MR. LEONARD: Okay, we'll try to do that. My 11 name is Charles Leonard, the Executive Director of the 12 Monroe County Industrial Development Authority. I will 13 try to be brief. I think that our comments today are I 14 guess influenced by the fact that we are a community that 15 has been characterized or has been subject to a rapid and 16 ever-increasing population growth over the recent years, 17 and I think that my comments are definitely, I guess, 18 influenced by that particular phenomenon that we're 19 confronted with.

20 Our location provides us with some unique 21 advantage with regard to the attraction and retention of 22 business and industry, and since we're talking here about 23 economic development, that's what I want to focus on. We 24 are blessed by the fact that east-west travelers on Route 25 80 enter Monroe County first when arriving in Pennsylvania. This market access factor provides us with a selling point, whether we are pitching a company that desires to be as close to the northeastern marketplace as possible, or the family planning a weekend skiing in the Poconos. This market access is absolutely dependent upon an excellent transportation system.

7 Of course, this proximity to the marketplace is 8 primarily functioned on the interstate system, but to 9 retain Pennsylvania business and to attract the new 10 industries we need for the future, we must confront our 11 extreme congestion and ensure an adequate system of State 12 and local roads for providing access to that system.

13 In the 20 years of economic development work all 14 within Pennsylvania, I have never worked with a company 15 that was willing to relocate on the promise of future 16 roads or infrastructure. It must be in place. We must be 17 willing to invest the dollars necessary to adequately 18 prepare for the future we desire for Pennsylvania. Roads 19 are an investment that must be made if we are to compete 20 for the jobs of the future. Any discussion of the 21 symbiotic relationship between economic development and 22 transportation must include air travel. For some 23 companies, air travel availability is a mere convenience 24 issue, but for many it's an absolute necessity. As firms 25 have become more globally involved, the availability of

quality of air service has increased in importance for
 business decisionmakers.

3 Monroe County has adequate access to commercial 4 flights via Lehigh Valley International, Avoca, and for 5 those who want to drive, Newark, New Jersey, but the most critical airport to us, from a business retention and 6 7 retraction standpoint, is the General Aviation Airport in 8 Coolbaugh Township known as the Mt. Pocono Municipal Airport. Our Pocono Mountains Industrial Park is 9 10 immediately adjacent to this facility and we market the 11 airport as an amenity. Many local corporate enterprises 12 have occasion to use this facility, but some have 13 indicated that if it weren't for this airport, they would 14 seek an alternative location to our community.

15 Our largest private sector employer, Pasteur 16 Merieux Connaught uses the service of this local airport 17 more than 150 times per year. We estimate that this 18 airport is an asset that helps us retain thousands of 19 Monroe County jobs, and we urge the State of Pennsylvania 20 and the legislature to continue to recognize these small 21 airport facilities as critical assets to job creation and 22 retention.

Paul has already talked about rail service.
Rail service to our community is critical and the growth
of freight service to our community is absolutely a great

asset for us. But I think I would like to make a few 1 comments on passenger rail. We believe that the State, 2 3 and we heard some comments earlier about it, needs to do rails in alternative to highway transportation. We are 4 subject to a considerable amount of congestion going into 5 6 New Jersey and coming out of it, and we believe that in the future we will have the growth that will require us to 7 8 seek alternatives to highway transportation, and we 9 believe that it will enhance our local economy and conserve our quality of life, and when I say that I mean 10 11 passenger rail service. We believe that Pennsylvania should assume a leadership role in the effort to establish 12 13 passenger rail alternatives for its people.

The development of our transportation resources 14 will determine the future of Pennsylvania. To assure a 15 16 healthy economy, we must make a commitment to assuring an 17 excellent transportation network. Economic development 18 and transportation are inextricably linked. We applaud 19 the committees for recognizing that out of transportation 20 infrastructure, economic development will grow. We thank 21 you for the opportunity to present before you to today, 22 and we will answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much. I know
both of you guys have been very active in the MPO process
as we have it today. How in the world do we ever have

1 Monroe County and that region up there interact with the 2 State of New Jersey and the State of New York so that we 3 can build a commuter rail line that's absolutely 4 desperately needed and would relieve tremendous pressure 5 on Interstate 80 and would save so much productive time, there's so much lost time for people who do that daily. 6 7 What would be your suggestion to us as legislators to 8 enhance the projects and enhance the process so that we 9 can get about the business of investing in this? You 10 don't go into New Jersey with your planning process, but 11 you're tied big time to it. 12 MR. CANEVARI: We recognize this 15 miles of 13 track that we're talking about today goes right to the 14 Delaware River. 15 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right. 16 MR. CANEVARI: Beyond that, the only other area 17 that needs to be acquired is the bridge that goes from 18 Pennsylvania to New Jersey. I believe for the most part,

19 everything is in place in New Jersey, with the exception 20 of 26 miles of track that must be rebuilt. The State has 21 acquired that right-of-way, but since it was sold, and I 22 don't remember when exactly that was, the track and the 23 ballast and everything there has been removed. So in 24 essence, once this line is acquired in Pennsylvania, and 25 the ball is in New Jersey's hands to move forward with the

| 1 | project. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: And I think our relationship with |
| 3 | New Jersey in regard to rail service has been excellent |
| 4 | and really has occurred outside of the MPO process, and in |
| 5 | regard to highways, I'm not aware of any |
| 6 | interrelationships that occur within the MPO process. |
| 7 | Definitely the MPO process is definitely lacking in that |
| 8 | regard. There's no doubt about it. |
| 9 | MR. CANEVARI: And also recognize that I believe |
| 10 | that something like 15,000 to 20,000 of our residents of |
| 11 | Monroe County daily travel, via bus or automobile, from |
| 12 | Monroe County across the border to New Jersey and New York |
| 13 | to work. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you. |
| 15 | Joe. |
| 16 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Of course, Paul |
| 17 | focused on the 15-mile segment, and that 15-mile segment, |
| 18 | the track these he's talking about, runs from East |
| 19 | Stroudsburg to the New Jersey line. West of there, the |
| 20 | Monroe County Rail Authority owns a segment as far as |
| 21 | Pocono Summit, and from there to Scranton, the Lackawanna |
| 22 | County Rail Authority owns that. But with the acquisition |
| 23 | of that 15 miles they're talking about and the |
| 24 | rehabilitation of it, that's all part of one capital |
| 25 | allocation, the entire line from Scranton to the New |

I

Jersey border will be in pretty good condition certainly 1 2 for freight service, and for passenger service too. 3 There's one thing though that was brought up, and I don't know if you were here, were you here when the 4 5 Deputy Secretary was before us and testified? 6 MR. CANEVARI: Only partial. 7 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Okay, but we talked about grade crossings, and you just might address that, 8 9 with respect to that segment of line. What about the 10 condition of them and the need for eliminating them? They're expensive, those undertakings are expensive, but 11 12 is that a problem? What kind of problem is that? MR. LEONARD: Well, it's a critical problem. 13 Of 14 course, in order to make freight service cost effective, 15 and I think also with especially passenger service, these 16 grade crossings are going to have to be eliminated or 17 major dollars will have to be expended. And I can't tell 18 you off the top of my head, Joe, how many there are, but I 19 know that there are a number that are problematic, 20 especially on the line that Paul is addressing, and it's 21 something that's a cost factor that we'll have to give 22 consideration to. 23 **REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO:** I focus on that only 24 because certainly I know about that line, but this is a 25 statewide problem and we're going to have to make some

1 kind of real effort to deal with grade crossings 2 throughout the Commonwealth, because they certainly affect 3 the efficiency of a rail line, no matter if you're talking 4 about rail passenger service or freight service. I think 5 we ought to really focus on that issue. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much. I just checked with the other panelists and there were no 8 9 questions. We want to thank you very much, and I'm 10 anxious to see an MPO that brings a rail project as their 11 number one project in their rankings. 12 At this time, at the suggestion of staff, we're 13 going to break for 20 minutes and then reconvene back here 14 and hear some exciting testimony from Marty Marasco. 15 (Whereupon, the proceedings were recessed at 16 12:25 and were reconvened at 1:05 p.m.) 17 CHAIRMAN HASAY: This hearing of the House Commerce Committee and the House Transportation Committee 18 will come to order. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: First of all, I want to thank 21 everybody for their patience. This morning we allowed the 22 schedule to run overtime because there were so many 23 questions from the committee. So after our short lunch 24 break, it is my pleasure right now to first of all do a 25 little bragging and then do a little introduction.

1 We in Blair County are very proud of our ABCD 2 Corporation, and that ABCD Corporation was voted the best 3 economic development group in the State of Pennsylvania, 4 and I believe that Marty Marasco, who runs that agency, 5 clearly deserves the crown that he wears. It's an awfully 6 hard job when you're in first place to stay there. So 7 it's a wonderful compliment and it's also a great 8 challenge.

9 Along with Marty today is Brian McFarland, 10 Director of Logistics for the Ward Corporation. For those 11 of you who don't know the Ward Corporation, it's one of 12 the biggest trucking companies in the United States, 13 headquartered in Altoona Pennsylvania, and a company that 14 has been at the cutting edge of growth and innovation for 15 50 years. And at this time I would like to turn it over 16 the Marty and to Brian, and I know that Marty is probably 17 going to summarize his testimony so that we can get to the 18 salient points.

Gentlemen, you're on.

19

20

MR. MARASCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, distinguished members of the committee. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to be here with you today to underscore the importance of transportation to the mission of the organization that I represent, that of economic

development. As Chairman Geist said, I have Brian
 McFarland with me today. He's the Director of Logistics
 with the Ward Corporation of Pennsylvania.

My name is Martin J. Marasco. I'm the Executive 4 5 Director of ABCD Corporation. Our organization serves as a catalyst in the formulation, implementation, and 6 7 promotion of economic development initiatives aimed at the 8 selective attraction of new business to the area, the 9 retention and expansion of existing business, and the 10 startup of new enterprises. We are dedicated to business 11 growth, job retention and creation primarily in the 12 Altoona/Blair County area. However, our mission 13 encompasses the broader regional focus which emphasizes 14 extending along the I-99 regional corridor, and area that 15 we refer to as the "green banana," and both Brian and I are both wearing our "green banana" pins. We've been 16 17 accused oftentimes of being pickle salesmen, but we refer to it as an area that's ready to ripen. 18

19Rick referred to us as the economic development20agency of the year. We were very proud of that21selection. We recognize that a commitment to a team22effort is the strongest underlying theme that contributes23to the success of our organization. In referring to24teamwork within our organization, I'm talking about our25staff, our board of directors, our committees, in relation

with our local, State, and Federal elected officials,
 State and Federal agencies and departments, and in
 conjunction with our service provider partners and
 educational institutions. We would like to think we're
 creating a cooperative advantage.

6 The goal of economic development is the creation 7 of a business operating environment that is conducive to 8 employment growth. We want to assist businesses in 9 prospering so that they are in a position to create 10 long-term stable employment opportunities, bring new 11 investment and maintain investment, to sustain the 12 economic well-being of the community and achieve growth.

13 As in the past, Blair County's and the State of 14 Pennsylvania's future economic growth and development will 15 depend largely upon the adequacy of the local, regional, 16 statewide transportation system. During the past 20 17 years, and especially the last 10 years, there have been 18 countless publications and reports focused on life in the 19 21st century. It has commonly been referred to as the 20 information age, the age of technology, the fifth wave of 21 industrialization. If I may quote, "Advances in 22 transportation technology and infrastructure have long 23 been catalysts of change in the business world and sources of competitive advantage." 24

25

Transportation improvements have preceded every

1 stage of industrial development in our history. As we 2 begin the 21st century, a new wave of industrialization is emerging that will be based on innovations and logistics 3 and manufacturing. An industrialized age that will depend 4 5 even more on a fast and reliable transportation network that minimizes the cost of production. A leading 6 7 logistics company recently reported that manufacturing and 8 distribution executives consider product delivery as 9 important as product quality.

I would like to comment on the potentially
enormous cooperative advantage that is being created right
here in Pennsylvania by teaming up with the high-impact
diversity of transportation with the wisdom and
broad-based viewpoint of the economic development
community.

First, I would like to point out the major overriding trends that will help shape the future of economic development and transportation right here in Pennsylvania.

Economic globalization means booming world trade in merchandise, and that will mandate a parallel boom in the movement of goods and people. There is no question that the transportation of merchandise, business travelers and tourists around the world is and will continue to be a high-growth business with fierce competition among all

1 modes of travel.

| 2 | Transportation already consumes more than 20 |
|----|--|
| 3 | percent of the world's primary energy needs and probably |
| 4 | creates more air pollution than manufacturing does. |
| 5 | That's with hundreds of millions of cars on the roads of |
| 6 | the world. The Federal Highway Administration forecasts |
| 7 | that congestion on America's highways will quadruple by |
| 8 | 2005, resulting in an enormous loss of productive time. |
| 9 | It will take entirely new ways of thinking about |
| 10 | transportation to minimize environmental degradation and |
| 11 | the risk of occasional fuel shortages. Competitive |
| 12 | success will require vision and aggressive action to put |
| 13 | in place entirely new infrastructures that fully integrate |
| 14 | all transportation modes, telecommunications, and |
| 15 | industrial facilities to cut sourcing, production, and |
| 16 | delivery cycle times. Special attention must be given to |
| 17 | creating seamless intermodal interfaces and to the |
| 18 | infrastructure elements that will support those |
| 19 | interfaces, and in the process meet the challenge and |
| 20 | provide Pennsylvania manufacturers with strategic |
| 21 | advantages in the new speed-driven economic era. |
| 22 | In a world of interstate branch banking, instant |
| 23 | telecommunications, and dispersal of high-tech |
| 24 | entrepreneurialship, major businesses' growth can be |
| 25 | headquartered anywhere, and anywhere is where they will |

be. This trend will favor the creation of new businesses
 in places that are attractive to live in, often in less
 congested areas near metroplexes.

4 As economic development professionals, we see both near-term and long-term opportunities for 21st 5 The most important of these may be the 6 century growth. 7 urgency to open up more and more south trade quarters. Keep in mind that in 1997, Canada and Mexico accounted for 8 9 nearly one-third of U.S. goods trade. In 1996, over \$400 10 billion worth of goods moved by land between these three 11 countries, an increase of 21 percent since 1994. In our 12 view, we are just starting to see the logistic hubs that 13 are centrally located for north/south as well as east/west 14 distribution. We must strengthen that positioning by 15 strategically strengthening our transportation 16 infrastructure and then leveraging that investment with 17 exciting new KOZ opportunities, brownfield initiatives, enterprise zones, and utility infrastructure development, 18 19 all power points to produce very robust opportunities for 20 job creation centers and all based on 21st century 21 transportation realities.

Fast growth can come from disproportionate investment. It happens when you focus on your power points, on the big winner behaviors.

