## 03-91-005

1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - - - - x 1 House Transportation Committee : Public Hearing - Investigating : mandatory student driver education : program, House Bill 2866. : : х Pages 1 through 91 The Harley Hotel Rodi Road Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Wednesday, September 21, 1994 Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m. **BEFORE:** REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPH A. PETRARCA, Chairman **REPRESENTATIVE ANTHONY DELUCA REPRESENTATIVE LARRY ROBERTS** REPRESENTATIVE PAUL PARSELLS **REPRESENTATIVE PAUL LANDIS Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.** 700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011 (717) 761-7150 1-800-334-1063

1	<u>CONTENTS</u>	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	Dr. Richard J. Hornfeck, Ph.D.	6
4	Dave Aites	24
5	Kathy Pavlo	29
6	Mark Hennesy	33
7	Joseph Pauswinski	36
8	Sandra Tomlinson	44
9	Jack Sousa	58
10	Robert Maxino	65
11	Dr. Arlene Baxter	69
12	Ann Rose	77
13	Roger Christianson	82
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Any reproduction of this transcri prohibited without authorization	pt is of the
25	certifying reporter.	

2

	3
1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	10:00 a.m.
3	REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPH A. PETRARCA: I would call
4	the meeting to order. We have Representative Larry Roberts
5	from Fayette County, and we have Tony DeLuca, who is the
6	prime sponsor of the bill, from the Penn Hills are. We have
7	Paul Parsells, my exec, and we Paul Landis, the minority
8	exec.
9	I would like to call the meeting to order. We're
10	having a public hearing on House Bill 2866, and the prime
11	sponsor is Tony DeLuca. I would like to introduce him at
12	this time.
13	REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	First of all I would like to thank you and the Committee for
15	coming into the district of Penn Hills, and I would like to
16	welcome all the people who are going to testify and thank
17	them for taking the time to come out here to Penn Hills to
18	testify on what I think is an important bill.
19	Again, I would like to thank the Transportation
20	Committee and it's Chairman, Representative Petrarca, for the
21	opportunity to testify at this hearing on behalf of my bill
22	mandating driver training for young Pennsylvania drivers.
23	Under House Bill 2866, a driver's license could not
24	be issued to anyone age 16 or 17 unless the person has
25	successfully completed a driver education course. This

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

I

course would include a comprehensive session on the dangers
 of drinking and driving.

3 The advantages of this legislation are very many. 4 First, driver education classes would provide new drivers 5 with information to help make sure they drive safely. 6 Second, the classes would present defensive driving 7 principals and other factors which would affect driving 8 Third, the classes would classify the dangers of behavior. 9 driving under the influence of alcohol and drugs as well as the importance of wearing seat belts. 10

This bill also would help young drivers adopt a
positive and responsible attitude toward safety. This
positive and responsible attitude would help reduce
accidents, fatalities and property damage and would mean
lower insurance premiums for all Pennsylvania drivers.

This legislation would not cause a financial burden to the state. The bill would mandate a maximum fee of \$25 to be paid by the license applicant. Five dollars of that \$25 fee would go to PennDot.

Some of the comments that I have heard in the past is, "What about the poor people who can't afford the \$25?" My comment to them is the fact that anybody who can't afford \$25 for this training is not going to be able to drive in the first place because as we know driver insurance is mandatory in the State of Pennsylvania, and \$25 is a small amount

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

compared to what insurance premiums are today for young
 drivers.

Presently, several of our neighboring states take
part in mandatory driving training programs, namely Maryland,
Connecticut and New York State, which has instituted a threehour course.

7 In 1993, more than 117,000 sixteen and 17 year olds
8 were licensed to drive in Pennsylvania, and according to the
9 United States Center for Health Statistics, an average of 17
10 out of every 1,000 teens and young adult deaths in America
11 are caused by motor vehicle accidents.

12 The New York Times recently reported that the 13 frequency of driver intoxication in fatal crashes has been 14 cut by almost a third due in large part to tougher 15 innovative laws. House Bill 2866 is one of those tough laws 16 that will help insure that young drivers learn to be 17 responsible motorist before they drive even one mile.

As legislators, it is imperative that we work
diligently to increase additional programs in driver
training which will insure safe drivers and reduce premiums
for all Pennsylvanians.

In closing, let me state that at one time a lot of our school districts did initiate driver education, but because of the cost of education today and the fact that school districts are in dire need of revenue, that was one of

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

6 1 the first courses that a lot of our school districts cut out, 2 and if the insurance industry is willing to give discounts on 3 behalf of teenage drivers being educated, then I can see the 4 benefits of this bill if it is passed by the House and 5 Senate. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, that's all I have to say 7 today. 8 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Moving right along, we 9 have Dr. Richard Hornfeck, Ph.D., Director, Highway Safety 10 Center, Indiana University. 11 Whereupon, 12 RICHARD J. HORNFECK 13 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 14 DIRECT TESTIMONY 15 WITNESS HORNFECK: I want to thank Representative 16 Petrarca and other members of the House Transportation 17 Committee for giving me the opportunity to offer testimony 18 regarding House Bill 2866. 19 As a professional in the field of driver and 20 traffic safety education, I am not in favor of this bill for 21 the following reasons, and what I have done is stated these 22 reasons. 23 Number one, Pennsylvania currently has a state approved driver education program that requires a minimum of 24 25 30 hours of classroom instruction and six hours of behind the wheel instruction. This instruction is not required for driver licensure in this state, but it is recognized by insurance companies in Pennsylvania in relation to premium discounts and it is also one of several requirements for obtaining a regular license at 17 years of age.

6 Driver education is a two-phase teaching and
7 learning process, classroom and behind the wheel, and House
8 Bill 2866 only addresses a small part of the classroom phase.
9 Number two, House Bill 2866 identifies a minimum of

Number two, House Bill 2866 identifies a minimum of
two hours of alcohol and drug education. Act 211, a
comprehensive alcohol and drug education program for our
schools and communities, mandates the teaching of alcohol and
drug education in every grade, K through 12. Therefore,
House Bill 2866 is redundant in relation to requirements that
are already in place in our schools as a result of Act 211.

Number three, Pennsylvania's Department of 16 Transportation and Education are currently involved in 17 testing an enhanced driver education curriculum. In an 18 attempt to improve the current state approved driver 19 education curriculum, Pennsylvania's Department of 20 Transportation contracted with a private research company to 21 identify ways of improving the state's driver education 22 curriculum. 23

The product delivered to the Department of
Transportation was titled Pennsylvania's Enhanced Driver

7

FORM 2

Education Curriculum. The Department of Education has
 contracted with I.U.P.'s highway safety center to develop,
 implement and evaluate this experimental curriculum. We are
 currently in the second year of a three-year study, and there
 are currently 14 high schools using the curriculum.

8

6 The four special areas emphasized in this 7 curriculum are number one, or A, parent involvement. In this 8 curriculum, parents are required to assume an active role in 9 the training of their new drivers. This involvement can 10 assume a variety of ways, and what we are doing right now 11 with the treatment schools is having them identify and 12 document the various methods that they are using.

Next is B, decision making. It has been documented
that most young people do not know how to make decisions.
Most young people operate on the wish philosophy when making
decisions. The enhanced curriculum teaches young people how
to make decisions and then it allows them to practice this
decision making model throughout the driver education
program.

Then C, visual training; all of our decisions in driving are based upon what we see and we predict. The enhanced curriculum teaches the new driver how vision is a skill and how they can practice this skill. It also enables them to take what they learn in the classroom and practice this skill under the supervision of the driver education 1 teacher in the driver education vehicle.

2 Night driving; students are introduced to the complexities of driving at night in the classroom and then 3 4 shown how to address these complexities. After the classroom instruction, the driver education teacher schedules at least 5 6 one, and in most cases in the treatment schools, two night lessons for the students in the driver education car. 7 This is rather unusual in the current structure that we have in 8 9 our state approved driver education programs in the state.

As previously indicated, we are hoping to develop a lower risk driver with this enhanced curriculum. Until we can say one way or another that this is the case, then any driver education legislation should be placed on hold until we can determine if, in fact, a lower risk driver can be produced with these experimental or treatment methods.

Number four, on May 31st, 1994, the National
Highway Traffic Safety Administration submitted a report to
Congress titled, Research Agenda for an Improved Novice
Driver Education Program. I brought one copy of that and
gave that to Julie when coming in, so she will make that copy
available to the Committee.

This report identifies two critical areas in the teaching/learning process of the new driver. The one area is the graduated licensing system, which identifies a three-tier system. These tiers are learner's permit, intermediate or

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

provisional license and a regular license. There are various
 components and restrictions associated with each of these
 tiers.

The other area in the report addresses the need for a two-stage driver education program that would be conducted at various times in the graduated licensing process. These stages of education would require both classroom and laboratory learning experiences.

9 It should be noted that the four areas previously
10 identified in Pennsylvania's enhanced driver education
11 curriculum are also identified in this report to Congress.
12 With the National Highway Traffic and Safety Administration
13 recommend a graduated licensing and learning process, it
14 might be better to draft legislation that would reflect their
15 recommendations.

I also brought a document from the National Association of Independent Insurers which I also gave to Julie to make available to the Committee. They have also endorsed a similar plan, and they have developed model legislation for states to adopt, so she does have that to give to you.

Five, I have always been supportive of requiring mandatory driver education for licensure at 16 and 17 years of age. This is reflective in a position paper that I developed on funding for Pennsylvania's high school driver

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

education programs. This position paper was developed for
 two House bills in 1987, which were identified.

Once again, driver education, driver training, is a combination of both classroom and laboratory learning experiences and we need to do both to insure a safer and more efficient driver. A copy of that position paper is attached under attachment A.

8 In closing, I want to once again thank the House 9 Transportation Committee for giving me the opportunity to 10 present testimony regarding driver education and my position 11 concerning House Bill 2866. I also want to offer the 12 services and resources of the Highway Safety Center in 13 response to any future legislation that addresses the highway 14 safety needs of our users in Pennsylvania.

15 Thank you.

16 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Tony, do you have any 17 questions?

18 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Yes, I do. Thank you,
19 Mr. Chairman.

I want to thank you, Doctor, for your testimony. I just have a few questions. You allude to the fact, and I don't think that anybody can dispute the fact, that classroom and on the site learning would be the best course of action for any driver training education program, but with the cost, what it would cost us to institute this?

You know yourself -- I don't know if you have any
 statistics that show how many of our 501 school districts
 have driver education training.

WITNESS HORNFECK: I have that data here if you
would like it.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: How many do have it,
7 Doctor?

WITNESS HORNFECK: Out of the 501 school districts, 8 9 there are 360 school districts -- this is 1993-1994 -- that 10 had a state approved program. That would be both classroom 11 and behind the wheel. Thirty-nine school districts had 12 classroom only, two school districts had behind the wheel 13 only and according to the person in the Department of 14 Education, they were in the process a building campaign, etcetera, and there were 100 school districts that had no 15 16 program.

17 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: It's my understanding in 18 talking to some of the educational systems, the people in the 19 education system, that with the reduction of funding for 20 education, with the mandate of our public schools reducing 21 their -- having to reduce different programs, this is going 22 to be one of the programs that they are looking at to reduce 23 because of the cost factor.

I mean, if you were going to reduce a program, I'm sure that this is one of the programs you would look at.

