

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

THURSDAY, JULY 6, 2023

SESSION OF 2023

207TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 37

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (JOANNA E. McCLINTON) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

HON. ROBERT F. MATZIE, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Good morning. Let us bow our heads as we recite Mother Teresa's prayer:

"People are often unreasonable, illogical, and self-centered; forgive them anyway. If you are kind, people may accuse you of selfish, ulterior motives; be kind anyway. If you are successful, you will win some false friends and some true enemies; succeed anyway. If you are honest and frank, people may cheat you; be honest and frank anyway. What you spend years building, someone could destroy overnight; build anyway. If you find serenity and happiness, they may be jealous; be happy anyway. The good you do today, people will often forget tomorrow; do good anyway. Give the world the best you have, and it may never be enough; give the world the best you've got anyway. You see, in the final analysis, it is between you and God; it was never between you and them anyway."

Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, July 5, 2023, will be postponed until printed.

#### JOURNAL APPROVED

The SPEAKER. The Journal of Tuesday, April 25, 2023, is in print and, without objection, is approved.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Colleagues, we have some very special guests. The House will come to order. The House will come to order.

Seated to the left of the Speaker's rostrum, our colleague, Representative Webster, has his intern, Kayleigh Wolfe. She is currently a student at the University of Delaware; Eve Neider, who is a student at Syracuse University; and also Amy Smith, the district office director. Please stand, guests. Welcome to the floor of the House.

Also seated to the left of the Speaker's rostrum, I am so grateful to have the legislative interns from the 191st Legislative District. We have in our district office, back for the second time, Bryce Thompson, who is a junior at the College of Wooster. And for the second time – but we missed the summer last year – we are thrilled to welcome Jordyn Williams, who is now a junior at North Carolina A&T. And we also have the newest member, our constituent service adviser, Marquise Bailey, and a longtime community hero who calls himself the mayor of Philadelphia, Mr. Tyrone "Cakes" Sims. Everyone from my office, please stand. Welcome.

The House will briefly be at ease.

The House will come to order.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Are there requests for leaves of absence?

The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who indicates there are none.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who indicates there are none.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe

Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappey
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causar	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufer	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and three members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

### GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Members, we have another very special guest here this morning. If I may have your attention.

Traveling all the way from Washington County, we are so very excited to have the son of our colleague, Representative O'Neal. Daniel, please stand up. Welcome to the floor of the House.

The House will briefly be at ease.

The House will come to order. Members, please take your seats.

## RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority caucus chair, Representative Schlossberg, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. SCHLOSSBERG. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

There will be a Rules Committee meeting immediately upon the break in the majority caucus room.

House Democrats will caucus at noon. We will be prepared to return to the floor at 1.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Rules Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Dunbar for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Republicans will also caucus at 12 o'clock. Republicans will caucus at 12 o'clock.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Young for a committee announcement.

Mrs. YOUNG. Immediately following the Rules Committee meeting, the House Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately following the Rules Committee meeting in the majority caucus room.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House stands in recess until 1 o'clock p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

### RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 1:30 p.m.; further extended until 1:45 p.m.; further extended until 2 p.m.; further extended until 2:15 p.m.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEES****HB 27, PN 1639** By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in preliminary provisions, further providing for special provisions applicable to limited school years; and, in certification of teachers, further providing for substitute teaching permit for prospective teachers, for locally issued temporary certification for substitute teachers and for permit for classroom monitors.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 358, PN 1798** By Rep. HARRIS

An Act Amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for awards to first responders.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 544, PN 1799** By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in protection from abuse, providing for waiver of fee for corrected or duplicate State documents.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 716, PN 1763** By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in penalties and disposition of fines, further providing for inability to pay fine and costs.

RULES.

**HB 841, PN 1801** By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 44 (Law and Justice) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in DNA data and testing, further providing for policy, for definitions, for DNA sample required upon conviction, delinquency adjudication and certain ARD cases, for collection from persons accepted from other jurisdictions, for expungement and for mandatory cost.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 859, PN 1716** By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in neighborhood blight reclamation and revitalization, further providing for municipal permit denial.

RULES.

**HB 1096, PN 1687** By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in licenses and regulations and liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for public venue license and for limiting number of retail licenses to be issued in each county.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1130, PN 1741**

By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Safe Communities Grant Program and the Safe Communities Grant Program Fund; and imposing duties on the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

RULES.

**HB 1173, PN 1767**

By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1995 (P.L.89, No.18), known as the Conservation and Natural Resources Act, in Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, further providing for community recreation and heritage conservation.

RULES.

**HB 1210, PN 1283**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in protection from abuse, further providing for relief, for emergency relief by minor judiciary and for arrest for violation of order.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1218, PN 1766**

By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, providing for payments for spousal personal care services.

RULES.

**HB 1351, PN 1802**

By Rep. HARRIS

An Act amending the act of November 27, 2019 (P.L.742, No.108), known as the Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority Act, in Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority, further providing for Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority; and, in Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Fund, further providing for money in fund.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1448, PN 1632**

By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, providing for conflict resolution instruction.

RULES.

**HB 1515, PN 1718**

By Rep. BRADFORD

An Act amending Title 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Nonnarcotic Medication-assisted Substance Abuse Treatment Grant Pilot Program, further providing for definitions, repealing provisions relating to establishment of pilot program, providing for establishment and further providing for county participation requirements, for use of grant funding, for powers and duties of department, for prior authorization, for report to General Assembly and for construction; imposing duties on the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; and making an editorial change.

RULES.

### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 173** By Representatives GERGELY, PISCIOTTANO, VENKAT, FRANKEL, HARKINS, MAYES, GIRAL, HILL-EVANS, ROZZI, MIHALEK, SANCHEZ, SMITH-WADE-EL, T. DAVIS, McANDREW, HADDOCK, JAMES, WARNER, KINSEY, MALAGARI, MARSHALL and GREEN

A Resolution designating May 30, 2023, as "Kennywood Park Day" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on TOURISM AND ECONOMIC AND RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, July 6, 2023.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1559** By Representatives SCOTT, HILL-EVANS, ROZZI, GIRAL, SMITH-WADE-EL, CEPEDA-FREYTIZ, D. WILLIAMS and MALAGARI

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in municipal authorities, further providing for definitions, providing for public safety authorities and further providing for purposes and powers.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, July 6, 2023.

**No. 1560** By Representative SCOTT

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in municipal authorities, further providing for definitions, providing for public safety authorities and further providing for purposes and powers.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, July 6, 2023.

### CALENDAR

#### RESOLUTIONS

Mr. CONKLIN called up **HR 148, PN 1546**, entitled:

A Resolution designating September 18, 2023, as "State Grange Day" in Pennsylvania and celebrating the Pennsylvania State Grange on its 150th anniversary.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—202

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson

Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappery
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causar	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufman	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinhead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fleming	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—1

Fink

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

\* \* \*

Mr. MEHAFFIE called up **HR 160, PN 1603**, entitled:

A Resolution designating June 30, 2023, as "Hershey's Chocolate World Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding that Representative Mihalek withdraws amendment A01367. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Rossi
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rowe
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Ryncavage
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Salisbury
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Samuelson
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Sanchez
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sappely
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Schemel
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Scheuren
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Schlegel
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlossberg
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schmitt
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schweyer
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Scialabba
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scott
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Smith
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Staats
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Stambaugh
Causar	Hogan	Merski	Steele
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Stehr
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stender
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Struzzi
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Takac
Cooper	James	Mullins	Tomlinson
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Topper
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Twardzik
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Venkat
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Vitali
Davanzo	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Warner
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warren
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Watro
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Waxman
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Webster
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Wentling
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	White
Donahue	Khan	Parker	Williams, C.
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Young
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Zimmerman
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	McClinton,
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	Speaker
Fleming	Krueger	Rader	

NAYS—2

Fink	Roae
------	------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 716, PN 1763**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in penalties and disposition of fines, further providing for inability to pay fine and costs.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRIGGS** offered the following amendment No. **A01581**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 7 through 11, by striking out "Court-ordered community service may be" in line 7 and all of lines 8 through 11

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Briggs.

Mr. **BRIGGS**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

During the Judiciary Committee meeting, there was a little bit of discussion on this topic. And it kind of cleans up some of the language, and I encourage all the members to vote in the affirmative. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappely
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith

Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causer	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davis	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Dawkins	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Deasy	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Delloso	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delozier	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Diamond	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Donahue	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Dunbar	Khan	Parker	White
Ecker	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Emrick	Kinhead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Evans	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Fee	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fiedler	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fink	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fleming	Krueger	Rader	Speaker

NAYS-1

Davanzo

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **WARREN** offered the following amendment No. **A01585**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 17, by inserting a bracket before "\$40"  
 Amend Bill, page 1, line 17, by inserting after "\$40"  
 ] \$120

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Warren.

Mr. **WARREN**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment increases the amount of credit that a convicted person receives for serving a day in jail in lieu of a fine; updates the amount. And I would respectfully request a "yes" vote. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-103

Abney	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rabb
Bellmon	Fleming	Krajewski	Rozzi
Benham	Frankel	Krueger	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Freeman	Krupa	Samuelson
Borowski	Friel	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Gallagher	Madden	Sappay
Boyle	Galloway	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gergely	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Giral	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Green	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guzman	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Haddock	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Hanbidge	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harris	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Howard	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Innamorato	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Isaacson	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Kazeem	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kenyatta	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Khan	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Kim	Pielli	
Delloso	Kinhead	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinsey	Probst	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS-100

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding that Representative Leadbeter withdraws amendment A01639. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 859, PN 1716**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in neighborhood blight reclamation and revitalization, further providing for municipal permit denial.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendments out of order for violating House rule 20: amendment A01635, amendment A01638.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1173, PN 1767**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1995 (P.L.89, No.18), known as the Conservation and Natural Resources Act, in Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, further providing for community recreation and heritage conservation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendments out of order for violating House rule 20: amendment A01625, amendment A01626.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Hohenstein offers amendment A01593. The question is, will the— Oh, it is the Chair's understanding that the gentleman withdraws. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1218, PN 1766**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, providing for payments for spousal personal care services.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **GROVE** offered the following amendment No. **A01596**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "Services.-"  
(a)  
Amend Bill, page 2, lines 2 through 4, by striking out "Upon approval of the waiver, the" in line 2 and all of lines 3 and 4 and inserting

(b) Upon approval of the waiver and enactment of an appropriation to the department for the purpose of, and adequate to fully fund, the payments under subsection (a), the department shall promulgate regulations necessary to implement the program under this section.

(c) The department may not make payments under subsection (a) until the regulations under subsection (b) are promulgated.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I have serious concerns with this bill. I will save those comments until final passage.

Today I rise simply to put some fiscal guardrails on this bill. Amendment A01596 provides that DHS (Department of Human Services) could not start paying spouses providing personal care until the General Assembly appropriates sufficient funding. The sponsor of this bill had previously said there would be no costs associated with paying a spouse to provide personal care to their Medicaid-recipient spouse because we are currently paying other attendants to provide the same care. Madam Speaker, an important clarification is that personal attendants are not providing 24/7 care. Personal attendants may provide care for 40 hours a week. Under HB 1218 Pennsylvania taxpayers will be on the hook to pay spouses to provide care they already provide when the attendant is not there.

Let us walk through the math. The current Medicaid rate for consumer-directed personal assistance service is \$15.70 an hour, and \$23.54 per hour for overtime. Assume the spouse provides 40 hours of care during mornings and evenings, Monday through Friday. That is \$628 per week. And assume the spouse provides 32 hours of care Saturday and Sunday. That is another \$753 per week paid at the overtime rate. So that is \$1,381 per recipient per week, or \$72,000 a year.

We have approximately 120,000 Medicaid recipients who receive home- and community-based services in Pennsylvania. If even only 10 percent of them begin to receive paid care by their spouse, that is \$864 million per year, of which the General Fund would be responsible for \$400 million; \$400 million per year in additional Medicaid costs for the taxpayers of Pennsylvania. Without this amendment, Madam Speaker, that is what you are voting for.

Madam Speaker, handing the Department of Human Services a blank check to further expand their entitlement programs by hundreds of millions of dollars on the day of the day of our Commonwealth's constitutional budgetary deadline – or the day after – please vote "no" on amendment A01596. However, I encourage members to support my amendment and vote "yes" to exercise your constitutional duty to control the cost of government.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Cerrato.

Mrs. CERRATO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This is not an agreed-to amendment, and I would like a "no" vote, please.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac

Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1130, PN 1741**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for licenses and for sale or transfer of firearms; establishing the Safe Communities Grant program and the Safe Communities Grant Program Fund; and imposing duties on the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

AMENDMENTS RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendments out of order for violating House rule 20: amendment A01630, amendment A01642.

RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Representative Craig Williams, rise?

Mr. C. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I would like to appeal the ruling of the Chair with respect to amendment 1642.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Representative Williams, wants to appeal the ruling of the Chair. House rule 20 provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject. The subject of HB 1130 is providing for the Safe Communities Grant Program. Amendment A01642 adds a second subject to the bill by providing for concurrent jurisdiction to prosecute gun crime within the city of the first class between the district attorney and the Attorney General.

On the question,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?



The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion, Representative Craig Williams.

Mr. C. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

To be very clear, the underlying bill is going to be unconstitutional, and I will speak to that on another day.

This amendment replaces the objective of a funding stream, and the funding stream does this: it taxes law-abiding gun owners with financial responsibility to install streetlights in high-crime areas. Let me put that in a different way. If a high-crime area – like, let us say, Philadelphia – needs streetlights, the answer then is going to be to tax law-abiding gun owners in the rest of the State. Notwithstanding the unconstitutionality of that funding stream, I have instead said, if you are going to collect those taxes, why not implement—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

The gentleman will confine debate to the question of whether the amendment violates the single-subject rule.

Mr. C. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Substantive arguments regarding the underlying merits of the amendment are not in order.

Mr. C. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment does not violate the single-subject rule of this House because it replaces the program at subject in the bill. This amendment does not violate the single-subject rule because it attacks gun violence where it exists: in prosecutions, not in streetlights. Streetlights are not the problem with crime and violence and murders in the city of the first class, it is the lack of prosecution.

This amendment does not violate the single-subject rule because it is time to put this idea back on the table in this chamber. It has been tabled once. It passed last year with an overwhelming bipartisan vote, and it continues to be maneuvered around in procedural tactics, like here. It does not violate the single-subject rule because just last week in the city of the first class, five people were murdered with guns – not because of streetlights, but because of the lack of prosecution.

A vote today to not allow this amendment to come forward is a vote to continue not prosecuting in the city of the first class.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, very simply, this proposed amendment does not violate the single-subject rule because the underlying bill takes money, which we will discuss tomorrow on final passage, and creates a program, one for streetlights and cameras. The good gentleman's amendment simply makes another program, which is one that would actually prosecute criminals with guns. We can argue about the efficacy of both of those programs. I think it is better to prosecute than to highlight and have cameras that simply record.

I believe it does not violate the single-subject rule; therefore, we should overrule the ruling of the Chair so that we can actually consider the underlying amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question of whether the ruling of the Chair shall stand as the judgment of the House, the Chair recognizes Representative Dan Miller.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Obviously, Madam Speaker, I rise in favor of sustaining the decision of the Chair. To be honest, I felt that the good gentlemen on the other side were making the exact argument as to why this does not fit. There is not that much more that I can say about it. I do thank them for their words, but look, obviously we are here to establish this Safe Communities Grant Program within the PA Commission on Crime and Delinquency. Amendment 1642 would insert language that would establish a Gun Violence Task Force within the city of Philadelphia, to be under the authority of the Attorney General, with the powers to investigate and initiate prosecutions. It is not relevant to the subject of HB 1130. Their own argument actually explains the definition and the description as to why these are two different subjects.

The ruling of the Chair is appropriate. I would ask everybody to vote in the affirmative to sustain that decision of the Chair.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappay
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinkead	Probst	Speaker

#### NAYS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt

Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. SMITH offered the following amendment No. A01631:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 1, by striking out "Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and" and inserting  
Title

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 2 through 4, by striking out "in" in line 2, all of line 3 and "licenses and for sale or transfer of firearms;" in line 4

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 10 through 18; page 2, lines 1 through 26; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting  
Section 1. Title 35 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes is amended by adding a chapter to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(b) Deposits.—Money appropriated to the fund by the General Assembly shall be deposited into the fund.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 29, by striking out "(b)" and inserting  
(c)

Amend Bill, page 6, line 20, by striking out "4" and inserting  
2

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Smith.

Mr. SMITH. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to offer A01631, which amends HB 1130. The underlying bill establishes several fees to be applied to those seeking gun licenses or those legally purchasing a firearm. My amendment would remove those fees from the bill while still allowing the General Assembly to fund the Safe Communities Grant Program through regular appropriation.

First, the only people who would end up paying these fees are the law-abiding Pennsylvanians who are purchasing firearms through the proper channels. We should not be making it more expensive for citizens to follow the law when those who buy guns illegally will not pay these additional fees.

Second, as a matter of sound budgeting, we should not be funding grant programs' dedicated fees with a special fund that has no budgetary oversight. Instead, we should fund grant programs through the appropriations in regular budget process, with the hearings and oversight that come with it. And in fact, since today is the last day of the fiscal year, which was last week, the timing is perfect for the Democratic Caucus to show how important this program is to them by funding it in the budget.

I ask you for an affirmative vote on this measure. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Those in favor of the amendment will be voting "aye"; those opposed—

The Chair recognizes Representative Briggs on whether or not the House will agree to the amendment.

Mr. BRIGGS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

There was some discussion during the committee meeting about the appropriate mechanism to fund this grant program. It is a very important and valuable grant program. So I am going to ask the members to vote in the affirmative on amendment 1631. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappey
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causer	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufer	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman

Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **WHITE** offered the following amendment No. **A01641**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 29 and 30; pages 3 through 5, lines 1 through 30; page 6, lines 1 through 19; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Sec.

5901. Definitions.

5902. Program.

5903. Fund.

5904. Applications.

5905. Award of grants.

5906. Reports.

§ 5901. Definitions.

The following words and phrases when used in this chapter shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"Commission." The Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

"Eligible applicant." A municipality.

"Fund." The Safe Communities Grant Program Fund established under section 5903 (relating to fund).

"Municipality." A county of the first class.

"Program." The Safe Communities Grant Program established under section 5902 (relating to program).

"Shot tracking technology." Technology that tracks the sound of gunfire and provides the location of the shooting to police officers.

§ 5902. Program.

(a) Establishment.—The Safe Communities Grant Program is established within the commission as a competitive program to award grants to eligible applicants.

(b) Purpose.—The purpose of the program is to improve public health and safety in this Commonwealth through the installation and maintenance of shot tracking technology in and around areas with a high rate of shootings, as determined by the commission.

(c) Administration.—The commission shall administer the program.

(d) Public notice.—The commission shall provide information regarding the availability and award of grants under the program on the commission's publicly accessible Internet website.

§ 5903. Fund.

(a) Establishment.—The Safe Communities Grant Program Fund is established in the State Treasury.

(b) Appropriation.—All money deposited into the fund and interest earned on the money in the fund are appropriated to the commission on a continuing basis to award grants under the program.  
§ 5904. Applications.

