

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, MAY 25, 2021

SESSION OF 2021

205TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 30

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (BRYAN CUTLER)  
PRESIDING**

#### PRAYER

HON. DARISHA K. PARKER, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Good morning.

Lead us from death to life; from falsehood to truth; from despair to hope; from fear to trust. Lead us from hate to love; from war to peace. Let peace fill our hearts, our world, our universe.

Eternal God, Creator of the universe, there is no one but You; great and wonderful are Your works, wondrous in Your ways. Thank you for the many splendid varieties that You have given to us on a daily basis: purpose, freedom, creation, forgiveness, for each and every one of us; mutual respect, both sides of the aisle daily. I say this in front of all of my colleagues, with peace, love, mutual respect, and blessing. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, May 24, 2021, will be postponed until printed.

#### JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. However, the following 2021 Journals are in print and, without objection, will be approved:

Friday, February 5, 2021;  
Friday, February 26, 2021;  
Thursday, March 11, 2021;  
Monday, March 15, 2021; and  
Tuesday, March 16, 2021.

### COMMUNICATION FROM UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

The SPEAKER. The Speaker submits for the record the University of Pittsburgh's Federal Form 990 and the 25 highest paid nonofficers for the year-ended June 30, 2020.

(Communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

### HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 104** By Representatives SAPPEY, SCHMITT, SANCHEZ, KINSEY, HILL-EVANS, CIRESI, STAMBAUGH, SHUSTERMAN, FIEDLER, SAMUELSON, HOWARD and WARREN

A Resolution amending House Rule 45, further providing for powers and duties of standing committee and subcommittees.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 25, 2021.

**No. 105** By Representatives R. BROWN, STURLA, BROOKS, DOWLING, LABS, LEWIS DELROSSO, M. MACKENZIE, MERCURI, MIZGORSKI, PENNYCUICK, SCHMITT, SMITH, TOMLINSON, TWARDZIK, HERRIN, INNAMORATO and KRAJEWSKI

A Resolution amending House Rule 43, further providing for standing committees and subcommittees.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 25, 2021.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1479** By Representatives DAVANZO, BURNS, COX, HEFFLEY, HILL-EVANS, KINSEY, LONGIETTI, MILLARD, ROZZI, RYAN, SCHMITT, SONNEY and STRUZZI

An Act designating a portion of Pennsylvania Route 31 from Strikertown Road to Renaissance Lane in South Huntingdon Township, Westmoreland County, as the John Michael Beyrand Memorial Highway.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1480** By Representatives ROWE, EMRICK, GAYDOS, GILLEN, GREINER, GROVE, LEWIS, MILLARD, B. MILLER, MOUL, NEILSON, OWLETT, ROTHMAN, SANKEY, THOMAS, WARNER and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in personal income tax, providing for contributions to the General Fund.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1482** By Representatives CUTLER, RYAN, ROWE, BOBACK, STAMBAUGH, HAMM, ECKER, SCHMITT, COOK, STAATS, MILLARD, IRVIN, FEE, LEWIS, HICKERNELL, GLEIM, WHEELAND, OWLETT, CAUSER, MERCURI, HENNESSEY, METCALFE, KAUFFMAN, GAYDOS, SONNEY, GROVE, EMRICK, ROAE, MENTZER, TOPPER, SAYLOR, THOMAS, JAMES, MOUL, SMITH, KEEFER, B. MILLER, SANKEY, OBERLANDER, STRUZZI, ZIMMERMAN, SCHLEGEL CULVER, JOZWIAK, WARNER, DAVANZO, GILLEN, HERSHEY, ARMANINI, TOOHIL, FARRY and TOMLINSON

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, in preliminary provisions, establishing the Bureau of Election Audits; in the Secretary of the Commonwealth, providing for reports on implementation of elections; and, in electronic voting systems, repealing provisions relating to statistical sample.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1483** By Representatives CUTLER, BOBACK, HERSHEY, JAMES, METCALFE, ECKER, STAMBAUGH, GROVE, COOK, OWLETT, SONNEY, HICKERNELL, KERWIN, GLEIM, KAUFFMAN, ROWE, KEEFER, LEWIS, GILLEN, DAVANZO, CAUSER, TOPPER, STAATS, OBERLANDER, MERCURI, POLINCHOCK, HAMM, SCHMITT, THOMAS, FARRY, ROAE, GAYDOS, B. MILLER, FEE, JOZWIAK, WHEELAND, RYAN, WARNER, SMITH, MOUL and ARMANINI

An Act making an appropriation to the Auditor General for the purpose of establishing a bureau of election audits to perform audits.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1486** By Representatives O'NEAL, RYAN, HENNESSEY, PICKETT, KAUFFMAN, POLINCHOCK, HEFFLEY, McNEILL, R. BROWN, LEWIS, THOMAS, FREEMAN, SAINATO, STAATS, OWLETT, SCHLEGEL CULVER, PENNYCUICK, KULIK, T. DAVIS, HAMM, WEBSTER, SCHMITT, MILLARD, MOUL, DRISCOLL, NEILSON and ROWE

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of vehicles, providing for Blue Star Family plate.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1508** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1509** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1510** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1511** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1512** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund and from the PSERS Defined Contribution Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1513** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the State Employees' Retirement Fund and from the SERS Defined Contribution Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1514** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the Philadelphia Taxicab and Limousine Regulatory Fund and the Philadelphia Taxicab Medallion Fund to the Philadelphia Parking Authority for the fiscal year July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1515** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**No. 1516** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the restricted revenue accounts within the Fantasy Contest Fund and Video Gaming Fund to the Attorney General, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021; and making additional appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania State Police for the fiscal year July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2021, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 25, 2021.

**SENATE MESSAGE****RECESS RESOLUTION  
FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate,  
May 25, 2021

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the Senate recesses this week, it reconvene the week of Monday, June 7, 2021, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the House of Representatives recesses this week, it reconvene the week of Monday, June 7, 2021, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?  
Resolution was concurred in.  
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**SELECT COMMITTEE APPOINTED**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker wishes to announce the appointment of a select committee.

The following communication was read:

Office of the Speaker  
House of Representatives

**APPOINTMENT OF A SELECT COMMITTEE**

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to House Resolution 91, Printer's No. 1344, and consistent with Rule 5 of the House of Representatives, I do hereby appoint the following members to the Select Committee on Publication of Constitutional Amendments to examine, investigate and make a complete study of the publication of constitutional amendments under Section 1 of Article XI of the Constitution of Pennsylvania.

Natalie Mihalek, Chair  
Jason Ortity  
Seth Grove  
Margo Davidson  
Mark Rozzi

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****HB 118, PN 86**

By Rep. RAPP

An Act providing for the final disposition of fetal remains; and imposing penalties.

**HEALTH.****HB 610, PN 665**

By Rep. BROWN

An Act amending the act of May 19, 1995 (P.L.33, No.3), known as the Economic Development Agency, Fiduciary and Lender Environmental Liability Protection Act, further providing for definitions.

**URBAN AFFAIRS.****HB 730, PN 1614 (Amended)**

By Rep. BROWN

An Act providing for the establishment of first-time home buyer savings accounts for first-time home buyers in this Commonwealth.

**URBAN AFFAIRS.****HB 904, PN 891**

By Rep. RAPP

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in abortion, further providing for legislative intent, providing for fetal heartbeat examination, further providing for medical consultation and judgment, for abortion on unborn child of 24 or more weeks gestational age and for reporting.

**HEALTH.****HB 1500, PN 1563**

By Rep. RAPP

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in abortion, further providing for definitions, for medical consultation and judgment and for reporting.

**HEALTH.**

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Turning to visitor recognition. Located in the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome the Bishop Guilfoyle Girls Basketball Team from Altoona. They are the PIAA Class A1 State Champions. This is the team's seventh State title since 1984. The entire House of Representatives joins Representatives Schmitt and Rigby in congratulating you on your outstanding season.

Also located in the gallery, the Chair is pleased to welcome the Nativity Hilltoppers Boys Basketball Team. They are the PIAA Class A Boys State Champions. This is the team's first State title. Congratulations on behalf of the House of Representatives and Representative Joe Kerwin, who is extremely proud of you for bringing this title home to Schuylkill County.

Turning to committee and caucus announcements.

### HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Farry, for a committee announcement.

Mr. FARRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Human Services Committee will meet immediately at the break, in 515 Irvis. Human Services, immediately at the break, 515 Irvis.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Human Services Committee will meet immediately at the break, in 515 Irvis.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Saylor, for a committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately at the recess in the majority caucus room.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately at the recess in the majority caucus room.

Before going to caucus announcements, for the information of the members: We will do a roll-call vote when we return to the floor, as there are still some committees meeting and currently doing committee business.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. With that, we will turn to caucus announcements and recognize the gentleman, Representative Dunbar.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 1 o'clock in the majority caucus room, as well as virtually. We will be prepared to be back on the floor at 2 o'clock. So that is Republicans caucus at 1 o'clock in the majority caucus room.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Dan Miller, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 1 o'clock virtually, absent some leadership staff, which will be in person; but otherwise, virtually at 1 o'clock.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House will stand in recess until 2 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. We will turn to leaves of absence.

The Chair now recognizes the majority whip, who indicates that there are none. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who indicates that there are none. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—201

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longietti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappery
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causar	Harris	Merski	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi

Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufer	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinthead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycuik	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler, Speaker
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and one members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

### BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HB 231, PN 195** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in minors, further providing for the offense of unlawful contact with minor.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 246, PN 214** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in human trafficking, further providing for evidence and defenses to human trafficking.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 406, PN 1372** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp.Sess., 1937 P.L.2897, No.1), known as the Unemployment Compensation Law, in compensation, further providing for qualifications required to secure compensation.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 580, PN 543** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in depositions and witnesses, further providing for expert testimony in certain criminal proceedings.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 753, PN 741** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in offenses against the family, further providing for the offense of dealing in infant children.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 843, PN 827** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child custody, further providing for consideration of criminal conviction.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1096, PN 1128** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in human trafficking, further providing for civil causes of action.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1130, PN 1178** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sexual offenses and tier system.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1144, PN 1199** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act relating to conventional wells and the development of oil, gas and coal; imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Protection; and providing for preliminary provisions, for general requirements, for underground gas storage, for enforcement and remedies, for related funds, parties and activities and for miscellaneous provisions.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1147, PN 1202** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sexual offender treatment.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1154, PN 1421** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in licenses and regulations and liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for sales by liquor licensees and restrictions and repealing provisions related to prepared beverages and mixed drinks for off-premises consumption during disaster emergency.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 117, PN 85** By Rep. GROVE

An Act providing for auditor qualifications for the Department of the Auditor General, for new department employees, for current department employees, for employee certification, for forensic audits, for fraud audits and for committee standards.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 404, PN 378** By Rep. FARRY

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in children and youth, providing for Statewide children's mental health ombudsman.

HUMAN SERVICES.

**HB 409, PN 382** By Rep. FARRY

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in mental health: departmental powers and duties, commissioner of mental health, interstate compact, reciprocal agreements and research foundation, providing for mental health care services clearinghouse; and making an editorial change.

HUMAN SERVICES.

