1	
1	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	* * * * * * * *
4	Special Session for Disaster Relief Topic: Mass Transit & Rail Infrastructure
Ī	Damages
5	* * * * * * * *
6	Transportation Committee Hearing
7	Transportation Committee near-ing
8	000
او	
	Room 140, Majority Caucus Room
10	Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania
11	Tuesday, March 19, 1996
12	Idesday, March 19, 1990
13	000
14	
	BEFORE:
15	Honorable Richard Geist, Majority Chairman
16	Honorable Ellen Bard
17	Honorable Teresa Brown Honorable Thomas Druce
-	Honorable Russ Fairchild
18	Honorable Dick Hess
19	Honorable Dennis Leh Honorable Ron Marsico
וכי	Honorable Albert Pettit
20	Honorable Jess Stairs
-	Honorable Jere Strittmatter
21	Honorable Peter Zug
22	Honorable Anthony Melio Honorable Lawrence Roberts
	Honorable Dante Santoni
23	- ·
24	ORIGINAL
	VEV DEDODERO
25	KEY REPORTERS 1300 Garrison Drive
	York, Pennsylvania 17404

X

CONTENTS

_	CONTENTS	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	Honorable Coist/s arening remarks	
4	Honorable Geist's opening remarks	4
5	Rick Peltz, Deputy Secretary PA Department of Transportation	4
6	Local and Area Transportation	
7	Amtrak	
8	Isabel Kaldenbach, Manager Government Affairs	8
9	N.E. Corridor Strategic Business Unit Steve Strachan	16
10	Production Line Director Keystone Service	10
11	Keybone belvies	
12	Mr. Keen Federal Emergency Management Agency	19
13		
14	Dennis Louwerse, Executive Director Reading BARTA Authority	23
15		
16	James Hoffer, Executive Director Capitol Area Transit (CAT)	26
17		
18	Deloris McNulty, Operations Director Rural Division	32
19	Cambria Co. Transportation Authority	
20	(Written testimony was submitted on behalf	of
21	William E. Nichols, General Manager, Williamsport Bureau of Transportation)	
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN GEIST: This hearing is a Transportation Committee Hearing, Special Session for Disaster Relief. It's Mass Transit and Rail Infrastructure Damages.

And what we would like to do is bring the most knowledgeable people in the State of Pennsylvania here so that we can get comments for the record and see if we can't help everyone become whole through this whole process.

And the first presenter this morning is the man who's going to bring the four extra train sets from Lombardi A to run across Pennsylvania along with his partners from Amtrak. A guy who's doing a tremendous job, Local and Area Transportation Deputy Secretary, Rick Peltz. Rick.

MR. PELTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, it's a delight to see you all here today. I want to thank the Committee for taking the time to listen to our state's public transportation agencies' experiences as they relate to the disaster of 1996.

Throughout the testimony you will hear

today, you will note a strong theme. This theme being that when the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania was being hit with everything mother nature could throw at her, one of the worst disasters in our state's history causing most transportation to stop cold dead, public transportation continued to provide service.

Indeed, public transportation was called upon on many occasions to provide emergency transportation for evacuations and rescues. It continued to run. It may not have been on schedule completely, but it was operating. Like others, public transportation experienced damages and costs.

Certainly, these costs were much smaller than the expenses incurred on our highway system, yet costs of about 6 million were incurred. And when weighed against federal public transportation budget cuts, these disaster costs add agony any to misery. As you listen to the public transportation agencies' testimonies, I would encourage the Committee to consider a couple of points:

First, although I am encouraged by the level of support and response from FEMA and

PEMA, I am concerned that FEMA's attempting to differentiate between the blizzard of 1996 and the flood of 1996 and only provide assistance if damage occurred as a result of the flood.

Many of the state's public transportation agencies incurred sizable damages as a result of the heavy snows they experienced in early January. Frankly, as I mention earlier, the weather event should be considered the disaster of 1996; and the damage wrought on our public transportation system as a result of this event, whether it was by snow or by flood, should be considered.

Second, as we all know, publicly-funded transportation agencies have fixed costs. Even though public transportation was the only game in town, ridership was impacted heavily. We must all recognize -- and I know this Committee does -- that public transportation is a major part of our public infrastructure and that we need to support it as best we can in these belt-tightening times.

I'm very proud of the service rendered by Pennsylvania's public transportation agencies during January's disaster. I believe that they

did an admirable job under extremely trying circumstances. I'm sure you'll find their stories consuming today. And on their behalf, I would ask your close consideration of their needs.

