

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2019

SESSION OF 2019

203D OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 66

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.s.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

The SPEAKER. The prayer today will be offered by Pastor Ken Williamson of Orrstown United Brethren Church in Orrstown, Pennsylvania. He is the guest of our good friend and colleague, the Judiciary chair, Representative Rob Kauffman.

PASTOR KEN WILLIAMSON, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

If you would bow your heads with me according to your faith tradition. Thank you.

Our God and Father, we recognize You as the sovereign ruler over all nations. Remind us this day and always that if we are to be the greatest, we must become the least, and if we are to be masters, we must become the servant of others. May we possess a zeal for justice and righteousness, while always being ready with compassion for those who are weak. Create in us the will to do Your will so that we may do justice, love mercy, and walk humbly with our God.

Sovereign God, we pray for all those in positions of authority. May our faith be filled with gladness and may our worship of Thee be in spirit and in truth. Strengthen and increase our admiration for honest dealing, clean thinking, and suffer not our hatred of hypocrisy and pretense ever to diminish. Make us to choose the harder right instead of the easier wrong, and never to be content with a half-truth when the whole can be one. Endow us with courage that is born of loyalty to all that is noble and worthy, that scorns to compromise with vice and injustice, and knows no fear when truth and right are in jeopardy. Grant us new ties of friendship and new opportunities of service, and finally, that Thou wilt most graciously be pleased to dispose us all to do justice, to love mercy, and to demean ourselves with love, humility, and temper of minds, which are the characteristics of Thee, the divine author of our most blessed faith.

All this we ask in the name of the great friend and master of all mankind. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

The SPEAKER. Pastor Williamson is also a captain in the Pennsylvania National Guard. He is a chaplain for our State's National Guard. Sir, thank you for your service.

#### GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. With the chair, Rob Kauffman, and the pastor, Kenneth Williamson, is the pastor's wife, Kristen. Will you please stand. Thank you very much for being a guest with us today. Thank you.

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. The approval of the Journal of Wednesday, October 30, 2019, will be postponed until printed, without objection.

#### JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. We do have the following Journals that are ready to be in print, and they will be approved if I do not hear an objection:

Wednesday, May 8, 2019;  
Monday, May 13, 2019;  
Tuesday, May 14, 2019;  
Wednesday, May 15, 2019;  
Wednesday, May 22, 2019; and  
Thursday, May 23, 2019.

They will be approved.

#### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**HB 1536, PN 2887** (Amended) By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in licensing of drivers, further providing for suspension of operating privilege; in special vehicles and pedestrians, further providing for penalty for violation of subchapter; and, in miscellaneous provisions, further providing for the offense of careless driving.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1800, PN 2886** (Amended) By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school district financial recovery, providing for duties of receivers in certain districts.

## EDUCATION.

**HB 1849, PN 2556** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a portion of Pennsylvania Route 39 in Dauphin County, from Dover Road to Forest Hills Drive, as the Officer Mark Gaspich Memorial Highway.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1868, PN 2588** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge, identified as Bridge Key 11414, on U.S. Route 219 in Brady Township, Clearfield County, as the Lance Corporal Robert Clyde Gontero Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1870, PN 2589** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1036 over Six Mile Run, Broad Top Township, Bedford County, as the Sergeant David Leon Barber Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1913, PN 2676** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge, identified by Bridge Key 19699, on that portion of State Route 2003 over Mahoning Creek in Big Run Borough, Jefferson County, as the SP4 James Wise, Jr., and PFC James Wingert USMC Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1915, PN 2678** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 8042 over U.S. Route 40, West Brownsville Borough, Washington County, as the SFC Thomas McDonough Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1946, PN 2710** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge, identified by Bridge Key 46789, on that portion of State Route 3012 over Back Creek on the border of Hamilton Township and St. Thomas Township, Franklin County, as the Sergeant Melvin G. Ehrhart Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1973, PN 2736** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 4027 over Bald Eagle Creek, Sharon Township, Blair County, as the Cpl. Donald L. Westley Memorial Bridge.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1985, PN 2888 (Amended)** By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending the act of December 15, 1971 (P.L.596, No.160), known as the Outdoor Advertising Control Act of 1971, further providing for definitions, for control of outdoor advertising, for removal of prohibited advertising devices and for penalties for violation; and imposing a duty on the Secretary of Transportation to notify the Federal Highway Administration.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2000, PN 2805**

By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating the portion of County Line Road between Warminster Road and Newtown Road in Montgomery County as the Captain William J. Ahlum Memorial Highway.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2001, PN 2806**

By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act designating the portion of County Line Road between Warminster Road and Jacksonville Road in Montgomery County, as the Specialist 4 Harold E. Cashman Memorial Highway.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**SB 607, PN 1384 (Amended)**

By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in rules of the road in general, further providing for speed timing devices.

## TRANSPORTATION.

**SB 751, PN 1055**

By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in professional employees, further providing for rating system and for persons to be suspended and providing for a revised rating system.

## EDUCATION.

### COMMUNICATIONS FROM INDEPENDENT FISCAL OFFICE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges receipt of the letters from the Independent Fiscal Office regarding the following requests for actuarial notes for: HB 1960, PN 2794; HB 1960, PN 2794, as amended by amendment 03605, amendment 03625, amendment 03626, amendment 03627, amendment 03629, amendment 03630, amendment 03631, amendment 03632, amendment 03633, amendment 03635, amendment 03636, amendment 03637, amendment 03638, amendment 03639, and amendment 03642; HB 1962, PN 2704; HB 1962, PN 2704, as amended by amendments 03290 and 03383; HB 1963, PN 2705, amendment 03533; HB 1964, PN 2765; HB 1964, PN 2765, as amended by amendment 03442; HB 1982, PN 2787; HB 1982, PN 2787, as amended by amendment 03644; and HB 1996, PN 2799.

If you have an amendment filed and I did not say that we have a request for an actuarial note for that amendment, you may approach the Parliamentarian, but keep in mind the Speaker's Office and the Parliamentarian do not produce the actuarial notes. They are produced by the Independent Fiscal Office. So we do not produce those. If we do not have a request for an actuarial note for an amendment, we will rule that amendment out of order. It is incumbent upon the member to seek an actuarial note and to follow up with the Independent Fiscal Office for that note, not the Speaker's Office, not either of the leaders' offices, nor the Parliamentarian independent of the Speaker. So if you did not hear your amendment called for having a request for an actuarial note, that means we do not have a request for an actuarial note for your amendment.

(Copies of communications are on file with the Journal clerk.)

### COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges receipt of the investigation into the impact of Act 2 of 2018 submitted by the Government Oversight Committee, chaired by Representative Seth Grove, the chair of the Government Oversight Committee.

(Copy of communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

### COMMUNICATION FROM INDEPENDENT FISCAL OFFICE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges receipt of the Independent Fiscal Office's report, "Economic and Budget Outlook: Fiscal Years 2019-20 to 2024-25."

If anybody wishes copies of that, you should ask the Independent Fiscal Office for a copy of that report. Perhaps the Independent Fiscal Office is making it available for everybody, but if you want a copy of their Economic Outlook Report, you should ask staff at the Independent Fiscal Office to make sure that you have it.

(Copy of communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

The SPEAKER. Representative Greg Rothman is welcome to the podium. This is a resolution that we will be voting on at the end of the legislative session date. We are not going to vote on it now. This is one with guests.

I apologize; it is actually going to be a House bill, so it is not a resolution. It will be a House bill.

Members, please take your seats. We are going to be honoring the good Senator, Hal Mowery, and I need everybody to take their seats to explain the posture that we are presently in. And then the family is here, and Representative Rothman, on unanimous consent, will be speaking on unanimous consent. We have the family here, and I do not want to delay their presence on the House floor.

There is a bill that has already moved through second consideration and is on the calendar today for third consideration. It will need to be moved by the Appropriations Committee later today and then will be voted on third consideration on the House floor. It is HB 1907, PN 2674. This bill designates a bridge over U.S. Route 11/15 in Cumberland County as the "Senator Hal Mowery Memorial Bridge." We will be voting on this later, after it comes out of the Appropriations Committee.

### STATEMENT BY MR. ROTHMAN

The SPEAKER. On unanimous consent, the prime sponsor of that bill – it is a bill, not a resolution – Representative Greg Rothman, has been invited to the podium to speak, as we have the family of Senator Hal Mowery with us. We are honored to have them with us.

On unanimous consent, the Chair recognizes Representative Greg Rothman, and asks all members to take their seats, as well as staff.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is truly an honor for me to stand here today and speak on behalf of Senator, the late Senator Harold F. Mowery, Jr. HB 1907 will designate a bridge that carries Route 1025 over U.S. Route 11/15, that bridges Camp Hill Borough and East Pennsboro Township in Cumberland County, as the "Senator Hal Mowery Memorial Bridge." Senator Mowery's professional achievements, extensive community involvement, and a myriad of awards and accolades stand as a strong testimony to his genuine concern for the well-being of this Commonwealth.

This bridge dedication would be a lasting tribute to his legacy of public service and entrepreneurship. It is a pleasure for me to lead the effort in the Pennsylvania House of Representatives to designate this local bridge. It was Senator Mike Regan who initially came up with this idea and will be leading the efforts in the Senate, and I want to thank Senator Regan also.

Raised in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, Senator Mowery was a graduate of Mechanicsburg High School and Dickinson College. Over several decades, he founded multiple successful insurance businesses that employed, and employ to this day, hundreds of Pennsylvanians. Senator Mowery was elected to the Pennsylvania House of Representatives in 1976, and served seven terms. In 1992 he was elected to represent the 31st District in the Senate of Pennsylvania, serving until his retirement in 2004.

During and after his public service, he was a prominent community leader, supporting the establishment of the Camp Hill Lion Foundation to enhance the academic, athletic, and cultural resources of our local school. Senator Mowery aided many charities by sponsoring and supporting benefits, galas, and community fundraisers. He was also an active supporter of the Whitaker Center for Science and the Arts.

I have with me here today, as guests on the House floor, members of the Mowery family, including his wife, Phyllis Mowery – and Phyllis and I sold real estate together for many years. Hal's son, Ted Mowery, is here today; his daughter, Dee VanGavree; and Garrett Mowery, his grandson, and his wife, Tori. Friends of Senator Mowery are also here with us today and are in the rear of the House.

You know, Senator Mowery would have not expected this, and probably would not have even supported this. He was a very humble public servant. He inspired me by being someone who was successful in business and then took time out of his life to be a true public servant. Senator Mowery was an exceptional man and truly the definition of a "public servant." And as a lasting tribute to his service, I ask my colleagues to support HB 1907. May he inspire others as he inspired me.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. To Phyllis and to Ted and Dee, thank you so much for being with us here today.

Senator Mowery was an absolute class individual. He worked diligently on both sides of the aisle to get significant legislation accomplished, including lawsuit abuse reform. He was a person that never forgot his original political roots in the House of Representatives, which we loved, of course, over here. And we debated amending the bill to say Senator and Representative Hal Mowery, but we thought the better of it. We did not want to interfere. But I will just tell you, so many of us who have served with Senator Mowery just thought the world of him – really just such a pillar of the community and someone who really accomplished much in such a graceful, respectful, and thoughtful manner.

We will be voting on this later today, HB 1907, but to each of his family members, if you could stand, we would like to give you a round of applause.

Thank you, Representative Rothman.

Representative Struzzi, please come up to the podium. I know you have a citation. He will be followed by Representatives Williams and Sappey, will be immediately following. So we are going to start with Representative Struzzi, then we will have Representative Williams and Representative Sappey, and then that will be followed by Representative Jozwiak and Representative Brooks. So if each of you will be prepared to just immediately follow, we will move smoothly.

### JAKOB DIXON PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Representative Struzzi, you may begin, sir.

Mr. STRUZZI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am honored today to welcome and acknowledge Jakob Dixon, who earned first place in the Sheet Metal category during the 56th Annual SkillsUSA National Leadership and Skills Conference, held in Louisville, Kentucky, from June 22 to 26. And as I said, first place in the entire country. Congratulations, Jakob. Thank you.

This event showcases the skills of career and technical education students from across the nation, with more than 6,500 students competing in 103 different trades. Jakob is a senior at United High School in Indiana County, as well as being enrolled in the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning program at the Indiana County Technology Center, or the ICTC. He earned first place at every level of competition this summer to get to the national contest. There, he was given a schematic design, a piece of sheet metal, and 8 hours to fashion a piece of ductwork. He finished his project in 6 hours, earning first place.

Jakob, the first ICTC student to win the national competition, has brought extraordinary pride to Indiana County. His performance is a testament to the quality of the ICTC's programs, and will undoubtedly help grow its capacity to connect capable students with good jobs in Indiana County and across the Commonwealth. The ICTC is filling an essential need in our workforce and in our future. In these times when we struggle to fill jobs in the trade industries, it is so vitally important that we recognize students like Jakob and their achievements. He is a positive role model, and we should encourage and inspire more young people to follow in his footsteps.

I would like to recognize the guests that Jakob has brought him with today from Indiana County. If you could stand as I call your name. To the left, we have Jakob's parents, Eric and Andrea Dixon; and from the Indiana County Technology Center, we have Mike McDermott, who is the director of the ICTC, and Principal Mike Worthington. And in the back, we have Jakob's grandparents, Lanny and Billie Dixon, and Joel and Beverley Lamantia; and his aunt, Lani Sheesley. Thank you. Thank you all for being here today, for making the trip.

And again, congratulations to Jakob. He is representing Pennsylvania well in this SkillsUSA competition. So congratulations again, Jakob. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Jakob, congratulations. We are going to do one photo with you, Representative Struzzi, and I, and then at the break, any family members that want to get a photo, we will do that at the break. Okay? Thank you.

Representative Williams and Representative Sappey, please come on up to the rostrum.

So, members, we are going to close the doors of the House. Please take your seats. This championship team has traveled some distance to be with us, and we are so honored that they would take the time to be with us.

### DOWNINGTOWN HIGH SCHOOL WEST BOYS CROSS COUNTRY TEAM PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Representative Sappey, you may proceed.

Ms. SAPPEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is hard for me to find the words to explain how happy I am and how proud I am today to welcome our PIAA Class AAA State Champion Boys Cross Country Team from Downingtown West to the Capitol. It is particularly special for me because the youngest Sappling, as we call our kids, was a member of this team all 4 years of high school. We watched as he grew and matured, not only on the course and the track, but as a man. The culture in this program provides everything a young person needs to be successful as an athlete scholar. And under the exceptional leadership of head coach Scott Burns, our guys not only get fast; they become outstanding teammates, friends, and citizens. They are indeed a band of brothers.

We have a saying at West, "Once a Whippet, always a Whippet," and so I am so proud to wear this over my heart today. Gentlemen, congratulations, and Godspeed as you head to regionals the end of this month. Ben Datte, Ryan Straub, Caelan Sims, Jack Cappello, Holden Betz, Henry Debuque, Declan Rymer, and Aiden Barnhill, God bless. I love you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Sappey.

Representative Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

It is an honor to be here today and to recognize the Downingtown High School West Whippets. As you have already heard, they are the champions of the PIAA State Cross Country Championships, having won that with a total of 65 points.

Cross-country is a sport like no other – in which there are no halftimes, there are no time-outs, there are no substitutions. In fact, Alan Armstrong is accurate when he suggests that "Champions do not become champions when..." the event is won. This is demonstrated in the hours and the weeks and the months of preparation. The victory of their performance is simply "...the demonstration of their championship character."

And so, Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, would you please recognize with me the 2019 Cross Country Team from Downingtown High School West, who are manifested demonstrators of championship caliber not only in their performance, but as people representing the 74th. Thank you so very much.

The SPEAKER. Champions, thank you for being here with us. We are going to take a photo with the Representatives, and we are honored that you would come up here, and congratulations.

Representative Bob Brooks, Representative Barry Jozwiak, please come up to the rostrum. Representative Jordan Harris will be next, the minority whip, on unanimous consent, will be next. And Representative Jerry Knowles, too, please. And is Tracy here? Good. Tracy, thanks so much dear.

Members, we always find it fitting to honor those who have served the Commonwealth in our illustrious body for so many years. So we are going to open the doors of the House briefly to let members on and off, but otherwise, I am going to have members be seated. This great lady served for quite some time on behalf of our House of Representatives to the constituents of Pennsylvania.

### TRACY BAKER PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Representative Jozwiak, you may proceed.

Mr. JOZWIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Before I proceed, I would like to introduce Tracy's family that is here with her today. I would like to introduce her mother, Nancy Walak, if you would please stand and wave; her brother is John Walak; and the highlight of her life is Michaela Todaro, her daughter.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the professional career of Tracy Baker. As some of you know, Tracy worked in my Harrisburg office. On September 10 of this year, Tracy officially retired. She served our Commonwealth and this institution for nearly 30 years.

