HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1	HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
2	x
3	: In Re: Public Hearing on : School Bus Safety :
5	: x
6	Pages 1 through 120
7	Chambersburg High School Chambersburg, Pennsylvania
8	Tuesday, November 30, 1993
9	BEFORE: REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS TIGUE, Acting Chairman
10	REPRESENTATIVE TERESA BROWN, Member
11	REPRESENTATIVE DANTE SANTONI, Member
12	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE ROBERTS, Member
13	REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPH BATTISTO, Member
14	REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN LAUB, Member
15	REPRESENTATIVE ALBERT PETIT, Member
16	REPRESENTATIVE RONALD MARSICO, Member
17	MR. PAUL ANDERSON
18	MR. PAUL PARSELLS
19	MR. ERIC BUGAILE
20	MX. ERIC DOGREDE
21	
22	
23	COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY 700 Lisburn Road
24	Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011 1-800-334-1063



CONTENTS

NAME AND TITLE	PAGE
Representative Jeff Coy, Member	4
Mario Pirritano, Deputy Secretary for Safety Administration, Department of Transportation	9
Julius Bernhardt	28
Doris Meyers, Owner, Meyers Bus Lines	30
Corporal James Boyd, Pennsylvania State Police	40
Pat Parker, Pennsylvania Coordinator, National Coalition on School Bus Safety	54
Dallas Krapf, School Bus Contractor	63
George Romano, President, Pennsylvania School Bus Association	82
Kathy Mellott, School Bus Driver, Meyers Bus Lines	91
Daniel Lehman, Transportation Coordinator, Central Dauphin School District	95
Darrell Weaver	104
Representative Todd Platts, Member	109
Sue Manbeck	115
Any reproduction of this transcript	

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying reporter.

PROCEEDINGS

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Welcome to our hearing.

The House Transportation Committee Chairman, Joseph Petrarka, is unable to attend today. I am Representative Thomas Tigue and I represent a District which includes parts of Luzerne and Monroe Counties.

I would like each member of the Committee who is present to please introduce himself and mention what area you represent.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Teresa Brown, representing the 6th District, and that is Crawford County.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: I am Representative Dante Santoni, 126th District, from Berks County.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Representative Larry Roberts, 51st District, in Fayette County.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I am Representative

Joe Battisto and I represent the 189th District. I am wholly
in Monroe County contiquous to Representative Tom Tigue.

REPRESENTATIVE LAUB: Marty Laub from the 153rd District, Montgomery County.

REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: Al Petit, 40th
Legislative District, Washington and Allegheny Counties.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Also sitting up here to my left is Paul Anderson, the Republican Director for the Transportation Committee; on my right is Paul Parsells who

works for Representative Petrarca, Director of the House Transportation Committee. Also present is Eric Bugaile from the Republican staff.

The first person we have this morning whom I would like to introduce is the Representative from this area, Jeff Coy.

Jeff, good morning. Thanks for making some of the arrangements in beautiful Franklin County.

REPRESENTATIVE COY: Welcome to Franklin County. I am pleased to see so many of you here today to discuss this very important issue.

Frankly, especially to the Acting Chairman, I am glad that we have come to Franklin County to talk about something other than stopping school. It seems that many, many hearings have been held in my district having to do with stopping school. While that is a very important subject, it is obvious that there are others included.

Many of you mentioned to me the beauty of the area. All of us think we represent the nicest district in the Commonwealth, I know, but we are very proud of Franklin County and of this area. There is a lot of history around here. If many of you have seen the movie "Gettysburg" recently, a lot of that started in and around this area and there is a lot of history down through here. So we are glad if you have not been here before and had the opportunity now

to come now and to be here.

You have a busy schedule and I appreciate the Chairman, Representative Petrarca, scheduling the hearing in Franklin County.

I introduced legislation that the hearing is primarily about, the bill that raises the fine for violations of the school bus law, earlier this year. I did it for a couple of reasons. Frankly, I think you will hear about more than just that subject from some of the people that are going to testify today.

We had a couple of problems with school bus accidents here in Franklin County over the last couple of years. In fact, we have had one fatality of a child involved in a school bus accident. As you can all suspect, that just raises the spectre of the problem, raises the level of concern of the problem.

About a year and a half ago I scheduled a school bus safety seminar. I got school bus contractors and school bus drivers together and we talked about problems. We had school bus manufacturers here in Chambersburg to look at the whole area of concern.

Another bill that came out of that, that was not really about school buses, was our extension of the child safety seat law to include the back seat for the requirement for child safety seats, which of course the Governor signed

earlier this year and it is now law.

But one of the things that the school bus drivers told me at that symposium was that they thought that the fine for violation of school bus law ought to more adequately reflect the violation.

Think about the fact that the school bus fine right now is \$100.00. That is the standard fine for violation of the school bus law. And think about the fact that we charge litterers in Pennsylvania \$300.00. You say, "What's wrong with this picture?"

Now, what is wrong with the picture is that the fine needs to be raised. We have heard the term "precious cargo" and I think that is what we are talking about with school buses. We are talking about precious cargo.

So, the bill I introduced that is before the Committee and that I hope the Committee will act on in due course raises that fine to \$500.00. Frankly, if that is not high enough for everybody, let us raise it higher.

My point is that I think you need to get people's attention. You need to get folks' attention for violation of this law. A lot of it has to do, I think, with educating the public, the motoring public. I know that I, myself, in my own driving try to take more care than I used to when I see a school bus.

I think we have to realize that a lot of

children, and this is another thing that I learned in that school bus symposium, was that children view the school bus as sort of a safe haven. It is familiar territory to them and they feel safe around it.

We have to understand that. The motoring public has to understand that, that when a child is around that bus they feel safe. A lot of us have to learn to respect that, because we in our normal driving habits, I think, view it as another vehicle and not simply as the bus that it is.

Another thing that I think that we might need to look at, and I am not sure I have the answer, has to do with enforcement of whatever law is on the books. A lot of school bus drivers tell me that they continue to see violations of the school bus law: passing when they should not pass, not stopping when the blinkers are engaged, and so on. They are concerned about what they can do to increase enforcement of the law.

A couple of school bus drivers have told me that they have taken down license numbers. Then when they go to the District Magistrate, oftentimes the driver is excused on a technicality of some sort or another. This is very frustrating to the school bus drivers.

School bus drivers also tell me that when they

attempt to get a license number they often have to turn around and they are taking their eyes off the road and that is a problem, too. Obviously, we cannot have a state policeman behind every school bus. But we need to think about things like enforcement.

I think, more than anything, hearings like this and like the symposiums that were held and have been held across the state having to do with school bus safety, in which I must say the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation was very, very cooperative and helpful with, are very important. Doug Tobin, who is here today, is the Director of the bureau in PennDot that works with this area, was very helpful, along with members of his staff, on that school bus symposium.

I think what events like that and what events like this do is very important. It raises the level of awareness. The more we talk about it the more all of us bring this to public light and, I think, the better it is.

So, I welcome you all here today. I thank the Chambersburg High School for making the auditorium available. I think that during the course of the day they are going to have government classes visit the room, which I think is important so that they can see their state government at work and disprove, once and for all, that we only work when the legislature is in session. I think this is evidenced by the

Transportation Committee being here today and they are here for a very important purpose.

I thank you for coming. I will be glad to answer any questions, but I do think you have other witnesses during the course of the day that can handle any of the questions.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you, Jeff. We appreciate your comments and we really respect your commitment to solving, or improving at least, the safety on school buses for our children. Without your initiative we probably would not even be sitting here today. We appreciate your efforts and also your past hospitality. Thank you.

I would like to start calling the witnesses.

I would ask that everyone try to stick to your testimony, or at least within the time frame.

To begin today's proceedings the first witness will be Mario Pirritano, Deputy Secretary for Safety.

Administration, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

While Jeff was making his comments, we were joined by Representative Ron Marsico from Dauphin County.

I would ask if everyone would identify themselves for the record, so the stenographer knows who is saying what.

MR. PIRRITANO: Doug Tobin, Director, Bureau of

Driver License, is to my right. To my left is Becky Bickley, who is Manager of Driver Safety. I am Mario Pirritano, Deputy Secretary for Safety Administration.

Good morning. Before I begin, let me thank you for extending the invitation to testify before this committee and to you, Representative Coy, it is a pleasure to be in this wonderful district.

The well being of our children is a vital concern of all Pennsylvanians. It is our responsibility to ensure that young people are transported to and from their daily learning activities safety.

School bus transportation is the safest means of highway transportation. Pennsylvania's 501 school districts and 29 intermediate units transport more than 1.3 million students each day. This precious cargo is entrusted to the care of the Commonwealth's 28,000 dedicated school bus drivers.

With skill and patience, these men and women drive our children over country roads, city streets and major highways during the school year. In fact, they drive more than 2.7 million miles a year. Their superb safety record can be attributed to a wide range of practices, procedures and programs that have been put in place over the years.

First, and most noticeably and quite simply, school buses have a unique color -- school bus yellow. They

are equipped with light systems to warn other motorists and they have special privileges under the law. All traffic stops when they stop or load or unload, or at least we hope they stop.

But these are things everybody knows. We also have extensive driver training, licensing and testing programs and thorough school bus inspections and maintenance requirements. You will hear a little more about that in a few moments.

Most important of all, the expertise and care of each school bus driver gives to their job has made going to school safe for our children.

Unfortunately, even with these practices in place, Pennsylvania still has hundreds of school bus accidents each year, resulting in an average of 200 to 300 students being injured and 2 to 3 students being killed.

The death or injury of any child transported by a school bus is indeed a tragedy. I would not want it to occur in my family, nor in yours I am sure. Over a ten year period, 83% of these fatalities occurred in the loading zone. Pennsylvania accident statistics show that about 74% of student loading zone fatalities involve a student being struck by their own bus. This is above the national average of 59%.

Accident statistics involving experienced school bus drivers in our state also show that we have a high

frequency of sideswipe accidents and improper turning accidents.

Overall, driver inattention, or a lack of proper scanning, was implicated in over 25% of our school bus accidents.

Even given these statistics, the safety record of school buses is excellent, especially when considering the amount of travel involved. Even though school buses transport more passengers per trip, the rate of occupant fatalities per mile driven for school buses is about one-fourth that for passenger cars.

Nevertheless, because school buses carry the future of our nation, our children -- or indeed, as Representative Coy indicated, our precious cargo -- the public has a right to expect that the federal and state governments, as well as local school districts and private school bus contractors, will continually review the safety of school bus transportation and will take all responsible precautions to protect children who travel by school bus.

In Pennsylvania, we are doing this by utilizing a three-tiered approach. The first tier is through public information and the education of the general public. School bus safety education for school students begins in kindergarten, and curriculum for each primary grade contains material on school bus procedures.

Pennsylvania also holds a yearly school bus safety poster contest. This contest focuses on a different school bus safety theme each year and is designed for the education of the students. Winning posters from the Commonwealth are sent to the national contest held in Washington, D.C. This year, we had the honor of producing not one, but two national winners.

Pennsylvania statute also includes a rigorous school bus stopping law with harsh penalties for violation of this law, including fines and driver license suspension. The Department has recently updated the school bus stopping law brochure and distributed it at a ceremony held in conjunction with the awards presentation for the school bus safety poster contest. These brochures are available free of charge from the Department and at many driver examination sites throughout the Commonwealth.

The second tier is the school bus itself and the required safety equipment mandated by the federal government. Recent changes to federal specifications required the use of new large mirrors which provide for better viewing around the bus and for the driver.

The specifications also call for more emergency exits, the number being determined by the size of the bus. In addition, all seats in a school bus must now face forward. The forward facing regulation also applies to

wheelchairs.

In addition to federally mandated equipment, there is a new technology on the horizon that will do much to improve safety. One such device is the front crossing arm. Costly, yes it is. This is attached to the front of the bus and extends when the doors are opened. It forces children to walk at least ten feet in front of the bus.

Another mechanism is the forewarning system.

This is a sensor that is attached to the bus and will pick up the presence of a person in its vicinity. There is a signal pad near the driver that will then ring and flash to alert the driver of a potential danger. However, these two devices are part of the future.

Lastly are the requirements placed on our school bus drivers. Before a person qualifies to drive a school bus they must pass a criminal history check. They must also meet certain medical standards by passing a physical examination.

They must then complete a standardized twenty hour training program, consisting of fourteen hours in the classroom and six hours in the bus. The in-bus training is conducted one-on-one with an instructor certified by the Department.

The classroom training is also conducted by a certified instructor and utilizes curriculum approved by the

Department. 1,179 instructors are currently certified. Since school bus drivers are considered by the federal government to be commercial drives, they must also pass the comprehensive knowledge and skills tests required of these drivers.

In addition, they are required to pass an annual physical examination. Every four years they must also complete additional training and testing for recertification. The training requirement consists of a ten hour refresher course consisting of seven hours in the classroom and three hours in the bus one-on-one. These courses are also conducted by certified instructors using Department approved curriculum. The required driver examination was temporarily waived during the implementation of the commercial driver licensing program, when all commercial drivers had to be retested and relicensed just less than two years ago.

The current regulations call for the implementation of the entire CDL test as the required driver's examination for the recertification process. The Department is currently in the process of developing a test more specific to school bus safety and the issues that should be addressed at the time of recertification.

