

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, JUNE 18, 2018

SESSION OF 2018

202D OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 28

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

HON. MATTHEW D. DOWLING, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Please bow your heads.

Heavenly Father, You number the hairs on our heads and determine our days. You hang the stars and feed the sparrows. You open doors no one can shut and shut doors that no one can open. We give You glory and praise as we count the abundant blessings we have as Americans and as Pennsylvanians. As we begin this legislative day, we know we can trust You when the time comes for decisionmaking. We pray to You for generous wisdom, straight paths, and compassionate hearts.

As we set off to do our work, we ask that in granting us wisdom, You also illuminate Your will in all that we do. Free us from the paralysis of indecision. Free us from making decisions primarily for our comfort and others' approval or fear of their disapproval. Free us to know that good choices do not always lead to the easiest outcomes, especially at first. Free us from second- and twenty-second-guessing our own decisions. We pray, Father, that You keep us in this chamber ever mindful of the people we serve. Allow us to put the needs of others before our own. Allow us to follow Your example of servant leadership.

At the end of the day, we pray that we will have served You and the constituents of our districts in a way that You have called us to do so. Allow us the peace of mind that we have answered Your call and tended to the call of Your people.

In Your strong and loving name we pray. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, June 13, 2018, will be postponed until printed.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 2459, PN 3695 (Amended) By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending the act of November 10, 1999 (P.L.491, No.45), known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act, in uniform construction code, further providing for adoption by regulations.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 934, PN 1826 By Rep. KAUFFMAN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry, its departmental administrative and advisory boards and departmental administrative officers, further providing for fees and for Industrial Board and establishing the Elevator Safety Board.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 979 By Representatives CEPHAS, BARRAR, READSHAW, NEILSON, QUIGLEY, SOLOMON, THOMAS, SIMS, YOUNGBLOOD, SCHLOSSBERG, KINSEY, DRISCOLL, HILL-EVANS, MURT, DALEY, ROEBUCK, DONATUCCI, WATSON and GILLEN

A Resolution urging the Congress of the United States to allocate funding for the specific purpose of dredging the Schuylkill River and to fulfill the requirements of a congressional mandate that provides for the required maintenance of the Schuylkill River.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, June 18, 2018.

No. 980 By Representatives CEPHAS, READSHAW, MURT, NEILSON, KINSEY, FRANKEL, SOLOMON, THOMAS, J. HARRIS, W. KELLER, DONATUCCI, SCHWEYER, DALEY, GILLEN, M. QUINN and McCLINTON

A Resolution expressing concern over the surging rate of female incarceration in this Commonwealth.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2310 By Representatives WHEATLEY, BULLOCK, SCHLOSSBERG, McCLINTON and KINSEY

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for social impact assessment office.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2475 By Representatives GALLOWAY, DAVIS, DERMODY, J. McNEILL, READSHAW, SCHLOSSBERG, KINSEY, WATSON, DRISCOLL, DEAN, ROEBUCK, DeLUCA, DEASY and DALEY

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for definitions, for unlawful discriminatory practices and for powers and duties of the commission; providing for policies and procedures; and further providing for procedure.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2488 By Representatives HELM, KINSEY, BIZZARRO, COMITTA, SCHLEGEL CULVER, DAVIDSON, J. HARRIS, HENNESSEY, HILL-EVANS, LONGIETTI, MARSICO, J. McNEILL, MILLARD, NEILSON, PICKETT, M. QUINN, READSHAW, ROTHMAN, RYAN, SCHLOSSBERG, WARD, HICKERNELL, TOEPEL, ROEBUCK, BOBACK, EVERETT, KORTZ and DRISCOLL

An Act providing for the annual designation and holiday observance June 19 as "Juneteenth National Freedom Day" in this Commonwealth.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 18, 2018.

No. 2489 By Representative MACKENZIE

An Act authorizing the release of Project 70 restrictions on certain lands owned by the Borough of Topton, Berks County, in exchange for the imposition of Project 70 restrictions on other lands to be acquired by the Borough of Topton, Berks County.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 14, 2018.

No. 2490 By Representatives ELLIS, BARRAR, MILLARD, HAHN, DeLUCA, GROVE and KEEFER

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in taxicabs and limousines in first class cities, further providing for definitions and for certificate of public convenience required.

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE, June 18, 2018.

No. 2491 By Representatives ORTITAY, CHARLTON, WATSON, BOBACK, MURT and CUTLER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in tax credit eligibility, further providing for definitions and providing for employment and advancement of qualified individuals with a disability.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 18, 2018.

No. 2492 By Representatives ORTITAY, BARRAR, BOBACK, KULIK, MURT, RYAN and STEPHENS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for threat assessment.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 14, 2018.

No. 2493 By Representatives ORTITAY, BARRAR, BOBACK, DeLUCA, MURT, STEPHENS, VAZQUEZ and DALEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for threat assessment.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 14, 2018.

No. 2494 By Representative M. QUINN

An Act authorizing the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Robbie S. Cohen-Millstein certain lands situate in Nockamixon Township, Bucks County, in exchange for Robbie S. Cohen-Millstein granting and conveying certain lands to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, to be added to those existing lands at Delaware Canal State Park.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 18, 2018.

No. 2495 By Representative TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in parking authorities, further providing for purposes and powers.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 14, 2018.

No. 2496 By Representatives SCHLOSSBERG, SANTORA, WATSON, CONKLIN, D. COSTA, DEAN, DRISCOLL, FREEMAN, KINSEY, J. McNEILL, MURT, READSHAW, ROEBUCK, THOMAS, DEASY, SCHWEYER and DALEY

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1372, No.212), known as the Early Intervention Services System Act, establishing the Early Intervention Services Contingency Fund; providing for transfers from the Early Intervention Services Contingency Fund to lead agencies and for reporting; and making editorial changes.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, June 18, 2018.

No. 2497 By Representatives FEE, BERNSTINE, CAUSER, CORBIN, CUTLER, DRISCOLL, GREINER, HICKERNELL, JAMES, KAVULICH, MENTZER, MILLARD, WATSON and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of June 18, 1982 (P.L.549, No.159), entitled "An act providing for the administration of certain Commonwealth farmland within the Department of Agriculture," further providing for agricultural land conservation assistance grant program.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, June 18, 2018.

No. 2498 By Representatives FARRY, CHARLTON, JAMES, MURT, DEAN, SCHLOSSBERG, BARRAR, ENGLISH, DRISCOLL, BOBACK, SAYLOR, WARD, HILL-EVANS, WATSON, TOOHIL, WHEELAND and M. QUINN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in safe schools, providing for the Safe-To-Tell Program.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

No. 2499 By Representatives CEPHAS, SOLOMON, KIRKLAND, BOYLE, YOUNGBLOOD, A. DAVIS, THOMAS, HAGGERTY, BARBIN, PASHINSKI and McCLINTON

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in high schools, providing for graduation requirements for area vocational-technical school students.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

No. 2500 By Representatives CEPHAS, SIMS, RABB, ROEBUCK, J. McNEILL, SOLOMON, THOMAS, YOUNGBLOOD, SCHLOSSBERG, KIRKLAND, HILL-EVANS, DALEY, McCLINTON, KINSEY, DONATUCCI, NEILSON, DAVIS and DEAN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in pupils and attendance, providing for expungement of suspension from disciplinary records.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

No. 2501 By Representatives RABB, STURLA, YOUNGBLOOD, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHWEYER, CHARLTON, HILL-EVANS, TAI, B. O'NEILL and SIMS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in reimbursements by Commonwealth and between school districts, further providing for student-weighted basic education funding and providing for student-weighted basic education funding formula.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

No. 2502 By Representatives OBERLANDER, FRITZ, RYAN, CORR, MILLARD, KAUFER, SANKEY, EVERETT, TOOHIL and BERNSTINE

An Act amending Title 58 (Oil and Gas) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in development, further providing for well permits.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2503 By Representatives J. McNEILL, READSHAW, W. KELLER, SCHLOSSBERG, KINSEY, WATSON, MILLARD, BIZZARRO, DAVIS, SOLOMON, BARRAR, THOMAS, WHEATLEY, DeLUCA, YOUNGBLOOD, VAZQUEZ, ROEBUCK, KIRKLAND, HILL-EVANS, DALEY, DONATUCCI, NEILSON, D. COSTA, ROE, MAHER, DAVIDSON, HELM, B. O'NEILL, TAI, BOBACK, RAVENSTAHL, M. QUINN, COMITTA, MADDEN, KAUFER and SIMS

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in riot, disorderly conduct and related offenses, providing for the offense of transporting dogs in external section of vehicle.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2506 By Representatives ROTHMAN, RYAN, SACCONI, HILL-EVANS, JAMES, YOUNGBLOOD, WARD, DUSH, JOZWIAK, MILLARD and PHILLIPS-HILL

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child protective services, further providing for investigating performance of county agency.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, June 18, 2018.

No. 2507 By Representatives MEHAFFIE, SIMMONS, STAATS, DRISCOLL, CHARLTON, BERNSTINE, PICKETT, READSHAW, A. HARRIS, GILLEN and DOWLING

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of sexual offenders, prohibiting sex offenders from residing in certain locations.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2508 By Representatives SCHLOSSBERG, FARRY, BARRAR, A. DAVIS, DEASY, DONATUCCI, KINSEY, KIRKLAND, J. McNEILL, READSHAW, SAINATO, SCHWEYER, THOMAS, BOBACK, HILL-EVANS, DRISCOLL and SIMS

An Act amending Titles 35 (Health and Safety) and 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for emergency responder mental wellness and stress management; establishing the Critical Incident Stress Management Program; providing for duties of the Secretary of Health and the Department of Health; in emergency medical services system, further providing for support of emergency medical services; in operation of vehicles general provisions, further providing for EMS costs; and, in driving after imbibing alcohol or utilizing drugs, further providing for Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, June 18, 2018.

