

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE HEARING ON
SEAT BELTS IN SCHOOL BUSES

DATE OF HEARING: January 16, 1986

PLACE OF HEARING: Bucks County Community College
Founders Hall, Room 239
Swamp Road
Newtown, PA 18940

CONTRACTOR: ESOTERIC, INC.

TOTAL PAGES: 96

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT:

Roy Reinard, Bucks County, Northampton Township,
178th District

Dennis O'Brien, Philadelphia

Joe Pitts, Chester County

Ben Wilson, Bucks County

Scott Casper, Executive Director, House Transportation
Committee

Paul Landis, Executive Director, Minority Transportation
Committee

1	SPEAKERS:	<u>PAGES</u>
2	George Romano, Jr.	2 - 20
3	Romano's School Bus Service, Inc., Secretary and General Manager	
4	Congressman Peter Kostmayer	20 - 27
5	Joanne Duran	27 - 36
6	Transportation Supervisor of West Chester Area School District & President, Chester County Transportation Association	
7		
8	Mike King	36 - 38
9	Director of Transportation- Neshaminy School District & President of Montgomery/Bucks Pupil Transportation Association	
10		
11	William Wilson	38 - 49
12	Board Member, Pennridge School Board	
13	William L. Slotter	49 - 52
14	Chairman, Transportation Committee, Pennridge School District	
15	Dr. Randy Brister	52 - 64
16	Pennsylvania Chapter of American Academy of Pediatrics	
17	Peggy Adams	64 - 69
18	Chief Sealer/Director, Consumer Protection Weights and Measures	
19	Gene Zimmerman	70 - 74
20	Executive Director, Pennsylvania Bus Association	
21	Larry Brown	75 - 81
22	President, School Bus Parts Co.	
23	Joyce Dierks	82 - 91
24	Chairperson, Bucks County School Directors' Legislation Council	
25		

1 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Is George Romano here?

2 MR. ROMANO: Yes.

3 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Pete Kostmayer is going
4 behind, I'm aware of that. He's supposed to be on first.
5 If you wouldn't mind beginning the testimony, we'd appreciate
6 it.

7 MR. ROMANO: Okay.

8 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: We ought to introduce the
9 members here. Could you introduce yourself and tell us
10 where you're from.

11 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Roy Reinard Bucks County,
12 Northampton Township, right around here; Holland, Richboro,
13 Churchville, 178th District.

14 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Dennis O'Brien from
15 Philadelphia.

16 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Joe Pitts from Chester
17 County.

18 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I'm Ben Wilson from Bucks
19 County.

20 MR. CASPER: Scott Casper, Executive Director, House
21 Transportation Committee.

22 MR. LANDIS: Paul Landis, Exucutive Director of
23 Minority Transportation Committee.

24 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: This is a statement on
25 behalf of George Romano, Jr. for the Pennsylvania School Bus

1 Association for the Pennsylvania School Bus Association
2 before the Subcommittee of the House of Transportation
3 Committee.

4 MR. ROMANO: Mr. Chairman and Members of the
5 Subcommittee: Thank you for the opportunity to appear before
6 the subcommittee today to present the views of the Pennsyl-
7 vania School Bus Association on the issue of safety belts
8 on school buses.

9 My name is George Romano, Jr. and I am Secretary
10 and General Manager of the Romano's School Bus, Inc. company
11 located in Norristown, Pennsylvania. Our company has been
12 in the school bus contracting business for thirty three years,
13 beginning with two school buses in 1953. Romano's today
14 has grown to a fleet of two hundred and twenty-nine school
15 buses.

16 PSBA, Pennsylvania School Bus Association, was
17 founded in the early 1950's to "promote and foster the highest
18 degree of safety in the transportation of school children".
19 The Association represents approximately fifty percent of the
20 Commonwealth's yellow school bus fleet with members from
21 all areas of the state. Private school bus contractors,
22 many of them from second and third generation firms, rep-
23 resent seventy-five percent of the Commonwealth's pupil
24 transportation.

25 PSBA's prime concern is the safety of the children

1 its members transport daily. In fact , the Association was
2 founded, and continues, because we are able to get students
3 to and from school in the safest possible manner. Traveling
4 in today's well-equipped, shiny yellow bus is seven times
5 safer than taking the same trip in your family automobile.
6 PSBA's major objection to the issue of seat belts in school
7 buses is that it is far from clear whether seat belts would,
8 in fact, make school buses safer.

9 The National School Transportation Association,
10 of which PSBA is affiliated with, has repeatedly urged the
11 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to conduct a
12 new study both to determine whether seat belts will improve
13 the safety of school bus occupants and to determine how the
14 belts should be anchored and what, if any, interior design
15 changes would be made. They have also asked the federal
16 House and Senate Transportation Appropriations Subcommittees
17 to adopt, in this year's appropriations process, report
18 language directing NHTSA to conduct such a study.

19 In no way does PSBA's opposition to mandate seat
20 belts stem from considerations of cost, which range from
21 approximately \$1,500 to \$2,000 per large school bus, accord-
22 ing to the various manufacturers. Over the past sixty years,
23 owners of school bus fleets in Pennsylvania and the nation
24 have seen laws and regulations change every year. Every
25 company, naturally, has to comply.

1 Most firms did not pay for one of those changes.
2 Every cent put into every one of the thousands of buses
3 purchased was incorporated into bids for services and was
4 paid for those by using the service, and ultimately, by the
5 taxpayer of the individual school districts. Some have
6 suggested that the school bus contracting industry opposes
7 seat belts because of their profit motive. That simply does
8 not make sense. The school transportation industry has never
9 shouldered the cost of a new safety device. The purchasers
10 of school transportation services have.

11 Our Association is not so much opposed to the use
12 of safety belts in school buses if they were proven safe as
13 it is supportive of the concept of compartmentalization.
14 We come to this position after years of tests, experiments,
15 and studies resulting in NHTSA concluding that compartment-
16 alization provides an adequate level of safety protection.
17 In contrast, there are no standards established for seat
18 belts on large school buses. PSBA believes that compartment-
19 alization - containing children within a structurally
20 reinforced passenger compartment of fully padded, high-back
21 seats and crash barriers - is preferable to any form of
22 containment that relies upon the use of seat belts or other
23 similar restraining devices.

24 Furthermore, we believe that the studies and
25 excellent safety record of school buses support compartment-

1 alization. The real safety problems in school transportation -
2 and those that need to be thoroughly addressed by the
3 industry, schools, parents, and the public - are the fatal-
4 ities and injuries that occur where children get on and off
5 the buses - that are known as the loading zones. Those of
6 us who work with children and school buses every day feel
7 that every new item that is added or changed to school buses
8 should be well tested and engineered prior to being mandated
9 as a regulation. This is why PSBA will continue to support
10 the compartmentalization concept until documented research
11 establishes the seat belts on school buses will raise the
12 level of protection for the occupants.

13 PSBA is concerned that many interested and well-
14 meaning individuals are not informed of the safety record
15 of school buses, the safest features incorporated into school
16 bus construction, and why seat belts are not mandated or
17 needed on school buses. For years, officials have been
18 telling the American public that "seat belts save lives".
19 They do, in automobiles.

20 There is a segment of our population - well intended,
21 concerned people - who are calling for seat belts to be placed
22 on all school buses in the country. At first glance, this
23 seems like a good idea, but upon closer examination, it
24 turns out to be simply not the case at all. The fact to
25 keep in mind is there are major differences between auto-

1 mobiles and school buses, and these differences call for the
2 different safety solutions.

3 There are basic differences between automobiles
4 and school buses besides that of size. In a school bus, great
5 effort has been made to eliminate protruding objects that
6 could injure a passenger during a crash. Unbelted, an auto-
7 mobile's passenger will fly toward the point of impact in
8 the event of a crash, colliding with any hostile objects
9 that are in the path. The safest place to be in such an event
10 is belted into the seat, which is designed to stay attached
11 to the automobile frame. A school bus is different.
12 Passengers are protected by the lack of protruding objects
13 and by compartmentalization - the careful padding of seats,
14 seat backs, sides and aisles. This compartmentalization
15 is designed to cushion to students in the event of a sudden
16 impact or swerve and the padding itself absorbs most of the
17 impact.

18 There are other differences as well. The outer
19 construction is an excellent example. A school bus is encased
20 in a metal frame, much like a metal rib cage, unlike today's
21 small automobiles which have very little reinforcement. In
22 addition, the passenger compartment in buses is well above
23 the bumper height of automobile bumpers, so the impact of
24 a collision is not felt on the same level. This is the reason
25 why interstate carriers, school buses, and public transit

1 buses are exempt from safety belt requirements. They have
2 inherent safety advantages related to their size, weight, and
3 the interior design that other vehicles do not normally have.

4 School buses are special for other reasons as well.
5 First of all, the public is aware of them because of their
6 shiny yellow color, flashing lights, and special markings.
7 This automatically makes the public more cautious around this
8 type of vehicle. Besides, these buses are normally operated
9 at low speeds. They are particularly special because they
10 carry a precious cargo: our children. This knowledge on
11 the part of the bus driver and the motoring public gives this
12 type of vehicle a large advantage when it comes to safety.
13 With all these factors taken into account, it becomes clear
14 that different safety devices must be considered for these
15 two completely different types of vehicles

16 Every day, nearly 2.5 million Pennsylvania school
17 children ride about seventeen thousand school buses to their
18 schools and back again. Statistically, school buses are the
19 safest vehicles on the road, recording the fewest fatalities
20 per one hundred million vehicle miles. School bus occupant
21 fatalities have declined steadily nationwide from sixty in
22 1978 to none in 1983. Pennsylvania has averaged two fatal-
23 ities a year outside the school bus and has not had a
24 fatality inside the school bus in over ten years. Although
25 statistically there are few pupil fatalities on school buses -

1 nationally, four tenths of one percent per one hundred
2 thousand vehicular miles in 1982-83 - the school bus industry
3 can not afford to brag. As long as one child is killed,
4 the quest for safety must be a never-ending process.

5 The fact remains, however, that the majority of
6 fatalities in school bus related accidents do not occur on
7 the bus. They happen before, during, and after the loading
8 or unloading procedure. Considering the potential for
9 accidents and the number of children who regularly ride
10 school buses - over twenty-two million nationwide in 1982-83,
11 it is amazing that the number of deaths or injuries is not
12 greater.

13 Seat belt proponents continually stress the need
14 for safety aboard the bus and contend that existing standards
15 created by the compartmentalization concept are not adequate
16 protection against side collisions and rollovers. Setting
17 aside the question of whether or not the school bus itself
18 is a safe vehicle, it is important to look at where children
19 are being killed and to examine some of the reasons behind
20 the accidents. It appears that seat belt proponents, instead
21 of correcting the problem at its source, are looking for
22 devices designed to protect students in case of an accident,
23 rather than seeking ways to avoid mishaps altogether.

24 Instead of placing the major emphasis on student
25 protection in the event of an accident, time, money, and

1 effort could best be spent on driver training and public
2 awareness. In our view, the approximately \$3.4 million it
3 would cost to install seat belts in Pennsylvania's seventeen
4 thousand school buses could be better spent on these types
5 of programs.

6 According to national statistics for the 1982-83
7 school year, twenty-eight school children were killed in
8 school bus related accidents while outside the bus. Of those,
9 seventeen were killed by their own bus, twelve at the front
10 and five at the rear. The remaining eleven fatalities
11 occurred when vehicles passed, illegally, in most cases, a
12 stopped school bus in the process of loading or unloading.
13 In Pennsylvania, at least two children a year are killed by
14 their own school bus or a passign motorist. Why do fatalities
15 such as these occur?

16 The cause of the eleven killed by vehicles passing
17 a stopped school bus is ignorance of the safety hazards and
18 and a lack of awareness on the part of the motoring public.
19 The transportation industry has not done a very good job of
20 educating the public. In addition, the number and variety of
21 traffic laws around the country specifying procedures to be
22 followed when aproaching a bus loading or unloading is in
23 itself a cause for confusion for the motorist. Not only do
24 laws vary from state to state and locality to locality,
25 there are also major differences in warning equipment. For

1 example, the eight-light warning system is not universally
2 required; neither is the stop arm nor standard lettering
3 such as "Stop on Signal".

4 Emphasis on reducing the federal deficit makes it
5 unlikely that additional funds will be made available for
6 school bus driver training that the industry benefitted from
7 in the past. The end result of this lack of funding means
8 a reduction in school bus driver training. This is partic-
9 ularly lamentable in light of recent studies that show the
10 positive effects of such programs. One in particular, a
11 California Study, concluded that school bus driver-caused
12 accidents declined an amazing twenty percent after a driver
13 training program was initiated in 1984. Similar studies
14 conducted by other states show comparable findings.

