

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 2023

SESSION OF 2023

207TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 52

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (JOANNA E. McCLINTON) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

HON. MIKE JONES, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Good morning, Representatives. Please pray with me:

Thank you, Father, for this opportunity to gather before You and offer up our prayers. I pray for our great nation, for our President, for our great Commonwealth, and our Governor. I pray for this body, for our Speaker, and our leaders. Bless them with strength and wisdom and grace. And I pray for all those gathered here on this beautiful morning in this beautiful building within this beautiful room. What an honor it is to serve with them.

I pray for my colleagues, our staff, and our security. What a beautiful and diverse group of people; a huge array of opinions and passions of experience and perspective. Many differences and differences of opinion, but all fearfully and wonderfully made by Your almighty hands, and all loved by You. We pray for passionate, heartfelt, productive debate this morning, Father, done in a manner worthy of those who sent us. And as we adjourn later today, pray for all of those kids across Pennsylvania tonight, that they get lots of candy and You get them home safely.

We also ask for safe travels home and that we go forward and serve as Christ served, with kindness and love, with strength and courage, with the humility that He showed when He washed the disciples' feet, and with the self-sacrifices as He ultimately lay down His life for all of us. In His almighty name we pray. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, October 30, 2023, will be postponed until printed.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Colleagues, we have some very special guests who have joined us. We are thrilled to have, in the front of the chamber, a guest page. Representatives Delozier and Kutz bring to us Hannah Reed. Hannah attends Mechanicsburg Area High School. Colleagues, let us welcome Hannah to the floor of the House.

Seated to the left of the Speaker's rostrum, we have guests of Representative Rabb. We are thrilled to have, on the floor of the House, the Pennsylvania Prison Society, Pennsylvanians for Alternatives to the Death Penalty, the Atlantic Center for Capital Representation, the Death Penalty Policy Project, the Conservative Coalition Against the Death Penalty, and the Amistad Law Project, and they are all here in support of the effort to repeal the death penalty here in our Commonwealth. Please welcome these criminal justice reform champions. Please stand. Thank you for your work.

Also to the left of the Speaker's rostrum, our colleague, Representative Borowicz, brings Adysyn Phillips. Adysyn is the 2023 Clinton County Miss Agriculture. Adysyn was also the first runner-up in the Clinton County Fair Queen Contest. She is joined today on the floor of the House with her mother, Linda. Adysyn and Mom, please stand. Congratulations.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Are there requests for leaves of absence?

The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who indicates there are none.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who requests leave for the gentlelady from Bucks County, Representative LABS. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—202

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rowe

Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Rozzi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sappey
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Staats
Causer	Heffley	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, B.	Stehr
Ciresi	Howard	Miller, D.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sturla
Cooper	James	Munroe	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufar	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinhead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0  
 NOT VOTING—0  
 EXCUSED—1

Labs

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and two members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**SB 114, PN 1201** (Amended) By Rep. SOLOMON

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for firefighter and emergency service training and establishing the Secondary Education Fire Training Pilot Program and the Fire Training Fund.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

**SB 162, PN 1202** (Amended) By Rep. SOLOMON

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in military educational programs relating to medical officer or health officer incentive program, further providing for definitions, for establishment of program, for program stipend, for additional incentives, for recoupment of incentive payments, for adjustment of stipend amounts and for eligibility; and making editorial changes.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

**SB 447, PN 370** By Rep. SOLOMON

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Department of Military Affairs, establishing the PA VETConnect Program.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

**RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**HR 30, PN 270** By Rep. SOLOMON

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to conduct a comprehensive study and issue a report on Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps programs in schools in Pennsylvania.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**SB 84, PN 10**

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in professional employees, repealing provisions relating to religious garb, insignia, etc., prohibited and penalty.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

The SPEAKER. The House will temporarily be at ease.

The House will come to order.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 287, PN 2227** (Amended) By Rep. STURLA

An Act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in personnel of the system, further providing for appointment of personnel and establishing eviction agent advisory boards in cities of the first class and eviction agent education and training programs in cities of the first class; providing for eviction procedure in cities of the first class; making a repeal; and making an editorial change.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

HB 1347, PN 2228 (Amended) By Rep. STURLA

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, establishing the Redevelopment Authority Startup Loan Program and the Redevelopment Authority Startup Fund.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTION

Mr. KINSEY called up HR 125, PN 2038, entitled:

A Resolution designating the week of November 4 through 11, 2023, as "Veterans Week of Service" in Pennsylvania to coincide with the annual Veterans Day observance on November 11, 2023.

On the question, Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (201 total). Includes names like Abney, Adams, Armanini, Banta, Barton, Bellmon, Benham, Benninghoff, Bernstine, Bizzarro, Bonner, Borowicz, Borowski, Boyd, Boyle, Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brown, A., Brown, M., Bullock, Burgos, Burns, C Freytiz, Cabell, Causer, Cephas, Cerrato, Ciresi, Conklin, Cook, Cooper, Curry, Cutler, D'Orsie, Daley, Davanzo, Davis, Dawkins, Deasy, Delloso, Delozier, Diamond, Donahue, Dunbar, Flick, Flood, Frankel, Freeman, Friel, Fritz, Gallagher, Galloway, Gaydos, Gergely, Gillen, Giral, Gleim, Green, Gregory, Greiner, Grove, Guent, Guzman, Haddock, Hamm, Hanbidge, Harkins, Harris, Heffley, Hogan, Hohenstein, Howard, Irvin, Isaacson, James, Jones, M., Jones, T., Jozwiak, Kail, Kaufner, Kauffman, Kazeem, Keefer, Kenyatta, Kephart, Kerwin, Khan, Kim, Kinkead, Kulik, Kutz, Kuzma, Lawrence, Leadbeter, Mackenzie, M., Mackenzie, R., Madden, Madsen, Major, Mako, Malagari, Maloney, Marcell, Markosek, Marshall, Matzie, Mayes, McAndrew, McNeill, Mehaffie, Mentzer, Mercuri, Merski, Metzgar, Mihalek, Miller, B., Miller, D., Moul, Mullins, Munroe, Mustello, Neilson, Nelson, E., Nelson, N., O'Mara, O'Neal, Oberlander, Ortitay, Otten, Owlett, Parker, Pashinski, Pickett, Pielli, Rigby, Roae, Rossi, Rowe, Rozzi, Ryncavage, Salisbury, Samuelson, Sanchez, Sappey, Schemel, Scheuren, Schlegel, Schlossberg, Schmitt, Schweyer, Scialabba, Scott, Shusterman, Siegel, Smith, Smith-Wade-El, Solomon, Staats, Stambaugh, Steele, Stehr, Stender, Struzzi, Sturla, Takac, Tomlinson, Topper, Twardzik, Venkat, Vitali, Warner, Warren, Watro, Waxman, Webster, Wentling, White, Williams, C., Williams, D.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (1 total). Includes names like Ecker, Emrick, Evans, Fee, Fiedler, Fleming, Kinsey, Klunk, Kosierowski, Krajewski, Krueger, Krupa, Pisciotano, Powell, Probst, Rabb, Rader, Rapp, Young, Zimmerman, McClinton, Speaker.

NAYS—1

Fink

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 1580, PN 2168, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 15, 1933 (P.L.565, No.111), known as the Department of Banking and Securities Code, in jurisdiction and maintenance of department, further providing for general scope of supervision and exercise of discretion.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration? Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 663, PN 604, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in pupils and attendance, further providing for residence and right to free school privileges.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendment out of order for violating House rule 20: Amendment A02635.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. SCIALABBA offered the following amendment No. A00270:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 19; page 2, lines 1 through 6; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting (a.1) If it is found that the parents or guardian of a child described in subsection (a) do not reside in the school district, the child may not be removed from the school until: (1) the parents or guardians are provided an opportunity to appeal the decision pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district and the appeal has been exhausted; (2) after the parents or guardians have been provided notice of the grievance policy, the parents or guardians fail to appeal the decision pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district; or

(3) a court enters an order directing the child to be removed and enrolled in a different school.

