

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2011

SESSION OF 2011

195TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 10

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.s.t.

**THE SPEAKER (SAMUEL H. SMITH)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

The SPEAKER. This morning the prayer will be offered by the Reverend Russell Stanton Williams III, Life Community Church, Shippensburg, PA.

REV. RUSSELL STANTON WILLIAMS III, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Gracious Heavenly Father, as we gather in this great chamber this morning, cause Your holy name to be deeply respected and honored in the temple of our souls. Let Your influence invade this space and serve as the catalyst for the fruition of Your will. May the eternal flame of Your kingdom which is fueled by the gift of Your son shine brilliantly in our sight and in reality within our hearts.

Father, help us to be slow to promote self and quick to defer to our neighbor. May the girth of this chamber prove adequate in lending stability and credence to the laws established within it. However, may it prove to be no barrier to Your boundless love and grace.

Thank You, God, for every servant within this body. As each one faithfully executes the duty of their office, they also strive to fulfill their responsibility to those most important, their families. The challenges of this Commonwealth beckon frequently at the sacrifice of attention so needed in the midst of a home which cannot pause. Perhaps one or many stand among us today with a special sense of this burden upon their heart.

Lord, grant each one the manifest presence of Your spirit. As the convictions of our hearts demand a few lines in today's House Journal, empower this body to fulfill its call here with the assurance of Your wisdom and power to fulfill its most high calling at home. May it be so according to Your wonderful precepts.

I pray this in the name of our Father in Heaven, Your son Jesus, and the Holy Spirit. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal for Monday, February 7, 2011, will be postponed until printed.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 38, PN 14

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in governance of the system, further providing for establishment of fees and charges and for costs; and, in budget and finance, further providing for Commonwealth portion of fines.

JUDICIARY.

HB 143, PN 317

By Rep. MAHER

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1974 (P.L.973, No.319), known as the Pennsylvania Farmland and Forest Land Assessment Act of 1974, further providing for split-off, separation or transfer, leasing for wireless service, utilization of land or conveyance of rights for exploration or extraction of gas, oil or coal bed methane, utilization of land for commercial alternative energy generation, death of landowner and temporary leases.

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS.

HB 165, PN 111

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the offense of cruelty to animals.

JUDICIARY.

HB 201, PN 153

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for definitions, for aggravated assault and for criminal trespass.

JUDICIARY.

HB 270, PN 223

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the offense of sexual abuse of children.

JUDICIARY.

HB 303, PN 255

By Rep. MAHER

An Act amending the act of May 21, 1943 (P.L.595, No.259), entitled, "An act authorizing the Department of Property and Supplies to establish, operate and maintain restaurants, as herein defined, creating a revolving fund for this purpose, to be known as The State Restaurant Fund; and providing additional duties for the State Treasurer and the Department of Health in connection therewith," further providing for inspection authority and for definitions; and making editorial changes.

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS.

HB 345, PN 300

By Rep. BARRAR

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for burial details for veterans.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

HB 378, PN 342

By Rep. BARRAR

An Act amending the act of August 5, 1941 (P.L.752, No.286), known as the Civil Service Act, further providing for definitions and for the State Civil Service Commission.

VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

HB 395, PN 469 (Amended)

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for purchase, consumption, possession or transportation of liquor or malt or brewed beverages.

JUDICIARY.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Are there requests for leaves of absence? The Speaker recognizes the majority whip, who requests leaves of absence for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Dennis O'BRIEN, for the day and for the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. MICOZZIE, for the day. Without objection, the leaves of absence will be granted.

The Speaker recognizes the minority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. Dwight EVANS, from Philadelphia for the day and the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. BISHOP, for the day. Without objection, the leaves of absence will be granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese

Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

LEAVES ADDED—1

Murphy

The SPEAKER. One hundred ninety-seven members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 60 By Representatives PETRI, BARRAR, BEAR, BOYD, CLYMER, D. COSTA, FLECK, GROVE, MOUL, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP and SWANGER

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to study intermodal transit in southeastern Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, February 8, 2011.

No. 61 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, D. COSTA, HENNESSEY, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP, READSHAW, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH and WAGNER

A Resolution urging the Congress of the United States to increase funding to the National Institutes of health by 6.7% in each of the next three years to accelerate the momentum of discovery to improve health and quality of life for millions of Americans.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, February 8, 2011.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 64 By Representatives HANNA, TALLMAN, EVERETT, MIRABITO, CALTAGIRONE, CAUSER, FREEMAN, GEIST, GEORGE, GERBER, GOODMAN, KILLION, KORTZ, MAHONEY, MARSHALL, MILLARD, MILLER, READSHAW, STEVENSON, KULA and DENLINGER

An Act designating the Piper J-3 Cub as the official State aircraft of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, February 8, 2011.

No. 466 By Representatives COX, CAUSER, DeWEESE, KAUFFMAN, KORTZ, MALONEY, MICOZZIE, READSHAW, REICHLEY, ROAE, SAINATO, TALLMAN, CLYMER, CALTAGIRONE, O'NEILL, MAJOR, GOODMAN, DENLINGER, VULAKOVICH, MUNDY and KNOWLES

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in support matters, further providing for cooperation of government and nongovernment agencies.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 467 By Representatives COX, BOYD, BRENNAN, COHEN, DAY, GOODMAN, HARHART, KNOWLES, MURT, MYERS, RAPP, SAMUELSON, K. SMITH, VULAKOVICH and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of vehicles, further providing for person with disability plate and placard.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, February 8, 2011.

No. 468 By Representatives COX, AUMENT, BAKER, BARRAR, BOBACK, BRENNAN, CARROLL, D. COSTA, GINGRICH, GOODMAN, GROVE, HARHART,

KAUFFMAN, M.K. KELLER, KNOWLES, KORTZ, LONGIETTI, METZGAR, MICOZZIE, MULLERY, MURT, MUSTIO, MYERS, O'NEILL, REICHLEY, SAINATO, SAMUELSON, K. SMITH, VULAKOVICH, WAGNER, YOUNGBLOOD, MILLER and DENLINGER

An Act amending the act of June 27, 2006 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.1873, No.1), known as the Taxpayer Relief Act, further providing for filing of claim.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 475 By Representatives COX, BOBACK, BOYD, CHRISTIANA, GOODMAN, GROVE, HAHN, KAUFFMAN, KNOWLES, MAHONEY, MOUL, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, QUIGLEY, SACCONI, SAYLOR, SCAVELLO, SCHRODER, SWANGER, WHITE, GILLESPIE, GIBBONS and DENLINGER

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, abolishing the school property tax on homes and farms and limiting the school property tax on commercial and recreational properties.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, February 8, 2011.

No. 514 By Representatives BROOKS, BENNINGHOFF, ADOLPH, AUMENT, BAKER, BARRAR, BEAR, BLOOM, BOBACK, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CAUSER, CHRISTIANA, CLYMER, COX, CUTLER, DENLINGER, ELLIS, EVERETT, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GIBBONS, GINGRICH, GODSHALL, GROVE, HARHART, HARRIS, HELM, HESS, HICKERNELL, HORNAMAN, HUTCHINSON, KAUFFMAN, M.K. KELLER, KRIEGER, MAJOR, MARSHALL, MARSICO, METCALFE, MILLARD, MULLERY, MUSTIO, OBERLANDER, PEIFER, PETRARCA, PICKETT, PYLE, QUIGLEY, RAPP, READSHAW, REED, REICHLEY, ROAE, SAYLOR, SCAVELLO, SCHRODER, SIMMONS, SONNEY, STEPHENS, STERN, STEVENSON, SWANGER, TALLMAN, TOEPEL, VULAKOVICH, WATSON, KORTZ, J. EVANS and MILLER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in corporate net income tax, further providing for imposition of tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 515 By Representatives HARKINS, CONKLIN, HALUSKA, SABATINA, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, KIRKLAND, HORNAMAN, HARHAI, GOODMAN, GIBBONS, GEORGE, MURT and K. SMITH

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1935 (P.L.477, No.193), referred to as the Enforcement Officer Disability Benefits Law, extending benefits to certain employees of the Pennsylvania Game Commission and the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission; and making editorial changes.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, February 8, 2011.

No. 516 By Representatives HARKINS, CONKLIN, D. COSTA, DeLUCA, MURT, M. O'BRIEN and JOSEPHS

An Act regulating the licensure and practice of ophthalmic dispensing; establishing the State Board of Ophthalmic Dispensers; providing for its powers and duties; and providing penalties.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, February 8, 2011.

No. 517 By Representatives HARKINS, CONKLIN, HORNAMAN, HARHAI, MURT, M. O'BRIEN, MARSHALL and MAHONEY

An Act amending the act of May 23, 1945 (P.L.903, No.362), entitled "An act authorizing cities of the third class to establish an optional retirement system for officers and employes independently of any pension system or systems existing in such cities," further providing for certain increases in compensation.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, February 8, 2011.

No. 518 By Representatives HARKINS, CONKLIN, DeLUCA, DeWEESE, MURT, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, GEORGE, J. EVANS, KULA, MILLARD, O'NEILL, READSHAW and SWANGER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in sales and use tax, further providing for the definition of "purchase price."

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 519 By Representatives HARKINS, GOODMAN, LONGIETTI, SABATINA, MURT, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, GEORGE, KULA, SWANGER, AUMENT, CHRISTIANA, BOBACK, CLYMER, DAVIS, HORNAMAN, HUTCHINSON, HARHART, HARHAI, GIBBONS, GEIST, KOTIK, MAHONEY, MOUL, MANN, PETRARCA, M. O'BRIEN, PICKETT, PYLE, YOUNGBLOOD, WHITE, VULAKOVICH, J. TAYLOR, K. SMITH, CONKLIN and MIRABITO

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for resident license and fee exemptions and for license costs and fees.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, February 8, 2011.

No. 520 By Representatives HARRIS, BARRAR, BENNINGHOFF, CALTAGIRONE, CARROLL, CAUSER, CHRISTIANA, COX, DAY, DUNBAR, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GILLESPIE, GRELL, GROVE, HESS, M.K. KELLER, F. KELLER, KILLION, KORTZ, MASSER, METCALFE, METZGAR, MILNE, MOUL, MULLERY, MUNDY, MURT, O'NEILL, PAYNE, PEIFER, PERRY, PYLE, QUIGLEY, REED, ROAE, ROCK, SAYLOR, SCAVELLO, STERN, VULAKOVICH, WATSON, JOSEPHS, MILLER and BEAR

An Act amending the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, providing for lottery winnings intercept.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 521 By Representatives PICKETT, BENNINGHOFF, BOBACK, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CAUSER, CHRISTIANA, CLYMER, DAVIS, EVERETT, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GINGRICH, HESS, HORNAMAN, M.K. KELLER, KILLION, KORTZ, MAJOR, MILLARD, MILLER, MURT, OBERLANDER, PYLE, RAPP, REICHLEY, ROAE, STERN, STEVENSON, SWANGER and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending the act of November 10, 1999 (P.L.491, No.45), known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act, further providing for applicability to certain buildings.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, February 8, 2011.

No. 522 By Representatives MUSTIO, CLYMER, GINGRICH, KORTZ, MARSHALL, PAYNE, REICHLEY, ROAE, STERN, TALLMAN, VULAKOVICH, WAGNER and MILLER

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736, No.338), known as the Workers' Compensation Act, further providing for workers compensation benefits for certain emergency medical services personnel.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, February 8, 2011.

No. 523 By Representatives PICKETT, BAKER, BOBACK, BOYD, CAUSER, CLYMER, D. COSTA, DAY, DEASY, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GEORGE, GINGRICH, GODSHALL, GOODMAN, GROVE, HALUSKA, HARHART, HICKERNELL, KAUFFMAN, M.K. KELLER, KNOWLES, LONGIETTI, MARSICO, MASSER, MICOZZIE, MILLARD, MULLERY, MURT, MYERS, O'NEILL, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, REICHLEY, K. SMITH, STEVENSON, TALLMAN, TOEPEL, VULAKOVICH, OBERLANDER, KULA, PETRARCA and DENLINGER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in sales and use tax, further providing for exemption certificates.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 524 By Representatives REICHLEY, CALTAGIRONE, GILLEN, GINGRICH, HALUSKA, HARHART, MURT and PYLE

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for authorized license-issuing agents.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, February 8, 2011.

No. 525 By Representatives REICHLEY, CLYMER, DENLINGER, GEIST, GILLEN, GINGRICH, GROVE, HESS, MICOZZIE, MURT, PYLE, SWANGER and VEREB

Amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for limitations on the use of parenting coordinators, masters and other individuals by courts of common pleas.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 526 By Representatives REICHLEY, DAY, GEIST, GOODMAN, GROVE, HAHN, HARHART, HORNAMAN, HUTCHINSON, KNOWLES, LONGIETTI, METZGAR, MILLER, MURT, READSHAW, ROAE, ROCK, SCHRODER, SWANGER, TALLMAN and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for sentences for offenses committed by illegal aliens.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 527 By Representatives MARSHALL, BAKER, BOBACK, BRADFORD, V. BROWN, CALTAGIRONE, CAUSER, COHEN, CONKLIN, D. COSTA, DAVIS, ELLIS, EVERETT, FARRY, FLECK, GIBBONS, GILLESPIE, GINGRICH, GODSHALL, GOODMAN, GRELL, GROVE, HESS, HORNAMAN, KAVULICH, KILLION, KIRKLAND, KNOWLES, KORTZ, KOTIK, KULA, MARSICO, MICOZZIE, MILLARD, MILLER, MIRABITO, MURT, MYERS, O'NEILL, PAYNE, PEIFER, PYLE, QUINN, RAPP, READSHAW, ROCK, SCAVELLO, SWANGER, TALLMAN, VEREB, VULAKOVICH, WATSON, WHITE, YOUNGBLOOD and GILLEN

An Act establishing an emergency medical services memorial flag; and imposing duties on the Pennsylvania Emergency Health Services Council and the Bureau of Emergency Medical Services in the Department of Health.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, February 8, 2011.

No. 528 By Representatives BOBACK, CALTAGIRONE, CARROLL, CAUSER, COHEN, CONKLIN, DAVIS, FABRIZIO, FLECK, FREEMAN, GILLESPIE, GINGRICH, GOODMAN, GRELL, GROVE, HALUSKA, HELM, KAUFFMAN, KAVULICH, W. KELLER, KILLION, KORTZ, KULA, MAJOR, MANN, MARSHALL, MILLARD, MILLER, MURT, MUSTIO, MYERS, PASHINSKI, PEIFER, PICKETT, QUINN, RAPP, ROCK, SCAVELLO, K. SMITH, STERN, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH, WATSON, YOUNGBLOOD and GILLEN

An Act requiring certain long-term care facilities to coordinate with licensing agencies and local area agencies on aging to provide assistance to consumers in circumstances involving relocation of consumers; and providing for powers and duties of the Department of Aging.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND OLDER ADULT SERVICES, February 8, 2011.

No. 529 By Representatives BOBACK, FABRIZIO, HELM, KORTZ, MILLARD, MUSTIO and SCHRODER

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, defining the offense of false caller identification information display; and imposing penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 530 By Representatives BOBACK, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, COHEN, D. COSTA, DeLUCA, DENLINGER, FLECK, GEIST, GILLESPIE, GOODMAN, GROVE, HARHART, HARKINS, HARRIS, HELM, HESS, HORNAMAN, JOSEPHS, KAUFFMAN, KNOWLES, KORTZ, MAJOR, METZGAR, MILLARD, MILLER, MILNE, MOUL, MUNDY, MURT, M. O'BRIEN, O'NEILL, PICKETT, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, SAINATO, SCHRODER, K. SMITH, SWANGER, J. TAYLOR, TOEPEL and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act providing for the protection of consumers from having spyware deceptively installed on wireless communication devices and for criminal enforcement.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, February 8, 2011.

