

# Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21, 1980

Session of 1980

164th of the General Assembly

Vol. 2, No. 32

## SENATE

WEDNESDAY, May 21, 1980.

The Senate met at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Martin L. Murray) in the Chair.

### PRAYER

The following prayer was offered by the Secretary of the Senate, Hon. MARK GRUELL, JR.:

Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, we come to Thee and we acknowledge Thee as the giver of every good and perfect gift. Among those gifts which Thou has given us are the gifts of life, of reason, of friendship and of love. We pray that we may use every bit of them to make the world in our time better than it has ever been before, so that those who follow after us may rise and call us blessed because we lived the way Thou has wanted us to live.

We ask Thy blessing upon the work of today, that we may give the best we have so that, in all things, Thy Name may be glorified. We ask this in the Master's Name. Amen.

### JOURNAL APPROVED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. A quorum of the Senate being present, the Clerk will read the Journal of the preceding Session.

The Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of the preceding Session, when, on motion of Senator ZEMPRELLI, further reading was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

### SENATOR ZEMPRELLI TO VOTE FOR SENATOR REIBMAN

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, I have a request for a legislative leave of absence on behalf of Senator Reibman.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

### COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE GOVERNOR

#### NOMINATIONS BY THE GOVERNOR REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

The Secretary to the Governor being introduced, presented communications in writing from His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth, which were read as follows, and referred to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations:

## DISTRICT JUSTICE

May 21, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Elaine M. McGraw, 306C Oakridge Road, R. D. #2, McDonald 15057, Allegheny County, Thirty-seventh Senatorial District, for appointment as District Justice in and for the County of Allegheny, Class 2, District 21, to serve until the first Monday of January, 1982, vice William E. Downey, Bridgeville, resigned.

DICK THORNBURGH.

## DISTRICT JUSTICE

May 21, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate J. William Stover, 329 Glen Street, Chambersburg 17201, Franklin County, Thirty-third Senatorial District, for appointment as District Justice in and for the County of Franklin, Class 1, District 01, to serve until the first Monday of January, 1982, vice Joseph W. Gotwals, Chambersburg, resigned.

DICK THORNBURGH.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES OF CONFERENCE SUBMITTED

Senator STAPLETON submitted the Reports of Committees of Conference on SB 985 and 986, which were placed on the Calendar.

### BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

Senators GREENLEAF and GEKAS presented to the Chair SB 1423, entitled:

An Act authorizing the appointment by the president judge of a court of common pleas of retired judges to conduct trials of certain civil cases.

Which was committed to the Committee on Judiciary.

Senators O'PAKE, REIBMAN, HANKINS and LINCOLN presented to the Chair SB 1424, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," providing for defraying of expenses of handicapped students.

Which was committed to the Committee on Education.

Senators SCHAEFER, KUSSE, KELLEY, SCANLON, PECORA and LEWIS presented to the Chair SB 1425, entitled:

An Act to define and regulate secondary mortgage loans and providing penalties.

Which was committed to the Committee on Business and Commerce.

Senator GURZENDA presented to the Chair SB 1426, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Carbon-Monroe Association for the Blind, a branch of the Pennsylvania Association for the Blind.

Which was committed to the Committee on Appropriations.

CALENDAR

HB 1177 CALLED UP OUT OF ORDER

HB 1177 (Pr. No. 3345) — Without objection, the bill was called up out of order, from page 3 of the Third Consideration Calendar, by Senator ZEMPRELLI.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 1177 (Pr. No. 3345) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

(During the calling of the roll, the following occurred:)

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, I am not one to complain, but today typifies what can happen when there is a lack of decorum in the Senate, particularly when a roll call is being called. When the reader was running the roll call, somebody was talking to me at the side desk, which I am not objecting to, but inadvertently I assumed he called my name and he had called the name of the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Romanelli. I responded to what I thought was my name. The reason for that, Mr. President, was, first of all, the Senate was in disarray when the roll call was being taken and somebody was talking to me at the desk. I do not mind them talking to me at the desk, but I do think the combination of the two factors makes it possible for there to be error. So, if in fact I did respond to the name of the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Romanelli, I thought I was in fact responding to my own name. The gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Romanelli, is not here and if he is on this roll call, I would ask that it be stricken, because he has not voted as far as I know and he is not on a leave of absence.

Mr. President, I would ask the Chair to remind the Members of the Senate the importance of maintaining some decorum when a roll call is conducted.

VERIFICATION OF THE ROLL

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Clerk will proceed to call the names of those recorded as voting in the affirmative.

The Clerk read the name of those recorded as having voted in the affirmative as follows:

Andrews,	Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,
Arlene,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,

Bell,	Hess,	Lynch,	Ross,
Bodack,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Scanlon,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Schaefer,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Snyder,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stauffer,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stout,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Are there any corrections?