Tracy began working in the House of Representatives on February 15, 1990. She has worked in several different capacities during her time with the House. She served as a secretary to former Representative Matthew Ryan, who many of you know and will recall was the Speaker of the House. She also served as the secretary to Representative John Barley. In February of 1998, Tracy accepted a new position. She began serving as a customer support specialist with the Information Technology Department. In April of 2006, Tracy accepted another new role as a help desk analyst with the IT Department. She served in that capacity until June 8, 2009, when she became a legislative secretary to our colleague, Representative Jerry Knowles. Tracy then received a promotion and took on additional responsibilities. In addition to working for Representative Jerry Knowles, she also worked for Representative Dan Truitt, and this was – she became the first legislative assistant to be in the two-for-one program for the House of Representatives. Four years later she joined my staff; maybe I should say I joined her staff. Last December she accepted her final position and worked with me and our colleague, Representative Bob Brooks.

Mr. Speaker, as my colleagues all understand, having a good secretary is one of the keys to being a good legislator. Tracy Baker was not just a good secretary; she was a great secretary. She enabled me to do my job at a much higher level. While I was out in the spotlight, Tracy often did her work in the background with little notice. While people would see me at the committee meetings, they never saw the work she did to ensure I was prepared for those meetings. While people saw me at various Capitol events, they never saw her work balancing my calendar and making sure I was not double-booked. Tracy's work in the background as a secretary helped me to perform better in the foreground as a legislator. She was a key member of my team.

Mr. Speaker, one of the things that always impressed me about Tracy was how she performed her work. She was a consummate professional. She was dependable and delivered quality work, and she always brought a positive, cheerful attitude with her to our office. Mr. Speaker, it was a great honor to work with Tracy Baker. While I was sad to see her leave, I am truly glad she can enjoy the retirement she earned.

Thank you, Tracy, for your hard work and dedication. I hope you enjoy this new chapter in your life, and members, let us give Tracy Baker a warm send-off from the House of Representatives.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you so much, Representative Jozwiak.

Tracy, thank you for your august service to the citizens of Pennsylvania through your nearly 30 years of service to the House of Representatives. We will miss you. You are always welcome. Every single member who has had the opportunity to work with you has extolled your great work ethic, your courtesy, your level of respect to not only members and staff, but also to each and every constituent that you have encountered.

Thank you again. God bless.

### STATEMENT BY MR. HARRIS

The SPEAKER. Representative Jordan Harris, the Democratic whip, is recognized on unanimous consent.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, quickly, I just wanted to make mention of several guests that we have here in the chamber with us today. Today we are joined by four new elected members to the Philadelphia City Council, who are here in Harrisburg with us. So, Mr. Speaker, if I may introduce them?

The SPEAKER. Yes, please; please proceed.

Mr. HARRIS. We have councilmember-elect Isaiah Thomas, we have councilmember-elect Katherine Gilmore Richardson, councilmember-elect Kendra Brooks, and we have councilmember-elect Jamie Gauthier.

And I do want to make mention that they are here today and they are joined by a former member and my predecessor, current councilmember for the 2d Councilmanic District, Councilman Kenyatta Johnson.

So we appreciate them coming up, and I want to also thank Chairman Jason Dawkins of the Philadelphia delegation, as well as Chairwoman Joanna McClinton and our Secretary, Rosita Youngblood, for hosting them, as well as all of the members of the Philadelphia delegation. Thank you so much, councilmembers. Congratulations on your election, and we look forward to our work together.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Harris.

Members of City Council of Philadelphia, thank you for being here with us today – I guess, actually, members-elect – and also our good friend and former House member, Representative Kenyatta Johnson; just an honor to serve with you. You were always so collegial and always reached out to both sides of the aisle. Great to have you here today, sir.

Representative Jeff Pyle, please come up to the rostrum. And then I know that Representative Sara Innamorato has guests here to be recognized on unanimous consent.

### STATEMENT BY MS. INNAMORATO

The SPEAKER. So we will go with Representative Sara Innamorato, on unanimous consent.

Ms. INNAMORATO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Today I am honored to welcome Robert Tunon, who is in the back. He is an Etna resident—

The SPEAKER. Robert, please stand and just come up towards the railing, sir. Thank you.

Ms. INNAMORATO. Thank you.

You guys do not even know what he did yet.

He is an Etna resident, who is one of many who deserves credit for making the Etna Borough the very first community, not in the Commonwealth, but in the entire United States to receive the EcoDistrict certification. What is an EcoDistrict, you may wonder? It is a planning tool aiming to integrate objectives of sustainable developments and social equity to reduce the ecological footprint of a neighborhood or a region. Etna registered for this certification earlier this year, and in less than 12 short months, Etna Borough officials, volunteers, and community residents like Robert made their commitments to equity, resilience, and climate protection; built a robust declaration of collaboration among community stakeholders; and developed a holistic roadmap to the EcoDistrict implementation. This was definitely a team effort because Etna has joined forces with Millvale and Sharpsburg to create the Triboro EcoDistrict to pull resources and work together to advance sustainability goals.

So thank you for leading the way, and on behalf of the House of Representatives, we offer best wishes for your success in your mission to create a sustainable, healthy, and vibrant neighborhood for all.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Innamorato, and thank you, Robert, for being here. Etna is a wonderful municipality, as are the neighboring municipalities of Millvale and Sharpsburg.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. At this time we are going to just introduce some folks. In the well of the House, we are pleased to welcome guest page Joshua Katz. His father, David, is seated in the rear of the House. They are guests of Representative Marcia Hahn. Thank you so much for traveling to be with us today, Joshua.

In the well of the House, we are pleased to welcome Paige Woodall from Fleetwood High School. She is a guest page today, and her grandfather is Representative Barry Jozwiak. Thank you. Thank you so much for being here.

To the left of the rostrum, we welcome Grayson Smith, who is volunteering as an intern in Representative Stan Saylor's district office. He is a senior at York Suburban High School. Thank you so much for being with us, young man.

In the well of the House, Ashlee Tomassacci, a student at Bloomsburg University who is serving as a guest page today, and she is the guest of Representative David Millard. Thank you so much for being with us.

### MISS PENNSYLVANIA PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Finally, our last presentation for the morning. Representative Jeff Pyle, the chair of the Liquor Control Committee, is invited to the rostrum for the purpose of presenting a citation to Miss Pennsylvania.

Chairman Pyle.

Mr. PYLE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is always a pleasure to introduce to you those amongst us who go beyond and excel; standing behind me is one of those such people. A native of Buffalo Township, Butler

County, 60th Legislative District, Tiffany Seitz is a graduate of Evangel Heights Academy, but you probably recognize her as your 2019 Miss Pennsylvania.

Ladies and gentlemen, Tiffany Seitz.

As with most Miss Pennsylvanias, her story is truly remarkable, but I am going to let her teach that to you. Tiffany, your proclamation, your fancy paperwork that we are going to be sending home with you, signed by my friend, the Speaker, Mike Turzai, reads as follows:

Whereas, the House of Representatives of Pennsylvania is always pleased to recognize its citizens who, through their outstanding achievements, bring great distinction upon themselves and their communities; and whereas, Tiffany Seitz is honored upon being crowned Miss Pennsylvania 2019 on the 15th of June, 2019.

She is the daughter of Len and Lori Seitz. She is a 2013 grad of Evangel Heights Christian Academy and a 2017 graduate of Grove City College, where she majored in entrepreneurship with a minor in communications. A longtime dancer, she teaches dance to kids at Gwen's School of Champions By: Natalie in Saxonburg.

Ladies and gentlemen, one more time, Miss Pennsylvania, Tiffany Seitz.

The SPEAKER. Tiffany, just adjust the microphone as you would like, and if you would like to say a few words to the House of Representatives, we are honored to have you here. Thank you, and congratulations on being named Miss Pennsylvania.

Miss SEITZ. Well, thank you all so much for having me. It is truly a pleasure and an honor to be here. And thank you, Jeff, for such a wonderful introduction.

And like he said, you know, I was adopted, and I have the pleasure of traveling the Keystone State to promote my platform of adoption advocacy. When I was born, I was born addicted, cocaine positive, because of maternal cocaine usage and not expected the live beyond 2 weeks. And in the odd event that I did live beyond 2 weeks, there would be a lot of health complications that would follow me into my adulthood. So I am standing before you today honored and privileged to have none of those things about me being true.

And so I get to travel the State of Pennsylvania to tell my story, but also to remind people why adoption is a valid option in starting a family. There are almost 500,000 children in the United States that currently reside in foster care, and 15,000 of those reside in the State of Pennsylvania. Twenty-seven percent of those 500,000 will not be adopted, and it is my job over the next year to educate people, families, etc., on why adoption is important and the difference that it can make in somebody's life.

So once again, thank you so much for this, and thank you for having me here. It is a pleasure and an honor.

The SPEAKER. Tiffany, what an honor to have you here today, and it is pretty obvious why you got named Miss Pennsylvania. You are a classy, articulate, intelligent young lady. Thank you for representing all the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania so well.

At this time we are going to be doing committee announcements and caucus announcement. I know we have the Appropriations chair, who will be announcing the Appropriations Committee announcement, and then we have Rules Committee

### **RULES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader, for a Rules Committee announcement.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, immediately upon the break, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Immediately upon the break, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room.

### **APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The majority Appropriations chair, Stan Saylor, for an Appropriations Committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Appropriations Committee will meet at 2:06 in the majority caucus room.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Appropriations Committee will meet at 2:06 in the majority caucus room.

### **HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. Representative Gene DiGirolamo, Human Services Committee chair.

Mr. DIGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be an immediate voting meeting of the Human Services Committee in G-50. We will be voting on one resolution and one bill. Immediate meeting, Human Services, in G-50. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

There will be an immediate voting meeting of the Human Services Committee in G-50.

### **INSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. Representative Tina Pickett, the chair of the Insurance Committee, for an Insurance Committee announcement.

Ms. PICKETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The House Insurance Committee will meet at the first break – not at this moment when Appropriations is in meeting, but at the first break – to consider SB 857. We will be in room B-31. That is at the first break, SB 857, the Insurance Committee, in room B-31.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Madam Chair, will you do that meeting after the Rules and Appropriations Committee meetings, because we will be breaking right now? Or do you want to do it if we break later?

Ms. PICKETT. The break right now then if – we are not coming right back after Appropriations?

The SPEAKER. Yes. Will that work for you? Will that work for you, Madam Chair?

Ms. PICKETT. Yes.

The SPEAKER. So after the Rules Committee and the Appropriations Committee votes, the Insurance Committee will then meet to have its Insurance Committee vote, because this is the break.

Does anybody else wish to have – before I go to the caucus announcements – any other committee announcements I am missing?

### **REPUBLICAN CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. So our majority caucus chair is Representative Marcy Toepel, for a caucus announcement.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 2:30. We would be prepared to return to the floor at 3:30. Thank you.

### **DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. The Democratic caucus chair, Representative Joanna McClinton, for a Democratic caucus announcement.

Ms. McCLINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

House Democrats, we will caucus at 2:30; 2:30 this afternoon.

The SPEAKER. So I do not see any other committee announcements or caucus announcements.

### **RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The House will reconvene at 3:30 p.m. this afternoon. At 3:30 we will be reconvening.

### **RECESS EXTENDED**

The time of recess was extended until 4 p.m.; further extended until 4:15 p.m.; further extended until 4:30 p.m.; further extended until 4:45 p.m.

### **AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### **LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The majority whip requests a leave of absence for Todd STEPHENS of Montgomery County for the week. Without objection, that will be granted.

The minority whip requests leaves of absence for Ed NEILSON of Philadelphia County for the day, Brian KIRKLAND of Delaware County for the day, and Representative Frank BURNS of Cambria County for the day. Without objection, those will be granted.

### **BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**HB 419, PN 2820**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of November 22, 2005 (P.L.407, No.74), known as the Pennsylvania Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Screening Act, further providing for definitions and for Pennsylvania Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Screening Program; and making an editorial change.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1061, PN 2580**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.911, No.147), known as the Telemarketer Registration Act, further providing for unwanted telephone solicitation calls prohibited.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1457, PN 1825**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in casualty insurance, further providing for mastectomy and breast cancer reconstruction.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1665, PN 2223**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge, identified by Bridge Key 7381, on that portion of North Main Street over the East Branch Perkiomen Creek, Sellersville Borough, Bucks County, as the Sellersville Veterans Memorial Bridge.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1719, PN 2311**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge, identified as Bridge Key 27659, on that portion of State Route 2034, also known as Edge Hill Road, over Pennsylvania Route 611, also known as Old York Road, in Abington Township, Montgomery County, as the Captain Samuel Schultz Memorial Bridge.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1772, PN 2821**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in burglary and other criminal intrusion, further providing for the offense of criminal trespass.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1890, PN 2623**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act providing for the final disposition of fetal remains; and imposing penalties.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1907, PN 2674**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act designating the bridge carrying State Route 1025 over U.S. Route 11/15 in East Pennsboro Township, Cumberland County, as the Senator Hal Mowery Memorial Bridge.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**HB 49, PN 2893 (Amended)**

By Rep. CUTLER

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school security, further providing for definitions, for school police officers, for training, for powers and duties, for school resource officers and for school security guards; in

high schools, further providing for courses of study; in miscellaneous provisions relating to institutions of higher education, further providing for Public Higher Education Funding Commission; and, in fostering independence through education, further providing for fostering independence waiver program.

## RULES.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND Tabled**

**SB 473, PN 1190**

By Rep. DiGIROLAMO

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in minors, further providing for sale of tobacco and for use of tobacco in schools prohibited; making a conforming amendment to Title 53; and making a related appeal.

## HUMAN SERVICES.

**SB 857, PN 1388 (Amended)**

By Rep. PICKETT

An Act amending Title 40 (Insurance) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for telemedicine, authorizing the regulation of telemedicine by professional licensing boards and providing for insurance coverage of telemedicine.

## INSURANCE.

**RESOLUTION REPORTED  
FROM COMMITTEE**

**HR 515, PN 2894 (Amended)**

By Rep. DiGIROLAMO

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a comprehensive study of changes in availability of county-managed community health services between fiscal years 2010 through 2018.

## HUMAN SERVICES.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. Representative Tony DeLUCA has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER. We are going to proceed with the master roll. Please vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—197

Barrar	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Benninghoff	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bernstine	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Boback	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Borowicz	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Briggs	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brooks	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Brown	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey

Bullock	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burgos	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causer	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
Dermody	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
DiGirolamo	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Donatucci	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Dowling	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Driscoll	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Dunbar	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dush	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Ecker	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Emrick	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Evans	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Everett	Krueger	Rapp	
Farry	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Fee	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fiedler	Lee	Reese	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Burns	Kirkland	Neilson	Stephens
DeLuca	Mako		

LEAVES ADDED—1

Cephas

LEAVES CANCELED—3

Burns	Cephas	DeLuca
-------	--------	--------

The SPEAKER. There are 197 members on the House floor. We have a quorum.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Frank Burns is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

**COMMUNICATION FROM INDEPENDENT FISCAL OFFICE**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges receipt of a letter from the Independent Fiscal Office regarding requests for actuarial notes. This one is for HB 1960, PN 2794, as amended by amendments 03851, 03879, and 03897.

(Copy of communication is on file with the Journal clerk.)

**HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 602** By Representatives KINSEY, FITZGERALD, BURNS, ROTHMAN, BERNSTINE, O'NEAL, BIZZARRO, RYAN, T. DAVIS, SCHLOSSBERG, KENYATTA, HILL-EVANS, WILLIAMS, MILLARD, LONGIETTI, KORTZ, MURT, SAPPEY, B. MILLER, MENTZER, READSHAW, YOUNGBLOOD, SAINATO, HOWARD, SAYLOR, GOODMAN, SOLOMON, NEILSON, HEFFLEY, CIRESI, McCLINTON, JOZWIAK, EVERETT and GILLEN

A Resolution designating the week of November 4 through 11, 2019, as "Veterans Week of Service" in Pennsylvania to coincide with the annual Veterans Day observance on November 11, 2019.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 603** By Representatives YOUNGBLOOD, DONATUCCI, HILL-EVANS, FRANKEL, KOSIEROWSKI, LONGIETTI, KORTZ, RABB, HOHENSTEIN, MURT, SCHLOSSBERG, KENYATTA, HOWARD, HEFFLEY and McCLINTON

A Resolution recognizing October 19, 2019, as "National Period Day" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, November 14, 2019.

