The Senate met at 11 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

The PRESIDENT (Lieutenant Governor John K. Fetterman) in the Chair.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Reverend ERIC H. CARSWELL, Pastor of Bryn Athyn Church, Bryn Athyn, offered the following prayer:

I invite you to bow your heads.

God, You call us to live lives of service to others. Help us to see how, on the simplest level, this means individual human beings. Even more important is serving the welfare of a community. Still a higher level of our neighbor that this body is dedicated to serving are the communities and individuals that make up Pennsylvania. God, even here You call us to realize that we are part of the larger collective of communities and individuals of the United States and, still larger, all of the countries of this world. Help us to be guided to do what is immediately in front of us with a realization of how it serves others.

God, You know that next week we will be celebrating Independence Day. On that day, we can reflect on the freedom and opportunities that this country provides for so many. We know that true freedom is not the ability to do whatever seems pleasurable at the moment. If any country is going to remain strong, healthy, and useful, the people living in it need to recognize the value of personal commitment, acting better than we sometimes feel like, or can get away with, and an understanding of how we, as a greater community, are to cooperate with Your divine purposes. Our job as patriots is to be an influence for good and the places and roles within this country that we have. Through our efforts to follow You, our God, or higher authority, we can grow in understanding of what is genuinely true, good, and useful. God, I ask You to bless this Senate and its staff as they work to serve the people of this State. Amen.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair thanks Pastor Carswell, who is the guest today of Senator Collett.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by those assembled.)
The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

**YEA-50**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarmati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholc</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAY-0**

A majority of the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

The PRESIDENT. The Journals are approved.

**LEGISLATIVE LEAVE CANCELLED**

The PRESIDENT. Senator Dinniman has returned, and his temporary Capitol leave is cancelled.

**LEGISLATIVE LEAVE**

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Costa.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, I request a temporary Capitol leave for Senator Leach.

The PRESIDENT. Senator Costa requests a temporary Capitol leave for Senator Leach. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

**GUEST OF SENATOR MARIA COLLETT PRESENTED TO THE SENATE**

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Montgomery, Senator Collett.

Senator COLLETT. Mr. President, I rise today to extend thanks to my constituent, Pastor Eric Carswell of Bryn Athyn Church, for serving as our guest Chaplain today and offering the opening prayer for Session. Pastor Carswell had an appointment that he had to get back to the district for, but I was really honored to have him here to open our Session today.

The community of Bryn Athyn was founded in the late 1800s by members of a Christian denomination known as the New Church, or the Swedenborgians. Bryn Athyn is known for its architectural wonders, as well as its faith. The Bryn Athyn Church has been a staple of the Bryn Athyn community for more than 100 years, emphasizing that happiness comes when God inspires our daily work and service to others, while also providing a framework for ongoing spiritual growth. I deeply appreciate the work they have done and continue to do in my district, and I am honored to have had Pastor Carswell here to do our opening prayer. Thank you.

The PRESIDENT. Thank you, Pastor Carswell.

(Applause.)

**SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**SENATE RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

Senators MASTRIANO, ARGALL, BAKER, BROWNE, MENSCH, PHILLIPS-HILL, J. WARD, FOLMER, MARTIN, AUMENT, IOVINO and YUDICHAK, by unanimous consent, offered Senate Resolution No. 189, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of June 2019 as "Lithuanian Partnership Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the Senate adopt the resolution?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Senator Mastroianno.

Senator MASTRIANO. Mr. President, it is my distinct honor and pleasure to rise today, together with Senator Argall, Senator Baker, Senator Browne, Senator Mensch, and Senator Phillips-Hill, to offer this resolution designating June as "Lithuanian Partnership Month" in Pennsylvania. The ties between Lithuania and Pennsylvania are extensive and deep. Tens of thousands of Lithuanians immigrated to Pennsylvania in the 1800s and 1900s, about 80,000 of whom still reside in our Commonwealth. Additionally, Pennsylvania was designated as a partner state of Lithuania a few years after the end of the Cold War. This year we are celebrating 26 years of excellent partnership with the Pennsylvania National Guard and the Lithuanian Armed Forces. This has resulted in thousands of our servicemen and women training with Lithuanian soldiers here in Pennsylvania and, likewise, deploying and training in Lithuania. Our National Guard affiliation with Lithuania is one of the most successful partnerships in the nation. More importantly, Pennsylvania and Lithuanian soldiers have fought side-by-side in wars around the world, including our missions in Afghanistan and Iraq.

Although Lithuania enjoys peace and prosperity today, most of the past century was unimaginably painful and tragic for the Lithuanian people. This suffering occurred after it was invaded and occupied by the Moscow-led Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, or USSR, in 1940. The brutal leader Stalin came up with a nefarious plan to destroy the ethnic identity of most of the nations that it occupied, and Lithuania personifies this. Anyone perceived as a threat under Soviet rule was executed, imprisoned, or deported to Siberia. The first of these atrocities occurred in June 1941, with the forced deportation of 30,000 Lithuanians by the Soviets to Siberia. This deportation included national leaders, Roman Catholic priests, business and educational leaders, and families of anyone perceived as a threat to Soviet socialism. The crimes that could result in one's deportation was simply not agreeing with the government's view on economics, culture, or religion. There were 34 mass expulsions carried out in 1941, and then later between 1945 and 1952. In total, some 300,000 Lithuanians were deported, 70 percent of whom were women and children. Many of these deported victims of Soviet socialism died in Siberia due to the terrible weather conditions, starvation, and the hard labor they were forced to do.

Meanwhile, in the Baltic countries, the Soviets eliminated all freedoms. No longer were Christians permitted to openly follow the precepts of their faith, and all religious and personal freedoms were removed from the land. An intrusive, oppressive government arrested any Lithuanian who did not subscribe to the
the liberation of Aachen, one of the bloodiest battles that we had in Germany, and liberated that city. He fought through Dusseldorf, liberated Buchenwald, that awful concentration camp where the Nazis killed more than 50,000 Jews and others. He helped the survivors to recover. He pressed on and spear-headed the attack to the Elbe River, linking up with the Russians. With him is his granddaughter, Kim.

Finally, Mr. President, I introduce Christy Lucas, who works in partnership with Gumpy and runs a nonprofit charitable organization that helps our heroes in my district and in York County, and it is called "Roots for Boots." She is a great hero for us. Please join me in giving my guests a warm Senate welcome.

The PRESIDENT. Would the guests of Senator Mastriano please rise to receive the Senate's usual warm welcome.

(Applause.)

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate adopt the resolution?
A voice vote having been taken, the question was determined in the affirmative.

GUEST OF SENATOR RYAN AUIMENT PRESENTED TO THE SENATE

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Senator Aument.

Senator AUIMENT. Mr. President, it is my pleasure to introduce my summer intern, Jordyn Ney, who is a recent graduate of Lancaster Catholic High School. Jordyn is passionate about civic engagement and civil debate of controversial political issues. She founded Lancaster Catholic High School's chapter of the debate club and was a member of the mock trial team for 4 years, where she earned the titles of best attorney and best witness. Jordyn was also heavily involved in student life and extracurricular activities in high school, including serving on student council, participating in Link Crew, forensics club, the Alpine Sports Club, competing on the varsity track and field team, and much more. In her free time, Jordyn enjoys giving back to her community through volunteer organizations such as the Diocese of Harrisburg Camp Kirchenwald for adults with special needs. In the fall, Jordyn will attend Dickinson College in Carlisle, Pennsylvania, where she plans to double major in law and policy and economics. We are thrilled to have Jordyn in our office this summer. She is an incredibly bright young lady, and her passion for public service is evident. Please join me in welcoming Jordyn to the Pennsylvania Senate.

The PRESIDENT. Would the guest of Senator Aument please rise to be welcomed by the Senate.

(Applause.)

LEGISLATIVE LEAVES CANCELLED

The PRESIDENT. The Senate Lengerholce and Senator Martin have returned, and their temporary Capitol leaves are cancelled.

CALENDAR

BILLS OUT OF ORDER

Without objection, the following bills on today's Calendar were called out of order by Senator CORMAN, as Special Orders of Business.
THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

BILL REREFERRED

HB 262 (Pr. No. 233) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:


Upon motion of Senator CORMAN, and agreed to by voice vote, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION AND REREFERRED

HB 716 (Pr. No. 2007) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry, its departmental administrative and advisory boards and departmental administrative officers, providing for joint task force on misclassification of employees.

Considered the second time and agreed to.

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

Upon motion of Senator CORMAN, and agreed to by voice vote, the bill just considered was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate for the purpose of a Republican caucus to be held in the Majority Caucus Room beginning at 12 p.m.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Costa.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, Senate Democrats will meet in the rear of the Chamber for a caucus immediately.

The PRESIDENT. For purposes of Republican and Democratic caucuses to be held in their respective caucus rooms, without objection, the Senate stands in recess.

AFTER RECESS

The PRESIDENT. The time of recess having expired, the Senate will come to order.

LEGISLATIVE LEAVES CANCELLED

The PRESIDENT. Senator Baker, Senator Farnese, Senator Leach, and Senator Bartolotta have returned, and their respective leaves are cancelled.

HOUSE MESSAGES

SENATE BILLS RETURNED WITH AMENDMENTS

The Clerk of the House of Representatives returned to the Senate SB 478, SB 634, SB 661, SB 700 and SB 724 with the information the House has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

The PRESIDENT. Pursuant to Senate Rule 13(c)(2)(j), the bills will be referred to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations.

HOUSE CONCURS IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL

The Clerk of the House of Representatives informed the Senate that the House has concurred in amendments made by the Senate to HB 65.

HOUSE CONCURS IN SENATE BILLS

The Clerk of the House of Representatives returned to the Senate SB 235, SB 236, SB 237, SB 238, SB 239, SB 240, SB 241, SB 242, SB 243, SB 298 and SB 321 with the information the House has passed the same without amendments.

CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 117 (Pr. No. 1034) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 3016 (Bedford Street) over Solomon Run in the City of Johnstown, Cambria County, as the Seaman Apprentice Kenneth D. Scaife Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Peg Run Road, Pennsylvania Route 240, over the West Branch of the Susquehanna River, Susquehanna Township, Cambria County, as the United States Army Sergeant Scott O. Henry Memorial Bridge; designating a portion of U.S. Route 220 in Sullivan County from the intersection with Pennsylvania Route 87 to the Bradford County line as the T.W. "Doc" Shoemaker Memorial Highway; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 14 over Fall Brook, Troy Borough, Bradford County, as the Troy Area Veterans Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 305 over Shaver's Creek in Barree Township, Huntingdon County, as the Private Harold E. "Jim" Knodle Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 453 over the Little Juniata River, Tyrone Township, Blair County, as the Robert E. Gensimore Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 899 over the Clarion River connecting Barnett Township, Jefferson County, and Barnett Township, Forest County, as the PFC Patrick T. Cassatt Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Route 4018 over the Little Mahoning Creek, South Mahoning Township, Indiana County, as the SP4 Franklin Delano Meyer Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge identified as Bridge Key 54683 on that portion of State Route 307 (Kushiqua Avenue) over the Kinzua Creek in Kushiqua, Hamlin Township, McKean County, as the Vietnam Veterans Memorial Bridge; designating the bridge identified as Bridge Key 178798 on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 540 over the Juniata River in Mount Union Borough, Huntingdon County, as the Captain Joseph S. Giacobello Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of
State Route 2016 over the Casselman River in Rockwood Borough, Somerset County, as the PFC Alton Glenn Sterner Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 96 over the Little Wills Creek, Bedford County, as the Staff Sgt. Roger (Rod) Guy Holler Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 117?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 117.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50
Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastrianto Tartaglione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gordner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Phillips-Hill Ward, Judy
Brewster Hughes Pittman Ward, Kim
Brooks Hutchinson Regan Williams, Anthony H
Browne Iovino Sabatina Williams, Lindsey
Collett Kearney Santarsiero Yaw
Corman Killion Scarnati Yudichak
Costa Langerholc Scavello
Dinniman Laughlin Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 127 (Pr. No. 961) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in 911 emergency communication services, further providing for definitions, for telecommunications management, for counties, for fund, for telephone records, for inventory and for termination of chapter.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 127?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 127.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50
Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastrianto Tartaglione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gordner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Phillips-Hill Ward, Judy
Brewster Hughes Pittman Ward, Kim
Brooks Hutchinson Regan Williams, Anthony H
Browne Iovino Sabatina Williams, Lindsey
Collett Kearney Santarsiero Yaw
Corman Killion Scarnati Yudichak
Costa Langerholc Scavello
Dinniman Laughlin Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 128 (Pr. No. 1045) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 51 (Military Affairs) and 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Department of Military Affairs, providing for Civil Air Patrol; and, in authority of Department of Transportation, further providing for authority of department.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 128?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 128.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Senator Regan.

Senator REGAN. Mr. President, I rise in support of Senate Bill No. 128, and I will submit my remarks for the record.

The PRESIDENT. Without objection, the remarks will be spread upon the record.

(Ending remarks made part of the record at the request of the gentleman from York, Senator REGAN;)

Mr. President, I rise in support of Senate Bill No. 128. This legislation would codify into law the relationship between the Civil Air Patrol and Department of Military and Veterans Affairs. While the Civil Air Patrol pre-dated World War II (1942), it is one of our State's best-kept secrets.
The Civil Air Patrol is the official auxiliary of the United States Air Force and has three congressionally chartered missions: aerospace education, cadet programs, and emergency services, which consists of search and rescue, disaster relief, and Homeland Security, missions at the request of Federal, State, and local agencies. The PA Wing of the Civil Air Patrol consists of more than 1,800 volunteers across the State. These volunteers are well equipped with aircraft, vehicles, communications radios, aerial imaging equipment, and much more for emergency situations, such as locating a downed aircraft.

Over the years, the Civil Air Patrol's Cadet Program, similar to the Junior ROTC program in high school, has trained tens of thousands of young Pennsylvanians, aged 12 through 18, and provided them with a foundation to become dynamic Americans, aerospace leaders, and citizens of high character who serve their community, State, nation, and fellow citizens faithfully.

Senate Bill No. 128 would codify the role of the Civil Air Patrol into Title 51 (Military Code) by designating the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs as the official liaison to the Civil Air Patrol; requiring DMVA to supervise State funding used by CAP, maintenance and use of Commonwealth facilities used by CAP, and requests from the Commonwealth for CAP assistance; and requiring requests for assistance to be in accordance with Federal procedures—having both DMVA and PEMA consulted. Mr. President, I ask for an affirmative vote on Senate Bill No. 128.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEAS-50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholc</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.
Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED
BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 3 and HB 24 -- Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 33 (Pr. No. 2182) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, further providing for definitions, for general assistance-related categorically needy and medically needy only medical assistance programs, for the medically needy and determination of eligibility and for medical assistance payments for institutional care; in hospital assessments, further providing for definitions, for authorization, for administration, for no hold harmless, for tax exemption and for time period; and, in Statewide quality care assessment, further providing for definitions.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

HUGHES AMENDMENT A2506 OFFERED

Senator HUGHES offered the following amendment No. A2506:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 23, by striking out the bracket before "GENERAL"
Mr. President, we need to be clear that, according to the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, financial abuse occurs in nearly 98 percent of abusive relationships. What I mean by that, Mr. President, by definition, is someone who is a victim of domestic violence and is trying to escape an abusive relationship, an abusive situation, according to the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, it is highly likely that they are in financial crisis as well. The violence of financial abuse is occurring at the same time as there is physical abuse. A person is locked into the relationship financially because of an abusive financial practice, while at the same time they are being physically and emotionally abused as well. What House Bill No. 33 would do is eliminate services, in this case $200, on average, per month for these individuals, mostly women, who are trying to escape domestic violence. What this amendment does, Mr. President, is for that category of individual, women especially, but victims of domestic violence to continue to receive services.

Mr. President, we have done some good things in this Chamber, in this building, over the years, and we have done some bad things. We have done some things that represent the best of us, and we have done some things that have really run afoul and represent the worst of us. Mr. President, we must be in the business of recognizing the reality that someone escaping domestic violence must have a lifeline available to them so that they can get out of that situation, get out of that circumstance and find the appropriate help available. Maybe they are able to put away a few dollars and pay for a bus ride or a taxi ride or something to get out of that situation. And, again, Mr. President, we must also recognize the fact that most of these cases are women and most of these women are not just escaping themselves, they are escaping with their children. These are not just individuals trying to escape an abusive situation, these are families, mostly mothers and children, who have very little means available to them except for about $200 a month that they can utilize to escape, to run away. It takes a moment of great significance that occurs when a woman in this situation realizes that they must leave. It is our job to provide that lifeline for them so that they can escape, so that they can get out and get away and get to a situation where someone is available to help.

I have visited and toured shelters in my district in the city of Philadelphia and talked with folks who represent domestic violence organizations in southeastern Pennsylvania. We know that there are about 10,000 women, Mr. President, who are trying to escape but have no place to go. In this case, they have no means to support themselves and are trying to get out. Mr. President, we need to be clear that this $200 a month, especially in a budget circumstance where the economy is running well in the State, where there are excesses in the State budget, that we should be able to find a few dollars, enough resources to protect and provide and be the lifeline for these women, most of them with children, who are trying to get out, save themselves, save their families, and create a new life for themselves.

Mr. President, I will read a statement from the Domestic Violence Counts Pennsylvania Summary. The quote is from an individual who helps folks who are trying to escape. An advocate in Pennsylvania said: "One survivor had difficulty finding transportation to court in order to file a temporary protection order. We were unable to help her because we only provide bus tickets or gas cards, but the survivor did not have access to a vehicle and did not live on a bus line." I think we should allow that to sink in, Mr. President. I will read that again. An advocate in Pennsylvania said: "One survivor had difficulty finding transportation to court in order to file a temporary protection order. We were unable to help her because we only provide bus tickets or gas cards, but the survivor did not have access to a vehicle and did not live on a bus line."

We must be the lifeline for these individuals, mostly women with children. We must be the ones who stand in the breach for these individuals, Mr. President. We cannot look away from them. We must look to them and stand with them as they are trying to escape an abusive situation. When you end this program for this category of individuals, you are sending a message that the State is not here to help you, the State is not here to support you, and we are not our brother's or our sister's keeper. You must fend for yourself, young lady with children. You must, in fact, stay in the situation you are in, young lady with children. We are looking into the eyes of the babies of this mother who is trying to escape and we are saying we are not there for you. Although we have the means to be there for you, we are making a conscious decision and a conscious choice to not be there for you. That is not the best of us, Mr. President; in fact, that is the worst of us.

Mr. President, this amendment allows the program to continue for victims of domestic violence. It allows a program that provides a simple, for many, $207, but if you are someone who is trying to escape being beaten, being terrorized, being financially strangled, if you will, if you are trying to get out of that circumstance, this $200 that you may be able to put in your pocket or
hide into your coat or stick under the mattress until you accumulate enough dollars so that you can get away, this is their lifeline, Mr. President. This is the thing that brings hope and help and an opportunity to get away. When we end this, we are saying no, we are not here for you. We will not stand in a breach for you. We will not defend you. When we end this program, especially for these women with children, we are sending a message to them that we are turning our back on them. The budget has got enough funds to support these women. The question really is, Mr. President, do we have enough moral fortitude to stand for these women as well?

Thank you, Mr. President.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, on the amendment, I ask for a negative vote. The cash assistance program was eliminated in 2012 largely because of an audit that was done in 2009 by then-Auditor General Jack Wagner. I had the honor of serving with Senator Wagner at that time in the Senate and then he became Auditor General for 8 years. In his audit, he found the program was wrought with, in his words, was a program of waste, fraud, and abuse that was persistent all throughout the program, not only in Pennsylvania but in other States as well. From that audit and the recognition of the problems with the program, it was eliminated in 2012. So it was not in place from 2012 to 2018. During that period of time, not one Member of this Chamber, either past or current, introduced a bill to reinstate it. The Governor, who is referred to as the most liberal Governor in America, in his whole first term never offered to reinstate it. Only through a court decree, sort of a technicality of how the bill was eliminated, was this program brought back into place.

