

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, APRIL 13, 1999

SESSION OF 1999

183D OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 23

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (J. SCOT CHADWICK) PRESIDING

PRAYER

REV. A.J. BRILEY, SR., Chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of the Second Baptist Church, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Our God, who is first within our lives, we come this morning as humble as we know how, thanking You for this day in which we have never witnessed before. We thank You for allowing our golden moments to roll on just a few days longer.

We ask now, Lord, that You would open our hearts and our minds to be about Your business, for we know that You sitteth high and that You look low.

So we ask, Father, that You would bless individually and bless together collectively. Bless the Speaker of the House. Bless, O Gracious God, all those that are participating to make this Commonwealth of Pennsylvania a better place to reside.

Hear our cry, and pity our every groan, and we will be careful to always give Your matchless name the praise, for we would ask it in Thy precious name and for Thy sake alone. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, April 12, 1999, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 650 By Representatives MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, CIVERA, DeLUCA, VANCE, E. Z. TAYLOR, BUXTON, CHADWICK, GODSHALL, KENNEY, MELIO, RAYMOND, PESCI, SATHER, BARRAR, BEBKO-JONES, BELARDI, BELFANTI, BISHOP, BUNT, CAPPABIANCA, L. I. COHEN, M. COHEN, COLAFELLA, CURRY, DAILEY, DALEY,

FRANKEL, FREEMAN, GEORGE, GORDNER, HALUSKA, HARHAL, HARHART, HENNESSEY, HERMAN, HESS, HORSEY, HUTCHINSON, JAMES, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, LEDERER, LUCYK, LYNCH, MAJOR, MARSICO, McCALL, S. MILLER, MYERS, NAILOR, ORIE, PETRARCA, READSHAW, RUBLEY, SANTONI, SAYLOR, SCHULER, SERAFINI, SEYFERT, SHANER, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STERN, SURRA, THOMAS, TIGUE, TRELLO, TRUE, WILLIAMS and WOJNAROSKI

An Act amending the act of April 22, 1994 (P.L. 136, No. 20), known as the Women's Preventative Health Services Act, further providing for legislative findings and for mandated coverage.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 13, 1999.

No. 1211 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, RUBLEY, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, NAILOR, SAYLOR, ZUG, SURRA, HARHAL, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, BENNINGHOFF, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, MAHER, E. Z. TAYLOR and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of April 12, 1866 (P.L. 103, No. 91), entitled "An act relative to the fees of district attorney, in certain counties of this commonwealth."

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1212 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, RUBLEY, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, NAILOR, SAYLOR, ZUG, SURRA, HARHAL, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, MAHER, E. Z. TAYLOR and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of March 14, 1905 (P.L. 37, No. 19), entitled "An act to fix the salaries of district attorneys, and providing for the appointment of assistant district attorneys, in the several counties of this Commonwealth having over eight hundred thousand inhabitants; prescribing the powers and duties, and fixing the salaries of the said assistant district attorneys."

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1213 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, SHANER, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN,

HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, BENNINGHOFF, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, MAHER, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of April 17, 1905 (P.L.170, No.125), entitled "An act providing that the district attorneys, in all counties whose population does not exceed one hundred and fifty thousand, shall be paid a salary, and fixing the same, which shall be in lieu of all fees, and in full compensation for their services; and providing for the appointment of assistant district attorneys in said counties, and for the compensation of the same; and providing that the fees heretofore allowed the district attorneys upon indictments shall remain in amount as heretofore, but shall hereafter be as part of the costs, for the use and benefit of the proper county."

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1214 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, BENNINGHOFF, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, MAHER, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of July 9, 1919 (P.L.795, No.329), entitled "An act to fix the salaries of district attorneys in counties having a population of less than one million inhabitants."

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1215 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, SHANER, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, MAHER, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act amending the act of March 9, 1927 (P.L.24, No.13), referred to as the County Institution for Delinquent Women Law, deleting provisions relating to administration by county prison authorities, for transfer of prisoners from other counties and for earnings of inmates.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, April 13, 1999.

No. 1216 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of June 21, 1939 (P.L.649, No.301), entitled "An act authorizing counties to convey or lease property, needed or convenient as a site for a county courthouse, to the General State Authority; to acquire additional property for such purposes; and to contract with and lease property from said Authority."

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 13, 1999.

No. 1217 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P.L.723, No.230), known as the Second Class County Code, repealing the authority to destroy certain records.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1218 By Representatives ORIE, McNAUGHTON, HERSHEY, GLADECK, ZUG, SAYLOR, NAILOR, RUBLEY, FAIRCHILD, WOJNAROSKI, McILHATTAN, HERMAN, CURRY, FORCIER, PRESTON, NICKOL, CAPPABIANCA, SURRA, HARHAI, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, STEVENSON, BENNINGHOFF, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, E. Z. TAYLOR, PIPPY and FLICK

An Act repealing the act of December 13, 1955 (P.L.829, No.240), entitled "An act authorizing the commitment to the Department of Public Welfare in any city of the first class persons convicted of crimes and sentences by courts situate within such city to a city or county penal institution, where such Department has established a correctional, diagnostic and classification service, and authorizing the transfer of prisoners between such institutions by the Department."

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, April 13, 1999.

No. 1219 By Representatives ORIE, BROWNE, EGOLF, PLATTS, TULLI, ROEBUCK, SURRA, BARD, BATTISTO, CAPPABIANCA, DALEY, COLAFELLA, FICHTER, CARN, DeLUCA, NAILOR, NICKOL, SAYLOR, LAUGHLIN, MASLAND, VAN HORNE, LEVDANSKY, CLARK, JOSEPHS, S. MILLER, BARRAR, CIVERA, BENNINGHOFF, HENNESSEY, MELIO, STERN, JAMES, M. COHEN, DERMODY, WILLIAMS, E. Z. TAYLOR, BISHOP, B. SMITH and BLAUM

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for ignition interlock device; and imposing penalties.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1220 By Representatives S. MILLER, GEIST, DeWEESE, SCHULER, CORRIGAN, SAYLOR, CAWLEY, MASLAND, DEMPSEY, PLATTS, SEYFERT, MARSICO, J. TAYLOR, DALLY, FLICK, PESCI, FARGO, GLADECK, READSHAW, BARRAR, E. Z. TAYLOR, BELFANTI, RUBLEY, LaGROTTA, McCALL, SOLOBAY, CLYMER, FEESE, LEH, ADOLPH, ROSS, SEMMEL, HERMAN, LAUGHLIN, CHADWICK, FREEMAN, KAISER, VAN HORNE, M. COHEN and CIVERA

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for fees for commercial driver's licenses.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1221 By Representatives ORIE, FLEAGLE, FICHTER, S. H. SMITH, MASLAND, CAWLEY, RUBLEY, PRESTON, READSHAW, KENNEY, ZUG, SEYFERT, GEIST, STEVENSON, COY, LEH, CLYMER, MELIO, M. COHEN, STERN, B. SMITH, PESCI, SCRIMENTI, HENNESSEY, GIGLIOTTI, BROWNE, STEELMAN, CIVERA, E. Z. TAYLOR, MARSICO, YOUNGBLOOD, J. TAYLOR, MAHER and BLAUM

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for designation of sexually violent predators.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1222 By Representatives ORIE, MARSICO, MUNDY, BEBKO-JONES, FREEMAN, LAUGHLIN, SAYLOR, READSHAW, CURRY, E. Z. TAYLOR, CLYMER, JOSEPHS, BASTIAN, B. SMITH, BROWNE, WILLIAMS, STEELMAN, CIVERA, RAMOS, YOUNGBLOOD, MAHER and MELIO

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for content of course of instruction and examination and continuing education requirement relating to certain minor judiciary.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1223 By Representatives ORIE, MARSICO, PRESTON, STABACK, TIGUE, HARHAI, HERMAN, PLATTS, METCALFE, STERN, SAYLOR, EGOLF, MELIO, SEYFERT, WOGAN, READSHAW, E. Z. TAYLOR, KENNEY, CORRIGAN, WOJNAROSKI, BASTIAN, HENNESSEY, McILHATTAN, STEELMAN, CIVERA, YOUNGBLOOD and MAHER

An Act establishing a civil procedure for the involuntary commitment of sexually violent predators.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1224 By Representatives McCALL, COY, STABACK, GORDNER, EVANS, HERSHEY, TIGUE, BELFANTI, LEH, SEYFERT, JAMES, COLAFELLA, TRELLO, KENNEY, SHANER, EGOLF, BATTISTO, LAUGHLIN, HARHAI, SCRIMENTI, SURRA, GRUCELA, THOMAS, J. TAYLOR, ROHRER, MELIO, SCHRODER, GEIST, COSTA, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, RAMOS, MAHER and BISHOP

An Act amending the act of June 14, 1961 (P.L.324, No.188), known as The Library Code, defining "Internet" and requiring library policies regarding Internet access by minors.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1225 By Representatives GODSHALL, CLARK, HENNESSEY, LEDERER, STEELMAN, THOMAS, WILT and WOGAN

An Act providing for uniform certification of questions of law; and authorizing the Supreme Court, the Superior Court or the Commonwealth Court to answer certified questions of law.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1226 By Representatives READSHAW, ARGALL, BARRAR, L. I. COHEN, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, FREEMAN, GRUCELA, HENNESSEY, LaGROTTA, McCALL, MICHLOVIC, ORIE, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STURLA, SURRA, TANGRETTI, TRELLO, WALKO, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, DeLUCA, STEELMAN, COLAFELLA, DALEY, GIGLIOTTI, HALUSKA, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, McILHATTAN, MICOZZIE and PESCI

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for authority to erect traffic-control devices.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1227 By Representatives READSHAW, ARGALL, BELARDI, M. COHEN, L. I. COHEN, DALEY, FREEMAN, GRUCELA, HENNESSEY, LaGROTTA, LEVDANSKY, McILHATTAN, MICOZZIE, PESCI, STABACK, STEELMAN, STURLA, TANGRETTI, TIGUE, TRELLO, WALKO, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, BARRAR, DeLUCA, GIGLIOTTI, HALUSKA, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, McCALL, MICHLOVIC, ORIE and SOLOBAY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for authority to erect traffic-control devices.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1228 By Representatives READSHAW, ARGALL, BARRAR, M. COHEN, L. I. COHEN, DALEY, FREEMAN, GRUCELA, HENNESSEY, LaGROTTA, McCALL, MICHLOVIC, ORIE, SOLOBAY, STEELMAN, STURLA, SURRA, TANGRETTI, TRELLO, WALKO, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, COLAFELLA, DeLUCA, GIGLIOTTI, HALUSKA, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, McILHATTAN, MICOZZIE, PESCI and STABACK

