

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, APRIL 30, 2018

SESSION OF 2018

202D OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 16

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)**  
**PRESIDING**

#### PRAYER

The SPEAKER. The prayer today will be offered by Pastor Kevin Kirkpatrick of Terre Hill Bible Fellowship Church in Terre Hill, Pennsylvania, which is in Lancaster County. He is the guest of our good friend and colleague, Representative Zimmerman.

PASTOR KEVIN KIRKPATRICK, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

I want to thank you for this honor today of opening this august body in prayer.

Let us pray together:

Heavenly Father, we pause at the beginning of this very busy legislative day to ask Your blessings upon the proceedings of this body. I want to thank You for the many ways that You bless and encourage us each and every day, including through Your protection and guidance. I desire that You would bless each and every one of those seated here. You have said that if any desires wisdom, he should ask. So I ask that You would grant them Your wisdom as they consider the business at hand. Since You also desire unity among Your people, I ask that You would give them a unity of heart as they legislate today and in the days and weeks to come. Scripture also tells us that they are ministers to those they govern. So, Lord, may they govern with the grace that You have given them so that Your will will be seen in their decisions. Finally, I pray for the courage of their convictions as they seek to work together for the betterment of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Thank You, Father, for the service of each and every one of these servants. In Jesus' name, Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, April 18, 2018, will be postponed until printed.

### JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. The following 2017 Journals are in print, and without objection, they will be approved:

Monday, September 25, 2017;  
Tuesday, September 26, 2017;  
Wednesday, September 27, 2017; and  
Monday, October 2, 2017.

### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 684** By Representatives PASHINSKI, MADDEN, O'BRIEN, DEAN, STEPHENS, STURLA, D. MILLER, HANNA, MURT, HAGGERTY, SCHLOSSBERG, DERMODY, SOLOMON, A. DAVIS, READSHAW, CONKLIN, DEASY, CALTAGIRONE, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HARKINS, SCHWEYER, FRANKEL, WARREN, ROEBUCK and D. COSTA

A Concurrent Resolution establishing the Task Force on the Prevention of Sexual Harassment.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 2169** By Representatives KAMPF, DAVIS, EVERETT, HEFFLEY, KORTZ, MILLARD and READSHAW

An Act amending the act of December 7, 1982 (P.L.784, No.225), known as the Dog Law, in dangerous dogs, providing for public safety and control.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2224** By Representatives KNOWLES, McGINNIS, JOZWIAK, IRVIN, WARD and WHEELAND

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in financial responsibility, further providing for definitions.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2278** By Representatives DUSH, McGINNIS and READSHAW

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in grounds and buildings, providing for display of preamble of the Constitution of Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2279** By Representatives TOBASH and GOODMAN

An Act designating a bridge on U.S. Route 209 over the West Branch Schuylkill River in Pottsville, Schuylkill County, as the Captain David A. Boris Memorial Bridge.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2280** By Representatives MADDEN, SCHLOSSBERG, SOLOMON, MURT, O'BRIEN, A. DAVIS, DEAN, STURLA, DERMODY, KINSEY, D. MILLER, HANNA, CONKLIN, SAMUELSON, CALTAGIRONE, ROEBUCK, DALEY, BULLOCK, FRANKEL, DeLUCA, PASHINSKI, HILL-EVANS, SCHWEYER and KRUEGER-BRANEKY

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for definitions and for unlawful discriminatory practices.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2281** By Representatives KNOWLES, EMRICK, McGINNIS, HELM and HEFFLEY

An Act providing for municipal regulation of the deposit, disposal or land application of biosolid material; and requiring a public referendum under certain circumstances.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2282** By Representatives RABB, SCHLOSSBERG, MADDEN, SOLOMON, O'BRIEN, MURT, DEAN, STURLA, DERMODY, KINSEY, D. MILLER, HANNA, A. DAVIS, CONKLIN, CALTAGIRONE, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, BULLOCK, FRANKEL, J. HARRIS, WARREN, DONATUCCI, PASHINSKI, HILL-EVANS, SCHWEYER, McCLINTON, GILLEN and KRUEGER-BRANEKY

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for definitions, for unlawful discriminatory practices and for powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission; providing for training; and further providing for procedure.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2283** By Representatives D. COSTA, SCHLOSSBERG, A. DAVIS, SOLOMON, O'BRIEN, MURT, DEAN, D. MILLER, STURLA, DERMODY, KINSEY, HANNA, READSHAW, CONKLIN, CALTAGIRONE, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, DRISCOLL, BULLOCK, FRANKEL, DeLUCA, ROEBUCK, PASHINSKI, McCLINTON and JOZWIAK

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for powers and duties of the commission.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2284** By Representatives O'BRIEN, DERMODY, HANNA, STURLA, BULLOCK, CALTAGIRONE, CONKLIN, DALEY, A. DAVIS, DEAN, DONATUCCI, DRISCOLL, FRANKEL, HARKINS, HILL-EVANS, KINSEY, KIRKLAND, MADDEN, D. MILLER, MURT, PASHINSKI, ROEBUCK, WARREN, YOUNGBLOOD, D. COSTA and NEILSON

An Act amending the act of December 12, 1986 (P.L.1559, No.169), known as the Whistleblower Law, further providing for remedies and for enforcement.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2285** By Representatives SNYDER, THOMAS, SCHLOSSBERG, SOLOMON, MURT, O'BRIEN, DEAN, D. MILLER, STURLA, DERMODY, KINSEY, HANNA, CONKLIN, CALTAGIRONE, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, BULLOCK, FRANKEL, PASHINSKI, SCHWEYER and McCLINTON

An Act amending Title 65 (Public Officers) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in lobbying disclosure, further providing for registration, for prohibited activities and for penalties.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2286** By Representatives DAVIDSON, DEAN, MADDEN, O'BRIEN, STEPHENS, STURLA, SOLOMON, DERMODY, D. MILLER, HANNA, MURT, KINSEY, A. DAVIS, CONKLIN, CALTAGIRONE, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, FRANKEL, WARREN, DONATUCCI, PASHINSKI, HILL-EVANS, SCHWEYER and KRUEGER-BRANEKY

An Act amending the act of October 27, 1955 (P.L.744, No.222), known as the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, further providing for procedure and for construction and exclusiveness of remedy.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2287** By Representatives SNYDER, KIRKLAND, P. COSTA, MURT, HAGGERTY, SCHLOSSBERG, STEPHENS, STURLA, CONKLIN, CALTAGIRONE, KAUFER, YOUNGBLOOD, TOEPEL, DRISCOLL, FRANKEL, DeLUCA, WARREN, ROEBUCK, HILL-EVANS, WARD, SCHWEYER and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in certification of teachers, providing for crisis training continuing professional development course.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 19, 2018.

**No. 2288** By Representatives R. BROWN, BARRAR, CALTAGIRONE, SCHLEGEL CULVER, ENGLISH, GILLEN, HILL-EVANS, METZGAR, MILLARD, B. MILLER, MURT, PASHINSKI, PICKETT, READSHAW, SAYLOR and WATSON

An Act providing for school entity procedures for tick removal, for notification and for duties of the Department of Health and the Department of Education.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2289** By Representatives PETRARCA, TOOHL, BAKER, KAUFFMAN, DAVIS, DRISCOLL, LONGIETTI, CORR, STURLA, MILLARD, V. BROWN, SCHLOSSBERG, COMITTA, D. COSTA, BARBIN, DeLUCA, WARD, MURT, ROEBUCK, LAWRENCE, WATSON, FARRY, SCHWEYER, SIMS, SCHEMEL, PETRI, BRIGGS, SOLOMON, PHILLIPS-HILL, TAYLOR, DiGIROLAMO, M. QUINN, WARREN, STAATS, GALLOWAY, PASHINSKI, EVERETT, DERMODY, RABB, VAZQUEZ, MARKOSEK, CUTLER, GREINER, B. MILLER, MENTZER and BULLOCK

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in human trafficking, further providing for definitions, repealing provisions relating to appropriate implementation for minor victims of human trafficking, providing for special relief to restore victim's dignity and autonomy and for safe harbor for sexually exploited children, establishing the Safe Harbor for Sexually Exploited Children Fund and imposing penalties; in riot, disorderly conduct and related offenses, further providing for the offense of obstructing highways and other public passages; in public indecency, further providing for the offense of prostitution and related offenses; and, in juvenile matters, providing for immunity and for dependency in lieu of delinquency.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2290** By Representatives ROTHMAN, RYAN, MURT, KINSEY, PICKETT, J. McNEILL, WATSON, DRISCOLL, CALTAGIRONE, WARD, READSHAW and GILLEN

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage license, further providing for oral examination.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2291** By Representatives EVANKOVICH, DUNBAR, NELSON, MILLARD, ROTHMAN, PICKETT, IRVIN, TOPPER, RYAN, WHEELAND, HEFFLEY, MENTZER, OBERLANDER, GREINER, STEPHENS, WARD, ZIMMERMAN, KAUFFMAN, B. MILLER, FEE, CUTLER, KLUNK, HARPER, ROEBUCK, HICKERNELL, BRIGGS, DIAMOND, NEILSON, READSHAW, MASSER and DeLISSIO

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in departmental powers and duties as to licensing, further providing for definitions.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND OLDER ADULT SERVICES, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2292** By Representatives TOEPEL, BARRAR, CHARLTON, COX, DAVIS, HILL-EVANS, IRVIN, JAMES, JOZWIAK, MARSHALL, McCLINTON, MILLARD, MURT, SAYLOR, SNYDER, STEPHENS, WARD and NEILSON

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in reimbursements by Commonwealth and between school districts, further providing for payments on account of building costs.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2293** By Representatives CAUSER, BOBACK, CONKLIN, ENGLISH, GILLEN, GODSHALL, A. HARRIS, IRVIN, JAMES, KAUFER, KAVULICH, M. K. KELLER, LONGIETTI, MACKENZIE, MILLARD, MURT, PICKETT, RAPP, ROEBUCK, SCHLOSSBERG, SOLOMON and WARD

An Act establishing the Rural Broadband Deployment Fund; and conferring powers and imposing duties on the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2294** By Representatives ROEBUCK, DONATUCCI, FRANKEL, DEAN, ROTHMAN, KINSEY, SCHLOSSBERG, V. BROWN, RABB, J. HARRIS, SIMS, THOMAS, PASHINSKI, PHILLIPS-HILL, MURT, DAVIDSON, SCHWEYER, ROZZI, RYAN, A. DAVIS, GAINEY, McCARTER, J. McNEILL, KORTZ, STURLA, FREEMAN, D. COSTA and READSHAW

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in criminal history record information, further providing for definitions and for expungement.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2295** By Representatives DALEY, MADDEN, KINSEY, DEAN, YOUNGBLOOD and McCLINTON

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for days schools not to be kept open.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2296** By Representatives DALEY, DEAN, SCHLOSSBERG, FRANKEL, RABB, SOLOMON, BULLOCK, CALTAGIRONE, V. BROWN, McCLINTON, FREEMAN, READSHAW, KINSEY, STURLA and HILL-EVANS

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in governance of the system, providing for waiver of fees for indigent persons.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 23, 2018.

**No. 2297** By Representative TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of February 15, 2018 (P.L.22, No.9), entitled "An act designating a portion of State Route 2005 in Saxonburg Borough, Butler County, as the Chief Gregory B. Adams Way; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 271 over US Route 22, Jackson Township, Cambria County, as the Trooper Gary Fisher Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 53 over US Route 22, Cresson Township, Cambria County, as the Corporal Robert J. Sherwood, Jr., Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on Segment 80 of State Route 2015, along Overbridge Street and over Railroad Street, Lilly Borough, Cambria County, as the Paul E. Sweeney Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Avenue A over the Allegheny River, Coudersport Borough, Potter County, as the Commander Philip F. "Jet" Palmatier, Jr., Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Sunnyside Road over the Oswayo Creek, Shinglehouse Borough, Potter County, as the PVT Malon Stanley Memorial Bridge; designating a portion of State Route 1001 in Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Lance Corporal Michael L. Freeman, Jr., Memorial Highway; designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 533 over Muddy Run, Southampton Township, Franklin County, as the Private First Class Dana Edward Diehl Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1004 over the Conococheague Creek, Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Private Charles W. "Bill" Roher Memorial Bridge," further providing for Lance Corporal Michael L. Freeman, Jr., Memorial Highway.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2298** By Representatives MARSHALL, BARRAR, BERNSTINE, CHARLTON, SCHLEGEL CULVER, DRISCOLL, GILLEN, MARSICO, MILLARD, MURT, NEILSON, PICKETT, READSHAW, ROEBUCK, RYAN, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHWEYER, WARD and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act establishing the Infant CPR and Choking Education and Prevention Program; and providing for educational and instructional materials, for duties of department, for criminal or civil liability and for regulations.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2299** By Representatives O'NEILL, M. K. KELLER, JOZWIAK, DALEY, D. COSTA, NELSON, KEEFER, COX, BERNSTINE, KRUEGER-BRANEKY and EVERETT

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in departmental powers and duties as to licensing, further providing for definitions.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2300** By Representatives MARSHALL, CHARLTON, JAMES, JOZWIAK, ROTHMAN, SAYLOR, SCHLOSSBERG and WARD

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in miscellaneous provisions, providing for autonomous vehicles, imposing a penalty and establishing the Connected and Highly Autonomous Vehicle Advisory Committee and providing for its powers and duties.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 24, 2018.

**No. 2301** By Representatives R. BROWN, BAKER, BARRAR, CAUSER, COMMITTA, D. COSTA, DRISCOLL, HENNESSEY, PHILLIPS-HILL, KAUFFMAN, M. K. KELLER, MILLARD, MURT, PICKETT, READSHAW, ROE, ROTHMAN, SCHWEYER, TALLMAN, WARD and WATSON

An Act providing for continuing education in Lyme disease and related tick-borne diseases for health care professionals.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, April 26, 2018.

**No. 2303** By Representatives CORR, BERNSTINE, CORBIN, DALEY, DUNBAR, HILL-EVANS, B. MILLER, PICKETT, M. QUINN, RYAN and SCHEMEL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in personal income tax, providing for revocable trusts treated as part of estate.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 30, 2018.

**No. 2304** By Representatives FRITZ, WHEELAND, JAMES, DOWLING, BENNINGHOFF and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending Title 58 (Oil and Gas) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in development, further providing for well permits.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 30, 2018.

## SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 384, PN 384**

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, April 26, 2018.

**SB 435, PN 612**

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 26, 2018.

**SB 627, PN 700**

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 26, 2018.



**SB 653, PN 1660**

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 23, 2018.

**SB 742, PN 1162**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 19, 2018.

**SB 915, PN 1534**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 19, 2018.

**SB 916, PN 1535**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 19, 2018.

**SB 961, PN 1585**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 19, 2018.

**SB 1041, PN 1683**

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, April 26, 2018.

**SB 1070, PN 1707**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 30, 2018.

**SB 1071, PN 1708**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 30, 2018.

**SB 1072, PN 1650**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 30, 2018.

**SB 1078, PN 1560**

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 19, 2018.

**SB 1090, PN 1661**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 19, 2018.

**SB 1091, PN 1576**

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 26, 2018.

**SB 1136, PN 1675**

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 26, 2018.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. At this time we are going to do some introductions of guests.

In the well of the House, we welcome guest pages Stephanie Boltz and Kimmy Weaner. Please stand. They are both seniors at Northern Lebanon High School and are guests of Representative Diamond. Great to have you here today.

To the left of the rostrum, we welcome our Guest Chaplain's wife, Diane Kirkpatrick – Diane, please stand – the Chaplain's mother, Aileen Miller; and good friend, Chuck Haddon. Thank you so much for being with us today. They are all friends and guests of Representative David Zimmerman.

To the left of the rostrum, we welcome Clark Gray and Ethan Sommers. Ethan is a senior at Lancaster Mennonite High School. They are guests of Representative Bryan Cutler. Thanks so much for being with us today. Great to have you.

To the left of the rostrum, we welcome Israa Abdul-Rashid, who is the winner for Representative Hill-Evans' Martin Luther King essay contest. Great to have you. Thank you so much.

In the rear of the House, we welcome fourth grade students from St. Mary's Interparochial School and they are guests of Representative Mike O'Brien. Please stand. It is great to have you today. Thanks. We are going to have you come up for a photo shortly.

In the rear of the House, the Montgomery County 4-H Club, guests of Representative Marcy Toepel. What a great group too. Thank you so much for being with us. We are going to have you up here for photos with the Representative.

Representative Harry Lewis has with him today a group of Junior ROTC (Reserve Officers' Training Corps) cadets from Coatesville Area High School, and they are with their instructor, Lt. Col. James Turnbull. Thank you so much for being with us. We hope to have you up here shortly for photos.

In the rear of the House, guests of Representative Steve Mentzer are here, and they include Gus Payne, director of the Central American Relief Efforts in Lancaster, and Marel Hernandez, who is from Honduras. Please stand. Great to have you here today.

**KENNETH G. GORMLEY PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. Now I want to introduce to you – and I hope he knows we are going to give him an opportunity to come to the rostrum – at this time I am proud to introduce, as we have with many of our distinguished university and college presidents, President Ken Gormley. Ken, please stand and come on up and be with us here at the rostrum.

As you know, on July 1, 2016, Kenneth G. Gormley became Duquesne University's 13th president, and he is the third lay president in the university's 138-year history. As you know, they were started in a Spiritan mission and tradition, and President Gormley was also at one point the dean of the law school and a recognized constitutional scholar, a best-selling author, and was officially installed on Thursday, September 22, 2016.

He is a graduate of the University of Pittsburgh – although I was there with him when Duquesne beat Pitt in a pretty heated basketball game and he was cheering for his new school – and he is also a graduate of Harvard Law School. A good family

man, he and his wife, Laura, have four children: Carolyn, Luke, Rebecca, and Madeleine.

At this time, President Gormley, we are going to turn over the rostrum to you to say hello. Give me just a second to get the doors closed and bring some order to the House.

The Sergeants at Arms will close the doors of the House. The Sergeants at Arms will close the doors of the House, and all members are asked to please take their seats, guests as well. Members and staff are asked to please take their seats. All members and staff are asked to please take their seats. Thank you, members.

President Gormley, the floor is yours.

Mr. GORMLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Good afternoon. We are very proud, Mr. Speaker, of your great work on behalf of the citizens of the Commonwealth. As the Speaker mentioned, I have taught constitutional law and Pennsylvania constitutional law much of my career. I remain in awe of the work that this body does, and I just wanted to say that we are deeply appreciative that members of the Pennsylvania legislature remain great models for our young people, for our students, as they embark upon careers that hopefully include public service as well. So it is a great honor, and thank you for your service.

The SPEAKER. President Gormley, thank you so much for being here.

The gentleman to your immediate left, his daughter is at Duquesne University and she attends every class.

Mr. GORMLEY. Yes, we will make sure she has a scholarship. I am not sure where that stands right now.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Mr. President; a great university, Duquesne University, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

The Sergeants at Arms will open the doors of the House.

### **AMBASSADOR HENNE SCHUWER PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. Now we have another special guest, and I ask everybody to please take their seats. Where is Representative Harry Readshaw, my good friend and colleague? Come on up, Representative Readshaw, with us.

Representative Readshaw has brought to us His Excellency Henne Schuwer, and this is really quite significant. Henne Schuwer is the Ambassador of the Kingdom of the Netherlands – Holland, to many of us, the Netherlands – to the United States of America.

The Ambassador is joined by Consul General Dolph Hogewoning of New York City, and additional members of the delegation are located in the rear of the House. If they will please stand, the other members of the delegation from the Netherlands. Thank you so much for being with us here today. We are very honored.

The Ambassador was born in 1953 in The Hague, in the Netherlands, and his parents met as journalists who worked for the Netherlands Press Agency. His father later became director of public relations and his mom was a teacher. He attended the University of Leiden, where he earned a master's degree in law, and has held positions on behalf of his country in Vietnam, India, Belgium, and now the United States. He and his wife have four adult children. His two daughters are psychologists and his two sons are engineers.

At this time I would like to bring the Ambassador to the rostrum to speak. Ambassador, we are so honored and you are with our good friend, Chairman Harry Readshaw. Harry may want to say a few words before – okay, Ambassador, we are going to just please have you go right to the rostrum. Thank you so much.

Please tell everybody much about the Netherlands and what is going on today and what is going on with the relations between the two countries.

Members, please take your seats. The Sergeants at Arms will close the doors of the House. The Sergeants at Arms will close the doors of the House, and members are asked to take their seats.

Ambassador, the floor is yours.

AMBASSADOR SCHUWER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you very much, members of the House of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to receive me in your midst. I bring you the great things of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, and I do that more than four centuries after the first Dutch set foot here in Pennsylvania. As you know, we came to these shores in 1609, when Henry Hudson tried to find a passage to India and, when he was halfway through the Hudson River, found out that this was actually not the passage and he turned back and he settled, which later became New Amsterdam, now New York, and we settled there.

We came to these shores in 1614, and we have been here ever since. We have been here in the spirit of trade. We came here to trade with you, we came here to spread our ideas of tolerance with you, and we found out that trade and tolerance are two bastions, are two beacons for our livelihood, and I am very happy to say they have been beacons for the livelihood here in Pennsylvania ever since. Not for nothing; Philadelphia is called the City of Brotherly Love, and if you see what happened in that city and how your forefathers built this wonderful United States on those beacons, on those ideas, where I think the Netherlands had a very small part in the conception of, I am proud to be here in your midst and to remember those moments.

I am here also on behalf of the Netherlands government at the moment, because as you might know, the Netherlands is the third biggest investor in this wonderful country, and the United States is the biggest investor in my country. I can tell you that, thanks to the Netherlands' investment in the United States, more than 740,000 people have a job, and I can tell you that there are more than 1,700 American companies that found a good place to work in the Netherlands, as a gateway to Europe. International trade is in our blood. International trade, in our opinion, is fair trade. We will fight with you for fair trade. It is the only way that fair trade and trade is sustainable, and that is why we think that the Netherlands, Europe, and the United States should work together on an international trade agenda, and I am very hopeful that that will happen in the near future.

I am also here to reaffirm our bonds that we coined more than four centuries ago, bonds built on trade, as I said, but also on the idea of shared values, on shared ideas of human rights, on shared ideas of democracy. We still share those ideas, and in a time where I think we live in an uncertain world, I think countries like the United States and the Netherlands and the leadership of the United States is essential in that world, and there is no better place to extol those virtues than in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, where it all began for you as the United States.

We were there at that moment. You might recall that the first Ambassador to the Netherlands, John Adams, came to the Netherlands to secure a loan to finance your Revolution. He got that loan, 5 million guilders. We are happy to have been able to finance a little bit of your proud Revolution, and actually, we made a pretty penny in the process, I can tell you, and actually to the extent that we also helped finance the Louisiana Purchase to the tune of 30 million Florence guilders at that time.

So we have long bonds. I am here to tell you that those bonds have never swayed. I am here to tell you that the four centuries were just the beginning and I am ready to with you embark on the next four centuries. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Thank you so much, Mr. Ambassador. We are very honored to have you here in the House of Representatives.

### GIFTS PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Ambassador has some gifts for the chamber, and I am going to have him describe them to us.

AMBASSADOR SCHUWER. I am sorry. I should have done this earlier.

This is a map of this area four centuries ago, and the wonderful thing about this map is, it is not only the Dutch you will see here, it is also the Swedes you will see here, it is also the British you will see here. This is, I think, the nucleus of the start of your great Republic. These are the countries who are with you in the 16th, 17th century. And I have another one which is a copy of the oldest document that we have been able to find in which Pennsylvania is mentioned. This is a letter of the Commander of Fort Nassau, who wakes up one morning and realizes that on the other side of the river there is competition – in this case, there are Swedes – and he warns his command in New York, "Guys, we are not alone. This is such a wonderful area. There are more people who are vying for their attention. Please be aware." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. We are so honored. Thank you.

Ambassador, we have a slight gift – it is beyond Hershey's chocolates, although that is an important gift, too – but thank you so much for being with us here today. Thank you. We are so honored.

The Sergeants at Arms will open the doors of the House.

Amongst other famous Americans of Dutch ancestry obviously, both Presidents Theodore Roosevelt and Franklin Delano Roosevelt. We covered both parties.

Members with guests who will be wishing to speak, we are going to do the uncontested calendar. Those that have guests will be invited to speak at this time, and those without guests will be speaking at the end of the session day, but I would ask these members to please get ready to come up to the front to speak at the front podiums: Representative Rosemary Brown, Representative Dan Miller, Representative Frank Farry, Representative Steve Barrar, and Representative Jake Wheatley. We will start with Representative Wheatley. But if you will come up, we are going to do the votes right now; then as soon as we are done with the votes, I am going to begin with Representative Wheatley, Representative Brown, Representative Miller, Representative Farry, and Representative Barrar.

We are going to do the master roll here in just a moment.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The majority whip has no requests for leaves of absence.

The minority whip has the following requests for leaves of absence; they include: Representative BRADFORD of Montgomery County for the day, Representative FABRIZIO of Erie County for the day, Representative VITALI of Delaware County for the day, Representative ROEBUCK of Philadelphia County for the day, Representative DeLUCA of Allegheny County for the day, and Representative BOYLE of Philadelphia County for the day. Without objection, those will be granted.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. Members, please proceed to vote on the master roll.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—193

Barbin	English	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fee	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rothman
Briggs	Flynn	Mako	Rozzi
Brown, R.	Frankel	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, V.	Freeman	Markosek	Sacccone
Bullock	Fritz	Marshall	Sainato
Burns	Gainey	Marsico	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Galloway	Masser	Sankey
Carroll	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Causar	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Cephas	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Charlton	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	McNeill	Schweyer
Comitta	Grove	Mehaffie	Simmons
Conklin	Haggerty	Mentzer	Sims
Cook	Hahn	Metcalfe	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Metzgar	Solomon
Corr	Harkins	Miccarelli	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harper	Millard	Staats
Costa, P.	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Stephens
Cox	Harris, J.	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Heffley	Milne	Tallman
Culver	Helm	Moul	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Mullery	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Murt	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Mustio	Toepel
Davis, A.	Irvin	Neilson	Toohil
Davis, T.	James	Nelson	Topper
Dawkins	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Vazquez
Day	Kampf	O'Brien	Walsh
Dean	Kaufer	O'Neill	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Ortitay	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Kim	Pyle	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Dush	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Ellis	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Krueger	Rapp	

## ADDITIONS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—7

Boyle	DeLuca	Gabler	Vitali
Bradford	Fabrizio	Roebuck	

## LEAVES ADDED—27

Barbin	DiGirolamo	Maher	Readshaw
Briggs	Emrick	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Evankovich	Matzie	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Davis, T.	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
Dean	Kaufer	Neilson	Wheatley
Deasy	Keller, M.K.	O'Brien	

## LEAVES CANCELED—7

Boyle	DeLuca	Marsico	Warren
Bradford	Maher	Taylor	

The SPEAKER. One hundred and ninety-three members having voted on the master roll, we have a quorum.

## UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

## RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. MURT called up **HR 716, PN 3069**, entitled:

A Resolution designating April 24, 2018, as "Pennsylvania's Day of Remembrance of the Armenian Genocide of 1915-1923."

\* \* \*

Mr. D. MILLER called up **HR 750, PN 3335**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the 100th anniversary of the creation of the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF) and honoring the IAFF and its membership on this momentous and important occasion.

\* \* \*

Mr. READSHAW called up **HR 800, PN 3259**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the month of May 2018 as "Lupus Awareness Month" and May 10, 2018, as "World Lupus Day" in Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

Mrs. R. BROWN called up **HR 826, PN 3318**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of April 2018 as "Sexual Assault Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

Mr. MARKOSEK called up **HR 827, PN 3336**, entitled:

A Resolution congratulating the Notre Dame women's basketball team on winning the 2018 NCAA Division I women's basketball title.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—193

Barbin	English	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fee	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rothman
Briggs	Flynn	Mako	Rozzi
Brown, R.	Frankel	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, V.	Freeman	Markosek	Saccone
Bullock	Fritz	Marshall	Sainato
Burns	Gainey	Marsico	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Galloway	Masser	Sankey
Carroll	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Causar	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Cephas	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Charlton	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	McNeill	Schweyer
Comitta	Grove	Mehaffie	Simmons
Conklin	Haggerty	Mentzer	Sims
Cook	Hahn	Metcalfe	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Metzgar	Solomon
Corr	Harkins	Miccarelli	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harper	Millard	Staats
Costa, P.	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Stephens
Cox	Harris, J.	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Heffley	Milne	Tallman
Culver	Helm	Moul	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Mullery	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Murt	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Mustio	Toepel
Davis, A.	Irvin	Neilson	Toohil
Davis, T.	James	Nelson	Topper
Dawkins	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Vazquez
Day	Kampf	O'Brien	Walsh
Dean	Kaufer	O'Neill	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Ortitay	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Kim	Pyle	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Dush	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Ellis	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Krueger	Rapp	

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—7

Boyle	DeLuca	Gabler	Vitali
Bradford	Fabrizio	Roebuck	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.



## UNCONTESTED SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

## RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. WHEATLEY called up **HR 859, PN 3412**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the month of April 2018 as "National Jazz Month" in Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

Mr. MATZIE called up **HR 863, PN 3426**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing May 1, 2018, as "World Asthma Day" in Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

Ms. QUINN called up **HR 876, PN 3449**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of April 2018 as "Polio Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

\* \* \*

Mr. MURT called up **HR 882, PN 3452**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the week of April 30 through May 7, 2018, as "Children's Mental Health Awareness Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—193

Barbin	English	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fee	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rothman
Briggs	Flynn	Mako	Rozzi
Brown, R.	Frankel	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, V.	Freeman	Markosek	Saccone
Bullock	Fritz	Marshall	Sainato
Burns	Gainey	Marsico	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Galloway	Masser	Sankey
Carroll	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Causar	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Cephas	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Charlton	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	McNeill	Schweyer
Comitta	Grove	Mehaffie	Simmons
Conklin	Haggerty	Mentzer	Sims
Cook	Hahn	Metcalfe	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Metzgar	Solomon
Corr	Harkins	Miccarelli	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harper	Millard	Staats
Costa, P.	Harris, A.	Miller, B.	Stephens
Cox	Harris, J.	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Heffley	Milne	Tallman
Culver	Helm	Moul	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Mullery	Thomas
Daley	Hickernell	Murt	Tobash
Davidson	Hill	Mustio	Toepel
Davis, A.	Irvin	Neilson	Toohil
Davis, T.	James	Nelson	Topper
Dawkins	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Vazquez

Day	Kampf	O'Brien	Walsh
Dean	Kaufner	O'Neill	Ward
Deasy	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
DeLissio	Kavulich	Ortity	Warren
Delozier	Keefer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Kim	Pyle	White
Dowling	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Driscoll	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dunbar	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Dush	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Ellis	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Emrick	Krueger	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Boyle	DeLuca	Gabler	Vitali
Bradford	Fabrizio	Roebuck	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

## STATEMENT BY MR. WHEATLEY

The SPEAKER. Representative Jake Wheatley is recognized to speak on HR 859.

Mr. WHEATLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am here with two of my colleagues from Allegheny County, Representative—

The SPEAKER. Representative Wheatley, please suspend for just a second.

Members, we have four speakers to go through on these uncontested resolutions. We do advise all the speakers if they could constrain their comments to under 5 minutes, it would be appreciated. They do have guests to introduce, so I would ask everybody to please take their seats. Members, please take your seats. It certainly shows respect to the guests and it also allows the speaker to be focused in his or her remarks. So, all members, please take your seats. All members, please take your seats.

Representative Wheatley, you may proceed.

Mr. WHEATLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am not sure if that 5-minute clock was for me or not, but I appreciate—

The SPEAKER. For everybody, not just for you.

Mr. WHEATLEY. But I do want to thank both you and my colleagues for supporting HR 859, which designated April as "National Jazz Month" in Pennsylvania.

I wanted to just for one second talk to you about the power of jazz. I mean, most people associate jazz and the music art form with New Orleans, but really, in the State of Pennsylvania and from the city of Pittsburgh, we have had a wonderful and a very impactful relationship with the growth of this artistic form. And so I am not going to go through the litany of artists who have come from our State's boundaries and borders, but I will say we have today here on this House floor a young man who is a product and a self-taught musician who is also continuing in

the legacy of Pennsylvania. We brought here Kevin Howard to recognize his wonderful contributions to the form of jazz and continuing the legacy. And I just wanted to stay here and say, for us, many of us forget the power of music but particularly the power of jazz and the contribution jazz has made not only to our cultural legacy but our economic one, and especially, as I said, from the city of Pittsburgh, jazz has a real long-lasting impact.

So, Mr. Speaker, I want to thank you and my colleagues for recognizing this honorable artistic form.

And if I could, Mr. Speaker, I know two of my colleagues from Allegheny also would like to quickly say something on the mike.

#### STATEMENT BY MR. DAVIS

The SPEAKER. Representatives, you may proceed.

Mr. DAVIS. I just want to thank Representative Wheatley and Representative Gainey for sponsoring this legislation as well as our colleagues for recognizing that jazz has been such an important component of the fabric of this Commonwealth and for passing this resolution today. Thank you.

#### STATEMENT BY MR. GAINEY

The SPEAKER. Representative Gainey.

Mr. GAINEY. Good afternoon, colleagues. How many of you all like jazz? How many in here like jazz? By a show of hands, just put your hands up if you like jazz.

Then help in giving Kevin Howard a warm welcome to the State Capitol. Mr. Howard, can you stand up, please. Can we give him a warm welcome. Come on. If you like jazz, let me here you all clap. Come on. Come on. Yes. There we go.

Hey, listen, if you all missed it today, you all should have heard him in the media center. He was fantastic. You know it will be on PCN (Pennsylvania Cable Network), it will be on PCN, so if you did not get to see him today, I know you all are running around, please watch him tonight. Like Jake said, jazz is the backbone of American society and it was a tremendous honor to hear him play at the media center today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Gainey.

Kevin, if you will stay standing, who is from Pittsburgh. He is the CEO (chief executive officer) of Key Chapter Entertainment. Kevin is a world-class keyboardist, writer, composer, and producer who has received numerous awards for his work. And as the good gentleman said from Allegheny County, he performed in the media center in honor of Jazz Month. Thanks so much for being with us here today, sir.

#### STATEMENT BY MRS. BROWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Rosemary Brown.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HR 826 designates the month of April as "Sexual Assault Awareness Month." I have introduced this resolution over the last several years, and unfortunately, this past year has been filled with allegations raised in our news, only showing that sexual assault is still occurring far too often.

Sexual assault can be harder to define than you may think. But according to the Department of Justice, sexual assault is any type of sexual contact or behavior that occurs without the explicit consent of the recipient. Sexual violence consists of a

range of behaviors, including rape, incest, molestation, date and acquaintance rape, statutory rape, marital or partner rape, ritual abuse, sexual exploitation, forced prostitution, indecent sexual contact, sexual harassment, exhibitionism, and voyeurism.

The truly devastating piece of this awareness month is the statistics. One in five girls and one in six boys will be sexually assaulted before the age of 18. How would you feel if this was you or your child?

The American Medical Association has stated that sexual violence is a silent, violent epidemic which is growing at alarming rates and traumatizing women, men, and children, causing severe long-term mental health consequences.

It is also expected to be the most underreported crime in the United States. It is estimated that only 31 percent of rape and sexual assault incidents are reported. It is time to take action against sexual violence and to educate our society, strengthen respect for one another, and work toward prevention of these types of behaviors and crimes.

I hope that this resolution will encourage individuals who have been victims to seek support and counseling to help them through their difficulties and mental struggles so they may live happy and productive lives.

I would like to thank the many individuals in our State who support these victims through their services and especially our guests who are on the floor with us today: Terri Hamrick, who is the president and CEO of Survivors, Inc.

The SPEAKER. Representative, hold on just a second.

Members, please take your seats as we introduce our guests on a very important topic. Members, please take your seats. These guests have traveled some distance to be with us today.

And, Representative, when you are introducing, please once again articulate the organizations for which they represent.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We have Ms. Terri Hamrick, who is the president and CEO of Survivors, Inc.

The SPEAKER. Terri, would you please stand. Oh, she is. Okay. There is Terri. Thank you.

Mrs. R. BROWN. We have Ms. Cristina Perez, who is the director of community outreach, Women Organized Against Rape.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Mrs. R. BROWN. And we have Ms. Marlene Austin, who is the executive director of Passages, Inc.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you for all that you do and for the many other individuals who dedicate their lives to helping our victims.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Brown.

Thank you to our guests. Thank you for being here today. We are very appreciative.

#### STATEMENT BY MR. BARRAR

The SPEAKER. Representative Barrar is recognized to speak on HR 800, and he will be followed by Representatives Dan Miller and Frank Farry.

Mr. BARRAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is a pleasure this afternoon to stand here and support this resolution recognizing the month of May 2018 as "Lupus Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

Nationally, more than 1.5 million people and more than 65,000 Pennsylvanians struggle every day with the debilitating consequences of lupus, a potentially fatal autoimmune disease.

I would like to take a minute and recognize my constituents from Bethel Township, George Kelly and Dawn Kelly. Dawn Kelly came up here today because of this resolution. Dawn Kelly, 25 years ago, was diagnosed with lupus. She is hopeful to take a more active role in her community in Delaware County to help educate and advocate on behalf of other individuals who are living with this horrible disease. Representative Readshaw had introduced this legislation, or this resolution, but having guests here today, he allowed me to speak on it. This morning Dawn and George gave me a pretty good education on what living with lupus was like, and after a 5-minute conversation, I realized how devastating this can be to a couple.

So I wanted to welcome them to the House and pray that they will continue to advocate for awareness of this terrible disease.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let us have a welcome for our guests here today.

### STATEMENT BY MR. MILLER

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Miller is recognized on HR 750.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to start, too, with recognizing that in the back we do have several members of the International Association of Fire Fighters from Pittsburgh and I believe Prince George's County, and if they could please stand to be recognized. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and for the firefighters who are in attendance today.

Mr. Speaker, I speak briefly just on our resolution that recognizes the 100th anniversary of the International Association of Fire Fighters. This union actually has very strong Pennsylvania roots. For those of you who are unaware, the very first local for the International Association of Fire Fighters is represented right up there in Pittsburgh, Local No. 1, and we appreciate that.

We also recognize the importance that this union has played in protecting firefighters throughout its history. Whether it is for better wages, improved safety, or for those who are just trying to do greater service in their communities, the firefighters and their union have been there for all of their members, and the International Association of Fire Fighters has proudly represented over 300,000 firefighters in America, in Canada, including 85 percent of our geographical areas are represented through the International Association of Fire Fighters.

It is my great honor to have a very small role in the department. I recognize that my home department, where I serve, their career members are part of that local as well and that firefighters union, and that is a great thing for us and a great thing for the local as well.

However, I would also note that it would not be appropriate with this resolution if we were not to hear from my good friend and colleague, Representative Farry, who has done almost 30 years of his life as a firefighter and currently serves as a chief and is someone whom I very much respect and I am so glad he could do this resolution with me.

### STATEMENT BY MR. FARRY

The SPEAKER. Representative Frank Farry.

Mr. FARRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And thank you, Representative Miller, for allowing me to partner with you on this.

In my 9-plus years here I have come to meet many great firefighters across this Commonwealth, including my good friends from Pittsburgh. We have developed not just a great working relationship, but also a great friendship. Unfortunately, I do have to eat crow more times than not when we start talking about sports, to my Penguins fans back there. But I would also like to recognize one of the newer IAFF locals, which is in Representative DiGirolamo's district, and that is Bensalem Fire Rescue.

Out of the 24 locals across this nation that formed the IAFF 100 years ago, it included Local 22 out of Philadelphia. Those gentlemen are up here quite often and advocating on important issues. Two important issues that I have had the opportunity to partner with them on is cancer presumption for our firefighters to protect both our career firefighters and our volunteer firefighters and make sure in their time of need if they develop that deadly disease, that they and their families will be taken care of; and also ensuring that our firefighters and paramedics have the appropriate level of heart and lung protection. These two issues are very important to the fire service and EMS (emergency medical services) communities, and if we as a body here in Harrisburg are not taking care of our first responders who protect us 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, then we are failing both as a Commonwealth and as a community, and I have been honored to partner with these gentlemen on these issues.

The IAFF also is being cutting edge right now in worrying about first responders with mental health issues, PTSD (post-traumatic stress disorder), and they actually not that long ago opened an institute in Maryland to help take care of their own with that issue. I am proud to be working with Representative Schlossberg in a bipartisan effort. We are going to have some legislation coming forward very soon that we are hopeful will be able to take care of our first responders right here in the Commonwealth.

So to the men and women out there that are serving our Commonwealth, we thank you so much for choosing this very noble career, for keeping our families safe, and we wish you Godspeed and safe return to your firehouse after every run.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you so much.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. And to our firefighters, thank you so much. We are very appreciative on the 100th anniversary of the International Association of Fire Fighters. Thank you for being with us today. Thank you.

Amongst those in the back – and I do not know if I have everybody, but we have Ralph Sicuro, Lt. John Gardell, Lt. Tim Leech, Mark Bruner, Andrew Pantelis, Ed Farley, Matt Bachner, John Chunko, and Ed Dursi. They are guests, obviously, of Representative Miller and Representative Farry. Thank you for being with us.

### THE HARRISBURG INTERNSHIP SEMESTER PROGRAM INTERNS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. In the rear of the House, we welcome a group of students participating in the State System of Higher Education's Harrisburg Internship Semester. Please stand as I call out your name: Garrett Haffner, Joshua Henry, Ian Hine, Beth Alboucq, Najeebah Beyah-Green, Caroline Mathis, and Tyler McCarthy. Thank you so much for being with us today, and have a great semester. I hope you learn a lot.

We are going to take up announcements at this time.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Does the Appropriations chair have an announcement?

Representative Saylor, the majority Appropriations chair, for an announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room immediately.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

### STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Representative Metcalfe has a committee announcement.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the House State Government Committee will hold a voting meeting immediately at the break today in room G-50 of the Irvis Office Building to consider HB 563 as well as any other business that might be brought before the committee, Mr. Speaker. So a voting meeting for the House State Government Committee and members of that committee.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The State Government Committee will meet immediately in room G-50 of the Irvis Office Building.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Marcy Toepel is recognized. She is head of the majority caucus. At this time she is going to tell us what the caucus meeting time will be. Please proceed.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus at 2 o'clock. We would be prepared to return to the floor at 3:30.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

So the House Republican Caucus will be caucusing at 2 p.m.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The minority caucus chair, Representative Dan Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will be caucusing at 2 o'clock. Democrats will be caucusing at 2 o'clock.

### STATEMENT BY MR. ELLIS

The SPEAKER. Representative Brian Ellis is recognized on unanimous consent.

Mr. ELLIS. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

And for the members, when you arrived today on your desk was a thank-you card. Several weeks ago after we left the chamber, it was taken over by a bunch of young men and women from across Pennsylvania through the YMCA Youth and Government program. They debated bills in this chamber, in the Senate chamber, and they really ran this place, maybe a little better than we do at times. But I am so proud of them, and one of them was my son. And I cannot say enough about YMCA Youth and Government, and I am glad that we have fine young ladies and gentlemen in our Commonwealth that could come here, respect the institution, and really show that the next generation is ready to lead.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Ellis.

I got my note right here, and Greg Rudder served as Speaker. And, Greg, I wish you the best.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. We are going to break until 3:30 p.m. We will stand in recess until 3:30 p.m., unless sooner recalled or extended by the Speaker.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**HB 1684, PN 3460** (Amended)

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in licensing of drivers, further providing for learners' permits and for schedule of convictions and points; in rules of the road in general, prohibiting use of interactive wireless communications devices, imposing penalties and establishing the Driver Distraction Awareness Fund; and, in operation of vehicles miscellaneous provisions, further providing for the offense of careless driving.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2044, PN 2951**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating the bridge on State Route 641, also known as East High Street, over the Letort Spring in Carlisle Borough, Cumberland County, as the Lance Corporal Edward Rykoskey Memorial Bridge.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2154, PN 3187**

By Rep. MAHER

An Act relating to conventional wells and the development of oil, gas and coal; imposing powers and duties on the Department of Environmental Protection; and providing for preliminary provisions,



for general requirements, for underground gas storage, for enforcement and remedies, for related funds, parties and activities and for miscellaneous provisions.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY.

##### **HB 2162, PN 3198**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a portion of State Route 2050 in Montgomery County as the First Lieutenant Geoffrey Lawrence Ham Memorial Highway.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **HB 2171, PN 3212**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 405 over Norfolk Southern Railway tracks, West Chillisquaque Township, Northumberland County, as the H. Donald Whipple Memorial Bridge.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **HB 2256, PN 3321**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 36 over Three Springs Run, South Woodbury Township, Bedford County, as the Marine Lance Corporal Darrell Magruder Memorial Bridge.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **HB 2257, PN 3322**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1001 over Imbertown Run, Bedford Township, Bedford County, as the First Lieutenant Eric Fisher Wood, Jr., Memorial Bridge.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **HB 2264, PN 3350**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act designating the portion of State Route 2024 and State Route 1016, also known as Monument Orviston Road, from the intersection of State Route 2024 and Main Street in Beech Creek Borough, Clinton County, to the intersection of State Route 1016 and Kato Orviston Road in Curtin Township, Centre County, as the CPL Milford H. Wensel Memorial Highway.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **HB 2297, PN 3430**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of February 15, 2018 (P.L.22, No.9), entitled "An act designating a portion of State Route 2005 in Saxonburg Borough, Butler County, as the Chief Gregory B. Adams Way; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 271 over US Route 22, Jackson Township, Cambria County, as the Trooper Gary Fisher Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Pennsylvania Route 53 over US Route 22, Cresson Township, Cambria County, as the Corporal Robert J. Sherwood, Jr., Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on Segment 80 of State Route 2015, along Overbridge Street and over Railroad Street, Lilly Borough, Cambria County, as the Paul E. Sweeney Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Avenue A over the Allegheny River, Coudersport Borough, Potter County, as the Commander Philip F. "Jet" Palmatier, Jr., Memorial Bridge; designating a bridge on that portion of Sunnyside Road over the Oswayo Creek, Shinglehouse Borough, Potter County, as the PVT Malon Stanley Memorial Bridge; designating a portion of State Route 1001 in Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Lance Corporal Michael L. Freeman, Jr., Memorial Highway; designating a

bridge on that portion of State Route 533 over Muddy Run, Southampton Township, Franklin County, as the Private First Class Dana Edward Diehl Memorial Bridge; and designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 1004 over the Conococheague Creek, Greene Township, Franklin County, as the Private Charles W. "Bill" Roher Memorial Bridge," further providing for Lance Corporal Michael L. Freeman, Jr., Memorial Highway.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

##### **SB 880, PN 1431**

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in size, weight and load, further providing for operation of certain combinations on interstate and certain other highways, repealing provisions relating to operation of motor homes on interstate and certain other highways and further providing for width of vehicles and for length of vehicles; and, in administration and enforcement, further providing for specific powers of department and local authorities.

#### TRANSPORTATION.

#### **BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

##### **HB 2066, PN 2999**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in scenic highways, further providing for designation of certain State routes as scenic byways.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### **HB 2155, PN 3276**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in certification of teachers, further providing for provisional vocational education certificate.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### **HB 2157, PN 3278**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for Commission for Agricultural Education Excellence; in vocational education, providing for utilization of credits; and providing for classification of program code.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### **HB 2158, PN 3285**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in preliminary provisions, providing for career information and recruitment.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### **HB 2159, PN 3279**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in transfers of credits between institutions of higher education, further providing for definitions, for duties of public institutions of higher education and State-related institutions, for Transfer and Articulation Oversight Committee and for duties of department.

#### APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2203, PN 3286**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in vocational education, providing for an online career resource center.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2204, PN 3252**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in vocational education, providing for a workforce development program clearinghouse.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2206, PN 3331**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 18, 2001 (P.L.949, No.114), known as the Workforce Development Act, in local workforce investment areas and boards, further providing for membership.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 630, PN 1635**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act providing for the licensure of limited lines travel insurance producers, for requirements for sale of travel insurance, for authority of limited lines travel insurance producers, for registration and training of travel retailers and for renewal of license.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED  
FOR CONCURRENCE AND  
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 478, PN 3434**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

HOUSE BILLS  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1869, PN 2592**, and **HB 1926, PN 2793**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

HOUSE AMENDMENTS  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 837, PN 1528**; **SB 844, PN 1531**; **SB 877, PN 1458**; and **SB 878, PN 1459**.

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

**HB 1869, PN 2592**

An Act establishing the Maternal Mortality Review Committee and providing for its powers and duties; providing for duties of the Department of Health; and imposing a penalty.

**HB 1926, PN 2793**

An Act designating a portion of State Route 2022, also known as Fort Washington Avenue, in Montgomery County as the SPC5 George W. Charters, Jr., Memorial Highway.

**SB 837, PN 1528**

An Act designating a portion of State Route 981 in Smithton Borough and South Huntingdon Township, Westmoreland County, as the Specialist 4 William J. Kolenc Memorial Highway.

**SB 844, PN 1531**

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child custody, further providing for standing for any form of physical custody or legal custody, for standing for partial physical custody and supervised physical custody and for consideration of criminal conviction.

**SB 877, PN 1458**

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.789, No.285), known as The Insurance Department Act of 1921, in insurance producers, further providing for rebates prohibited and for inducements prohibited.

**SB 878, PN 1459**

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in general provisions relating to insurance companies, associations and exchanges, further providing for rebates and inducements prohibited.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. Representative Joe EMRICK has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

Members, I would ask everybody to please take their seats.

**STATEMENT BY MR. MARKOSEK**

The SPEAKER. I want to call to the rostrum, Representative Joe Markosek, the minority Appropriations chair, who is recognized to speak on HR 827.

Representative Markosek, you may proceed.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I understand you are going to join me here at the podium along with Representative Corr.

As the dean of the Notre Dame Caucus in the Pennsylvania Legislature, I am very proud to stand here in front of you today along with a couple of our Notre Dame graduates, fellow members of the General Assembly: the Speaker, Mike Turzai, and also Representative Corr; we also have Representative John McGinnis, and also Senator Pat Browne, who is not here right now but is a former member of the House and now of course a Senator and one of my colleagues as chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee.

You know, back in the last part of March, March Madness, you know, we all follow the Final Four and of course for the men's side we had a Pennsylvania team; the Villanova Wildcats, of course, won the national championship. But this, of course, is the Year of the Woman, and the women's champion was none other than the team from the University of Notre Dame, the Fighting Irish, and we are here today, the resolution honors them. And you may recall during that tournament the vaunted team from the University of Connecticut, undefeated for a couple of years or whatever, they got beat by Notre Dame in the last seconds of the game on a last-minute shot in overtime by a young lady by the name of Arike Ogunbowale. And two nights later in the championship game against Mississippi State with Notre Dame down 15 points, they came back at the end of the game and the same young lady, Arike Ogunbowale, also made a last-second shot to win the national championship for the Fighting Irish of Notre Dame.

So I am here today to honor them. They are not a Pennsylvania school, but their coach, their coach, Muffet McGraw, is a Pennsylvanian, born in southeastern Pennsylvania, coached at Saint Joe's University and Lehigh University before going on to Notre Dame, where she has now won two national championships for the Fighting Irish of Notre Dame.

So on behalf of the General Assembly and my colleagues standing here with me today, we want to honor the women's basketball team national champions, the Fighting Irish of Notre Dame.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Adam HARRIS and Representative Nick MICCARELLI have requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, those will be granted.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Boyle is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll. Representative Bradford is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

I did want to point out on the Notre Dame Women's Basketball Championship, Representative Schemel and myself, we both have freshmen out at the university at this time too, and they are getting close to, like many of the college students, coming up upon examinations. Some are already done.

## SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2155, PN 3276**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in certification of teachers, further providing for provisional vocational education certificate.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—192

Barbin	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling

Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Krueger		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—8

DeLuca	Fabrizio	Harris, A.	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2157, PN 3278**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in terms and courses of study, further providing for Commission for Agricultural Education Excellence; in vocational education, providing for utilization of credits; and providing for classification of program code.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Barbin	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel

Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Krueger		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—8

DeLuca	Fabrizio	Harris, A.	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2158, PN 3285**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in preliminary provisions, providing for career information and recruitment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.