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 2 through 9, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(b) If it is found that information contained in the sworn statement is false, the child [must be removed from the school after notice of an opportunity to appeal the removal pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district.] described in subsection (a.2) may not be removed from the school until:

(1) the parents or guardians are provided an opportunity to appeal the determination of false information pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district and the appeal has been exhausted;

(2) after the parents or guardians have been provided notice of such grievance policy, the parents or guardians fail to appeal the determination of false information pursuant to the appropriate policy of the school district; or

(3) a court enters an order directing the child to be removed and enrolled in a different school.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to conflict or be inconsistent with 42 U.S.C. Ch. 119 (relating to homeless assistance).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, the gentelady from Butler, Representative Scialabba.

Ms. SCIALABBA. Good morning. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

It is my understanding that the substance of my amendment is going to be incorporated into amendment 2731 offered by the gentelady from Lehigh County.

Thank you to the prime sponsor for including these changes to improve the bill. I support them. And as such, I will be withdrawing my amendment at this time.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative McNeill, the gentelady from the Lehigh, offers amendment A02714. The Chair understands that that one is withdrawn.

The Chair thanks the author of the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. McNEILL offered the following amendment No. **A02731**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 19; page 2, lines 1 through 6; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

(a.1) If it is found that the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of a child described in subsection (a) do not reside in the school district and a determination is made that the child is not otherwise entitled to free school privileges, the child may not be disenrolled from the school until:

(1) the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child are provided an opportunity to appeal the decision through a hearing held pursuant to an appropriate grievance policy of

the school district and any appeal has been exhausted;

(2) after the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child have been provided notice of such a hearing, the parents, guardians or other person having charge or care of the child decline to participate in a hearing pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district or appeals process;

(3) after the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child have been provided information from the school district's liaison for homeless children and youth regarding the educational rights of homeless students under 42 U.S.C. § 11431 (relating to statement of policy). Information provided under 42 U.S.C. § 11431 shall be provided in a manner and form understandable to the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child; or

(4) a court enters an order directing the child to be disenrolled and enrolled in a different school.

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 2 through 9, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(b) If it is found that information contained in the sworn statement is false, the child [must be removed from the school after notice of an opportunity to appeal the removal pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district.] described in subsection (a.2) may not be disenrolled from the school until:

(1) the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child are provided an opportunity to appeal the determination of false information through a hearing held pursuant to an appropriate grievance policy of the school district and any appeal has been exhausted;

(2) after the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child have been provided notice of such a hearing, the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child decline to participate in a hearing pursuant to the appropriate grievance policy of the school district or appeal;

(3) after the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child have been provided information from the school district's liaison for homeless children and youth regarding the educational rights of homeless students under 42 U.S.C. § 11431. Information provided under 42 U.S.C. § 11431 shall be provided in a manner and form understandable to the parents, guardians or any other person having charge or care of the child; or

(4) a court enters an order directing the child to be disenrolled and enrolled in a different school.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to conflict or be inconsistent with 42 U.S.C. Ch. 119 (relating to homeless assistance).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, the gentelady from the Lehigh, Representative McNeill.

Mrs. McNEILL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment would clarify the procedures that a school district must follow during a residency dispute about where a child has a legal right to attend school. Prior to a child being disenrolled from the school, the parents, guardians, or any other person who has charge or care of the child must be provided an opportunity to appeal the decision through a hearing pursuant to the school district's grievance policy and any appeal has been exhausted, or after notice is provided of the hearing, the parents decide not to participate in the hearing or appeals process. They must provide information from the school district's homeless liaisons regarding the educational rights of homeless children under Federal law, or a court enters an order directing where the child is to be enrolled. This same procedure is valid regarding

students under the care of a resident of a school district who has assumed the responsibility for caring for a child not of their own.

I urge your support of amendment A2731.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rowe
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Rozzi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sappey
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Staats
Causser	Heffley	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, B.	Stehr
Ciresi	Howard	Miller, D.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sturla
Cooper	James	Munroe	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 842, PN 2167**, entitled:

An Act providing for mandatory provisions to prevent misuse of State grants or loans.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

AMENDMENTS RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendments out of order for violating House rule 20: A02664, A02699, A02700, A02701, A02702, A02703, A02704, A02705, A02709, A02710, A02776, A02797, A02798, A02799, A02802, A02803, A02804, A02805, A02806, A02808, A02810, A02811.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding that Representative Kenyatta withdraws amendment A02633.

The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **GAYDOS** offered the following amendment No. **A02634**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 25, by striking out "MAY" and inserting shall

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, the gentlelady from Allegheny County, Representative Gaydos.

Ms. GAYDOS. I am withdrawing the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. GROVE offered the following amendment No. A02678:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 13 through 16; page 2, lines 1 through 9; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting "Commonwealth agency." A board, commission or department of the executive branch under the policy supervision and jurisdiction of the Governor. The term does not include any of the following:

- (1) The Treasury Department.
- (2) The Department of the Auditor General.
- (3) The Office of Attorney General.

"Improper payment." A payment that should not have been made by a Commonwealth agency or a contractor with a Commonwealth agency under statutory, contractual, administrative or other legal applicable requirements, including any of the following:

- (1) An overpayment.
- (2) An underpayment.
- (3) A payment to an ineligible recipient.
- (4) A payment for an ineligible service.
- (5) A payment for a duplicative or redundant service.
- (6) A payment for services not received.
- (7) A payment for goods and services that does not utilize or was not given available discounts.

"Payment." A payment, including a commitment for a future payment, that is made by a Commonwealth agency or a contractor with a Commonwealth agency and utilizes Federal or State money.

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 15 and 16 Section 4. Assessment of improper payments.

(a) Assessment.—No less than once every two years, a Commonwealth agency shall conduct an assessment of improper payments on any program which is not required under Federal law. A Commonwealth agency shall conduct an initial assessment of improper payments no later than 120 days after the effective date of this section.

(b) Contents.—As part of the assessment under subsection (a), a Commonwealth agency shall:

- (1) Determine whether the programs operated by the Commonwealth agency have a low, moderate or high risk of resulting in improper payments based on each program's total amount of improper payments in dollars and total improper payments as a percentage of each program's total expenditures.
- (2) Explain the reasons why the programs operated by the Commonwealth have been assessed as having a low, moderate or high risk of resulting in improper payments.
- (3) Discover and report the root causes of improper payments within high-risk programs.
- (4) Utilize statistical sampling and extrapolation to calculate an improper payment error rate for high-risk programs.
- (5) Adopt a corrective action plan to reduce improper payment error rates to no more than 3% for high-risk programs for the next occurring biennial assessment.

(c) Copies.—A Commonwealth agency shall provide an electronic copy of the assessment under subsection (a) to all of the following:

- (1) The Secretary of the Budget.
- (2) The Office of the Inspector General.
- (3) The Department of the Auditor General.
- (4) The Independent Fiscal Office.
- (5) Members of the General Assembly.

Section 5. Public information on payments and programs of Commonwealth agencies.

The Office of the Budget shall maintain a publicly accessible Internet website and update the website to inform the public of, at a minimum, all of the following information:

- (1) Each Commonwealth agency's biennial improper payment assessments.
- (2) Each Commonwealth agency's total improper payments in dollar value and total improper payment error rate.

(3) Each Commonwealth agency's high-risk program, the dollar value of improper payments for each high-risk program and the improper payment error rate percentage for each high-risk program.

(4) Each Commonwealth agency's high-risk program corrective action plan and a summary status of completing the corrective action plan.

(5) The recovery of improper payments for each program in total dollars and by percentage.

Amend Bill, page 4, line 16, by striking out "4" and inserting

6 Amend Bill, page 4, line 23, by striking out "5" and inserting

7 Amend Bill, page 5, line 3, by striking out "6" and inserting

8

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment will provide for an improper payment law at the State level. It coincides with the Federal payments to make sure any State-only payments fall under that scope. It has been a very effective tool to root out fraud, waste, and abuse in Federal programs, and I think it is about time we do that at the State level as well.

I would appreciate support for this amendment.  
Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Representative Kenyatta.

