COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE SUBCOMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION SAFETY

* * * * *

In re: Public Hearing On License Plates

* * * *

Stenographic report of hearing held in the House Majority Caucus Room Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

> Wednesday, April 27, 1994 10:00 A.M.

HON. KEITH R. MCCALL, CHAIRMAN

MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Hon. Thomas Tique Hon. Joseph W. Battisto Hon. Frank Dermody Hon. Ronald S. Marsico Hon. Teresa E. Brown Hon. Dennis E. Leh

Hon. Dick L. Hess

ALSO PRESENT:

Robert Hollis Paul Parsells Paul Landis

SPEAKERS

Howard Yerusalim, Secretary Pa Department of Transportation

Representative Frank Lagrotta

William Cox, Director of Merchandising Pittsburgh Penguins

Ed Katz, Chief of Police - Penbrook, Chairman PCA

Ralph Davis, Assistant Commissioner, Virginia
Department of Motor Vehicles

Frank Felbaum, Executive Director Wild Resources
Conservation Fund

Nicholas T. Gordon, Jr. National Manager License Plate Systems

Мy

THE HONORABLE KEITH MCCALL: Good morning.

name is Representative Keith McCall, and I'm

Subcommittee Chairman of the House Committee on

Transportation and Safety.

With me I'd like to introduce the members. To my left, going right, is Representative Dick Hess;
Representative Dennis Leh; Representative Teresa
Brown; Representative Ron Marsico; Representative Joe
Battisto and Representative Tom Tique.

I've called this meeting of our Subcommittee to consider a matter that plays a central role in transportation safety in our Commonwealth; our license plates.

In the past, we've heard from numerous law enforcement officials who have told us about the importance of clearly visible and readable license plates, enforcing safety on our roadways.

Now a recent development suggests that this is an issue that we should consider again and consider perhaps from a few different perspectives.

The development of which I'm speaking, of course, is the unexpected but welcomed success of the new Wildlife Resource Conservation Fund license plate. This plate was launched as part of an experiment, designed to raise funds for wildlife conservation in

Pennsylvania.

Our hope was that we would sell 150,000 of them over three years, which would raise five million two hundred fifty-thousand dollars. Of that amount, two million two hundred twenty-five thousand was to go to the Wildlife Conservation Fund and another three million was to go to PennDoT.

Well, as I'm sure you know by now, sales of the new plates are exceeding all expectations. I'm told, that sales will hit the hundred thousand dollar mark any day now, and that's after just a few months of the plate being on the market.

We already have other kinds of special license plates in Pennsylvania. We have the so-called vanity plates which enable people to select their own license plate messages. We also have alumni plates, such as the one with the Nittany Lion of Penn State.

Other states have plates for special purposes, as well, and the success of our wildlife plates suggests that this is an area that deserves further attention.

While we are examining the issue of special plates, I think we should consider the full spectrum of the license plate issues. For example, as I mentioned a moment ago, we should consider whether our

current plates are visible enough to meet the needs of Pennsylvania's Law Enforcement Community and whether we are taking advantage of the technological advances in the manufacture of license plates that have occurred since we introduced the current plates back in the early '80's.

We also should consider whether the success of the wildlife plate suggests that there are other such opportunities we might pursue. We could, for example, have special plates to celebrate some of Pennsylvania's historical sites and tourist attractions, such as the Poconoes, the battle fields of Gettysburg, the Liberty Bell and to show our support for some more of our colleges, for our tourist industries or even our favorite professional sports teams, such as the Steelers and the Phillies, and, of course, our colleague', Mr. Lagrotta's, beloved Penguins.

Some special plates, of course, to generate additional revenue, so it also would be appropriate to for us to consider how such monies might be used.

Proceeds from the Wildlife plate, as I mentioned, are shared by the Wildlife Conservation Fund and PennDOT. We might want to do the same with other plates, dedicating their proceeds for specific

purposes, we might just want to use them for the transportation for general revenue purposes.

Once we get into the question of revenue, it might be appropriate to evaluate the current fee structure for vanity and special license plates and the revenue it produces.

Finally, we might consider the potential benefits of a statewide reissuance of license plates. As significant as such an undertaking would be, it could produce numerous transportation benefits such as helping to reduce the numbers of unlicensed, unregistered, uninsured and uninspected vehicles on our roadways.

Overall, I think we need to consider why the unexpected success of the Wildlife license plate has created an environment that we can take advantage of to do some or all of these things at once. We also should consider how we as a Committee might be involved in such an undertaking.

Based upon the testimony we receive here today, it will greatly assist as we pursue this issue. I've invited several witnesses to appear before us today and I hope they will address these and any other matters that they see are are relevant to some of the issues I've just mentioned.

With all that said, I'd like to now call on Secretary Howard Yerusalim, Secretary of Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

MR. YERUSALIM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentleman of the Subcommittee.

I'm pleased to be here today as I always am to work with the members of the legislature on all issues.

You have my written testimony and I'm not going to get into all the details of my written testimony.

I'm going to give my oral testimony on just a few subjects. But let me start with something that I really don't have any notes written down on but it's something that the chairman brought up last.

I think we should always be willing to explore new opportunities. I think we should be willing to look into other options in everything that we do, whether it be license plates or whether it be the way we pave highways, or the amount of highways we pave, or whether it be an issue that's been hot in this area, protection of our citizens during our reconstruction efforts. So I'm certainly open to all the issues you've raised in your comments, Mr.

I was going to restrict my oral testimony to

two issues: One is the general reissue of the license plates and second the safety issue which is basically, as I see it, the difference between fully reflectorized plates and beads-on-paint, to tie it down to exactly what we are talking about here.

Let me start with the complete reissue of the license plates. If we were to completely reissue the license plates just on the rear as we have them today, it would cost us 20 million dollars for that effort. If we were to provide a front and rear plate, it would cost 30 million dollars.

I personally don't believe that is needed for our nine million vehicles. Most of our license plates are less than ten years old. I know you will receive other testimony today and other written testimony regarding the condition of our license plates.

Police officers can require that when they see a vehicle with a license plate that is worn out and not legible, that within 48 hours that individual must apply for replacement plates which they can receive for \$5. And I think they are important measures when you look at spending 20 or 30 million dollars on a complete reissue, if legibility is the issue that we are addressing here.

As far as the second issue, which is

beads-on-paint versus fully reflectorized plates, we
have not chosen one over the other. As you know, we
have a free enterprise system and we have allowed them

to be equal alternates in the bidding process.

In each case starting with the 1983 bid, the beads-on-paint were lower than the fully reflectorized plates, which saved us \$275,000 in the first year of their issuance.

In 1988 there was a re-bid, The beads-on-paint again were the lower price. The Department saved \$90,000 in that case in the first year, just on the plates alone.

In that case the bids for the fully reflectorized vender included a substrata of steel and if the plates were steel with a fully reflectorized front, they are much heavier, and we have estimated that based on today's postal rates, the first year of using that alternate would have cost us about \$150,000 a year more in the cost of mailing cost. So the cost of mailing would have been a bigger difference than the cost of just the difference between the two plates, although that was not included in our specifications, so we would have gone with the lowest bid.

As far as the safety issue, you'll hear lots of

arguments on both sides of this. I don't believe that there is any concrete proof that fully reflectorized plates result in any safety benefits.

Let me read some things that came about from a result of the Inspector General's investigation in the State of New York from the Post Standard, dated August 9th of 1993. You may have seen it. The headline is State Plates Reflect a Higher Price. "New York could save millions of dollars on the stuff that makes license plates shine at night.

For two decades state motorists have spent tens of millions of dollars more than they had to for license plates, because New York used a costly method of relectorization when a cheaper one was available according to the State Inspector General."

And I will go a little further. If you want a copy of this article, I have a copy of the Inspector General's report, and I'll be glad to give you both.

If the state switches to a cheaper material, New York motorists could save two million dollars a year according to the IG.

The article further goes on to state, and this comes from a quote in the Inspector General's report.

"DMV, Department of Motor Vehicles, estimated the new plates could save up to 58 lives a year."

.

Here's what the Inspector General said: "After looking at numerous studies from other states, however, the IG's office found no proof that reflective plates have done that." By the time New York issued its first reflective plates, they had become a redundant safety device anyway. Several months before New York's law took effect, the Federal Government began requiring all new cars to be equipped with built-in red and amber reflectorization panels.

And that's what the research that I see to date says that from a safety standpoint the item that you see in the back of the vehicles are either the reflectors or the lights, not the license plate.

I think the Wild Resources plate is a wonderful, wonderful plate. It has been a great success story. I think that we need to explore the opportunities to expand possible additional special plates in that area.

Frank Lagrotta, I will be rooting for the Pittsburgh Penguins to win that sixth game and then that seventh game, so again come back from that three one deficit.

We have in addition worked with -- in Penn
State there is a Mid-Atlantic University
Transportation Consortium which involves many states.

It's called MAUTC, and we are working with them to conduct another study on the safety differences between the fully reflectorized and the beads-on-paint, we expect that will start in the '94/'95 fiscal year some time late in 1994. And I don't have the exact date when that will be completed, because we are going to have to work with Penn State at their MAUTC Center to work that out.

In summary, I'm always open to ideas for new and better ways to get things done. I certainly am for safety, but you have to realize if we spend 20 or 30 million dollars on license plates, perhaps that 20 or 30 million dollars could save more lives by improving our roads and bridges.

I'll close there and be open for any questions.

MR. MCCALL: Do any Committee Members have any questions?

Representative Battisto.

MR. BATTISTO: Good morning, Mr. Secretary.

You referred to the study in New York State, and I
really don't know how many states have reflectorized
licensed plates as oppose to to beads-on-paint.

Is there any other information nationwide from other states with respect to having used reflectorized plates for a period of time and any statistics with

respect to the highway death rate?

Do you have any other information besides that New York State study?

MR. YERUSALIM: Representative Battisto, while I'm not the expert on that, later today I'm sure you will hear the results of the Pennsylvania Economy League' study.

I'm biting my tongue, because I don't want to say it about the study, but I'm going to say it about something else. There's an issue that came up in my appropriations hearing, not this year but the year before, and I was being grilled by a senator and it had to do with something completely different than this.

Finally another senator turned to that senator and said "who funded that study"? I will not say any more.

There are other studies. We feel more studies are needed, but I don't believe there's any proof that fully reflectorized plates will decrease the safety or that the costs of the fully reflectorized plates is worth it.

Another item that I might bring up, by the way, when we went to the Wild Resources plate, we didn't pick the plate, but we gave six samples, and believe

it or not the beads-on-paint had some of those samples and they were just as pretty as the fully reflectorized.

The fully reflectorized was selected by the committee, and that was the way the program was set up, so I have no objection to that at all. But you can make a beautiful plate with beads on paint, also.

MR. BATTISTO: One more question, if I may.

With respect to the other kinds of different plates

like the Penn State plates and other kinds of vanity

plates, do we break even when we match what we charge

for these plates as opposed to the manufacturing of a

special line of plates?

Do we at least break even?

MR. YERUSALIM: I would like to have a business that breaks that even. They cost us a few dollars and we charge \$20, so we do, in fact, make money on the vanity plates.

MR. BATTISTO: I think we said generally speaking we set a limit of usually 500 at least --

MR. YERUSALIM: That is the minimum before we start to make the plates.

One of the things that I didn't bring up, I instructed the Deputy Secretary to get with the Department of Corrections to make sure they have the

capability to greatly expand our program, because I think the Wild Resources plate is such a success story, but we have to make sure that the Department of Corrections could handle that, and if they can't, we have to look at how it can be handled, because that is a real success story for the Wild Resources Fund.

MR. BATTISTO: Thank you.

MR. MCCALL: Representative Marsico.

MR. MARSICO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I was wondering, you were advocating additional plates, such as suggested here possibly the Liberty Bell, Gettysburg Battlefield, etcetera, would that create a logistical nightmare for our Department if you had 10 or 15 additional plates that were authorized?

MR. YERUSALIM: Representative Marsico, I said I'm advocating looking into the possibility of additional plates.

I don't believe it would be a logistical nightmare, because you already have 90 different types of organizational plates, so they are all different plates, and of course the vanity plates are just normal plates with a different name. I might put my name or some other name on it.

We do have organizational plates, so we already

have -- I forget the exact number. 45 different 1 organizations that already have different plates. 2 MR. MARSICO: Would they create additional 3 needs for monies to add staff? MR. YERUSALIM: My bigger concern isn't having 5 staffs at PennDOT, Representative Marsico, it's 6 whether the Corrections' industry can handle that. 7 When they do their regular plates, they do 8 Number 1, Number 2, Number 3 or Letter A-123 or 9 AB-123. 10 When they do their vanity plates, it takes them 11 12 longer because they have to put, like, "Howard" on it, and that takes special time. 13 One of the issues that's come up is why can't 14 we have an organizational plate that is also a vanity 15 16 plate, and the reason we have not embraced that is because of the extra time it would take, and our 17 concern is that the Department of Corrections would 18 not have the ability to handle that. 19 20 So I think the bigger issue to the best of my 21 knowledge would be those who make the plates, rather than the problems within PennDOT. 22 MR. MCCALL: Representative Leh. 23 MR. LEH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24

25

One quick question, and forgive me if you

already addressed this. I couldn't keep my hand up with your mouth in trying to write some of this down.

Would the Department permit the State Police and the Commission for a limited period of time to use a fully reflectorized plate to do a study to check its effectiveness?

MR. YERUSALIM: The Department will do whatever the law tells us to do. I am not in favor of this at this point and time. As you'll hear today through testimony, even the police have different opinions as to whether fully reflectorized is better from a safety standpoint or from a police standpoint.

I think you'll hear from one group and the other group sent you a letter to be entered into this document.

I'd rather see what happens with this MAUTC study that we are already going to have performed for us before we get into any additional efforts.

If there were such legislation and it became law, I would certainly would support any law.

MR. LEH: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

MR. MCCALL: Representative Tigue.

MR. TIGUE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, in your written testimony, it's mentioned the last bid was in '92 there was only one

1 bidder.

How many companies are involved in either reflectorized or the beads-on-paint?

MR. YERUSALIM: We know of Flex-O-Lite which is a beads-on-paint and 3M which is fully reflectorized.

I think I read some data that there is competition for fully reflectorized with another company. I'm not sure if that's true, but perhaps someone later can respond. If not, I would be glad to check into it and provide a written response.

MR. TIGUE: It seems like if there are only two companies that are battling back and forth, I'm not sure -- I'm just curious to see if there are any other companies.

They're the only two also that applied for the Wildlife Resource plate; is that right?

MR. YERUSALIM: To the best of my knowledge, that is correct.