**HB 488, PN 451** By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in offenses against the family, further providing for the offense of endangering welfare of children.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 659, PN 1536** By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, repealing provisions relating to firearms not to be carried without a license, providing for license not required, repealing provisions relating to carrying firearms on public streets or public property in Philadelphia, providing for sportsman's firearm permit, further providing for licenses and repealing provisions relating to proof of license and exception.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 979, PN 991** By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for limitation on the regulation of firearms and ammunition; and, in preemptions, providing for regulation of firearms and ammunition.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 1095, PN 1127** By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for life imprisonment for homicide.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 1420, PN 1527**

By Rep. FARRY

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in general powers and duties of the Department of Public Welfare, providing for COVID-19 mental health public awareness campaign.

HUMAN SERVICES.

**SB 106, PN 71**

By Rep. GROVE

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, further providing for Lieutenant Governor.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**SB 108, PN 73**

By Rep. FARRY

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, further providing for medical assistance payments for institutional care.

HUMAN SERVICES.

**RESOLUTION REPORTED  
FROM COMMITTEE**

**HR 106, PN 1597**

By Rep. GROVE

A Concurrent Resolution extending in part and terminating in part the March 6, 2020, proclamation of disaster emergency, as amended and renewed, issued under the hand and seal of the Governor, Thomas Westerman Wolf.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 107** By Representative CUTLER

A Resolution adopting a temporary Rule of the House of Representatives relating to guidance from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) minimizing the spread of COVID-19.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 25, 2021.

**CALENDAR**

**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 490, PN 453**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 30 (Fish) and 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in fishing licenses, providing for active duty military fishing reciprocity and for disabled veteran annual fishing license reciprocity; and, in hunting and furtaking licenses, providing for active duty military hunting reciprocity and for disabled veteran annual hunting license reciprocity.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1122, PN 1170**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in hunting and furtaking licenses, further providing for resident license and fee exemptions and for license costs and fees.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 221, PN 188**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of January 19, 1968 (1967 P.L.992, No.442), entitled "An act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the local government units thereof to preserve, acquire or hold land for open space uses," further providing for local taxing options.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1169, PN 1449**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in consolidated county assessment, further providing for definitions, for changes in assessed valuation and for abstracts of building and demolition permits to be forwarded to the county assessment office.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1184, PN 1450**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 8 (Boroughs and Incorporated Towns) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in associations and organizations, further providing for associations and organizations for mayors; in elections of officers, further providing for eligibility; in powers, duties and rights of appointed officers and employees, further providing for appointments and incompatible offices and for police serving under cooperative agreement or contract; in corporate powers, further providing for specific powers; in taxation and finance, further providing for investment of funds; providing for solid waste collection and disposition; and, in ordinances, further providing for ordinances and resolutions and for publication.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MOUL** offered the following amendment No. **A00948**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "Statutes," in creation and alteration, further providing for definitions, for contiguous areas, for applications, for borough advisory

committee, for adjustment of indebtedness, for judicial adjustment, for judicial adjustment award proceedings, for compensation, expenses and costs, for territory located in multiple counties and for bond issues and taxation;  
Amend Bill, page 1, lines 16 through 18, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 200 of Title 8 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, amended June 5, 2020 (P.L.211, No.28), is amended to read:

§ 200. Definitions.

The following words and phrases when used in this subchapter shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"Committee." A borough advisory committee.

"Freeholder." A person enjoying a continuous right of ownership and possession of real property for an indeterminate period by fee simple or life estate.

["Township." A township of the first or second class or any home rule township.]

"Municipality." A city, incorporated town, township, home rule municipality, optional plan municipality, optional charter municipality or similar general purpose unit of government which may be created or authorized by statute.

Section 2. Sections 201, 202, 202.1 and 213 of Title 8 are amended to read:

§ 201. Contiguous areas.

A court of common pleas may incorporate as a borough any contiguous area from one or more [townships] municipalities within the court's jurisdiction having a population of at least 500 residents. After having been incorporated as a borough, the area shall be a body corporate and politic and shall have the name decreed by the court.

§ 202. Applications.

(a) Petition.—The following shall apply:

(1) The application for incorporation shall be by a petition signed by a majority of the freeholders residing within the limits of the proposed borough and by the freeholders of a majority of the territory within the limits of the proposed borough, if all parts of the proposed borough are in the same [township] municipality.

(2) If portions of the proposed borough are in different [townships] municipalities, the petition shall be signed by a majority of the freeholders residing in each of the separate portions and by the freeholders of a majority of the territory in each of the separate portions. The following shall apply:

(i) The signatures must be secured within three months immediately preceding the presentation of the signatures to the court.

(ii) The petition shall be subscribed by and sworn to by at least one of the signers.

(iii) The number of signers required to sign the petition shall be ascertained as of the date the petition was presented to court.

(b) (Reserved).

(c) (Reserved).

(d) (Reserved).

(e) Filing and notice.—Upon presentation to the court, a petition shall be filed with the clerk of court, and notice of the petition shall be published under section 109 (relating to publication of notices) once a week for four consecutive weeks immediately following the filing of the petition, during which time exceptions may be filed to the petition by any person interested. The notice shall state when and where the petition was filed and the time during which exceptions may be filed to the petition.

(f) Contents of petition.—The petition under subsection (e) shall indicate the name of the proposed borough with a particular description of the boundaries of the borough and be accompanied with a plot of the proposed borough. The following shall apply:

(1) If the boundaries of the proposed borough are not the

same as an existing [township] municipality, the description shall contain the courses and distances of the boundaries.

(2) If the boundaries of the proposed borough are the same as an existing [township] municipality, the description:

(i) may contain the courses and distances of the boundaries; and

(ii) shall refer to the name and location of the existing [township] municipality.

§ 202.1. Borough advisory committee.

(a) Establishment.—The court shall establish a borough advisory committee when a petition is received by the court for the creation of a borough. The following shall apply to committee members:

(1) Members shall be appointed by and shall serve at the pleasure of the court.

(2) The committee shall consist of the following:

(i) Two residents of the proposed borough.

(ii) Two residents from each of the existing [townships] municipalities recommended by the respective governing body of the [township] municipality who are not residing within the proposed borough.

(iii) One resident of the county not residing in any area under subparagraphs (i) and (ii) who shall serve as the chair of the committee.

(3) Members shall serve without salary. The court may entitle each member to reimbursement for the member's actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of the member's official duties.

(4) Members may consult with the director of the county planning commission who may advise the committee.

(b) Duties.—The committee shall, within 60 days of its creation, advise the court in relation to the establishment of the proposed borough. The committee shall render expert advice and findings of fact relating to the desirability of an incorporation, including advice as to:

(1) The proposed borough's ability to obtain or provide adequate and reasonable community support services such as police protection, fire protection and other appropriate community facility services.

(2) Whether the proposed borough constitutes a harmonious whole with common interests and needs that can best be served by a borough government. In examining this factor, the committee shall consider whether the proposed borough represents a distinct community with features different from those of the existing [township] municipality.

(3) The existing and potential commercial, residential and industrial development of the proposed borough.

(4) Whether the proposed borough would provide for land use regulations to meet the legitimate needs for all categories of residents or whether the plan is exclusionary or would result in economic segregation.

(5) The financial or tax effect on the proposed borough and existing [township] municipality.

§ 213. Adjustment of indebtedness.

(a) Property.—The following shall apply:

(1) After the election of a council under section 211 (relating to temporary preservation, organization and election of officers) when a borough is newly incorporated, the council and the governing body of the [township] municipality from which the borough was created shall make an equitable adjustment and apportionment of all the public real and personal property owned by the [township] municipality at the time of the incorporation of the borough.

(2) Except as provided under paragraph (3), the property under paragraph (1) shall include funds and indebtedness.

(3) If adjusting property and indebtedness under paragraph (1), streets, sewers and utilities may not be considered except to the extent that current and unpaid indebtedness was incurred for the construction and improvement of the property.

(b) Proportion.—In making the adjustment and apportionment

under subsection (a), the following shall apply:

(1) The borough shall be entitled to a division of the property and indebtedness in the same proportion that the assessed valuation of the taxable real estate included within the territorial limits of the newly incorporated borough bears to the assessed valuation of the taxable real estate in the entire [township] municipality immediately prior to the incorporation of the borough. The [township] municipality shall be entitled to the remainder of the property and indebtedness.

(2) If indebtedness was incurred by the [township] municipality for an improvement located wholly within the territorial limits of the newly incorporated borough, the indebtedness shall be assumed by the borough.

(3) If only part of the improvement is located within the newly incorporated borough, the part of the indebtedness representing the part of the improvement located within the borough shall be assumed by the borough, and the adjustment and apportionment of any remaining debt shall be retained by the [township] municipality.

(c) Form.—The adjustment and apportionment made under this section must meet all of the following:

(1) Be in writing and duly executed and acknowledged by the secretary or clerk of the [township] municipality and the secretary of the borough.

(2) Be filed in the office of the clerk of the court of common pleas of the county.

(3) Be filed as a copy with the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Section 3. Section 214 of Title 8, amended June 5, 2020 (P.L.211, No.28), is amended to read:

§ 214. Judicial adjustment.

(a) Petition.—If the governing bodies of the [township] municipality and the borough cannot make an amicable adjustment and apportionment of the property and indebtedness within six months after the government of the newly incorporated borough is established, the supervisors or commissioners of the [township] municipality or the council of the borough may present a petition to the court of common pleas requesting a judicial adjustment.

(b) Appointment.—After receiving a petition under subsection (a), the court shall appoint three disinterested commissioners who meet all of the following requirements:

(1) Are residents and taxpayers of the county.

(2) Are not residents of or own real estate in the [township] municipality or borough.

(c) Report.—The individuals appointed under subsection (b) shall hold a hearing and make a report to the court containing an adjustment and apportionment of all the property and the indebtedness between the [township] municipality and the borough. Notice shall be made to the [township] municipality and borough as provided by the court. The report shall state the amount due and payable from the borough or the [township] municipality to the other entity and the amount of indebtedness that shall be assumed by the borough or the [township] municipality.

Section 4. Sections 215, 217, 218, 219, 704(a) and (b), 801(a), 1104(c), 1122(a) and 1202(8) of Title 8 are amended to read:

(a) Notice.—The commissioners shall give the [township] municipality and the borough at least five days' notice of the filing of the report under section 214(c) (relating to judicial adjustment).

(b) Exceptions.—Unless exceptions are filed to the report within 30 days after the date of the filing, the report shall be confirmed by the court.

(c) Effect.—The following shall apply:

(1) Any sum awarded by the report to the [township] municipality or borough shall be a legal and valid claim in its favor against the borough or [township] municipality charged with the sum.

(2) Any real or personal property given to the [township]

municipality or borough shall become its respective property.

(3) Any claim or indebtedness charged against the borough or [township] municipality may be collected from the borough or the [township] municipality.

§ 217. Compensation, expenses and costs.

The commissioners under section 214(b) (relating to judicial adjustment) shall receive compensation and expenses for their services as provided by the court. The costs of the proceedings, including the compensation and expenses of the commissioners, shall be apportioned by the court between the borough and [township] municipality.

