HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * * * * * *

TASK FORCE ON HATE CRIMES, TERRORISM & ARSON

* * * * * * * * * *

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Mayor's Reception Room 202 City Hall, Broad and Market Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Thursday, November 21, 1996 - 10 a.m.

--000--

BEFORE:

Honorable Jere W. Schuler, Majority Chairman
Honorable Timothy F. Hennessey
Honorable Dennis M. O'Brien
Honorable Thomas Caltagirone, Minority Chairman
Honorable Andrew J. Carn
Honorable Harold James
Honorable Kathy M. Manderino
Honorable W. Curtis Thomas

----- ORIGINAL

KEY REPORTERS 1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404 (717) 764-7801 Fax (717) 764-6367

X

		2
1	ALSO PRESENT:	
2		
3	James Mann Majority Legislative Assistant	
4	<u>-</u>	
5	Galina Milohov Minority Research Analyst	
6		
7	Harriett Washington Administrative Assistant to Representative	
8	James	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

l

CONTENTS

2	WITNESSES	AGE
3	Honorable Schuler's opening remarks	4
4	Honorable James opening remarks	7
5	Dan Romer, Ph.D. Senior Fellow of Center for Community	13
6	Partnerships, University of PA	
7	Floyd Cochran, Director The Education and Vigilance Network	44
8	-	
9	Reverend James Grove Concerned Citizen	114
10	(Written testimony read into the record by Reverend Grove on behalf of Brother	105
11	Gary Hahn, America Today Radio.)	
12	Brian Levin, Ph.D., Associate Professor Director, Stockton Center on Hate and	133
13	Extremism, Richard Stockton College	
14	Mark Weitzman, Director Task Force Against Hate	178
15	Simon Wiesenthal Center	
16	L. Louis Jordan, Detective 2 Chief of the Bias Crime Unit and Gang	02
17	Investigations, Monmouth County Prosecutor' Office	8
18	William Taylor Reil	231
19	Concerned Citizen	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: The Pennsylvania

State House of Representatives Judiciary

Committee's task force on hate crimes, terrorism and arson is now called to order.

I am a member of the house judiciary

committee representing the 43rd District of

committee representing the 43rd District of

Lancaster County, so I first would like the

members and staff to introduce themselves for

the record so the court reporter is aware of the
people talking.

We will start down here with you, Dennis.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:

Representative Dennis O'Brien, Philadelphia County.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Harold James of the 186th District representing south and southwest Philadelphia.

MR. MANN: My name is James Mann. I am a legislative research analyst for the house judiciary committee and in the majority.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: I am sure the other members will be coming and when the other members of the committee arrive, we will introduce them. They will be coming and going

as we proceed for the day.

First, I would like to thank the mayor of Philadelphia, Mayor Rendell, for the opportunity to hold this in these beautiful facilities; secondly, to Representative James and his staff for arranging this, doing all the logistical work to make this possible for us today.

First, I am going to read a prepared statement. Then we will get down to the hearing, our first person to testify.

On behalf of the special task force on hate crimes, terrorism and arson I would like to welcome you to the second public hearing on the subject of hate crimes. The first hearing before this task force was held in Harrisburg on October 22nd, 1996. The task force heard testimony from 14 witnesses within an eight-hour period and we dealt with the issue before us in very broad terms.

In this second hearing the members of the task force have expressed a desire to explore more specific areas. It is with this intent that we proceed this morning. We will concentrate our efforts on people involved in

and affected by the commission of a bias motivated criminal act.

We hope to find out more information on both organized and individual perpetrators to find out what motivates them to act as they do. We will be looking at the communities' reaction to hate crimes, the victims and neighbors of victims of bias motivated criminal acts.

The task force is fortunate to have testify an expert on the issue of cyberhate, or hate groups on the Internet, and on the identification of markings and signs most often found around the scene of bias motivated crimes. Also, we have a former recruiter for the Aryan Nations, and that is one of the more unique perspectives before the task force today.

Finally, we will hear from two individuals who feel that the previous hearings failed to adequately represent the focus and view to those, some of the witnesses from the October 22nd hearing.

At this time, I have already identified the members of the task force. I believe Representative James has an opening statement.

1 Representative James? 2 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, 3 Chairman Schuler. 4 I also would like to take the 5 opportunity to thank Mayor Rendell and his 6 I also thank Harriett Washington from my 7 staff, and also Mr. Mann from the Republican 8 staff for all the hard work in putting these 9 hearings together. 10 I would have just a brief comment, 11 Chairman Schuler, and also I believe we have 12 been joined by Representative Andy Carn from 13 District 197. 14 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Good morning. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That is North 16 Philly. 17 Chairman Schuler, special task force 18 committee members, good morning. I am Harold 19 James, chairman of the Pennsylvania Legislative 20 Black Caucus and state representative from the 21 186th District, which encompasses parts of south 22 and southwest Philadelphia. 23 As a native of Philadelphia and having

As a native of Philadelphia and having grown up in the legislative district that I serve, educated here in the public schools,

24

25

served and retired after 22 years as a

Philadelphia police officer, needless to say I

am a proud Philadelphian. I welcome you all to
the City of Brotherly Love.

This motto, City of Brotherly Love, along with the commonly adopted adage of, And Sisterly Affection, was adopted, I believe, because of the strong sense of community which exists throughout this fine city, a spirit which stretches across this vast city, touching the lives of each of us, whether it be in times of crisis by uplifting the spirit of a neighbor, or cheering any of our professional athletic teams.

As a whole, the City of Philadelphia has been home to many outstanding contributors to society, ranging from the home of the African Methodist Episcopal Church to internationally acclaimed artist, Henry O. Tanner, a real talent, and in particular from my own district and community reigns Marian Anderson, a world renowned opera singer and a professional black basketball player, Earl "The Pearl" Monroe, who as a child, played at the Christian Street YMCA.

I present this brief commentary so it is clear that we are here today not to honor the

accomplishments nor cheer the success of any particular group or person, but rather we are here on a fact finding mission to learn through testimony just how dominant hate activity and hate crimes are in our community.

Instead of being here to give honor, we are here to learn the horror of what happens when people of good conscience ignore the problem. Throughout history we can point to events which lead to the destruction of a society because the majority of the population refused to accept evidence before them that the evil forces of hate were on the rise.

Spearheaded by what appears to be the third racial incident this year alone in my legislative district, this past week we witnessed yet another act of racial intolerance and insensitivity. And in response to this ugliness, I called a meeting of elected officials, agency representatives and community leaders. As a result of this meeting, we agreed to establish a multiracial, multi-ethnic body to formulate and institute means by which we can address the development of multi-ethnic programs which will, we hope, alleviate problems of

racial intolerance and insensitivity.

We are here today to learn what we can do to better educate and assist our communities in building strong coalitions against the ugliness of hate activity and racism.

We are here today because the house judiciary committee leadership agreed with my recommendation, at least in part, that we gather information in order to make an intelligent, educated recommendation to the committee as a whole.

Today we will hear testimony from various experts, some of which we may not necessarily agree with, but it is their First Amendment right to air their position. Thus, I hope, Mr. Chairman and the task force members, that the testimony of those who espouse unkindly views do not overshadow the countless victims who are not represented here.

Mr. Chairman, thank you for selecting Philadelphia as a site for this public hearing.

I just want to give you a couple quotes from a newspaper article which I will submit for the record from the <u>Philadelphia</u>

<u>Tribune</u>, 11-19. It is an article about Deval

Patrick, who is the Assistant U.S. Attorney

General over civil rights who has resigned from
his position.

And he said that the success of

American democracy depends on people coming
together across racial and ethnic lines and
holding common civic values. "Race is with us,
and it is with us in the context of attacks on
black churches. There is absolutely no question
about that and that has to be faced."

He said, "As we have continued this investigation, we have seen many instances of racial hostility or religious bigotry driving the fires." However, he said such racial violence must be faced if American democracy is to survive.

"We are a deeply diverse American society. American democracy is going to depend on people coming together across all those differences and being united by common civic values. The way that happens is by our overcoming the suspicion and occasionally even the hatred that we bring to the table by virtue of those differences."

He said it is the ability to see

across racial and ethnic lines "that makes the difference in the ability to see and imagine each other as fellow citizens with a stake in each other's struggles."

That issue will become even more

"That issue will become even more significant as this country continues to become more diverse and more culturally complex."

Those are his comments with that article and I would like to submit that for the record.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you.

I would like to welcome Representative Carn. Thank you.

The ground rules for today basically are that each presenter will have approximately 20 minutes to make their presentation and then the remaining time will be for questions, if any, from the members of the panel. We will have, I think, about total 45 minutes. Twenty minutes will be devoted to the person giving the testimony and the remaining will be for the panel to ask questions.

Representative Hennessey has joined us. From Chester County, right?

1 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Right.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: All right. Let us proceed then.

Our first person to testify is Dr. Dan Romer from the Center for Community Partnerships, University of Pennsylvania.

Welcome, Doctor.

DR. ROMER: Good morning. Thank you for inviting me. I will try to say what I can in 20 minutes.

We at the University of Pennsylvania worked with the Human Relations Commission of the City of Philadelphia for a number of years trying to understand what was going on in Philadelphia, a city of one and a half million folks, half white and half non-white. And Philadelphia is really like any other large city in this country. It is very diverse but very segregated. And as a result of that, there is a lot of tension. On any given month in Philadelphia, and you probably already heard this in the earlier testimony, there is between 20 and 25 acts of what you call bias crimes reported to the police and there is an equal number of tension reports to the Human Relations

Commission.

And we try to figure out why is that happening in a large city like Philadelphia.

And in working with the Human Relations

Commission and other organizations, we got a grant from the Ford Foundation to try to study this problem in Philadelphia to see if we could come up with any strategies.

I am going to try to tell you what we found and do it as quickly as I can. Let me tell you what we did.

We did interviews in lots of neighborhoods in Philadelphia, many of which were experiencing tension, some of which were not. We did over 125 interviews face to face with folks, ordinary folks, not politically important people. We did a large survey of Philadelphia residents, over 500 phone interviews that lasted about 45 minutes that ran in depth and we looked at what the media was doing, particularly television, and how it reports on what is going on in Philadelphia. I am going to, I will tell you more about the surveys. I think that is more relevant to what you are doing.

When we look at where these incidents that happen every month, everyday, the ones that get blown up in the media, the ones that happen to get blown up in the media, when you look at where they are, we see a pattern. The very poorest neighborhoods in Philadelphia, they are the ones that form the core of the city, are very concentrated in their poverty, are mostly non-white. The neighborhoods surrounding those that are mostly white, and although they are changing, are also poor. They are not as poor. And if you look at where these incidents are occurring, that is primarily where they are.

I have maps and so on that you already have. I have given those to Mr. Mann and you will see those. If these areas were heavily infiltrated with hate groups I think we would have heard evidence of that, even seen evidence of that. We don't think that these activities are driven, the hate crimes we see in the neighborhoods, are driven by organized hate activity. This is how we feel based on our interviews and also the experiences of other people who work in Philadelphia.

Instead, what we find is that the

residents in these neighborhoods experience a lot of tension as a result of the influx of people who they are not used to seeing living in their neighborhoods. And this is a legacy of fact that Philadelphia is a highly segregated city, just like other large cities in the country. And it is also a legacy of fact that we haven't learned how to live together.

There is also tension in other parts of the city. I don't want to say it is all in these neighborhoods. There is tension in other areas, especially places that are newly integrated, although it is less severe. And the story of why there is so much tension in these neighborhoods is not so simple. And I will just try to give you a thumbnail sketch of how it works from the way we understand it.

We found that the tension occurs

because the residents that live in these

neighborhoods are basically afraid of the folks

who are coming in. They think the housing

values in the neighborhood are going to decline.

Where they are living in a poor area, they think

that their property is going to get neglected.

They think that young people will get into

fights and that the neighborhood will go downhill even further.

These fears get transmitted in the way that people talk about the newcomers: The newcomers are dirty; they are noisy; they don't watch their kids; they are going to cause problems. The newcomers, on the other hand, are really there because they want a better life. They are moving up in the world. They have left the poorer neighborhood and want to step up. They are able to buy a house, possibly in a neighborhood that was once all white, and want to live there as well, just like the folks that are living there. So to them their future is getting better. To the folks who are living there, they are worried.

Now, this is not a very congenial place for folks to move into. So the newcomers get a lot of complaints lodged against them having to do with things that they are doing that are annoying to the people that are living there. They are told that they don't care about the neighborhood; that they make too much noise, and it is primarily phrased in terms of the fact that they are ethnically different. They are

either black or Latino or they are Asian. You know the story.

And that makes it very hard for the neighbors in these kinds of environments to talk to each other and negotiate. Imagine an experiment in which a newcomer moves into a neighborhood, does something that the neighbors don't like but is of the same race and ethnicity that the people that live there. Imagine an experiment like that. The chances are that the neighbors, the established residents would be able to talk to the person, say hey, I don't like where you put your garbage; why don't you put it over here, or hey, I don't like the fact that you have the radio on at 11:00 at night; could you turn it down; I don't like whatever it is.

But when it turns out that the people that are moving in are of a different race or ethnicity, the conversation gets very difficult and tensions rise. We found in our surveys that over 60 percent of the people in Philadelphia are exposed to this kind of talk, the talk that says problems in our neighborhoods are due to the people who are moving in are ethnically

different or the problems in our neighborhoods are due to the people who already live there who are ethnically different from us who are moving in and this widespread talk creates a lot of tension. We found out in our surveys that the talk is directly related to the tension and it creates an environment that is not very supportive for people to get along.

Now, if somebody wants to resist a newcomer and he or she thinks that the neighbors support that, they are more likely to do it.

This is particularly true of our young people and a lot of these neighborhoods who are at risk for bias crimes, there is an awful lot of crime to begin with. There is a lot of people, young people fighting everyday, just because it is a neighborhood in which that happens. That is how disputes get settled. So it is not a very good environment for newcomers to get along with each other and with the established folks.

And as a result, I think what we find is not that we have hate activists breeding hate. What we have is ordinary Philadelphia residents who are afraid of what is going to happen to their neighborhoods confronting people

who they think are going to create those problems for them. And the talk just escalates from there. They are worried about the neighborhood crimes at the schools or recreation areas.

The television news, I just want to point out, doesn't help the story very much because most of the reporting in Philadelphia and in other large cities focuses on how non-whites perpetrate crime and how whites are the victims of that crime and the story. That is partially the distortion that is really going on in Philadelphia. Most of the crime is committed by non-whites within their own community heavily concentrated in the core of the city.

So the biggest barrier, I think, we have to enabling people in Philadelphia to integrate in a way that would be peaceful is misperceptions people have of each other and what is going to happen when they move in together and live together in the same neighborhood. And it is the lack of information that people have about what goes on in integrated neighborhoods that are successful, of

which we found many. There are many of these neighborhoods and they are doing quite well.

Let me talk a little bit about
misperceptions, a little more detail about how
that works. If someone thinks that their
neighbors don't want to welcome newcomers to the
neighborhood, now this is whether someone is
white or black or Latino, this goes across the
board, because we also see neighborhoods that
are all black that have tension because of
Asians moving in. But it is, I would say it is
predominantly true in white neighborhoods.
About half the city is white. If people think
that their neighbors are not going to support
newcomers, they are more likely not to do it
themselves. We found this over and over again.

The other thing we find is that when we do our interviews, we can ask people how prejudiced they are towards newcomers whether the newcomers are black, white or Latino, and we see that people who are openly expressive of those prejudices are more likely than not to welcome newcomers. But they really only react that way if they think the neighborhood supports them.

1

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

One of the biggest barriers we have in our big cities like Philadelphia is to communicate the fact that the overwhelming majority of Philadelphians do support the concept of integrated neighborhoods. This is where the story starts to get a little better. I think Philadelphia, like other major cities in this country today, after the civil rights revolution and all the turmoil we have had has finally come to the point of realizing that integrated neighborhoods are a good idea. our surveys over 90 percent of Philadelphians, white, black, Latino, endorse that idea. over 60 percent are willing to welcome newcomers to their neighborhood. The problem is most of them don't think that their neighbor feels the The talk that goes on and the news same way. reporting that goes on around integration in our city tends to further that belief. We have a very major problem in communication within our

The other, and let me emphasize again.

People who are prejudiced, the ones who are most likely to engage in hate activity and it is not a very common thing -- I mean, I think 25

cities and Philadelphia is no exception.

incidents a month is a lot, but it is not like -- with one and a half million people, it is not everybody doing this. But those people are more likely to do it and our young people are more likely to do it if they think their neighbor thinks it is a good idea.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing is that a major misperception in our cities, Philadelphia is no exception, is that integrated neighborhoods are doing quite well. Six percent of Philadelphians live in what we call integrated neighborhoods. Another 20 percent live in what we call partially integrated where it is still a majority of white but it is moving in the direction of becoming integrated. neighborhoods, based on census data going back to the '50s enjoy higher rises in property values than neighborhoods that are all black and neighborhoods that are all white. This is something no one knows and everywhere I go and I tell people this, they say, you are nuts. it is true. There are integrated neighborhoods all over Philly. They are not necessarily in the high tension areas. They tend to be outside the high tension areas and there is a map in the

report that I gave to the committee that shows where they are. People don't know that integrated living can work. People don't know that there are benefits to living in an integrated neighborhood. People agree that it is a good idea.

So what does this say about tension in our large cities? I think the tension is a result of our continued fears and misperceptions of each other. We have very few organized efforts on the part of the state or the city government to reduce these fears and misperceptions. We have a lot of activity to maintain the rights of people who can move into any neighborhood they want. That is a right that anybody has. Those rights are there and they have been put into a federal code. Our city enforcement agencies enforce those codes. It is very important.

But what we don't have is any kind of organized activity that promotes or makes it easier for people to understand that living together is not going to be the downfall of life in Philadelphia or anywhere else. And I think that what we need is more policies that help

people to understand that the segregation we have is in no one's interest. So I think we need more efforts to supplement what we now have, which is the stick approach. We have a carrot and a stick approach and I think the state government, I think, is an important part of that approach. The sticks are there. We need the sticks to get everyone to understand that there is laws that say people can live where they want as long as they are there as law abiding citizens. This is the case most of the time. Those laws are important.

But we also need our efforts to try to help people understand how to get along better and education programs to reduce the fear of integration and more talk and more exposure to the idea that integrated neighborhoods work.

They are better for people. Housing values do not decline. People get along in these neighborhoods and actually have less crime than most of the other segregated neighborhoods, especially non-white neighborhoods.

The other thing that I think we need to consider and I am no expert on state law, this is your domain, the judiciary committee, to

1 think about ways in which you can get your 2 colleagues to look at the tax structure of the 3 state and how it works in influencing 4 Philadelphia and other incentives to encourage neighborhoods to give them a more of a carrot to 5 6 integrate. I don't think there are such 7 incentives today. We need attitudinal 8 incentives to help people understand it is not a 9 problem. We may also need some other incentives 10 to help people do the right thing and that is in 11 their interest as opposed to just using the 12 stick all the time. 13 So I thank you and I will be happy to 14

answer any questions.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very much, Doctor. We did look at the tax structure last night. We eliminated property tax for the time being.

All right. I will open it up now. Thank you for your comments and we will open it up now for the panel to ask questions.

I will turn to Representative James. Thank you, Mr. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Chairman.

Thank you your testimony. In terms of

the surveys, did you say that you had already 1 2 submitted that? 3 DR. ROMER: Well, I will give you a 4 summary of what I said today. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. But we 6 have the copies of that? 7 DR. ROMER: You have the results of 8 that. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So we can get a 10 copy? 11 DR. ROMER: Absolutely. 12 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And I 13 appreciate some of the suggestions you made 14 because my question I was going to ask you was 15 dealing with your surveys. Based on your 16 experience, how do you suggest that we need to 17 impact on the neighborhoods when people from 18 other races move in? What do you suggest we do 19 based on what we know now or what we can improve 20 on? 21 DR. ROMER: Right. One of the things 22 we have been in conference with the Human Relations Commission and other organizations and 23 24 what we are proposing is a long term media

effort to try to publicize and educate the

25

citizens of Philadelphia and the region that
really all they see every night on the news is
the problems. What they need to do is hear some
successes. We need to hear that people are
working actively in integrated neighborhoods to
make them work and they are doing quite well.
We want people to know that.

The other thing that we need to do is we need to make the neighborhoods that are poor, that are bordering on areas that are non-white and even poorer, they are going to need even more help. We are suggesting that we put into those neighborhoods workers in addition to what the Human Relations Commission has. The Human Relations Commission has. The Human Relations Commission is overwhelmed just being in a defensive position just dealing with these tensions, as are the police. The conflict prevention resolution unit has done a great job. Lieutenants Hicks, you have heard from.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: He is here.

DR. ROMER: I mean, they are wonderful. The work they do is great, but it is all defensive. It is all, hey, someone just called and said they got attacked. We need people going into some of these neighborhoods

who will help the organizations in those neighborhoods to be a little more inclusive, get people working together who are moving in, sitting on recreation center boards, sitting on school board advisory committees, sitting at, working on town watches, all the ways in which neighbors work together.

Neighbors work together all the time and these neighborhoods that are really at risk, they need help to understand how to do that, ways in which they can make it happen. There are neighborhoods even in the high risk areas that are doing quite well. They have gone through their periods of tension and they are now, they know how to do it. But we want to help people get there sooner so we don't have the crime and disorganization that we see.

So those are the two recommendations we made. But raising the money to do that, where do you get the money to do that? If we had mechanisms supported by the state that would allow educational programs like that to happen -- I know this sounds great, go back to Penn and sit at your word processor -- but I think that is what we really are going to need

and Philadelphia in 30 years could be an integrated city.

It is integrated. If you look at it, it is half white, half non-white, but it is not integrated. And it has got these tensions and these tensions are going to persist, just like all the other big cities in this country unless we try to get people to live together. Living together is really the ultimate solution. I am not saying we should be out encouraging people to move tomorrow, but we have got to allow people the option when they are able to without running into resistance.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That was very interesting. You said that most people do not have a problem with maybe other people moving into the neighborhoods but they don't feel that their neighbors feel the same way. You said it was about 60 percent?

DR. ROMER: Sixty percent of, yes, sixty percent of the folks don't think their neighbors feel that way.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And that was demonstrated through your survey?

DR. ROMER: Yes.

1 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So if we could 2 somehow get the neighbors to believe that the other neighbors do feel that way, maybe the 3 4 media may be one of the avenues in terms of motivating them. I know Philadelphia has a More 5 Beautiful City, More Beautiful Committee block. б It is very well organized throughout the city 7 and it is very active and that may be some area 8 9 that group might want to do in terms of 10 promoting in the neighborhoods that have that integration, because they are always talking 11 12 about the blocks that are beautiful. Maybe we 13 could expand that into neighborhoods. That may be one area we may want to look at in terms of 14 15 improving that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16

Thank you for testifying.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you, Mr.

James.

Representative Thomas Caltagirone of Reading, minority chairman of the judiciary committee has joined us at the far end and Representative Kathy Manderino, Philadelphia, right, Philadelphia, has joined the panel.

Questions? Representative Hennessey?

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

Dr. Romer, thank you for you testimony. I was intrigued with your comments about the increasing, the flourishing of neighborhoods, the integrated neighborhoods. I think you were talking about the increasing property value in these as well. In your experience, is this market driven?

DR. ROMER: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Government driven? Is it a mix? How would you like the state, see the state address the issue if there was a way we could nudge the process along?

DR. ROMER: I think it is market driven. I think that in the neighborhoods where the process gets going, people realize it is not going to hurt and they learn to live together.

And surprisingly, now if it is stable -- if it goes all the way from white to non-white, that is not true. But if it stays stable in the middle, the market is bigger.

If you have a house in an integrated neighborhood in a city of one and a half million folks, half of them are non-white, you are going

to be able to sell your house. You have a higher likelihood of selling your house if it is open to everybody. If only half of the city is going to be able to buy that house, half the residents, you have a smaller market for your house. So we actually hurt ourselves by segregating our neighborhoods. We make the market for our own homes and our own properties smaller. And we do that to ourselves. And we don't need to do that.

Now, we could help them. There are neighborhoods that have learned this and they do it and it has been true since the 1950's. in the '50s the federal government sponsored studies to see what happens in these neighborhoods and that is what they found. you stay away from the urban core that is very poor and the houses were run down with, nobody wanted to live in it, and the non-whites moved in there because they were available, if you ignored that part, that has been true since 1950 and we have known about it but just don't make anything of it. We need to tell people about that and the real estate folks probably are hampered because they can't talk about it.

That is another problem. We need a mechanism to promote integrated neighborhoods that allows us to still talk about it. I don't know quite the solution. We need to work with the real estate industry on that.

Then the other thought I had was maybe there is a way to promote incentives for -- I mean, I am no expert on the tax law or what the state can do but I would look at ways to help. If neighborhoods are integrated in Philadelphia, they should get a break. There should be a carrot out there to encourage living in a neighborhood like that. It is good for everybody and it is going to lower the work that we have to do to fight the crime and the hate crimes and the other activities that make life worse for everybody. People want to leave the city in part because of racial tension. We don't need it. People should stay.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative Carn?

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Dr. Romer, for your testimony. I want to explore a couple of points

that you brought up.

This issue of fear seems to be the base of reference to use. I want to talk about it, its creation. Where did it come from? Why did it exist in the first place?

Secondly, I want you to address the question of economics as it relates to this issue. Can you give me some points on this?

DR. ROMER: Where does it come from, the fear, that is a tough question. That is why you asked me that.

We have a way of talking about it. I mean, I am a social scientist so a lot of what I say sounds like jargon. I think it has been ingrained in our culture that people are to blame for problems because of who they are ethnically. It has been true in our culture since day one, that it is a white culture and that non-whites are a lot of the problems we

that non-whites are a lot of the problems we

have and one of the biggest problems we have

today is crime. And non-whites get plugged into

that formula. It is a discourse. We call it a discourse because it is a common way that people

talk. It is a discourse that gets promoted in the way we talk about problems everyday.

We found that it is not just poor folk that talk this way. It is rich folk, educated folks. I have heard other people say the reason our neighborhoods have problems is because of X kind of people moving in there. It is much more common than this white supremacy activity. It gets supported by what is on television. Every night you turn on the television news and that is what you see. You see non-whites committing crimes and they show that with no problem, but then they don't show any non-whites doing anything about the crime and only show the white victims. So what are you supposed to think?

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: If all that is true, we are saying, you are admitting to the fact that this problem is a result of this society as it chooses to be.

DR. ROMER: It chooses to be this way,
I guess, out of inertia and it chooses --

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: What was that?

DR. ROMER: Inertia. There is no forces working the other way. That is why.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: But with respect

1 to forces working, working in the opposite 2 direction, you just mentioned the TV coverage. 3 DR. ROMER: Absolutely. 4 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: So the forces are, in this society are in place and are living 5 out this --6 7 DR. ROMER: Fear. Absolutely. 8 is why I am suggesting that. 9 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: With endorsement 10 from government? 11 DR. ROMER: Well, I don't know. 12 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Let's be honest. 13 DR. ROMER: I mean, I have to look at 14 specific cases there. 15 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Well, you want 16 to look at specific cases? 17 DR. ROMER: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE CARN: We are looking 18 at what happens everyday, all day. That is what 19 20 I am looking at. When you talk about hate 21 crimes, it is clear to me what is going on in 22 America. This is nothing new. I have lived it 23 all my life. So when we talk about what is to 24 be done, I am clear this society, which includes

the government -- you look at my members -- who

don't buy into your facts that integrated neighborhoods are good for them. They don't believe that, whether it is true or not. How can you believe it, as you pointed out, when everything in this society tells them otherwise? You know, they don't believe the facts. You believe the gang that is running the society and keeps everything segregated and keeps hate alive. I think people benefit from society's -- people benefit from people being separated and hating each other. I really believe that there is economics to that. Do you feel that way?