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, I object to any name being verified on the roll call who is not present on this floor at this time in his seat under the Senate rules. I do not like to make these objections, Mr. President, but in order to pursue what the eminent Majority Leader said about the disarray as it occurs at times on the first roll call of the day, I think we should have that. I object until all Members who are verified on the roll call are present in their seats at this time. There were a number of names who were called who were not in their seats and I am making an objection.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Would the gentleman give the names of any Senators that are not in their seats?

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, I understand there has been one leave of absence and that is the lady from Northampton, Senator Reibman, who is on legislative leave.

There are other Senators that I do not see present, the gentleman from Bucks, Senator Howard, the gentleman is not on the floor, Mr. President.

The gentleman from Dauphin, Senator Gekas, is on the floor now, Mr. President.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, if what the gentleman from Westmoreland, Senator Kelley, is suggesting is a quorum call, perhaps we should have that, but the gentleman from Bucks, Senator Howard, was present, he is now back, present and there may be some other Members—

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, the gentleman from Bucks, Senator Howard, is now present, and I accept the roll call as verified.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, was also here. I know he has stepped off the floor. The gentleman from Westmoreland, Senator Kelley, may observe the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, is now on the floor at the Majority Leader's desk.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The affirmative roll will stand as verified.

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Andrews,	Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,
Arlene,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Bell,	Hess,	Lynch,	Ross,
Bodack,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Scanlon,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Schaefer,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Snyder,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stauffer,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stout,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	

## NAYS—0

Not being present at the time of roll call, Senators ROMANELLI and TILGHMAN announced their vote in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

**PERSONAL PRIVILEGE**

Senator BELL. Mr. President, I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman from Delaware, Senator Bell, will state it.

Senator BELL. Mr. President, do the Senate rules not say that a Senator may vote when he is within the halls of the Senate? Does he have to be sitting in his seat when he votes?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman is correct.

**GUESTS OF SENATOR MICHAEL P. SCHAEFER PRESENTED TO SENATE**

Senator SCHAEFER. Mr. President, I do not want to delay the proceedings any further, but I am pleased to introduce in the gallery today a couple of constituents from the fighting Thirty-seventh Senatorial District, members of the Bethel Park League of Women Voters. I ask that the Senate extend to them their usual warm welcome and ask that they also stand up, Laurie Fuller and Susan Hughes.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Will the guests of Senator Schaefer please stand so the Senate can give them their usual warm welcome?

(Applause.)

**GUEST OF SENATOR JAMES R. LLOYD, JR. PRESENTED TO SENATE**

Senator LLOYD. Mr. President, it is my pleasure to introduce a very fine friend of mine, the President of Executive Marketing Services, in Collegeville, Pennsylvania, Mr. Gerald Vandergrift, who came up to Harrisburg today to be with us.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. We welcome the guest of Senator Lloyd. If he will stand, the Senate will give him their usual warm welcome.

(Applause.)

**GUESTS OF SENATOR ROBERT J. MELLOW PRESENTED TO SENATE**

Senator MELLOW. Mr. President, we also have in the gallery today the League of Women Voters from throughout this great State of ours. I think we should recognize all of the ladies who are here representing the League of Women Voters.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. If the League of Women Voters would stand, the Senate will give them their usual warm welcome.

(Applause.)

**RECESS**

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate until 2:00 p.m., for the purpose of holding a Democratic caucus and a Republican caucus.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Are there any objections? The Chair hears no objection, and declares a recess of the Senate until 2:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

**AFTER RECESS**

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Eugene F. Scanlon) in the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The time of recess having elapsed, the Senate will be in order.

**PERMISSION TO ADDRESS SENATE**

Senator TILGHMAN asked and obtained unanimous consent to address the Senate.

Senator TILGHMAN. Mr. President, earlier in the day when the vote was taken on House Bill No. 1177, I was at a meeting on the budget. Had I been present, I would have voted in favor of the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread on the record.

**PERMISSION TO ADDRESS SENATE**

Senator ROMANELLI asked and obtained unanimous consent to address the Senate.

Senator ROMANELLI. Mr. President, earlier today when House Bill No. 1177 was run, I was in my office at a legislative meeting. Had I been on the floor, I would have voted in the affirmative on House Bill No. 1177.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Your vote will be recorded in the Journal.

**SENATOR ZEMPRELLI TO VOTE FOR SENATOR MELLOW, SENATOR ROSS AND SENATOR LYNCH**

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, since this morning's schedule, a number of our Senators have had to attend to legislative matters and are not presently on the floor. One is a Committee of Conference that is meeting and another has to do with other legislative business in the district.

For that reason, Mr. President, I am requesting legislative leaves of absence on behalf of Senator Mellow, Senator Ross and Senator Lynch, who are conferees on a Committee of Conference report being discussed, Senate Bill No. 10.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair hears no objection and the leaves are granted.