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the erection of traffic-control signals on the basis of accident experience.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1229 By Representatives READSHAW, GIGLIOTTI, LAUGHLIN, PESCI, PRESTON, YOUNGBLOOD, BELARDI, BLAUM and MAYERNIK

An Act amending the act of March 7, 1901 (P.L.20, No.14), act of March 7, 1901 (P.L.20, No.14), referred to as the Second Class City Law, further providing for duties of mayor.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1230 By Representatives READSHAW, BARD, CLARK, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, DALEY, GODSHALL, HENNESSEY, JADLOWIEC, LAUGHLIN, PRESTON, SCHRODER, SOLOBAY, TRELLO, WOGAN, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, COLAFELLA, BELARDI, CIVERA, DeLUCA, JAMES, MAYERNIK, CURRY, GIGLIOTTI, HALUSKA, HERSHEY, LaGROTTA, MELIO, ROEBUCK, SEYFERT and TIGUE

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for contents of traffic citation forms.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1231 By Representatives READSHAW, CLARK, DALEY, HALUSKA, HENNESSEY, HERSHEY, McILHATTAN, MICHLOVIC, PRESTON, SEYFERT, STABACK, VAN HORNE, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD, BELARDI, BELFANTI, SATHER, STEELMAN and KAISER

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for immunity involving the adjudication alternative program.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1232 By Representatives READSHAW, BELARDI, BELFANTI, M. COHEN, COLAFELLA, DALEY, FREEMAN, HALUSKA, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, MELIO, MYERS, SEYFERT, STABACK, THOMAS, TIGUE, TRAVAGLIO, TRELLO, VAN HORNE, WALKO, YOUNGBLOOD, LEDERER, CURRY, DeLUCA, GIGLIOTTI, HENNESSEY, LaGROTTA, MAYERNIK, MICHLOVIC, PESCI and SOLOBAY

An Act establishing standards for certain motor vehicle leases; providing for additional duties of the Bureau of Consumer Protection and for enforcement and penalties.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1233 By Representatives BAKER, LYNCH, HARHAI, BARRAR, LEDERER, BELARDI, PLATTS, SOLOBAY, WOJNAROSKI, FICHTER, SATHER, STABACK, DIGIROLAMO, SURRA, TIGUE, DRUCE, CLARK, BUNT, FARGO, MANN, SCRIMENTI, GEORGE, M. COHEN, LAUGHLIN, GANNON, ORIE, SAYLOR, COLAFELLA, SEYFERT, MAHER, CLYMER, HENNESSEY, FLICK, McILHATTAN, BENNINGHOFF, ROSS, E. Z. TAYLOR, BEBKO-JONES, SCHULER, BARD, WOGAN and RAMOS

An Act amending the act of November 24, 1998 (P.L.882, No.111), known as the Crime Victims Act, further providing for powers and duties of victim advocate, for preparole notification to victim and for petitions to deny parole upon expiration of minimum sentence.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1234 By Representatives TIGUE, CAWLEY, THOMAS, BATTISTO, BELFANTI, CLARK, M. COHEN, COLAFELLA, CORRIGAN, FREEMAN, GEORGE, HENNESSEY, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, MAHER, MELIO, MUNDY, MYERS, PESCI, RAMOS, SCRIMENTI, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STEELMAN, SURRA, TRELLO, WILLIAMS, WOJNAROSKI, YOUNGBLOOD and YUDICHAK

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, further providing for cooperation between the Department of Environmental Protection and municipalities.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1235 By Representatives STURLA, EACHUS, MYERS, COY, GEORGE, SANTONI, DeWEESE, MUNDY, SEYFERT, M. COHEN, CAWLEY, YOUNGBLOOD, SAINATO, THOMAS, READSHAW, BELFANTI, SCRIMENTI, CORRIGAN, VAN HORNE, TRELLO, McCALL, TRAVAGLIO, CURRY, MELIO, FREEMAN, SHANER, RAMOS, WALKO, LAUGHLIN, GRUITZA, BARRAR, BELARDI, MICHLOVIC, TANGRETTI, BROWNE, PLATTS, TRICH, SURRA, WILLIAMS, HORSEY, JOSEPHS, GRUCELA, STEELMAN, HARHAI, DALEY, WASHINGTON and TIGUE

An Act amending the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, providing for pharmaceutical assistance for elderly persons whose incomes exceed income limitations.

Referred to Committee on AGING AND YOUTH, April 13, 1999.

No. 1236 By Representatives SURRA, S. H. SMITH, STABACK, DALEY, B. SMITH, BELFANTI, NAILOR, RUBLEY, HARHAI, STERN, McCALL, LAUGHLIN, JAMES, CORRIGAN, RAMOS, ARGALL, YOUNGBLOOD and MAHER

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, further providing for supervisors.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 13, 1999.

No. 1237 By Representatives SURRA, STABACK, SAINATO, PESCI, ROBERTS, BELFANTI, JAMES, MANDERINO, FEESE, HARHAI, MELIO, M. COHEN and WILLIAMS

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for hunting on Sunday.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, April 13, 1999.

No. 1238 By Representatives SURRA, BELARDI, READSHAW, VAN HORNE, SEYFERT, WALKO, FICHTER, JAMES, HARHAI, SHANER, McCALL, CURRY, BELFANTI, STABACK, SOLOBAY, TIGUE, PESCI, SCRIMENTI, CORRIGAN, LAUGHLIN, BEBKO-JONES, J. TAYLOR, GRUCELA, CIVERA and R. MILLER

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for terroristic threats.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1239 By Representatives FORCIER, VEON, SURRA, SEYFERT, WILT, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, BARLEY, BARRAR, BOYES, BROWNE, BUNT, CASORIO, CIVERA, M. COHEN, DALEY, DALLY, DEMPSEY, DERMODY, DeWEESE, EACHUS, EGOLF, FARGO, FEESE, FICHTER, FLEAGLE, GANNON, GIGLIOTTI, GRUITZA, HARHAI, HASAY, HUTCHINSON, KENNEY, LaGROTTA, LAUGHLIN, LEH, LESCOVITZ, LYNCH, MAHER, McCALL, McGEEHAN, METCALFE, MICOZZIE, S. MILLER, PERZEL, PETRARCA, RAMOS, RAYMOND, READSHAW, ROBERTS, ROHRER, ROONEY, ROSS, SAINATO, SANTONI, SATHER, SCHRODER, SCHULER, SHANER, B. SMITH, S. H. SMITH, STEELMAN, STERN, STEVENSON, STRITTMATTER, STURLA, TANGRETTI, E. Z. TAYLOR, THOMAS, TRAVAGLIO, TRELLO, TRUE, TULLI, VAN HORNE, WALKO, WOGAN and WOJNAROSKI

An Act providing for operation of motorcycles without protective headgear; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1240 By Representatives STURLA, THOMAS, MELIO, LAUGHLIN, BELFANTI, BEBKO-JONES, M. COHEN, GRUCELA, PRESTON, JAMES, CURRY, RAMOS, JOSEPHS and HORSEY

An Act providing for the establishment and administration of the Health Care Facility Emergency Fund; imposing a penalty; and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, April 13, 1999.

No. 1241 By Representatives HERMAN, BAKER, CAWLEY, COY, HARHAI, LEDERER, MICHLOVIC, S. MILLER, RAMOS, READSHAW, SCRIMENTI, SEYFERT, STABACK, STERN, E. Z. TAYLOR, TRELLO and WALKO

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1200, No.202), known as the Solicitation of Funds for Charitable Purposes Act, further providing for prohibited acts.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 13, 1999.

No. 1242 By Representatives HERMAN, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, MELIO, PETRARCA, CIVERA, COLAFELLA, HALUSKA, HENNESSEY, JAMES, METCALFE, MYERS,

PESCI, RAMOS, READSHAW, SCHRODER, SEMMEL, SEYFERT, B. SMITH, STEELMAN, E. Z. TAYLOR and TRELLO

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the removal of dead animals from highways.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1243 By Representatives HERMAN, BELFANTI, DALEY, MAHER, BAKER, BELARDI, BENNINGHOFF, CHADWICK, CIVERA, CLARK, COLAFELLA, COY, GEIST, HARHAI, HUTCHINSON, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, LUCYK, McCALL, MELIO, MICHLOVIC, S. MILLER, MYERS, PESCI, RAMOS, READSHAW, SAYLOR, SERAFINI, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STEELMAN, STERN, E. Z. TAYLOR, TRELLO, WILLIAMS, WOGAN, YOUNGBLOOD and SCHULER

An Act amending the act of December 1, 1977 (P.L.249, No.83), entitled, as amended, "An act prohibiting employers from firing employees who lose time from employment in the line of duty as volunteer firemen, fire police and volunteer members of ambulance services and rescue squads; and providing penalties," providing employment protection for members of the Civil Air Patrol.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1244 By Representatives HERMAN, TRELLO, PESCI, WILLIAMS, BAKER, BELARDI, CIVERA, COLAFELLA, COY, HARHAI, HENNESSEY, HERSHEY, JAMES, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, MAITLAND, MELIO, MYERS, ORIE, RAMOS, SERAFINI, SEYFERT, SOLOBAY, STABACK and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act directing the State Fire Commissioner to develop a fire safety program.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1245 By Representatives HERMAN, TRELLO, MAHER, MANN, PESCI, RUFFING, SAINATO, SHANER, WILLIAMS, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, BARD, BARRAR, CAWLEY, CIVERA, L. I. COHEN, COLAFELLA, COY, CURRY, DALEY, DALLY, GANNON, HALUSKA, HARHAI, HENNESSEY, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, LEH, McCALL, MELIO, MICHLOVIC, MUNDY, ORIE, PLATTS, RAMOS, READSHAW, SEMMEL, SEYFERT, STABACK, STEELMAN, STERN, STURLA, E. Z. TAYLOR, WALKO, WILT, WOGAN and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending the act of August 26, 1971 (P.L.351, No.91), known as the State Lottery Law, further defining "income"; and further providing for determination of eligibility.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 13, 1999.

No. 1246 By Representatives HERMAN, GEIST, SEMMEL, ARGALL, BELARDI, BELFANTI, COLAFELLA, COY, FEESE, FREEMAN, GANNON, HARHAI, HENNESSEY,

HUTCHINSON, LAUGHLIN, McILHATTAN, READSHAW, SAYLOR, STERN, TIGUE, TRELLO and WOJNAROSKI

An Act requiring the Department of Community and Economic Development to maintain data regarding research and development affecting the housing industry in this Commonwealth; and recognizing and supporting the Pennsylvania Housing Research Center.

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, April 13, 1999.