(a) Forms.—The commission shall develop annual grant application forms for eligible applicants that seek a grant under the program. At a minimum, the forms shall contain the following information:

(1) The name, address and contact information of the eligible applicant that seeks the grant.

(2) The amount of the grant requested.

(3) The proposed use for the requested grant.

(4) If applicable, a detailed maintenance plan for any shot tracking technology installed with the grant.

(5) Any other information that the commission deems necessary and appropriate.

(b) Application period.—Beginning no later than 180 days after the effective date of this subsection, the commission shall begin accepting and processing applications for grants under the program. For all subsequent years, the commission shall establish an application period during which applications for grants under the program are accepted.

§ 5905. Award of grants.

(a) Review.—After review of the information provided under each eligible applicant's submitted application, the commission shall determine whether to award a grant to the eligible applicant and, if so, the amount of the grant awarded.

(b) Allocation.—The commission may not:

(1) Award a grant to an eligible applicant in excess of \$25,000.

(2) Award grants in excess of the amount in the fund.

(c) Competitive nature of grants.—Grants under the program shall be awarded on a competitive basis to eligible applicants. In awarding a grant, the commission shall:

(1) Give preference to an eligible applicant whose grant application demonstrates the greatest likelihood of reducing the number of persons victimized by gun violence in that municipality and neighboring communities.

(2) Consider the scope of an eligible applicant's proposal and the demonstrated need for additional resources to effectively reduce gun violence in that municipality and neighboring communities.

(d) Time periods.—

(1) The commission shall approve or deny an application under the program no later than 60 days after the receipt of the application.

(2) If the commission fails to approve or deny an application under the program within 60 days after the receipt of the application, the application shall be deemed denied.

(e) Notice.—

(1) The commission shall provide written notice to an eligible applicant of the award or denial of the grant requested in the eligible applicant's application.

(2) Notice of the award of a grant under the program shall include the amount of the grant awarded and any conditions or restrictions on the use of the grant.

(3) Notice of the denial of all or part of a grant under the program shall include the reasons for the full or partial denial.

§ 5906. Reports.

At least every three years, the commission shall prepare and submit a report to the General Assembly regarding:

(1) The municipalities that received grants under the program, including the amount of the grants and the intended purposes of the grants.

(2) The number of municipalities that were denied a grant, in whole or in part, and the reasons for the denial.

(3) The rate of gun violence in municipalities that received grants under the program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative White.

Ms. WHITE. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

The city of Philadelphia continues to lead Pennsylvania with the highest number of murders. While this bill is well-intentioned, I believe in stronger solutions to addressing the criminal elements in the city of the first class than just this grant program. While I would like to see us address this issue by affording our youth educational opportunities that will help them break free from the school-to-prison pipeline – like PASS (Pennsylvania Award for Student Success) and lifeline scholarships would have done – our colleagues on the other side of the aisle prefer these types of grants that will go to cities and municipalities to fight crime, but not grants to parents and students to escape failing schools and address root causes of crime in my home city.

My amendment enhances the Safe Communities Grant Program to provide grants to municipalities, like my home city of Philadelphia, for shot tracking technology in areas with a high rate of crime and shootings. This technology can help our local police identify and deter crime in some of the highest crime areas in the State as well. Even the Philadelphia City Council president specifically called for funding this advanced technology to be used earlier this year, so we know for a fact there is a deep need for this technology in our crime-ridden parts of the city.

Despite this bill falling woefully short, all that I am asking for is an affirmative vote on my amendment to give our municipalities effective crimefighting tools.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Briggs. Mr. BRIGGS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

It sounds like a really interesting grant idea that the Representative from Philadelphia has, amendment 1641. I look forward to working on it as a stand-alone bill, and possibly having discussion in the Judiciary Committee, but I urge the members to vote "no" on the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr

D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefe	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappay
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

The House will briefly be at ease.

The House will come to order.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1448, PN 1632**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, providing for conflict resolution instruction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

#### AMENDMENTS RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair rules the following amendments out of order for violating House rule 20: amendments A01539, A01589, A01605, A01698, A01778.

#### RULING OF CHAIR APPEALED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Representative Diamond, rise?

Mr. DIAMOND. I rise to appeal from the ruling of the Chair on amendment A01589.

The SPEAKER. Representative Diamond appeals the ruling of the Chair that amendment A01589 violates House rule 20. House rule 20 provides that no bill shall be passed containing more than one subject. The subject of HB 1448 is to provide for conflict resolution instruction in schools. Amendment A01589 adds a second subject to the bill by providing for parental control relating to instructional materials and books containing sexually explicit content.

On the question,  
Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion and the amendment, Representative Diamond.

Mr. DIAMOND. Well, thank you, Madam Speaker.

As the Chair said, the underlying bill deals with conflict resolution instruction, and the amendment, which was ruled out of order by the Chair, is actually conflict resolution instruction. Madam Chair, the greatest conflict in our education system today, not just here in Pennsylvania, but across the nation, is sexually explicit material being provided to children who are not age-appropriate to that material. And my amendment, which was ruled out of order – which I am asking you to overturn that ruling – actually is conflict resolution instruction.

Madam Chair, you can teach kids out of a book all you want, but they will respond even better to real-world examples of watching their parents and their educators, the adults they interact with the most, resolve this conflict in a way that does not ban books, that does not demonize librarians, and peacefully gives parental choice to every student in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Madam Chair, children learn by example. If you want to instruct them in conflict resolution, you will overturn the ruling of the Chair so that they can learn by the example of their parents and their teachers and their school administration. Conflict resolution instruction is exactly what the amendment provides, and I would argue that it is not a violation of the single-subject rule; in fact, it focuses the single-subject rule like a laser on conflict resolution.

Madam Chair, the ruling of the Chair is extreme, and all my good friends on the other side of the aisle should overturn it because I know that you all want your children to learn by the examples of the adults in their life – your parents, sometimes grandparents, sometimes guardians – but the parents and the

teachers in the public education system that you want to make sure that all of our kids are stuck in. Madam Chair, I ask for a vote to overturn the ruling of the Chair.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the floor leaders.

The Chair recognizes Representative Dan Miller.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I rise in favor of sustaining the decision of the Chair. And I do appreciate – I think some good latitude was given for the gentleman to extend beyond some comments in relation to what he considers to be the largest source of conflict in public schools. We could go into much discussion as to what, individually, there is to talk about in relation to the issues with our schools, but that is not at the heart here of what this bill is supposed to be doing with HB 1448. The reality of it here is that public schools are to provide instruction on conflict resolution to all students, and that does not require, necessarily, every aspect to which somebody may find a conflict – no matter how small, large, or regional or not that the issue may be.

So this decision by the Chair is appropriate. We would ask everybody to vote to sustain the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER. The Chair reminds the members, on this type of motion, the maker of the motion can only speak one time.

Those in favor of sustaining the Chair's decision will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinhead	Probst	Speaker

#### NAYS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe

Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the negative, the decision of the Chair stood as the judgment of the House.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **GLEIM** offered the following amendment No. **A01603**:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(c) Prior to making available an in-service training program as identified in paragraph (2), the department shall identify an existing in-service training program that can be removed as a requirement. The department shall notify the chair and minority chair of the Education Committee of the Senate and the chair and minority chair of the Education Committee of the House of Representatives of the existing in-service training program that can be removed as a requirement.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 13, by striking out "(c)" and inserting  
(d)

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Gleim.

Mrs. **GLEIM**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment simply requires the department to identify an existing in-service training program that can be removed prior to making available another in-service training program on conflict resolution. Madam Speaker, there are just too many existing mandates and in-services that teachers are mandated to take, and I just believe that we need to start removing some of the mandates before we require – or before a school district enacts another one.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Bellmon.

Mr. **BELLMON**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.  
I thank the Representative for her interest in the bill, but I kindly request that my colleagues vote "no" to this amendment.  
Thank you, Madam Speaker.  
The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappery
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **GLEIM** offered the following amendment No. **A01606**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 18 and 19, by striking out "age appropriate and sequential in method of study" and inserting integrated within an appropriate course of study required in accordance with academic standards under 22 Pa. Code Ch. 4 (relating to academic standards and assessment)

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Gleim.

Mrs. **GLEIM**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

In committee, I did testify that I did not believe that this bill was ready for prime time. There were too many policy examples that were not defined. This amendment removes "age appropriate and sequential in method of study" language, and instead requires conflict resolution instruction to be integrated into course of study required under existing academic standards.

Madam Speaker, this bill has broad and undefined language, and this amendment attempts to clarify some of that language. It ensures that instruction is age-appropriate, which would also align with our standards. It would also, as the bill is written, may conflict with the authority of the State Board of Education to adopt academic standards.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote affirmatively on this amendment. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Bellmon.

Mr. **BELLMON**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I thank the Representative for her interest in the bill, but I kindly request that my colleagues vote "no" to this amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt

Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causser	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappay
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **GLEIM** offered the following amendment No. **A01609**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16  
(3) A student receiving special education services may be exempt from the requirements of this subsection if the instruction is not coordinated with the individualized education plan for the student.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 16, by striking out "(3)" and inserting  
(4)

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Gleim.

Mrs. GLEIM. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment helps to clarify, again, certain sections of the underlying bill that would be very difficult for professionals to implement. For instance, part of the bill requires teachers to actually notice if a student is effectively managing their emotions. This amendment provides an exemption for students receiving special education services. If the instruction is not coordinated with the student's IEP, individualized education plan, the students can be exempt.

Madam Speaker, this increases the parents' role in their child's IEP, and I ask my colleagues for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Bellmon.

Mr. BELLMON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I thank the Representative for her interest in the bill, but I kindly request that my colleagues vote "no" to this amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman

Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. BELLMON offered the following amendment  
No. A01653:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by striking out "Beginning in the 2024-2025 school year, each" and inserting  
Each  
Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by striking out "shall" and inserting  
may  
Amend Bill, page 1, lines 17 and 18, by striking out "required under paragraph (1)"  
Amend Bill, page 2, lines 18 and 19, by striking out "required under paragraph (1)"  
Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by striking out "six (6)" and inserting  
twelve (12)  
Amend Bill, page 2, line 28, by striking out "required"  
Amend Bill, page 3, line 4, by inserting after "entity"  
that provides instruction on conflict resolution to all students  
Amend Bill, page 3, line 8, by striking out "mandated"  
Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(c) Construction.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to infringe or limit an individual's rights or freedoms that are otherwise protected by the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of Pennsylvania.  
Amend Bill, page 3, line 13, by striking out "(c)" and inserting  
(d)  
Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 15 and 16  
"Conflict resolution" shall mean a formal or informal process that two or more individuals or groups use to find a peaceful solution to reduce disagreement, discord or friction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding that the amendment is withdrawn. The Chair thanks the gentleman.



On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BELLMON** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01705**:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 15 and 16  
"Conflict resolution" shall mean a formal or informal process that two or more individuals or groups use to find a peaceful solution to reduce disagreement, discord or friction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Bellmon.

Mr. **BELLMON**. Madam Speaker, amendment A01705 adds a definition for "conflict resolution" as either "a formal or informal process that two or more individuals or groups use to find a peaceful solution to reduce disagreement, discord or friction."

This issue came up during the Education Committee meeting last week, and this amendment aims to address it. This amendment improves the bill, and I urge my colleagues for a "yes" vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—102**

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Deloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinthead	Probst	Speaker

**NAYS—101**

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—0**

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1515, PN 1718**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Nonnarcotic Medication-assisted Substance Abuse Treatment Grant Pilot Program, further providing for definitions, repealing provisions relating to establishment of pilot program, providing for establishment and further providing for county participation requirements, for use of grant funding, for powers and duties of department, for prior authorization, for report to General Assembly and for construction; imposing duties on the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; and making an editorial change.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **GREGORY** offered the following amendment No. **A01633**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 18, by striking out "MEDICATION-ASSISTED"

Amend Bill, page 2, line 14, by striking out "Medication-assisted"

Amend Bill, page 2, line 30, by striking out "Medication-assisted"

Amend Bill, page 3, line 2, by striking out "medication-assisted"

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 3 through 5, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

the following:

(1) Treatment services for eligible offenders, focusing on the continuum of care leading to long-term recovery.

(2) Medication-assisted treatment combined with comprehensive substance use treatment to eligible offenders while incarcerated and upon release from county correctional institutions.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 28, by striking out " to" and inserting

;

(i) for treatment services for eligible offenders, focusing on the continuum of care leading to long-term recovery; or

(ii) to

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

(1.1) The number of eligible offenders who have received treatment services, focusing on the continuum of care leading to long-term recovery.

Amend Bill, page 6, line 17, by striking out "(2) The" and inserting

(2) The

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(2.1) The number of eligible offenders who have completed treatment services and achieved long-term recovery.

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 22 and 23

(3.1) Recidivism rates of eligible offenders who have received treatment services, focusing on the continuum of care leading to long-term recovery.

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

(7) The impact of treatment services focusing on the continuum of care leading to long-term recovery.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Gregory.

Mr. **GREGORY**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to offer amendment 01633, which amends HB 1515. The underlying bill has merit, but I believe that it is missing some important aspects of the drug and alcohol recovery process. My amendment expands the scope of the program to include grants for services that would help the continuum of care supporting long-term recovery.

Madam Speaker, the question is, what does long-term recovery look like to you? Does it look like the person next to you? Does it look like a loved one back home? Or does it look like the person who is speaking to you from this podium right now?

Madam Speaker, I am truly a miracle that, by God's grace, I am even alive to say I am 13 years sober today from drugs and alcohol, and I believe strongly – thank you – I believe strongly in

long-term recovery. To my brothers and my sisters in long-term recovery, I am speaking for you now, but I am also speaking for those potential miracles among us today who are seeking treatment in prison or who need treatment in prison. But, Madam Speaker, what is their likelihood of long-term recovery once they leave incarceration?

I believe this bill, HB 1515, is the start to long-term recovery. The scope of the program is designed to provide expanded drug treatments for the incarcerated. But once out of prison, too many return to that prison that I know of that has no walls. It is the prison of addiction. I know what that prison feels like. It is a prison of no hope; it is a prison of no faith; it is a prison with no future. It has only one thing: a return to prison or death.

Madam Speaker, I rise today to offer this amendment to expand the scope of this program to include life with hope, life with faith, life with a future. In Pennsylvania, Madam Speaker, we have been focusing so much on harm reduction via medical-assisted treatment in order to save lives, to try and save the lives of the 14 Pennsylvanians who will die today of overdose as we debate this bill and this amendment.

Madam Speaker, long-term recovery cannot start without first saving the addict's life, but the money spent in this program needs to help return what is now a crumbled and devastated infrastructure of treatment and recovery in Pennsylvania. So as I ask not what does long-term recovery look like to you, I instead ask what can long-term recovery look like if we would expand this program to include the resources we need to provide that path? A path to walk, to stay on, so that someday they could stand before this body, as I am, and ask for you to support long-term recovery programs in the many forms that are available.

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. **GREGORY**. Well, Madam Speaker, this amendment is one way of doing that, but I also believe that a stand-alone bill with this language gives us even a better chance at providing expansion for this program. A stand-alone bill that gets out of this body and gets to the Senate with the Governor's signature – that is what will bring long-term recovery for those that are in prison, whether in the prison that has walls or the prison that has no walls.

Madam Speaker, this is not an agreed-to amendment, but thanks to conversations with the maker of this bill, which I support, there are conversations that are now leading to that stand-alone bill that I believe is the best way forward for long-term recovery in Pennsylvania. And so with that in mind, I ask for your indulgence that I speak about this today, because any time that I can speak about long-term recovery and what we can do to make it a reality in Pennsylvania, I am going to do that. But in light of that, I am actually going to pull the amendment and ask for you to please, please understand that the best way forward is a stand-alone bill for long-term recovery in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

## CALENDAR CONTINUED

## BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 829, PN 991**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission and the Governor, to grant and convey certain easements through and across lands of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in the Township of Manheim, County of Lancaster, for purposes of a road realignment project and to accept from the Township of Manheim existing right-of-way to be abandoned as part of the road realignment project; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs and the Governor, to grant and convey to Drexel University, permanent easement encumbering certain lands situate in the City and County of Philadelphia; and revoking a use restriction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **YOUNG** offered the following amendment No. **A01678**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by striking out "AND" and inserting authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the City of Philadelphia certain land, buildings and improvements situated in the 40th ward of the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County;

Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by inserting after "RESTRICTION" ; and making repeals

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 Section 3. Conveyance to City of Philadelphia.

(a) Authorization.—The Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, is authorized on behalf of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to grant and convey, to the City of Philadelphia, the following tract of land, together with any buildings, structures or improvements thereon, situate in the 40th Ward of the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, for \$38,000,000 under terms and conditions to be established in an agreement of sale.

(b) Property description.—The property to be conveyed under subsection (a) consists of a tract of land totaling approximately 18.837 acres, including all buildings, structures and improvements located thereon, more particularly described as follows:

ALL THAT CERTAIN tract or parcel of ground situated in the 40th Ward of the City of Philadelphia bounded and described in accordance with a plan thereof made by Maurice H. Goldich, Surveyor and Regulator of the Tenth Survey District, dated September 21, 1966, as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the northwesterly side of Enterprise Avenue (70' wide) at the distance of 398' as measured N 60 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds East along said northwesterly side of Enterprise Avenue from the point of intersection of the said side of Enterprise Avenue produced southwestwardly and the northeasterly side of Executive Avenue (60' wide) produced southeastwardly, said point of beginning being on the northeasterly line of a proposed right-of-way for an industrial railroad side track (agreement between City of Philadelphia and the Philadelphia Baltimore and Washington Railroad Company pending); thence extending along said northeasterly right-of-way line North 29 degrees 13 minutes 34 seconds West a distance of 836.394' to a point of curve; thence extending along a curve to the right having a radius of 335' subtending a central angle of 65 degrees 02 minutes 44 seconds an arc distance of 380.312' to a point of tangency, said point of tangency being on the southeasterly side of the clear zone of runway 4-22 Philadelphia International Airport; thence extending along said southeasterly side of the clear zone North 35 degrees 49 minutes 10 seconds East a distance of 355.147' to a point; thence

extending North 72 degrees 21 minutes 25.45 seconds East a distance of 188.176' to a point on a property line of the City of Philadelphia (Southwest Sewage Treatment Works); thence extending along said property line and crossing the head of a right-of-way for sewer and drainage purposes South 29 degrees 13 minutes 34 seconds East a distance of 1252.172' to a point on the aforementioned northwesterly side of Enterprise Avenue; thence extending along the said side of Enterprise Avenue the following four (4) courses and distances: (1) South 60 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds West 6.325', (2) along a curve to the left having a radius of 100' subtending a central angle of 117 degrees 03 minutes 30.74 seconds and recrossing the head of the aforementioned right-of-way for sewer and drainage purposes and arc distance of 204.306' to a point of reverse curve, (3) extending along a curve to the right having a radius of 100' subtending a central angle of 47 degrees 32 minutes 45.08 seconds an arc distance of 82.983' to a point of tangency; (4) South 60 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds West 452.436' to the first mentioned point and place of beginning.

CONTAINING 18.837 acres, more or less.