§ 218. Territory located in multiple counties.

If territory included within the limits of a newly incorporated borough is located in at least two counties, the court of common pleas of the county where the larger part of the territory of the borough is located shall have exclusive jurisdiction over the proceedings to adjust and apportion the indebtedness between the borough and [township] municipality.

§ 219. Bond issues and taxation.

In any proceeding to adjust and apportion indebtedness, the [township] municipality or the borough shall have power to issue and deliver to the borough or [township] municipality interest-bearing bonds in liquidation of the indebtedness ascertained, to be its proportionate share payable, if the bonds are acceptable to the borough or [township] municipality or [townships] municipalities entitled to receive the bonds. The court may make necessary orders for the collection and payment by the [township] municipality or [townships] municipalities or borough of the amount needed to pay its share of any indebtedness apportioned to it by special taxes to be collected in one year or by annual installments.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 24, by striking out "2" and inserting 5

Amend Bill, page 6, line 9, by striking out "3" and inserting 6

Amend Bill, page 9, line 23, by striking out "4" and inserting 7

Amend Bill, page 10, line 18, by striking out "5" and inserting 8

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Chairman Moul – who waives off.

Those in favor of the amendment will vote "aye"; those opposed—

I apologize, Mr. Chairman.

The Chair now recognizes the gentleman, Chairman Freeman, on the amendment.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have a tremendous amount of respect for the gentleman from Adams County. We served together as chairs of the Local Government Committee, and I know he is a very sincere and dedicated individual who does a tremendous job as a legislator in terms of his work on the committees that he chairs.

I, however, have some reservations about this proposed amendment. We are expanding what boroughs can be created out of, from the current law, which allows it to be created out of townships, to any municipality. So under this proposed amendment, you could see a borough being created out of a neighborhood in Philadelphia or a neighborhood in Allentown or conceivably bifurcating an existing borough. My concerns stem from the fact that this could lead to situations where a wealthy enclave of an existing municipality decides they do not want to be a part of another municipality, they feel they are paying the lion's share of taxes, they are going to create their own borough,

why should they help to support that rural township that is a farm community or that broader urban area which has its own array of issues.

At a time when we are looking to possibly – could we have some order, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will please suspend. The gentleman is speaking on amendment 948. He deserves to be heard, as he is raising some concerns. Members, please take your seats. Move any conversations off the back of the House.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You may proceed.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you.

At a time when I think we are all looking at ways in which we can consolidate municipal services to save tax dollars and to provide a proper economy and scale for those services, I think this moves us in the wrong direction. There may be some merit to this proposal, but I think it is inappropriate for us to put this on the Local Government Commission bill that is 1184. I would prefer that it be introduced as a separate bill, go through the committee process, be vetted, and give us an opportunity to examine the pros and cons of this expansion of language.

So for that reason, I am, reluctantly, urging a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Moul, on the amendment.

Mr. MOUL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment simply removes the word "township" and replaces it with the word "municipality" and it is to modernize the code to allow boroughs to be created from alternative forms of government. The Boroughs Association is fully on board with it. So I am going to recommend a vote in the affirmative.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—110

Armanini	Gleim	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Gregory	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Grove	Mercuri	Saylor
Bonner	Hamm	Metcalfe	Schemel
Borowicz	Heffley	Metzgar	Schmitt
Brooks	Helm	Mihalek	Schroeder
Brown, R.	Hennessey	Millard	Silvis
Causer	Hershey	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Hickernell	Mizgorski	Sonney

Cox	Irvin	Moul	Staats
Culver	James	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jones	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kail	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kaufert	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Kauffman	Owlett	Toohil
Dowling	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Kerwin	Pennycuick	Twardzik
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Knowles	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Labs	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	
Gillen	Maloney	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker

NAYS—91

Benham	Driscoll	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lawrence	Samuelson
Bullock	Freeman	Lee	Sanchez
Burgos	Gainey	Longiotti	Sappey
Burns	Galloway	Madden	Schlossberg
Carroll	Guenst	Malagari	Schweyer
Cephas	Guzman	Markosek	Shusterman
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Matzie	Sims
Conklin	Harkins	McClinton	Snyder
Cruz	Harris	McNeill	Solomon
Daley	Herrin	Merski	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Vitali
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullery	Warren
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Mullins	Webster
Dawkins	Isaacson	Neilson	Wheatley
Deasy	Kenyatta	Nelson, N.	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Kim	O'Mara	Young
Delloso	Kinkead	Otten	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey	Parker	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1213, PN 1273**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions relating to home rule and optional plan government, further providing for definitions, providing for appointment of government study commission in distressed municipality and further providing for first meeting of commission, for function and duty of commission, for hearings and public forums, for report of findings and recommendations, for

limitation on enactment of ordinance or filing of petition and for limitation on municipal powers.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 141, PN 107**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, in subdivision and land development, providing for nonbuilding lots.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A00912**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by striking out "allowing for" and inserting

requiring the municipality to, in writing, approve

Amend Bill, page 2, line 9, by striking out "presented with" and inserting

the owner presents to the municipality

Amend Bill, page 2, line 17, by inserting after "members."

The owner of the nonbuilding lots shall submit the written approval of the municipality to the recorder of deeds for filing.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by inserting after "shall"  
submit written notice to the municipality and

Amend Bill, page 2, line 23, by inserting after "development."  
The owner shall submit the written notice to the recorder of deeds for filing.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw amendment 912.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Any other amendments he wishes to withdraw?

Mr. FREEMAN. No. We can proceed with the others.

The SPEAKER. Very good. I thought I would try.

The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A00978**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "The creation of a nonbuilding lot shall be exempt" and inserting

By ordinance, a governing body of a municipality may exempt the creation of a nonbuilding lot

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

By way of background, why this amendment is being proposed, the current bill in chief, HB 141, is actually in its current form opposed by all the local government associations, by the Department of Environmental Protection, and by the Pennsylvania Chapter of the American Planning Association. They have raised concerns regarding the fact that this would mandate the acceptance of a DEP waiver, which is currently optional on local governments; skirt local regulation by bypassing the normal subdivision and land development ordinance; and also could lead potential future purchasers of the land unaware of the changes on the lot.

Amendment 978 would provide that the language that the gentleman from Berks County has provided for in 141 would be optional. Currently it would exempt local governments from exercising their SALDO (subdivision and land development ordinance) provisions. They would have to accept the waiver as it is handed to them under 141. Amendment 978 would make it optional.

Some would ask, what is the reason for making this optional? Is that not what the procedure is now? Well, currently – I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. If we could have some order, please. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will please suspend.

The Speaker is getting notice from some members they cannot hear. Members, please take your seats. Remove any conversations off the back of the House immediately. Sergeants at Arms will please clear the aisles.

The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the members' indulgence.

Again, since there was some noise in the chamber, 978 would make the provisions of this legislation optional on the part of a municipality. Specifically, the governing body of the municipality would have to choose to implement the exemption process provided for under the bill through the adoption of an ordinance. Keep in mind that the current waiver process is not a mandate, it is an option. It is also not something which is currently in statute. The waiver process under the DEP waiver process is a departmental policy. So this legislation seeks to make that a mandate in statute.

The reason for my amendment to make it an optional provision is that if we are going down the path of making this a statute and we wish to leave that power in the hands of our local municipalities, it needs to also be provided for in statute and provided for as an option.

We did receive communication from the Department of Environmental Protection which noted that DEP sewage planning waiver and nonbuilding declaration and using it to be forced by local governments to approve it is contrary to what it was intended to be. Contrary to the language in the bill, 141, this waiver process is not actually in Act 537, the Sewage Facilities Act. It is a DEP policy, meant to grant flexibility and sewage planning requirements and allow individuals proposing strictly nonbuilding lots to document that there are no present or future – emphasis on the word "future" – needs for sewage facilities at a

given site or lot. Sewage facilities planning is required to demonstrate that lots that are created have a means of sewage treatment.

According to DEP, shifting local land use and subdivision decisions from the local government to the State Environmental Agency in this way is not appropriate and sets a troubling precedent. In developing this policy, DEP did not intend to require local governments to accept these waivers in all cases. They are merely an option for local governments to consider in addressed nonbuilding lots. Local governments may be aware of future land use and development plans and may not wish to subdivide lots pursuant to the DEP waiver.

I should also note that the Pennsylvania Chapter of the American Planning Association noted that – and I quote from their communication – they are "...concerned that the Bill would have a serious negative impact on municipalities, and potentially land-owners, by sidestepping the subdivision review/approval process. If non-building lots are exempt from this regulatory process..." at the local level "...such divisions of land would not be required to be recorded and assigned a parcel ID number for tracking and tax assessment purposes. Additionally, there is no requirement that the lots be surveyed..." under this bill "...and, thus, no basis for determining lot lines or whether lot area, lot width, access, and other requirements are satisfied."

So that is both the statement from the American Planning Association, Pennsylvania Chapter, and DEP's concerns. I really think that we should not be mandating this on our local municipalities. There are many members in this chamber, many members in this chamber who assert the right of local governments to be able to determine their own direction when it comes to land use issues, when it comes to determining a SALDO or a zoning code. This flies in the face of that. It robs them of their ability to control their own destinies, it robs them of the ability to make decisions in the best interest of their community, and make no mistake about it, this would provide a mandate to accept DEP's waiver regardless of the local circumstances on the ground.

I do not believe that the members of this House want to take that responsibility away from our local governments. I believe you want to leave that power in their hands. This amendment will afford that. I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. MALONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I oppose this amendment. This amendment actually puts us back in time, puts us back to why we are actually here today, where a landowner and a family is denied the right to keep part of their farm and their family heritage.

So I oppose this amendment, and quite frankly, many of the things that were spoken about by the previous speaker are not necessarily accurate. The DEP was in conversation with me over this. The speaker previously amended this bill last session, and we compromised on that language. So for a landowner to be denied the use of a tool because you think some local government agency, to your point, thinks they know best for your family heritage farm, I oppose this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Seeing no one else seeking recognition, we will go to the gentleman, Representative Freeman, for the second time.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To speak to the gentleman's point, we did make some improvements to the bill last session in committee and I am grateful for his willingness to embrace those changes. However, the opposition that has arisen to this bill from all the local government associations, and yes, in fact, the DEP, they are emphatically opposed to this legislation in its current form. It is very real. I appreciate his concerns of his local matter at home, but I really feel that in this situation we need to make this an optional provision that leaves that decision in the hands of the local elected officials and their local land use entities dealing with SALDO and zoning. That is something in place. We should not be dictating a State mandate and dictating a State DEP policy, which, by the way, could be subject to change at any time within the department, and the local municipality would have to conform with that change with having no say in the matter whatsoever.