No. 1247 By Representatives CLARK, B. SMITH, McILHATTAN, STABACK, BELFANTI, JAMES, SERAFINI, TRELLO, McCALL, PLATTS, WOJNAROSKI, SURRA, LAUGHLIN, YOUNGBLOOD, PETRARCA, FICHTER, HUTCHINSON, MARKOSEK, BEBKO-JONES, SCHULER, LYNCH, SEYFERT, COLAFELLA, SAYLOR, E. Z. TAYLOR, J. TAYLOR, BENNINGHOFF, S. MILLER, MELIO, BAKER, SCHRODER, STEELMAN, COY, WILT, M. COHEN, L. I. COHEN, PESCI, STERN, WILLIAMS and BROWNE

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for limitations on nonschool service.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1248 By Representatives HERSHEY, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, M. COHEN, FARGO, GEIST, HENNESSEY, LEH, MYERS, READSHAW, ROHRER, SCHULER, SEYFERT, STERN and THOMAS

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for self-insurance.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 13, 1999.

No. 1249 By Representatives HERSHEY, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, BARD, BENNINGHOFF, BUNT, CAPPABIANCA, CHADWICK, COY, DALEY, EGOLF, FAIRCHILD, FREEMAN, GEIST, GODSHALL, HALUSKA, HENNESSEY, HERMAN, HESS, KREBS, LAUGHLIN, LYNCH, MAHER, MAITLAND, MAJOR, MARSICO, MASLAND, McILHINNEY, MELIO, R. MILLER, MYERS, NICKOL, ORIE, PESCI, PHILLIPS, RAMOS, READSHAW, ROHRER, ROSS, RUBLEY, SAYLOR, SCHRODER, SCHULER, SEMMEL, SEYFERT, B. SMITH, SNYDER, SOLOBAY, STEIL, STERN, E. Z. TAYLOR, VANCE, WILT, YOUNGBLOOD and ZUG

An Act amending the act of June 30, 1981 (P.L. 128, No. 43), known as the Agricultural Area Security Law, further providing for purchase of agricultural conservation easements.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1250 By Representatives NICKOL, SEYFERT, MICOZZIE, TRELLO, E. Z. TAYLOR, BENNINGHOFF, SAYLOR, PLATTS, CORRIGAN, WILLIAMS and MAHER

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for service of process.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1251 By Representatives GODSHALL, FARGO, CLARK, FLICK, HERSHEY, S. MILLER, ROSS, E. Z. TAYLOR and ZIMMERMAN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L. 30, No. 14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing incentives to school entities which negotiate into their collective bargaining agreements performance-based compensation plans; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1252 By Representatives KAISER, WOJNAROSKI, VAN HORNE, DRUCE, ORIE, MARKOSEK, PETRONE, READSHAW, TANGRETTI, WALKO, M. COHEN, TRELLO, CORRIGAN, FRANKEL, PIPPY and COLAFELLA

An Act making an appropriation to the Senator John Heinz Pittsburgh Regional History Center.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1253 By Representatives BUNT, GEIST, BAKER, BARRAR, BEBKO-JONES, BELFANTI, BENNINGHOFF, BISHOP, CAPPABIANCA, L. I. COHEN, M. COHEN, DALEY, DeLUCA, DEMPSEY, FICHTER, FREEMAN, GIGLIOTTI, GODSHALL, GORDNER, GRUCELA, HALUSKA, HANNA, HERMAN, HERSHEY, JADLOWIEC, MAJOR, MARKOSEK, MASLAND, McCALL, McILHINNEY, McNAUGHTON, MELIO, R. MILLER, NAILOR, PESCI, PLATTS, PRESTON, ROSS, RUBLEY, SATHER, SAYLOR, SEMMEL, SHANER, STEELMAN, STERN, SURRA, E. Z. TAYLOR, J. TAYLOR, TIGUE, VAN HORNE and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L. 30, No. 14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for payments on account of standardized driver-education programs.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1254 By Representatives BUNT, GEIST, BELFANTI, CAPPABIANCA, CORRIGAN, DEMPSEY, FICHTER, GIGLIOTTI, HALUSKA, HANNA, HERSHEY, MASLAND, McNAUGHTON, MELIO, R. MILLER, PESCI, PRESTON, SAYLOR, SCHRODER, STEELMAN, E. Z. TAYLOR and J. TAYLOR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for persons ineligible for licensing and for the learner's permit fee.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1255 By Representatives L. I. COHEN, RUBLEY, B. SMITH, MANDERINO, CORRIGAN, BATTISTO, ROSS, TIGUE, SCHRODER, WILLIAMS, E. Z. TAYLOR, WILT, RAMOS, YOUNGBLOOD, HARHAI, ROONEY, KIRKLAND and BARRAR

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for speed timing devices.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1256 By Representatives WILT, PERZEL, SNYDER, PHILLIPS, CORNELL, GODSHALL, BATTISTO, McCALL, SAYLOR, JADLOWIEC, FORCIER, MAHER, LaGROTTA, McILHATTAN, BELFANTI, BENNINGHOFF, MELIO, HASAY, STEELMAN, CHADWICK, SEYFERT, DEMPSEY, HENNESSEY, FEESE, ZUG, RUBLEY, EGOLF, WOJNAROSKI, SURRA, BARD, YOUNGBLOOD, LUCYK, J. TAYLOR, SEMMEL, PIPPY, LEH, HESS, READSHAW, HALUSKA, MAJOR, HUTCHINSON, VAN HORNE, MAYERNIK, GRUITZA, DALLY, GORDNER, PLATTS, BAKER, TULLI, STABACK, PETRARCA, KENNEY, B. SMITH, COLAFELLA, SAINATO, STERN, RAMOS and WALKO

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for refunds.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 13, 1999.

No. 1257 By Representatives DeLUCA, DERMODY, COLAFELLA, BEBKO-JONES, L. I. COHEN, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, COSTA, CURRY, DALEY, DeWEESE, DONATUCCI, EACHUS, FREEMAN, GEORGE, GIGLIOTTI, GRUCELA, HALUSKA, HARHAI, HENNESSEY, JAMES, JOSEPHS, LAUGHLIN, MAHER, MANDERINO, MANN, MELIO, PESCI, PETRARCA, PISTELLA, ROBINSON, SAINATO, RUFFING, SOLOBAY, SURRA, TANGRETTI, THOMAS, TRAVAGLIO, TRELLO, WALKO, WILLIAMS, YOUNGBLOOD and YUDICHAK

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, further providing for responsibilities of managed care plans; and providing for managed care plan liability.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 13, 1999.

No. 1258 By Representatives GANNON, ARMSTRONG, BELFANTI, CHADWICK, CLYMER, DALLY, FICHTER, HALUSKA, LAUGHLIN, MELIO, NICKOL, ROSS, SAYLOR, SEYFERT, STERN and WILLIAMS

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for damages resulting from computer data failure; and further providing for exceptions to sovereign immunity and for exceptions to governmental immunity.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

No. 1259 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, PIPPY, FLICK, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act repealing the act of April 24, 1931 (P.L.43, No.34), entitled "An act authorizing and empowering cities of the first class in this Commonwealth to acquire by gift, lease, purchase or condemnation proceedings, within or without the limits of said cities, any land located within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania necessary for the purpose of establishing and maintaining hospitals or other institutions for the care and treatment of the sick or disabled; and, with the consent of the local authorities where such land is situated, to maintain the same in the exercise of the health functions of such city."

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1260 By Representatives BARRAR, FLICK, BENNINGHOFF, ROSS, SCRIMENTI, LAUGHLIN, TIGUE, McILHATTAN, SEYFERT, HENNESSEY, HERSHEY, JAMES, M. COHEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF, E. Z. TAYLOR and MAJOR

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the eligibility of a district superintendent or assistant superintendent.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 13, 1999.

No. 1261 By Representatives BARRAR, FLICK, WILT, ROSS, PIPPY, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, STERN, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF, ADOLPH, E. Z. TAYLOR and READSHAW

An Act repealing the act of May 18, 1857 (P.L.559, No.610), entitled "An act to provide for the ordinary expenses of Government, the repairs of Public Canals and Railroads, and other general and special Appropriations."

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1262 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, FLICK, PIPPY, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 14, 1868 (P.L.1083, No.1020), entitled "A supplement to an act, entitled 'An act appropriating ground for public purposes in the city of Philadelphia,' approved the twenty-sixth day of March, Anno Domini one thousand eight hundred and sixty-seven," deleting provisions relating to licensing of passenger railways, and widening and straightening approaches.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1263 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, FLICK, PIPPY, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act repealing the act of June 15, 1911 (Appr. Act P.L.308), entitled "An act appropriating the sum of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars to the city of Philadelphia, toward the erection of buildings for the care and maintenance of feeble-minded and idiotic persons in said city, to be known as the Philadelphia Institution for the Feeble-Minded."

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, April 13, 1999.

No. 1264 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, FLICK, PIPPY, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, SHANER, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF, E. Z. TAYLOR and BENNINGHOFF

An Act repealing the act of May 24, 1917 (P.L.297, No.160), entitled "An act authorizing the establishment of contagious disease hospitals in the several counties of the Commonwealth, to be constructed and maintained out of county funds."

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1265 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, FLICK, PIPPY, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, BENNINGHOFF, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act repealing the act of December 1, 1965 (P.L.977, No.357), entitled "An act authorizing cities of the first class and counties of the first class to adopt the food stamp program and providing for payment of the costs of administration thereof."

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1266 By Representatives BARRAR, ADOLPH, FLICK, PIPPY, READSHAW, PESCI, McNAUGHTON, ZUG, HERMAN, BENNINGHOFF, FAIRCHILD, ORIE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, NICKOL, SEYFERT, SAYLOR, HERSHEY, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, GEIST, ROSS, STERN, WILT, HORSEY, M. COHEN, B. SMITH, ALLEN, CORRIGAN, EGOLF and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), entitled, "An act to consolidate, editorially revise, and codify the public welfare laws of the Commonwealth," deleting provisions relating to food stamp program.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 1267 By Representatives PLATTS, BAKER, BARD, BUNT, CHADWICK, CLARK, DALEY, DALLY, EGOLF, FAIRCHILD, FLICK, GRUCELA, HUTCHINSON, JAMES, KREBS, LAUGHLIN, LYNCH, MAHER, MARSICO, MASLAND, McILHATTAN, McNAUGHTON, NAILOR, ORIE, RAMOS, READSHAW, ROBERTS, ROHRER, RUBLEY, SATHER, SEYFERT, STEELMAN, STEIL, STEVENSON, TRUE, TULLI, VANCE and WILLIAMS

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, providing for a maximum number of consecutive terms of office for members of the General Assembly.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 13, 1999.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 114 By Representatives GEIST, BATTISTO, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, BASTIAN, BEBKO-JONES, BELFANTI, BUXTON, CALTAGIRONE, CIVERA, M. COHEN, CURRY, DAILEY, DALEY, DALLY, DEMPSEY, DeWEESE, FICHTER, FREEMAN, GIGLIOTTI, GORDNER, GRUCELA, HALUSKA, HERMAN, HERSHEY, JAMES, LAUGHLIN, LEDERER, LEH, LESCOVITZ, LEVDANSKY, LYNCH, MANDERINO, MARKOSEK, MARSICO, MASLAND, McCALL, McILHATTAN, MELIO, MICOZZIE, S. MILLER, ORIE, PESCI, PETRARCA, PLATTS, PRESTON, READSHAW, ROONEY, RUBLEY, SAINATO, SAYLOR, SCHULER, SCRIMENTI, SHANER, STABACK, STEELMAN, STERN, STURLA, SURRA, TANGRETTI, E. Z. TAYLOR, TIGUE, TRUE, VAN HORNE, WALKO, WILLIAMS, WILT and WOJNAROSKI

A Resolution recognizing that the existing level of interstate and intrastate high-speed and conventional passenger and commuter rail services offered throughout this Commonwealth restricts economic development, hinders the traveling public and degrades Pennsylvania's natural resources; and calling for the cooperative efforts of the Governor, the General Assembly, Amtrak and other rail entities to resolve the problem.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 13, 1999.