No. 531 By Representatives HICKERNELL, AUMENT, BARRAR, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CARROLL, CLYMER, COHEN, CUTLER, DENLINGER, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GEORGE, GINGRICH, GROVE, HARRIS, HENNESSEY, HESS, HORNAMAN, HUTCHINSON, M.K. KELLER, KILLION, MAJOR, MARSHALL, MILLARD, MILLER, MILNE, MURT, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, PICKETT, PYLE, ROSS, SONNEY, VULAKOVICH, WATSON, YOUNGBLOOD and GIBBONS

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for declarations of estimated tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 532 By Representatives SAYLOR, BARRAR, BOBACK, CALTAGIRONE, COHEN, D. COSTA, CUTLER, DAY, DeLUCA, DERMODY, DiGIROLAMO, EVERETT, FLECK, GEIST, GILLEN, GINGRICH, GROVE, HARHAI, HARHART, HARKINS, HARPER, HESS, HORNAMAN, JOSEPHS, KIRKLAND, KOTIK, MARSHALL, MARSICO, MILLER, MURT, MYERS, O'NEILL, PICKETT, PYLE, RAPP, REICHLEY, STABACK, STURLA, SWANGER, J. TAYLOR, VEREB, VULAKOVICH and WATSON

An Act providing mandatory insurance coverage for general anesthesia.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 533 By Representatives PETRI, BARRAR, CALTAGIRONE, DeLUCA, GEORGE, GERBER, GOODMAN, GRELL, HENNESSEY, KNOWLES, LONGIETTI, MARSICO, MILLARD, MILLER, MOUL, MURT, O'NEILL, PAYNE, PICKETT, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, ROAE, K. SMITH, VULAKOVICH and WHITE

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for sales by liquor licensees and restrictions.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 534 By Representatives PETRI, BAKER, BARRAR, BOBACK, BOYD, CLYMER, DeLUCA, ELLIS, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GIBBONS, HARHART, HARRIS, HENNESSEY, HESS, HUTCHINSON, M.K. KELLER, MARSICO, MILLARD, MOUL, MURT, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, ROAE, K. SMITH, SWANGER, TALLMAN, VULAKOVICH, WATSON and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for imposition of the corporate net income tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 535 By Representatives PETRI, BAKER, BARRAR, BOBACK, BOYD, CLYMER, DENLINGER, ELLIS, FLECK, GABLER, GEIST, GIBBONS, HARRIS, HENNESSEY, HESS, HUTCHINSON, M.K. KELLER, MILLARD, MOUL, MURT, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, PICKETT, RAPP, READSHAW, ROAE, K. SMITH, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH, WATSON and GILLEN

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for imposition of the corporate net income tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 536 By Representatives PETRI, BOBACK, BOYD, D. COSTA, CUTLER, EVERETT, HICKERNELL, HUTCHINSON, KILLION, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP, READSHAW and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentences for offenses committed with firearms.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 537 By Representatives PETRI, BOBACK, CALTAGIRONE, CUTLER, EVERETT, GROVE, HICKERNELL, HUTCHINSON, KILLION, MURT, RAPP, READSHAW, SCAVELLO, VULAKOVICH and WATSON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the offense of sale or transfer of firearms and for loans on or lending or giving firearms prohibited.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 538 By Representatives PETRI, BAKER, BEAR, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, D. COSTA, DENLINGER, EVERETT, FLECK, GEIST, GOODMAN, GRELL, GROVE, HARHART, HENNESSEY, HUTCHINSON, KILLION, KNOWLES, LONGIETTI, MICOZZIE, MILLARD, MURT, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, PICKETT, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, SCAVELLO, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH and WATSON

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing for a long-term care tax credit.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 539 By Representatives PETRI, CLYMER, MURT, O'NEILL and RAPP

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in licenses and regulations, further providing for special occasion permits.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 540 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, MURPHY, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP, READSHAW and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in licenses and regulations, further providing for liquor importers' licenses and fees, privileges and restrictions.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 541 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, MURT, O'NEILL and RAPP

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in licenses and regulations, providing for reporting of changes in officers, directors or stockholders.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 542 By Representatives PETRI, HARHART, HESS, MOUL, MURT, RAPP, SWANGER, WATSON and WHITE

An Act amending the act of January 24, 1966 (1965 P.L.1535, No.537), known as the Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act, further providing for official plans.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, February 8, 2011.

No. 543 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, CUTLER, EVERETT, KILLION, METZGAR, MILLARD, MILLER, MURT, RAPP, READSHAW, SCAVELLO and WAGNER

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for compensation and expenses of witnesses.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 544 By Representatives PETRI, CLYMER, DeLUCA, GEIST, HARHART, HENNESSEY, MOUL, MURT, RAPP and K. SMITH

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in child protective services, further providing for definitions; and providing for a children's

ombudsman and remedial powers, for response to complaints, for cooperation of agencies and providers, for confidentiality of investigations and records, for findings and recommendations, for protection from retaliation and for nonexclusivity of remedy.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, February 8, 2011.

No. 545 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, D. COSTA, CUTLER, DENLINGER, GEIST, GEORGE, HENNESSEY, HESS, MOUL, MURT, RAPP, SCAVELLO, K. SMITH, WAGNER, WATSON and WHITE

An Act amending Title 64 (Public Authorities and Quasi-Public Corporations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Commonwealth Financing Authority, further providing for indebtedness; and establishing the Energy Independence Bond Guarantee Program.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 546 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, CUTLER, DENLINGER, GEIST, GEORGE, HENNESSEY, LONGIETTI, MURT, RAPP, SCAVELLO, K. SMITH, WAGNER, WATSON and WHITE

An Act authorizing the incurring of indebtedness, with the approval of the electors, of \$400,000,000 for the acquisition, repair, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, extension, expansion and improvement of Pennsylvania infrastructure, including roads, bridges, railroads, dams, water supply and sewage treatment systems, energy, communications, flood control measures and any other such infrastructure the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority deems relevant; and providing for the powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, February 8, 2011.

No. 547 By Representatives PETRI, D. COSTA, EVERETT, GEIST, GOODMAN, HENNESSEY, KILLION, MURT, RAPP, SANTARSIERO, SWANGER, WAGNER and WATSON

An Act amending the act of November 29, 2004 (P.L.1376, No.178), known as the Alternative Fuels Incentive Act, providing for State government energy efficiency.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, February 8, 2011.

No. 548 By Representatives PETRI, METCALFE, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, DENLINGER, EVERETT, GEIST, GEORGE, GROVE, HENNESSEY, M.K. KELLER, KNOWLES, MILLARD, MOUL, MURT, O'NEILL, PYLE, RAPP, SCAVELLO and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 62 (Procurement) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for cost-benefit analysis; and further providing for powers and duties.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, February 8, 2011.

No. 549 By Representatives PETRI, GABLER, BARRAR, D. COSTA, DENLINGER, EVERETT, GEIST, GODSHALL, GROVE, KILLION, LONGIETTI, MICOZZIE, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP and WAGNER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in malt beverage tax, further providing for limited tax credits.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 550 By Representatives PETRI, GABLER, BARRAR, D. COSTA, DENLINGER, EVERETT, GEIST, GODSHALL, GROVE, KILLION, MICOZZIE, MURT, O'NEILL, RAPP and WAGNER

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, in malt beverage tax, further providing for limited tax credits.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, February 8, 2011.

No. 551 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, GABLER, MURT, RAPP, ROAE and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for definitions and for mandatory and optional membership; and providing for the Public School Employees' Optional Retirement Program.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, February 8, 2011.

No. 552 By Representatives PETRI, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, GABLER, MURT, RAPP, ROAE and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for definitions and for mandatory and optional membership; and providing for the State Employees' Optional Retirement Program.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, February 8, 2011.

No. 553 By Representatives PETRI, FARRY, O'NEILL, CLYMER, BOYD, BROOKS, CALTAGIRONE, D. COSTA, GABLER, GIBBONS, GRELL, HESS, HUTCHINSON, KNOWLES, MILLARD, MOUL, MURT, PETRARCA, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, ROAE, SWANGER, TALLMAN and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definition of "fire department vehicle."

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, February 8, 2011.

No. 554 By Representatives PETRI, D. COSTA, CUTLER, GEIST, GOODMAN, HARKINS, HESS, KNOWLES,

LONGIETTI, MILLER, MURT, RAPP, READSHAW, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH, WAGNER and WHITE

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for false reports to law enforcement authorities.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 555 By Representatives PETRI, FARRY, O'NEILL, GABLER, HARKINS, HENNESSEY, MURT, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, ROAE, K. SMITH and STABACK

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for limiting number of retail licenses to be issued in each county.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 556 By Representatives PETRI, MURT and RAPP

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for limiting number of retail licenses to be issued in each county.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, February 8, 2011.

No. 557 By Representative PETRI

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in adoption, further providing for home study and preplacement report, for report of intention to adopt, for report of intermediary, for exhibits in report of intermediary, for investigation, for contents of petition for adoption, for exhibits to petition for adoption and for time of entry of decree of adoption.

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, February 8, 2011.

No. 558 By Representatives PETRI, BOYD, CALTAGIRONE, CUTLER, HENNESSEY, HORNAMAN, MURT, O'NEILL, PETRARCA, RAPP, READSHAW and SWANGER

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing, in liquid fuels and fuels tax, for imposition of tax, exemptions and deductions.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, February 8, 2011.

No. 559 By Representatives PETRI, BOYD, D. COSTA, GEIST, HENNESSEY, HESS, KNOWLES, MAJOR, MILLARD, MILLER, MURT, PETRARCA, PYLE, RAPP, READSHAW, SCAVELLO and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for parole power.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, February 8, 2011.

No. 560 By Representatives GOODMAN, STABACK, BOYD, BROOKS, CALTAGIRONE, CARROLL, CONKLIN, D. COSTA, DeWEESE, FABRIZIO, GILLESPIE, GODSHALL, HALUSKA, HESS, KAVULICH, KORTZ, KULA, MAHONEY, MATZIE, MILLER, MOUL, MULLERY, MURT, REICHLEY, SCAVELLO, K. SMITH, STERN and VULAKOVICH

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in hunting and furtaking licenses, further providing for unlawful acts concerning licenses.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, February 8, 2011.

No. 561 By Representatives D. COSTA, DeLUCA, K. BOYLE, FABRIZIO, FRANKEL, HALUSKA, HARKINS, MATZIE, MURPHY, MURT, PASHINSKI, READSHAW and WHITE

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1983 (P.L.260, No.72), referred to as the Public Adjuster Licensing Law, further providing for definitions and for license; providing for application for public adjuster license, for licensing, for issuance and term of license, for license renewals and for reciprocal licensing; further providing for fees, for bond and for contract; providing for written disclosure of financial interest; further providing for revocation, etc., of license and for violations; providing for civil remedy; further providing for administration and enforcement; and providing for persons licensed as public adjuster solicitors.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, February 8, 2011.

HEMPFIELD HIGH SCHOOL BOYS SOCCER TEAM PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The House will come to order.

The Speaker invites Representative Aument to the rostrum for the purpose of presenting two citations.

First, with Representative Aument are Steve Mummaw, Mark Ashley, Travis Worra, Dylan Andrews, and Zach Hollinger, who will be accepting this citation in recognition of Hempfield High School Boys Soccer Team capturing the 2010 PIAA Class AAA Boys Soccer Championship.

Mr. AUMENT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am honored to introduce this morning to the members of the House the Hempfield High School Boys Soccer Team, who captured the 2010 PIAA State Class AAA Boys Soccer Championship. The Black Knights finished their stellar season with a record of 24 wins and 4 losses and defeated Central Bucks East High School on November 20 with a score of 2 to 1 in overtime to capture Hempfield High School's first boys soccer title.

Would our State champions in the back, my guests, please stand to be recognized.

HEMPFIELD HIGH SCHOOL GIRLS VOLLEYBALL TEAM PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. Representative Aument is also recognized for the purpose of presenting a second citation. With Representative Aument are Patrick Shawaryn, Brittani Young,

Lauren Donato, Carlyn Marshall, and Nicole Pyle, who will be accepting the citation in recognition of the Hempfield High School Girls Volleyball Team capturing the 2010 PIAA Class AAA Girls Volleyball Championship. Welcome to the hall of the House.

The member may proceed.

Mr. AUMENT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the very same night, the Hempfield Girls Volleyball Team captured the 2010 PIAA State Class AAA Volleyball Championship. The Black Knights claimed their third State title by defeating Upper Merion High School and finished the season with a record of 26 wins and 3 losses. It is an honor for me to introduce to you your 2010 Class AAA Girls Volleyball State Champions. Please stand.

The SPEAKER. Also located in the rear of the House with the teams are the superintendent, Dr. Brenda Becker; Principal Will Stout; and assistant athletic director Dennis Vicidomini. Will the guests please rise.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker welcomes MacGregor Henrichsen. He is a Reidenbaugh Elementary School winner of Representative John Bear's 2010 "There Ought To Be a Law" essay contest. MacGregor's proposed law would amend Title 27 to provide for definitions and for creation of public society areas, areas that would be protected against environmental damage posed by industrial and residential areas. MacGregor is here today with his parents, Brittany and Daniel. They are located to the left of the Speaker. Congratulations. Please rise and be recognized by your, quote, "fellow lawmakers."

The Speaker also welcomes Corey and Lisa Snyder and their son, Brad, from Mount Pleasant, PA. They are guests of Representative Mike Reese and the constituents of Representative Deb Kula. They are located in the gallery.

The Speaker welcomes the Beaver County Chamber of Commerce members. They are here today visiting their legislators to see firsthand how the legislative process works in Harrisburg. They are guests of Representative Jim Marshall, Representative Jim Christiana, and Representative Rob Matzje. They are located in the rear of the House. The guests will please rise.

The Speaker welcomes Karah Fissel. She is shadowing Representative Dan Moul for the day, and she is located in the rear of the House. Karah, please rise.

And we have a couple of guest pages. The Speaker welcomes Catie Kilgus, who is serving as a guest page today. Her mother, Mary Kilgus, is seated to the left of the Speaker. They are guests of Representative Garth Everett. Please stand. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And a couple other guest pages, the Speaker welcomes Andrew and Kyle McCarthy, who are serving as guest pages. They are seventh grade students at Notre Dame Junior/Senior High School. They are active soccer players and cross-country runners. Both boys are presently working toward earning their Eagle Scout badges. They are the guests of Representative Mario Scavello. Please rise. Welcome to the House.

The Speaker would also like to recognize in the balcony: Gary Slawik, machine tools and technology instructor; Shane Fulmer of Pen Argyl; Brandon Black of Bangor; Nicholas

Hendricks of Easton. All the students attend the Career Institute of Technology and are here in Harrisburg as part of the Career and Technical Education Month celebration. They are guests of Representative Marcia Hahn, Representative Joe Emrick, and Representative Bob Freeman. The guests will please rise. Welcome to the House.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. HANNA called up **HR 44, PN 311**, entitled:

A Resolution designating February 8, 2011, as "Peripartum Cardiomyopathy Awareness Day" in Pennsylvania; and urging the National Institutes of Health to recognize "Peripartum Cardiomyopathy Awareness Day" and to advance research relating to peripartum cardiomyopathy.