**SENATOR STAUFFER TO VOTE FOR SENATOR DWYER AND SENATOR MANBECK**

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, at this time I would request a legislative leave of absence for Senator Dwyer, who was forced to leave to attend a legislative meeting in his district.

Mr. President, I also request a legislative leave of absence for Senator Manbeck, who is participating in the same Committee of Conference on Senate Bill No. 10.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair hears no objection and the leaves are granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES OF CONFERENCE

#### BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**SB 65 and 508** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

#### REPORT ADOPTED

**SB 770 (Pr. No. 1815)** — Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, I move that the Senate adopt the Report of Committee of Conference on Senate Bill No. 770, entitled:

An act regulating the licensure and practice of optometry, making repeals and providing penalties.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

Senator SCHAEFER. Mr. President, I desire to interrogate the gentleman from Cambria, Senator Coppersmith.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the gentleman from Cambria, Senator Coppersmith, permit himself to be interrogated?

Senator COPPERSMITH. I will, Mr. President.

Senator SCHAEFER. Mr. President, in the gentleman's capacity as a prime sponsor and Chairman of the Committee of Conference, could the gentleman from Cambria, Senator Coppersmith, tell us what changes were made from the original version of Senate Bill No. 770 as it was passed by the Senate of Pennsylvania?

Senator COPPERSMITH. Mr. President, the major changes were these: The language mandating referral in case pathology was found which was in the definition was moved to the section dealing with improper practice of optometry. The language is still in but it was placed in a different section. The referral requirement was not removed. We removed the requirement which was not in the Senate bill but which the House put in with regard to legislative review of regulations. This is not done for any other profession and the gentleman from Lycoming, Senator Hager, and the gentleman from Allegheny, Senator Zempirelli, have introduced a bill that would mandate a uniform procedure and we wanted to wait for that to be passed, particularly when these regulations are not the type that normally would need legislative oversight.

Mr. President, we also clearly indicated that certain sales of eyeglasses would not be made illegal by this Optometric Act, that are presently not illegal. Those were the major amendments, Mr. President. There were one or two stylistic amendments but those were the major amendments.

Senator SCHAEFER. Mr. President, could the gentleman from Cambria, Senator Coppersmith, point out to me the specific area involving mandatory referrals?

Senator COPPERSMITH. Mr. President, if you will indulge me for a moment, I will look it up.

On page 9, Section 11. "Neglect of duty in the practice of optometry, which may include failure to refer a patient, when appropriate, to a physician for consultation or further treatment when an optometric examination determines the presence of a pathology."

#### SENATOR STAUFFER TO VOTE FOR SENATOR HAGER

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I just learned that Senator Hager had to go to the House of Representatives for a meeting over in that Chamber and I will be voting him.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

#### SENATOR ZEMPRELLI TO VOTE FOR SENATOR ROMANELLI

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, as the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, had found it convenient to request a legislative leave and as we were in that vein of thought, I thought it might be proper for me at this time, while Senator Romanelli, is still here, to request a legislative leave on his behalf when he chooses to depart in the next few minutes so there is no question as to whether or not he will be granted a leave as I do not want him to leave under the apprehension the leave has been agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It has been requested that when and if Senator Romanelli leaves the Chamber, he be granted legislative leave. The Chair hears no objection and leave will be granted when and if he leaves the Chamber.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator ZEMPRELLI and were as follows, viz:

#### YEAS—48

Andrews,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Bell,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Schaefer,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Smith,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Snyder,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stapleton,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stauffer,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Stout,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	Tilghman,
Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,	Zempirelli,

#### NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

**BILL WHICH HOUSE HAS NONCONCURRED  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

**BILL OVER IN ORDER**

**HB 552** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

**SENATE NONCONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

**SB 543 (Pr. No. 1759)** — Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 543.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

Senator FUMO. Mr. President, I was the prime sponsor of Senate Bill No. 543 some time ago and it was originally drafted to alleviate some of the problems that we have in allowing candidates a sufficient amount of time to withdraw, particularly at the time at issue with the problem that we faced in Philadelphia with some 105 candidates running for councilman-at-large for five seats and not having the opportunity to know what their ballot position was until just before the last date of filing. Had they known that they did not have a good ballot position, many of those individuals might have withdrawn and made it much simpler for the Election Commission to conduct the elections.

This bill passed the Senate overwhelmingly, but when it got to the House, they deleted some of the language and added new language concerning the Campaign Finance Law. Much of this new language I really do not have a strenuous objection to, however, I think it is incumbent upon us if we are to give future candidates proper guidelines under which to run for office, that we clarify some of the language.

Particularly distressing is the language that appears on page 8, paragraph 6, line 12. It says there that a candidate may in effect have "the use of the personal residence . . ." which I do not object to, ". . . or the business or office space of the candidate . . ." Now the problem with the word business there, while it seems innocuous, I think is very severe in that when we talk of a business, in many cases we are talking about a corporation. One of the things Pennsylvania has been in the forefront with regard to political financing is the prohibition of corporate contributions to candidates.