Our transits were there. They kept operating. In fact, they remind me of the old Timex watch commercial and its slogan, It takes a licking, but it keeps on ticking. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much.

Is there any questions from the panel members -
Committee members?

All right. We thank you very much. MR. PELTZ: Thank you.

want to show you the ad that she wrote. Isabel Kaldenbach is the Manager of Government Affairs, Northeast Corridor, Strategic Business Unit of Amtrak. And Steve Strachan is Product Line Director, Keystone Service, Amtrak.

And the wonderful ad -- I don't know whether you can see this on camera or not -- those of us who are kind of partial to the rail,

this means a lot because when everybody else was down, Amtrak was still running, almost. I think they had a little interruption of service.

But this is a wonderful ad, and I think it tells the whole story. Not only was Amtrak working, so was the big railway in the Pennsylvania commerce. So at this time, if you two would like to come on over here, we'd like to hear what you have to say.

MS. KALDENBACH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Steve Strachan here is our Product Line Director for Keystone. He is also the second general manager for the Mid-Atlantic division. So he is responsible to overseeing 30th Street Station, dispatching center, the whole shabang here out of Philadelphia.

I appreciate the opportunity to be here today and to talk about what Amtrak did during the blizzard and flood. We have a really great relationship with the State of Pennsylvania, with Deputy Secretary Peltz, Secretary Mallory, Governor Ridge. I think we have a great relationship with you guys too.

It is very important that it continue, this good relationship, because this is a good

market for us. We have significant employment in the state. And I know that a close working relationship is a personal priority to both president -- Amtrak's president, Thomas Downs, and the personal priority of our two CEOs of both Strategic Business Units that serve Pennsylvania.

Our last year in Amtrak broke down into strategic business, and that is to bring service closer to the front line, have decisions made on the front line rather than in a centralized location. And I think that what we've done in Pennsylvania is a model for the rest of the country.

We have monthly meetings with employees and interested groups. The DOT is always invoked. We talk over new marketing strategies. We review service of all types from scheduling to on-board amenities.

And we've come up with some innovative new ideas marketing trains and putting Pennsylvania products on board trains. And I think you have some of the brochures we've got. I think I gave you some this morning, but I have more if you need them.

Our partnership with the Commonwealth extends beyond current service. We are actively involved with the steady, ongoing future of the Keystone line providing historical and technical information. And I think our -- no event confirmed it better than what happened in the

blizzard.

As soon as plans were being made for the blizzard, we talked closely with both our partners and Pennsylvania DOT and SEPTA to make plans for contingencies and all sorts of emergencies that might happen during a blizzard and afterwards.

I completely agree with Deputy Secretary
Peltz on the dichotomy between the blizzard and
the flooding -- really, the big effect was in
the blizzard -- and it should be considered one
big event. One definitely led to the other.

During the blizzard, Pennsylvania was declared a travel emergency; and all nonessential automobile traffic was kept off the road, which meant that for at least two days the only way to get anywhere was on passenger rail -- on SEPTA or on Amtrak. It was not only an important mode of transportation for

Pennsylvanians, but it was the only mode of transportation for Pennsylvanians.

And we did keep the trains going. There were delays, as you pointed out; but, basically, the way we kept trains going in Amtrak and SEPTA was our employees worked tirelessly around the clock. They put in over 23,000 hours just on the blizzard.

They dug switches clear and kept them clear. We had 24-hour surveillance of the electric catenary system to ensure there was no ice buildup or tree limbs or sagging wires would stop the traction power. We had -- they were out there clearing switches with leaf blowers if they had to.

They did everything they could. They shoveled snow so that the dispatch -- the block operators could get to the towers and dispatch the trains. They shoveled platforms so that passengers could get on the trains. They worked overtime stocking and preparing and assembling the trains.

And we even had -- some of the folks at 30th Street opened up a restaurant. They manned a restaurant there and served food to the

passengers who were stranded by the blizzard in the 30th Street Station. Without our employees working, just knocking themselves out, we obviously would have been stalled; but what may not be as evident is that SEPTA would have been stalled as well.

As I mentioned before, the blizzard hit.

George Morenghanki had -- on the phone figuring out everything they could to keep the tracks open not only across the RFI line out to Paoli but also the main corridor tracking to Wilmington to make sure enough switches were clear and tracks, were clear that commuter trains could be accommodated as well as Amtrak trains.

After the blizzard, the passenger route continued to be critical. And as a person that lives out on the RFI, I know that I wasn't going anywhere if it wasn't for the trains. The commuter trains got back into operation. And we did it with our maintenance and operations -- or our operating folks working overtime.