The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—192

Barbin	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	White
DiGirolo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Krueger		

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—8

DeLuca	Fabrizio	Harris, A.	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2159, PN 3279**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in transfers of credits between institutions of higher education, further providing for definitions, for duties of public institutions of higher education and State-related institutions, for Transfer and Articulation Oversight Committee and for duties of department.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—192

Barbin	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	White
DiGirolo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood

Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Krueger		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—8

DeLuca	Fabrizio	Harris, A.	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2203, PN 3286**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in vocational education, providing for an online career resource center.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Barbin	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Causar	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons

Christiana	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Krueger		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—8

DeLuca	Fabrizio	Harris, A.	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative WHEATLEY has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

## BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2204, PN 3252**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in vocational education, providing for a workforce development program clearinghouse.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—191

Barbin	Ellis	Krueger	Rapp
Barrar	English	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bernstine	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bizzarro	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bloom	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Boback	Fee	Madden	Roe
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rothman
Bradford	Flynn	Mako	Rozzi
Briggs	Frankel	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, R.	Freeman	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marshall	Sainato
Bullock	Gainey	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Galloway	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Carroll	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Causar	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Cephas	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Charlton	Greiner	McNeill	Schweyer
Christiana	Grove	Mehaffie	Simmons
Comitta	Haggerty	Mentzer	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Metcalfe	Snyder
Cook	Hanna	Metzgar	Solomon
Corbin	Harkins	Millard	Sonney
Corr	Harper	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Heffley	Milne	Sturla
Cox	Helm	Moul	Tallman
Cruz	Hennessey	Mullery	Taylor
Culver	Hickernell	Murt	Thomas
Cutler	Hill	Mustio	Tobash
Daley	Irvin	Neilson	Toepel
Davidson	James	Nelson	Toohil
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Brien	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kaufer	O'Neill	Walsh
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Ward
Dean	Kavulich	Ortitay	Warner
Deasy	Keefer	Pashinski	Warren
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Peifer	Watson
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Pyle	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Dush			

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—9

DeLuca	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2206, PN 3331**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 18, 2001 (P.L.949, No.114), known as the Workforce Development Act, in local workforce investment areas and boards, further providing for membership.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—191

Barbin	Ellis	Krueger	Rapp
Barrar	English	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Evankovich	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bernstine	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bizzarro	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bloom	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Boback	Fee	Madden	Roe
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Maher	Rothman
Bradford	Flynn	Mako	Rozzi
Briggs	Frankel	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, R.	Freeman	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, V.	Fritz	Marshall	Sainato
Bullock	Gainey	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Galloway	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Carroll	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Causar	Godshall	McClinton	Schemel
Cephas	Goodman	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Charlton	Greiner	McNeill	Schweyer
Christiana	Grove	Mehaffie	Simmons
Comitta	Haggerty	Mentzer	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Metcalfe	Snyder
Cook	Hanna	Metzgar	Solomon
Corbin	Harkins	Millard	Sonney
Corr	Harper	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Heffley	Milne	Sturla
Cox	Helm	Moul	Tallman
Cruz	Hennessey	Mullery	Taylor
Culver	Hickernell	Murt	Thomas
Cutler	Hill	Mustio	Tobash
Daley	Irvin	Neilson	Toepel
Davidson	James	Nelson	Toohil
Davis, A.	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Topper

Davis, T.	Kampf	O'Brien	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kaufer	O'Neill	Walsh
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Ward
Dean	Kavulich	Ortitay	Warner
Deasy	Keefer	Pashinski	Warren
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Peifer	Watson
DeLozier	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Pyle	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Dush			

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—9

DeLuca	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2066, PN 2999**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in scenic highways, further providing for designation of certain State routes as scenic byways.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—190

Barbin	Dush	Krueger	Rapp
Barrar	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bernstine	Evankovich	Lewis	Reed
Bizzarro	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bloom	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Boback	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boyle	Fee	Maher	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone

Brown, V.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Bullock	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Carroll	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Causar	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Cephas	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Charlton	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Comitta	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Cook	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corr	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cruz	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Culver	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Cutler	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Daley	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Davidson	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davis, A.	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Day	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Dean	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
DeLissio	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLozier	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wentling
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Wheeland
Diamond	Kim	Pyle	White
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quigley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Dowling	Klunk	Quinn, M.	
Driscoll	Knowles	Rabb	Turzai,
Dunbar	Kortz	Rader	Speaker

## NAYS—1

Keller, F.

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—9

DeLuca	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

## CALENDAR

## BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 180, PN 1658**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 20 (Decedents, Estates and Fiduciaries) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in ownership of property and legal title and equitable estate, further providing for right to dispose of a decedent's remains; in health care, further providing for example; and, in anatomical gifts, further providing for definitions, providing for scope, further providing for persons who may execute anatomical gift, for persons who may become donees and purposes for which anatomical gifts may be made, for manner of executing anatomical



gifts, for rights and duties at death, for requests for anatomical gifts, for use of driver's license or identification card to indicate organ or tissue donation, for The Governor Robert P. Casey Memorial Organ and Tissue Donation Awareness Trust Fund contributions, for The Governor Robert P. Casey Memorial Organ and Tissue Donation Awareness Trust Fund, for confidentiality requirement and for prohibited activities, providing for promotion of organ and tissue donation, establishing the Donate Life PA Registry, providing for facilitation of anatomical gift from decedent whose death is under investigation, for notification by coroners and medical examiners to district attorneys, for discretionary notification by coroner or medical examiner, for collaboration among departments and organ procurement organizations, for information relative to organ and tissue donation, for requirements for physician and nurse training relative to organ and tissue donation and recovery, for Department of Transportation, for Department of Corrections, for study of organ procurement organizations and for relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, repealing provisions relating to corneal transplants and providing for vascularized composite allografts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Petrarca I know is recognized.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized on this bill so we can just mark down – Representative Benninghoff, Representative Petrarca, and Representative Cutler.

Representative Petrarca, you may proceed.

Mr. PETRARCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to make a few comments on SB 180 that was changed substantially when it got to the House of Representatives from the Senate, first in the Judiciary Committee and again amended on the House floor. This legislation as it came from the Senate has certainly been changed and could maybe say destroyed and will actually set Pennsylvania back and hurt the process of organ donation as we know it.

It is opposed by organizations whose duty it is to save the lives of Pennsylvanians in this Commonwealth. It is opposed by the Department of Health, the Department of Transportation, the organ procurement organizations in Pennsylvania, and also the Organ Donation Advisory Committee, which is a committee set up legislatively and has members that have been appointed by Governors as far back as Gov. Tom Ridge. And again, the Organ Donation Advisory Committee also opposes this legislation.

It contains a dramatic change that if someone ever said they did not want to be an organ donor, they can never change their mind. That is absolutely terrible public policy and, again, will certainly affect the lives of Pennsylvanians. It steals your ability to grant someone the power to make all of your end-of-life decisions, declaring that a person cannot make decisions on organ donation unless expressly authorized. It muddles the process for family members who change their minds and do not want to allow donation.

It no longer contains language that all donations must go through the federally recognized system, which was added to crack down on illegal tissue sales. The draft eliminates a provision that hospitals must maintain people on life support long enough to determine whether or not they want to be an organ donor. It is contrary to Federal law and could allow hospitals to move people out of needed beds and steal their rights to donate if eligible.

It no longer requires coroners and medical examiners to contact the organ procurement organizations when they encounter a possible tissue donor, again, stealing the ability of people to effectuate their last wishes to be a tissue donor. It adds unnecessary burdens on those who work hard to save lives every day. It requires hospital staff to make detailed notes in the medical records on organ donation, language that is duplicative and not medically relevant.

It requires PennDOT to print a new insert and hand deliver it to every driver they encounter at enormous cost, up to close to a quarter of a million dollars a year, while there is no mention of where that money will come from, for every driver they encounter with no additional funding to cover the cost in the legislation.

It makes huge unnecessary changes to the model forms for living wills and advance medical directives to cover vascular donations. Vascular donations are not included in the driver's license designation and are regulated by a separate body of Federal law and subject to a completely separate consent process. This language is simply intended to scare people from becoming organ donors in Pennsylvania.

Finally, this language allows any law enforcement officer to remove someone from the decisionmaking process of organ donation if they suspect that person had anything to do with a crime. While the coroner has absolute authority in this in every other draft of this legislation to deny organ donation if necessary to an investigation and the district attorney's office has the ability to exclude a suspect from making decisions about organ donation, opening up this denial to any law enforcement officer who may have no training about the compatibility of organ donation in criminal investigations is merely, in my opinion, another attempt to undermine organ donation.

I understand that not everyone in this chamber is in support of organ donation, but if you say you do support it, you should vote against this bill. The intent of this bill, as I have said several times, is to save lives in Pennsylvania. And again, our Organ Donation Advisory Committee has said that if this legislation passes in its current form, more people on our organ donation list will die. I ask you to oppose this legislation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative MAHER has requested to be placed on leave. I know he is in the Capitol, but he has a meeting with one of the Secretaries. So Representative Maher is on leave.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 180 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff and then Representative Cutler.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I know this is an issue we have talked about for a lot of years and, frankly, is one we should talk about for a long time to make sure we get it right.

There have been accusations that the current proposal is diminishing the amount of organs that are being available, and that is not the case. This is about striking a balance between what many of you as representatives of your citizens wanted in any organ donor bill that would pass. The goal is to protect the rights of not only the potential recipient but that of the donor and the donor's families.

In earlier renditions of this, the list of individuals that could have signed for you to be a donor after reasonable attempts of trying to contact your family was pretty expansive. We did not think that that was right. We think that you as the patient should have a decision of whether you want to be a donor. An organ donor is a voluntary act of giving a gift, and your decision to be a donor should be your decision up to the time you take your last breath. If at 16 you signed up because you thought that was something you might want to do, maybe not totally understanding it and surely not appreciating how science has improved and gone on to much greater detail than it was 20, 30 years ago, you should have the ability to revoke that decision or change it or modify it at any given time. There are many in this process who do not agree with that.

Representative Cutler and I agreed last year when this bill was about at the goal line to pass and was closed down by actually those who said they supported it because they wanted to have coroners forced to go into the operating room with the potential donor, have their chest opened up, and then have the coroner decide whether or not that individual would be eligible for donation.

Mr. Speaker, I heard the term earlier "scare tactics." Well, that has not been on our side of trying to advocate for patients' safety and for the proliferation of access to other organs. But our goal has been that patient safety is paramount, that voluntary decisions are supported, but also in the cases where evidentiary information needs to be collected and a future case goes to court sometimes a year or 2 years later, that the perpetrator is finally caught and accused and the courts have the right information.

There are times when a coroner may have to deny a case. Each of the 67 coroners sees 300 to 400 cases a year, and over a time period that recently was referenced of about 18 months, about 18 denials were made. Well, those coroners take their charge very seriously – an objective, impartial elected individual to make decisions on your behalf and your family's behalf when you cannot. Eighteen denials out of hundreds and hundreds of cases, and that does not limit future donations to be made of nonlive organs. Oftentimes those coroners come back and make tissue donations because coroners are very supportive of these initiatives.

The coroners are actually one group that actually came to the table at the end here and have signed off on this, and they are taking the advice of Representative Cutler and the work that we have put into this bill as we have met with these organ procurement organizations and many other entities – the District Attorneys Association and others – to try to get you a bill that

we believe best reflects many of the issues that you support; hence, why the Cutler amendment, which did modify SB 180 to make the bill better and more reflective of Pennsylvania's desires, Pennsylvania citizens' wishes, and that policy did not trump donors' beliefs but in the same token put together good health policy. The Cutler amendment, which improved it and was done not long after a previous discussion with PennDOT, Department of Health, the D.A.s Association, and others, was passed at 125 to 67.

Mr. Speaker, if you want to see the organ donor participation process move forward as well as tissues, I hope that you will trust Representative Cutler and me, who both worked in hospitals. I actually used to work as an eye enucleator, removing people's lenses and/or their eyes for donation and have some hands-on knowledge of this. We tried to make a proposal that we felt struck a balance – a balance that further prosecutions could occur, evidence could be preserved, patients' desires to be a donor or to change their mind on their deathbed of what they want to do would also be honored while helping those individuals in need of organ donation.

Keep in mind, a lot of the percentage of donations that occur in this Commonwealth are kidney donations, donations from live donors.

This bill looks to strike a balance, and I would ask that your support be there for final passage of SB 180 as written and amended with the Cutler amendment.

Thank you very much for the endurance today and for the time that we have spent on this over the last couple of years trying to refine a bill that best serves the citizens of Pennsylvania. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bryan Cutler, the majority whip.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I believe the gentleman from Centre County has done an excellent job of kind of outlining the process that we went through to arrive at where we are at today. But in order to understand where we are at today, I think it is important to recognize where we could have been a year and a half ago.

A year and a half ago we held a series of meetings, we had dozens of amendments on this proposed bill at that time, known as HB 30, and the one point of contention was in fact having the coroner physically attend in the operating room and oversee a dual autopsy and organ harvest at that time. The good gentleman from Centre County outlined that. I felt like at that time that the majority of other improvements in the bill far outweighed the disagreement over that one and would have liked to have seen that bill enacted that day minus that small portion because I believe that it would have increased the number of harvests in the Commonwealth between then and now.

Fast-forward to this legislative session. There were a new series of amendments, a new series of issues, and other items that came up that further complicated this debate, all surrounding the backdrop of what we could have done last year. Mr. Speaker, the good gentleman from Centre County and I undertook at that time an effort to try to limit the number of amendments and work through the issues that we believed were important to the members here, not just in the General Assembly, but to the citizens of the Commonwealth – the issue of individual autonomy, the issue of revocation and permission, and the hierarchy that is contained in the bill regarding who can make what decisions and when.

Mr. Speaker, when you look at the proposal that we put before us today, there are new sections. The one is the VCAs, or the vascularized composite allografts, and that is relatively new. I do not believe that there have been any here in the Commonwealth as of yet, but it is important to recognize that our statutes, quite frankly, lag behind the times. That is why we find ourselves where we are today because our law has not substantially been updated in years. I would offer you the reason that it has not be updated in years is because for too long the perfect bill has been the enemy of a good or great bill. What I mean by that is everybody wants everything that they want. Everybody wants every piece drafted specifically the way that they desire to the cost, unfortunately, and the availability of organs.

I think it is fair to say we recognize that there is a problem with complete denials without adequate input. We address that through this bill. By providing documentation, we will have far more information after this bill becomes law than we would under the existing law and no changes made. That, for me, is important because we can get the pieces that we agree on off the table and then focus on whatever remains. More importantly, we can focus on what remains because we will have additional information and evidence to then base our next series of decisions on. I believe that that is important. I believe that we could have increased organ donations several years ago had an agreement been made on the parts of the bill that we agreed on.

To hear some say today that we should vote this bill down is somewhat disappointing, because when I look at that, I think it is a missed opportunity. It is a missed opportunity to get a mechanism in place, a mechanism in law, that would not only increase organ donations but most importantly provide further documentation for those reasons if and when they were denied. The current law does not have that. We know who is denied but we do not always know the reasons why. This bill would fix that.

Additionally, I know that there are some concerns over the fees associated with the Organ Trust Fund. That is contained in another bill, SB 108, not SB 180, which we have before us today, and I recognize that that is important to the advocates as well.

To share a little bit of my personal story, I had an uncle who had two kidney transplants. That was how I got involved with this to begin with. I am an organ donor even today. I have been one as long as I legally could be and had that designation on my driver's license. I grew up in a family of health care and certainly came at it probably from a different, more informed perspective than many folks. But I can tell you as an attorney one of the issues that we deal with, the living wills, which are also impacted by this bill, is I make sure that the clients understand what that decision tree and those decisions actually mean. I have the benefit of having worked in a hospital, as the good gentleman from Centre County referenced earlier, and that gives me a different perspective. Admittedly, it is not a perspective that every client that comes to see me has, so they have a lot of questions about, you know, mechanical ventilators and what that means and what it means to have a feeding tube inserted or to have other procedures done and what all of that entails.

It is important that we have consent for organ donation and it is important that we have informed consent. I think that this bill does strike a balance. It is a marked improvement over what our current status of our law is, specifically to the denial issue. And

if we need to revisit it, even next session, because we find an issue that continually pops up regarding the issue of organ denial, it is important that we have the information to make the best choices.

I think this bill gets it. I think for the first time in my experience here in the last couple years we will actually have a chance to advance the issue, and I would certainly urge all of the members to vote in the affirmative.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Petrarca, for the second time.

Mr. PETRARCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It almost sounds like the organ donation professionals and the families, the donor families and the recipient families, are being punished for not agreeing to a deal, a legislative deal, a year and a half ago. You know, this battle, this issue, has been around for a while and this fight has gone on for several years, and when we hear that this legislation strikes a balance, I am very curious when, again, every organ donation professional does not support the legislation. In large part, this has boiled down to a fight with the Pennsylvania Coroners Association, and I would like to point out that under current law coroners can deny a donation and under this bill as it came over from the Senate, coroners could deny an organ donation.

All this legislation, in its original form, had attempted to do was have coroners or a qualified representative come to the hospital and speak to the medical professionals about organ donation or provide a reason why the donation was denied. And in Pennsylvania it is telling when there have been more denials in the last 3 or 4 years in Pennsylvania than all of New England and half of the Atlantic seaboard combined, and when you have families, donor families, who have been told when a loved one has passed away that there will not be organ donation, as your loved one requested, as your loved one wanted, there will not be organ donation because the coroner said no and that family asks what happened and why and there is no answer. So if we do want to strike a balance with this legislation, this legislation has to be changed. Again, in its current form this legislation will cost lives and, again, sets terrible public policy in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I have Representative Everett who wishes to speak. Does any other member after Representative Everett wish to speak on this legislation, this proposed legislation? If not, he will be our last speaker.

Representative Everett, on the bill. Representative Everett, for some reason that microphone is not working and I apologize.

Mr. EVERETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank Chairman Marsico and Representative Cutler, Representative Benninghoff, and Karen Dalton from our staff, and staff from the minority side for all the hard work that went into this bill for organ donation, and as has been said, this bill, and I think you know my interest in organ donation because of our grandson and how important organ donation is to so many families with people on the list, and this bill will—

The SPEAKER. Members, please take your seats. Members, please take your seats. This will be our last speaker on this bill. I would ask everybody to please take your seats. Representative Everett, please proceed.

Mr. EVERETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill will move Pennsylvania forward in the world of organ donation, and that is an important thing we do.

Technology has changed, transplants have changed, and this will move Pennsylvania forward to allow more people to be donors and allow more donor-donee families to receive organs and more donees, and I understand that the organ procurement organizations would wish to have a perfect bill, but as I have told them, nobody gets a whole loaf here in this process all the time. There has been a lot of negotiation that has gone on here, and what we have is a good bill that will help Pennsylvania and the organ donation and those who are waiting on the list, and I would ask for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Tony DeLuca is on the House floor and is placed back on the master roll.

### CONSIDERATION OF SB 180 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—126

Barbin	Everett	Lewis	Readshaw
Barrar	Farry	Mackenzie	Reed
Benninghoff	Fee	Mako	Reese
Bernstine	Fritz	Maloney	Roae
Bloom	Galloway	Marshall	Roe
Boback	Gillen	Marsico	Rothman
Brown, R.	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Caltagirone	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccone
Causar	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Charlton	Hahn	Mentzer	Santora
Christiana	Hanna	Metcalfe	Saylor
Conklin	Harkins	Metzgar	Schemel
Cook	Harper	Millard	Simmons
Corbin	Heffley	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corr	Helm	Milne	Staats
Costa, D.	Hennessey	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Hickernell	Murt	Tallman
Cruz	Hill	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Irvin	Neilson	Tobash
Cutler	James	Nelson	Toepel
Day	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Topper
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Walsh
Delozier	Kaufer	O'Neill	Ward
Diamond	Kauffman	Oberlander	Warner
DiGirolamo	Keefer	Ortitay	Watson
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wentling
Dowling	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
Dush	Klunk	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Ellis	Knowles	Quinn, M.	
English	Kulik	Rader	Turzai,
Evanovich	Lawrence	Rapp	Speaker

#### NAYS—65

Bizzarro	DeLissio	Kinsey	Rabb
Boyle	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Dermody	Kortz	Rozzi
Briggs	Driscoll	Krueger	Sainato
Brown, V.	Evans	Longietti	Samuelson
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schlossberg
Burns	Flynn	Markosek	Schweyer
Carroll	Frankel	Matzie	Sims
Cephas	Freeman	McCarter	Snyder
Comitta	Gainey	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, P.	Godshall	McNeill	Sturla
Daley	Goodman	Miller, D.	Thomas
Davidson	Haggerty	Mullery	Toohil
Davis, A.	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Kavulich	Petrarca	Warren
Dawkins	Kim	Quigley	Youngblood
Dean			

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—9

Emrick	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Vitali
Fabrizio	Maher	Roebuck	Wheatley
Gabler			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

### BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1782, PN 3324**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in rates and distribution systems, providing for alternative ratemaking for utilities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There were no timely filed amendments. There were six late-filed amendments, for which there would need to be a motion to suspend. Representative Rothman filed these amendments: 6959, 6963, 6964, 6965, 6966, and 6967. My understanding, Representative Rothman, is that those have all been withdrawn? I recognize you.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER. Okay. All the amendments have been withdrawn. I do not see any other amendments to HB 1782, PN 3324. If I am mistaken, please correct me. Okay. There are no amendments.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*



The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1997, PN 3352**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in medical assistance, providing for medical assistance deemed eligibility program for in-patient behavioral health services.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. I do not see any amendments filed to that bill.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1659, PN 3351**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in general powers and duties, providing for work requirements for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MULLERY** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06870**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(d) The department shall provide notice to adult recipients on the date of annual renewal that:

(1) the recipient's eligibility for food assistance will be terminated if the recipient fails to meet the requirements under subsection (b); and

(2) locations where assistance is available to recipients in meeting the requirements under subsection (b). The department shall provide electronically to county assistance offices a form notice.

(e) Eligibility for food assistance shall be terminated three months after notice under subsection (d) if the recipient fails to comply with the requirements under subsection (b).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mullery is recognized.

Mr. MULLERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is my understanding this is an agreed-to amendment, and I would ask the members for their support.

The SPEAKER. Representative Tobash, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. TOBASH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment, and I thank my colleague from Luzerne County for working in a bipartisan fashion to improve the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—191

Barbin	Dush	Kortz	Rapp
Barrar	Ellis	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	English	Kulik	Readshaw
Bernstine	Evankovich	Lawrence	Reed
Bizzarro	Evans	Lewis	Reese
Bloom	Everett	Longietti	Roae
Boback	Farry	Mackenzie	Roe
Boyle	Fee	Madden	Rothman
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Mako	Rozzi
Briggs	Flynn	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, R.	Frankel	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Bullock	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Carroll	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Causar	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Cephas	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Charlton	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Comitta	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Cook	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corr	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Harris, J.	Milne	Sturla
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Tallman
Cruz	Helm	Mullery	Taylor
Culver	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Cutler	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Daley	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Davidson	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davis, A.	James	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Brien	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	O'Neill	Walsh
Day	Kaufer	Oberlander	Ward
Dean	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
DeLissio	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
Delozier	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
DeLuca	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheeland
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
Diamond	Kim	Quigley	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	
Dowling	Klunk	Rabb	Turzai,
Driscoll	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Dunbar			

#### NAYS—0

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—9

Emrick	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Vitali
Fabrizio	Maher	Roebuck	Wheatley
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. I see no further amendments.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1800, PN 3224**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 40 (Insurance) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in regulation of insurers and related persons generally, providing for medication synchronization.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. I see no amendments.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 111, PN 1699**, entitled:

A Joint Resolution proposing integrated amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, changing and adding provisions relating to selection of justices and judges.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Members, Representative Saylor has amendment 1217. I am going to be calling that first. Representative Diamond has amendments 1204 and 1205. Representative Barbin has amendment 1331. Representative Marsico has amendment 6310. Representative Corr has a late-filed amendment, and Representative Dean has a late-filed amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **SAYLOR** offered the following amendment No. **A01217**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

(2) That section 2 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 2. Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court (a) shall be the highest court of the Commonwealth and in this court shall be reposed the supreme judicial power of the Commonwealth;

(b) shall consist of seven justices, to be selected as established

by law, one of whom shall be the Chief Justice; and

(c) shall have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law.

(3) That section 3 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 3. Superior Court.

The Superior Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges, which shall be not less than seven judges] 15 judges, to be selected as established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by this Constitution or by the General Assembly. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(4) That section 4 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 4. Commonwealth Court.

The Commonwealth Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges] nine judges, to be selected as established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(5) That section 11 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 11. Judicial districts; boundaries.

[The number and boundaries of judicial districts shall be changed by the General Assembly only with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.]

(a) The number of judges and justices of the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court selected from each judicial district shall provide every resident of this Commonwealth with approximately equal representation on a court. Each judicial district shall be composed of compact and contiguous territory as nearly equal in population as practicable. Unless absolutely necessary, no county, city, incorporated town, borough, township or ward may be divided in forming a judicial district.

(b) The General Assembly shall, by law, establish:

(1) An Eastern, Middle and Western judicial district from which justices or judges are selected as follows:

(i) Two justices of the Supreme Court shall be selected from within each judicial district and shall be residents of the judicial district. One justice shall be selected on a Statewide basis and may be a resident of any of the judicial districts.

(ii) Five judges of the Superior Court shall be selected from within each judicial district and shall be residents of the judicial district.

(iii) Three judges of the Commonwealth Court shall be selected from within each judicial district and shall be residents of the judicial district.

(2) A transition to an appellate court judiciary selected from judicial districts.

(3) The effect of set judicial districts upon eligibility to seek retention.

(4) The order in which justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court are selected.

(5) The decennial realignment of the appellate judicial districts based on the Federal decennial census, beginning in 2021 and occurring each ten years thereafter.

(c) Residency qualification for appointment to the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court shall be established by the General Assembly.

(d) Except as provided under subsection (b) and section 7(b), the number and boundaries of all other judicial districts shall be established by the General Assembly by law, with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(6)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 26, by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(7)

Amend Bill, page 9, line 17, by inserting after "Court" for a judicial district established under section 11

Amend Bill, page 9, line 27, by striking out "geographic."