Mr. KENYATTA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

With all due respect to the great former chairman of State Government, this is not an agreed-to amendment, and I would ask for a negative vote.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik

Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinkead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. GROVE offered the following amendment No. **A02697**:

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 15 and 16  
(7) Beginning November 30, 2024, and annually thereafter, each awarding agency shall submit a report to the Secretary of the Budget, the Office of Inspector General, the Department of the Auditor General and the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives, containing, at a minimum, the following information:

- (i) Notifications to recipients under paragraph (1), including the amount of the State grant or loan subject to repayment.
- (ii) Any action or inaction to repay the proceeds of the State grant or loan under paragraph (2).
- (iii) Mitigation efforts undertaken by each awarding agency to prevent further improper awards.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment simply requires the awarding agency to submit a report including the notifications sent out for possible repayment, any action or inaction to repay, and mitigation efforts to prevent further improper awards.

This is simply a transparency provision to let the General Assembly know how this is operating. I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Kenyatta.

Mr. KENYATTA. Thank you so much, Madam Speaker.

As with the last amendment, this is not agreed to, not agreed to, and I would appreciate a negative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Burns	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Cabell	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Causar	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kaufer	Mustello	Tomlinson
Delozier	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Emrick	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fee	Krupa	Pickett	White
Fink	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flick	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman
Flood			

NAYS—101

Abney	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Benham	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Borowski	Friel	Madsen	Sappey
Boyd	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Boyle	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	McAndrew	Siegel

Brown, A.	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burgos	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinkead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker
Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Westmoreland, Representative Eric Nelson, rise?

Mr. E. NELSON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to question the decision of the Chair relating to amendment 2664, which was ruled out of order.

The SPEAKER. Representative Nelson appeals the ruling of the Chair that A02664 violates House rule 20. House rule 20 provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject.

The subject of HB 842 is providing for the clawback of State funds in the case of improperly awarded, conferred, or applied grants and loans. Amendment A02664 adds a second subject to the bill by providing for the votes required to approve grants to institutions of higher education.

On the question,  
Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion, Representative Eric Nelson.

Mr. E. NELSON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And I appreciate the description and the backgrounds on the amendments, but I would like to bring before this chamber, when we talk about single subject, we should consider the actual rule of law and the decisions of the Pennsylvania Supreme court, just even citing a 2016 decision of the Supreme Court on the single-subject rule between Robinson Township and the State saying that regulation of the oil and gas industry was indeed a single subject.

So, Madam Speaker, if the entire oil and gas industry can be regulated or looked at as a single subject, surely an amendment to a bill that is related to grants would apply to an amendment that says we need a two-thirds vote for a grant that would go out to schools. The reason, and it even underscores why this is so important, because when this chamber did not follow the two-thirds vote, it – and whether it was by accident or on purpose – but effectively defunded our Penn State Ag Extension \$57 million. Without the two-thirds—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

The debate is confined to whether or not this is a single-subject violation, not the substance of the amendment.

Mr. E. NELSON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And keeping it all focused on how it is a single subject – because a multihundred-million-dollar grant is a grant, whether we follow the Constitution or not. And the grants of Pennsylvania and the grants that the bill from the good gentleman from Philadelphia has is also a grant. So the \$57 million that was inappropriately applied would indeed need to be clawed back, because if those grants go out to those schools not following the Constitution, we will need to recover that \$57 million, which is the true intent that the Representative from the city of the first class had offered. It is the purpose of his bill to catch massive million-dollar errors that have happened in this chamber.

So I would request, humbly, that we overrule the Chair. We support the Supreme Court's 2016 decision that, yes, we can have multiple subjects, and we protect our Penn State Ag Extension.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,  
Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinkead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker



NAYS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Westmoreland rise, Representative Davanzo?

Mr. DAVANZO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I would like to appeal the ruling of the Chair that amendment A0279 is out of order.

The SPEAKER. Can the gentleman please repeat the amendment number?

Mr. DAVANZO. The amendment number is A02797. I believe I misspoke the first time.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and requests a moment.

Mr. DAVANZO. Thank you, Madam Speaker. Madam Speaker—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

The Chair requests a moment.

Mr. DAVANZO. Sorry.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

The gentleman will continue.

Mr. DAVANZO. Madam Speaker, I believe the amendment — was some confusion — it is A2704.

The SPEAKER. Representative Davanzo appeals the ruling of the Chair that amendment A02704 violates House rule 20. House rule 20 provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject.

The subject of HB 842 is providing for the clawback of State funds in the case of improperly awarded, conferred, or applied grants and loans. Amendment A02704 adds a second subject to the bill by requiring certain grant recipients to use domestically produced goods and services.

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion and the amendment, Representative Davanzo.

Mr. DAVANZO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, are we wrong to insist that taxpayer dollars here in the State, when these grants are awarded, that we use American products made here domestically, that we use American labor? Are we wrong with this? No, we are not, Madam Speaker.

But more importantly, I stand here and I ask to appeal the ruling of the Chair because my voice is being silenced. But it is not just my voice, it is my 65,000 constituents, it is the American workers, and it is the American manufacturing here that is being silenced, Madam Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciotanno	
Delloso	Kinhead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker

## NAYS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—1

## Labs

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentledady from Westmoreland, Representative Cooper, rise?

Mrs. COOPER. Good morning. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I would like to make a motion to overrule the decision of the Chair that A02700 is out of order.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cooper appeals the ruling of the Chair that A02700 violates House rule 20. House rule 20 provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject. The subject of HB 842 is providing for the clawback of State funds in the case of improperly granted, conferred, awarded, or applied grants and loans. Amendment A02700 adds a second subject to the bill by providing for advertising transparency.

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cooper.

Mrs. COOPER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The purpose of the underlying bill is to provide for requirements for State grants and loans. My amendment is

exactly on that subject. My amendment establishes requirements applicable for advertising purchased with a State grant or loan. More specifically, my amendment would require that recipients of State grants or loans that use taxpayer dollars to purchase advertising must include a disclosure that the advertising is purchased with Pennsylvania taxpayer dollars.

Under the current law, in Act 90 of 2012, State agencies are required to include that disclosure in advertising that they purchase, and my amendment would simply apply the requirement to grant and loan recipients. The General Assembly took a step towards transparency with Act 90 of 2012, but it contained a loophole that allows State agencies to avoid requirements if they use State grants or loans. It is time to close that loophole.

I ask for your support in my effort to have this amendment considered. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision will be voting "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappay
Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinhead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker

## NAYS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh

Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsio	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1716, PN 2169**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 15 (Corporations and Unincorporated Associations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in entities generally, further providing for requirements for names generally and providing for registration of name of domestic nonfiling association; in entity transactions, further providing for nature of transactions and for approval by limited liability company; in foreign associations, further providing for foreign registration statement; in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in corporate powers, duties and safeguards, further providing for certain specifically authorized debt terms; in officers, directors and shareholders, further providing for derivative action; in foreign business corporations, further providing for application of article; in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in corporate powers, duties and safeguards, further providing for certain specifically authorized debt terms; in officers, directors and members, further providing for derivative action; in general partnerships, further providing for contents of partnership agreement; in limited partnerships, further providing for contents of partnership agreement and for derivative action; and, in limited liability companies, further providing for contents of operating agreement and for derivative action.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **SCHEMEL** offered the following amendment  
No. **A02632**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 8, by inserting after "for"  
registration to do business in this Commonwealth and for  
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 5 and 6  
Section 4. Section 411 of Title 15 is amended by adding a  
subsection to read:  
§ 411. Registration to do business in this Commonwealth.

\* \* \*

(h) Registration not consent to general personal jurisdiction.—  
Notwithstanding 42 Pa.C.S. § 5301 (relating to persons), a foreign  
filing association or foreign limited liability partnership that registers to

do business under this chapter does not, by registration, consent to be  
subject to general personal jurisdiction in the tribunals of this  
Commonwealth.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 6, by striking out "4" and inserting  
5

Amend Bill, page 5, line 19, by striking out "5" and inserting  
6

Amend Bill, page 6, line 5, by striking out "6" and inserting  
7

Amend Bill, page 16, line 10, by striking out "7" and inserting  
8

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Schemel.

Mr. SCHEMEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, there are a number of entities that file, that register within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania but never transact business. Normally, in order to have jurisdiction, you would want to have a nexus of activity. What this amendment does is simply states that if an entity – in this case, an association or a limited liability company – if all they do is register, that the active registration within the Commonwealth does not form that nexus of jurisdiction. I would thank the members for their support.

