

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2000

SESSION OF 2000

184TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 49

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER. Members, be advised that the opening is being delayed 15 minutes at the request of the Republican Caucus so that their caucus may continue.

The House will stand at ease until the two caucuses have concluded their business.

PRAYER

REV. JULIANN PUGH, Chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of Camp Hill Presbyterian Church, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

There are many forms of prayer, and music is just one of them.

Let us pray:
(Singing "Hallelujahs":)

A purple sky to close the day,
I wade the surf where dolphins play;
The taste of salt, the dance of waves,
And my soul wells up with hallelujahs.
A lightening flash, my pounding heart,
A breaching whale, a shooting star,
Give testimony that You are,
And my soul wells up with hallelujahs.

O praise Him all His mighty works;
There is no language where You can't be
heard;
Your song goes out to all the earth;
Hallelujah, Hallelujah, Hallelujah.

O cratered moon and sparrow's wings,
O thunder's boom and Saturn's rings,
Unveil our Father as you sing,
And my soul wells up with hallelujahs.

O praise Him all His mighty works;
There is no language where You can't be
heard;
Your song goes out to all the earth;
Hallelujah, Hallelujah, Hallelujah.

God of all power, how can human minds comprehend Your majesty? How can we comprehend to be silent in the midst of Your glory?

The pulse of life within my wrist,
A fallen snow, a rising mist;
There is no higher praise than this,
And my soul wells up,
Oh my soul wells up,
yes, my soul wells up with hallelujahs.

O praise Him all His mighty works;
There is no language where You can't be
heard;
Your song goes out to all the earth;
Hallelujah, Hallelujah, Hallelujah.

Oh Hallelujah, Hallelujah, Hallelujah.

God of all time, let us turn our little selves toward You, and from our tiny spot in Your vast domain, we seek the benediction of Your grace. Here this our common prayer. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Journal for Tuesday, October 10, 2000, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2823 By Representatives HABAY, COSTA, DeLUCA, GEORGE, LAUGHLIN, PESCI, PIPPY, PISTELLA, SATHER, STEVENSON and WILT

An Act extending the deadline for adoption of municipal budget ordinances for certain fiscal years.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

No. 2824 By Representatives HABAY, M. COHEN, COSTA, DeLUCA, GEORGE, PESCI, PIPPY, PISTELLA, SATHER and WILT

An Act extending the deadline for adoption of municipal budget ordinances for certain fiscal years.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

No. 2825 By Representative B. SMITH

An Act providing for management of outdoor night lighting and for a penalty.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, October 11, 2000.

No. 2826 By Representatives McCALL, CAPPABIANCA, WALKO, ALLEN, TIGUE, NAILOR, WOJNAROSKI, READSHAW, BELARDI, COY, STABACK, ORIE, BATTISTO, GEORGE, EACHUS, HARHAI, BEBKO-JONES, DeWEESE, B. SMITH, HUTCHINSON, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, MELIO, PETRARCA, SHANER, PISTELLA, MUNDY, GRUCELA, E. Z. TAYLOR, HALUSKA, STEVENSON, WILT, SOLOBAY, WILLIAMS, BELFANTI, FREEMAN, YUDICHAK, LEH, COSTA, TRAVAGLIO, YOUNGBLOOD, M. COHEN, STEELMAN and CURRY

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for telephone service rates for volunteer fire companies.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

No. 2827 By Representatives McCALL, CAPPABIANCA, WALKO, ALLEN, TIGUE, NAILOR, WOJNAROSKI, READSHAW, BELARDI, COY, STABACK, ORIE, BATTISTO, GEORGE, EACHUS, HARHAI, BEBKO-JONES, DeWEESE, B. SMITH, HUTCHINSON, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, MELIO, PETRARCA, SHANER, PISTELLA, MUNDY, GRUCELA, E. Z. TAYLOR, HALUSKA, STEVENSON, WILT, SOLOBAY, WILLIAMS, BELFANTI, FREEMAN, YUDICHAK, LEH, COSTA, TRAVAGLIO, YOUNGBLOOD, M. COHEN, STEELMAN and CURRY

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for telecommunications services supplied to certain organizations.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

No. 2828 By Representatives BELARDI, MICOZZIE, STABACK, M. COHEN, GEORGE, COSTA, READSHAW, HORSEY, PESCI, SOLOBAY, TIGUE, SHANER, BATTISTO, McCALL, YUDICHAK, LAUGHLIN, YOUNGBLOOD, HARHAI and TRELLO

An Act providing for the establishment, implementation and administration of a school property tax voucher program; establishing the School Property Tax Voucher Fund; allocating certain surplus revenues to the School Property Tax Voucher Fund; and imposing additional powers and duties on the Auditor General, the

State Treasurer, the Secretary of the Budget, the Department of Community and Economic Development, school districts and county assessors.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, October 11, 2000.

No. 2829 By Representatives BELARDI, GEORGE, McCALL, COSTA, READSHAW, HORSEY, WASHINGTON, WOJNAROSKI, PESCI, SHANER, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, THOMAS, HARHAI and TRELLO

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing for a personal income tax credit for individuals in distressed communities.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, October 11, 2000.

No. 2830 By Representatives BELARDI, DeWEESE, STABACK, LAUGHLIN, WALKO, CAPPABIANCA, GEORGE, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, SOLOBAY, GEIST, BELFANTI, TIGUE, FREEMAN, THOMAS, BATTISTO and TRICH

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1987 (P.L.246, No.47), known as the Municipalities Financial Recovery Act, further providing for exceptions to the withholding of Commonwealth funds.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, October 11, 2000.

No. 2831 By Representatives BELARDI, M. COHEN, CAPPABIANCA, MANDERINO, SHANER, TIGUE, FRANKEL, PISTELLA, WOJNAROSKI, HALUSKA, WILLIAMS, SCRIMENTI, JOSEPHS and STEELMAN

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for Pennsylvania State Police examinations.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, October 11, 2000.

No. 2832 By Representatives BELARDI, GEORGE, CAPPABIANCA, M. COHEN, STABACK, LAUGHLIN, THOMAS, HALUSKA, PETRARCA, WOJNAROSKI, GRUCELA, SOLOBAY, CASORIO, SHANER, YOUNGBLOOD and HARHAI

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting the implementation or continued use of a vehicle emission inspection program until certain Federal emission standards are implemented.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 11, 2000.

No. 2833 By Representative FAIRCHILD

An Act amending the act of June 26, 1981 (P.L.119, No.39), entitled "An act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Environmental Resources and the Governor, to convey to Hartley Township, 1.308 acres of land situate in Hartley Township,

Union County, Pennsylvania," further providing for the use restriction and reversionary covenant.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, October 11, 2000.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 603 By Representatives BAKER, BENNINGHOFF, BELARDI, BUNT, CAPPABIANCA, M. COHEN, FARGO, HERSHEY, HESS, JAMES, KENNEY, MELIO, R. MILLER, NAILOR, PESCI, PETRARCA, ROHRER, SCRIMENTI, SHANER, B. SMITH, TIGUE, TRELLO, WALKO, WILT, YOUNGBLOOD, JADLOWIEC, McILHATTAN and HENNESSEY

A Resolution urging the Governor to discontinue the practice of having State-owned real estate exonerated from local taxes in cases where the Commonwealth is collecting rent for the use of the property.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 11, 2000.

No. 607 By Representatives SURRA, GEORGE, TIGUE, CALTAGIRONE, BELARDI, CAPPABIANCA, DeLUCA, PISTELLA, WILLIAMS, BARD, TRICH, LAUGHLIN, DeWEESE, BEBKO-JONES, FEESE, SOLOBAY, HALUSKA, WOJNAROSKI, COLAFELLA, PESCI, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, PETRARCA, LaGROTTA, FRANKEL, YUDICHAK, STURLA, ROONEY, JAMES, M. COHEN, HORSEY, GRUCELA, MUNDY, MELIO, RAMOS, YOUNGBLOOD, FREEMAN and STEELMAN

A Resolution creating a select subcommittee of the Committee on Environmental Resources and Energy to examine and study matters relating to the land application of sludge, also known as biosolids; and providing for its composition and powers and duties.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 11, 2000.

No. 609 By Representatives BENNINGHOFF, HERMAN, SATHER, HESS, FAIRCHILD, O'BRIEN, BASTIAN and BARD

A Resolution memorializing The Congress of the United States to address the systemic problems developing in the Medicare+Choice program for the purpose of stemming the exodus of HMOs participating in the program.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

No. 612 By Representatives STEELMAN, DeLUCA, JOSEPHS, PETRARCA and VAN HORNE

A Resolution adjourning the General Assembly sine die on October 31, 2000.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 11, 2000.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Seated in the House gallery as guests of Representative Santoni is a group from the Antietam High School, here with their teacher, Mr. Jim Gingrich. Would these guests please rise.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to Senate amendments to **SB 1109, PN 2147**.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 2533, PN 4073**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives by amending said amendments to **SB 648, PN 2218**.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Staback, for the purpose of introducing guests.

The Chair apologizes to the gentleman. I apparently misplaced the list of your guests' names, and I would ask you to introduce them to the House.

Mr. STABACK. It is not a problem, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to introduce the 7th through 12th grade classes from the Mid Valley High School located in Olyphant, Pennsylvania, which is in Lackawanna County. There are some 50 students and 5 teacher chaperons with them. They are all seated up in the gallery.

The SPEAKER. Would the guests please rise or wave. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MS. MUNDY

The SPEAKER. Ms. Mundy, do you desire recognition for the purpose of making an announcement?

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have introduced HR 615, which extends our sympathies to the congregation of Temple Ohev Sholom for the fire damage that was visited on their synagogue on Yom Kippur.

My understanding is that the resolution will be held open at the bill clerk's desk until noon so it can go to the supplemental calendar. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

ACTUARIAL NOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of an actuarial note for amendment 3682 to HB 1401, PN 3264, and an actuarial note for amendment 3693 to HB 1401, PN 3264.

(Copies of actuarial notes are on file with the Journal clerk.)

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

We would like to call a meeting of the Transportation Committee immediately upon the break. We have an important piece of legislation to move out, and I would like to make all the members aware that we need their attendance at this meeting. Immediately upon the break, the Transportation Committee will meet at the back of the House. Thank you.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move the following House bills from the table:

HB 106;
 HB 143;
 HB 457;
 HB 496;
 HB 566;
 HB 846;
 HB 893;
 HB 944;
 HB 1318;
 HB 1401;
 HB 1596;
 HB 2126; and
 HB 2339.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move the following bills be returned to the table:

HB 106;
 HB 143;
 HB 457;
 HB 496;
 HB 566;
 HB 846;
 HB 893;
 HB 944;
 HB 1318;
 HB 1401;
 HB 1596;
 HB 2126; and
 HB 2339.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today the son of Representative Nick Micozzie, Tom Micozzie. Tom, stand up, over here to the left of the Speaker.

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Intergovernmental Affairs Committee at the back of the House. It is necessary that we have a meeting of this committee because it is intended that a bill that is being reported out be run today.

INSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. There will be a meeting at the rear of the House for the Insurance Committee immediately.

The SPEAKER. All right. The Insurance Committee will go to the back of the House.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. Mr. Geist, we are taking a break for two other committee meetings. Do you want yours now?

The Transportation Committee will go to the rear of the hall of the House.

Insurance, Transportation, and Intergovernmental Affairs are all meeting right now at the rear of the hall of the House.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wansacz.

Mr. Wansacz, we will apologize for some of the disturbance in the House, which is occasioned by three committees meeting at the same time.

You are recognized.

Mr. WANSACZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to acknowledge a group of students that traveled from Lackawanna County, my old alma mater, Lakeland Elementary, to come up and visit us today. I just would like to welcome the fourth, fifth, and sixth grade students along with a couple of the parents to the House floor today.

The SPEAKER. The students and their parents are most welcome. Would they kindly rise or wave so that we can see where they are.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 617 By Representatives GEORGE, ROONEY, HARHAI, HANNA, McCALL, STURLA, MANDERINO, COY, SURRA, YUDICHAK, COSTA, PISTELLA, GRUCELA, OLIVER, WOJNAROSKI, FRANKEL, LEVDANSKY, ORIE, WANSACZ, WALKO, STABACK, CAPPABIANCA, VAN HORNE, BEBKO-JONES, SHANER, BELARDI, CORRIGAN, TANGRETTI, DeWEESE, CLARK, SANTONI, CALTAGIRONE, BARRAR, CURRY, LAUGHLIN, JOSEPHS, SCRIMENTI, M. COHEN, PESCI, HALUSKA, TRICH, BARD, GEIST and YOUNGBLOOD

A Resolution memorializing the Health Care Financing Administration and health insurers withdrawing their Medicare HMO coverage in any county within Pennsylvania to take immediate steps to ensure that subscribers who live in a county that is not impacted by the insurer's withdrawal are not mistakenly dropped from their plan.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, October 11, 2000.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the further amendments made by the House of Representatives to Senate amendments by further amending House amendments to **SB 706, PN 2226**.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

The SPEAKER. At the conclusion of the committee meetings, members will please return to their seats.

The House will be at ease.

The House will come to order.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 495, PN 4110 (Amended) By Rep. CIVERA

An Act amending the act of October 10, 1975 (P.L.383, No.110), known as the Physical Therapy Practice Act, further providing for the State Board of Physical Therapy; providing for a certificate of authorization to practice physical therapy without the required referral; requiring professional liability insurance coverage and continuing education; and providing penalties.

PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE.

HB 2584, PN 4111 (Amended) By Rep. CIVERA

An Act amending the act of May 22, 1951 (P.L.317, No.69), known as The Professional Nursing Law, regulating the practice and licensure of dietetics and nutrition; further providing for penalties; and making an appropriation.

PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE.

HB 2737, PN 3918 By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P.L.723, No.230), known as the Second Class County Code, further providing for age and income limitations for tax relief; and providing a phase-in period for tax increases.

