

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2022

SESSION OF 2022

206TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 40

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (BRYAN CUTLER)  
PRESIDING**

#### PRAYER

HON. ANITA ASTORINO KULIK, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

From the Gospel of Matthew: " 'Come, you who are blessed by my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world. For I was hungry and you gave me food; I was thirsty and you gave me drink; a stranger and you welcomed me; naked and you clothed me; ill and you cared for me; in prison and you visited me.' Then the righteous will answer and say, 'Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you or thirsty and give you drink? When did we see you a stranger and welcome you or naked and clothe you? When did we see you ill or in prison and visit you?' And the King will say to them in reply, 'Amen, I say to you, whatever you did for one of these least brothers of mine, you did for me.' "

Father, as we take on our work today, please help us know that each person in our reach is a child of God, that each person deserves our care and understanding representation. Bless us with compassion, to remind us that, as stewards of this Commonwealth, it is our duty to act as You teach us, to care for the needs of all those within our borders. Help us strive to follow the greatest of all commandments, to love You with all our hearts and all our souls and to love our neighbors as ourselves. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, September 12, 2022, will be postponed until printed.

### COMMUNICATION FROM DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The SPEAKER. The Speaker submits for the record a copy of the Pennsylvania Grade Crude Development Advisory Council's 2021 Annual Report from the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development.

(Copy of communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**HB 2682, PN 3260**

By Rep. ROAE

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in veteran-owned small businesses, providing for small diverse business.

COMMERCE.

**HB 2783, PN 3456 (Amended)**

By Rep. ROAE

An Act amending Title 12 (Commerce and Trade) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Pennsylvania Industrial Development Program, further providing for job creation and providing for terms and repayment of loans.

COMMERCE.

### RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HR 222, PN 3457 (Amended)**

By Rep. ROAE

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to establish an advisory committee and provide a report to the House of Representatives on preventing and countering inflation and supply chain challenges in this Commonwealth.

COMMERCE.

### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 225** By Representatives WEBSTER, HILL-EVANS, MADDEN, KINSEY, SCHLOSSBERG, GUENST, DELLOSO, SANCHEZ, MERSKI, BOBACK, McNEILL, D. WILLIAMS and GILLEN

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to conduct a comprehensive study and issue a report on Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps programs in schools in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, September 13, 2022.

## HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 2807** By Representatives BURNS, MILLARD, LONGIETTI, McNEILL, DELLOSO, HENNESSEY, ZIMMERMAN, JOZWIAK, CIRESI and NEILSON

An Act amending the act of November 24, 2004 (P.L.1270, No.153), referred to as the Pennsylvania Amber Alert System Law, establishing the Pennsylvania Blue Alert System for the protection of the public and the apprehension of a person of immediate threat.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 13, 2022.

**No. 2813** By Representatives BOROWICZ, KAUFFMAN, RYAN, COOK, M. MACKENZIE, MILLARD, HAMM, ROSSI, R. MACKENZIE, BERNSTINE, IRVIN, METCALFE, GROVE, ZIMMERMAN, GLEIM, KEEFER, COX, RAPP, ROWE, GREINER and STAATS

An Act providing for classroom instruction on sexual orientation and gender identity and for parental notification of student health care services; and establishing cause of action for violation.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, September 13, 2022.

**No. 2814** By Representatives SCHMITT, RYAN, JAMES, ECKER, MIZGORSKI, MOUL, HENNESSEY and GROVE

An Act amending Titles 2 (Administrative Law and Procedure), 3 (Agriculture), 4 (Amusements), 5 (Athletics and Sports), 8 (Boroughs and Incorporated Towns), 11 (Cities), 13 (Commercial Code), 15 (Corporations and Unincorporated Associations), 18 (Crimes and Offenses), 20 (Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries), 23 (Domestic Relations), 24 (Education), 25 (Elections), 26 (Eminent Domain), 27 (Environmental Resources), 30 (Fish), 34 (Game), 35 (Health and Safety), 37 (Historical and Museums), 40 (Insurance), 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure), 45 (Legal Notices), 51 (Military Affairs), 53 (Municipalities Generally), 58 (Oil and Gas), 62 (Procurement), 64 (Public Authorities and Quasi-Public Corporations), 65 (Public Officers), 66 (Public Utilities), 68 (Real and Personal Property), 71 (State Government), 74 (Transportation) and 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for right-to-know; making related repeals; and making editorial changes.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, September 13, 2022.

**No. 2815** By Representatives GLEIM, M. MACKENZIE, BERNSTINE, R. MACKENZIE, MALONEY, PICKETT, ROAE, ROSSI, RYAN, STAMBAUGH, MOUL and HAMM

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in public indecency, further providing for the offense of obscene and other sexual materials and performances.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 13, 2022.

## LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Turning to leaves of absence. Are there leaves of absence?

The Chair recognizes the Republican whip, who indicates that there are none. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, the Democrat whip, who indicates that the gentleman, Representative Chris RABB, from Philadelphia wishes to be placed on leave for the day. Without objection, the leave will be so granted.

## MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

### PRESENT—201

Abney	Flood	Lewis	Roae
Armanini	Frankel	Longietti	Rossi
Benham	Freeman	Mackenzie, M.	Rothman
Benninghoff	Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Bernstine	Galloway	Madden	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Major	Ryan
Boback	Gillen	Mako	Sainato
Bonner	Gillespie	Malagari	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gleim	Maloney	Sanchez
Boyle	Gregory	Markosek	Sankey
Bradford	Greiner	Marshall	Sappey
Briggs	Grove	Masser	Saylor
Brooks	Guenst	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, A.	Guzman	McClinton	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Hamm	McNeill	Schmitt
Bullock	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Schnee
Burgos	Harkins	Mentzer	Schroeder
Burns	Harris	Mercuri	Schweyer
Carroll	Heffley	Merski	Shusterman
Causar	Helm	Metcalfe	Silvis
Cephas	Hennessey	Metzgar	Sims
Ciresi	Herrin	Mihalek	Smith
Conklin	Hershey	Millard	Snyder
Cook	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Solomon
Covington	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Sonney
Cox	Howard	Mizgorski	Staats
Cruz	Innamorato	Moul	Stambaugh
Culver	Irvin	Mullery	Stephens
Curry	Isaacson	Mullins	Struzzi
Daley	James	Mustello	Sturla
Davanzo	Jones	Neilson	Thomas
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Tomlinson
Davis, T.	Kail	Nelson, N.	Topper
Dawkins	Kaufner	O'Mara	Twardzik
Day	Kauffman	O'Neal	Vitali
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Warner
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Warren
Delloso	Kerwin	Otten	Webster
Delozier	Kim	Owlett	Welby
DelRosso	Kinhead	Parker	Wentling
DeLuca	Kinsey	Pashinski	Wheeland
Diamond	Kirkland	Peifer	White
Dowling	Klunk	Pennycuick	Williams, C.
Dunbar	Knowles	Pickett	Williams, D.
Ecker	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	Young
Emrick	Krajewski	Polinchock	Zabel
Evans	Krueger	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Farry	Kulik	Quinn	
Fee	Labs	Rader	Cutler,
Fiedler	Lawrence	Rapp	Speaker
Fitzgerald	Lee	Rigby	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rabb

LEAVES ADDED—1

Cox

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and one members having voted on the master roll, a quorum is present.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Turning to visitor recognition.

Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Representative Leo Trich, a former member of the House from 1989 to 2002. He is joined by Dominik Wrobel, a German grad student who previously interned with a member of the European Union Parliament. Welcome.

Also located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair is pleased to welcome a delegation from the German Federal Parliament. They are visiting the United States for the 37th Annual Congress-Bundestag Seminar. This program is aimed at strengthening transatlantic ties and promoting mutual understanding and effective dialogue between decisionmakers in the United States and Germany. We are honored here today to be joined by six members of the Germany-USA Parliamentary Friendship Group. The chair is the Honorable Jurgen Trittin, and the following deputy chairs: the Honorable Sevim Dagdelen, the Honorable Mr. Metin Hakverdi, the Honorable Dr. Andrew Ullmann, the Honorable Prof. Dr. Harald Weyel, and the Honorable Mr. Kai Whittaker. Located in the gallery, we would also like to welcome the staff who are traveling here with them. Thank you for joining us.

Also located in the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome the Avon Grove drones team. They are the guests of Representative Lawrence. Welcome.

Located in the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome Laurie Friedman and her daughter, Alexis Glass, and they are the guests of Representative Krueger.

Located in the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome Tom and Amanda Alderson and their two children, Asher and Tyler, and they are the guests of Representative Metcalfe. Thank you for joining us today.

Located in the well of the House, the Chair welcomes guest page Jeverett Brauer. Jeverett is the guest of Representative Boback. Welcome.

I will ask members to please take their seats. As we are ending the legislative session, we once again have another retirement speech.

The House will be temporarily at ease while Representative Welby approaches the rostrum.

The House will please return to order.

### FAREWELL ADDRESS BY MR. WELBY

The SPEAKER. I am joined here at the rostrum by Representative Thom Welby, who was elected to represent the 113th Legislative District of Lackawanna County. Thom is a graduate of West Scranton High School and the Career Academy, a New York City broadcasting school. Prior to becoming a Representative, he served as the chief of staff for our former colleague, Representative Marty Flynn, for 9 years before succeeding him in this current position as State Representative. He is married to Kathy, and they have a son and daughter.

With that, I will invite Representative Welby to the rostrum. I ask members to please come to order. Take your seats. Move any and all conversations off the rear of the House.

Representative Welby, the rostrum is yours.

Mr. WELBY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Being here for, actually, right now it is just a little less than a year, I was very nervous about getting up and talking to you all that have been here for so long. But I wanted to take the opportunity to thank everyone for sure, and also to speak of something that has been important to me all my life, and that is community service, public service.

I was exposed to community service as a little kid back in the mid-fifties and early sixties. Back then Danny Thomas started a campaign for what would become the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, and that was probably my first venture into public service, collecting for what would then become the St. Jude Children's Research Hospital. And since that time, I have so enjoyed doing public service work and community service work.

I was one of 13 kids in, I guess you would call it an Irish Catholic family on the rhythm method, that my dad, I think, was the founder of the expression "White men ain't got no rhythm," with 13 kids. But my dad died in 1969 and he died of leukemia, and at the time that he died, there were 11 of us at home. I was the oldest and just turning 19, and I think about the support and the service that we got from the community in public service and community service and how many people supported us. And God bless my mom, who passed away several years ago. Can you imagine a single mom with 11 of me? It just was crazy. But I am thankful for everything that people do in the way of public service and community service, and me and my family have seen the benefits of it and I will do that for the rest of my life.

I have been fortunate that in most of my career, I have not only been doing public service work with the support of my employers, but encouraged by my employers in that they realized the value of that public service to the community, and they also realized the value of that public service to their image, to their perception in the community. And just about 11 years ago, I retired from WNEP-TV and a career in media to work full-time — not work, but to do full-time public service work. And almost a year after I retired, Marty Flynn's people came to me, after he was just elected, Marty Flynn's people came to me and asked if I would work for him, and his friend, Representative Bizzarro, can attest to how many times I might have said no to Marty's people; that no, I just do not want to get into politics. I do not want to do that. I just want to do my public service work. And they reminded me that through the office of the State Representative, I can perhaps get much more done through the

office of the State Representative. I thought I would give it a try and I would give it a shot and I would work half days. Marty's interpretation of a half day is, as you would think, 12 hours.

From day one, though, I agreed with Marty and his philosophy. We were in line with that, and that is that we were going to work and help people regardless of the issue they had, no matter the source of the problem that they had. If it is a pothole on a side street, we were going to deal with it. If it was a blighted property, we were going to deal with it. We were not just going to tell them to call city hall and they will take care of it. We were now taking pictures of things and working on behalf of that constituent to get it done and get them satisfied with what they wanted.

And I learned the power of the office pretty quickly, about the office of the State Representative and in my position as chief of staff of the State Representative. I would leave a message, "Thom Welby, Chief of Staff, State Representative Marty Flynn" or the signature on my e-mail, and it was just amazing how quickly people would get back to you when they realized that you are an extended member of this House of Representatives. And it was also kind of amusing to me when I would say chief of staff, they did not know that staff was three people – including me.

For 8 1/2 years I worked with Marty, and I have to say that I truly loved the part of the job that was the service to the community, and I was able to do that as a result of being involved with this House.

After being sworn in last November, I enjoyed this greater reward of being the State Representative – which I never really thought that I would be worthy of – and I personally got to help people through my own decisions and helped to give them reassurance that their elected and their appointed officials really do care about them, and that, perhaps, we could help to restore their faith in government, which has been waning just a little bit too much. And that is what I am going to miss most, I truly am: the genuine ability of the power of this office, of this House, to break through the red tape and issues and actually help people.

I want to thank my family for putting up with me: my wife, my son, my daughter, my daughter-in-law, my grandchildren; my extended family, my cousins, nieces, nephews, and wonderful friends. Sometimes I feel like Jimmy Stewart in "It's a Wonderful Life," in that I feel like I am the richest guy in the world with so many family and friends.

I also want to thank my team: Angela, Joe, Adam, Brittany, and Nolan, and the entire team in this great House that keeps it together and keeps it moving. I in particular want to thank my brothers and sisters and team members in my caucus for your support, especially Leader McClinton, who first lit me up last December with her oratory, just knocked me over. Her drive to do right and not accept anything as an obstacle is an inspiration to everyone. Thank you, Leader McClinton. Also, thank you, Whip. I also want to thank over and over again my friends in the Democratic Caucus for being so supportive of me, but I also want to thank all the members and friends that I have developed in the Republican Caucus that have been so welcoming to me. So many of you have come over to me and introduced yourselves and you have worked with me over this last year. Those welcomes were and are so greatly appreciated. Thank you.

