

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, JUNE 24, 2014

SESSION OF 2014

198TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 42

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (SAMUEL H. SMITH)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

HON. HAL ENGLISH, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Heavenly Father, we thank You for the responsibility our friends and neighbors have entrusted us to meet in this great chamber to discuss, deliberate, and decide issues that impact all Pennsylvanians. We thank You for the efforts of many of our coworkers that assist us throughout the year and especially during this month providing tireless support in the form of research, secretarial, security, administrative, cafeteria, and janitorial services.

We ask that You bless our Speaker, the leaders, the members of this body in the House and those in the Senate. Be with each of us during our deliberations, in meetings and when we are alone.

We know that You have told us that each of us is Your own. I ask that you continue to walk with me and talk with me and my colleagues in this chamber and in the garden while we tarry here in Harrisburg during this challenging time. Keep us mindful of others. Watch over us and our families until we safely return home. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, June 23, 2014, will be postponed until printed.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 1543, PN 3829 (Amended) By Rep. GODSHALL

An Act amending the act of October 17, 2008 (P.L.1645, No.132), known as the Home Improvement Consumer Protection Act, providing for the definition of "time and materials"; and further providing for application fees and for home improvement contracts.

CONSUMER AFFAIRS.

HB 2364, PN 3790 By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for revocation and suspension of licenses and fines.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 622, PN 2185 (Amended) By Rep. ROSS

An Act providing for the licensure of persons providing debt settlement services, for powers and duties of the Department of Banking and Securities and for enforcement; imposing civil penalties; and making a related repeal.

COMMERCE.

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES

HR 672, PN 3065 By Rep. METCALFE

A Resolution urging the Congress of the United States to oppose S.1900, identified as the Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities Act of 2014, and all other Fast Track trade authority legislation that expands presidential authority beyond what is granted by the Constitution of the United States.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HR 778, PN 3830 (Amended)

By Rep. ROSS

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to conduct a comprehensive study on the Commonwealth's cyber security efforts and protocols to protect private information of our citizens.

COMMERCE.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 920 By Representatives GINGRICH, COHEN, CALTAGIRONE, PICKETT, THOMAS, VEREB, V. BROWN, D. COSTA, MUSTIO, MILLARD, READSHAW, M. K. KELLER, BOBACK, HELM, SWANGER, DENLINGER, B. BOYLE, MENTZER, HARPER, SAYLOR, HARHART, WATSON, ADOLPH, KULA, BROOKS, GROVE, MILNE, MURT and FRANKEL

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to study the issue of professional bedside nurse staffing; and to report to the House of Representatives with its findings and recommendations to implement potential changes in State laws, practices, policies and procedures relating to safe nurse staffing.

Referred to Committee on HUMAN SERVICES, June 24, 2014.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2377 By Representatives JAMES, BARRAR, ROZZI, MILLARD, CLYMER, C. HARRIS, MARSHALL, COHEN, MURT, GINGRICH, SWANGER, HARKINS, BIZZARRO, RAPP, DONATUCCI, SANKEY, SAINATO, MULLERY, BOBACK, FARRY and SACCONI

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for definitions and for laws suspended during emergency assignments.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, June 24, 2014.

No. 2378 By Representatives GABLER, TOOHL, COX, HEFFLEY, LONGIETTI, MASSER, METCALFE, MILLARD, MULLERY, PAINTER, SWANGER, THOMAS, BROOKS, SCAVELLO, F. KELLER, EVERETT, SANKEY, OBERLANDER, ELLIS, McGINNIS, ROAE and RAPP

An Act amending Titles 74 (Transportation) and 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, eliminating statutory authority to toll Interstate Route 80.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 24, 2014.

No. 2379 By Representatives MASSER, GODSHALL and GINGRICH

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in municipal authorities, further providing for purposes and powers.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 24, 2014.

No. 2380 By Representatives MASSER, MILLARD and GINGRICH

An Act amending Title 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for board meetings.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

No. 2381 By Representatives SANKEY, BLOOM, CARROLL, DENLINGER, EVANKOVICH, FARINA, GABLER, C. HARRIS, JAMES, MULLERY, MURT, SIMMONS and TOOHL

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in certificate of title and security interests, further providing for transfer to scrap metal processor.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 24, 2014.

No. 2382 By Representative KULA

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1998 (P.L.882, No.111), known as the Crime Victims Act, providing for State income tax intercept.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

No. 2383 By Representative TOEPEL

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in judgments and other liens, further providing for personal earnings exempt from process; and, in sentencing, further providing for payment of court costs, restitution and fines.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

No. 2384 By Representative D. COSTA

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for collection of restitution, reparation, fees, costs, fines and penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

No. 2385 By Representative DELOZIER

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in bonds and recognizances, further providing for bail to be governed by general rules.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

No. 2386 By Representative STEPHENS

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in sentencing, further providing for collection of restitution, reparation, fees, costs, fines and penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

SB 1155, PN 2112

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, June 24, 2014.

SB 1207, PN 2116

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 24, 2014.

SB 1422, PN 2141

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 24, 2014.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker turns to leaves of absence. The majority whip indicates there are no requests for leave of absence. The minority whip also indicates there are no requests for leaves of absence.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Speaker is about to take the master roll call. The members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern

Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson
Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
DeLozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

LEAVES ADDED—1

Haggerty

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and three members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

If I could have the members' attention. I appreciate your holding the conversations down. I would like to introduce some of the guests that are with us today. I would appreciate the members holding the conversations down. I would appreciate your courtesy. Thank you.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Located to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Jerry and Austin Hahn. They are here today as guests of Representative Lawrence and Representative Ross. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Paige and Stacey Courtright as well as Bob Kelly, who are guests of Representative Rosemary Brown and Representative Scavello. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And we also welcome Eric Wasek, who is interning in Representative Mustio's office. Eric is in flight operations with the 171st Air National Guard Refueling Wing. He is also a 3-year National Army ROTC (Reserve Officers' Training Corps) Scholarship recipient. He is located to the left of the rostrum. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome John Schrott III and his daughter, Mary Schrott, and they are here in recognition of their support for Outreach Teen & Family Services, and they are here today as guests of Representative Dan Miller. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Located to the left of the rostrum, we also welcome Austin Anderson, Brandon Stanback, and Tyrone Gibson. They are the PIAA Class AA District and State Champion 4-x-100-Meter Relay Team from Bishop McDevitt High School. The athletes

are accompanied by their coach and school athletic director, Tom Mealy, and they are here today as guests of Representative Marsico. Welcome to the hall of the House.

STATEMENT BY MR. MARSICO

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Marsico, under unanimous consent.

Mr. MARSICO. Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, joining me today are Representative Kim, Representative Payne, in recognizing Bishop McDevitt High School track 4-x-100 champions. Congratulations to our track team. They are all football players. Hope to see them here next year when they win the State championship for football.

Just wanted to say also that – want to recognize coach Tommy Mealy, the athletic director. He is doing a tremendous job for Bishop McDevitt.

Crusaders won the event with a time of 42.86 in a very exciting photo finish. They were also the District III Champions, and we want to wish them congratulations and good luck in their future endeavors.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Located in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome Mikaela Hank, who is shadowing Representative Gingrich for the day. Will our guest please rise, by the door on the left. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome Jon McMullin, who is interning in Representative Bloom's office. Jon attends the University of Pittsburgh and is majoring in political science and minoring in economics and Portuguese. Will our guest please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Additionally in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome Representative Reese's wife, Angela, along with his children; his sister, Kara Hornyak; and his niece, Emma. They are here with Girl Scout Troop 21872 from Hempfield Township. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

In the rear of the House, we would like to welcome Patrick Dougherty and Fred Lokuta, who are interns in Representative Carroll's district office. Will our guests please rise, over by the flag on the right. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Additionally in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome staff from Representative Galloway's district office – Regina Whitfield and Melanie Bidlingmaier. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

As guests of Representative Delozier, we would like to welcome the PIAA Class AA State Champion 3200-Meter Relay Team from Trinity High School. The team is comprised of Emily D'Amico, Hannah Durbin, Shannon Quinn, and Emily Dethlefs. And they are here with their coach, Sam Turpin, also in the rear of the House. Welcome.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. REED called up **HR 834, PN 3489**, entitled:

A Resolution designating August 2014 as "Native American Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Ms. DONATUCCI called up **HR 855, PN 3555**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing August 26, 2014, as "Women's Equality Day" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Ms. BROWNLEE called up **HR 882, PN 3638**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the weeks of August 24, 2014, through August 30, 2014, and August 31, 2014, through September 6, 2014, as "Back to School Weeks" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. MATZIE called up **HR 904, PN 3749**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the week of June 16 through 23, 2014, as "Pollinator Week" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Ms. DALEY called up **HR 907, PN 3752**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the 50th anniversary of the Pennsylvania Ballet.

* * *

Mr. SCAVELLO called up **HR 911, PN 3780**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of July 2014 as "Free Community Paper Month" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Ms. MAJOR called up **HR 915, PN 3803**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the important history of commercial development in Forest City, Pennsylvania, on the occasion of the borough's 150th anniversary.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl

Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longiotti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson
Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
Delozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

STATEMENT BY MS. DONATUCCI

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. Donatucci, under unanimous consent relative to one of the resolutions just adopted.

Ms. DONATUCCI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On August 18, 1920, the 19th Amendment was ratified and effectively gave women the right to vote in the United States. It took 144 years.

In 1971 in commemoration of the historic amendment, U.S. Congress designated August 26 as "Women's Equality Day."

The suffrage movement brought about major changes for women in our country, but there is no denying that there is still much to be done today. Today we have a litany of issues that affect women. I do not call them women's issues because there are many men who act as advocates and work alongside women.

Currently the equal pay for equal work fight has been the dominating issue affecting women here in Pennsylvania. For generations women have climbed mountains to earn recognition and respect in the workplace. Now, despite the many contributions women have made, 77 cents to the dollar is the reality.

As we celebrate Women's Equality Day this year, let us all be cognitive of the nationwide struggle to put an end to gender pay inequality. I can say with 100-percent certainty, the many women that serve in this legislative body are capable, phenomenal, and extraordinary, and this can be said for women in workplaces all across the country.

So I thank everybody for their affirmative vote today, and thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

STATEMENT BY MS. DALEY

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Ms. Mary Jo Daley, under unanimous consent relative to one of the resolutions just adopted.

Ms. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and my House colleagues.

The athletic difficulty of mastering ballet is best summed up by this popular but anonymous quote: "If ballet were easy, they'd call it football."

HR 907 commemorates the 50th anniversary celebration of the Pennsylvania Ballet, which is an artistic and cultural institution in Philadelphia and the surrounding area. This resolution comes on the heels of the ballet's June 12-15 presentation of "A 50th Finale: The Ultimate Celebration," which culminated a season-long celebration of the ballet's historic longevity milestone.

As with the arts in general, ballet is a vital piece of the cultural fabric of Philadelphia and its environs. We are fortunate to have such a renowned ballet company and its half-century worth of substantial cultural contributions located within the Commonwealth.

The Pennsylvania Ballet was first established in 1963 by Barbara Weisberger, a protégée of George Balanchine through a Ford Foundation initiative to develop regional professional dance companies.

Since then this stellar dance company has grown and realized many achievements, such as serving as the official company of the Brooklyn Academy of Music during the 1970s, becoming the first company in the United States to offer its dancers year-round employment, performing in an award-winning film, and receiving national and international critical acclaim.

With a focus on education and artistic creativity, the Pennsylvania Ballet remains a notable cultural institution for both the city of Philadelphia and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Famed American dance critic Edwin Denby summed up its impact when he said, quote, "You don't have to know about ballet to enjoy it, all you have to do is look at it." I could not agree with him more and appreciate your unanimous vote on this resolution. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. Is the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Brownlee, seeking recognition under unanimous consent as well?

Ms. BROWNLEE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may proceed.

Ms. BROWNLEE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to thank everyone who voted in the affirmative for HR 882. I would like to thank my colleagues from both sides of the aisle and submit remarks for the record.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

Ms. BROWNLEE submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

It has been said that the only difference between stumbling blocks and stepping stones is the way you use them. The time leading up to a new school year for many families is full of both. Recognizing "Back to School Weeks" in Pennsylvania is a way to put more stepping stones along the path.

HR 882, which designates the weeks of August 24, 2014, through August 30, 2014, and August 31, 2014, through September 6, 2014, as "Back to School Weeks" in Pennsylvania, is a way to call attention to the crucial time each year when we prepare our students for quite possibly the most important moments in their lives.

As many of you know, in Pennsylvania we have over 1.7 million school-aged students returning to schools across the Commonwealth this fall. This is the time of the year when students heading back to school essentially typifies the end of summer and with that comes the excitement and sometimes the trepidation for some of the students and their families with the onset of the new school year.

