

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 2012

SESSION OF 2012

196TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 44

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (MATTHEW E. BAKER) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

HON. THADDEUS KIRKLAND, member of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Great is Thy faithfulness. Great is Thine faithfulness. Morning by morning, brand-new mercies we are able to see.

Our Father and our God, it is once again, O Lord, that we have come into Your presence with thanksgiving, for You said in Your Word, O God, in all things to give thanks. And today, O God, we thank You for Your faithfulness. In spite of what we said or did on yesterday, You are faithful to provide us with brand-new mercies today. You are faithful to give us another opportunity to get things right. And so, God, we give You thanks today for Your faithfulness.

And now, O God, as we once again begin the process of debate and the process of discussion, as we prepare for another budget season, we pray, O God, that You would provide our leaders with wisdom and understanding from on high – wisdom to do that which is pleasing in Your sight; understanding to recognize it is You speaking through us and using us.

And so, O God, we pray that You would speak to the heart of the Governor; speak to the heart of the legislature, both in the House and the Senate; speak to the heart of each and every one under the sound of my voice, and, God, speak to their heart, that they might do that which is right in Your sight. Use us in a mighty and a special way, for uplift for these, Thine people of Pennsylvania. And, God, we will always recognize and give You all the honor and all the glory simply because You are worthy.

We do and say these things in the mighty majestic name of Your son. With thanksgiving, our soul says amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, June 19, 2012, will be postponed until printed.

#### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

**HB 380, PN 3791** (Amended)

By Rep. MILLER

An Act requiring construction industry employers to verify the Social Security numbers of all employees for purposes of wage reporting and employment eligibility; providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry; prescribing sanctions; and establishing good faith immunity under certain circumstances.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY.

**HB 2470, PN 3738**

By Rep. BENNINGHOFF

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in permit extensions, further providing for definitions and for existing approval.

FINANCE.

#### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**SB 866, PN 2270**

By Rep. BARRAR

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Commonwealth services, further providing for the Volunteer Companies Loan Fund; in grants to volunteer fire companies and volunteer services, further providing for scope of chapter, definitions, establishment and award of grants, providing for additional funding and further providing for allocation of appropriated funds and for expiration of authority; and making editorial changes.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

**SB 1263, PN 1627**

By Rep. BENNINGHOFF

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in general budget implementation, further providing for the Department of Labor and Industry.

FINANCE.

**SENATE MESSAGE****AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED  
FOR CONCURRENCE AND  
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 807, PN 3586; HB 1307, PN 3771; HB 1349, PN 3773; and HB 2151, PN 3333**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair turns to leaves of absence.

The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentelady, Mrs. WATSON, from Bucks County for the day. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

And the Chair recognizes the minority leader, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. HANNA, from Clinton County for the day. Without objection, the leave will be so granted.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is about to take the master roll. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

**PRESENT—199**

Adolph	Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle
Aument	Ellis	Knowles	Quigley
Baker	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Barbin	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Barrar	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Bear	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bishop	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Bloom	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Boback	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Boyd	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Bradford	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Brennan	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santoni
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Saylor
Brownlee	Gillen	McGeehan	Scavello
Burns	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Buxton	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Carroll	Goodman	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Causar	Grell	Millard	Sonney
Christiana	Grove	Miller	Staback
Clymer	Hackett	Milne	Stephens
Cohen	Hahn	Mirabito	Stern
Conklin	Haluska	Moul	Stevenson
Costa, D.	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Cox	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Crichton	Harper	Murt	Taylor

Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Daley	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Davis	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Day	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Dean	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Deasy	Josephs	Payne	Waters
DeLissio	Kampf	Payton	Wheatley
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	White
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	Williams
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Youngblood
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Speaker
Donatucci			

**ADDITIONS—0****NOT VOTING—0****EXCUSED—2**

Hanna	Watson
-------	--------

**LEAVES ADDED—8**

Fleck	Harper	Mann	Micozzie
Galloway	Hennessey	Metcalfe	Quinn

The SPEAKER pro tempore. One hundred and ninety-nine members having voted on the master roll, a quorum is present.

**GUESTS INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Located to the left of the Speaker, the Chair welcomes Sarah Loy. Sarah is interning with the House Republican Education Committee this summer. She is the granddaughter of one of Representative Mark Keller's predecessors, the late Representative Allan Holman, who served the 86th Legislative District from 1961 to 1970. Sarah, please rise and be recognized.

Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Dana and Victoria Walters, Jody and Eliza Brody, Maya Bennett, Sydney Crawford, and Danielle Thomas. They are guests of Representative Gerber. Please rise and be recognized.

Also located to the left of the Speaker, the Chair welcomes Johnathan Favini. He is a graduate and resident of East Stroudsburg and attending Lafayette College. He is interning in Representative Carroll's district office this summer. Please rise and be recognized.

Located in the rear of the House, the Chair welcomes Judy Andela and her grandchildren, Matt and Hannah Kirk and Nate and Justin Kuiphoff. They are the guests of Representative John Lawrence. Please rise and be recognized.

Located in the well of the House, the Chair welcomes guest page Alexander Bailor. He is the guest of Representative Swanger. Welcome.

And also located in the well of the House, the Chair welcomes guest pages Victoria and Vincent DeLissio. They are the niece and nephew of Representative DeLissio. Please rise and be recognized.

**JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time recognizes Representative Marsico for an announcement.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I have the attention of the members of the Judiciary Committee? The House Judiciary Committee will reconvene its meeting in room 205 of the Ryan Building today at the break to consider SB 237, HB 2488, HB 832, and HB 878.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Judiciary will reconvene its meeting in room 205 of the Ryan Building at the break.

**HEALTH COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tioga County, chair of the Health Committee, who has a committee announcement.

Why, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Health Committee will meet immediately upon the break in room G-50 for one bill to vote on. Hopefully, it will be very quick.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**REPUBLICAN CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time recognizes Representative Major for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce that Republicans will caucus today at 1 p.m. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 1 p.m. We would be prepared to come back to the floor at 2, and at that time, Mr. Speaker, there will probably be an Appropriations Committee announced.

So caucus at 1, back on the floor at 2, and Appropriations when we return to the floor, probably at 2 o'clock. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

**DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Frankel, for a caucus announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 1 o'clock as well. Democrats will caucus at 1 o'clock. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Any other announcements?

Seeing none, the House now stands in recess until 2 o'clock, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

**RECESS EXTENDED**

The time of recess was extended until 2:34 p.m.; further extended until 2:45 p.m.; further extended until 3 p.m.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND  
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

**HB 832, PN 3795** (Amended) By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitation of time, further providing for unlimited time to bring criminal actions and for limitation of serious criminal actions.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 878, PN 3796** (Amended) By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for limitations of time for commencement of civil action arising from childhood sexual abuse; and providing for a limitation on certain contingency fees.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 2488, PN 3787** By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in limitation of time, further providing for tolling limitations of civil actions, for unlimited time to bring criminal actions and for limitation of serious criminal actions.

JUDICIARY.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**SB 8, PN 2269** By Rep. TOEPEL

An Act establishing the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Authority and the Pennsylvania eHealth Partnership Fund; providing for consent and confidentiality of health information; and establishing civil immunity under certain circumstances.

HEALTH.

**SB 237, PN 722** By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in budget and finance, further providing for Commonwealth portion of fines.

JUDICIARY.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 787** By Representatives GIBBONS, CALTAGIRONE, FABRIZIO, JAMES, KORTZ, MANN, MATZIE, MURT, SAINATO and GEIST

A Resolution memorializing the United States Department of Transportation to issue a Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) grant to the Borough of Ellwood City.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 20, 2012.

### SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

#### SB 1551, PN 2300

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 20, 2012.

### GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Located to the left of the rostrum, the Chair welcomes Margaret Thorwart. She will be a senior at Central Dauphin High School this fall. She is the guest of Representative Ron Marsico. Please rise and be recognized.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who asks for a leave of absence for the gentleman MICOZZIE. Without objection, the leave will be so granted.

### CALENDAR

### BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1868, PN 3510**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 3, 1933 (P.L.242, No.86), referred to as the Cosmetology Law, further providing for eligibility for examination and for limited licenses; and providing for massage therapist practice in licensed cosmetology salons.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1528, PN 2213**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 15, 1982 (P.L.502, No.140), known as the Occupational Therapy Practice Act, further providing for definitions, for creation of board, for requirements for licensure, for practice and referral, for renewal of license and for refusal, suspension or revocation of license; and providing for impaired professionals program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1321, PN 2237**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1987 (P.L.246, No.47), known as the Municipalities Financial Recovery Act, further providing for definitions, for contents, for plan not affected by certain collective bargaining agreements or settlements, for filing municipal debt adjustment under Federal law and for collective bargaining agreements, furlough of employees and disputes.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the Chair's understanding that all of the amendments have been withdrawn to this bill.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 9, PN 1838**, entitled:

An Act requiring identification of lawful presence in the United States as a prerequisite to the receipt of public benefits; prohibiting issuance of access devices to certain persons; and providing for the offense of possession of access device by certain persons.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment No. **A07066**:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 18 and 19  
(f) Identification card.—Notwithstanding the provisions of 75 Pa.C.S. § 1510(b) (relating to issuance and content of driver's license), the Department of Transportation shall issue the identification card provided for in 75 Pa.C.S. § 1510(b) at no cost to a person applying for or renewing an application for public benefits who claims he is eligible for the benefits, but lacks the forms of identification required under subsection (b).

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Aside from what the Senate did, the State Government Committee added an amendment that has a quite severe penalty for having an ACCESS card which is not in your name. This amendment is aimed at that part of SB 9, and what it would do is to require PENNDOT to supply, free of charge, very much as we required under the voter identification, photo identification act, that PENNDOT would require free of charge, would issue free of charge to people who wanted to vote and did not have the required driver's license, passport, for whatever reason – lost it, never drove, never went overseas, whatever.

We have in this situation people who, by definition, are poor. They are in the poverty range quite squarely. They are your constituents; they are my constituents. They need an ACCESS card. In order to get the ACCESS card, if they are here legally or if they are citizens already and can show it, they need certain documents. And in order to ease this process for people who are in this country legally, who Congress has said should be in this country, who are perhaps refugees or seekers of asylum, that we should help them establish that they are in this country legally and/or they are actual citizens.

It is not really right to take from our citizens or people who are here who are legal the benefits of various programs which will help them prosper and succeed because they do not have the proper identification. This amendment will help them get the proper identification. It is humane. It is sensible. It is pro-American.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. Metcalfe.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to oppose this amendment. It is not needed. There was a situation that was brought to our attention by the chief of police that there was an individual who had been found, an illegal alien who had been found with multiple ACCESS cards. We wanted to ensure that that did not happen, as a majority of our taxpayers would want us to ensure that that does not happen. Illegal aliens should not possess cards to access welfare benefits, so we have provided for that change in this legislation.

But if somebody is a citizen or if somebody is a refugee or someone here is a legal immigrant and they do not have the proper ID, we have a fail-safe in the legislation already, as we amended it, through an affidavit that they can sign off on that they are entitled to these benefits, Mr. Speaker. The affidavit would carry a penalty with it if they were, of course, lying. But the affidavit is a fail-safe. The lady's amendment is not needed, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask for a "no" vote on the amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question recurs, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, Ms. Josephs, are you seeking— You may proceed, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Mr. Speaker, if nobody else wants to speak.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me make that clearer: This has not really got to do directly with the ACCESS card, my amendment. It has got to do with helping the person who is here under sanction, who is legally here, who may be a citizen. It is helping that person get the kind of identification that he or she might need through PENNDOT, and that person can get it free for this purpose.

Since the individual from Butler brought up the testimony in the hearing, let me say I have asked around about who might be, I have asked immigration law experts, is it possible for someone to be apprehended anywhere in this State and have a lot of ACCESS cards on his or her person and be here legally, and the answer is yes. And what happened, as was testified at the hearing, was that this sheriff who testified turned over his evidence to the immigration service, and immigration found that this person was here legally and was doing whatever he was doing under the shelter of Federal law. We do not know exactly

who this person was or why he was here or why he was in and around Butler County, but it very likely could be that Congress allowed this individual, along with others in his category, to be here because there is a natural disaster and we granted amnesty to people who came here as asylum seekers or refugees, or there was a war, or there was some danger to a group of people who perhaps spoke out for their rights and Congress decided that those folks should be allowed to come in this country.

The problem is, of course, the Federal, or you do not know perhaps, but it is the problem that when things are updated by the Federal government, very often, almost always, extra documents that explain the updates are not given to the individuals who are here legally, who are here under a special act of Congress for mercy with problems in their country.

And according to the testimony, it seems to me that is exactly what happened. The person was picked up by a local law enforcement official who had no notion whatsoever of Federal law. When the Federal authorities were brought in, they said, no, he is here legally. Now, the individual from Butler does not like what the Federal agency said. I feel bad about that. But the Federal agency is the one, the Federal government is the one that probably undoubtedly, according to the facts as I was told, granted this person asylum or refugee status or legal immigration, and as soon as they saw the papers, they said, there is nothing wrong here; there is nothing wrong here.

So now we are going to pass something. This just helps people, just helps people get the ID they need when they need help from our government, and since they pay taxes, the way all of the rest of us do, it seems to me they ought to be able to get that help and PENNDOT ought to assist them with that.

For those of you who will have people accessing benefits in your district, and that is every single one of us, for those of you who understand that folks need sometimes to flee their countries, this is a "yes" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—67

Bishop	Dean	Kotik	Roebuck
Boyle, B.	Deasy	Kula	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	DeLissio	Mahoney	Samuelson
Bradford	Dermody	Mann	Santarsiero
Brennan	Donatucci	Markosek	Santoni
Briggs	Evans, D.	Matzie	Schmotzer
Brown, V.	Fabrizio	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Brownlee	Frankel	Mundy	Staback
Buxton	Freeman	Murphy	Sturla
Caltagirone	Gerber	Myers	Thomas
Cohen	Harkins	Neilson	Vitali
Conklin	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Cruz	James	Parker	Wheatley
Curry	Josephs	Pashinski	White
Daley	Kavulich	Payton	Williams
Davidson	Keller, W.	Preston	Youngblood
Davis	Kirkland	Ravenstahl	

NAYS—131

Adolph	Everett	Killion	Pyle
Aument	Farry	Knowles	Quigley
Baker	Fleck	Kortz	Quinn

Barbin	Gabler	Krieger	Rapp
Barrar	Galloway	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bear	Geist	Longietti	Reed
Benninghoff	George	Mackenzie	Reese
Bloom	Gergely	Maher	Roae
Boback	Gibbons	Major	Rock
Boyd	Gillen	Maloney	Ross
Brooks	Gillespie	Marshall	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gingrich	Marsico	Sainato
Burns	Godshall	Masser	Saylor
Carroll	Goodman	Metcalfe	Scavello
Causar	Grell	Metzgar	Simmons
Christiana	Grove	Miccarelli	Smith, M.
Clymer	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Costa, D.	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Costa, P.	Haluska	Milne	Stern
Cox	Harhai	Mirabito	Stevenson
Creighton	Harhart	Moul	Swanger
Culver	Harper	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Harris	Murt	Taylor
Day	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Delozier	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
DeLuca	Hennessey	O'Neill	Toohil
Denlinger	Hess	Oberlander	Truitt
DePasquale	Hickernell	Payne	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vereb
Dunbar	Kampf	Perry	Vulakovich
Ellis	Kauffman	Petrarca	
Emrick	Keller, F.	Petri	Smith, S.,
Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Speaker
Evans, J.			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
-------	----------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment  
No. **A07067**:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 18 and 19  
(7) A person who seeks emergency disaster relief.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would exempt from the requirement of proof people who are victims of various disasters. Disaster victims typically leave the location, leave their home, leave their possessions behind, do not have documents, do not have very much, maybe do not have more than the clothes on their back, so these people would be exempted.

Now, I want to say, to be fully clear, that Federal law does require this exemption, but I would like to see it in State law because we do not know when Federal law may or may not change. And I am appealing to everybody here who would say that he or she supports States rights to vote with me, because

this says the State statute is something we have invested in; we care about; will not change until we change it, and we are not subject to the whims of Federal government or its agencies and regulators.