MR. MCCALL: Mr. Secretary, you mentioned the MAUTC study, and I'm wondering if that is a study that you commissioned as a result of the meetings we had in June where you were going to really test the effectiveness between the beads-on-paint and fully reflectorized.

Is that as a result of that meeting?

1 MR. YERUSALIM: I really didn't know the 2 answer, but I'm told "yes".

MR. MCCALL: So we can expect that report --

MR. YERSALIM: Sometime in '95, and we will try and expedite that process if that's the direction that this takes.

MR. MCCALL: What about the 20 million dollars for reissuance?

Can you explain why it's so much?

MR. YERUSALIM: Yes. There are nine million registered vehicles, approximately nine million registered vehicles in Pennsylvania. We would have to issue nine million plates all at one time when you add in the costs of the plates plus the mailing costs.

The other thing we would have to do unless we staggered it like we do now, then it would cause a major problem. There would be people needed to do all this processing of nine million plates all at once in addition to what would have to occur where they would make the plates.

I'm not sure that there is any place in Pennsylvania that would have the capability to make that many plates in a short period of time.

MR. MCCALL: We've heard the arguments about going after unlicensed, unregistered, uninsured

vehicles.

Would reissuance help in that regard?

MR. YERUSALIM: I'm not really sure. It could help. Now that we've really checked on insurance when people renew their registration, we know that almost all the vehicles that are legally registered do, in fact, have legal insurance.

We checked 25 percent of the renewal applications on statewide and 50 percent within the City of Philadelphia. Even the City of Philadelphia where we have the biggest problem of vehicles that are uninsured, the percentages are normally below five percent. We look at that each and every month.

However, you have reason to have concern, because I would say the bigger problem is in Philadelphia today as we sit here, is that those who can't afford insurance who don't have insurance, don't register their vehicles.

Whether this would correct that or not, I don't know. What is going on in Philadelphia is they are getting someone else's license plates, or they are getting someone else's sticker, they are stealing stickers and then they are putting them on their vehicles, so when we drive up the street it looks like it's a valid, registered vehicle.

I'm not sure if we reissued these, the same thing wouldn't occur, but perhaps it may help to some extent. I really don't know the answer.

MR. MCCALL: So you don't know the percentages of unregistered vehicles, unlicensed vehicles?

Do you have any idea what that number might be?

MR. YERUSALIM: It seems to me if we reissued

plates and we have different plates on the street, we

are going to be able to get those vehicles.

I'm getting coached here, and they are telling me the question is how many are avoiding insurance by not being registered and that's something we don't know.

We would need a major effort, probably, walking up and down the street and checking vehicle after vehicle to really know that.

MR. MCCALL: Has PennDOT been surprised by the success of the Wildlife Conservation plate?

MR. YERUSULIM: We are very pleasantly surprised that as many have been sold. I was told that as of yesterday, it was in the range of 81,000. So your statement that we are approaching a hundred thousand is certainly correct. It will be a hundred thousand before long.

They do look pretty if you drive in back of

them. I would advocate that if you drive in back of them, you tell me if you think you can see them better than the beads-on-paint. I think you might find the opposite to be true, because I've done that.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

One more question from Representative Hess.

MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, on the special issuance of plates, the vanity plates and so forth, the funding for those plates, does that money just go into the general fund or is that for certain designations?

MR. YERUSALIM: It goes into the Motor License Fund and then the legislature appropriates the Motor License Fund into different appropriations, except for the Wild Resources plate where \$15 goes for the Wild Resources part of it.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

MR. YERUSALIM: Thank you.

MR. MCCALL: Next to testify is Representative Frank Lagrotta and William Cox, Director of Merchandising for the Pittsburgh Penguins.

MR. LAGROTTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Subcommittee.

If I could, let me just start by putting one of the really rampid rumors about my sports plate

legislation to rest. When I first introduced this bill, I met with our good Deputy Secretary, Mario Pirritano, and all through the dinner conversation, I went on to tell him that when this bill become law that I was going to have a Penguin plate on my car that said "Mario," and for some reason he started to blush and he said start telling people that I want a plate on the back of my car that has his name on it. And I just wanted to assure you that, "Mario," was not Mario Pirritano, but it was Mario Lemieux. And, of course, if you can score four goals tonight and beat the Capitols, I'll put your name on the front of my car.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak about a subject which over the last several months I think I've become fairly knowledgeable.

Pennsylvania as much as any state in this country has a proud and unique relationship to its professional athletic teams. As far as I'm concerned, the Pennsylvanian who follow and support our five major professional sports teams, are the best fans in the country. And whether you are a Steeler fan or whether you are a Phillies fan, I think you could say that Pennsylvanians are very loyal to their teams, and I think beyond that, we in the legislature have to

R

recognize the immense value that our professional athletic teams have in this Commonwealth in terms of the economic activity and the revenue that they generate both tax revenue for our municipalities, as well as the jobs that they provide.

Now, I've introduced legislation which will allow the Department of Transportation to issue a special sports license plate to any Pennsylvanian who wants to show their support for any professional team.

Through the sale of these plates, we would not only allow motorists some individual identity in promoting team spirit, but we would also be able to raise much needed funds for the Motor License Fund, and beyond that I think we would enter into a very agreeable relationship with some of the major employers in this Commonwealth.

There are people in this room that remember that it was less than a year ago when the 76'ers and the Philadelphia Flyers had talked about moving across the state line to New Jersey, and it was several years ago that the Commonwealth made a significant investment in the Philadelphia area to help keep professional sports teams in Pennsylvania because of the revenue that they generate.

This particular legislation, I believe, would

A

be another way, a very profitable way, for the Commonwealth to show and allow us to show some support for these very, very important members of our economic development community.

The bill has a genesis. About a year ago when I read that the State of New York was in the process of creating a new series of special license plates -- the plates are sold at a premium price and they allow the motorists to express their support for one of the teams or colleges and universities in New York.

I have researched the various types of license plates. I have some of the New York samples here that I'll show you in a minute, and I have looked at how they're manufactured.

I met with representives of the companies that make license plates, as well as representatives from about five sports teams in Pennsylvania, including the Penguins, and the Steelers, and the Philadelphia Flyers.

I've participated in night demonstrations to see how the various types of sports plates would look at night, how the different types of manufactured plates would look at night, and as you can see, and as you study the issues, I've looked at the roles they could play for Pennsylvania and its motorists.

One of the things that I've learned from my conversations with the owners of the professional sports teams, and I met with Mr. Ryan Flagg, Mr. Baldwin of the Penguins, as well as Mr. Rooney of the Steelers and some representatives of the Pirates.

Their concern because of the fact that they are professional organizations is that the product that we would produce, and remember we would have to enter into licensing agreements, would be to the professional teams, the product that we would produce that would represent their organization would have to be fully professional, and an exact representation of the trademark logos of the individual athletic teams.

As a matter of fact, Mr. Ryan told us in early September that the Flyers primary concern -- they would be willing to participate in aggressive marketing and Mr. Cox is going to talk about the Penguins in just a few minutes, but Mr. Ryan said we would enter into an agreement with the Commonwealth to aggresively market this.

In effect, they would be promoting our product, because we would be making money for it, provided you could guarantee us that the product you would produce would be fully professional and wouldn't be an embarrassment to our organization.

1 2

That having been said, as you know the Department issues several group license plates already; for example, Penn State Nittany Lions and the Pitt Panthers, as well as the University of Notre Dame and several other plates, and they use the familiar blue license plate background with gold letters.

I displayed some of the sample plates to Mr.

Ryan and Mr. Baldwin of the Penguins, and their

concerns are because of the limitations of the current

manufacturing process, the only distinguishing feature

on these plates is a one color embossed design.

And their comments were if we are to issue plates that represent professional athletic teams, that this style, as I said earlier, simply would not do. As an example, most of the team logos or trademarks require multi-color designs. They have to be well-defined. They must be of top quality.

Based on what I have looked at, and I want to stress again, that I have done a lot of research in looking at both types of plates, the reflectorized sheeting technology employed by the State of New York, and I am going to show you the samples here, seem to be the best answer.

Now, this is the New York plate with the Jets logo on it. It's a fully reflectorized fabric. This

is the Giants, this is the Mets, and this is the Islanders, and they lost four straight so we don't even have to look at their plate. This is the New York Nicks' plate.

Now as you know, because of our Wild Resource plate, which is already in very successful production, the Western Correctional Facility in Pittsburgh, where Pennsylvania's license plates are currently manufactured, is already set up to produce this type of multi-color reflectorized sheeting plate.

I am very confident, ladies and gentlemen, that a strong market exists for these plates. Although we must be careful not to overprice them as Secretary Yerusalim mentioned in his testimony, which he did not read, but it's in his written testimony about the New York experience, New York has done a tremendous amount of research and marketing for their entire sports program, but sales have been slow. Officials there recognize the problem is not the special plate concept, but the program's pricing policy.

When the program was created by the New York legislature, besides the \$39.50 initial fee, an additional \$25 renewal fee was included.

Looking around the country, it seems that the most successful special license plate sales were in

1 2

states like Maryland and Virginia, where only a one first-time fee is charged.

Georgia just celebrated the sale of its

•

500,000th special olympic license plate. There was a one time \$15 fee.

Perhaps no better example exists for us than the one time fee rule than the Wild Resource license plate where sales have been going through the roof as the Secretary pointed out.

By the way, the New York Department of Motor Vehicles is sponsoring legislation this year which would reduce the fee for their special plates from an annual one time special fee to a one time charge.

My legislation would allow for Pennsylvania's special sports teams to negotiate an agreement for the use of their logos. Each team receives between eight and a half to nine percent of the \$39.50 initial fee or about \$3.50 for every set of plates sold. New York has front and back plates.

To my understanding, all the money that the teams receive from the plates is donated to charities.

If, for example, we were to charge a \$35 fee for these plates and we maintained a similar price each year, PennDOT would be in line to receive substantial revenues from the sale of these plates.

7

I want to reiterate, and then I'll ask Mr. Cox to make some remarks, that whatever design would be chosen for the plates, I feel that it's important that this plate be seen both day and night, because I know when I pay my extra money for a Penguin's plate, I want to be sure that people will see it, and it will be visible any time I'm out on the highway. Of course, I have to slow down a little bit so the people will actually see the logo.

It's for this reason that I feel that after the research that I've done, that the reflectorized sheeting would give us this opportunity to allow us to use prepreprinted graphics on and use all colors of transparent inks, this will allow same day and night coloring.

In closing, I feel strongly that by allowing PennDOT to issue this special sports license plate, ladies and gentlemen, we will give Pennsylvania motorists who are sports fans yet another avenue to display their support for their favorite professional sports team, we are going to generate some revenue, and we're also going to send a message to the Pennsylvania athletic teams in Pennsylvania that we in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania want to be aggressive in helping support those organizations.

 We want them to stay here. We want them to continue to provide the revenue and the employment opportunties that they provide in Pennsylvania.

Members of this Committee, as you all know from your service in the legislature, it's not very often that we are given the chance to discuss this type of win/win situation.

I believe clearly that my sports plate legislation is one of those issues.

Now I'm going to ask Mr. Cox from the Penguins to make a few remarks.

MR. MCCALL: Mr. Cox, will you give your full name and title for the record.

MR. COX: Yes. William Cox. I'm Assistant
Director of Merchandising for the Pittsburgh Penguins.

Good morning, Chairman McCall and ladies and gentlemen of the Subcommittee.

I am here today on behalf of the National Hockey League and in particular the Pittsburgh Penguins, my employer.

In support of such a project as the sports
license plates, I think that it is all too often
painfully evident when we go to sporting events, pro
sporting events, that the team involved takes an awful
lot out of the community in the way of ticket prices,

in the way of advertising revenues, promotional revenues, et cetera. And this is one means for our team and indeed the National Hockey League involving the Philadelphia Flyers and the Pittsburgh Penguins to possibly put some dollars back into the community by committing -- and I'm here today to commit to you that every dollar earned through such a project in the form of royalties to the Pittsburgh Penguins and the Philadelphia Flyers, would be turned over to a favorite charity.

In the case of the New York project, it was the New York State Special Olympics. They benefit from the sale of these license plates from all the royalties.

Obviously, we have a favorite charity, and it's called the Mario Lemieux Foundation for Cancer Research, but that, by no means, eliminates the other charities in the state.

We would commit to this project our marketing resources, our on game telecasts, our season ticket holder contacts, our advertising contacts to assist in marketing such a sports plate.

I have met with, as Representative Lagrotta has, with the Philadelphia Flyers, I've met with the National Hockey League and obviously the Pittsburgh

1 2

Penguins, and collectively we would commit our resources to see that this is a successful project, and I do thank you all very much for having me here. It's indeed an honor, and I mean that very sincerely.

I'm not originally from this state, and I was really taken by a short tour that Representative Lagrotta gave me this morning of this facility and this fine building here.

And I will be available to Representative

Lagrotta and obviously to any of you at any time to

answer questions or help promote such a project.

Thank you.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you, Mr. Cox.

Any questions?

Representative Brown.

MS. BROWN: Thank you.

Representative Lagrotta, I'm curious to know if you have any statistics or information on about how many sports plates would be sold.

The reason I'm wondering is I'm not real sports-minded, but I understand it would just go to the people who would be supportive. However, you've got a lot of other teams.

MR. LAGROTTA: I think Howard's written testimony points out that New York has got about

20,000. They think that the problem in New York is
that they've got a recurring special fee, and that's
the way our legislation is drafted in Pennsylvania,
but, of course, an amendment would easily be drafted

and I'd ask the Committee to do that.

My instincts are -- I can only answer it speculatively. My instincts are that in Pittsburgh where hundreds and hundreds of people purchase Mario Lemieux shirts for \$125, that are game replicas, and purchase autographs and Mario Lemieux hockey cards, and I'm sure Mr. Cox can answer this with more expertise than I have, for 50 and 60 and 70 dollars, that that same kind of instinct would exist for something that they can display on the back of their car that would readily be available. I think that it would do very well, especially for our sports teams.

The Phillies, last year, had this program been in effect, I think last year during the World Series, they probably would have lined up to push something like this to show their support.

Bill, do you have anything you might want to add to that?

MR. COX: Just as a point of reference, following our '91/'92 Stanley Cup win, we sold approximately 8,000 front license plates with the

1 Pittsburgh Penguin' logo on it.

MR. LAGROTTA: What did they retail for?

MR. COX: They retailed at \$19.95.

MS. BROWN: Representative Lagrotta, have you had a chance to talk to the law enforcement community on being able to visibly read the numbers?

MR. LAGROTTA: It's my understanding that there are some people here, and correct me if I am wrong, that can offer that testimony later on.

MS. BROWN: You haven't had a chance to talk to them, though?