15 The suggestion by seat belt proponents that if
16 children are taught to buckle up on a bus, they will continue
17 that habit into adulthood is commendable, but unrealistic.
18 No school bus driver, operating under less than ideal con-
19 ditions at the best of times, could accomplish that which only
20 ten to fourteen percent of the nation's parents whose children
21 ride in seat belt equipped automobiles have accomplished in
22 the past twenty-five years since those devices were intro-
23 duced. It makes more sense to concentrate energies in
24 teaching in an environment where educational experiences
25 have shown that learning is best accomplished. Even if seat

1 belts were made mandatory, in automobiles as well as in school
2 buses, the process of teaching children the value and des-
3 irability of their use could be accomplished more effectively
4 in a controlled educational environmnet than on a crowded
5 school bus.

6 There is a solution to eliminating a great number
7 of school bus related fatalities. It is driver education,
8 and is an attainable goal. All a driver need do is be certain
9 that he or she knows where the child is who is getting off
10 and on the bus. It is that simple. By counting and not
11 moving until they are sure, the child will not be run over.
12 This message should constantly be in front of every school
13 bus driver. Additional distractions, such as assuring that
14 seat belts are properly adjusted and buckled, would only
15 divert driver attention from this primary responsibility.
16 If seat belt proponents direct their efforts toward accom-
17 plishing this single goal, instead of campaigning for, and
18 promoting, additional safety equipment, it could be accom-
19 plished and the safety of the children would be greatly
20 enhanced.

21 A lot of thought, care, and research went into
22 NHTSA's standards for school bus passenger seating and crash
23 protection. The underlying philosophy behind these standards
24 was the premise that it is more practical and effective to
25 put the passengers in surroundings that could absorb a great

1 deal of the shock and energy generated by a collision or
2 sudden swerve than to confine the children in seats with
3 seat belts. "The standard relies on compartmentalization
4 between well-padded and well-constructed seats to provide
5 occupant protection on school buses," is how NHTSA's language
6 actually reads. These standards are applicable only to large
7 school buses. On the smaller, van-type vehicles, seat belts
8 are required. NHTSA also noted that, "Compartmentalization
9 provides satisfactory protection and that a requirement for
10 seat belts without the assurance of proper supervision of
11 their use would not be an effective means of providing
12 occupant protection".

13 Compartmentalization involves several things. It
14 calls for higher seat backs, impact-absorbing seats , and
15 padded reinforcement of these seats, which also must not
16 separate from the vehicle at any attachment point. Special
17 padding of the rear of the seats is designed to protect a
18 child's head in the event of a sudden impact, and a special
19 leg protection zone is specified in the construction require-
20 ments. All of this padding is required to be of thick foam
21 rubber in order to absorb the maximum energy of the impact.

22 In 1983, the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards
23 of school buses were modified to increase the spacing between
24 the seats from twenty-one inches to twenty-four inches. This
25 was done, however, only after tests had demonstrated that

1 such an increase would not impair the concept of compartment-
2 alization. Any increase beyond twenty-four inches might
3 impair the ability of the seats to absorb energy in the manner
4 required by the standards. The benefit of compartmental-
5 ization is that it is a passive protection system. The
6 students do not have to do anything to afford themselves this
7 protection. It is built into the vehicle itself. Seat belts
8 on school buses might work under certain conditions - and
9 there is even some argument about this - but one thing is
10 clear; they will not do any good at all if they are not
11 fastened, and fastened properly. With compartmentalization,
12 the protection is automatic and effective.

13 A recent report by Transport Canada on crash tests
14 it performed revealed that some types of injuries were more
15 severe in belted than unbelted dummies in school bus crashes.
16 A summary of the 1985 report reads, and I quote:

17 "The results indicated that the belted dummies
18 experienced higher head accelerations, lower chest accel-
19 erations, and more severe neck extensions than did the un-
20 belted ones. This indicates that if lap belts are installed
21 on current designs of school bus seats, a greater potential
22 for head injury may exist. Other observations suggest that a
23 further study is required in the area of glazing strength,
24 attachment of seats to floor, fuel filler mounting, and
25 driver protection.

1 "This School Bus Safety Study indicates that careful
2 deliberation must be exercised before deciding whether or not
3 to add lap belts to existing designs of occupant protection
4 systems found on today's school buses. The barrier crash
5 tests results showed that the potential for head injury in
6 frontal collisions increased, when lap belts were employed.

7 "This conclusion was reached after the subject of
8 school bus safety was investigated in considerable detail.
9 The investigation included a review of existing literature,
10 discussions with bus manufacturers and operators, and a
11 dynamic barrier crash program. The crash program provided
12 data and photographic evidence, not before available, to
13 compare the reaction of belted and unbelted test dummies in
14 a frontal collision." A summary of Transport Canada's test
15 results is included for the record.

16 If compartmentalization is inadequate for protecting
17 school children, as proponents claim, PSBA supports a new
18 study of the issue by NHTSA. However, PBSA does not support
19 mandated seat belts without such a study, particularly in
20 light of the 1985 Canadian crash test report. As stated
21 above, PSBA's prime concern lies with doing whatever ensures
22 the safest possible environment for children. Thank you.

23 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Gentlemen,
24 any questions?

25 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Is there any evidence of

1 internal injury from a lap belt on a small child?

2 MR. ROMANO: No, we don't have any available that
3 I am aware of.

4 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: How about the number of
5 students per seat? Is that a factor if you use your buses
6 interchangeably with small students and larger students?

7 MR. ROMANO: Yes, it is a factor, and we really
8 haven't addressed that in here because I think that's some-
9 thing that's going to be addressed further down the line.
10 We are now allowed a thirty-nine inch-wide seat, and that
11 gives you a thirteen inch rump room, so it would be three
12 children to a seat, and we think with three seat belts that's
13 going to be greatly impaired, which is just going to double
14 the cost or add to adding more equipment which, there again
15 is just a cost factor. The burden is not going to be shared
16 by the contractors.

17 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Thank you.

18 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: On the training recommen-
19 dations you have, what type and how should it be done? We
20 have testing in Pennsylvania but not training.

21 MR. ROMANO: That's correct, and until recently,
22 until this year I believe it was, we had a state-mandated
23 program and we are still under the state-mandated program, but
24 we have certified trainers by the state who
25 give classroom training, and then we give on-the-road

1 training, but the funding for that is no longer available.
2 Now, the school districts or the contractors have to pick
3 that up on their own.

4 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: We are saying that to get
5 a classified license in Pennsylvania to drive a bus, you have
6 to pass an examination...

7 MR. ROMANO: That's correct.

8 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Where in fact do they pick
9 up their information, their training, their ability to pass
10 the exam? Perhaps the exam is not tough enough to meet what
11 you feel should be the training adequate.

12 MR. ROMANO: I don't think that it's the exam that's
13 the problem. I think that it's the training process, where
14 we need more training. We don't feel that there is enough
15 training. The emphasis isn't on the state as it mandated
16 that training.

17 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: What I'm saying is they're
18 passing some sort of examination now, obviously, or we
19 wouldn't have any bus drivers.

20 MR. ROMANO: That's correct, you need several
21 licenses to drive a school bus.

22 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Right. I'm saying that if
23 we provide training and the same exam, what I fail to see
24 is how that's going to improve their abilities if it's the
25 same type of examination. I guess what I'm getting at, should

1 we not tighten up and make the exam stiffer?

2 MR. ROMANO: I don't think we're talking about the
3 exam. I think if you relate the exam right back to the
4 automobile licensing, you'll all end up with a book in your
5 hand, and you go take a license test for your automobile,
6 that doesn't mean when you walk out that door you're going
7 to listen to what's in that book.

8 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: That's what I'm asking.
9 The State Police, then, should make the exam at least more
10 difficult that would reflect on the type of training that
11 they are receiving whether we provide it, mandate it, or not.
12 It's just not a rote memorization of rules and regulations.

13 MR. CASPER: Representative Wilson, if I may on
14 that, some of the problems with the school bus drivers,
15 especially smaller students, walking in front of the bus
16 and behind the bus, I had discussion with a gentleman on
17 the phone the other night regarding this. I'm sure that when
18 they take the school bus exam they don't have a kid
19 walking in front or behind of the bus obviously, and perhaps
20 that's a great deal of the problem. Perhaps a bus driver
21 can't maneuver around certain obstacles in a test course,
22 perhaps a bus driver - he or she - can't read regulations
23 and requirements and be knowledgeable in that but nonetheless,
24 what is untested and perhaps since the training was cut out,
25 that not in all instances do we have the bus driver ever

1 mindful that there are small bodies in the front and the back
2 of the bus. Perhaps there has to be a counting procedure,
3 some do I understand, but perhaps we have to zero in on
4 the ever present memory process of that as opposed to the
5 maneuverability and skills of a driver.

6 MR. ROMANO: That's what I addressed partly in
7 here, that's what we're asking for. We're asking for further
8 training for the driver and for funding to be extended
9 toward that category so that we can get around the problems
10 you talked about - not being able to see the child, why can't
11 we see the child, and make the driver ever-aware. We're
12 asking for more training in that area.

13 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: This gets back, then, to
14 your statistics. The numbers amazed me. I think you referred
15 to twenty-eight.

16 MR. ROMANO: That's correct.

17 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Seventeen were killed by
18 the bus itself.

19 MR. ROMANO: Outside.

20 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Eleven by the motorists
21 going by...

22 MR. ROMANO: That's correct.

23 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: And none in any accidents.

24 MR. ROMANO: In the last ten years inside the bus,
25 yes.

1 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: What was the major cause
2 of those by their own bus? The kid ran under the wheels?
3 Did the bus start out early, or what?

4 MR. ROMANO: I can't ever begin to answer that -
5 all those possibilities.

6 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Does anybody have figures
7 on that here?

8 MR. ROMANO: Not...

9 MEMBER OF AUDIENCE: We do here.

10 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: You do?

11 MEMBER OF AUDIENCE: Yes.

12 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Okay.

13 MR. ROMANO: I can't answer that question.

14 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any other questions here?

15 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Yes, Roy Reinard. Mr.
16 Romano, are you aware of when the last time the National
17 Highway Safety Traffic Administration did conduct a study
18 regarding safety on school buses?

19 MR. ROMANO: I don't have the exact dates, no.

20 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Do you know or are you
21 aware of whether they studied seat belts on school buses the
22 last time they did a report?

23 MR. ROMANO: That I do not know.

24 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Thank you.

25 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any other questions? Thank

1 you, sir, we appreciate it very much.

2 MR. ROMANO: Thank you.

3 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I see Congressman Kostmayer
4 has arrived after visiting WBUX, I understand, Pete?

5 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Yes, sir.

6 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any time you're ready.

7 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Thank you very much, Mr.
8 Chairman. It's nice to see at least one Senator among these
9 House members. Thank you very much for the opportunity to
10 testify. I know your schedule is busy, and I appreciate the
11 opportunity of being here, and I will try to be brief and
12 basically summarize my testimony.

13 Last Saturday, Mr. Chairman, in Washington not
14 very far from my office, a bus filled with school students
15 swerved to avoid hitting an automobile. The bus overturned,
16 tumbling first onto its right side, and then onto its left
17 side, sending children and books and seat cushions flying.
18 All forty-eight children on the bus had to be hospitalized,
19 some with serious injuries.

20 In October of last year in West Chester County in
21 New York, an eleven year-old boy died in a bus accident when
22 the school bus went out of control and hit a boulder. The
23 student, Paul Goodrow, was tossed into the air, slammed into
24 the bar of the seat ahead of him. He sustained fatal injuries
25 to the abdomen, and died on the bus.

1 These are only two of many cases in which seat
2 belts could have prevented injury or death to the school
3 children of America. Every day, twenty million children ride
4 on school buses. Thousands of children are injured each
5 year in school bus related accidents. Most medical experts
6 agree that seat belts will decrease, to some extent, the
7 number and severity of injuries suffered by the school
8 children. School bus design standards enacted in 1977, by
9 the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration created
10 a safer bus - compartmentalization, which has been compared
11 to the cushioning effects of eggs placed in an egg basket
12 has been effective.

13 Last year, Mr. Chairman, HR 749, provided
14 incentive grants for states which require the installation
15 of seat belts in new buses. Fifty-five members of Congress
16 have co-sponsored the bill. A number of medical and educa-
17 tional groups have endorsed it. This legislation would not
18 mandate belts on school buses. It would provide Federal
19 funds to states which chose, on their own, to require as a
20 result of state law, belts on buses. I met with manufact-
21 urers, contractors, and drivers of school buses. All of
22 these people are genuinely and honestly concerned about child
23 safety, but many have expressed opposition to my proposal.

24 The objections to seat belts in school buses seem
25 to fall into two categories: cost and safety. The average

1 cost is about a thousand dollars a bus, less than four percent
2 of the cost of the school bus, and comes to about two dollars
3 per student per year over the life of the bus. Some opponents
4 of seat belts in school buses argue that they are not cost-
5 effective and that the money could be better spent on driver
6 training and child safety education programs. I agree with
7 those, and under the provisions of my legislation, the funds
8 to be appropriated could be used for these purposes as well
9 as the installation of the belts themselves.