The Commonwealth should recognize and support students and their families during this stressful time by encouraging them to embrace the challenges that come with preparing for the new school year. I do this each year with my annual Kid's Day and Back to School Giveaway. Some families come for needed giveaways, but because I see many of the same faces each year as the event has grown, I am convinced that whether some of the students and their families realize it or not, it is because the event associates excitement and happiness with the return to school.

I wholeheartedly endorse Back to School Weeks. I thank my colleagues for their support in HR 882, and I encourage my fellow Representatives to start a district event of their own. It is always as rewarding to help as it is to see the looks on the students' faces.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman from York County, Mr. Miller, for the purpose of making an announcement.

Mr. R. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For the Environmental Resources and Energy Committee members, we will have a voting meeting in G-50 at the call of the Chair. Again, we will have a voting meeting in G-50 at the call of the Chair for the Environmental Resources and Energy Committee to consider two bills – HB 402 and HB 2278. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Environmental Resources and Energy Committee will be having a voting meeting in G-50 at the call of the Chair.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph, for the purpose of an announcement.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be an immediate meeting of the Appropriations Committee in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Appropriations Committee in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the lady from Susquehanna County, Ms. Major, for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce Republicans will caucus today at 1:15. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 1:15. We would be prepared to come back on the floor at 2 p.m. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 1:15. Democrats will caucus at 1:15.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House stands in recess until 2 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 2:30 p.m.; further extended until 2:45 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 402, PN 3837 (Amended) By Rep. R. MILLER

An Act imposing duties on lessees of oil and natural gas leases; and providing for the recording of surrender documents from oil and natural gas leases and of affidavits of termination or cancellation.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY.

HB 2278, PN 3838 (Amended) By Rep. R. MILLER

An Act providing for operators of unconventional wells to make certain reports to the Department of Environmental Protection; imposing duties on the department; and repealing provisions in Title 58 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY.

HB 2355, PN 3770 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 2014-2015.

APPROPRIATIONS.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 129, PN 361 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.911, No.147), known as the Telemarketer Registration Act, further providing for unlawful acts and penalties and for unwanted telephone solicitation calls prohibited.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 241, PN 254 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definition of "fire department vehicle."

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2092, PN 3812 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; and in commercial drivers, further providing for requirement for commercial driver's license.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2188, PN 3814 By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in community-based services tax credit, further providing for definitions and for amount of tax credits; and providing for tax credit hiatus.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2280, PN 3595

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2281, PN 3596

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2282, PN 3597

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2283, PN 3598

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2284, PN 3599

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2285, PN 3600

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2286, PN 3601

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Philadelphia Taxicab and Limousine Regulatory Fund and the Philadelphia Taxicab Medallion Fund to the Philadelphia Parking Authority for fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2287, PN 3618

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2288, PN 3681

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2328, PN 3836 (Amended)

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive and Judicial Departments, the State Government Support Agencies and the General Assembly of the Commonwealth, the public debt and the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Tobacco Settlement Fund, the Aviation Restricted Account, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Tuition Account Guaranteed Savings Program Fund, the Banking Fund, the Firearm Records Check Fund, the Ben Franklin Technology Development Authority Fund, the Oil and Gas Lease Fund, the Home Improvement Account, the Cigarette Fire Safety and Firefighter Protection Act Enforcement Fund, the Insurance Regulation and Oversight Fund and the Pennsylvania Racehorse Development Restricted Receipt Account, to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, for the proper operation of several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014; and to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal and State funds from the General Fund for the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2340, PN 3757

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, providing for medical professional liability reciprocal exchange-to-stock conversion.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1261, PN 1783

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of December 7, 1982 (P.L.784, No.225), known as the Dog Law, further providing for dogs used for law enforcement.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1287, PN 1840

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in snowmobiles and all-terrain vehicles, further providing for definitions.

APPROPRIATIONS.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

SB 874, PN 969

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in community colleges, further providing for financial program and reimbursement of payments.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

CALENDAR**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 75, PN 2118**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, extensively revising the law on human trafficking in the areas of prosecution, prevention, victim protection, evidentiary confidentiality, limitation of actions and victim impact statements; and making editorial changes.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MURT** offered the following amendment No. **A07659**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 5 and 6, by striking out "and victim impact statements" and inserting

, victim impact statements and classification of sexual offenses

Amend Bill, page 95, line 21, by striking out "and" and inserting a comma

Amend Bill, page 95, line 21, by inserting after "9738(b)" and 9799.14(c)

Amend Bill, page 96, by inserting between lines 11 and 12 § 9799.14. Sexual offenses and tier system.

* * *

(c) Tier II sexual offenses.—The following offenses shall be classified as Tier II sexual offenses:

(1) 18 Pa.C.S. § 3011(b) (relating to trafficking in individuals).

[(1)] (1.1) 18 Pa.C.S. § 3122.1(a)(2) (relating to statutory sexual assault).

[(1.1)] (1.2) 18 Pa.C.S. § 3124.2(a.2) and (a.3).

[(1.2)] (1.3) 18 Pa.C.S. § 3126(a)(2), (3), (4), (5), (6) or (8).

(2) 18 Pa.C.S. § 5902(b.1) (relating to prostitution and related offenses).

(3) 18 Pa.C.S. § 5903(a)(3)(ii), (4)(ii), (5)(ii) or (6) (relating to obscene and other sexual materials and

performances).

(4) 18 Pa.C.S. § 6312(b) and (c).

(5) 18 Pa.C.S. § 6318 (relating to unlawful contact with minor).

(6) 18 Pa.C.S. § 6320 (relating to sexual exploitation of children).

(7) 18 U.S.C. § 1591 (relating to sex trafficking of children by force, fraud, or coercion).

(8) 18 U.S.C. § 2243 (relating to sexual abuse of a minor or ward).

(9) 18 U.S.C. § 2244 (relating to abusive sexual contact) where the victim is 13 years of age or older but under 18 years of age.

(10) 18 U.S.C. § 2251 (relating to sexual exploitation of children).

(11) 18 U.S.C. § 2251A (relating to selling or buying of children).

(12) 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(1), (2) or (3).

(13) 18 U.S.C. § 2260 (relating to production of sexually explicit depictions of a minor for importation into the United States).

(14) 18 U.S.C. § 2421 (relating to transportation generally).

(15) 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b).

(16) 18 U.S.C. § 2423(a).

(17) A comparable military offense or similar offense under the laws of another jurisdiction or foreign country or under a former law of this Commonwealth.

(18) An attempt, conspiracy or solicitation to commit an offense listed in paragraph (1), (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16) or (17).

* * *

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Murt.

Mr. MURT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to amendment. Essentially what we are doing here is we are codifying the human trafficking where someone who traffics in children has to register as an offender under the Adam Walsh law.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. I just want to echo the gentleman's comments. It is an agreed-to amendment. I would urge a positive response on the vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murt, indicates he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. MURT. That is affirmative, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Question, what is the status of the Adam Walsh law in Pennsylvania?

Mr. MURT. Mr. Speaker, we are in compliance with the Adam Walsh legislation statute in Pennsylvania.

Mr. THOMAS. But it is still a good law, I mean, I thought I heard where—

Mr. MURT. It was enacted last session in Pennsylvania and the Commonwealth.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson
Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
Delozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **ROZZI** offered the following amendment No. **A07671**:

Amend Bill, page 92, line 26, by striking out "five" and inserting ten

Amend Bill, page 92, line 30, by striking out "FIVE" and inserting

ten

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Rozzi.

Mr. **ROZZI**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A07671 would amend the bill to increase the statute of limitations for crimes of trafficking in individuals and involuntary servitude.

For adults, this amendment would change the limitation period for trafficking and involuntary servitude from 5 years from the date of the last offense to 10 years from the date of the last offense. For children, this amendment would change the limitation period for the criminal labor servitude from up to 5 years after the victim turns 18 years old to 10 years from the time the victim reaches 18 years old.

Mr. Speaker, human trafficking and involuntary servitude are despicable crimes and cause serious psychological damage to their victims. Sometimes it takes time for victims to reach out to authorities for help, whether due to psychological distress, language barriers, or numerous other issues. As such, Mr. Speaker, 5 years may not be enough time for some of these terrible crimes to be reported. Therefore, we must increase the statute of limitations for these crimes to ensure justice for victims and to prevent these vile criminals from remaining free to offend again.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I believe this is an agreed-to amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House adopt the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. **MARSICO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is agreed to. I appreciate an affirmative vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. **CALTAGIRONE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also, too, would urge the members to vote in support of this amendment. It has been worked on by both sides and the legal counsel, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson
Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
Delozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The other amendments filed to SB 75 have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1164, PN 2155**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1972 (P.L.233, No.64), known as The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act, providing for drug overdose response immunity.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **HACKETT** offered the following amendment No. **A08031**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 18, by inserting after "person"
may not be charged and
Amend Bill, page 1, line 19, by striking out "from" and inserting
for
Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by striking out "limited immunity"
and inserting
prohibition on charging or prosecuting a person as
Amend Bill, page 2, line 26, by striking out "prosecution" and
inserting
charging or prosecuting a person
Amend Bill, page 3, line 2, by inserting after "EVENTS"
may not be charged and
Amend Bill, page 3, line 4, by inserting after "THEM"
may not be charged and
Amend Bill, page 3, line 6, by striking out "immunity" and
inserting
prohibition on charging or prosecuting a person as
Amend Bill, page 3, line 8, by striking out "prosecutions or
penalties" and inserting
charging or prosecuting a person
Amend Bill, page 3, line 14, by inserting after "arrest"
charging
Amend Bill, page 3, line 23, by striking out "immunity" and
inserting
prohibition on charging or prosecuting a person as
Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 24 and 25
(e) In addition to any other applicable immunity or limitation on
civil liability, a law enforcement officer or prosecuting attorney who,
acting in good faith, charges a person who is thereafter determined to
be entitled to immunity under this section shall be not be subject to
civil liability for the filing of the charges.
Amend Bill, page 3, line 25, by striking out "(e) Definitions.—"
and inserting
(f)

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Hackett.

Mr. HACKETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of amendment A08031.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment clarifies a little portion of the bill where instead of just prosecution, it addresses the line – changes it to "will not be charged." So it starts at the beginning point when law enforcement first comes into this issue and not wait until after we get to that prosecution issue.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is also agreed to. I appreciate an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also would add that we have agreed to this amendment. I would urge an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccione
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson

Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
Delozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **FRANKEL** offered the following amendment No. **A07864**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

(g) Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to limit any existing immunities for emergency response providers and others provided for under 42 Pa.C.S. § 8332 (relating to emergency response provider and bystander good Samaritan civil immunity).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel.

Mr. **FRANKEL**. Mr. Speaker, when the Judiciary Committee considered the adoption of SB 1164, a number of the members of the committee were concerned about the potential liability for police officers and their departments if they chose to train and give their officers naloxone, which is the antidote to an opioid overdose. I believe that this amendment is important because it confirms existing language in Title 42 that clearly states that emergency responders or bystanders who, in good faith, render emergency care, treatment, first aid, shall not be liable for any civil damages as a result of rendering such care.

I believe this is an agreed-to amendment, and I would ask members for their support of amendment 7864. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. **MARSICO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is also agreed to. I appreciate an affirmative vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. **CALTAGIRONE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again I would urge the members to support this. Legal counsel have worked out this agreement, and I would urge an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—203

Adolph	English	Knowles	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Major	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Markosek	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marshall	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Marsico	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Masser	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	Matzie	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McCarter	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McGinnis	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	McNeill	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metcalfe	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sims
Conklin	Haggerty	Micozzie	Smith
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Snyder
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miller, D.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harhai	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harhart	Mirabito	Stevenson
Culver	Harkins	Miranda	Sturla
Cutler	Harper	Molchany	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, A.	Moul	Tallman
Daley, P.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Taylor
Davidson	Heffley	Mundy	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
Deasy	James	Neuman	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Brien	Truitt
Delozier	Kauffman	O'Neill	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Oberlander	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Painter	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
Emrick	Kirkland	Petri	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **FRANKEL** offered the following amendment No. **A07832**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 17 through 21; pages 2 and 3, lines 1 through 30; page 4, lines 1 through 7, by striking out "A" in line 17, all of lines 18 through 21 on page 1, all of lines 1 through 30 on pages 2 and 3 and all of lines 1 through 7 on page 4 and inserting

To encourage good faith requests for medical assistance involving a drug overdose event, the following shall be immune from being arrested, charged, prosecuted, charged with a probation or parole violation or convicted for committing a prohibited act under section 13 of the act of April 14, 1972 (P.L.233, No.64), known as "The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act," where the evidence for the arrest, charge, prosecution, probation or parole violation charge or conviction was obtained as a result of seeking medical assistance:

(1) A person who, in good faith, seeks medical assistance for a person experiencing or whom the person reasonably believes to be experiencing a drug overdose event.