SUBJECT to a 60-foot-wide right-of-way for sewer and drainage purposes located in the Easternmost corner of the Premises adjacent to the Northeastern extremity of Enterprise Avenue.

BEING Tax Parcel No. 77-3-1025-00.

BEING the same premises the General State Authority conveyed to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, by deed dated July 11, 1997, and recorded August 29, 1997, in the Recorder of Deeds Office of Philadelphia County in Deed Book D 0398, Page 565.

(c) Existing encumbrances.—The conveyance shall be made under and subject to all lawful and enforceable easements, servitudes and rights of others, including, but not confined to, streets, roadways and rights of any telephone, telegraph, water, electric, gas or pipeline companies, as well as under and subject to any lawful and enforceable estates or tenancies vested in third persons appearing of record, for any portion of the land or improvements erected thereon.

(d) Conditions.—Any conveyance authorized under this section shall be made under and subject to the condition, which shall be contained in the deed of conveyance, that no portion of the property conveyed shall be used as a licensed facility, as defined in 4 Pa.C.S. § 1103 (relating to definitions), or any other similar type of facility authorized under State law. The condition shall be a covenant running with the land and shall be binding upon the grantee and its successors and assigns. Should the grantee, its successors or assigns permit any portion of the property authorized to be conveyed in this section to be used in violation of this subsection, the title shall immediately revert to and revest in the grantor.

(e) Deed.—The conveyance shall be by special warranty deed to be executed by the Secretary of General Services in the name of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

(f) Costs and fees.—Costs and fees incidental to this conveyance shall be borne by the grantee.

(g) Alternate disposition.—If the conveyance is not effectuated within two years after the effective date of this section, the property may be disposed of in accordance with section 2405-A of the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L. 177, No. 175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929.

(h) Proceeds.—From the proceeds received by the Commonwealth from the conveyance authorized by this section, \$10,000,000 shall be deposited into a restricted account in the General Fund. These proceeds to the restricted account are appropriated to the Department of General Services for the acquisition of land and for the design and construction of a new readiness center for the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs to be located in Philadelphia County. The remaining \$28,000,000 of the proceeds received by the Commonwealth from the conveyance authorized by this section shall be deposited into the General Fund.

Amend Bill, page 14, line 10, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Bill, page 14, line 18, by striking out "4" and inserting

5

Amend Bill, page 14, line 21, by striking out "3" and inserting  
4

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 23 and 24  
(3) The General Assembly declares that the repeal under paragraph (4) is necessary to effectuate the land transfer in section 4 of this act.

(4) Section 13 of the act of December 21, 1998 (P.L.1036, No.137), entitled "An act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to Paul A. Balach certain land situate in Scott Township, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to Everett Foodliner, Inc., a certain tract of land situate in the Borough of Everett, Bedford County, Pennsylvania; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission, to convey a tract of land in Cresson Township, Cambria County, to the Cresson Area Historical Association; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Transportation, to grant and convey to the Columbia Alliance for Economic Growth certain lands situate in Bloomsburg, Columbia County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Transportation, to grant and convey to Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories certain lands situate in Radnor Township, Delaware County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to Brenda Rae Miller and Kevin Ray Miller certain land situate in Saltlick Township, Fayette County, and to sell and convey to Robert Pritts, Catherine Pritts and Alverta Pritts certain land situate in Saltlick Township, Fayette County; authorizing and directing the Department of Transportation, with the approval of the Governor, to convey to Old Lycoming Township Fire Company a tract of land situate in the City of Williamsport, Lycoming County, Pennsylvania; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to Upper Skippack Mennonite Church certain improved land situate in the Township of Skippack, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to North Warren Municipal Authority certain lands situate in Conewango Township, Warren County; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to Warren Area Student Union, Inc., a certain tract of land situate in the Borough of Warren, Warren County, Pennsylvania; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission, to acquire a tract of land situate in Cornplanter Township, Venango County; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to the United States certain land situate in the Township of Canaan, Wayne County, Pennsylvania; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Environmental Protection, to lease certain lands within the bed of the Delaware River in the 5th Ward of the City of Philadelphia, subject to certain conditions; and authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to the City of Philadelphia certain land situate in the 40th Ward of the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania," is repealed.

Amend Bill, page 14, line 24, by striking out "5" and inserting

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Young.

Mrs. YOUNG. Thank you so much.  
I am asking everyone for an affirmative vote.  
Thank you so much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Metzgar.

Mr. METZGAR. For 25 years this facility has sat vacant. For a quarter of a century, we have gotten no revenue; we have lost 91 percent of the revenue to other airport facilities in the mid-Atlantic region. This Commonwealth apparently needs more revenue. This is a great opportunity for us to get an economic driver back in the game, and I applaud the maker of the amendment. Please vote in favor of it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Scheuren.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.  
Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, it is my understanding that not only do we have the support of this amendment, but the next amendment would also be agreed to, so I urge the members' support because of the economic development that could in fact occur, as the good chairman outlined. The airport is in need of additional space, this amendment provides it, and I look forward to getting the next amendment as well.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappery
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith

Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causser	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. **SCHEUREN** offered the following amendment No. **A01674**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting  
authorizing the release of Project 70 restrictions on certain land owned by Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, in return for the development of a hiking trail and scenic viewing area in Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County; revoking a use restriction; and making a repeal.

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 9 and 10  
Section 3. Partial release of restrictions on Bergey's Mill Park in Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County.

(a) Authorization.—Pursuant to the requirements of section 20(b) of the act of June 22, 1964 (Sp.Sess. 1, P.L.131, No.8), known as the Project 70 Land Acquisition and Borrowing Act, the General Assembly approves the release of Project 70 restrictions from a portion of the land owned by Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, which is more particularly described in subsection (c), in return for the development of a hiking trail and scenic viewing area described in subsection (b).

(b) Consideration.—

(1) Lower Salford Township intends to convey a right-of-way and easements as described in subsection (c) to Montgomery County for the Bergey's Mill Road bridge

replacement project. To comply with Project 70 funding purposes of providing land for both "recreation and historical purposes" and "conservation purposes," Montgomery County shall, in exchange, construct approximately 755 linear feet of hiking trail and scenic viewing area within Bergey's Mill Park, according to a Park Master Trail Plan. The recreational trail and scenic overlook are anticipated to be constructed as part of the Bergey's Mill Road bridge project.

(2) Within five years of the date of the conveyances described in subsection (c), Montgomery County shall construct the trail and scenic overlook at Bergey's Mill Park.

(c) Land to be released from Project 70 restrictions.—The land to be released from Project 70 restrictions is as follows:

ALL THAT CERTAIN tract or strip of land situate in the Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, being area between legal right-of-way line and required right-of-way line, described according to a Plan titled, Drawings Authorizing Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Replacement of County Bridge No. 146 Bergeys Mill Road (T-356) over East Branch of Perkiomen Creek in Lower Salford Township prepared for Montgomery County, prepared by Gannett Fleming, Inc. and being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the northeasterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 500+44± and at an offset of 30.00± feet left; thence from said beginning point, North 28° 51' 09" West, 9.05 feet to a point; thence along required right-of-way line the following four (4) courses and distances; 1) curving to the right having a radius of 2,530.00 feet and an arc length of 7.00 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of North 61° 05' 27" East, 7.00 feet to a point of tangency; 2) North 61° 13' 18" East, 219.28 feet to a point; 3) North 02° 37' 56 East, 9.37 feet to a point; 4) North 55° 47' 58" East, 45.72 feet to a point; thence South 40° 36' 26" East, 22.80 feet to a point; thence along northeasterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road the following three (3) courses and distances; 1) curving to the left having a radius of 398.50 feet and an arc length of 6.47 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of South 39° 51' 14" West, 6.46 feet to a point of reverse curvature; 2) curving to the right having a radius of 143.50 feet and an arc length of 63.62 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of South 52° 05' 27" West, 63.11 feet to a point of tangency; 3) South 64° 47' 33" West, 213.43 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 5,115.4296 square feet or 0.117 acre more or less.

Also,

BEGINNING at a point in the southwesterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 499+65± and at an offset of 16.00± feet right; thence along said southwesterly legal right-of-way line the following four (4) courses and distances; 1) North 58° 42' 38" East, 4.02 feet to a point; 2) curving to the left having a radius of 516.50 feet and an arc length of 28.12 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of North 57° 09' 03" East, 28.11 feet to a point of tangency; 3) North 55° 35' 29" East, 40.77 feet to a point; 4) North 64° 47' 33" East, 58.23 feet to a point; thence South 10° 35' 21" East, 7.98 feet to a point; thence South 60° 31' 39" West, 128.03 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 1,200.0179 square feet or 0.0275 acre more or less.

Also,

BEGINNING at a point in the southwesterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 501+55± and at an offset of 19.00± feet right; thence along said southwesterly legal right-of-way line North 64° 47' 33" East, 25.09 feet to a point; thence along required right-of-way line the following two (2) courses and distances; 1) South 38° 51' 47" West, 6.28 feet to a point; 2) South 72° 49' 33" East, 19.64 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 34.4183 square feet or 0.0008 acre more or less.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT

PARCEL No. 3

ALL THAT CERTAIN tract or strip of land situate in the Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, being area between legal right-of-way line and required right-of-way line, described according to a Plan titled, Drawings Authorizing Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Replacement of County Bridge No. 146 Bergeys Mill Road (T-356) over East Branch of Perkiomen Creek in Lower Salford Township prepared for Montgomery County, prepared by Gannett Fleming, Inc. and being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the northeasterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 499+94± and at an offset of 18.00± feet left; thence from said beginning point, the following three (3) courses and distances; 1) North 39° 15' 42" East, 139.19 feet to a point; 2) North 61° 13' 19 East, 225.46 feet to a point; 3) South 44° 35' 10" East, 35.41 feet to a point; thence along the legal right-of-way curving to the left having a radius of 398.50 feet and an arc length of 35.15 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of South 42° 50' 44" West, 35.14 feet to a point; thence along required right-of-way line the following six (6) courses and distances; 1) North 40° 36' 26" West, 22.80 feet to a point; 2) South 55° 47' 58" West, 45.72 feet to a point; 3) South 2° 37' 56" West, 9.37 feet to a point; 4) South 61° 13' 18" West, 219.28 feet to a point; 5) curving to the right having a radius of 2,530.00 feet and an arc length of 7.00 feet with chord bearing and chord distance of South 61° 05' 27" West, 7.00 feet to a point; 6) South 28° 51' 09" East, 9.05 feet to a point; thence along northeasterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road the following two (2) courses and distances; 1) South 64° 47' 33" West, 6.28 feet to a point; 2) South 55° 35' 29" West, 44.54 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 10,872.3580 square feet or 0.2496 acre more or less.

Also,

BEGINNING at a point in the southwesterly legal right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 500+96± and at an offset of 15.00± feet right; thence along said southwesterly legal right-of-way line North 64° 47' 33" East, 141.01 feet to a point; thence along temporary construction easement the following three (3) courses and distances; 1) South 2° 37' 55" West, 22.76 feet to a point; 2) South 61° 03' 45" West, 138.27 feet to a point; 3) North 10° 35' 21" West, 30.10 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 3,393.88 square feet or 0.0779 acre more or less.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SLOPE EASEMENT

PARCEL No. 3

ALL THAT CERTAIN tract or strip of land situate in the Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, being area between legal right-of-way line and required right-of-way line, described according to a Plan titled, Drawings Authorizing Acquisition of Right-of-Way for Replacement of County Bridge No. 146 Bergeys Mill Road (T-356) over East Branch of Perkiomen Creek in Lower Salford Township prepared for Montgomery County, prepared by Gannett Fleming, Inc. and being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the southwesterly required right-of-way line of Bergeys Mill Road, said point is at or near station 499+89± and at an offset of 23.00± feet right; thence from said beginning point along the said required right-of-way line North 60° 31' 39" East, 104.76 feet to a point; thence along slope easement line the following four (4) courses and distances; 1) South 10° 35' 21" East, 36.17 feet to a point; 2) South 61° 13' 19" West, 72.83 feet to a point; 3) South 88° 07' 07" West, 22.47 feet to a point; 4) North 30° 15' 39" West, 22.94 feet to the point and place of BEGINNING.

CONTAINING in area 3,224.71 square feet or 0.0740 acres more or less.

Amend Bill, page 14, line 10, by striking out "3" and inserting

- 4 Amend Bill, page 14, line 18, by striking out "4" and inserting
- 5 Amend Bill, page 14, line 21, by striking out "3" and inserting 4
- 6 Amend Bill, page 14, line 24, by striking out "5" and inserting

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Scheuren.

Mrs. SCHEUREN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today to offer amendment 1674 to SB 829. It authorizes Lower Salford Township, Montgomery County, to convey a right-of-way and easement to Montgomery County for the Bergey's Mill Road Bridge replacement project to comply with Project 70 funding purposes of providing land for both recreation and historical purposes and conservation purposes, and in return, Montgomery County shall construct approximately 755 linear feet of hiking trail and scenic viewing area within Bergey's Mill Park, according to a park master trail plan.

As part of the Bergey's Mill Road Bridge replacement, the recreational trail and scenic overlook are anticipated to be constructed by Montgomery County within 5 years of the date of conveyance. The project requires 0.146 acres of permanent right-of-way, 0.338 acres for temporary construction easement, and 0.074 acres of slope easement from Lower Salford Township's Bergey Park.

Madam Speaker, my amendment would further the project and provide park attendants with an opportunity to fully enjoy the benefits of the park. In addition, this bridge project has been on hold for the past 12 years. I would greatly appreciate an affirmative vote from the legislative body, but especially from my fellow legislators serving in Montgomery County, as our county planning commissioners back home have made this conveyance a key project for completion.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Malagari.

Mr. MALAGARI. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I just urge a "yes" vote for all the members. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappey
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel

Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causser	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Deloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a communication that reads, pursuant to House rule 26, the undersigned members of the House of Representatives make a motion to reconsider the vote by which HB 1448 was given second consideration. Signed by Representative Cutler and Representative White. Moved by Representative White that the vote by which HB 1448 was agreed to the second time be reconsidered. Seconded by Representative Cutler.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion, Representative White.

Ms. WHITE. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, for your indulgence.

Unfortunately, during the expedited nature of the calendar earlier, I missed the opportunity to rise regarding this motion that I am making right now to address this HB 1448 on second consideration.

The reason that I am rising for this is because my amendment had been ruled out of order and I did not have the opportunity to challenge the ruling of the Chair at that time. And a fundamental question – constitutional question – is whether the various components of a bill are actually part of the unifying scheme to accomplish a single purpose, and in my opinion, the reason that this motion needs to be addressed is to actually help the very, very core critical concerns of helping our children when it comes to conflict resolution, as you had heard earlier, and that is exactly what I am trying to do.

You know, what I believe to be out of order is really what is happening right now in the Philadelphia School District, which is clearly not working when less than 30 percent of third graders are at reading grade level and even fewer can do math. You know, I think what is out of order is that studies show that if a child cannot read—

Mr. D. MILLER. Madam Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentlelady will suspend.

That is not on the motion to reconsider. Please confine your remarks to the motion to reconsider.

Ms. WHITE. Yes, Madam Speaker. Thank you again.

On this motion to reconsider, it is very important that we are able to address some of the major concerns that our children are facing in our struggling schools, the choice to avoid the criminal justice system and actually have good options in front of them that can be done with my amendment that would have been, I think, ruled in order by this chamber had it been able to be considered, which is why this motion needs to be upheld today.

You know, kids would be less likely to even be involved in conflicts if they had a choice, their parents had a choice to send them to a better quality educational option.

The SPEAKER. The gentlelady will suspend.

This is the second admonition from the Chair. Remarks need to be confined to why this chamber should reconsider the bill being moved on second consideration only.

Ms. WHITE. Yes, Madam Speaker. Thank you again.

When it comes to this motion, we absolutely must uphold and address the core issue facing Pennsylvanians. You know, earlier the maker of the bill had an amendment that would have provided schools the choice to implement conflict resolution instruction, but without this motion—

The SPEAKER. The gentlelady will suspend.

This is the third and final admonition for the gentlelady to confine her comments not to the underlying bill. The time is coming for that. This is your motion to reconsider second consideration. This the third and final admonition. Please confine your remarks to why this House should consider your motion.

Ms. WHITE. Thank you very, very much, Madam Speaker, and I do genuinely appreciate the indulgence here.

I am asking for a motion to reconsider the underlying amendment because kids are stuck in failing schools and we need to get them out. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I urge the members to support the motion to reconsider on this particular bill because the issue is important. I think the motion to reconsider is important because the good lady had an amendment that was ultimately ruled out of order. However, that notice was not timely given; it was given right at the start of session as we moved into the debate. I just think the good lady deserves an opportunity to make her case on not just the issue, but also the bill.

So therefore, I urge the members to move it back to second consideration so that we can diligently work through the topic at hand.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El

Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinhead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 27, PN 1639**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in preliminary provisions, further providing for special provisions applicable to limited school years; and, in certification of teachers, further providing for substitute teaching permit for prospective teachers, for locally issued temporary certification for substitute teachers and for permit for classroom monitors.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappey
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel



Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causser	Hogan	Mersgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinthead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 358, PN 1798**, entitled:

An Act Amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for awards to first responders.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Mackenzie.

Mr. MACKENZIE. The Keystone First Responder Award will recognize those public servants who were either killed or suffered a career-ending injury in the line of duty. Every day first responders in Pennsylvania answer the call to protect people and/or property, often risking their own safety. This honor and award will commemorate the emergency responders who have made profound commitments while performing their duties as law enforcement, firefighters, emergency medical services personnel, coroners, or 911 dispatchers.

I introduced this legislation back in January of 2022 for the first time, and it is an honor and an award that we are looking to bestow on these first responders who have faced tragedy. And I never thought that it would be something that we would have to use in our district, but unfortunately, sadly, on December 7, 2022, two members of the community fire company of New Tripoli responded to a fire, and showing ultimate bravery and heroism for our community, they rushed into that burning building, searched out if there were any individuals still remaining there, and unfortunately, the building began to collapse on top of them. It was the last call for firefighters Zachary Paris and Marvin Gruber.

So while this award will be bestowed on them, we want to remember their ultimate sacrifice and all of the others across Pennsylvania who do their duty every single day – not seeking honor or recognition, but to help our community, our State, and our country. So I appreciate a "yes" vote and all the support that members have offered in getting this legislation through the House today.

I would just like to thank, again, by closing and recognizing firefighters Zachary Paris and Marvin Gruber for paying the ultimate sacrifice for our community. And if I can, Madam Speaker, I will close— may I just ask for everybody's attention here in the hall of the House?

The SPEAKER. The House will come to order.

Members, please take your seats.

The maker of the bill has a very important comment to make as you consider HB 358 on final passage.

Members, please take your seats.

Mr. MACKENZIE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And again, if I may just have everybody's attention. I would just like to take a very brief moment of silence to remember the lives of Zachary Paris and Marvin Gruber.