DR. ROMER: The fact that things are the way they are means probably people are benefiting from it. I don't want to give you the sense that there is a conspiracy. I don't think that the government has an active program of promoting hate and fear. I think our culture and our society has allowed it to happen. It has been true since day one and it just keeps going on. It just keeps feeding on itself. It is just rolling along and that probably gets involved in people's interests, you know. We know how real estate agents have used the fear of racial integration to benefit.

1 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: It has Sure. 2 worked for them. 3 DR. ROMER: It has worked for them but it is illegal for them to do that. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: But it has worked. 6 7 DR. ROMER: Economically it has 8 worked. For those people it has worked. 9 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: There is nothing 10 in the law to stop it? 11 DR. ROMER: We have laws against it 12 now. REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Are we committed 13 14 to fight this? The reality is that although we 15 have words in the law, that doesn't mean that we 16 have enforcement, of course, or a commitment to 17 enforce it. It doesn't mean anything anyway so 18 this just shows me that government is 19 acquiescing and therefore, supporting this kind of world. 20 21 DR. ROMER: In Philadelphia, I don't 22 know that I would -- I mean, I think that 23 Philadelphia is trying to do as much as we can 24 given the resources.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Well, I am not

talking Philadelphia government. I understand the dynamics. I go to Harrisburg every week. That is a different atmosphere and the reality of hate and dislike and a segregated attitude is alive and well all day long in the halls of government in the house there. So I wanted to put that point on the record.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative O'Brien?

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Professor, I just want to ask you, some of the integrated neighborhoods that are working in Philadelphia, I am from Mount Airy, can you identify some of those areas?

DR. ROMER: Yes, even Penn has its own integrated neighborhood right next door. If you go out along City Line Avenue, there is a number of them. If you go up far north, Oak Lane and Olney, that area. Even if you come down closer to the center of the city, even in South Kensington we have integrated areas that are doing very well; in the north, the near northeast, Frankford. These are just some of the examples.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I just want to make sure if we get a whopping tax increase in those property values, I want to make sure that my people aren't getting hammered from that disproportionately. DR. ROMER: Well, that is part of what I am saying. Maybe they shouldn't. REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: But the issue that we are talking about, I was listening, maybe I didn't hear the numbers. Did you say there is about 25 hate crimes reported a week in the city? Is that the number?

DR. ROMER: Those are the ones that are sent to the police. They have to make a distinction as to the ones that actually turn out to be, that they can prove or ones that are just reported. But yes, about 20 or 25 a month.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Oh, a month?

DR. ROMER: Yes, a month.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I found it curious in conjunction how the media feeds into the hysteria. And the reason I say that is it seems that almost every night or every other night there is a story about a hate crime that maybe runs for a week. Do you think that feeds

into the prevailing attitude that you found
dangerous when people move in and out of
neighborhoods?

DR. ROMER: Yes. I think that adds to it. But I think a lot of the hate crime doesn't get covered. Most of the problem with the way it has been covered is it is a crime issue and 40 percent of the local news at night, 11:00 news, is about people who have killed each other, has nothing to do with race but the way they present it, it looks as though whites are more vulnerable. I think that is a big problem. That is just one of the reasons why people are worried when they see someone moving into the neighborhood. That is what I am talking about. I don't think the hate crimes get covered that We watched 14 weeks of evening news in Philly and saw one event covered, maybe two. just gets ignored, the actual bias crimes.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I just remember a few incidents where they ran for three or four weeks, just one story.

DR. ROMER: The one that happened.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Well, a

couple of them.

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 DR. ROMER: Sometimes the stories get 2 You have Night Line coming to 3 Philadelphia and doing a focus on it and it gets 4 to be a big story. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any other follow 6 up? Mr. Chairman? 7 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: 8 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative 9 James? REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You had made, I 10 think in response to somebody's question, you 11 12 had said people were leaving the city due to racial tension. 13 DR. ROMER: We found when we asked 14 people, would you like to leave the city, and 15 there is two big predictors; how much crime is 16 in their neighborhood and the other is how much 17 18 racial tension is there. And independent of crime and people who experience a lot of racial 19 tension, 60 percent of Philadelphians do want to 20 21 leave and they want to go somewhere where there 22 isn't any tension and it is a problem for 23 Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES:

separated out crime and racial tension?

So they have

24

1	DR. ROMER: Well, I am saying as a
2	result of our analysis, because we ask people
3	how much tension do you have in your
4	neighborhood, how much crime do you have in your
5	neighborhood, and then we ask, do you want to
6	leave. And if you look at the pattern of that,
7	you can see that both of these are contributing,
8	including a whole bunch of other things.
9	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So it is
10	beneficial for the city and probably other
11	municipalities to work on reducing racial
12	tension?
13	DR. ROMER: Absolutely.
14	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very
16	much, Doctor, for your excellent testimony and
17	thank you for coming.
18	Our next individual to testify is
19	Floyd Cochran, director of The Education and
20	Vigilance Network.
21	Mr. Cochran, welcome sir.
22	MR. COCHRAN: Good morning. I am in a
23	bit of a fog this morning. I left Potter County
24	at 4:00 this morning.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Where are you

from?

MR. COCHRAN: Potter County,

Pennsylvania, God's country. You can get there

from here but it takes a while.

First of all, I would like to start off with an apology. I didn't realize until I sat down, sitting in the chair 20 minutes ago, I was making copies and you have three pages and I have four. We are in the process of making sure that you get the fourth page as we get started.

testimony about hate groups and hate group activities in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. But before I get started with my testimony, let's hear what the organized racist movement in Pennsylvania is teaching and who is listening. I want to show you a videotape that was made in 1993 at the white supremacy compound outside of Allentown, Pennsylvania. It was a documentary called Face to Hate, and how communities can combat organized hate groups. But of course, you have to go to the white supremacy compound.

This was in 1993. The things you are going to see in this compound and hear are going to be horrific but at the same time I feel that

it is important that you see who is listening, because the white supremacy movement of the 1990's is attracting young people between the ages of 10 and 25. You are going see 14 and 15-year old males and females talking about wanting to go out and kill people in the name of God, something that they are being taught by someone who calls himself the preacher of that God, and we will get into those things a little bit later in my testimony.

This video will run approximately six and a half minutes long. The first segment will end with a baby in a crib underneath a swastika. We will wait ten seconds and there will be what I call a commercial. It is part of the same Face to Hate video. It is a two-minute documentary which will tell you in two minutes what I will be telling you today in 20 minutes. It is part of this same documentary that came out in 1993, long before anyone was talking about the rise of white supremacy groups here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

(Whereupon, a videotape was viewed.)

MR. COCHRAN: My name is Floyd

Cochran. Today I sit before you as a member of

the human race and a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Four years ago I would have sat here before you as a believer in the false doctrines of the master race and an officer in the hate group known as the Aryan Nations. From 1990 to 1992 I was a youth recruiter and a spokesman for one of the most violent hate groups in the United States, the Church of Jesus Christ Christian/Aryan Nations. While I cannot change the things of the past, I stand before you today, the people of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and apologize and take responsibility for those beliefs and actions.

think of organized hate, one conjures up images of blazing crosses, hooded men in sheets, people who can't say three or four words without referring to a dictionary and it is always somewhere else. Maybe that was the racist movement of the '60s and '70s. But today in the 1990's the organized racist movement is made up of people who are both intelligent and motivated and no longer are they limited only to the back alleys and corn fields of Pennsylvania.

Instead, they are on the Internet and producing

cable access videos and most insidious of all, the organized racist movement of the 1990's is actively recruiting our young people with alarming results.

2.2

And as we saw on the video a few minutes ago, the Pennsylvania based racist movement is drawing its strength not only from 30-year old white males, but also from young white males and females aged ten and older.

The organized racist movement of the 1990's is a mirror image of society. Wherever Aryan Nations sent me, I met and worked with educated bigots, uneducated bigots, bigots from broken homes and bigots from the upper middle class.

A colony of bigots, though, have descended upon Pennsylvania. They use so-called political and social wedge issues such as gun control, affirmative action, abortion, taxes and homophobia as a way of attracting attention and to legitimize their hate. This colony of bigots stretches across the commonwealth. Extending west from Harrisburg to Pittsburgh it is primarily the various Klans and racist skinheads. North from Route 80 to the New York

border, it would be the Christian Identity
groups and militias. East of Harrisburg to
Philadelphia and up to Scranton and Stroudsburg
it is Christian Identity groups, skinheads and
neo-Nazi gangs which comprise the racist
movement. But whatever their background,
whatever racist group that the individual may
belong to, race is their unifier and violence is
their weapon.

I hold here in my hand an article dated November 6, 1996 from the Potter County, Pennsylvania Leader Enterprise. On the front page is a news article concerning August Kreis, Potter County's local Aryan Nations racist leader. Kreis is quoted as saying, "Potter County, Pennsylvania, we love it. It will become to us the east coast version of northern Idaho. And there is nothing you can do about it."

In the late 1970's the Aryan Nations moved into the Idaho panhandle and for the first couple of years they kept a low profile. During this time they were busy building their buildings and putting together an infrastructure. Then beginning in the early

1980's and continuing to the present, Aryan Nations and Aryan Nations followers have left the compound in northern Idaho and went on a racist crime spree. Organized racists have been arrested and in most cases convicted of committing the following crimes: The bombing of a synagogue in Idaho, 1983; the murder of Alan Berg, a Jewish talk show host in Denver, Colorado, 1984; armored car robbery in California, 1984; bombings of the home of a Catholic priest in Idaho, 1986; attempted bombings of gay and lesbian establishments in the state of Washington, 1990; shoot out with law enforcement officers, leaving three dead in Idaho, 1992, i.e., the Randy Weaver affair; the bombing of a newspaper stand and Planned Parenthood in the State of Washington, 1996.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Numerous other petty crimes have been committed throughout the years by white supremacists who moved into the area due to the fact that Aryan Nations has designated northern Idaho as one of the Aryan homelands here in America. I know, because I once lived there and worked there.

Is this what August Kreis and his Nazi

compound is planning for Pennsylvania?

The organized racist movement has and is evolving and changing. Probably the greatest single change of the organized racist right-wing has been the development of the Christian Identity religion. Numbering in the hundreds just 30 years ago, today Christian Identity includes several thousand believers. Christian Identity is fast becoming one of the foundations that make up the organized racist movement of the 1990's, unifying Klansmen, neo-Nazis and those seeking a higher justification for their hate.

As a former believer of the Christian Identity faith, I know from personal experience the seductive power of Identity. I also saw how palatable and convincing the ideology of racial hatred can become when taught in the name of God, and therein lies part of the problem. Both the extreme religious right-wing and the hard-core believers of Christian Identity use God as a tool to justify hatred and intolerance of those different from themselves. Speaking from personal experiences, if I could believe that my personal hatreds had a spiritual

foundation, it gave me credibility and meaning to what I was doing and thinking. It gave me a religious compass, so to speak.

And I feel that it is for me to say this now, that when you speak of Christian Identity today, we are not talking about Baptists or Methodists or Presbyterians or Episcopalians or Catholics, and this is not an attack on the Christian faith. But it is an attack on people who use the Bible and God as a way of preaching Christian Identity.

Christian Identity is a faith that commands its followers to separate and engage in armed conflicts with those that it has deemed the Antichrist, such as Jews and people of color. It is a faith that imbues its believer with a sense of divine blessing and guidance for their hate. This faith comes complete with pageantry and rituals, dietary laws, and the belief that Adolf Hitler was a godly man.

The Christian Identity enclave located near Allentown, Pennsylvania is experiencing a state of growth. They conduct church services on Sundays, print a racist newsletter. They have increased recruitment efforts and they have

built a firing range. These developments indicate that there is trouble ahead.

This racist gang is led by self-professed pastor Mark Thomas. Thomas is the Aryan Nations ambassador to Pennsylvania and a former chaplain in the Ku Klux Klan. Thomas and his followers are waiting only for a command from God to engage in criminal, violent, racist acts, although the restlessness of his more militant parishioners may come first.

Thomas doesn't directly go out and tell people to commit crimes. Instead, he tells them that God sanctions crimes and violence. On the Internet, Thomas teaches that it is biblical and therefore okay to burn churches, knowing full well that someone reading his sermons will act. By providing a place to rally and meet, Thomas has catapulted himself and Pennsylvania into the forefront of racist activity in the United States; see attachment Klanwatch,

November, 1996 on page 10. This just came out yesterday. I had a bunch of copies Federal Express'ed up to me, Klanwatch. The Southern Poverty Law Center did a four-page study documenting racist activity by Mark Thomas in

the last four years.

Racist activity that has already produced deadly results: Summer of 1993, August Kreis in Potter County, Pennsylvania, holds an Aryan Rock Fest, over 250 racist skinheads and other assorted bigots gather for a music fest. In fact, that was the largest neo-Nazi skinhead rock concert every held in the North American continent. This was Ulysses, Pennsylvania, a little town of about 400 people up in Potter County.

October 1994, Thomas' sermons were attended by skinheads, Bryan and David Freeman. February '95, Bryan and David Freeman murder their parents and younger brother. In the organized white supremacy groups, you are taught to hate people not only based on religion or race, but if the white person does not subscribe to their beliefs. Or if he was at one time a member of their belief system and leaves, that person is deemed to be a race traitor and worthy to be put to death. And that didn't matter if that was your next door neighbor, a person down the street or your mom, dad, or your younger brother.

.

In 1995 the racist skinheads desecrate a synagogue in York, Pennsylvania with a pig's head. In March of 1995 Mark Thomas' friend and fellow traveler in hate, Tom Blair, was appointed to a local school board committee.

Blair is the editor of the racist newspaper The Jubilee. October '95, 100 racists attend a rally at Thomas' encampment. White Aryan Resistance organizer, Dennis Mahon, was the featured speaker. Mahon was a former Klan leader and is an organizer for the California skinhead leader, Tom Metzger.

As a little side note, Tom Metzger's followers, four of his skinhead followers left their neo-Nazi rock concert in Portland, Oregon in 1989 and on their way home came across an African American man by the name of Mulugolis Roth, who was on his way home from college and they beat him to death with a baseball bat. The first thing that Tom Metzger says, like any adult leadership of the racist movement, goes back up and says, I didn't tell them to do that. And maybe there wasn't that direct link in terms of, I want you to go downtown and beat someone. But if you put the idea in a young person's head

and pound it in over and over and over again, that young person will act. So while the skinheads went to prison for the rest of their lives, and rightfully so, Tom Metzger sat back and thought he was going to continue recruiting people and life was going to be easy.

Law Center took him to court and got a \$12.5 million judgment again White Aryan Resistance and even though he didn't put them out of business, he certainly crippled their ability to reach out and touch people. Up to that point Tom Metzger was on cable access programs in 65 United States cities and he had a radio program and also was producing a very slick newsletter or magazine.

December 1995, Private James

Burmeister of Thompson, Pennsylvania was

arrested for the killing of two African

Americans. Burmeister, along with two other

Fort Bragg, North Carolina soldiers were

reported to have been members of a racist

skinhead gang which operated on the military

base. Police found bomb making manuals,

neo-Nazi flags, and white supremacist literature

in Burmeister's rented trailer.

Last week I was at Fort Bragg, North
Carolina, giving talks to the 18th Airborne
Division and the 82nd Airborne Division because
the racists have made an attempt along with the
militia to infiltrate the military. The
military obviously is very concerned. James
Burmeister goes on trial next month for killing
the two African American people. So I say that
in part because we know that the media will be
up there to cover that because Mr. Burmeister
was from Pennsylvania.

May, 1996, the FBI arrests members of the Aryan Republican Army, a Nazi criminal gang that robbed 22 banks in seven states. One of the robbers, Richard Guthrie, admitted to giving over \$250,000 to Aryan Nations and other white supremacist groups. This four-man cell allegedly came together under the pastoral guidance of Christian Identity leader, Mark Thomas. Two of the individuals who were arrested for this crime, one came from Ardmore, Pennsylvania and the other one lived at Mark Thomas' compound before Thomas took the 18-year old young man out to the white supremacist

compound in Needleham City, Oklahoma for additional paramilitary training.

November 1996, a captain in the
Allentown City Police Department is reported to
have Nazi memorabilia in his office, which
included a bust of Adolf Hitler. According to
news reports coming out of Allentown this week,
this captain was third in line of being chief of
police, also had issued instructions to those
that were his underlings to arrest African
Americans and Hispanics and not worry about
arresting white people. And this was a man
though, that the district attorney's office knew
in 1988 was displaying racist literature in his
office, had passed out Klan applications and
wore a Nazi-looking lapel pin on his uniform.

And finally from January 1st, 1996 to
June 30th, 1996 the Pennsylvania Human Relations
Commission has reported that there have been 19
rallies and events sponsored by the various
Pennsylvania Ku Klux Klans, rallies which have
been held in such places as the Punxsutawney,
Pennsylvania schoolhouse gym and the
Hollidaysburg, Pennsylvania courthouse steps.
The Klan in western Pennsylvania seems to be

holding a rally about every other weekend in the summer. But you don't have to go to western Pennsylvania to see that. You can drive up every other weekend to Boyertown, Pennsylvania to see them standing on the street corners with their buckets, for road block and also to pass out various literature and information.

In fact, we have one of the representatives from the Pennsylvania Network of Unity Coalitions and also the vice-chairman of the Boyertown Area Unity Coalition in the room this morning and she can tell you how they have tried to deal with Mark Thomas' influence. It is only a few miles away and also the Klan.

On a personal level, often I am asked what caused me to become a racist. Racism is a learned behavior. Like many in the racist movement, I didn't one day wake up and say, gee, I can't join the chess club; I guess I will go join the Klan. It was a natural progression from the bigotry I learned from the society I lived in. I grew up in an all white farming community in upstate New York. The first thing you learn to hate is New York City. All the tax dollars go there. All the political power goes

there. But the images that I saw in relationship to welfare and crime were images more often than not attached with people of color. The attitudes and the things that I heard from my parents, my teachers and my minister in relationship to people of color were the negative and not the positive.

2.3

I can remember my parents telling me as a young man, and my parents were not members of an organized hate group -- in fact, my parents would tell you that they don't hate anyone, but I simply remember my parents telling me that there was good colored people and there was bad colored people, not a distinction between just good people, period, and bad people. But race had to be the qualifier.

I was predisposed to labeling the stereotypes. I learned the basics of hatred and racism at an early age and not once was I challenged. When I was a 13- and a 14-year old kid and the poster said, be a man, join the Klan, this is the first time someone talked to me as a 14-year old on the level of being a man. When I went to school and stood up in history class and re-echoed the ideas that I was

hearing, more often than not the teachers would laugh, or say, boy you will outgrow that, or let's listen to what the boy has to say. Not once was I challenged to think critically; where was I getting these ideas; did I not know that there was no validity to these ideas.

And something else; I went to school in the 1960's and the 1970's which I know seems ancient to many people but not once did I learn anything in terms of a history or a culture associated with people of color. I thought only white people had a history.

And to expand upon that, on October 22nd, 1996, I was in Harrisburg to attend the first hearing on hate group activities. It was one of my first times being inside the capitol building and I was there all day. And I was running in and out and to have cigarettes, things of that nature. And I was out in the rotunda area and I watched teachers bring in the children from various schools, eight and nine years old and they are looking at the rotunda, the beautiful building and looking up at the various murals. And I was listening to one of the instructors talk about the history of

Pennsylvania on these murals. If you look at those murals, you get the idea that only white people built the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. What are young people to think when they go to see something like that and then they go home and they watch television or the newspaper and they hear their mother and their father talk about that the only people committing crimes or the only images associated with crime are people of color?

I was not a bigot because I was illiterate. I was a bigot because I was ignorant, because the buttons had been pushed. What I didn't learn, though, was that the hate would turn on me. My youngest son was born with a cleft palate and when the Aryans found this out, I was told that he would have to be killed because he was a genetic defect. As a father I would not let anyone hurt my son. At the same time, though, I could not reconcile how on one hand you could say that it was all right or that it was wrong to abdicate killing my four-year-old son, but somehow it was all right for me as a person to say the very same thing, to believe the very same thing, to abdicate the

very same thing about people who were different than myself without being a hypocrite.

Inasmuch as I didn't learn to hate overnight, I didn't stop hating overnight. It has been four years since I left behind the doctrines of the Aryan Nations of racial hatred and bigotry. I have come a long way as an individual and I have a long ways to go. I have been able to make this change through challenging myself and reaching out to people.

When I left Aryan Nations, it would have been far easier to crawl into the woodwork somewhere and say, well, I am not a member of a hate group anymore, therefore I am not a bigot. I have not only had people reach out to me, I have reached out to people. I have put myself in positions to challenge how I thought. I can read a book and you could quote me on it, test me on it and I could quote the book back to you. I could attend some lectures and listen to someone speak. But when you actually start working with people that you at one time hated, distrusted, once you have dealt with it on an emotional and intellectual level, then you can truly say you are working for a change,

challenging yourself.

out and educate myself about differences and diversity. To learn to respect others after so many years of hate is not easy. Likewise, for the citizens of Pennsylvania to admit that racism and bigotry is alive and well is never easy. What we must do is first admit that the bigots are among us and that they are committed to implementing their ideologies of racism and bigotry. We must take immediate decisive action now.

would be passage of an anti-masking law. You have copies of it. I would like to point out that in 1951 the State of Georgia passed an anti-masking law which says that you cannot stand on a public street corner or on taxpayer supported property and wear your mask. You have to take the mask off. That was passed in Georgia in 1951. In 1990 it was challenged by Shade Miller, a Klansman, on the First Amendment grounds and the Georgia Supreme Court upheld the anti-masking law, saying that if you equate the mask as freedom of speech, then some speech can

be regulated.

I can't go into a movie theater and shout, Fire. According to Pennsylvania law I cannot get into your face and shout fighting words, nor shout intimidating words based on race, ethnicity, religion or gender. But I can put on a mask and stand on public taxpayer supported property and intimidate the whole community. On private property you can wear whatever mask you wish to wear. As you will see, the law that is there has been crafted so as not to conflict with religious holidays, Halloween, things of that nature. This law, I believe, is based in part on the model put out by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith.

And I can tell you from firsthand experience, not everyone in the racist movement was like myself or David Duke or like Mark Thomas, who wanted their face to be seen. The average everyday racist doesn't want his neighbors to know that he is a bigot. He doesn't want his neighbors to know that he hates people because he knows that it is not socially acceptable. He might lose his job. Maybe his neighbors won't hang out with him anymore. But

also at the individual level when I could intimidate people, then I would feel strong.

When I would walk into Unity Coalition meetings wearing my Aryan uniform and watch 100 people panic, that gave me this false sense of security. I have been this size all my life.

No one was ever afraid of me. Put on an Aryan uniform, it made me feel like someone; someone I was able to associate being a man in that uniform.

Take off the mask, you will see a decrease, not only in the rallies but also the numbers of people who are showing up at various events. When 40 people would show up at the, stand on the Hollidaysburg Courthouse steps to spread the message; if the Klan can show up in numbers of four and five every other weekend on the same street corner in Boyertown; when the organized white supremacists turn out 30 and 35 in number to attend unity rallies as a way of disrupting; when they can hold a rally in Gilbertsville, Pennsylvania in October of 1996 and draw 175 people, these people are growing, in part because we have ignored racism.

When I came into your communities as a

1 bigot, you thought I was a moron and an idiot. 2 When you told the local community to ignore me 3 and I will go away and that no one was listening 4 to me, that allowed me to set the agenda and sit 5 back and respond. I didn't walk up to people 6 and say, do you want to join the Klan; do you 7 want to follow Adolf Hitler. Instead, I would 8 pull out the Bible and use it as a social wedge 9 issue, as a way of building common ground to get 10 myself invited to public schools or colleges. 11 could call up the social studies teacher and 12 say, do you want to talk about racism; don't you 13 think it is unfair not to bring a person such as 14 an Aryan Nations person to talk about racism; 15 there is two sides to every issue. You would be surprised at the number of times I could get 16 17 into a school. And I didn't go there to talk to 18 or recruit teachers. I went there to recruit 19 young people because again, young people are 20 looking for direction and looking for someone to 21 talk to them and they are looking for, in many 22 cases, an authoritarian, not a strong heavy 23 handed type but just a strong figure to stand up 24 and say, this is what I believe; this is what I stand for, and people are responding.

One of the other things that you can do is strengthen the existing anti-intimidation laws. August Kreis was arrested in May of this year for terroristic intimidation of his majors, not because they are from another race, simply because he doesn't like them. If he is found guilty, he will get six months. While he is sitting at the local county jail, he is continuing to hold rallies. When he gets out, the possibility of a threat or danger towards the Ericksons, who have filed the charges against him, are greatly enhanced.

Six months for many of the anti-intimidation laws is nothing. Give public and immediate support to anyone or any community who is victimized by hate groups or hate crimes. This past spring an African American woman moved into a quote, unquote, neighborhood here in Philadelphia. That wasn't acceptable to the other people in the neighborhood and the only people that I saw that came to her defense was government agencies and officials, which was good, but we also need to see local neighbors. The people of Philadelphia should have been in front of that woman's house to let the neighbors

know that she has a right to live there. You have a right to live wherever you wish to. I have a right to live wherever I wish to, free of intimidation from anyone.

We need to add sexual orientation to the current anti-intimidation laws as Pennsylvania leads the nation in commission of violent crimes against gays and lesbians. The group of people you can hate in 1995 are gay and lesbians. If I went outside here and issued anti-Semitic remarks or anti-black remarks, I would be taken away. But I can go out here and issue homophobic remarks and attract a crowd. If I get into your face and say derogatory things based on your race or your gender and ethnicity, I could be arrested. But I can go out here and attack a gay person or say derogatory things to gay and lesbian people, and again, get away with it and be acceptable.

But at Aryan Nations once I learned to demonize one group of people, it didn't take a great deal of effort to demonize another group of people. If we allow one group of people to have their rights violated, then all of our rights are violated.

We need to encourage many elected officials and religious leaders to take a strong stand against bigotry. In communities where Aryan Nations sent me, if no one took a stand, we kept coming back repeatedly, over and over and over again. But in the communities where elected officials, religious leaders, grass root unity polls and where people just came together, we didn't go back.

I remember going into Sandpoint, Idaho one time. I thought, well, this is going to be a piece of cake. Sandpoint, Idaho is all white. That is the place where Mark Furman moved to last year. The local Unity Coalition was holding a meeting. I wore my Aryan uniform. I was going to go in and smile and pass out literature, talk about how we had things in common in northern Idaho. And I really wasn't a bad person, didn't have horns.

And I walked into that room, 300

people who had gathered to organize against us

and Aryan Nations. I myself, and to my

knowledge, Aryan Nations has not gone back to

Sandpoint, Idaho to actively recruit people.

But the people in Sandpoint did more than just

hold a rally. They have programs at the school that discuss racism. They have stronger input or input into increasing the penalties for anti-paramilitary activity. The mayor, the elected officials have taken public stands.

When you get arrested for violating a hate crime law in Sandpoint, you go to jail; not just six months. And they incorporate an honest discussion of the history of bigotry and racism in the school curriculum.

As I talked about earlier, I did not know that people of color had a history because I did not read or see any history as a young boy. And if I did, it was this long, or a paragraph. As a young person it would mean a great deal to me as an individual, because once we start looking at our histories, we find that we have many things in common, many similarities. I also consider that your history is as important as my history. We could sit down and talk about these things.