If this language is as it appears to be, it would now change that limitation against corporate contributions for a candidate who happens to own a corporation. Perhaps it was the intent of the individuals in the House to merely talk about business space, but that is neither what this bill says nor what the language says. It says in the use of the candidate's business. That would then entail the use of not only the office space, business equipment, Xerox machines, printing presses, business employees paid for by the corporation, for which tax deductions would be taken, in clear violation of our Pennsylvania Election Code. This would only create an ambiguity in the law which at some future point in time would probably cause some candidate an awful lot of distress if it were seized upon by some overzealous prosecutor.

Mr. President, I think it should be our goal in drafting legislation that we do not put ambiguous language in legislation, particularly when we talk about legislation concerning campaign financing.

Another particular portion of Senate Bill No. 543 which I find to be serious and questionable which I think we really should consider, and I think these things can only be considered in a Committee of Conference, given the status of the bill, appears again on page 8, lines 27, 28 and 29. This allows for a rule-making function to be set up with the Secretary of the Commonwealth. It means that we would now allow the Secretary of the Commonwealth to begin to promulgate rules and regulations concerning what items of personal property would be permitted to be used by the candidate. I am sure having served here in the short period that I have, that the last thing people in this Chamber want to do is to add to the ever-burgeoning bureaucratic rule-making process and to allow the bureaucracy now to get into defining what personal property may be used in the political campaign. I think we are looking for a nightmarish situation and if anything we are looking to some of the problems that Federal candidates face with the FEC rule-making process. You and I both know that many times we will adopt a law, pass it and give some agency rule-making power to find out four or five years later that now the rule-making power has completely changed the original idea and concept of the law and is now meaningless and the rules and regulations are more important.

I think this is the kind of dangerous language which exists in the amendments that came to us from the House which we must iron out. I repeat, I am not against the concept of these amendments, Mr. President, but I think the language ambiguities are such that we should properly define them and then consider this bill in a proper frame of reference.

Mr. President, I would therefore urge a "no" vote on the motion of the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer.

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I think, perhaps, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Fumo, has somewhat overstated his case in opposing the motion to concur in the amendments placed by the House in Senate Bill No. 543.

In my view, these amendments have been very carefully considered as a means of straightening out some loopholes that exist in the campaign finance laws. In the use of the office, for example, there was a \$1,000 threshold placed in the bill very carefully to see that there was not a misuse of what would be either corporate or personal property. It was designed so that we could get rid of many of the ambiguities that exist and clear up a lot of the questions that concern candidates and cause them a great deal of difficulty in determining. I believe it is for that reason that no less an organization than Common Cause supports these amendments and certainly we know from the past positions taken by the Common Cause Organization that they would not want to open up the Election Laws to abuse or to misuse.

For that reason, Mr. President, I think the very carefully considered amendments the House has come up with which are very timely since they come before us right before an election period in which we are all vitally concerned, should be considered favorably.

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, I desire to interrogate the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, permit himself to be interrogated?

Senator STAUFFER. I will, Mr. President.

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, do I understand that the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, is agreeing with the observations made by the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Fumo, that the language in the House amendments to which your motion is to adopt would allow the corporation, in given cases, to be able to contribute in kind up to \$1,000 of spaces or value of services for a campaign?

Senator STAUFFER. No, Mr. President, I do not agree with that statement the way it was presented by the gentleman. What Senate Bill No. 543 provides is that a candidate may use his business facility which would mean, for example, that he might want to make some telephone calls from his office. I would agree, however, that if his business was a corporation that up to a limit of \$1,000 he could use his office, his telephone, et cetera to make those calls or do those things. They certainly would not be in the form of a corporate contribution.

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, with the possessive "his" or "her," of course, being the candidate, would it apply to an employee of the corporation who has a space in his place of employment, his or her place of employment, or must it necessarily be a principal of the corporation?

Senator STAUFFER. I believe, Mr. President, that it would be an employee using the space assigned to him, if it were a corporate situation.

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, it appears to me after this debate, and I thank both the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Fumo, and the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, for their dialogue because it appears to me that we are being rather selective here in this language and I intend to suggest that we should vote in the negative on the motion and then insist so that a Committee on Conference could work out these differences. It seems to me, Mr. President, if we really want to take care of the situation, we would do better to allow the corporation to make a contribution up to \$1,000 rather than be totally arbitrary with allowing somebody coincidentally who is an employee of a corporation to have the benefit.

Mr. President, I think if we are going to be fair and open about it, we should allow at least the employer of somebody, if they are a corporation, to make the contribution or a partnership or something. I share the sentiments of the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Fumo, and suggest a "no" vote.