No. 115 By Representatives PETRONE, CLYMER, READSHAW, HERSHEY, MARKOSEK, DALEY, HARHAI, YOUNGBLOOD, STEELMAN, MELIO, CALTAGIRONE, GEIST and LEDERER

A Concurrent Resolution requesting the General Assembly to consider reserving a portion of the funds the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania will receive from the tobacco industry settlement for use for the restoration of the State Capitol Building.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 13, 1999.

No. 120 By Representatives FAIRCHILD, HERSHEY, ARGALL, BAKER, BELARDI, BLAUM, BUXTON, CHADWICK, CLARK, COY, DEMPSEY, EGOLF, GEORGE, GORDNER, HALUSKA, HERMAN, HESS, MAITLAND, MAJOR, MARSICO, MASLAND, McNAUGHTON,

R. MILLER, S. MILLER, MUNDY, NAILOR, NICKOL, PHILLIPS, PLATTS, SATHER, SAYLOR, STABACK, STEELMAN, STERN, STRITTMATTER, STURLA, TIGUE, TRUE, TULLI, VANCE, YUDICHAK, ZIMMERMAN and ZUG

A Resolution encouraging cooperation and communication between and among the legislative branches of the states of Pennsylvania, New York and Maryland on matters relating to the conservation and management of the water resources of the Susquehanna River Basin.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 123 By Representatives HERSHEY, GEORGE, S. H. SMITH, SURRA, DeWEESE, ARGALL, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, BARD, BASTIAN, BELFANTI, BUNT, CAWLEY, CLYMER, M. COHEN, DALLY, FAIRCHILD, FARGO, FICHTER, GEIST, GORDNER, GRUCELA, HALUSKA, HARHAI, HASAY, HENNESSEY, HERMAN, HESS, LAUGHLIN, LEVDANSKY, LUCYK, LYNCH, MAHER, MAJOR, McCALL, McILHATTAN, McNAUGHTON, MELIO, R. MILLER, MUNDY, NAILOR, ORIE, PETRARCA, PIPPY, RAMOS, READSHAW, ROBERTS, ROHRER, ROSS, RUBLEY, SAYLOR, SCHULER, SERAFINI, SEYFERT, SHANER, SOLOBAY, STABACK, STAIRS, STERN, THOMAS, TIGUE, TRELLO, YOUNGBLOOD, YUDICHAK and ZUG

A Resolution urging the President of the United States and Congress to make the more than \$1 billion of Federal moneys already earmarked for abandoned mine reclamation available to states.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

No. 126 By Representatives ARMSTRONG, THOMAS, FLICK, TIGUE, ZIMMERMAN, EGOLF, SEYFERT, SOLOBAY, YUDICHAK, HARHART, SCHRODER, DAILEY, BARRAR, MAITLAND, YOUNGBLOOD, JOSEPHS, VITALI, WOJNAROSKI, MYERS, KIRKLAND, JAMES, HENNESSEY, BENNINGHOFF, SAYLOR, ARGALL, McILHATTAN, FRANKEL and CARN

A Resolution establishing the Subcommittee on Information Technology.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 13, 1999.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 3, PN 632

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 13, 1999.

SENATE MESSAGE

ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate
April 12, 1999

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week, it reconvene on Monday, April 19, 1999, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate: and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week, it reconvene on Monday, April 19, 1999, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question.

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, the gentleman, Mr. Snyder, who requests a leave for the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. HASAY, and the gentleman from Schuylkill County, Mr. ALLEN. Without objection, the leaves will be granted. The Chair hears no objection, and the leaves are granted.

The Chair understands that there are no Democratic requests for leave.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Mavernik	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhatten	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Soiobay
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stiel
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler

Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bulkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	James	Platts	Tullj
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Curry	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Maitland	Santoni	
Eachus	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Evans	Mann		

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Allen Hasay

LEAVES ADDED—4

Birmelin Cam Evans Gladeck

LEAVES CANCELED—2

Allen Hasay

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to take this opportunity to welcome Bill Tuthill, who is the Bristol Township Council president, and Ken Balcomb, who is a Bristol Township Council member. They are here today as guests of Representative Tony Melio from Bucks County, and they are seated to the left of the Speaker. Would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

The Chair would also like to advise the members that the Gettysburg Personnel Association is visiting the Capitol today, and that group consists of approximately 25 individuals representing top business leaders in Adams County. They are here today as guests of Representative Steve Maitland, and they are seated in the back of the House. Would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mrs. FORCIER called up HR 106, PN 1301, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the 25th anniversary of Junior Achievement of Crawford County and recognize April 22, 1999, as "Junior Achievement Day" in Crawford County.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Saylor
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Baker	Fichter	Masiand	Scrimenti
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Barley	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Shaner
Battisto	Freeman	Mellhattan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Snyder
Bellanti	George	Melio	Soibobay
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Staback
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Bulkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Cam	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Chadwick	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Civera	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Platts	Tullj
Colafella	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Cornell	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Costa	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Coy	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Curry	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	Laughlin	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Lawless	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lederer	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maitland	Samuelson	
Eachus	Major	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Manderino	Sather	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Washington

EXCUSED—2

Allen Hasay

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House resumed third consideration of HB 980, PN 1088, entitled:

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1999, to June 30, 2000, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1999; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Ben Franklin/IRC Partnership Fund, the Tuition Payment Fund, the Banking Department Fund and the Firearm Records Check Fund to the Executive Department; and to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department for the fiscal year July 1, 1999, to June 30, 2000; and to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1999, to June 30, 2000, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; and to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1999, to June 30, 2000, and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1999.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We are now on packet No. 11 of amendments to HB 980.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeLUCA offered the following amendment No. A1254:

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting State appropriation..... 120,564,000 Amend Sec. 222, page 118, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 For a pilot program for matching grants to municipalities for the sidewalks along State roads. State appropriation..... 500,000

On the question. Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca, on the floor? The amendment will be over temporarily.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PISTELLA offered the following amendment No. A1255:

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment." Of this appropriation \$2,000,000 shall be used for the T.E.A.C.H. Early Childhood Project.

On the question. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment will earmark \$2 million to be used for the TEACH (Technology Education Advancement for Children) Early Childhood Project. The TEACH Project is a project that provides scholarship for child day-care workers across the State. There are currently 200 teachers that are enrolled in this program, and I would appreciate the support of the members. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, what this amendment will actually do, the effect that it will have, is it will take money away from existing day-care programs. We are shifting money that is presently funding slots for kids that are being provided day care, and it is shifting some additional money—I think it may even be quadrupling the amount of money—that will be designated for what continues to be a pilot program.

Now, I think it would be wiser use of this money to continue to provide day care for single parents that need the day care and for the kids that desperately need the day care rather than to expand this pilot program. Allow the pilot program to continue as it has been initiated, under its present system, and then at the conclusion, we can legitimately, legitimately make the decision as to whether we should increase the funding or not.

So I would oppose this amendment based on the fact that it will eliminate slots for day care that are sorely needed today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Pistella, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the previous speaker's attempt to explain this amendment. I do feel, however, that he has not quite done justice to the entire picture that he is attempting to portray for you.

If you look at the legislation and you look at the specific section that I am amending, I am amending page 109, I am amending line 21, after the word "adjustment," that will affect a \$58-million appropriation. The characterization that the majority chairperson of the Appropriations Committee has made is not entirely correct. If you look, that \$58 million is to be used for up to a 2-percent increase in cost-of-living. Now, what that means is, you do not use the entire \$58 million. That amount of money has been appropriated, but there is no assurance that all of that money is going to go for the purpose of providing that cost-of-living.

What I am looking at doing is taking only 2 percent, or a small percentage, \$2 million, the purpose of which is to fund a program

that though it has been characterized as a pilot program, it has been in effect in the State of North Carolina for 6 years and is used as the model for a number of other States that provide the same program. We here in Pennsylvania have had this program in effect for 1 year. What it has done is it has provided scholarships for 200 individuals that work in child day care across the State. For Child Care Matters, a program in the TEACH program, there are 151 scholarships in Bucks County, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery, Philadelphia. Also, in the colleges, in Bucks Community, Delaware, Montgomery, and Philadelphia, there are a number of individuals that benefit from this particular program.

The amount of money that I am attempting to earmark is purely discretionary. Now, the characterization that these are used for worthwhile child-care programs is entirely accurate, but the problem is, that is not what the money is designated for. This money is designated as discretionary money that is entirely driven by local community projects. This amendment attempts to address the need that a number of local community providers have addressed to me as a concern, and it is money that is appropriated and used entirely in a discretionary fashion. The department can choose to use that money for those programs or not use them. So the interpretation that the majority chairperson of Appropriations has made that this money goes exclusively for day-care services to Pennsylvania families is not entirely accurate.

It is for that reason, Mr. Speaker, I would encourage us— And yes, it is a three- or four-fold increase in the amount of money that has been appropriated before, but it is demonstrated to work, and there are over 200 child-day-care direct-care-provider employees that are availing themselves of this program. If we increase this amount of money, we will be able to accommodate many more students, day-care providers, because the average cost is approximately \$2,000 per student. That is the necessity for increasing so dramatically this particular amount of money to be used for this purpose. So I do not want you to be misled that this is money that you are in fact taking away from well-deserved, well-meaning programs, because that money comes from other line items in this budget. The amount of money that I am attempting to designate is exclusively discretionary funds that are used by the Department of Welfare. I would appreciate your support for this program and for this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think the maker of this amendment certainly has provided some justification for this amendment. However, for our side of the aisle, the bottom line of this amendment is that it would reduce the number of slots for child care by 560 children, so I think as we are concerned about the availability of slots in each one of our legislative districts, a vote for this amendment would mean 560 children would not be able to attend child care. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Representative Williams, on the amendment.