Support your local grass root unity coalition. I work with unity coalitions throughout the country, visited over 100 communities, been to numerous high schools,

primarily all white high schools. That is where the bigots are going, the rural areas. Very few people go out to the rural areas to address racism, but the Klan is and in many cases the Klan is the only institution. In a town of Bigler, Pennsylvania, population 500 people, well, the Klan goes there like the Rotary Club, if you want to call it that. And I am not insinuating that the Rotary Club are bigots. What I am insinuating is that is the only place to have social intercourse. The Klan comes in. They have barbecues, turkey shoots. They have get-together types of things. When you live in a town of 500 or 4,000, like I do, on a Friday night there is not a lot to do. The Klan comes in, says, come on out to our house; we will have a band, have a party.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things August Kreis did
when he moved to Potter County from Bangor,
Pennsylvania, he hosted a band. He went
downtown in his preacher garb with his children
and invited a bunch of kids to come up and have
a good time, and not because the kids he was
inviting were bigots but because they were
bored. He didn't unleash the racism all at

once, gave it to them in small doses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And finally, support the Education and Vigilance Network, a Pennsylvania based organization which is made up of individuals and human rights organizations working to combat the message of hate and hate crimes. I had to put a plug in. But I also want to say this about myself. I left organized racism four years ago and have come a long ways. I speak at high schools, colleges, youth detention centers, military bases all across the country, primarily here in the northeast. This has not been a career move. I speak at churches and high schools for nothing more than gas money and motel. Military, colleges, I get paid for. That allows me to go over 400 places nationwide. We also put out all of our materials, written materials free. We also have the only, to my knowledge, the Web site that is addressing organized racism. That went up last week. We also put out weekly fax updates and also a training manual for teachers because many times I have heard from teachers, we see children with symbols but we don't know what they mean or what the terminology is, we have a manual for that.

I haven't made a Tuesday Night Movie. I haven't written a book. I say that because this is not a career move. Changes, challenges, is not something that is accomplished overnight.

Challenging and questioning old fears and stereotypes never ends for all of us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, before we go to any questions, I would like to thank you for inviting me here today. I would like to also thank Mr. Mann and Ms. Washington for a lot of the work and effort that they put into this, not only getting myself here but also the word of the hearings. I also would like to thank the people of Pennsylvania because I have gotten support from the people of Pennsylvania since A lot of people did not want to believe me in '93 that the racist movement was as bad as it is. A lot of times I have heard that I am self-agendizing but I believe that the things in the last three years have borne out the things that I have said about the racist movement. This Klan article bears witness to that. wouldn't be here on a professional level or a personal level if it weren't for the people that have reached out for me here in the Commonwealth 1 of Pennsylvania. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you, Mr. Cochran. We will start with questions to my left.

> MR. COCHRAN: May I? At the October 22nd hearing you had asked about the relationship to militias and common law courts. In Montana and also in Arizona, in Ohio and numerous other states of common law, people have placed liens against elected officials, on their personal properties, which costs hundreds if not thousands of dollars to have removed, also will affect your credit rating. In Montana they have put out bounties on elected officials and also again, have filed all kinds of illegal paper work that they are sovereign citizens, they don't have to obey certain laws such as having a driver's license, Social Security, things of that nature. I say that because I remember you asking.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: They are doing that within their own, not through our court system?

MR. COCHRAN: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: How? At

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

some point they have to get that information into the legitimate mainstream.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COCHRAN: Right. They take it down and file it at the department of records and Montana just passed a law, they will no longer accept a lien where a person would walk in and file a lien, saying that this person owes them X amount of dollars. What they have done is sued people, elected officials in the common law court and obviously elected officials aren't going to pay any attention to the common law court because the common law court says okay, you are defaulted; you didn't appear in front of us to answer the charges and we are going to level a fine against you. And they draw up this legal looking document that is a lien and go in a file that against your property and in some cases you go to get credit and find out you have a lien against you. That can hurt. And again, it also costs money.

They put a million dollar bounty on one superintendent of the school, I believe, in -- well, I say I believe -- I know in 1993 in Montana. So again these are things that elected officials have to look out for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Is there any evidence that you have uncovered that that is happening, that the groups that are organized within Pennsylvania also have their own organized common law courts?

MR. COCHRAN:

They are getting, I feel, to that point. Over in New Alexandria, Pennsylvania and I have also heard this from the Pennsylvania State Police, they have arrested a couple of people for driving without licenses who have claimed sovereign citizenship, which is the first step in the common law situation, and they also don't have insurance, things of that The man that was arrested last month down in West Virginia for participating in a militia, an attempted bombing of various FBI buildings, was from Waynesboro, Pennsylvania. From what I have understood and from what I have read and heard a lot of the common law theory and common law right now is stronger in the State of Ohio, but it has a way of moving. is only a matter of time before you see more of It is more of a tactic associated with it here. militia organizations, say, people like Mark Thomas or August Kreis or others, and in part

because that is their area of expertise in extremism. That is what brought them into the racist movement, tax issues and government issues.

I had a problem. I sent a letter to the State of New York telling them I didn't need a driver's license, that travel was a God given right, therefore, I didn't need a driver's license and ripped up my driver's license and turned it in. I got a letter back from the state telling me I was correct, I had a God given right to travel but I couldn't do it behind the wheel of a car, take a bus and things of that nature. It was extremely difficult to get all those things back when you have thrown out your Social Security card, your driver's license, filed a document that I was a sovereign individual, therefore I did not have to obey the Any law that I deemed to be illegal based laws. on federal law or state law. Only local laws was I held accountable to and it was a gray I could just decide what local laws I wanted to follow.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Mr. Hennessey?

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Cochran, you indicated that you didn't go back, to your experience you didn't go back to towns or places that challenged you. I guess it is important to define what kind of challenge we are talking about. There is a confrontational challenge and there is also a physical type challenge, which is not happening at the same time but it sort of reaffirms, when the community gets together, reaffirms what is good about that community is the fact they don't accept the particular pandering that is going on.

MR. COCHRAN: What I meant by challenge, I didn't mean a physical point of view. First of all, I don't believe that we are going to fight racism and hatred and violence with more hatred and violence. Secondly, when you throw rocks at me or beat me up when I was in the racist movement, that made me a martyr in my own mind and it was also something that I could go back to the followers and say, see; I am so effective that they are coming out to pound on me.

But by challenge, I meant that when I walked into that room of 300 people, they let me know instantly while I had a constitutional right to be there, to say whatever I wanted to say, as a community that they were not going to support my bigotry. And at that public meeting, just the sheer fact that there were 300 people was enough to dissuade me from going back.

I believe there was a similar situation here in Boyertown. Mark Thomas and Tom Blair started showing up at the Boyertown Area Unity Coalition's monthly meetings. People just started ignoring them like they weren't even there at the meeting. They quit coming but Boyertown people kept doing what they are doing, things such as interactive fear, prejudice reduction, taking back the street corner that the Klan stands on in Boyertown, things of that nature.

So when I was talking about a challenge, I was talking about challenge in a peaceful way, not a violent way. We are not going to combat violence with violence.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: In your comments you indicated that most of the action,

or at least a lot of the actions that are taken where they have been prosecuting and convicting, that has resulted?

MR. COCHRAN: Yes

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: So that is great. What you are saying is that the government is operating, taking the proper response. I also understand that you are saying that some of the sentences aren't long enough?

MR. COCHRAN: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Have the sentences that have been handed down been maximum sentences?

MR. COCHRAN: It depends on the case.

In the Freeman case, for instance, where they murdered their parents and younger brother, they got a maximum sentence. In other places, again, a lot of that has a relationship to the crime.

That is why if August Kreis is found guilty, he knows he will get six months at the most and maybe some probation. That is not really a deterrent. He will spend the six months probably at the local county jail in Potter County. It will be four months maybe and then turn and walk.

There have been incidents in the past, especially in the south, for instance, in Texas with a group of skinheads that beat to death an Asian man and a black man and one of the skinheads got probation and 1500 people came out in the street a few days later and they revoked that probation of the skinhead and gave him a jail sentence.

A lot of this I know from my own personal experience. 1986, I was arrested for threatening to burn down a synagogue. Two things came into play. One, I was from an area that did not have a synagogue for over 150 miles and the judge took that into consideration and two, it was a rural farming county so the man I worked for, his wife was a judge, she in turn called the judge that had to prosecute me and I did 13 days in jail and paid a \$50 fine. In that case it was because I knew someone within the court system. If I had gotten the maximum fine or maximum penalty, that might have dissuaded me a little bit earlier. But there have been incidences.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: One of the other questions, in terms of training or

indoctrination that you went through, my contact, my education is the kind that suggests to me that the way you make inroads is to try to transfer the blame for people's failure to succeed in society into other groups. You talked about demonizing other groups as if the only way to make people dislike them is to say somehow that the Bible says that they are the smitten race, whatever. But it seems to me that my education has told me what you do is you find somebody's failure, then find a way to transfer the blame for that failure not to the fact they didn't try hard enough but someone is taking it from them.

MR. COCHRAN: It is a combination of many things and it is kind of, for myself, ironic that you would mention that. I didn't graduate from high school. It wasn't my fault; my English teacher, she was part Jewish, she didn't like me. My marriage didn't work out; well, it is not my fault my wife didn't want to be married to a racial activist. I couldn't find work. I didn't want to admit that I didn't get up in the morning and look for work. It was affirmative action. I lived in an all white

my life was, did I have to take responsibility for. I could blame other people for it. So that was one dynamic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the other dynamic and the one that really attracts people and builds the common ground, if you could go into a community and convince them not to take a stand against me, in my own mind I thought you were standing with me. If I could go into a community and convince them that I was a religious person, that I quoted the same Bible scriptures, and especially the ones that are used in terms of homophobia, things of that nature, that gave me a certain air of I could say look, see, I am not so legitimacy. bad; we have things in common; we think alike on some issues; if we get together, we could think alike on some other issues; what does the Bible say about this, because the Bible affords you the credibility that you can't debate with for many people.

You would be surprised or taken off guard when hatred is presented in the name of God. We don't associate God's Bible with Adolf Hitler or the massacre of millions of people.

But the racists have found a way of doing this within the development of Christian Identity and the Christian Identity movement was founded by a former rifle instructor for the Ku Klux Klan, a Dr. Wesley Swift. Also, adopting the religious aspect gets you into schools, prisons much easier and much more — well, easier and faster than if it was straight political hatred.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I think that it seems to me that our society sort of feeds into this. We have almost defined success in America today as you can't be a loser. If you don't win the Super Bowl, you are just another loser. You have to win it all. It is not, it doesn't seem to be enough to be a good and decent person and to have a job and provide for your family and to provide a stable home life for your people. You have to be the absolute paramount of success.

When you tell children that, when you have that kind of set, those unrealistic expectations, what you are creating is a situation where most kids thing that they are failures. When they think they are failures, it just makes it much more understandable why they

buy into something that says that, an ideology that says that the failure that I have isn't my fault. It must be somebody else.

MR. COCHRAN: One of the most powerful arguments that you can use today by the racist movement when talking to young people, you are not going to have the economic power that your father had 20 years ago. And that young person looks around and says, okay, I am going to go work at McDonald's for \$5 an hour; dad went over here and got a job at the steel mill for \$20 an hour. Well, it is no fault of that young person. But then the racists say, well, you know, why not; if you were to follow us and join with us, we are going to put you back in that position of power.

that has threatened to fight back for the first time in 2,000 or 3,000 years, white males don't have it all to themselves. So white males are going to pull out any means or any tactic necessary. For the first time in 2,000 years white males have to compete with people of color and women entering the job market and yet, that has allowed the white supremacist to come and

say these things. But at the same time we have been derelict in our duty in pointing out many of these things are air-headed ideas, that there is no validity to them.

For instance, I spoke to Huntingdon
County High School, South Huntingdon County High
School, an all white high school. This young
man stood up and he said he couldn't find a job
because of affirmative action and I asked him
what black person came here to South Huntingdon
and took your job. He couldn't think of one
because it just isn't happening. But he has
heard that from the Klan over and over again.

Pennsylvania. A young man stood up and said, I don't want to be around all the blacks; they commit all the crimes. Well, I could have given him a lecture, told him to read this but I took him down to the county jail, and this is an all white community. He had the chance to look at reality versus the stereotype. I said, either this place is empty or you are going to have a change of opinion. And when we walked out, there was no way of debating the issue, so I challenged that on a very simple level.

1 I was finally 36 years old and started 2 accepting some responsibilities for my own 3 actions. But what you said about if you don't 4 win the Super Bowl, you are nobody, yes. 5 permeates from top to the bottom of this 6 country. If you are not the most successful, 7 have the most money, have the best house, this, 8 that or whatever, somehow you failed, because I 9 think we have to start changing that focus. 10 That being a decent human being, respecting 11 others, taking care of your family is what makes 12 a successful person. I don't spend a lot of 13 time --14 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Excuse me. 15 MR. COCHRAN: I am sorry. 16 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: We are running a little behind time. We have quite a few other 17 18 questions to ask here. 19 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much. 21 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: We will keep our 22 questions to a minimum and our responses to a 23 minimum. 24 MR. COCHRAN: I will try.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER:

We will try to get

25

1 it in.

2 Representative Carn?

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Cochran. I admire you because it is hard to go against the stereotypes in life that you have been taught. Even in African Americans, we have stereotypes we have to overcome. We all have stereotypes to overcome.

The first question I have for you is, if you did not run into this realization about your son, would you still be a white supremacist?

MR. COCHRAN: To be honest, yes. I was a rising star.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: So just a little bit of the dynamics because, again, I heard you said you could not logically buy into you having to kill your own son. I just want you to share that with us because I am trying to understand what it takes for someone to change.

MR. COCHRAN: Well, obviously desire to change or want to. I had so enamored myself with Biblical doctrines and so puffed up about what I was doing that no matter what you would

have said to me, either logical, Biblical or even straight fact, I would have to convince myself; didn't have the eyes to see or the ears to hear special commands from God; took for myself very personally because I love my children. There was no way I was going to allow anyone to hurt my children. But at the same time I started thinking about it and I couldn't get it off my mind. It was at the Hitler youth rally in '92 when I was told that my son would have to be killed and it took me three months before I left. During that three months I struggled with that. These were my friends. This was the thing that motivated me. been milking cows in upstate New York, being a no one to being a some one. There was that aspect of it. I was doing my mission for God type of thing. But I kept coming back to my son; somebody wanted to kill my son who is four years old. He hasn't hurt anybody. How does that remotely make any difference in what I was thinking, what I was advocating, no different from myself. And again, I didn't come to that in an overnight conclusion. And when I left Aryan Nations, July 8th 1992, I didn't just stop

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being a bigot and July 9th, wake up and say, I love everyone. It has taken a lot to confront. There is a lot of times that racism is something that you just don't consciously think of, that you have to deal with. A lot of times it is language.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For myself, making a change has been more than just changing a racist idea or a political idea, how I walked, how I ate, how I talked, who I hang out with, my own behavior in terms of criminal activity, things of that nature. So the change for myself has happened but it has happened because I have had people who have become my friends, who reached out for me, been there, so I wasn't struggling there at 2:00 in the morning, thinking, did you make the right decision or is anyone paying attention to I could get on the phone and talk to people me. like Glenn Ross at the American Center for Democratic Unity or Center for Democratic Unity, or call Barry Morrison from the Anti-Defamation League and just have a one-on-one personal discussion. It is not easy, definitely is not easy.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: I have one

1 follow-up. 2 Except for the experience with your son, you said you would still be a white 3 4 supremacist. My question becomes to you, besides personal gratification that you received 5 from no longer milking cows to being in Newsweek 6 , what is the objective? 7 8 MR. COCHRAN: For myself? 9 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Personally. 10 MR. COCHRAN: Today? 11 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: No, then. 12 MR. COCHRAN: Then? 13 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Besides the 14 personal gratification of being in Newsweek. MR. COCHRAN: I was doing God's work. 15 I was a lay minister for Christian Identity. 16 was doing God's work. 17 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Are you finished? REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Yes, I am. 20 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative 22 23 James? 24 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr.

25

Chairman.

1 We are missing one of the pages. 2 you able to get that? 3 MR. COCHRAN: I think you are missing During a break or lunch I will make 4 a page. 5 copies and give it to you. I believe you are missing page three. In fact, I know it is. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: When you get the 8 copy, please give it to Mr. Mann. MR. COCHRAN: Yes, sir. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: He will see 11 that we all get it. 12 I have just some brief questions. You 13 had said that soldiers in the 82nd Airborne, the 14 racial incident, one of them was from 15 Pennsylvania? 16 MR. COCHRAN: Yes. 17 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And also you said that in the Virginia incident when they 18 19 arrested the people from planting a bomb, one of them was from Waynesboro? 20 I would also point 21 MR. COCHRAN: Yes. out the four bombers that I listed who were 22 23 arrested in Spokane, Washington in 1996 for 24 blowing up Planned Parenthood and the newspaper,

Jay Vince Merrill was from Schwenksville,

25

Pennsylvania, so Pennsylvania is well represented in the hate movement.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That is what I am trying to get at. Also were they all involved with the Thomas group or were they from different groups?

MR. COCHRAN: Some were with different groups but at one time because of Mark's mobility within the racist movement and his stature at one time or another have crossed his path. He had the opportunity to meet them. He has been in the racist movement for 18 years, so somewhere along the line they met one another.

I met mark at the Aryan compound in

Idaho when he lived out there with us for three

months before he came back here to Pennsylvania.

And the man, and I saw him again when I spoke in

Boyertown on August 7, 1995, he walked in the

room. He has an evil presence but he has

charisma. The man could tell a Bible story.

You could almost envision it happening. He was

good with words, especially reaching out to the

young people.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: First, let me thank you for your testimony and your candidness

for making a change that was necessary.

In terms of a network extending beyond Pennsylvania based on your experience what did you see in terms of network, in terms of how many cities or states, this kind of network that you were involved in, how many states, do you think, have been impacted?

MR. COCHRAN: In terms of the racist movement they are networked around the country. The organized hate group was on the information super highway long before anyone else was. In 1983 the Aryan Nations had the Aryan Liberty up and running. The development of Christian Identity as a religious faith has allowed the bigots to reach across the borders because Identity teaches that the poor lost plight of Israel are white; no matter where a white person is, there is Israel. I say that because in the '60s the Klan was primarily concerned with white people only in the United States.

I used to go to the Canadian border, pick people up to bring them down to the annual Aryan National Congress or Hitler youth event.

Gerhardt Lauch from, called the Farm Belt Fuhrer from Lincoln, Nebraska, who is now sitting in

1 jail in Germany, for many years produced racist 2 literature here in the United States and shipped 3 it back to Europe. They are networked literally 4 all over the world. As I said, it is not limited. Pennsylvania leads the country and I 5 6 thought up until October 22nd Pennsylvania had the highest number of hate groups east of the 7 8 Mississippi River. I was surprised to hear Andy 9 Van Dyke say that the whole country now is and 10 in terms of just sheer numbers of hate groups. 11 Mark Thomas, you can read him on the Internet. 12 Last year in the Paternity of God he advocated 13 the burning of churches. Hook up on the 14 Internet and you can read August Kreis, who has 15 networked with white supremacists in 16 Philadelphia. Brian Wilson has put together 17 something called the Omega Deal, the Alpha 18 headquarters with an outpost in Potter County. 19 They showed up on the Internet last week and I 20 called up the Pennsylvania State Police and got 21 them to take a look to see if they were 22 violating an anti-paramilitary law of 23 Pennsylvania. They had pictures of Brian Wilson 24 from Philadelphia out in the woods with his SKS, his body armor, his Kevlar, his food supply and 25

talking about, we will have to go out in the woods and play capture the flag, splat ball as a way of picking up paramilitary training, which makes it legal. If they use real guns, they violate it.

And again, I say that I called up
Brian Garcia and said, take a look at this.
Brian Wilson, if you remember, was two, three
years ago at Washington Crossing was the Nazi
who led that march. Everyone thought that he
had vanished into the woodwork. He has set up a
very slick computer network with The Voice, with
their paramilitary dating service, e-mail
addresses, an outreach program. These people
are all over the place.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: How many in your experience were there, did you notice or were you made aware that there were law enforcement officials involved in this?

MR. COCHRAN: Yes, without a doubt. I met numerous deputy sheriffs that were sympathetic. They wouldn't join because if it was found out, they would lose their job. The sheriffs in many parts are also more sympathetic to the militia. You have to understand, though,

that the organized white supremacist and the militia both teach that the highest elected government officials you listen to is the county sheriff, someone who has power, which is why Randy Weaver refused to surrender when FBI agents came and the Idaho State Police came to arrest him because in his mind they were agents of ZOG, the Zionist occupied government who believe that Jews are the children of Satan and surrendering to federal and state law enforcement is the equivalent to surrendering to the Biblical enemy. My feeling is if they had sent a county sheriff up there, Randy would have at least opened up some dialogue just for his position.

But yes, I met sheriffs. I met
probation officers that were sympathetic. I got
better treated sometimes by law enforcement even
though I may have gotten into some trouble than,
let's say, I should have or how I witnessed
other people who have done the same type of
crimes and had gotten more of a penalty. I was
picked up at Portland, Oregon for driving
without a license, license plates, spent two
hours in jail, said I was going back up to the

compound in New York. Before I actively became involved in organized hate groups and got pulled over for driving without a license, I spent a few days in jail before things got turned over and I was released.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So you say that the militia is going in that direction or some parts of different militias and you see law enforcement officers, people involved with law enforcement, going in that direction?

MR. COCHRAN: Jack Lalamme, who is a retired officer from Phoenix has aid and abetted an organization that was militia oriented. In fact, he is a militia organizer, spoke on platforms with white supremacists all over the country. Lieutenant Colin Bryce, highest decorated veteran of the Vietnam War, Rambo was based on, he ran for president on an anti-Semitic ticket; a police officer, Sheriff Mack from Arizona, did lose his elected office in the last election a couple of weeks ago, heavily into militia activity.

And something interesting happened up in Potter County. When their local sheriff there ran for office, he publicly said in the

newspaper that when he was elected, he would be the highest elected law enforcement official in the county. Well, that is a fact. He will be. I didn't think much of it. On May 20th Andy Van Dyke and myself and local county Judge John Lead, he had a public meeting on hate groups. We have a compound in Potter County. August Kreis came and, lo and behold, I look over and here is the sheriff tapping him on the shoulder; how you doing, August. Sheriff didn't say a word to me. I was surprised at how he was reacting to a well-known white supremacist in terms of that one-on-one type of thing.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Did you talk about activities of a race war coming? Were you prepared for that?

MR. COCHRAN: We were taught that in the year 2000 Jesus Christ was going to return and the Battle of Armageddon was going to be here in America because America is the promised land, that Jesus Christ will lead white people to victory over Jewish people and people of color and then the proof that America is the promised land, the 13 lost tribes of Israel, the 13 colonies; the Bible says New Jerusalem. When

you look at the word "Jerusalem," it is

J-E-R-U-S-A-L-E-M. We were led to believe that

you were taught in Identity that your race is

your nation, your nation is Israel, and Israel

is the white race. That again puts it in a

Biblical context.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Just briefly, the other thing is, have other people left? Do you know of other people who left the movement? Have there been any reprisals or repercussions and if there is, is there something we can do to help?

MR. COCHRAN: Well, I know quite a few people, have actually worked with a couple to help them make that transition. August Kreis showed up on my doorstep Labor Day, 1995, told a 16-year old that is sitting here in the audience that if I didn't get out of town, they were going to kill me. Two months later I had a gun pointed at me. This past May when I gave a talk in Potter County, I had faxes sent to me that we are watching you; my end is near. I spoke in Missoula, Montana and the photograph in the newspaper the next day was white supremacists outside visibly carrying guns.

Those things can happen with what I do for a living; not only for a living but in life. How do I look at you who has, as a person, had to deal with bigotry on a level I will never experience and I say to you, I have changed. I have changed, but do you believe me. Again, that is hypocritical. It doesn't mean I wasn't scared when the man was standing out in front of my yard.

I do know of an incident where white supremacists had left Greg Withgrow, founder of White Student Union, left the racist movement, because of that nailed to a board. But again, that is what I feel this is. This is a small penance or a small part of the atonement. I don't think about it.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: For the sake of time, I would definitely be interested in speaking with you again, talking with you, and would you be willing to maybe come to some type of forums or community activities or functions we have as we try to impact on dealing with this racism?

MR. COCHRAN: Yes. I have been working with Denise Kirkland. She called me

1 from the Philadelphia Human Relations 2 Commission. Last year I came down to work with 3 also the Philadelphia Bar Association with a 4 group of young people in addressing racism and 5 hatred. We are coming back to put together a 6 three-month program that will deal with bigotry 7 and different aspects of how to combat that, the 8 use of technologies. You see the racists using 9 TV and computer bulletin boards. We can use TV 10 and video cameras to get our message out. 11 think if you took a video camera and just let 12 young people of all races sit down and talk 13 about everyday life and experiences, not only 14 would you have the same, but it is a video we 15 could take to the rural schools where very few 16 people go to visit or talk to young people about 17 bigotry at all. When we want to discuss racism, 18 we come to the big cities. We come to 19 Philadelphia and Pittsburgh and say to America, 20 there are racists out there. We fail to go to 21 the very communities where the racists are 22 going. I believe in preventive maintenance.

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: We have one follow-up question and then we will complete

Maybe that is my farm background.

1 your testimony. We have another gentleman here.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I am trying to make the question real brief. Reverend Grove has been waiting patiently.

One thing I picked up on was that Mark Thomas' house was photographed from the outside. Nothing inside made it look like he was terribly successful. You said he has been doing this 18 years now. Does he not want to have his house photographed because he doesn't want it to be recognized or he doesn't want to give the image of success?

MR. COCHRAN: Both, and he also rents it from a very wealthy Pennsylvania businessman for \$1 a month. This businessman owns a trucking company, a trailer park and a real estate agency and we have approached him and asked him to not rent to Mark anymore. He refuses.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Why can't that be photographed?

MR. COCHRAN: That is Mark's own whatever. He just didn't want it photographed, in part, because his landlord is telling him if it gets photographed more, keeps appearing in

1 the paper, he will evict him. He also --2 Gabriella is Jewish. He didn't want her in the 3 place. 4 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very 5 much, Mr. Cochran, for your testimony. We 6 appreciate your stopping by. Thank you. 7 MR. COCHRAN: Thank you. Have a good 8 day. 9 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you. 10 The next individual to testify is 11 Pastor Grove. 12 REVEREND GROVE: The first part of my 13 testimony here will be on behalf of Reverend 14 Gary Hahn. You have this booklet here before 15 you to be submitted into the record. We will 16 cover that. And in front of that booklet we have some other supplemental material which I 17 18 have added. This is the testimony before the 19 special task force on hate crimes, terrorism and

Mr. Chairman, I wish to thank you and this committee today for the opportunity to submit testimony to you on the very important matters of hate crimes, terrorism and arson.

Please accept my sincere apologies for being

20

21

22

23

24

25

arson.

unable to appear before you personally.

Regretfully I was unable to do so. Therefore, I have requested that Reverend James Grove read this, my statement, into the record on my behalf. While Reverend Grove may or may not be in agreement with this testimony, he will so enter it on my behalf. Reverend Grove may wish to enter testimony on his behalf following the introduction of mine and I sincerely request for him the opportunity to do so.

Hate crimes and terrorism may appear in many forms and yet the results of such are always to create a victim without cause. The most tragic of such crimes are those that create a lineage of victims of individuals not directly associated to the original assault and thus create a domino effect cowardly hiding in the shadows awaiting to attack the unsuspecting victim and again, without logic or cause.

Such hateful actions are often the work of those with deep seated and unresolved angers of past actions over which they had no control. Some such crimes result from personal agendas. In all such cases the person and/or persons committing such action in their rush to

judgment operate on the motivation that the ends justifies the means; how sad.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As an example of such actions, I wish to submit to this committee a copy of page 9 of the testimony previously submitted to this committee by Mr. Barry Morrison on behalf of the Anti-Defamation League and the news article I am confident prompted its conclusion. Please notice the point-by-point comments and the similarities. Also be advised that neither Mr. Morrison nor his associates made any contact with Brother Gary Hahn to make even the slightest and decent effort to verify the accuracy of this news article. Such actions must give rise to the question of the validity of Mr. Morrison's entire report and to be included in the final report of this task force would greatly damage its credibility.