URBAN AFFAIRS.

HB 2772, PN 3969 By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for motion for DNA testing in postconviction relief.

JUDICIARY.

SB 516, PN 2233 (Amended) By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for determination of paternity; providing for custody and visitation petitions by fathers; and further providing for protection from abuse orders and for full faith and credit and foreign protection orders.

JUDICIARY.

SB 935, PN 1870 By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for limitations of actions.

JUDICIARY.

SB 958, PN 1090 By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for confidential communications with sexual assault counselors.

JUDICIARY.

SB 1032, PN 2140 By Rep. ARGALL

An Act amending Title 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, relating to residential real estate transfers; providing for disclosures by sellers of residential real estate and for home inspections; and making a repeal.

URBAN AFFAIRS.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

SB 1109, PN 2147

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for cruelty to animals and for discrimination on account of guide, signal or service dog or other aid animal.

SB 1299, PN 1677

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the admissibility of certain statements.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

LEGISLATIVE FELLOWS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. May I have the attention of the House, please.

The Legislative Fellowship Program, which is sponsored by the House of Representatives through the Bipartisan Management Committee, has an excellent class this year. Four students have been assigned to either a committee chairman or a leadership office for a 13-week fellowship. You will be interested to know that as a final requirement necessary to complete the program, each fellow must present an original piece of legislation complete with an oral defense.

I welcome to the hall of the House today the four legislative fellows who began their internship this September: Marija Krpan, a Penn State Harrisburg public policy major, assigned to Mr. O'Brien at Health and Human Services. Would she please rise. Nikki Lopez, a Penn State University political science major, assigned to Mr. Belfanti, the Democratic chairman of the Labor Relations Committee. Is she on the floor? Yes. Laurie Lovelace, a Penn State Harrisburg public policy major, assigned to Mr. Gannon and the House Judiciary Committee. Would she please rise. Finally, Zane Sjoberg, a Penn State Harrisburg public policy major, assigned to Representative Cohen, the Democratic Caucus chairman.

The students are here today with Susan Cohen and Peter Wambach, the executive directors of the BMC, and Kristen Bernard, fellowship coordinator. Would they also please rise. Let us each welcome this fine group of interns to the House.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to leaves of absence and recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman from Chester, Mr. HERSHEY, for the day's session; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'BRIEN, for today's session; the lady from Lancaster, Mrs. TRUE, for today's session. Without objection, the leaves will be granted. The Chair hears no objections.

The gentleman, Mr. Veon, requests leave for the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. LEDERER, for today's session; the gentleman, Mr. VAN HORNE, from Westmoreland for today's session; the lady, Ms. BISHOP, from Philadelphia County for today's session; the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. ROONEY, for today's session.

The majority whip also requests leave for the gentleman, Mr. BARLEY, for today's session. Without objection, these leaves will be granted. The Chair hears no objection.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair will now take the master roll. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stem
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

LEAVES CANCELED—2

Barley	Rooney
--------	--------

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 531, PN 3672 By Rep. FLICK

A Resolution memorializing Congress to recognize that energy security is a national security issue, to recognize that oil is a powerful weapon and to develop an energy strategy that promotes alternatives to imported petroleum to meet the goal of independence from imported petroleum within five years.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

HR 609, PN 4091 By Rep. FLICK

A Resolution memorializing The Congress of the United States to address the systemic problems developing in the Medicare+Choice program for the purpose of stemming the exodus of HMOs participating in the program.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

HR 617, PN 4112 By Rep. FLICK

A Resolution memorializing the Health Care Financing Administration and health insurers withdrawing their Medicare HMO coverage in any county within Pennsylvania to take immediate steps to ensure that subscribers who live in a county that is not impacted by the insurer's withdrawal are not mistakenly dropped from their plan.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to page 1 of today's calendar.

The bills on page 1 are over.

The first two bills on page 2 are over.

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 2434, PN 4075, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1996 (P.L.1504, No.195), known as the Taxpayers' Bill of Rights, further providing for disclosure statement of rights of taxpayers and for the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate; providing for innocent spouse relief, for burden of proof and for reports to the General Assembly; and further providing for the expiration date.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Giadeck	Metcalf	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carr	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2800, PN 4023**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 6, 1971 (P.L.281, No.72), entitled "An act standardizing the procedures for pledges of assets to secure deposits of public funds with banking institutions pursuant to other laws; establishing a standard rule for the types, amounts and valuations of assets eligible to be used as collateral for deposits of public funds; permitting assets to be pledged against deposits on a pooled basis; and authorizing the appointment of custodians to act as pledgees of assets," further providing for amounts required to be pledged and for eligible assets and valuation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MAHER offered the following amendment No. **A3972**:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 4), page 2, lines 20 and 21, by striking out "by Congress" and inserting or reduced

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

- Adolph Fargo Markosek Schuler
Allen Feese Marsico Scrimenti
Argall Fichter Masland Semmel
Armstrong Fleagle Mayernik Shaner
Baker Flick McCall Smith, B.
Bard Forcier McGeehan Smith, S. H.
Barrar Frankel McGill Snyder
Bastian Freeman McIlhattan Solobay
Battisto Gannon McIlhinney Staback
Bebko-Jones Geist McNaughton Stairs
Belardi George Melio Steelman
Belfanti Gladeck Metcalfe Steil
Benninghoff Godshall Michlovic Stern
Birmelin Gordner Micozzie Stetler
Blaum Grucela Miller, R. Stevenson
Boyes Gruitza Miller, S. Strittmatter
Browne Habay Mundy Sturla
Bunt Haluska Myers Surra
Butkovitz Hanna Nailor Tangretti
Caltagirone Harhai Nickol Taylor, E. Z.
Cam Oliver Taylor, J.
Casorio Hasay Orie Thomas
Cawley Hennessey Perzel Tigie
Chadwick Herman Pesci Travaglio
Civera Hess Petrarca Trello
Clark Horsey Petrone Trich
Clymer Hutchinson Phillips Tulli
Cohen, L. I. Jadowiec Pippy Vance
Cohen, M. James Pistella Veon
Colafella Josephs Platts Vitali
Cornell Kaiser Preston Walko
Corrigan Keller Ramos Wansacz
Costa Kenney Raymond Washington
Coy Kirkland Readshaw Waters
Curry Krebs Reinard Williams
Dailey LaGrotta Rieger Will

- Daley Laughlin Robinson Wogan
Dally Lawless Roebuck Wojnaroski
DeLuca Leh Rohrer Wright
Dempsey Lescovitz Ross Yewcic
Dermody Levdansky Rubley Youngblood
DeWeese Lucyk Ruffing Yudichak
DiGiroloam Lynch Sainato Zimmerman
Donatucci Maher Samuelson Zug
Eachus Maitland Santoni
Egolf Major Sather
Evans Manderino Saylor Ryan,
Fairchild Mann Schroder Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

- Barley Cappabianca O'Brien True
Bishop Hershey Roberts Van Horne
Buxton Lederer Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

- Adolph Fargo Markosek Schuler
Allen Feese Marsico Scrimenti
Argall Fichter Masland Semmel
Armstrong Fleagle Mayernik Shaner
Baker Flick McCall Smith, B.
Bard Forcier McGeehan Smith, S. H.
Barrar Frankel McGill Snyder
Bastian Freeman McIlhattan Solobay
Battisto Gannon McIlhinney Staback
Bebko-Jones Geist McNaughton Stairs
Belardi George Melio Steelman
Belfanti Gladeck Metcalfe Steil
Benninghoff Godshall Michlovic Stern
Birmelin Gordner Micozzie Stetler
Blaum Grucela Miller, R. Stevenson
Boyes Gruitza Miller, S. Strittmatter
Browne Habay Mundy Sturla
Bunt Haluska Myers Surra
Butkovitz Hanna Nailor Tangretti
Caltagirone Harhai Nickol Taylor, E. Z.
Cam Harhart Oliver Taylor, J.
Casorio Hasay Orie Thomas
Cawley Hennessey Perzel Tigie
Chadwick Herman Pesci Travaglio
Civera Hess Petrarca Trello
Clark Horsey Petrone Trich
Clymer Hutchinson Phillips Tulli
Cohen, L. I. Jadowiec Pippy Vance
Cohen, M. James Pistella Veon

Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitai
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Mr. Adolph, do you desire recognition?

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. ADOLPH. I would like to know if I at this time could submit my remarks for HB 2434 for the record?

The SPEAKER. You may indeed.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Send them to the desk.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. ADOLPH submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

**TAXPAYERS' BILL OF RIGHTS
TALKING POINTS**

- This legislation extends and improves the Taxpayers' Bill of Rights.
- The act would be extended to 2004.
- Contains an innocent spouse provision to insulate a spouse from tax liability regarding a joint State personal tax return.
- The spouse would not be responsible for tax, interest, and penalties if they can prove they did not know of the understatement of tax.

- The bill also expands the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate's jurisdiction to inheritance taxes as well as personal income taxes.
- Clarifies what the department must include in disclosure statements to be sure that a taxpayer is informed.
- The department must disclose that taxpayers can be represented by counsel — an attorney or accountant — at any departmental proceeding.
- Reports to the General Assembly to the majority and minority Finance Committee chairmen including number of cases handled, summary of results, department's strategic plan in odd-numbered years, and code of conduct for department employees.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1980, PN 4074**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for unauthorized publication of name or likeness; and establishing a right of action.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Blaum, who asks that the rules of the House be suspended to permit him to immediately offer an amendment to HB 1980— Mr. Blaum, may I have your amendment number?

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I move that we suspend the rules—

The SPEAKER. No; no. May I have your amendment number.

Mr. BLAUM. 4099.

The SPEAKER. Thank you. To allow him to immediately offer amendment 4099 to the bill.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McHattan	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McHhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Meiio	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Tangretti

Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—3

Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **BLAUM** offered the following amendment No. **A4099**:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 8313), page 4, line 6, by inserting after "employees"
of a producer or manufacturer of advertising or commercials or

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback

Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfé	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McHattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McHhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Waiko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubleby	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HBs 2324 and 1786 are over.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. HB 2458 is over temporarily.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Page 4. All the bills on page 4 are over. The first four bills on page 5 are over.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the rules of the House be suspended to permit the immediate consideration of HB 2522.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McHattan	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McHhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Stern
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stetler
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Strittmatter
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Surra
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vitali
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Waiko
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Wansacz
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Washington
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Waters
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wilt
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Wogan
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubleby	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	
Eachus	Major	Santoni	

Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—4

Hanna	Krebs	Steelman	Steil
-------	-------	----------	-------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2522, PN 3492**, entitled:

An Act designating State Route 3160 in Allegheny County as the 99th Infantry Division Memorial Highway.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage. The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsy	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance

Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wojnaroski.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended to permit the immediate consideration of HB 2650.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Surra

Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—3

Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2650, PN 3784**, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge in the City of Johnstown, Cambria County, the Frank J. Pasquerilla Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The gentleman, Mr. Wojnaroski, is recognized on the bill.
Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
I rise today to ask my colleagues to vote in favor of HB 2650.

For those of you who did not have a chance to know or get acquainted with Frank, let me take a few moments to share with you some of the details of his remarkable life.

Frank J. Pasquerilla was a man dedicated to improving the lives of others. Often in our society, individuals of wealth talk of deeds performed on behalf of their fellow man, the less fortunate. Mr. Speaker, Frank Pasquerilla never needed to raise attention to his generosity, his caring, or his concern for his fellow Pennsylvanians, because his actions spoke louder than words possibly could.

Mr. Speaker, Frank Pasquerilla was not born of privilege. His wealth was acquired through years of hard work and innovation. As founder and former chairman of Crown American Corporation, Frank demonstrated to all of us that the American dream is still alive and thriving.

Frank Pasquerilla was a winner in life not by luck or accident but by perseverance. However, the real winner in Frank Pasquerilla's realizing the American dream were the people of western Pennsylvania and Johnstown. A man grounded in the values of family and community, Frank gave generously to education and the arts. Throughout the years, the Pasquerilla family has made sizable donations to the University of Pittsburgh at Johnstown and the library at St. Francis College in Loretto that now bears the Pasquerilla name. The Pasquerilla family has also generously donated to both the Pittsburgh and the Johnstown areas.

Frank believed that everyone, regardless of economic or geographic circumstances, should have access to science and the arts. His contributions stand as a testimony to that belief.

To conclude, Mr. Speaker, Frank Pasquerilla was a friend to many of us in this chamber on both sides of the aisle. He touched many of our lives in a way only he could. I urge my fellow members to vote in favor of this bill and dedicate the bridge in Johnstown the Frank J. Pasquerilla Bridge.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(PATRICIA H. VANCE) PRESIDING**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I would also like to just add to the remarks of our colleague from Cambria that Mr. Pasquerilla was an avid supporter and benefactor of the University of Notre Dame, and it would be remiss for me not to mention that here as we honor this late gentleman. So on behalf of Representative LaGrotta and Representative Browne and Representative Levdansky and myself, all graduates of the University of Notre Dame, we also would like to honor Mr. Pasquerilla today.

Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.

Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalf	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Home
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1477, PN 2177**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of September 30, 1985 (P.L.240, No.61), entitled Turnpike Organization, Extension and Toll Road Conversion Act, further providing for definitions and for functions of the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission; and providing for enforcement related to electronic toll collection.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Madam Speaker, I move that SB 1477 be recommitted to Transportation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2498, PN 4000**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 6, 1998 (P.L.705, No.92), known as the Pennsylvania Keystone Opportunity Zone Act, providing for keystone opportunity expansion zones and related matters and for authorized expenditures; further providing for additional tax exemptions, tax deductions, tax abatements and tax credits; and making a repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Baker, offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read. That amendment has been withdrawn.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Argall, wish to be recognized?
Mr. ARGALL. I do, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose would the gentleman like to be recognized?

Mr. ARGALL. To make comments on the bill as well as to ask for a rules suspension so that a technical amendment may be introduced.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Argall, wish to make a motion on suspension?