**No. 604** By Representatives CRUZ, McCLINTON, MADDEN, DONATUCCI, KINSEY and SHUSTERMAN

A Resolution declaring gun violence a social epidemic that requires immediate action and condemning the National Rifle Association's increasingly extreme and inflammatory rhetoric.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 605** By Representatives M. K. KELLER, CAUSER, PASHINSKI, BERNSTINE, BURNS, CALTAGIRONE, DIAMOND, DiGIROLAMO, ECKER, FEE, GLEIM, HAHN, HERSHEY, HICKERNELL, HILL-EVANS, JOHNSON-HARRELL, KAUFFMAN, KINSEY, KORTZ, LAWRENCE, LONGIETTI, MACKENZIE, MARSHALL, McNEILL, MENTZER, METZGAR, MILLARD, B. MILLER, MOUL, MURT, OBERLANDER, OWLETT, PETRARCA, PICKETT, PYLE, READSHAW, ROTHMAN, SAINATO, SAYLOR, SCHMITT, SNYDER, SONNEY, WENTLING, WILLIAMS, NEILSON, HEFFLEY, JOZWIAK, STRUZZI, EVERETT and GILLEN

A Resolution designating the week of February 22 through 29, 2020, as "FFA Week" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 606** By Representatives READSHAW, HILL-EVANS, FRANKEL, FREEMAN, HENNESSEY, RYAN, KORTZ, BARRAR, THOMAS, ROEBUCK, KINSEY, MACKENZIE, SCHLOSSBERG, MEHAFFIE, MENTZER, LONGIETTI, O'MARA, BURNS, DIGIROLAMO, HARKINS, STURLA, POLINCHOCK, SONNEY, STAATS, PASHINSKI, PYLE, RAVENSTAHL, CALTAGIRONE, MILLARD, BIZZARRO, SAINATO, SAYLOR, WILLIAMS, B. MILLER, NEILSON, DEASY, GOODMAN, EVERETT, MARKOSEK, GILLEN and SCHWEYER

A Resolution recognizing the week of November 18 through 22, 2019, as "American Education Week" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 607** By Representatives GILLEN, DIGIROLAMO, MURT, JAMES, CALTAGIRONE, READSHAW, MALONEY, KULIK, SCHMITT, MACKENZIE, MILLARD, NEILSON, YOUNGBLOOD, ZIMMERMAN and JOZWIAK

A Resolution designating December 1, 2019, as "Penske Truck Leasing Day" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 608** By Representatives BIZZARRO, SONNEY, BARRAR, HILL-EVANS, JONES, KOSIEROWSKI, FREEMAN, MILLARD, DELLOSO, THOMAS, LONGIETTI, O'NEAL, RYAN, KINSEY, SHUSTERMAN, McNEILL, ROTHMAN, MIHALEK, MACKENZIE, BURNS, T. DAVIS, JAMES, SCHLOSSBERG, DIGIROLAMO, BERNSTINE, SANCHEZ, COX, KORTZ, CIRESI, DAY, WILLIAMS, BROWN, MURT, MENTZER, KENYATTA, READSHAW, STRUZZI, McCLINTON, B. MILLER, STAATS, JOZWIAK, DEASY, DeLUCA, WARREN, GALLOWAY, MARSHALL, SAINATO, GOODMAN, DONATUCCI and GILLEN

A Resolution designating November 22, 2019, as "Veterans Suicide Prevention and Awareness Day" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 609** By Representatives KOSIEROWSKI, HENNESSEY, KORTZ, BURNS, DIGIROLAMO, HANBIDGE, BOBACK, MILLARD, KINSEY, JOHNSON-HARRELL, VITALI, HILL-EVANS, NEILSON, READSHAW, DEASY, HEFFLEY, YOUNGBLOOD, JOZWIAK and GILLEN

A Resolution honoring January 4, 2020, as the 150th anniversary of the founding of Jermyn Borough in Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, November 14, 2019.

**No. 610** By Representatives KINSEY, ROEBUCK, KIRKLAND, HILL-EVANS, DELLOSO, KULIK, DAVIDSON, JOHNSON-HARRELL, BURNS, SCHLOSSBERG, DEASY, YOUNGBLOOD, WILLIAMS, McCLINTON and RABB

A Resolution honoring the legacy of Cheyney University as the oldest historically black university in the United States and supporting its reaccreditation by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 611** By Representatives KINSEY, A. DAVIS, BURNS, JONES, BIZZARRO, KORTZ, RYAN, JOZWIAK, MOUL, MILLARD, ROTHMAN, MURT, LONGIETTI, HILL-EVANS, ROEBUCK and DIGIROLAMO

A Resolution designating the month of November 2019 as "NovemBEARD Month" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on HUMAN SERVICES, November 14, 2019.

**No. 612** By Representatives SAMUELSON, MURT, KORTZ, BERNSTINE, BIZZARRO, BOBACK, BROWN, BURNS, T. DAVIS, DEASY, DeLUCA, DIGIROLAMO, EVERETT, HEFFLEY, HENNESSEY, HILL-EVANS, HOWARD, JAMES, JOHNSON-HARRELL, JONES, KAUFFMAN, KINSEY, LONGIETTI, MACKENZIE, MALAGARI, McCLINTON, McNEILL, MILLARD, B. MILLER, NEILSON, PICKETT, PYLE, RYAN, SAINATO, SAYLOR, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHMITT, SCHROEDER, SONNEY, STAATS, THOMAS, YOUNGBLOOD, ZIMMERMAN, WARREN and GILLEN

A Resolution recognizing the month of November 2019 as "National Alzheimer's Disease Awareness and Family Caregivers Month" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND OLDER ADULT SERVICES, November 15, 2019.

**No. 613** By Representatives KOSIEROWSKI, HILL-EVANS, SCHLOSSBERG, SONNEY, McCLINTON, BIZZARRO, KINSEY, ULLMAN, MILLARD, READSHAW, MURT, FREEMAN, DELLOSO, LONGIETTI, KORTZ, MALAGARI, NEILSON, SAINATO, CIRESI, PASHINSKI, FITZGERALD, BURNS, A. DAVIS, DRISCOLL, WARREN, ROEBUCK, HERSHEY, KENYATTA, FRANKEL, DIGIROLAMO, OTTEN, GOODMAN and GILLEN

A Resolution recognizing the year 2020 as the "Year of the Nurse and Midwife" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, November 18, 2019.

**No. 614** By Representatives MALONEY, BIZZARRO, BURNS, COX, GREINER, HILL-EVANS, HOHENSTEIN, KORTZ, D. MILLER, MOUL, READSHAW, RYAN, SCHMITT, SONNEY, STAATS, TOEPEL, VITALI,

MACKENZIE, MILLARD, PICKETT, LONGIETTI, SAINATO, WARREN, T. DAVIS, BARRAR, BERNSTINE, WHEELAND, ROEBUCK and DiGIROLAMO

A Resolution commemorating the 100th anniversary of the Boyertown American Legion Post 471, and recognizing and honoring the legacy of General Carl Spaatz and the American Legion as a staunch advocate for veterans and their families.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, November 15, 2019.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1194** By Representatives MENTZER, B. MILLER, GREINER, ZIMMERMAN, RYAN, KAUFER, JOZWIAK, TURZAI, BRIGGS, MEHAFFIE, BROWN, FEE, SANKEY, MIHALEK, CALTAGIRONE, IRVIN, ULLMAN, BARRAR, VITALI, KLUNK, GILLEN, ISAACSON, LONGIETTI, FARRY, ROTHMAN, GLEIM, LAWRENCE, DUNBAR, SCHLOSSBERG, KAUFFMAN, READSHAW, THOMAS, EMRICK, SCHROEDER, HOHENSTEIN, OTTEN, SCHLEGEL CULVER, SAYLOR, KINSEY, LEWIS, RAVENSTAHL, HAHN, GABLER, MARKOSEK, BOBACK, MULLINS, SAPPEY, COX, KNOWLES, GROVE, HARKINS, NESBIT, O'MARA, WHITE, D. MILLER and JAMES

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in quality healthcare accountability and protection, further providing for definitions, for responsibilities of managed care plans, providing for preauthorization standards and for preauthorization costs, further providing for continuity of care, providing for step therapy protocols, further providing for required disclosure, for operational standards and providing for preauthorization and adverse determinations, for appeals, for access requirements in service areas, for uniform preauthorization form, for preauthorization exemptions and for data collection and reporting; and making an editorial change.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, November 14, 2019.

**No. 1927** By Representatives BENNINGHOFF, BARRAR, SCHLEGEL CULVER, DeLUCA, FEE, HERSHEY, JAMES, KAUFFMAN, MENTZER, MILLARD, OWLETT, POLINCHOCK, PYLE, ROTHMAN, RYAN, SCHMITT, SOLOMON, STAATS, STRUZZI, THOMAS and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in special funds, further providing for funding relating to Budget Stabilization Reserve Fund.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 1928** By Representatives BENNINGHOFF, BARRAR, CALTAGIRONE, SCHLEGEL CULVER, GLEIM, GROVE, HERSHEY, JAMES, MACKENZIE, MOUL, OWLETT, PICKETT, PYLE, ROWE, RYAN and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in special funds, further providing for funding relating to Budget Stabilization Reserve Fund.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 1929** By Representatives BENNINGHOFF, BARRAR, GROVE, HERSHEY, JAMES, MOUL, PICKETT, ROWE, THOMAS, ZIMMERMAN and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in special funds, further providing for funding relating to Budget Stabilization Reserve Fund.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2014** By Representatives KAUFER, MILLARD, OWLETT and ZIMMERMAN

An Act establishing the Transportation Reinvestment and Improvement Program; and providing for transfers.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2017** By Representatives GROVE, HENNESSEY, HILL-EVANS, DiGIROLAMO, RYAN, HICKERNELL, COX, KEEFER, MILLARD, SONNEY, SCHMITT, GREINER, MURT, JOHNSON-HARRELL, BURNS, CALTAGIRONE, PYLE, SAYLOR, KINSEY, SAINATO, DUSH, STAATS, JOZWIAK, MOUL, BERNSTINE, SCHWEYER and GILLEN

An Act designating the portion of State Route 3059 near its intersection with Little Creek Road to the area immediately before State Route 3059 intersects with Officer David D. Tome Memorial Circle located on State Route 116 at Hanover Road and Roth's Church Road in Spring Grove, York County, as Corporal Earl H. Markle Memorial Road.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 31, 2019.

**No. 2018** By Representatives MULLERY, SCHLOSSBERG, McNEILL, MILLARD, GOODMAN, KAUFER and HILL-EVANS

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the borough of Plymouth a permanent storm water drainage easement in Plymouth Borough, Luzerne County.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, November 4, 2019.

**No. 2019** By Representative SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in general budget implementation, further providing for Department of Human Services.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, November 4, 2019.

**No. 2023** By Representatives OTTEN, ZABEL, MURT, BRIGGS, ULLMAN, DONATUCCI, VITALI, SCHLOSSBERG, INNAMORATO, SHUSTERMAN, DALEY, HILL-EVANS, BULLOCK, FRANKEL, COMITTA, McCARTER, KENYATTA, McCLINTON, WILLIAMS,

ISAACSON, STURLA, ROZZI, FIEDLER, HOHENSTEIN, SANCHEZ, MADDEN, T. DAVIS, SOLOMON, KRUEGER and PASHINSKI

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1988 (P.L.556, No.101), known as the Municipal Waste Planning, Recycling and Waste Reduction Act, in recycling and waste reduction, providing for source-separated organics.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2024** By Representatives ISAACSON, FRANKEL, CALTAGIRONE, A. DAVIS, HILL-EVANS, HOHENSTEIN, HOWARD, McCLINTON, McNEILL, OTTEN, PASHINSKI, RABB, ROZZI, SCHLOSSBERG and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending the act of December 17, 1959 (P.L.1913, No.694), known as the Equal Pay Law, providing for pay ranges.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2026** By Representatives ISAACSON, A. DAVIS, HILL-EVANS, HOHENSTEIN, HOWARD, KINSEY, KOSIEROWSKI, McNEILL, SAMUELSON, SCHLOSSBERG, ULLMAN, VITALI, YOUNGBLOOD, DEASY, CIRESI, TOOHIL, THOMAS and STEPHENS

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for public health and imposing duties on the Department of Environmental Protection.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2027** By Representatives ISAACSON, CALTAGIRONE, CIRESI, DEASY, DELLOSO, FRANKEL, FREEMAN, GALLOWAY, HARKINS, HILL-EVANS, HOHENSTEIN, HOWARD, KINSEY, KOSIEROWSKI, MURT, YOUNGBLOOD and ZABEL

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for opioid stewardship; establishing the Opioid Reparation and Accountability Fund and the Opiate Epidemic Response Advisory Council; and imposing duties on the Department of Health, the Department of Human Services and the Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs.

Referred to Committee on HUMAN SERVICES, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2028** By Representatives ISAACSON, CALTAGIRONE, DELLOSO, DeLUCA, JOHNSON-HARRELL, KENYATTA, McCLINTON, McNEILL, ROEBUCK, SCHLOSSBERG and ULLMAN

An Act amending the act of April 6, 1951 (P.L.69, No.20), known as The Landlord and Tenant Act of 1951, in recovery of possession, further providing for escrow funds limited.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2029** By Representatives ISAACSON, HELM, DELLOSO, FRANKEL, HILL-EVANS, HOWARD and KINSEY

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sexual offenses, providing for the offense of fertility fraud; in particular rights and immunities, providing for damages in actions for fertility fraud; and, in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for applicability, for sexual offenses and tier system and for period of registration.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2030** By Representatives SCHEMEL, KAUFFMAN, RYAN, JONES, ZABEL, BERNSTINE, THOMAS, MOUL, KEEFER, B. MILLER, GLEIM and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of September 30, 1983 (P.L.160, No.39), known as the Public Official Compensation Law, further providing for the compensation of members of the General Assembly.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2031** By Representatives RIGBY, BERNSTINE, CALTAGIRONE, CIRESI, SCHLEGEL CULVER, FREEMAN, LAWRENCE, MERSKI, PICKETT, SCHMITT, ZIMMERMAN and GILLEN

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of vehicles, further providing for transfer of registration.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2032** By Representatives ECKER, BERNSTINE, KLUNK, KEEFER, MILLARD, HERSHEY, CAUSER, CALTAGIRONE, ZIMMERMAN, FEE, PYLE, M. K. KELLER, MOUL, STRUZZI, GLEIM, SCHLEGEL CULVER, WHEELAND, KAUFFMAN, SAYLOR, HAHN, ROEBUCK, SCHEMEL, PASHINSKI, COOK, ROWE and TOBASH

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp.Sess., 1937 P.L.2897, No.1), known as the Unemployment Compensation Law, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2033** By Representatives CRUZ, YOUNGBLOOD, HANBIDGE and HILL-EVANS

An Act amending Title 20 (Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for compassionate assisted dignified death; and imposing penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2034** By Representatives PUSKARIC, DOWLING, BERNSTINE and ROTHMAN

An Act providing for issuance of consolidated general microhydropower permits by the Department of Environmental Protection; and establishing a microhydropower pilot program.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2035** By Representatives STRUZZI, BURNS, CALTAGIRONE, DiGIROLAMO, HEFFLEY, HILL-EVANS, KINSEY, KORTZ, MILLARD, MOUL, NEILSON, OWLETT, PICKETT, RYAN, SCHMITT, SCHWEYER, SONNEY, STAATS, TOEPEL and MARSHALL

An Act designating the bridge, identified as Bridge Key 19010, on that portion of United States Route 119 over the Yellow Creek in Center Township, Indiana County, as the Dr. Patricia Hilliard-Robertson Memorial Bridge.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2036** By Representatives ZIMMERMAN, BROOKS, CALTAGIRONE, FREEMAN, McNEILL, M. K. KELLER, KORTZ, SCHMITT, RADER, READSHAW, DRISCOLL and HERSHEY

An Act amending the act of December 7, 1982 (P.L.784, No.225), known as the Dog Law, in licenses, tags and kennels, further providing for requirements for kennels; and abrogating a regulation.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2037** By Representatives McCLINTON, D. MILLER, KENYATTA, McNEILL, KINSEY, RABB, SCHLOSSBERG, YOUNGBLOOD, HILL-EVANS, JOHNSON-HARRELL, FRANKEL and SCHWEYER

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in juries and jurors, further providing for qualifications of jurors.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2038** By Representatives KLUNK, CALTAGIRONE, SAYLOR, DeLUCA and OTTEN

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in driving after imbibing alcohol or utilizing drugs, further providing for accelerated rehabilitative disposition and for drug and alcohol assessments.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2039** By Representatives ORTITAY, OWLETT, RYAN, CALTAGIRONE, WHEELAND, HERSHEY, CIRESI, B. MILLER, BERNSTINE, LAWRENCE, GLEIM and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of December 20, 2015 (P.L.497, No.90), known as the Taxpayer-Funded Advertising Transparency Act, further providing for definitions and for advertising notification; and making a related repeal.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2040** By Representatives HARRIS, LEWIS, DELLOSO, HILL-EVANS, ROTHMAN, FLYNN, FREEMAN, HANBIDGE, RABB, ZABEL, SCHLOSSBERG, MURT, T. DAVIS, KINSEY, BOBACK, YOUNGBLOOD, RAVENSTAHL, SOLOMON, SCHWEYER, MADDEN, HOHENSTEIN, GALLOWAY, A. DAVIS, JOHNSON-HARRELL, McNEILL, DAWKINS, CIRESI, FRANKEL, DEASY, HOWARD and McCLINTON

An Act providing for the PA Second Chance Jobs website and for powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2041** By Representatives TOBASH, PICKETT, RYAN, JAMES, PYLE, MENTZER, COX, MILLARD, ZIMMERMAN, BERNSTINE, ECKER, GROVE, KEEFER and JONES

An Act repealing the act of July 14, 1961 (P.L.604, No.304), entitled "An act relating to apprenticeship and training; creating a State Apprenticeship and Training Council in the Department of Labor and Industry to formulate an apprenticeship and training policy and program, and defining its powers and duties and providing for administration."