Please also note the statement that a newspaper was threatened. I submit an additional news article which will show that what Mr. Morrison referred to as a threat was in reality a demand that the newspaper print only the truth. Perhaps the prior report would have better served this committee had its writer made

such a demand on himself and printed only verifiable facts and truth.

I would like to add at this point the fact that since the newspapers were instructed to print only the truth they have quit covering our meetings.

Also please note that one such local reporter after an unpublished one-hour interview in my home angrily stormed out complaining that if I cannot give him a hate story, there is nothing to print that will sell papers.

One must ask, what views do people like Brother Gary Hahn really teach and what type of individuals oppose such views.

Clearly my view point is a part of public record as I am on weekly radio throughout America on both FM and short wave radio.

Further, I have published several booklets and have appeared numerous times on television discussing these views. But for the record, my views are very much akin to those of our early fathers in this great land as expressed upon the walls of the commonwealth capitol itself.

Number one, I believe in a melting pot, not a melt down. Number two, I believe in

Almighty God and the Savior Lord Jesus Christ, not a generic unnamed god. I believe in a republic based upon law, not a democracy based upon emotional mob rule. I believe in a sovereign commonwealth, not a federal servant I believe in common law based upon the state. moral foundation of God's law, not regulations just to enhance revenue or to promote sin; that is, sodomy rights, abortion, killing babies, pornography and so on. Number six, I believe in Old Glory, not the federal fringed flag. Number seven, I believe in elected representative government, not regionalism and not a federal executive dictatorship run by executive orders. Number eight, I believe in a free America, not just a member state of a world power. Number nine, I believe in parental rights, not state interference on religious or political grounds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, I believe in God, the United States of America, the Constitution, law based on the moral teachings of the holy Christian Bible, the Bill of Rights, salvation through Jesus Christ and I believe in the American dream. I believe in the dignity of our elders and the sanctity of life of the unborn,

the great blessing of the nation and the responsibility of each of us to stand ready to throw up the efforts of all enemies, both foreign and domestic. Also, I believe that America and this great commonwealth stand at a crucial crossroads in history and that we shall follow either the Falling Away Road, II Thesselonians of Chapter 2, which will lead to our destruction, or we will follow the Repentance and Restoration Road of II Chronicles, 7:14 and see our land healed.

In closing, I must ask what matter of man would oppose that which returns the greatness and blessing of our great land.

Then he certainly wishes to place into the official record the following; that is, the five-page testimony which I just read and then the page 9 of the ADL report and you see that everything is listed here. It is tabbed out, tabbed out under each of the departments there. And page 9 is the testimony of Mr. Barry Morrison. It is marked there in the center of the page, testimony relative to the meeting in York County which Mr. Hahn discussed. And then the news articles are next, dealing with the

1 coverage of the meeting and certainly note the 2 similarities between the news articles and also 3 between the testimony of Mr. Morrison, obviously 4 that that information came directly from the 5 news article. Then he also encloses what is 6 known as the report back here which is the 7 Executive Federal United States versus the 8 Representative Republic of the United States of 9 America, documentation relative to the 10 federalization of America and the federalization 11 of the states. Then he gives the scriptures 12 there on abortion, several pages of scripture. 13 Certainly, if there was to be a hate crime that 14 we believe would be perpetrated on American 15 society, I believe and Mr. Hahn believes 16 certainly that abortion is a hate crime that is 17 certainly against the unborn. I think the 18 results of the abortion over the past years and 19 what has recently taken place in the news media 20 where a young couple had just recently murdered 21 a baby that was just born. However, and 22 certainly they are going to be prosecuted and 23 they should, they should be prosecuted for what 24 they have done. But if they would have gone to 25 a doctor four days before that baby was born,

they could have had the baby taken from the mother's womb by partial birth abortion and it would be all legal. But the results certainly

would have been the same, wouldn't it?

Then the scriptural quotes which Mr.

Hahn has included, they have been included.

These are scriptural quotes listed in the

Harrisburg state capitol building simply to cite

and point out that Christianity in this nation

was the basis of our law system and the basis of

our society and the basis of our culture. There

are some, maybe 10 or 15 pages of scriptural

quotes that are found throughout the capitol

building.

And then Mr. Hahn deals with The Flag,
The Fringe and You, a report that is written,
also a supplemental portion to that which I have
submitted. There is a paper in here dealing
with the flag and the fringed flag, dealing with
the two United States. You see just about the
bottom of that page there is a little yellow
line dealing with the fringed flag as well as
the unfringed flag and this chart lays out what
those two flags represent. And also there is an
article behind that which I have written. It is

called The Grand Old Flag and The Dirty Old Rag.
So that you have as well.

Then he also has a report here on the American Constitution Common Law Report, 148 pages, which lays out the understanding that our society is basically that law is changing, that law is evolving, that law is moving from a common law basis, which is certainly an offense against property, against life and against liberty to a statutory type of law system, a regulatory type of law system and evolutionary type law system, which is constantly changing where, in other words, tomorrow we may not know what the law is as it was today. It is always changing.

And then he also includes the Stephen Ames' report dealing with the political and religious taking of children. I would also ask that maybe all of your representatives personally check into this situation. It is a devastating situation upon the family and the children being taken from the family because of someone's political or religious views. So we would certainly like to see you check into that.

And then a 20-page report here on the

police powers, federal police powers, which I believe there is a bill which, House Bill 2775 which is -- I don't know whether it was voted on yet or if it is going to be presented, which line one, it says conferring police powers on federal law enforcement officers in certain circumstances. The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania hereby enacts as follows: Basically it is allowing federal law enforcement officials to come into Pennsylvania and exercise their powers in what we believe is somewhat of an unlimited way. Then we also have number 12 here, Reverend Hahn has included several Pennsylvania Supreme Court rulings on the Christian Foundation of America which is ten pages, which deal with court cases which really state the basis of our culture, which is Christian.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so this is what Mr. Hahn wishes to present, also a couple of the papers which I have submitted here also in dealing with the flag issue and some of the issues that I deal with. I am founder and director of the Free the People Committee of York County, pastor of Souls Haven Baptist Temple, and also wish to submit

this into the record. You gentlemen have this.

It also deals with the bankruptcy, some of the things that patriotic Christian individuals across the country are greatly concerned about.

across the country are greatly concerned about. You see the yellow marked portion on this page. You notice it says that in 1950 pursuant to reorganization plan number 26 5 USCA 903, the

secretary of treasury was appointed as the receiver in bankruptcy. These are some of the concerns that are running throughout the

12 Also on the back of here, by the way,
13 I wish to point this out, the theological

Christian hatred community.

doctrine on that paper. You see the point that

is X'ed out is Christian Identity. However,

neither I nor Brother Gary Hahn do ascribe to

17 the Christian Identity theological position. We

are opposed to that. We believe it has no

Biblical basis whatsoever. But from what we

20 have discovered, what we found out in legal

research in this particular paper is very

accurate in dealing with the bankruptcy of the

23 United States; also the cover page which I have

24 submitted.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Let me interrupt.

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 REVEREND GROVE: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Are you finished 3 with --4 REVEREND GROVE: Brother Hahn's testimony. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Now you are 6 7 starting your testimony? REVEREND GROVE: Yes. 8 9 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: I just wanted to 10 clarify that. Thank you. REVEREND GROVE: The cover letter 11 which I submitted says, as you can notice from 12 the news articles enclosed, I have been accused 13 14 of intolerance, deep-seated hatred, race baiting, anti-Semitism and gay bashing. And 15 those articles there that have been printed, 16 what really brought that about was my personal 17 conviction, my personal Biblical conviction in 18 taking a stand relative to the gay rights 19 20 ordinance which was proposed in York, Pennsylvania back in 1993. And you can see 21 there the word "intolerance" there is used and 22 then shortly after that once. And my contention 23 24 was that if they passed the ordinance, it will

not only protect the people with a particular

sexual orientation but it will promote the life style. And six months after that took place there was a symposium in York, a film festival on gay life style and there was an advertisement in the local library along Market Street promoting the life style. And I held a meeting relative to that in the library opposing that and certainly you can see that the next article, it says, pastors talk mean spirited. And there are also hints of hatred and mean-spirited preaching, so on and so forth.

Then, of course, I myself ran for York
County sheriff sometime later and if you look
down at the third page, it says, what can be
perceived as deep-seated hatreds. This is an
official editorial from the newspaper as well.

I was coined as a potentially dangerous man as
you may see. Then on this year, 4th of July,
there was an editorial in the newspaper relative
to Mr. Hahn and myself dealing with some of
these issues, basically calling us heretics,
that we are militia members, that we are filled
with hatred, that we have this, we are
anti-Semitic and gay bashing, race baiting. And
then, of course, you can see that both of our

names are mentioned. We were called ayatollahs.

And the last part says, don't be fooled by those
who carry Bibles in one hand and weapons in the

other and hatred in their hearts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then over in Hanover I held a meeting to present a message which I called the autopsy of a dead nation on behalf of the Free the People Committee and I myself lined up the YWCA to have the meeting and they took the information, took my check. But when they found out really what the meeting was about, that I had tried to disguise it, they held a public meeting involving the Free the People Committee. They canceled the meeting and on the basis that it was going to be a racist meeting, which certainly it was not going to be a racist It was going to be a presentation of the Christian principle of government and the information relative to where we are in the nation and in a political sense, a legal sense, in a moral sense. And then even in the church area it was going to be dealing with that.

Also I wish to point out here the pink paper which is a great concern to me and throughout these hearings I have heard several

times on the 22nd of October and here again today, I have heard that sexual orientation should be included in this hate crime legislation. Well, the gay agenda, and this basically deals with the gay agenda, if you look at point D, number 1, it says, "Stop all opposition by hate crime legislation." I would hope that this committee would not take its recommendation statewide that they include sexual orientation in the hate crimes area. One of the reasons I believe that certainly protection of that type of life style does promote it. I think there is evidence of that here.

In August 13, 1996 if you see another attachment there, it says, HIV hits home as top killer in York. This is about three and a half years after the sexual orientation law was passed in York. Also on the back of this sheet you will notice the blood donors, recommendations of blood donors guidelines on the back of the gay agenda sheet dealing with the disease of AIDS and the fact that that life style, the homosexual life style, is a high risk life style.

I realize that this sounds like somewhat of a lonely voice in all of this testimony. I felt like I needed to add that because of grave concerns to the moral fiber of society and if indeed, that sexual orientation is added to the hate crime legislation, I would like to ask what effect will that have on literature that many churches, including myself, pass out relative to homosexuality and holiness relative to the fact that they believe homosexuality is a sin.

And also some others. Here are the medical consequences of what homosexuals do and the violence of homosexuality. So I would caution you gentlemen that you not be led down a path in creating legislation that will protect and promote a life style that is very unhealthy to a society and to the detriment of society itself.

That concludes my testimony, if you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very much. Do we have any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: One quick question. I was reading this article that you

1 made reference to about York.

REVEREND GROVE: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: And HIV and the last paragraph on the first page, it says, heterosexual behavior will become York County's second leading cause of HIV behind intravenous drug use by the year 2000.

REVEREND GROVE: That is true.

REPRESENTATIVE CARN: So how does that relate to homosexuality?

REVEREND GROVE: Well, simply because shortly after they passed the gay rights ordinance in York they had a meeting on the Coalition on AIDS and Reverend Gary Hahn and I both went to that meeting. And what we saw at this meeting was a juggling of the books and statistics, if you please. And the only reason for reporting AIDS now is people who have full-blown AIDS, okay. We think this is a juggling of statistics. They don't report AIDS cases until they are full-blown. In other words, they are not reporting publicly HIV cases. You can have HIV but not full-blown AIDS. We believe that that doctored the statistics relative to the male homosexual

1 community relative to the heterosexual community now, to the year 2000 where the paths are 2 supposed to cross in the year 2000 where it is 3 4 headed down in one community and up in the other. We believe that certainly that was a 5 doctoring of the statistics. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: What you are saying is that --8 REVEREND GROVE: I don't believe that 9 10 this is totally true. I believe that HIV and I 11 believe the primary cause for it is this 12 promotion of homosexuality because the average age of death for a male homosexual is 39 and the 13 14 average age for a female homosexual is 42. has been compiled by Dr. Paul Camry from the 15 16 Family Research Institute. 17 REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Thank you. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative 19 20 James? REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. 21 22 Chairman. 23 So I just wanted to get a clear 24 understanding of your position. You are coming

from a religious position and are you saying

that people shouldn't be together or should live together or that there should be racial harmony or there should be separatism?

REVEREND GROVE: Racial harmony, I am not, I have no problem with racial harmony. I am not a racist, okay, but I am against including sexual orientation in the classification of race. There is a difference because you, sir, were born the way you were born. You couldn't change that. But I believe that homosexual life style can certainly be changed. It can be repented of. That is what the Bible says. It is a practice, a willing practice. It is not something they were born with, as I see it, from the Biblical perspective.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: In terms of African Americans, Asians, white people living together, that is no problem..

REVEREND GROVE: I have no problem with that. The thing that concerns me which I have heard over and over again in these testimonies is this thing of sexual orientation being added to all of this. That is my grave concern.

1 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative 4 Hennessey? 5 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you. 6 With regard to the question of the 7 hate crimes, just to follow-up on what you were 8 saying, I understand from what you just said 9 that you think it is proper for us to make it, 10 to increase the severity of the crime, or the 11 punishment where the crime is gender-based or 12 based on age or racial hatreds? 13 REVEREND GROVE: Well, I think you 14 have already done that with your ethnic 15 intimidation law. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: The 16 question is the legislature has been asked to 17 18 consider, I guess among a number of things, 19 whether or not sexual orientation should be 20 added to that statute, to that, the hatred 21 targeted type of activities. 22 REVEREND GROVE: I think there was 23 testimony last time on October 22nd that the 24 present law which has been passed, ethnic

intimidation, has been working well. I am

referring to Homer Floyd's testimony which he
recommended the adding of the sexual orientation
at the very end of his testimony. That was what
he was basing, what he recommended that you do,
okay. He also said that the law has been
working well in other areas. He is recommending
additional coverage.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Where you are not suggesting that people should go out and target people who are openly gay or openly lesbian?

REVEREND GROVE: No, sir, I am not. I am not against that.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You just don't think that should be a protected class?

REVEREND GROVE: That is exactly right. I think that once the protection comes in an ordinance or a law such as this it will promote that behavior. I think we have seen that. The night they passed the ordinance in York, that very day the gay community in York said, they were quoted in the newspaper, that they were going to promote their life style in York. Six months later they did that openly and publicly.

1 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Ι 2 understand your concerns with regard to the issue of sexual, sexual choice. 3 4 REVEREND GROVE: Right. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Biracial, 5 6 interracial marriage, is that okay with you? REVEREND GROVE: Here again, here 7 8 again, that is up to the individual. We have 9 individual freedoms and rights. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You don't 10 11 have a problem with that? REVEREND GROVE: It is not illegal. 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: religious consideration, you talk about your 14 philosophy being based on the Christian ethic. 15 How do the Jewish people fit into the American 16 17 fabric? REVEREND GROVE: Again, we have 18 freedom in America for anyone. As Brother Gary 19 20 Hahn said, we believe in a melting pot, not a 21 melt down necessarily. What he means by melting down there, I think, is the fact that we change 22 23 our laws based on, from a Christian basis, okay, 24 sort of an evolutionary law system from a

Christian basis, because you have to admit that

our law system was based upon Biblical principles and the Christian basis, our culture was in this country and what we see is that beginning to change and beginning to move away from the basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: And it is also based, especially here in Pennsylvania, on the toleration of other religions.

REVEREND GROVE: That is right, which I have no problem with, okay. I do believe that we are creating in America, this is my personal belief, that we are not only creating religious freedom, okay, because I believe that the First Amendment really applied to Christian religions, that the First Amendment was really to keep any particular Christian religion like Episcopalian or Baptist or Catholic or anyone like that from being a church as they had in England. there was tremendous Christian liberty in this country and certainly court rulings dealing with the Christian basis of society. That is why they were included here and that was based upon Christianity. But I believe we created not only a religious freedom, we have gone beyond that. We have created a religious free-for-all, if you

1	please, in our society.
2	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: A
3	free-for-all?
4	REVEREND GROVE: A religious
5	free-for-all.
6	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You say
7	that the First Amendment applied to the
8	Christian religion?
9	REVEREND GROVE: I believe it did,
10	yes, sir, and I believe that you can prove that
11	certainly because of the Christian basis and
12	Christian influence in this country.
13	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: In your
14	interpretation that prevented the government
15	from establishing Christianity as the law
16	REVEREND GROVE: A state church.
17	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: And
18	religion of America.
19	REVEREND GROVE: As a state church
20	such as they had in England.
21	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: But it also
22	prevents the United States government from
23	establishing the Jewish religion as the church
24	of America?
25	REVEREND GROVE: Sure.

1	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Or any
2	others? Islamic?
3	REVEREND GROVE: No others, right.
4	Let me give you a good example of that.
5	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I guess I
6	am having some trouble understanding.
7	REVEREND GROVE: Well, let me give you
8	an example of that.
9	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: If it
10	applies to the Christian religions, what I asked
11	you specifically, it would block the Jewish or
12	any other religion from being citizens of the
13	states.
14	REVEREND GROVE: Well, it should.
15	Well, it should block the Islamic from being set
16	up as a state church.
17	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Well, you
18	started this off by saying that it only applied
19	to the Christian religion.
20	REVEREND GROVE: Well, I think it
21	originally did.
22	REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You are
23	saying the people who wrote it were generally
24	Christians and therefore
25	REVEREND GROVE: Yes.

1 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Is there 2 thinking in that vein? 3 REVEREND GROVE: I think there is 4 adequate testimony, I don't have it with me but 5 adequate testimony to believe that they believed 6 that they were setting up a cultural based on 7 the principles of Christianity and our law 8 system is based upon that. 9 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I quess we 10 are probably on the same page. I am having 11 difficulty trying to understand what some of 12 your concerns are, that you have. Let me ask 13 you about the fringed flag. 14 REVEREND GROVE: Yes, sir. 15 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: This really 16 throws me, that the flag is objectionable. That 17 flag up there, is that what you are talking 18 about, a fringed flag? 19 REVEREND GROVE: Well, if you turn to 20 this chart, sir. 21 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I saw it. 22 REVEREND GROVE: Pardon me? 23 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: It didn't 24 have the fringe around it originally? 25 REVEREND GROVE: That is exactly

right; originally, it didn't. That is a federal United States flag, not a constitutional republic flag without the fringe. Probably if you look at the top of this building or the top of any other building, government building, it is a flag without the fringe. I always REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: thought that those were interior and exterior flags, to tell you the truth. I thought that

thought that those were interior and exterior flags, to tell you the truth. I thought that the flag with the fringe became unraveled and looked rather unwieldy when you put it outside. What does that mean to you? Does that mean that America --

REVEREND GROVE: That, to me, is a philosophical approach to government. That is not the same as our founders brought to us, the flag without the fringe.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: If they brought it in without the fringe, you would feel more comfortable saluting that flag than this one?

REVEREND GROVE: Yes. I certainly would.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I don't know that there is a distinct difference but I

1 recognize that the First Amendment gives you the 2 right to have those opinions. 3 REVEREND GROVE: You can read the 4 article attached to that. You may get some 5 interesting insight relative to the philosophies 6 of government there. 7 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you. 8 REVEREND GROVE: Sure. 9 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any other 10 questions? 11 Thank you. Thank you very much, 12 Pastor, for coming today and sharing your 13 thoughts with us. 14 REVEREND GROVE: Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: We will take a 16 break now for lunch. We will return at 1:00 17 p.m. 18 (A luncheon recess ensued from 12:35 19 p.m. to 1:10 p.m.) 20 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: The committee will 21 reconvene our hearing. I would like to 22 reiterate my opening remarks. We will have each 23 one try to give us their testimony in 20 minutes 24 and we will have questions after their testimony

and we are starting late so I am asking the

members of the task force to be brief in your questions and the respondents to be brief in your answers.

Our next person to testify is a gentleman here, Dr. Brian Levin, associate professor, director of Stockton Center on Hate and Extremism, Richard Stockton College, Pomona, New Jersey.

Doctor, thank you for coming.

DR. LEVIN: Thank you for having me.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: The floor is yours.

DR. LEVIN: Thank you kindly.

My name is Brian Levin and I am associate professor of criminal justice at the Richard Stockton College of New Jersey where I also serve as director of Stockton College's center on hate and extremism. Before coming to Stockton College I served as associate director for legal affairs at the Southern Poverty Law Center's Klanwatch and militia task force. I was also legal director of the center for the study of ethnic and racial violence. I have published numerous articles and books on the subject and testified before Congress and my theory on the constitutionality of hate crimes,

which I proposed in two U.S. Supreme Court

briefs, was adopted by the Supreme Court in

Wisconsin versus Mitchell.

I will address the judiciary committee today on the characteristics of hate and extremism and offer some suggestions on how the commonwealth can better address these issues.

First, let me start with a definition so that we are all working from the same point of reference. A hate crime is a criminal offense where a person or target is intentionally selected on the basis of a status characteristic such as race, religion, national origin or sexual orientation. While the FBI's data collection guidelines include sexual orientation, Pennsylvania's ethnic intimidation statute does not. This is a glaring deficiency which must be corrected.

Hate offenses are a criminologically distinct and severe type of offense. In relation to other offenses, hate crimes are more likely to involve attacks against persons, assault, injury, serial victimizations as well as unknown and multiple assailants.

Hate crimes are also associated with

heightened psychological trauma for victims and an increased risk for civil disorder for communities. Victims feel especially vulnerable because they are at a heightened risk for unprovoked random attacks due to their identity. The psychological trauma to victims is thus intense because there is little, if anything, that one can do to decrease the risk of further victimization. There is also heightened psychological trauma because these attacks are targeted towards characteristics that are central to a person's identity.

So one thing I want to make sure that I leave this committee with is the idea that these crimes from a strictly criminological standpoint are more severe and intense type of offense. Every major criminological study on this subject backs up this point. In fact, one of the reference points that we went after at the supreme court level dealt with reasons why these kinds of laws, hate crime laws, if you will, are constitutional.

The first reason is it is a crime of discrimination and we commonly punish discrimination on the civil side of the fence as

1 well as the legal side of the fence. The supreme court in the Jay Hees case, in fact, said that discrimination is something that was a 4 compelling state interest that the government has an obligation to eradicate. That was one

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reason.

The second reason, as I just went into, is the fact that these crimes are a more severe type of offense and they are not just a more severe type of offense towards victims. They are a more severe type of offense towards communities as well. There is heightened risk of social disorder associated with these crimes, which is another reason these are crimes against the community as well. Let me fill you in on some current research. I think you will see that it has some interesting insight for policy makers.

Nationally approximately 50 percent of hate offenders are 21 or under and Pennsylvania authorities report an even higher percentage of youthful offenders. In addition, about 95 percent of hate crimes nationally are not, let me emphasize not, committed by members of organized hate groups or hard-core hate mongers.

In fact, a Northeastern University study found
that most hate crimes were motivated by a desire
for excitement or to avenge a perceived
transgression committed by an outsider, such as

being present on one's, quote, turf.

This is an important point for policy makers because this shows that there is a very significant opportunity to deter these kinds of offenses if you have adequate laws and procedures on the books. Very few of the people that commit these crimes are, embrace the hard-core type of bigotry that we see, for instance, with the skinheads and the Ku Klux Klan.

In addition, I think another point which I think merits noting is that there is massive under reporting by hate crime victims. Professor Greg Herek of the University of California found that under reporting by hate crime victims is between 66 and 92 percent. Police often compound the problems by failing to record or respond to those crimes that are reported. A Northeastern University study found that responding officers correctly categorized only 19 out of 452 reported hate crimes

correctly.

Pennsylvania is fortunate to have talented and committed officials responding to the hate crime problem, but the commonwealth must do more to support these efforts. Here are the suggestions I have for the legislature.

The first deals with prioritizing police training and data collection. Make hate crimes training and data collection mandatory for every department. It appears in many parts of the state, particularly in some rural areas, authorities are not documenting and responding to hate crime in an appropriate manner. While Pennsylvania ranks fifth in the nation in population it is only ninth in the number of reported hate crimes.

In 1995, for instance, the City of
Boston with a population of about 544,000
reported approximately 200 hate crimes to the
FBI while Pennsylvania, with a population over
20 times as great reported only 282 hate crimes.
Again, the population of Pennsylvania in 1995
was approximately 12 million. So I think
clearly that we are seeing an under count. I
could give more examples but due to the brevity

of time, I think this one makes the point adequately. Many jurisdictions in the commonwealth do not report any hate crimes at all.

Another point that I think needs to be addressed is the state's, the commonwealth's ethnic intimidation law. Coverage of the commonwealth's hate crime law should be expanded to include sexual orientation, disability and gender. Hate crimes against gays, for example, are among the most violent and the least reported of all hate crimes. Pennsylvania's law does not protect these victims. As a former New York City police officer I can tell you that there is certain types of victims that experience excessive types of trauma.

I had the opportunity to work with the FBI down in Tennessee just a day ago. I had the privilege of working with an old friend of mine, deputy superintendent William Johnston from the Boston Police Department who related the story how when he was a decoy police officer in Boston and he worked outside of a gay bar, the beatings he received were far more in excess than any kind of assault that he had while he was working

other decoy operations. In fact, he had facial trauma and teeth knocked out while working on these, quote, gay details.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me give you another example of what I mean by the under counting of the severity of homophobic hate crimes. early '90s a 27-year old banker named Paul Broussard was walking with a friend in the Montco section of Houston, Texas. Over half a dozen youth from the surrounding suburbs who made the 45-minute trek into Houston saw Paul Broussard and assumed he was gay. They set upon him as if he were a hunted animal with all kinds of weapons, not the least of which was two by four's studded with nails. He ended up being murdered and his murderers were teenagers. The Houston Police Department, who heretofore had not reported a single homophobic hate crime, had their first homophobic reported homicide on their hands. They decided to start a decoy unit in the Houston Police Department. Within ten days the decoy unit had to be disbanded because of five separate attacks on four officers. included bricks, baseball bats, mace, brass knuckles, box cutters and a variety of other

types of weapons. The police department deemed it too serious a risk to the lives of the officers to continue the decoy program, even though the City of Houston had not previously before that reported a single homophobic hate crime. I think this is an example that if you look for the crime, you will find it.

In Boston they did not see a single hate crime or reported a single hate crime in their city until the late 1970's and when they started counting, they found that they had well over 600.

Indeed, hate violence against gays is a serious problem nationwide. Homophobic homicides now outpace racially motivated homicides as the leading category of bias homicide. The Federal Hate Crime Sentencing Enhancement Act, which I had the privilege of working on the promulgation of, the FBI's data collection program, again which I have had the opportunity to do some work with, and over 20 states now explicitly recognize sexual orientation as a protected category.

Unfortunately, Pennsylvania is not one of them.

Another suggestion I have is expand

1 the jurisdiction of the attorney general of the 2 Based on the brevity of hate crime state. 3 reports and prosecution from certain areas of the commonwealth, it is apparent that some 4 5 officials are not adequately responding to the 6 hate crime problem in their community. Therefore, it is crucial that the current 7 jurisdiction over the prosecution of hate crimes 8 9 be extended to the state attorney general. 10 have a similar program, by the way, with civil rights violations at the federal level. 11 I think 12 there were certain instances where either the 13 expertise is not available, the training is not 14 available and for some reason local political pressures preclude the adequate resolution of 15 16 these cases at the local level. Therefore, I 17 support Assistant Attorney General Hargrove's testimony in expanding the jurisdiction of the 18 19 attorney general's office in that regard.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing I think that warrants legislation is a statute that would prevent the disclosure of evidence collected during the investigation of a hate crime from being disclosed to federal immigration authorities.