No. 2509 By Representatives SANKEY, BERNSTINE, COX, DALEY, GILLEN, A. HARRIS, IRVIN, OBERLANDER, SIMMONS, STEPHENS, TOPPER and WARD

An Act amending the act of November 29, 2006 (P.L.1471, No.165), known as the Sexual Assault Testing and Evidence Collection Act, providing for Sexual Assault Kit Processing Fund.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2510 By Representatives SANKEY, BERNSTINE, COX, DALEY, GILLEN, A. HARRIS, IRVIN, OBERLANDER, SIMMONS, STEPHENS, TOPPER and WARD

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in personal income tax, providing for contributions for Sexual Assault Kit Processing Fund.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2511 By Representatives KAUFER, READSHAW, BERNSTINE, RYAN, ROTHMAN, PICKETT, SAYLOR, MILLARD, B. MILLER and MAHER

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in Treasury Department, further providing for investment of moneys.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 18, 2018.

No. 2512 By Representatives D. MILLER, SCHLOSSBERG, McCLINTON, MURT, WATSON, KINSEY, DeLUCA, SOLOMON, DEASY, HILL-EVANS and DALEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in professional employees, further providing for definitions and providing for school social workers.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

No. 2513 By Representatives DAVIDSON, MICCARELLI, THOMAS, DEAN, J. HARRIS, SCHLOSSBERG, DRISCOLL, KINSEY, ROEBUCK, DONATUCCI, KRUEGER-BRANEKY, DAVIS, BARRAR, McCLINTON, SANTORA, P. COSTA, FRANKEL, MADDEN, COMITTA, HILL-EVANS, STURLA and TAI

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for sale or transfer of firearms and for duties of the Pennsylvania State Police.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 18, 2018.

No. 2514 By Representatives DAVIDSON, NEILSON, SCHWEYER, HILL-EVANS, PASHINSKI, McCLINTON, BRADFORD, STURLA and A. DAVIS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in charter schools, providing for cyber charter accountability commission.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 18, 2018.

SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

SB 299, PN 1849

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 14, 2018.

SB 655, PN 1850

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, June 14, 2018.

SB 934, PN 1826

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, June 15, 2018.

SB 1056, PN 1657

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 14, 2018.

SB 1087, PN 1867

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, June 18, 2018.

SB 1156, PN 1851

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 14, 2018.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 152, PN 3556**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Moul, on unanimous consent, I believe.

Members, I would ask you all to please take your seats. We are going to close the doors of the House because we are going to be honoring a long-time employee. So I am going to ask everybody to please take their seats, and we are going to close the doors of the House. All members, come to the floor.

SUZETTE OJEA PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Representative Moul, the floor is yours.
Mr. MOUL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Today I have the distinct pleasure of introducing you all, most of you probably for the first time, to a very dedicated 35-year employee of the Republican Caucus. Suzette Ojea is with me today, and I will be introducing her and her family to you in just

a minute. But let me give you just a little bit of a background as to the person that I have had the privilege of working with for the past 8 years.

Suzette actually tested – and back then, I guess, you tested to come to work for us – and she tested while she was still a senior in high school. She was offered the job and the job started immediately after high school, and she did not want to take it at first because she felt that after all those years of going to high school, she deserved a week or two at the beach. So she told her father that she was not going to take the job. She was going to go to the beach and see what happens, and her father said, "Like heck you will. You're going to take that job because that is a good job and that can lead to security and it's something you don't want to pass up." So she came in here and told her boss that she could start right away, but she really wanted that week or two to go to the beach. So he was kind enough to say, "Why don't you just go ahead and go and you can start when you come back." So I guess they got it all ironed out at home, but she actually started here at age 17.

Now, I will let all of you do the math and figure out how old she is, because I am certainly not going to tell you, because I like my teeth right where they are, thank you. But she is a very special person, very unique. We have been through some very rough times together, some very good times, had an awful lot of laughs, got serious when we needed to be serious, and shared just about everything, to a certain level, that we could about our personal lives. I think I know as much about her family as anybody could know about their own family. And this is a young lady that is not going to let any grass grow under her feet, I can assure you of that. She enjoys everything, she and her husband and family, from boating, fishing, hiking, biking, shooting guns, zip-lining, you name it. They will stay busy – there is absolutely no doubt about it one bit whatsoever.

Today with Suzette – and Suzette, if you do not mind waving to everyone. Now you have to stand up, it is the rules, and say, there is a 35-year employee that is very dedicated to the House of Representatives. She is so dedicated that she put a clock on her phone about a year ago counting the days, hours, and minutes backwards – and seconds, I am sorry – and it is just about ready to hit zero. So before she goes, we certainly want to thank her for all of her contributions to not just me, but to the House Republican Caucus.

With her today are her husband, Dale, and her son, Lance Beemer, and his fiancée, who is someone we all have come to know and love here on the House floor. She is one of our photographers. This is Emily, and her parents, Violet and Ken Shumaker – they are sitting in the back there – her brother, Kirk Shumaker, his wife, Jen, and their children, Kara and Blake. Would you all give them a round of applause, please.

I just want to say one final time publicly that it has been an absolutely wonderful time working with you over these years. Again, we have had a lot of laughs together, got a lot of work done together. You will be sorely, sorely missed, but I know you will not be missing me as much because you will be out there having all that fun, and I hope that you have continued fun, health, excitement, and happiness for all the days to come.

Thank you very much, once again, for all that you have done for me and the House of Representatives.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Moul.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. In the rear of the House, we welcome Diane and Audrey Gartner, Jennifer and Donna Britt, Jessica Palladino, Colin Dougherty, Cassandra Baldassano Stecker, and Mackenzie Walter. They are guests of Representative Stephen Barrar. Mr. Chair, thank you for having the guests with us today.

Representative Zimmerman, I know you have a group of guests in the gallery. I do not have any names or groups with them. Do you want to speak on unanimous consent to introduce them? Just a group from the district? A group from Representative Zimmerman's district, some constituents from Lancaster County, are with us in the gallery; please stand. Thank you so much for being with us today. We are honored that you are here.

The doors of the House can be opened.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The majority whip has indicated that these individuals have requested leaves of absence: Harry LEWIS of Chester County for the day, Duane MILNE of Chester County for the week, and Nick MICCARELLI of Delaware County for the week. Without objection, those will be granted.

The minority whip requests leaves of absence for the following: Madeleine DEAN of Montgomery County for the day, Margo DAVIDSON of Delaware County for the day, Flo FABRIZIO of Erie County for the day, Mike O'BRIEN of Philadelphia County for the day, Jared SOLOMON of Philadelphia County for the day, and Maria DONATUCCI of Philadelphia County for the day. Without objection, those will be granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. We are going to proceed to vote on the master roll. Members, please vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—193

Barbin	English	Kulik	Reed
Barrar	Evankovich	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Evans	Longietti	Roae
Bernstine	Everett	Mackenzie	Roe
Bizzarro	Farry	Madden	Roebuck
Bloom	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boback	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Boyle	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Briggs	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, R.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Bullock	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Cephas	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Charlton	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Christiana	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Comitta	Hahn	Metzgar	Sonney
Conklin	Hanna	Millard	Staats

Cook	Harkins	Miller, B.	Stephens
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Sturla
Corr	Harris, A.	Moul	Tai
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Costa, P.	Heffley	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Hennessey	Neilson	Tobash
Culver	Hickernell	Nelson	Toepel
Cutler	Hill	Nesbit	Toohil
Daley	Irvin	O'Neal	Topper
Davis, A.	James	O'Neill	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Dawkins	Kampf	Ortitay	Walsh
Day	Kaufner	Owlett	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Peifer	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, W.	Quigley	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quinn, C.	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kirkland	Rabb	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Klunk	Rader	
Dush	Knowles	Rapp	Turzai,
Ellis	Kortz	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Emrick	Krueger	Readshaw	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	O'Brien
Dean	Gabler	Milne	Solomon
Donatucci	Lewis		

LEAVES ADDED—3

Brown, V.	Kirkland	Thomas	
-----------	----------	--------	--

LEAVES CANCELED—2

Donatucci	Lewis		
-----------	-------	--	--

The SPEAKER. There are 193 votes on the master roll. A quorum is present.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. LONGIETTI called up **HR 962, PN 3624**, entitled:

A Resolution designating June 28, 2018, as "Pennsylvania Association of School Retirees Day" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. HICKERNELL called up **HR 977, PN 3683**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of July 2018 as "MECP2 Duplication Syndrome Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Barbin	English	Kulik	Reed
Barrar	Evankovich	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Evans	Longietti	Roae
Bernstine	Everett	Mackenzie	Roe
Bizzarro	Farry	Madden	Roebuck
Bloom	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boback	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Boyle	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Briggs	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, R.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Bullock	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Cephas	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Charlton	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Christiana	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Comitta	Hahn	Metzgar	Sonney
Conklin	Hanna	Millard	Staats
Cook	Harkins	Miller, B.	Stephens
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Sturla
Corr	Harris, A.	Moul	Tai
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Costa, P.	Heffley	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Hennessey	Neilson	Tobash
Culver	Hickernell	Nelson	Toepel
Cutler	Hill	Nesbit	Toohil
Daley	Irvin	O'Neal	Topper
Davis, A.	James	O'Neill	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Dawkins	Kampf	Ortitay	Walsh
Day	Kaufner	Owlett	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Peifer	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheatley
Diamond	Keller, W.	Quigley	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quinn, C.	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kirkland	Rabb	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Klunk	Rader	
Dush	Knowles	Rapp	Turzai,
Ellis	Kortz	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Emrick	Krueger	Readshaw	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	O'Brien
Dean	Gabler	Milne	Solomon
Donatucci	Lewis		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

RULES AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETINGS

The SPEAKER. Representative Stan Saylor, for a committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room.

And at 1:32 the Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room, and at 1:32 the Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Toepel, the majority caucus chair, for an announcement.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 2:15. We would be prepared to return to the floor at 3:15.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Frankel, for a caucus announcement, please.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will be caucusing at 2:15. Democrats will be caucusing at 2:15.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. We are going to stand in recess until 3:15, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker. There is a possibility that you could be called back by 3 p.m. if both caucuses are done in a timely fashion, so please be listening. Otherwise, it is 3:15.

RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 3:30 p.m.; further extended until 3:45 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEES

HB 84, PN 3690

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of June 29, 1953 (P.L.304, No.66), known as the Vital Statistics Law of 1953, in registration district administration, further providing for local registrars' compensation; in birth registration, further providing for children born in a country other than the United States and providing for certified copies of birth records, for optional city and county issuance and for Legislative Budget and Finance Committee study; in records, further providing for disclosure by local registrars; and making a related repeal.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2215, PN 3594

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for fire and emergency evacuation drills.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2468, PN 3676

By Rep. REED

An Act amending Title 26 (Eminent Domain) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitations on use of eminent domain, further providing for definitions and providing for eminent domain of land subject to conservation easement.

RULES.

HB 2477, PN 3658

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of April 17, 2016 (P.L.84, No.16), known as the Medical Marijuana Act, in academic clinical research centers, further providing for chapter heading, providing for legislative findings and declaration of policy, further providing for definitions, providing for academic clinical research centers, further providing for clinical registrants and for research study and providing for temporary regulations.

RULES.

SB 892, PN 1533

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 16, 1986 (P.L.1646, No.188), known as the Chiropractic Practice Act, in licensure and regulation, further providing for license required.

APPROPRIATIONS.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 152, PN 3556

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in life insurance, further providing for surplus or safety fund and providing for contact information and for life policy locator service; and, in suitability of annuity transactions, further providing for definitions, for applicability and scope of article and for duties of insurers and insurance producers, providing for insurance producer training, further providing for mitigation of responsibility and for recordkeeping and providing for regulations.

RULES.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Lewis is back on the House floor. He should be placed back on the master roll.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative KIRKLAND has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2215, PN 3594**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for fire and emergency evacuation drills.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Barbin	English	Lawrence	Reed
Barrar	Evankovich	Lewis	Reese
Benninghoff	Evans	Longietti	Roae
Bernstine	Everett	Mackenzie	Roe
Bizzarro	Farry	Madden	Roebuck
Bloom	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boback	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Boyle	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Briggs	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, R.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Bullock	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Causser	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Cephas	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Charlton	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Christiana	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Comitta	Hahn	Metzgar	Sonney
Conklin	Hanna	Millard	Staats
Cook	Harkins	Miller, B.	Stephens
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Sturla
Corr	Harris, A.	Moul	Tai
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Costa, P.	Heffley	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Hennessey	Neilson	Tobash
Culver	Hickernell	Nelson	Toepel
Cutler	Hill	Nesbit	Toohil
Daley	Irvin	O'Neal	Topper
Davis, A.	James	O'Neill	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Dawkins	Kampf	Ortitay	Walsh
Day	Kaufner	Owlett	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Peifer	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheatley

Diamond	Keller, W.	Quigley	Wheeland
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quinn, C.	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Youngblood
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Turzai,
Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	O'Brien
Dean	Gabler	Milne	Solomon
Donatucci	Kirkland		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 84, PN 3690**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 29, 1953 (P.L.304, No.66), known as the Vital Statistics Law of 1953, in registration district administration, further providing for local registrars' compensation; in birth registration, further providing for children born in a country other than the United States and providing for certified copies of birth records, for optional city and county issuance and for Legislative Budget and Finance Committee study; in records, further providing for disclosure by local registrars; and making a related repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Vanessa BROWN requests to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 84 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Barbin	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Everett	Longiatti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bernstine	Fee	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Fitzgerald	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Flynn	Mako	Rothman
Boyle	Frankel	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Freeman	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Fritz	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gainey	Marsico	Sainato
Bullock	Galloway	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McCarter	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	McGinnis	Schemel
Cephas	Greiner	McNeill	Schlossberg
Charlton	Grove	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Christiana	Haggerty	Mentzer	Simmons
Comitta	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sims
Conklin	Hanna	Metzgar	Snyder
Cook	Harkins	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harper	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harris, A.	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Mullery	Tai
Cox	Helm	Murt	Tallman
Cruz	Hennessey	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Hickernell	Neilson	Thomas
Cutler	Hill	Nelson	Tobash
Daley	Irvin	Nesbit	Toepel
Davis, A.	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Topper
Dawkins	Kampf	Oberlander	Vazquez
Day	Kaufner	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Kauffman	Owlett	Walsh
DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski	Ward
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Warner
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Warren
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Watson
Diamond	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quigley	Wheatley
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Driscoll	Klunk	Quinn, M.	White
Dunbar	Knowles	Rabb	Youngblood
Dush	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Ellis	Krueger	Rapp	
Emrick	Kulik	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
English	Lawrence	Readshaw	Speaker
Evankovich			

NAYS—1

Bizzarro

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kirkland	O'Brien
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Dean	Gabler	Milne	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 892, PN 1533**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 16, 1986 (P.L.1646, No.188), known as the Chiropractic Practice Act, in licensure and regulation, further providing for license required.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Barbin	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Evans	Longiatti	Reese
Benninghoff	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bernstine	Farry	Madden	Roe
Bizzarro	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rothman
Boback	Flynn	Maloney	Rozzi
Boyle	Frankel	Markosek	Ryan
Bradford	Freeman	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Fritz	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gainey	Masser	Samuelson
Bullock	Galloway	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Causer	Goodman	McNeill	Schlossberg
Cephas	Greiner	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Charlton	Grove	Mentzer	Simmons
Christiana	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Sims
Comitta	Hahn	Metzgar	Snyder
Conklin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Cook	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Corr	Harris, A.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tai
Costa, P.	Heffley	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Neilson	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	O'Neal	Toohil
Davis, A.	James	O'Neill	Topper
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	Ortitay	Vitali
Day	Kaufner	Owlett	Walsh
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	Ward
DeLissio	Kavulich	Peifer	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Pickett	Watson
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, W.	Quigley	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	White
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	Youngblood

Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Zimmerman
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	
Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Emrick	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker
English	Lawrence		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kirkland	O'Brien
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Dean	Gabler	Milne	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PASHINSKI

The SPEAKER. Representative Pashinski, I think you want to be recognized on unanimous consent?

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Two things. First of all, just a reminder that tomorrow is our Pennsylvania Legislative Sportsmen's Caucus event at Sawyer's. It is at 5 o'clock. If you are a member, you are all set, just attend. If you are not, you must be a member to attend. You can also pay your dues at the event if you just let us know. That is the first thing.

The second thing is, we have an additional card for our fellow colleague, Representative Flo Fabrizio. Many of you may recall, last week we had made an effort to have our fellow colleagues sign the goodwill card and get better card for Representative Flo Fabrizio. The card was filled up and there are still many of you that would like to sign that, so what we have done is we have made an additional card, and that card is now over on the desk where you have your bills and amendments. That is where we do our discharge resolutions, over in that corner where I am pointing, right near Representative Frankel. So all you have to do is go up there and you can sign that card, put your signature on that.

Mr. Speaker, that is it as far as these announcements. If you will indulge me at some other time, whenever it is appropriate for you, I would like to inform my colleagues about the tornado that occurred in northeastern Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. I will call you back up at the end of our voting.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Very good. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Much appreciated.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2079, PN 3142**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Okay. There were three amendments filed by the good gentleman, Representative Rob Kauffman. Representative Kauffman, my understanding is that all three amendments have been withdrawn? Is that correct? Sir, all the amendments are withdrawn? Yes, they are.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 978, PN 1860**, entitled:

An Act providing for the disposal of hospice or home health care patient medication.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 461, PN 1026**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 44 (Law and Justice) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in DNA data and testing, further providing for policy, for definitions, for powers and duties of State Police, for State DNA Data Base, for State DNA Data Bank, for State Police recommendation of additional offenses, for procedural compatibility with FBI and for DNA sample required upon conviction, delinquency adjudication and certain ARD cases, providing for collection from persons accepted from other jurisdictions and further providing for procedures for withdrawal, collection and transmission of DNA samples, for procedures for conduct, disposition and use of DNA analysis, for DNA data base exchange and for expungement.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. I do not think we have any other bills in front of us today. I am going to check. My apologies; we do.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2477**, **PN 3658**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 17, 2016 (P.L.84, No.16), known as the Medical Marijuana Act, in academic clinical research centers, further providing for chapter heading, providing for legislative findings and declaration of policy, further providing for definitions, providing for academic clinical research centers, further providing for clinical registrants and for research study and providing for temporary regulations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Now, I see that some amendments have been withdrawn and I want to cover those first on HB 2477 before I start calling up the other amendments.

Representative Gainey had filed amendments 7611 and 7612. Representative Gainey, they are withdrawn? Thank you, sir. Those are withdrawn.

Representative Flynn and Representative Bizzarro each have an amendment filed, but they are late-filed, so they would need a motion to suspend the rules to allow consideration of that amendment. The first one would be Representative Flynn. Representative Flynn, you have amendment 7667. Do you wish to do a motion to suspend on your amendment, sir? Is that going to be withdrawn, that amendment, then? That amendment is withdrawn. Amendment 7667 is withdrawn. That was late-filed, but it is withdrawn.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. Representative Bizzarro. Oh, Representative Schweyer is going to take that; okay. Representative Peter Schweyer will be offering amendment 7673 instead of Representative Ryan Bizzarro. It is late-filed. It would need a motion to suspend. The good gentleman is going to make that motion now.

Representative Schweyer, you may proceed.

Mr. SCHWEYER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise—

The SPEAKER. Members, please take your seats. Members, please take your seats.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Donatucci is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2477 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Please, members, take your seats.

The motion that will be in front of us will be a motion to suspend so that we would be able to take up amendment 7673.

And you may proceed, Representative Schweyer.

Mr. SCHWEYER. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise and ask to make a motion, sir, to ask for suspension of the rules so that I can offer amendment A7673 to HB 2477.

Mr. Speaker, this bill would advance a conversation that has happened in this chamber throughout local municipalities, including my own municipality, the city of Allentown, and a number of other spots throughout the Commonwealth, in an effort to begin the process of not legalizing recreational use of marijuana, but begin the process of decriminalizing the use.

Mr. Speaker, I think I stand – I certainly know I stand speaking on behalf of the 62,000 people that I represent in the city of Allentown and hundreds of thousands more of Pennsylvanians who believe that folks that are found with a small amount of marijuana should not be prosecuted in a traditional criminal sense, but the punishments should be treated as a summary offense, sir.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On this motion, the only individuals that can speak are the maker of the motion; the prime sponsor of the underlying bill, which would be Representative Watson; and the two leaders.

