

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2015

SESSION OF 2015

199TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 45

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

The SPEAKER. Our prayer today will be offered by Pastor Glen Bayly of the Christian and Missionary Alliance in Mifflinburg, Pennsylvania. The pastor is a guest of Representative Fred Keller.

PASTOR GLEN BAYLY, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Will you join me in prayer.

Our gracious Heavenly Father, we thank You for this day that You have given to us. We pause at this time to recognize Your presence, to honor You, and to seek Your wisdom and guidance for the business of this day.

We thank You for our many blessings today, for providing for our needs of food and clothing and shelter, for our freedoms in America. We are so blessed. As we look at the world around us, there are so many needs and so many repressive governments, and we are so blessed to have freedom.

We ask You to be with our nation and meet the needs of each individual. Be with the President, President Obama, today and Governor Wolf and our Senators, Toomey and Casey, and our members of Congress. We ask that You will be with the members of this body. As they deliberate today, may they be guided by Your spirit and may they have wisdom from above, and may all that is done here be pleasing in Your sight and beneficial to the people of Pennsylvania.

I pray for the needs of each individual Representative, for their families, for their health, for their finances, for their relationships. I pray for those who have lost loved ones and those who are struggling with disease and other difficulties. We look to You for wisdom and guidance. You are the all-powerful and all-loving one, and it is in Your holy name that we pray. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, June 15, 2015, will be postponed until printed.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 970, PN 1802 (Amended) By Rep. HICKERNELL

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in snowmobiles and all-terrain vehicles, further providing for restricted account, for Snowmobile and ATV Advisory Committee, for operation on private or State property, for operation by persons under age sixteen, for mufflers and noise control and for enforcement personnel and procedures.

TOURISM AND RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT.

HB 1319, PN 1767 By Rep. O'NEILL

An Act providing for the establishment of a savings program by the Treasury Department to encourage eligible individuals with disabilities to save private funds from which the expenses related to their disabilities may be paid to assist them in maintaining health, independence and quality of life.

FINANCE.

BILL REPORTED AND REREFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

HB 1205, PN 1791 By Rep. O'NEILL

An Act establishing the Results First Project; and providing for data collection and analysis.

Reported from Committee on FINANCE with request that it be rereferred to Committee on JUDICIARY.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the bill will be so rereferred.

SENATE MESSAGE**HOUSE BILL
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 863, PN 1047**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE**RECESS RESOLUTION
FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate,
June 15, 2015

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the Senate recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 22, 2015, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the House of Representatives recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 22, 2015, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
Resolution was concurred in.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

HB 863, PN 1047

An Act designating a bridge on that portion of State Route 3006 over Spring Creek, Bellefonte Borough, Centre County, as the Bellefonte Veterans Bridge.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED****No. 399** By Representative DAWKINS

A Resolution recognizing the observance of the Holy Month of Ramadan, a month of reflection and prayer for the Muslim community, which runs from June 18 through July 17, 2015, and the festival of Eid al-Fitr.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 16, 2015.

**HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 820 By Representatives COX, BAKER, BARRAR, BENNINGHOFF, BOBACK, R. BROWN, V. BROWN, COHEN, D. COSTA, SCHLEGEL CULVER, CUTLER, DAVIS, DEAN, DEASY, DeLUCA, DIAMOND, DUNBAR, ELLIS, EVERETT, FARRY, FEE, GABLER, GILLEN, GODSHALL, GOODMAN, GREINER, HAHN, HARHAI, A. HARRIS, HELM, HICKERNELL, PHILLIPS-HILL, IRVIN, KAUFFMAN, M. K. KELLER, KNOWLES, KORTZ, LAWRENCE, LONGIETTI, MACKENZIE, MAJOR, MARSHALL, MARSICO, MATZIE, McGINNIS, MILLARD, MILNE, MOUL, MURT, O'BRIEN, O'NEILL, PASHINSKI, PETRI, PICKETT, PYLE, RAPP, RAVENSTAHL, READSHAW, SAMUELSON, SCHLOSSBERG, SCHREIBER, STEPHENS, THOMAS, WARD, WATSON, YOUNGBLOOD and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.911, No.147), known as the Telemarketer Registration Act, further providing for unlawful acts and penalties and for unwanted telephone solicitation calls prohibited.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, June 16, 2015.

No. 1330 By Representatives ROSS, HARPER, GINGRICH, FREEMAN and M. DALEY

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P.L.723, No.230), known as the Second Class County Code, in fiscal affairs, further providing for limits on counties of the second class.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 16, 2015.

No. 1331 By Representatives ROSS, HARPER, GINGRICH, FREEMAN and M. DALEY

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in consolidated county assessment, further providing for limitation on tax increase after countywide reassessment.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 16, 2015.

No. 1332 By Representatives GODSHALL, FRANKEL, BLOOM, MARKOSEK, QUIGLEY, BROWNLEE, MURT, IRVIN, MILLARD, DRISCOLL, McNEILL, GREINER, McGINNIS, O'BRIEN, JAMES, GROVE, CUTLER, EVERETT, KINSEY, KOTIK, READSHAW, SAYLOR, HARPER, W. KELLER, RADER, YOUNGBLOOD, QUINN, D. COSTA, KORTZ, TAYLOR, MILNE, PASHINSKI, A. HARRIS, HARHAI, COHEN and MENTZER

An Act amending Titles 24 (Education) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in retirement for school employees, further providing for definitions and for construction of part; providing for notice to members; further providing for credited school service, for creditable nonschool service, for eligibility for annuities, for eligibility for vesting, for eligibility for

refunds, for regular member contributions for current service, for pickup contributions, for return of accumulated contributions, for maximum single life annuity, for disability annuities, for member's options, for termination of annuities, for death benefits, for payment of benefits, for duties of board regarding applications and elections of members, for duties of employers, and for rights and duties of school employees and members; in retirement for State employees and officers, further providing for definitions, for credited State service, for creditable nonstate service, for Social Security integration credits, for eligibility for annuities, for eligibility for vesting, for eligibility for special vesting, for eligibility for refunds, for regular member contributions for current service, for Social Security integration member contributions, for waiver of regular member contributions and Social Security integration member contributions, for member contributions for the purchase of credit for previous State service or to become a full coverage member, for contributions for the purchase of credit for creditable nonstate service, for incomplete payments, for return of total accumulated deductions, for maximum single life annuity, for disability annuities, for member's options, for termination of annuities, for death benefits, for payment of benefits, for duties of board to advise and report to heads of departments and members, for duties of the board regarding application and elections of members, for duties of heads of departments, for rights and duties of State employees and members, and for taxation, attachment and assignment of funds; and providing for construction of part with respect to the Internal Revenue Code.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 16, 2015.

No. 1333 By Representatives THOMAS, V. BROWN, KINSEY, GROVE and COHEN

An Act amending the act of December 1, 1977 (P.L.237, No.76), known as the Local Economic Revitalization Tax Assistance Act, further providing for definitions; establishing the School Revitalization Fund; and further providing for exemption schedule.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 16, 2015.

No. 1334 By Representatives KLUNK, THOMAS, MILLARD, R. BROWN, KOTIK, SAINATO, WHITE, CAUSER, MARSICO, GROVE, COHEN, IRVIN, DAVIS and WARNER

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1981 (P.L.214, No.67), known as the Bingo Law, further providing for rules for licensing and operation and for penalties.

Referred to Committee on GAMING OVERSIGHT, June 16, 2015.

No. 1335 By Representatives LAWRENCE, DIAMOND, ENGLISH, GODSHALL, IRVIN, MILLARD, O'NEILL, PICKETT, ROZZI, TRUITT and MENTZER

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in powers of department and local authorities, further providing for emergency telephones along Pennsylvania Turnpike.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 16, 2015.

No. 1336 By Representatives CUTLER, DEAN, M. DALEY, BRIGGS, SIMS, McCARTER, LAWRENCE, ROSS, ZIMMERMAN, KAMPF, EVANKOVICH, MURT, ACOSTA, BROWNLEE, DAVIS, ROZZI, SCHREIBER, DiGIROLAMO, QUINN, DeLISSIO, MULLERY and VITALI

A Joint Resolution proposing integrated amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, changing and adding provisions relating to selection of justices and judges.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 16, 2015.

No. 1337 By Representatives SANTARSIERO and GALLOWAY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in size, weight and load, further providing for permit for movement during course of manufacture.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 16, 2015.

No. 1338 By Representatives SNYDER, BISHOP, McNEILL, THOMAS, YOUNGBLOOD, COHEN, MATZIE, DeLUCA, GIBBONS, MURT, DONATUCCI, ROZZI, BROWNLEE, PASHINSKI, KORTZ and BIZZARRO

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in mediation, further providing for mediation programs and for fees and costs; and in child custody, further providing for parenting plan.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 16, 2015.

No. 1339 By Representatives PYLE, KOTIK, WARNER, REESE, ROZZI, DIAMOND, GROVE, MURT, METCALFE, HARHAI, TOOHL, BOBACK, HEFFLEY, SANKEY, EVERETT, WATSON, B. MILLER, BAKER, M. K. KELLER, KORTZ, SONNEY, METZGAR, COHEN, JOZWIAK and IRVIN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in burglary and other criminal intrusion, providing for the offense of criminal surveillance.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 16, 2015.

No. 1340 By Representatives WHITE, MURT, THOMAS, TOPPER, PETRI, GROVE, TAYLOR, COHEN and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending Title 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in condominiums, further providing for creation, alteration and termination of condominiums and for management of condominiums; and, in planned communities, further providing for creation, alteration and termination of planned communities and for management of planned communities.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 16, 2015.

No. 1341 By Representatives KOTIK, DeLUCA, MUSTIO, FREEMAN, SCHWEYER, MURT, THOMAS, WHEELAND, O'NEILL, DUSH, SONNEY, MAJOR, MILLARD, V. BROWN, COHEN, READSHAW, CALTAGIRONE, DiGIROLAMO, RAVENSTAHL, FARINA, McNEILL, HENNESSEY, P. DALEY, EVERETT, VEREB, MARSICO, W. KELLER, GODSHALL, GROVE, COX, MAHONEY, GOODMAN, FRANKEL, GIBBONS, M. K. KELLER, BENNINGHOFF and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act designating a portion of State Route 51 in the Borough of Coraopolis, Allegheny County, as the Fred A. Trello Memorial Boulevard.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 16, 2015.

No. 1342 By Representatives KAUFFMAN, BAKER, DiGIROLAMO, HICKERNELL, HARHART, SAINATO, COHEN, MARSICO, LONGIETTI, V. BROWN, THOMAS, WHEELAND, HENNESSEY, DUSH, SONNEY, MAJOR, MURT, MILLARD, DONATUCCI, GROVE, EVERETT, HAHN, COX, MAHONEY, GOODMAN, A. HARRIS, ELLIS, PAYNE, TALLMAN, TOEPEL, M. K. KELLER, BENNINGHOFF, FARINA and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act designating a portion of State Route 1008 in Fayetteville, Franklin County, as the James C. Cutchall Memorial Highway.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 16, 2015.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The majority whip requests leave of absence for Representative PETRI of Bucks County for the day. That leave will be granted.