I urge a "yes" vote on amendment 978.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—90

Benham	Driscoll	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Samuelson
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Sanchez
Burgos	Gainey	Madden	Sappey
Burns	Galloway	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Guenst	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Guzman	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Hanbidge	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Harkins	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harris	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Herrin	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Dawkins	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Wheatley
Deasy	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Kim	Otten	Young
Delloso	Kinkead	Parker	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey		

#### NAYS—111

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Saylor
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalfe	Schemel
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schmitt
Brooks	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schroeder
Brown, R.	Hershey	Millard	Silvis
Causar	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Irvin	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cox	James	Moul	Staats
Culver	Jones	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufner	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Toohil
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuick	Twardzik
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	
Gillen	Maloney	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gleim			

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01009**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 2 through 6, by striking out "The  
creation of a" in line 2, all of lines 3 through 5 and "(b)" in line 6

Amend Bill, page 2, line 24, by striking out "(c)" and inserting  
(b)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1009 would limit the exemption provided for under the bill for nonbuilding lots to sewage planning regulations, thereby preserving the municipality's authority to assure that such lots will conform to applicable zoning and subdivision requirements and that they are properly recorded. So we would in this amendment be acknowledging that the waiver provisions would be endorsed through the regulation

process of the sewage management, but in all other matters dealing with SALDO and zoning, the municipality would still be able to address, which is a very important consideration to ensure that surveys are taking place, that there is proper recording of deeds, proper legalities that are needed to ascertain the proper property lines and ownership.

I offer this amendment in the spirit of compromise. This was drafted with the recommendations of the Pennsylvania American Planning Association. I think it is a nice compromise from my proposal that was offered a few minutes ago. So we require addressing of nonbuilding lots in the local subdivision and land development ordinance, but we keep control, local control, to ensure that all the other procedures that need to be vetted and taken care of in terms of surveys, recording of deeds, and other information can proceed under an existing SALDO.

It would also preserve the municipality's authority to ensure that each such lot will conform to their applicable zoning and subdivision requirements and are properly recorded. The members of the PA Chapter of the American Planning Association maintain that as presently drafted, the bill would exempt such lots completely from the subdivision regulatory process, and therefore, such divisions of land would not be required to be recorded and assigned a parcel ID number for tracking and tax assessment purposes. Additionally, there is no requirement that the lots be surveyed and, thus, no basis for determining lot lines or whether lot area with access and other requirements are satisfied. The amendment would permit the municipality to ensure that such concerns are addressed.

So with this amendment, we would have a means of accepting the gentleman from Berks County's proposal for accepting the waiver, but all other SALDO considerations would be in the hands of our local government. They would ensure, to make sure, that things are done properly and that, as a result, we do not run into problems down the road.

Finally, in terms of this proposed amendment, the Pennsylvania Municipal League noted that amendment 1009 would remedy these issues by removing the language that exempts a nonbuilding lot from regulation under a subdivision and land development plan. This would preserve a municipality's authority to ensure all properties conform to applicable subdivision and land use requirements, while also ensuring proper recording of the change. Ultimately, this protects all parties, including municipalities.

This is a basic commonsense proposal. It makes sure that all the elements of SALDO that need to be there will, in fact, be there, while addressing the gentleman from Berks County's concerns. I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. MALONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I oppose this amendment. It is just simply unnecessary. We already have the provisions and the tools in place to proceed. And so for those reasons and others, I ask for a negative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Freeman, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In all due respect to the previous speaker, if we embrace this bill without incorporating this amendment into the bill, we are, in essence, undercutting the ability of local municipal governments

to be able to ensure an orderly process through their subdivision and land development ordinance. This would still allow the gentleman to pursue his concern of the waiver becoming applicable. But all other provisions of the SALDO would have to be in place, and that is what this amendment is guaranteeing.

I think it is a wiser course for all of us, as policymakers, to make sure we do not undercut our local governments as they simply seek to put in place and to enact and to follow through on the kind of subdivision and land development ordinances that are necessary to deal with the orderly subdivision of lots and of planning and development. I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—90

Benham	Driscoll	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Samuelson
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Sanchez
Burgos	Gainey	Madden	Sappay
Burns	Galloway	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Guenst	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Guzman	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Hanbidge	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Harkins	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harris	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Herrin	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Dawkins	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Wheatley
Deasy	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Kim	Otten	Young
Delloso	Kinhead	Parker	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey		

#### NAYS—111

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Saylor
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalfe	Schemel
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schmitt
Brooks	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schroeder
Brown, R.	Hershey	Millard	Silvis
Causer	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Irvin	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cox	James	Moul	Staats

Culver	Jones	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufer	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Toohil
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuik	Twardzik
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	
Gillen	Maloney	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gleim			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01054**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 27, by inserting after "land"  
used for the purposes of agriculture or silviculture  
Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by inserting after "building"  
, or other use of the parcel of land that does  
Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by striking out "producing" and  
inserting  
produce

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would provide a definition regarding nonbuilding lots to be contained in the bill to mean that a parcel of land used for the purposes of agriculture or silviculture – which is forestry – that does not contain any structure or building that produces sewage. Presently the definition references any land that does not contain a structure or building that produces sewage. So in essence, what this amendment would do by narrowing the scope of these nonbuilding lots to agricultural lots and forestry lots, we would ensure that they would be, in essence, a way to protect the agricultural and the forestry elements that are on those lots, rather than applying them to all potential nonbuilding lots.

I would urge a "yes" vote. I think from what the gentleman, who is the prime sponsor, has said in the past, he is concerned about preserving farmland in his township and this would help to facilitate that by narrowing the scope. So I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. MALONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also oppose this amendment. To restrict to certain specifics is actually one of the reasons why the provisions for this waiver have been utilized, and your land use could change by virtue of just time going by and that would restrict the family from utilizing this provision down the road, and for those reasons I say please vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—90

Benham	Driscoll	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Samuelson
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Sanchez
Burgos	Gainey	Madden	Sappay
Burns	Galloway	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Guenst	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Guzman	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Hanbidge	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Harkins	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harris	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Herrin	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Dawkins	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Wheatley
Deasy	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Kim	Otten	Young
Delloso	Kinhead	Parker	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey		

NAYS—111

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Saylor
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalfe	Schemel
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schmitt
Brooks	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schroeder
Brown, R.	Hershey	Millard	Silvis
Causar	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Irvin	Mizgorski	Sonney

Cox	James	Moul	Staats
Culver	Jones	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufer	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Toohil
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuik	Twardzik
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	
Gillen	Maloney	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gleim			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment No. **A01055**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by inserting after "for"  
the municipality to, in writing, approve

Amend Bill, page 2, line 9, by striking out "presented with" and inserting

the owner presents to the municipality

Amend Bill, page 2, line 17, by inserting after "members."

The owner of the nonbuilding lots shall submit the written approval of the municipality to the recorder of deeds for filing.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by inserting after "shall"  
submit written notice to the municipality and

Amend Bill, page 2, line 23, by inserting after "development."  
The owner shall submit the written notice to the recorder of deeds for filing.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. **FREEMAN**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1055 stipulates that municipal approval for the creation of a nonbuilding lot be in writing and submitted by the property owner to the recorder of deeds. It would also require the owner of a property granted such a waiver who subsequently decides to build upon the property to provide written notice of such to the municipality and recorder of deeds. Although the DEP process for the waiver currently makes reference to notification in regard to the deed, it is not as clear as it could be; more importantly, keep in mind, that if we are

embracing a waiver process which is not in statute law, the department would have the ability to change the conditions of that waiver process at any time. By requiring that the waiver be submitted and filed by the recorder of deeds with the deed, we ensure that any future owner who would look at the deed would know what they are getting into in terms of the restrictions, what steps they would have to go to in terms of any future development down the road.

I believe this is a commonsense protection to ensure that we have a proper understanding of how the lot is classified and that future purchasers would be fully aware, based upon the filing with the recorder of deeds. I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. **MALONEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also oppose this amendment. It is actually unnecessary. The municipality will sign off on this waiver, and the waiver follows the deed and the lot. So it is really an unnecessary amendment, so I ask for a negative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. **OBERLANDER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. **HARRIS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—90

Benham	Driscoll	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Evans	Kosierowski	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Krajewski	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Brown, A.	Frankel	Lee	Samuelson
Bullock	Freeman	Longietti	Sanchez
Burgos	Gainey	Madden	Sappey
Burns	Galloway	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Guenst	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Guzman	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Hanbidge	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Harkins	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harris	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Herrin	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Dawkins	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Wheatley
Deasy	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Kim	Otten	Young
Delloso	Kinhead	Parker	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey		

NAYS—111

Armanini	Gregory	Masser	Rowe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Hamm	Mercuri	Saylor
Bonner	Heffley	Metcalfe	Schemel
Borowicz	Helm	Metzgar	Schmitt
Brooks	Hennessey	Mihalek	Schroeder
Brown, R.	Hershey	Millard	Silvis
Causar	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Smith
Cook	Irvin	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cox	James	Moul	Staats
Culver	Jones	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Kail	O'Neal	Struzzi
Delozier	Kaufar	Oberlander	Thomas
DelRosso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Tomlinson
Diamond	Keefer	Owlett	Toohil
Dowling	Kerwin	Peifer	Topper
Dunbar	Klunk	Pennycuick	Twardzik
Ecker	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Labs	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	White
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Williams, C.
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	
Gillen	Maloney	Roae	Cutler,
Gillespie	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gleim			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **FREEMAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01056**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "The creation of a nonbuilding lot shall be exempt" and inserting

By ordinance, a governing body of a municipality may exempt the creation of a nonbuilding lot

Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by inserting after "for"  
the municipality to, in writing, approve

Amend Bill, page 2, line 9, by striking out "presented with" and inserting

the owner presents to the municipality

Amend Bill, page 2, line 17, by inserting after "members."

The owner of the nonbuilding lots shall submit the written approval of the municipality to the recorder of deeds for filing.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by inserting after "shall"  
submit written notice to the municipality and

Amend Bill, page 2, line 23, by inserting after "development."

The owner shall submit the written notice to the recorder of deeds for filing.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. And on that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Freeman.

Mr. **FREEMAN**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be withdrawing this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 996**, **PN 1414**, entitled:

An Act providing for access to long-term care facilities for members of the clergy, for additional safety requirements for residents, for suspension of access for members of the clergy and for personal protective equipment for members of the clergy.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **DAVANZO** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01024**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 11, by striking out the comma after "facility" where it occurs the second time and inserting  
or

Amend Bill, page 2, line 12, by inserting a period after "home"  
Amend Bill, page 2, lines 12 through 14, by striking out " OR-an independent" in line 12 and all of lines 13 and 14

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Davanzo.

Mr. **DAVANZO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My bill was amended in committee to narrow the scope of the intent of folks in residential long-term care facilities for older adults. My amendment is simply a cleanup amendment that finishes the job. I urge my members to support this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. **HARRIS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. **OBERLANDER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longietti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappey
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causar	Harris	Merski	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi
Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufner	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinhead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycuik	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	Speaker
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **DAWKINS** offered the following amendment No. **A01087**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 24, by inserting after "VISITORS."  
In imposing limitations under this section, a long-term care facility shall consider the observance of Maghrib.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Dawkins. The gentleman indicates he will withdraw. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A**

**BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1144**, **PN 1199**, entitled:

An Act relating to conventional wells and the development of oil, gas and coal; imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Protection; and providing for preliminary provisions, for general requirements, for underground gas storage, for enforcement and remedies, for related funds, parties and activities and for miscellaneous provisions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Vitali, on final passage.