25

We talk about alliances, and in Altoona, our

1 heart and soul is transportation, and the movement of 2 goods was our very reason for being. The transportation 3 providers will need, more than ever, productivity 4 enhancing, technologically up-to-the-minute equipment. We 5 welcome the aggressive engineering and construction 6 management of Norfolk Southern to our community. We are 7 we're confident that Norfolk Southern's effort to reduce 8 costs and improve productivity will allow for even more 9 focus on seamless marketing and new product development. 10 We are sitting here in the heart of the Keystone 11 State, a name still very much applicable. When the 12 question of market access comes to the table, 13 Pennsylvania's total market access is superb, but in order 14 to strengthen that position, the development of secondary 15 and tertiary product catch basins to feed the market 16 pipeline is vital. Where there are natural catch basins 17 in place, logistic facilities, some on the cutting edge, 18 need to be developed for manufacturing, retail, and 19 distribution clusters in order to strengthen the 20 feed-through concept. The hub-and-spoke transportation 21 system must be developed to help balance the issues of 22 timely delivery and cost. Pragmatically, the real issue 23 is not "just in time" but "need it now." And relating 24 today's needs to tomorrow's marketplace will be critical. 25 Finally, as an economic development agency, we

1 pragmatically realize the distribution of transportation 2 is a very, very capital intensive business and will become 3 even more so as information technology and rolling stock 4 is improved and upgraded. Most companies really do 5 understand the concept of activity-based costing. It is more than vital for our success and our global 6 7 competitiveness that they locate their key operations 8 where they have access to the highest value work force, 9 and with regional transportation partners ready to go. 10 Call this the required geography of logistics, if you 11 will, the ability to meet customer service requirements, 12 present and future, and to do that in acceptable costs may 13 very well be the most important question to be answered in 14 the strategic planning process of both transportation 15 providers and this very committee.

16 In the heart of the I-99 growth corridor, we 17 have several major distribution facilities already 18 expanding. An aggressive Norfolk Southern industrial 19 development department soliciting new business, and a 20 technically up-to-date airport with a vision for a runway 21 adjacent cargo park. And very importantly, our elected 22 Representatives, and at this time I would like to 23 acknowledge Representative Geist for his continued support 24 and enthusiasm as far as our overall program goes, 25 particularly in transportation and economic development,

1 all of these people to a man understand the critical 2 importance of ready-to-go transportation infrastructure 3 and its impact in the job creation process. The number 4 one initiative in the strategic plan for the Altoona-Blair 5 County Development Corporation is the identification and development of land to create an attractive menu of full 6 7 service business and industrial parks to serve the ever 8 growing needs of our existing industrial base, as well as 9 to properly position the corporation to attract new 10 business opportunities in Blair County.

ABCD Corporation has expended considerable time, 11 12 energy, and financial resources in moving forward to 13 implement this number one initiative. After a number of 14 years of analysis and negotiations, we are beginning to 15 see positive results of our actions and efforts. However, 16 development opportunity in Blair County is still hampered 17 by insufficient utility infrastructure and access systems 18 serving existing and potential development sites.

One project that I would like to highlight
exemplifies economic development potential supported by
transportation infrastructure. Negotiations continue with
both Norfolk Southern and a private property owner to move
forward on the acquisition and development of parcels
north of the city of Altoona in what we refer to as the
Northern Altoona Industrial Park, an area of over 700

acres that has recently been designated by the Governor as
 a Keystone Opportunity Zone. This effort reinforces the
 importance of the completion of the Northern Altoona
 Access Improvements Project that connects these areas of
 Altoona, Logan, and Antis Townships to the Pinecroft
 Interchange of I-99.

7 If I may, Mr. Chairman, for a second, we have 8 some visuals here. I want to give you a perspective. The 9 first one shows the I-99 corridor, which is a regional 10 interconnected corridor that will eventually tie in I-76 11 in the south in Bedford County through Blair County, 12 through Centre County, up to I-80. That is very 13 critical. That is what we refer to as the "green banana," 14 if you will.

We have in place at this time an enterprise zone that was originally just focusing on the city of Altoona. We have expanded that zone that now includes six municipalities. It is a prime example of intergovernmental cooperation, and it encompasses the sites that I just mentioned to you.

We have what we believe is a tremendous opportunity. On the right is the I-99 corridor. The Pinecroft Interchange sits there at the very top on the right-hand side (indicating). The area there that says Northern Altoona Industrial Site are the two properties

that I had referred to. Within a designated enterprise
 zone, a Keystone Opportunity Zone, underutilized areas.
 Also a brownfield site. Brownfield initiative has already
 been undertaken on the one site, and we hope in the very
 near future on the second side if we are successful in
 acquiring that property.

7 All major infrastructure is in close proximity. 8 The city of Altoona has a recently completed a \$48 million 9 sewage treatment plant. We can get over 3 million gallons 10 of water a day into the site, all electric, gas service. 11 What is really needed here is interconnectors to provide 12 access from this site and from other areas in northern 13 Altoona to the Pinecroft interchange. We have the Ward 14 Corporation, their three warehouse and distribution 15. facilities are very near the Juniata and Eighth Street 16 Bridge. We have the Penn State campus of Altoona, which 17 has just been expanded to a four-year campus. We have a major regional hospital. All of these require the access 18 19 that an interchange is very critical.

20 So we've put a lot of the elements in place to 21 make this a very attractive area for medium to heavy 22 industrial, or warehousing distribution, or even possibly 23 an intermodal facility. And again, we have the main line 24 of Norfolk Southern that extends along the property of 25 that site.

I would be remiss if I did not mention the 1 2 Altoona-Blair County Airport, since air cargo will play a 3 major role in the 21st century in the new wave of 4 industrialization. Transportation improvements to the 5 Altoona-Blair County Airport must remain a priority in 6 order to maximize past public investment and capitalize 7 upon the additional economic development potential that exists at the airport. The Altoona-Blair County Airport 8 9 offers not only an additional transportation mode, but 10 functions as a significant economic contributor to the 11 local regional economy.

12 In order to better serve the traveling public, 13 and as companies become more globally oriented, improved 14 airport access systems are mandatory to support long term 15 economic growth in Blair County. A multi-phased plan 16 supports the development of a complex that will provide 17 the infrastructure and facilities necessary to promote 18 expanded business and general aviation services at the 19 airport. What is needed in conjunction with the overall 20 development plan for the airport is improvement of the local highway network which acts as an essential connector 21 22 to the regional highway network, the I-99 corridor.

In closing, if I appear to be leveraging some of
our local strengths, my interest was simply to cite
examples process. We may well be qualified to do this as

1 Pennsylvania's I-99 "green banana" regional growth 2 corridor, the only north/south limited access corridor 3 west of Harrisburg, soon to connect I-80 and the Pennsylvania Turnpike. Much of the recent success that 4 5 has been achieved in Bedford and Blair Counties is being triggered by the improvements to our regional highway 6 7 system. We're rapidly emerging as the logical off-metroplex growth corridor, and some of our strongest 8 9 growth is in distribution. Rather like a core competency. 10 Finally, we must continue to treat transportation as an economic development investment with 11 12 the biggest of economic multipliers, keeping in mind that 13 in the next decade, Pennsylvania's positioning as the hub, 14 the crossroads of transportation between the dynamic new 15 south, the growing lower Canadian market, the revitalized 16 midwest, and the eastern metroplex, puts us in an enviable 17 position to seize a competitive economic advantage for our people and the Commonwealth. We believe that a flexible 18 19 and efficient transportation system linking suppliers, 20 producers, and end users is vital for Pennsylvania to succeed in the new global economy. Speed and flexibility 21 22 are central to creating value today, and will remain even more so in the future. 23 24 Thank you for your attention. 25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Brian.

1 MR. McFARLAND: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, 2 distinguished members of the committee. I have provided 3 in front of you some written testimony on why we feel an 4 intermodal port would be ideally located in the Altoona 5 area. The highway infrastructure required to support such 6 an enterprise is in place, and it is less congested than 7 those high traffic pattern areas associated with the 8 larger cities you would find in the east and western part 9 of the State. With this matrix in place, it allows 10 freight to move to approximately 80 percent of the 11 mid-Atlantic region on a next-day delivery basis. With 12 the completion of I-99, which will transverse all the 13 major highways crossing the State, will only enhance our 14 accessibility to Altoona.

Altoona is fortunate to have a major rail
company such as Norfolk Southern already operating in a
large service area in the city. Currently, all rail
freight going to the northeastern States passes through
this service yard. In addition to their current facility,
Norfolk Southern owns additional land for expansion, if
required.

There are numerous motor freight carriers within a 30-mile radius of Altoona that can support both the TOFC and container drayage service, or any other type of motor transportation service derived from this type of

1 operation.

| | - |
|--|--|
| 2 | Due to the nature of this region, combined with |
| 3 | the overall number of freight carriers servicing customers |
| 4 | in this geographical region, the pricing structure |
| 5 | promotes a very competitive rate base. Therefore, any |
| 6 | additional freight brought into this region will enjoy a |
| 7 | very competitive rate not normally found in our |
| 8 | neighboring regions. |
| 9 | The Blair County region has a reputation of |
| 10 | supplying a highly capable work force at a very |
| 11 | competitive cost in comparison to other industrialized |
| 12 | sections of the State. In addition, technical expertise |
| 13 | required for this type of business is readily available. |
| | |
| 14 | To summarize, the logistics advantages of |
| 14 15 | To summarize, the logistics advantages of Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access |
| | |
| 15 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access |
| 15 16 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an |
| 15 16 17 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor |
| 15 16 17 18 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor freight carriers in the near vicinity. It also has an |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor freight carriers in the near vicinity. It also has an existing competitive work force that is readily |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor freight carriers in the near vicinity. It also has an existing competitive work force that is readily available. Combine this with the recently acquired KOZ |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor freight carriers in the near vicinity. It also has an existing competitive work force that is readily available. Combine this with the recently acquired KOZ zone in this region, it makes a powerful tool to provide |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Altoona is that it's centrally located, it has easy access to all the major highways servicing the State, it has an established major railroad service, and numerous motor freight carriers in the near vicinity. It also has an existing competitive work force that is readily available. Combine this with the recently acquired KOZ zone in this region, it makes a powerful tool to provide any intermodal provider. |

1 I have a couple of questions that I think cut to 2 the heart of what our joint committee is about. When 3 you're talking about tying an interstate highway and the 4 main line of a Class 1 railroad together, and you have to 5 work in a region that I believe we have an MPO and an LDD that will service the "green banana," the guestion I have, 6 7 the first question is do you have a vote on that MPO or 8 the LDD? 9 MR. MARASCO: No, we do not. 10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: We heard that from Joe 11 Battisto's group also in their part of the State, and it 12 seems to me that if we're going to have economic 13 development really count in the weighing of projects and 14 the investment that we make of liquid fuels moneys, that 15 we should have some kind of an economic development 16 initiative that would score in the 12-year plan, and the 17 reason I say that is because the opportunities like the 18 KOZ and the brownfields weren't about when a lot of the 19 planning that was done in the module, and I think the 20 module that we use in Blair County is 30 years old. How 21 do we overcome that? 22 MR. MARASCO: That's a good question, Mr. Chairman, to be honest. 23 24 CHAIRMAN GEIST: That is the question. 25 MR. MARASCO: I will say this, although we do

1 not have a vote, I think from the standpoint of providing 2 input, and as you know, we do testify at all of the State 3 Transportation Committee hearings. At one point our 4 organization actually coordinated testimony until that 5 structure was changed about two years ago, so now the MPO 6 does have the lead in that effort. But we try to make it 7 a point from our standpoint to make sure that the MPO is 8 aware of economic development initiatives within our 9 county and hopefully within the region so that our voice 10 can be heard at these public meetings.

11 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Both CSX and Norfolk Southern 12 made great claims of economic development in full page 13 newspaper ads and other documents that were sent to 14 members of this body during the hostile takeover, and then 15 after they fell in love. Has Norfolk Southern stepped to 16 the plate in an active manner with us in our area to get 17 into this business of economic development?

18 MR. MARASCO: I can say I have had numerous 19 meetings with the industrial development department staff 20 of Norfolk Southern. I find them to be very cooperative, 21 and we are working with them, as I mentioned, on several 22 projects within our community. We would hope that as time 23 goes by some of those projects will be brought to 24 fruition, but they have agreed to work hand-in-hand with 25 us on several developments that we are initiating.

1 CHAIRMAN GEIST: We heard from the Crown 2 Corporation about their public/private initiatives that 3 they've been so successful with working with Commerce and 4 the Department of Transportation in real job creation. 5 The Ward Truckings and the Smith Truckings and those 6 groups, have they been brought together by your group with 7 Norfolk Southern and our local district engineer to 8 discuss how we could rapidly move these projects to 9 fruition? 10 MR. MARASCO: Not on a formal basis, but yes, 11 individually we have. We've had meetings with Ward 12 Corporation and Norfolk Southern people and with Smith 13 Trucking and several others in the community, but not on a

14 formal basis.

15 I really believe that we've got CHAIRMAN GEIST: 16 to somehow in this term of the General Assembly, and 17 Representative Argall has addressed some of this in 18 legislation he's written, and maybe that's the vehicle 19 that we should be talking about, we've really got to find 20 a way that we can formalize this process in the General 21 Assembly so that economic development and revitalization 22 of our worn-out brownfields, intercities and the KOZs can 23 somehow have a legitimate standing now in the process of 24 how we allocate the liquid fuels moneys and other moneys, 25 not just those moneys but the Commerce programs, so that

| | 128 |
|----|--|
| 1 | we can hit this thing with a rifle shot rather than a |
| 2 | shotgun shot. And I really believe that we've got to be |
| 3 | doing something about that. |
| 4 | I think your testimony today was excellent and |
| 5 | it clearly shows this committee what transportation |
| 6 | enhancement dollars really mean to economic development. |
| 7 | Are there any questions from anybody else on the |
| 8 | panel? |
| 9 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I just have one |
| 10 | question. |
| 11 | With respect to Chairman Geist brought up the |
| 12 | idea of MPOs, and we've been discussing an awful lot just |
| 13 | how much input local entities have into the priorities |
| 14 | that MPOs establish with respect to transportation |
| 15 | systems. For example, in Monroe County, Chuck Leonard is |
| 16 | your counterpart. |
| 17 | MR. MARASCO: Yes. |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: And the planning |
| 19 | commission in our county gets representatives from all the |
| 20 | municipalities together, and Chuck and other people, and |
| 21 | we go over our transportation needs, and we first |
| 22 | developed a list of priorities - rails, highway, and so |
| 23 | forth, bridges - and of course the chairman of the |
| 24 | planning commission is a voting member of the MPO, so he |
| 25 | takes our priorities and naturally he puts them together |

| 1 | with the priorities of other counties that come to that |
|----|--|
| 2 | MPO, and through our own lobbying and muscle work, we try |
| 3 | to get our priorities naturally to the top. |
| 4 | Do you have a similar system in Blair? |
| 5 | MR. MARASCO: We do meet, as I said, there's |
| 6 | testimony being given next week at the State |
| 7 | Transportation meeting in Altoona, and we did get together |
| 8 | with the various groups, municipalities, our organization |
| 9 | and our MPO planning group and the regional planning |
| 10 | group, and we discussed and we outlined for them what our |
| 11 | concerns are from an economic development standpoint. |
| 12 | Yes, to answer your question. |
| 13 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Do you find that the |
| 14 | MPO is responsive to your |
| 15 | MR. MARASCO: I would say in most instances yes, |
| 16 | but there are situations where I think there should be |
| 17 | more of a thrust given to some of the, and I think what it |
| 18 | is is it seems to be that the MPO process, although it's |
| 19 | supposed to be diversified and hit all modes of |
| 20 | transportation, its real emphasis is on basically |
| 21 | highways. And all the other modes, they're talked about, |
| 22 | but they're not really incorporated. Even when the |
| 23 | testimony is presented, it's almost all geared towards |
| 24 | highways. There is some mass transit presented too. |
| 25 | But I think we need to find some way to mesh all |
| | |