One of the things that we have the responsibility, as stewards of the taxpayers’ dollars, is to make sure all programs, this program or others, are handled in a way that is efficient and proper, and this one obviously has significant problems. For that reason, and, again, it is a program that has not been in place for the last 6 years, I think it is best that we again go back to where we were the last 6 years, I think it is best that we again go back. I understand the court technicality, but go back to where we were the last 6 years and eliminate the program. So I ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes, for the second time, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Hughes.

Senator HUGHES. Mr. President, I understand the gentleman referred to the audit that was done by the Auditor General. If my memory serves me correctly, after significant review after that audit was done, I believe significant State review and possibly even significant Federal review, the findings of that audit were pretty much discredited. What I know is this: the issue of waste, fraud, and abuse, if you are a woman attempting to escape domestic violence, you are not thinking about waste, fraud, and abuse. You are thinking about the abuse that is happening to you in trying to get away from that circumstance and trying to get away from that situation. What I know, Mr. President, is that if you are a woman trying to escape domestic violence and you need a few dollars to get out, to get in a cab, to secretly get away during the course of the evening, that you need a few dollars, a few resources, to provide a means of escape and maybe a means of getting yourself established as you work hard to find a support center to assist you.

We all know we need to do a better job with respect to supporting and creating more housing for women who are attempting to escape domestic violence. What we know, Mr. President, is that if you are--if you have come into your right mind and you summoned up the power and the strength within you to escape an abusive situation, you usually have your children and you need a little bit of help to get away, to reestablish, to just leave; to just leave. What I know, Mr. President, is that this $207, on average, of what they receive, these women receive, are in fact a lifeline away from abuse - physical, emotional, and financial. What I know, Mr. President, is that if we end this program, these women, mostly with children, the lifeline that they need will no longer be available to them. And it will be because this Chamber and the other side made the decision to end that lifeline and cut that lifeline to let these women and their children suffer in an abusive situation where they have no way and no means to escape. That is what this $207 a month represents. $207 a month represents escape, represents a new life, represents a way forward. Not just for these women but for these women and their children. We need to be the best in ourselves and not the worst, Mr. President. We need to keep this program going and especially keep this program going for women who are escaping being physically abused, emotionally abused, and financially abused. If this program comes to end, they have no lifeline, and it will be as a result of the actions in this body on this floor today.

Thank you, Mr. President.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Senator Browne.

Senator BROWNE. Mr. President, because the speaker had chosen, in his amendment to the general assistance program, the category of people who are suffering from domestic violence, since one of the biggest votes we have today, frankly one of the biggest votes that we make any year, is on the General Appropriation Act, it is important to mention that for victims of domestic violence, this Chamber, with the House and the Governor, is committing a significant amount of new dollars to the care of people who are victims of domestic violence, a 10-percent increase in overall commitment to that area. If we are talking about care of people who are affected by that, I think we have to present the whole picture that is on the table today, and that is a very significant item that we should all be proud of.

Thank you, Mr. President.

The question recurring, Will the Senate agree to the amendment?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator HUGHES and were as follows, viz:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEA-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAY-28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Less than a majority of the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the negative.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

PREVIOUS QUESTION MOVED

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move the previous question.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, I need an opportunity to speak on this. The other side knows that we have a series of amendments we want to offer. I recognize every Member of the Republican Caucus standing up--

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I believe it is a nondebatable motion.

Senator COSTA. --to cut off debate for amendments that we are trying to offer. It is out of order, Mr. President. We need to move forward with these amendments. The people on this side of the building and this side of the Chamber have every right to offer amendments to this bill, every right.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

Senator COSTA. And you are denying the opportunity to do that. We shared with you what these were, the content of these amendments, Mr. President. These amendments need to be heard. The Members of this Caucus need to speak.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I made a motion.

Senator COSTA. We heard the motion, Mr. President.

Senator CORMAN. It is in order, Mr. President. It is a nondebatable motion, Mr. President.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, I am not debating.

Senator CORMAN. Operate the rules of the Senate. This is a nondebatable motion.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, I am not debating this matter. What I am suggesting to you, Mr. President, is that you ignore, for the people of Pennsylvania, the 11,095 people--

Senator CORMAN. Nothing is in order but the motion, Mr. President.

Senator COSTA. --who will be cut off from these benefits. This is not one of the benefits that they will have available to them. The domestic violence folks we talked about, military veterans will be cut off.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President.

Senator COSTA. Individuals who are suffering with drug abuse and other types of things, their voices will not be heard.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

The PRESIDENT. The Senate is at ease.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, I ask my Members to come around the podium.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, there is a motion before the Senate. There is a motion before the Senate. The motion, by the rules, the President of the Senate needs to respond to the motion.

Mr. President, there is a motion before the Senate.
The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-28

Argall  DiSanto  Martin  Scavello
Aument  Folmer  Mastriano  Stefano
Baker  Gordner  Mensch  Tomlinson
Bartolotta  Hutchinson  Phillips-Hill  Vogel
Brooks  Killion  Pittman  Ward, Judy
Brown  Langerholc  Regan  Ward, Kim
Corman  Laughlin  Scarnati  Yaw

NAY-22

Blake  Farnese  Leach  Tartaglione
Boscola  Fontana  Muth  Williams, Anthony H
Brewster  Haywood  Sabatina  Williams, Lindsey
Collett  Hughes  Santarsiero  Yudichak
Costa  Iovino  Schwank
Dinniman  Kearney  Street

The PRESIDENT (Lieutenant Governor John K. Fetterman) in the Chair.

The PRESIDENT. The vote on the motion is "ayes" are 28, and the "nays" are 22. The motion is adopted.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Montgomery, Senator Muth.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator CORMAN. I said point of order, Mr. President. We have rules of the Senate, and you need to abide by them.

Senator MUTH. I'd like to rise to offer an official statement to put on the record from Mr. John Boyd.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator MUTH. Mr. John Boyd: I am here to express my gratitude and ask for your support to protect general assistance.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you really have to follow the rules of the Senate which were unanimously supported by this Chamber.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator MUTH. Mr. John Boyd: I am here to express my gratitude and ask for your support to protect general assistance.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you really have to follow the rules of the Senate which were unanimously supported by this Chamber.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to recognize Senator Muth.

Senator CORMAN. It is a nondebatable motion. The motion before the Senate--

Senator MUTH. I am alive today because general assistance is supporting my stability and helping me--

Senator CORMAN. --has been passed. Debate comes to an end, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. --live independently again, after being homeless for 25 years.

Senator CORMAN. You need to do your job, Mr. President. You have a job to preside over the Senate.

Senator MUTH. General assistance is only a temporary program, but I have a personal disability--

Senator CORMAN. You need to preside. The rules do not allow for this comment.

Senator MUTH. --and I am unable to work.

Senator CORMAN. You can preside, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. So I applied for Social Security Disability income.

Senator CORMAN. Point of order. You need to answer my point of order.

Senator MUTH. If I get the Social Security, then the State of Pennsylvania--

Senator CORMAN. Bring the Chamber to order, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. --will then be refunded by the Federal government for my Federal general assistance.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President. That is a part of your job. You need to follow the rules.

Senator MUTH. In 4 weeks it will be 2 years since he applied for Social Security assistance.

Senator CORMAN. You need to follow the rules, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. He was homeless, living in shelters. He went from church to church to church--

Senator CORMAN. Point of order, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. --soup line to soup line, and that is no way to live.

Senator CORMAN. My point of order needs to be listened to.

Senator MUTH. Some days he never ate and slept on--

Senator CORMAN. Point of order, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. --benches or on the sidewalk. It was rough.

Thank God--

The PRESIDENT. The Chair continues to acknowledge Senator Muth.

Senator MUTH. My life is improving. I am getting my health together--

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, do your job.

Senator MUTH. --and I am working toward my GED.

Senator CORMAN. This is your job. Do your job, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. I heard general assistance could end as early as--

Senator CORMAN. Do your job.

Senator MUTH. --August 1 if House Bill no. 33 passes.

Senator CORMAN. You are not following the rules of this Senate, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. I am scared of what will happen to me--

Senator CORMAN. We have rules of the Senate, Mr. President.

Senator MUTH. --when I do not have money to live anymore.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you have to follow the rules.

Senator MUTH. No income means no way to survive.