10 Those who oppose seat belts in school buses have
11 also expressed concern about student compliance and vandalism.
12 Over sixty school districts in the country are using seat
13 belts in school buses. The districts that have been using
14 belts for a year or more report high levels of student
15 compliance and improved discipline on their buses. A recent
16 study by the Canadian Transportation Department is often
17 cited as proof that seat belts will do more harm than good on
18 buses. Nothing could be further from the truth. The Canadian
19 study had several flaws - it tested only head-on collisions
20 at high rates of speed, used adult-sized rather than child-
21 sized dummies, unnaturally rigid dummies, and provided no
22 instrumentation to measure the impact of the crash on the
23 throat area. Even so, it still showed that the belted dummies
24 showed less severe chest injuries and that the only dummies
25 experiencing life-threatening forces were those that were

1 unbelted.

2 The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
3 has advised that the results of the Canadian study should be
4 viewed with caution. The report on safety belts in school
5 buses in June of '85 noted that "fifty percent of occupant
6 fatalities in school buses occur in rollover accidents, and
7 14.7 of the occupant fatalities occur in side impact
8 accidents". It is in these types of accidents that seat
9 belts might be most likely to provide additional safety
10 margins. In addition to the immediate safety benefits, we
11 cannot overestimate the educational value of seat belts in
12 school buses. The positive reinforcement of wearing a seat
13 belt on the school bus each day will help teach children
14 that buckling-up should be a lifetime habit.

15 It seems to me that since automobile accidents
16 are the number one killer of children under fourteen, seat
17 belts on school buses merit serious consideration by the
18 legislature for their educational benefits alone.

19 I appreciate the opportunity, Mr. Chairman, and
20 to the extent that I am able I would be delighted to answer
21 any questions that you may have.

22 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you, sir. Questions,
23 gentlemen?

24 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Congressman, I'll ask the
25 same question I asked before. Are you aware of the last

1 time the National Highway Administration studied the
2 question of seat belts on school buses?

3 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: I think there is reference
4 in here to an '82 study. There may be people in the audience
5 here who may have more precise information. I think that
6 was the last study and I think it's fair to say that as a
7 result of their study, they did not concur with my views -
8 they did not issue a recommendation. They felt that seat
9 compartmentalization at that time, and other provisions
10 that had been taken in making school buses much safer than
11 they have ever been before, made seat belts unnecessary.

12 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: The previous speaker -
13 and granted you weren't able to hear his comments - but the
14 previous speaker stated that they have requested their school
15 bus association nationally - has requested the Highway Safety
16 folks to do an in-depth study, probably one that would be
17 addressing some of the concerns that the Canadian study may
18 have missed or didn't do as well. Is there any move up front
19 for either their agency to do it on its own or for Congress
20 to ask them to do that through resolutions of any type?

21 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Well, I think that's a good
22 suggestion, Representative, and a likely possibility in the
23 coming years that we will be able to convince the Agency
24 to conduct such a study, especially one which will resolve
25 some of those flaws in the Canadian study. The primary

1 problem with the Canadian study is that, as I said in my
2 testimony, the dummies were adult-sized, unduly rigid, and
3 they were head-on collisions at high rates of speed into
4 stone walls. That's not the type of accidents that most
5 school buses are involved in. Most school buses are involved
6 in rollover accidents, not accidents at high rates of speed
7 into concrete walls, so I think that there are a lot of
8 serious questions and I hope that the Agency will conduct
9 a study this year to correct some of that information.

10 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: All of the states would
11 be appreciative, I'm sure, of your resolution that would
12 provide them funds so that they would be able to implement
13 that. I think a prudent state would make sure first off
14 there was some sort of modified study and results and
15 conclusions that could be drawn from that. I'm just wondering
16 whether or not nationally, any pressure was being put on
17 for a new comprehensive study if the last one was done in
18 '80 or '82, and it wasn't done to the specifications that
19 currently, today - with the new structure of the seats, it
20 could become more beneficial.

21 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: I think that everyone would
22 agree that buses are much safer than they have ever been
23 before. I hope that the National Highway Safety Transport-
24 ation Administration would conduct a new study. I think we
25 can certainly look to the evidence of the sixty school

1 districts across the country that are using seat belts in
2 school buses, all of them with considerable success and
3 happiness.

4 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Thank you.

5 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any more questions here?
6 You mentioned those sixty school districts, Pete. Is there
7 any possibility of getting some names for those so that we
8 might pursue this a little further and see what their results
9 have been?

10 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Absolutely. We will provide
11 the names of all of the school districts to you and to the
12 Committee, Mr. Chairman.

13 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: One other question I have
14 here. In House Bill 749, would that cover the cost of these
15 belts to anybody that says we have to have them in all new
16 buses in, say, Pennsylvania?

17 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Basically, we're only
18 talking about new buses, we're talking about buses which
19 would require the use of belts only as a result of legis-
20 lation enacted by the legislature or the other kinds of edu-
21 cational programs I talked about. There is flexibility -
22 we're talking about ten million dollars for three years over
23 three years. I think basically, the answer to your question
24 is yes.

25 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Any other

1 questions? Thanks a lot, good to see you.

2 CONGRESSMAN KOSTMAYER: Chairman, members of the
3 Committee, thank you very much.

4 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I have Joanne Doran, Chester
5 County Transportation Association, Mike King, William Wilson,
6 Rosemary Langmeyer of Pennridge, and Patricia Marks of
7 Palisades.

8 MS. DORAN: I come in the role of Transportation
9 Supervisor of the West Chester Area School District, and also
10 President of the Chester County Transportation Association,
11 and I'm Business Manager for the Pupil Transportation Assoc-
12 iation of Pennsylvania, and I represent those three areas
13 today. I thank you for having us.

14 The membership of the Chester County Transportation
15 Association appreciates the opportunity to come before you
16 today and share a major concern to pupil transportation in
17 the twelve school districts of Chester County. Our major
18 goal within Chester County is to provide the safest and most
19 cost-effective transportation within each school district.
20 Within our Association there are experienced Transportation
21 Supervisors who are willing to come forth and protest the
22 installation of seat belts in school buses in the interest
23 of students, parents, and the tax payers of the County and
24 State.

25 We offer the following reasons why we feel that

1 seat belts should not be in our school buses: school buses
2 are currently six times safer than the family car; fewer
3 fatalities per one hundred million vehicle miles; insufficient
4 information that installation of seat belts will improve our
5 safety records; belts create new responsibilities to the
6 bus driver; how will the usage of the seat belts be monitored;
7 belts and buckles could become weapons; who will assist the
8 younger child in buckling up; driver's attention is likely
9 diverted in the discharge of students from vehicle due to
10 difficulties with the belts.

11 The school bus system which uses compartmental-
12 ation protects the school bus passengers at crucial times
13 without any effort on their part. The most vital component
14 of this system is the seat. The seats are situated closer
15 together and have higher, fully padded backs which provide
16 for the compartmentalization and improved safety of each
17 pupil transported. In the case of the front seat, a fully
18 padded front barrier extends the full width of the seat
19 serves the same purpose.

20 Since the major concern of the industry is the
21 maximum protection of school bus passengers, the National
22 Highway Traffic Safety Administration investigated the
23 feasibility of redesigning the interior of the passenger
24 compartment of the school bus. The research of that agency
25 with crash sled tests resulted in major seating and body

1 design changes mandated for all school buses manufactured
2 after April 1, 1977.

3 1) Seats were redesigned to specific spacing,
4 with full padding front and back, and increased back height
5 for maximum impact protection. These newer design seats
6 provide a padded cavity for passengers - compartmentalization
7 - which crash tests prove to be the most effective protection
8 in an impact situation.

9 2) Bus body strength was greatly increased to
10 enable the vehicle to withstand major impact from the side,
11 front, and rear. Improvements were also made on the roof
12 of school buses for rollover protection.

13 3) Increased protection was provided for the
14 fuel system - tank and lines - to minimize fuel spillage
15 during collision situations.

16 Despite all the passenger crash protection which
17 is built into the school bus, there is no integral safety
18 system for the protection of the school bus driver. The
19 driving compartment of a school bus is an unpadded, hostile
20 area in which many protruding surfaces could result in severe
21 lacerations and injury in the event of an accident. Federal
22 and state laws require that operators of school buses wear
23 seat belts while the vehicle is in motion. This is equally
24 important for the safety of the pupil passengers, since the
25 seat belt keeps the driver behind the wheel during an

1 emergency maneuver or minor collision, therefore preventing
2 loss of control and perhaps a second, more severe accident.

3 Generally, automobile collisions with school buses
4 offer little threat of serious injury to school bus passengers
5 for three reasons:

6 1) An automobile weighs less than one-seventh
7 as much as a standard school bus.

8 2) The passenger compartment on a standard school
9 bus is normally above the impact and penetration zone in an
10 automobile collision.

11 3) School buses providing local service generally
12 operate at low speeds.

13 How does compartmentalization work? Upon vehicle
14 impact, an unbelted child will slide forward on the seat
15 and into the padded back of the seat ahead, therefore dist-
16 ributing the forces of impact. Injuries will most likely
17 be minor. In contrast, the lap-belted child's hips will act
18 as a fulcrum, throwing the upper body forward with great
19 force. This may cause severe injuries to the child's abdom-
20 inal region because of the pressures involved. Compartment-
21 alization is the answer to this issue in our opinion.

22 Our Transportation Association feels that the
23 emphasis should be shifted to the area of where the students
24 are being injured or killed. At the loading or unloading
25 areas more students are injured or killed not on the school

1 bus; seven out of ten occur. A concentrated effort to improve
2 driver awareness and educate the motoring public to the
3 dangers involved in such areas should be examined in our
4 opinion. We feel this issue would be a far better use of
5 tax dollars and improve safety.

6 Although our Association is opposed to the passage
7 of seat belts in school buses, we support legislation and
8 funding to continue further investigation on this issue.
9 Please feel free to call upon us for any further information
10 or assistance you may desire in dealing through our Assoc-
11 iation on this matter. We thank you for the opportunity
12 to be present and hear our opinion. Thank you.

13 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you very much.

14 Questions?

15 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Yes. You mentioned severe
16 injuries to the child's abdominal region. Do you have any
17 studies to indicate this type of injury from the lap belts?

18 MS. DORAN: At the PASBO convention last year,
19 transportation supervisors - there was a video that the
20 Thomas Bus Company has put out, and that is where I felt
21 that information came from. We have acquired the tape, and
22 our Transportation Association has viewed it, and that's where
23 our opinion came from.

24 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: It seems to me that one
25 of the superintendents of Chester County mentioned a study

1 by medical personnel on this issue. I just wondered if you
2 had that, I could also inquire about it. I'd like to get
3 some copies of that.

4 MS. DORAN: I do have a copy of the Thomas bus
5 crash tape where we compiled our information from. I don't
6 have the medical study now.

7 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: You mentioned belts and
8 buckles becoming weapons. Do you mean horseplay if they're
9 not buckled?

10 MS. DORAN: Yes.

11 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: What could you say about the
12 potential liability problems of that or in case of the child
13 being injured and it not being buckled?

14 MS. DORAN: That concerns us from the standpoint
15 that a seventy-two passenger vehicle, for instance, carrying
16 a load, say, of sixty students. Who is going to enforce
17 the fact that the child is or is not belted. If an accident
18 should occur and that one child in one of the seats is not
19 belted, where will the liability be? Who will be responsible
20 - the driver, the contractor, the School District? What
21 will happen then? We are concerned about that.

22 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: All right. Thank you.

23 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any questions? Mr. Reinard?

24 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Thank you for your
25 testimony. I have a copy, and I'm not sure if you reviewed

1 it at all, but it's from the Department of Transportation
2 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration regarding
3 seat belts in school buses, it was issued in June of 1985. I
4 believe that this is an overview of their previous testimony
5 or previous reports that they have done in the past. They
6 make a recommendation in their summary that states "In view
7 of the effectiveness of the current safety standards and the
8 excellent safety record of school buses, generally we do not
9 believe that a Federal requirement of safety belts in large
10 school buses are warranted". Are you familiar in your
11 capacity as being in the Transportation Association of your
12 District or your County, whether or not - have you checked
13 into that report at all to see what elements they're really
14 addressing there?

15 MS. DORAN: No, I have not. I am not familiar
16 with that report.

17 REPRESENTATIVE REINARD: Okay, thank you very much.

18 MS. DORAN: Thank you.

19 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I have just a couple
20 questions here. You referred on page two to crash sled
21 tests. Congressman Kostmayer said that most accidents - and
22 he didn't verify where his information came from - but most
23 school bus accidents were on a rollover type of thing. Would
24 you say that these crash sled tests were all head-on? It
25 sounds to me like they were.

1 MS. DORAN: Yes. The rollover, that I think that
2 the Congressman spoke of were in another state. I think
3 in Pennsylvania they are not very prevalant.

4 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: What are the prevalant
5 accidents in Pennsylvania to your knowledge?

6 MS. DORAN: Our group has a statistic sheet on
7 the breakdown of every accident, and I think someone else
8 in our group is going to refer to that.

9 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I'll remember that. Do you
10 require any driver training of your drivers other than the
11 Pennsylvania-okayed license?