Mr. **VITALI**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I urge a "no" vote on HB 1144. This bill would create a separate set of laws regulating the conventional gas industry as opposed to the unconventional gas industry, and although the Department of Environmental Protection and others are supportive of this distinction and recognize the difference between the conventional industry and the unconventional industry, this bill is not protective of public health and the environment.

The conventional industry, although smaller, is very impactful. The conventional industry involves fracking. The conventional industry uses the same chemicals for fracking as the unconventional industry. And in fact, there are more violations

caused by the conventional industry than the unconventional, probably by a factor of 3 to 4. So this is an industry that, although different from the larger out-of-State unconventional industry, needs to be regulated.

I had mentioned yesterday in my remarks the DEP's opposition, and I will not totally rehash that, but this bill would roll back protection of water supplies. This bill would allow more spills to go unreported. This bill would allow untreated brine, which contains radioactive content, to be used as a dust suppressant. That is why the DEP opposes this.

This is also opposed by other groups, including the Environmental Defense Fund and the Pennsylvania Environmental Council, who state in their recent letter, "...this legislation includes dramatic reductions to health and environmental protections that are unwarranted and unsafe." They also point out that this bill in addition to objections previously stated, it preserves the "...woefully inadequate bonding and other financial assurance requirements...."

Mr. Speaker, it is of note that the Sierra Club, the Conservation Voters, and Clean Water Action oppose this bill and note that it will be graded in the environmental scorecard they put out.

I understand that some members on the other side of the aisle are not overly impressed with these environmental groups, but I know many of you are hunters and sportsmen, so I do want to point out the opposition of Trout Unlimited, and this is what Trout Unlimited said in its recent e-mail: "HB 1144 would take steps backward in current protection of environmental resources and create new risks to both the environment and public health." And it makes the point for you fishermen that, and I am quoting, "...many conventional gas well operations are co-located in watersheds where imperiled native brook trout..." reside. And they also underscore the point that "...the majority of on-the-ground violations are for conventional operations, not unconventional...."

So the bottom line here is, this bill was or a version of this was vetoed by the Governor in the form of SB 790 last term, and if it moves to the Governor's desk, I would imagine the same thing would happen. The important point here is the DEP, the Governor is willing to negotiate. And as this – you know, I have no doubt the outcome of today's vote, but as this moves forward, I would urge the maker of the bill and Senators to engage in that negotiation process. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Smith, on final passage. You are in order and may proceed, sir.

Mr. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a resident of the gas and oil well region of our State, I have seen firsthand the impact of the regulations imposed on the unconventional wells and how they affect the conventional wells. Conventional wells average about 1200 feet in depth versus unconventional wells that are 5,000 to 6,000 feet deep. There is a serious need for separate regulations for this industry.

The impact on the property where a conventional well is located is so much less than the impact on the unconventional well. The Harrisburg red tape of the current regulations has demonstrated a misunderstanding about the difference between conventional shallow well drilling that occurs throughout our northwest region and drilling in the unconventional Marcellus region. Treating them the same from a regulatory standpoint is unnecessary and is causing dire consequences for our local producers and the thousands of people they employ. This

homegrown industry has been safely operating in northwestern Pennsylvania for more than 160 years, and I would put the quality of our environment up against any other region in our State.

Requiring separate regulations is a necessary first step to ensuring the future survival of our local conventional oil and gas industry. I will be a "yes" vote on HB 1144 and I ask for you to consider the same.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Frankel, on final passage.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to ask members to reject HB 1144, and I am going to talk about kind of a narrow aspect about it, as opposed to my colleague who did a very good job, the chair of the Environmental Resources Committee from Delaware County.

But I rise today to ask for you to vote "no." This bill is an attempt to reenact our outdated 1984 oil and gas law and would cause great harm to the Pennsylvania environment. It would put Pennsylvania on the map, but not for any reason that we can feel good about. The measure has the weakest, the weakest setback requirements for homes and streams of any proposal anywhere in the country that has been debated in this last decade. There have been dozens of drilling bills affecting both conventional and unconventional wells introduced throughout the nation in recent years by both Republicans and Democrats. Our research turned up legislation on conventional drilling that required 500-foot setbacks, 800-foot setbacks, and even 1,000-foot setbacks. But none, none that we could find went anywhere as low as 200- and 100-foot setbacks that we are voting on today. There is a reason for that.

Despite the daunting political power wielded by the fossil fuels industry, legislators in Wyoming and Georgia and the rest of the nation are choosing to strengthen setback requirements for waterways and for where residents live and work. It is because the threat to our environment is dire and imminent, and the consequences will unnecessarily expose Pennsylvanians to poor health outcomes, explosions, leaks, spills, and poorer air quality. Legislators from coast to coast have grappled with the question of setback requirements, and they have all seemingly agreed that nobody wants their name on a bill that would set the limits this low. It is just too risky, too unsafe for people living in their States and for their waterways.

The setback issue is not the only problem with this bill. There are many and you will probably be hearing some more about them. But these extraordinarily weak setback rules are reason enough to vote against it.

That is what I am asking my colleagues to do today, to vote against HB 1144. Do not put Pennsylvania on the map for prioritizing the fossil fuel industry over our residents.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Herrin, on final passage.

Ms. HERRIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While this bill is for conventional oil and gas drillers, as opposed to unconventional drillers or hydraulic fracturing drillers, it is essentially a giveaway to the conventional drilling industry. While there can be appreciable differences between conventional and unconventional operations and while it can make sense to regulate them differently, this bill, again, is nothing more than a giveaway to the conventional drillers. It does not

adjust for any real, true differences and it does not account for the scale or the type of operation. In fact, conventional wells can be drilled horizontally and fracked at much shallower formations that are closer to our groundwater supplies than the fracking operations.

Instead, this bill includes dramatic reductions to health and environmental protections and it shifts the costs and the risks of health problems and environmental degradation to the people of the Commonwealth. It proposes to unravel a laundry list of fundamental environmental and health protections that are now in place. It weakens protections that put our most treasured forests and game lands and scenic rivers and our drinking water at risk. It sends the drillers' potentially toxic wastewater to public treatment systems that do not have the ability to deal with it, so it will just end up in our rivers and our streams and our drinking water. This is a particular problem for northwest Pennsylvania, where the Commonwealth's clean and beautiful rivers and streams are especially treasured. It preserves woefully inadequate bonding and other financial assurance requirements, which threaten to leave Pennsylvania on the hook for costly remediation work in the years to come. It utterly fails to guard against the frequency of conventional wells being transferred en masse to undercapitalized entities, and this is a real, growing concern in our State.

Lastly, this bill allows spreading of drilling wastewater, or brine, on our roadways to prevent and melt ice, with no way to know if the brine is safe. Just like with conventional fracking, the brine from these wells can be radioactive and it can contain toxic chemicals and it can contain heavy metals, and it will run off of our paved streets and roads with the rain and into our rivers, streams, and drinking water supplies. So this again puts us all at risk, and I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Eric Nelson.

Mr. E. NELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill because as the gentelady mentioned, this is not an absence of regulation, this is a customizing of regulation, and this is recognizing that conventional wells, which Pennsylvania has had in our earliest days, are still going to be regulated.

A key component of this legislation, Mr. Speaker, is the incentive to be able to continue to address the very real issue of orphan wells. In orphan wells in these regions there are hundreds and hundreds of wells that as an effort in the past for collecting scrap for war, that metal was removed from these well locations and wellheads. And so currently right now in this region we have both emissions that are going and the opportunity for these abandoned wells to be able to actually damage the water supply or other wells.

Mr. Speaker, if we rely on government or choose to let the DEP go about their capping of these wells, last year of record the DEP closed five wells, they capped five wells. The industry on its own capped 50 wells. So, Mr. Speaker, this bill creates an incentive. If our members are for clean air, if they are for less methane emissions, if they are for clean water, then they should be for this bill because there is an incentive for \$5,000 for every abandoned well that is capped. Now, this does not generate profit, Mr. Speaker. A well capping could cost anywhere between \$15,000 and \$100,000, so these conventional companies are still funding what is the appropriate capping of the wells but private industry can outpace government – 5 to 50, Mr. Speaker.

This bill does good things. This bill allows our conventional industry to have customized regulations which better meet their needs. There are two different worlds, and this bill appropriately allows measured controls on those wells that it affects.

So if you support clean air, clean water, let us look at the region. This region, Mr. Speaker, has some of the cleanest air and cleanest water in the State of Pennsylvania, and it does so because this type of oil and this process is done responsibly. This bill allowing customized regulation over a customized industry is the right thing for Pennsylvania. I urge a "yes" vote, and I thank the member, the maker of the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Otten, on final passage.

Ms. OTTEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise in opposition to HB 1144. HB 1144 violates Article I, section 27, of the Pennsylvania Constitution and endangers public health, natural resources, communities, and the environment.

Among several other egregious provisions, HB 1144 would allow the spreading of oil and gas wastewater to deice and suppress dust on dirt and gravel roads in Pennsylvania. While DEP could require a certificate of analysis for toxins, the testing does not include common oil and gas components like radium. While many of us in Pennsylvania rely on public water systems for our drinking water supply, there are still many Pennsylvanians who rely on drinking water sources from private wells, which continue to go unregulated and unregistered in Pennsylvania and they are more frequently located in areas that also rely on dirt and gravel roadways.

In a recent four-part report released by the Environmental Health News, striking levels of chemicals associated with oil and gas and their biomarkers were revealed to be found in the bodies of children who live close to oil and gas operations in Pennsylvania. Perhaps surprisingly, the highest readings were in people who lived further away from fracking wells but closer to conventional oil wells and production in PA. These markers are common biomarkers for exposure that can cause irritation of the skin, nose, and eye; central nervous system problems; and liver and kidney damage. They are also capable of causing cancer in living tissue. Pennsylvania drilling activities produce some of the most carcinogenic wastewater in the nation, and Pennsylvania has some of the weakest laws to protect people, communities, and the environment from its disposal.

HB 1144 would take Pennsylvania back three decades in environmental and public health protections. Despite some differences between conventional and unconventional drilling, many of the impacts are the same, including drinking water contamination and air pollution. While some people who benefit from socializing the cost of private industry to privatize profits would like us to believe otherwise, the impacts from conventional drilling and its waste are significant.

In 2018 a study published in Environmental Science & Technology by the American Chemical Society on "Environmental and Human Health Impacts of Spreading Oil and Gas Wastewater on Roads" found that "The potential toxicity of these wastewaters is a concern as lab experiments demonstrated that nearly all of the metals from these wastewaters leach from roads after rain events, likely reaching ground and surface water. Release of a known carcinogen (e.g., radium) from roads treated with O&G wastewaters has been largely ignored. In

Pennsylvania from 2008 to 2014, spreading O&G wastewater on roads released over 4 times more radium to the environment...than O&G wastewater treatment facilities and 200 times more radium than spill events. Currently, state-by-state regulations do not require radium analyses prior to treating roads with O&G wastewaters."