I would appreciate a "yes" vote from everybody who cares about States rights.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. Metcalfe.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the members to defeat this amendment also. The amendment was offered in committee and defeated there. The bill already excludes the short-term, non-cash in-kind of emergency disaster relief from the definition of "public benefits" in the bill. Thus, the applicants for this type of benefit would not be required to meet the ID and affidavit requirements of the bill. Thus, the amendment is not necessary, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask for a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Josephs. She waives off.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—75

Bishop	Dean	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, B.	Deasy	Kortz	Readshaw
Boyle, K.	DeLissio	Kotik	Roebuck
Bradford	DeLuca	Kula	Sabatina
Brennan	DePasquale	Mahoney	Samuelson
Briggs	Dermody	Mann	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Markosek	Santoni
Brownlee	Evans, D.	Matzie	Schmotzer
Buxton	Fabrizio	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Frankel	Mullery	Smith, M.
Carroll	Freeman	Mundy	Staback
Cohen	Gerber	Murphy	Sturla
Conklin	Gergely	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Harkins	Neilson	Vitali
Cruz	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	James	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Josephs	Pashinski	Williams
Davidson	Kavulich	Payton	Youngblood
Davis	Keller, W.	Preston	

NAYS—123

Adolph	Fleck	Knowles	Quigley
Aument	Gabler	Krieger	Quinn
Baker	Galloway	Lawrence	Rapp
Barbin	Geist	Longietti	Reed
Barrar	George	Mackenzie	Reese
Bear	Gibbons	Maher	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillen	Major	Rock
Bloom	Gillespie	Maloney	Ross
Boback	Gingrich	Marshall	Saccone
Boyd	Godshall	Marsico	Sainato
Brooks	Goodman	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Grell	Metcalfe	Scavello
Burns	Grove	Metzgar	Simmons
Causar	Hackett	Miccarelli	Sonney
Christiana	Hahn	Millard	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Miller	Stern
Costa, D.	Harhai	Milne	Stevenson
Cox	Harhart	Mirabito	Swanger
Creighton	Harper	Moul	Tallman

Culver	Harris	Murt	Taylor
Cutler	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Delozier	Hennessey	O'Neill	Toohil
Denlinger	Hess	Oberlander	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Hickernell	Payne	Turzai
Dunbar	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vereb
Ellis	Kampf	Perry	Vulakovich
Emrick	Kauffman	Petrarca	White
Evankovich	Keller, F.	Petri	
Evans, J.	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Smith, S.,
Everett	Killion	Pyle	Speaker
Farry			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Hanna Micozzie Watson

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment No. **A07068**:

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 13 and 14  
(vii) Any other document recognized by statute, regulation or guidance by the Federal Government to establish citizenship or an eligible immigration status.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would add additional acceptable forms of identity, different kinds of documents that would show identity and citizenship, and it would add any other documents recognized by statute, regulation, or guidance by the Federal government to establish citizenship or an eligible immigration status. Basically, it allows people who are here legally or who are citizens a little bit of latitude in displaying or proving through various documents that they are really here legally because of the mercy of the Federal government or they are actual citizens.

I am very concerned that there will be citizens and people here who are legally here who will not be able to access benefits. I do not think any of my amendments – and I would not be offering them if I thought so – will help people who are here illegally.

This bill is a huge overreach. It absolutely needs to be fixed so that citizens are not denied benefits. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. Metcalfe.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to vote "no" on this amendment. Once again, it is an amendment that is not needed.

Mr. Speaker, the legislation allows for a multitude of documents to be used to prove identification – a valid driver's license or an identification card issued by PENNDOT; a valid identification card issued by any other agency of the Commonwealth; a valid identification card issued by the U.S. government; a document from an agency of the U.S. or a State National Guard establishing the person is a current member of or a veteran of the U.S. Armed Forces; a form of identification as listed in the U.S. Attorney General's Order 2129-97, which are additional forms of identification.

But, Mr. Speaker, the problem with this amendment is that it extends it to a list of unknown types of ID, and in lieu of that, we amended the legislation to allow for the ultimate catch-all so that citizens who are eligible or some individual who had immigrated here that was eligible for benefits would not be denied. We have the affidavit in lieu of that type of ID, which ensures that if somebody executes the affidavit, then they will be able to access those benefits, but more importantly, if they have lied and they are accessing those as an illegal alien, that they will be under penalty of the law because of executing that affidavit that they should not have.

So the affidavit is a catch-all for these types of scenarios that the maker of the amendment claims to be trying to address, and it is not needed, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Now that we have established that most if not all of the people on the other side of the aisle are not really for States rights, I do not see any objection whatsoever to using other Federal forms of identification. It is the Federal government that says you can be here legally or not. It is not us. It is not any State. If the Federal government issues to an asylum seeker or a refugee or somebody who is here because of a natural or a human-kind disaster, since we did not vote for a State bill which would make sure that the Federal government, should it change its mind, would still protect people's rights, I cannot see any objection to using a Federal document. They are the people who issued them. We are not issuing them.

We are not for States rights? What is wrong with this amendment? Please vote with me.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has a request from the majority leader for a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. FLECK, from Huntingdon County. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 9 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—65

Bishop	Dean	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, B.	Deasy	Kotik	Roebuck
Boyle, K.	DeLissio	Kula	Sabatina
Bradford	DeLuca	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brennan	Dermody	Mann	Santarsiero
Briggs	Donatucci	Markosek	Santoni
Brown, V.	Evans, D.	Matzie	Schmotzer
Brownlee	Fabrizio	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Buxton	Frankel	Mundy	Staback
Caltagirone	Freeman	Murphy	Sturla
Cohen	Gerber	Myers	Thomas
Conklin	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Vitali
Costa, P.	James	Parker	Waters
Cruz	Josephs	Pashinski	Wheatley
Curry	Kavulich	Payton	Williams
Daley	Keller, W.	Preston	Youngblood
Davidson			

## NAYS—132

Adolph	Farry	Kortz	Quigley
Aument	Gabler	Krieger	Quinn
Baker	Galloway	Lawrence	Rapp
Barbin	Geist	Longietti	Readshaw
Barrar	George	Mackenzie	Reed
Bear	Gergely	Maher	Reese
Benninghoff	Gibbons	Major	Roe
Bloom	Gillen	Maloney	Rock
Boback	Gillespie	Marshall	Ross
Boyd	Gingrich	Marsico	Saccone
Brooks	Godshall	Masser	Sainato
Brown, R.	Goodman	Metcalfe	Saylor
Burns	Grell	Metzgar	Scavello
Carroll	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Causar	Hackett	Millard	Smith, M.
Christiana	Hahn	Miller	Sonney
Clymer	Haluska	Milne	Stephens
Costa, D.	Harhai	Mirabito	Stern
Cox	Harhart	Moul	Stevenson
Creighton	Harper	Mullery	Swanger
Culver	Harris	Murt	Tallman
Cutler	Heffley	Mustio	Taylor
Davis	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Day	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Delozier	Hess	O'Neill	Toohil
Denlinger	Hickernell	Oberlander	Truitt
DePasquale	Hornaman	Payne	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vereb
Dunbar	Kampf	Perry	Vulakovich
Ellis	Kauffman	Petrarca	White
Emrick	Keller, F.	Petri	
Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Smith, S., Speaker
Evans, J.	Killion	Pyle	
Everett	Knowles		

## NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—4

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
-------	-------	----------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment No. **A07069**:

Amend Bill, page 9, by inserting after line 30 Section 11. Maximizing administrative efficiency.

Agencies or political subdivisions of this Commonwealth may adopt variations to the requirements of this act which demonstrably improve the efficiency or reduce delay in the verification process or provide for adjudication of unique individual circumstances where the verification procedures in this act would impose unusual hardship on a legal resident of this Commonwealth.

Amend Bill, page 10, line 1, by striking out "11" and inserting 12

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Ms. Josephs.

Ms. **JOSEPHS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an amendment for all of those people who have said local folks can do it better than the overarching State or Federal government. And this amendment says that local folks are quite capable of being authorized by us to adopt variations to their requirements which improve the efficiency or reduce delay in this verification process, or they could provide for adjudication of unique individual circumstances where the verification procedures would impose unusual hardship on a legal resident of Pennsylvania who could be a citizen or somebody who is here under some sort of emergency provision.

I think that those people who have expressed over and over again their faith in local authorities ought to be with me on this, and I am asking for a "yes" vote for this amendment.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair is in receipt of a request for a leave of absence from the minority leader for the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. **GALLOWAY**. Without objection, the leave will so be granted.

### CONSIDERATION OF SB 9 CONTINUED

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. **Metcalfe**.

Mr. **METCALFE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to oppose this amendment. The identical amendment was defeated in committee.

Mr. Speaker, this bill would ultimately, or this amendment to the bill would ultimately permit agency variations in how the bill would be administered and would allow the current culture at DPW (Department of Public Welfare) to still exist, which would still allow for the waste and abuse of benefit programs that we have seen according to a number of the Auditor General audits and reports that he has put forth.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bad amendment. It will not rein in the abuses that have been occurring, nor will it help us to ensure that illegal aliens are not tapping in to public benefits if we allow these types of variations to occur instead of having a

standard. It should be applied across the public benefit system in Pennsylvania to ensure that only citizens and legal residents have access, Mr. Speaker, and that illegal aliens are not benefiting from taxpayer-paid benefits.

We should defeat this amendment for that reason, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—52

Bishop	Curry	James	Payton
Boyle, B.	Daley	Josephs	Preston
Boyle, K.	Davidson	Keller, W.	Roebuck
Bradford	Dean	Kirkland	Santarsiero
Brennan	DeLissio	Kula	Santoni
Briggs	DeLuca	Mahoney	Schmotzer
Brown, V.	Dermody	Mann	Sturla
Brownlee	Evans, D.	Markosek	Thomas
Buxton	Fabrizio	McGeehan	Vitali
Caltagirone	Frankel	Murphy	Waters
Cohen	Freeman	Myers	Wheatley
Conklin	Gerber	O'Brien, M.	Williams
Cruz	Harkins	Parker	Youngblood

NAYS—144

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Rapp
Aument	Gabler	Longiotti	Ravenstahl
Baker	Geist	Mackenzie	Readshaw
Barbin	George	Maher	Reed
Barrar	Gergely	Major	Reese
Bear	Gibbons	Maloney	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillen	Marshall	Rock
Bloom	Gillespie	Marsico	Ross
Boback	Gingrich	Masser	Sabatina
Boyd	Godshall	Matzie	Sacccone
Brooks	Goodman	Metcalfe	Sainato
Brown, R.	Grell	Metzgar	Samuelson
Burns	Grove	Miccarelli	Saylor
Carroll	Hackett	Millard	Scavello
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Simmons
Christiana	Haluska	Milne	Smith, K.
Clymer	Harhai	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Costa, D.	Harhart	Moul	Sonney
Costa, P.	Harper	Mullery	Staback
Cox	Harris	Mundy	Stephens
Creighton	Heffley	Murt	Stern
Culver	Helm	Mustio	Stevenson
Cutler	Hennessey	Neilson	Swanger
Davis	Hess	Neuman	Tallman
Day	Hickernell	O'Neill	Taylor
Deasy	Hornaman	Oberlander	Tobash
Delozier	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Toepel
Denlinger	Kampf	Payne	Toohil
DePasquale	Kauffman	Peifer	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kavulich	Perry	Turzai
Donatucci	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Vereb
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Vulakovich
Ellis	Killion	Pickett	White
Emrick	Knowles	Pyle	
Evankovich	Kortz	Quigley	Smith, S.,
Evans, J.	Kotik	Quinn	Speaker
Everett	Krieger		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Fleck Galloway	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
-------------------	-------	----------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill is temporarily over.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Adolph, for a committee announcement.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be an immediate House Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Appropriations will meet immediately in the majority caucus room.

The House will now be at ease.

The House will come to order.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HB 1596, PN 2471** By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act providing for the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; authorizing and directing the Pennsylvania State Police to establish and maintain the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; assessing costs; and providing for immunity and penalties.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1844, PN 3747** By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1937 (P.L.955, No.265), known as the Housing Authorities Law, further providing for powers of authority.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2267, PN 3786** By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for definitions, for sales by Pennsylvania Liquor Stores, for sales by liquor licensees and restrictions, for sales by manufacturers of malt or brewed beverages and minimum quantities, for retail dispensers' restrictions on purchases and sales, for breweries, for local option, for unlawful acts relative to liquor, alcohol and liquor licensees, for unlawful acts relative to liquor, malt and brewed beverages and licensees and for rights of municipalities preserved.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1464, PN 2238

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, further providing for reinsurance credits, for definitions, for acquisition of control of or merger or consolidation with domestic insurer, for acquisitions involving insurers not otherwise covered, for registration of insurers, for standards and management of an insurer within a holding company system and for examination; providing for supervisory colleges and for group-wide supervision for international insurance groups; and further providing for confidential treatment, for rules and regulations, for injunctions and certain prohibitions and for sanctions.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 2267, PN 3786, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for definitions, for sales by Pennsylvania Liquor Stores, for sales by liquor licensees and restrictions, for sales by manufacturers of malt or brewed beverages and minimum quantities, for retail dispensers' restrictions on purchases and sales, for breweries, for local option, for unlawful acts relative to liquor, alcohol and liquor licensees, for unlawful acts relative to liquor, malt and brewed beverages and licensees and for rights of municipalities preserved.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally? Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for SB 1464, PN 2238. Includes names like Adolph, Donatucci, Knowles, Quigley, Aument, Dunbar, Kortz, Quinn, Baker, Ellis, Kotik, Rapp, Barbin, Emrick, Krieger, Ravenstahl, Barrar, Evankovich, Kula, Readshaw, Bear, Evans, D., Lawrence, Reed, Benninghoff, Evans, J., Longietti, Reese, Bishop, Everett, Mackenzie, Roae, Bloom, Fabrizio, Maher, Rock, Boback, Farry, Mahoney, Roebuck, Boyd, Frankel, Major, Ross, Boyle, B., Freeman, Maloney, Sabatina, Boyle, K., Gabler, Mann, Saccone, Bradford, Geist, Markosek, Sainato, Brennan, George, Marshall, Samuelson, Briggs, Gerber, Marsico, Santarsiero, Brooks, Gergely, Masser, Santoni, Brown, R., Gibbons, Matzie, Saylor, Brown, V., Gillen, McGeehan, Scavello, Brownlee, Gillespie, Metcalfe, Schmotzer.

Table listing names of members who did not vote or were excused for SB 1464, PN 2238. Includes names like Burns, Gingrich, Metzgar, Simmons, Buxton, Godshall, Miccarelli, Smith, K., Caltagirone, Goodman, Millard, Smith, K., Carroll, Grell, Miller, Sonney, Causer, Grove, Milne, Staback, Christiana, Hackett, Mirabito, Stephens, Clymer, Hahn, Moul, Stern, Cohen, Haluska, Mullery, Stevenson, Conklin, Harhai, Mundy, Sturla, Costa, D., Harhart, Murphy, Swanger, Costa, P., Harkins, Murt, Tallman, Cox, Harper, Mustio, Taylor, Creighton, Harris, Myers, Thomas, Cruz, Heffley, Neilson, Tobash, Culver, Helm, Neuman, Toepel, Curry, Hennessey, O'Brien, M., Toohil, Cutler, Hess, O'Neill, Truitt, Daley, Hickernell, Oberlander, Turzai, Davidson, Hornaman, Parker, Vereb, Davis, Hutchinson, Pashinski, Vitali, Day, James, Payne, Vulakovich, Dean, Josephs, Payton, Waters, Deasy, Kampf, Peifer, Wheatley, DeLissio, Kauffman, Perry, White, Delozier, Kavulich, Petrarca, Williams, DeLuca, Keller, F., Petri, Youngblood, Denlinger, Keller, M.K., Pickett, DePasquale, Keller, W., Preston, Smith, S., Dermody, Killion, Pyle, Speaker, DiGirolamo, Kirkland.

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Table listing names of members who were excused: Fleck, Galloway, Hanna, Micozzie, Watson.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 1844, PN 3747, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1937 (P.L.955, No.265), known as the Housing Authorities Law, further providing for powers of authority.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally? Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Donatucci	Knowles	Quigley
Aument	Dunbar	Kortz	Quinn
Baker	Ellis	Kotik	Rapp
Barbin	Emrick	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Kula	Readshaw
Bear	Evans, D.	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Longietti	Reese
Bishop	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fabrizio	Maher	Rock
Boback	Farry	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Frankel	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harris	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Culver	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Curry	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Cutler	Hess	O'Neill	Truitt
Daley	Hickernell	Oberlander	Turzai
Davidson	Hornaman	Parker	Vereb
Davis	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vitali
Day	James	Payne	Vulakovich
Dean	Josephs	Payton	Waters
Deasy	Kampf	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kauffman	Perry	White
Delozier	Kavulich	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petri	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Dermody	Killion	Pyle	
DiGirolamo	Kirkland		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
Galloway			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1464, PN 2238**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, further providing for reinsurance credits, for definitions, for acquisition of control of or merger or consolidation with domestic insurer, for acquisitions involving insurers not otherwise covered, for registration of insurers, for standards and management of an insurer within a holding company system and for examination; providing for supervisory colleges and for group-wide supervision for international insurance groups; and further providing for confidential treatment, for rules and regulations, for injunctions and certain prohibitions and for sanctions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Donatucci	Knowles	Quigley
Aument	Dunbar	Kortz	Quinn
Baker	Ellis	Kotik	Rapp
Barbin	Emrick	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Kula	Readshaw
Bear	Evans, D.	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Longietti	Reese
Bishop	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fabrizio	Maher	Rock
Boback	Farry	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Frankel	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Mann	Saccone
Brennan	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gergely	Masser	Santoni
Brown, V.	Gibbons	Matzie	Saylor
Brownlee	Gillen	McGeehan	Scavello
Burns	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Buxton	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Carroll	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Causer	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Christiana	Grove	Milne	Staback
Clymer	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Cohen	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Conklin	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Costa, D.	Harhai	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murphy	Swanger
Cox	Harkins	Murt	Tallman
Creighton	Harper	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Daley	Hess	O'Neill	Truitt
Davidson	Hickernell	Oberlander	Turzai
	Hornaman	Parker	Vereb

Davis	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vitali
Day	James	Payne	Vulakovich
Dean	Josephs	Payton	Waters
Deasy	Kampf	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kauffman	Perry	White
Delozier	Kavulich	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petri	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dermody	Killion	Pyle	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Kirkland		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
Galloway			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1596, PN 2471**, entitled:

An Act providing for the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; authorizing and directing the Pennsylvania State Police to establish and maintain the Pennsylvania Officer Down Advisory; assessing costs; and providing for immunity and penalties.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Donatucci	Knowles	Quigley
Aument	Dunbar	Kortz	Quinn
Baker	Ellis	Kotik	Rapp
Barbin	Emrick	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evankovich	Kula	Readshaw
Bear	Evans, D.	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Longietti	Reese
Bishop	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fabrizio	Maher	Rock
Boback	Farry	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Frankel	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Mann	Saccone

Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harris	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Culver	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Curry	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Cutler	Hess	O'Neill	Truitt
Daley	Hickernell	Oberlander	Turzai
Davidson	Hornaman	Parker	Vereb
Davis	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vitali
Day	James	Payne	Vulakovich
Dean	Josephs	Payton	Waters
Deasy	Kampf	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kauffman	Perry	White
Delozier	Kavulich	Petrarca	Williams
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petri	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dermody	Killion	Pyle	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Kirkland		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
Galloway			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

STATEMENT BY MR. D. COSTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Costa, are you seeking recognition on your bill?