MR. LAGROTTA: The only experience I've had with that is the New York experience, where clearly these plates are visible and there's no diminution of the ability to read.

In fact, my research as personally, and I'm not much of an expert, but my research personally lead me to believe that you can see them better, not only the alphanumerics, but also the graphics, which is going do be the selling point to anybody that's going to shell out 30 or 40 bucks for a plate.

As I said, if I'm going to spend 30 bucks for a Penguin plate, I want to make sure that people can see that I have a Penguin plate on the back of my car. My experience, having done that at night certain

distances, shows me that that is very, very visible. 1 9 MS. BROWN: One last question. 2 In your legislation do you have the specific 3 plate or does this go out to bid? 4 MR. LAGROTTA: The legislation is drafted right 5 now with fully reflectorized. 6 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 7 MR. MCCALL: Representative Tique. 8 MR. TIGUE: First, I have a comment. 9 It was 10 interesting, Frank. You mentioned the Sixers and Flyers moving to New Jersey; we are concerned about 11 12 that. And the first two plates you showed were the Giants and the Jets. 13 14 MR. LAGROTTA: It was subliminal. 15 MR. TIGUE: I just wanted to make that comment. In the legislation, getting back to 16 reflectorized plates, would you be opposed to that, 17 because based on what we've heard from the Secretary 18 of Transportation, atleast according to his opinion, 19 20 there doesn't seem to be any difference, or he favored 21 one. 22 So do you have a problem with us eliminating that from your bill? 23 MR. LAGROTTA: My instincts are that I support 24

in my bill the exact way that the designs were

25

selected for the Wild Resource plate and that would be to have the Commission look at both presentations and make that kind of a choice.

Keep in mind, unlike the Wild Resource folks, you would have to present that to each individual, the Major League Baseball, the National Football, they would have to accept that as a representation of their logo, so you've got that added issue to deal with.

MR. TIGUE: What happens if there's a difference between what they want or the Commissioner or whoever decides, selects two different plates or two different systems?

MR. LAGROTTA: I think the bill as its drafted charters PennDOT to enter into and negotiate its standing with each individual league.

Bill, maybe you can answer that better.

As as long as their logo is seen and represented accurately -- I mean, it's a trademark logo. It's a simple reproduction.

MR. TIGUE: Why do the teams need a royalty if they are going to give it to someone?

Why can't we just have you write off on the agreement and say whatever you make you can use for the Motor License Fund? Since you are not going to keep the money, why can't we just keep it?

MR. COX: I think it's a common practice that when someone loans their federally registered trademark out for sale, and this would be -- in effect, the State of Pennsylvania, would become a licensee of these leagues of the major pro sports league, and if not a common practice, at least it is in the NHL, that there's a royalty paid for the use of that logo.

Obviously, if we are committing to -- I'm not here today to speak on behalf of all pro sports in the State of Pennsylvania, but, obviously, if we are committed to them in turn donating one hundred percent of those dollars to charities, then --

MR. TIGUE: If we use the logo for Penn State or if we use the logo for University of Pittsburgh, we don't give them money to use their logo and Penn State has a trademark logo.

You answered the question, and I appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. MCCALL: Representative Hess.

MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Lagrotta, when you drafted your bill and you have in your bill specific Flexo-O-Lite.

Why not beads-on-paint or why not leave it open?

MR. LAGROTTA: The bill was drafted based on what came out of New York. And I'm saying now for the record that what I would want to see would be the same way the Wild Resource plate was picked in order to adhere to the Department's open bidding process.

I think it was Howard, or someone said during Howard's testimony that there may be another company that's involved in this as well. But let them make a proposal as well, but whoever wishes to present something that the Commission could consider as a sport plate, and then let the Commission make a decision.

I would certainly be supportive of having representatives of the professional sports leagues sit on that Commission so that they would have some input into what the final outcome would be.

It's not that big of a deal. Everybody knows what the Pirates' logo is and what the Steelers' logo is, but as long as those were officially represented in a way that they were and visible and marketable, because if they are not visible, they are not marketable.

That would be my personal preference. Of course, the House and the Senate would have to adhere to it, but my personal preference as a sponsor would

be to draft an amendment to the legislation forming a Commission as the Wild Resource plate did.

Let them look at whoever wishes to provide a sample for bid and let the Commission make a determination with the understanding that any league or any particular team would have the right of refusal if they didn't think that their logo was properly or completely or professionally represented because of the trademark laws.

MR. HESS: I agree with that part, but I would hope the legislation wouldn't be so narrow it would be leaning toward one particular type of material or another.

MR. LAGROTTA: The Commission, I think, allowing the Wild Resource' example to stand, would open it up to anybody that would provide a bid or sample or an offer.

I don't think that that would be limited at all.

MR. HESS: Thank you, very much.

MR. MCCALL: My question, I guess, would be to Mr. Cox.

Has the Penguins tested the waters in anyway, so to speak, to see how many plates they may be able to sell?

Do you have any idea?

MR. COX: No. We have not gotten into any research whatsoever as far as the marketability of the plate.

MR. MCCALL: Okay. And just a comment to Representative Lagrotta. I think it would be a good idea to amend the bill to mirror what we did with the Wild Resource Conservation plate. I think you'll get a lot more support for it instead of identifying just one company by allowing all companies to come in and maybe have representives of all the franchises sitting on that Board to make a determination.

I think that that would be a much wiser route to go as far as amendment and I would certainly support you on that.

MR. LAGROTTA: Well, respectfully, since I'm not a member of the Transportation Committee, I would request that you as the Subcommittee Chairman or any member be willing to have that amendment drafted so that you can do that, and when we bring the bill to the floor for a vote, we would have already addressed these technical issues and then we can present a bill that atleast the Committee members can say that they support with some -- or at least hopefully some unanimity.

I think, in summation, this is a great way for Pennsylvania to be aggressive in pursuit of showing some support for the teams in the state and for generating revenue.

We are going to make money. They are going to promote it for us. I don't know how you could be against that, but, of course, I have been here for eight years now, and I know there's always something. So somebody can be against anything.

Thank you for the opportunity to come here.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you.

Next to testify is Ed Katz, Chief of Police,

Penbrook, and I think it's supposed to be as a panel.

Correct me if I'm wrong. Bob Greenwood from the

Pennsylvania Economy League, Berwood Yost and Terry

Bush.

MR. KATZ: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and other Honorable Members of the House Transportation Committee.

MR. MCCALL: Can you identify yourself just for the record whenever you testify.

Thank you.

MR. KATZ: My name is Ed Katz, and I'm Chairman of the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association,

Traffic Committee. I'm also the Chief of Police of

the Penbrook Police Department, which serves a portion of the Harrisburg suburban area.

Our Association is made up of 1,100 chiefs, representing over 1,300 communities and the millions of citizens we are committed to protecting.

Thank you for the opportunity to present testimony on one of the most important, although oftentimes forgotten, law enforcement pools available today, license plates.

The purpose of the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association involvement here today is because we strongly feel Pennsylvania needs a more visible license plate. And the way we feel that can be best realized is by having Pennsylvania require that all license plates be fully reflective.

Also today we wanted to share with you the results of a three-part study coordinated by PCPA which we would hope this committee would take into consideration as it reviews this issue.

We would like to assist by providing the committee with our findings in an effort to show how new, more visible and versatile license plates can provide this Commonwealth with several different benefits besides those for the law enforcement community.

License plates serve a variety of purposes.

They can provide individual identification to

motorists through vanity or specialty plates.

As evidenced by the new Wild Resource plates, they can be used as an effective fund-raising tool.

Fully reflectorized license plates in the 44 states which utilize this type of plate provide an important safety enhancement such as warning motorists of parked or disabled vehicles on the side of the road at night.

For the law enforcement community, however, there is no more important function than for what the license plate was originally intended: Identifying the vehicle.

Crime is the most serious problem facing our country today. We are constantly reminded through news stories or even through personal experiences of the consequences of this national dilemma.

While this problem continues to increase, so too do the proposed remedies designed to fix it. Most of these are expensive, complicated and will take years to implement.

While in search for new ways to control crime, let's not forget some of the more simple things that will help achieve these goals: One of these is

enhancing vehicle identification by using license plates that are more visible to not only law enforcement officers, but the Pennsylvania citizens as well.

As a society whose lives revolve around the use of motor vehicles, it stands to reason that our society will commit crimes using them, as well. We need the best tools available to identify these vehicles.

Several years ago the FBI estimated that a full 70 percent of all felonies were committed involving the use of a motor vehicle in some form or another. These include crimes such as drug trafficking, hit-and-run accidents, carjackings, drive-by shootings and simply arriving at and departing from the scene of a crime.

To give you an example that hits closer to home for me, let me recite the results of a 1992 US

Department of Justice study that examined the circumstances of 51 felonious law enforcement officer deaths.

Seventy-three percent of the killings occurred on a street, highway or on a parking lot. In three out of four incidents, the offender's means of transportation was a motor vehicle, and 30 percent of

.

the killings occurred during the hours of darkness.

How many times have you read or listened to a news report where the perpetrator of a criminal act was apprehended because the license plate attached to the vehicle in which he or she traveled was viewed by a law enforcement officer or an alert bystander?

Without question, license plates are the surest means of identifying a vehicle. They often provide a direct link between a crime and its perpetrator.

Our own organization has participated in hearings similar to these today involving the problems associated with the hot pursuit of drivers suspected of violating the law, which I believe the Senate passed just two weeks ago.

A more visible license plate that facilitates more accurate and timely identification could in some cases provide a better alternative to this situation.

While most any type of standard size plate is readable during the daylight hours, the same is not true at night. The amount and degree of reflectorization of a license plate is the critical element as it concerns that plate's visibility at night.

That's why the PCPA, like most every other state and national law enforcement organization,

supports the use of fully reflective license plates.

Today there are two types of license plates in this country. Both have some degree of reflectivity and both use microscopic glass beads in that reflective process. At night this reflectivity is activated by a separate light source, most commonly the headlights of an oncoming vehicle.

Forty-four states and the District of Columbia use fully reflective license plates. With this type of plate, 100 percent of the 12 inch by 6 inch plate is covered by reflective sheeting.

The reflective element in that sheeting is encapsulated in a clear protective resin that protects the integrity and performance of the license plate.

The unique graphic designs on the license plates which we have all seen, as well as the colors covering the alphanumerics, all incorporate transparent ink technology.

This is important because the headlight source I mentioned previously, is able to pass through these colors and hit the reflective background. Transparent colors on a fully reflective license plate will appear the same at night as they do during the day.

By issuing fully reflective license plates, states are able to issue multi-colored graphic plates

for both general issued plates and special group and fund-raising plates.

States like Georgia, New Jersey and New York have begun to standarize their many different plates with only one specific logo distinguishing the type of plate that particular states issues. This allows easier identification of the state. We would recommend if Pennsylvania undertakes a special plate program, to do it the say same way.

Based on our research, we know that there are several US and foreign firms that provide the sheeting for a fully reflective license plate.

The 3M Company appears to maintain the majority of fully reflective license plate business in this country and are, in fact, the suppliers of sheeting for the new Wild Resource plate here in Pennsylvania.

The other type of license plate used in this country is what is commonly referred to as beads-on-paint. Currently, there are only six states, including the Commonwealth, using this type of license plate.

Under this process, virtually the same type, but many fewer of the tiny glass beads are used in a fully reflective plate and are applied to wet paint on the alphanumerics and the borderline around the plate.

on Pennsylvanian's standard issue plate, for example, the yellow parts have some reflectorization; that's only 20 percent of the plate, and in terms of candle power, the measure used by Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for reflectivity, this type of plate when new will only measure 1.5 to 2.0 This compares with a 15 to 20 candle power measurement for the new fully reflective plates.

Unlike the fully reflective license plate, the reflectorized areas on the beads-on-paint plate are not protected from common roadway elements.

What this means is that rain, road grime, dirt or anything else, will interfere with the glass beads on the plate making them virtually nonreflective.

When we participated in a night demonstration of these two plates, we were surprised to see how beads-on-paint lost a significant amount of its reflectivity during simulated rain conditions.

It's interesting to note that even PennDOT realized this drawback as it issues a warning with all of its new beads-on-paint plates not to wash or wax them.

The beads-on-paint plate also has some other limitations that are important to law enforcement. To show some reflectivity under this system, the

reflectorized areas have to be painted a light color.

of the six states using beads-on-paint, all have white or yellow alphanumerics. This does not allow for what we believe is the ideal license plate design contrast, having a light background and dark alphanumerics.

For a very short time, Colorado had a special tourism beads-on-paint plate, having a white background and light alphanumerics. After many law enforcement complaints, it was modified to present a better contrast.

Still, the Colorado State Patrol is on record as condemning both this special plate and the general issue beads-on-paint license plate, because they are so difficult to see at night.

Finally, concerning beads-on-paint license plates, to the best of our knowledge there is only one firm, Flex-O-Lite, from Saint Louis, Missouri that has supplied this type of license plate since the 1970's.

I mention this only because Flex-O-Lite and their representatives continue to insinuate that should Pennsylvania decide to change from a beads-on-paint design to a fully reflective plate, only one company would bid on the contract, that being the 3M Company. This simply is not true.

other companies supply reflective sheeting. In fact, in the past Flex-O-Lite has bid on contracts in states where they require fully reflective sheeting. So why are they so concerned if Pennsylvania will require to issue fully relective license plates.

Like everything else, the cost issue is enforced here. Based on prices in other states, a fully reflective license plate is approximately 30 to 50 cents more expensive than a plate made by Flex-O-Lite.

Please remember, however, both the amount of reflectorization on the plate and the durability of that reflectorization when you consider the additional costs of a fully reflective plate. Also consider the benefits of the 100 percent fully reflectorized plate whose reflectivity is protected against the elements.

While we feel the benefits of fully reflective license plates versus those of the beads-on-paint system are apparent, and I might say well-documented in numerous studies, the best way to compare these two plates, is to actually see them perform at night.

With this in mind, the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association would like to invite the members of this Committee and any other interested parties to a night demonstration. Only through this exercise will

you appreciate the true difference between these two plates.

With all these arguments in mind, we felt it was important to present other benefits to Pennsylvania that would come with a new issue of license plates.

In early 1993, aided by a grant from the Traffic Control Materials Division of 3M Company, the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association initiated the Pennsylvania License Plate Study Project.

In this three-part undertaking, we hoped to provide some valid information to help answer some specific questions concerning license plates. They were: What is the extent of revenue avoidance in the Commonwealth?

One of the economic benefits of conducting a general reissuance of license plates in any state is that it captures many of the nonregistered vehicles. This could bring in millions of dollars in new revenue.

