

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

THURSDAY, JUNE 23, 2016

SESSION OF 2016

200TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 43

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)  
PRESIDING**

#### PRAYER

HON. PETER J. DALEY, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let us pray:

Thank You, thank You, thank You, God, for giving us a remarkable opportunity, all of us, to serve our fellow Pennsylvanians in such a wonderful and beautiful place and for giving us all the opportunity to give of our time and our talents to make this State a better place for all of God's children.

We ask that, Lord, You come into our lives and come into this place to be able to break open this place with Your love. Come into this world and remove the violence in our hearts. Take the weapons from our hands and open our arms to embrace one another; take the malice in our hearts and replace it with Your unconditional, undying love; take the fear from our minds and replace it with Your assurance that Your presence is always with all of us. Restore the bridges that sometimes fall down to help us join back together on all of those things that we have to be concerned about today.

Come, Lord, come into our lives.

In Your name we pray. And all of God's children said, "Amen."

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, June 22, 2016, will be postponed until printed.

### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

**HB 2028, PN 3608** (Amended) By Rep. BAKER

An Act providing for outpatient psychiatric oversight.

HEALTH.

**HB 2211, PN 3606** By Rep. BAKER

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Human Services Code, in public assistance, further providing for medical assistance payments for institutional care; in children and youth, further providing for provider submissions; in nursing facility assessments, further providing for definitions and for time periods; in intermediate care facilities for persons with an intellectual disability assessments, further providing for definitions and for time periods; in hospital assessments, further providing for definitions and for time period; in departmental powers and duties as to licensing, further providing for regulations; establishing the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Program; providing for the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Fund; abrogating a related regulation; and making a related repeal.

HEALTH.

### BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**SB 514, PN 1970** (Amended) By Rep. BAKER

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1976 (P.L.1163, No.259), referred to as the Generic Equivalent Drug Law, further providing for definitions, for substitutions, for posting requirements, for powers and duties of Department of Health and for immunity of pharmacists under certain circumstances.

HEALTH.

### SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 602, PN 716**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**HB 602, PN 716**

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Pennsylvania National Guard, further providing for the pay of officers and enlisted personnel in active State service.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**HOUSE BILLS  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 2121** By Representatives SONNEY, V. BROWN, DEASY, FARRY, GIBBONS, HAHN, HARKINS, PHILLIPS-HILL, JAMES, MAHER, MILLARD, MUSTIO, RAVENSTAHL and TAYLOR

An Act providing for the registration of interior designers; imposing powers and duties on the Bureau of Consumer Protection; and imposing penalties.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2194** By Representatives PAYNE, D. COSTA, GINGRICH, PHILLIPS-HILL, KOTIK, LAWRENCE, MAHONEY, MUSTIO, ORTITAY, READSHAW and WARD

An Act amending the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, in State Lottery, further providing for definitions and for disposition of funds and providing for a compulsive and problem gambling program.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2210** By Representatives DIAMOND, CAUSER, EVERETT, HELM, MAHER, MILLARD, NELSON, ORTITAY, D. PARKER, RADER, SAYLOR, WARD and ZIMMERMAN

A Joint Resolution proposing integrated amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, in the Judiciary, further providing for the Supreme Court, the Superior Court, the Commonwealth Court and for judicial districts and boundaries.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2213** By Representatives MUSTIO, D. COSTA, WHEATLEY, HARHART, READSHAW, BOYLE, BRADFORD, FRANKEL, GAINEY, GIBBONS, LAWRENCE, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHWEYER, DRISCOLL, V. BROWN, STURLA, YOUNGBLOOD, RAVENSTAHL, DEASY, PHILLIPS-HILL and NEUMAN

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in neighborhood assistance tax credit, further providing for tax credit.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2214** By Representatives KAUFER, TAYLOR, DAVIS, HARHAI, ORTITAY, TOOHIL, READSHAW, HARHART, KORTZ, BENNINGHOFF, KNOWLES, RADER, WATSON, GIBBONS, MURT, HEFFLEY, BAKER, BLOOM, D. PARKER, MASSER, V. BROWN, SAYLOR, BOBACK, DEAN, STAATS, CUTLER and PHILLIPS-HILL

An Act amending the act of July 19, 1979 (P.L.130, No.48), known as the Health Care Facilities Act, in licensing of health care facilities, providing for Emergency Drug and Alcohol Detoxification Program.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2215** By Representatives METZGAR, BARBIN, BLOOM, CUTLER, DIAMOND, GABLER, GERGELY, GIBBONS, PHILLIPS-HILL, JAMES, KOTIK, LONGIETTI, MAHONEY, MAJOR, MASSER, NELSON, PYLE, SANKEY, SAYLOR, TOPPER, WARD and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in size, weight and load, further providing for maximum gross weight of vehicles.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 23, 2016.

**No. 2216** By Representatives BARBIN, SAINATO, D. COSTA, MASSER, MILLARD and A. HARRIS

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), known as the Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in games of chance, providing for Texas Hold'em card tournaments.

Referred to Committee on GAMING OVERSIGHT, June 23, 2016.

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The majority whip requests leaves of absence for the following members: Representative Tarah TOOHIL of Luzerne County for the day, Representative Chris ROSS of Chester County for the day, Representative Jerry KNOWLES of Schuylkill County for the day, Representative Carl METZGAR of Somerset County for the day, and Representative Mike VEREB of Montgomery County for the day. Without objection, those requests will be granted.

The minority whip requests leaves of absence for the following members: Representative Tony DeLUCA of Allegheny County for the day, Representative Mark COHEN of Philadelphia County for the day, and Representative Daniel McNEILL of Lehigh County for the day. Without objection, those requests will be granted.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER. We will proceed to the master roll call for a vote. Members, please proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

**PRESENT—194**

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Artis	Everett	Krueger	Readshaw
Baker	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reed
Barbin	Farina	Lewis	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Longietti	Regan
Benninghoff	Fee	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Savage
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schweyer
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Simmons
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sims
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sonney
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Staats
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Stephens
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Tobash
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Toepel
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Topper
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Truitt
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ward
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Dermody	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	White
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	Youngblood
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Zimmerman
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	Turzai,
English	Klunk	Rader	Speaker

**ADDITIONS—0**

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—8**

Cohen	Knowles	Metzgar	Toohil
DeLuca	McNeill	Ross	Vereb

**LEAVES ADDED—6**

Acosta	Schlossberg	Thomas	Ward
Bullock	Taylor		

**LEAVES CANCELED—2**

Ross	Schlossberg		
------	-------------	--	--

The SPEAKER. One hundred and ninety-four members having voted on the master roll, a quorum is present.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. We are going to introduce some of our guests today, and we have a championship team with us as well. All members, please take your seats.

Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Aaron Rosengarten. He is a senior at West Chester University and is interning with Representative Emrick. Please stand. Great to have you here today. Thank you for being with us, Aaron.

Representative Hahn welcomes guest Vanessa Amrick, and she is here with her family, Phyllis, Chris, Rebecca, Christina, and Matthew. Thank you so much. She is the winner of Representative Hahn's "There Ought To Be a Law" Contest. Congratulations, and thanks for being here today.

Representative Kerry Benninghoff is invited to the rostrum, and Representative Hanna will be joining him. This is for the purpose of presenting a citation to one of our State championship teams. So members, please, I would like everybody to take their seats before we proceed. All members, please take your seats.

Our good friend and colleague, Kerry Benninghoff, will be speaking, so I would like everybody to please take their seats.

**BELLEFONTE AREA HIGH SCHOOL  
BOYS BASEBALL TEAM PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Benninghoff, the floor is yours, sir.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am joined here by Representative Hanna, who also represents parts of Centre County. It is a proud moment for us. As we come to the dwindling times of getting our budget and some other things done, it is always fun to recognize members from our community.

I have the outstanding luxury of introducing to you the first State championship baseball team from Bellefonte, Pennsylvania. Those who know me well know that baseball is probably one of the things I like to talk about most and I can make a metaphor from baseball on about any topic. But when you watch this game, particularly in the season this team has gone through, it only codifies that more in my mind.

One of the things I like about young athletes is their drive and their desire, not only just to get to that championship, but to work together and to be a team. This team – under some great leadership of our coaches, Steve Launse, Pat Masullo, Devin Alterio, Cory Cunningham, and Tyler James – had one of those Cinderella seasons. When you start off 1 and 7, it is kind of hard to keep people's excitement, but that is the beauty about young sports, especially young boys baseball, because they just keep playing and playing hard. This team made some adjustments, which is a great lesson for life, and you do that in relationships and you do that in work. This team, seeded fifth, ends up taking on team after team and winning a State championship for the first time in their history. That did not happen by accident; that happened by dedication and hard work, both offensively and defensively, and strategically by the coaches.

That other man on the field was the families and the supporters out there. We were very blessed to have a tremendous crowd at many of the games, and those families and those friends in the community of Bellefonte and the surrounding areas cheered aggressively and loudly for a team that was unbelievable both offensively and defensively. Twice in that championship game – both times bases were loaded – our pitcher, Dom Masullo, continued to persevere through that. The coaches showed tremendous faith in him and let him stick it out and stay in there, and he had a backdrop crowd of defensive players that took him from one inning to the next, only to persevere, as I said, to a State championship shutout of 2-0, and that is really tremendous at this age.

I want to introduce, behind me, Kyler Mellott, Garrett Reiter, Mark Armstrong, Storm Smith, and Darren Heeman. Ironically, one of the coaches, Mr. Masullo, was on the 1977 team that went to States, but it took his young son to teach his father the trick of how to get that golden crown.

Without further ado, I introduce the Bellefonte team, and my colleague, Mike Hanna, to say few words. I just want to personally congratulate them.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, who I believe was also there that day with that championship team. Congratulations, Bellefonte – to the community, the team, and the players. We are very, very proud of you. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Representative Mike Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Representative Benninghoff.

I just want to join Representative Benninghoff in congratulating this outstanding team. It really was miraculous to watch them, because they just got better and better all season, and by the time they got to the playoffs, they were literally unstoppable. I just was so impressed with the growth in this team over the course of the year. They did a great job and we really congratulate them on an outstanding season and their first of what we expect to be many State championships. Congratulations.

The SPEAKER. Congratulations, young men. Outstanding victory.

Now, the rest of that Bellefonte Area High School Red Raider championship team, stand up in the back. It is great to have you here. Thank you so much to all of you.

## GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Members, I have a special guest here today. My son, age 13, going into eighth grade, Matthew Turzai, is here. Matt, will you stand up. He is with one of my district aides, Ross Cortese, who was a pretty good baseball player and a pretty good coach himself. Good to see you here, Ross.

## CALENDAR

### RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. ROEBUCK called up **HR 942, PN 3577**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the legacy of Richard "Dick" Anthony Allen as a standout baseball player and for his contributions to the Philadelphia Phillies and calling for the voters on the veterans

committee to elect Mr. Allen to the National Baseball Hall of Fame in 2017.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Representative Roebuck and Representative Murt.

Representative Roebuck, the floor is yours, sir.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want to urge my colleagues to support this resolution which honors one of the outstanding Major League Baseball players, certainly one of the most outstanding baseball players in the history of the Philadelphia Phillies organization.