We also on some occasions where the commuter traffic started to pack in on, like, Tuesday and Wednesday of the blizzard, people were going back to work, we still had either

snow emergency or it was impassible roads.

Commuter stations were packed. And our own

trains made unscheduled stops at Narberth and

Overbrook to pick up the packed people there.

Our employees worked hard every day to provide a quality service to Pennsylvania, and I don't think I've ever seen anything like what went on during the blizzard. They really put forth a greater effort to keep our trains moving. It was an effort that was noted on the national news.

Dan Rather mentioned Philadelphia. He was on a train, actually, during the blizzard. But the continuation of the service -- while we're very proud of it and we're proud that there wasn't a day when our trains actually stopped working -- there were a few days where our train services were cut back.

The continuation of service did come at, a cost. We have calculated on -- I think you have the charts there -- about over a million dollars worth of costs due to the blizzard and the flood. I've broken them out into detail line items by engineering and other passenger costs and things like that.

They did move. Of the 94 trains that we scheduled -- we had scheduled between Harrisburg and Philadelphia during the week of the blizzard, 77 of them ran. Of the trains going west out of Harrisburg to Pittsburgh, about 7/8th's of the trains ran, 28 out of 32.

There were delays; but the bottom line was if you were going anywhere in Pennsylvania that week, you were doing it on the train.

Amtrak could get you there, Amtrak and SEPTA, on those lines in between D.C. and New York. And that was all during the blizzard.

The floods did not affect us quite as strongly as the blizzard did. It did obviously affect us outside of Harrisburg where we had some tracks out of service due to incredible quantities of mud. And we had some -- about \$60,000 work of costs there.

The additional costs are part of the story. The other part of the story is we lost revenue. Our ridership was down by people not being able to make it to the station, people not going into work.

And I don't have a breakdown for lost revenues in Pennsylvania; but on the quarter, we

estimate we've lost about \$4-million-worth of revenue due to the blizzard and the floods. And I focused on Pennsylvania not just because that's where I'm sitting right now, but because I think this is probably the state that got hit the hardest in the entire disaster.

I don't think we've ever seen such destruction in such a short period of time in that corridor and outside of Pennsylvania to the same extent. And so -- so, um, I want you to consider not only the costs of what it cost to repair damage that was done during the flooding and the blizzard, but also the cost that it took to keep things running.

That mode of transportation didn't shut down. Amtrak is not just coming to Pennsylvania to leok, for assistance. We have gone to FEMA, and we are working with other states to coordinate a possible FEMA relief for Amtrak directly from FEMA as well as through the states.

The FEMA statute and regulations clearly envision the role of the mass transit. As we know, the current designation for FEMA is a lot more narrow in that it doesn't encompass mass

transit; but I want to assure you that we are looking at all possible sources of recouping our losses on this one.

We owe it to our passengers and your constituents to go after any possible way to recover some of these losses. And I just want to, you know, to point out that we were the mode of transportation moving up and down the corridor across the state and in and out of Philadelphia.

We were the only mode of transportation for several days. We're really proud of our performance. We are very proud of our employees. We are very proud of what we managed to get done both for ourselves and for SEPTA.

And I'm happy to answer any questions you have.

there and signal system destroyed?

MR. STRACHAN: No.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Because we were going to replace it.

MS. KALDENBACH: I'm sorry. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: I do have one serious question. What results in other states in a quarter, if you have any, have you had with this type of funding?

MS. KALDENBACH: Well, I don't think any other state has gone as far as Pennsylvania has to do this sort of specific blizzard and flood legislation. We have worked with the states of New York, Delaware, New Jersey, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. And we talked to FEMA.

We talked to FEMA directly about accessing funds as well as through the state eligibility. The other states basically were not declared federal disaster areas. So those -- New York.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: All right. Thank you very much. Are there any questions from the Committee Members?

All right. We'll go on. At this time,
I'd like to introduce the Members of Committee
who are present. Starting at my right is
Representative Al Pettit, Representative Ellen
Bard, and Teresa Brown to my left.

The table to the left is Representative

Peter Zug -- and for those who are Pennsylvania

Dutch like I am, you know that Zug means train

in German -- Representative Ron Marsico,

Representative Jerry Strittmatter.

And the table to my right is

Representative Jeff Stairs. And behind me,

Representative Larry Roberts, Representative

Toni Melio, Representative Russ Fairchild,

Representative Tom Druce, Representative Dick

Hess. And Representative Dennis Leh was here

and had to leave the room.