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 2 and 3

(2) meet residency requirements under section 11(b), subject to any other requirement established by law regarding judicial districts;

Amend Bill, page 10, line 3, by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(3)

Amend Bill, page 10, line 5, by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(4)

Amend Bill, page 10, line 17, by striking out "(4)" and inserting  
(8)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Cutler is recognized.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this an agreed-to amendment and I would urge the members' support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—109

Barbin	Evankovich	Knowles	Reese
Benninghoff	Everett	Lewis	Roae
Bernstine	Farry	Longietti	Saccone
Brown, R.	Fee	Mackenzie	Sankey
Brown, V.	Fitzgerald	Madden	Saylor
Caltagirone	Flynn	Mako	Schemel
Causar	Frankel	Markosek	Simmons
Cephas	Fritz	Marshall	Sims
Charlton	Galloway	Marsico	Solomon
Christiana	Gillespie	Masser	Sonney
Comitta	Goodman	McCarter	Stephens
Cook	Grove	McClinton	Taylor
Corbin	Hahn	McNeill	Tobash
Costa, D.	Hanna	Mentzer	Toepel
Cox	Harris, J.	Metzgar	Toohil
Cruz	Helm	Milne	Topper
Culver	Hickernell	Moul	Vazquez
Cutler	Hill	Mustio	Walsh
Dawkins	Irvin	Nelson	Ward
Day	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Warner
Dean	Kampf	O'Brien	Watson
DeLissio	Kaufer	Oberlander	Wentling
Delozier	Kauffman	Ortitay	Wheeland
DeLuca	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Petrarca	
Donatucci	Kinsey	Rapp	Turzai,
Driscoll	Kirkland	Readshaw	Speaker
Dunbar	Klunk	Reed	

## NAYS—81

Barrar	Ellis	Kulik	Rabb
Bizzarro	English	Lawrence	Rader
Bloom	Evans	Maloney	Ravenstahl
Boback	Freeman	Matzie	Roe
Boyle	Gainey	McGinnis	Rothman
Bradford	Gillen	Mehaffie	Rozzi
Briggs	Godshall	Metcalfe	Ryan
Bullock	Greiner	Millard	Sainato
Burns	Haggerty	Miller, B.	Samuelson
Carroll	Harkins	Miller, D.	Santora
Conklin	Harper	Mullery	Schlossberg
Corr	Heffley	Murt	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Hennessey	Neilson	Snyder
Daley	James	O'Neill	Staats
Davis, A.	Kavulich	Pashinski	Sturla
Davis, T.	Keefer	Pickett	Tallman
Deasy	Keller, F.	Pyle	Thomas
Dermody	Kim	Quigley	Warren

Diamond  
Dowling  
DushKortz  
KruegerQuinn, C.  
Quinn, M.White  
Youngblood

## NOT VOTING—1

Davidson

## EXCUSED—9

Emrick  
Fabrizio  
GablerHarris, A.  
MaherMiccarelli  
RoebuckVitali  
Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **DIAMOND** offered the following amendment  
No. **A01204**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 1 through 3, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Proposing integrated amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, in the Judiciary, further providing for the Supreme Court, for the Superior Court, for the Commonwealth Court and for judicial districts and boundaries.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 6 through 17; pages 2 through 11, lines 1 through 30; page 12, lines 1 through 21; by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. The following integrated amendments to the Constitution of Pennsylvania are proposed in accordance with Article XI:

(1) That section 2 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 2. Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court (a) shall be the highest court of the Commonwealth and in this court shall be reposed the supreme judicial power of the Commonwealth;

(b) shall consist of seven justices, to be elected from seven judicial districts which shall be established by law, one of whom shall be the Chief Justice; and

(c) shall have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law.

(2) That section 3 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 3. Superior Court.

The Superior Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges, which shall be not less than seven judges] 15 judges, to be elected from 15 judicial districts which shall be established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by this Constitution or by the General Assembly. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(3) That section 4 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 4. Commonwealth Court.

The Commonwealth Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges] nine judges, to be elected from nine judicial districts which shall be established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(4) That section 11 of Article V be amended to read:

§ 11. Judicial districts; boundaries.

[The number and boundaries of judicial districts shall be changed by the General Assembly only with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.]

(a) The number of judges and justices of the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court elected from each judicial district shall provide every resident of the Commonwealth with approximately equal representation on a court. Each judicial district shall be composed of compact and contiguous territory as nearly equal in population as practicable. Each judicial district shall elect one judge or justice. Unless absolutely necessary, no county, city, incorporated town, borough, township or ward may be divided in forming a judicial district.

(b) The General Assembly shall, by law, establish:

(1) The judicial districts from which justices of the Supreme Court and the judges of the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court are elected.

(2) A transition to an appellate court judiciary elected from judicial districts.

(3) The effect of set judicial districts upon eligibility to seek retention election.

(4) The order in which judicial districts shall elect justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court.

(5) The decennial realignment of the appellate judicial districts based on the Federal decennial census, beginning in 2021 and occurring each ten years thereafter.

(c) Residency qualification for election or appointment to the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court shall be established by the General Assembly.

(d) Except as provided under subsection (b) and section 7(b), the number and boundaries of all other judicial districts shall be established by the General Assembly by law, with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.

Section 2. (a) Upon the first passage by the General Assembly of these proposed constitutional amendments, the Secretary of the Commonwealth shall proceed immediately to comply with the advertising requirements of section 1 of Article XI of the Constitution of Pennsylvania and shall transmit the required advertisements to two newspapers in every county in which such newspapers are published in sufficient time after passage of these proposed constitutional amendments.

(b) Upon the second passage by the General Assembly of these proposed constitutional amendments, the Secretary of the Commonwealth shall proceed immediately to comply with the advertising requirements of section 1 of Article XI of the Constitution of Pennsylvania and shall transmit the required advertisements to two newspapers in every county in which such newspapers are published in sufficient time after passage of these proposed constitutional amendments. The Secretary of the Commonwealth shall submit the proposed constitutional amendments under section 1 to the qualified electors of this Commonwealth as a single ballot question at the first primary, general or municipal election which meets the requirements of and is in conformance with section 1 of Article XI of the Constitution of Pennsylvania and which occurs at least three months after the proposed constitutional amendments are passed by the General Assembly.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Diamond is recognized, on amendment 1204.

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think that amendment A01204 is an important amendment at this juncture of history in the Commonwealth because the underlying bill suggests that we stop electing appellate court judges in Pennsylvania. My amendment retains the electoral system but divides the Commonwealth up into 7 districts for Supreme Court seats, 15 seats for Superior Court, and 9 districts for Commonwealth Court.

I am happy to see that others have filed amendments that acknowledge that Pennsylvania is not one big monolithic place. My amendment, again, retains the electoral system, and I think it is very important for the members in this room to be knowledgeable. When you go to make history – like this bill would make history – you need to know what history actually is. The truth of the matter is that in 1874 a constitutional convention was held and electing judges was adopted as a reform to an appointive system that had gone horribly corrupt.

So, Mr. Speaker, what my bill does is it retains an electoral system – my amendment – I am sorry – retains the electoral system, and the reason we should retain the electoral system, Mr. Speaker, is look, look, we put a lot of work into writing bills, into getting them through and sending them over to the Senate. We do a lot of work, and while those bills are in this chamber and in the General Assembly, we have a sense of ownership, but once the Governor signs them and they become law, those bills and laws belong to the people. The people of this Commonwealth are the owners of the laws, and they should decide who sits on the bench to decide how to interpret and apply those laws.

So again, Mr. Speaker, amendment A01204 retains our electoral system, would divide the Commonwealth into 7 districts for Supreme Court seats, 15 districts for Superior Court seats, and 9 districts for Commonwealth Court seats. And I think it is important that we recognize that elections were a reform to a corrupt appointment system and that the law, Mr. Speaker, ultimately belongs to the people.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cutler, on the amendment. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment? Representative Evankovich, on the amendment. I apologize. That microphone is not working.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Perhaps most people in here would have preferred me to speak at that one. It is for you, Matzie. Sorry.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, very briefly on the amendment. The maker of the amendment makes an argument that elections were a reform, and I agree with him in complete principle that elections were a reform. The reality is that a lot has changed since then, and in particular, what has changed since we decided to start electing our judges was something that the framers of our Constitution never could have seen coming. What they never saw coming was they never saw that the people of our Commonwealth would give the legislature unlimited access to their pocketbook. They never saw that the legislature would have unlimited access to the people's pocketbook and the people would allow them to do that. Not only that, but what they did not see is that they would then allow the legislature to give that money to private institutions, private companies. They never envisioned those two things, and they also never envisioned that the people would then allow those same entities that were taking that tax money to turn around and use that money garnished off the backs of the taxpayers or garnished off of using government policy to then lobby the legislature and propagandize the public through the electoral system in order to take more of the public's money through the legislature, to give that money back to those private organizations so they could then use more of the public's money to propagandize the electorate and the legislature. That is something that our framers never saw coming, and our Constitution protects that situation.



But I believe that we are now at a point where we need to go a little bit in the opposite direction, because so long as we have unlimited access to the pocketbooks of Pennsylvanians and so long as Pennsylvanians continue to allow this body to take their tax money and give it to private organizations that then turn around and use it to propagandize the public and lobby here, electing judges is maybe not necessarily the right way to go.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis Thomas, on the bill – or on the amendment. I apologize.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To the author of this amendment and to the speakers of all subsequent amendments, I ask that you do two things: One, on the question of appellate court judges, the jury is in on the impact of it, not just on the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania but across the country. If you look at the merit selection process, it has maintained those who are connected and folks who are not connected are out of it regardless of judicial tolerance, education, or understanding that they have of American jurisprudence.

And so, Mr. Speaker, to speaker Diamond and to others, when we talk about changing the system, how do we change the system and make sure that all people of Pennsylvania will be included in the process? Fairness, fairness. Reform is good, but if reform does not do something about the unfairness which inherently exists in merit selection, and on the electoral process, regardless of what you feel about the electoral process, it is the one guarantee that allows the voter that stands 8 feet tall versus the one that stands only 3 feet, they are both equal in saying something about how our judiciary should look. So that is the one guarantee of the electoral process.

Now, as far as the corruption is concerned, probably we should table this and deal with getting the money, some of the money out of this whole process of electing judges, and if we can do that, do some campaign finance reform first, then maybe we will have a better platform to have this kind of conversation. But that is what I need to hear from the speaker. How does fairness, how do we ensure that people—

The SPEAKER. Sir, Representative Thomas, do you wish to interrogate the maker of the amendment?

Mr. THOMAS. I do not want to interrogate him individually. I just want him and everybody else similarly situated that when we talk about this whole process of judicial reform, I need to hear something about how do we ensure that all of the people of Pennsylvania would be included in the process, and so I am putting that out there now so that maybe this speaker can address it and all speakers subsequent to him should also deal with that.

Mr. Speaker, I close with, on the question of merit selection, the Federal judicial system in the United States of America, take a look at it. Take a look at how merit selection has impacted or has created a system that is uneven and is not inclusive.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Bill Kortz, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The good gentleman will so stand.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I agree with you in concept that we should retain the election process. I think it is the right way to go. And if I understand it correctly, we are going to draw these districts and every 10 years after the census they will be redrawn. Is that correct?

Mr. DIAMOND. That is correct, sir.

Mr. KORTZ. Okay. Now, how do we ensure that we will not be gerrymandering judges, because over the last 4 or 5 years we have seen two Supreme Courts shut down the maps because of gerrymandering. How do we ensure we are not going to gerrymander judges now?

Mr. DIAMOND. Mr. Speaker, the amendment directs the General Assembly to devise a method of drawing these lines, and we are having a great conversation across this Commonwealth right now about how to draw district lines, so this would be a part of that general conversation.

Mr. KORTZ. Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Yes. You may proceed, Representative Kortz.

Mr. KORTZ. I appreciate the gentleman bringing this forward to this chamber, and I guess, again, I agree with the election process, that he wants to retain that. However, I have to oppose this amendment. We have seen too many shenanigans go on and we have had some court decisions— Again, the Supreme Courts have shut down some of the maps because of some problems. So I would ask everybody to oppose this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative DeLuca followed by Representative Kampf. Representative DeLuca followed by Representative Kampf.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am a little confused on this bill here, because even though I like it because we still keep electing our judges, I am just wondering since the *Citizens United* bill that the Supreme Court passed and that is appointed judges we put on the Supreme Court with the consent of the Senate, how do we control— At least when you have a statewide, you have a little bit more control on having the money funnel into some of these elections, but how do you control these district elections when all that money could be spent by the special interests, not the people?

I heard the last speaker saying about the people. In this House we influence the elections, the money. What about the money coming from the big people with the *Citizens United*, unlimited money for millionaires to influence and buy elections? Why do we not talk about that? What happens in that situation, Mr. Speaker? May I ask— I want to ask—

The SPEAKER. Yes, you may proceed, Representative DeLuca, and Representative Diamond has agreed to do so.

Mr. DeLUCA. Do you understand what I am saying to you? Since we are going to have these – which I agree to some extent – but I am just wondering, do we give these special interests with all this money more advantage by having these district elections for the judges?

Mr. DIAMOND. Mr. Speaker, I really cannot answer that question. It would call for some speculation. However, I would comment that the decision at the Federal court level— The amendment, first of all, does not address any kind of campaign

finance issues. However, we do not have any bills before us to address campaign issues in any other election in Pennsylvania as well. I mean, if you want to bring up bills to talk about that, that is fine, but nothing in this amendment addresses that specific issue, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think what we have got to be careful about when we are looking at these amendments is the money that is going to be involved in these elections, because the fact is, you take away the power of the citizens from this whole Commonwealth and we divide it up, which makes it easier for the special interests with the abundance of money they put out there to take these elections and represent them. I am totally against it, because the fact is, I think the people have a right to vote on whom they want to represent them, and I will be a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Warren Kampf.

Mr. KAMPF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a member of the bar, I feel called to say something about this legislation as a litigator. I have practiced in front of many courts. My experience is, the Federal system, which is an appointive system, is generally an excellent system. Some of the finest jurists in Pennsylvania and in the country are in that system, so to me, that is excellent proof that an appointive system works. And I have to say having observed over the years, as a political person myself, individuals running for election to the trial courts and to the appellate courts in our State, it has always struck me as odd, as sometimes unseemly, and as a practicing lawyer, I have always viewed the courts as the highest keepers of the law and running a good system, an appointive system, which this bill contains without this amendment. It strikes me as setting ourselves up to have a legacy of generations of fine jurists.

So I oppose the amendment and absolutely support the bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in response to the last comment, just to make sure that the record is clear. I have raised no questions about the competency of the Federal court system, but I have raised the question, how many women are in the Federal system? How many Latinos are sitting on Federal benches? How many African-Americans are sitting on benches? How many Asians are in the Federal system? When you look at the Federal system, the composition, it is male-driven and exclusive of people that make America great. That is my comment.

The SPEAKER. Before anybody else speaks on the amendment, the last two speakers on the amendment would be Representative Cutler and Representative Diamond. So I just need to know if anybody else wishes to speak before either of these two gentlemen. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment? Okay. So it will be Representative Cutler and then Representative Diamond, and then we are going to proceed to vote.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think it would help to provide some background as to why I became a believer in the merit selection system because I was not always one. When you look at the current makeup of the court, as the good gentleman from Philadelphia has pointed out, I would question the nature of

some of the candidates that we get in those positions as well as how they are decided.

Unfortunately, our current system, which is elections-based, tends to gravitate towards three issues: One is, how much money can a candidate raise? I know many individuals brought up the issues of campaign finance reform. They brought up the issue of the money that comes in from not just in the Commonwealth but all across the country, that pours in for these elections. Two, when you look at the nature of these elections, they also tend to be dominated by individuals with high-name ID. Perhaps they held a high-profile job that gave them that platform from which to run. And thirdly, they are typically anchored in large population centers. That is not to disparage the attorneys who work in those areas, but these are just the influences on our current electoral system.

When the elections were named as a reform in the 1800s, I do not believe at that time that they were anticipating the dawn of the super PAC (political action committee) and the dark money that enters into our electoral process. When you look at our last Supreme Court election, which was statewide, the most expensive judicial race in the history of the country for three open seats north of \$16 or \$17 million, money that was spent by groups who had great sounding names, but you really did not understand what they may or may not have been for. The truth is at that point many of those candidates had already been selected by a process that was tilted in their favor, either by geography, money, or high-name ID, or potentially, political insiders that knew how to work the committee system.

So for me, sadly, because the good gentleman from Lebanon County and myself typically agree on many issues, I must oppose this amendment because I do not believe that it gets to the root cause of many of the problems that we see from elections. There is a misperception, in my opinion, that sometimes the election is in and of itself the greatest check and balance, but when you look at probably the biggest decision that impacted the body politic here, the pay raise, you had the justices have a decision that was contrary to a law that was passed by this General Assembly and signed by the Governor that repealed the pay raise, where they kept that money, and yet only one – and I know the good gentleman led the efforts, so I commend him for that – but only one was not retained.

Our current system is lacking in terms of public accountability. When you look at the number of judicial scandals that have occurred – individuals campaigning from office, individuals that had inappropriate e-mails on their systems or contact with employees – for me, it calls into question the very nature of the process. The process itself, the outside money, the pressure to perform and win elections, I think negatively influences that selection process, and whether fairly or unfairly, I believe we have some individuals who would rather not go through the electoral process, so they never put their name in for consideration. I think that is one of the reasons why we do not have the nature of the diversity that the gentleman from Philadelphia was talking about. Some folks may not have that high-name ID, be from a population center. They may have an exquisitely sharp legal mind, but what they do not have is the political backing or the money or the wherewithal to survive the political process.

And in terms of the people having the say, I could not agree more. By virtue of being a constitutional amendment, what this amendment and what this vote would mean as the bill moves is

that we the people have the right to vote on it at the ballot box, and that is all this does. It is an opportunity to have people have a say in how we select judges. For me, this amendment does not go far enough in changing the selection process and I must unfortunately oppose the amendment and urge the members to vote "no" so that we can reform our system and give the voters the opportunity to have that input into our judicial selection process with the appropriate checks and balances in it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

### GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. My understanding is that we have some guests with us from Leadership Pittsburgh, if they will all stand. Welcome. We love having you in the chamber. Thanks for joining us.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 111 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Russ Diamond, on his amendment.

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I just want to reiterate that this amendment to HB 111, which would be a historical change to our Constitution, reflects the fact that our Constitution was already changed to make elections a reform. I was actually enthused by some of the comments made by the people who opposed, who said they opposed this amendment, and I just want to point out a couple things about what was said.

First, there was some comparison between the Federal courts and the State courts. Well, Federal courts are far different than State courts because the Federal Constitution is far different than the State Constitution. The Federal Constitution enumerates very limited powers to the Congress. There is a fence built around those powers and it is called the 10th Amendment. We have nothing like that, nothing like that in the State Constitution. State constitutions give States vast authority that the Federal government should not have.

I was surprised that some people talked about money. Of course, my amendment does not address money, but let me tell you what my amendment would do. It would retain the path of money flow as we have it now where we can go on a campaign finance Web site and see who gives what money to whom. However, if we go to a merit selection system, first of all, the main thrust of folks who are behind the underlying bill, the Pennsylvania Bar Association and Pennsylvanians for Modern Courts, all those folks get a get-out-of-elections-free card. Okay? But if you want to follow the money then to see who influences, who becomes judges in Pennsylvania, you will have to find a more obscure path and we can tell that by who is going to make up the commission that will suggest names to be appointed to court seats. Who is that going to be? Well, it is going to be five nominees from the Governor and it is going to be eight legislative nominees. That is what the commission is. So if you want to follow the money as to understanding who becomes judges in Pennsylvania, you are going to have to follow that money instead, instead of the campaign finance Web site for judges themselves.

And while we are talking about it, we heard from a member of the bar – and I have great respect for the members of the bar – and you know, think about who really weighs in on the election of judges and whether they are qualified for office now. It is the Pennsylvania Bar Association. They make recommendations: highly recommended, recommended, not recommended. I challenge you, Mr. Speaker, to go through the list of appellate court judges who were either forced out of office or had to resign for some nefarious reason and you go back and look, were they highly recommended before their election to that court? I guarantee you, the majority of them were. So this merit selection commission is made up of 13 members, 8 of whom will be members of the bar. So it still will be the Pennsylvania Bar Association who influences that along with legislative leaders and the Governor.

The previous speaker said, "Let the people decide." I agree. Let the people decide how to reform their government. They absolutely have that right, Article I, section 2; the Constitution says that. However, the process for amending the Constitution takes a distinctive path through this General Assembly. We are empowered with vetting ideas before they go on the ballot. We do not do initiative in Pennsylvania. We do not do direct democracy. We represent the people back home, and sometimes we are charged with applying a little bit of wisdom and historical knowledge to what we do. This is one of those times.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, in support of amendment A01204, I want some folks in this room to think about what the real thrust of merit selection is. We are going to empower legislative leadership and the Governor, basically political insiders, to tell us who our judges should be to rule over the people's law. On the other hand, I would imagine that some of the folks in this room who vote for that are currently on record as supporting an idea to take the simple process of drawing maps out of the hands of legislative leaders because they cannot be trusted to do that right. That is a very interesting juxtaposition. I want you to think about that before we vote, Mr. Speaker. I ask for the support of retaining elections. The people should be allowed to pick who oversees their law and dividing the Commonwealth into respective districts for each appellate court.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody— All those— I was surprised somebody did not ask that one part to be stricken. I am teasing.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—86

Barbin	Fee	Klunk	Reese
Barrar	Fritz	Longietti	Roae
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bloom	Gillespie	Mako	Rozzi
Boback	Godshall	Maloney	Ryan
Brown, R.	Goodman	Markosek	Saccone
Burns	Greiner	McGinnis	Sainato
Caltagirone	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Causer	Haggerty	Mentzer	Santora
Charlton	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Christiana	Hanna	Metzgar	Simmons

Cook	Helm	Millard	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Tallman
Davidson	Hickernell	Moul	Toepel
Day	Hill	Nelson	Topper
Dermody	Irvin	Peifer	Walsh
Diamond	James	Petrarca	Ward
Driscoll	Kaufer	Pickett	Warner
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pyle	Wentling
Dush	Keefer	Rader	Youngblood
Ellis	Keller, F.	Rapp	Zimmerman
Everett	Keller, M.K.		

## NAYS—104

Bernstine	DiGirolamo	Kulik	Rabb
Bizzarro	Donatucci	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Dowling	Lewis	Readshaw
Bradford	English	Madden	Reed
Briggs	Evankovich	Marshall	Roe
Brown, V.	Evans	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Farry	Masser	Schemel
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Matzie	Schlossberg
Cephas	Flynn	McCarter	Schweyer
Comitta	Frankel	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Freeman	McNeill	Snyder
Corbin	Gainey	Miller, D.	Solomon
Corr	Gillen	Milne	Staats
Costa, D.	Harkins	Mullery	Stephens
Costa, P.	Harper	Murt	Sturla
Cox	Harris, J.	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Heffley	Neilson	Thomas
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Daley	Kampf	O'Brien	Toohil
Davis, A.	Kavulich	O'Neill	Warren
Davis, T.	Keller, W.	Oberlander	Watson
Dawkins	Kim	Ortitay	Wheeland
Dean	Kinsey	Pashinski	White
Deasy	Kirkland	Quigley	
DeLissio	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Turzai,
Delozier	Kortz	Quinn, M.	Speaker
DeLuca	Krueger		

## NOT VOTING—1

Vazquez

## EXCUSED—9

Emrick	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Vitali
Fabrizio	Maher	Roebuck	Wheatley
Gabler			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **DIAMOND** offered the following amendment **A01205**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 3, by striking out the period after "judges" and inserting  
; and, in the Judiciary, further providing for the Supreme Court, the Superior Court, the Commonwealth Court and for judicial districts and boundaries.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

(2) That section 2 of Article V be amended to read:

## § 2. Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court (a) shall be the highest court of the

Commonwealth and in this court shall be reposed the supreme judicial power of the Commonwealth;

(b) shall consist of seven justices, to be selected from seven judicial districts which shall be established by law, one of whom shall be the Chief Justice; and

(c) shall have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law.

(3) That section 3 of Article V be amended to read:

## § 3. Superior Court.

The Superior Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges, which shall be not less than seven judges] 15 judges, to be selected from 15 judicial districts which shall be established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by this Constitution or by the General Assembly. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(4) That section 4 of Article V be amended to read:

## § 4. Commonwealth Court.

The Commonwealth Court shall be a statewide court, and shall consist of [the number of judges] nine judges, to be selected from nine judicial districts which shall be established by law, and have such jurisdiction as shall be provided by law. One of its judges shall be the president judge.

(5) That section 11 of Article V be amended to read:

## § 11. Judicial districts; boundaries.

[The number and boundaries of judicial districts shall be changed by the General Assembly only with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.]

(a) The number of judges and justices of the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court selected from each judicial district shall provide every resident of this Commonwealth with approximately equal representation on a court. Each judicial district shall be composed of compact and contiguous territory as nearly equal in population as practicable. One judge or justice shall be selected for each judicial district. Unless absolutely necessary, no county, city, incorporated town, borough, township or ward may be divided in forming a judicial district.

(b) The General Assembly shall, by law, establish:

(1) The judicial districts from which justices of the Supreme Court and the judges of the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court are selected.

(2) A transition to an appellate court judiciary selected from judicial districts.

(3) The effect of set judicial districts upon eligibility to seek retention election.

(4) The order in which judicial districts shall elect justices of the Supreme Court and judges of the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court.

(5) The decennial realignment of the appellate judicial districts based on the Federal decennial census, beginning in 2021 and occurring each ten years thereafter.

(c) Residency qualification for appointment to the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court shall be established by the General Assembly.

(d) Except as provided under subsection (b) and section 7(b), the number and boundaries of all other judicial districts shall be established by the General Assembly by law, with the advice and consent of the Supreme Court.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(6)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 26, by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(7)

Amend Bill, page 9, line 17, by inserting after "Court"

for a judicial district established under section 11

Amend Bill, page 9, line 27, by striking out "geographic."

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 2 and 3

(2) be a resident of the judicial district where the vacancy exists, subject to any requirement established by law regarding judicial districts;

Amend Bill, page 10, line 3, by striking out "(2)" and inserting



(3)

Amend Bill, page 10, line 5, by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(4)

Amend Bill, page 10, line 17, by striking out "(4)" and inserting  
(8)

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Diamond, on the amendment, 1205.

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment A01205 retains the merit selection component of this bill but demands that the people who are selected to become our appellate court judges represent a fair regional balance across the Commonwealth. Let me tell you why that is necessary, Mr. Speaker. Currently only 15 counties of our 67 have people from that county on any of our appellate courts; 52 counties remain unrepresented. Two counties, Allegheny County and Philadelphia County, which represent about 12 percent of our population, account for 65 percent of all our appellate court seats.

Mr. Speaker, I have run two statewide campaigns in my past and I can tell you and anybody else who has run a statewide campaign in Pennsylvania can tell you that Pennsylvania could easily be divided up into seven distinct regions with distinct cultures, with distinct political beliefs. I believe the people in the other areas of the State deserve to be represented on the courts from somebody from their own backyard.

Mr. Speaker, again, I am enthused that other people have offered amendments to divide Pennsylvania up into judicial districts, but the amendment that was adopted already would only divide Pennsylvania into three distinct districts: east, west, and middle. And I ask you to think about that: Do the people in the Poconos have the same regional values as the people from Philadelphia? Do the people from Crawford County have the same regional values as the people from center-city Pittsburgh? And do the people north of Lycoming County have the same values as the people from the city of York? The only fair way to divide up our State into districts is to divide it by the number of seats which are on each court. That way everybody gets an equal chance to have a member on the court and each region can have its distinct value system, its distinct culture, be represented in the court's decisions. Judicial districts are not a novel idea. Eleven States already do this for their appellate court seats, for some or all of them. Those States are Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Ohio, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin. They select their judges, whether they elect them or they appoint them, from judicial districts. They carve up their States because they know their States are big enough that you cannot place a monolithic view of law over the whole State.

So, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate all of the support on the last amendment, the previous amendment, and I would ask if you do support merit selection, that you also approve an amendment to allow that at least those judges come from different areas of the State, and not let – with all due consideration and respect for all my friends in Philadelphia and Allegheny Counties – not to let those two counties absolutely dominate our appellate courts. The other counties in the State have a right to be represented or a right to have a chance to be represented.