| 1 | that together. The process is not that bad because of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | input, as you suggested, that can happen. But I think it |
| 3 | has to happen in all facets and all modes, not |
| 4 | specifically highways. |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I think you're right, |
| 6 | and I think we all have to change that mentality of sort |
| 7 | of we talk about intermodalism, but then sometimes it's |
| 8 | not practiced at all levels. |
| 9 | MR. MARASCO: Exactly. Thank you. |
| 10 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Any other questions? |
| 12 | Sam. |
| 13 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 14 | As we're talking about the way the local |
| 15 | development districts or the MPO works as it influences |
| 16 | the long-term planning of transportation projects, and of |
| 17 | course trying to tie that into economic development, when |
| 18 | you look at the region that you folks try to influence, |
| 19 | how much interrelationship or what's communicating between |
| 20 | your region and the region to the north of you, to the |
| 21 | west of you, whatever? How is that taking place? |
| 22 | Because, I mean, obviously some of these projects go |
| 23 | beyond just, you know, the actual community. I mean, |
| 24 | that's why you went from just having county planning |
| 25 | commissions making recommendations to regional. How are |
| | |

| 1 | we, what do you see going on there? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MARASCO: Well, from the standpoint of |
| 3 | transportation planning and infrastructure, you have to |
| 4 | understand that we are classified basically as an |
| 5 | industrial development corporation. Our primary thrust is |
| 6 | on the Altoona-Blair County area. However, we do |
| 7 | participate in what we call the Southern Alleghenys |
| 8 | Network as far as Team Pennsylvania goes, and we do meet, |
| 9 | on a six-county basis, monthly to discuss various issues |
| 10 | that affect our six-county area. |
| 11 | Now, you're talking about going beyond that |
| 12 | region, that southern Allegheny region? |
| 13 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Correct. |
| 14 | MR. MARASCO: Again, I'm a member of PEDA, |
| 15 | Pennsylvania Economic Development Association, and we do |
| 16 | have discussions with our counterparts from throughout the |
| 17 | State, particularly when it comes budget time and we're |
| 18 | trying to look at what our needs are from an economic |
| 19 | development standpoint. Pennsylvania is very diverse, and |
| 20 | sometimes it's very difficult to come to consensus on what |
| 21 | program we think is the best for our area, for the |
| 22 | northeast, for Erie, for Pittsburgh, for Philadelphia. |
| 23 | But we do sit down and we do discuss that. |
| 24 | From my recollection, from an IDC standpoint, we |
| 25 | have not had communications on infrastructure development |
| | |

| 1 | and transportation. But we do communicate very actively |
|----|--|
| 2 | with our neighboring counties - Clearfield, Jefferson, |
| 3 | Somerset - and also Penn State, the State College area. |
| 4 | So we do communicate on that basis. |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: I appreciate that. And I |
| 6 | didn't expect that you would have a perfect answer to how |
| 7 | that would be dealt with, but it did come up previously, |
| 8 | and I think for some areas of Pennsylvania, the counties |
| 9 | getting together and planning through their LDDs has been |
| 10 | very helpful. |
| 11 | MR. MARASCO: Right. |
| 12 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Because it has helped |
| 13 | them focus on the regional needs, but at some point those |
| 14 | regional needs transcend to the statewide and to the |
| 15 | larger picture. |
| 16 | MR. MARASCO: Exactly. |
| 17 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: And that's what I was |
| 18 | really fishing at. |
| 19 | MR. MARASCO: If I may, I think there's a very |
| 20 | exciting program presented through the T-21 bill, and |
| 21 | that's the TCSB program, which could do some of the things |
| 22 | that you're talking about. We are encouraging that right |
| 23 | now in taking a look at the I-99 corridor coming from 80 |
| 24 | the whole way down through into Fulton County where we |
| 25 | have Clearfield, Centre County, Jefferson County, and then |
| | |

four of our counties trying to work together to put a TCSB
 program together where we can look at strategies that can
 promote the development of the corridor from a
 transportation standpoint, but also from an infrastructure
 needs standpoint.

6 A lot of times you put the transportation in 7 place, in our county in particular, we have a major 8 regional highway, but we have some difficulties because we have severe restraints. As a development group right now, 9 10 I only control about 40 acres of land that I can market 11 because of flood plain, because of steep slope, because of 12 wetlands. Okay? Land is at a premium. It costs a lot of 13 money in our county to develop, so we're trying to look at this from a regional basis. Counties outside of our area 14 15 and taking a look at that, look at the conservation, look 16 at the environmental problems, look at the infrastructure 17 needs as they relate to the transportation network, and I 18 think that's very important and that's one mechanism that 19 I think could be used and should be encouraged to be 20 used.

REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you.

21

CHAIRMAN GEIST: North and south of us we've had two major, major warehousing operations that have been developed that were single modality biased only. No rail service, no competing transportation into that. How in

1 the world did a Class 1 railroad ever not be competitive 2 and allow these facilities to go in where they have huge 3 truck limits every day that the Representatives who 4 represent that area now are pounded with the fact that we 5 need highway access for these facilities that, oh, my God, 6 people in town are just going nuts. The aftereffect of 7 development sometimes is that we have to come in and spend 8 an awful lot of money then because of it. 9 That marketing that we should be seeing from 10 those people, is it in place now and are you seeing that? 11 MR. MARASCO: I'm going to ask Brian to maybe 12 comment on that a little bit. He's more the logistics man 13 that I am. 14 Brian, do you want to comment on that? 15 MR. McFARLAND: As of right now, the predecessor 16 Conrail did not. Conrail was not aggressive at all in 17 trying to find new business. When we start looking at 18 land up in Vale, it was you who assisted us in trying to 19 get a rail system up there. Conrail wasn't willing to 20 work with us or willing to look at the business 21 opportunities. 22 We do see a change in Norfolk Southern. We have 23 had multiple meetings with Norfolk Southern, and it 24 appears that they are being more optimistic in listening 25 to our needs, along with other warehouses' needs in the

1 Now whether that comes to fruition or not, region. 2 there's still a lot of leq work to be done, but at least they're listening, versus the door being closed when 3 4 Conrail was in place.

5 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Our meetings with the trucking 6 industry that we've been having over the last year and the 7 tremendous need for drivers and driver training, the 8 things, the enhancements that that industry needs in 9 Pennsylvania fit hand-in-glove with the economic 10 development that we're talking about here today, and if 11 there aren't any other questions from any of the other 12 committee members, then I would just like to thank you 13 very much for the excellent testimony that you've given. 14

MR. MARASCO: Thank you.

15 CHAIRMAN GEIST: At this time I would like to 16 call Stephen Thienel, Regional Vice President for State 17 relations for CSX Corporation, and Sharon W. Disque, 18 Manager Industrial Development for CSX Transportation. 19 And for that modality that often is overlooked in the MPO 20 process, we would like to invite you to give your testimony on the new day of railroading in Pennsylvania. 21 22 MR. THIENEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you stated, my name is Steve Thienel. Sharon's here with me. 23

25 earlier plan was for Mr. Spiegel to be here, our Chief

I know the

We're both out of the Baltimore office.

1 Operating Officer, and I was actually supposed to be in 2 Jacksonville today to talk about commuter policies, but 3 some guy name Floyd came along and Jacksonville is shut 4 down, the airport is closed today, so I'm here and he's 5 there.

I do not have any prepared testimony. The way
we plan, if you don't object, Sharon has about a 10-minute
testimony and she'll present that and then we'll turn it
back to you to ask questions.

10 MS. DISQUE: As Steve mentioned, I'm the 11 Industrial Development Manager from CSX Transportation. 12 My territory includes eastern Pennsylvania, Delaware, 13 Maryland, and West Virginia. And in that unique role as 14 an economic development professional for a transportation 15 company, I would like to share with you some comments 16 about the program we have in place and the new changes we 17 have for our program in Pennsylvania.

First, we like to provide hands-on partnerships with the local and State organizations. That includes professional expertise. What I learn in one territory, I like to share that expertise in another. I can see the best practices in one location and implement those in areas that maybe have potential but lack that. We also do extensive identification of sites for

development. Industrial development projects often boil

down to whether real estate is available in the target area that the prospect has identified. In this effort in Pennsylvania, we have added a consultant to identify additional properties. He just came on this week, so we've put more resources there and we're hoping to get up to speed, beyond speed.

7 We also lobby for support of rail-served 8 industrial parks. Many communities lack control of the 9 acreage or there are development pressures for land to go 10 to uses adjacent to rail other than industrial uses, and 11 it's imperative that that land be preserved, developed, 12 that infrastructure be extended to those parcels. In 13 fact, nationally, surveys year after year of site 14 selection executives indicate that between 27 and 30 15 percent of the industrial development projects in an 16 active search mode at any given time have a rail 17 requirement. Rail is either desired or absolutely 18 necessary for the location of the facility.

We also provide project development and
management. Within the railroad we have our own, shall I
say, permitting process. Plans must be submitted, design
guidelines have to be followed, and as the Industrial
Development Manager in my territory, I'm the first contact
for that.

25

We provide financial help for marketing and rail

1 infrastructure, and Pennsylvania is lucky to benefit from 2 negotiations in the Conrail acquisition. Funds are set 3 aside for capital expenditures in Pennsylvania. And we provide technical engineering support. Basically, we have 4 5 staff who go out to the site with the prospect and 6 determine whether the site can be rail served. Rail does 7 not climb at steep grades, it does not go at tight curves, 8 and often real estate issues challenge those requirements, 9 but we put the expertise out there early in the projects 10 so that companies know whether they can actually locate on 11 the property or not.

12 Among the industrial sites, we have 13 approximately 102 identified within Pennsylvania, and 14 that's throughout 15 counties and the city of 15 Philadelphia. It includes rural, suburban, and urban 16 locations, and for each of those properties the 17 infrastructure - and that includes water, sewer, gas, 18 electric, line sizes, pressure, et cetera - have been 19 identified. The zoning, we prefer to only recommend sites 20 that are appropriately zoned. The size of the parcels can 21 be accessed; rail, highway, and deep water access are all 22 identified.

Within Pennsylvania most recently, we've
announced Gerber Products, National Gypsum, U.S. Gypsum,
Galtech. We have another project underway, Hanover

1 terminal. York Paper is the first project in the shared 2 assets area in Philadelphia. It will be served by Norfolk 3 Southern and CSX. York Paper is the first project we've 4 successfully implemented since shared access has been 5 announced. Their switch just went in. 6 If you have any questions about the program, I 7 would be happy to answer those. 8 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much. 9 I would be very curious, you work in all these 10 Public/private partnerships, dual different States. 11 modalities, how do other States rank their investment of 12 liquid fuels moneys to match up to your infrastructure 13 developments? If you have an industrial park that you 14 want to develop, you have a very large client, you need 15 highway access, you need the rail access, et cetera. Is 16 there a model that you like best of any of the other 17 States that you work in? 18 MS. DISOUE: The model I like best is 19 Pennsylvania. It is light-years ahead of what Maryland 20 offers. Maryland is still taking incentive money and 21 basically allocating it to road access. Very few projects 22 have used any of the incentive money for rail. Maryland 23 typically falls back to conventional programs like 24 Community Development Block Grant to pay for rail service. 25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Following the same logic, we

have the most shortlines of any State. You have a lot of those that now connect to CSX. How much, because you have all the horsepower, most shortlines have no horsepower, other than to be on their knees begging, how many of the partnerships have you worked with to locate industry along the shortline where you're going to benefit?

MS. DISQUE: I couldn't tell you the number of
projects, but I do not distinguish between sites on
shortlines and sites on CSX. I may have a prospect that
will tell me they prefer to locate on CSX direct, but
realistically my site inventory is tight enough, I'm going
to recommend sites on shortlines and CSX.

13 CHAIRMAN GEIST: I've been told by a development 14 specialist in the northeast corridor who contracts only for major corporations for relocations and expansion that 15 it's extremely difficult anywhere within the corridor now 16 17 to find very good sites with work force and everything else that they're looking for. They now, in his logic, 18 19 told me that the ideal location sites will be off-corridor 20 and within 100 miles of the northeast corridor moving 21 inland. Since CSX is so active in those areas, do you see that trend as being a trend that you recognize, or is this 22 23 just for the Fortune 500, or what's going on out there? MS. DISQUE: Companies still prefer to be in the 24 25 middle, in the thick of the corridor, but the searches

1 have extended. It's interesting. It's basically 2 recognition by the individuals responsible for making that 3 corporate recommendation. They start out at ground zero and have to move out. Work force is driving a lot of 4 5 that. Tight real estate, it's always going to be a 6 challenge, but more companies are considering brownfield 7 locations. 8 CHAIRMAN GEIST: And tell us what your perception of the Keystone Opportunity Zones, if you're 9 10 going out marketing, what kind of a trump card is that to 11 play? 12 MS. DISQUE: A Keystone Opportunity Zone is 13 basically going to be the trump card in the last round, 14 when two sites are competing for one project and one is a 15 KOZ and the other is not and all other things are equal, 16 that KOZ is going to win the project. It's a significant 17 It also demonstrates, just by having that advantage. 18 designation, that there's significant cooperation between 19 all levels of government. 20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: That's all I have. 21 George. 22 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Just a comment, Mr. Chairman. 23 The Governor's Office called the Commerce Committee this 24 week and has indicated they may do some more work or more 25 expansion on KOZ either areas, which would be linked

142 1 through legislation probably this fall. 2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Joe. 4 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: One guick comment and 5 maybe a couple questions. 6 First of all, when the acquisition of Conrail by 7 CSX and Norfolk Southern first broke a couple years ago, I 8 guess, I know I personally, and I think I can speak for 9 the chairman and the rest of us, hailed that as a great 10 day for the east and for Pennsylvania, because I for years 11 worked with a fairly recalcitrant transportation system 12 that I called Conrail. But in any event, it seems that 13 both entities are entrepreneurial, and we need that, we 14 want you to grow, we want to help the shortlines grow and 15 all of us grow. But despite all the planning and 16 preplanning and putting off the turnover until June 1, I 17 know you, and when I say you, I mean the two companies, 18 but you're speaking for yourselves, encounter some 19 problems with respect to the interfacing computer systems 20 and other logistics. Are you frankly overcoming those 21 now? I mean, I still hear some things from people, but

you speak for yourselves and we'll let Norfolk Southern to

over that hump? Can we really say now that you'll be able

speak for themselves when they come, but are you getting

to find cars and deliver on time and make on-time

22

23

24

| 1 | deliveries? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. THIENEL: Well, let me say certainly the |
| 3 | split-up of Conrail was a major, major task, one we all |
| 4 | realized was going to be an extremely large job. We |
| 5 | thought we did a significant amount of planning, we |
| 6 | thought we were well prepared, but quite frankly, things |
| 7 | didn't go quite as well as we had hoped. Certainly, we |
| 8 | have not met our shipper's expectations, and I think |
| 9 | that's obvious and nobody is going to argue that. |
| 10 | On the positive side, I think we had a fairly |
| 11 | good plan. I think what caught us by surprise was the |
| 12 | lack of yard capacity, classification yards. Conrail, as |
| 13 | you all know, was an amalgamation of many bankrupt |
| 14 | railroads, seven, and they did a very good job of |
| 15 | rationalizing their system down to being able to very |
| 16 | efficiently and effectively operate as one railroad. |
| 17 | Unfortunately, we found when we split there just wasn't |
| 18 | enough yard capacity for two railroads to absorb all the |
| 19 | business that we had available to us. |
| 20 | On the positive side, the system has remain |
| 21 | fluent. The cars are moving. They're not moving as |

timely as we want. We have seen improvements. We have gone back and reworked the plan, made some changes. We're trying to avoid yards as much as we can, do as much pre-blocking-- 1

2

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Explain pre-blocking. This is not a railroad meeting.