We want to thank all the Committee members for their interest in this. And just one statement from the Chair is that we want to make sure that all modes of transportation — since we are an intermodeal agency of the Department of Transportation, we want to make sure that all modes of transportation in Pennsylvania are treated equal.

We are not having a hearing about the damage just to the highway department. We're talking about damage that took place to the Department of Transportation and the transportation intermodeal system of Pennsylvania. So we want to make that quite

clear.

And also at this time, I'd like to introduce Mr. Keen. Where are you, please? He's from FEMA, and he's here to answer any questions that anybody would have after the hearing.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much.

MR. KEEN: Thank you. I don't have a
prepared statement.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: We wouldn't expect you to. You were too busy working. The thing is that if you were to talk a little bit about the quality of transportation, that would be fine for us because we have a bunch of short lines in Pennsylvania that were hampered -- Amtrak, Conrail, mass transit systems themselves from the bus, right on down.

So if we can address that a little bit, what we'd try to do is try to remedy that in the legislation that comes through this special session.

MR. KEEN: Yeah. If I could, I think that background is in order. 1993 was the first year the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania received any type of support from the federal government

for disasters. Up until that point, the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the administration had basically used a no-dough-for-snow policy.

But in 1993 with the blizzard that we had in March, we were able to get a Declaration of Emergency from the President. And that was the first money that actually was passed through the Commonwealth. And that was very limited to snow plowing in one direction for emergency vehicles. It did not go as far as we felt that it needed to and certainly did not address the rail transportation short runs or even the long haul.

In 1994, if you'll remember, we had an extended, 54-day period that we got a Presidential Declaration for Funding. And that brought about \$100 million into the Commonwealth, and we still continue to work on that.

Unfortunately, when the federal government looks at the snow policy in particular -- and I think that's the one that we suffered for the most -- they don't look at it across the board as a disaster in the true sense

that we understand disaster as they perhaps would associate with floods or tornadoes and then limit it.

And, quite honestly, we pay for the sins of the southeastern portion of the United States because they aren't as equipped for snow as we are. And because of the type of work that they do on their road and their rail systems, it pretty much is almost raping the treasury.

The OMB puts as much restrictions as they can on FEMA to cut down the cost of disasters. So when we came up to this year, obviously, we had the same problem. Governor Ridge went to the president and asked for a disaster. Not only did he get the disaster, but it was limited far in scope than we had originally intended or requested.

We were limited to the number of days that the storm had occurred. And we were limited to categories B and F, which are basically protective measures and utility costs. And once again, it is the mass transit portion of the Commonwealth that will take the hit.

This is the third disaster in a row that the federal government for whatever reasoning

does not really see mass transit as a real emergency problem.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: They've never seen it in a favorable light anyhow, so why would it be an emergency?

MR. KEEN: Basically, I think that where we stand right now is the Governor has requested a reconsideration by FEMA in the way that they look at this. Unfortunately, I'm not very optimistic on what the answer will be.

If they decline that, the Governor has the option -- I'm sure he will take that option -- to appeal that decision to the President. But to come to you before you today to say, Well, it looks like we've got something we can work out for mass transit, I'm afraid they will take the hit.

And when it comes to protective measures, when it comes to public safety, I think that the mass transit really came into its own not only in this disaster but in the last three. But this particularly shows the need and the cost and the overwhelming need for support.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much.

Are there any questions from Representatives?

Okay. Hearing none, thank you. If you want to stick around afterwards, I'm sure that someone will want to ask you questions.

MR. KEEN: Yes, sir. I'd be more than happy to.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Next, we have Jim
Hoffer, Executive Director for Capitol Area
Transit, CAT, and William Nichols, General
Manager, Williamsport Bureau of Transportation.
He's not here, but Jim Hoffer is going to
present his testimony.

Deloris McNulty, Operations Director,
Rural Division of Cambria County Transportation
Authority. And Dennis Louwerse, Executive
Director of Berks Area, Reading BARTA Authority.
If you people would come forward, please?

Thank you very much for coming here, sir. What I think we're accomplishing, what we set out to accomplish here, if we can get equal treatment for all. So please proceed.

MR. LOUWERSE: My name is Dennis

Louwerse. And I'm the Executive Director of

BARTA in Reading, Pennsylvania. I have prepared

some written testimony. I would just like to

highlight that a little bit.

On January 6th, snow began to fall in Berks County the likes of which we have never seen before. This had a devastating impact on our county for a period of approximately two weeks. The cost of this event to the citizens and the economy of Berks County can only be measured in the millions of dollars.