Mr. ARGALL. Yes. I would like to ask for a suspension of the rules so that a technical amendment may be considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. And the amendment number is what, please?

Mr. ARGALL. A4093.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Argall, moves that the rules of the House be suspended in order to offer amendment A0493.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-185

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti

Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsely	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wilt
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Wogan
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan, Speaker
Evans	Mann	Saylor	

NAYS-3

Hanna Krebs Steelman

NOT VOTING-1

Waters

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ARGALL offered the following amendment No. A4093:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 518), page 50, line 24, by striking out "\$650" and inserting \$750

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 518), page 50, line 26, by striking out "\$800" and inserting

\$1,000

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 518), page 50, line 28, by striking out "\$950" and inserting

\$1,250

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 518), page 50, line 30, by striking out "\$1,100" and inserting

\$1,250

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair now recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill County, Mr. Argall.

Mr. ARGALL. Currently this bill provides a tax credit for insurance companies for jobs that are located within a keystone opportunity zone equal to \$500 per job in 2001 with an increase of \$150 per year until it reaches the maximum in 2006. There is a 50-percent taxpayer's liability credit, and total credits are capped at \$1 million annually.

This amendment would merely affect the first few years of the job credit so that the maximum amount would be reached in 2004.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Eachus.

Mr. EACHUS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise in support the gentleman's amendment.

This amendment is critical to allowing financial services, insurance companies, and other organizations to enter into our KOZ zones (keystone opportunity zones) and really allow Pennsylvania to reap the benefits of the kinds of job opportunities that those companies will be investing in our communities. I could speak from experience that in the Hazleton area, we lost jobs to New York because of lack of ability to have insurance and financial services companies be invested in our KOZ zones.

So I urge the members to support the gentleman's amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to support the Argall amendment also. I agree with Representative Eachus; it is absolutely critical so that insurance companies can in fact utilize the KOZs, and I compliment Chairman Argall on his persistence on this part of the issue.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Michlovic. The gentleman waives off.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Argall, wish to be recognized for the second time?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Hasay, on the amendment.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the amendment. I think the amendment is very important. The competitiveness out there in corporate America to bring jobs to Pennsylvania is very important. This is a major step for those institutions to come to Pennsylvania and headquarter in Pennsylvania.

So please support the amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Ruffing	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sainato	Yudichak
DiGiroldo	Lynch	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Santoni	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	
Egolf	Major	Saylor	
Evans	Manderino	Schroder	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann		Speaker

NAYS—1

Metcalf

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Argall, from Schuylkill County on final passage.

Mr. ARGALL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I think it is very appropriate that we discuss this bill today, because it is 2 years ago this week that Representative Gladeck's original legislation became law to create the keystone opportunity zone. Essentially the goal was to create and expand job opportunities in deteriorating and underutilized areas all across Pennsylvania's large and small communities. Since that time we have seen 12 zones, 27,000 acres established, over 6,000 jobs created or retained. Those are much-needed jobs in many of our rural as well as our urban communities.

One of the first zones that was created that saw jobs was referenced by Representative Eachus, right on our border. Just across the line in Schuylkill County, OfficeMax has announced plans to construct a 6,000-square-foot distribution center. Those are 350 much-needed new jobs on the Schuylkill-Luzerne county line. Another 500 jobs being created at the Tremont Business Park further down the I-81 corridor in Schuylkill County; another 110 jobs now to be created at a new manufacturing facility in Representative Lucyk's district in Mahanoy Township.

What we are doing in many of these cases is taking underutilized lands — the ones that I am most familiar with look like lunar landscapes from a decade or more of coal mining in the area, jobs that left us decades ago — and now it is great to see jobs being created again on some of these sites. We have seen 960 new jobs, over \$100 million of investment in Allegheny County; 751 jobs, an investment of over \$11 million at a keystone opportunity zone in Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties, and so the total comes to 3,368 brand-new jobs, \$265 million from 70 different projects. The fact is that without this bill originally as designed by Representative Gladeck, many of these sites were destined to remain deserted and unproductive, and instead we have seen them provide some much-needed new growth.

This bill today as suggested originally by Representative Gladeck is designed to expand the keystone opportunity zones by another 18,000 acres. Some communities did not take advantage of this program originally and have been requesting through their legislators, through their Senators, through the Governor's Office, that we allow them to take part in this community rebirth. And so what we are doing is, in addition to some technical changes, we will allow 12 new keystone opportunity zones or a total of 18,000 new acres to join this program. We also require the same conditions as the original.

They would have to get the approval of their local municipality, local school district, local county commissioners.

We would also, as has been noted previously, allow banks, thrifts, and insurance companies for the first time to be considered qualified businesses eligible for tax credits if they invest and create employment opportunities in a KOZ. Many of those employment opportunities will be designed where they are most needed, in some of our older downtown sections. The need for this change was brought to our attention in the House Urban Affairs Committee by a gentleman many of us know by the name of Mr. Al Boscov, the chairman of Boscov's Department Stores. At a public hearing on HB 2498, he pointed out that it would be a major boost to revitalization efforts not just in downtown Reading but in other downtowns and other cities throughout this Commonwealth.

We also listened to Representative Marie Lederer in committee, who had suggested that in addition to the current 12-year program, that if the local municipalities would agree, that we extend the existing zones for another 3 years, because while some of these zones have caught on very quickly, others have not, and it is thought that for a variety of reasons it would be good if we at least allow the local municipalities the option to take advantage of this program.

So I would vigorously advocate a "yes" vote on this legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Michlovic, on final passage.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Will the prime sponsor of the legislation stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman agrees. You may proceed.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

In the explanation, I understand that the explanation that we have been given in the pre-session report is not entirely accurate, that it does not apply in all provisions to the current printer's number of the bill, and what concerns me in particular—Madam Speaker, I do not think the gentleman is aware that he is under question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Gladeck, have you agreed to interrogation?

Mr. GLADECK. Yes; I guess I have, but I could not hear a word he said, to be perfectly frank.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Michlovic, would you like to proceed again, please.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The explanation that we have on this side of the aisle — I understand that you may have a different one — is to an older printer's number, so I am trying to clarify some provisions that are written that you may not even have access to.

The source of my concern is, the calculation for the intangible property and including those intangible, the gains and the proceeds from the sale of intangible property, meaning stocks and bonds, and we are now including various insurance instruments in the process, are they going to be free of State sales tax? Is there going to be a severe fiscal impact upon those revenues we are currently gaining as the State — when I say "we," the Commonwealth is gaining from those kinds of sales of instruments across the Commonwealth. Will they be free from current levels of taxation if they reside in a KOZ?

Mr. GLADECK. Are you talking about insurance companies?

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Insurance companies, and we are also talking about companies that sell stocks and bonds. They are also sources of intangible property.

Mr. GLADECK. Well, according to the amendment, as I see the insurance company amendment, 4093, which amended PN 4000 of HB 2498, it seems to be pretty clear to me. Now, Representative Argall, if I am wrong, perhaps you would like to join in here at the appropriate time. I do not believe that they would be included in that. I think it is pretty straightforward as to what the jobs tax credit for insurance companies would be, and it does not mention stocks and bonds; it only mentions jobs that are in the KOZ.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Madam Speaker, the explanation that we have includes not just insurance instruments but it also includes intangible property, which would include stocks and bonds, and my question is simply this: If a stockbroker or a seller of bonds locates now on a KOZ, will they be exempt from certain State taxes that heretofore they were subject to like all other stock sellers and bond sellers across the Commonwealth, and, you know, is that the way this thing is designed? Do they get special treatment compared to people that are not located on KOZ locations?

Mr. GLADECK. I will yield to Representative Argall. It is his amendment. Go ahead.

Mr. ARGALL. I can tell you that in the weeks and months that this bill was considered by the bipartisan task force, that subject was not debated. I can tell you also that the administration has been very vigilant at making sure that we did not create such a loophole that a major amount of the State tax base would in essence be given away and that this bill is designed to have a very limited fiscal impact.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Could you simply explain then the kind of benefit that a company dealing in intangible property would have in locating on a KOZ. Maybe that is the way we ought to proceed here. What is the benefit to that company? What would they gain by locating on this KOZ?

Mr. ARGALL. As you know, banks and insurance companies pay very different kinds of taxes than other businesses located in Pennsylvania, and they were not included in the original bill, and we thought that these were the kinds of jobs that were needed in some of our most distressed downtown communities, and so those were the goals of the bill.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Are you suggesting then that the benefit that they get is a specific amount of tax credit per job?

Mr. ARGALL. Yes.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Okay. So they are not the beneficiaries of an overarching elimination of tax liability for them for the sale of various instruments.

Mr. ARGALL. That is correct. What we did not want to do, for instance, was allow someone to create a post office box in a KOZ—

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Right.

Mr. ARGALL. —and somehow, you know, eliminate all their taxes. We were looking at jobs in distressed communities, and that is why the bill was drafted the way it was.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. So they get a tax credit for a job.

Mr. ARGALL. Yes.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Okay.

Thank you, Madam Speaker. I am through with my interrogation. I have a few comments to make.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, it is no accident that we have a lot of communities that have not accepted this concept. As we debated the original legislation here on this floor, a number of us got up here and said that the problem with the legislation is that it takes too much away from those local municipalities and counties or whatever location they are in, and that was apparently true, because a number of those locations have not found it to their advantage to take advantage, quote, advantage of the KOZ designation, and I would suggest to you that it is because it was ill designed in the first place. There was too much of a giveaway prior to this location. We have really severely restricted the local municipalities in this of gaining anything outside of some jobs, and in many cases those jobs do not pay much more than a \$10 occupational privilege in a given year.

So I find it interesting now that we are coming back; we are adding additional industries and kinds of instruments to this bill that has not been thoroughly and energetically pursued by many of our municipalities. We ought to ask ourselves why, and I think that, one, my explanation is, I know I have a couple KOZ zones in my district. I have a district with a lot of former brownfield sites, steel mill sites, and those municipalities are really taking a look at this process and saying, is it really beneficial for us to get into this? Have you really given away, you know, most of the benefits that we used to look forward to? Would we not be better off trying to market the property in our community in another way?

So this legislation, however, does still give the option to the municipality to make that decision, and it is their decision to make. I will support it, but I would just note for the record here that this KOZ experiment has not been entirely successful, as evidenced by the lack of real zeal from communities across this Commonwealth to jump into this. We have taken away all their benefits for the most part, and the folks that live in the next town or the next county can come in at night and enjoy the fire safety, the police safety, and everything for 10 bucks a year, because this legislature is unwilling to raise the occupational privilege tax to those communities that have the jobs. So we are in many cases not doing them any great favors.

I hope the legislation, as the bipartisan committee, does indeed improve the situation, and I will support it, as I said. But I think the jury is still out on this whole experiment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Indiana County, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I represent one of those areas that does in fact want to become part of the new proposed keystone opportunity expansion zones. Indiana County has done a very good job of promoting the transition from, again, a coal-based economy to a diversified economy. But looking at the keystone opportunity zones, my county commissioners believe that the presence of an opportunity expansion zone in Indiana County would in fact assist us in continuing the positive developments that have been a part of our last several years' economic progress, and I appreciate the opportunity to vote in support of a bill that will provide the chance for my county to develop a

keystone opportunity expansion zone and to take advantage of that.

Parenthetically let me say that I think listening to the previous speaker, what we are looking at may be a difference in the demographics of different parts of the Commonwealth, because what we see happening with an opportunity expansion zone is development of industrial areas, potential industrial areas, that are currently underutilized. But we see that people who are living in other municipalities in the county will in all probability be driving to these areas in the opportunity zone, and at the end of the day they will drive home and they will sit down and write a check to their local municipality for their property taxes using the money that they earned on their job in the keystone opportunity expansion zone, and that is a picture that we look forward to in our rural areas, and that is why I am supporting this bill wholeheartedly.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer County, Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. GRUITZA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to support the measure.

With all due respect to one of the former speakers, you know, no program, I guess, of this magnitude may be perfect for everyone. In my district—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman be patient just a moment. We are having trouble with the mike there.

Mr. GRUITZA. To repeat what I said, in my district, my district is really a district that was custom designed for this program. We have a lot of old industrial sites that need cleaned up, and through our local development corporation there is a massive undertaking now going on to restore some of our industrial corridor and make it available for new job opportunities.

What we need to recognize here is that Pennsylvania is indeed in competition with other States in attracting some of these new job opportunities. In one case in the 7th District we had a tube mill that was being very actively courted by another community in another State for a new plant that they wanted to build, a major new facility, a new tube processing plant, and in large part because of the opportunity zone that was created in the Shenango Valley area, this business invested \$25 million, creating jobs and working capital within our zone. So we have seen a positive impact in the district, and I think that down the road we are going to continue to see benefits accrue to our district as a result of the presence of this zone.

So I laud the sponsor of this legislation and everybody who has worked on it. I think it is another tool for our economic development people to be using to attract jobs to Pennsylvania and keep jobs in Pennsylvania, which I think is the most important issue across this State still today. So I stand to support the measure, and I hope that it will pass.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I have been pushing for the last 18 months for the expansion of these zones with a piece of legislation that I put in. I certainly have supported Representative Argall's effort in this piece of legislation, and I laud Representative Gladeck for the initial piece of legislation that was put in that is law.