What does it cost a school district to institute a program
 like this, to actually have a program like this; do you have
 a cost factor?

WITNESS HORNFECK: I think, in my position paper 4 that I put in on funding and identifying means of funding for 5 6 driver education, and this was in 1987, I believe I identified at that time, using North Carolina as an example 7 -- in fact I can use North Carolina as an example from the 8 last school year because they have mandatory driver education 9 for licensure at 16 and 17 years of age -- we had met with 10 11 the representative from their Department of Education, and their budget for last year's driver education program was \$25 12 million, and I believe they were reaching probably about 13 120,000 to 125,000 students. 14

The per-student costs I identified, we're probably looking in the neighborhood -- I would be -- probably in the neighborhood of \$250 per student to teach both classroom and behind the wheel.

19 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Wouldn't that be higher in20 Pennsylvania, though?

WITNESS HORNFECK: Possibly; it depends on the age of the teacher, how long that teacher has been in the school system. There are ways of reducing costs in regards to -and the most expensive part is the laboratory fee. The classroom part is not the expensive part. The most...

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Not to interrupt you, but you still need a teacher that we pay, that we have to pay, to do this.

WITNESS HORNFECK: But, the school districts have
identified various means, the use of teacher aids, which has
been proven to be successful in Pennsylvania and other
states. Contracting with private driver training schools has
reduced costs or charging a lab fee for the student.

9 A lot of our school districts charge lab fees for
10 all kinds of activities other than just driver education.
11 They charge lab fees for extracurricular activities and so
12 forth.

We realize that the cost is there. 13 Tt is 14 expensive, but I go back and I'm teaching a skill such as 15 driving. To try to teach a skill such as driving and teach it only with classroom only, without seeing if they are 16 17 taking what they are learning in the classroom and carrying 18 it over to the on-street or behind the wheel, it is very difficult to say that I'm accomplishing what I want to 19 accomplish. I need parent involvement, and these are things 20 that are identified... 21

22 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: But, parent involvement,
23 not only in driving education but also in curriculum
24 education, has fallen off.

WITNESS HORNFECK: Act 211 that I made mention of

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

in regards to -- you are aware of that. That's a school and 1 community program, and --2

**REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA:** I understand that. 3 WITNESS HORNFECK: -- they must be involved. 4 I understand that, but we REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: 5 can't legislate parent involvement no matter what we do. 6 Ι am just wondering, have you evaluated the New York program to 7 see why they haven't done away with it since it's -- they 8 have had it for a while. If it's not successful, why are 9 they keeping a program that is not successful? 10 WITNESS HORNFECK: Well, I could respond in 11 relation to Pennsylvania. We've had a state approved 12 We've had \$35 reimbursement since 1958, in the program. 13 late 1950's, and I made mention of the enhanced curriculum. 14 Back then, Jack Zogbe, who is the Deputy Secretary 15 for Safety Administration, wanted to do something with driver 16 education in Pennsylvania. He wanted to either keep it as it 17 is, he wanted to improve it or he wanted to do away with it. 18 Ketron did the study. They were the private 19 research company. Ketron came back and they identified the 20 areas that I talked about in the enhanced curriculum as an 21 attempt to improve the current curriculum because our past 22 methods, alluded to in the study I gave you, the report to 23 Congress, indicates that we need to re-evaluate.

25

24

We need to look at how we're going to train these

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

young drivers because every age group has shown a decrease in
 accidents on the highway except for the 16 and 17 year olds.

One of the things that they get into is the multiple, at least two, learning experiences, giving them minimal experiences to go and practice with their parents on a six-month permit, requiring the student to keep the permit for six months and protecting that young driver during that period of six months.

9 Then, when they have proven, through testing and
10 evaluation, that they can drive, they are then given a
11 provisional license which can be similar to our junior
12 licenses in the state right now.

Even on that, there are a lot more restrictions than what we have identified, but one of the other things that was identified is that we've gotten the students' attention, we've given them some experience, they can relate better to what we're trying to teach them and now we will bring them back in for some additional training or teaching.

It's a unique approach, and all I'm saying is that,
with the current five hours in this bill you have here, it's
not much different than perhaps what we have been doing. The
only thing that I see as a positive with this bill is that we
would get everybody that would be going for licensure at 16
and 17 years of age, but my question is, are we having an
affect on that driver's needs?

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

1 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: We wouldn't know until we
 2 actually had it and until we did a study on it.

WITNESS HORNFECK: But, what the National Highway
Transportation Safety is proposing is that the state put the
comprehensive program in and evaluate it. NHTSA will be
providing funding through the federal government.

7 One of the reasons we've dropped off in driver 8 education in this state and other states is because the 9 federal government backed off verbal support and financial 10 support of driver education and it trickled down to the 11 states, and then it trickled down to the school districts. 12 REPRESENTATIVE DELUCA: Do you look for that to

13 || increase?

WITNESS HORNFECK: Do I look for it to increase?
REPRESENTATIVE DELUCA: Do you look for that to
increase on the federal level, the funding and the support?
WITNESS HORNFECK: With the Danforth bill that was
discussed, which was not voted on, which was not taken to
the floor...

20 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: There are a lot of bills 21 being discussed.

WITNESS HORNFECK: Right, but with the emphasis that NHTSA is discussing, yes, I see federal monies being appropriated once again toward the training of the young driver.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

18 When we say driver education, we've got to change 1 2 our thoughts as far as what our traditional program has been, 3 30 and six. It's got to change. Learning is a -- it's a 4 multiple learning experience, and learning something like 5 driving is truly a multiple learning experience. 6 We can't be expected to reach the needs of that 7 young driver in a one-shot approach, and we've proven that we 8 can't do that. 9 **REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA:** I don't think any of us 10 would be naive enough to think that this bill could do that, 11 okay? 12 WITNESS HORNFECK: Okay. REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: But, I don't see the harm 13 14 in it. I mean, we also teach -- we have a program -- you're 15 right, we have the programs in our schools of drug and alcohol abuse, but yet we are redundant no matter where we're 16 at out there with signs, "Say No to Drugs," and we have 17 18 programs that institute saying no to drugs. Even though we teach it in our schools, we still 19 have the redundancy of continuing it, and I don't see how 20 redundancy can hurt if we can continue to put that in 21 somebody's mind and possibly, maybe, that might catch on. 22 23 Not everybody is going to catch on, but some of them might catch on. 24 25 WITNESS HORNFECK: I agree with you because that is

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

1 multiple learning experiences. One thing I didn't mention as 2 to my concern with the five hours is that we would see it, 3 the general public would see it, as the driver education 4 program, the driver education program that we should support, 5 and we would then be ignoring what we have been doing with 6 the current state approved program of 30 and six. To put 7 that in in place of that, I have some concerns.

8 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: I want to thank you for9 your testimony.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Representative Roberts?
12 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13 Thank you, Dr. Hornfeck. I appreciate you being
14 here today, and I might make note that the Highway Safety
15 Center at I.U.P. has done some good work over the years, and
16 you're expertise is certainly recognized.

I glanced at your paper, by the way, and it has some good points in here. You mentioned the number of schools that have driver safety education programs. What was the number?

WITNESS HORNFECK: Okay. Last year, according to Mr. Seacrist from the Department of Education, there were 300 school districts that had a state approved program in their office.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Okay, so we have 201

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

10

25

school districts, then, that don't have a state approved 1 2 program. 3 WITNESS HORNFECK: Excuse me. We had 100 school 4 districts that had no program whatsoever; two of our largest 5 school districts being Philadelphia and Allegheny County. 6 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** Okay. 7 WITNESS HORNFECK: The two largest counties, I 8 should say. 9 REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS: Excuse me. They had no 10 program in Philadelphia or Allegheny? WITNESS HORNFECK: Philadelphia is one of the first 11 12 counties that dropped everything, classroom and behind the Pittsburgh, I should say -- I said Allegheny County. 13 wheel. Pittsburgh dropped their classroom and behind the wheel, was 14 15 teaching some classroom, ran some summer programs and so forth, and quite a few school districts in Allegheny County 16 17 perhaps have partial programs and not total programs. So, we do recognize the 18 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** fact that a lot of our school districts don't have programs. 19 WITNESS HORNFECK: There's 100, yes. 20 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** In fact, I have some 21 22 information that I will pass on to you. I have had a number 23 of my driver education instructors from the schools in my area call me and ask when they are going to get additional 24 money so that they can do something better or do something 25

1 different in driver education.

2	It has been pointed out to me that over the years
3	their funding has been reduced and that there are people who
4	are trying to eliminate their programs, which I'm not real
5	pleased about, by the way, because I think we need to move in
6	the direction that you're suggesting rather than the
7	direction we are going in.

8 Let me ask you this, Doctor; do you have any
9 figures that will indicate how many students or how many
10 people, how may new licensees, do not receive any kind of
11 training?

WITNESS HORNFECK: Again, I'm going to use the
figures that Dave Seacrist gave me. Last year there were
approximately 146,000 tenth graders, and I realize not all
are tenth graders, but we can use that as a base figure.
REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Who received no training?
WITNESS HORNFECK: I mean total, okay?
REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Okay.

WITNESS HORNFECK: Last year we had approximately
69,000 sixteen and 17 year olds that completed a state
approved driver education program. Using the 146,000 as the
base line data, we would say that we are reaching 48 percent.
We reached 48 percent of the eligible drivers, those people
that wanted to be licensed.

25

You used the figure 16 and 17 year olds -- 110,000

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

-- again, using the figure 69,000, then we would be over half
of the individuals that completed a state approved program.
That can be through the public schools. That can be through
the private driver training schools.

5 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: You mentioned in your
6 testimony, or you suggested, that we wait until you complete
7 your study. You're in the third year of your study now?

8 WITNESS HORNFECK: We're in the second year of the
9 study. Starting this school year, we have just started our
10 second year.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Okay.

WITNESS HORNFECK: And, we're evaluating -- could I
 make a mention about this from the evaluation standpoint?
 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Sure.

WITNESS HORNFECK: What we're doing is we're giving
the students an opportunity, once they've completed both
phases with six months of driving experience, to complete an
in-depth survey of their driving experience, exposure,
violations and accident experience.

It's a self-reporting instrument, but it will be much more detailed than what is on file in the Department of Transportation with driver licensing. We will also use that data there, but we will use the other data which would be coming back from the individuals who completed the program and we will be making comparisons.

22

We have 14 treatment schools and we have 14 control
 schools who offer state approved programs and are doing
 nothing other than teaching the state approved programs.
 We're measuring against what is out there right now. The
 only thing different would be those four areas that I
 identified under the enhanced curriculum.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: I would be interested to
see the results of your completed study. I noticed also in
your testimony that you pointed out the fact, or you
recognize the fact, that twice before we tried to pass a bill
on mandatory driver education.

WITNESS HORNFECK: I've seen a bill almost every
year, and again the bills never get out of committee.

**REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** It never comes out of 14 committee. I would like to make a comment in that regard, 15 and that is that this particular -- I would hope that you 16 recognize the fact that Representative DeLuca's bill at this 17 point is geared not toward education, or driver education per 18 se, in the same context that your program is designed for 19 education. In fact, we're highlighting alcohol and drug 20 abuse, and it's a mandatory program, but it's also geared at 21 all the young drivers. I would hope that you see the 22 difference there. 23

WITNESS HORNFECK: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: I certainly wouldn't want

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

23

24

24 1 this bill to interfere with what you're doing because, of 2 course, you have a much more significant program that you're 3 working on. 4 I did make note of some of the comments that you 5 made. You answered my question as to the figures. I will 6 definitely look at your position paper. I glanced at it very 7 quickly. 8 WITNESS HORNFECK: Thank you. 9 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** Again I thank you for your 10 testimony, Doctor. You gave us some good information. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Thank you, Doctor. 13 WITNESS HORNFECK: Thank you. 14 (Witness excused.) 15 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Moving right along, we 16 have Dave Aites, Vice President of the Pennsylvania 17 Association of Professional Driving Schools, and his group. 18 MR. PARSELLS: When you all testify, please state 19 your name for the stenographer. 20 Whereupon, 21 DAVE AITES 22 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 23 DIRECT TESTIMONY WITNESS AITES: I would like to thank the Senate 24 25 Transportation Committee for taking the time to set up the

hearing this morning and allowing us an opportunity to come
 in and give some opinions and views.

As requested, my name is Dave Aites. I am one of
the vice presidents of the Pennsylvania Association of
Professional Driving Schools.

A number of acknowledged experts have already, or
will shortly, speak on the many reasons why we feel the House
Transportation Committee should approve H. B. 2866, and
encourage its passage on the House floor. We also today may
hear reasons why the bill shouldn't be passed.

In the end we only have one real consideration; should teenage drivers be required to take some level of formal driver education before driving on the highways of the Commonwealth? The answer to that question has to be a resounding yes.

A look at all the figures and statistics presented
by the speakers will show us how many teens are involved in
collisions, how many are killed and injured, how many of
those crashes are alcohol-related and how many are as a
result of lack of knowledge.

H. B. 2866, also known as the five-hour law,
specifically addresses those two issues by mandating
education on the affect of drug and alcohol on a driver as
well as presenting information concerning the basic laws that
govern the highways of the Commonwealth.

What will H. B. 2866 accomplish? What benefit can be realized by Pennsylvania residents? Why, some may ask, do we need to mandate driver education in any form?

One of the critical factors affecting automobile insurance is experience of the driver. Now, under the current program, the 30 and six program that Dr. Hornfeck referred to, teens do get an insurance reduction for completing that program. They would not get such a reduction for this program.

10 However, what we can hope for is that through some 11 minimum education, we can see a reduced number of accidents 12 and we can see a reduced number of violations. I can't say that insurance companies are going to drop -- you know --13 they're going to drop rates because of this bill being 14 enacted, but I can say that lower insurance rates are a 15 potential benefit. Again, education means fewer violations 16 and fewer collisions. 17

The Committee will hear representatives from other
states that have similar laws in place. I would ask that you
listen carefully to these persons, and I think we can expect
the same kind of results in Pennsylvania.

H. B. 2866 is not intended to replace the existing
voluntary 30 and six driver education course. Nor, as I
said, would a person qualify for a senior license at age 17
or the driver education discount on insurance by completing

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

this course. H. B. 2866 is intended to do one thing, insure
that all teens who get a license have the basic information
they need to drive safely.

Additionally, the teens who do complete the 30 and
six course would not take this course. The 30 hours of
classroom instruction received in the 30 and six course would
more than meet the requirements of this law should it be
enacted.

9 This course specifically targets those teens would 10 get a license at age 16 or 17 without taking driver ed. It 11 gets them into a formal education setting and presents 12 specific material that may not be available any other way.

Driving a vehicle is not the simple task that people think it to be, and it becomes more complex with each passing year. A driver is required to make thousands of decisions each time they get behind the wheel of a car. Right now, new drivers with no concept of defensive driving techniques, such as the Smith system and the S.I.P.D process, drive the same roads as you and I.

The car passing you on the turnpike on the way back to Harrisburg at an excessive rate of speed can be driven by a person who received a license yesterday after learning how to drive at home. Is that person fully aware of the potential for a collision and the fact that as speed increases so does the potential for that collision?

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

The passage of H. B. 2866 into law would not be a guarantee that teens will no longer be involved in crashes. It would not be a guarantee that the Pennsylvania State Police will never again write a traffic summons. What it would be is a start in the right direction, teaching the new young driver that with a license to operate a motor vehicle comes responsibility.

At the beginning of this statement, I said there is only one consideration; should teenagers be required to take some formal level of driver education before driving on the highways of the Commonwealth? There can only be one answer to that questions, and that answer is yes, and in the form of H. B. 2866, we have given you the tool you need to put that requirement in place.

15 One final thought that I wanted to share with the 16 Committee is when I was first interviewed for the job as an 17 in-car instructor, I was asked a question. That question 18 was, "Why do you want to teach driving?" Without a moment of thought, my response was that because if I could save one 19 20 life or prevent one major injury, I will have accomplished something in my life. I believe that now, today, as much as 21 22 I did in 1973.

The honorable members of the House Transportation
Committee have the ability to do the same thing. If the
passage of H. B. 2866 saves one teen from being involved in a

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

29 1 collision, prevents one teen from sitting in a wheel chair for the rest of his or her life, then we, you, have all 2 accomplished something. Thank you. 3 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Thank you. 4 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: We will hold our questions 5 6 until the end of this group. (Witness excused.) 7 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Kathy Pavlo. 8 9 Whereupon, KATHY PAVLO 10 11 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: DIRECT TESTIMONY 12 WITNESS PAVLO: Members of the Transportation 13 Committee, my name is Kathy Pavlo. I'm Secretary-Treasurer 14 for the Pennsylvania Association of Professional Driving 15 Schools, and I want to address the Committee on a little bit 16 17 different slant rather than all the statistics and the technicalities of the bill. 18 Dr. Hornfeck referred to the Ketron study, and I 19 had worked on that six years ago, and we had hoped to see 20 something come into affect quickly with that. However, with 21 funding and all the other problems, we felt that at least, at 22 least, the five-hour law would be a start in changing 23 attitudes, and that's what I would like to address today. 24 Eighty-five percent of car accidents are caused by 25

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

driver error. We blame car manufactures and highway
 engineers and the other guy. However, The National Safety
 Council defines defensive driving as driving in a way as to
 save lives, time and money in spite of the conditions or
 actions of others.

In Pennsylvania, where do our teenagers learn any
techniques to offset those conditions or the actions of
others? I have a book here written by J. P. Rothe, who is
the author of <u>Rethinking Young Drivers</u>, and he points out
that most drivers are taught by their family, especially the
father.

He goes on to say that when you have voluntary reporting to a driver school for driver education, it can be seen as incompetence on the part of the father or as subtle indicator that the parent-child relationship is not what it should be.

17 Ironically, boys often reported that their fathers 18 occasionally drove in ways that showed disregard for the law 19 and canons of safe practice. "Speeding, trying to beat red 20 lights, running stop signs, driving without seat belts and 21 impaired driving" were spoken by most boys as things that their parents do or have done, and those are direct quotes, 22 23 and I have the pages listed. Clearly parents' demonstrated stance towards the law and canons of safe practice should be 24 25 a major source of concern for traffic and safety educators.

On drinking and driving, Rothe's research dictates 1 that 83 percent of teens feel that it's easy for people their 2 age to get alcohol, 86 percent say that drinking is common 3 for most teens in their community and 69.9 percent say their 4 friends drink alcohol, and only 34.8 percent answered that 5 6 their parents would be upset if their sons or daughters went to parties where people were drinking alcohol. Yet, in 7 Pennsylvania, without instituting the five-hour law, we 8 continually miss the chance to offer alternative views on 9 alcohol and the affects it has on driving. 10

As an instructor for the last 18 years, I have had students, both in the classroom and in the car, who have a learner's permit or even in some cases a Pennsylvania license. Yet, when asked simple rules of the road, such as the difference between yellow lines and white lines, they can't offer a correct answer.

These students have supposedly, on their own, 17 studied the Pennsylvania Drivers' Manual. When you discuss 18 that issue with them, it is clear that they memorized what 19 they thought might be on the test or only remembered it long 20 enough to pass the test. They simply don't understand the 21 highway system, rules of the road or law. To them driving is 22 simply getting from point A to point B, usually for 23 recreational purposes. The seriousness escapes them. 24

In our limited time today, I can't possibly convey

25

Rothe's findings, nor can I relate all my personal teaching
 experience. However, one premise I would like to close with
 is that attitude formation and education is necessary.

Rothe points out that if children can be provided
with materials on sexuality and consumerism well before they
become active in either sphere, there is no reason why they
should not be given material on driving at an early time as
well.

9 He adds that attempts should be made to revise
10 young people's common understanding of driving as easy.
11 Competent driving still involves the interaction of complex
12 motor and perceptual skills. Five hours would not be enough
13 to teach a person how to drive, and we are not trying to
14 replace the 30 and six, but the five-hour law would be a
15 start, a start that is long overdue.

It would give driver educators a chance to teach basic rules of the road, educate teens on the affects of alcohol and drugs and most importantly, impress upon them that driving is privilege with responsibilities and that for every action or choice that they make behind the wheel, there is a consequence.

To reduce the 85 percent of accidents caused by drivers, we need to educate, and only through education can we begin to change attitudes that will save lives. Thank you.

33 1 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Thank you. (Witness excused.) 2 3 Whereupon, MARK HENNESY 4 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 5 DIRECT TESTIMONY 6 7 WITNESS HENNESY: My name is Mark Hennesy. I own 8 and operate Hennesy's Driving School. I am also the current 9 President of the Pennsylvania Association for Professional Driving Schools. 10 11 For the past 30 years I have worked in driver 12 education. For five of those years I was a teacher Great Valley High School. For the past 28 years I have owned and 13 operated Hennesy Driving School. 14 I am a firm believer in education. There are some 15 individuals in the driving school industry who feel that they 16 are a business person first and an educator second. 17 I feel the opposite. I am an educator first and foremost. 18 The positions that we hold in our life are a direct 19 result of our education, a little luck and a lot of hard 20 work. Education can solve a lot of our nation's problems. 21 22 On the other hand, lack of education can cause grief, violence and sadness. 23 The proposed five-hour law is education at its 24 25 best. It will make sure that each young beginning driver has some training on the rules of the road. It will also stress
the affects of alcohol and driving.

3 The number one cause of death for 16 to 24 year 4 olds in this country is automobile accidents. Half of these 5 accidents are alcohol or drug related. If, in the United 6 States, we could eliminate all drinking and driving, we could 7 save 20,000 lives per year. Although the five-hour law will 8 not guarantee that we are going to eliminate all the 9 accidents, it will make the young driver better informed and 10 less likely to drink and drive.

Most of the youngsters are not aware of even the simplest facts. I have taught classroom work for 30 years. In that period of time, when discussing drinking and driving with the youngsters, they are totally astounded when you bring up the fact that one drink makes you more than five times as likely to have an accident than if you didn't have any drinks.

If you have two to three drinks within an hour, you
are legally drunk. After that it takes but one drink an hour
to keep you there, and something new in the last three or
four years, women are affected by alcohol more than men
because of their body makeup.

23 Most of the youngsters aren't aware that a 12-ounce 24 can of beer, five ounces of wine or a shot and a half of 25 whiskey, an ounce and a half of whiskey, all contain the same

34

FORM 2

amount of alcohol. Many of the youngsters will tell me, "I
only drink beer so I don't have to worry." They just don't
understand. The five-hour law will make the youngsters more
aware of what's going on.

