

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25, 2003

SESSION OF 2003

187TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 50

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (JOHN M. PERZEL)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

REV. JULIANN V. WHIPPLE, Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Eternal God, we have come to this Capitol from places widespread and diverse. As spokes of a wheel, we have lodged ourselves in the hub of our purpose, and the many, now one, lift one voice to acknowledge Your power and put our trust in Your care.

We pray for those who sit here at the desks of decision. Grant that in strength they may be humble and in weakness unafraid, that their visions may be pure and that they may be steadfast in seeking the truth. Save all of us from arrogance and envy, from greed and bad temper. Save us from the idleness that rots and from the zeal that consumes. Save us from saluting those who wield the power to grant a better position and from ignoring our loved ones in our homes.

While our minds are focused on our loved ones, we continue to pray for them, asking that You would watch over them, provide for them, and bless them in body and soul. We pray that when we are blessed to see them, that we are not so preoccupied with our purposes that we fail to hear their voices or pay attention to their special needs. Keep us with them, ready to listen and to love, knowing that the real power comes from opening ourselves to the vulnerability of trust and love.

As people who have found their place in this world, let us never be so set in our ways that we fail to hear young voices and new ideas or hold so firm to our grip on power that we reject the contributions of others.

We offer these our prayers to You in one communion of peace and respect. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, June 24, 2003, will be postponed until printed.

SENATE MESSAGE

ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate
June 24, 2003

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 30, 2003, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 30, 2003, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, there are no requests for leaves of absence.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Argall, for the purposes of an announcement.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is my understanding that we are about to enter a brief break, and if that is the case, the House Appropriations Committee would meet upon that declaration in room 245.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, we are not breaking, but we will be doing housekeeping, and you could do your meeting—

Mr. ARGALL. So we meet immediately?
 The SPEAKER. That is good.
 The Appropriations Committee will meet immediately in room 245.

PETITION REFERRED

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to Article VI, section 4, of the Constitution, the Speaker is hereby referring the attached petition for impeachment to the Judiciary Committee.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
 Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bill be taken off the table: HB 205.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the motion?
 Motion was agreed to.

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
 Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bill be laid upon the table: HB 205.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the motion?
 Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REPORTED AND REREFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND YOUTH

HB 1621, PN 2048 By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for a Children's Ombudsman Act, for powers and duties of ombudsman, for investigative and remedial powers, for response to complaints, for cooperation of agencies and providers, for confidentiality of investigators and records, for findings and recommendations, for protection from retaliation and for nonexclusivity of remedy.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1622, PN 2049 By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for prevention services for certain children and families; establishing the Office of Prevention Services and the Prevention Services Board; and providing for prevention services coordinators and for block grants.

JUDICIARY.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 856, PN 2187 (Amended) By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for school police officers.

JUDICIARY.

HB 857, PN 1010 By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for municipal police education and training.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1189, PN 2188 (Amended) By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for restitution for injuries to person or property.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1222, PN 1469 By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for summary offenses involving vehicles.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1660, PN 2164 By Rep. LEH

An Act requiring notification of condemnation proceedings by public utilities and pipeline companies.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 72, PN 1028 (Amended) By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for aggravated assault; further defining "criminal justice agency"; and further providing for expungement and for use of records for employment.

JUDICIARY.

SB 109, PN 106 By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for law enforcement records.

JUDICIARY.

SB 259, PN 265

By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for protective equipment for motorcycle riders.

TRANSPORTATION.

SB 319, PN 1030 (Amended)

By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to join the Interstate Compact for Juveniles; providing for the form of the compact; imposing additional powers and duties on the Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth and the Compact; establishing the State Council for Interstate Juvenile Supervision; and making a repeal.

JUDICIARY.

SB 441, PN 1029 (Amended)

By Rep. O'BRIEN

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for persons qualified to solemnize marriages.

JUDICIARY.

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 72, PN 403

By Rep. LEH

A Resolution urging the Federal Communications Commission to clarify the role of the state public utility commissions in the implementation of a 2-1-1 abbreviated dialing code for health and human services delivery.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

HR 264, PN 1581

By Rep. LEH

A Concurrent Resolution memorializing the Citizens' Stamp Advisory Committee of the United States Postal Service to consider and recommend to the United States Postal Service Board of Governors the issuance of a commemorative stamp honoring the United States Brig Niagara.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

HR 271, PN 1656

By Rep. LEH

A Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to pass H.R. 742.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to welcome to the floor of the hall of the House, as the guest of Chuck McIlhinney, Erik Waechter, Wissahickon Middle School, who just graduated the sixth grade. He won a "Page for the Day" package at an auction. Erik, would you please rise and be recognized.

The Chair welcomes to the floor of the hall of the House Justin Alleman as a guest page. He is using his experience as credit for his Citizenship in the Nation Boy Scout merit badge. He is here today as the guest of Representative Pat Fleagle. Would Justin please rise.

The Chair recognizes the presence in the hall of the House of Christine DiAiuto. She is an intern for the House Archives and Records Center through the Bipartisan Management Committee. Christine is from Harrisburg and is a senior at Penn State University Capital Campus. She is majoring in public policy and will graduate in August. Christine, please rise.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

SB 55, PN 49

A Joint Resolution proposing separate amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, further providing for rights of accused in criminal prosecutions and for judicial administration.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1699 By Representatives J. EVANS, BEBKO-JONES, BROWNE, COSTA, DIVEN, FABRIZIO, HARRIS, McNAUGHTON and WILT

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for the definition of "case" of malt or brewed beverages, for authority to issue liquor licenses to hotels, restaurants and clubs, for sale of malt or brewed beverages by liquor licensees and for retail dispensers' restrictions on purchases and sales.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 25, 2003.

No. 1700 By Representatives GODSHALL, ARMSTRONG, BELFANTI, BUNT, CRAHALLA, CURRY, GOODMAN, HARHAI, HERSHEY, HORSEY, MACKERETH, McGILL, MICOZZIE, S. MILLER, MYERS, O'NEILL, REICHLEY, RUBLEY, SANTONI, SCAVELLO, SEMMEL and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for actuarial cost method.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 25, 2003.

No. 1701 By Representatives CAUSER, BAKER, CRAHALLA, CREIGHTON, DENLINGER, FAIRCHILD, FEESE, HALUSKA, HANNA, HENNESSEY, HERSHEY, HORSEY, HUTCHINSON, LYNCH, MAJOR, McILHATTAN, REICHLEY, SATHER, R. STEVENSON, SURRA, THOMAS, YOUNGBLOOD and DALEY

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1929 (P.L.1798, No.591), referred to as the Forest Reserves Municipal Financial Relief Law, providing for distribution of timber, wood products and gas and oil ground rentals and royalties.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 25, 2003.

No. 1702 By Representatives PETRONE, PISTELLA, SOLOBAY, BARRAR, JAMES, HARHAI, KOTIK, KIRKLAND, CORRIGAN, HENNESSEY, BUNT, McGEEHAN, LAUGHLIN, SAINATO, LEDERER, BELFANTI, KELLER, LaGROTTA, GERGELY, TURZAI, RAYMOND, READSHAW, WALKO and STURLA

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, defining “biometrics,” “fingerprint imaging” and “smart card technology”; and further providing for issuance and content of driver’s license and for commercial driver’s license.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 25, 2003.

No. 1703 By Representatives FEESE, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, BALDWIN, BIANCUCCI, BROWNE, BUNT, CAPPELLI, CAUSER, CLYMER, CREIGHTON, CRUZ, CURRY, DENLINGER, DeWEESE, EGOLF, FLICK, FORCIER, GEIST, GEORGE, GERGELY, GILLESPIE, GINGRICH, HALUSKA, HARHAI, HARPER, HARRIS, HENNESSEY, HERMAN, HESS, HICKERNELL, KELLER, LEWIS, MAJOR, MANN, McILHATTAN, MELIO, R. MILLER, MYERS, O’NEILL, PHILLIPS, PICKETT, REICHLEY, ROSS, RUBLEY, SATHER, SCHRODER, SOLOBAY, STERN, T. STEVENSON, E. Z. TAYLOR, TIGUE, VANCE, WASHINGTON, WATSON, YOUNGBLOOD and WEBER

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding definitions relating to dissemination of criminal history record information; and providing for free Internet access for public service entities and for Internet access for qualified entities to that information.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 25, 2003.

No. 1704 By Representatives STERN, BARRAR, THOMAS, T. STEVENSON, CRUZ, HERMAN, FICHTER, RUBLEY, LEACH, WILT, BAKER, RAYMOND, R. STEVENSON, HUTCHINSON, MANDERINO, DALLY, REED, TIGUE, SATHER, CAPPELLI, MUNDY, TRUE, HERSHEY, HENNESSEY, STEIL, R. MILLER, GRUCELA, McILHATTAN, STURLA, DeLUCA, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, REICHLEY, HORSEY, SOLOBAY, CAWLEY, ROSS, YOUNGBLOOD, FREEMAN, FLICK, COSTA, SCHRODER, MICOZZIE, BEBKO-JONES, CRAHALLA, SURRA, GINGRICH, BARD, HESS, E. Z. TAYLOR, SEMMEL, FRANKEL, DiGIROLAMO, SAINATO, HARPER, BELFANTI, BROWNE, JAMES, ADOLPH, MYERS, GEIST, CAUSER, CREIGHTON, MAHER, WEBER and HARHAI

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Education for New Choices/New Options for the fiscal year 2003-2004.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1705 By Representatives STERN, BARRAR, THOMAS, T. STEVENSON, CRUZ, HERMAN, NAILOR, FICHTER, RUBLEY, LEACH, WILT, BAKER, WATSON, RAYMOND, R. STEVENSON, HUTCHINSON, MANDERINO, DALLY, REED, TIGUE, SATHER, CAPPELLI, TRUE, HERSHEY, HENNESSEY, STEIL, R. MILLER, GRUCELA, McILHATTAN, STURLA, DeLUCA, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, REICHLEY, HORSEY, SOLOBAY, CAWLEY, ROSS, YOUNGBLOOD, FREEMAN, FLICK, COSTA, SCHRODER, MICOZZIE, BEBKO-JONES, CRAHALLA, SURRA, GINGRICH, BARD, HESS, E. Z. TAYLOR, SEMMEL, FRANKEL, SAINATO, HARPER, BELFANTI, JAMES, ADOLPH, GEIST, CAUSER, CREIGHTON, MAHER, HARHAI and WEBER

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Education for New Choices/New Options for the fiscal year 2003-2004.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1706 By Representatives STERN, BENNINGHOFF, GEORGE, HERMAN, R. MILLER, CREIGHTON, MARKOSEK, CAPPELLI, GORDNER, LEACH, PICKETT, R. STEVENSON, PHILLIPS, NAILOR, FAIRCHILD, SOLOBAY, BAKER, BELFANTI, McILHATTAN, HUTCHINSON, YUDICHAK, MAJOR, TIGUE, RUBLEY, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, SEMMEL, BOYD, MYERS, WILT, BALDWIN, CAUSER, CLYMER, GEIST, KOTIK, E. Z. TAYLOR, GOODMAN, SATHER, BUNT, FREEMAN, GINGRICH, SCHRODER, HESS, O’NEILL, TRUE, SURRA, DALLY, BROWNE, SAINATO, ROONEY, LEVDANSKY, SCAVELLO and DENLINGER

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Community and Economic Development for the purpose of PENNTAP.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1707 By Representatives STERN, BENNINGHOFF, GEORGE, HERMAN, R. MILLER, CREIGHTON, MARKOSEK, CAPPELLI, GORDNER, LEACH, R. STEVENSON, PICKETT, T. STEVENSON, PHILLIPS, NAILOR, FAIRCHILD, SOLOBAY, BAKER, BELFANTI, McILHATTAN, HUTCHINSON, YUDICHAK, MAJOR, TIGUE, RUBLEY, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, SEMMEL, BOYD, MYERS, WILT, BALDWIN, CAUSER, CLYMER, GEIST, KOTIK, GOODMAN, SATHER, BUNT, FREEMAN, GINGRICH, SCHRODER, HESS, O’NEILL, TRUE, SURRA, DALLY, BROWNE, SAINATO, ROONEY, LEVDANSKY, SCAVELLO and DENLINGER

An Act amending the act of March 20, 2003 (P.L. , No.1A), known as the General Appropriation Act of 2003, making an appropriation to the Department of Community and Economic Development for PENNTAP.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1708 By Representatives STERN, T. STEVENSON, NAILOR, FAIRCHILD, RUBLEY, HUTCHINSON, DALLY, CAPPELLI, HENNESSEY, LAUGHLIN, HORSEY, SOLOBAY, CAWLEY, YOUNGBLOOD, CRAHALLA, GINGRICH, HESS, E. Z. TAYLOR, BELFANTI, THOMAS, FLICK, BROWNE, MYERS, GEIST, GOODMAN and MAHER

An Act amending the act of March 20, 2003 (P.L. , No.1A), known as the General Appropriation Act of 2003, further providing for appropriations relating to the Department of Labor and Industry.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1709 By Representatives STERN, RUBLEY, HUTCHINSON, CAPPELLI, HENNESSEY, REICHLEY, LAUGHLIN, HORSEY, SOLOBAY, CAWLEY, YOUNGBLOOD, CRAHALLA, GINGRICH, HESS, E. Z. TAYLOR, BELFANTI, THOMAS, FLICK, MYERS, GEIST and GOODMAN

An Act amending the act of March 20, 2003 (P.L. , No.1A), known as the General Appropriation Act of 2003, further providing for appropriations relating to the Department of Labor and Industry.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1710 By Representatives STERN, RUBLEY, HUTCHINSON, CAPPELLI, HENNESSEY, LAUGHLIN, HORSEY, SOLOBAY, CAWLEY, YOUNGBLOOD, CRAHALLA, GINGRICH, HESS, E. Z. TAYLOR, THOMAS, FLICK, BROWNE, MYERS, GEIST and GOODMAN

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Labor and Industry for payment to the Vocational Rehabilitation Fund for the fiscal year 2003-2004; and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1711 By Representative FLICK

An Act authorizing the release of Project 70 restrictions imposed on certain lands owned by the Township of Willistown, Chester County, and imposing Project 70 restrictions on certain lands being conveyed to the township.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 25, 2003.

No. 1712 By Representatives BISHOP, ROONEY, DALEY, WILLIAMS, BEBKO-JONES, THOMAS, STURLA, KIRKLAND, HORSEY, CRUZ, YOUNGBLOOD, WATERS, MELIO, STETLER, VEON and BELARDI

An Act amending Title 62 (Procurement) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for contract clauses and preferences

for gambling; and imposing powers and duties on the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 25, 2003.

No. 1713 By Representative GEORGE

An Act making an appropriation to Philipsburg Area Hospital, Rush Township, for dialysis treatment.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 25, 2003.

No. 1714 By Representatives JAMES, WATERS, MANDERINO, MELIO, WHEATLEY, WASHINGTON, LEDERER, SOLOBAY, KIRKLAND, GEORGE, YOUNGBLOOD, THOMAS, JOSEPHS, BELARDI, DALEY, WILLIAMS, BLAUM, CRAHALLA, DeLUCA and MYERS

An Act establishing the Pennsylvania Uniform Crime Reporting Program; requiring all county and municipal law enforcement agencies to report certain information occurring within the respective jurisdictions; and authorizing the Pennsylvania State Police to collect and gather information on crime and make annual reports.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 25, 2003.

No. 1715 By Representatives STEIL, BROWNE, CAPPELLI, CREIGHTON, CRUZ, GINGRICH, GODSHALL, GRUCELA, HERSHEY, KELLER, LEDERER, MELIO, MICOZZIE, R. MILLER, MYERS, NAILOR, O'NEILL, REICHLEY, RUBLEY, SCAVELLO, E. Z. TAYLOR, TIGUE, VANCE and WATSON

An Act amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, providing for the refund of moneys paid for certain improvements.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 25, 2003.

No. 1716 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, TANGRETTI, LESCOVITZ and ROSS

An Act amending the act of May 25, 1945 (P.L.1050, No.394), known as the Local Tax Collection Law, further providing for basic and continuing education programs for tax collectors; providing for records in possession of tax collector; further providing for expenses paid by taxing districts and for discounts, penalties and notice; providing for compensation for interim tax bills; and further providing for penalty.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 25, 2003.

No. 1717 By Representatives HARRIS, CLYMER, J. EVANS, GERGELY, O'NEILL, REICHLEY, ROSS and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for unlawful acts relative to liquor, alcohol and liquor licensees.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 25, 2003.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 334 By Representative THOMAS

A Resolution urging school districts to refrain from entering into exclusive carbonated beverage contracts which lead to poor nutrition and obesity among students.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, June 25, 2003.

No. 335 By Representatives LEDERER, McGEEHAN, ARMSTRONG, GODSHALL, WASHINGTON, BEBKO-JONES, BELFANTI, BISHOP, BUTKOVITZ, CAPPELLI, CIVERA, COHEN, CREIGHTON, CRUZ, DAILEY, DALEY, DONATUCCI, FRANKEL, HERSHEY, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, MANN, PICKETT, READSHAW, REICHLEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, WALKO, YOUNGBLOOD, MANDERINO, HENNESSEY and BALDWIN

A Resolution urging the School Reform Commission of the City of Philadelphia, as well as all school districts throughout this Commonwealth, to refrain from entering into exclusive beverage provision contracts with soda beverage companies.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 25, 2003.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 432, PN 994

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, June 25, 2003.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes, as guest pages of Representative Mario Scavello, Emily Paulus and Joshua Kagan. Emily attends the Pocono Elementary Center, and Josh is a junior at Penn State University and a summer intern in Representative Scavello's office. We would also like to please welcome Emily's parents, Victor and Carol Paulus, who are seated in the gallery. Would those guests please rise.

The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House Lauren Falcoa. She is an intern for Representative Daylin Leach. She is seated to the left of the Speaker, and we would like to have her rise. Lauren.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who calls for an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee.

RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

SR 60, PN 1032 (Amended)

By Rep. S. SMITH

A Concurrent Resolution establishing a special bipartisan legislative commission to develop legislation to provide direct and indirect assistance for the purpose of improving the delivery of emergency services in this Commonwealth.

RULES.

MRS. PENNSYLVANIA INTERNATIONAL PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stern, for the purpose of a citation presentation. Representative Stern.

Mr. STERN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We are pleased to have before us today Mrs. Jodi Cessna, who is being honored on becoming Mrs. Pennsylvania International, and she is earning the right to represent the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in the Mrs. International Pageant in Pigeon Forge, Tennessee.

Mrs. Cessna – Jodi – is joined today by her daughter, Samantha, who is off to the left here of the floor. I hope everyone could join us in welcoming Samantha to the floor of the House today. She is very, very proud of her mother on becoming Mrs. Pennsylvania International.

Jodi is dedicated in her community as well. She serves as the executive director of the Central Pennsylvania Community Foundation. She serves in the Leadership Blair County, and she serves on very many boards and things back in the Blair County area.

I am joined today by Representative Rick Geist and also by Representative Larry Sather in welcoming her to Harrisburg and wishing her much success as she goes to represent the Commonwealth in Pigeon Forge, Tennessee, and hope that she can become Mrs. International 2003.

Jodi, welcome to Harrisburg.

Now I turn the microphone over to Representative Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Not only is Jodi smashing beautiful, she is also very, very bright and has a great sense of humor, but I think her best asset is that she is married to Scott, and he is just an absolutely wonderful guy that runs the Railroaders Memorial Museum in Altoona, does a fantastic job with that, and this is one woman who is going to be a fantastic credit to the State of Pennsylvania.

JENNIFER HARRIS PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Marsico, for the purposes of a citation. Representative Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I can get the members' attention, I have a very special citation presentation here this morning to a very, very special person, and joining us today is Jennifer Harris, a recent graduate of Central Dauphin High School and going on a full scholarship to Penn State University, on a full basketball scholarship.

Joining Jennifer are her parents, Pearl and Floyd Harris, sitting over here to the left of the Speaker. Would you please give a welcome to the Harrises, please.

As I said, this certainly is a special recognition for Jennifer Harris. I would like to talk to you and tell you about some of her athletic and also academic achievements through her 4 years at Central Dauphin High School.

She leaves for Penn State University tomorrow until August, I would imagine for training, training camp, and just let me give you some idea of her achievements.

First of all, she had the most record points at Central Dauphin High School, a recordholder of 2191 points. She broke all the records at Central Dauphin: rebounds, steals, and assists; most points in one game; total points in a season; free throws attempted; free throws made; and 3-point fieldgoal percentages. She was a District 3 MVP (most valuable player).

Let me tell you also, she was named the Gatorade Pennsylvania Player of the Year; a four-time Nike All-American; a four-time Street & Smith All-American; a three-time USA Today All-American; an AAU (Amateur Athletic Union) All-American; AAU tournament MVP; Gatorade All-American; a Blue Chip All-American; Blue Star All-American; Who's Who in American Sports; WBCA (Women's Basketball Coaches Association) All-American; McDonald's All-American; a two-time Parade All-American.

Jennifer's academic achievements— Oh, by the way, she holds a number of track records at Central Dauphin as well, and her academic achievements include the 4-year National Honor Society, and she was 41 out of 403 students; a two-time recipient of the Presidential Academic Excellence Award and Who's Who Among American High School Students for academic excellence. The awards go on and on.

Please join me in congratulating and wishing the best for Jennifer at Penn State University and all of her future endeavors.

Again, thank you very much for your attention.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll. The members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.

Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causler	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

LEAVES ADDED—1

Pallone

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to announce today that serving as guest pages today are Samantha Shultz and Megan Johnson. Samantha and Megan are the guests of Representative Stan Saylor. They are both entering the 10th grade at Kennard-Dale High School. Samantha's father, Wayne Shultz, is seated in the gallery. Would those three guests please rise and be recognized.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1583, PN 2003**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to transfer jurisdiction and control from the Department of General Services to the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, of certain lands situate in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County; and authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the

Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development a tract of land situate in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Just looking for a brief explanation of that bill.

The SPEAKER. The bill provides for a transfer of jurisdiction over certain public lands and a land conveyance in Philadelphia County.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Would the maker of the bill stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. I do not see anyone standing for brief interrogation, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Perhaps the chairman of the State Government Committee? I am just trying to find out what this is.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Vitali, I said we do not see anyone standing for brief interrogation. Would the gentleman like to be recognized for remarks?

Mr. VITALI. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Would you like to be recognized for remarks?

Mr. VITALI. I am looking to basically— Could we just pass over this for a second until I find out what this bill is about?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Vitali, it is the impression of the Speaker that there was a caucus done by both the Republicans and the Democrats on this particular piece of legislation. If that is not true and you would like to break for a caucus, we would be glad to entertain that motion.

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, in all due respect, we probably caucused on 60 bills, each with their own number. I do not really remember what 1583's contents were. Once someone reviews the facts with me, I might realize that, but that is the problem.

Mr. Speaker, is Mr. Kenney the maker of this bill? Someone just informed me of that.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, let me remind the gentleman that this is exactly the same bill that he voted in the affirmative when the State Government Committee had its meeting. Nothing has changed in this legislation. It is an agreed-to land transfer of the Philadelphia Hospital, where 130 acres goes to an industrial site and 22 acres goes to DCNR (Department of Conservation and Natural Resources). That has been agreed to.

This bill is like 2, 3 years in the making. The arrangements between all the parties finally came together, and all I can tell you is, it is agreed to. It was voted out of the State Government Committee unanimously, and I would ask the members of this chamber to vote in the affirmative on HB 1583.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I speak on the bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Vitali, is recognized.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I agree with the chairman. I would also ask for an affirmative vote on the bill. Having the facts reviewed to me by Representative Clymer, I now recall the contents of the bill, and I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The problem, as this day progresses, will be keeping track of what bill does what, so I would just ask the Speaker to just allow some time and allow the members to cast informed votes today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I remember the bill in committee. The bill involves a piece of property in the northeast that has been vacant for 20 years. There has been an agreement, I understand, to clean up the land and in fact, with this conveyance, to move the land on.

I do remember last week casting a vote for this. Again, the land has been vacant for 20 years, and someone has come about and said, if the land is cleaned off, they are interested in doing some development.

I would urge an affirmative vote on the bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon

Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 630, PN 668**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2003, to June 30, 2004, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am just asking again for a brief explanation of the bill, and the problem today, Mr. Speaker, is whereas normally our pressession reports are of two or three pages and we can easily flip through and get the reading, today we have a thick original report and two supplements. So it is really impossible in the time allotted to connect what the bill does to the number. That is why it is probably more important today to have an explanation of each bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair requests the majority leader to please come to the rostrum, and, Mr. Coy, would you please come up to the rostrum.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, this bill appropriates \$42,947,000 to the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 631, PN 669**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causler	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali

Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rublely	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 632, PN 670**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2003, to June 30, 2004, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder

Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 633, PN 671**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 634, PN 672**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams

Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 635, PN 673**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.

Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 999**, **PN 1172**, entitled:

An Act establishing the Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy Syndrome Education Program; and providing for powers and duties of the Department of Health.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 237, PN 266**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 24, 1963 (P.L.1175, No.497), known as the Mechanics' Lien Law of 1963, further providing for waiver by contractor.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGiroilamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	

Eachus
Egolf

Lescovitz
Levdansky

Sainato

Perzel,
Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1114, PN 1316**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to join the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact; providing for the form of the compact; and authorizing the Attorney General to appoint a compact officer.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai

Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 525, PN 619**, entitled:

An Act requiring proper maintenance of abandoned businesses in cities; establishing the Abandoned Business Facilities Fund; conferring powers and duties on the Department of Community and Economic Development; and providing for penalties and remedies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Ms. **WEBER** offered the following amendment No. **A2082**:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out “in cities”
Amend Sec. 2, page 1, lines 15 through 17; page 2, line 1, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting
“Business facility.” Any lawful commercial or industrial facility engaging in the manufacturing, purchase, sale, lease or exchange of goods or the provision of services, or both.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 23, by striking out “businesses” and inserting

business facilities

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 7, by removing the period after “sanitation” and inserting

, and to the ordinances and regulations established by the municipality in which the business facility is located.

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 9, by inserting after “consideration.”
Upon transfer, the department shall notify in writing the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives in

whose districts the abandoned business facility is located.
The notice shall contain the following information:
(i) The address of the property being transferred.
(ii) The name of the entity the property is being transferred to.

(iii) The terms and conditions of the transfer.
Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 10, by inserting after “(a)”
is deemed to possess an abandoned business facility and

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 20, by striking out “private citizen” and inserting
person

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Weber, for the purpose of explaining the amendment.

Ms. **WEBER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
At this time I am going to be withdrawing amendment 2082 to HB 525. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **NICKOL** offered the following amendment No. **A2121**:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting between lines 1 and 2
“City.” A city of the first, second, second class A or third class.
The term shall also include any borough with a population large enough for the borough to qualify to be chartered as a city under provisions for a charter as a city separately from any town, township or other borough under section 201 of the act of June 23, 1931 (P.L.932, No.317), known as The Third Class City Code. The term shall mean and refer to the particular city or qualified borough.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Nickol.

Mr. **NICKOL**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
The bill as currently drafted only pertains to cities. My amendment adds boroughs with a population of greater than 10,000.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Scavello
Armstrong	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Maitland	Serimenti
Baldwin	Fleagle	Major	Semmel

Bard	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	Mann	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gabig	Marsico	Solobay
Belardi	Gannon	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Geist	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	George	McGill	Steil
Birmelin	Gergely	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	Gillespie	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Godshall	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Goodman	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gordner	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Grucela	Miller, R.	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Myers	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Hanna	Nickol	Thomas
Casorio	Harhai	O'Brien	Tigue
Causar	Harhart	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Harper	O'Neill	True
Civera	Harris	Pallone	Turzai
Clymer	Hasay	Payne	Vance
Cohen	Hennessey	Petrarca	Veon
Coleman	Herman	Petri	Vitali
Cornell	Hershey	Petrone	Walko
Corrigan	Hess	Phillips	Wansacz
Costa	Hickernell	Pickett	Washington
Coy	Horsey	Pistella	Waters
Crahalla	Hutchinson	Preston	Watson
Cruz	James	Raymond	Wheatley
Curry	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams
Dailey	Keller	Reed	Wilt
Daley	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Dally	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
DeLuca	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Denlinger	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
Dermody	Laughlin	Ross	Yudichak
DeWeese	Leach	Rublely	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Ruffing	
Diven	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Samuelson	Speaker
Eachus			

NAYS-13

Benninghoff	Forcier	Metcalfe	Rohrer
Creighton	Gingrich	Nailor	Sather
Egolf	Leh	Reichley	Weber
Fairchild			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A2300**:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 17, by inserting after "city"
, township or borough

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The **SPEAKER**. We are going over the amendments and bill temporarily.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 614, PN 715**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for residence and right to free school privileges.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GODSHALL** offered the following amendment No. **A2094**:

Amend Title, page 1, line 5, by inserting after "for"
the definition of "extracurricular activity," for

Amend Title, page 1, line 6, by removing the period after "privileges" and inserting
and for home education.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 9 through 11, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 102 of the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, is amended by adding a clause to read:

Section 102. Definitions.—When used in this act the following words and phrases shall have the following meanings:

* * *

(7) "Extracurricular activity" shall mean any activity covered by the provisions of section 511 which meet the following:

(i) The activity is sponsored or approved by the board of school directors.

(ii) The activity is not offered for credit toward graduation.