Representative Hanna, the minority whip, requests leaves of absence for Representative DeLUCA of Allegheny County for the day, Representative KINSEY of Philadelphia County for the day, Representative MAHONEY of Fayette County for the day, and Representative THOMAS of Philadelphia County for the day. That request for those leaves of absence will be granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—192

Table listing names of members present: Acosta, Adolph, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bizzarro, Bloom, Boback, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Brown, R., Brown, V., Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Cohen, Conklin, Corbin, Costa, D., Evankovich, Evans, Everett, Fabrizio, Farina, Farry, Fee, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Gainey, Galloway, Gergely, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Greiner, Grove, Conklin, Hanna, Harhai, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Lawrence, Lewis, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Major, Maloney, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McCarter, McGinnis, McNeill, Mentzer, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, B., Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Regan, Roae, Roebuck, Ross, Rozzi, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Sankey, Santarsiero, Santora, Saylor, Schemel, Schreiber, Schwyer, Simmons, Sims, Snyder, Sonney

Table listing names of members: Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Cutler, Daley, M., Daley, P., Davidson, Davis, Dawkins, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, Dermody, Diamond, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Driscoll, Dunbar, Dush, Ellis, Emrick, English, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, A., Harris, J., Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hickernell, Hill, Irvin, James, Jozwiak, Kampf, Kaufer, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Killion, Kim, Kirkland, Klunk, Knowles, Miller, D., Milne, Moul, Mullery, Murt, Mustio, Nesbit, Neuman, O'Brien, O'Neill, Oberlander, Ortitay, Parker, C., Parker, D., Pashinski, Payne, Peifer, Petrarca, Pickett, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rader, Rapp, Staats, Stephens, Sturla, Tallman, Taylor, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Topper, Truitt, Vereb, Vitali, Ward, Warner, Watson, Wentling, Wheatley, Wheeland, White, Youngblood, Zimmerman, Turzai, Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Table listing names of members: DeLuca, Gabler, Kinsey, Mahoney, Petri, Thomas

LEAVES CANCELED—1

Thomas

The SPEAKER. One hundred and ninety-two members having voted on the master roll, a quorum is present.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Matthew Osenbach, who is shadowing the majority whip, Representative Cutler, for the day. He is actually an intern with Representative Sue Helm. Please stand. Thanks for being with us.

Rachel Frye, could you please stand. Rachel is a guest today of Representative John Payne, and she is shadowing in the Reporter's Office today. It is great to have you here today. Thank you so much.

Nathan Danielson, could you please rise. Nathan is volunteering for the summer in Representative Steve Bloom's office. He attends Grove City College where he is majoring in history. Thanks for being with us today. You may know that your former president, Dick Jewell, is a good friend of many here and actually had the opportunity to speak to this body upon his retirement.

Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Scott Schlegel. Scott, could you please rise. He is the guest of Representative Mackenzie, and he is seated with his friend, Molly, and his parents, Patricia and David. If they could rise as well. Great to have you here today. Representative Mackenzie may be talking about this later, but I understand that he placed first in the high jump at the New Balance Indoor Track and

Field Championship in New York. Thanks for joining us today. Located in the rear of the House, could Brittney Waylen and Sahil Sharma please stand. Great to have you. They are guests of Representative Ryan Mackenzie as well. Thank you so much for being with us today.

In the rear of the House, will Danielle Obert please stand up, Danielle Obert. Danielle is interning with Representative Tina Davis and is her guest today. Thanks for being with us today, Danielle.

In the gallery, probably the best view in the House, we welcome Ray and Lisa Marple. Could you please stand, Ray and Lisa. Good to see you. They are from West Whiteland Township, Chester County, and they are guests of Representative Duane Milne, and they are supporters of the Chester Council of the Boy Scouts. Thank you for being with us today.

In the gallery, is Alex Tuturice here, Alex Tuturice? Alex, nice to see you, sir. He is an intern in Representative Bob Freeman's district office. And, Alex, I understand you are a student attending Shippensburg State University. Welcome with us today. Thank you.

UNCONTESTED SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. WHEATLEY called up **HR 385, PN 1801**, entitled:

A Resolution designating June 19, 2015, as "World Sickle Cell Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Readshaw
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Rozzi
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash

Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

DeLuca	Kinsey	Petri	Thomas
Gabler	Mahoney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. M. KELLER called up **HR 383, PN 1748**, entitled:

A Resolution commemorating the 175th anniversary of the Borough of Newport.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Readshaw
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Rozzi
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons

Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

DeLuca	Kinsey	Petri	Thomas
Gabler	Mahoney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

The SPEAKER. Members, if you would please – members, please take your seats. Members, please take your seats.

We have a very serious naming of State Route 422, please. If everybody could please take your seats. We have the family with us as well. Thank you, members.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 987, PN 1272**, entitled:

An Act designating a portion of State Route 422 in Lebanon County as the Officer Michael H. Wise II Memorial Highway.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On the question, Representative Diamond, the floor is yours. Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, distinguished colleagues, I rise today to ask your support of HB 987, which would designate a portion of U.S. Route 422 in Lebanon County as the "Officer Michael H. Wise II Memorial Highway."

On June 4, 2004, Officer Wise was killed in a shootout while attempting to apprehend an armed suspect who was fleeing from police. He was an honorable member of the Reading Police Department, where he lived out his dream of a career in law enforcement.

Officer Wise is survived by his wife, daughter, parents, sister, and extended family. Sadly, his daughter was only 22 months old at the time of his death.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

Mr. DIAMOND. We are honored to have with us today Officer Wise's parents, Michael and Karen Wise; his cousins, Jennifer Reigle and Kori Harter; and their sons, Mitchell Reigle, Matthew Reigle, Cael Harter, and Rogan Harter. Colleagues, please join me in welcoming them to the House.

No one would have been more surprised or honored that legislators were taking time to rename a public roadway after him than Michael Wise himself. Officer Wise was not a person who sought fame or glory. He was humble and never wanted to draw attention to himself. His concern was always for other people. He exemplified this sense of duty in the career paths he chose.

Born and raised in Lebanon County and a 1990 graduate of Cedar Crest High School, Michael first pursued an education in emergency medicine. He graduated in 1993 from the Center for Emergency Medicine in Pittsburgh and then served as an EMT (emergency medical technician) and paramedic for First Aid and Safety Patrol in Lebanon. Later, he moved back to Pittsburgh to serve as a paramedic. Like so many good sons and daughters of Lebanon County do, he returned to his roots 6 years later, settling in Myerstown to raise a family with his wife and to continue his career in public service.

Michael Wise was hired by the city of Reading and graduated in 2002 from the Reading Police Academy. He was a young officer who was taken from us far too soon. As much as his life was about service to others, Officer Wise's legacy continues to inspire service today.

In 2006 the Michael H. Wise II Foundation was established. This organization raises funds through a variety of means, including an annual motorcycle ride, a golf outing, and a 5K run. The proceeds are dedicated to helping police officers and firefighters, as well as providing scholarships to Cedar Crest students and children of Reading police officers and firefighters. These contributions have been especially helpful in Lebanon County, where organizations have received dollars for purposes ranging from purchasing AED (automated external defibrillator) devices to supporting K-9 units.

The family of Officer Wise appreciates your support for renaming U.S. Route 422 after their son, husband, father, brother, cousin, and friend. Still, their desire is that his name not only will point out the sacrifice that Michael himself made, but also that of all men and women who protect and serve our communities in uniform. Michael's family hopes that by

drawing attention to his life of service and the dangers he faced, that the public will continue to grow in their respect and appreciation for all first responders.

Again, I request your support for HB 987.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Members, if you would please stand for a moment of silence to honor the fallen officer.

(Whereupon, the members of the House and all visitors stood in a moment of silence in solemn respect to the memory of Officer Michael H. Wise II.)

The SPEAKER. Please take your seats.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Readshaw
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farry	Longiotti	Roae
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Rozzi
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Verbe
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland

Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

DeLuca	Kinsey	Petri	Thomas
Gabler	Mahoney		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. We are going to turn to announcements.

RULES AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETINGS

The SPEAKER. Representative Adolph, the Appropriations chair, for the first announcement.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to announce two meetings.

There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room. Then following that meeting, there will be an Appropriations meeting in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the Appropriations conference room. Then following that meeting, there will be an Appropriations meeting in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The majority caucus chair, Representative Sandra Major.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce Republicans will caucus today at 12 noon. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus at 12 o'clock. We would be prepared to come back on the floor, Mr. Speaker, at 2 p.m. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Madam Chair.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The minority caucus chair, Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 12 o'clock. Democrats will caucus at 12 o'clock. Thank you.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PAYNE

The SPEAKER. Representative John Payne, chairman of the Gaming Oversight Committee.

Mr. PAYNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Masonic Caucus will have an emergency meeting in room 60, East Wing – Masonic Caucus members, 60 East Wing.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Payne.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Members, we will stand in recess until 2 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 123, PN 108 By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in bonds and recognizances, further providing for bail to be governed by general rules.

JUDICIARY.

HB 758, PN 1825 (Amended) By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in judgments and other liens, further providing for personal earnings exempt from process; and, in sentencing, further providing for payment of court costs, restitution and fines.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1070, PN 1350 By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for collection of restitution, reparation, fees, costs, fines and penalties.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1089, PN 1406 By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for collection of restitution, reparation, fees, costs, fines and penalties.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1167, PN 1826 (Amended) By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1998 (P.L.882, No.111), known as the Crime Victims Act, in enforcement, providing for State income tax intercept.

JUDICIARY.

**BILL REPORTED AND REREFERRED TO
COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 655, PN 754 By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitation of time, further providing for unlimited time to bring civil actions, for tolling limitations of civil actions, for unlimited time to bring criminal actions and for limitation of serious criminal actions.

Referred from Committee on JUDICIARY with request that it be rereferred to Committee on RULES.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the bill will be so rereferred.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEES

HB 97, PN 87 By Rep. REED

An Act providing for the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; authorizing and directing the Pennsylvania State Police to establish and maintain the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; assessing costs; and providing for immunity and penalties.

RULES.

HB 235, PN 229 By Rep. REED

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for the offense of impersonating a public servant; and, in equipment of authorized and emergency vehicles, for visual and audible signals on emergency vehicles.

RULES.

HB 308, PN 1751 By Rep. REED

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage ceremony, further providing for persons qualified to solemnize marriages.

RULES.

HB 903, PN 1123 By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in corporate powers, further providing for building and housing regulations and repealing provisions relating to building and housing inspectors; and providing for Uniform Construction Code, property maintenance code and reserved powers.

RULES.

HB 904, PN 1124 By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P.L.1206, No.331), known as The First Class Township Code, in corporate powers, further providing for powers of the board of township commissioners as to building and housing regulations and inspectors; and providing for Uniform Construction Code, property maintenance code and reserved powers.

RULES.

HB 906, PN 1103

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P.L.1206, No.331), known as The First Class Township Code, in general provisions relating to township officers, further providing for failure of officer to perform duties.

RULES.

HB 907, PN 1125

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), known as the Real Estate Tax Sale Law, in short title and definitions, further providing for definitions.

RULES.

HB 908, PN 1104

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in township officers generally, further providing for removal for failure to perform duties.

RULES.

HB 909, PN 1126

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), known as the Real Estate Tax Sale Law, in sale of property, providing for additional costs for rehabilitation and maintenance.

RULES.