How do Pennsylvania motorists feel about their current license plate compared to a more colorful, multi-colored plate, and would they be willing to pay more for such a plate?

How do Pennsylvania motorists feel about

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

special group plates and which types would they prefer?

How much would it cost Pennsylvania to replate its entire vehicle population, and how much additional revenue could be realized through revenue avoidance, the sell of special plates and other factors?

Seated with me are representatives of the two groups contracted by PCPA to conduct parts of this study. Robert Greenwood is acting Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Economy League, State Division. His organization was responsible for studying the economic benefits and liabilities associated with a new license plate issue. Mr. Berwood Yost, Center for Survey Research, Pennsylvania State University and Terry Bush, President of Hood, Light and Geise Marketing and Public Relations are here to discuss the public opinion survey that institution conducted concerning motorists preferences on license plates.

Before I turn the discussion over to them, let me first review the area of the study we conducted. Our goal here was to present a better picture, if you will, of the extent of the registration avoidance problem in the Commonwealth.

This survey can certainly not be considered scientific, although we approached it with objectivity

and clear criteria. Through our research we know that the average number of motorists in other states who fail to register their vehicles in a timely and proper manner is between 2 percent and 11 percent of the general vehicle population. These vehicles owners not only fail to pay their registration fees, but are in many cases uninsured and uninspected.

These states could fail to realize millions of dollars in avoided registrations and other less tangible costs like higher insurance premiums.

We firmly believe that when Pennsylvania requires a general reissuance of license plates in a short period of time, it facilitates the collection of much of this outstanding revenue. Before a motorist can receive a new plate, he or she must first be properly registered and insured. If the motorist does not exhibit the new plate and still uses the old plate, they can become an easy target for enforcement by police.

We based our study method and criteria on a similar study conducted by the New York Chiefs of Police Association in 1990. This is how the survey was conducted. On Saturday, April 10th, 1993, a number of off duty police chiefs, police officers and police students surveyed over 15,000 Pennsylvania

passenger vehicles between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

These vehicles were mainly in shopping center parking lots in 15 locations around Pennsylvania. The surveyors were asked to do several things. First, they checked the registration validation sticker on the license plate to see if it was expired. If it was, they marked the date of the expired tag. If the validation sticker was missing, they noted that as well.

The surveyors also noted whether the license plate was damaged based or whether it was unreadable based on their judgements only.

These were the results: 15,473 Pennsylvania passenger vehicles were surveyed.

2.5 percent of the surveyed vehicles exhibited expired validation stickers.

10 percent of the license plates surveyed were judged damaged.

An additional 5 percent of the license plates were judged unreadable.

Of those plates surveyed, 74% were the blue Flex-O-Lite plates, and 26 percent were the old yellow fully reflectorized plates.

What do these conclusions mean? First, the

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

registration avoidance rate, while not as significant 1 as in other states, still means that based on 2 Pennsylvania's 8.9 million vehicles the Commonwealth 3 is not realizing millions of dollars each year. Second, there seems to be a significant number of

damaged and unreadable plates. 6

> It must be remembered that some of these yellow plates are at a minimum 17 years old and will only be replaced if the vehicle owner pays for the replacement himself. This number of improperly functioning license plates is a significant vehicle identification problem.

Now, if I may, I'd like to introduce Mr. Yost and Mr. Bush.

MR. BUSH: Mr. Chairman and Representatives of the Transportation and Safety Commission, my name is Terry Bush. I'm president of the marketing firm that was hired along with Penn State Harrisburg Center for Survey Research to conduct a survey. Berwood Yost, who is on my right, is the Director of the Penn State Research Center.

The survey was conducted a year ago during the month of May and early June. We did it geographically. We employed professional survey people, primarily woman, middle-aged, who went to six

A

Ð

•

malls in Pennsylvania. We were at the mall in Harrisburg East in Harrisburg; we made a survey in Wyoming Valley in Scranton; Exton Square in Exton, Pennsylvania in Chester County; the Nittany Lion Mall in the State College area; Parkway Center, Pittsburgh, and the Mill Creek Mall in Erie. So it was pretty well-spread across the state.

We tried to do a survey of approximately 60 people in each one of those malls. We ended up with 333 samplings.

The survey was developed by my firm along with Penn State, the questions asked. We had samples of existing plates that our people used, and I'll show you some of these.

These two, of course, were in part of the primary question. A person who was surveyed had to be a registered Pennsylvania driver, and had to either own or be a part-owner of a registered car in Pennsylvania. Part of the survey were these two plates that they had to select from.

This was the existing plate that we are all familiar with, and, of course, this is the plate that was designed to represent the fully reflective plate.

Berwood took the analysis, took all the data entry and he will explain basically parts of the

survey that are important in his testimony.

MR. YOST: Good morning. My name is Berwood
Yost, and I'm Director of the Center for Survey
Research. We assisted in the data entry and analysis
process of their survey.

I just have several points to make. The survey included about 30 questions, but the primary things we are concerned about today -- each of the respondents was asked, if given a choice, Plate A the alternative plate and Plate B the existing plate, if they had a choice, which would they buy? And more than four out of five, approximately four out of five of those people interviewed said that they would choose Plate A, primarily because they liked the appearance of that plate much better.

When we then asked them if they would pay up to 50 cents more for that new plate, 95 percent of those people said yes, and about that same number said they would be willing to pay up to \$4 more for that plate.

The other item that I would like to point out from the survey is when we asked them analogous to the Wild Resources plate if they would be willing to pay \$40 to purchase a plate if they knew that \$20 of that would go to the organization that was sponsoring the plate, would they be willing to buy that plate, more

than one in two, 52 percent of the people that were interviewed, said that indeed they would.

So from the sample of people that were spoken to in this particular survey, the majority seem to be saying that they are interested in this new plate because of its appearance, and that they would be willing to pay some more money to have that plate.

MR. GREENWOOD: My name is Bob Greenwood. I'm the acting Executive Director of the State Commission of the Pennsylvania Economy League.

I'm pleased to be here. Thank you for the opportunity.

What the Economy League's role was in this project was to conduct a study that would do two things, basically. One was to identify as best we could what the costs would be to the Department of Transportation to have a full reissuance of license plates and second was to identify the potential revenues that might come in as a result of a full issue, and they could be derived from several sources.

I believe you all have copies of the study we did. Rather than go through it in great detail, what I will do is capture the major points, and I would certainly be glad to take any questions or try to explain anything.

In general and in particular for the costs, our approach was to obtain verified costs and also verify the assumptions that we had to use with the Department and the Department was very cooperative and helpful to our efforts. We also used whenever there was a possibility of a range of assumptions, the more conservative assumptions.

As a quick for instance, one of the things that I will be talking about is the fact that if there was to be a full reissuance in a given year, and the Secretary already mentioned that they would not now have the staff or actually the room to perform the function that are necessary to get the plates out.

As alternatives, we identified that the

Department could use contractual labor and there were
several variances of how they could obtain that labor
from just looking at want ads in the paper what the
average costs for unskilled labor would be, up to and
including the costs of using temporary help as
supplied by the Department of General Services. And
the range of labor rates there was approximately \$7 to
\$7.99. The \$7.99 was the DGS rates. In fact, that's
the rate we chose to use. So we are using the upper
ended cost. It could obviously be something less than
that.

1 2

For our revenue estimates we used, again, actual data from the Department or the results of the special studies to just identify and also results of studies conducted in some of the other states that were relevant to this. And, again, wherever there was the opportunity, we use used conservative assumptions. So let me throw out the major findings of our work.

The first was that the total cost to reissue license plates by the Department of Transportation under the following assumptions: It would be accomplish within a single year, and that the plates would be issued on a staggered basis, meaning that as registrations were renewed, they would get the plates as opposed to all at one point.

The total costs that we estimated would range from approximately 14.2 million dollars to 18.8 million dollars depending on the follow things:
First, which type of plate would be used.

We estimated costs using first the existing beads-on-paint process and the existing cost of that which is is 97.4 cents.

We also estimated the costs assuming a two-color fully reflective sheeting plate, and the costs of that was estimated to be \$1.35.

We obtained from the Department the cost of

postage. We are assuming aluminum plates for both.

Assumed the cost of postage, got from them the cost of envelopes that they would use. We deducted the costs of mailing the existing registrations, making the assumptions that they would in addition to sending out the plate, include the registration card with it, so you could net out the existing costs of the current registration, which would be incurred one way or the other.

The cost, by the way, for the postage, the net cost, would be about 75 and a half cents per plate mailing. We also assumed that the number of plates would be about 8.1 million, and the way we arrived at that figure was to take current registrations, and we used the actual '92, which at the time we did the work was the most current total number available.

Subtracted from that the number of plates which the Department normally dispenses in a given year which was about 740,000, because these costs would be incurred either way. We are looking for the net additional costs that would be incurred in a single issuance. So that would probably explain some of the difference between what, I assume, the Secretary's numbers were.

In addition, it was mentioned that the

1

2

3

4

5

•

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department would not have now the current force to actually take the plate, match it with the card, stuff it in an envelope and put it in the mail.

In addition, they told us that they would not have the physical space for that to be done within their current location. So we estimated the cost of doing both of those things.

Again, it was with some degree of assistance with them in trying to get a rate of production that each person might have using their current experience of mailing out the 740,000 plates per year and assuming the amount of space that would be required to do this. And we came up with -- there would be a requirement for approximately 88 people to be hired on a one-year temporary basis to actually make the plates, match them with the card, stuff them in an envelope. And we assume that there would probably be a need for about 12 additional people, for a hundred total, to be supervisory and support people who would actually be distributing the things within the building or the location where they are working and be in charge of the operations.

Again, we use the \$7.99 per hour rate, which is an all inclusive rate, charged by the Department for temporary help. The other costs that we identify

either using temporary help services or just looking

at what the want ads in the Harrisburg Newspaper were

for unskilled workers were each less than the \$7.99

figure.

The total cost to do that would be about one and a half million dollars for the labor and a hundred thousand dollars to rent a building of adequate size to perform the task.

In addition, we discussed the potential of having the Department of Corrections perform the task of actually matching the cards with the plates, stuffing them in the envelope and then mailing it, and the Department of Corrections estimated that they would do that work for a penny apiece; a penny a plate.

So with that, we came up with our total costs, those things added together, to be the 14.2 million which is the low end assuming that the Department of Corrections actually stuffs the envelopes and using the beads-on-paint process to the 18.8, which is the maximum amount which would assume the fully reflective plate and the contracted labor and the rental space involved in doing the work.

Those were the costs items that we developed.

That's the range of them. Now, obviously you could

have fully reflective in corrections or beads-on-paint and contract labor and rental space, but those are the minimum and the maximum that we were able to come up with.

Then we went on to say: What is the potential of additional revenues that might come in to the Department; that's the cost side of this. How does this compare to the revenues that the Department might gain?

One of the first things that we looked at was the potential for unregistered vehicles to become registered in the state. It was a question of how many unregistered vehicles are there in the state.

We had two numbers to work with. The first is the one that is prepared by the Pennsylvania Department of Insurance, so this is an official number, and they estimated that \$458,000 or 4.9 percent of total vehicles on the road -- these are the in addition to registered vehicles -- are unregistered.

They developed this number as part of their need to verify or help to understand rates for uninsured motorist protection. That is the Pennsylvania Department of Insurance number.

In addition, we had the number that was

developed as a result of the Chiefs of Police survey, which would indicate two and a half percent of the vehicles that they actually observed on mall parking lots having an out of state registration.

However, I mentioned we continually tried to use conservative assumptions. We also looked at the Chief of Police data, and as Chief Katz indicated, they identified the date of the registration for any cars that were observed to have an out-of-date registration. And we made the assumption that those that were out-of-date by three months or less were possibly people who had just forgotten or didn't yet put their sticker on, or whatever, as opposed to someone who was consciously trying to avoid registering their car.

So using that assumption, that took two-thirds of the sample out. We are going to base our calculation and assume that only one-third of the lowest number that they developed, the two and a half percent as opposed to the 4.9 percent using the Department of Insurance number, is our basis for calculating the potential for additional registration to be obtained as a result of having to get a new plate. The concept being that existing plates and the variety of existing plates increases the opportunity

1

2 3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a car to be on the road unregistered.

If everyone was required to have a new and certainly a visually distinctive plate from the prior plates, that you would be less able to have a car on the road with an out-of-date registration.

Using that one-third and assuming a rate of registration cost which assumes that the data applies both to cars and light trucks, we are combining those two, the potential amount of revenue the Department could receive as a result of additional registrations is 2.01 million or 76,000 vehicles, roughly.

If you use the Department of Insurance number, the 458,000, again, just assume one-third of that, you would have 152,000 registrations or roughly 4 million dollars, a little better than four million dollars of additional revenue.

MR. MCCALL: Can I interrupt you. Can you just summarize this. We don't need all the details. Members may be able to question you on the detail if they doubt what you are saying, but if you could just summarize those numbers, that will be fine.

MR. GREENWOOD: All right.

Two to four million additional registrations.

The next thing was looking at the potential for additional sales of plates one way or the other if

16

there was a new plate.

The first thing we looked at was the potential for people who now have vanity plates to buy a vanity plate if there was a new issue, and assuming that the Department would charge \$20 to replace an existing vanity plate with a new plate.

Approximately ten percent of the cars on the road in Pennsylvania today have vanity plates;

Department numbers. If they were to re-register at \$20, that would be an additional 15 and a half billion dollars of registration.

In addition, though, looking at the survey conducted by ten states and the survey of information coming in from the other states, clearly what they demonstrated was there was a greater interest than ten percent of people who would be willing to spend more for some type of an enhanced value plate, a specialty plate of one type or another.

The Maryland experience would indicate that that would be 14 percent of people who are willing to pay extra to buy, so the additional four percent over the ten that we already have would generate six million dollars of additional revenue.

The extreme end of it was from the Pennsylvania survey where 52 percent of the people indicated that

they would be willing to spend up to \$40 to buy a special purpose plate where some of the money would go to charity and at that level you would have 65 million dollars additionally coming in. Again, these are assumed one-time revenues, not the ongoing every year occurences consistent with current practices.

MR. MCCALL: Let me cut you off there.

Do the members have the summary?

I'd like to just allow the members to ask some questions of the study, and if they have specific questions, they can direct them to you.

We appreciate the information you provided to us.

Joining us is Representative Frank Dermody, and I think Representative Dermody has some questions.

MR. DERMODY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just have a couple of questions.

You mentioned earlier in your testimony that you could use the Department of Corrections. The Department of Corrections makes vanity plates now; right?

MR. GREENWOOD: That's correct.

MR. DERMODY: But I believe you suggested that they would not only make plates, but they would be stuffing the registrations in the envelopes, also.