(iii) The activity is conducted partially or entirely outside the regular instructional day schedule.

(iv) The activity is available to any student enrolled in the district's schools who voluntarily elects to participate and be subject to the eligibility requirements of the activity.

A school district's program of interscholastic athletics, including varsity sports, shall be deemed extracurricular in nature and shall cover all activities relating to competitive sports contests, games, events or exhibitions involving individual students or teams of students of the school district whenever such activities occur between schools within the districts or with schools outside the district.

Section 2. Section 1302 of the act, amended June 22, 2001 (P.L.530, No.35), is amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

Section 3. Section 1327.1 of the act is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 1327.1. Home Education Program.—* * *

(f.1) The school district shall permit a child who is enrolled in a home education program to have the opportunity to participate in extracurricular activities, including, but not limited to, clubs, musical ensembles, sports and theatrical productions.

* * *

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 10, by striking out "2" and inserting
4

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment does is provide equal access to all students in Pennsylvania pertaining to extracurricular activities by homeschoolers. Presently, presently, approximately one-half to two-thirds of all schools in Pennsylvania allow for homeschoolers to participate in extracurricular activities. I also spell out what extracurricular activities are in the amendment.

It is absolutely, totally unfair to have kids denied access in approximately one-third of the schools when kids living in the other two-thirds, homeschoolers, are allowed to participate. I have situations back home and you do probably, too, where you live in one individual school district and you are denied that right; school districts on each side, if you live there, you are not denied.

I have my own school district, which is Souderton Area School District, where I have a lot of Mennonite children that are homeschooled. They are good kids; they work hard; they are well educated; they pay taxes the same as everybody else, school districts above and below me. They could participate in extracurricular activities; they cannot in that particular school district.

I would ask that this body change that situation. I disagree with mandates. I do not like mandates. But unfortunately, at this point we have kids that are suffering, and it is only because of the actions of certain school districts; as I said, approximately one-third in the State of Pennsylvania.

I would ask for a favorable vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to speak on this issue for a moment, and I would appreciate if I could make a comment, if you would listen to me, and I will try to be brief.

I agree with the concept of the maker of the amendment that children should be able to participate in activities at a school, even though they are homeschoolers, but my concern is and I hope the concern of other members would be the issue of mandating and taking away the power of our local school districts.

Approximately half of our school districts in Pennsylvania allow students to participate in curricular activities and extracurricular activities. Now, this amendment that is being offered by Representative Godshall addresses extracurricular activities, which we think of as mainly sports and activities of this nature, which are very important to our school, but probably more important to our school are curricular activities, which would be the subjects that we talk about, basic education and the subjects in the academic curriculum. So this is not addressed in the amendment. So I think if you are going to address it, I think I would prefer to address the curricular activities, the academic activities, and not the extracurricular activities, first and then do the extracurricular activities second.

But the essence of my opposing this amendment is, I think it is a local decision; I believe in local control. Now, I know over the years this body has sometimes infringed upon local control and taken away power from our school districts, and I guess I have been guilty as well as all of us in doing that, but certainly, in all possibility, when we have a chance to determine

State control, local control, I think we should go with local control and let our local elected school board members make the decisions.

I would hope and I would encourage them to make a decision to allow both curricular and extracurricular activities be enjoyed by the homeschoolers, but I think that is a local decision, and I do not think we in Harrisburg should be the ones to dictate and demand that they do that. So I would appeal to you to think of your school board and elected officials that represent your school district to make that decision.

I know this issue came in front of our Education Committee last year, and it was defeated by the Education Committee, so this is a way to get around the committee process.

But certainly, I would hope that we would consider our local school boards, although encourage them to open up their activities, but it is their decision, and I think we should keep it that way, rather than using a strong arm of the State to oversee and mandate our school districts what to do.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I wonder if the maker of the amendment would stand for interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Godshall, indicates that he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I wondered what standards are to be applied to the individual who is, under your amendment, allowed to participate in school activities?

Mr. GODSHALL. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker; I did not hear the front part of that question.

Mr. ROEBUCK. What standards are to be applied to the student who is allowed to participate in school activities under your amendment?

Mr. GODSHALL. Standards would probably be the exact same standards that are in existence today. In fact, Mr. Cashman from PIAA (Pennsylvania Interscholastic Athletic Association) testified that he had no problem with approximately half of the homeschooled kids now that choose to participate. This is only those that choose to participate in participation in these kinds of activities.

Presently I served 17 years on my school board. The certification to participate was done by the teachers. There is no reason to believe that the parents could not do the same qualification as the teacher could.

Mr. ROEBUCK. I heard you say "probably," so you are not quite certain whether it would apply.

My concern is that if there are standards in place in a given school that sets the criteria that you must meet in order to participate in extracurricular activities, would your amendment override those existing criteria and policies?

Mr. GODSHALL. I would believe that it would be the exact same standards that are in place today. This is a concept that has been in Pennsylvania for years. I am not changing anything that is in place. It is in place today. Over 50 percent of the school districts' homeschoolers are allowed to participate. There are standards that are set, I am positive. What they are, I do not know, but I am not changing anything that is not in place today.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Again, Mr. Speaker, I do not want to be antagonistic, but I am not hearing any real certainty in what you are telling me. You are saying “probably”; “I believe.” Can you give me the certainty that if we adopt this amendment, that where standards already exist, those standards will be applied; where policies already exist, those policies will be applied against that category of students that you are now incorporating into the procedures that exist?

Mr. GODSHALL. The only way that I can answer that question is, all this amendment does, all this amendment does is it does not change anything that is in place presently in the State of Pennsylvania. You have over half of the homeschooled kids allowed to participate, and what this amendment does today, what those standards are, I cannot tell you because I do not know as I stand here, but I am not changing any standards that exist. All I am saying is that all school districts under an equal access should adhere to the standards that are in place today, and I just cannot dictate those standards are certified and verified by the parents of those kids.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Let me ask one additional question, Mr. Speaker. I can understand how this applies in a district where perhaps there are few options or no options to attend different schools. Could the maker of the amendment tell me what happens in a district, such as the one I represent, where there are, for example, 45 high schools? Does this require that that student attend only their local high school for participation in school activities or can they choose any of those schools under your amendment?

Mr. GODSHALL. Okay. I would like to answer that by saying that I am not changing what is in existence today, and in Philadelphia in your own school district, the homeschoolers are allowed curricular access and extracurricular access today. I am not changing that. In your district they already have that access, but in my school district they do not. Your kids that are homeschooled have access to extracurricular activities in Philadelphia. Exactly what your standards are, I am not sure in Philadelphia, but my kids do not have that equal access option which your kids presently have.

So whatever, you know, whatever your standards are in Philadelphia today, I am not changing them with this amendment. They are there today; they will be there tomorrow.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I understand that certainly my district allows homeschoolers to participate and we set that standard at a local level, but in your district where it does not exist, who sets the standard under this amendment?

Mr. GODSHALL. Probably under the PIAA, which Philadelphia is presently not under, the standard is set by the PIAA, and that is how it was when I was on the school board; that is how it would probably be under this situation as I have it, but the standards are set in over 50 percent of the districts in Pennsylvania, and I am not changing the standards. All I am allowing the kids to do is participate as they are allowed to participate in approximately two-thirds of the school districts in this State, open access. Why should kids in one school district not be allowed to participate and all the neighboring school districts they are?

Bucks County, for instance, as I sit here, almost every school district in Bucks County allows equal access. There are one or two that do not. You know, the access and the standards have not been a problem. This has been in existence in Pennsylvania

for years and years. It is nothing new. The only thing new is that these kids should be allowed to participate when you cannot get a superintendent to go along.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Mr. Speaker, does the PIAA set standards for participation on the debate team? Does the PIAA set standards for participation in the drama club? Is this not much broader than only athletics that you are talking about? Is it not any school activity?

Mr. GODSHALL. I would imagine that whatever the standards are for a drama club in my school district today for those kids, it would be the same standards for the homeschoolers. I would not see any difference of why it would be different, which is what I am almost positive is in every school district that allows this in Pennsylvania.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I speak on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to join with my counterpart, the Republican chair of the Education Committee, in opposing this amendment.

I, too, believe that this issue is best left to local school districts. My local school district has chosen to adopt a policy and in fact allows homeschoolers to participate in school extracurricular activities. That is a local choice and has been exercised in good conscience and with good intent. I do not believe that we as a legislative body should supersede local authority on this issue, indeed on most issues. I believe the best government is government that operates closest to the people.

So I would oppose this and ask that my colleagues join me in opposing this amendment and leave the decision where it belongs, at the local level with those individuals who are elected by our constituents, the same people who elect us, to make those decisions.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the amendment rise for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, in your language it says “shall,” that the school district shall allow participation of students from outside the school if they participate in a homeschool program or attend another private school, I am assuming, private or parochial school that does not offer their programs. Currently, and I understand you say you are not changing those policies that are currently there where school districts do allow students to participate, but currently those school districts set their own rules and regulations, and it is my understanding that if we pass a law in the State of Pennsylvania, that we override those local rules and regulations.

So I am concerned about your “shall” language, because if I am someone who currently does not go to a public school and it says I shall be able to participate, would the school district be able to, for example, require that I take a PSSA (Pennsylvania System of School Assessment) test, which is required of all public school students at this point in time in the State of Pennsylvania?

Mr. GODSHALL. Mr. Speaker, if you look at number (iv) on the amendment, it says, “The activity is available to any

student enrolled in the district's schools who voluntarily elects to participate and be subject" – and be subject – "to the eligibility requirements of the activity." In fact, it was mentioned to me that each school district, each school district on its own sets its own eligibility standards, and that is exactly what we have here in the amendment.

Mr. STURLA. Okay. So if a school district has, say, a drug testing policy, they would be able to require that of the student that is not at the public school?

Mr. GODSHALL. The answer is yes.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

If the school district says that a student needs to maintain a C average in their classes but the person who is homeschooled does not grade on an A-B-C level or the school that they go to does not grade on an A-B-C level, perhaps they grade on a pass-or-fail level, would that disqualify the student then from participation?

Mr. GODSHALL. In lieu of going over every one of these options, I will repeat, it says, "...who voluntarily elects to participate and be subject to the eligibility requirements of the activity," as set by that local school board. So in every case that you are saying, if that is an eligibility requirement, it would be an eligibility requirement.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, one of the requirements of a lot of schools, at least the high school that I went to, was that if you were going to play in a soccer game in the afternoon, you had to be in school for the entire day or else you were not eligible to play that evening. How does a homeschool student meet that requirement?

Mr. GODSHALL. By being in school at home during that entire day, exactly the same as you would in a school district, and sitting 17 years on a school board, I have seen how that entire-day requirement is very marginal, to say the least.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, there were times when we had a game on days when it was an inservice day and we met our requirement because we did not have to go to school that day and so we were still able to play in the soccer game in the afternoon. Can the homeschool student's parents say, today is just an inservice day and so go ahead, sleep in late so that you do not have to – you know, so that you are fit for the game?

Mr. GODSHALL. The homeschoolers would be required exactly the same eligibility requirements for that activity as set by the district. Whatever those requirements are at the school district, it is the same for the homeschoolers or else it is because they voluntarily elect to participate.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, currently it is my understanding that in some schools in order for a program to be started, there has to be a certain number of students that want that program to be started. You know, if you are going to start a club, you cannot start a club of one and get an adviser to that club. You cannot start a soccer program with one person wanting to participate. There has to be a number of students at that school that request that that certain activity occur.

Under your amendment, if there was one student at the school or no students at the school that said they wanted that program but, say, 20 homeschoolers or 20 kids from another private or parochial school said they wanted that activity, would the school district be required to provide that activity?

Mr. GODSHALL. Well, first of all, I would like to make a correction in your assumption. Having a little bit of experience, as I said, 17 years on a local school board, I have never seen and never heard of and do not believe it is a fact that you can get a bunch of kids together to start a soccer team and say, this is because so many kids signed up, we are starting a soccer team. It just does not happen. The school decides if they want to have a soccer team; the school decides if they want to have a wrestling team; the school decides if they want to have a football team; it is not the students, and this has nothing to do— There are no homeschoolers that could get together and decide that we are going to have a football team at that school and the school has to do it.

You know, the whole picture here, you know, I do not know why we are going through this, because this whole thing is in place in about two-thirds of the districts in the State of Pennsylvania and has been for years, and it is no problem; it is no problem.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I understand that it is in place in some school districts because those locals decide that they want to do it. Now, under your amendment we would be mandating that they do it, and that is a different set of rules and regulations than the locals deciding whether or not they want to do it.

Mr. Speaker, I mean, there have been proposals in the past. I think Representative McNaughton had a proposal that was a much more tightly worded proposal. I think that there are possibilities to have this kind of thing occur, but I think that this amendment is not the place where it should occur, and I would urge members to defeat this amendment at this point in time.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Although I find the questions that are being raised on this issue rather perplexing, you know, I really cannot believe that we in this legislature— Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is entitled to be heard. Would you please keep the noise levels down.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I thought we cared about what is the best interest of the kid. We set all kinds of laws that deal with what is the best interest of the child when it comes to custody, when it comes to guardianship, when it comes to a lot of things, and now what we have here is an amendment that is inclusive, but we have the rhetoric on the floor of exclusivity.

This amendment, for those kids that go to homeschool, is very crucial, because we know as parents our kids only have one chance to be a kid, and because a parent decides to keep that child home for religious reasons or whatever the reasons may be, they have the right to do that, and we say they have the right to do that, but now we are going to say we are going to punish that child and not let that child participate in extracurricular activities because the parent decided to keep that child home, and I think that is wrong.

I think Representative Godshall is absolutely right. Those parents pay taxes. I am a former educator. I taught in a high school for several years. Kids should not be excluded from these activities. Parents pay taxes. Even though they keep those kids home and homeschool those children, they pay taxes like everyone in that school district.

What we have here is rhetoric and polarization, of ostracification. We are ostracizing those kids because of their parents' religious beliefs or whatever it may be. Can you imagine, Mr. Speaker, why this legislature would want to punish those kids and exclude them from extracurricular activities like music, ensembles, sports activities, clubs, theatrical productions? Can you imagine in your mind's eye 170 years ago when a young man was homeschooled in Springfield, Illinois, and if his parents decided to send him to the public school in Springfield, how great the debate club would have been at the Springfield High School Abraham Lincoln was homeschooled?

Mr. Speaker, it is time that we rise up above; it is time that we start thinking about the kid; it is time that we pass amendments that are inclusive, not exclusive. We do not want to polarize children in Pennsylvania.

Vote for the Godshall amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Warren, Mr. Lynch.

Mr. LYNCH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You know, in the 11 years I have been here, this amendment is one of the biggest no-brainers I have heard in a long time.

I want to comment on the prior speaker's comments. You know, we are not here debating whether or not you agree with homeschooling or not; we are not here debating about whether you agree with homeschooling or not. That is not the issue. The issue is whether these kids should be entitled to participate in extracurricular activities. That is what this debate is about.

The first three people, the first three gentlemen who spoke who talked about the standards, I have got a suggestion for you. As the gentleman from Montgomery County already said, the standards already exist, and they do have local control at the local school board level. It is already there. The only standard that this gentleman from Montgomery County is talking about is whether the kid is good enough to make the baseball team or not, and let us not forget that these parents are paying taxes just like everybody else does who sends their kids to a public school. These kids are entitled to these extracurricular activities.

Let us vote "yes" on this. Let us end the debate on this nonsensical argument against this amendment and vote "yes" on this.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentlelady from Berks, Mrs. Miller.

Mrs. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would it be possible for me to interrogate the chairman of the House Education Committee?

The SPEAKER. If he is willing to stand for interrogation.

The gentleman indicates that he will stand for interrogation.

Mrs. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my question goes to the reporting requirements for those individuals who have homeschooled children, and my question is, at what time during the school year are they required to report to the school district the accomplishments or the pass/fail of the children that are being homeschooled?

Mr. STAIRS. Could you say it again; I am sorry.

Mrs. MILLER. I am sorry.

Mr. STAIRS. I was interrupted.

Mrs. MILLER. To repeat my question, Mr. Speaker, I am asking at what time during the school year do the parents of

homeschooled students have to report to the school district whether or not their students are meeting the requirements of the required courses and curriculum and achievements of the school curriculum and whether they are passing or failing?

Mr. STAIRS. In answering your question, and I am referring to the School Code on this, it is an annual at the end of the year where the superintendent would, on behalf of the school district, require that the homeschoolers report, and they would be evaluated for the progress they made during the year. So it is not every 6 weeks or every quarter or every 5 weeks; it would be an annual report.

Mrs. MILLER. An annual report at the end of the school year.

Mr. Speaker, would it be possible then for two teammates to be playing on a football team, one being a homeschooled student and the other one being a public school student, and those two individuals, one of them could be restricted from playing if they did not have a passing grade who attended the public school and yet if the homeschooled student was actually not accomplishing their requirements, could be failing and still be able to play? Would there be any way that the school would be able to determine whether or not that homeschooled student at the time would be eligible to play?

Mr. STAIRS. Yes, I think that could be possible, and to make it in the simplest terms, normally school districts have a periodic grading period every 6 weeks or every 9 weeks, but there is a grading period, and certainly in the PIAA I think it is their requirement that if you do not have a C average, then you cannot participate in extracurricular activities. So it is quite possible that a student who is failing or not having satisfactory grades would be denied activities while a homeschooler having more of an annual or an end-of-the-year report. Now, this could certainly be changed, but the amendment does not address that; no.

Mrs. MILLER. And, Mr. Speaker, under the current homeschool act and under the current public education code, would there be any latitude for the school boards to set up any kind of reporting requirement for those homeschool students who want to participate in the extracurricular activities?

Mr. STAIRS. Well, you asked a good question. Our committee has debated the issue of homeschooling, and one of the big parts of the debate is the homeschoolers – and they have a point – feel that the school districts are too heavy handed and are too demanding of their education at home. And so, if anything, I think we are going to liberalize the requirements of the school district over that of the homeschoolers, and I would hope this session that our committee could address that legislation and take away some of the restrictions that the school district has on the homeschooler.

But to answer your question, I think if the school district would, it is my thinking anyway, that if the school district imposes greater restrictions on the homeschoolers, the homeschool community would resist that; it would fight that because they appreciate the independence and want to educate the child without the school district restrictions.

Mrs. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That ends my interrogation. May I speak on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may proceed.

Mrs. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am very pleased that the majority of my school districts do allow the homeschool students to be able to participate in the

extracurricular activities, whether they be athletic or theater, and my concern, as I was asking the questions of the chairman of the House Education Committee, was to make sure that we have some kind of level playing field, some level standard that we are imposing on these athletes or these thespians who are actually participating in these activities.

What I would like to see – and of course, we cannot do it today because of this amendment – but would be for some kind of provision by which those families who do homeschool their students could give the school district some kind of indication on the progress of these students to make sure that in fact if they are playing side by side to the public school students, that they are judged by the same set of standards on whether they are eligible under PIAA rules to play or to not play.

So while this amendment that is being considered today does not include those provisions, I would certainly hope that if it is included in this legislation, that we work toward providing some kind of system by which our public schools are able to ascertain whether or not these students are eligible to play under PIAA, and based on my interrogation of the chairman of the House Education Committee, that is not currently the case.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. McNaughton.

Mr. McNAUGHTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the gentlelady's questions to the chairman of the Education Committee.

It is also possible – and this question was not asked – but it is possible that those students participating in extracurricular activities who are in the public school systems and do not meet a certain threshold and do not meet the standard of passing when they are participating, that they allow them to play anyway because they are great student athletes, and we have seen that throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania time and time again.

But I would give you a list. I want to educate some of the members as to how many school districts and what the participation level in Pennsylvania actually is. Every one of the schools, public schools, in the Philadelphia School District, the largest school district in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, allows for curricular and extracurricular participation by homeschool students. All 15 Delaware—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

Mr. McNAUGHTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The noise levels are entirely too high. Please. The gentleman is entitled to be heard. Please keep the noise levels down.

Mr. McNaughton.

Mr. McNAUGHTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

All 15 Delaware County school districts allow equal access for extracurricular activities.

The gentleman from Lancaster County spoke against this proposal. In fact, Lancaster city school district, where our Secretary of Education hails from, allows equal access for extracurricular activities – without a problem, I must say, in Lancaster city. Wyoming Valley allows for equal access of extracurricular activities, and I am sure we are going to hear from the gentlelady who represents that school district. Berks County, 13 out of the 18 schools in Berks County allow

for extracurricular equal access. York City allows for extracurricular equal access.

This is an issue that has spanned the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It is about time we stop discriminating against homeschool students when it comes to equal access. Those in the public school system advocate acceptance of diversity, difference in lifestyle, difference in race, difference in religion, but yet when it comes to access, for equal access for homeschool students to participate in extracurricular activities, they want to discriminate against those students.

Time has come to allow equal access for homeschoolers, and I ask for an affirmative vote on the Godshall amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

We have several more speakers, and they have a right to be heard. So I would ask the members to try to keep the meetings in the aisles down, to keep the noise level down.

At this time the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the debate on the amendment has been far and wide, and we have heard a lot of comments about what homeschoolers are entitled to and what they are not entitled to and what the rules of a school district might permit and what the rules of the Commonwealth might permit.

My belief is the question with this amendment is relatively simple. It is one of venue; it is one of where the question should be asked. It is one of where the rules should be made.

Mr. Speaker, I understand you are trying.

The SPEAKER. I am trying to work with you, Mr. Coy.

The gentleman is entirely correct. Please, Sergeants at Arms, please break up the conferences in the back of the hall of the House. Please make sure that the conferences in the aisles are broken up, and I would like to ask the members to please keep the noise level down. The gentleman is entitled to be heard.

Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as I was saying, the question is where the decision should be made. Now, I have not had a lot of criticisms from members of the school districts that I am fortunate to represent, but I gather there have been some across the State about this subject. But I wonder if I were a school board member, as undoubtedly several of us may have served on school boards before we came here, I wonder how we would feel about the heavy hand of the Commonwealth reaching down and making this decision for us. I wonder how we would feel about letting this decision be made by the local school boards. I think that is where it belongs, and I think that is really the only question today.

The question is not whether, really, whether we think it is fair or whether we think the system is fair and whether you are talking about music or athletics or whatever extracurricular activity. The question is where the decision should be made. I firmly believe that the elected school boards know their school districts much better than we do. I think they are in place, as we are, to raise and levy taxes and they can make decisions about that. They can make decisions about other requirements from the school district about how to hire, who to hire and fire as superintendent and teachers, and whether to build a school building, and all sorts of decisions like that. I think they ought to be able to make this one, too.

I think the fact that the current law empowers the school districts to make this decision is satisfactory, and I think we ought to let it right there. I appreciate the concerns that have been raised, but when you let all the dust settle and when you let everything sift through, the question before us with this amendment is, who should make this decision? Harrisburg or the local school districts? I believe it properly belongs to the local school districts, and I oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, but the noise level is still entirely too high.

The gentlelady from Luzerne, Ms. Mundy.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will stand for interrogation.

Ms. MUNDY. Mr. Speaker, I support the concept of your amendment. I believe that homeschooled students should be able to participate in extracurricular activities in public schools. I do have a concern, however, and I want to get your intent on the record for future reference.

We currently do not have a provision in State law that requires that public school students shall be given the opportunity to participate in extracurricular activities. Is that correct?

Mr. GODSHALL. That question is beyond me. If you have a public school – I attended public school, as my parents did and my kids did and my grandkids did, and I was on the school board when we had athletic teams – the public school kids, you know, everybody is allowed to participate. It is a school activity; it is a school function, and naturally, you would have the people, the kids that are in the school, the ability to participate in the school function.

Ms. MUNDY. And I understand that, but my question is and my belief is – and I am asking you to verify this for me – my belief is that that is because the local school district allows students to participate based on certain criteria, but there is nothing in the Pennsylvania School Code or in Pennsylvania law that says that students shall be given the opportunity to participate if they are in public school, and now we are setting up a provision that says that homeschoolers shall be given the opportunity. And again, I am simply asking for your intent here.

Mr. GODSHALL. I get your point, and if those activities are disallowed by the local school district for their students, it would be the same for the homeschoolers. There would not be any difference.

Ms. MUNDY. I am not sure that that is my question.

I understand that your intent – I hope your intent – is to set up a situation where homeschoolers are participating on an equal basis—

Mr. GODSHALL. That is exactly right.

Ms. MUNDY. —with public school—

Mr. GODSHALL. That is exactly right; yes.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you.

Mr. GODSHALL. Nothing more, nothing less, and it has been in existence for 20 years in this State without any problems.

Ms. MUNDY. Now, let me ask you this question: I have one opening on the high school football team or I have one slot that is not filled in the school play. I want to be absolutely certain that your intent is not to set up a situation where now we are saying that the homeschooled students shall be given the

opportunity to participate, which some court is going to determine means that if there is one slot, the homeschooler shall get that slot.

Mr. GODSHALL. There is no question. We are changing nothing. The person that is the best qualified should get that position, and I have stood for that all my life, and I stand for that today. If there is one outstanding student, there is a slot there, whether that is a homeschooled student or whether it is a high school student, that slot should go to whoever is the best qualified and without any kind of equivocation. That is totally the intent here, and that is the way it is in existence today, you know, in the schools that do have homeschools. It is about two-thirds of the schools that allow homeschool participation. There is no favoritism, absolutely no favoritism I hope, and if there be any favoritism at all, I would expect it would be on behalf of the public school kids rather than, you know, on behalf of the homeschool kids.

Ms. MUNDY. Well—

Mr. GODSHALL. But I hope there is none at all. There should not be.

Ms. MUNDY. Well, I honor your intent, and I am glad that we have put it on the record. I do have a concern that we are setting up a situation where the law says one thing and our intent says something different, but I intend to support the amendment. I think that homeschoolers pay taxes in local school districts and should have the opportunity to participate on the same equal footing as every other student in that school district, and I urge support for the Godshall amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Boyd.

Mr. BOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I could speak on the amendment just a minute.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. BOYD. Just briefly, anecdotally, to the gentlelady from Berks County. When this came up, I did some due diligence and talked to a number of our school districts, and in fact, with eligibility requirements, the homeschool students were required to meet the same eligibility requirements that the school district had in place for the student athletes and in fact required that the homeschool parent submit documentation that the student was at passing grade level.

So I believe from what I understand of that situation, that the school district, in defining the eligibility requirements, can make certain that the students are meeting the eligibility requirements.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Sturla, for the second time.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to make sure that everyone understands that I, too, believe that homeschool students should be able to participate. In fact, I encourage them broadening their horizons by intermingling with their peers at extracurricular activities. The concern I have and the questions that I will continue to ask the sponsor of the amendment deal with whether or not everybody is playing on a level playing field and what some of those criteria are.

Would the gentleman rise for another brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Godshall, stand for interrogation?

Mr. GODSHALL. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the student under your amendment that now would qualify by entitlement to be able to participate in an extracurricular activity, would it have to be an extracurricular activity from the school district in which their home was located?

Mr. GODSHALL. That is exactly right; yes.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

So they could not, even though there might be a school district that was a mile from their home but was not their home school district, they could not participate in that other school district.

Mr. GODSHALL. If they are presently homeschooled in their own school district, they would be allowed to go to extracurricular activities in their home school district.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. GODSHALL. Only, only.

Mr. STURLA. And who would be required to provide the insurance for that student to participate in like a sports program?

Mr. GODSHALL. I guess I could answer that by saying, who in Lancaster is paying for that insurance on the homeschool kids that you allow to participate in Lancaster? It would probably be the same as it is today. And when I participated in sports, I bought insurance, and I think it is the same today. It was a long time ago that I was on the school board, and I know that we also encouraged the parents to pay, but again, your own school district has homeschooling, and who is paying for that insurance?

Mr. STURLA. Well, my school district does not— The homeschool students are not entitled by law to do that; they allow them. Now we would be entitling them by law, and my question is, with that entitlement, does that also bring with it the cost that is incurred to the school district or is it the cost to the homeschooler?

Mr. GODSHALL. My answer to that would be that in all probability, whatever standard exists in that individual school district would be the same for the homeschooler. The homeschooler is already paying taxes in that school district. If you are covering those that participate in the extracurricular activities in your school district with school district money, the homeschooler — that would be through tax revenues — the homeschooler is also paying through tax revenues, so he would be made eligible and insured the same way.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, does the PIAA have to approve the student to play?

Mr. GODSHALL. The PIAA, I believe, met, and Mr. Cashman— The answer to that is no. Mr. Cashman had no problem with the homeschoolers, as I understand his testimony, within the homeschool program as it exists in Pennsylvania today, which we are not changing. There was no question.

Mr. STURLA. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, if, and maybe things have changed, but when I went to high school, when I got done with the soccer game, there was a schoolbus that took me home; it was the late bus, and, you know, it drove all around, all over, and instead of

having 50 schoolbuses that took kids home after sports, there was one schoolbus and sometimes you would get home at 7 o'clock at night. Would the school district be required to take the homeschool student home after a sports activity and would that funding come out of the money that we currently give school districts for parochial and private school students?

Mr. GODSHALL. I think we are drawing for straws. You know, we are looking for something here that does not exist.

I guess I am not sure exactly what you are doing in Lancaster right now. My answer to that question would be, if you have those extracurricular buses, which some districts have, they do not go to every home. They drop off at locations, at least in my district. The homeschooler would be entitled to ride that bus the same as any other student, if that student is allowed to participate in sports.