Senator CORMAN. Step down from the dais, Mr. President.
Senator MUTH. General assistance is the only money I have
to buy deodorant--
Senator CORMAN. Step down if you are not going to follow
the rules.
Senator MUTH. --and toothpaste and pay my utility bills--
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you need to step down
from the dais, Mr. President.
Senator MUTH. --and anything that is not food.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you are not following the
rules.
Senator MUTH. That $205 a month is a lifesaver.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you need to follow the
rules.
Senator MUTH. How will I get a bus fare? General assistance
helped me get my GED--
Senator CORMAN. This is about the operation of the Senate,
which we all voted unanimously--
Senator MUTH. --and see my therapist, my psychiatrist, and
my doctor.
Senator CORMAN. --to support these rules of the Senate,
which you are now ignoring.
Senator MUTH. Where will I get quarters to do my laundry
if I do not have general assistance?
Senator CORMAN. You are ignoring the rules, Mr. President.
Senator MUTH. When I look into the future without general
assistance, everything is going to fall apart.
Senator CORMAN. You have decided that you are going to
ignore the rules of the Senate.
Senator MUTH. The little income is keeping everything to-
gether for me.
Senator CORMAN. You are not Senate Democratic Caucus,
you are the presiding officer--
Senator MUTH. Will all this progress disappear?
Senator CORMAN. --and you preside over the Senate, Mr.
President.
Senator MUTH. I hope that never happens.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you need to follow the
rules.
Senator MUTH. Please vote to save general assistance. With-
out general assistance--
Senator CORMAN. You need to follow the rules, Mr. Presi-
dent.
Senator MUTH. --people have nothing to lean on.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, point of order.
Senator MUTH. The progress gives people--
Senator CORMAN. Point of order.
Senator MUTH. --support and help us get back on our feet for
a second chance at life.
Senator CORMAN. Point of order, Mr. President.
Senator MUTH. Please work to save general assistance--
Senator CORMAN. Point of order, Mr. President.
Senator MUTH. --and allow for it to continue so people can
start brand new, a fresh start, just like me. John Boyd.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, you have to follow the
rules.
Mr. President, point of order. Mr. President, point of order.
Mr. President, point of order. You need to acknowledge my point
of order, Mr. President.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair acknowledges the gentleman
from Centre, Senator Corman.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, never, in my career in the
Senate, has the presiding officer totally ignored the rules. The
rules that we all voted on unanimously. Mr. President, your job
is to enforce the rules of the Senate, not to be a partisan hack.
The PRESIDENT. Leader Corman--
Senator CORMAN. Go to the vote, Mr. President. Go to the
vote.
Senator COSTA. Mr. President, the gentleman is out of order.
You are not a partisan hack.
Senator CORMAN. Go to the vote, Mr. President.
Senator COSTA. Mr. President.
Senator COSTA. Mr. President, you are the presiding officer,
has the presiding officer totally ignored the rules. The
rules that we all voted on unanimously. Mr. President, your job
is to enforce the rules of the Senate, not to be a partisan hack.
The PRESIDENT. Leader Corman--
Senator CORMAN. Call the roll, Mr. President.
Mr. President, nobody is allowed to speak, Mr. President.
Follow the rules. You recognized me, Mr. President. I made my
point of order. Follow the rules. The rules say this is not debat-
able. Follow the rules.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. President.
For all the fanfare -- point of order, Mr. President. Thank you.
For all of us who are here today talking about the rules, there is
an old rule.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I am still recognized. You
cannot recognize somebody else. I am still recognized.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. Earlier today when we stood on
this floor--
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, Mr. Williams is not recog-
nized.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. --and the person who took the
podium made the rules at that moment.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, call the roll.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. So with all due respect--
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, call the roll.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. --when you punch somebody in
the face and they punch you back, stop whining about it, and do
not get personal about it.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, call the roll.
Senator A.H. WILLIAMS. That is the rules.
Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, follow the rules. Follow
the rules. Go to a final vote. Call the vote. The rules are you call
the vote.
The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from
Centre, Senator Corman.
Senator CORMAN. Call the vote. That is the rule. That is
what is before the Senate. Mr. President, I am asking you to en-
force the rules of the Senate.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of
the Constitution and were as follows, viz:
The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Senator Joseph B. Scarnati III) in the Chair.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now recess to the call of the President pro tempore.

The motion was agreed to by voice vote.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, the Senate stands in recess to the call of the President pro tempore.

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

SENATE AT EASE

The PRESIDENT. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, may we be at ease?

The PRESIDENT. The Senate will be at ease.

(The Senate was at ease.)

**CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED**

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED

BILL OVER IN ORDER

SB 91 -- Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILL AMENDED

HB 131 (Pr. No. 857) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; in licenses and regulations and liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for malt and brewed beverages manufacturers', distributors' and importing distributors' licenses and for breweries; in distilleries, wineries, bonded warehouses, bailees for hire and transporters for hire, further providing for limited wineries and for distilleries; and, in miscellaneous provisions, further providing for construction and applicability.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 21, by striking out "AND" where it occurs second time and inserting a comma

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator DiSANTO offered the following amendment No. A2559:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by inserting after "PROVIDING":

- for wine and spirits auction permits,
Section 5. Section 493.1 of the act is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 493.1. Rights of Municipalities Preserved.—

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, the holder of a limited winery license that is located in a class 2A through 8 county may use or permit to be used inside or outside of the licensed premises a loudspeaker or similar device whereby the sound of music or other entertainment, or the advertisement thereof, does not exceed 75 decibels beyond the licensee’s property line.

(2) The provisions of subsection (a) shall only apply:

(1) From ten o’clock antemeridian until eight o’clock postmeridian on every day except Fridays and Saturdays; and

(2) From ten o’clock antemeridian until ten o’clock postmeridian on Fridays or Saturdays.

(3) Nothing in this section may be construed to limit the rights of a municipality under subsection (a).

Section 6. Sections 505.2(a) and (b), 505.4 heading, (b)(1) and (8) and (e)(1), 512 and 1001 of the act are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 8 and 9:

Section 512. Records To Be Kept.—Every person holding a license issued under the provisions of this article shall keep on the licensed premises daily permanent records which shall show, (a) the quantities of any alcohol or liquor manufactured, produced, distilled, developed, denatured, redistilled, recovered, reused, stored in bond, stored as bailee for hire, received or used in the process of manufacture by him, and of all other material used in manufacturing or developing any alcohol or liquor; (b) the sales of any spirituous liquor, wine, malt liquor, or brewed beverages if covered by said license; (c) the quantities thereof, if any, stored in bond, stored for hire, or transported for hire by or for the licensee; and (d) the names and addresses of the purchasers or other recipients thereof: Provided, however, That persons holding licenses issued under the provisions of this article for the transportation for hire of any alcohol, liquor or malt or brewed beverages shall not be required to keep the above records, but shall keep daily permanent records showing the names and addresses of the persons from whom any alcohol, liquor or malt or brewed beverage was received and to whom delivered, and such other permanent records as the board shall prescribe: Provided, however, That a sales invoice may not be required for purchases made at a limited winery by a private individual unless the purchase is for greater than 16 liters in a single transaction.

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 26 and 27:

Section 7. The provisions of 40 Pa. Code § 5.103(b) are abrogated in so far as they are inconsistent with the amendment of section 512 of the act.

Amend Bill, page 14, line 27, by striking out "4" and inserting: 8

Amend Bill, page 14, line 27, by striking out "in 60 days." and inserting:

as follows:

(1) The amendment or addition of sections 493.1(e) and 512 shall take effect immediately.

(2) This section shall take effect immediately.

(3) The remainder of this act shall take effect in 60 days.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentle- man from Dauphin, Senator DiSanto.

Senator DiSANTO. Mr. President, I submit my comments for the record.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, the remarks will be spread upon the record.

(The following prepared remarks were made part of the record at the request of the gentleman from Dauphin, Senator DiSANTO.)

Mr. President, I rise to ask for the immediate adoption of amendment No. A2559. Amendment No. A2559 amends Pennsylvania’s liquor code in three ways:

First, it permits alcohol donations to entities which have a wine and spirit auction permit. An unintended consequence of Act 39 prohibited direct donations when the charity was holding their event at a venue that is a retail licensee, thereby requiring wineries to purchase their product from the LCB if they wish to make a donation. A major charity in my district became aware of this provision and alerted me about how it will adversely impact their wine auction fundraisers.

Secondly, it allows wineries located in a class 2A through class 8 county to play music or host a live performance on their premises, so long as the sound does not exceed 75 decibels beyond the licensee's property line up until 10 p.m. on weekends and 8 p.m. on weeknights. Current law does not permit any sound at the property line. My amend- ment creates a reasonable compromise for wineries' entertainment activities while being respectful of neighbors. Municipalities maintain the right to create and enforce their own noise ordinances above this re- quirement.

Lastly, my amendment provides that a sales invoice may not be required for private individual purchases made at a limited winery unless the purchase is greater than 16 liters in a single transaction. This eliminates a misunderstanding between liquor control enforcement agents reportedly asking for individual purchases in excess of 16 liters in a year, compelling wineries to maintain extensive records on all purchases.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?
I was agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

LEGISLATIVE LEAVE CANCELLED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Senator Leach has returned, and his temporary Capitol leave is cancelled.

CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

AND FINAL PASSAGE

SB 147 (Pr. No. 1066) -- The Senate proceeded to consider- ation of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consoli- dated Statutes, in Pennsylvania Game Commission, further providing for accountability; and, in hunting and furtaking, further providing for hunting on Sunday prohibited and for trespass on private property while hunting.

Considered the third time and agreed to,
And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentle- man from Erie, Senator Laughlin.

Senator LAUGHLIN. Mr. President, I rise today to ask for an affirmative vote on Senate Bill No. 147. This bill, at its very essence, is about freedom. Currently, our ban on Sunday hunting is one of only two remaining blue laws in the State of Pennsylvania. The other law bans car sales on Sunday. In fact, Pennsylvania’s hunting ban is the only game management decision that the Legislature has put into statute, and that goes back to 1895. We
often hear talk in this Chamber about the need to update or eliminate archaic laws. Well, this one falls right in that category. It was not long ago, relatively speaking, that stores were closed on Sunday and alcohol sales were not permitted. At least a few of us here remember those days, even if they do not fall into the same category as trying to tell some of the younger generations about living with rotary telephones, three-channel television service, and no Internet. The blue law restrictions on retail sales and alcohol purchases are now long gone, and it is time we do the same with the hunting ban. Let us give Pennsylvania hunters more freedom to plan how and when they will take to the fields.

For many of my fellow hunters, weekends provide the only free time for them to pursue the activity that they love. Essentially, those 2 days are the only opportunity for most working men and women to get out into the woods. Senate Bill No. 147 provides a window of opportunity to those folks, and, in fact, this bill is a compromise. It does not allow hunting on every Sunday, nor does it allow hunting on 14 Sundays that the bill originally included. Yesterday, this body approved my amendment to this bill that gives the Game Commission the discretion to allow hunting on just three Sundays each year.

So today, Mr. President, we have the opportunity to take a historic step, and, in fact, in the future, people will likely look back with astonishment as to how the hunting ban, like those on retail and alcohol sales, lasted so very long. So, I ask every Senator to join me in making history today with an affirmative vote, and I would like to turn the microphone over to my good friend, Senator Brewster, who will also talk on this bill, as he is the co-prime sponsor with me.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Brewster.