12 MS. DORAN: Yes. We do.

13 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: What is that?

14 MS. DORAN: We have ten hours classroom, and then
15 there's a training portion before any driver goes out onto
16 the road. There are credentials that must be acquired
17 through the State. Now, in the West Chester area School
18 District we are a contracted service, and our contractor
19 does take care of all of that, but we oversee and make sure
20 that...

21 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Does the contractor mandate
22 that all personnel be trained under certain standards?

23 MS. DORAN: Yes.

24 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Or whatever he feels is
25 necessary?

1 MS. DORAN: Yes. Of course, it's under the law in
2 Pennsylvania on how a bus driver should or should not be
3 qualified, but then we have certain qualifications that
4 we set up - and policies.

5 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Scott Casper?

6 MR. CASPER: Not directly on the subject, but in
7 the Chester County Transportation Association, you mentioned
8 here experienced Transportation Supervisors. Who else is
9 in the Association?

10 MS. DORAN: In each one of our school districts,
11 we have - Chester County is under I.U. 24, and we have twelve
12 school districts. Our Association was formulated through
13 Mr. Tom Tracey who is an Executive member of the Board on
14 Chester County I.U. The supervisors are the members, and
15 each one of us has our Business Manager or whoever is assigned
16 to Transportation is also an honorary member. So in our
17 system, it's about thirty people that make up the Trans-
18 portation Association of Chester County.

19 MR. CASPER: Is that unique to Chester County or
20 do other I.U.s in the state...

21 MS. DORAN: Throughout the state. In fact, the
22 Pupil Transportation Association of Pennsylvania, which is
23 our father group, they are always trying to get to a county
24 to formulate a group such as ours and there's certain
25 criterias that meet the standards there. You must have a

1 certain amount of membership to be affiliated. It's
2 relatively new, I would say maybe within the last five years
3 groups have come together within their Intermediate Units
4 or their Districts or their County levels.

5 MR. CASPER: Excellent. Thank you.

6 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Mike King?
7 Montgomery/Bucks County Transportation Association of I.U.
8 22 and 23.

9 MR. KING: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is
10 Mike King, I'm the Director of Transportation of the Neshaminy
11 School District and also President of the Montgomery/Bucks
12 Pupil Transportation Association. Our organization is
13 comprised of school districts and contract operations in
14 Montgomery and Bucks Counties, and the purpose of our group
15 is to further the safety and well being of students for whom
16 we are responsible to transport to and from school each and
17 every day.

18 Evidence does not prove nor disprove the contention
19 that seat belts would make big buses any more safer than
20 they already are. This great debate over seat belts in
21 school buses pushes towards decisions and determinations
22 being established on the basis of opinions rather than the
23 outcome of scientific testing.

24 The results of the Canada crash test yielded data
25 on the head-on crash only. Thomas Bus Company conducted

1 tests in April of 1985 of both head-on and side impact
2 crashes. There is need for an up-to-date study of how the
3 usage of seat belts would enhance or threaten the safety of
4 the school pupil in all types of accidents, that is roll-
5 over, side impact, head-on, etc.; determine the length of
6 time involved in a bus catching fire and exploding; determine
7 the exact amount of time it would take for children of all
8 ages, with and without belts, to evacuate that bus. The study
9 should provide current statistics on types of accidents;
10 rollover, side impact, rear end, head-on, etc. This infor-
11 mation can then be weighed and proper judgement made to
12 determine how to offer the most pupils the least risk, for
13 example, if out of one hundred thousand buses, three had roll-
14 over accidents wherein seat belts would most likely reduce
15 injury, and two hundred and seventy-five had collisions type
16 accidents wherein bus evacuation would be a priority, it would
17 need to be decided; do we disregard the pupils in the three
18 buses and protect the much greater number of students in
19 the two hundred and seventy-five buses? It is a very complex
20 situation. We urge you to exercise prudence and sound
21 judgement as you work your way through this complicated issue.

22 There has been a lot of mention made towards the
23 twenty-eight accidents that occurred from 1974-75 through
24 the 83-84 school year. We have brief descriptions of all
25 twenty-eight of those accidents. I don't want to read all

1 twenty-eight of them, but I can read a few of them.

2 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Would you submit them, and
3 we'll circulate them to the Committee.

4 MR. KING: Sure. The copies are in there in the
5 yellow part. This yellow sheet here was a presentation that
6 was put on at the Pennsylvania School Board Association
7 State Conference in October of '85. It was presented by
8 the Pupil Transportation Association of Pennsylvania by
9 William Mathers who, at that time, was the immediate past
10 president, Leona Flood who was the Director of Transportation
11 from the Wissahickon School District, and Linda Hedrick,
12 who was the Director of Transportation of Unionville/
13 Chadds Ford, down in Chester County and also the Executive
14 Director of the Pupil Transportation Association of Pennsyl-
15 vania.

16 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any questions? Thank you,
17 sir.

18 MR. KING: Thank you.

19 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: William Wilson and Rose-
20 mary Langmeyer, Pennridge School District.

21 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members
22 of the Committee. I am a board member of the Pennridge
23 School Board. I have been a member of the Transportation
24 Committee for most of my eight years. We have looked into
25 bus specifications on several occasions during that eight

1 years, and this past year was more in depth relative to the
2 legislation that Congressman Kostmayer has submitted. That
3 intensified our study and we wrote for some additional infor-
4 mation in addition to a copy of the Transport Canada report
5 which I wrote and obtained from the Canadian Department of
6 Transportation. I also included a copy of a letter that I
7 personally sent to Mr. Kostmayer after my delving into a lot
8 of the ramifications of seat belts in school buses, and a
9 draft - it's a preliminary draft - I didn't have a copy of
10 the draft that the Board officially approved, but Pennridge
11 did approve a resolution directed primarily to Mr. Kostmayer
12 objecting to the legislation on this issue, particularly
13 since that legislation is done without any U.S. studies.
14 The last studies were done in the late 1960's by UCLA who
15 did it for the U.S. Department of Transportation, which
16 resulted in the regulations of school bus construction in
17 1977.

18 Mr. Kostmayer alluded to the flaw in the Transport
19 Canada study. I will say it was done on a very small basis,
20 I think a total of six tests were involved with not too many
21 dummies wired up for testing. It was done by Transport
22 Canada because their records indicate that fifty-five percent,
23 and this is according to a report that I read from the
24 Insurance Institute for highway safety - indicates that
25 Canadian school bus accidents occur with fifty-five percent

1 frontal collisions - the greatest percentage of accidents is
2 fifty-five percent with frontal collisions, and the rest,
3 whatever it is. So, unless Canadian driving
4 is remarkably different, I would question that the greater
5 majority of our accidents are rollovers.

6 Also the contention that recent injuries or death
7 in New York was because a student flew and hit the metal
8 railing on the seat in front of him - all buses that have
9 been built since those 1977 regulations have no exposed metal
10 railing, so it had to be an old bus that that occurred in.

11 My statement would be that the issue of seat belts
12 in school buses is somewhat akin to motherhood and apple pie.
13 None of us would want to be against seat belts in school
14 buses on the surface. The natural assumption is that seat
15 belts provided in and used while passengers are riding in
16 buses automatically provides an additional protection, and
17 that naturally assumes the increased safety would apply in
18 school buses. Everyone wants the utmost safety for our school
19 children, and no one here would want otherwise.

20 Proponents of seat belts in school bus legislation
21 want this legislation for either or both of two reasons:
22 one, assuming the condition that seat belts in school buses
23 would provide greater safety for the children passengers as
24 in the case of automobile seat belts or two, forcing school
25 children to use seat belts in school buses will be an

1 educational experience so that they learn to use them while
2 riding in the buses, hence, either now or when they're driving
3 an automobile later on, they will be in the habit of using
4 them. The first point is a logical continuation of the
5 fact that we generally accept which regard the increased
6 safety from the use of belts in automobiles. Much testing
7 has been done regarding seat belts in automobiles to support
8 those beliefs. There is no such data supportive of the cases
9 of safety of seat belts in the school buses, however.

10 Testing was done in the late 1960's by UCLA, and
11 that resulted in the regulations adopted in 1977 which gives
12 us the increased safety we have in the standards today. Seat
13 belts were disregarded at that time and compartmentalization,
14 which was described earlier, was found to be the better and
15 the safer means. All of our buses are made to those standards
16 today and are found to be the better and safer means.

17 All of our buses are made to those standards today and the
18 school bus passenger safety is at an all-time high. There is
19 no record of late testing in the United States, but
20 Transport Canada has conducted tests in 1984. The conclusion
21 of that report was that in severe frontal collisions, the
22 use of lap belts may result in more severe head and neck
23 injuries for the belted occupants than those who did not
24 use seat belts. For this reason, Transport Canada, as a
25 Federal jurisdiction, does not mandate the installation of

1 seat belts in the new buses and they do not plan to mandate
2 the use of belts because the increased potential of injury
3 posed by using seat belts on school buses.

4 I should note parenthetically that from reading
5 that report and information I get, Canada does not have the
6 same standards. They do not have the padded seats and the
7 compartmentalization in their regulations as we do, so they
8 are testing a little differently. They don't have the insured
9 safety already in place that we do. In spite of that,
10 they are not mandating seat belts. We have obtained a copy
11 of that report and you have that, there. Others here are
12 discussing the safety of school bus construction and cost and
13 I won't go into that.

14 The question of effectiveness in school buses can
15 also be gleaned from statistics on school bus accidents.
16 In Pennsylvania, between 1974 and 1982 there were twenty-
17 five student fatalities. Ninety-two percent occurred outside
18 the school bus. At best, seat belts may have helped prevent
19 or reduce injury to only two of those deaths, but we don't
20 know that for sure. Obviously the seat belts would have no
21 bearing on the other twenty-three deaths which occurred out-
22 side of the bus during that period.

23 Nationally, in the school year 82-83, there were
24 reported twenty-eight school bus related deaths. None
25 occurred inside the bus, and someone else alluded to where

1 those deaths occurred.

2 The statistics we have seen in our study, that is,
3 our own Pennridge School Board study, of school transportation
4 shows that there are three thousand to thirty-three hundred
5 injuries per year in bus related accidents. That also
6 disagrees a little bit with Mr. Kostmayer's statistics, but
7 eighty-seven to ninety-five percent of those are not in the
8 bus. Seat belts do not appear to be the answer to greater
9 safety.

10 Many reasons for not putting seat belts in school
11 buses include the additional expense for all school buses
12 and their district tax payers, problems of monitoring the
13 buses to be sure that the seat belts are fastened, discipline
14 problems which would come from the ends of the belts being
15 used to settle arguments, and one more item in the school
16 bus which can be vandalized. If you talk to school bus
17 transportation people, the vandalism in the buses is one of
18 our biggest expenses.

19 Since most accidents occur to students outside
20 of the bus, it is imperative that the driver be constantly
21 alert as to the whereabouts of the students and approaching
22 traffic for the greatest safety of the students, instead
23 of checking inside of the bus to make sure that all of the
24 seat belts are fastened.

25 The second point about educating children to use

1 seat belts in automobiles is certainly justified that it is
2 a worthwhile project. However, there must be many other ways
3 of stressing the lesson to students other than going to the
4 expense and possible risk of injury in installing seat belts
5 on the buses. If the contention that the students will not
6 even use the belts if they are in the buses and that becomes
7 the reality, then we have taught the negative of the very
8 lesson we were trying to teach by instilling the students to
9 use the belts by their practice of using them in the school
10 buses. That is, if they don't use them in the school bus
11 when they have them there, we've taught them already not to
12 use them in the cars.

13 As a member of the Pennridge School Board Trans-
14 portation Committee for most of my eight years on the Board,
15 I am pleased to state that we have studied the specifications
16 and safety of school buses a number of times, including the
17 seat belt issue several times. Whereas the vast majority of
18 our students are delivered to school and home again every
19 day by school buses, we are vitally concerned with the safety
20 of the students and the safety on our buses. It is our
21 considered opinion that mandating seat belts in school buses
22 will not increase their safety. It is our hope that greater
23 efforts can be made in the education of motorists, students,
24 teachers, and drivers about safe riding, conduct, and
25 operation regarding the school buses.

1 It is our contention that every effort should be
2 made to reduce or eliminate the places where most of all
3 the accidents and injuries occur - the area immediately
4 around the outside of the school bus.

5 Another member of our Board, Mr. Slotter, would
6 continue our statement unless there are some questions now,
7 or do you want to have the rest...

8 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I have only one. Your
9 last sentence underlined accidents and injuries occurred in
10 the area immediately surrounding the bus, we seem to be finding
11 that out. Do you have any recommendation as to how to cure
12 that? It's hard for us to do out of Harrisburg.

13 MR. WILSON: I think much legislation has already
14 been done in expanding the number of mirrors and increasing
15 the vision around the bus. The only other thing...

16 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Put cowstops (ph) on
17 the wheels or something?