Ms. WILLIAMS. I rise in support of this amendment, and I would just like to remind my colleagues on the other side of the aisle that they voted down an amendment that would enable us to provide more child-care slots, using our existing appropriated Federal funds. So they had a chance to do that, and I do not

understand why they are so concerned right now with the fact of cutting it down when they voted against it before.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Freeman	McCaill	Solobay
Belfanti	George	McGeehan	Staback
Bishop	Gigliotti	Melio	Steelman
Blaum	Gordner	Michlovic	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Myers	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Pesci	Tigue
Casorio	James	Petrarca	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Petrone	Trelio
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Pistella	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Preston	Van Home
Corrigan	Kirkland	Ramos	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Walko
Curry	Lawless	Roberts	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Robinson	Williams
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Levdansky	Rooney	Yewcic
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Youngblood
Donatucci	Manderino	Sainato	Yudichak
Eachus	Mann	Santoni	

NAYS—101

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McIlhatten	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalf	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Miller, R.	Steil
Birmelin	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Civera	Herman	Phillips	True
Clark	Hershey	Pippy	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Platts	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Raymond	Wilt
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Reinard	Wogan
Dailey	Kenney	Rohrer	Wright
Dally	Krebs	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Leh	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Samuelson	
Druce	Maher	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker
Fairechild	Major	Schroder	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Allen Hasay

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to take this opportunity to welcome Kendra Kembel, who is a senior at Dickinson College, and she is here serving as an intern to Representative Al Masland from Carlisle. She is seated to the left of the Speaker. Would she please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

The Chair would also like to welcome Alexandria Zeller and Nikki Cirulli. They are seventh grade students at Muhlenberg Middle School in Berks County. They are here today as guests of Representative Dante Santoni, Jr. They are serving as guest pages. Are they on the floor right now? If they are, would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. VITALI offered the following amendment No. A1256:

Amend Sec. 209, page 45, line 25, by inserting after "Bulletin."
All grants shall be awarded solely on the basis of the project's merits and needs of the applicant. All applications shall be scored using objective merit and need criteria developed by the department. All submitted grant applications and scoring information shall be available for public inspection and copying as soon as practicable. All grant application information contained on the department's computer data base known as the single application comprehensive tracking report shall be placed on the department's Internet home page.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The purpose of amendment 1256 is to convert the Community Revitalization Program from a grant program basically based in politics to a program based on merit-need. Mr. Speaker, as this House is aware, the Community Revitalization Program is a successor to the old WAM (walking-around money) program, and while it funds many worthy projects, it also funds things like parades. Little League teams, and other projects, while although worthy, are not the highest and best use of State dollars, and the reason why it funds both worthy and nonworthy projects is it does not have objective criteria. The only criteria, again, is politics.

So what this amendment would do, Mr. Speaker, is that it would require that with regard to this program, scoring criterias be set up

for grant awards, so that the merit and needs of projects would be based on objective scoring criteria, and that this scoring criteria would be open to public inspection. What the amendment would also do would be to require that all applications that were filed also be available to public inspection. Right now the public cannot see what applications are filed for the Community Revitalization Program.

Now, the importance of public inspection is to allow the public to make some sort of comparison between rejected applications and accepted applications, so they can make a real assessment as to whether the best use is being put to their money.

The amendment would also require that the computer data that the Department of Community and Economic Development gathers with regard to these grants — they have an extensive computer base — also be put up on the Internet home page — again, to open it up to closer scrutiny.

Mr. Speaker, in summary, what the amendment does is basically just require that this grant program be administered on a merit-need basis and its records be open to the public, and I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Can the Representative stand for interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates that he will. You are in order and may proceed.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Mr. Speaker, can you tell me how many grants are applied for in one fiscal year in the State of Pennsylvania to the Department of Community Affairs?

Mr. VITALI. I am going to guess and say that— The last time I checked the figures, there was an enormous amount of applications, perhaps about 5,000. That is a rough estimate.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The next question is, you have in your amendment that it is going to be based on merit, solely on the basis of the project's merit and the needs of the applicant. Can you inform me and inform the members how you are going to go about finding out if a project, an application, meets the merits that you are talking about?

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, it will be done as is done in many other grant programs. The department itself sets up criteria. And in fact, if you look at the language right now in the budget bill, you do have language, and I will quote, "...projects which in the judgment of the department..." and then it lists the whole set of criteria. This is the way we do business. I think it would be up to the department to set up a scoring criteria and make that determination of what is meritorious and what is not.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Let us talk about the small grants, like \$10,000 or \$5,000, to put in recreation equipment. We will talk about your area, where my parents had a home in Haverford Township, on Harrington Road. There is a park down the street that may need recreation equipment. There might be one in my area that needs recreation equipment or Mario Civera's area that needs recreation equipment. You mean to tell me we are going to send a person from the department down to see the site and look at the records of Haverford Township or Upper Darby Township? Is that how we are going to find out if there is merit?

Mr. VITALI. I might suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that things like playground equipment, while worthy to be funded, perhaps are not the type things that State government ought to be entering into.

I might suggest that things like that are things that may fall by the wayside. Playground equipment may fall by the wayside, and rightly so, when criteria are set up to review applications.

I might also suggest that grants of a smaller amount, the one- and two- and three- and four- and perhaps five-thousand-dollar grants, are just not cost effective for an entity like the State to give out. In the past, prior to the WAM program, these grants were funded by community efforts, fundraising efforts of civic associations and through the townships themselves. So I might suggest that these small grants for things like parades and playground equipment may not be the highest and best use for our State dollars.

Mr. MICOZZIE. So, Mr. Speaker, what you are saying is that then we are going to take out the fire companies with the equipment and whatever and eliminate all those small grants that we now do, that we think are legal and are needed in the area?

Mr. VITALI. Mr. Speaker, as a general principle, I think that fire safety is an extremely worthy use of our State dollars and would support grants towards that effect. I think that the most needy fire companies should get the money and for the most worthy purposes. Fire safety equipment for firemen I think would be the type thing that would pass a test if the proper criteria were set up.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am finished with my interrogation. I would like to make a statement.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, I resent, I resent the Representative using the word "WAMs." It is the legislative initiative program. It is a legal program. And I do not know about Havertown, but I know that Darby Borough and all the small boroughs and townships in my district, that the boroughs and townships cannot afford the recreation areas, and I ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Barley, seek recognition? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the program that is being discussed here and the program that is being addressed in this amendment is a program that has been fair; it is a program that has been competitive. It has been a very open process, and the projects that have been awarded money under this program have been awarded that money on merit. So it is a program that has worked very well for communities throughout Pennsylvania. It is a program that has worked very well for communities in Greene County, Juniata County, Lancaster County, Delaware County. Any county and every county in Pennsylvania has benefited very, very well.

Now, the final point that I think is very important to make: These applications are considered confidential until they are approved. That is a very important part of the process. That is essential in order to maintain the integrity of the program. That is really what this amendment attempts to do.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would advise and suggest that all members vote "no" on this amendment.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair returns to leaves of absence and notes the presence on the floor of the gentleman from

Luzerne County, Mr. Hasay, and requests that the clerk add the gentleman's name to the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman, Mr. Scrimenti, seeking recognition on this amendment? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. SCRIMENTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just heard the previous speaker make remarks about the process being fair, competitive, open, and I say not. The process is anything but that. I think what the gentleman from Delaware County is attempting to do today is restore integrity to the process. This is an honorable, honorable effort on his part to improve the process, and I think we should support that.

We have a process right now that really and truly does nothing to make government trusted and respected. Let us do something today with the support and a vote for this amendment to restore, once again, the integrity of the process.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Vitali, seek recognition for the second time on his amendment? The Chair sees no one else standing to speak.

Mr. VITALI. Just very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I mean, I agree generally with the Appropriations chair that what we want to do is have a program based on merit-need and an open program, and that is all this is. If you just look at the language of this amendment, that is all this program tries to do.

If you look at some of the awards that have been made — and I am not going to read down the list, but we have parades and statues and Little League teams in wealthy communities — it is really difficult to make the argument that this is a pure merit-need criteria. What I am suggesting is that if we do establish a merit-need criteria, tax dollars will be put to better use than they are being put now.

Now, with regard to the process being open and the Appropriations chair's remarks with regard to confidentiality, I am having a very difficult time following that. I think if a person is applying for public funds, there should not be this need for secrecy. I am not sure what the interest is, if a Little League team is applying for \$5,000, why they have an interest in keeping that application secret. I think to the contrary. I think it needs to be an open process so that, again, the public can weigh, when the money is delivered, the applications that have been rejected versus the applications that have been accepted, to make their own assessment as to whether this is a real merit-need program.

So if you just look at the language of the amendment, step beyond the rhetoric and just look at the words that we are voting for, all I am saying is this: Let us have a program based on merit-need and let us have the whole process open, and I hope you can support that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Mr. Speaker, I suggest we look carefully at the words in this amendment. This amendment calls for "objective" measurement. "Objective" measurement is measurement which is prone to quantification, prone to having the same result by different evaluators. I am all for a grant program which involves merit judgments. The program as it exists today does involve merit judgment. What it does not do is attempt to quantify that which is not quantifiable. As a C.P.A. (certified public accountant), I have

spent the better part of my life translating information that is prone to objective measurement into numbers. I would say to you that the evaluations of merit — what is good, what is better, what is best — s simply not prone to such objective measures and therefore urge a “no” vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Representative Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Just really briefly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If we cannot quantify something objectively when we are giving out public money, we are in real trouble. I say vote “yes.” Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—49

Battisto	Grucela	Mann	Samuelson
Belfanti	Gruitza	Masland	Santoni
Caltagirone	Haluska	Melio	Scrimenti
Carn	Hanna	Michlovic	Solobay
Casorio	Horsey	Mundy	Steelman
Cohen, M.	James	Myers	Sturla
Colafella	Josephs	Pesci	Trich
Curry	Kirkland	Petrone	True
Daley	Laughlin	Preston	Vitali
Eachus	Levdansky	Robinson	Washington
Evans	Lucyk	Roebuck	Yewcic
Freeman	Manderino	Rooney	Yudichak
Gordner			

NAYS—152

Adolph	Egolf	Marsico	Semmel
Argall	Fairchild	Mayernik	Serafini
Armstrong	Fargo	McCall	Seyfert
Baker	Feese	McGeehan	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	McGill	Smith, B.
Barley	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Flick	McIlhinney	Snyder
Bastian	Forcier	McNaughton	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Metcalfe	Stairs
Belardi	Gannon	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Geist	Miller, R.	Stern
Birmelin	George	Miller, S.	Stetler
Bishop	Gigliotti	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	Gladeck	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boyes	Godshall	O'Brien	Surra
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Tangretti
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Hasay	Petrarca	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Phillips	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pippy	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Pistella	Trello
Civera	Hess	Platts	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Ramos	Vance
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Readshaw	Veon
Cornell	Keller	Reinard	Walko
Corrigan	Kenney	Rieger	Williams
Costa	Krebs	Roberts	Wilt
Coy	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Wogan
Dailey	Lawless	Ross	Wojnaroski
Jally	Lederer	Rubley	Wright
DeLuca	Leh	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Sainato	Zimmerman
Dermody	Lynch	Sather	Zug
DeWeese	Maher	Saylor	

DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder	Ryan,
Donatucci	Major	Schuler	Speaker
Druce	Markosek		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to take this opportunity to recognize some guests who are here today. We have some third grade students from the Hoover Elementary School here as guests of Representative Pat Vance. They are located in the gallery. Would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

We also have some Lafayette Leopards with us here today. Professor Dan Shea and his class from Lafayette College in Easton are here as guests of Representative Robert Freeman. They are also located in the balcony. Would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WILT offered the following amendment No. A1258:

Amend Sec. 217, page 86, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 25,603,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 40,205,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 94, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 27,039,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 97, by inserting between lines 16 and 17
For services for the visually impaired.