I grew up with Richie Allen, as he was called then – Dick Allen, as he became. He was a great role model, a great player, one who demonstrated an ability to use the skills given to him to produce great results – brought the Phillies actually very close to winning the National League pennant in 1964. But beyond that, he had a remarkable capacity for hitting home runs and for being a skilled player and for actually giving back to the communities.

I would hope that all my colleagues would join together in supporting this resolution, and in doing that, also hopefully convince those who make the decision that he be admitted to the Hall of Fame.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Roebuck. I remember having Dick Allen's baseball card as a youth myself. A star player.

Representative Murt, on the resolution, sir.

Mr. MURT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would concur with the remarks of Representative Roebuck. There is no debate over the athleticism and the accomplishments of Dick Allen and his many years of playing in the major leagues.

Many of us that grew up watching Dick Allen play were somewhat aware of the fact that he came of age through the minor leagues at a time when racial relations were not what they should be, and he was exposed to a great deal of discrimination when he was playing in the minor leagues.

We also did not mention the fact that Dick Allen is a native of Wampum, Pennsylvania, Representative Gibbons' district, and he is a native Pennsylvanian.

And I was mentioning that he did come of age, his first assignment as a professional ballplayer was in Little Rock, Arkansas, in the early sixties. Little Rock was still a segregated community and he was subjected to a great deal of humiliation and discrimination in that time.

So I would concur with the remarks of Representative Roebuck, and I would encourage all of our members to vote in the affirmative on this resolution.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. Representative Curtis THOMAS has requested to be placed on leave for the remainder of the day. Without objection, that will be granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF HR 942 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—193**

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Artis	Everett	Krueger	Readshaw
Baker	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reed
Barbin	Farina	Lewis	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Longietti	Regan
Benninghoff	Fee	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Savage
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schweyer
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Simmons
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sims
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sonney
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Staats
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Stephens
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tobash
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Toepel
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Topper
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Truitt
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Vitali
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Ward
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Warner
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Watson
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Wentling
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Pyle	
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Turzai,
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	Speaker
English	Klunk	Rader	

**NAYS—0**

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—9**

Cohen	McNeill	Ross	Toohil
DeLuca	Metzgar	Thomas	Vereb
Knowles			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

**UNCONTESTED CALENDAR**

**RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35**

Mr. SAYLOR called up **HR 947, PN 3592**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the month of September 2016 as "Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—193**

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Artis	Everett	Krueger	Readshaw
Baker	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reed
Barbin	Farina	Lewis	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Longietti	Regan
Benninghoff	Fee	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Savage
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schweyer
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Simmons
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sims
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sonney
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Staats
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Stephens
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tobash
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Toepel
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Topper
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Truitt
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Vitali
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Ward
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Warner
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Watson
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Wentling
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Wheatley

DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Pyle	
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Turzai,
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	Speaker
English	Klunk	Rader	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-9

Cohen	McNeill	Ross	Toohil
DeLuca	Metzgar	Thomas	Veréb
Knowles			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1698, PN 3532**, entitled:

An Act providing for coverage requirements by an insurance carrier or health insurance plan for abuse-deterrent opioid analgesic drug products.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Acosta	Evans	Kortz	Rader
Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bizzarro	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Samuelson
Bullock	Gillen	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Santarsiero

Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Saylor
Causser	Goodman	McGinnis	Schemel
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Conklin	Grove	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Corbin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Hanna	Millard	Simmons
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sims
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cruz	Harkins	Milne	Sonney
Culver	Harper	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mullery	Stephens
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Murt	Sturla
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Davidson	Helm	Neilson	Taylor
Davis	Hennessey	Nelson	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Nesbit	Toepel
Dean	Hill	Neuman	Topper
Deasy	Irvin	O'Brien	Truitt
DeLissio	James	O'Neill	Vitali
Delozier	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Ward
Dermody	Kampf	Ortitay	Warner
Diamond	Kaufner	Parker, D.	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Pashinski	Wentling
Donatucci	Kavulich	Payne	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	White
Dush	Keller, W.	Petri	Youngblood
Ellis	Kim	Pickett	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kinsey	Pyle	
English	Kirkland	Quigley	Turzai,
Evankovich	Klunk	Quinn	Speaker

NAYS-3

Brown, V.	Dawkins	Savage
-----------	---------	--------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-9

Cohen	McNeill	Ross	Toohil
DeLuca	Metzgar	Thomas	Veréb
Knowles			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1699, PN 3587**, entitled:

An Act providing for limitations on the dispensing of opioid drug products in hospital emergency departments and urgent care centers and for duties of the Department of Health; and imposing a penalty.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I absolutely appreciate the spirit in which this particular bill is offered. It is well documented that opioid drug abuse is in Pennsylvania and affects all of our citizens. However, whenever the legislature attempts to legislate how licensed health-care providers practice, even with the amendments in this bill, I think that is a very dangerous precedent. I think it is bad form. On behalf of my constituents, I would not want to be personally in that emergency room or have my constituents in that emergency room with a health-care provider having to say, "Gee, I wish I could do this, but the Pennsylvania State Legislature passed this bill and tied my hands."

There are way too many extenuating circumstances that are out there. They have the appropriate training and the appropriate guidelines to write prescriptions as necessary. And again, even though the amendments created a situation whereby a couple of the stakeholders have agreed to be neutral, in my opinion, it is just bad form, and I will be a "no" vote on this, although I do appreciate the sentiment of the maker of the bill.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Representative Mary Jo Daley.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to add my voice to what my colleague just expressed, that I firmly appreciate the idea behind the bill. With the opioid and heroin addictions rampaging through the State and through the country, I do understand the concerns. But I am very concerned when as a legislature we attempt to put ourselves between any doctor and their patient. I have been consistent in that kind of vote in my tenure here at the House, and I see this bill as that type of bill. It concerns me because here in this legislature we can never know every situation of a patient going into an emergency room. We do not have enough information to be able to evaluate those situations in the same way that the doctor does. And I recognize that the emergency room doctors have agreed to be neutral on this bill based on the amendments that were put in on it, but I still believe that we have to seriously consider that we do not have the education, expertise, or the presence in the situation to be able to legislate the doctor-patient relationship.

So I will be a "no" on this vote, and I urge my colleagues to consider what kind of statement we are making when we believe that we can actually legislate this.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Representative Rosemary Brown.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I thank my colleagues for their comments; however, I think there are some very important points that have to be remembered here. As you all know, yesterday there was an amendment put on this bill that I think offers some very strong flexibility for the emergency room physicians. It does give an exception for palliative care for cancer patients, and also for acute pain, and acute pain is often not treated any longer than 3 days with an opioid medication. And this is really simply putting into law the guidelines that are already there for emergency room physicians. And when you look at emergency rooms, they are really not the place that we want to see opioids being going out as strongly as they are.

And there are just a few little stats I wanted to put: 2014 study, from 2001 to 2010 the percentage which opioids were prescribed jumped 10 percent. This bill is strictly trying to foster relationships of referrals and really trying to improve patient care. So I thank the members for their support, and I believe this will truly help our emergency room physicians give better care and really protect our patients from future opioid addiction.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I join my Democratic colleagues here in reluctantly opposing this piece of legislation. I appreciate the prime sponsor's concern and intent here. And look, I think we have all been overwhelmed by what has taken place in this State with respect to opioid abuse, and I have worked collaboratively with my colleague from Bucks County to get legislation to get naloxone in the hands of first responders and take some steps to deal with the crisis. But here again, in this piece of legislation, as we did on Monday on I think a much worse piece of legislation, we are putting ourselves in the position of being physicians. We are not. I do not know that anybody in this chamber is a physician. Now, the Pennsylvania Medical Society was originally opposed to this. The emergency room physicians were originally opposed to this; I think they are neutral now, but I know they are not enthusiastic.

I do think it is problematic when we insert ourselves in between the patient and the provider in terms of determining what they can do. We need to allow providers to have unfettered ability to talk to patients and advise them, and that is a sacrosanct relationship. We should not, as legislators, be putting ourselves in between a patient and a doctor.

So for that reason I oppose this piece of legislation, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Matt Baker will be followed by Representative Bryan Barbin.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this was part of the opioid task force recommendation. We passed this bill along with two other opioid-related task force recommendation bills out of the Health Committee. This bill passed in the Health Committee by a vote of 22 to 2 in a very great bipartisan spirit. It is a serious attempt to address the opioid crisis whereby we are losing 8 to 12 people every single day in Pennsylvania, 129 people a day every day in the nation, and it is an outstanding piece of legislation. I would ask the members to kindly support the legislation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bryan Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this legislation for the reason that we have an opioid epidemic that requires every response. This response is similar to the bill we passed in prior sessions to have a prescription monitoring program. One of the benefits of that program was to try to provide to both law enforcement and to medical providers another way of saying just how serious this problem was and give them a tool to allow them to change the situation we have. We have to remember that the United States takes 80 percent of the opioid prescriptions in the world, with 5 percent of the population. Something more than just saying

we are going to let the doctors do what they want to do, we are going to let the emergency rooms do what they want to do, we are going to let the addicts do what they want to do – we have got to do something more than that. This is one step towards a comprehensive approach and I think we should be supportive of it, because it can help doctors reinforce the idea that we have too many opioid prescriptions out there.

The SPEAKER. Representative Marguerite Quinn.

Ms. QUINN. Thanks, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of my colleague's proposal. This time last year I met with the medical team at my local hospital, the community hospital's emergency room. I went there to speak with them about a totally different matter, but before I got to my agenda, they brought this issue up. It was this very issue, the prescribing opioids in the emergency room, and they begged me to make it a priority here. They actually referenced what has recently passed in New York that brought this down to 3 days. I think that the legislation we have in front of us is fair, it is balanced, and it is absolutely necessary. Every one of our communities throughout the Commonwealth is facing this, some areas harder hit than others. It is necessary that we address this.

And my physicians pointed out to me that if after a few days on these very heavy pain relief pills the patient is still in need of them, it is time for them to go back to a primary care doctor or a specialist and not continue to hide the symptoms with the pain pills.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Representative Margo Davidson.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the legislation, because we do need to move in the direction of stopping the overprescribing of opioid medication. I think there is enough room in the bill for emergency room doctors to have the leeway, if the situation calls for it, to prescribe more than what is recommended in the bill.

For the information of the members, we already know through proven medical research through the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) and other credible sources that it only takes 14 days to be addicted to opioids – 14 days. And so when we prescribe opioids at the level of 30 days and 60 days and 90 days, that is why you see the epidemic at the rate that it is currently rising. We have to begin to stem this tide.

The FDA has sued the pharmaceutical company because they had this knowledge and information prior to them overly promoting the use as a miracle drug of opioids to our doctors. So we have to do something to at least let our doctors know to take a moment of pause and look at the whole range of pain relief medications, and not to just easily prescribe opioids as the miracle drug that it was promoted to be. We know that it is a disaster in terms of addiction in our communities.