HB 910, PN 1105

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of May 27, 1953 (P.L.249, No.35), entitled "An act providing that the town councils of incorporated towns shall have the right to declare vacant the seats of councilmen or presidents of town councils for failure to qualify and for failure to attend meetings or vote upon questions before the council," further providing for removal of town officers and for vacancies.

RULES.

HB 1256, PN 1648

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of December 31, 1965 (P.L.1257, No.511), known as The Local Tax Enabling Act, in optional occupational tax elimination, further providing for income tax rate limits.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 485, PN 425

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for the offense of impersonating a notary public or a holder of a professional or occupational license.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HB 188, PN 1828** (Amended)

By Rep. REED

An Act amending the act of June 30, 1981 (P.L.128, No.43), known as the Agricultural Area Security Law, further providing for definitions and for the purchase of agricultural conservation easements.

RULES.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 622, PN 644**, entitled:

An Act providing for elimination of certain reporting duties of the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 699, PN 683**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the disposition of certain lands situate in Plymouth Township, Luzerne County, subject to Project 70 restrictions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 397, PN 1027**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in bonds and recognizances, amending provisions relating to professional bondsmen and providing for authorization to conduct business within each county, for forfeited undertaking, for private cause of action and for third party sureties.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MARSICO** offered the following amendment
No. **A02028**:

Amend Bill, page 17, line 17, by inserting after "MONTHS"
OR IF A PENALTY IS WARRANTED UNDER SECTION
5750(C) (RELATING TO THIRD PARTY SURETIES)

Amend Bill, page 17, line 30, by striking out "OWED TO THE
COUNTY" and inserting

and penalties under section 5750(c)(2)(i)
 Amend Bill, page 18, line 11, by inserting after
 "FORFEITURES"
and penalties, providing the basis for issuance of the
order.
 Amend Bill, page 18, line 16, by striking out "DUE" and
 inserting
and penalties

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes
 Representative Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a technical amendment. It contains language
 requested by the Insurance Department to make clear that the
 department may discipline bail bondsmen for other misconduct
 leading to a civil penalty imposed by the court but not just the
 authority to pay for the forfeited bail.

So once again, a technical amendment. I appreciate the
 members' support. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Readshaw
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roe
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Rozzi
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley

DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

DeLuca	Kinsey	Petri	Thomas
Gabler	Mahoney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question
 was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was
 agreed to.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as
 amended?
 Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 97,**
PN 87, entitled:

An Act providing for the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory;
 authorizing and directing the Pennsylvania State Police to establish and
 maintain the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; assessing costs;
 and providing for immunity and penalties.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
 Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 235,**
PN 229, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 75
 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification
 and intimidation, further providing for the offense of impersonating a
 public servant; and, in equipment of authorized and emergency
 vehicles, for visual and audible signals on emergency vehicles.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. Representative Keller, I understand you
 have an amendment filed. It is my understanding— Please, you
 have the floor, sir.

Sir, will you be withdrawing that amendment?

Mr. W. KELLER. Not at this point, Mr. Speaker.
It is my understanding that this is going to be ruled out of order. Is that correct?

The SPEAKER. Sir, if the amendment is in— After talking to the Parliamentarian, this particular amendment violates the single subject with respect to the underlying legislation.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. W. KELLER. I do not know whom to ask this question to, Mr. Speaker. Maybe you could direct my question.

The SPEAKER. Please, go right ahead with a point of order.

Mr. W. KELLER. The point of order that this bill, the entire bill, deals with combating fraud, and I also think this amendment deals with combating fraud, and it would give the people of Pennsylvania a chance to stop fraud being imposed upon them.

The SPEAKER. Sir, the underlying bill specifically addresses the offense of impersonating public servants, particularly police officers. And, sir, your proposed amendment deals with identity theft tax credit. Given that they are very fairly, clearly separate subjects, two separate subjects, this particular amendment has been ruled out of order with respect to HB 235, PN 229.

Mr. W. KELLER. Okay. Thank you for that explanation, Mr. Speaker. I will be withdrawing the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 308, PN 1751**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage ceremony, further providing for persons qualified to solemnize marriages.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **PYLE** offered the following amendment No. **A02029**:

- Amend Bill, page 1, line 6, by inserting after "1503(a)(2)" and (5.1)
- Amend Bill, page 1, line 7, by striking out "is" and inserting are
- Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 23 and 24
 - (5.1) A former mayor of a city or borough of this Commonwealth who:
 - (i) has not been defeated for reelection;
 - (ii) has not been convicted of, pleaded nolo contendere to or agreed to an Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition or other probation without verdict program relative to a misdemeanor or felony offense under the laws of this Commonwealth or an equivalent offense under the laws of the United States or any one of its possessions, another state, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico or a foreign nation;
 - (iii) has not resigned the position of mayor to avoid having charges filed or to avoid prosecution by

- Federal, State or local law enforcement agencies;
- (iv) has served as a mayor[, whether continuously or not,] by election [for an aggregate of a full term in office]; and
- (v) is a resident of this Commonwealth.

* * *

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes Representative Pyle.

Mr. **PYLE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A02029 changes the rules of officials who can perform weddings within the Commonwealth. Under current law, any mayor of any borough lawfully elected can perform a wedding. A few years ago we tightened that up to any mayor who has completed a full term without conviction or any other onus bringing disrepute to the office.

What this amendment does is it allows mayors who have been elected without completion of a full term, who still are without conviction or mark of disrepute, to continue to perform weddings. That is pretty much it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is agreed to. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Chairman Caltagirone.

Mr. **CALTAGIRONE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is an agreed-to amendment. And I might add that we have several members in here that were former mayors that would certainly appreciate your "yes" vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Readshaw
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Regan
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Rozzi
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Santora
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schemel
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schlossberg
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Sims
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Staats
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stephens
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman

Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

DeLuca	Kinsey	Petri	Thomas
Gabler	Mahoney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Representative Matzie is recognized.
Mr. MATZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
In the interest of good minds thinking alike, I had submitted a similar amendment to the gentleman from Armstrong. Therefore, I will be withdrawing my amendment that is forthcoming on the schedule. Thank you.
The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Representative Matzie.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. It is my understanding that Representative Thomas is back on the floor and will be placed on the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 308 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 903, PN 1123**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in corporate powers, further providing for building and housing regulations and repealing provisions relating to building and housing inspectors; and providing for Uniform Construction Code, property maintenance code and reserved powers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **GINGRICH** offered the following amendment
No. **A02008**:

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 19 and 20, by striking out "Uniform Construction Code" and inserting
act of November 10, 1999 (P.L.491, No.45), known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes Representative Gingrich.

Mrs. GINGRICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to point out this is a technical amendment, and it changes the reference from the Uniform Construction Code to the PA Construction Act, which it should be in the first place. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you so much.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-192

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman

Culver	Harris, A.	Murt	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Mustio	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Nesbit	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	O'Brien	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Ortitay	Vereb
Dean	James	Parker, C.	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, D.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Pashinski	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Payne	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Quigley	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quinn	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Rader	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rapp	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Ravenstahl	Speaker
English	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Mullery

EXCUSED-5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is my understanding there are no other amendments.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 904, PN 1124**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P.L.1206, No.331), known as The First Class Township Code, in corporate powers, further providing for powers of the board of township commissioners as to building and housing regulations and inspectors; and providing for Uniform Construction Code, property maintenance code and reserved powers.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 906, PN 1103**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P.L.1206, No.331), known as The First Class Township Code, in general provisions relating to township officers, further providing for failure of officer to perform duties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 907, PN 1125**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), known as the Real Estate Tax Sale Law, in short title and definitions, further providing for definitions.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 908, PN 1104**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in township officers generally, further providing for removal for failure to perform duties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 909, PN 1126**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), known as the Real Estate Tax Sale Law, in sale of property, providing for additional costs for rehabilitation and maintenance.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 910, PN 1105**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 27, 1953 (P.L.249, No.35), entitled "An act providing that the town councils of incorporated towns shall have the right to declare vacant the seats of councilmen or presidents of town councils for failure to qualify and for failure to attend meetings or vote upon questions before the council," further providing for removal of town officers and for vacancies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1256, PN 1648**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 31, 1965 (P.L.1257, No.511), known as The Local Tax Enabling Act, in optional occupational tax elimination, further providing for income tax rate limits.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner

DeLozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 485, PN 425**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for the offense of impersonating a notary public or a holder of a professional or occupational license.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor

Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causser	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai, Speaker
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1276, PN 1686**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child protective services, further providing for definitions, for persons required to report suspected child abuse, for access to information in Statewide database, for release of information in confidential reports, for employees having contact with children and adoptive and foster parents, for information relating to certified or registered day-care home residents, for volunteers having contact with children, for continued employment or participation in program, activity or service, for certification compliance, for education and training and for mandatory reporting of children under one year of age.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mrs. **WATSON** offered the following amendment
No. A01885:

Amend Bill, page 7, line 19, by striking out "and who is a person" and inserting
with a program, activity or service, as a person

Amend Bill, page 7, line 21, by striking out "through a program, activity or service"

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, Chairwoman Watson is recognized.

Mrs. **WATSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A01885 simply repositions—

The **SPEAKER**. Members, please take your seats.

The gentlelady will suspend. Members are asked to please take their seats.

HB 1276 has 16 amendments at this time. We can move through this fairly expeditiously, allowing for full debate, but we do need members to be in their seats and to give the speakers an opportunity to present the rationale behind the amendments.

We are going to begin with Chairwoman Watson's amendments, amendment 1885, and she will be speaking on that. I would ask you to please give her your attention.

Representative Watson.

Mrs. **WATSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you to the members.

Amendment 1885 simply repositions the phrase "through a program, activity or service" in such a way as to better qualify which employees are subject to background clearance requirements. Please remember that overarching— And what this bill is and what this amendment does is we want to narrow and make clearer the requirements, who is it that really needs to have a background check?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. Does anybody else wish to speak on this particular amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey

Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalf	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Veréb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. **WATSON** offered the following amendment
No. **A01886**:

Amend Bill, page 13, line 5, by inserting a bracket before "welfare"

Amend Bill, page 13, line 5, by inserting after "child"
] child's welfare

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Watson.

Mrs. **WATSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is really a technical amendment. It simply changes "welfare of a child" to "child's welfare." Remember, I am the former English teacher. We have got to get this right, and that is then consistent with other references throughout the bill. So indeed, it is a technical amendment. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. Anybody else wish to be recognized on the amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalf	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Veréb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca Kinsey Mahoney Petri
Gabler

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. Representative Stephens, you are recognized. I believe you need a motion to suspend.

Mr. STEPHENS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On that motion, go right ahead, Representative Stephens.

Mr. STEPHENS. I was just moving to suspend the rules for consideration of amendment A02192.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. Chairwoman Watson, on the motion to suspend.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I may, Mr. Speaker, I know we are speaking on suspension. I would encourage members to suspend. This amendment, when you get to it, has been parsed literally word by word, which is why it took all the time that it did. But I would encourage members, even those who do not normally do it, to vote for suspension.

Thank you so much.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to suspend, Leader Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would also urge the members to support the motion to suspend the rules.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to suspend, Majority Leader Dave Reed.