Past driver test results from the recertification road test indicate that over a period of time some school bus drivers develop very poor driving habits. I submit that many of us in the private sector also

develop very poor driving habits. Almost 25% of the drivers who underwent recertification, and let me re-emphasize that -- almost 25% of the drivers who underwent recertification of driver exams failed the examination. Many of these drivers no longer possessed the driving ability to safely transport children.

The Department is working with the

Pennsylvania State University to revise our school bus driver

training program, identify areas of needed training and

provide recommendations for the recertification examination.

Our goal in doing this is to ensure that the training and

testing we provide our school bus drivers is up-to-date and

addresses all safety concerns, especially the skills required

for safe loading and unloading of students.

Preliminary reports indicate that Penn State was able to identify many improvements in our current training and testing program.

Research indicates that skill retention decays with time and many school bus drivers develop inferior driving habits and lose some of their original ability and proficiencies. Training and testing at specific intervals help drivers to return to top form.

As part of this research, an "S" endorsement knowledge examination has also been developed and is currently being pilot tested. At the same time, greater emphasis should

fatalities?

be placed on teaching children safe loading and unloading practices. However, drivers must be able to compensate for the sometimes erratic behavior of the children they transport.

The procedures for becoming a school bus driver may be considered by some to be burdensome; however, these procedures were put in place to ensure that our school bus drivers have the necessary qualifications and training to safely transport our children.

Thank you for affording me the opportunity to appear. I will answer any questions that you have.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you for your testimony. Any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I notice you talked about the fatalities in Pennsylvania. Could you tell me what the fatalities were for last year and the year prior to that?

MR. PIRRITANO: Representative Brown, I believe last year there may not have been any fatalities. I beg your pardon, two.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Two last year?

MR. PIRRITANO: And zero the year before.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: And I would appreciate
it if I could get some information and statistics on the

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: You can send it to the

Committee.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: One last question; when you talk about recertification and that there were poor driving habits, could you give me a little more information, or the Committee, on what records you have and what statistics? Thank you.

MR. PIRRITANO: We will give you a brief overview and provide you with more detail.

MR. TOBIN: That arose out of our research project with Penn State and analysis of our data. I think today we have roughly 25% driver involvement with accidents, particularly with sideswipe accidents.

It was from analysis of the school bus accident data we have on hand.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?

REPRESENTATIVE LAUB: Just so I understand the language you are speaking, you refer to an "S" endorsement?

What does that mean?

MR. TOBIN: Representative Laub, that means the person is qualified to operate a school bus. Under the new CDL classification scheme that is national a person must have at least a class "C" commercial license; in most cases it would be a class "D" commercial license. They must have a "P" for passenger endorsement. And in Pennsylvania they must have an "S" for school bus endorsement.

22

23

24

25

1 REPRESENTATIVE LAUB: Thank you. 2 REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any further questions? 3 REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: In the certification process and in the recertification process, are there any drivers who go through either of these approaches who are 5 paid for their time in the training? 6 MR. PIRRITANO: It is my understanding that, 7 8 indeed, there would probably be a number of drivers where 9 companies are forced to pay for that. REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: Some are paid? 10 MR. PIRRITANO: That is my understanding. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: Who? MR. PIRRITANO: I would assume that a number of 13 the private contractors would pay their employees. I do not 14 know what happens with school districts. 15 MR. TOBIN: PennDot employees do not, sir. 16 REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: Do they go through the 17 certification process? 18 MR. PIRRITANO: They have a CDL process. 19 20

MR. PIRRITANO: They have a CDL process.

REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: And are they paid?

MR. PIRRITANO: I am sure they would be compensated as well.

MR. TOBIN: Representative Petit, PennDot does not have any school bus drivers. We do however, as you know, have about 5,000 commercial drivers who are primarily on our

maintenance road crews. They do go through a training program. They come into the Department and are tested since PennDot is a tester.

REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: I was not referring to bus drivers, but everybody who is required to take the CDL test. Does that change your answer?

MR. TOBIN: At PennDot they are on the payroll when they go through the training and when they go through the testing procedures.

REPRESENTATIVE PETIT: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Rogers?

REPRESENTATIVE ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman

Mr. Secretary, you provided us with some very interesting information here. It is rather enlightening also.

We are going to be getting more involved in the issue of school bus driver recertification and retesting. So I am very much interested in the statistics that you gave us here.

I am wondering in regard to 85% of the fatalities occurring in the loading zone, if we were to get into the recertification program will this three hours in the bus for skills testing be concentrated on the areas where the problems are? In other words, if they are in the loading zone that means the bus is standing still. I am wondering how that relates to the emphasis that we are putting on the

skills testing. If you are out riding on the road for three hours, how is that going to relate to 85% of the fatalities?

MR. PIRRITANO: I am sure there could be some improvement relative to that. I will let Miss Bickley respond to that, who is working closely with Penn State.

MISS BICKLEY: As we stated, 83% of the fatalities are in the loading zone, but almost three-fourths of the fatalities in the loading zone involve the student being struck by their own bus. The bus is not stationary.

Obviously, this typically occurs right after discharging students. Typically, young children are involved. They may drop a paper. They may drop a book. They run out in front of the bus and the driver is unaware of their presence, or they are run over by the rear wheels of the bus. The bus swerves for some unknown reason, or whatever.

The majority of loading zone fatalities are students being struck by their own bus.

REPRESENTATIVE ROGERS: That is my point exactly. 83% of the accidents are occurring in the loading zone when the bus is standing still or starting to be parked. 75% of those accidents occur by the bus striking the child.

My question is, if we go into recertification testing, is the recertification where we spent three hours of skills testing in the bus -- are 80% of those three hours going to be spent in the loading zone, or are we going to have

drivers out driving around looking at their driving skills?

MISS BICKLEY: When Penn State looked at our
current program and the program we had in place prior to CDL,

they found that we were grossly lacking in our emphasis on safe loading and unloading.

So not only will the new curriculum highlight safe loading and unloading procedures, but the new drivers' test will also evaluate the drivers' ability to scan their environment, make sure that they are making the proper mirror checks, make sure that they are able to assess oncoming traffic while still maintaining some level of cognition of what is around the vehicle itself.

Now, there are several ways of approaching that and we have not finalized the tests. But that is the area that Penn State is looking at to improve the testing and training process.

REPRESENTATIVE ROGERS: I recognize that there have been studies done that show that our driver skills tend to deteriorate, but I still want to emphasize the fact to the Committee and to the Safety Department that I think when we think about recertifying we need to look at where the accidents are and that 80% of those three hours should possibly be concentrated, if we go in that direction with recertification, which I expect we are going to do. Since 80% of the accidents, then the three hours in the school bus

maybe should be concentrated in the loading zone area.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Marsico?

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: To follow-up on that issue of loading and unloading passengers, in your testimony you mention the federally mandated equipment and obviously that would help when unloading and loading passengers.

When do you think those devices would be in place? And the other question is, how much would this add to the cost of the vehicles?

MR. PIRRITANO: I do not know what the cost would be.

MR. TOBIN: Representative Marsico, I really do not know what cost this would add onto the vehicles. It is my understanding that the buses are coming out at the present time with the new mirrors attached to them.

It would depend upon how soon the school district or a contractor goes to their fleet and updates them with mirrors.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: So there is money available from the federal government then?

MR. TOBIN: I do not know that.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Is it a federal mandate, but without funding?

MR. TOBIN: I would be surprised if there were

funding. I do not know whether there is or is not.

MR. PIRRITANO: I would rather be sure that it is a mandate without funding and we will have representatives here later that can probably tell you what the costs would be and what is happening in the industry.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Battisto?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I have a couple of questions. In developing the

CDL test for school bus drivers, did you consult with any

association of school bus drivers or individuals, or use any

of their expertise in developing that?

MR. PIRRITANO: There was a great deal of discussion when CDL was implemented. I came with the Department just about the time, or a few months before, we had to meet the deadline.

There was a great deal of collaboration and agreement that we would temporarily suspend recertification.

Doug, do you want to add anything to that?

MR. TOBIN: Representative Battisto, the school bus CDL testing was developed as part of the national CDL testing and, yes, there was extensive research done. There was even a pilot done involving the states of New York, Nebraska, California and Tennessee.

We tested these kind of testing maneuvers that would be performed in CDL before they wrote them nationally in

1992.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: One other question.

To go back to the accidents in the loading zone, there was one statistic that stuck out and that was that Pennsylvania accident statistics show that 74% of the student loading zone fatalities involved a student being struck by their own bus.

This is above the national average of 59%. That seems to be fairly significant, from 74% to 59%.

MR. PIRRITANO: I know you would want to have that quantified to some degree and we can clarify that. Many of those were figures that were researched.

MISS BICKLEY: There are probably two factors in play. Our stopping law is probably fairly effective, especially when compared with other states, which would reduce overall the number of loading zone fatalities and make the proportion of students struck by their own bus greater.

On the other hand, when Penn State looked at driver skills they felt that our drivers, and even our more experienced drivers, were over time losing some of their scanning ability for assessing the area around the bus, and that we need to place far more emphasis on scanning of the area around the bus.

They felt that maybe some of the skills that you acquire in driving your car, where you do not have to scan around the entire vehicle almost constantly and continuously,

were generalizing to school bus drivers when operating a bus.

There were several other types of skill
degredation. So they placed a lot of emphasis in the program
on scanning skills.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Would the scanning come down to specific physicals, for example, like peripheral vision and things of that nature?

MISS BICKLEY: There are actually scanning procedures that a driver should be going through that should be routine to a school bus driver in assessing whether or not children have run back behind the bus, along the side of the bus, in front of the bus.

They are procedural and they are also manual skills. So the combination of the two are being emphasized in the program.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Is it possible that after a period of time maybe some of us become a little complacent? The combination may be losing the skill or the technique and becoming a little complacent over a period of time?

MISS BICKLEY: Yes, that is a distinct possibility. We also have a significant proportion of school bus drivers who are over 65, retirees. Over 4,000 of our drivers are over 65, so there may be some physiological changes that are affecting them as well.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. BERNHART: I just have one quick question.

Does the Department, or perhaps the Department of Education,

mandate that children take classes on how to embark and debark

and on how to act and what they can do around school buses?

MR. PIRRITANO: They are available as part of the curriculum. I am not sure that it is necessarily mandated.

MR. BERNHART: It just seems to me that when we are talking about the number of accidents which occur in the loading zone, many times children are not visible to the driver. Then perhaps you should look into mandating if it is not already done, some type of training each year for at least certain grades of the children and show them how to embark and debark from the bus.

MR. PIRRITANO: Let me close, and I do not want it to be misinterpreted, but I have an eleven year old and prior to this testimony I just said, "Chris, do you think school bus drivers should be recertified?" I explained recertification.

He answered, "Yes." And then I asked why. The response is something like this: "Well, you know, last year John Jones ran into a speed sign. And Mary Jane, who was the substitute, ran into a stop sign. And just the other week,

Jerry Jones ran into a ditch." Now, I did not look behind those statistics, but at least it does raise some questions in my mind as to what is going on.

So I say that just to give you an honest assessment of a young child and an honest answer.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any further questions?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Just one. It is probably very obvious and maybe you mentioned it, but I assume that of those children struck by their own bus probably there was almost an age cut-off. Were they all below like eight years old, or nine, or ten? Do we have those figures?

MISS BICKLEY: We will forward to the Committee a copy of our accident report. In the back of the accident report you will see a one paragraph description of each fatal accident over the last ten years.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you.

MR. BERNHARDT: My name is Julius Bernhardt.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Mr. Bernhardt, can you hold on a second, please? We have other people who want to testify.

MR. BERNHARDT: I have a job to do and I have to go back to work.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Go ahead. Take a couple of minutes.

MR. BERNHARDT: It will only take me a couple of seconds.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: You look like you are decorated for Christmas.

MR. BERNHARDT: I play Santa Claus at
HersheyPark. My name is Julius Bernhardt. I live at
6724 Lincoln Way East, Fayetteville, Pennsylvania. There is
a school bus that stops in front of my place on Lincoln Way
East.

The high school children have to cross the highway to the westbound side. People come right on up the road coming east and they forget the stop for the school bus is there. If one of my grandchildren ever get hit there is going to be heck raised.

The elementary school bus stops right in front of my driveway. People going westbound don't even stop. I called the State Police many, many times for years. If one of those buses ever hit one of my grandchildren, I'll sue the state and I mean it.

Now I called the State Police to have somebody out there. In a lot just west of me about 400 feet they have to come in there with a plain car, not one with a light on it, because they see the light and they don't go to pass the bus.

I have asked them for years to have somebody

out there to check it out and see what goes on, but nobody responds. Like I said, if one of my grandchildren get hurt from them people I will sue the state.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Are you in the school district of Chambersburg?

MR. BERNHARDT: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: We have people from the State Police who will testify.

MR. BERNHARDT: I got grandchildren who go to high school and grandchildren who go to the elementary school.

Also Fayetteville School.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: You are welcome.

The next person to testify is Doris Meyers from Meyers Bus Company. Actually, it is Meyers Bus Lines, I guess. Good morning.

MS. MEYERS: Good morning. My name is Doris

Meyers and I would like to thank the members of the House

Transportation Committee for this opportunity to speak before
you today on the issue of school bus safety.

My husband and I are the owners of Meyers Bus
Lines here in Chambersburg. Our business has grown from one
bus to nineteen buses over the last thirteen years. I employ
twenty drivers and service the Chambersburg School District.