Many of you that have these zones within your districts probably know better that the investments have not taken place

like they could have or should have – I have one in Reading – and that is no fault on the original design. What we are trying to do with this legislation here today is to expand and improve upon that whole concept by allowing the banks and the insurance companies to invest within these zones. As an example, in the city of Reading, we have a major operations center from a bank that is going to be locating in the zone in Reading to provide approximately 1,500 jobs – good-paying jobs, I might add – and I just went to a dedication 2 weeks ago on a Friday in one of the zones that is part of the zone in Reading. A plastics industry opened up. We broke ground. Secretary McCullough was there, over 100 business executives. It is going to provide about 35 good-paying jobs, again, in the plastics industry. These are locations where nobody wanted to invest; there was no incentive, and if the local communities decide that they would forgo those taxes over this timeframe to get good jobs there, meaningful jobs, and to develop these zones for future potential use and especially opening the door for good-paying jobs, I do not see anything that is wrong with that. We have done it in many other areas of State government, and I think Representative Gladeck should be complimented on what he has initiated here and I think really basically pulling the administration and other people into this concept, helping to develop our economy in this State in some of the worst sections of our local municipalities, whether it is in the coal regions or some of the hard-pressed areas in our urban areas.

I certainly support this, and I would urge all the members to vote in favor of this. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Hasay.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, the importance of the passage of this bill is very, very important to Pennsylvania's economy. In working with companies from out of State and even some foreign companies to come into Pennsylvania, in at least my part of the Commonwealth, northeast Pennsylvania, the KOZs are the competitive edge in bringing those industries and businesses into northeast Pennsylvania. It has been a real competitive edge with the Wilkes-Barre Chamber of Commerce and other chambers of commerce in Pennsylvania in trying to secure those family-paying jobs and those good-paying jobs to come to Pennsylvania.

So, Madam Speaker, I ask for your support on HB 2498. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

First of all, I would like to thank Chairman Argall and Chairman Caltagirone and the members of the Urban Affairs Committee for their diligence in helping to develop this legislation that is before you today. I think that all of you have a right to be proud of the effort that has manifested itself in the KOZs in Pennsylvania, because, quite honestly, there are a lot of people that live in some economically deprived areas of the State that will get a significant boost in the arm by this legislation.

I think it is one of the most significant bills that we have done for urban economic development in a long, long time, because I think the goal should be and has, I think, always been, but we have never really found a way to do it, I think, until now,

to employ people through the private sector that do not have employment. Government make-work jobs programs are not the answer; I think we have all learned that, and the goal has always been to provide employment in some of those areas of the State that are economically downtrodden. And I think the KOZ program that has been established by you, by the Governor, and by the Senate, I think that this piece of legislation will build very effectively on the initial bill that passed 2 years ago.

I would like, though, for the record, in answer to Representative Michlovic, it might help a little bit if they checked page 33, section (g), where the bill specifically excludes any corporation that qualifies as a regulated investment company under Article IV of the Tax Reform Code or a holding company as defined in Article VI of the Tax Reform Code of 1971.

This is an excellent piece of legislation, and you really have a right to be proud. Hopefully it will become law before the end of November, and I think that in the long run there will be a lot of people in Pennsylvania in some areas that never had any hope before economically that will benefit by this legislation.

I urge you to support the bill. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Adolph	Fargo	Mann	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Marsico	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Flick	Mayernik	Shaner
Bard	Forcier	McCall	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McGill	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhattan	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McIlhinney	Staback
Belardi	George	McNaughton	Stairs
Belfanti	Gladeck	Melio	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vance
Colafiglia	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Wansacz
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood

DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	
Fairchild			

NAYS—1

Metcalfe

NOT VOTING—1

Waters

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. THOMAS called up **HR 595, PN 4047**, entitled:

A Resolution designating October 23 through 31, 2000, as "Red Ribbon Week."

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	George	Melio	Stairs
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gruceła	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vance

Colafiglia	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Wansacz
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann		Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Waters

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

**THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
PRESIDING**

Mr. EACHUS called up **HR 596, PN 4048**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing October 11, 2000, as "SAVE Today for Tomorrow Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Eachus, is recognized on the resolution.

Mr. EACHUS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HR 596 is before the House today. It does recognize October 11 as "SAVE (Stop America's Violence Everywhere) Today for Tomorrow Day." This effort is to elevate the consciousness of Pennsylvanians to the devastating effects of domestic violence.

Domestic violence strips our families in Pennsylvania's ability to raise their children in a way that is productive. It eliminates a woman's ability to have the kind of physical and emotional well-being to do the job as the caregiver in our society, and I urge all of us to go out into our communities and support this effort on behalf of all the families concerned about domestic violence in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

Mrs. COHEN called up **HR 601, PN 4064**, entitled:

A Resolution commending the Governor for proclaiming the month of October 2000 as "Breast Cancer Awareness Month" and October 20, 2000, as "National Mammography Day" in this Commonwealth and urging all citizens of this Commonwealth to join in its observance by renewing their awareness of breast cancer and by becoming knowledgeable about the most current medical developments regarding this tragic disease.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Mrs. Cohen.

Mrs. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to call the attention of our members to some statistics dealing with breast cancer.

In the 1970s, statistics showed that 1 in 12 women in this country would be attacked by breast cancer. In the eighties, those statistics went to 1 in 10 women; in the nineties, 1 in 8 women; and the American College of Physicians is forecasting that by the end of this decade, the first decade of the new millennium, probably 1 in 6 women would be stricken with breast cancer.

We are calling attention to these statistics and are asking women to take steps not only in terms of early detection but also for prevention. They can take some steps to prevent breast cancer, and with this becoming an epidemic, we want to call everyone's attention to it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady, Mrs. Cohen.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance

Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafrilla	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. PERZEL called up HR 604, PN 4087, entitled:

A Resolution paying tribute to the late W. Russell G. Byers on the occasion of his being named the posthumous recipient of CORA Services Incorporated's Year 2000 Service Award.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti

Callagirono	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafrilla	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

Mrs. RUBLEY called up HR 606, PN 4089, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing St. David's Church and St. Peter's Church on the occasions of their 300th anniversary.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler

Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Daitley	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

Mr. SOLOBAY called up HR 613, PN 4095, entitled:

A Resolution designating the week of November 5 through 11, 2000, as "National Radiologic Technology Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback

Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

Mr. SAYLOR called up HR 610, PN 4092, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of November 2000 as "Pancreatic Cancer Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner

Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mrs. FORCIER called up **HR 614, PN 4096**, entitled:

A Resolution declaring November 4, 2000, as "Ida Tarbell Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 586, PN 4109 (Amended) By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct an economic/actuarial study of the medical assistance program administered by the Department of Public Welfare in order to evaluate the adequacy of rates for inpatient and outpatient services provided by acute, psychiatric and rehabilitation hospitals and contributions of additional Commonwealth payments to hospitals.

RULES.

HR 598, PN 4049 By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution urging municipalities throughout this Commonwealth to adopt ordinances to prohibit persons from walking in public areas and at public occasions while carrying a snake.

RULES.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

SB 648, PN 2218 By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), entitled, as amended, Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, further providing for prize limits and for weekly drawings.

RULES.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 2431, PN 3800 By Rep. GEIST

An Act designating a portion of Kittanning Bypass in Armstrong County as the Henry Livengood Memorial Highway.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 2623, PN 4116 (Amended) By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending the act of September 30, 1985 (P.L.240, No.61), known as the Turnpike Organization, Extension and Toll Road Conversion Act, further providing for definitions and for functions of the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission; and providing for enforcement related to electronic toll collection.

TRANSPORTATION.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to **SB 648, PN 2218**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), entitled, as amended, Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, further providing for prize limits and for weekly drawings.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just so the members that are assembled here this afternoon understand the bill, this is the small-games-of-chance bill that is coming back for concurrence, and I just remind the members, very briefly, that this provides for a weekly drawing in the small-games-of-chance category. It allows for a rollover, that if there is no winner in that weekly drawing of gaming, that the dollars will continue to roll over week after week, exceeding the \$25,000 limit that members had asked be placed in the bill or that we have in the present small-games-of-chance laws. It will exceed that \$25,000 limit ad infinitum to whatever amount the weekly drawing will accrue until there is a winner.

I just have a concern that we are overstepping the boundaries for which we first fashioned small games of chance and would ask members to consider this legislation once again and vote "no." I plan to vote "no" on SB 648 that has come back on concurrence. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Raymond.

Mr. RAYMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just for the members' information, the only change in the Senate was a technical amendment dealing with conformity, bringing the weekly drawing into conformity with the daily drawing.

Technical in nature only. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—158

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Saylor
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Bard	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McGeehan	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McGill	Solobay
Belardi	Gannon	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	George	McIlhinney	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gladeck	McNaughton	Steil
Blaum	Godshall	Melio	Stetler
Boyes	Grucela	Michlovic	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Miller, R.	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Miller, S.	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Perzel	Trello

Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pesci	Trich
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Tulli
Colafrella	James	Petrone	Vance
Cornell	Josephs	Pippy	Veon
Corrigan	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Costa	Keller	Platts	Walko
Coy	Kenney	Preston	Wansacz
Curry	LaGrotta	Ramos	Washington
Dailey	Laughlin	Raymond	Waters
Daley	Lawless	Readshaw	Williams
Dally	Leh	Reinard	Wilt
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wogan
Dempsey	Levdansk	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Roebuck	Wright
DeWeese	Lynch	Ross	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Maher	Rubley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Maitland	Ruffing	Yudichak
Eachus	Major	Sainato	
Evans	Manderino	Samuelson	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-31

Armstrong	Fleagle	Masland	Smith, B.
Baker	Forcier	Metcalfe	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Geist	Mundy	Steelman
Battisto	Gordner	Phillips	Stern
Birmelin	Hanna	Rohrer	Strittmatter
Clark	Hess	Sather	Zimmerman
Clymer	Kirkland	Schroder	Zug
Egolf	Krebs	Schuler	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Home
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to House amendments were concurred in.
 Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CONDOLENCE RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER. We are about to take up a condolence resolution on the death of a former member of this House.
 The Sergeants at Arms will close the doors of the House. Members will please take their seats.
 The clerk will read the resolution.

The following resolution was read:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
 THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Anthony J. Petrosky, Sr., a former member of the House of Representatives of Pennsylvania serving the 2nd Legislative District, passed away September 24, 2000, at the age of eighty-seven; and
 WHEREAS, In 1935, Mr. Petrosky began his public career as a Salem school director. He was elected a State representative in 1940 and remained in office until 1964. While a member of the House, he was chairman of the Committee on Labor and Industry, the Committee

on Highways and the Democratic Caucus. He also served as Democratic floor leader at one time; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Petrosky played a key role in establishing the University of Pittsburgh at Greensburg and The Pennsylvania State University branch campuses. He also served as a member of the General State Authority, the State Highway and Bridge Authority and the Public School Building Authority; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania note with great sadness the passing of Anthony J. Petrosky, Sr.; extend heartfelt condolences to his wife, Sophie Petrosky; son, Anthony, Jr.; daughters, Joyce Trew, Carol Jean Vozel, Kathryn Petrosky and Beverly Kosor; six grandchildren; and four great-grandchildren; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution, sponsored by the Honorable Thomas A. Tangretti, be transmitted to Mrs. Sophie Petrosky.

Matthew J. Ryan
 Speaker of the House
 ATTEST:
 Ted Mazia
 Chief Clerk of the House

On the question,
 Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of the resolution will rise and remain standing as a mark of respect for the deceased former member. Guests will also please rise.

(Whereupon, the members of the House and all visitors stood in a moment of silence in solemn respect to the memory of the Honorable Anthony J. Petrosky, Sr.)

The SPEAKER. The resolution has been unanimously adopted.

The Sergeants at Arms will open the doors of the House.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. STRITTMATTER called up HR 619, PN 4113, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of October 29 through November 5, 2000, as "Pornography Awareness Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
 Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhatten	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson

Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGiolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR D

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Ms. MUNDY called up **HR 615, PN 4108**, entitled:

A Resolution extending deep sympathies to the congregation of Temple Ohev Sholom for the fire damages inflicted on their synagogue on Yom Kippur.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the resolution, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Mundy. The lady will yield, please.

Please, can I have the attention of the House for the lady, Ms. Mundy.
Ms. Mundy.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

House resolutions are largely symbolic, and the resolution that I have introduced with the support of so many members in the House is symbolic of our deep sadness and sympathy over the fire that occurred on Yom Kippur at Temple Ohev Sholom in Harrisburg. We do extend our deepest sympathy and our support for the congregation as it attempts to rebuild its temple.

People of faith must stand together in support of one another, and on that note I would like to mention the wonderful Lutheran church here in Harrisburg who so kindly went to great lengths to open its doors to Temple Ohev Sholom so that they could celebrate their holidays in the Lutheran space. I thought that was a wonderful act of faith.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McLhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	Mellhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGiolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The majority leader calls an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

SB 706, PN 2226

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P.L.723, No.230), entitled, as amended, Second Class County Code, providing for adoption of budgets for 2001 by counties of the second class and political subdivisions of those counties and for an operating reserve fund; further providing for payments into certain fund and for amount of retirement allowance; providing for homestead property exclusion procedure; further providing for the making of contracts; prohibiting certain provisions in contracts relating to redevelopment assistance capital projects; continuing the county jail oversight board and its powers and duties; providing for a sports and exhibition authority; further providing for certain tax relief; and making a repeal.

RULES.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR E**RESOLUTION**

Mr. O'BRIEN called up **HR 586, PN 4109**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct an economic/actuarial study of the medical assistance program administered by the Department of Public Welfare in order to evaluate the adequacy of rates for inpatient and outpatient services provided by acute, psychiatric and rehabilitation hospitals and contributions of additional Commonwealth payments to hospitals.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Trich.
Mr. TRICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I promise to make brief remarks concerning this resolution, but I think they are worth making. This issue certainly is an important one to all hospitals throughout the Commonwealth, and we must do a better job on more fairly funding those hospitals when it comes to dealing with the medical assistance patients.

There are many of us on both sides of the aisle that have been working on legislation that will begin to move that process forward. I certainly applaud the chairmen, both

Chairman O'Brien and Chairman Oliver, on this resolution. I believe that the study that will be performed from this resolution will prove very clearly that we must move legislation to correct this problem.

So again, thank you for the attention of the House, and I would urge everyone's support of this resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mrs. LAUGHLIN submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, I support adoption of this resolution, which would require the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to study the rates paid by the Medicaid program for inpatient and outpatient services.