Mr. REED. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We would ask the members to support the motion to suspend. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Members, anybody else wish to— Oh, nobody else; I apologize. Nobody else can speak on the motion to suspend.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Acosta	Emrick	Killion	Readshaw
Adolph	Evankovich	Kim	Reed
Baker	Evans	Kirkland	Regan
Barbin	Everett	Klunk	Roebuck
Barrar	Fabrizio	Knowles	Ross
Benninghoff	Farina	Kortz	Rozzi

Bishop	Farry	Kotik	Saccone
Bizzarro	Fee	Lawrence	Sainato
Bloom	Flynn	Lewis	Samuelson
Boback	Frankel	Longietti	Sankey
Boyle	Freeman	Mackenzie	Santarsiero
Bradford	Gainey	Maher	Santora
Briggs	Galloway	Major	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gergely	Maloney	Schlossberg
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Markosek	Schreiber
Burns	Gillen	Marshall	Schweyer
Caltagirone	Gillespie	Marsico	Simmons
Carroll	Gingrich	Masser	Sims
Causer	Godshall	Matzie	Snyder
Christiana	Goodman	McCarter	Sonney
Cohen	Greiner	McNeill	Staats
Conklin	Grove	Mentzer	Stephens
Corbin	Hahn	Metcalfe	Sturla
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miccarelli	Taylor
Costa, P.	Harhai	Millard	Thomas
Cox	Harhart	Murt	Tobash
Cruz	Harkins	Mustio	Toepel
Culver	Harper	Nesbit	Toohil
Cutler	Harris, A.	Neuman	Topper
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	O'Brien	Truitt
Daley, P.	Heffley	O'Neill	Vereb
Davis	Helm	Oberlander	Vitali
Dawkins	Hennessey	Parker, C.	Ward
Day	Hickernell	Parker, D.	Warner
Dean	Hill	Pashinski	Watson
Deasy	Irvin	Payne	Wentling
DeLissio	James	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLozier	Jozwiak	Pickett	Wheeland
Dermody	Kampf	Pyle	White
DiGirolamo	Kaufner	Quigley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kauffman	Quinn	Zimmerman
Driscoll	Kavulich	Rader	
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Rapp	Turzai,
Dush	Keller, W.	Ravenstahl	Speaker
Ellis			

NAYS—18

Davidson	McGinnis	Moul	Reese
Diamond	Metzgar	Mullery	Roae
English	Miller, B.	Ortitay	Schemel
Keller, F.	Miller, D.	Peifer	Tallman
Krieger	Milne		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca Kinsey Mahoney Petri
Gabler

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. STEPHENS offered the following amendment No. A02192:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 13 and 14, by striking out ""direct contact with children,""
Amend Bill, page 2, line 30; page 3, lines 1 through 3; by striking out all of said lines on said pages
Amend Bill, page 5, line 6, by striking out "Regular, repeated and

continual" and inserting

Regular and repeated

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(3) A volunteer who provides episodic, infrequent or intermittent services to a program, activity or service and who is within the immediate vicinity of at least one individual who is responsible for the child's welfare and has submitted the information under section 6344(b)(1) and (2) shall be exempt from this section. As used in this paragraph, the term "immediate vicinity" means an area subject to sight, hearing and direction and assessment of activity.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative, you are recognized on the amendment.

Mr. STEPHENS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, A02192 is an effort to refine HB 1276 and make sure we try to preserve as much of the mandatory reporting provisions and the perpetrator definitions as we possibly can, while still acknowledging we needed to clarify some of the provisions regarding background checks, particularly for our volunteers.

So this amendment has been worked through with a number of the stakeholders in an effort to try to accomplish that goal, and respectfully, Mr. Speaker, I believe we have gotten to that place in a much more concise manner that will hopefully help our volunteer organizations understand who is subject to the background check requirements and who is not, without hurting our mandatory reporting provisions which so many people lauded last session when we passed them.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Chairwoman Watson is recognized on amendment 2192.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an amendment that really, as I said before, has been reached as an agreement in trying to capture what we wanted and to say to the people that are listening or watching, and that is, we were trying to narrow the scope – and we think we have done a lot here – to narrow the scope of those who really need a background check. We really want them to have that for the benefit of children, and at the same time, we were not trying to limit those who are already mandatory reporters.

So again, the words, sometimes we think words do not matter, but these words really do matter, and this is the compromise and agreement that gets at that issue. Many have had a hand in it, and I certainly thank Representative Stephens for bringing things to our attention and for working with us on this.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Conklin, on the amendment.

Mr. CONKLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am also encouraging the members to vote for this amendment. As Chairwoman Watson indicated, it is very important and crucial to this bill. So I am asking the members for a "yes" vote as well.

The SPEAKER. Does any other member wish to be recognized on this amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—181

Acosta	Ellis	Kim	Readshaw
Adolph	Emrick	Kirkland	Reed
Baker	English	Klunk	Reese
Barbin	Evankovich	Kortz	Regan
Barrar	Evans	Kotik	Roae
Benninghoff	Everett	Lawrence	Roebuck
Bishop	Fabrizio	Lewis	Ross
Bizzarro	Farina	Longietti	Rozzi
Bloom	Farry	Mackenzie	Saccone
Boback	Fee	Maher	Sainato
Boyle	Flynn	Major	Samuelson
Bradford	Frankel	Maloney	Sankey
Briggs	Freeman	Markosek	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gainey	Marshall	Santora
Brown, V.	Galloway	Marsico	Saylor
Burns	Gergely	Masser	Schlossberg
Caltagirone	Gibbons	Matzie	Schreiber
Carroll	Gillen	McCarter	Schweyer
Causser	Gillespie	McNeill	Simmons
Christiana	Gingrich	Mentzer	Sims
Cohen	Godshall	Miccarelli	Snyder
Conklin	Goodman	Millard	Sonney
Corbin	Greiner	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, D.	Grove	Miller, D.	Stephens
Costa, P.	Hahn	Milne	Sturla
Cox	Hanna	Moul	Taylor
Cruz	Harhai	Mullery	Thomas
Culver	Harhart	Murt	Tobash
Cutler	Harkins	Mustio	Toepel
Daley, M.	Harper	Nesbit	Toohil
Daley, P.	Harris, A.	Neuman	Truitt
Davidson	Harris, J.	O'Brien	Vereb
Davis	Helm	Oberlander	Vitali
Dawkins	Hennessey	Ortitay	Ward
Day	Hickernell	Parker, C.	Warner
Dean	Hill	Parker, D.	Watson
Deasy	Irvin	Pashinski	Wentling
DeLissio	James	Payne	Wheatley
Delozier	Jozwiak	Petrarca	Whealand
Dermody	Kampf	Pickett	White
Diamond	Kaufner	Pyle	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Quigley	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Kavulich	Quinn	
Driscoll	Keller, M.K.	Rader	Turzai,
Dunbar	Keller, W.	Rapp	Speaker
Dush	Killion	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—11

Heffley	Krieger	Metzgar	Tallman
Keller, F.	McGinnis	Peifer	Topper
Knowles	Metcalfe	Schemel	

NOT VOTING—1

O'Neill

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Representative O'Neill.

Mr. O'NEILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I actually had a button malfunction, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on that previous amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative O'Neill will be marked in the affirmative on amendment 2192. He will be marked in the affirmative.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1276 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. CONKLIN offered the following amendment No. **A01974**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 16, by striking out "service" and inserting

service," "school"

Amend Bill, page 1, line 19, by striking out "a definition" and inserting

definitions

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

"Institution of higher education." Any of the following:

(1) A community college which is an institution now or hereafter created pursuant to Article XIX-A of the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, or the act of August 24, 1963 (P.L.1132, No.484), known as the Community College Act of 1963.

(2) An independent institution of higher education which is an institution of higher education, operated not for profit, located in and incorporated or chartered by the Commonwealth, entitled to confer degrees as set forth in 24 Pa.C.S. § 6505 (relating to power to confer degrees) and entitled to apply to itself the designation "college" or "university" as provided for by standards and qualifications prescribed by the State Board of Education under 24 Pa.C.S. Ch. 65 (relating to private colleges, universities and seminaries).

(3) A State-owned university.

(4) A State-related university.

* * *

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 "School." A facility providing elementary, secondary or postsecondary educational services. The term includes the following:

- (1) Any school of a school district.
- (2) An area vocational-technical school.
- (3) A joint school.
- (4) An intermediate unit.
- (5) A charter school or regional charter school.
- (6) A cyber charter school.
- (7) A private school licensed under the act of January 28, 1988 (P.L.24, No.11), known as the Private Academic Schools Act.

(8) A private school accredited by an accrediting association approved by the State Board of Education.

(9) A nonpublic school.

(10) [A community college which is an institution now or hereafter created pursuant to Article XIX-A of the act of

March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, or the act of August 24, 1963 (P.L.1132, No.484), known as the Community College Act of 1963.

(11) An independent institution of higher education which is an institution of higher education which is operated not for profit, located in and incorporated or chartered by the Commonwealth, entitled to confer degrees as set forth in 24 Pa.C.S. § 6505 (relating to power to confer degrees) and entitled to apply to itself the designation "college" or "university" as provided for by standards and qualifications prescribed by the State Board of Education pursuant to 24 Pa.C.S. Ch. 65 (relating to private colleges, universities and seminaries).

(12) A State-owned university.

(13) A State-related university.] An institution of higher education.

(14) A private school licensed under the act of December 15, 1986 (P.L.1585, No.174), known as the Private Licensed Schools Act.

(15) The Hiram G. Andrews Center.

(16) A private residential rehabilitative institution as defined in section 914.1-A(c) of the Public School Code of 1949. Amend Bill, page 8, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting

(2) (i) School employees not governed by the provisions of

Amend Bill, page 8, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

(ii) This paragraph shall not apply to an employee of an institution of higher education whose direct contact with children, in the course of employment, is limited to children 16 years of age or older who are either:

(A) prospective students visiting a campus operated by the institution of higher education; or

(B) students who are enrolled with the institution and attend classes at a campus or facility operated by the institution of higher education.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Chairwoman Watson.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And certainly thank you to my new counterpart, who is now the minority chair for Children and Youth, Representative Conklin.

So it is with some regret, because I hope we still work together, I have to say that I am not in favor of this amendment being added to my bill, and let me give you the example why. I recognize that we are talking about, everybody says college students, and they are 16 or 17 years old and going to college. If I may, Mr. Speaker, I would like to use an example that I think is illustrative of what my objection is.

Is that in order, sir?

The SPEAKER. Yes, it is.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you.

I have – now, I do not really, as you well know – but I have triplets. My sons, I have three sons, and they are 17 years of age. My one son is a senior at our local high school. My second son is very, very advanced, and so indeed – and this is all possible; I checked it all out – he has matriculated as a freshman at a Pennsylvania university, a Pennsylvania university. And my third son is particularly gifted with his hands and technical matters. He wants to own his own plumbing business someday.

He goes to the vocational-technical school. In our case, it would be Middle Bucks Institute of Technology. And he is in a work-study program, where he is out in the afternoons in the field, working in a shop, and learning really the practical aspects of what he learns in school.

The question comes in, if my son who is in high school is surrounded by people who are required to have background checks, because even though he is 17, he is under 18 and they do it, I would suggest that because my other son is so advanced and ends up in college by 17, he should also have that same level of protection. We well know it is not the final level. We well know that it is family and parents who provide that final protection, but indeed, he should have that, as would the son at this point who is out in the workplace but again is underage and under 18.