Representative Watson, you are recognized.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While I can appreciate what the Representative from Allentown is saying, I think that this would be then therefore an inappropriate place to have this amendment without a lot of discussion and hearings actually done by the Judiciary Committee. Now, for the bill that I am prime-sponsoring, the gentleman that is with me on this bill is Representative Marsico. So I do not know, Mr. Speaker, because I do not know if he is allowed to speak and I should not just dump things on him, but I would think that it would go to the Judiciary Committee for this gentleman, but definitely not on this bill because this is related to getting a small portion of a bill you all voted on in 2016 up and running.

So I would ask respectfully, Mr. Speaker, that you do not support this motion. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Reed, on the motion, sir.

Mr. REED. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Respectfully, I would ask the members to oppose the motion to suspend the rules. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dermody, on the motion, sir.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would urge the members to please support the motion to suspend the rules.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—68

Barbin	Davis, T.	Kavulich	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Dawkins	Keller, W.	Readshaw
Bizzarro	Deasy	Kim	Roebuck
Boyle	DeLuca	Kinsey	Rozzi

Bradford	Dermody	Kortz	Sainato
Briggs	Donatucci	Krueger	Samuelson
Bullock	Driscoll	Kulik	Schlossberg
Burns	Evans	Longietti	Schweyer
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Madden	Sims
Carroll	Flynn	Markosek	Snyder
Cephas	Frankel	McCarter	Sturla
Comitta	Freeman	McClinton	Tai
Conklin	Goodman	McNeill	Vazquez
Costa, D.	Haggerty	Neilson	Vitali
Costa, P.	Hanna	Pashinski	Warren
Cruz	Harkins	Petrarca	Wheatley
Daley	Harris, J.	Rabb	Youngblood

NAYS—124

Benninghoff	Galloway	Marsico	Reese
Bernstine	Gillen	Masser	Roae
Bloom	Gillespie	Matzie	Roe
Boback	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Brown, R.	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Causar	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Charlton	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Christiana	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Cook	Harris, A.	Millard	Saylor
Corbin	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Corr	Helm	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Culver	Hickernell	Mullery	Staats
Cutler	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Davis, A.	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Day	James	Nelson	Taylor
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
DeLozier	Kampf	O'Neal	Toepel
Diamond	Kaufert	O'Neill	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
Dowling	Keefer	Ortitay	Walsh
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Owlett	Ward
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Warner
Ellis	Klunk	Pickett	Watson
Emrick	Knowles	Pyle	Wentling
English	Lawrence	Quigley	Wheeland
Evankovich	Lewis	Quinn, C.	White
Everett	Mackenzie	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Farry	Maher	Rader	
Fee	Mako	Rapp	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Reed	Speaker
Gainey	Marshall		

NOT VOTING—1

Thomas

EXCUSED—10

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	O'Brien
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Solomon
Dean	Kirkland		

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery has three amendments. They are timely filed. Representative Mullery, I think you have three timely filed and one not timely filed.

Mr. MULLERY. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. Before you get to the amendments, I have a motion, if that would be appropriate?

The SPEAKER. Yes, absolutely. Just give me one second and I will call upon you for that. Just one second.

Representative Mullery has asked to be recognized on a motion, and I will call upon him to state his motion, please.

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I could not agree more with the chairwoman of the Children and Youth Committee and the comments that she just made on amendment 7673, that any amendment to Pennsylvania's Medical Marijuana Act should be discussed, debated, and voted on in the Judiciary Committee. And for that reason I would ask that when you have an amendment as significant as the one that is considered under HB 2477, that, too, should have been something done before the Judiciary Committee, and for that reason I move that HB 2477 be rereferred to Judiciary.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Representative Watson, on the motion, please.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I may need your help on this too. Let me get this straight, so you would like – it is not an amendment – you would like this entire bill, HB 2477, referred to Judiciary. Is that what I am understanding, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes. My understanding is, Representative Watson, that the motion is to recommit the bill to Judiciary, right? Am I saying that correctly, sir?

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That is absolutely correct.

Mrs. WATSON. Okay. And I am understanding that the reason was because I earlier said, on another amendment that was filed, that I guess it was A07673, because I said, well, they should discuss that and I could see it as a full-blown bill, which was correct, because of course you will recall that that amendment also talked about summary offenses and changing the offense and whatever. HB 2477 does not really have anything to do with criminal codes or any of that. It is purely about chapter 20 from the original medical marijuana bill that was passed in 2016, and very specifically chapter 20 talks about research and the idea that we would use the medical schools that are world-renowned but exist in Pennsylvania to set up a medical marijuana research program and conduct that research according to high scientific standards and get our constituents answers as to what medical marijuana – what strength, what form – might help their critically ill child with a form of epilepsy or a spouse who has brain cancer or a host of other things that in fact were enumerated in the bulk of the bill.

So with respect, Mr. Speaker, I do not see this – now, I am not on the Judiciary Committee, and quite correct, I am the chairman of Children and Youth which got me involved here, because honestly, at the beginning, I did not see any use of medical marijuana, but I was persuaded by families, particularly families with very sick children. I understand the value of research. I have the utmost faith in the medical schools that exist in Pennsylvania to do scientific research, but I would see no need – now, I do not speak for the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, either – but I would see no need for us to do such a thing unless the purpose was – it would take time and delay.

Mr. Speaker, we have waited 2 years to get up and running because we thought – that is, the folks in chapter 20 – we would be fair to the commercial segment since their goal is they have to make money and we were about research, so we let Department of Health get them up and running first. I see no reason for further delay, with all due respect to the chairman. I am sure he has worked really hard, but we are coming up on a summer recess.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to vote "no." Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Representative Reed, on the motion to recommit, sir.

Mr. REED. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the members to oppose the motion to recommit the bill to the Judiciary Committee as well. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dermody, sir, the minority leader. Do you wish to speak on the motion?

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I support the Representative from Luzerne on his motion to recommit.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis THOMAS has requested to be placed on leave. That will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2477 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—62

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Burns	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Carroll	Frankel	Matzie	Schlossberg
Cephas	Galloway	McCarter	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	McClinton	Sims
Costa, D.	Haggerty	McNeill	Snyder
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Harkins	Mullery	Tai
Davis, A.	Harris, J.	Neilson	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keller, W.	Rabb	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kim		

NAYS—130

Barbin	Farry	Mako	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Maloney	Roe
Benninghoff	Freeman	Markosek	Roe
Bernstine	Fritz	Marshall	Rothman
Bloom	Gainey	Marsico	Ryan
Boback	Gillen	Masser	Sacccone
Brown, R.	Gillespie	McGinnis	Sankey
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mehaffie	Santora

Causer	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Charlton	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Christiana	Hahn	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Harper	Millard	Sonney
Cook	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Staats
Corbin	Heffley	Moul	Stephens
Corr	Helm	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Hennessey	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Hickernell	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Nesbit	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	O'Neal	Toohil
Davis, T.	James	O'Neill	Topper
Day	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLozier	Kaufert	Owlett	Ward
Diamond	Kauffman	Peifer	Warner
DiGirolamo	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
Dush	Klunk	Quigley	White
Ellis	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Emrick	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
English	Lewis	Rader	
Evankovich	Mackenzie	Rapp	Turzai,
Everett	Maher	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We are going to go over the bill briefly. I am sorry. I will definitely be calling it back up, but we are going to go over it briefly just so we can get through some other business.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2468, PN 3676**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 26 (Eminent Domain) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitations on use of eminent domain, further providing for definitions and providing for eminent domain of land subject to conservation easement.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There were three amendments on this. There were three amendments, 7572, 7581, and 7582, all filed by Representative Carl Metzgar. My understanding is, they have been withdrawn. Representative Metzgar, are those three amendments withdrawn? That is a yes? Thank you, sir. All three amendments are withdrawn. I do not see any other amendments filed to this bill. If I am wrong, please correct me.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 152, PN 3556**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in life insurance, further providing for surplus or safety fund and providing for contact information and for life policy locator service; and, in suitability of annuity transactions, further providing for definitions, for applicability and scope of article and for duties of insurers and insurance producers, providing for insurance producer training, further providing for mitigation of responsibility and for recordkeeping and providing for regulations.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Moved by Representative Marguerite Quinn that the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate.

We are going to recognize her. Representative Quinn, if you could do two things: please describe the underlying bill and what the Senate amendments are to the bill. Any remarks that you have on that, you may state as well.

Representative Quinn.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The underlying bill, HB 152, requires the Pennsylvania Insurance Department to create a searchable electronic database, which will contain the contact information for each life insurer that has life insurance policies in force in Pennsylvania. With that database, an immediate family member of a decedent, estate executor, or administrator can make a request to the insurance department, who then will request insurers to search their life insurance policies covering that decedent who was a resident or a former resident of Pennsylvania. The request for the search must include a copy of the decedent's death certificate, and each request will cost \$10. The insurer, upon finding that such coverage does exist, will directly notify the individual filing the request.

Mr. Speaker, this bill will make it far more easier for those people who have lost a loved one to be able to find out whether or not a life insurance policy was in fact in place. The Senate amended the bill to repeal section 429, which imposed a \$100,000 cap on the surplus fund of a domestic mutual life insurance company.

The bill now is amended by amending Article IV by requiring the insurance department to maintain an electronic database of contact information for each life insurer, and requires the department and all life insurers to participate in the life policy locator service that was adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners. The bill now also modernizes annuity suitability law, which is a product of the NAIC updating its model law.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate an affirmative vote. This is really consumer protection, just a great measure to help our constituents find out if their loved ones have paid into policies and they are now to be the beneficiaries of such policies.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative DeLuca, on the concurrence vote, please.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me commend the gentlelady from Bucks County on her bill, 152. I rise to support HB 152, which will allow more beneficiaries to find life insurance benefits that they are entitled to after losing a loved one. I am also pleased to see that my HB 2434 annuity suitability legislation, which passed the committee unanimously, has been amended into this bill. The results of my language will allow seniors and all consumers to be better protected by this law when making complicated financial decisions on the purchases of annuities.