And in answer to your previous question about the PIAA, the PIAA says, if it is okay with the school district, it is okay with us.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am done with my interrogation. If I could make a brief comment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, as I stated earlier, I want to encourage homeschool students to participate. I want to encourage local school districts to allow homeschool students to participate. I think it broadens their horizons. I think every student is better off as a result of that.

What I am trying to figure out here and what I do not think we have been satisfactorily supplied with answers on today is, what are the criteria by which those students would be participating? Currently, and the gentleman says that currently he is not changing anything that currently gets done, but currently that is a local decision, and the local school boards make those decisions about what those criteria are.

Now, if there was a clause in the gentleman's amendment that said homeschool students by right have the opportunity to participate as long as the local school district gets to make up any of the rules they want to, I would not have a problem with this, but we have set up an entitlement in this amendment that says those students have a right to participate but we have not determined what the criteria are by which they can participate and we have not said that it is the local school board's decision.

So in this particular instance, I will reluctantly vote against this amendment but would hope that we could draft language that would be appropriate that would allow and would encourage homeschool students to participate in these activities with the approval of the local school board, by the criteria established by the local school board, and with the approval of the entire community.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Zug.

Mr. ZUG. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have a question for the sponsor of the amendment, if he would care to be interrogated.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. ZUG. This is really, as we are sitting here listening to the debate, this is one of the, maybe, ramifications of the bill, and I was wondering if you had an idea the answer to it. Currently in the PIAA there are four different classifications,

I think – single-A, double-A, triple-A, quad-A. Homeschoolers in some schools throughout Pennsylvania participate in athletics. The bill deals with extracurricular activities, but primarily I think athletics. How does the PIAA take into account the homeschoolers who participate as it affects the classifications, the quad-A to the single-A, in the enrollment of those athletic programs?

Mr. GODSHALL. The enrollment in those athletic programs is based, really, on the enrollment in the school systems, and we are only talking about a number of thousands of homeschool kids, 24,000 I think in the whole State of Pennsylvania. You know, it would have no effect. I would think when you have 500 in one school district divided by 24,000, we have a marginal amount of kids that would be involved in any given school district and there would be no effect whatsoever.

Mr. ZUG. Well, I know one of the private schools in my county does not have a football program and I know that the six public schools do, and to merge the private school football program with the public school football program, the PIAA looks at the enrollment of both student bodies to find out what classification the new football program would participate in. So that if the old football program, the public school is a triple-A, and with the inclusion of the students from the private school, the whole student body becomes a quad-A school. So there is an impact at least between two schools.

If we mandate every school district in Pennsylvania to have the opportunity to have homeschoolers and there are, depending on which school district, 100, 200, 300 students in that school district, will the PIAA have to look at the entire student homeschooling population to participate as they look at how many students are enrolled in the school, which then divides the school into which kind of athletic classification, single-A to quad-A?

Mr. GODSHALL. That question is extremely, you know, difficult. As I said, we are looking at about 24,000 or 25,000 homeschoolers, and we have in two-thirds, in approximately two-thirds of the school districts this is already in place. We are only mandating at this point that the people that do not have equal access, the other one-third of the kids, you know, be allowed to participate. That is all we are doing. You know, we are not changing anything at the State level that is in existence today. There is nothing we are doing.

Mr. ZUG. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I really do not want to comment on the bill. It was just a question that we thought about as we were sitting here listening to the debate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Warren, Mr. Lynch, for the second time.

Mr. LYNCH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to comment on the comments of the gentleman from Franklin County when he said the debate on this has been far and wide. It has not been far and wide at all. It has been pretty narrowly focused. Two situations: We have parents who are paying taxes for their children who do not attend a public school and derive no benefits for those taxes. And the second issue of local control by the school boards, it is still there. This amendment by the gentleman from Montgomery County does absolutely nothing to change that. We still have local control.

Then the gentleman from Franklin County goes on to say, well, you know, he thinks it is best left up to them and not to us. Well, let us hear him make that same argument next week when

we look to do something with property taxes at the local school district level.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let us vote “yes” on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Grucela.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

Mr. GODSHALL. If you give me a glass of water.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just two quick questions. First of all, there are many school districts that have more than one high school or more than one middle school or more than one school. If a homeschooler in that school district, let us say, is a multisport athlete, is it possible to play, for example, one sport in one high school, let us say football, and then wrestle at another high school and maybe play baseball even at a third high school if that district had three high schools?

Mr. GODSHALL. As it is today in Northampton County – I just checked – I think all your school districts, except maybe one or two, allow for participation, you know, at this point. The answer is, it is where you pay taxes. You live in a given school district, you pay taxes in that school district, and that is where you would be allowed to participate, just like it is today, like it is in Northampton County today.

Mr. GRUCELA. So you could shop around?

Mr. GODSHALL. No; absolutely not.

Mr. GRUCELA. So you would have to play the sport, whatever sport—

Mr. GODSHALL. Participate in choral activities or participate in whatever plays in the district where you live, where you pay taxes.

Mr. GRUCELA. Well, what if the district has two high schools?

Mr. GODSHALL. In the two-high-school districts, they still have attendance districts, to the best of my knowledge, and we have two high schools, I believe, in Central Bucks and a couple of the other school districts down home and they have attendance districts. It is wherever your attendance district is where you would be, you know, you would have to go. It is just the same as if you would be going to public school there.

And you are asking the question, and I apologize. You know, when I first answered you, I said it is attendance, you know, where you pay taxes. If there are two high schools in a district, you know, you would be paying one tax bill for the two schools, but it is where you live in that attendance district is where you would have to go to school.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you.

One other question. Under Title IX would a homeschooler— Suppose a homeschooler wanted to participate in a sport or extracurricular activity that a school district did not offer. Under Title IX would that school district have to create that program or sport?

Mr. GODSHALL. No.

Mr. GRUCELA. No?

Mr. GODSHALL. Absolutely no.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. If I could have just 2 minutes.
 The SPEAKER. The gentleman is entitled to be heard.
 Please keep the noise level down.

Mr. GODSHALL. There were a couple comments that were made today which I would like to respond to. One of the comments was the heavy hand, and the heavy-hand comment, you know, annoys me I guess more than anything else.

You know, this amendment is about kids. It is about kids that are being denied equal access, and I know we have heard equal access over and over again in this chamber. Certain kids – a small amount; it is not a lot – are denied equal access.

The heavy hand of the school board has come down in my own school district in Montgomery County when I have asked for different kids in my community, which is a Mennonite community, does a lot of homeschooling, to participate. The superintendent of that school district told me, if we are not good enough to educate the kids, then we do not want them in our activities. And if there is any heavy hand, if there is any heavy hand anyplace, it is that kind of remark and that kind of activity by the superintendents of schools in Pennsylvania. These kids are being denied because of vindictiveness and punishment, and they deserve – they pay taxes – and they should be allowed to participate just like any other kid.

I ask for a favorable vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—149

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rohrer
Allen	Diven	Leh	Rubley
Argall	Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato
Armstrong	Egolf	Levdansky	Samuelson
Baker	Evans, D.	Lewis	Santoni
Baldwin	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Bard	Feese	Mackereth	Scavello
Barrar	Fichter	Maher	Schroder
Bastian	Fleagle	Maitland	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Forcier	Major	Semmel
Benninghoff	Freeman	Manderino	Shaner
Biancucci	Gabig	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	Markosek	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Marsico	Stern
Boyd	George	McCall	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Gillespie	McGeehan	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Godshall	McGill	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	McIlhattan	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	McIlhinney	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	McNaughton	Thomas
Cappelli	Habay	Melio	Tigue
Causer	Hanna	Metcalfe	Travaglio
Cawley	Harhai	Micozzie	True
Civera	Harhart	Miller, S.	Turzai
Clymer	Harper	Mundy	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	O'Brien	Walko
Coleman	Hennessey	Oliver	Wansacz
Cornell	Herman	Pallone	Watson
Corrigan	Hershey	Payne	Weber
Costa	Hickernell	Petrarca	Wheatley
Crahalla	Horsey	Phillips	Wilt
Creighton	Hutchinson	Pickett	Wright
Dailey	Keller	Pistella	Yewcic
Daley	Kenney	Preston	Yudichak
Dally	Kotik	Raymond	
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Reed	

Denlinger	Laughlin	Reichley	Perzel,
Dermodity	Leach	Roberts	Speaker

NAYS—51

Bebko-Jones	Gergely	O'Neill	Steil
Belardi	Gingrich	Petri	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Petrone	Sturla
Casorio	Haluska	Readshaw	Surra
Coy	Hasay	Rieger	Vance
Cruz	Hess	Roebuck	Veon
Curry	James	Rooney	Washington
DeWeese	Josephs	Ross	Waters
Donatucci	Kirkland	Ruffing	Williams
Evans, J.	Miller, R.	Sather	Wojnaroski
Fabrizio	Myers	Smith, B.	Youngblood
Flick	Nailor	Solobay	Zug
Frankel	Nickol	Stairs	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
 Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
 Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio

Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to welcome to the hall of the House a group of students in the Norristown School District, who are part of the Montgomery County Big Sisters program. They are in the gallery. They are the guests of Representatives Melissa Weber, John Fichter, and Jacqueline Crahalla. They are in the balcony. Would the guests please rise.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 234, PN 263 By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing a tax credit for attendance at a firearm training course.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1627, PN 2189 (Amended) By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for inspection of court files and records.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1629, PN 2190 (Amended) By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for temporary alternative education program assignment.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1630, PN 2191 (Amended) By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the prohibition of the possession of weapons.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 1631, PN 2192 (Amended) By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the prohibition of the possession of weapons.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(SAMUEL H. SMITH) PRESIDING**

JOSEPH RICCETTE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes Representative Argall for the introduction of some guests.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is ironic on such a day that we spent so much time talking about public education that I would like to introduce to you a special guest today, a constituent of Representative McCall's, my ninth grade social studies teacher. He is not here today because of that purpose, however. He is here today because he is on his way to Pittsburgh to receive the High School Citizenship Educator of the Year Award. He is here because of amazing work that he has done for many years as a teacher at Tamaqua High School, especially on the subject of the Vietnam war. He is joined by Don DeMarco and Ted Dacey of the VFW (Veterans of Foreign Wars) Post 8008 in Nesquehoning, and he is seated to the left of the Speaker. If we could, please, give him a very warm welcome.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McCall.

Mr. McCALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I certainly would like to share with Representative Argall and welcome my constituent and good friend, Joe Riccette, and certainly commend him on the job that he does in the classroom. I was not privileged to sit in the classroom and learn from Joe, but I certainly have a girl that works in my office, Katie Zeffuss, who was taught by Joe, and she has nothing but high acclaim and accolades for what she learned in his classroom.

He took on a difficult task in making his students understand what the Vietnam Conflict was all about, and that is why he is receiving this award today or tomorrow in Pittsburgh, because of what he has done in the classroom to raise awareness about a very trying time in our history in this great State and country of ours.

So, Joe, I join with Representative Argall and certainly commend you for the work you do in the classroom, not only for the students but the veterans alike. Thank you very much.

**THE SPEAKER (JOHN M. PERZEL)
PRESIDING**

CONSIDERATION OF HB 525 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Would the members please turn to page 14 of today's calendar. We are going back to HB 525, PN 619.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The George amendment, No. 2300, having been called up, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George.
Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the bill that we are deliberating on is a very fine bill. I would simply like the members to know that the matter of plant closings and companies leaving these unsafe buildings is not unique for the cities. This happens in boroughs and townships, and all this amendment does, it just adds to the fact that we can do the same good thing for the entire State, just not the larger cities.

So I would ask that you would accept this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—171

Adolph	Eachus	Leach	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, D.	Lederer	Santoni
Argall	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Saylor
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Levdansky	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Lynch	Scrimenti
Baldwin	Feese	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Fichter	Major	Shaner
Barrar	Fleagle	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Frankel	Markosek	Solobay
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Biancucci	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhattan	Stetler
Blaum	George	McIlhinney	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Godshall	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Casorio	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Causer	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Civera	Harper	Pallone	Veon
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Wansacz
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hess	Pistella	Watson
Crahalla	Hickernell	Preston	Wheatley

Cruz	Horsey	Raymond	Williams
Curry	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Dailey	James	Rieger	Wright
Daley	Josephs	Roberts	Yewcic
Dally	Keller	Roebuck	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kenney	Rooney	Yudichak
Dermody	Kirkland	Ross	Zug
DeWeese	Kotik	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Ruffing	Perzel, Speaker
Diven	Laughlin	Sainato	
Donatucci			

NAYS—29

Bastian	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Sather
Benninghoff	Habay	Miller, R.	Scavello
Coleman	Leh	Nickol	Stern
Creighton	Lewis	Pickett	Turzai
Denlinger	Mackereth	Reed	Vance
Egolf	Maher	Reichley	Weber
Forcier	Marsico	Rohrer	Wilt
Gillespie			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—152

Adolph	Donatucci	Lederer	Saylor
Allen	Eachus	Lescovitz	Schroder
Argall	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Baker	Evans, J.	Lynch	Semmel
Bard	Fabrizio	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Feese	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	Flick	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Frankel	McGill	Stairs
Bishop	Freeman	McIlhattan	Stetler
Blaum	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stevenson, R.
Browne	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Godshall	Micozzie	Surra
Buxton	Goodman	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Gruitza	O'Brien	Thomas
Causer	Haluska	Oliver	Tigue
Cawley	Hanna	Pallone	Travaglio
Civera	Harhai	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Harhart	Petrarca	Vitali

Cohen	Harper	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Pistella	Washington
Costa	Herman	Preston	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Raymond	Wheatley
Crahalla	Horsey	Readshaw	Williams
Cruz	Hutchinson	Rieger	Wojnarowski
Curry	James	Roberts	Wright
Dailey	Josephs	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Keller	Rooney	Youngblood
Dally	Kenney	Rubley	Yudichak
DeLuca	Kirkland	Ruffing	Zug
Dermody	Kotik	Sainato	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Samuelson	
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Santoni	Perzel,
Diven	Leach		Speaker

NAYS—48

Armstrong	Gabig	Maitland	Rohrer
Baldwin	Geist	Major	Ross
Bastian	Gillespie	Marsico	Sather
Benninghoff	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Scavello
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, R.	Steil
Boyd	Harris	Nailor	Stern
Coleman	Hess	Nickol	True
Creighton	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Denlinger	Leh	Phillips	Vance
Egolf	Lewis	Pickett	Watson
Fairchild	Mackereth	Reed	Weber
Forcier	Maher	Reichley	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. ALLEN called up **HR 337, PN 2193**, entitled:

A Resolution urging the National Football League to recognize the Pottsville Maroons as the National Football League Champions of 1925.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner

Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to welcome to the hall of the House summer interns Jeff Cavanaugh and Ryan Fitzsimmons, who are the guests of Representative Jim Wansacz. They are to the left of the Speaker. Would those guests please rise.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentlelady from Chester, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, at the break there will be a short Republican caucus, immediately following the break.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will also be a Democratic caucus with both informal discussions and discussions as to where we stand for the rest of the day and tomorrow.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Kenney.

Mr. KENNEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, at the break there will be an immediate meeting of the Health and Human Services Committee in room 205 of the Ryan Office Building.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Health and Human Services will meet in room 205 of the Ryan Office Building at the break.

The members are free to leave. We will recess until 2:30, but there are housekeeping things that have to be done from the Speaker's rostrum. But the members are free to leave.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 146, PN 2194 (Amended) By Rep. FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for the sale or transfer of unused research and development tax credits.

FINANCE.

HB 951, PN 1117 By Rep. FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for sales and use tax definitions, for sales and use tax exclusions, for personal income tax definitions and for classes of personal income; providing for a credit against personal income tax; further providing for realty transfer tax definitions, for realty transfer tax exclusions and for penalties for failing to fulfill a historic covenant; and conferring powers and duties on the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission.

FINANCE.

HB 952, PN 1118 By Rep. FLICK

An Act providing for tax credits for historic commercial sites; and conferring powers and duties on the Department of Community and Economic Development, the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission and the Department of Revenue.

FINANCE.

HB 1041, PN 1216

By Rep. FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for time limitations for the research and development tax credit and for termination of approval of the tax credit.

FINANCE.

HB 1306, PN 1615

By Rep. FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing, in research and development tax credits, for carrying and assigning credits and for limitation on credits.

FINANCE.

HB 1626, PN 2053

By Rep. FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further defining "taxable income" for purposes of corporate net income tax.

FINANCE.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House stands in recess until 2:30.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**RESOLUTIONS**

Mr. S. SMITH called up **HR 243, PN 1497**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to require that American-made steel be used for rebuilding efforts in Iraq which are funded by the United States Government.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 243 be placed on the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 243 be removed from the table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

Mr. S. SMITH called up **HR 219, PN 1456**, entitled:

A Concurrent Resolution memorializing Congress to pass legislation to amend Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to improve access and choice for entrepreneurs with small businesses with respect to medical care for their employees and provide workers employed in small businesses and self-employed workers with access to and choice of affordable health plan options similar to those now enjoyed by workers in corporate and union health plans.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 219 be placed on the table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 219 be removed from the table.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

Mr. SATHER called up **HR 330, PN 2124**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to amend section 1917(b)(1)(C) of the Social Security Act by deleting May 14, 1993 as the deadline for approval by states of long-term care partnership plans.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rublely	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel, Speaker
Egolf	Levdansky		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House, as guests of Representative William DeWeese, Leslie Fedorka of Waynesburg and Andrea Russell from Clarion. They are interns at the McKeesport Daily News. Would those guests please rise to be recognized.

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. S. SMITH called up **HR 331, PN 2168**, entitled:

A Concurrent Resolution recommending to Congress that the cap on the Federal Crime Victims Fund be eliminated and that the entire amount of funds deposited into the fund be distributed annually.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

RESOLUTION RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 331 be recommitted to the Committee on Rules.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

Mrs. FORCIER called up **HR 332, PN 2169**, entitled:

A Resolution designating July 16, 2003, as "Ride to Work Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz

Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsely	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B**RULES SUSPENDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move for the immediate suspension of the rules to bring up HB 1627, PN 2189.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue

Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGrolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1627, PN 2189**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for inspection of court files and records.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Will the maker of the bill stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. There is no indication that the maker of the bill will stand for an interrogation.

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker—
The SPEAKER. Yes?

Mr. VITALI. —is it possible we just pass over this until the prime sponsor gets back or perhaps we have some more members here?

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is HB 1627, PN 2189. Does the gentleman wish to debate that?

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, I am trying to find out a little bit more about the bill. Is there anyone who may care to stand for interrogation on this?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Vitali, it does not appear that anyone wishes to be interrogated on this particular bill.

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, this came out of the Appropriations Committee. Will the chair of the Appropriations Committee stand for interrogation on this one?

The SPEAKER. He did not rise at your last motion or your last request. He is not rising right now.

MOTION TO POSTPONE

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, then I would like to make a motion.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, I would like to move that this thing, this bill, be passed over temporarily until I am sure there is someone in this chamber who can explain this bill. I just move we pass this over temporarily.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Delaware is moving to postpone HB 1627, PN 2189.

Those in favor of postponing the vote on 1627 will vote “aye”; those opposed, “no.”

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—94

Bebko-Jones	Evans, D.	Manderino	Scrimenti
Belardi	Fabrizio	Mann	Shaner
Belfanti	Frankel	Markosek	Solobay
Bianucci	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Bishop	George	McGeehan	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Goodman	Mundy	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Oliver	Thomas
Casorio	Haluska	Pallone	Tigue
Cawley	Hanna	Petrarca	Travaglio
Cohen	Harhai	Petrone	Veon
Corrigan	Horsey	Pistella	Vitali
Costa	James	Preston	Walko
Coy	Josephs	Readshaw	Wansacz
Cruz	Keller	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Kirkland	Roberts	Waters
Daley	Kotik	Roebuck	Wheatley
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Rooney	Williams
Dermody	Laughlin	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
DeWeese	Leach	Sainato	Yewcic
Diven	Lederer	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Levdansky		

NAYS—105

Adolph	Fairchild	Lynch	Rubley
Allen	Feese	Mackereth	Sather
Argall	Fichter	Maher	Saylor
Armstrong	Fleagle	Maitland	Scavello
Baker	Flick	Major	Schroder
Baldwin	Forcier	Marsico	Semmel
Barr	Gabig	McGill	Smith, B.
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Geist	McIlhinney	Stairs

Birmelin	Gillespie	McNaughton	Steil
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stern
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, R.
Bunt	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stevenson, T.
Cappelli	Habay	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Causar	Harhart	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Civera	Harper	Nickol	True
Clymer	Harris	O'Brien	Turzai
Coleman	Hasay	O'Neill	Vance
Cornell	Hennessey	Payne	Watson
Crahalla	Herman	Petri	Weber
Creighton	Hershey	Phillips	Wilt
Dailey	Hess	Pickett	Wright
Dally	Hickernell	Raymond	Zug
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Reed	
DiGirolamo	Kenney	Reichley	
Egolf	Leh	Rohrer	Perzel,
Evans, J.	Lewis	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING-1

Bard

EXCUSED-0

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am wondering if we could just pause temporarily and I could have a word with you in private on this one.

The SPEAKER. The chamber will be temporarily at ease.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the prime sponsor of the bill stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am just looking for a brief explanation of the bill and what it does.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill will give the ability of what we are defining as a "school entity" to have access to court records for students who are assigned to their school that may have been adjudicated and have been in any type of institution from the outside.

Right now, the principals across the Commonwealth – this is not a Philadelphia bill; across the Commonwealth – have no idea for what reason a student had been adjudicated. We think it is important for many reasons for the principal to at least understand that, and at the same time that principal and the rest of that school will be subject to the confidentiality laws and privacy laws that now exist in this Commonwealth.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?
The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McLhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McLhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended for the immediate consideration of HBs 1629, 1630, and 1631.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalf	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1629, PN 2190**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for temporary alternative education program assignment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Will the prime sponsor of the bill stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Keller, indicates that he will.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Mr. Speaker, can we have a brief explanation of the bill?
Mr. KELLER. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

This is at the request of the Philadelphia School District, the School Reform Commission, and this gives the ability to the school district, in cases of weapons or violence or drugs, to place the student in an alternative school setting.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Mr. KELLER. Thank you.
The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?
The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs

Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1630, PN 2191**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the prohibition of the possession of weapons.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Levdansky		Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1631, PN 2192**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the prohibition of the possession of weapons.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Mackereth	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Clymer	Harper	Pallone	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	

Eachus
Egolf

Lescovitz
Levdansky

Sainato

Perzel,
Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 76, PN 2092**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736, No.338), known as the Workers' Compensation Act, further defining "occupational disease."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ROSS offered the following amendment No. **A2179**:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 through 8, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of August 15, 1961 (P.L.987, No.442), entitled "An act relating to public works contracts; providing for prevailing wages; imposing duties upon the Secretary of Labor and Industry; providing remedies, penalties and repealing existing laws," further providing for definitions; and providing for school district options.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 11 through 16; page 2, lines 1 through 27, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. Section 2(4) of the act of August 15, 1961 (P.L.987, No.442), known as the Pennsylvania Prevailing Wage Act, is amended to read:

Section 2. Definitions.—As used in this act—

* * *

(4) "Public body" means [the] any of the following:

(i) The Commonwealth [of Pennsylvania, any of its].

(ii) A political [subdivisions, any] subdivision. Except as set forth in section 13.1, this subclause does not include a school district or an authority, agency or instrumentality established by one or more school districts.

(iii) An authority created by the General Assembly [of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and any].

(iv) An instrumentality or agency of the Commonwealth [of Pennsylvania].

* * *

Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 13.1. School District Option.—Notwithstanding any provision of this act, a school district or an authority, agency or instrumentality established by one or more school districts may elect, by resolution, to be a public body and to subject itself to this act.

Section 3. All acts and parts of acts are repealed insofar as they are inconsistent with this act.

Section 4. This act shall take effect in 60 days.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ross, for a brief explanation of his amendment.

Mr. ROSS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment will take out the language of the existing bill and replace it with language which would remove the requirement for prevailing wage in school construction projects and allow the school district the option to follow prevailing wage if they so choose.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think the opinion of the legislature in support of the prevailing wage extends to both parties and is pretty clear. We have been supporters of the prevailing wage in Pennsylvania for a long time.

In brief, the prevailing wage is important for workers; it is important for school districts. The existence of a prevailing wage for public instrumentalities in this Commonwealth including school districts guarantees that there will be a supply of qualified, dedicated workers who are able to perform the jobs with the confidence that is required. The existence of the prevailing wage encourages school districts to hire only the best workers and to be very farsighted in how they plan their construction processes.

The prevailing wage is higher than school districts might be able to pay in a free market, but the amount, the total dollars spent, might well not be higher. When a school district hires a company that pays the prevailing wage, they get a company that is experienced, that has knowledge of the materials, knowledge of the correct methods of installing the materials. They have workers who are very, very experienced. Sometimes the cost of hiring workers at the prevailing wage actually turns out to be lower because of the greater coordination and the greater knowledge of the workers.

We have had the prevailing wage in Pennsylvania now for 40 years. I would think that there are other methods that local school districts could use in seeing that their labor costs and construction costs are as low as possible. I would strongly urge that we continue our traditional support of the prevailing wage and defeat this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair returns to leaves of absence and receives the request for a leave of absence for the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. PALLONE. Without objection, that leave will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 76 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the first step in local tax reform. If you want real property tax reform, you will vote for the Ross amendment, and let me tell you why.

Over the years, I have been involved with prevailing wage legislation, and prior to my being a member of the General Assembly I had a landscape contracting company for about 15 years, and I would bid at times on prevailing wage projects. Those prevailing wage public construction projects ran anywhere from 15 to 25 percent more – the wages ran that much higher – than the normal, competitive market wage in the State, in my area.

So let me just tell you, first of all, it is a savings to the local taxpayers for the school construction projects. Secondly, as a landscape contractor bidding on public and private work projects, I used the same workers. So the argument that prevailing wage union workers are better qualified is not true. It is not valid. It is a fallacy. Many of the contractors in the State use the same workers, the same laborers, the same equipment operators, for both private and public works projects. Again, you can save, you will be able to save 15 to 30 percent in local projects for your schools. Let the market decide. Let the free market determine the wages in all public works projects, not the State.

So again, I support the Ross amendment and ask for your support. If you want real local tax reform and you want to reduce property taxes for your constituents, vote “yes” on the Ross amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am going to take issue with the remarks of the previous speaker on a number of points.

Number one, when he was in the contracting business, it preceded the Ridge administration’s order several years ago to conduct a statewide survey to establish prevailing wage on a county-by-county basis. When the survey results showed that the union prevailing wage or the union wage and prevailing wage were almost identical, a second survey was ordered county by county, and wage rates were adjusted accordingly in all 67 counties.

There are some fallacies out there that construction workers in my county of Northumberland are paid the same wage as a construction worker in Philadelphia where the cost of living is higher. It is simply not true. Mr. Speaker, Joseph Goebbels and Adolph Hitler were firm believers that if you tell the same half truth often enough, if you say the same misrepresentation often enough, the same exaggeration often enough, or the same boldfaced lie often enough, eventually, eventually people will begin to believe it, particularly when there is no one or no group available to rebut the lie.

Mr. Speaker, I have been on the Labor Relations Committee for 23 years, longer than any other member, probably as long as most of the members’ terms put together, and I have had this issue before my committee on countless occasions – on countless occasions. Just recently we had a hearing where during the testimony given before the House Labor Relations Committee on February 20, 2002, Mr. Nicholas Rogers, a member of the Southeast PA Chapter of the Associated Builders and Contractors but also part owner of Rogers Mechanical,

stated, in order for my firm to make a profit on prevailing wage jobs, I have to use my best workers on those jobs. In order to make a profit when I bid and win prevailing wage jobs, I have to send my best workers to that job. That is the only way I can make a profit. That leaves my other job sites with my least qualified individuals on that construction project.

Mr. Speaker, in Utah, the prevailing wage law, when it was repealed, caused a decline in the average wage in the State and decreased – more importantly – decreased by 50 percent the number of people attending apprentice programs to even enter the construction field.

In the opinion of Dr. John T. Dunlop, a Ph.D. and Secretary of Labor under President Ford, his opinion was and he was supported time and time again by studies comparing like projects and taking into account productivity, safety, community development, and economic forces contributing to the real cost-effectiveness that prevailing wage laws cause little impact on the overall cost of a construction project.

Our own U.S. Census information states that wages and benefits, total wages and benefits on a construction project, account for no more than 24 percent of a project, as a previous speaker just said, but the average is more like 12 to 15 percent. Most of the project cost is building materials – the electrical supplies, the overhead, the cost of owning mechanical and heavy industrial equipment. Those are the costs that make up for the largest portion of any overall construction projects. But prevailing wage opponents claim that we can save 30 percent if we eliminate prevailing wage. Well, that is unbelievable. If the total wage and benefit package on a job is 12 or 15 percent, how can you save 30 percent? No, the only way you can do that, the only way you can even save 15 percent, is we should repeal the Emancipation Proclamation so we can use slave labor on construction projects. That way you could save 15 percent.