As Representative DeLuca mentioned earlier, driving
a car is expensive, and that it is. I've listed some things.
If you buy a new car once every ten years, the cost for 50
years of driving is \$50,000. I don't know where you're going
to find a car for \$10,000, but we're making these figures
quite low.

Insuring a car, provided you can do it for \$500 a
year, and we know down in the Philadelphia area that's
impossible, is \$25,000. Gasoline for a car is over \$16,000.
State inspection is \$1,500; registration plates, \$1,200;
driver's license, \$300; the cost of the five-hour law, \$25.

Spread over a lifetime of driving, this figures out
to one cent per week. One cent a week may save someone's
life. That's a small price to pay.

A few weeks ago in the Pittsburgh area Flight 126 crashed and killed 132 people. Since that time the Federal Aviation Administration, the National Transportation Board and US Air have spent thousands of hours and millions of dollars to try and find the cause of this accident. When they find the cause, they will do everything in their power to eliminate the problem, be it more education, change the

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

1 engine design, whatever it takes. 2 In driving, we already know the cause of 20,000 3 deaths a year. It is lack of education on the affects of 4 alcohol and driving. When the five-hour law is passed, it 5 will be a step in the right direction to eliminate these 6 deaths. Thank you very much for your time. 7 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. 8 (Witness excused.) 9 Joseph Pauswinski. 10 Whereupon, 11 JOSEPH F. PAUSWINSKI 12 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT TESTIMONY 14 WITNESS PAUSWINSKI: Good morning, ladies and 15 gentlemen. My name is Joseph F. Pauswinski. I'm a past 16 president of the Pennsylvania Association of Professional 17 Driving Schools and of the national association which is 18 called the Driving School Association of the Americas. 19 I appear before you this morning to speak in favor 20 of the proposed legislation. Traffic safety and driver 21 evaluation have been a central focus for me over the past 25 22 years. A condensed copy of my curriculum vitae is attached at the end of this presentation. 23 24 Being recognized as an innovator throughout 25 Pennsylvania, North America and the world is an

accomplishment of which I'm very proud. In the last few
years, I have been honored by a Dutch delegation which came
and spent two days seeing how my school handles the initial
training of teen drivers.

Last week I spoke at conferences in London, England 5 and Edinboro, Scotland on the topic of introducing 6 7 theoretical testing as a prerequisite for obtaining a learner's permit. In December, I will be giving a 8 9 presentation to the Ministry of Transport in London on the topic of teen driver training. Their driving age is 10 11 currently 17 and a half, but there is no organized theory or high school training. 12

The road test last almost 45 minutes. The average student need 30 hours of in-car training to pass, usually on their third attempt, if they still want to improve their theoretical training.

Over the next eight months I will also be working
on a program to assist countries in Eastern Europe to develop
a sound initial training and evaluation program. This will
culminate with a presentation in Prague, Czech Republic.
It's not Czechoslovakia any more.

22 That leads me back to the reason for my
23 presentation today. Pennsylvania currently has no
24 requirement for any initial training of its drivers, either
25 teen or adult. It is a well known concept that unfortunately

most drivers possess their best driver attitudes on the day
 when they pass their driver exam. After that, all tends to
 deteriorate.

If we haven't made our impressions for the necessity of safety by that point, we won't have another shot until they show up in a violators' program or until their company has an evaluation course for its drivers. In that case, most drivers in a violator or evaluation program feel that they really don't belong there in the first place.

If you ask any group, including this one, how many
of us feel we are within the top 50 percent of the drivers
present in the room, we will all raise our hands. At least
half of us are wrong.

Since we are among this group of better drivers, we don't need improvement, and we are not likely to improve unless we happen to be exposed to an excellent speaker in an excellent program. The driver retraining is a topic for another day. Initial training is what we wish to discuss today.

Last month I was interviewed by the Philadelphia Inquirer concerning teen drivers. The reporter quoted the statistic that teens make up only five percent of the population and yet they are involved in about 17 percent of the crashes.

The question put to me was, "Aren't you horrified

25

by this; what can be done to improve this unacceptable
 statistic?" I'm sure that my answer was a surprise.

Please note the use of the word "crashes" instead of accidents. Accident gives a connotation of an expected and, therefore, forgivable situation such as little brother Rufus spilling milk at the Thanksgiving table. The mom will usually forgive all, stating that, "Well, accidents will happen."

9 When airplanes hit each other or hit the ground,
10 they don't refer to it as an accident; it's a crash. The
11 government investigates and tries to determine why it
12 happened and how it can be avoided in the future. This is
13 what we should also do with car crashes.

I'm not at all horrified that teens are involved in such a high percentage of crashes. The error from the general public usually comes in comparing teens with the rest of the driving public. What we should be doing is comparing drivers with less that three years of experience, who also happen to be teens, to new drivers of all ages.

Inexperience is one of the leading causes in
crashes. When the numbers are crunched, teens are not nearly
as high as the percentages show. The inescapable fact is
that most new drivers will always be teens.

How can we better prepare them for their driving task? That is one of the challenges that we face today. We

have all heard that a little knowledge is a dangerous thing.
 A new driver gets the initial feeling of proficiency when he
 or she passes the driver exam.

In a few weeks PennDot will make the first major improvement in driver testing in over 60 years when all new drivers will be given exams actually on the street instead of on the closed parking lots that most of us experienced.

By thus making the exam more difficult for the majority of new drivers, we are at least moving in the right direction. The little bit of knowledge and skill needed for a license is increased, but that only means that the level from which they begin to decrease and decline is set a few notches higher. We can do even better.

14 Most experts in traffic safety recognize that
15 driving abilities are based upon three distinct elements;
16 knowledge, skill and attitude. It is in the third area where
17 most new drivers who are teens fail, but even this isn't just
18 what we term immaturity.

There are two most basic of all instincts. The
first of these is self preservation. In a near-death
situation, such as military combat, the brain releases a
chemical called adrenalin through the body.

Although the world record for the mile run is about
three minutes and 45 seconds for runners in track shoes, you
can be sure that a soldier in combat boots and full combat

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

gear has gone much faster when his life was in danger. The same chemical, adrenalin, allows a 98-pound mother to actually lift a car to free her child trapped underneath.

We all know that these events happen on a regular basis, but we also know that we can't will our bodies to do them.

The second most basic instinct is survival of the
species. All Star Trek fans know that Vulcan master of logic
over emotion, Mr. Spock. Most also remember the episode when
Spock experiences an uncontrollable urge to procreate and
steals the ship to return to his home planet. Nature
provides all species with the desire to continue.

In 1994, we have forgotten that the age for having 13 children as increased over the years. Romeo was 15 and 14 Juliet, 13. Joseph was 16 and Mary, 14. At a time when most 15 people are just beginning their driving careers, Mother 16 Nature is giving them the incredibly strong motivation to 17 attempt procreation to continue the species. As a result, 18 teen drivers often are motivated, not out of concern for 19 safety, but rather out of a genetic call to try to appear 20 worthy of attempting procreation. 21

What can we do to help them? Most of the answer lies before you now in the proposed legislation. If we can get the young drivers before these arges do, we can show them that what they are experiencing is normal, but cannot be

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

1 tolerated on the road.

2	We can show them that alcohol and drugs are a lot
3	like premarital sex, things only to be done after long and
4	careful consideration of morality and consequences.

If you object to my use of physical urges in the context of driving, I ask you to consider this. What are the most alluring things to high schoolers? Driving, drinking, drugs and dating. By the time they reach 16, we can no longer just tell them our opinions. We must be prepared with answers when they ask, "Why?"

11 Some people may feel that there is an added benefit 12 in this program from the fact that most teens will pass their 13 initial permit test. While this may be true, I feel that the 14 greatest benefit will be that we get an opportunity to show 15 these teens that what they are thinking and feeling, even 16 though it may seem a bit bizarre, is normal. What would not 17 be normal, and even dangerous, would be to allow these 18 thoughts and feelings to become part of their driving 19 patterns.

As a father of four, the youngest of whom has just turned 16, I'm sure of one thing; we must do everything we can to insure that we really understand, not only the driving skill, but they must also understand themselves. This bill gives us a chance to reach them and get them to this higher level.

One final item, note the faces of the people that
 you see here today. The private driver training school
 industry in America is a truly professional group of men and
 women. We travel throughout North America to improve our
 skills and abilities.

43

6 This is only one of a number of initiatives that we 7 are pushing. You will see us again on many other topics; 8 initial training of all drivers regardless of age, driver 9 testing by driver schools themselves, driver improvement, 10 retesting and evaluation programs, graduated licensing for 11 new drivers and self sufficiency or self rule for our 12 industry.

My wife said she thought there was no way I could
put both Star Trek and sex into a presentation on driving
before the House of Representatives, but she was wrong.

I thank my fellow school owners for being here
today. I thank our national officers for attending to offer
what the national prospective is, and I thank you for your
attention.

20REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you all for21testifying.

Any questions, Representative Roberts?
 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Yes, just a comment. Joe,
 you did an excellent job of it too. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Joe, I want to thank all

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

	44
1	the panel here, but I can see why they want you to testify
2	and give speeches in other groups in other countries. I just
3	want to thank all of you for your excellent testimony. Thank
4	you very much.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Sandra Tomlinson.
7	Whereupon,
8	SANDRA TOMLINSON
9	having been called as a witness, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT TESTIMONY
11	WITNESS TOMLINSON: A sign on a house read,
12	"Attention Encyclopedia Sales Representative, we do not need
13	your product because have teenagers who already know
14	everything." I believe that we treat the subject of driver
15	education with a similar attitude.
16	My name is Sandra Tomlinson, and I am the
17	President-elect of the Pennsylvania Association of Education
18	and Safety Education, otherwise known as P.A.C.E. I'm a
19	comprehensive safety coordinator as well as a certified
20	driving instructor. I'm also the parent of two teenagers and
21	one pre-teen.
22	I'm here today to testify against House Bill 2866
23	as it presently reads. It is my intention to advocate the
24	need for mandatory high school driver education and to
25	address the shortcomings of this bill.

I wholeheartedly concur with the proposition of a
 person not being issued a driver's license unless that person
 successfully completes and educational program and passes an
 examination. Motor vehicle crashes are the number one killer
 of people under the age of 34.

6 Even though fatality rates are the lowest they have 7 been in nearly 30 years, they are still grim. The 1994 fatality facts published by the Insurance Institute of 8 9 Highway Safety reports that 53,717 motor vehicles were involved in 35,747 fatal crashes in 1993. That resulted in 10 11 40,115 deaths. The motor vehicle death rate per 100,000 people is especially high among the 16 to 24 year olds and 12 people 80 years of age and older. 13

At all ages, males have a much higher death rate
than females. This fact dispels the notion, to which many
prescribe, that males have instinctive capable driving
abilities.

On the home front, PennDot's crash statistics
reflect a similarity to the national scene. In 1993, 133,033
people were injured or killed in 134,315 reportable crashes,
and 62.7 percent of the drivers in all crashes were males,
and 76.7 percent of the drivers in fatal crashes were males.
Yet, we feel better knowing that Johnny will be driving when
he and Susie go to the mall.