3 MR. THIENEL: Well, sort of historically what 4 the railroads have tended to do was we'd make up trains at 5 each yard and we'd just bump along and then reclassify the entire train when we got to the next yard. Over the 6 7 years, we've gotten better and better at blocking in 8 advance so that you can bypass or minimize the amount of 9 switching in a future yard, and we actually now have 10 gotten to the point where we're going past yards where we 11 can and we'll block, we have two trains say going that 12 same day, Cleveland, for example, has been a major problem 13 for us. We're running trains through Cleveland and not 14 even stopping if we can at all possibly do that. Through 15 the planning process we've been able to avoid some of the 16 problems that we saw. However, we also realize that some 17 of these places that don't have a lot of capacity are 18 going to have to have additional capacity. But 19 unfortunately, that can't happen overnight and it's going 20 to take a lot of money, but we're already addressing those 21 issues. I know down at the Baltimore terminal I spent a 22 couple days just walking around earlier this week talking 23 about things that we can do to add capacity. 24 So yes, to answer your question, no, it was a 25 difficult situation. We're making improvements

continually. We hope to get back to meeting our shipper's
 expectations and get some of the business back on the
 railroads that we lost.

4 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: That's good to hear, 5 because a follow-up to that question is this question: 6 First comment and question. During the hearings with 7 respect to the acquisition, we heard statistics with 8 respect to the number of trucks, you meaning the two 9 entities, would be able to take off the highways over a 10 period of time, and it amounted to considerable numbers. 11 CHAIRMAN GEIST: 1 million.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: And I do know that you 12 13 sort of temporarily, you didn't, I mean, the railroad 14 industry lost some business short-term because I know 15 FedEx and a few others, but hopefully you'll regain that 16 and go beyond that, but do you still have positive hopes 17 that once you overcome this hump and get some of the 18 business back you will be able to do what you said you 19 were able to do a year or two ago?

20 MR. THIENEL: Well, absolutely. You're correct 21 that we have lost some truck business, UPS specifically, 22 we have also taken off some other transit. We had taken 23 some action initially to minimize the congestion we had, 24 but as we get things squared around, we definitely are 25 going to start adding these trains back on, and quite

1 frankly, we have to pay for this merger, and the only way 2 we're going to do it is to put more business on the railroad, so we're working very hard at that goal. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN GEIST: George. 7 CHAIRMAN HASAY: In the other States that you 8 work in, Ms. Disque, do those other States have KOZs like 9 we have? 10 MS. DISQUE: No, they don't. Several have 11 enterprise zones, but nothing as extensive as the KOZs. 12 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Okay, does your area include 13 Ohio? 14 MS. DISQUE: No, it doesn't. 15 CHAIRMAN HASAY: What were those States again? MS. DISQUE: West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, 16 17 and eastern Pennsylvania. 18 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you. 19 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Any questions from the 21 committee? 22 (No response.) 23 CHAIRMAN GEIST: We want to thank you very much 24 for testifying. As we go through this process of trying 25 to build a seamless transportation system that is also an

1 economic generator, we believe that Class 1 railroads are 2 going to play a huge role in the State of Pennsylvania, 3 much greater than they ever have, and we hope that CSX is willing to become a working partner with government to 4 5 make a lot of this happen. I don't think it can happen 6 today with everything that we have going without that. 7 The days of the stand-alone giant railroads telling us 8 what to do, and et cetera, I think that's long gone, and 9 we're interested to see what a Virginia based railroad can 10 do working with some of us Yankees up north. So we just 11 want to thank you very much. 12 MR. THIENEL: You're welcome, Mr. Chairman, 13 thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Next on the list to testify is 15 Phil McFarren, Executive Director of the Keystone State 16 Railroad Association. Phil represents a lot of the 17 shortline railroads and is very active working with us and 18 the Department of Transportation as we try to integrate a 19 lot of this process into the MPO process. And Phil, we'd 20 be delighted if you'd give us your testimony and then we 21 have some questions that we want to ask you about economic 22 development, shortlines, and the State as a partner. 23 MR. McFARREN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 On behalf of the largest State railroad 25 association in the United States, why it's a great

opportunity to make this presentation. As most of you
know, the Keystone State Railroad Association is truly an
entrepreneurial effort. We were organized just 3 1/2
years ago, and we're now the largest such State
association and we believe one of the best. We do have
all the Class 1 railroad entities, the regional and
shortlines, as members of the association.

The growth has not been without some pain and 8 9 turmoil. Government and even some companies have 10 sometimes struggled with the concept of such an 11 organization so quickly organized and request a position 12 at the policymaking table of government. One of the 13 reasons for that primarily is that our agenda is much 14 broader than a normal State railroad association. It 15 extends to things like economic development and economic 16 development projects.

17 Some view us as competition to government, which 18 is really unfortunate, because our goal is to be an 19 effective partner. To be effective, however, we must 20 change the image of our industry and we must become better 21 at attracting customers with capital investment to areas 22 served by our industry, which is a primary focus of 23 today's meeting.

I think it's first helpful to discuss some
background. Railroads are at a major crossroads as we

1 enter a close merger phase of a new millennium. Railroads 2 require huge capital investments. Now some \$2 1/2 billion 3 per year nationally, with a current economic rate of 4 return and a slow increase in carload growth. We are 5 concluding a decade of dramatic merger consolidations. 6 We've had a reduction in costs, a reduction in overall 7 employee costs, and major improvements in size, quality, 8 and efficiency of equipment. Now the decade of 9 opportunity is upon us where the industry will either grow 10 or die.

11 Government, and especially the legislative 12 branch, will play a major role, including the following: 13 First of all, government is in a position to assure a 14 level playing field between entities. You're in a 15 position to partner with the railroad industry to create 16 industrial magnet centers of companies who want timely, 17 quality, cost-effective customer service. Pennsylvania, 18 in particular, is in a unique position to develop these 19 type of centers, and we have four or five that are almost 20 currently under way that are ideal to what we're talking 21 about. 22 We need to focus anew on infrastructure

22 we need to focus anew on infrastructure
23 financing, which is not so much a question of more
24 dollars, it's getting the most efficient combination of
25 financing. Realizing that timing is almost everything, a

1 theme very familiar in your political world, it's equally 2 significant in attracting capital investment. And this is 3 especially a problem for the railroad industry. In each case, your most reliable rail transportation consultant is 4 5 probably your local rail provider, and in many cases the railroad's other Class 1 partners. More and more, many, 6 7 many companies want to have the capability of exchanging 8 traffic with more than one Class 1 carrier, obviously because that gives them a competitive rate situation. 9

10 The Keystone State Railroad Association is often 11 your coordinating agency to bring partners together and to 12 bring a focus to various proposed programs and solutions. 13 There are major changes in policy occurring in the 14 railroad industry itself. Several of these changes will 15 have a major impact on your decisionmaking, and some of 16 these changes include: The 286,000 pound car, which has 17 already been mentioned a couple of times. That standard 18 is already here. It's not a proposed standard. Many 19 Pennsylvania shortline and regional railroads will need 20 major bridge and infrastructure upgrades to handle this 21 new standard. I would like to add that many shortlines 22 and Class 1's are now being asked to handle 315,000 pound 23 cars for some of the heavier industry loads. Box cars are now 80 feet, not 40 feet, which 24

makes many railroad spurs obsolete, and in some cases

25

actually inoperable. We have a case of this up north in
Wilkes-Barre where there's a distribution center that
needs to completely redo its whole intermodal layout
service of that center, and one of the primary reasons was
the spur was built for 40-foot boxcars, and the 80-foot
cars are just tearing the track apart. I don't know how
they really continue to operate.

8 Our Class 1 partners - in Pennsylvania's case, 9 Norfolk Southern, CP, and CSX - want to partner with 10 public agencies to finance infrastructure development 11 improvement. Previously, these entities oppose public 12 grants, except for the rehabilitation of track for 13 shortlines which was previously abandoned by those same 14 carriers. This will require State government to consider 15 increased funding, or shortline and regional railroads 16 will see a sharp decrease in available financing because 17 of the capital that Class 1's require for projects is 18 much, much greater than required for a normal shortline 19 project.

As you might now suspect, capital for maintaining and upgrading our rail infrastructure is in short supply. The new Federal rail loan funds and State programs need to be meshed so as to fund larger projects. In some cases, maybe even incremental financing may be part of the answer. For those of you who are not aware,

1 the regulations on the Federal loan programs for Class 1's 2 to shortlines are still under consideration. We expect at 3 the earliest those regulations might be completed by the 4 first of the year.

5 Pennsylvania's Rail Freight Assistance Program 6 has been exemplary, but every year a much greater portion 7 goes to traditional economic development projects, which 8 are sitings and industrial parks, and this does nothing to 9 improve the shortline or regional railroad infrastructure 10 serving those areas. Some of these expenditures are being 11 made in a speculative manner without regard to the 12 servicing rail line's capability. As a result, the funds 13 for Rail Freight Assistance Program have really been 14 tripled, but the shortlines and regional railroads have 15 not seen that kind of a dramatic increase in their share 16 of funding for two reasons: Projects are limited to 17 \$300,000, and we only have roughly 8 to 10 regional 18 shortline operations in the Commonwealth, so if each one 19 gets a grant each year, as you can easily see, that's only 20 \$3 million of the total.

The policy of PennDOT has been even more severe in that if a railroad gets major capital budget funding in any year, the general policy has been to exclude them from the Rail Freight Assistance Program for that year. So the amount of money that goes to real railroad infrastructure has not been increasing as dramatically as might meet the
 eye from the surface.

3 As a result, it leaves only capital budget 4 funding available for major infrastructure improvements. To give you an idea on this, a major bridge rehabilitation 5 6 project to handle the heavier cars normally runs about 7 \$500,000. That's more than the Rail Freight Assistance 8 Program can provide in many cases, and as a result, 9 companies have to wait until the capital budget cycle 10 I don't have to tell you that's very comes around. 11 unpredictable. It may happen next year, it may happen two 12 years from now, it may happen three years from now, and as 13 a result, the planning of infrastructure improvements is 14 very much a problem. And yet the changes that we see 15 happening, especially relative to the merger, are 16 happening very rapidly. Many of the shortlines have to 17 completely change their traffic patterns to now 18 interchange efficiently with the Class 1 railroads. What 19 was yesterday going to be the main line of a shortline has suddenly been changed, and a whole new segment now will 20 21 need to be the major corridor of traffic because of where 22 the Class 1's prefer to interchange traffic. 23 Yesterday, the American Shortline Railroad 24 Association, which is the national organization of

25 shortlines and regionals, made a major announcement in

that they are going to explore two new areas of funding at the Federal level. They want to look at diverting a portion of existing transportation funding to a dedicated infrastructure fund similar to that used for mass transit. The second option is to seek more flexible funding for intermodal related projects designed to relieve current congestion and environmental problems.

The recent CSX/NS purchase of Conrail is truly 8 9 redefining the core functions of many shortline and 10 regional railroads, at least for the short-term, and I say 11 the short-term because nobody quite knows how long these 12 arrangements are going to continue. But many of the 13 shortlines are doing things today that they never thought 14 about doing prior to the merger. They are classifying 15 traffic, they are blocking specific destination trains, 16 they are synchronizing their information systems to 17 correspond with Class 1's, and many of you are probably 18 saying, well, how does this all relate to economic 19 development? Is it a negative or a positive? And I think 20 from my perspective, if we can partner together, a 21 solution to many of these issues may be found, because 22 what we're really doing is we are rationalizing a system. 23 Railroads will be a major player in originating rail traffic, and jobs will grow in Pennsylvania if we have 24 25 that partnering, and even the security analyst told the

1 shortline association yesterday that he really believes
2 that if railroads can get their act together, it could be
3 a major growth industry as of the neglect that in effect
4 takes place as it relates to railroad companies currently
5 on Wall Street.

I think conversely, if we ignore the problems, 6 7 if we let other States control the location of industrial 8 jobs and shipping gateways, our rail transportation system 9 will be reduced to corridor overhead traffic, and our 10 overall transportation system will be more costly to 11 maintain and probably deteriorate. Most of you may 12 realize this, but I think it's significant to note that 13 about 35 percent of all rail freight traffic in the United 14 States passes through Pennsylvania.

15 One of our biggest problems is the lack of 16 understanding of the railroad system by legislators, 17 administrative officials, and others, and I think you've 18 already hit on that as it relates to your MPOs and the 19 12-year plan hearings. Until a year ago, the MPOs and the 20 12-year planning hearings didn't even want to hear from 21 railroads. In fact, they discouraged railroads from even 22 appearing. And even today when you do appear, you get 23 very much the feeling that half the audience is sitting 24 there saying, what are you people doing here, this is 25 about highways. And to some extent, that's what happens

1 with the department. The department is organized to run 2 highways in Pennsylvania, and to run them very well, and 3 they do that. What happens many times with rail projects is that we sort of get ping-ponged back and forth. 4 If you 5 don't get on the 12-year plan, you can't be considered; you can't get on the 12-year plan at the State level until 6 7 you get on the local MPO plan; and then to get on the 8 local MPO plan you must be a priority or else you really 9 don't get funding at the State level, and many of these 10 projects just get ping-ponged back and forth year after 11 year.

12 I think it's fair to say that we live in a very 13 competitive country. We're constantly challenged by an 14 equally competitive world. In this example, I'll just 15 give you two. I was in New York the last couple of days 16 and I had a conversation with some railroad people from 17 Maine, and Maine, of all States, a very small State with 18 probably fewer capital dollars than most States, is 19 completely redoing its rail system with a lot of 20 partnering and a lot of financing to completely 21 restructure its rail freight system.

And the second point I would make with you is that many shortline and regional railroads in the United States are the preferred operator of many foreign rail systems being privatized by their national public owners.

1 The major reason is their ability to dramatically cut 2 operating costs and their emphasis on customer service. 3 The key customer slogan, here as well as everywhere else 4 anymore, is more and better service on a scheduled timely 5 basis. And as an industry, we want to be an aggressive 6 partner in attracting and expanding the field of 7 customers, because serving these customers may often depend on our ability to work together. No one has yet 8 9 found the silver bullet, but we can tell you that new and 10 expanded government regulations and antagonistic officials 11 and a sea of misunderstanding will certainly detour our 12 best efforts. 13 I appreciate the opportunity to share with you 14 my goals and visions as it relates to the industry, and 15 obviously we work with many of you in various locations on 16 a lot of various projects that relate to economic 17 development as well. 18 With that, I'd be very happy to try and answer 19 your questions. 20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much, Phil. 21 Juts for the committee's edification, how many 22 gross million tons a year of freight are hauled in 23 Pennsylvania in total? MR. McFARREN: Gross million tons? 24 I used to 25 have that number at the tip of my tongue, but I don't

1 today. I can't tell you. 2 CHAIRMAN GEIST: All right. 3 MR. McFARREN: It's 35 percent of the gross 4 total. 5 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Well, I know that it's 6 increasing. 7 Now the next question is, what's the trend in 8 Pennsylvania and where has it been going? 9 MR. McFARREN: It's very flat. 10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Yeah, it's very, very flat. 11 MR. McFARREN: There is considerable growth 12 among several of the shortline entities, but overall it's 13 been pretty flat, which is a national trend. The national 14 trend on car loading is trailing the trend of industrial 15 production. 16 CHAIRMAN GEIST: The other question I have is, 17 as you know, we've had an infrastructure development bill 18 in this committee now for I think four years, \$50 million 19 revolving, that has not received really much interest, but 20 yet your testimony today points out that if we want 21 everybody to be able to handle the same freight cars, we 22 need to upgrade the facilities in Pennsylvania or you're 23 going to lose industry on those lines. 24 MR. McFARREN: You're going to lose the 25 capability of serving some customers.