State appropriation..... 8,329,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Good morning.

Mr. Speaker, in this Governor's budget, there is a proposal to transfer services for the visually impaired to the Department of Labor and Industry. Services to the blind, we believe, are more rehabilitative and social related than they are employment related, and therefore, this appropriation should be moved back to the Department of Public Welfare. There is also related funding

associated with this amendment that is included in the general government operations of this line item and also information systems that support services for the visually impaired, and I would ask that my colleagues unanimously support this because it is revenue neutral, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Tangretti, on the amendment.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman from Mercer would answer a brief question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. He indicates that he will. You are in order and may proceed.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was wondering, sir, if you could tell us how we are going to improve the timeframe under which an individual who has a need for these services is going to access these services, because I understand now it takes anywhere from 30 to 180 days.

Mr. WILT. That is a great question, Mr. Speaker, and what I would encourage you to do is the same thing that I will be doing as this budget moves towards its completion, and that is to work with your leadership and I will work with mine, and hopefully out of conference committee we can get another 2 to 5 percent within this line item out of general government operations so that we can reduce that timeframe. The problem that has existed has been that once someone realizes that they are in need of service, there is a waiting period, and you and I know that there is a traumatic effect on that particular individual when they need service because of an illness or an injury and they cannot get it because of a lack of funding.

So I would like us to be able to work together and hopefully bring this to a successful conclusion so that next year we are not faced with a backlog from last year, that we can start this next process, and I believe that there is enough money to do it. We need to get it directed in the right area.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you very much.

On the amendment, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Mr. Speaker, I would endorse wholeheartedly the gentleman's amendment. We do not need to create and reinvent the wheel in L&I. DPW has the expertise, and by all means, we need to increase the line-item appropriation. I think it is an outrage that we have individuals who have needs of these services and have the unfortunate situation of having to wait almost 180 days to access them. So indeed, I agree with the gentleman from Mercer, we ought to be working bipartisanly among our caucuses and with our leadership to accomplish that fact.

Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Mayemik	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert

Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stelman
Bishop	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petronc	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Truc
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Van Home
Comell	Kaiser	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Daily	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Yeweic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Maitland	Santoni	
Eachus	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Evans	Mann		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Lescovitz

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

**THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
PRESIDING**

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MICOZZIE offered the following amendment No. A1260:

Amend Sec. 219, page 98, line 4, by striking out "community programs" and inserting

the Community Hospital Integration Project Program (CHIPPS)

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a language amendment, Mr. Speaker, which redirects the 2-percent cost-of-living adjustment to the Community Hospital Integration Projects Program, which is known as CHIPPS. This program moves State mental hospital patients into less restrictive community programs. Hospital beds are closed, and funds are reallocated to follow the patients into the communities. CHIPPS was first implemented in the 1991-92 fiscal year and has not received a cost-of-living adjustment since that time.

I ask my colleagues for a "yes" vote on this fine amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the Micozzie amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, as I understand your amendment, you are taking the COLA from all the community mental health workers across the Commonwealth and placing it into the Community Hospital Integration Project?

Mr. MICOZZIE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. And your rationale for doing this is because there has not been an increase for that program since 1992?

Mr. MICOZZIE. Yes, and also, Mr. Speaker, of course, the hospitals are closing and the patients are moving into more nonrestrictive communities, and I think those programs should be funded with the 2-percent COLA.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am done with my interrogation. I would like to speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly oppose this amendment. We have received literally volumes of mail from direct-care workers across the Commonwealth, direct-care workers who are among the lowest paid public servants not only in this State but across the country. We have studies from the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, studies showing that these folks are paid below the poverty level in some instances of this country. We should be ashamed of that. And here we are taking what little the Governor put in this year's budget, we are taking that and placing it into a single program, where, admittedly, those folks may well need an increase, but they should not be robbing Peter to pay Paul in this instance, because Peter is poverty-stricken in this case.

We have amendments offered by the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky, that would attempt to address that other problem, and we were not able to get that vote on this floor. It is going to cost somewhere in the range of \$80 million-plus just to put them to the level where we are paying them a reasonable wage in this day's economy. We are losing workers and community mental health workers because of the pay stipend; we are losing them, and these people are not somebody that is unskilled. Oftentimes they come with degrees, master's degrees, advanced degrees, and we are paying them 6 and 7 bucks an hour. They are leaving there to go to a restaurant and work as a waiter or waitress or a bartender and making more money. This amendment takes that little that they have, little increase that they are getting, and applies it to a group. And let me say that the folks coming out of the hospitals, that portion of those patients admittedly may be in the most need in some instances but they are receiving State appropriations for their services and have in the past, when they were in the hospital, to the degree of 10 times what the folks in the community mental health system are receiving, 10 times that, and now we are taking what increases that we have in the community mental health system and applying it to those folks that historically have received 10 times what other folks have received in State revenues and State expenses.

I really think we ought to oppose this amendment very strongly and, beyond that, support other amendments that help the group that the revenues are being taken from. We need to strongly— We have to shoot down this amendment and address the problem of our direct-care workers in the community mental health system, which is another amendment. But this is the first step. We have to do this first and show our direct-care workers that we do care about them and that it is important—

The SPEAKER. Thirty seconds.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. —to address their needs.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I oppose the Micozzie amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

I would remind the members that we are under a rule that permits 5 minutes as the speaking time, and Mr. Michlovic was well within his time, but it was, I thought, appropriate for me to warn you of it.

Mr. Fairchild, from Union County, is recognized.

Mr. FAIRCHILD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise also to respectfully oppose this amendment.

A little background for my colleagues on both sides of the aisle: Last session we had a task force; it was the HR 187 task force, and shortly after the first hearing, it became quite evident that there was a large disparity of services provided in the community and the wages paid for those services. I believe it was after the first hearing or the second hearing that—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Members will please take their seats. Members, please take your seats.

The visitors in the gallery should be aware of the fact that we do not permit any displays of pleasure or displeasure after or during the debate. It is something that has been one of our rules for years, and I am not admonishing you or being critical of you but, rather, just telling you what our rules are.

Mr. Fairchild.

Mr. FAIRCHILD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It was after the first or second hearing that Representative Levdansky introduced the resolution that asked the

Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to revisit the issue of these salaries. That report has been distributed to members of the House, and it clearly shows that indeed those disparities do exist.

As we went through the hearing process and we had a total of five hearings, in each of those five hearings we heard testimony from not only providers but, probably more importantly, the parents of individuals with disabilities, and to a tee, if there was a common theme throughout those five hearings, it was that there was a great disparity and a great need to address this issue.

With that said, I do congratulate and encourage the administration for putting the 2-percent COLA in there. In fact, I think it should be a lot more, but we are going to address that on a different day.

I want to close with a statement that was made by a wonderful lady and parent of a person with disabilities at the Philadelphia hearing, and she testified that her son with mental retardation problems actually made more in a work program than what her supervisor did, the person that was in charge of the care of that individual, and that certainly pointed out in a nutshell a lot of the problems within the system.

So regretfully, I am asking all my colleagues to reject this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Micozzie amendment would change the use of the 2-percent COLA that is presently in the budget of \$569 million for mental health services and apply that 2-percent COLA only to the Community Hospital Integration Projects Program rather than to all of the community programs. Essentially, Mr. Speaker, what this does is it takes the very small amount of the 2-percent COLA that the Governor and that the Appropriations Committee have allocated in the budget for mental health services and allocates that all only to the Community Hospital Integration Project.

Mr. Speaker, there are far more mentally ill clients and citizens in Pennsylvania that never make it into a hospital setting than those that have ever actually been placed in a hospital setting; there are far more people suffering from mental illness in this State living with family members or living in community support facilities that have never made it into a hospital setting. What this amendment would do would redirect that 2-percent COLA that is intended for all the workers across the board in the mental health system and would allocate it only to those who provide support through the CHIPPs program, and while I have no doubt that the CHIPPs program needs an augmentation and a significant increase in funds, the way not to do this is to take the money from all the other providers and all the other workers out there in the system that provide the support, the critical support, for our mentally ill in Pennsylvania. This is the wrong way to go about increasing support for the Community Hospital Integration Project. We do need in fact to put more money into the budget to provide more adequate wages and salaries for those direct-care workers that take care of the most vulnerable of all Pennsylvanians, but, Mr. Speaker, this is not the way to do it.

I would therefore request a negative vote on the Micozzie amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair interrupts the discussion today to welcome to the hall of the House, as the guest of Representative Mundy, Timothy Fenner, a student at Wyoming Area High School. He is serving as a guest page today. His mother, Pam, and his sister, Courtney, are seated in the gallery. Would the guests please rise.

Also, today we have with us, as the guests of Representative Mundy, students and teachers from the Adventure Learning Center in the Wyoming Valley West School District. Would those folks please rise.

And as the guest of Representative Tulli, seated to the left of the Speaker is Brad Nestico, a student from Penn State University. Brad.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I have been personally involved with these facilities not only in my district but in my area. I have been personally involved with the men and women that run these facilities and also the men and women that work there. In the last 2 or 3 weeks, I must have received between 200 and 300 letters indicating the need for an increase for those men and women that work with the mentally retarded and the handicapped, and we all know it takes a special type of person to work in those facilities, to take care of these kids.