Mr. Speaker, the provision before us today on school district opt-out is one that has been argued on this House floor before. Where more than on our school projects where our children's safety is at stake do we want to use qualified mechanics who have gone through apprenticeship programs, who are going to use the right type of wire, are going to get the job done on time or ahead of schedule and under budget. Time and time again when school boards have voted to hire contractors from out of State, the projects have cost-overruns and are not completed on time, and if you look at the sick-school syndrome in this State, you will find that the projects that were completed, that were completed by contractors who were out of State, which the repeal of prevailing wage really helps, the percentage of sick schools built by those types of contractors far, far exceed those that are built by Pennsylvania contractors.

Prevailing wage levels the playing field for union and nonunion contractors in your district and my district to bid on these jobs. We do not need the parking lots of the construction projects filled with license plates from Alabama, Mississippi, and Georgia. They have plenty of work in their States. We need Pennsylvanians working on our projects.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I ask that we defeat the Ross amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Keller.

Mr. KELLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I know throughout the State it is often said that Philadelphia is a place where a lot of bad things happen. I am here to tell you today, if Philadelphia is the home of prevailing wage, then that is a good thing. That is a good thing because it is about our constituents, and it is about having good wages paid to Pennsylvanians. It is not about how much more contractors are going to make. I think if everybody goes and talks to their constituents, they are for good wages. This is one way we can ensure good wages for Pennsylvanians.

I urge a “no” vote on the Ross amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have listened to the arguments and the debate on the Ross amendment, and of course, this comes as a little surprise where the amendment guts the bill and replaces it with prevailing wage language. I listened to the points that have been made by the other speakers pro and con. One of the speakers said that somehow this amendment, if we adopt it, it would result in the lowering of property taxes. I do not exactly understand how that would happen and how that would be used as a device, considering the fact that, as was pointed out, labor costs are somewhere between 12 and 15 percent of contract costs. So this would be an insignificant savings considering the amount of property tax relief that our constituents are asking for.

I think it was important to note that under Secretary Butler, there was a survey done in every county of the State and that the prevailing wage was adjusted in all 67 counties, and I think that is important, because prevailing wage does not necessarily mean that a worker in, say, Greene County was going to get the same wage as a worker in Philadelphia County. It is prevailing wage, what is the prevailing wage in that particular area.

I think it is important to note that when we talk about paying our workers an acceptable living wage that helps them maintain and improve their standard of living, that what we are attracting are educated, trained, qualified, and skilled workers. We have all seen projects in our districts where other than qualified, skilled, and trained workers were utilized in the project. I know one in particular in my district, and I remember driving by and seeing the license plates from Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi. And I also know that after they left and went back home, that there were problems with the project; in particular, the roof of the building continued to leak, and it was almost impossible for the owner of this rather large building to get the contractor to come back and make the necessary repairs to fix the leak. The qualifications and skill of the workers that did that job were less than acceptable, as it turned out.

There is not any outcry that I am hearing from my constituents that we should address this issue today. It is not compelling. We have other compelling issues, I believe, that are confronting us, that are far more pressing in terms of the constituents. This is really a competitive dispute between various contractors, and it is more at that level than it is at the constituent level. In fact, I have heard the argument that in fact prevailing wage actually benefits our constituents, because more often than not these are people that live in the communities and work in their local communities, and they are attracted to stay there and live there and work there because they know that once

they obtain the education, training, and skills, that they will be able to earn a living wage and maintain or improve their standard of care.

AMENDMENT TABLED

Mr. GANNON. With those in mind, Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate to make a motion at this point?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GANNON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to move that we table this amendment. I do not think, you know, I have heard some of the comments in debate going back and forth. I think there are more pressing issues that are confronting us.

Quite frankly, from a personal point, I would like to get to the bill without amendment, because I have an amendment for the bill, and if this amendment was accepted, I believe my amendment would be out of order, and for that slight reason I would ask that this amendment No. A2179, that this amendment be tabled and we proceed on to the bill and to the other amendments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's motion is to table amendment A2179. The motion is in order. The Speaker is basing his decision on section 399, paragraph 2, of Mason's Manual.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. That motion is also only debatable by the floor leaders.

The Chair would recognize the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Smith, wish to be recog— No. The gentleman, Mr. Smith, does not wish to be recognized.

The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. I support the gentleman from Delaware. I believe that a motion to table is in order. Since the time I arrived in this room, the Republican Party in this hall has fought to vitiate the prevailing wage. Again and again, summer after summer, the Republican Party with 60, 70, 80 votes lines up to do harm to the prevailing wage. The gentleman, Mr. Keller, from Philadelphia made an eloquent statement on behalf of working men and women in this State, and it does not need augmented other than to say that a move to table the Ross amendment would help put away some of the mischief that the Republican Party has tried to do to prevailing wage for the 28 summers I have been in this room.

I vote to table.

The SPEAKER. The motion before the floor is to table amendment A2179. On that motion, those in favor will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—143

Adolph	Donatucci	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Eachus	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans, D.	Maher	Semmel

Baker	Evans, J.	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Fabrizio	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Feese	Markosek	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McCall	Staback
Belardi	Frankel	McGeehan	Stairs
Belfanti	Freeman	McGill	Stern
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stetler
Bishop	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Browne	Goodman	Micozzie	Surra
Bunt	Grucela	Mundy	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Habay	O'Brien	Thomas
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhai	O'Neill	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	Payne	Veon
Cawley	Harper	Petrarca	Vitali
Civera	Hasay	Petri	Walko
Cohen	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Cornell	Hess	Phillips	Washington
Corrigan	Horsey	Pistella	Waters
Costa	Hutchinson	Preston	Watson
Coy	James	Raymond	Weber
Crahalla	Josephs	Readshaw	Wheatley
Cruz	Keller	Reed	Williams
Curry	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Daley	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
DeLuca	Laughlin	Rubley	Yudichak
Dermody	Leach	Ruffing	
DeWeese	Lederer	Sainato	Perzel,
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Speaker
Diven			

NAYS—56

Armstrong	Flick	Lewis	Ross
Baldwin	Forcier	Mackereth	Saylor
Bastian	Gabig	Maitland	Scavello
Benninghoff	Geist	Major	Schroder
Birmelin	Gillespie	Marsico	Scrimenti
Boyd	Gingrich	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Causer	Godshall	Metcalfe	Steil
Clymer	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Hanna	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Creighton	Harris	Nailor	True
Denlinger	Hennessey	Nickol	Turzai
Egolf	Hershey	Pickett	Vance
Fairchild	Hickernell	Reichley	Wilt
Fleagle	Leh	Rohrer	Zug

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The motion to table is carried. The amendment is laid on the table.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GANNON offered the following amendment No. A2318:

Amend Title, page 1, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

disease”; and further providing for the schedule of compensation.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 26 and 27 Section 2. Section 306(f.1)(6) of the act, amended June 24, 1996 (P.L.350, No.57), is amended to read:

Section 306. The following schedule of compensation is hereby established:

(f.1) * * *

(6) Except in those cases in which a workers’ compensation judge asks for an opinion from peer review under section 420, disputes as to reasonableness or necessity of treatment by a health care provider shall be resolved in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The reasonableness or necessity of all treatment provided by a health care provider under this act may be subject to prospective, concurrent or retrospective utilization review at the request of an employe, employer or insurer. The department shall authorize utilization review organizations to perform utilization review under this act. Utilization review of all treatment rendered by a health care provider shall be performed by a provider licensed in the same profession and having the same or similar specialty as that of the provider of the treatment under review. Organizations not authorized by the department may not engage in such utilization review.

(ii) The utilization review organization shall issue a written report of its findings and conclusions within thirty (30) days of a request.

(iii) The employer or the insurer shall pay the cost of the utilization review.

(iv) If the provider, employer, employe or insurer disagrees with the finding of the utilization review organization, a petition for review by the department must be filed within thirty (30) days after receipt of the report. The department shall assign the petition to a workers’ compensation judge for a hearing or for an informal conference under section 402.1. The utilization review report and the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review shall be part of the record before the workers’ compensation judge. The workers’ compensation judge shall consider the utilization review report and the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review as evidence but shall not be bound by the report or the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review.

* * *

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 27, by striking out “2” and inserting 3

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, when we amended the Workers’ Compensation Act several years ago, we had a provision dealing with peer review of a doctor or a medical provider’s treatment. Basically, the review was to determine whether or not treatment was reasonable and necessary. The peer reviewer is required to issue a report, and then that report, if the review is challenged by the doctor, is then offered into evidence before the workers’ compensation judge. At that time we changed the law to provide that the judge must accept the report as evidence. What this did is it saved the insurance company attorneys the cost and inconvenience of bringing the person who wrote the peer review

report, bringing them into court and paying them a fee or whatever.

One of the things that we did not do, and this is what I am attempting to correct in this amendment, we did not also say that the medical records which were the subject of review could also be accepted as evidence, and what I have seen is that in some instances, the workers’ compensation judge is required to accept the report as evidence but the insurance company attorneys object to the judge being able to accept the medical records as evidence also; that is, the records that were subject to review.

This amendment simply says that the report as well as the records which were subject to the report can be accepted by the judge as evidence, although he is not required to either give credibility or rely on either the report or the records in making his decision. He simply must accept them as evidence. In this instance, under current law, the report, if we adopt this amendment, it would include the report and the records that were subject to review by the reviewer, and I would ask for a “yes” vote on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just rise in support of the Gannon amendment.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Adolph	Egolf	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Argall	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Baker	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baldwin	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Bard	Fichter	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barrar	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Belardi	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	Marsico	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gabig	McCall	Staback
Biancucci	Gannon	McGeehan	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	McGill	Steil
Bishop	George	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Godshall	Metcalfe	Sturla
Butkovitz	Goodman	Micozzie	Surra
Buxton	Gordner	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Grucela	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Habay	Myers	Thomas
Causer	Haluska	Nailor	Tigue
Cawley	Hanna	Nickol	Travaglio
Civera	Harhai	O’Brien	True
Clymer	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Cohen	Harper	O’Neill	Vance
Coleman	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali

Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Crahalla	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Creighton	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horshey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wilt
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Leach	Ross	
Donatucci	Lederer	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Leh	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS-1

Schroder

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra

Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horshey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House Renu Shah and Jeb Wagner. They are legislative interns and are the guests of Representative Mike Sturla. They are to the left of the Speaker. Would those guests please rise.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 54, PN 2158**, entitled:

An Act providing for special and commemorative registration plates, for criteria for creation of the plates, for the duties of the Department of Transportation and for fees; establishing funds related to certain registration plates; and making repeals.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. DALEY offered the following amendment No. A2198:

Amend Bill, page 26, by inserting between lines 11 and 12 Section 729. Women’s health research plate.

(a) Issuance.—Upon application of any person who has contributed at least \$20 to an eligible sponsoring organization, accompanied by a fee of \$20 which shall be in addition to the annual registration fee and documentation as the department shall require, the department shall issue to the person a special registration plate designating the vehicle so licensed as belonging to a person who has so contributed.

(b) Eligible organizations.—Sponsoring organizations eligible under this section include, but are not limited to: the Endometriosis Association, the Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, the American Cancer Society and the Susan G. Komen Foundation.

(c) Authorized use of fees.—Additional fees collected under this section shall be used for research areas, including, but not limited to: breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, premenstrual syndrome, pregnancy and postpartum complications, menopause, fertility and osteoporosis. Revenues shall be shared equally among the designated sponsoring organizations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This proposal is similar to other special-purpose license plates that raise funds in Pennsylvania. I wholeheartedly support the causes we helped on other plates, but truthfully, I think they all pale in comparison to the health and well-being of our mothers, daughters, wives, and sisters.

The specialty license plate that I propose with this amendment would fund women’s health research. This proposal is not new. I first introduced this legislation in 2000 when Ms. Pennsylvania, Rochelle Latkanich of Washington Township, Fayette County, approached me, as part of her platform during her term as Ms. Pennsylvania was women’s health and endometriosis awareness. She was a tireless advocate for my proposal before you today.

This proposal will be a standard extra charge of \$20 in addition to the annual registration fee, and that money will go toward research into women’s health issues. Revenues will be shared equally among the designated sponsoring organizations which include but are not limited to the Endometriosis Association, the Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, the American Cancer Society, and the Susan G. Komen Foundation.

Fees collected will be used for research areas including but not limited to breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, premenstrual syndrome, pregnancy and postpartum complications, menopause, fertility, and osteoporosis.

We anticipate the annual sales between 175,000 and 250,000 plates, which shall generate about \$3.5 to \$5 million for women’s health research. This money produced by these specialty plates is free money. Not a dime comes out of the General Fund or from taxpayers. All of it comes from voluntary donations, as we all know, made by individuals who choose to support their particular cause.

I ask for an affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentledady from Philadelphia, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to briefly put on the record the reason that I will be voting “no” on this and all of the amendments and legislation that deal with specialty license plates. It has nothing to do with the very worthy causes that the general plates are planning to promote. I have been an active member of a breast cancer research board and breast cancer advocacy group. They are very worthy causes, but I just have a fundamental opposition to all of these specialty license plates. I think the role of a license plate is to register and identify Pennsylvania vehicles and that the proliferation of nonstandard traditional Pennsylvania license plates is not a good practice. I have not supported them in the past.

And so, again, it is nothing personal against any of the organizations that we will be discussing today, but I just am going to be recording a “no” on all of those and want the record to reflect my reasons. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment, and we would urge a “yes” vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Mackereth	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maher	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Bishop	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Blaum	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Boyd	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Browne	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, R.	Sturla
Buxton	Gordner	Miller, S.	Surra
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Thomas
Causer	Haluska	O’Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Hanna	Oliver	Travaglio
Civera	Harhai	O’Neill	True
Clymer	Harhart	Payne	Turzai
Cohen	Harper	Petrarca	Vance
Coleman	Harris	Petri	Veon
Cornell	Hasay	Petrone	Vitali
Corrigan	Hennessey	Phillips	Walko
Costa	Herman	Pickett	Wansacz
Coy	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Crahalla	Hess	Preston	Waters
Creighton	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Hutchinson	Reed	Williams
Daley	James	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Keller	Rieger	Wojnaroski

DeLuca	Kenney	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	Zug
Diven	Leach	Rublely	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Leh	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—8

Birmelin	Josephs	Melio	Tangretti
Curry	Manderino	Mundy	Weber

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **READSHAW** offered the following amendment No. **A2224**:

Amend Sec. 704, page 14, lines 4 and 5, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(2) Gettysburg Battlefield Preservation Association.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Readshaw.

Mr. **READSHAW**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a technical, agreed-to amendment which inserts the name of the Gettysburg Battlefield Preservation Association as one of the nonprofit organizations that can utilize the commemorative plate.

I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. **GEIST**. We are agreed to this, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Scrimenti

Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stetler
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Thomas
Casorio	Haluska	O'Brien	Tigue
Causer	Hanna	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Harhai	O'Neill	True
Civera	Harhart	Payne	Turzai
Clymer	Harper	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen	Harris	Petri	Veon
Coleman	Hasay	Petrone	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Phillips	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Pickett	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Coy	Hess	Preston	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Creighton	Horsely	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	Hutchinson	Reed	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Reichley	Williams
Daley	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roebuck	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Rublely	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Leh	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—4

Curry	Josephs	Manderino	Mundy
-------	---------	-----------	-------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

RULES SUSPENDED

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. **HALUSKA**. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended for immediate consideration of amendment No. 2335.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Adolph	Egolf	Lescovitz	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Fairchild	Mackereth	Scavello
Baldwin	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Bard	Fichter	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barrar	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Belardi	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	Marsico	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gabig	McCall	Staback
Biancucci	Gannon	McGeehan	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	McGill	Steil
Bishop	George	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Godshall	Metcalfe	Sturla
Butkovitz	Goodman	Micozzie	Surra
Buxton	Gordner	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Grucela	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Habay	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Haluska	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Hanna	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhai	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harhart	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harper	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Harris	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hasay	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Herman	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hershey	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hess	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Hickernell	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Raymond	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Reed	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Keller	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	Zug
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Leh	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—1

Mundy

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **HALUSKA** offered the following amendment No. **A2335**:

Amend Sec. 717, page 20, line 29, by inserting after "which" fights terrorism or

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. **HALUSKA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a national push to have a fight terrorism plate in each State, and I have been contacted by some people, and I talked to Chairman Geist, and he is okay with the amendment. All it will do is add another plate in that list of plates; it is a fight terrorism plate. The moneys basically would go to the families that were affected on 9/11. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. **GEIST**. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment, and along with the Flight 93 plate, I think it is an addition to Pennsylvania.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Scrimenti
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stetler
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Thomas
Casorio	Haluska	O'Brien	Tigue
Causar	Hanna	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Harhai	O'Neill	True
Civera	Harhart	Payne	Turzai
Clymer	Harper	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen	Harris	Petri	Veon
Coleman	Hasay	Petrone	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Phillips	Walko

Corrigan	Herman	Pickett	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Coy	Hess	Preston	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Creighton	Horsley	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	Hutchinson	Reed	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Reichley	Williams
Daley	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roebuck	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Leh	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—4

Curry	Josephs	Manderino	Mundy
-------	---------	-----------	-------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended for immediate consideration of amendment 2343.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler

Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsley	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GEIST offered the following amendment No. **A2343**:

Amend Sec. 104, page 4, line 22, by inserting after “vehicles)” Fees paid to the department under this act shall be deposited in the Motor License Fund.

Amend Sec. 701, page 10, line 28, by inserting before “The” (a) Requirements.—

Amend Sec. 701, page 12, by inserting between lines 4 and 5

(b) Contributions.—A sponsoring organization is entitled only to any fee collected under this act as a contribution to the sponsoring organization. A sponsoring organization is responsible for collecting any fees contributed to the sponsoring organization.

Amend Sec. 724, page 24, line 10, by striking out “contributed” and inserting served

Amend Sec. 5101, page 31, line 13, by striking out “1342” and inserting

1342(d)

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This is clarifying language and a technical amendment, and I would urge a “yes” vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rublely	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I move for an immediate suspension of the rules to bring up amendment 2387.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic

Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COHEN offered the following amendment No. **A2387**:

Amend Sec. 725, page 24, lines 16 through 22, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(a) Application.—Upon application of an person who has contributed at least \$20 to the Robert P. Casey Memorial Organ and Tissue Donation Awareness Trust Fund accompanied by a fee of \$20 which shall be in addition to the annual registration fee and documentation as the department shall require, the department shall issue to the person a special registration plate designating the vehicle so licensed as belonging to a person who has so contributed.

(b) Eligible organizations.—Sponsoring organizations eligible under this section include, but are not limited to, the Organ Donation Advisory Committee, established under 20 Pa.C.S. § 8622 (relating to The Governor Robert P. Casey Memorial Organ and Tissue Donation Awareness Trust Fund).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment makes minor editorial changes. It is an agreed-to amendment. It places the name of the Robert P. Casey Memorial Organ and Tissue Donation Awareness Trust Fund in this legislation. I urge support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is agreed to, and I would urge a “yes” vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Scrimenti
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Birmelin	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Bishop	Gergely	McNaughton	Stetler
Blaum	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Goodman	Miller, R.	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Thomas
Casorio	Haluska	O’Brien	Tigue
Causar	Hanna	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Harhai	O’Neill	True
Civera	Harhart	Payne	Turzai
Clymer	Harper	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen	Harris	Petri	Veon
Coleman	Hasay	Petrone	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Phillips	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Pickett	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Coy	Hess	Preston	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Creighton	Horsey	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	Hutchinson	Reed	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Reichley	Williams
Daley	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roebuck	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Leh	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—4

Curry	Josephs	Manderino	Mundy
-------	---------	-----------	-------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A2198 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a reconsideration motion by which the vote on amendment No. 2198 was passed to HB 54, PN 2158, on the 25th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for Amendment A2198, including Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Baldwin, Bard, Barrar, Bastian, Bebkco-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Biancucci, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyd, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappelli, Casorio, Causer, Cawley, Civera, Clymer, Cohen, Coleman, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Crahalla, Creighton, Cruz, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Denlinger, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Diven, Donatucci, Eachus, Egolf, Evans, D., Evans, J., Fabrizio, Fairchild, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gabig, Gannon, Geist, George, Gergely, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harper, Harris, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Hickernell, Horsey, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Kotik, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Leach, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lewis, Lynch, Mackereth, Maher, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, O'Neill, Payne, Petrarca, Petri, Petrone, Phillips, Pickett, Pistella, Preston, Raymond, Readshaw, Reed, Reichley, Rieger, Roberts, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Scavello, Schroder, Scrimenti, Semmel, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, R., Stevenson, T., Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigue, Travaglio, True, Turzai, Vance, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Wansacz, Washington, Waters, Watson, Weber, Wheatley, Williams, Wilt, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zug, and Perzel, Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A2198:

Amend Bill, page 26, by inserting between lines 11 and 12 Section 729. Women's health research plate.

(a) Issuance.—Upon application of any person who has contributed at least \$20 to an eligible sponsoring organization, accompanied by a fee of \$20 which shall be in addition to the annual registration fee and documentation as the department shall require, the department shall issue to the person a special registration plate designating the vehicle so licensed as belonging to a person who has so contributed.

(b) Eligible organizations.—Sponsoring organizations eligible under this section include, but are not limited to: the Endometriosis Association, the Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, the American Cancer Society and the Susan G. Komen Foundation.

(c) Authorized use of fees.—Additional fees collected under this section shall be used for research areas, including, but not limited to: breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, premenstrual syndrome, pregnancy and postpartum complications, menopause, fertility and osteoporosis. Revenues shall be shared equally among the designated sponsoring organizations.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for Amendment A2198, including Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Baldwin, Bard, Barrar, Bastian, Bebkco-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Biancucci, Bishop, Blaum, Boyd, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappelli, Casorio, Causer, Cawley, Civera, Clymer, Cohen, Coleman, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Crahalla, Creighton, Evans, D., Evans, J., Fabrizio, Fairchild, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gabig, Gannon, Geist, George, Gergely, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harper, Harris, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Hickernell, Levdansky, Lewis, Lynch, Mackereth, Maher, Maitland, Major, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, O'Neill, Payne, Petrarca, Petri, Petrone, Phillips, Pickett, Pistella, Preston, Raymond, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Scavello, Schroder, Scrimenti, Semmel, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, R., Stevenson, T., Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigue, Travaglio, True, Turzai, Vance, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Wansacz, Washington, Waters, Watson.

Cruz	Horsey	Readshaw	Weber
Dailey	Hutchinson	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	James	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
DeLuca	Kenney	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roebuck	Wright
Dermody	Kotik	Rohrer	Yewcic
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	Yudichak
Diven	Leach	Rubley	Zug
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	
Eachus	Leh	Sainato	Perzel,
Egolf	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Speaker

NAYS—5

Birmelin	Josephs	Manderino	Mundy
Curry			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Rooney.

Mr. ROONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the prime sponsor be good enough to stand for a brief interrogation?

Mr. GEIST. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. ROONEY. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I am just curious as to what the parameters are for a group such as the one that we are about to vote on. What are the parameters for their inclusion? Do they need to be a 501(c)(3)? How does it work?

Mr. GEIST. No, they do not. They just have to be named in the bill, and any corrections in the future or amendments that would be made for other groups.

Mr. ROONEY. Thank you.

So in other words, a group that may have their own vanity plate could engage in political enterprises or attempt to influence the outcome of elections in Pennsylvania.

Mr. GEIST. Only if it would pass the House, and I doubt that that would ever happen, but you could, for instance, have groups that, say, wanted the Hegins pigeon shoot that could come up with a special plate, something like that.

Mr. ROONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I very much appreciate that.

Would you be kind enough to tell me and, of course, our colleagues what the proceeds for the newly minted plate, if this passes, under the heading of "Choose life," could you tell me what those resources will be used for, those additional resources?

Mr. GEIST. Yes, I could. They are going to be used for adoption, to promote adoption.

Mr. ROONEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have completed my interrogation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentlelady, Ms. Mundy.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have been voting against all of the amendments. Although these organizations are certainly worthy amendments and they are worthy organizations and deserve some consideration, my understanding is that the law enforcement community is asking us not to do this, and it is kind of a no-brainer why they are asking us not to do this. How do you recognize, how do you enforce the law, how do you recognize a car that is involved in a crime when you cannot read the license plate or you cannot even identify what State the plate is from, because there are so many plates that the average citizen has no way of knowing, no way of reading, what each of them says?

The other issue is that we are setting up a whole new bureaucracy to handle these plates. There is a significant fiscal impact to this bill. This is not the time to be adding new bureaucracy and new programs like this to government, after we just cut some very, very valuable and necessary programs back in our communities. Before we have even restored any of that money, we are going to set up a whole new bureaucracy to deal with specialty license plates?

This really does not make a whole lot of sense to me from a law enforcement perspective or from a fiscal perspective, and I am going to be voting "no," and I wanted my constituents to understand why.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

The Chair recognizes the gentlelady from Philadelphia, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 54 authorizes over 50 specialty-type license plates in Pennsylvania.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to ask for a "yes" vote on final passage, and before I conclude my remarks, I would like to thank everybody who cooperated in this. This has been a 5-year effort, and a lot of you have brought to our committee special plates. We finally have codified it. There will be no fiscal impact. Actually, it will be a plus fiscal impact for the State. And the plates for visibility will be more visible, really, than the current plates we have.

I would like to read a list, a short list, of some of the plates, and I know that all the Representatives are here, and many of you have brought plates to us, and I would like to thank you for your cooperation.

The youth hunter and angler plate, the education plate; the animal protection plate; Gettysburg Civil War plate, the memorial plate; kids first plate; cancer research plate; coal heritage; Independence National plate, Historic Park plate;

volunteer firefighter's plate; saving children plate; emergency services plate; the breast cancer awareness plate; lighthouse preservation plate; choose life plate; Chesapeake Bay commemorative plate; the Pennsylvania agriculture plate; the Pennsylvania Irish caucus plate; the prostate cancer research plate; the ruffed grouse plate; the Civilian Conservation Corps plate; autism awareness research plate; friend for life plate; pride in America plate; the steelworkers plate; legislative plate; library registration plate; organ donor plate; the Flight 93 plate; and women's health plate.

I think there is an awful lot of good in this bill and an awful lot of people who worked very hard on it. I would like to thank the Democratic committee for helping us, all of you, and urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise because I suspect I was the legislator that started all these specialty plates. I offered the amendment to the wildlife conservation plate, and at first they said it would not sell 5,000 plates.

Just to let you know, over the years the wildlife conservation plate has raised millions, millions of dollars for wildlife projects, wildlife restoration projects; for the American bald eagle, everything from the river otter to wildlife endangered species.

So I ask for a "yes" vote. These plates make money for Pennsylvania's projects, so why not go for it, and the plates now, since they have been chaired by Chairman Geist, Chairman Geist has ensured the legislature here in the House that these plates will be readable by the Pennsylvania State Police and local police.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Birmelin.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the gentleman who is the maker of the bill, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Mr. Speaker, I am looking through the eligible organizations and the 50-some plates, and I notice the language appears continually that people have to belong to a certain organization in order to have these plates, and the organization collects the money. Could you explain briefly, if you were to have one of these specialty plates, how that would work?

Mr. GEIST. Well, they do not have to belong to an organization, but the organization has to make application.

Mr. BIRMELIN. In particular, the choose life plate says it includes, but is not limited to, Choose Life, Inc., and then it goes on to say that this may be used for programs offered by certain crisis pregnancy centers, and they are not defined, and I was wondering who will determine where that money will go.

Mr. GEIST. It is part of the MOU (memorandum of understanding) application that PENNDOT will make the distribution.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Well, then I guess my followup question is, what is an MOU application, and how would you determine in

that application, how would they know specifically who these crisis pregnancy centers are that would get the money?

Mr. GEIST. On the application, PENNDOT will make the determination that it meets the letter of the law.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Well, the letter of the law is what we are passing, and it does not explain it, unless you are talking about a law that is already in effect and not included in this legislation.

Mr. GEIST. The application process, as we believe it, is already in code, and we could address that if you think it needs to be addressed, but we have looked at it, and we think everything is fine with how PENNDOT handles it and the process.

Mr. BIRMELIN. I guess my concern, Mr. Speaker, is that it might be too open-ended a description of who should get the money, and I think the people who are going to get this plate are obviously people who are of the pro-life persuasion and want to make sure that it goes to genuinely pro-life organizations and not to those who are not in fact pro-life.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, but knowing the pro-life organization as well as I do and as good as they are at being watchdogs, I am sure that every penny will go where it is supposed to go.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Well, I would like to agree with you. I am not sure that that is the case. If we are turning over the collection of this money and the distribution of it to PENNDOT, it then becomes out of the realm of the influence of the pro-life organizations, does it not?

Mr. GEIST. PENNDOT does not distribute the money broadly. It only distributes the money back to the sponsoring organization. You will pay the money, coming inside, you will pay the money to the organization. They will bring the plate to PENNDOT.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Would you repeat that? I could not hear you.

Mr. GEIST. If you were going to the Hegins pigeon shoot plate, you would go to them to make the application. They would send it then on to PENNDOT.

Mr. BIRMELIN. So you are saying that there are organizations, for instance, that will solicit money for the plate; they will turn your application in to PENNDOT, and PENNDOT will issue you the license plate?

Mr. GEIST. That is correct.

Mr. BIRMELIN. And the organization that you send your money to that then sends it to PENNDOT, are these certified by PENNDOT? Are they approved by PENNDOT?

Mr. GEIST. Yes, absolutely.

Mr. BIRMELIN. So we are getting back to my original question. Those organizations, how are they being certified by PENNDOT, and how is PENNDOT going to make sure that those organizations are meeting this legislation and its requirements?