(2) A person who experiences a drug overdose event and seeks medical assistance.

(3) A person who is subject to a good faith request for medical assistance due to a drug overdose event.

(b) For the purposes of this subsection, "good faith" does not include seeking medical assistance during the course of the execution of an arrest warrant or search warrant or a lawful search.

(c) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the admissibility of any evidence in connection with the investigation or prosecution of a crime with regard to a defendant who does not qualify for the protections of this section or with regard to other crimes committed by a person who otherwise qualifies for protection pursuant to this section.

(d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit any seizure of evidence or contraband otherwise permitted by law.

(e) As used in this section, the term "drug overdose event" means an acute medical condition.

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 16 through 27, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(3) In consultation with the Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs, develop or approve training and instructional materials about recognizing opioid-related overdoses, administering naloxone and promptly seeking medical attention. The training and instruction materials shall be provided free of charge on the Internet.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 14, by inserting after "SUBSECTION(A)(2)"

, or who has received the training and instructional materials under subsection (a)(3).

Amend Bill, page 5, line 19, by inserting after "NALOXONE" directly or by a standing order

Amend Bill, page 5, line 21, by striking out the period after "(B)"

and inserting

or to a person at risk of experiencing an opioid-related overdose or family member, friend or other person in a position to assist a person at risk of experiencing an opioid-related overdose.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 27, by striking out the period after "NALOXONE" and inserting

, or to a person or organization acting at the direction of a health care professional authorized to prescribe naloxone so long as such activities are undertaken without charge or compensation.

Amend Bill, page 6, line 8, by striking out "AUTHORIZED" and inserting

, law enforcement agency, fire department or fire company

Amend Bill, page 6, line 8, by inserting after "(B)(2)"

or (c)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he has withdrawn that amendment.

The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **DiGIROLAMO** offered the following amendment No. **A07830**:

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(3) In consultation with the Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs, develop or approve training and instructional materials about recognizing opioid-related overdoses, administering naloxone and promptly seeking medical attention. The training and instruction materials shall be provided free of charge on the Internet.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 14, by inserting after "SUBSECTION(A)(2)"

, or who has received the training and instructional materials under subsection (a)(3).

Amend Bill, page 5, line 19, by inserting after "NALOXONE" directly or by a standing order

Amend Bill, page 5, line 21, by striking out the period after "(B)" and inserting

or to a person at risk of experiencing an opioid-related overdose or family member, friend or other person in a position to assist a person at risk of experiencing an opioid-related overdose.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 27, by striking out the period after "NALOXONE" and inserting

, or to a person or organization acting at the direction of a health care professional authorized to prescribe naloxone so long as such activities are undertaken without charge or compensation.

Amend Bill, page 6, line 8, by striking out "AUTHORIZED" and inserting

, law enforcement agency, fire department or fire company

Amend Bill, page 6, line 8, by inserting after "(B)(2)"

or (c)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. DiGirolamo.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment will basically allow friends, family, and people at risk to be able to go to a doctor with a prescription and getting the training from the doctor to be able to get a prescription of naloxone or Narcan and keep it at home. This drug is an absolute miracle drug that will remove the effects of someone who is overdosing from opiates or heroin, will immediately reverse those effects and keep them alive. Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to ask for a negative vote on this amendment.

When the committee considered SB 1164 just recently, we included in it the Hackett amendment that would allow first responders only to dispense this drug. We thought it would be best to take a more narrow approach, one step at a time, and thought maybe that, obviously, it would be best if those first responders were trained and then be able to then dispense the drug.

So we have some serious public safety concerns here, and I ask for a negative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, would agree that without the proper training that would be needed in this kind of a situation, anybody and everybody that would get involved, it just is not safe. I would agree that we should oppose this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, is the gentleman, Mr. Frankel, seeking recognition on the amendment? The gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel, is in order on the amendment.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the DiGirolamo amendment. It is absolutely essential.

As this Commonwealth faces an enormous epidemic, a tragic epidemic of opioid overdoses from narcotics, from heroin – we must do something about it.

This amendment improves what is already a very good bill that currently as written provides first responders with access to an antidote – naloxone, also known as Narcan. This antidote has no other application. It does not have any other effect. There is no possible other side effect to this drug other than acting as an antidote for somebody going through an overdose.

I can tell you that in Allegheny County on one weekend in January, one single weekend in January, we had 25 overdoses from heroin. Each one of those could have been prevented instantaneously had the person with that person who was overdosing, a family member or a friend, had access to this antidote, Narcan. We must be able to get this into the hands of people.

Let me tell you, what it is, is basically a nasal spray that is applied to the person going through an overdose or an injection through an EpiPen, which many people use routinely and do not need training for. This is an essential way to get into the hands

of people who are closest to those who suffer from the affliction of drug addiction, something that will save somebody's life. It is not a narcotic in and of itself. It is safe.

And let me tell you something, Mr. Speaker, this has been adopted in 25 other States. And it has been adopted by Republicans and Democrats with unanimity, unanimously. We have it in States such as Utah, Kentucky, Virginia, North Carolina, Tennessee. New York State just adopted it, and the Governor signed it into law yesterday. I do not see what the possible objection can be to getting this antidote, this lifesaving treatment, into the hands of loved ones and friends to save the life of somebody who is known to have an addiction problem with opiates.

Other States have done this. This is not a partisan issue. This is not an issue with most district attorneys or police departments. We need to do this. This is not controversial. Other States are doing it. Republicans and Democrats are embracing this legislation across the country. We should as well here. This would be an enormous improvement to what is already a good and important bill. We ought to get this antidote to save lives in the hands of not just first responders but loved ones and friends of folks who are suffering from addiction. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House adopt the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Santarsiero.

Mr. SANTARSIERO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there are few issues that come before us in the House that truly do have life-and-death consequences. This is one of those issues.

As the gentleman from Allegheny County just explained, there is indeed an epidemic across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and it is not just – it is not unique to Pennsylvania, it is throughout the United States. It is an epidemic in our cities, it is an epidemic in our rural areas, and yes, it is an epidemic in our suburbs as well. It does not know any bounds of socioeconomic distinction. It is rich. It is poor. It is middle-class kids and adults who are suffering from these addictions – these terrible addictions that very often begin with opiate-based pain relievers and lead, sadly, to addiction to drugs like heroin. To have an antidote handy that could save a life, even if it is just one life, is something that we should make sure happens.

Mr. Speaker, there is no reason – there is no valid reason to vote against this bill – this amendment; excuse me. We have to stand up for these people, we have to protect them and save their lives, and so I ask that you vote in favor of the DiGirolamo amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland County, Mr. Masser.

Mr. MASSER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise in support of amendment 07830.

And I agree with the prior two speakers that this is a matter of life and death. When we are narrowing it so narrowly to say that it is just going to be EMS (emergency medical services) or first responders, in a number of our districts, that could be the difference between life and death. A number of our areas are so rural that it could take 45 minutes, and those minutes are precious in these situations.

So I ask for an affirmative vote for A07830. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Could I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DiGirolamo, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. CLYMER. Mr. Speaker, I agree with what has been said, this is a life-and-death issue, and certainly if we can save a life, that is important, but we are talking about saving the life after the fact.

So where is all this drug abuse coming from? I mean, where are the drugs coming from? There has to be a place that there is an opening somewhere that is bringing a lot of these drugs into our State and into our nation. So we ought to be looking at a way to solve the problem at the beginning rather than at the end.

And I will support legislation that is going to save a life, but perhaps the maker of the amendment could tell me, what are we doing to prevent these drugs from coming into our community?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman and my good friend from Bucks County is absolutely right, and the crisis and the epidemic that we are experiencing today is mainly from prescription drug, opiate abuse, which is leading to the heroin epidemic that we are seeing all across the State of Pennsylvania. Our young people especially, and it is just not isolated to young people, are getting hooked on these prescription drugs first and then changing over to heroin.

What we are doing, I mean, we are doing a number of things. We have a bill out there, a prescription drug monitoring bill I think we are close to getting passed, and that would give the doctors and the pharmacists the ability to look up the history of someone who is coming in and looking for opiates. We also have a task force that Representative Heffley has started that is going to look at, first, guidelines for prescribers and how they prescribe these opiates.

So we are doing an awful lot, Mr. Speaker. It is an epidemic and a crisis, and this bill that has good Samaritan in it and also the ability to prescribe Narcan is part of the solution, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, you mentioned heroin. So that is not something that is a prescription drug. So where is that coming from? I mean, there are doses of it coming in that we have never seen in the history of our nation. So I would like to deal with that.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

While I appreciate the nature of the questions, the question really is not about the substance of the amendment before us, and I would kindly ask the two members to focus in on the good or the bad of this amendment and not the bigger picture problem that this does not specifically address, please.

Mr. CLYMER. Right. Mr. Speaker, you are correct.

I will make that an option, if my good friend wants to answer the question, fine; if not, perfectly all right.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. And again, the heroin epidemic is being fueled by the prescription drug epidemic that we are experiencing, and if we could get a handle on the prescription drug problem, I think the heroin would absolutely go away.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to this amendment, not because the amendment is not well-intentioned, not because the amendment might not save a life. I rise in opposition to this because we have just passed a bill, or the underlying bill here with amendments provides for emergency responders and takes away any liability of a person that finds a friend in a position where they are in an overdose; it puts them in the position to help.

Now, we have a heroin epidemic that has occurred because the opioids are available and then people switch over to cheaper heroin that is coming in from Mexico. It is 80 percent purity.

My problem with the amendment is not that this should not be done. It should be done. But it should not be done over the objection of the district attorney's office when we are passing two bills that will have a huge impact on the epidemic we have.

Number one, we pass this bill. Number two, we have already passed the monitoring bill and we are waiting for the Senate to approve that bill. If those two bills are passed, we have now taken out good Samaritan liability, we have provided the people that are on the scene of a drug overdose to be able to provide the drugs. If we do this, we may be leaving the impression that we do not have to do more than what we are doing.

And the one thing that we have not decided to do yet, that is not going to be dealt with by this amendment, is the family has to get the person that they know to be addicted to opioids or heroin into a long-term treatment. We keep throwing money at 60-day programs that do not have any benefit of getting the person off heroin.

Now, if we give this prescription drug out to the family, there is going to be no incentive for the family finding out that there is a heroin addiction to get that person into proper treatment. And until we deal with what is proper treatment for a heroin epidemic, all we are really doing is making sure that the next time that they have an overdose, they may survive it. But they will not survive a year from now. The year from now survival does not happen because the family has this medication. The year from now survival happens because we fund drug programs that work more than 60 days.

So I rise in opposition at this point because there are a lot of good things that are happening and the district attorney's office wants the bill to move forward, to let the emergency providers provide and let the good Samaritans be the people that are trying to help.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Hackett.

Mr. HACKETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, today we are faced with a very important vote and a very difficult vote. I applaud the maker of this amendment. I applaud the work that the committees have done in judiciary, human services, health services. Everyone has been working very hard on this heroin epidemic that we face here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

My problem with this amendment, with all due respect, Mr. Speaker, is that I am still a little nervous about if the naloxone gets in the hands of that drug dealer. He is able to promote his product maybe with an antibiotic, if you might say

or what you might say, to come out of that high and sell it as part of it. That is a stumbling block that I am having.

Believe me, my best friend's son would probably be alive today if he had naloxone and was able to administer that, but I cannot go back in time and fix that now. I think we are going to get there. I just do not think this is the time.

We worked very hard on this bill, very hard. This has been going on for quite a while on many committees, and look what we have got so far – three big issues, three big bills that we are passing, two that are the good Sam bills and one even talking about naloxone as even being able to be used by our first responders, which is probably years old. We should have been doing this years ago.

So let us start saving lives, but I also do not want to lose lives in the interim. And I would love to work with Chairman DiGirolamo and the other chairs that are working on these problems to get it right, to continue to get it right and save those lives.

I also have a political position here where I have a problem. I really think that if the prime sponsor of the bill is not in favor of this amendment, I would have a problem with a possibility of maybe him shutting down that bill as it crosses the Capitol floors. So I would ask you to consider that. Vote with your gut, and I respect whichever way you go on this bill, but I thought I needed to add that in. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Dan Miller.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you.

Will the chairman – maker of the amendment stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you.

As I understand it, one of the arguments that has been brought up has been the need for training on this nasal spray. I know one method of it can be done through a nasal spray. I am wondering if anybody has any idea, or if the maker has an idea, as to what training for the nasal spray would be lacking if a non-first-responder had the spray?