* * *

Mr. KORTZ called up **HR 54, PN 406**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the Boy Scouts of America on the 101st anniversary of the organization's founding.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzje	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai

Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. PAYNE called up **HR 48, PN 314**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the life of United States Army Major Richard D. Winters, who died on January 2, 2011.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson

Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. THOMAS called up **HR 50, PN 357**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring Frank L. Oliver for his 37 years of service to the Commonwealth as a member of the House of Representatives and declaring April 15, 2011, as "The Honorable Frank L. Oliver Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder

Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalf	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

STATEMENT BY MR. THOMAS

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Philadelphia rise?

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to thank all of my colleagues for supporting HR 50 and acknowledging our former colleague and friend of the Pennsylvania General Assembly, the Honorable Frank Oliver. And I ask each and every one of you to join me in connecting with the gentledady from Philadelphia that represents Frank Oliver's district, to connect with her and work with her in making April 15 a special day in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as a testimony to the 30-plus years that the Honorable Frank Oliver has contributed to Pennsylvania and to this General Assembly.

So I ask each and every one of you to join me in connecting with Representative Michelle Brownlee and work with her as much as possible to make this a special day in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

STATEMENT BY MR. WATERS

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Waters, rise?

Mr. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to comment on the resolution that was just passed unanimously in the House.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized under unanimous consent.

Mr. WATERS. Unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Please proceed.

Mr. WATERS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to thank Representative Thomas for sponsoring the bill. I know many of us are cosponsors of the bill. I just find it ironic we now can look at April 15 as a day we can smile. So many people used to look at it as a day for something that was not always pleasant to be reminded of, but now we have something to smile about when we look at April 15 here in this Commonwealth.

I agree that he was a great servant, a great leader here in this House of Representatives, and he now leaves to serve. Someone whom he trusted will continue that legacy, Michelle Brownlee. And I want to thank all of you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for unanimous consent. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 68, PN 145**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for failure to comply with registration of sexual offenders requirements; in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for definitions, for registration, for registration procedures and applicability, for sentencing court information and for verification of residence; in registration of sexual offenders, providing for registration of transients; and in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for victim notification, for other notification, for information made available on the Internet, for duties of the Pennsylvania State Police and for photographs and fingerprinting.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DePasquale.

Mr. DePASQUALE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have an amendment that I have filed to HB 68, but I will be withdrawing that amendment. I have received assurance that the Judiciary Committee, we will in a bipartisan fashion be addressing the Adam Walsh Act in committee, and I look forward to working with both chairmen when we do that. So I will be withdrawing my amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Everett, rise?

Mr. EVERETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank the gentleman for withdrawing his amendment, and I will work with him on his bill as a stand-alone. And I would just also like to recognize one of my guests here today, Mary Kilgus. She is an assistant district

attorney up in Lycoming County, and she is the one that pointed out this loophole in Megan's Law that HB 68 will close. She has worked with me for more than 2 years and our Judiciary staff. I would like to thank Chairman Marsico and Chairman Caltagirone for bringing this bill before us so that we can take care of this loophole. Mary, if you would rise. I would like to recognize Mary and the hard work that she has put into this to make this bill come to fruition.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 74, PN 37**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for failure to comply with registration of sexual offenders requirements.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 74 be recommitted to the Appropriations Committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 77, PN 39**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for information made available on the Internet.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 77 be recommitted to the Appropriations Committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 75, PN 38**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentence for failure to comply with registration of sexual offenders.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 105, PN 73**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 12, 1986 (P.L.1559, No.169), known as the Whistleblower Law, further providing for the definitions of "employee" and "employer," for protection of employees, for enforcement and for penalties.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor

Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Verb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
DeLozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S., Speaker
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop Evans, D.	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
---------------------	----------	-------------	--------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 103, PN 56**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 65 (Public Officers) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in lobbying disclosure, further providing for prohibited activities and for penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. DeLissio, on the bill.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the final passage of this bill because it does increase accountability and the effectiveness of Pennsylvania's lobbying disclosure laws. I am disappointed, however, that the legislation does not include the kind of back-door lobbying practices that have become very common in State government. However, I am very grateful for the agreement to work with the sponsor of the legislation, the gentleman from Lancaster County, who has agreed to work with me to get this additional reform passed as legislation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Cutler.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the offer of support from the gentledady from Philadelphia and Montgomery Counties. I also want to thank the members as we work through the amendment process on this bill. I think that we brought out some of the better points of the bill, but in addition to that, we also addressed some additional concerns that were initially brought up in the amendment process. I certainly look forward to working with the gentledady from Philadelphia and Montgomery Counties as well as the gentleman from Allegheny County to individually address those issues that they brought up and so graciously withdrew and are willing to continue to work on.

As this bill heads to the Senate, Mr. Speaker, I also look forward to working with the colleagues over there as well as the Lobbyists Association to further improve the bill. So I would certainly appreciate an affirmative vote today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of HB 103. I supported this bill last session when we passed it. I hope it has a better fate this year over in the Senate, where it died last session. So I just want to say that I support it as an important piece of legislation. I wish that it had also been able to include the amendment that was ruled not germane, which would have ended the revolving-door policy of allowing people to come out of industry and go into regulating the industry here as a State regulator and then go back immediately into that industry, but we were told that we would be allowed or afforded the opportunity to have those bills run in committee. I am hoping that the members of those committees will allow those bills to come forward as we continue to try and increase people's faith in State government. This is one step forward, albeit a small one, and we look forward to some bigger steps later on. So thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, will vote for this bill. Everything that the speakers before me have said is true, in my opinion. The bill does improve the way we deal with lobbyists, but I am disappointed, very disappointed – I am actually really appalled that also in this bill there is a provision which will serve as a disincentive to marriage. I believe in marriage. I believed in the bills that brought domestic – the amendments, pardon me, that brought domestic partners into the ambience of this bill. I consider this reform, but I consider it reform light. I hope we go ahead and do a better reform.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

On the question, the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel, is recognized.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to support HB 103 and express again my concern that while good legislation, we are exempting an entire class of people in the State of Pennsylvania from both the State Ethics Law and the lobby disclosure law. To think that we are going to

say to 270,000 people who live in domestic partnerships that you are not going to be held to the same standard, you have an exemption from both of these reforms, makes very little sense to me.

I appreciate the comments of my colleague from Lancaster on the other side of the aisle about willingness to address this, but based on some of the comments I have heard from members, it does not sound likely because of some of the concerns, the skittishness about just discussing the issue of domestic partners. But the fact is, in Pennsylvania, 10 percent of all families are domestic partners, and many of them are State officials, State employees, public officials, and we are basically saying to them that we are going to have the opportunity to say you have a free ride.

So with respect to this piece of legislation, which is essentially very good and important to move forward, we are passing it with a loophole that, as I have said before, one could drive a truck through. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson

DeLozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S., Speaker
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 104, PN 309**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 12, 1986 (P.L.1559, No.169), known as the Whistleblower Law, further providing for the definition of "public body" and for penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GERGELY** offered the following amendment, No. **A00592**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 30, by inserting after "ACT"
or who violates the act

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gergely. The gentleman waives off.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato

Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sacone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 107, PN 59**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 62 (Procurement) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for competitive sealed proposals.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longiatti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Verab
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White

Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

STATEMENT BY MRS. DAVIDSON

The **SPEAKER**. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Dunbar, rise?

Mrs. **DAVIDSON**. Under unanimous consent.

The **SPEAKER**. Excuse me?

Mrs. **DAVIDSON**. Under unanimous consent.

The **SPEAKER**. The Speaker recognizes the lady from Delaware County, Mrs. Davidson, on unanimous consent.

Mrs. **DAVIDSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rose to support HB 107, which has just passed, under unanimous consent, because it does begin to lay a more solid foundation for reform for the Pennsylvania Procurement Code. There is no question that the former law is inadequate to prevent the potential for pay-to-play in awarding State contracts, and this bill goes a long way toward addressing the problem.

Of course, I was a little disappointed that it did not go further. As a zealous freshman, I of course always want more reform as opposed to less. And the bill does not yet address the issue of contracts issued by the General Assembly or those issued within the judicial branch, where there are current examples of problems and concerns, nor does it end the practice currently used by the executive branch in awarding no-bid contracts.

However, I am grateful to the majority leader, the gentlemen from Berks and Lehigh Counties, and to all my colleagues on the other side of the aisle for their pledge to work with me to further increase reform of our Procurement Code to the benefit of the small business community of Pennsylvania and our taxpayers. Thank you so much to all my colleagues for their support of this beginning process to reform our Procurement Code.

Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Speaker thanks the lady.

STATEMENT BY MR. DUNBAR

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Dunbar, rise?

Mr. DUNBAR. Under unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed under unanimous consent.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to take this opportunity to thank all the fellow members of the House in allowing another zealous freshman to propose and pass a bill.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 108, PN 308**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 62 (Procurement) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, providing for public access to procurement information.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Murt	Tallman

Cox	Harkins	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Helm	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Parker	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Pashinski	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payne	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Payton	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Peifer	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Perry	Watson
DeLozier	Kampf	Petrarca	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petri	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Pickett	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Preston	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 109, PN 84**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1968 (P.L.316, No.154), known as the Legislative Code of Ethics, further providing for definitions, for prohibitions and for civil remedies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Marshall.

Mr. MARSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank the State Government Committee for moving this bill. I would like to thank the cosponsors and the bipartisan support for this, and I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Emrick	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Evankovich	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evans, J.	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Everett	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Fabrizio	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Farry	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Fleck	Longiatti	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Freeman	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Gabler	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Galloway	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Geist	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	George	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	Gerber	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gergely	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Hackett	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Taylor
Creighton	Harris	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Heffley	Neuman	Tobash
Culver	Helm	O'Brien, M.	Toepel
Curry	Hennessey	O'Neill	Toohil
Cutler	Hess	Oberlander	Truitt
Daley	Hickernell	Parker	Turzai
Davis	Hornaman	Pashinski	Vereb
Day	Hutchinson	Payne	Vitali
Deasy	Johnson	Payton	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Peifer	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Perry	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Petrarca	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Petri	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Pickett	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pyle	Youngblood
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quigley	
DiGirolamo	Killion	Quinn	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Rapp	Speaker
Ellis			

NAYS—1

Davidson

NOT VOTING—1

Preston

EXCUSED—5

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker would like to welcome, as guests of Representative Dave Reed, Representative Jeff Pyle, and the Speaker, a group from Indiana County: Brandi Powell, Amanda Dunmire, Dalton Davis, Adrianna Gardner, Adam Fetterman, Amber Fetterman, Kaylee Maughan, Rebecca Donahey, and Autumn Parks, along with their chaperones, Bonni Dunlap, John Kanyan, and Carissa Burns. This group, as I mentioned, is from Indiana County, one of the nation's 100 best communities for young people. They are located in the rear of the House. Welcome to the hall of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. WATERS

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of an announcement, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Waters.

Mr. WATERS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To all the members here in our great chamber, I would like to invite you to come out and partake in our annual Black History Month event hosted by the Pennsylvania Legislative Black Caucus. It is going to be a great event. We are looking forward to putting it on. We have Lincoln, the great Lincoln University coming here and their choir. And afterwards, we will have something that will be pleasing to your appetite.

So I look forward to all of you coming; all are invited. It starts at 12 noon in the main rotunda.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of caucus announcements, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Susquehanna, Ms. Major.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce a Republican caucus. We will be meeting at 1 p.m. I would ask Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 1 p.m.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of caucus announcements, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce a Democratic caucus at 1 p.m.; Democratic caucus at 1 p.m. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of an announcement, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be an Appropriations Committee meeting at adjournment today. Thank you. In the majority caucus room.

The SPEAKER. There will be an Appropriations Committee meeting at adjournment of session in the majority caucus room.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. This House stands in recess until 1:30, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

SENATE MESSAGE**RECESS RESOLUTION
FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate,
February 7, 2011

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the Senate recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, February 14, 2011, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the House of Representatives recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, February 14, 2011, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
Resolution was concurred in.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

HB 262, PN 530 (Amended) By Rep. SCHRODER

An Act providing for the transfer of certain powers and duties from the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board to the Office of Attorney General; establishing a Gaming Unit in the Office of Attorney General; and making related repeals.

GAMING OVERSIGHT.

HB 391, PN 355

By Rep. SCHRODER

An Act providing for appointment of members of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board by imposing restrictions on appointment of members or former members of the General Assembly.

GAMING OVERSIGHT.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the minority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman from Lackawanna County, Mr. MURPHY, for the day. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 15, PN 143**, entitled:

An Act providing for the establishment of a searchable budget database-driven Internet website detailing certain information concerning taxpayer investments.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **CHRISTIANA** offered the following amendment No. **A00564**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 3, by inserting after "taxpayer" expenditures and

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 5 and 6 "Employment compensation." Payment, including gross salary, overtime and bonus, made to an employee or officer of a Commonwealth agency in exchange for services rendered to that Commonwealth agency.

"Entity." Any natural person, corporation, association, union, limited liability company or limited liability partnership; any other legal business entity, including a nonprofit, a grantee, a contractor and a sole proprietor; and any county, city or other local government entity.

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 18 through 23, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 26 and 27

"Funding action or expenditure." A payment by a Commonwealth agency made to an entity under the terms of a grant agreement or for the purchase of goods and services, including payments in cash, by check or by any form of electronic funds transfer or deposit. The term does not include social services payments, unemployment compensation, property tax or rent rebate checks or any other payments offered not in regard to goods provided or services rendered or not pursuant to a grant agreement but offered as direct monetary payments for which eligibility is determined in whole or in part by income level or economic status.

"Funding source." The agency responsible for requesting, authorizing or directing a funding action or expenditure.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting

"Social services payments." Cash assistance and other welfare benefits payments, education benefits payments, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation payments.