And so I am going to support this bill, but I think that it does not even go far enough in stopping the overprescribing of opioid drugs in our community.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Bryan Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to rise in support of the gentelady's bill. I think it is an excellent solution for what we know is a very real problem. The truth is, this crisis has been ongoing, and while I understand our colleagues' concerns regarding the

physician-patient relationship, the reality is, we impact that relationship in a variety of ways, whether we pass insurance laws or other treatment protocols that are related to how we treat and reimburse for care here in the Commonwealth. We recognize the severity of this problem. We recognize that there is an opioid crisis, and these solutions are consistent with other protocols throughout the nation and standard medical practice.

To the gentelady from Bucks County, her point that emergency rooms are for short-term urgent care, that point is very important, because should you have a chronic condition, you should have an ongoing relationship with a primary care physician, a primary care physician that can help monitor you, that can help monitor you for ongoing symptoms that might indicate opioid abuse or other chronic health-care problems.

For all of these reasons we should support this bill and encourage patients to have a good patient-physician relationship with a medical home. I urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative Rosemary Brown, for the second time.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I do want to just clarify one last time that this bill does not prohibit the prescribing of opioids, it simply limits the amount to 7 days, which is in the guidelines for emergency room providers. And with the amendment there is flexibility, as some of my Representatives previously noted, for cancer patients, palliative care, and also acute pain. That has to be documented in the record of the patient, and this is important. This is important for the patients that we are treating every day and that the doctors are understanding where they need to be in the future with their treatment.

The emergency room is a very difficult place. It often can be very quiet, but then, as we know, it can be very busy. These are not the times to be having the conversations with these important patients about the prescribing of opioids and the possibility of addiction.

So this is really a piece of legislation that is going to foster good relationships, good referrals for good patient care. And I absolutely believe that it will help our ER (emergency room) doctors in many ways, as they are getting pressure from patients in the emergency room setting to prescribe these opioids. This is a step in the right direction. We need this for our communities and it will make a difference in the future.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—174

Acosta	Fee	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Flynn	Lewis	Readshaw
Baker	Freeman	Longietti	Reed
Barbin	Gabler	Mackenzie	Reese
Barrar	Gainey	Maher	Regan
Benninghoff	Galloway	Mahoney	Roae
Bizzarro	Gergely	Major	Rothman
Bloom	Gibbons	Maloney	Rozzi
Boback	Gillen	Markosek	Saccone
Boyle	Gillespie	Marshall	Sainato

Brown, R.	Gingrich	Marsico	Samuelson
Burns	Godshall	Masser	Sankey
Caltagirone	Goodman	Matzie	Santarsiero
Carroll	Greiner	McGinnis	Santora
Causer	Grove	Mentzer	Savage
Christiana	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Conklin	Hanna	Miccarelli	Schemel
Corbin	Harhai	Millard	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Harhart	Miller, B.	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harkins	Miller, D.	Schweyer
Cox	Harper	Moul	Simmons
Cruz	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sims
Culver	Heffley	Murt	Snyder
Cutler	Helm	Mustio	Sonney
Daley, P.	Hennessey	Neilson	Staats
Davidson	Hickernell	Nelson	Stephens
Davis	Hill	Nesbit	Tallman
Day	Irvin	Neuman	Taylor
Deasy	James	O'Brien	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Toepel
Diamond	Kampf	Oberlander	Topper
DiGirolamo	Kaufman	Ortitay	Truitt
Donatucci	Kauffman	Parker, D.	Vitali
Driscoll	Kavulich	Pashinski	Ward
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Payne	Warner
Dush	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Watson
Ellis	Keller, W.	Petrarca	Wentling
Emrick	Kim	Petri	Wheeland
English	Kinsey	Pickett	White
Evankovich	Kirkland	Pyle	Youngblood
Everett	Klunk	Quigley	Zimmerman
Fabrizio	Kortz	Quinn	
Farina	Kotik	Rader	Turzai,
Farry	Krueger	Rapp	Speaker

NAYS-19

Artis	Daley, M.	Evans	Milne
Bradford	Dawkins	Frankel	Roebuck
Briggs	Dean	Harris, J.	Sturla
Brown, V.	DeLissio	McCarter	Wheatley
Bullock	Dermody	McClinton	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-9

Cohen	McNeill	Ross	Toohil
DeLuca	Metzgar	Thomas	Vereb
Knowles			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1805, PN 3534**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 27, 2014 (P.L.2911, No.191), known as the Achieving Better Care by Monitoring All Prescriptions Program (ABC-MAP) Act, providing for licensing boards to require education in pain management, addiction and prescribing and dispensing practices for opioids.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Artis	Everett	Krueger	Readshaw
Baker	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reed
Barbin	Farina	Lewis	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Longietti	Regan
Benninghoff	Fee	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Rothman
Boback	Freeman	Major	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Bullock	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Savage
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Saylor
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Schemel
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schweyer
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Simmons
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Sims
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Snyder
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sonney
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Staats
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Stephens
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tobash
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Toepel
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Topper
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Truitt
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Vitali
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Ward
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Warner
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Watson
Dermody	Kaufman	Pashinski	Wentling
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Pyle	
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Turzai,
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	Speaker
English	Klunk	Rader	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-9

Cohen	McNeill	Ross	Toohil
DeLuca	Metzgar	Thomas	Vereb
Knowles			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

### **RULES AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Chairman Bill Adolph for announcements.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have two announcements for committee meetings. There will be an immediate meeting of the House Rules Committee in the Republican Appropriations conference room. That is immediately. Then at noon there will be a House Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the Republican Appropriations conference room. At noon there will be a House Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room.

### **REPUBLICAN CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. Representative Sandra Major, for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce Republicans will caucus today at 12:45. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 12:45. We would be prepared to come back on the floor, Mr. Speaker, at 3 p.m.  
Thank you.

### **DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Frankel, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 12:45. Democrats will caucus at 12:45. Thank you.

### **RECESS**

The SPEAKER. Members, the House will stand in recess until 3 p.m. The House will stand in recess until 3 p.m.

### **AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### **LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. Representatives ACOSTA, BULLOCK, and SCHLOSSBERG have all requested to be placed on leave of absence. Without objection, that will be granted.

### **LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Schlossberg is back on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

### **BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEES**

**HB 1959, PN 3107**

By Rep. REED

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Upper Merion Township certain lands situate in Upper Merion Township, Montgomery County.

RULES.

**HB 2051, PN 3574**

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in organization of independent administrative boards and commissions, transferring certain powers and duties relating to public pension system analysis and legislation; providing for the Independent Fiscal Office; in powers and duties of the Department of the Auditor General, transferring certain powers and duties relating to municipal pension reporting and analysis; and making related repeals.

RULES.

**HB 2175, PN 3550**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2176, PN 3551**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2177, PN 3552**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2178, PN 3553**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2179, PN 3554**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2180, PN 3555**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Philadelphia Taxicab and Limousine Regulatory Fund and the Philadelphia Taxicab Medallion Fund to the Philadelphia Parking Authority for fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2182, PN 3557**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2183, PN 3558**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2184, PN 3559**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Chris Ross is on the House floor and should be placed back on the master roll.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED****BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1192, PN 1717**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to dedicate to Cresson Township a right-of-way from lands of the Commonwealth at the State Correctional Institution-Cresson, situate in Cresson Township, Cambria County, for the purpose of laying out and constructing a public roadway.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1225, PN 1755**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to partially release a reversionary interest and use restriction affecting certain real property situate in the Township of Weisenberg, Lehigh County.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1154, PN 1593**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 5, 1941 (P.L.752, No.286), known as the Civil Service Act, in selection of employees for entrance to or promotion in the classified service, further providing for ratings of competitors; and, in appointment and promotion of employees in the classified service, further providing for certification and for selection and appointment of eligibles.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **SCHWEYER** offered the following amendment  
No. **A08863**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 15, by inserting after "competitors"  
and providing for Civil Service Testing Center

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

Section 1.1. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 508. Civil Service Testing Center.—A Civil Service Testing Center is established and shall be located and maintained in a city with a population over 100,000 but not more than 150,000, based on the most recent Federal decennial census.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Representative Schweyer is recognized.

Mr. **SCHWEYER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I stand and ask my colleagues to consider an area of concern to each and every member of this General Assembly and is something that we all talk about back home when we are with our constituents, and that is the question of access to employment. There is not a member of this General Assembly who has not been touched by somebody who has told them a sad story about the inability to find a job.

We also all know, since every single one of us are in fact public servants, we know that each and every one of us has the opportunity to help feed our families because of our public-sector jobs, and for a number of years the Civil Service

Commission has been the number one and primary access point for job seekers who are looking for good family-sustaining wages to be able to find a good government job. These are jobs, everything from our liquor store clerks to Fish and Game Commission officers to nurses and social workers throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

We have a number of outstanding civil service offices in cities like Pittsburgh, Philadelphia, areas like Lock Haven and Harrisburg and Scranton, but the one area of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that for the last 3 or 4 years has not had the opportunity to have a civil service testing center is the greater Lehigh Valley area. There are over 700,000 residents of just Lehigh and Northampton Counties alone, and if you count the areas of upper Bucks County, of upper Montgomery County, eastern Berks County, southern Carbon County, southern Monroe County, and parts of Schuylkill County, there are millions of Pennsylvanians that do not have access, easy access to apply for good family-sustaining public-sector jobs.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A08863 would reverse that by helping us establish a civil service office in cities of the third class that have a population of over 100,000 but under 150,000. This would also include the city of Erie, which would cover the northwest portion of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, at a very minimal cost to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, we would be able to codify and allow the Civil Service Commission the opportunity to open up an office in these two regions of the State where millions of Pennsylvanians have no other access to these jobs.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues support amendment A08863 so that these Pennsylvanians have the same access to jobs as people in other areas of the Commonwealth. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. Representative Metcalfe.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The legislation that is before us, we have worked with the Senate on this legislation. The administration has been supportive of the legislation as written, and they do not support this amendment to the legislation from the administration, from the information I have just been given.

So I would ask for a "no" vote so that we can put this bill on the Governor's desk and help to create some additional efficiencies within the civil service that we have been able to come to agreement on, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Ryan Mackenzie.

Mr. MACKENZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the Schweyer amendment.

Allentown, the city of the third class that we are talking about today, is the third largest city in Pennsylvania and has a real need for a civil service office. There used to be one that was colocated with our CareerLink and provided great resources for individuals who are looking to go into public service. Because of that closure, there has been a lack and a shortage of opportunity for people in our community.

So I would ask our members to support the Schweyer amendment and help fill that gap in our Lehigh Valley employment opportunities. Thank you.

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Representative Schweyer, for the second time.

Mr. SCHWEYER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In consultation with my colleague from Lehigh County and a communication that I just received from the Governor's Office, I have agreed to withdraw this amendment as we continue to work on an opportunity to reopen the center. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

The amendment has been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1871, PN 3508**, entitled:

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, in taxation and finance, further providing for exemptions and special provisions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **TAYLOR** offered the following amendment No. **A08496**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 3 and 4, by striking out "rate applicable to other real estate may" and inserting combined rate of taxes on real estate used for business purposes imposed by the city of the first class or authorized by the city of the first class for its school district shall

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 5 and 6, by striking out "rate applicable to real estate used for business purposes" and inserting combined rate of taxes on other real estate imposed or authorized by the city of the first class

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes Representative Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is a technical amendment that just clarifies the words "real estate" within the bill to make sure that it includes both the portion that goes to the city of Philadelphia and a portion that goes to the Philadelphia School District.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on the amendment?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—192

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stevens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortity	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufer	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

## NAYS—0

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **DAWKINS** offered the following amendment No. **A08446**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 8 and 9, by striking out "a paragraph" and inserting paragraphs

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(viii) Subject to any limitation that the General Assembly may hereafter prescribe by law, authorize local taxing authorities to classify real property according to its use for the purpose of taxation, if the classification is reasonable and assessments are uniform within each class.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

## AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. On the question, Representative Dawkins rises. Sir, you may proceed.