Because of the precious cargo we transport, safety has to be our number one priority. Many hours of school bus driver training and vehicle maintenance goes into achieving a safe school bus operation. However, no matter how many precautions we take there are always opportunities for accidents to happen. One of the biggest threats to our safe operation is passing motorists.

We can control the training of our drivers and vehicle maintenance, but we cannot control people, who are in such a hurry, to obey the law and stop for a school bus who is picking up or discharging students.

I would like to share an incident involving a person passing a stopped school bus and the lengths they will go in order to avoid the license suspension.

One of my drivers was driving an early morning route on Route 11, which is a very busy road during this time of day. She had stopped the bus, with the red lights flashing and the side stop arm extended, to pick up students. The last student had just stepped on the first step of the bus when a car, travelling at a high speed, passed on the right side of the bus.

That is correct, the right side of the bus.

The driver was able to obtain all the necessary information for the police to issue a citation. The driver of the vehicle, who was a college professor and who lived in

Philadelphia and worked at our local college, challenged the ticket.

The hearing was scheduled in the morning. However, the day before we were to appear at the District Magistrate's office, the hearing was rescheduled for the afternoon, during the hours we would be picking up school children.

We believe that this was intentional, in hopes that we would not be able to attend. My driver, the State Trooper who wrote the citation, and myself appeared before the District Magistrate to retell the incident. The driver of the car, with his lawyer and another person who closely resembled the driver of the car, also appeared. The case was thrown out due to technicalities; there was an error on the ticket.

The reason why the professor's lawyer brought the similar looking man was to challenge the positive identification of the driver who passed the school bus. We were quite upset that a person who knew they were guilty would go to such lengths to beat the ticket.

This is just one example of many which I could sit here today and tell you.

Many students are injured boarding and leaving a school bus. So it makes sense that all drivers would be on the lookout for flashing red school bus lights and the side

stop arm that extends from the side of the buses. Both of these devices are meant to attract motorists' attention and reinforce the STOP message. They are also difficult to miss.

Somehow, though, the blatant warnings are frequently ignored by drivers intent on avoiding the extra minute or two required for children to board or disembark from their school bus safely.

And if the drivers are issued a citation, they are sometimes let go because of technicalities. This is extremely frustrating for my drivers and myself. It makes you wonder why you even report the violations. The only thing that keeps us trying to get these people prosecuted is our concern for the safety of our children. If we do not protect them, who will?

I believe that by increasing the monetary penalty for the violation of passing a school bus it could help to get more people prosecuted. In today's tough economic times, hurting a person's wallet could be just the solution to this problem.

We should also require all people who are cited for passing a school bus to take a refresher course on the rules of the road, particularly the school bus section.

It scares me to think that if a person does not see a big, yellow school bus with flashing lights, you know that they do not see that small child getting on or off

the bus either.

I hope this Committee will take a look at this serious situation and help the school bus drivers in Pennsylvania to provide a safe ride to and from school.

I thank you again for this opportunity.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Ms. Meyers, thank you. Are there any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you, Mrs.

Meyers, for the testimony. I will get right to the point.

That incident with respect to the professor who brought to the hearing a look-alike, so to speak, you said was thrown out on a technicality.

Was the technicality the result of the look-alike, or was it because of some flaw in writing the citation?

MS. MEYERS: Yes, it was directed back to the State Trooper.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Speaking of the State Trooper, a gentleman walked in here spontaneously and gave some testimony which you probably heard. His testimony centered around the fact that he has observed, on numerous occasions I guess, vehicles ignoring the stopping bus. And you seem to say the same thing.

He indicated that he reported these incidents,
I guess, to the local or State Police. He clearly stated that

there was a lack of cooperation from the law enforcement authorities. Have you had positive or negative experiences with respect to trying to get law enforcement people to sort of almost stake out an area, or certainly be more vigilant with respect to stopping the loss?

MS. MEYERS: We have had very positive from our State Troopers in our area. I thank them often when I see them. They do stake out areas that are a very big concern.

In the incident that he is speaking of there is a three lane highway where his grandchildren have to get on and off the bus.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Is that a state highway?

MS. MEYERS: It is a state highway. We do, within Chambersburg here and our school district, have two such highways, being Route 30 and Route 11, that our buses have to travel on three lane highways.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Besides the specific incident that you talked about, with respect to the professor who ignored the stopping devices, you indicated that other citations seem to be thrown out based on technicalities. So I assume there is some history of incidents like that; not specifically that, but other types.

MS. MEYERS: Yes, we have, with our local

Magistrate.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Are they all examples of some so-called flaw in writing out the citation? Is that what the technicalities are?

MS. MEYERS: Not always. Just the incident of changing dates on us and this sort of thing, hoping that we do not attend. It is an ongoing thing, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: You pointed to a specific Magistrate.

MS. MEYERS: But it is very difficult for the driver to get all the information. We do our best. And particularly that day, that driver we have been able to identify.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: I do know with respect to the littering laws, if you get the license number of a vehicle the owner of that vehicle is absolutely responsible. We changed that law four, five, or six years ago.

With respect to someone passing a school bus, if your driver obtains the license number -- Paul, can you correct me on this?

MR. LANDIS: If you remember when the law was changed about ten years ago, setting a procedure that had to be followed by school bus drivers in order to get a prosecution, that was as a result of a Supreme Court ruling.

The Court rule said that the school bus drivers themselves could not be the prosecutor of the third party.

What was put in the motor code then was to try to get around the Supreme Court decision in order to make them a little more firm and get them prosecuted. In fact, that is when we put the suspension in. Before there was no suspension. We had the fine up to pretty close to \$500.00. When we hit the House floor, they thought that fine was too high because it would do the same thing the District Justices are doing now. And if you get it too high, they are going to find some way of throwing it out.

So it becomes a problem of enforcement. We can put the tools there, but it is going to be that District

Judge -- I know of a case in Dauphin County where they were prosecuting under the old provision. Marlin Strom was the District Justice. The guy's attorney brought in his twin brother and said to the driver, "Point out who was driving the car." And here they were two twins. He says, "I can't."

And this was one of the reasons why we tried to tighten it up. It seems like a lot of paperwork, but try to make it so that the school bus driver, when he gets that and gives it to the police officer, the police officer has something he can prosecute.

MS. MEYERS: Yes, we do have to identify the driver. It is not the vehicle.

MR. LANDIS: Well, it is up to the police officer to make that determination before he writes the citation. Before, it used to be on information received and the driver could go to the D.J. and swear to it. Now he cannot do it without going through a police officer.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you, Ms. Meyers.

Do you have any questions? Representative Larry Roberts.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Ms. Meyers, thank you for coming here today. You are to be commended for your efforts and for your persistence. You brought something to light that causes me some concern.

My first impulse when we talk about raising the fine for violators was to support that. Now that you tell me about what is going on with people trying to fight these things and get their tickets taken care of somehow through an attorney, or the extent that someone would go to, I am wondering if we would be shooting ourself in the foot, so to speak, by making the penalty so high that it would make it more worthwhile for someone to want to fight this thing.

I wonder if there is some other approach we should take than just trying to raise the fine. Do you have any comments to make in that regard?

MS. MEYERS: Yes, I do. Right now they are losing their license on a first violation. Monies they have no problem laying out. A person like this professor hired a

lawyer. A young man early in his years of working does not hire a lawyer. Maybe he could get off only through the technicalities or through the magistrate, but most likely he will lay out his money also. It is harder for him.

But losing your license is what they do not want to do. It is harder for a young man to not have a job. And, of course, the college professor having to travel to Philadelphia a couple of days a week, it was easy for him to hire a lawyer.

I'm not saying they will not continue to hire a lawyer. But I think the money up front always will help.

That's why I'm supporting Representative Coy.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: The reason I mentioned that is if it is going to cost you \$500.00 for a fine and it costs you \$200.00 for an attorney, you may want to go see an attorney if you are inclined to try to beat the ticket, or have that taken care of.

But you feel with your experience and with the number of things that you have experienced you still think that an increase in the fine would be good?

MS. MEYERS: Yes, and then with our endorsement of not losing their license until maybe the third offense.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Thank you very much.

Good question.

Meyers in your company do you do any testing of your own for

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE:

your drivers?

MS. MEYERS: We do not do the testing. We use a third party. I do the training for my company and many, many people in Franklin County here. As far as CDL, I do a lot of training.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: I do not mean as far as CDL. For instance, if I had my CDL and I was an operator for you starting a new year, or three years after my certification. Do you do anything for the drivers once you hire them? Do you test them or give them some type of skill check?

MS. MEYERS: Yes, I do. I would not hire them without riding with them, even if they had already had all their credentials, had their license and were ready to work. I would not hire them without riding with them.

I also have safety meetings within my company. We hold a breakfast once a month. And I also do newsletters.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: No further questions?

Thank you very much for your testimony. We really appreciate it.

Our next individual will be Corporal James Boyd from the Pennsylvania State Police. Corporal Boyd? I understand Corporal Boyd had a video which we were unable to show. If any members are interested in seeing that, we can arrange to get a copy of it.

CORPORAL BOYD: Representative Tique,

Representative Coy, Committee members, on behalf of Colonel Glenn Walp, Commissioner of the Pennsylvania State Police, I thank you for the opportunity to testify before this very fine Committee.

My name is Corporal James Boyd of the

Pennsylvania State Police. I am stationed at Departmental

Headquarters in Harrisburg in the Bureau of Patrol Safety

Program Division. My duties are to manage and supervise the

Pennsylvania State Police School Bus Inspection Program.

In that capacity I train, supervise and monitor the approximately 150 Troopers involved in the School Bus Inspection Program. Prior to this assignment, I was stationed in Lewistown, in Mifflin County, where I was responsible for the inspection of 350 school buses in Mifflin, Juniata and Centre Counties.

The Pennsylvania State Police is involved in School Bus Safety in many different ways, both proactive and reactive. Unfortunately, the most visible way and the way that receives the most attention is the reactive way.

This is when a motorist overtakes and passes a school bus when the red lights are flashing, or when a school bus is involved in an accident. We definitely prefer a proactive approach to School Bus Safety.

One example of proactive School Bus Safety is is the State Police Community Service Officers traveling to

schools in their area and educating students, teachers, bus drivers and the general public in School Bus Safety.

Another example of proactive safety is in the Pennsylvania State Police Annual and Spot Check School Bus Inspection Programs. The annual inspection of each school bus is conducted before the start of the school term, and spot checks are randomly carried out over the course of the school year.

During the 1993 Annual Inspection, a total of 20,232 school buses were inspected by Troopers and 16,360 discrepancies discovered. It is important to note that during the 1991-1992 school year, Pennsylvania school buses traveled 285,576,854 miles, which ranked us third in the nation; and transported 1,363,052 students, which ranked us second in the nation.

During all of the millions of miles traveled in 1992, Pennsylvania school buses were involved in 773 traffic accidents. While 287 students were injured, remarkably not a single student passenger was killed.

Another interesting fact is that of the 773 school bus accidents, only 12 were attributed to equipment failure. That equates to 1.2%. This kind of safety record does not just happen, it is created by the dedication of people. People from various agencies and organizations such as the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, who are

responsible for the regulations and training of school bus drivers; school officials, who implement the various safety programs; bus contractors, who strive to provide the safest equipment available; and the Pennsylvania State Police, who take great pride in their contribution to this successful program.

While school buses remain the safest form of surface travel in the world, unfortunately once students leave the relative safety of the bus they are exposed to greater hazards, in the form of the inattentive or uncaring motorist who, for whatever reason, passes a school bus that is in the process of loading or unloading school children.

Another area of great concern is the student who is injured or killed by a school bus after the student leaves the bus. According to the National Safety Council, more than half of the pedestrian students injured in 1991-1992 were struck by the very same school bus they were entering or leaving. Between 1987 and 1990, a total of 83 children were killed in or around the loading or unloading area of school buses nationwide.

While great strides have been made in School
Bus Safety for passengers, a great deal more work must be done
to increase safety for students entering or exiting a school
bus.

It has been suggested that increasing the fine

for motorists passing a school bus with its flashing lights on would act as a deterrence to the violation. While the increase in fines may deter some, the real problem lies with the problem of identifying the operator of the vehicle.

It is almost impossible for a school bus driver to obtain the registration number, the make, the color and the model of the vehicle and still be able to view the operator well enough to identify him in court several weeks later.

Legislation allowing prima facia prosecution would greatly enhance the chances of successful prosecution of these violations. Prima facia prosecution would allos the school bus driver to merely obtain the registration number of the violator's vehicle and turn it over to police for prosecution. At a hearing, it would be incumbent upon the owner of the vehicle to identify the person operating the vehicle at the time of violation.

Even though the current school bus safety record is one of which we can be very proud, as government employees we should nevertheless continue our efforts to improve this record. After all, the lives and health of our children are central to this issue.

Our recommendations for prima facia legislation is just one of several recommendations offered here today. We respectfully request that the Committee

carefully consider this recommendation as you continue in your deliberations.

On behalf of the Commissioner of the Pennsylvania State Police, Colonel Glenn A. Walp, I would like to thank the Committee for allowing our Department the opportunity to testify on this important matter.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE. Thank you. Any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: This is sort of a comment and a question both. I wholeheartedly concur with your recommendation with respect to prima facia legislation because that is precisely what I do. I chair a control beautification committee for my Cabinet. And I can tell you about the \$319.00 soda can that an owner of a vehicle had to pay for because I was driving behind him and I took his license number and I gave a State Police friend, who was on our Board, the identification. He punched it out and he told me who was responsible.