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of the amendment will be voting "aye"; those opposed, "no."

The Chair recognizes Representative Lawrence.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Madam Speaker. I apologize for my delay in getting up here, but thank you.

Madam Speaker, I rise in support of amendment A02632, and I recognize that the topic of general personal jurisdiction in corporate litigation is not a topic of frequent conversation in this chamber, but the amendment before us is timely and deserves support.

The concept under discussion in the amendment is this: Can a plaintiff who does not live in Pennsylvania sue a defendant who is not incorporated in Pennsylvania, does not have a principal place of business in Pennsylvania, for an alleged harm that did not occur in Pennsylvania? So in other words, nothing about the case has anything to do with Pennsylvania. Can that plaintiff bring an action in Pennsylvania State court?

Last year the Pennsylvania Supreme Court unanimously ruled that a plaintiff in the aforementioned example could not sue in Pennsylvania courts. This unanimous Pennsylvania Supreme Court decision, *Mallory v. Norfolk Southern*, is in line with the legal rationale embraced in 48 other States and a long line of precedential court decisions. This court case, interestingly, was appealed to the United States Supreme Court, and a 5-to-4 decision authored by Justice Gorsuch overturned the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's unanimous decision.

Madam Speaker, this incredible decision leads to the amendment that the good gentleman from Franklin has offered that is before us now. If we do not address the situation, every tort that occurs anywhere in the United States between a victim and any corporation in any of the 50 States, that case could be filed in Pennsylvania courts if that corporation is merely registered to do business in Pennsylvania. This has the potential to clog Pennsylvania courts with thousands of cases from all over the country, and frankly, our State courts are already overwhelmed with just Pennsylvania plaintiffs. And this

amendment simply makes sense. Pennsylvania courts are generally for Pennsylvania residents.

Imagine if I had an accident – let us say I slipped and fell at a Target store here in Harrisburg. Should I be able to bring the tort claim against Target in a Hawaii State court? Of course not. So why should someone who slipped and fell in a Target store in Hawaii bring a claim in Pennsylvania State court? There is a reason that 48 other States do not allow for such claims.

The amendment before us simply brings us back into sync with 48 other States and will take tremendous pressure off of our overburdened State courts here in the Commonwealth and back into agreement with the unanimous ruling of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. I encourage an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Representative Warren.

Mr. WARREN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

As the maker of the bill, I do not support the amendment, and I respectfully request a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon

Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinhead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **POWELL** offered the following amendment No. **A02784**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 23, by inserting after "ASSOCIATION" that is not a limited liability partnership

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 15 through 23, by striking out all of lines 15 through 22 and "(E)" in line 23 and inserting (d)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, the gentledady from Allegheny County, Representative Powell.

Ms. **POWELL**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today in support of amendment A02784. My amendment will allow any nonfiling association that is not a limited liability partnership to register and protect their name. When HB 2057, sponsored by Chairman Roae, of the 2021-2022 legislative session was enacted, it repealed chapter 5 of Title 54. My amendment simply seeks to add this name protection back into statute. With this being said, I would urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentledady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Kulik	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Madden	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madsen	Sappery

Boyle	Gallagher	Malagari	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	Merski	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Miller, D.	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Mullins	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Munroe	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Neilson	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Parker	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano	
Dellosio	Kinkead	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker

NAYS—100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufer	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	White
Flick	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 500, PN 1175**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, providing for medical assistance coverage for pasteurized donor human milk.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **GROVE** offered the following amendment No. **A02677**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 1 and 2  
(d) Upon the enactment of an appropriation by the General Assembly that includes sufficient money for the purpose of subsection (a), the Secretary of Human Services shall transmit notice of the enactment of the appropriation to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the next available issue of the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Amend Bill, page 6, line 2, by striking out "(D)" and inserting (e)  
Amend Bill, page 8, line 14, by striking out "in 60 days." and inserting  
as follows:  
(1) The addition of section 443.12(d) of the act shall take effect immediately.  
(2) This section shall take effect immediately.  
(3) The remainder of this act shall take effect 60 days following publication of the notice under section 443.12(d) of the act.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes— The Chair understands that Representative Grove is withdrawing that amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **GROVE** offered the following amendment No. **A02775**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 18, by striking out "443.13" and inserting 443.14  
Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 1 and 2  
(d) Upon the enactment of an appropriation by the General Assembly that includes sufficient money for the purpose of subsection (a), the Secretary of Human Services shall transmit notice of the enactment of the appropriation to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the next available issue of the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Amend Bill, page 6, line 2, by striking out "(D)" and inserting (e)  
Amend Bill, page 8, line 14, by striking out "in 60 days." and inserting  
as follows:  
(1) The addition of section 443.14(d) of the act shall take effect immediately.  
(2) This section shall take effect immediately.  
(3) The remainder of this act shall take effect 60 days following publication of the notice under section 443.14(d) of the act.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment simply requires the Secretary of DHS (Department of Human Services) to certify funds are available to fund this program. My understanding is some MCOs (managed-care organizations) are already doing it. It is a precaution to make sure DHS does not run over budget.

I appreciate the members' support. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I am going to have to oppose this amendment. I appreciate the chair's concern about ensuring that we pay for those requirements we place on our Medical Assistance Program. In this case, however, I am afraid the language could do more harm than good.

Our Medical Assistance Program already covers pasteurized donor human milk, and our Department of Human Services has already published a bulletin to that effect. This legislation simply provides clarity that the designated diagnoses are medically necessary to prevent inconsistent payment from the managed-care organizations. By potentially requiring a new appropriation specific for pasteurized donor human milk, we could be rolling back existing coverage, unnecessarily delaying lifesaving nutrition for infants.

I am grateful that the chair is thoughtful about ensuring DHS has the capacity to provide the services we ask them to; we do that work in committee to make sure that DHS did feel comfortable with the language. The prime sponsor of this in the Senate is also in opposition. At the risk of not only delaying the implementation of the bill but interrupting existing service, I am going to ask for a "no" vote on the amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Roae
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Rossi
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Schemel
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Scheuren
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Schlegel
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schmitt
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Scialabba
Cabell	Hogan	Mentzer	Smith
Causar	Irvin	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	James	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, M.	Mihalek	Stehr
Cutler	Jones, T.	Miller, B.	Stender
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Moul	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kail	Mustello	Tomlinson
Delozier	Kaufer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Diamond	Kauffman	O'Neal	Twardzik
Dunbar	Keefer	Ortity	Warner

Ecker	Kephart	Owlett	Watro
Emrick	Kerwin	Pickett	Wentling
Fee	Klunk	Rader	White
Fink	Krupa	Rapp	Williams, C.
Flick	Kutz	Rigby	Zimmerman
Flood	Kuzma		

NAYS—104

Abney	Fiedler	Krueger	Rabb
Bellmon	Fleming	Kulik	Rozzi
Benham	Frankel	Madden	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Freeman	Madsen	Samuelson
Borowski	Friel	Malagari	Sanchez
Boyd	Gallagher	Markosek	Sappery
Boyle	Galloway	Matzie	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gergely	Mayes	Schweyer
Brennan	Giral	McAndrew	Scott
Briggs	Green	McNeill	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mehaffie	Siegel
Bullock	Guzman	Merski	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Haddock	Miller, D.	Solomon
Burns	Hanbidge	Mullins	Steele
C Freytiz	Harkins	Munroe	Sturla
Cephas	Harris	Neilson	Takac
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Tenkat
Ciresi	Howard	O'Mara	Vitali
Conklin	Isaacson	Oberlander	Warren
Curry	Kazeem	Otten	Waxman
Daley	Kenyatta	Parker	Webster
Davis	Khan	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kim	Pielli	Young
Deasy	Kinhead	Pisciottano	
Delloso	Kinsey	Powell	McClinton,
Donahue	Kosierowski	Probst	Speaker
Evans	Krajewski		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

**BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who calls up HB 1024, PN 2224, on page 3 of today's House calendar.