I might say for public transit in Berks County, snow is usually not a big deal. I've been there for thirteen years. We've never missed a day prior to the blizzard event of 1966. Even in '93 - '94, as you know, we had a annual snow fall, which is record setting, BARTA ran every day, never missed a day.

So, obviously, the weather event of '96 was most unusual, most difficult. We worked -it took, us days to get back on the street. We
worked with our local officials to basically
open streets, six streets, because that was the
only way people could get to the hospital, to
the store to buy food, to try the get the
economy of Berks County working.

We had an excellent relationship with our local emergency management agency. The National Guard used Humm-Vees to take people to

dialysis. The public transportation provided invaluable assistance; but to date, we have had no personal contact with any representative of PEMA or FEMA.

Our concern is that now that the snow has gone and spring is upon us and I guess one more batch of winter tomorrow from what I'm told, we're sort of forgotten, particularly those of us who -- in Reading, the flooding was minimized thanks to Blue Marsh Dam; but the blizzard was an event. I think the flooding afterwards was one event that impacted our whole community.

But I think we were forgotten. Our community was impacted from about January the 6th to January the 19th. We have been advised that; FEMA Disaster Assistance Program is only considering expenses for January the 7th to the 9th and January the 12th to the 13th as eligible for reimbursement.

Secondly, BARTA was originally advised that the facility damage, equipment damage, and such costs as relates to snow removal would be eligible for reimbursement. Now BARTA has been told that the only thing which will be eligible

will be the snow removal because it's public safety related.

We had in excess of \$45,000 in total damage. The snow removal portion's only \$3,000. We obviously had -- we were \$100,000 in lost revenue, which we realize is not eligible; but our position is that we had a weather-related event which had devastating impact and we should not now be forgotten.

And, you know, the snow's melted; so who cares about the blizzard of '96? So we think that our event was, in fact, significant. And we would ask your support to help us get the relief we would need for our community. Thank you.

MR. HOFFER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members, of the Committee. My name is James Hoffer. I'm the Executive Director of Capitol Area Transit here in Harrisburg.

Since July 1st, 1973, CAT has provided all the mass transportation service to the City of Harrisburg and the surrounding urbanized area. CAT has a fleet of 65 revenue vehicles which carry passengers over 26 routes throughout Cumberland and Dauphin Counties.

At approximately 11 a.m. on Saturday,
January 20th, 1996, an evacuation was ordered
for the portion of Susquehanna Township north of
Vaughn Street along Front, Second, and Green
Streets. At approximately 12:00 noon, the
Susquehanna River flowed onto Front Street.

At the same time, Paxton Creek, located to the west of Cameron Street, overflowed its banks. The CAT maintenance, bus storage, and office facility is located at 901 North Cameron Street here in Harrisburg, which is several hundred feet from the Paxton Creek.

Early Saturday afternoon, CAT began to work closely with the City of Harrisburg,
Dauphin County Emergency Management, and with the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency.
CAT provided lift-equipped buses to assist in evacuating three high-rise residential buildings here in the city -- the Susquehanna Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation, the River House Apartments, and Linden Terrace.

People were transported to evacuation centers or to the Seidle Memorial Hospital in Mechanicsburg. Many of those people transported were senior citizens and/or individuals with

disabilities. An evacuation shuttle route in uptown Harrisburg was also established.

As people were ordered to leave their homes, they could easily board CAT buses to be transported to a shelter. On Sunday, January 21st, buses were used to transport people from a shelter to the Susquehanna Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation, which was beginning to be reoccupied.

Life, public health, and safety was protected by Capitol Area Transit, honoring a request to provide emergency transportation service utilizing fourteen buses to transport people from their residences to a safer location.

While CAT drivers were transporting evacuees, other staff were making sure that important equipment and supplies, tool boxes, computers, and records were secured at our facility. All remaining buses and service equipment were driven off of the property to a secure location.

This equipment was returned to the CAT facility on Sunday, January 21st. Throughout this process, we were constantly planning for

the eventual return to normal operations.

The CAT facility itself was very fortunate not to take on any water; however, we were virtually surrounded by water. One block to the north at the intersection of Cameron and Herr Streets, the swollen water of the Paxton Creek closed the intersection.

Two blocks to our south at Cameron and Walnut Streets -- some of you know that as the location of the SEPTA offices -- an automobile was inundated by 4 feet of water in the intersection.

On March 5th, 1996, Capitol Area Transit submitted a request for Disaster Relief
Assistance totaling \$5,415 to the Pennsylvania
Emergency Management Agency for life and safety services provided for the public well-being on Saturday, January 20th and on Sunday, January 21st.