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, just a brief response to the remarks of the gentleman from Westmoreland, Senator Kelley, and that is the intention of this provision in the bill is to clear up this gray area which currently exists wherein the type of employee to which he refers may happen to run off a copy of a document in the copying machine, make a phone call, something like that which now, under current law, may be considered a violation of the election law and yet I think that any right-thinking person would recognize that there is no blatant violation of the law if someone in the normal conduct of his business in his office and who happens to be a candidate for

public office, should make a telephone call or make a photocopy or something as innocuous as that.

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, it is precisely in the observation made by the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, now, that I believe it would be prudent legislatively for us to iron it out precisely because I share with him the gray area currently in the law that we want to correct with clarity. I believe because of the dialogue between the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Fumo, we need a greater clarification and suggest, therefore, that we can do that properly and legislatively in a Committee of Conference, rather than adopting the present language.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, I desire to interrogate the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, permit himself to be interrogated?

Senator STAUFFER. I will, Mr. President.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, on the question of a maximum of \$1,000, how will that be accounted for?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, it would be a cumulative valuation of the type of usage that we have been discussing.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, more specifically, will there be a daily log kept for the use of a telephone, two sheets on the mimeograph paper and then will there be a price?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, it would not necessarily be, because this would not be a reportable expense. The purpose of the bill in dealing with this is to avoid that very type of problem.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, how would the gentleman, if he was a business executive, determine when he reached \$1,000?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I would think that the candidate would keep certainly some kind of a rough record of what he was doing to see that he was not in violation and I think that along with that it should be pointed out that the burden of proof that the act had been violated, would fall upon the shoulders of the person bringing the allegation that there had been a violation.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, would this include long-distance telephone calls on the corporate telephone?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, as long as it was within the total \$1,000 limit it could.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, would it include the Xerox that you referred to and how would those individual costs be established?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, there I would think actual value. You can make a determination of what a photocopy cost would be.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, assuming that the gentleman arrived at about \$900 and that list is challenged, who would challenge the listing?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, anyone conducting an audit or a review of the expenses of the candidate in question.

Senator HOLL. Mr. President, what appeal would you have to that challenge if there was a challenge?

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, the challenger would

have to submit proof to the authorities that in fact the provisions of the act were violated, that the candidate had spent more than the \$1,000 threshold in the type of expense we are discussing.

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, what I want to make sure of is that we are requesting the Democratic caucus to oppose the motion to concur and in fact nonconcur and that would be a negative vote on the motion of the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator STAUFFER and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—20

Andrews,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Schaefer,
Bell,	Hopper,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dwyer,	Howard,	O'Connell,	Stapleton,
Gekas,	Jubelirer,	O'Pake,	Stauffer,
Greenleaf,	Kusse,	Price,	Tilghman,

NAYS—28

Arlene,	Hess,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Coppersmith,	Holl,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Corman,	Kelley,	Mellow,	Ross,
Early,	Kury,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Fumo,	Lewis,	Murray,	Smith,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Orlando,	Stout,
Hankins,	Lloyd,	Pecora,	Zemprelli,

Less than a majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the negative.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The President pro tempore will appoint a Committee of Conference.

Ordered, That the Clerk inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

FINAL PASSAGE CALENDAR

BILL OVER IN ORDER

SB 410 — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE AS AMENDED OVER IN ORDER

SB 1251, 1252 and HB 1840 — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 227 (Pr. No. 3317) — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrews,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Bell,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Schaefer,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Smith,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Snyder,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stapleton,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stauffer,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Stout,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	Tilghman,
Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

BILL REREFERRED

HB 1172 (Pr. No. 3382) — Upon motion of Senator ZEMPRELLI, and agreed to, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 1305 and HB 1585 — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED

HB 1684 (Pr. No. 2254) — Considered the third time,

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Senator STAUFFER, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by inserting after "1.":  
(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, by inserting between lines 7 and 8:

(b) It shall be unlawful for any physician to write a prescription on a form which does not have his name printed or stamped thereon.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any pharmacist to fill any prescription written on a form which does not meet the requirements of this act.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?  
They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator STAUFFER.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 1799 — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

**SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR****BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE AS AMENDED  
OVER IN ORDER**

**SB 765** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

**NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION  
BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

**SB 1396 (Pr. No. 1772), SB 1397 (Pr. No. 1776), HB 1530 (Pr. No. 1803), HB 2028 (Pr. No. 2562), HB 2146 (Pr. No. 2733) and HB 2191 (Pr. No. 2785)** — Considered the second time and agreed to.

Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

**RECESS**

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, before any further consideration of second consideration bills, I would request the Senate to stand in recess for the purpose of having a meeting of the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations. I assure you, Mr. President, that the meeting of the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations will be of very short duration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Senator Zemprelli has requested a short recess of the Senate for the purpose of a meeting of the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations which will be held in the Rules Committee room at the rear of the Chamber. The Senate will stand in a short recess.