HB 1024 will be temporarily over.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1027, PN 2225**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in assault, further providing for the offense of ethnic intimidation; and, in particular rights and immunities, further prohibiting civil rights violations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Two weeks ago a little boy in Chicago was stabbed to death in his home. His mother was also mercilessly attacked, but she survived. The last words that innocent child heard on this earth were, "You Muslims must die." When I heard that terrible story, I thought about where I had heard a sentence like it before. Five years ago, as a hate-fueled gunman murdered 11 people in their synagogues in my district, the words he shouted were reportedly, "All Jews must die."

Hatred takes many forms in this country, but the evil behind it is all but identical. And it might start with one group, like Muslims or Jews or Black or Brown people or immigrants or LGBTQ+ people or Catholics or people with disabilities. It may start with one identity characteristic, but it rarely stops there. The research is clear that intolerance breeds more intolerance, and acts of hatred build on one another.

The most recent FBI data, which is flawed due to chronically low reporting of hate crimes, reveals not only the highest number of reported crimes ever, but also that hate c offenses across the country are getting more severe and more violent. Now a conflict in Israel has Jewish and Muslim Pennsylvanians feeling afraid to leave home, afraid to practice their faith, afraid to gather with their communities at a time when such events would bring them the most comfort.

Several years ago two employees of an inpatient health facility in Brighton, Pennsylvania, gleefully abused the disabled, often nonverbal residents who relied on them. They filmed their crimes and texted them to one another, cheering on acts of cruelty large and small. And as the worldwide pandemic had us all feeling uncertain and worried for the future, it was Asian Pennsylvanians that were targeted and felt unsafe. All of these cases are examples of terrible crimes against individuals, but they are also crimes against whole vulnerable groups.

"You do not belong here" is what a hate crime says. Today we have the opportunity to reject that message. This General Assembly can tell the world that diversity makes us strong. We can stand with vulnerable people, and we can do it without coming anywhere close to anyone's First Amendment rights.

I want to be clear that this legislation will not and cannot criminalize bigoted speech, unkind speech, unpopular speech, or even hate speech. In order to be charged under this statute, a suspect would have to be accused of an underlying crime against a person or property.

I am going to be honest with you: that is not how I originally crafted this legislation. I wrote something more expansive. But my frightened constituents and your frightened constituents are not asking for a Dan Frankel hate crimes bill or a Democratic hate crimes bill, because Pennsylvania's vulnerable groups do not belong to just one party. So I sat down with every organization and every lawmaker who showed an interest, and we developed

really strong legislation that would better protect Pennsylvanians and would make them feel safe and supported, and it would reassure those who fear for our civil liberties as well. We cannot legislate what is in people's hearts, but we can take steps to lead by example and ensure that mechanisms are in place to support targeted communities across Pennsylvania who are harmed by hate-fueled criminal acts. And we can send a message to those who would target others simply because of who they are that we, as a society, will not tolerate such acts.

This long overdue update to Pennsylvania's hate crimes laws would expand the list of protected identity characteristics to include sexual orientation, gender identity, and age and disability status, including autism. It would also give targets of hate crimes what they already have in 34 other States: the ability to bring suit in civil court.

Our laws are a reflection of our values. We disagree about a lot of things in this body, but let us show the world that we do not disagree about this. Everyone deserves to feel safe in their communities, living their authentic lives and practicing their faith and culture. That is not a partisan statement. It is a statement of Pennsylvania values.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

First I want to commend my good friend, the prime sponsor of the bill, for his passion and his leadership on this. Undoubtedly, many, many Pennsylvanians are facing discrimination in these times due to the ongoing conflicts in the Middle East and prior to that.

Unfortunately, we have a bill once again that has been tainted by process errors in the House. Rule 19 (A) (3) clearly states, amendments cannot be amended in the Appropriations Committee that are nonfinancial. That is what occurred once again. This is the second nondiscrimination bill that has been tainted by the process. It jeopardizes the legality of this legislation, and will not provide the protections the prime sponsor actually would like to see.

It is better to move this bill back to second consideration – as the amendment adopted was very similar to one that was ruled out of order on second consideration to begin with – but obviously, those procedural motions will be shut down by the majority. So we are faced with a final passage of a bill that the Senate will not take up because of the process errors once again. Maybe we can do better in the future, making sure we meet the requirement of the rules, particularly in the Appropriations Committee. But this is twice this has happened. It is probably going to happen again, unfortunately. So I will be a "no" on this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, the floor leader, Representative Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I have the utmost respect for the prime sponsor, and the goals of this bill are quite noble. They seek to end the maltreatment of individuals, and I think that that is something that we can in fact all agree on, as the good gentleman pointed out. Nobody should be treated or treat someone different or in a negative way because of what their religion says or how they look or for whatever reason they are viewed differently. The gentleman from Allegheny County is correct: that is not a reason

to treat people differently. But having a noble goal does not necessarily mean that the process which is being undertaken here is the correct one to get the result, and that is, unfortunately, what we have before us today. Because, Madam Speaker, respectfully, this bill goes too far. It is my opinion that it is overly broad, it is overly inclusive, and turns a very serious offense – that being a hate crime – both criminally and civilly into liability for offending or other perceived slights.

Across the world today, and occurring even right now in America, there are very serious and growing problems of anti-Semitism. It is a pervasive generational evil that continues to only grow and be covered by our news. Recent data from the FBI reports that 1,590 incidents of religiously motivated hate crimes, 51.4 percent, in 2022 were anti-Semitic. Now as the people in the nation of Israel continue to defend themselves, liberate hostages, and protect their citizens, anti-Semitism is only becoming more pervasive across the world, and even here in our country. And I will say again, that is wrong. We have seen it on display at our college campuses, in the streets of our cities, and it has even been expressed by some elected officials. But when we take steps to make very personal offenses or disagreements a hate crime, it is my belief that we water down the definition or the weightiness of what is intended to be a specifically harsh punishment for the most serious of crimes based solely on hate.

Madam Speaker, we are happy to work with our colleagues on the other side of the aisle to address this in a very real and meaningful way that is consistent with our rules, but the process that this legislation has undertaken has simply went in the wrong direction.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the floor leader, the gentleman from Montgomery County, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I want to initially thank the good gentleman from Pittsburgh for his tremendous work; frankly, tapping the brakes to make sure that he could build a more inclusive group of support. And I want to thank his Republican colleagues who joined with him in conversations about the difficult times we live in and the type of legislation that can be passed with a bipartisan effort from this chamber to show that there truly is no place for hate. Because, see, tolerance is Pennsylvania's creed. It is at our very core. It is why we were founded. It is what we should celebrate. And hate crimes and those who would perpetrate them have no place.

The good gentleman from Lancaster is right to mention the rising tide of anti-Semitism. It is painful, it is misplaced, and in some cases, is criminal. Likely, we should mention those that now reach to the dark of Islamophobia, who would create enemies among those who are victims of being a member of a protected class.

There should be no place for intolerance or hate, but there should be a place in our code for hate crimes legislation. The time for Pennsylvania to pass meaningful hate crimes legislation is long overdue. The gentleman has done a job for which he should be lauded, and I want to thank everyone for passing this legislation at this time in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—116

Abney	Flick	Kulik	Rabb
Bellmon	Frankel	Madden	Rigby
Benham	Freeman	Madsen	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Friel	Malagari	Salisbury
Borowski	Gallagher	Marcell	Samuelson
Boyd	Galloway	Markosek	Sanchez
Boyle	Gaydos	Marshall	Sappey
Bradford	Gergely	Matzie	Schlossberg
Brennan	Giral	Mayes	Schweyer
Briggs	Green	McAndrew	Scott
Brown, A.	Guenst	McNeill	Shusterman
Bullock	Guzman	Mehaffie	Siegel
Burgos	Haddock	Mercuri	Smith-Wade-El
Burns	Hanbidge	Merski	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mihalek	Steele
Cephas	Harris	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cerrato	Hogan	Mullins	Takac
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Munroe	Tomlinson
Conklin	Howard	Neilson	Venkat
Curry	Isaacson	Nelson, E.	Vitali
Daley	Kaufer	Nelson, N.	Warren
Davis	Kazeem	O'Mara	Waxman
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
Deasy	Khan	Parker	White
Delloso	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Delozier	Kinkead	Pielli	Young
Donahue	Kinsey	Pisciottano	
Evans	Kosierowski	Powell	McClinton, Speaker
Fiedler	Krajewski	Probst	
Fleming	Krueger		

#### NAYS—86

Adams	Flood	Kuzma	Rossi
Armanini	Fritz	Lawrence	Rowe
Banta	Gillen	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Schemel
Benninghoff	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Scheuren
Bernstine	Greiner	Major	Schlegel
Bonner	Grove	Mako	Schmitt
Borowicz	Hamm	Maloney	Scialabba
Brown, M.	Heffley	Mentzer	Smith
Cabell	Irvin	Metzgar	Staats
Causar	James	Miller, B.	Stambaugh
Cook	Jones, M.	Moul	Stehr
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mustello	Stender
Cutler	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Struzzi
D'Orsie	Kail	Oberlander	Topper
Davanzo	Kauffman	Ortitay	Twardzik
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Warner
Dunbar	Kephart	Pickett	Watro
Ecker	Kerwin	Rader	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Williams, C.
Fee	Krupa	Roae	Zimmerman
Fink	Kutz		

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.



## SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

## BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1025, PN 1834**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in Safe2Say Program, further providing for definitions and for Safe2Say Program; in postsecondary institution sexual harassment and sexual violence policy and online reporting system, further providing for definitions, for policy for postsecondary institution sexual harassment and sexual violence and for online reporting system; and making an editorial change.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. The House will very briefly be at ease.

The House will come to order.

## BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. The bill will temporarily be over.

## CALENDAR CONTINUED

## BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1024, PN 2224**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses), 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in assault, further providing for ethnic intimidation; in particular rights and immunities, further providing for civil rights violations; and, in employees, further providing for definitions and providing for annual officer training on hate-based intimidation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—112

Abney	Fleming	Krueger	Rabb
Bellmon	Frankel	Kulik	Rozzi
Benham	Freeman	Madden	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Friel	Madsen	Samuelson
Borowski	Gallagher	Malagari	Sanchez
Boyd	Galloway	Markosek	Sappey
Boyle	Gaydos	Marshall	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gergely	Matzie	Schweyer
Brennan	Giral	Mayes	Scott
Briggs	Green	McAndrew	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Guenst	McNeill	Siegel
Bullock	Guzman	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Haddock	Mercuri	Solomon
Burns	Hanbidge	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mihalek	Sturla
Cephas	Harris	Miller, D.	Takac
Cerrato	Hogan	Mullins	Tomlinson
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Munroe	Venkat
Conklin	Howard	Neilson	Vitali
Curry	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Warren
Daley	Kaufer	O'Mara	Waxman
Davis	Kazeem	Otten	Webster
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Parker	White
Deasy	Khan	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Delloso	Kim	Pielli	Young
Delozier	Kinkead	Pisciottano	
Donahue	Kinsey	Powell	McClinton,
Evans	Kosierowski	Probst	Speaker
Fiedler	Krajewski		

## NAYS—90

Adams	Flood	Lawrence	Roae
Armanini	Fritz	Leadbeter	Rossi
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Schemel
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Scheuren
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Schlegel
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schmitt
Brown, M.	Heffley	Mentzer	Scialabba
Cabell	Irvin	Metzgar	Smith
Causar	James	Miller, B.	Staats
Cook	Jones, M.	Moul	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mustello	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Ortitay	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Owlett	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Pickett	Watro
Emrick	Klunk	Rader	Wentling
Fee	Krupa	Rapp	Williams, C.
Fink	Kutz	Rigby	Zimmerman
Flick	Kuzma		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 751, PN 700** By Rep. BRIGGS

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sentencing procedure for murder of the first degree.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 999, PN 940** By Rep. BRIGGS

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses), 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in authorized disposition of offenders, further providing for sentence for murder, murder of unborn child and murder of law enforcement officer; in arson, criminal mischief and other property destruction, further providing for the offense of arson and related offenses; in jurisdiction of appellate courts, further providing for direct appeals from courts of common pleas; in post-trial matters, further providing for postconviction DNA testing and for disposition and appeal; in sentencing, further providing for sentencing procedure for murder of the first degree; and, in execution procedure and method, repealing provisions relating to issuance of warrant.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 1700, PN 2231 (Amended)** By Rep. BRIGGS

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in assault, further providing for endangerment of public safety official.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 1760, PN 2144** By Rep. BRIGGS

An Act amending Title 20 (Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in intestate succession, further providing for forfeiture; in wills, further providing for modification by circumstances; in slayers, further providing for definition of terms, providing for elder abuser not to acquire property, further providing for descent, distribution, dower, curtesy, and statutory rights as survivor, for legacies, for tenancies by the entirety, for joint tenants, joint owners and joint obligees, for reversions and vested remainders, for interests dependent on survivorship or continuance of life, for contingent remainders and executory or other future interests, for powers of appointment, for proceeds of insurance, for bona fide payment by insurance company or obligor, for bona fide purchasers, for record of conviction as evidence and for preadjudication rule and providing for intent to transfer notwithstanding elder abuse; and making an editorial change.

JUDICIARY.

**SB 361, PN 1203 (Amended)** By Rep. BRIGGS

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in organization and jurisdiction of courts of common pleas, further providing for courts of common pleas.

JUDICIARY.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Schlossberg for a caucus announcement.

**APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County for a committee announcement, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The House Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room. House Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

**DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Schlossberg for a caucus announcement.

Mr. SCHLOSSBERG. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

House Democrats will caucus immediately upon the conclusion of the Appropriations meeting. We will return to the floor at 12 o'clock.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**REPUBLICAN CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Dunbar for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Republicans will also caucus immediately.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The House will be in recess until 12 noon, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

**RECESS EXTENDED**

The time of recess was extended until 12:15 p.m.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 908, PN 2232 (Amended)** By Rep. CONKLIN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of General Services and its departmental administrative and advisory boards and commissions, providing for automated external defibrillators in State buildings.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1518, PN 1733**

By Rep. CONKLIN

An Act authorizing the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey certain lands and improvements situate in the 47th Ward of the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, to New Freedom Theatre, Inc.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1538, PN 1782**

By Rep. CONKLIN

An Act amending the act of November 22, 1978 (P.L.1166, No.274), referred to as the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency Law, establishing the Public Safety Resident Communications Program.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1706, PN 2018**

By Rep. CONKLIN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in Office of State Inspector General, further providing for definitions and for powers, purpose and duties.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1752, PN 2131**

By Rep. CONKLIN

An Act providing for the annual designation and holiday observance of the first day of the Islamic lunar month of Shawwal as Eid al-Fitr Day in this Commonwealth.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

### RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HR 235, PN 2111**

By Rep. CONKLIN

A Resolution designating the week of November 12 through 18, 2023, as "Kindness Week" in Pennsylvania.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HR 253, PN 2219**

By Rep. CONKLIN

A Resolution designating the week of November 13 through 17, 2023, as "Certified Public Accountant Week" in Pennsylvania.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HR 246, PN 2205**

By Rep. CONKLIN

A Resolution designating the month of October 2023 as "William Penn Landing Site in Chester Month" in Pennsylvania.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

### BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HB 1025, PN 1834**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in Safe2Say Program, further providing for definitions and for Safe2Say Program; in postsecondary institution sexual harassment and sexual violence policy and online reporting system, further providing for definitions, for policy for

postsecondary institution sexual harassment and sexual violence and for online reporting system; and making an editorial change.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1243, PN 2127**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in licensing of drivers, providing for application for REAL ID.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1451, PN 1635**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in special licenses and permits, further providing for definitions, for exotic wildlife possession permits, for menagerie permits and for exclusions.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1619, PN 2226**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in powers and duties, providing for double utility poles.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1772, PN 2176**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Nonprofit Security Grant Fund, further providing for Nonprofit Security Grant Fund; and making an appropriation.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 152, PN 124**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act repealing the act of September 1, 1965 (P.L.420, No.215), known as The Frozen Dessert Law.

APPROPRIATIONS.