These rates are barely higher than what was paid in 1995. A recent national study demonstrated that the Medicaid program in Pennsylvania was paying only 77 percent of the actual cost of care. These low rates, coupled with the fact that 4 years ago we eliminated health insurance coverage for nearly a quarter million poor, working Pennsylvanians, have caused irreparable harm to many of our community hospitals, especially those serving economically depressed areas.

I know firsthand the direct results of this problem.

Last week, the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center announced that it intended to shut down inpatient acute care services at the Aliquippa Center in my district. This hospital was the primary service center for over 75,000 Beaver County residents. But as Aliquippa continues to struggle economically, the hospital also suffered. Aliquippa served a significant Medicaid population, over half of the people served are on Medicare and, given the state of the economy in the community, a substantial portion of the population is uninsured. On the whole, Aliquippa hospital is dependent on payments from the government — either State or Federal — for at least three of every four insured patients that it served.

But within the next month or two, it will cease inpatient operations — a victim, in part, of low Medicaid payments. One hundred and seventy-five people stand to lose their jobs, in a community still reeling from the closure of our mills. On the same day that UPMC made its announcement, LTV announced that by selling its Tin Mill to USX, another 400 Aliquippa area residents would be out of work.

I am working with the rest of the Beaver County delegation to preserve what we can of the Aliquippa Center. We will be working with UPMC officials to ensure that the workers dislocated by this closure can find jobs elsewhere in the UPMC system. We are trying to get UPMC to agree to move other operations to Aliquippa — long-term-care services, psychiatric services, a billing center — in order to retain this facility as an economic center for the community. And we will be exploring creative ways for UPMC to maintain a commitment to improving the health of Aliquippa residents and remaining a viable economic force.

Mr. Speaker, the study envisioned by HR 586 may come too late for the Aliquippa Center. But it will not be too late for other vital community hospitals. We have to begin to address the issues that contributed to the demise of this vital institution.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Costa.

Mr. COSTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On this beautiful day in our Commonwealth, we are very fortunate that we have a busload of constituents from the 34th District to come visit the Capitol on their way to

Gettysburg. They are sitting up in the gallery with us now, and I would like to welcome them to the House. Thank you for coming.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR F

RESOLUTIONS

Ms. BARD called up HR 531, PN 3672, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing Congress to recognize that energy security is a national security issue, to recognize that oil is a powerful weapon and to develop an energy strategy that promotes alternatives to imported petroleum to meet the goal of independence from imported petroleum within five years.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. BENNINGHOFF called up HR 609, PN 4091, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing The Congress of the United States to address the systemic problems developing in the Medicare+Choice program for the purpose of stemming the exodus of HMOs participating in the program.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. Ms. Bard, you were seeking recognition on the prior resolution?

I apologize.

Ms. BARD. I could submit the remarks for the record, if that would please the Speaker.

The SPEAKER. That would please the Speaker and probably the rest of the House.

Ms. BARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I apologize though. I did not see you seeking recognition.

Ms. BARD submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This resolution asks Congress to recognize the urgency of gaining energy independence in the United States. This is a national security matter as well as an issue of economic security.

In terms of national security, the oil weapon threatens to hold U.S. decision-making hostage, particularly with regard to Israel and other Middle Eastern countries.

Our economic security, as evidenced in price spikes in home heating oil and other petroleum products, is also in jeopardy. The importance of oil prices and the availability of petroleum products to our economy have recently been reflected daily in our stumbling stock market.

Mr. Speaker, as a country we must make energy independence a top priority. I ask the House to support this resolution asking Congress to take action to achieve energy independence for the U.S. within 5 years.

CONSIDERATION OF HR 609 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGil	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McPhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalf	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. GEORGE called up HR 617, PN 4112, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the Health Care Financing Administration and health insurers withdrawing their Medicare HMO coverage in any county within Pennsylvania to take immediate steps to ensure that subscribers who live in a county that is not impacted by the insurer's withdrawal are not mistakenly dropped from their plan.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the resolution, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, even though it may not be controversial on why the resolution is adopted, the matter that it faces and serves is not only controversial but very, very urgent.

I am very proud to be a member of this House where Republicans and Democrats alike understand that there is something going on today with insurance coverage, especially for those of our seniors, and I would like to remind those that may not be aware, Mr. Speaker, that there are 90,000 seniors that are living in 38 of our counties that will be discontinued from their Medicare HMO (health maintenance organization) coverage. But beyond that, Mr. Speaker, for some reason, as it is in Clearfield County where we are not affected and Centre County is, the border across the river where the ZIP Code is identical to the ZIP Code in Centre County, even though those citizens reside in Clearfield County, they will be affected, and along with that, because of their county code for Social Security, they will be residents that will be involved and have their HMO coverage discontinued. Mr. Speaker, 90,000 to be discontinued is 90,000 too many, and 8,500 or 10,000 that will be added to this, it should not be.

So the resolution simply asks that we make the Health Care Financing Administration aware of what is going on, because they can handle this immediately, and I thank you, Mr. Speaker, and the insurance company for your interest and your concern. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance

Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR G

RESOLUTION

Ms. YOUNGBLOOD called up HR 598, PN 4049, entitled:

A Resolution urging municipalities throughout this Commonwealth to adopt ordinances to prohibit persons from walking in public areas and at public occasions while carrying a snake.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. That seems reasonable.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—150

Adolph	Frankel	McCall	Shaner
Allen	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Argall	Geist	McNaughton	Snyder
Baker	George	Melio	Solobay
Bard	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Staback
Barrar	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Battisto	Gordner	Micozzie	Steelman
Bebko-Jones	Grucela	Mundy	Steil
Belardi	Gruitza	Myers	Stetler
Blaum	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Boyes	Hanna	Oliver	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhai	Orie	Tangretti

Caltagirone	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Tigue
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pistella	Trich
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Colafella	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Veon
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Vitali
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Walko
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wansacz
Daley	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Dally	Lawless	Robinson	Waters
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Roebuck	Williams
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Wilt
Dermody	Lucyk	Rublely	Wogan
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Sainato	Wright
Donatucci	Manderino	Samuelson	Yewcic
Eachus	Mann	Santoni	Youngblood
Evans	Markosek	Sather	Yudichak
Fargo	Marsico	Saylor	
Feese	Masland	Scrimenti	Ryan,
Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel	Speaker

NAYS—39

Armstrong	Dailey	Krebs	Rohrer
Bastian	Egolf	Leh	Schroder
Belfanti	Fairchild	Maher	Schuler
Benninghoff	Fleagle	Major	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Flick	McGill	Stern
Browne	Forcier	McIlhattan	Stevenson
Bunt	Gannon	McIlhinney	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Habay	Miller, R.	Zimmerman
Clymer	Hutchinson	Miller, S.	Zug
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Nailor	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think that the appearance of that last resolution indicates that we are definitely winding or coiling down before the election, and before we rush out of here, I would like to introduce a motion that instead of scattering to our districts, that we remain in continuous session until we complete the legislative agenda, which at this point is scheduled to continue after the election for 9 session days. We have 14 working days available to us—

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman—

Ms. STEELMAN. —before October 31.

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman, at this time we are not ready to take up an adjournment motion. I wonder if you could make that motion when we have concluded the business of this day.

Ms. STEELMAN. I would be happy to, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Ms. STEELMAN. Can you give me an idea of when we expect that to be?

The SPEAKER. Follow the calendar, and when we get to the last thing, then it will be shortly thereafter. I cannot tell you exactly, but I do not expect it will be too long.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. MCGEEHAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended to permit the immediate consideration of HB 2458, together with such amendments as have been prepared for this bill.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Stern
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stetler
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Strittmatter
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Surra
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vitali
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Walko
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Wansacz
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Washington
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Waters
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wilt
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Wogan
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Rublely	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—4

Hanna	Krebs	Steelman	Steil
-------	-------	----------	-------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

A majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2458, PN 4076**, entitled:

An Act requiring dormitories in public and private institutions of higher education to conform to certain automatic sprinkler system specifications; establishing a loan program; and imposing penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A4081**:

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, by inserting between lines 7 and 8
(3) A variance approval on the basis of financial hardship shall be contingent on a certificate from the local fire marshal that the variance will not pose an immediate risk to the life of students living in the dormitory.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I wish to withdraw that amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.
The amendment, without objection, is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wilt, who offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read.

Mr. Wilt, I have three amendments of yours. Would you give us the numbers that you wish them offered.

Mr. WILT. Sure. The new numbers, Mr. Speaker, are 4091, 4092, and 4094.

The SPEAKER. And you want them considered in that order?

Mr. WILT. That will be fine, Mr. Speaker. I believe one of them is agreed to, and I believe that the one that is agreed to is 4094.

The SPEAKER. Well, we will save that for a cleanup amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **WILT** offered the following amendment No. **A4091**:

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 28, by striking out "five" and inserting

eight

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 2, by striking out "42 months" and inserting

seven years

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

One of the points that we have tried to make with the sprinkler bill is to ensure that our institutions of higher learning have an opportunity to adequately comply with the provisions of HB 2458.

This amendment simply extends the time period from the current 5 years with a 2-year extension for a total of 7 to a total of 10 years. So my amendment basically strikes out the word "five," puts in "eight," and reduces the extension — actually, it adds an extension period on top of that for a total of 10 years.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Wilt, would you send your amendments to the desk?

Mr. WILT. Sure. They are on their way, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. McGeehan, on the Wilt amendment, 4091.

Mr. MCGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the House Labor Relations Committee has conducted a number of public hearings around the State. With the leadership of Chairman Gladeck and Chairman Belfanti, we have heard testimony from fire officials, professional firefighters, volunteer firefighters, students, parents, interested parties, and the one thing they tell us, Mr. Speaker, is that time is of the essence on this bill.

We have worked closely and Representative Wilt has been a worthy advocate for small colleges and universities, but I think we have gone beyond what is reasonable to extend the timeline. The original bill had a 5-year compliance provision in there, and because of Representative Wilt's and others' concern about the impact of small colleges and universities, we went a step further and created a variance where, if there are particular circumstances in which colleges and universities could not meet that deadline, we allowed them the extension through the Department of Labor to meet those special circumstances that these colleges and universities may have.

I want to remind the members that our sister State of New Jersey has passed a similar bill, and they are requiring a 4-year compliance with no variance. This bill includes a

5-year compliance with a 2-year variance that brings it up to 7 years.

I think this committee has been reasonable in allowing for unforeseen circumstances for those universities. We have included in this bill a low-interest loan program. I think what we have done is taken Representative Wilt's and others' concerns in mind in this bill.

The bottom line in all of this is, the safety of students is of the utmost importance. I think we have been reasonable, and for that reason, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the prime sponsor of the bill, I think there are two points that need to be considered by the body before taking this vote. The first is that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has several more institutions of higher learning than does the State of New Jersey. Our concern is that there will be a tremendous demand on the contractors who will have the opportunity to bid this work, because there, frankly, are not that many who are capable of taking on this amount of work and doing it in a timely and cost-effective manner.

I think if we extend this period to a period of 10 years — we understand the spirit of the bill; we are not opposed to that — we just feel that with a little more time with the number of colleges and universities in the Commonwealth, this will give everyone a fair chance to get a fair price for the work that needs to be done.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. Belfanti, on the question.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Before making comments, I would like to ask the maker of the amendment if he will stand for a brief interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. You may proceed.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the way I read the amendment, in addition to extending the timeline, there is language in the amendment that would allow certain colleges and universities to do nothing for 7 years and then apply for a variance. Six and a half years into this program, they would not have to do anything under the amendment's language and then apply for a variance. They would not have to apply for a variance within the first 5 years as has been the language inserted by the prime sponsor. Is that correct?

Mr. WILT. We are just bumping back the 2 years to comply with the law after the original 5 years in the bill's case, and if my amendment is adopted, the first 7 years, they would have an opportunity to work an additional number of years on finishing the work. But I think the spirit of the amendment is that these institutions who do not have large endowments, who do not have a lot of money, extra money available for capital improvements, it takes a 3- to 5-year planning process, Mr. Speaker, in order to be ready to have these plans approved by the board and the plans approved by an architect so they can get a handle on what the costs are, and I think what we are doing is giving them more time to go through that process, because to enact a bill this year and expect the work to be done in 5 years I think is unreasonable.

Mr. BELFANTI. I am going to repeat just a part of that question then. Currently the bill as drafted requires that a

college or university would have to apply for a variance for an extended period of time within the first 3 1/2 years of the act. Under the amendment, that is extended to 7 years before they even have to apply for a variance. Am I reading the amendment correctly that in fact doubles the time from a 3-1/2 year period to a 7-year period where no action needs to be taken at all?

Mr. WILT. Mr. Speaker, the way this amendment reads is within a year of the termination of the original period of time, which in my bill would be 8 years. So within 7 years, if this amendment is adopted, they would have to apply for the variance. If this amendment is not adopted, then under the bill it would be 3 1/2 years. So we are just moving that period for applying for the variance back to comply with the 1 year that would be remaining on the original timeframe.

I hope I am making myself clear, but it just moves it back the same amount of time that we are moving the extension back or the opportunity for the extension back.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have concluded my interrogation and would like to comment on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman did in fact answer the question. The amendment does a couple of things, one of which is move the effective compliance date from 5 to 7 years, but also in the case of a variance where the maker of the bill, Mr. McGeehan, allowed for, at the behest of the gentleman who is offering the amendment, an extra 2 years to apply for a variance, actually to apply for a variance within the first 3 1/2 years, because by then you know if you need one — if you cannot afford it at the moment, if there is retrofitting already on your schedule and for whatever reasons, perhaps the inability to contact or contract with a contractor to be able to do this. So that extension was given from 5 to 7 years, but a key ingredient was that you had 3 1/2 years from the passage and signing of this bill into law before you would have to apply for that variance.