He sent him a citation. I was ready to testify, I had the color and everything of the vehicle. Two days before he paid the full fine when he knew that I was going to appear and testify.

There were three people in that vehicle. I just had the number and it was a prima facia case where the

driver himself had indicated that either he did or he loaned it to a friend. And he is still responsible.

I certainly would support that kind of initiative because this is ridiculous with respect to the lengths that some people go to evade the law and to evade prosecution. They are bringing look-alikes in.

It is almost impossible for a bus driver who really is trying to be vigilant in maintaining his bus, to take all this information down and be able to identify.

If there are three people in the car, two people, or even if the person is alone, the fact is that if you bring a look-alike, which one was it?

CORPORAL BOYD: I have been to hearings and I have testified in hearings and I definitely agree with those who have testified before me, Ms. Meyers, that it is frustrating.

When I was in Mifflin County in charge of the three-county buses there, I called a meeting between every Chief of Police in Mifflin County, both district magistrates, all the school bus contractors and the Director of Transportation for Mifflin County Schools.

We had the meeting just on this very issue of vehicles passing the school bus. You would have been surprised at all the finger pointing. The bus contractors say "we supply the information, the police don't want to prosecute.

We say "you don't supply the right information and you cannot identify the operator." And we point at the magistrates for giving a verdict that we do not agree with. The magistrates point the finger at both the bus driver and the police and say "I can't find them guilty if you don't give us the proper information."

So it seems like everyone is pointing the finger. And it seems the proper answer here would be to come out with prima facia legislation where, as we used to do it in the old days -- I've been on the job since 1969 and was a local policeman before that and a military policeman before that -- And prior to 1976 we had prima facia for numerous violations. We had it for hit-and-run, high speed chases, and when we lost that with the changes in 1976 we, of course, lost it with the school buses also.

So I firmly believe that would be the answer.

And the doubling of the fines. And whatever other remedies that you wish to add to this.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: We essentially use it for littering.

CORPORAL BOYD: We use it for parking. Right now if you park at an expired parking meter they do not need to know who parked it and they arrest the owner.

So you can run over children, but do not overtime park.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you, Corporal.
REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Ron

Marsico?

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. It has been proven that seat belts save lives in cars and in trucks. What is the State Police position on requiring seat belts on buses?

CORPORAL BOYD: As you know, the Pennsylvania State Police are very firm advocates of seat belts in all vehicles. However, we have not yet seen seat belt design for school bus that would work.

The way that the school buses are built and designed, the very seats in the bus themsleves act as similar to an air bag. Colonel Walp is very, very big on seat belts, as most policemen are.

If we could find a seat belt that would work safely in a school bus we, of course, would be for it. But with just the lap belt in a school bus, there are studies; the Toronto study for instance shows that with merely a lap belt it causes more injuries to a student than if there was no seat belt at all. I could go into graphic details if you are interested.

I also have the film that was produced in Toronto if anyone on the Committee would like to copy the film. It shows what happens to a student who merely wears the

lap belt. If we could have a three point seat belt, such as a shoulder harness and a lap belt, and we could have a study to show that it works, we would definitely be in favor of that.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Teresa Brown?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is really more of a comment. I really appreciate your testimony and also Mrs. Meyers' because it is not a unique problem.

I am from the great northwest, Crawford County.

I had the opportunity to meet with my contractors and bus drivers. And it was amazing the stories they told me and it was very similar.

One of the stories that was told to me was scary like yours, Mrs. Meyers. It was a teacher going to teach at the high school that passed the bus. And they got out of it because of a technicality.

I think that we are going to find out soon on this panel and in investigating this further that this is happening a lot and we really do need to tighten up the law.

I appreciate your suggestions and I fully support you.

CORPORAL BOYD: Was that just a recent case that you are talking about?

3	on appeal in court?
4	REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I do not believe so.
5	CORPORAL BOYD: Well, I just had a teacher from
6	Crawford County call me about two weeks ago on that very
7	issue; she had passed a school bus. And she beat it in court.
8	Every time we get these, we find out Once
9	a Trooper loses a case we want them to notice the ticket and
10	tell us why. And then we try to fix the reasons why.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Well, maybe we can help
12	you.
13	CORPORAL BOYD: Thank you very much.
14	REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: You are welcome.
15	REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Roberts?
16	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Previous testimony
17	indicated that the new requirements, the federal requirements,
18	are that all seats will face forward.
19	It was always my impression that if the seats
20	were facing backwards and there were an accident the children
21	would be protected by being thrown against the seat backwards.
22	Is there some study on which would be safer, facing forward

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: It was about a year ago.

CORPORAL BOYD: And she just recently won it

studies. There probably are dozens of studies. No matter

CORPORAL BOYD: I am really not aware of any

or facing backwards?

23

24

25

what subject you pick on school buses, there are hundreds of studies done on it.

I think the major crux of this problem was that on some of the handicapped buses a lot of the wheelchairs were bolted in sideways. So if you are going down the road in this direction (indicating) and you hit, the passengers are thrown this way (indicating), causing a lot of unnecessary injuries.

So if they are all facing forward and they are belted in, then I think that was designed to alleviate that problem. I think it was done more so for the handicapped buses. I am not aware of any other bus that had seats sideways except the handicapped.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Do you know if there were ever any studies on the seats facing the rear of the bus, as far as the safety impact?

CORPORAL BOYD: No, but one time I sat in the back of a station wagon going backwards and it tends to give you road sickness or sea sickness.

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: It tends to be a little different. When I try it I often wonder why I would not sit the other way. If there were an accident there is more protection that way.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: We were just talking about changing the seats in an airplane and he said that made sense because he never heard of a plane backing into a

mountain.

I have a question. In your testimony you mentioned 773 accidents in 1992 and only 12 of them were equipment failures. Do you have the numbers on what percentage would be considered driver at fault?

CORPORAL BOYD: No. My expertise on school buses is strictly on the physical entity of the bus and the equipment. That kind of data is probably more likely generated by PennDot and the Driver Licensing Program.

I am sure Mr. Doug Tobin could get that information for you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Of the 20,000 plus inspections that were done you mentioned 16,000 discrepancies. That would include little and small discrepancies as well as large problems?

CORPORAL BOYD: I hear this every time I mention these facts because, unfortunately, when I get the data in if a horn does not work and the bus sat over the whole summer, we carry that as a discrepancy. It is fixed before we even leave it, but we carry it as a discrepancy.

They go over these buses. I am really proud of the contractors and the school bus owners in Pennsylvania for the job they do with the school buses. I will put our school buses up against any other state in the nation.

It is probably not a fair number to give you

equipment.

on the 16,000; it sounds like they were in terrible shape.

But if you have a school bus that they just checked and if a clearance light is up because of a bad ground we carry that as a discrepancy.

I think we would like to change the process of how we count those violations and kind of break them down into groups of moderate, serious and minor discrepancies.

If a bus is dirty on a spot check we carry that as a discrepancy because we do not want dirty buses.

So that was not a fair number to give you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: During the school year are there any inspections done on the buses?

CORPORAL BOYD: Yes, sir. We spot check from October to May. We have Troopers that go out and spot check buses all the school year long.

Is there any other

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE:

recommendation you would like to mention while you are here?

CORPORAL BOYD: No, I think that the primary
thing is definitely passing the school bus. Right now PennDot
is doing a wonderful job in cooperation with the various
school bus agencies trying to get Chapter 171, which is the
vehicle equipment standards, up to par with the latest safety

So I think if we can look towards making the exterior of the bus as safe as the inside, I think we have

accomplished something.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Corporal, thank you very much for that enlightening testimony. We appreciate your taking the time.

CORPORAL BOYD: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: And now we would like to hear from Pat Parker, Pennsylvania Coordinator, National Coalition for School Bus Safety.

We had visitors who had to leave with the ringing of the change of class bell; visitors from one of the classes sitting in the rear.

MS. PARKER: Good morning. My name is Pat
Parker. I am a parent in the Lewisburg Area School District,
House District 85, and I am also a Pennsylvania Coordinator
for the National Coalition on School Bus Safety.

I want to thank all of you for allowing me a few minutes of your time today to speak to you about seat belts on Pennsylvania school buses.

At this time, as you are aware, Pennsylvania has no law requiring school buses to be equipped with seat belts. This fact puts our children at risk. Today I would like to offer you a few facts about school buses and seat belts.

Let me begin by examining some of the arguments promulgated by opponents of school bus seat belts.

1. The 1977 passage of federal regulations mandating structural changes and compartmentalization on school buses makes seat belts unnecessary.

Newer buses do have some structural design changes and a feature known as compartmentalization, which is fully padded, higher backed seats spaced closer together.

These changes have reduced fatalities, but they have not reduced injuries.

2. Studies show that new school buses are safer without seat belts.

The two most widely quoted studies on school bus seat belts vs. compartmentalization contain methodology that would not pass muster at a high school science fair.

School Bus Collision Tests conducted by

Transport Canada -- the ones in fact just cited by Corporal

Boyd -- in]984 examined only frontal collision, which

account for only half of all injury producing school bus

accidents.

The effects of rear end collisions, side collisions and rollovers, which taken together account for 64% of occupant deaths, were disregarded in this study.

A report from the National Highway Traffic
Safety Administration says, "It is in these types of accidents
that safety belts might be most likely to provide additional
safety benefits to school bus occupants...Ejections, which

could be prevented by belts, represent one-fourth of all fatalities... The results of the Canadian tests should be viewed with caution."

A 1987 National Transportation Safety Board study concluded that newly designed seats adequately protect passengers without belts. This study considered only 43 accidents, 39 of which involved buses without belts. However, buses with belts were not compared to buses without belts in this study. Passengers on the four buses that had seat belts fared better, but the Board chose not to include data from these accidents in its final report.

3. Statistics show that school buses are one of the nation's safest means of transportation.

While it is true that statistically school buses are one of the nation's safest means of transportation, accidents do happen and children get hurt, and killed, in school bus accidents every year. According to PennDot statistics, five students died and 1,765 were injured on school buses in Pennsylvania between 1986 and 1992.

And although this is not in the text of my testimony, I want to point out that this does not include the accidents outside of the bus in the loading zone, or anywhere else. We are talking about kids injured and killed while they were on the bus.

In addition, school bus accident statistics

show only a partial picture. Pennsylvania is one of the many states that does not require accidents that occur on school property or outside of school hours to be reported in yearly accident statistics. Yet fifty percent of all school bus accidents occur on field trips.

4. Belts cost too much.

The cost of equipping a new, full sized bus with seat belts ranges from \$1,000 to \$2,000 of the total cost of \$35,000 to \$60,000. This is far less than even a single lawsuit filed by parents of an injured child would be likely to cost.

5. The kids don't wear them anyway. They just destroy them and use them as weapons.

Although compliance may never be 100%, steps can be taken by districts to encourage seat belt use. The National Highway Transportation Safety Administration has found a direct correlation between high belt usage and a mandatory usage policy within school districts.

Now, what are some of the arguments in favor of seat belts on school buses?

1. The single greatest cause of school bus accidents is driver distraction caused by students horsing around and forcing drivers to divert attention from their driving. Studies show improved behavior on buses where students are wearing seat belts.

	2.	Findi	ng no	seat	belt	s on	their	schoo	l bus
interrupts a	life	saving	safe	ty hai	bit f	or ou	ır chil	ldren	and
sends a mixed	i mes	sage abo	out t	he vai	lue o	f buo	kling	up.	

3. The National Transportation Research Board of the National Academy of Scienses finds that, "Seat (and that is lap) belts...may reduce the likelihood of death or injury to passengers involved in school bus crashes by up to twenty percent."

Let me conclude by saing that there are no valid studies that show that children are safer without seat belts on their school buses, and many that establish that seat belts can reduce injuries and deaths.

Furthermore, the following professional organizations all endorse school bus seat belts: The American Medical Association, The American Academy of Pediatrics, The American Society for Adolescent Medicine, The American College of Preventive Medicine, The Center for Automotive Safety, Physicians for Automotive Safety, and the National Coalition for School Bus Safety.

For the sake of our children, their safety and the teaching of safe riding habits, I urge the Pennsylvania House of Representatives to support Pennsylvania Senate Bill 1095, which is attached to your copies, which would, if passed require belts on all buses.

I also urge you to add to SB 1095 amendments

specifying that belts be installed only on new buses, as retrofitting old buses can be problematic; calling for mandatory seat belt use policies in all districts and schools; and releasing bus drivers from liability in the case of an injury in which a student is not wearing a seat belt.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you. Questions? Representative Brown?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you for your testimony. I just have a couple of quick questions. On the last page, number one, some of the reasons in favor of seat belts, at the top under "Belts cost too much;" in the Bill, do you happen to know who pays the cost of the seat belts?

MS. PARKER: Normally that cost is taken on by the school districts. So it is a taxpayer expense.

Some school districts license, or have contracts with school bus companies, and do not buy their belts. They buy their belts as they lease the buses.

So if those buses are going to be equipped with belts then the cost of leasing the buses might go up somewhat if belts were installed on new buses and the company had to take on that additional expense.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Do you happen to know if any of this would be reimbursed through the State?