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2042** By Representatives RABB, HILL-EVANS, FREEMAN, ROZZI, SCHLOSSBERG, KENYATTA, OTTEN, MADDEN and SOLOMON

A Joint Resolution proposing integrated amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, further providing for duties of Governor, election procedure, tie or contest, for Lieutenant Governor and for election and registration laws and providing for ranked-choice voting.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2043** By Representatives MASSER, MILLARD, TOOHL, WHEELAND, CALTAGIRONE, KORTZ, SCHLEGEL CULVER, NEILSON and GLEIM

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in protection of property and persons, further providing for protective material required.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2044** By Representatives MASSER, SCHMITT, GROVE, RYAN, POLINCHOCK, JOHNSON-HARRELL, ROWE, CALTAGIRONE, JOZWIAK, WHEELAND, HEFFLEY and GILLEN

An Act repealing the act of May 16, 1919 (P.L. 193, No. 120), entitled "An act to provide for the licensing and regulation of public dance halls and ball rooms, and for the regulation, supervision of public dances and balls in cities of the first, second, and third classes."

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2045** By Representatives DOWLING, ROTHMAN, RYAN, JOZWIAK, READSHAW, DUNBAR and WHEELAND

An Act authorizing the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Ohiopyle Borough, Fayette County, certain lands situate in Ohiopyle Borough, Fayette County, in exchange for Ohiopyle Borough granting and conveying certain lands to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to be added to those existing lands at Ohiopyle State Park.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2046** By Representative MURT

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in certification of teachers, further providing for instructional certificate grade spans and age levels and duties of department.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2047** By Representatives KOSIEROWSKI, SCHLOSSBERG, BURGOS, HILL-EVANS, FREEMAN, CALTAGIRONE, READSHAW, ULLMAN, WEBSTER, T. DAVIS, SHUSTERMAN, McCLINTON, FITZGERALD, KORTZ, ZABEL, KENYATTA, KINSEY, FRANKEL, A. DAVIS, YOUNGBLOOD, KRUEGER, HANBIDGE, DeLUCA, GALLOWAY, JOHNSON-HARRELL, MADDEN, HOWARD, TOOHL, HOHENSTEIN, ROZZI, DAVIDSON, DONATUCCI and OTTEN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in governance of the system, providing for criminal prosecutions involving domestic violence survivors; and, in post-trial matters, providing for post conviction relief for domestic violence survivors.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2048** By Representatives ISAACSON, McCLINTON, HANBIDGE, MURT, CALTAGIRONE, FRANKEL, HILL-EVANS, KINSEY, OTTEN, ROZZI, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHWEYER and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for married, pregnant, lactating and parenting pupils.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, November 14, 2019.

**No. 2049** By Representatives T. DAVIS, DONATUCCI, SCHLOSSBERG, STEPHENS, HILL-EVANS, DeLUCA, WARREN, FREEMAN and KIRKLAND

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, in primary and election expenses, further providing for advertising.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, November 18, 2019.

**No. 2051** By Representatives T. DAVIS, MURT, KIRKLAND, MILLARD, STURLA, BERNSTINE, READSHAW, FREEMAN, KINSEY, DRISCOLL and OTTEN

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child protective services, further providing for release of information in confidential reports and for exchange of information.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, November 18, 2019.

**No. 2052** By Representatives MASSER, MURT, SCHMITT, MALONEY, BERNSTINE, JAMES, KNOWLES, HERSHEY, PICKETT, OWLETT, KEEFER, B. MILLER, GLEIM and GILLESPIE

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of General Services and its departmental administrative and advisory boards and commissions, further providing for grounds, buildings and monuments in general and providing for transfer the authority of Lieutenant Governor's Mansion; and making a related repeal.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, November 18, 2019.

**No. 2054** By Representatives KNOWLES, RYAN, BERNSTINE, ZIMMERMAN and OBERLANDER

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in procedure for disbursement of money from State Treasury, prohibiting payments to municipalities that establish or operate supervised safe injection sites.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, November 18, 2019.

### SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 836, PN 1265**

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 31, 2019.

**SB 841, PN 1338**

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, October 31, 2019.

**SB 857, PN 1347**

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, October 31, 2019.

**SB 905, PN 1336**

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 31, 2019.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 1961, PN 2703, entitled:

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in administration relating to retirement of State employees and officers, further providing for administrative duties of the board.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration? Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 1962, PN 2704, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in administration and miscellaneous provisions relating to retirement for school employees, providing for stress test of system; and, in administration, funds, accounts and general provisions relating to retirement for State employees and officers, providing for stress test of system.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. KEEFER offered the following amendment No. A03383:

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 29 and 30, by striking out "5707 (relating to death benefits) and 5708" in line 29 and all of line 30 and inserting

5707 (relating to contributions to the system by the Commonwealth and other employers) and 5508 (relating to actuarial cost method).

Amend Bill, page 5, lines 5 and 6, by striking out "5707 and 5708" and inserting

5707 and 5508

Amend Bill, page 5, lines 15 and 16, by striking out "5707 and 5708" and inserting

5707 and 5508

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (198 total): Barrar, Benninghoff, Bernstine, Bizzarro, Boback, Borowicz, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Brooks, Fiedler, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Fritz, Gabler, Gainey, Galloway, Gaydos, Lee, Lewis, Longiatti, Mackenzie, Madden, Malagari, Maloney, Markosek, Marshall, Masser, Reese, Rigby, Roae, Roebuck, Rothman, Rowe, Rozzi, Ryan, Sainato, Samuelson.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (0 total): Brown, Bullock, Burgos, Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Cephas, Ciresi, Comitta, Conklin, Cook, Cox, Cruz, Culver, Cutler, Daley, Davidson, Davis, A., Davis, T., Dawkins, Day, Deasy, DeLissio, Deloso, Delozier, Dermody, Diamond, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Dowling, Driscoll, Dunbar, Dush, Ecker, Emrick, Evans, Everett, Farry, Fee, Gillen, Gillespie, Gleim, Goodman, Gregory, Greiner, Grove, Hahn, Hanbidge, Harkins, Harrell, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hershey, Hickernell, Hohenstein, Howard, Innamorato, Irvin, Isaacson, James, Jones, Jozwiak, Kail, Kaufer, Kauffman, Keefer, Keller, Kenyatta, Kim, Kinsey, Klunk, Knowles, Kortz, Kosierowski, Krueger, Kulik, Lawrence, Matzie, McCarter, McClinton, McNeill, Mehaffie, Mentzer, Merski, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Mihalek, Millard, Miller, B., Miller, D., Mizgorski, Moul, Mullery, Mullins, Murt, Mustello, Nelson, Nesbit, O'Mara, O'Neal, Oberlander, Ortitay, Otten, Owlett, Pashinski, Peifer, Petrarca, Pickett, Polinchock, Puskaric, Pyle, Quinn, Rabb, Rader, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Sanchez, Sankey, Sappay, Saylor, Schemel, Schlossberg, Schmitt, Schroeder, Schweyer, Shusterman, Simmons, Sims, Snyder, Solomon, Sonney, Staats, Struzzi, Sturla, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Topper, Ullman, Vitali, Walsh, Warner, Warren, Webster, Wentling, Wheatley, Wheeland, White, Williams, Youngblood, Zabel, Zimmerman, Turzai, Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (5 total): DeLuca, Kirklund, Mako, Neilson, Stephens.

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. BRADFORD offered the following amendment No. A03290:

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 27 and 28, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 6, lines 9 and 10, by striking out all of said lines

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Bradford is recognized.

Mr. BRADFORD. This amendment simply removes the 30-year treasury as the standard for which stress testing would take place. Obviously, it is unrealistic and would present a very distorted outlook.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

Is this an agreed-to amendment?

#### BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We are going to go over the bill. We are going to go over the bill.

We will come back to the amendment. Representative Bradford, I will come back to the amendment. So for the present time we are going over the bill.

\* \* \*

#### BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We are going to go over HB 1963 at the present time. We are going to go over this.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1982, PN 2787**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in membership, credited service, classes of service, and eligibility for benefits, further providing for credited State service; in contributions, further providing for shared-risk member contributions and shared-gain adjustments to regular member contributions, for contributions to the system by the Commonwealth and other employers and for actuarial cost method and providing for advance payment of accrued liability contributions; in administration, funds, accounts and general provisions, further providing for administrative duties of the board, for duties of heads of departments and for State accumulation account; providing for obligations of the board, for exercise of legislative power and for liability.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. GROVE offered the following amendment No. **A03644**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "Statutes," in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions;

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 17 through 19, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 5102 of Title 71 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes is amended by adding a definition to read: § 5102. Definitions.

The following words and phrases as used in this part, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meanings:

\* \* \*

"Eligible employer." Any employing unit, agency or department that employs State employees, other than the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, the Delaware River Port Authority, the Port Authority Transit Corporation, the Philadelphia Regional Port Authority, the Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, the State Public School Building Authority, the Department of General Services, the State Highway and Bridge Authority, the Delaware Valley Regional

Planning Commission, the Delaware River Basin Commission, the Susquehanna River Basin Commission and any separate independent public corporation created by statute, not including any municipal or quasi-municipal corporation.

\* \* \*

Section 2. Sections 5302(b)(2) and 5501.1(b)(4), (7) and (8), (c)(4), (e)(4), (7) and (8) and (f)(4) of Title 71 are amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 2, by inserting after "other"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 3, line 3, by striking out " " where it occurs the eighth time

Amend Bill, page 3, line 4, by inserting after "any" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 3, line 21, by inserting after "any"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 4, line 24, by inserting after "any"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 5, line 15, by inserting after "any" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 6, line 5, by inserting after "any"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 7, line 6, by inserting after "any" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 7, line 28, by inserting after "any" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 8, line 11, by striking out "2" and inserting  
3

Amend Bill, page 8, line 22, by inserting after "department"  
that is an eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 10, line 19, by inserting after "other"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 10, line 28, by striking out "3" and inserting  
4

Amend Bill, page 11, line 22, by inserting after "any" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 12, line 11, by inserting after "any"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 13, line 22, by inserting after "any"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 13, line 24, by striking out "4" and inserting  
5

Amend Bill, page 13, line 27, by inserting after "an" where it occurs the first time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 13, line 28, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 13, line 29, by inserting after "the"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 14, line 12, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 14, lines 17 and 18, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 14, line 21, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 14, line 24, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 14, line 27, by striking out "employing units, agencies and departments" and inserting

eligible employers  
Amend Bill, page 15, line 7, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 15, line 14, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 16, line 4, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 16, line 8, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 16, line 15, by inserting after "the" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 16, line 18, by inserting after "the"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 16, line 30; page 17, line 1, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 17, line 6, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 17, line 11, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 17, line 14, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 17, line 25, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 18, line 1, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 18, lines 8 and 9, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 18, line 14, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 18, line 26, by inserting after "the" where it occurs the second time  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 18, line 30, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 1 and 2, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 18 and 19, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 21 and 22, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 23 and 24, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 24 and 25, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 19, line 26, by striking out "employing unit's, agency's or department's" and inserting  
eligible employer's

Amend Bill, page 19, line 29, by inserting after "corresponding"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 20, line 1, by inserting after "an"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 20, line 10, by inserting after "an"  
eligible

Amend Bill, page 20, line 25, by striking out "5" and inserting  
6

Amend Bill, page 21, lines 17 and 18, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 22, line 9, by striking out "6" and inserting  
7

Amend Bill, page 22, line 14, by striking out "department" and inserting  
a department that is an eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 22, line 16, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 22, line 17, by striking out "department" and inserting  
the department that is an eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 22, line 20, by striking out "7" and inserting  
8

Amend Bill, page 24, line 6, by striking out "8" and inserting  
9

Amend Bill, page 24, lines 8 and 9, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 24, line 15, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 24, line 17, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 24, lines 18 and 19, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 25, lines 9 and 10, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 25, lines 21 and 22, by striking out "employing unit, agency or department" and inserting  
eligible employer

Amend Bill, page 25, line 24, by striking out "9" and inserting  
10

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment, please.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I actually support amendment A03644 by Representative Grove to HB 1982. I would ask the members to support us. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative DeLissio, on the amendment. Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the amendment stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. I cannot answer at this time. Representative Benninghoff, however, the prime sponsor of the bill, will stand for interrogation.

Ms. DeLISSIO. That will work.

The SPEAKER. Yes.

You may proceed, Representative DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am curious as to the reason as to why other agencies would be precluded simply because they were created by statute. All of these additional agencies have their own boards, they run their own business, if you will, and what would be the reason for prohibiting them from doing something that could effectively help them to save money in the long run – with no real liability on the part of SERS (State Employees' Retirement System)?

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff will be glad to answer.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. It is my understanding that the prime on this amendment just wanted to narrow the field, see how this proposal goes forth. It does not prohibit the legislature from addressing it later on on another date, and I would ask the members to support that.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding – it is also a little hard to hear – but it is my—

The SPEAKER. Hold on, Representative DeLissio. Just give us a second here.

Members, could we please take our seats. Members, please. Representative DeLissio has some questions. The prime sponsor of the bill is willing to answer those questions. Both are entitled to be heard.

Representative DeLissio, it will help, though, if you are very succinct in your questioning. You will be able to speak on the amendment subsequently, but if you could just ask very pointed, succinct questions so that he can answer them.

Ms. DeLISSIO. I did.

If now I can speak on the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Oh, yes, you may. So there is no further interrogation?

Ms. DeLISSIO. Correct.

The SPEAKER. Okay. On the amendment.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the answer that we will see how it goes with Penn State. I do not think it is all necessary to prohibit any of these other agencies from participating. It is my understanding that any agency who approaches SERS with this type of proposal has to be approved, so that would have to go through that individual agency board. It would also have to go to the SERS board as well.

So for those reasons I will be a "no" vote on this and really do ask my colleagues' consideration.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Tony DeLuca is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

**CONSIDERATION OF HB 1982 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Seth Grove, on the amendment.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment A03644 narrows the scope of who is applicable for this, restricts those entities who are what I consider government connected, and in fact, could raise fees on taxes for residents in order to do a lump-sum payment into the SERS pension system. So under the scope, it is to protect taxpayers, at the end of the day, to make sure those entities are not eligible.

The SPEAKER. Representative Pam DeLissio, for the second time.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As much as I can appreciate that sentiment, and we are all trying to ensure that taxpayers do not have the burden of anything, this could also save taxpayers money, as prepayments such as this are known to save dollars – if not in the immediate short run, certainly in the long run. And to prohibit that entirely I do not find to be a responsible action. I am still a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—108**

Barrar	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Roae
Benninghoff	Gleim	Mentzer	Rothman
Bernstine	Gregory	Metcalfe	Rowe
Boback	Greiner	Metzgar	Ryan
Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Sankey
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Saylor
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Causer	Helm	Mizgorski	Schmitt
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Schroeder
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Simmons
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Staats
Day	James	Nesbit	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kail	Ortitay	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufner	Owlett	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillen	Masser		

**NAYS—91**

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kortz	Readshaw
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Sainato
Bullock	Fiedler	Lee	Samuelson
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sanchez
Burns	Flynn	Madden	Sappety
Caltagirone	Frankel	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Gainey	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Galloway	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Goodman	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Solomon
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Sturla
Daley	Harrell	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davidson	Harris	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Howard	O'Mara	Webster
Dawkins	Innamorato	Otten	Wheatley
Deasy	Isaacson	Pashinski	Williams
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Youngblood
Delloso	Kim	Rabb	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland Mako Neilson Stephens

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended? Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 1963, PN 2705, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in membership, contributions and benefits, further providing for actuarial cost method; and, in contributions, further providing for actuarial cost method.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff had an amendment. I think that amendment is withdrawn. There are no further amendments.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration? Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 1996, PN 2799, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in administration relating to retirement for school employees, further providing for Public School Employees' Retirement Board and for administrative duties of board; and, in administration relating to retirement for State employees and officers, further providing for the State Employees' Retirement Board and for administrative duties of the board.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration? Bill was agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1962 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Dawn Keefer has called up HB 1962, PN 2704. We already passed her amendment, 03383. We passed that.

CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT A03290 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Now we have Representative Bradford's 03290.