I am thrilled to have been a part of this historic House here, and I am so happy that this year is the year that I have been here. Together, together we have provided substantial additional funding for education from day care through college, funding for

mental health care for children and for adults, funding for health-care workers and home-care workers, support for seniors, funding for law enforcement, lower corporate taxes, and the list goes on and on.

And as we enter these last several weeks of session, we have the opportunity to continue the record good done by this class of Representatives, Senators, and Governor. And as we move through these last few weeks of session, let us keep our eye on the ball of what is good and right for our people, our community, our Commonwealth, and our country.

Back in the early seventies and eighties, I was a member of the Greater Scranton Jaycees, an organization that focused on individual and community development. We started every meeting with the Jaycee Creed. I still believe in the tenets of that Jaycee Creed, and most of my life, I have done commercials, and before I leave, I would like to do one more commercial, and that is the Jaycee Creed. I would like to read it to you.

"We believe: That faith in God gives meaning and purpose to human life; that the brotherhood of the human race transcends the sovereignty of nations; that economic justice can best be won by free people through free enterprise; that government should be of laws rather than of people; that earth's great treasure lies in human personality; and that service to humanity is the best work of life."

God bless you. I love you. Stay well. Stay safe. And thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Welby.

I think I, for one, love your focus. I think it is appropriate and certainly explains your success through the years. And I know that as you leave here, I have a pretty good hunch you will continue to watch and monitor us, and please know that you will be in our thoughts and prayers. It has been a privilege to serve with you.

### COMMEMORATIVE GAVEL PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. So at this time we will briefly be at ease while we do a ceremonial gavel presentation and a couple photographs.

The House will please return to order.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is in receipt of a request that the gentleman, Representative COX, wishes to be placed on leave for the remainder of the day. Without objection, the leave will be so granted.

### REPORT SUBMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is in receipt of a report from Representative Lawrence, an interim report of the Select Committee on Restoring Law and Order, pursuant to House rule 51.

(Interim report was submitted for the record. For report, see Appendix.)

The SPEAKER. We will go to committee and caucus announcements now.

The Speaker recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Boback, for a committee announcement.



Ms. BOBACK. Mr. Speaker, can you please come back to me so I can get the specifics? There was a change. Thank you.  
The SPEAKER. Absolutely, Representative Boback.

### JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. We will recognize the gentleman, Representative Kauffman, for a committee announcement.

Mr. KAUFFMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The House Judiciary Committee will meet immediately upon the break in Irvis 515; immediately upon the break in Irvis 515.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The House Judiciary Committee will meet immediately upon the break in Irvis 515.

### RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Benninghoff, for a Rules Committee announcement.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to call a Rules Committee meeting immediately upon break in the majority caucus room for consideration of a House resolution which will be originating in the Rules Committee. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

There will be a Rules Committee meeting immediately upon break in the majority caucus room.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Saylor, for an Appropriations Committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room at 11:45.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room at 11:45.

### VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Boback, for a committee announcement.

Ms. BOBACK. Thank you for your indulgence, Mr. Speaker.

Just to announce that there will be a Veterans Affairs and Emergency Preparedness meeting tomorrow, September 14, at 9:15. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

There will be a Veterans Affairs and Emergency Preparedness Committee meeting tomorrow, September 14, at 9:15.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Dunbar, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 12:30 in the majority caucus room; that is 12:30 in the majority caucus room. We will be prepared to be back on the floor at 1 o'clock.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Dan Miller, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus hybrid at 12:30.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House will stand in recess until 1 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

### RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 1:30 p.m.; further extended until 1:45 p.m.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

#### HB 1092, PN 3144

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of October 9, 2008 (P.L.1408, No.113), known as the Scrap Material Theft Prevention Act, further providing for identification requirements for sale of scrap materials to scrap processors and recycling facility operators and for penalties.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

#### HB 1093, PN 3450

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in proprietary and official rights, further providing for use of carts, cases, trays, baskets, boxes and other containers.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

#### HB 2276, PN 2638

By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in offenses against the family, further providing for concealing death of child.

#### JUDICIARY.

**HB 2287, PN 2675**

By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Titles 23 (Domestic Relations) and 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, enacting the Uniform Deployed Parents Custody and Visitation Act; making related repeals; and making editorial changes.

JUDICIARY.

### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 226** By Representatives STEPHENS, GUENST and SANCHEZ

A Concurrent Resolution recognizing the month of September 2022 as "UD Strong Month" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, September 13, 2022.

### RESOLUTION REPORTED AS ORIGINATED IN RULES COMMITTEE

**HR 227, PN 3458**

By Rep. BENNINGHOFF

A Resolution finding that Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence Krasner is in contempt of the House of Representatives.

RULES.

### OBJECTION TO COMMITTEE REPORT

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does Leader McClinton rise?

Ms. McCLINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to object to the report of the Committee on the Rules containing a resolution to hold the district attorney of Philadelphia in contempt.

The SPEAKER. The Democratic leader has raised an objection to the report from committee, for the House resolution from the Rules Committee, HR 227. Pursuant to the provisions of 676 of Mason's Manual, the House shall decide whether a committee report is properly reported.

And on that question, the Chair recognizes Leader McClinton.

On the question,

Shall the House accept the report of the Rules Committee?

Ms. McCLINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am astounded by many things that happen here in Harrisburg, but this committee report is rather unique. Here we are, supposedly standing up for law and order, for the restoration of justice throughout our Commonwealth, yet we are railroading a contempt resolution through this chamber, which is in direct violation of the rules that govern the Pennsylvania House of Representatives.

Before us is an extraordinary resolution that originated in the Committee of Rules, for which I am the minority Chair. What makes it extraordinary is that the Committee on Rules has no authority to originate a resolution of contempt. House rule 46 lays out the powers of the House Rules Committee and it provides three general powers for the House Rules Committee.

First of all, the committee can "...make recommendations designed to improve and expedite the business and procedure of this House and its" other "committees." The House Rules Committee, secondly, can "...propose to the House any amendments to the Rules" that we deem necessary. And third, Mr. Speaker, the committee can "...do all things necessary to fulfill any assignment or duty given to the committee by any resolution, or other rule of the House of Representatives." The committee only has three powers, Mr. Speaker, and one of them is not to originate a resolution of contempt.

Mr. Speaker, it is important that we all understand the consequences of violating our rules. By originating this resolution in the Rules Committee rather than having the resolution be introduced by a member and referred to committee, like any other resolution would be, the majority caucus is empowering themselves to, first of all, dispense with the 3-day notice requirement, required also by our rules for any sort of resolution that is controversial. Secondly, it prohibits the opportunity for members of this institution, the House of Representatives, to offer any amendments whatsoever. These requirements are not just our rules, but, Mr. Speaker, they are good government.

The public deserves a transparent government. The public deserves the opportunity to communicate with all of their elected Representatives on all matters that come before the House. That is why we instituted the 3-day notice requirement, to give the public a chance to study a proposal, to digest a proposal, and to communicate their thoughts with their very own elected officials. But by us here today bypassing our very own rules, railroading this resolution through, members of the public are completely shut out of the process.