**AFTER RECESS**

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The time of recess having elapsed, the Senate will be in order.

**SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED****BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION AND  
REREFERRED**

**SB 256 (Pr. No. 1822) and HB 821 (Pr. No. 3381)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

Upon motion of Senator ZEMPRELLI, and agreed to, the bills just considered were rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

**SB 1060 (Pr. No. 1774)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

**BILLS OVER IN ORDER**

**HB 1111, 1145, SB 1341, 1342, 1343, 1344, 1345, 1346, 1347, 1375, 1376, HB 1527 and 1528** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator ZEMPRELLI.

**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

**HB 2000 (Pr. No. 2511), HB 2231 (Pr. No. 3300) and HB 2527 (Pr. No. 3316)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

**SENATE RESOLUTION****MEMORIALIZING CONGRESS GIVE CUBAN  
IMMIGRANTS THE STATUS OF "REFUGEES"**

Senators SNYDER, GEKAS, MOORE, KUSSE and PRICE, by unanimous consent, offered the following resolution (**Serial No. 100**), which was read as follows:

In the Senate, May 21, 1980.

WHEREAS, Citizens of Cuba are being brought to Fort Indiantown Gap by the Federal Government in numbers which appear likely to total 20,000 by May 24, 1980; and

WHEREAS, The absence of an announced policy by the Federal Government as to their status raises the concern that the burden of the arriving immigrants may be inequitably divided to the prejudice of those states in which they are presently located; and

WHEREAS, The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania wishes to preserve its historic and humanitarian stance so that it bears its share of expense, but is concerned that an arbitrary decision by the Federal Government may result in a greater practical cost than Pennsylvania should equitably bear, prejudicial both to the Cuban immigrants and to the other citizens of this area; and

WHEREAS, Since all decisions relating to the arriving Cubans were necessarily made at the Federal level; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Senate of Pennsylvania memorializes Congress to give Cuban immigrants the status of "refugees" so that the prime responsibility rests upon the Nation as a whole; and be it further

RESOLVED, That Governor Dick Thornburgh be urged to approach both the President and the congressional delegation from Pennsylvania with a view to urging this action.

Senator SNYDER asked and obtained unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of this resolution.

On the question,

Will the Senate adopt the resolution?

SENATE RESOLUTION, SERIAL NO. 100, ADOPTED

Senator SNYDER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do adopt Senate Resolution, Serial No. 100.

The motion was agreed to and the resolution was adopted.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS****REPORT FROM COMMITTEE ON  
RULES AND EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS**

Senator FUMO, by unanimous consent, from the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations, reported the following nominations, made by His Excellency, the Governor, which were read by the Clerk as follows:

**PROTHONOTARY, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY  
OF CUMBERLAND**

March 31, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Lawrence E. Welker, 610 East Orange Street, Shippensburg 17257, Cumberland County, Thirty-third Senatorial District, for appointment as Prothonotary, in and for the County of Cumberland, to serve



until the first Monday of January, 1982, vice Glenn R. Farner, resigned.

DICK THORNBURGH.

TREASURER, LEBANON COUNTY.

April 7, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Mrs. Lois J. Bomberger, Valley Road, Box 343, Mt. Gretna 17064, Lebanon County, Forty-eighth Senatorial District, for appointment as Treasurer in and for the County of Lebanon, to serve until the first Monday of January, 1982, vice Erwin B. Gordon, deceased.

DICK THORNBURGH.

MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF NURSE EXAMINERS

April 30, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Doctor Dorothy J. Novello, 520 Indiana Drive, Erie 16505, Erie County, Forty-ninth Senatorial District, for reappointment as a member of the State Board of Nurse Examiners, to serve for a term of six years, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

DICK THORNBURGH.

MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS

April 11, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Barbara G. Hale (public member), 6929 Meade Street, Pittsburgh 15208, Allegheny County, Forty-third Senatorial District, for appointment as a member of the State Board of Examiners of Nursing Home Administrators, to serve until November 19, 1982, and until her successor is appointed and qualified, vice Patrick M. Greene, Harrisburg, whose term expired.

DICK THORNBURGH.

MEMBER OF THE CHESTER COUNTY BOARD OF ASSISTANCE

February 19, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate William Colon, Sr. (Republican), 100 South Blackhorse Road, Parkersburg 19365, Chester County, Thirteenth Senatorial District, for appointment as a member of the Chester County Board of Assistance, to serve until December 31, 1982, and until his successor is appointed and qualified, vice Mrs. Helen Durnell Byrd, West Chester, terminated.

DICK THORNBURGH.