1 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right. 2 MR. McFARREN: And you're going to limit the 3 areas where you can locate future customers because you won't be able to get the goods there. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GEIST: That concludes the questions 6 I have, because a lot of them are going to be repetitive. 7 George. CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 I would like to thank the McFarland Group for 9 10 the work that they've done on a very hazardous situation 11 on Route 239 that travels between Wapwallopen and 12 Mauchinaguan in Luzerne County. Without your assistance 13 and intervention through the cooperation of Canadian Pacific, I don't think that track would have ever been 14 15 moved before, but through your efforts and your staff, the 16 people of those areas thank you very much for your 17 assistance in working with PennDOT and Canadian Pacific in 18 resolving that safety factor that where a State highway 19 wasn't wide enough almost for one car between the 20 mountain, the railroad tracks, and the highway. So it's 21 worked out very, very well in the interest of those in 22 Luzerne County. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Phil, Chairman Geist 25 mentioned, and you confirmed the fact, that car loading

1 has been flat, as far as increase, has pretty much held 2 its own for quite some time in Pennsylvania. I could see 3 that certainly even though Conrail, I have to give them 4 some credit, I'm always sort of -- they're passe, but 5 still, I do give them some credit for at least becoming 6 profitable, at the expense of course of many, many people, 7 many, many shortline rail lines. But with the passing of 8 Conrail and the new era of two Class 1 railroads in 9 Pennsylvania, I know there's not enough history as yet, 10 you have some hurdles to overcome, but if indeed the car 11 loading has been flat, that means the trucking industry 12 has picked up more and more carloads, because certainly 13 the economy has been fairly healthy the last five or six 14 or seven years, and certainly more cargo being moved. The 15 question is, who's moving it? Do you see, though, in the 16 future, once the two Class 1's overcome their growing 17 pains, growing pains from the standpoint of coordinating 18 their efforts in Pennsylvania and the other States, do you 19 see hope with respect to a better working relationship 20 between these two Class 1's and the shortlines and the 21 potential for growing more industries and increasing 22 carloads and getting some of the excess truck traffic off 23 the roadway? 24 MR. McFARREN: Well, I do see hope from two

perspectives. First of all, this merger has surprised

25

1 everyone. No one expected it to go the way that it's 2 gone. Everyone expected a much smoother transition. And 3 prior to the merger, many shortlines, frankly, were looking at a fairly negative prospect of the future 4 5 because they thought they were going to lose a lot of 6 overhead traffic, they were going to have a decrease in 7 their revenues. You know, out of every disaster comes 8 some positive things, and what has happened is that the 9 shortlines in many ways are being relied on in ways that 10 they never dreamed they would be needed as part of this 11 merger. So in many cases we have shortlines doing more 12 and more business, and of course if that trend would 13 continue and the function would continue to be expanded, 14 that would be a very positive development.

15 The other thing that's happening is that all the 16 shortlines in Pennsylvania and the Class 1 carriers I 17 think realize we either solve this thing together or we're 18 going to go down together. So for the first time we have 19 convened monthly roundtable meetings that are purely for 20 the purpose of the various railroads sort of having it out 21 internally about what are we going to do to solve this 22 problem or that problem, whatever. We think long-term, 23 those are going to be very constructive. 24 The second reason that I'm very positive is that

25 we don't really have a choice. In essence, CSX doesn't

| 1 | really have a choice. As Mr. Thienel pointed out, they |
|----|--|
| 2 | paid a lot of money for Conrail, they've got to pay for |
| 3 | it, and there's really only one way to pay for it, and |
| 4 | that's that we have to increase the customer base. |
| 5 | There's not much room to increase rates. It's going to |
| 6 | have to come in the broadening of the customer base. |
| 7 | So we know we have a lot of homework to do, and |
| 8 | I think there's more of a cooperative spirit out there in |
| 9 | the industry than probably there's ever been. |
| 10 | REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Well, that's certainly |
| 11 | good to hear, because that in itself will be a positive |
| 12 | step with respect to trying to grow some more businesses |
| 13 | along both the shortlines and the Class 1 lines. |
| 14 | Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Sam. |
| 16 | REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 17 | I would like to just follow up on that. As |
| 18 | you're talking about the positives, and I am encouraged by |
| 19 | that, but I would like to note the one item you have in |
| 20 | your brochure that's listed in red, and it says |
| 21 | "Conservatively, Pennsylvania railroads need to increase |
| 22 | traffic by some \$1 billion per year to finance the rail |
| 23 | restructuring in process," and I emphasize that because I |
| 24 | think you were getting around to it but you hadn't stated |
| 25 | it quite as directly as you do in your brochure. |
| | |

1 To that end, how much is that dispersed over the 2 entire system? I mean, how much of that would have to 3 fall on the Class 1's and how much of that growth in new 4 traffic would be out on the spurs of the shortlines? 5 MR. McFARREN: Well, I would guess the most 6 optimistic split maybe would be 60-40, because a lot of 7 the traffic and a lot of the growth in the traffic is long 8 distance traffic that's totally within the control of the 9 Class 1's. For example, the Harrisburg to Montreal 10 corridor is sort of a new corridor. We have the prospect 11 of shifting a lot of freight traffic to corridors like 12 that. And there are more corridors. That's just one 13 example. 14 I think part of the resolution to the current 15 congestion problem is going to have to be an increase of 16 capacity in many sections of the system. And in many 17 cases that increase in capacity will primarily benefit The 18 perhaps the Class 1's more than the shortlines. 19 shortlines and the regional growth will occur where it has 20 been occurring. 21 Just to give you an example, we have probably 22 the strongest regional railroad systems of any State. If 23 you take a look at the Reading lines, you look at where 24 they were six, eight years ago and where they are today,

25 | traffic is two or three times at least what it was. The

North Shore Railroad System has grown tremendously. The
 Genesee and Wyoming people, when they first bought that
 system, their Pennsylvania-originated traffic was only
 like 25 percent. The rest was overhead. Today, about 70
 percent of their traffic originates in Pennsylvania.

6 So these are all very positive signs, and they 7 are trends that with the advent of the KOZ zones and 8 stuff, we should be able to continue those trends and 9 perhaps accelerate them.

REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: When you spoke about the 10 11 partnering to create industrial magnet centers, I think is 12 the term you used, is that something that can be done to 13 scale, if you will? I mean, is that something that can be 14 done not only in an Altoona or a Pittsburgh area but also 15 in a smaller community geared to a shortline? Can that 16 kind of intermodality, if I understand what you mean by 17 industrial magnet centers, maybe I don't.

18 I think you're on target on MR. McFARREN: 19 that. I think the KOZ zones in many cases are ideal 20 locations for such centers, but if you, and I would 21 encourage this committee to go up and take a look at the 22 distribution center up in Wilkes-Barre. That distribution 23 center has become the distribution center for like 12 to 24 14 manufacturers. When they first came to us to ask us 25 how they might get some help, they were doing 500 cars a

1 year in business. Today they're doing 1,400 cars in 2 business. And the problem they have is getting those 3 1,400 cars in and out of the facility. They need to 4 expand rapidly, quickly, and they're servicing local 5 manufacturing companies. It's a true intermodal system. The raw materials and such are coming in by rail, 6 7 transferred to truck, distributed on a just-in-time 8 delivery basis. In fact, for one customer, that 9 distribution center keeps two trucks loaded all the time 10 because at any hour they may get a call to deliver, that 11 truck of raw material. The finished products come back 12 through that center and in many cases are shipped out 13 again by rail.

14 We have the Beth Intermodal Center that just 15 opened up in Allentown. I think that whole site is a 16 tremendous site for a lot of this similar type activity. 17 You have the same thing out in the Sony area of 18 Westmoreland County, Fayette County, and that area. And 19 you just have these all over the Commonwealth, these 20 centers are popping up, and I think all of them can be 21 very viable. Obviously, the trick is to work in 22 partnership with the economic development people to get 23 those kinds of companies interested in those centers. But I think because of Pennsylvania's location, those type of 24 25 developments have the greatest potential. You can go

after the General Motors, the Fords, and the huge
 companies of the world all you want to, and maybe you'll
 get lucky and land one every 20 years, but the real growth
 is probably in the smaller industrial companies that need
 that kind of specialized service.

REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: One other question, kind 6 7 of on a different subject. You had mentioned the increase 8 in size of the pound capacity of the cars. That poses a 9 problem, and the length of the cars, you also mentioned 10 the length of the boxcars. That poses a problem for me in 11 that if the Commonwealth is going to be trying to step up 12 to the plate and do more to develop and replace 13 infrastructure, one thing we can't be doing is building 14 bridges that will handle the capacity of a 286,000 pound 15 car, only to five years later be told, oh, well, now the 16 industry is going to 312,000 pound cars. What can we do 17 to plan according to that? Because we can't afford the 18 infrastructure to be changing every, you know, even on a 19 20- to 50-year basis, if you really look out there, we 20 can't afford that.

21 MR. McFARREN: I agree with that, and I don't 22 have the magic answer to that. I'd say it's like the 23 design of many bridges and everything else that you do, 24 there needs to be some thought given to designing those at 25 appropriate level so that you can maybe handle future

1 increases. You know, we still have a lot of wooden 2 trestle bridges and structures like that in Pennsylvania, 3 and frankly, it's going to be very, very costly to 4 transform those structures into carrying huge weights. 5 **REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:** Thank you, Phil. I 6 appreciate the work that you've done for the railroads. 7 As you know, I'm very supportive of the shortlines and the 8 impact that they have directly in my district, obviously. 9 One last passing comment, I can't help myself on 10 this. You commented about better service and such things 11 and you kind of ended up with a customer slogan. I think 12 that one of the keys to the success and to the railroads 13 picking up that billion dollars in new traffic will be 14 them achieving the goal of meeting that customer 15 satisfaction. I still hear that from people that do deal 16 with railroads, that the railroads kind of still go where 17 they want to go and not necessarily where the customer 18 wants or needs it to be there, and that's a historic 19 problem, and I don't say that critically of you, but I 20 just say it as a matter of putting it on the record, I 21 guess. 22 MR. McFARREN: All I can say is that I think 23 there's a national recognition in the industry that that has to be their future focus, and they know they have to 24 25 get there.

1 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: That's good to hear. 2 MR. McFARREN: It's contrary to prior times when 3 they just did it when they felt like it. 4 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Phil, we want to thank you. In 6 closing, one final question. Will we be seeing the 7 shortlines and the Class 1's at all of the meetings of the State Transportation Commission actively engaged in giving 8 9 testimony this year? 10 MR. McFARREN: I have encouraged all of them to 11 I think though that past experience has been a do that. 12 negative and there is not a lot of excitement about going 13 and making another presentation that maybe doesn't yield. 14 CHAIRMAN GEIST: I know that I was really 15 surprised when the Governor bluelined the money I put in 16 for the study of extending a shortline into a county that 17 had 3,000 truck movements a day into a facility, that 18 study money was bluelined. I kind of shook my head 19 because it goes from one MPO to a local development 20 district and it crosses two county lines, but yet it's 21 almost an absolute necessity. If you want economic 22 development in that area, which was suffering for years 23 and years, and as Liz said this morning, she had no idea 24 that any of those decisions were made about the crossings. We need the strong input at the development 25

1 district and at the district offices from the shortlines. 2 And it's almost unconscionable that we at PennDOT and 3 Economic Development and Commerce would build a large 4 industrial park today without two modalities servicing it, 5 that we would invest huge amounts of State dollars to do 6 this. And we need the help of everybody that's in the 7 business and everybody that's in the industry to maximize 8 the investment that we have and the investment 9 opportunities, and I would hope that those people step up 10 to the plate and get very active at the local planning 11 process.

12 MR. McFARREN: Well, we're going to try and get 13 them to do that, but I think the crossing issue is a very 14 good one to discuss in regard to that. The Transportation 15 Committee staff of both Houses came to us and asked us to 16 do a lot of research and asked for submission of numbers 17 for repairing crossings, and we did that, and we got those 18 in the capital budget and they were bluelined out. And 19 when we asked why they were bluelined out, there were like 20 two answers. Well, you guys had them in the wrong budget. And the inference was we should have had them in 21 22 the highway transportation capital budget. But of course, 23 all of us know highway transportation dollars can't be 24 used to replace and rehabilitate signals and the actual 25 crossing itself. And I just think we have a lot of people

who frankly don't understand the enormity of the problem. 1 2 I mean, yes, we do have 130 funds for crossings. The 3 problem is it's about \$8 million a year and we have an 4 annual demand for crossing upgrades of about \$30 million 5 to \$40 million a year, and the two are never going to meet 6 and we're never going to get close without figuring out a 7 little more innovative financing on those crossings. And 8 all of you have a whole bundle of those. 9 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: May I just say one 11 quick question, because of that very point. 12 Phil, you mentioned that past history about the 13 reluctance to testify because the testimony has been taken 14 and forgotten about, but I think there is sort of a new 15 day, just as you talked about, you see some optimism with 16 respect to business, I see some too. I don't know if you 17 heard Liz this morning, and she was taken by surprise 18 too. You might say, well, how can that happen? Well, it 19 happens when you see many things in the capital budget, 20 but I know that rankled me, to say the least, because we 21 have some crossings that clearly need to be rehabilitated 22 and improved, and I can tell you one thing, I'm going to 23 be very vigilant about that, and I think the Chairman to 24 my right will certainly be very vigilant. So I think you 25 ought to redouble your efforts with respect to that and

1 make sure you do testify, because we're going to try to 2 give you, from our standpoint, more than a fair hearing, 3 because I think there has to be some kind of dedication to 4 intermodalism. If we talk it, we have to practice it. 5 MR. McFARREN: Well, I think one of the big 6 steps is for you all to hold hearings and meetings like 7 this so we can get a broader understanding of some of 8 these issues and how they really are financed. But we've 9 had so many miscommunications on some of the capital 10 budget items, it's, you know, I'm embarrassed by it. I 11 feel like we didn't do enough. REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Well, I frankly think 12 13 that grade crossings could be funded under our Rail 14 Assistance Program. However, the reason why they are 15 probably not is that we're up to \$8.5 million, but there's 16 a \$25 million or \$22 million demand each year for actually 17 rehabilitating the line itself. So that's probably one of 18 the reasons why. But we can argue that we had \$50 million 19 there and we need it really, those things should be taken, 20 because that's part of the rail line. But if not there, 21 certainly we should be able to accommodate those in the 22 capital budget. Or both, really. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Mr. Chairman, before you go, I 25 think there was a lack of communication in the previous

1 railroads and the previous railroad owners between the 2 legislature and their companies. I can remember calling 3 sometimes Conrail and some other companies that I won't 4 mention their names, not responding to letters, not 5 responding to phone calls, even going to their place and 6 talking to them about a problem at a crossing or tunnel or 7 something of that nature, and never getting back to me, 8 and now I'm seeing a complete reawakening of the railroad 9 system itself just through Canadian Pacific in a safety 10 I mean, years ago they wouldn't answer mail or manner. 11 communicate. So I think they need to, what they started 12 now I think is good and I think they need to just 13 communicate better with legislatures not only in this 14 State but in the other States as well. I think they 15 really need to do that and have some of their problems 16 heard, and perhaps having the legislature addressing some 17 of those problems or being able to assist. 18 So thank you again for your testimony today. 19 MR. McFARREN: 'Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Next on the list is Fred 21 Gurney, President and CEO of Maglev, Inc., and my longtime 22 passion. 23 MR. GURNEY: Chairman Geist, Chairman Hasay, I'm 24 delighted to be here to be able to address the group of 25 you and talk about transportation and economic

development. I represent Maglev, Inc., Maglev,
 Incorporated, a company that's vitally interested in the
 future of development of both transportation and job
 creation.