The request for reimbursement included wage and fringe benefit costs for hours of overtime worked by CAT employees and for the cost of mileage incurred on the fourteen buses used to provide evacuation service to the public.

A FEMA inspector made a site visit to
CAT on March 8th to review the Flood Assistance
Application and to ask questions of me. A
Damage Survey Report recommending payment of
CAT's request has been received. Finality of
the process will not occur until payment is
actually received by CAT.

However, to date, I believe that FEMA has been expedient and thorough in processing our claim. While I can report a positive response to date from PEMA and FEMA regarding the flood response, I voice concern about their response to the plizzard which caused extraordinary expense also.

Snow plows on the two CAT pickup trucks were no match for the drifted, accumulated snow at our Market Square Transfer Center and at the CAT garage facility. Outside contractors had to be hired to plow and remove the snow from the Transfer Center and to plow the bus lanes and parking lot of the CAT facility.

Without incurring this expense for contracted snow removal, the public transportation system here in Capitol City and the surrounding area could not have been made

operational. I believe the eligibility criteria which has eliminated mass transit from receiving financial assistance from extraordinary costs incurred must be reviewed.

Capital Area Transit is responsible for its own snow removal at the Transfer Center, not the city of Harrisburg. If the responsibility were with a city or municipality, that same cost incurred would be subject to reimbursement.

This is clearly an inequity.

Extraordinary costs incurred by mass transit systems for emergency snow removal as a result of the blizzard should be considered as reimbursable costs.

In closing, I'm must share that this experience of disaster response was a reminder to all of us that mass transit not only provides over 1.3 million transportation trips for Pennsylvanians daily but also plays a vital role in protecting life, public health, and safety in emergency situations as well.

If there's any questions, I would be pleased to answer them. Would you like me to read Bill Nichols' statement?

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Yeah. Why don't we

submit it to the record. I'm sure it's going to be much the same as what you two did. I think we have a couple questions. As a freshman, I have to learn how to do this stuff yet. Deloris McNulty.

MS. MCNULTY: I'm the Rural Operations
Director for Cambria County Transit Authority.
We service 600 square acres of transportation to
rural Cambria County. We have shared ride, and
we have fixed routes.

Unlike of much of my other colleagues in the transportation business, we suffer the storm every year. Two years ago in January, we lost a lot more ridership and money and fundings than we did this year; but we do lose ridership and everything every year to the storms.

And we would like to see something done that would help us in these situations. It brings our cost recovery down when we have bad months. This year, more than the snow, we suffered in the rural division from the flood.

Early in the morning on Friday the 18th of January, we were getting storm warnings and flash flooding. When I arrived at work about 8:00 in the morning, it was raining terribly

hard. At that time, I already had three fixed routes out.

Those three fixed routes are usually out a couple of hours, 2 and 3, then they come back in. One to the urban division, and one to the rural division. So I held those buses when they came in because of the flooding situation due to the heavy snow fall that we had had, and it was making it very disastrous to be out on the roads with the vehicles.

I had called the Emergency Management, and they said to keep the buses off the road because of the water crossing the road other than places where you would usually have the problem with the cricks because of the snow damning the water and causing flooding in low-mising places.

We continued to watch, and we held our drivers there at the office in case we were needed for flood emergency. But it turned colder about 10:30; and the snow started coming down, which started to freeze. And the water seem to be going down. And we felt we were very fortunate and we were over the possibility of the flooding.

The firemen had come in and had pumped out the corner because we're on the corner of a steep hill that comes down out of the country and down into the town where we are located.

And then several hundred feet across from us to the east is a crick that goes through. And to our north, there's a small chess (sic) crick that goes through up above that.

Both of these cricks were rising all day. And about 4:00 in the afternoon right outside of our office, it started coming up through the system for the drains and stuff. So we knew that the flooding was imminent.

I had gotten a call from the fire company saying that the crick was going over its banks, it rose so fast that we had very little time. We have fifteen pieces of equipment. And we had to get the equipment out to get it to higher ground, which when we were able to do, get everything out.

And we started getting the office equipment out so that we wouldn't end up losing any more than we possibly had to. We didn't have much time, but we just got everything out

that we could get out. And by the time we got out of the building -- we went out of the building in a vehicle because it was too deep to walk through the water.

It was very cold at this point. The temperature had been continually dropping all day. The only thing we could do is take the equipment out to a lot next to us. And once we got it out, then take it up a little bit further because it was just a little bit higher next to us.