Thank you again, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—203

Abney	Flick	Krupa	Rapp
Adams	Flood	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Frankel	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Freeman	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Labs	Rowe
Bellmon	Fritz	Lawrence	Rozzi
Benham	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bernstine	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Bonner	Gillen	Madsen	Sappey
Borowicz	Giral	Major	Schemel
Borowski	Gleim	Mako	Scheuren
Boyd	Green	Malagari	Schlegel
Boyle	Gregory	Maloney	Schlossberg
Bradford	Greiner	Marcell	Schmitt
Brennan	Grove	Markosek	Schweyer
Briggs	Guenst	Marshall	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guzman	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Haddock	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mentzer	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Mercuri	Staats
Causar	Hogan	Merski	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Metzgar	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Mihalek	Stehr
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, B.	Stender
Conklin	Irvin	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Cook	Isaacson	Moul	Sturla
Cooper	James	Mullins	Takac
Curry	Jones, M.	Munroe	Tomlinson
Cutler	Jones, T.	Mustello	Topper
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Kail	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kaufner	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kauffman	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kazeem	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kephart	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Khan	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinkead	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Evans	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Kosierowski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb	McClinton,
Fink	Krueger	Rader	Speaker
Fleming			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 544, PN 1799**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in protection from abuse, providing for waiver of fee for corrected or duplicate State documents.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Borowski.

Mrs. BOROWSKI. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Today I rise in support of victims of domestic violence and to ask my colleagues for a "yes" vote on HB 544.

Domestic violence comprises a range of behaviors beyond physical and emotional abuse. Abusers often use violence, intimidation, degradation, and isolation to deprive victims of their rights to physical security, dignity, and respect.

Madam Speaker, this waiver program can be the lifeline that victims of abuse need to reclaim their lives. Abuse is about control and power. One of the ways an abuser will try to exert control is by withholding or destroying vital documents – such as birth certificates, driver's licenses, vehicle titles, and vehicle registrations – to isolate victims of abuse and make it more difficult for them to escape an abusive situation.

Madam Speaker, it is a fact that many victims escape their abuser and abusive situations with only the clothes they have on their back. Thinking about grabbing your driver's license or birth certificate are, many times, the last things on the minds of victims as they escape. This bill takes a small step to help those victims get the documents they need to start over.

I thank Chair Briggs and Representative Kinkead and the Judiciary staff for their help in making this bill better, as well as the stakeholders and the Judiciary Committee, all who worked with me to enhance this bill. I urge my colleagues for a "yes" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Owlett. Mr. OWLETT. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I just wanted to rise quickly and make sure that we all know by passing this, we are going to be taking money away from our CACs (child advocacy centers.) The fiscal note that we did receive from the Democratic Party does say that it might decrease slightly due to waiving these fees for these birth certificates, so just so we know and so that we are clear that we do agree that this will take money from our CACs, as well as funding our mandated reporters of child abuse and child-related costs. So I think it is good to know that.

I think there was a better way to fund this. I think we could have done a better job. And I do have concerns about taking money away from these critical resources available in all of our counties for children of domestic abuse. So I wish we could come

together on this and actually figure out a way to fund this properly, but taking the money away from these folks is not the way to do it.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill for the second time, Representative Borowski.

Mrs. BOROWSKI. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman, my colleague, for his comments, and I understand the concerns raised about this bill related to the potential reduction in the number of birth certificates sold, and thus, impacting available funds for child advocacy centers.

I, too, support child advocacy centers and the outstanding work they do. I have several friends who volunteer their legal expertise to support the children served by these incredible centers. The work they do is important, impactful, and unfortunately, because of the large number of children who have been victimized, is needed and necessary.

Madam Speaker, these centers need more than \$7.50 per birth certificate. They need an increase in funding from a reliable source. I would love to work with the gentleman from Tioga and Bradford Counties, and anyone else, to provide child advocacy centers with a more reliable source of funding. I believe HB 544 will have very little impact on the funding available for these centers, though. In fact, many of the families and children that utilize the services of these centers will also qualify for the waivers to be implemented through this bill.

Madam Speaker, I do not think we should pit victims against each other, and I truly believe there is enough funding for the centers and this waiver program, but if at any time there is not, I will advocate for additional funding for our victims, but again would welcome the opportunity to work now to identify that funding.

I ask my colleagues to please vote "yes" and support victims of domestic abuse.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—130

Abney	Flick	Kulik	Rigby
Bellmon	Frankel	Labs	Rozzi
Benham	Freeman	Lawrence	Ryncavage
Benninghoff	Friel	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Gallagher	Madden	Samuelson
Borowski	Galloway	Madsen	Sanchez
Boyd	Gaydos	Major	Sappey
Boyle	Gergely	Malagari	Scheuren
Bradford	Gillen	Marcell	Schlegel
Brennan	Giral	Markosek	Schlossberg
Briggs	Green	Marshall	Schweyer
Brown, A.	Guenst	Matzie	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	Mayes	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McAndrew	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	McNeill	Smith-Wade-El

Burns	Harkins	Mehaffie	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harris	Merski	Steele
Cephas	Hogan	Mihalek	Struzzi
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Sturla
Ciresi	Howard	Mullins	Takac
Conklin	Innamorato	Munroe	Tomlinson
Curry	Isaacson	Neilson	Venkat
Daley	Kaufner	Nelson, E.	Vitali
Davis	Kazeem	Nelson, N.	Warren
Dawkins	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Waxman
Deasy	Khan	Ortitay	Webster
Delloso	Kim	Otten	White
Delozier	Kinthead	Parker	Williams, C.
Donahue	Kinsey	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Emrick	Kosierowski	Pielli	Young
Evans	Krajewski	Pisciottano	
Fiedler	Krueger	Probst	McClinton,
Fleming	Krupa	Rabb	Speaker

NAYS—73

Adams	Flood	Klunk	Rapp
Armanini	Fritz	Kutz	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Kuzma	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Leadbeter	Rowe
Bernstine	Greiner	Mackenzie, M.	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Mako	Schmitt
Borowicz	Hamm	Maloney	Scialabba
Cabell	Heffley	Mentzer	Smith
Causar	Irvin	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	James	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, M.	Miller, B.	Stehr
Cutler	Jones, T.	Moul	Stender
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Mustello	Topper
Davanzo	Kail	O'Neal	Twardzik
Diamond	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Dunbar	Keefer	Owlett	Watro
Ecker	Kephart	Pickett	Wentling
Fee	Kerwin	Rader	Zimmerman
Fink			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 841, PN 1801**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 44 (Law and Justice) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in DNA data and testing, further providing for policy, for definitions, for DNA sample required upon conviction, delinquency adjudication and certain ARD cases, for collection from persons accepted from other jurisdictions, for expungement and for mandatory cost.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Howard.

Ms. HOWARD. I rise to ask for your support of HB 841.

As many of you know, before I was elected, I worked for my county's children, youth, and families department during child abuse investigations. From 2020 to 2021, I served on the Juvenile Justice Task Force, a bipartisan, bicameral group. I heard from many youth who were involved in the system and heard of the lifelong consequences they face. This goes against the philosophy of treating juveniles differently from adults in the criminal justice system. The consensus is that this system needs reform. We want our juveniles to face the consequences of their actions, be held accountable, yet be able to reform and move on.

The reason that I introduced HB 841 is simple. We correctly seal most juvenile records when the person turns 18, but current law makes expunging DNA that was collected based on their offenses very difficult and never part of the process of record-sealing. I believe that a natural part of the process of sealing juvenile records should be that evidence collected does not follow them into adulthood. If records are sealed, a person's DNA ought to be sealed as well. Currently the list of offenses for which DNA may be collected includes many relatively minor crimes. This strikes me as unnecessary, considering it will follow the child forever.

HB 841 would remedy this by narrowing the list of offenses for which juvenile DNA is collected to only serious violent and sexual felonies and then create an automatic data expungement rule for DNA previously collected from juveniles. There is so much to be done in reforming the system. I hope that you will join me in recognizing that giving our juveniles a second chance is incomplete without this additional step. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali

Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinkead	Probst	Speaker

NAYS-101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1096, PN 1687**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in licenses and regulations and liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for public venue license and for limiting number of retail licenses to be issued in each county.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Malagari.

Mr. MALAGARI. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I rise in support of HB 1096. This is a crucial piece of legislation that aims to address an issue that has arisen as a result of Act 125, passed by the General Assembly in 2020. So this act allowed approximately 350 licensees to convert their hotel liquor license issued before 1949 to restaurant liquor licenses for a fee of \$30,000.

So there is a significant challenge that these licensees face when it comes to transferring their license. Unless converted, hotel licenses must remain at the location specified on the license, making it extremely difficult for licensees to transfer the license when they go out of business or when they close. Moreover, many of these establishments no longer operate as a traditional hotel and they qualify for a betterment exemption from the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board. So compounding matters further, though, the COVID-19 pandemic has presented unforeseen circumstances, making it difficult, if not impossible, for many licensees to meet the deadline for applying for a license conversion during the 2020 cycle.

So as a result, the proposed legislation before you, HB 1096, would reopen the original application window for the licenses, those establishments that were licensed prior to 1949, and this would grant an opportunity for the license conversion to this group of licensees who were unable to take advantage of that previous window.

In addition, however, the legislation goes a step further by introducing a second category of hotel licensees. This new category would include the hotel licensees issued before 1965 who meet the room exemption criteria set by the PLCB. These licensees would also be given the chance to apply for a license conversion within a specified timeframe, alongside the previously eligible licensees as well.

And the benefits of the legislation are twofold. First, they offer individuals the opportunity to unburden themselves of an outdated liquor license that they no longer desire. This flexibility would then empower the licensees to adapt to changing circumstances and better align their businesses for the current market demands. And then secondly, this legislation will address a glaring issue in certain counties where there is clear shortage of restaurant licenses. So by allowing the conversion of the hotel license to a restaurant license, we can actually bridge this gap and provide greater opportunities for these businesses to flourish.

So concluding, the legislation seeks to support individuals by granting them the chance to convert their old hotel licenses, while simultaneously assisting counties with a shortage of restaurant licenses. So the proposal does strike a balance between accommodating the licensees and fostering economic growth in our communities.

So I want to thank you for your attention. I urge a "yes" vote on HB 1096.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Lawrence, final passage of HB 1096.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And I appreciate the intentions of the good gentleman. He mentioned in his comments Act 125 of 2020. I was one of the 11 "no" votes on that bill and I will be a "no" vote on this bill as well. When we go forward and offer opportunities for licensees to transfer from one class to another, in my view, we are

penalizing people who play by the rules. And what I mean by that is, when the liquor laws of this Commonwealth were established almost 100 years ago, we set up a very arcane and obtuse system for purchasing licenses on a quota system by counties. Those are the rules that were set up by our forebearers, and those are the rules that remain in effect today. So we have individuals in some counties in the Commonwealth who have made significant investments in the tavern licenses. These licenses are no longer available from the Commonwealth; they can only be purchased on the secondary market. And I can only speak to Chester County, those licenses are selling in the neighborhood of a \$1/2 million.

When you give opportunities for individuals to obtain such licenses through other means, it devalues everyone's license who has been playing by the rules. I understand the desire for these licenses. I understand the desire for more restaurants and more Wawas, Sheetzes, amusement parks – whatever it is you want to sell; beer to go in particular. But again, I feel like it penalizes folks who have been playing by the rules that we set up.

So with that, I certainly appreciate the good intentions of the gentleman who has offered HB 1096, but I will be a "no."

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—172

Abney	Flood	Krupa	Rader
Adams	Frankel	Kulik	Rigby
Armanini	Freeman	Kutz	Rossi
Bellmon	Friel	Kuzma	Rowe
Benham	Fritz	Labs	Rozzi
Bernstine	Gallagher	Leadbeter	Ryncavage
Bizzarro	Galloway	Mackenzie, M.	Salisbury
Bonner	Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gergely	Madden	Sanchez
Borowski	Giral	Madsen	Sappey
Boyd	Gleim	Major	Scheuren
Boyle	Green	Mako	Schlossberg
Bradford	Grove	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Guenst	Marcell	Scialabba
Briggs	Guzman	Markosek	Scott
Brown, A.	Haddock	Marshall	Shusterman
Bullock	Hamm	Matzje	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	Mayes	Smith
C Freytiz	Harkins	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Cabell	Harris	McNeill	Solomon
Cephas	Heffley	Mehaffie	Staats
Cerrato	Hogan	Mentzer	Steele
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Mercuri	Stehr
Conklin	Howard	Merski	Stender
Cooper	Innamorato	Metzgar	Struzzi
Curry	Isaacson	Mihalek	Sturla
Cutler	James	Miller, D.	Takac
D'Orsie	Jones, M.	Mullins	Tomlinson
Daley	Jozwiak	Munroe	Topper
Davis	Kail	Mustello	Venkat
Dawkins	Kaufner	Neilson	Vitali
Deasy	Kazeem	Nelson, E.	Warner
Delloso	Keefer	Nelson, N.	Warren
Delozier	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Watro
Diamond	Kephart	O'Neal	Waxman
Donahue	Kerwin	Oberlander	Webster

Ecker	Khan	Otten	White
Emrick	Kim	Parker	Williams, C.
Evans	Kinkead	Pashinski	Williams, D.
Fee	Kinsey	Pielli	Young
Fiedler	Klunk	Pisciottano	
Fink	Kosierowski	Probst	McClinton,
Fleming	Krajewski	Rabb	Speaker
Flick	Krueger		

NAYS—31

Banta	Dunbar	Maloney	Schemel
Barton	Gillen	Miller, B.	Schlegel
Benninghoff	Gregory	Moul	Schmitt
Brown, M.	Greiner	Ortitay	Stambaugh
Burns	Irvin	Owlett	Twardzik
Causer	Jones, T.	Pickett	Wentling
Cook	Kauffman	Rapp	Zimmerman
Davanzo	Lawrence	Roae	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1210, PN 1283**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in protection from abuse, further providing for relief, for emergency relief by minor judiciary and for arrest for violation of order.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Sappey.

Ms. SAPPEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today in support of HB 1210. This legislation provides courts with the authority to expand protection-from-abuse orders to include pets. The harsh reality is that animal abuse frequently occurs simultaneously in homes with domestic violence. According to the National Sheriffs' Association, 89 percent of women who had companion animals during an abusive relationship reported that their animals were threatened, harmed, or killed by their abusive partner.

It is important that we do all we can to protect our animals for their own safety and well-being of individuals experiencing the trauma from abuse. Animal abuse exacerbates the situation in domestic violence and any children in the home who are relying on that pet as a source of comfort.

I am particularly proud to rise today and ask for your support of HB 1210, as this legislation was done the right way. We developed this language with the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence and the United States Humane Society. It was introduced with the bipartisan support of Representative Natalie Mihalek and myself, both last term and this term. And as the bill has moved forward, it has garnered support of over 80 law enforcement, domestic violence prevention, and animal rights advocacy organizations.

I thank Representative Mihalek very much for her hard work on this last term with me, and also this term, and I urge a "yes" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—130

Abney	Fleming	Krueger	Rabb
Bellmon	Flood	Kulik	Rader
Benham	Frankel	Kuzma	Rozzi
Bernstine	Freeman	Labs	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Friel	Mackenzie, M.	Samuelson
Bonner	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Sanchez
Borowski	Galloway	Madden	Sappey
Boyd	Gergely	Madsen	Scheuren
Boyle	Gillen	Major	Schlossberg
Bradford	Giral	Mako	Schweyer
Brennan	Green	Malagari	Scialabba
Briggs	Guenst	Marcell	Scott
Brown, A.	Guzman	Markosek	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	Marshall	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	Matzie	Smith-Wade-El
Burns	Harkins	Mayes	Solomon
C Freytiz	Harris	McAndrew	Staats
Cephas	Hogan	McNeill	Steele
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Merski	Sturla
Ciresi	Howard	Mihalek	Takac
Conklin	Innamorato	Miller, D.	Tomlinson
Cooper	Isaacson	Mullins	Venkat
Curry	James	Munroe	Vitali
Daley	Jones, M.	Mustello	Warren
Davis	Kail	Neilson	Waxman
Dawkins	Kazeem	Nelson, N.	Webster
Deasy	Kenyatta	O'Mara	White
Delloso	Khan	Otten	Williams, C.
Delozier	Kim	Parker	Williams, D.
Donahue	Kinkead	Pashinski	Young
Emrick	Kinsey	Pielli	
Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Fiedler	Krajewski	Probst	Speaker

NAYS—73

Adams	Fritz	Kutz	Roae
Armanini	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rossi
Banta	Gleim	Leadbeter	Rowe
Barton	Gregory	Maloney	Ryncavage

Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Schemel
Borowicz	Grove	Mentzer	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hamm	Mercuri	Schmitt
Cabell	Heffley	Metzgar	Smith
Causer	Irvin	Miller, B.	Stambaugh
Cook	Jones, T.	Moul	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kaufner	O'Neal	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Ortitay	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Owlett	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Pickett	Watro
Fee	Klunk	Rapp	Wentling
Fink	Krupa	Rigby	Zimmerman
Flick			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1351, PN 1802**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 27, 2019 (P.L.742, No.108), known as the Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority Act, in Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority, further providing for Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Authority; and, in Pennsylvania Rural Health Redesign Center Fund, further providing for money in fund.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker, and I will be brief.

As we heard last week from our esteemed minority Appropriations chair, the Rural Health Redesign Authority was created to provide stability for rural hospitals, to get them out of the cycle of chasing fee-for-service payments and allow them to focus on population health.

At a recent briefing on rural health, the president and CEO (chief executive officer) of Chan Soon-Shiong Medical Center in Windber, Pennsylvania, described not only the financial certainty, but also the opportunity to innovate coming from participation. The rural health redesign has been a clear success. All of the hospitals participating in the project have remained open, while too many others have closed their doors. These

tweaks to the authority will help ease board turnover, and will provide a path for the General Assembly to fund this important work.

I want to thank the collaboration and bipartisan support on the Health Committee – particularly by the Republican chair from Warren County, Representative Rapp, who has been a long champion of this – for their support, and I ask all the members to support HB 1351.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Abney	Flood	Kutz	Rigby
Adams	Frankel	Kuzma	Roae
Armanini	Freeman	Labs	Rossi
Barton	Friel	Lawrence	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Ryncavage
Benham	Gallagher	Mackenzie, R.	Salisbury
Benninghoff	Galloway	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Madsen	Sanchez
Bonner	Gergely	Major	Sapppey
Borowicz	Gillen	Mako	Schemel
Borowski	Giral	Malagari	Scheuren
Boyd	Gleim	Maloney	Schlegel
Boyle	Green	Marcell	Schlossberg
Bradford	Gregory	Markosek	Schmitt
Brennan	Greiner	Marshall	Schweyer
Briggs	Grove	Matzje	Scialabba
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mayes	Scott
Brown, M.	Guzman	McAndrew	Shusterman
Bullock	Haddock	McNeill	Siegel
Burgos	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Smith
Burns	Harkins	Mentzer	Smith-Wade-El
C Freytiz	Harris	Mercuri	Solomon
Cabell	Heffley	Merski	Staats
Causer	Hogan	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cephas	Hohenstein	Mihalek	Steele
Cerrato	Howard	Miller, B.	Stender
Ciresi	Innamorato	Miller, D.	Struzzi
Conklin	Irvin	Moul	Sturla
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Takac
Cooper	James	Munroe	Tomlinson
Curry	Jones, M.	Mustello	Topper
Cutler	Jones, T.	Neilson	Twardzik
Daley	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Venkat
Davanzo	Kail	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Davis	Kaufner	O'Mara	Warner
Dawkins	Kauffman	O'Neal	Warren
Deasy	Kazeem	Oberlander	Watro
Delloso	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Waxman
Delozier	Kerwin	Otten	Webster
Diamond	Khan	Owlett	Wentling
Donahue	Kim	Parker	White
Dunbar	Kinlead	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kinsey	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Klunk	Pielli	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Fee	Krajewski	Probst	
Fiedler	Krueger	Rabb	McClinton,
Fleming	Krupa	Rader	Speaker
Flick	Kulik	Rapp	

NAYS—10

Banta	Fink	Kephart	Rowe
Bernstine	Hamm	Leadbeter	Stehr
D'Orsie	Keefer		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**GUEST INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. Members, seated to the left of the Speaker's rostrum, we have, for the third time very recently, all the way from Beaver County, Elias. Come on and stand up, Elias. Elias Kail. Welcome back to the floor of the House. Always glad to see you here.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED**

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1394, PN 1556**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L. 744, No. 222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for definitions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **GROVE** offered the following amendment No. **A01311**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "definitions" ; and abrogating regulations

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5

Section 2. The provisions of 16 Pa. Code §§ 41.204 and 41.207, published by the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission at 53 Pa.B. 3188 (June 17, 2023), are abrogated insofar as the regulations pertain to hair texture and protective hairstyles such as dreads, locs, braids, twists, coils, Bantu knots, cornrows and extensions.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 5, by striking out "2" and inserting  
3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Grove.