18 MR. WILSON: Well, I'm not sure and there are more
19 experts that could say that. In our in-service of school
20 bus drivers in our district, and I don't know about others
21 but I'm sure it's similar, we stress the importance of even
22 counting the number of students that get off the bus, and
23 before you pull away make sure you can count them - simple
24 things like that, a lot of techniques to make sure that the
25 students are away from the bus, because most of the students

1 are hit, and one in our own district several years ago - my
2 daughter happened to witness it - a little first grader
3 ran across in front of the bus, and dropped a paper and came
4 back. The bus driver thought everyone was clear and the
5 wheel of the bus actually ran over the chest of the child.
6 It's those kinds of things - keeping the driver alert at
7 all times, is one of the greatest things we have to do
8 which involves discipline on the bus and teaching techniques
9 to the drivers and seeing that they can account for all of
10 the children before they pull away.

11 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Does anyone
12 else have a question?

13 MR. CASPER: One question. Number one, you had
14 a very good presentation...

15 MR. WILSON: Thank you.

16 MR. CASPER: And I am thankful for that. I think
17 there's a point to be made that coming out against seat
18 belts in school buses is perhaps like going against apple
19 pie. One thing that you mentioned I found interesting.
20 We've heard testimony in other hearings and in other conver-
21 sations that perhaps it would be wrong to retrofit and that
22 perhaps only new school buses should be equipped with belts.
23 You said something very interesting, you said that relating
24 to the accident in New York where a student hit a metal top -
25 it was perhaps an older bus - perhaps since newer buses are

1 compartmentalized and actually much safer, perhaps it would
2 be a waste of time if you are going to look at putting seat
3 belts in some form of buses - perhaps it would be a waste
4 of time and effort putting them in new buses that are actually
5 safer, leaving the older ones go. Also, since the fact that
6 the student was killed in an older bus, it's a more dangerous
7 situation.

8 MR. WILSON: That would be my - and I'm not familiar
9 with the accident that was referred to...

10 MR. CASPER: Well, you referred to the accident
11 with the metal top...

12 MR. WILSON: Yes.

13 MR. CASPER: Then that would have to be right - it
14 would have to be an older bus.

15 MR. WILSON: Right. I was just pointing out that
16 it was one of the items that Mr. Kostmayer brought up, and I
17 think we're talking about two different things. That was in
18 a bus that had to have been made prior to 1977. Retrofitting
19 the buses and the cost was a thousand dollars to seat belt
20 a bus. If you're going to retrofit old buses, we have
21 estimates that run anywhere from twenty-five hundred to seven
22 thousand dollars.

23 MR. CASPER: Per bus?

24 MR. WILSON: Per bus. It's a lot different from
25 the thousand dollars - and maybe we should get his estimators

1 to do that for all of us.

2 MR. CASPER: Well, you keep referring to his
3 estimators, and his testimony, and his legislation, but we've
4 gone through this for a long time and I've never heard a
5 seven thousand figure for retrofitting. The figures that I've
6 heard and perhaps we have information that the leadership
7 of the committee doesn't have and in that case, we'd be more
8 than anxious to receive your information.

9 MR. WILSON: Some of the estimates are one hundred
10 dollars per seat in a seventy-two passenger bus.

11 MR. CASPER: That's something we don't have, and
12 if you have it and could document that, we would really
13 appreciate it.

14 MR. WILSON: I'm reading from a previous report
15 but I'll try to find something and maybe we can mail it to
16 you.

17 MR. CASPER: We would really appreciate that.
18 You're right, it's really a very complex situation. It's
19 much more complicated than it is on the surface, and any-
20 thing you could provide for us would be much appreciated.

21 MR. WILSON: One of the problems in retrofitting
22 an old bus, you can't just bolt the seat belts to the floor.
23 There's got to be some structure underneath, and that could
24 be a major expense in trying to put a framework underneath
25 the bus in order to anchor the belts.

1 MR. CASPER: Thank you.

2 MR. WILSON: Thank you.

3 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I see you have another
4 member with you?

5 MR. WILSON: Yes, William Slotter.

6 MR. SLOTTER: Thank you Mr. Chairman and members
7 of the committee. I am not Rosemary, as you can well tell,
8 so you'll have to put up with me. I am William L. Slotter,
9 Chairman of the Transportation Committee of the Pennridge
10 School District. I am sorry, I do not have any testimony
11 prepared for you. However, if you wish I would put this
12 together and get this to your office.

13 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: We're taping it anyhow.

14 MR. SLOTTER: Unfortunately, this is going to be
15 a little disjointed. It will be somewhat repetitious because
16 I had the grand opportunity of putting all of this together
17 coming down here in the car.

18 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: While you were driving?

19 MR. SLOTTER: Fortunately no, I was not driving.

20 MR. WILSON: He did have a seat belt on.

21 MR. SLOTTER: Okay, let me continue. The cost
22 of equipping school buses with seat belts would place a
23 large burden on our already strained financial resources.
24 At least one major school bus manufacturer, and I understand
25 that this is the Thomas School Bus Company, has stated that if

1 school buses were to be equipped with seat belts, there
2 should be a maximum of two pupils per seat. Since many
3 buses transport three pupils per seat, this reduces capacity
4 and would make it necessary to purchase a significant number
5 of additional vehicles. An expenditure of this magnitude
6 should be made only if the need clearly exists.

7 The National Safety Council, each year, consistently
8 rates school buses the safest form of public transportation,
9 fourteen times safer than the family automobile.

10 Installing belts in buses would result in
11 additional purchase, operation, and maintenance expense. The
12 American Transportation Corporation estimates that the extra
13 cost per bus for seat belts would be between two thousand
14 and four thousand dollars depending upon the type of belt
15 and the number of seats involved. I think this has to be
16 a factor to be considered in your legislation as well.

17 Now, to bring forth what Mr. Wilson just stated.
18 Thomas Built Buses estimated that it would cost one hundred
19 dollars per passenger for a complete safety system including
20 the belt, stronger seat frame, and stronger anchorage
21 of the frame to the floor.

22 In a letter that our school district sent to Mr.
23 Kostmayer back in March, we stated that we are concerned
24 about the students but we also must be concerned about the
25 cost. We feel that the cost of installing seat belts is too

1 great for limited safety effects. It is reported that
2 manufacturers are contending that seat belts should be limited
3 to two per seat which means that our seventy-one/seventy-two
4 passenger seats would be limited to forty-eight. That would
5 mean we would have to purchase twenty additional buses,
6 a major budget problem for us. In this connection, I'd like
7 to ask, if seat belts are mandated by the legislature, will
8 the legislature be fiscally responsible to cover the cost
9 of:

10 1) Retrofitting the existing bus fleet?

11 2) Will they cover the cost of purchasing
12 additional buses required for an automatically-expanded fleet?

13 3) Will you cover the salaries of the additional
14 drivers required?

15 4) Will you also cover the increased cost of
16 insurance for a larger fleet?

17 5) Will you cover the additional cost of gasoline
18 and oil that will be required by a larger fleet?

19 6) Will you also cover the additional maintenance
20 cost of an increased fleet?

21 7) Will you cover the cost of additional mechanics
22 that would be required?

23 Looking into the future, additionally, will you
24 also cover the greatly increased capital cost for replacement
25 of an otherwise inflated fleet some time down the line, or,

1 as an alternative to all of this, will all of the above costs
2 be piled on top of the already over-burdened school budget
3 or force it on uninformed and unsuspecting tax payers?

4 That's the end of my testimony, gentlemen.

5 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Are there any
6 questions? Mr. Pitts?

7 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: No.

8 MR. CASPER: We're going to study the issue, and
9 we'll have to come up with some answers.

10 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I'd like to comment that
11 yesterday I listened to another testimony by another committee
12 on tax reform, and the representative from the School Board
13 Association said let us alone, let us do our own thing,
14 give us the tools to tax our people and we'll do it ourselves.
15 Thank you.

16 MR. SLOTTER: Thank you very much.

17 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Patricia Marks? No Patricia
18 Marks here from Palisades? We have Dr. Brister here now,
19 I believe. The Doctor is from the Pennsylvania Chapter of the
20 American Academy of Pediatrics.

21 DR. BRISTER: It seems I may be going against the
22 flow here with what I have to say. We thought this was an
23 important issue, that's why I've tried to take the time to
24 come and speak here today. To me, it seems as though there's
25 too many points in general in dealing with the subject.

1 I think they were addressed a few minutes previously. One
2 would be from the medical standpoint of injury, and two would
3 be from the standpoint of education.

4 Between the ages of one and fourteen, the leading
5 . causes of death in this country is in automobile accidents.
6 I've already heard that the school buses are the safest way
7 of transportation but given the statistic of being the number
8 one killer, it's much greater than everything else combined
9 as far as infectious diseases, congenital problems, etc.,
10 etc.. It would be impossible for me to be able to do this,
11 but I've told parents in the office that if we could, some-
12 how, get everybody to use their seat belts, and significantly
13 lower that cause of death - I could probably do more in that
14 regard that I could trying to make every diagnosis that I
15 could with every patient that comes in any day or any hospital
16 trip that I make. So, I think that anything as significant
17 as death, any decrease that we could make would be a major
18 step, and for that reason the American Academy of Pediatrics,
19 as well as most pediatricians, certainly is for seat belts.

20 The second point involves injuries which are going
21 to be much, much, more common than would be a fatality. Some
22 people have argued against the seat belts basically, we keep
23 hearing, because of cost. Our feeling would be that even
24 in a minor accident, the obligation certainly is to have
25 these children checked out in hospitals, emergency rooms,

1 etc., etc., so I think countering the cost of installing
2 seat belts is the cost of medical care which, as we unfort-
3 unately all know, is very expensive. Even if it's a slight
4 accident, anybody who is injured would probably be obligated
5 to be sent to someone in the medical field to try and have
6 them evaluated to make sure that the injuries are not that
7 serious. So, I think from the cost standpoint, that might
8 counteract the cost of installing the seat belts.

9 Moving on to the next factor, which we said was
10 education. To me, there is a touch of irony that we transport
11 kids in schools to become educated and at the same time,
12 let something as vital as seat belt education sort of slip
13 by. In teaching children in general, parents frequently ask
14 me about discipline and educating them. We try and teach
15 the standpoint of consistency. You always want to maintain
16 the same behavior in response to what they're doing so they
17 know what is the accepted principals. I think as far as the
18 seat belts, you have an excellent example in that respect.
19 We all take our three year olds, four year olds, five year
20 olds, and immediately put them in seat belts. Any kind
21 of parent that doesn't use a seat belt and they have a four,
22 five, six year old, will invariably hear, "Daddy, you're not
23 using your seat belt" and that sort of thing. So I think
24 that the education process starts early, and I think, being
25 a pediatrician, we have the advantage of getting the children

1 at a young age where we can install education into them. I
2 think that this problem mentioned, as far as eight year olds,
3 twelve year olds or other children who might be, let's say
4 rowdy and who wouldn't be using them - I think that if these
5 children were trained right from the "go" - seat belts, every
6 time you get in the car with mother and father, every single
7 day twice a day when you go to school, I think that this
8 educational process would have to sink in, and, at least in
9 our opinion, should help to make sure that the children would
10 use the seat belts and therefore hopefully decrease the number
11 of injuries.

12 They passed child restraint laws for children under
13 four - I'm not exactly sure, you might know better than I -
14 but from those laws and statistics there was a great increase
15 in children who were then wearing seat belts and the number
16 that was quoted to me was somewhere an increase from about
17 twenty-one percent up to about sixty percent once these laws
18 were passed and the parents realized the significance of
19 seat belting the children.

20 We've heard a lot of arguments against using seat
21 belts, and a couple of the papers that I had glimpsed at tried
22 to pick those arguments apart one by one. One of the things
23 that I had read somewhere was that as many as ten children
24 a year may die in the school bus from the accident itself,
25 and once again to our way of thinking, any fatality that could

1 be prevented, certainly we would want to try and do that.
2 With all of the statistics and all of the papers, it is
3 frequently difficult to try and separate what's the most
4 factual in there versus - let me just step back and say that
5 the statistics sort of can mean different things to different
6 people. One of the other studies, although it was a few
7 years ago, mentioned that at least in California they had one
8 large accident back in 1976 when about twenty-six children
9 died on a field trip. A couple of articles that I was
10 looking at stated that they did not include those fatalities
11 because it was on a field trip for whatever reason, as part
12 of transporting the children to and from their homes, and
13 to and from school.

14 As far as minimal injuries occurring, once again,
15 a bruise, a fracture, whatever, I think it's the old story
16 that when your child is involved, you're going to come home
17 from work upset that your child got injured because of not
18 having seat belts available to use.

19 As far as the arguments concerning weapons, although
20 I'm not obviously an expert on the design of the seat belts,
21 they are making much smaller and much more lightweight seat
22 belts from what I read, which they feel are a lot safer as
23 far as anybody using it as a weapon. There are two
24 small cities in New England, one I think is Greenberg, New
25 York, and the other in Hartland, Vermont - but in the

1 Greenberg, New York, study - they have been doing that for
2 nine years, they have mandated seat belts and they claim
3 that they have not had one reported incident of a child using
4 it as a weapon against somebody else.