Certainly as the parent, and there are parents of triplets, they do not see any difference in those children, and I would suggest to you that there is not any difference, and that indeed I am aware that there are university systems in Pennsylvania that have already budgeted money for this purpose, whether or not they want to pay or they ask. And in most cases, it would be the \$20 because they have lived in Pennsylvania for the 10 years. I think we owe it, as we do this, to have some uniformity in saying that if you are a 17-year-old, we say that the folks that have direct responsibility for you, and we have clarified that with previous amendments, need a background check, not everybody, certainly not, but we have clarified that. But regardless where your location is, the idea behind it is protecting that individual who is under the age of 18.

I will have to respectfully, to the Representative from the center part of the State, I will have to vote "no."

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (MATTHEW E. BAKER) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Heffley, on the amendment.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the maker of the amendment please stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentelady stand— Oh, pardon me. Mr. Conklin has agreed. You may proceed.

Mr. CONKLIN. I appreciate the compliment though. Thank you.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, if this amendment was enacted, would it also make those employees exempt from the mandated reporting?

Mr. CONKLIN. No, it would not.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Okay. That is the end of my interrogation. May I speak on the—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Mr. Speaker, I think this is a terrible, terrible idea and sends a terrible message to the residents of this Commonwealth. On one hand we are going to say that if we have a volunteer that volunteers in your church for Bible school, they have to go through the background checks, but we are going to take college-paid, professional employees and give them access to 16- and 17-year-old children and not require the

same of them. This is where this whole problem started in the first place, on these campuses. Not to say it was not going on in other areas, but this is where this all came from.

I cannot believe that we would actually look to exempt people that are paid employees, making sometimes in some cases hundreds of thousands of dollars a year, from having to go through these background checks. It does not make any sense to me. It is a terrible message to send. We should be ensuring that our college campuses, our State universities and our college campuses are places of learning, where people can send their children and feel safe that they are going to be gaining access to a quality education without having to worry about being preyed upon.

And if this is such a big issue and there is so much pushback on requiring these mandated background checks, I really need to wonder why. Every other employer requires some kind of background check. I think we should require college, university, and institution of higher education background checks, and I would ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the minority whip, Mr. Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of amendment 1974. Mr. Speaker, if the previous speaker was suggesting that this amendment exempts all children contact from the provisions in the bill, it is incorrect. It is only those 16 years of age and older who are either prospective students or students at an institution of higher education.

Mr. Speaker, I am advocating for the adoption of this amendment because it is very important to all of higher education. And I would add that the Federal government considers any college student who is 16 years of age or older an adult. Therefore, the State should not treat these individuals any differently. I would encourage you to take a look at the Federal law titled "The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act," better known as FERPA, which clearly states that those students 16 years of age or older at an institution of higher education are adults. So if the Federal government treats them as adults, we should do likewise on the State level.

Mr. Speaker, institutional employees who have direct contact with individuals 16 years of age or older who are prospective students visiting a campus or who are enrolled in classes with the institution should be exempt from criminal background and child abuse clearances. While I am in support of the background checks for anyone who has direct contact with children, if the Federal government considers college students adults, then Pennsylvania law should reflect the same. I am simply advocating for consistency on the State and Federal levels.

I urge a "yes" vote on amendment 1974.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the maker of the amendment, Mr. Conklin.

Mr. CONKLIN. Am I the last speaker, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Yes, sir. You may proceed.

Mr. CONKLIN. I want to thank the minority whip, Mr. Hanna. He explained it very well. There is no sense in me going back over his excellent explanation. I do want to thank the members that understand that the way this bill is written, it is in no way trying to go against the intent; it is just trying to

clarify what we are trying to do and helping out those great learning institutions in Pennsylvania, Mr. Speaker.

I am asking for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Is the gentlelady, Ms. Rapp, seeking recognition? You may proceed on the amendment.

Ms. RAPP. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I wanted to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has agreed. You may proceed.

Ms. RAPP. Mr. Speaker, my question, interrogation question, for the maker is, would this exempt an adult who would run a nonprofit for adolescents but who is also affiliated with a university such as Penn State, for instance?

Mr. CONKLIN. No, it would not.

Ms. RAPP. And why would that not exempt an adult who would run a nonprofit who would be affiliated or even teaching or coaching with an institute of higher learning?

Mr. CONKLIN. I want to thank you for the question.

This is emphasized on those matriculating students that are going to a university for those 1-day visits.

Ms. RAPP. Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I could not hear the response.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman kindly repeat his answer. The gentlelady could not hear the answer.

Mr. CONKLIN. The purpose of the bill is for those students that are matriculating at a higher education facility or those individuals who only visit that university for 1 day, or that campus, wherever it may be.

Ms. RAPP. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I could speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You are in order and may proceed.

Ms. RAPP. Mr. Speaker, I have grave concerns about this amendment. As my colleague previously stated, it appears that this amendment tries to protect those who were really the cause of this whole deal to begin with, and so I am asking my colleagues to please not support this amendment that can further protect people who would like to prey on our young adolescents in this Commonwealth. And I feel this is an amendment that is a slap in the face to all of the hard work that we have done here, to the task force that came about because of the whole incident at this university, and I am asking my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Truitt, on the amendment.

Mr. TRUITT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was wondering if the maker of the amendment would stand for brief interrogation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has agreed. You may proceed.

Mr. TRUITT. Mr. Speaker, I am just curious, the amendment seems to specify employees of institutes of higher education who are dealing with students who are 16 or 17, but actually, we do occasionally have even younger students who end up attending our higher education institutions, either because they are child prodigies or perhaps they are advanced and they are dual enrolled through high school at one of our colleges. And I am just wondering what happens if somebody enrolls in one of our higher education institutions who is like 15 years old? Does

that mean then that only those instructors who would be interacting with that student would have to get the background checks, or would then everybody at the institution be required to get those background checks?

Mr. CONKLIN. The faculty and staff that would be interacting with that younger child matriculating, yes, would have to have the background checks.

Mr. TRUITT. I am sorry. I could not hear that very well. Just the ones interacting with that student?

Mr. CONKLIN. Those who would fall under the premise of this piece of legislation who would have direct contact, the answer is yes.

Mr. TRUITT. So if the student were to sign up for a course, you know, this 15-year-old is dual enrolled and he signs up for a course on August 15 and the course starts maybe August 22. That gives the faculty members in those courses 7 days to get the background check.

Mr. CONKLIN. You confused me with the dual enrollment. Is the question dealing with dual enrollment or with a matriculating student?

Mr. TRUITT. That is a good point. Does this apply to students who would be dual enrolled?

Mr. CONKLIN. If that student is dual enrolled, everyone would need a background check.

Mr. TRUITT. Everyone interacting with just that student or everyone on the faculty?

Mr. CONKLIN. Faculty and staff.

Mr. TRUITT. Faculty and staff. Very good.

So one last question, Mr. Speaker, then. Would that not have a tendency for our institutes of higher education to refuse to enroll students who are under 16 years old?

Mr. CONKLIN. You would have to ask the institutions in which you are enrolling that child to answer that question.

Mr. TRUITT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That concludes my interrogation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. MALONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise today in opposition of this amendment. I heard enough of the interrogation to really bother me. I am not really sure how we would exempt an institution or institutions, plural, that because of an age of a minor at one birth date, their protection is not as important as the next.

So I have to oppose this quite adamantly, as I oppose some of the others that I have seen, especially just for consistency and bringing credibility to our professors and teachers who take great pride in their backgrounds and what they bring to our students. So I would ask you to vote "no."

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Milne, on the amendment.

Mr. MILNE. Mr. Speaker, I seek a ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. MILNE. I would like to disclose that I am a tenured faculty member at a PASSHE (Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education) institution in the Commonwealth and seek a ruling of the Chair as to whether I can vote on this particular bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no conflict as you are a member of a class, so you would be permitted to vote.

Mr. MILNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You are welcome.

Anyone else seeking recognition on the amendment?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—102

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' in four columns: Barbin, Bishop, Bizzarro, Bloom, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cruz, Cutler, Daley, M., Daley, P., Dawkins, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Dermody, DiGrolamo, Donatucci, Driscoll, English, Evans, Everett, Fabrizio, Farina, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Gainey, Gergely, Gibbons, Goodman, Greiner, Grove, Hanna, Harhai, Harkins, Harris, J., Hill, Irvin, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Kim, Kirkland, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Longietti, Maher, Markosek, Matzie, McCarter, McGinnis, McNeill, Miller, D., Milne, Mullery, Mustio, Nesbit, Neuman, O'Brien, O'Neill, Parker, C., Parker, D., Pashinski, Payne, Peifer, Petrarca, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Regan, Roebuck, Rozzi, Sainato, Samuelson, Sankey, Santarsiero, Schemel, Schlossberg, Schreiber, Schweyer, Sims, Snyder, Sonney, Sturla, Taylor, Thomas, Toohil, Vitali, Wheatley, White, Youngblood

NAYS—91

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' in four columns: Acosta, Adolph, Baker, Barrar, Benninghoff, Boback, Brown, R., Brown, V., Causer, Christiana, Corbin, Cox, Culver, Davidson, Davis, Day, Delozier, Diamond, Dunbar, Dush, Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Farry, Fee, Galloway, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Hahn, Harhart, Harper, Harris, A., Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hickernell, James, Jozwiak, Kampf, Kaufer, Keller, F., Killion, Klunk, Knowles, Lawrence, Lewis, Mackenzie, Major, Maloney, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Mentzer, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, B., Moul, Murt, Oberlander, Ortitay, Pickett, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rader, Rapp, Roae, Ross, Saccone, Santora, Saylor, Simmons, Staats, Stephens, Tallman, Tobash, Toepel, Topper, Truitt, Vereb, Ward, Warner, Watson, Wentling, Wheeland, Zimmerman, Turzai, Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca Kinsey Mahoney Petri
Gabler

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. CONKLIN offered the following amendment No. A02024:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 7, by inserting after "parents," for information relating to certified or registered day-care home residents,
Amend Bill, page 1, line 9, by inserting after "compliance", for education and training
Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by inserting a bracket before "day-care"
Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by inserting after "day-care"] child-care
Amend Bill, page 5, line 17, by inserting after "amended" and the subsection is amended by adding a paragraph
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting after line 30
(16) An adult household member who is a person responsible for the child's welfare and provides services to a child in a family living home, community home for individuals with an intellectual disability or host home for children which are subject to licensure by the department under Articles IX and X of the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Public Welfare Code.
Amend Bill, page 6, line 9, by inserting a bracket before "registered"
Amend Bill, page 6, line 9, by inserting after "day-care"] licensed child-care
Amend Bill, page 7, line 1, by inserting after "General" may have access to only the reports in the possession of the entity being audited and
Amend Bill, page 7, lines 4 and 5, by striking out "and (d)(6)" and inserting
, (d)(4.1), (5), (6)
Amend Bill, page 7, line 5, by inserting after "(8)" and (e)
Amend Bill, page 7, lines 6 and 7, by striking out "a subsection" and inserting
subsections
Amend Bill, page 8, by inserting between lines 5 and 6
(8) An individual 18 years of age or older who resides for at least 30 days in a calendar year in the following homes which are subject to licensure by the department under Articles IX and X of the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Public Welfare Code:
(i) A family living home.
(ii) A community home for individuals with an intellectual disability.
(iii) A host home for children.
This paragraph does not include an individual with an intellectual disability or chronic psychiatric disability receiving services in a home.
Amend Bill, page 11, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(4.1) If a foster parent, prospective adoptive parent, or an individual over 18 years of age residing in the home is arrested for or convicted of an offense that would constitute grounds for denying approval under this chapter or is named as a perpetrator in a founded or indicated report, the foster parent or prospective adoptive parent shall provide the foster family care agency or the agency listed to provide adoption services with written notice not later than 72 hours after the arrest, conviction or notification that the [foster parent] individual was named as a perpetrator in the Statewide database.