Senator BREWSTER. Mr. President, very briefly, I thank my colleagues, Senator Laughlin and Senator Corman, for their intervention as well in some of the negotiations that had to occur. Senator Laughlin spelled it out pretty clearly. We have 1 1/2 million acres of gamelands to be enjoyed by 700,000-plus hunters in the State of Pennsylvania. All of our neighboring States have Sunday hunting. This is an opportunity to get sons, daughters, and grandchildren into the woods on Sunday, where they would otherwise not be there, it is not available to them. College students will be able to come out on a weekend, hunt Saturday and Sunday, and be back in school on Monday. It sounds like a trivial thing, but it is not. As a matter of fact, my grandson goes to Geneva College, he called me up and said, Pap, I see you passed the Sunday hunting bill out of committee. He said, yes, we did. He said, well, my friends are up here from Geneva at camp in Senator Scarnati’s district, and they are from Penn State. He said, when can we hunt on Sundays? I said, have them contact their Senator, Senator Corman. So, we had some fun with that, and here we are today, and it has happened.

So, again, this is not all about the money, but there will be new revenue coming into the State, without question, because our neighboring States all hunt. Hunters want to be here on Sunday, and for those who have camps, and some of your districts where they travel on Saturday, cannot hunt on a Sunday, and then start again on Monday, this bridges that gap. As Senator Laughlin said, this is only three Sundays, and I would be remiss if I did not thank our stakeholders, the Farm Bureau and others, who worked with us, talked with us, and got us to this point. So, I thank you, and I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.
employment decisions or involved in the selection of volunteers:

Section 2. Sections 4351(a.1) and 4374(c)(3) and (d)(2) of Title 23 are amended to read:

§ 4351. Costs and fees.

(a.1) Annual fee.--The Commonwealth shall impose a fee of $[25] $35 in each case in which an individual has never received assistance under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act (49 Stat. 620, 42 U.S.C. § 301 et seq.) and for whom the Commonwealth has collected at least $500 $550 of support in a Federal fiscal year. The Commonwealth shall pay the $[25] $35 fee for those cases in which the annual collection is between $500 $550 and $1,999.99. The $[25] $35 fee shall be collected from the custodial parent in cases where annual collections equal $2,000 or more. This subsection shall expire July 15, 2023.

§ 4374. State disbursement unit.

(c) Allocation of collections.--Subject to subsections (d), (e), (f) and (f.1), support collected on behalf of a family shall be distributed as follows:

(1) In the case of a family that never received cash assistance from the Commonwealth, all support collections shall be paid to the family with the exception of the federal mandate of $25 $35 annual fee collected from the custodial parent as required under section 4351(a.1) (relating to costs and fees). This paragraph shall expire July 15, 2023.

(d) Retention by Commonwealth.--

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the federally mandated $25 $35 annual fee collected from the custodial parent as required under section 4351(a.1) shall be retained by the department. This paragraph shall expire July 15, 2023.

Section 2.1. Section 6344(a.2) introductory paragraph, (a.3) introductory paragraph, (b) introductory paragraph, (c)(1) and (2) and (m) introductory paragraph of Title 23, amended June 28, 2018 (P.L.375, No.54), are amended, subsections (c) and (m) are amended by adding paragraphs and the section is amended by adding a subsection to read:

§ 6344. Employees having contact with children; adoptive and foster parents.

(a.2) Minors.--[An] Unless applying for or holding a position in a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home, an individual between 14 and 17 years of age who applies for or holds a paid position as an employee who is a person responsible for the child's welfare or a person with direct contact with children through a program, activity or service prior to the commencement of employment or under section 6344.4 (relating to recertification) shall be required to submit only the information under subsection (b)(1) and (2) to an employer, administrator, supervisor or other person responsible for employment decisions, if the following apply:

(b) Information to be submitted.--An individual identified in subsection (a)(7) or (8) at the time the individual meets the description set forth in subsection (a)(7) or (8) and an individual identified in subsection (a)(1), (2), (3), (4), (5)(i) or (6), (a.1) [or], (a.2) or (a.3) prior to the commencement of employment or service in accordance with section 6344.4 shall be required to submit the following information to an employer, administrator, supervisor or other person responsible for employment decisions or involved in the selection of volunteers:

(c) Grounds for denial of employment or participation in program, activity or service.---

(1) In no case shall an employer, administrator, supervisor or other person responsible for employment decisions or involved in the selection of volunteers hire or approve an applicant where the department has verified that the applicant is named in the Statewide database as a perpetrator of child abuse.

(2) In no case shall an employer, administrator, supervisor or other person responsible for employment decisions or involved in the selection of volunteers hire or approve an applicant if the applicant's criminal history record information indicates the applicant has been convicted of one or more of the following offenses under Title 18 (relating to crimes and offenses) or an equivalent crime under Federal law or the law of another state:

Chapter 25 (relating to criminal homicide).

Section 2702 (relating to aggravating assault).

Section 2709.1 (relating to stalking).

Section 2901 (relating to kidnapping).

Section 2902 (relating to unlawful restraint).

Section 3121 (relating to rape).

Section 3122.1 (relating to statutory sexual assault).

Section 3123 (relating to involuntary deviate sexual intercourse).

Section 3124.1 (relating to sexual assault).

Section 3125 (relating to aggravated indecent assault).

Section 3126 (relating to indecent assault).

Section 3127 (relating to indecent exposure).

Section 4302 (relating to incest).

Section 4303 (relating to concealing death of child).

Section 4304 (relating to endangering welfare of children).

Section 4305 (relating to dealing in infant children).

A felony offense under section 5902(b) (relating to prostitution and related offenses).

Section 5903(c) or (d) (relating to obscene and other sexual materials and performances).

Section 6301 (relating to corruption of minors).

Section 6312 (relating to sexual abuse of children).

The attempt, solicitation or conspiracy to commit any of the offenses set forth in this paragraph.

(4) In addition to paragraphs (1), (2) and (3), in no case shall an employer, administrator, supervisor or other person responsible for employment decisions or involved in the selection of volunteers at a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home hire or approve an applicant under any of the following circumstances:

(b) The applicant's criminal history record information indicates the applicant has been convicted of any of the following:

(A) One or more of the following offenses under Title 18 or an equivalent crime under Federal law or the law of another state:

A felony offense under section 2718 (relating to strangulation).

A felony offense under section 3301 (relating to arson and related offenses).

(B) An offense under 18 U.S.C. § 2261 (relating to interstate domestic violence) or 18 U.S.C. § 2262 (relating to interstate violation of protection order).

(ii) The applicant's name appears on the National Crime Information Center National Sex Offender Registry or on a state's sex offender registry.

(iii) The applicant's name appears on a Statewide database or its equivalent as a perpetrator of child abuse.

(f.1) Additional information for child day-care centers, group day-care homes and family child-care homes.--

(1) In addition to the required information under subsection (b), employees and volunteers of a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home shall submit the following in accordance with paragraph (2):

(b) Additional information from an out-of-state database or its equivalent in each state in which the individual resided within the previous five-year period as to whether the individual:

(A) Is named in the database as a perpetrator of child abuse.

(B) Is named on the out-of-state criminal history repository
with a conviction of an offense listed in subsection (c)(2) or (4).

(C) Is named on the State's sex offender registry.

(11) Notification from the department stating whether the individual's name appears on the National Crime Information Center National Sex Offender Registry.

(2) An applicant for employment or a prospective volunteer at a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home shall submit the information required under paragraph (1) prior to the commencement of employment or service. An employee or a volunteer at a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home as of the date of enactment of this subsection shall submit the information required under paragraph (1) no later than the effective date of this subsection.

§ 6344.2. Volunteers having contact with children.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, section 6344.1 of Title 23 (relating to background checks) shall apply to volunteers in a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home.

(b) A paragraph to read:

(1) the record information under subsection (b)(1) or (3).

(c) An applicant for employment or a prospective volunteer at a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home received the result of the report of the criminal history record information under subsection (b)(1) or (3).

Section 3. Section 6344.2(b.1) of Title 23 is amended by adding a paragraph to read:

§ 6344.2. Volunteers having contact with children.

* * *

(b.1) Exception.--

(4) The exceptions under this subsection do not apply to volunteers in a child day-care center, group day-care home or family child-care home.

* * *

Section 4. This act shall take effect as follows:

(1) This section shall take effect immediately.

(2) The amendment of 23 Pa.C.S. §§ 4351(a.1) and 4374(c)(3) and (d)(2) shall take effect immediately.

(3) The amendment or addition of 23 Pa.C.S. § 2711(d)(2) and (3) shall take effect in 60 days.

(4) The amendment or addition of 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(m) introductory paragraph and (3.1) shall take effect December 31, 2019.

(5) The remainder of this act shall take effect September 30, 2019.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?
It was agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 265, HB 318 and HB 330 -- Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 370 (Pr. No. 360) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 30, 1981 (P.L.128, No.43), known as the Agricultural Area Security Law, further providing for purchase of agricultural conservation easements.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastriano Tartaglione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gordner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Phillips-Hill Ward, Judy
Brewster Hughes Pittman Ward, Kim
Brooks Hutchinson Regan Williams, Anthony H
Broome Jovino Sabatina Williams, Lindsey
Collett Kearney Santarsiero Yaw
Corman Killion Scarnati Yudichak
Costa Langerholc Scavello
Dinniman Laughlin Schwank
NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 423 and SB 485 -- Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

SB 575 (Pr. No. 930) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 27 (Environmental Resources) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in environmental stewardship and watershed protection, further providing for agencies; providing for Pennsylvania Clean Water Procurement Program; establishing the Watershed Innovation and Improvement Fund; and making an appropriation.