Mr. Speaker, this is a good compromise here. It is a good bill and it is a consumer bill that will protect them. It will also help people find out if there is any life insurance when their loved ones pass away. So I fully support this legislation, and again, commend the lady for introducing it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the concurrence vote?

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Barbin	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barrar	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Benninghoff	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bernstine	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Farry	Madden	Roe
Bloom	Fee	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rothman
Boyle	Flynn	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Frankel	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Freeman	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Fritz	Marsico	Sainato
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Santora
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schlossberg
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Simmons
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Snyder
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, A.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tai
Cox	Heffley	Murt	Tallman
Cruz	Helm	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Hennessey	Neilson	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Nelson	Toepel
Daley	Hill	Nesbit	Toohil
Davis, A.	Irvin	O'Neal	Topper
Davis, T.	James	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dawkins	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Walsh
Deasy	Kaufner	Owlett	Ward
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warner
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Warren

DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
Dermody	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Quigley	Wheeland
Donatucci	Kim	Quinn, C.	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Youngblood
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Turzai,
Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Emrick	Kulik		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

HB 152, PN 3556

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in life insurance, further providing for surplus or safety fund and providing for contact information and for life policy locator service; and, in suitability of annuity transactions, further providing for definitions, for applicability and scope of article and for duties of insurers and insurance producers, providing for insurance producer training, further providing for mitigation of responsibility and for recordkeeping and providing for regulations.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

RESOLUTIONS

Ms. DELOZIER called up **HR 828, PN 3677**, entitled:

A Resolution establishing the Task Force on Harassment and Sexual Misconduct in the Workplace.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Now, I saw that there were amendments filed by Representative Dan Miller, Representative Pashinski, and Representative Krueger-Braneky.

Representative Miller, are your two amendments withdrawn? They are withdrawn. Thank you, sir. So amendments 7574 and 7585 are withdrawn.

Representative Pashinski had amendments 7580 and 7583. Sir, are those withdrawn? They are withdrawn.

Representative Krueger-Braneky had amendment 7592. Representative, is that withdrawn? Yes or no? I will call on you afterwards. I just need to know if I am calling up the amendment or not. Is the amendment withdrawn?

Ms. KRUEGER. Yes.

The SPEAKER. It is withdrawn. So amendment 7592 is withdrawn.

Representative Krueger-Braneky wishes to be recognized on unanimous consent. You may proceed.

Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In committee last week I opposed these resolutions because at the time, the majority chairman was only willing to look at resolutions that study the issue of sexual harassment but not take any action on any of the Democratic-sponsored bills sitting in that committee. We have made some progress since then. The majority chairman has agreed to a hearing, tentatively scheduled on September 5, on all nine Democratic-sponsored bills in the Labor and Industry Committee, and so I have withdrawn my amendments in a spirit of compromise. I still think we need to take more action on the issue of sexual harassment, but I will be supporting these resolutions today.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you. My understanding is all amendments then have been withdrawn from HR 828.

So the amendments are withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Representative Tina Davis.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, I was not going to speak on this bill. I did not really think it was an issue until I got into caucus today. But I have to say, I am mother, I am a sister, I am a wife, and the fact that we are just going to do another study on this issue is frankly embarrassing. One in four women in Pennsylvania has talked about sexual harassment in the workplace. Eighty percent of female restaurant workers get sexually harassed in the workplace. Seventy percent of male restaurant workers get sexually harassed in the workplace. I commend the lady from Dauphin County, but it is all over the country. This is ridiculous that we are not voting on some of the bills that my colleagues on both sides have brought up.

One subject I would like to mention also, we have young kids who can get work papers at 14 years old and there are no policies. We have to be better than the rest of the community. We have to show people that what we are doing is the right thing up here. This is a no-brainer, Mr. Speaker. We are not living under a rock. We are just trying to do policies for the House and the Senate. That is simple, and I am not getting anyone listening, so apparently it is just going to go right through. But you all have daughters; you all have sisters. I have to worry about my daughters at the schools all the time. I mean, this is something serious. It is something that we should be doing now. Thank you for your time.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Davis.

Representative Marguerite Quinn, on the resolution.

Ms. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, thank you. This is a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Ms. QUINN. Is there anything that is in this resolution that would preclude us from voting on a bill in the near future or in the not so distant future on a bill of any other like subject matter?

The SPEAKER. That is not a parliamentary inquiry, but you can—

Ms. QUINN. Then what is it?

The SPEAKER. That would be directed to the maker of the resolution.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. But my understanding is that she will stand for—

Ms. QUINN. Even with regard to the rules? Okay.

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Ms. QUINN. Okay. Where is the maker of the resolution? Is Sheryl standing?

The SPEAKER. Yes. Representative Delozier will so stand.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Quinn, just hold on for a second. I think Representative Delozier knows the question. You may proceed, Representative Delozier.

Ms. DELOZIER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

At this point in time, these resolutions moving forward does absolutely nothing to impede any other action that can be taken in any other committee or on any other bills at any time. As was mentioned, we have had hearings on many of the bills in the past and we are moving forward on many of the different issues. These two resolutions look to gather information and look to make sure that we need to make corrections and make decisions based on facts. We can all say that sexual harassment happens – having been an advocate for many, many years, the ability to understand that it does happen – but many, many times people have taken action quickly just to say they did something without having the facts to back it up. This lays the groundwork to have those facts.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Quinn.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you. I appreciate the answer to my not-so-parliamentary question.

The SPEAKER. No, it is perfectly— I have no problem with— You are more than welcome to ask the question. It is just that typically on the substance of the bill, we have to refer it to the maker of the bill or resolution itself.

Ms. QUINN. Thank you.

As a mother, a daughter, a sister, and as a woman, I am fully aware that this is a problem that we need to address, and right now I am going to vote in the affirmative on this resolution because it is the resolution that is in front of me now to vote upon. And I hope that going forward as a body, we take the next steps to let Pennsylvanians know we are serious in a stance against workplace sexual abuse.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized? Representative Galloway, and then Representative Madden.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta as well. So we have Representative Galloway, Representative Madden, Representative Comitta.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the resolution, please?

The SPEAKER. Yes. She has indicated she will so stand.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A couple of quick questions. First, how many Democrats were consulted in the creation of these two resolutions?

The SPEAKER. Sir, please suspend.

Mr. GALLOWAY. I am sorry. We have—

The SPEAKER. Sir, please suspend. That is not a question for interrogation under our House rules. That is just not appropriate.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Okay. Let me—

The SPEAKER. No, strike it; strike it.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Let me put it this way—

The SPEAKER. No, strike it. Please suspend.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Strike it.

The SPEAKER. If you wish to speak on the resolution, that is fine. That is not an appropriate question for interrogation. It is not under the rules. If you want to speak on the resolution, feel free.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Am I allowed to interrogate the maker of the resolution?

The SPEAKER. No.

Mr. GALLOWAY. No?

The SPEAKER. Not in that direction, sir, no, because it does not constitute interrogation. It is if you want to ask a question about the underlying resolution itself or details about it, you may, and that is if the person who is the sponsor wishes to proceed. That particular question did not ask about the resolution, what is in the resolution.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Okay. Second question: how many hearings did we have on these resolutions?

The SPEAKER. Please suspend.

Mr. GALLOWAY. May I ask any questions?

The SPEAKER. No; please suspend.

Interrogation – let us call up the rule. We are going to wait until we get the rule. Citing past precedent, I am going to give you a quote: "...the purpose of interrogation is to elicit information and answers to which the interrogator is not privy, does not know the answers to...."

Interrogation is not cross-examination. That is not what this is. Nobody is on the stand here. If you have a question with respect to the underlying resolution, to get into the details of the resolution, you may ask it – if the maker of the resolution or bill wishes to stand for that. If not, if you know that there are no hearings on it, you can say that in your remarks. If you feel as a member – any of us, this is all members – that you have not been consulted on something, you can say that in your remarks on the floor on the bill or on the resolution. But no one here on either side of the aisle is subject to quote, unquote, "cross-examination." This is not "Perry Mason." I know many will not know the reference to "Perry Mason" other than somebody of my age.

And Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure, which our rules do cite, says this, quote, "The purpose of a question is to obtain information and not to supply it to the body. A question may not contain statements of fact unless they be necessary to make the question intelligible and can be authenticated. Neither should a question contain arguments." I would add, that is implicit or explicit.

Now, you may proceed if you wish to ask the Representative about something in the resolution that you do not know. As I said, in terms of your remarks on the resolution, if you want to advocate "no" and cite particular facts that you think are pertinent to that "no," it is appropriate for you to do so.

Do you wish to continue with interrogation?

Mr. GALLOWAY. I do.

The SPEAKER. You may proceed.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You know the parameters.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate that and I apologize if I got out of line.

I did not know. I do know that in our committee, we have eight bills with overwhelmingly bipartisan support. Democrats and Republicans signed on to a whole host of—

The SPEAKER. Sir, my understanding is that right now you are speaking on the resolution.

Mr. GALLOWAY. I do have a question. I just did not know if—

The SPEAKER. If you want to speak on the resolution, I will call on you to speak on it. All the points you are making are relevant.

Mr. GALLOWAY. I have one more question, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You may ask the question.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you. Thank you.

There was a question asked by Representative Quinn that said, does this preempt any other bills from running? I would like to know if the maker of the bill was aware of the comment made by the Republican chairman at the time when that question was asked in committee? What was the response?

The SPEAKER. Here— No, do not— Please suspend. I suspect – if you know the answer, there is no need to interrogate. You can talk about it in your remarks. Please proceed with any remarks that you have on the resolution.

You may proceed.

Mr. GALLOWAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That is the end of my interrogation.

I want to say a couple things. There is no negotiation on sexual harassment. Sexual harassment is a stain on this entire institution. It is not a Democrat issue. It is not a Republican issue. There are some very, very, very good bills sitting in this committee – bills that deal with transparency, bills that deal with policies and guidelines, simple things. For 25 years before I came here, I was a project manager. That is what we did. The first thing we did was identify policies and procedures, put down in writing how a person notifies someone that something happened; the procedures that you go through, the procedures that the company goes through. These are commonsense measures that you do not need a task force to come back 13 months from now to tell you what you already know.