Without such provision, an undocumented hate

crime victim would be placed in the untenable position of having to choose between deportation or the adjudication of their assailants. The criminal law commonly recognizes certain types of privilege. Indeed, many cities throughout the United States also have programs similar to what I am suggesting to you; not the least of those is San Francisco. Undocumented persons represent a very significant target for cowardly hate mongers who see them not only as an easy hit but someone who they can continue to victimize without any chance of being brought before the bar of justice because of that situation involving the potential of these victims to get deported.

In San Diego, for instance, where I had the opportunity to work with authorities down there, a similar program was enacted because what happened was, we were finding that, for instance, undocumented women were getting raped by citizens but by the time their complaints were brought to the attention of the police the victims themselves were already deported.

A civilized society has an obligation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to protect the most vulnerable residents against violence and I think this would be a very just way of making sure that the violence and the injustice that emanates from that would not continue.

Another thing that I think the state could do would be to fund diversity education.

Early educational efforts that involve meaningful interaction with diverse people would reduce the harmful negative stereotypes that are a prerequisite to bigoted violence.

Let me just explain what we know about offenders. I think that would be helpful. hate crime offenders respond to what is called the printed circuit of negative stereotypes which legitimatize who shall be a target of aggression. This could be African Americans. It could be Jews. It could be gays, Asians, whomever. The trigger for these stereotypes, though, vary considerably. For the hard-core hate monger the very reason that they exist is to define themselves by their race and anyone who is different from that will be a trigger For others, the transgression of a enough. perceived social norm within that subgroup, for

instance, a black person coming into a white community, this transgression of a perceived rule of that community by an outsider would be enough to trigger a hate crime. But the most common, as I said before, is the desire for thrill, an excitement by young adults and young people and if we can put meaningful interactions while these people were young, we can have a proactive and preventive response to hate crime. Because one thing we know about hate crime is the best way to fight hate crime is prevention and the best way to prevent hate crime is meaningful interaction at a young age and education.

A nationwide 1990 Harris Poll of high school students found that over half of the respondents said that they witnessed racial incidents at least once in awhile, some very often. Almost half of those say that they either approve of such attacks or would even participate in one. In a further disturbing survey by the State of New York, almost 3,000 junior and senior high school students, 43 percent had witnessed acts of bias violence at school, 16 percent said they are victims and

eight percent had been injured. A 1989 Los Angeles County school survey revealed in addition to many non-criminal bias incidents, there were over 1200 acts of reported physical violence, graffiti and property damage in one year. That eclipsed the number of reported incidents in the county as a whole by about 50 percent.

Indeed, this continues into colleges as well. About 20 percent of African American students at primarily white institutions report that they are assaulted or are harassed and there is indication that these acts could be increasing significantly.

At Stockton College our annual CHEER conference for young people has been hailed as a national model. You all are invited to Stockton this spring to see our CHEER conference and our Holocaust Research Center. School based prejudice reduction programs such as these represent an effective common sense proactive response to hate crime.

And let me just interject one last point that is related. I also think we have to stop stripping away the funding of human

relations agencies, both at the state and municipal level. There has been an assault on the funding of these agencies. For instance, when we had the civil disorder in Saint Petersburg just a little while ago, the first individuals that were called nationally were the community relations service, which incidentally had their staff cut by two-thirds. These kinds of mediative agencies and investigatory agencies give an important bridge to both law enforcement and community groups and are also important with regards to the non-criminal adjudication of non-violent hate crime cases.

The last point that I want to bring up is as far as what we can do legislatively have to do with extremists. Pennsylvania is home to 98 hate groups, anti-government organizations and militias. The commonwealth is home to over 20 militia groups, yet there is no anti-militia statute, only a law that prohibits paramilitary training. The supreme court has ruled as early as 1886 with the Presser case and numerous lower federal courts have upheld the right of states to ban military organizing, not just paramilitary training. While the right to

association is a fundamental right it is not an unlimited right. There are a plethora of associational activities that are regulated by the state. For instance, I can't start a medical practice with a bunch of my friends because I am not a physician. In addition, we cannot have a thousand people in this room because of fire codes. Furthermore, I couldn't marry my cousin or someone under the age of 12. There are a slew of associational regulations that the government fairly puts into being that do not run afoul of the First Amendment.

Indeed, my former employer, the

Southern Poverty Law Center used these laws to
put the Ku Klux Klan paramilitary units out of
business in states such and Texas and North

Carolina where they terrorized African American
citizens and Vietnamese refugees and indeed, the
federal court upheld these laws in those cases.

These laws, incidentally, also provide for an
important proactive tool for authorities to
intervene if necessary against intimidating and
proscribable conduct before it rises to
violence. The commonwealth should also enact
laws criminalizing renegade so-called common law

courts that threaten and intimidate government officials and private citizens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lastly, the government should criminalize the possession of mass toxins and biological pathogens such as ricin and sarin gas which are becoming increasingly popular with anti-government extremists.

Let me take a minute to talk to you about ricin. Ricin is the third most dangerous toxin known to man. One 50-millionth of a gram is enough to kill somebody. In fact, there have been some high profile arrests by federal authorities of the survivors of extremists who had enough ricin to kill small cities. Indeed, you could murder a whole office building with ricin by putting it into the ventilation system or putting it into subways. Indeed, there is an extreme threat of mass terrorism from toxins such as ricin that shut down the respiratory and cause a certain death in anyone that inhales it or absorbs this material through the skin. Sarin gas is another example. There are also things like bubonic plague and anthrax, all of which, by the way, have been on the radar screen of extremists. We have seen arrests involving

plots by white supremacists and anti-government extremists to use ricin to poison government officials. I think that this is something that will not lessen. I have the opportunity to prepare testimony for a senate investigation on the subject of mass toxins. I think that this

is something that we should no longer ignore.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Indeed, another thing that we will probably see in the future regarding anti-government extremists will be a trend towards decentralization or a tiny cell which have been glorified in the movement as leaderless resistance. That is what they call them and I think there is a danger from these individuals because, first of all, there are many what we call millennists, people who regard the year 2000 as a sign that there should be an apocalyptic battle against government agencies and minority citizens. Another thing is we have within the folklore of this movement the identification of targets, which includes elected officials, law enforcement and minorities. So we have an identifiable target in the folklore of this movement.

We also have an intense justification

to not only political rhetoric but through religious rhetoric as well. Christian Identity -- by the way, there are two Christian Identity compounds in the state of Pennsylvania. Christian Identity is a religion of white supremacy which identifies blacks as subhuman, African Americans as subhuman and Jews the son of Satan. This kind of religious and political rhetoric has infected the anti-government movement. What it does is it identifies who should be a target. It gives a very hard-core commitment on some of the fringe people in the movement and additionally it identifies appropriate weapons that should be used against the so-called enemies and I think that is important.

Let me just say to put it into

context, the vast majority of people associated

with the anti-government movement do not

represent an immediate threat to lawlessness.

Nevertheless, I think there is a distinct and

threatening underbelly to this movement, a

minority of this movement but a very threatening

underbelly to this movement that is not wholly

represented by numbers alone. I think that

their threat transcends numbers.

In conclusion, let me just say demographic changes, economic shifts, changes in residential housing patterns, international events and strained intergroup bonds make the threat of hate crime a significant one for the nation in general and for the commonwealth in particular. We have been fortunate over the last couple of years to see a decline in hate crimes nationally after record highs and a consecutive year-to-year increase during the late '80s and early '90s.

anything else. The percentage of population most likely to commit hate crimes has shrunk and in addition, the economy was doing well. But the shift to a service sector economy and these other causes which I alluded to make the threat of hate crimes increasing over the next several years a significant one and we are starting to see incidences of some preliminary data from around the country that this has already begun.

I want to thank the committee for the opportunity to testify here today and I would certainly answer any questions any members of

1 the committee might have.

Once again, thank you for the privilege of addressing you here today and there are some things, some charts in the appendices that I think you might find interesting that are attached to my testimony. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: On the second page, the last sentence, says "Many jurisdictions in the commonwealth do not report any hate crimes at all."

That may or may not be true. I don't know. What justification do you have other than the fact that you have used Boston?

DR. LEVIN: What justification do I have for what?

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: That most jurisdictions in the commonwealth do not report hate crime.

DR. LEVIN: I am using for that FBI statistics which I have been tracking over the last several years. My research was used in the promulgation of the Federal Hate Crimes Statistics Act and what I can tell you, what we see in Pennsylvania is not really that unusual. We see it all over the country. There is

massive under reporting and I think what you have is data collection is an important barometer for other measures and what you commonly see is lack of adequate training, a lack of adequate procedures. And what we are seeing, for instance, is if we take statistical averages from throughout the United States and we adjust them, for instance, the violent crime index to the percentage of population that has minorities in it to all kinds of various things, what you can do to try and take away any kind of variances. The State of Pennsylvania is really representing an under counting from what we see in those jurisdictions that have put in other procedures.

2.2

One of the things that I think is not an accident, if you look at the states and cities where they have procedures in place, laws are being enforced. Concomitant with that is an increase in reported hate crimes and one of the things that I think is an important indication, if you see a large jurisdiction that reports very low numbers of hate crimes, that is more an indication that they are not being adequately reported by victims and responded to by police.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we have a significant amount of data that show the under reporting among victims and also the difficulty that police have in responding to them. I think that the Boston study is a noteworthy one. Boston has the oldest hate crime unit in the United States. They have the most highly trained police in the country with regards to hate crimes and yet, even in that department and procedures -- by the way, they have the best procedures for catching hate crimers because, for instance, down at headquarters every single interracial crime that takes place is given a second look by the bias unit in Boston. And what we saw is even with the most highly trained officers in a compact city that has had years and years of experience, only 19 out of 452 crimes that came to the attention of the police were categorized correctly.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Then there is a problem with identification?

DR. LEVIN: Yes. Absolutely. I didn't mean to suggest that there was necessarily a malevolent conspiracy here.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: I understand.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

The other comment that I had, you talked about gay rights. Not this committee but the judiciary addressed that issue in a hearing or a group of hearings months ago, I guess it was. But there is one thing you say, Pennsylvania law does not protect these victims. You say hate crimes against gays, for example, are one of the most violent and least reported of all hate crimes; Pennsylvania law does not protect these victims. Well, we have laws on assault and murder. What is your meaning here?

DR. LEVIN: Let me address how we approach this criminologically. Laws such as assault do not address the context that these crimes take place in. Let me give you an example. We have laws against drunk driving, for instance. Even though drunk driving could be punished under reckless driving statutes, we could say, for instance, if someone has a blood alcohol level of a certain point that would presumptively be drunk driving. What I think is important here is that we have criminologically a severe type of offense. We have laws for instance, against bank robbery. There is a certain risk to society from robbing a bank. We have laws against beating animals but we don't
have laws that specifically address hate crimes
against gays. And let me just say --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Why must it be specific against gays? If I go up and belt somebody regardless of whether the person is gay, I am still accused of assault.

DR. LEVIN: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: And battery.

DR. LEVIN: Absolutely. But there are certain types of crimes that bring with them severe risks and I think that if you look at this chart that I have appended to my testimony, this will explain what I mean. First of all, standard criminal law, common law statutes do not adequately address hate crime. That is why we have hate crime laws in the first place. if we felt, for instance, assault laws adequately address hate crimes, we wouldn't haven't enacted the Ethnic Intimidation Statute. But what I think is important, if we have an Ethnic Intimidation Statute, we should have probably the most violently victimized segment of society represented within that. And this is not a special type of right. We are talking

about trying to put out a specific deterrent to conduct that is very risky. Let me give you an example.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Well, hitting somebody is risky regardless of who it might be.

DR. LEVIN: That is right. But the criminal law takes all the time into consideration the context in which crimes occur. We believe, for instance, that assaulting a police officer brings with it a special kind of a harm.

And the thing about these kinds of crimes, let me just try to address it, for instance, with regard to gays. This is something that deals with a status, for instance, an identity characteristic and finally this just doesn't protect gays. It protects people who might be mistaken as being gay. But what we have seen in New York state, for instance, where laws don't cover gays, we see serial attacks escalate over time and the assault laws do not pose an adequate deterrent to this type of escalating. Criminal law has a responsibility to deter risky type of conduct.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Wait a minute.

Wait a minute. I don't want to prolong this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LEVIN: No. I think it is an important point. I will answer any question you have.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: If a person comes up and hits someone and I know if I do that, I can be pulled into court, maybe go to jail.

What is the difference whether the person is gay or not? What is the deterrent?

DR. LEVIN: Sure. Let me try to put it into a little context here. One of the things that we know about these offenders, we want them to think beforehand because unlike other crimes, I think you made an excellent example, for instance, robbery or assault or some other kinds of crimes, there is a different kind of motivation. If I am going to commit a crime of pecuniary gain, if I want to steal this gentleman's memo pad right here, I will commit an act of violence enough to obtain the goal, which is an object or money. With hate crimes, particularly the hate crimes against gays, what we are seeing is bands of youths who are armed with imprecise weapons of opportunity, for instance, baseball bats, and what they do is,

there is no one single goal to their crime. Violence is not a means for achieving an end. Violence is the desired outcome. And what we have here is groups of young people that prey on gay people because they know the assault laws are not adequate and what they do is they get caught up in what we call group frenzy and these attacks end up becoming orgies of torture. So what we want to do is proactively respond. We want these people to think before they go out and gay bash, to think twice before

they do it.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: We have assault laws. We have murder laws. People still don't think. They do it.

DR. LEVIN: But one thing we found, for instance, with regards to hate crimes is they occur serially over time and if there is a deterrent, the people would think twice. The fact of the matter is assault laws have not been adequately used against people who attack gays.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Then enforcement is a problem?

DR. LEVIN: Enforcement is a problem. But nevertheless, I think this is a crime of

discrimination. It is a crime that affects the civil rights of a community and unlike an assault, for instance, if I have a gold chain, which I had — when I was a police officer, I had a gold chain and it had a little shield on the end. And one time someone went to steal it and he saw the police shield and he ran away. I can do things with crime of pecuniary gain but I can change my position. For instance, I can tuck in my gold chain but I think with anti-gay crimes, this is an important thing because we are talking about a thing of association and the expression of association.

For instance, if someone looks gay or walking in a, quote, gay area of town, they place themselves at a risk of heightened victimization. I don't think that this does anything, any kind of special rights. What it does is it takes criminologically the victim is at a heightened risk for attack and protects them the same way that we protect other people that are at an even less heightened risk of attack.

By the way, just one other point, this would not just protect gays. For instance, a

gay person attacks someone for being straight or a gay person disrupted some kind of service or something that they thought was heterosexual, they could be prosecuted as well. But I think gays, we can't escape the fact that gays are at a tremendous risk of being beaten and brutalized and the assault laws do not adequately protect, not only gays individually, but people who are part of the gay community. I think that this affects the exercise of their civil rights. Under the 14th Amendment they have just as much right as anyone else. Gays should be allowed to exercise all the kinds of rights that other citizens have without having to risk a violent physical attack because of what they are perceived to be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By the way, this is different from, we have heard this before, gays do certain things. Someone who is just perceived to be gay, for instance, a celibate gay person or whomever or someone who is just walking in an area of town that is perceived to be gay would be open up for this kind of victimization.

I think certainly as someone who has deep religious convictions and his father was a

1 prisoner of war in a Nazi prison camp, I think we can recognize that all citizens should be 2 3 entitled to equal protection of the laws and 4 that they should not be intimidated and terrorized for these kinds of attacks. After 5 6 all, we are all God's children. 7 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Ouestions here? 8 Representative James? 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. 11 Thank you for your testimony. 12 DR. LEVIN: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You said 13 something in your testimony, I might have a page 14 15 missing. DR. LEVIN: You might. I will be more 16 17 than happy to give you a corrected copy. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You said the 18 commonwealth should also enact laws 19 criminalizing renegade common law courts that 20 threaten and intimidate government officials. 21 Can you give me examples of what you are talking 22 23 about, these renegade common law courts? 24 DR. LEVIN: Sure. At Klanwatch where

I previously worked, we found some common law

25

1 courts here in Pennsylvania. What they commonly 2 do and they are spreading like wild fire across 3 the country out in places like Montana, into 4 metropolitan places like Orange County, 5 California, we see common law courts putting 6 basically bounties on the heads of judges and 7 law enforcement officials. Out in Montana the 8 county prosecutor had a bounty put on his head. 9 This is nothing new. This is just a new face of 10 posse comitatus. Senators have been threatened. 11 What they do is they get together and they have 12 their sham judicial proceedings and what they 13 will do is set intimidating doctrines. And if 14 you don't comply, such as a state representative, if there is a law that you put 15 out that they don't like or someone has been 16 arrested for not having a license plate on their 17 car, they will send out these threatening court 18 19 orders. And if you don't comply, you are threatened with some kind of violence associated 20 with that. 21

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: How long were you a police officer -- in New York City?

22

23

24

25

DR. LEVIN: Yes, sir. About four and a half, five years. I was injured after about

two and a half years of service.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You say that one of the problems and I agree with you, is under reporting. How can we as lawmakers and policy makers work to improve that?

DR. LEVIN: Certain things. I think what is important, I think it must seem to be a priority for the legislature. One of the things that I know having advised law enforcement officials from the Attorney General of the United States all the way down to rank and file police officers is it is important to have some kind of legislative and executive statement. That is an important issue. That is the first thing. And I think, by the way, adding a thing like sexual orientation to the statute sends a message to prosecutors and law enforcement throughout the state that this is an important issue.

I have had people come to me privately and tell me about gay bashing cases that weren't adequately addressed by local authorities. I think training is important. Indeed, we are planning on having a training at Stockton

College which is modeled -- I say modeled -- it

is a continuation of what Trent Hargrove spoke about during his testimony which I actually do training as part of their program. And certainly anyone from Pennsylvania that would like to come is certainly invited.

I think training is important. Data collection is important. I think there should be some kind of mandatory mechanism put in statewide that requires training and procedures of every police department and prosecutor's office to deal adequately with hate crimes. One of the things that we see is that data collection is a gateway issue. Once people look to see if they have a problem, they find there are problems that have been ignored for some time. And what we can do with that is an effective community outreach, response, et cetera.

So I think strengthen the law, mandate training and data collection and also strengthen your human relations liaison. By the way, not everything has to have money thrown at them. For instance, each department and each agency can put a bias liaison officer in. I wrote about this in the Stanford Law Policy Review and

it has found to be very effective. I was just down in Tennessee where they have implemented this program. I think that communities can put together a task force. They can meet quarterly or biannually and there is a person designated in the prosecutor's office, in the mayor's office, in the school district and they get together. They are the point person, both horizontally and vertically so, for instance, people from other agencies can come to them but also people within their agency as well.

thing that they do. For instance, when I was in the police department, our community affairs officer also was the bias liaison officer. I think that there are many cost effective avenues that can be pursued. By the way, let me just say, there is a cross to ignoring this. After the Howard Beach incident took place in 1986 where there was a homicide of an African American, the number of reported hate crimes to the New York City Police Department quadrupled. There were more hate crimes in the month following the Howard Beach case than the previous four months combined. I think it is

important that we not ignore these issues and there are a number of common sense and cost effective strategies which could be achieved by the legislature.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That brings me to my next question. I think you might have said this. I just want to point it out. I think it is important as we found out at the last hearing that cultural diversity or sensitivity was not part of the mandatory in-service training. So you would agree that police officers and law enforcement officers need to have mandatory culture diversity or sensitivity training.

DR. LEVIN: Absolutely. But it also should be kept distinct from hate crimes. Hate crimes are a severe type of offense, just as bank robbery is a severe type of offense.

Indeed, if I went and stole \$100 from somebody, or \$500 from somebody, that is a felony. If I strip somebody of their dignity and cause them not to exercise their civil rights, if I cause someone to leave their home, to move out of their neighborhood or to not identify themselves as who they are, that is an assault, an affront

not only on our dignity but on the exercise of civil rights.

I think Martin Luther King was correct when he said, when we allow the civil rights of any subgroup in society to be infringed upon, it is the civil rights of all of us that gets infringed upon. With specific answer to that question, we not only need cultural and diversity training in the law enforcement, which is important, but we also need an executive statement from the commanding officers from each of these departments, the state police — what we have in this state is the state police — saying this is a priority and these are the rules you have to respond to, just like domestic violence.

When I was on the job in New York,
there is a lot of police officers that had a
problem emotionally with domestic violence.
However, the rules were clear and it is clearly
a priority of the legislature and the police
commissioner and whatever their personal
thoughts, they left them in the locker room and
they had clear procedures to follow. And I
think what we should do is make it as simple as

possible for the responding officer. If you have a scintilla of evidence in a case like a hate crime, pass it up to the supervisor who will then make the determination. And I think it is important that we have training in procedures in every department.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And you are saying that the training be mandatory in-service?

DR. LEVIN: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: One final question, you also mentioned something about the need to have state laws controlling militias.

You said Pennsylvania does not have, and can you tell me which states have or a couple states that have that?

DR. LEVIN: Sure. Well, let me just clarify that. Pennsylvania has a paramilitary training statute, paramilitary training statute. And what they don't have is a law against militia organizing. Let me give you an example just by analogy, that is equivalent to saying there should be a law against someone going out and performing unauthorized surgery, but there isn't a law against someone setting up an

1 unauthorized medical practice. If you want to 2 get to these problems before they become 3 significant, you have to outlaw militia 4 organizing itself. Private armies have no place 5 in civilized society. One of the things that we 6 see in every single genocide in society that has 7 taken place is the establishment and allowance 8 of private unauthorized army. The supreme court 9 in 1886 in Presser versus Illinois specifically 10 said that it is not an attribute of either state 11 or national citizenship to have a private army. 12 And whatever militia people tell you to the contrary about the Second Amendment or the First 13 14 Amendment, every federal court has addressed 15 this issue, has said the states have a complete 16 right to ban private armies. Indeed, I think 17 this commonwealth has an obligation to not only 18 ban paramilitary training but to ban 19 paramilitary organizing as well. 20 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Mr. Hennessey? 23 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, 24

25 Professor Levin, are community

Mr. Chairman.

activists, people making the rounds speaking
either for or against these kinds of activities,

more or less likely to be victimized?

DR. LEVIN: I think certainly one of the things, for instance, what I put together for the federal government, a guide for local and state officers, one of the things that we go through when we try to establish something is a hate crime, has someone been outspoken on a particular topic; is someone known as a representative of a community and certainly to the extent, for instance, the head of the NAACP or someone who is a gay rights advocate is out They are not only out there personally, in other words, they are obviously gay or black, but they are also a symbol for the community. And certainly I would think the hate monger would find them an attractive target, not only because of their identity but what they symbolize for the whole community.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You had spoken to the fact that politicians are sometimes targeted in the literature, not in fact --

DR. LEVIN: In fact.

2425

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: -- by some

of these militia groups or whoever. Should there be special regulations? Should there be a special crime for assault to a wife and the public official or the children? I quess my question is, what I am trying to say, where does it stop? You are saying that we should include sexual orientation as a category to the ethnicity or the hate crime statute. Should we add public officials, children of public officials? Should we allow the public officials to stay protected after the person has lost office, after mom or dad has been deselected or failed to be returned to office? Where does it stop? And I guess, I guess I go back to Chairman Schuler's question, don't we have enough elasticity or flexibility in our assault statutes to deal with this kind of situation where you give a range of sentences and allow the judge to add a more severe sentence or impose a more severe sentence when there is some sort of factor in the crime that makes it, takes it out of the ordinary? Why is it we have to, in your view, add one category to the hate, anti-hate crime law in Pennsylvania but not

other categories? It seems to me to enable them to say, hey, I am entitled to special protection as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LEVIN: Well, it is not special protection, what we are talking about. Let me just first deconstruct the question. I wouldn't include public officials within the ethnic intimidation statute. There are already statutes, for instance, in the state which affect threats and intimidation of public officials. One of the things that we found, for instance, in Montana was that the penalties on those statutes weren't severe enough and the circumstances weren't broad enough, for instance, with regards to public officials. think you as our representatives in carrying out the public good not only deserve our protection, I think you require protection and these people, by the way, are not playing games. They don't play by our rules. We have people who wanted to poison government officials, kidnap them, stabbings, bounties, all kinds of things. Ι think that this is a problem that while we have seen it in large part out west, it is going to get worse out in the east. So I think it is

1 important that we protect public officials but 2 not as far as the ethnic intimidation law. With 3 regard to the sexual orientation law --4 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Are you 5 saying that in Pennsylvania we have those 6 statutes or we don't or do you know? 7 DR. LEVIN: In Pennsylvania, to the 8 best of my knowledge, I believe we do have 9 statutes that cover threats against public 10 officials. 11 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: What about 12 the families of public officials? 13 DR. LEVIN: I don't, I am not sure 14 that they are covered here. I would think that 15 is important. What I would do is refer you to 16 the Montana statute as a model statute as to how 17 they address things. Just with regards to --18 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 19 fairness I think what you are saying is you 20 would expand the coverage to those other groups 21 as well; is that what you are saying? 22 DR. LEVIN: Do you mean for instance 23 to their families? 24 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Yes. 25 Absolutely, because DR. LEVIN: Yes.

we have seen threats against wives and children if they carry out the duties of their office.

But what we --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: But you would find another statute to advocate; is that right?

DR. LEVIN: Right. I would put it in the statute that already covers threats against public officials. With regard to the sexual orientation, let me just say that Pennsylvania is really out of step with the rest of the country on this issue. New Jersey, where I am from, for instance, covers sexual orientation. The federal Hate Crime Statistics Act covers it. What we are doing is -- let me just say, I am not an advocate for a particular group or anything. All I can say as a criminologist and someone who has done research on this for years, if we, for instance find that a certain group or a certain kind of conduct is more risky and a certain type of target is more likely to be hit by a certain kind of conduct, it is within the scope and indeed, the evolution of law that we expand it to cover that. When we talk about judges being able to hike sentences due to

guidelines, it is certainly appropriate. The supreme court has said it is certainly appropriate for not only judges to do that, but the legislatures to do this. And indeed, most of the legislatures throughout the country cover hate crime and the plurality of those cover sexual orientation. Over 20 now cover sexual orientation and more will.

Frankly, we have to send a specific deterrent that stops this kind of violence before it occurs because what we basically have in this section of the country and this section of the state is to write passes to go out and beat gay people. We have to send a specific deterrent. I think the law has a responsibility, both practically and morally to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you, Doctor. That concludes our questions.

DR. LEVIN: Thank you very much for the opportunity to address you today. And by the way, if you end up having follow-up questions of any type, please feel free to call

1 me and I will be sure to get you the information 2 as soon as I can. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you. 4 We are about 15 minutes behind here. Our next witness is Mark Weitzman. Is Detective 5 Jordan here? And Mr. Reil. We have three 6 7 witnesses to go yet and I would like to draw 8 your attention to Representative Curtis Thomas 9 has joined us at the end of the panel here, also 10 from Philadelphia. The next individual 11 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: 12 to testify is Mr. Mark Weitzman, director, Task 13 Force Against Hate, Simon Wiesenthal Center of 14 New York. Go ahead, sir. MR. WEITZMAN: Mr. Chairman, thank 15 16 you. 17 My name is Mark Weitzman and I am the 18 director of the Simon Wiesenthal Center's task 19 force against hate. The Simon Wiesenthal Center, named after the famous Nazi hunter and 20 Holocaust survivor, is an international human 21 22 rights agency that monitors and combats hate 23 groups in the United States and abroad.