DISTRICT JUSTICE

April 21, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate C. David Gilmore, Box 416 R.D. 2, Mill Hall 17751, Clinton County, Twenty-third Senatorial District, for appointment as District Justice in and for the County of Clinton, Class 3, District 02, to serve until the first Monday of January, 1982, vice Kermit Dietrich, Mill Hall, retired.

DICK THORNBURGH.

EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion was made by Senator FUMO,

That the Senate do now resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of considering certain nominations made by the Governor.

Which was agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

Senator FUMO asked and obtained unanimous consent for immediate consideration of the nominations made by His Excellency, the Governor, and reported from committee at today's Session.

NOMINATIONS TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator FUMO. Mr. President, I call from the table for consideration the nominations reported from committee today and previously read by the Clerk for Lawrence E. Welker, as Prothonotary, in and for the County of Cumberland; and Mrs. Lois J. Bomberger, as Treasurer, Lebanon County.

On the question,

Will the Senate advise and consent to the nominations?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator FUMO and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrews,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Bell,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Schaefer,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Smith,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Snyder,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stapleton,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stauffer,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Stout,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	Tilghman,
Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional two-thirds majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Governor be informed accordingly.

NOMINATIONS TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator FUMO. Mr. President, I call from the table for consideration the nominations reported from committee today and previously read by the Clerk for:

Doctor Dorothy J. Novello, as a member of the State Board of Nurse Examiners;

Barbara G. Hale, as a member of the State Board of Examiners of Nursing Home Administrators;

William Colon, Sr., as a member of the Chester County Board of Assistance; and

C. David Gilmore, as District Justice in and for the County of Clinton.

On the question,

Will the Senate advise and consent to the nominations?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator FUMO and were as follows, viz:

#### YEAS—48

Andrews,	Hankins,	Loeper,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	Lynch,	Romanelli,
Bell,	Holl,	Manbeck,	Ross,
Coppersmith,	Hopper,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Corman,	Howard,	Messinger,	Schaefer,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Moore,	Smith,
Early,	Kelley,	Murray,	Snyder,
Fumo,	Kury,	O'Connell,	Stapleton,
Gekas,	Kusse,	O'Pake,	Stauffer,
Greenleaf,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Stout,
Gurzenda,	Lincoln,	Pecora,	Tilghman,
Hager,	Lloyd,	Price,	Zemprelli,

#### NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Governor be informed accordingly.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION RISES

Senator FUMO. Mr. President, I move that the Executive Session do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

#### CONGRATULATORY RESOLUTIONS

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following resolutions, which were read, considered and adopted:

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Leo Redlinger by Senator Scanlon.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mrs. Victoria L. Green by Senator Early.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Michael F. Zavatchen by Senator Lincoln.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to the Good Intent Fire Company of New Philadelphia by Senator Gurzenda.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM THE GOVERNOR

##### NOMINATION BY THE GOVERNOR REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

The Secretary to the Governor being introduced, presented communication in writing from His Excellency, the Governor of the Commonwealth, which was read as follows, and referred to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations:

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 21, 1980.

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate The Honorable Harvey Bartle, III, 100 West Moreland Avenue, Philadelphia 19118, Philadelphia County, Thirty-sixth Senatorial District, for appointment as Attorney General, to serve during the pleasure of the Governor, for a term ending on the third Tuesday of January, 1981, vice The Honorable Edward G. Biester, Jr., Esquire, Furlong, resigned.

DICK THORNBURGH.

#### HOUSE MESSAGE

##### HOUSE INSISTS UPON ITS AMENDMENTS NONCURRED IN BY THE SENATE TO SB 316, AND APPOINTS COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The Clerk of the House of Representatives being introduced, informed the Senate that the House insists upon its amendments nonconcurrent in by the Senate to SB 316, and has appointed Messrs. BRANDT, SPITZ and FRYER as a Committee of Conference to confer with a similar committee of the Senate (already appointed) to consider the differences existing between the two houses in relation to said bill.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Martin L. Murray) in the Chair.

#### BILLS SIGNED

The President pro tempore (Martin L. Murray) in the presence of the Senate signed the following bills:

HB 453 and 2239.

##### COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE APPOINTED ON SB 543

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair announces the appointment of Senators FUMO, LINCOLN and HAGER as a Committee of Conference on the part of the Senate to confer with a similar committee of the House (if the House shall appoint such committee) to consider the differences existing between the two houses in relation to Senate Bill No. 543.

Ordered, That the Clerk inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### PETITIONS AND REMONSTRANCES

Senator SNYDER. Mr. President, I might give a word of explanation with respect to the resolution we passed a little while ago concerning the Cuban arrivals at Indiantown Gap. Some of us are concerned about the Cuban people here for two reasons. One, the possible impact on the welfare roles which, if they are Pennsylvanians, would have an increase in our cost to the State here.