The SPEAKER. Sir, on the resolution, are you advocating for or against the resolution?

Mr. GALLOWAY. I am against the resolution, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Okay. The good gentleman has indicated he is opposed to the resolution.

Does anybody else wish to speak?

Representative Madden.

Ms. MADDEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in objection to HR 828. I do not believe we need a study to show that there is sexual harassment in the workplace. I think we know that. I think the #MeToo movement has exposed all of that. I have personally had conversations with women who have been working in this building for decades, who, when they came to their superiors and told them about incidents of sexual harassment, were threatened with loss of their job, were demoted, and took a cut in pay because they came forward and spoke out about sexual harassment. I have one of those bills in that package and we do not need a study to know that we have to

take action right now. Those women who work in this building who have been here for decades, they are waiting for us to stand up for them and say, "We hear you. What was done to you was wrong, and we are not going to stand for it anymore."

To have a study and just hold all those bills, that are bipartisan bills, for 13 months when we are into a new session – I am sorry, it is ridiculous. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta.

Mrs. COMMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As an educator – and a mother, a sister, a colleague, and a friend, but especially as an educator, and I have stood here asking for hearings on other issues, for studies, and so on – I cannot vote against a resolution that seeks to study sexual harassment, so I will be voting for it. However, I am hoping that whatever is discovered will lead to additional bills that we are not aware of yet to make our employees, the people who work in the Capitol, safer.

In the meantime, I support what several of my colleagues have said. Let us get moving with these nine bills. They are no-brainers; they are good business practice. Anyone who has been in business or government for a long time knows that transparency policy is a way to protect people. I would hate to see us kick this can down the road and for any woman or any man to suffer needlessly in the future. Let us move forward with the bills as soon as possible, continue the studies, see if there is any new information, new bills that we need to add, but let us not wait and put anyone in the Capitol at risk.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Marcy Toepel.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of HR 828. I think the question is not whether sexual harassment and workforce harassment is occurring; we know that it is. It is, what are we going to do about it? And in the same way that we knew that child abuse was occurring and the child abuse task force addressed those issues and proposed laws that we needed to correct those situations, I think this is the deliberative approach. It is the correct approach to take, and I strongly support HR 828. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Mike Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it has been pointed out that this resolution will not preclude any other legislation from being passed. However, if you look historically when people try to run amendments to bills or try to get a bill to move when there is a study like this going on, the course is always, well, we will wait until the study is done so we can get all that information.

Mr. Speaker, I believe we could move forward with those other bills as well right now, and it is unfortunate that this resolution is not being run in concurrence with those other bills moving. And if after 13 months of study we found that those other bills needed to be tweaked slightly, we could always come back and change those laws 13 months from now, but meanwhile, not having those laws on the books is just a delay. And my fear is that this resolution is a tool to act as a delay until we get past another election. Having said that, I share the Representative from West Chester's concern that there is nothing harmful about a study being done unless it delays those.

So I would suggest that everyone, whether they vote "yes" or "no" on this today, on a regular basis gets up on the floor and checks to find out where the other bills are that we could be passing along with this but are not today. And so maybe in September, we see whether those bills are running. We could

actually pass them. We still have 6 or 8 more days left of this session. We could pass them and send them over to the Senate. If they choose to sit on them, well, at least we have done our job. If not, when we come back in September, I would hope we could run those bills. If not in September, let us ask again in October, let us ask again in November, let us ask again in December. Let us continue to ask why those bills are not moving, and if we get the answer that "because we already passed a resolution saying we are studying it," then that proves that this notion, that passing this resolution does not delay those other bills.

So I believe I will vote in favor of these resolutions but will also put on my calendar once a month to remind those members that the rest of the bills still need to be passed.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Sheryl DeLozier, on the resolution.

Ms. DELOZIER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was not going to speak on this because I thought that moving something forward and being able to make the determination, it has been said that we do not need to know and study if sexual harassment happens. This is not a study of whether or not it happens. We know it happens. But I would push to everyone that is on this floor to say, if I asked each and every one of you, "How much happens in the Office of Administration?" that you could not answer the question. "How much happens in the Judiciary branch?" You could not answer the question. "How much happens in the AG's (Attorney General's) Office or the Treasurer's Office or the Department of Education?" No one on this floor could answer that question.

So for you to say that this is just a mindless procrastination delay, I would take issue with the fact that before we do something about this very serious – and it does not preclude us from doing anything on any of those other bills; as was said, we had one hearing, an all-day hearing. I sat through the entire thing, as many of us did. We also will have more hearings. The chairman has already said we are having more hearings on the seven remaining bills that have been introduced, if not more.

We are not stalled, we are not delayed, and we are not going to sit there and say, wait for information in 18 months. But what we are going to say is, the time and time that I have worked with victims and sat there and listened to their stories, as many of you have brought up as to the fact of what you have done, those victims do want change. They do want an avenue, but they also want it to be a good avenue and a verifiable one that knows what is happening, not just made up facts that, yes, it happens and we need to pass something fast. Because nine times out of ten in this chamber when we pass something fast, it goes the wrong way and it comes back around the wrong direction.

So unintended consequences by very well-meaning individuals is a positive that nobody wants. We do not want unintended consequences. We want to know where it happens. We want to know when it happens. And I will tell you that when this issue first came up, the Right-to-Know request went out, because nobody knew what was happening. So none of us had the answers. So to say that we just need to push something through so that we can say we did something, I do not think that is good public policy.

I have worked with victims for over 20 years. They want good public policy. They just do not want someone to give them a yes. Yes, we did something. Oh, did that not help you? I am terribly sorry. We will have to fix that in the next year or two.

I have a daughter. Every one of you stood up and said you have a daughter. I have a daughter, too, and I want her to be safe, and I do not want her to have sexual harassment in the workplace. I do not want my son to either, because it does happen.

Bottom line, let us get the facts and let us move good legislation forward. We are going to talk about all of the other pieces of legislation that are in the committee – we will move them forward and we will have the debate. But we have talked to committees and we have talked to NOVA (National Organization for Victim Assistance), we talked to OVA (Office of Victim Advocate), we talked to DVSCP (Domestic Violence Services of Cumberland and Perry Counties), we have talked to PCAR (Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape) – all of them testified at the hearing. They do have issues with the seven remaining bills. There are questions that need to be answered. It is not perfectly sailing through a perfect piece of legislation. We have debate to be had on these bills. Just like we need to gather the facts for these studies, we need to gather the facts for the bills, because there are people that do not agree with the bills that do this job every single day.

Let us iron out the hiccups, let us talk about what we need to do to drive consensus, and let us study and figure out how much in State government do we have, where do we have it, and I think that that will be good information to move forward for public policy that we can all stand behind and not have debate as to, does sexual harassment happen? Of course it happens, and yes, we need to do something about it. But voting "no" to move something forward is not something that moves the issue forward. All it does is show that we are partisan and we want to figure out what we want, not what victims want. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—169

Barbin	Flynn	Maher	Roe
Barrar	Frankel	Mako	Roebuck
Benninghoff	Freeman	Maloney	Rothman
Bernstine	Fritz	Markosek	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Gainey	Marshall	Ryan
Bloom	Gillen	Marsico	Saccione
Boback	Gillespie	Masser	Sainato
Bradford	Godshall	Matzie	Samuelson
Briggs	Goodman	McCarter	Sankey
Brown, R.	Greiner	McNeill	Santora
Burns	Grove	Mehaffie	Saylor
Caltagirone	Haggerty	Mentzer	Schemel
Causar	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Charlton	Hanna	Metzgar	Schweyer
Christiana	Harkins	Millard	Simmons
Comitta	Harper	Miller, B.	Snyder
Conklin	Harris, A.	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Harris, J.	Mullery	Staats
Corbin	Heffley	Murt	Stephens
Corr	Helm	Mustio	Sturla
Costa, D.	Hennessey	Neilson	Tallman
Costa, P.	Hickernell	Nelson	Taylor
Cox	Hill	Nesbit	Tobash
Culver	Irvin	O'Neal	Toepel
Cutler	James	O'Neill	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Kampf	Ortitay	Vazquez
DeLozier	Kaufner	Owlett	Vitali
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Walsh

Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Ward
DiGirolamo	Keefer	Petrarca	Warner
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warren
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Watson
Dunbar	Kim	Quigley	Wentling
Dush	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Wheatley
Ellis	Klunk	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Emrick	Knowles	Rader	White
English	Kortz	Rapp	Youngblood
Evankovich	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Zimmerman
Evans	Lawrence	Readshaw	
Everett	Lewis	Reed	Turzai,
Farry	Longietti	Reese	Speaker
Fee	Mackenzie	Roae	

NAYS—23

Boyle	Davis, A.	Fitzgerald	McGinnis
Bullock	Davis, T.	Galloway	Miller, D.
Carroll	Dawkins	Keller, W.	Rabb
Cephas	DeLissio	Kulik	Sims
Cruz	DeLuca	Madden	Tai
Daley	Donatucci	McClinton	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Ms. DELOZIER called up **HR 829, PN 3365**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to study the frequency of harassment and sexual misconduct in the workplace in State government, to conduct a thorough and comprehensive analysis of the prevalence and results of harassment and sexual misconduct complaints, to compare the workplace policies on harassment and sexual misconduct throughout State government and to report to the General Assembly with its findings and recommendations.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Miller has amendment 7575. That is withdrawn.