Considered the third time and agreed to,
And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEA-33

Argall Corman Martin Tomlinson
Aument Dinniman Mastriano Vogel
Baker DiSanto Mensch Ward, Judy
Bartolotta Folmer Phillips-Hill Ward, Kim

YEA-30

Bills referred to the Senate for consideration.
A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

**HB 615 (Pr. No. 1784) --** The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in retirement for State employees and officers, further defining "enforcement officer"; and providing for installment payments.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

**YEA-50**

- Argall
- Aument
- Baker
- Bartolotta
- Blake
- Boscola
- Brewster
- Brooks
- Browne
- Blades
- Costa
- DiSanto
- Farnese
- Fontana
- Haywood
- Iovino
- Kearney
- Langerholc
- Laughlin
- Leach
- Martin
- Mastriano
- Mensch
- Muth
- Myskiw
- Nartigue
- Phillips-Hall
- Pittman
- Regan
- Santarsiero
- Scavello
- Schwank
- Stefano
- Tomlinson
- Vogel
- Ward
- Ward, Judy
- Ward, Kim
- Williams
- Williams, Anthony H
- Williams, Lindsey
- Yudichak

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

**SB 723 --** Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

**BILL OVER IN ORDER**

**YEA-41**

- Argall
- Aument
- Baker
- Bartolotta
- Blake
- Boscola
- Brewster
- Brooks
- Browne
- Blades
- Costa
- Dinniman
- DiSanto
- Laughlin
- Leach
- Muth
- Martin
- Menise
- Mensch
- Myskiw
- Nartigue
- Phillips-Hall
- Pittman
- Regan
- Santarsiero
- Scavello
- Stefano
- Tomlinson
- Vogel
- Williams
- Williams, Anthony H
- Williams, Lindsey
- Yudichak

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Considered the third time and agreed to,
And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

**YEA-26**

- Argall
- Aument
- Baker
- Bartolotta
- Brooks
- Browne
- Blades
- Costa
- DiSanto
- Farnese
- Folmer
- Gordon
- Hughes
- Iovino
- Kearney
- Leach
- Martin
- Muth
- Mensch
- Mastriano
- Peroco
- Phillips-Hall
- Pittman
- Regan
- Santarsiero
- Scarcella
- Schwank
- Stefano
- Tomlinson
- Vogel
- Williams
- Williams, Anthony H
- Williams, Lindsey
- Yudichak

**BILL OVER IN ORDER**

**SB 619 (Pr. No. 857) --** The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1937 (P.L.1987, No.394), known as The Clean Streams Law, in general provisions and public policy, further providing for definitions; and, in other pollutions and potential pollution, providing for notice of discharge endangering health or the environment.

Considered the third time and agreed to,
And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

**YEA-20**

- Argall
- Aument
- Baker
- Bartolotta
- Brooks
- Browne
- Blades
- Costa
- Dinniman
- DiSanto
- Farnese
- Folmer
- Gordon
- Hughes
- Iovino
- Kearney
- Leach
- Martin
- Muth
- Mensch
- Mastriano
- Peroco
- Phillips-Hall
- Pittman
- Regan
- Santarsiero
- Scarcella
- Schwank
- Stefano
- Tomlinson
- Vogel
- Williams
- Williams, Anthony H
- Williams, Lindsey
- Yudichak
A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 807 (Pr. No. 900) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Pennsylvania National Guard, further providing for compensation of division commander or equivalent; and making a related repeal.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50
Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastriano Tartaglione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gerdner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Mensch Phillips-Hill
Brewster Hutchinson Pittman Yaw
Brooks Iovino Regan Yudichak
Browne Kearney Scarnati
Collett Killion Scavello
Costa Langerholc Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 856 (Pr. No. 1333) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 67 (Public Welfare) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; in medical assistance hearings and appeals, further providing for adoption opportunities and for family finding and kinship care; establishing the Kinship Care Program and the Subsidized Permanent Legal Custodianship Program; making related repeals; and making editorial changes.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50
Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastriano Tartaglione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gerdner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Mensch Phillips-Hill
Brewster Hutchinson Pittman Yaw
Brooks Iovino Regan Yudichak
Browne Kearney Scarnati
Collett Killion Scavello
Costa Langerholc Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

LEGISLATIVE LEAVE CANCELLED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore, Senator Hughes has returned, and his temporary Capitol leave is cancelled.

CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED
THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED
BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 915 (Pr. No. 1487) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in size, weight and load, further providing for permit for movement during course of manufacture; and, in powers of department and local authorities, further providing for power of Governor during emergency.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:
A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

**HB 1516 (Pr. No. 2124)** -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Agriculture Rapid Response Disaster Readiness Account.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question, Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

**YEA-50**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastrian</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gerdner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerhole</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{NAY-0}\]

\[\text{A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted } \text{"aye," } \text{the question was determined in the affirmative.}\]
NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

HB 1524 (Pr. No. 2066) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in licenses and regulations, liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for limiting number of retail licenses to be issued in each county and for licenses not assignable and transfers.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAP-50

Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastranito Tartagnione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gordner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Phillips-Hill Ward, Judy
Brewster Hughes Pittman Ward, Kim
Brooks Hutchinson Regan Williams, Anthony H
Browne Iovino Sabatina Williams, Lindsey
Collett Kearney Santarsiero Yaw
Corman Killion Scarnati Yudichak
Costa Langerhole Scavello
Dinniman Laughlin Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

BILL REREFERRED

HB 1549 (Pr. No. 2118) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in sales and use tax, further providing for definitions and for imposition of tax; and, in special taxing authority, further providing for special taxing authority.

Upon motion of Senator CORMAN, and agreed to by voice vote, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Law and Justice.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 1590 (Pr. No. 2213) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 64 (Public Authorities and Quasi-Public Corporations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Commonwealth Financing Authority, establishing the Dairy Investment Program and Dairy Investment Program Account.

Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAP-50

Argall DiSanto Leach Stefano
Aument Farnese Martin Street
Baker Folmer Mastranito Tartagnione
Bartolotta Fontana Mensch Tomlinson
Blake Gordner Muth Vogel
Boscola Haywood Phillips-Hill Ward, Judy
Brewster Hughes Pittman Ward, Kim
Brooks Hutchinson Regan Williams, Anthony H
Browne Iovino Sabatina Williams, Lindsey
Collett Kearney Santarsiero Yaw
Corman Killion Scarnati Yudichak
Costa Langerhole Scavello
Dinniman Laughlin Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.
The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of
the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS - 50

Argall  DiSanto  Leach  Stefano
Aument  Farnese  Martin  Street
Baker  Folmer  Mastriano  Tartaglione
Bartolotta  Fontana  Mensch  Tomlinson
Blake  Gordner  Muth  Vogel
Boscola  Haywood  Phillips-Hill  Ward, Judy
Brewster  Hughes  Pittman  Ward, Kim
Brooks  Hutchinson  Regan  Williams, Anthony H
Brown  Iovino  Sabatina  Williams, Lindsey
Collett  Kearney  Santarsiero  Yaw
Corman  Killion  Scarnati  Yudichak
Costa  Langerholc  Scavello
Dinniman  Laughlin  Schweickert

NAY - 0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted
"aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to
the House of Representatives with information that the Senate
has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of
the House is requested.

SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL
ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 790 (Pr. No. 2215) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

An act to provide appropriations from the General Fund for the
expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the
Commonwealth, the public debt and the public schools for the fiscal
year July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020, and for the payment of bills in-
curred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June
30, 2019; to provide appropriations from special funds and accounts to
the Executive and Judicial Departments for the fiscal year July 1, 2019,
to June 30, 2020, and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the
close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019; to provide for the appro-
priation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments for
the fiscal year July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020, and for the payment of
bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30,
2019; and to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal and
State funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments for the fiscal year
July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019, and for the payment of bills incurred and
remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS
ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 1350 (Pr. No. 2233) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P.L.213, No.227), enti-
tled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States,
to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," mak-
ing appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a
basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting
for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure;
and making an appropriation from a restricted account within the Agri-
cultural College Land Scrip Fund.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

HB 1351 (Pr. No. 2234) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp.Sess., P.L.87,
No.3), known as the University of Pittsburgh--Commonwealth Act,
making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; and providing
for a basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of ac-
counting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information
disclosure.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

HB 1352 (Pr. No. 2235) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.843, No.355),
known as the Temple University--Commonwealth Act, making an ap-
propriation for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

HB 1353 (Pr. No. 2236) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P.L.743, No.176), known
as the Lincoln University--Commonwealth Act, making an appropriation
for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of the
appropriation; providing for a method of accounting for the funds
appropriated; and providing for certain fiscal information disclosure.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

HB 1354 (Pr. No. 2237) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration
of the bill, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of
Pennsylvania.

Considered the second time and agreed to,
Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 22, SB 60, SB 67, SB 81, SB 217, SB 256, SB 258, SB
284, SB 337, SB 351 and SB 352 -- Without objection, the bills
were passed over in their order at the request of Senator
CORMAN.
BILL OVER IN ORDER AND LAID ON THE TABLE

SB 356 (Pr. No. 864) -- The Senate proceeded to consider-
ation of the bill, entitled:

An Act providing for portability of professional and occupational
licenses for military spouses.

Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the
request of Senator CORMAN.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 9, the bill was laid on the table.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 407, SB 438, SB 447, SB 473, SB 493, SB 494, SB 495
and SB 531 -- Without objection, the bills were passed over in
their order at the request of Senator CORMAN.

BILL LAID ON THE TABLE

SB 569 (Pr. No. 861) -- The Senate proceeded to consider-
ation of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1972 (P.L.233, No.64),
known as The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act,
providing for dispensing of fentanyl.

Upon motion of Senator CORMAN, and agreed to by voice
vote, the bill was laid on the table.

SB 569 TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that Senate Bill
No. 569, Printer's No. 861, be taken from the table and placed on
the Calendar.

The motion was agreed to by voice vote.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The bill will be placed on the
Calendar.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 601, SB 694, SB 722, SB 763, HB 1016 and HB 1557 --
Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the
request of Senator CORMAN.

SENATE RESOLUTION No. 47, ADOPTED

Senator CORMAN, without objection, called up from page 14
of the Calendar, Senate Resolution No. 47, entitled:

A Resolution establishing a legislative task force on the delivery of
high-speed broadband services and directing the Joint State Government
Commission to establish an advisory committee to conduct a study on
the delivery of high-speed broadband services in unserved areas and
underserved areas of this Commonwealth and to report its findings and
recommendations to the Senate.