Mr. DIGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This Narcan is very simple to use. It is no more than a nasal spray. When we are talking about allowing family and friends to get a prescription of Narcan, they will go to their doctor, the doctor will give them the instruction and the training that they need in the doctor's office – and it is very simple; it is no more than spraying a nasal spray up someone's nose – will give them the training, and they will be able to use it.

And I would like to point out that doctors right now can already prescribe Narcan in their offices if someone would go in and ask for a prescription of Narcan. They can already do that. All this amendment is doing is giving the doctors immunity and putting it in statute that they can do it. They can already do it.

It is simple to use. It is not addictive. You cannot divert it. This is absolutely going to save lives. The doctors will give the training in the doctor's office, and there should be no problem with this at all as far as the training goes.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order on the amendment.

Mr. D. MILLER. Thank you.

You know, there are few opportunities, I believe, where we have a chance to make an immediate lifesaving difference.

I think many people know, I spent a good amount of my last decade or so as a firefighter. I responded to numerous calls. I know the people who have been rolling in on these situations personally, in relation to the EMS calls. And we know about the personal stories, sometimes about how those situations get to them too late.

The point of this bill in my mind is to be sure that no one is too late, and I would say, we heard an argument and I appreciate the intention to say, hey, would we be giving a tool for a drug pusher to help market their product? Maybe. But I think that a drug pusher will market any product that they possibly can to help push their wares. Just by that as a possibility to me is not enough for us to walk away from the opportunity to save many lives across this Commonwealth.

I appreciate the amendment's maker for the conversation about how easy it is to administer. I have come across many nasal sprays. I know of no spray that has ever taken more than a 2-minute discussion for someone to use.

I think that we have to be sure to keep in mind the overall point of this here, and while there are elements of this bill that I think a lot of us perhaps would debate or are concerned with in some aspects, this point here, to me, is the most salient and direct aspect of it in that it has a chance to save people's lives, immediately. And there has been many an instance over this past year where this body has debated the epidemic of heroin in our State. This is our chance to do something directly.

I would ask everyone for an affirmative vote on the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Centre County, Mr. Benninghoff.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The more we talk about this, the little bit more confused I get, and I hope I am not the only one. But I am somewhat familiar with this, working in the ER (emergency room) before, and I would ask if the gentleman, the maker of the amendment, would answer a couple questions for clarification.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate your willingness to answer a couple questions. You actually raised a comment that I just need to clarify.

You said the majority of abuses are of prescription drugs, which is alarming to hear. My question is, and maybe this is not something you are able to answer but maybe through your committee you have been able to research, do we know if the number of the abuses are by the individual the prescription was written for or someone else?

Mr. DIGIROLAMO. My police chief back home in my legislative district, when he talks to the kids, he tells the kids and the parents that the new drug dealer in town is your medicine cabinet in your own home. I believe most of these drugs that are being abused and diverted are being gotten from legal prescriptions and either they are in the home and the parents or the older adults have not used them and the kids are getting a hold of them, or people are going and doctor shopping and pharmacy shopping and taking these drugs and selling them on the street.

So I would say for the most part that most of the drugs on the street, the opiate prescription drugs, are there because of legal prescriptions.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Just for my clarification, but we are not sure if there is a correlation between the actual people having the overdoses to the ones that the drug may have been a prescription. It may be a relative, friend, or somebody got it sold from there.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. I do not really know if there are any statistics on there. I do know that there are a lot of statistics on the people first getting hooked on the prescription drugs, the opiates, and then changing over to heroin for a number of reasons: first, because the heroin right now is much cheaper and easier to get, and then second, because the high is much more intense than the prescription drugs would be.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you for clarifying, and the reason I asked it is because I am trying to follow your path on this.

Then how do we decide who gets a prescription for Narcan? Is Narcan going to follow the prescription for the narcotics and the individual who gets the narcotics, or are we just going to give a blanket prescription of Narcan to the family of people who have narcotics? Otherwise, we could be giving the prescription for the Narcan to somebody who may not be the one who ends up having the overdose or the history of it.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Because the Narcan is not addictive, it is safe and it only has one use. The only use for Narcan is that it stops someone who is overdosing from a drug. If you are absolutely on your last breath and you get a dose of Narcan, it will immediately bring you back to life. It absolutely bleaches all the opiates out of your system.

So I envision this amendment – and it has the support of everybody who was in treatment, the family groups, the AA (Alcoholics Anonymous) communities – I envision this as someone who knows that they have a loved one who is living in the house or maybe not living in the house who has an opiate or heroin addiction, being able to go to the doctor, getting the prescription of Narcan, keeping it in the home, keeping it in the car, and maybe at some point in time it is going to save a life, maybe the life of their loved one.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. And again, I appreciate this clarification, and by disclosure, you know, I worked in a hospital. I have a little bit of knowledge, probably just enough to make me question things a bit more.

I am just trying to understand. One thing that is in this legislation, it says, expands access to Narcan through direct distribution. Could you explain what "direct distribution" means versus a prescription?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. I believe that gives the health-care professional or a doctor the ability to prescribe that. That is what I think, I believe, it means.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Again, he can prescribe a prescription, but does this mean he can actually be a distributor in his office?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Correct.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Through direct distribution, okay.

One last question on that, and again, I am trying to understand this for my own digestion.

All drugs have some metabolism factor that they are going to be metabolized from the time they are taken, from time ingested, etc., etc. Do we really know – first of all, Narcan is not going to work on all drugs. It has to be a certain type of drug

I would think – a narcotic of some sort. I do not want to give people the false assumption that any drug or any overdose can be quickly reversed by taking Narcan and I think the concern was raised by several other members that I would hope not to give people the false hope, especially those who may be using something recreationally that, well, if we take this too far, we will just give my buddy Narcan.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. The Narcan will only work for opiates or heroin; will not work for overdoses from cocaine or methamphetamine. It only works for the opiates and the heroin.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you.

And the last question on that, the longer from the time of my ingestion, or however I take it, to the time I get the Narcan, I would suspect its half-life is going to be diminishing the effectiveness of the Narcan. I cannot imagine that it does not matter if I took it a minute ago or 4 minutes ago or 10 minutes ago, that it is going to work that instantaneously. It has got to be diminishing from the time of the ingestion.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. My understanding is, from talking to people that have used Narcan, that if you are absolutely taking your last breath and you are administered a dose of Narcan, that it will absolutely reverse the death and will bring you back to life almost instantaneously; on your last breath, the Narcan will work.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Well, I will not argue with the chairman. I know you are very knowledgeable in this area. I am not sure if I agree with that, but I do appreciate that.

Mr. Speaker, on the amendment itself?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the gentleman is in order.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And very briefly to the members, I think this is very well intended and there is not a person in this room that does not want to save a life, but I am not even sure what schedule this drug is. You know, as a Commonwealth we schedule drugs at different levels. My experience working in a hospital, this is something that would be kept on a crash cart. It is not something just lying around anywhere available to anybody working in there. As an orderly, I would have had to go to a nurse who would have had to get permission from a physician to do this type of thing.

It makes me somewhat uncomfortable, even though it is very, very well intended, that we are just going to make this readily available to people at high risk. And maybe the father in me also worries about are we going to then diminish the importance of teaching no drugs, stay away from drugs, versus, well, if you get yourself in trouble, you have this antidote.

I would just ask the maker of this amendment, who I know is very knowledgeable and very concerned about this, to maybe consider that we actually have a hearing on this specific issue because we might actually be able to do a better job than trying to add into this bill at this point.

I thank the chairman for taking my questions and the Speaker for allowing me.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on the amendment, if I am not mistaken this is HB 2090, which the Human Services Committee voted out of committee back in March, and, Mr. Speaker, let me assure you,

the Human Services Committee is made up of a very diverse group of this body, and it was unanimously voted out of committee, and that was after a lot of thoughtful, thoughtful discussion.

Just some response to some of the comments I have heard this afternoon. We talk about treatment. If somebody passes away, there is no opportunity for treatment. So the ability to treat them and preserve that life and get them, hopefully, into treatment is what this amendment would help to accomplish, number one. Number two, the idea of extended treatment is very important, and unfortunately, that is not readily available today, and I think any number of families can testify to their frustration, and that is a whole other discussion. But this amendment puts us on that path.

I would ask everybody sitting in front of a computer to bring up HB 2090, see who sits on the Human Services Committee, and verify that all of those votes were indeed in the affirmative.

So I would encourage everybody's thoughtful consideration for this amendment and their affirmative vote today for this amendment, for this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, unfortunately this amendment puts me in a very difficult position because I am choosing between two chairmen whom I have a great deal of respect for. But I would like to piggyback off of some of the comments made by the gentleman from Centre County.

Mr. Speaker, my concerns actually arise from the chemistry of this drug. I have seen it in effect. I understand how it is applied and more importantly, how patients respond. Mr. Speaker, the truth is, the half-life for naloxone and Narcan is 20 minutes, and the reason I believe that that is important is because we have to make sure that the appropriate emergency personnel have been notified.

Mr. Speaker, having seen the effects of this drug and how quickly someone can turn around, I think the last thing that we want to do is give somebody the false sense of security that once the drug is administered, the patient is safe, because once we hit the half-life point, we are back to a potential overdose and it causes a great concern, Mr. Speaker. I do not think we want to be in a position where we have a well-intentioned friend or family member who administers the drug but then does not call 911 or, worse yet, chooses to try to drive the patient to the hospital themselves. Mr. Speaker, I think that that would perhaps put the patient in far more danger.

And the advantage of the bill and the way that it is currently crafted is that 911 has in fact already been notified. The first responders are on the scene. We know that additional individuals are currently en route to the patient. More importantly, there is more naloxone, or if the patient's condition necessitates it, ET (endotracheal) tubes to intubate the patient, to administer other drugs to care for the patient.

Mr. Speaker, I believe that this idea has merit. I absolutely believe that it is something that we should pursue, but unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, I believe that we should pursue it on its own. I think we should take the bill as it is currently drafted and had been worked on, let us complete that. Let us get the drug in the hands of the first responders. And then let us work on the friends and family members and let us draft a

tighter amendment, Mr. Speaker, so that we know that the family members are told exactly how the drug works, exactly how it will be applied, and more importantly, how important additional medical care is.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly do not want to create an expectation that is unrealistic on the effects of the drug, and for that reason I would urge opposition to this amendment, but wholeheartedly believe that we should continue looking at it on its own merit because I do think that it is worthy. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Delaware County, Mrs. Davidson.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to – for the members' attention, we really need to ask ourselves at least three important questions when considering this legislation.

Question number one is, are the lives of addicts worth saving? Are the lives of addicts worth saving? We have to ask that question because many, many people in society think that we should not spend one more dime on trying to save folks or force people into treatment or to turn around these folks' lives who have gone into treatment time and time again.

But if you believe that an addict's life is worth saving, the next question we need to ask ourselves is, who are these addicts? And these addicts are in our communities. They are our family members. They are the children of our friends. They are mothers, daughters, sisters, and brothers of members even of this House. That is who we are talking about today.

The third question is, should we save their lives now? Can we afford to put this off another day? People are dying daily of addiction. Can we really afford to say, let us study this further. Let us act as if we do not already know that this drug will save somebody's life.

We need to do this now if we believe an addict's life is worth saving, if we believe that not one more person should die when we have the lifesaving medicine to prevent it. It will be distributed by health-care professionals and by family members who really understand addiction because they are living with it every single day.

So I urge the members of this House to not put this off for another day if you believe that an addict's life is worth saving and that you recognize that they are in our communities and in our own families. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Carbon County, Mr. Heffley.

Mr. HEFFLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of amendment A07830.

I share the concerns of my colleagues, my colleague from Montgomery County who has a concern about drug dealers at some point using this to entice people to stay on heroin as maybe a life preserver. However, I think the greater fear is the amount of opioids and prescription drugs that are in the medicine cabinet in homes all throughout our State. I am more worried about that than I am about Narcan being in the medicine cabinet.

While we have people that have addiction issues, this drug can also save the life of somebody who is just experimenting for the first time with some of these prescription drugs. It could be an accidental overdose. A lot of times, if people are trying these

prescription drugs and they are consuming alcohol at the same time, it can cause a lethal cocktail that shuts down the respiratory system. I think this drug could be a good step in preventing those deaths.

I can share that there is nothing more frustrating than waiting at the scene of an accident or at an emergency and waiting for those first responders to be there and feeling helpless, and I think this would be a tool so people would not have to feel helpless and they can save lives instantly. I would ask my colleagues to support this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the DiGirolamo amendment.

June 24, remember this day. You will have an opportunity to save lives. If you choose to vote against this amendment, there will be people that will die in this State before we come back tomorrow. They will die of a drug overdose, a heroin overdose in this State. We have an opportunity to prevent that.