Amend Bill, page 4, line 12, by striking out "recipient" and inserting

action or expenditure
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 13, by striking out "an" and inserting a Commonwealth
 Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 16 and 17 "State Treasury." The Treasury Department of the Commonwealth.
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 18, by striking out "By August 31," and inserting
 By December
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 18, by striking out "Treasurer" and inserting
 Treasury
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 20, by striking out "known as" and inserting
 called
 Amend Bill, page 4, lines 21 through 25, by striking out "contain the revenue and expenditure information " in line 21 and all of lines 22 through 25 and inserting
 provide the annual appropriation information and funding action or expenditure information for Commonwealth agencies. Information on the Internet website shall include:
 (1) The name and address of the principal location or residence and the applicable vendor identification system of the entity receiving payment.
 (2) The amount of the funding action or expenditure.
 (3) The fund source and the funding source of the funding action or expenditure.
 (4) The applicable appropriation and appropriation fiscal year from which the expenditure is made.
 (5) A counter to show the number of times the Internet website is accessed.
 (b) Additional data.—By December 31, 2013, the PennWATCH website shall provide additional data, including:
 (1) If an expenditure was made under a contract, a hyperlink to the copy of the contract maintained under Chapter 17 of the act of February 14, 2008 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Right-to-Know Law.
 (2) A description of the program under which the funding action or expenditure is made.
 (3) The expected performance outcomes achieved from the funding action or expenditure, if available.
 (4) Information for PennWATCH to offer a hyperlink to any final State audit report relating to the entity, the program or the funding source administering the funding action or expenditure.
 (c) Access.—The Internet website shall allow the public to search for and aggregate information at no cost.
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 26, by striking out "(b) Contents" and inserting
 (d) Source tax and amount
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 26, by striking out "(c) and" and inserting
 (e),
 Amend Bill, page 4, line 27, by inserting after "year"
 , beginning in fiscal year 2012-2013
 Amend Bill, page 4, lines 29 and 30; page 5, lines 1 through 20, by striking out "and the following " in line 29, all of line 30, page 4, all of lines 1 through 19 and "State Treasurer" in line 20, page 5
 Amend Bill, page 5, line 21, by striking out "(c)" and inserting
 (e)
 Amend Bill, page 5, line 21, by striking out "The" and inserting
 Notwithstanding the requirements outlined in subsections
 (a), (b), (c) and (d), the
 Amend Bill, page 5, line 23, by striking out the comma after
 "dates"
 Amend Bill, page 5, line 26, by striking out the comma after
 "dates"
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 1, by striking out "(d)" and inserting

(f)
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 1, by striking out "Beginning August 31, 2011, information" and inserting
 Information
 Amend Bill, page 6, lines 6 through 9, by striking out "Treasurer " in line 6 and all of lines 7 through 9 and inserting
 Treasury all required information at the time the request for funding action or expenditure is submitted.
 (b) Accuracy.—Each Commonwealth agency is responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the information that it submits to the State Treasury.
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 (c) Format and classification system.—
 (1) Each Commonwealth agency shall create and
 Amend Bill, page 6, lines 12 and 13, by striking out all of said lines and inserting
 3 in ASCII-fixed text file, XML or other commonly agreed upon file format.
 (2) The State Treasury may require the use of a classification system to allow consistent categorization of different types of goods and services that may be the subject of a funding action or expenditure.
 Section 5. Submission of information.
 (a) Employment compensation.—Beginning in 2012, by February 15, each Commonwealth agency shall submit information to the State Treasury providing the employment compensation for each of its employees for the preceding calendar year.
 (b) Required information.—Each Commonwealth agency shall submit the following information to the State Treasury:
 (1) Name of the employee receiving compensation.
 (2) Amount of total employment compensation.
 (3) Funding source.
 (4) Position title.
 Section 6. Vendor identification system.
 (a) Vendor identification system.—The State Treasury may maintain a vendor identification system for mandatory use by all Commonwealth agencies in order to provide a common designation of each payee and to facilitate aggregation of data on the public Internet website.
 (b) Cooperation with agencies.—The State Treasury shall work with Commonwealth agencies in maintaining the vendor identification system.
 (c) Compatibility.—The vendor identification system shall be compatible with existing systems within Commonwealth agencies.
 Section 7. Report to General Assembly and Governor.
 The State Treasurer shall prepare an annual report containing statistical information on the usage and performance of the Internet website mandated by this act. The report shall contain, but not be limited to, frequency of user access of the website, types of data being accessed and website performance. The report shall be submitted to the General Assembly and the Governor by March 30 of each year beginning March 30, 2012.
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 14, by striking out "5" and inserting
 8
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 15, by striking out "Treasurer" and inserting
 Treasury
 Amend Bill, page 6, lines 22 and 23, by striking out "IN A SEARCHABLE MANNER AND ABLE TO BE " in line 22 and "AGGREGATED" in line 23 and inserting
 by the dates specified in this act
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 24, by striking out "6" and inserting
 20
 Amend Bill, page 6, line 25, by striking out "in 90 days" and inserting
 immediately

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is a comprehensive amendment which will strengthen HB 15. It takes suggestions made by Governor Corbett's administration, the State Treasury, Senator Pat Browne's office and leadership in the Senate, our Appropriations staff, and a considerable amount of Democratic members as well.

Mr. Speaker, this omnibus bill will incorporate salaries and compensation in the database, which currently is not in the original bill, which we feel is important. We have worked with Democratic members who offer several amendments that would also include that. We think it is important and should be in this bill.

This comprehensive, omnibus amendment will also have a tracker so that people can see, we can see in the General Assembly and in State government, how many times this Web site and database is accessed and what information. Thanks to Representative Kortz for that great suggestion.

It also creates a vendor identification system. We need an integrated bill payment system to the Treasury so that all State government is on the same system. Mr. Speaker, the vendor identification system will make sure that someone at home is able to see the hundreds of millions of dollars of contracts we award and who is receiving them in a simple manner. Our system is antiquated in Pennsylvania, and we need to join the 21st century and this bill does that, and this amendment will strengthen HB 15.

The key, I think, to this amendment, Mr. Speaker, is the two-phase implementation process that will make sure that the financial footprint of this bill is as minimal as possible. This amendment would make the bill a \$175,000 investment of the Commonwealth's money, and we will not jeopardize any transparency. The same nine criteria that are in HB 15 will be phased in in two processes, making sure that our financial impact during these tough budget times are taken notice of and respected.

I want to thank all the people I mentioned at the beginning: Democratic members, Appropriations staff, the Senate, Governor Corbett's administration, our leadership team. And I respectfully ask my colleagues, Republicans and Democrats, for an affirmative vote for this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae

Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murt	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Myers	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Neuman	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	O'Brien, M.	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Neill	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Oberlander	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Parker	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Pashinski	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Payne	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payton	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Perry	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Petrarca	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petri	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pickett	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Preston	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quinn	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Rapp	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **BARBIN** offered the following amendment No. **A00225**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year" and such other information as required by this subsection Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19 (8.1) The total number of individuals employed by each Commonwealth agency for the previous fiscal year.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes—
The gentleman indicates he is withdrawing that amendment.
The Chair thanks the gentleman.
The House will be at ease.

The House will come to order.
For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Barbin, rise? The
gentleman is in order.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
I would like to correct the record. Amendment 225 is an
agreed-upon amendment. I would like that amendment to be
discussed. It is amendment 236 that I will be withdrawing.
The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.
The Chair rescinds his withdrawal of amendment A00225.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A00225**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19
(8.1) The total number of individuals employed by each
Commonwealth agency for the previous fiscal year.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the
gentleman, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Amendment 225 is an amendment which would require the
database to include the total number of employees that each
Commonwealth agency employs. I ask for the support of both
Republican and Democratic members.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?
On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman,
Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
This amendment is an agreed-to amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longiotti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina

Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murt	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Myers	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Neuman	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	O'Brien, M.	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Neill	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Oberlander	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Parker	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Pashinski	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Payne	Vereb
Davis	Hornaman	Payton	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Perry	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Petrarca	Watson
DeLozier	Kampf	Petri	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pickett	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Preston	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Pyle	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quinn	Smith, S., Speaker
DiGirolamo	Killion	Rapp	
Dunbar	Kirkland		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question
was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was
agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as
amended?

Mr. KORTZ offered the following amendment No. **A00227**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19
(8.1) Links to each Commonwealth agency Internet
website where available.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kortz.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I offer amendment A227 today to the House for your consideration, and basically, Mr. Speaker, what this amendment will do is it will incorporate links to Commonwealth agency Web sites and make the system much more user-friendly. I want to thank my colleague, the prime sponsor, for working with me and with other Democrats to make this an enhanced bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I ask for your positive consideration.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This, too, is an agreed-to amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Ravenstahl
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Readshaw
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Reed
Barbin	Evans, J.	Krieger	Reese
Barrar	Everett	Kula	Reichley
Bear	Fabrizio	Lawrence	Roae
Benninghoff	Farry	Longietti	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Sabatina
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Saccone
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	George	Marshall	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santoni
Brooks	Gergely	Masser	Saylor
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Matzie	Scavello
Brown, V.	Gillen	McGeehan	Schroder
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Shapiro
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murt	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mustio	Tallman
Cox	Harkins	Myers	Taylor
Creighton	Harper	Neuman	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	O'Brien, M.	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	O'Neill	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Oberlander	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	Parker	Truitt
Daley	Hess	Pashinski	Turzai
Davidson	Hickernell	Payne	Verbe
Davis	Hornaman	Payton	Vitali
Day	Hutchinson	Peifer	Vulakovich
Deasy	Johnson	Perry	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Petrarca	Watson
Delozier	Kampf	Petri	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pickett	White
Denlinger	Kavulich	Preston	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Pyle	Youngblood

Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	
DeWeese	Keller, W.	Quinn	Smith, S.,
DiGirolamo	Killion	Rapp	Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Speaker's understanding that amendment A171 and 172, offered by the gentleman, Mr. Kortz, are withdrawn. Additionally, amendment A173, offered by the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito, is also withdrawn.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Longietti, intend to offer amendment A174?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. LONGIETTI offered the following amendment
No. A00174:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 3 through 23, by striking out "An executive agency, a legislative " in line 3 and all of lines 4 through 23 and inserting

Any Commonwealth agency, independent agency, legislative agency, judicial agency, State-affiliated entity or State-related institution as those terms are defined under the act of February 14, 2008 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Right-to-Know Law.

"Department." The Treasury Department of the Commonwealth.
"Expenditure." The outlay or transfer of any State-appropriated or nonappropriated funds by any Commonwealth agency, including, but not limited to, contracts and subcontracts, purchase orders, tax refunds, rebates and credits. The term shall not include the transfer of Federal or State assistance payments to an individual recipient or beneficiary of such assistance payments.

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 27 through 30; page 3, lines 1 through 24, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 26 through 30; page 4, lines 1 through 7, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Bill, page 4, line 13, by striking out "an" and inserting a Commonwealth

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 14 through 16, by striking out all of said lines

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Longietti.

Mr. LONGIETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment provides consistency with the Right-to-Know Law and thereby makes this a much stronger bill. My amendment would use the same definition of "State agencies" that we use in the Right-to-Know Law. So which State agencies are subject to having certain information available to the public at the click of a mouse and 24/7 by posting on the Web? Under my amendment, it would be the same State agencies that are covered under the Right-to-Know Law.

The bill, without my amendment, has an inherent weakness; it excludes certain State agencies that we cover under the Right-to-Know Law. Citizens would be able to make individual requests for public records from these agencies under the Right-to-Know Law, but they would not be able to view information on the PennWATCH (Pennsylvania Web Accountability, Transparency and Contract Hub) Web site concerning these same State agencies if we do not adopt this amendment.

So which agencies are we talking about? Well, under legislative agencies, under the bill as written, without my amendment, it does not include the Legislative Reapportionment Commission, the Legislative Audit Advisory Committee, and the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, just to name three. So why are these not included in the bill? I thought that we wanted to be open about the redistricting process, I thought that we wanted to be open about legislative audits, and I thought that we wanted to be open about our legislative budget and finances. If we want to be open about these things, then we need to adopt my amendment. It specifically includes these three legislative agencies, just like the Right-to-Know Law does.

The bill, without my amendment, offers up a different definition of "State-affiliated agency" than the Right-to-Know Law uses. My amendment fixes that to make the two definitions consistent. If we fail to adopt my amendment, the following agencies will not be included on the PennWATCH Web site, even though they are covered under the Right-to-Know Law. They are the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency, the Pennsylvania Game Commission, the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, community colleges, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, and the Pennsylvania Interscholastic Athletic Association.

In recent years, both PHEAA and PIAA have had controversies over their openness and transparency and their handling of finances, yet this bill, without my amendment, shields them from such transparency available through the PennWATCH Web site. Why? Like many of you, I have also heard a great deal of criticism from constituents concerning the Game Commission, yet the bill also excludes them from the PennWATCH Web site without my amendment. If we do not adopt my amendment, whose bidding are we doing?

Last year we unanimously adopted HB 1880, which contained the same definition of "State agencies" as does my amendment. Why would we want to water down that bill? If we do not pass my amendment, we have a watered-down version of HB 1880 and we break with the Right-to-Know Law. Consistency is so important in this case. We have a Right-to-Know Law which has invested millions of dollars in an Office of Open Records, providing interpretations of that law. That law provides guidance on which State agencies are covered by the law. Additionally, we have court opinions

providing further guidance. But if we adopt this bill without my amendment, it would give us a different definition of "State agencies" and we would throw away the benefit of the opinions and guidance of the Office of Open Records and of the courts that have made decisions on those cases. That information simply would not apply to PennWATCH because it contains a different definition of "State agencies," so we end up forcing additional court cases and the expense to go with it to interpret the PennWATCH law.

I thought our goal was to streamline government, to avoid duplication, and to save money. Without my amendment, we fail to achieve those goals. Therefore, if we want to have a much stronger law, if we want to cover the same State agencies as we cover in the Right-to-Know Law, if we want consistency and the advantages of clarity, efficiency, and cost savings that come with it, then we need to vote to adopt this amendment. And if you were one of the 192 members who voted for HB 1880 last year, then there is no reason for you not to vote for my amendment.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While I respect the gentleman's position, his legislation completely changes the definitions that we worked for weeks to develop with the administration, the Senate, the Treasurer's Office, and the fact that his amendment was drafted to another bill and does not really incorporate our bill is another reason I think we should defeat this amendment.

I ask my colleagues to join me in voting "no." The fact of the matter is, the definitions will completely change, and this amendment was originally drafted to a bill that had a fiscal note of \$5 million. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. MIRABITO. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

I think it is very important, every member in this House who was here last session voted for the definitions that my colleague has offered in his amendment. The new members who are here came here on transparency and accountability. They came here because they believed in transparency and accountability. There is no reason why we cannot pass this amendment. It has been voted on by all the members of this House who were here last session, and every member who came here came in their heart and came with a public statement that they were here for transparency and accountability. We owe it to the people of Pennsylvania to make every agency, whether it is gaming, whether it is PHEAA, every agency accountable to the public. It is the only way we will get real reform, real reform. I ask for support of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Turzai.

Mr. TURZAI. Thank you very much.

I rise in opposition to the amendment. Let me make it clear, anyone who would intimate that the underlying bill is not an outstanding piece of reform legislation is being disingenuous. In fact, this bill, as it stands, is an outstanding reform bill that has been worked on with the Governor's Office, the Department of

Revenue, and the Treasury Department. That includes a Republican Governor and a Democratic Treasurer. Everybody recognizes that HB 15, which was first introduced by Representative Christiana back in March of 2009 as HB 1460, is an outstanding piece that will make sure that there is accountability and openness with respect to government expenditures.

The fact of the matter is, the amendment that is before us has specific difficulties because tax rebates and refunds are protected under Right-to-Know. Accordingly, the definition of "expenditure" is inconsistent with existing Right-to-Know provisions. Unfortunately, the amendment, as written, is unworkable, and the fact of the matter is, the underlying bill is the most significant, open, accountable piece of legislation with respect to government expenditures that has been seen, and I applaud the maker of the bill, and I would ask everybody to vote "no" on an amendment that would undermine the ability of this bill to proceed forward. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we are all for accountability and openness, and that is what this amendment does. It does not destroy the bill; it makes the bill better. All the maker of the amendment is trying to do is have definitions that are clear, that make sure every State agency is included and make sure you are voting on the same language, the same bill, the same language that you voted on last session, I believe, unanimously.

This amendment makes this bill better. It clears up the definitions. Why would we want to pass a bill where it is up in the air, where it is not clear what we intend to do, the agencies that we intend to cover? The amendment makes it clear that all these agencies are covered so people can believe we are interested in accountability and transparency. So I urge all the members to vote "yes" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On the question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Shapiro.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there was a comment made by the gentleman, the prime sponsor of the bill, regarding the fiscal impact of the gentleman's amendment. Mr. Speaker, I was hoping to interrogate either the maker of the bill, the maker of the amendment, or perhaps the chairman of the Appropriations Committee as it relates to the fiscal note. Mr. Speaker, I would defer to your judgment as to whom would be the appropriate person to interrogate on that.