Mr. GEIST. In the bill, it was Choose Life, Inc., so I would imagine that would be the group.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Well, it says it is not limited to them.

Mr. GEIST. That is correct, but they would be the one that is going to decide.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Well, I guess my concern is, I am not real sure that we are going to be doing what we think we are doing here, and I would like the opportunity, if this bill comes back to the House, to work with you to address that.

Mr. GEIST. We have worked a long time with this, and I will go on record saying we are very confident that this is going to work and work very well.

Mr. BIRMELIN. May I have your commitment to work with me to make sure that that happens?

Mr. GEIST. Oh, absolutely. If it does not work, with all the right-to-life people back home, I will be hearing.

Mr. BIRMELIN. You have a few here, too.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Chester, Mr. Flick.

Mr. FLICK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to thank the Transportation chairman for running this legislation. For the past 10 terms, I have introduced legislation to enable those friends of our Pennsylvania libraries to have a library plate where they could raise money for their home libraries and for the State libraries, and of course, each term it died in committee, with the assurance from the chairman that he would look into it and he would work hard to get it out, so I am glad that the library plate is a part of this legislation. I thank the gentleman for his hard work.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate if we could go over this bill today, run this tomorrow, and I intend to put an amendment in there on behalf of the Italian caucus, who certainly would like to be in this, have a license plate themselves.

So I would ask the sponsor of this legislation to give me the courtesy of drafting an amendment for the Italian caucus plate.

Mr. GEIST. Mr. Speaker, could we take a timeout for a second; just for a minute?

The SPEAKER. The chamber will be at ease.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

After speaking to the prime sponsor, I am going to withdraw that motion to go over it, and we have worked out an agreement on this Italian license plate. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

I was pleased to see you on the right side of the aisle for a change, Mr. DeLuca.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lynch.

Mr. LYNCH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to send my condolences over to the gentleman from Allegheny County who was unable to get— For your information, as the one who introduced the Irish plate, it is not supposed to be Irish caucus plate anyway; it is supposed to be Irish memorial plate.

But having said all that, that can be corrected in the Senate, and we trust that it will be.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, you know, I understand the rich, proud heritage of the Irish and the Italians, but I happen to be of Polish and Slovak descent. Why cannot we have a Polish National Alliance plate, and how about a National Slovak Society plate, and how about a Croatian Fraternal Union plate?

If the whole point, if the whole point of this legislation is to let people identify with a group or a cause that they are concerned about, is that not why we make automobiles with, on the front bumper, a place to put a plate? Why not just go buy your plate from your organization that you want to support and put it on the front of your automobile instead of involving the taxpayers and PENNDOT and everybody else.

We have taken this so far for so long, when it gets to the point we are going to have over 50 plates, and then how do you tell the next group, how do I go home and tell the Polish National Alliance that the Irish got one and we did not?

Mr. Speaker, I almost want to make the motion that we hold this over and that we direct PENNDOT to make blank plates and just give everybody a magic marker and make your own plate.

Honestly, honestly, I think we look silly by making 50 or more plates. Okay? I think it creates a problem; it creates a problem for law enforcement when you have over 50 different plates that are going to be circulated out there.

I think this is silly. I think it is absurd. I think we should all just vote “no.” Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—179

Adolph	Eachus	Leach	Samuelson
Allen	Egolf	Lederer	Santoni
Argall	Evans, D.	Leh	Sather
Baker	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Saylor
Baldwin	Fabrizio	Lynch	Scavello
Bard	Fairchild	Maher	Schroder
Barrar	Feese	Maitland	Scrimenti
Bastian	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mann	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Forcier	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Bianucci	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Birmelin	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Blaum	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Boyd	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Browne	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Bunt	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Sturla
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Gordner	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Grucela	O'Brien	Tigue
Causar	Gruitza	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Habay	O'Neill	True
Civera	Haluska	Payne	Turzai
Clymer	Hanna	Petrarca	Vance
Cohen	Harhai	Petri	Veon
Coleman	Harhart	Petrone	Wansacz
Cornell	Harper	Phillips	Washington
Corrigan	Harris	Pickett	Waters
Costa	Hasay	Pistella	Watson
Coy	Hennessey	Preston	Weber
Crahalla	Herman	Raymond	Wheatley
Creighton	Hershey	Readshaw	Williams
Cruz	Hess	Reed	Wilt
Dailey	Hickernell	Reichley	Wojnaroski

Daley	Horsey	Rieger	Wright
Dally	Hutchinson	Roberts	Yewcic
DeLuca	James	Roebuck	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Rohrer	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Kotik	Ross	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Ruffing	Perzel,
Diven	Laughlin	Sainato	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—20

Armstrong	Kirkland	Miller, R.	Stetler
Benninghoff	Levdansky	Mundy	Tangretti
Curry	Lewis	Myers	Thomas
Frankel	Mackereth	Nickol	Vitali
Josephs	Manderino	Rubley	Walko

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 88, PN 107**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736, No.338), known as the Workers' Compensation Act, further providing for the schedule of compensation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GERGELY** offered the following amendment No. **A1122**:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 306), page 3, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(2.1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, it is unlawful for an insurer to refer an employe for an earning power assessment pursuant to this paragraph if the insurer has a financial interest with the person or in the entity that receives the referral. It is unlawful for an insurer to enter into an arrangement or scheme which the insurer knows or should know has a principal purpose of assuring referrals by the insurer to a particular entity which, if the insurer directly made referrals to such entity, would be in violation of this paragraph.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gergely.

The gentleman is entitled to be heard.

Mr. **GERGELY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is, I think, a bipartisan agreement to this amendment. What it simply does is it gives the insurers and the claimants a

free playing field. If the insurer petitions to have a vocational expert do an earnings power assessment, this guarantees that the insurer does not have a fiduciary interest with the vocational experts. So this is an agreed-to amendment, and I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Turzai.

Mr. **TURZAI**. It is an agreed-to amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Sterler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I had an amendment filed to this bill.

DECISION OF CHAIR RESCINDED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Chair rescinds that the bill is on final passage.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended for immediate consideration of amendment A2319.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.

Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causler	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GANNON offered the following amendment No. **A2319**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 11 through 14, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 306(b) and (f.1)(6) of the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736, No.338), known as the Workers' Compensation Act, reenacted and amended June 21, 1939 (P.L.520, No.281), and amended June 24, 1996 (P.L.350, No.57), are amended to read:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 306), page 3, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

(f.1) * * *

(6) Except in those cases in which a workers' compensation judge asks for an opinion from peer review under section 420, disputes as to reasonableness or necessity of treatment by a health care provider shall be resolved in accordance with the following provisions:

(i) The reasonableness or necessity of all treatment provided by a health care provider under this act may be subject to prospective, concurrent or retrospective utilization review at the request of an employe, employer or insurer. The department shall authorize utilization review organizations to perform utilization review under this act. Utilization review of all treatment rendered by a health care provider shall be performed by a provider licensed in the same profession and having the same or similar specialty as that of the provider of the treatment under review. Organizations not authorized by the department may not engage in such utilization review.

(ii) The utilization review organization shall issue a written report of its findings and conclusions within thirty (30) days of a request.

(iii) The employer or the insurer shall pay the cost of the utilization review.

(iv) If the provider, employer, employe or insurer disagrees with the finding of the utilization review organization, a petition for review by the department must be filed within thirty (30) days after receipt of the report. The department shall assign the petition to a workers' compensation judge for a hearing or for an informal conference under section 402.1. The utilization review report and the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review shall be part of the record before the workers' compensation judge. The workers' compensation judge shall consider the utilization review report and the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review as evidence but shall not be bound by the report or the medical records of the provider whose treatment is under review.

* * *

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gannon.
Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is similar to an amendment that we adopted earlier which provides that the medical records of the doctor under review would also be accepted as evidence by the workers' compensation judge on a case of peer review, and I would ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of the amendment will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no." The members will proceed to vote.
The Chair rescinds; the Chair rescinds.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman,
Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw this amendment,
please.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The gentleman, Mr. Belfanti, wishes to be recognized.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just for clarification for the members of my caucus, the members of the Labor Relations Committee on both sides of the aisle had significant differences on this legislation, and I believe that many members of my caucus have been contacted by various constituent groups to oppose HB 88. I would like to say, at this juncture, that the amendments and the language that was causing so much consternation between the two political parties in the Labor Committee has been worked out to what I believe is the best-case scenario.

So I am just advising my members that the major constituency groups that may have written or called you to oppose HB 88 no longer feel that way, so I am encouraging the members of both sides of the aisle to vote "yes" on final passage.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright

Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

HB 54 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a reconsideration motion signed by the gentleman, Mr. Smith, who moves that the vote by which HB 54, PN 2158, was passed on this 25th day of June be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali

Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Adolph	Eachus	Lederer	Samuelson
Allen	Egolf	Leh	Santoni
Argall	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sather
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lewis	Saylor
Baker	Fabrizio	Lynch	Scavello
Baldwin	Fairchild	Maher	Schroder
Bard	Feese	Maitland	Scrimenti
Barrar	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Fleagle	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Forcier	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Frankel	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Freeman	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Gabig	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	George	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, S.	Surra
Buxton	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gordner	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Grucela	O'Brien	Tigue
Casorio	Gruitza	Oliver	Travaglio
Causar	Habay	O'Neill	True
Cawley	Haluska	Payne	Turzai
Civera	Hanna	Petrarca	Vance
Clymer	Harhai	Petri	Veon
Cohen	Harhart	Petrone	Walko
Coleman	Harper	Phillips	Wansacz

Cornell	Harris	Pickett	Washington
Corrigan	Hasay	Pistella	Waters
Costa	Hennessey	Preston	Watson
Coy	Herman	Raymond	Weber
Crahalla	Hershey	Readshaw	Wheatley
Creighton	Hess	Reed	Williams
Cruz	Hickernell	Reichley	Wilt
Dailey	Horsey	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Daley	Hutchinson	Roberts	Wright
Dally	James	Roebuck	Yewcic
DeLuca	Keller	Rohrer	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kenney	Rooney	Yudichak
Dermody	Kirkland	Ross	Zug
DeWeese	Kotik	Rubley	
DiGirolo	LaGrotta	Ruffing	
Diven	Laughlin	Sainato	Perzel,
Donatucci	Leach		Speaker

NAYS-11

Curry	Mackereth	Mundy	Thomas
Josephs	Manderino	Nickol	Vitali
Levdansky	Miller, R.	Tangretti	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 44, PN 993**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Millennium Neighborhood Church, Inc., certain lands situate in Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County; and authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to transfer jurisdiction and control from the Department of General Services to the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, of certain lands situate in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County; and authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to the Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development a tract of land situate in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1378, PN 1706**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A2269**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by inserting before "The"
(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

(b) As a condition of receiving its appropriation under this act, on or after January 1, 2004, the medical school shall, when accepting first-year students for the next term, set aside 5% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon receipt of their medical license, they will engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area within this Commonwealth termed as medically underserved or in a primary care health professional shortage area as reported by the Department of Health.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair rescinds.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2254**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

Section 6. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 26, by striking out "6" and inserting
7

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. **LESCOVITZ**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment applies to the nonpreferred at Drexel and requires that at least 75 percent of the dollars that we are sending to that institution be spent on residents and students here in Pennsylvania. I would appreciate support.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A2273:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$6,470,000” and inserting
\$3,405,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The bill we are taking up, HB 1378, is the first of the nonpreferred appropriations that will be considered today. There is a series of 27 nonpreferred appropriations to which I have offered amendments.

For the nonpreferred appropriations, our State Constitution sets a very high standard. Nonpreferred appropriations are public dollars going to private institutions. For that reason, in our Constitution, it requires a two-thirds vote.

We face a very difficult budget this year, and for that reason I think we should take a very close look at the nonpreferreds. I have a series of 27 amendments, one for each of these nonpreferred bills, that would reduce the appropriation to 50 percent of last year’s level.

In the case of the bill before us right now, last year’s appropriation for Drexel University was \$6.8 million; this amendment would take it down to \$3.405 million. Collectively, these 27 amendments could save our Commonwealth \$38.7 million – \$38.7 million; that is not an insignificant sum as we wrestle with the budget that is before us.

This year’s budget is still a work in progress; I know that. But the budget that has passed so far has significant cuts – cuts in drug and alcohol funding, cuts in human services funding, cuts in the Read to Succeed program, and yes, a 50-percent cut in our public library funding.

Many of us back home in our communities have told our constituents, we are going to find a way, we are going to find a way to revisit some of those line items and restore some of that funding. Well, these amendments that I am offering today, this is a way that we could make some tough choices and that we could find the money to address some of these budgetary needs.

The overall total, if all 27 of these amendments would pass and we would cut each of these nonpreferred appropriations by 50 percent, we would save \$38.7 million. You recall a few months ago when the budget for the public libraries was passed and there was a cut of \$37.6 million. That is right; these amendments would be enough to find the money to restore the public library funding.

This action today, this is the first budgetary item, these series of bills today, that we have considered since the House passed the budget on March 6, and I believe that when we are talking about public dollars for private colleges, private museums, and private hospitals, we have to take a very close look.

Another concern I have about the nonpreferred appropriations is that there is really no process on how these nonpreferred appropriations have come about. You will notice that we are voting on a bill for Drexel University, a very fine university, but you know what? There are wonderful colleges and universities all across Pennsylvania. How is it that this

House is voting on a bill for Drexel University but not for colleges in other parts of the State?

I realize that one of these nonpreferred appropriations dates back to the 1700s. Some legislative leader back in 1785 put a nonpreferred appropriation in our budget. Well, I think as we are voting on these today, we have to take a very close look, and we have to think closely about the allocation of public dollars for private universities, private hospitals, and private museums.

Some have asked me, why should we not reform this system? Well, I would be all in favor of reforming the system. If we seek to aid colleges, universities, and museums, why not create a competitive grant process so that every college, every museum, and every hospital in Pennsylvania would have the opportunity to compete for this money?

That vote is not before us at this moment to reform the whole system. What is before us is a bill to allocate \$6.8 million of public money to a private university. I believe that we have to take a close look at that. Now is the time to make the tough choices. Now is the time to find a way to cut expenditures so that we can find the resources to revisit some of the line items that have already been cut and also so that we can face the budget challenges ahead.

Some people will tell you that with regard to nonpreferred appropriations, we have always done it this way. Well, we cannot afford to do things the way we have always done things.

I ask for an affirmative vote on my amendment to cut this nonpreferred appropriation by 50 percent.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the Samuelson amendment, and let me be very clear on the reasons why I oppose this amendment.

I recognize this is a tough budget year, but it does not benefit us to take from one group that is deserving, merely to give it back to another group which is also deserving. This proposed amendment takes away from a vital institution in our Commonwealth.

Understand that Drexel is a unique institution. Every student, almost every student who goes to Drexel, is a co-op student so that they go to school for 6 months and they work for 6 months, and that means that they return dollars to this State, whether it is in terms of local taxes or State taxes. Those dollars come back to help the Commonwealth.

Further, I would also note that Drexel produces more minority engineers than any other institution in this State – more than Lehigh, more than any other institution in this State – and what this ultimately does is undercut the ability of that school to deliver the education that they do.

I certainly sympathize with the gentleman who spoke before me, but to take from this institution in this manner is simply wrong. I would urge that we defeat this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Argall.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in the interest of time, I believe the sponsor of this amendment mentioned that he has 27 similar amendments. I am only going to say this once rather than 27 times, but it would apply to all of the amendments by the gentleman on this point.

We are \$120 million better right now in our collections than anticipated earlier this year by the Governor. We have received over \$900 million in additional Federal dollars that were not anticipated earlier this year by the Governor. So while things are indeed difficult, we are doing much better than we had earlier anticipated, and as many of you know, we are doing much, much better here in Pennsylvania than in many of the other 49 States.

I do not believe that the gentleman's effort to gut what are many of our most famous institutions in Pennsylvania is an appropriate effort, and I would ask you for a negative vote on this and the other 26 similar amendments. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to the Samuelson amendment. Drexel University is a part of my district. I would yield to the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson, and I will vote for the amendment when we not give any State dollars at all to Lehigh University, which I understand is in his legislative district, and once we take away all the funding for Lehigh University, then we will consider Drexel University, Mr. Speaker.

With that in mind, Mr. Speaker, it is wrong to take in this case from — and I am not a big fan of Drexel's — but it is wrong to take funding, it is wrong to take funding today from one institution and not, you know, to benefit another program like this. So I am opposed to the Samuelson amendment, and with that, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to oppose the Samuelson amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (GENE DIGIROLAMO) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Evans.

Mr. D. EVANS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise to oppose the amendment, the Representative's amendment.

Mr. Speaker, these organizations provide economic development opportunity; they provide education opportunities to the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, not just to a particular section of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. At some point, Mr. Speaker, we have to move beyond pitting one region against the other and begin to look forward.

I understand the gentleman's concern. He has expressed these concerns before, but I shared with him in private as well as in public, I think this is the wrong direction.

I would hope we would defeat this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Allen.

Mr. ALLEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to this amendment.

Coming from northeastern Pennsylvania, we know what outmigration is. We understand the problems that outmigration has cost this State. And if we vote for this amendment or any of the other 26 amendments proposed by the member from Northampton, we are sending a message to our students that we

really do not care if you go to New Jersey, if you go to Maine, if you go to North Carolina to school and never come back again.

Secondary and postsecondary education are very important in this State to the development of our economy. I urge a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the comments of the Appropriations chair that the revenues at this point are \$120 million better than we expected. Now, when you consider that back in March the Governor expected us to be more than half a billion dollars lower than expected, \$120 million improvement is good news but it sure does not solve all of our fiscal problems here in Pennsylvania.

Also, the additional money from Washington is a welcome addition to our budget process, but we cannot spend that money all in one year. To do so would be shortsighted.

So I believe if you just take a look at some of the cuts that I mentioned — drug and alcohol funding, \$104 million; public libraries, \$37 million; Human Services Development Fund, \$33 million; Read to Succeed program, \$15 million; and New Choices/New Options, that is \$2 million — we are already close to \$180 million of cuts that were made back in March that many of us would like to revisit.

One hundred twenty million dollars is not enough to restore \$180 million. I believe we have to be very conservative as we consider each line item that comes before us today.

The gentleman, Mr. Argall, said that these nonpreferred appropriations affect many of our finest institutions. He is correct, there are many institutions, but not all. I interrogated the gentleman, Mr. Argall, last year to talk about the process that is used in terms of what defines what is a nonpreferred appropriation, what is not. In terms of higher education, this one is for Drexel University. There is another one for the University of Pennsylvania. But what about all the other colleges and universities in Pennsylvania?

For the record, to the gentleman from Philadelphia, Lehigh University does not get a nonpreferred appropriation; neither does Moravian College in my legislative district; neither does Lafayette College; neither does Franklin and Marshall; neither does Grove City College. I could go all across Pennsylvania. There are many fine institutions of higher education all across our State.

The process that comes before us or the lack of a process that comes before us, certain institutions are on the nonpreferred list; many are not. I do believe it would be better to have a competitive grant program, but we are being asked to vote today on an appropriation for just a couple of the higher education institutions.

I ask for an affirmative vote to reduce this funding, reduce this budget, and help us find the resources to address our fiscal challenges in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to speak in opposition to this amendment, and I do from firsthand experience. The Education Committee

has had the opportunity to visit a number of college campuses, and one campus that was very impressive to us was the Drexel campus. I just want to point out a few quick observations that we have made.

The one on the co-op program really is unique in this country, not just Pennsylvania, and it really gives an opportunity for Pennsylvanians, which the great majority of students are from the Commonwealth, and it gives a great opportunity for our young people to get an education. It takes them a little bit longer because they are out in the co-op, although they do make some money when they are doing these extra studies in their education. But the great thing about it is, they are doing the co-op in Pennsylvania businesses, and particularly in the Philadelphia area but it is Pennsylvania businesses, and the good thing about it is, we are facing a serious problem with the outmigration, the brain drain, of Pennsylvanians, of our knowledge in this Commonwealth, and this school is really doing a yeoman's job of keeping Pennsylvanians in Pennsylvania by finding them a job, because what happens is they go to a co-op project at a participating business and chances are, most likely, they do a great job and the company wants to hire them; it keeps them in Pennsylvania. So this unique experience for our students in Pennsylvania is something we do not want to endanger. So I would hope that we could certainly defeat this amendment.

And just as a sidebar comment, a couple of years ago this university had done our State a great service, because those Philadelphians were much more aware than us from the western part of the State, but with the Hahnemann situation and the crisis that was affecting the city of Philadelphia, a medical crisis with the bankruptcy there of their medical care, they came in and they stepped up and rescued a very great institution in preserving all the jobs and also giving topnotch medical care, continued giving topnotch medical care to Pennsylvanians. So I think we have got to continue this strong program.

I do agree with the sponsor of the amendment. We should find ways of helping other schools, too, and I would be more than happy to work with him to find an appropriation for other good schools, whether it be Lehigh or Carnegie or other good schools across the Commonwealth, but right now the issue at hand is to make sure that Drexel maintains their fine quality program, and we must defeat this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Wojnaroski.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the author of this legislation stand for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates that he will.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to ask the speaker this question, the author of this proposed amendment: If this would happen to go through, what would he plan to do with the excess savings from all the money that we would not be giving to the universities?

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

By the rules of the House, as we vote on nonpreferred appropriations and if we would choose to reduce them, that money would fall to the State's fund balance. It is prohibited by

the rules of this House that I can offer an amendment that would redirect the money elsewhere.

If I could, I think I alluded in my original comments collectively these 27 amendments would save \$38 million. That is enough to restore the full funding to our public libraries across Pennsylvania. If we would pass this and the money would fall to the General Fund balance, as these budget negotiations progress over the next 5 days, over the next 6 days, over the next period of time, that additional \$38 million that would be in the fund balance would allow some of those other line items to be restored. The rules of the House prohibit us from doing that directly by transferring the money from a nonpreferred appropriation to another line item.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. One last question, Mr. Speaker.

Will the sponsor respond to a question that I have in regards to the students at the universities and how it is going to affect their tuition in the future?

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Many institutions of higher education, including the one before us today, have endowments. Pennsylvania used to have a Rainy Day Fund. Last year's budget eliminated about \$700 million of our Rainy Day Fund. Now there is about \$300 million in a budgetary reserve fund. That is being proposed to be used up. The State of Pennsylvania does not have an endowment.

I sympathize with the gentleman from Johnstown on the effect of a cut for this institution of higher education, but as I said before, there are many institutions of higher education, and you know what? Each of those institutions of higher education across Pennsylvania has students whose tuitions are being affected, all of the institutions of higher education that do not get a nonpreferred appropriation, dozens and dozens and scores and scores of institutions across Pennsylvania. The gentleman's question will be very appropriate for that situation as well.

The one before us today is one of the favored few institutions that gets a nonpreferred appropriation. I am asking for an across-the-board cut for this one and the others to follow, but as I said, not every institution, far from it, very few institutions of higher education in Pennsylvania are on this preferred list, which is called the nonpreferred appropriation.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order. He may proceed.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I encourage everyone for a negative vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—30

Birmelin	Hutchinson	Mundy	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Leh	Reichley	Sturla
Coleman	Levdansky	Rohrer	Surra
Crahalla	Lewis	Samuelson	Tangretti
Creighton	Mackereth	Saylor	Turzai
Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti	Wilt
Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay	Yewcic
Hanna	Miller, R.		

NAYS-169

Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Rublely
Allen	Eachus	Laughlin	Ruffing
Argall	Egolf	Leach	Sainato
Armstrong	Evans, D.	Lederer	Santoni
Baker	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Sather
Baldwin	Fabrizio	Lynch	Scavello
Bard	Fairchild	Maher	Schroder
Barrar	Feese	Maitland	Semmel
Bastian	Fichter	Major	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Manderino	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Forcier	Markosek	Staback
Benninghoff	Frankel	McCall	Stairs
Biancucci	Freeman	McGeehan	Steil
Bishop	Gabig	McGill	Stern
Blaum	Gannon	McIlhatten	Stetler
Boyd	Geist	McIlhinney	Stevenson, T.
Browne	George	McNaughton	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gergely	Melio	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Gillespie	Micozzie	Thomas
Buxton	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Tigue
Caltagirone	Godshall	Myers	Travaglio
Cappelli	Goodman	Nailor	True
Casorio	Grucela	Nickol	Vance
Causer	Gruitza	O'Brien	Veon
Civera	Habay	Oliver	Vitali
Clymer	Harhai	O'Neill	Walko
Cohen	Harhart	Payne	Wansacz
Cornell	Harper	Petrarca	Washington
Corrigan	Harris	Petri	Waters
Costa	Hasay	Petrone	Watson
Coy	Hennessey	Phillips	Weber
Cruz	Herman	Pickett	Wheatley
Curry	Hershey	Pistella	Williams
Dailey	Hess	Preston	Wojnaroski
Daley	Hickernell	Raymond	Wright
Dally	Horsey	Readshaw	Youngblood
DeLuca	James	Reed	Yudichak
Denlinger	Josephs	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	Keller	Roberts	
DeWeese	Kenney	Roebuck	
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Rooney	Perzel,
Diven	Kotik	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-172

Adolph	Egolf	Leach	Santoni
Allen	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sather
Argall	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Saylor
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lynch	Scavello
Baker	Fairchild	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Feese	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Fichter	Major	Shaner
Barrar	Fleagle	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bastian	Flick	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Markosek	Staback
Belardi	Frankel	McCall	Stairs
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Steil
Benninghoff	Gabig	McIlhatten	Stern
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	George	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gergely	Micozzie	Sturla
Browne	Gillespie	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Bunt	Gingrich	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	O'Neill	True
Causer	Harhai	Payne	Vance
Civera	Harhart	Petrarca	Veon
Clymer	Harper	Petri	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Petrone	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Phillips	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Pickett	Washington
Costa	Herman	Pistella	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hess	Raymond	Weber
Curry	Hickernell	Readshaw	Wheatley
Daley	Horsey	Reed	Williams
Dally	Hutchinson	Reichley	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	James	Rieger	Wright
Denlinger	Josephs	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller	Rooney	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kenney	Ross	Zug
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Rubley	
Diven	Kotik	Ruffing	
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Laughlin		Speaker

NAYS-27

Birmelin	Haluska	McGill	Scrimenti
Cawley	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Coleman	Leh	Miller, R.	Surra
Crahalla	Levdansky	Mundy	Turzai
Creighton	Lewis	Roberts	Wilt
Dailey	Mackereth	Rohrer	Yewcic
Gordner	Marsico	Samuelson	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

**THE SPEAKER (JOHN M. PERZEL)
PRESIDING**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1380, PN 1708**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Philadelphia Health and Education Corporation for the Colleges of Medicine, Public Health, Nursing and Health Professions and for continuation of pediatric services.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A2267**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

(g) As a condition of receiving its appropriation under this act, on or after January 1, 2004, the medical school shall, when accepting first-year students for the next term, set aside 5% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon receipt of their medical license, they will engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area within this Commonwealth termed as medically underserved or in a primary care health professional shortage area as reported by the Department of Health.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there is not anyone in this body that has not been concerned over the last couple of months about our obligation if we are utilizing State dollars to assure that our constituency has medical care.

Mr. Speaker, back in the early seventies, many of my colleagues saw fit to support me in that we had asked the membership to agree that the best way to make sure that areas in Pennsylvania had accurate medical care was to assure that we had doctors to provide it. It seemed even at that time those that we suspected, especially in the bigger areas, had all the medical care that was needed, and we found out that was not so. In those days we called the lack of that care areas of medical deprivation, and, Mr. Speaker, I found out that Philadelphia was an area of medical deprivation because of its population and the fact that we did not have enough doctors, and surely counties such as mine could fit into that category. Still today, Mr. Speaker, there are 56 of our 67 counties that are medically underserved.

Now, again, there has not been anyone, I believe, in here, regardless of what position you took on the malpractice bill and who you blame for what, and I do not challenge you on that; I challenge myself as well as you to come forward and agree that we are spending millions of dollars in a worthwhile manner by providing our medical schools the moneys to operate. Rural areas need quality health care in order to grow and prosper.

Currently, Mr. Speaker, 50 percent of rural residents need to leave their area for medical attention. This amendment would ask your perusal and hopefully your honest consideration in

your knowledge of the need that these 56 counties and their residents are all about and what can make it better.

The amendment asks that we say to these medical schools, we ask you to set aside 5 percent of your initial enrollment for next year to those individuals that you admit into your medical school who would agree to practice medicine in the areas of medical deprivation. I stood on this floor, as many of you did, concerned about doctors leaving, and for whatever reason and whatever the reason we accepted, it does not diminish the fact that if they all stay, we still do not have enough doctors. So we spend money and we educate people from all over the country, and it is about time that we give our own citizens an opportunity to be educated in our fine schools and take residency in the areas that you and I live in to help the people that you and I are committed to help.

If we are going to spend dollars and they are going to be health-care dollars, then let us put those dollars where it will be most meaningful and where it will help your people and mine. Our people do not expect miracles from us; we see very few miracles, but they do expect an honest effort and they do believe we should know what the problem is all about.

This will not hurt the medical schools. This will not do anything but assure us that no matter what comes up next year or the year after, there will be people that have been educated in Pennsylvania that will provide treatment to the areas that they have agreed under the principle of this law.

I ask that we accept this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Browne.

Mr. BROWNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the George amendment.