So, Mr. Speaker, I ask for support of amendment A01205. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis Thomas, on the amendment.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the author of the amendment stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. He will so stand.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your interest in allowing smaller counties to have the same amount of influence as larger counties. But as I started out with the last amendment, how does your proposal get at the strength of Pennsylvania, which is its diversity, and how do we do it in a way that is fair to all people of Pennsylvania? And you mentioned about southeastern Pennsylvania sending 65 percent of the judges to the appellate court benches. I do not think that the late Honorable Robert N.C. Nix, Jr., would have been able to get to the Supreme Court but for coming out of the southeast. He would not have been recommended out of the west, definitely he would not have been recommended out of central. The only way that he could have gotten there—

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, are you still interrogating?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes, I am. But I need to give the history—

The SPEAKER. You have got to ask pointed questions, sir. You can speak on the bill, but if you are going to ask your fellow legislator to stand for questions, you have got to ask questions.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my question is, how does your proposal promote the diversity that is needed on the appellate courts? And I am not talking about the Federal courts; I am talking about the State courts. Right now our appellate courts, there are no African-Americans that I am aware of, there are no Latinos, there are a couple women. How does your proposal promote the diversity that is fundamentally required if we are going to talk about judicial reform?

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And if I could just – before I answer the gentleman's question, if I said most of our judges come out of the southeast, that was a mistake, and I do not think I said that. It is out of two counties, Allegheny and Philadelphia; that is where 65 percent come from.

But to answer the gentleman's question, the way judicial districts would pursue and achieve diversity is the same way our House districts pursue and achieve diversity. We have women, we have men, we have Caucasians, we have African-Americans, we have Asian-Americans, we have Jewish folks, we have Christian folks. We have great diversity in this House, and I believe that specifically by drawing lines on a map, we will achieve a whole lot more diversity. It may not end up being the diversity everybody thinks we should have ultimately, but it will certainly bring a lot more diversity than we have now, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Thomas, do you wish to speak on the amendment? No, you waive off on speaking on the amendment. Okay.

Representative Frank Ryan, on the amendment.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment, and I would ask that all of us take into consideration that there is a balance of power. Recently I was at

a naturalization ceremony in Lebanon County and the president of a prestigious law school called me up and a judge and someone from the executive branch, recognizing how this system of checks and balances is so important for us to survive. The legislative branch, in and of itself by the way we are structured, is balanced. The executive branch, by large and far, has representation with different members – the Secretaries, the Cabinet, and employees who work there. The judicial branch is one in which the needs of the various counties and communities that we live in, it is so critically important that our voices be heard.

Four years ago I was walking across the United States, and as I was walking, I saw the incredible devastation of 50 communities that were abandoned that were all in rural areas. I am seeing the same thing happen now. My county of Lebanon County has been adversely affected, because in so many different cases at the judicial level, our needs are not clearly understood. This dividing up of the State into seven judicial districts for the Supreme Court and other areas is the only way for the needs of all the citizens of the Commonwealth, which this representation would be based upon population, would allow us to have that type of need in our communities heard.

We talked about diversity. We need the diversity as well of the diversity of the various people from various aspects of our community. We are a failing Commonwealth. We need for people to understand that. Since the 1930s our congressional representation has been declining rapidly. We are likely to lose another seat. This amendment gives us the opportunity to establish some degree of restoration of a balance of power that was so greatly deserved as our nation was founded and was intended to be crafted into our Constitution.

I ask a "yes" vote and urge you to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cutler, on the amendment.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, once again, unfortunately, I must rise to oppose this amendment. One of my concerns regarding this proposal is that we have already addressed the geographical diversity with the adoption of the gentleman from York County's amendment where we adopted the central, east, and west districts. While I appreciate the gentleman's efforts on this current proposal before us, I do not believe that it is superior to that amendment and would certainly ask the members to please vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dush, on the amendment.

Mr. DUSH. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment. As the author has stated, we have 65 percent of the appellate court judges residing in an area with 12 percent of the Commonwealth's population. If we are going to go with merit selection, we need, we absolutely need, to make sure that there is a proper diversity of judicial intent, judicial interpretation, and allowing groups of counties instead of just individual counties holding 65 percent, but having groups of counties coming together having at least one representation, I think it is a great idea. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Representative Diamond, on the amendment. We do have five other bills that have quite a few amendments, and we are going to be here fairly late.

Representative Diamond, on the amendment.

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you for that reminder, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to encourage a vote and address two things, encourage a favorable vote.

The amendment that was adopted dividing Pennsylvania into east, west, and middle does not address, does not address the current imbalance that is on our courts. It actually maintains it and cements it forever into the future as a constitutional amendment. Allegheny County and Philadelphia County will retain two-thirds of the seats on our courts. That is the way it is going to be if we leave this bill amended as it is amended now. We need this amendment to make sure that every region of Pennsylvania is represented on the courts. We have 200 members here. Imagine, if you will, if 130 of those members came from Allegheny and Philadelphia Counties; the rest of you would be offended and you should be.

I ask for a "yes" vote on amendment A01205 to give every Pennsylvanian a fair chance at having their beliefs, their culture, and their traditions represented on our statewide courts.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative KAUFER has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 111 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—81

Barbin	Fee	Mackenzie	Rapp
Barrar	Fritz	Mako	Reese
Bloom	Godshall	Maloney	Roae
Boback	Goodman	Marshall	Rothman
Brown, R.	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Burns	Grove	McNeill	Saccone
Caltagirone	Hahn	Mehaffie	Sainato
Causar	Harkins	Mentzer	Sankey
Charlton	Helm	Metcalfe	Santora
Christiana	Hennessey	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hickernell	Millard	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Miller, B.	Tallman
Culver	Irvin	Moul	Tobash
Davidson	James	Nelson	Topper
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Walsh
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Warner
Dush	Klunk	Pickett	Wentling
Ellis	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Evankovich	Longietti	Rader	Zimmerman
Everett			

### NAYS—109

Benninghoff	DiGirolamo	Kortz	Readshaw
Bernstine	Donatucci	Krueger	Reed
Bizzarro	Dowling	Kulik	Roe
Boyle	Driscoll	Lawrence	Rozzi

Bradford	English	Lewis	Samuelson
Briggs	Evans	Madden	Saylor
Brown, V.	Farry	Markosek	Schemel
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Marsico	Schlossberg
Carroll	Flynn	Masser	Schweyer
Cephas	Frankel	Matzie	Sims
Comitta	Freeman	McCarter	Snyder
Corbin	Gainey	McClinton	Solomon
Corr	Galloway	Miller, D.	Staats
Costa, D.	Gillen	Milne	Stephens
Costa, P.	Gillespie	Mullery	Sturla
Cox	Haggerty	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Hanna	Mustio	Thomas
Cutler	Harper	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Harris, J.	Nesbit	Toohil
Davis, A.	Heffley	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Warren
Dawkins	Kampf	Oberlander	Watson
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	White
Deasy	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Youngblood
DeLissio	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.	
DeLozier	Kim	Quinn, M.	Turzai,
DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb	Speaker
Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—10

Emrick	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Vitali
Fabrizio	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Gabler	Maher		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Representative Barbin, I understand your amendment 1331 has been withdrawn. Yes, it is.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MARSICO** offered the following amendment No. **A06310**:

Amend Bill, page 11, lines 7 and 8, by striking out "under section 13(b.1) or by election under section 13(a)" and inserting or election under section 13

Amend Bill, page 11, line 18, by inserting a bracket before "13(b)"

Amend Bill, page 11, line 18, by striking out the bracket before "or"

Amend Bill, page 11, line 18, by inserting after "applicable]" 13

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Marsico, on the amendment, please.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to technical amendment, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—184

Barbin	Dush	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Ellis	Kulik	Readshaw
Benninghoff	English	Lawrence	Reed
Bernstine	Evankovich	Lewis	Reese
Bizzarro	Evans	Longietti	Roae
Bloom	Everett	Mackenzie	Roe
Boback	Farry	Madden	Rothman
Boyle	Fee	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marshall	Sainato
Bullock	Fritz	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Gainey	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Galloway	Matzie	Santora
Carroll	Gillen	McCarter	Saylor
Causer	Gillespie	McClinton	Schemel
Cephas	Godshall	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Charlton	Goodman	McNeill	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Mehaffie	Simmons
Comitta	Grove	Mentzer	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Metcalfe	Snyder
Cook	Hahn	Metzgar	Solomon
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Corr	Harkins	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Harper	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Harris, J.	Milne	Tallman
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Taylor
Cruz	Helm	Mullery	Thomas
Culver	Hennessey	Murt	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Mustio	Toepel
Daley	Hill	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	Irvin	O'Brien	Topper
Davis, T.	James	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dawkins	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Walsh
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Dean	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warner
Deasy	Kavulich	Peifer	Warren
DeLissio	Keefer	Petrarca	Watson
DeLozier	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wentling
DeLuca	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Wheeland
Dermody	Keller, W.	Quigley	White
Diamond	Kim	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Kirkland	Rabb	
Dowling	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Driscoll	Knowles	Rapp	Speaker
Dunbar	Kortz		

## NAYS—3

Davis, A.	Frankel	Neilson
-----------	---------	---------

## NOT VOTING—3

Brown, V.	Nesbit	Sturla
-----------	--------	--------

## EXCUSED—10

Emrick	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Vitali
Fabrizio	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Gabler	Maher		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. There are late-filed amendments. There is one by Representative Corr, 6996, that would require a motion to suspend. Representative Corr, do you wish to file – okay. He withdraws the amendment.

Representative Dean is late-filed. It is 7000. That is going to require a motion to suspend. Representative Dean, are you moving to suspend? She waives off on the amendment.

Okay. So I do not see any other amendments to HB 111. If I am mistaken, please correct me.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1960, PN 2861**, entitled:

An Act providing for regulatory compliance.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are a number of amendments filed. This is on second consideration. Again, the bill is 1960. It is PN 2861. It is page 9 of today's House calendar.

Now, there were a number of amendments filed. This is what I understand, and correct me if I am wrong. If any of the makers of an amendment disagree with what I understand to be the posture, raise your hand and we will call on you. But these amendments have been withdrawn:

Amendment 5703, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 5704, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 5705, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 6494, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 6495, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 6496, Representative Bradford, withdrawn.

Amendment 6628, Representative Krueger-Braneky, withdrawn.

Amendment 6631, Representative Krueger-Braneky, withdrawn.

Amendment 6635, Representative Krueger-Braneky, withdrawn.

Amendment 6637, Representative Krueger-Braneky, withdrawn.

Amendment 6638, Representative McCarter, withdrawn.

Amendment 6639, Representative McCarter, withdrawn.

Amendment 6640, Representative McCarter, withdrawn.

Amendment 6642, Representative McCarter, withdrawn.

Amendment 6666, Representative Daley, withdrawn.

Amendment 6669, Representative Daley, withdrawn.

Amendment 6670, Representative Daley, withdrawn.

Amendment 6671, Representative Daley, withdrawn.

Amendment 6672, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

Amendment 6673, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

Amendment 6736, Representative Markosek, withdrawn.

Amendment 6737, Representative Markosek, withdrawn.

Amendment 6830, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

Amendment 6831, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

Amendment 6843, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

And amendment 6849, Representative Comitta, withdrawn.

The two that I still have marked are amendment 6665, Representative Daley, and amendment 6667, Representative Daley. Those are both in effect, and we will be calling those up.

Representative Markosek, am I incorrect on your two amendments?

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Eli EVANKOVICH has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1960 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Markosek has agreed to withdraw his amendments, amendment 6736 and amendment 6737.

There are two amendments in front of us. We are going to call up amendment 6665, by Representative Daley.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06665**:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting after line 30

(6) To establish clear channels of communication through which businesses seeking to become members of regulated communities can contact the agency with questions or concerns relating to starting a business and future compliance with regulations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mary Jo Daley is recognized.

Representative Daley, you may want to come down to the podium here, just so that nobody will be in your sight, in your way of being seen.

Representative Mary Jo Daley, on amendment 6665.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6665 is an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER. Yes. Thank you.

Representative Ellis, on amendment 6665.

Mr. ELLIS. I would just like to say that the Representative's comments are accurate.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?



The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—189

Barbin	Dunbar	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Dush	Kulik	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Ellis	Lawrence	Reed
Bernstine	English	Lewis	Reese
Bizzarro	Evans	Longietti	Roae
Bloom	Everett	Mackenzie	Roe
Boback	Farry	Madden	Rothman
Boyle	Fee	Mako	Rozzi
Bradford	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Ryan
Briggs	Flynn	Markosek	Saccone
Brown, R.	Frankel	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, V.	Freeman	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Fritz	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Gainey	Matzie	Santora
Caltagirone	Galloway	McCarter	Saylor
Carroll	Gillen	McClinton	Schemel
Causar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Cephas	Godshall	McNeill	Schweyer
Charlton	Goodman	Mehaffie	Simmons
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Sims
Comitta	Grove	Metcalf	Snyder
Conklin	Haggerty	Metzgar	Solomon
Cook	Hahn	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Hanna	Miller, B.	Staats
Corr	Harkins	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harper	Milne	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harris, J.	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Heffley	Mullery	Taylor
Cruz	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Culver	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Daley	Hill	Nelson	Toohil
Davidson	Irvin	Nesbit	Topper
Davis, A.	James	O'Brien	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Walsh
Dawkins	Kampf	Oberlander	Ward
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Warner
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warren
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Watson
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wentling
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheeland
DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
Dermody	Kim	Quigley	Youngblood
Diamond	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	
Donatucci	Klunk	Rabb	Turzai,
Dowling	Knowles	Rader	Speaker
Driscoll	Kortz	Rapp	

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—11

Emrick	Gabler	Maher	Vitali
Evankovich	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Kaufer	Roebuck	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. DALEY offered the following amendment No. **A06667**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 3, by striking out "detailing the" and inserting

detailing all of the following:

(1) The

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(2) The number of regulated communities served.

(3) The type of regulated communities served.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mary Jo Daley is recognized.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6667 is also an agreed-to amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Ellis, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. ELLIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Another accurate statement by the Representative. We do agree to this, and I hope this is a sign of the way the rest of the debate this evening is going to go.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Representative Ellis, thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Margo DAVIDSON has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1960 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—188

Barbin	Dush	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Ellis	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	English	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	McCarter	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McGinnis	Schemel
Causar	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mentzer	Simmons

Christiana	Grove	Metcalf	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metzgar	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, D.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Milne	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Mullery	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Neilson	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Nelson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nesbit	Toohil
Davis, A.	James	O'Brien	Topper
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	Oberlander	Walsh
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Ward
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warner
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Warren
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Watson
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wentling
DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Dermody	Kim	Quigley	White
Diamond	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Klunk	Rabb	
Dowling	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Driscoll	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dunbar	Krueger		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Davidson	Fabrizio	Kaufer	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Maher	Vitali
Evanovich	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. I do not see any other amendments in front of the Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1959, PN 3163**, entitled:

An Act providing for the administration of permits by State agencies, for a tracking system for permit applications, for the establishment of permit programs and for annual reports.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are a number of amendments offered on this bill. A number of amendments are offered on this bill. Does anybody wish to withdraw any amendments first, before I start calling up the amendments?

Representative Markosek has indicated that on HB 1959 he withdraws his amendments, and they are amendments 6731, 6733, and 6734. Those are all withdrawn.

Representative Comitta, will you please — go ahead, Representative Comitta.

Mrs. COMMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I withdraw amendment 06517, amendment 06519, amendment 06521, and amendment 06523.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

Mrs. COMMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to withdraw any amendments before we start through the amendments?

Representative McCarter, you are recognized, sir.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be withdrawing amendments 06654, 06656, 06659, 06661, 06664, and 06712.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Mr. McCARTER. Two more, I am sorry; two more in the back: 06862 and 06863.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Bradford, you may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes, I would like to withdraw amendments 6132, 6491, 6492, 6493, 6580, and 6604.

That would be it, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Bradford.

Representative Rothman, we are going to call up your amendment first.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **ROTHMAN** offered the following amendment No. **A06676**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 19, by striking out "include a" and inserting

include the following:

(1) A

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

(2) A certification, license or permit issued by the Pennsylvania Game Commission.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Rothman, are you going to proceed with that amendment?

You may proceed.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, the underlying bill deals with companies and schools and municipalities applying for permits. The intent of the bill was not to include individuals. In the case of the Game Commission, if there is a deer nuisance on a farm and they need to get a permit to harvest a deer outside of the hunting season or if there is roadkill and they need a permit to

pick up roadkill, the Game Commission issues thousands and thousands of those permits. That is not the intent of the bill. So this amendment clarifies that the Game Commission permits are exempt from this bill, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on this particular amendment?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—188

Barbin	Dush	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Ellis	Lawrence	Readshaw
Benninghoff	English	Lewis	Reed
Bernstine	Evans	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Farry	Madden	Roe
Boback	Fee	Mako	Rothman
Boyle	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Flynn	Markosek	Ryan
Briggs	Frankel	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Freeman	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fritz	Masser	Samuelson
Bullock	Gainey	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Galloway	McCarter	Santora
Caltagirone	Gillen	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Gillespie	McGinnis	Schemel
Causser	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Cephas	Goodman	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Charlton	Greiner	Mentzer	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Metcalfe	Sims
Comitta	Haggerty	Metzgar	Snyder
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Solomon
Cook	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Harkins	Miller, D.	Staats
Corr	Harper	Milne	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harris, J.	Moul	Sturla
Costa, P.	Heffley	Mullery	Tallman
Cox	Helm	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Hickernell	Neilson	Tobash
Cutler	Hill	Nelson	Toepel
Daley	Irvin	Nesbit	Toohil
Davis, A.	James	O'Brien	Topper
Davis, T.	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Vazquez
Dawkins	Kampf	Oberlander	Walsh
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Ward
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Warner
Deasy	Keefer	Peifer	Warren
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Watson
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wentling
DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pyle	Wheeland
Dermody	Kim	Quigley	White
Diamond	Kinsey	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Klunk	Rabb	
Dowling	Knowles	Rader	Turzai,
Driscoll	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Dunbar	Krueger		

#### NAYS—0

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—12

Davidson	Fabrizio	Kaufer	Roebuck
Emrick	Gabler	Maher	Vitali
Evankovich	Harris, A.	Miccarelli	Wheatley

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06133**:

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 18 through 21, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 4, line 20, by striking out "180" and inserting 365

Amend Bill, page 6, line 16, by striking out "Third-party review" and inserting Review

Amend Bill, page 6, line 17, by striking out "180" and inserting 365

Amend Bill, page 6, lines 21 through 29, by striking out all of lines 21 through 28 and "(C)" in line 29 and inserting

(b)

Amend Bill, page 7, line 2, by striking out "TO A THIRD-PARTY PROFESSIONAL"

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 5 and 6, by striking out "TO A THIRD-PARTY PROFESSIONAL"

Amend Bill, page 7, line 9, by striking out "(D)" and inserting

(c)

Amend Bill, page 7, line 9, by striking out "third-party professional's"

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 11 and 12, by striking out "the third-party professional shall transmit the application to"

Amend Bill, page 7, line 13, by striking out "for" and inserting shall proceed with

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 22 through 30; page 8, lines 1 through 7; by striking out " THAT" in line 22, all of lines 23 through 30 on page 7 and all of lines 1 through 7 on page 8 and inserting

prior to establishment of the permit decision delay review program by the State agency and after establishment of the review program.

(3) The average time frame for permit decisions from the State agency on applications that received a decision prior to establishment of the permit decision delay review program by the State agency and after establishment of the review program.

(4) The number of State agency employees reviewing permit applications, as organized by each regional office of the State agency if applicable, and the number of applications each State employee reviewed.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I offer amendment 6133 for one simple reason – and I know we have discussed this in several contexts – this would provide a broad use of third-party review in the permitting context. I know when we have discussed this this session many times on many issues, especially issues of public health and safety, I think there is an agreement that there is almost a chicken – well, that would be the wolf, I believe, guarding the henhouse, and I think there is a recognition that the idea that those with a pecuniary business interest in attracting business should not be

the ones that are enforcing public safety regulations. So for that reason this amendment, I believe, does something very important, which is remove third-party review decisions and leaves them where they should be, which, obviously, is with the career professionals and not with those in a business relationship with those individuals.

Thank you so much.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the amendment, Representative Rothman.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, we already, in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, have broad third-party inspections going on. When you get your car inspected, you do not go to a Commonwealth of Pennsylvania mechanic, you go to a licensed mechanic. When you get vaccinations for your children or a physical for your children if they are going to play sports, you go to your doctor, whom you have a relationship with, but you go to your doctor, not the government doctor. All kinds of professionals are providing third-party reviews, including engineers and architects. The Attorney General used third-party private law firms to do lawsuits. We use them all the time. There are over 200 approved certified third-party inspectors on the Department of Labor and Industry's Web site right now. The PennDOT engineer sitting on one side of the table reviewing a plan drafted by the private-sector engineer on the other side, they probably went to the same schools, had each other's jobs, have the same certifications, and in fact, the private-sector one, it may even be held to a higher standard because they could lose not just their ability to practice their engineering, they could be prosecuted under the law. So this idea that there is something wrong with third-party inspectors or third-party certifications, it is already going on and it is a good thing. There is no difference between a citizen of Pennsylvania who is working in the private sector and one who is working for the State government.

So I urge you to vote "no" on this amendment.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Mike O'BRIEN requests leave. Without objection, that will be granted. Representative Perry WARREN requests leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—73

Barbin	Dawkins	Harkins	Mullery
Bizzarro	Dean	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Rabb
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Dermoddy	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Rozzi
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Sainato
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Samuelson
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Schlossberg

Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schweyer
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Sims
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Snyder
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Solomon
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Sturla
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Thomas
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.			

#### NAYS—113

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Mustio	Stephens
Corr	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cox	James	Nesbit	Taylor
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
DeLozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reed	
Fee	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillen	Masser	Roe	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—14

Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Evankovich	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Maher		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

### VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Representative Vanessa Brown wishes to speak on unanimous consent.

Ms. V. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on HB 111, on amendment 6310, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?



Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06135**:

Amend Bill, page 8, by inserting between lines 7 and 8  
Section 11. Appropriation.

The General Assembly shall appropriate in any year such amount  
from the General Fund as it determines to be necessary to administer  
this act.

Amend Bill, page 8, line 8, by striking out "11" and inserting  
12

Amend Bill, page 8, line 12, by striking out "12" and inserting  
13

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Bradford, on the  
amendment, sir.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, amendment 6135 – and I know we have had this  
discussion in prior context as well – simply put, if the  
legislature desires to create another level of bureaucracy, as this  
would involve, it seems incumbent upon the legislature to  
appropriate funds necessary to execute on such a plan.

I know the good gentleman, when he talked about ensuring  
the safety of our drinking water and air and such environmental  
quality standards, he said that we would hold them up to the  
highest standards. I assume, if we are going to do that, we are  
going to have to appropriate more than zero dollars. So this  
amendment would allow us to appropriate funds to administer  
such a program.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Greg  
Rothman.

Mr. **ROTHMAN**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I urge a vote "no." There are funds for this. The departments  
have funds for this. In the permitting process in the  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the applicant pays a fee and  
that fee goes to cover these costs. They have been doing it all  
along. It is just a matter of now putting in place a system, and  
I think the fees that they collect for the permits will well pay for  
that, and I urge a vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—73

Bizzarro	Dean	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Thomas

Davis, A.  
Davis, T.  
Dawkins

Hanna  
Harkins

Miller, D.  
Mullery

Vazquez  
Youngblood

#### NAYS—112

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causser	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Mustio	Stephens
Corr	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cox	James	Nesbit	Taylor
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortity	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Everett	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reese	
Fee	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roe	Speaker
Gillen	Masser		

#### NOT VOTING—1

Barbin

#### EXCUSED—14

Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Evankovich	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Maher		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the  
question was determined in the negative and the amendment  
was not agreed to.

#### VOTE CORRECTION

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Barbin.

Mr. **BARBIN**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just a malfunction. I would like to be recorded in the "yes."

The **SPEAKER**. Okay. The record will reflect that the good  
gentleman wishes to be voted in the "yes" on amendment 6135.

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as  
amended?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Comitta offers amendment  
6518. Now, I have that listed on my sheet as a replacement  
amendment, and, Representative Comitta, do you want to –  
6518? No. Okay; 6518 is not being offered.

The next amendment I have is amendment 6520. Representative Comitta, I have that listed as a replacement amendment. No? Okay. That is withdrawn.

Then I also have Representative Comitta offering amendment 6522. That is withdrawn too. Okay.

Finally, Representative Comitta, I have you listed as offering amendment 6524. Withdrawn.

All of those were replacement amendments and they have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment No. **A06567**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 21, by inserting after "SECTION."

The Legislative Budget and Finance Committee shall conduct an independent review of the tracking system and publish a report within one year of the establishment of the tracking system.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Krueger-Braneky is recognized. That is amendment 6567.

Ms. **KRUEGER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Simply put, this amendment would require an independent review by the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee before we would enact the secure tracking system. The Legislative Budget and Finance Committee would have 1 year to complete the report and then the system would be due 1 year after that.

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Greg Rothman is recognized.

Mr. **ROTHMAN**. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this. This is just a delay. The people of Pennsylvania who have been applying for permits and have been waiting for their permits deserve better than this. I urge a vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The **SPEAKER**. Representative John TAYLOR has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—74

Barbin	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Bizzarro	Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boyle	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bradford	DeLissio	Kim	Rabb
Briggs	DeLuca	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kirkland	Readshaw
Bullock	Donatucci	Kortz	Rozzi
Burns	Driscoll	Krueger	Sainato
Caltagirone	Evans	Kulik	Samuelson
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Schlossberg
Cephas	Flynn	Madden	Schweyer
Comitta	Frankel	Markosek	Sims
Conklin	Freeman	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, D.	Gainey	McCarter	Solomon
Costa, P.	Galloway	McClinton	Sturla
Cruz	Goodman	McNeill	Thomas
Daley	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Mullery	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

#### NAYS—111

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Christiana	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Cook	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corr	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reed	
Fee	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—15

Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Evankovich	Kaufar	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Maier	Taylor	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

## VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Representative Tedd Nesbit is recognized on unanimous consent.

Mr. NESBIT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 111, amendment 6310, my vote was not recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. In the affirmative?

Mr. NESBIT. Yes. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Okay. The record will so reflect.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment No. **A06569**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by striking out "thirty" and inserting sixty

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Rothman is recognized.

Ms. KRUEGER. Actually, Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER. Oh, I apologize. My apologies.

Representative Krueger-Braneky, you may go first, please.

Ms. KRUEGER. Yes. I plan to withdraw this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Okay. Thank you. That is withdrawn; 6569 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. We now call up amendment 6573, by Representative Krueger-Braneky.

Representative Krueger-Braneky, on amendment 6573.

Ms. KRUEGER. Mr. Speaker, I am also withdrawing amendment 6573 and amendment 6577.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much. Those are withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06584**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by striking out "thirty" and inserting ninety

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Mary Jo Daley, on amendment 6584.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to withdraw that amendment.