This particular amendment by Mr. Wilt, which I am not going to support, changes that 3 1/2 years to 7 years. During that period of time, that 7 years, the college or university, the dormitory, fraternities, sororities, would not have to take any action whatsoever, and the last day of that 7 years they would then be eligible to apply for a variance, pushing back yet again the effective date of the retrofitting another 2 years.

Mr. Speaker, there is a lot of money placed in this bill that will have to be added to next year's budget so that this revolving loan fund can become a reality, but we cannot afford another tragedy in this Commonwealth as a result of delaying things. We talked to the contractors; we talked to the building tradesmen. We have been assured by them and the State fire safety bureau that 5 years is a reasonable time; 7 years is a very, very reasonable period of time for all of the kids in this Commonwealth both in public and private schools to have their lives protected. Sprinkler systems are the only way to do that. Smoke detectors do not work. They are disconnected; they are played with. Fire alarms are ignored because kids come in after a party, they set them off, and when there is a real fire, people do not pay any attention to them.

So I am asking the members of this General Assembly to look at how prudently this original bill before us has been crafted and reject this particular amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendment.

I think it is clear to everyone that 10 years to comply with the provisions of this legislation is simply too long. We are talking about sprinklers in dormitories. It is a shame that we even need this legislation to require our institutions to install them. They should have been already installed.

I think the gentleman, Mr. McGeehan's legislation is well thought out. It cooperates and gives a certain length of time for our institutions to comply, and extending it to 10 years I think guts it.

I ask the members to vote "no." Let us install these sprinkler systems. The kids of Pennsylvania deserve it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Solobay.

Mr. SOLOBAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise in opposition of the amendment.

As a firefighter in the Commonwealth, I have too often seen the devastation that has occurred because of fire, and waiting the extra time to allow for the schools to delay the installation of these sprinklers is to do nothing but cause tragedies, as has been made comment to already earlier today. It is no fun loading our college youth into a body bag to remove them from a building because it has not been adequately protected.

So time is of the essence. It is very important for us to move this along, and I would ask for a "no" vote on the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Labor Relations Committee, when we considered this bill, I think was pretty careful in our deliberations as to how we ultimately reported it out of committee.

And while I certainly sympathize with Representative Wilt and understand that there may be some colleges that may have difficulty implementing this within the 5-year time period, I think that if you weigh both sides of the equation, I think that the bill is satisfactory the way that it is.

I personally am going to vote against the amendment. You have to decide how you want to vote, but I think that there are enough safeguards contained in the legislation that would require the colleges and universities to implement the sprinkling systems in a reasonable period of time. If in fact there is some other information that comes to the fore before we get this back, then the Senate, I am sure, could consider that, but as far as I am concerned, I have not gotten anything from any of the colleges indicating that they do not agree with the timeframe that is in this particular piece of legislation. I think that if you extend it to 10 years, then you are going to simply extend the possibility that a college could have to wait until the very end of the 10-year time period to implement the requirements of the legislation, and I think that is a little bit too long.

So I would say that I will vote against it, and I think that you have to decide how you want to vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise in opposition to this amendment.

I participated in the debate in the committee, Labor Relations Committee. Hindsight is 20/20, and quite honestly,

Mr. Speaker, if we see one more fire on a college campus in Pennsylvania where there is a loss of life, we will be, if we in essence add this amendment to this bill and this bill becomes law, we will be tripping over one another running up to the Reference Bureau trying to delete this portion out of this legislation. It makes no sense to me other than the matter of dollars and cents to the universities and colleges, to the private housing that is accepted by the university as student housing, and to the private dormitories and fraternities. It is a matter of dollars, and I cannot think of any reason why we could place dollars on a student's life that may be affected by the enactment of this legislation.

I am sure Mr. Wilt's efforts are well placed. I am not here to offend him. I am here to just support and enhance the enabling enactment of this legislation, and I ask for a negative vote on this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—27

Armstrong	Dempsey	LaGrotta	Smith, B.
Bastian	Egolf	Lawless	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Fairchild	Maitland	Tulli
Birmelin	Feese	McIlhattan	Wilt
Carn	Forcier	Metcalfe	Wright
Clark	Godshall	Nickol	Yewcic
Daley	Hutchinson	Rohrer	

NAYS—162

Adolph	Flick	Mayemik	Schuler
Allen	Frankel	McCall	Scrimenti
Argall	Freeman	McGeehan	Semmel
Baker	Gannon	McGill	Shaner
Bard	Geist	McIlhinney	Snyder
Barrar	George	McNaughton	Solobay
Battisto	Gladeck	Melio	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Gordner	Michlovic	Stairs
Belardi	Grucela	Micozzie	Steelman
Belfanti	Gruitza	Miller, R.	Steil
Blaum	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Haluska	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Hanna	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Harhai	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Harhart	Oliver	Sturla
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Surra
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tangretti
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hess	Petrarca	Taylor, J.
Civera	Horsy	Petrone	Thomas
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Tigue
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pippy	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Pistella	Trello
Colafella	Kaiser	Platts	Trich
Cornell	Keller	Preston	Vance
Corrigan	Kenny	Ramos	Veon
Costa	Kirkland	Raymond	Vitali
Coy	Krebs	Readshaw	Walko
Curry	Laughlin	Reinard	Wansacz
Daley	Leh	Rieger	Washington
Dally	Lescovitz	Robinson	Waters
DeLuca	Levdansky	Roebuck	Williams
Dermody	Lucyk	Ross	Wogan
DeWeese	Lynch	Rubley	Wojnaroski
DiGirolamo	Maher	Ruffing	Youngblood
Donatucci	Major	Sainato	Yudichak

Eachus	Manderino	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Evans	Mann	Santoni	Zug
Fargo	Markosek	Sather	
Fichter	Marsico	Saylor	Ryan,
Fleagle	Masland	Schroder	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wilt, who offers — give me the amendment number, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. 4092, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. —which the clerk will now read.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. WILT offered the following amendment No. A4092:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 6, by inserting after "education."
The term does not include a single story building which is equipped with automatic fire alarm systems, means of egress and other nonsprinkler fire protection methods approved by the department. For purposes of this definition, a basement shall be considered to be a story.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of that amendment, Mr. Wilt is recognized.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, this amendment would simply exempt a single-story building which is equipped with automatic fire alarm systems, means of egress, and other nonsprinkler fire protection that has been approved by the local fire department as fire safe; it is a single story, and not one of the instances that we have heard about or reviewed across the country has involved a single-story structure, and many colleges and universities own these single-story structures. With enrollments on the rise, they have had to convert them from offices to residence halls. We are not talking about a building with a basement. It is single story on slab, and the cost to retrofit a sprinkler system into many of these buildings is very expensive, and I do not think that our fire service people have a problem with a single-story structure not being sprinklered.

I would encourage an affirmative vote on amendment 4092.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want to disagree again with my friend, and I know he has the best intentions, but it contradicts all the testimony we have heard in every single venue where we have held public hearings.

I appreciate my friend, Representative Solobay, for identifying himself as a volunteer firefighter, because it is not me and it is not the committee members; it is not the chairmen telling you how important this is, not only for highrises but for single-story buildings. Every single professional firefighter who appeared before that committee, without exception, said fire alarms do not do the job, smoke detectors do not do a job. They warn you of fires, and as Chairman Belfanti said, in many instances in these college atmospheres, they are disabled or pulled so many times in false alarms that people do not pay attention.

Only, only sprinkler systems put out fires, and whether you live in a nine-story dormitory or whether you live in a one-floor bungalow, fire is fire, and the only way to adequately protect college students is to install sprinklers, whether they live, again, in those nine-story highrises or whether they live in one-story bungalows.

You know, Mr. Speaker, we heard testimony from experts, and I ask you the question that was asked of this committee: If your jacket was on fire, would you want me to tell you or would you want me to throw a glass of water on you to put it out?

Whether these one-story dwellings have smoke detectors and smoke alarms, that is not enough. The people at Seton Hall where three students died last year had smoke alarms and smoke detectors; the people at Bloomsburg had smoke alarms and smoke detectors; the people at Chapel Hill at the University of North Carolina had smoke detectors and smoke alarms. Only, only sprinklers put out fires. The statistic that is most telling, Mr. Speaker: There has never, ever been a multiple loss of life in any building that has a professionally installed, professionally maintained sprinkler system.

Mr. Speaker, we have an obligation not only to protect the people who live in multistory highrises; we have that same obligation to protect those students who live in one-floor buildings, that live in off-campus housing, that live in our fraternities and sororities, and I ask you to reject this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise in opposition to the Wilt amendment on single-story dwellings, and 3 or 4 months ago when we started this process in committee, this was one of the amendments that I likely would have supported because of the cost factor and the small college issue. Before all of the facts came out, I really was leaning toward supporting this, but during the course of these many months and after listening to all of the experts on the issue, there are a few very sound reasons to reject this amendment.

Number one, on the cost. The cost of sprinkling a single-story building is far less than a multiple-story building. They are the cheapest, if you will, buildings to have retrofitted for surface-mounted sprinklers. The average cost per student per semester to sprinkle an entire building, four stories, is about \$28 per semester per student. That is if we did not even have a loan program; that would be the cost in a tuition increase on

average in this State. That is the cost of two pizza pies — 28 bucks a semester. But beyond that, when you are talking about a single-story building, that cost is dramatically reduced. It is probably down to \$15 per semester per student to do those single-story buildings. That is item number one.

Item number two was an issue that was brought to me by a constituent about a month ago. Shortly after his daughter began attending one of the Penn State satellite branch campuses, his daughter came home complaining of constant and chronic headaches, as did many other girls that were inhabiting a female dormitory owned by one of the Penn State campuses, and it had been tested for carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, machines were put in, and finally, after many weeks of these girls paying for housing on campus but commuting home because they could not sleep without becoming nauseated so they were commuting back and forth to the university, during the course of that discussion and dialogue with Penn State, I was informed that the room, the two-bed room, that these girls were housed in used to be a storage room. It does not have windows in it; it could not be artificially ventilated, and not that you would want to do that in the winter, but there was not even a way to ventilate the room to induce oxygen to lower whatever the fumes were that were causing these girls to be ill.

So it just points out, Mr. Speaker, that many of these universities and colleges were built for 200 students, the dorms might have been built for 200, and now they have bunkbeds in them. Now they have 300 and 400 and 500 students living in dorms that were built for 200 students; they have bunkbed on top of bunkbed; they have computers all over the place, hot plates, you name it.

There is no reason, especially when we are looking at the cost, there is no reason that we should exclude single-story buildings. It may be that the Department of Labor and Industry may wish to list those buildings when they promulgate the regulations as second-tier or third-tier buildings to be retrofitted, because we are going to allow the department to establish the regulations on how to prioritize the retrofitting, and I would rather the department do that than us do it statutorily by simply exempting a single-story building and then have a fatality because there were two or three girls living in a converted closet that was converted into a bedroom.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Solobay.

Mr. SOLOBAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again I have to rise in opposition to the amendment of my friend and colleague.

Again I will take back my 22 years of experience. Whether it be a high-rise situation or whether it be a one-story situation, I have seen the side effects from both sprinklered and nonsprinklered buildings, and there is no question, sure it saves materials and it saves stuff and it protects the property, but it also saves lives, whether you are in a one-story building, as we had last week in the trailer out in front of the fountain. It gave everybody the opportunity to see just how effective a sprinkler can be. And again, whether it is in that one-story slab apartment or a 10-story dormitory apartment, there is still no accounting for what a sprinkler can do in a room whenever it is activated and if there is a fire that occurs.

So again I ask you to vote against this amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, I appreciate the comments that are made in opposition to this amendment. However, being in the banking business and financing many commercial properties as well as working for several contractors, I certainly would take issue with some of the cost figures that have been bandied about about what this costs to retrofit these buildings with sprinklers.

And I would also remind the members that this amendment really addresses an issue within the bill that last session or earlier this session we worked very hard on the statewide building code. The building owners and contractors association, known as BOCA (Building Officials and Code Administrators International, Inc.), weighed in heavily on that issue, and there is nothing in the BOCA Code that requires any single-story structure used for commercial or residential purposes to be sprinklered. I think this bill goes well in excess of what BOCA says. I appreciate very much so, as a trustee of a small college, the risk that we take in putting people in small confines, as Representative Belfanti said. I think that is an issue that can be dealt with.

I would hope that the members really weigh the cost versus the benefit when they consider an affirmative vote on amendment 4092. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I will not belabor this matter. I would just like to, because I am in a good mood today, to say that this body is a very unique body. We all come from different walks of life and have different experiences, and through the years many of us have become jacks-of-all-trades and masters of none. I just listened to a fine young man over there who is a banker, and all my life, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to be a banker, but instead of a banker, Mr. Speaker, I was a sprinkler fitter working for the Grinnell company out of Philadelphia. Some of these guys, Mr. Speaker, when I was a sprinkler fitter were just a gleam in their daddy's eye, because that was 1949.

We all mention and we continue to mention cost. Well, it is our job to make a determination of whether the preciousness of life is worth the cost; if the preciousness of life is worth the cost. Now, if that banker was going to sell me a home, he would make me do a lot of things I do not want to do, but let us remind that banker that the minute you put the sprinklers in, your insurance goes down about 40 percent.