There is no doubt that Pennsylvania and its citizens benefit from a tremendous inventory of health-care assets. We have some of the best hospitals, some of the best health-care institutions in the country. However, it is unfortunate that many of our citizens cannot benefit from the fruits of these assets. There are areas in Pennsylvania where citizens have to drive very long distances to see primary-care physicians. Even in the inner cities, it is difficult for some families to get appointments with primary-care physicians and having to resort to emergency room care, a lot more expensive care, in order to get treatment.

Given the fact that the General Assembly appropriates and this State government spends billions of taxpayer money in order to make sure our citizens have access to health care, I think we can expect or at least try to encourage our medical schools to build a better partnership with us to provide admissions for those students who may be willing to commit part of their careers to serving those inner cities and those rural areas where health care is not as plentiful as it should be.

I think the amendment serves a great purpose in terms of access to health care for Pennsylvania citizens, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the George amendment.

Mr. Speaker, I draw my colleagues' attention to several years ago when we did the children's health-care insurance program. As a part of the CHIP (Children's Health Insurance Program)

program, the now Senator Kukovich and myself crafted the Health Care Practitioners Loan Repayment Program, and the focus of that program was to get family practitioners and other practitioners in underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania. The Health Care Practitioners Loan Repayment Program took a giant step in bringing practitioners to areas that were underserved.

Mr. Speaker, as we both know, in the budget part 1, the Health Care Practitioners Loan Repayment Program was drastically reduced. The George amendment would help us to make sure that in underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania, that people have access to practitioners that they would not have under normal circumstances. This amendment only asks that 5 percent of new admissions – 5 percent of new admissions – agree to at least stay in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for 4 years and work in underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania.

Mr. Speaker, the least that we can do, the least that we can do for our underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania is to put up a “yes” vote for the George amendment, especially in these difficult economic times. Vote “yes” for the George amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

While I certainly understand the purpose and the intent of this amendment by the maker of this amendment, I certainly do not think that this is the method by which we will put more physicians in the underserved areas.

I would submit to the body here that this particular method of tying, tying admissions to where one is going to work is cumbersome and unworkable, and in fact, if we really want to do this right, we would continue to funnel funds into the loan forgiveness programs for those physicians who would work in these areas the way we are doing now. We even, in this budget year, have upwards of \$4 1/2 million into putting funds to encourage physicians to go to these areas, and I would just ask every member to take a good look at this amendment and see it as somewhat cumbersome and vote “no.” Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I wondered if the maker of the amendment would stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. George, indicates that he will.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Certainly I agree with the intent of the amendment, and I think we ought to be going about trying to get more good people to be doctors in underserved areas, but my question is, how is this amendment enforced? If a student comes into a college, admitted, and agrees to go into an underserved area and then after 4 years of education says, I have changed my mind, what is the consequence to that student or to the university?

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, the contract, as will be written, we know will include language should that develop. The truth—

Mr. ROEBUCK. But would the—

Mr. GEORGE. May I?

Not all of us can be doctors, not all of us can be professional, but there are those of us, no matter who we are, who are able to recognize when there is a problem. As I said to you, Jefferson College, quite remarkably, has been doing this with the Federal government for years. The United States Navy and the United States military have had a V12 and a V6 program so they could shore up their needs for medical coverage. We are not talking about whether doctors leave or whether everything calms down, Mr. Speaker. We are talking about if everything is normal, there are still 56 counties and many areas outside of the counties that are medically deprived.

For instance, Philadelphia, our largest city, if you were to check, you would find there is medical deprivation. For us to point to the hypotheticals and say, well, let us not do anything because nobody has tried to tell us how we could get it done, I will remind you in 1975, '76, '77, and '78, we passed similar language very easily. If our budget could stand it, I would have been only too happy to ask you and the rest of my colleagues to join me in providing more money. The truth is, whether we have more money or whether we do not have any money, we do not have enough doctors, and I am sure there will be sufficient individuals who will be able to deal with those that feel that they cannot complete their contract, but if you would look at the amount of people that we graduate, Mr. Speaker – may I? – you will find that we are not getting the residency requirements from these doctors that are going to Colorado and Idaho and to places they ski and the places that are bigger. We are not getting the bang from the buck, and that is what we ought to be doing to take care of our people.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you.

Again, though, I want to focus on what the consequence of the failure to do this is. If I am admitted as a student to an institution where this requirement is in place, I go through 4 years of education. At the end of that fourth year, I say I have changed my mind and I am going to move to California. Who bears the consequence? Do I bear the consequence as the individual or does the university somehow get penalized, which in effect then has impact not upon the student who was dishonest in what they said but upon students who come after that individual? Where does the responsibility come to rest? How do you hold that person who, in their freshman year, says they will do something and changes their mind by their senior? Where do you hold that person responsible?

Mr. GEORGE. I think, Mr. Speaker, anyone who agrees to take advantage of an opportunity, recognizing that the opportunity becomes his or hers should they agree that the area they wish to serve after they pick out their own area, is not only a fine humanitarian deed but an agreement within themselves that they agreed to do that, and therefore, I think they will keep their word. Should it not happen, and we are dealing with a hypothetical, but I am not dealing with a hypothetical when I know how many counties need physicians, even your county, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I can just speak briefly on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. ROEBUCK. I certainly agree with the intent of the amendment. My problem is I do not think there is any practical way to enforce this, and I think the reality is that we are going to raise the illusion that we have somehow addressed a problem;

we are going to somehow get more students who are going to go into underserved areas because we adopt this language, when the reality is there is no way under the language of this amendment to make that real.

So if we have a problem, let us address it in a real way. Let us design a way that will in fact get more people who want to be med doctors to go into underserved areas and not merely require that you set aside a portion of the class of individuals who say they would do that when in fact you cannot hold them responsible for doing that. This does not address the problem that the gentleman who offers this amendment wants to address, and I think we ought to do it right if we are going to do it at all.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas, for the second time.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just to make sure that people are clear, this is just another tool in our efforts to get practitioners in medically underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania. We still have the Health Care Practitioners Loan Repayment Program which has reversed the flight of family practitioners from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Now, under the Health Care Practitioners Loan Repayment Program, there is an economic incentive which encourages practitioners to return to medically underserved areas of rural and urban Pennsylvania, and this bill, this bill, probably more than any other nonpreferred, requires that we put some kind of condition on the outcome.

Why this bill? You know, this bill is no more than the Drexel, Hahnemann, old Allegheny money. I mean, if people know who the Philadelphia Health and Education Corporation for the colleges of medicine, public health, nursing, and health professions is, this is no more than the old Allegheny system. This is a pass-through to help Drexel, Hahnemann, and other hospitals provide a social, provide something back to the community. What is more noble than putting a condition on this free money that has no conditions on outcome than to require that at least 5 percent of those who benefit from this money at least give something back to the medically underserved area of rural and urban Pennsylvania?

Keep in mind, this is money with no outcome, money with no strings, money to a system that is already being funded. In the last bill we funded the Drexel system, and I support the Drexel system, but this is also money that is going to end up back into the Drexel system.

So it is not, it is not problematic for us to say that at least 5 percent of the admissions that benefit from this money should have to give something back to Pennsylvania before they up and just leave Pennsylvania. There is nothing wrong with that. That is a fair exchange.

And so, Mr. Speaker, in closing, I urge my members on both sides of the aisle to support the George amendment to put some kind of condition, this condition which is contained in the George amendment, on money that without this condition would have no other, no other path to providing for some kind of outcome for Pennsylvanians getting something back for the investment that they are making into a system that they are already funding.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Corrigan. The Chair thanks the gentleman for waiving off.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to reluctantly support the George amendment.

I believe the idea is noble; it is a good idea. You know, we need to do it. We need to encourage and have doctors in rural areas and in underserved urban areas. It is a good concept, but I believe the general flaw in the overall process that the Representative put forth is that he places a responsibility on the institution, and when it falls short, meaning the medical schools, there is no penalty; there is no enforcement portion within the document itself.

If we really want to have doctors just like schoolteachers in certain areas, if we really want to have these professional people here, there are some things that we can do. One is we can grant the funding not to the institution but to the persons who are going to the institutions, to the students per se, whether they are getting teachers' degrees or whether they are getting medical degrees, and we can say we are going to give you free education for 4, 6, 8 years to become a doctor or a teacher and your license is not good until you have done or performed the 4 or 5 years of service within these urban areas or underserved areas. That way we know for sure that after 3 years or after they have gone to school for 4 or 6 years, we know that they are not going to go to New York or go to California or go to Florida, because they do not have a license to go anywhere because their license will not be put into their hands as a permanent document until they have fulfilled their contractual arrangement of having served 4 years in an underserved or a rural area. That is the way we get professionals to do their part, Mr. Speaker, in that we hold back on their license and we give them free education, not give the funding directly to the institution and the institution says, here is a contract for you to sign, and then after 3 years they go away and say, well, I changed my mind.

There is no enforcement portion in this amendment, but I acknowledge that the concept and the idea is good, and I am going to support the George amendment, but even though it is flawed, I understand the idea and what he is trying to do, and I ask members on both sides of the aisle to support the George amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Payne.

Mr. PAYNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to all the George amendments on the bills that are presented for the following reasons: I would ask my colleagues in this House, who wants to be in the 5 percent when you spent all those years in college, all those years in med school, you are finally graduating, and somebody arbitrarily picks you to go to this area? Who is picking the area, number one?

Number two, what if the area that they are going to has a great need for a doctor in a specialty field but the doctor that is being sent there is not in that field?

Number three, as has been pointed out previously, there is nothing in this amendment as a way of making that doctor fulfill that contract, nothing at all. This doctor at the end of that term could decide that he or she does not want to go to that area and go to a different area of the State, and there is nothing currently written that would force that doctor to honor that contract.

Also, Pennsylvania currently has a Primary Health Care Practitioner Program that provides loan repayments and benefits. I would suggest, with all due respect, that we enhance the existing program and not go and make a problem.

And finally, Mr. Speaker, if I may, we are faced with a health-care crisis in Pennsylvania. I submit to you that forcing our graduating doctors to go to areas where they may not want to go or have no need for or no financial incentive to go for is going to force doctors to either go out of the State or to take their medical school outside this State.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I urge a “no” vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, for the second time.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I will not get up the third time, so you do not have to caution me.

I am just going to say in final, we know what the problem is. If we do nothing, we get nothing. In the last 7 years there have been nine counties that have become distressed because we did nothing. The first several years that these bills were in, and they are ongoing; they have to be introduced every year. Mr. Speaker, you know more than most of us that you cannot put enabling legislation in a nonpreferred.

Those people that say we cannot force these people to go, we are not asking them to be for it; we are asking them if they want to voluntarily commit themselves, should they stand a better chance of getting admitted into a medical school. All their young lives, that is all they wanted to do is become a doctor.

We are not asking the college to give us the control. All we should give them, and we do, is the money. These hypotheticals of do nothing and get something are not working. I do not know whether the last speaker believes that everyone can be as fortunate to have four hospitals in his community. Many of us do not.

I do not have the answer, Mr. Speaker; maybe you do, but I know one thing: if we do nothing, it will not improve. I know that the speaker before me does not know any more about the admission practices that are taken by these colleges. Some get in by name, some get in by fame; some get in by money, some may get in by connections. There are lots of reasons, and I say that is wonderful, Mr. Speaker, but let us see to it we get a few doctors in your county and mine because they want to get into medical school, this is the way to do it, and they want to do their best to provide help for people that are not getting it.

I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—115

Allen	Fabrizio	Lederer	Sather
Argall	Feese	Lescovitz	Saylor

Baker	Forcier	Levdansky	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	Mackereth	Smith, B.
Belardi	George	Maher	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gergely	Manderino	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mann	Staback
Bianucci	Godshall	Markosek	Stetler
Birmelin	Goodman	McCall	Sturla
Bishop	Gordner	McGeehan	Surra
Blaum	Grucela	McIlhattan	Tangretti
Browne	Gruitza	Melio	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Miller, R.	Thomas
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Tigue
Casorio	Harhai	Myers	Travaglio
Causar	Harhart	Nailor	Vance
Cawley	Hasay	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Costa	Hershey	Pistella	Walko
Coy	Horshey	Preston	Wansacz
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Waters
Daley	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
DeLuca	Josephs	Reichley	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Keller	Roberts	Yewcic
DeWeese	Kirkland	Rooney	Youngblood
Diven	Kotik	Ruffing	Yudichak
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Sainato	
Eachus	Laughlin	Samuelson	Perzel,
Egolf	Leach	Santoni	Speaker
Evans, D.			

NAYS—82

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Rohrer
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Major	Ross
Baldwin	Fairchild	Marsico	Rublely
Bard	Fichter	McGill	Scavello
Barrar	Fleagle	McIlhinney	Schroder
Bastian	Flick	McNaughton	Scrimenti
Boyd	Frankel	Metcalfe	Semmel
Bunt	Gabig	Micozzie	Stairs
Butkovitz	Gannon	Miller, S.	Steil
Cappelli	Geist	Nickol	Stern
Civera	Gingrich	O'Brien	Stevenson, R.
Clymer	Habay	O'Neill	Stevenson, T.
Coleman	Harper	Payne	Taylor, J.
Cornell	Harris	Petrarca	True
Corrigan	Herman	Petri	Turzai
Crahalla	Hess	Phillips	Watson
Creighton	Hickernell	Pickett	Weber
Curry	Kenney	Reed	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Rieger	Wright
Dally	Lewis	Roebuck	Zug
Denlinger	Lynch		

NOT VOTING—2

Washington Williams

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2256**:

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

Section 6. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 6, page 4, line 10, by striking out “6” and inserting
7

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. **LESCOVITZ**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the same as the amendment we put into Drexel’s nonpreferred appropriation requiring that the appropriation, at least 75 percent of it has to go to Pennsylvania students or residents at that university. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsely	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley

Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2275**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 7, by striking out “\$7,329,000” and inserting

\$4,071,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 11, by striking out “\$1,623,000” and inserting

\$854,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 18, by striking out “\$290,000” and inserting

\$153,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 4, by striking out “\$712,000” and inserting

\$376,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 9, by striking out “\$149,000” and inserting

\$79,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 13, by striking out “\$1,900,000” and inserting

\$1,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. **SAMUELSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You will be glad to know that I have summarized my remarks to one sentence.

At a time when our State’s fiscal situation has resulted in a budget which cuts funding to public institutions and the public responsibilities of this Commonwealth, we have to take a hard look at the nonpreferred appropriations which are going to private colleges, private hospitals, and private museums.

I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.
The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill,
Mr. Argall.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
I can do it even faster than that. Please vote “no.”
The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—30

Birmelin	Haluska	Miller, R.	Solobay
Cawley	Hanna	Raymond	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Hutchinson	Roberts	Sturla
Crahalla	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Creighton	Levdansky	Samuelson	Tangretti
Egolf	Mackereth	Saylor	Wilt
George	Marsico	Scrimenti	Yewcic
Gordner	Metcalfe		

NAYS—169

Adolph	Donatucci	Leach	Ruffing
Allen	Eachus	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Baker	Fabrizio	Lynch	Scavello
Baldwin	Fairchild	Maher	Schroder
Bard	Feese	Maitland	Semmel
Barrar	Fichter	Major	Shaner
Bastian	Fleagle	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Forcier	Markosek	Staback
Belfanti	Frankel	McCall	Stairs
Benninghoff	Freeman	McGeehan	Steil
Biancucci	Gabig	McGill	Stern
Bishop	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stetler
Blaum	Geist	McIlhinney	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gillespie	Melio	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Thomas
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tigue
Buxton	Goodman	Mundy	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	True
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Turzai
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Vance
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	Veon
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Vitali
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Walko
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Wansacz
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Washington
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Waters
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Watson
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Weber
Cruz	Hess	Pickett	Wheatley
Curry	Hickernell	Pistella	Williams
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Wojnaroski
Daley	James	Readshaw	Wright
Dally	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
Dermody	Kirkland	Roebuck	
DeWeese	Kotik	Rooney	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Ross	Perzel,
Diven	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker
Eachus			

NAYS—16

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1381, PN 1709**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A2266**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

(d) As a condition of receiving its appropriation under this act, on or after January 1, 2004, the medical school shall, when accepting first-year students for the next term, set aside 5% of the total number of admissions for students who agree that upon receipt of their medical license, they will engage in the practice of medicine for a period of four years in an area within this Commonwealth termed as medically underserved or in a primary care health professional shortage area as reported by the Department of Health.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, for a brief explanation.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is similar to the one that we put in the other bill.

I stand here before you very humbly. If we do nothing, nothing will come about. If this does not work, it is only here a year at a time. Hopefully, you all hear my word, and next year, if you can prove it did not work, you can take it out, but until there is a better idea, this idea is very compassionate, most understanding, and it addresses the matter more so than anything that will be provided, because there is nothing that will be provided that will address the matter as we are trying to do.

I thank you, those that can support this.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I may have a better idea. I think that part of the problem— And let me first say that an awful lot has been said. I will try not to repeat anything that was said. I think that if we impose these kinds of restrictions on the health-care universities in Philadelphia or Pittsburgh or wherever, we are going to affect the number of students that go to those colleges. They are not going to go there because they do not want this restriction imposed on them. So instead of getting the best and the brightest, I think we are going to get something less at our universities.

I would say, if we are going to impose restrictions, that we make an attempt to attract students from those rural areas where we do not have doctors and we do not have surgeons and so forth. Let us attract those children and let us make them go back to the rural areas or entice them to go back, not make them go back, and let them assist with that problem. I think we are making a very bad mistake by endorsing this kind of a policy in our colleges and universities.

I would vote “no” on the George amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Just real briefly, Mr. Speaker. Line 5 says, if the student agrees. So it is not that we are imposing any special duty on the medical school or students who do not want to do this. It is voluntary. Every medical student who comes to — all medical students who are enrolled in the college or university, they do not have to participate in this program. It simply says 5 percent, and those 5 percent are voluntary. They say, yes, this sounds like a good program I want to be a part of, and, you know, they enlist their services into the program.

For that reason, because it is voluntary, I am going to support, again, the George amendment as a good amendment and hope that we can all support the George amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I have the utmost respect for my chairman and the author of this amendment. I also respect my colleagues who believe that the George amendment should be applicable to this particular appropriation, but, Mr. Speaker, I have said all along that sometimes you have to deal with this stuff on a case-by-case basis.

With respect to this institution as opposed to the last institution, this institution is aggressively, aggressively involved in outreach with its practitioners, with its nurses, and even, even with its people that work in social services.

The Jefferson Hospital system is one that can be felt in Abington, in Montgomery County, in Philadelphia County, and even in Delaware County. The Jefferson system is a system that is almost like an octopus. It has tentacles stretching in various parts of Pennsylvania, especially in southeastern Pennsylvania, and the tentacles are good tentacles because they are doing good service in medically underserved rural and urban communities of Pennsylvania. In fact, the Jefferson appropriation really should be increased rather than decreased for conditions.

And so, Mr. Speaker, knowing that it is important that we deal with these issues on a case-by-case basis and not apply a blanket rule to all of them because they all are situated in

different ways, I rise to support the Thomas Jefferson appropriation without conditions, unless that condition is more money so that they can keep on doing good work.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, for the second time.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I apologize.

Mr. Speaker, there are times when we do very little, there are times when we do a lot, and there are times when we do a lot and we accomplish little, and it is not your fault; it is the fault of what people believe that we help up into the upper spheres.

This will not hurt the schools; this will not break their routine, and really and truly, one of the speakers said they want the finest, they want the smartest to go to Nevada or Colorado. Mr. Speaker, if I am laying on the ground bleeding like a stuck pig, I do not give a darn how smart that guy is when he reaches down to help me.

Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—108

Allen	Egolf	LaGrotta	Samuelson
Argall	Evans, D.	Laughlin	Santoni
Baker	Fabrizio	Leach	Sather
Bard	Feese	Lescovitz	Saylor
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Levdansky	Shaner
Belardi	Freeman	Mackereth	Smith, B.
Belfanti	George	Maher	Smith, S. H.
Biancucci	Gergely	Manderino	Solobay
Birmelin	Godshall	Mann	Staback
Blaum	Goodman	Markosek	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	McCall	Sturla
Bunt	Grucela	McIlhattan	Surra
Buxton	Gruitza	McNaughton	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Melio	Tigue
Casorio	Hanna	Miller, R.	Travaglio
Causar	Harhai	Mundy	Vance
Cawley	Harhart	Myers	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Nailor	Walko
Costa	Hennessey	Nickol	Wansacz
Coy	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Cruz	Hershey	Pistella	Waters
Daley	Horsey	Preston	Wheatley
DeLuca	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Wilt
Dermody	James	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeWeese	Josephs	Rooney	Yewcic
Diven	Kirkland	Ruffing	Youngblood
Eachus	Kotik	Sainato	Yudichak

NAYS—91

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Scavello
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Schroder
Baldwin	Fleagle	McGill	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	McIlhinney	Semmel
Bastian	Frankel	Metcalfe	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gabig	Micozzie	Steil
Bishop	Gannon	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyd	Geist	O'Brien	Stevenson, R.
Butkovitz	Gillespie	Oliver	Stevenson, T.
Cappelli	Gingrich	O'Neill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Habay	Payne	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Harper	Petrarca	Thomas
Coleman	Harris	Petri	True

Cornell	Hess	Phillips	Turzai
Corrigan	Hickernell	Pickett	Vitali
Crahalla	Keller	Raymond	Watson
Creighton	Kenney	Reed	Weber
Curry	Lederer	Reichley	Williams
Dailey	Leh	Rieger	Wright
Dally	Lewis	Roebuck	Zug
Denlinger	Lynch	Rohrer	
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Ross	Perzel,
Donatucci	Major	Rubley	Speaker
Evans, J.			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2257**:

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

Section 4. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 4, page 3, line 25, by striking out "4" and inserting
5

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. **LESCOVITZ**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is the same as the other amendments that passed earlier for the other nonpreferreds.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.

Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel, Speaker
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A2276:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$5,282,000" and inserting

\$2,935,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 9, by striking out "\$3,847,000" and inserting

\$2,025,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 14, by striking out "\$49,000" and inserting

\$27,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to state for the record, in the interest of time, this amendment and all of the amendments I am offering to follow are a 50-percent cut in the nonpreferred appropriation, 50 percent of the current year, 2002-2003 level. That is the case with this amendment and all of the other ones offered to the nonpreferred appropriations bills.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—35

Belfanti	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Benninghoff	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Birmelin	Harhai	Reichley	Surra
Cawley	Hershey	Roberts	Tangretti
Coleman	Hutchinson	Rohrer	Travaglio
Creighton	Leh	Sainato	Watson
Daley	Levdansky	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mackereth	Saylor	Yewcic
Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti	

NAYS—164

Adolph	Eachus	Lederer	Rubley
Allen	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Ruffing
Argall	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Baker	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Biancucci	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Bishop	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Blaum	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Boyd	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Browne	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gillespie	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mundy	Thomas
Cappelli	Goodman	Myers	Tigue
Casorio	Grucela	Nailor	True
Causer	Gruitza	Nickol	Turzai
Civera	Habay	O'Brien	Vance
Clymer	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Harper	O'Neill	Vitali
Cornell	Harris	Payne	Walko
Corrigan	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Costa	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Coy	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Crahalla	Hess	Phillips	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Pickett	Wheatley
Curry	Horsey	Pistella	Williams
Dailey	James	Preston	Wojnaroski
Dally	Josephs	Raymond	Wright
DeLuca	Keller	Readshaw	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kenney	Reed	Yudichak

Dermody	Kirkland	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	Perzel,
Donatucci	Leach		Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maitland	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Major	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Manderino	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mann	Shaner
Barrar	Flick	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bastian	Forcier	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Belfanti	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Benninghoff	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gillespie	Micozzie	Sturla
Browne	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Goodman	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gordner	Myers	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Nailor	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nickol	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	O'Brien	True
Causer	Harhai	Oliver	Turzai
Cawley	Harhart	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harper	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Harris	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Hasay	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Hennessey	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Herman	Phillips	Washington
Costa	Hershey	Pickett	Waters
Coy	Hess	Pistella	Watson
Crahalla	Hickernell	Preston	Weber
Cruz	Horsey	Raymond	Wheatley
Curry	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Williams
Dailey	James	Reed	Wilt
Daley	Josephs	Reichley	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Rieger	Wright

DeLuca	Kenney	Roberts	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Rooney	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Ross	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rubley	
Diven	Leach	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Lederer	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz		Speaker

NAYS—15

Birmelin	Haluska	Maher	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1382, PN 1710**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. On the first amendment, we have Representative Manderino, and we believe that the Representative knows that the amendment is out of order. Does the gentlelady wish to be recognized?

The Chair recognizes Representative Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I do realize that the amendment as drafted is out of order because it is not revenue neutral, and I will be withdrawing it.

I just want to point out, for the benefit of the members, this is the nonpreferred for Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine. I am proud to say that PCOM uses 100 percent of its State nonpreferred appropriation to reduce the tuition for in-State Pennsylvania residents. In last year's entering class, 67 percent of the class were Pennsylvania residents, and PCOM has over 3500 practicing physicians in Pennsylvania serving in 66 of our 67 counties. I think that is a record we can all be proud of, and I hope that when we consider the additional funds that are available, that we consider increasing their appropriation to last year's level as my amendment would have provided.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentlelady.

Would Representatives Myers and Washington please come to the front desk.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
 The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?
 Mr. HORSEY. For a comment on HB 1382.
 The SPEAKER. Mr. Horsey, we are not at the final passage yet. We are still—
 Mr. HORSEY. Oh, I am sorry.
 The SPEAKER. That is all right. We will recognize you on final passage.
 Mr. HORSEY. Thank you.
 The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2258**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 29 and 30
 Section 6. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 30, by striking out “6” and inserting
 7

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. **LESCOVITZ**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, this is the same amendment that we put in the other appropriation bills for the nonpreferred higher education institutions.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Solobay
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.

Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2277**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$4,650,000” and inserting
 \$3,111,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—30

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Scrimenti
Bishop	Hanna	Miller, R.	Solobay
Buxton	Hutchinson	Reichley	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Leh	Roberts	Surra
Coleman	Levdansky	Rohrer	Tangretti
Creighton	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mann	Saylor	Yewcic
Gordner	Marsico		

NAYS—168

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Shaner
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gillespie	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mundy	Thomas
Cappelli	Goodman	Myers	Tigue
Casorio	Grucela	Nailor	Travaglio
Causer	Gruitza	Nickol	True
Civera	Habay	O'Brien	Turzai
Clymer	Harhai	Oliver	Vance
Cohen	Harhart	O'Neill	Veon
Cornell	Harper	Payne	Vitali
Corrigan	Harris	Petrarca	Walko
Costa	Hasay	Petri	Wansacz
Coy	Hennessey	Petrone	Washington
Crahalla	Herman	Phillips	Waters
Cruz	Hershey	Pickett	Watson
Curry	Hess	Pistella	Weber
Dailey	Hickernell	Preston	Wheatley
Daley	Horsey	Raymond	Williams
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Wright
Denlinger	Keller	Rieger	Youngblood
Dermody	Kenney	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kirkland	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Ross	
Diven	LaGrotta	Rubley	
Donatucci	Laughlin	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Leach		Speaker

NOT VOTING—1

Bebko-Jones

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to keep it short.

I want to thank Representative Bud George; I want to thank Representative Kathy Manderino; I want to thank this House for this appropriation and for doing what they are about to do relative to a vote. I am assuming that we will have a positive vote for the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, and I felt the necessity to speak because it is one of the most unique medical schools in the State of Pennsylvania, Mr. Speaker. And I am sorry to be redundant, but you are absolutely right: 70 to 80 percent of their enrollment is Pennsylvanians, and that is the way to run any professional school that you are running, you know, in the State of Pennsylvania. I feel comfortable with this appropriation, because it is going to Pennsylvanians for Pennsylvanians.

And the other portion of the comments made by the previous speaker, Representative Manderino, is probably about 90 percent of these doctors do in fact stay in the State of Pennsylvania, and with that I urge a positive vote for the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic

Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker
Eachus			

NAYS-16

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1383, PN 1711**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2259**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 27 and 28 Section 6. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 28, by striking out "6" and inserting
7

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebo-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.

Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhatten	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2278**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$1,391,000" and inserting
\$799,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—27

Birmelin	Hanna	Metcalf	Scrimenti
Cawley	Hutchinson	Miller, R.	Solobay
Coleman	Leh	Nickol	Stevenson, R.
Creighton	Levdansky	Reichley	Surra
Egolf	Lynch	Roberts	Tangretti
Gordner	Mackereth	Samuelson	Yewcic
Haluska	Mann	Saylor	

NAYS—172

Adolph	Donatucci	Leach	Sainato
Allen	Eachus	Lederer	Santoni
Argall	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sather
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lewis	Scavello
Baker	Fabrizio	Maher	Schroder
Baldwin	Fairchild	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Feese	Major	Shaner
Barrar	Fichter	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bastian	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Marsico	Staback
Belardi	Forcier	McCall	Stairs
Belfanti	Frankel	McGeehan	Steil
Benninghoff	Freeman	McGill	Stern
Biancucci	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stetler
Bishop	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	Geist	McNaughton	Sturla
Boyd	George	Melio	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gergely	Micozzie	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Gillespie	Miller, S.	Thomas
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Mundy	Tigue
Buxton	Godshall	Myers	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Goodman	Nailor	True
Cappelli	Grucela	O'Brien	Turzai
Casorio	Gruitza	Oliver	Vance
Causer	Habay	O'Neill	Veon
Civera	Harhai	Payne	Vitali
Clymer	Harhart	Petrarca	Walko
Cohen	Harper	Petri	Wansacz
Cornell	Harris	Petrone	Washington
Corrigan	Hasay	Phillips	Waters
Costa	Hennessey	Pickett	Watson
Coy	Herman	Pistella	Weber
Crahalla	Hershey	Preston	Wheatley
Cruz	Hess	Raymond	Williams
Curry	Hickernell	Readshaw	Wilt
Dailey	Horsley	Reed	Wojnaroski
Daley	James	Rieger	Wright
Dally	Josephs	Roebuck	Youngblood
DeLuca	Keller	Rohrer	Yudichak
Denlinger	Kenney	Rooney	Zug
Dermody	Kirkland	Ross	
DeWeese	Kotik	Rublely	
DiGiroloamo	LaGrotta	Ruffing	Perzel,
Diven	Laughlin		Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Marsico	Staback
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Stairs
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Steil
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Stern
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stetler
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	True
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	Turzai
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Vance
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Veon
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Wansacz
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Watson
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Weber
Cruz	Horsley	Preston	Wheatley
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Williams
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Wilt
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGiroloamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rublely	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—14

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalf	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Rohrer	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Surra
Egolf	Mackereth		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1384, PN 1712**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the University of the Arts, Philadelphia, for instruction and student aid.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2260**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 16 and 17 Section 6. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to, student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 6, page 2, line 17, by striking out "6" and inserting
7

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz

Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2279**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$1,122,000" and inserting

\$591,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—35

Benninghoff	Gordner	Marsico	Solobay
Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Hanna	Miller, R.	Surra
Crahalla	Herman	Mundy	Tangretti
Creighton	Hutchinson	Reichley	Turzai
Daley	Leh	Roberts	Walko
Dally	Levdansky	Rohrer	Wilt
DeLuca	Mackereth	Samuelson	Yewcic
Egolf	Mann	Scrimenti	

NAYS—164

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, B.

Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	George	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gillespie	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Myers	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Nailor	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Nickol	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	O'Brien	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	Oliver	True
Causar	Harhai	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harhart	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Harper	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Petri	Wansacz
Coleman	Hasay	Petrone	Washington
Cornell	Hennessey	Phillips	Waters
Corrigan	Hershey	Pickett	Watson
Costa	Hess	Pistella	Weber
Coy	Hickernell	Preston	Wheatley
Cruz	Horsey	Raymond	Williams
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Wright
Denlinger	Keller	Rieger	Youngblood
Dermody	Kenney	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kirkland	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Ross	
Diven	LaGrotta	Rubley	
Donatucci	Laughlin	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Leach		Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-175

Adolph	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baker	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Baldwin	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bard	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belardi	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs

Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Thomas
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Tigue
Casorio	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Causar	Harhart	Oliver	True
Cawley	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harris	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Hershey	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hess	Phillips	Washington
Costa	Hickernell	Pickett	Waters
Coy	Horsey	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Preston	Weber
Curry	James	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Keller	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	Zug
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Evans, D.			

NAYS-24

Benninghoff	Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson
Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Scriminti
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Crahalla	Hanna	Mundy	Surra
Creighton	Herman	Reichley	Turzai
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wilt

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1385, PN 1713**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia for operation and maintenance expenses and for payment of debt service.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair’s understanding that Mr. Oliver knows that his amendment is out of order? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2261**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5
Section 4. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to, student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 5, by striking out “4” and inserting
5

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causser	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak

DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2280**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by striking out “\$1,392,000” and inserting

\$838,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by striking out “\$85,000” and inserting

\$45,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—32

Benninghoff	Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Cawley	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Herman	Mundy	Surra
Crahalla	Hutchinson	Roberts	Tangretti
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Turzai
Curry	Levdansky	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mackereth	Saylor	Yewcic

NAYS—167

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lederer	Ruffing
Allen	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Santoni
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Sather
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.

Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Myers	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Nailor	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nickol	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	O'Brien	True
Causer	Harhai	Oliver	Vance
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	Veon
Clymer	Harper	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petri	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Watson
Cruz	Hickernell	Pistella	Weber
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Wheatley
Daley	James	Raymond	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reed	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Reichley	Youngblood
Dermody	Kirkland	Rieger	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Zug
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	Perzel,
Donatucci	Leach	Rubley	Speaker
Eachus			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-177

Adolph	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Allen	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Armstrong	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Baldwin	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gillespie	Micozzie	Sturla
Browne	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Surra
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.

Buxton	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Cappelli	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Casorio	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Causer	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Cawley	Harper	Payne	Vance
Civera	Harris	Petrarca	Veon
Clymer	Hasay	Petri	Vitali
Cohen	Hennessey	Petrone	Walko
Cornell	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Costa	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Coy	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	Zug
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-22

Benninghoff	Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson
Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Crahalla	Hanna	Mundy	Turzai
Creighton	Herman	Rohrer	Wilt
Dailey	Leh		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1386, PN 1714**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton for operation and maintenance expenses.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2262**:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting after line 17

Section 4. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 1, by striking out “4” and inserting
5

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O’Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horse	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2281**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$182,000” and inserting
\$96,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—31

Benninghoff	Gordner	Metcalfe	Solobay
Birmelin	Haluska	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Hanna	Reichley	Surra
Coleman	Hutchinson	Roberts	Tangretti
Crahalla	Leh	Rohrer	Turzai
Creighton	Levdansky	Samuelson	Wilt
Curry	Mackereth	Saylor	Yewcic
Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti	

NAYS—168

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lederer	Ruffing
Allen	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Santoni
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Sather
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Mundy	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	True
Causar	Harhai	O’Brien	Vance
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Harper	O’Neill	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Watson
Cruz	Hess	Pickett	Weber
Dailey	Hickernell	Pistella	Wheatley
Daley	Horse	Preston	Williams
Dally	James	Raymond	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Josephs	Readshaw	Wright
Denlinger	Keller	Reed	Youngblood
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	Zug

DiGirolamo	Kotik	Rooney	
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Leach		Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—178

Adolph	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Sainato
Allen	Fabrizio	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fairchild	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Feese	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Fichter	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fleagle	Major	Schroder
Bard	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Barrar	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Sturla
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Taylor, J.
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Thomas
Casorio	Harhai	Nickol	Tigue
Causar	Harhart	O'Brien	Travaglio
Cawley	Harper	Oliver	True
Civera	Harris	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Hasay	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hennessey	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Herman	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Hershey	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hess	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hickernell	Pickett	Waters
Cruz	Horsey	Pistella	Watson
Curry	Hutchinson	Preston	Weber
Daley	James	Raymond	Wheatley
Dally	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams
DeLuca	Keller	Reed	Wojnaroski
Denlinger	Kenney	Reichley	Wright
Dermody	Kirkland	Rieger	Yewcic
DeWeese	Kotik	Roberts	Youngblood

DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yudichak
Diven	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
Donatucci	Leach	Ross	
Eachus	Lederer	Rubley	Perzel,
Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS—21

Benninghoff	Egolf	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Solobay
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Surra
Crahalla	Hanna	Rohrer	Turzai
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Dailey			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1387, PN 1715**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County for operation and maintenance expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **LESCOVITZ** offered the following amendment No. **A2263**:

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 1 and 2
Section 4. Any moneys appropriated by this act that are applied on an individual basis to students, including, but not limited to student financial assistance, shall be applied in such a manner that 75% of the appropriated funds are used for students who are residents of this Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 2, by striking out "4" and inserting
5

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner

Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkowitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causer	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horse	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Ruble	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. **A2282**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by striking out "\$65,000" and inserting

\$35,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-30

Benninghoff	Egolf	Marsico	Solobay
Birmelin	Gordner	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Haluska	Miller, R.	Surra
Coleman	Hanna	Roberts	Tangretti
Crahalla	Hutchinson	Rohrer	Turzai
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Curry	Levdansky	Scrimenti	Yewcic
Dally	Mackereth		

NAYS-169

Adolph	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Fabrizio	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fairchild	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Feese	Maher	Saylor
Baker	Fichter	Maitland	Scavello
Baldwin	Fleagle	Major	Schroder
Bard	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Barrar	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Gabig	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkowitz	Goodman	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Causer	Harhart	Oliver	Vance
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Veon
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Wansacz
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Watson
Cruz	Hickernell	Pistella	Weber
Dailey	Horse	Preston	Wheatley
Daley	James	Raymond	Williams
DeLuca	Josephs	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Denlinger	Keller	Reed	Wright
Dermody	Kenney	Reichley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kirkland	Rieger	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Roebuck	Zug
Diven	LaGrotta	Rooney	
Donatucci	Laughlin	Ross	
Eachus	Leach	Ruble	Perzel,
Evans, D.	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—177

Adolph	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Allen	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Fairchild	Maher	Saylor
Armstrong	Feese	Maitland	Scavello
Baker	Fichter	Major	Schroder
Baldwin	Fleagle	Manderino	Semmel
Bard	Flick	Mann	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGeehan	Staback
Belardi	Gabig	McGill	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhattan	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhinney	Stern
Bishop	George	McNaughton	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Thomas
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Tigue
Casorio	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Causer	Harhart	Oliver	True
Cawley	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harris	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Hershey	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hess	Phillips	Washington
Costa	Hickernell	Pickett	Waters
Coy	Horsey	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Preston	Weber
Curry	James	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Keller	Reed	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Kenney	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kotik	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Leach	Ross	
Donatucci	Lederer	Rubley	
Eachus	Lescovitz	Ruffing	Perzel,
Evans, D.	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—22

Benninghoff	Egolf	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Solobay
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Surra
Crahalla	Hanna	Rohrer	Turzai
Creighton	Herman	Samuelson	Wilt
Dailey	Leh		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1388, PN 1716**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2283**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by striking out "\$776,000" and inserting

\$409,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—25

Birmelin	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Cawley	Leh	Roberts	Surra
Coleman	Levdansky	Rohrer	Tangretti
Creighton	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti	Wright
Gordner	Metcalfe	Solobay	Yewcic
Haluska			

NAYS—174

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Eachus	Leach	Ruffing
Argall	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Baker	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Baldwin	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Bard	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Barrar	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bastian	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Belardi	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Biancucci	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Blaum	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Boyd	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Browne	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mundy	Thomas
Cappelli	Grucela	Myers	Tigue
Casorio	Gruitza	Nailor	Travaglio
Causer	Habay	Nickol	True
Civera	Harhai	O'Brien	Turzai
Clymer	Harhart	Oliver	Vance
Cohen	Harper	O'Neill	Veon

Cornell	Harris	Payne	Vitali
Corrigan	Hasay	Petrarca	Walko
Costa	Hennessey	Petri	Wansacz
Coy	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Crahalla	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Cruz	Hess	Pickett	Watson
Curry	Hickernell	Pistella	Weber
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Wheatley
Daley	Hutchinson	Raymond	Williams
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Rooney	Perzel,
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causar	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali

Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker
Eachus			

NAYS—16

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1389, PN 1717**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, for operation and maintenance expenses and for research.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2284**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$214,000" and inserting

\$113,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 9, by striking out "\$92,000" and inserting

\$49,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—27

Birmelin	Hanna	Metcalf	Solobay
Cawley	Herman	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Surra
Creighton	Leh	Roberts	Tangretti
Egolf	Levdansky	Rohrer	Wilt
Gordner	Mackereth	Samuelson	Yewcic
Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti	

NAYS—172

Adolph	Donatucci	Lederer	Sainato
Allen	Eachus	Lescovitz	Santoni
Argall	Evans, D.	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Fabrizio	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Feese	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Fichter	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Fleagle	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Forcier	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Frankel	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Freeman	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	George	Melio	Sturla
Browne	Gergely	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gillespie	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Myers	Thomas
Buxton	Godshall	Nailor	Tigue
Caltagirone	Goodman	Nickol	Travaglio
Cappelli	Grucela	O'Brien	True
Casorio	Gruitza	Oliver	Turzai
Causer	Habay	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harhai	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Harhart	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Harper	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Harris	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hasay	Phillips	Washington
Costa	Hennessey	Pickett	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Pistella	Watson
Crahalla	Hess	Preston	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Raymond	Wheatley
Curry	Horse	Readshaw	Williams
Dailey	James	Reed	Wojnaroski
Daley	Josephs	Reichley	Wright
Dally	Keller	Rieger	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kenney	Roebuck	Yudichak
Denlinger	Kirkland	Rooney	Zug
Dermody	Kotik	Ross	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Ruble	
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ruffing	Perzel,
Diven	Leach		Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—181

Adolph	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baker	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Baldwin	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bard	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belardi	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horse	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	James	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	
Donatucci	Leach	Ruble	
Eachus	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—18

Benninghoff	Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalf	Solobay
Coleman	Hanna	Rohrer	Surra
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Dailey	Mackereth		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1390, PN 1718**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2285**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 4, by striking out "\$130,000" and inserting
\$68,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-26

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Cawley	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	LaGrotta	Roberts	Surra
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Tangretti
Curry	Levdansky	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mackereth	Scrimenti	Yewcic
Gordner	Marsico		

NAYS-173

Adolph	Eachus	Lederer	Sainato
Allen	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Argall	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Browne	Gillespie	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Mundy	Thomas
Buxton	Goodman	Myers	Tigue
Caltagirone	Grucela	Nailor	Travaglio
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nickol	True
Casorio	Habay	O'Brien	Turzai
Causer	Harhai	Oliver	Vance
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	Veon
Clymer	Harper	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petri	Wansacz

Corrigan	Hennessey	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Herman	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Pickett	Watson
Crahalla	Hess	Pistella	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Preston	Wheatley
Dailey	Horsey	Raymond	Williams
Daley	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Dally	James	Reed	Wright
DeLuca	Josephs	Reichley	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Rieger	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Roebuck	Zug
DeWeese	Kirkland	Rooney	
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Ross	
Diven	Laughlin	Rubley	Perzel,
Donatucci	Leach	Ruffing	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-182

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko

Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	James	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	
Donatucci	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS-17

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Dailey	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1391, PN 1719**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate for outpatient-inpatient treatment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2286**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$49,000" and inserting
\$27,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-25

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Cawley	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Leh	Roberts	Surra
Creighton	Levdansky	Rohrer	Tangretti
Curry	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt

Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti	Yewcic
-------	---------	-----------	--------

Gordner

NAYS-174

Adolph	Eachus	Leach	Ruffing
Allen	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Baker	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baldwin	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Bard	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Barrar	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Belardi	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Benninghoff	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Mundy	Thomas
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Tigue
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Travaglio
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	True
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	Turzai
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Vance
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Veon
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vitali
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Walko
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Wansacz
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Washington
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Waters
Crahalla	Hess	Pickett	Watson
Cruz	Hickernell	Pistella	Weber
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Wheatley
Daley	Hutchinson	Raymond	Williams
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Wright
Denlinger	Keller	Reichley	Youngblood
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	Zug
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Rooney	
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	Perzel,
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The **SPEAKER**. Would Mr. Sturla still consider offering his amendment even though it is late and out of order?

Mr. **STURLA**. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding it would be out of order no matter when it was filed but that there would be a possibility to suspend the rules.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The gentleman is in order.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I could, I would like to make a motion to suspend the rules to allow for restoring the funds to last year's level. It is only an additional \$4,000, which would go toward repairing children's congenital deformities dealing with cleft palate.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Sturla, moves that the rules of the House be suspended for amendment 2363.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Argall.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Given this stage of the budget negotiations, I must reluctantly ask for a negative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-90

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS-90' in two columns.

NAYS-109

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS-109' in two columns.

Table listing names of members who did not vote, arranged in four columns.

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-181

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS-181' in four columns.

Crahalla	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Curry	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-18

Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Rohrer	Surra
Dailey	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mackereth		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1392, PN 1720**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate for outpatient-inpatient treatment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2287**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$49,000" and inserting
\$27,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-25

Birmelin	Hanna	Miller, R.	Solobay
Coleman	Leh	Roberts	Stevenson, R.
Creighton	Levdansky	Rohrer	Surra
Curry	Mackereth	Samuelson	Tangretti
Egolf	Marsico	Saylor	Wilt
Gordner	Metcalfe	Scrimenti	Yewcic
Haluska			

NAYS-174

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Eachus	Leach	Ruffing
Argall	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Baker	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Baldwin	Fairchild	Lynch	Scavello
Bard	Feese	Maher	Schroder
Barrar	Fichter	Maitland	Semmel
Bastian	Fleagle	Major	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Manderino	Smith, B.
Belardi	Forcier	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Frankel	Markosek	Staback
Benninghoff	Freeman	McCall	Stairs
Biancucci	Gabig	McGeehan	Steil
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Stern
Blaum	Geist	McIlhattan	Stetler
Boyd	George	McIlhinney	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gergely	McNaughton	Sturla
Bunt	Gillespie	Melio	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Godshall	Miller, S.	Thomas
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mundy	Tigue
Cappelli	Grucela	Myers	Travaglio
Casorio	Gruitza	Nailor	True
Causer	Habay	Nickol	Turzai
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Vance
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Watson
Crahalla	Hess	Pickett	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Pistella	Wheatley
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Williams
Daley	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wright
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Rooney	Perzel,
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-181

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Santoni
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Baldwin	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Barrar	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Bastian	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Belfanti	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Benninghoff	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gillespie	Micozzie	Sturla
Browne	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Goodman	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Cappelli	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Causar	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Cawley	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Civera	Harris	Payne	Veon
Clymer	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cohen	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Cornell	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Costa	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Coy	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Crahalla	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Curry	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-18

Birmelin	Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Rohrer	Surra
Dailey	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Mackereth		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1393, PN 1721**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia for outpatient and inpatient treatment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2288**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$418,000" and inserting

\$220,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-23

Birmelin	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Leh	Roberts	Surra
Creighton	Levdansky	Rohrer	Tangretti
Egolf	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Gordner	Marsico	Scrimenti	Yewcic
Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay	

NAYS-176

Adolph	Donatucci	Leach	Ruffing
Allen	Eachus	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lewis	Sather
Baker	Fabrizio	Lynch	Saylor
Baldwin	Fairchild	Maher	Scavello
Bard	Feese	Maitland	Schroder
Barrar	Fichter	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Fleagle	Manderino	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Mann	Smith, B.
Belardi	Forcier	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Frankel	McCall	Staback
Benninghoff	Freeman	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	Gabig	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	Geist	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	George	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gergely	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gillespie	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Godshall	Mundy	Thomas
Caltagirone	Goodman	Myers	Tigue
Cappelli	Grucela	Nailor	Travaglio
Casorio	Gruitza	Nickol	True
Causar	Habay	O'Brien	Turzai
Cawley	Harhai	Oliver	Vance
Civera	Harhart	O'Neill	Veon
Clymer	Harper	Payne	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Petrarca	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petri	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petrone	Washington
Costa	Herman	Phillips	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Pickett	Watson
Crahalla	Hess	Pistella	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Preston	Wheatley
Curry	Horsey	Raymond	Williams
Dailey	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Wojnaroski

Daley	James	Reed	Wright
Dally	Josephs	Reichley	Youngblood
DeLuca	Keller	Rieger	Yudichak
Denlinger	Kenney	Roebuck	Zug
Dermody	Kirkland	Rooney	
DeWeese	Kotik	Ross	
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rubley	Perzel,
Diven	Laughlin		Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bianucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causar	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	James	Readshaw	Williams

Dally	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	
Donatucci	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS—17

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Daily	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1394, PN 1722**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to The Children's Institute, Pittsburgh for treatment and rehabilitation of certain persons with disabling diseases.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. It is the understanding of the Chair that Mr. Maher knows that his amendment is out of order. Does he wish to make a statement? The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will not ask for a suspension of the rules out of respect to our colleagues and the process, but I will note that the Children's Institute of Pittsburgh, which has done so much good for so many children from throughout the State, is actually suffering a 20-percent decline in funding from the levels of 2 years ago and 3 years ago based upon what is in the budget. I would hope that during the budget negotiations that we will be able to solve this situation.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A2289:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by striking out “\$781,000” and inserting

\$464,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—20

Birmelin	Gordner	Metcalfe	Scrimenti
Cawley	Haluska	Miller, R.	Solobay
Coleman	Hanna	Roberts	Surra
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Tangretti
Egolf	Levdansky	Samuelson	Yewcic

NAYS—179

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhattan	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Grucela	Mundy	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Myers	Tigue
Cappelli	Habay	Nailor	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhai	Nickol	True
Causer	Harhart	O'Brien	Turzai
Civera	Harper	Oliver	Vance
Clymer	Harris	O'Neill	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Payne	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petrarca	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petri	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Petrone	Washington
Coy	Hess	Phillips	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pickett	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Pistella	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Preston	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Raymond	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Readshaw	Wilt
Dally	Keller	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Rieger	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker
Eachus			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McGill	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhattan	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, R.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Mundy	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Myers	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nailor	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	Nickol	True
Cawley	Harhart	O'Brien	Turzai
Civera	Harper	Oliver	Vance
Clymer	Harris	O'Neill	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Payne	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petrarca	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petri	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Petrone	Washington
Coy	Hess	Phillips	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pickett	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Pistella	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Preston	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Raymond	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Readshaw	Wilt
Dally	Keller	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kenney	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kirkland	Rieger	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Leach	Ross	
Donatucci	Lederer	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS—13

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalf	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Rohrer	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Surra
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1395, PN 1723**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia for comprehensive patient care and general maintenance and operation of the hospital.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2290**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by striking out “\$451,000” and inserting
\$238,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—21

Birmelin	Leh	Roberts	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Levdansky	Rohrer	Surra
Creighton	Marsico	Samuelson	Tangretti
Egolf	Metcalf	Scrimenti	Wilt
Haluska	Miller, R.	Solobay	Yewcic
Hanna			

NAYS—178

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Eachus	Leach	Ruffing
Argall	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Baker	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Baldwin	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Bard	Feese	Mackereth	Scavello
Barrar	Fichter	Maher	Schroder
Bastian	Fleagle	Maitland	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Major	Shaner
Belardi	Forcier	Manderino	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Frankel	Mann	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Freeman	Markosek	Staback

Bianucci	Gabig	McCall	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	McGeehan	Steil
Blaum	Geist	McGill	Stern
Boyd	George	McIlhattan	Stetler
Browne	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gillespie	McNaughton	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gingrich	Melio	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Miller, S.	Thomas
Cappelli	Gordner	Mundy	Tigue
Casorio	Grucela	Myers	Travaglio
Causler	Gruitza	Nailor	True
Cawley	Habay	Nickol	Turzai
Civera	Harhai	O’Brien	Vance
Clymer	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Harper	O’Neill	Vitali
Cornell	Harris	Payne	Walko
Corrigan	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Costa	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Coy	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Crahalla	Hershey	Phillips	Watson
Cruz	Hess	Pickett	Weber
Curry	Hickernell	Pistella	Wheatley
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Williams
Daley	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wright
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	
DiGirolo	Kotik	Rooney	Perzel,
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback

Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Dailey	James	Readshaw	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Reichley	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Denlinger	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ross	
Diven	Leach	Rubley	
Donatucci	Lederer	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz		Speaker

NAYS-15

Birmelin	Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay
Coleman	Hanna	Rohrer	Surra
Creighton	Leh	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1396, PN 1724**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2291**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 4, by striking out "\$117,000" and inserting

\$61,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-22

Birmelin	Hanna	Roberts	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Creighton	Levdansky	Samuelson	Tangretti
Curry	Mackereth	Scrimenti	Wilt
Egolf	Marsico	Solobay	Yewcic
Haluska	Metcalfe		

NAYS-177

Adolph	Eachus	Leach	Ruffing
Allen	Evans, D.	Lederer	Sainato
Argall	Evans, J.	Lescovitz	Santoni
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Baker	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baldwin	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Bard	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Barrar	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Bastian	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Belardi	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Benninghoff	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Biancucci	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Bishop	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Blaum	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Boyd	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gillespie	Melio	Sturla
Bunt	Gingrich	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Miller, R.	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Goodman	Miller, S.	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gordner	Mundy	Tigue
Cappelli	Grucela	Myers	Travaglio
Casorio	Gruitza	Nailor	True
Causer	Habay	Nickol	Turzai
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Vance
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Vitali
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Walko
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Costa	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Coy	Hershey	Phillips	Watson
Crahalla	Hess	Pickett	Weber
Cruz	Hickernell	Pistella	Wheatley
Dailey	Horsey	Preston	Williams
Daley	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Dally	James	Readshaw	Wright
DeLuca	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
Denlinger	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
Dermody	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
DeWeese	Kirkland	Roebuck	
DiGirolamo	Kotik	Rooney	
Diven	LaGrotta	Ross	Perzel,
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rubley	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causar	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	James	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	
Donatucci	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS—17

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Dailey	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1397, PN 1725**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2292**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$143,000" and inserting
\$76,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—24

Birmelin	Hanna	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Coleman	Leh	Roberts	Surra
Creighton	Levdansky	Rohrer	Tangretti
Curry	Mackereth	Samuelson	Tigue
Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti	Wilt
Haluska	Metcalfe	Solobay	Yewcic

NAYS—174

Adolph	Eachus	Laughlin	Rubley
Allen	Evans, D.	Leach	Ruffing
Argall	Evans, J.	Lederer	Sainato
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Lescovitz	Santoni
Baker	Fairchild	Lewis	Sather
Baldwin	Feese	Lynch	Saylor
Bard	Fichter	Maher	Scavello
Barrar	Fleagle	Maitland	Schroder
Bastian	Flick	Major	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Manderino	Shaner
Belardi	Frankel	Mann	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Gabig	McCall	Staback

Biancucci	Gannon	McGeehan	Stairs
Bishop	Geist	McGill	Steil
Blaum	George	McIlhattan	Stern
Boyd	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stetler
Browne	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Gingrich	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Godshall	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gordner	Mundy	Thomas
Cappelli	Grucela	Myers	Travaglio
Casorio	Gruitza	Nailor	True
Causer	Habay	Nickol	Turzai
Civera	Harhai	O'Brien	Vance
Clymer	Harhart	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Harper	O'Neill	Vitali
Cornell	Harris	Payne	Walko
Corrigan	Hasay	Petrarca	Wansacz
Costa	Hennessey	Petri	Washington
Coy	Herman	Petrone	Waters
Crahalla	Hershey	Phillips	Watson
Cruz	Hess	Pickett	Weber
Dailey	Hickernell	Pistella	Wheatley
Daley	Horsey	Preston	Williams
Dally	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	James	Readshaw	Wright
Denlinger	Josephs	Reed	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller	Reichley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kenney	Rieger	Zug
DiGiroloamo	Kirkland	Roebuck	
Diven	Kotik	Rooney	Perzel,
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING-1

Cawley

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-182

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lescovitz	Sainato
Allen	Evans, J.	Levdansky	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lewis	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Lynch	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Semmel
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Gabig	McGeehan	Stairs

Benninghoff	Gannon	McGill	Steil
Biancucci	Geist	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	George	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Gergely	McNaughton	Stevenson, R.
Boyd	Gillespie	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Browne	Gingrich	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Miller, R.	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Goodman	Miller, S.	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Grucela	Myers	Thomas
Cappelli	Gruitza	Nailor	Tigue
Casorio	Habay	Nickol	Travaglio
Causer	Harhai	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Harhart	Oliver	Turzai
Civera	Harper	O'Neill	Vance
Clymer	Harris	Payne	Veon
Cohen	Hasay	Petrarca	Vitali
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Walko
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Wansacz
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Washington
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Waters
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Watson
Cruz	Horsey	Preston	Weber
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wheatley
Daley	James	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Reed	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Reichley	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese	Kotik	Roebuck	Yudichak
DiGiroloamo	LaGrotta	Rooney	Zug
Diven	Laughlin	Ross	
Donatucci	Leach	Rubley	Perzel,
Eachus	Lederer	Ruffing	Speaker

NAYS-17

Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalf	Solobay
Creighton	Leh	Rohrer	Surra
Dailey	Mackereth	Samuelson	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1398, PN 1726**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Carnegie Museums of Pittsburgh for operations and maintenance expenses and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2293**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$225,000” and inserting

\$118,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 11, by striking out “\$225,000” and inserting

\$118,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. **SAMUELSON**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My remarks here will cover the next seven bills, which deal with museums in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is blessed with some wonderful museums. The next seven bills concern nonpreferred appropriations for eight of those museums: Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Carnegie Science Center, Franklin Institute, the Academy of Natural Sciences, the African-American Museum in Philadelphia, the Everhart Museum in Scranton, the Mercer Museum in Doylestown, and the Whitaker Center right here in Harrisburg.

So the nonpreferred appropriations that we are considering today have State funding, public funding, for eight of the private museums in Pennsylvania. I have in my hand a list of all of the museums in Pennsylvania – there is actually a book which lists all of the museums in the United States – and the museums in Pennsylvania go for 69 pages. There are 4 or 5 museums on every page, so if you start in Abington and Allentown and Allenwood and Allison Park and you go to York and Zelienople, you will find that there are more than 300, more than 300 museums in Pennsylvania. We are blessed with a State that is rich in history.

You may ask, why are we giving a nonpreferred appropriation to 8 of these museums but not the other 300? That is the point of this amendment. We have to take a hard look at these public dollars going to private museums. My amendment, as did my other amendments, would have a 50-percent reduction in the nonpreferred appropriations. I ask for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The **SPEAKER**. Strike the board. Strike the board.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Myers.