They always say, we do not know why the good Lord created little children with disabilities, but they think they know why. They want to test the men and women in government to see if they have the courage to make sure that they are taken care of, and the best way we can take care of them is to have competent people that work in those facilities. But, Mr. Speaker, at \$6 an hour and \$7 an hour, how long are they going to stay? And I will tell you how long. They stay long enough to get some experience; then they leave to a higher paying job.

Now, they need an increase; they need to make a decent living to take care, those men and women, and I respect what the gentleman is trying to do, but this is not the way to do it. Those men and women in those outlying communities that work in these facilities need that COLA. In fact, 2 percent is nowhere near enough, but they need that COLA badly, and that is why I respectfully ask for a negative vote on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise to respectfully disagree with the amendment being offered by my colleague, my distinguished colleague from Delaware County, and also rise to support the comments that were made by Representatives Fairchild and Levdansky. As a result of a resolution that passed this House, HR 450, the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee prepared this report, and if I may, Mr. Speaker, indulge the House with some of the service issues that were brought up and the concerns.

More people with mental disabilities are moving from State-operated institutions into the community. Also, children with

mental disabilities are less likely to be institutionalized and remain in the community upon reaching adulthood. In addition, more people with severe, multiple disabilities are living in the community as opposed to a clinical residential setting.

There are two critical populations which have immediate need for service: young adults with mental disabilities who are turning 21, who are aging out of the educational system and children's services, and older mentally disabled adults whose parents are growing older and are no longer able to care for them.

Direct-care staff provide a variety of residential and nonresidential services to the mentally disabled who live in the community. Care can range from minimal supervision of daily activities to highly skilled care such as lifting, bathing, and tube-feeding. Residential services are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

As more people with mental disabilities live in the community, more workers are needed to assist these people in meeting their daily needs and responsibilities. The training and skill of direct-care workers needs to match the needs of the people they serve.

The Legislative Budget and Finance Committee conducted a study and found that the average salary levels for many direct-care workers in mental health and mental retardation community-based programs are less, less, Mr. Speaker, than the poverty level for a family of four, which is \$15,854.

The Legislative Budget and Finance Committee study found that the turnover was 42 percent. Low salaries in these programs have contributed to this unacceptably high turnover rate. Staff turnover in mental health and mental retardation programs has resulted in an inefficient use of funding for recruiting, training, and supervising new employees.

Staff turnover in mental health and mental retardation programs is destructive to the quality of care by causing interruption in a consumer's progress in therapy or habilitation.

While the Department of Public Welfare may put in a COLA for a county's budget for community programs for the mentally disabled, that COLA may never reach the direct-care worker.

County budgets for services for the mentally disabled come from both State and Federal dollars. The Federal dollars come through the various medical assistance waivers. The COLA only goes on State-funded dollars. Due to a variety of valid reasons, the county may not pull down the anticipated amount of Federal dollars and may provide more services under State dollars than planned. As a result, counties and providers can run short of money or barely break even, let alone having extra money to increase wages.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Levdansky and I and Representative Jane Orié had made every attempt to include \$90 million in this year's budget, but because of the ranking of the amendments to be introduced, we were way low on the ranking order and our moneys were coming from unaccounted-for surpluses. We did not want to hurt anybody in taking money from other departments, and we did not have our opportunity to have this issue be aired.

So in conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I do rise to object to the Micozzie amendment most respectfully. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to add my voice of objection to this amendment, but at the same time I want to thank the maker of the amendment for bringing this issue to the floor of the House for debate.

Just think about it. If the 2-percent COLA that would go across the board was going to just one portion of the providers, what kind of increase would they get? I do not know the answer. I do not know how big of a portion of the total provider community they are, direct-care provider, but would it be a 4-percent COLA? Would it be a 10-percent COLA? Would it be a 25-percent COLA? Well, guess what, Mr. Speaker? Even if it was a 25-percent COLA, based on the wages that these workers in direct care are receiving, that would not be extraordinary wages. That probably, though I have not done the math, would barely bring them up to par to median level wages. These are folks who are doing a yeoman's job for very needy and worthy constituents of ours for a pittance of pay.

This is an area that deserves the kind of focus and attention that this amendment has brought to the debate. More importantly, this is an area that deserves a portion of the money that is available in the State surplus.

I thank the maker for bringing this to the attention of the House. I think the wages that direct-care workers are being paid are a pittance and they are a shame that that is what we are doing in our Commonwealth. I wish that we would not have run out of money for the \$90 million that has been requested, because even some portion of that would have made a big difference in people's lives.

So while I ask you to vote "no" on the Micozzie amendment, I ask you also to be serious about this issue. We know the process is not over. We know that there is going to be a conference committee, and we know that it is important to get to our leadership our top priorities in this year's budget. I ask each of you who are serious about this issue to put the care wages of direct service providers in mental health on the top of your list of budget priorities and make it one of the top few that you are lobbying for this season.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the Micozzie amendment.

Although I think we are beating a dead horse, I would like to kick it one more time.

It has been my experience since coming to Harrisburg that the MH/MR, mental health/mental retardation, budget is the last to be funded and the first to be cut. At a time in Pennsylvania when the economy is so strong, the last thing that we should consider is making any cuts to any MH/MR budget.

I again ask for a "no" vote on the Micozzie amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—12

Adolph	Gannon	Raymond	Ryan,
Barley	James	Roebuck	Speaker
Barrar	Micozzie	Wogan	
Civera	Myers		

NAYS—188

Argall	Fargo	Manderino	Saylor
Armstrong	Feese	Mann	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Markosek	Schuler
Bard	Fleagle	Marsico	Scrimenti
Bastian	Flick	Masland	Semmel
Battisto	Forcier	Mayernik	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McCall	Seyfert
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Shaner
Belfanti	Geist	McGill	Smith, B.
Benninghoff	George	McIlhatten	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gigliotti	McIlhinney	Snyder
Bishop	Gladeck	McNaughton	Solobay
Blaum	Godshall	Melio	Staback
Boyes	Gordner	Metcalfe	Stairs
Browne	Grucela	Michlovic	Steelman
Bunt	Gruitza	Miller, R.	Steil
Butkovitz	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Buxton	Haluska	Mundy	Stetler
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nailor	Stevenson
Cappabianca	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Carn	Harhart	O'Brien	Sturla
Casorio	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Cawley	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hershey	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hess	Petrarca	Thomas
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trello
Cornell	Josephs	Pistella	Trich
Corrigan	Kaiser	Platts	True
Costa	Keller	Preston	Tulli
Coy	Kenney	Ramos	Vance
Curry	Kirkland	Readshaw	Van Horne
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Veon
Daley	LaGrotta	Rieger	Vitali
Dally	Laughlin	Roberts	Walko
DeLuca	Lawless	Robinson	Washington
Dempsey	Lederer	Rohrer	Williams
Dermody	Leh	Rooney	Wilt
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Ross	Wojnaroski
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Rubley	Wright
Donatucci	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yewcic
Druce	Lynch	Sainato	Youngblood
Eachus	Maher	Samuelson	Yudichak
Egolf	Maitland	Santoni	Zimmerman
Fairchild	Major	Sather	Zug

NOT VOTING—1

Evans

EXCUSED—1

Allen

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DRUCE offered the following amendment No. A1261:

Amend Sec. 215, page 78, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 3,540,000

For ovarian cancer programs. State appropriation.....

55,000

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Druce.

Mr. DRUCE. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment merely earmarks out of the Department of Health's budget, which allocates some \$3.5 million for cancer programs, it takes that money and just earmarks \$55,000 for ovarian cancer programs. In essence, this amendment really does not take from any one place: it just earmarks that that specific amount of money be spent on ovarian cancer programs.

I appreciate the members' support. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Saylor
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Barley	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Shaner
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhatten	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Snyder
Belfanti	George	Melio	Solobay
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Staback
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Tulli
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	

Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Wilt

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **BLAUM** offered the following amendment No. **A1262**:

Amend Bill, page 194, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 1812. Tobacco settlement payments.—All payments received by the Commonwealth under the Master Tobacco Settlement Agreement shall be deposited into the Tobacco Settlement Account, which is hereby created within the General Fund as a restricted receipts account, which moneys are appropriated to the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency for purposes of tuition assistance for students who are residents of this Commonwealth attending institutions of higher education in this Commonwealth.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. **BLAUM**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1262 deals with a provision to be placed in the budget to set aside revenues coming to us from the tobacco settlement in which, as the amendment calls for, that the funds be placed in the PHEAA (Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency) accounts so that we can begin educating and reducing tuitions for Pennsylvania students who attend Pennsylvania colleges and institutions of higher learning and dramatically make college more affordable for the children and families of Pennsylvanians.

As this money becomes available to the States, the 50 States throughout the country, there are no bad ideas on how this money should be assigned or spent, but it seems to me that we have an opportunity in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, not only here today with this amendment but in the weeks and months ahead as this legislature begins to wrestle with the idea of how to spend this money, there being no bad idea. I believe that putting it into education in Pennsylvania in order to reduce tuitions at Pennsylvania colleges for Pennsylvania students and families is the very best way that the Governor and the legislature can make an impact on the future of this Commonwealth.

So I would ask the members for an affirmative vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The lady, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. **MANDERINO**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I respectfully disagree with the maker of this amendment and ask members to vote “no” on A1262.

I believe it is very premature for us within the context of this budget to decide the future into perpetuity of tobacco settlement funds that will be coming to Pennsylvania. I know that a lot of members are interested in the subject matter. I have seen a lot of cosponsorship memos for a lot of worthy causes. I personally believe that the tobacco settlement funds ought to be reserved for health-related issues since that is the reason that the dollars are coming to us, but whether you agree or disagree with me in terms of the use of those funds, I think this is a subject matter that deserves a full debate of the whole General Assembly in a manner in which we can look at all of the options that are available, all of the restrictions, all of the possibilities.

The maker is correct: this is a very unique opportunity that the States are coming into a new source of funds, but these are health dollars from a tobacco settlement, and I would like to see us have a very serious debate about the health-care needs as well as all the other needs of the citizens of Pennsylvania.

So I ask you, not because you are not for PHEAA, because PHEAA and student loans and the affordability of college is a very important subject matter to all of our constituents, but I submit that this is not the time or the place to make a decision on tobacco settlement funds, and I ask for a “no” vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Barley.

Mr. **BARLEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I could not agree with the previous speaker any more. I am not going to belabor the issue. My colleague on the Appropriations Committee, Ms. Manderino, made the argument very well. It is premature to be in this debate. We do not have the money. It is not in our control. Furthermore, all the discussions have centered around using this money for expanding health-care purposes and services.

So again, I would just urge my colleagues today to vote “no” on the issue, move on, and at the appropriate time we will give this issue the hearing and the debate and the discussion that it deserves, but that is not today.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, from Allegheny County is recognized. Will the gentleman yield.

Will the members please take their seats.

Mr. Markosek.