On the question,
Will the Senate adopt the resolution?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gen-
tlewoman from York, Senator Phillips-Hill.

Senator PHILLIPS-HILL. Mr. President, I rise in support of
both Senate Resolution No. 47 and Senate Resolution No. 48.
These two resolutions are part of a bipartisan, bicameral effort to
close the digital divide in the Commonwealth. When I first em-
arked on this journey over 2 years ago while serving in the
House, I wrote a column for the York Daily Record entitled,
"Closing the Digital Divide." The column took into account
promises made and promises broken by companies that had
pledged to make high-speed Internet available to everyone across
the State. After starting the bipartisan House broadband caucus
with my good friend and colleague, the gentlewoman from
Greene County, we were told, ladies, you are on a fool's errand
and will not be able to get anywhere on this issue. At the time,
we were told by the FCC that roughly 800,000 residents in the
Commonwealth lacked access to high-speed Internet. This was
data self-reported by Internet service providers throughout the
State. With any research, the saying goes, trust, but verify.

In February, Governor Wolf called for significant investment
into deploying broadband in underserved and unserved areas
throughout the State. At that same time, a comprehensive report
by Penn State University showed that nearly 11 million people
are without access to high-speed Internet. That is a far cry from
the FCC report. Earlier this month, my good friend and colleague
from Lycoming County, who chairs the Center for Rural Penn-
sylvania, followed up on the Penn State study. The Center for
Rural Pennsylvania's report showed that there is not a single
county among our Commonwealth's 67 counties that has over 50
percent of the population served by broadband connectivity.
Some will say that this issue is simply about getting Netflix into
every home, and I say to you, nothing could be further from the
truth.

Earlier today, we concurred on another bill I have worked on,
with the help of my friend and colleague from Lancaster County,
to provide another option to our schools to deal with unplanned
school closures. The bill provides an option for continuity of
education for students during a school closure by utilizing tech-
ology and other means to conduct a school day despite not be-
ing in the classroom. The other means is designed to address
those schools that have connectivity issues. In South Eastern
School District, vehicles show up in the school's parking lot after
hours because students need to park near the schools to access
the Wi-Fi in order to do their homework because they cannot
access high-speed Internet at home. Our education system is
becoming more and more reliant on the Internet. Textbooks are
being replaced with Chromebooks, and the question is, will we
be ahead or behind the curve? Our economy is continually evolv-
ing, and technology is a necessary part of the overall equation.

In my district, we have Federal government employees and
contractors who travel to and from Washington, D.C., but would
much rather telecommute from home. We have healthcare pa-
tients in rural areas who could speak with their doctors through
telemedicine if there was access to high-speed Internet. Instead,
they have to drive, or find someone to drive them, a half-hour, an
hour, to speak with a physician. Heart pacemakers and
defibrillators today communicate with healthcare professionals
to monitor our loved ones' health and vital statistics. Even our
agricultural sector is relying on the latest technology to insure
that their livestock and crops are healthy. Cows are wearing
Fitbits, and farmers are using drones to monitor their crops. None
of this is possible without high-speed Internet access, and that is
why this issue is much more important than just helping someone access Netflix. That is why making sure that we address this issue with a thoughtful, deliberative approach is imperative.

Senate Resolution No. 47 will put together a bicameral, bipartisan commission to recommend improvements for the deployment of high-speed Internet in our State's unserved and underserved areas. Members who served in this body before us made a concerted effort to invest in high-speed Internet, and while I appreciate the latest calls for increased investments into this much-needed service throughout the Commonwealth, I am simply asking that we look at what has been done based on prior laws that required an investment to increase access to areas in need. Did these companies follow the law? What worked? What did not work? What can we learn from prior laws? Again, trust, but verify. As someone who has joined the chorus of those frustrated with our State's implementation of a statewide radio system that started in the 1990s and continues to plague taxpayers, we cannot repeat the mistakes of the past. We cannot ask the taxpayers to invest without insuring that prior taxpayer-funded investments were not wasted with regard to high-speed Internet deployment, and that is why we must trust, but verify, and that is exactly what Senate Resolution No. 48 will do. This resolution will direct the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct an in-depth investigation and audit into the compliance of telecommunication carriers with prior State laws that required the deployment of broadband in the mid-1990s and early 2000s. Mr. President, I appreciate the bipartisan support of this legislation and respectfully ask for an affirmative vote on both Senate Resolution No. 47 and Senate Resolution No. 48.

Thank you, Mr. President.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate adopt the resolution?
A voice vote having been taken, the question was determined in the affirmative.

SENATE RESOLUTION No. 48, ADOPTED

Senator CORMAN, without objection, called up from page 14 of the Calendar, Senate Resolution No. 48, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a review of the compliance of telecommunication carriers with the high-speed broadband deployment mandates of the Public Utility Code and to report findings and recommendations to the Senate.

On the question,
Will the Senate adopt the resolution?
A voice vote having been taken, the question was determined in the affirmative.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate for the purpose of an off-the-floor meeting of the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations to be held in the Rules room in the rear of the Chamber.
SB 585 (Pr. No. 946) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, establishing the Pennsylvania Dairy Future Commission and providing for its powers and duties.

SB 634 (Pr. No. 1035) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, establishing the Pennsylvania Dairy Future Commission and providing for its powers and duties.

SB 661 (Pr. No. 1075) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Agricultural Business Development Center, the Agricultural Business Development Center Advisory Committee and the Agricultural Business Development Center Fund; providing for the Commonwealth Specialty Crop Block Grant Program and establishing the Commonwealth Specialty Crop Block Grant Fund; providing for agriculture and youth development; establishing the Urban Agricultural Infrastructure Grant Program; and making an editorial change.

SB 698 (Pr. No. 1026) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1985 (P.L.457, No.112), known as the Medical Practice Act of 1985, further providing for definitions, for physician assistants and for physician assistant license.

SB 699 (Pr. No. 1027) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending the act of October 5, 1978 (P.L.1109, No.261), known as the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act, further providing for definitions for physician assistants.

SB 700 (Pr. No. 1074) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, establishing the Public Higher Education Funding Commission; providing for construction and renovation of buildings by school entities; and establishing a grant program for maintenance projects.

SB 701 (Pr. No. 1025) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey certain lands and improvements situate in the City of Allentown and the City of Bethlehem, Lehigh County, through a competitive solicitation process; and making a related repeal.

SB 724 (Pr. No. 1046) (Rereported) (Concurrence)

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes as follows: In Title 24: for retirement for school employees, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions, in membership, contributions and benefits, further providing for payment by employers and providing for management of the State Employees’ Retirement Fund, further providing for election to become a Class A-6 member or solely a participant in the plan and for eligibility for death benefits; and, in benefits, further providing for maximum single life annuity.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS
SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR No. 1

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 478 (Pr. No. 1067) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing for beginning farmer tax credit.

On the question, Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 478?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 478.

On the question, Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

Argall  Aument  Baker  Bartolotta  Blake  Boscola  Brewster  Brooks  Browne  Collett  Corman  Costa  Dinniman

DiSanto  Farnese  Folmer  Fontana  Gordner  Haywood  Hughes  Hutchinson  Iovino  Kearney  Killion  Langerholc  Laughlin

Leach  Martin  Mastriano  Mensch  Muth  Philips-Hill  Pittman  Regan  Sabatina  Santarsiero  Scarnati  Seavello  Schwank

Stefano  Street  Tartaglione  Tomlinson  Vogel  Ward  Judy  Ward  Kim  Williams, Anthony H  Williams, Lindsey  Yaw  Yudichak

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 585 (Pr. No. 946) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, establishing the Pennsylvania Dairy Future Commission and providing for its powers and duties.
On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 585?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 585.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordaner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholt</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 661 (Pr. No. 1075) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Agricultural Business Development Center, the Agricultural Business Development Center Advisory Committee and the Agricultural Business Development Center Fund; providing for the Commonwealth Specialty Crop Block Grant Program and establishing the Commonwealth Specialty Crop Block Grant Fund; providing for agriculture and youth development; establishing the Urban Agricultural Infrastructure Grant Program; and making a related repeal.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 661?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 661.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordaner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholt</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAY-0
A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS
SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR No. 2

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 130 (Pr. No. 1054) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to release a portion of the use restriction and reversionary interest affecting certain real property situate partly in the Township of Mahoning and partly in the Borough of Danville, County of Montour; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the County of Mifflin certain lands of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at the State Fire Academy situate in the Borough of Lewistown, Mifflin County, together with appurtenant parking, for the benefit of the general public; and authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania of the State System of Higher Education and the Governor, to grant and convey to the Cumberland Valley Rails to Trails Council a permanent easement from lands of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania situate in Shippensburg Township, Cumberland County, for the purpose of establishing and maintaining a parking lot for the benefit of the general public utilizing the Cumberland Valley Rail Trail.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 130?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 130.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

Argall  DiSanto  Leach  Stefano
Aument  Farnese  Martin  Street
Baker  Folmer  Mastriano  Tartaglione
Bartolotta  Fontana  Mensch  Tomlinson
Blake  Gordaner  Muth  Vogel
Boscola  Haywood  Phillips-Hill  Ward, Judy
Brewster  Hughes  Pittman  Ward, Kim
Brooks  Hutchinson  Regan  Williams, Anthony H
Browne  Iovino  Sabatina  Williams, Lindsey
Collett  Kearney  Santarsiero  Yaw
Corman  Killion  Scarnati  Yudichak
Costa  Langerholc  Scavello  
Dinniman  Laughlin  Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 698 (Pr. No. 1026) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1985 (P.L.457, No.112), known as the Medical Practice Act of 1985, further providing for definitions, for physician assistants and for physician assistant license.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 698?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 698.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

YEA-50

Argall  DiSanto  Leach  Stefano
Aument  Farnese  Martin  Street
Baker  Folmer  Mastriano  Tartaglione
Bartolotta  Fontana  Mensch  Tomlinson
Blake  Gordaner  Muth  Vogel
Boscola  Haywood  Phillips-Hill  Ward, Judy
Brewster  Hughes  Pittman  Ward, Kim
Brooks  Hutchinson  Regan  Williams, Anthony H
Browne  Iovino  Sabatina  Williams, Lindsey
Collett  Kearney  Santarsiero  Yaw
Corman  Killion  Scarnati  Yudichak
Costa  Langerholc  Scavello  
Dinniman  Laughlin  Schwank

NAY-0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

SB 699 (Pr. No. 1027) -- The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 5, 1978 (P.L.1109, No.261), known as the Osteopathic Medical Practice Act, further providing for physician assistants.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 699?
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 699.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

**YEAS-50**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholc</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAY-0**

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

**SB 701 (Pr. No. 1025) --** The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey certain lands and improvements situate in the City of Allentown and the City of Bethlehem, Lehigh County, through a competitive solicitation process; and making a related repeal.