MS. PARKER: I do not know. I do not imagine

there is such a provision at this time because there is no law requiring seat belts. However, this is something that could be looked into.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: My last quick comment; it was number one where it said, "The single greatest cause of school bus accidents is driver distraction caused by students." I was asked to do some school bus safety tapings for public service and I thought, "Sure, there is no problem with that."

It was surprising what the tapes said and what the message was. It was very clear that their number one problem was that.

I guess my one question to you would be, do you happen to know if the schools do have school bus safety courses, programs, anything in place?

MS. PARKER: Nothing like that is mandated.

Some schools do and some schools do not. My school district in Lewisburg did put seat belts on their school buses. They were one of very, very few.

At that time they had the American Academy of Pediatrics come out; they have a free program that they will offer to anyone on school bus safety. All of that sort of education was done. Then they brought nothing else, so there is no follow through year by year.

I do believe that there was former testimony that recommended that school bus safety programs could be

mandated in the schools. I think that would be a wonderful idea; all kinds of school bus safety, every year, twice a year, kindergarten through twelfth grade. At least kindergarten through sixth grade.

But, at this point it is up to the principal, basically.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you.

MS. PARKER: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?
Representative Roberts?

REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Ms. Parker, I just have one quick question.

Looking at your testimony, you state that "Pennsylvania is one of many states that does not require accidents that occur on school property, or outside of school hours, to be reported in yearly accident statistics."

Then in the next sentence you say "Fifty percent of all school bus accidents occur on field trips."

If these accidents are not reported, where did you get these figures?

MS. PARKER: My estimation comes from research done by the National Coalition for School Bus Safety, who looked into news articles and so forth. For example, in California a few years ago there was a whole bus load of children killed, yet that year California stated that one

1	child died in school bus accidents in California, when in
2	fact there had been twenty-six killed all at the same time.
3	So the statistics that the National Coalition
4	is gathering are gathered from sources in addition to those
5	reports.
6	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Do you have additiona
7	documentation to substantiate this fifty percent figure?
8	MS. PARKER: I can get some for you, certainly
9	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: If you could get that
10	to me I would appreciate it.
11	MS. PARKER: Certainly. To whom should I
12	send it?
13	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Send it to the
14	Committee.
15	MS. PARKER: I would be glad to do that.
16	REPRESENTATIVE ROBERTS: Thank you.
17	REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Dante
18	Santoni?
19	REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you, Mr.
20	Chairman. Ms. Parker, is there any other state in the Union
21	that does require seat belts for school buses?
22	MS. PARKER: There are two; New York and, just
23	last year, New Jersey.
24	REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Is there any

information available from those states that prove that the

difference in injuries and fatalities is very drastic between when they did not require them and when they did?

MS. PARKER: Probably from New York. I believe it would be too soon from New Jersey. But that is something else that I can find out for you.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: I would appreciate that. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?

I would ask that you send the information that Representative Roberts requested, as well as -- you mentioned a couple of things like studies that show improved behavior on buses -- If you have any studies like that, would you forward them to the Committee so we can disperse and distribute them to the Members.

Thank you for your testimony.

MS. PARKER: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Next we have Dallas Krapf, a school bus contractor. Would you identify who is with you also?

MR. KRAPF: With me is Sherry Landis, Executive Director, Pennsylvania School Bus Association.

I would like to thank the Chairman and the Members of the House Transportation Committee for this opportunity to speak to you today concerning the issue of school bus safety.

I have been a school bus contractor in the state of Pennsylvania for twenty-six years. Our company, George Krapf, Jr. & Sons, Inc., is celebrating its 51st year in business and we arethe largest privately owned contractor in Pennsylvania, of which I am fifty percent owner.

We currently operate 475 yellow school buses in Chester County and serve the school districts of Coatesville, Downingtown, West Chester, Twin Valley and the Chester County Intermediate Unit. We transport approximately 35,000 students each day.

I am a State Certified Instructor and also a Commercial Drivers License Examiner for the State of Pennsylvania. I also serve on the Board of Directors for the Pennsylvania School Bus Association and hold the office of First Vice President.

Transporting children safely to school is a very challenging job. Our school bus drivers are traveling on busy roadways during the busiest times of day. Many of these roads were not designed to handle the volume of vehicles that currently travel on them, which causes traffic congestion and tie-ups. When you add a school bus picking up or discharging school students to this equation, you have a high potential for an accident. Many motorists will go to great lengths in order to get around a school bus.

My experience with the law involving failure to

stop for school buses is very frightening and frustrating. My drivers are constantly complaining about the number of drivers who fail to stop for their bus when they are picking up or discharging students.

If the driver is able to obtain all the necessary information to have a ticket issued to the motorist, our drivers win only one out of ten cases reported.

The reasons are many. First, a school bus driver must be able to provide information, in writing, as to the identity of the driver. That means that the school bus driver, who is trying to make sure the child is not hit, must be 100% sure of who was driving the vehicle.

Second, the driver must also provide the license number and color of the vehicle, the time and location of the violation, and must ID the vehicle as to type, whether it be auto, station wagon, or truck.

This is a lot of information to gather while the driver is trying to make sure the children are safe. The school bus drivers should only have to report the license number, because many times that is all the information they have been able to get in a short amount of time.

The operator of the school bus then has 48 hours to deliver a copy of the report to the arresting officer. This is just the beginning of a long, problematic process. Usually a motorist who has been issued a citation

will try to fight the ticket. Court is normally scheduled for an inconvenient time, making it impossible for the driver to be there. You have to remember that our drivers are unavailable in the morning and afternoons due to their school routes. If a driver chooses to miss their run it is very difficult, if not impossible, to find a substitute for that route. Also, it is very upsetting to a dedicated driver because they do not like to upset their student passengers with a substitute driver.

Due to the penalty on the violator, five points and a sixty day suspension of their license, they will fight the ticket to the very end. This causes the school bus driver to miss additional work and spend excess time in the courtroom.

If the case is won by the school bus driver at the District Court level, the violator then takes the case to the Common Pleas court, which involves a totally new trial. Usually at this point the District Attorney will allow the violator to plead to a lesser charge to move the case out of the court system.

It is because of this process that we are frustrated with the system. If a school bus driver knows that a motorist who passes a stopped school bus will eventually beat the ticket, it deters them from reporting any future violators. The only reason why drivers continue to report the

violators is out of concern for the children they transport.

We recently had a motorist pass a school bus on the right hand berm while the school bus driver was discharging students. The school bus driver, because of a bad past experience, refused to get involved and report the incident. Her comment was, "They do it every day and I'm not wasting my time in court to lose another case." Unfortunately this is the attitude of many of our employees.

I, and many of our employees, feel if the dollar amount of the violation was more severe and if we only had to report the license number, more motorists would be prosecuted for the passing motorist violation. But, because of the suspension and the points to their license, they hire the best legal representation to win the case.

Today's roadways are heavily congested and the motorists have many things on their mind. They just do not see the big, yellow school bus, and they normally admit that in court.

However, that is not going to save our children's lives when they are run down by these inattentive, passing motorists. We need a solid, working law to help us slow down motorists oblivious of the work around them before we have more children injured or killed.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you. Questions?

Representative Brown?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you for your testimony. I appreciated that. I have a

couple of questions that really you did not touch upon and

maybe you can clear this up for me.

What are they doing in other states for re-testing? Do you happen to know?

MS. LANDIS: I can answer that. First of all, before I answer your question, I do not have prepared testimony but I thought it was necessary to come up and present some of the safety statistics that were addressed here earlier by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation in relation to the re-testing program.

West Virginia currently does not have a re-testing program. They do have a commercial drivers license since it is a federally mandated program. They have a State Transportation Director who reviews driver licensing. And if a driver has several movable violations they will ask the driver to come in and re-test.

New Jersey does not have any type of re-testing program.

Maryland does not have any type of re-testing program. Each individual county in Maryland has jurisdiction over school bus driver licensing programs with some DMV interaction. But they are basically in charge of the training

and so forth. Maryland does have two mandatory in service days.

New York does have a re-testing program every two years. They must have a written skills and written knowledge test. They recently passed a bill that has if a driver has three violations on their license, and that includes their car license and their commercial license, their license will be revoked.

But what I think we need to look at when we are dealing with the re-testing issue is, not a ten year period, but let us look at a three year period since the commercial drivers license program has been implemented. That program was designed to better train for skills commercial drivers.

That includes truckers and school bus drivers.

If you look at the sheet that I passed out to you just recently, you will look at the accident rate per million miles driven annually and it has gone down dramatically since the implementation of the commercial drivers license program. That is a sign that it is working.

This is also during a period of time that there has been no new testing program of the school bus drivers. If you look at the accidents in the top graph you will see that in 1991-1992 there were zero fatalities. In 1990-1991 there was one fatality and that occurred on the school bus. That was when a steel beam went through a window. That was in the

Montgomery County area, or Chester County, that a young girl was killed as it went through the window.

In 1989-1990 there wer two fatalities and they did occur outside of the school bus.

But I think that when a statistic is used that we have a 79% higher rate, and I am not sure if that is the exact number, you have got to look down at the year of 1987-1988 where there were six fatalities. That was during the re-testing program.

So if you look at the years since the commercial drivers license program, the accident statistics have gotten better.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: One last question. Can you explain how CDL has changed the driver training?

MS. LANDIS: Well, currently a school bus driver must pass a commercial drivers license test which is a fifty question test. They must get an "S" endorsement which is for their school bus driver license and that requires a twenty hour course -- fourteen hours classroom training, six hours in-bus training.

Then they must pass a physical. They must pass a motor vehicle check and a criminal history check.

Then every four years that driver is required to do ten hours of recertification. That is seven hours of in classroom training and three hours in bus, one on one, with

a trainer, of driver recertification skills. And it is a training program.

So that is changed. We no longer do it before your re-test, which we did before the commercial drivers license.

and I want to tell you that we are for re-testing of school bus drivers. We believe that the ten hour recertification class should remain the same. We should have seven hours of in classroom training and we should have three hours of in school bus training, plus a twenty question test at the end of that training period, to make sure that they are knowledgeable on the laws of the road.

We are also in favor of re-testing those school bus drivers that have moveable violations. These are the people that need to be re-tested.

When you look at the industry as a whole, we have the safest safety statistics available. When some of these statistics are presented to you today, I think it does not give a favorable light on the industry. And they are safe drivers. I mean, in the 1991-1992 school year there were 1,363,052 pupils driven over 285,576,859 miles in Pennsylvania. That is a very good accident rate for the conditions that they drive in. They drive during the busiest times of day. They drive on urban, rural and suburban roads.

And we need to separate all that out if you are

look at the accidents. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Battisto?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you very much for your testimony. Two things seem to stick out of the testimony, among other things.

Number one, the fact is that there is a problem with respect to drivers blatantly passing school buses when they are stopped, even on the right hand side. I have heard this a couple of times.

The other thing that seemed to pop out is the fact that accidents do occur in the loading area when they do occur. It is very difficult, even if you are the tallest person and the person with the best skills, to see all around the bus.

I gather from your testimony that you would agree with the idea of raising the fine with respect to the violators?

MR. KRAPF: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: The State Corporal testified for legislation that would allow for prima facia violations. That is simply a matter of being able to report the license number of the violator and leaving it up to that person, in court, to identify himself or herself or somebody else who was operating the vehicle. I concur with that.

Since you have so many buses and you operate in

so many districts you have a lot of experience. Is there anything else? Are there any other recommendations you have with respect to trying to improve the area around the bus where the loading and unloading occurs? Any suggestions with respect to the whole idea of scanning the area to make sure that the area is clear before the driver proceeds?

Somebody mentioned before, and I take it that is going to be a federal law? Is that going to go in effect?

Is that going to take effect over a period of time? Could you elaborate on that?

MR. KRAPF: The one point that actually is going to help the school bus driver under the new federal standards is new mirror designs that are able to be put on equipment as of January 1, which we have already purchased equipment that have the new mirrors on.

I think that it will help the driver once they are able to learn how to watch all the mirrors. Because how much can they watch on the roadway? But the new mirror system, I think, will be a positive situation for the driver.

The bar that you are talking about is about an eight foot bar that is constructed so that it is on the front bumper of the school bus. When the school bus stops and they open their door and the arm goes out, this bar also will go out in front of the bus.

That, along with all of our safety programs;

we teach our students to get off the bus, take two steps to the right and eight steps forward and then make a military turn across the road so that the driver is able to always be able to see those students.

That should help. But the students that have become casualties from their own bus drivers are students that dropped something, they run back after the driver has seen everyone reach a point of safety. He or she closes their door. The student runs back because they dropped their lunch pail or something blew out of their book bag or something like that. She or he, in their mind, has assumed that everyone is at a place of safety because they watched them reach that.

When she closes the door and, if it is a stick shift bus she puts the bus in gear, if it is an automatic she takes the emergency brake off, or whatever they might be doing at that point, and that student runs back underneath the bus, there is no way of protecting that student unless you have a monitor out there until the bus drives away.

MS. LANDIS: The Pennsylvania School Bus
Association's Board of Directors is going to be doing a study
with the crossing gates. One of the manufacturers has donated
a crossing gate to each Board member.

There are some technical problems with some of

the crossing gates. And before we go and put them on the school buses, or advocate putting them on the school buses, we want to make sure that they work in snowy weather. There have been some problems with them sticking to the bumper and so forth.