In reality, Mr. Speaker, even our elected members in this chamber are being railroaded by this process. The resolution that is before us today was drafted today. It is based on an interim report that was just submitted today. It was pushed through the House Rules Committee today, and after giving members less than 1 hour to consider it.

This is not the deliberative process that our Framers of our Constitution intended. We talked yesterday about 1682, this being the oldest contiguous – as you like to highlight to me – longest legislative body in our nation. And here we are, on this date in September in 2022, violating due process and violating our own House rules. There is no reason that we must fast-track this resolution. We could introduce a resolution today, refer it to the appropriate committee, and vote on it as soon as next Tuesday, September 20, for which we are already scheduled to be here. That way, Mr. Speaker, notice is given, our actions are transparent, and the public can be involved.

I ask all my colleagues today to join me in preserving law and order, first of all, in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives. This vote is not on the underlying substance of the accusations or the procedure or the study of the subcommittee, but this is about preserving the integrity of this institution.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Lawrence.

Prior to you beginning, I would simply remind the gentleman, as well as all of the other members, you are only permitted to speak once on the motion to object to the report.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, before I begin my remarks, could you just clarify what a "yes" vote and a "no" vote on this motion means?

The SPEAKER. When we get to the vote, and upon concluding the debate here, a "yes" vote would be to accept the report; a "no" vote would be to reject the report.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the motion before the House is to object to the report from the Rules Committee on HR 227. First, specifically from the House rules, House rule 35 specifies that resolutions are referred to a committee unless they are privileged for immediate consideration. And rule 36 further expounds that resolutions originating in Rules are privileged for immediate consideration. That is what we see before us today in the House with HR 227.

With regard to the objection, first, there is past precedent which provides for a contempt resolution to be well within the matters handled by the Rules Committee. I cite specifically HR 164 of 1974, a resolution dealing with a very similar matter that was in fact handled through the Rules Committee. So number one, I would just bring to the attention of the House past precedent on similar situations.

Second, the matter before the House today deals directly with the House rules. The underlying resolution deals with the gentleman from Philadelphia and how he is in violation of those House rules; thus, it is very pertinent for such a resolution to come through the Rules Committee.

Third, the resolution deals with holding the district attorney in contempt of the House. This is a purely legislative remedy, and again, appropriate for consideration through the Rules Committee; thus, I would encourage an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Seeing no other members seeking recognition, I will go back to the leaders.

The gentleman, Leader Benninghoff, is recognized.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I would ask our members to support Chairman Lawrence on this motion to, on the objection to the report. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

As previously explained, those who believe the committee report is properly reported will vote "aye"; those who do not believe it is properly reported will vote "no." Members will proceed to vote.

On the question recurring,

Shall the House accept the report of the Rules Committee?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—117

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sainato
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Sankey
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalfe	Saylor
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schemel
Boyle	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schmitt
Brooks	Hershey	Millard	Schnee
Brown, R.	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Schroeder
Burns	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Silvis
Causer	Irvin	Moul	Smith
Cook	James	Mustello	Sonney
Culver	Jones	Neilson	Staats
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stambaugh
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Stephens
DeLozier	Kaufer	Oberlander	Struzzi
DeRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Thomas
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Tomlinson
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuik	Twardzik
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Major	Rigby	
Gillen	Mako	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Maloney	Rossi	Speaker
Gleim	Marshall	Rothman	

#### NAYS—83

Abney	Delloso	Kirkland	Pashinski
Benham	DeLuca	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Bizzarro	Evans	Krajewski	Rozzi
Bradford	Fiedler	Krueger	Samuelson
Briggs	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sanchez
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Sapprey
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Schlossberg
Burgos	Galloway	Madden	Schweyer
Carroll	Guenst	Malagari	Shusterman
Cephas	Guzman	Markosek	Sims
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Matzie	Snyder
Conklin	Harkins	McClinton	Solomon
Covington	Harris	McNeill	Sturla
Cruz	Herrin	Merski	Vitali
Curry	Howard	Miller, D.	Warren
Daley	Innamorato	Mullery	Webster
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mullins	Welby
Davis, T.	Kenyatta	Nelson, N.	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kim	O'Mara	Young
Deasy	Kinhead	Otten	Zabel
DeLissio	Kinsey	Parker	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—2

Cox	Rabb
-----	------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the Rules Committee was accepted by the House.

## SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

## RESOLUTION

Mr. LAWRENCE called up **HR 227, PN 3458**, entitled:

A Resolution finding that Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence Krasner is in contempt of the House of Representatives.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Lawrence.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It brings me no pleasure to stand before the House today on this very serious matter. A resolution to hold an individual in contempt of the House is a somber and rare occurrence. This is the first time a matter of this nature has come before the body in the 12 years that I have served in this chamber. What is at issue today is nothing less than the institutional authority of this body.

To review the facts before us: HR 216, adopted by a bipartisan majority of this House on June 29, 2022, provides "...the chair of the select committee...be authorized and empowered to...send for individuals and papers and subpoena witnesses, documents, including electronically stored information, and any other materials under the hand and seal of the chair."

On August 3, the committee issued a subpoena to the district attorney of Philadelphia for non-privileged documents relevant to the work of this committee. Service of the subpoena was attempted by hand delivery that same day to the district attorney's office but was declined. On August 8, the committee issued an updated subpoena to the district attorney's office again seeking production of non-privileged documents. Service of the updated subpoena was attempted again by hand delivery on the morning of August 9, but it was again declined. Later that same day, outside counsel for the district attorney accepted service of the subpoena via e-mail.

On August 22, the district attorney's counsel responded to the subpoena, objecting to every request, and stated that there would not be a search for or production of any documents in response to the subpoena. In response, on August 24, I sent a second letter to the district attorney clearly outlining the reason for the subpoena and the authority under which it was issued. I gave the district attorney an extension to produce the requested documents no later than August 31. On August 31, the district attorney's counsel responded, declining to revise the original response to the subpoena, again denouncing the authority of this chamber to conduct an investigation and again refusing to search for and produce any documents.

On September 2, for now the third communication on the matter, I issued a request to show cause, which informed the district attorney's office of the obligations of the select committee under House rule 51, and requested a response addressing why the select committee should not inform the House, as provided in House rule 51, of the willful refusal to comply with the subpoena, and further, why the district attorney's office should not be held in contempt of the House. The request to show cause directed that a response was due no later than September 12 by delivery to the chair's counsel. The same day as the request to show cause was issued, the district attorney initiated an action in Commonwealth

Court against the select committee and each of its members seeking to quash the subpoena and stop any investigative work of any nature under HR 216.

On September 9, in response to a request for a stay or a 10-day extension for the district attorney to respond to the request to show cause, I offered the district attorney an extension until Friday, September 16, under certain conditions. However, yesterday, September 12, the district attorney rejected this offer and continued to insist that this committee's subpoena was improper. Also yesterday, late in the evening, the district attorney responded to my request to show cause by reiterating the arguments he had previously made, again refusing to acknowledge the validity of the subpoena and refusing to comply with it.