I have heard arguments that, well, you know, maybe this will give false hope to people because this only lasts for 20 minutes sometimes. So the alternative is, do not give them the Narcan and watch them die. We give them false hope for 20 minutes until the EMT (emergency medical technician) can get there or we watch them die. Maybe somebody might administer this incorrectly and the person might die, or we do not allow it and the person dies. Maybe someone will get a false sense that they can do heroin and this will save them and they will die, or they will die. The common theme here is that without this they will die.

Mr. Speaker, we have an opportunity— If somebody wants to go after we pass this amendment and after it is passed into law and after the Governor signs it, like it has been done in multiple other States with thousands of other State legislators voting for an amendment similar to this, with a doctor telling people how to administer this, if we think that is still not enough protections for the heroin addict whose life is getting saved, we can always come back and pass additional training, we can always come back and do all sorts of things that we want to, later, after we have saved people's lives.

What is the sense of saying, let us just wait a little longer; let us just watch a few more family members die; let us just watch a few more people that are addicted to a lethal drug go by the wayside because we did not have a proper treatment program for them to get into anyway, and so until we get that proper treatment program, we are not going to save their life. They refused to go into a treatment program even though we found them one, so we decided not to save their lives. Why would we do that? Under what circumstance would you say to somebody that is on death's door, sorry, wrong decision, not going to help you out? I do not understand that.

I like to believe that we live in a State that has compassion and works to help people, and I urge a "yes" vote for the DiGirolamo amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (JOHN MAHER) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. McCarter.

Mr. McCARTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I rise in support of the DiGirolamo amendment also.

When we look at this particular question, again, some of it comes down to the question, is this drug safe? Well, I would ask the question this way, is an EpiPen safe?

When we think of the food allergies that people suffer or we think in terms of bee stings, we run for a particular device that allows that person to survive. This is no different. This is an ability to make individuals get past a crisis situation. It saves their lives, as we have heard many times.

And again, this is— In the case of science, what do the studies show us? That this particular drug is safe. Whether studies from Pittsburgh to Norway, literally many, many, many scientific studies have all come to the conclusion this is a safe drug, and as a result, 2500 State Reps across this country have in fact voted to put this into existence to save lives. I would like to think that Pennsylvania is a progressive State, not one where we have become one that we would reject the opportunity to have that opportunity to save lives today.

So I ask you, if you believe you want to save lives, if you want to have that opportunity to make sure that everyone has that same opportunity that we give to people who suffer food allergies or, you know, that are allergic to bees, then this is a vote that we should all be taking in a positive manner.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester County, Representative Hennessey.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates he will receive your question.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I recognize that you are not a doctor, but you have extensive experience in this field, so in that regard you have told us that Narcan, naloxone, can have a beneficial effect for heroin or opium overdoses. I am going to ask, if a person is taking cocaine or methamphetamine and is administered naloxone, Narcan, what kind of effect would there be? Would there be a harmful effect? Would there be no effect? Would there be a beneficial effect?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. If a person was overdosing from methamphetamine or from cocaine and you administered Narcan because you were not sure what kind of overdose it was, there would be absolutely no effect to the person at all. He would not revive himself. So you would know immediately that that person was not overdosing from opiates or heroin. It would have no ill effect on that person at all. But if that person did not instantaneously come back to life, you would know that it is not heroin or opiate addiction, it is something else.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Okay. So there would be no harmful effect—

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. No harmful effect at all. This drug is being used in many other States, and there are no reports of any harm.

Mr. HENNESSEY. I am going to pose the same question to you with a person who has overdosed on alcohol or perhaps a combination of alcohol and prescription medicine. Again, would there be any harmful effect by adding naloxone to that mix?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. No harmful effect at all.

Mr. HENNESSEY. If a person has not any drugs in their system, no alcohol in their system, does naloxone do any harm if taken?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Absolutely no harm at all. If the person has had a heart attack and you are not sure and you administer Narcan, there is absolutely no harm done to the person.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That concludes my interrogation. I would like to speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I recognize there are some valid arguments and concerns about how naloxone might be prescribed or administered and whether or not we might go too fast in terms of authorizing it by virtue of this amendment. It seems to me those concerns are a bit overstated.

We have no problem with immunizing police and EMTs, but they are responders. Responding takes time, and time here is the enemy. Putting this naloxone in the hands of family members makes complete sense to me, and despite the reservations that have been expressed here by some, it seems to me that getting the antidote to the scene of the need immediately is what this amendment accomplishes, and I ask for support of the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and, on the question, recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Kortz.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, God forbid that my children or any of our children ever become addicted to this terrible drug called heroin, but I for one would absolutely want to have the ability to give my child or my grandchild a nasal spray to save their life.

Please vote "yes."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and for the second time recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This has been an emotional debate this afternoon and a lot of it revolves around addiction and how we view people who have the illness of addiction and what we can do about it, and I respect the different perspectives that we have heard on the floor today. A couple of things I just want to reiterate and one thing I want to correct.

We have just checked and I want to assure everybody that the D.A.s Association remains neutral on this amendment, which is a correction of some remarks earlier.

Secondly, I want to express to you some of the opinions that medical experts and associations have with respect to this type of legislation. The World Health Organization, the American Medical Association, the American Public Health Association support the use of naloxone to be made available to drug users

and their peers and families. Let me repeat that. The American Medical Association, the American Public Health Association, and the World Health Organization support this type of legislation.

Further, let me also repeat, 25 other States have adopted this. In many of those General Assemblies, it was unanimously adopted. These are red States. They are blue States. This is not a partisan issue. And I appreciate the fact that in today's debate we have heard conflicting positions on this issue from both Democrats and Republicans. But we are not reinventing the wheel. This is something that is being done across this country to address an epidemic that is affecting our communities, and in some cases, our own families.

I think it is a stretch, quite frankly, to think that, to argue that this could be used as a marketing tool for drug dealers. But I do not think it is a stretch to think that this will save lives and give people a second chance for recovery. That is what we have the opportunity to do today. That is what all of us have the opportunity to do. There is no higher calling in our profession or for any citizen in this State than to save another's life. If we can say that we did that here today, that will say an enormous amount for us, for our constituents. It will say a lot to Pennsylvanians about our ability to work together on something that really has meaning to the lives of their families, their children.

So let us do the right thing today. Let us adopt the DiGirolamo amendment and improve this bill and save lives in Pennsylvania. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Representative McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Will the sponsor of the amendment stand for interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. He indicates that he will. You may proceed.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In your experience or your knowledge or your research, Mr. Speaker, in promulgating this amendment, in the 25 States, do clinics, methadone clinics, have access to this antidote for lack of a better word?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Mr. Speaker, I believe, and my understanding is that if a methadone clinic has a doctor who has the ability to prescribe who is part of the clinic or on duty at that time, that that doctor would be able to write a prescription for Narcan to the methadone patients who are at the clinic.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Under Pennsylvania law, in order to operate a methadone clinic in this Commonwealth, there must be medical personnel on the premises, it is my understanding, at the times of operation of that clinic. Is that correct?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Mr. Speaker, I am not totally sure about that. I believe the medical person is correct, but I am not sure if that needs to be a doctor all the time at the clinic.

Mr. McGEEHAN. So to your knowledge, can this be a moneymaking operation for a clinic? Can this be another service that these clinics offer, not on compassionate grounds but on monetary grounds?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. I doubt that this could be used as a moneymaking avenue for the methadone clinic because the doctor would write the prescription and then the patient would take the prescription to the pharmacy to get filled and the

patient would have to pay the pharmacist for the prescription. I do not believe the methadone clinic could be a dispenser for the Narcan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. But they could be reimbursed if they administered it, the antidote?

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. I think if they had a doctor who was on call at the methadone clinic, the doctor could write the prescription, but then the patient would have to take the prescription to the pharmacist and he would have to pay for the Narcan on his own, the patient. I do not think the clinic would be able to get involved at all.

Mr. McGEEHAN. That ends my interrogation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order on the amendment.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I heard a lot about compassion, and I am sympathetic to many of the arguments that I heard today surrounding this issue. My sympathy is tempered though, Mr. Speaker, and my questioning to the prime sponsor went directly to the experience in my own district and, I fear, the experience of many people in this chamber who are burdened, whose citizens have methadone clinics foisted upon their communities in direct opposition, vocal committed opposition to methadone clinics situated in their neighborhoods. This is a growing epidemic around the Commonwealth.

In my district alone, Mr. Speaker, we have had two methadone clinics approved in the last year, and statistically it is proven that crime and related issues always surround these types of operations. My community, almost to a person, and many of my colleagues who represent large swathes of northeast Philadelphia attended many meetings, some meetings with more than a thousand people opposing these methadone clinics. And the dealers of – these operators of these methadone clinics, motivation is not compassion, Mr. Speaker; it is money. As a matter of fact, the methadone clinic closest to my district office and to my home is operated not by medical professionals but by operators of cash-for-gold businesses in Philadelphia.

So I know that the people who spoke about compassion believe that sincerely, and they are right to be concerned and they are to be praised for their compassion. I want to temper that though, Mr. Speaker, with the knowledge that the business, the treatment of heroin addiction when it revolves around these clinics, in my experience, has more to do with money than it does compassion.

I have severe reservations about giving these clinics another tool. I do not believe that this is not going to be another avenue to raise revenues for these clinics, and until and unless I am convinced, I am prepared to vote "no" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver County, Representative Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the maker of the amendment a few questions if he would stand for interrogation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates that he will. You may proceed.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Mr. Speaker, if I may preface my comments with the fact that I highly respect the chairman's work on substance abuse and finding ways to help individuals. He has dedicated a considerable amount of his professional life

to this cause, and I do value his opinion on this subject matter. So with that, Mr. Speaker, I just would like to ask the gentleman if he believes that this miracle drug is more readily available, does the gentleman believe that those addicts, knowing that this miracle drug is more readily available, Mr. Speaker, does the gentleman, is he concerned that that means that people will lose the fear of overdosing and actually encourage more usage, knowing that overdosing is really not an option? I would like the gentleman to respond to that question.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I do not believe that is true at all. And from talking to people who have come back to life after they have been given Narcan, it is absolutely excruciating after you come to life because what Narcan does is it bleaches the opiates completely out of your system in a matter of a few seconds. It is absolutely excruciating. And in the bill there is a provision that after you are given Narcan, that you should be transported to the emergency room of the hospital to get checked out. And if you talk to people who have been in the drug and alcohol treatment field for a number of years, they will tell you that after somebody comes back from Narcan, that they are much more likely to seek treatment and to get into a treatment facility, get detox, and get better after they have experienced Narcan.

So I do not believe that is true at all that it would encourage addicts to keep the Narcan and to continue using drugs. It is absolutely excruciating when you get the Narcan.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair sees no one else seeking recognition and will recognize— The Chair appreciates the Representative from Montgomery County seeking recognition. Is it on the question of the amendment, Mrs. Dean?

Mrs. DEAN. On the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the DiGirolamo amendment. I, too, am proud of our colleague and all his work, his professional work, in this area that is so critical. This is an important tool to combat what we all know is an epidemic. It affects many families here. It affects my family. We have members of my family who have suffered from pain killer addiction, and God bless them, they are in recovery. If we can save a single life by doing this, by providing this antidote to those family members who are suffering the crisis along with the addict, why would we not do it? And I just wanted to correct one thing. An addict can now get the prescription for this. This simply expands it to a concerned family member.

So I rise in support of this amendment. We have the chance here today to save some lives. We can get the rest of it right later, but let us get this important tool, this important drug, in the hands of family members as well as first responders to save lives. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentlewoman and, on the amendment, recognizes for the second time the maker of the amendment, the gentleman from Bucks County, Representative DiGirolamo.

Mr. DiGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be short. It was a long debate. If I could have everybody, the members' attention, just for 2 minutes, I promise I will be very short.

Addiction is a disease, and I am going to tell you a personal story. I suffered in my family with a son who was a heroin addict for 5 years, and I want to tell you, Mr. Speaker, it is the worst experience that you can possibly have, having a son or daughter who is addicted to drugs. It is a living hell that you live with each and every day. It is the last thing you think about when you go to bed at night and it is the first thing you think about when you wake up in the morning. And I am going to tell you, 5 years my wife and I went through this. And we almost lost him one time. We almost lost him from an overdose.

Now, he did not get Narcan. He was one of the lucky ones. He was one of the lucky ones. But I will tell you what, if this Narcan was available when my son was going through that addiction, I will bet you I would have been the first one outside of the doctor's office to get a prescription and keep in my house in case I woke up in the morning and he was up in the bedroom with a needle in his arm.