MR. GREENWOOD: I suggested that as an option. 16 1 MR. DERMODY: How much would that cost? 2 The cost -- the Department of 3 MR. GREENWOOD: 4 Corrections would gain about four and a half million dollars of revenue. 5 MR. DERMODY: I get nervous when you hear 6 7 inmates placing registrations and things in envelopes. 8 MR. GREENWOOD: We indicate clearly it would have to be adequate protection in the process, but we 9 10 just threw it out as a suggestion as something you could consider. 11 12 MR. DERMODY: Not real seriously, though; 13 right? MR. GREENWOOD: That's up to you. 14 MR. DERMODY: I'm just curious. The survey you 15 16 did with the people showing them the license plates. 17 What two basic plates did you show them to see if they 18 liked it? MR. BUSH: This was Plate A and this was Plate 19 20 В. 21 MR. DERMODY: I have one of those old yellow 22 plates. You didn't show them --MR. BUSH: We didn't show them the yellow, no. 23 24 MR. DERMODY: I have that yellow plates. 25 They're nice. It's about 14 years old.

Did you ask them what they wanted outlined in the plates, whether they wanted "Keystone State" or if they wanted "You've Got A Friend"?

MR. BUSH: No. We had other samples of plates.

I just brought a couple along with me to kind of give
you an idea. Here was the sports. We showed a couple
of sports plates, Steelers. We had one for the
Eagles. I didn't bring that along.

Here was, of course, a Penn State plate, if you so desire. That was personlized, too, with the person's name.

Regionalization plates. Now, here's one of the Poconos. We have maybe about four or five different regions of Pennsylvania. They came out very strongly by the survey. That was probably the second highest, other than the personal plates; the regional plates came out as second highest.

MR. DERMODY: Did people say they liked any with the "Keystone State" on them?

MR. BUSH: No, we didn't have any to show. We were just showing samples of plates and we didn't indicate what they would like to have.

MR. DERMODY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MCCALL: Representative Brown.

MS. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 2

This is directed to Mr. Katz. Could you tell me if there was a national survey taken with your rank and file members on this issue and what the results were?

MR. KATZ: I couldn't say national. Statewide, we have been talking to police chiefs throughout the state. That's how we obtained some of our data.

We also obtained some data from the

International Association of Chiefs of Police. We
obtained some data from other state organizations,
especially New York, that we counted on a good bit for
some of the information.

I know some of the chiefs in Pennsylvania have talked to their officers who are definitely in favor of the reflectorized plates.

MS. BROWN: Okay. I understand that they don't support the plates with the mottos, emblems and the different symbols, because they are harder to read.

Have they made that statement to you?

MR. KATZ: I have not heard that statement. I know in speaking to my own officers in showing them several of the reflectorized plates, they have all been in favor of that. That's not just because I'm here today, but I have gotten an honest opinion from them on them.

MS. BROWN: The Fraternal Order of Police I 16 1 notice in some of the information, they have sent us a 2 letter, and they are not supportive of the reflective 3 plate. Can you explain why you are still supporting a 5 reflective plate? 6 7 MR. KATZ: Maybe it would be easier for me to 17 say that I don't know why the FOP is opposed to it. 8 They indicated in their letter which I first 9 saw this morning that 30,000 officers in the FOP are 10 against it. I know that the officers in my department 11 were never surveyed by the FOP to find out how they 12 13 felt about it. I don't know of any department in Dauphin 14 County where I've worked for 22 years that has ever 15 been surveyed by the FOP on any of their issues pro or 16 17 con. 18 So where they came up with their data, I'm sorry, I can't answer that, and I don't know why they 19 20 have that particular opinion. 21 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 22 MR. MCCALL: Representative Hess. MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 24 Just a few questions to Mr. Yost and Mr. Bush. On your particular survey, who paid for this 25

MR. BUSH: The Chief of Police.

MR. HESS: The Chief of Police Association?

MR. BUSH: Yes.

MR. HESS: Were you compensated to do the survey?

MR. BUSH: Yes, we were.

MR. HESS: Mr. Greenwood, you stated that there were approximately 15.6 million dollars derived if there was a new issuance.

MR. GREENWOOD: That would be the 15 and a half million would be as a result of people who now have some type of vanity or specialty plate, assuming they would repurchase another one. That would be just that component.

MR. HESS: The people that bought their vanity plates now, the reissuance would take special legislation to reissue those plates.

MR. GREENWOOD: Yes.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you. Maybe if I could follow-up briefly on Representative Hess's question, and somebody is going to have to respond to it, because naturally your critics will come down on you, because in Mr. Katz' testimony he stated that there was a grant received from 3M Company to subsidize the

study.

How would you respond to that, being that 3M has such an interest in fully reflectorized license plates and 3M Company funded the study?

How would you respond to fact that 3M sponsored the study and your results came out in favor of 3M?

MR. KATZ: I can respond by saying that I was asked by the former president of the Pennsylvania Chiefs, Paul Sable, to pretty much pick up the ball on this program of license plates and see what we could do with it.

The Executive Director of Pennsylvania Chiefs informed me that a grant was obtained. At that time I didn't know where the grant had come from, and I just had the duties of doing this study. I took care of the study on April the tenth. It was pretty much my ball game.

I submitted the results. The results went to the Economy League. We really -- I personally had nothing to do with the money. I found out later on that there was a grant. That had absolutely nothing to do with the results that we obtained.

As far as I was concerned, my study was completely neutral, devoid of any interest of dealing with where the money came from.

17	1	MR. MCCALL: Representative Leh.
	2	MR. LEH: Thank you. My questions have been
	3	answered.
	4	MR. MCCALL: Representative Tigue.
	5	MR. TIGUE: First of all, I have to say this
	6	whole issue is one of dollars and cents, and it sounds
	7	like we want to spend more money.
	8	The first question I have is on the plates, the
	9	survey that was done, by any chance did you include
	10	the Wildlife plate?
	11	MR. BUSH: Representative, we had a sample at
	12	that time. We had not the existing plate. I don't
	13	have it with me, but believe it or not, it had an owl
	14	on it.
	15	MR. TIGUE: Was it very similar?
	16	MR. BUSH: Very similar to that.
	17	MR. TIGUE: That didn't come out well on the
	18	survey?
	19	MR. BUSH: We were showing samples of the kind
	20	of tourist regionalization plates. We had samples of
	21	sports teams. We also had samples for fund-raising,
	22	for charitable organizations. And the one that we had
	23	was the similar type of Wild Resource.
	24	MR. TIGUE: I'm just curious, because the
	25	numbers that I hear don't match with what is

happening.

When I hear surveys, and people will say -- and I think the plates you've shown are more attractive than the kind of state, rural yellow plates that have been issued. But when they say that, and then we offer something like the Wildlife Resource plate, if we exceed all expectations and sell 200,000 plates, that means less than two and a half percent will have purchased them.

I just think it's curious when you ask people "which one is more attractive" they say one thing, but when it comes right down to it, will they buy the plate, then it becomes another question, which doesn't translate to what we've found.

MR. YOST: We did ask them if they would pay more for the plate and many people did. Of course, in any survey asking about hypotheticals can sometimes lead to a hypothetical answer.

So certainly that behavior is probably why surveys do least well, but there is a general sentiment that people liked these plate, and they will pay more for it.

MR. TIGUE: It sounds like when we hear people who want services, but when it comes right down to it -- I mean, a lot of us want to go to Heaven, but

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

nobody wants to die.

You mentioned in your testimony, by the way, and I believe just from my personal observation, that the best plate seems to be for identification purposes, and that's where I think you are coming from -- I hope that's where you are coming from -- is a light background with dark alphanumerics; is that correct?

MR. KATZ: Yes.

MR. TIGUE: Would you say in that your experience, is a POW plate in Pennsylvania more readably identifiable than an issue plate or the Disabled Veteran plate which is white and has dark lettering?

MR. KATZ: You mean, than the standard --

MR. TIGUE: Than the standard issued plate.

The one that we have now. MR. KATZ:

My own personal opinion is it is, yes.

MR. TIGUE: Would you say the same plates would be more identifiable or less identifiable than the Wildlife plate, because from my perspective, the Wildlife plate has more than one color and it has other things on it; it has green, yellow, orange and then it has the hemlock or something?

Which would be more readily identifiable, if

either?

MR. KATZ: I think as a police officer, when an officer is out on the road and he is looking for a license number, he is going to be looking specifically at those digits, the alphanumerics on there.

I think his eye is trained enough that he is going do see just those digits and not be concerned with colors, or owls, or keystones, or whatever else may be on those plates. His job is to get that number, determine it's a Pennsylvania plate, then do with it as he may.

So I don't think the average police officer is going to -- that that's going to affect him or her as far as viewing the plates.

MR. TIGUE: The reason I'm saying this, on the Wildlife plate, as it says Pennsylvania is on the reflectorized bottom of it, where the -- I guess it's a hemlock branch or something, it's all intertwined there. I would think that that would be more difficult to distinguish.

The reason I bring that up is because we do in Pennsylvania -- I think we are getting too many types of plates, because you can't identify if it's a Pennsylvania plate I don't think, all the time.

The other point is that the Disabled Veteran

plate, POW plate, are the two that I know of, and I guess there are a few other ones, they are not reflectorized. They are not reflectorized. They are beads-on-paint.

It seems to me based on what you said, and I am not holding you as having studied this to any degree, I appreciate your opinion, but from my own personal experience, I think if we want to have a plate that's recognizable easily, we should use a light background with dark alphanumerics.

I don't think the process indicates that there is any advantage one way or the other. It has to do with the color scheme.

MR. KATZ: I think part of the advantage is the readability at night with the reflectorized plate.

When you are speaking about the different types of plates, I know that from personal knowledge, states like Georgia, they have gone pretty much to the Georgia Peach.

When you see a license plate that has a peach in the middle, you know it's a Georgia plate and you can recognize that. Whether Pennsylvania would want to proceed with something like that and have something, a Keystone or the Liberty Bell or something like that so everybody knows that that's a

Pennsylvania plate is really up to the representives.

MR. TIGUE: In the testimony this morning someone just said Georgia just sold 500,000 Olympic plates.

MR. KATZ: I think the basic plate in Georgia is the Georgia Peach. Now whether the Olympic plate, and I've never seen the Olympic plate in Georgia, maybe that has a peach in the middle, but if it has some other designation on it, I don't know.

MR. TIGUE: I appreciate your comments.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you.

Ralph Davis, Assistant Commissioner, Virginia
Department of Motor Vehicles.

Just for the record, introduce yourself.

MR. DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Subcommittee, my name is Ralph Davis. I'm an Assistant Commissioner with the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles.

As an Assistant Commissioner, one of my responsibilities deals with the actual production of license plates. I think some of what I'm going to say today is probably going to be a bit different from what you've heard this morning. For example, your last comments were in the direction of going toward

the standarized plates. Virginia is probably going in the other direction. In some of my discussion, as you will see, will show that.

I have with me Mr. Curtis Chisolm. Mr. Chisolm is the Administrator of Administrative Services at the Department of Motor Vehicles. The actual production of plates fall under Mr. Chisolm, so what he will do -- when there are very difficult questions, I may have to turn to Curtis.

I appreciate the opportunity to come speak before the Subcommittee. What I'm going to do is I think you have a handout in front of you, and what I will be doing is basically going through that handout. it is in a bullet form, and that's pretty much how I will conduct this testimony.

When I was given a call and found out on Friday that I was coming before this Committee, we had to put together something fairly fast to present to you.

What I want to do is talk some about -- I'm looking at Page 1 of the handout, the summary, to give you a history behind the special plates, talk about the revenues that have been generated, what types of plates we have, the various fees and the actual revenue trends, and talk some about the plate production process.

Virginia does use the reflectorized plate.

I'll briefly speak to that. We have tried on several occasions to do a replacement of select plates, and then I'll talk some about the direction that we are looking, particularly in terms of the plate production process.

Before we go on, I'd like to show you a couple of the Virginia plates. These are news plates. This is called a scenic plate, and this is a heritage plate, and they were introduced in 1992, and I will speak a bit more about them later.

These were tremendous sales in Virginia. I was involved early in the process of saying how many of these plates we expected to sell, and my background was that of an economist. I felt we would sell perhaps 200,000 over the life of the plate. I got a lot of egg on my face, because we've sold 800,000 of these things in two years, a tremendous sale of these particular plates.

These plates can be an advantage in that you can get a particular series put on these plates, and I will speak more later as to the various costs associated.

This is the Chesapeake Bay plate that was recently introduced. We've sold I think about 5,000

of these particular plates. This is a special plate where some of the money goes back to the Chesapeake Bay Foundation.

I just have to show the Penn State plate, as well. This plate, I think we've sold 500 or so of these. There is a big Penn State Association in Virginia around the State Capitol, in fact. So they kind of got this plate, and so we do have some cost splits.

These are just examples. These are the reflectorized. All of their plates are of that type. Page 2 of the handout, if you have that, some of the history behind the specialized plates. They were first authorized in 1992 -- 1972 rather.

At that time, the basic fee for the plate was a \$10 annual fee which would pay for the personalized plate, plus any other registration fees. The monies were earmarked for the highway maintenance and construction funds.

The definition of the special plate was that it had a number assigned or specifically reserved. There were three letters followed by the numbers one through a hundred.

As we move to Page 3 in which we are still talking some about the history, and what I'm trying to

show is basically the evolution of these plates. In '81 we went -- there could be a combination of two to six letters. In '87 a major change occurred in that the monies were then earmarked to the motor vehicle special funds.

In Virginia, different from a number of other states, the Department of Motor Vehicles has its own fund from which it operates. And one of those funding sources are these special license plate fees. Other fees that would be in the special fund would be things such as the driver's licenses, the title fees, and so forth. We also get a portion of normal vehicle registration fees.

In '88 another major event occurred in that we went from six to seven characters on the plate, and this was another boom in that a number of folks wanted more than six characters because that sometimes limited the combination of characters, so we went from six to seven.

In '88 also, the college plates were offered for the first time. '92 was another boom time, basically because we issued, under the direction from the general assembly, the scenic and heritage plates.

Page 4 of the document. I want to turn now from some of the history to the revenues behind these

plates.

Before I get to revenues specifically, I'd like to talk some about the various types of plates. I mentioned earlier, we have over 255 different types of plates. These types range from the normal passenger blue/white plate, standard plate, to some specialty plates for all of the various Boards and so forth that we have in the state.

For example, there may be an Agricultural Board, but those specific type Boards will have a plate, and these Boards were appointed by the Governor, and if the appointee so deserves, they can get a special plate which names the Board.

Most of the plates are created by special legislation. There is legislation that says the language in the law says that it creates a special plate.