The District Attorney of Philadelphia has willfully refused to comply with a duly issued subpoena of the select committee, as authorized by the House, seeking information relevant to the committee's investigation. As a result, earlier this morning, the Select Committee on Restoring Law and Order, established by HR 216, fulfilled its duty under House rule 51 and voted unanimously to issue an interim report to the House with regard to the district attorney's willful refusal to comply with the subpoena and recommending that the House consider contempt proceedings. All members of the House received a copy of that report with attachments by e-mail from me, I believe it was at 9:32 this morning after the conclusion of the meeting.

To be very clear, the issue before the House today is not whether one approves of the policies instituted by the District Attorney of Philadelphia, his practices, preferences, or performance in his job as district attorney. While those topics are of concern to me, and I am sure many in this chamber, that is not the matter before the House today. The issue before the House is whether a subpoena, duly issued and authorized by this House, requires the attention and compliance of the recipient, or if a subpoena issued and authorized by this House is a worthless piece of paper.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the House to hold the District Attorney of Philadelphia, Lawrence Krasner, in contempt of the House for violation of House rule 51 and Article II, section 11, of the Pennsylvania Constitution. Regrettably, the gentleman has willfully neglected or refused to comply with a duly issued subpoena after four separate communications. With this in mind, I ask for an affirmative vote on HR 227.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman from Chester County and recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Dawkins, on HR 227 adoption.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the maker stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. You are in order and may proceed, sir.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

In this particular resolution, it talks about the non-privileged materials that were requested. Were there any privileged materials subpoenaed to the current district attorney?

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So with respect, that is an interesting question and I will answer the question. But before one can question whether or not certain documents should or should not be pursued under the subpoena, what is, perhaps, more important is whether the subpoena itself is valid. Now, the district attorney from Philadelphia has asserted as recently as 16 hours ago that the



subpoena itself is invalid; thus, any further discussion beyond that is certainly very interesting indeed. The question before the chamber is whether the subpoena is valid or not.

Now, to answer your question, Mr. Speaker, any time a subpoena is issued, be it from the legislature or from the courts, if there are documents that the recipient of the subpoena believes are privileged, the recipient is well within their rights to produce a privilege log saying, the following documents we can, we can comply with your subpoena and provide this, this, and this document, but that, that, and that document are privileged and we cannot provide those documents. That is not the response, unfortunately, that we have seen in this situation. Instead, we have seen a response of willful refusal.

Mr. DAWKINS. So if we are determining that it is willful if there are privileged documents that were in a subpoena and they did not reference or detail which ones were, would they be within their right to seek direction or opinion from the courts?

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would humbly suggest the answer is no. The instructions provided with the subpoena to the district attorney made that clear, and it made it abundantly clear, that any privileged documents were to be withheld – this is a routine matter that any sort of privileged documents would be noted on a log and submitted in response. To be very clear, the district attorney has had four separate opportunities, and as recently as last night, stated that the subpoena itself was invalid. The district attorney has not complied in any fashion with the subpoena. He has not produced a single document in response to the subpoena.

Mr. DAWKINS. So in the event that an individual is receiving a House subpoena and they believe that there is information within that subpoena that may be considered privileged, is it in their right, based on our House rules, to seek that opinion from the courts? Yes or no?

Mr. LAWRENCE. I would suggest that the gentleman's – and when I say the gentleman, I do not mean you, fine sir – the gentleman from Philadelphia, the district attorney's proper response would have been to comply with the subpoena and to state that the following documents are in, are in response to the request to the subpoena, and we have a question about these documents over here which we believe are subject to some sort of privilege. This is a standard and routine matter. The instructions of the subpoena were very clear.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you. And you mentioned earlier in your testimony that there was a precedent from 1974, but I did not quite hear what case – did that resolution originate in the Rules Committee? And during the time of 1974 – I was not born during that time, so I do not know—

Mr. LAWRENCE. Me neither.

Mr. DAWKINS. —but in terms of our system of how we receive notice or requirement to give notice on resolutions, was that something established in 1974 when this particular reference that you referenced earlier, was that a part of the common practice in 1974?

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So to be clear, the matters that we are discussing before the House are not common practice in any decade. This is a very unusual thing. Frankly, it is not something that comes before the House very often. Frankly, I wish it were not before the House today, to be candid with you. But the fact of the matter is that there have been times in the past where an individual has been held to account for their refusal to answer questions or respond to a subpoena duly issued by this chamber. The situation that I

cited in 1974 did in fact – was handled by the Rules Committee and was brought before the House for a full vote on the same day.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you. And this may be a parliamentary inquiry, because I do not know if I should direct this to the Speaker or not, but my question is, can we cite, in our statute or in our House precedent, that we have ever had a situation where an individual had a motion to quash based on privileged documents to get some direction on what they can and cannot release and been held in contempt in this House?

The SPEAKER. Respectful to the good Representative, I do not believe that that is an appropriate issue for parliamentary inquiry. I believe you are asking more for historical context, which would be more appropriately directed to the member. I would suggest the prime sponsor of the resolution.

Mr. DAWKINS. Okay. That is what I was looking to see, who would be able to answer that question, if they can.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

With respect, that is, it is a hypothetical situation that you have described, whether something has or has not happened in the past. The specific matter before the House today is, is a subpoena issued by this chamber a valid document, or can it be ignored? That is the issue. Every other question after that rests on that issue.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you.

On the bill, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has concluded his interrogation, and you are in order and may proceed, sir.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you. I rise today in opposition to this HR 227 for several reasons. One, if we are going to be a law-abiding body in this House, we cannot have a different practice that we hold other folks accountable to. It was already laid out by our speaker, the minority leader, that we have a practice in which resolutions are brought to this House. We have heard about some type of precedent from 1974 that no one can give clarity if that resolution was originated in Rules and/or if we had a requirement to have any type of advertisement during that time, which tells me that during this era, in 2022, where we do have that requirement, why are we choosing a 1974 reference to get through a resolution without any due process on our part? I am all for law and order when it is applied equally across the board. This does not feel like we are applying law and order equally across the board.

It is my understanding that the subpoena, based on the interrogation, there were possible privileged documents requested. Most folks who study law or in the realm of law knows that they will probably get an opinion from the courts on what is allowed to be released to the House committee, the select committee. It is also my understanding that that motion to quash is still being reviewed. So how are we overstepping the courts and having a procedural vote on HR 227 when we have yet to allow the courts to do their jobs?

We speak of this law and order, but we are sitting here today obviously going in the direct opposite direction of allowing due process. We are all entitled to due process. I care less about the individual name on this resolution – because you can swap this out with any name you like – but we should all have a fair process in which we go about our business, especially in this House.

So for me, Mr. Speaker, there is plenty that we can challenge as it relates to this particular resolution. The use of "willfully" is also problematic, because we also heard from the testimony that there was some type of motion presented to get clarity on what could and could not be released.

### MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. DAWKINS. So, Mr. Speaker, if I could, if I can make a motion, I would like to at this time.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may state your motion so it can be properly placed on the board.

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to make a motion to table HR 227 until the courts make a ruling on what can and cannot be released to the select committee to determine if in fact the district attorney was willfully withholding information. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Representative Dawkins, has made a motion to table until – table consideration of this resolution until such time that the court case is appropriately resolved.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman wish to speak on his motion?

Mr. DAWKINS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe this is within one's right to defend against a subpoena that they have deemed that had privileged information included. And if we are serious about law and order, I believe we should allow the due process of those who have been subpoenaed to use whatever right that they have to them to defend their argument on why they are not releasing certain documents.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

For the information of the members, only the maker of the motion, the underlying resolution, and both leaders may debate this motion.

I will now go to the good gentleman, Representative Lawrence, on the motion to table.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And, Mr. Speaker, the motion before us is an important motion, and I would like to speak directly to the comments of the gentleman from Philadelphia here on the floor. The matters that he brings up are not inconsequential, and certainly when one brings forward the concept of due process, that is something that I think every individual in this chamber certainly subscribes to.

But I believe, and I say this with humility and with respect, the issue here is not whether one should wait for the court or not. The question is, and the question of the underlying resolution is, is the subpoena valid or is it not? That is the question. Now, the district attorney has postulated that it is invalid. That was his term that he used less than 24 hours ago, "invalid."

Now, to set aside, if, if he thought it was valid, one thing that could have happened is the district attorney could have said, I am providing, in compliance with the request for documents under this subpoena, A, B, and C. And these documents, D, E, and F, are privileged. I cannot produce them because they are privileged. If I received that, as chair of the committee, I might agree with the gentleman from Philadelphia that in fact, D, E, and F are in fact privileged and I have no authority or right to see those documents, and there would be nothing for the court to decide. We would move forward with the underlying investigation contemplated under HR 216. It would only be in the situation where I, as chair, and the district attorney's counsels had a disagreement over whether those documents which he claims

privilege over are in fact privileged. If there was some sort of disagreement there, then we would have to turn to the courts. We would have to turn to somebody who could give us an answer. Is document D, E, and F – I say it is and he says it is not. I say D is, he— That would be a question where we would need a neutral arbiter to come in, but we are not even at that point yet. We are at the point, is this subpoena valid or is it, as the district attorney and his counsel claim, invalid?

Now, there are many other things that he has gone forward with, as we have mentioned here now, in the case before the Commonwealth Court, but I would suggest many of those questions, to be frank, I believe many of those questions are premature at this point. The issue before the district attorney and this chamber today is, is the subpoena valid or not? If it is valid, then he should have complied and said, I am going to provide A, B, and C, and I cannot provide D, E, and F because they are privileged. And then we could have had an argument over whether they were or not, but we have not even gotten to that point. He has not sent us a single document, and his basis for such action is that he claims the subpoena is invalid, which is in error.

With that in mind, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest we should not table and we should bring the resolution to a vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Leader McClinton, on the motion to table.

Ms. McCLINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this motion to table is not about whether a subpoena is valid. This is about everyone in this Commonwealth being entitled to due process. And with the district attorney of the city of the first class filing a motion before the Commonwealth Court that has yet to be decided, this body is moving prematurely. It is not fair for this body to make any decisions regarding something that is ongoing both with an investigation in the select committee and also with our court system. With our court system being engaged, with our court system receiving a petition, they have heard from the district attorney. The district attorney has been in correspondence, and as a result, we are waiting for a decision from the Commonwealth Court. And until the Commonwealth Court makes a decision, it is simply premature for us, at this juncture, with 60 minutes' notice, to be voting on a resolution that originated in the wrong committee.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Leader Benninghoff, on the motion to table.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on the motion.

I will not belabor this, but we are talking about an issue of the House – the legislature, you, the Representatives elected by the people – and contempt of the House is a House issue.

Vote "no" on the motion to table.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander. I will ask the good lady to go to another microphone. I believe that one is not working properly. You are in order and may proceed.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—83

Abney	Delloso	Kirkland	Pashinski
Benham	DeLuca	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Bizzarro	Evans	Krajewski	Rozzi
Bradford	Fiedler	Krueger	Samuelson
Briggs	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sanchez
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Sappey
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Schlossberg
Burgos	Galloway	Madden	Schweyer
Carroll	Guenst	Malagari	Shusterman
Cephas	Guzman	Markosek	Sims
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Matzie	Snyder
Conklin	Harkins	McClinton	Solomon
Covington	Harris	McNeill	Sturla
Cruz	Herrin	Merski	Vitali
Curry	Howard	Miller, D.	Warren
Daley	Innamorato	Mullery	Webster
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mullins	Welby
Davis, T.	Kenyatta	Nelson, N.	Williams, D.
Dawkins	Kim	O'Mara	Young
Deasy	Kinkead	Otten	Zabel
DeLissio	Kinsey	Parker	

## NAYS—117

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sainato
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Sankey
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalf	Saylor
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schemel
Boyle	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schmitt
Brooks	Hershey	Millard	Schnee
Brown, R.	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Schroeder
Burns	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Silvis
Causar	Irvin	Moul	Smith
Cook	James	Mustello	Sonney
Culver	Jones	Neilson	Staats
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stambaugh
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufer	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Topper
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Twardzik
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuik	Warner
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Wentling
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	White
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	Williams, C.
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	
Gaydos	Major	Rigby	
Gillen	Mako	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Maloney	Rossi	Speaker
Gleim	Marshall	Rothman	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—2

Cox	Rabb
-----	------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Seeing no other member seeking recognition to speak on the resolution, I will now turn to the leaders and the prime sponsor, if they wish to speak.

Seeing no one else seeking recognition.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the good gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—162

Armanini	Gillen	Malagari	Rothman
Benham	Gillespie	Maloney	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gleim	Markosek	Rozzi
Bernstine	Gregory	Marshall	Ryan
Bizzarro	Greiner	Masser	Sainato
Boback	Grove	Matzie	Sanchez
Bonner	Guenst	Mehaffie	Sankey
Borowicz	Guzman	Mentzer	Sappey
Boyle	Hamm	Mercuri	Saylor
Brooks	Hanbidge	Merski	Schemel
Brown, A.	Harkins	Metcalf	Schmitt
Brown, R.	Heffley	Metzgar	Schnee
Burgos	Helm	Mihalek	Schroeder
Burns	Hennessey	Millard	Shusterman
Carroll	Hershey	Miller, B.	Silvis
Causar	Hickernell	Mizgorski	Smith
Ciresi	Hohenstein	Moul	Snyder
Conklin	Irvin	Mullery	Solomon
Cook	Isaacson	Mullins	Sonney
Cruz	James	Mustello	Staats
Culver	Jones	Neilson	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Davis, A.	Kail	O'Mara	Struzzi
Davis, T.	Kaufer	O'Neal	Sturla
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Thomas
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Delloso	Kerwin	Otten	Topper
Delozier	Kinkead	Owlett	Twardzik
DelRosso	Klunk	Parker	Warner
DeLuca	Knowles	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Kosierowski	Peifer	Webster
Dowling	Krueger	Pennycuik	Wentling
Dunbar	Kulik	Pickett	Wheeland
Ecker	Labs	Pisciottano	White
Emrick	Lawrence	Polinchock	Williams, C.
Farry	Lewis	Quinn	Williams, D.
Fee	Longietti	Rader	Zabel
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rigby	