We were able to get the equipment out and just keep it running and stand and watch as the waters continued to rise. That night in order to keep the water from freezing and causing a big blockage of ice, the local firemen had ordered a local contractor in with a large vehicle to run through the water and keep the ice circulating so that -- and water circulating so that it wouldn't freeze hard.

And in doing this, it forced one of our doors open into several of our rooms. The ice and stuff all came in. It was inside our building. We had 21 inches of water inside the office area and 31 inches in the garage and the

high point out there.

We had just put on five new overhead doors, and it damaged three of them. With the ice and the water and everything, it was difficult. We had to have the electricity shut off, so there was no heat in there. We stayed with everything until about 9:30 that night keeping our equipment running so it wouldn't freeze up because now we had equipment out all over town, no place to put it.

So we made a temporary place up at one of our driver's. He had three or four vehicles up there. We took several other vehicles out of town to another driver's home about two miles up to our superintendent's place. He lives about six miles out of town.

4 And then we had several vehicles that were gassed we could take up to a plaza in town and shut off, but the rest of the equipment had to be plugged in. So there was different ones of the employees working with us and trying to get the equipment taken care of and still maintain runs.

I had a run going out at 9:30 that night, so we operated out of this driver's house

and continued to run our runs all over the weekend and full service on Monday even though when we went into work on Monday everything was soaked. And it didn't stop us though. We kept the transportation system going.

Saturday morning, we came back in early after leaving four emergency vehicles out in strategic places around the town where they had to have the firemen out and having them directing traffic around the flooded areas.

And we left the vehicles set there running all night so that the firemen would have a place to get in and get warm. On Saturday morning, I came in; and we tried to assess the damage. We took pictures of it with my VCR. And then we set up a plan of action of what we were going to do.

So I left and went to one of our employee's homes and started making calls. And we called all of the people on the roster for the rural division and got them in, whoever would come in and help. And we cleaned up everything we could clean up that day until about 6:30 that evening.

In the middle of the afternoon, we got

heat into our offices. And a gentleman that owns a coal company had brought us in a big kerosene heater which we put into the garage area, which then we fired up with kerosene which kept our water lines and stuff from freezing because our furnace was under the water and we couldn't turn the furnace on for the garage.

so after we worked all day with the employees that we had there and also kept the vehicles running that were out on runs -- I had four runs out there that day going out at different times -- and we left and went home figuring we'd come back in the next day and try to clean up some more and try to get all of the vehicles back to the garage so we could operate full service Monday morning.

So that's what we did the next day. We spent about 3 1/2 hours getting the vehicles back in, getting everything secured as much as we could. Monday morning, we came back in and started, like I said, with full service. We're doing our work in-house.

We're up to about \$42,000 right now in damages. We had a call from our Harrisburg office here, and they told us to call the

Emergency Management. We called the Emergency Management for FEMA and PEMA, and they told us where there would be a meeting, where we could go.

We went and attended that meeting on March the 6th. They gave us our Notice of Intent form at that time. They put on a very good presentation. They had slides, several speakers. It was in one of the local high schools in the auditorium. So there was a very good set up, and they really did go over everything and keep us well informed of what we had to do.

Now, the application is very intense; and it's very detailed. But we feel that it has to be that way to keep fraudulent claims out. We have not got our application in yet because we're still busy trying to get everything taken care of and get the work completed and get the application filled out.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Are you finished?

MS. MCNULTY: Yes. That's all.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much.

One of the observations that we've all had on
this Committee is that during the snow emergency

in Pennsylvania there are a lot of unsung heroes.

You know, whether it's the Amtrak
people that opened up a restaurant or the guys
that were shoveling the roof off to keep the
station from collapsing, whether it's you
transit folks out there knee-deep in mud in the
Johnstown floods. It's just amazing what human
beings will do in time of need.

You know, none of these people -- they didn't think they were going to get paid. And a lot of these people are the same people that came into my office and gripe and moan about the whole system but then work the hardest to fix it.

And I think that's a pretty good example acroas the board throughout Pennsylvania. The purpose here is to find a way if we possibly can to make everyone whole and to treat everybody equal. And I think that we need to do that.

I think that we need to recognize that the blizzard and the flood were definitely one event, one a catalyst for the next. And if possible, we've got to take a serious look as to whether our declaration was complete enough and

look at it that way.

This Committee's got to take a serious look at writing a very good piece of legislation to be included in the special session that we can maybe attach a piece of the bond-issue monies then come back to transit. And I think that we're going to start to do that at about 11:15 this morning, as soon as Paul and Phyliss and Eric can get their heads together here.