So we will be doing a study on that. Also, we were successful in amending Chapter 3, 5 and 7 of the infamous student learning outcome that we amended to have school bus education in K through 5th grade once a year in the classroom. Because what Dallas had said is that these children, once they realize that there is what we call the "danger zone" and they are prepared and trained through those years of not to go back, we think the student fatality is going to greatly reduce.

And with the new mirror standards, the new mirrors give a tremendous view of the front end of a school bus. And if any of you would like to see the new mirrors in operation -- and the federal government will allow them in December and they will be mandated by January -- we would be more than happy to show you a school bus and its field of view with the new mirrors on it. It is quite remarkable.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: January of 1994?

MS. LANDIS: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?
Representative Brown?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. One last question. Are you concerned about keeping your bus drivers or losing them with this recertification to go out and take the test? Along with all the other paperwork and requirements, is that a concern for you as a contractor?

MR. KRAPF: Well, it has already affected us.

I think that in our area of eastern Pennsylvania we have a
driver shortage already because of the qualifications that a
person has to reach to be a school bus driver.

We have a lot of retired men that come in that are 54 to 56 years old, or 57 years old, and are taking early retirements. Because of what is required of them; not being hard of hearing, they cannot be color blind, they cannot have any history of heart problems, they cannot have diabetes and on a needle. All of those requirements. We have a limited field of people to choose from.

So I think with the four year re-test, when you tell a driver that has driven safely for twenty years that he or she has to be re-tested by an examiner in the bus when there are children on the bus, the pressure is going to be so great that they are going to say, "I don't need that job any more. I can go get another job somewhere else. Why should I be re-tested? I have been a safe driver for twenty years."

So they are just going to give you their

termination and go find a job somewhere else.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Marsico?

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Just one quick question. This is about the crossing arm that we were talking about earlier and also the newer mirrors. Do you think that a warning bell system such as they have on dump trucks, commercial dump trucks that go on when the trucks go into reverse, something like that -- Do you think that would help?

It seems to me that the problem is with the smaller kids that go back and drop something. If that bell is still ringing it will obviously alert him that the bus is still there. When the bell stops, then --

MR. KRAPF: There was some sort of a siren device that was tested, but I do not know the results of the test and I do not know where the test was done. But the school bus driver is sitting there and every time you come to a stop this siren could possibly go off or go on. I think it would be too much. I think it would be very difficult to come up with some kind of a sensor that would only go off outside the bus.

Is it going to test body heat, or what is it going to do in the dead of winter or hard rain? I do not know. That was tried somewhere, but I do not know the results of it.

MS. LANDIS: Some of the sensors were picking up rocks and anything that would be flying in front of it, a cat or anything, the sensors would pick that up and activate the alarms. The bus would be moving forward. There are some problems with the sensors.

As far as a warning bell during backup, our position is that we try to never back up, especially around the loading zone or unloading zone. Most of the fatalities that are occurring are forward motion, so a backup warning signal may not be --

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Well, I was using that as an example.

MS. LANDIS: I am sorry.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: I was saying that a bell or a siren would ring until the passengers were settled.

MS. LANDIS: The industry, if I may say, is always looking for technical advances to address this problem of the fatalities in the loading zone.

This is just not a problem in Pennsylvania. The National Safety Council said that there were twelve fatalities in the 1991-1992 school year. Pennsylvania had zero, but there were twelve nationwide.

There are constantly technical advances which we are always looking into also.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Paul Landis?

MR. LANDIS: I was just going to confirm what Sherry had said. About six years ago we had a hearing and I still have the data in my office. The problem was that a leaf would drop, a twig, a stone; they would all set these sensors off. That is why it did not get mandated on the bus. But I have data on that.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: A couple of questions.

One is, where did this information come from?

MS. LANDIS: That is from the Pennsylvania

Department of Transportation's accident report that was

referred to earlier. That is just one of the sheets that was

in that report. I have the whole report.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: So these are right from the Department?

MS. LANDIS: Yes, they are. I have the report with me here today.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: You had mentioned about training the children. I think Mario and his group might have asked if it was mandatory and I think that is a good idea.

However, I do not think it should be done in the classroom, I think it should be done on the bus. It is a lot easier to get the kids on the bus.

Just a comment and maybe a recommendation or an idea is that we talk about all this technology and about

arms that swing out. My suggestion is to get people involved. The point is, every month or so let the teachers do it. There could be a bus captain or something. And let them sit with a driver.

Sometimes it may slow down the route a little bit, but I think that the more you get the kids involved in it, the more attention they would pay from a safety aspect. And it is just one recommendation.

One other question I have is, we have heard a number of people testify about prima facia, stopping people going by and all that kind of stuff. My question is, should we have the same rules for bus drivers? In other words, if I am driving down the road and I see a bus run a stop sign or do something that is illegal, should I be able to then say that I got the bus number and the bus plate and he should be brought in?

MR. KRAPF: In our area I do not feel our school bus drivers get away with anything, because if the passing motorist does not report him the students on the bus will report him.

A group of junior high kids can put a driver on the street. They can actually put him out of work, or her out of work, by just complaining about her driving, about his or her driving abilities, or his or her ability to handle the discipline on the bus.

Our transportation supervisors that we work for, since we work for the school district, all they have to do is say, "Remove that driver from the bus route. She cannot handle, or he cannot handle the discipline any more." Or, "They are not a good driver."

Since we are an employee of the school district we remove the driver from the route. And that normally is the end of that driver.

so I do not really believe in Chester County, and most of the time we are dealing with these students' or parents' attorneys that are representing them, that we have any drivers that are getting away with anything out there. I think that they are all being reported for everything that they do.

I do not have the problem with the drivers being punished in the same way that the passing motorists are.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you. Any other

questions?

Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony.

MR. KRAPF: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: For informational purposes we are going to take a five minute comfort break and then we are going to continue the hearing.

Some people were scheduled to testify, or to

provide testimony. Representative Todd Platts and Sue Manbeck will not appear today. Their testimony has been forwarded from Harrisburg.

Wait, she is here.

(Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., a short break was taken.)

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: The next individual to give testimony will be Mr. George Romano, Jr., President of the Pennsylvania School Bus Association.

MR. ROMANO: Mr. Chairman and members of the House Transportation Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to present the views of the Pennsylvania School Bus Association on the issue of school bus safety.

My name is George Romano, Jr. I am part owner of Romano's School Bus Service in Norristown, Pennsylvania. Our company started in 1953 with two school buses and has grown to a fleet size of one hundred and sixty-three. We currently transport the students of Colonial, Norristown, New Hope/Solebury and Abington school districts and many private schools in the Montgomery County area. We also own Eastern Bus Sales, which sells AmTran school buses.

I am a State Certified Instructor and a Commercial Drivers License Examiner for the State of Pennsylvania and I am a licensed school bus driver. I

currently am serving as President of the Pennsylvania School Bus Association.

The Pennsylvania School Bus Association,
better known as PSBA, was founded in the early 1950's to
"promote and foster the highest degree of safety in the
transportation of school children." The Association
represents approximately fifty percent of the Commonwealth's
yellow school bus fleet, with members from all areas of the
state. Our members, private school bus contractors, represent
seventy-five percent of the Commonwealth's pupil
transportation.

PSBA's prime concern is the safety of the children our members transport. We offer our members many opportunities to learn about the latest school bus safety technology, driver training programs, student management seminars and mechanics workshops.

All these services are aimed at ensuring our members are up-to-date on every aspect of the school bus transportation industry, in order for them to operate a safety conscious school bus fleet.

However, there is one area which no amount of training or education can be remedied through our members -- people who pass our stopped school buses.

We believe today there is an epidemic of passing motorists on Pennsylvania roadways. In today's

society everyone is in a hurry and trying to squeeze many activities into a limited amount of time. So when a motorist sees a school bus in front of them, a sense of dread comes over them because they know it will slow them down. They will then try just about anything to get around that school bus.

According to Title 75 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, Section 3345, "the operator of a school bus who observes a motorist passing a stopped school bus, to the extent possible, the driver's report must include the following: information, if any, pertaining to the identity of the alleged violator; the license number and color of the vehicle involved in the violation; the time and approximate location at which the violation occurred; identification of the vehicle as an automobile, station wagon, motor truck, motor bus, motorcycle, or other type of vehicle."

The school bus driver then has 48 hours after the violation occurred to deliver the report to the police.

We understand all the information must be gathered in order to ensure a proper citation. However, when you are a driver of a school bus transporting children and a vehicle has just passed your bus and you are trying to make sure the children are safe, it can be very difficult to gather all the necessary information.

Fortunately, the children on the bus will often

get the license number of the vehicle that passed the bus. But many times the license number is not enough information for the district magistrate to uphold the citation. Or, because the district magistrate may feel the loss of the violator's license is too harsh of a penalty, they will let the driver plea to a lesser charge.

I recently had a situation that occurred that is a perfect example of what we are trying to convey here today. On September 14, 1993, at 2:00 p.m., one of our stopped school buses with its red lights flashing and the side stop arm fully extended was letting students get off the bus. A black Jaguar passed the school bus. A female with reddish brown hair and dark sunglasses was the operator of the car.

The school bus driver was fortunate enough to gather all the necessary information to have a citation issued. We went to a hearing on November 2, 1993. The passing motorist pleaded guilty. However, when she was told that she would lose her license she started to cry.

There was a side conversation between the judge and her attorney. They came back into the room and the attorney said his client would plead guilty to going through a stop sign and pay the fine.

Our school bus drivers in Pennsylvania are very frustrated with people who pass their school buses. Out of

concern for the children they transport school bus drivers have a great sense of responsibility to report these violators.

However, when the system makes it almost impossible for the motorists to be penalized, many drivers feel what is the use? A school bus driver's job is very difficult without the added pressure of knowing that there is very little protection for the children they transport from passing motorists.

The Pennsylvania School Bus Association would like to see the monetary penalties increased for passing motorists. We believe that many of the citations are not upheld because of the sixty day loss of license. A person will go to great lengths in order to keep their license, especially if they rely on their vehicle to make a living.

There are many aspects of school bus safety which we could have chosen to discuss here today. However, there is not a school bus manufactured, or a school bus driver training program, that can help solve this grave problem of motorists passing stopped school buses. Only you can help by changing the law.

Thank you for this opportunity to be here today.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: George, thank you. Any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: We were just talking about this at the break. We heard it a couple of times this morning. I heard it in my district about a month ago when looking at a very difficult place where school buses have to stop.

This one lady said to me while there were children getting off and on, "Do you realize somebody came zipping by here two weeks ago on the right hand side?" And I heard it today.

Of course we were talking and I said, "When I approach a school bus, I approach with more trepidation than I do when I approach a police car." And I stop way, way back. I stop even when I should not have to stop. I kind of block the highway.

You indicate there is an epidemic of passing motorists and that is what it appears to be. I think your explanation might be pretty rational, too, when you talk about the fact that people are in a hurry and the highways are congested.

One of the problems here seems to be the suspension thing. I am not necessarily suggesting this, but would it not be better if we raised it to \$500.00, as Jeff suggests? And also add the prima facia part. That is to say that the driver merely has to identify the license number. And eliminate the suspension part.

The point is it appears as if a lot of people are passing school buses and getting away with it and that is the worst thing. How can we rectify this?

Somebody is asking me if we should assess the points of Mr. & Mrs. Battisto? I said, "With my wife, of course."

But anyway, the fact is in all seriousness, this is a serious problem. Frankly, we are for whatever we can do to rectify it. Obviously we need something to rectify it with.

Would you subscribe to something like that?
Raising the fine to \$500.00, or at least \$500.00 as Jeff indicates, and eliminate those other problems which tend to get in the way of prosecuting people.

And, of course very importantly, making a prima facia case. That is very important. You simply identify the license number and leave it up to the driver to pay the fine or identify someone else who was driving the car.

MR. ROMANO: It is the feeling of the Pennsylvania School Bus Association, as well as my own personal feeling being a contractor, that that is exactly what we would like to see: prima facia on the license plate number and also a higher fine.

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?

Representative Brown?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Romano, has the issue of pull off areas for buses ever
been addressed, or has that ever been brought up?

MR. ROMANO: Not to my knowledge. I know in a lot of school districts that I work for there is no areas where we really could pull off the highway to load students.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: When I had a meeting with the contractors and the bus drivers in Crawford County, that is one of the suggestions they gave me.

They said a lot of times they pull over when they can. There are so many places, and my area is rural, where there is no really good place to pull off for the buses. Because if they could, they would.

That would allow the people to go around them and continue on to work, or wherever. I was just curious to know if that was ever addressed to you?

MR. ROMANO: It has not been addressed. I think my only concern with that, basically, would be that if I have a bus that is going in one direction then a student would have to still cross a two lane highway to get to that bus, even if it was in a pulled off area. That student would still be crossing.

I still would be in a position to state that there would be people that pass the school buses. It happens

every day.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Anyone else? One question, would you know or have access to statistics of how many injuries or fatalities occur because of drivers other than school buses trying to pass while the children were being disembarked?

MR. ROMANO: I cannot answer that question.

I would imagine there would be statistics that could be compiled through either a national survey or through a state survey.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: This seems to be, as Joe says, a recurring theme in the testimony we are hearing. Evidently, this is a problem. I am just curious to see if as this occurs, has there been an affect on an increase in injuries caused by these people, or such persons who do not stop when a school bus is stopped?