5 The increase in cost, of course, is one of the big
6 issues we've heard before. The statistic that I have assumes
7 that the school buses would last about thirteen years. They
8 do use that figure of about a thousand dollars per school
9 bus. Dividing that over the lifespan of the bus, it comes
10 to about seventy-seven dollars per year per bus.

11 The other question was raised as far as who was
12 going to be responsible for having the children buckled in.
13 As I tried to explain before, at least in my own experience
14 with my own children and so many other people's children,
15 most children by the time they are three or four years old,
16 certainly five at the oldest, they get in the car and immed-
17 iately put their belts on. I don't think it would really
18 be a problem if a four year old could put his belt on.

19 As far as drivers possibly being worried that if an
20 accident occurs and they didn't enforce the rule that the seat
21 belts had to be used, although obviously I'm not a lawyer,
22 it would seem that if the bus driver had made some sort of
23 effort to try and have the children seat belted in, that he
24 or she wouldn't be totally responsible if the children didn't
25 do as they were instructed. At the same time, it seems as

1 though parents, teachers, principals, all should be involved
2 in making sure that their children are seat belted and as far
3 as the discipline goes, I think you have to treat not using
4 seat belts as a disciplinary problem in school just as you
5 would misbehavior in any other form and have the teachers and
6 principals available to deal with that in that respect.

7 The question of liability was also raised. Once
8 again, with the child restraint laws, my assumption would
9 be that you would be just as liable for an injury and not
10 having a seat belt present as you would having a seat belt
11 present and not using it. So, I don't think that should
12 really make a big difference.

13 The paper that I passed out was specifically from
14 the American Academy of Pediatrics. It's from the Council
15 on Child and Adolescent Health, and it's assumed from August
16 of '84 to January of '85. On page three, they made the
17 specific recommendations there as far as school buses in
18 general, but certainly the one that pertains to this
19 discussion - number three on page three - says that seat belts
20 should be required on all newly-manufactured school buses
21 regardless of their size and number of pupils transported.
22 Maybe it was presented, I don't know, but on the smaller
23 buses - sixteen passengers or less, they are mandated that
24 seat belts in the smaller ones - so I don't think it would
25 be too unreasonable to expect to have that on the larger

1 school buses.

2 The Academy of Pediatrics is, of course, nationwide.
3 I'm just a member of the Pennsylvania one, and that's why I'm
4 here today. A Dr. Joseph Zang did present similar testimony
5 to the Federal Subcommittee on Transportation. He's the
6 Director of the Adolescent Emergency Unit at the Children's
7 Medical College of Virginia, and he presented it in
8 Washington. His conclusion, along with the Committee's,
9 was, if I can quote it, "to urge the committee to request
10 the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to initiate
11 rule making or other programs to mandate seat belts in school
12 buses.. As individual members, we ask you to vote in favor
13 of measures such as that introduced by Representative
14 Kostmayer which would provide incentive grants to states to
15 adopt or enforce laws requiring the use of safety belts in
16 school buses."

17 I would say that pretty much concludes what I have
18 to say. If anybody has any questions that I might be able to
19 answer, I will try my best.

20 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: One argument against, and the
21 concern that we have heard about seat belts in school buses
22 is fire. This fall, not too long ago, a bus in a school
23 district in Chester County caught fire. There were twenty-
24 five students on the bus. Within five minutes, the bus was
25 completely engulfed in flames. Now, if they were all wearing

1 seat belts and you had twenty-five small children, how
2 would you address that concern?

3 DR. BRISTER: In one of the articles I read, the
4 number quoted for fires was one half of one percent of all
5 the total accidents. So, number one, that's a very small
6 number. Secondly, what I keep reading over and over, and I
7 think we have to apply it the same way for seat belts for
8 you or I, when we're in the car. Number one, it shouldn't
9 take that long to get the seat belts unbuckled, it would
10 probably only take a few seconds for most people to get it
11 unbuckled. Secondly, and it seems to be important as I keep
12 reading it over and over again - in fires - I'm not familiar
13 with this episode. Was there a major crash first?

14 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: No.

15 DR. BRISTER: It just sort of went up in flames?

16 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Well, suppose it rolled over
17 first and the child was hanging.

18 DR. BRISTER: In a seat belt you're more protected
19 and what I keep reading over and over again, the people who
20 are most apt to be able to do something are the ones that are
21 less injured. If you get in a major crash and you strike
22 your head and you're unconscious for five seconds or you're just
23 a little bit woozy, it's going to take that much longer
24 to have the coordination or the physical ability to open up
25 the seat belt to get out.

1 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Well in this case, within
2 thirty to forty-five seconds, all of the children had exited
3 the bus.

4 DR. BRISTER: Without having a seat belt?

5 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Without having a seat belt.

6 DR. BRISTER: Well, I can't prove how long it would
7 take for each child to unhook a seat belt, but in the same
8 way, if you get twenty-five kids out in forty-five seconds,
9 that's pretty quickly. But, if it were only a matter of a
10 few seconds per child, I think that would be only a small
11 number of seconds longer. That's the best I can say about
12 that.

13 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Thank you, Doctor. Are you
14 aware of any studies concerning internal injuries from the
15 use of lap belts by small children?

16 DR. BRISTER: Not specifically that would really
17 go against it. The issue of lap belts did come up, but it
18 did seem to state the same thing - that children can safely
19 wear a lap belt and children can easily apply the lap belt.
20 I've heard, unrelated, as far as improper usage of seat belts,
21 certainly the internal organs and the abdomen are always
22 prone to blunt trauma, but if applied across the hips
23 properly, the major bones in the hips should easily withstand
24 the force of the trauma. It's not going to be directed to
25 the liver, to the spleen, or to the other internal organs

1 that could then start hemorrhaging leading to the major
2 injuries that are seen with blunt trauma.

3 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: In your opinion, and I'm
4 talking about small children and not the child restraints
5 that cars have, but a lap belt and a buckle. Is that not
6 a danger to a very small child using that?

7 DR. BRISTER: Without having much of the specifics
8 written down saying "this study proves it", I don't think
9 that there should be any increased risk of internal injury
10 in a properly adjusted, properly fitted lap belt that would
11 go over the hips and not be applying much force at all to
12 the abdomen and to the internal organs.

13 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Thank you, Doctor.

14 DR. BRISTER: You're welcome.

15 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Any other questions?

16 MR. CASPER: The same thing I asked a previous
17 gentleman. I know there are reasons for this, but in the
18 recommendation that you had mentioned, number three on page
19 three, the word "newly" is underlined.

20 DR. BRISTER: Right.

21 MR. CASPER: This is a rationale for that, but what
22 I mentioned earlier, it seems to be a Catch 22 situation -
23 let's do it on all newly manufactured buses that frankly,
24 are pre-safe. The older buses that the students flew up in
25 the air and got killed on is an older bus, and you could have

1 a newer bus on that route and he might not have been killed
2 on the new bus, and to put belts on the newer buses to make
3 them safer than safe, and the old buses that are less than
4 safe, make them not as safe. I know Representative Wilson
5 mentioned that you have a ten year old school bus - that's
6 why I'm saying it's a Catch 22- the uniqueness of this sit-
7 uation. If an old bus, ten-twelve years old, and you're going
8 to make the gentlemen in the school districts pay all sorts
9 of retrofitting, and then in two years, it's gone - I think
10 when it was mentioned that it's more than just a surface
11 discussion, I think it's true. You have a real Catch 22
12 situation there and frankly, the committee members and the
13 members of the General Assembly really have something to
14 think about in terms of requiring new buses to have seat
15 belts and just retrofitting the old ones and not the new
16 ones, when they could already be scrapped. What a dilemma.

17 DR. BRISTER: It may be an old argument, but you
18 have to start somewhere with what you're going to do. While
19 maybe we can't protect everybody from now to whatever year
20 forward, if those older buses are going to be phased out
21 in one to four years...

22 MR. CASPER: In ten years they won't be there,
23 you're saying.

24 DR. BRISTER: Right. At least we'll have started
25 now and maybe we can't make major improvements within the

1 next three years, but that doesn't mean we shouldn't try to
2 make them for year four, five, ten, twenty, and so on and so
3 on.

4 MR. CASPER: Thank you.

5 DR. BRISTER: Thank you.

6 MR. ROMANO: Mr. Chairman, can we ask questions?

7 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: No, no thank you. If you
8 want to get him up the side there, go ahead. Thank you
9 very much. I don't want to do that because we could be here
10 debating this subject all day and all night, and we do have
11 many more to go here. Peggy Adams, Executive Director of
12 the Bucks County Consumer Protection Bureau.

13 MS. ADAMS: I'm Peggy Adams and I'm not the
14 Executive Director. I'm the Chief Sealer/Director of Consumer
15 Protection Weights and Measures. Recently I have been
16 studying the question of seat belts in school buses. I did
17 find that small buses and vans do have seat belts. I am
18 certain the idea has surfaced because of the recent enactment
19 and proposals of seat belt legislation in various states.

20 Since I have had an injury from an automobile
21 accident, and I did not have my belt fastened, I have more
22 than passing interest on this subject. I have also discussed
23 the idea with school bus drivers, children who ride on the
24 buses, and their parents. I have inquired as to federal
25 safety standards. Seat belts do seem appealing. No one wants

1 to hear of a school bus accident. But, they do occur for one
2 reason or another.

3 The first problem I found was that it would def-
4 initely limit the number of persons carried on the bus.
5 Currently, three students sit on one bench-like seat. Use
6 of seat belts or restraint belts would change that number
7 to two persons per seat unless the bus is used exclusively
8 for small children. If every child buckled up, I am certain
9 that would lower the number of discipline problems. However,
10 who would enforce the requirement that each child buckle his
11 or her seat or restraint belt? School bus drivers are often
12 caught in a Catch 22 situation that the school bus principal
13 does not always support the driver. What penalty would be
14 levied - a form of discipline or a fee?

15 The second problem is the expense to install these
16 belts as high as one hundred dollars per seat. Many schools
17 can barely afford the costs for the buses at this time. This
18 cost would probably add twenty-five hundred dollars to each
19 bus. If the buses are privately owned, leasing costs would
20 go higher.

21 The third problem is the two types of belts. A
22 restraining belt which is a lap belt consists of the belt
23 and the fastener and the anchors for the belt. The safety
24 belt includes a chest belt and anchorage overhead and to the
25 seat frame. I also know that a lap belt would more likely

1 cause head and neck injuries.

2 The National Safety Council has reported a decline
3 in school bus injuries. Closer scrutiny shows that many
4 school bus accidents have occurred at loading zones. Children
5 waiting to enter or who have just left school buses have been
6 killed or injured.

7 I might point out that this morning's Courier Times,
8 I don't know if anybody did this before I got here, had an
9 accident mentioned that a nine year old Bensalem Township boy
10 was hit as he was exiting a school bus.

11 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: This morning?

12 MS. ADAMS: Yes. However, it seemed that the car
13 that apparently came at the bus was out of control. The bus
14 did have on its flashing lights, and it was kind of at a
15 blind area at the bottom of a hill, so I'm not sure if any-
16 thing could have been done about that.

17 Cars illegally pass school buses as the buses are
18 unloading or discharging passengers. School bus drivers
19 have a problem noting license plates, often not getting the
20 entire number or not being able to read the number. Even
21 if they do find the correct number and motor vehicle, bus
22 drivers have generally not viewed the other driver and cannot
23 recognize the person in court. Thus, the person goes free.
24 In other instances, drivers don't really know or have for-
25 gotten the laws and regulations about school buses. Some

1 drivers pass buses just as red lights begin blinking and
2 others stop when not required to stop.

3 There are federal and state standards for school
4 buses. They have various signals and lights. The buses
5 are unique because they are a yellow color throughout the
6 country. Additional safety devices such as convex mirrors,
7 stop signs swinging out of the driver's side, stop arms,
8 etc. can be added. Instead of installation of safety belts
9 perhaps improved and continual training programs for bus
10 drivers and more strict inspection requirements should be
11 mandated.

12 Continual and increased education of students
13 who ride the buses must occur. They do not realize that the
14 bus driver must focus his or her attention on the road, not
15 on the students behavior which at times can cause a bus to
16 stop or swerve suddenly causing a dangerous and deadly
17 situation. Monitors or aides could be assigned to some
18 buses to reinforce student education as to discipline,
19 crossing at bus loading and discharge zones, etc.

20 School bus driver training should be reviewed.
21 Bus drivers should be monitored as to driving habits. I know
22 of several incidents where buses passed other vehicles at
23 illegal speed limits or were driving past the speed limits.
24 The school bus driver needs to be supported by parents and
25 school principals. Otherwise, safety becomes a mockery.

1 The public is in need of education and a public
2 safety awareness program. During my discussions I found that
3 many drivers are bewildered, unknowledgeable, unsure of the
4 laws. I don't mean school bus drivers.

5 Instead of advocating that all school buses have
6 seat belts, the legislature should look into the safety
7 aspects. No wonder the driver is bewildered. During the
8 school year, school buses use blinking loading lights when
9 loading and discharging students. During the summer when
10 loading and discharging day camp students, buses are not
11 using loading lights.