Galloway Gaydos	Major Mako	Roae Rossi	Cutler, Speaker
--------------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

## NAYS—38

Abney	Evans	Kim	Nelson, N.
Bradford	Fiedler	Kinsey	Puskaric
Briggs	Fitzgerald	Kirkland	Samuelson
Bullock	Frankel	Krajewski	Schlossberg
Cephas	Freeman	Lee	Schweyer
Covington	Harris	Madden	Sims
Curry	Herrin	McClinton	Vitali
Daley	Howard	McNeill	Welby
Dawkins	Innamorato	Miller, D.	Young
DeLissio	Kenyatta		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—2

Cox	Rabb
-----	------

The SPEAKER. The resolution is adopted and the Chief Clerk will inform the subject of the resolution accordingly.

## CALENDAR

## BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1693, PN 2712**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in departmental powers and duties as to licensing, providing for notice of legal representation for medical assistance.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **KRAJEWSKI** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03703**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 24, by inserting after "RULES,"

You may contact your county bar association's lawyer referral service or the Pennsylvania Legal Aid Network to determine if you are eligible for free legal assistance provided for low-income individuals.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. And on that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Krajewski.

Mr. KRAJEWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment makes it clear that for low-income individuals, free legal help is available in navigating Medicaid. Organizations like Community Legal Services are charged with helping low-income people access benefits, and this amendment makes sure that those individuals who may not be able to pay out of pocket know how to access the help that is available to them.

I want to thank the prime sponsor, Representative Bonner, for agreeing to this amendment, and I ask for a "yes" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bonner, on the amendment.

Mr. BONNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That is an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—200

Abney	Frankel	Longiotti	Roae
Armanini	Freeman	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rothman
Benninghoff	Galloway	Madden	Rowe
Bernstine	Gaydos	Major	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Gillen	Mako	Ryan
Boback	Gillespie	Malagari	Sainato
Bonner	Gleim	Maloney	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gregory	Markosek	Sanchez
Boyle	Greiner	Marshall	Sankey
Bradford	Grove	Masser	Sappey
Briggs	Guenst	Matzie	Saylor
Brooks	Guzman	McClinton	Schemel
Brown, A.	Hamm	McNeill	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Schmitt
Bullock	Harkins	Mentzer	Schnee
Burgos	Harris	Mercuri	Schroeder
Burns	Heffley	Merski	Schweyer
Carroll	Helm	Metcalfe	Shusterman
Causser	Hennessey	Metzgar	Silvis
Cephas	Herrin	Mihalek	Sims
Ciresi	Hershey	Millard	Smith
Conklin	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cook	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Solomon
Covington	Howard	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cruz	Innamorato	Moul	Staats
Culver	Irvin	Mullery	Stambaugh
Curry	Isaacson	Mullins	Stephens
Daley	James	Mustello	Struzzi
Davanzo	Jones	Neilson	Sturla
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Thomas
Davis, T.	Kail	Nelson, N.	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Kaufner	O'Mara	Topper
Day	Kauffman	O'Neal	Twardzik
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Warner
Delloso	Kerwin	Otten	Warren
Delozier	Kim	Owlett	Webster
DeRosso	Kinthead	Parker	Welby
DeLuca	Kinsey	Pashinski	Wentling
Diamond	Kirkland	Peifer	Wheeland
Dowling	Klunk	Pennycuik	White
Dunbar	Knowles	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kosierowski	Pisciottano	Williams, D.
Emrick	Krajewski	Polinchock	Young



Evans	Krueger	Puskaric	Zabel
Farry	Kulik	Quinn	Zimmerman
Fee	Labs	Rader	
Fiedler	Lawrence	Rapp	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Lee	Rigby	Speaker
Flood	Lewis		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Cox                      Rabb

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2632, PN 3176**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of vehicles, providing for vendor-designed registration plates.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DELOZIER** offered the following amendment No. **A05449**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 27, by inserting after "plate"  
that are not part of the registration plate's alphanumeric  
pattern

Amend Bill, page 4, line 17, by striking out "biannual" and inserting

biennial

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Delozier.

Ms. DELOZIER. Thank you. The amendment that is proposed is just a clarifying amendment, and I ask for a positive vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Abney	Frankel	Longiotti	Roae
Armanini	Freeman	Mackenzie, M.	Rossi
Benham	Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rothman
Benninghoff	Galloway	Madden	Rowe
Bernstine	Gaydos	Major	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Gillen	Mako	Ryan
Boback	Gillespie	Malagari	Sainato
Bonner	Gleim	Maloney	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gregory	Markosek	Sanchez
Boyle	Greiner	Marshall	Sankey
Bradford	Grove	Masser	Sapprey
Briggs	Guenst	Matzie	Saylor
Brooks	Guzman	McClinton	Schemel
Brown, A.	Hamm	McNeill	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Schmitt
Bullock	Harkins	Mentzer	Schnee
Burgos	Harris	Mercuri	Schroeder
Burns	Heffley	Merski	Schweyer
Carroll	Helm	Metcalfe	Shusterman
Causar	Hennessey	Metzgar	Silvis
Cephas	Herrin	Mihalek	Sims
Ciresi	Hershey	Millard	Smith
Conklin	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cook	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Solomon
Covington	Howard	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cruz	Innamorato	Moul	Staats
Culver	Irvin	Mullery	Stambaugh
Curry	Isaacson	Mullins	Stephens
Daley	James	Mustello	Struzzi
Davanzo	Jones	Neilson	Sturla
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Thomas
Davis, T.	Kail	Nelson, N.	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Kaufer	O'Mara	Topper
Day	Kauffman	O'Neal	Twardzik
Deasy	Keefer	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Ortitay	Warner
Delloso	Kerwin	Otten	Warren
Delozier	Kim	Owlett	Webster
DeLrosso	Kinsey	Parker	Welby
DeLuca	Kirkland	Pashinski	Wentling
Diamond	Klunk	Peifer	Wheeland
Dowling	Knowles	Pennycuick	White
Dunbar	Kosierowski	Pickett	Williams, C.
Ecker	Krajewski	Pisciottano	Williams, D.
Emrick	Krueger	Polinchock	Young
Evans	Kulik	Puskaric	Zabel
Farry	Labs	Quinn	Zimmerman
Fee	Lawrence	Rader	
Fiedler	Lee	Rapp	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby	Speaker
Flood			