Mr. **GROVE**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today to offer amendment A01311 to HB 1394.

Last year the Human Relations Commission promulgated a rulemaking which sought to create a new subchapter to define the terms "race," "sex," and "religious creed." This regulation, among other things, defined race in several areas, including traits historically associated with race, including, but not limited to,

hair texture or protective hairstyles such as braids, locs, and twists.

Madam Speaker, last year both myself and several members of the General Assembly commented on the regulation, expressing concerns that the commission lacked the statutory authority and the legislative intent to promulgate this regulation. The final-form regulation was approved by IRRC (Independent Regulatory Review Commission) last year and was published as a final-form regulation in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on June 17, 2023.

So, Madam Speaker, with this legislation, what we have here is a backwards process. Regulations are supposed to implement statutes enacted by the General Assembly. Here, we have proposed legislation that would implement a regulation in statute. Therefore, the regulatory provisions relating to hairstyles should be abrogated from the regulation to correct the process.

I ask for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognize the maker of the bill, Representative Mayes.

Rep. **MAYES**. Good afternoon, Madam Speaker.

Thank you to my colleague for offering this amendment. This provision in the Pennsylvania Code remains relevant and necessary until we pass the CROWN Act (Creating a Respectful and Open World for Natural Hair) as law, so I ask my colleagues for a "no" vote.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufman	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson



Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinthead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **DAVANZO** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01410**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20; page 2, line 1; by striking out "traits historically associated" in line 20 on page 1 and all of line 1 on page 2 and inserting

hair texture and protective hairstyles historically associated with race.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. It is the Chair's understanding that the amendment is withdrawn.

The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **ROAE** offered the following amendment No. **A01424**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 1 through 11, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), entitled "An act prohibiting certain practices of discrimination because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age or national origin by employers, employment agencies, labor organizations and others as herein defined; creating the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission in the Governor's Office; defining its functions, powers and duties; providing for procedure and enforcement; providing for formulation of an educational program to prevent

prejudice; providing for judicial review and enforcement and imposing penalties," further providing for title of act, for findings and declaration of policy, for right to freedom from discrimination in employment, housing and public accommodation, for definitions, for unlawful discriminatory practices, for powers and duties of the commission, for educational program and for construction and exclusiveness of remedy.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 14 through 20; page 2, lines 1 through 5; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting  
Section 1. The title and sections 2 and 3 of the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, are amended to read:

Prohibiting certain practices of discrimination because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age [or], national origin or political affiliation by employers, employment agencies, labor organizations and others as herein defined; creating the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission in the Governor's Office; defining its functions, powers and duties; providing for procedure and enforcement; providing for formulation of an educational program to prevent prejudice; providing for judicial review and enforcement and imposing penalties.

Section 2. Findings and Declaration of Policy.—

(a) The practice or policy of discrimination against individuals or groups by reason of their race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals is a matter of concern of the Commonwealth. Such discrimination foments domestic strife and unrest, threatens the rights and privileges of the inhabitants of the Commonwealth, and undermines the foundations of a free democratic state. The denial of equal employment, housing and public accommodation opportunities because of such discrimination, and the consequent failure to utilize the productive capacities of individuals to their fullest extent, deprives large segments of the population of the Commonwealth of earnings necessary to maintain decent standards of living, necessitates their resort to public relief and intensifies group conflicts, thereby resulting in grave injury to the public health and welfare, compels many individuals to live in dwellings which are substandard, unhealthful and overcrowded, resulting in racial segregation in public schools and other community facilities, juvenile delinquency and other evils, thereby threatening the peace, health, safety and general welfare of the Commonwealth and its inhabitants.

(b) It is hereby declared to be the public policy of this Commonwealth to foster the employment of all individuals in accordance with their fullest capacities regardless of their race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, and to safeguard their right to obtain and hold employment without such discrimination, to assure equal opportunities to all individuals and to safeguard their rights to public accommodation and to secure housing accommodation and commercial property regardless of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of blindness or deafness of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals.

(c) This act shall be deemed an exercise of the police power of the Commonwealth for the protection of the public welfare, prosperity, health and peace of the people of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Section 3. Right to Freedom from Discrimination in Employment, Housing and Public Accommodation.—The opportunity for an individual to obtain employment for which he is qualified, and to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any public accommodation and of any housing accommodation and commercial property without discrimination because of race, color, familial status,

religious creed, ancestry, handicap or disability, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals is hereby recognized as and declared to be a civil right which shall be enforceable as set forth in this act.

Section 2. Section 4(b) of the act is amended and the section is amended by adding subsections to read:

Section 4. Definitions.—As used in this act unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

\* \* \*

(b) The term "employer" includes the Commonwealth or any political subdivision or board, department, commission or school district thereof and any person employing four or more persons within the Commonwealth, but except as hereinafter provided, does not include religious, fraternal, charitable or sectarian corporations or associations, except such corporations or associations supported, in whole or in part, by governmental appropriations. The term "employer" with respect to discriminatory practices based on race, color, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or non-job related handicap or disability, includes religious, fraternal, charitable and sectarian corporations and associations employing four or more persons within the Commonwealth.

\* \* \*

(bb) The term "race" includes traits historically associated with race, including hair texture and protective hairstyles.

(cc) The term "protective hairstyle" includes, but is not limited to, such hairstyles as dreads, locs, braids, twists, coils, Bantu knots, cornrows and extensions.

Section 3. Sections 5(a), (b)(1), (2), (3) and (4), (c), (f), (g), (h)(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (8) and (i)(1) and (2), 7(i), (j) and (k), 8 and 12(b) are amended to read:

Section 5. Unlawful Discriminatory Practices.—It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice, unless based upon a bona fide occupational qualification, or in the case of a fraternal corporation or association, unless based upon membership in such association or corporation, or except where based upon applicable security regulations established by the United States or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

(a) For any employer because of the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any individual or independent contractor, to refuse to hire or employ or contract with, or to bar or to discharge from employment such individual or independent contractor, or to otherwise discriminate against such individual or independent contractor with respect to compensation, hire, tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or contract, if the individual or independent contractor is the best able and most competent to perform the services required. The provision of this paragraph shall not apply, to (1) operation of the terms or conditions of any bona fide retirement or pension plan which have the effect of a minimum service requirement, (2) operation of the terms or conditions of any bona fide group or employe insurance plan, (3) age limitations placed upon entry into bona fide apprenticeship programs of two years or more approved by the State Apprenticeship and Training Council of the Department of Labor and Industry, established by the act of July 14, 1961 (P.L.604, No.304), known as "The Apprenticeship and Training Act." Notwithstanding any provision of this clause, it shall not be an unlawful employment practice for a religious corporation or association to hire or employ on the basis of sex in those certain instances where sex is a bona fide occupational qualification because of the religious beliefs, practices, or observances of the corporation, or association.

(b) For any employer, employment agency or labor organization, prior to the employment, contracting with an independent contractor or admission to membership, to:

(1) Elicit any information or make or keep a record of or use any form of application or application blank containing questions or entries concerning the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, past handicap or disability or the use of a

guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any applicant for employment or membership. Prior to an offer of employment, an employer may not inquire as to whether an individual has a handicap or disability or as to the severity of such handicap or disability. An employer may inquire as to the individual's ability to perform the essential functions of the employment.

(2) Print or publish or cause to be printed or published any notice or advertisement relating to employment or membership indicating any preference, limitation, specification or discrimination based upon race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user.

(3) Deny or limit, through a quota system, employment or membership because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, non-job related handicap or disability, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or place of birth.

(4) Substantially confine or limit recruitment or hiring of individuals, with intent to circumvent the spirit and purpose of this act, to any employment agency, employment service, labor organization, training school or training center or any other employe-referring source which services individuals who are predominantly of the same race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or non-job related handicap or disability.

\* \* \*

(c) For any labor organization because of the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any individual to deny full and equal membership rights to any individual or otherwise to discriminate against such individuals with respect to hire, tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or any other matter, directly or indirectly, related to employment.

\* \* \*

(f) For any employment agency to fail or refuse to classify properly, refer for employment or otherwise to discriminate against any individual because of his race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user.

(g) For any individual seeking employment to publish or cause to be published any advertisement which in any manner expresses a limitation or preference as to the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any prospective employer.

(h) For any person to:

(1) Refuse to sell, lease, finance or otherwise to deny or withhold any housing accommodation or commercial property from any person because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability of any person, prospective owner, occupant or user of such housing accommodation or commercial property, or to refuse to lease any housing accommodation or commercial property to any person due to use of a guide animal because of the blindness or deafness of the user, use of a support animal because of a physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

\* \* \*

(2) Refuse to lend money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property or otherwise withhold financing of any housing accommodation or commercial property from any person because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or

support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(3) Discriminate against any person in the terms or conditions of selling or leasing any housing accommodation or commercial property or in furnishing facilities, services or privileges in connection with the ownership, occupancy or use of any housing accommodation or commercial property because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

\* \* \*

(4) Discriminate against any person in the terms or conditions of any loan of money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of housing accommodation or commercial property because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(5) Print, publish or circulate any statement or advertisement: (i) relating to the sale, lease or acquisition of any housing accommodation or commercial property or the loan of money, whether or not secured by mortgage, or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification, or discrimination based upon race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association, or (ii) relating to the sale, lease or acquisition of any housing accommodation or commercial property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification or discrimination based upon use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals.

(6) Make any inquiry, elicit any information, make or keep any record or use any form of application, containing questions or entries concerning race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association in connection with the sale or lease of any housing accommodation or commercial property or loan of any money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property, or to make any inquiry, elicit any information, make or keep any record or use any form of application, containing questions or entries concerning the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, in connection with the lease of any housing accommodation or commercial property.

\* \* \*

(8) Discriminate in real estate-related transactions, as described by and subject to the following:

(i) It shall be unlawful for any person or other entity whose business includes engaging in real estate-related transactions to discriminate against any person in making available such a transaction or in the terms or conditions of such a transaction because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, sex, age, handicap or disability, use of a guide or support animal because of a

physical handicap or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or familial status.

(ii) Nothing in this act prohibits a person engaged in the business of furnishing appraisals of real property to take into consideration factors other than race, color, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, sex, age, handicap or disability, use of a guide or support animal because of a physical handicap or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or familial status.

\* \* \*

(i) For any person being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employe of any public accommodation, resort or amusement to:

(1) Refuse, withhold from, or deny to any person because of his race, color, sex, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, either directly or indirectly, any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges of such public accommodation, resort or amusement.

(2) Publish, circulate, issue, display, post or mail, either directly or indirectly, any written or printed communication, notice or advertisement to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of race, color, religious creed, sex, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user, or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, or that the patronage or custom thereat of any person, belonging to or purporting to be of any particular race, color, religious creed, sex, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, is unwelcome, objectionable or not acceptable, desired or solicited.

\* \* \*

Section 7. Powers and Duties of the Commission.—The Commission shall have the following powers and duties:

\* \* \*

(i) To create such advisory agencies and conciliation councils, local or state-wide, as will aid in effectuating the purposes of this act. The Commission may itself or it may empower these agencies and councils to (1) study the problems of discrimination in all or specific fields of human relationships when based on race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability, and (2) foster, through community effort or otherwise, good will among the groups and elements of the population of the State. Such agencies and councils may make recommendations to the Commission for the development of policies and procedure in general. Advisory agencies and conciliation councils created by the Commission shall be composed of representative citizens, serving without pay, but the Commission may make provision for technical and clerical assistance to such agencies and councils, and for the payment of the expenses of such assistance.

(j) To issue such publications and such results of investigations and research as, in its judgment, will tend to promote good will and minimize or eliminate discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability.

(k) To submit an annual report for each fiscal year by the following March 31 to the General Assembly, the Labor and Industry Committee of the Senate and the State Government Committee of the House of Representatives and the Governor describing in detail the types of complaints received, the investigations, status of cases, Commission action which has been taken, how many were found to have probable cause, how many were resolved by public hearing and the length of time from the initial complaint to final Commission resolution. It shall also

contain recommendations for such further legislation concerning abuses and discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, age, sex, handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, as may be desirable.

\*\*\*

Section 8. Educational Program.—The Commission, in cooperation with the Department of Education, is authorized to recommend a multicultural educational program, designed for the students of the schools in this Commonwealth and for all other residents thereof, with emphasis on foreign cultural and language studies, as well as on the basic shared precepts and principles of United States culture, in order to promote cultural understanding and appreciation and to further good will among all persons, without regard to race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation, handicap or disability.

Section 12. Construction and Exclusiveness of Remedy.—

\*\*\*

(b) Except as provided in subsection (c), nothing contained in this act shall be deemed to repeal or supersede any of the provisions of any existing or hereafter adopted municipal ordinance, municipal charter or of any law of this Commonwealth relating to discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, political affiliation or handicap or disability, but as to acts declared unlawful by section five of this act the procedure herein provided shall, when invoked, be exclusive and the final determination therein shall exclude any other action, civil or criminal, based on the same grievance of the complainant concerned. If the complainant institutes any action based on such grievance without resorting to the procedure provided in this act, such complainant may not subsequently resort to the procedure herein. In the event of a conflict between the interpretation of a provision of this act and the interpretation of a similar provision contained in any municipal ordinance, the interpretation of the provision in this act shall apply to such municipal ordinance.

\*\*\*

Section 4. This act shall take effect in 60 days.

On that question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Roae.

Mr. ROAE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, as we all know, the Human Relations Act prohibits discrimination against certain protected classes of people in areas such as employment, education, housing, public accommodations. What my amendment does is it adds "political affiliation" to make that one of the protected classes.

Political discrimination is a very serious issue. There are some universities that will not hire conservative professors, some will not hire liberal professors. You look at State government, probably there are a lot of situations where at the local, county, school district level, you have to be a certain political party to get a job.

So, Madam Speaker, I would ask people to vote "yes" on amendment A01424 so we can end this form of discrimination and make political affiliation a protected class. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Mayes.

Rep. MAYES. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment is outside of the scope of the bill, and this is not an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Burns	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Cabell	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Causer	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kaufman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Delozier	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Emrick	Klunk	Owlett	Whentling
Fee	Krupa	Pickett	White
Fink	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flick	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman
Flood	Labs		

NAYS—101

Abney	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Benham	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Borowski	Friel	Madden	Sappery
Boyd	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Boyle	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Brown, A.	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burgos	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinhead	Probst	Speaker
Evans	Kinsey	Rabb	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. STAATS offered the following amendment No. **A01431**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by striking out the period after "definitions" and inserting  
and for construction and exclusiveness of remedy.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5  
Section 2. Section 12 of the act is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 12. Construction and Exclusiveness of Remedy.—

\* \* \*

(g) Nothing in this act shall be construed to prohibit an employer from imposing rules regulating employe hair styles or attire when the rules are reasonably related to safety in the workplace or are justified as a bona fide occupational qualification.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 5, by striking out "2" and inserting  
3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Staats.

Mr. STAATS. Madam Speaker, I rise to offer amendment 01431 to HB 1394.

Madam Speaker, employers in a wide range of industries maintain important health and safety policies that relate to employee hair and grooming standards; think emergency response, health-care personnel, or industries that deal with hazardous materials who must properly wear personal protective equipment like respirators, or trades workers in heavy manufacturing or construction who are required, for their safety, to wear hard hats, and of course, our food and beverage industry.

My amendment simply provides that employers will retain the ability to maintain these critical health and safety standards. In many cases, these policies are required by OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration), and we should make it clear to our employers that they can follow Federal or State safety guidelines without being at risk of violating the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

I respectfully ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Mayes.

Rep. MAYES. Thank you to the maker of the amendment. I will be offering my own amendment which addresses health and safety standards, so I ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

The Chair recognizes, for the second time, the maker of the amendment, Representative Staats.

Mr. STAATS. Thank you once again, Madam Speaker.

I just want to make it very clear to all the members that my amendment simply ensures this legislation does not supersede an employer's ability to maintain these critical health and safety standards. Let us keep all employees safe. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—102

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Burns	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Cabell	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Causer	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kaufner	Mustello	Tomlinson
Delozier	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Emrick	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fee	Krupa	Pickett	White
Fink	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flick	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman
Flood	Labs		

#### NAYS—101

Abney	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Benham	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Borowski	Friel	Madden	Sappery
Boyd	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Boyle	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Brown, A.	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burgos	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinhead	Probst	Speaker
Evans	Kinsey	Rabb	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. GROVE offered the following amendment No. A01432:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by striking out the period after "definitions" and inserting  
and for procedure, providing for reimbursement against wrongful claims; repealing provisions relating to local human relations commissions; and prohibiting local human relations commissions.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 2. Section 9(b) and (e) of the act are amended and the section is amended by adding subsections to read:

Section 9. Procedure.—\* \* \*

(b) (1) After the filing of any complaint, or whenever there is reason to believe that an unlawful discriminatory practice has been committed, the Commission shall make a prompt investigation in connection therewith. If the Commission does not complete its investigation, it may, after one hundred eighty days of receiving a complaint, make a determination in accordance with subsection (c). A hearing under subsection (e) shall occur only if probable cause of disparate treatment exists and the parties choose not to settle.

(2) The Commission shall send a copy of the complaint to the named respondent within [thirty] twenty days from the date of docketing the complaint, unless otherwise required by the Fair Housing Act[,] in accordance with the following:

(i) The Commission shall not request information a second time after a respondent provides the information to the Commission's request.

(ii) If a respondent fails to provide evidence or the Commission has reasonable proof that a respondent has failed to provide requested information, the Commission may request the Attorney General subpoena the information on behalf of the Commission.

(3) A respondent shall file a written, verified answer to the complaint within [thirty] twenty days of service of the complaint, unless otherwise required by the Fair Housing Act. The Commission, upon request of the respondent, may grant an extension of not more than thirty additional days, unless otherwise required by the Fair Housing Act.