Mr. **DAWKINS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So I had the opportunity to speak with the maker of this bill, and we decided that we are going to pull both amendments I have that are drafted to this bill in order for us to get it over to the Senate, and we will work on it when it gets to the local level. So thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Thank you, Representative Dawkins.

Amendments 8446 and 8447 have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The **SPEAKER**. Representative Evankovich calls up 8408. That amendment has been ruled out of order.

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

The **SPEAKER**. But I do call on Representative Evankovich at this time.

Mr. **EVANKOVICH**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just have a parliamentary inquiry as to the reason why this amendment was ruled out of order.

The **SPEAKER**. Yes, sir.

In consultation with the Parliamentarian, the underlying bill deals with taxation and finance for a city of the first class, whereas the amendment deals with labor organizations and contributions thereto, so it is not in accordance with the single-subject rule.

Mr. **EVANKOVICH**. Further inquiry, Mr. Speaker?

The **SPEAKER**. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. **EVANKOVICH**. Is the Speaker aware that in the sessions of 1980 to 1981 – or 1979 to 1980 and sessions 1981 to 1982, this chamber and the Senate took up a constitutional amendment that was approved by the taxpayers and one that was denied that included language that would amend the Tax Code, the tax provisions in the Constitution, to allow for a gasoline tax and one that dealt with employee compensation?

The **SPEAKER**. Sir, I have been made aware of that by the Parliamentarian, and as many of the members may know, particularly beginning with the first passage of the Fair Share

Act, the Supreme Court, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court began taking a much stricter approach to the single subject. As many are well aware, in a number of key cases from the last session, the Supreme Court maintained its, what I would call, strict scrutiny with respect to the issue of single subject. That was not the case at the time that these decisions were decided in the 1980s.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. A further inquiry, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. Speaker, how can the Parliamentarian and the Speaker rule that a provision to amend the Constitution is therefore unconstitutional because it seeks to amend the originating document, which is the subject?

In accordance with the rulings that were made in the House and the Senate with SB 319 and HB 62 in those sessions, does the Speaker's ruling seek to undue that precedent that was set at that time?

The SPEAKER. Sir, rule 20 of our rules for the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania indicates that bills need to be confined to one subject: "No bill shall be passed containing more than one subject, which shall be clearly expressed in its title, except a general appropriation bill or a bill codifying or compiling the law or a part thereof."

Now, while the rule does cite the Constitution and the Constitution is interpreted by Supreme Court decision, which provides, you know, the flesh and bones with respect to the rule itself, the inconsistency is with the rule, and it is our rule that bills have to be confined to one subject. And we turn to Supreme Court decisions in interpreting our own rule, "Bills Confined to One Subject," but it is the rule that is governing here.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. You may proceed.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. While I disagree with the Speaker's ruling that the Supreme Court could find the document that it was designed to interpret to be unconstitutional – I do not understand how the Supreme Court could find the Constitution to be unconstitutional – but I would just like to offer that I do believe that amendments of this magnitude should be in order and would like to have a sidebar with the Speaker about these things moving forward.

The SPEAKER. You certainly may have a sidebar. We had one yesterday, but we certainly can have another one.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Representative Evankovich, you may proceed, sir.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a further inquiry on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Would the Speaker be willing to, I guess, add some clarity to why the ruling was made as to why the amendment was out of order, specifically dealing with multiple subjects in a constitutional amendment?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

The underlying bill or the amendment, neither— The Chair is not intimating or saying that either the underlying bill and/or the amendment are on any substantive basis unconstitutional at all. The issue is process. The Supreme Court used to abide by

what was called the enrolled – do not ask me why – the "enrolled bill" doctrine, which meant that in terms of process, the legislature in and of itself could determine whether its process was in accordance with the Constitution – not the substance of the bill, the process.

As you know, amongst other cases, the first time that the Fair Share Act was signed into law, the plaintiffs being Representatives DeWeese and Veon, in that case the Court did not find the underlying fair share bill unconstitutional; it found the process by which the fair share had been amended into a bill dealing with DNA. In the recent *Leach* case, which just came out—

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Yesterday.

The SPEAKER. —just came out recently, I think this past week, dealing with the way the Senate amended a Second Amendment provision into a bill dealing with scrap steel, they ruled that to be violative in terms of the single-subject process, not that the amendment in and of itself was substantively unconstitutional. Therefore, in interpreting our single-subject rule, rule 20, we do turn to those cases to interpret our own rule, and that is why the ruling is as it is.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The most recent ruling by a prior Speaker under the developed doctrine by the Supreme Court would have been in 2012. That was a constitutional amendment that was in front of this body, HB 153, and "It is the ruling of the Chair that the single-subject requirement of Article III, section 3, of the Constitution, as reiterated in House rule 20" – this is a quote – "causes the remaining amendments that have been filed but not drafted to Article II, section 16, of the Constitution" – that was the underlying bill – "to be out of order," and, quote, "It is the ruling of the Chair that the remaining amendments are therefore out of order and violate" our rule and "recent court decisions..." citing *DeWeese v. Weaver* and *City of Philadelphia v. Commonwealth* as interpreting rule 20.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1123, PN 1937**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in weights and measures, further providing for standards for automotive fuel.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken— Oh, I am sorry. Please hold off the vote.

Representative Vitali seeks to be recognized. Please hold off the vote.

**POINT OF ORDER**

The SPEAKER. Representative Vitali, you are recognized.

Mr. VITALI. Point of order.

Nothing was showing up on my screen.

The SPEAKER. Okay; my fault. Let me – well, not mine, but the rostrum's. We need to get that up there for you, so that is our fault.

SB 1123, PN 1937, page 10 of today's House calendar, is it on the screens? Okay.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—192**

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roe
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood

Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

**NAYS—0**

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—10**

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 533, PN 1952**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in other criminal provisions, further providing for supervisory relationship to offenders.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—192**

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roe
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg

Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufer	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1270, PN 1819**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1990 (P.L.404, No.98), known as the Real Estate Appraisers Certification Act, further providing for powers and duties of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers, for application and qualifications, for reciprocity and for certification renewal, licensure renewal and records.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-192

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufer	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1774, PN 3588**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, as follows: in management of the condominium, providing for mediation or alternative dispute resolution in condominiums and for complaints filed with Bureau of Consumer Protection; in protection of purchasers, further providing for effect of violations on rights of action; in management of cooperatives, providing for mediation or alternative dispute resolution in cooperatives and for complaints filed with Bureau of Consumer Protection; in management of planned community, providing for mediation or alternative dispute resolution in planned communities and for complaints filed with Bureau of Consumer Protection; and in protection of purchasers, further providing for effect of violations on rights of action.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Rosemary Brown is recognized on third consideration.

Mrs. R. BROWN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very quickly, HB 1774 will allow for the investigation and mediation of complaints regarding planned communities, cooperatives, and condominiums under Title 68 by the Office of Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer Protection. Nearly one-quarter of Pennsylvania residents live in these microgovernment communities, and many residents and unit boards feel they have nowhere to turn for assistance in resolving these complaints.

In my area it is extremely hard to escape the planned community setting and residents absolutely need someone other than a planned community board to step in when there is questionable illegal activity. This bill gives these communities a motivation to resolve complaints within their community by requiring a bylaw for mediation or dispute resolution for communities, but it also allows for an outlet when the complaint is in regards to something more substantial by allowing them to file a complaint with the bureau. This provides a balanced approach to the issues that arise in these communities, an approach where everyone can win.

I ask for a "yes" vote on HB 1774 from my colleagues in order to assist those in planned communities who are seeking somewhere to turn in resolving issues in Title 68 for these communities.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Petri, on the bill, please.

Mr. PETRI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support HB 1774. I think all of us have had constituents come into our offices and have issues with respect to homeowners associations, questions about transparency, and of course it can be very frustrating. We do not know where to send them where they can get relief other than to say, "Oh, go get a lawyer."

I want to commend the gentlelady for bringing this bill to our attention. We had a hearing in her area, and we literally had hundreds of people in attendance concerned about this issue. It is a very narrowly, carefully crafted bill that will provide a tremendous amount of transparency and good governance in this division of government, which is considered oftentimes the most important and primary in that homeowners association.

I think this is a fantastic bill, and I commend the lady for her good work. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—192

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

### RESOLUTION

Mr. EMRICK called up **HR 60, PN 2682**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to review the Commonwealth's program for beneficial use of sewage sludge by land application.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Representative Freeman is called upon with respect to the resolution.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the prime sponsor of the resolution stand for a brief period of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Representative Emrick, has indicated he will stand for interrogation, and Representative Freeman, you may proceed.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the prime sponsor's intent here. He is merely asking for a study by the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee as to the beneficial use of the application of sewage sludge, better known as biosolids.

I do want to clarify, however, for the record that in directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to look at beneficial uses – which obviously a study would be part of – that they also could identify any potential shortcomings or concerns that the application of biosolids may raise. I know there is some concern, particularly from an environmental standpoint, as to how that could impact soils and groundwater, and I realize it is your intent to look for good possible uses for it, but they would not in any way, the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee would not in any way be prohibited from giving a balanced assessment of the application in your resolution. Is that correct?

Mr. EMRICK. Mr. Speaker, could you actually repeat the question? I apologize, because I could not hear you.

Mr. FREEMAN. That is quite all right.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again to my good friend from Northampton County, your basic intent, as I understand it, in this resolution is to have the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee look to potential beneficial uses of the application of sewage sludge. There has been a program in place for its application for years. You are asking them to review it, see if there are other alternatives and

beneficial uses. That being said, do you also agree that there is nothing in this resolution which would prohibit the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, in the course of their examination of beneficial uses, to highlight where there may be concerns, particularly in terms of the environmental impacts of the application of biosolids?

Mr. EMRICK. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. There is nothing that would prohibit that. In fact, that is part of what the goal here is, to find out if there are contaminants, heavy metals, other things in here that we need to be aware of.

Mr. FREEMAN. Okay.

Mr. EMRICK. That is what we are trying to find out.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just to clarify again – and I beg the gentleman's indulgence so I understand your resolution – even though it is looking at the potential beneficial effects, they do in fact have it within their purview to examine any shortcomings, environmental impacts, particularly impacts on soil and groundwater.

Mr. EMRICK. Yes; that is correct.

Mr. FREEMAN. Okay.

Mr. EMRICK. That is correct.

Mr. FREEMAN. I thank the gentleman.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Mike Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my strong support of HR 60 offered by the gentleman from Northampton County.