Mr. D. COSTA. Yes, Mr. Speaker, under unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, you may proceed.

Mr. D. COSTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would first like to thank the majority and minority chairmen of the Judiciary Committee for bringing the bill forward to the floor. I would like to thank leadership for putting it up. And I would also like to thank my colleagues, a heartfelt thanks, for your unanimous support this year and last year, and hopefully this bill will move forward. And I can assure you, as a police officer – and we have a couple here – of 30 years, almost,

that our officers do very much appreciate what we have done in our support for them. And I can tell you this, that hopefully the Senate will take it up this time and it will become law and go to the Governor's desk, because I am sure and it is my hope the Governor will sign it also.

Thank you again. I truly thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED**

**BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE**

The House proceeded to consideration on final passage of **SB 444, PN 2302**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), known as the Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, further providing for definitions; providing for relationship to table games; further providing for games of chance permitted; providing for Major League Baseball, National Hockey League or National Basketball Association 50/50 raffle; and further providing for regulations, for licensing of eligible organizations to conduct games of chance and for distribution of proceeds.

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—189**

Adolph	Dunbar	Kortz	Rapp
Baker	Ellis	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Emrick	Krieger	Readshaw
Barrar	Evankovich	Kula	Reed
Bear	Evans, D.	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Everett	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Farry	Major	Ross
Boyd	Frankel	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Mann	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Markosek	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marsico	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Brownlee	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Burns	Godshall	Millard	Smith, K.
Buxton	Goodman	Miller	Smith, M.
Caltagirone	Grell	Milne	Sonney
Carroll	Grove	Mirabito	Staback
Causar	Hackett	Moul	Stephens
Christiana	Hahn	Mullery	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mundy	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murt	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Myers	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Neilson	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Neuman	Tobash
Curry	Helm	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Neill	Toohil
Daley	Hess	Oberlander	Truitt
Davidson	Hornaman	Parker	Turzai

Davis	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vereb
Day	James	Payne	Vitali
Dean	Josephs	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Kampf	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Kauffman	Perry	Wheatley
Delozier	Kavulich	Petrarca	White
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petri	Williams
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Youngblood
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Preston	
Dermody	Killion	Pyle	Smith, S., Speaker
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Quigley	
Donatucci	Knowles	Quinn	

**NAYS—7**

Aument	Creighton	Hickernell	Metcalfe
Clymer	Gillen	Lawrence	

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—5**

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
Galloway			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

**STATEMENT BY MAJORITY LEADER**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Turzai.

Mr. TURZAI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

With respect to passage of SB 444, I would like to be recognized for unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman is so granted.

Mr. TURZAI. As many of you know, we passed earlier this year Act 2 of 2012, which had a remarkable series of positive changes to the small games of chance to help our community organizations, local nonprofit organizations throughout the State. However, thanks to the leadership of the good lady from Cumberland County, the good lady from Mercer County, and the good lady from Bradford County, there were some clarifications that needed to be brought to the existing statute that could have been included in Act 2 of 2012 but that needed further clarification. So we are moving SB 444 for those reasons, and they define several new games. They clarify the split of proceeds – this is only for clubs with a liquor license, that 70-30 split. They exempt organizations whose proceeds are less than \$2500 from submitting annual reports and obtaining background checks. It permits an organization to use proceeds to pay for license fees and background checks. It clarifies that an organization may use another eligible organization's premises, and it permits an organization to sell raffle tickets off a licensed premises. And it clarifies that a club's scholarship programs, charity events, and philanthropic events are part of their public interest purpose and that a club may use 70 percent of their small games proceeds for public interest purposes for these events.

All very important, very detailed, and I applaud these leaders in making the Small Games of Chance Act even better than it was. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**SB 444 RECONSIDERED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlemen, Representatives Boyd and Cutler, who move that the vote by which SB 444, PN 2302, was passed on this 20th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Again, this is a reconsideration motion.

Mr. TURZAI. We are in favor of a reconsideration motion. We recognize that certain people need to accurately reflect their vote, so we are in favor of a motion for reconsideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—195**

Adolph	Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle
Aument	Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley
Baker	Ellis	Kortz	Quinn
Barbin	Emrick	Kotik	Rapp
Barrar	Evankovich	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Bear	Evans, D.	Kula	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Reed
Bishop	Everett	Longietti	Reese
Bloom	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Roae
Boback	Farry	Maher	Rock
Boyd	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Bradford	Geist	Mann	Saccone
Brennan	George	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Gerber	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brownlee	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Burns	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Metzgar	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Creighton	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Culver	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Curry	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Cutler	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Daley	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai

Davidson	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Davis	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Day	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Dean	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Deasy	Kampf	Payton	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kauffman	Peifer	White
Delozier	Kavulich	Perry	Williams
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller, M.K.	Petri	
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Pickett	Smith, S.
Dermody	Killion	Preston	Speaker
DiGirolamo			

NAYS—1

Schmotzer

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
Galloway			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair notes the presence of the gentleman on the floor of the House, Mr. Galloway, and he will be added to the master roll.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 444 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—182**

Adolph	Evankovich	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Readshaw
Barbin	Everett	Kula	Reed
Barrar	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Frankel	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boback	Gabler	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Galloway	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Geist	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	George	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	Gerber	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillespie	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gingrich	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Godshall	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Goodman	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Grell	Millard	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grove	Miller	Smith, M.
Carroll	Hackett	Mirabito	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Moul	Staback

Christiana	Haluska	Mullery	Stephens
Cohen	Harhai	Mundy	Stern
Conklin	Harhart	Murphy	Stevenson
Costa, D.	Harkins	Mustio	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harper	Myers	Swanger
Cruz	Harris	Neilson	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Neuman	Taylor
Curry	Helm	O'Brien, M.	Thomas
Daley	Hennessey	O'Neill	Tobash
Davidson	Hess	Oberlander	Toepel
Davis	Hornaman	Parker	Toohil
Day	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Truitt
Dean	James	Payne	Turzai
Deasy	Josephs	Payton	Vereb
DeLissio	Kampf	Peifer	Vitali
DeLozier	Kauffman	Perry	Vulakovich
DeLuca	Kavulich	Petrarca	Waters
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petri	Wheatley
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	White
DiGrolamo	Keller, W.	Preston	Williams
Donatucci	Killion	Pyle	Youngblood
Dunbar	Kirkland	Quigley	
Ellis	Knowles	Quinn	Smith, S., Speaker
Emrick	Kortz	Rapp	

NAYS—15

Aument	Cox	Evans, J.	Metcalf
Bear	Creighton	Gillen	Milne
Boyd	Cutler	Hickernell	Murt
Clymer	Denlinger	Lawrence	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
-------	-------	----------	--------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 9 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Returning to page 6 of today's calendar, amendments to SB 9.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. JOSEPHS offered the following amendment  
No. **A07070**:

Amend Bill, page 9, by inserting after line 30  
Section 11. Collection of information and reports.

(a) Report.—Each agency shall gather and study the impact of implementing this act and shall report, at least annually, to the General Assembly and Governor, as to:

- (1) The cost of implementing this act. This cost shall include:
  - (i) Resources necessary to implement policies, procedures and implementation plans.
  - (ii) Changes or additions to paper forms.
  - (iii) Changes or additions to existing electronic systems.

(iv) Time required by each agency to comply with this act per application or reapplication.

(v) Personnel needed by each agency to comply with this act.

(vi) Resources related to the storage and retrieval of affidavits required under section 3(b).

(vii) Charges to verify the immigration status of each noncitizen applicant as required under section 4(a).

(viii) Charges to implement, including all training costs, the SAVE program or its successor as required under section (4)(a).

(2) The number of applications and renewals of applications for public benefits from United States citizens or persons lawfully present in the United States pursuant to Federal law that were withdrawn or denied because the person lacked the documents required under section (3)(b).

(3) The cost of compiling and providing the documents required under section 3(b) by individuals, businesses and organizations in the public and private sectors.

(b) Monitoring of SAVE program.—Each agency that administers public benefits shall monitor the SAVE program for verification errors and delays and shall provide an annual public report describing the extent of the errors and delays and offering recommendations to ensure that the application of the SAVE program is not erroneously denying benefits to persons lawfully residing in the United States pursuant to Federal law. Each agency shall also report errors to the United States Department of Homeland Security.

Amend Bill, page 10, line 1, by striking out "11" and inserting  
12

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Ms. Josephs.

Will members kindly take their seats, clear the aisles.  
Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 07070 – a great number – is what everybody who cares about the taxpayer fisc, who wants to save the taxpayer money, and who wants to see our agencies be accountable, clearly report their results, tell us whether with their reports how we are doing with making sure that people who are here illegally do not get benefits, but citizens and folks who are here under a legal immigration status do get the benefits that they are entitled to do legitimately. So this amendment requires each agency to gather, study, and report, at least annually, to the General Assembly a number of things, which I will summarize.

The cost of the act, and that includes what it would cost to implement the policies, procedures, and implementation; what it would cost to make changes in electronic or paper documents; the time required, and of course, that is salaries and maybe additional salaries, so that is cost; time and money we know – time is money; the resources to each agency to comply with this act per application or reapplication; the personnel needed by each agency to so comply; the resources related to the storage and retrieval of these extra documents; the charges to verify the immigration status of each noncitizen applicant, which could be a very onerous and confusing search, a verification – what will it cost us? I think the citizens have a right to know – and the charges to implement, including all training costs, of the SAVE (Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements) program. The number of applications and renewals of applications for public

benefits from U.S. citizens or persons lawfully present in this country should be part of the public record so that we know what this bill is costing us. And the cost of compiling and providing the documents required by individuals, businesses, and other organizations in the public and private sectors.

Each agency that administers public benefits would be required to monitor the SAVE system for verification and delays and provide an annual public report describing the extent of such errors and delays and offering recommendations to ensure that the application of the SAVE program is not erroneously denying benefits to persons who are lawfully residing in this country and who lawfully are entitled to these benefits if they meet income and other qualifications. Each agency would also be required to report errors in the SAVE system to the United States Department of Homeland Security.

This is a pro-taxpayer bill. This is absolutely what our taxpayers who think they are paying too much taxes would like to see, because how will we know what this bill will cost us unless we ask the agencies whom we put in charge of implementing it to tell us that at least annually.

This is fiscally responsible, it is fiscally conservative, and I would like all of those who are fiscally responsible and conservative to vote "yes" with me. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. Metcalfe.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this amendment and would ask for the members to defeat it.

Once again, Mr. Speaker, this amendment is identical to one that we defeated in committee. It requires, once again, additional studies. The maker of the amendment proposes that this actually would save dollars; this would actually waste a lot of taxpayer dollars trying to monitor things that are additional to what the legislation already calls for. The legislation already, in and of itself, will save taxpayers tax dollars by ensuring that illegal aliens are not tapping into public benefits, Mr. Speaker, as our constituents want us to ensure that they are not tapping into taxpayer-paid-for benefits.

The savings will, in and of itself, outweigh any costs. The bill already includes monitoring provisions to have costs associated with payment of any other type of benefit that might not be stopped by this to illegal aliens, that it would require that those payment of benefits would be reported to the Office of Administration for placement on the PennWATCH (Pennsylvania Web Accountability, Transparency and Contract Hub) Web site so we create more transparency and more information for constituents to be aware of what is actually slipping through and where illegals are actually costing us money.

The bill already provides a provision that requires the monitoring of the SAVE errors and delays to ensure that we are monitoring how the system is working. It is a Federal system, and it is a system that is designed to ensure that illegal aliens do not tap into our public benefits.

So the amendment is unnecessary, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask for the members to defeat the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We have the word of the maker of the amendment. If he is correct, the maker, yes, of the amendment and the supporter of this bill, if he is correct, my requirement for an annual report from the agencies that implement this will show that is the case. I would not believe it until I see the report.

This is fiscally responsible. This is transparent. This is conservative. This is a vote that everybody who cares about their taxpayer ought to be with me.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—80

Barbin	Dean	Josephs	Preston
Bishop	Deasy	Kavulich	Ravenstahl
Boyle, B.	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Readshaw
Boyle, K.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Roebuck
Bradford	DePasquale	Kotik	Sabatina
Brennan	Dermody	Kula	Samuelson
Briggs	Donatucci	Mahoney	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Evans, D.	Mann	Santoni
Brownlee	Fabrizio	Markosek	Schmotzer
Buxton	Frankel	Matzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, M.
Carroll	Galloway	Mundy	Staback
Cohen	George	Murphy	Sturla
Conklin	Gerber	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Gergely	Neilson	Vitali
Cruz	Haluska	Neuman	Waters
Curry	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Daley	Harkins	Parker	White
Davidson	Hornaman	Pashinski	Williams
Davis	James	Payton	Youngblood

NAYS—117

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Quinn
Aument	Gabler	Longietti	Rapp
Baker	Geist	Mackenzie	Reed
Barrar	Gibbons	Maher	Reese
Bear	Gillen	Major	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Maloney	Rock
Bloom	Gingrich	Marshall	Ross
Boback	Godshall	Marsico	Saccone
Boyd	Goodman	Masser	Sainato
Brooks	Grell	Metcalfe	Saylor
Brown, R.	Grove	Metzgar	Scavello
Burns	Hackett	Miccarelli	Simmons
Causar	Hahn	Millard	Sonney
Christiana	Harhart	Miller	Stephens
Clymer	Harper	Milne	Stern
Costa, D.	Harris	Mirabito	Stevenson
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Swanger
Creighton	Helm	Mullery	Tallman
Culver	Hennessey	Murt	Taylor
Cutler	Hess	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Hickernell	O'Neill	Toepel
Delozier	Hutchinson	Oberlander	Toohil
Denlinger	Kampf	Payne	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Peifer	Turzai
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Perry	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Petrarca	Vulakovich
Emrick	Killion	Petri	
Evankovich	Knowles	Pickett	Smith, S., Speaker
Evans, J.	Kortz	Pyle	
Everett	Krieger	Quigley	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Fleck Hanna Micozzie Watson

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. JOSEPHS offered the following amendment No. A07071:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(f) Assistance to be provided to applicants.—An agency that administers public benefits shall provide assistance to all persons applying or renewing applications for public benefits who claim they are eligible for such benefits but lack the documents required under subsection (b)(1). The following apply:

(1) No application or renewal of public benefits shall be denied if a person has met all other conditions of eligibility for a public benefit and is cooperating with the agency in obtaining the documents required under subsection (b)(1). Every 60 days the agency shall establish contact with the person to determine whether the individual is continuing to cooperate in obtaining the documents.

(2) Any individual denied a public benefit under this act shall have access to any and all administrative review provided by the agency or political subdivision in question with right of appeal to the relevant Commonwealth agency or appropriate court of law.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the lady, Ms. Josephs, is recognized.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment requires the agencies who are implementing this plan to give assistance to recipients – to applicants, I guess, not recipients – assist them in obtaining the government-issued documents that they need in order to prove that they are here legally.

Again, I am very, very concerned that people who legitimately are in this country or who are born in this country, who are our fellow citizens, not be denied benefits that they are legitimately required, we are legitimately required to supply them simply because they do not have a document. And the agency which is supposed to be helping them ought to be helping them to get the document. It just makes common sense.