This drug is absolutely safe. This is absolutely the right thing to do. And, Mr. Speaker, I am going to close with this. Before you press that red or green button, ask yourself, if it was your son or daughter at home, would you want Narcan in the house? And I will bet the answer is yes. I ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Strike the board. I am sorry.

Mr. Hackett, you were seeking recognition on the amendment?

The clerk will strike the board, please.

Mr. HACKETT. It is not going to be that bad. It will be okay. It will be okay.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Order.

Mr. HACKETT. Thank you, Chairman Gene. Thank you very much for those words.

I want to make it very clear. On this particular amendment, I know I for myself am not against the administration of Narcan, naloxone, to a family member that is overdosing. Let us make that very, very clear. The problem is, in part of this amendment it has the word "others." And if I could have another day to clean this up, clear it up, I am sure we could get it back here, make it happen, get this bill done right, get it over to the Senate, know that he will sign that over there or get that passed through over there, and we do it as a whole. Instead of getting one, two, three positive things out of this bill, we come in and get the fourth one out of it too.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—177

Adolph	Ellis	Kortz	Petrarca
Baker	Emrick	Kotik	Petri
Barbin	Evans	Krieger	Pickett
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Quinn
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Rapp
Bizzarro	Farry	Longietti	Ravenstahl
Bloom	Fee	Lucas	Readshaw
Boback	Fleck	Mackenzie	Reed
Boyle, B.	Flynn	Maher	Reese
Boyle, K.	Frankel	Mahoney	Regan
Bradford	Freeman	Major	Roae
Briggs	Gabler	Maloney	Rock
Brooks	Gainey	Markosek	Roebuck
Brown, R.	Galloway	Marshall	Ross
Brown, V.	Gergely	Marsico	Rozzi
Brownlee	Gibbons	Masser	Sabatina
Burns	Gillen	Matzie	Saccione
Carroll	Gingrich	McCarter	Sainato
Causer	Godshall	McGinnis	Samuelson
Christiana	Goodman	McNeill	Santarsiero
Clay	Grell	Mentzer	Scavello
Clymer	Haggerty	Metzgar	Schlossberg
Cohen	Hahn	Miccarelli	Schreiber
Conklin	Haluska	Micozzie	Simmons
Corbin	Hanna	Millard	Sims
Costa, D.	Harhai	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, P.	Harhart	Miller, R.	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Milne	Stern
Cruz	Harper	Mirabito	Sturla
Culver	Harris, A.	Miranda	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Molchany	Taylor
Daley, P.	Heffley	Moul	Thomas
Davidson	Helm	Mullery	Tobash
Davis	Hennessey	Mundy	Toepel
Day	Hickernell	Murt	Toohil
Dean	Kampf	Mustio	Topper
Deasy	Kauffman	Neilson	Truitt
DeLissio	Kavulich	Neuman	Vereb
DeLozier	Keller, M.K.	O'Brien	Vitali
DeLuca	Keller, W.	O'Neill	Waters
Denlinger	Kim	Painter	Watson
Dermody	Kinsey	Parker	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Pashinski	White
Donatucci	Knowles	Payne	Youngblood
Dunbar			

NAYS—26

Aument	Gillespie	McGeehan	Saylor
Bishop	Greiner	Metcalfe	Smith
Caltagirone	Grove	Oberlander	Sonney
Cutler	Hackett	Peifer	Stevenson
English	James	Pyle	Tallman
Evankovich	Keller, F.	Sankey	Turzai
Everett	Killion		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS
AS AMENDED**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to the following **HB 1945, PN 3813**, as further amended by the House Rules Committee:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services to survey certain lands and buildings situate partly in the City of Harrisburg and partly in Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County; authorizing the Department of Transportation, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to East Liberty Development Corporation certain lands and improvements situate in the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs and the Governor, to grant and convey, at a price to be determined through a competitive bid process, certain lands, buildings and improvements situate in the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, and the Borough of Pine Grove, Schuylkill County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor to remove and release the restrictive use covenants imposed on certain real property situate in the Borough of Blossburg, Tioga County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Labor and Industry and the Governor, to grant and convey to the Coatesville Area Senior Center, or its successors or assigns, certain lands, buildings and improvements situate in the City of Coatesville, Chester County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the Philadelphia Technician Training School certain lands situate in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission and the Governor, to grant and convey to Fort LeBoeuf Historical Society, certain lands situate in the Borough of Waterford, Erie County; authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of Millersville University of Pennsylvania of the State System of Higher Education and the Governor, to grant and convey to Penn Manor School District certain lands situate in the Borough of Millersville, Lancaster County, and further authorizing and directing the Department of General Services to accept, in exchange, a conveyance of certain lands situate in the Borough of Millersville, Lancaster County, from the Penn Manor School District; authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to remove and release the restrictive use and reversionary covenants imposed on certain real property situate in the City of Scranton, Lackawanna County; partially removing and releasing restrictive use covenants on certain lands situate in Benner Township, Centre County; and authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Attorney General and the concurrence of the Department of Environmental Protection, to lease to the City of Philadelphia land within the bed of the Delaware River within the City of Philadelphia.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is moved by the gentlelady, Ms. Helm, that the House concur in the amendments.

The Chair recognizes Representative Helm for a brief description of the Senate amendments.

Ms. HELM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 1945, as passed by the House on February 15, 2014, only contained the Harrisburg State Hospital grounds located in the city of Harrisburg and Susquehanna Township. The Senate amended the bill to include 13 other properties throughout the Commonwealth. The properties include: Penn Circle East right-of-way in the city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County; Hunt

Armory in the city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County; Pine Grove Armory in the borough of Pine Grove, Schuylkill County; Blossburg Hospital in the borough of Blossburg, Tioga County; Labor and Industry Careerlink Building in the city of Coatesville, Chester County; Berean Institute in the 29th ward of the city of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County; Washington Monument Park in the borough of Waterford, Erie County; Fort LeBoeuf Museum in the borough of Waterford, Erie County; Judson House in the borough of Waterford, Erie County; Millersville University and Penn Manor School District land swap in the borough of Millersville, Lancaster County; Scranton Primary Health Care Center release of restrictions in the city of Scranton, Lackawanna County; Benner Township partial release of restrictions in Benner Township, Centre County; and Philadelphia Pier land lease in the 5th ward in the city of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and, on the question of concurrence in Senate amendments, recognizes the member from Philadelphia, Representative DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the bill stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. She indicates that she will. You may proceed.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, one of these transfers was also voted on back in October, I believe, of 2011. The Tioga County Blossburg – borough of Blossburg, Tioga County. At that time the appraisal value was \$440,000. At this time that has diminished to \$225,000. I would like to know what situation has changed, where that has been so greatly reduced.

Ms. HELM. Well, it is just the appraisal was \$440,000, but it has been agreed upon to pay the Commonwealth \$225,000 less the actual and approved expenses for marketing and selling the property.

Ms. DeLISSIO. But, Mr. Speaker, there may be an agreement for that, but I am asking why that agreement was reached and that was so greatly reduced.

Ms. HELM. Well, all I can tell you is that the Department of General Services supports this.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Mr. Speaker, I have additional questions.

Back in October of 2011, there was also no language – and there continues to be no language here – restricting this parcel from either being used for gaming or for drilling. I would like to know why that language is missing yet again from this bill.

Ms. HELM. If we are still talking about the Blossburg Hospital, I am going to defer to Representative Baker.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Baker, indicates he will receive interrogation on the question. You may proceed.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It was actually Act 55 of 2012 that authorized the release of the covenant for \$440,000 as determined by an independent appraisal. Since the property was titled to the Laurel Health System at that time, the legislation could only authorize them to buy the release. It was a restrictive release covenant. Laurel Health System wanted the release because they thought that they had a buyer at the time. The buyer then backed out. Then Laurel Health System put the property back on the market and

was only able to garner an offer of \$225,000. Keep in mind, this is one of the old Blossburg State hospitals. It is probably well over half a century old.

Even though the sale price is less than what was appraised at that time, the value of the covenant indicates it is clear that the real estate market in that very rural area will not bear a higher price, and the interested buyer at this time has indicated, and the department concurs, that there is substantial additional investment required to rehabilitate and renovate that former old State hospital which no longer exists. It is vacant. It needs a lot of rehabilitation. It is quite old. And I hope that answers the gentelady's question.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman also able to answer the other questions about why the language is missing, prohibiting gaming and/or drilling on this parcel? I see it in a number of the other conveyances.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You are in order to answer.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was not privy to the insertion of this in the Senate. I do not really know the answer to that as to why that was not there.

Ms. DeLISSIO. And, Mr. Speaker, one last question.

When this was passed in 2012 but discussed in 2011, it was anticipated that the buyer at that time would be converting this to affordable housing. Do we know what the intent is of the current potential buyer?

Mr. BAKER. At this point in time we do not. We think there is a developer possibly interested in converting the property at some point, but we really do not know at this point in time, and we certainly hope that this prospective buyer will not back out. We really need to dispose of the property. It has been around a long time, and it is vacant. It needs a lot of upkeep. So they are just hoping that they can get the transfer completed.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentelady may proceed on concurrence in Senate amendments.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was reviewing my comments from a couple of years ago, and those comments and my concerns remain that the owner of the current property is going to pay this amount of money to get out of this restrictive covenant and then have the opportunity to sell that property perhaps in excess of this amount of money.

The amount of dollars at that time that had been put into it for improvements the last couple of years, some upkeep, there is a possibility that this health system could actually make money on this deal. This land was originally Commonwealth land. And I do think philosophically I object to any individual entity financially benefiting from something that should come back to the Commonwealth, perhaps go into the General Fund and be to the benefit of all the citizens, particularly, particularly as we debate, or hopefully soon debate, what is going to be a very difficult budget.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentelady.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentelady from Dauphin County, Representative Helm.

Ms. HELM. Mr. Speaker, in regard to the drilling rights, any oil and gas mineral rights, to the extent those rights are owned by the Commonwealth, will be retained by the Commonwealth and may be leased by DGS in accordance with Act 147 of 2012.

I think that does answer part of your question. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentelady.

The Representative from Philadelphia, Representative Cohen, do you still seek recognition?

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I, too, urge caution for the reasons that the gentelady from Philadelphia raised. This particular property seems to be a property where the State could be getting more money than it is, and I would urge people to take that into consideration when voting on this bill.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip, who asks that the gentleman from Lackawanna, Representative HAGGERTY, be placed on leave for the balance of the day. Without objection, the leave is granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1945 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—181

Adolph	Emrick	Kirkland	Rapp
Aument	English	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Reese
Benninghoff	Farina	Lawrence	Regan
Bishop	Farry	Longietti	Roae
Bizzarro	Fee	Lucas	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Mackenzie	Roebuck
Boback	Flynn	Maher	Ross
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Mahoney	Rozzi
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Major	Sabatina
Bradford	Gainey	Maloney	Saccone
Briggs	Galloway	Markosek	Sainato
Brooks	Gergely	Marshall	Sankey
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Gillen	Masser	Saylor
Brownlee	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Schlossberg
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Schreiber
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Simmons
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Sims
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Smith
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Snyder
Conklin	Hackett	Micozzie	Sonney
Corbin	Hahn	Millard	Stephens
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stern
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stevenson
Cox	Harhart	Molchany	Sturla
Cruz	Harkins	Moul	Swanger
Culver	Harris, A.	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris, J.	Murt	Taylor
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mustio	Thomas
Davis	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Dean	Hickernell	O'Brien	Toohil
Deasy	James	O'Neill	Topper
DeLissio	Kampf	Oberlander	Truitt
DeLozier	Kauffman	Payne	Turzai
DeLuca	Kavulich	Peifer	Vereb

Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	Watson
Donatucci	Killion	Pyle	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kim	Quinn	Youngblood
Ellis			

NAYS—21

Burns	Haluska	McCarter	Painter
Cohen	Harper	Miller, D.	Parker
Daley, M.	Kinsey	Mirabito	Pashinski
Davidson	Kotik	Miranda	Samuelson
Evans	Matzie	Mundy	White
Freeman			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments as amended by the Rules Committee were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RESOLUTION

Mrs. SNYDER called up **HR 815, PN 3445**, entitled:

A Resolution urging the Environmental Protection Agency, in developing guidelines for regulating carbon dioxide emissions from existing power plants, to respect the primacy of this Commonwealth and other states and to rely on state regulators to develop performance standards for carbon dioxide emissions that take into account the unique policies, energy needs, resource mix and economic priorities of this Commonwealth and other states.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Representative Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This resolution speaks to EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) regulations promulgated on June 2, proposed regulations, which would for the first time regulate CO2 emissions from greenhouse gas from existing power plants. This was part of Barack Obama's climate action plan, which he promulgated in 2013.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Come to order, please.