There are circumstances, situations, where the DMV Commissioner has discretion to issue a special plate. Now this particular Secretary currently under review the Attorney General is basically saying he has to advise as to how far the Commissioners can go with his discretion in terms of these special plates.

Basically, 1.5 million of our 5.4 million vehicles bear some type of special plate. That is 28

plate.

percent of the vehicles -- roughly 28 percent of the vehicles on the highway have some type of special

To move on a bit specifically to the fees, and

I think in the back of your handouts there are some of
the various fees for the special plates, most of the
plates require a \$10 annual fee, plus the registration
fee.

In Virginia right now a vehicle weighing less than 4,000 pounds would pay an annual registration fee of \$26.60, and if it had one of these special plates on it, they are going to pay another \$10, and that \$10 is annual.

There are examples where we have what is called revenue sharing plate arrangements. And what happens here is that once a specific, identifiable, select nonprofit group, has the appropriate authority for these plates, once they sell 1,000 plates, the monies are split.

The fee for these special plates, for example a college plate is \$25, and once they've sold a thousand of those things, \$15 of it would go to that particular nonprofit group and \$10 would be retained by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Examples of these revenue sharing is that for

the universities in Virginia we have basically provided, since it started, about \$27,000 has gone to Virginia Tech; the University of Virginia, West Virginia; William and Mary and the University of Virginia.

There's a specialized plate for the Chesapeake Bay Foundation, and what we've done is we've transferred roughly \$120,000 to that Bay Foundation and then there's a gang protection fund. Again, these are plates that cost \$25 a year. Once they've sold a thousand of them, \$15 goes to some type of foundation.

For the Chesapeake Bay Fund we've sold roughly 5,000 of those plates, and we have earmarked 48,000 to the Chesapeake Bay Fund.

I mentioned the heritage and scenic plates.

These plates -- part of the reason for the sales of the plate is the \$10. 843,000 folks in Virginia thought they were very attractive, and they have been a very big seller.

Now I want to talk some about the revenue trend, and I'm on Page 6 of the document. And what you can see in this graph is that we have gone when the plates were first introduced in '73 from 200,000 to over 13 million dollars that we are getting from special plates, and what I've tried to do on this

graph is to show when it would peak.

As we are looking at -- some of what we have to do is try and anticipate monies we are going to get from the various plates. I think in '87 or '88 we were getting to a point where additional plates were not being sold. There's a point where you don't sell more plates and new things have to occur to generate interest or market the plates.

In '88, for example, we went to the seventh character and that started generating revenues again.

In '93 we went to these various plates, and, again, we saw a big up-kick in revenue to nearly 14 million dollars.

There are additional plates on the drawing board, so it looks like we probably have more plates instead of less. We plan to seek legislation to launch a series of plates bearing a Virginia born president, and plates are coming up every year, so we probably will be heading in the other direction. At the same time, the plates do generate a substantial amount of money.

I want to change gears now.

MR. MCCALL: Can you hold one second while she changes paper?

(Recess.)

MR. DAVIS: Now, I would like to go to the reflectorized versus the beads-on-paint.

What I would say in this regard is that
Virginia has basically been with a reflectorized plate
specifically since 1976, and we have not gone through
the type of cost comparisons that are currently
undergone here regarding reflectorized versus
beads-on-paint.

We do have a contract with 3M. When we go out to renew that contract, it specifies that it has to be reflectorized sheeting; that's basically what it says. So we do not go out with the option of looking at beads-on-paint versus a reflectorized sheeting.

There has been a number of different types of products that have been used, and if you go back to the early 1900's, there was some type of fiber glass plate that was used, there was a fiber board and some of the joking part of that is those plates were eaten by goats and so forth.

Steel was actually the first type of material that was used and there were variations of that.

Aluminum plates were used for the first time in 1973.

A limited addition of the reflectorized plate was used in '76, and they were mass produced for the first time in 1979 as the notes indicate.

More on reflectorized plates in terms of benefits of reflectorized plates, and this is based on information that we've seen, and what I would suggest to you is if there is a question of visibility of those plates, one thing you might want to do is actually do a night test of it yourself through the use of a camera or through the use of some type of video camera, actually see whether or not you can see the beads-on-paint versus the reflectorized.

I've seen tapes of that sort. Maybe what you want to do, if the concern is whether or not on the visibility of the plates -- is to do a live test to see as the vehicle moved further and further away, whether or not one plate is more visible than the other one. That is a suggestion.

What we understand regarding the reflectorized plates is basically that they're more durable, less impacted from rain, dirt and so forth, and age.

One of the things we have found out is that reflectorization is required on the highway signs. We came up 83. I'm not certain -- from Virginia up 83. I'm not certain what some of the road signs are made of, but some of what we do, and this is a learning process, and I did see beads on a lot of road signs. We could not read a lot of the road signs. I'm not

_

•

_

sure that's beads-on-paint or what technology that is, but coming up 83, you will notice that it's sometimes difficult to read some of the signs, and if you'll look closely, you'll see little round beads.

This is a learning process for me coming up last night, because we knew we were going to talk about beads-on-paint versus reflectorization.

The reflectorized plates, the plate itself, is going to be more visible at night. There are attractive designs for those plates, and the plates are supported by law enforcement in Virginia.

There's a letter attached to the handout from the Virginia Association of Chiefs in support, and what they basically say is that they support both. Virginia happens to have two plates on the vehicles, and the law enforcement community has consistently, for safety reasons, indicated that they support two plates as well as reflectorized plates, and I did attach to the document a resolution from the Virginia Chiefs of Police.

My final comment regarding the reflectorized plates is that the DMV, in my estimation, is in the business of safety and law enforcement, and we think that reflectorized plates provide another safety factor at night. And those are the kinds of arguments

.

that have been used, particularly regarding the safety aspect of it regarding the two plates, but in the resolution that you have, it addresses both reflectorized as well as two plates.

I was asked to talk some about a replacement cycle. In Virginia, plates were replaced every five years, and this is mainly for safety reasons. Plates deteriorate. Every five years through 1985; every eight years after 1987, and currently we don't have a replacement cycle.

We have on several occasions tried to replace plates, and the idea at that time was to replace plates that were eight years old or older. We tried in 1991. We tried again in 1993, and we have not been able to do that, and it's mainly because of costs.

We estimate that to replace our plates, and this is not the entire 5.4 million plates that we are talking about. We are talking about those plates that are eight years old or older, and we estimate that would cost us about 5.4 million, one-time cost, and a 1.2 million annual cost.

What we've done in the past is to say we want to replace all those plates, and another approach that I think we would take next time is instead of saying we want to replace all those plates at once, is to

take a staggered approach, perhaps to replace plates that are ten years old intitially, and then eight and nine and so forth, and that will come into the cost involved in replacement of plates, and I think that's probably going to be the approach that we take the next time we look at trying to replace plates.

I'm nearing the end, and I want to talk some about the direction that we are headed in the future. In all likelihood, we are going to stay with the reflective plates. We will probably move in another direction in terms of something called a preclear reflective sheeting.

One of the problems with reflective sheeting is in the production process, and one of the steps in that process a clear sheeting is added to the plates, and what this clear sheeting does is it gives durability and longevity to the plates, but one of the problems with it is that you have a residual hazardous material, and that creates some problems in terms of how do you dispose of or who has the responsibility for disposal of those hazardous materials.

One of the things that this preclear sheeting will do is that it will not have those hazardous materials that you have to dispose of, and there are certain environmental -- it shows an environmental

responsibility, I would think, if we move in that direction.

It will cost even more for the preclear sheeting, about six percent more is what we've seen. What it does is in terms of the manufacturing costs, is that there are a number of steps involved to produce these plates, and the use of this preclear sheeting, basically, makes the manufacturing process more efficient.

There are a series of equipment, there are a series of steps that are involved to actually dip the clearer coat on the plate that would be eliminated. It will have a better gloss and brighter, and basically they're compatible with our current system.

The disadvantages, as I've indicated, would be it costs more, and also I understand it may tend to scratch a bit more.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, this is the end of my testimony. I would be glad to answer any questions that you might have at this time.

MR. MCCALL: Representative Hess.

MR. HESS: Mr. Davis, just a couple of questions.

Do you have a front and back plate in Virginia?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. We have two plates.

MR. HESS: Two different plates. 1 1 MR. DAVIS: Not different. Two plates. 2 MR. HESS: They are the same identical plates? 3 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 4 MR. HESS: Also, are you presently acting as a 5 consultant for any particular firm that would be 6 7 concerned about the issue at hand today? MR. DAVIS: None. 8 MR. HESS: You are not on any payroll and 9 receiving any consulting fees? 10 MR. DAVIS: I'm a state employee with the 11 Commonwealth of Virginia. I do not act on behalf of **12** 13 any consulting firm. MR. TIGUE: Would you like to? 14 MR. DAVIS: My role was to, as understood it, 15 16 was to tell you basically what we do in Virginia and not necessarily to try to lead you in any particular 17 direction. That's what I've been trying to do, to say 18 19 what the history was, the type of evidence we've 20 produced and so forth. MR. HESS: We're just trying to bring out all 21 the information so everybody thoroughly understands 22

23

24

25

everything.

MR. DAVIS: That's kind of a shock to me that you would ask that. My approach is to hopefully

provide to you all the available information, and you take what we say and what everyone else says and make that decision from that.

We were invited up. I'm not real sure where the invitation came from, but we were invited up to say what goes on in Virginia, and that hopefully is what I've done.

MR. HESS: One more question. Your position is Deputy Commissioner for the State of Virginia for the Department of Transportation?

MR. DAVIS: My position is Assistant

Commissioner for the Virginia Department of Motor

Vehicles, and under that responsibility I have several administrations that report to me I'm responsible for. The State Transportation Fund is over a billion dollars a year, so we have to forecast those revenues. We collect over 600 million dollars from the State Gasoline Tax. I'm responsible for that function.

We have a mailroom operation. We produce license plates. We have a printing operation. I'm responsible for that function. Virginia may be a little different from some other states in that we have branch offices around the state. I have that responsibility as well, in terms of making some determination as to where our branches may be, so I'm

involved in a number different functions, this just happens to be one of those in that the plate production falls under me.

MR. HESS: As a follow-up to that, is this a civil service position or is this a political appointment?

MR. DAVIS: I am a civil servant; have been for 15 years.

MR. HESS: You will be there as well for the rest?

MR. DAVIS: We have a new administration.

You've probably read some of the same stuff that I have. I don't know how much you are aware of that.

There were certain state employees who were given letters requesting resignations. I happen not to be one of them. But I'm a civil servant, yes.

To provide more information in that regards, I think my Commissioner was asked to come up to speak to you, and he will not be Commissioner, effective May 1, and he asked me to come up in his stead. And as I said, I found out about this Monday to come up and give you this briefing on what goes on in Virginia.

MR. MCCALL: Just for the record. It was by virtue of my invitation that the State of Virginia was asked to come here and the Commissioner, and we are

delighted to have you travel in lieu of the Commissioner to present and give us insight on what

I hope any insinuation otherwise is corrected. We're just grateful you came here. It was by my invitation that you are here to shed some insight on what you do in Virginia because you have such an extensive program in Virginia.

Representative Leh.

you do in Virginia.

MR. LEH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just one brief question, Mr. Davis.

Did you ever as Assistant Commissioner study any other reflective process to determine the costs and benefits?

MR. DAVIS: We have not commissioned such a study. The only study that I am aware of was a study that was done in 1976 where they're, I think, mandated by law, but that's a bit dated.

We did look at the reflectorized plates versus the beads-on-paint, but since that time we have not commissioned a study. The reflectorized plates really have not been an issue in Virginia. The only other type of plate -- there is another technology that is out here. I think it's a company called Azon, which currently can produce some type of plate, but we have

MR. MCCALL: Again, thank you Ralph and your assistant for making yourselves available and providing us with the information and the program and how you conduct your program in Virginia. We appreciate you taking the time out of your schedules to be with us today.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, very much.

MR. MCCALL: Frank Felbaum, Executive Director Wild Resources Conservation Fund.

MR. FELBAUM: I'd like to the Chairman and Committee for inviting me here to testify today.

My testimony will be very short and to the point.

On behalf of the Wild Resource Conservation

Board, I would like to thank the members of the House

of Representatives that voted for House Bill 355 that

created the Wild Resource Conservation registration

plate.

The weekly report as of April 25, 1994

calculated 87,000 plates have been made available for

the citizens of the Commonwealth. The sale of the

license plate has given the Wild Resource Conservation

Fund a new visibility across the Commonwealth.

The design of any new license plate is going to be critical to its success. The fully relective

sheeting gives you the flexibility to maximize your design capabilities, and I will preface this statement. When my Board, the Wild Resource Conservation Fund Board, came down with the final plate selections, it got to the point where we couldn't get the prototype plates from Flexo-O-Lite in a timely fashion, due to the fact that the cost that it was used to make the plate. Now, if you have the time, fine.

And I will also state that the Flex-O-Lite designs were very, very attractive, but it was the cost effected to design three days before my hearing. So I'm saying, to maximize design capabilities, you have to have a little more lead time to work with Flex-O-Lite.

You need a quality design to sell license plates to the general public. We just have a few issuance problems with the plate, and they aren't unsurmountable. One was the handicapped citizens of the Commonwealth raised some considerations that they couldn't get a handicapped plate, so, of course, we are issuing the plastic tags that hang off of the rearview mirrors. So we are encouraging the handicapped to go that route, but we forgot to put that on the application for the registration, so

1 2

handicapped citizens of the state.

3

time would be the personalized vanity plates that some

5

of the people have that cannot transfer to the Wild

6 7

addressed by the Department of Transportation at some

Resources plate, and these recommendations must be

you'll have to take that into consideration for the

Also, the biggest complaint at this point in

8

point in time for all specialty plates being issued.

9

And the Department of Transportation has really been

10

helpful in expediting the whole process of getting

11

this plate up and running.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The choices of different plates are a good idea, but when you start giving a lot of people choices I think some of them become confused, and then they become very colloquial. If you are going to sell Amish travelism plate, it's going to be for Lancaster County, and the people in Erie aren't going to be too concerned about it. But at the same time, I'm also trying to protect my opts so it doesn't get gored financially when we are talking about the selection of the different plates, since the Wild Resources plate is the first plate across the Commonwealth to be issued, other than a regulatory registration plate.

The Wild Resource Conservation plate has been a very successful program to date.

Again, I'd like to thank the authorizing group for creating the plate and the program. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I'd be glad to answer any questions that the Committee has to ask.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you very much for your testimony.

Representative Leh.

MR. LEH: Yes. Thank you. Just one that came to mind.