Could our clerk – I know you read this already, but could you reread the summary of that amendment for us.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, I know you spoke. This will be your first time. Just for the record, will you please – thank you, sir. Just on the amendment. Thanks, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I stated previously, I believe that while stress testing is a great idea, this particular proposal, this amendment deals with the one issue of using the 10-year average of the 30-year treasury. I believe there is large-spread agreement that that is not a relevant test.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dawn Keefer, on that amendment, please.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am supportive of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Table with 4 columns of names: Barrar, Benninghoff, Bernstine, Bizzarro, Boback, Borowicz, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, Bullock, Burgos, Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Cephas, Ciresi, Comitita, Conklin, Cook, Cox, Cruz, Culver, Cutler, Daley, Davidson, Davis, A., Davis, T., Dawkins, Day, Fiedler, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Fritz, Gabler, Gainey, Galloway, Gaydos, Gillen, Gillespie, Gleim, Goodman, Gregory, Greiner, Grove, Hahn, Hanbidge, Harkins, Harrell, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hershey, Hickernell, Hohenstein, Howard, Innamorato, Irvin, Isaacson, Lee, Lewis, Longietti, Mackenzie, Madden, Malagari, Maloney, Markosek, Marshall, Masser, Matzie, McCarter, McClinton, McNeill, Mehaffie, Mentzer, Merski, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Mihalek, Millard, Miller, B., Miller, D., Mizgorski, Moul, Mullery, Mullins, Murt, Mustello, Nelson, Nesbit, O'Mara, Reese, Rigby, Roae, Roebuck, Rothman, Rowe, Rozzi, Ryan, Sainato, Samuelson, Sanchez, Sankey, Sappery, Saylor, Schemel, Schlossberg, Schmitt, Schroeder, Schwyer, Shusterman, Simmons, Sims, Snyder, Solomon, Sonney, Staats, Struzzi, Sturla, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil

Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1960, PN 2794**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education), 64 (Public Authorities and Quasi-public Corporations) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in membership, contributions and benefits, further providing for appropriations by the Commonwealth and establishing the Public School Employees' Contribution Fund; in School Employees' Defined Contribution Plan, further providing for powers and duties of board; in administration and miscellaneous provisions, further providing for Public School Employees' Retirement Board, for administrative duties of the board, for health insurance and for duties of board to report to State Employees' Retirement Board, providing for duties of the board to report to the investment office, further providing for management of fund and accounts, for Public School Employees' Retirement Fund, for State accumulation account, for health insurance account, for Northern Ireland-related investments, for fraud and adjustment of errors, for payments to school entities by Commonwealth commencing with the 2019-2020 school year and for establishment; in group health insurance program, further providing for administration, for Public School Retirees' Health Insurance Fund and for management of fund; in public authorities, establishing the Commonwealth Pension Investment Office; in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions and providing for certification by Secretary of the Budget; in membership, credited service, classes of service and eligibility for benefits, further providing for mandatory and optional membership in

the system and participation in the plan; establishing the State Employees' Contribution Fund; in benefits, further providing for termination of annuities; in State Employees' Defined Contribution Plan, further providing for termination of distributions and for powers and duties of board; in administration, funds, accounts and general provisions, further providing for administrative duties of the board and for duties of the board to report to the Public School Employees' Retirement Board, providing for duties of the board to report to the Pension Investment Office, further providing for management of fund and accounts, for State Employees' Retirement Fund, for Northern Ireland-related investments, for benefits completion plan and for fraud and adjustment of errors.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. My understanding is that all amendments are withdrawn. My understanding is that each and every amendment is withdrawn. If I am mistaken, please correct me now, because I am going to move the bill to third consideration. All amendments have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1964, PN 2765**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in administration and miscellaneous provisions, further providing for administrative duties of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board; and, in administration, funds, accounts and general provisions, further providing for administrative duties of the State Employees' Retirement Board.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A03442**:

Amend Bill, page 5, lines 21 through 26, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 12, lines 1 through 6, by striking out all of said lines

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Brett Miller, first, on the amendment.

Mr. B. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to amendment, and I would urge the members to vote "yes." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longiatti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
DeLozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGrolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1234, PN 2813**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736, No.338), known as the Workers' Compensation Act, in liability and compensation, further providing for the definitions of "injury," "personal injury" and "injury arising in the course of his employment," providing for diseases with long latency periods between occupational exposure and manifestation of the disease and further providing for liability.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03646**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this act, treatment resulting from a compensable claim filed under this subsection shall not be subject to utilization review.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Withdrawn. Okay. I am sorry. That is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03652**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this act, a claimant filing a claim under this subsection shall not be subject to the Social Security offset provided for under section 204.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03654**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this act, a claimant filing a claim under this subsection shall not be subject shall not be subject to the pension plan benefits offset provided for under section 204.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. That is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03656**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(4) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this act, a claimant filing a claim under this subsection shall not be subject to the Social Security and pension plan benefits offsets provided for under section 204.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger will speak on that amendment, and that is amendment 03656.

Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask my colleagues to support this amendment today. It would remove the Social Security and pension benefits offsets requirements for claims made under section 301(g)(1). With this bill, we are moving victims out of the tort system, where they can receive full wage loss benefits, pain and suffering, and loss of consortium, where they could very well receive no recovery at all by being moved into the workers' compensation system. However, if by some small chance a worker is still eligible for wage loss benefits, I believe that they should not be subject to the pension and Social Security offsets. Cancer and other diseases that are covered under this bill would rob workers of their retirement years when they get sick on the job. The least we can do for them is to ensure that these workers may have access to their full pension and Social Security benefits.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to stand with workers and vote "yes" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Cox, the chair of the Labor and Industry Committee.

Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill would allow claimants who receive pension benefits or Social Security benefits to double-dip. There are plenty of other options for them to recover, and we are opposed to this amendment. I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger, on the amendment for the second time.

Ms. KRUEGER. Mr. Speaker, this bill is talking about workers who have life-ending diseases that they have caught on the job. For my colleague to suggest that people dying of cancer are double-dipping is horrendous, and I urge my colleagues to vote "yes."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Bizzarro	DiGirolamo	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Farry	Lee	Sainato
Burgos	Fiedler	Longietti	Samuelson
Burns	Fitzgerald	Madden	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Flynn	Malagari	Sapprey
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Ciresi	Gainey	McCarter	Shusterman
Comitta	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Goodman	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Hanbidge	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Harrell	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, A.	Harris	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Hohenstein	Murt	Warren
Dawkins	Howard	O'Mara	Webster
Deasy	Innamorato	Otten	Wheatley
DeLissio	Isaacson	Pashinski	White
Delloso	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Kim	Quinn	Youngblood
Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb	Zabel

NAYS—103

Barrar	Gleim	Masser	Roae
Benninghoff	Gregory	Mehaffie	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Rowe
Boback	Grove	Metcalfe	Ryan
Borowicz	Hahn	Metzgar	Sankey
Brooks	Heffley	Mihalek	Saylor
Brown	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Causer	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Cook	Hershey	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cox	Hickernell	Moul	Simmons
Culver	Irvin	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	James	Nelson	Staats
Day	Jones	Nesbit	Struzzi
DeLozier	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Kail	Oberlander	Tobash
Dowling	Kaufer	Ortitay	Toepel
Dunbar	Kauffman	Owlett	Toohil
Dush	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Ecker	Keller	Pickett	Walsh
Emrick	Klunk	Polinchock	Warner
Everett	Knowles	Puskaric	Wentling
Fee	Lawrence	Pyle	Wheeland
Fritz	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Gabler	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gaydos	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillespie			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03658**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this act, in case of death resulting from a disease for which a compensable claim was filed under this subsection, one hundred per centum of wages shall be distributed to the widow or widower.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Okay. That is withdrawn, I believe.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03659**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Compensation for claims for a disease filed under this subsection for which the time period between exposure to the hazard of the disease in the workplace and manifestation of disease is greater than 300 weeks shall be sixty-six and two-thirds per centum of the wages of the injured employe as defined in section 309 and payable for the duration of the disease.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, on the amendment.  
Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We are moving victims out of the tort system, where they are able to recover full wage-loss benefits, pain and suffering, and loss of consortium, into the workers' compensation system where their benefits are extremely limited. Many of the diseases covered under this bill will not be diagnosed until the claimant is retired or is near retirement age, and they are generally not eligible for wage loss benefits. These are workers who have sacrificed their health, and in many cases, their lives for their employers, and I think the very least we can do for these individuals is to compensate them for the injuries they sustained and not force their families to become destitute while fighting these disease claims.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Cox.  
Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is unnecessary. The Workers' Compensation Act already provides for circumstances where a worker is eligible for a wage loss benefit. For that reason I would respectfully request a "no" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—92

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Fiedler	Lee	Sainato
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Samuelson
Burns	Flynn	Madden	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Frankel	Malagari	Sappery
Carroll	Freeman	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Gainey	Matzie	Schweyer
Ciresi	Galloway	McCarter	Shusterman
Comitta	Goodman	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Harrell	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Harris	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Howard	Murt	Warren
Dawkins	Innamorato	O'Mara	Webster
Deasy	Isaacson	Otten	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Williams
Delloso	Kim	Petrarca	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb	Zabel

NAYS—107

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roae
Benninghoff	Gleim	Mehaffie	Rothman
Bernstine	Gregory	Mentzer	Rowe
Boback	Greiner	Metcalfe	Ryan
Borowicz	Grove	Metzgar	Sankey
Brooks	Hahn	Mihalek	Saylor
Brown	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Cook	Hennessey	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cox	Hershey	Moul	Simmons
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Staats
Day	James	Nesbit	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kail	Ortitay	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufer	Owlett	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. MULLERY offered the following amendment No. A03660:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "liability" and for subrogation

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

Section 1.2. Section 319 of the act is amended to read:

Section 319. (a) Where the compensable injury is caused in whole or in part by the act or omission of a third party, the employer shall be subrogated to the right of the employe, his personal representative, his estate or his dependents, against such third party to the extent of the compensation payable under this article by the employer; reasonable attorney's fees and other proper disbursements incurred in obtaining a recovery or in effecting a compromise settlement shall be prorated between the employer and employe, his personal representative, his estate or his dependents. The employer shall pay that proportion of the attorney's fees and other proper disbursements that the amount of compensation paid or payable at the time of recovery or settlement bears to the total recovery or settlement. Any recovery against such third person in excess of the compensation theretofore paid by the employer shall be paid forthwith to the employe, his personal representative, his estate or his dependents, and shall be treated as an advance payment by the employer on account of any future instalments of compensation.

Where an employe has received payments for the disability or medical expense resulting from an injury in the course of his employment paid by the employer or an insurance company on the basis that the injury and disability were not compensable under this act in the event of an agreement or award for that injury the employer or insurance company who made the payments shall be subrogated out of the agreement or award to the amount so paid, if the right to subrogation is agreed to by the parties or is established at the time of hearing before the referee or the board.

(b) This section may not apply to claims made under section 301(g)(1).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Once again, these are individuals who have given their lives, in many cases, for their employers. What we are asking for here is if their third-party suit against the manufacturer, the distributor, whomever it may be, they are able to get a recovery. We would ask that since these funds are now being paid out of the WCSF (Workers' Compensation Security Fund) and not being paid by the liable insurance carrier who collected a premium and assumed the risk for these types of claims, there certainly should be no subrogation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cox, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would allow claimants to get workers' compensation benefits from an employer even after they have received an award from a third party that has been found responsible for the disease. It is for that reason I respectfully request a "no" vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Bizzarro	DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Rabb
Boyle	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Briggs	Evans	Krueger	Roebuck
Bullock	Farry	Kulik	Rozzi
Burgos	Fiedler	Lee	Sainato
Burns	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Flynn	Madden	Sanchez
Carroll	Frankel	Malagari	Sappery
Cephas	Freeman	Markosek	Schlossberg
Ciresi	Gainey	Matzie	Schweyer
Comitta	Galloway	McCarter	Shusterman
Conklin	Goodman	McClinton	Sims
Cruz	Hanbidge	McNeill	Snyder
Daley	Harkins	Merski	Solomon
Davidson	Harrell	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davis, A.	Harris	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, T.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Vitali
Dawkins	Howard	Murt	Warren
Deasy	Innamorato	O'Mara	Webster
DeLissio	Isaacson	Otten	Wheatley
Delloso	Kaufar	Pashinski	Williams
DeLuca	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dermody	Kim	Quinn	Zabel

NAYS—103

Barrar	Gleim	Mehaffie	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gregory	Mentzer	Rowe
Bernstine	Greiner	Metcalfe	Ryan
Boback	Grove	Metzgar	Sankey
Borowicz	Hahn	Mihalek	Saylor
Brooks	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Brown	Helm	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Causar	Hennessey	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cook	Hershey	Moul	Simmons
Cox	Hickernell	Mustello	Sonney
Culver	Irvin	Nelson	Staats
Cutler	James	Nesbit	Struzzi
Day	Jones	O'Neal	Thomas
Delozier	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Tobash
Diamond	Kail	Ortitay	Toepel
Dowling	Kauffman	Owlett	Toohil
Dunbar	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keller	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Klunk	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Knowles	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Lawrence	Pyle	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Rader	White
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	Zimmerman
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roae	Speaker
Gillespie			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. MULLERY offered the following amendment No. A03664:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 11, by inserting after "liability" and for schedule of compensation

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 28 and 29 Section 1.2. Section 306(f.1) of the act is amended by adding a paragraph to read:

Section 306. The following schedule of compensation is hereby established:

\* \* \*

(f.1) \* \* \*

(5.1) (i) Where the employer or insurer wishes to request utilization review of treatment for a disease claim made under section 301(g)(1) for which the time period between exposure to the hazard of the disease in the workplace and manifestation of the disease is greater than three hundred weeks, the payment of medical bills at issue shall be made prior to filing a petition. The employer or insurer is not entitled to make a claim for utilization review unless proof of payment of the bill in question is made to the department at the time of application. A provider who has submitted reports and bills required under this section and who disputes the amount or timeliness of the payment from the employer or insurer shall file an application for fee review with the department. Within thirty days of the filing of the application, the department shall render an administrative decision.

(ii) The failure to pay a bill within thirty days of receipt for the filing of a utilization review petition without prior payment will result in the assessment of an additional ten per centum penalty payable within twenty days of notification by the department.

\* \* \*

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Yes, that is withdrawn.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. KRUEGER offered the following amendment No. A03682:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(4) A claimant under this subsection shall not be required to visit a physician or health care provider designated by an employer as provided under section 306(f.1)(1)(i) and may visit a physician or health care provider of the claimant's own choice. The claimant may follow a course of treatment prescribed and performed by a physician or health care provider of the claimant's own choice and may commence treatment immediately following a visit.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger, on the amendment. Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask my colleagues to support amendment 3682. This amendment would eliminate the 90-day captive period during which claimants must visit a doctor approved by their employer or face delaying treatment for life-threatening diseases. In cancer and other occupational disease treatments, every single day counts. We are talking about teachers who have been exposed to asbestos in underfunded school buildings who do not know how

much longer they have to live. We are talking about railroad workers who have learned they are dying of mesothelioma. Patients deserve to continue their treatment with their own specialists and not have to leave a doctor midtreatment whom they trust to be treated by one of the doctors chosen by their employer whom they no longer trust. Bouncing from doctor to doctor during a life-threatening illness can be at best, frustrating, and at worst, traumatizing. We should not force that upon our injured workers who have sacrificed their health for this job. This is a commonsense, proworker amendment, and I ask my colleagues to support it today.

The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Cox, on the amendment. Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The use of the employers' list is an important cost control. It is intended to keep out bad providers during the initial stages of a claim. Eliminating this will increase workers' comp insurance costs, and it could lead to a claimant receiving inappropriate treatment. It is for that reason that I respectfully request a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-91

Table with 4 columns of names: Bizzarro, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Bullock, Burgos, Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Cephas, Ciresi, Comitta, Conklin, Cruz, Daley, Davidson, Davis, A., Davis, T., Dawkins, Deasy, DeLissio, Deloso, DeLuca, Dermody, Donatucci, Driscoll, Evans, Fiedler, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Gainey, Galloway, Goodman, Hanbidge, Harkins, Harrell, Harris, Hohenstein, Howard, Innamorato, Isaacson, Kenyatta, Kim, Kinsey, Kortz, Kosierowski, Krueger, Kulik, Lee, Longietti, Madden, Malagari, Markosek, Matzie, McCarter, McClinton, McNeill, Merski, Miller, D., Mullery, Mullins, O'Mara, Otten, Pashinski, Petrarca, Rabb, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Roebuck, Rozzi, Sainato, Samuelson, Sanchez, Sappey, Schlossberg, Schweyer, Shusterman, Sims, Snyder, Solomon, Sturla, Ullman, Vitali, Warren, Webster, Wheatley, Williams, Youngblood, Zabel

NAYS-108

Table with 4 columns of names: Barrar, Benninghoff, Bernstine, Boback, Borowicz, Brooks, Brown, Causer, Cook, Cox, Culver, Cutler, Day, Delozier, Diamond, DiGirolamo, Dowling, Gillespie, Gleim, Gregory, Greiner, Grove, Hahn, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hershey, Hickernell, Irvin, James, Jones, Jozwiak, Kail, Kaufer, Mehaffie, Mentzer, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Mihalek, Millard, Miller, B., Mizgorski, Moul, Murst, Mustello, Nelson, Nesbit, O'Neal, Oberlander, Ortitay, Owlett, Roae, Rothman, Rowe, Ryan, Sankey, Saylor, Schemel, Schmitt, Schroeder, Simmons, Sonney, Staats, Struzzi, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil

Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillen	Masser		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03624**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 18, by striking out the period after "weeks" and inserting

except for diseases caused by exposure to polyfluoroalkyl or perfluorinated substances, including, but not limited to, perfluorooctanoic acid, perfluorononanoic acid and perfluorohexane sulfuric acid.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Bradford.  
Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would exempt PFAS (perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances)-caused diseases from the claimant's burden to prove the disease has a long latency period. Obviously, this is an issue in my particular neck of the woods, but more and more across the Commonwealth and should be excluded for that reason.

Thank you so much, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Thank you.

Representative Cox, on the amendment.