The SPEAKER. That amendment is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. DALEY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes, you may proceed.

Ms. DALEY. I would like to withdraw some other amendments.

The SPEAKER. Do you want to call up the next amendment?

Ms. DALEY. I would like to withdraw amendments 6586, 6591, and 6594.

The SPEAKER. So 6586, 6591, 6594 are withdrawn?

Ms. DALEY. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Do you wish to proceed with amendment 6588?

Ms. DALEY. Yes, I would like to do that.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06588**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 21, by inserting after "SECTION."

The tracking system shall be made available in English and Spanish.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Daley, you may speak on that amendment, please.

Ms. DALEY. I think the amendment is self-explanatory, that the tracking system be made available in English and Spanish. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Rothman, 6588. You may proceed.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to oppose this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—72

Bizzarro	Dean	Harris, J.	Pashinski
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Quinn, C.
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Rabb
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Readshaw
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Roe
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Carroll	Evans	Krueger	Samuelson

Cephas	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Santora
Comitta	Flynn	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Frankel	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Freeman	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Gainey	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Galloway	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Goodman	McNeill	Thomas
Davis, A.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Toohil
Davis, T.	Hanna	Mullery	Vazquez
Dawkins	Harkins	Neilson	Youngblood

## NAYS—113

Barbin	Fritz	Marshall	Roae
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Sacone
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sainato
Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Burns	Harper	Metzgar	Schemel
Causer	Heffley	Millard	Simmons
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Snyder
Christiana	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Corr	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	James	Nelson	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Topper
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Walsh
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Ward
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Warner
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Watson
Dowling	Klunk	Pickett	Wentling
Dunbar	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Dush	Lawrence	Quigley	White
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Zimmerman
English	Longietti	Rader	
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	Turzai,
Farry	Mako	Reed	Speaker
Fee	Maloney	Reese	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—15

Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Emrick	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Evankovich	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Now, my understanding is amendment 6598 is offered by Representative Krueger-Braneky. I have it listed as a replacement amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment No. **A06598**:

(Amendment text not available.)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

## LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Madeleine DEAN and Representative Bryan BARBIN have both requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, those will be granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1959 CONTINUED

## AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Okay. My understanding is, in consultation with staff from Democratic leaders and Democratic members who have offered amendments, this is what I have, correct me if I am wrong: amendments 6598, 6600, 6601, 6603, 6605, 6606, 6607, 6613, and 6614 have been withdrawn. I believe that is correct.

These amendments should still be offered: 6653 and 6710. They are both being offered by Representative McCarter.

If I am incorrect on any of that, please let me know.

I will call up at this time 6653, being offered by Representative McCarter.

Representative McCarter, do you mind coming down to the podium? I do apologize.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment No. **A06653**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 20, by inserting after "(1)"  
in clear language that is readily understandable by a  
layperson

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative McCarter, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This is a very simple amendment that would require an explanation in clear language, which would eliminate bureaucratic jargon that sometimes gets in the way of understanding why applications might be rejected or not in conformity.

The SPEAKER. Representative Rothman, on the amendment.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

I thank the gentleman and we will support this amendment. I urge my colleagues to vote for this amendment.



On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—172

Barrar	Dunbar	Kortz	Rader
Benninghoff	Dush	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Bernstine	Ellis	Kulik	Readshaw
Bizzarro	English	Lawrence	Reed
Bloom	Evans	Lewis	Reese
Boback	Everett	Longietti	Roe
Boyle	Farry	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bradford	Fee	Madden	Rozzi
Briggs	Fitzgerald	Mako	Ryan
Brown, R.	Flynn	Maloney	Saccone
Brown, V.	Frankel	Markosek	Sainato
Bullock	Freeman	Marshall	Samuelson
Burns	Fritz	Marsico	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gainey	Masser	Santora
Carroll	Galloway	Matzie	Saylor
Cephas	Gillen	McCarter	Schemel
Charlton	Gillespie	McClinton	Schlossberg
Christiana	Godshall	McGinnis	Schweyer
Comitta	Goodman	McNeill	Simmons
Conklin	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sims
Cook	Grove	Mentzer	Snyder
Corbin	Haggerty	Metzgar	Solomon
Corr	Hahn	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harper	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harris, J.	Moul	Thomas
Culver	Helm	Mullery	Tobash
Cutler	Hennessey	Murt	Toepel
Daley	Hickernell	Mustio	Toohil
Davis, A.	Hill	Neilson	Topper
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nesbit	Vazquez
Dawkins	James	O'Neill	Walsh
Day	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Ward
Deasy	Kampf	Ortitay	Warner
DeLissio	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
Delozier	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
DeLuca	Keefer	Petrarca	Wheeland
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Diamond	Keller, W.	Quigley	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kim	Quinn, C.	
Donatucci	Kinsey	Quinn, M.	Turzai,
Dowling	Kirkland	Rabb	Speaker
Driscoll	Klunk		

## NAYS—11

Causar	Knowles	Pyle	Tallman
Heffley	Metcalfe	Rapp	Zimmerman
Keller, F.	Nelson	Roae	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06710**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 21, by inserting after "agency."  
The term shall not include an individual who is in a contract with a State agency for payments in excess of \$5,000.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative McCarter is recognized.

Mr. **McCARTER**. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Again, another simple amendment. This one would amend the definition of "third-party professional" to exclude those in excess of getting payments of \$5,000 or more, and this would eliminate potential conflicts of interest and would be to the benefit.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Rothman, on the amendment, please.

Mr. **ROTHMAN**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no" to this. This would actually eliminate almost all third-party professionals, if they receive any payments from the State in excess of \$5,000. So it would defeat the purpose of the bill and I would urge you to vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—72

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Thomas
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

## NAYS—111

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Saylor

Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Christiana	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Cook	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corr	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reed	
Fee	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. I have no other amendments in front of the Speaker on this bill, 1959. I have no other amendments. Correct me if I am wrong, members. There were quite a few that were offered and quite a few that were withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1792, PN 3168**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 25, 1982 (P.L.633, No.181), known as the Regulatory Review Act, further providing for procedures for subsequent review of disapproved final-form or final-omitted regulations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are a number of amendments. This bill is on second consideration.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06534**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 30, by inserting after "effect"  
"provided that the committee conducts a public hearing on the matter prior to reporting the concurrent resolution"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta, on the amendment, 6534.

Mrs. COMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment speaks for itself. It will require the committee to conduct a public hearing on the matter prior to reporting the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes Representative Kerry Benninghoff.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the members to consider a "yes" vote on this. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—154

Barrar	Donatucci	Kirkland	Quinn, C.
Benninghoff	Dowling	Klunk	Quinn, M.
Bernstine	Driscoll	Kortz	Rabb
Bizzarro	Dunbar	Krueger	Rader
Bloom	Ellis	Kulik	Ravenstahl
Boback	English	Lawrence	Readshaw
Boyle	Evans	Lewis	Reed
Bradford	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Briggs	Farry	Mackenzie	Roe
Brown, R.	Fee	Madden	Rothman
Brown, V.	Fitzgerald	Maloney	Rozzi
Bullock	Flynn	Markosek	Sainato
Burns	Frankel	Marshall	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Freeman	Marsico	Sankey
Carroll	Fritz	Matzie	Santora
Cephas	Gainey	McCarter	Saylor
Charlton	Galloway	McClinton	Schemel
Christiana	Gillen	McNeill	Schlossberg
Comitta	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Schweyer
Conklin	Goodman	Mentzer	Simmons
Corbin	Haggerty	Millard	Sims
Corr	Hanna	Miller, B.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Solomon
Costa, P.	Harper	Milne	Staats
Cox	Harris, J.	Moul	Stephens
Cruz	Helm	Mullery	Sturla
Culver	Hennessey	Murt	Thomas
Cutler	Hickernell	Mustio	Tobash
Daley	Hill	Neilson	Toepel
Davis, A.	Irvin	Nelson	Toohil
Davis, T.	James	Nesbit	Vazquez
Dawkins	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Watson

Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Wheeland
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	White
DeLissio	Kavulich	Peifer	Youngblood
Delozier	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Dermody	Kim	Pyle	Turzai,
DiGirolamo	Kinsey	Quigley	Speaker

## NAYS—29

Causar	Heffley	Metcalf	Sonney
Cook	Keefer	Metzgar	Tallman
Diamond	Keller, F.	Ortitay	Topper
Dush	Knowles	Rapp	Walsh
Godshall	Mako	Roae	Ward
Greiner	Masser	Ryan	Warner
Grove	McGinnis	Saccone	Wentling
Hahn			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6535. That is withdrawn; 6535 is withdrawn.

Representative Comitta, I am just going to go through your amendments. I do not mean to jump over the others that are listed, but I am going to do yours.

Amendment 6544, are you offering that? That is withdrawn.

Then amendment 6546? Withdrawn.

Amendment 6548? Okay. So we are going to offer amendment 6548.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment No. **A06548**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 10 through 13, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 7 of the act of June 25, 1982 (P.L.633, No.181), known as the Regulatory Review Act, is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 17 and 18; page 2, lines 1 through 30; page 3, lines 1 through 28; by striking out all of said lines on said pages

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta, on amendment 6548.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment removes, in quotes, "An agency shall be prohibited from reissuing the same regulation in the future, or promulgating a regulation that is substantially similar, unless the new or revised regulation is specifically authorized by a law enacted after the date." Essentially, this allows the agency to reissue or pursue the same regulation, if they deem it necessary.

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment, please.

Mr. **BENNINGHOFF**. Respectfully, Mr. Speaker, I would ask members to vote "no." I think this is counterintuitive to the underlying measures of the bill. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—72

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Thomas
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

## NAYS—111

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Metcalf	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Christiana	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Cook	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corr	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reed	
Fee	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6551 is offered by Representative Comitta. That is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment No. **A06556**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 30, by striking out the period after "effect" and inserting

, provided that the concurrent resolution is made available to the public at least 48 hours prior to reporting the concurrent resolution.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Comitta is recognized.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this amendment is self-explanatory and requires that the concurrent resolution is made available to the public at least 48 hours prior to reporting the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Benninghoff is recognized.

Mr. **BENNINGHOFF**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, respectfully, I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—73

Bizzarro	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boyle	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bradford	DeLuca	Kim	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Burns	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato

Carroll	Flynn	Longiotti	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	McCarter	Snyder
Costa, P.	Goodman	McClinton	Solomon
Cruz	Haggerty	McNeill	Sturla
Daley	Hanna	Miller, D.	Thomas
Davis, A.	Harkins	Mullery	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Neilson	Youngblood
Dawkins			

## NAYS—110

Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Roe
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Santora
Causar	Harper	Metzgar	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Corr	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	James	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Kampf	O'Neill	Toohil
DeLozier	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Ortitay	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Peifer	Ward
Dowling	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Warner
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Watson
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Wentling
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
English	Lewis	Rader	White
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reed	
Fee	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta, amendment 6559? Withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?



Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06561**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 30, by striking out "currently" and inserting  
that was promulgated prior to January 1, 1968, and continues to be

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative McCarter.

Mr. **McCARTER**. That is withdrawn also, Mr. Speaker, along with 6564.

The **SPEAKER**. Okay. So amendments 6561 and 6564 are withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative McCarter, I am just going to stick with your amendments.

You have amendment 6576. Do you wish to offer that?

Mr. **McCARTER**. That is withdrawn, along with 6582.

The **SPEAKER**. Amendments 6576 and 6582 are withdrawn. Representative McCarter, do you wish to offer amendment 6805?

Mr. **McCARTER**. Yes.

The **SPEAKER**. Okay.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06805**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 17, by striking out "the future" and inserting  
the same legislative session as the concurrent resolution was passed

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative McCarter, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. **McCARTER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While, obviously, we have been discussing some of this already, the idea that we would be able to reissue the regulation in a future session is what this amendment is all about.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment.

Mr. **BENNINGHOFF**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Respectfully, this is kind of counterintuitive as well to the underlying purpose of the bill. While it may prohibit it during

that particular session, it does not prohibit that same regulation being brought back a few weeks later when a new session starts.

I would ask the members to vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—72

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Thomas
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

#### NAYS—111

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Boback	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Christiana	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Cook	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corr	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reed	
Fee	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—17

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Representative McCarter, do you wish to offer amendment 6806?

Mr. McCARTER. 6806 is withdrawn.

The SPEAKER. And 6809?

Mr. McCARTER. 6809 is withdrawn and 6813.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Representative McCarter.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Gene DiGIROLAMO wishes to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

Representative Curtis THOMAS wishes to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1792 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. KRUEGER offered the following amendment No. **A06538**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 2, by striking out "majority vote" and inserting  
a vote of two-thirds

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Michael CORR has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1792 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Krueger-Braneky is recognized.

Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment provides that a supermajority is necessary to repeal an existing regulation.

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to vote "no." I think a simple majority works very well here and it would be most applicable in this situation. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—71

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	

#### NAYS—109

Barrar	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sacccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
DeLozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Farry	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reed	
Fritz	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillespie	Masser	Roe	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—20

Barbin	Emrick	Kaufer	Taylor
Corr	Evanovich	Maher	Thomas
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Gabler	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6540 is offered by Representative Krueger-Braneky. Do you want to withdraw?

Ms. KRUEGER. The rest of my amendments are withdrawn, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

So 6540 is withdrawn, 6571 is withdrawn, 6574 is withdrawn, 6619 is withdrawn, 6620 is withdrawn, 6622 is withdrawn, 6623 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. DALEY offered the following amendment No. **A06553**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 17, by striking out "in the future" and inserting  
within the two-year legislative session

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Daley.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The clerk, in reading it, provided a very good explanation of this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, on amendment 6553.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Respectfully, I would ask the members to vote "no." This does not prohibit the same thing to be reinstituted several days after the next session. So our goal is to try to streamline government. A "no" vote would be appropriate. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—71

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	

#### NAYS—109

Barrar	Godshall	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	James	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Farry	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reed	
Fritz	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Gillespie	Masser	Roe	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—20

Barbin	Emrick	Kaufer	Taylor
Corr	Evankovich	Maher	Thomas
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Gabler	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6654 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. DALEY offered the following amendment No. **A06618**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by inserting a bracket before "two-thirds"

Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by inserting after "two-thirds"

] three-fourths

Amend Bill, page 4, line 10, by striking out "two-thirds" and inserting

three-fourths

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Daley is recognized.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The rationale behind this amendment is that it would require a stronger consensus among members that a regulation should not be enacted or should be repealed.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Respectfully, Mr. Speaker, I think we are trying to deal with constitutionality. I think two-thirds majority is adequate. I would ask for a "no" on this.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—59

Boyle	Dawkins	Hanna	Neilson
Bradford	Deasy	Harkins	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLissio	Kavulich	Rabb
Brown, V.	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Dermody	Kim	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rozzi
Carroll	Driscoll	Kirkland	Schlossberg
Cephas	Evans	Kortz	Schweyer
Comitta	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sims
Conklin	Flynn	Madden	Snyder
Costa, P.	Frankel	Markosek	Solomon
Cruz	Gainey	McCarter	Sturla
Daley	Galloway	McClinton	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Goodman	McNeill	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Haggerty	Mullery	

#### NAYS—121

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Godshall	Matzie	Rothman
Bernstine	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bizzarro	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Sainato
Boback	Harper	Metcalfe	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Harris, J.	Metzgar	Sankey
Burns	Heffley	Millard	Santora
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Saylor
Charlton	Hennessey	Miller, D.	Schemel
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Simmons
Cook	Hill	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Irvin	Murt	Staats
Costa, D.	James	Mustio	Stephens
Cox	Jozwiak	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	Kampf	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Kauffman	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Keefer	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Keller, F.	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Petrarca	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Dush	Kulik	Pyle	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quigley	Wentling
English	Lewis	Quinn, C.	Wheeland
Everett	Longietti	Quinn, M.	White
Farry	Mackenzie	Rader	Zimmerman
Fee	Mako	Rapp	
Freeman	Maloney	Reed	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Reese	Speaker
Gillen	Marsico	Roae	

NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—20

Barbin	Emrick	Kaufer	Taylor
Corr	Evankovich	Maher	Thomas
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Gabler	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Harris, A.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Mark KELLER has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1792 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Daley offers amendment 6621. Is that withdrawn? That is withdrawn.

Representative Daley offers amendment 6797. It is withdrawn. Amendment 6798? Withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. DALEY offered the following amendment No. **A06801**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 29, by inserting after "resolution"  
at a regularly scheduled committee meeting at which members of the committee and the public were given at least 24 hours advance notice of the date, time, place and a copy of the concurrent resolution

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mary Jo Daley is recognized.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is requiring that votes on concurrent resolutions would be done at regularly scheduled committee meetings where the members of the committee and the public were given advance notice by at least 24 hours of the date, the time, the place, and a copy of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff is recognized, on the amendment.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Respectfully, I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Samuelson, on the amendment, sir.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in strong support of the Mary Jo Daley amendment to require advance notice if a committee is going to take up the elimination of a regulation. We have had a couple examples in



recent weeks where committees in this House set meetings without agendas, call up bills with zero notice or 8 minutes' notice. That is no way for the Republican majority in this House to do business. We should require public notice of all legislation so people have a chance to research it, so the public has a chance to know what is coming up, and so the members of this House are not blindsided by the action of committee chairs and legislative leaders.

I strongly support having advance notice of committee meetings.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I would just say that the comment calling out a particular party is not appropriate under our rules. I am sure that there are examples with respect to how meetings have been called up under leadership under both sides. They do abide by the rules. So I would just caution the gentleman to watch his comments in the future.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was referring to committee meetings within the last 2 weeks in which the Republican Party was in the majority. Those meetings were called by a chair in one particular party, so—

The SPEAKER. I understand, but I am going to caution you.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you. I appreciate that.

The SPEAKER. You are getting to motive and pejorative references on the floor. I would just caution you that that is really not appropriate.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Advance notice would be good for both parties.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, on the amendment, for the second time.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would ask the members to vote "no" on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—82

Barrar	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bizzarro	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, C.
Boback	DeLuca	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Boyle	Dermoddy	Kirkland	Rabb
Bradford	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Briggs	Driscoll	Krueger	Readshaw
Brown, V.	English	Kulik	Roe
Bullock	Evans	Lawrence	Rozzi
Burns	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Caltagirone	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Santora
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schlossberg
Comitta	Gainey	McCarter	Schweyer
Conklin	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Costa, D.	Gillen	McNeill	Snyder
Costa, P.	Goodman	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Haggerty	Mullery	Sturla
Daley	Hanna	Neilson	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harkins	O'Neill	Watson
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

#### NAYS—97

Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Harper	Metcalfe	Saylor
Causer	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Murt	Tallman
Culver	James	Mustio	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Nesbit	Toohil
DeLozier	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Ortity	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Peifer	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pickett	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Pyle	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quigley	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Farry	Mako	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reed	
Fritz	Marshall	Reese	Turzai,
Gillespie	Marsico	Roae	Speaker
Godshall	Masser	Rothman	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—21

Barbin	Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Maher	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Emrick			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6802 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06811**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 29, by striking out "A" and inserting  
With the exclusion of a regulation necessary to protect the health, safety and welfare of the Commonwealth, a

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Bradford is recognized.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would just exempt what we are doing here for any regulation affecting the health, safety, and welfare of the Commonwealth.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Benninghoff is recognized.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the gentleman's intent, but it seems to be very broadly stated with very little specifics, and I would ask the members to vote "no." Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—71

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	

#### NAYS—108

Barrar	Godshall	Mehaffie	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mentzer	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Metcalfe	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metzgar	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Millard	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Miller, B.	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Milne	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Moul	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Murt	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Mustio	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Mako	Rapp	White
Farry	Maloney	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Marshall	Reese	
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker
Gillespie	McGinnis		

NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—21

Barbin	Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Maher	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley
Emrick			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Members, I do not see any other amendments in front of us. They have either been withdrawn or voted upon. If I am mistaken, please correct the record, but I do not see any other amendments in front of us.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Mark MUSTIO has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

#### BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1237, PN 2996**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 25, 1982 (P.L.633, No.181), known as the Regulatory Review Act, further providing for definitions, for proposed regulations and procedures for review and for final-form regulations and final-omitted regulations and procedures for review; providing for regulations deemed withdrawn; further providing for procedures for subsequent review of disapproved final-form or final-omitted regulations by the commission; and providing for concurrent resolution required for economically significant regulations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are quite a few amendments on this. There are amendments being offered. I am going to go in order.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06482**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 5, by striking out the comma after  
"Commonwealth" and inserting

or  
Amend Bill, page 2, line 6, by striking out "and to the private  
sector"

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Amendment 6482 has been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. You know what, I am sorry. I did not see those. Hold on.

This is the order that we have, and keep in mind, the Speaker calls up the amendments. The amendment that we have that we are going to call up first is amendment 6482. Withdrawn.

The next would be amendment 6484. Withdrawn.

Amendment 6498 is Representative Markosek.  
Representative Markosek. Withdrawn.

Representative McCarter, amendment 6651. Withdrawn.

Representative DeLissio offers amendment 6658.  
Withdrawn.

Representative Bradford calls up amendment 6655. It raises the threshold to \$10 million. Withdrawn. Representative Bradford, amendment 6662. It raises the threshold to \$20 million. Withdrawn.

Representative Bradford, amendment 6679. Withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06513**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis."

For purposes of this definition, the term "cost" means the net costs after subtracting societal benefits of the regulation at issue.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Bradford.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Mr. Speaker, amendment 6513 realizes that the bill in question uses the term of art "economically significant regulation," but it is my contention and many others that there are soft costs, there are societal benefits for certain regulations that a dynamic scoring like that proposed in this matter does not fully encompass. So this would allow for a societal benefits test to also be applied in determining what is an economically significant regulation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the amendment, Representative Dawn Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask for a negative vote on this. While the benefits of the regulations should be taken into account, those paying the costs are different than those that are reaping the benefits. So the regulations will impose substantial costs on some segment of our society. That should still be the subject of extra scrutiny.

So I would ask for a "no" vote on this.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, for the second time, on the amendment? No.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative MARSICO has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—71

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Readshaw
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Snyder
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	

#### NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nesbit	Tallman

Culver	James	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—23

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Wheatley
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, amendment 6508. Withdrawn.

Amendment 6537 – oh no, I am sorry, 6557. My apologies, 6557. Withdrawn.

Amendment 6562. Withdrawn.

Representative McCarter, amendment 6677. It is a threshold calculation, excludes indirect costs on government and private sector. It is amendment 6677. Okay. I am sorry. That is who—Representative Bradford. Okay. Representative Bradford withdraws 6677.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06510**:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

(c) The following are exempt from the requirements of this section:

(1) Regulations that only contain fee and permit increases.

(2) Regulations that only contain stream redesignations.

(3) Regulations promulgated to protect public health or the environment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Comitta is recognized.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment exempts from concurrent resolution section 7.2, page 9, regulations only containing fee and permit increases, stream designations, or promulgated to protect public health or the environment.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Dawn Keefer is recognized.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the members for a "no" vote on this. This amendment runs completely counter to the intent, by exempting categories of regulations from this added review.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—78

Bizzarro	Deasy	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boback	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Boyle	DeLuca	Kim	Quinn, C.
Bradford	Dermody	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Donatucci	Kirkland	Rabb
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Evans	Krueger	Readshaw
Burns	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Roe
Caltagirone	Flynn	Longietti	Rozzi
Carroll	Frankel	Madden	Sainato
Cephas	Freeman	Markosek	Samuelson
Comitta	Gainey	Matzie	Schlossberg
Conklin	Galloway	McCarter	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Goodman	McClinton	Sims
Costa, P.	Haggerty	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Hanna	Miller, D.	Solomon
Daley	Harkins	Mullery	Sturla
Davis, A.	Harper	Murt	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Neilson	Youngblood
Dawkins	Hennessey		

NAYS—99

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Saccone
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Santora
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Saylor
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metzgar	Schemel
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Simmons
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Cook	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Rader	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Rapp	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Reed	White
Everett	Mako	Reese	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Roae	
Fee	Marshall	Rothman	Turzai,
Fritz	Masser	Ryan	Speaker
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0



## EXCUSED—23

Barbin	Evankovich	Maier	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Wheatley
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06555**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis."

This term shall not include a regulation that provides for environmental protection.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta, on the amendment.  
Will the House agree to it? You may proceed.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment ensures that environmental wildlife and human health are not compromised.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would ask our members for a "no" vote on this. The purpose of this bill is to subject costly amendments to the added scrutiny and to encourage agencies to minimize costs and impacts when developing regulations. This amendment runs completely counter to the intent of this bill and the regulations and our added review that we are looking at. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—80

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Pashinski
Boback	Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca
Boyle	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.
Bradford	DeLuca	Kim	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Dermoddy	Kinsey	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Burns	Evans	Krueger	Roe
Caltagirone	Farry	Kulik	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Markosek	Santora
Conklin	Freeman	Matzie	Schlossberg
Corbin	Gainey	McCarter	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Costa, P.	Goodman	McNeill	Snyder

Cruz	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Solomon
Daley	Hanna	Mullery	Sturla
Davis, A.	Harkins	Murt	Vazquez
Davis, T.	Harper	Neilson	Youngblood

## NAYS—97

Barrar	Godshall	McGinnis	Saccone
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Saylor
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Schemel
Brown, R.	Heffley	Metzgar	Simmons
Causar	Helm	Millard	Sonney
Charlton	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Staats
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Stephens
Cook	Hill	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Irvin	Nelson	Tobash
Culver	James	Nesbit	Toepel
Cutler	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toohil
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
DeLozier	Kauffman	Ortitay	Walsh
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warner
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Watson
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Wentling
Ellis	Lawrence	Rader	Wheeland
English	Lewis	Rapp	White
Everett	Mackenzie	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Mako	Reese	
Fritz	Maloney	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gillespie	Masser	Ryan	

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—23

Barbin	Evankovich	Maier	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Wheatley
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06563**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis."

This term shall include a regulation that pertains to food safety.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Comitta, you may proceed. Will the House agree to the amendment? Representative Comitta.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment ensures that public health is not compromised.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would encourage our members to vote "no" on this amendment. It runs completely counter to the intent of the bill by exempting categories of regulations from the added review. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Lawrence, on the amendment, sir.

Representative Lawrence, I apologize, that microphone has not been working today. Yes, you may.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentelady submit to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Yes, she will. Representative Comitta, you wish to proceed?

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, the term "food safety," is that a defined term in this amendment?

Mrs. COMMITTA. No, it is not.

Mr. LAWRENCE. So with regard, as an example, in our neck of the woods we have a number of mushroom growers. So for example, would a regulation as it regards to wearing a hairnet as one walks through a mushroom-growing facility, would that be a regulation that falls under the term "food safety" in this amendment?