Since this is -- and it's a beautiful license plate, I must say, and I drive around the state quite a bit, if I wouldn't have been here to vote on this plate, it probably would have taken me quite a while until I realized that it was the State of Pennsylvania.

When you marketed the license plate, do you think that its appeal to people was because of what it stood for, conserving natural resources, or "Gee-whiz, it's not the old gold on blue. Finally, it's something colorful"? And I guess I ask that because going to have all these specialty license plates, would they just have a very limited novelty appeal that would wear off soon, or is it something that's going to be a lasting effect?

plates.

MR. FELBAUM: Representative, I'd like to think that it was because they are outdoor enthusiasts, but I'll have to be honest and say I think it's both. And I guess the final figure would be in the tally of the

Now there are a lot of figures going around here, and I'm not a marketing specialist, and you're going to say: You're promoting the plate. I'm a one-man promotion firm and I'm projecting sales of the plate based on Maryland's. Now, you have to understand when everybody's talking 14 percent versus 32 percent, Maryland has taken three years to get to 14 percent.

If in Pennsylvania, using Maryland's figures and demographics, we should be probably at over 150,000 to 175,000 plates by the end of this year and plate costs, when you look at it, the new Flex-O-Lite plate versus the fully reflectorized sheeting, when the Correction's industry gave my Board the final price, if you are going to a graphics plate, the pricing on the first 50,000, the first 100,000, and the first 150,000 were identical.

So whatever 97.4 cents today, if they change the graphics on the Commonwealth' plate, it would probably be carried through to the plate being fairly

1 si

similarly priced.

MR. LEH: I only asked that because I think it's a beautiful looking piece of merchandise. I would like to have one, but at the same time and to be honest with you, I support cutting down forests and filling in the wetlands.

MR. TIGUE: You just mentioned something.
You're saying that the price is the same?
MR. FELBAUM: Yes.

MR. TIGUE: My understanding is that full \$15 does not go to the Wildlife Resource Conservation

Fund, because the cost of that plate is more, and you have to deduct the difference and pay PennDOT.

MR. FELBAUM: You're right, but I would have had to do the same thing with the Flex-O-Lite plate, because whenever it came across the Board from Corrections, when that plate is given to me by Corrections, when my Board looked at those final figures on the 50,000, 100,000, 150,000 breakdown, the prices were almost identical. It might have been a cent or two --

MR. TIGUE: I just want clarification, because my understanding was since this plate cost more, the difference has to be paid to PennDOT.

MR. FELBAUM: We get 14 dollars and 12 point 6

cents back from the \$15 investment.

MR. TIGUE: So this plate, in essence, cost almost twice as much as the regular plate to be produced?

MR. FELBAUM: The first 50,000 plates for the fund to purchase from PennDOT was \$1.86.

MR. TIGUE: And you mentioned earlier that currently they pay 97.4 cents --

MR. FELBAUM: For the blue and gold -- or the blue on gold, gold on blue.

MR. TIGUE: Okay. I just wanted to clarify that.

The only question as long as I have this microphone is my understanding that there are going to be changes to this every couple years.

MR. FELBAUM: Representative Hasay with the Board would look at an every three-year change in the design. This was just being talked over, it's not legistlatively, but the Board is making a Board policy, and Representative Hasay recommended that to my Board that this would be a different species.

Now, one thing I thought would be asked is why did you put the dumb owl on the plate instead of the state bird, deer, bear, turkey, or whatever. It's by law that I am required to use only nongame species.

So we'll never see a deer, bear, turkey. For me, I'd love to see a buck on the plate, and that would have sold a million plates within the first year.

So, by law, the creation of the Wild Resource Conservation Fund, we had to use the owl, which is the logo of the income tax check also, so we thought that was a direct tie-in to both publications public relation's policy.

MR. TIGUE: I'm glad you mentioned that. I was going to respond to Representative Leh in that I get people coming to my office asking "Why can't we get a plate with a deer on it?" I think it's not because this is the Wildlife Conservation, I think it's because of the design of the plate.

If we had the same or similar type plate and put a deer on it, you guys would be out of business.

Thank you.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you, Frank.

For the benefit of the members, there is one gentleman who wants to offer a minute of testimony. He is not scheduled to testify, but wanted to respond. They were invited to testify, as far as I know, and just said they'd be here to answer questions, but I will allow that latitude and ask Nick Gordon from Flex-O-Lite to testify.

By the way, the Fraternal Order of Police did not present testimony, but we will submit their letter for the record.

MR. GORDON: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Subcommittee, thank you for allowing me this one minute. I realize that this is somewhat of a last minute thing, but I didn't realize that there were going to be so many rebuttals and so much to say, and one minute, I doubt if I can do that.

I want to comment on the Chiefs of Police testimony, primarily because, as I recall, Chief Katz was not aware of an ISCP survey which was a national survey, which I have a copy of it here.

What I plan to do is write a letter to all of you gentlemen and to Representative -- the lady, I forget. But at any rate I want to write a letter covering why this survey should have been included. In this survey it basically says that the license plates should be -- the respondents, over 1,600 of them, around the country wanted more legible plates.

This survey was done at a time when over 86 percent of the states were using fully reflective sheeting. That says to me that a lot of those people out there weren't happy with the legibility of fully reflective plates. And the second such statement that

was made in here was that they wanted plates of distinct colors, as opposed to maps, models and symbols, which says to me, they didn't want graphics.

We have gone through this, my company and I, and we have noticed that the five gentleman on the Executive Committee at a time when there were only three states using nonreflective plates, of those five members, all three of those nonreflective plate states were on this Committee.

At the time of this survey, four of our states were using beads-on-paint. Four of our states were using our process. None of those guys are on this Committee. What that said to us was this is a marketing ploy for 3M. The fact is that 3M paid for the survey, also.

I could get into the Virginia study because the good friend from Virginia mentioned a 1976 Virginia study that was actually a 1973 Virginia study.

Flex-O-Lite was not involved in it, and it was one of the very few studies that 3M did not pay for. In fact, the Commissioner in Virginia, as I recall, by law has authority to pick reflectivity. So why he picked it when this Virginia study said that there was no conclusive evidence that fully reflective plates are any safety benefit, I don't know. That's another

ļ

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing I want to respond to.

As far as the License Plate Commission that's been banding about here today, that could be a disaster. We have just, in Arizona -- we have just undergone a situation where legislatively they passed a License Plate Commission. Ostensively this Commission was going to take the burden of all these bills that come before you, take it upon themselves and come up with specifications where companies could It didn't do that. This License Plate Commission was full of friends of the 3M Company, and I have written a letter to every member of the Missouri legislature, and I will get you copies of that letter where I state that. It's a fact that when this Commission got behind closed doors, had its open meetings, had its executive sessions, chose the 3M product by specification and there was only one bidder.

A commission in New Jersey, I think we've given you newspaper articles from New Jersey, was most upset because out of 76 bidders, there was one bidder, because the license plate commisson wrote their specs around the 3M product. That is not the way to go.

The way to go is to let PennDOT, the DMV and your experts here in the administration who know these

things, who know that we can, in fact, make pretty plates. Our design here was not approved for whatever reason, which doesn't mean anything.

The State of Colorado, they mention that the blue plate being used is not very successful. Well, it is successful. That's another fallacy. This was a 3M design. This license plate was, a result of 3M's lobbying efforts in the State of Colorado to pick the flag of the State of Colorado, of course that was going to pass, but the Department of Revenue, which oversees motor vehicles says I want competition.

They said can you come up with a white plate with blue numbers. I said yes, we can, but the blue numbers will not reflect very well. He said who cares. So we said well, okay. We'll do it. We did it, and he got some complaints. So we came back with this design.

You can have a competitive basis. I'm just very concerned if you have a License Plate Commission that will take away -- that conceivably would end up dictating to PennDOT what to do, and we could end up with just one bidder.

Finally, I will say, the State of Colorado had the same situation. I think I brought some newspaper articles, in which the 3M Company after their success

in Arizona, with the taste of blood, they ran to 5 1 Colorado, tried to pass the License Plate Commission 2 there. Some of the comments were: We don't need a 3 damn License Plate Commission. The Senators voted seven nothing to rid themselves of that problem. 5 The physical note attached to the bill was 21.8 6 million dollars, by the way. In Arizona it's 12 7 million. 8 So I have a lot of things to say, and I'm sorry 9 if I went over on my time. 10 MR. MCCALL: If you would like, you can submit 11 written testimony that we will leave the record open 12 13 and make it part of the record of this hearing if 14 you'd like to do that. MR. GORDON: I appreciate that very much, sir. 15 I will. 16 17 Thank you. MR. MCCALL: Any questions? 18 19 (No response.) We are adjourned. 20 21 22 (Whereupon the hearing concluded.) 23 24

Written testimony submitted by the Pennsylvania
Leadership Council:

Presented by Sean Duffy, President To the House Transportation Committee Regarding License Plates

Rep. Petrarca, Rep. Cessar, and members of the House Transportation Committee:

I appreciate this opportunity to present, on behalf of the Pennsylvania Leadership Council, this testimony regarding the manufacture and distribution of license plates by the Commonwealth.

The Pennsylvania leadership Council is the
largest, statewide pro-taxpayer organization in the
Commonwealth, focusing on reform in tax-and-spending
policy, education policy and within government. We
have focused a good portion of our energies on the

elimination of waste in state government.

At first glance, it might not be obvious why

Pennsylvania's taxpayers should be concerned about

license plates. The discussion must center on two

basic issues: first, the public safety factors

attendant to the plates, and, secondly, whether

changes to plates that increase costs to the state, or

to consumers, are justified.

Under discussion by this committee is a change from the current style of plate manufactured using the "beads on paint" method to the "fully reflectorized" method, wherein the whole plate is covered by a single layer of reflectorized tape.

As the committee well knows, the "fully reflectorized" plates are the sole province of one company, and you must consider whether to provide a monopoly in the manufacture of Pennsylvania license plates to that company.

In order to think through that proposition, it is important to first consider whether one type of plate enhances safety for other motorists and improves the ability of law enforcement to read the plates. In both instances, the evidence for "fully reflectorized" plates is not compelling. Automobile manufacturers already provide a number of reflective devices on vehicles, and it seems a stretch to suggest that the type of license plates - or even the absence of a license plate - would play a meaningful role in causing or avoiding a collision. Furthermore, it seems clear that if there were a legibility problem with the license plates currently in use, the law enforcement community would have raised this issue,

and demanded a change. We have not heard that call.

Given the paucity of evidence preferring one type of plate over another, the cost of such a change must be examined. The experiences of neighboring states raise cause for great concern. New York has "fully reflectorized" license plates, and has since 1969. Last year the state Inspector General reported that New Yorkers are paying at least \$2 million per year more than necessary because of monopoly bidding on a "fully reflectorized" contract. When Maryland wanted to change its type of license plate in 1985, it was calculated that going to a "fully reflectorized" plate would raise costs to Marylanders by \$5.5 million dollars in the first year alone.

Clearly, the potential expenditure of sums totalling multiple millions of dollars - which could be passed on to motorists in higher registration fees - without concomitant increases in safety is unwarranted. In all matters of public policy, the taxpayers deserve the most reasonable costs possible, and the matter of license plates is no different. Adopting a monopoly system is unnecessary and counterproductive.

One final principle that is vital to this discussion is integrity of process; granting a

monopoly for the manufacture of license plates or any other product, without a clear and compelling reason to do so, only serves to further undermine the already-shaken public confidence in government.

Considering this fact, the taxpayers remain to be convinced that action by the General Assembly is justified, which would commit Pennsylvania to a more expensive system of license plate production.

Written testimony submitted by Nicholas T. Gordon. Jr.. National Manager License Plate Systems:

Secretary Yerusalim's Testimony - RESPONSE

- 1991, 1992, 1993 Flex-O-Lite produced 4,205,321 license plates for Pennsylvania. Flex-O-Lite figures savings over 3M - \$1,009,277.

- Savings since 1982 approximately \$3,000,000 (based on \$0.24 difference)

- If 3M graphics used; over 10 year period cost over beads-on-paint (1.2 million license plates per year) - increase of approximately \$5,000,000.

1	1	Representative Frank Lagrotta's Testimony - RESPONSE
	2	
	3	- Specialty plates for limited (sports, etc.)
	4	market not economically feasible - market too
	5	small.
	6	
	7	- Wildlife plate profitable due to large market -
	8	entire state.
	9	
	10	- Reflective sheeting not only viable carrier for
	11	graphics.
	12	
	13	- License Plate Commissions are latest 3M ploy
	14	forcing states to use their graphic license
	15	plates - see Arizona, Colorado and New Jersey
	16	articles.
	17	
	18	Chief Ed Katz Testimony - RESPONSE
	19	
	20	- Dirt, cleanability of beaded plates, no
	21	problem. Durability superior to 3M's
	22	sheeting - Michigan, California, Connecticut,
	23	and Georgia, tests.
	24	
	25	- Waxing plates is only accurate statement by

1	1		Katz. Can darken yellow alpha-numberics
	2		creating contrast problems. Not an epidemic
	3		and never a problem in any state.
	4		
	5	-	Katz misinformed - 3M and Flex-O-Lite can bid
	6		beads-on-paint. (Arizona and Michigan)
	7		
	8	, -	Katz misinformed - Flex-O-Lite bid reflective
	9		sheeting as an agent for another sheeting
	10		manufacturer. Flex-O-Lite does not manufacture
	11		reflective sheeting.
	12		
	13	-	3M funded Economy League/Police Chiefs survey
	14		flawed. Questions deceiving, see Arizona and
	15		California surveys regarding same issue.
	16		
	17	-	National survey of International Association of
	18		Chiefs of Police rank and file (paid for by 3M)
	19		was a 3M marketing tool that failed - favored
	20		Flex-O-Lite system.
	21		
	22	-	Candlepower statements nonsense. Federal
	23		government std. #108 takes care of safety.
	24		
	25	Ralph	Davis, Virginia Depart. of Motor Vehicles

	1	
2 1	1 official - RESPONSE	
2		
3	-	Thought he remembered 1976 (Virginia) study
4	13	comparing 3M and Flex-O-Lite processes - not
5		true.
6		
7	-	Probably meant 1973 quality University of
8		Virginia (et. al) study of 3M's plates and
9		non-relective plates - Flex-O-Lite not
10		included.
11		
12	_	Study not paid for by 3M found no safety over
13		non-reflective license plates.
14	-	
15	-	Commissioner, by law, can choose license plate
16		reflectivity. Chose 3M even after study.
17		Dangerous and costly precedent.
18		
19	-	Virginia's comments could have been conveyed
20		through more appropriate organizations - like
21		AAMVA. Why did Vrgninia feel the need to
22		testify when another forum was available
23		(AAMVA)?
24		
25	_	Department of Motor Vehicles briefing, page 10

discusses how future plate production process will cost 6% more and may tend to scratch easier - referring to 3M's new sheeting.