Over the past few years the Simon Wiesenthal Center has concentrated in particular

24

25

on the monitoring of extremist groups and their use of cyberspace. Our interest in this subject began when we noticed both the early use of computer networks for extremist communication which took place over ten years ago, as well as the appearance five years ago of neo-Nazi interactive video games in Germany and Austria. These games professionally appearing with slick graphics were aimed at recruiting a new generation of neo-Nazis and were distributed among children as young as ten.

Since then we have been following this issue very closely and over the past few years, we have seen the proliferation of what we call extremist sites on the Web. As director of the center's task force against hate I have been responsible for our research on this issue and I would like to share with you and the distinguished members of your committee some of our findings, particularly as they relate to your primary area of concern, Pennsylvania.

I would like to make a note here that because of the nature of what we are dealing with, certainly it is much broader than just Pennsylvania and transcends borders and regions.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As I mentioned, extremist sites have been proliferating. We have included under the heading of extremist sites a variety of groups or topics. They include skinhead, neo-Nazi, Christian Identity, Holocaust denial militia and anarchist sites. Essentially they are all purveyors of either hate or violence and frequently of both. All told, we have identified approximately 450 such sites.

To understand our concern about the growth of extremist sites, I should like to point out that in our testimony to the United States Senate on May 11th, 1995 on this issue, we noted that we had at that time logged over 50 groups on line and today as I mentioned the To gain a better number stands at over 450. understanding of the issue I would like to take you on a tour of some of these sites before I conclude with some recommendations. Hopefully this works now. If it doesn't work, we do have backup with handouts but this would be a lot It was working when we were more effective. here before. This is what I wanted to start You can see some of it here. As we are going along with this I will make introduction.

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The skinhead movement is viewed as the shock troops of the extremist movement. Originating out of England in the 1980's, the skinhead movement is now one of the most important wings of the extremist movement. Fueled by anger, hate, beer and music they are highly visible and aggressive. Skins have been responsible for a growing number of hate crimes, as in the highly publicized killings in Fort Bragg and Allentown. While originally formed by independent groups in various areas of the country, New Jersey and Pennsylvania having the greatest concentration in the northeast, it appears now that some form of confederation is going on under control of a Texas based group

The skins who are active in the extremist movement are impatient with their elders, who they see as impotent and inactive. While they originally were geared toward older teens, the skins are now recruiting amongst young teens and even pre-teens. They begin by asserting pride in one's own white heritage, thus building a positive link, before they explain who is behind all the troubles and

called the Hammerskins.

problems of the world, such as blacks and people of color controlled by Jews. While it is hard to put an exact number together, estimates are that there are approximately 4,000 to 8,000 skins in the U.S. We would say there are approximately 400 to 600 in the Pennsylvania and New Jersey areas, although their propensity for violence can make their impact seem even greater.

The New Jersey skinheads page is an example of the above. But before, we have a little bit of a change here. We have a little bit of a change here because this is where the New Jersey skin page would ordinarily be found. If we flip down, I will read it, it is not clear, he lent it out to a friend of his and he goes. This is now the Web site of Pastor James P. Wixter and Pastor August P. Kreis, II. We will be discussing Christian Identity later but these are two of the leaders of the Christian Identity movement and veteran leaders of the Christian Identity movement and it shows the links between groups that have somewhat different ideologies and origins.

We will go right to the New Jersey

1 skins page. It is not working for whatever 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reason. I am not sure if it is our problem. am going to suggest that the handout, the first handout that I gave you distributed for the testimony is headed the New Jersey skins. And I will try talking and clicking at the same time.

It begins by saying, the page begins by saying, "...if you are expecting to find a page blaming the Jews, blacks, Chinese, etc., for the white man's woes, you will be sadly let down." Let me put the light on and I will try to go with that, click back up, but you can see the material that we are handing out.

This is the appeal to white pride that I mentioned earlier. However, this can be found under a drawing of a skinhead punching out a Following this is a page of white heroes and you don't have the full page but you do have the connection to it. It says white heroes there, such as Heinrich Himmler, the Nazi S.S. chief, and it then continues into a page of quote, nigger jokes, unquote, such as, for example, and this is directly quoted, "Why do blacks call white people honkies? That is the last noise they hear before white people run

them over, or another one, "What do you say to a nigger in a three-piece suit? Will the defendant please rise."

There are seven or eight pages of those jokes to give you an idea of the depth of how much material is found on this site.

Now, this material, this material is distributed internationally. There are links that take this to any number of racist organizations — we are not getting a dial tone. I don't know what the problem is — to any number of racist organizations. One of the other methods that is used primarily by the skins involves what is called white power music. In this case the link that I wanted to go to is Resistance Records, which is the second of the items that I distributed.

One of the primary means of recruitment and propaganda among skinheads today is white power music. These bands market themselves as alternative, thus trying to benefit from the description of alternative music that is extremely popular in the high school and college scenes. The music is hard driving and the lyrics are very basic and very

bigoted. The most prominent distributor of this kind of music is Resistance Records, which operates out of Michigan. Resistance is linked to many of the other extremist sites and the music can be distributed through on line purchases. As you see from the material handed out, it is possible to order using a credit card, although they do have their own glossy magazine.

Perhaps the best way to illustrate the character of Resistance and its music is by letting it speak for itself. For example, the band, Rahowa, R-A-H-O-W-A, stands for racial holy war, which is one of the major terms used in the extremist movement and its song, Declaration of War, has the chorus, "White people wake, save our great race," and verses like, "You know our systems run by the secret societies, what they did with the white men to his knees."

Another band, Nordic Thunder, has an album entitled, Born to Hate, that includes lines like, "We will rage out the white man's war, we fight the system," or, "Come and listen let me tell you who we are, a brotherhood of

Aryans fighting for our cause. We have enough of the Zionist ways, creating a path for our race's decay. We have taken a fight, we have taken a stand. Hammerskins are fighting in the street."

The combination of music, lyrics and alcohol, primarily beer, have been behind some of the most vicious skinhead attacks. The music is used both to recruit and solidify at gatherings such as Aryan fests, a sort of Woodstock for the skinhead movement, which serve to bring together skins and their sympathizers in congenial settings. In essence this music has become the articulated voice of the skinhead movement and is popularized and distributed through cyberspace.

Another version of the extremist
movement that is very popular in cyberspace is
Christian Identity sites. The Watchman, one of
the most prominent here, is the site of Mark
Thomas. Mark Thomas is a leader of the
Christian Identity movement here in
Pennsylvania. Christian Identity, which has
nothing to do with traditional Christianity, is
one of the two most important components of the

extremist movement. The other is the revival of Norse paganism that is popular among skinheads. Although there are varieties within Identity, the basic belief is that there are two seed lines, with one line which descended from Adam, turning out to be the white Aryan, Teutonic, Angelo Saxon race, and the other, which consists of Jews and people of color, descending from Cain, who was born of the union of Satan, the serpent, and Eve. Thus, in the words of the Aryan Nations creed, which is also available on the Net, "We believe that there is a battle being fought this day between the children of darkness, today known as Jews, and the children of light, the Aryan race, the true Israel of the Bible." Therefore, since the U.S. Government is in actuality Z-O-G, Zionist occupied government, a term used by the extremist movement for anyone who is connected with it, a state of war exists between Identity and the rest of society. As another Identity theorist has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As another Identity theorist has written, "We must obey God only, not man-made law by our purported conveyors or state legislators and/or the United Nations under the purported new world order, i.e., Satan's laws,"

so since, "It is the colored people and the Jews who are the descendants of Cain when we move into a new land we are to kill the inhabitants of all the other races nor are we to allow other races to rule over us."

These words were written by a leader of the Montana Freemen. Mark Thomas, who is involved with this movement, was also an influence on the brothers who murdered their parents and their brother in the infamous case in Allentown in 1995.

Thomas is not only an Identity figure. He also uses the name of the posse comitatus for his group. Posse comitatus is a term that refers to the county as being the highest form of governmental authority in the land and has strong links to the militia movement.

Identity as a theology of hate is not limited only to race or religion. Among the samples I have included under Identity is a sample from Pastor Pete Peeters, one of Identity's leading figures which is entitled, "Death Penalty for Homosexuals." Homophobia and gay bashing are a strong part of the extremist agenda.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the items distributed under Mark Thomas you can find beginning, I will pull out a couple for you, the Watchman, you can find in the second or third paragraph that I distributed to you, the pages that I distributed today, a justification and defense of Nazi Germany. will not go into all of it, other than to say that the one quote that I would draw your attention to is, "While Americans were aping the Negro as advanced Jewish jazz bands Germany was busy building the kingdom of God." The rest of the page is more or less of the same and on the second page you can get a sense of Mark Thomas' Identity's beliefs in the second line, "That is why I have been so determined to expose today the Christianity for the Satanic trap that it is, this false religion, they have become more evil than the Jews."

And it continues again with the vein of a little bit of Identity theology. I have tried not to give too much out since there is literally thousands of pages available on the Net. These are some samples. I believe that this was distributed. This is the Death Penalty for Homosexuals page that was handed out.

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Lastly in terms of the samples that I have brought is the Anarchists Cookbook. sample that I am bringing you today is one of the varieties of the Anarchists Cookbook that appears on line. This cookbook is nothing more than a how-to primer on the making of weapons, ranging from the simple to the high powered, using readily accessible materials. chosen to show you some of the table of contents, which includes recipes for Thermit bombs, letter bombs, napalm and fertilizer bombs, as well as instructions for tapping phones or making a home brew blast cannon, even a tennis ball bomb. If we continue, we see more specific instructions on the second page and finally on the third page a chemical equivalency list which shows the easily obtainable household items that can be used as a substitute for harder to obtain chemicals. This list includes ammonium nitrate, which together with fertilizer produced the bomb used in the Oklahoma City bombing. The recipe for the particular combination, down to the chemical drawing, was also posted on the Net prior to the bombing.

In light of this, Mr. Chairman, I

would like to suggest the following conclusions.

First, there is an ongoing intent by the

extremist groups to use cyberspace as means of

propaganda and communications and these

propaganda efforts are being aimed at younger

6 and younger children.

Second, cyberspace, by providing anonymous and instantaneous communication, has empowered members of these groups who no longer feel as isolated or powerless as they did before. The Web is not the only part of cyberspace used. IRC's and chat groups which can function by invitation only make possible private conversations and conferences that render geographic distance and border irrelevant.

Third, information about methods for creating weapons targeted to both the individual and the group are readily available on the Net.

Fourth, many of these extremist groups see themselves literally as being in a state of war with the United States Government, a government whose authority they refuse to accept and honor.

Given the above, I would like to

conclude with the following recommendations.

other citizens' lives and safety.

First, I wish to be very clear. The Simon Wiesenthal Center, as a firm supporter of the First Amendment and as an organization routed in opposition to Nazism and extremism in all forms, clearly recalls the infamous Nazi book burning of May 1933. Thus we stand opposed to any form of government censorship unless there is a clear case made for the protection of the most basic right of all, the right of living. Such an exception might be considered, for example, for those sites which advocate and even give recipes for violence and thus endanger and threaten

We suggest that the answer lies in the concept of citizens acting responsibly. By that we mean that those who provide a forum for these extremist groups need to reexamine their policies. We believe that private business owners are not required to automatically accept everything that is offered as, for example, newspapers and television stations respectfully, who voluntarily reject submissions of pornographic or liquor advertising. We have suggested this to the industry in the past and

are pleased to note that despite initial
negative reactions, a group of providers
operating out of MIT have begun to move in the

direction of voluntary standards.

Hate crimes legislation must be passed or strengthened as necessary. Government, as this hearing can demonstrate, has the right to make clear, as Congressman James has written in the past, "that racism or racial violence will not be tolerated."

Its proponents have called the

Internet a new form of global democracy that
heralds a new age of information sharing. We
have attempted to show that as with any other
technological innovation, potential for misuse
and abuse exist alongside all its positive
applications. The enemies of American society
and of the most basic human rights have already
recognized that. We must be prepared to do so
as well.

I thank the committee for its willingness to engage this topic. I apologize for the technical difficulties that prevented the show and tell we had hoped to have and in the hope of the leadership it will provide on

1 these issues. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you. We will open for questions.

Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to thank you for taking the time to present your testimony. I am sorry about the modern technology but once you started the computer, I was wondering how the technology of the stenotype was able to get that stuff off the screen. I was just wondering how that was going to work.

But I appreciate your coming here. We will come up with something. Perhaps the cable was the culprit. But hopefully we can maybe go through some points at some time as we continue to explore these concerns and would you be willing to come to any kind of forum or activities we might have as we try to address the rising racial violence and hate crime activitywise?

MR. WEITZMAN: Exactly. That is what we do. We are currently working with an executive at the Pentagon regarding the presence

of extremist group elements in the military. We we are prepared essentially to go anyplace that anyone asks us.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That racism is another concern. I am glad you are working with the Pentagon. It is pretty clear that this activity is rising throughout the country and involving young people and that we have a rise in incidents in the military. I also believe we have a rise in this cause that is happening in law enforcement. Have you been involved in any law enforcement agency that is concerned and looking into that?

MR. WEITZMAN: We have been involved with FBI down to local agencies. I will tell you and perhaps it is somewhat reassuring that essentially we have really very rarely come across the case of law enforcement cooperating with the extremist elements in terms of an active member of the police force. There are cases of people who are involved with militia movements who certainly come from law enforcement background. One of the most prominent is Colonel Jack McLanne, for example.

As a matter of fact, I will put it to

you in a first-hand experience. Someone came to us five, six months ago who had been a skinhead recruiter. Skinheads are based or rather have the military chain of command in certain ways. He was one of the officers. He had been a member of skinhead groups for half of his 30 years, 15 of his 30 years. He had been in the marines. He had recruited while he was in the marines, tattooed all over his body, and this is one of the questions that we raised with him; since he was a regional commander, since he had that kind of knowledge and background and was now leaving the movement, did he know of any law enforcement officials who had been sympathetic or cooperative, and his answer was no. And I think it was precisely because of the fact that they are considered the enemy, they are considered representatives of the Zionist occupied government.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The terminology used is Gestapo or storm troopers and as he put it is that when a news report was flashed of a police officer being shot, they would be cheering and actually this is one of the things that led him to break from the movement because his own brother was a

1 police officer and he couldn't reconcile the 2 two. To the best of his knowledge, he had never 3 come across anybody that had been involved in 4 both law enforcement and this movement. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So I guess you 5 6 hadn't heard about the officer in Allentown, 7 have you? 8 MR. WEITZMAN: No. He was based in 9 California. That is why I said they were a very 10 small number. There are people, there are -- I 11 think in different geographical locations you 12 will find different things. But I believe the 13 number is smaller than in the military. 14 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative 16 17 Hennessey? 18 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. 20 Mr. Weitzman, I was just intrigued 21 with one of your comments. Let me just say I 22 heard you say you were opposed to any form of 23 governmental censorship being instituted, like 24 the newspapers do to exercise some form of

censorship of their own.

1 MR. WEITZMAN: We are calling -- well, 2 I will put it that newspapers do have their own 3 form of censorship in terms of acceptance of 4 advertising or rejection of letters or op-ed 5 pieces that do not meet their standards for 6 whatever reason. 7 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You agree 8 with that concept? MR. WEITZMAN: We would prefer that 9 people in the industry, let's say, apply those 10 11 standards themselves. 12 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Regulate themselves rather than being regulated by the 13 14 government? MR. WEITZMAN: At this point, yes. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: It appears 17 on the Internet. We don't see that happen. MR. WEITZMAN: I think that there had 18 19 been an initial sense in the industry that it was not necessary for it to happen. I have seen 20 21 some breaks in that front in the past year or 22 so, including a group coming out of MIT, for example, that had begun talking about applying 23 24 some standards themselves voluntarily.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: We have

seen similar things with the movie industry where some people arque that our standards have sunk to the lowest common denominator and the examples that you show us here that is available today on the Internet would seem to indicate that the industry isn't doing much of a job policing of what is available to our children. If it is the government -- if your organization would be opposed to the government getting involved and the industry is not doing it, who is left? Point us in the direction.

MR. WEITZMAN: I think one of the things that we would like to see is industry realizing that both the average citizen and the government have an interest in this matter and that it would be better for them to move themselves before control was perhaps taken out of their hands. And in that vein I think that this type of hearing can serve as a form of notice to those people that it is time to make a move on certain things.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any other comments?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: May I?

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I have a follow-up. Do you think there is anything we can do or should do as policy makers to try to deal with the Internet and these hate activities on the Internet? I know we have to be conscious of the First Amendment rights, but have you looked into anything we can do as a result of that?

MR. WEITZMAN: We have looked into certain things. Canada, for example, just passed a law that basically puts it --

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Who has a law?

MR. WEITZMAN: Canada, that puts it into certain categories of hate speech, although the whole Canadian approach to free speech is radically different than the United States. I think that we are now at a point where we have succeeded or begun to succeed in framing some of the questions and the nature of the debate in the past with the Internet and we are talking about a technology that is extraordinarily new still. When it first began, it was viewed almost unanimously as being something that by its nature should be wide open and totally free

to all. I think at this point we are now beginning to see people cognizant of the fact that like any other technological innovation, as I mentioned, it can be misused, whether it is the automobile that can be driven at 100 miles an hour down a city street at the same time is giving us freedom of mobility when necessary. It all depends on the application and the responsibility of those people using it.

Given that, I think that as I suggested earlier it is vital that people who are both involved in the field and citizens, the average citizen of any state, any locality, understand that they have the right to make claims and demands on how the technology is going to be shaped in the future and how it is going to be applied in the future and that is a role, I think, of every American citizen. I would like to see us perhaps beginning to be a little bit more vocal in exercising these rights.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very much for your presentation and staying within the time limit. I appreciate that. We picked up

some time here, just about on time and we thank you very much. We will take a recess until the next person is ready to testify.

(A recess ensued.)

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: The next person to testify is Detective Louis Jordan, a county detective from Monmouth County. Is he here, Detective Jordan?

DETECTIVE JORDAN: I hope everybody is awake. It is indeed an honor and a pleasure.

We bring you greetings from the State of New Jersey, the Garden State, to the City of Brotherly Love, which is also the home of my mother's family so I am no stranger to the City of Philadelphia.

address signs and symbols of hate groups and how it relates to hate groups. It is interesting to note that in the Monmouth County prosecutor's office in New Jersey where I was assigned as chief bias crime officer and have served in that capacity since 1987. We were first in the state of New Jersey to institute a bias crime division as it relates to police officers and to aggressively track and arrest and prosecute

known members of hate groups and those who perpetrate hate against others.

For purposes of clarification and understanding I will share with you the State of New Jersey's definition of a bias crime. It is also important to note that I am the past vice-president of the New Jersey State Bias Officers Association and our definition is kind of clear. It says that a bias crime for purposes of law enforcement shall be defined as any suspected, confirmed, unlawful act which is perpetrated against a person based on their race, color, religion, sexual orientation and sexual preference. That is, for the most part our definition of a bias crime. It goes a lot deeper than that.

To bring it a little closer, we have two ladies walking down the street. We notice that they are holding hands and it is interesting to note that two gentlemen who are driving past in their vehicle observe these two women holding hands. And you notice at one point the two women stop in front of a shopping store and they embrace. The two gentlemen exit their vehicle, approach the two women and attack

them. They attack them because they perceive them as being gay, when in fact, it was mother and daughter. That gentleman was prosecuted in the State of New Jersey under the bias crime statutes because indeed, that was a bias crime. Regardless of whether or not the victims were gay or not, they were perceived as such by the perpetrator. As such, the State of New Jersey

says that is a bias crime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Signs and symbols, this issue of graffiti as it plays an important role to all of We note in New Jersey we refer to graffiti as the newspaper of the street. We refer to it As you read as the newspaper of the street. your newspaper at your leisure, so read the kids on the street their newspaper of the street. Signs and symbols have been around for a long period of time. It is interesting to note that as this graffiti has been around for a long period of time it has started to change its meaning in terms of what certain signs and symbols mean. We will endeavor to explore some of these signs and symbols, but first I would like to note with respect to these signs and symbols what is it that graffiti tells us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

_ _

25

Well, it tells us a lot. No disrespect to anyone in the room but for the most part people look at graffiti as an eyesore. They cannot understand it, cannot read it, cannot interpret it, but it is youth-to-youth intelligence. I would note that most young people out there can read it. People who are on the streets daily can read some of this hate information that they see scribbled on the walls, on telephone booths, on sneakers, on chairs, on desk tops. Anything that will hold paint or Magic Marker, young people will write Then they pass this twist of hate, this on. twist of hate that is perpetrated one against the other.

part is somewhat different to some because we note that African Americans write graffiti one way. Latinos write their graffiti yet another way. And of course, whites write theirs yet another. We note that there is the differences but for the most part it is the same, or should I say unique. And this gives you some idea of the different type of street newspaper that one might see or come in contact with on the street.

1

2

4

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

And it is interesting and we note that where it says the circular motion, the circular motion there, we note that most of the letters are circular in nature. And we find that for the most part that is unique mostly to the white youth who make circular motions in their writing. Let me bring it a little closer to home and a little more in focus for you. Let me also note that what I am sharing with you comes from the grass root level. I do not come to you strictly as a police officer who investigates this kind of crime but someone both from a reactive and proactive setting where we spend a great deal of time in the community, in the trenches if you will, with these young people. We will take a look in just one minute so we can kind of understand this whole street newspaper type of setting.

First we start to see some type of markings and we don't quite understand what it means. We note that some of the letters, if you will, are circular again in nature. Let us take a closer look. What I needed here was a nice strong table where I can sit behind.

As this issue relates to certain

groups, one of the groups I want to zero in on and still stay within our focus of graffiti is the group calling themselves skinheads, who have been deemed by the State of New Jersey as one of our most serious threats, along with other But they are by far the group that works me the hardest for my county and also works me the hardest from the state level as it relates to the New Jersey State Bias Officer organization. And I am sure you read in the media and I am sure you saw on TV about the most recent incident we have been having with the large swastika that was burned out in one of the fields out in the southern part of our state. We note that our skinheads do work us the hardest.

that there are many different types of skinheads. They may not look it, but they are. There are neo-Nazi skins, white power skins, SHARP skins, many different types of different skinheads out there and we try to break them down into three groups, the neo-Nazi, white Aryan resistance and war skins that you hear about. Those are skinheads that preach hate

against blacks, gays and the like. And then, of course, you have the SHARP skinheads, S-H-A-R-P, Skinheads Against Racial Prejudice. Now, anyone can join that group. They are not a racial group, the SHARP skinheads. They are still a gang by definition because of what they involve themselves in. Then you have what we refer to as the OI! heads, O-I with an exclamation point. And we note with respect to the OI! skinheads they are a borderline skinheads. But we are going to zero in on these.

We refer to these as the neo-Nazi We can address him or her very quickly skins. and at the same time identify some of their graffiti or street newspaper as you may see as it relates to this particular group. First, we note that they are wearing a pair of boots that you could barely see there. And those boots are colors in nature. They are black. They are They are burgundy in color. Those boots brown. are referred to as dot marks. Over in England, London, Great Britain where this organization first came into view, if you received some type of state aid, you got these boots absolutely free. Here in the United States, those same

boots would cost you anywhere from \$85 to \$150 for the same exact boots. And for the most part they are a fad with young people. That is why I am going to address this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we note that within those boots they are wearing shoelaces. We note the shoelaces are color coded, white, yellow, green, red and black. Each one of those colors means something. We also note that with respect to the shoelaces, the dot marks, the shoelaces are referred to as stripes. They are referred to as stripes. The white alludes to the fact that they are white Aryan resistance. Black refers to the idea that they are SHARP skinheads. Yellow refers to the fact that they are gay bashers, cop killers or both. Green is fairly new. We get conflicting stories with respect to what is going on the west coast and what is going on in the east coast because we hear the story that well, it is about money; it is about this country; a lot of different things we start to see.

Then we notice signs such as the iron cross, the Celtic cross. This is referred to as the Celtic cross. We see the sign, white power.

You see the swastika which is very clear. I am often asked, well, you don't have a problem with black power; why do you always have a problem with white power, a lot of the skins ask me during an investigation. And my response to them is very clear. I don't have a problem with black power, white power, any of those powers. It is when you preach your hate message and you attack someone because they don't believe in what you believe in, that becomes a bias crime that I have a problem with.

Then we start to see this. When we talk about skinheads, we start to see terms like white power, white pride, which is more in the form of the street newspaper and on their bodies. We note that they wear a pair of blue jeans rolled up above the top of the boots.

Those blue jeans are not Levi jeans because Levi represents the company that puts them out, which is Levi Strauss, which is a Jewish company which they will have nothing at all to do with. We also note that when they do not get a hold of British jeans, which is their jean of choice, they will wear a pair of Wranglers or Levi's but those jeans will have an American flag sewn

upside down on the pocket to show that they are retaliating against America and against those jeans.

Then we note that they are wearing a pair of suspenders. Those suspenders are referred to as braces. Those braces are color coded, the same as the stripe with a dot mark; white, yellow, green, red and black and they mean the same exact thing. It is interesting to note that when of those braces are up or down, that mean something. We are talking about signs and symbols.

If you happen to come in contact with a skinhead and his braces are up, he has not expected confrontation with you. When their braces are down, they are prepared for battle. They are prepared for battle and ready to kill. They may be observed wearing a three button down in the front, T-shirt or pull over shirt. Note in this case my friend wears a shirt.

You may also notice that their hair is clean cut and shaved. Don't always look for that because they have received the message from our friend in the south, David Duke and our friends out in California, Tom and John Metzger,

to no longer shave their heads because if they
do, ZOG will be able to identify them, Z-O-G
being the Zionist occupied government or Zionist
occupational government. So they no longer
shave their heads, which is what we are starting

to see with respect to our skinheads.

Let's bring it even closer as we look at their bodies and the forms of the street newspaper. Now, it is kind of difficult to see, I will admit. Here we start to see a lot of things. We will go back to it.

We first see white power. We see a confederate flag, if you will, just above what appears to be the face of the Klan. We also see the Celtic cross, or Celtic cross, better known to some. It is interesting to also note that we want you to notice everything about our skinheads because often when you speak to parents, they will say, "My son loves God; my son is not involved in any type of a hate movement." But if you look closer at the street newspaper, you see a ram's head. You start to see this dark side and you start to ask yourself a question; who is it that the child or the young person says that they love. They say that

they love Satan. So you start to note that

kinheads are dibbing and dabbing into Satanism

and it is not uncommon. It is not uncommon for

skinheads to dib and dab into Satanism.

These young people will put the street newspaper literally anywhere. Here we show one where a young man put the letters EHS and cross hammers on the back of his head. EHS stands for Eastern Hammer Skinheads, which is the largest skinhead group operating in the state of New Jersey, and we understand that their headquarters are located in the State of Pennsylvania. We are still taking a close look at that and we found for the most part that to be true because every skinhead that we have investigated based on a bias crime that is brought in for interview and questioned with respect to this and they all seem to show some alliance to this area.

It is also interesting that I was asked to come into the Allentown, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania area some two years ago to do an assessment in that area to work closely with the law enforcement community and the community as a whole, along with the Boys and Girls Clubs of

that area. It was interesting what we found out in terms of the emergency assessment that was conducted in that area. And we did find a lot of skinheads from that area come across the border to New Jersey and that is not to say that our young people do not come across into the Pennsylvania area, because they truly do. It is interesting to note.

Here you see clearly EHS, which is the Eastern Hammer Skinheads. You see the cross hammer and behind the cross hammer you see the Celtic or Celtic cross. You see the iron cross located just to the left, your left. You start to see the winged dragon, your boot boy, if you will, and of course, the flag of the Eastern Hammer Skinheads.