(5) Foster parents and prospective adoptive parents shall be required to report any other change in the [foster] family household composition within 30 days of the change for review by the foster family care agency or the agency listed to provide adoption services. If any individual over 18 years of age, who has resided outside this Commonwealth at any time within the previous five-year period, begins residing in the home of an approved foster family or a prospective adoptive family, that individual shall, within 30 days of beginning residence, submit to the foster family care agency or the agency listed to provide adoption services a certification obtained from the Statewide database, or its equivalent in each state in which the person has resided within the previous five-year period, as to whether the person is named as a perpetrator. If the certification shows that the person is named as a perpetrator within the previous five-year period, the foster family care agency or the agency listed to provide adoption services shall forward the certification to the department for review. If the department determines that the person is named as the equivalent of a perpetrator of a founded report within the previous five-year period and the person does not cease residing in the home immediately, the county agency shall immediately seek court authorization to remove the foster child or children from the home. In emergency situations when a judge cannot be reached, the county agency shall proceed in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure.

Amend Bill, page 12, by inserting between lines 27 and 28

(d.3) Family living homes, community homes for individuals with an intellectual disability and host homes.—

(1) The following shall apply to an individual over 18 years of age residing in a family living home, a community home for individuals with an intellectual disability or a host home providing community residential rehabilitation services, which are subject to licensure by the department under Articles IX and X of the Public Welfare Code:

(i) If an individual is arrested for or convicted of an offense that would constitute grounds for denying approval under this chapter, or is named as a perpetrator in a founded or indicated report, the individual shall provide the agency with written notice not later than 72 hours after the arrest, conviction or notification that the individual was named as a perpetrator in the Statewide database.

(ii) The adult household member who is providing services to a child in the home shall be required to report any other change in the household composition within 30 days of the change for review by the agency. If any individual over 18 years of age, who has resided outside this Commonwealth at any time within the previous five-year period, begins residing in the home, that individual shall, within 30 days of beginning residence, submit to the agency a certification obtained from the Statewide database, or its equivalent in each state in which the individual has resided within the previous five-year period, as to whether the person is named as a perpetrator. If the certification shows that the person is named as a perpetrator within the previous five-year period, the agency shall forward the certification to

the department for review.

(2) This subsection shall not apply to an individual with an intellectual disability or chronic psychiatric disability receiving services in a home.

(3) As used in this subsection, the term "agency" means a family living home agency, community home agency for individuals with an intellectual disability or a host home agency.

(e) Self-employed family [day-care] child-care providers.—Self-employed family [day-care] child-care providers who apply for a [certificate of registration] license with the department shall submit with their [registration] licensure application the information set forth under subsection (b) for review in accordance with this section.

* * *

Section 6. Section 6344.1 heading, (a) and (c) of Title 23 are amended to read:

§ 6344.1. Information relating to certified or [registered day-care] licensed child-care home residents.

(a) General rule.—In addition to the requirements of section 6344 (relating to employees having contact with children; adoptive and foster parents), an individual who applies to the department for a certificate of compliance or a [registration certificate] license to provide child day care in a residence shall include criminal history record and child abuse record information required under section 6344(b) for every individual 18 years of age or older who resides in the home for at least 30 days in a calendar year.

* * *

(c) Effect on certification or [registration] licensure.—The department shall refuse to issue or renew a certificate of compliance or [registration certificate] license or shall revoke a certificate of compliance or [registration certificate] license if the day-care home provider or individual 18 years of age or older who has resided in the home for at least 30 days in a calendar year:

(1) is named in the Statewide database as the perpetrator of a founded report committed within the immediately preceding five-year period; or

(2) has been convicted of an offense enumerated in section 6344(c).

* * *

Amend Bill, page 12, line 28, by striking out "6" and inserting 7

Amend Bill, page 17, by inserting between lines 27 and 28

Section 8. Section 6383(c)(1), (2) and (3) of Title 23 are amended to read:

§ 6383. Education and training.

* * *

(c) Training of persons subject to department regulation.—

(1) The following persons shall be required to meet the child abuse recognition and reporting training requirements of this subsection:

(i) Operators of institutions, facilities or agencies which care for children and are subject to supervision by the department under Article IX of the Public Welfare Code, and their employees who have direct contact with children.

(ii) Foster parents.

(iii) Operators of facilities and agencies which care for children and are subject to licensure by the department under Article X of the Public Welfare Code and their employees who have direct contact with children.

(iv) Caregivers in family [day care] child-care homes which are subject to [registration] licensure by the department under [Subarticle (c) of] Article X of the Public Welfare Code and their employees who have direct contact with children.

(v) The adult household member who is a person responsible for the child's welfare and is providing services to a child in a family living home, a community

homes for individuals with an intellectual disability or a host home which are subject to licensure by the department under Articles IX and X of the Public Welfare Code.

(2) Within six months of the effective date of this subsection, operators and caregivers shall receive three hours of training prior to the issuance of a license[,] or approval [or registration] certificate and three hours of training every five years thereafter.

(3) Employees who have direct contact with children and foster parents shall receive three hours of training within six months of the issuance of a license[,] or approval [or registration] certificate and three hours of training every five years thereafter. New employees and new foster parents shall receive three hours of training within 90 days of hire or approval as a foster parent and three hours of training every five years thereafter.

Amend Bill, page 17, line 28, by striking out "7" and inserting 9

Amend Bill, page 18, line 16, by striking out "8" and inserting 10

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Conklin, is recognized on his amendment. Waives off?

The gentleman, Mr. Conklin, is recognized on his amendment.

Mr. CONKLIN. No, I was not withdrawing, Mr. Speaker. I was just asking to run the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—176

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (176 total). Includes Acosta, Adolph, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bizzarro, Bloom, Boback, Boyle, Bradford, Briggs, Brown, V., Burns, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Cohen, Conklin, Corbin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Cutler, Daley, M., Daley, P., Emrick, English, Evankovich, Evans, Everett, Fabrizio, Farina, Farry, Fee, Flynn, Frankel, Freeman, Gainey, Galloway, Gergely, Gibbons, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Greiner, Grove, Hahn, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, A., Klunk, Korts, Kotik, Krieger, Lawrence, Lewis, Longiotti, Mackenzie, Maher, Major, Maloney, Markosek, Marsico, Matzie, McCarter, McNeill, Mentzer, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, B., Miller, D., Milne, Moul, Mullery, Murt, Mustio, Nesbit, Neuman, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Regan, Roebuck, Ross, Rozzi, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Sankey, Santarsiero, Santora, Saylor, Schemel, Schlossberg, Schreiber, Schweyer, Simmons, Sims, Snyder, Sonney, Staats, Stephens, Sturla, Taylor, Thomas, Toepel, Toohil.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (17 total). Includes Davidson, Davis, Dawkins, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, Dermody, Diamond, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Driscoll, Dunbar, Dush, Ellis, Harris, J., Helm, Hickernell, Hill, Irvin, James, Jozwiak, Kampf, Kaufer, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Killion, Kim, Kirkland, O'Brien, O'Neill, Oberlander, Ortity, Parker, C., Parker, D., Pashinski, Payne, Peifer, Petrarca, Pickett, Pyle, Quigley, Rader, Ravenstahl, Topper, Truitt, Vereb, Vitali, Warner, Watson, Wentling, Wheatley, Wheeland, White, Youngblood, Zimmerman, Turzai, Speaker.

NAYS—17

Table listing names of members who voted 'NOT VOTING' (0 total). Includes Brown, R., Culver, Gillen, Heffley, Hennessey, Keller, F., Knowles, Marshall, Masser, McGinnis, Metcalfe, Quinn, Rapp, Roae, Tallman, Tobash, Ward.

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (5 total). Includes DeLuca, Gabler, Kinsey, Mahoney, Petri.

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. DAY offered the following amendment No. A02083:

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 6 and 7, by striking out "a subsection" and inserting subsections Amend Bill, page 9, by inserting between lines 12 and 13 (a.3) Internships and similar programs with schools.—An adult applying for or holding a paid position with an employer that participates in an internship, externship, work-study, co-op or similar program with a school shall not be required to submit information under subsection (b) if the child participating in the program is at least 16 years of age.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Day, is recognized.

Mr. DAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I wanted to rise today and thank everyone for agreeing to take a look and consider this amendment. I thank the chair, the committee, and the staff.

The purpose of this underlying bill is to dial it right. We all received all the calls about all the exceptions, and we were trying to do a good thing when we did this the first time. So it is about dialing this to the right way.

This amendment addresses cooperative education. There are 85 cooperative education career and technical schools throughout Pennsylvania. The head of the association had said to me that this will kill the program in our technical schools across Pennsylvania. Now, of course we have heard that many times before, but it does get my attention when someone says that. We go to the people in the industry dealing with this. My wife is one of those cooperative education coordinators. So when I looked at this, I said, "Does the structure of cooperative education cover what we are trying to do with background checks?" And actually, I offer this, this amendment would allow, when you place a student through the cooperative education program into a business, at the very beginning, it would have required everyone that comes in contact with this student to have a background check. And our staff and our chair negotiated to reduce that down to just one person, which I commend them for doing. I went back. I talked to the State association. I said, "How about this?" And they still could not get their hands around the problem. I said, "What is the problem?" They said, "Our employers that accept students on the job really do not get paid to do that." Most of them, what they are trying to do, is further their particular trade, and also, many of them are former program students. So they are doing it out of kind of the, you know, the kindness of their heart, the willingness and need and desire to move forward their particular trade and engage with the education system.

Now, make no mistake, all the teachers involved, there is a teacher in the home school, in the class. There is also a cooperative education teacher that places the kids on the job. They will still have their background check and other training that make them outstanding professionals to protect our children. What this does is this exempts the employer from having that requirement. Now, I looked at this and said, "What are the things that happen in that situation?" The vocational school goes out and has a training agreement with each employer. The vocational school has a teacher that meets with all the students, in our school's case every Monday, it is probably once a week, and they go over with them what are the goals. And here are the goals of what we are trying to achieve. And I believe that the cooperative education structure covers what we are trying to do with simple background checks, looking into the past. The cooperative education structure allows us to be on time now, interacting with the student and the employer to make sure that it is a safe situation.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all my colleagues to vote in favor of career and technical schools across Pennsylvania and be supportive of my amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barbin, on the amendment.

Mr. BARBIN. I rise in support of this amendment as well. It not only provides for vocational help with the internships, it also helps with colleges because colleges have the same sort of programs with manufacturing employers, and if the employer believes that he is subject to the provisions of the bill, they are just not going to participate. So if you want employers to be part of internship programs, you want this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dush, on the amendment.