We want to thank everyone who came today. We think that this was very quickly done and lots of people responded very, very quickly for this. And we want to thank everyone for participating.

And we know that this Committee -- we're looking to work with it as a partnership with the Department of Transportation and our Committee to see if we can't make something work out and work out very well for everyone.

And before I close, Representative Strittmatter would like to make a few remarks. Representative.

REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, everyone, for testifying. We have, you know, viewers across

the state, you know, viewing this tonight.

And as I was listening, the one question I came up with, maybe you can explain to the taxpayers across the state, especially in the hearing of Harrisburg and now Cambria County, have the -- the land where you, you know, did all these heroic feats, you know, in order to keep service going, have they been flooded in the last 50 years at all?

MS. MCNULTY: Rural Cambria County was flooded last in 1977, Johnstown at that time. Of course, everybody remembers the flood; but the area where we're at had more water then than what we had this time. It was deeper inside the building. The people that was there before had told me that it was higher.

putting the facility, you said, a couple hundred feet from the creek, I mean, in Harrisburg. Why are we putting these important facilities in areas that we know will be isolated? You know, I guess, maybe it's rhetorical.

I would just ask -- I would bet that within the next 20 years, both those facilities will flood again. So I would presume that, you

know, we should be making some kind of kind of plans, Mr. Chairman, to look at these areas, that they should not go into areas that aren't conducive to have important facilities like this.

You know, I guess it just takes a little bit more planning. Maybe the land was cheap and that's why the facilities we up there in the first place. I'm not sure, but it makes no sense to be investing in those sites again.

I would hope that we wouldn't unless you can assure that we're not going to have flooding there again. And I know Johnstown; it's known for floods. And I think in Harrisburg especially there are a lot of areas that probably haven't been flooded in the last, you know, sq. many years. Wouldn't you agree, sir?

MR. HOFFER: It's a valid question, and I appreciate the opportunity to respond. First of all, I have been employed by CAT since 1978. And this is the first time in my eighteen years where the water got extremely close to our facility. We did not take on any water.

The property in which we are housed was constructed in 1904. It's over 91 years old,

and we decided to make a store of that property and take care of it. If you think in the last 90 years of how the area has developed and think of land-use planning and water runoff today compared to what it was 90 years ago, it's a whole new ball game.

It's where we are. We work each day and struggle with the same budget, as our federal officials struggle with the federal budget. If somebody has millions and millions and millions of dollars for Capitol Area Transit, we'll gladly move; but I know that's not reality today.

So I think we have to make the best with what we have. And because CAT does have an emergency plan. As Deloris mentioned, we had employees that they just called up and said, I'm not scheduled to work; but I'll come in and help. We said, Well, please come in. And, Thank you. And they did.

This was the reaction. We had so many good people that worked for the good of the whole, and we knew what equipment blew up in the air. And that's as a result of 1972. And again, I was not employed by the private company

at that time, Harrisburg Railways. But through Agnes, yes, Cameron Street was flooded; and the CAT facility took on water.

And I think that experience helped this past January because there are many people employed now that were employed there in '72.

And I appreciated their support when I made a decision we had to move all our equipment. Just the equipment alone would require \$17 million to replace.

I was not mocked when really it turned out not to be necessary. We returned in on Sunday. I got the full support. And they said they wished the manager for Harrisburg Railways in '72 would not have been afraid to make decisions.

So I think we have tried to learn over the years -- all of us at CAT -- from what happened in '72 and sharing those experiences that perhaps Capitol Area Transits was better prepared.

We knew what we had to do with our property; and at the same time, helping the community in general of moving people out of their residential area in uptown Harrisburg and

the three high-rises I referred to.

So I think really it's a situation, again, we have to consider strongly land-use planning and even for the future. Where does the water go when it falls from the sky? But I think we've learned from the past and that helped us in our preparedness this time.

REPRESENTATIVE STRITTMATTER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GEIST: Thank you very much.

Learning from the past and making it applicable to the future and making it happen very fast.

This Committee's got to sit down, especially our professional staff, today and get very busy with the cooperation of Rick Peltz and the Cambria facility.

We need to get busy drafting something.

And we want to thank all of you for hearing that process, and hopefully we can resolve this in a very favorable manner and try to make you people whole for your losses.

(Written testimony was submitted for the record by Williamsport Bureau of Transportation General Manager, William E. Nichols.)

(About 11:00 a.m., the hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were reported stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewritiing by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

BY: Nois dry Muyer