Mr. **COX**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is completely unnecessary. The 300-week limit under this legislation begins at the date of diagnosis, so there is really no need to exempt any specific disease. For that reason I request a "no" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Bizzarro	Donatucci	Krueger	Roebuck
Boyle	Driscoll	Kulik	Rozzi
Bradford	Evans	Lee	Sainato
Briggs	Farry	Longietti	Samuelson
Bullock	Fiedler	Madden	Sanchez
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Malagari	Sappay
Burns	Flynn	Markosek	Schlossberg
Caltagirone	Frankel	Matzie	Schroeder
Carroll	Freeman	McCarter	Schweyer
Cephas	Gainey	McClinton	Shusterman
Ciresi	Galloway	McNeill	Sims
Comitta	Goodman	Merski	Snyder
Conklin	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Harkins	Mullery	Sturla
Daley	Harrell	Mullins	Thomas
Davidson	Harris	Murt	Ullman
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	O'Mara	Vitali
Davis, T.	Howard	Otten	Warren
Dawkins	Innamorato	Pashinski	Webster
Deasy	Isaacson	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Polinchock	White
Delloso	Kim	Quinn	Williams
DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb	Youngblood
Dermody	Kortz	Ravenstahl	Zabel
DiGirolo	Kosierowski	Readshaw	

NAYS—100

Barrar	Gillespie	Marshall	Rigby
Benninghoff	Gleim	Masser	Roae
Bernstine	Gregory	Mehaffie	Rothman
Boback	Greiner	Mentzer	Rowe
Borowicz	Grove	Metcalfe	Ryan
Brooks	Hahn	Metzgar	Sankey
Brown	Heffley	Mihalek	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Cox	Hershey	Mizgorski	Simmons
Culver	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Irvin	Mustello	Staats
Day	James	Nelson	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	Nesbit	Tobash
Diamond	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Toepel
Dowling	Kail	Oberlander	Toohil
Dunbar	Kaufner	Ortitay	Topper
Dush	Kauffman	Owlett	Walsh
Ecker	Keefer	Peifer	Warner
Emrick	Keller	Pickett	Wentling
Everett	Klunk	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Knowles	Pyle	Zimmerman
Fritz	Lawrence	Rader	
Gabler	Lewis	Rapp	Turzai,
Gaydos	Mackenzie	Reese	Speaker
Gillen	Maloney		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03645**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13  
(4) Nothing in this act may be construed to preclude a child, spouse or other dependent of the claimant from filing a claim against the claimant's employer if the claimant is suffering from an asbestos related disease.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. That amendment has been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Amendment A03661 is offered by Representative Mullery. That is withdrawn. That is withdrawn. Amendment A03662, Representative Mullery. Withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03622**:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 8 and 9  
Section 2. The Secretary of the Budget shall transmit notice of the repayment of the amount transferred from the Workers' Compensation Security Fund to the General Fund in accordance with section 2112 of the act of July 12, 2016 (P.L.1577, No.16A), known as the General Appropriation Act of 2016 and section 1734-A.1 of the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, immediately upon the repayment, to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Amend Bill, page 7, line 9, by striking out "immediately." and inserting  
as follows:

- (1) The addition of section 301(g)(2) of the act shall take effect upon publication of the notice under section 2 of this act.
- (2) The remainder of this act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 3622 simply requires that section 301(g)(2) of the bill, which assigns a new liability to the Workers' Compensation Fund, such an assignment should not take place until such time as the fund is made completely whole. As you know, this body saw fit to borrow \$165 million from the Workers' Compensation Security Fund several years back. It has not, at this time, made that repayment, and as consequence, puts the actual fund in some

jeopardy. It would seem only prudent and good financial management to make sure that that fund is repaid in whole before such additional liability is poured onto that for this scheme.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Cox, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is unnecessary. The Workers' Comp Security Fund is administered by the Insurance Department, so it really does not make any sense to require the L&I Secretary to file notice. This amendment will further delay the implementation of the bill. An outstanding loan to the General Fund will appear as an asset on the Workers' Comp Security Fund's balance sheet. It is for these reasons and a few others that I oppose this amendment and ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, please, for the second time; my apologies.

Mr. BRADFORD. Just to follow up real quickly to the good gentleman. I realize that he notes that this loan of \$165 million is noted on the fund and is therefore, in some sense, a receivable. That would be true if this Assembly ever showed any interest in making the repayment. But year after year without any interest payment or any interest, frankly, of making such repayment, which it is obligated to do so, at some point the security of the actual fund becomes endangered and we put this fund in jeopardy, because, frankly, this body has not seen fit to repay a loan that it took out with no anticipation of repayment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—91

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kortz	Readshaw
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Sainato
Bullock	Fiedler	Lee	Samuelson
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sanchez
Burns	Flynn	Madden	Sappery
Caltagirone	Frankel	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Gainey	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Galloway	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Goodman	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Solomon
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Sturla
Daley	Harrell	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davidson	Harris	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Howard	O'Mara	Webster
Dawkins	Innamorato	Otten	Wheatley
Deasy	Isaacson	Pashinski	Williams
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Youngblood
Delloso	Kim	Rabb	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—108

Barrar	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Roae
Benninghoff	Gleim	Mentzer	Rothman
Bernstine	Gregory	Metcalfe	Rowe
Boback	Greiner	Metzgar	Ryan

Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Sankey
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Saylor
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Causser	Helm	Mizgorski	Schmitt
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Schroeder
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Simmons
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Staats
Day	James	Nesbit	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kail	Ortitay	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufer	Owlett	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillen	Masser		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford offers amendment – okay. Withdrawn. Amendment 03623 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. MULLERY offered the following amendment No. **A03643**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 10 and 11  
(vi) The Insurance Department shall adopt regulations providing for an assessment to maintain a minimum balance of one billion five hundred million dollars (\$1,500,000,000) in the Workers' Compensation Security Fund for such time as needed to administer the provisions of this subsection.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, on the amendment.  
Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
I think it is important to understand what is exactly happening here from a practical standpoint. We have workers in Pennsylvania who are injured on the job, sustaining life-threatening and often life-taking diseases. They acquired those diseases while working for an employer who paid for workers' compensation insurance from a viable carrier who assumed the risk and collected the premium. Despite that, these claims are being brought out of the tort system, put in the workers'

compensation system, so they can be paid for by our Workers' Compensation Security Fund. If you do not know what that is, it is a fund that was established to pay injured workers their benefits when their insurance company went bankrupt. When that fund reaches \$500 million, every carrier in the State receives a levy and they have to put money into it to keep it viable. The last time a carrier went belly up in the Commonwealth, 400-plus claims were transferred to the WCSF. If you do not think that is a lot, it is. There are only about 1600 total claims being handled in that fund right now. We are expecting, I am guessing, a mass amount of claims coming in – otherwise we would not be doing this legislation – so we need to make certain there is enough money in the fund to handle that. So I am asking that the bottom dollar be raised from \$500 million to \$1.5 billion. If the fund drops below \$1.5 billion, we ask the insurance companies to contribute to make it whole so that we can handle this mass influx of claims we are expecting that has caused this legislation to be introduced.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Cox.  
Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is unnecessary. The Workers' Comp Security Fund already has a \$500 million trigger in it, and that triggers an assessment if it goes below that threshold. If latent disease claims contribute to a significant drop in the fund, an assessment will occur automatically under existing law. Workers' Comp Security Fund assessments are paid by insurers who may then pass the cost on to insured businesses as part of the ratemaking process. Groups representing insured businesses already understand that they will bear the cost of this assessment, but they do not support a preemptive assessment for an amount which may not even be necessary.

I would like to add that the current fund balance is at \$964 million, and so we have not had the need for an assessment since 2009. That is the last time it was near \$500 million. This amendment is belts and suspenders and every other type of thing to hold up a fund that is already self-sustaining.

Thank you. I ask for a "no vote on this amendment."  
The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, for the second time.  
Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That explanation is rich. The workers' compensation insurance carriers in the State do not want this bottom level raised for claims that they are on the hook to pay but are transferring to the WCSF. That is ridiculous, and I would ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—91

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kortz	Readshaw
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Sainato
Bullock	Fiedler	Lee	Samuelson
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sanchez
Burns	Flynn	Madden	Sappey
Caltagirone	Frankel	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Gainey	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Galloway	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Goodman	McClinton	Snyder

Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Solomon
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Sturla
Daley	Harrell	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davidson	Harris	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Warren
Davis, T.	Howard	O'Mara	Webster
Dawkins	Innamorato	Otten	Wheatley
Deasy	Isaacson	Pashinski	Williams
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Youngblood
Delloso	Kim	Rabb	Zabel
DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—108

Barrar	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Roae
Benninghoff	Gleim	Mentzer	Rothman
Bernstine	Gregory	Metcalfe	Rowe
Boback	Greiner	Metzgar	Ryan
Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Sankey
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Saylor
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Causer	Helm	Mizgorski	Schmitt
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Schroeder
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Simmons
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Staats
Day	James	Nesbit	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kail	Ortitay	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufer	Owlett	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker
Gillen	Masser		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03649**:

- Amend Bill, page 2, line 14, by striking out "(G)(1)" and inserting (g)
- Amend Bill, page 3, line 16, by striking out "(g)(1)" and inserting (g)
- Amend Bill, page 4, line 3, by striking out "(1)"
- Amend Bill, page 4, line 13, by striking out "three" where it occurs the second time and inserting nine
- Amend Bill, page 4, lines 15 and 16, by striking out "a claimant's burden" and inserting the burden of an employer or insurer

- Amend Bill, page 4, line 17, by striking out "has" and inserting does not have
  - Amend Bill, page 4, lines 19 through 30; page 5, lines 1 through 30; page 6, lines 1 through 12; by striking out all of said lines on said pages
  - Amend Bill, page 6, line 27, by striking out "hazardous"
- On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, please.  
Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Diseases that manifest outside the 300-week timeline are complicated. Extending the filing deadline will give workers more time to consider their options and to make connection with their employment. Our knowledge of diseases is constantly changing; extending the deadline gives claimants time for science to catch up.

There is also a change in the burden of proof. We are putting it now on the claimant. This will shut many workers out of both the civil court system and the workers' compensation system. As currently written, this bill represents a huge win for insurers and corporations at the expense of Pennsylvania's working families. This is an additional burden beyond what workers' compensation provides for, and it is counter to the humanitarian purposes of the act.

For that reason I would ask for an affirmative vote on A3649.  
Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cox.  
Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The 300-week limit that is put forth in this bill begins at the date of diagnosis. This is already longer than the 3-year time limit that we currently have to file claims for other workplace injuries. Nine hundred weeks is an unreasonably long period of time; that is over 17 1/2 years from the date of diagnosis.

The premise of this bill is to provide an avenue for workers' comp for latent diseases. Flipping the burden of proof is going to undercut this premise if the claimant does not have to prove that the disease has a latency period. Eliminating the language related to the Workers' Comp Security Fund will provide uncertainty for claimants, and then these claims will be litigated and they will ultimately be uninsured.

It is for these reasons that I ask that you oppose the amendment and vote "no." Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Bizzarro	DiGirolamo	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Farry	Lee	Sainato
Burgos	Fiedler	Longietti	Samuelson
Burns	Fitzgerald	Madden	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Flynn	Malagari	Sappey
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Ciresi	Gainey	McCarter	Shusterman
Comitta	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Goodman	McNeill	Snyder

Cruz	Hanbidge	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Harrell	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, A.	Harris	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Hohenstein	Murt	Warren
Dawkins	Howard	O'Mara	Webster
Deasy	Innamorato	Otten	Wheatley
DeLissio	Isaacson	Pashinski	White
Dellosa	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Kim	Quinn	Youngblood
Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb	Zabel

## NAYS—103

Barrar	Gleim	Masser	Roae
Benninghoff	Gregory	Mehaffie	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Rowe
Boback	Grove	Metcalfe	Ryan
Borowicz	Hahn	Metzgar	Sankey
Brooks	Heffley	Mihalek	Saylor
Brown	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Causar	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Cook	Hershey	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cox	Hickernell	Moul	Simmons
Culver	Irvin	Mustello	Sonney
Cutler	James	Nelson	Staats
Day	Jones	Nesbit	Struzzi
DeLozier	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Thomas
Diamond	Kail	Oberlander	Tobash
Dowling	Kaufer	Ortitay	Toepel
Dunbar	Kauffman	Owlett	Toohil
Dush	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Ecker	Keller	Pickett	Walsh
Emrick	Klunk	Polinchock	Warner
Everett	Knowles	Puskaric	Wentling
Fee	Lawrence	Pyle	Wheeland
Fritz	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Gabler	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gaydos	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery has withdrawn amendment 03647, amendment 03667, and amendment 03666.

Representative Krueger, please correct me if I am wrong – and if I am wrong, it is no problem, just correct it for the record – I believe these amendments have been withdrawn: 03650, 03651, 03653, 03655, 03657, 03663, and 03665. My fault. My fault. Okay. You are correct. So that would be true up to amendment 3657. Okay. Those are all withdrawn.

Representative Mullery, amendments 03663 and 03665 withdrawn? Okay. Thank you. Those are all withdrawn.

Representative Krueger, you do have four amendments that are filed late, and I can call them up. We would just have to do a motion suspend and argue the motion to suspend.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03907**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by striking out "A SUBSECTION"  
and inserting  
subsections

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(h) If a claim by an individual for workers' compensation under subsection (g) is denied based on the employer's classification of the individual as an independent contractor, the employer shall have the burden to prove that the individual was an independent contractor and not eligible for compensation under this act.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Okay. So amendment 3907 is withdrawn.  
Amendment 3908, I understand, is withdrawn.  
Representative Krueger wants to raise a motion with respect to amendment 3909.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A03909**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 20, by striking out "A SUBSECTION"  
and inserting  
subsections

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(h) If it is discovered in the course of a claim for compensation under subsection (g) that an employer wrongfully classified an individual as an independent contractor, the secretary may assess and collect civil penalties of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for the first violation and not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each subsequent violation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

## MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. So this amendment is out of order because it is late-filed.

Representative Krueger is going to make a motion to suspend. Please proceed.

Ms. KRUEGER. Yes, Mr. Speaker, I am going to make a motion to suspend the rules to allow a vote on amendment 3909. We have been waiting for a chance to vote against misclassification of workers on the House floor, and this would give us our opportunity.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Cox.

Mr. COX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The time for amendments has come and gone as far as the filing. This is not something that was sprung on us in the last minute. There has been plenty of time for that. These amendments were filed, series of amendments were filed this morning. We barely got the language for this even just recently. It is time to just vote the bill. Let us vote.

I ask for a "no" vote on the suspension of the rules. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger, for the second time on the motion to suspend.

Ms. KRUEGER. Mr. Speaker, this bill would completely overhaul the workers' comp system. We deserve a chance to vote for an amendment that would hold employers accountable for intentional misclassification to avoid requirements under this new section. This amendment would increase the penalty fines, and I ask that we would have a chance to vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—89

Bizzarro	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Boyle	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Bradford	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Briggs	Fiedler	Kulik	Sainato
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Lee	Samuelson
Burgos	Flynn	Longietti	Sanchez
Burns	Frankel	Madden	Sappey
Caltagirone	Freeman	Malagari	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gainey	Markosek	Schweyer
Cephas	Galloway	Matzie	Shusterman
Ciresi	Goodman	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Hanbidge	McClinton	Snyder
Conklin	Harkins	McNeill	Solomon
Cruz	Harrell	Merski	Sturla
Daley	Harris	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Howard	O'Mara	Warren
Dawkins	Innamorato	Otten	Webster
Deasy	Isaacson	Pashinski	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Petrarca	Williams
Dellosa	Kim	Rabb	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl	Zabel
Dermody			

NAYS—110

Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Rigby
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Roae
Bernstine	Gleim	Mentzer	Rothman
Boback	Gregory	Metcalfe	Rowe
Borowicz	Greiner	Metzgar	Ryan
Brooks	Grove	Mihalek	Sankey
Brown	Hahn	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Cook	Helm	Mizgorski	Schmitt
Cox	Hennessey	Moul	Schroeder
Culver	Hershey	Mullery	Simmons
Cutler	Hickernell	Murt	Sonney
Davidson	Irvin	Mustello	Staats
Day	James	Nelson	Struzzi
Delozier	Jones	Nesbit	Thomas
Diamond	Jozwiak	O'Neal	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kail	Oberlander	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufer	Ortitay	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Owlett	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh

Ecker	Keller	Pickett	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Polinchock	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Puskaric	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Pyle	White
Fee	Lewis	Quinn	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rader	
Gabler	Maloney	Rapp	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Reese	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger, there is one other late-filed amendment, 3910. Withdrawn? Okay.

I do not see any other amendments being offered to this bill, HB 1234. There are no other amendments.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1061, PN 2580**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.911, No.147), known as the Telemarketer Registration Act, further providing for unwanted telephone solicitation calls prohibited.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Meghan Schroeder, on the bill.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 1061 allows for better communication between the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Aging. This will help protect our seniors from consumer fraud and to help spread the word quicker about the newest scams. Financial exploitation is the fastest growing category of elder abuse in our Commonwealth. So please vote "yes" on HB 1061. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 419, PN 2820**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 22, 2005 (P.L.407, No.74), known as the Pennsylvania Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Screening Act, further providing for definitions and for Pennsylvania Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Screening Program; and making an editorial change.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Donatucci.  
Ms. DONATUCCI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to ask my colleagues to support HB 419. This year an estimated 330,000 cases of breast cancer are expected to be diagnosed in women and men in the United States. Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women. As State legislators, I know that fighting back against this disease is important to all of us.