(4) After service of the complaint, the Commission shall encourage voluntary and informed predetermination settlements between parties, including mediation by a neutral arbitrator under rules and procedures specified by the Commission.

\* \* \*

(e) The case in support of the complaint shall be presented before the Commission or before a permanent hearing examiner designated by the Commission for the purpose of hearing said complaint by one of its attorneys or agents, by the complainant's attorney or by a designated agent of the complainant. The respondent may appear at such hearing in person or otherwise, with or without counsel, and submit testimony. The complainant may likewise appear at such hearing in person or otherwise, with or without counsel, and submit testimony. The Commission or the complainant shall have the power reasonably and fairly to amend any complaint, and the respondent shall have like power to amend his answer. The Commission shall not be bound by the strict rules of evidence prevailing in courts of law or equity. The Commission or the permanent hearing examiner shall make a determination within thirty days after the conclusion of the hearing. The testimony taken at the hearing shall be under oath and be transcribed[,] and shall be heard for a period of not more than five days of testimony. If the Commission or the permanent hearing examiner determines, upon request of either party, that more time is necessary, the hearing may extend an additional seven days.

\* \* \*

(k) Only disparate treatment claims may be brought under this act. Disparate treatment may be proven by a repeated history and

pattern of decisions that have a disparate impact on an individual class protected under this act.

(l) Except as otherwise provided under law, an unlawful discriminatory practice is established when the complainant demonstrates that discrimination was a motivating factor for any employment practice, even though other factors also motivated the practice.

Section 3. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 11.1. Reimbursement Against Wrongful Claims.—After a hearing under section 9(e), if a claim against a defendant is determined to be unfounded, the defendant may seek and the Commission may award reimbursement for reasonable attorney fees incurred during the proceedings under section 9.

Section 4. Section 12.1 of the act is repealed:

[Section 12.1. Local Human Relations Commissions.—(a) The legislative body of a political subdivision may, by ordinance or resolution, authorize the establishment or membership in and support of a Local Human Relations Commission. The number and qualifications of the members of any local commission and their terms and method of appointment or removal shall be such as may be determined and agreed upon by the legislative body, except that no such member shall hold office in any political party. Members of a local commission shall serve without salary but may be paid expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(b) The legislative body of any political subdivision shall have the authority to appropriate funds, in such amounts as may be deemed necessary, for the purpose of contributing to the operation of a local commission including the payment of its share of the salary of an investigator or staff member acting jointly for it and one or more other local commissions.

(c) The local commission shall have the power to appoint such employes and staff, as it may deem necessary, to fulfill its purpose including the power to appoint an investigator or staff member to act jointly for it and one or more other local commissions.

(d) The legislative bodies of political subdivisions shall have the authority to grant to local commissions powers and duties similar to those now exercised by the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission under the provisions of this act.

(e) The local human relations commission shall notify the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission of complaints received involving discriminatory acts within that commission's jurisdiction.]

Section 5. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 12.3. Local Human Relations Commissions Prohibited.—(a) The legislative body of a political subdivision may not, by ordinance or resolution, authorize the establishment or membership in and support of a local human relations commission with the same powers as the Commission under this act.

(b) This act shall apply to each local human relations commission. Each local human relations commission shall comply with the policies and procedures in place for the Commission.

(c) A case brought before a local human relations commission may not be brought before the Commission.

Section 6. The amendment of section 9(b) and (e) of the act shall apply to complaints filed with the commission under section 9(a) of the act on or after the effective date of this section.

Section 7. This act shall take effect as follows:

(1) The following shall take effect immediately:

(i) Section 6 of this act.

(ii) This section.

(2) The following shall take effect in 60 days:

(i) The amendment or addition of sections 4 and 9(b), (e), (k) and (l) of the act.

(ii) The addition of section 11.1 of the act.

(iii) The repeal of section 12.1 of the act.

(iv) The addition of section 12.3 of the act.

(3) The remainder of this act shall take effect in 30 days.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

One of the many issues with the internal process is how long it takes for a completed investigation. This hurts both the person that files the complaint as well as the entity that is complained against. So this amendment sets hard deadlines for which a Human Relations Commission must act in to give the best benefit to everybody involved to make sure they come to completion at a right time.

I would urge a "yes" vote on the amendment to make sure we have a process that is completed in a timely fashion.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Mayes.

Rep. MAYES. Again, thank you to the maker of this amendment.

This amendment is outside of the scope of the bill. This is not an agreed-to amendment, so I would like to ask for a "no" vote, please. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufer	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NAYS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez

Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinlead	Probst	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. FINK offered the following amendment No. **A01448**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5  
(dd) The term "religious creed" includes, but is not limited to, head coverings and hairstyles historically associated with religious creeds.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Fink.

Mrs. FINK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today to offer amendment A01448 to HB 1394.

As I understand, the purpose of this bill is to ensure the features of hair and hairstyles that are historically associated with a protected class under the Human Relations Act are protected; in this case, race.

This amendment applies that logic to hair coverings and hairstyles that are associated with religious creed, which is also a protected class under the Human Relations Act. If this body is going to protect features of hair and hairstyles that are associated with a protected class, that same protection should be applied to other protected classes.

I ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Mayes.

Rep. MAYES. Thank you to the maker of this amendment. Religious creed is a separate protected class. I am happy to cosponsor this bill with my colleague in the future.

This is not an agreed-to amendment, and I ask for a "no" vote. The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the bill.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Adams	Fritz	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gaydos	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gillen	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gleim	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Greiner	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Grove	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Hamm	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Heffley	Marshall	Schmitt
Burns	Hogan	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Cabell	Irvin	Mentzer	Smith
Causar	James	Mercuri	Staats
Cook	Jones, M.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cooper	Jones, T.	Mihalek	Stehr
Cutler	Jozwiak	Miller, B.	Stender
D'Orsie	Kail	Moul	Struzzi
Davanzo	Kaufer	Mustello	Tomlinson
Delozier	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	O'Neal	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kephart	Oberlander	Warner
Ecker	Kerwin	Ortitay	Watro
Emrick	Klunk	Owlett	Wentling
Fee	Krupa	Pickett	White
Fink	Kutz	Rader	Williams, C.
Flick	Kuzma	Rapp	Zimmerman
Flood	Labs		

NAYS—101

Abney	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Bellmon	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Benham	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Borowski	Friel	Madden	Sappey
Boyd	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Boyle	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Brown, A.	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burgos	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinkead	Probst	Speaker
Evans	Kinsey	Rabb	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. ROAE offered the following amendment No. A01451:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "providing" for findings and declaration of policy, for right to freedom from discrimination in employment, housing and public accommodation,

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by striking out the period after "definitions" and inserting , for unlawful discriminatory practices, for prohibition of certain real estate practices, for powers and duties of the commission, for educational program and for construction and exclusiveness of remedy.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 14 through 16, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Sections 2(a) and (b) and 3 of the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, are amended to read:

Section 2. Findings and Declaration of Policy.—

(a) The practice or policy of discrimination against individuals or groups by reason of their race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals is a matter of concern of the Commonwealth. Such discrimination foments domestic strife and unrest, threatens the rights and privileges of the inhabitants of the Commonwealth, and undermines the foundations of a free democratic state. The denial of equal employment, housing and public accommodation opportunities because of such discrimination, and the consequent failure to utilize the productive capacities of individuals to their fullest extent, deprives large segments of the population of the Commonwealth of earnings necessary to maintain decent standards of living, necessitates their resort to public relief and intensifies group conflicts, thereby resulting in grave injury to the public health and welfare, compels many individuals to live in dwellings which are substandard, unhealthful and overcrowded, resulting in racial segregation in public schools and other community facilities, juvenile delinquency and other evils, thereby threatening the peace, health, safety and general welfare of the Commonwealth and its inhabitants.

(b) It is hereby declared to be the public policy of this Commonwealth to foster the employment of all individuals in accordance with their fullest capacities regardless of their race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, and to safeguard their right to obtain and hold employment without such discrimination, to assure equal opportunities to all individuals and to safeguard their rights to public accommodation and to secure housing accommodation and commercial property regardless of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, use of guide or support animals because of blindness or deafness of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals.

\* \* \*

Section 3. Right to Freedom from Discrimination in Employment, Housing and Public Accommodation.—The opportunity for an individual to obtain employment for which he is qualified, and to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any public accommodation and of any housing accommodation and commercial



property without discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, handicap or disability, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals is hereby recognized as and declared to be a civil right which shall be enforceable as set forth in this act.

Section 2. Section 4(b) of the act is amended and the section is amended by adding clauses to read:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(b) The term "employer" includes the Commonwealth or any political subdivision or board, department, commission or school district thereof and any person employing four or more persons within the Commonwealth, but except as hereinafter provided, does not include religious, fraternal, charitable or sectarian corporations or associations, except such corporations or associations supported, in whole or in part, by governmental appropriations. The term "employer" with respect to discriminatory practices based on race, color, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or non-job related handicap or disability, includes religious, fraternal, charitable and sectarian corporations and associations employing four or more persons within the Commonwealth.

\* \* \*

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5

Section 3. Sections 5(a), (b), (c), (f), (g), (h) and (i), 5.3, 7(i), (j) and (k), 8 and 12(b) of the act are amended to read:

Section 5. Unlawful Discriminatory Practices.—It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice, unless based upon a bona fide occupational qualification, or in the case of a fraternal corporation or association, unless based upon membership in such association or corporation, or except where based upon applicable security regulations established by the United States or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

(a) For any employer because of the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any individual or independent contractor, to refuse to hire or employ or contract with, or to bar or to discharge from employment such individual or independent contractor, or to otherwise discriminate against such individual or independent contractor with respect to compensation, hire, tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or contract, if the individual or independent contractor is the best able and most competent to perform the services required. The provision of this paragraph shall not apply, to (1) operation of the terms or conditions of any bona fide retirement or pension plan which have the effect of a minimum service requirement, (2) operation of the terms or conditions of any bona fide group or employe insurance plan, (3) age limitations placed upon entry into bona fide apprenticeship programs of two years or more approved by the State Apprenticeship and Training Council of the Department of Labor and Industry, established by the act of July 14, 1961 (P.L.604, No.304), known as "The Apprenticeship and Training Act." Notwithstanding any provision of this clause, it shall not be an unlawful employment practice for a religious corporation or association to hire or employ on the basis of sex in those certain instances where sex is a bona fide occupational qualification because of the religious beliefs, practices, or observances of the corporation, or association.

(b) For any employer, employment agency or labor organization, prior to the employment, contracting with an independent contractor or admission to membership, to:

(1) Elicit any information or make or keep a record of or use any form of application or application blank containing questions or entries concerning the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, past handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any applicant for employment or membership. Prior to an offer of employment, an employer may not inquire as to whether an individual has a handicap or disability or as to the severity of such handicap or disability. An employer may inquire as to the individual's ability to perform the essential functions of the employment.

(2) Print or publish or cause to be printed or published any notice or advertisement relating to employment or membership indicating any preference, limitation, specification or discrimination based upon race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user.

(3) Deny or limit, through a quota system, employment or membership because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, non-job related handicap or disability, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or place of birth.

(4) Substantially confine or limit recruitment or hiring of individuals, with intent to circumvent the spirit and purpose of this act, to any employment agency, employment service, labor organization, training school or training center or any other employe-referring source which services individuals who are predominantly of the same race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or non-job related handicap or disability.

(5) Deny employment because of a prior handicap or disability.

Nothing in clause (b) of this section shall bar any institution or organization for handicapped or disabled persons from limiting or giving preference in employment or membership to handicapped or disabled persons.

(c) For any labor organization because of the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any individual to deny full and equal membership rights to any individual or otherwise to discriminate against such individuals with respect to hire, tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or any other matter, directly or indirectly, related to employment.

\* \* \*

(f) For any employment agency to fail or refuse to classify properly, refer for employment or otherwise to discriminate against any individual because of his race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user.

(g) For any individual seeking employment to publish or cause to be published any advertisement which in any manner expresses a limitation or preference as to the race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, non-job related handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of any prospective employer.

(h) For any person to:

(1) Refuse to sell, lease, finance or otherwise to deny or withhold any housing accommodation or commercial property from any person because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability of any person, prospective owner, occupant or user of such housing accommodation or commercial property, or to refuse to lease any housing accommodation or commercial property to any person due to use of a guide animal because of the blindness or deafness of the user, use of a support animal because of a physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(1.1) Evict or attempt to evict an occupant of any housing accommodation before the end of the term of a lease because of pregnancy or the birth of a child.

(2) Refuse to lend money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property or otherwise withhold financing of any housing accommodation or commercial property from any person because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or support animal

because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(3) Discriminate against any person in the terms or conditions of selling or leasing any housing accommodation or commercial property or in furnishing facilities, services or privileges in connection with the ownership, occupancy or use of any housing accommodation or commercial property because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(3.1) Refuse to permit, at the expense of a person with a handicap, reasonable modifications of existing premises occupied or to be occupied by such person if such modifications may be necessary to afford such person full enjoyment of the premises, except that, in the case of a rental, the landlord may, where it is reasonable to do so, grant permission for a modification if the renter agrees to restore the interior of the premises to the condition that existed before the modification, with reasonable wear and tear excepted.

(3.2) Refuse to make reasonable accommodations in rules, policies, practices or services when such accommodations may be necessary to afford such person equal opportunity to use and enjoy a housing accommodation.

(4) Discriminate against any person in the terms or conditions of any loan of money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of housing accommodation or commercial property because of the race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability of any person, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(5) Print, publish or circulate any statement or advertisement: (i) relating to the sale, lease or acquisition of any housing accommodation or commercial property or the loan of money, whether or not secured by mortgage, or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification, or discrimination based upon race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association, or (ii) relating to the sale, lease or acquisition of any housing accommodation or commercial property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification or discrimination based upon use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals.

(6) Make any inquiry, elicit any information, make or keep any record or use any form of application, containing questions or entries concerning race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability or because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association in connection with the sale or lease of any housing accommodation or commercial property or loan of any money, whether or not secured by mortgage or otherwise for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of any housing accommodation or commercial property, or to make any inquiry, elicit any information, make or keep any record or use any form of application, containing questions or entries concerning the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support

or guide animals, in connection with the lease of any housing accommodation or commercial property.

(7) Construct, operate, offer for sale, lease or rent or otherwise make available housing or commercial property which is not accessible.

(8) Discriminate in real estate-related transactions, as described by and subject to the following:

(i) It shall be unlawful for any person or other entity whose business includes engaging in real estate-related transactions to discriminate against any person in making available such a transaction or in the terms or conditions of such a transaction because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, weight, height, sex, age, handicap or disability, use of a guide or support animal because of a physical handicap or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or familial status.

(ii) Nothing in this act prohibits a person engaged in the business of furnishing appraisals of real property to take into consideration factors other than race, color, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, weight, height, sex, age, handicap or disability, use of a guide or support animal because of a physical handicap or because the user is a handler or trainer of guide or support animals or familial status.

(9) Nothing in this clause, regarding age or familial status, shall apply with respect to housing for older persons. A person shall not be held personally liable for monetary damages for a violation of this act if the person reasonably relied, in good faith, on the application of the exemption of this subclause. A person may only prove good faith reliance on the application of the exemption of this subclause by proving that at the time of the act complained of all of the following applied:

(i) The person had no actual knowledge that the housing was not eligible for exemption under this subclause.

(ii) The owner or manager of the housing had stated formally, in writing, that the housing complied with the requirements for exemption under this subclause.

(10) Nothing in this clause shall bar any religious or denominational institution or organization or any charitable or educational organization which is operated, supervised or controlled by or in connection with a religious organization or any bona fide private or fraternal organization from giving preference to persons of the same religion or denomination or to members of such private or fraternal organization or from making such selection as is calculated by such organization to promote the religious principles or the aims, purposes or fraternal principles for which it is established or maintained. Nor shall it apply to the rental of rooms in a landlord-occupied rooming house with a common entrance, nor with respect to discrimination based on sex, the advertising, rental or leasing of housing accommodations in a single-sex dormitory or rooms in one's personal residence in which common living areas are shared.

(11) Nothing in this act limits the applicability of the Fair Housing Act and reasonable State or local restrictions on the maximum number of occupants permitted to occupy a dwelling or a reasonable restriction relating to health or safety standards or business necessity. Owners and managers of dwellings may develop and implement reasonable occupancy and safety standards based on factors such as the number and size of sleeping areas or bedrooms and the overall size of a dwelling unit so long as the standards do not violate the Fair Housing Act or State or local restrictions.

(i) For any person being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employe of any public accommodation, resort or amusement to:

(1) Refuse, withhold from, or deny to any person because of his race, color, sex, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, either directly or indirectly, any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges of such public accommodation, resort or amusement.

(2) Publish, circulate, issue, display, post or mail, either directly or indirectly, any written or printed communication, notice or

advertisement to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of race, color, religious creed, sex, ancestry, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user, or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, or that the patronage or custom thereat of any person, belonging to or purporting to be of any particular race, color, religious creed, sex, ancestry, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability, or to any person due to use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, is unwelcome, objectionable or not acceptable, desired or solicited.

(3) Exclude or otherwise deny equal goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations or other opportunities to a person because of the handicap or disability of an individual with whom the person is known to have a relationship or association.

(4) Construct, operate or otherwise make available such place of public accommodation, resort or amusement which is not accessible.

\* \* \*

Section 5.3. Prohibition of Certain Real Estate Practices.—It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person to:

(a) Induce, solicit or attempt to induce or solicit for commercial profit any listing, sale or transaction involving any housing accommodation or commercial property by representing that such housing accommodation or commercial property is within any neighborhood, community or area adjacent to any other area in which there reside, or do not reside, persons of a particular race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, or who are guide or support animal dependent.

(b) Discourage, or attempt to discourage, for commercial profit, the purchase or lease of any housing accommodation or commercial property by representing that such housing accommodation or commercial property is within any neighborhood, community or area adjacent to any other area in which there reside, or may in the future reside in increased or decreased numbers, persons of a particular race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, or who are guide or support animal dependent.

(c) Misrepresent, create or distort a circumstance, condition or incident for the purpose of fostering the impression or belief, on the part of any owner, occupant or prospective owner or occupant of any housing accommodation or commercial property, that such housing accommodation or commercial property is within any neighborhood, community or area adjacent to any other area which would be adversely impacted by the residence, or future increased or decreased residence, of persons of a particular race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, or who are guide or support animal dependent within such neighborhood, community or area.

(d) In any way misrepresent or otherwise misadvertise within a neighborhood or community, whether or not in writing, that any housing accommodation or commercial property within such neighborhood or community is available for inspection, sale, lease, sublease or other transfer, in any context where such misrepresentation or misadvertising would have the effect of fostering an impression or belief that there has been or will be an increase in real estate activity within such neighborhood or community due to the residence, or anticipated increased or decreased residence, of persons of a particular race, color, familial status, age, religious creed, ancestry, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability, or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user.