The SPEAKER. Whom are you seeking to— I am not sure whom you were seeking. You named a few people. Whom do you want to ask for interrogation? The maker of the amendment would certainly stand, I imagine.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will interrogate the maker of the legislation, Mr. Christiana.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman stand for interrogation?

The gentleman may proceed under interrogation.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, you had stated in your comments just a moment ago with regard to amendment 174 that it had a \$5 million fiscal impact. When I went on the HVS (House voting schedule) and clicked on the Longietti amendment, it actually took me to a

Mirabito amendment, amendment 173, that stated there was no adverse fiscal impact. So is there a fiscal note attached to the Longietti bill that the gentleman has that he may be able to read into the record, and if so, what does that say?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The fact that this amendment came from a bill, and I think it was even stated by our other colleague who introduced HB 1880, this was an amendment to that bill, and HB 1880 had a fiscal impact done by the Democratic Appropriations of a \$5 million investment. My point is, this amendment is an attempt to change the language back to that bill. I think it was even stated by the author of HB 1880 that this bill is an attempt to get that language into this bill. So is there a direct fiscal impact? No. But what I would say is that if it is attempted to take us to that bill, that is a \$5 million cost associated with it from your caucus.

What I will say is, the underlying bill addresses agencies in the definitions, it is completely transparent, and we do it for a fraction of the cost, and this bill would jeopardize that.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I may continue with my interrogation. I appreciate the gentleman's comments about the underlying bill, and as the gentleman knows, I am a cosponsor of the bill. I support this legislation. I also support the Longietti amendment, and it concerned me when the gentleman stated there was a \$5 million cost to the Longietti amendment. That was something I was not aware of. So maybe I can rephrase the question. Is there a fiscal note for the Longietti amendment in this term, not what happened in the past, and what in fact is the fiscal impact of the Longietti amendment?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. There is a fiscal note for this amendment, and if I may, Mr. Speaker, may I read a portion of that? "The adoption of this amendment may increase costs to both state agencies and the State Treasury to accommodate the revised definition of expenditures. Neither the State Treasury Department nor the Office of the Budget was able to estimate what the additional costs may be." But they did state there would be increased costs.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just for point of record, that was not on the HVS listed under amendment 174, which is fine, but again then, Mr. Speaker, the amendment itself does not add \$5 million to the price tag of the bill. What the fiscal note states, as you read it into the record, is that it could add costs, it may not add costs, but that it is unclear at best. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. That is correct.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the gentleman is in order.

Mr. SHAPIRO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And thank you for allowing the opportunity to clarify that. I support the Longietti amendment. It is consistent as we have been talking about what we did in the last session as it relates to this bill. I think the majority leader had made that reference.

I think it would be consistent to add this language back in to what is a good bill to make it even better, and you could vote for this to be consistent with your vote in the last session and not add a \$5 million cost to the price tag of the bill as was suggested earlier. I would urge my colleagues to adopt amendment 174.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the amendment rise for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Longietti, stand for interrogation?

The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. Sturla, may proceed.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, under your amendment, you said you want this to be consistent with the Right-to-Know Law, so my assumption is that currently any of the information that you are asking to be posted online is already gathered by the agencies in a Right-to-Know fashion. Is that correct?

Mr. LONGIETTI. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Under my amendment, it would be the same as the Right-to-Know Law. So all that would be happening here is that it would make it easier for the public to access it. Instead of having them make individual requests for information, it would be available on the Web as a matter of course.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you. And do you know, do we have any experience? I mean, I am assuming that having it posted once and having everyone have access to it is actually cheaper than having somebody having to go and individually collect the information and retrieve it for individual requests and file paperwork and the like.

Mr. LONGIETTI. That is an important point, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. Instead of duplicate requests from multiple people, it would be available for everybody on the Web and it would only require one retrieval.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the bill rise for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman stand for interrogation? He indicates he will. You are in order.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, earlier it was stated that you have worked with the Governor and the Treasurer and I believe there are a couple other State agencies and that this is what they wanted. Am I to assume then that they do not want us to know the other information online? Did they specifically request that Representative Longietti's information not be included in the legislation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

I am not sure that that is a proper form of interrogation to inquire as to what someone else's intent was.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I was simply asking a question as to the statement that was made that this was what they wanted. I am just trying to determine whether the assumption can be made that they specifically did not want Representative Longietti's amendment because that was what was inferred. I mean, the answer can be, no, they did not say anything.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

Mr. STURLA. They were moot on the issue.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

I just believe that asking a member what someone else was thinking might not be appropriate. I think you can ask the question, but I would ask you to maybe rephrase it in a different way.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I am not asking, what were they thinking? I am asking, did they specifically say they did not want the Longietti amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The simple answer is, no, they did not ask us not to adopt the Longietti amendment. We worked with the Democratic Treasurer. You can ask him. Actually, I should not speak on behalf of the Treasurer of what he wanted and did not want in. We worked hard on the definitions as they were amended in the omnibus amendment. This completely jeopardized that. So to answer your question, no, this jeopardizes the omnibus amendment and the definitions we just accepted. It is completely transparent. I hope that answers your question, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, if I could, on comment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the gentleman is in order.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I believe the word "jeopardizes" is a little strong here. It jeopardizes the language that the maker of the bill drafted. It does not jeopardize the intent of what he drafted. It does not jeopardize that going forward. It simply adds to what we were going to do here in the State in terms of open records laws and public posting of those.

I would encourage members to look long and hard, because when one of your constituents comes back in and says, why do I not have access to this information, hopefully you can proudly say, you do now because I voted for it. But if you do not, you can sadly say, because you chose not to make it open to the public and you chose to limit how much information the public has in the future.

This is a bill that gives the public access to more information. A "yes" vote gives the public access to more information. A "no" vote tries to keep the public in the dark about information that they have a right to.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There is another problem that has not been discussed very extensively up until this point about the amendment that we are considering right now, and that has to do with the definition of "expenditure." And if you look at the text of this on your computer or otherwise, you will see that included in the definition of expenditures that need to be reported are tax refunds. Now, there may be other areas in this bill that wind up suggesting that we should focus on Right-to-Know, but because of this definition, at the very least there is some confusion as to whether or not every single person in the State of Pennsylvania that gets a tax refund, that we need to have that information reported and put up on the Web site.

So because there is confusion about that and because this is poorly drafted as far as the definition of "expenditure" is concerned, I urge the members to vote "no" on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. White.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The member may proceed.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, if I am not mistaken, this legislation has been modeled after the Missouri openness portal. Is that correct?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Mr. Speaker, 26 States in the United States of America and the Federal government have online databases. We are not reinventing the wheel. The purpose of the underlying bill was not to model one State. It was to take the pros and cons and make the best for Pennsylvania in a financially, fiscally responsible manner.

Let us be honest, the budget times are tough, but people deserve transparency and accountability. We balanced fiscal responsibility with open and transparent government. It was not modeled after one State. We looked at all 26 States and tried to figure out the best situation for Pennsylvania. That is why we incorporated the Treasurer's Office, who will oversee and maintain this. That is why we worked with the Senate and the Governor's Office to build the best site for Pennsylvania, not to model one site from another.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

He should confine his comments to responding to the question under interrogation.

The gentleman is in order under further interrogation.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I guess throughout all of that answer, the question that would come to mind is, if this has been modeled after 26 different States, or similar to 26 different States, how many of those States have specific exemptions for agencies such as the legislation you are proposing?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. I cannot comment on what the 26 States have and do not have. This legislation, HB 15, as just amended recently is the best I believe we could do working with the other agencies. I cannot comment on what other States have. And I will say, State agencies are not exempt under the underlying bill. State agencies like the judiciary, like the executive branch must comply as well. So there are, in our estimation, no exemptions for State agencies.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, during a previous interrogation you admitted that you were not sure of what the fiscal impact of including the organizations covered under the Longietti amendment would be, yet you just said it was fiscally responsible to do it this way. And I guess my question would be, how can you say for certain it is fiscally responsible to do it this way and to be balanced this way if you do not know what the financial impact is?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. The underlying bill has an estimate of \$175,000, and that is pretty fiscally responsible if you look at the 26 other States, the antiquated system for IT (information technology) infrastructure we have. So I can confidently say that the underlying bill is fiscally responsible.

The information that Representative Longietti in his amendment is attempting to get is already available. You are happy to submit a Right-to-Know request. We are trying to manage fiscal responsibility with an online database, and I believe our bill does that. I believe the definitions of "expenditure" in the Longietti amendment jeopardize our goal. That is why I urge my colleagues to vote "no." Thank you.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, you were, I believe, the cosponsor of this legislation last session. Correct?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. I was a cosponsor of HB 1880.

Mr. WHITE. And you voted for HB 1880?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. That is correct.

Mr. WHITE. And HB 1880 included the organizations covered under the Longietti amendment. Correct?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. There were many components to that HB 1880. If we would like to have a debate on HB 1880, Mr. Speaker, I am probably not the right person for that because I did not author that bill.

HB 15 is in front of us. I think it is a good bill. An attempt to get back to that language that has a fiscal impact of \$5 million I think is not the best direction. That is for my colleagues to vote on the Longietti amendment. I am not here to debate HB 1880. I am happy to debate HB 15.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I was going to be done, but I have to ask based on that answer, this \$5 million figure is being thrown around again and you are talking about fiscal accountability. I just do not think it is very ingenious to say that there is a \$5 million tag associated with this—

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman asking—

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

Was there a question there? The Speaker was not sure.

Mr. WHITE. The question is very simple, Mr. Speaker. Do you know what the fiscal impact of adding the organizations listed under the Longietti amendment would be? Yes or no.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. I read the fiscal note on that amendment, and if you would like me for the record to repeat that answer that I read verbatim, I am happy to do that, Mr. Speaker.

And if I may add, the fact that he made about the \$5 million number that gets thrown around was to answer your question about HB 1880, which is not in front of us.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I am still waiting for a yes or no.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, does the gentleman seek recognition on the amendment?

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I am still waiting for an answer for the previous question; yes or no.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman answered the question twice. You are free then—

Mr. WHITE. On the amendment—

The SPEAKER. —to ask further questions, but they should be confined to questions in which you are soliciting information, not to be redundant.

Mr. WHITE. On the amendment then, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the gentleman is in order.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think that what we just heard here did not make a whole lot of sense. We were just told that we do not know what the fiscal impact of adding these organizations listed in the Longietti amendment would be, yet the justification is the reason they are not there is because it is fiscally responsible. Since there are no dollar values associated with it, I do not know how we could say that we are being fiscally responsible when no one even knows the answer.

HB 1880 was voted on by this chamber last session. These organizations were included. There is no good reason that has been given why they should not be now. For those reasons I support the Longietti amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kortz, from Allegheny.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of amendment 174. I want to thank the maker of the amendment and I want to thank the prime sponsor of PennWATCH and I want to thank all my colleagues today for this debate, because it has cleared up and clarified this issue for me.

I understood that the Commonwealth agencies – the executive, the legislative, judicial, and all these groups – are involved, but what I did not realize until we got into this was that there were some that are excluded; for example, PHEAA, the PUC (Public Utility Commission), the Game Commission, the PIAA, Fish and Boat Commission. Mr. Speaker, I would be remiss if I did not go back and remind my colleagues that just several years ago there was an issue at PHEAA, and I want to thank the majority Appropriations chair for leading the charge to clear that issue up. He did a great job, and again, thank you, Mr. Speaker. But we had a serious fiscal issue at PHEAA, and through his hard work and many others' hard work, that has been cleaned up, and I would hate to see that we would not put that organization, that agency, online.

So I would ask everybody to really consider what we are talking about here. There is no fiscal impact from what I understand. It is just a matter of including everybody in this Web, and we all want them on the Web sites.

So I would ask all our members to give an affirmative consideration to this. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Chair recognizes, for a second time, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the bill rise for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. You may proceed.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, earlier you said, and I believe I heard you correctly, that the reason that these other agencies were not included is because it was not fiscally responsible to include them because that information was already available through the Right-to-Know Act. Is that correct?

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Would you repeat your question, Mr. Speaker. Thanks.

Mr. STURLA. Earlier in the conversation here today I believe I heard you say that it did not make sense to include the agencies that are listed in the Longiotti amendment because that would be fiscally irresponsible because those agencies already had information available through the Right-to-Know Act.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. I did not say that, Mr. Speaker. I mentioned that that information is available, but I do not believe I said that that was fiscally irresponsible. To answer your question, no, I did not say that.

Mr. STURLA. Okay. I guess I am trying to figure out why some information that is available by the Right-to-Know Act needs to be posted and that makes it the most important reform bill that we have here and other information that is available through the Right-to-Know Act should not be posted because it does not make sense to do it. Perhaps you can help me through this to try and figure out why one piece of information is so vital and the other is not.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Mr. Speaker, in the definition that the maker of the amendment has, he includes tax refunds. Mr. Speaker, we made sure in the underlying bill that anyone receiving welfare checks, social benefits, unemployment compensation would be protected from putting this on the Web site. So a tax refund, saying that anyone that receives a tax refund under this definition should be on the Web site, we completely disagree, that that is not the right definition, and I stand by that. I should defer to the maker of the amendment, because that is what is before the House.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, if I could?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the gentleman is in order.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Actually, Representative Longiotti's amendment says that the total dollars in refunds needs to be available to the public so that the public knows how many total dollars are refunded, but it specifically says that the name of the person receiving that refund gets excluded. So it is not like somebody's name is going to get posted and they got a \$560 tax rebate. What it is going to do is let the people know in this State how many dollars do get rebated to people across the State in total.

The SPEAKER. Has the gentleman concluded?

Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

When the bill first went through the committee in the House, it was through the committee that I was chairing. It was substantially the same as this bill will be if we have the foresight and the wisdom to add this amendment to the bill.

In my committee there was a unanimous bipartisan vote which followed a very lively discussion in which members from both parties participated. On the floor, as has been said, there was a unanimous bipartisan vote for the bill substantially the same as this bill will be after we vote this amendment in.

I do not understand really in the depths of my rumination what the difference is between several months ago and today, especially since the issue of tax expenditure reporting was so clearly explained by my colleague from Lancaster.

I would also like to say that, yes, many people in a bipartisan way – and the present chair of the Appropriations Committee was a great help; was the leader, perhaps, in clearing up the scandal with PHEAA. That scandal might never have happened if people had been watching the expenditures online; might not have happened. That is the object of making a complete disclosure online for the citizens, to prevent what is embarrassing to us and what is embarrassing to the agencies that do misbehave.

I hope we do not have any more of that in Pennsylvania, but if we do not do real reform here, not faux reform, real reform, then we will.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. MIRABITO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, I want to commend my colleague on the other side. He and I know each other well. We worked together on HB 1880, and we worked to try to pass that.

I think it is important for people to understand one of the important differences between HB 15, and the maker of the bill himself just said it. He said that if somebody wants a report, they can file a Right-to-Know request. Mr. Speaker, real-time data. That is what the Web is about, real-time data. That is how Wal-Mart is able to know how much lipstick they sell in any store worldwide. It is real-time data, and that is what the public expects from one of these sites. They expect real-time data so they know.

It is ironic that the cost of \$5 million is being bandied about. The Governor when he was Attorney General spent millions of dollars pursuing the Bonusgate indictments unfortunately against members of this party, and now he has got indictments against former Representatives Perzel and Brett Feese for an \$11 million—

Mr. TURZAI. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will confine his remarks to the debate before us on the amendment. I think you are getting a little astray.