Thank you. I would hope that I will have the majority of voters here. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Metcalfe, from Butler County.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the members to oppose this amendment; once again, an amendment that is identical to an amendment that we defeated already in committee. The bill allows, as I have said earlier with other amendments, for an

applicant to submit an affidavit in lieu of ID; therefore, the amendment is not necessary, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask for a "no" vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—67

Table with 4 columns of names: Bishop, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brown, V., Brownlee, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, P., Cruz, Curry, Daley, Davidson, Davis, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, DeLuca, Dermody, Donatucci, Evans, D., Fabrizio, Frankel, Freeman, George, Gerber, Harhai, Harkins, Hornaman, James, Josephs, Keller, W., Kirkland, Kula, Mahoney, Mann, Markosek, Matzie, McGeehan, Mundy, Murphy, Myers, O'Brien, M., Parker, Pashinski, Payton, Preston, Ravenstahl, Roebuck, Sabatina, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Schmotzer, Smith, K., Sturla, Thomas, Vitali, Waters, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood

NAYS—130

Table with 4 columns of names: Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bloom, Boback, Boyd, Brooks, Brown, R., Burns, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Costa, D., Cox, Creighton, Culver, Cutler, Day, Delozier, Denlinger, DePasquale, DiGirolamo, Dunbar, Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, J., Everett, Farry, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, Gergely, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Harhart, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hutchinson, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Killion, Knowles, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Major, Maloney, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Moul, Mullery, Murt, Mustio, Neilson, Neuman, O'Neill, Oberlander, Payne, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Ross, Saccone, Sainato, Saylor, Scavello, Simmons, Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Swanger, Tallman, Taylor, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vulakovich, Smith, S., Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Fleck Hanna Micozzie Watson

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment No. **A07086**:

Amend Bill, page 8, line 30, by inserting after "ETHNICITY"  
, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, familial status, age, use of support animals because of blindness or deafness

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am withdrawing this amendment. The amendment I would like to put in its place is 7099.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

Withdraws amendment 7086 and calls up amendment 7099 by the lady, Ms. Josephs, which will be read by the clerk.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. **JOSEPHS** offered the following amendment No. **A07099**:

Amend Bill, page 8, line 30, by inserting after "ETHNICITY"  
, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, age, use of support animals because of blindness or deafness

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentelady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Right now the bill says, or present law says you must enforce the benefits, procedures for applicants and for recipients, without regard to race, religion, gender, ethnicity, or national origin. I have added sexual orientation or gender identity or expression, age, or support animals because of blindness or deafness. All of these additional categories would be in a protected status, and nobody would be allowed to be discriminated against based on sexual orientation or gender identity or expression, age, or support animals. And I think that the people who fall into these categories certainly require and are due, and we should make sure they are not discriminated against because of their membership in those communities.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Metcalfe, on the amendment.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to oppose this amendment. The nondiscrimination provisions that were amended into SB 9 in our committee, the State Government Committee, are designed to address the concerns of some of the critics of this bill and similar legislation, that agencies would possibly profile individuals and target those who appear to be immigrants based on their race or ethnicity. The existing language that we included adequately provides protection against this type of profiling. It actually states that "THIS ACT SHALL BE ENFORCED WITHOUT REGARD TO RACE, RELIGION, GENDER, ETHNICITY OR NATIONAL ORIGIN," Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is very obvious this amendment is just being proposed because the lady has an agenda. This is not an acceptable amendment, and I would ask for this amendment to be defeated. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

#### POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentelady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. I thought on the floor, Mr. Speaker, that we were not supposed to be talking about each other's motivation. I ask you to disapprove, to strike the individual from Butler's last remarks where he attacks my motivation. I have not done that. I do not expect it to be done to me or any other member on the floor.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

Ms. Josephs, are you seeking recognition again, for the second time? You may proceed.

Ms. JOSEPHS. This is on my point of order or perhaps my point of personal privilege.

I think it is up to the Speaker to reprimand people when they make remarks about motivation, and I am looking for some kind of disapproval of those kinds of remarks which were made right here against me for no reason.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady, and the Chair has been advised that the Parliamentarian will review the remarks very carefully and will advise accordingly.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—65

Bishop	Davis	Keller, W.	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Dean	Kirkland	Samuelson
Boyle, K.	Deasy	Mann	Santarsiero
Bradford	DeLissio	McGeehan	Santoni
Brennan	DePasquale	Mundy	Schmotzer
Briggs	Dermody	Murphy	Smith, K.
Brown, V.	Donatucci	Myers	Smith, M.
Brownlee	Evans, D.	Neilson	Staback
Buxton	Fabrizio	O'Brien, M.	Sturla
Caltagirone	Frankel	O'Neill	Thomas
Carroll	Freeman	Parker	Vitali

Cohen	Gerber	Pashinski	Waters
Conklin	Harkins	Payton	Wheatley
Costa, P.	James	Preston	White
Cruz	Josephs	Ravenstahl	Williams
Curry	Kavulich	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley			

NAYS—132

Adolph	Gabler	Kotik	Pickett
Aument	Galloway	Krieger	Pyle
Baker	Geist	Kula	Quigley
Barbin	George	Lawrence	Quinn
Barrar	Gergely	Longiatti	Rapp
Bear	Gibbons	Mackenzie	Readshaw
Benninghoff	Gillen	Maher	Reed
Bloom	Gillespie	Mahoney	Reese
Boback	Gingrich	Major	Roe
Boyd	Godshall	Maloney	Rock
Brooks	Goodman	Markosek	Ross
Brown, R.	Grell	Marshall	Saccone
Burns	Grove	Marsico	Sainato
Causar	Hackett	Masser	Saylor
Christiana	Hahn	Matzie	Scavello
Clymer	Haluska	Metcalfe	Simmons
Costa, D.	Harhai	Metzgar	Sonney
Cox	Harhart	Miccarelli	Stephens
Creighton	Harper	Millard	Stern
Culver	Harris	Miller	Stevenson
Cutler	Heffley	Milne	Swanger
Davidson	Helm	Mirabito	Tallman
Day	Hennessey	Moul	Taylor
Delozier	Hess	Mullery	Tobash
DeLuca	Hickernell	Murt	Toepel
Denlinger	Hornaman	Mustio	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Hutchinson	Neuman	Truitt
Dunbar	Kampf	Oberlander	Turzai
Ellis	Kauffman	Payne	Verab
Emrick	Keller, F.	Peifer	Vulakovich
Evankovich	Keller, M.K.	Perry	
Evans, J.	Killion	Petrarca	Smith, S., Speaker
Everett	Knowles	Petri	
Farry	Kortz		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Fleck	Hanna	Micozzie	Watson
-------	-------	----------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the understanding of the Chair that all the other amendments have been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1174, PN 2180**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 28, 1937 (P.L.955, No.265), known as the Housing Authorities Law, further providing for appointment of members of authority, for qualifications, tenure and compensation of members of authority and for organization of authority; and providing for whistleblower hotline, for requirements regarding tenants and landlords in cities of the first class and for reporting by authorities in cities of the first class.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

**DECISION OF CHAIR RESCINDED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the Chair rescinds its remarks that SB 1174 was agreed to on second consideration.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McGEEHAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A10419**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 25, by striking out "and" and inserting a comma

Amend Bill, page 1, line 25, by inserting after "authority" where it occurs the second time

and for interested members or employees

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

Section 2.1. Section 8 of the act, amended May 20, 1949 (P.L.1614, No.486), is amended to read:

Section 8. Interested Members or Employees.—No member or employe of an Authority shall acquire any interest, direct or indirect, in any housing project or in any property included or planned to be included in any project, nor shall he have any interest, direct or indirect, in any contract or proposed contract for materials or services to be furnished or used in connection with any housing project. A member of a housing authority in a city of the first class may not serve on the board of an entity that has a contract for materials or services with the housing authority. If any member or employe of an Authority owns or controls an interest, direct or indirect, in any property included or planned to be included in any housing project of the Authority, or has any such interest in any contract for material or services to be furnished or used in connection with any housing project, he shall immediately disclose the same in writing to the Authority, and such disclosure shall be entered in writing upon the minute books of the Authority. Failure so to disclose such interest shall constitute misconduct in office. Any such undisclosed profit, which results to any member or employe of an Authority, shall render such member or employe liable to surcharge in favor of the Authority to the full amount of such profit. Such profit need not be realized in order to fall within the meaning of this section. Proceedings to surcharge any member or employe may be instituted by an Authority or by the State Planning Board on its behalf with or without its consent.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, these amendments concern the conduct and the composition of the board for housing authorities in a first-class city. The first amendment, of course, and we all know of the scandal-plagued Philadelphia Housing Authority. I am attempting, through these amendments, to improve this bill and make it more responsive.

The first amendment deals with the conflict of interest of any potential board member who is appointed to the housing authority board. Essentially what it would do, Mr. Speaker, is have a member of the housing authority, prohibit them from serving on a board of an entity that has a contract for materials or services with that housing authority.

Mr. Speaker, this is plainly evident that it is targeted to avoid any conflict of interest with any potential board member while they are serving as a board member on the housing authority in Philadelphia.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want to emphasize that all State ethics requirements that apply to us also apply to those of the Philadelphia Housing Authority. In addition to that, since the time that some of these controversial practices and improper practices occurred, HUD (Department of Housing and Urban Development) has come in and implemented a new code of conduct that governs the operations in a more thorough way.

But finally, there is a problem with this particular amendment in that it is violative of Federal law. A housing authority cannot bar a company from submitting a proposal because of an affiliation with a board member, so there would be a straightforward violation of Federal law that would make this provision unacceptable and illegal.

So the correct answer to the question is that the existing ethics responsibilities and conflict-of-interest rules do and should apply, and so therefore, this is an unnecessary and in fact improper amendment, and I would urge a negative vote on it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Brien.

Mr. O'BRIEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the McGeehan amendment. Consider for one moment, if you will, billions of dollars in assets, millions of dollars in no-bid contracts every year, hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal bond work given out, thousands, thousands of patronage employees, and we do not want to tighten up ethical standards? Well, quite honestly, Mr. Speaker, that would be absolute folly.

I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is in receipt of a request for a leave of absence from the majority leader for the gentleman, Mr. HENNESSEY, from Chester County for the day. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—94**

Barbin	DePasquale	Kirkland	Preston
Bishop	Dermody	Kortz	Quigley
Boyle, B.	Donatucci	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Evans, D.	Kula	Readshaw
Bradford	Fabrizio	Longietti	Roebuck
Brennan	Frankel	Mahoney	Sabatina
Briggs	Freeman	Mann	Sainato
Brooks	Galloway	Markosek	Samuelson
Burns	George	Matzie	Santarsiero
Buxton	Gerber	McGeehan	Santoni
Caltagirone	Gergely	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Carroll	Gibbons	Metzgar	Smith, K.
Cohen	Godshall	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Conklin	Goodman	Mullery	Staback
Costa, D.	Haluska	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harhai	Murphy	Taylor
Cruz	Harkins	Murt	Toepel
Curry	Harper	Neilson	Truitt
Daley	Harris	Neuman	Vitali
Davidson	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Davis	Josephs	Pashinski	Wheatley
Dean	Kavulich	Perry	White
Deasy	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Youngblood
DeLuca	Keller, W.		

**NAYS—102**

Adolph	Emrick	Krieger	Rapp
Aument	Evankovich	Lawrence	Reed
Baker	Evans, J.	Mackenzie	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Maher	Roae
Bear	Farry	Major	Rock
Benninghoff	Gabler	Maloney	Ross
Bloom	Geist	Marshall	Saccone
Boback	Gillen	Marsico	Saylor
Boyd	Gillespie	Masser	Scavello
Brown, R.	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, V.	Grell	Millard	Sonney
Brownlee	Grove	Miller	Stephens
Causar	Hackett	Milne	Stern
Christiana	Hahn	Moul	Stevenson
Clymer	Harhart	Mustio	Swanger
Cox	Heffley	Myers	Tallman
Creighton	Helm	O'Neill	Thomas
Culver	Hess	Oberlander	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Parker	Toohil
Day	Hutchinson	Payne	Turzai
DeLissio	James	Payton	Vereb
Delozier	Kampf	Peifer	Vulakovich
Denlinger	Kauffman	Petri	Williams

DiGirolamo	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	
Dunbar	Killion	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Ellis	Knowles	Quinn	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Fleck	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McGEEHAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A10420**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 26, by inserting after "FOR" where it occurs the first time

nonprofit funding, for

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

Section 10.2. Nonprofit funding.—A housing authority of a first class city may not provide funding to any nonprofit corporation that employs a member of a housing authority of a first class city.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 16, by striking out "10.2" and inserting 10.3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, is recognized.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, again, this second amendment attempts to address what is a very real problem in the Philadelphia Housing Authority. As a matter of fact, it attempts to address a problem that is now being addressed by an active Federal investigation.

What it essentially would do, Mr. Speaker, is disallow the housing authority from providing funding to any nonprofit corporation that employs a member of the housing authority in a city of the first class. And, Mr. Speaker, this amendment arises out of the fact that the PHA (Philadelphia Housing Authority) had to repay \$200,000 to current or former PHA employees and landlords. Many were pressured to contribute to a nonprofit run by the former executive director of the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

Mr. Speaker, the PHA had unlawfully deducted from paychecks, quote, unquote, "under the pretext that the funds would be used for charitable purposes," when in fact, Mr. Speaker, they were used as a private slush fund for PHA executives.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment attempts to solve a very real problem that had existed in the PHA, a problem that is now the subject of a Federal investigation, and this amendment would ensure that that practice does not continue again.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The practices that were just described are illegal and were illegal, so it is not a question of passing a law to tell people that they may not do something that is illegal in this way. The correct solution to the problem that was brought up is better controls and better transparency in operations and better conduct by the housing authority.

The Housing and Urban Development program has come in there and cleared up any questions whether this conduct was or was not illegal – some of the people are going to be prosecuted for this action already – but we now have much stricter controls in place through the changes that the Housing and Urban Development staff have brought in, and we have no need for this additional language because it is already controlled.

But it is wrong to try and pass laws to tell people to stop breaking the law. It just does not work. The control practices are what are needed, and they have been instituted, so we have reason to believe that this will not happen again.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. ROSS. And I urge a negative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the chairman's remarks are right on as far as, if laws exist, of course we expect people to obey them, but that simply has not been the experience. That simply has not been the policy. That has not been borne out by the conduct of people within PHA.

Mr. Speaker, we can have policies, we can have policies that cover every possible scenario, but unless we have these prohibitions with the weight of law behind them and the commensurate punishment for violating that law, these policies are not worth the paper they are written on.

If we want to correct the conduct that we have witnessed, the scandalous conduct that we have witnessed in the Philadelphia Housing Authority, we have to make policy, translate that into law, and have, again, the commensurate punishment for violating that law. This amendment does just that, addresses a real problem that has existed in the housing authority, and I would urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the McGeehan amendment. Mr. McGeehan's amendment offers legal clarity. The gentleman from Chester County says, well, it already is the law. Well, that may be true if you are a lawyer who is very familiar with housing authority law. It may be true if you are a housing authority worker who regularly consults with the legal department at the housing authority, but it is not clear. It just is not clear to an ordinary person that the law is as Mr. McGeehan says, and the McGeehan amendment clarifies what the law is. It will greatly increase the number of people who know the law, and it will greatly increase, therefore, the amount of law-abiding activity.

I strongly support the McGeehan amendment, and I commend the gentleman for introducing it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Barbin	Delozier	Hornaman	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLuca	Josephs	Petri
Boyle, B.	DePasquale	Kavulich	Preston
Boyle, K.	Dermody	Keller, W.	Quigley
Bradford	Donatucci	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Brennan	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Briggs	Evans, D.	Kotik	Roebuck
Brooks	Fabrizio	Krieger	Sabatina
Burns	Farry	Kula	Sainato
Buxton	Frankel	Longietti	Samuelson
Caltagirone	Freeman	Mahoney	Santarsiero
Carroll	Gabler	Mann	Santoni
Cohen	Galloway	Matzie	Schmotzer
Conklin	George	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Costa, D.	Gerber	Metzgar	Smith, M.
Costa, P.	Gergely	Mirabito	Staback
Cox	Gibbons	Mullery	Sturla
Cruz	Gillen	Mundy	Swanger
Curry	Godshall	Murphy	Toepel
Daley	Goodman	Murt	Truitt
Davidson	Haluska	Neilson	Vitali
Davis	Harhai	Neuman	Wheatley
Dean	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	White
Deasy	Heffley	Pashinski	Youngblood

NAYS—99

Adolph	Everett	Maloney	Reese
Aument	Geist	Markosek	Roae
Baker	Gillespie	Marshall	Rock
Barrar	Gingrich	Marsico	Ross
Bear	Grell	Masser	Saccone
Benninghoff	Grove	Metcalfe	Saylor
Bloom	Hackett	Miccarelli	Scavello
Boback	Hahn	Millard	Simmons
Boyd	Harhart	Miller	Sonney
Brown, R.	Harper	Milne	Stephens
Brown, V.	Harris	Moul	Stern
Brownlee	Helm	Mustio	Stevenson
Causer	Hess	Myers	Tallman
Christiana	Hickernell	O'Neill	Taylor
Clymer	Hutchinson	Oberlander	Thomas
Creighton	James	Parker	Tobash
Culver	Kampf	Payne	Toohil
Cutler	Kauffman	Payton	Turzai
Day	Keller, F.	Peifer	Verbe
DeLissio	Keller, M.K.	Perry	Vulakovich
Denlinger	Killion	Pickett	Waters
DiGirolamo	Knowles	Pyle	Williams
Dunbar	Mackenzie	Quinn	
Ellis	Maher	Rapp	Smith, S., Speaker
Emrick	Major	Reed	
Evans, J.			