On the question,
Will the Senate concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 701?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 701.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator CORMAN and were as follows, viz:

**YEAS-50**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argall</th>
<th>DiSanto</th>
<th>Leach</th>
<th>Stefano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aument</td>
<td>Farnese</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Folmer</td>
<td>Mastriano</td>
<td>Tartaglione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartolotta</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>Mensch</td>
<td>Tomlinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake</td>
<td>Gordner</td>
<td>Muth</td>
<td>Vogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscola</td>
<td>Haywood</td>
<td>Phillips-Hill</td>
<td>Ward, Judy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewster</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
<td>Pittman</td>
<td>Ward, Kim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>Regan</td>
<td>Williams, Anthony H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne</td>
<td>Iovino</td>
<td>Sabatina</td>
<td>Williams, Lindsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>Santarsiero</td>
<td>Yaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corman</td>
<td>Killion</td>
<td>Scarnati</td>
<td>Yudichak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa</td>
<td>Langerholc</td>
<td>Scavello</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinniman</td>
<td>Laughlin</td>
<td>Schwank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAY-0**

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate for the purpose of a Republican caucus to be held in the Majority Caucus Room beginning at about 8:30 p.m.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Costa.

Senator COSTA. Mr. President, Senate Democrats will meet in our caucus room in the rear of the Chamber roughly at the same time as well.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. For purposes of Republican and Democratic caucuses to be held in their respective caucus rooms, without objection, the Senate stands in recess.

AFTER RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The time of recess having expired, the Senate will come to order.

MOTION PURSUANT TO SENATE RULE 11

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate continue debate beyond 11 p.m. for a meeting of the Committee on Appropriations and to complete unfinished business.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

A voice vote having been taken, the question was determined in the affirmative.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate for the purpose of an off-the-floor meeting of the Committee on Appropriations to be held in the Rules room in the rear of the Chamber.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. For the purpose of an off-the-floor meeting of the Committee on Appropriations to be held in the Rules room in the rear of the Chamber, without objection, the Senate stands in recess.
AFTER RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The time of recess having expired, the Senate will come to order.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

Senator BROWNE, from the Committee on Appropriations, reported the following bills:

HB 262 (Pr. No. 2266) (Amended) (Rereported)

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in sales and use tax, further providing for definitions, for imposition of tax, for exclusions from tax, for licenses, for persons required to make returns, for tax held in trust for the Commonwealth, for assessment, for collection of tax and for crimes and providing for class actions; in personal income tax, further providing for classes of income, providing for contributions for Veterans’ Trust Fund, further providing for returns of married individuals, deceased or disabled individuals and fiduciaries and providing for paid tax return preparers and required information on personal income tax returns; in corporate net income tax, further providing for manufacturing innovation and reinvestment deduction; in realty transfer tax, further providing for definitions and for excluded transactions and providing for transfer of tax; in entertainment production tax credit, further providing for definitions, for carryover, carryback and assignment of credit, for limitations, for film production tax credit districts, for definitions, for carryover, carryback and assignment of tax credit and for limitations; in resource enhancement and protection tax credit, further providing for definitions, for Resource Enhancement and Protection Tax Credit Program, for tax credits, for project certification and for annual tax credits; in historic preservation incentive tax credit, further providing for definitions and for tax credit certificates, establishing the Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit Administration Account, further providing for carryover, carryback and assignment of credit, for pass-through entity, providing for annual report to General Assembly, further providing for application of Internal Revenue Code and for limitation and providing for recapture; in coal refuse energy and reclamation tax credit, further providing for definitions, for application and approval of tax credit and for limitation on tax credits; in tax credit for new jobs, further providing for definition of full academic year requirements; in school districts, providing for full academic year requirements; in school districts, providing for annual budget, additional or increased appropriations and transfer of funds; in grounds and buildings, further providing for limitation on new applications for Department of Labor and Industry, its departmental administrative and advisory boards and departmental administrative officers, providing for Bureau of Occupational and Industrial Safety; providing for legislative agencies and the continuation of Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing; and making editorial changes and related repeals.

HB 1614 (Pr. No. 2214) (Rereported)

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for persons not to possess, use, manufacture, control, sell or transfer firearms and for sale or transfer of firearms; and, in commencement of proceedings, further providing for Statewide municipal police jurisdiction, for nonmunicipal police extraterritorial jurisdiction and for agents of the Office of Attorney General and providing for interpretation.

HB 1615 (Pr. No. 2268) (Amended) (Rereported)

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in preliminary provisions, further providing for Special Education Funding Commission; in school districts, providing for full academic year requirements; in school finances, further providing for annual budget, additional or increased appropriations and transfer of funds; in ground and buildings, further providing for limitation on new applications for Department of Education approval of public school building projects; in district superintendents and assistant district superintendents, further providing for eligibility; in pupils and attendance, further providing for definitions and for nonprofit school food program; in Drug and Alcohol Recovery High School Pilot Program, further providing for audit required; establishing the Innovation Schools Program; in community colleges, further providing for financial program and reimbursement of payments; in educational tax credits, further providing for definitions, for qualification and application by organizations, for application by business firms, for tax credits, for limitations and for opportunity scholarships; adding provisions relating to postsecondary institution sexual harassment and sexual violence policy and online reporting systems; in school districts of the first class, further providing for board of public education and additional duties; in ready-to-succeed scholarship, further providing for agency duties relating to eligibility criteria; in funding for public libraries, providing for State aid for fiscal year 2019-2020; in reimbursements by Commonwealth and between school districts, further providing for payments on account of pupils enrolled in vocational curriculums, for student-weighted basic education funding, for payments to intermediate units, for assistance to school districts declared to be in financial recovery status or identified for financial watch status, for Ready-to-Learn Block Grant and for payment of required contribution for public school employees' social security and providing for advanced placement and International Baccalaureate exam fees; providing for fostering independence through education; and providing for references to "area vocational-technical school" and "vocational curriculums" in statute or regulation.

HB 716 (Pr. No. 2007) (Rereported)

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry, its departmental administrative and advisory boards and departmental administrative officers, providing for joint task force on misclassification of employees.
ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE SECRETARY

The following announcements were read by the Secretary of the Senate:

SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

THURSDAY, JUNE 27, 2019

9:30 A.M. LABOR AND INDUSTRY (public hearing on the nomination of Marguerite Quinn to the Unemployment Compensation Board of Review)

10:00 A.M. JUDICIARY (public hearing to consider the nominations for the following Judges for the Court of Common Pleas: Mary McGinley, Esq. - Allegheny County; Shawn McMahon, Esq. - Elk/Cameron County; Anna-Kristie Morffi Marks - Lehigh County; Ryan Tira, Esq. - Lycoming County; Kelly Anne Gaughan, Esq. - Pike County; and Traci McDonald-Kemp, Esq. - Washington County)

Off the Floor

RULES AND EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS (to consider Senate Bills No. 48, 89, 621, 695, 712 and 733; and certain Executive Nominations)

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE GOVERNOR

NOMINATIONS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate the following communications in writing from His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth, which were read as follows and referred to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations:

MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

June 26, 2019

To the Honorable, the Senate

of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate, Thomas J. Yablonski, Jr., 1408 Rose Lane, Mechanicsburg 17055, Cumberland County, Thirty-first Senatorial District, for appointment as a member of the State Board of Cosmetology, to serve until February 5, 2021, and until his successor is appointed and qualified, but not longer than six months beyond that period, vice Addrean Hahn, Hanover, resigned.

TOM WOLF
Governor

PROTHONOTARY, SCHUYLKILL COUNTY

June 26, 2019

To the Honorable, the Senate

of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate, Rodney R. Akers, Esquire, 4307 Dakota Street, Pittsburgh 15213, Allegheny County, Forty-second Senatorial District, for appointment as Judge, Court of Common Pleas, Dauphin County, to serve until the first Monday of January 2022, vice David J. Dutcavich, resigned.

TOM WOLF
Governor

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE

June 26, 2019

To the Honorable, the Senate

of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate, Thomas J. Yablonski, Jr., 1408 Rose Lane, Mechanicsburg 17055, Cumberland County, Thirty-first Senatorial District, for appointment as Magisterial District Judge, in and for the County of Westmoreland, Magisterial District 10-3-11, to serve until the first Monday of January 2022, vice Roger F. Eckels, resigned.

TOM WOLF
Governor

BILLS SIGNED

The PRESIDENT (Lieutenant Governor John K. Fetterman) in the presence of the Senate signed the following bills:


The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Senator Joseph B. Scarnati III) in the presence of the Senate signed the following bills:

SB 130, SB 241, SB 478, SB 585, SB 634, SB 661, SB 698, SB 699, SB 701, HB 33, HB 370, HB 615, HB 807, HB 856, HB 915, HB 1514, HB 1516, HB 1524 and HB 1526.

RECESS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman.

Senator CORMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now recess until Thursday, June 27, 2019, at 11 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, unless sooner recalled by the President pro tempore.

The motion was agreed to by voice vote.

The Senate recessed at 10:56 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.