Mr. **MYERS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to the remaining eight amendments. This kind of reminds me of a story that I heard, and Mr. Samuelson’s amendments remind me of that story.

This guy, he had a beautiful house – a sunken living room, Jacuzzi, swimming pool, fine furniture. The house was fine, but the only problem was, there was a hole in the roof, and when it would rain, the rain would run through the hole. And like Mr. Samuelson, he is talking about there is a hole in the museum roof, but instead of fixing the hole, he built a new room. His priority was to build a new room and do not fix the hole so that the house does not get deteriorated and diminished, and I think these next amendments are equivalent to building a new room and not fixing the hole in the house.

I would ask for a “no” vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—39

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Levdansky	Samuelson
Birmelin	Goodman	Mackereth	Saylor
Coleman	Gordner	Maitland	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Curry	Harris	Miller, R.	Surra
Dailey	Herman	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Egolf	Hutchinson	Mundy	Wilt
Forcier	LaGrotta	Reichley	Yewcic
Freeman	Leh	Rohrer	

NAYS—160

Adolph	Donatucci	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Eachus	Maher	Scavello
Argall	Evans, D.	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Manderino	Semmel
Baker	Fabrizio	Mann	Shaner
Baldwin	Fairchild	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bard	Feese	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fichter	McGeehan	Staback
Bastian	Fleagle	McGill	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McIlhattan	Steil
Belardi	Frankel	McIlhinney	Stern
Belfanti	Gabig	McNaughton	Stetler
Biancucci	Gannon	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Sturla
Blaum	George	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Boyd	Gergely	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Browne	Gingrich	Nickol	Thomas
Bunt	Godshall	O’Brien	Tigue
Butkovitz	Grucela	Oliver	Travaglio
Buxton	Gruitza	O’Neill	True
Caltagirone	Habay	Payne	Turzai
Cappelli	Harhai	Petrarca	Vance
Casorio	Harhart	Petri	Veon
Causer	Harper	Petrone	Vitali
Cawley	Hasay	Phillips	Walko
Civera	Hennessey	Pickett	Wansacz
Clymer	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Cohen	Hess	Preston	Waters
Cornell	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Corrigan	Horsely	Readshaw	Weber
Costa	James	Reed	Wheatley
Coy	Josephs	Rieger	Williams
Cruz	Keller	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Daley	Kenney	Roebuck	Wright
Dally	Kirkland	Rooney	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kotik	Ross	Yudichak
Denlinger	Laughlin	Rublely	Zug
Dermody	Leach	Ruffing	
DeWeese	Lederer	Sainato	

DiGirolamo Lescovitz Santoni Perzel,
Diven Lewis Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Evans, J.	Maher	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Maitland	Scavello
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Feese	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Fichter	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McIlhattan	Steil
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stern
Benninghoff	Geist	McNaughton	Stetler
Biancucci	George	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Bishop	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Boyd	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Browne	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Buxton	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Cappelli	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Casorio	Harper	Payne	Turzai
Causar	Harris	Petrarca	Vance
Cawley	Hasay	Petri	Veon
Civera	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Clymer	Hershey	Phillips	Walko
Cohen	Hess	Pickett	Wansacz
Cornell	Hickernell	Pistella	Washington
Corrigan	Horsey	Preston	Waters
Costa	James	Raymond	Watson
Coy	Josephs	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	Keller	Reed	Wheatley
Curry	Kenney	Reichley	Williams
Daley	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnarowski
Dally	Kotik	Roberts	Wright
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yewcic
Denlinger	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
Dermody	Leach	Ross	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Ruffing	

Diven Levdansky Sainato Perzel,
Donatucci Lewis Santoni Speaker
Eachus

NAYS—24

Birmelin	Forcier	Hutchinson	Rohrer
Coleman	Gillespie	Leh	Samuelson
Crahalla	Gordner	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Creighton	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Dailey	Hanna	Metcalfe	Surra
Egolf	Herman	Mundy	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1399, PN 1727**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum for maintenance expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2294**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$500,000" and inserting
\$357,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—38

Barrar	Forcier	Levdansky	Roberts
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mackereth	Samuelson
Birmelin	Gordner	Maitland	Scrimenti
Causar	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Crahalla	Harris	Miller, R.	Surra
Creighton	Herman	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Dailey	Reed	Mundy	Wilt
Egolf	Hutchinson	Reichley	Yewcic
Flick	LaGrotta	Leh	

NAYS—161

Adolph	Eachus	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Evans, D.	Maher	Scavello
Argall	Evans, J.	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Manderino	Semmel

Baker	Fairchild	Mann	Shaner
Baldwin	Feese	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bard	Fichter	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Fleagle	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Freeman	McIlhattan	Steil
Belfanti	Gabig	McIlhinney	Stern
Biancucci	Gannon	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	George	Micozzie	Sturla
Boyd	Gergely	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gingrich	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Godshall	Nickol	Thomas
Butkovitz	Goodman	O'Brien	Tigue
Buxton	Grucela	Oliver	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Neill	True
Cappelli	Habay	Payne	Turzai
Casorio	Harhai	Petrarca	Vance
Cawley	Harhart	Petri	Veon
Civera	Harper	Petrone	Vitali
Clymer	Hasay	Phillips	Walko
Cohen	Hennessey	Pickett	Wansacz
Cornell	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Corrigan	Hess	Preston	Waters
Costa	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Coy	Horse	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	James	Reed	Wheatley
Curry	Josephs	Rieger	Williams
Daley	Keller	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dally	Kenney	Rohrer	Wright
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rooney	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kotik	Ross	Yudichak
Dermody	Laughlin	Rubley	Zug
DeWeese	Leach	Ruffing	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato	
Diven	Lescovitz	Santoni	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lewis	Sather	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Adolph	Evans, J.	Maher	Sather
Allen	Fabrizio	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Scavello
Armstrong	Feese	Manderino	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Mann	Semmel
Baldwin	Fleagle	Markosek	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.

Bastian	Freeman	McGill	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stairs
Belardi	Gannon	McIlhinney	Steil
Belfanti	Geist	McNaughton	Stern
Biancucci	George	Melio	Stetler
Bishop	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Godshall	Miller, S.	Sturla
Browne	Goodman	Myers	Tangretti
Bunt	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Habay	O'Brien	Thomas
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhart	O'Neill	Travaglio
Casorio	Harper	Payne	True
Causer	Harris	Petrarca	Turzai
Cowley	Hasay	Petri	Vance
Civera	Hennessey	Petrone	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Phillips	Vitali
Cohen	Hess	Pickett	Walko
Cornell	Hickernell	Pistella	Wansacz
Corrigan	Horse	Preston	Washington
Costa	James	Raymond	Waters
Coy	Josephs	Readshaw	Watson
Cruz	Keller	Reed	Weber
Curry	Kenney	Reichley	Wheatley
Daley	Kirkland	Rieger	Williams
Dally	Kotik	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Wright
Denlinger	Laughlin	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	Leach	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Levdansky	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Lewis	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lynch	Santoni	Speaker
Evans, D.			

NAYS—24

Benninghoff	Egolf	Herman	Mundy
Birmelin	Forcier	Hutchinson	Samuelson
Coleman	Gillespie	Leh	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Gordner	Mackereth	Solobay
Creighton	Haluska	Marsico	Surra
Dailey	Hanna	Metcalf	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1401, PN 1728**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences for maintenance expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. SAMUELSON offered the following amendment No. A2295:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$416,000” and inserting

\$219,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—38

Benninghoff	Goodman	Mackereth	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gordner	Maitland	Samuelson
Coleman	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Creighton	Harris	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Curry	Herman	Miller, S.	Surra
Dailey	Hutchinson	Mundy	Tangretti
Egolf	LaGrotta	Reichley	Wilt
Forcier	Leh	Roberts	Yewcic
Gillespie	Levdansky		

NAYS—161

Adolph	Donatucci	Lewis	Saylor
Allen	Eachus	Lynch	Scavello
Argall	Evans, D.	Maher	Schroder
Armstrong	Evans, J.	Major	Semmel
Baker	Fabrizio	Manderino	Shaner
Baldwin	Fairchild	Mann	Smith, B.
Bard	Feese	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fichter	McCall	Staback
Bastian	Fleagle	McGeehan	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McGill	Steil
Belardi	Frankel	McIlhattan	Stern
Belfanti	Freeman	McIlhinney	Stetler
Biancucci	Gabig	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Bishop	Gannon	Melio	Sturla
Blaum	Geist	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Boyd	George	Myers	Taylor, J.
Browne	Gergely	Nailor	Thomas
Bunt	Gingrich	Nickol	Tigue
Butkovitz	Godshall	O'Brien	Travaglio
Buxton	Grucela	Oliver	True
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Neill	Turzai
Cappelli	Habay	Payne	Vance
Casorio	Harhai	Petrarca	Veon
Causer	Harhart	Petri	Vitali
Cawley	Harper	Petrone	Walko
Civera	Hasay	Phillips	Wansacz
Clymer	Hennessey	Pickett	Washington
Cohen	Hershey	Pistella	Waters
Cornell	Hess	Preston	Watson
Corrigan	Hickernell	Raymond	Weber
Costa	Horsely	Readshaw	Wheatley
Coy	James	Reed	Williams
Cruz	Josephs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Daley	Keller	Roebuck	Wright
Dally	Kenney	Rooney	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kirkland	Ross	Yudichak
Denlinger	Kotik	Rubley	Zug
Dermody	Laughlin	Ruffing	
DeWeese	Leach	Sainato	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Santoni	Perzel,
Diven	Lescovitz	Sather	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—174

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Evans, J.	Maher	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Maitland	Scavello
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Feese	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Fichter	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McIlhattan	Steil
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stern
Biancucci	Geist	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	George	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Bunt	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Causer	Harper	Payne	Turzai
Cawley	Harris	Petrarca	Vance
Civera	Hasay	Petri	Veon
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen	Hershey	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hess	Pickett	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hickernell	Pistella	Washington
Costa	Horsely	Preston	Waters
Coy	James	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Josephs	Readshaw	Weber
Curry	Keller	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	Kenney	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kotik	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Leach	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Lescovitz	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lewis	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS—25

Benninghoff	Forcier	Hutchinson	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gillespie	Leh	Samuelson
Coleman	Gordner	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Surra
Dailey	Herman	Mundy	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1402, PN 1729**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the African-American Museum in Philadelphia for operating expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2296**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$317,000” and inserting
\$167,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—37

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mackereth	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gordner	Maitland	Samuelson
Bunt	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Crahalla	Herman	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Creighton	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Surra
Dailey	LaGrotta	Mundy	Tangretti
DeWeese	Leh	Reichley	Wilt
Egolf	Levdansky	Roberts	Yewcic
Forcier			

NAYS—162

Adolph	Eachus	Lescovitz	Sather
Allen	Evans, D.	Lewis	Saylor
Argall	Evans, J.	Lynch	Scavello
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Maher	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Semmel
Baldwin	Feese	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	Mann	Smith, B.

Barrar	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Flick	McCall	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McGeehan	Stairs
Belardi	Freeman	McGill	Sturla
Belfanti	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stern
Bianucci	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	George	Melio	Sturla
Boyd	Gergely	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gingrich	Myers	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Buxton	Goodman	Nickol	Tigue
Caltagirone	Grucela	O'Brien	Travaglio
Cappelli	Gruitza	Oliver	True
Casorio	Habay	O'Neill	Turzai
Causer	Harhai	Payne	Vance
Cawley	Harhart	Petrarca	Veon
Civera	Harper	Petri	Vitali
Clymer	Harris	Petrone	Walko
Cohen	Hasay	Phillips	Wansacz
Cornell	Hennessey	Pickett	Washington
Corrigan	Hershey	Pistella	Waters
Costa	Hess	Preston	Watson
Coy	Hickernell	Raymond	Weber
Cruz	Horsely	Readshaw	Wheatley
Curry	James	Reed	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rooney	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kirkland	Ross	Yudichak
Dermody	Kotik	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ruffing	
Diven	Leach	Sainato	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Santoni	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—174

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lewis	Sather
Allen	Evans, J.	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Maher	Scavello
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Feese	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Fichter	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McIlhattan	Steil

Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stern
Bianucci	Geist	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	George	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Bunt	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Causer	Harper	Payne	Turzai
Cawley	Harris	Petrarca	Vance
Civera	Hasay	Petri	Veon
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen	Hershey	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hess	Pickett	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hickernell	Pistella	Washington
Costa	Horshey	Preston	Waters
Coy	James	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Josephs	Readshaw	Weber
Curry	Keller	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	Kenney	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kotik	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Leach	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Leh	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Levdansky	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS—25

Benninghoff	Forcier	Hutchinson	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gillespie	Mackereth	Samuelson
Coleman	Gordner	Maitland	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Surra
Dailey	Herman	Mundy	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I cast an errant vote. I was in the middle of some conversation, and on the African-American Museum, I mistakenly voted in the affirmative for the Samuelson amendment A2296. I would like the record to reflect that I would have liked to have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1403, PN 1730**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton for operating expenses.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2297**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$42,000" and inserting

\$22,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—36

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Levdansky	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gordner	Mackereth	Samuelson
Coleman	Haluska	Maitland	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Hanna	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Harhai	Metcalfe	Stevenson, R.
Curry	Herman	Miller, R.	Surra
Dailey	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Egolf	LaGrotta	Nickol	Wilt
Forcier	Leh	Reichley	Yewcic

NAYS—163

Adolph	Eachus	Lewis	Sather
Allen	Evans, D.	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Evans, J.	Maher	Scavello
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Feese	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Flick	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Freeman	McIlhatten	Steil
Belfanti	Gabig	McIlhinney	Stern
Bianucci	Gannon	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	George	Micozzie	Sturla
Boyd	Gergely	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gingrich	Myers	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Butkovitz	Goodman	O'Brien	Tigue
Buxton	Grucela	Oliver	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Neill	True
Cappelli	Habay	Payne	Turzai
Casorio	Harhart	Petrarca	Vance
Causer	Harper	Petri	Veon
Cawley	Harris	Petrone	Vitali
Civera	Hasay	Phillips	Walko
Clymer	Hennessey	Pickett	Wansacz
Cohen	Hershey	Pistella	Washington
Cornell	Hess	Preston	Waters
Corrigan	Hickernell	Raymond	Watson
Costa	Horshey	Readshaw	Weber
Coy	James	Reed	Wheatley
Cruz	Josephs	Rieger	Williams
Daley	Keller	Roberts	Wojnaroski

Dally	Kenney	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rooney	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kotik	Ross	Yudichak
Dermody	Laughlin	Rubley	Zug
DeWeese	Leach	Ruffing	
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sainato	Perzel,
Diven	Lescovitz	Santoni	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Adolph	Evans, J.	Maher	Sather
Allen	Fabrizio	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Scavello
Armstrong	Feese	Manderino	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Mann	Semmel
Baldwin	Fleagle	Markosek	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McGill	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stairs
Belardi	Gannon	McIlhinney	Steil
Belfanti	Geist	McNaughton	Stern
Biancucci	George	Melio	Stetler
Bishop	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Godshall	Miller, S.	Sturla
Browne	Goodman	Mundy	Tangretti
Bunt	Grucela	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhart	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harper	O'Neill	True
Causar	Harris	Payne	Turzai
Cawley	Hasay	Petrarca	Vance
Civera	Hennessey	Petri	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen	Hess	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hickernell	Pickett	Wansacz
Corrigan	Horsey	Pistella	Washington
Costa	James	Preston	Waters
Coy	Josephs	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Keller	Readshaw	Weber
Curry	Kenney	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	Kirkland	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Kotik	Rieger	Wojnarowski

DeLuca	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Laughlin	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Leach	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Levdansky	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Lewis	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lynch	Santoni	Speaker
Evans, D.			

NAYS—24

Benninghoff	Egolf	Herman	Rohrer
Birmelin	Forcier	Hutchinson	Samuelson
Coleman	Gillespie	Leh	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Gordner	Mackereth	Solobay
Creighton	Haluska	Marsico	Surra
Dailey	Hanna	Metcalf	Wilt

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1404, PN 1731**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Mercer Museum in Doylestown, Pennsylvania, for operating expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2298**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$172,000" and inserting
\$91,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—36

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mackereth	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gordner	Maitland	Samuelson
Coleman	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Hanna	Metcalf	Solobay
Creighton	Herman	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Curry	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Surra
Dailey	LaGrotta	Mundy	Tangretti
Egolf	Leh	Nickol	Wilt
Forcier	Levdansky	Reichley	Yewcic

NAYS-163

Adolph	Eachus	Lescovitz	Sather
Allen	Evans, D.	Lewis	Saylor
Argall	Evans, J.	Lynch	Scavello
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Maher	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Semmel
Baldwin	Feese	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	Mann	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Flick	McCall	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McGeehan	Stairs
Belardi	Freeman	McGill	Steil
Belfanti	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stern
Biancucci	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Blaum	George	Melio	Sturla
Boyd	Gergely	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Gingrich	Myers	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Butkovitz	Goodman	O'Brien	Tigue
Buxton	Grucela	Oliver	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Neill	True
Cappelli	Habay	Payne	Turzai
Casorio	Harhai	Petrarca	Vance
Causer	Harhart	Petri	Veon
Cawley	Harper	Petrone	Vitali
Civera	Harris	Phillips	Walko
Clymer	Hasay	Pickett	Wansacz
Cohen	Hennessey	Pistella	Washington
Cornell	Hershey	Preston	Waters
Corrigan	Hess	Raymond	Watson
Costa	Hickernell	Readshaw	Weber
Coy	Horse	Reed	Wheatley
Cruz	James	Rieger	Williams
Daley	Josephs	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Dally	Keller	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Kenney	Rooney	Youngblood
Denlinger	Kirkland	Ross	Yudichak
Dermody	Kotik	Rubley	Zug
DeWeese	Laughlin	Ruffing	
DiGirolamo	Leach	Sainato	Perzel,
Diven	Lederer	Santoni	Speaker
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-174

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Evans, J.	Maher	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Maitland	Scavello
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Feese	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Fichter	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McIlhattan	Steil
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stern
Biancucci	Geist	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	George	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Bunt	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Causer	Harper	Payne	Turzai
Cawley	Harris	Petrarca	Vance
Civera	Hasay	Petri	Veon
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen	Hershey	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hess	Pickett	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hickernell	Pistella	Washington
Costa	Horse	Preston	Waters
Coy	James	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Josephs	Readshaw	Weber
Curry	Keller	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	Kenney	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kotik	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Leach	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Lescovitz	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lewis	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-25

Benninghoff	Forcier	Hutchinson	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gillespie	Leh	Samuelson
Coleman	Gordner	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Surra
Dailey	Herman	Mundy	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1405, PN 1732**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Whitaker Center for Science and the Arts in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, for operating expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **SAMUELSON** offered the following amendment No. **A2299**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out “\$124,000” and inserting
\$65,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-37

Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mackereth	Rohrer
Biancucci	Gordner	Maitland	Samuelson
Birmelin	Haluska	Marsico	Scrimenti
Coleman	Hanna	Metcalfe	Solobay
Crahalla	Herman	Miller, R.	Stevenson, R.
Creighton	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Surra
Curry	LaGrotta	Mundy	Tangretti
Dailey	Leh	Nickol	Wilt
Egolf	Levdansky	Reichley	Yewcic
Forcier			

NAYS-162

Adolph	Eachus	Lescovitz	Sather
Allen	Evans, D.	Lewis	Saylor
Argall	Evans, J.	Lynch	Scavello
Armstrong	Fabrizio	Maher	Schroder
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Semmel
Baldwin	Feese	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	Mann	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Flick	McCall	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McGeehan	Stairs
Belardi	Freeman	McGill	Steil
Belfanti	Gabig	McIlhattan	Stern
Bishop	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stetler
Blaum	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	George	Melio	Sturla
Browne	Gergely	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gingrich	Myers	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Buxton	Goodman	O'Brien	Tigue
Caltagirone	Grucela	Oliver	Travaglio
Cappelli	Gruitza	O'Neill	True
Casorio	Habay	Payne	Turzai
Causer	Harhai	Petrarca	Vance
Cawley	Harhart	Petri	Veon
Civera	Harper	Petrone	Vitali
Clymer	Harris	Phillips	Walko
Cohen	Hasay	Pickett	Wansacz
Cornell	Hennessey	Pistella	Washington
Corrigan	Hershey	Preston	Waters
Costa	Hess	Raymond	Watson
Coy	Hickernell	Readshaw	Weber
Cruz	Horsey	Reed	Wheatley

Daley	James	Rieger	Williams
Dally	Josephs	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Keller	Roebuck	Wright
Denlinger	Kenney	Rooney	Youngblood
Dermody	Kirkland	Ross	Yudichak
DeWeese	Kotik	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Laughlin	Ruffing	
Diven	Leach	Saimato	Perzel,
Donatucci	Lederer	Santoni	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Pallone

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-174

Adolph	Evans, D.	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Evans, J.	Maher	Saylor
Argall	Fabrizio	Maitland	Scavello
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Feese	Manderino	Semmel
Baldwin	Fichter	Mann	Shaner
Bard	Fleagle	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Stairs
Belardi	Gabig	McIlhattan	Steil
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhinney	Stern
Biancucci	Geist	McNaughton	Stetler
Bishop	George	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Blaum	Gergely	Micozzie	Stevenson, T.
Boyd	Gingrich	Miller, R.	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Bunt	Goodman	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Grucela	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappelli	Harhai	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	O'Neill	True
Causer	Harper	Payne	Turzai
Cawley	Harris	Petrarca	Vance
Civera	Hasay	Petri	Veon
Clymer	Hennessey	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen	Hershey	Phillips	Walko
Cornell	Hess	Pickett	Wansacz
Corrigan	Hickernell	Pistella	Washington
Costa	Horsey	Preston	Waters
Coy	James	Raymond	Watson
Cruz	Josephs	Readshaw	Weber
Curry	Keller	Reed	Wheatley
Daley	Kenney	Reichley	Williams
Dally	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski

DeLuca	Kotik	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Laughlin	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Leach	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Diven	Lescovitz	Ruffing	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Perzel,
Eachus	Lewis	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS—25

Benninghoff	Forcier	Hutchinson	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gillespie	Leh	Samuelson
Coleman	Gordner	Mackereth	Scrimenti
Crahalla	Haluska	Marsico	Solobay
Creighton	Hanna	Metcalfe	Surra
Dailey	Herman	Mundy	Wilt
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1105, PN 2167**; and **HB 1406, PN 2218**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader for an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee.

BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 1549, PN 1956 By Rep. S. SMITH

An Act amending Title 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the designation of the Governor Robert P. Casey Highway as a scenic byway.

RULES.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1549, PN 1956.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 1406, PN 2218 By Rep. S. SMITH

An Act designating political subdivisions as rural areas for purposes of Medicare hospital service payments.

RULES.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Buxton, rise?

Mr. BUXTON. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. BUXTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 1382, amendment 2277, I was recorded in the affirmative. I would like the record to show that I would have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. The gentleman's remarks will be spread across the record.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. THOMAS called up **HR 340, PN 2219**, entitled:

A Resolution commemorating the achievements of Maynard Holbrook Jackson, Jr., three-term Mayor of Atlanta, Georgia, who died on June 23, 2003.

On the question, Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I have the House's attention, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is correct. He has a right to be heard. Please keep the noise levels down. The gentleman has a right to be heard.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, this resolution acknowledges the contribution of the former mayor of Atlanta, Georgia, and someone who was considered one of the best public servants in our country.

Maynard Jackson was born in North Carolina to slaves, but he went to school, worked himself through undergrad, through law school, was the first African-American mayor of a large city in the South, and, Mr. Speaker, history will say to us that Maynard Jackson was a true public servant, one who improved

the quality of life of people all across the spectrum regardless of their color, religion, creed.

Maynard Jackson was a standup, standup public servant, and, Mr. Speaker, I ask members from both sides of the aisle to vote in the affirmative on HR 340, which recognizes his contribution, and I also ask if the desk can remain open for anybody that would like to sign on to this resolution acknowledging the contributions of Maynard Holbrook Jackson, Jr.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas, the resolution is already in print and additional sponsors cannot be added to the list of sponsors already there.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairs
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blau	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causar	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR D

BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1406, PN 2218**, entitled:

An Act designating political subdivisions as rural areas for purposes of Medicare hospital service payments.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Carbon, Mr. McCall, for a brief explanation.

Mr. McCALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Senate added two amendments. The first amendment, when HB 1406 left this chamber, it was very narrowly defined to two subdivisions in Schuylkill County that mainly affected two specific hospitals, Ashland and St. Luke's Miners Memorial Hospital, on the way they would recoup Federal reimbursement. The Senate deleted that with the wisdom that if there were any other hospitals in the State that may be losing Federal reimbursement, they would qualify under this legislation.

The second amendment and the important part of this amendment and the reason why this bill is moving so quickly is that this has to happen by June 30 or these two hospitals will lose that reimbursement. The second part of that amendment, the Senate made the effective date retroactive to June 30, 2003, just in case the Governor does not sign this bill by June 30, 2003. So if he is listening, I hope his signature gets on it before June 30, 2003.

I would ask the members for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question is, will the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Argall.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In addition to thanking Representative McCall, Representative Goodman, Representative Allen, and Senator Rhoades for their help in very quickly moving this legislation through, I would ask all the members for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question is, will the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate? It is moved by the gentleman, Mr. McCall, that the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?
The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Evans, D.	Levdansky	Samuelson
Allen	Evans, J.	Lewis	Santoni
Argall	Fabrizio	Lynch	Sather
Armstrong	Fairchild	Mackereth	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Maher	Scavello
Baldwin	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Major	Scrimenti
Barrar	Flick	Manderino	Semmel
Bastian	Forcier	Mann	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Markosek	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	Marsico	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gabig	McCall	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gannon	McGeehan	Staback
Bianucci	Geist	McGill	Stairst
Birmelin	George	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Gergely	McIlhinney	Stern
Blaum	Gillespie	McNaughton	Stetler
Boyd	Gingrich	Melio	Stevenson, R.
Browne	Godshall	Metcalfe	Stevenson, T.
Bunt	Goodman	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Miller, R.	Surra
Buxton	Grucela	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappelli	Habay	Myers	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Causler	Hanna	Nickol	Tigue
Cawley	Harhai	O'Brien	Travaglio
Civera	Harhart	Oliver	True
Clymer	Harper	O'Neill	Turzai
Cohen	Harris	Payne	Vance
Coleman	Hasay	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Hennessey	Petri	Vitali
Corrigan	Herman	Petrone	Walko
Costa	Hershey	Phillips	Wansacz
Coy	Hess	Pickett	Washington
Crahalla	Hickernell	Pistella	Waters
Creighton	Horsey	Preston	Watson
Cruz	Hutchinson	Raymond	Weber
Curry	James	Readshaw	Wheatley
Dailey	Josephs	Reed	Williams
Daley	Keller	Reichley	Wilt
Dally	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright
Denlinger	Kotik	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rooney	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Leach	Ross	Zug
Diven	Lederer	Rubley	
Donatucci	Leh	Ruffing	Perzel,
Eachus	Lescovitz	Sainato	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Pallone

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For the purpose of correcting the record, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GODSHALL. On amendment 2179 to HB 76, I was recorded in the negative. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread across the record.

The gentleman, Mr. McGill.

Mr. MCGILL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MCGILL. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1378 my brain malfunctioned. I wanted to vote "no" for amendment 2273 and I actually voted "no" on final passage. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread across the record.

There will be no further votes this evening. We will have a voting session tomorrow at 11 o'clock.

Are there any caucus announcements?

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. Does Representative Watson wish to be recognized?

Mrs. WATSON. Yes, Mr. Speaker. Regarding amendment 2276 to HB 1381, my voting switch failed to react. I wish to be recorded voting in the negative, not in the affirmative. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady, and the lady's remarks will be spread across the record.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentledady from Chester, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, the Republican members will caucus: at 8 a.m. for breakfast, at 8:30 for the caucus. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentledady.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there will be informal discussions in the Democratic caucus room at 9 a.m. tomorrow, and the formal caucus will begin at 9:30 a.m.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Mr. Speaker, to correct the record.

On HB 1381 I was recorded in the negative. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. The gentleman's remarks will be spread across the record.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 279 be taken off the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 279, PN 289.

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. S. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 279 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

HB 1406, PN 2218

An Act designating political subdivisions as rural areas for purposes of Medicare hospital service payments.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 489, PN 583

By Rep. KENNEY

An Act amending the act of July 19, 1979 (P.L.130, No.48), known as the Health Care Facilities Act, further providing for purposes, for definitions, for powers of the Department of Health, for administration and for licensure; providing for compliance with staffing plans and recordkeeping, for work assignment policies and for public disclosure of staffing requirements; further providing for license standards, reliance on accrediting agencies and Federal Government, for medical assistance payments and for civil penalties; and providing for private cause of action, for grants and loan programs for nurse recruitment.

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 387, PN 1040 (Amended)

By Rep. KENNEY

An Act amending the act of July 8, 1986 (P.L.408, No.89), known as the Health Care Cost Containment Act, further providing for the Health Care Cost Containment Council, for powers and duties of the council, for data submission and collection, for access to council data, for enforcement and penalty and for expiration; and providing for penalties.

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, any remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Juniata County, Mr. Harris.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Thursday, June 26, 2003, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:17 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.