Many of you may recall receiving letters asking for a "no" vote on this resolution because some organizations believe that a study on the application of biosolids as fertilizer on farm fields is unnecessary and duplicative. However, I believe that this resolution is not only necessary, it is crucial.

Mr. Speaker, as you are aware, I have introduced legislation over the past two sessions that would prohibit the application of sewage sludge in source water protection areas under a land reclamation permit for the application of sewage sludge. My legislation, HB 738, would also require DEP (Department of Environmental Protection) to conduct, at a minimum, one public hearing for the purpose of receiving information at least 180 days prior to the application of sewage sludge under a land reclamation permit. In fact, Mr. Speaker, I would like to see us work together in a bipartisan manner to hold hearings throughout the Commonwealth on this issue.

At the time my bill was drafted, I worked to specifically address the controversial application of biosolids in Burnside Township. I am now working on amendments that would further address Benner Township's concerns related to the application of biosolids on private farmlands.

Mr. Speaker, adoption of HR 60 is crucial, particularly after recently listening to a recording from a borough council meeting in my district. During this meeting a registered professional geologist from DEP was asked by the residents of my district if they look at source water protection plans prior to issuing a permit. Mr. Speaker, I was surprised and disappointed by DEP's response. The geologist simply replied, and I quote, "Right now we do not have a policy requiring every reviewer to check in their files to make sure there is a source water protection plan in that area." He went on to say that DEP—I am sorry; he went to say that once the permit is issued, DEP lacked the resources to ensure permit compliance. Mr. Speaker, it does not make much sense to me that anyone, let alone a State

department charged with protecting our drinking water, would approve a permit for sewage sludge application on land without first verifying whether or not the land is covered by a source water protection plan.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the efforts made to introduce this resolution and am pleased with the important discussion that has developed as a result, but I still believe that more needs to be done. Our constituents need improved regulations by DEP. It is our duty to ensure that DEP is properly enforcing source water protection plans, and it is also our duty to uphold the Constitution. And under Article I, section 27, it says, "The people have a right to...pure water...."

Let us support this resolution and let us work together to get a commitment that legislation such as my proposal, HB 738, would be brought to the floor for consideration. I ask all members to vote "yes" on HR 60.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

Representative Emrick, on the resolution.

Mr. EMRICK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just ask my colleagues for support of HR 60 and an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalf	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	James	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortity	Vitali

Delozier	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dush	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

The SPEAKER. Members, we have some special guests here today. I would ask everybody to please take their seats. If everybody could please take their seats.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. First and foremost, up in the gallery, Nathan Ellis and his buddy, Ben Farina, are up in the gallery, and Nathan is the son of our friend and colleague, Representative Brian Ellis. Would you please stand, young men. Thanks very much for being here today. I was at his brother's Eagle Scout, and you are a lot taller than when I saw you then.

And Representative Mark Mustio has a very special guest from his district. Robert Morris University, an outstanding university, is in Moon Township, Pennsylvania, in Allegheny County, outside of the city of Pittsburgh. The new president of Robert Morris University is with them. I want to tell you a little bit about him before we have him stand. This gentleman, Dr. Christopher Howard, is a graduate of the Air Force Academy, a Rhodes scholar, a retired Air Force lieutenant colonel, and he is coming from Hampden-Sydney College in Virginia to become the next president of Robert Morris University. Dr. Christopher Howard, would you please stand. It is so great to have you here, and thank you for your service to our country.

With him are Jonathan Potts, vice president of public relations and marketing, and Xavier Hickman, the special assistant to the president.

Representative Paul Costa is recognized.

Mr. P. COSTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

When you introduced Dr. Howard, one of the things you did not mention is— Well, let me back up. Last week we did a resolution honoring the life of Bill "Coach" Campbell. Dr. Howard was one of the recipients of that award, which is a scholarship athlete, and he will be proud to tell you – I know he

told me – he was a great running back for Air Force and won the scholarship and athletic award that is named after Bill Campbell, the gentleman we did the resolution for last week. So I just wanted to throw it out there, Mr. Speaker, and welcome, Dr. Howard.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Costa.

Representative Mustio pointed out that Robert Morris is in the Apotheosis and was one of the two primary financiers of the American Revolution.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A**

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1959, PN 3107**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Upper Merion Township certain lands situate in Upper Merion Township, Montgomery County.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B**

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2175, PN 3550**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—191**

Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bizzarro	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Ross
Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi

Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Causer	Goodman	McGinnis	Savage
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Conklin	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Corbin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Hanna	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cruz	Harkins	Milne	Sims
Culver	Harper	Moul	Snyder
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sonney
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Murt	Staats
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Stephens
Davidson	Helm	Neilson	Sturla
Davis	Hennessey	Nelson	Tallman
Dawkins	Hickernell	Nesbit	Taylor
Day	Hill	Neuman	Tobash
Dean	Irvin	O'Brien	Toepel
Deasy	James	O'Neill	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Dermody	Kaufert	Parker, D.	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Dush	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quigley	
English	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—1

Truitt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2176, PN 3551**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Savage
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	James	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Delozier	Kaufner	Parker, D.	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
Diamond	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quigley	
English	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Evans	Kotik	Rapp	

NAYS—3

Dush	Irvin	Truitt
------	-------	--------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2177, PN 3552**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Adolph	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Artis	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Baker	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barbin	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Barrar	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Benninghoff	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bizzarro	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bloom	Gabler	Major	Ross
Boback	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Boyle	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi
Bradford	Gergely	Marshall	Saccone
Briggs	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Burns	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Carroll	Goodman	Mentzer	Savage
Causar	Greiner	Metcalfe	Saylor
Christiana	Grove	Miccarelli	Schemel
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhart	Milne	Simmons
Cox	Harkins	Moul	Sims
Cruz	Harper	Mullery	Snyder
Culver	Harris, A.	Murt	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, J.	Mustio	Staats
Daley, M.	Heffley	Neilson	Stephens
Daley, P.	Helm	Nelson	Sturla
Davidson	Hennessey	Nesbit	Tallman
Davis	Hickernell	Neuman	Taylor
Dawkins	Hill	O'Brien	Tobash
Day	Irvin	O'Neill	Toepel
Dean	James	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Watson

Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	
English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evans	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Everett	Kotik		

NAYS—4

Dush	Evankovich	McGinnis	Truitt
------	------------	----------	--------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2178, PN 3553**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bizzarro	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Ross

Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi
Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Sacone
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Goodman	McGinnis	Savage
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Conklin	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Corbin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Hanna	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cruz	Harkins	Milne	Sims
Culver	Harper	Moul	Snyder
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sonney
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Murt	Staats
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Stevens
Davidson	Helm	Neilson	Sturla
Davis	Hennessey	Nelson	Tallman
Dawkins	Hickernell	Nesbit	Taylor
Day	Hill	Neuman	Tobash
Dean	Irvin	O'Brien	Toepel
Deasy	James	O'Neill	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Dermody	Kaufner	Parker, D.	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Ellis	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Emrick	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
English	Kirkland	Quigley	
Evankovich	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evans	Kortz	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—2

Dush	Truitt
------	--------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2179, PN 3554**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bizzarro	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Ross
Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi
Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Goodman	McGinnis	Savage
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Conklin	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Corbin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Hanna	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cruz	Harkins	Milne	Sims
Culver	Harper	Moul	Snyder
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sonney
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Murt	Staats
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Stephens
Davidson	Helm	Neilson	Sturla
Davis	Hennessey	Nelson	Tallman
Dawkins	Hickernell	Nesbit	Taylor
Day	Hill	Neuman	Tobash
Dean	Irvin	O'Brien	Toepel
Deasy	James	O'Neill	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Dermody	Kaufar	Parker, D.	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Ellis	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Emrick	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
English	Kirkland	Quigley	
Evankovich	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evans	Kortz	Rader	Speaker

NAYS—2

Dush	Truitt
------	--------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2180, PN 3555**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Philadelphia Taxicab and Limousine Regulatory Fund and the Philadelphia Taxicab Medallion Fund to the Philadelphia Parking Authority for fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Adolph	Farina	Lewis	Ravenstahl
Artis	Farry	Longietti	Readshaw
Baker	Fee	Mackenzie	Reed
Barbin	Flynn	Maher	Reese
Barrar	Frankel	Mahoney	Regan
Benninghoff	Freeman	Major	Roae
Bizzarro	Gabler	Maloney	Roebuck
Bloom	Gainey	Markosek	Ross
Boback	Galloway	Marshall	Rozzi
Boyle	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Bradford	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Briggs	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Brown, R.	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Brown, V.	Gingrich	McClinton	Santarsiero
Burns	Godshall	McGinnis	Santora
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mentzer	Savage
Carroll	Greiner	Metcalfe	Saylor
Causar	Grove	Miccarelli	Schemel
Christiana	Hahn	Millard	Schlossberg
Conklin	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schreiber
Corbin	Harhai	Miller, D.	Schweyer
Costa, D.	Harhart	Milne	Simmons
Costa, P.	Harkins	Moul	Sims
Cox	Harper	Mullery	Snyder
Cruz	Harris, A.	Murt	Sonney
Culver	Harris, J.	Mustio	Staats
Cutler	Heffley	Neilson	Stephens
Daley, M.	Helm	Nelson	Sturla

Daley, P.	Hennessey	Nesbit	Tallman
Davis	Hickernell	Neuman	Taylor
Dawkins	Hill	O'Brien	Tobash
Day	Irvin	O'Neill	Toepel
Dean	James	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Dermody	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Petri	Wheeland
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Pyle	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Quigley	Zimmerman
English	Klunk	Quinn	
Evans	Kotik	Rader	Turzai,
Everett	Krueger	Rapp	Speaker
Fabrizio	Lawrence		

## NAYS—8

Davidson	Dush	Keller, M.K.	Rothman
Delozier	Evankovich	Kortz	Truitt

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2182, PN 3557**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—188

Adolph	Everett	Krueger	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lewis	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Maher	Regan
Bizzarro	Frankel	Mahoney	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Rothman
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Rozzi
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Saccione
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McClinton	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Santora
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Savage
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Saylor
Conklin	Grove	Miccarelli	Schemel
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, B.	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Schweyer
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Simmons
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Sims
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Snyder
Cutler	Harris, A.	Murt	Sonney
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mustio	Staats
Daley, P.	Heffley	Neilson	Stephens
Davidson	Helm	Nelson	Sturla
Davis	Hennessey	Nesbit	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	Neuman	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Brien	Toepel
Dean	James	O'Neill	Topper
Deasy	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Delozier	Kaufner	Parker, D.	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
Diamond	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quigley	
English	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rader	Speaker
Evans	Kotik		

## NAYS—4

Dush	Irvin	Tallman	Truitt
------	-------	---------	--------

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2183, PN 3558**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Readshaw
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Reed
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reese
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Regan
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Roae
Bizarro	Frankel	Maher	Roebuck
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Ross
Boback	Gabler	Major	Rothman
Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rozzi
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Sainato
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Sankey
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santora
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Savage
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Saylor
Christiana	Greiner	Miccarelli	Schemel
Conklin	Grove	Millard	Schlossberg
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Schreiber
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, D.	Schweyer
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Simmons
Cox	Harhart	Moul	Sims
Cruz	Harkins	Mullery	Snyder
Culver	Harper	Murt	Sonney
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mustio	Staats
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Neilson	Stephens
Daley, P.	Heffley	Nelson	Sturla
Davidson	Helm	Nesbit	Tallman
Davis	Hennessey	Neuman	Taylor
Dawkins	Hickernell	O'Brien	Tobash
Day	Hill	O'Neill	Toepel
Dean	Irvin	Oberlander	Topper
Deasy	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Warner
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Wheeland
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pickett	White
Dunbar	Kim	Pyle	Youngblood
Ellis	Kinsey	Quigley	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kirkland	Quinn	