Mr. MIRABITO. My apologies, Mr. Speaker.

The point I am trying to make is that if we do not spend the money putting up a real-time data site that really allows the public and the press to police what is going on, we will continue to have the problems, and that is why the gentleman has offered an amendment adding these additional agencies. It is so that the public and the newspapers and the press can play the role that they are supposed to play and so that we do the right thing.

I urge people to support the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Turzai.

Mr. TURZAI. It is so interesting. Let us get the facts straight here. Under the underlying bill and this amendment, you do not need in this amendment the legislature's expenditures because the legislature is covered by the underlying bill. You do not need the judiciary in this amendment because the judiciary is covered in the underlying bill. You do not need the executive branch in this amendment because the executive is covered in the underlying bill. Under the definition, guess what? The Commonwealth authorities or Commonwealth entities are covered in the underlying bill, so you do not need it in the amendment. The term includes, in the underlying bill, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. You do not need it in the amendment; Commonwealth Financing Authority, do not need it in the amendment; the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, do not need it in the amendment; the Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, do not need it in the amendment; the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System, do not need it in the amendment; the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority, the State Public School Building Authority, the Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, and the State System of Higher Education, none of them needed in the amendment. The entire judicial, legislative, and executive branches are covered by Representative Christiana's HB 15. Do not tell me that the underlying bill is not significant reform.

The fact of the matter is, let us also get correct the facts about the expenditures. The good gentleman from Lycoming County's bill, the Governor himself, Governor Rendell, specifically said that it was a price tag well over \$3 million and in fact had been estimated by some at \$5 million. So if the amendment is supposed to do that bill, guess what? Once they get the entire bill back, which we keep hearing about how great

that bill was, which did not become law with a Democratic Governor and a Democratic House, I might remind you, the fact of the matter is, it had a \$5 million price tag as a whole. So there is a reason why it did not become law. The underlying bill here has a total price tag of \$175,000, yet covers all three branches of government and all the agencies I have already mentioned here, and the fact of the matter is, Republican Gov. Tom Corbett and Democratic Treasurer Rob McCord's Offices and their staff have signed on to this bill because it is cost-effective and broad in terms of reform.

So let us get on, vote this amendment down, and tomorrow we will get to the underlying bill and everybody will know that they have done the right thing. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Longietti.

Mr. LONGIETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I need to clarify some points, please.

A fair amount of what the majority leader said is correct. He named some agencies that are included in the underlying bill. However, my amendment mirrors the Right-to-Know Law and adds agencies that were not mentioned, that I mentioned in my previous comments; for example, PIAA. Without my amendment, it will not have their information posted on the Web. PHEAA, PHEAA; we talked about PHEAA, issues that we have had with PHEAA over the years, the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency. If my amendment is not adopted, PHEAA will not be posted on the Web site. We talked about the Legislative Reapportionment Commission. Once again, without my amendment, that is not included in the definition of "agency" that gets posted on the Web site. The PUC, another example. The PUC, without my amendment, it does not get posted on the Web site. Why would we not want to have the exact same definition that we have in the Right-to-Know Law? That was a well-crafted bill. People took time to define what a Commonwealth agency is. It has held the test of time in the last 2 years. So why would we want a definition that is different from the Right-to-Know Law? My amendment uses the same definition as the Right-to-Know Law, and it includes all of those agencies that I mentioned and about 10 or 15 more committees and agencies that I have not specifically enumerated and which I will if folks want me to.

A couple other points that need to be made are, I think we all agree that this amendment does not cost \$5 million. If anything, it would cost a very minimal amount for these agencies to comply, and that point needs to be stressed. There is not a fiscal note saying that this amendment costs anything near that. There was a fiscal note last session on a different bill on what that would cost. My amendment is just a small piece of that bill and really does not cost much of anything at all, and I think it is a very small price to pay for transparency.

And then finally, I need to clarify the point about the definition of "expenditure." There has been talk about tax rebates and the concern that somebody's information might be disclosed on tax rebates. The fact of the matter is, what gets disclosed is the overall amount of tax rebates globally. There are specific provisions in the underlying bill under the category of exemptions that make it clear, make it clear that anything that is prohibited from disclosure under the Right-to-Know Law is likewise prohibited from disclosure here, and we all know that that kind of personal information on individuals is excluded by the Right-to-Know Law. So there is no problem with the

definition of "expenditures." There are lots of agencies that we will not cover that are covered under the Right-to-Know Law if we do not pass this amendment, and this amendment really does not cost much of anything in the way of money.

So for all those reasons and the other reasons, I urge adoption of this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolando	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Everett	Krieger	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop Evans, D.	Micozzie Murphy	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
---------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito, seek to offer amendment A222?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MIRABITO** offered the following amendment No. **A00222**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 3 through 23, by striking out " An executive agency, a legislative " in line 3 and all of lines 4 through 23 and inserting

Any Commonwealth agency, independent agency, legislative agency, judicial agency, State-affiliated entity or State-related institution as those terms are defined under the act of February 14, 2008 (P.L.6, No.3), known as the Right-to-Know Law.

"Department." The Treasury Department of the Commonwealth.

"Expenditure." The outlay or transfer of any State-appropriated or nonappropriated funds by any Commonwealth agency, including, but not limited to, contracts and subcontracts, purchase orders, tax refunds, rebates and credits. The term shall not include the transfer of Federal or State assistance payments to an individual recipient or beneficiary of such assistance payments.

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 27 through 30; page 3, lines 1 through 24, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 26 through 30; page 4, lines 1 through 7, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Bill, page 4, line 13, by striking out "an" and inserting a Commonwealth

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 14 through 16, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Bill, page 4, line 18, by inserting after "Treasurer"

, with assistance from the Governor's Office, the Department of Revenue, the Department of General Services, the Department of State, the Auditor General, the Department of Community and Economic Development, the Appropriations Committee of the Senate, the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts and any other Commonwealth agency, as necessary,

Amend Bill, page 4, line 20, by inserting after "PennWATCH."

PennWATCH shall be updated as new data becomes available in a format that can be compiled and published on it by the department.

Amend Bill, page 4, line 25, by inserting after "cost."

PennWATCH shall be, except for maintenance, technology upgrades or unanticipated technical events, accessible on a 24-hour basis without cost and shall be readable in plain and easily understandable language.

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 26 and 27, by striking out "and " in line 26 and "by August 31 of each fiscal year" in line 27

Amend Bill, page 4, line 29, by inserting after "Commonwealth" and a total amount of revenues received by each Commonwealth agency, including revenues received from Federal funds, the General Fund, the State Lottery Fund, other funds or accounts, other dedicated funds or any other source, each listed separately,

Amend Bill, page 4, line 29, by inserting a comma after "year"

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by striking out " for the previous fiscal year" and inserting

and such other information as required by this subsection

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

(1) A searchable database of all current Commonwealth expenditures, consisting of financial data relating to the purchase of goods and services, including professional and technical services and financial disbursements through various Commonwealth programs sorted separately by Commonwealth agency, expenditure category, amount of expenditure, contract or vendor and legislative and senatorial districts.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 2, by striking out "(1)" and inserting

(2)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 4, by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(3)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 5, by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(4)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 6, by striking out "(4)" and inserting

(5)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 8, by striking out "(5)" and inserting

(6)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 8, by inserting after "contract,"

the total amount paid under the contract, including amounts paid to subcontractors, and

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

(7) The total amount expended by a Commonwealth agency from the General Fund and other funds or accounts separate from the General Fund.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 12, by striking out "(6)" and inserting

(8)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 14, by striking out "(7)" and inserting

(9)

Amend Bill, page 5, line 15, by inserting after "available"

, and a brief summary detailing the purpose of the expenditure

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

(10) A brief summary detailing any past performance outcomes achieved for a similar expenditure in a prior State fiscal year.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 16, by striking out "(8)" and inserting

(11)

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(12) The amount and nature of bonded indebtedness, including, but not limited to, the total amount of obligation stated in terms of principal and interest, an itemization of each obligation, the term of each obligation, the source of funding for repayment of each obligation, the amounts of principal and interest previously paid to reduce each obligation, if any, the balance remaining of each obligation, any refinancing of any obligation and the cited authority to issue such bonds.

(13) The total amount of salaries and wages paid to Commonwealth agencies' employees.

(14) Amounts paid for capital budget projects, including original appropriation and disbursements throughout the life of each project.

(15) Salaries and total compensation for all elected officials of Commonwealth agencies.

(16) A searchable database of all tax credit programs administered by the Department of Revenue under the applicable provisions of the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as

the Tax Reform Code of 1971, including information on approved tax credits for projects undertaken by businesses and those approved for individuals and nonprofit organizations and other organizations. The tax credit database shall be sorted by tax credit category, amount of tax credit, recipient, purpose and legislative and senatorial districts.

(17) A searchable database of each business that failed to pay the sales and use tax and each business that is subject to auditing for underreporting the sales and use tax collected. The database shall be sorted separately by name of business, geographic location, actual or estimated amount of unreported sales and use tax, amount of penalty and interest assessed and findings of audit.

(18) A searchable database of all investments of public funds, which shall include the following to be updated semiannually:

(i) The total amount of funds held by the State Treasurer.

(ii) The asset allocation for funds invested by the State Treasurer.

(iii) The benchmarks established by the State Treasurer.

(iv) Current and historic five-year return information.

(v) A detailed listing of time deposit balances, including, for each deposit, the name of the financial institution and the deposit rate.

(19) A searchable database of completed audit reports of Commonwealth agencies prepared by the office of Auditor General, including at least the following:

(i) The Commonwealth agency that is the subject of the audit.

(ii) The program or activity that is the subject of the audit, if applicable.

(iii) The entity performing the audit.

(iv) The estimated completion date for an audit not yet completed.

(v) All costs associated with conducting the audit.

(vi) A link to the Auditor General's website containing the findings and results from the completed audit after it has been released to the public.

(20) A listing compiled annually and updated quarterly of all Commonwealth agencies' full-time positions grouped by job class and sorted by the number of full-time employees, average compensation in each class and the actual compensation for each position receiving annual compensation equal to or more than \$50,000 per year. The listing required under this paragraph shall not contain any personal identifying information that can be used to identify an individual employee or an entry for salary, wages or other compensation paid to individual employees. The annual listing shall be made available on PennWATCH on or before January 31 of each year.

(21) A searchable database of monthly credit card statements for each Commonwealth agency, including credit card statements for credit cards issued to officers and employees of each Commonwealth agency for official use. Each Commonwealth agency shall make its monthly credit card statement available to the department for the purposes of PennWATCH. The credit card number on each statement shall be redacted prior to making the statement available to the State Treasurer. Credit card statements shall be made available no later than the 30th day after the first date that any portion of the balance due as depicted on the statement is paid.

(22) Links to each Commonwealth agency Internet website where available.

(23) A counter to show the number of times the Internet website is accessed.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 19, by striking out "(9)" and inserting (24)

Amend Bill, page 6, line 9, by inserting after "agency."

The department shall establish guidelines prescribing the process through which the department acquires the data to ensure compliance with this subsection.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. MIRABITO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As we have heard, last session we ran HB 1880, and it was basically a bill that my colleague from Beaver County and I put together to make it the strongest bill possible to protect Pennsylvanians and it encompassed every agency and it opened up State spending without limits so that people would know where the money was going.

My colleague from Beaver County who offers HB 15 and I modeled HB 1880 on the Missouri Internet site for State spending. Most tax reform groups in the country have hailed Missouri as having the most comprehensive transparency site in the nation for protecting taxpayers, and that is why my colleague and I from Beaver County did that last session. We worked together on it. In fact, it was a former Republican Governor from Missouri who signed an executive order making access to information easier for taxpayers. I invite the members here to go to Google and look up Missouri Accountability Portal. You can do it right now – Missouri Accountability Portal – to see how it works.

My colleague from Beaver County and I sponsored HB 1880, and now I think it is important for people to understand that the difference between HB 1880 that we ran and passed last session and HB 15 is real-time data, real-time data. We did it in a spirit of bipartisanship last session. We presented the bill to the State Government Committee together. We presented the bill on the floor of the House together. Unfortunately, HB 15 is not the Missouri transparency bill. The major difference is real-time data, and what does that mean for the public? It means that if the public wants to know about what is happening, they are going to be dealing with old information.

In the private sector, it is the Internet search technology that has revolutionized access to information. Real-time data, that is how the public is able to compare real estate prices. That is how you are able to know how many cell phone minutes you have used. It is what taxpayers get in the business world, and it is what they expect to get from government.

This, Mr. Speaker, is real reform. Real-time data is real reform. The reason I offer amendment 222 is because I believe that we have the capacity within this body to institute real reform in a way that we will forgo problems in the future. What I alluded to, Mr. Speaker, before was that had real-time data been available, some of the information that the Governor had to pursue the indictments for would have been available to the public. Some of the bad acts that took place may not have taken place. Whether it is in this body or whether it is in PHEAA, our job is to protect the public.

So I am asking you today, I am asking you today to grasp the fact that the real-time data and the changes in amendment

222 will strengthen this bill in a way that we will protect the people of Pennsylvania, in a way that will allow abuses to not occur in the future.

I urge your support of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would cost \$4 to \$5 million. That is the actual fiscal note on this amendment. Yes, it would make Pennsylvania transparent, but the underlying bill is going to make Pennsylvania transparent for \$175,000. I appreciate the gentleman's passion to make Pennsylvania transparent. I hope tomorrow he gets the opportunity to vote on HB 15 as it is.

I ask my colleagues for a "no" vote because this amendment is \$4 to \$5 million and it does not add anything towards transparency. Everything included in this amendment is included in the underlying bill. I ask a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito, for a second time.

Mr. MIRABITO. I want my colleagues to know that here is what HB 15 leaves out. The State agencies that we just discussed, credit card statements, auditors' reports, investment of public funds, businesses that fail to pay sales and use tax, the public will not have a right to know under HB 15; tax credit programs, salaries and compensations at agencies, amounts paid for capital projects. There was a lot of noise about capital projects. The public would not have a right to know under HB 15; bonded indebtedness, summary of past performance not just in terms of monetary figures but whether or not what we are doing is making a difference for the taxpayers of Pennsylvania; the purpose of expenditures; a total picture of an agency's contracts; professional and technical services. What are we spending in this Commonwealth on professional and technical services? Who is getting the money?

I urge you to do what the Republican Governor of Pennsylvania did and protect the people – excuse me – what the Republican Governor of Missouri did, Mr. Speaker – I apologize for misspeaking – and reach for the best program we can do. The point I was trying to make is that when we look at how much money we spend in indictments and in trying to pursue bad deeds and when we have a \$26 billion budget, \$5 million, while it is a lot of money and I do not spend it willy-nilly, it is still going to protect us and save the constituents that we represent a lot of money.

I urge you to pass A222.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson

Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Everett	Krieger	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Longietti, intend to offer amendment A228? The gentleman indicates he will.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. LONGIETTI offered the following amendment
No. A00228:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"

and such other information as required by this subsection

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(8.1) A searchable database of monthly credit card statements for each Commonwealth agency, including credit card statements for credit cards issued to officers and employees of each Commonwealth agency for official use. Each Commonwealth agency shall make its monthly credit card statement available to the department for the purposes of Penn-GAP. The credit card number on each statement shall be redacted prior to making the statement available to the State Treasurer. Credit card statements shall be made available no later than the 30th day after the first date that any portion of the balance due as depicted on the statement is paid.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Longietti.

Mr. LONGIETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment would require a searchable database of monthly credit card statements for each Commonwealth agency. The credit card numbers would be redacted or eliminated to avoid any concerns.