5 Our interest stems from our principal objective, 6 and that is of developing a high-speed magnetic levitation 7 transportation system. High-speed Maglev is a reality. 8 Some of you may not be aware, but high-speed Maglev has 9 been carrying fare paying passengers for a number of 10 years, even though in a limited way, it's been doing it in 11 Germany. We at Maglev, Inc., predict that high-speed 12 Maglevs will be operational in the United States within 13 the next five years. We are postured to grab this opportunity for Pennsylvania. It's real, it's here, and 14 15 the time is now.

But there is competition. There are six other States, all of whom are vying to be the first to have a high-speed Maglev in the United States. There is little time, hesitation, or for extended debate. If Pennsylvania wants to have a leadership position in this new transportation system and its associated economics, we must act now and we must work diligently.

Pennsylvania had initial push on the high-speed
Maglev through the efforts of the Pennsylvania High-Speed
Intercity Rail Passenger Commission. Our organization,

Maglev, Inc., was built from that commission, but
 developed its nucleus in 1988 through a study from the
 Rail System Center at Carnegie Mellon University
 concerning the future transportation needs in the United
 States.

6 The conclusion of that study was unequivocal: 7 High-speed Maglev was the safest, fastest, and most 8 innovative way to meet our nation's transportation needs 9 and to fill the niche between the air and highway modes 10 which were then becoming saturated. That saturation 11 condition is continually growing, and is a growing problem 12 today.

13 High-speed Maglev offers a means to alleviate 14 that problem. High-speed Maglev is a train-like 15 transportation mode that allows the vehicle to move over a 16 fixed guideway without the vehicle or the guideway being 17 in contact. The vehicle is lifted and is propelled 18 electromagnetically, and it does that without any moving 19 It makes only an aerodynamic noise at higher parts. 20 speeds, and is virtually emission-free from the vehicle 21 itself. It can move right into the hearts of our cities 22 or into our shopping centers, and through its use it can 23 help us in our air quality and our individual quality of 24 life.

25

In the next paragraph of my prepared text, I put

in words which all of you know well are Pennsylvania's
 history with transportation, its innovation. I would like
 to skip those and go right on into what I think some of
 the needs for high-speed Maglev are in Pennsylvania.

5 One of the key features of high-speed Maglev is 6 it offers a fusion with other modes of transportation. To 7 me, it's more than just intermodalism. It actually 8 promotes other kinds of transportation modes and enhances 9 them. In southwestern Pennsylvania, we have a very 10 important economic asset that is only partially tapped. 11 That asset is the Pittsburgh International Airport. The 12 Pittsburgh Airport is one of the largest in land area of 13 any of the airports of the country. It is located 14 approximately halfway between New York City and Chicago, 15 and within one hour flying time it encompasses 51 percent 16 of the population of the United States, and 50 percent of 17 the population of Canada. Within that region, 63 percent 18 of the industrial output of the United States is 19 accessible.

The Pittsburgh Airport is located in a noncongested area, so it's almost conflict-free from the population centers or other industry. That means that that airport, unlike others, can expand easily with minimum roadblocks from the citizens or from industry. Now, while we have this unique advantage, as I

1 said, it's to a considerable extent untapped. One of the 2 principal reasons for that is the access between the 3 airport and even the downtown Pittsburgh area. The 4 transportation link between the city and the airport is 5 restricted by the channeled east/west traffic of that 6 region. Rugged ridges, river crossings, and ultimate 7 passage through the Fort Pitt tunnel provide congestion 8 that makes it very difficult for movement from the city to 9 the airport.

10 The organization that I represent is in the 11 initial phases of a pre-construction planning of a 12 transportation system that will alleviate that problem. 13 High-speed Maglev offers a unique advantage in the 14 Pittsburgh area because of the ridges that we must 15 traverse. High-speed Maglev can climb grades of about 10 16 percent. That's compared to about 3-percent grade 17 climbing capability for steel wheel on rail. Really, a 18 unique advantage in our area. The segment that we're 19 talking about can be traversed at speeds of up to 250, and 20 perhaps even 300 miles per hour.

Our first objective is to build a line from the airport through downtown, and then on out to the eastern suburbs of Monroeville and Greensburg. Our next phase objective is to traverse the Commonwealth with high-speed Maglev. Continued expansion towards the west, towards

Cleveland, Detroit and Chicago would establish
 southwestern Pennsylvania as a natural focal point for
 linking the population centers of the northeast with those
 of the midwest and come back and exploit the unique
 advantages of our airport. Those advantages could place
 that airport as the natural point of arrival and departure
 for intercontinental flights to Europe or to Asia.

8 Another concern impacting the growth of commerce 9 in our region, and truly that of the United States, is the 10 increasing delays associated with our highways and 11 airports. Almost every day there are reports of road 12 rage, and more increasingly air rage, that are broadcast 13 to us over the media, emphasizing the growing frustration 14 of travelers. Statistics on the lost productivity from 15 travel delays show that the growth of this problem is 16 continuing. The cities and the regions that could provide 17 a mechanism for capturing this lost time will place 18 themselves in a significant position to reap the 19 tremendous economic benefits of new industry arrival. 20 High-speed Maglev offers a means and opportunity to 21 capture some of that lost time.

Let me give you an example. The current highway travel time between Philadelphia and Pittsburgh is about six hours. If you were to go by air, and counting the delays at the airports, that's still about a 3-hour travel

time. With high-speed Maglev and with stations located off-line, an express run can be made between Philadelphia and Pittsburgh in just slightly over 1 1/2 hours. Even with stops at the intermediate cities of Lancaster, Harrisburg, State College, Altoona, Johnstown, Greensburg, and Monroeville, that travel time would be slightly over two hours.

8 Building high-speed Maglev will have a long-term 9 economic effect on us all. The raw materials, the 10 fabrication expertise and the construction requirements to 11 build a high-speed Maglev by themselves would provide an 12 economic stimulus of a significant magnitude. The 13 estimates, by Argonne National Laboratory, in a study that 14 they conducted called the National Maglev Initiative, 15 showed that the manufacturing for high-speed Maglev would 16 create a \$200 billion industry in the United States. That 17 region, that State which first starts high-speed Maglev 18 will be able to capture the bulk of those benefits. We 19 want to capture those for Pennsylvania.

Let's take a little bit of time and look at the raw material usage that high-speed Maglev requires. And for an example, I'll talk about only 100 miles of dual guideway structure. In 100 miles of dual guideway structure, Maglev would require 4,000 tons of plate steel, 40,000 tons of reinforcing steel bar, 55,000 tons of magnetic steel laminates, over 30 miles of aluminum
 conducting wire, wire of about 3/4-inch diameter, 53,000
 cubic yards of concrete.

4 Building Maglev and supplying the materials for 5 it creates jobs. But remember now, that's only for 100 6 miles. The vehicles will require aluminum sheet, copper, 7 steel, and various nonmetallics in their body structure. 8 The transportation power, the signal, communication and 9 control system will require power transformers, computers, 10 controlled electronics. The stations and support buildings themselves require all the assorted materials 11 12 that compose modern buildings.

13 There will be another significant number of jobs 14 created in the manufacturing, construction, installation 15 and the maintenance activities associated with the 16 construction itself. The exact number of jobs with 17 documentation will be developed by us by June 30 of next 18 year, but our best current estimate is that approximately 19 5,000 jobs would be created for the construction of 100 20 miles of duo guideway for high-speed Maglev. These will 21 include some very high wage advanced manufacturing jobs, which would be associated with the Maglev guideway 22 23 structure itself.

Now, every factory job or construction job
creates an associated number of spin-off and support

1 jobs. There are various multipliers that are used to 2 project those associated jobs, but a factor of about 2 1/23 is generally accepted. If we take the jobs that we just 4 mentioned on manufacturing, add to those of supplying the 5 raw material, we could see that about 100 miles of 6 construction of high-speed Maglev guideway would result in 7 approximately 20,000 new jobs. And that number compares 8 somewhat favorably to the German estimates of about 19,000 9 jobs created for their Berlin to Hamburg line over a 10 period of years.

11 Of particular significance in the job creation 12 area though is that new jobs would be created which in 13 themselves would be available to offer spin-out jobs. One 14 such area is in the fabrication of the guideway itself. That guideway beam is a very large structure. It's about 15 16 10 feet wide, it's about 7 feet deep, and it's up to 165 17 feet long. And even though that structure is very 18 massive, its fabrication must be accomplished to very 19 stringent dimensional tolerances. Those close dimensional 20 tolerances will require that the guideway structure be 21 fabricated in an environmentally controlled facility using 22 robotics and computer controlled operations. 23

Now initially, this may sound as if it's a very
expensive operation, but in actuality, once it's
established, that kind of manufacturing, that kind of

fabrication, will result in a savings of 20 to 30 percent
 over conventional methods. Now, the fabrication process
 developed for this guideway is also applicable to the
 fabrication of steel bridges, ship building, and
 conventional buildings.

6 Now, while it's true that these structures may 7 not demand the close tolerances that we will require for 8 guideway fabrication, the cost savings are there 9 nevertheless. In a recent discussion that I had with 10 gentlemen at Kvaerner Philadelphia Shipyards, the 11 production vice president told me that up to one-third of 12 the costs in ship building, up to one-third of the costs 13 are associated with rework. They were in the process of 14 assembling a precision fabrication system, not to get 15 tight tolerances, but to take advantage of the cost 16 reduction that they could get from reduced rework. When 17 you apply that to a bridge building which we have throughout the United States, and particularly here in 18 19 Pennsylvania, you can see where this thing could have a 20 tremendous impact. You would have not only the reduction 21 in costs associated with the fabrication itself, but you 22 would have a cost reduction associated with reduced 23 rework.

What that would mean is that the tax funds
dedicated to bridge building would go a lot further. Now,

if we consider the National Bridge Inventory Statistics,
 which show that approximately 30 percent of all the
 bridges in this country are deficient in some way, you can
 see that just a small amount of cost reduction in
 fabrication can go a long way whenever we're talking about
 tax dollars.

Maglev, Inc., is particularly interested in
telling its story about the benefits of high-speed Maglev
and about the transportation system that it could create,
and the economic generator that it would be for
Pennsylvania. We have an opportunity to capture for
Pennsylvania a leading role in the transportation
technology and in this industrial development.

14 We look forward to working with the Commonwealth 15 of Pennsylvania in a partnership that will bring 16 additional jobs for Pennsylvania. The pieces are in place 17 for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to capture this 18 leading edge technology. With the help of our private 19 partners and the Commonwealth, we have secured a lead in 20 this technology and in this industry. Senator Arlen 21 Specter rode the high-speed Maglev last year when he was 22 on a trip to Germany. Since then, he has been successful 23 in securing earmarked funds for Pennsylvania as part of 24 TEA-21. We have just received \$4 million of earmarked 25 funds, along with matching funds from the Commonwealth

1 through PennDOT, to get underway with the pre-construction 2 engineering of a high-speed Maglev in Pennsylvania. In 3 the TEA-21 budget, there's about \$1 billion available for Pennsylvania to capture, to establish our position as a 4 5 leader in this technology and this leading edge industry. 6 We need your enthusiasm to capture this technology and we 7 need your support. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Okay, you got it. 9 (Laughter.) 10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: I guess as the number one 11 cheerleader for this project for 21 or 22 years, and 12 having just led a study group to Germany and all over 13 Europe, it's quite apparent that when it comes to 14 transportation, we've got to become intermodal. And I 15 hope to see you testifying at all the Transportation 16 Commission hearings as the corridor goes through every 17 part of the State. The only suggestion I have, it takes 18 103 in the House and 26 in the Senate, and if you're going 19 to get a corridor, you'd better get it all at once. 20 Any questions from the committee? 21 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I have a lot of 22 questions, and I'm not going to ask all the questions. Ι 23 have just always been absolutely -- I'm sorry, but this is 24 something I'm very interested in. First of all, are you

going to be in Pittsburgh on the 28th or 29th of this

25

1 month when the State Transportation Commission is there 2 for two days of hearings concerning transportation, not 3 just highways, transportation demands and needs? Is 4 somebody going to be testifying on behalf of Maglev, Inc.? 5 6 MR. GURNEY: We will be there. We have not been 7 asked to testify, but we will be there. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: You don't have to be 9 asked. See, that's the problem. That's the problem, 10 sir. You don't have to be asked. You have to testify. 11 You have to, I mean, is Allegheny County one MPO? I'm not 12 sure. 13 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: No, there's one for 14 the whole region. 15 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Whatever, there is no 16 way, I mean, you have to, Maglev, Inc., has to, through 17 yourselves or through the county, has to get on that 18 agenda. 19 MR. GURNEY: We will be on it. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I mean, we hear you 21 today. I mean, I've always been impressed by the whole 22 idea of a new industry, beside a new transportation, a 23 whole new industry, that \$200 billion you're talking about 24 is something very significant and good for Pennsylvania, 25 and we're interested in it, I certainly am and I know I

1 don't have to talk for Rick, Rick talks enough about that, but the fact of the matter is if we do have some earmarked 2 3 funding and if you want our enthusiasm, you have mine and 4 I think you have other people's too, our support has to be 5 more than moral support, you're going to need some money 6 along the way. Initially, in 1985 we were talking about 7 this, we were talking about \$10 billion for connecting 8 Philadelphia with Pittsburgh, and of course people were 9 scratching their heads, they thought that was exorbitant. 10 No one saw the pictures that you unfolded. I said no one, 11 meaning, as Rick said, you need 102 votes, and of course 12 26. 13 But you have to elucidate that before a group. 14 You're talking somewhat to the choir, but it's very 15 important because it's going to be on television too for 16 the world to hear this, but you also have to sit and talk 17 before the commission on the 28th and 29th in Pittsburgh. 18 Get on that agenda. It's an important item for 19 Pennsylvania, I believe. 20 MR. GURNEY: We will be on that agenda. 21 CHAIRMAN GEIST: In every district in the 22 corridor, not just Pittsburgh. This is a Pennsylvania 23 project, not a Pittsburgh project. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I'm going to stop 25 there.

1 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Yeah. 2 MR. GURNEY: Okay, we'll take that to heart. 3 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. 4 Chairman. 5 I happen to sit on the policy Hi, Fred. 6 committee for SPC, and in the last meeting we had there 7 was a discussion about transit versus highway dollars, and 8 as a matter of fact, October 1 there's going to be a 9 meeting in which this entire transit issue versus the 10 highway dollars is going to be discussed in more detail. 11 But my understanding of the process is that the MPO, in 12 concert with PennDOT, has already essentially designed 13 their transportation plan for the next 5 to 25 years, and 14 that these various transportation hearings, Transportation 15 Commission hearings that are going to take place, are kind 16 of a verification of what they've already come to an 17 agreement on, at least through the SPC in southwest Pennsylvania, and PennDOT, by virtue of Deputy Secretary 18 19 King and his people. 20 But in that plan, they talk about Maglev and

20 But in that plan, they talk about Maglev and 21 they talk about that Maglev is going to be part of the 22 study of the future. And I'm still confused, and that's 23 why I asked a lot of questions about this whole transit 24 issue in general, and specifically Maglev as it relates to 25 where this all shakes out. We have transportation plans dealing with highways. We talked about the Mon Valley
 Expressway, the southern beltway, the Laurel Valley
 Expressway, and billions of dollars of highway dollars
 dedicated for concrete. But I don't see anything other
 than studies and plans as it relates to particularly
 Maglev and other transit issues.