English	Klunk	Rader	Turzai,
Evankovich	Kortz	Rapp	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—5

Dush	McGinnis	Metcalfe	Truitt
James			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2184, PN 3559**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Adolph	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Artis	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Baker	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barbin	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Barrar	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bizarro	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Ross
Boyle	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Bradford	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi
Briggs	Gergely	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Causar	Goodman	McGinnis	Savage
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor

Conklin	Grove	Metcalf	Schemel
Corbin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Costa, D.	Hanna	Millard	Schreiber
Costa, P.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Simmons
Cruz	Harkins	Milne	Sims
Culver	Harper	Moul	Snyder
Cutler	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sonney
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Murt	Staats
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Stephens
Davidson	Helm	Neilson	Sturla
Davis	Hennessey	Nelson	Tallman
Dawkins	Hickernell	Nesbit	Taylor
Day	Hill	Neuman	Tobash
Dean	Irvin	O'Brien	Toepel
Deasy	James	O'Neill	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Delozier	Kampf	Ortitay	Ward
Dermody	Kaufer	Parker, D.	Warner
Diamond	Kauffman	Pashinski	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Payne	Wentling
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Petri	White
Ellis	Kim	Pickett	Youngblood
Emrick	Kinsey	Pyle	Zimmerman
English	Kirkland	Quigley	
Evankovich	Klunk	Quinn	Turzai,
Evans	Kortz	Rader	Speaker

## NAYS-2

Dush                      Truitt

## NOT VOTING-0

## EXCUSED-10

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Thomas	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Members, as you know, it is fairly typical at the beginning of the session on any given day that we do reports of committees. Right now we are going to do a report of committee.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HB 1770, PN 2714**

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for definitions, for persons not to possess, use, manufacture, control, sell or transfer firearms, for sale or transfer of firearms and for Pennsylvania State Police.

Reported from Committee on JUDICIARY with request that it be referred to Committee on RULES.

**OBJECTION TO COMMITTEE REPORT**

The SPEAKER. Now, typically, that is it, but there was a communication on June 22 of 2016. I am going to read it into the record. It is signed by Representative Santarsiero and Representative Dean, and it says, "Pursuant to Rule 10, Mason's Manual Section 132, and the customs and precedents of this House, we the undersigned do hereby request to be recognized prior to the vote on the acceptance of any report of the Judiciary containing House Bill 1770.

"In addition, pursuant to Rule 66, we the undersigned do hereby demand that the question of whether the House accepts any report of the Judiciary Committee containing House Bill 1770 be decided by a roll call vote utilizing the electric roll call system.

"If you have any questions regarding this request, please do not hesitate to contact us."

After all these years, you still learn things.

My understanding is that it is appropriate to get a roll-call vote by electronic roll call.

The question before the House then is, will the House accept the report of the committee? That is the question before the House.

On the question,

Shall the House accept the report of the Judiciary Committee?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair calls upon Chairman Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am asking the House to accept the report of the Judiciary Committee. During the committee debate and the final vote, it was a bipartisan vote of 20 to 4. So with that, I ask the full House to accept this report.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Chairman Marsico.

Representative Santarsiero, please.

Mr. SANTARSIERO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HB 1770, so the members know, is my bill that would add to the category of persons prohibited under State law from purchasing a firearm those on the Federal terror watch list.

This bill was originally filed back in January of this year. It has languished in the Judiciary Committee these many months now. Earlier this week, as you may know, I filed Discharge Resolution No. 9 to bring this bill to the floor, and I did so, Mr. Speaker, because I believe that the question of whether we should do everything we possibly can as a Commonwealth to make sure that potential extremists and terrorists not get access to firearms is a question that should come before this House, and it is a question, ultimately, that deserves debate and a vote, and, Mr. Speaker, that was not happening with this bill sitting in the Judiciary Committee.

Now, yesterday, as the chairman noted, the committee voted to rerefer HB 1770 in light of the discharge, and it was made clear on the record that that is why it was being done, to the Rules Committee in an effort to make sure that the bill was not discharged to the floor. I have no doubt that were this bill actually to go to the Rules Committee, we would never hear about it again.

Mr. Speaker, this report needs to be rejected. It needs to be rejected as a clear statement from this body that the time has come to have this debate, to have the discussion, and ultimately, to have a vote. This issue is too important.

And as I said yesterday, it is my personal preference, and I am sure the preference of my colleagues who are sponsors of HB 1770, that this issue be dealt with at the national level, but as we have seen with other gun safety measures, including the issue of universal background checks, that has not happened. And in the absence of Federal action, we firmly believe that it is the responsibility of each of the States to address the issue, and that is exactly what we are trying to do.

And so, Mr. Speaker, I ask the House to reject the report of the committee that was made yesterday. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dean.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to ask for a "no" vote on the motion to accept the committee report. I ask that we reject the committee report, respectfully, for a couple of reasons. Number one, yesterday in the Judiciary Committee when this came up rather hurriedly for a vote to refer to Rules, in a bipartisan way, people in the Judiciary Committee, serving on the Judiciary Committee argued that our committee is the exact place where HB 1770 ought to be worked on, heard about, refined, amended, whatever it is, to have a full conversation about how we keep guns out of the hands of terrorists and how we prevent future terrorist attacks with the purchase of guns that we could actually have an effect on.

As I said, there was a real expressed interest by members of the committee on both sides, including both chairmen, that we in Judiciary actually take a look at and work on HB 1770. We are best suited in Judiciary to take a look at HB 1770, and it appears that if we accept the motion of this committee and this is referred to Rules, we will not have the opportunity to do the very thing that members of the Judiciary Committee asked for, which was to refine this legislation, to amend it, to make it stronger, more viable, and more of a protection for Pennsylvanians and Americans. Referring it to Rules will preclude amendment of this legislation.

My third reason, polls show that 90 percent of Americans want us to be discussing and passing meaningful legislation.

And my fourth reason is this: While I wish we would do this on the Federal level – I certainly wish we would; we look for leadership from the Federal level, but it is not there – we in Pennsylvania have the chance to lead, to say we can do something to make our communities safer, that we can keep guns, weapons, assault weapons out of the hands of would-be terrorists.

So I ask that we consider and vote to reject the committee report, send this back to Judiciary and allow us to bring this up for a vote, discussion, amendment, and refinement so that we here in Pennsylvania can be leaders to make our communities safer.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. These are the members that wish to be recognized on this: Representative Dom Costa, Representative Eli Evankovich, Representative Dan Frankel, and then I will finish with the leader.

I will, before I proceed with anybody else who wishes to speak on this, just put some clarification. When we vote, the vote will be "yes" to accept the report along with the

recommendation, and the recommendation is to refer it to committee. Those voting to reject the report will be voting "no."

Representative Dom Costa is recognized.

I know that Representative Evankovich had risen to raise a point of order. The issue is, as we continue forward, latitude was given to the makers of the motion to have an electronic roll on acceptance of the committee report, so some latitude was given. We would direct the other members who wish to speak to please give your attention to the specific motion in front of us, to the specific motion in front of us. The underlying policy issues, we are not here to debate them at significant length. We are addressing the motion that is in front of us. It is acceptance of the committee report, which was voted out of committee by a 20-to-4 vote.

Representative Costa, you may proceed.

Mr. D. COSTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I stand in support of the committee's decision to refer back to the Rules Committee, and the reason I stand for that is the bill was not ready. I am a cosponsor on this bill. I also told the maker of the bill that I would work with him on the bill. I believe the bill itself is a just bill, but it is not ready, and by going forward with this bill as the committee determined yesterday, you would be violating other people's rights by moving this forward.

So there was also a motion— The chair offered an agreement or some kind of a deal with the maker of the bill. He refused that deal and the concession that he wanted was really unreasonable, and so the committee voted, four members were negative votes and the rest were affirmative.

So I ask my colleagues here to stand by the Judiciary Committee. We did what we thought was right, and I am asking you for an affirmative vote for the Judiciary Committee's decision. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Dan Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support my colleague from Bucks County's effort to keep this in the Judiciary Committee so that there can be given serious consideration to this. If there are some changes that need to be made, that is the appropriate place, but there needs to be attention given to this. It is urgent. It is timely. It is something that our chamber ought to be dealing with and folks ultimately ought to have the opportunity to vote on the substance.

What is taking place at this point is an attempt, basically, to ignore it, to put it aside, not to deal with it. We look at what is happening in Washington today and yesterday on a similar issue. It is time for this body to deal seriously with preventing folks on the no-fly list from being able to obtain weapons.

So I support my colleague in asking for a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Matt Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to ask that the report be rejected, as Representative Santarsiero so eloquently suggests.

As we know, for too long any bill involving gun safety has either been tied up in the Judiciary Committee or in a quixotic attempt to use discharge petitions to bring them to the House floor. Today again, sadly, those same gymnastics are being used to defeat the will of the entire House. My request is that the discharge petition allows the will of the House to be heard on the issue of whether we will finally stand for gun safety in Pennsylvania.

We have an opportunity, a narrow window. We can reject this report and we can begin to have a debate on this House floor about whether terrorists and extremists should be able to purchase assault rifles at our neighborhood sporting goods store. I do not think it is over the top; in fact, I think the vast majority of Pennsylvanians and the vast majority of Americans would like us to see immediate action on this bill. By rejecting the committee report, we have such an ability. If we fail and we allow this committee report to become the will of the House, what we have said is we will not take on the NRA (National Rifle Association) and we will not take on—

The SPEAKER. Please suspend. Sir, just on the motion. Thank you.

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes; on the motion, Mr. Speaker.

We have such an opportunity, but that opportunity is limited by the willingness of this House to stand up to special interests and reject this committee report. Failure to do so will result in this House once again being impotent in its ability to protect its children, to protect its people from extremists and those who have no business purchasing assault rifles at local sporting goods stores with no background check whatsoever—

The SPEAKER. Sir, please, on the motion.

Mr. BRADFORD. So, Mr. Speaker, only by rejecting—

The SPEAKER. Please—

Mr. BRADFORD. —the report—

The SPEAKER. Representative, please, please suspend. I think your point has been certainly made. It seems to me like you are repeating your point. I think you are opposed to accepting the committee report. I am going to let you proceed, but please stick to that motion.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And thanks for that admonition, because I think it is so important that people understand that if we do not reject this report, what we result in is an inability for the minority to be heard.

Now, I realize that the underlying bill has not been heard on its merits, whether in the committee or on the floor, but if we continue to prevent the debate from happening, as Representative Frankel so eloquently stated, the minority has no other ability to be heard. The 90 percent of Pennsylvanians who want action on these types of bills will never be heard. Let the people be heard. What are we so scared of?