Section 7. Powers and Duties of the Commission.—The Commission shall have the following powers and duties:

\* \* \*

(i) To create such advisory agencies and conciliation councils,

local or state-wide, as will aid in effectuating the purposes of this act. The Commission may itself or it may empower these agencies and councils to (1) study the problems of discrimination in all or specific fields of human relationships when based on race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability, and (2) foster, through community effort or otherwise, good will among the groups and elements of the population of the State. Such agencies and councils may make recommendations to the Commission for the development of policies and procedure in general. Advisory agencies and conciliation councils created by the Commission shall be composed of representative citizens, serving without pay, but the Commission may make provision for technical and clerical assistance to such agencies and councils, and for the payment of the expenses of such assistance.

(j) To issue such publications and such results of investigations and research as, in its judgment, will tend to promote good will and minimize or eliminate discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability.

(k) To submit an annual report for each fiscal year by the following March 31 to the General Assembly, the Labor and Industry Committee of the Senate and the State Government Committee of the House of Representatives and the Governor describing in detail the types of complaints received, the investigations, status of cases, Commission action which has been taken, how many were found to have probable cause, how many were resolved by public hearing and the length of time from the initial complaint to final Commission resolution. It shall also contain recommendations for such further legislation concerning abuses and discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, national origin, weight, height, age, sex, handicap or disability or the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals, as may be desirable.

\* \* \*

Section 8. Educational Program.—The Commission, in cooperation with the Department of Education, is authorized to recommend a multicultural educational program, designed for the students of the schools in this Commonwealth and for all other residents thereof, with emphasis on foreign cultural and language studies, as well as on the basic shared precepts and principles of United States culture, in order to promote cultural understanding and appreciation and to further good will among all persons, without regard to race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height, handicap or disability.

Section 12. Construction and Exclusiveness of Remedy.—

\* \* \*

(b) Except as provided in subsection (c), nothing contained in this act shall be deemed to repeal or supersede any of the provisions of any existing or hereafter adopted municipal ordinance, municipal charter or of any law of this Commonwealth relating to discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, age, sex, national origin, weight, height or handicap or disability, but as to acts declared unlawful by section five of this act the procedure herein provided shall, when invoked, be exclusive and the final determination therein shall exclude any other action, civil or criminal, based on the same grievance of the complainant concerned. If the complainant institutes any action based on such grievance without resorting to the procedure provided in this act, such complainant may not subsequently resort to the procedure herein. In the event of a conflict between the interpretation of a provision of this act and the interpretation of a similar provision contained in any municipal ordinance, the interpretation of the provision in this act shall apply to such municipal ordinance.

\* \* \*

Amend Bill, page 2, line 5, by striking out "2" and inserting

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment.

It is the Chair's understanding the amendment is withdrawn.  
The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Rep. **MAYES** offered the following amendment No. **A01597**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20; page 2, lines 1 through 4; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

(bb) The term "race" includes traits associated with race, including hair texture and protective hairstyles. Nothing in this clause shall be construed to prohibit an employer from adopting and enforcing an otherwise valid workplace health and safety rule or policy provided the employer demonstrates all of the following:

(1) Without the adoption of the rule or policy it is reasonably certain that the health or safety of an employe or other materially connected person will be impaired.

(2) The rule or policy is adopted for nondiscriminatory reasons.

(3) The rule or policy is applied equally and does not have a disproportionate adverse impact on a group of individuals based on traits associated with race.

(4) The rule or policy is specifically tailored to the applicable position and activity.

(cc) The term "protective hairstyle" includes, but is not limited to, such hairstyles as locs, braids, twists, coils, Bantu knots, afros and extensions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment, Representative Mayes.

Rep. **MAYES**. I am withdrawing this amendment.

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding the amendment is withdrawn. The Chairs thanks the maker of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Rep. **MAYES** offered the following amendment No. **A01676**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 1 through 11, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), entitled "An act prohibiting certain practices of discrimination because of race, color, religious creed, ancestry, age or national origin by employers, employment agencies, labor organizations and others as herein defined; creating the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission in the Governor's Office; defining its functions, powers and duties; providing for procedure and enforcement; providing for formulation of an educational program to prevent prejudice; providing for judicial review and enforcement and imposing penalties," further providing for definitions.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 14 through 20; page 2, lines 1 through 5; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting  
Section 1. Section 4 of the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, is amended by adding clauses to read:

Section 4. Definitions.—As used in this act unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

\* \* \*

(bb) The term "race" includes traits associated with race, including hair texture and protective hairstyles. Nothing in this clause shall be construed to prohibit an employer from adopting and enforcing an otherwise valid workplace health and safety rule or policy provided the employer demonstrates all of the following:

(1) Without the adoption of the rule or policy it is reasonably certain that the health or safety of an employe or other materially connected person will be impaired.

(2) The rule or policy is adopted for nondiscriminatory reasons.

(3) The rule or policy is applied equally and does not have a disproportionate adverse impact on a group of individuals based on traits associated with race.

(4) The rule or policy is specifically tailored to the applicable position and activity.

(cc) The term "protective hairstyle" includes, but is not limited to, such hairstyles as locs, braids, twists, coils, Bantu knots, afros and extensions.

Section 2. This act shall take effect in 60 days.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Mayes.

Rep. **MAYES**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment makes adjustments to my bill, and I ask my colleagues for a "yes" vote on amendment A01676.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the maker of the amendment.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.

Mr. **CUTLER**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I was wondering if the prime sponsor/amendment author would stand for brief interrogation regarding the impact of this amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Representative indicates that she will.

Rep. **MAYES**. Declines.

The SPEAKER. Excuse me. The Chair is incorrect. The Representative indicates that she will not be available for interrogation.

Mr. **CUTLER**. Thank you.

Would the majority leader be willing to stand for brief interrogation?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. **CUTLER**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I just want to understand the interaction of this proposed amendment on the two prior amendments that were adopted, the one dealing with political affiliation, and more importantly, the definition of "religious creed," which covers head coverings which are important to the plain sect.

In my reading and understanding of the amendment, this amendment would in fact knock both of those out of the underlying bill. Is that correct?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. That is correct.

Mr. **CUTLER**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, on the amendment, if I may?

The SPEAKER. You may.  
Mr. CUTLER. Thank you.

I certainly appreciate the good lady's effort to fix some other qualifying workplace and health and safety eligibility issues, but I think that the adoption of, particularly, the religious head coverings, in addition to the political affiliations that the good chairman had outlined previously, are both important. And to adopt this amendment would unnecessarily remove two areas that this body just agreed should in fact be protected.

So I would urge opposition to this amendment so that we can protect individuals that do in fact have head coverings, that do in fact exercise their religion, and choose to do so consistent with the laws and the freedoms that we enjoy here, because this amendment would say that could not be the case.

I urge a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. I ask all members, please support the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sapprey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinthead	Probst	Speaker

NAYS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roe
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causer	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh

Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

The House will be at ease.

The House will come to order. The House will come to order.

**HB 612 RECONSIDERED**

The SPEAKER. Moved by Representative Cutler, the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on final passage on 6/26/23 be reconsidered; seconded by Representative Grove.

The question is on the motion to reconsider the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on final passage.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Abney	Evans	Kinsey	Rabb
Bellmon	Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rozzi
Benham	Fleming	Krajewski	Salisbury
Bizzarro	Frankel	Krueger	Samuelson
Borowski	Freeman	Kulik	Sanchez
Boyd	Friel	Madden	Sapprey
Boyle	Gallagher	Madsen	Schlossberg
Bradford	Galloway	Malagari	Schweyer
Brennan	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Briggs	Giral	Matzie	Shusterman
Brown, A.	Green	Mayes	Siegel
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Smith-Wade-El
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Solomon
Burns	Haddock	Merski	Steele
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cephas	Harkins	Mullins	Takac
Cerrato	Harris	Munroe	Venkat
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Howard	Nelson, N.	Warren
Curry	Innamorato	O'Mara	Waxman
Daley	Isaacson	Otten	Webster

Davis	Kazeem	Parker	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Young
Deasy	Khan	Pielli	
Delloso	Kim	Pisciottano	McClinton,
Donahue	Kinkead	Probst	Speaker

NAYS—101

Adams	Gaydos	Lawrence	Rigby
Armanini	Gillen	Leadbeter	Roae
Banta	Gleim	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Barton	Gregory	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Major	Ryncavage
Bernstine	Grove	Mako	Schemel
Bonner	Hamm	Maloney	Scheuren
Borowicz	Heffley	Marcell	Schlegel
Brown, M.	Hogan	Marshall	Schmitt
Cabell	Irvin	Mehaffie	Scialabba
Causar	James	Mentzer	Smith
Cook	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Staats
Cooper	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Stambaugh
Cutler	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Stehr
D'Orsie	Kail	Miller, B.	Stender
Davanzo	Kaufner	Moul	Struzzi
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Nelson, E.	Topper
Dunbar	Kephart	O'Neal	Twardzik
Ecker	Kerwin	Oberlander	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Ortitay	Watro
Fee	Krupa	Owlett	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Pickett	White
Flick	Kuzma	Rader	Williams, C.
Flood	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The House will be at ease.

The House will come to order.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C**

**BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 612, PN 1703**, entitled:

An Act providing for funding for State-related universities for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2023, and ending June 30, 2024, for costs basis, for frequency of payments and for recordkeeping requirements; imposing a duty on the Auditor General; providing for financial statements and for the Agricultural College Land Scrip Fund; and making appropriations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Eric Nelson.

Mr. E. NELSON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to oppose this massive, all-in-one, select college funding package. Although many in this chamber say just put up the vote, there is nothing to see here, our majority Appropriations chair a few weeks ago quoted Jay-Z, and the artist has a quote I think applies for today that says, "Men lie, women lie, numbers don't."

Madam Speaker, the numbers do not lie when we talk about college funding. Even these exclusive schools are not treated the same. One school is getting \$10,000 a student while another school gets \$6,000 a student, even within this nonpreferred realm. Madam Speaker, is it madness that we would equally invest in our students?

I will share three stories of students from my district. First, this tale of three, we start with Scott, who is attending Triangle Tech electrical school and only gets PHEAA (Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency) funding. Then we have Reagan, wants to be a PA (physician assistant), attending Seton Hill, which is a private college, and our State invests \$300 for a student attending a private school. And then we have Lydia, also attending a PA school at Pitt, and she will receive almost \$10,000 of special funding.

It is madness to ensure that we yet again unequally invest in our students. There is a better path. This chamber has a responsibility to all young people, that if they are going to go, they should be equally invested in. If they are going to invest in their future training, then we should invest equally in them. Surely this chamber is not allowed to blindly fund our richest schools at the expense of our poorest students. Surely we will at least vote these schools individually. Our constituents deserve it, our young people deserve it, and each of us deserves it as well.

Madam Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on this massive, combined college funding bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And I think it is apropos to speak right now in light of the good gentleman's comments about this "massive, all-in-one" process. And I tried to rack my brain for where such an idea may have come from; when in the history of this body could we have done such a thing, where we voted all the nonpreferreds in one bill? And then, out of the blue, it popped into my mind: it might have been last year. The good lady from Allegheny wanted me to quote Jay-Z, but I am not fluent in such language, but something about, there are 99 problems and everything you said ain't one.

Now, we make light, and we have had a little fun today – as we should – and we have been laughing about this issue for some time, but starting today we are very serious, because we passed the GA (general appropriations), and this is the final vote – make no doubt.

This process, this budget year, as acrimonious as it has been, is coming to an end, and we must decide as a body, in a bipartisan way, by a two-thirds margin, whether we are going to fund Penn State, Temple, and Pitt. There are those this year, like other years,

who want to talk about abortion politics, want to talk about gender politics, want to talk about race politics. They want to talk about everything in our universities but they do not want to talk about support for students.

So let me be clear: This chamber has done its work. We have passed a budget, and we are leaving for some period of time. We will not be back, and every member needs to consider what their impact of their vote will be today. Will they play politics with student tuition, and will they turn their back on Penn State, Temple, and Pitt students? This is not about administrators. This is not about health systems. This is about your constituents and mine that are seeking higher education. So let us get real, and get real serious, about the issue before us. It is time now to support our students.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Grove.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

So July; we are in July. We have a GA bill that is probably sitting right there. It is not heading over to the Senate because they are not in. We have a nonpreferred ahead of us. I do not know what the funding levels are of a budget anymore. This is 7 percent. When the Senate sent their budget over, they predicted a zero percent flatlining for higher education funding. So this will not be the last vote because this budget is not complete. We have no code bills, we have no housekeeping bills, and again, the budget, it is probably sitting right there, because they are not in and they are not coming back any time soon, according to the Senate leader.

So we will have another vote on these because we need to reconcile the funding level of the nonpreferreds. Is it the 7 percent that is housed in this? Is it the zero percent that SB 611 was predicated on that was passed by the majority party yesterday? We do not know. We have no idea of how much that is going to be. So we are back to where we were when we were doing nonpreferreds a few weeks ago, prior to the June 1 new fiscal year.

We do not know when a budget is going to be completed. We are at an impasse. Treasury is preparing for an impasse, and we do not know when this entire process will be finalized because we do not have answers like this: How much are we funding them by?

So I will be a "no" vote until this is completed and we have a final vote on a final negotiated bill between three parties – which who knows if they will ever talk to each other again with what the Governor did to the Senate Republicans last evening.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Bullock.

Mrs. BULLOCK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

While some of us are quoting Jay-Z, I would like to quote the founder of Temple University, Russell Conwell: "I know a diamond when I see" one and "that is a diamond!" It is the story of the Acres of Diamonds in the mission of Temple University, the story of a farmer who left his farm to find riches elsewhere, did not realize he had diamonds in his own backyard.

We have diamonds in our own backyard right here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and we are refusing to invest in those diamonds – our students; the students that go to our universities, the students that deserve to have some assistance in their tuition.

Madam Speaker, we have diamonds right here in our own backyard, and we are failing to invest in our children and our young people. So whether they are at Temple University, Penn, or Pitt, I ask for an affirmative vote to invest in our diamonds, to look no further than the young people that we are sending to school at our universities, and make sure that they can come out of school without the burden of student debt.

I know a diamond when I see one, and we have plenty of them right here in the Commonwealth. Let us invest. I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the Representative.

The Chair recognizes Representative Roae.

Mr. ROAE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I urge the members to vote "no."

There is a summer tradition every year. We pass legislation to give Temple, Penn State, Lincoln – what is the other one? – Pitt. I could not remember the four. I could not remember. Every year we pass legislation to give them funding, and then about a week later they vote to increase tuition. So we say, here is State money. They say, thanks, now we are going to raise tuition even though you just gave us hundreds of millions of dollars. All of our students, we want them to borrow more money in student loans. No matter how much money we give them, they continue to raise tuition.

The State System schools that we own, that get less money per student than the schools that we do not own, we have not raised tuition in the last 4 years. I am on that board, and we are very serious about freezing tuition because we know that students cannot afford to borrow twenty or thirty thousand dollars to go to college. But it is almost guaranteed about a week from now, you are going to read in the paper that Penn State, Pitt, Temple – I have heard Lincoln might not be raising tuition, so that will be good if they do not – but these huge institutions, no matter how much we give them, they keep raising tuition. As a body, we could tell these universities, unless you agree not to raise tuition, you are not getting our money. We could do that if we had the will to do that. We could say we are not going to fund you and then a week later you raise tuition. But that is what is going to happen.

When you look at a university like Penn State, the comparable universities all over the country, they all have lower tuition. Penn State is one of the highest. Pitt is really high. Temple is really high. And we could say, you are not getting your money because you are going to raise tuition. We could have language in here that says, if you raise your tuition, you have to give the money back, but as a body, we have never been willing to do that.

So I just want everybody to know that is voting "yes," about a week from now you are going to read in the paper – I will send an e-mail to everybody just in case you miss the newspaper articles – but even though we give them all this money, they are going to raise tuition. All of our students are going to have to keep borrowing more and more and more and more and more money. No matter how much money we give them, they are going to raise tuition. So if one-third of us, one person more than that votes "no" on this, we can send a message to these universities. We can say, you sign in writing that you will not raise tuition. We can amend the language in this bill that states, any university that raises tuition this upcoming academic year, you do not get your money. We could do that. We could protect our students from tuition increases.

The State System universities that we own, as I said before, we have not raised tuition for 4 years in a row. There is virtually no such thing as an endowment fund for the State universities that we own. But these universities – Penn State, Pitt, Temple, Lincoln – they have a multibillion-dollar endowment; it is like \$6 billion or \$7 billion, \$8 billion, some huge amount of money like that. Yet with that big endowment, with these increases in State funding, they are still going to raise tuition on your constituents.

So Madam Speaker, in conclusion, I want to say, a "yes" vote is a vote that our students in our districts are going to have to pay more tuition. A "no" vote is we can hold the universities accountable and not have a tuition increase. So please vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes Representative Takac.

Mr. TAKAC. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise today in support of HB 612 for two reasons. First, I am the proud father of a student at the University of Pittsburgh – a first responder, I might add; a paramedic finishing up his degree in emergency medicine. Today, like tens of thousands of students and their families across this Commonwealth, we remain in financial limbo, unsure if we will have to come up with several thousand dollars more in tuition than we were planning on. The appropriations we are voting for today directly impact what families like mine pay.

In addition, as many of you know, I also represent the 82d District, which includes Penn State's University Park campus in State College. And so while this vote is obviously quite important to many of my constituents at Penn State's largest campus, I would like to remind our colleagues that as Pennsylvania's land-grant institution, Penn State includes 23 campuses across our Commonwealth. In fact, 96 percent of all Pennsylvanians live within 30 miles of a Penn State campus. In addition, Penn State's agricultural extension provides invaluable support to farmers and others in every one of our 67 counties.

Therefore, I urge my colleagues to set aside distractions and unrelated issues and support Pennsylvania students, families, farmers, and communities by voting "yes" on HB 612.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Mike Jones.

Mr. M. JONES. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

My daughter actually attends Penn State and I am totally opposed to this bill. I do not know why it is that the University of Pittsburgh students should get \$10,000 while Temple gets \$8,000, and Penn State gets \$6,000, and I have a myriad of other constituents getting hundreds or a thousand or two to go to other Pennsylvania universities. More importantly, let us go a little deeper on this. Not only are these institutions going to raise tuition, they are going to do so while having some of the biggest endowments in the nation. The University of Pittsburgh and the University of Penn State are sitting on roughly \$5 billion, all while we cannot – we are going to give them another \$600 million, \$700 million, \$800 million, while we could not come up with a \$100 million yesterday for these poor kids in the city.

Now, with that said, I am going to do something I rarely do and I am going to agree with the majority leader. Last year Republicans made the decision to bundle these bills together.

I think, in our defense, that happened in the Senate. Shame on every one of them that did that; shame on every one of them.

I tell you another thing, most of my colleagues on the other side, and a handful over here, are pro-choice. I do not agree with that, but I respect it. But most of you on this side of the aisle looked your donors, your volunteers, and your voters in the eye and said you were pro-life. If you vote for this bill, then shame on you.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I had not planned on speaking on this, but after hearing some of the commentary, I could not help but rise and provide a few facts. Pennsylvania is in the bottom half of States in terms of how we fund higher education on a per capita basis. We are one of the top States in the nation in terms of the number of colleges and universities that we have here. You would think, given the infrastructure that we have here, we would be relishing the fact that higher education is a great asset to our State. We have the ability to attract students not only from the State of Pennsylvania, but from the nation and all around the world.