NOT VOTING—1

Lawrence

EXCUSED—5

Fleck	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. SB 1174 is over temporarily.

**THE SPEAKER (SAMUEL H. SMITH)  
PRESIDING**

**SENATE MESSAGE**

**HOUSE BILLS  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 608, PN 592; HB 1960, PN 2674; and HB 2215, PN 3110**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

**HB 608, PN 592**

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1945 (P.L.1198, No.418), known as the Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act, further providing for mining permit; providing for bioenergy crop bonding; and making editorial changes.

**HB 1960, PN 2674**

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1999 (P.L.884, No.54), known as the Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care Centers Act, further providing for definitions and for regulations.

**HB 2215, PN 3110**

An Act designating an interchange at the intersection of Route 136 and Interstate 70 in Washington County as the Officer John David Dryer Memorial Interchange.

**SB 276, PN 1977**

An Act amending the act of June 22, 2000 (P.L.318, No.32), known as the Downtown Location Law, further providing for powers and duties of the Department of General Services.

**SB 375, PN 2278**

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in municipal authorities, further providing for administration and for money.

**SB 1398, PN 2163**

An Act amending the act of July 6, 1989 (P.L.169, No.32), known as the Storage Tank and Spill Prevention Act, further providing for

Underground Storage Tank Environmental Cleanup Program and for Underground Storage Tank Pollution Prevention Program.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
(MATTHEW E. BAKER) PRESIDING**

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Returning to consideration of SB 1174, the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, offers amendment A10421, which will be read by the clerk.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McGEEHAN** offered the following amendment No. **A10421**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 25, by inserting after "PROVIDING"  
for State-appropriated funds,

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

Section 10.2. State-appropriated funds.—A housing authority of a first class city may not use State-appropriated funds for costs associated with hiring outside counsel or lobbyists.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 16, by striking out "10.2" and inserting 10.3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, is recognized.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, again, I want to preface the remarks on this amendment to say that all of these amendments have been motivated by problems that I have seen and been part of the public record with the Philadelphia Housing Authority, and one of the most insidious problems is the pinstripe patronage that had run rampant in the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

It is a cruel irony, Mr. Speaker, that an agency charged with assisting and helping the poorest of poor was more interested in helping the most influential, the most politically connected, the greatest power brokers in Philadelphia through law contracts and outside counsel contracts. What this amendment will do, Mr. Speaker, is prohibit the housing authority of the first-class city from using State-appropriated funds for costs associated with hiring outside counsel or lobbyists.

Mr. Speaker, the Philadelphia Housing Authority paid \$700,000 to a Washington lobbyist, channeling much of the money through the law firm Ballard Spahr. Mr. Speaker, the PHA already has an in-house legal counsel, but from 2007 to 2010, PHA spent \$38.5 million on law firms, more than any other law firm in the country. The Philadelphia Housing Authority received State grants for projects. This amendment will ensure that no State funds go to hire lobbyists or outside counsel in what has been one of the most abusive practices by that housing authority.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And indeed there were problems and poor judgment and bad expenditures that had been made by prior housing authority people, and I certainly agree with the maker of this amendment, particularly as it relates to lobbyists. But unfortunately, it went beyond that, and he struck not excessive outside counsel; he struck all outside counsel. So there are times when the in-house counsel of an agency like the housing authority is not properly prepared to handle more complex legal issues relating to financing or specialized areas of the law. They should not be used excessively, but to say that they should never be used under any circumstance is not good practice, and for that reason, I urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it has to be remembered that the ultimate goal of this legislation is to place the authority in the hands of the mayor. I would contend that while the housing authority may have times to use outside counsel, that outside counsel can certainly be utilized by the city of Philadelphia itself – utilize the infrastructure, the legal talent that is retained and paid for every single day by the citizens of Philadelphia.

If the mayor is going to have ultimate authority for the Philadelphia Housing Authority, then those services should be shared, the legal services, both with the PHA and with the law department of the city of Philadelphia. There is no need to take care of the pinstripe patronage and the high-powered friends of the administration and the insiders playing this cynical game.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment. The purpose of the underlying bill is to try to bring back some reasonableness through the State funding of the housing authorities. There is money that comes in from the Federal government, but money also comes from the State government. All this bill does or all this amendment does is to say that as far as you use your State funding, you cannot use it for outside lobbyists and you cannot use it for outside counsel.

Now, every one of these authorities is set up by a municipality that has its own lobbyist and its own counsel and their outside counsel. So the only real question is, does this amendment allow the public housing authorities to maybe do a little better than they did before? Because if we pass it for Philadelphia, it will be a statement not only to first-class cities but to other housing authorities that we are tired of wasting \$38 million that is supposed to be going to the poor but instead is going to the politically connected.

This is a good amendment, and I urge all the support of the members for the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I did want to just clarify and perhaps correct one statement that was made earlier by the maker of the amendment that it would be possible, when necessary, for the city of Philadelphia to hire outside counsel to act on behalf of the authority. I have just consulted with one of my colleagues who is a lawyer, I am not, and confirmed what I suspected, which is

that there are times when an authority, because it is independent and is created as such, would have potentially a conflict of interest with the city, so they need the ability to be able to hire outside counsel.

I agree with the maker that it should not be used excessively, and it has been in the past. I would agree with him on that, but to bar it completely is wrong, and for that reason, I must ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—92

Barbin	DeLuca	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.
Bishop	DePasquale	Kavulich	Pashinski
Boback	Dermody	Keller, W.	Payton
Boyle, B.	DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, K.	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Evankovich	Kotik	Readshaw
Brennan	Evans, D.	Krieger	Roebuck
Briggs	Fabrizio	Kula	Sabatina
Brooks	Frankel	Longiotti	Sainato
Burns	Freeman	Maher	Samuelson
Buxton	Gabler	Mahoney	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Galloway	Mann	Santoni
Carroll	George	Markosek	Schmotzer
Cohen	Gerber	Matzie	Smith, K.
Conklin	Gergely	McGeehan	Smith, M.
Costa, D.	Gibbons	Metzgar	Staback
Costa, P.	Gillen	Mirabito	Sturla
Cruz	Godshall	Mullery	Swanger
Daley	Goodman	Mundy	Truitt
Davidson	Haluska	Murphy	Vitali
Davis	Harhai	Murt	Wheatley
Dean	Harkins	Neilson	White
Deasy	Heffley	Neuman	Youngblood

## NAYS—104

Adolph	Everett	Maloney	Reese
Aument	Farry	Marshall	Roae
Baker	Geist	Marsico	Rock
Barrar	Gillespie	Masser	Ross
Bear	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Saccone
Benninghoff	Grell	Miccarelli	Saylor
Bloom	Grove	Millard	Scavello
Boyd	Hackett	Miller	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hahn	Milne	Sonney
Brown, V.	Harhart	Moul	Stephens
Brownlee	Harper	Mustio	Stern
Causar	Harris	Myers	Stevenson
Christiana	Helm	O'Neill	Tallman
Clymer	Hess	Oberlander	Taylor
Cox	Hickernell	Parker	Thomas
Creighton	Hutchinson	Payne	Tobash
Culver	James	Peifer	Toepel
Curry	Josephs	Perry	Toohil
Cutler	Kampf	Petrarca	Turzai
Day	Kauffman	Petri	Vereb
DeLissio	Keller, F.	Pickett	Vulakovich
DeLozier	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Waters
Denlinger	Killion	Quigley	Williams
Dunbar	Knowles	Quinn	
Ellis	Lawrence	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Emrick	Mackenzie	Reed	Speaker
Evans, J.	Major		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Fleck	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **McGEEHAN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A10423**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 26, by inserting after "HOTLINE,"  
for Housing Choice Voucher Program,  
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 19 and 20  
Section 10.3. Housing Choice Voucher Program.—A housing authority of a first class city shall maintain and monitor a list of names and corresponding addresses of all landlords participating in the Housing Choice Voucher Program. The list shall be made available to the public through an online database on the housing authority website.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment goes to a policy in the Philadelphia Housing Authority and indeed most housing authorities in the State, and it is in response to a recent court ruling. What this amendment will do would essentially say that it would compel the Philadelphia Housing Authority to make available and to establish a searchable database of those participants – landlords and their addresses – who participate in the Housing Choice Voucher Program.

Mr. Speaker, it has been a contentious issue in Philadelphia where irresponsible landlords do not maintain their properties, do not properly screen their tenants, frankly because they do not live there, do not have a concern about the impact that their properties are having in my neighborhood and neighborhoods throughout Philadelphia.

Mr. Speaker, a recent court ruling established that WTAE-TV was granted, after being denied, the right to look at this list and to make it publicly available. This amendment would require the city of Philadelphia, the housing authority, to maintain and monitor a searchable database where citizens could go in, look at that property, see who owns it, and directly confront the owner if there is a problem.

I can tell you, Mr. Speaker, the horror stories that have gone on in Philadelphia – partying till all hours of the night, unkempt properties, drug trafficking, crime – and the citizens of Philadelphia are powerless to address it because the housing authority says the rights of those landlords supersede the rights of the citizens who have to live in those very neighborhoods among those troubled properties.

Mr. Speaker, this would be a change. This would enhance the quality of life for residents in the city of Philadelphia, and it is a commonsense solution to a very real problem that exists, and I would urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Mr. Speaker, I agree with the need to enforce the rules on the landlords, and in fact, of course, the housing authority does keep a database of those landlords. The problem is connecting the landlords and the sites together, and that winds up opening up another can of worms, which again gets us in conflict with Federal law.

There are several Federal laws that require confidentiality in terms of protecting the identity of those that are participating as tenants in this system. That is not to be released to the general public. And although I am sympathetic with the concept that the maker has behind this idea of chasing the landlords, I think the technique that he is using to expose the tenants in their location to public scrutiny puts us in conflict with Federal law.

There are good ways to go after the bad landlords, and I am totally supportive of that and I believe that the housing authority under the new rules – and again, we ought to make sure we all understand that there are new rules and a new system that we are attempting to put in place here – will be more effective in maintaining and managing these situations better. But I do not want to have overly broad language that would put us in conflict with Federal law, and I am afraid that this language at this point does that. So therefore, I reluctantly urge a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the comments of the chairman.

I want to remind the members that the Commonwealth Court ruling, just within the last several months, had essentially said that these records are public records, in the case of the *Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh v. Van Osdol and WTAE-TV*. They sought that information from the housing authority in Pittsburgh and were denied, appealed it, and the Commonwealth Court of this Commonwealth sustained that, said that absolutely, these records are public documents. What this amendment does is expands that and requires the city of Philadelphia, in line with that court ruling, to make this information available to the public.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-83

Barbin	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bishop	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Boback	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Boyle, K.	Donatucci	Kula	Sabatina
Bradford	Evans, D.	Longietti	Sainato
Brennan	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Samuelson
Briggs	Frankel	Mann	Santarsiero
Brown, V.	Freeman	Matzie	Santoni
Burns	Galloway	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Buxton	George	Mirabito	Smith, K.

Carroll	Gerber	Mullery	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gergely	Mundy	Staback
Conklin	Gibbons	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Goodman	Murt	Taylor
Costa, P.	Haluska	Neilson	Toepel
Cruz	Harhai	Neuman	Vitali
Daley	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Davidson	Heffley	Pashinski	Wheatley
Davis	Hornaman	Payton	White
Dean	Kavulich	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Keller, W.	Preston	

NAYS-113

Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Quinn
Aument	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Rapp
Baker	Everett	Mackenzie	Reed
Barrar	Farry	Maher	Reese
Bear	Gabler	Major	Roae
Benninghoff	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bloom	Gillen	Markosek	Ross
Boyd	Gillespie	Marshall	Saccone
Boyle, B.	Gingrich	Marsico	Saylor
Brooks	Godshall	Masser	Scavello
Brown, R.	Grell	Metcalfe	Simmons
Brownlee	Grove	Metzgar	Sonney
Caltagirone	Hackett	Miccarelli	Stephens
Causer	Hahn	Millard	Stern
Christiana	Harhart	Miller	Stevenson
Clymer	Harper	Milne	Swanger
Cox	Harris	Moul	Tallman
Creighton	Helm	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Hess	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Hickernell	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hutchinson	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	James	Parker	Turzai
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Vereb
Delozier	Kampf	Peifer	Vulakovich
Denlinger	Kauffman	Perry	Williams
DiGirolo	Keller, F.	Petri	
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Smith, S., Speaker
Ellis	Killion	Pyle	
Emrick	Knowles	Quigley	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Fleck	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. McGEEHAN offered the following amendment  
No. A10472:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 27, by inserting after "CLASS"  
, for eligibility for landlords with felony convictions  
Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 9 and 10  
Section 13.2. Eligibility for Landlords with Felony Convictions.—If a landlord has a felony conviction which occurred within five years, or if the landlord is presently on probation for a felony conviction, the landlord shall be prohibited from participating in a Housing Choice Voucher Program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, is recognized.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment addresses what is not a right but a privilege for landlords to participate in the Housing Choice Voucher Program. It is taxpayer money that is subsidizing tenants in privately owned residential settings. Mr. Speaker, this amendment would prohibit landlords from participating in that program if the landlord is currently on probation for a felony conviction or if the landlord has received a felony conviction within the past 5 years.

Mr. Speaker, while I certainly do not believe that people have to suffer the rest of their lives for past crimes, I believe that this program, because it is the widespread use of taxpayers' money, that felons should not be able to participate in this program, and I would urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Actually, this is already Federal law, and the Philadelphia Housing Authority is mandated to follow even more strict rules than are being proposed here. So this language is duplicative, and as such, since it is actually slightly different than the Federal law, I urge a negative vote. We should allow the existing law to act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the maker of this amendment has been consistent in raising some of these questions regarding the operation of the Philadelphia Housing Authority, and he has raised some very pointed questions which, in many cases, run more to operations than it does to substance. It is correct that you only have a limited amount of time to participate in the choice program. The program was designed for a defined period of time. That is in law. But I think what needs to happen is to take a look at whether this authority or other authorities are applying that rule to these situations when they arise.

And so to that end, and, Mr. Speaker, I am going to take it upon myself and reach out to my majority leader to put together a meeting with Secretary Estelle Richman, who is now on-site at PHA, and some of you know Estelle; some of you know Secretary Richman. She was Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Welfare. Her service has been acknowledged from all corners of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as Deputy Secretary for the Pennsylvania office of mental health/mental retardation, which brought an end to warehousing people in Pennsylvania who had mental health needs. So she has had a good history, and I believe that we can sit down with Secretary Richman and, kind of, work out, find a way to operationally deal with some of the issues that have been raised by my colleague.

### MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. THOMAS. And so, Mr. Speaker, rather than continuing, I spoke to my colleague; I rise to move to table these amendments and the underlying bill until we have had an

opportunity to sit down and work through some of this, because some of this, I am convinced, can be worked out internally rather than statutorily.

And so to that end, I rise to table these amendments and the underlying bill until no later than the fall.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Moved by the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, to table SB 1174 along with all amendments.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Only the floor leaders and the maker of the motion— The majority whip is deferring to the gentleman, Mr. Ross. Mr. Ross, you are in order and may proceed.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Unfortunately, the timing does not work out for us to delay consideration of the underlying bill, and I do believe we are going to have to deal with the amendments and the bill.

We are in receipt of a letter from Secretary Donovan of the Federal Housing and Urban Development Department asking us to move this legislation now. They have had an interim director in there for quite a long time. They have now done the repairs that they believe they need to do, and it is improper, and they see it as improper, to continue to federally operate a city's housing authority any longer than they have to.

And I also have checked with Secretary Richman and have heard back from her that she, too, is interested in moving this legislation and getting it settled so that we have rules and we have a board in place for the housing authority to be taken back by the city, by the residents of that city who, really most properly, ought to handle housing matters and not have them handled at the Federal level.

Conversations with Secretary Richman and further information during the summer would be entirely appropriate, and I would certainly be happy to facilitate those kinds of conversations. But for us to stall this bill and to possibly have the housing authority continue to be federally managed for months, perhaps close to a year longer at this point, is wrong, is not what the people of Philadelphia want, is not what the mayor wants, is not what the Secretary of HUD wants or in fact Secretary Richman, who is taking over on an interim basis and wishes also to return it to the city as soon as possible.

So for those reasons, I urge a negative vote on the motion to table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the maker of the motion, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am not going to delay the debate. My majority chairman is correct that HUD signaled to this body some time ago that they wanted the board returned to Philadelphia County. But while HUD said that, Secretary Donovan has dispatched Secretary Richman to the Philadelphia Housing Authority so that now she is dealing with the day-to-day issues.

And, Mr. Speaker, my colleague from Philadelphia County has raised some very good questions, and I attended a hearing, an Urban Affairs Committee hearing in Philadelphia, and there were homeowners who raised some real questions about the choice voucher program and some other questions. I am confident that in a room with Secretary Richman, my colleague, my majority chair, and any other member that wants to attend,

I guarantee you – no, I am not going to do that – I am confident that at the end of the meeting, the most important questions for my colleague from Philadelphia County will be answered and potentially resolved in his favor.