MR. ROMANO: It has been a permanent problem since we have been in business and we are celebrating forty years this past September.

MR. LANDIS: Doug Tobin, could you supply the Committee with the number of suspensions for drivers for passing school buses? Would you have that data?

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions? George, thank you very much.

MR. ROMANO: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: The next person is Kathy Mellott, a school bus driver.

MS. MELLOTT: Good morning. My name is Kathy Mellott and I would like to thank you for this opportunity to speak before the House Transportation Committee.

I have been a school bus driver for Meyers

Bus Lines of Chambersburg for thirteen years. I currently

drive a seventy-two passenger school bus on a rural route,

transporting both elementary and secondary school students.

As a veteran driver, I have been exposed to many hazardous driving situations. But nothing compares to watching a motorist, oblivious of the flashing lights, pass my stopped school bus.

I wish I could sit here today and tell you that this frightening situation only occurs once a year, but it does not. It probably happens two times a week. And that does not count the number of times I delay opening the door because I am watching a motorist ignore the law and pass the bus.

There is one particular incident which I will never forget, and I hope you won't either when considering the issue of school bus safety. It occurred on a beautiful fall day in October of 1992, at approximately 3:00 p.m.

I was driving on Route 997, which is a very

busy two lane route, especially during this time of day when the workers at the Letterkenney Army Depot are being dismissed. When I approached the stop and activated my amber lights there was no oncoming traffic.

I came to a complete stop with my red lights flashing and side stop arm extended and opened the door to let a student off. As I looked up, I suddenly saw an oncoming car. I could tell by the rate of speed the driver was traveling that there was no way she saw the flashing lights or the child crossing in front of my bus.

I instinctively blew my horn to first alert the child to stop, and secondly to wake up the oncoming driver. Fortunately, the child stopped immediately in her tracks only a split second before being hit by the car.

I was not the only adult watching this potential tragedy unfold, but the child's mother and uncle were at the edge of the road waiting for the child to return safely from school. Imagine their feelings as they watched the child come within inches of losing her life, and my feelings of complete helplessness.

Because of my concern for the child and the fact that the car was traveling in the opposite direction,

I was unable to get the license plate number. However, I was able to identify the color and make of the car and the gender of the driver. The child's uncle pursued the driver and got

the necessary information. We were lucky in this situation to have a witness to help us.

But in most cases we are not that lucky. If it wasn't for the help of parents and the students on the bus, we would never be able to identify the violators. And even if the passing motorist is cited, they will challenge the ticket and usually win. This is very frustrating for school bus drivers because every day we see people who are in a hurry pass our school bus and put the lives of the children in jeopardy.

I hope this Committee will reconsider the penalties for passing motorists and amend House Bill 462 to include increasing the monetary penalty for motorists passing a school bus. I believe that one reason for the magistrates not upholding the ticket is they realize that a sixty day suspension could mean the violator losing their job. I would think a larger fine would make them think twice the next time they see a school bus with flashing amber or red lights.

I also believe that the public needs to be more aware of the laws governing passing a school bus. I see people who nearly cause accidents just when they see me coming down the road, or when my amber lights are beginning to flash. Maybe the Department of Transportation could include in license renewals a fact sheet on proper procedures when

school bus.

approaching or overtaking a school bus. This reminder could help save a life.

I would also like to take this opportunity to congratulate my fellow school bus drivers for the tremendous job they do. I don't believe anyone can really imagine what it is like to drive a 24,000 pound vehicle through congested traffic and winding roads, with your back to 72 high, energized children, and still concentrate on the road. With all this activity going on 180 days a year, we are still able to maintain the safest driving statistics.

I would like to thank you again for this opportunity to speak before you today on the issue of school bus safety.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any questions? There are no questions. Let me ask one question. Are the children taught in your district, or by you, how they should act and how they should -- And what they should do when they get on and off the bus?

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Who does that training?

MS. MELLOTT: My employer is one of the big
activists of going into the school. She takes a bus into the
school and shows each classroom how to unload and load on a

In our school district they are.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you.

MS. MELLOTT:

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Would you be for or support the idea of raising the fine to, let us say, \$500.00 as Representative Coy's legislation calls for, and make it a prima facia case and eliminate the suspension part?

MS. MELLOTT:

REPRESENTATIVE BATTISTO: Thank you.

Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you. Next we have Daniel Lehman.

MR. LEHMAN: Thank you for allowing me to speak before you ladies and gentlemen today. My name is Dan Lehman. I am from Central Dauphin School District in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. I have been there in transportation since the streets were still dirt. It was 1967 when I started in the Central Dauphin School District.

I am a Commercial Licensed Driver, a school bus driver, a school bus driver trainer, a CDL examiner and a state vehicle inspector.

I would like to add a little twist to what I have been hearing about the passing violations today. As you are aware, in Pennsylvania our school buses make thousands upon thousands of stops every day. Most of those stops do occur on public highways, requiring the motoring public to stop. We talked a lot about those that did not, but they are required.

However, many school districts, due to the

number of students who are now residing in apartment complexes at private roadways that have developments that are brand new where townships and states have not taken over roadways, and mobile home parks, etc.; roadways that are not maintained by public funds. There is a loophole in the law that allows these people to pass the school bus and not be subject to arrest.

I am asking your support of House Bill 1148, which will close that loophole. I hope you consider that.

I do have one other subject that I would like to address you on. This is new. This is about some sort of legislation that would set a penalty if a child has a handgun or a weapon in school, that they would be suspended and transportation removed from school buses.

Probably one of the biggest deterrents we could put that children would not take any kind of weapon to school. Just this morning this was found on one of our buses when the children left (indicating a knife). That is just this morning.

Central Dauphin School District, as you have read in the paper, has had weapons in their schools this year. More than likely, some of them came to school on school buses. The average child would not get up in the morning and ask his parents to drive him to school because they wanted to carry a gun that day. It would be on the bus.

So maybe if there is a long term deterrent that would hit parents in the eyes, parents, you have to secure these kinds of things so your children cannot get them and take them to school. Central Dauphin School District is aggressive in alternative education. The children who get into these troubles are assigned back into an alternative education program and they are assigned back on school buses to be transported to that program.

I feel that we do need a deterrent and maybe that would be stronger than the education law. It is just something for you to throw on the floor for you to consider. It is not if a weapon is going to arrive on a school bus, it is going to be when. And we all know it is going to happen.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Let me just respond to what he just said. We passed that bill in the house which would call for automatic expulsion, not suspension, or anyone carrying a weapon in the school district. That legislation, I believe, is in the Senate Education Committee.

So, if the Senate would pass that and then send it to the Governor, I am sure he would probably sign it.

Just let me ask you a question. What happens to the individual who was carrying that knife? What does your school district do about that?

MR. LEHMAN: This individual we have no idea who it was. This was found on the bus after the children had

all departed. We do not really know who had it.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Then it could have been left last night by an aggressive bingo player, or anybody.

MR. LEHMAN: Not in our buses. They are district owned fleets, fenced in, and the chances of somebody being in there over the weekend and laid it in the bus are slim. It was on somebody's possession.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Representative Marsico,

I believe, is the sponsor of 1148. Do you have any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Let me just thank
Mr. Lehman for being here this morning. We certainly
appreciate your expertise and I also appreciate your
suggestion of this legislation. Basically, what it does is it
provides for the application of certain rules related to
school buses on both highways and traffic ways, which would
then include private driveways and private roadways.

with the present law police officials' enforcement is not there on private driveways. So what this bill does would allow highways and traffic ways to include private property. And it would allow the police to enforce citations on private property.

For example, we have, obviously, a lot of apartment complexes in the Central Dauphin School District and we have school buses that go into those apartment complexes. We have had situations where the police were not allowed,

because of law, to enforce citations. We have had situations where they had vehicles pass school buses. And when we did the research Mr. Lehman came to me and suggested that we close this loophole. And I would appreciate the Committee's support of this. If you have any questions, we are certainly here to answer those.

Before I go into any questions, I want to just follow-up on a couple of other things that Mr. Lehman pointed out.

Number one, let me ask you a question. How many school buses do you oversee in the Central Dauphin School District?

MR. LEHMAN: There are 92 routes on the road every day. There are 102 school buses.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO. One hundred and two school buses and 92 routes. Obviously this is a very large school district which comprises most of my legislative district and also Representative McCall's and Representative Coy's.

I think that one of the things that you wanted to bring up also was that education is the key to school bus safety, with the school children and also with your drivers.

Do you want to make a comment on that?

MR. LEHMAN: Well, first of all I recommend and ask that you do not do away with the recertification program.

It has been one of the best programs that I have ever worked with that requires a driver's retraining.

At Central Dauphin School District we presently retrain our drivers approximately twenty hours a year. Every time the teachers have in-service days our drivers have in-service meetings and training. That is above and beyond the recertification program.

I believe that re-testing keeps us all alert, not only drivers who have to sharpen up need to take it, but us in management to keep it in front of them all the time, so that we do not get relaxed about it.

I heard that mentioned before; as we maybe get stale on the job we need things to keep it fresh and that is one of them.

I think we need programs to educate students. I think that is another thing that is very serious. We need to teach those children not to run back to the bus. We need to teach the children before they walk out and around the front of the bus that they have to look for the motorist that does not look for them. And I think the secret is in education.

And I think that the state also needs to do a better job, and local authorities, on public awareness of our passing laws. If we put that out before the public a little bit right before school is open -- But we do not get on it

all the time. And maybe on the back of the driver licenses where we list all the codes and the classifications -- and you already know what classification you have and why you have it -- maybe on the back it could say, "You will lose this license if you operate a vehicle while you are intoxicated. And if you pass stopped school buses."

Maybe if the things that they can instantly lose a license for would be listed on that license it might be a deterrent. When they get that they would respect it a little more.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: We would need a ten foot license to put all of those things on there.

MR. LEHMAN: Well, you have about ten items on there now; the list of classifications, that you have to wear glasses, and so on, and what the codes are. Most everybody knows them.

There were some things mentioned about school bus accidents are not reported if the bus is on a field trip and that is not right. Every school bus accident is reported in the state. It is the same reporting system that is used. If a vehicle has to be towed, or if there are any injuries or fatalities, a report has to go in. And it does not matter what that school bus is doing at the time of that accident. It has to be reported.

As far as my knowledge of the school bus

business, field trips have been relatively safe operations of school buses. Most of them are accidents that do occur to and from school when they are operating in your prime rush hour.

There is so much traffic out there that we are subject to than field trips.

Also, most of them are of a minor type, thank God.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?

I just have a question. In your district with 102 school buses, the drivers who you employ; are they employees of the school district?

MR. LEHMAN: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Are they full time employees, part time, or a combination?

MR. LEHMAN: Some are full time, some are part time. Most are part time. They drive in the morning and drive in the afternoon. Four to five hours a day employees.

I have found that the more things they have to go through to get their license; and incidentally Central Dauphin School District does pay for the training of new people --

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Early this morning someone asked the question --

MR. LEHMAN: Our turnover is slowing down.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: I would think that your bus drivers get paid for the time they spend getting recertified and all?

MR. LEHMAN: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Any other questions?

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Just one more point.

Could you just explain to us your school bus safety program;

the bus that you use to go around to some of the other areas?

MR. LEHMAN: Central Dauphin School District several years back started a program to train students. We have programs here for kindergarten to second and third grade and we have a second program that is geared for the fourth grade up through sixth.

We have our sixth grade shop programs. When they go into seventh grade we tell them all the different things that happen with rules and regulations if they do not abide by them, compared to what it was like in elementary school.

We have an electronic controlled robot which we purchased four or five years ago that we use in this program. We now have a puppet stage that the drivers built. We do go to all our schools twice a year to present this program. It is a really nice program.

We also go to parades in the communities to make the public aware of school buses. We now have started to

go to other school districts on a "you pay us" basis, so we can retrieve our taxpayers' dollars and help them teach the children safety.

So it is available. It has to be fairly close to our Central Dauphin area to get them between their school bus runs. It is strictly run by school bus drivers. And we are quite proud of it.

A couple of years back it got the Governor's Traffic Safety Award for Safety in Education.

And education, I feel, is what we have to do.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Mr. Lehman, thank you for your testimony.

The next testimony is presented by Mr. Darrell Weaver.

MR. WEAVER: Good afternoon. My name is Darrell Weaver and this is my wife, Sarah. We are from Ephrata, Pennsylvania in Lancaster County.

The picture you have in front of you is a picture of our son, Dustin, who was killed by his own school bus this past April, approximately 100 yards in front of our home.

We feel it could have been prevented if there were two things that could possibly be made into the law.

The first being a Mandatory Paid Monitor Law similar to the one that is now in effect in the State of Rhode Island and

the State of Connecticut.

School bus related fatalities in the United States increased by ten percent in 1991. A child, usually between the ages of five to eight, is crushed to death by a school bus every three to five days in this country.

In the State of Connecticut, during the 44 months from 12/88 through 9/92, five children were killed. Their numbers agree with the national figures.

In the State of Pennsylvania, five children were struck and killed in the past four years alone; two in 1990, two in 1991 and my son, who was killed in 1993. And these figures were compiled from the State Police out at Harrisburg Barracks and they received those numbers from PennDot.