I do not believe there is any chance that this body may take action on that bill, but let us try. Let us say that we are willing to reject the report in order for the majority of Pennsylvanians to be heard in this, the people's House. Do we really, really want to allow those on the terror watch list to buy weapons?

The SPEAKER. Sir. Sir, please suspend.

Mr. BRADFORD. Our only way to avoid that—

The SPEAKER. Please suspend.

Representative Bradford, your points with respect to, you know, being heard on the bill are all relevant to the motion, but we are not going to get into inflammatory discussion on the underlying bill because it is not in front of us. The motion is in front of us. If and when the bill gets in front of us, certainly there is more latitude, but the motion is what is in front of us, and you have made your points. If you want to wrap it up and conclude, I am glad to give you that, but, sir, please, we are not going to get to the substance of it or the inflammatory approach at this time. Thank you, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Agreed, Speaker.

I do not think we want to have an inflammatory discussion. I think we want to have an honest discussion, and the only way to do that is to reject the report. I do not want to get into the substance. I think it has been well stated that this is not the right opportunity to have a policy debate. The policy debate should come once we reject the report so we can have a discussion about whether those on the terror no-fly list—

The SPEAKER. Please suspend.

The next speaker is Representative Jozwiak.

Mr. JOZWIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am part of the Judiciary Committee. In yesterday's hearing the chairman of Judiciary was very eloquent and he offered the maker of this resolution to withdraw his resolution, that we would have hearings and we would investigate this thoroughly, and he declined. He absolutely declined. The Judiciary Committee gave him every opportunity.

And I will tell you something else, you move forward with this bill and you are going to jeopardize every, every undercover or terrorist watch investigation in the United States; the FBI Director said that.

So, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the report from the Judiciary Committee. This bill has to go where the Judiciary Committee says so. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Metcalfe, on the motion, please.

Mr. METCALFE. On the motion, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I support the motion for the— I support the chairman's position that we should accept the committee's report, that we should accept the committee's recommendation to send this legislation to the Rules Committee, Mr. Speaker, because I think it has been clearly shown by many of the previous speakers that their intent is to do nothing but shift the focus of the radical Islamic terrorism that is facing this nation—

The SPEAKER. No. Sir.

Mr. METCALFE. —to gun control.

The SPEAKER. Sir, please suspend. Please suspend. Please suspend.

Sir, please, please stay to the motion, please, sir. I know you are telling everybody to accept the report. If you could conclude that on point.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage the membership to accept the report of the committee to send this to Rules because I think that we have seen during the conversations, Mr. Speaker, and from what has been happening nationally that there has been an attempt—

The SPEAKER. Representative.

Mr. METCALFE. —to distract America from the terrorism—

The SPEAKER. Representative.

Mr. METCALFE. —that is facing us from radical Islam—

The SPEAKER. Sir, please, please suspend. Please suspend. Thank you very much.

Representative Metcalfe, you may conclude.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, this is a serious issue facing our State, Mr. Speaker, and it is not one that should be used for political grandstanding. We should accept this report so that we make sure that our rights are not under attack.

The SPEAKER. Representative Metcalfe, thank you very much.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. Representative Todd Stephens.

Mr. STEPHENS. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. You may make a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. STEPHENS. In the month of June— Had the Judiciary Committee the other day voted even unanimously to move this bill out of committee, where would the bill have gone?

The SPEAKER. To the Rules Committee.

Mr. STEPHENS. And so the report from the committee was to refer it to the Rules Committee, so in either event, it is going to the same place. Is that right?

The SPEAKER. Yes; at this time that is correct.

Mr. STEPHENS. That ends my inquiry. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Santarsiero, for the second time, sir.

Members, please suspend. I would ask all members to please take your seats.

The last two speakers will be Representative Santarsiero and the leader.

Members, please take your seats.

Mr. SANTARSIERO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is a very different thing when the intent of the vote to send it to Rules, specifically to Rules, is to scuttle the bill. And that is exactly – it was said on the record – and that is exactly what that vote was about. It is beyond cynicism to get up and claim that essentially that vote yesterday was the same practical effect as the Judiciary Committee voting to report the bill out as it would any other bill that it were trying to approve. There was no question. Anyone can read the transcript; anyone can watch the video. What the majority of people voting in the Judiciary Committee yesterday, and frankly, what the chairman said, was to prevent this bill from coming to the floor of the House.

Now, it has been said on this floor today that I was offered the opportunity to have the committee staff work with mine, and at some point in the future – those were the exact words – have a hearing on the bill. I, in response, said that I would be happy to talk about it and I would hold back from actually moving forward with the discharge for a week to see whether we could come up with some kind of timeframe to get to a vote, and in fact, what I asked for specifically was a vote on this bill. Now, it has been characterized by the gentleman from Allegheny County that my position was unreasonable.

Mr. Speaker, I would submit that the request to have a vote on this very important issue as to whether we are going to prevent potential terrorists from buying guns is not unreasonable. Indeed, I would remind the gentleman that it seems the national Democratic Party, at least, is in agreement with me on that, which is why members of the Democratic Caucus in the United States House of Representatives took action yesterday on the floor of that body.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, we are entitled to a vote. The 49 people who lost their lives in Orlando this month are entitled—

The SPEAKER. Representative.

Mr. SANTARSIERO. —to a vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative, please. Please suspend. Representative, please suspend. Please suspend.

In front of us is the motion to accept the report, which recommended that the bill go to the Judiciary Committee by a vote of 20 to 4. This measure, which I have never seen used in my 15-year career, is in front of us. Everybody knows, and this is true for all people on any side of this issue, that they have an opportunity to do press events or press releases.

What is in front of us is the motion to accept. It is a procedural motion. This is representative democracy. There are votes on a committee. The committee voted 20 to 4. Give this body an opportunity to vote on whether to accept the report, is what we have in front of us.

If anybody wishes to address the underlying issues, you can go out to the Lieutenant Governor's Office and hold a press event. That is for every person in the chamber.

We have in front of us right now the motion to accept.

Please conclude, and we will take a vote after the leader speaks.

You may proceed, Representative.

Mr. SANTARSIERO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate what you just said, but the reality is – and Mason's Manual supports it – that in a situation like this, candidly, the substance of the bill is almost impossible to separate from the procedural argument. Having said that, I ask all of you to consider carefully this vote. We have an opportunity to stand up to do the right thing, and I ask that you vote "no" on accepting this committee report.

The SPEAKER. I just want to clarify that Mason's, section 670, "Consideration of Committee Reports," subparagraph 6 speaks to the substantive votes, not to procedural votes such as this that is in front of us.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. Representative Bradford, on a parliamentary inquiry, please.

Mr. BRADFORD. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

In your response to the Representative from Montgomery County, you stated that if the bill that yesterday was rereferred had received a successful referral to the House through the discharge, it would have gone immediately to Rules. My understanding is, it would have had to receive first reading here in the House. Is that not correct?

The SPEAKER. Yes; it would have received a reading. That is correct.

Mr. BRADFORD. So for clarity of the record, you did not mean to say that it would have gone immediately to Rules, so the effect is not the same.

The SPEAKER. The question was, would that bill go to Rules? And the answer was yes.

Mr. BRADFORD. Eventually it would.

The SPEAKER. Yes; correct.

Mr. BRADFORD. Okay.

The SPEAKER. That is correct—

Mr. BRADFORD. I just wanted to make sure that the record is clear—

The SPEAKER. —but automatically, it does go to Rules after the reading.

Mr. BRADFORD. After the first reading.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. I just wanted to make sure that the record is clear for future precedent.

On that point, in terms of the discharge petition, the point was made about what the impact of that is. The minute the rereferral was made or the referral to the Rules Committee was made from the Judiciary, that effectively eliminates the discharge petition, correct?

The SPEAKER. That is correct, because a vote would have been taken in the committee on the bill.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Reed, the leader.

Mr. REED. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I do, from a process perspective, just want to make sure that we are understanding rule 22, which is "First Consideration Bills," and I want to read it for everybody so that they fully understand it. "Bills reported from committees shall be considered for the first time when reported and shall then be automatically removed from the calendar and laid on the table, except House bills reported from committees after the first Monday in June until the first Monday in September which shall then be automatically recommitted to the Committee on Rules."

So, Mr. Speaker, what we have here is a situation where either way the bill was going to go to the Rules Committee. Now, I understand that the gentleman would like to move this process along in an expedited manner. I am not positive why we are offering him an opportunity to skip a step by not sending it back to the Judiciary Committee and then sending it to the Rules Committee again. Actually, what I am not positive of is why he wants to add another step to consideration, for, in essence, what he is proposing to do is actually delay consideration of his own proposal and adding an extra step to that process, because once it goes back to the Judiciary Committee and it comes out of the Judiciary Committee, it then goes to the Rules Committee, which is where we are proposing to send the bill today anyway.

So I am a little puzzled by his willingness and his excitement about adding that extra step, but that is for the gentleman of Bucks County to explain to the constituents of Bucks County who are so very passionate about this issue.

As for us, we are going to get rid of that step. I would ask the members to vote "yes" to accept the committee's report, not add an extra layer of bureaucracy, and let us go ahead and send the bill where it is going to end up anyway, to the House Rules Committee.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Those voting to accept the report along with the recommendation will be voting "aye"; those— Yes.

As the members know, the practice, although not a rule, the practice has been that the leaders, if they so choose, go last in terms of either a bill or a motion. Over and over I go out of my way as Speaker to ask if anybody else wishes to be recognized before I call on any of the leaders.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Representative Dean, you are rising for the second time after the leader spoke. You may proceed.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I mean no disrespect to the leader. I apologize.

I will begin with a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Yes; you may proceed.

Mrs. DEAN. According to Mason's Legislative Manual – and I want to just be clear on this, because as you pointed out, this is a motion that we are making that has not happened for years and years and years – section 670, am I correct in understanding that a "report of a committee consists only of recommendations and has no force or effect until" we, the House, agree to it? Am I reading that rule correctly? It is a recommendation only?

The SPEAKER. It is a request for a rereferral from the committee.

Mrs. DEAN. Is it not a recommendation to rerefer?

The SPEAKER. The specific language is a request.

Mrs. DEAN. Well, I am reading that it is a recommendation.

And then the second part of my parliamentary inquiry is that it takes us, the House, by way of a vote, and in this case an electronic vote, to actually have the report of the committee become action. Am I understanding Mason's correctly there?

The SPEAKER. Not in the ordinary fashion of the operation of the House of Representatives. It is ordinarily done without objection.

Mrs. DEAN. I understand that, certainly. However, what this vote will be will be, as a result of our vote, we will be taking action on the recommendation of the committee; otherwise, it is only a recommendation. And though we do that every day and we just accept it, this is actually asking us to consider, do we want to take that action? Do we want to actually scuttle the underlying bill by sending it, shifting it, and shafting it into Rules? Do we really want to do that? Or do we want to do as the Judiciary Committee yesterday said – and I really believe in earnest – members of our Judiciary Committee said, we would like to consider this, but we know if we do it this way and it goes to Rules, there is no amending in Rules, there will be no consideration in Rules. This is actually a motion, and our action, our vote today will be a motion to say, no, we do not want to consider this measure that might save lives.