All of this starts to become relevant. We want you to look at this because it is in the funniest places that you will see this. It is not always located on the body. Sometimes it is located in a men's room. It is located on the top of a chair, in a desk in a classroom or located in a school hallway. It could be located anywhere, under a bridge, a telephone booth, anywhere that will hold paint or Magic

Marker.

One of the newsletters that is put out is called Fire and Ice. Fire and Ice originated in my jurisdiction, has a greater distribution overseas than it does here in the United States, a very shabbily put together newspaper. We identify certain things that is referred to as white guy, 777 in a counterclockwise position. It is known as an L-roon, also known as the South African symbol of track. We also observe a ring of fire and a smaller fire located within that ring of fire and also a white power fist.

All of this is relevant when we are talking and dealing with skinhead groups and again, that type of information and/or symbols may be located literally anyplace on the body and/or clothing. Here we see it, if you will, on the body, on the forearm. We see a symbol. We see an iron cross and a skull, all part of the identifiable in terms of the street newspaper. It gets a little deeper than that. These young people will put it anywhere.

Here you see the letters ACS, or Atlantic City Skins, an indication that clearly they are across the state as it relates to our

state. Of course, you have AC Skins, which is again, Atlantic City Skins, and you have to literally look because it would be wrong if you bring a young person in, talking to him or her, especially from a law enforcement perspective, say you notice that they are wearing these types of boots. And you say, those are nice boots you have on. Well, the kid right away in his mind knows that you don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. But if you say to him or her, those are nice docs you got on, referring to Dr. Martin, now the kid gets a wake-up call; maybe he is talking my language.

Or you can say, I notice you got white stripes. We had a guy in last week who had yellow stripes. You are talking his language. It is not a matter of you being down here and him being up here. You are both on the same page. That is basically what it is about. It is about keeping you all on the same page.

Now, I also shared with you that the skins dib and dab into Satanism. You need to also understand some of the music that they listen to, I told you before, Command Away, Metal, Judas Priest, SLAYER. Slayer is an

acronym for Satan Laughs As You Eternally Rot.

Satan Laughs As You Eternally Rot; Lions Pride,

different types of heavy metal music that kids

listen to. A new one has come in town now, some

of you may have heard of it, if you haven't, I

am sure your kids have, called NIN, nine-inch

nails. Most young people know about that group

and the violent, as you will, suicides that they

kind of bring to the table.

I want to jump just a minute, if I may, so I can give you a full perspective of some things that are going on that you need to be mindful of as it relates to this issue of graffiti. Now, some of these symbols that you are looking at we refer to as hieroglyphics. am going to get my act together here in a minute. And we note that some of these signs and symbols you may have seen before, but young people take these signs and use them, rearrange them for their own end. When you start to talk about the issue of hate and violence, Satanism, devil worship, witchcraft and the like, it all kind of becomes very relevant to what is going on; the anarchy sign, all out confusion, all out war; a circle with what appears to be an A,

inverted cross, denial of Christianity; goat's head, the ram's head, if you will; Satanic symbol 666 with the broken cross of Christ; the old peace symbol that all of us kind of relate I don't anymore. It has changed; nonetheless, the swastika, the wings of nature turning against herself, four seasons, if you will. All of these signs and symbols some of you have seen before; the battle myth, not to be confused with your normal pentagram. that ram's head in the left corner, third one down appears to be a ram's head. We know that if that point was pointed the opposite way, that would be white magic, witchcraft. If it is pointing down, it is alluding to black magic, again, not uncommon for a skinhead, hate groups and Satanism. When you start to see all of these different signs; again the 666, your cross of Christ crucifixion, if you will; the ying and yang, all relevant, all relevant to the groups which you are dealing with. And of course, the many different faces of Satan; how the young people, as you will, perceive Satan to be, all types of the street newspaper that may become relevant in terms of what is going on.

I would like to slow down at this point and answer some of the questions that you may have as it relates to signs and symbols and its relation to these groups or any other group.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Are these tattoos or what?

you that these are not tattoos. You may find one or two that are tattooed but a majority of them are not. Some are. Some of them are in the form of the street newspaper. Some of them are done with Magic Markers, ink pens and the like. But a lot can be tattoos, absolutely, in this sense.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: I am curious as to the overall impact of this bias unit.

Have you seen a dramatic drop in hate crime activity? And the other side of the impact question would run to what kind of community based activities have you been able to initiate to one, educate people, and two, to get people organized around hate crime?

DETECTIVE JORDAN: You got the right one now, sir. Let me answer you by saying this; our unit has been in existence since 1987.

Again, I state that I have been in charge of that unit since 1987 and I have had the opportunity on numerous occasions to see hate up close. When I say up close, I mean even to the point where, because of my investigation, that hate was directed directly at myself or my family. So I certainly have had an opportunity to see it up close.

Hate crimes have not dropped. We have seen a tremendous increase from 1987 to 1995.

In 1995 was the first dip in bias crime, for example, in our county, Monmouth County. 1996, just currently we have already surpassed where we were from last year. Last year we had somewhere in the area of 120 reported bias crimes. This year we are already up in the area of 126, 127 and the year is not over yet. Yes, there is an increase in bias crime.

The second part of your question with respect to being proactive, working with communities, that is exactly what we do. That is how you get the word out. The top line chief law enforcement officer in that community helps to set the tone for the law enforcement community. The mayor, governor of the state

sets the tone for the entire state. So yes, in terms of working with the communities at a grass root level, this is what we do. There is lots of programs out there and we run a program called Johnny is Someone's Child. We run another program called I Cry, an inner city round table of youth. We run another program called Operation YOUTH, Young and Old United Together for Humanity. We run another program called SEY YES, Save Every Youngster, Yes Every Soul.

These are all programs that deal and address the issue of culture diversity, address the issue of bias crime and hate crimes. We go into all the schools in the State of New Jersey. We have been very blessed under the leadership of our county prosecutor, John Day, to travel around the country addressing this issue. I have been down to Washington, D.C. I have been to the Virgin Islands where I do a lot of consulting for the U.S. Department of Justice on this issue. I have been to Mexico and other places addressing this issue of hate crimes and youth violence.

And there are many different ways to

address this at the grass root level but it must start with the grass roots, starts at the grass roots level with the tone being set by the policy makers, the shakers and the movers, yourselves, and what I always say is we must be serious because the bottom line for me, sir, is kids dying and we need to stop it.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative James.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I want to thank you for taking the time to come here, for us to persuade you to try to come over here and take the time to come. We appreciate that. It gives us the kind of opportunity and sensitivity in terms of what you are doing over there. I noticed you said that you in several of your investigations you had young people come from Pennsylvania. Do you find that they were coming out of one particular area or county? I notice you mentioned Allentown.

DETECTIVE JORDAN: I did mention

Allentown, sir. We found that some of them come

out of Allentown, some out of Bethlehem, some

out of Philadelphia depending on what was going on at that time. All of our police officers in the State of New Jersey, for example, also have a bias liaison officer in each one of their departments who answers to the county bias officer, the county bias investigator in every county in the State of New Jersey. That is what makes up the state's Bias Officers Association.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Let me ask you.

I just want to put that in perspective. So you work for the district attorney?

DETECTIVE JORDAN: You would refer to it as the district attorney, yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And then the police agency, so the district attorney has the bias officer and the police department.

DETECTIVE JORDAN: Absolutely. The reason I brought that to light is because of the fact many times the uniform officer, the street cop, if you will, will stop an individual, be a suspicious motor vehicle, stop, and they will come across this type of literature in a trunk or a back seat or in the process of arrest. They know from their training that they have to take twice a year to report that to their local

1 bias officer and then to the county prosecutor's 2 office for further investigation, if deemed 3 necessary. 4 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You say 5 training twice a year? 6 DETECTIVE JORDAN: Absolutely, sir. 7 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Why do you have to do that? Is that part of the mandatory 8 9 training, in-service training? 10 DETECTIVE JORDAN: That is the in-service training for the police officer. 11 12 Every police recruit has to go through this 13 training while they are at the academy and I 14 also teach at the police academy. I am a senior drill sergeant there so all our recruits go 15 16 through bias training, two days of bias crime 17 training. Our seasoned veterans go through 18 three days of bias crime training. Police detectives, chief and so forth must go through 19 20 once every two years mandatory by the attorney 21 general of the state. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 23 DETECTIVE JORDAN: You are welcome. 24 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I think you may

have answered this question. There are a number

25

1 of programs that you were describing that the 2 prosecutor's office has. 3 DETECTIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. 4 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Are they all 5 related to cultural diversity and the prevention of racism? 6 DETECTIVE JORDAN: That is correct, 7 8 sir. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 10 What I would like to do, if possible, if you could, can we get copies of the programs? 11 12 You might have been introduced to Lieutenant Hicks. 13 14 DETECTIVE JORDAN: Absolutely. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You probably 15 already know him. And if we can get copies of 16 those programs to our committee so we can share 17 18 the information, I would certainly appreciate 19 that. 20 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any other 21 questions? 22 Mr. Hennessey. 23 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Officer 24 Jordan, I think the numbers are higher today. 25 think we certainly want to have a look at the

rise of hate groups in Pennsylvania and across
the country. Is this a whole lot different than
it was when we were younger? I remember there
was some scare about the Beatles records. If
you played the Beatles record backwards, you
somehow got a Satanic message out of it. At any
rate, I tried to listen but couldn't ever find
any.

2.2

But it seems to me it almost becomes sort of suspect. Maybe history promotes these things. People go out and buy records as a money making scheme. Is what you are talking about a whole lot different except in terms of numbers than what we saw when we were growing up?

DETECTIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir, it is.
Unfortunately it is different.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Tell us why.

why. It is interesting you used the Beatles for an example. Growing up I was very into the Beatles, if you will, to some extent. But when you talk about the Satanic devil worshipping going on, if you will, have no doubt that

certain music that they have out there, if you run the tape on one track, which means by suddenly turning the balance, you will get a Satanic message. Now, what is normally for the parents, the parents hear the regular heavy metal music on one track. And then once the parents leave the home, the kids switch it to the other track and you have this Satanic subliminal message being sent to this child.

Yes, the music is different. Yes, the message of hate is a lot different because it is that kind of right up front, up in your face music that many adults in our age group don't like to hear. Yes, clearly, sir, there is a difference in terms of what we see and what we experience in our lifetime versus what these kids are going through today.

I think one of the reasons is any time economics, you have to look at that, plays the role that it is playing today; no recreation for these young people; nothing to do; the drastic decrease in employment, all this plays a major role. We have found that the recruitment of kids being involved in youth groups and hate groups takes place for most at the end of school

in the months of April through July. They
recruit nationally. But from a national
perspective the months of April through July
they do their heaviest recruiting. Why?
Because school is getting ready to get out,
teachers have better things to do and we find
that kids start to develop and where we start to
get involved in that have-versus-have-not
syndrome; I have got mine; you get yours; you
are either a part of us or you are going to be
against us, just that simple.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Used to be that you could, that the movie theaters used to advertise popcorn or soda with one frame every 20 or so, subliminal advertising. You saw it there but you didn't realize you saw it.

Suddenly you got hungry for popcorn. That was outlawed.

Are you saying that there is no federal law that outlaws this kind of subliminal messages or what do you call it, one track messages?

DETECTIVE JORDAN: You are absolutely right. But what is happening is you have a lot of records that are operating on the

1 underground, such as Screwdriver, is one of 2 those such bands that operated in the 3 underground, turns out music that was not sold 4 on the open market where you would walk up to a store and buy it like you would any other record 5 shop or CD shop. There are certain places you 6 go, be it in New Jersey or Pennsylvania, 7 8 wherever that place may be, to buy this type of These young people also order this type 9 music. of music from over in London, from Michigan as 10 11 was stated earlier. 12 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 13 credit cards on the Internet? DETECTIVE JORDAN: Absolutely. 14 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Would it be 15 illegal to send it into the United States from 16 overseas? 17 DETECTIVE JORDAN: I am not aware of 18 19 that. 20 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Seems to me 21 that we do have laws against subliminal advertising. That seems to me to be a form of 22 subliminal advertising, a subliminal message 23 24 sent when you get two different messages off the

25

recording.

DETECTIVE JORDAN: Let me sum it up this way, if I may, with respect to my position and I would like to do that, if I may, in the

form of a story.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I note that a father took his young children fishing for the first time. they sat there early on the lake this Saturday morning with the mist still across the lake, he noticed that his children were at the other end of the boat chuckling to themselves. And the father asked the question, what is so funny, and the children said, oh, dad, you will see. And as they continued to sit there an additional ten minutes it was interesting to note that the children began to laugh so hard that the boat began to rock from side to side. They grabbed their stomachs and their mouths to hold back the laughing. The father became very upset and yelled at the kids. He said, that is why I haven't caught any fish; you are scaring the fish away; what is so funny. The children said, dad, there is a hole in your end of the boat and you are going to drown. Well, what the children failed to realize is that their father and they were in the same boat; if the father drowned,

then the children drowned.

So I kind of stopped by this afternoon to let you know in no uncertain terms that we are all in this boat together. If one of us drowns, we all drown. If my children drown, you will drown.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very much, Detective. We thank you for coming up here and enlightening us. This is all new to me.

We will take a little recess while people get situated. The next gentleman to testify is William Taylor Reil.

(A recess ensued.)

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Let's proceed.

MR. REIL: I have prepared a presentation for today but after listening to what I just listened to I might change that.

My name is William Taylor Reil. That is spelled with a capital W, lower case letters, capital T, lower case letters, R-E-I-L, capital R-E-I-L. I spell that for a specific reason.

I find it interesting that we had a lot of discussion today and I have the written

material that was given to the committee on October 22nd and there seems to be an industry here where hate is personified and glorified and elevated to a level where it becomes profitable for folks that are involved in publicizing their positions. I have heard very little about law. I have heard very little about the constitution and the foundation of law and the oaths that our government officials take all, and I do appreciate your comments about gee, isn't there a crime for assault or property destruction.

There are so many laws. There is a proliferation of statutes and what I have found by research and I will -- perhaps some of you may know me by reputation. I am a scholar of the law and of history and Pennsylvania is a rich place for both constitutional laws specifically and in all due respect to the attorneys who may be listening, my indication of what I understand they learn in law school is zero, nothing regarding constitutional law, perhaps a little or less than history and what this great country, particularly this great state, is about.

So my discussion today and frankly

consists, is to help you as our agents, that is public servants all, to understand the frustrations perhaps of many of the people in Pennsylvania and in America in general. I have gone to great effort to try to understand what is really happening in this country, six years of intensive study, I think from a perspective not clouded by the bias that is so prevalent in our schools today, in our public arena today. Perhaps every arena we come to those positions with bias.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know of anything that is a hate crime. Hate crimes are nonexistent. Those are a fictitious creation of what appears to be a society run amok controlled by special interest groups. I will tell you that the most influential and strongest is the government. The biggest business in the world is government and there is no separation of powers. That has been eliminated and you have to research yourself to find out exactly what you do in your How many times can you do something job. without asking an attorney in our society? It is the most litigious society in the world. There is approximately a million attorneys in

America. That is one attorney for every 300 people. That is absurd.

So one has to ask serious questions about what is really going on in our society. And by virtue of asking very serious pointed questions and I can tell you my background is first and foremost, I am a competent mature male who is an individual and I have, in fact, established my status as a sovereign Christian citizen in the republic Pennsylvania state, one of the original union republic states of the United States of America.

I have claimed my birthright of a land grant given by William Penn to Oliver Gode in 1682. I am by birthright a descendant of the state, in the land state, in the du jour State of Pennsylvania, not in the fictitious corporate state that has been created by lawyers and judges and professors of law, certain politicians, not all. Not all of anything is bad.

You know, we have a rich history, a rich history of freedom and it starts in England. America is an English descent and whether we want to accept that or not or think

that the constitution as a living document and its interpretation is whatever the judge wants to make it, that is absurd. And if you lose sight of the law and its foundations in America, we get into wondering what we are. If you study the writings of the founding fathers, you will found out that they all warned us about where we are today.

We must stop this proliferation of statutes. If you really want to look at it, Justice Harling in 1905, Biddow versus Biggle, know it very well, in the dissenting opinion, in the case, it was that the congress had powers outside the constitution and he said, wait a minute; that is not true, and he was talking about the stuff of government. But it is true, true for the state. Congress has no authority outside the constitution. The United States is a corporation, has assumed all sorts of powers; emergency powers, powers to do anything that the president chooses to do. If you want to get into that detail, we certainly will do that.

But the bottom line is you have an oath of office, all of you, police officers, representatives, senators, government,

everybody, to support, obey and defend the Constitution of the United States and of this commonwealth and to discharge the duties of your office with fidelity, period. That is a solemn common law contract with the people. I am an individual. I, in Pennsylvania, am sovereign. You, as an individual in Pennsylvania, are sovereign. But when you step into your official capacity, you became an agent of the sovereign, me, and all the people like me. And the distortion of words and phrases that have gone on in this country at the hands of certain evil controlling people, by and large in the profession known as attorneys and judges and professors of law. Not all are evil but they are all guilty of allowing this to happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have spent six years studying this based on constitutional research and if we don't stop avoiding the problem and start dealing with the fundamental cause of this, you are not going to be able to pass any of those statutes. You are not going to have enough police officers.

You are going to have somebody live with everybody 24 hours a day. That is impossible.

Your budget constraints are already impossible

and now the supreme court has ordered the house and the senate to come up with a billion dollars a year to fund the court system and they want to extend that umbrella to incorporate as many of the county people as they can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is a constitutional crisis. Where in the world does the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania get off telling my agent, the representatives, what to do? They have no authority to do that. And I would encourage each of you everywhere to search for your delegation of authority from me to do what you are doing and everybody like you and it better be founded on the constitution. And I can tell you that in the eyes of the legal profession that the Constitution of Pennsylvania is suspended. The Constitution for the United States, I note for the United States, is suspended. And we are operating like Justice Hall, who said under legislative absolutism, and he said, wait a minute; we are not here for that, because if we do, if we ever accept that we will have two forms of government, the constitutional government controlled and limited by the constitution and this legislative

absolutism which is worse than a monarchy, and that is exactly where we are. We have oligarchy run by a few people under the guise of a de facto government.

1871, if you want the date when that occurred, when the United States was incorporated. It happened because of the runaway aggression by certain very manipulative and controlling people, by and large lawyers, to usurp the authority of the constitution, both state and general.

I have talked to a lot of people and most children don't even know that there is a state constitution. That is a crime. That is a crime. It was taken out of schools in the '60s and the '70s when this new wave of reinterpretation of the constitution occurred. But it didn't start there. It started in 1850 and 1861, 1868 with the passing of the so-called 14th Amendment which is absolutely unconstitutional. And as legal scholars say, they changed the direction of this country.

Thurgood Marshall said in Hawaii in 18 -- 1986, in a speech, I believe it was July 4th, that the Union survived the Civil War but

the constitution didn't. And he was right. And the lawyers all have exposure to shelves of documents which prove that the 14th Amendment is unconstitutional. And what did that do? What does that do? It allowed the federal government under the guise of misinterpretation and misapplication to bring their federal type of government, the first eight amendments, the Bill of Rights, into your pocket and my pocket and everyone's lives; parental authority.

If you go and read the cases, it is the First and 14th, Fourth and 14th, everything cites the Fourth Amendment. If you really want to know, read the case Evans versus California, 1947. It talks in the dissenting opinion by Justice Black, it was always the intent of the framers of the 14th Amendment to do away with the states. That is what the War Between the States or the Civil War was all about, states rights, states rights and commerce. Lincoln said, we will have a Union or slavery or not.

When you understand history and law, you will find out that we have been sold a bill of goods in our schools and they have been changed by intent. History is not the same.

1 Any
2 elin
3 a cr
4 Unit
5 Comm
6 beca
7 Gran
8 migh

Any reference to our religious heritage has been eliminated, systematically eliminated. That is a crime. Where does the Supreme Court of the United States come off saying that the Ten Commandments can't be hung in the schools because the children — this is Stone versus Grant, 1980 — the children might read them, might meditate on them, might venerate them, might obey them and that is an unconstitutional act. That is treason, pure and simple.

I would like to quote James Madison who was a founding father, a framer of the constitution. Again, I have gone afield from here but that is okay.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Did you pass out or give out anything?

MR. REIL: No. I stayed up all night working on this. This was an on again, off again thing about me coming today and I do appreciate coming. I was called by Jim. I think it was last night that you were in a session trying to hammer out something and I frankly was dead. I have been up again a couple days without a whole lot of sleep so I took about four hours, knowing you guys weren't going

1 to be here today. And then I didn't hear 2 anything by 10:00 and Jim said he wasn't going 3 to call after 10:00. So I got on my computer 4 and I finished when I came. 5 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: If you want to submit something later, you can do that. 6 7 MR. REIL: I will. If you will just 8 give me a minute because, as I said, I am 9 winging it here. By the way, this is a book of compilation of documents of law and I would 10 11 encourage all these lawyers who finish your law 12 schools and learn all these procedures and 13 statutes to start studying the real law and I 14 understand what this country is all about, what 15 constitutional law is all about. 16 I think it was Justice Burger, maybe 17 not, who said in 1980 there was less than 15 18 percent of the lawyers who knew anything about, 19 was competent to defend anybody because of 20 constitutional deficiencies. Let me go on. 21 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Proceed on. 22 MR. REIL: I know it is late and I

23

24

25

But the essence of his quote is that America wasn't founded on government; far from

will come back to that if I can find it.

1 But it was, in fact, the ability and the it. 2 insistence that individuals govern themselves 3 and are responsible for themselves and to 4 sustain ourselves according to the Ten 5 Commandments of God. And so for the supreme 6 court to say that you can't have the Ten 7 Commandments hanging, which is a founding 8 foundation -- in fact, many of you may not know 9 that the motto of the Revolutionary War, the War 10 of Independence was, no king but King Jesus. 11 And when the presumption is made that God's law, 12 the laws of nature and nature's law, if you 13 remember the Declaration of Independence, was 14 one of the three founding documents of this 15 country, and by the way, the constitutions of 16 the states were all in place before the federal 17 constitution and it was always intended to have 18 a limited, a limited function. And it wasn't until the 14th Amendment where that changed 19 20 drastically.

If you like, I will give you a quote out of Thomas Coolidge's book on the constitution where that, in fact, drastically changed the interface between state and federal government. We have to realize that when men

21

22

23

24

25

for their own self interests start tinkering around with fundamental law and god-given inalienable rights, we are in deep trouble. And that is what is going on, been going on intently since 1861. We are all, all human beings endowed by God with certain inalienable rights. And if we don't stop statutizing rights, privileges and start relying more on the constitution, the fundamental law as our guide, you folks will be so busy trying to solve problems that are created frankly that nobody will be able to do anything. I think we are sort of to that point now.

We are to the point where there is something to tell you what you can and can't do for almost everything. That is wrong. Ιn America that is wrong. And I don't know how to impassion you with the impassion that I have. So many people have died for freedom and liberties and America is unique on the face of the earth; at least it used to be to. Today it is evident that we are in a socialist police The law enforcers are no longer peace state. They are doing social programs. Now, officers. that doesn't mean that they shouldn't do that,

that that is not admirable, but they have no authority to do it, no constitutional authority.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They have executive authority since March the 9th, 1933 when FDR declared all citizens 14th Amendment citizens of the United States enemies of the United States because they were hording gold. Now, if you don't know about that and the banking holiday, when the gold was seized and the Federal Reserve Board in New York told him to do that and it took over all power from the congress -- in fact, on March 9th, not having read that resolution, the congress said everything that Franklin Delano Roosevelt had done before March, since March the 4th, 1933, is hereby confirmed and approved and oh, by the way, before they said that they said that everything he will do hereafter is also confirmed and approved. He became a dictator just like was going on in Germany.

It is the same thing that the congress said on July 5th, 1861 to Lincoln, and you need to study the history to understand what was really going on. And the emergency powers that were instituted on March the 9th, 1933 in Pennsylvania and March the 8th, 1933 and many,

many in the first hundred days conversion of this republic into a corporate state. Those statutes, those codes are in existence today. Where did that concept come from? Well, my research shows that the lawyers started aggressively, aggressively after the War Between the States in the new era bar and created all sorts of organizations, then in fact, were going to guide this country to, quote, the new world order.

And I take great exception with being lumped with so many groups. Now, I will concede to you and to anyone who hears this, that there is a lot of hate and there is a lot of crime and there is a lot of terrorism and there is a lot of arson in Pennsylvania, but I don't know of anything that is a hate crime. If somebody walks up to you and hits you, why in the world do you have to have a lawyer tell you that that is a hate crime? That is an assault punishable by any matter of law anywhere in the world. We don't need more statutes to do that.

We need to go back to fundamentals and the solution does not rest in more laws or having the government have more control, but in

fact, to have civility, morality, Christian principles back in everyone's lives from the very beginning of life and to hold life sacred and to get government out of our lives. First of all, you can't afford it and we can't tolerate it. And that is the essence of what

this country is about, is that individualism.

And in fact, the term "freeman," the term "freeman" which is so maligned, now does that mean everybody that puts that label freeman on them is a good, upstanding Christian? No, but I am a declared freeman and I am proud of it, and the term comes from the Magna Charta.

Don't malign something you don't understand. It is a fundamental precept in this country that comes from England. And when you start using words without understanding what they mean, you get manipulated and controlled. I read it from the Magna Charta.

In fact, this is out of Coolidge's <u>Law</u> on <u>Constitutional Law</u>, general principles of law. The Magna Charta extorted from King John in 1215 as a restriction upon what was then an almost unlimited kingly power, the most important provision of which was that quote, no

freeman shall be taken or imprisoned or banned or outlawed or banished or in any way destroyed, nor will their king pass upon him or commit him to prison unless by the judgment of his peers and the law of the land. Where did that go? Where did trial by jury go? We don't have trial by jury anymore. Who can judge both the law and fact, which was always the intent as a safeguard against government pressure, exactly what the king was doing and exactly what William Penn was put on trial in 1670, the Hat Trial. He won. Actually, the jurors won by holding out, four of them, the right to trial by jury, the right to freedom of speech, the right to freedom of assembly, the right to freedom of religion.

And by the way, when the constitution was written, religion meant sect or denomination and that is what it means today. The words in the constitution mean exactly what they meant when they were written, not what some lawyer might tell you what they mean today. And until you start looking at these issues from a fundamental basis, you are going to continue to make mistakes and you are going to continue to pass, quote, unquote, statutes under legislative

absolutism and they are unconstitutional, just like the constitutional changes in Pennsylvania in 1968 and the ones you did in '93 and the ones you did in '95 and the ones you are planning on doing in '97. They are unconstitutional in procedure if not substance and if you want that proof, I will give it to you, common law.

We have had reference to the common law courts. They are infamous. They have been maligned. Does that mean every one that is involved in those common law courts are upstanding great citizens? No, some are not. But I take great compassion with their frustration of no remedy of law in the courts in this country. There are no lawful remedies in law in this country. You are presiding over a corporate assembly, tribunal, using admiralty, quasi-admiralty or statutory jurisdiction, using your legislative absolutism which you have instituted unlawfully and the common law allegedly spent it.

I have a decision from a superior court. There is no such thing as common law in the court of common pleas or superior court that exists anywhere at all in the supreme court, the

king's bench. Bull. The Court of Common Pleas of Pennsylvania, doesn't that ring a bell, the common pleas court. They are an antecedent to the formation of Pennsylvania that come from England and nobody, but nobody can take away the common law. I think it would be wise, really wise if you understand what common law is before you start condemning common law courts. Those individuals who have gone to common law courts are searching for remedies. They come to government. They come to everybody. I have come to everybody and turned aside the arrogance of the power.

We, the people are the sovereigns,

folks. I am the principal. You are the agent.

You need to understand that and once you are
elected, you work for everybody. I come to

Harrisburg and say, hey, we have got a problem
here; could I help share this information. They
say, who, what group are you in. My answer to
that is, that is a how-to-get-elected question.