25

On the average in Pennsylvania, 4.2 people were

1 killed every day in traffic crashes. That's one every 5.7
2 hours. Every day 360.3 people were injured, and that's one
3 every four minutes. These incidents cost every man, woman
4 and child in Pennsylvania \$359.68 a year. These alarming
5 statistics are evidence of the need for mandatory driver
6 education taught by trained, certified instructors.

7 The driving task is a complex skill that involves
8 multiple functions. The role of the driver is that of
9 processing information and making decisions. The teaching of
10 these abilities should not be left to amateurs or to
11 instinct.

Another argument for compulsory driver training is
the conservation of life. The insurance industry supports
this stand by giving discounted premiums to students who have
completed a certified program.

Driver education offers as much behavior
modification and crash reduction potential as any other
short-term intervention. The National Highway Traffic Safety
Administration has taken the position that a quality high
school driver education program is capable of a ten to 15
percent affect in terms of reducing the probability of crash
involvement among persons exposed to it.

23 There is yet another strong argument. The Highway
24 Users Association points out a valuable spinoff benefit of
25 high school driver education not normally associated with

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

traffic safety, fuel conservation. A safe driver is a fuel efficient driver.

An American Automobile Association study found that poor driving habits dropped fuel economy by 23 to 44 percent and concluded that a ten percent reduction in gasoline could be achieved if students practiced safe driving habits taught in high school driver education. Shifting the responsibility for driver education to the parent in the family car uses more gasoline than instruction in the driver education car.

High school driver education is designed to prepare
young people to be responsible contributing members of
society, to teach them skills to obtain employment.
Pennsylvania does not require training for one of the most
essential, as well as dangerous, job activities, driving.

Before my son was permitted to apply for a license to use a weapon to hunt, he had to complete a hunter safety program taught by a certified instructor according to Pennsylvania law. He is not required to receive any formal training to become a licensed driver.

It is interesting that the threat of peril
associated with hunting in our state is not transferred to
the task of operating a motor vehicle on our state roadways.
It only makes sense to require mandatory training by a
certified instructor before applying for a license to drive.
The high school setting is ideal for providing the

opportunity for all young people to receive this training.
 There are those who do not and will not get the means to
 learn how to drive except in school.

Indiana University of Pennsylvania offers a program
designed to prepare single parents and displaced homemakers
for the job market. They are taught everything from
interviewing and computers to fashion tips and applying
makeup.

I asked the director of this program about the
teaching of driving skills, especially since motor vehicle
crashes are the number one cause of lost work time and
on-the-job fatalities. This was a concept that never before
had occurred to her, and yes, there had been cases when women
had dropped out because even after the completion of this
course, they would not be able to get to a job.

Providing driver instruction in the high school
would decrease the number of young people being sent into a
competitive job market without this vital skill.

While House Bill 2866 does promote mandatory
training, there are weaknesses. The standards for a safe
driving course are already set by the Pennsylvania Department
of Education, which require of current certified programs 30
hours of classroom instruction and six hours of behind the
wheel training. To suggest anything less would produce a
substandard program.

The contents of the curriculum are undergoing revisions, and Dr. Richard Hornfeck of Indiana University previously presented the enhanced driver education curriculum that is being researched in designated Pennsylvania high schools as well presenting the proposed federal regulations which will have tremendous impact on the content of driver training programs.

Another weakness of this bill is seen in the
section under Contents which outlines the teaching of the
affects of chemical abuse. Any driver education course that
includes a minimum of two hours of drug and alcohol
impairment is being redundant.

Act 211, which is administered through the Department of Education, already requires this subject be taught every year in kindergarten through grade 12. The driver safety program should not be the place for presenting this information as new concepts, rather it should be the forum for practical application.

19 Recommendations for course content were presented
 20 during a workshop at the 1993 National Conference of the
 21 American Driver and Traffic Safety Education Association.

The profession should continue to pursue performance-based instruction versus class time instruction with student performance being the criteria which determines if the student successfully passes the course.

-19

This performance should include a driver assessment which challenges the perceptual and decision-making skills of the student, and this was presented by Dr. Daryl Jones, manager of the driver training programs of the traffic and safety engineering department of the American Automobile Association.

7 The other shortsighted issue proposed in this bill
8 is the suggestion that fees should not exceed \$25. The
9 Pennsylvania Department of Education presently reimburses
10 school district a partial cost of the class at a rate of \$35
11 per student. This figure, which has not increased in almost
12 40 years, needs to be assessed at a higher rate.

13 The reimbursement could be supplied by anyone 14 applying for a driver license, a user fee similar to that 15 which funds the motorcycle safety programs in Pennsylvania. 16 The motorcycle safety program also allows the instructor to 17 examine the student and issue a license upon the passing of 18 both the knowledge and skill test. P.A.C.E. backs the idea 19 of the high school driver education teacher being authorized 20 to issue their students a license.

In conclusion, I urge you not to pass House Bill 22 2866 until revisions are made. Better yet, wait until the 23 proposed federal regulations and current research are studied 24 and write a new bill that incorporates their models of 25 instruction. Mandatory driver education is long overdue in

1 Pennsylvania.

The philosophy of P.A.C.E. is stated in this quote 2 of an unknown source to me. "Unless the child lives, all 3 education is useless." Being an educator and a parent, I do 4 believe in that statement. 5 By the way, do my teenagers know everything? 6 Of course they do. Just ask them. Do we have encyclopedias? 7 Absolutely. 8 9 Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to speak before you today. 10 11 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Thank you, Sandra. 12 Tony? REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Thank you, Sandra. I want 13 to commend you on your testimony, but let me just say that 14 from what I get out of your testimony, you're in favor of 15 mandatory driver education training in our school districts. 16 Am I correct? 17 WITNESS TOMLINSON: Yes. 18 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: As you know, and I'm sure 19 you are aware of it and you've been reading in the papers, 20 the House of Representatives is under fire from all kinds of 21 communities, 2,400 local governments in our state, to do 22 something about our tax structure. 23 School taxes are so high today that people can't 24 25 afford them any more. To require our school districts to

institute mandatory driver education training, we have to be
 realistic about it. No matter how good it is, it's not going
 to happen regardless\_of whether we want it to happen.

There's no one on this Committee, and I'm sure there is no one in this audience, who wouldn't like to see that happen. In reality it's not going to happen. Programs are going to be cut because of the fact that the economy is bad. People can't afford more taxes and programs have to be cut, so new programs are not going to be instituted.

We also hear from our school districts, "Let's not have the state mandate any more programs on us." I'm sure that if we went to every one of those 501 school districts and asked them if they want us to mandate driver education training, it would not happen.

What do we do in a case like that? We try to
institute something. At least it's something so that we can
train our teenagers to have some knowledge about it.

I don't see how -- you say that the \$25 is not enough. When I had a hearing in Harrisburg, one of the things that came out was the question about the poor person who doesn't have the \$25. I mentioned in my opening statement that if you can't afford \$25, you certainly can't afford the insurance part of it.

24 You're not going to see that raised. Even though25 it hasn't risen, you're not going to see that raised. I

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

doubt if you're going to see the federal government bring in more. We do more studies, not only at the state level, but we do more studies on the federal level. If we used all that money that we put into studies, we probably could have driver education training.

6 If we want to wait until the federal government
7 mandates something as far as driver education, probably my
8 grandchildren would not be able to be in driver education, if
9 we continue to wait for them to act on the federal level in
10 passing that money down to us.

Even though I would love to see mandatory driver education, which you allude to, we have to be realistic about what we can do, and it's not going to happen in Pennsylvania, and I doubt it's going to happen -- if it will happen in any other state because the fact is that the economy is very low right now.

I know, in this district, in the 33nd Legislative Is District, if you have a \$7 an hour job, you have a big paying job, and if you have benefits, you have a better paying job, so you tell me how a homeowner can afford to take on more of a tax burden if we mandate these types of programs?

There are a lot of programs that we can mandate that are good for the public. We just have to somewhere along the way draw the line. I don't see many of our superintendents, and I don't see Harrisburg, Secretary

1Carroll, pushing mandatory driver education. If you can tell2me something different, I certainly would love to know that.

Again, thank you for your testimony.

4 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Representative Roberts?
5 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Ms. Tomlinson, thank you
6 very much for your testimony. My children know everything
7 too, by the way. They're the smartest people in the world.

8 Your points are very well taken here. I'm glad 9 that you recognize the fact that compulsory driver education 10 is what we really need. I agree with you. I agree with the 11 program that you talk about. I agree with what Dr. Hornfeck 12 said. I really do.

I have to somewhat reiterate what Representative
DeLuca said, though. I don't see it coming any time soon. I
certainly would support it if we could start moving that
direction, and I would be the first one to jump on the
bandwagon and try to make this happen.

I have people in my district that say to do away
with all taxes. Well, that's not workable. Can we get the
program implemented that you are asking for? It might be
possible, but I don't know that it's possible in the short
term.

I don't want to make a long speech, but I want to ask you a question. Do you see, even though you're opposed to this particular bill, some benefits coming from -- if

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

54

1 this program that Representative DeLuca is asking for -- do
2 you see some benefits coming from it if we were to implement
3 it?

WITNESS TOMLINSON: As a driving instructor, I see
benefits for -- this is my own personal view. I can't speak
on behalf of P.A.C.E. on this. I'm in favor of all people
applying for a license receiving some type of formal
training.

9 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: In that regard, then, even
10 though you oppose the bill, you do recognize that the bill
11 could have some merit?

WITNESS TOMLINSON: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Would you also maybe agree -- maybe that's not the right word. Would you agree, maybe, that if we could implement this particular bill, that perhaps that would be the first step towards what you are looking for, and that is a full-blown driver education course across the state; do you think maybe we could step off of this program onto another one that's more inclusive?

WITNESS TOMLINSON: I've worked in the past in the education of other bills and acts that have been passed down, with the Seat Belt Safety Law and Child Passenger Safety Act, and when those were passed, that was considered the stepping stone, the jumping off point, at least that we got them on the books.

55

It takes at least ten years for revisions to be made, and I would like to see a quality bill put into place that would reflect the needs and desires of -- well, all is, I guess, a little too inclusive, but most of the needs and desires of all of us here today. I think that the bill can be very much improved.

7 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: I'm new to the 8 Transportation Committee, and I'm certainly new to this 9 particular issue that we're talking about. You are certainly 10 one of the experts in the field, and Dr. Hornfeck mentioned 11 several studies and several pieces, two pieces, of 12 legislation that never made it out of committee in the past. Do you have any -- are you familiar with those other bills 13 that Dr. Hornfeck had mentioned? 14

WITNESS TOMLINSON: No.

16 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Could you relate to me any 17 specific problems that you and others that support your 18 position of getting mandatory programs in all of our high 19 schools -- can you give me some specific information as to 20 who is opposed to making that move and what the major 21 stumbling blocks are?

WITNESS TOMLINSON: I know school districts are
absolutely looking at costs, and they're looking at reducing
costs within the school district. It concerns me that
they're -- they immediately look to the driver education

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

56

1 program as a cost because I see that as one of the give-backs2 to the community.