Early detection makes a difference in the fight against breast cancer and cervical cancer. I do not have to be a doctor to tell you that regular screening tests are the most reliable way to detect breast cancer early, and I do not have to be an economist to tell you that cancer is expensive, and that low-income, uninsured, and underinsured people do not have the same access to vital screenings. Those people who do not have the same access to screenings die from breast cancer at a disproportionately higher rate.

A person's socioeconomic position should not dictate their ability to access these lifesaving medical services. That is why I have proposed legislation, HB 419, that would increase access to breast cancer screenings, as well as cervical cancer screenings. By expanding the age eligibility for qualified individuals to get breast cancer screenings from ages 40 to 64 and cervical cancer screenings from ages 21 to 64, we can ensure access to these crucial services and provide a better chance of beating cancer for so many more people.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD**

The SPEAKER. Representative Frankel, on the bill.  
Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have some comments in support of the legislation that I will submit for the record.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Mr. FRANKEL submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

I suspect that everyone in this room has a story to tell about someone in their life taken too soon by breast cancer or cervical cancer. We also have stories of survival: friends, colleagues, and loved ones who had the right combination of good medical care, good fortune, and good timing to vanquish these deadly diseases from their bodies.

HB 419 would remove obstacles that can block individuals from identifying breast cancer and cervical cancer as early as possible by expanding the age eligibility for low-income uninsured and underinsured patients under the Pennsylvania Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Screening Program. Providing free testing to more people means more of the kinds of stories we would rather have: the stories of survival.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGrolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood

Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Representative Frank Ryan calls up HB 1890, PN 2623, page 2 of today's supplemental A House calendar.

Representative Frank Ryan is called upon on HB 1890.

Representative Ryan, we will come back, we are going to come back to the bill. Just to give you a chance to— Yeah, which is fine.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1457, PN 1825**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in casualty insurance, further providing for mastectomy and breast cancer reconstruction.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Meghan Schroeder is called upon.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 1457 originated from a constituent in my district going through a very difficult time and having a double breast mastectomy. Some insurers may not cover custom breast prostheses, and for many reasons, including mental health, we should. Off-the-shelf prostheses are not always possible if a woman has an irregular chest wall postmastectomy surgery, and not every woman is a good candidate for reconstructive surgery. This bill will ensure that women have the option to choose which best serves their situation and need.

Please vote "yes" on HB 1457. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappery
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufar	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1772, PN 2821**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in burglary and other criminal intrusion, further providing for the offense of criminal trespass.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Speaker recognizes Representative Dawn Keefer. Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate everybody's support on this bill. The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?  
The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappery
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper

DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1665, PN 2223**, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge, identified by Bridge Key 7381, on that portion of North Main Street over the East Branch Perkiomen Creek, Sellersville Borough, Bucks County, as the Sellersville Veterans Memorial Bridge.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato

Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causer	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Delloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1907, PN 2674**, entitled:

An Act designating the bridge carrying State Route 1025 over U.S. Route 11/15 in East Pennsboro Township, Cumberland County, as the Senator Hal Mowery Memorial Bridge.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Barrar	Fiedler	Lee	Reese
Benninghoff	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Rigby
Bernstine	Flynn	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Madden	Rothman
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rowe
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Samuelson
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sanchez
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Sappey
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gregory	Mehaffie	Schemel
Carroll	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Causar	Grove	Merski	Schmitt
Cephas	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harkins	Mihalek	Shusterman
Conklin	Harrell	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Solomon
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Staats
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davidson	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davis, A.	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Nelson	Tobash
Dawkins	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Isaacson	O'Mara	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neal	Topper
DeLissio	Jones	Oberlander	Ullman
Deloso	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kail	Otten	Walsh
DeLuca	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
DiGirolamo	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Knowles	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Kortz	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kosierowski	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Krueger	Rapp	
Everett	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative CEPHAS has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1890, PN 2623**, entitled:

An Act providing for the final disposition of fetal remains; and imposing penalties.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Members, I have a number of our colleagues that have requested to speak. Let me just make sure I have this right. Representative Frank Ryan, of course, and he will be first and last if he wishes to go a second time. There are Representative Krueger, Representative Fiedler, Representative Hanbidge, Representative Isaacson, Representative Frankel, and Representative Daley.

So I am going to begin with Representative Ryan. And then Representative Rapp. So we will begin with Representative Ryan, and then I will follow with Representative Krueger, followed by Representative Fiedler, Representative Hanbidge, Representative Isaacson, Representative Frankel, Representative Daley, and Representative Rapp.

You may proceed, Representative Frank Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank you for the opportunity to talk to you today about a fetal remains bill.

There is a tremendous amount of information and misinformation that has come out as you will regard to this bill. But nothing alters the impact of some of the comments that I have received from members of this chamber and from the public who express appreciation for the work that we are doing today to help those of us who have lost children to be able to handle some of the difficulties that we have dealt with. It is almost as if in many cases – one member made a comment to me and said they had felt shunned. Another member, who in their times of doing this, was trying to explain how they felt – and this person is a person who is as tough as nails – and they just held my hands and they started to sob and they walked away.

I still remember that fateful day in 1978. It affected me for the rest of my life. I have always prided myself in being a very tough Marine, and I have seen things in my life that most people have not seen, but nothing can prepare you for that moment when your life goes from pure joy to absolute abject sorrow in a nanosecond.

And when the baby is delivered and you realize what has happened, and you are on the maternity ward and you are seeing other people experience the joys of their birth and you are seeing the absolute trauma to your spouse after you have just lost a child, you go through unbelievable, different emotions.

I went through the emotions of trying to figure out what to do when I called my sister, Pat, and Pat said to me – my sister, Pat, who is now deceased – said to me, "Be sure you ask for the remains." And by the time we had done that, the remains had been disposed of. The hospital's perspective was that to help my family cope with this to leave my wife on the maternity ward – and I have found many people had the same experiences – and to have her walk off of the maternity ward when we were being discharged and walk right by the newborn babies so that she could understand that she was going home without a child. To this day, I do not understand why that is the case, but it affected me in ways that you cannot possibly imagine.

And there have been a number of things that have happened in the past couple of weeks. But I walked across the United States 5 years ago because of my son, Eddie. And I dedicated this book – and this is in the book – it says, "I dedicate this book to my dad, who passed away when I was just shy of four years old; to my four children on earth, who put up with me while I learned to be a father; and to my three children who were miscarried, for whom I pray every day."

When my wife collapsed as we were walking out of the hospital and I realized that we had lost our child and we had lost the remains of our child, we wanted to craft something that was voluntary, that provided the family with the ability for closure, for the ability to deal with their loss, for the ability to understand that a human life was lost – their life that they have been striving for for so long. I have seen couples that approach me, when they first heard some of the misinformation about the bill, when they heard about what it was really about, said this is compassionate.

In my own case, I became angry. I fell away from my church for a period of time. Then I realized that was not what this was about and I should not have been doing that. I decided to dedicate the rest of my life to helping children with disabilities, to help children who may have not been able to be born. But when the first reporter came out and wanted to talk to me about this she made something very— She started to cry as I was talking. And she said, "This is really personal to you, isn't it?" And I said, "Like you can't imagine." Because I feel like the day that I die will be the happiest day of my life and my faith. I will be seeing the face of God. I will be seeing the face of my savior. And all I worry about is will my son, Eddie, be there and say, "Dad, why did you abandon me? Why weren't you there to protect me, Dad?" And as you look at that from the perspective of taking care of your family, there is no greater responsibility that a parent has than to do that, and I ask myself and beat myself up on that every single day.

And I would merely ask that this bill is a voluntary perspective on the parents' part to be able to provide them with the understanding, the closure, and the compassion that they need as they struggle through an incredibly difficult time of their life, which perhaps only days earlier had been a moment of tremendous joy.

So I would ask you that as you contemplate this bill, I would ask you for a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger.

Ms. KRUEGER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to HB 1890. This bill would dramatically change current law regarding what happens after a woman in Pennsylvania has a miscarriage or an abortion at any stage of the pregnancy. Approximately 1 in 5 pregnancies end in miscarriage, and over 1 million miscarriages occur in the United States every year. Miscarriage is a common and traumatic experience that would become even more traumatic with the intrusion of politicians who want to inflict their own views on women facing a heartbreaking situation.

Mr. Speaker, I know what this feels like. I am a woman who had a miscarriage. I went to my obstetrician for a routine checkup and learned that there was no heartbeat. I was 8 1/2 weeks pregnant. Shocked and heartbroken to learn that the pregnancy I was carrying had ended unexpectedly, I was given two options by my doctor: schedule a D&C (dilation and curettage) procedure to remove the dead fetal tissue, or go home with medication that would help me complete the miscarriage at home. Having had undergone frequent transvaginal ultrasounds for this high-risk pregnancy, I did not want to undergo another invasive procedure. I made my choice. I chose to go home and complete the miscarriage in private. I wanted to be in the comfort of my own home, surrounded by my family, not in a doctor's office.

It took days for my miscarriage to end. I bled for over 24 hours, and I never knew for sure when I was passing fetal tissue and when it was blood clots. I had to go for weekly blood tests for 2 months afterwards to ensure that all the pregnancy hormones had left my system and that no fetal tissue remained.

I felt alone and traumatized and full of shame. I told almost no one at the time. The pregnancy was early enough that I had told very few people. Those feelings of trauma are incredibly common for women who experience miscarriage, and changing the law to mandate how doctors have to treat women having miscarriages will only make it worse. If this law passes, my doctor would have been required to collect and bury those fetal remains and issue a death certificate – whether I wanted one or not. It is not voluntary. That would have been true if I had been just 1 day pregnant or in the second trimester. I would have lost the ability to choose what to do with the fetal remains. Politicians in this Capitol would have made the choice for me, no matter my own religious beliefs about burial.

Despite what some of our colleagues have said, this is not just a fetal remains bill. It would have a tremendous impact on the patient. We heard today from three psychologists who called this bill trauma-inducing.

Again and again in this chamber we fight bills that force cruel mandates on women – women experiencing miscarriages and women who choose abortion. Mr. Speaker, I dream of a day when we get to vote on bills that respect women and improve women's health, but today is not that day. I urge all of my colleagues to choose compassion and vote against this trauma-inducing bill. Vote "no" on HB 1890.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Fiedler.

Ms. FIEDLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to HB 1890. As mentioned, I do not believe that the legislature should attempt to impose a mandate on women or on their families. HB 1890 would indeed add further trauma. The impact that this bill would have on women and their families during a difficult time is tremendous.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the bill stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Representative Ryan has agreed to stand for interrogation.

Ms. FIEDLER. Thank you.

You state that this legislation gives women a choice to decide whether or not to have a ritual disposal after the end of a pregnancy. How exactly does that work? Will the doctor have to ask each patient if she would prefer something different in line with her own personal and religious beliefs? If she chooses to do something different, will she have to take actual possession of the embryonic tissue? And if she chooses not to take the embryonic tissue home, is she required to contract with a funeral director?

Mr. RYAN. In the first part of the scenario that you mentioned, it would be part of any informed consent that would be done as part of any medical procedure, medical process by which you have been admitted to a hospital. Any treatment plan would be included in that type of situation, as you do now. That is done, candidly, in every type of situation and treatment that is currently taking place.

If the parent chooses not to have – and in that disclosure document would be the individuals acknowledging that they understand that they have the right to ask for the fetal remains. If there is a fetal remains desire to where they want to take the fetal remains, it would be transmitted and the person would have – it is not a death certificate, as commonly mentioned – it is as is currently required, which is called a fetal death registration, which is currently in Pennsylvania law, that would be transmitted so that it would be able to go to any funeral home should it be so desired, or to a crematory if the family should so desire for cremation.

Ms. FIEDLER. Thank you. But it seems that the bill requires the health-care facility to do many of these things. How is this, in your opinion, about providing the parents with an option? If you could explain, please.

Mr. RYAN. The parent has the option if there is going to be a death of a fetus in which – or the child – in which the parent will be notified that they have the option of taking the remains or not. If they are silent, the parents do not respond, then it is done exactly as it is done currently, which is a simultaneous cremation is what a facility's – I was on a hospital board for 28 years, and that is the way it would typically be done. You have the fetal death registration that is currently already in Pennsylvania law, and that is the only thing for which there is a requirement on the facility. And parents who choose not to do anything do not have any additional requirements. They could just allow the child to be handled by the facility the way it is currently done now.

Ms. FIEDLER. If this is about simultaneous cremation, could you please explain why this bill specifically requires the health-care facility to have the burial, please?

Mr. RYAN. I have to tell you, when you have lost a child – and I hear this about having the ability to have an impact and not to get politicians involved, but you have already gotten involved when I was denied the right to take care and provide for my son. I was already denied the right and have felt the remorse. And I have heard from an unbelievable number of other people who have experienced that same trauma, where they were denied that by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania where we were not given the option to be able to handle our child and have him interred in a facility for our family. So we were denied that opportunity. So this is merely giving us a voluntary opportunity to say if you wish the remains to be taken and be able to be interred with your family or cremated. The simultaneous cremation is for those families

that choose not to have the baby's remains picked up. The hospital would still, the health-care facility would still be able to use the simultaneous cremation as is currently done.

Ms. FIEDLER. So it appears that the simultaneous cremation is only for the fetuses that are unclaimed, and that requires that they would have been taken to the morgue. Could you explain if that is your intent, please?

Mr. RYAN. The current law, the way it is currently being done would be done in the same process if the family does not wish to take control of the remains. The change occurs, under this, if the family decides to take control of the remains, they would either be done through an interment or a cremation that would be done at the expense of the family who wishes to receive the remains.

Ms. FIEDLER. Thank you. If I may ask a follow-up question. I appreciate your answers and I also appreciate that this is a very sensitive and rightfully emotional topic, and I thank you for your thought on that.

The bill as I read it requires a death certificate to be filed for each occasion a pregnancy ends in a health facility, thereby creating a database of abortions and miscarriages. Could you explain who would be able to request documents from this database? What are the protections in place to ensure a patient's privacy? And could you talk about the fact that the information is publicly available in 50 years. So I would be interested to know how you plan to prevent a patient's private medical information from becoming public record?

Mr. RYAN. First and foremost, no death certificate is required under this bill. And under current law, outside the scope of this legislation under HB 1890, it is already a fetal death registration that is currently being done, and if there is a problem with that, then you should then consider looking at that aspect of the law. In terms of what access of information is available, that would ever be whatever the current existing law would require. But it is not— It is outside the scope of this bill.

Ms. FIEDLER. Thank you very much. I appreciate your answers and your time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative, thank you.

Representative Hanbidge.

Ms. HANBIDGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the maker of the bill stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. He will so stand.

Ms. HANBIDGE. The bill states that a parent can choose an alternative disposition for the embryonic or fetal tissue; presumably this means the patient and another person. Are there any provisions in the bill if the parties disagree with one another? In the case of surrogacy? And also, when there is an underage parent who is the parent of the fetal remains? Also, what notice provisions are there and who is responsible for providing notice, the hospital or the patient?

Mr. RYAN. At this point in time, it would be between the patient and their physician, the one receiving the care. And it is silent on the issue if there is a disagreement, but it is between the patient that would be discussed.

Ms. HANBIDGE. Okay. Under current law, for second-trimester abortions, the resulting medical tissue must be sent to a pathologist for review, sometimes occurring out of State. Would this bill remove that requirement? If the medical tissue is sent to pathologists out of State, would they be required to provide ritual burial or cremation? After a pathologist reviews the medical tissue, would the patient be forced to come back and file a death certificate? If the medical tissue must be sent back to

Pennsylvania – this law states it must be embalmed or transported in a certain kind of metal box – what are the costs for embalming and special containers? Who will bear those costs?

Mr. RYAN. I am not sure which question – I could just answer yes, yes, yes, no, yes, yes, no. But you have asked a whole series of questions. So I would merely say first, there is no death certificate, once again, and it is under current law, you have a fetal death registration. That is the document that would be used for the transmit of any materials. But to answer your general question is, no, there is no requirement other than what is currently in existing law for any tissue so transferred.

Ms. HANBIDGE. So to clarify, if I may, there would not be any requirement for fetal tissue sent out of State?

Mr. RYAN. That is correct. There is no requirement.

Ms. HANBIDGE. Okay. In the interest in protecting taxpayers' costs related to this, in 2017 there were 137,771 live births in Pennsylvania. Typically 1 in 5 pregnancies ends in miscarriage, so about 34,000 miscarriages occur in Pennsylvania each year. If approximately 1 in 10 happen in a medical facility, exclusive of any abortions that occur, are there any costs or moneys allocated toward the impact on coroners and vital statistics?