While credit cards issued to government agencies and employees can be a necessary convenience in the modern world, they are subject to a high degree of fraud and misuse. For example, in a 2008 article in the USA Today, a General Accounting Office study of Federal government credit card usage uncovered millions of dollars of fraud and waste. Cards were used for such things as Internet dating, tailor-made suits, lingerie, lavish dinners, and other questionable expenses. Obviously, tight internal controls are needed. However, perhaps the best control is for the public to have access to monthly credit card statements available on the Web. This is a strong deterrent for fraud and misuse. If government employees know that their government-issued credit card statements are available for the public to see on the Web, they will be much more careful on how they use those cards.

In my own county of Mercer several years ago, a former elected official resigned from office after having been discovered to run up cash advances and other improper charges on his county-issued credit card. It was not until the county controller received telephone calls from the credit card company that the credit card limit had been exceeded and that the bill had not been paid that the issue was discovered. The public official in this case was the recipient of the statement, and he never turned it in for payment because of the improper charges.

If we really want to deter this type of fraud or misuse at the State level and let the public know how their money is being spent, then we should enact my amendment, which would create a searchable database of State government monthly credit card statements as part of the PennWATCH system. Last year when the House voted unanimously to adopt HB 1880, we voted for such a searchable database. Unfortunately, this provision was left out of HB 15, making it a much weaker piece of reform

legislation. My amendment would correct that weakness, and I invite members to pass the amendment and to be on the side of good government reform.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this amendment that will add a cost of \$75,000 to the underlying bill and it adds zero transparency. The expenses are already going to be on the Web site and transparency will occur. We do not need the credit card statements to do that.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no." These expenses will already be on the Web site. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Table with 4 columns of names: Barbin, Boyle, Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brown, Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, Costa, Cruz, Curry, Daley, Davidson, Davis, Deasy, DeLissio, DeLuca, DePasquale, Dermody, DeWeese, Fabrizio, Frankel, Freeman, Galloway, George, Gerber, Gergely, Gibbons, Goodman, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harkins, Hornaman, Johnson, Josephs, Kavulich, Keller, Kirkland, Kortz, Kotik, Kula, Longiotti, Mahoney, Mann, Markosek, Matzie, McGeehan, Mirabito, Mullery, Mundy, Myers, Neuman, O'Brien, Parker, Pashinski, Payton, Petrarca, Preston, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Roebuck, Sabatina, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Shapiro, Smith, K., Smith, M., Staback, Sturla, Thomas, Vitali, Waters, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood

NAYS—110

Table with 4 columns of names: Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bloom, Boback, Boyd, Brooks, Brown, R., Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Cox, Creighton, Culver, Cutler, Day, Delozier, Denlinger, DiGirolamo, Dunbar, Farry, Fleck, Gabler, Geist, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Harhart, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hutchinson, Kampf, Kauffman, Lawrence, Maher, Major, Maloney, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Millard, Miller, Milne, Moul, Murt, Mustio, O'Neill, Oberlander, Payne, Peifer, Perry, Petri, Pickett, Reese, Reichley, Roae, Rock, Ross, Saccone, Saylor, Scavello, Schroder, Simmons, Sonney, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Swanger, Tallman, Taylor, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb

Table with 4 columns of names: Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, J., Everett, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Killion, Knowles, Krieger, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Reed, Vulakovich, Watson, Smith, S., Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Table with 4 columns of names: Bishop, Evans, D., Micozzie, Murphy, O'Brien, D., Wagner

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Barbin, intend to offer amendment A229? The gentleman indicates he would like to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. BARBIN offered the following amendment No. A00229:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year" and such other information as required by this subsection Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19 (8.1) A listing compiled annually and updated quarterly of all Commonwealth agencies' full-time positions grouped by job class and sorted by the number of full-time employees, average compensation in each class and the actual compensation for each position receiving annual compensation equal to or more than \$50,000 per year. The listing required under this paragraph shall not contain any personal identifying information that can be used to identify an individual employee or an entry for salary, wages or other compensation paid to individual employees. The annual listing shall be made available on Penn-GAP on or before January 31 of each year.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 229 was to be offered for the purpose of providing additional information in the database. Because of the action that has been taken on the Longiotti amendment, which will clearly subject us to litigation because we have a different definition with the Right-to-Know, I will be withdrawing this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman. Amendment A229 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, seek to offer amendment A230? Yes.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A00230:

- Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year" and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19
(8.1) A searchable database of completed audit reports of Commonwealth agencies prepared by the Department of the Auditor General, including at least the following:
- (i) The Commonwealth agency that is the subject of the audit.
 - (ii) The program or activity that is the subject of the audit, if applicable.
 - (iii) The entity performing the audit.
 - (iv) The estimated completion date for an audit not yet completed.
 - (v) All costs associated with conducting the audit.
 - (vi) A link to the Auditor General's Internet website containing the findings and results from the completed audit after it has been released to the public.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment seems to add more transparency with relation to audits that are conducted on State agencies. I do realize the bill as written includes copies of completed audits on the PennWATCH Web site, but this amendment goes further and makes three improvements.

One, it would also give information about when an audit is under way, an estimated completion date. So if somebody goes to the Web site looking up information about a State department, this would let them know that there is an audit under way and they could expect results shortly.

Secondly, it would also give information about the costs related to the audit. The Auditor General, that office itself incurs costs. This would give an estimate of the costs related to each audit.

And finally, one of the main improvements, it would include a direct link to the Auditor General's Web site. The way the bill is written, it would only give the public information about audits that have been completed on the more limited group of agencies, State agencies that are included. With the defeat of the Longietti amendment, we know that there are many

State-related agencies that are not included, but if you go to the Auditor General's Web site, actually it is very prominently displayed. Go to that Web site, and right in the top left corner it has a red link to audits online, every audit – State agencies, county audits, school agencies – State-related audits of the group that is not included per the earlier amendments not being approved, but a more comprehensive list if you add a direct link to the Auditor General's Web site and not just the copies of those audits particularly related to the agencies enumerated.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to this amendment.

Mr. Speaker, there is another \$50,000 increase to the total cost because of that, and once again, it does not add anything to the bill. The underlying bill provides a hyperlink, as the gentleman has in his amendment, to all State audits, and that includes all State-affiliated entities, all audits done will be a hyperlink to the Auditor General's actual audit.

Therefore, I ask my colleagues to vote "no." This is redundant. Those audits will already be part of the Web site. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, for a second time.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just one follow-up to the gentleman from Beaver County. He cited a cost of \$50,000. There would be zero cost to add a link to the Auditor General's Web site. In fact, he himself just said that this would include a link for those audits that he wants to include. So the adding of the Web site does not add any cost.

The only other things here are asking the Auditor General to estimate what date an underway audit is going to be completed. That does not cost \$50,000. And also an estimate of the costs related to each audit. The Auditor General himself has internal budgetary controls. I do not think it costs the Auditor General of Pennsylvania \$50,000 to come up with an estimate of the cost of his own departments. So that \$50,000 figure, in my view, is not reflective of the cost of this audit, because the main part of it is linking to a Web site which can be done at no cost.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana, for a second time.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The reason for the cost of \$50,000 is because the Samuelson amendment that is in front of us creates another database. It says to create a database.

And he mentioned a link to the Auditor General's Web site. Thankfully, Representative Kortz offered an amendment that passed unanimously that will put links to all State agency Web sites, which would include the Auditor General, and so therefore, it is another reason I think this amendment is redundant, and we do not need another database of a database, and I urge my colleagues to vote "no." Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermoddy	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S., Speaker
Everett	Krieger	Reed	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Conklin, seek to offer amendment A231?

Mr. CONKLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Conklin, who offers up amendment A0231, which the clerk will read.

Mr. CONKLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **CONKLIN** offered the following amendment No. **A00231**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"

and such other information as required by this subsection

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(8.1) A searchable database of all investments of public funds, which shall include the following to be updated semiannually:

(i) The total amount of funds held by the State Treasurer.

(ii) The asset allocation for funds invested by the State Treasurer.

(iii) The benchmarks established by the State Treasurer.

(iv) Current and historic five-year return information.

(v) A detailed listing of time deposit balances, including, for each deposit, the name of the financial institution and the deposit rate.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Conklin.

Mr. CONKLIN. Thank you again, Mr. Speaker.

This one is quite easy. It just changes a couple key words in it, and I am sure it was strictly an oversight from my good friend from Beaver County.

If you look at it, it says certain things on the Web site, and after talking to my technical folks within the database system, if we would add all items, all expenditures, it would be much more open to the public, and when you look at a financial standpoint, according to my good friends who do the technical work here in the Capitol, they are telling me that will actually be easier and more cost-efficient.

So all this does is just change it from certain items to all items so the public has access to everything we do, and plus it will make it easier and probably more cost-effective that they do not have to take the time to pull certain things out of that database.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I oppose this amendment. The fiscal note is another \$100,000 and does not add any transparency to the system, and I would urge a "no" vote from my colleagues. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Conklin, for a second time.

Mr. CONKLIN. I appreciate the concern for the fiscal note, but again, I talked to the folks. They said it would actually be more cost-effective.

And two, what is the cost of openness in government? We have seen the cost of not being open. I believe that it will save the taxpayers and it will save this institution to do it correctly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermoddy	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longiotti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Everett	Krieger	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop Evans, D.	Micozzie Murphy	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
---------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MIRABITO** offered the following amendment
No. **A00232**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19
(8.1) A searchable database of each business that failed
to pay the sales and use tax and each business that is subject to
auditing for underreporting the sales and use tax collected. The
database shall be sorted separately by name of business,
geographic location, actual or estimated amount of unreported
sales and use tax, amount of penalty and interest assessed and
findings of audit.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. **MIRABITO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a very simple amendment. It says that if a business fails to pay the sales and use tax, that the public has a right to know, and if they underreport it, the public has a right to know. This would put this on the database. It is a way for 12 million eyes in Pennsylvania to keep track of what is happening, especially if businesses happen to start getting State contracts who have not collected the sales and use tax.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Mustio.

Mr. **MUSTIO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment, please.

The SPEAKER. Will the maker of the amendment stand for interrogation? He indicates he will. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. **MUSTIO**. Two quick questions. Does the amendment exclude anybody in Pennsylvania as far as this information being disclosed?

Mr. **MIRABITO**. I do not quite understand your question. Does it exclude it if the business failed to pay the sales and use tax?

Mr. **MUSTIO**. Right. If any business had certain ownership by certain individuals, but everybody would have to be included; no exceptions to that.

Mr. MIRABITO. I do not quite understand. Whom would you want excepted if they did not pay?

Mr. MUSTIO. I do not want anybody excepted. I am asking the question, does the amendment—

Mr. MIRABITO. No—

Mr. MUSTIO. —exclude anyone?

Mr. MIRABITO. No; no. There would be no exceptions. If they failed to pay the sales and use tax or failed to remit it, then they would be listed. I actually believe that there is a list at the Department of Revenue. All this would do is make it available to the public 24/7.

Mr. MUSTIO. That was my question. How is this information currently obtained by the public?

Mr. MIRABITO. I cannot speak as an expert at the Department of Revenue, but I imagine if you wrote a letter to the Secretary of the Department of Revenue and asked for the information, 3 or 4 weeks later you might get a response. The point of this transparency portal is to allow it to be instant 24/7 so that people can begin to take action immediately.

Mr. MUSTIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Ms. Harper.

Ms. HARPER. May I ask a question of the maker of the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The lady may proceed.

Ms. HARPER. Thank you.

Does this amendment require people being audited to also be posted on the Web?

Mr. MIRABITO. No. Does it require people to be audited, is your question?

Ms. HARPER. No. Does it require people who are being audited, which is how I read it, also to be posted on the Web along with those who have not paid or remitted the sales taxes?

Mr. MIRABITO. Only the ones who have underreported it. In other words, it does not change the State law. It simply says that if you did not remit the sales tax, here it is, here is the list, and if you are subject to auditing for failure to do that.

Ms. HARPER. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the lady is in order.

Ms. HARPER. Mr. Speaker, within the year after I was first elected State Representative, my personal income tax returns and my campaign reports were all audited. Fortunately, I passed.

I do not think being audited is an indication of some taxpayer having done anything wrong, and therefore, I do not think that the mere fact that one is being audited is something that should be posted on the Web along with those people who are not remitting taxes. Therefore, because this amendment includes those who are being audited, I am going to be voting "no."

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MIRABITO. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

I will come back to you.

The gentleman is in order.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just ask for a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito, for a second time.

Mr. MIRABITO. If there is some confusion about the language here, I am willing to withdraw it, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

Amendment A232 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MIRABITO** offered the following amendment No. **A00233**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"

and such other information as required by this subsection

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(8.1) A searchable database of all tax credit programs administered by the Department of Revenue under the applicable provisions of the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, including information on approved tax credits for projects undertaken by businesses and those approved for individuals and nonprofit organizations and other organizations. The tax credit database shall be sorted by tax credit category, amount of tax credit, recipient, purpose and legislative and senatorial districts.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. MIRABITO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this is just an effort to try to educate the public by using the technology available. This would include a searchable database of all tax credit programs, both to allow people who are entitled to participate to use them and also to educate the public about what they are for.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just ask, for the same reasons as before, a "no" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—85

Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Dermody	Kortz	Readshaw
Brennan	DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck
Briggs	Fabrizio	Kula	Sabatina
Brown, V.	Frankel	Longietti	Sainato
Brownlee	Freeman	Mahoney	Samuelson
Burns	Galloway	Mann	Santarsiero

Buxton	George	Markosek	Santoni
Caltagirone	Gerber	Matzie	Shapiro
Carroll	Gergely	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Conklin	Goodman	Mullery	Staback
Costa, D.	Haluska	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, P.	Hanna	Myers	Thomas
Cruz	Harhai	Neuman	Vitali
Curry	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Daley	Hornaman	Parker	Wheatley
Davidson	Johnson	Pashinski	White
Davis	Josephs	Payton	Williams
Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca	Youngblood
DeLissio			

NAYS—111

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barbin	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Barrar	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Bear	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Benninghoff	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Bloom	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boback	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Boyd	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brooks	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Brown, R.	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Causar	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Christiana	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Clymer	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Cox	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Creighton	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Culver	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Cutler	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Day	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Delozier	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
Denlinger	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
DiGirolamo	Kauffman	Pickett	Verbe
Dunbar	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Ellis	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Emrick	Killion	Quinn	
Evankovich	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Evans, J.	Krieger	Reed	Speaker
Everett			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barbin, who offers up amendment A234. The gentleman indicates the amendment is withdrawn. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MIRABITO** offered the following amendment
No. **A00235**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19
(8.1) Amounts paid for capital budget projects, including
original appropriations and disbursements throughout the life of
each project.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. **MIRABITO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this would provide the public with information about amounts paid for capital budget projects. Oftentimes we hear the public questioning about the cost of various capital projects. This is a way for them to be educated and to have the information so they can begin to make decisions about whether those projects are good or not.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. **CHRISTIANA**. I ask a "no" vote from my colleagues on this amendment for the same reasons as before. It adds another \$100,000 and does not add anything to the Web site. This information will already be available. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Carroll.