If you look at the Silicon Valley, the computer companies did not start there and then people started putting universities around them; it was the universities that were pumping out computer geeks and then the computer companies started popping up in the Silicon Valley. When you look at the research triangle in North Carolina, that did not occur and then somebody decided to build universities there; the universities were there and somebody decided to build their industry there.

We have that same ability in the State of Pennsylvania, but we underfund our institutions of higher education. We are in the bottom half of States in terms of funding on a per capita basis. Let me just give you an example of who beats us: Vermont, Delaware, North Dakota, Nebraska, Wyoming, Utah is the top one, Oregon, California. We get beat by Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Iowa, Indiana, Wisconsin, Michigan, Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, Alabama, and Mississippi. We do not spend as much on our students as those States do, and we are here talking about the gobs of money that we are throwing at these institutions? Please, spare me. The reason that kids in Pennsylvania carry a large debt is because we refuse to fund higher education like other States do.

Please vote "yes." Vote for the kids for once, please.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

So let us talk about all students. If we are for all students, let us make this available – this pot of money for five select private schools – let us divide it up. Let us give it out to all students, any PA student going to a PA school. Why just five select private schools? They get almost \$700 million; \$700 million. That is more than all of our State-owned schools combined.

So why just these five select ones? Why not assist students who want to go to a trade school? How about a tech school? How about any other school in Pennsylvania? And they are contributing to our economy. They are contributing to our workforce. Why not support all students? If this is about all students, why not fund the student, give them a voucher, run it through PHEAA, and allow them to attend a higher education or a tech school or a trade school of their choice? Let us make this truly about students.



Because if it was truly about the students – right? – they would receive the same amount to go to Pitt as they would to Penn State or they would to go to Juniata, Messiah, Empire Beauty School, whatever they choose. If this is truly about the students, why are we arguing about the institutions? This should be equitable funding for all kids, not just a select few. They get, again, \$700 million just for the five; just for the five, not State schools. Our State schools receive a lot.

We are saddling our students with record debt. We are bankrupting the students, we are bankrupting families, while we have given record levels of funding to these institutions, and yet the tuition continues to rise above any student's ability to repay that debt.

We need to do better. We can do better. The opportunity is here. Let us talk about the students, let us fund the students, let us distribute this another way. This can be done. We have plenty of time.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the Representative.

The Chair recognizes Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise here today to support all of our State-related universities in HB 612, but I want to specifically speak to you about the University of Pittsburgh, which was just recently, I think baselessly, attacked by one of our colleagues. And I want to just say to you, you know, this institution is one of the nation's top research universities; third in the nation for NIH (National Institutes of Health) funding. You heard that right: third behind only Johns Hopkins University and the University of California-San Francisco; ahead of Duke, Penn, Stanford, and Michigan.

Pitt people beat polio, pioneered TB, and turned my city into one of the world's organ transplantation capitals. If any of your loved ones have suffered from breast cancer, HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), diabetes, Pitt may well have played a role in extending their lives. And this incredible, prestigious institution of research and learning is in our backyard, creating opportunities for Pennsylvanians every day.

But this appropriation is not about those things. This amendment that includes Pitt's appropriation and all the similar amendments that we have seen represents – potentially, if we do not pass this – a massive tuition increase for students in every one of our districts, every single one of our districts. Parents and young people and nontraditional students alike are watching your vote today, incredulous that anyone would add more of a burden to paying for their education.

Madam Speaker, this should not be a difficult decision. The University of Pittsburgh, Penn, Temple, and Penn State are shining examples of star and quality education in this Commonwealth, and every one of us should be proud to fund them. Knowing that these dollars educate Pennsylvania's young people, bring the best and the brightest from other States, and conduct life-sustaining research, I ask you to vote "yes." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Hamm.

Mr. HAMM. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The good majority leader says this vote is not about the universities, that this vote is about our Pennsylvania students. The good gentlelady from Philadelphia says that our Pennsylvania students are diamonds. If we truly are talking about Pennsylvania students and that is what this vote is about, then we would be moving to give this money directly to Pennsylvania

students and not institutions. That is what we would do if we were serious about students. But unfortunately, what I have found is, many will say one thing and then do another. If we are serious about students, let us give the money directly to students and stop funding institutions.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair is seeking additional members who would like to speak on final passage of HB 612 before returning to the leader.

The Chair recognizes Representative Warner.

Are there any other members that would like to speak on final passage before going to the leader and the maker of the bill?

Mr. WARNER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, there have been a lot of quotes today discussing this bill, so I am going to start with one of my own, from President Thomas Jefferson: "...we might hope to see the finances of the Union as clear and intelligible as a merchant's books, so that every member of Congress, and every man of any mind in the Union, should be able to comprehend them, to investigate abuses, and consequently to control them." That is not the case here, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I am a "no" on HB 612 because I believe in government transparency. I believe in accountability. Madam Speaker, these quote, unquote, "public universities" are not subjected to our State's Right-to-Know Law. They are in fact de facto private universities. Madam Speaker, how could anybody in this chamber sit here and tell me that we need to give these universities hundreds of millions of dollars, yet we have no idea how they spend it, Madam Speaker? None. Their books are closed to the public. They are closed to us.

Madam Speaker, every other State in this Union that publicly funds their universities subjects them to their State's Right-to-Know Law, and until Pennsylvania is one of them, I am a "no" on HB 612.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes Representative Lawrence. The gentleman waives off. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the maker of the bill, Representative Harris, and then the Chair will go to the floor leaders.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, a lot has been said today about HB 612, but let us be clear and direct to the young people across Pennsylvania. This vote is about your tuition. This vote is whether people support you or not. We could bring up issues about professors. We could bring up issues about research. We could bring up all of these other issues that you want to talk about, but the bottom line is that this is about supporting the students at these four universities.

So if you go to Lincoln University, one of the nation's oldest HBCUs (historically Black colleges and universities), some would argue the oldest – unless you go to Cheyney, then you are going to say Cheyney, I am not going to get in that debate on this floor. I love Cheyney and Lincoln. But if you go to Lincoln University, pay attention. Pay attention to see who is voting for your future. If you go to that beautiful cherry-red university nestled in the city of the first class, pay attention to see who is voting for your future. If you go to the University of Pittsburgh out in sprawling Allegheny County, I need you to pay attention right now to see who is supporting you. And if you go to the Penn State University, I need you to pay attention to see who supports you.

Because here is the thing, Madam Speaker. We could come up with all of the tricks and maneuvers and do all of the political wrangling that we might want to do on this floor, but we are charged to do really one thing in this chamber, and that is put up the vote. And the green button today means that you support students. The red button means that you do not. Now, you can rationalize it any way you want, you can publicize it in your newsletter any way you want, but facts are facts. You either support the money or you do not.

See, the gentleman from Westmoreland County got up today and tried to quote my good friend, the philosopher, Shawn Carter, and then the good majority leader from Montgomery County gave his own rendition of the same philosopher. I was a little proud – just a little. But I submit to you today that if you are a student at Penn, at Pitt, a student at Temple, a student at Lincoln or Penn State, I will submit to you today that those students take a page from the Wu-Tang Clan, where those students say simply that "cash rules everything around me, C.R.E.A.M., get the money, dollar dollar bill, y'all," and this is about the dollar dollar bills for the students at those four universities.

Again, rationalize it however you want, but this budget is about the dollar dollar bills for the students at those universities. Because here is the thing: You cannot say that education is the elevator out of poverty and then will not finance the upkeep of that elevator for our young people. You cannot say to our young people to pull themselves up by their bootstraps, but then do not give them the doggone boots or the straps. You have got to put up the vote or keep quiet.

This bill is simply about one thing: the dollar dollar bills, y'all. Wu-Tang Clan said it best. Now let us see how you vote.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, you will not hear me quoting any of the artists that the good gentleman, the chairman, probably listens to, but I do think that he does have a couple things right, which I want to highlight. And like the good gentleman from York County, I actually agree with the majority leader. This should be about the students. The problem is, not much has changed since we last addressed this issue last week. So I have concerns. I have concerns because we asked for the ability to work in good faith on reforms, and we made progress on some of those over the last session. There were discussions regarding Right-to-Know Law, tuition freezes – which some of our colleagues here highlighted – and institutional reforms, but those requests apparently fell on deaf ears.

Now, my good friend, the Appropriations chairman, would like to say that this is all about the dollar bills, and this is funding for the schools, but it is also a "yes" vote for tuition increases, because they were all in front of his committee and said they would.

As those in this body know, we have made strides in making improvements, transparency, accountability, to Pennsylvania State-related universities. In fact, the majority party recognized the importance of the work we have done over the last half a dozen years because they took the exact language as an amendment in committee, ensuring that taxpayer money could only go to these institutions to be used for educational purposes and tuition reductions. So that is one good reform. But I still have concerns because more work needs to be done.

We were hoping to continue our efforts to subject these schools to the Right-to-Know Law, as the good gentleman just outlined, but those efforts have thus far been rebuffed. We were hoping to continue our efforts in finding ways to directly fund students, as several of the colleagues here have talked about, because, as the good majority leader said, it is in fact about the students instead of institutions. And the elevator of opportunity out of poverty not only needs maintenance, it needs a shaft to run in, and it also needs some guiderails to make sure that it hits each floor. It should absolutely be about student opportunity, not institutional entitlement. And that is important, because it should be all about the students.

I wish the good gentleman who was very passionate just right now about college students had been that passionate when we voted in the Rules Committee to get kids out of failing schools in K through 12, because then more kids could actually go to college. But those dreams were squashed. We were hoping to continue the significant work that we as a caucus, the House Republicans, have done to bring accountability and change to these institutions.

But right now there is a mixed message. The budget was passed with flat-funding by the majority party. This bill has an increase. The Senate's disposition is unknown. So in the meantime, our friends on the other side of the aisle simply say, the status quo is good enough; let us just fund it.

The truth is, you can fund it and you can get reforms and you can have some of the issues, like the members of the PASSHE (Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education) Board, the State System have done, managed effectively over the last 4 years for tuition freezes. And I do commend Lincoln University, which is why we have already voted in favor of that. I believe that had in excess of 190 votes and is currently in the Senate, because they agreed to freeze tuition for existing students. That is important.

But as the good lady from York outlined, college may not be for everybody. I am standing here as somebody who went to a trade school first. I am proud of that. But I will never forget the stigma and what I was told by my guidance counselor: "You can't go to a trade school, you have to go to college. Your grades are too good." That is a shame. I like my trade. I liked going to trade school and caring for patients. We should encourage more individuals to go into trades – health-care trades, construction trades – and all of the other opportunities that might not be at these select institutions.

And I am sure that both members have received calls, been encouraged to vote their districts, but we have to recognize that more work needs to be done to reform these institutions, and more importantly, this process. These institutions that receive support from taxpayers and expect loyalty from lawmakers in this building must do more in providing for transparency, accountability, and I think tuition freezes. It is in that spirit that I hope that we can continue to continue to revisit this topic, have an open and intellectually honest dialogue about how we can get a better return on an investment, about taxpayer dollars invested in the students that just happen to go to these institutions.

It is in that spirit that I hope we all can recognize that we can do better. I think that we have said it many times here. We can often identify the problem. The problem is the unequal treatment amongst the students who attend these institutions and any other student in the Commonwealth who wants to attend a State school or another private school or a trade school. Madam Speaker, that is my concern. Let us have a real discussion about these reforms,

the application of Right-to-Know, and tuition freezes, like our State board has managed, through some very tough choices, to navigate.

So my vote tonight, while it is a "no," it is a "not yet," because a green vote tonight, in my opinion – despite the good gentleman's quoting of music that is probably very TikTok-worthy – the truth is, it is also a tuition increase, because we do not have the necessary reforms.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

And I would like to commend the good minority leader for his words and his desire to have an open and honest, and I believe he said "intellectually honest" discussion about what is in front of us. For the past 2 weeks we have had, for lack of a better word, "test" votes on this issue, but now we will have a final vote before we break, and I want to have a quick opportunity to respond to some of what has been said.

Despite the fact that Pennsylvania is one of the lowest supporters of higher ed in the country, and some of these universities – and I would just mention one, Penn State, which 4 of the last 6 years has actually had the tuition freeze that some have talked about – I have heard a perverse view of economics which says tuition is too high, but we recognize we support higher ed in almost the lowest levels of any State in the country, and the solution to the tuition being too high and the solution to us underfunding those institutions is to not fund them at all this year. The gentleman from Clarion brings about that type of logic. I disagree, and I do not know what economics class at any of these fine universities would subscribe to that logic.

I have heard a lot of rationalizations: It is not the final vote; we will vote "yes" when the general appropriations bill passes. There are handfuls of members who voted "no" in the past who made that very point on this floor. Now is the time to put up that vote. The newest rationalization is that there are different levels of fundings between schools, there are other reforms that are necessary to vote "yes." The simple reality is, the minority party has a responsibility to put up votes for these nonpreferreds. And the simple reality is, last year, when in one giant bill, they voted for it, they are the ones who had the opportunity to put any and all of those reforms. And we are 100 percent committed to listening to any ideas you have, as I have had some discussions with some members about how we move forward, and we want to have, to use the minority leader's words, an honest, an intellectually honest and open discussion.

But the elephant in the room is, and I think the good gentleman from York kind of went down that road, there are some who have chosen not to vote "yes" for any university in any year, regardless. And every year support has dwindled down because of the politicization of this process; not just who supports this school or that school, but just this whole process, whether it has become a wedge issue or whether it has become about the most divisive social issue politics that, frankly, are a challenge in any university. And if we applied this logic, as someone who sat on the PHEAA Board for years, one would make the same argument about Liberty or ORU (Oral Roberts University) or other universities that some of my more progressive friends may not like the politics on those campuses.

Look, the hour is late. The budget has passed. We need to tone down the rhetoric. We need to support these schools. We need to ignore the elephant in the room and that most base kind of politics. I recently had the opportunity to say to some of our newer members on our side of the aisle, on a tough vote that we recently had to take, I said with clarity, "This doesn't get better. Waiting until the fall doesn't make it easier. It gets harder and worse and people get caught in that transaction." Those politics is what leadership needs to turn people away from. Now the majority party is going to have to put up all of its votes, and some share of the minority party must do the same or children will get a tuition increase. That is the reality of where we are at.

So I ask you to consider the politics – not the electoral politics, but the reality of what we do today. Let us support our universities and go out, for this session on this issue, checking the box.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—130

Abney	Fleming	Krajewski	Probst
Adams	Flick	Krueger	Rabb
Armanini	Flood	Kulik	Rozzi
Bellmon	Frankel	Kuzma	Ryncavage
Benham	Freeman	Labs	Salisbury
Benninghoff	Friel	Madden	Samuelson
Bizzarro	Fritz	Madsen	Sanchez
Borowski	Gallagher	Mako	Sapprey
Boyd	Galloway	Malagari	Schlossberg
Boyle	Gaydos	Marcell	Schweyer
Bradford	Gergely	Markosek	Scott
Brennan	Giral	Marshall	Shusterman
Briggs	Green	Matzie	Siegel
Brown, A.	Gregory	Mayer	Smith-Wade-El
Bullock	Guenst	McAndrew	Solomon
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Steele
Burns	Haddock	Mehaffie	Sturla
C Freytiz	Hanbidge	Merski	Takac
Cabell	Harkins	Miller, D.	Tomlinson
Causar	Harris	Moul	Topper
Cephas	Hogan	Mullins	Venkat
Cerrato	Hohenstein	Munroe	Vitali
Ciresi	Howard	Mustello	Warren
Conklin	Innamorato	Neilson	Watro
Curry	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Waxman
Daley	Kaufner	O'Mara	Webster
Davis	Kazeem	Oberlander	White
Dawkins	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Williams, C.
Deasy	Khan	Otten	Williams, D.
Delloso	Kim	Parker	Young
Donahue	Kinkead	Pashinski	
Evans	Kinsey	Pielli	McClinton, Speaker
Fiedler	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	

#### NAYS—73

Banta	Gleim	Lawrence	Roae
Barton	Greiner	Leadbeter	Rossi
Bernstine	Grove	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bonner	Hamm	Mackenzie, R.	Schemel

Borowicz	Heffley	Major	Scheuren
Brown, M.	Irvin	Maloney	Schlegel
Cook	James	Mentzer	Schmitt
Cooper	Jones, M.	Mercuri	Scialabba
Cutler	Jones, T.	Metzgar	Smith
D'Orsie	Jozwiak	Mihalek	Staats
Davanzo	Kail	Miller, B.	Stambaugh
Delozier	Kauffman	Nelson, E.	Stehr
Diamond	Keefer	O'Neal	Stender
Dunbar	Kephart	Owlett	Struzzi
Ecker	Kerwin	Pickett	Twardzik
Emrick	Klunk	Rader	Warner
Fee	Krupa	Rapp	Wentling
Fink	Kutz	Rigby	Zimmerman
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill fell.

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER HB 612

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a motion to reconsider. Representatives Dunbar and Cutler move that the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on the 6th day of July be reconsidered. Signed Representative Dunbar, signed Representative Cutler.

Moved by Representative Cutler that the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on final passage on July 6, 2023, be reconsidered; seconded by Representative Dunbar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion to reconsider the vote by which HB 612 was defeated on final passage.

On the motion, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion, Representative Dunbar.

### MOTION TO POSTPONE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Cutler. Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, as I mentioned during my comments, there is a desire to work together and obtain some reforms. I would offer that now would be the time for those discussions. There are also some singular bills that could also be considered that could arrive at the same conclusion. So my request would be to postpone the motion to reconsider until such time that we can have a discussion, a real discussion, about tuition freezes, Right-to-Know Law applications, and other reforms, not just to these institutions that have guaranteed a tuition increase – which I find somewhat unsettling, despite the efforts to continue to fund them – so that we can have a meaningful discussion, as the good gentleman indicated. I would ask that we postpone immediate reconsideration so that the two caucuses can discuss possible amendments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Representative Cutler, makes a motion to postpone the motion to reconsider HB 612.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the maker of the motion, Representative Cutler.

The House will be at ease. We will return to this motion.

The House will come to order.

### MOTIONS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's understanding that Representative Cutler withdraws two motions: his motion to reconsider and his motion to immediately postpone the motion to reconsider. Is that correct?

The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### BILLS RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 716;  
HB 859;  
HB 1130;  
HB 1173;  
HB 1218;  
HB 1394;  
HB 1448;  
HB 1515; and  
SB 829.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that SB 621 and SB 836 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Dunbar for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 7:15; 7:15, Republicans will caucus.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Briggs for a committee announcement.

Mr. BRIGGS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

For the members of the Judiciary Committee, we will meet immediately at adjournment in G-50 of the Irvis Office Building to consider HR 44 and any other business that comes before the committee.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Judiciary Committee will meet immediately at adjournment in G-50 Irvis Office Building.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Representative Young for a committee announcement.

Ms. YOUNG. We will have an immediate meeting for the House Appropriations in the majority caucus room immediately after session. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately after session in the majority caucus room.

### BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

### ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a motion by Representative Mayes of Allegheny County that the House now adjourn until Friday, July 7, 2023, at 10 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:56 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.