Mrs. COMMITTA. That would be determined by the Department of Agriculture.

Mr. LAWRENCE. So it is not spelled out in this amendment. It would be up to a determination by either the Department of Agriculture or one of the other Cabinet departments?

Mrs. COMMITTA. That is correct.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the amendment?

The SPEAKER. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the intent of this amendment and I think it is timely given the concerns we see with the lettuce, E. coli with lettuce. What I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, is that the amendment is very broadly drafted. I would also suggest, Mr. Speaker, as it relates particularly with regard to the growing of mushrooms in the Commonwealth, we are already seeing, of all places, businesses going to Maryland because of an incredibly — this is difficult for me to believe — a better regulatory environment.

So I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that we vote against this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—79

Bizzarro	Deasy	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.
Boback	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, M.
Boyle	DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb
Bradford	Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Briggs	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Krueger	Roe
Bullock	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Burns	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato

Caltagirone	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Comitta	Gainey	McCarter	Sims
Conklin	Galloway	McClinton	Snyder
Costa, D.	Goodman	McNeill	Solomon
Costa, P.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cruz	Hanna	Mullery	Sturla
Daley	Harkins	Murt	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harper	Neilson	White
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich	Petrarca	

#### NAYS—98

Barrar	Gillen	Marshall	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Bernstine	Godshall	McGinnis	Saccone
Bloom	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sankey
Brown, R.	Grove	Mentzer	Santora
Causar	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Charlton	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Cox	Hill	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Irvin	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	James	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toohil
Delozier	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Kauffman	Ortitay	Walsh
Dowling	Keefer	Peifer	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Pickett	Warner
Dush	Klunk	Pyle	Watson
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	Wentling
English	Lawrence	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Lewis	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mackenzie	Reed	
Fee	Mako	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Roae	Speaker

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—23

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Wheatley
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06568**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis,"  
This term shall not include a regulation that pertains to public health, safety or security.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Comitta, you may proceed.

Mrs. COMMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment ensures that the health and safety of the public are not compromised.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dawn Keefer, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. KEEFER. Again, I would ask our members to vote "no" on this. First of all, again, the amendment runs completely counter to the purpose of this bill. And second of all, because the emergency regulations are exempt from the provisions of this bill, the regulations needed to respond to health, safety, or security emergencies would not be impacted by this bill.

So the amendment is not necessary. I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—78

Bizzarro	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Boback	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, C.
Boyle	DeLuca	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Bradford	Dermoddy	Kirkland	Rabb
Briggs	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Krueger	Readshaw
Bullock	Evans	Kulik	Roe
Burns	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Flynn	Madden	Sainato
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Samuelson
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schlossberg
Comitta	Gainey	McCarter	Schweyer
Conklin	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Costa, D.	Goodman	McNeill	Snyder
Costa, P.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Hanna	Mullery	Sturla
Daley	Harkins	Murt	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harper	Neilson	White
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dawkins	Kavulich		

#### NAYS—99

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Saccone
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Santora
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
DeLozier	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Rader	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Reed	Zimmerman

Farry	Mako	Reese	
Fee	Maloney	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—23

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Taylor
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Thomas
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Vitali
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Warren
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Wheatley
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06578**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis."

This term shall not include a regulation that pertains to air and water quality.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Pam SNYDER has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Krueger-Braneky is recognized. Will the House agree to the amendment? You may proceed.

Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment excludes from the definition of "economically significant regulation" those which pertain to air and water quality. The Pennsylvania State Constitution guarantees a right to clean air and clean water, and we believe that the health and safety of the public should not be compromised for any reason. In fact, our Constitution prohibits it.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dawn Keefer is recognized on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Again, I would ask the members for a "no" vote on this. It runs counter to the intent of the bill. And again, the emergency regulations would be exempt from this bill, so any regulations needed to respond to air quality or emergencies would not be impacted by this bill.

So it is an unnecessary amendment and I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Krueger-Braneky, for the second time. No? Okay.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—80

Barrar	Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Dawkins	Kavulich	Petrarca
Boback	Deasy	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, M.
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb
Briggs	Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Bullock	Driscoll	Krueger	Roe
Burns	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Charlton	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Comitta	Gainey	McCarter	Sims
Conklin	Galloway	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, D.	Goodman	McNeill	Stephens
Costa, P.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Hanna	Mullery	Vazquez
Daley	Harkins	Murt	White
Davis, A.	Harper	Neilson	Youngblood

## NAYS—96

Benninghoff	Godshall	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metcalfe	Santora
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Saylor
Christiana	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Simmons
Corbin	Hickernell	Milne	Sonney
Cox	Hill	Moul	Staats
Culver	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cutler	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Delozier	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Diamond	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Dowling	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dush	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
English	Lawrence	Rader	Wentling
Everett	Lewis	Rapp	Wheeland
Farry	Mackenzie	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Mako	Reese	
Fritz	Maloney	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Rothman	Speaker
Gillespie	Masser		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DeLISSIO** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06590**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 7, by inserting after "basis."  
This term shall not include a regulation that pertains to child safety.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative DeLissio is recognized.  
Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment excludes from the definition of "economically significant regulation" those regulations which pertain to child safety. Mr. Speaker, I think the entire bill adds a level of complexity and a layer of bureaucracy to a system that already on many days has its own speed bumps for bureaucracy and complexity. Adding more of that on vis-à-vis this bill could endanger some of our most vulnerable citizens, our children. I think those regulations pertaining to children should indeed be exempt and not subjected to this additional bureaucracy.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would encourage my members to vote "no" on this amendment. The term "child safety" is not even defined in this. And again, we will all have an opportunity to vote on something as it comes up piece by piece, each piece of legislation.

This is not necessary. It runs counter to the intent of this bill. I would encourage everyone to vote "no." Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—77

Bizzarro	Deasy	Hennessey	Neilson
Boback	DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boyle	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bradford	Dermody	Kim	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Evans	Kortz	Readshaw
Burns	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Roe
Caltagirone	Flynn	Kulik	Rozzi
Carroll	Frankel	Longietti	Sainato
Cephas	Freeman	Madden	Samuelson
Comitta	Gainey	Markosek	Schlossberg
Conklin	Galloway	Matzie	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Goodman	McCarter	Sims
Costa, P.	Haggerty	McClinton	Solomon
Cruz	Hanna	McNeill	Sturla
Daley	Harkins	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harper	Mullery	White
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Murt	Youngblood
Dawkins			



## NAYS—99

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Ryan
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Sankey
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Santora
Brown, R.	Hahn	Metzgar	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Moul	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
DeLozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Rader	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reese	
Fee	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Masser	Rothman	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Markosek offers amendment 6499. Representative Markosek, 6499? Withdrawn.

Representative Bradford offers amendment 6515, 6515. Withdrawn.

And then, Representative Bradford, amendment 6542? Withdrawn?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06542**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 19, by inserting after "REGULATION."

Two-thirds of the commission shall be required to disapprove a regulation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, will the House agree to your amendment? And you may speak on the amendment.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Amendment 6542 ensures that a supermajority of the committee is in agreement about the adoption of any such regulation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. I would ask members to vote "no" on this amendment. It establishes that the IRRC (Independent Regulatory Review Commission) must disapprove regulations by a two-thirds vote, not the standing committee.

So again I would ask everyone to vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	James	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Toohil
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06549**:

Amend Bill, page 10, line 18, by inserting after "**RESOLUTION**."

Two-thirds of the Senate or House of Representatives shall be required to disapprove the concurrent resolution.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Mary Jo Daley withdraws that amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment No. **A06684**:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 16, by striking out "**THE**" and inserting  
Insofar as the proposed regulation relates to costs to the Commonwealth, the

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 26 and 27, by striking out all of said lines and inserting  
appear at the hearing if requested to do so in writing. The written notification to appear at a public hearing shall be signed by both the majority chairperson and minority chairperson of the committee.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Krueger-Braneky is recognized. Will the House agree to the amendment? Representative Krueger-Braneky, you may proceed.

Ms. **KRUEGER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment if adopted would dictate that the Independent Fiscal Office would only estimate costs to the Commonwealth. The agency would be required to appear at a hearing if requested to do so in writing. The written notification to appear at a public hearing shall be signed by both the

majority chairperson and the minority chairperson of the committee. This gives the agencies that would be impacted by these regulations or the repeal of regulations a chance to testify if requested.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the members for a "no" vote on this. The regulatory process should be collaborative, and thus requiring the written invitation is not necessary. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	James	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rader	Whealand
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Maier	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Daley offers amendment 6853. Representative Daley, are you offering – I have it that you are but – amendment 6853? Yes.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06853**:

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 26 and 27, by striking out "IF REQUESTED TO DO SO BY THE CHAIR OF THE" in line 26 and all of line 27 and inserting  
and testify before the committee on the purpose of the proposed regulation and the proposed regulation's necessity.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Mary Jo Daley.

Ms. **DALEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment removes, quote, "IF REQUESTED TO DO SO BY THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE," unquote, and adds, quote, "and testify before the committee on the purpose of the proposed regulation and" its "necessity," unquote. This would allow for greater agency input.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dawn Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. A hearing is already required, so this amendment is not necessary.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato

Cephas	Flynn	Longiotti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	James	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rader	Whealand
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Maier	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06686**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 26, by inserting after "LONGER."  
Any approval or disapproval of a proposed regulation by a committee under this subsection shall only be undertaken by vote of the members of the committee required for the reporting of bills at a regular convened committee meeting duly noticed in accordance with 65 Pa.C.S. § 704 (relating to open meetings). Notwithstanding any committee rules to the contrary, no proxy voting shall be permitted and only votes of the members present shall be in order for the approval or disapproval of a proposed regulation under this subsection.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative Bob GODSHALL has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford is recognized.

Mr. BRADFORD. This is another transparency issue. This would require that any such approval or disapproval would take place at a regular meeting and would prohibit things like proxy voting and such that may be used at such a hearing.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. Committee members give the chair their permission to cast a proxy vote and instructions for the vote. In this regard the proxy vote is no different than an in-person vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

#### NAYS—105

Barrar	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora
Boback	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Brown, R.	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Causar	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Charlton	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Murt	Staats
Cook	Hill	Nelson	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	Nesbit	Tallman
Cox	James	O'Neill	Tobash

Culver	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	Ortity	Toohil
Day	Kauffman	Peifer	Topper
DeLozier	Keefer	Pickett	Walsh
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pyle	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Quigley	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Everett	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reese	
Fee	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Masser	Roe	Speaker
Gillen	McGinnis	Rothman	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Members, just for your edification, on this bill we have 34 more amendments to go.

I wondered if any of the makers of the amendments can tell us if any of the others are withdrawn?

I do understand that these are withdrawn – correct me if I am wrong – amendment 6480 is withdrawn? Amendment 6480 by Representative Bradford? I am doing my best. Withdrawn.

Amendment 6692, Representative Bradford? Withdrawn.

Representative Krueger-Braneky, amendment 6694? Withdrawn.

Representative Mary Jo Daley, amendment 6817? Withdrawn.

Okay. We are going to continue to go through these. If there are any others that could be withdrawn, please let me know. That is all I had that were listed. I was hoping there might be a few more.

Amendment 6686, Representative Bradford offers that amendment. The clerk will read a summary of that amendment, 6686. Oh, I am sorry. Yep. That was defeated. I just wanted to remind everybody.

Amendment 6698, Representative Bradford? Amendment 6698 is withdrawn.

Amendment 6724, Representative Bradford? Withdrawn.

Representative Bradford, I have amendment 6688? Amendment 6688. Yep.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?



Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06688**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the "State Lottery Law."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Yes, you may proceed, Representative Bradford, on the amendment.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Under amendment 6688, under this current law proposed, section 5.3 "REGULATIONS DEEMED WITHDRAWN," this would protect regulations that have been promulgated under the State Lottery Law. I think there is universal agreement that the lottery as currently dealt with in a regulatory system has had tremendous benefit for older Pennsylvanians, and I do not think this is probably the target of the gentleday with her bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. I do not know why the Lottery Commission or the Department of Revenue would be able to promulgate regulations that are not explicitly authorized by statute.

So I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—73

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boback	Deasy	Hennessey	Neilson
Boyle	DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	Dermody	Kim	Quinn, M.
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Evans	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Frankel	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Freeman	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Gainey	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Galloway	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Goodman	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Haggerty	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Hanna	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.			

#### NAYS—102

Barrar	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mentzer	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Metzgar	Santora

Brown, R.	Harper	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Rader	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Mako	Reed	White
Farry	Maloney	Reese	Zimmerman
Fee	Marshall	Roae	
Fritz	Masser	Roe	Turzai,
Gillen	McGinnis	Rothman	Speaker

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **DALEY** offered the following amendment No. **A06689**:

Amend Bill, page 10, line 24, by striking out the period after "6(d)" and inserting

or to any regulation adopted and promulgated by an agency if the regulation was adopted and promulgated by the agency pursuant to its general and sole regulatory authority conveyed to it by an act of the General Assembly.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Daley is recognized.

Ms. **DALEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6689 makes a concurrent resolution not in order if the regulations adopted by an agency were adopted and promulgated pursuant to sole and general authority conveyed to the agency by an act of the General Assembly.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. On the amendment, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. There are many situations where the agencies delay implementation of important statutes by promulgating

unnecessary regulations that are not explicitly authorized by statute, and this bill would prevent that from happening.

I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

#### NAYS—104

Barrar	Gillespie	Mentzer	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	Metcalfe	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Metzgar	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Millard	Santora
Boback	Harper	Miller, B.	Saylor
Brown, R.	Heffley	Milne	Schemel
Causar	Helm	Moul	Simmons
Charlton	Hennessey	Murt	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Nelson	Staats
Cook	Hill	Nesbit	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	O'Neill	Tallman
Cox	James	Oberlander	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	Peifer	Toohil
Day	Keefer	Pickett	Topper
Delozier	Keller, F.	Pyle	Walsh
Diamond	Klunk	Quigley	Ward
Dowling	Knowles	Quinn, C.	Warner
Dunbar	Lawrence	Quinn, M.	Watson
Dush	Lewis	Rader	Wentling
Ellis	Mackenzie	Rapp	Wheeland
English	Mako	Reed	White
Everett	Maloney	Reese	Zimmerman
Farry	Marshall	Roae	
Fee	Masser	Roe	Turzai,
Fritz	McGinnis	Rothman	Speaker
Gillen	Mehaffie		

#### NOT VOTING—1

Kauffman

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evanovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

#### VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Representative Kauffman is recognized on unanimous consent on the last amendment.

Mr. KAUFFMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I meant to be recorded in the negative. My button malfunctioned.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. McCARTER offered the following amendment  
No. **A06691**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of November 6, 1987 (P.L.381, No.79), known as the "Older Adults Protective Services Act."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative McCarter is recognized.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, there are many areas that are important. However, there is one area for those of us who are of an older age that realize as people get older and so forth they need more protection than others, and that happens, and again, for many of us in terms of our elder years, when you get into health problems or whether you are in nursing homes or whether you are suffering from financial abuse, even being taken advantage of by your family members in many cases and the senior fraud that exists. This particular change, again, would exclude those areas dealing with the Older Adults Protective Services Act from new section 5.3.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. Again, agencies promulgating unnecessary regulations that are not explicitly in statute, this bill would prevent that from occurring.

I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—75

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Hennessey	Pashinski
Boback	Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca
Boyle	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.
Bradford	DeLuca	Kim	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Burns	Evans	Krueger	Roe
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Rozzi
Carroll	Flynn	Longietti	Sainato
Cephas	Frankel	Madden	Samuelson
Comitta	Freeman	Markosek	Schlossberg
Conklin	Gainey	Matzie	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Galloway	McCarter	Sims
Costa, P.	Goodman	McClinton	Solomon
Cruz	Haggerty	McNeill	Sturla
Daley	Hanna	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	Neilson	

## NAYS—99

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Saccone
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Santora
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Brown, R.	Harper	Metzgar	Schemel
Causar	Heffley	Millard	Simmons
Charlton	Helm	Miller, B.	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Cook	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	Murt	Tallman
Cox	James	Nelson	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Toohil
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Topper
DeLozier	Keefer	Ortitay	Walsh
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Rader	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Rapp	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Reed	White
Everett	Mako	Reese	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Roae	
Fee	Marshall	Rothman	Turzai,
Fritz	Masser	Ryan	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—1

Peifer

## EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06695**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of December 2, 1992 (P.L.741, No.113), known as the "Children's Health Care Act."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Comitta is recognized on the amendment.

Mrs. **COMITTA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment excludes regulations adopted and promulgated under the Children's Health Care Act from the new section 5.3, "REGULATIONS DEEMED WITHDRAWN."

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment as well. Again, we are trying to prevent agencies from promulgating unnecessary regulations that are not explicitly permitted through statute and this bill would prevent that from occurring.

I am asking for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—77

Bizzarro	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Boback	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, C.
Boyle	DeLuca	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Bradford	Dermody	Kirkland	Rabb
Briggs	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Krueger	Readshaw
Bullock	Evans	Kulik	Roe
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Rozzi
Carroll	Flynn	Madden	Sainato
Cephas	Frankel	Markosek	Samuelson
Charlton	Freeman	Matzie	Schlossberg
Comitta	Gainey	McCarter	Schweyer
Conklin	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Costa, D.	Goodman	McNeill	Solomon
Costa, P.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cruz	Hanna	Mullery	Sturla
Daley	Harkins	Murt	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Harris, J.	Neilson	White
Davis, T.	Kavulich	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dawkins			

## NAYS—96

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Metcalfe	Saylor

Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Christiana	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Corbin	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Cox	Hill	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Irvin	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	James	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toohil
Delozier	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Kauffman	Ortitay	Walsh
Dowling	Keefer	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Klunk	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Knowles	Rader	Wentling
English	Lawrence	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Lewis	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Mackenzie	Reese	
Fee	Mako	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Rothman	Speaker
Gillen	Marshall		

## NOT VOTING—2

Burns Peifer

## EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Maher	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative John Maher is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Comitta offers amendment 6695. Oh no, we just did that.

Amendment 6697, Representative Bradford; 6697. Representative Bradford, actually, the next 10 are yours: 6697, 6700, 6701, 6702, 6704, 6705, 6706, 6707, 6708, 6709, 6713, 6714, 6715, and 6716 are all yours, and all of them are good? To be offered, not good to be voted upon; that depends on the voter.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06697**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as "The Insurance Company Law of 1921."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, on the amendment.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think I mentioned this earlier, but often regulations are easy to take a shot at, but regulations like in the area that this amendment hopes to protect, 6697, are about consumer protection and protecting from insurance companies that would override the rights of patients and the insured. This amendment would make sure that those regulations are not deemed withdrawn.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this. Again, we are trying to stop agencies from promulgating unnecessary regulations without specific statute authority.

I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sacccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora



Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Godshall	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06700**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the "Tax Reform Code of 1971."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on the amendment.  
Withdrawn? 6700.

We did 6697, it was defeated, and the next one is 6700.

Representative Bradford, on 6700.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I previously mentioned, amendment 6700, again, would hope to bring some certainty in our Tax Code. This, obviously, is to protect both taxpayers and the Commonwealth as a whole.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Keefer, on amendment 6700.

Mrs. KEEFER. I would ask members for a "no" vote on this. This amendment eliminates an important provision of this bill. Again, we are trying to stop agencies from implementing or promulgating regulations not permitted by statute. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Readshaw
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sacccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—24

Barbin	Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Snyder
Corr	Fabrizio	Marsico	Taylor
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Thomas
Dean	Godshall	Mustio	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Kaufer	Roebuck	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford calls up amendment 6701. The clerk will read a summary of that amendment. Oh, it is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06702**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of June 27, 2006 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.1873, No.1), known as the "Taxpayer Relief Act."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

### THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (JOHN MAHER) PRESIDING

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
Amendment 670—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized on the amendment. You may proceed. You may proceed. Yes, indeed, you are recognized.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6702 provides taxpayers property tax relief under the Gaming Act. Again, I realize that some have a desire to target certain regulations, but if we are not careful, things like property tax payers will be adversely affected and this amendment would protect our gaming money to go to property tax relief here in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. Again, we are trying to keep agencies from promulgating regulations not authorized by statute. They get their powers from us. This bill would prevent this from happening, and this amendment is counterproductive.

I ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who asks that the gentleman, Mr. READSHAW, be placed on leave for the balance of the day. Without objection, that leave is granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—69

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harkins	Miller, D.
Boyle	Deasy	Harris, J.	Mullery
Bradford	DeLissio	Kavulich	Neilson
Briggs	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kim	Petrarca
Bullock	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Burns	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Caltagirone	Evans	Kortz	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Kulik	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Longietti	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Madden	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Markosek	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	Matzie	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McCarter	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McClinton	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	McNeill	Youngblood
Davis, T.			

### NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

### NOT VOTING—0

### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06704**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of February 14, 2008 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the "Right-to-Know Law."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, under the law of unintended consequences, these regulations are what secures the Right-to-Know Law in Pennsylvania and, again, protects transparency in government. For that reason I ask for your support for this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The purpose of this bill is to pull in and rein in the power of unelected, unaccountable bureaucrats by putting the power back in the hands of the General Assembly and getting us to oversee what the actual intent of the law is as opposed to some bureaucrat interpreting what our original intent was. This amendment specifically is counterproductive to the intent of this bill by not overseeing those regulators and not ensuring that those regulators are promulgating regulations that are permitted by statute.

I would ask again for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—70

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Roe
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg

Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gaine	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harkins		

#### NAYS—105

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Santora
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Saylor
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Cook	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Everett	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reed	
Fee	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Speaker
Gillen	Masser	Rothman	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

#### VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Peifer, who seeks recognition under unanimous consent. Without objection, you may proceed.

Mr. PEIFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On amendment 6695 my vote was not registered. I would like to be in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman, Representative Sturla, seek recognition?

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 111, amendment 6310, my button malfunctioned. I would like to be recorded in the positive.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread across the record.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06705**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 51 Pa.C.S. Pt. V (relating to employment preferences and pensions).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, under the law of unintended consequences, these regulations that would be withdrawn potentially would include veterans' preference and employment, civil service examinations, public works contracts, and the licensing and certification exemptions provided to veterans for military service, education training and service. I think, again, these are best left in regulation. I think they are serving our Commonwealth and our veterans well.

I would also say as a matter of commentary – and I very much like and respect the lady and I know what her purpose here is – but it seems as though some are very confident in this legislature to immediately take up passing legislation that will enact legislation that we have in the past and better to implement it than the statutes that are in place.

I would remind this body just as a matter of caution we have one constitutionally required bill that we must pass every year. It is called the budget. Our track record in that regard would leave some of these folks whose employment, veterans' benefits, and such that could be in peril, they may wisely realize that regulations may not be the best thing but there is not always a bad regulation, and we would be wise to look where we cut because, again, that law of unintended consequences.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlelady from York, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this amendment runs counter to the purpose of the bill. This would prevent— We are trying to prevent agencies from promulgating regulations not specifically authorized in the statute. So I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—76

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harper	Murt
Boback	Deasy	Harris, J.	Neilson
Boyle	DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	Dermody	Kim	Quinn, M.
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Evans	Kortz	Roe
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Flynn	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Frankel	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Freeman	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Gainey	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Galloway	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Gillen	McCartner	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	White
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

### NAYS—99

Barrar	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Benninghoff	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bernstine	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Bloom	Heffley	Metcalfe	Santora
Brown, R.	Helm	Metzgar	Saylor
Causar	Hennessey	Millard	Schemel
Charlton	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Simmons
Christiana	Hill	Milne	Sonney
Cook	Irvin	Moul	Staats
Corbin	James	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Kampf	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Keefer	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keller, F.	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Klunk	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Knowles	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Lawrence	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lewis	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Mackenzie	Rader	Wentling
English	Maher	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Maloney	Reese	
Fee	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Masser	Rothman	Speaker
Gillespie			

### NOT VOTING—0

### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.



On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06706**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 51 Pa.C.S. Pt. VI (relating to miscellaneous provisions).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the understanding of the Chair that the gentleman, Representative Bradford, wishes to withdraw this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06707**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the provisions of 23 Pa.C.S. (relating to domestic relations).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman withdrawing this amendment as well?

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Unfortunately not, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Well, unfortunately not, you may proceed.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

These regulations particularly protect Pennsylvania's most vulnerable population, our children, from things like child abuse and child neglect. Again, I realize the legislature, some view, is best positioned to write these regulations, but I think until such time it may make sense to keep these regulations in place to protect our children. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this bill is not repealing any regulation; it is just putting it back into the legislature. So any regulation that has a \$1 million impact or greater across the Commonwealth as determined by the IFO would have to receive a concurrent resolution in both the House and the Senate.

This amendment specifically is not necessary. It runs counter to the bill. I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—74

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Kavulich	Neilson
Boback	Deasy	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Petrarca
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Dermody	Kirkland	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Krueger	Roe
Burns	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	McCarter	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McNeill	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	Mullery	White
Davis, A.	Harkins	Murt	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harris, J.		

#### NAYS—101

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Metcalfe	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Culver	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Maher	Reed	
Fee	Mako	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Roae	Speaker
Gillen	Marshall	Rothman	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

### ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. HENNESSEY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is going to recognize the gentleman from Chester, Representative Hennessey, who has a very important point to raise under unanimous consent. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to take this opportunity to say I am pleased and proud to— Go ahead.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You would all be happier if you would give this gentleman your ear for just a moment.

Representative Hennessey, please proceed.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I know I look much too young to be making this kind of an announcement, but I am pleased and proud to tell the House that 9 days ago on April 21, my wife, Carol, and I became grandparents for the first time. Thank you. Our younger daughter, Elizabeth, gave birth to our grandson, Derek Connor Bamford. On the medical front, father, mother, and son are doing well; and the grandparents are all ecstatic. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Congratulations and thank you. And was I right?

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06708**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the provisions of 4 Pa.C.S. (relating to amusements).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Representative Bradford, is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment, 6708, again deals with the implementation of Pennsylvania's gaming law, in particular making sure that we have the most highest ethical standards among those operating and maintaining and operating our casinos.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this. Again, it runs counter to the intent of the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—69

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harkins	Miller, D.
Boyle	Deasy	Harris, J.	Mullery
Bradford	DeLissio	Kavulich	Neilson
Briggs	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kim	Petrarca
Bullock	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Burns	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Caltagirone	Evans	Kortz	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Kulik	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Longietti	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Madden	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Markosek	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	Matzie	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McCartner	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McClinton	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	McNeill	Youngblood
Davis, T.			

### NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causer	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
DeLozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker

### NOT VOTING—0

### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06711**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 30 (relating to human trafficking).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlelady, on the amendment. You may proceed.

Ms. KRUEGER. Mr. Speaker, this amendment excludes regulations adopted and promulgated under the human trafficking provisions of Title 18 for the new section 5.3, which are "REGULATIONS DEEMED WITHDRAWN."