So I would ask the members of House to think about it. By taking this vote, you will be taking the action that, no, we will not discuss this, we will not talk about whether or not we can keep terrorists from getting handguns.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of accepting the report— Representative Bradford, for what purpose do you rise?

On the motion, sir?

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes; on the motion. Sorry.

The SPEAKER. For the second time.

Mr. BRADFORD. Yes, please.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Speaker.

And I will be brief, because I realize the hour is late and—

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Please suspend.

### POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Representative Metcalfe, parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. METCALFE. Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER. It is actually a point of order. You may proceed.

Mr. METCALFE. Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have listened to this gentleman speak a number of times when the microphone had to be turned back on

several times, so did he not in fact already at least use up his two times of speaking? I mean, we saw him stop speaking a number of times and then he was recognized to speak again and again, and I think he has kind of worn that out, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Sir, the second time in that same spate was a parliamentary inquiry, which does not count toward that.

Let me reiterate, any discussion will be on the motion itself. Any rhetoric to the effect on the underlying bill or designed to, you know, inflame the rhetoric can always be done at a press event and is not appropriate for this motion.

At this time, however, I am going to turn it over to Representative Bradford.

I would like to reiterate, I think it is only respectful that all members, if they do wish to speak, that they should take the opportunity to speak before the leaders. That is not in the rules; that is just the practice that we have been adhering to.

Representative Bradford, you may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I did not wish to speak for a second time, but in light of the comments of the good leader from Indiana, I felt it necessary to opine. And again, I realize this is not in the form of interrogation, but is there any intent to bring this underlying bill up? But for the minority voting "no" – I would just leave it and conclude with this – what other option does the minority have if the majority will play these Orwellian games to defeat the will of the House?

The SPEAKER. Okay. That is your concluding remark.

When members vote to accept the report, they will be voting "aye"; those voting to reject the report will be voting "nay."

On the question recurring,

Shall the House accept the report of the Judiciary Committee?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. Representative John TAYLOR has requested to be placed on leave of absence. Without objection, that will be granted.

Representative Judy WARD has requested to be placed on leave of absence. Without objection, that will be granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF  
OBJECTION TO COMMITTEE REPORT  
CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,

Shall the House accept the report of the Judiciary Committee?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—142

Adolph	Flynn	Longietti	Quinn
Baker	Gabler	Mackenzie	Rader
Barbin	Gergely	Maher	Rapp
Barrar	Gibbons	Mahoney	Readshaw

Benninghoff	Gillen	Major	Reed
Bizzarro	Gillespie	Maloney	Reese
Bloom	Gingrich	Markosek	Regan
Boback	Godshall	Marshall	Roae
Brown, R.	Goodman	Marsico	Ross
Burns	Greiner	Masser	Rothman
Causar	Grove	Matzie	Rozzi
Christiana	Hahn	McGinnis	Saccone
Conklin	Hanna	Mentzer	Sainato
Corbin	Harhai	Metcalfe	Sankey
Costa, D.	Harhart	Miccarelli	Santora
Costa, P.	Harkins	Millard	Saylor
Cox	Harper	Miller, B.	Schemel
Culver	Harris, A.	Milne	Simmons
Cutler	Heffley	Moul	Snyder
Daley, P.	Helm	Mullery	Sonney
Day	Hennessey	Murt	Staats
Deasy	Hickernell	Mustio	Stephens
Delozier	Hill	Nelson	Tallman
Diamond	Irvin	Neubit	Tobash
DiGirolamo	James	Neuman	Toepel
Dunbar	Jozwiak	O'Neill	Topper
Dush	Kampf	Oberlander	Truitt
Ellis	Kaufert	Ortitay	Warner
Emrick	Kauffman	Parker, D.	Watson
English	Keller, F.	Payne	Wentling
Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Wheeland
Everett	Klunk	Petrarca	White
Fabrizio	Kortz	Petri	Zimmerman
Farina	Kotik	Pickett	
Farry	Lawrence	Pyle	Turzai,
Fee	Lewis	Quigley	Speaker

NAYS—48

Artis	Dean	Keller, W.	Roebuck
Boyle	DeLissio	Kim	Samuelson
Bradford	Dermody	Kinsey	Santarsiero
Briggs	Donatucci	Kirkland	Savage
Brown, V.	Driscoll	Krueger	Schlossberg
Caltagirone	Evans	McCarter	Schreiber
Carroll	Frankel	McClinton	Schweyer
Cruz	Freeman	Miller, D.	Sims
Daley, M.	Gainey	Neilson	Sturla
Davidson	Galloway	O'Brien	Vitali
Davis	Harris, J.	Pashinski	Wheatley
Dawkins	Kavulich	Ravenstahl	Youngblood

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—12

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Taylor	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill	Thomas	Ward

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the Judiciary Committee was accepted by the House.

**BILL REREFERRED TO  
COMMITTEE ON RULES**

The SPEAKER. The Judiciary Committee's report has been accepted and the bill will go to the Rules Committee.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER**

The SPEAKER. Members, members, if you will please take your seats.

Colleagues, respective members of both caucus staffs, certainly our fellow Pennsylvanians, and members of the media, the House is about to take a motion for adjournment, but I wanted to take some time to explain to you what is about to happen after consultation with the leaders and the Appropriations chairs from both caucuses.

Pursuant to an agreement amongst the leaders, both Republican and Democrat, to continue ongoing budget discussions throughout the weekend, we will entertain a motion to be adjourned until Sunday evening; that is, it appears that it will be until Sunday evening, June 26, 2016. It appears that the committees will be called for Sunday, June 26, 2016.

The floor will be adjourned until Monday, June 27, at 11 a.m., is the motion that we will be entertaining. So until that time, both the Democratic and Republican Caucuses will meet. And as I indicated, it appears that the Appropriations Committee will be convening on Sunday evening, June 26, and that the House, the floor of the House will reconvene on Monday, June 27, 2016.

Now, at this time I will entertain a motion.

John Lawrence has moved that the House be—

**MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 15**

The SPEAKER. Representative Reed, please proceed, sir. I apologize.

Mr. REED. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to make the motion pursuant to House rule 15. I move that when the House convenes on Monday, June 27, it convene at 11 a.m. instead of 1 p.m.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Representative Dermody, on the motion.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would urge the members to support the motion to convene on Monday at 11 a.m.

The SPEAKER. So the motion is to convene at 11 a.m. on Monday, June 27.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The House is going to be convening at 11 a.m. instead of 1 p.m. on Monday, June 27, 2016.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—190**

Adolph	Evans	Kortz	Rader
Artis	Everett	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Fabrizio	Krueger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barrar	Farry	Lewis	Reed
Benninghoff	Fee	Longietti	Reese
Bizzarro	Flynn	Mackenzie	Regan
Bloom	Frankel	Maher	Roae
Boback	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyle	Gabler	Major	Ross
Bradford	Gainey	Maloney	Rothman
Briggs	Galloway	Markosek	Rozzi
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Gillen	Masser	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Gillespie	Matzie	Sankey
Carroll	Gingrich	McCarter	Santarsiero
Causer	Godshall	McClinton	Santora
Christiana	Goodman	McGinnis	Savage
Conklin	Greiner	Mentzer	Saylor
Corbin	Grove	Metcalfe	Schemel
Costa, D.	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Costa, P.	Hanna	Millard	Schreiber
Cox	Harhai	Miller, B.	Schweyer
Cruz	Harhart	Miller, D.	Simmons
Culver	Harkins	Milne	Sims
Cutler	Harper	Moul	Snyder
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Mullery	Sonney
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Murt	Staats
Davidson	Heffley	Mustio	Stephens
Davis	Helm	Neilson	Sturla
Dawkins	Hennessey	Nelson	Tallman
Day	Hickernell	Nesbit	Tobash
Dean	Hill	Neuman	Toepel
Deasy	Irvin	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	James	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Vitali
Dermody	Kampf	Ortitay	Warner
Diamond	Kaufman	Parker, D.	Watson
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Pashinski	Wentling
Donatucci	Kavulich	Payne	Wheatley
Driscoll	Keller, F.	Peifer	Wheeland
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	White
Dush	Keller, W.	Petri	Youngblood
Ellis	Kim	Pickett	Zimmerman
Emrick	Kinsey	Pyle	
English	Kirkland	Quigley	Turzai,
Evankovich	Klunk	Quinn	Speaker

**NAYS—0****NOT VOTING—0****EXCUSED—12**

Acosta	DeLuca	Metzgar	Toohil
Bullock	Knowles	Taylor	Vereb
Cohen	McNeill	Thomas	Ward

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. Representative Sturla, I do recognize you, but I have to take caucus announcements first.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Sandra Major, for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce Republicans will caucus Sunday evening. That is Sunday, June 26, at 6 p.m. For all Republican members, Sunday evening, June 26, at 6 p.m. I would ask our members please report to caucus at that time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. Representative Frankel, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will also be caucusing at 6 on Sunday evening, 6 on Sunday evening. Thank you.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Representative Adolph, for a committee announcement.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on Sunday evening at 8, there will be a meeting of the House Appropriations Committee in the majority caucus room; 8 p.m. this Sunday. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There will be a meeting of the House Appropriations Committee in the majority caucus room Sunday evening at 8.

### VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Representative Sturla is recognized on unanimous consent.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record. On Tuesday, June 21, on HB 1888, I was recorded in the affirmative on final passage. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Thank you.

### ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. HENNESSEY

The SPEAKER. Representative Tim Hennessey, for an announcement.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For the members of the Aging Committee and the Finance Committee, just a reminder that the committee tour of the lottery facility for tomorrow has been postponed, canceled for tomorrow. We will try to reschedule it at a later date. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

### BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 1871;  
HB 1959;  
SB 1154;  
SB 1192; and  
SB 1225.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Representative O'Neill is recognized for a committee announcement.

Mr. O'NEILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to remind the members of the House Finance Committee that we will be having a voting meeting on Monday morning at 10:30 in 205 Main Capitol. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative O'Neill.

The House Finance Committee will be having a voting meeting on Monday morning at 10:30 in 205 Main Capitol.

### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 946;  
HB 947;  
SB 956;  
SB 1216; and  
SB 1217.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1051 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1051 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED****BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 231, PN 225**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in hunting and furtaking licenses, further providing for authorized license-issuing agents.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 231 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 231 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1290, PN 1721**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 53 (Municipalities Generally), 66 (Public Utilities) and 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in taxicabs and limousines in first class cities, further providing for definitions; in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in powers and duties, providing for financial responsibility of transportation network companies; in contract carrier by motor vehicle and broker, further providing for declaration of policy and definitions; providing for transportation network service; and, in general provisions, further providing for definitions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1290 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 1290 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION**

Mr. REED called up **HR 389, PN 1782**, entitled:

A Resolution appointing a committee and empowering it to make investigation.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

**RESOLUTION TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 389 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 389 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. The motion to adjourn to the time that was voted upon earlier, that the House could come in at 11 a.m. on Monday versus 1 p.m. on Monday, the motion to adjourn.

John Lawrence moves to adjourn until Monday, June 27, 2016, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 5:01 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.