Mr. **CARROLL**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment, but I can see what is happening and it is clear how the outcome is going to unfold here in a moment. But my suspicion is, with this amendment and the Longietti amendments and other amendments, the day after this bill is signed by the Governor – I have every expectation we will get to that day – there will be cosponsorship memos circulated to do many of the things that we were trying to do with some of these amendments. I suspect that some of those cosponsorship memos will result in bills that get sponsored by members of both sides of the aisle, and I suspect that someday we will be back to do some of the very amendments that we are not going to consider today.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman for his astute observation.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina

Briggs	Fabrizio	Longiotti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Everett	Krieger	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Speaker's understanding that the gentleman, Mr. Barbin's amendment, A236, has been withdrawn? The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A00237:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year" and such other information as required by this subsection

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(8.1) The amount and nature of bonded indebtedness, including, but not limited to, the total amount of obligation stated in terms of principal and interest, an itemization of each obligation, the term of each obligation, the source of funding for repayment of each obligation, the amounts of principal and interest previously paid to reduce each obligation, if any, the balance remaining of each obligation, any refinancing of any obligation and the cited authority to issue such bonds.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In the spirit of bipartisanship, I came up with an amendment that Republicans and Democrats can agree on. This amendment would require that the PennWATCH Web site include information about the debt of the Commonwealth. If you go to the Missouri Web site, there is information about the debt of that State. We should also provide that kind of information to our citizens. There is a Web site that you can go to, usdebtclock.org, where you can look up detailed information on the Federal deficit and the Federal debt.

So here this is an opportunity to include information about Pennsylvania's debt on its Web site. Knowing that the goal of this legislation is to promote information about the expenditures of the Commonwealth, well, debt service is an expenditure of the Commonwealth and we should give the citizens information.

I know the gentleman from Beaver is going to say this adds costs; it does not add anything to the Web site. My goodness, adding information about the debt of the Commonwealth does add information that is useful to our citizens. And furthermore, this information is already compiled by our Office of the Budget. Whenever the State of Pennsylvania puts out a bond issue, most recently in December of 2010, the Office of the Budget compiles an official statement. There is a 77-page document. You could go to that document, and there are actually four pages in that document that outline exactly what this amendment would require: information about how much the debt of the Commonwealth is, currently \$9.8 billion as of last June 30; information about the various bond issues, all itemized; and also, information about the principal and interest payments going from now till the next 20 years. So that kind of information is already compiled by the Office of the Budget within the Corbett administration. It would be quite easy to add a link to that information, and it would not cost \$50,000, as the gentleman is about to say.

The acronym of this legislation, PennWATCH, includes the initials for accountability and transparency. I think adding information about the debt of the Commonwealth adds transparency to our citizens. When we prepare the official statement, we are letting the investors who might seek to buy the bonds of Pennsylvania, we are letting them know this information. We should let the citizens of Pennsylvania know this information.

I encourage a "yes" vote on amendment 237.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Christiana.

Mr. CHRISTIANA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment, because this is meant to be a database that shows Pennsylvania where our expenditures are going and where we are spending their money. The billion dollars a year that we spend on debt service when we make those payments, they will be on the Web site. So I urge my colleagues, there is a cost associated with it. It is not just a hyperlink. If you read the amendment, there is a considerable amount of data. Once we make those payments, they will be on the Web site; that billion dollars a year that we pay in debt principal and interest will be on this Web site.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, for a second time.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If this was included in the Web site, you could actually check that it is \$1.1 billion this coming year. And also, rather than just a number – \$697 million of principal, \$477 million of interest – rather than that number that the gentleman from Beaver just alluded to, this provides background information on what makes up that number, what bond issues have been issued over the last 20 years, what payments are going to be made over the next 20 years, and also useful information like a debt per capita ratio, lots of information already collected by our Office of the Budget.

I encourage a "yes" vote so that we can make this available to the citizens of Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, over the last 8 years we have heard almost daily here about the debt the Commonwealth has been incurring. I cannot believe that you would not want to have the people of Pennsylvania understand and know what that debt is, and we just heard about the debt and interest would be available to the folks on the Web. I cannot believe you would want to vote against that information being readily available. We should pass this amendment.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, does the majority leader seek recognition?

Mr. TURZAI. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Turzai, on the amendment.

Mr. TURZAI. I find it interesting that the folks that have been advocating and voting for all the borrowing are asking for inclusion in this particular bill. We have been consistently voting against that borrowing in the past two sessions.

And I will tell you this, as probably the person who has most gotten up to argue against the amount of borrowing and what we are paying back in principal, interest, and fees for expenditures that your kids and grandkids should not be paying for, please understand I have been able to get that information from a Web site already. If you look at the CAFR reports, the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports, from the Office of

the Budget, you can get all that information. It is on the site. I have been able to make use of it in my arguments on the House floor, and I am sure the public can make use of it.

Certainly under the last administration, we have recklessly been borrowed into significant debt, and I would just argue that the reason we are not including it in this particular is that information is already available, and why spend more money to duplicate something that is already being done.

Please vote "no." But I will be glad to take up a lot more debt issues on the substance not just on the reporting, as we move forward in this session.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We have had a long discussion today. We pulled some amendments. We are attempting to do this in a bipartisan fashion.

The thing that really is hard to understand is the Federal government allows this information to be on their Web site. And you say that it is the Democrats that have increased the spending. Well, the Republicans increased the Federal debt. We need the truth. Well, let me finish. The statement of the last speaker was that it is funny that we should be raising this issue. Well, the issue is the truth to your constituents. The issue says, if you start on January 1 with an amount of debt, then you ought to be able to look at the debt at the end of the year and say, how much has it increased? Our national debt is above \$13 trillion now. Our State debt is so substantial that it became one of the main issues in the last gubernatorial race, and you do not want that information to be available to the public? Well, I as a citizen of Pennsylvania want to know what the debt is when we start this session, I want to know what it is going to be at the end of this year, and I want to know what it is going to be at the end of next year. I think every other person that is worried about the debt, no matter what district he is in, is going to want that same information, and it is a very weak answer for us to say, well, you can go and make a Right-to-Know request or you can go to another agency to get the bill.

This portal is supposed to provide the citizens of Pennsylvania with information that allows them to know whether we are doing our job. You have already cut out 10 to 15 commissions and agencies that will not have to report and now you are telling the people of Pennsylvania that we are not going to report on the debt either; you have got to go look somewhere else for it. The purpose of this bill that we are supposed to vote on tomorrow is to provide information to the public in an easy access format, and all you are doing by voting against this amendment is saying, sorry, we are going to hide that and we are not going to show you what the debt is going to be when you control the branches of government.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that all members of this House, on behalf of all citizens of the Commonwealth, have a little bit of transparency as it relates to debt and that our debt should be shown on a portal. If we are going to spend \$175,000 to try to provide some information to the public, we at least ought to show the debt.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The truth is that the information the gentleman claims he wants to see on the Web can already be seen on the Web. I am delighted that he has taken an interest in the astonishing amount of debt that his votes have contributed to, but that information is already available on the Web—Office of the Budget, Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. It is there.

Now, you might feel pretty good about offering to make a law to require something that is already happening to happen, but it does not really seem to accomplish very much. The truth is, what you are asking for already happens, and this is about something else, and I do not know what that truth is.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am sort of astounded to stand here and hear people say, oh, we do not want this at this portal because you can find it someplace else. I expect you could find anything about this State government somewhere on the Internet if you were really sophisticated and if you had unlimited time.

Now, the point of this last session and this session is to put it all in one place so you do not have to have a Ph.D. (doctor of philosophy) in computer science to find the information. The object of this bill is to make sure that anybody can go on and get the data.

We do not need this bill at all, period, if the answer is you can find it someplace else. Maybe I should have had an amendment that said, let us strip out everything you can find someplace else. Then we would not have had anything left.

Come on, Mr. Speaker. This is bipartisan. We need this information on our portal. Let us do what is right. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On the question, the Speaker recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Turzai.

Mr. TURZAI. Real briefly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We would urge everybody just to vote "no." But I am hoping to work diligently with my colleague from Philadelphia County both on the underlying substance – we will be glad to approach her about rolling back some of the debt – and we will be looking, perhaps, at including on the Web site some of the roll-call votes for the amount of debt that had been voted upon the past 4 years. We will move forward on that, but I would urge a "no" vote right now because the information is available and it has extra cost. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The honorable majority leader has been somewhat casual, in my view, in recollecting the past. GOP titans in the General Assembly, many of them habitués of the other body, have voted to increase the debt limit from time to time during the last several administrations.

The indomitable Thomas Ridge, battlefield tested and a moderate in high esteem, at least from my point of view, led the charge for our wonderful athletic teams in the State, and notwithstanding the fact that there was a paucity of support

from your side of the aisle, the Steelers, Eagles, Pirates, and Phillies are the happy beneficiaries, and their communities and their local economies, as Leader Dermody and I have experienced recently, have been vitalized and revitalized by occasionally increasing the debt service. And, Mr. Speaker, we could not have done it – and Mr. Samuelson's amendment is appropriate for this reason – without a bipartisan vote. I will admit that you folks were quite parsimonious in giving us votes at the time, but your Senate colleagues were not. They were generous.

And I am not any expert at anything, but I do know that Moody's and Standard & Poor's have not rated us ignominiously. They have been very kind, very generous to the Keystone State in recent months. Edward G. Rendell's aggressive economic efforts that were happy in their testing of your own districts, many of you, many of you, God bless all of you folks over there on the Republican side of the aisle who voted again and again and again, negative after negative after negative—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, sir. Yes, sir, Mr. Speaker; you are correct to suspend me.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker was thinking you are an expert at one thing, and that is stretching the limits of the debate. The Speaker—

Mr. DeWEESE. You have been very magnanimous—

The SPEAKER. Respectfully, the Speaker would ask the gentleman to stay on the subject of the amendment and not on the history of the legislature.

Mr. DeWEESE. I am going to truncate my enthusiasm, relinquish the microphone, thank you for your generosity, and ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	DeWeese	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Longietti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	George	Matzie	Shapiro
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gergely	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mullery	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neuman	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	O'Brien, M.	Waters
Curry	Harkins	Parker	Wheatley
Daley	Hornaman	Pashinski	White
Davidson	Johnson	Payton	Williams
Davis	Josephs	Petrarca	Youngblood
Deasy	Kavulich		

NAYS—110

Adolph	Farry	Lawrence	Reese
Aument	Fleck	Maher	Reichley
Baker	Gabler	Major	Roae
Barrar	Geist	Maloney	Rock
Bear	Gillen	Marshall	Ross
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Marsico	Saccone
Bloom	Gingrich	Masser	Saylor
Boback	Godshall	Metcalfe	Scavello
Boyd	Grell	Metzgar	Schroder
Brooks	Grove	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hahn	Miller	Stephens
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Stern
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stevenson
Cox	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Creighton	Heffley	Mustio	Tallman
Culver	Helm	O'Neill	Taylor
Cutler	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tobash
Day	Hess	Payne	Toepel
Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Toohil
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Truitt
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petri	Turzai
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Vereb
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pyle	Vulakovich
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Quigley	Watson
Evankovich	Killion	Quinn	
Evans, J.	Knowles	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Everett	Krieger	Reed	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Bishop	Micozzie	O'Brien, D.	Wagner
Evans, D.	Murphy		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **CONKLIN** offered the following amendment No. **A00238**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 15 and 16
(7.1) A brief summary detailing any past performance
outcomes achieved for a similar expenditure in a prior State
fiscal year.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Conklin.

Mr. CONKLIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, I have enjoyed the debate today, Mr. Speaker, and I have enjoyed the way we have been going back and forth talking about it. As you can see, I have three more amendments – 238, 239, and of course, 242. These amendments were basically to put on the Web to let people know how the money was spent in the past so they could see whether it was worth

spending now. One was to let the folks know exactly what the expenditures were going to be. But one, as the leader said, I am afraid to pull it now because I may want to keep it, because what it was going to do was to put on the Web to let the people of Pennsylvania know who received the money. It was going to let them know district by district. So when people stand up and say, no, I am against spending money, but they see money being spent in their district that came in through that legislator, they can either go up to them and say, thank you, Legislator, for bringing that money in, those WAM moneys (walking-around moneys) in, or they can say, no, I want you out of office.

But I am going to pull those, unless – unless, Mr. Speaker – somebody comes over now and asks me quickly to keep those on the agenda to be voted on. But, but—

The SPEAKER. The Speaker was hoping the gentleman was moving toward withdrawing the amendments. I was letting you go if you were—

Mr. CONKLIN. I was getting there.

The SPEAKER. —if you were going to do something as productive timewise as that.

Mr. CONKLIN. I was getting there. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. CONKLIN. If you would, if it is okay with the folks in this hall today, I will pull those three amendments unless somebody comes over to me and wants to know where those checks were spent and in whose district and who got the most amount.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

Amendments A238, 239, and 242 are withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MIRABITO** offered the following amendment No. **A00240**:

Amend Bill, page 5, line 1, by inserting after "year"
and such other information as required by this subsection
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 11 and 12
(5.1) The total amount expended by a Commonwealth
agency from the General Fund and other funds or accounts
separate from the General Fund.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Mirabito.

Mr. MIRABITO. Mr. Speaker, I will be withdrawing 240.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

Amendment A240 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman like to withdraw 241 as well or would you like it considered?

Mr. MIRABITO. I would like to make a quick comment about 241. I am not sure the vote is going to go any differently, but I would like to make a quick comment about it and then I will withdraw it.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. MIRABITO. Part of the point of having this real-time data portal that Missouri has is to reduce the amount of money the State spends, and you do that by getting eyes looking at how the State spends the money.

So, for example, in Texas they found that they were spending money a certain way to buy their pencils, and the State could do it— Someone came along and said, I can do that for less money. That is why it is important to have real-time data. When you do not have real-time data, you are basically operating without current information.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

There are several amendments that were filed late that would require a suspension of the rules, and it is my understanding that all of those amendments are going to be withdrawn since they would require a suspension of the rules? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 42 be removed from the tabled calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that HB 42 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 15;
HB 68; and
HB 75.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, there will be no further votes. There may be an announcement.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of an announcement, the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph, is recognized.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be an Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room at 4:15. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Appropriations will meet in the majority caucus room at 4:15.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. HENNESSEY

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of an announcement, the gentleman, Mr. Hennessey from Chester County, is recognized.

Mr. HENNESSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The informal discussion among Republican members of the House Aging and Older Adult Services Committee will convene in room 209 Ryan, my office, promptly upon the declaration of adjournment of the House today. Thank you.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MS. YOUNGBLOOD

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentlelady seek recognition?

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Mr. Speaker, to announce an informal meeting.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order.

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD. Thank you. And I know you almost forgot about me.

I am announcing an informal Democratic Gaming Oversight meeting in the Irvis Office Building in G-11 following session. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Any other announcements?

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess until 4:30.

There will be no other votes. The House will stand in recess until 4:30 for a report of a committee.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**HB 15, PN 531**

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act providing for the establishment of a searchable budget database-driven Internet website detailing certain information concerning taxpayer expenditures and investments.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 68, PN 145

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in falsification and intimidation, further providing for failure to comply with registration of sexual offenders requirements; in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for definitions, for registration, for registration procedures and applicability, for sentencing court information and for verification of residence; in registration of sexual offenders, providing for registration of transients; and in registration of sexual offenders, further providing for victim notification, for other notification, for information made available on the Internet, for duties of the Pennsylvania State Police and for photographs and fingerprinting.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 75, PN 38

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentence for failure to comply with registration of sexual offenders.

APPROPRIATIONS.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Seeing no further business before the House, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dunbar from Westmoreland County, who moves that this House adjourn until Wednesday, February 9, 2011, at 11 a.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 4:41 p.m., e.s.t., the House adjourned.