Mr. VITALI. I hear those boos for climate change, and I am right with you. It is a bad thing.

Mr. Speaker, the first thing I would like to do would be to commend the lady from Greene County because I know, I know Greene County is coal country, and I know that with these coal plants shutting down, that is putting real live people out of work and I know there is a lot of pain in Greene County and there is a lot of pain in other coal areas of the State. So I salute the lady for being a good advocate for her district and for other coal people in Pennsylvania.

But, Mr. Speaker, I have some concerns with HR 815. Mr. Speaker, climate change is probably one of the most serious problems facing not only this nation but this planet, and Pennsylvania produces a full 1 percent of the world's greenhouse gases, so we have a serious responsibility to deal with climate change. I am concerned with HR 815 because it weakens one of the tools to combat climate change in a number of ways, which I am going to talk about.

But the first thing I would like to do is to read pieces of an op-ed from four Republican former EPA Secretaries, four Republican former EPA Secretaries: William Ruckelshaus, Christine Todd Whitman, Lee Thomas, and William Reilly. These are Republicans now. They said this in August of 2013, and they are talking about climate change. "The costs of inaction are undeniable. The lines of scientific evidence grow only stronger and more numerous. And the window of time remaining to act is growing smaller: delay could mean that warming becomes" forever "locked in." " These are Republican, Republican former EPA Secretaries. "...Delay could mean that warming becomes 'locked in.'" And the concern I have about this resolution from the lady from Greene County is it does advocate delay.

Mr. Speaker, just by way of background, the EPA proposed regs, which this House resolution would attempt to weaken, would reduce emissions from power plants by 30 percent nationwide, 30 percent by 2030. These regs do not regulate the emissions of any specific power plant. There are not plant-by-plant rules. They give the States a goal, and the States figure out, using about a half a dozen different methods, how to get to those levels, and one of the concerns I have about HR 815 is it appears on its face to limit, it appears on its face to limit the methods Pennsylvania can use in getting those needed CO2 reductions.

One question is, why are we targeting existing power plants and why are we targeting coal-powered power plants? Well, they account for 38 percent of U.S. carbon emissions. Power plants are the largest source of carbon emissions. And the average age of a coal-fired power plant is 42 years old. So we have an aging fleet of coal-powered power plants, which are a chief cause of the problem.

One of the problems, as I said with HR 815, is it limits, it seems to suggest to the EPA to limit the methods a State can use to just power plants themselves. But what the EPA regs want to do is give the States options, and those options include switching to natural gas. Switching from coal to natural gas is one of the things the States can do and what Pennsylvanians should do because we have an abundant supply of natural gas. Moving from coal to natural gas is a good thing. I fear that this resolution might impede that. Energy conservation programs, demand-side efficiency programs, expanding renewable energy, expanding nuclear – these are things I think this resolution, if followed by the EPA, would limit.

Mr. Speaker, I understand that the people of Greene County could be hurt by shifting away from coal to gas and other things, but the reality is, climate change hurts the people of Pennsylvania every day. This rule, if implemented, would have very substantial health benefits. It could, according to the EPA, reduce premature deaths, premature deaths in this country, up to 6,000 premature deaths per year; up to 150,000 asthma attacks per year could be eliminated; 3,300 heart attacks could be eliminated. The health benefit savings could be between \$55 and \$93 billion per year when fully implemented.

Mr. Speaker, I salute the lady for trying to deal with the problems of Greene County, but I think climate change is a problem that affects the entire planet, and for this resolution to pass would mean we are sending a message to the country that we do not want to attack climate change in a quick and deliberate fashion. We are simply just acceding to the wishes of those who would delay this. And again, in the words of those four Republican EPA Secretaries, "...The window of time remaining to act is growing smaller: delay could mean that warming becomes 'locked in.' "

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman for his brief remarks and recognizes the gentleman from Beaver County, Representative Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I first want to thank the gentlelady from Greene County for her leadership, her leadership on this issue, and I would just like to point out that I believe that the minority chairman of the Environmental Resources and Energy Committee just stated that climate change is the most serious problem facing this country and this world. But I would bet if he did a survey in the areas where those power plants have had to close because of this President's EPA policy, I bet if you polled those folks that are thinking every night about putting food on their table, I bet, I bet they would differ with the minority chairman's assessment that climate change is the most serious problem facing their world.

And I would say that if the President, if President Obama and the EPA want to reduce greenhouse gases, maybe they should encourage the rest of the world's power plants, the rest of the world's coal-fired power plants. Maybe they should encourage those countries to get their power plants up to the standards of the Greene County plants or the standards that are at the Shippingport coal-fired power plants.

What I do not believe that the gentleman, the previous speaker, while he can continue to say that the actions of the coal industry or coal-fired power plants are harming the environment, I think that this President, if he was serious about reducing greenhouse gases, he would spend equal amounts of time encouraging the rest of the world to get up to the standards of Shippingport, to get up to the standards of Greene County. And I think it is refreshing to see a Democrat member of this General Assembly lead on this issue. And I think it is incredible— Absolutely. She deserves a round of applause, because for far too long, for far too long, the extremes in the Democratic Party and their energy plan have controlled the conversation, and I think the conversation needs to get back to Pennsylvania jobs, Pennsylvania energy, and we need to work together on this issue. And I applaud her for her leadership and for the leadership of the majority chairman to move this Democratic legislator's resolution to get it to the floor. He, too, deserves a round of applause and credit for moving her bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

And on the question of the resolution, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady from Greene County, the maker of the resolution.

Mrs. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We all want clean air. We all want clean water. And as a nation, we have strived toward those goals, and we are continuing to strive toward those goals. But the last time

I checked, we were elected to be the voice of our people and we have a right to be that voice. The minority chairman from Delaware County says for me, it is about my people in Greene and the 50th District, and he is right. But it is not just about the people in my district. It is about the people of Pennsylvania. It is about the electric grid being compromised. It is about turning on the lights. And it is about being able to afford to pay your electric bills when they come in the mail. We need an energy portfolio that encompasses everything, and that is what I stand for.

And I would ask for an affirmative vote on HR 815. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentlewoman.

Those in favor of the resolution shall vote— The Chair notes that the gentleman from Delaware County, Representative Vitali, is seeking recognition. Are you seeking recognition on the resolution?

Mr. VITALI. I am, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For the second time, you may proceed.

Mr. VITALI. I just want to point out some inaccuracies with regard to energy reliability and the problems we had in January. Some of the problems, frankly there were coal-fired plants and the failure of coal-fired plants to go on due to that weather. Some of the problems had to do with natural gas and getting the supplies to those natural gas plants.

The problems we had in January, both with regard to price and reliability, were not the result of having not enough coal-fired power plants. To the contrary, what we need is energy diversity. What we need is more solar. What we need is more wind. What we need is more geothermal. And you get—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Vitali, would you please keep your remarks to the resolution. Thank you.

Mr. VITALI. The problem with this resolution, Mr. Speaker, is that it would limit the remedies the EPA could take with regard to reducing CO2 emissions, to remedies within the fence, so to speak, within the coal plant or power plant. The proper approach is to have a broader approach, to look for more renewables, look for more energy efficiency to do things like increase the AEPS standard (Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard), to increase the standards in Act 29 and others.

Mr. Speaker, I agree, I agree that we as a country cannot do this alone, as the gentleman, Mr. Christiana, did say. That is totally true. But the reality of the world is what happens in the U.S.A., the world typically follows. So if the United States can develop good technology, such as things like carbon capture and sequestration, you will see China following in the years to come. So it is important that the U.S.A. be a leader with regard to moving to clean, safe fuels, Mr. Speaker.

You can create jobs by moving from coal to good natural gas. This is not about jobs. This is about transitioning. When we were in the horse and buggy era, yes, there was pain going from the horse and buggy to the auto. There were people in the livery stable business who did have their jobs threatened by that, but you cannot stop progress. We have to, as a planet, if we want to survive in a reasonable way, transition away from coal to other sources of power.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—166

Adolph	Fabrizio	Krieger	Pickett
Aument	Farina	Kula	Pyle
Baker	Farry	Lawrence	Quinn
Barbin	Fee	Longiatti	Rapp
Barrar	Fleck	Lucas	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Flynn	Mackenzie	Readshaw
Bizzarro	Gabler	Maher	Reed
Bloom	Gainey	Mahoney	Reese
Boback	Gergely	Major	Regan
Brooks	Gibbons	Maloney	Roae
Brown, R.	Gillen	Markosek	Rock
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Marshall	Rozzi
Burns	Gingrich	Marsico	Saccone
Carroll	Godshall	Masser	Sainato
Causar	Goodman	Matzie	Sankey
Christiana	Greiner	McGinnis	Saylor
Clay	Grell	McNeill	Scavello
Clymer	Grove	Mentzer	Schlossberg
Conklin	Hackett	Metcalfe	Simmons
Corbin	Hahn	Metzgar	Smith
Costa, D.	Haluska	Miccarelli	Snyder
Costa, P.	Hanna	Micozzie	Sonney
Cox	Harhai	Millard	Stephens
Cruz	Harhart	Miller, R.	Stern
Culver	Harkins	Milne	Stevenson
Cutler	Harper	Mirabito	Sturla
Daley, P.	Harris, A.	Molchany	Swanger
Davidson	Harris, J.	Moul	Tallman
Davis	Heffley	Mullery	Taylor
Day	Helm	Murt	Tobash
Deasy	Hennessey	Mustio	Toepel
DeLozier	Hickernell	Neilson	Toohil
DeLuca	James	Neuman	Topper
Denlinger	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Dermody	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Parker	Vereb
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pashinski	Waters
Ellis	Killion	Payne	Watson
Emrick	Kinsey	Peifer	Wheatley
English	Knowles	Petrarca	White
Evankovich	Kortz	Petri	Youngblood
Everett	Kotik		

NAYS—36

Bishop	Dean	Kim	Roebuck
Boyle, B.	DeLissio	Kirkland	Ross
Boyle, K.	Donatucci	McCarter	Sabatina
Bradford	Evans	McGeehan	Samuelson
Briggs	Frankel	Miller, D.	Santarsiero
Brownlee	Freeman	Miranda	Schreiber
Caltagirone	Galloway	Mundy	Sims
Cohen	Kampf	O'Brien	Thomas
Daley, M.	Keller, W.	Painter	Vitali

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2280, PN 3595**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longiatti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel

Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
DeLozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2281, PN 3596**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae

Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causser	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
DeLozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2282, PN 3597**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2283, PN 3598**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman

Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2284**, **PN 3599**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan

Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2285**, **PN 3600**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2286, PN 3601**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Philadelphia Taxicab and Limousine Regulatory Fund and the Philadelphia Taxicab Medallion Fund to the Philadelphia Parking Authority for fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas

Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2287, PN 3618**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longiotti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Roek
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck

Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2288, PN 3681**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Verbe
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGrolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1261, PN 1783**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 7, 1982 (P.L.784, No.225), known as the Dog Law, further providing for dogs used for law enforcement.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash

Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 129, PN 361**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.911, No.147), known as the Telemarketer Registration Act, further providing for unlawful acts and penalties and for unwanted telephone solicitation calls prohibited.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae

Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causser	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2340, PN 3757**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, providing for medical professional liability reciprocal exchange-to-stock conversion.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 241, PN 254**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definition of "fire department vehicle."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causer	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger

Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1287, PN 1840**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in snowmobiles and all-terrain vehicles, further providing for definitions.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	English	Kortz	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Pyle
Baker	Evans	Krieger	Quinn
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Rapp
Barrar	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Ravenstahl
Benninghoff	Farina	Longietti	Readshaw
Bishop	Farry	Lucas	Reed
Bizzarro	Fee	Mackenzie	Reese

Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Regan
Boback	Flynn	Mahoney	Roae
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Major	Rock
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maloney	Roebuck
Bradford	Gabler	Markosek	Ross
Briggs	Gainey	Marshall	Rozzi
Brooks	Galloway	Marsico	Sabatina
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Saccone
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Sainato
Brownlee	Gillen	McCarter	Samuelson
Burns	Gillespie	McGeehan	Sankey
Caltagirone	Gingrich	McGinnis	Santarsiero
Carroll	Godshall	McNeill	Saylor
Causar	Goodman	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Greiner	Metcalfe	Schlossberg
Clay	Grell	Metzgar	Schreiber
Clymer	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Hackett	Micozzie	Sims
Conklin	Hahn	Millard	Smith
Corbin	Haluska	Miller, D.	Snyder
Costa, D.	Hanna	Miller, R.	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harhai	Milne	Stephens
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Miranda	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Molchany	Sturla
Cutler	Harris, A.	Moul	Swanger
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Mullery	Tallman
Daley, P.	Heffley	Mundy	Taylor
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Thomas
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Kampf	O'Brien	Topper
DeLissio	Kauffman	O'Neill	Truitt
Delozier	Kavulich	Oberlander	Turzai
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Painter	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Parker	Vitali
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pashinski	Waters
DiGirolamo	Killion	Payne	Watson
Donatucci	Kim	Peifer	Wheatley
Dunbar	Kinsey	Petrarca	White
Ellis	Kirkland	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick	Knowles		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

MOTION TO PROCEED TO CONSIDERATION UNDER RULE 24

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the majority leader, the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Turzai, seek recognition?