12 The law is not clear about buses stopping at
13 railroad crossings at any time, empty or full. Some districts
14 have guidelines stating that the full bus stops but the empty
15 bus does not or the bus never or always stops. I urge the
16 legislature to look into these areas for safer school bus
17 safety.

18 School districts should be sure to enforce strict
19 maintenance inspections. They should make an increased edu-
20 cational effort with all students on school bus safety and
21 increased emphasis as to driving safety. Parents and teachers
22 should be responsible to inform and educate the students
23 as to school bus safety. It is imperative that they realize
24 that unruly behavior may seem like fun but may have serious
25 ramifications.

1 I urge the legislature, Penn Dot, Parent-Teacher
2 Organizations and the media to educate everyone through a
3 school bus safety and awareness program about our
4 state laws. A strong educational program could probably
5 eliminate at least half of the deaths, injuries and accidents
6 that occur. Bucks County Department of Consumer Protection/
7 Weights and Measures will certainly assist in this endeavor.

8 Although I wish that everyone could have the right
9 to have a choice to buckle up on a school bus for safety,
10 I cannot advocate the large expenditures this will cost to
11 fit each school bus with a seat belt. However, it is more
12 cost efficient to mandate that all new school buses have seat
13 belts when manufactured. I could agree with this type of
14 legislation after there is a determination to what type of
15 belt should be on each seat.

16 Thank you for this opportunity.

17 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you, Peggy. I noted
18 on page three you had some recommendations for us, the
19 legislature. I would suggest you write and give us some
20 specifics as to what you'd like to see.

21 MS. ADAMS: Okay, I will. Thank you.

22 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Officer Lloyd Patton,
23 Middletown Police Department. Is Officer Patton here? Gene
24 Zimmerman? I saw Gene come in a minute ago. Pennsylvania
25 Bus Association, it says here. How have you been?

1 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Fine, how are you?

2 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Good.

3 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Mr. Chairman, committee members,
4 and staff my name is Gene Zimmerman and I am Executive
5 Director of the Pennsylvania Bus Association located in
6 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania Bus Association
7 is composed of school bus contractors and certificated
8 carriers and has for the past sixty-six years represented
9 the bus industry and those who rely upon bus transportation.
10 With me today is Mr. Franklin Levy to my left, owner and
11 operator of the Levy School Bus Company, Inc. in Trumbauers-
12 ville here in Bucks County. Levy Transportation has been in
13 continuous operation since 1927 and currently has a fleet
14 of over one hundred and forty vehicles under contract. They
15 serve the Upper Perkiomen School District, Quakertown School
16 District, New Hope-Solebury District, and Bucks County IU 22.
17 In all but the last of those - the testimony and the comments
18 I am going to give you are subscribed by the school districts
19 listed. My comments today represent the official position
20 of our association and Mr. Levy is here at the request of the
21 school bus segment of the Pennsylvania Bus Association to
22 answer questions you may have with respect to the technical
23 and operational implications of seat belt utilization in
24 school transportation vehicles.

25 Let me preface my further remarks by emphasizing

1 that the prevalent relationship between school districts
2 and the transportation contractors who serve them is for the
3 most part one of a cooperative partnership based upon the
4 priorities of safety, reliability, and economy. May I also
5 emphasize that the joint commitment to pupil safety far
6 overshadows any other consideration.

7 Some opponents of school bus seat belts may quote
8 the 1983 National Highway Traffic Safety Board when it
9 declined recommending school bus seat belt installations
10 noting it would "impose a financial burden on all school bus
11 purchasers regardless of whether they intend to install belts
12 in the buses. Under present standards districts that want
13 belts in their buses are free to order buses so equipped or
14 install them in vehicles they already own". It is difficult
15 for us in the Pennsylvania Bus Association to see any
16 validity in an argument which weighs lives of children against
17 cost figures for seat belt installations.

18 If, however, dollars to be spent for seat belts
19 were utilized in other areas of safety education and safety
20 technology then one begins to approach cost effectiveness in
21 terms of lives saved and devastating injuries avoided. On a
22 nationwide basis in the years of 1981-82 and '83, one hundred
23 and seventy-five children were killed in school bus accidents
24 but only thirty of that number were inside school buses. This
25 to us suggests that there are definite safety needs which

1 transcend the seat belt controversy, and to ignore those
2 causative factors and the possible remedies to those one
3 hundred and forty-five deaths constitutes a cruel injustice to
4 families of victims and to the thousands of Pennsylvania
5 children who depend upon us to provide safe transportation.

6 Proponents of school bus seat belting like to draw
7 a parallel between the family automobile and the school bus
8 under the assumption that what's desirable in the first
9 instance must also afford greater safety in the second. We
10 suggest to you that this may be both an erroneous and
11 dangerous rationale. No proof exists that seat belts promise
12 to save lives in school buses the way they are currently
13 constructed. To the contrary, tests done thus far tend to
14 indicate that the compartmentalization concept currently built
15 into school buses affords greater protection to the head,
16 neck, and lower chest than does seat belt utilization in
17 school buses as they are designed at the present time.

18 May I, with your indulgence, repeat the last seven
19 words of my previous sentence, "school buses as they are
20 currently designed". I do so because we feel that this
21 phrase constitutes the crux of the issue before you at this
22 time. Today's school bus is the culmination of formal school
23 bus crash-worthiness and seat belt testing in 1966. Publicity
24 and the need to fund more extensive research and testing
25 succeeded in involving the then newly established U.S.

1 Department of Transportation in standards for the construction
2 and operation of school buses. Early tests revealed that seat
3 structures were the greatest contributors to passenger
4 injuries. Upon impact, unbelted passengers were thrown into
5 unpadded iron seat rails or stanchions. On the other hand,
6 impact forces tended to jackknife belted passengers, causing
7 serious head and facial injuries by contact with the unpadded
8 seat rails immediately in front of each seated position.
9 Testing engineers determined that at least forty inches of
10 unobstructed space immediately in front of each seated
11 position was necessary to avoid this type of injury to
12 passengers wearing belts - not a very practical solution
13 since it would more than double the number of buses needed
14 to provide the same amount of transportation.

15 Statistical data for the period 1976-1985 offers
16 dramatic evidence that the compartmentalization concept has
17 produced the safest mode of pupil transportation available
18 thus far. To equate it with the family automobile wherein
19 seat belts are proven safety devices is to incorrectly assume
20 that both the structural and safety engineering for the two
21 vehicles is highly compatible. We would caution that such an
22 assumption is gravely in error and at best regulates pupil
23 transportation to a high hazard guessing game.

24 In conclusion we want to note that none of our
25 members of the schools that I am representing today have a

1 closed mind upon the subject of safe transportation. Nor
2 would we say that further evolution of today's school bus is
3 impossible. Perhaps the ultimate conveyance is one of in-
4 corporating seat belts and shoulder straps. If so, that
5 vehicle will be of a vastly different design and configur-
6 ation than what is today state-of-the-art.

7 We would suggest that this committee consider
8 endorsement of exhaustive studies of the question based upon
9 comprehensive and expert engineering guidelines. Belting
10 students in a compartment could very well be a step backward
11 resulting in higher mortality and more devastating injury to
12 a commodity all of us want to protect and preserve.

13 It is with the utmost sincerity that the Pennsyl-
14 vania Bus Association enlists your support for implementing
15 research toward the end of achieving the ultimate in pupil
16 transportation safety. We have no desire whatsoever in doing
17 so as a delaying tactic. You have at your disposal the means
18 whereby the interest of Pennsylvania children can best be
19 served. If a total redesign of the school bus is what is
20 required to afford maximum safety then as legislators,
21 educators, contractors, school boards and communities, all
22 of us should pursue that end with a commitment to meet the
23 monetary outlay which such studies dictate.

24 I'd like to thank you for the opportunity of
25 presenting these remarks and offer Mr. Levy and myself if

1 there are any questions from the members of the committee.

2 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you. Any questions?
3 Thank you. Larry Brown, President of School Bus Parts
4 Company, Plumsteadville.

5 MR. BROWN: My name is Larry Brown, I am the
6 President of School Bus Parts Company in Plumsteadville, Bucks
7 County, Pennsylvania. We are the largest distributor and
8 manufacturer of school bus replacement parts and safety
9 equipment in the United States and Canada. I will start by
10 saying that we would make a very large amount of money if
11 seat belts were made mandatory on school buses. We currently
12 manufacture safety harnesses for transporting handicapped
13 students and we could turn out large quantities of seat belts
14 within hours.

15 However, we do not believe that seat belts on
16 the buses as presently designed and staffed would make any
17 sense. Originally lap type seat belts, the type proposed
18 for school buses, were installed in cars to prevent passengers
19 from being thrown out of the vehicles in the event of an
20 accident. Cars have many doors and windows compared to school
21 buses which typically have a front and rear exit only. The
22 lap type belt was not effective in preventing the head and
23 upper body from pivoting forward, so that they were soon
24 replaced by the current type belt with a shoulder strap. This
25 type does work effectively but cannot be installed properly

1 in the current type of school bus with windows at shoulder
2 level.

3 Seating and bus interiors on all buses manufactured
4 since April of 1977 must meet very rigid Federal standards
5 as to seat construction and interior design. These standards
6 have served to give the students a much safer interior but
7 also introduced the concept of compartmentalization. This
8 concept envisions students being largely confined in a well
9 padded, reasonably protected area in the event of an accident.
10 If seat belts were installed in conjunction with this concept
11 it provides several problems.

12 First, the belt will serve as a fulcrum with the
13 head and upper body taking most of the thrust. A very, very
14 dangerous situation as shown in both the Transport Canada
15 and Thomas Bus Company test results conducted by Calspan
16 Testing Labs in Buffalo, New York. Secondly, the driver in
17 many cases will not be able to see the students so it would
18 be impractical for him to determine whether the belts are
19 being used or not. This would probably necessitate a matron
20 seated in the back of the bus to determine whether the belts
21 are being properly used and to maintain order in the bus.

22 Another problem is that the same bus that on the
23 first run every morning picks up forty-eight high school
24 students seated two per seat, then makes several other runs
25 with seventy-two junior high school or elementary school

1 students seated three per seat. This requires a readjust-
2 ment of the seat belt every time it is used. Also because
3 of the constant usage they become covered with grime and dirt
4 making them a not very appealing attraction.

5 Anyone who has spent much time on a bus knows that
6 reality is very different from theory. Kids get on the bus
7 full of energy and their behavior is a major problem for the
8 driver. Kids throw items, trip their friends, cut the seats
9 and do almost anything and everything in the course of a bus
10 trip. A seat belt only becomes another item available to
11 them for horseplay. They would buckle them across the aisle,
12 hold their friends in with them and swing them as weapons.

13 The shame is that the great majority of accidents
14 happen outside the bus, usually during loading and unloading
15 times. The driver in a conventional school bus cannot see
16 closer than fifteen to twenty feet from the front of the bus
17 without the aid of mirrors and must rely totally on these
18 mirrors, which frequently get out of adjustment, or on a
19 count that he takes visually of the students waiting at a
20 loading zone making sure that the same number enters the bus
21 or that all those leaving the bus are accounted for. Front
22 and side visibility are major problems and the possibility
23 that the driver will be distracted from giving his full
24 attention to this leaves me greatly concerned. The money
25 being considered could be better spent on proper training and

1 items such as crossing arms that mount on the front bumper
2 and require that kids pass considerably in front of the bus
3 before crossing the street.

4 One of my greatest concerns is what happens in the
5 event of a bad accident. In frontal accidents the driver is
6 the most vulnerable and in the event that he is seriously
7 injured and the bus turns on its side or upside down, the gas
8 tank could be punctured. Who would evacuate the seventy-two
9 panicked children - twelve or thirteen rows of them - from
10 the endangered bus.

11 Another problem arises from the predisposition of
12 our society to be a litigating society. Once seat belts were
13 installed in buses, if a child was hurt through a vehicular
14 accident and the seat belt was not used, the operator,
15 whether a private company or school district, would likely
16 be sued. Being that the school bus transportation system
17 is a stepchild of the educational system, it is unlikely
18 that matrons would be employed to insure usage of belts and
19 therefore this plus claims for damages arising from the use
20 of belts in horseplay would increase the already unbearable
21 cost of insurance. One of the insurance companies has already
22 released a study showing this.

23 The other thing lacking is any standard for seat
24 belt installation. Some seats have four legs and others
25 have two legs with one end bolted to the exterior wall. Some

1 seats are screwed into the floor while others are bolted
2 through the floor with a nut, bolt, and washer. The floors
3 themselves vary as some have plywood over steel construction,
4 while others are just steel. In many cases floors would have
5 to be reinforced to take the additional thrust.

6 I think that the concept of belts in buses is not
7 right at the present time. It would create more problems
8 than it would solve. Even the advocates who started by
9 advocating safety through seat belts have now largely shifted
10 to calling seat belts an extension of the process of educating
11 children to use them in passenger cars.