Mr. RYAN. There are no additional costs, number one. Number two, no medical institutions or health-care facilities have objected to this. We have not heard from any health-care providers. Number three, and I think equally as importantly, is any costs that would be incurred if a family so choose to take their child and have it interred or cremated for family burial, that would be incurred at the cost to the person who wishes to have those fetal remains.

Ms. HANBIDGE. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, if I may on the bill?

### **THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (TINA PICKETT) PRESIDING**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Go ahead, please.

Ms. HANBIDGE. I think one of things that is missing when we talk about this bill is the potential effect that it might have in having women avoid medical treatment, and also adding an additional emotional burden to them.

The last thing I want to do is stand in front of my colleagues and tell them that I had a miscarriage at 12 weeks. I was diagnosed with a miscarriage during a routine examination, and I was given three options: I was told I could either receive a D&C, I could take a medicine which would induce contractions and help me pass the tissue, or I could wait. And I chose to go home and wait because I wanted to see what my body would do. And I woke up in the middle of the night and I was hemorrhaging extensively. And I took my blood pressure – because there are provisions as to whether or not you need to go into the hospital – I took my blood pressure. It was 80/50, and I realized I was going into shock.

By the time I got to the hospital, my blood pressure was even lower, and I was really scared that I was going to bleed to death. The miscarriage and the amount of hemorrhage lasted for about another 12 hours, both in the toilet in the room that I was given in the hospital, and also in padding that the hospital provided to me. I think that had I been sitting in my house considering that

someone might be going through the padding or the toilet to see what remains they could accumulate in order to follow this provision, I would not have gone to the hospital.

Miscarriage hits people in a different way, in different times, different ways. And I will not presume to speak to someone else's experience, but miscarriage for me brought a lot of shame that I could not do something so easy – right? – continue a pregnancy. But it also brought guilt. Well, did I do something wrong? And I think having public record or public access to a record, potentially, would have just added to this burden to me. And I am not sure what I would have done. I am not sure how it would have added to the emotional pain as what I was undergoing at that time.

But I do not think that this bill puts women first. I do not think it considers the emotional trauma or the possibility that it might actually deter people from going and getting help. So I strongly request that you vote against this bill so that other people are not in the same position I was, making other decisions. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you.

Representative Isaacson.

Mrs. ISAACSON. Thank you. I will not need to do an interrogation.

Today I rise in opposition to HB 1890, a medically unnecessary bill disguising abortion restrictions that not only pins health-care providers with the responsibility of imposing a funeral ritual on patients and families, but also shaming them in the process of whether they are faced with what they feel is a true loss or a carefully made personal decision. While I would like not to think that perhaps the author of this bill does not know how common miscarriage is – 20 percent of pregnancies result in it – or even just how basic female biology works, I do not believe that to be the true case of this bill. This bill is yet another attempt to harass abortion providers, infringe upon a woman's right to choose, and shame individuals seeking abortion through forcing religious rites on both them and their health-care providers.

Proponents of this bill keep stating that it only gives women a choice, but that is in fact what it takes away. This bill forces hospitals and clinics to provide for the ritual disposal through burials or cremation following a miscarriage or an abortion. This bill is a mandate, not a choice. It jeopardizes access to health care, threatens patients' privacy and confidentiality, and does nothing to comfort anyone but those with political antichoice agendas, and we must vote against it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you.

Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I just think I need to outline several facts about this bill. It is a fact that this bill requires burial, and that requires a death certificate. And we spoke to many providers about this and that is for miscarriages or terminations of pregnancies 16 weeks or less, a death certificate now is required 16 weeks and prospectively. Or it allows a parent to take home the remains. Or it forces a patient to not claim the remains, sending their miscarriage to a morgue. And it would punish health-care facilities who do not follow through.

I am opposing this legislation for the reasons stated by my colleagues. The people who treat patients and the patients themselves are telling us this bill is cruel, redundant, and poorly drafted. It will hurt families during a vulnerable time. Some patients want the opportunity to have a resting place in a cemetery for the fetal tissue following an abortion or a miscarriage, and

I am grateful that our hospitals are providing that service for women – when they want it. I am also grateful that when it is not appropriate or when families do not want it, we are not forcing it on them.

Whether you choose to end a pregnancy or your plans for a baby are abruptly taken from you in a miscarriage or you learn of the pregnancy on the same day it was ending, this bill would narrow your options and enforce a nonmedical directive that the event must be marked with a ritual disposal. This measure has nothing to do with women's health, and it ignores the perspective of those who have actually experienced miscarriages and abortion. So what is going on here?

HB 1890 is designed to redefine the very concept of life. If it became part of Pennsylvania's code, it would be the first time ever that a fertilized egg would legally be characterized as a person. Now, remember, fertilized eggs that do not attach to the uterine wall do not even meet the medical criteria for a pregnancy. If Republican leaders have their way, though, those fertilized eggs, most of which naturally pass out of the body as part of menstruation, would be recognized as the same as a live person, requiring a death certificate and a burial.

This is not an accident. Blocked by our nation's Supreme Court – our Supreme Court affirmed abortion rights – the individuals who want to criminalize abortion have been forced to get creative. Already just this year we passed bills that make it illegal to have an abortion based on how a woman came to that decision. We passed bills narrowing what constitutes an emergency situation, where a woman would have a right to an abortion to save her life and health. And we redefined abortion to remove the explicit language that reminds us that contraception is not the same as abortion. Now again we are being told that a fertilized egg is exactly the same as a live person. When a fertilized egg fails to grow into a human baby, it needs a death certificate.

I recognize that some of these very dangerous and misguided bills are rooted in heartfelt beliefs about human life. I also hold a strong moral belief in the sacred complexity of a woman's relationship to her own body and her own pregnancy, and that it is the most personal and fundamental right. I have a strong belief that families have their right to shape their own destiny.

For a decade now I have been standing here urging my colleagues to honor women and families' autonomy. It is exhausting. And while perhaps some people think it makes good political theater, I find it excruciating. I have done this for my mother, my wife, my daughter, and female friends, but I have also done it for myself. I fear living in a world where women and families do not have the ability to control their own destinies, and I believe that most Pennsylvanians agree with this.

This bill would redefine life to align with the religious beliefs of some people, and it would set the stage for a whole new realm of ways to control and punish women through our legal system. It would force families, some of whom are making their way through very, very real grief, to travel a narrow path set by people in this room rather than their own hearts. It would hurt people who do not deserve to be in the crossfire of this covert abortion debate. I ask my colleagues to see this for what it is and vote "no."

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you.

Representative Daley.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I wanted to read a letter from the three psychologists that Representative Krueger mentioned earlier. They wrote a letter to the Capital-Star and it was published yesterday. I am going to read this letter verbatim, and so I just think it is important to state that. Here is the letter:

"A woman you know has experienced a miscarriage. According to the Mayo Clinic, 20 percent of pregnancies end in this way.

"The National Institutes of Health report that 80 percent of miscarriages happen during the first trimester, often before the pregnancy is recognized. Like many aspects of reproductive healthcare, this private, frequently painful episode is often shrouded in secrecy and silence.

"Legislation sponsored by Rep. Frank Ryan, R-Lebanon (HB1890), currently" – and this was written not today – "currently before the state House Appropriations Committee, magnifies and intrudes into what is often an exceptionally difficult loss.

"As three female psychologists with more than 70 years of clinical practice between us, we have helped many of our clients deal with the sequela of miscarriage. In addition, all three of us have personally endured miscarriages....

"This poorly-worded and ambiguous piece of legislation is potentially emotionally harmful to the women of Pennsylvania, creating additional burdens and possible trauma.

"Ryan's legislation categorizes a miscarriage as a death, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, and, therefore, requires the health facility to file a death certificate, obtain a burial permit, and to provide ritual burial or cremation.

"If a woman does not agree with these mandates, she will be responsible for potentially significant fees to opt out of this legal demand. By requiring a death certificate (under Article V of the Vital Statistics Law) for ALL miscarriages (not just those 16 weeks or later, as is now the case), family members could have immediate access to the record and it would also become part of the public record later on, thus compromising a woman's privacy and safety.

"Under current state law, miscarriages prior to 16 weeks are documented in a woman's health record and are confidential under both federal and state laws. These records are never released without the woman's permission. To be clear, in current law, there is also nothing that prevents a woman from having ritual burial for a miscarriage that is less than 16 weeks. Ryan's bill seeks to make this a requirement.

"Through these mandates, Ryan's legislation, intrudes inappropriately into a woman's experience of miscarriage, by compromising her privacy and dictating how she should make meaning of it, a process that is influenced by her health, religious beliefs/moral convictions, desire to have a baby or not, relationships and past experiences of loss.

"In addition, especially with early miscarriage, the legislation raises questions about a woman's ability to seek out relevant health information for herself through post miscarriage genetic testing so that she can better understand what happened in order to plan future treatment or make other decisions for herself and her family.

"Miscarriage can be a relief or a tragedy or anything in between to the people who go through it. The three of us have born witness to the variety of meanings people make in order to understand this common event in their reproductive lives.

"Ryan's legislation should not determine how a woman and her partner/family cope with this loss. The Pennsylvania legislature needs to vote NO on this bill. It turns what is already a complicated and private event into one that is public and potentially psychologically damaging."

I ask my colleagues to vote "no" on HB 1890 and to consider what these psychologists – Susan E.R. Mitchell, Deborah Derrickson Kossmann, and Heather Tuckman, who are all licensed psychologists – have shared with us.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER. Representative Kathy Rapp.

Ms. RAPP. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, to inform you, this legislation is mirrored from a law that was passed in the State of Indiana. That bill was upheld by the United States Supreme Court, this very legislation that is before us today.

I stand to lend my strongest support to HB 1890. This bill will go a long way in easing the grief and suffering and pain for women who have lost an unborn child. Mothers and fathers currently question, what happened to the remains of my child? Since this bill was introduced, I have had several people come to me and share with me the importance of the bill to them. And that is the question that remains with them when they were not able to obtain the remains of the child, what happened to my unborn child's remains?

This bill will give parents the comfort of knowing that they now will have the right to determine the resting place of their child's remains. Having this option can give tremendous help in alleviating the pain of wondering for the rest of your life, what happened to my unborn child's remains? Parents now will not have to wonder; they can know. They can have the choice of deciding where the child is laid to rest.

This is a compassionate bill for those who have miscarried and want those remains. They want those remains. They will have the option – the option, Mr. Speaker – of obtaining those remains. This bill pays the greatest respect to women. It is not disrespectful. I am dismayed from the statements that I have heard from the other side of the aisle. This pays the greatest respect to mothers and fathers, respecting their wishes as a mother who chooses to have the remains of her child and who can determine where that child is laid to rest.

Please support this compassionate bill so that mothers who lose their children have the right to claim their remains.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you, Representative, for sponsoring this great piece of legislation.

The SPEAKER. Representative Aaron Bernstine.

Mr. BERNSTINE Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bill that I think, you know, there are a lot of times when we receive information from different interest groups and constituents, and part of the problem is the misinformation that is out there. And I think this is really one of the most commonsense pieces of legislation that I have been able to look at and find. This is a choice bill. This is very simply, what Representative Ryan does, it provides the choice for the parents to do what they want with the remains of their child.

And whether some of those that are proabortion – and I am strongly pro-life – but those that are proabortion want to call it medical tissue or fetal tissue or a fertilized egg, that is fine, but the parents that choose to believe that that is a child should absolutely, unequivocally, have the right to do with the remains of their child.

We have heard from those that have spoken out in opposition to this that it is medical unnecessary. They are right. They are absolutely correct. It is absolutely medically unnecessary. But as a parent, you do not just need to make decisions or choices that are medically necessary. It is not medically necessary to work out. It is not medically necessary to eat a balanced diet. But you should do those things, and you also have the right to do those things.

They talk about that it is infringement on a woman's right to choose. The facts are that it is the exact opposite of that. It is specifically saying a woman's right to choose, and by the way, for us that are men in the room, it is also a father's right to choose. I am not sure when in this world that all the sudden you had the opportunity, you have the right to make a decision as a couple to what you want to happen to your dead child.

They talk about ritualistic deposal. What we are talking about is having the right to bury your child, the right to bury your child if you choose to.

So, Mr. Speaker, the miscommunication and the inaccurate data that has been shared about this legislation is disappointing. And I would strongly encourage a "yes" vote to truly allow parents, mothers and fathers, to make the decision that they feel is best for their family. For the first time, I will be happy to vote pro-choice – pro-choice for the parents to do what they believe is best for their family.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Ryan, concluding remarks, sir?

Does anybody else wish to speak before the good gentleman? Representative Ryan, for the second time.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, members, first of all, for the members that spoke today that have lost children, I will extend my heartfelt prayers and thoughts to you. I hope that you will accept them in the spirit that I offer them to you.

I understand that many people may not agree with this, but please, I would ask you not to mischaracterize the plain language of the bill.

During this whirlwind that happened in the past couple of weeks, we had a situation in which a member made a comment and I had an opportunity to respond, and I responded with the dignity and the benefit of the doubt that I think the member intended it to be. And I would ask the same today. I heard two speakers attribute a motive to something that I have done, and I find that particularly painful in light of the loss that our family suffered.

When we found out that we were expecting, my wife said to me, "We're pregnant." She never said, "I'm pregnant." You know, I have lost a father at a very young age, and so having the opportunity to be a dad means more to me than you can imagine. Taking care of children is what I want. I do not want to be doing this. I want to be taking care of kids.

And I would pray that anybody that has known me for the 3 years that I have been here would not attribute a motive other than let us give the person who has suffered the miscarriage the option to take care of their child as they see fit. That is all this bill

does, and anything other than that that is said about that is a mischaracterization.

And I would ask you please for a "yes" vote on HB 1890.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Cephas is here on the floor and should be placed on the master roll.

**CONSIDERATION OF HB 1890 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—123**

Barrar	Gillespie	Marshall	Reese
Benninghoff	Gleim	Masser	Rigby
Bernstine	Goodman	Matzie	Roae
Boback	Gregory	Mehaffie	Rothman
Borowicz	Greiner	Mentzer	Rowe
Brooks	Grove	Merski	Ryan
Brown	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sainato
Burns	Heffley	Metzgar	Sankey
Causer	Helm	Mihalek	Saylor
Cook	Hennessey	Millard	Schemel
Cox	Hershey	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Culver	Hickernell	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cutler	Irvin	Moul	Simmons
Day	James	Murt	Snyder
Delozier	Jones	Mustello	Sonney
DeLuca	Jozwiak	Nelson	Staats
Diamond	Kail	Nesbit	Struzzi
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Neal	Thomas
Dowling	Kauffman	Oberlander	Tobash
Driscoll	Keefer	Ortitay	Toepel
Dunbar	Keller	Owlett	Toohil
Dush	Klunk	Peifer	Topper
Ecker	Knowles	Petrarca	Walsh
Emrick	Kortz	Pickett	Warner
Everett	Kulik	Polinchock	Wentling
Farry	Lawrence	Puskaric	Wheeland
Fee	Lewis	Pyle	White
Fritz	Longietti	Quinn	Zimmerman
Gabler	Mackenzie	Rader	
Galloway	Maloney	Rapp	Turzai,
Gaydos	Markosek	Readshaw	Speaker
Gillen			

**NAYS—76**

Bizzarro	DeLissio	Kenyatta	Roebuck
Boyle	Delloso	Kim	Rozzi
Bradford	Dermody	Kinsey	Samuelson
Briggs	Donatucci	Kosierowski	Sanchez
Bullock	Evans	Krueger	Sappey
Burgos	Fiedler	Lee	Schlossberg

Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schweyer
Carroll	Flynn	Malagari	Shusterman
Cephas	Frankel	McCarter	Sims
Ciresi	Freeman	McClinton	Solomon
Comitta	Gainey	McNeill	Sturla
Conklin	Hanbidge	Miller, D.	Ullman
Cruz	Harkins	Mullery	Vitali
Daley	Harrell	Mullins	Warren
Davidson	Harris	O'Mara	Webster
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Otten	Wheatley
Davis, T.	Howard	Pashinski	Williams
Dawkins	Innamorato	Rabb	Youngblood
Deasy	Isaacson	Ravenstahl	Zabel

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—4**

Kirkland	Mako	Neilson	Stephens
----------	------	---------	----------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Members, there are a number of resolutions, but we are going to go over them until tomorrow. We are going over all the resolutions until tomorrow.

**BILLS RECOMMITTED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

- HB 1234;
- HB 1960;
- HB 1961;
- HB 1962;
- HB 1963;
- HB 1964;
- HB 1982; and
- HB 1996.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

- HB 432;
- SB 133; and
- SB 572.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. EVERETT****STATE GOVERNMENT  
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. Representative Garth Everett is recognized for a committee announcement.

Mr. EVERETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be an immediate meeting, Republican only House State Government members, in 100 Ryan Building for those who want to attend – who want to attend – to go over the bills for tomorrow morning's meeting.

And then tomorrow morning there will be a House State Government Committee voting meeting, on Tuesday, at 9 o'clock. The agenda will consist of the following legislation: SB 750, HB 1945, and HB 2018, as well as any other business to come before the committee.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

The State Government Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. Representative John Hershey moves that the House be adjourned until Tuesday, November 19, 2019, at 11 a.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:45 p.m., e.s.t., the House adjourned.