We are talking about close to 200,000 people that live in Philadelphia housing. A lot is going on with PHA. I know that time is not a friend, but given all of the issues surrounding this agency, we should not let time drive our outcome. We should drive time so that when we get there, we do it right, and the 200,000 people in Philadelphia County that live in public housing and the people who live around the people in public housing, we will have a situation that becomes a win-win for all of us.

And so I strongly encourage your support to table this until we have had a chance to have our colleague put his concerns on the table and see what we can work out. We do not need statutes to solve all the problems that we face sometimes. Sometimes, sometimes it is just a matter of sitting down and putting our heads together and looking at how much we have in common rather than that which divides us. So I am confident that at the end of the day of this process, my colleague, many of his questions will be settled. So give us that opportunity and I can assure you that we will come back in the fall and we can move on. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I agree with the gentleman from Philadelphia that we should take our time and make sure we get this issue right, make sure we get the work done properly, and I urge all the members to support this motion to table.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Those in favor of the motion to table SB 1174 with all amendments will vote "aye"; those opposed will vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-87**

Barbin	Dean	Kirkland	Preston
Bishop	Deasy	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kotik	Readshaw
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kula	Roebuck
Bradford	Dermody	Longietti	Sabatina
Brennan	Donatucci	Mahoney	Sainato
Briggs	Fabrizio	Mann	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Frankel	Markosek	Santarsiero
Brownlee	Freeman	Matzie	Santoni
Burns	Galloway	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Buxton	George	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Gergely	Mullery	Smith, M.
Carroll	Gibbons	Mundy	Staback
Cohen	Goodman	Murphy	Sturla
Conklin	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, D.	Harhai	Neilson	Vitali
Costa, P.	Harkins	Neuman	Waters
Cruz	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Curry	James	Parker	White
Daley	Josephs	Pashinski	Williams
Davidson	Kavulich	Payton	Youngblood
Davis	Keller, W.	Petrarca	

**NAYS-109**

Adolph	Evans, J.	Krieger	Rapp
Aument	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Baker	Farry	Mackenzie	Reese
Barrar	Gabler	Maher	Roae
Bear	Geist	Major	Rock
Benninghoff	Gerber	Maloney	Ross
Bloom	Gillen	Marshall	Saccone
Boback	Gillespie	Marsico	Saylor
Boyd	Gingrich	Masser	Scavello
Brooks	Godshall	Metcalf	Simmons
Brown, R.	Grell	Metzgar	Sonney
Causer	Grove	Miccarelli	Stephens
Christiana	Hackett	Millard	Stern
Clymer	Hahn	Miller	Stevenson
Cox	Harhart	Milne	Swanger
Creighton	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Culver	Harris	Murt	Taylor
Cutler	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Day	Helm	O'Neill	Toepel
DeLissio	Hess	Oberlander	Toohil
Delozier	Hickernell	Payne	Truitt
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Peifer	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Perry	Vereb
Dunbar	Kauffman	Petri	Vulakovich
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pickett	
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Smith, S., Speaker
Evankovich	Killion	Quigley	
Evans, D.	Knowles	Quinn	

**NOT VOTING-0**

**EXCUSED-5**

Fleck	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for Marguerite QUINN from Bucks County. Without objection, the leave of absence will so be granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion to table having failed, we will return to amendment A10472 proffered by the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-81**

Barbin	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLuca	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, B.	DePasquale	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Dermody	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Donatucci	Kula	Roebuck
Brennan	Fabrizio	Longietti	Sabatina

Briggs	Frankel	Mahoney	Sainato
Burns	Freeman	Mann	Samuelson
Buxton	Galloway	Markosek	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	George	Matzie	Santoni
Carroll	Gerber	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Cohen	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Conklin	Gibbons	Mullery	Smith, M.
Costa, D.	Goodman	Mundy	Staback
Costa, P.	Haluska	Murphy	Sturla
Cruz	Harhai	Murt	Vitali
Curry	Harkins	Neilson	Wheatley
Daley	Heffley	Neuman	White
Davidson	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Williams
Davis	Kavulich	Pashinski	Youngblood
Dean			

NAYS—114

Adolph	Evans, D.	Krieger	Rapp
Aument	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Reed
Baker	Everett	Mackenzie	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bear	Gabler	Major	Rock
Benninghoff	Geist	Maloney	Ross
Bloom	Gillen	Marshall	Saccone
Boback	Gillespie	Marsico	Saylor
Boyd	Gingrich	Masser	Scavello
Brooks	Godshall	Metcalfe	Simmons
Brown, R.	Grell	Metzgar	Sonney
Brown, V.	Grove	Miccarelli	Stephens
Brownlee	Hackett	Millard	Stern
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stevenson
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Swanger
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Harris	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Helm	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Hess	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Hutchinson	Parker	Toohil
DeLissio	James	Payne	Truitt
Delozier	Josephs	Payton	Turzai
Denlinger	Kampf	Peifer	Verab
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Perry	Vulakovich
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Petri	Waters
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Smith, S., Speaker
Emrick	Killion	Pyle	
Evankovich	Knowles	Quigley	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Fleck	Hennessey	Quinn	Watson
Hanna	Micozzie		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. SB 1174 is temporarily over while we sort over the amendments.

RESOLUTIONS

Mr. METZGAR called up **HR 772, PN 3733**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the United States Secretary of Transportation to issue a TIGER grant for the construction of the Rockwood Station project and urging the Pennsylvania Congressional Delegation to support the project.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—140

Adolph	Ellis	Longiatti	Roebuck
Baker	Evankovich	Maher	Ross
Barbin	Evans, D.	Mahoney	Saccone
Barrar	Fabrizio	Mann	Sainato
Bishop	Farry	Markosek	Samuelson
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Marshall	Santarsiero
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Matzie	Santoni
Bradford	Gabler	McGeehan	Saylor
Brennan	Galloway	Metzgar	Scavello
Brown, V.	Geist	Miccarelli	Schmotzer
Brownlee	George	Millard	Smith, K.
Burns	Gerber	Milne	Smith, M.
Buxton	Gergely	Mirabito	Sonney
Caltagirone	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Christiana	Goodman	Mundy	Stephens
Clymer	Grove	Murphy	Stern
Cohen	Hackett	Murt	Stevenson
Conklin	Haluska	Mustio	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Myers	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Neilson	Taylor
Cruz	Harkins	Neuman	Thomas
Culver	Harper	O'Brien, M.	Tobash
Curry	Harris	Oberlander	Toohil
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hornaman	Pashinski	Verab
Davis	James	Payton	Vitali
Day	Josephs	Petrarca	Vulakovich
Dean	Kampf	Pickett	Waters
Deasy	Kavulich	Preston	Wheatley
DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pyle	White
DeLuca	Killion	Quigley	Williams
DePasquale	Kirkland	Rapp	Youngblood
Dermody	Kortz	Ravenstahl	
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Readshaw	Smith, S., Speaker
Donatucci	Krieger	Reese	
Dunbar	Kula		

NAYS—55

Aument	Delozier	Hutchinson	O'Neill
Bear	Denlinger	Kauffman	Payne
Benninghoff	Emrick	Keller, F.	Peifer
Bloom	Evans, J.	Keller, M.K.	Perry
Boback	Everett	Knowles	Petri
Boyd	Gillen	Lawrence	Reed
Briggs	Gillespie	Mackenzie	Roae
Brooks	Gingrich	Major	Rock
Brown, R.	Godshall	Maloney	Sabatina
Carroll	Grell	Marsico	Simmons
Causar	Hahn	Masser	Tallman
Cox	Heffley	Metcalfe	Toepel
Creighton	Helm	Miller	Truitt
Cutler	Hickernell	Moul	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Fleck	Hennessey	Quinn	Watson
Hanna	Micozzie		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

\* \* \*

Mr. EVANKOVICH called up **HR 779, PN 3752**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the United States Secretary of Transportation to issue a Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) grant to fund the construction of the New Kensington Smart Growth and Sustainable Corridor Multimodal Facility.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—135

Baker	Evankovich	Kula	Reed
Barbin	Evans, D.	Longietti	Reese
Bishop	Fabrizio	Maher	Roebuck
Boyle, B.	Farry	Mahoney	Ross
Boyle, K.	Frankel	Mann	Sabatina
Bradford	Freeman	Markosek	Saccone
Brennan	Gabler	Marshall	Sainato
Brooks	Galloway	Matzie	Samuelson
Brown, V.	Geist	McGeehan	Santarsiero
Brownlee	George	Metzgar	Santoni
Burns	Gerber	Miccarelli	Saylor
Buxton	Gergely	Millard	Scavello
Caltagirone	Gibbons	Milne	Schmotzer
Christiana	Goodman	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Clymer	Grove	Mullery	Smith, M.
Cohen	Hackett	Mundy	Sonney
Conklin	Haluska	Murphy	Staback
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murt	Stephens
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mustio	Stern
Cruz	Harkins	Myers	Stevenson
Culver	Harper	Neilson	Sturla
Curry	Harris	Neuman	Taylor
Daley	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Thomas
Davidson	Hornaman	Oberlander	Turzai
Davis	James	Parker	Vereb
Day	Josephs	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Dean	Kampf	Payton	Waters
Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston	White
DeLuca	Killion	Pyle	Williams
Dermody	Kirkland	Quigley	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Kortz	Rapp	
Donatucci	Kotik	Ravenstahl	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Krieger	Readshaw	Speaker
Ellis			

NAYS—60

Adolph	Delozier	Hutchinson	Payne
Aument	Denlinger	Kauffman	Peifer
Barrar	DePasquale	Keller, F.	Perry
Bear	Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Petri
Benninghoff	Evans, J.	Knowles	Pickett
Bloom	Everett	Lawrence	Roae
Boback	Gillen	Mackenzie	Rock

Boyd	Gillespie	Major	Simmons
Briggs	Gingrich	Maloney	Swanger
Brown, R.	Godshall	Marsico	Tallman
Carroll	Grell	Masser	Tobash
Causar	Hahn	Metcalfe	Toepel
Cox	Heffley	Miller	Toohil
Creighton	Helm	Moul	Truitt
Cutler	Hickernell	O'Neill	Vitali

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Fleck	Hennessey	Quinn	Watson
Hanna	Micozzie		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. McGeehan, are you ready to proceed with your amendments?

Mr. McGeehan, which amendment would you like to have us call up?

Mr. MCGEEHAN. Mr. Speaker, I have withdrawn the remainder of the amendments save one, and I am calling up A10640.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, withdraws all his amendments except for the amendment A10640, called up by the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, which will be read by the clerk.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. MCGEEHAN offered the following amendment No. **A10640**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by inserting after "AUTHORITY" and the remainder of whom must be residents and homeowners in a city of the first class prior to the appointment

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, is recognized.

Mr. MCGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And, Mr. Speaker, this goes to the heart of the very intent of this bill. It deals with the constitution of the board, returning it to local control, as we heard the chairman talk about. And while I had grave misgivings about that, one, because there are, from all indications, at least three active Federal investigations being currently conducted, looking at the affairs of the past director, about an independent contractor, and about other nefarious dealings that have happened in the recent past in the Philadelphia Housing Authority, the underlying bill proposes that we have a nine-member board appointed by the mayor with the approval of city council.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I have to be honest: I am weary, as a lifelong Philadelphian, of having these supposed experts blow into town, the 90-day wonders, the people with more degrees than a thermometer, who think they know more, who think they know more about policy and life in Philadelphia than we do. And, Mr. Speaker, I am tired of people making decisions for me and my neighbors without any skin in the game. And when I talk about skin in the game, I mean living with the consequences of their actions, and you do not have to look very far to see who I am talking about.

We had a disastrous tenure of the school administration, the superintendent, Arlene Ackerman, who bounced around the country from DC to San Francisco and then to Philadelphia, and the infectious, the infectious dunnery of this superintendent really set the standard for what a superintendent should not be, and she made policies for the children and the citizens of Philadelphia that she did not have to live with. We had a just recently departed, just last Friday, the acting director of HUD – did not live in Philadelphia, did not own a property in Philadelphia, did not have to live with the consequences of policies he was making on behalf of people who did live in Philadelphia, who did have to live with the consequences. And another of the most famous blow-ins in Philadelphia was a former managing director, Camille Barnett – looked great on paper; one of the most ineffective managing directors in Philadelphia history. She came here, spent 2 years, and through a glitch in the law was able to leave Philadelphia with a \$50,000-a-year pension for life, after having spent 2 years in Philadelphia.

What this amendment attempts to do would be required to stop that nonsense, to have the people who are making decisions in the housing authority live with the consequences of their decisions. It would require – Mr. Speaker, the amendment – it would require those who are appointed to the board to not only be residents of Philadelphia but to be homeowners in Philadelphia. Housing policies in Philadelphia by their very nature affect homeowners. I want every future appointee to have skin in the game.

I know we heard a lot about the change in policies today and that we did not need laws to do that; we had internal policies and we had a new way of doing business there. (Words stricken.) We need the compulsion of law, the compulsion of law to bring skin into the game for these future board members.

And, Mr. Speaker, in the interests of the citizens of Philadelphia, I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentledady, Ms. HARPER, from Montgomery County. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I certainly sympathize with the disappointment that has been expressed by the maker of this amendment with some of the people that have been appointed to various different posts in Philadelphia and have performed badly, but it is really a problem, at the end of the day, of having good people hired and giving them the opportunity to do the right thing and supervising them correctly through boards. We cannot legislate good behavior.

And it is true in Philadelphia that the members of the board will have to be residents of Philadelphia, but I do not see why someone who has lived in a family home, that they did not own, for 40 or 50 years should be ruled out, and I do not see why someone who has rented property in Philadelphia for generations should be ruled out, but unfortunately, this language would prevent them from serving.

So while I understand and sympathize with the feelings of the maker of the amendment, it is in fact I think a bad idea that we should not approve, and I urge a negative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—92**

Barbin	DeLuca	Kirkland	Petrarca
Bishop	DePasquale	Kortz	Preston
Boyle, B.	Dermody	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Donatucci	Kula	Readshaw
Bradford	Evans, D.	Longietti	Roebuck
Brennan	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Sabatina
Briggs	Frankel	Mann	Sainato
Brown, V.	Freeman	Markosek	Samuelson
Brownlee	Galloway	Matzie	Santarsiero
Burns	George	McGeehan	Santoni
Buxton	Gerber	Mirabito	Schmotzer
Caltagirone	Gergely	Mullery	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gibbons	Mundy	Smith, M.
Cohen	Godshall	Murphy	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Murt	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Taylor
Costa, P.	Harhai	Neilson	Thomas
Cruz	Harkins	Neuman	Toepel
Daley	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Vitali
Davidson	James	O'Neill	Wheatley
Davis	Josephs	Parker	White
Dean	Kavulich	Pashinski	Williams
Deasy	Keller, W.	Payton	Youngblood

**NAYS—102**

Adolph	Emrick	Knowles	Rapp
Aument	Evankovich	Krieger	Reed
Baker	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Bear	Farry	Maher	Rock
Benninghoff	Gabler	Major	Ross
Bloom	Geist	Maloney	Saccone
Boback	Gillen	Marshall	Saylor
Boyd	Gillespie	Marsico	Scavello
Brooks	Gingrich	Masser	Simmons
Brown, R.	Grell	Metcalfe	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Metzgar	Stephens

Christiana	Hackett	Miccarelli	Stern
Clymer	Hahn	Millard	Stevenson
Cox	Harhart	Miller	Swanger
Creighton	Harris	Milne	Tallman
Culver	Heffley	Moul	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Mustio	Toohil
Cutler	Hess	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	Hickernell	Payne	Turzai
DeLissio	Hutchinson	Peifer	Verab
Delozier	Kampf	Perry	Vulakovich
Denlinger	Kauffman	Petri	Waters
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Pickett	
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Ellis	Killion	Quigley	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Fleck	Harper	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna	Hennessey	Quinn	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **CRUZ** offered the following amendment No. **A10783**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 26, by inserting after "HOTLINE,"  
for subsidized housing database, for sale of vacant  
properties,

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

Section 10.3. Subsidized Housing Database.—An authority of a city of the first class shall establish and maintain a publicly accessible database of all properties that meet the criteria of section 8 of the United States Housing Act (50 Stat. 888, 42 U.S.C. § 1437f), which shall include the address, occupancy status, the date the property was last inhabited and any other information determined to be necessary by the authority.

Section 10.4. Sale of Vacant Properties.—An authority of a city of the first class shall develop programs, in consultation with the Department of Housing and Urban Development, that provide for the sale of properties that have been vacant for a period of not less than one year.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Cruz, is so recognized.

Mr. CRUZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is just so we can create a database so we can obtain the information and know how many properties are vacant and which need to be inhabitable. So that is what the amendment does, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe it also requires PHA to sell properties that have been vacant for at least a year, if I am not mistaken, and the problem with the database is one that I mentioned before. There is a database being created and that is maintained already, so we have that. We have to be careful about releasing information off

of that database for the reasons I mentioned earlier about Federal privacy for those that are in the Section 8 program.

I am advised by PHA that they are trying and are intending to continue to work on dealing with vacant properties but that they have a very large number of them, and selling them all would be bad policy, because some of them can be renovated and made useful for clients of the program.