12 Few people would profit from seat belts as we would,
13 but in good conscience they are the wrong product at the
14 wrong time. As the design of buses evolves and if more money
15 were made available for supervision within buses, maybe then
16 they would make sense.

17 I wanted, if I have a couple minutes, to make a
18 couple of observations. Peter Kostmayer cited the accident
19 in New York. Immediately after the accident, the child's
20 parents were interviewed and they said that seat belts would
21 not have helped in any case. It was a bus that was built
22 before '77 and did not have the padding that is required now.

23 A couple of other things. With all of the talk
24 in the industry of seat belts in school buses, nothing has
25 been done in most states in eliminating standees. Most states

1 still permit kids to stand in the aisles, so seat belts don't
2 make much sense if you have kids standing.

3 Another thing we found - we do business with most
4 of the sixty districts that were cited as having installed
5 buses. If people in the industry, different from us, go
6 to the people to ask them how they are doing with seat belts,
7 generally the people are very afraid to testify or to say
8 anything because they can lose their employment. We're in a
9 different situation. We sell them parts day-in and day-out
10 and the things we've heard off the record or after the initial
11 time of putting them on and really staying with it, it's
12 dropped off considerably. It really hasn't been all that
13 effective. That's all I have.

14 REPRESENTATIVE PITTS: Mr. Brown, you mentioned
15 an insurance study showing increased costs. Do you know what
16 insurance company that was?

17 MR. BROWN: I believe it was Hartford Insurance
18 Company. I can get you a copy of that. I'd be happy to.
19 That was within the last three months, I believe.

20 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Mr. Brown, you've talked
21 about - and we've heard today, we're starting to get this
22 down to some kind of consensus from all of this testimony -
23 the driver being most prone to injury. Do you see the school
24 buses making seat belt available or a harness available for
25 him or her?

1 MR. BROWN: He does have a seat belt.

2 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: They're not mandated to wear
3 them?

4 MR. BROWN: Yes, they are.

5 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: But do they?

6 MR. BROWN: Yes, they do. The problem is that he
7 is in a position in a bus...

8 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Then it's not doing much
9 good. If he's so prone to injury...

10 MR. BROWN: Well, he's the closest one. He's not
11 in a padded area. He has all of the instruments - he has
12 the steering wheel in front of him. He is also in the corner
13 of the bus where the body and the chassis meet, and he is
14 very vulnerable because the body sticks out a little bit -
15 there is an indentation there and it usually is a frontal
16 accident. In the great majority of accidents of the school
17 bus, it's frontal, and he's the one who's going to take the
18 great thrust. He's the one who's going to get an incoming
19 car from an opposite lane.

20 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: Thank you.

21 MR. BROWN: Any other questions?

22 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: I believe that's all we
23 have.

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

25 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: This is Joyce Dierks.

1 Chairperson, Bucks County School Directors' Legislative
2 Council.

3 MRS. DIERKS: Good afternoon and thank you for the
4 opportunity to present testimony on behalf of the Bucks County
5 School Directors' Legislative Council regarding legislation
6 requiring seat belts in school buses, specifically House Bill
7 397, 432, and 928.

8 My name is Joyce B. Dierks and I am Chairperson
9 of the Council. I also am a member of the Neshaminy School
10 Board and I'm the Region 11 Director of Pennsylvania School
11 Boards Association which encompasses Bucks, Chester, Delaware
12 and Montgomery Counties. I am testifying here today on behalf
13 of the Bucks County Legislative Council.

14 Our Council members commend the House Transportation
15 Committee for conducting this hearing, and we hope that you
16 will conduct many more public hearings across the Commonwealth
17 before final consideration of mandatory school bus seat belt
18 legislation. The proposed legislation has created a great
19 deal of concern among school board members and school admin-
20 istrators, much of it is based upon incomplete and contro-
21 versial research facts.

22 Let me hasten to add, however, that regardless of
23 the response, all of us are committed to provide a safe envir-
24 onment for students coming and going to school as well as
25 in school itself.

1 However, a review of current literature reveals
2 contradictory reports and evidence which have resulted in
3 raising many more questions than providing answers. Our
4 Council has not arrived at an opinion regarding seat belts
5 since the monthly Council meeting had occurred prior to the
6 invitation to testify. Therefore, we would like to utilize
7 our time today to raise questions which we believe should be
8 answered satisfactorily before any legislation mandating
9 seat belts on school buses is acted upon.

10 Because of the limited research on this topic, and
11 I think we have heard it over and over again today, I am sure
12 you will have heard these same questions.

13 First, how safe are children on today's school
14 buses? According to the National Safety Council, there are
15 three hundred and forty thousand school transportation
16 vehicles in the United States which transported daily twenty-
17 two million, one hundred thousand students - a total of
18 three point five billion miles during the 1983-84 school
19 year. During that school year, ten student passengers were
20 killed in bus accidents nationwide.

21 Based on deaths per one hundred million miles, the
22 National Safety Council has concluded that school buses are
23 twice as safe as transit buses, four times as safe as trains,
24 five times safer than scheduled airlines, and fifty-three
25 times safer than passenger cars. The school bus safety record

1 is unmatched.

2 Here in Pennsylvania, there have been no school
3 bus student passenger fatalities for over ten years. In
4 Bucks County, our eight hundred and fifty-four vehicles
5 transport eighty thousand, two hundred and sixteen public and
6 nonpublic students seventy thousand, five hundred and eighty-
7 nine miles every day or over twelve million miles each school
8 year.

9 Second, would seat belts prevent injuries in school
10 bus accidents? There is very little research available to
11 answer this question. Most persons in favor of seat belts
12 draw the analogy with car seat belt safety. In cars, which
13 are constructed differently, seat belts make a difference.
14 But buses have larger bumpers, are heavier, and are encased
15 in a metal rib-like cage. The only actual test of school
16 bus seat belts was conducted in 1985 by the Canadian govern-
17 ment which you've heard about before, using the dummies, not
18 the rollover effect.

19 In 1977, the National Highway Traffic Safety Admin-
20 istration issued standards which required school buses to have
21 high and strong seats and seat backs, seat back padding, and
22 seat spacing to reduce the chance of occupants being thrown
23 over the seat in front. Known as compartmentalization, there
24 was a dramatic decline in school bus deaths after the
25 standards were issued.

1 Which is better - compartmentalization which cradles
2 a child's whole body, or seat belts which restrain? Is com-
3 partmentalization effective in side crashes or rollovers?
4 Can seat belts actually cause injuries because of the variety
5 of body sizes which must be accommodated, and would they
6 restrain the waists of children allowing the heads and chests
7 to strike the seat in front causing more spinal, head, and
8 neck injuries? Can smaller students get out of seat belts
9 in case of a catastrophic accident such as fire or would
10 students panic and be trapped by seat belts?

11 Third, how can we insure that students will wear
12 seat belts? Here again the evidence is not clear. In the
13 very limited number of school districts which have seat belts
14 on buses, use is estimated at eighty percent. Proposed legis-
15 lation would provide for fines of drivers who operate buses
16 without students being buckled. How could drivers maintain
17 control of vehicles and still make sure children are buckled
18 in? In urban areas where traffic is already congested, would
19 long delays at bus stops - while some students play games -
20 further congest our highways and delay commuters going to
21 work? Would bus route time have to be lengthened resulting
22 in increased driver costs? Would monitors be required?

23 Eight years ago, a California study estimated that
24 it would cost forty-five million dollars annually to put
25 monitors on California school buses. How much would monitors

1 cost in Pennsylvania?

2 And if the student does not buckle up or releases
3 buckles enroute, who is legally responsible if there is an
4 accident and the unbuckled child is injured or killed? The
5 driver? The school board? The Administration - or all of
6 the above?

7 Fourth, would older buses have to be retrofitted?
8 Proposed legislation requires all school buses to be seat
9 belt equipped. While this is not a great problem on new
10 buses, buses built prior to 1977 would cost as much as three
11 thousand dollars per bus to retrofit. The National Highway
12 Transportation Administration indicates that older buses may
13 not have seats well anchored to the floor and many do not have
14 seat padding to cover metal seat frames. Also seat construct-
15 ion may be inadequate to withstand forces generated by seat
16 belts and would collapse with pupils belted in. No school
17 bus manufacturer is willing to retrofit pre-1977 buses
18 primarily because bus floor strength has been deteriorated
19 by weather conditions and could not withstand the force of
20 belted passengers in a crash situation.

21 Fifth, who would pay for seat belts, retrofitting,
22 and monitors if required? As callous as it might sound,
23 school directors must look at cost benefit ratios. We have
24 a dual responsibility - one is to provide education programs
25 for children and second, to be accountable to taxpayers to

1 make sure that taxpayers are getting the most for their tax
2 dollar.

3 Seat belts cost about six dollars and twenty-five
4 cents plus installation and about sixteen dollars per seat
5 on new buses. Some estimates project one thousand dollars per
6 school bus, others three thousand dollars. Pennsylvania has
7 nineteen thousand, five hundred school vehicles and using
8 a two thousand dollar figure, initial seat belt installation
9 could cost almost forty million dollars, plus monitors, plus
10 legal fees if unbuckled students are injured.

11 Statistics indicate that most school bus related
12 injuries and fatalities occur outside the school bus. In
13 fact, we just had one here in Bensalem yesterday morning.
14 Between 1974 and 1983, there were twenty-eight student trans-
15 portation related fatalities in Pennsylvania. Twenty-six of
16 the deaths occurred as students were being discharged - either
17 killed by the bus or another driver. Only one death occurred
18 to a passenger in a school bus. The other death occurred
19 while the student was a passenger in a van. Would it be more
20 cost affective to provide better bus driver safety courses,
21 equipment which would help drivers observe the road more
22 clearly, better compartmentalization, and a program to enforce
23 motorist compliance with regulations for stopped school buses?

24 Sixth, will teaching kids to buckle up on buses
25 get them to buckle up more in the car? There is no evidence

1 to either refute or support this proposition. However, if
2 buckling up in the car is the desired result, might the legis-
3 lature be more effective by mandating seat belts be buckled
4 in all passenger cars?

5 By now I think you can see why we expressed apprec-
6 iation for this opportunity to air only some of many quest-
7 ions our Council members have regarding this proposed contro-
8 versial legislation.

9 In addition to the questions we have posed today,
10 we also must be concerned about the types of nuts and bolts
11 issues with which those on the "firing line" have to deal.
12 Recently, we acquired a list of such questions which you may
13 or may not have seen before. I'd like to close with these
14 questions because they provide an excellent summary of both
15 school director and school administrator concerns.

16 1) What is the best way to anchor the seat belts
17 to the seats?

18 2) How many anchors should be installed in each
19 seat?

20 3) What buckle is best for children, the button
21 or lever release?

22 4) How long is the belt life or what is the
23 replacement period?

24 5) Are buckles designed to eliminate tiny fingers
25 from being caught?

- 1 6) Are belts designed for easy cleaning and
2 removal?
- 3 7) Should pre-April 1, 1977, buses be retrofitted
4 for belts?
- 5 8) What evidence exists to indicate that a child
6 would not be injured when his upper body pivots above the
7 belt and strikes the seat ahead?
- 8 9) What is the cost benefit ratio for adding seat
9 belts?
- 10 10) How can the driver be assured all belts are
11 fastened properly?
- 12 11) What policy should be followed concerning man-
13 datory use of belts?
- 14 12) What can be done about vandalism which makes
15 belts unusable?
- 16 13) What is the potential for using the buckle
17 as a weapon?
- 18 14) Which belts should two high school students use
19 in a seat equipped with three belts?
- 20 15) In the event of fire, what effect would seat
21 belts have in creating panic among small children?
- 22 16) In the event of a rollover accident, how
23 serious are the hazards to children hanging upside down from
24 their belts?
- 25 17) Under what circumstances are adult monitors

1 necessary to see that seat belts are used properly?

2 18) What issues regarding liability have been iden-
3 tified?

4 19) What is the cost of insuring a school bus
5 equipped with seat belts for pupil passengers? Which
6 companies offer such insurance?

7 20) Because of the seat spacing, passengers cannot
8 climb over each other and fastened seat belts would not permit
9 a seated passenger to slide to the outboard seat. How much
10 disruption and delay would this cause during the loading
11 operation? What potential would this delay have for
12 accidents?

13 21) What cautions does the medical profession
14 mention with respect to possible injuries to K to fourth
15 grade children due to improperly fastened seat belts?

16 22) Does horseplay with seat belts provide any
17 opportunities for possible injury to pupil passengers?

18 23) What evidence exists that the public, the
19 school bus drivers, the State Secretary of Transportation
20 would support the requirement for seat belts?

21 I don't expect answers to these questions today,
22 but we certainly hope that you will take a look at these
23 issues before mandating seat belts. Thank you for your time.

24 REPRESENTATIVE WILSON: How about if we just mandate
25 rearward facing seats on all new buses?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the testimony taken by tape recording by me upon the foregoing matter on Thursday, January 16, 1986, and that this is a correct transcript of same.


Sue C. Knorr, Reporter

Date: January 27, 1986