Representative Krueger-Braneky has amendment 7586. That is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—172

Barbin	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Barrar	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Benninghoff	Frankel	Mako	Roe
Bernstine	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Fritz	Markosek	Rothman
Bloom	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi

Boback	Gillen	Marsico	Ryan
Boyle	Gillespie	Masser	Saccone
Bradford	Godshall	Matzie	Sainato
Briggs	Goodman	McCarter	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Greiner	McGinnis	Sankey
Burns	Grove	McNeill	Santora
Caltagirone	Haggerty	Mehaffie	Saylor
Causer	Hahn	Mentzer	Schemel
Charlton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Christiana	Harkins	Metzgar	Schweyer
Comitta	Harper	Millard	Simmons
Conklin	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cook	Harris, J.	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Heffley	Mullery	Staats
Corr	Helm	Murt	Stephens
Costa, D.	Hennessey	Mustio	Sturla
Costa, P.	Hickernell	Neilson	Tallman
Cox	Hill	Nelson	Taylor
Cruz	Irvin	Nesbit	Tobash
Culver	James	O'Neal	Toepel
Cutler	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toohil
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Kaufner	Ortitay	Vazquez
Delozier	Kauffman	Owlett	Vitali
Dermody	Kavulich	Pashinski	Walsh
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Warner
Dowling	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Warren
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Watson
Dunbar	Kim	Quigley	Wentling
Dush	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Wheatley
Ellis	Klunk	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Emrick	Knowles	Rader	White
English	Kortz	Rapp	Zimmerman
Evankovich	Krueger	Ravenstahl	
Evans	Lawrence	Readshaw	Turzai,
Everett	Lewis	Reed	Speaker
Farry	Longietti		

NAYS—20

Bullock	Davis, T.	Fitzgerald	Miller, D.
Carroll	Dawkins	Galloway	Rabb
Cephas	DeLissio	Kulik	Sims
Daley	DeLuca	Madden	Tai
Davis, A.	Donatucci	McClinton	Youngblood

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B CONTINUED

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2477 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Our remaining bill is – we are going to return to HB 2477, second consideration, and we have three Representative Mullery amendments that are timely. We are going to call up 7577.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. MULLERY offered the following amendment No. A07577:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 24, by inserting a bracket before "six"
Amend Bill, page 4, line 24, by inserting after "six"
] four

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mullery, sir.

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As the clerk recited, this amendment would reduce the number of dispensaries for the clinical registrants from six to four. This is an industry that is just now getting its legs under itself. We have 12 grower/processors active in the Commonwealth, up to 36 dispensaries. My amendment would limit the number of dispensaries; instead of taking us all the way up to 72, it would put us more in the line of 54, giving our current private commercial dispensaries an opportunity to establish themselves in the market, determine if any changes in their business plans are needed, and just give them the opportunity to succeed.

By permitting these clinical registrants – eight grower/processor licensees with up to six dispensaries – you are in essence giving them a superlicense. And when we have a new industry here in the Commonwealth that we want to see succeed, I do not think what we should be doing is flooding the market, and I think that is what could potentially happen under HB 2477, and this amendment seeks to put the brakes on that a little bit.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Watson, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will respectfully disagree with the gentleman and his amendment.

When we talk about something is up and running and they need to get going, Mr. Speaker, we passed this in 2016, and by the way, it passed with 193 votes. Of that, a gentle reminder to my colleagues who were here, 162 of you who are here today on the House floor voted for it, myself included. Or no, did I vote for it? Yes, I did.

Anyway, the point being, very seriously, that this research point is smaller. It does not affect – I think the numbers are different, and how would we reduce something or know what it is when, Mr. Speaker, we have not gotten it up, running, or moving at all? We know, too, that these dispensaries are important in how they function, and they will function to be part of that scientific study.

So with all due respect, we should not and you should not have to tamper with your vote before you even know if your vote worked. We do not have anything to go by. Please let chapter 20 get started, and later if we need to come back with something, we can. But indeed, the commercial folks have had almost 2 years, Mr. Speaker, almost 2 years to be up and running, so to complain about the little research people, I am not sure that is the way to go.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Gainey, and then Representative Vitali.

Mr. GAINNEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, from the beginning, we agree with this, and the reason why we agree with this is because we understood how critical it is for our universities to be able to have data to be able to determine exactly how much medical cannabis can help us and a lot of ailments that plague our constituency every single day. We talked about the number of commercials that have dispensaries, but again, we need to talk about the universities putting up a whole lot more money, so they should have a good return on their investment.

Some of these things I wish could have been brought out at the table, but nobody spoke about it then. And right now we are in a situation where we have to move this forward. Again, I wish it would have come out, but it did not, and at the end of the day, two things remain for sure. One is, these universities are putting up a lot of money, a whole lot of money – much more money than what the commercials are putting up. And two is, we have to know exactly what type of research we have so that we can continue to let this industry grow and utilize the medical cannabis to be able to deal with a lot of illnesses that we have today.

So I am asking my colleagues to understand where I am coming from. And I want to thank you, Mr. Speaker, for giving me a moment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Someone else can correct me if I am wrong, but based on my best information, the medical schools in Pennsylvania do not support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—35

Bernstine	Driscoll	Lawrence	Rabb
Bradford	Evans	Madden	Schlossberg
Briggs	Flynn	Matzie	Schweyer
Carroll	Godshall	McCarter	Sims
Cruz	Hanna	McClinton	Snyder
Davis, A.	Kaufer	Mullery	Ward
Dawkins	Kavulich	Neilson	Wheatley
Day	Kim	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dermody	Kinsey	Petrarca	

NAYS—156

Barbin	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Benninghoff	Fee	Maher	Roe
Bizzarro	Fitzgerald	Mako	Roebuck
Bloom	Frankel	Maloney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Markosek	Rozzi
Boyle	Fritz	Marshall	Ryan
Brown, R.	Gainey	Marsico	Saccone
Bullock	Galloway	Masser	Sainato
Burns	Gillen	McGinnis	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gillespie	McNeill	Sankey
Causar	Goodman	Mehaffie	Santora
Charlton	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Comitta	Haggerty	Metzgar	Simmons

Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Sonney
Cook	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corbin	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Corr	Harris, A.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Murt	Tai
Costa, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Nelson	Taylor
Culver	Hennessey	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	O'Neal	Toepel
Daley	Hill	O'Neill	Toohil
Davis, T.	Irvin	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	James	Ortitay	Vazquez
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Owlett	Vitali
DeLozier	Kampf	Peifer	Walsh
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pickett	Warner
Diamond	Keefer	Pyle	Warren
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Quigley	Watson
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Dowling	Keller, W.	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Dunbar	Klunk	Rader	White
Dush	Knowles	Rapp	Zimmerman
Ellis	Kortz	Ravenstahl	
Emrick	Krueger	Readshaw	Turzai,
English	Kulik	Reed	Speaker
Evankovich	Lewis		

NOT VOTING—1

Cephas

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment
No. **A07578**:

Amend Bill, page 6, line 21, by striking out "may not" and inserting

shall

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Mullery, on the amendment, please.

Mr. **MULLERY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If we are going to have these clinical registrants disbursing medical marijuana, then the only folks they should be disbursing it to are those who are registered and part of their research programs; otherwise, we are just putting these eight medical institutions in the for-profit business against our private commercial entities already up and running who paid, what, \$2 million per license?

So I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Watson, on the amendment.

Mrs. **WATSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we are maintaining current law, and under current law, if you present a valid card, a medical card for marijuana, the dispensary you choose to go to must dispense to you. I do not think we turn people away. And contrary to what the gentleman may honestly think, while they have to stay in business, they are not in the research part about making money. What they are about – and quite frankly, they are very honest to admit it – they are about discovering that particular cure for a certain kind of epilepsy in children, because medical institutions build their reputation on the research they conduct and the fact that they are published and the fact that they have discoveries.

So with all that, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully ask for a "no" vote. Thank you very much.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Jeff Pyle.

Mr. **PYLE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I also rise and ask for a "no" vote on this.

If what the gentleman just stated for us, that only research institutions should be eligible to possess and dispense this stuff, it would exclude the people we originally aimed that for, and how I know this is, I am one of them. Now, if you are going to tell me – with the cancer I have, for which I do qualify for a medical marijuana card – if you are going to tell me I am not eligible for it, I would argue that the reason we passed the bill originally has become invalid.

Please vote "no." Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Jordan Harris.

Mr. **J. HARRIS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the amendment.

If I may, what the good gentleman is saying is, for institutions that have research licenses, in order for them to sell, they should only be able to sell to people who are in a clinical trial. It makes sense. They have a research license; therefore, you should be in a clinical trial to get their marijuana. That does not stop you from going to any other dispensary if you have the card. You can go to any other dispensary if you have the card. But what the gentleman is saying is, we have set up a system where there have been private industries who have gone out and invested money to get a license to sell medical marijuana. They are going to be gobbled up by these institutions if we do not section off whom the institution could sell to.

It makes perfect sense that if you are going to do it from the research arm, you should only be able to do it to folks who are qualified in your research so that, one, your data is not skewed, and two, you are not, you are not putting other businesses out of business who have invested to be a part of this industry.

This legislative body should not be about picking winners and losers; it should be about creating a fair playing field. It seems like, simply, this amendment will do just that, and that is why I support the Mullery amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative DeLissio, on the amendment, please.

Ms. **DeLISSIO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as a member of two different clinical trial studies literally as we speak, I know how difficult it is often for health-care providers and researchers to recruit folks to be in those studies, so if it were left to only having people who have decided they want to be part of a study to be able to be who they sell to, we would undermine the efforts of research.

I also do think, Mr. Speaker, and want to share this thought, and this is why I am opposing this particular amendment, is the fact that when the research is produced – and it is not going to be overnight – that research, I suspect, will benefit everybody in the system, particularly the commercial growers, because I think there are both health-care providers out there now who are reticent to recommend this as a resource, and I think there are citizens out there that are hesitant to sign up for a card, perhaps, if they are eligible because it just, the information and the research and the data are not there.

So I feel very strongly that our efforts to make sure this research happens is important. Limiting those clinical registrants only to those who will use their dispensaries who agree to be in the clinical study undermines the entire research opportunity.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, for the second time. You may proceed.

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to correct the gentlelady from Bucks County. Chapter 20, as currently written, is two pages. It probably should have been 200 pages, given what we are trying to do here. But for her to say that we are not changing the law by doing what she is asking us to do under this bill is absolutely incorrect. There is nothing contained in current chapter 20 about whether or not these programs will be limited to research patients or open to for-profit purchase by everybody. So this is a very important distinction that needs to be made here.