Over 9,000 school bus related injuries were recorded in the United States in 1991. And the source for this was the New York Times.

around the bus that are called "Death Zones." Drivers cannot see a small child until he or she is at least ten to twelve feet away from the front bumper. The source for this information is the American Automobile Association.

Children under eight years old have one third less peripheral vision than adults. They cannot judge motion, speed, or distance accurately. They are physically

and mentally incapable of handling the traffic situations that they are involved with almost every school day. The source is the American Academy of Pediatrics.

A school bus monitor law in our state could create a large number of jobs. In today's economy, this is a plus. Based upon the school bus monitor program started in Westport, Connecticut in September of 1992, the cost to provide monitors on their buses is twenty-five cents per child per day round trip.

Instead of continuing to extend unemployment benefits to individuals as we have seen in the past couple of years, Pennsylvania could use its monitor program to take some of the burden off of our unemployment system.

The most dangerous part of a child's school day is the part that is the least supervised; the trip to and from school.

School classes are generally limited to thirty students or less, supervised by a highly trained educator. School buses carry between fifty to seventy students supervised by what is usually a person with very good driving skills, but has very few skills in controlling and disciplining young children. Driver distraction caused by the unruly behavior is very often the cause of school bus fatalities.

What my wife and I are suggesting is that the

State of Pennsylvania create a Mandatory Paid Monitor Law, a second adult on the bus to watch out for school children, kindergarten through fifth grade, who travel on the school buses to and from school.

It has been proven that monitors do work. In a twelve year period prior to the passage of the monitor law in the state of Rhode Island, there were eight children that were struck and killed by their school buses. Since the law was passed in 1987, there has not been a single death.

The second thing that we feel that needs to be addressed and made into law is some sort of enforcement of the rules and regulations that are already established under PennDot motor vehicle codes.

PennDot rules and regulations state that a bus driver must force a child that is known to live on the other side of the street to exit the bus and cross in front of the bus and reach the other side of the street and step onto the sidewalk before they are allowed to move the school bus.

Even though this is a PennDot regulation, if a driver fails to comply with this regulation and their negligence causes a child injury, or worse yet, a fatality, the driver walks away without even being charged or held accountable. How can this be?

With so many laws already on the books to

protect our children, a school bus driver who has a tremendous amount of responsibility cannot even be held accountable if he or she fails to follow established regulations.

We feel that if a law were in place to hold school bus drivers accountable for their actions, they would take the additional time needed to make sure the children have reached a safe area, instead of rushing to unload all the children off the bus so they can get home.

In closing, my wife and I would like to say thank you for inviting us to this hearing and for taking the time to listen to us. I hope and pray that we have made enough of an impression on you today so that you take action on the above matters.

The actions that you will take will save the lives of children in the State of Pennsylvania in the future. It could be a stranger's child that you spare, or your neighbor's child, or it could be your own son, or your own daughter, or your own grandson or granddaughter.

I hope that you will not feel that this could never happen to me, or someone that I know. Because, ladies and gentlemen, we know that it will. It happened to us. And unless you take some sort of action, we know that it will happen to somebody else. It is only a matter of time. The only question is when and where.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Mr. Weaver, we sincerely appreciate you and your wife coming forth with this testimony. We can only try to understand how difficult it is for you to talk about the circumstances involving your son.

We deeply appreciate it. Does anyone have any questions?

I just have one question. Do you know if any other states, other than Rhode Island or Connecticut, have some type of voluntary, or rather non-paid, monitor system, or they use parents or PTO or someone?

MR. WEAVER: It is mandated by law.

much for your testimony. We sincerely appreciate it.

Mrs. Weaver, would you like to add anything?

Next, we are going to have Representative

Todd Platts from York County and Sue Manbeck.

REPRESENTATIVE TODD PLATTS: Good afternoon,
Representative Tigue, Members of the Transportation Committee,
ladies and gentlemen.

I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you today on the issue of school bus safety in general, and the use of seat belts on school buses in specific. I want to commend you and the entire Committee for your efforts to ensure that the Commonwealth's school children are transported to and from school in the most safe manner possible.

I became involved in the issue of seat belts on school buses because of a call and a follow-up visit with a constituent of mine, Ms. Sue Manbeck.

Ms. Manbeck is here with me today and will be testifying and concluding my remarks.

Because of my conversation with Ms. Manbeck and her husband, as well as additional research into what other states are doing with this issue, I have come to the conclusion that it is time for the State of Pennsylvania to require seat belts to be installed on all school buses in the State of Pennsylvania, and to be used by all persons traveling on school buses within the state.

At present, there are two states, New York and New Jersey, which require, by state law, the installation_of seat belts on school buses. New Jersey just enacted its law one year ago this fall.

Despite the fact that there are only two other states requiring seat belts on school buses, I firmly believe that Pennsylvania should move forward on this issue. The safety of our school children traveling to and from school is too important of an issue for us, as a state, to be follower instead of the leader.

I support the enactment of a state law requiring seat belts on school buses for three primary reasons. First and foremost is the safety of our children

traveling on these buses each and every day of the school year. Approximately 1.4 million children travel on roughly 22.000 buses each school day.

Although I recognize that school buses are one of the most safe vehicles on the road, I believe that we must take reasonable steps, all reasonable steps, to make our school buses as safe as possible, not just one of the safest vehicles on the road.

Through the installation of seat belts on school buses and the requirement that these belts be used, we can do much to reduce the number of injuries to and deaths of our children. At a time when the neighborhood school to which our children would walk is becoming more and more obsolete and the number of children transported to and from school by bus continues to increase, we cannot afford to rest on the safety records of the past. Instead, I believe we must be dutiful in providing our school children every possible protection reasonably achievable.

My second reason for supporting a state law requiring seat belts be installed and used on school buses is that such a law would increase the likelihood of seat belts being used by children traveling in private vehicles.

Currently, we are sending a very confusing and mixed signal to our children. They are told whenever they travel in their mother's or father's car they must buckle up. However, when

these same children travel to and from school, we not only do not require that they wear a seat belt, but we do not even require that a seat belt be provided for them to use if they would like to buckle up.

Sending this contradictory message to our children should not be allowed to continue. To do so increases the likelihood that our children will forget to buckle up when traveling in a private vehicle. Our message to our children needs to be clear and unequivocal: Whether traveling to school on a bus or to the mall with your parents, the law require that you buckle up.

My final reason that I want to address for supporting seat belts in school buses is that I believe such a move will help reduce the number of discipline problems occurring on our school buses. If our children are required to be buckled in while traveling on a school bus, then the likelihood of them being up and about the bus causing problems is greatly diminished.

Given that many schools today are considering the installation of video cameras in their buses to help reduce the number of discipline problems, I think this aspect of installing seat belts is an important positive aspect that should be considered when reviewing this proposal.

I am aware of two bills in the Senate, Senate Bill 1095 and Senate Bill 996, along with one bill in the

House, House Bill 1651, that have introduced thus far to require seat belts be installed and used on school buses traveling in Pennsylvania. I commend the various Senators and Representatives who have sponsored these pieces of legislation.

While I support the purpose and goal of these three bills, I believe that it is imperative that two issues which are not discussed in any of these three pieces of legislation, be addressed if we are to successfully move forward and require seat belts in our school buses. These issues are the cost of requiring seat belts and the time frame by which we are going to require seat belts be installed.

As to the issue of cost, I believe it is essential that when the General Assembly of Pennsylvania mandates the installation and use of seat belts on school buses, the General Assembly also appropriate the necessary funding, at least at the initial stage, the initial aspect of moving to seat belts in school buses.

Such an action of ensuring appropriate funding would help our 501 school districts bear the financial burden of this change. I do not believe that it would be wise or appropriate for the General Assembly to require seat belts in school buses without supplying sufficient funding, at least at the initial stage of this change.

As to the time frame for school districts

changing over to buses with seat belts, I think we would be prudent to look to New Jersey's new law, which requires that seat belts be installed and used on school buses, "for which, on or after the effective date of the New Jersey Act, a bid is submitted or an order for purchase placed."

By following New Jersey's lead, we would be requiring seat belts on only new buses bid for or ordered after the effective date of the law. Such an installation plan would spread the financial impact of mandatory seat belts on school buses over several years, as opposed to all at once, and would avoid the issue of retrofitting existing school buses, which may be more cost prohibitive and less effective in protecting our children.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify before you today. I appreciate the Committee's efforts in addressing the issue of school bus safety and hope that you will give my comments in support of seat belts on our school buses due consideration when reviewing legislation which will come before your Committee on this issue.

Additionally, I want to publicly thank Mrs.

Manbeck and her husband for their efforts in bringing this issue to my attention and for appearing here today to testify. I firmly believe that Mrs. Manbeck and her husband represent countless concerned parents throughout our Commonwealth who support a state law requiring the installation and use of seat

Ī

belts on our school buses. Thank you.

MRS. MANBECK: I am here today to save the lives of my children and the lives of children across Pennsylvania. In 1988, my first child was born. She rode home from the hospital in a securely fastened child safety seat.

Over the next five years, she enjoyed thousands of car trips, short and long, in the family car, in Grandma's car, and in friends' cars. She passed her car seat to her younger sister when she graduated to a booster seat, and she is still waiting to be tall enough and heavy enough to use a car shoulder and lap belt alone.

Until September 7, 1993, she never rode unrestrained in a vehicle. On that day, she began kindergarten. The school buses provided by the district are not equipped with passenger seat belts.

Seat belt use is required by Pennsylvania law for all persons in passenger cars. If I were to drive my daughter to school in our family car without buckling us in, I would be breaking the law. Yet school districts across the state transport all our children, every day, without providing the most fundamental safety device.

Opponents argue that seat belts are too expensive. The cost of factory installed seat belts in a new

66 passenger school bus is approximately \$1,200.00. This is only three percent of the average \$40,000.00 cost per bus. Over the service life of the bus this equates to less than \$2.00 per child annually. Which of our children's lives is not worth \$2.00?

Opponents claim that seat belts will trap children in burning or submerged buses. Seat belts are easy to operate, especially by children and teenagers who have been using them all their lives.

Opponents hold that enforcing seat belt use is too difficult for bus drivers. Seat belt use is a familiar routine for most students. Instead of adding to a driver's problems, seat belt use aids the driver by keeping all passengers in their seats. Instead of adding to the driver's problems, seat belt use aids the driver by keeping all passengers in their seats. Behavior problems, such as vandalism and violence, diminish when children are properly restrained.

Not using seat belts on buses, on the other hand, reinforces a negative attitude towards seat belts and diminishes compliance with passenger car restraint laws.

Without bus seat belts, children are untaught, twice daily, a behavior that could save their lives.

The states of New York and New Jersey require school bus seat belts. The American Medical Association, the

American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Society for Adolescent Medicine, the American Association for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, the American College of Preventive Medicine, the Center for Automotive Safety, Physicians for Automotive Safety, and the National Coalition for School Bus Safety support school bus seat belts.

There were many school bus accidents in Pennsylvania during the 1992-1993 school year, both reported and unreported. An eight year old boy died in March 1993 when his bus and a tractor trailer collided near Smithfield. Twelve other students were injured, four of them seriously.

In my home county, York, there were two serious accidents involving school buses in less than two months last spring. In May, a car hit a bus, injuring all four student passengers. The most seriously injured student was in traction followed by a body cast for almost three months. In June, a bus was struck head on by a pickup truck. A tanker truck was also involved in the accident. Two students were taken on back boards to a local hospital, where they were treated and released.

Today my daughter, like thousands of other students across Pennsylvania, rode to school in a bus without seat belts. I do not want to appear before this Committee at some future date to testify as to the extent of her injuries suffered in a school bus accident. Or worse, to appear before

you as a grieving parent to warn, too late, of the dangers of unsafe bus practices.

Our children are no less vulnerable in a school bus than they are in a family car. Children's bones will break and they will bleed and die from injuries sustained in a bus accident just as they would in a car crash. As parents, we trust the schools with our children's minds and bodies. Prove to us that we have not misplaced this trust. Protect our children as they travel to and from school and school activities.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Thank you. Questions?

Todd, on Bill 1061 is there a provision which mandates
reimbursement from the State?

REPRESENTATIVE PLATTS: None of the bills have any funding included in them. They just mandate the installation and use of seat belts.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: This may sound crazy, but my understanding is that federal law mandates seat belts in all passenger cars, but the State does not require in some areas that people wear them. In New York and New Jersey is there a law saying you have to have seat belts and that the students must use them? Or does it say they have to be installed in school buses?

MRS. MANBECK: That is a flaw in the New York

law. The New York law states that the belts must be provided, but it does not make allowance for use. The New York law says that they have to provide the seat belts, but it does not say that the students have to use them.

The New Jersey law addresses both issues and students are required to use the belts.

REPRESENTATIVE PLATTS: The New Jersey law is the one just passed last year and it kind of corrected the shortfall in the New York law.

REPRESENTATIVE TIGUE: Which makes more sense than the New York law does. Any other questions?

Thank you. Anyone else have any testimony to give, or would like to say something other than Amen?

With that having been said, first of all I would like to thank the Committee members and those who participated in these hearings.

I would like to thank Representative Coy for providing us with this fine facility in the Chambersburg Area School District. I am impressed very much, Jeff, with this area. It is a beautiful area you have down here.

Thank you. We are adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 1:15 p.m., the Public Hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were reported stenographically by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

By: Donna Lee Meck, C.V.R.