I think that we should understand that this bill is not unique but it is important, and we have to go against this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—24

Armstrong	Dailey	Hutchinson	Rubley
Bastian	Dally	Leh	Stairs
Benninghoff	Dempsey	Maher	Taylor, J.
Birmelin	Egolf	McIlhattan	Tulli
Boyes	Feese	Metcalf	Wilt
Clark	Forcier	Rohrer	Zimmerman

NAYS—165

Adolph	Frankel	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Allen	Freeman	McCall	Semmel
Argall	Gannon	McGeehan	Shaner
Baker	Geist	McGill	Smith, B.
Bard	George	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gladeck	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Godshall	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gordner	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	Grucela	Micozzie	Steelman
Belfanti	Gruitza	Miller, R.	Steil
Blaum	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Browne	Haluska	Mundy	Stetler
Bunt	Hanna	Myers	Stevenson
Butkovitz	Harhai	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Harhart	Nickol	Sturla
Carn	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Casorio	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hess	Pesci	Thomas
Civera	Horsey	Petrarca	Tigue
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Travaglio
Cohen, L. I.	James	Phillips	Trello
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Pippy	Trich
Colafella	Kaiser	Pistella	Vance
Cornell	Keller	Platts	Veon
Corrigan	Kenney	Preston	Vitali
Costa	Kirkland	Ramos	Walko
Coy	Krebs	Raymond	Wansacz
Curry	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Washington
Daley	Laughlin	Reinard	Waters
DeLuca	Lawless	Rieger	Williams
Dermody	Lescovitz	Robinson	Wogan
DeWeese	Levdansky	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ross	Wright
Donatucci	Lynch	Ruffing	Yewcic
Eachus	Maitland	Sainato	Youngblood
Evans	Major	Samuelson	Yudichak
Fairchild	Manderino	Santoni	Zug
Fargo	Mann	Sather	
Fichter	Markosek	Saylor	
Fleagle	Marsico	Schroder	Ryan,
Flick	Masland	Schuler	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. WILT offered the following amendment No. A4094:

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 7, by inserting after "entity."
The unavailability of loan money under section 4 for an affected entity shall be deemed a reason for noncompliance which is outside the control of the entity if the entity has applied, and been found qualified, for a loan under section 4.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Wilt.
Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment came up in our discussion at our Labor Relations Committee, and I think it gets right to the core of how these sprinkler systems are going to be financed by all of our institutions of higher learning.

What this amendment says is that if the amount of money — I see now it is not \$100 million; it is \$125 million that we have to come up with in our budget next year to pay for this — but if that loan money is oversubscribed or not available when an institution is ready to make application to the loan fund to install the sprinklers, that at that point the clock has stopped in essence; that once the loan fund is maxed out at \$125 million, any institution that has not put the sprinklers in will not be forced to go out and get private financing or do bond financing in order to do this, that everybody should have an opportunity to take advantage of the low-interest loan program. This amendment simply says that if the loan program is oversubscribed, that the clock stops until money becomes available so schools that have not complied have the opportunity to draw down the 2-percent loan money and comply with the law.

The SPEAKER. Mr. McGeehan, on the question.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Representative Wilt has been a strongly consistent advocate for our colleges and universities, particularly our smaller colleges and universities.

This amendment makes sense, and I believe it is agreed to. I ask for a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to amendment, and I would urge all of us to support it. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Adolph	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Fleagle	Mayermik	Semmel
Armstrong	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Baker	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bard	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Battisto	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Bebko-Jones	George	Melio	Stairs
Belardi	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steelman
Belfanti	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Benninghoff	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Birmelin	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vance
Colafella	Kaiser	Platts	Veon

Cornell	Keller	Preston	Vitali
Corrigan	Kenney	Ramos	Walko
Costa	Kirkland	Raymond	Wansacz
Coy	Krebs	Readshaw	Washington
Curry	LaGrotta	Reinard	Waters
Dailey	Laughlin	Rieger	Williams
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Dally	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dempsey	Levdanskya	Ross	Wright
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Yewcic
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Eachus	Major	Santoni	Zug
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker
Fargo			

NAYS-2

Blaum	Josephs
-------	---------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-11

Barley	Cappabianca	O'Brien	True
Bishop	Hershey	Roberts	Van Horne
Buxton	Lederer	Rooney	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Mr. Coy, on the question of final passage.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, first and foremost, I want to congratulate Representative McGeehan for taking this matter to heart and making it a cause that he has brought before all of us.

I think we all have to realize our responsibility in this regard, and Representative McGeehan has brought it squarely in front of us where it belongs. I think all of us, however, have to realize, especially as far as the State System of Higher Education and the buildings that are owned by the Commonwealth, that it is our responsibility. I think we need to be prepared in the future if the amount of money that has been suggested and appropriated is not enough to go further and to make sure that it is enough. I do not think that any student in Pennsylvania ought to have to pay more tuition dollars to guarantee their safety.

So as we begin this program, and begin it we must, we are setting an amount of money aside, and at best it is an estimate, because we really do not have a real tight figure on what these costs may be. The availability of contractors has been mentioned, and all of these items, but the truth is that we may

not be providing enough dollars. But whether you have a student as a constituent, a family member, or whatever the connection, and whether that student attends a private or a public college and university in Pennsylvania, they deserve to know that they are living in a safe environment. Parents deserve to know that their children are living in a safe environment. And as Representative McGeehan and Representative Belfanti said so well, it does not matter whether it is a single-story building or a four- or five-story building dormitory that we have on many of our campuses, the matter of safety, the matter of the fundamental right to know – and I believe it is a right today – to know that we are using the best technology we have to guarantee safety of students and their lives, is fundamental.

So my purpose in speaking today is to say that I do not know whether we are appropriating enough money, but I think all of us have to be willing to accept this responsibility, even in the future if it means appropriating more dollars to the State System of Higher Education, to our State-related universities, or wherever, to make sure the job that Representative McGeehan has started today gets done.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate the architect and all those who assisted him in fashioning this very necessary piece of legislation. I also want to thank the sprinkler fitter for bringing his wisdom and insight to this legislative prescription, but, Mr. Speaker, I just want the record to reflect the race is not won by him or her who runs the fastest, but the race will only be won by those who are willing to hang in there and cross the finish line.

And so in conjunction with the last speaker, I just want the record to reflect that as we take this affirmative step, the step must be accompanied with the commitment and with the sincerity to do what is necessary to make this happen. We cannot go out there now and then next year talk about we do not have the money or it is not timely to spend what is called for in this legislative prescription. The preservation of life will hang in balance if we are not sincere and committed to do what is necessary to make sure that this happens and recognize that we have some schools that are in better financial positions than others and that we have some schools that are literally standing on one leg and might require more assistance than what has been provided for in this bill.

And so I only ask us from both sides of the aisle that as we take this affirmative step, that we bring with it the sincerity and the commitment to go all the way and cross the finish line until there are no public or private schools in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that do not provide an environment which is safe and an environment that provides some assurances of our sincerity about the preservation of life, and to that end I urge each and every member to support overwhelmingly HB 2458. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Elk County, Mr. Surra.

Mr. SURRA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support HB 2458, and I want to congratulate Representative Michael McGeehan for really showing some strong leadership in pushing this issue forward. Also, I think a pat on the back should go out to

Chairmen Gladeck and Belfanti and all the members of the House Labor Relations Committee.

You know, we do a lot of work in this chamber, and lately we have not been using our committee system, but this is an example of how our committee system can work. We put a lot of time, took in a lot of input, and drafted a very good piece of legislation, Mr. Speaker, that will provide safe housing for all of Pennsylvania's college students. I think when the parents of our students drop their kids off in college, they should not have to worry that they are going to be burned up in some fire and should not have to worry that some night at 3 in the morning they get that tragic phone call about there has been an accident. It is something that we can prevent. We are being proactive, and we are going to make Pennsylvania's universities and colleges some of the safest in the nation, and I just want to congratulate everybody that worked on this effort and ask for your support. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Centre, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and likewise, I would like to join the speakers previously who are in support of this legislation.

As one who represents the largest campus in the Commonwealth at University Park at Penn State, I assure you that this legislation is one that is very much interested upon by Penn State University officials as well as the student leadership and student body.

Without any doubt, the local elected officials, Penn State officials, and community leaders at State College and University Park recognize that when parents send their boys and girls off to college, that they expect that those university officials and the community at large are going to provide for the necessary protection to make sure that they are safe and secure where they are studying, where they are living, where they recreate, and especially when they are asleep at night. That is why this legislation is so important, Mr. Speaker.

Last Thursday I addressed the members of the Beta Theta Pi fraternity at Penn State University's campus and described the effectiveness of this bill and how it affects them. They were very much supportive, but more so it was described at that time that Penn State University has over 50 fraternities. They are very grateful that the fraternities are included within this legislation but even more grateful that the 2-percent low-interest loan program is also a means that they can tap into to make the moneys and financing available for them to install these sprinkler systems.

I would also like to thank the Appropriations Committee for having the wherewithal that after the fraternities and sororities were included in this legislation, that the amount of money has been increased from \$100 million to \$125 million to assure that there are necessary funds to be distributed throughout the Commonwealth.

But I think if there is anything that can be said today, Mr. Speaker, about the need for this legislation is that with over 50 fraternities at Penn State University, only 9 of those fraternities are sprinklered and have those effective sprinkler systems. One of these fraternities had a fire this past year or in recent years and the sprinklers did douse the flames and all the lives were saved, but what would have happened if it had been one of the other fraternities that did not have the sprinklers? Studies almost fully show that you are 50 percent more likely to

be saved in a fire by an effective sprinkler system than one without.

The loss of human life is indescribable. There is no monetary value that could be placed on the human life, especially ones who are youngsters, our youth, the people who are going to be taking over our businesses and being in our workforce. There is no way to describe to a parent how the loss of life happened when it could be prevented by meaningful legislation such as HB 2458, and I encourage its affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Yudichak.

Mr. YUDICHAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of HB 2458.

I also rise to offer my appreciation to the primary sponsor of the bill, Mr. McGeehan, to Chairman Belfanti, Chairman Gladeck for moving the bill out of the Labor Relations Committee.

I have a unique perspective on this legislation, because I believe the genesis of this bill and the move to put sprinkler systems in our dormitories was the fires at Seton Hall University at Boland Hall, the dormitory that houses the freshmen at Seton Hall University. In my freshman year of college, I stayed at Boland Hall. I walked down the same corridors and hallways that those students that lost their lives walked down, and I think it is very important to note that when my parents sent me off to South Orange, New Jersey, and to Seton Hall University, they were more concerned about my whereabouts when I was outside the walls of the university and happened to go to Newark, New Jersey, because they felt that I would be a lot safer in the dormitories. Never did it enter in their minds that I would not be safe in that dormitory. Well, those children were not safe.

An interesting statistic that was brought out during this debate was that no loss of life in a multistory building has ever happened where there was a sprinkler system. The parents of those students who lost their lives in Seton Hall in South Orange, New Jersey, would love to have that statistic on their side. This piece of legislation will put that statistic on the side of Pennsylvania's parents, and we need to do that.

Again I offer my appreciation and support to Representative McGeehan and HB 2458. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. McGeehan.

Mr. McGEEHAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want to follow up Representative Surra's acknowledgment of the hard work of Chairman Gladeck and Chairman Belfanti. If you would have asked me 10 years ago as a Democratic member of the House Labor Relations Committee would I miss Joe Gladeck's departure, I would tell you no. Today I think he will be sadly missed. I want to thank the members of the committee for their hard work and the excellent staffs, both the Republican and Democrat staff members of the Labor Relations Committee.

It is appropriate that we take up this bill, Mr. Speaker, during Fire Prevention Month. Every year on college campuses 1,800 reported fires occur. In our testimony that we had solicited, we heard that there is no central repository for fires, so we really do not know how many fires occur on college campuses. You can look, though, to the example that Representative Yudichak pointed to where at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, in 1996 five students were killed in

a fire. Closer to home in 1999 in Seton Hall three students were killed in a fire, and right here in Pennsylvania in a 5-year period eight students died in facilities that did not have automatic sprinkler systems.

The evidence that sprinklers are needed comes from as many fields as provided testimony — from firefighters, from the fraternity and sorority systems, as Representative Lynn Herman so well pointed out, from parents and students — and we do not need a road map for what to do. New Jersey has set it out. When the fire, the tragic fire, occurred at Seton Hall, the New Jersey legislature, the House and the Senate, and the Governor passed and signed into law in 6 months a bill that required sprinklers in every single one of their colleges and universities in a 4-year period. Parents should be worried not only about the academic record of a university or their athletic record but their safety record as well.

The bottom line in all of this, Mr. Speaker, we require sprinklers in prisons to protect prisoners; we require sprinklers in department stores to provide protection for merchandise; many people install sprinklers on their lawns to prevent their grass from being burned, and yet if you look up at the rotunda, we require sprinklers in this building to protect us who are sitting here right now. We have a moral obligation, Mr. Speaker, to protect our young people who go to our colleges and universities.

Thank you for your attention, and I ask for a “yes” vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be very brief. I would like to also congratulate the maker of the bill and my staff as well as Chairman Gladeck’s staff for working so hard on this in conducting the public hearings across this Commonwealth.

The one final note that I would like to add to my previous comments again gets back to cost. If there is any argument by any group that we have heard, however trivial it is, it has always been about the cost and the cost-benefit ratio, and I think that members here can put that out of their head, because much of the data, much of the discussion that was only heard in the committee meetings was not talked about today but one or two points were. I think Representative George mentioned that the cost of insurance is reduced somewhere between 30 and 40 percent immediately after a building is sprinklered.

Mr. Speaker, if people are borrowing at 2 percent and they are allowed a 15-year amortization to repay that loan back, in 5 years from now they are already paying back money that has been deflated; they are deflated dollars. But in addition to that, in my opinion, the cost savings to the universities who can renegotiate their insurance premiums may well pay for the loan repayment right there. It may not be an additional cost to any student at any college, whether it be private or public, simply because 2 percent is money I would borrow any day of the week if it were made available to me, and let me take 15 years to pay it back and cut my insurance premium 40 percent. It is a no-brainer.

I would ask everyone to vote “yes” for this great bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, very quickly, I keep hearing about cost. Mr. Speaker, we do not need to remind each other the purpose of a sprinkler. It does not prevent a fire; it stops a

fire from engulfing. The intensity of some little fire hitting that sprinkler melts that head and brings the water down on that fire.