Frank Felbaum Testimony - RESPONSE

- States in his written testimony "fully reflective sheeting gives you the flexibility to maximize your design capabilities. You need a quality design to sell license plates to the public."

- Although subjective, the Wildlife Committee

(and Flex-O-Lite believes this body was

promoted by 3M) chose 3M's Wildlife plate for

reasons other than quality. The artistic

acuity of Flex-O-Lite's plate far surpassed the

drawing that was 3M's entry. In fact, the

simple drawing accompanying the specifications

(a 3M model) was (predictably) the final

selection. Why? Ask the Commission.

Someone should ask Mr. Felbaum if 3M contributed to the Wildlife Fund coffers.

Members of Transportation Safety Sub-Committee of
House Transportation Committee

Testimony of Nicholas T. Gordon, Jr., National Manager, License Plates Systems for Flex-O-Lite., the present supplier of Pennsylvania's reflective license plate system.

Having been in my present position for 18

years, I consider myself to be somewhat of an expert
in this field. Having just witnessed the testimony
given to the House Transportation Safety Sub-committee
regarding license plates, I am even more convinced of
my expertise.

Regarding Secretary Yerusalim's Testimony -

In 1991, 1992 and 1993, Flex-O-Lite
manufactured 4,205,321 license plates for
Pennsylvania. 3M's basic, plain reflective sheeting
sells for approximately \$0.70 a square foot - or \$0.35
per plate. Flex-O-Lite sells the exposed lens system
(commonly called beads-on-paint) for about \$0.10 per
plate. In 1982, the difference between the 3M bid and
Flex-O-Lite's bid was \$0.24 per plate.

At the second bid opening, 3M bid an

alternative to aluminum by bidding steel - a far less expensive metal. This created a difference in the 2 bids of (as I recall) only about \$0.07 per plate.

Flex-O-Lite contends that this figure is unreal because Flex-O-Lite bid the specification. Had 3M bid aluminum (or for that matter, had we bid steel), the difference would have been about \$0.25 per plate.

The fact is this: Pennsylvania is the only state where Flex-O-Lite bids the metal substrate.

Market conditions and fluctuations in the aluminum market distort the actual cost of the reflective product.

Historically, however, our product has always been about \$0.25 less expensive than plain sheeting and about \$0.40 less than 3M's graphics sheeting.

\$0.24 x 4,205,321 license plates = a savings over a 3 year span of \$1,009,277.

Since 1982, if the state only produced 1,000,000 plates a year, the savings would be almost \$3,000,000 using this \$0.24 difference.

Representative Frank Lagrotta's Testimony

Although "sports" plates sound like a nice idea, they aren't very lucrative. Any specialty plate

that caters to a limited market is, in all likelihood, destined for failure. The Wildlife Fund plate is thus far a success because the market size is not limited to a specific group. This plate has the luxury of drawing from every Pennsylvania registered driver.

Representative Lagrotta's contention that reflective sheeting is the most desirable product because of color accomodation is also false. For example, his team, the Penguins have colors of black and gold. Using a simple (even a reflective) decal on a black plate with gold numbers (or white numbers depending on the design of the decal) would be ideal. The same for the Flyers, Phillies or Eagles. Reflective sheeting is not a necessity for specialty plates and neither is a "license plate commission". This "commission" idea is absolutely a 3M ploy developed as a result of their inability to pass legislation requiring "fully" reflective license plates. The overtness of "fully" gave way to the surreptitious "commission".

In Arizona it worked thusly: After failing to get "fully" reflective plates legislated, 3M returned to Arizona by lobbying successfully for a "License Plate Commission" stacked with friends of 3M. The unsuspecting legislators were led to believe that a

commission would solve their license plate woes while clearing the legislative calendar of all the nuisance license plate bills - many of which were promoted by 3M, of course. (Letter to Missouri legislature available on request.)

Predictably, this new commission set about the business of writing specifications favoring 3M.

Naturally, 3M was the sole bidder and subsequently awarded the contract. At present, Flex-O-Lite is protesting this award to the State Purchasing Office.

The Phoenix Gazette reports that this action will cost Arizona taxpayers \$12 million.

A similar bill in Colorado was defeated in the Senate Transportation Committee recently by a 7-0 vote. The fiscal note was \$21.8 million.

A license plate commission in New Jersey chose a design that only 3M bid - out of 76 invitations to bid.

(Newspaper articles, etc. from these 3 states are available upon request.)

Ed Katz (Chief of Police) Testimony -

To begin, the dirt and grime problem Mr. Katz referred to are non-existent. The glass beads we use

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are microscopic flame treated lens elements with the hardness approaching diamonds on the Mohs' scale. The beads cover 99% of the painted (binder) surface and are easily cleaned. When Connecticut switched from 3M's product to the exposed lens system (beads-on-paint) one of the determining factors, besides cost, was durability. Mr. Paul Sullivan, Chief of Standards and Tests for Connecticut stated in his report that 3M's reflective license plates were "...lacking in uniformity and unable to withstand subjection to weather conditions - on many the lettering/numbering became illegible after one trip to a car wash." (Connecticut letter available upon request as are tests results from Michigan and Georgia.)

With regard to "washing" our plates, the state of California abraded both 3M and Flex-O-Lite specimens with a CS 10 abrasion wheel under a 1,000 gram load. 3M's wore through to the glue line after 1,000 revolutions. At 1,300 revolutions our plate showed no effect. In fact, our plates were actually wearing out the wheel.

Chief Katz did make one accurate statement - sort of - we do have license plate mailing envelopes stating "don't wax" (nothing about washing). The

_ _

reason is simple; most people don't understand that there's a special reflective treatment on the embossed (yellow) areas of the blue plates. Wax from the blue could carry over to the yellow darkening the alphanumerics creating a potential contrast problem - nothing more. Chief Katz' statement that Flex-O-Lite was "...the only firm to supply beads-on-paint..." was false. The Denver Post reported on March 9, 1976 that a 3M executive stated "we manufacture both systems, by the way." Also, on April 11, 1979, bids for the beads-on-paint system were opened in Arizona. 3M bid \$0.05499 per plate. 3M also bid beads for this process in Michigan on more than one occasion. (Bid copy available on request.)

Chief Katz, while extolling the marvel of 3M's bright license plates, dwelled on the benefits of reflective sheeting's candlepower - or brightness.

Flex-O-Lite has never denied the fact that 3M's sheeting was "brighter" than the beads-on-paint process. We've only questioned the value of this glare.

In 1971, the federal government in its Safety Standard #108 (J594e) mandated that all automobiles built since then would build in reflex-reflectors - the yellow and red reflectors now on all automobiles.

Specification J594e mandates that these reflectors must be visible at all distances from 100 to 600 feet under low beams at night. Flex-O-Lite's system meets these federal requirements. (See Michigan and California studies.)

The federal government has clearly taken responsibility for automobile safety. There are no federal requirements for reflective license plates because there is no safety factor. Chief Katz should call the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety for their views on this issue. (Mr. Brian O'Neill, Executive Director/AC 703-247-1500.)

Katz was also mistaken when he stated

Flex-O-Lite bid reflective sheeting. We bid AS AGENTS

for another reflective sheeting manufacturer.

Flex-O-Lite does not, and has never, manufactured

reflective sheeting. While Chief Katz was testifying,

in concert with individuals from the Economy League

and Hood, Light and Geise, Representative Hess asked

who paid for the survey (seemingly in support of 3M's

graphic design license plates). Eventually, it was

determined that 3M funded all of this. It is no

secret that when a company funds a study, it naturally

controls the elements of that study - including the

questions asked in a survey.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this case, asking an individual whether he or she would pay \$0.50 for a graphic (remembering Flex-O-Lite's Wildlife entries were not included) license plate over the standard blue and yellow Pennsylvania plate is absolutely ridiculous. The state could never sell graphic plates out of a truck. The state would have to spend millions of dollars to stockpile graphics for distribution. Because of this, the questions should have been - "do you think the state should spend \$3.5 million for graphic plates for Pennsylvania drivers?" Two surveys Flex-O-Lite recently commissioned in Arizona and California have far different results. We are attaching them to this testimony so that you can see the difference in the questioning and the responses.

Finally, Representative Brown asked Chief Katz if he was aware of an I.A.C.P. survey (1979). His negative response is no surprise. In a Patriot-News editorial, 3M was cited for funding the recent Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police/Economy League study.

3M's salesman stated that the grant was a reflection of 3M's commitment to highway safety and "not a marketing tool for reflective sheeting".

I submit that the I.A.C.P. (and their police chiefs' organizations throughout the U.S.) is a

marketing tool for 3M and I offer as evidence the 1979

I.A.C.P. (International Association of Chiefs of

Police) national survey paid for by 3M.

The 1,673 surveys of the rank and file clearly show a preference for the exposed lens (beads-on-paint) system by declaring the following:

- An increase in the legibility of license plates.
- A need to improve the readability of license plates at higher speeds under day and night conditions.
- State identification through distinctive colors as a marked preference to the use of maps, mottoes, symbols, etc.

Flex-O-Lite became more concerned about the purpose of this document when we saw the 5 members of the "Vehicle Identification Study Committee" as part of this survey.

At the time of this survey (1979) 4 states were using the Flex-O-Lite process; 3 states still had non-reflective license plates and the remainder - 86% - were using 3M's material.

Incredibly, of the 5 members of this committee, not one was from a state employing the beads-on-paint system. However, the 3 non-reflective license plate states were. The other two were from Florida and, of course, Minnesota, 3M's home state.

The disproportionate make-up of this committee is overwhelming proof of Flex-O-Lite's assertion.

It doesn't surpise me, therefore, that Chief
Katz didn't know about this survey. It did nothing to
promote 3M's marketing designs and was probably deemed
not very useful.

admittedly paid for most of the studies in support of the "safety" claim of their reflective plates. Their mistake was in the belief that they could control the elements of this survey to the same degree that they controlled their studies. Obviously, opinions are more difficult to control unless the questions are well defined and well developed.

In conclusion, this witness made many statements that were either untrue or inaccurate. Everything I've stated here can be easily corroborated with evidence from the public domain.

Ralph Davis - Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles

Mr. Davis testified that he knew of a 1976

Virginia Study in which both 3M's sheeting and

Flex-O-Lite's beads were compared. As far as I know,

this is not true. Flex-O-Lite never took part in any
study in Virginia.

The study to which Mr. Davis refers, I think, is the 1973 not 1976 Virginia Study. This study, known for its accuracy, was conducted by the Virginia Highway Research Council (a cooperative organization sponsored jointly by the Virginia Department of Highways and the University of Virginia) and it did not include, as Mr. Davis suggested, our system.

It included 3M's sheeting and non-reflective license plates only and was not, according to the Public Issues Consumer Group New Jersey Reporter magazine, not paid for by 3M. Results?

"It was concluded that there was no statistically significant difference between the number of nighttime rear-end collissions of vehicles equipped with reflectorized license plates and that of vehicles equipped with control nonreflective license plates."

As I recall, Virginia's law gives sole

authority to the Commissioner of Department of Motor Vehicles to choose reflective license plates - obviously (and considering the negative results of Virginia's own study) a very dangerous precedent. I submit that Virginia's testimony, could have been voiced at the AAMVA (American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators) where ideas and concepts are thoroughly communicated.

Frank Felbaum's Testimony

My problem with Mr. Felbaum's testimony was his assertion that our graphic Wildlife plate would be more difficult to produce - for some reason. In fact, the way in which we would have supplied these plates, production would have been easier than producing your present blue and yellow standard issue. We discussed this with Mr. Felbaum at the conclusion of the hearing and think he now understands our process better.

Conclusion

My one regret is that I underestimated the involvement of th 3M Company in this exercise. My company felt that since 3M was not testifying,

1 Flex-0-

Flex-O-Lite as a competing vendor should also refrain.

I apologize for this oversight and hope I've given your committee enough of a reason to avoid the morass that this license plate business can become.

Your Department of Transportation, Bureau of Motor Vehicles and Department of Corrections are well aware of the nuances of this license plate business.

To legislate a license plate commission would, in effect, give license to micromanagement by a group who could be easy prey for 3M.

I thank you for your interest in this matter and am ready to support everything stated here. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this issue further, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

17 Nicholas T. Gordon, Jr.

License Plate Systems

Written testimony submitted by Francis P. Bascelli,

President. Pennsylvania State Lodge, Fraternal Order

of Police:

Hon. Joseph A. Petrarca, Chairman and Honorable

Members of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania House of Representatives Transportation Committee

Honorable Chairman and Committee Members:

I am writing on behalf of more than 30,000 professional law enforcement officers who comprise the Fraternal Order of Police throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to address certain proposed changes to vehicle registration plates that have been brought to our attention.

The first proposal is to issue reflectorized license plates throughout the State, which we must oppose. Our members have reported that the new Wild Resource Conservation tags, which have the reflectorized finish, are substantially more diffiuclt to read at night than the existing, standard plates. The standard yellow markings on a blue background is the most legible plate, but even the old blue on yellow is preferable to the reflectorized plate.

Next, we are concerned with the many requests for additional, specialized plates. The FOP certainly recognizes the legitimate desires of various organizations to receive specialized plates, but we also ask that such registration plates conform to the

standard yellow on blue tag. Major variations are more difficult to identify and keep track of. Simply, we have enough different plates already with the blue on white State tag, white on blue municipal tag, red on white disabled veteran tag, reflectorized green on white Wildlife tag, etc.

Finally, we would like to express our support for a proposal which would require the issuance and display of front and back registration plates. An officer on patrol may have both a vehicle description and tag number, but only the description is usable to identify an oncoming car. Likewise, registration numbers are often totally unavailable because civilian witnesses were unable to get a clear view of the rear plate.

We do recognize that the issuance of an additional plate would create a substantial expense. From the standpoint of law enforcement, however, we believe that the expense is justified.

Thank you for this opportunity to express the interests of the Fraternal Order of Police.

Fraternally yours,

Francis P. Bascelli, President

Pennsylvania State Lodge

Fraternal Order of Police

4	1	I hereby certify that the evidence and
	2	proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the
	3	notes taken by me during the hearing of the within
	4	cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript
	5	of the same.
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	B. Manie Likeur
	10	B. MARIE MICKENS
	11	Court Reporter
	12	
	13	
	14	HOLBERT ASSOCIATES
	15	2611 Doehne Road
	16	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110
	17	
	18	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES
	19	NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS
	20	UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF
	21	THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	