My question to you is, have you been involved to
any extent with this planning process vis-a-vis SPC or
PennDOT, and to what extent has that taken place?

10 MR. GURNEY: Well, we have been involved with 11 the planning process, probably not as extensively for an 12 earlier period of years as we perhaps should have been, 13 but we are involved now. We are particularly involved 14 with the noodle corridor, as you know, the multimodal 15 corridor between the airport and downtown, because that 16 corridor has the possibility of having a Maglev line as 17 well as a light rail line or as well as a toll road all 18 combined in there, so I think we're part of that plan now 19 and we're part of that plan because of that synergism that 20 you gain from having more than one mode of transportation 21 involved in an area. But were we involved in that 22 planning process as extensively as we are now, were we 23 that way a few years ago? The answer is no, we were not. 24 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Are you satisfied 25 that your involvement is adequate to present your

1 project's qualifications and need for the area? Do you 2 feel that you're getting a fair hearing in terms of that 3 process? 4 MR. GURNEY: In our recent markets with the SPC, 5 yes, I am satisfied with that. As of now. 6 **REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI:** Okay. Then just what 7 is the timeframe and the dollar amounts beyond this \$8 8 million or \$9 million you have recently acquired past the 9 pre-engineering stage? Where are we from that date on, or 10 whatever the timeframe is beyond those dollars? 11 MR. GURNEY: The legislation, the TEA-21 12 legislation, really requires that we have an operational 13 system in place in six years. 14 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Six years from the 15 passage of the legislation? 16 MR. GURNEY: That's correct. That's correct. 17 Now, that makes that very, very tight, a very tight 18 schedule, as you might really imagine, and whether that's 19 going to be the actual schedule that we'll hold to or not 20 is going to be determined, but that's what it requires now. So in order to achieve that means that we have to do 21 22 the pre-engineering work in the next $2 \frac{1}{2}$ years, and 23 overlapping that is doing the manufacturing development, 24 the kind of manufacturing development that I talked about with precision manufacturing. And it's done in other 25

1 parts of the world, not in the United States, but it can 2 be done in the United States. We have all the ingredients 3 to put that together, but we have to do that in an 4 overlapping way. We cannot do these things in a 5 serialized fashion. We have to do that and parallel. And 6 then we have to get the manufacturing accomplished so we 7 can have it first line operational within six years, so 8 that's our objective is to have the airport to downtown, a 9 run available in six years.

10 REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: So I understand, and 11 this is my last question, the process then is for at some 12 point the MPO to give their blessing to the Maglev as the 13 project for that corridor, or are we talking about, are 14 you in competition with a light rail plan, light rail 15 vehicle plan, additional toll roads, additional bus way? 16 I mean, or is this in addition to?

17 MR. GURNEY: This is in addition to. We should 18 not be in competition with those other modes. There are 19 plans, and how in-depth those plans are I'm not really 20 that certain, for a light rail system that could possibly 21 extend up to the airport, and certainly there are plans 22 for a toll road going from the downtown area to the 23 airport section of that road. And would that siphon off 24 traffic? Is there enough traffic between the downtown 25 area and the airport to accommodate all of those? I do

| 1 | not know yet. We're going to be doing a ridership study |
|----|---|
| 2 | over the next few months and we'll be able to give you a |
| 3 | better answer as that ridership study evolves. |
| 4 | REPRESENTATIVE TANGRETTI: Thank you, Mr. |
| 5 | Chairman. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN HASAY: Any other questions? |
| 7 | REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HASAY: Representative Stevenson. |
| 9 | REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Fred, let's assume |
| 10 | the funding is there, Federal, State funding, everything |
| 11 | is in place, do you have any studies that show your cost |
| 12 | of operation with other competitive transportation modes? |
| 13 | In essence, will Maglev be cheaper to operate, more |
| 14 | expensive, in the middle, compared to other competitive |
| 15 | modes of transportation? |
| 16 | MR. GURNEY: The answer to your question, do we |
| 17 | have studies which show that? The studies that we have |
| 18 | really have a lot of assumptions associated with them. |
| 19 | The effort that we will be doing this next year, over the |
| 20 | next year, will really address that, because we do have a |
| 21 | very important cost feature to analyze in this next 12 |
| 22 | months. But in a competitive sort of way, Maglev is, in |
| 23 | my opinion, a very, very competitive transportation mode. |
| 24 | Let me give you an example people. Maglev will |
| 25 | cost, and the number, since this group here has been |
| | |

1 talking about the cost of highways, you probably are well 2 familiar with how much highways cost, but in the 3 Pittsburgh area, Interstate 279 North, about 15 years ago 4 when that was completed, cost about \$37 million a mile. 5 Maglev today will cost slightly over \$30 million a mile 6 for a dual guideway system. And while so that puts it, 7 now again, we're comparing apples and oranges a little 8 bit, but it is one mode of transportation compared to 9 another. 10 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: I'm really talking 11 about costs of operating your vehicles versus costs of

12 operating a bus for one mile.

25

13 MR. GURNEY: Let me tell you that the 14 information that we have up to today is that we can allow 15 transportation between downtown and the airport and also 16 between downtown and Monroeville and Greensburg at about 17 25 cents a passenger mile. Now, comparing that to what 18 the government allows you to drive your automobile, that's about 31 to 32 cents a mile, I think, so we're less than 19 20 that. And if you're going downtown and park, of course 21 you have the parking rates which go from \$2 to \$17 a day. 22 So the answer, I think, is yes. 23 **REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON:** So there are 24 studies. Could you get us a copy of those?

MR. GURNEY: I can give you the information that

192 1 we have. 2 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Great. That would be 3 helpful. 4 MR. GURNEY: I will do that. 5 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HASAY: Thank you very much for coming 7 here in front of the House Commerce and the House 8 Transportation Committee. Thank you very much. 9 Next we have David G. Holdsworth, the Executive 10 Director of the Susquehanna Area Regional Airport 11 Authority. Thank you for coming here today in front of 12 the joint committee hearing, and you can proceed at your 13 own convenience. 14 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 15 members of the committee. It's a great honor to be here 16 this afternoon on behalf of the members of the board of 17 the Susquehanna Area Regional Airport Authority. We do 18 appreciate the time and opportunity to present what we 19 feel are a number of economic development opportunities 20 that are at Capital City Airport and Harrisburg 21 International. 22 I want to spend just a few moments reminding you 23 of the process that we went through, we meaning the 24 members of the legislature and SARAA, in creating this 25 economic development opportunity. In the beginning of

1 1997, five municipalities put together a group of 2 individuals to negotiate with the Commonwealth to take over Harrisburg International and Capital City Airport. 3 4 That process was successful, and in September of 1997, 5 SARAA was created by seven sponsoring municipalities: The 6 counties of Cumberland, Dauphin, and York; Harrisburg city 7 and York city; and Lower Swatara Township in Dauphin 8 County and Fairview Township in northern York County. At 9 the end of 1997, the legislature passed unanimously 10 legislation allowing the Commonwealth-to transfer 11 ownership and operation of Harrisburg International and 12 Capital City Airport to SARAA, the Susquehanna Area 13 Regional Airport Authority, and on January 1 we did take 14 over the operation and control of the airport. 15 From its inception, this project has been an 16 economic development project that was felt by the region 17 that with Commonwealth ownership, the region was not benefitting to its maximum from the ownership and 18 operation of Harrisburg International Airport. As we have 19 20 developed our vision as an organization, economic 21 development, as you can see there in the notes, I've 22 included our mission statement, economic development is 23 prominent. We do have two airports to run, we are trying

25 | but at the same time we recognize our responsibility to

to serve our customers, the passengers, and the airlines,

the community in creating jobs and economic
 opportunities.

3 Just a couple of facts about the economic 4 activity at Harrisburg International and Capital City 5 Airport. We are the third largest airport in the 6 Commonwealth and we serve about a million and a half 7 passengers per year. We are running about flat this year 8 on unenplaned passengers. That's because our major 9 airline, U.S. Airways, was having some equipment and 10 staffing problems. I think their total enplanements are 11 down about 5 percent. Some of our other airlines are 12 experiencing tremendous growth, and I'll speak to that in 13 a few minutes.

14 Cargo tonnage, I was interested to hear that the 15 rail lines are running about flat. As you can see here, 16 we are not a major number of tons per year, but we are experiencing tremendous growth, 14 percent here to date. 17 18 Those numbers have been running at about 8 percent per 19 year for the last 15 years, and we see tremendous 20 opportunity in serving the community through additional facilities in cargo. 21

22 Capital City Airport, which is our general
23 aviation reliever airport for central Pennsylvania, is the
24 largest general aviation airport in central Pennsylvania.
25 It continues to see a slight growth. General aviation has

not been doing well on a national level, except for the
last few years where it has begun to turn back up, and our
activity level really on a year-to-year basis is even
doing better than this. The reason the numbers are so
small as far as growth is concerned at this point is
because of decreased military activity at the Capital City
Airport.

8 Just a couple of facts that were not in the 9 notes. There was an economic impact study that was done 10 in 1993 prior to SARAA's taking over the airport. It just 11 indicated, and I'll just read a couple of numbers here. 12 The total numbers of jobs, either direct or indirect, for 13 HIA is about 4,600. It represents \$129 million worth of 14 personal income in 1993 dollars, \$306 million worth of 15 business revenue, and \$33 million in State, local, and 16 Federal taxes.

Capital City Airport, about 100 jobs, \$3.1
million worth of personal income, \$3.2 million worth of
business revenue, and about \$400,000 in State, local, and
Federal taxes.

What we really wanted to come and talk to you about a little bit this afternoon was what we accomplished since you have transferred the airports to us in the last 18 months. Obviously, there's some basic housekeeping items such as safety and security that we must deal with.

These include increasing the fire and police complements,
 additional equipment for both, and continuing to replace
 snow removal and other equipment at the airport.

4 The most exciting part of what SARAA is trying 5 to accomplish is to increase air service. We are, as an 6 airport, really a facility. Airports are a complicated 7 business entity, but their real main mission is very 8 simple: It's to move people from one mode of 9 transportation to another. Air service development at 10 this point has not been a major focus. We have had some 11 air service developments, however, in the last 18 12 months. TWA has added what we call a tag service between 13 Harrisburg International and St. Louis through Dulles, 14 100-seat aircraft, which we are using more than 50 15 percent. That gave us access back to what was the first 16 commercial air service this region had, to TWA and their 17 system. That has been a tremendous success for TWA and 18 for the airport. United Express has added a third flight 19 to Dulles. At a time when people can have access to other 20 international flights from one of their major hubs, United 21 at Dulles. Comair, which is Delta Express, has added a 22 fourth regional jet to Cincinnati, which is Delta's major 23 hub in the midwest.

24Now to the major economic opportunities that we25have sought to accomplish in the last 12 months, really.

1 Purchase of two pieces of property, one is the Crawford 2 Station site, which is substantially in Middletown Borough. There are some economic development and air site 3 development opportunities in this purchase by SARAA. 4 5 However, the major reason for that purchase was to 6 continue to support the growth of the Air National Guard 7 193rd Unit that we host at Harrisburg International Airport. As we've gone through, and we'll mention the 8 9 master plan in a minute, but as we've gone through that 10 process, we have assumed the master plan with the Air National Guard to put in place in mid-1995, and that 11 12 assumed that the airport would control Crawford Station 13 and make that available to the Air National Guard for 14 their future growth.

15 The purchase by SARAA of the Bethlehem Steel 16 property is one that is current news. There is, in your 17 package, a press release on this project, the resale of a 18 portion of the project to Gannett Fleming for a 106,000 19 square foot manufacturing facility. I'd like to just take 20 a minute to profile this because my background is economic 21 development and I get excited when municipalities and 22 economic development entities come together in a 23 cooperative effort.

24Gannett Fleming, I'm sure as you know, is in25engineering, but they also have a manufacturing component

to them. They are in two facilities now. They are
 looking to move approximately 90 employees to this site.
 Potential growth of 35 employees over the next five
 years.

5 What's so exciting about this is the facility 6 sits in Lower Swatara Township, but as we've gone through 7 it, Highspire Borough in Dauphin County has also been part 8 of the approval process, and so we have two municipalities 9 working cooperatively together to approve this project. 10 There have been no less than three economic development 11 entities that have participated in this process at various 12 levels. Dauphin County Office of Economic and Community Development has applied to the Department of Community and 13 14 Economic Development for a \$6 million bond issue to 15 finance the structure itself. The Capital Region Economic 16 Development Corporation applied for and received approval 17 for a \$400,000 infrastructure grant for the project, and the city of Harrisburg is applying as the sponsor for the 18 enterprise zone, this project is in a State designated 19 20 enterprise zone, for tax credits for the project.

SARAA purchased the Bethlehem Steel site with
the knowledge that we only needed about 30 acres for
airport development, and also with the knowledge that
Gannett Fleming needed this site, and we worked diligently
to make this project a success. As I said in the notes, a

portion of the property, about 16 acres, is in a KOZ. We continue to actively market the property, and we look forward to successfully selling the property to manufacturers who will continue to add economic activity to the region.

6 I wanted to very briefly present to you just 7 some of the basic materials that's in the blue package 8 here on our master plan. I'm not going to go through this 9 in any great detail, just respond to questions. There is 10 one thing, Mr. Chairman, that I specifically wanted to 11 point out on your behalf, knowing your love of 12 intermodal. If you turn to the HIA concept plan, which is 13 this depiction, it's the wonderful colors, you'll see 14 pretty much in the middle of the plan right at the base of 15 the airport connector road, there is a site that the 16 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and PennDOT has retained 17 ownership of. It's a site that's been proposed for a train station really to serve enhanced service by Amtrak 18 19 in the Harrisburg-Philadelphia corridor. We have been 20 part of this project since the very beginning. I'm not 21 claiming any credit by saying that, just that we're aware of it and we want to support it. 22

As is noted there, we have, in our planning process, identified a potential right-of-way for future train station to terminal building access. This is a

project that we see being developed over the 20-year
 timeframe of this master plan, and we look forward to
 working with the MPO and with Amtrak and PennDOT to make
 it a success.

5 The master plan, just very briefly, has been 6 driven by three factors. Number one, tremendous passenger 7 growth, and if you look at the fourth page you can see 8 some of the numbers there, we currently have, it's a typo 9 here, it's actually 740,000 enplaned passengers at HIA. 10 Our projections are, on a conservative growth pattern, to 11 be at 1.2 million in 2018. That would drive our terminal 12 building to increase from to 136,000 square feet today to 13 220,000 square feet in 2018; 7 jet gates to 18 jet gates.

As you can see, cargo is a very major component of what we plan for. We see easily a tripling of the cargo demand in the region, and as you look at the plans for HIA, you can see there that we have allowed a significant amount of land, of what we consider very valuable commodity land in an airport, to serve the community in this way.