If they truly want research, then keep track of whom you are bringing in, who is participating in the programs; keep it a tight group, follow it so that we could analyze it and create a better product for those who are sick and ill in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

For that reason I would ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Watson, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I certainly do not mean to prolong and I try never to be argumentative, for the most part, but quite frankly, that is not correct. This bill itself is purely to fix and address issues that the honorable lady judge in the Commonwealth Court said existed when the commercials went for an injunction to stop research. Well, quite frankly, the bill is longer than that, because you see chapter 20 in the original bill that 162 of you voted for, well, that is pages upon pages long, and it also states that everything that they have to do that the group that is in chapter 20, they must also do – the dispensaries have to behave the same way, the grower/processors the same way.

Let me read you what is current law. It says, "The clinical registrant must comply with all other requirements of this act regarding growing, processing and dispensing...marijuana." They have to comply. They are not that set apart. Their research makes them different, but they are not that set apart. They fulfill everything that we expect the others to do.

And as far as selling to everyone, that is simply the fair way to do it. And by the way, research people were not happy; they liked the idea that it would be just them because that is the way they oftentimes do work, and that proprietary part of having the research, then, they would charge everybody else to get it. That is not allowed, again, in what you originally voted for.

So I am asking you to, in a sense, maintain what you voted for and to please let us get started because we are already 2 years late. And by the way, for those of you – I did not know; maybe you did not either – when you drop the seed into the dirt, it is still going to take a year, so any research, dispensing, processing does not happen for almost a year.

I think waiting 2 years is quite long enough for people who need answers. So please, indeed, vote this down. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—42

Bernstine	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kaufner	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kavulich	Rabb
Brown, R.	Dermody	Kim	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Kinsey	Readshaw
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kortz	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Madden	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Frankel	Matzie	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Davis, A.	Godshall	Mullery	Ward
Davis, T.	Goodman		

NAYS—150

Barbin	Fee	Maloney	Roebuck
Barrar	Freeman	Markosek	Rothman
Benninghoff	Fritz	Marshall	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Gainey	Marsico	Ryan
Bloom	Gillen	Masser	Saccione
Boback	Gillespie	McCarter	Sainato
Bradford	Greiner	McGinnis	Sankey
Burns	Grove	McNeill	Santora
Caltagirone	Haggerty	Mehaffie	Saylor
Causar	Hahn	Mentzer	Schemel
Charlton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Simmons
Christiana	Harkins	Metzgar	Snyder
Comitta	Harper	Millard	Sonney
Conklin	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Staats
Cook	Heffley	Miller, D.	Stephens
Corbin	Helm	Moul	Sturla
Corr	Hennessey	Murt	Tai
Cox	Hickernell	Mustio	Tallman
Cruz	Hill	Nelson	Taylor
Culver	Irvin	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	James	O'Neal	Toepel
Daley	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toohil
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	Ortitay	Vazquez
Delozier	Keefer	Owlett	Vitali
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Warner
Donatucci	Keller, W.	Pickett	Warren
Donwling	Klunk	Pyle	Watson
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Wentling
Dush	Krueger	Quinn, C.	Wheatley
Ellis	Kulik	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Emrick	Lawrence	Rader	White
English	Lewis	Rapp	Youngblood
Evankovich	Longietti	Reed	Zimmerman
Evans	Mackenzie	Reese	
Everett	Maher	Roae	Turzai,
Farry	Mako	Roae	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment No. **A07579**:

Amend Bill, page 8, line 23, by striking out "immediately" and inserting
in 180 days

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Mullery, you may proceed, sir.

Mr. **MULLERY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, we are dealing with a fledgling, new industry here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We are preparing ourselves for round two of the commercial licenses to be distributed, and instead what we are focused on today are these superlicenses.

So if you truly care about this industry succeeding in the Commonwealth, I would ask that you support amendment 7579.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Thank you, sir.

Representative Watson, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. **WATSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I apologize; I am sounding like a broken record. But what I would have to say on this is, once again, the bill was passed in 2016 with your support, many of you. We have not even gotten – we, that group in chapter 20 – has not gotten started. The four caucuses spent an enormous amount of time meeting with their best legal minds to even propose draft regulations and to understand and how this might indeed work. Please know they were very respectful of the commercial and Department of Health when they said, wow, too much for us to do. Do not have enough people. Commercials were to go first. And the Representative talked about, oh, they are now on their second round. Yes, because the first round is up and running for commercial.

Mr. Speaker, research should not wait anymore. And if you have someone in your family who needs to know about this and needs to know what works, or you have a doctor who would like to prescribe it but says, "I don't have enough scientific information," I do not think you want them to wait another 180 days.

Please vote "no" on this. Thank you very much.

The **SPEAKER**. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—34

Boyle	Dermody	Kortz	Petrarca
Bradford	Driscoll	Madden	Rabb
Bullock	Evans	Matzie	Ravenstahl
Carroll	Flynn	McCarter	Readshaw
Cephas	Galloway	McClinton	Schlossberg
Davis, A.	Hanna	Mullery	Schweyer
Dawkins	Kaufner	Neilson	Sims
Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski	Ward
DeLuca	Kim		

NAYS—158

Barbin	Everett	Lewis	Roe
Barrar	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Benninghoff	Fee	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rozzi
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mako	Ryan
Bloom	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boback	Fritz	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gillen	Marsico	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	Masser	Santora
Caltagirone	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Snyder
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Sonney
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Staats
Cook	Harkins	Millard	Stephens
Corbin	Harper	Miller, B.	Sturla
Corr	Harris, A.	Miller, D.	Tai
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Moul	Tallman
Costa, P.	Heffley	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Tobash
Cruz	Hennessey	Nelson	Toepel
Culver	Hickernell	Nesbit	Toohil
Cutler	Hill	O'Neal	Topper
Daley	Irvin	O'Neill	Vazquez
Davis, T.	James	Oberlander	Vitali
Day	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Walsh
DeLissio	Kampf	Owlett	Warner
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Warren
Diamond	Keefer	Pickett	Watson
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Pyle	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Wheatley
Dowling	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Dunbar	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	White
Dush	Klunk	Rader	Youngblood
Ellis	Knowles	Rapp	Zimmerman
Emrick	Krueger	Reed	
English	Kulik	Reese	Turzai,
Evankovich	Lawrence	Roae	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Solomon
Davidson	Gabler	Milne	Thomas
Dean	Kirkland	O'Brien	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Mullery, I think you have a late-filed amendment. Do you want to— That will be withdrawn. Thank you, sir.

There are no amendments that I see that passed to HB 2477.

Representative Flynn, that amendment was withdrawn? Yes. That is withdrawn.

I do not see any amendments that have passed and/or others that want to be offered.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. There are no further votes this evening. We have some announcements.

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Representative O'Neill has a committee announcement. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. O'NEILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to remind the members of the House Finance Committee that we have a hearing tomorrow morning at 9 in 60 East Wing. Immediately after the hearing, at 10 a.m., we will be holding a voting meeting to vote out HB 406, HB 2312, and SB 1056. That will also be in 60 East Wing.

Those members who come out at 10, make sure you get your proxies in. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Finance Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9 in 60 East Wing.

COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative John Maher, for a committee announcement, sir.

Mr. MAHER. The Environmental Resources and Energy Committee meeting that had been scheduled for the morning is canceled.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. GROVE

The SPEAKER. Representative Seth Grove, for a committee announcement.

Mr. GROVE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The South Central Caucus will be having a brief meeting in 4 East Wing. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Are there any other caucus or committee announcements before I call on Representative Pashinski?

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PASHINSKI

The SPEAKER. Representative Pashinski, why do you not come up to the front. We will give members an opportunity to exit, and then you can proceed on unanimous consent.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The main reason for me speaking to my colleagues, on Thursday, June 14, in Wilkes-Barre Township, Pennsylvania, we experienced an F2 tornado. The F2 tornado, on the high end, had speeds of 111 to 135 miles an hour. The purpose of my announcement today is simply to warn all of you, and I mean this most sincerely: If your phone alerts you to a tornado and announces that the tornado is in your area, I strongly recommend that you take every precaution and you seek shelter immediately. If your local radio station or television station tells you that you are going to experience a tornado, I strongly recommend to all of you that you seek safety. I say this to you because in Pennsylvania, although we have experienced a few tornadoes, I think most of us underestimate their power.

If you could see the pictures, the devastation that occurred on the 3/4-mile swatch in Wilkes-Barre Township in our mall, you would be absolutely amazed and you would take heed. I have a picture of a chair, a chair that is embedded in a concrete wall. I have a picture of a piece of shingle that is embedded in a concrete wall. I have a picture of a 2- to 4-ton air conditioner that was removed by that tornado from the roof of a building and set down 75 yards away. I have a picture of a piece of a U-Haul truck that was placed in a building 300 yards away.

Please, the message that I am trying to get across to all of you today is this: If your phone warns you that there is a tornado in your area, please seek shelter. If your radio station or your television station warns you that there is a tornado in your area, please seek shelter. If that tornado came 2 hours before, there would be fatalities. If that tornado hit our residential area, it would have been decimated.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 992 By Representatives MACKENZIE, R. BROWN, CORBIN, DAY, DIGIROLAMO, FARRY, GREINER, HENNESSEY, NEILSON, READSHAW, ROTHMAN, RYAN, SCHLOSSBERG, TOOHL, CORR, DUSH, SONNEY, MILLARD, LONGIETTI, HAHN and BOBACK

A Resolution designating the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as a Purple Heart State and recognizing August 7th as "Purple Heart Day" in Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, June 18, 2018.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 2079;
HB 2468;
HB 2477;
SB 461; and
SB 978.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that SB 499 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1142, PN 1365**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No.205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act, in standards for municipal pension systems, further providing for definitions and providing for special procedures for certain professional services contracts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1142 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1142 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 403, PN 1228**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in employees, further providing for commission members.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that SB 403 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that SB 403 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION

Mr. REED called up **HR 284, PN 1556**, entitled:

A Resolution urging the Congress of the United States to repeal the Environmental Protection Agency's MS4 program.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 284 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 284 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Representative Harkins moves that the House stand adjourned until 10 a.m., e.d.t., tomorrow, Tuesday, June 19, 2018, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 5:25 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.