NAYS—1

Kinkead

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Cox

Rabb

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

## SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1092, PN 3144**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 9, 2008 (P.L.1408, No.113), known as the Scrap Material Theft Prevention Act, further providing for identification requirements for sale of scrap materials to scrap processors and recycling facility operators and for penalties.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair will recognize the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—177

Abney	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Rigby
Benham	Flood	Labs	Roae
Benninghoff	Frankel	Lawrence	Rossi
Bernstine	Freeman	Lee	Rothman
Bizzarro	Fritz	Longietti	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Sainato
Bonner	Gaydos	Major	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillen	Mako	Sanchez
Bradford	Gillespie	Malagari	Sappey
Briggs	Gleim	Markosek	Saylor
Brooks	Gregory	Marshall	Schemel
Brown, A.	Greiner	Masser	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Grove	Matzie	Schmitt

Bullock	Guenst	McClinton	Schroeder
Burgos	Guzman	McNeill	Schweyer
Burns	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Shusterman
Carroll	Harkins	Mentzer	Sims
Causar	Harris	Mercuri	Smith
Cephas	Heffley	Merski	Snyder
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Solomon
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Sonney
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Staats
Covington	Hershey	Miller, B.	Stephens
Cruz	Hickernell	Mizgorski	Struzzi
Culver	Hohenstein	Moul	Sturla
Curry	Howard	Mullery	Thomas
Daley	Innamorato	Mullins	Tomlinson
Davis, A.	Irvin	Mustello	Topper
Davis, T.	Isaacson	Neilson	Twardzik
Dawkins	James	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Day	Jozwiak	O'Mara	Warren
Deasy	Kail	O'Neal	Webster
DeLissio	Kaufer	Oberlander	Welby
Delloso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Wentling
Delozier	Kenyatta	Otten	Wheeland
DelRosso	Kerwin	Parker	White
DeLuca	Kim	Pashinski	Williams, C.
Dowling	Kinhead	Peifer	Williams, D.
Dunbar	Kinsey	Pennycuick	Young
Ecker	Kirkland	Pickett	Zabel
Emrick	Klunk	Pisciottano	Zimmerman
Evans	Knowles	Polinchock	
Farry	Kosierowski	Quinn	Cutler,
Fee	Krajewski	Rader	Speaker
Fiedler	Krueger	Rapp	

#### NAYS—23

Armanini	Keefer	Miller, D.	Sankey
Borowicz	Lewis	Nelson, E.	Schnee
Davanzo	Mackenzie, M.	Owlett	Silvis
Diamond	Mackenzie, R.	Puskaric	Stambaugh
Hamm	Maloney	Rowe	Warner
Jones	Metcalf	Ryan	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—2

Cox	Rabb
-----	------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1093, PN 3450**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in proprietary and official rights, further providing for use of carts, cases, trays, baskets, boxes and other containers.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—130

Benninghoff	Greiner	Markosek	Rothman
Bernstine	Grove	Marshall	Rowe
Boback	Guenst	Masser	Ryan
Bonner	Hamm	Matzie	Sainato
Borowicz	Heffley	Mehaffie	Sappey
Boyle	Helm	Mentzer	Saylor
Brooks	Hennessey	Mercuri	Schemel
Brown, R.	Hershey	Metcalfe	Schmitt
Burns	Hickernell	Metzgar	Schnee
Carroll	Hohenstein	Mihalek	Schroeder
Causar	Irvin	Millard	Silvis
Ciresi	James	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Jones	Mizgorski	Snyder
Culver	Jozwiak	Moul	Sonney
Davanzo	Kail	Mullery	Staats
Day	Kaufer	Mullins	Stambaugh
Delozier	Kauffman	Mustello	Stephens
DelRosso	Keefer	Neilson	Struzzi
DeLuca	Kerwin	Nelson, E.	Thomas
Diamond	Klunk	O'Neal	Tomlinson
Dowling	Knowles	Oberlander	Topper
Dunbar	Kosierowski	Ortitay	Twardzik
Ecker	Kulik	Owlett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Pashinski	Webster
Farry	Lawrence	Peifer	Welby
Fee	Lewis	Pickett	Wentling
Flood	Longietti	Polinchock	Wheeland
Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Quinn	White
Gaydos	Mackenzie, R.	Rader	Williams, C.
Gillen	Madden	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gillespie	Major	Rigby	
Gleim	Mako	Roae	Cutler,
Gregory	Malagari	Rossi	Speaker

#### NAYS—70

Abney	Deasy	Kim	Pisciottano
Armanini	DeLissio	Kinhead	Puskaric
Benham	Delloso	Kinsey	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Evans	Kirkland	Samuelson
Bradford	Fiedler	Krajewski	Sanchez
Briggs	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sankey
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Schlossberg
Bullock	Freeman	Maloney	Schweyer
Burgos	Galloway	McClinton	Shusterman
Cephas	Guzman	McNeill	Sims
Conklin	Hanbidge	Merski	Solomon
Covington	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Harris	Nelson, N.	Vitali
Curry	Herrin	O'Mara	Warren

Daley  
Davis, A.  
Davis, T.  
Dawkins

Howard  
Innamorato  
Isaacson  
Kenyatta

Otten  
Parker  
Pennycuick

Williams, D.  
Young  
Zabel

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Cox

Rabb

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

### ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Speaker now recognizes the gentleman, Representative Metcalfe, for a committee announcement.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Environmental Resources and Energy Committee will hold a voting meeting today in room 60, East Wing, at the close of session to consider a letter to IRRC (Independent Regulatory Review Commission). I announced this yesterday. This is a reminder for the members that are on the ERE Committee that we are going to be having this voting meeting in 60 East Wing today to consider this letter to IRRC disapproving of regulation 7-553.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Environmental Resources and Energy Committee will meet at the close of session today in room 60, East Wing.

And for the information of the members, there will be no further votes this afternoon.

Seeing no other committee announcements, the Speaker will now go to some routine housekeeping.

### SUBCOMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is in receipt of a supplemental subcommittee appointment report.

The following list was submitted:

Committee on Judiciary  
Subcommittee on Courts

Todd Stephens, Removed  
David Rowe, Appointed

### BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1693 and HB 2632 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 391;  
HB 1419;  
HB 2499;  
HB 2637;  
HB 2686;  
HB 2800;  
SB 64;  
SB 1237; and  
SB 1287.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that SB 708 and SB 1040 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that SB 708 and SB 1040 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED****BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1104, PN 1144**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 10, 1999 (P.L.491, No.45), known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act, in adoption and enforcement by municipalities, further providing for administration and enforcement.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1104 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1104 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1590, PN 1747**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sentencing generally.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1590 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1590 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION**

Mr. BENNINGHOFF called up **HR 107, PN 1615**, entitled:

A Resolution adopting a temporary Rule of the House of Representatives relating to guidance from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) minimizing the spread of COVID-19.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?



**RESOLUTION TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 107 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 107 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is in receipt of a motion made by the gentleman, Representative Greiner, who moves that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, September 14, 2022, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 2:40 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.