And so although, again, I recognize the concerns that are being expressed, I do ask for a negative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cruz.

Mr. CRUZ. May I interrogate the maker of the bill, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is requesting interrogation. Will the gentleman, Mr. Ross, agree to interrogation? He has agreed, and you may proceed, Mr. Cruz.

Mr. CRUZ. I am just trying to figure out if maybe he can indulge me and let me know, what process does he use to find this database? Because in Philadelphia, we have tried, and many of us have PHA housing in our districts and many of them have come to complain, and we have tried to get a database, get the information of whom the property belonged to, because the answer from PHA is, once they are in there, it is not their problem. So where do we get this database? And that is why we are trying to create a database now.

Mr. ROSS. The database is maintained by the Philadelphia Housing Authority, but as I mentioned earlier, there is a problem with giving information out about properties that are currently occupied by Section 8 tenants, because there are Federal laws protecting the privacy of the Section 8 tenant so that they are not discriminated against.

So I think probably the correct answer here to the issue is really further discussion with the housing authority to determine how to reassure us that these properties are being properly maintained and that we do a better job, they do a better job of supervising the landlords.

Mr. CRUZ. Well, Mr. Speaker, and I do not know and I do not mean this disrespectfully, I do not know if you visited the city of Philadelphia or if you want to ever take a tour in my district to see these houses, and who do we call to complain? Who do we call to correct this? If PHA is responsible for these properties, we are having homeowners, taxpayers, that are moving out because of the condition of one property that is owned by PHA.

Mr. ROSS. Mr. Speaker, I have had a chance to tour some of the sites in Philadelphia. Some of the properties are in fact managed by PHA, but I also know that many are managed by other agencies or in fact are simply vacant. So it is very difficult, and I can sympathize with the member trying to deal with these kinds of rundown vacant properties, because it is difficult to determine who in fact owns and manages them.

But the better review of this situation is something that is part of the program that PHA is working to improve, and unfortunately, this particular language runs the risk of being in conflict with Federal law, which is the reason why I have to oppose it. But I am certainly happy to work with the member and other members to try and improve the quality of maintenance for PHA housing, and also in other ways to deal with the vacant housing issue in Philadelphia for those properties that are not PHA managed.

Mr. CRUZ. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Cruz, you have concluded your interrogation?

Mr. CRUZ. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. And would like to speak?

Mr. CRUZ. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. CRUZ. I just want to take the opportunity to have members on both sides of the aisle support this amendment. It is just creating a database to protect homeowners and taxpayers.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you very much.

The Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Youngblood.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a serious question to ask.

Mr. Speaker, before Michael Kelly left—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlelady seeking a period of interrogation?

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For Mr. Ross—

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Yes, from Mr. Ross.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. —on Mr. Cruz's amendment?

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Yes. I am sorry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Ross has agreed, and you may proceed.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Mr. Speaker, did you have the opportunity to talk to Michael Kelly about the situation with PHA before he left since it was in HUD's receivership? It is my understanding, and at one point in time I worked at PHA. PHA has a vast housing stock. Even if the housing stock is unoccupied, HUD still pays them for every unit. So therefore, to PHA, it makes more sense to have an unoccupied, because if you are going to pay me a thousand dollars a month, why move somebody in there? I am still going to get the money whether the person is living there or not living there. If they take the—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the lady please suspend.

We are trying to ascertain what precisely the question is of Mr. Ross.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. I want to know—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The amendment is actually proffered by the gentleman, Mr. Cruz.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Okay. I just want to know where he got his information from.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Ross, may proceed.

Mr. ROSS. I am going to try and answer that question, because it is a little complex. But basically the original question is, had we heard from Mr. Kelly before he left? And the answer to that question is yes. He testified before the committee, and he did talk about some of the burdens and challenges that the authority was facing. And I am well aware of the vacant property situation, not just with PHA housing but with Philadelphia generally, tied into also the tax delinquency problems that are present in Philadelphia, and really, this is a complicated matter which needs to be resolved.

But I think there is a serious interest, certainly on HUD's part, to try and address the vacant properties. We want to make sure that they are not just simply sold, because there are some, obviously, that could be used for good residential housing, perhaps, fixed up a little bit. So a one-size-fits-all such as being suggested in this amendment I think is a mistake, but I do agree

that we need to pursue the issue that you and your colleague have raised, to work on reducing the vacant housing in Philadelphia and, more appropriate, demolishing the housing that is to a point where that is the best answer. And that is certainly something that our committee has discussed in great length through land bank legislation and many other tools. But we are obviously dealing specifically with the Cruz amendment here, which, I think unfortunately, is a little bit too inflexible for the circumstance.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. I understand that, but PHA has to get permission from HUD before they can remove any housing from their inventory and HUD has to approve the removal of housing, and the largest person that has vacant property in the city of Philadelphia is Philadelphia Housing Authority. And Philadelphia Housing Authority should amass a list, send it to HUD, and say, we want to remove these properties from our inventory and stop being paid for them.

Mr. ROSS. If that was a question, my answer is yes; that is the understanding I have.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman please suspend.

I do not believe there was a question raised. The Chair thanks the gentlelady and thanks the gentleman.

The question recurs, will the House agree to the amendment? Mr. Thomas, are you seeking— No.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. On the amendment.

I have listened to the argument from the chairman as well as the maker of the amendment. The argument comes down to this: The taxpayers have a right to require not only of landlords but also the Philadelphia Housing Authority that if they are not going to fix up a property, at some point they should sell it. The amendment says the housing authority has a year to make these decisions. It is not inflexible. You are either going to do it or you are not, and if you are not going to do it after a year, are you really going to do it after 2 years? Are you really going to do it after 3 years?

The question is, we can either pay lip service to this or we can say we want to have an amendment that would actually change the fact that in Philadelphia and other housing authorities throughout the State, we do not want to have the housing authority holding on to stock that is vacant if they do not have any intention to fix it up. His amendment says you have got a year to make the decision. If you are not going to do it, then sell it.

I support the amendment because if we do not do this, we are just going to continue to allow the housing authorities to hold on to the stock and keep the money coming in from the Federal government to pay their administrative costs.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this particular amendment has two parts to it. One part is the publicly accessible database, and then it talks about sale of vacant properties. I believe that, well, from what I am looking at, there is going to be another amendment that is going to deal with the sale of vacant properties which will fall right under the rationale that had been offered by my colleague.

And I have said to the maker of this amendment that this is on our plate with our meeting with Secretary Richman, because there has got to be some way for you and me and everyday

people to find out who is doing business. We need to know who these landlords are; we need to know who is in the choice program. Those are legitimate questions, and they are questions that we plan to raise with the Secretary.

And as I have said to my colleague, it is probably too late to withdraw, but this is an issue that is on the table, and we are going to try to deal with it, but we do not need to do it legislatively.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—78

Barbin	Deasy	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kula	Roebuck
Brennan	Donatucci	Longietti	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Sainato
Burns	Frankel	Mann	Samuelson
Buxton	Freeman	Markosek	Santarsiero
Caltagirone	Galloway	Matzie	Santoni
Carroll	George	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Cohen	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Conklin	Gibbons	Mullery	Smith, M.
Costa, D.	Goodman	Mundy	Staback
Costa, P.	Haluska	Murphy	Sturla
Cruz	Harhai	Neilson	Taylor
Curry	Harkins	Neuman	Waters
Daley	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Davidson	Josephs	Pashinski	White
Davis	Kavulich	Petrarca	Youngblood
Dean	Keller, W.		

NAYS—116

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lawrence	Rapp
Aument	Evans, J.	Mackenzie	Reed
Baker	Everett	Maher	Reese
Barrar	Farry	Major	Roae
Bear	Gabler	Maloney	Rock
Benninghoff	Geist	Marshall	Ross
Bishop	Gerber	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gillen	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Brooks	Godshall	Miccarelli	Sonney
Brown, R.	Grell	Millard	Stephens
Brown, V.	Grove	Miller	Stern
Brownlee	Hackett	Milne	Stevenson
Causar	Hahn	Moul	Swanger
Christiana	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Clymer	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Cox	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Creighton	Helm	O'Neill	Toepel
Culver	Hess	Oberlander	Toohil
Cutler	Hickernell	Parker	Truitt
Day	Hutchinson	Payne	Turzai
DeLissio	James	Payton	Vereb
Delozier	Kampf	Peyton	Vitali
Denlinger	Kauffman	Perry	Vulakovich
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petri	Williams
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	
Ellis	Killion	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Emrick	Knowles	Quigley	Speaker
Evankovich	Krieger		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Fleck	Harper	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna	Hennessey	Quinn	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Cruz, will you be offering your other two amendments?

The gentleman withdraws his amendments. The Chair thanks him.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment  
No. A11197:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 26, by striking out "CITIES" and inserting

a city

Amend Bill, page 2, line 28, by inserting after "MEMBERS."

all of whom shall be residents of the city of the first class and

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, is recognized.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is really just a technical amendment. In current law, there are some requirements that are needed, laid out for board membership, and inadvertently in drafting SB 1174, there was a certain provision that was removed, and we want to just put that back in existing law.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am not an attorney so I am going to just say what I have been given here, that in fact it is in code already under, I am given the reference 35 P.S. 1545 as the location where it is required that the PHA board members shall be residents of the city. So therefore, since it is already in code, I would urge a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question recurs, will the House agree to the amendment?  
Mr. Thomas is seeking recognition. He is so recognized.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the majority leader of Urban Affairs?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, seeks interrogation of Mr. Ross on his amendment.

The gentleman has agreed.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that under the public Housing Authorities Law, residency requirement has been a part of the law for years. It was inadvertently removed when we amended, when it was put into 1174. I do not believe that it was the intent to remove it from the public Housing Authorities Law. So to that end, and if you think that it is still there and has not been interrupted by 1174 or the Representative Tobash amendment to SB 1174, if you can show me where that has not been disrupted, then I will withdraw my amendment. But it is my understanding that it was removed and we are putting it back now.

Mr. ROSS. Mr. Speaker, my ability to respond to your questions is very limited, and I am going to only be forced to repeat exactly what I was given earlier because I am not an attorney, but the reference that I was given was 35 P.S. 1545, and that is all I have. That is all I can say. Since I am not an attorney, I cannot give you any more comfort than that, unfortunately.

Mr. THOMAS. Well, Mr. Speaker, I am an attorney, but I am not practicing law. I am just kind of reading what is there. If I look at SB 1174 and the amended version of 1174 by Representative Tobash, this reference is not in there. Yes, if I go to Purdon's and I read the statute, it is in the statute, but then it got removed under SB 1174. And it is not your fault or my fault; we just want to make it right and make sure that it is right when it is done.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Has the gentleman completed his interrogation?

The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—90

Barbin	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLissio	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kula	Roebuck
Brennan	Donatucci	Longietti	Sabatina
Briggs	Evans, D.	Mahoney	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Mann	Samuelson
Brownlee	Frankel	Markosek	Santarsiero
Burns	Freeman	Matzie	Santoni
Buxton	Galloway	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Caltagirone	George	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gerber	Mullery	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gergely	Mundy	Staback
Conklin	Gibbons	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Goodman	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Haluska	Neilson	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	Neuman	Waters
Curry	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Parker	White
Davidson	James	Pashinski	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Payton	Youngblood
Dean	Kavulich		

NAYS—104

Adolph	Everett	Mackenzie	Reed
Aument	Farry	Maher	Reese
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Simmons
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Sonney
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Stephens
Causer	Hahn	Miller	Stern
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stevenson
Clymer	Harris	Moul	Swanger
Cox	Heffley	Murt	Tallman
Creighton	Helm	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Hess	O'Neill	Tobash
Cutler	Hickernell	Oberlander	Toepel
Day	Hutchinson	Payne	Toohil
Delozier	Kampf	Peifer	Truitt
Denlinger	Kauffman	Perry	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Petri	Vereb
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Vulakovich
Ellis	Killion	Pyle	
Erick	Knowles	Quigley	Smith, S.,
Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp	Speaker
Evans, J.	Lawrence		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Fleck	Harper	Micozzie	Watson
Hanna	Hennessey	Quinn	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. BROWNLEE offered the following amendment  
No. A12120:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 15 and 16  
(d) (1) Notwithstanding subsections (b) and (c)(3) or any other provision of law, the mayor of a city of the first class shall have no authority to make an appointment to or fill a vacancy on the Authority during a recess of council, if such appointment or vacancy was required to be made or filled, as the case may be, with the approval of council in accordance with subsection (b).

(2) A nomination to appoint a member to the Authority or fill a vacancy on the Authority shall be submitted to council not later than thirty (30) days after the beginning of the next session of the council.

(3) If a nomination to appoint a member to the Authority or to fill a vacancy on the Authority was made and submitted to council while council was in session, the sixty (60) day period under subsection (c)(3) or any days remaining in such sixty (60) day period shall begin or resume on the first day council returns to session.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the lady, Ms. Brownlee, is recognized.

Ms. BROWNLEE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A12120 is a simple amendment. It would prohibit the mayor from making recess appointments to the Philadelphia Housing Authority. SB 1174 would give the mayor the authority to appoint nine members to the board with

the approval of council. Mr. Speaker, there may be some merit to centralizing the appointments to the housing authority in the office of the mayor, but as some of you know, and we have heard today, the housing authority has been muddled with controversy for some time now. That is why I believe that no one individual should be appointed without being vetted and approved by city council.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, my amendment would simply say that the mayor shall have no authority to make an appointment or to fill a vacancy on the housing authority during the recess of city council. Mr. Speaker, I believe this is an important amendment. The lapse in moral and professional judgment by some people who have overseen the Philadelphia Housing Authority in the past has been extreme and greatly underscores the need to have all appointees vetted by city council at all times. In the interest of transparency and accountability, Mr. Speaker, I urge support of amendment 12120.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I know there was some concern and anxiety about the summer break for city council. And although they have the authority to come in in special session during the summer to consider appointments, it is my understanding that they would prefer not to and that they would prefer to stay in recess during the summer. So therefore, that raised some concerns on some peoples' part that there might be, you know, a problem with forcing them to come back from their summer break. But I can actually reassure people a little bit on that point, not that I think it is wrong to work during the summertime, that in fact this bill is effective after 60 days. At the most speedy that we can process and get it approved and signed by the Governor, it will be the beginning of July, which means that the timeframe will not kick in until September. And really, what we are doing the first time around is trying to balance the authority of the mayor with the responsibilities of city council. We have allowed city council to approve the mayor's appointments. I believe they should, I believe they should thoroughly vet those appointments and turn down those that they think are inappropriate, and they can indeed turn four of them down.

The only time the mayor would actually appoint anybody in a recess-type appointment – as it is not really a recess appointment; it is an appointment to create a quorum – and the only time that would happen would be if city council took no action for 60 days or chose to turn down so many of the mayor's appointees that there was no working quorum and the board would be effectively unable to act. We make this as a first-time-only provision; it expires after we get the board established. And I do believe that the city council has the opportunity to start working on this as soon as possible. I definitely believe that the language we have here is extremely confusing, because we are not sure exactly when session is and there is no definition of when they are in session or when a recess gets constituted. Does that mean overnight? Does that mean over the weekend? Does that mean for a week? Does it mean for a day or two?

So it is unclear and confusing. We have a simple, understandable way for city council to review these appointments and exercise their judgment. I think we ought to rely on the underlying language and not adopt this amendment because of the reasons I have outlined.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I came here on Monday with the understanding that we were going to get all our business done and get out of here and have a budget in place and all of that, and I was excited about that. But after I got here on Monday, I realized that there are issues that people have to work through, and that even though I might want to get out of here on June 13, it might not be till July 13.

I wanted to hear some kind of response—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, all that the maker of the amendment is asking is that the will of the majority leader of Urban Affairs, along with Representative Tobash, frame an arrangement that allows for the mayor and the legislative body in Philadelphia County to come together around how does this board work and how is this board comprised. That is what the Representative, the speaker, just said. He reiterated it. Representative Tobash. I know that Senator Yaw, the mayor went to Senator Yaw, and everybody wants a situation that allows both the executive and legislative branches of government in Philadelphia County to work together. And all Representative Brownlee is doing, is saying, is do not thwart it. Do not put unnecessary barriers in the way. Do not make it easier for them not to work together rather than creating an environment where they have to work together.

And so all the Brownlee amendment does is to remove any and all barriers that would get in the way of making sure that the executive and legislative branches of government work together. Vote "yes" on the Brownlee amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody, for a leave of absence for the gentlelady, Miss MANN, from Lehigh. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Ms. Brownlee, are you seeking recognition?

You are in order and may proceed.

Ms. BROWNLEE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I respect my chairman, my majority chairman from Chester, but I looked at the bill and there is nowhere in this bill that stipulates that this is going to be a first-time issue, Mr. Speaker. So this bill needs to be tightened. I believe this amendment will do just that. Please tighten this bill to have us have an open process and some transparency in Philadelphia just like we value here in Harrisburg.