We will always be receptive to a fire starting by accident or carelessness or neglect, but when we talk about cost — the cost of a life, the cost of the replacement of the building — truly if there is a cost, it will be much greater if we do not pass this bill. I would hope there would not be one negative vote against this bill, Mr. Speaker; no one. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thanks.

Mr. Speaker, I also want to say to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, Representative Belfanti and his staff, thank you for the work you did on this bill. I would like to say thanks to Representative McGeehan. He has done a really good job with it — exceptional, in fact.

I think we all know the value of this. I would urge all of us to support it. I would like to say thanks to my staff as well. I mean, everybody did a great job on the bill, and please, let us just vote for it. Thanks.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The Chair returns to leaves of absence and notes the presence on the floor of the House of the gentleman, Mr. Barley, and the gentleman, Mr. Rooney. They shall be added to the master roll call and be permitted to vote.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2458 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steelman
Belfanti	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Veon
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Vitali

Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Wansacz
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Waters
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	
Egolf	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS-1

Metcalfe

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-9

Bishop	Hershey	O'Brien	True
Buxton	Lederer	Roberts	Van Horne
Cappabianca			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned HB 1140, PN 4085; HB 1604, PN 4070; and HB 2200, PN 4003, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who calls for an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee.

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 1140, PN 4085 By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P.L.323, No.130), known as The County Code, further providing for authority to sell or lease real property; and providing for alternative authority for third class county convention center authorities.

RULES.

HB 2200, PN 4003

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1983 (P.L.306, No.84), known as the Board of Vehicles Act, further defining "franchise"; and further providing for powers and duties of the State Board of Vehicle Manufacturers, Dealers and Salespersons, for protest hearing decision within 120 days unless waived by the parties, for reimbursement for all parts and service required by the manufacturer or distributor, for reimbursement audits, for unlawful acts by manufacturers or distributors, for restriction of manufacturer invoking a right of first refusal and for limitations on establishing or relocating dealers; and making editorial changes.

RULES.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR H

BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to SB 706, PN 2226, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P.L.723, No.230), entitled, as amended, Second Class County Code, providing for adoption of budgets for 2001 by counties of the second class and political subdivisions of those counties and for an operating reserve fund; further providing for payments into certain fund and for amount of retirement allowance; providing for homestead property exclusion procedure; further providing for the making of contracts; prohibiting certain provisions in contracts relating to redevelopment assistance capital projects; continuing the county jail oversight board and its powers and duties; providing for a sports and exhibition authority; further providing for certain tax relief; and making a repeal.

On the question, Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-188

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	McIlhinney	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	George	Melio	Steil
Belfanti	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Stern
Benninghoff	Godshall	Michlovic	Stetler
Birmelin	Gordner	Micozzie	Stevenson
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, R.	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Surra
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Casorio	Hasay	Oric	Tigue
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio

Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vitali
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Walko
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Wansacz
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Washington
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Waters
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright
DeLuca	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Maher	Santoni	
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	
Egolf	Major	Saylor	Ryan,
Evans	Manderino		Speaker

NAYS—3

Robinson	Samuelson	Steelman
----------	-----------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Bishop	Hershey	O'Brien	True
Buxton	Lederer	Roberts	Van Horne
Cappabianca			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to House amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR I

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1140, PN 4085**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P.L.323, No.130), known as The County Code, further providing for authority to sell or lease real property; and providing for alternative authority for third class county convention center authorities.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel

Baker	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Battisto	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Bebko-Jones	George	Melio	Stairs
Belardi	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steelman
Belfanti	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Benninghoff	Gordner	Micozzie	Stem
Birmelin	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Colafella	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Kenney	Raymond	Wansacz
Costa	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Krebs	Reinard	Waters
Curry	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Bishop	Hershey	O'Brien	True
Buxton	Lederer	Roberts	Van Horne
Cappabianca			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR J

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 2200, PN 4003**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1983 (P.L.306, No.84), known as the Board of Vehicles Act, further defining "franchise"; and further providing for powers and duties of the State Board of

Vehicle Manufacturers, Dealers and Salespersons, for protest hearing decision within 120 days unless waived by the parties, for reimbursement for all parts and service required by the manufacturer or distributor, for reimbursement audits, for unlawful acts by manufacturers or distributors, for restriction of manufacturer invoking a right of first refusal and for limitations on establishing or relocating dealers; and making editorial changes.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Battisto	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Bebko-Jones	George	Melio	Stairs
Belardi	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Steelman
Belfanti	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Benninghoff	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Birmelin	Gruccela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Colafella	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Kenney	Raymond	Wansacz
Costa	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Krebs	Reinard	Waters
Curry	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Eachus	Major	Santoni	
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Evans	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—9

Bishop	Hershey	O'Brien	True
Buxton	Lederer	Roberts	Van Horne
Cappabianca			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILLS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1473, PN 3704; HB 2209, PN 3528; and HB 2481, PN 3385**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GEORGE. I voted on that last bill, and I am wondering whether I was in my right to vote since my family is in the automobile business.

The SPEAKER. Yes; you are permitted to vote. You are a member of a class. It was not a piece of legislation specific to you. You are perfectly in your right and had an obligation to vote.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 2198, PN 4118 (Amended) By Rep. MICOZZIE

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.789, No.285), known as The Insurance Department Act of 1921, further providing for certificates of authority to do business.

INSURANCE.

HB 2749, PN 4119 (Amended) By Rep. CLYMER

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Snyder County certain lands situate in Penn Township.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HB 2750, PN 3931 By Rep. CLYMER

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to convey a tract of land in the Borough of Selingsgrove, Snyder County, to the Eastern Snyder County Regional Authority in exchange for another tract of land in the Borough of Selingsgrove, Snyder County.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HB 2755, PN 3936 By Rep. CLYMER

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to quitclaim to Rush Township a tract of land situate in Rush Township, Centre County.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HB 2833, PN 4107

By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of June 26, 1981 (P.L.119, No.39), entitled "An act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Department of Environmental Resources and the Governor, to convey to Hartley Township, 1.308 acres of land situate in Hartley Township, Union County, Pennsylvania," further providing for the use restriction and reversionary covenant.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

SB 903, PN 1016

By Rep. CLYMER

An Act designating December 15 of each year as "Bill of Rights Day."

STATE GOVERNMENT.

SB 1265, PN 2237 (Amended)

By Rep. CLYMER

An Act amending the act of August 21, 1953 (P.L.1323, No.373), known as The Notary Public Law, further providing for appointment of notaries, for eligibility, for applications to become a notary public, for application for reappointment, for resignation and for change of residence, for oath of office, bond and recording, for registration of notary's signature and fees, for notarial seal, for electronic notarization, for register and copier of records, for power to administer oaths, affirmations, certain writings relating to commerce, depositions, affidavits and certain writings relating to land, for fees of notaries public, for rejection of application and for surrender of seal; providing for revocation of commission for certain personal checks and for regulations; making editorial changes; and making repeals.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

MOTION TO REMAIN IN SESSION

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman, do you desire recognition at this time?

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If this is the appropriate time, I would like to reintroduce my motion that the House remain in continuous session until we have completed the legislative agenda currently scheduled for the 9 session days after the election.

MOTION RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman, that is an inappropriate motion for several reasons. One, there is nothing definite about completing our legislative agenda, and there is no time certain. If you could address those two issues and change your motion, it would be appropriate. But just to stay here until we finish a legislative agenda that no one knows what you are talking about is not appropriate.

Ms. STEELMAN. Mr. Speaker, is it correct that the House leadership and the Senate leadership have had some discussions on the broad outlines of those bills—

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman—

Ms. STEELMAN. —which may be run and—

The SPEAKER. Ms. Steelman, keep in mind what you are doing. You are asking members to vote on something. You must tell them what they are voting on. Whether the leaders have

talked about something or not, the members do not know that. I mean, you must be definite. Call for a time; make us stay in until the last day of November. I do not care what your motion is, but it must be definite.

Ms. STEELMAN. Mr. Speaker, it would be easier to frame the motion in more definite terms if the leadership of the House and Senate were more open—

The SPEAKER. No; no, I am not going—

Ms. STEELMAN. —with the members about the calendar.

The SPEAKER. —I am not going to debate with you on this issue. You should frame your motion in definite terms and not complain about what the leaders are doing. That is inappropriate at this time. There are other times when you can complain about them, but if you are making an adjournment motion, you know very well what it should be.

Ms. STEELMAN. Then I would propose that we remain in session until October 31 and conclude whatever legislative business we can in that time.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

The lady, Ms. Steelman, moves that the House— The Chair suspects that what the lady has said by way of motion is that this House stay in continuous session until the last day of October, without adjournment until the last day of October. Is that right?

Ms. STEELMAN. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER. That is through election day, election day, the day after—

Ms. STEELMAN. No, sir.

The SPEAKER. —Saturday, Sunday—

Ms. STEELMAN. No, the last day of October is considerably before November 7.

The SPEAKER. All right. You are right — about that.

How about Saturday and Sunday?

Ms. STEELMAN. I would be happy to change the motion to say that the House remain in session during normal working days between now and October 31.

The SPEAKER. The normal working days at this time of the year are none.

Ms. STEELMAN. Not, Mr. Speaker, for those who work outside the privileged confines of the hall of the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER. I am talking about the House is not in session normally at this time of the year. So normal working days, a motion saying "normal working days" is, I think, ineffective.

Ms. STEELMAN. I realize that words in the hall of the House do not—

The SPEAKER. I do not want to debate with you. I would just like you to frame a motion properly.

Ms. STEELMAN. Then I am moving that we remain in session Monday through Friday until October 31.

The SPEAKER. I hate to debate with you, but I am going to have to.

What you are suggesting is not a motion to adjourn.

Ms. STEELMAN. That is true.

The SPEAKER. Quite the contrary. I think the motion should be to oppose a motion to adjourn. If someone makes a motion to adjourn today until November 7 or 8, whatever that date is, you would oppose it, and then each time a motion to adjourn is offered, you would oppose it. I honestly just do not

know how to put your thoughts into a motion form. I have never heard of anything like this.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Ms. STEELMAN. In that case, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the force of your argument, and I would make a motion to adjourn until next Monday, October 17, at which time we would return to this chamber and continue to do the people's business.

The SPEAKER. At 1 p.m.

The 17th is Tuesday. Do you want us—

Ms. STEELMAN. Oh; okay. Make it the 16th.

The SPEAKER. At 1?

Ms. STEELMAN. At 1 p.m.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

The lady, Ms. Steelman, moves that this House do now adjourn until Monday, October 16, at 1 p.m.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On the question, this is not debatable by anyone other than floor leaders.

Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. I would just ask the members to oppose the motion, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—85

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' in 85 total votes. Includes names like Bebko-Jones, Frankel, Manderino, Santoni, etc.

NAYS—104

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' in 104 total votes. Includes names like Adolph, Fargo, Mayernik, Schuler, etc.

Table listing names of members who were present but did not vote. Includes names like Benninghoff, Haluska, Nailor, Taylor, E. Z., etc.

NOT VOTING—2

Table listing names of members who did not vote: Bard, Waters.

EXCUSED—9

Table listing names of members who were excused: Bishop, Hershey, O'Brien, True, Buxton, Lederer, Roberts, Van Horne, Cappabianca.

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, November 13, 2000, at 1 p.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to SB 618, PN 2204; SB 1219, PN 1970; SB 1223, PN 2176; and SB 1271, PN 2134.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

HB 1140, PN 4085

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P.L.323, No.130), known as The County Code, further providing for authority to sell or lease real property; and providing for alternative authority for third class county convention center authorities.

HB 1473, PN 3704

An Act amending the act of February 11, 1998 (P.L.58, No.15), known as the Combustible and Flammable Liquids Act, further providing for regulations, for notification by manufacturers of gasoline additive information, for retail service stations and for penalties.

HB 2200, PN 4003

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1983 (P.L.306, No.84), known as the Board of Vehicles Act, further defining "franchise"; and further providing for powers and duties of the State Board of Vehicle Manufacturers, Dealers and Salespersons, for protest hearing decision within 120 days unless waived by the parties, for reimbursement for all parts and service required by the manufacturer or distributor, for reimbursement audits, for unlawful acts by manufacturers or distributors, for restriction of manufacturer invoking a right of first refusal and for limitations on establishing or relocating dealers; and making editorial changes.

HB 2209, PN 3528

An Act amending the act of December 4, 1996 (P.L.893, No.141), known as the Volunteer Health Services Act, allowing doctors with volunteer licenses to prescribe medication to family members.

HB 2481, PN 3385

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1990 (P.L.404, No.98), known as the Real Estate Appraisers Certification Act, further providing for application and qualifications.

SB 618, PN 2204

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1972 (P.L.233, No.64), entitled The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act, adding a controlled substance.

SB 648, PN 2218

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), entitled, as amended, Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, further providing for prize limits and for weekly drawings.

SB 1219, PN 1970

An Act amending the act of May 3, 1933 (P.L.242, No.86), entitled, as amended, Cosmetology Law, further providing for definitions and for the management of cosmetology shops; and providing for booth rentals.

SB 1223, PN 2176

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P.L.1368, No.542), entitled, as amended, Real Estate Tax Sale Law, further providing for discharge of tax claims; prohibiting certain individuals from purchasing property at a tax sale; and providing for landlord licensing ordinances.

SB 1271, PN 2134

An Act amending the act of July 9, 1971 (P.L.206, No.34), entitled, as reenacted and amended, Improvement of Deteriorating Real Property or Areas Tax Exemption Act, further providing for exemption schedules; and making an editorial change.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Metcalfe, for the purpose of correcting the record.

Mr. METCALFE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to correct the record on my vote on HB 2458, to change it to a "yes."

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. His remarks will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record.

On SB 706, the concurrence in Senate amendments, I was recorded in the negative. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Waters, to correct the record?

Mr. WATERS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to have my vote for HB 2498 in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. WATERS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. On the question of adjournment, those in favor will say "aye"; opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 2:40 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.