Article I, section 27, of the Pennsylvania Constitution states: "The people have a right to clean air, pure water, and to the preservation of the natural, scenic, historic and esthetic values of the environment." As legislators we have sworn an oath to uphold the Constitution and to protect the public health, welfare, and safety of all of our neighbors. Many of the most important decisions that affect the public health of Pennsylvanians happen right here in this room. I ask you to join me in voting "no" on HB 1144 and "yes" to the constitutional rights of Pennsylvania people and communities.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Rapp, on final passage.

Ms. RAPP. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

One point four billion dollars, that is what this industry contributes to the State of Pennsylvania on a yearly basis – \$1.4 billion. The Representative from McKean County represents the oldest refinery in the world that refines, that has been refining in a continuous, in the continuing years – the American Refining Group. It has been refining Pennsylvania crude for generations.

This bill is not about deregulation, it is about regulations that reflect a gas and oil industry far different than the Marcellus Shale industry. The conventional well industry is a generational business. They have been operating since 1859 since the first oil well was drilled in Titusville by Colonel Drake. These families care about the environment. They have children and grandchildren. They live and play in the same area where their wells are. The oil from these wells have lubricated the machines and ships that won World War I, World War II, and every war since. The conventional oil itself is very thin, almost watery in texture. It is a paraffin-based, wax-based, and biodegradable oil. Well over 6,000 products are made from this industry: toothbrushes; artificial hearts; nylon; Orlon; Dacron; Dynel; parachutes; carpets; AstroTurf; cosmetics; fingernail polish; medicines, any prescription bottle you have that is plastic is a product of the oil industry; a myriad of medical apparatus found in every doctor's office, the emergency room, the operating room, and every room in the hospital that is hard plastic is made from fossil fuels.

This bill provides our operators who have the tools and knowledge for plugging wells and a small payment of \$5,000 per well, per plugging these orphan wells, even though our operators have spent thousands of dollars more. Remember I said the first well was drilled in 1859. These are wells, these orphan wells that have been around for over 100 years and ownership to these wells cannot always be traced.

In western and northwest PA we enjoy clean air and clean water, to the extent we do not even have to have emissions testing like Delaware County and Allegheny County. Despite what the gentleman said from Delaware County, we have the most and the best trout streams in Pennsylvania. And I have never heard the gentleman from Delaware County or Allegheny County brag about their trout streams, their clean water, or having any hunters or trappers regarding their counties and people flocking to their counties for fishing and hunting like they do in the west and the

northwest. We also enjoy a 500,000-acre national forest that thrives alongside our conventional wells because in the northwest and the west we do care about our environment and taking care of that forest and taking care of our streams – the best trout streams in Pennsylvania.

We are not asking for zero regulations, we are asking for fair regulations for a different industry than the Marcellus Shale. Our area has been one of the makers for a resource that has provided many products for citizens in Pennsylvania and across the nation. While other parts of the State have been the takers and have and still do enjoy all the products that we use every day – I see many water bottles on this side of the aisle and many computers, cell phones – all that include plastic products from the fossil fuel industry. Please support us in voting for this piece of legislation and give fair regulations to our conventional well producers.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Hohenstein, on final passage.

Mr. HOHENSTEIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to be very brief and simple because something was said by the last speaker that I think deserves a response just so that any members of the public, any schoolchildren, anybody who does not quite understand the concept of biodegrading would misconceive what is actually the case, and that is waste oil that contains radioactive materials is, by definition, not biodegradable.

In the end we are talking about a bill here that places corporate rights and profits above our people, our health, and our constitutional rights to a clean environment. This is something that we need to be standing up in opposition to, and so I am asking my colleagues to vote "no" on this bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Owlett, on final passage.

Mr. OWLETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of HB 1144 and the great work that the good Representative has done for his area and for the constituents of his district. I had the opportunity to visit the area a week or so ago and really understand this industry much better than I had before, and I would encourage everyone to take that opportunity and really engage and learn about this amazing industry and the value that they bring to the Commonwealth.

Just a few weeks ago we had a gas shortage all across the east coast. Well, guess who was not hurting? Pennsylvania and specifically our area because we are refining oil right in our area and being able to send that to our gas stations. The problem right now is that refinery is bringing oil in from Canada – yes, Canada – where they are drilling for this same oil. They are drilling it in New York State and bringing it down into Pennsylvania. They are drilling it in Ohio and bringing it to this refinery. But not in the backyards right next to the refinery because, in part because of these regulations that need to be separated so this industry can continue to thrive and be able to create this product that we all need and be able to keep our environment safe, which we had had the opportunity to tour and be able to see.

Specifically around the methane from these orphan wells, this is an opportunity to take this challenge on seriously and be able to plug these wells and be able to help reduce this methane that is going into the environment. So this is truly a good opportunity for us to vote in favor of a bill that will help the environment and reduce this methane.

So thank you, Mr. Speaker, for the time. I would appreciate everybody's support of HB 1144.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the prime sponsor of the bill, Representative Causer, on final passage.

Mr. CAUSER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think I have heard it all. There is a lot of misinformation being cast about from many different sources. I want to talk about what the bill actually does.

What the bill actually does is require separate regulations for two separate industries. Some of you may be tired of me talking about the issue because, quite frankly, we have been working on this at least the last 9 years. But these two industries, the conventional versus the unconventional, are very different industries. The wells are completely different. And those of you who have taken the time to travel to the areas of the Commonwealth that have these wells can see firsthand how different they are. When you travel and see an unconventional well, you see a very different well site than a conventional well. We should not be regulating these wells the same. Unfortunately, our Department of Environmental Protection, using Act 13 of 2012, wants to do just that, a cookie-cutter, one-size-fits-all approach to regulating oil and gas wells in the Commonwealth, and it does not differentiate between conventional versus unconventional.

So what this bill does is require truly separate regulations. It requires reasonable, responsible regulations for energy producers, energy that we need in this Commonwealth, as my colleagues have said previously. In addition to that, it tackles some problems that we have. It goes about helping to clean up and plug abandoned orphan wells, of which we have thousands in Pennsylvania.

Now, addressing some of the misinformation that has been said here today, a previous speaker mentioned that we were going back to 1984. Mr. Speaker, we are not going back to 1984. What we are doing with this bill is instead of using Act 13 of 2012 as the base statutory legislation, we went back to the Oil and Gas Act of 1984 and updated it since then. It is an updated version to address conventional operations today.

Now, some members have talked about the fact that we are reducing environmental regulations. That is far from the truth. It is far from the truth. We are providing reasonable, responsible regulations. We are saying that when spills occur, the spills have to be cleaned up. We are dictating at what level a spill has to be reported to the Department of Environmental Protection.

And many members have talked about dust control. It is an issue in many parts of the State, but not an issue in every part of the State. The area that I come from has many, many dirt and gravel roads. Some of your districts may have none. But this is an environmental problem also. A dirt and gravel road that is untreated puts off a lot of dirt from one vehicle traveling on it. Historically we have used conventional brine, conventional production water, to treat these dirt and gravel roads. It actually makes them as hard as a rock and controls dust. And it has been going on in the Commonwealth for decades. It actually has a real environmental benefit because it allows us to use that product and control the dust.

Some members have stated that we are violating the environmental rights amendment. That is absolutely not true. We are properly regulating the industry. We are regulating it with regulations that provide reasonable, responsible oversight.

Now, many of you have seen this legislation before because we have worked on it, as I said, for many years. Last session this similar language passed this chamber through SB 790. It passed in a bipartisan manner and was also passed in a bipartisan manner in the Senate, and Governor Wolf, listening to some of these radical environmental groups that we have all heard from today, vetoed this bipartisan legislation.

In addition, the legislation was in part created by the Conventional Oil and Gas Advisory Committee. In 2016 this body created this advisory group, and this advisory group meets on a regular basis and, as I said, is made up of government industry – actually, the Department of Environmental Protection sits at the table in this group. This bill is largely the product of this advisory board.

Mr. Speaker, this bill, as I said, provides reasonable, responsible regulation to regulate an industry that has been producing energy in this Commonwealth for over 160 years. As my colleagues previously stated, we have one remaining refinery in Pennsylvania that processes Pennsylvania grade crude oil, and that is the American Refining Group refinery in Bradford, McKean County. The refinery is actually struggling to bring in enough crude oil to continue operating, as my colleague had previously stated. They are having to bring crude oil in from Ohio, in from New York State, in from Canada just to keep operating. Now, some will say, why is that? Well, part of the reason is excessive regulation here in Pennsylvania. Who would have ever thought that it would be easier to drill a conventional well in New York State than it is in Pennsylvania?

Mr. Speaker, we have got to restore some reasonableness to these regulations, and this is the way we can do it. I ask for your support for HB 1144 to reasonably and responsibly provide separate regulations for this very important conventional industry.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—113

Armanini	Gaydos	Maloney	Rigby
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marshall	Roae
Bernstine	Gleim	Masser	Rothman
Bizzarro	Gregory	Mehaffie	Rowe
Bonner	Greiner	Mentzer	Ryan
Borowicz	Grove	Mercuri	Sainato

Brooks	Hamm	Merski	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harkins	Metcalfe	Saylor
Burgos	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Burns	Helm	Mihalek	Schmitt
Causar	Hennessey	Millard	Silvis
Cook	Hershey	Miller, B.	Smith
Cox	Hickernell	Mizgorski	Snyder
Culver	Irvin	Moul	Sonney
Davanzo	James	Mustello	Staats
Day	Jones	Neilson	Stambaugh
Delozier	Jozwiak	Nelson, E.	Struzzi
DelRosso	Kail	O'Neal	Tomlinson
DeLuca	Kaufer	Oberlander	Toohil
Diamond	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Dowling	Keefer	Owlett	Twardzik
Driscoll	Kerwin	Pashinski	Warner
Dunbar	Klunk	Peifer	Wentling
Ecker	Knowles	Pennycuik	Wheeland
Emrick	Lewis	Pickett	Zimmerman
Farry	Longietti	Pisciottano	
Fee	Mackenzie, M.	Puskaric	Cutler,
Flood	Mackenzie, R.	Rader	Speaker
Fritz	Mako	Rapp	

## NAYS—88

Benham	Fitzgerald	Krajewski	Rozzi
Boback	Flynn	Krueger	Samuelson
Boyle	Frankel	Kulik	Sanchez
Bradford	Freeman	Labs	Sappey
Briggs	Gainey	Lawrence	Schlossberg
Brown, A.	Galloway	Lee	Schroeder
Bullock	Gillen	Madden	Schweyer
Carroll	Guenst	Malagari	Shusterman
Cephas	Guzman	Markosek	Sims
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Matzie	Solomon
Conklin	Harris	McClinton	Stephens
Cruz	Herrin	McNeill	Sturla
Daley	Hohenstein	Miller, D.	Thomas
Davidson	Howard	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Webster
Dawkins	Kenyatta	O'Mara	Wheatley
Deasy	Kim	Otten	White
DeLissio	Kinhead	Parker	Williams, C.
Delloso	Kinsey	Polinchock	Williams, D.
Evans	Kirkland	Quinn	Young
Fiedler	Kosierowski	Rabb	Zabel

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

## RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the majority leader rise?

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Mr. Speaker, I rise to call for an immediate Rules Committee meeting in the majority caucus room to consider HR 107 at 3:35.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Rules Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room at 3:35

LABOR AND INDUSTRY  
COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Cox, for a committee announcement. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be an immediate meeting of the House Labor and Industry Committee. Actually, I am sorry. I just wanted to let you know that there will be a meeting of the Labor and Industry Committee at the close of session today. It will be in Irvis Office Building, room 523. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Labor and Industry Committee will meet at the close of session today in Irvis Office Building, room 523.