### HB 612 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. Moved by Representatives Dunbar and Cutler that the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on final passage be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, as the different pieces of the budget have continued to move, I would urge that this body reconsider HB 612 for consideration of some other amendments.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rowe
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Rozzi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sappey
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Staats
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, B.	Stehr
Ciresi	Howard	Miller, D.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sturla
Cooper	James	Munroe	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

DECISION OF CHAIR RESCINDED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair rescinds her announcement that HB 612 received third consideration.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 612, PN 1703**, entitled:

An Act providing for funding for State-related universities for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2023, and ending June 30, 2024, for costs basis, for frequency of payments and for recordkeeping requirements; imposing a duty on the Auditor General; providing for financial statements and for the Agricultural College Land Scrip Fund; and making appropriations.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from York, Representative Grove, rise?

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to suspend all the rules to take up an amendment and final passage of HB 612.

The SPEAKER. Representative Grove moves to suspend the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration of amendment A02828.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Members are reminded pursuant to rule 77, the motion to suspend is only debatable by the leaders, the maker of the motion, the maker of the amendment under consideration, and the prime sponsor of the bill under consideration.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rigby
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Roae
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Rossi
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rowe
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rozzi
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Sanchez
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sappey
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Schemel
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Scheuren
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlossberg
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schmitt
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schweyer
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Scialabba
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scott
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Shusterman
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Siegel
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Smith
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Staats
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Stambaugh

Causer	Heffley	Mihalek	Steele
Cephas	Hogan	Miller, B.	Stehr
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Stender
Ciresi	Howard	Moul	Struzzi
Conklin	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Cook	Isaacson	Munroe	Takac
Cooper	James	Mustello	Tomlinson
Curry	Jones, M.	Neilson	Topper
Cutler	Jones, T.	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Daley	Kail	O'Mara	Vitali
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Neal	Warner
Davis	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warren
Dawkins	Kazeem	Ortitay	Watro
Deasy	Keefer	Otten	Waxman
Delloso	Kenyatta	Owlett	Webster
Delozier	Kephart	Parker	Wentling
Diamond	Kerwin	Pashinski	White
Donahue	Khan	Pickett	Williams, C.
Dunbar	Kim	Pielli	Williams, D.
Ecker	Kinkead	Pisciottano	Young
Emrick	Kinsey	Powell	Zimmerman
Evans	Klunk	Probst	
Fee	Kosierowski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rader	Speaker
Fink	Krueger	Rapp	

NAYS-1

Metzgar

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Labs

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GROVE offered the following amendment No. **A02828**:

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 28 and 29  
(3) A State-related university that receives money appropriated by this act shall develop and implement a tuition freeze for the 2024-2025 academic school year. The tuition level for any student may not exceed the 2023-2024 academic tuition level.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment will provide a tuition freeze for the next school year for the State-related universities. It is imperative that we rein in tuition and help students afford college. I would appreciate an affirmative vote on the amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rowe
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Rozzi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sappery
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Staats
Causer	Heffley	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, B.	Stehr
Ciresi	Howard	Miller, D.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sturla
Cooper	James	Munroe	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinkead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Labs

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—145

Abney	Evans	Krajewski	Rader
Adams	Fiedler	Krueger	Rigby
Armanini	Fleming	Kulik	Rozzi
Banta	Flick	Kuzma	Ryncavage
Bellmon	Frankel	Lawrence	Salisbury
Benham	Freeman	Madden	Samuelson
Benninghoff	Friel	Madsen	Sanchez
Bizzarro	Fritz	Malagari	Sappey
Borowski	Gallagher	Marcell	Scheuren
Boyd	Galloway	Markosek	Schlossberg
Boyle	Gaydos	Marshall	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Matzie	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Mayes	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	McAndrew	Siegel
Brown, A.	Gregory	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Grove	Mehaffie	Solomon
Burgos	Guenst	Mercuri	Staats
Burns	Guzman	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Haddock	Mihalek	Struzzi
Cabell	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Causar	Harkins	Moul	Takac
Cephas	Harris	Mullins	Tomlinson
Cerrato	Heffley	Munroe	Topper
Ciresi	Hogan	Neilson	Venkat
Conklin	Hohenstein	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Curry	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Cutler	Isaacson	O'Neal	Watro
Daley	James	Oberlander	Waxman
Davis	Kail	Ortitay	Webster
Dawkins	Kaufer	Otten	White
Deasy	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, C.
Delloso	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Delozier	Khan	Pielli	Young
Donahue	Kim	Pisciottano	
Dunbar	Kinhead	Powell	McClinton,
Ecker	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rabb	

NAYS—57

Barton	Greiner	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Bernstine	Hamm	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Bonner	Irvin	Major	Schemel
Borowicz	Jones, M.	Mako	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Jones, T.	Maloney	Schmitt
Cook	Jozwiak	Mentzer	Scialabba
Cooper	Kauffman	Metzgar	Smith
D'Orsie	Keefer	Miller, B.	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Kephart	Mustello	Stehr
Diamond	Kerwin	Nelson, E.	Stender
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Twardzik
Fink	Krupa	Pickett	Warner
Flood	Kutz	Rapp	Wentling
Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae	Zimmerman
Gleim			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A CONTINUED**

**CONSIDERATION OF HB 1025 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who calls up HB 1025, PN 1834, on page 2 of supplemental calendar A.

Will the House agree to the bill? It is agreed to.

The clerk will read the title and a brief description of the bill.

This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, the gentleman from Montgomery County, Representative Napoleon Nelson.

Mr. N. NELSON. Thank you so much, Madam Speaker.

And I want to thank all of those who will be supporting this bill, thank all of those who will be supporting our young folks as they are in schools, heading to schools, searching for schools.

I have received information, plenty of, from constituents of mine who are trying to figure out where they are sending their kids. My daughter and many of our friends are juniors and seniors in high school and trying to figure out where they can send their kids where they feel safe.

What we are seeing in the news, what we are seeing on televisions, what we see in newspapers regarding activities that are happening on college campuses, and even in our high schools throughout this Commonwealth, is troubling to say the least. But our concern here with this bill is not what we are seeing in the news; it is what we are not seeing in the news but still exists on these campuses. Our hope and goal with this work, this package of bills – but specifically, 1025 – is to make sure that everyone understands, from an eyes-wide-open perspective, what is happening on our campuses and the work that we all need to collectively do to address it; to change hearts, minds, behaviors.

I want to make sure that I point out, part of this legislation we utilize in our K-12 systems. The Safe2Say Program, there are some concerns that I and all of us should have with the implementation of the Safe2Say Program. It is a great anonymous reporting tool, but it is a great anonymous reporting tool that still has a few flaws.

One of the things that is part of this bill that I want to make sure is called out is any reporting that then happens from a Safe2Say informing is scrubbed. It is verified. When informing law enforcement of a threat, that information is to be of, effectively, a substantial risk of bodily injury to a student.

We must do more to protect our kids. We must do more to ensure that our campuses of learning are campuses of learning. Nothing can be a further impediment to learning and to becoming the responsible young adults that we want all of our kids to be than not feeling safe.

Thank you all again for your support in helping our kids understand that they belong, that they are loved, and that we value their safety and education. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—111

Abney	Frankel	Krueger	Rabb
Bellmon	Freeman	Kulik	Rozzi
Benham	Friel	Madden	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Gallagher	Madsen	Samuelson
Borowski	Galloway	Malagari	Sanchez
Boyd	Gaydos	Markosek	Sappey
Boyle	Gergely	Marshall	Schlossberg
Bradford	Giral	Matzie	Schweyer
Brennan	Green	Mayes	Scott
Briggs	Guenst	McAndrew	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Guzman	McNeill	Siegel
Bullock	Haddock	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Hanbidge	Mercuri	Solomon
Burns	Harkins	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Harris	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Hogan	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Munroe	Tomlinson
Ciresi	Howard	Neilson	Venkat
Conklin	Isaacson	Nelson, E.	Vitali
Curry	Kaufer	Nelson, N.	Warren
Daley	Kazeem	O'Mara	Waxman
Davis	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
Dawkins	Khan	Parker	White
Deasy	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Delloso	Kinthead	Pielli	Young
Donahue	Kinsey	Pisciottano	
Evans	Kosierowski	Powell	McClinton,
Fiedler	Krajewski	Probst	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—91

Adams	Flick	Kuzma	Roae
Armanini	Flood	Lawrence	Rossi
Banta	Fritz	Leadbeter	Rowe
Barton	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Schemel
Bernstine	Gregory	Major	Scheuren
Bonner	Greiner	Mako	Schlegel
Borowicz	Grove	Maloney	Schmitt
Brown, M.	Hamm	Marcell	Scialabba
Cabell	Heffley	Mentzer	Smith
Causar	Irvin	Metzgar	Staats
Cook	James	Mihalek	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, M.	Miller, B.	Stehr
Cutler	Jones, T.	Moul	Stender
D'Orsio	Jozwiak	Mustello	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kail	O'Neal	Topper
Delozier	Kauffman	Oberlander	Twardzik
Diamond	Keefer	Ortitay	Warner
Dunbar	Kephart	Owlett	Watro
Ecker	Kerwin	Pickett	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Rader	Williams, C.
Fee	Krupa	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fink	Kutz	Rigby	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1619, PN 2226**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in powers and duties, providing for double utility poles.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Representative Ryncavage.