The Capital City numbers you can see there, 53,000 operations this year, going to 63,000. There isn't really a significant amount of capital investment that's required at Capital City Airport. I would ask you just to turn briefly to the back of the blue presentation, if you

1 will. There are two sheets there that I just wanted to 2 refer you to. One is the master plan cost estimates. 3 Looking at Phase 1, which is the next five years, 4 including 1999, the airport is needing to finance 5 approximately \$61 million worth of projects. You can see 6 that there's about \$2 million in Capital City, and the 7 balance at Harrisburg International Airport. By far the 8 largest single investment is in the terminal building 9 complex. That includes terminal expansion and parking and access facilities at HIA. 10

11 How do we fund that? If you turn over, and 12 don't be confused by the total line items here, \$87 13 million includes some assumed private investment at HIA 14 and Capital City Airport over a five-year window, but you 15 can see that we have plugged in quite a diversity of 16 financing opportunities, one of which is the capital 17 budget in the amount of approximately \$5 million. And 18 this is really the point that I would like to make with 19 the committee today. It's been quite easy for airports 20 like Pittsburgh and Philadelphia to come to the 21 Commonwealth and attract hundreds of millions of dollars 22 of capital items. I think as you go back to your 23 districts and you ask your airports of like size, it's 24 very difficult for us to attract similar amounts of 25 money. And airports such as Erie, Lehigh Valley,

Scranton/Wilkes-Barre, Harrisburg International, all are 1 2 looking at major capital programs over the next 5 to 10 3 years and are seeking much more significant numbers than 4 \$5 million. We see this as a real problem. It's not 5 necessarily addressed in the process of the capital 6 budget. We can't wait to implement a project. We really 7 must know that the financing is there. The capital budget 8 process is not a known factor, and so we're really hoping 9 to put together, with our sister airports, a process where 10 we can come to you as legislators and ask you for special 11 treatment for capital projects moving forward. 12 Thank you for the time, and excited about working with you. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Well, thank you very much. You 15 know that's my pet project, to combine the modalities at I recently had the opportunity of questioning 16 HIA. 17 Amtrak's president on why you couldn't buy one ticket at 18 an Amtrak station and get off at HIA and fly anywhere in 19 the United States, and he informed me that you guys are 20 working on that. 21 I have a question about the master plan though. 22 In building 5, which is marked as a 3,000 space parking 23 garage. 24 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Yes. 25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: When is that going to be

| | 203 |
|----|--|
| 1 | built? |
| 2 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: In the first, the total 3,000 |
| 3 | over a 20-year period. The first 500 spaces in the first |
| 4 | five years. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Wouldn't it be logical to build |
| 6 | a building extension there over the tracks to put your |
| 7 | multimodal train station, bus, taxi right there, rather |
| 8 | than a separate facility across the tracks? |
| 9 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: I think the problem with the |
| 10 | Amtrak site is it's pretty constrained at this time. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: No, I understand that. |
| 12 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: I'm not sure I'm not trying |
| 13 | to be difficult, but you're talking about us moving the |
| 14 | Amtrak facility to our side of the railroad track? |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Absolutely. |
| 16 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: That's an opportunity. There |
| 17 | is a limited amount of acreage on our side of Airport |
| 18 | Drive. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: And then the other point that |
| 20 | interests me is when we had the BA presentation with Liz |
| 21 | Voras and they talked about the total number of acres |
| 22 | needed to support the operation, they stated that you need |
| 23 | an additional 6,000 acres, and I didn't see any money in |
| 24 | that plan for land acquisition. |
| 25 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: The physical constraints that |

Harrisburg International Airport struggles with are many.
 Obviously the river, which in other locations airports are
 planning multi-billion dollar projects along the river and
 base.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Correct.

5

6 MR. HOLDSWORTH: We have not taken that tack. 7 We have, in the master plan, assumed that we can work 8 within the acreage that we own or have just recently 9 acquired, and that has been the gist of our plan.

10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: And then also in this plan, 11 years ago we were approached in the commission to extend 12 the entrance road to the airport, and actually build 13 another bridge across the Susquehanna into York County. 14 Has that plan died, or it just didn't make this drawing?

MR. HOLDSWORTH: We have basically assumed all the off-site infrastructure being in place over the next 20 years and have not gone to the MPO or any of the other transportation agencies asking for an additional bridge. I think that's a much bigger issue than just the airport.

20 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Best guess estimate, when can I 21 buy an Amtrak ticket in Altoona and get on an airplane in 22 Harrisburg?

23 MR. HOLDSWORTH: I would say that's probably at 24 least a five-year timeframe now. And it's not because 25 we're being resistant to the process. We very much have embraced the fact that we want a train station there. On paper it might appear as if there's competition between ourselves and Amtrak. We only enplane about 2,000 people a year going directly to Philadelphia. It's not a major issue for us.

6 CHAIRMAN GEIST: No, the Harrisburg Airport then 7 would become, for those people that live in Montgomery 8 County or those people who live in Blair County, would 9 become the airport of choice if you could buy a ticket, 10 one ticket for less than the cost of on-ground parking in 11 Pittsburgh or Philadelphia and you don't have to park your 12 car and walk half a county away to get there. The 13 advantages are so obvious to the huge population, and this 14 is actually eastern Pennsylvania, Harrisburg. Those of us 15 in Altoona know that we're central Pennsylvania. But for 16 us to get from central to eastern Pennsylvania, and for 17 those people who live in eastern Pennsylvania to get to 18 your airport becomes a huge base of people who could fly, and I don't ever see it showing up anywhere. 19

We don't have an airplane that goes east out of Altoona. We're captive. We have to fly to Pittsburgh or we have to drive to State College.

23 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Actually we pick up, Altoona is
24 a portion of our service territory, as is State College.
25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right.

206 1 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Great competition that we have 2 to the south is BWI. 3 Let me clarify I think for a moment what you 4 asked, and I think what I was referring to was the physical connection between the terminal building and the 5 6 train station. 7 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Right. 8 MR. HOLDSWORTH: In our conversations with 9 Amtrak, with PennDOT, we've offered and continue to offer to connect the train station via our courtesy vans that 10 11 run the airport constantly with the terminal building. 12 Not the physical connection certainly that someday in the 13 future would be prudent. But certainly an ability to 14 connect the two modes of transportation in a way that 15 you're talking about a three to five-minute bus ride. 16 That would be available immediately. As soon as the train 17 station is built, we can provide that opportunity. 18 CHAIRMAN GEIST: It's just inconceivable that we talk multimodal, you have the turnpike, the main line of 19 20 the railroad, an interstate highway and an airport, and 21 you can throw a baseball, a Little Leaguer can throw a 22 baseball and hit them all and you can't get off, you can't 23 get connected there. 24 I mean, if we're going to talk the game, then we 25 ought to start really getting into the game. And this

1 thing just keeps kicking around. I fully supported and 2 totally supported what you've done down there, and I think 3 it's wonderful, and I just would hope that next year we 4 can purchase a ticket in Altoona and get off in Harrisburg 5 and fly to wherever we want to go. 6 I got to tell you something, driving 22 from Altoona to Pittsburgh Airport is a death march. 7 8 MR. HOLDSWORTH: I lived in that area. I know 9 what you're speaking to. 10 CHAIRMAN GEIST: When we have people from 11 Altoona that their airport of choice now is Baltimore, 12 you're missing a tremendous market in our part of the 13 State. 14 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Just to respond to what you're 15 talking about, as we look at the market that Harrisburg 16 International Airport should serve, we are way under in 17 our plane numbers. When you compare us to the national 18 average, the national average per capita for enplanements 19 is about 1.6 enplanements for an airport of this size per 20 capita. Our service territory is about 2 million, and you 21 can see we're only servicing about 750,000 people. 22 CHAIRMAN GEIST: So you have nowhere to go but 23 to grow. 24 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Absolutely. 25 CHAIRMAN GEIST: And if Fred would build that

| | 208 |
|----|--|
| 1 | darn train, you could do it a lot faster. |
| 2 | MR. HOLDSWORTH: Absolutely. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: All right, anybody have any |
| 4 | questions? |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: I do, Mr. Chairman. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GEIST: Phyllis. |
| 7 | REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: Thank you. |
| 8 | Actually, the first testifier this morning I |
| 9 | asked the question about passenger service aviation wise, |
| 10 | and my friend Steve Stettler indicated to me that you are |
| 11 | the whiz when it comes to building ridership for the |
| 12 | airport, and I'm very interested in how you went about |
| 13 | doing that. Do you have any suggestions for us in |
| 14 | northeastern Pennsylvania with the Wilkes-Barre/Scranton |
| 15 | Airport? We have poor service, I think, we have high |
| 16 | charges to fly from Avoca to Pittsburgh, Avoca to |
| 17 | Philadelphia to make connections south, west, wherever we |
| 18 | want to go, and I'm wondering, obviously that's because |
| 19 | there's no competition. I'm almost to the point where I'm |
| 20 | willing to subsidize an airline to serve our airport so |
| 21 | that we can create some competition between airlines so |
| 22 | that they don't gouge us the way they do. But I just |
| 23 | recently came in to Avoca from Pittsburgh on 140-passenger |
| 24 | plane, there were 14 of us on the plane. Now, why they |
| 25 | were using that size plane, I can't tell you, and I kept |

1 waiting for my flight to be cancelled, but as I was on the 2 plane I was talking to a woman who travels on business 3 frequently and she told me stories about having to be at a 4 meeting out west and having her flight cancelled and it 5 wasn't going to leave until the next day. So I'm really 6 interested in how, obviously for economic development that 7 stinks. I mean, no CEO is going to put up with conditions 8 like that, and I'm wondering what we can do. How do we 9 get increased ridership? Do we get all of our CEOs from 10 all of our major companies together and get them to commit 11 a certain amount of traffic to that airport? Do you have 12 any suggestions for us?

13 MR. HOLDSWORTH: You've touched a lot of issues, 14 some of which airports and communities have control over 15 and some of which we don't. The Commonwealth is blessed 16 and cursed at the same time with U.S. Airways, and I think 17 you've referred to them without naming them. U.S. Airways 18 has, I think, through their purchase of Allegheny many 19 years ago, basically a monopoly on the Commonwealth. Two 20 of their major hubs obviously are in the Commonwealth, 21 Pittsburgh and Philadelphia. They are an incredible 22 economic engine for this Commonwealth. But at the same 23 time, as you have indicated, there is no competition. And 24 I think personally that's the key to creating better 25 opportunities for service in our respective communities.

1 We sort of have had things dropped in our laps, 2 I mean, TWA and some of the other things, or just because 3 the airlines have sort of paid attention a little bit more 4 to HIA, seen it as an opportunity in added service. 5 **REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY:** But is that because of 6 increased ridership? 7 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Yes. 8 REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: How did you get that 9 increase ridership? Just population growth? Do we have 10 to wait for that in northeastern Pennsylvania? 11 MR. HOLDSWORTH: I think it's partly that. 12 We're just getting started on a two-prong market 13 approach. One is to the traveling public, I think. This 14 fall you'll be seeing a lot of billboards and other mass 15 marketing efforts on our behalf to attract more of the 16 traveling public. The image is out there that HIA, and 17 I'm sure it's the same with Scranton, it's expensive to 18 fly through these airports, when in fact if you book 21 19 days in advance and stay over a Saturday, sometimes it's 20 more competitive or cheaper to fly out of some of these 21 smaller airports. The airlines have recognized that 22 there's is an efficiency to attract leisure travelers 23 through the local airport. 24 On the other hand, the people that they 25 recognize are the major customers, and our numbers are

1 about 65 percent business traveler, and I would venture to 2 guess Scranton is probably in the same league, they 3 recognize that the business traveler is going to 4 Harrisburg or coming from Harrisburg because they have 5 business to transact there and they don't have to discount 6 to travel. It's a shock to you and I when we get on 7 airplanes and they're half empty, and yet it costs \$250 8 one way to go to New York City on a 35-minute flight, 9 okay? You would think they would want to drop the price 10 and fill the plane. How they are sophisticated, I don't 11 know, but they are very sophisticated in yield 12 management. 13 CHAIRMAN GEIST: Explain how they can charge so 14 much to go between Harrisburg and Pittsburgh. 15 MR. HOLDSWORTH: And have one person sitting in 16 the seat next to you paying \$700, and you paid \$200 17 because you booked 21 days in advance? All the major 18 airlines, and a lot of the smaller airlines, have very sophisticated computer systems where they continually 19 20 monitor the ticket purchases in city pairs and they can 21 look at a flight between Pittsburgh and Harrisburg or 22 Pittsburgh and Scranton and say, okay, if we discount four 23 seats on that flight, we know we're going to fill up the 24 rest of the airplane with business travelers. They are in 25 the business to make money, and that's the conflict

between us as communities and airports and them as
 airlines. They want to make money. Their shareholders
 want them to make money for the shareholders. So they're
 going to make as much money on a route as they possibly
 can, and they have very sophisticated models to do that.

6 I think the challenge that airports have, and 7 there are very few airports out there that are really 8 doing this, but as sophisticated as airlines are, they are 9 also, and their staff are also, under tremendous pressure 10 to not make mistakes. U.S. Airways has made some really 11 incredible mistakes this summer, and they've paid dearly 12 for it. In not having their equipment on-line, in having 13 crews out on training at the peak time of their season, 14 and so our enplanements are off, their enplanements at HIA 15 are about 25 percent, which is what they're experiencing 16 systemwide.

17 But what you have to do with the airlines is you have to go and make a business case to them which says, on 18 19 these two city pairs, we think you're going to make money, 20 here's how much money, here's the level of service, i.e. 21 the number of flights per day at certain times, here's the 22 equipment. We can't do that. We're not sophisticated 23 enough. We're not a large enough airport to have that 24 staff capability in-house, and so we've gone and hired an 25 expert, consultant who can go out and look at our

community, tell us how many people are actually going to
 Baltimore and flying from there.

3 I'll give you an example of one of the most 4 underserved markets from Harrisburg. It's Orlando. There 5 are 500 seats per month direct flight to Orlando out of 6 HIA. It's one flight on Saturday morning. There are 7 4,000 people flying from HIA to Orlando every month. So 8 there is a tremendous opportunity there for direct 9 service. What we don't have our handle on is how many 10 people are driving to Baltimore and taking Southwest or 11 Metrojet to Orlando? You can easily double the number and 12 you're not at all exaggerating.

13 But I think the key is to get your airport to 14 start to focus on how you can attract airlines, especially 15 a discount airline. If you get a discount airline into 16 your airport, it changes a lot. And it doesn't have to be 17 a Southwest. There are a number of air carriers out there 18 who are smaller, who are very aggressive but are well 19 capitalized and can provide service to major markets. The 20 challenge that they have is that as the traveling public 21 books a flight, 9 times out of 10 as a business traveler 22 you're going to look at how many miles you can get, you 23 know, free travel. That's a real challenge for some of 24 the discount carriers because they don't offer that. They 25 are offering the low ticket. That's where you start to

1 talk about your community leaders. That's where community 2 leaders, in guaranteeing people in seats, that's where 3 that comes to play. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY: Thank you. 5 MR. HOLDSWORTH: And we've just started that 6 We have just started the process. We've just process. 7 hired a consultant and we're just starting to talk to the 8 airlines. It's a three- to five-year process, but there 9 are some real tremendous success stories out there of 10 communities who have transformed their air service by 11 being aggressive and going after the airlines. 12 **REPRESENTATIVE MUNDY:** Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GEIST: David, thank you very much. 14 MR. HOLDSWORTH: Thank you, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN GEIST: We're going to adjourn until 16 tomorrow morning, and we want to thank everybody for their participation today. This was a great day of testimony. 17 18 (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 19 3:45 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

| | 215 |
|----|--|
| 1 | I hereby certify that the proceedings |
| 2 | and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the |
| 3 | notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause, |
| 4 | and that this is a true and correct transcript of the |
| 5 | same. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | ann Marie V. Sweeney |
| 8 | ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO |
| 12 | ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE |
| 13 | DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING |
| 14 | REPORTER. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Ann-Marie P. Sweeney 3606 Horsham Drive |
| 19 | Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 717-732-5316 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

,