It is irrelevant. If I have a problem of
something that is going on and I am concerned
about it, I expect my agent to listen. If I am
wrong, tell me I am wrong, not well, I feel this

way but with evidence, facts, because that is
what I come with. Here it is just a little bit,
right. If that is the arrogance of power, that
is like start chambers and the king. That is
the attitude.

2.2

So the people, many of the people who are involved in common law courts are frustrated with the lack of remedy. So what is the solution? The solution is to get the law back into the courts, the hypocrisy out of the courts.

In fact, if you go to Harrisburg, and you go there, go on the fourth floor. Look at the supreme court, what is behind the bench.

The revealed law, the Ten Commandments, God chiseling the Ten Commandments in stone. Look around that room. Look everywhere in the capitol of the stone etchings and the paintings of the Holy Experiment in Pennsylvania. That is the solution. It is simple.

There is no separation of church and state. That is a myth created. Read the case, Everson versus The Board of Education, 1947.

That is an amazing year. A lot of things went on that year. Then Engle versus Satol, 1962

where prayer was taken out of school and on and on. When you, in fact, take as Washington said, a rat in religious principles and morality, you get the destruction of a free society. Read his farewell address, which, by the way, has been taken out of the schools as well. More than that, it has been sanitized. There is no reference to Christ or Christianity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have compassion for everyone and tolerance for everyone's religion. I studied many religions, and you know what; most of the principles are very common. But this government is based on Christianity. Christianity has always been and always shall be part of common law in Pennsylvania, up to Garrett versus The Commonwealth, 1824. You can't separate. And anybody that takes Jefferson's 1802 letter to Danbury, Connecticut Baptists, The Wall of Separation -- and the supreme court did in Emerson versus The Board of Education, 1947 out of context, Reynolds versus U.S. 1878. whole letter was there and it was there to, in fact, support the fact that this was a Christian nation. Not until the supreme court in its leftist, pardon me, its socialist agenda -- that

is better, leftist is a bad term -- did we get, move away from reality. It wasn't until after 1933 when the law was debated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can't have this kind of society where you are going to try to legislate everything. You must go back to fundamental principles. That is the solution and that is the problem.

Now, I have gone way off of this subject but to bring it into focus I will tell you, it is my learned opinion and I am recognized as a scholar on what I study, write and speak about and I am going on, hoping that a couple people understand the truth. And I am targeted by the corporates and I have been arrested and I do travel, as a matter of right, in my automobile and I will provide to you the law that supports that. No one in America has to have a driver's license. That is a privilege. A driver's license is for the use of a motor vehicle in commerce or extraordinary use of the roads. I will give you dozens of cases. You have the write to travel in your private automobile, as you go. It is part of liberty. It is -- look in 11 AmJur. It is documented.

have a 40-page memoranda of law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You folks need to understand the law before you start tinkering with it and instruct the police officers that they are there to protect us, not to beat us up, which I have been beat up unlawfully and the reason I am here today, which I intended to start with, I would like to close with it.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Can you sum up in two minutes?

MR. REIL: Yes, is that on October 22nd I was home and I got a call that Barry Morrison from ADL, director here in Philadelphia had mentioned me as, mentioned my name that I was involved in an alleged incident of December of 1993 and allegedly had attempted to ram or run a police car off the road. Now, that whole incident was absolutely unlawful. I know the I tried to discuss it with the police law. officer. He had no warrant. He had no authority. There is no authority to have to have a sticker on my automobile. That is a tax, I have an automobile that is not regulated by the state, as all citizens have the right to do.

But we must be responsible, you see; there you go. If you are going to have freedom, you must be responsible. If you want the state to be a parent, which I noticed in several documents that is apparently what the state now believes it is -- what is the term, tacto or pactum or something like that? That is a Roman We are under Roman civil law and if you don't understand that, let's find out. But we don't have that lawfully. That is a de facto, under force government.

But I have the right to travel and in fact, I do, my automobile. And I tell you this candidly. My automobile is not licensed. doesn't have to be and I think it is time for the legislature to understand that you are, in fact, violating the law every time you get involved with these motor vehicle codes. applies to people involved in commerce, not the people traveling as a free right.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Can we have time for questions?

> MR. REIL: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any questions?

Mr. Hennessey.

23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Mr. Reil, I

.

think if we try to capsulize what you are saying, you are saying you have seen that there is too much federal or concentration of federal power in people of the state level, certainly that you felt that maybe we can agree with you, these basic principles that you sort of articulated there. I gather you go beyond that and say there is probably too much concentration of power at the state level as well and maybe even the county and local levels because you seem to come through this discussion as trumpeting your rights of an individual almost at the expense of every other level of government for everybody. Is that fair to say?

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY:

MR. REIL: No. It is not fair to say.

I am not anti-government. Again, people who
speak out -- again, if people didn't speak out,
we wouldn't be sitting here, all right. King
George, III was not a happy, not a nice guy.

And if it hadn't been for our founding fathers,
we would be part of England. Of course, they
have been trying ever since to get us back.

But the point is that is not anti-government. I am pro-government. I am

pro-government to stay within the box it was

designed, in fact, contracted to do.

Unfortunately you are outside the box, way

outside the box. And until you can sit down or

anybody can sit down and give me a delegation of

authority from the constitution, you are not

going to be able to talk to me.

If you want to, sir, you want to read a good case, read Hale versus Henkle, 201 U.S.

43 at 74, where it defines what an individual's rights are and what the state can and can't do.

The state has no authority to do anything to individuals, only corporations.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Back to where I started, I think your objection is, if I got it right, was that federal government has focused too much power on itself and I think you probably would think the state government has exercised too much power over the rights of individuals --

MR. REIL: Let me --

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: -- that almost every level of government has exercised more power than you think is delegated.

MR. REIL: Let me ask you a question.

The process here is to move the authority away from the people to international government, the world power. That is what uniform state laws are all about worldwide. We find -- the Uniform State Law Commission was, by the way, formed in 1892 here in the United States under the American Bar Association. In Italy, Russia, England, France, there was an institution formed in Italy in 1926, uniform private laws. The

answer --

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: If I may, you have gone far afield.

MR. REIL: No, it is not far afield, sir. It is, the answer to the question is that in America government has a function. In my mind and in the law's mind it is to protect individual rights and to punish those who violate individual rights. If you get beyond that, you are outside the scope of government. And this is set up, the hierarchy from God, individual, family, so forth, not the other way around.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Today do you think that the federal government exercises more control over your rights than you think it

1 should? 2 MR. REIL: I don't let it. I don't 3 let the federal government do anything. 4 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Do you 5 think it tries to exercise rights --6 MR. REIL: Absolutely, they do. 7 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: -- and the 8 state government? 9 MR. REIL: Yes. 10 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: And the 11 county and local government does that? 12 MR. REIL: Yes. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Because I 14 think that is what I asked you five minutes ago. 15 You sort of --MR. REIL: Until --16 17 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You have to 18 let me ask my question. 19 MR. REIL: Mr. Hennessey, let me 20 answer the question. If you can have yourself 21 go to the constitution and show me a lawful, 22 where the constitution is lawful -- By the way, 23 they have changed the law of the constitution 24 where there is lawful delegation of authority,

then I have no problem dealing with it. Or, if

it does not abrogate the laws of nature and nature's law, any law that is violated of those is void.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Let me suggest to you that when you take the position that perhaps the government, the federal government has tried to focus too much power on itself, even the state government, I think some of us can agree with you. There is some of us who are very conscious of the limitations we are supposed to operate under, both at the state level and I think the current congress. They started to evolve some of those powers back to the states so I think we can see movement in that direction, maybe not fast enough and not enough in substance to make you happy, but at least moving in that direction. History moves more slowly than --

MR. REIL: You didn't listen. We don't have constitutional government.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: That is a different issue to be addressed for a different day. What I am trying to suggest to you is that some of us agree with your initial premises.

When you get into the one, you say, well, there

is no such thing as a hate crime, I think I know what a hate crime is, basically a different type of a crime than an economic crime.

MR. REIL: Let me ask you something.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Let me

finish.

I mean, if I asked you what milk was, and I asked you to tell us what pasteurized milk is and you told me pasteurized milk is healthier to drink than regular milk, or unpasteurized milk, that is quite helpful.

As for hate crimes, it doesn't do this discussion any good for you to sit here and say there is no such thing as a hate crime when we are talking about criminal activity and you are using hate crime as something new. Hate is an adjective used to describe a type or category of crime. What I am saying to you is when you get into that discussion and start to deny that, it doesn't, we just don't move anywhere by creating arguments where there shouldn't be any argument.

MR. REIL: Well, I know you have your preconceived ideas and it obviously has been put there for a lot of years. All right. You have preconceived ideas. Let me ask you this

question. If somebody goes out and takes a spray can and paints on a building and they paint a swastika or some sort of bigotry or slander -- not slander, but words that are distasteful, or a happy face, is it not a fact that that is vandalism and isn't that the state's function to prosecute the vandalism and if, in fact, we were working back in the fundamentals instead of dealing up here with trying to get more and more laws to control, control, control, to come in here and say, wait a minute, young lady or young man in the home, these values are what is important. And that is where it is going to play, not the schools but, in fact, in communities and in families and individuals who have responsibilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enough prisons to control what is going to go on in this country if we don't stop this. You can't. Even if you could pay for it, which you can't, all right, that is not the solution.

That seems to be government's, always the government's solution: Create a problem and then rush in to try to come up with a solution

so there is another law. That is exactly what
happened to Rome. Is that where you want to go?
That is not the way to do it in my learned
opinion and so if you are going to categorize a
hate crime and I think your point was well
taken.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I think that is the point and I think a lot of us feel that perhaps we shouldn't expand the categories that are already involved in this statute.

MR. REIL: I would recommend, in fact, suggest the thing to do is to, in fact, repeal all references to hate crimes and start talking about what they are. They are fundamental violations of rights. They are fundamental violations. If it is slanderous, call it slanderous. If it is libel, just like Barry Morrison did to me, he lied. The alleged, the alleged quote, unquote ramming was dismissed in preliminary hearing and it is so bogus because the police officer was lying.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Well, my
point is I think we can agree on a lot of the
basic premises. When you get to the point where
you start to deny certain things that are

1 obvious, we get off track. MR. REIL: You called --2 3 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I don't 4 think that that helps the situation. 5 MR. REIL: You called them something, Representative. You called them hate crimes. 6 They are not. Why listen to these folks that 7 8 want to create agitation and division and dissension and, in fact, separate people? 9 are all -- yes, we are all here together. Okay. 10 11 Why do that by making those dissensions and 12 making things out of nothing? I think we 13 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 14 can agree to disagree on that. Perhaps someone 15 else wants to ask some questions. MR. REIL: What happens to free speech 16 17 if people can't talk in a group and go out and 18 say what they want and if, in fact, somebody slandered or libeled, then those are 19 prosecutable. But this idea that you are going 20 21 to control somebody's speech is counterproductive. It is absolutely 22 23 unconstitutional. 24 Now, there is all sorts of things that

folks do that are unconstitutional. Wake up,

1	because if there is not going to be a stop to
2	this, I am here to tell you that there is going
3	to be problems here, real serious problems. We
4	have to have remedies in law. Stop acting like
5	men
6	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: And women.
7	MR. REIL: who think you can make
8	solutions on statutes and women. Excuse me.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Representative
11	James?
12	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr.
13	Chairman.
14	How do you spell your last name?
15	MR. REIL: My name is Reil, upper case
16	R, lower case E-I-L. It is English.
17	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.
18	Could I be a freeman if I wanted?
19	MR. REIL: Sure.
20	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You had said
21	something about back in 1933 there was the 14th
22	Amendment citizens. What are 14th Amendment
23	citizens?
24	MR. REIL: If you study that in the
25	original concept and the idea of civil rights,

civil rights are given by the state. Aren't civil rights given by the states? I think states give them and take them away. When the drafters of the 14th Amendment, and by the way it is an absolutely unconstitutional procedure — put aside the content, okay. The 14th Amendment is unconstitutional and always has been. Now, you may take exception to that but I will dump documents on you that will bury you that will prove my point. That is not an issue.

Now the question is, what was its intent and if you research the legislative history of the 14th Amendment, you will see that it was always the intent to put the federal government in everybody's own life, to control everybody. Now, it was originally interpreted only to apply to blacks. In fact, it was intended on the surface, to sell it, to say that blacks would have the same rights as whites. But it didn't. You see, that whole concept was just agitation.

You know, slavery was supposed to end in America in 1808 and if it hadn't been for some people, it would have. All right. All

1 right. And when people bring this up to me, you 2 know, think about where the slaves by and large 3 They were chiefs in Africa who were 4 either raiding other tribes and selling them or 5 selling their own people for money, for drugs, 6 whatever. All right. This is an economic 7 It is not a -- you know, we are human problem. beings and we need to communicate like human beings and put away the prejudices.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So you don't believe in slavery now, do you?

MR. REIL: We are all slaves right We became slaves when and, in fact, FDR made this a dictatorship. That is right. are all slaves and the statutes are in there. Look in USC, 95 A and B. You will find out that the president controls everything and the governor has the same power.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And do you believe that African Americans are part of U.S. history and are full citizens?

MR. REIL: Sure. Now, let's stop. There again, I used to have -- when I was in the air force, I had a roommate who was a black man. All right. I called him by his name. Now, that

is a long time ago. All right. I didn't have a problem with that. There was some people who were in the service who did and I told them to shut up. That is my job. Don't talk like that. I don't want to hear that. That is wrong. But when you start labeling yourself African American, wait a minute. Aren't we Americans? There is a distinction now. I understand your need to try to seize your culture, all right, but if you are going to be cultured in America, we are all Americans. We are first of all we are Pennsylvanians and then Americans.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So if you don't believe in hate crimes, what would you call an attack or a crime against a person because of their race?

MR. REIL: That is a presumption and first of all, that is trained, isn't it? So our real solution is to understand that we need to learn that that is wrong and making more statutes ain't going to fix that. You have to start young. Kids coming into this world, they don't know the difference. All right. But there is all sorts of things on the television that create this unrest. There is all sorts of

race against race, man against woman, religion against religion, all irritated by various organizations that want to make a profit from it; courts agitated by government. All right. Now, that doesn't mean there aren't some individuals who do it, Representative -- I am sorry. I don't remember your name. What is your name?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Harold James.

MR. REIL: James. I think that we have to understand that Christianity, true Christianity, a lot of sense has been done in Christianity. All right. Look at Custer's attack on, you know, the military on the Indians out in the west in the Black Hills where they wanted to steal the coal. There is all sorts of Custers perpetrated by and large white men. Now, we are not, none of us is innocent. No race is innocent. Look at over in Africa, what is going on now. Aren't those atrocities? why are we talking about race? What we really have to deal with is what is your behavior, what did you do that violates law, God's law and the laws promulgated according to the constitution. Stop looking at race.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So you think the crimes should be punished; you just shouldn't call it hate crimes?

MR. REIL: It is not a hate crime. It is a crime of whatever it is. Did the individual beat you over the head? That is a crime. But look, if you are going to tell me that someone else in their ignorance is yelling at you out of anger, that is not a crime. In order for it to be a crime, even statutory, there has to be intent and a harm.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So I see, you base this on your experience. I heard you say that you were in the air force and did you say studying for six years? Did you start to go to law school and that is what turned you around about lawyers?

MR. REIL: No. I got drug into the court. I have been doing my own work on the courts. I have done more, according to some lawyers, more work in court than most lawyers do in a lifetime. All right. But be that as it may, I have studied my whole life. I hope to keep learning until I am dead. But the point being is that you don't have to be an attorney

1 to know the law. Frankly, in all due candor 2 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many attorneys don't have the slightest idea about the law. They have procedure and cases. That is all they read, that is the case. If you don't understand about that, I will tell you exactly how that happened. There is a mandate to move away from law understood by lawyers.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Do you belong to a militia group?

MR. REIL: First of all, if I did, I wouldn't have to tell you, but it is immaterial. Militias are lawful, sir, despite this thing that went on after the Civil War. How are you going to control people in the south? Take your quns away, disarm them and then move in with government control. Look at how the 14th Amendment got passed. Disarm the southerners and they had the Reconstruction Act. They went in to disband the legislature, even though they passed the 13th Amendment and said the only way you are going to get back into congress is pass the 14th Amendment. Under marshal law, that is absolutely unlawful.

> REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Do you vote? MR. REIL: I am disenfranchised from

1 voting because you are a corporate officer and I 2 am here on a special appearance. I am not here 3 I am an inhabitant. I am not a as a resident. 4 resident. That is a corporate term. 5 unfortunately if, you understand what has been 6 done by the legal profession, you will find out 7 that you don't have any rights. Now, you will 8 look and say, well, God, this guy is really off 9 the wall. All right. I would have no problem 10 in joining a militia. However, those 11 individuals have to be absolutely 100 percent, 12 maybe more than 100 percent lawful. I find 13 some, notably Mark Thomas, I have given Mark 14 Thomas whereto for many times at meetings, and 15 walked out of those meetings. All right. 16 is my responsibility as a Christian to stand up. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You don't think 17 18 he is lawful? 19 MR. REIL: I know he is not. 20 (A brief recess ensued.) 21 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. You also 22 stated that --23 MR. REIL: Let me, I want to qualify 24 I think that is fair. I said that that answer.

Mark Thomas is unlawful at times. I don't know

1 Mark Thomas that well. I don't know what he 2 does. I know that in certain meetings which he 3 has been present and started to speak, I 4 repeatedly got upset and said, you are wrong and 5 That is my responsibility and I tell left. 6 people what I think. Some of the procedures of the common law courts go on record to say this 7 8 is wrong. It is not lawful. We have common law 9 They are in every county. It is just courts. 10 that we have got people occupying those courts 11 who are operating outside the law, judges and 12 everybody else, not according to law, the common 13 law which is dear in this country. All right. 14 So I am for coming back to law, foremost and first. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

experience in the meetings that you have been in with maybe Mark Thomas and whatever other meetings, do you see the fact that people or young people, whatever age people are talking against or attacking other people because of race? Do you see that on the rise?

MR. REIL: I don't get involved in those kinds of meetings. I don't see that, but obviously there are all sorts of people. But I

would just like to be talking one on one between another human being and me and not trying to move everybody else. I am not part of a group if I don't want to be. I am an individual and I know there are a lot of fine, fine people in this country who are very concerned about what is going on who have learned enough to know what the government has done to us and I would encourage all of you to spend time with me and others to learn what we have learned.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Who is we?

MR. REIL: Any of you. There are others who are scholars as well. Now, if you are trying to make something out of this, don't do that. Don't go there. All right. I am a law abiding, belligerent claimant on record. It is in the records of this state in the courts. They know who I am. I know who I am. All right, and don't try to attach any sinister thing to me because you would be wrong. All right.

The point is there are a lot of very fine Americans, very fine Pennsylvanians and I tell you Pennsylvanians first.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Tell me; if I

1 wanted to learn about these other people, as you 2 say we, how would I do that? Where would I go? 3 MR. REIL: Well, I have had several 4 meetings with representatives already. We had a three-and-a-half hour meeting. We had a 5 6 two-hour meeting, talking about 7 unconstitutionality of this supreme court, 8 alleged supreme court order to come up with a 9 billion dollars, absolutely unconstitutional and it is founded on the 68th constitutional 10 11 changes. They are unconstitutional. I can 12 prove that. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So there is no 14 set place where your group meets? MR. REIL: You are trying to pin 15 something down here. 16 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: No. I am not 17 18 trying to pin you down. 19 MR. REIL: I will come to you. If you want to come to me, I will meet with you 20 21 anywhere. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. Thank 23 you. CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Now, back to our 24

25

other question.

1 MR. REIL: Back to our other question.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Would you repeat the question, Representative Hennessey, for the stenographer?

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I had asked Mr. Reil since in reference to Mr. Reil's earlier reference to the constitution meaning exactly what the founding fathers said it meant and nothing more, whether or not in that spirit he was going to travel home by horseback. That is how they traveled in 1787. I meant it in levity and apparently he has taken it seriously. I will be happy to hear your answer.

MR. REIL: In all due respect the constitutions, both state and federal, are very dynamic and powerful documents. They are the foundation of this government. They are, in fact, the wills of the sovereigns, the people. And if you will study them as I have, the right to travel, then you will find that that statement, in all due respect, is ignorant and I don't mean derogatory. I am not saying that.

You just don't know. All right. But I am going

1 to read it.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I think you are focusing it differently. It was a question of travel as opposed to the means of travel.

But I understand.

MR. REIL: Fair enough. You are a lawyer. I am going to read the law to you, okay, as interpreted by the supreme court; fair enough.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: You are reading from the constitution or one of the supreme court's decisions?

MR. REIL: I am going to read the composite, you know, the <u>American Jurisprudence</u>, a composite of decisions.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: How long is that?

MR. REIL: Very short. First of all, the definition of a license is the permission by a competent authority to do something that without said permission would be unlawful, illegal trespass, Black's Dictionary, Sixth Edition. All right. Look in the American Dictionary. It is unlawful. In Andrew 5, Andrew Section 10 on automobile status it says,

1 in part, generally right to use highways; 2 obviously the use of highways by automobiles is 3 lawful. Now, you tell me if something is 4 lawful, why do I need a license for it? Now, if that isn't good enough for you, go to 11 AmJur, 5 6 Section 329, talks about liberty and it says 7 generally it is a fundamental guarantee of 8 American constitutional government that no 9 person shall be deprived of his liberty without 10 due process of law. Later on in that section it 11 says, quote, personal liberty largely consists 12 of the right of local nation men to go where and 13 when one pleases, only so far restrained as the 14 rights of others may make it necessary for the 15 welfare of all other citizens. The right of a 16 citizen to travel upon the public highways and the transport is properly thereon by horse drawn 17 18 carriage, wagon or automobile is not a mere privilege which may be permitted or prohibited 19 20 at will but a common right which he has under 21 his right of life, liberty and the pursuit of 22 happiness. Under this constitutional guarantee 23 one may, therefore, under the normal condition, 24 travel at his inclination along the public 25 highways or in a public place and while

conducting himself in an orderly and decent
manner neither interfering with nor disturbing
others' rights he will be protected, not only

his person but in safe conduct, end quote.

I have, if you want, a 40-page memoranda of law that exercises this quite extensively, both with Pennsylvania cases and history and law. What you are doing with respect to Title 75 applies to those engaged in commerce for profit and gain. Look at 18 USC definition of motor vehicle. If you study this subject, you will find that it is for revenue and control. It is unlawful.

So what we have is a whole bunch of ignorant folks going out here and being coerced and threatened into getting driver's licenses and engaged in some sort of commerce. Now, you may not understand that, but I do and, in fact, I am helping district magistrates understand it.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Any other questions? Representative James.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

Just to follow-up with a question, do you believe that there is discrimination and racism in this state as well as this country?

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

and I live that way. I wish we all did.

MR. REIL: Well, I tell you what; I feel discriminated against all the time. So you haven't got a corner on that as a All right. Now, I have had a lot of race. discussions with black folks and there is good and bad everywhere in this world. I have been in various countries and there are good people and bad people everywhere. All right. I don't look at it that way and so I do know that in the legal profession that exists today we are all discriminated against. We are all victims. There is a disproportionate amount. There are people who have bias. It has been inculcated for various reasons.

I am for going back to law and to the fundamentals of Christian principles and morality and we won't have any problem because we are, you and I, two men, two human beings, two Christians of God. And I believe my faith saved by grace by virtue of Jesus Christ and coming and dying for my sins and as long as I follow those teachings I remain in grace. If I fall out, I fall under the law and that is my belief. That is my understanding of the Bible

wish, in fact, that we could look at what Paul went through and understand things haven't changed in awhile.

all sorts of people everywhere. We need to deal with people and at a very fundamental basic level. Government cannot solve this problem.

You and I must solve it. You and I must solve it. We all must solve it together. It is not my government's role to be my parents and I will be darned if I am going to give myself over to you. I am not going to do it. There is no law that says you have that authority. It has been seized unlawfully. I would encourage all of you personally to take the time to meet with me at your convenience, but soon, to understand how we can solve this problem.

I have asked this for six -- well, five years. I have been coming to Harrisburg five years and I look at some of the writings that I wrote five years ago and I was as ignorant as a post compared to what I know now, but I was not far off. The fundamental principles are the same. We are in serious problems here. We must solve them. We must

1 solve them together as human beings, not blacks 2 and whites, not women and men, not race or 3 religion. That is not it. 4 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 5 MR. REIL: But this country, the law 6 and the government is based on Christian 7 principles. Don't try to change that. 8 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Are you finished, 10 I don't think there are any more 11 questions. 12 MR. REIL: Well, I thank you very 13 I appreciate you staying late. I know 14 you have long days. I do, too. Again, I will finish this up. I may modify it a bit based on 15 16 what I said today. In essence the content is 17 the same. CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Just submit it to 18 19 Mr. Mann. MR. REIL: I would also ask you very 20 personally to take to heart what I said and to, 21 22 in fact, ask and spend the time. I will help 23 you to understand what I understand. I am 24 deeply concerned about all of this, particularly

our children. I have been there. I have done

that. Okay. And I am very much afraid of what is happening to our children. Children are dying because we are too busy scurrying around trying to coming up with some sort of hate crimes. We have to focus on the problem.

CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you very much. Mr. James has a closing statement he would like to make.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

There has been a demonstrated need to continue these public hearings. The testimony we have heard not only today but in the previous hearing of October the 22nd in Harrisburg, and I hope that the house leadership of our government, the house of representatives in 1997 and '98, the 181st legislative session has the good foresight to accept my full recommendation of July 23rd, 1996 that we have these hearings, public hearings, that are convened throughout this commonwealth, that there is no question that there is a disease of racism and bigotry infectious in all walks of life.

We must make educated decisions in order to set forth strong, comprehensive

legislation and I can think of no other formal venue than to continue these public hearings throughout Pennsylvania.

Our mission has been outlined before us today. It is simple and clear. Based on the testimony we heard today we must form a coalition of various ethnic groups to educate, reshape, reclaim, reaffirm our commitment to the sensitivities of one another.

First, we must reshape the thinking of those that advocate irrespective of the domain the susceptible. We have heard the degree they are willing to go to spread their venom. There is no limit to their techniques.

Secondly, we must reclaim our youth by patient program. It must be a partnership, the same which embraces the needs of our communities and children. Make no mistake; I strongly believe that one cannot legislate morality but one can, through the power and force of law, make that act a painful experience through their aggressiveness.

Furthermore, we can enact legislation and policy that we can provide resources through the programs and services which serve as a

Any

1 safety net of our community. Mean-spirited 2 budgetary cuts are not a solution to eliminating 3 the kinds of acts we have heard throughout the testimony. Taking away from the family does not 5 achieve, rather by giving the family a helping 6 hand, then we all achieve. 7 Chairman Schuler, I hope in our 8 opening session you remain as chairman of the 9 special task force. I look forward to working 10 with you and other members in developing a 11 series of public hearings with our judiciary 12 committee throughout this commonwealth, one 13 which would also include testimony from victims 14 and not just one-sided hate groups or racists. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN SCHULER: Thank you. 17 other closing comments? 18 I declare the public hearing closed. 19 Thank you. 20 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 21 4:30 p.m.) 22 23

25

* * * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I, Marsha Hunter-Breen, Reporter,

Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified
in and for the County of Montgomery,

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify
that the foregoing is a true and accurate
transcript of my stenotype notes taken by me and
subsequently reduced to computer printout under
my supervision, and that this copy is a correct
record of the same.

This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless . under my direct control and/or supervision.

Dated this 12th day of January, 1997.

Marsha Hunter-Breen, Reporter Kn Notary Public

My Commission Expires: May 17, 1999