The House will be at ease for the Rules Committee meeting.

The House will please return to order.

RESOLUTION REPORTED  
FROM COMMITTEE

HR 107, PN 1615

By Rep. BENNINGHOFF

A Resolution adopting a temporary Rule of the House of Representatives relating to guidance from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) minimizing the spread of COVID-19.

RULES.

## BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 231, PN 195**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in minors, further providing for the offense of unlawful contact with minor.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the good gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The electronic board is accurate.  
The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Armanini	Frankel	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Freeman	Mako	Rozzi
Bernstine	Fritz	Malagari	Ryan
Bizzarro	Galloway	Maloney	Sainato
Boback	Gaydos	Markosek	Samuelson
Bonner	Gillen	Marshall	Sanchez
Borowicz	Gillespie	Masser	Sankey
Boyle	Gleim	Matzie	Sappey
Bradford	Gregory	McClinton	Saylor
Briggs	Greiner	McNeill	Schemel
Brooks	Grove	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mentzer	Schmitt
Brown, R.	Guzman	Mercuri	Schroeder
Bullock	Hamm	Merski	Schweyer
Burns	Hanbidge	Metcalfe	Shusterman
Carroll	Harkins	Metzgar	Silvis
Causar	Harris	Mihalek	Sims
Cephas	Heffley	Millard	Smith
Ciresi	Helm	Miller, B.	Snyder
Conklin	Hennessey	Mizgorski	Solomon
Cook	Herrin	Moul	Sonney
Cox	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Cruz	Hickernell	Mullins	Stambaugh
Culver	Hohenstein	Mustello	Stephens
Daley	Howard	Neilson	Struzzi
Davanzo	Irvin	Nelson, E.	Sturla
Davidson	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	O'Neal	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Kail	Ortitay	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufner	Owlett	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Parker	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Pashinski	Warren
DelRosso	Kerwin	Peifer	Webster
DeLuca	Kim	Pennycuick	Wentling
Diamond	Kirkland	Pickett	Wheeland
Dowling	Klunk	Pisciottano	White
Driscoll	Knowles	Polinchock	Williams, C.
Dunbar	Kosierowski	Puskaric	Williams, D.
Ecker	Krueger	Quinn	Young
Emrick	Kulik	Rader	Zabel
Farry	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Lawrence	Rigby	
Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae	Cutler,
Flood	Longietti	Rothman	Speaker
Flynn	Mackenzie, M.		

NAYS—17

Benham	Gainey	Kinsey	Miller, D.
Burgos	Innamorato	Krajewski	Otten
Davis, A.	Kenyatta	Lee	Rabb
Evans	Kinthead	Madden	Wheatley
Fiedler			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 753, PN 741**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in offenses against the family, further providing for the offense of dealing in infant children.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Armanini	Flood	Lewis	Roae
Benham	Flynn	Longietti	Rothman
Benninghoff	Frankel	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bernstine	Freeman	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Fritz	Mako	Ryan
Boback	Gainey	Malagari	Sainato
Bonner	Galloway	Maloney	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gaydos	Markosek	Sanchez
Boyle	Gillen	Marshall	Sankey
Bradford	Gillespie	Masser	Sappey
Briggs	Gleim	Matzie	Saylor
Brooks	Gregory	McClinton	Schemel
Brown, A.	Greiner	McNeill	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Grove	Mehaffie	Schmitt
Bullock	Guenst	Mentzer	Schroeder
Burgos	Guzman	Mercuri	Schweyer
Burns	Hamm	Merski	Shusterman
Carroll	Hanbidge	Metcalfe	Silvis
Causar	Harkins	Metzgar	Sims
Cephas	Harris	Mihalek	Smith
Ciresi	Heffley	Millard	Snyder
Conklin	Helm	Miller, B.	Solomon
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, D.	Sonney
Cox	Herrin	Mizgorski	Staats
Cruz	Hershey	Moul	Stambaugh
Culver	Hickernell	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hohenstein	Mullins	Struzzi

Davanzo	Howard	Mustello	Sturla
Davidson	Innamorato	Neilson	Thomas
Davis, A.	Irvin	Nelson, E.	Tomlinson
Davis, T.	Isaacson	Nelson, N.	Toohil
Dawkins	James	O'Mara	Topper
Day	Jones	O'Neal	Twardzik
Deasy	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kail	Ortitay	Warner
Deloso	Kaufer	Otten	Warren
Delozier	Kauffman	Owlett	Webster
DelRosso	Keefer	Parker	Wentling
DeLuca	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Wheatley
Diamond	Kerwin	Peifer	Wheeland
Dowling	Kim	Pennycuick	White
Driscoll	Kinsey	Pickett	Williams, C.
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pisciottano	Williams, D.
Ecker	Klunk	Polinchock	Young
Emrick	Knowles	Puskaric	Zabel
Evans	Kosierowski	Quinn	Zimmerman
Farry	Krueger	Rader	
Fee	Kulik	Rapp	Cutler,
Fiedler	Labs	Rigby	Speaker
Fitzgerald	Lawrence		

## NAYS—5

Kinthead	Lee	Madden	Rabb
Krajewski			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 406, PN 1372**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp.Sess., 1937 P.L.2897, No.1), known as the Unemployment Compensation Law, in compensation, further providing for qualifications required to secure compensation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—130

Armanini	Gillespie	Maloney	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gleim	Marshall	Rowe
Bernstine	Gregory	Masser	Ryan
Bizzarro	Greiner	Matzie	Sainato
Boback	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bonner	Hamm	Mentzer	Sappay
Borowicz	Harkins	Mercuri	Saylor
Brooks	Heffley	Merski	Schemel
Brown, R.	Helm	Metcalfe	Schmitt
Burns	Hennessey	Metzgar	Schroeder
Carroll	Hershey	Mihalek	Shusterman
Causar	Hickernell	Millard	Silvis
Ciresi	Irvin	Miller, B.	Smith
Conklin	James	Mizgorski	Snyder
Cook	Jones	Moul	Sonney
Cox	Jozwiak	Mullery	Staats
Culver	Kail	Mustello	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Kaufer	Nelson, E.	Stephens
Day	Kauffman	O'Mara	Struzzi
Delozier	Keefer	O'Neal	Thomas
DelRosso	Kerwin	Oberlander	Tomlinson
DeLuca	Klunk	Ortitay	Toohil
Diamond	Knowles	Owlett	Topper
Dowling	Kosierowski	Peifer	Twardzik
Dunbar	Kulik	Pennycuick	Warner
Ecker	Labs	Pickett	Wentling
Emrick	Lawrence	Polinchock	Wheeland
Farry	Lewis	Puskaric	White
Fee	Longietti	Quinn	Williams, C.
Flood	Mackenzie, M.	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rapp	
Gaydos	Mako	Rigby	Cutler,
Gillen	Malagari	Roae	Speaker

## NAYS—71

Benham	Evans	Kim	Pisciottano
Boyle	Fiedler	Kinthead	Rabb
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Kinsey	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Kirkland	Samuelson
Brown, A.	Frankel	Krajewski	Sanchez
Bullock	Freeman	Krueger	Schlossberg
Burgos	Gainey	Lee	Schweyer
Cephas	Galloway	Madden	Sims
Cruz	Guenst	Markosek	Solomon
Daley	Guzman	McClinton	Sturla
Davidson	Hanbidge	McNeill	Vitali
Davis, A.	Harris	Miller, D.	Warren
Davis, T.	Herrin	Mullins	Webster
Dawkins	Hohenstein	Neilson	Wheatley
Deasy	Howard	Nelson, N.	Williams, D.
DeLissio	Innamorato	Otten	Young
Deloso	Isaacson	Parker	Zabel
Driscoll	Kenyatta	Pashinski	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker would like to recognize located in the gallery, we welcome three interns who are working in Representative Rabb's office for the summer: Jonah Wade-Millison, Noah Bradley, and Annie Rupertus. Welcome.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 246, PN 214**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in human trafficking, further providing for evidence and defenses to human trafficking.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

And on that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Mihalek.

Ms. MIHALEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Human trafficking affects every country in the world, and the United States is no exception. It is estimated that between 20 and 40 million people around the globe are victims of human trafficking. In 2019 Pennsylvania ranked in the top 10 States for human trafficking and my hometown of Pittsburgh ranked 10th in the cities affected. Pennsylvania law enforcement officials have been on top of this issue and are taking the matter very seriously. We currently rank fourth nationwide for the number of active human trafficking cases making their way through our criminal justice system, but merely bringing charges against a human trafficker is not enough.

We must protect these victims who are brave enough to come forward and ensure that our justice system does not revictimize them. A victim is a victim no matter what is in her past. That is why I created HB 246. It takes a tremendous amount of courage to face your attacker in court for the public to see for them to judge you as a victim. The victims are not on trial, and they should never be treated as if they are. This bill will help to ensure that we are treating victims as victims and not as defendants. This bill helps to remove a barrier to prosecution and is a step forward in combating this horrific act of slavery. I hope you will all join me today in casting an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—201**

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longietti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappery
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causer	Harris	Merski	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi
Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufer	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefe	Ortitay	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinhead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycook	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciotano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	Speaker
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

**NAYS—0**

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—0**

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 580, PN 543**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in depositions and witnesses, further providing for expert testimony in certain criminal proceedings.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

And on that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Schroeder.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This legislation is necessary because it will allow expert testimony on victim behaviors in the courtroom. With this bill experts will testify on the impacts of sexual or domestic violence and victim responses to such crimes committed against them. Many times we see grooming done by the offender, usually targeting our youth and children to gain access and trust in relationships grounded in secrecy so that the crime is less likely to be discovered. HB 580 will assist in a jury understanding the complicated dynamics of victim behavior, and I want to encourage my colleagues to vote "yes" to protect victims and pass this important legislation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longietti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappey
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causser	Harris	Merski	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi
Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufner	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefner	Ortity	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinhead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycuick	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	Speaker
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 843, PN 827**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child custody, further providing for consideration of criminal conviction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. **OBERLANDER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. **HARRIS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longietti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappey
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causser	Harris	Merski	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi
Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil

Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufers	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinhead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycuick	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	Speaker
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1096, PN 1128**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in human trafficking, further providing for civil causes of action.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. **OBERLANDER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. **HARRIS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—201

Armanini	Flynn	Lee	Rigby
Benham	Frankel	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Freeman	Longiotti	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Bizzarro	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Boback	Galloway	Madden	Ryan
Bonner	Gaydos	Mako	Sainato
Borowicz	Gillen	Malagari	Samuelson
Boyle	Gillespie	Maloney	Sanchez
Bradford	Gleim	Markosek	Sankey
Briggs	Gregory	Marshall	Sappey
Brooks	Greiner	Masser	Saylor
Brown, A.	Grove	Matzie	Schemel
Brown, R.	Guenst	McClinton	Schlossberg
Bullock	Guzman	McNeill	Schmitt
Burgos	Hamm	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Mentzer	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Shusterman
Causser	Harris	Mersis	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Miller, D.	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Stambaugh
Daley	Howard	Moul	Stephens
Davanzo	Innamorato	Mullery	Struzzi
Davidson	Irvin	Mullins	Sturla
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	James	Neilson	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, E.	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Topper
Deasy	Kail	O'Mara	Twardzik
DeLissio	Kaufner	O'Neal	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Warren
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Otten	Webster
DeLuca	Kerwin	Owlett	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Parker	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinthead	Pashinski	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Peifer	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pennycuik	Williams, C.
Ecker	Klunk	Pickett	Williams, D.
Emrick	Knowles	Pisciottano	Young
Evans	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Zabel
Farry	Krajewski	Puskaric	Zimmerman
Fee	Krueger	Quinn	
Fiedler	Kulik	Rabb	Cutler,
Fitzgerald	Labs	Rader	Speaker
Flood	Lawrence	Rapp	

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1130, PN 1178**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sexual offenses and tier system.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

And on that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Craig Williams.