Mr. RYNCAVAGE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

We are here today doing the people's work on Halloween, but it is every day that our communities are being haunted by ghost poles, the unsafe and the – the unsafe poles.

I want to thank my chairmen: Chairman Matzie; I want to thank Chairman Marshall. And I want to thank the staff for their work on this. On HB 1619 I want to ask for an affirmative vote so that we can end this nightmare on our Main Streets.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, the chair of Consumer Protection, Technology and Utilities, Representative Matzie.

Mr. MATZIE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This was a good experience for our committee, working together on both sides of the aisle. We got an amendment in caucus that will protect ratepayers, an amendment that I offered. I thank the gentleman for offering this piece of legislation and the good work of the committee that voted it out unanimously. I ask for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rowe
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Rozzi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sappey
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzie	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Harris	Merski	Staats
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, B.	Stehr
Ciresi	Howard	Miller, D.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sturla
Cooper	James	Munroe	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1772, PN 2176**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Nonprofit Security Grant Fund, further providing for Nonprofit Security Grant Fund; and making an appropriation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Representative Khan, on final passage of HB 1772.

Mr. KHAN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to support HB 1772, the legislation for the Nonprofit Security Grant Fund program. Tragically, threats and acts of violence against places of worship have increased over the last 10 years, and with the events in the Middle East that have been going on since October 7, anti-Semitic and Islamophobic incidents have spiked.

We know about the fatal shootings at houses of worship in the last few years – Tree of Life synagogue, Mother Emanuel AME (African Methodist Episcopal), First Baptist Church in Texas. We need to ensure that our communities are kept safe, including our churches, our synagogues, our mosques. We also must be steadfast in condemning hate and violence and focus on ways to reduce human suffering to protect innocents at home.

HB 1772 will increase grant funding from \$5 million to \$10 million. It will award grants to nonprofits from \$5,000 to \$150,000, including houses of worship, that will aid them in applying for grants for cameras, protective lighting, metal detectors, and emergency communications equipment.

Pennsylvania was founded as a Commonwealth based on inclusion and acceptance and religious freedom. We must work to ensure that no one feels afraid to attend a house of worship. This bill shows that we will not stand by while acts of violence are committed in our most sacred spaces. I urge you to vote "yes" on HB 1772. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—153

Abney	Fleming	Kuzma	Rader
Adams	Flick	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Flood	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Banta	Frankel	Madden	Ryncavage
Bellmon	Freeman	Madsen	Salisbury
Benham	Friel	Major	Samuelson



Benninghoff	Fritz	Mako	Sanchez
Bizzarro	Gallagher	Malagari	Sappey
Bonner	Galloway	Marcell	Scheuren
Borowski	Haddock	Markosek	Schlegel
Boyd	Gergely	Marshall	Schlossberg
Boyle	Gillen	Matzie	Schmitt
Bradford	Giral	Mayes	Schweyer
Brennan	Green	McAndrew	Scott
Briggs	Grove	McNeill	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mehaffie	Siegel
Bullock	Guzman	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Haddock	Mercuri	Solomon
Burns	Hanbidge	Merski	Staats
C Freytiz	Harkins	Mihalek	Steele
Cabell	Harris	Miller, B.	Struzzi
Cephas	Hogan	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Mullins	Takac
Ciresi	Howard	Munroe	Tomlinson
Conklin	Isaacson	Mustello	Topper
Curry	James	Neilson	Venkat
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Daley	Kail	O'Mara	Warren
Davis	Kaufner	O'Neal	Watro
Dawkins	Kazeem	Oberlander	Waxman
Deasy	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Webster
Delloso	Khan	Otten	White
Delozier	Kim	Parker	Williams, C.
Donahue	Kinlead	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Dunbar	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Emrick	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	
Evans	Krajewski	Powell	McClinton,
Fee	Krueger	Probst	Speaker
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	

NAYS-49

Barton	Gregory	Krupa	Rossi
Bernstine	Greiner	Kutz	Rowe
Borowicz	Hamm	Leadbeter	Schemel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Mackenzie, M.	Scialabba
Causar	Irvin	Maloney	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Moul	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Stender
Davanzo	Keefer	Owlett	Twardzik
Diamond	Kephart	Pickett	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Rapp	Wentling
Fink	Klunk	Roae	Zimmerman
Gleim			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 152, PN 124**, entitled:

An Act repealing the act of September 1, 1965 (P.L.420, No.215), known as The Frozen Dessert Law.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Abney	Fleming	Krupa	Rigby
Adams	Flick	Kulik	Roae
Armanini	Flood	Kutz	Rossi
Banta	Frankel	Kuzma	Rowe
Barton	Freeman	Lawrence	Rozzi
Bellmon	Friel	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Benninghoff	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bernstine	Galloway	Madsen	Sanchez
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Major	Sappey
Bonner	Gergely	Mako	Schemel
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Scheuren
Borowski	Giral	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyd	Gleim	Marcell	Schlossberg
Boyle	Green	Markosek	Schmitt
Bradford	Gregory	Marshall	Schweyer
Brennan	Greiner	Matzie	Scialabba
Briggs	Grove	Mayes	Scott
Brown, A.	Guenst	McAndrew	Shusterman
Brown, M.	Guzman	McNeill	Siegel
Bullock	Haddock	Mehaffie	Smith
Burgos	Hamm	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
Burns	Hanbidge	Mercuri	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harkins	Merski	Staats
Cabell	Harris	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Causar	Heffley	Mihalek	Steele
Cephas	Hogan	Miller, B.	Stehr
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Stender
Ciresi	Howard	Moul	Struzzi
Conklin	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Cook	Isaacson	Munroe	Takac
Cooper	James	Mustello	Tomlinson
Curry	Jones, M.	Neilson	Topper
Cutler	Jones, T.	Nelson, E.	Twardzik
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Venkat
Daley	Kail	O'Mara	Vitali
Davanzo	Kaufner	O'Neal	Warner
Davis	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warren
Dawkins	Keefer	Ortitay	Watro
Deasy	Kenyatta	Otten	Waxman
Delloso	Kephart	Owlett	Webster
Delozier	Kerwin	Parker	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Pashinski	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinlead	Pielli	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Klunk	Powell	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Rabb	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rader	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-4

Diamond	Kazeem	Madden	Probst
---------	--------	--------	--------

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—1

Labs

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**SB 152, PN 124**

An Act repealing the act of September 1, 1965 (P.L.420, No.215), known as The Frozen Dessert Law.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**GUEST INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. In the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome Rev. Joan Sabatino, the director of the Unitarian Universalist Justice society. Reverend Joan, it is good to see you back again. We remember you praying up here on the 3d of January. Thank you for your advocacy.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 863;  
HB 1477;  
HB 1567;  
HB 1768; and  
SB 773.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS RECOMMITTED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 663;  
HB 842;  
HB 1580;  
HB 1716; and  
SB 500.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, there will be no further votes.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER**

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members before adjourning, today is the Parliamentarian's birthday. Happy birthday.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a motion by the gentleman from Luzerne County, Representative Ryncavage, that the House now adjourn until Monday, November 13, 2023, at 12 m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 12:42 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.