Mr. DUSH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment as well. I have a similar amendment that only takes it down to 17, but after speaking with the director of one of our career and technology centers, I had received a story of one young man who at 16 years of age went to work for a corporation under this type of a co-op agreement. The young man actually brought a set of computer skills that allowed him to fully integrate a new laser system in this company where they never had the skill sets before. He is now working during the summer outside of that program, where he is not subject to the restrictions. But that young man has a job. He is going to next year go back into this program, if the company is not going to be subjected to this, with the idea the company plans on keeping him.

Now this young man is going to have a job. He has it now, and he is going to have something continuing. He is a valued asset to the company. But if the company has to release him for that 9 months of school and hire somebody with those same requisite skills, that young man is not going to have a job to come back to 9 months after or next summer.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlelady, Mrs. Watson, on the amendment.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on this amendment, let me first state, certainly I support vocational-technical education. It is how you get somebody to your house for all the issues that you have because many of us do not know a thing about any technical matters. And we need folks like that, who have come through a system, who are well versed, and who look after us. I have no problem with that.

When this was discussed, Mr. Speaker, you have heard from people who talked about going to, I will call it the organization, and the teachers, the structure. I decided it would be better in the last 2 days to call people who actually run particular shops, be it a car dealer who takes people to work as mechanics or a plumbing supply place who takes – plumbing company who takes people to learn plumbing actually out in the field. They assured me they thought that what was done and is reflected in the underlying bill, 1276, was perfectly fine. They would not leave the program. They felt that having one person with one background check who serves as the person responsible 5 days a week on the job when that young person is there got to the heart of the matter and said, "Yes, we understand as volunteers who work and have to get background checks understand." They were fine with it.

When I asked the question when I received the phone calls, "What evidence-based data do you have that everybody will stop volunteering to take students into their businesses," there was no answer. They do not have that. We are going on a supposition.

Frankly, Mr. Speaker, I work better on evidence-based. I thought I followed that trail of evidence by going to business owners and asking them, "What would you do? Would this deter you? Would you then not take any students, especially if you have done this in the past?" I was assured that was not true. So I truly do not believe that this amendment is necessary, and I do think it is in keeping with what we have said, at least having one person. We have done it even down to, you do not

need a background check, but you have to be in sight, for our young people, of one person who has the background check. I do not see this as any different.

I also would remind you of my story about the three teenagers who indeed are all 17, and where one goes, and they are all of equal value to us. So I think that also illustrates the point that one person in a business to have a background check and be responsible for the interns is a reasonable and acceptable compromise and still protects young people.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McCarter.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment please stand, be willing to stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman rise for interrogation? He has agreed. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just a brief question. Would this also apply to situations for high school community service programs?

Mr. DAY. Did you say high school community service programs?

Mr. McCARTER. Yes.

Mr. DAY. It is my understanding that it would not do that. What it does is just, under the— It just reduces the age from, you know, down to 16. That is all that it does.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Maloney, on the amendment.

Mr. MALONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to this amendment also. I heard a little while ago that these companies volunteer, they willingly volunteer. I have been a part of that, and this is what they do. And they are willing to put that point person in place, that we heard earlier in another testimony, that that person would be the point person, the go-to person, the supervisor of these individuals.

I also heard it would kill the program. I do not know how it would kill the program when these people volunteer to do this. And I also heard out of the kindness of their heart. I think these companies, out of the kindness of their heart and their volunteering, want to do exactly that. They want to protect our kids.

So I am going to leave you with this last part. My son is in vo-tech. So what you are telling me is, he is protected in the high school, but he is not protected in the vo-tech.

Vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the maker of the amendment for the second time.

Mr. DAY. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to be recognized if there are no other speakers. Otherwise, I wanted to give everyone an opportunity.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We see no other members seeking recognition, so you are in order.

Mr. DAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The only thing to really address is the previous speaker's comments about coverage and protection. I just want to point out that it is my belief that a student in the cooperative

education program is actually more protected by the cooperative education structure. It is my belief that when you meet with a student every week and talk about what were your goals to accomplish at work, and you talk to them about what has happened, one of the things, a practical matter that I can just try to maybe surmise in this case, is that you would start to see that they are not reaching their goals if other things are consuming their time, or if grooming behavior is happening, there is an opportunity under the structure for the student to reveal that information. And I believe, it is my intent, that they are more protected under the cooperative education program.

So on the bill finally. Just in support, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. DAY. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I am asking your help and support today. Our committee worked very hard on this, and they were very quick. For our process, they were very quick to address multiple variables that occurred after we passed this legislation, and I commend the committee for their work. This was just one particular area. Representative Dush got up and spoke earlier. I had thought that his amendment had gone down to the 16 and it had only gone to the 17. That is why we are offering the 16 first.

The reason why we need to do this amendment over the 17 is that the entire program serves both 16- and 17-year-olds, so if we would pass just 17, it is my belief, I support that amendment, but it is my belief that if we just passed that, it would be an action where we walk around and say, "Hey, we took care of this. We're working with you, with the career and tech schools. We're working with you." But really, they would have to go ahead and apply it as if it did not cover just half of the students.

So I rise today to ask for your help and support of career technical schools across Pennsylvania. There are 85 schools across Pennsylvania, and when I talk to people who actually have to go out and solicit, not the great employers that are coming forward, have the HR (human resources) and everything else to support, "Yes, we can do this," but all the employers. They are going to ask these employers, they feel yet another burden, unnecessary burden, because it is covered, I believe, in the structure. I believe we have the coverage for these students, and I ask for your support of this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—113

Baker	Farina	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Flynn	Lewis	Readshaw
Bizzarro	Frankel	Longietti	Reed
Bloom	Freeman	Mackenzie	Reese
Boback	Galloway	Maher	Roebuck
Boyle	Gergely	Major	Rozzi
Burns	Gibbons	Markosek	Saccone
Caltagirone	Godshall	McGinnis	Sainato
Carroll	Greiner	McNeill	Samuelson
Causler	Grove	Metcalfe	Sankey
Cohen	Hanna	Metzgar	Saylor

Conklin	Harhai	Millard	Schemel
Costa, D.	Harkins	Milne	Schlossberg
Costa, P.	Harper	Mullery	Schreiber
Cruz	Harris, A.	Mustio	Schweyer
Cutler	Harris, J.	Nesbit	Sims
Daley, P.	Hill	O'Brien	Sonney
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Tallman
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Taylor
DeLissio	Kauffman	Parker, D.	Tobash
Dermody	Kavulich	Pashinski	Toepel
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Payne	Toohil
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Peifer	Topper
Dush	Kim	Petrarca	Vitali
Ellis	Kirkland	Pickett	Ward
Evankovich	Knowles	Pyle	Wheatley
Evans	Kortz	Quigley	White
Everett	Kotik	Rader	Youngblood
Fabrizio			

NAYS—80

Acosta	Dunbar	Klunk	Roae
Adolph	Emrick	Lawrence	Ross
Barrar	English	Maloney	Santarsiero
Benninghoff	Farry	Marshall	Santora
Bishop	Fee	Marsico	Simmons
Bradford	Gainey	Masser	Snyder
Briggs	Gillen	Matzie	Staats
Brown, R.	Gillespie	McCarter	Stephens
Brown, V.	Gingrich	Mentzer	Sturla
Christiana	Goodman	Miccarelli	Thomas
Corbin	Hahn	Miller, B.	Truitt
Cox	Harhart	Miller, D.	Vereb
Culver	Heffley	Moul	Warner
Daley, M.	Helm	Murt	Watson
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Wentling
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Wheeland
Dawkins	James	Ortitay	Zimmerman
Dean	Kampf	Quinn	
Delozier	Kaufer	Rapp	Turzai,
Diamond	Keller, F.	Regan	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Killion		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)
PRESIDING**

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **MAJOR** offered the following amendment
No. **A01922**:

Amend Bill, page 7, lines 6 and 7, by striking out "a subsection" and inserting subsections

Amend Bill, page 9, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

(a.3) Exchange visitor.—An individual in possession of a nonimmigrant visa issued pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(J)

(relating to definitions) to an exchange visitor, commonly referred to as a "J-1" Visa, shall not be required to submit information under subsection (b) if all of the following apply:

(1) The individual is applying for or holds a paid position with a program, activity or service for a period not to exceed a total of 90 days in a calendar year.

(2) The individual swears or affirms in writing that the individual is not disqualified from service under subsection (c) or has not been convicted of an offense similar in nature to the crimes listed under subsection (c) under the laws or former laws of the United States or one of its territories or possessions, another state, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico or a foreign nation, or under a former law of this Commonwealth.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Major.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to ask for the support of my colleagues on amendment 1922.

This amendment would exempt international seasonal workers from the background check requirements provided that they have obtained a J-1 visa. And, Mr. Speaker, I would like the members to know that in order to obtain a J-1 visa, individuals do have to get background checks. They are required. And it will also work – these individuals would also work in the U.S. no longer than 90 days.

Once again, I would ask for my colleagues' support of the amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

Representative Conklin.

Mr. CONKLIN. I want to thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am also encouraging the members to vote for this. It is a much-needed amendment.

The SPEAKER. Does any other member wish to be recognized?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer

Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Mustio	Thomas
Daley, M.	Helm	Nesbit	Tobash
Daley, P.	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Brien	Toohil
Davis	Hill	O'Neill	Topper
Dawkins	Irvin	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	James	Ortitay	Vereb
Dean	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Vitali
Deasy	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
DeLissio	Kaufer	Pashinski	Warner
Delozier	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
Dermody	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
Driscoll	Killion	Quigley	Youngblood
Dunbar	Kim	Quinn	Zimmerman
Dush	Kirkland	Rader	
Ellis	Klunk	Rapp	Turzai,
Emrick	Knowles	Ravenstahl	Speaker
English			

NAYS-2

Heffley Murt

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

DeLuca Kinsey Mahoney Petri
Gabler

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mrs. HILL offered the following amendment No. A02120:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 28, by striking out "personnel's duties involve" and inserting personnel has

Amend Bill, page 3, line 11, by striking out "personnel's duties involve" and inserting personnel has

Amend Bill, page 5, line 14, by striking out "personnel's duties involve" and inserting personnel has

Amend Bill, page 5, line 17, by inserting after "6311(a)(7)" and (12)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 17, by striking out "is" and inserting are

Amend Bill, page 5, line 29, by inserting after "welfare" or has direct contact with children

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting after line 30 (12) An individual supervised or managed by a person listed under paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10)

[and], (11) and (13), who has direct contact with children in the course of employment.

* * *

Amend Bill, page 7, line 28, by inserting after "employer."

This subparagraph does not apply to other employees of that employer who have not been identified as the person responsible for the child's welfare.

Amend Bill, page 8, line 12, by inserting a bracket before "information"

Amend Bill, page 8, line 12, by inserting after "information"] certification

Amend Bill, page 8, line 13, by striking out the bracket before "subsection"

Amend Bill, page 8, lines 13 and 14, by striking out "] subsections (b)(2) and (c)(1)" and inserting

and the grounds for denying employment under subsection (c)(1)

Amend Bill, page 12, line 28, by inserting after "(b.1)" , (e)

Amend Bill, page 14, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

[(e) Construction.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit an organization from requiring additional information as part of the clearance process for volunteers who are responsible for the welfare of a child or have direct contact with children.]