Also those of us who live in the vicinity of Indiantown Gap are concerned that depending on what Federal action is taken, we find an extraordinarily large number of persons here at a time when, as we all know, the economy of Pennsylvania is sub-

normal as compared with that of the nation as a whole.

At the same time, all of us want to preserve the humanitarian approach that Pennsylvania traditionally has towards people who have to leave their own lands and especially those who are excluded from their lands by reason of their political beliefs.

At the same time, the Cuban refugee situation is one that was brought about by national policies and not by any Pennsylvania policy. Therefore, whatever burden accrues ought to be shared by the nation as a whole in our view.

Consequently, yesterday, several of us, the gentleman from Dauphin, Senator Gekas, the gentleman from Warren, Senator Kusse, the gentleman from Lebanon, Senator Manbeck, the gentleman from Centre, Senator Corman, the gentleman from Cumberland, Senator Hopper, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Senator Price, and I visited the Governor and discussed it with him. The real turning point in the whole matter is how will the Federal people classify these people. If they classify them as refugees, then they are a Federal responsibility and the State would have no greater share, presumably, than any other State.

However, if they are classified as aliens, they would, after a period of time, have to rely on what was available in whatever State they happen to be in. If Pennsylvania is one of the States in which a larger number of the Cubans are resident, then Pennsylvania would have that greater burden.

The best word yesterday was that they will continue to arrive at Indiantown Gap so that there will be a total of 20,000 by the end of the week. This was confirmed both by the Governor's office staff and by one of Congressman Walker's staff in Washington.

We suggested to the Governor, and the Governor certainly acquiesced, in fact he volunteered it also that he approached the Congressional delegation from Pennsylvania with a view to having the Federal government, either by Congressional action or otherwise, declare the people refugees.

At the same time, we urged that the Governor use whatever informal means he had to get to the Executive Branch to have that decision made in the same way also. The Governor certainly showed concern about the matter.

Again, we do not begrudge the need for people seeking political asylum to have the benefit of the best opportunity the United States has offered to citizens of all nations and all races in the past, but, again, we must be concerned with our own situation and not through any happenstance cause a heavier burden on Pennsylvania than on the nation as a whole.

For that reason, we offered the resolution and I am quite happy that the Senate saw fit to adopt it. I hope the Federal Government develops a policy quickly and that it is one with which we can all agree.

**RECESS**

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate will stand in recess until the call of the Chair.

**AFTER RECESS**

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The time of recess having elapsed, the Senate will be in order.

**HOUSE MESSAGE**

**HOUSE CONCURS IN SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

The Clerk of the House of Representatives being introduced, informed the Senate that the House has concurred in resolution from the Senate, entitled:

Weekly Adjournment.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE SECRETARY**

The following announcements were read by the Secretary of the Senate:

**SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

**TUESDAY, MAY 27, 1980**

- 12:00 Noon AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS (to consider Senate Bill No. 1253; House Bills No. 1608 and 1794) Room 459, 4th Floor Conference Rm., North Wing
- 12:30 P.M. Conference Committee on Senate Bill No. 316 Room 633, Local Govt. Commission Conference Rm.

**WEDNESDAY, JUNE 4, 1980**

- 10:00 A.M. PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE (Public Hearing on House Bill No. 2044) Auditorium, Wm. Penn Memorial Museum, Harrisburg, PA
- 12:00 Noon PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE (to consider Senate Bill No. 778 and House Bill No. 2012) Auditorium, William Penn Memorial Museum, Harrisburg, PA

**THURSDAY, JUNE 5, 1980**

- 10:00 A.M. LABOR AND INDUSTRY (Public Hearing on Senate Bills No. 141, 147, 548, 556, 557, 639, 656, 698, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 793, 839, 884, 895, 1128 and 1164) Room 461, 4th Floor Conference Rm., North Wing

**TUESDAY, JUNE 10, 1980**

- 10:30 A.M. LABOR AND INDUSTRY (to consider Senate Bills No. 793, 796, 941; House Bills No. 421 and 1859) Room 460, 4th Floor Conference Rm., North Wing

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 11, 1980

10:00 A.M. PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE (Public Hearing on House Bill No. 2044) Auditorium, Wm. Penn Memorial Museum, Harrisburg, PA

THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1980

9:00 A.M. LOCAL GOVERNMENT to (Public Hearing on Senate Bills No. 1325, 1326, 1327, 1328, 1329, 1330, 1331, 1332, 1333, 1334, 1335, 1336, 1337 and 1338) Room 461, 4th Floor Conference Rm., North Wing

THURSDAY, JUNE 26, 1980

10:00 A.M. PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE (Public Hearing on House Bill No. 2044) Auditorium, Wm. Penn Memorial Museum, Harrisburg, PA

**ADJOURNMENT**

Senator ZEMPRELLI. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now adjourn until Tuesday, May 27, 1980, at 3:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate adjourned at 4:47 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.