It was not long ago that we stood on this House floor together in a bipartisan way to talk about the issue of human trafficking in Pennsylvania. This issue is too important for the victims and survivors to wait for the legislative calendar to come up and to address these regulations.

Right now the State Police, the Office of the Attorney General, and PCCD (Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency) have the ability to move forward in combating human trafficking in Pennsylvania, and if adopted without this amendment, this bill would prohibit that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer, on the amendment.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, given the fact that this bill is not taking away any regulations, all of those agencies may continue to operate as they currently do under the law. This amendment specifically runs counter to the intent of this bill, and I am asking for a "no" vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—82

Barrar	Davis, T.	Hennessey	Pashinski
Bizzarro	Dawkins	Kavulich	Petrarca
Boback	Deasy	Keller, W.	Quinn, C.
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, M.
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb
Briggs	Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Brown, R.	Donatucci	Kortz	Roe
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Krueger	Rozzi
Bullock	Evans	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Flynn	Madden	Santora
Carroll	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Charlton	Gainey	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Galloway	McClinton	Solomon
Conklin	Goodman	McNeill	Stephens
Costa, D.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Sturla
Costa, P.	Hanna	Mullery	Vazquez
Cruz	Harkins	Murt	White

Daley  
Davis, A.

Harper  
Harris, J.

Neilson

Youngblood

#### NAYS—93

Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Causser	Heffley	Metcalfe	Saylor
Christiana	Helm	Metzgar	Schemel
Cook	Hickernell	Millard	Simmons
Corbin	Hill	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cox	Irvin	Milne	Staats
Culver	James	Moul	Tallman
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nelson	Tobash
Day	Kampf	Nesbit	Toepel
DeLozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Toohil
Diamond	Keefer	Oberlander	Topper
Dowling	Keller, F.	Ortitay	Walsh
Dunbar	Klunk	Peifer	Ward
Dush	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Ellis	Lawrence	Pyle	Watson
English	Lewis	Quigley	Wentling
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Farry	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Mako	Reed	
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Roae	Speaker
Gillespie	Masser	Rothman	

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06713**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 55 Subch. B (relating to cruelty to animals).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the understanding of the Chair that Representative Bradford has had another moment of clarity and has withdrawn his amendment. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06714**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 32 (relating to abortion).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6714 talks about those regulations that are promulgated and how abortion procedures are performed here in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentledady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the members for a "no" vote on this. This amendment runs counter to the bill and it is not necessary.

So I would like a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—61

Bizzarro	Davis, T.	Haggerty	Miller, D.
Boyle	Dawkins	Harkins	Mullery
Bradford	DeLissio	Harris, J.	Neilson
Briggs	DeLuca	Kavulich	Pashinski
Brown, V.	Dermody	Keller, W.	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kim	Ravenstahl
Caltagirone	Driscoll	Kinsey	Rozzi
Carroll	Evans	Kirkland	Samuelson
Cephas	Fitzgerald	Kortz	Schlossberg
Comitta	Flynn	Krueger	Schweyer
Conklin	Frankel	Madden	Sims
Costa, D.	Freeman	Markosek	Solomon
Costa, P.	Gainey	McCarter	Sturla
Cruz	Galloway	McClinton	Vazquez
Daley	Goodman	McNeill	Youngblood
Davis, A.			

#### NAYS—114

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Roe
Benninghoff	Greiner	Matzie	Rothman
Bernstine	Grove	McGinnis	Ryan
Bloom	Hahn	Mehaffie	Saccone
Boback	Hanna	Mentzer	Sainato
Brown, R.	Harper	Metcalfe	Sankey
Burns	Heffley	Metzgar	Santora
Causar	Helm	Millard	Saylor
Charlton	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Schemel
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Simmons

Cook	Hill	Moul	Sonney
Corbin	Irvin	Murt	Staats
Cox	James	Nelson	Stephens
Culver	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tallman
Cutler	Kampf	O'Neill	Tobash
Day	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toepel
Deasy	Keefer	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keller, F.	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Klunk	Petrarca	Walsh
Dowling	Knowles	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Kulik	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, C.	Wentling
English	Longietti	Quinn, M.	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Rader	White
Farry	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Fee	Mako	Reed	
Fritz	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gillen	Marshall	Roae	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06715**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 55 Subch. B (relating to cruelty to animals).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford, on the amendment.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, under unintended consequences, these regulations are particularly for the protection of Pennsylvania's animals. And again, I do not think that the intent of these regulations was to go after this area of our law. Thank you so much.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentledady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. It runs counter to the intent of the bill, again, allowing agencies to act outside of what the statute specifically authorizes.

So I would ask members for a "no" vote on this. Thank you.



On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—75

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boback	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Quinn, C.
Bradford	DeLuca	Kinsey	Quinn, M.
Briggs	Dermody	Kirkland	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Krueger	Roe
Burns	Evans	Kulik	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Longietti	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Madden	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Markosek	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Matzie	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	McCarter	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	McClinton	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McNeill	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	Mullery	White
Davis, A.	Harkins	Neilson	Youngblood
Davis, T.	Harris, J.	O'Neill	

## NAYS—100

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Santora
Brown, R.	Harper	Metcalfe	Saylor
Causar	Heffley	Metzgar	Schemel
Charlton	Helm	Millard	Simmons
Christiana	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Sonney
Cook	Hickernell	Milne	Staats
Corbin	Hill	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Irvin	Murt	Tallman
Culver	James	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper
Diamond	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Dowling	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dunbar	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dush	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Ellis	Lawrence	Rader	Wentling
English	Lewis	Rapp	Wheeland
Everett	Mackenzie	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Maher	Reese	
Fee	Mako	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Maloney	Rothman	Speaker
Gillen	Marshall		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06716**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 57 (relating to wiretapping and electronic surveillance).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. **BRADFORD**. Thank you again, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment particularly protects those regulations that protect our civil liberties under the wiretapping and electronic surveillance provisions.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. **KEEFER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I would ask members for a "no" vote on this. It runs counter to the intent of the bill. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—72

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Murt
Boyle	Deasy	Kavulich	Neilson
Bradford	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Briggs	DeLuca	Kim	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Driscoll	Kortz	Roe
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Cephas	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Comitta	Frankel	Madden	Schlossberg
Conklin	Freeman	Markosek	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Gainey	Matzie	Sims
Costa, P.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Cruz	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Daley	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Davis, A.	Hanna	Miller, D.	White
Davis, T.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

## NAYS—103

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metcalfe	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Metzgar	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Simmons

Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Moul	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reed	
Fee	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—25

Barbin	Fabrizio	Marsico	Snyder
Corr	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davidson	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck	Wheatley
Evankovich			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **KRUEGER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06717**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Ch. 61 (relating to firearms and other dangerous articles).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentelady.

Ms. KRUEGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment excludes regulations adopted and promulgated under chapter 61 of Title 18 regarding firearms and other dangerous articles from new section 5.3, "REGULATIONS DEEMED WITHDRAWN."

Without this amendment, this bill would negatively impact the ability of the State Police and their ability to protect confidentiality of all records and data, including the data that protects our first responders. It prohibits their ability to enact new regulations around guns, bombs, and explosives.

We just finished 2 weeks of bipartisan hearings from the Judiciary Committee on commonsense gun safety regulations. Let us not impact our first responders by passing this bill without this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I am sorry. The Chair is trying to get organized here, and we will be at ease for just 30 seconds.

The Chair thanks the House for its patience and recognizes the gentleman from— Oh my gosh, it became busy up here.

## LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We have a request from the minority whip that Representative Tina DAVIS be placed on leave for the balance of the day. Without objection, that leave is granted.

We have also a request from the minority whip that Representative Ed NEILSON be placed on leave for the balance of the day. Without objection, that is granted.

The minority whip is recognized once again to request that the gentleman, Representative MATZIE, be placed on leave for the balance of the day. Without objection, that leave is also granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Representative Keefer, I am sorry for this long interruption, but on the question of the amendment, you are now in order.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment.

Again, this bill would not roll back any current regulations as they are written and implemented. This amendment is unnecessary, and I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—68

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harkins	Quinn, C.
Boback	Deasy	Harris, J.	Quinn, M.
Boyle	DeLissio	Kavulich	Rabb
Bradford	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl
Briggs	Dermody	Kim	Roe
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rozzi
Bullock	Driscoll	Kirkland	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Evans	Krueger	Santora
Carroll	Fitzgerald	Madden	Schlossberg
Cephas	Flynn	Markosek	Schweyer
Charlton	Frankel	McCarter	Sims
Comitta	Freeman	McClinton	Solomon
Conklin	Gainey	McNeill	Stephens
Costa, P.	Galloway	Miller, D.	Sturla
Cruz	Goodman	Mullery	Vazquez
Daley	Haggerty	Murt	White
Davis, A.	Hanna	Pashinski	Youngblood

## NAYS—104

Barrar	Gillespie	Maloney	Roae
Benninghoff	Greiner	Marshall	Rothman
Bernstine	Grove	Masser	Ryan
Bloom	Hahn	McGinnis	Saccone
Brown, R.	Harper	Mehaffie	Sainato
Burns	Heffley	Mentzer	Sankey
Causar	Helm	Metcalf	Saylor
Christiana	Hennessey	Metzgar	Schemel
Cook	Hickernell	Millard	Simmons
Corbin	Hill	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, D.	Irvin	Milne	Staats
Cox	James	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	O'Neill	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Ortitay	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Peifer	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Petrarca	Warner
Dush	Kortz	Pickett	Watson
Ellis	Kulik	Pyle	Wentling
English	Lawrence	Quigley	Wheeland
Everett	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Farry	Longietti	Rapp	
Fee	Mackenzie	Reed	Turzai,
Fritz	Maher	Reese	Speaker
Gillen	Mako		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—28

Barbin	Evankovich	Marsico	Roebuck
Corr	Fabrizio	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davis, T.	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Neilson	Vitali
DiGirolamo	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Readshaw	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06718**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of April 17, 2016 (P.L.84, No.16), known as the "Medical Marijuana Act."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 6718, again, hopes to secure those regulations that after this body in a bipartisan and rather historic way brought medical marijuana to the people of Pennsylvania has used to promulgate regulations, including those that have been

recommended by the Department of Health and the recommendations of the Medical Marijuana Advisory Board. Rather than taking a potential step backward, Pennsylvania should embrace these regulations.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and, on the question, recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. Again, we are not rolling back any current regulations. It is just having those regulations go through the General Assembly that have a \$1 million impact or greater on the Commonwealth.

Again I would ask members for a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who asks for a leave for the balance of the day for Representative Dan DEASY. Without objection, that leave is granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 1237 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—66

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	Dermody	Kim	Quinn, M.
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kinsey	Rabb
Bullock	Driscoll	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Burns	Evans	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Davis, A.	Harkins		

## NAYS—105

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Ryan
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalf	Santora
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Saylor
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Schemel
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Simmons
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Sonney
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Staats
Cook	Hill	Murt	Stephens
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Tallman
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tobash
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toohil
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Topper

Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Walsh
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Ward
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Warner
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Wentling
Ellis	Lewis	Rader	Wheeland
English	Mackenzie	Rapp	White
Everett	Maher	Reed	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reese	
Fee	Maloney	Roae	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roe	Speaker
Gillen	Masser	Rothman	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—29

Barbin	Evankovich	Marsico	Roebuck
Corr	Fabrizio	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davis, T.	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Neilson	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Readshaw	Wheatley
Emrick			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06719**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Another moment of clarity for Representative Bradford. God bless you. He has withdrawn his amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06720**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the provisions of 65 Pa.C.S. (relating to public officers).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker pro tempore.

I know there may be some confusion. There was actually a list provided to the Speaker where these two amendments were withdrawn. So if you would, Mr. Speaker, 6719 and 6720 were withdrawn, if you would take a look at that list.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Well, that is very encouraging, Representative Bradford, and I wish you now bon voyage. I think that concludes your amendments for this particular bill. But we shall auf wiedersehen, until we meet again.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06721**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McCarter, on the amendment.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

And again, I will be very brief on this. It obviously fits under the School Code that we would want to make sure that we have protected those particular promulgations that, regulations that have been carried out so that grandson or grandchild Bamford will be well taken care of when they enter the public school system.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and, on the question, recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. It is not necessary given the fact, again, that we are not pulling back any current regulations as they exist.

Again I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?



The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—68

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harris, J.	Murt
Boyle	DeLissio	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Briggs	Dermody	Kim	Rabb
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Bullock	Driscoll	Kirkland	Roe
Burns	Evans	Kortz	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Krueger	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Kulik	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Longietti	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Madden	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	Markosek	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	McCarter	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McClinton	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	McNeill	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	Miller, D.	White
Davis, A.	Harkins	Mullery	Youngblood

## NAYS—103

Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	McGinnis	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mehaffie	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mentzer	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metcalfe	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Metzgar	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Millard	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Milne	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Moul	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reed	
Fee	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—29

Barbin	Evankovich	Marsico	Roebuck
Corr	Fabrizio	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davis, T.	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Neilson	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Readshaw	Wheatley
Emrick			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McCARTER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06722**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 8, by inserting before "A"

(a)

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any regulation adopted and promulgated pursuant to the provisions of 3 Pa.C.S. (relating to agriculture).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Representative McCarter.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you again, Mr. Speaker.

And again, this one simply again excludes regulations adopted and promulgated under the agriculture section of Title 3.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady from York County, Representative Keefer.

Mrs. KEEFER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask members for a "no" vote on this amendment. It is not necessary.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—65

Bizzarro	Dawkins	Harkins	Miller, D.
Boyle	DeLissio	Harris, J.	Mullery
Bradford	DeLuca	Kavulich	Pashinski
Briggs	Dermody	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kim	Rabb
Bullock	Driscoll	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Burns	Evans	Kirkland	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Kortz	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Krueger	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Kulik	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Longietti	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	Madden	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	Markosek	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McCarter	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	McClinton	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	McNeill	Youngblood
Davis, A.			

## NAYS—106

Barrar	Gillespie	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sankey
Boback	Harper	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Heffley	Millard	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Murt	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	James	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	Ortitay	Toohil

Delozier	Keefer	Peifer	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	White
Farry	Mako	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Maloney	Reese	
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roae	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—29

Barbin	Evankovich	Marsico	Roebuck
Corr	Fabrizio	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Gabler	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davis, T.	Godshall	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Harris, A.	Neilson	Vitali
Deasy	Kaufer	O'Brien	Warren
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Readshaw	Wheatley
Emrick			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to advise Representative Daley that A06726 is out of order because the amendments it would combine have all been defeated and it violates our rule about bringing up the question a second time.

The Chair recognizes Representative Carroll, who calls up amendment A06834. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Representative Carroll, who has withdrawn that amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

### THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI) PRESIDING

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 209, PN 3162**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 25, 1982 (P.L.633, No.181), entitled "An act providing for independent oversight and review of regulations, creating an Independent Regulatory Review Commission, providing for its powers and duties and making repeals," further providing for definitions and for existing regulations; and establishing the Independent Office of the Repealer and providing for its power and duties.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Warren is on the House floor. Without objection, he will be placed back on the master roll.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Representative BRIGGS has requested to be placed on leave. Without objection, that will be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Okay. I want to just make sure I know which amendments have been withdrawn. I think I do. Amendments 6742, 6128, 6129, 6130, 6525, 6528, 6530, 6532, 6537, 6543, 6550, 6587, 6819, 6821, 6823, 6612, 6629, 6599, 6627, 6860 have all been withdrawn. If I am mistaken on any of those, please correct, but that is what I was given by Democratic leadership staff. Those amendments were withdrawn.

I will begin to now call up the amendments that are in order and being offered. We will begin with 6746, Representative Comitta on the amendment. Of course the maker of the bill is able to – after the maker of the amendment speaks, the maker of the bill will speak and is able to talk about the underlying bill with respect to the relevance or meaning of the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06746**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 4, by inserting after "**REPEAL**,"  
Regulations promulgated pursuant to the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, may not be identified for repeal.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, we are going to need maybe a little bit more clarification than just that it is, quote, unquote, "exempt."

On the amendment, 6746 – exempt from what, is probably the question – but, Representative Comitta, on 6746.

Mrs. COMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This would make the State Lottery Law not under the purview of the repealer.

The SPEAKER. Representative Phillips-Hill, if you could on the amendment and provide us some context.

Mrs. HILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HB 209 establishes the Independent Office of the Repealer to undertake an ongoing review of existing regulations, receive and process recommendations, and make recommendations to the General Assembly, the Governor, and executive agencies for repeal.

Additional provisions of this legislation would both establish a moratorium on new regulatory burdens and create a process for sunseting existing regulations by placing a cap on the

number of regulations and requiring the repeal of existing regulations when promulgating new ones.

Mr. Speaker, Pennsylvania currently has more than 153,000 regulatory restrictions that stretch across every State agency, industry, local government, individuals, all within this Commonwealth, and I would respectfully request that my colleagues vote "no" on this amendment. It is not necessary.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Marsico is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll, without objection.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—65

Bizzarro	DeLissio	Harris, J.	Mullery
Boyle	DeLuca	Kavulich	Pashinski
Bradford	Dermody	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Kim	Rabb
Bullock	Driscoll	Kinsey	Ravenstahl
Burns	Evans	Kirkland	Rozzi
Caltagirone	Fitzgerald	Kortz	Sainato
Carroll	Flynn	Krueger	Samuelson
Cephas	Frankel	Kulik	Schlossberg
Comitta	Freeman	Longietti	Schweyer
Conklin	Gainey	Madden	Sims
Costa, D.	Galloway	Markosek	Solomon
Costa, P.	Goodman	McCarter	Sturla
Cruz	Haggerty	McClinton	Vazquez
Daley	Hanna	McNeill	Warren
Davis, A.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Youngblood
Dawkins			

#### NAYS—107

Barrar	Greiner	McGinnis	Rothman
Benninghoff	Grove	Mehaffie	Ryan
Bernstine	Hahn	Mentzer	Saccone
Bloom	Harper	Metcalf	Sankey
Boback	Heffley	Metzgar	Santora
Brown, R.	Helm	Millard	Saylor
Causser	Hennessey	Miller, B.	Schemel
Charlton	Hickernell	Milne	Simmons
Christiana	Hill	Moul	Sonney
Cook	Irvin	Murt	Staats
Corbin	James	Nelson	Stephens
Cox	Jozwiak	Nesbit	Tallman
Culver	Kampf	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Keefer	Ortitay	Toohil
Delozier	Keller, F.	Peifer	Topper

Diamond	Klunk	Pickett	Walsh
Dowling	Knowles	Pyle	Ward
Dunbar	Lawrence	Quigley	Warner
Dush	Lewis	Quinn, C.	Watson
Ellis	Mackenzie	Quinn, M.	Wentling
English	Maher	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Mako	Rapp	White
Farry	Maloney	Reed	Zimmerman
Fee	Marshall	Reese	
Fritz	Marsico	Roae	Turzai,
Gillen	Masser	Roe	Speaker
Gillespie			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—28

Barbin	DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw
Briggs	Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck
Corr	Evankovich	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Taylor
Davis, T.	Gabler	Mustio	Thomas
Dean	Godshall	Neilson	Vitali
Deasy	Harris, A.	O'Brien	Wheatley

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **COMITTA** offered the following amendment  
No. **A06750**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 4, by inserting after "**REPEAL**,"  
Regulations promulgated pursuant to the act of November 6, 1987 (P.L.381, No.79), known as the "Older Adults Protective Services Act," may not be identified for repeal.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Again, Representative Comitta, on the amendment.

Mrs. COMITTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment says that regulations promulgated pursuant to the following shall not be identified for repeal: Older Adults Protective Services Act shall not be identified for repeal.

The SPEAKER. Representative Phillips-Hill.

Mrs. HILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, as I previously stated, there are over 153,000 regulatory restrictions in the Pennsylvania Code. This topic should be considered to ensure the use of best practices. Therefore, I request a "no" vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Representative Taylor is on the House floor. Without objection, he will be placed back on the master roll.

## CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—74

Bizzarro	DeLuca	Kim	Quinn, M.
Boback	Dermody	Kinsey	Rabb
Boyle	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Driscoll	Kortz	Roe
Brown, V.	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Kulik	Sainato
Burns	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Frankel	Madden	Santora
Carroll	Freeman	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Gainey	McCarter	Schweyer
Comitta	Galloway	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Goodman	McNeill	Solomon
Costa, D.	Haggerty	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Hanna	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Harkins	Murt	Vazquez
Daley	Harper	Pashinski	Warren
Davis, A.	Harris, J.	Petrarca	White
Dawkins	Kavulich	Quinn, C.	Youngblood
DeLissio	Keller, W.		

## NAYS—99

Barrar	Gillespie	Marsico	Rothman
Benninghoff	Greiner	Masser	Ryan
Bernstine	Grove	McGinnis	Saccone
Bloom	Hahn	Mehaffie	Sankey
Brown, R.	Heffley	Mentzer	Saylor
Causar	Helm	Metcalfe	Schemel
Charlton	Hennessey	Metzgar	Simmons
Christiana	Hickernell	Millard	Sonney
Cook	Hill	Miller, B.	Staats
Corbin	Irvin	Milne	Tallman
Cox	James	Moul	Taylor
Culver	Jozwiak	Nelson	Tobash
Cutler	Kampf	Nesbit	Toepel
Day	Kauffman	O'Neill	Toohil
Delozier	Keefer	Oberlander	Topper
Diamond	Keller, F.	Ortitay	Walsh
Dowling	Klunk	Peifer	Ward
Dunbar	Knowles	Pickett	Warner
Dush	Lawrence	Pyle	Watson
Ellis	Lewis	Quigley	Wentling
English	Mackenzie	Rader	Wheeland
Everett	Maher	Rapp	Zimmerman
Farry	Mako	Reed	
Fee	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Fritz	Marshall	Roae	Speaker
Gillen			

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—27

Barbin	DiGirolamo	Kaufer	Readshaw
Briggs	Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Roebuck
Corr	Evankovich	Matzie	Snyder
Davidson	Fabrizio	Miccarelli	Thomas
Davis, T.	Gabler	Mustio	Vitali
Dean	Godshall	Neilson	Wheatley
Deasy	Harris, A.	O'Brien	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Phillips-Hill.

Mrs. HILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind my colleagues that there is nothing in this legislation that says any particular regulation must be repealed.

Mr. DERMODY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Oh, my apologies.

Mr. DERMODY. There is nothing on the board, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Yes. My apologies. Amendment 6754. Representative Phillips-Hill, that is my mistake. Please wait until after we do the next amendment, 6754.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A06754**:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 4, by inserting after "**REPEAL**,"  
Regulations promulgated pursuant to the act of December 2, 1992 (P.L.741, No.113), known as the "Children's Health Care Act," may not be identified for repeal.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the maker of that amendment is Representative Bradford. You may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you.

I was getting worried when the gentelady already knew why to be against my amendment before I offered it.

Real quick, I am offering amendment 6754 in a similar vein that the other amendments were offered. I believe the particular problem is much larger than any one area that we hoped to protect, as this amendment does. But we heard previously that the legislative body should take ownership of regulations, but now we are going to have an unelected repealer who is going to be the terminator of such regulations, and I do not know if there is a level of responsiveness or responsibility that such a caretaker has when your job is justifying regulations to repeal. I think that probably is not the best way to handle them.

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. BRADFORD. But here is my good news for the gentelady because she asked earlier. At this point we are going to withdraw all of our amendments and move onward with this, and we know that you have been listening to all these options to make this bill better and we look forward to that opportunity to make your bill better in the Senate. Thank you.



The SPEAKER. Representative Phillips-Hill.

Mrs. HILL. Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER. She is responding.

Mrs. HILL. Yes. I would like to thank the gentleman from Montgomery County, and I look forward to continuing this conversation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. My understanding is, there are no amendments being offered. All amendments have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

### REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. Representative Tom Murt has two resolutions I think that he wishes to speak on. Sir, if you will come up to the podium up front. Representative Murt, you can come down to the front.

You may proceed, sir.

Mr. MURT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to submit these remarks for the record if that is acceptable?

The SPEAKER. Yes, that will be acceptable.

Mr. MURT. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Mr. MURT submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

I want to thank all my colleagues for supporting my resolution acknowledging a genocide that is too often forgotten.

Between 1915 and 1923, the Turkish Ottoman Empire perpetrated genocide on 1.5 million men, women, and children of Armenian descent in the attempt to eliminate all traces of the 3,000-year-old Armenian culture. In April 1915 the Ottoman government embarked upon the systematic decimation of its civilian Armenian population. The persecutions continued with varying intensity until 1923 when the Ottoman Empire ceased to exist and was replaced by the Republic of Turkey.

The Armenian population of the Ottoman state was reported at about 2 million in 1915. An estimated 1 million had perished by 1918, while hundreds of thousands had become homeless and stateless refugees. By 1923 virtually the entire Armenian population of Anatolian Turkey had disappeared. With their disappearance, an ancient people, which had inhabited the Armenian highlands for 3,000 years, lost its historic homeland and was forced into exile. The surviving refugees spread around the world and eventually settled in more than two dozen countries around the world.

Since the genocide, the Turkish Republic denied the charge of genocide and deportation had constituted part of a deliberate plan to exterminate the Armenians. This denial remains in many parts of the world. But we can make a difference. We can speak up. We must speak up.

\* \* \*

I want to thank my colleagues for passing HR 198 to declare April 30 through May 7 "Childhood Mental Health Awareness Week" in Pennsylvania. In doing so, our Commonwealth will join the national campaign to focus on the importance of integrating behavioral health and primary care for children and young adults with mental or substance use disorders.

Research shows that half of all lifetime cases of mental illness begin by age 14. We also know that changes in the body leading to mental illness may start much earlier, long before the symptoms appear. Through greater understanding of when and how fast specific areas of a child's brain develops, we will learn more about the early stages of a wide range of mental illnesses that appear later in life.

In addition, if we can help young children and their parents better manage difficulties early in life, we may be able to prevent the development of disorders. The challenge is that while we know how to treat many disorders, too many children with mental illnesses are not getting treatment. Through Childhood Mental Health Awareness Week, communities across our Commonwealth will host programs and activities to focus on the social, emotional, behavioral, and physical health needs of children. In doing so, we will be able to rescue children from a lifetime of mental illness.

### BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 111;  
HB 209;  
HB 1237;  
HB 1659;  
HB 1782;  
HB 1792;  
HB 1800;  
HB 1959;  
HB 1960; and  
HB 1997.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 1642;  
HB 1843;  
HB 1887;  
HB 1888;  
HB 1889;  
HB 2154; and  
HB 2213.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION**

Mr. REED called up **HR 83, PN 433**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to study vote-recording systems for the House of Representatives.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

**RESOLUTION TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 83 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 83 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER . Representative Harry Lewis moves that the House be adjourned until Tuesday, May 1, 2018, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 7:46 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.