Mr. C. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Twelve years old, 12 years old – that is the average age of a child sold into sex slavery, 12. In 5 days my son will be 12 years old and I cannot imagine the devastation to his life to be stolen and put into that world. I cannot imagine the devastation of my family's life to be stolen and put into that world. Thirty-seven hundred cases reported since 2007 in Pennsylvania alone in sex trafficking across our Commonwealth, not isolated to any one particular place, in all of the transportation corridors. Nine hundred open cases of sex slavery in Pennsylvania; 900 families who have no idea where their loved one is being trafficked into sex slavery.

My bill requires those convicted of trafficking in humanity for sexual servitude and those who are engaging with victims of sexual servitude to register as sexual offenders so that our families have an opportunity to fight back against this moment of depravity. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Armanini	Flynn	Mackenzie, M.	Rothman
Benninghoff	Freeman	Mackenzie, R.	Rowe
Bernstine	Fritz	Mako	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Galloway	Malagari	Ryan
Boback	Gaydos	Maloney	Sainato
Bonner	Gillen	Markosek	Samuelson
Borowicz	Gillespie	Marshall	Sanchez
Boyle	Gleim	Masser	Sankey
Bradford	Gregory	Matzie	Sappey
Briggs	Greiner	McClinton	Saylor
Brooks	Grove	McNeill	Schemel
Brown, A.	Guenst	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Brown, R.	Guzman	Mentzer	Schmitt
Bullock	Hamm	Mercuri	Schroeder
Burns	Hanbidge	Merski	Schweyer
Carroll	Harkins	Metcalfe	Shusterman
Causar	Harris	Metzgar	Silvis
Cephas	Heffley	Mihalek	Sims
Ciresi	Helm	Millard	Smith
Conklin	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cook	Herrin	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cox	Hershey	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cruz	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Culver	Hohenstein	Mullery	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Howard	Mullins	Stephens
Davidson	Irvin	Mustello	Struzzi
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Neilson	Sturla
Davis, T.	James	Nelson, E.	Thomas
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, N.	Tomlinson
Day	Jozwiak	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	Kail	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Kaufner	Oberlander	Twardzik
Delloso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Keefer	Owlett	Warner
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Parker	Warren
DeLuca	Kerwin	Pashinski	Webster
Diamond	Kim	Peifer	Wentling
Dowling	Kirkland	Pennycuick	Wheeland
Driscoll	Klunk	Pickett	White
Dunbar	Knowles	Pisciotano	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kosierowski	Polinchock	Williams, D.
Emrick	Krueger	Puskaric	Young
Evans	Kulik	Quinn	Zabel
Farry	Labs	Rader	Zimmerman
Fee	Lawrence	Rapp	
Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby	Cutler,
Flood	Longietti	Roae	Speaker

NAYS—15

Benham	Frankel	Kinsey	Otten
Burgos	Gainey	Krajewski	Rabb
Daley	Innamorato	Lee	Wheatley
Fiedler	Kinhead	Madden	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1147, PN 1202**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for sexual offender treatment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

And on that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Gaydos.

Ms. GAYDOS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Since 2007 there have been almost 1500 human trafficking cases in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Human trafficking, with most of the victims being women and children – and young children, that is – is a horrendous crime.

HB 1147 would expand the list of sexual offenses that require offenders to attend and participate in existing Department of Corrections programs of counseling and therapy designed for incarcerated sex offenders. Studies funded by the U.S. Department of Justice have demonstrated that inmates who participate in sex offender treatment are significantly less likely to be rearrested upon release. Since additions were made to the list of sexual offenses in human trafficking in the Crimes Code, specifically adding the definition of "sexual servitude," HB 1147 adds this crime to the list of sex crimes which counseling is required.

As we continue to raise public awareness of this issue, we must arm our prosecutors and law enforcement agencies with every tool possible to help reduce recidivism. I ask for an affirmative vote on HB 1147.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—183

Armanini	Freeman	Mackenzie, M.	Rowe
Benninghoff	Fritz	Mackenzie, R.	Rozzi
Bernstine	Galloway	Mako	Ryan
Bizzarro	Gaydos	Malagari	Sainato
Boback	Gillen	Maloney	Samuelson
Bonner	Gillespie	Markosek	Sanchez
Borowicz	Gleim	Marshall	Sankey
Boyle	Gregory	Masser	Sappey
Bradford	Greiner	Matzie	Saylor
Briggs	Grove	McClinton	Schemel
Brooks	Guenst	McNeill	Schlossberg
Brown, A.	Guzman	Mehaffie	Schmitt
Brown, R.	Hamm	Mentzer	Schroeder
Bullock	Hanbidge	Mercuri	Schweyer
Burns	Harkins	Merski	Shusterman
Carroll	Harris	Metcalfe	Silvis
Causer	Heffley	Metzgar	Sims
Cephas	Helm	Mihalek	Smith
Ciresi	Hennessey	Millard	Snyder
Conklin	Herrin	Miller, B.	Solomon
Cook	Hershey	Mizgorski	Sonney
Cox	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Cruz	Hohenstein	Mullery	Stambaugh
Culver	Howard	Mullins	Stephens
Davanzo	Irvin	Mustello	Struzzi
Davis, A.	Isaacson	Neilson	Sturla
Davis, T.	James	Nelson, E.	Thomas
Dawkins	Jones	Nelson, N.	Tomlinson
Day	Jozwiak	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	Kail	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Kaufer	Oberlander	Twardzik
Delloso	Kauffman	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Keefer	Owlett	Warner
DelRosso	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Warren
DeLuca	Kerwin	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Kim	Pennycuick	Wentling
Dowling	Kirkland	Pickett	Wheeland
Driscoll	Klunk	Pisciottano	White
Dunbar	Knowles	Polinchock	Williams, C.
Ecker	Kosierowski	Puskaric	Williams, D.
Emrick	Krueger	Quinn	Young
Evans	Kulik	Rader	Zabel
Farry	Labs	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Lawrence	Rigby	
Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae	Cutler,
Flood	Longietti	Rothman	Speaker
Flynn			

## NAYS—18

Benham	Frankel	Krajewski	Otten
Burgos	Gainey	Lee	Parker
Daley	Innamorato	Madden	Rabb
Davidson	Kinhead	Miller, D.	Wheatley
Fiedler	Kinsey		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1154, PN 1421**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in licenses and regulations and liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, further providing for sales by liquor licensees and restrictions and repealing provisions related to prepared beverages and mixed drinks for off-premises consumption during disaster emergency.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlewoman, Representative Oberlander.

Ms. OBERLANDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—187

Armanini	Flood	Labs	Rigby
Benham	Flynn	Lee	Roae
Benninghoff	Frankel	Lewis	Rothman
Bernstine	Freeman	Longietti	Rowe
Bizzarro	Fritz	Mackenzie, M.	Rozzi
Bonner	Gainey	Mackenzie, R.	Ryan
Borowicz	Galloway	Madden	Sainato
Boyle	Gaydos	Mako	Samuelson
Bradford	Gillespie	Malagari	Sanchez
Briggs	Gleim	Maloney	Sankey
Brooks	Gregory	Markosek	Sappey
Brown, A.	Grove	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Guenst	Matzie	Schemel
Bullock	Guzman	McClinton	Schlossberg
Burgos	Hamm	McNeill	Schmitt
Burns	Hanbidge	Mehaffie	Schroeder
Carroll	Harkins	Mercuri	Schweyer
Causer	Harris	Merski	Shusterman
Cephas	Heffley	Metcalfe	Silvis
Ciresi	Helm	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hennessey	Mihalek	Smith
Cook	Herrin	Millard	Snyder
Cox	Hershey	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Hohenstein	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Howard	Moul	Staats
Daley	Innamorato	Mullery	Stambaugh
Davanzo	Irvin	Mullins	Stephens
Davidson	Isaacson	Mustello	Struzzi
Davis, A.	James	Neilson	Sturla
Davis, T.	Jones	Nelson, E.	Thomas

Dawkins	Jozwiak	Nelson, N.	Tomlinson
Day	Kail	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	Kaufer	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	Oberlander	Twardzik
Deloso	Keefer	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kenyatta	Otten	Warner
DelRosso	Kerwin	Pashinski	Warren
DeLuca	Kim	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Kinthead	Pennycuick	Wentling
Dowling	Kinsey	Pickett	Wheatley
Driscoll	Kirkland	Pisciottano	Wheeland
Dunbar	Klunk	Polinchock	White
Ecker	Knowles	Puskaric	Williams, C.
Evans	Kosierowski	Quinn	Williams, D.
Farry	Krajewski	Rabb	Young
Fiedler	Krueger	Rader	Zabel
Fitzgerald	Kulik	Rapp	

**NAYS—14**

Boback	Greiner	Mentzer	Zimmerman
Emrick	Hickernell	Miller, B.	
Fee	Lawrence	Owlett	Cutler,
Gillen	Marshall	Parker	Speaker

**NOT VOTING—0****EXCUSED—0**

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, there will be no further votes. We will do some housekeeping.

And the Chair recognizes the good gentleman, Representative Kauffman, for a committee announcement. The gentleman waives off.

**VOTE CORRECTION**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentlewoman, Representative White, rise?

Ms. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to have the record reflect for HB 1144 that I be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Your remarks will be spread upon the record. The Chair thanks the lady.

**BILLS RECOMMENDED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be recommended to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 141;  
HB 221;  
HB 490;  
HB 996;  
HB 1122;  
HB 1169;  
HB 1184; and  
HB 1213.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 142;  
HB 176;  
HB 253;  
HB 722;  
HB 764;  
HB 987;  
HB 1024;  
HB 1033;  
HB 1257;  
HB 1348;  
HB 1390;  
SB 425; and  
SB 445.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 155;  
HB 452; and  
HB 474.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar:

HB 155;  
HB 452; and  
HB 474.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED****BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 232, PN 844**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school districts, further providing for how constituted.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 232 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 232 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is in receipt of a motion that Representative Labs so moves that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, May 26, 2021, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 4:42 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.