Amend Bill, page 14, line 24, by inserting after "or" where it occurs the first time

person responsible for a

Amend Bill, page 14, line 25, by inserting after "or" where it occurs the second time

from

Amend Bill, page 14, line 26, by striking out "certification"

Amend Bill, page 14, line 26, by inserting after "standards" as part of the hiring or selection process for employees or

volunteers

Amend Bill, page 15, line 10, by striking out "certification is" and inserting

certifications are

Amend Bill, page 15, line 13, by striking out "certification" and inserting

certifications

Amend Bill, page 16, lines 10 through 13, by striking out all of said lines

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Phillips-Hill, on the amendment, please.

Mrs. HILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is just an amendment that provides some clarity to the language in the law. It does nothing to change anything specifically. It is simply a technical amendment.

The SPEAKER. Does any other member wish to be recognized on this amendment?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-190

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae

Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causser	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Mustio	Thomas
Daley, M.	Helm	Nesbit	Tobash
Daley, P.	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Brien	Toohil
Davis	Hill	O'Neill	Topper
Dawkins	Irvin	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	James	Ortitay	Vereb
Dean	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Vitali
Deasy	Kampf	Parker, D.	Ward
DeLissio	Kaufner	Pashinski	Warner
Delozier	Kauffman	Payne	Watson
Dermody	Kavulich	Peifer	Wentling
Diamond	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
Driscoll	Killion	Quigley	Youngblood
Dunbar	Kim	Quinn	Zimmerman
Dush	Kirkland	Rader	
Ellis	Klunk	Rapp	Turzai,
Emrick	Knowles	Ravenstahl	Speaker

NAYS-3

English	Heffley	Murt
---------	---------	------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Representative Dush is recognized. Sir. Mr. DUSH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to commend Representative Day for his amendment that passed just recently and successfully accomplished what I had wanted to do and more, and I appreciate it. And I am withdrawing my amendment. Thank you. The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. MOUL offered the following amendment No. A01983:

Amend Bill, page 16, line 19, by inserting a bracket before "A"
Amend Bill, page 16, line 19, by inserting after "A"
] Except as provided in subparagraph (v), a
Amend Bill, page 17, by inserting between lines 10 and 11
(v) An employee identified under section 6344
who has completed a certification or recertification under
this section, in which a report of Federal criminal history
record information under section 6344(b)(3) was
required and completed, shall be required to obtain a
subsequent report under section 6344(b)(3) every 60
months.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Moul.

Mr. MOUL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an amendment that actually changes the mandate for FBI background checks from 3 years to 5 years. I originally wanted to just make it once and done because I feel it is kind of redundant to ask people to do the Federal if we are already doing the State every 3 years. However, the Federal guidelines, the Federal directive just came out not too long ago. I was made aware of it that the CCDBG (Child Care and Development Block Grant Act) is requiring by 2017 that child-care workers and those responsible for the welfare of children have a Federal background check done once every 5 years. So my amendment moves the FBI check to 5 years instead of 3 so that we keep the same as the Federal government, we are in tune with them ahead of time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Chairwoman Watson.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment, and certainly I wish to thank Representative Moul. He has done tremendous work, really, on HB 1276 in general and on this specifically and researching so we are in compliance with the Federal government, we do get the money that the Feds owe us anyway, and we make things better for those, indeed, who have to get a background check. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized on amendment 1983?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck

Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufner	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. M. KELLER offered the following amendment No. A02003:

- Amend Bill, page 16, by inserting between lines 9 and 10
(4) This subsection shall apply to drivers of independent school bus contractors.
- Amend Bill, page 16, line 10, by striking out "(4)" and inserting
(5)

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Representative Keller.

Mr. M. KELLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As we move through these amendments, this particular amendment specifies that the background clearance obtained by school bus drivers who are independent contractors will be portable, meaning that the same set of clearances will be able to be used for multiple employment positions that require those clearances. We are just trying to be very clear in the law as to that it transfers.

And the scenario has happened in my district where we have two bus companies, and what has happened is they have clearance for the one but it did not transfer to the other one. So we are hoping that this clears it up fully to make that happen, and we appreciate a positive vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Representative Watson.

Mrs. WATSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment, and we thank Representative Keller, because, indeed, he cleared up what was something of a quandary, so we are very explicit that this is for our school bus drivers.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Anybody else wish to be recognized?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-193

Acosta	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Adolph	Evans	Kotik	Reed
Baker	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barbin	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Regan
Barrar	Farina	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Roebuck
Bishop	Fee	Mackenzie	Ross
Bizzarro	Flynn	Maher	Rozzi
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Saccone
Boback	Freeman	Maloney	Sainato
Boyle	Gainey	Markosek	Samuelson
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sankey
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santora
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Schemel
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Schweyer
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Simmons
Cohen	Grove	Metzgar	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Miccarelli	Snyder
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, B.	Staats
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, D.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Taylor
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Thomas
Daley, M.	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Daley, P.	Helm	Nesbit	Toepel
Davidson	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Brien	Topper
Dawkins	Hill	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Irvin	Oberlander	Vereb

Dean	James	Ortitay	Vitali
Deasy	Jozwiak	Parker, C.	Ward
DeLissio	Kampf	Parker, D.	Warner
Delozier	Kaufer	Pashinski	Watson
Dermody	Kauffman	Payne	Wentling
Diamond	Kavulich	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheeland
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
Driscoll	Keller, W.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Quigley	Zimmerman
Dush	Kim	Quinn	
Ellis	Kirkland	Rader	Turzai,
Emrick	Klunk	Rapp	Speaker
English	Knowles	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeLuca	Kinsey	Mahoney	Petri
Gabler			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Now, it is my understanding that amendment 2007, Representative DeLissio, that that amendment has been withdrawn? Yes. The gentlelady has indicated amendment 2007 is withdrawn.

I do understand that amendments 2157 and 2156, Representative Miller, have been withdrawn? Thank you, sir. Those amendments have been withdrawn.

We are going to be at ease for just a moment here, folks. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Amendment 1967, sponsored by Representative Stephens, has been ruled out of order.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

STATEMENT BY MR. MATZIE

The SPEAKER. We have some members that would like to be recognized on unanimous consent, beginning with Representative Matzie.

Representative Matzie, on unanimous consent.

Mr. MATZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, real quick and real brief to the members, the day of June 16 is an important day for me for a variety of fronts, but a year ago today my brothers and I took my mother at 4 a.m. to UPMC (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center) Shadyside, where she had successful surgery for her pancreatic cancer. The Whipple procedure was performed, and I explained it when we had Pancreatic Cancer Awareness Month in November. But it is a 1-year anniversary of that surgery. She has completed all of her treatment and is truly a cancer survivor.

And on this day I wear this purple tie to wage hope that we can bring about awareness and, obviously, come to a cure, hopefully at some point in my lifetime so that my daughter will not have that faced with her. But it is a terrible form of cancer, one of the worst, if not the worst, but I am pleased to report a year later she is doing wonderfully and you would never know that she went through what she did. And quite frankly, she went through a whole lot, if anyone is familiar with that surgery.

So I just wanted to say happy anniversary on this important day for my mom and for our family, and I love you. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Congratulations to her and to your family. Thank you very much, Representative Matzie.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. SCHLOSSBERG

The SPEAKER. At this time, on unanimous consent for an announcement, Representative Schlossberg.

Mr. SCHLOSSBERG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

At the conclusion of session, the Democratic northeast delegation will meet at the rear of the chamber. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Thank you.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. Representative Ellis, on unanimous consent.

Mr. ELLIS. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to rise to correct the record.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. ELLIS. On HB 1276, amendment A1974, I was recorded in the negative. I would like to be recorded as a "yea."

The SPEAKER. Yes; you will be marked in the "yea" on that amendment.

Representative Boback, on unanimous consent.

Ms. BOBACK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record.

The SPEAKER. Yes, please.

Ms. BOBACK. On HB 1276, amendment 2083, I was recorded "yea." It should be "nay."

The SPEAKER. That will be marked as a "nay." Thank you.

Ms. BOBACK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

STATEMENT BY MR. FRANKEL

The SPEAKER. Representative Frankel, for an announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like the opportunity to thank the members for their unanimous support yesterday on HR 377, recognizing the 25th anniversary of the Jewish Healthcare Foundation of

Pittsburgh, which has been an innovator and a change agent in the whole health-care sphere, looking, in particular, to control costs, improve quality and outcomes.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. FRANKEL. I have remarks for the record, which I would like to submit, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Those remarks will be accepted. Thank you very much.

Mr. FRANKEL submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

The Jewish Healthcare Foundation of Pittsburgh, JFH, has been a fixture of western Pennsylvania since its founding in 1990. Originally established with proceeds from the sale of Montefiore Hospital, a healthcare institution financed and founded by Pittsburgh's Jewish Community, JHF currently develops and manages programs, research, training, and grantmaking to perfect patient care.

With an endowment of nearly \$140 million, JHF has provided various organizations over \$120 million in grants and has leveraged tens of millions of dollars in key public-private partnerships to better serve vulnerable populations and improve health-care quality, cost, and efficiency. JHF and its two operating arms – the Pittsburgh Regional Health Initiative and Health Careers Futures – have garnered national and regional accolades for their work on improving health care for residents of southwestern Pennsylvania and the entire Commonwealth as a whole. As the fiscal agent for State HIV/AIDS (human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome) funding in southwest Pennsylvania since 1992, JHF manages funds from multiple government sources to facilitate delivery of health care, support, and housing services to eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS, and administers prevention and education programs for at-risk populations.

Dr. Karen Wolk Feinstein currently serves as president and chief executive officer of JHF and has been a tremendous asset to the organization. Under her leadership, the foundation has become a leading voice in patient safety, health-care quality, and related workforce issues. Some of her accomplishments include the founding of Health Careers Futures to assist western Pennsylvania's health-care industry in attracting, preparing, and retaining qualified employees and the formation of the Network for Regional Healthcare Improvement, a national coalition of more than 30 regional collaboratives focused on improving patient experience and population health while at the same time reducing the per capita cost of health care.

Since its founding, the foundation has played a key role in Greater Pittsburgh's emergence as a leader in biomedical and biotechnology research. JHF's current focus is on promoting safety, best practices, and efficiency at the front lines of care and building a workforce to sustain this.

This year marks the 25th anniversary of JHF. I would like to thank my colleagues for their affirmative vote of HR 377, recognizing all the great work JHF does on behalf of improving health care and congratulating them on two and a half decades of tireless service to the Commonwealth.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PASHINSKI

The SPEAKER. Representative Pashinski, on unanimous consent.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, we have a great tradition here in Harrisburg, and for 22 years there has been a family affair where all the members on both sides of the aisle and their staffs come

together at 200 Gibson Street at I.W. Abel Hall for a legislative get-together. We will be breaking bread and opening up some beverages tonight at 7 p.m., I.W. Abel Hall, 200 Gibson Street. This is for staff, this is for the administrative staff, and this is for both Democrats and Republicans, House and Senate, the 22d annual Harrisburg family ball. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Representative.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. Turning to housekeeping, the Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 97;
 HB 235;
 HB 308;
 HB 903;
 HB 904;
 HB 906;
 HB 907;
 HB 908;
 HB 909;
 HB 910;
 HB 1276;
 SB 397;
 SB 622; and
 SB 699.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the motion?
 Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 794 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the motion?
 Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. With that, Representative Dave Hickernell moves that we move to adjourn until Wednesday, June 17, 2015, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the motion?
 Motion was agreed to, and at 3:35 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.