I know, as a single parent of three children, my 3 4 time limitation -- I have very limited time with each individual child, and for me to personally have to take them 5 out driving -- even though I'm a driving instructor, to take 6 them out personally and teach them to drive -- if I had to 7 teach my children any job-related skill, such as reading, 8 even though I am a certified teacher in Pennsylvania, that 9 takes time and it takes training for me to do that. Like I 10 said, I... 11 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Let me ask you this; have 12 you been involved in any way in trying to get legislation 13 passed for the programs you're asking for; have you 14 15 personally been involved? WITNESS TOMLINSON: Not in the legislation because 16 my position does not allow me to do that. 17 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: I asked you that because 18 I'm wondering what you may have run into. That's why I asked 19 that question. 20 WITNESS TOMLINSON: No. 21 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** This program that we're 22 working on here is going to have a lot of stumbling blocks. 23 WITNESS TOMLINSON: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: It's not going to be easy 25

to get this implemented, if we can in fact do that, but I was
just wondering. I do support what you say. You're points
are well taken.

I agree with you that we need driver education. I
believe Representative DeLuca agrees with that. I think he's
just trying to -- sometimes we try to chip away at things in
Harrisburg, and I believe that's what he's doing.

8 I don't know if you heard, when I was speaking with
9 Dr. Hornfeck, that the teachers in my area are also calling,
10 driver education teachers in our high schools. They're very,
11 very concerned in the Fayette County area.

12 I appreciate your comments today. Thanks for being13 here.

14 WITNESS TOMLINSON: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you, Sandra.

16 WITNESS TOMLINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(Witness excused.)

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Jack Sousa.

19 Whereupon,

15

17

18

20

22

21 having been called as a witness, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

JACK SOUSA

WITNESS SOUSA: Honorable Chairman, distinguished
members of the Committee, my name is Jack Sousa. I am the
President of the Driving School Association of the Americas.

I operate driving schools in the states of Massachusetts,
 New York and Connecticut. Two of those three states
 mentioned presently conduct the mandatory five-hour pre licensing program.

5 What I would like to do, as opposed to reading the 6 actual testimony that I've submitted in written form, is to 7 highlight it and make some comments on previous speakers' 8 comments in terms of costs.

9 First of all, cost is always a factor, and I wanted to begin by saying that the Driving School Association of the 10 Americas supports what Dr. Hornfeck has presented to you, the 11 concept of a more expanded, detailed program, but we also 12 recognize, as business individuals and educators, that it is 13 unattainable in today's economy. The fact that it is in the 14 position that it is, some training versus zero training has 15 to have a particular point value. 16

Now I would like to continue in regard to costs
because there is a study that is being unveiled. In the
state of Massachusetts, there is pending legislation that is
very similar to this, and they did a cost analysis that has
revealed some substantial figures in terms of costs.

One of the most revealing things I would like to bring to this Committee is that in many cases, high school driver education programs are taught by high school teachers after hours, on overtime and extended periods that are paid

1 in addition to teacher salaries.

The State of Massachusetts, like the State of Connecticut, like the State New York, like the State of Texas, contribute to the pension fund and supplies an actual pension dollar amount to a teacher based on their last three most productive years.

7 Driver educators, because of the additional hours
8 available to them, the cost effectiveness, the actual costs
9 dollars to promote some of these programs, is being
10 determined in amounts of \$1,200 and above, based on not only
11 the salary and all the other expenses, gas, car and other
12 expenses attached to a program, but the hidden expense of
13 pension contribution by the state.

I believe that Pennsylvania, and I don't know for a fact -- I provide that to the Committee for food for thought because once that is revealed and comes to the public forefront in your particular state, I think that figure would surprise most of you Committee members, the actual dollar amount.

There are some other things that I think are very, very important. The State of California, the State of Colorado -- the law suit has been determined by not only the First Superior Court, the Court of Appeals, but has gone to the Supreme Court in that state, whereby private industry has challenged public education in the right of every and all

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

citizens to have public education guaranteed free, no charge
 for those who acquire it.

3 Driver education has been challenged, and they had 4 voted in favor that private eduction, driver education, 5 cannot be offered free of charge unless it's offered free of 6 charge for -- I'm sorry -- cannot be charged for. It must be 7 offered free of charge as guaranteed by that state's 8 Constitution.

9 I will tell you that a similar lawsuit was brought
10 in Connecticut, and the Department of Education settled that
11 particular lawsuit out of court.

Okay. Let me talk in specific about what the bill 12 has done for us. There is an underlying advantage to the 13 State of Pennsylvania. Statistically, the State of New York 14 and the State of Pennsylvania can provide statistical 15 information, statistical data, that supports the fact that 16 the pass ratio of first time applicants for a driver's exam 17 increased in the State of Connecticut by 28 percent, 18 therefore reducing the cost to the state for re-examination. 19

The first time applicant comes in, fails the driver's exam on the first attempt, then goes back into the process and time has to be allocated for a motor vehicle examiner. It has reduced the costs in terms of number one, availability of appointments; number two the pass ratio on the first attempt.

The other thing that I think is important -- the State of New York has a study compiled, and I can provide that to this Committee. I don't have it with me today, but I can get it to you. It's a full report that does identify a significant difference in the number of automobile crashes, injuries and fatalities in respect to what the five-hour has done for first time applicants.

8 I also would like to make comment that the State of 9 Connecticut now is considering -- we have had the five-hour 10 bill in place for five years -- they are now considering 11 expanding it, such as the State of New York has done, to all 12 drivers, adult drivers and teen drivers alike. I don't think 13 the state would consider doing that if the results had not 14 been positive on the first time entry level, 16 and 17 year 15 old drivers.

I would like to say the Representative DeLuca's 16 comments were right on target in terms of federal 17 18 legislation. Our national association is working very closely with the House and Senate Transportation Committee 19 20 members in regards to the Danforth legislation, and you are absolutely correct in that the first thing it does is 21 allocate dollars for study. It allocates dollars for study 22 for drivers on an entry level, for state-established programs 23 on an entry level, for entry level drivers, violators and 24 25 mature drivers.

In previous testimony, I believe Dr. Hornfeck
 alluded to the fact that the teenage category, 16 and 17, was
 the fastest growing category in terms of accidental deaths
 and accidental injury as a result of motor vehicle accidents.

5 I provided, in my testimony, statistical 6 information from the National Safety Counsel that directly 7 contradicts that. It is not only the entry level driver, 15 8 to 24 years old, but it's the driver from 65 years old to 75 9 and above. So, the statistical information is there, the 10 data is there, to support that.

One thing I would suggest very, very strongly -- I have given you a copy of the state mandated curriculum. It's an outline of the curriculum. It's not the full curriculum, but it's an outline, and it not only talks about the drug and alcohol affect.

In our state we also have legislation where every high school student must take a drug and alcohol program, but it's the physiological affects of drugs and alcohol that it talks about. It does not correlate those, or directly relate those, to the driving behavior.

I think what is important about this program is that it talks not only about the affects of drugs and alcohol, but what it does to the - how it affects a driver.

In addition, I would like to mention that the curriculum does not just allude to drugs and alcohol, it

talks about vehicle safety systems and sign identification.
There are several categories that it provides instruction
for.

Another identifying figure I think is important is 4 5 that many incidents in each state -- in the State of 6 Massachusetts this past month, I testified before their 7 Transportation Committee. Their concern was that it would 8 remove people from the 30 and six program. The teens would 9 say that this was an alternative and they would take this. 10 It is not. In the State of Connecticut, in my testimony 11 before the Massachusetts Transportation Committee, I provided 12 data that distinguished absolute opposite, that it encouraged people. Once they had a taste of formalized training and 13 14 they realized that there was some value to it, it actually 15 encouraged them.

I can tell you statistically in our school, in the I can tell you statistically in our school, in the State of New York and in Connecticut, without question it encourages people to take additional training if the initial training was worthy and obviously stimulated the learning activity.

What I would like to do now is to close. I urge
 passage of your House Bill 2866 and open myself to questions.
 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. Any
 questions.

**REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: None.** 

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

64

	65
1	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: No. Thank you for coming.
2	REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you.
3	WITNESS SOUSA: Thank you for allowing me to
4	testify.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Robert Maxino.
7	Whereupon,
8	ROBERT MAXINO
9	having been called as a witness, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT TESTIMONY
11	WITNESS MAXINO: My name is Bob Maxino, and I
12	appreciate the opportunity to speak to the Committee this
13	morning. I'm from your neighboring state of Maryland. I
14	have no vested interest in being here. I am an officer of
15	our national association and I operate driving schools in
16	Maryland, the District of Columbia and Virginia, so I think I
17	can bring to you information on what is going on there.
18	First of all, I don't think anybody here is opposed
19	to this five-hour bill in spite of some of the testimony I've
20	heard. I think those who are opposed to it want the 30 and
21	six, but it appears as though at this point in time, that is
22	unattainable.
23	We talked about researches, surveys, federal
24	legislation, that maybe the state will find a bonanza of
25	money or federal funding, and you know all of these things

I

1 take years.

I've heard somebody talk about parental
involvement. For 30 years I have operated one of the largest
driving schools in the country, and I want to tell you that
if you believe there is going to be parental involvement, you
believe in Santa Claus.

Parents want the students to get their driver's
license, but usually they want somebody else to do it. I'm
not saying that's true in 100 percent of the cases, but
again, I really believe, and this is from my work in the real
world, that that's a pie in the sky objective.

Right now you have nothing in Pennsylvania. I have provided you with a survey of various states that you should have in front of you. The majority of those responding have 30 hours of mandatory classroom. Pennsylvania is in the same neighborhood as the District of Columbia because you have nothing.

18 In Maryland, we have a mandated 30 and six. You 19 might be interested to know that, and I have provided you a copy of the bill, an additional piece of legislation that we 20 recently had passed, mandated an additional three-hour drug 21 and alcohol course. If you have never been licensed before 22 23 and you come to Maryland, regardless of your age, you must take a three-hour drug and alcohol course. That just went on 24 25 the books two years ago.

The idea there, and I see a part of it in your 1 five-hour legislation, is to make the individual aware, not 2 only of the consequences of drugs and alcohol in relationship 3 to driving, but what the individual rules and regulations and 4 laws are in Maryland because they are different from state 5 6 to state if you are driving under the influence of either one of those types of substances. 7 8 A person coming from Pennsylvania has to sit through this course and be educated on the involvement, the 9 circumstances and the rules and regulations for Maryland. 10 11 **REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS:** I'm sorry to interrupt you, but I just want to clarify something. 12 WITNESS MAXINO: Yes. 13 REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS: Reading the law, is that 14 Pennsylvania residents, for example, who have never been 15 licensed? 16 WITNESS MAXINO: Yes, if you've never been 17 licensed, that's correct. 18 **REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS:** If you have a current 19 Pennsylvania license, you... 20 WITNESS MAXINO: If you have a license already, you 21 are not required to take that. 22 **REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS:** Okay. That's what I 23 24 thought. Thank you. 25 WITNESS MAXINO: Representative DeLuca referred to

67

the possibility that some people might not have the \$20, and you, as legislators, know -- the \$25, I believe -- you, as legislators know that no matter what you do, you're going to get some objections.

Maybe some people are going to say it's
inconvenient to take this course, but I submit to you,
gentlemen, that your response should be, "I want to help to
save lives in Pennsylvania. I want to help save lives in
Pennsylvania." We ask you to please favorably consider this
bill, and why? Again, something is better than nothing.

We talk about research, we talk about studies, but
I have a little problem with that. One study is going to
take another year; federal legislation, who knows how long.
We all know that out there in the streets right now somebody
is being injured or killed, and you have nothing in place.