Mr. TURZAI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Sir, we would move—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands you are offering a motion to proceed.

Mr. TURZAI. For 2188, sir.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. On HB 2188.
Mr. TURZAI. Yes.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order.
Mr. TURZAI. Yes. Agreed-upon motion with respect to the majority and the minority sides.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority caucus chairman, the gentleman from Allegheny County, Representative Frankel, on the question of the motion to proceed.

Mr. FRANKEL. I support the motion to proceed, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—173

Adolph	English	Kinsey	Pickett
Aument	Evankovich	Kirkland	Quinn
Baker	Evans	Knowles	Rapp
Barbin	Everett	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kotik	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Farina	Krieger	Reed
Bishop	Farry	Kula	Reese
Bizzarro	Fee	Longietti	Regan
Bloom	Fleck	Lucas	Rock
Boback	Flynn	Mackenzie	Ross
Bradford	Frankel	Maher	Rozzi
Briggs	Gabler	Mahoney	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gainey	Major	Sainato
Brown, V.	Galloway	Maloney	Sankey
Brownlee	Gergely	Markosek	Santarsiero
Burns	Gillespie	Marshall	Saylor
Caltagirone	Gingrich	Marsico	Scavello
Carroll	Godshall	Masser	Schlossberg
Causer	Goodman	Matzie	Schreiber
Christiana	Greiner	McGeehan	Simmons
Clay	Grove	McGinnis	Sims
Clymer	Hackett	McNeill	Smith
Cohen	Hahn	Mentzer	Snyder
Conklin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Sonney
Corbin	Hanna	Miccarelli	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harhai	Micozzie	Stern
Costa, P.	Harhart	Millard	Stevenson
Cox	Harkins	Miller, R.	Sturla
Cruz	Harper	Mirabito	Swanger
Culver	Harris, A.	Miranda	Taylor
Daley, M.	Harris, J.	Molchany	Thomas
Daley, P.	Heffley	Moul	Tobash
Davidson	Helm	Murt	Toepel
Davis	Hennessey	Mustio	Toohil
Deasy	Hickernell	Neilson	Topper
DeLissio	James	O'Brien	Turzai
Delozier	Kampf	O'Neill	Vereb
Denlinger	Kauffman	Oberlander	Vitali
Dermody	Kavulich	Parker	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Pashinski	Watson
Donatucci	Keller, M.K.	Payne	Wheatley
Dunbar	Killion	Peifer	White
Ellis	Kim	Petri	Youngblood
Emrick			

NAYS—29

Boyle, B.	Gibbons	Miller, D.	Pyle
Boyle, K.	Gillen	Milne	Roae
Brooks	Grell	Mullery	Roebuck
Cutler	Keller, W.	Mundy	Sabatina
Day	Lawrence	Neuman	Samuelson
Dean	McCarter	Painter	Tallman
DeLuca	Metzgar	Petrarca	Truitt
Freeman			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2188, PN 3814**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in community-based services tax credit, further providing for definitions and for amount of tax credits; and providing for tax credit hiatus.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—101

Adolph	Fleck	Lucas	Reed
Aument	Gabler	Mackenzie	Reese
Baker	Gillen	Maher	Regan
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Major	Rock
Bloom	Gingrich	Maloney	Ross
Boback	Godshall	Marshall	Saccone
Brooks	Greiner	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Grell	Masser	Saylor
Causer	Grove	Mentzer	Scavello
Christiana	Hahn	Metcalfe	Simmons
Clymer	Harhart	Metzgar	Smith
Corbin	Harper	Micozzie	Sonney
Cox	Harris, A.	Miller, R.	Stephens
Culver	Heffley	Milne	Stevenson
Cutler	Helm	Moul	Swanger
Day	Hennessey	Murt	Tallman
Delozier	Hickernell	Mustio	Taylor
Denlinger	James	O'Neill	Tobash
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel

Dunbar	Kauffman	Payne	Toohil
Ellis	Keller, F.	Peifer	Topper
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Truitt
English	Knowles	Pyle	Turzai
Evankovich	Krieger	Quinn	Vereb
Everett	Lawrence	Rapp	Watson
Fee			

NAYS—100

Barbin	DeLissio	Kim	Parker
Barrar	DeLuca	Kinsey	Pashinski
Bishop	Dermody	Kortz	Petrarca
Bizzarro	Donatucci	Kotik	Petri
Boyle, B.	Evans	Kula	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Fabrizio	Longietti	Readshaw
Bradford	Farina	Mahoney	Roae
Briggs	Farry	Markosek	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Flynn	Matzie	Rozzi
Brownlee	Frankel	McCarter	Sabatina
Burns	Freeman	McGeehan	Sainato
Caltagirone	Gainey	McGinnis	Samuelson
Carroll	Galloway	McNeill	Santarsiero
Clay	Gergely	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Cohen	Gibbons	Millard	Schreiber
Conklin	Goodman	Miller, D.	Sims
Costa, D.	Hackett	Mirabito	Snyder
Costa, P.	Haluska	Miranda	Stern
Cruz	Hanna	Molchany	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harhai	Mullery	Thomas
Daley, P.	Harkins	Mundy	Vitali
Davidson	Harris, J.	Neilson	Waters
Davis	Kavulich	Neuman	Wheatley
Dean	Keller, W.	O'Brien	White
Deasy	Killion	Painter	Youngblood

NOT VOTING—1

Kirkland

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For the information of the members, the prior vote was recorded as 101 in favor and 100 in opposition.

HB 2188 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is in possession of a motion to reconsider that vote, signed by Representatives Turzai and Cutler, who move that the vote by which HB 2188, PN 3814, was defeated this day be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that motion, the Chair recognizes the gentleman— Is anybody seeking recognition?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—111

Adolph	Fleck	Mackenzie	Reed
Aument	Gabler	Maher	Reese
Baker	Gillen	Major	Regan
Barrar	Gillespie	Maloney	Roae
Benninghoff	Gingrich	Marshall	Rock
Bloom	Godshall	Marsico	Ross
Boback	Greiner	Masser	Saccone
Brooks	Grell	McGinnis	Sankey
Brown, R.	Grove	Mentzer	Saylor
Causer	Hackett	Metcalfe	Scavello
Christiana	Hahn	Metzgar	Simmons
Clymer	Harhart	Miccarelli	Smith
Corbin	Harper	Micozzie	Sonney
Cox	Harris, A.	Millard	Stephens
Culver	Heffley	Miller, R.	Stern
Cutler	Helm	Milne	Stevenson
Day	Hennessey	Moul	Swanger
Delozier	Hickernell	Murt	Tallman
Denlinger	James	Mustio	Taylor
DiGirolo	Kampf	O'Neill	Tobash
Dunbar	Kauffman	Oberlander	Toepel
Ellis	Keller, F.	Payne	Toohil
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Peifer	Topper
English	Killion	Petri	Truitt
Evankovich	Knowles	Pickett	Turzai
Everett	Krieger	Pyle	Vereb
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	Watson
Fee	Lucas	Rapp	

NAYS—91

Barbin	Deasy	Kim	Parker
Bishop	DeLissio	Kinsey	Pashinski
Bizzarro	DeLuca	Kirkland	Petrarca
Boyle, B.	Dermody	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Donatucci	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Evans	Kula	Roebuck
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Rozzi
Brown, V.	Farina	Mahoney	Sabatina
Brownlee	Flynn	Markosek	Sainato
Burns	Frankel	Matzie	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Freeman	McCarter	Santarsiero
Carroll	Gainey	McGeehan	Schlossberg
Clay	Galloway	McNeill	Schreiber
Cohen	Gergely	Miller, D.	Sims
Conklin	Gibbons	Mirabito	Snyder
Costa, D.	Goodman	Miranda	Sturla
Costa, P.	Haluska	Molchany	Thomas
Cruz	Hanna	Mullery	Vitali
Daley, M.	Harhai	Mundy	Waters
Daley, P.	Harkins	Neilson	Wheatley
Davidson	Harris, J.	Neuman	White
Davis	Kavulich	O'Brien	Youngblood
Dean	Keller, W.	Painter	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. BRADFORD. In light of the 24-hour rule, is this bill ripe to be considered at this time?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There was a motion to consider the bill that was a motion to proceed, which I believe you voted in favor of.

Mr. BRADFORD. And then it failed 101 to 100. So at this point it is no longer – we have moved on to a subsequent action of the House, correct?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. No. The bill is still before the House.

The motion to proceed does not have an expiration date. A motion to proceed is a motion to proceed.

Did you wish to be recognized on the bill? No. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—104

Adolph	Fleck	Lawrence	Reed
Aument	Gabler	Lucas	Reese
Baker	Gillen	Mackenzie	Regan
Barrar	Gillespie	Maher	Roae
Benninghoff	Gingrich	Major	Rock
Bloom	Godshall	Maloney	Ross
Boback	Greiner	Marshall	Saccone
Brooks	Grell	Marsico	Sankey
Brown, R.	Grove	Masser	Saylor
Causer	Hackett	McGinnis	Scavello
Christiana	Hahn	Mentzer	Simmons
Clymer	Harhart	Metcalfe	Smith
Corbin	Harper	Metzgar	Sonney
Cox	Harris, A.	Micozzie	Stephens
Culver	Heffley	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Cutler	Helm	Milne	Swanger
Day	Hennessey	Moul	Tallman
Delozier	Hickernell	Mustio	Taylor
Denlinger	James	O'Neill	Tobash
Dunbar	Kampf	Oberlander	Toepel
Ellis	Kauffman	Payne	Toohil
Emrick	Keller, F.	Peifer	Topper
English	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Truitt
Evankovich	Killion	Pyle	Turzai
Everett	Knowles	Quinn	Vereb
Fee	Krieger	Rapp	Watson

NAYS—97

Barbin	DeLuca	Kinsey	Parker
Bishop	Dermodoy	Kirkland	Pashinski
Bizzarro	DiGirolamo	Kortz	Petrarca
Boyle, B.	Donatucci	Kotik	Petri

Boyle, K.	Evans	Kula	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Fabrizio	Longietti	Readshaw
Briggs	Farina	Mahoney	Roebuck
Brown, V.	Farry	Markosek	Rozzi
Brownlee	Flynn	Matzie	Sabatina
Burns	Frankel	McCarter	Sainato
Caltagirone	Freeman	McGeehan	Samuelson
Carroll	Gainey	McNeill	Santarsiero
Clay	Galloway	Miccarelli	Schlossberg
Cohen	Gergely	Millard	Schreiber
Conklin	Gibbons	Miller, D.	Sims
Costa, D.	Goodman	Mirabito	Snyder
Costa, P.	Haluska	Miranda	Stern
Cruz	Hanna	Molchany	Sturla
Daley, M.	Harhai	Mullery	Thomas
Daley, P.	Harkins	Mundy	Vitali
Davidson	Harris, J.	Neilson	Waters
Davis	Kavulich	Neuman	Wheatley
Dean	Keller, W.	O'Brien	White
Deasy	Kim	Painter	Youngblood
DeLissio			

NOT VOTING—1

Murt

EXCUSED—1

Haggerty

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman, Representative Murt, seek recognition?

Mr. MURT. Mr. Speaker, I should have been recorded in the affirmative on that last vote. For some reason my button would not function at all. Please record me in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread across the record.

STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Representative Metcalfe, for the purpose of an announcement.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an announcement pertaining to the State Government Committee. The House State Government Committee will have a voting meeting tomorrow, Wednesday, June 25, at 10 a.m., room B-31 of Main Capitol. We will be considering HB 2239 and any other business that might come before the committee, Mr. Speaker. State Government Committee voting meeting tomorrow, 10 a.m., room B-31, Main Capitol.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The State Government Committee will meet tomorrow at 10 a.m. in room B-31.

Any other members seeking recognition for announcements?
Any corrections of the record?

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves SB 75 and SB 1164 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 343;
SB 1187; and
SB 1384.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 1213;
HB 1852; and
HB 2076.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS TABLED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves the following bills be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar:

HB 1213;
HB 1852; and
HB 2076.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Representative Rozzi, who moves the House do now adjourn until Wednesday, June 25, 2014, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to, and at 5:23 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.