So I urge a "yes" vote for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—89

Barbin	Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DePasquale	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Dermoddy	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Donatucci	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	Evans, D.	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Saccone
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Sainato
Brownlee	Freeman	Markosek	Samuelson
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santarsiero
Buxton	George	McGeehan	Santoni
Caltagirone	Gerber	Mirabito	Schmotzer
Carroll	Gergely	Mullery	Smith, K.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mundy	Smith, M.
Conklin	Gillen	Murphy	Staback
Costa, D.	Goodman	Myers	Sturla
Costa, P.	Haluska	Neilson	Thomas
Curry	Harhai	Neuman	Vitali
Daley	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Davidson	Hornaman	Parker	Wheatley
Davis	James	Pashinski	White
Day	Josephs	Payton	Williams
Dean			

NAYS—104

Adolph	Evans, J.	Mackenzie	Reed
Aument	Everett	Maher	Reese
Baker	Farry	Major	Roae
Barrar	Gabler	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Geist	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saylor
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Scavello
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Simmons
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Sonney
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Stephens
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Stern
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stevenson
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Swanger
Clymer	Harris	Moul	Tallman
Cox	Heffley	Murt	Taylor
Creighton	Helm	Mustio	Tobash
Cruz	Hess	O'Neill	Toepel
Culver	Hickernell	Oberlander	Toohil
Cutler	Hutchinson	Payne	Truitt
DeLissio	Kampf	Peifer	Turzai
Delozier	Kauffman	Perry	Vereb
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petri	Vulakovich
DiGiroloam	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Youngblood
Dunbar	Killion	Pyle	
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	Smith, S., Speaker
Emrick	Krieger	Rapp	
Evanovich	Lawrence		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—8

Fleck	Harper	Mann	Quinn
Hanna	Hennessey	Micozzie	Watson

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A12135:

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 14 and 15 Section 2.1. Section 8 of the act, amended May 20, 1949 (P.L.1614, No.486), is amended to read:

Section 8. Interested Members or Employees.—(1) No member [or], employe or person appointed to any decision-making body of an Authority shall acquire any interest, direct or indirect, in any housing project or in any property included or planned to be included in any project, nor shall he have any interest, direct or indirect, in any contract or proposed contract for materials or services to be furnished or used in connection with any housing project. If any member [or], employe or person appointed to any decision-making body of an Authority owns or controls an interest, direct or indirect, in any property included or planned to be included in any housing project of the Authority, or has any such interest in any contract for material or services to be furnished or used in connection with any housing project, he shall immediately disclose the same in writing to the Authority, and such disclosure shall be entered in writing upon the minute books of the Authority. Failure so to disclose such interest shall constitute misconduct in office. Upon such disclosure, such member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority shall not participate in any action by the Authority affecting such property. Any such undisclosed profit, which results to any member or employe of an Authority or person appointed to any decision-making body of the Authority, shall render such member [or], employe or person appointed to any decision-making body of the Authority liable to surcharge in favor of the Authority to the full amount of such profit. Such profit need not be realized in order to fall within the meaning of this section. Proceedings to surcharge any member [or], employe or person appointed to any decision-making body of the Authority may be instituted by an Authority or by the State Planning Board on its behalf with or without its consent.

(2) No member of the Authority, employe of the Authority or any person appointed to any decision-making body of the Authority shall engage in conduct or activities that would:

(i) be, or appear to be, in conflict with the member's, employe's or appointee's official duties to the Authority or any decision-making body of the Authority that is served by or subject to the Authority;

(ii) secure, or appear to secure, unwarranted privileges or advantages for such member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority or others; or

(iii) prejudice, or appear to prejudice, such member's, employe's or appointee's to any decision-making body of the Authority independence of judgment in exercise of his or her official duties relating to the Authority.

(3) No member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority shall act in an official capacity in any manner in which such member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority has a direct or indirect financial or personal involvement.

(4) No member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority shall use his or her public office or employment to secure financial gain to such member, employe or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority.

(5) No provision of this section shall preclude a tenant of the Authority from serving as a member or employe of the Authority or as an appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority. No provision of this section shall preclude a tenant of the Authority who is serving as a member, employe, or appointee to any decision-making body of the Authority from voting on any issue or decision, or participating in any action by the Authority, unless a conflict of interest, as set forth in paragraphs (2), (3) and (4), exists as to that particular tenant and the particular property or interest at issue before, or subject to action by the Authority.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Williams, is recognized.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, amendment A12135 deals with members and employees of housing authorities. My amendment will make individuals appointed to any decisionmaking body of a housing authority subject to the same restrictions and prohibitions that govern members and employees of housing authorities. These people would include anyone appointed to work with or represent a housing authority.

The intent of A12135 is clear: to prohibit people appointed to and working for a housing authority from engaging in conduct or activities that conflict or appear to conflict with other official duties or employment. Most of us have heard the allegations of operational and financial mismanagement involving many of the nation's 3200 housing authorities, including the Philadelphia Housing Authority.

Mr. Speaker, under my amendment, members and employees of housing authorities and any individual appointed to a decisionmaking body of a housing authority could not engage in conduct that would include: conflict or appear to be conflict with their official duties, secure or appear to secure any unwarranted privilege or advantage, prejudice or appear to prejudice their independence of judgment in the exercise of their official duties, or use their public office or employment for financial gain.

Mr. Speaker, I thank you, and I urge support for A12135.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, I sympathize with those that want to see a higher standard of ethics at the Philadelphia Housing Authority, and I respect our new member's wishes in this area and concerns. However, I would point out two things, one a more technical issue. He frequently uses in the course of this amendment the term "person appointed to any decisionmaking body." It is my understanding there is no definition of what a decisionmaking body of an authority would actually be, so that opens up a level of confusion in the law if we adopt it, and that term should either be removed or defined.

Secondly, I would point out that we do in fact have the very ethics standards that are being suggested here already applying to the housing authority. The housing authority is covered by existing State ethics law, the same ethics law that all of us have to abide by. In addition to that, HUD has promulgated a new code of conduct for the housing authority. So once again, putting a third different version of conduct into this is only going to confuse matters, particularly since we now are potentially introducing an undefined term.

So I reluctantly ask for a negative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. METCALFE, from Butler County. Without objection, the leave of absence will be so granted.

**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1174 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, in answer to my colleague, I would say that if it is already covered, what is the matter with adding this statute to it? Common sense would tell me that if we are talking about an authority-making entity, that is what a board is.

So I still urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—86**

Barbin	Deasy	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLuca	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, B.	DePasquale	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Dermody	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Donatucci	Kula	Roebuck
Brennan	Evans, D.	Longietti	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Markosek	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Matzie	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	McGeehan	Santoni
Buxton	George	Mirabito	Schmotzner
Caltagirone	Gerber	Mullery	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mundy	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Murphy	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Myers	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Neilson	Thomas
Costa, P.	Harhai	Neuman	Vitali
Curry	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Daley	Hornaman	Parker	Wheatley
Davidson	James	Pashinski	White
Davis	Josephs	Payton	Williams
Dean	Kavulich		

**NAYS—106**

Adolph	Evankovich	Krieger	Reed
Aument	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Reese
Baker	Everett	Mackenzie	Roae
Barrar	Farry	Maher	Rock
Bear	Gabler	Major	Ross
Benninghoff	Geist	Maloney	Saccone
Bloom	Gillen	Marshall	Saylor
Boback	Gillespie	Marsico	Scavello
Boyd	Gingrich	Masser	Simmons
Brooks	Godshall	Metzgar	Sonney
Brown, R.	Grell	Miccarelli	Stephens
Causer	Grove	Millard	Stern
Christiana	Hackett	Miller	Stevenson
Clymer	Hahn	Milne	Swanger
Cox	Harhart	Moul	Tallman
Creighton	Harris	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Heffley	Mustio	Tobash
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Toepel
Cutler	Hess	Oberlander	Toohil
Day	Hickernell	Payne	Truitt
DeLissio	Hutchinson	Peifer	Turzai
Delozier	Kampf	Perry	Vereb
Denlinger	Kauffman	Petri	Vulakovich
DiGirolamo	Keller, F.	Pickett	Youngblood
Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	

Ellis Emrick	Killion Knowles	Quigley Rapp	Smith, S., Speaker
-----------------	--------------------	-----------------	-----------------------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Fleck Hanna Harper	Hennessey Mann	Metcalf Micozzie	Quinn Watson
--------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1322, PN 1743**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in State System of Higher Education, further providing for purposes and general powers.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the Chair's understanding that all the amendments have been withdrawn to this bill.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1308, PN 1732**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the State System of Higher Education and its employees to enter into certain economic development agreements; providing for approval and notice, for reports and for limitations; and making an inconsistent repeal.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the Chair's understanding that there is one amendment filed by the gentleman, Mr. Roae. Are you planning on withdrawing or offering the amendment?

Mr. ROAE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment A—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Roae, do you want to concede or would you like to offer the amendment?

Mr. ROAE. Do people not usually talk about their amendment? I would like to offer my amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You would like to offer your amendment?

Mr. ROAE. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you, Mr. Roae.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. ROAE offered the following amendment No. **A11718**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting  
the State System of Higher Education that does not:

- (1) grant paid sabbaticals;
- (2) provide tuition waivers for family members of employees;
- (3) have mandatory student activity fees;
- (4) pay its president more than the Governor;
- (5) raise tuition for the 2012-2013 school year; and
- (6) approve a lighter course load for the local union presidents

or any other institution

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Roae, is recognized.

Mr. ROAE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What my amendment does is it basically changes the definition of a university that would be covered under the legislation. I have grave concerns, Mr. Speaker, about the PASSHE (Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education) system, and I think that my amendment improves the PASSHE system. I actually have numerous bills in place that would reform the PASSHE system. This amendment encompasses about six of them. What my amendment would do, Mr. Speaker, is State System of Higher Education colleges could not participate in what the main bill does if they grant paid sabbaticals to the professors, if they provide tuition waivers for family members, if they have a mandatory student activity fee, if they pay its president more than the Governor of Pennsylvania, if they raise tuition for the 2012-2013 school year, or if they approve a lighter course load for the local union president than all the other employees have to teach.

Mr. Speaker, I am concerned that the union contract for PASSHE that recently expired allowed 7 percent of the professors to be on paid sabbatical at any given time. Tuition waivers were given to children of family members up to age 25. Student activity fees cost up to \$900 at the PASSHE schools; they range anywhere from \$300 to \$900 a year. I am concerned PASSHE schools pay their presidents more than the Governor of PA. I am concerned that if tuition increases for the new school year, many students in Pennsylvania will not be able to afford to pay tuition for PASSHE colleges. I am also concerned that student tuition is subsidizing PASSHE presidents at each campus working a lighter workload than the rest of the professors since they only have to work 75 percent of the workload the other professors have to work.

So, Mr. Speaker, what my amendment does, it changes the definition of colleges that are eligible for what the main bill does. Mr. Speaker, when you consider the last PASSHE union contract gave an average raise for tenured professors of 8 percent in the last year of the contract, 6 percent the year before that, 6 percent the year before that, we wonder why college is not affordable in Pennsylvania, why tuition keeps increasing, and I really think that we have to rein in the PASSHE universities.

I do not think it is right, Mr. Speaker, that students pay tuition to have their professors on campus and 7 percent of them can be on paid sabbatical. I think it is important, Mr. Speaker, that we do everything we can to make sure that PASSHE remains there for the students and it remains an affordable option to attend college. So, Mr. Speaker, what my amendment does, it does a good thing, in my opinion.

Now, Mr. Speaker, from some of the looks I am getting, some members, some members support some of these items, some members do not support some of these items, so I am reconsidering if I should pull the amendment or run the amendment. I think what we could do, Mr. Speaker, is I could withdraw the amendment and continue to work on the legislation in bill form that I have been working on, but I just think it is really important that we make sure that PASSHE stays affordable for students of Pennsylvania. I do not want to mess up the underlying bill.

#### AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. ROAE. So, Mr. Speaker, I have reconsidered, and I am going to withdraw the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman very much.

The gentleman, Mr. Roae, has withdrawn the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Day, rise?

Mr. DAY. Mr. Speaker, under unanimous consent on the previous amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection has been received, so unanimous consent has been withdrawn.

Mr. DAY. Who objected, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Day, I could not hear you.

Mr. DAY. Would you please tell me who objected to my request for unanimous consent?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Mike O'Brien.

The gentleman, Mr. Clymer, is recognized.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman withdrawing his amendment. He has raised several issues that we need to vet, and as I indicated to him, we will be looking at some of these issues at a committee hearing throughout this summer. So it is not as though the issue has gone away totally, but during the summer we will take a look at some of the things he put forth just a few minutes ago.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

#### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, rise?

Mr. SAMUELSON. A parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. SAMUELSON. The gentleman from Lehigh County had requested unanimous consent, and that unanimous consent was withheld. He asked for the record which member of the House objected to the request for unanimous consent, and the Speaker identified the gentleman from Philadelphia.

I know in past times in this session when unanimous consent has not been approved, a question has been asked, "Who objected?" and the Speaker has said that that is not public information. I want to just make sure this is a new precedent, that whenever unanimous consent is withheld, the Speaker and the House on the public record will say which member of the House objected to unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman please suspend.

The gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, is correct; however, in this instance, the gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, did wave his hand, stood up, and asked to be recognized and identified.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Just to follow up, do I understand the Speaker that you will, the Speaker will in the future identify which member is objecting to unanimous consent?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Only if the member requests it.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Mr. Speaker, that seems like an arbitrary ruling, that if a member waves his hand at a certain level, the person will be identified. If the member shouts out, they will not be identified. If they just motion to the Speaker— How are we to know what standard the Speaker will use on whether or not to identify someone who is objecting?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Parliamentarian has advised the Chair that if the member objecting is seeking recognition, he will be so – or her – identified.

Mr. SAMUELSON. And final question: If the member wishes their identity to be secret and they do not raise their hand, they do not speak, how does the Speaker know? Is there some kind of e-mail message that is sent to the Speaker? How does the Speaker know that someone is objecting to unanimous consent and the Speaker is making the determination to keep that information secret?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman please come to the rostrum.

The members will be delighted to know there will be no further votes.

#### BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 1868;

SB 9;

SB 1174;

SB 1308;  
SB 1321;  
SB 1322; and  
SB 1528.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that SB 637 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 533;  
HB 845; and  
SB 1301.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### BILLS TABLED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar:

HB 533;  
HB 845; and  
SB 1301.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### RESOLUTION

Mr. TURZAI called up **HR 16, PN 3514**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing Congress to address concerns raised by security screening methods employed by the Transportation Security Administration.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

#### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 16 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HR 16 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman from Chester County, Mr. Lawrence, rise?

Mr. LAWRENCE. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, on SB 1174, amendment 10420, I was recorded as not voting. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman, and his remarks will be spread upon the record.

#### REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Stephens, rise from Montgomery County?

Mr. STEPHENS. Mr. Speaker, I just have some remarks for the record relative to some Eagle Scouts in my district.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may submit the remarks.

Mr. STEPHENS. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Thank you, sir.

Mr. STEPHENS submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to bring to the attention of the Speaker and the members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives the name of Nick Z. Wang, who has recently been awarded Scouting's highest honor – Eagle Scout.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to read to the members of the House of Representatives the following citation of merit honoring Nick Z. Wang.

Whereas, Nick Z. Wang earned the Eagle Award in Scouting. This is the highest award that Boy Scouts can bestow and as such represents great sacrifice and tremendous effort on the part of this young man. Nick is a member of Troop 542.

Now therefore, Mr. Speaker and members of the House of Representatives, it is my privilege to congratulate and place in the Legislative Journal the name of Nick Z. Wang.

\* \* \*

Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to bring to the attention of the Speaker and the members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives the name of Peter Johannes Moller, who has recently been awarded Scouting's highest honor – Eagle Scout.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to read to the members of the House of Representatives the following citation of merit honoring Peter Johannes Moller.

Whereas, Peter Johannes Moller earned the Eagle Award in Scouting. This is the highest award that Boy Scouts can bestow and as such represents great sacrifice and tremendous effort on the part of this young man. Peter is a member of Troop 542.

Now therefore, Mr. Speaker and members of the House of Representatives, it is my privilege to congratulate and place in the Legislative Journal the name of Peter Johannes Moller.

### **REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Gabler, rise?

Mr. GABLER. Mr. Speaker, to submit remarks for the record.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed. Please submit them to the clerk.

Mr. GABLER submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the members for their unanimous support of HB 2267. This bill clarifies language in the Liquor Code pertaining to exceptions granted to active and retired military personnel. The current Liquor Code language states that no one in the Commonwealth may possess or transport wine or spirits that have not been purchased from a State store or limited winery, unless the person is an active or retired member of the Armed Forces or their spouse, and the wine or spirits were purchased through a Department of Defense package store.

It was pointed out to me by a constituent that the Coast Guard operates similar stores to other military branches, but the Coast Guard is technically part of the Department of Homeland Security rather than the Department of Defense. In practice, the Coast Guard stores have always been treated the same in the Liquor Code to ensure that all branches of the military are afforded the same protections in statute.

Once again, my appreciation to the members of this chamber for their unanimous support of this legislation.

### **BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes Representative Davidson of Delaware County, who moves that this House do now adjourn until Thursday, June 21, 2012, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:32 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.