16 Gentlemen, this bill should be passed and it should 17 be passed urgently and with a great sense of urgency. As we 18 sit here -- is there going to be another committee meeting 19 next year on this same bill if it doesn't pass? Will there? 20 Will there be?

It should be passed, gentlemen, because as we sit here today, people are being killed. It's not the total answer, but it's a step in the right direction. Thank you very much.

25

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. Any

1 questions?

REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 I would just like to say, I would appreciate it if 3 4 you would turn over the Ohio study to the Chairman, and he will make it available to the Committee. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS: Also, Mr. Sousa, is it 7 possible for you to get a copy of the Connecticut law to provide to the Committee? 8 WITNESS MAXINO: Yes, sure. 9 REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS: If you could see Julie in 10 11 the back, she will give you an address where to send it. WITNESS MAXINO: Sure. 12 **REPRESENTATIVE PARSELLS:** Thank you. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Thank you very much. 14 (Witness excused.) 15 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: The next speaker will be 16 Dr. Arlene Baxter, Executive Director of MADD. 17 Whereupon, 18 ARLENE BAXTER 19 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 20 DIRECT TESTIMONY 21 WITNESS BAXTER: Hello, my name is Dr. Arlene 22 Baxter and I am Executive Director of Mothers Against Drunk 23 Driving, and we serve southwestern Pennsylvania. 24 25 I'm here today to talk about several things that

I've heard just said and maybe a good follow-up to the
 gentleman who just spoke.

I am in favor of the five-hour law. Each year,
approximately 25,000 fatalities and 350,000 injuries occur
because of alcohol impaired drivers. These statistics
represent a unique and irreplaceable individual with a name,
family and a future that goes unfulfilled.

8 Each represents far more than a number to his or
9 her family and friends. They find themselves caught up in a
10 tragic ripple affect set into motion each time there is an
11 alcohol related crash.

The mission of Mothers Against Drunk Driving is to
stop drunk driving and support victims of this violent crime,
and that's one of the reason that I am here today coming
before you and urging the passage of the bill, the five-hour
bill.

17 Mothers Against Drunk Driving was founded in 18 California in 1980. It is a non-profit grass roots 19 organization with over 400 chapters nationwide. Membership, 20 contrary to the public's conception, is open to men, women 21 and children, any concerned citizen and many businesses, so there is an enormous concern for the public safety in the 22 area of alcohol related crashes. We, then, tend to help 23 24 those people whose families have been affect by an alcohol 25 related crash.

For those injured and killed in drunk driving collision, they are not considered by MADD to be accident victims, and that is why I am here to appeal to you today. The crashes caused by an impaired driver is a violent crime. Drunk driving involves the choice; one, to drink, and then to drive.

7 The deaths and injuries that result from impaired
8 driving can be prevented, and one of the bills, the bill that
9 is before you today, is a way that we hopefully can do some
10 prevention by education.

I've been Executive Director of MADD for almost 11 12 three years. I came to the position with a background of writing and teaching in the areas of family violence. 13 I've had books and texts published in the area of family violence 14 and abuse. My position with MADD has taken me from 15 investigating violence within the family to violence on the 16 highways. 17

I frequently receive calls at the MADD office from 18 the media asking for alcohol related crash statistics. This 19 is particularly true around the holidays, and we are always 20 pleased to be able to provide those numbers because the media 21 is, in effect, doing our job of public relations, public 22 awareness, but to us, those statistics represent families, 23 families that we serve in support groups, families that we go 24 through the court system in. 25

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

I guess what I'm saying is that you're looking at an issue of alcohol related crashes. We look at that issue from a much more intimate view, and that is from the family's point of view, those families who are thrown into the court system because of an alcohol related crash, and many of these people are non-drinkers.

7 It always brings to mind, when I talk about holiday 8 statistics, an elderly gentleman whose wife was on her way 9 home from church, a non-drinker who was hit by a drunk driver 10 in a pickup truck who crossed the roadway onto her lane, 11 killing her. Obviously, Christmas is not the same for him. 12 His life has never been the same since. Again trying to 13 reiterate that what are statistics to many, are faces and 14 people to us.

We're all too familiar with the consequence of young drinkers around graduation or prom time. When what should be a memorable time, it becomes a memorial when an alcohol related crash takes the life of a high school friend.

Too often we see the case of a youngster who will say, "I'm can't drive, I've had too much to drink." His friend then says, "Well, in that case, I will drive you home," and it is the friend who didn't know that he had had too much to drink, who had no knowledge of how impaired he was, who ends up killing his friend who knew he was too drunk

1 to drive.

2	Almost half of the 16 to 20 year old deaths in 1993
3	resulted from motor vehicle crashes, and about half of these
4	were alcohol related. Researches have shown that the
5	teenagers drive less than older drivers, yet despite their
6	lower exposure, have a higher number of crashes. This crash
7	risk is greatly increased by the use of alcohol. MADD's work
8	with youth is a vital component of our mission.
9	For the past 14 years MADD has emerged as a leader
10	in drunk driving prevention. Our work with youth in a
11	variety of education and prevention programs is an area in
12	which we see the opportunity for hope.
13	This takes me to the subject more directly of
14	today's hearing. MADD has received a grant to administer a
15	brief alcohol and drinking and driving program. We
16	administer that in the high schools, and we have had just an
17	enormous amount of response. The high schools like it
18	because first of all, it is without cost. Second of all, we
19	provide the material and we train the facilitators.
20	This has made it very apparent to us that there is
21	a tremendous need for something in the high schools at a time

a tremendous need for something in the high schools at a time
when many driver education classes have to be eliminated due
to cost and due to computer courses, and it is just taking a
back seat, and that scares us.

The program that we have offered to the schools is

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

25

being, you might say, scooped up faster than we can get it
out there, so we see the need and know that there is a need
for this message.

They obviously see a great need for not only a "Just say No" approach to drugs, but a message with specific factual information about drugs, drinking and driving, and our program does that. Again, it's only a drop in the bucket, but it is certainly wanted.

9 We try to reach as many young people as we can, but
10 our efforts are not enough. Each new driver should be
11 exposed to this information in as many ways as possible so
12 that they can be equipped to make an informed choice
13 regarding alcohol and driving.

The proposed five-hour legislation, making the inclusion of drugs and alcohol information mandatory, would insure that all licensed drivers would have at least been exposed to this vital information once.

The proposed five-day law includes a minimum of two
hours of training on medical, biological and psychological
affects of alcohol and drugs. It talks about the impact on
the operator, and it is vital information.

This is particularly important because of the way in which the current law reads. For example, anyone under 21 years of age is prohibited from purchasing or consuming alcohol in this state. Yet, if stopped and found to be

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

FORM 2

drinking and driving, they have the same .10 legal standard
as an adult, thus they can only be cited for underage
drinking, not driving under the influence.

In light of this very grey area in the current law, no one under 21 can drink legally, yet they can drink and drive legally up to .10. This makes passage of the five-hour law, which makes drug and alcohol education mandatory, a very necessary step to fill the gap that exists in the current law.

Because the current law protects the under-21 from getting a DUI as a consequence of drinking and driving, it is imperative that he be informed about the danger of drinking and driving as a means of prevention. This five-hour bill is certainly not the most affective means of prevention, but it is a beginning to what we hope will eventually lead to a longer and more in-depth mandatory course for new drivers.

The need for immediate prevention measures can most easily be seen in the 1993 National Highway Transportation Safety Association statistics on youth as regarding drinking and driving and other drugs. Those figures speak much more loudly than any single voice, and it is my hope that they will be heard. I have included these statistics for your information.

> Thank you. I will be glad to take any questions. REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. Tony?

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

75

24

1 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: I just want to thank you 2 for your excellent testimony. You certainly brought 3 something to my attention that I wasn't aware of pertaining 4 to the teenagers who are drinking and only being charged 5 with... 6 WITNESS BAXTER: Please call my office. I would 7 like very much to discuss that further with you. 8 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: But, I am glad you brought 9 it to my attention because I wasn't aware of that. 10 **REPRESFNTATIVE PETRARCA: Mr. Roberts?** REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: I wasn't aware of that 11 12 either. We learn things. WITNESS BAXTER: Please call my office, 373-MADD. 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: You gave us some good 15 information. In fact, I learned something else just this 16 week that you may know about it. I didn't know about it 17 until I heard of it. In Harrisburg, we are starting to have 18 a problem where youngsters are buying alcohol drinks with an alcohol content of less that .5 percent. 19 20 WITNESS BAXTER: Yes. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: We do not control 22 alcoholic beverages under .5 percent. They are selling 23 coolers in Harrisburg with .49 percent, and the youngsters 24 are buying these things and taking them to high school. Ι 25 just learned that this week when I was in Harrisburg, so you

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

1 just gave some information to us and you're points are very well taken. 2 3 WITNESS BAXTER: Thank you. We do a great deal 4 with prevention and education, more so now, perhaps, than when MADD was originally started, maybe because of my 5 background. Any time you need any information on the under-6 7 21 problems, and I say plural because there are a couple of 8 them, I would love to talk to you about it. 9 **REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS:** This is certainly something we need in order to help this legislation. 10 Thank 11 you, Dr. Baxter. 12 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. WITNESS BAXTER: You are welcome. 13 (Witness excused.) 14 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Ann Rose. 15 Whereupon, 16 17 ANN ROSE having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 18 DIRECT TESTIMONY 19 WITNESS ROSE: Good morning, gentlemen. I thank 20 you very much for the opportunity to speak here. My name is 21 Ann Rose. I'm editor of the AAA Motors and Public Relations 22 Manager for AAA West Penn/West Virginia. However, today I'm 23 representing the Pennsylvania AAA Federation. The Federation 24 25 includes all ANA clubs in Pennsylvania, serving more than 2.5

1 million motorists.

AAA believes driver education and training are an
essential component of a comprehensive safe driving program
and supports House Bill 2866 as an important step, and we do
say important step, a first step, in promoting safe driving
through education and training.

Novice drivers between the ages of 15 and 20
continue to have the highest collision rates of any age
group. They account for 14 percent of all traffic deaths
even though they make up only seven percent of the
population.

It is an absolute tragedy that 5,000 teenagers were
killed last year on our nation's highways. Pennsylvania
rated 14th in the nation with 142 teenage driving fatalities.

There are other statistics that are equally alarming. Thirty-eight percent of all deaths among 16 to 19 year olds occurred from vehicle crashes. Forty-five percent of all 16 and 17 year old girls that die do so because of an automobile accident. Among boys of the same age, 36 percent of deaths are due to crashes.

Male drivers 18 years old have higher motor vehicle death rates than any other age group, more than twice the rate for males 30 to 64. Drivers age 16 and 17 are three times more likely to be killed in traffic crashes than people age 25 to 64, and more than one guarter of those drivers

1 under 21 killed today on our roadways have a blood alcohol
2 content of .01 or more.

Highway safety experts say factors such as general
inexperience and lack of adequate driving skills, excessive
night time driving, risk taking and overall poor judgement
are the main reasons teens are so over-represented in these
statistics.

8 These compelling statistics have prompted AAA to 9 take a look for a solution. AAA believes, in addition to the 10 very necessary passage of mandatory driver education laws 11 such as House Bill 2866, a comprehensive evaluation of 12 current driver education programs must be made.

The AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, based in Washington, D. C., is working to develop a new model curriculum outlined to improve the training of young drivers, a program that will provide training and education for teenagers and better prepare them to handle the responsibilities of driving.

AAA is most willing to work with Representative
DeLuca and with the House Transportation Committee on this
very vital issue and will be happy to share with you the
results of the AAA Foundation study which should be completed
in January of 1995.

AAA believes and educated driver is a moreeffective decision maker. The ever increasing demands of the

highway traffic system, more drivers, more vehicles, more 1 2 stress, underscore the need for better driver education.

3 New drivers particularly need rigorous instruction 4 and training to provide safe driving attitudes and 5 improvement of perceptual abilities in management of time 6 and space in traffic. ANA believes that that can be achieved 7 by building a program that influences motivation as well as 8 maximizing skills.

9 AAA is very concerned at the erosion of funding for 10 driver education programs and believes such programs should 11 be an essential element of the educational function of the 12 public school system from high-tech settings to no-tech 13 settings.

14 We believe that graduated licensing in combination 15 with improved driver education hold promise as a way to 16 provide incentives for new drivers to drive safely. It is indeed unfortunate that driver education opportunities are 17 18 declining at the very time when the nation's novice incur more fatalities and injuries per vehicle mile driven than any 19 20 other age group.

Therefore, AAA supports the following; driver 21 22 education re-established as a priority to stimulate schools 23 to implement more and better driver education courses for 24 beginning drivers at all public schools; two, intensified 25 research and development to make driver education more

1 efficient, cost effective, relevant and stimulating.

This involves first the redesigning of the instructional program and utilizing state of the art activity and simulation technology and integrating the educational process with the staged licensing system.

Number three, adequately financed high school
driver education programs; the object should be to increase
the number of students receiving high quality instruction
both on the road and in the classroom.

We believe that today's young people are seeking
better training and they are looking to us to provide that.
They want us to provide the incentives that will ignite their
natural curiosity and their natural ability to become safe
and good drivers.

We have an obligation to the youth of our country. We have an obligation to make our highways as safe as possible for all travelers, no matter what their age. This is a charge that AAA does not take lightly. We believe it is a challenge that must be meet.

20 We thank you, Mr. Chairman. We thank you,
21 gentlemen, for the opportunity to speak on this most vital
22 subject.

23 REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you. Tony?
 24 REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: I just want to thank you,
 25 Ann, for the excellent testimony. No questions.

82 1 WITNESS ROSE: No problem. We thank you, and good 2 luck on the bill. 3 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Ouestions? 4 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: None. Thank you. 5 (Witness excused.) 6 **REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Robert Christianson. 7 Whereupon, 8 ROGER CHRISTIANSON 9 having been called as a witness, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT TESTIMONY 11 WITNESS CHRISTANSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the 12 Committee, my name is Roger Christianson. I'm President of 13 the Western Pennsylvania Safety Council. 14 We are a private, non-profit public service member 15 organization, and our purpose is to provide safety training 16 and consulting services to business and industry, government 17 and the public at large. We've been in existence for over 70 18 years and have played, we believe, a major role in making 19 Pennsylvania a safer place to live, work and play. I'm here today to give support to this legislation 20 21 that is aimed at providing minimum mandatory training and 22 education requirements for licensure of persons between the ages and 16 and 18. The problems associated with young 23 people and their driving habits are well known. 24 25 Indeed, not only the National Highway Safety

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

Administration has studied and statistically documented these
problems, so have other nationally known organizations such
as the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, the American
Automobile Association and the National Safety Council. All
research that has been done points to the need for a good
driver education training program for young drivers.

Probably to everybody's pleasure, I'm not going to
go through a litany of statistics, but they are certainly
included in the written remarks in front of you.

I will admit that death and accident rates amongst this age group have been declining since the mid-'70s, but there are many reasons for this. Included among them, of course, are safer highways, safer cars and lower speed limits.

About the only thing that has not improved, at least in Pennsylvania, is the overall driver education program. As tax dollars for education diminish and school deal with ever-tightening budgets, cuts have to be made, and one of the first usually to go is driver education.

When my son reached the driving age of 16, he said to me, "Dad, I want to get my driver's license," and mu response to him was, "Come back when you're 17." End of discussion. I was not trying to be mean at that point in time. I just wanted a little more maturation to take place before he got behind the wheel of a car.

1 At 17, he came back and said, "How about now?" Τ 2 said, "Okay, with a few requirements. You have to go out and 3 find a driver education training program consisting of 30 4 hours of classroom and six hours of behind the wheel, make 5 arrangements to get there and get home, and successfully 6 complete it. Once you've done that, then we will do some 7 more talking." So, he did that, and he completed a course 8 from a private driving school consisting of 30 and six. 9 Now, at that point in time, I said, "Okay, you make

10 arrangements to meet with me to go get your permit, and it 11 has to be at my convenience, not your convenience." So, he 12 was 17 and a half years of age before he got his driver's 13 license.

Now, if all parents in this state took that
attitude, and I'm not holding myself out to be some kind of
more statue here, but at least if every parent took that
attitude, we wouldn't be here talking today.

I think it's something that's needed and needed
desperately. The minimum accepted training by most driver
educators across the country is 30 hours of classroom, six
hours behind the wheel.

I went out with my son many hours before I let him drive. Did he make mistakes? Most assuredly. I also made him complete the National Safety Council's eight-hour defensive course, but that was after he got his driver's

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

license. He's had a lot of training. It didn't solve all
the problems, and I ride with him now and I say, "Oh my god,
what did you just do?" Then he'll ride with me and he'll
say, "Oh my god, what did you just do?"

5 I teach a lot of driver education programs,
6 National Safety Council driver education programs, and even
7 I, with years of experience in traffic safety in particular,
8 make mistakes, and every time I teach that course myself, I
9 learn something myself, and I think that's the important
10 thing here.

Education never stops, but what we need is a 11 beginning. In fact, my wife told me just the other day that 12 I'd better teach that course again real soon, and I think 13 that's the point here, that we need to have something to 14 start with because most of these kids that are licensed today 15 never really have an opportunity to get any kind of 16 17 structured, organized, good training before they get behind the wheel of a car. 18

I would ask the members of the Committee, how did you learn how to drive? Was it in driver education in high school or was it an uncle, and aunt, a father, a mother, a sister or a brother that taught you how to drive? I would say you probably picked up the same bad habits from them, and probably to a large degree still have them today.

25

I think this bill certainly is not perfect, but

1 it's a start. Should it be improved? At some point in time, 2 I think it should. It's the not the begin-all and end-all of driver education minimum requirements, but we need something 3 4 now, and Pennsylvania, and I'm sure you have statistics 5 before you that already been discussed, does not have what 6 you would call a premier driver education program that's 7 structured state-wide, and I think it's something that's 8 needed.

9 I would like to say in closing that the Western
10 Pennsylvania Safety Council would be more than willing to
11 work with this Committee, or members of the Committee,
12 toward improving our driver education program in the state,
13 and I am available for any questions you may have.

**REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA:** Tony?

REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: I just want to thank you
for the excellent testimony and I look forward to working
with you in the future to try to do a little bit better. I
agree with you, House Bill 2866 is not perfect, but it is a
start, a start I think that we desperately need in
Pennsylvania. It certainly -- like I said, I look forward to
working with you in the future.

Believe me, I had to learn off a friend because my dad just didn't have the patience to teach me driving. My children, I didn't have the patience to teach them to drive, so you are absolutely right. I, for one on this Committee,

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

14

1 || didn't go to driver education.

2	REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Representative Roberts?
3	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Yes, several comments,
4	thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, sir, for being here. You have some 5 different statistics for us. I'm glad you brought that to 6 the Committee. I want to thank you for being here today, but 7 I also want to commend you for your personal approach with 8 your son. I think that's very commendable, and I'm sure he's 9 going to be a much better person; not only a better driver, 10 11 but I'm sure he'll be a better person for the leadership that 12 you have given him. WITNESS CHRISTANSON: 13 Thank you.

14 REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Thanks for being here15 today.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: Thank you.

17 WITNESS CHRISTANSON: Thank you for the opportunity18 to speak here today.

(Witness excused.)
REPRESENTATIVE PETRARCA: One thing, when my
children were growing up and they reached the age 16, when
they told me they wanted to drive, that shocked me. I said,
"You're too young to drive, too immature," but told them they
must take a driver's test. I don't want them to learn the
way I learned. I remember driving down the street looking

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

1 for someone to ride around with me.

2	I had some problems with my health, and I had to
3	ride with my wife, and I've never seen anyone with habits as
4	bad as her. She speeds up to the stop light, slams on the
5	break, the purse goes flying and she can't stand me talking
6	to her. They say that the quickest way to get a divorce is
7	teach your wife to drive, but of course, we're too old to
8	have gone to a drivers' training education program.
9	Our children did go, and I know my daughter buckles
10	up all the time. She reminds me, "Buckle up, Dad," because I
11	was one of the ones that said we shouldn't mandate all these
12	rules, telling people they have to buckle up to save lives.
13	All these mandates come from Washington, D. C.,
14	without funding, you know, and they say, "Well, if you want
15	to save this program, you've got to fund it." The next thing
16	we know, Tony and Roberts and I, we ended up raising taxes.
17	Then the people look at us and say they don't want
18	taxes raised. Then they say to cut drivers' education, that
19	they don't need it in the schools because that's the easiest
20	part in the 501 school districts.
21	So, I introduced the Michigan Bill, which wanted to
22	alleviate the school taxes. They dropped the school taxes,
23	and then they had trouble finding out how they're going to
24	replace the taxes. We looked at income tax. We ended up,
25	like Michigan, with sales tax, but it's going to go on to a

referendum, and we don't dare pass that without letting the
 people decide.

So, when you say to save the schools' driver education program, you've got to remember that we are under extreme pressure to lower school taxes. Of course, you know where most of the money is going; it's going to teachers' salaries.

We're looking at the fat in Harrisburg. 8 We 9 abolished the Crime Commission. We saved \$7 million. We found out it was redundant. In 1987, the people, in their 10 wisdom, voted for an elected Attorney General. What did the 11 12 politicians do? They appointed their own legal people and put their fat cat friends in positions. Right, Tony? 13 We were wasting \$7 million. 14

When Allegheny County needed \$1.1 million to keep
their crime lab open, we didn't have the money to give them,
so we ended up abolishing that because we knew the Attorney
General does the same thing, 67 District Attorneys do the
same thing, State Police, the Sheriffs, you name them.

20 So, we're trying to cut the fat, we're trying to 21 keep taxes down, but we're trying to keep the safety program 22 going because I think that's the most wonderful thing we've 23 ever had.

I want to thank you all for testifying, and Tony, your bill is coming out.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

hank d ch.
đ
ch.

Iŧ

ľ

	91
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I hereby certify, as the stenographic
5	reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me
6	and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my
7	direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate
8	record to the best of my ability.
9	
10	Notarial Seal Barbara A. Scrimizzi, Notary Public COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.
11	Chariters Twp., Washington Coursy My Commission Expires March 25, 1996
12	Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notarias BY: Mubling Junity Spin
13	Barbara Scrimizzi Spin
14	My Commission expires March 25, 1996.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
	COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150