Mr. **MARKOSEK**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise to submit to the legislature that we vote “no” on this particular amendment.

As the previous speakers have mentioned, this is premature. We do not actually have the money. Some of us have some other ideas on how some of that money should be spent. I think the great majority of it will be spent on health care, but keep in mind, the current numbers that I have been hearing are something like \$500 or \$600 million every year for the next 25 years in Pennsylvania. It is quite a windfall, and I think there are a number of things we can do with that.

If we personally would win any kind of settlement from a court suit, the court would not put any restrictions on us on how it would be spent. You could spend it any way you want, and that is the same way with the Commonwealth. We have won a court suit, and

we, the Commonwealth, through the power invested in us in the General Assembly, have the discretion on how that money can be spent, and to say at this point in time that it should be spent on one particular item. I think is certainly premature and would not be the right way to go.

So I would respectfully ask that the members vote "no" to this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman and recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, let me first commend Representative Blaum for taking a step out on faith. There is an old principle that we all are familiar with and respect, and that is, first in line, first in time. I have not seen another amendment or another legislative proposal which talks about how future or prospective tobacco settlement moneys should be used, and so I want to thank the gentleman for stepping out there and for at least offering an opportunity for us to become focused as to how these potential revenues should be used.

However, there is also another principle which we all respect, and that is that this is not ripe for review. The whole tobacco settlement issue has not been resolved. While we have prospective indicators of how much money we will be talking about, we do not know at this time how much money is going to be involved or the circumstances under which those moneys will be distributed. So it would be not only premature but almost, almost, negligent on our part to begin to divvy up something that we do not have a clear and absolute handle on.

And so the Blaum amendment, while it is good — and I ask Representative Blaum to keep it on the table even though it might not pass this time — it is something that should be on the table and it is something that we should keep in mind once we get to a tobacco settlement, but for today, for this hour, for this discussion, I ask for a "no" vote on amendment 1262. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time, on behalf of the members of the House, would like to congratulate the winners of Representatives Gladeck and Cohen's D.-A.-R.-E. (Drug Abuse Resistance Education), D.A.R.E., poster contest, who are here with their parents today, seated at the rear of the hall of the House. Special congratulations to Kevin Magarity of the Bridle Path Elementary School, who was the overall winner. Folks.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the amendment.

The House Insurance Committee is now initiating at least four hearings throughout the State on the tobacco settlement money. The first one is going to be Thursday morning at 10 o'clock here in the Capitol. Representative O'Brien with the Health Committee, of which I am a member, also has had hearings. I think we have had two hearings just to find out and just get an idea what to do with the money.

So I think the amendment is premature, and I ask my colleagues to hold off and, of course, vote "no" on this amendment until we have more information. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Blaum, for the second time.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I respect the opinions of my colleagues who believe it is premature. I think it is early, but it is not premature, and the legislation, the enabling legislation, which we have been drafting and circulating a memo on cosponsorship, has received many, many cosponsors on this floor, because I think, Mr. Speaker, as all of us begin to weigh how we are going to spend this windfall, there is no bad idea that I have heard. Every idea I have heard has been outstanding, but I think we know if it all goes into health care, that somehow these funds will dissipate and disappear. But we can educate, for the students and families of Pennsylvania, at least one and probably two generations of kids and make college education affordable to the families of Pennsylvania from the southeast to the northwest, from the southwest to the northeast, all across central Pennsylvania, in dramatically reducing tuitions for Pennsylvania students, Pennsylvania families, at Pennsylvania institutions of higher learning.

Just a cursory bit of research done on this issue suggests, if we spent this money on Pennsylvania families just in the State System, it would eliminate tuition for Pennsylvania families in the State System of Higher Education, and I do not propose that. I propose allowing this money to be used to dramatically reduce tuitions for kids in this generation and the next and, if it is invested correctly, in the one after that in Pennsylvania, in all Pennsylvania institutions who wish to participate.

So, Mr. Speaker, it is not premature. It might be early, but it is not going to go away. There is going to be an alternative to spending this money all on health care throughout Pennsylvania, and I ask for the members to send a message with this vote — and not to me — and to send a message that reducing tuitions in the Commonwealth is something I believe is worthwhile, and I ask the members for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—25

Battisto	Evans	Lucyk	Robinson
Bellanti	Haluska	Mundy	Roebuck
Blaum	Hanna	Pesci	Rooney
Callagirone	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Kirkland	Readshaw	Veon
Daley	Levdansky	Roberts	Walko
DeWeese			

NAYS—176

Adolph	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Seyfert
Baker	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Freeman	Mellhattan	Snyder
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Staback

Belardi	George	Melio	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Steelman
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Boyes	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Browne	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Bunt	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Harhai	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cam	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hershey	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Truc
Cohen, M.	James	Piatts	Tulli
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kelier	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Reinard	Washington
Coy	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Curry	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Wilt
Dailey	Laughlin	Ross	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rubley	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Ruffing	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Sainato	Yewcie
Dermody	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Santoni	Yudichak
Donatucci	Maher	Sather	Zimmerman
Druce	Maitland	Saylor	Zug
Eachus	Major	Schroder	
Egolf	Manderino	Schuler	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Scriminti	Speaker
Fargo	Markosek		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as the guest of the Pennsylvania Legislative Black Caucus, Mr. J. Whyatt Mondesire, the president of the Philadelphia NAACP. Would the gentleman please rise.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WILT offered the following amendment No. A1263:

Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 39,331,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 212,159,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, this amendment would increase the cash-grant appropriation by \$70 a month for those who are currently receiving a blind pension. It would increase the average monthly pension amount from \$94 to \$164 a month. Last term we had the bill in and it did not survive the amendment process. I am hoping this year that it will. And the source of funds for this amendment is the cash-grant-decrease recalculation based on the number of people who are receiving this blind pension.

So I ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Tangretti is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, last night we passed an amendment that I offered and that was supported I think almost unanimously, if it was not unanimously, to allow those individuals on blind pension to increase the amount of money that they can make in outside incomes aside from the blind pension. At the time that I first proposed this over 9 years ago, I was told by the department that we could not increase the dollar amount that individuals get from the State for blind pension because there was not enough money in the budget, and so for 9 years we have been working and trying to get at least the ability to raise money that they can make from outside sources.

The gentleman from Mercer County has taken now the next step, and particularly in view of the fact that the State is fairly well endowed now with a surplus, that we can increase both the outside income that individuals who are visually impaired can make as well as the dollar amount that they get from the State, from the Department of Public Welfare.

I think it is an absolute essential. We are not talking about a lot of dollars here. There are not a lot of people involved. It is something that we can do without harming the Commonwealth in any appreciable manner, and we can do such wonderful things for a lot of great people with this money.

So I would urge that we adopt the Wilt amendment, and I hope we would do it unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Wilt, for the second time on the issue.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And again very briefly, I want to thank the previous speaker for his acceptance of this amendment, and I want to correct the record.

The source of funds would be from the general government operations line of DPW's budget. So I just want the members to be clear where the money is coming from.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Gordner, on the issue.

Mr. GORDNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Wilt, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. GORDNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the amendment that I see, the appropriate section that is amended is page 99, line 16, and I am looking at the budget on page 99 and I am looking at line 16, and right above it it says, "For cash assistance grants including employment and training and child care services for cash assistance recipients and child care

services for former cash assistance recipients." I see that you are increasing that line item and I hear debate about blind services, and I do not understand how increasing the line item for cash assistance grants addresses that issue.

Mr. WILT. Mr. Speaker, the cash-grant line includes the amount that has been allocated. As one of the previous speakers mentioned, for the last 9 years he has been trying to change it, but that is the line item where this cash grant is right now. That is the blind pension line.

Mr. GORDNER. So, Mr. Speaker, you are not specifically— There is nothing in the amendment that you are offering that specifically indicates that the amount would go for that. You are just increasing the general line item that also includes cash assistance grants.

Mr. WILT. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. GORDNER. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayemik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yeweic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug

Druce	Maier	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUXTON offered the following amendment No. A1264:

Amend Sec. 213, page 66, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 16,846,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 67, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 34,578,000

Amend Sec. 214, page 72, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 54,788,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 104, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

For preventive health care services for low-income women who are uninsured or underinsured.

State appropriation 15,000,000

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 116,064,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Buxton.

Mr. BUXTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 1264 creates the Keeping Women Healthy initiative in Pennsylvania. Keeping Women Healthy is a commonsense program of health care for low-income, uninsured adult women. This initiative will provide preventive health service including screening for high blood pressure, cancer, and sexually transmitted disease and contraception. Keeping Women Healthy also provides education about health, prenatal, parenting, and adoption education, and it also provides for outreach to inform women of the benefits and availability of preventive health care.

The Pennsylvania Department of Health reported in 1997 that more than 400,000 women in Pennsylvania did not have any type of health insurance. Lack of health insurance means that women are less likely to receive preventive health care. Health problems such as cancer and heart disease go undetected and untreated. Women without health insurance are four times more likely than women who have insurance to be hospitalized for avoidable

conditions. Uninsured women with breast cancer are more likely to require more extensive and expensive procedures than those women with health insurance.

By providing women's preventive health care, we can reduce hospitalizations, diagnose cancer early when it is more treatable, intervene early to help manage diabetes and hypertension. State funding, for example, for breast and cervical cancer screening, pregnancy prevention, and testing and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases has declined by \$1.7 million since the 1995-96 fiscal year. During this same period, the number of low-income women without health insurance has grown while tightening eligibility restrictions have pushed most women out of Medicaid.

I would ask for your affirmative vote to provide needed health-care coverage for over 400,000 uninsured women in Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Lancaster, Mrs. True.

Mrs. TRUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Buxton, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mrs. TRUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, is this money in your amendment above what is known as the family planning money the Governor has already put in our budget?

Mr. BUXTON. Yes.

Mrs. TRUE. And, Mr. Speaker, could you tell me the agencies where this money goes; I mean, how it will be distributed through DPW? Where it would go? Who would have the use of this money?

Mr. BUXTON. The appropriation would be to the Department of Public Welfare. The Department of Public Welfare would contract with various local providers to provide this insurance coverage for uninsured women.

Mrs. TRUE. Would you mind, Mr. Speaker, naming some of the providers that could possibly use these funds?

Mr. BUXTON. That would be up to the Department of Public Welfare, once they receive this allocation, to seek out those providers I am sure through a request for proposals.

Mrs. TRUE. Mr. Speaker, could I respectfully ask, could any of this money be used for providing abortions or abortion counseling?

Mr. BUXTON. This money is in no way intended to provide any support for abortion or abortion counseling. It is strictly for preventive health insurance purposes.

Mrs. TRUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have no more questions.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Vitali, seek recognition? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I applaud the maker of the amendment and his legislation and the worthy goals.

I just want to point out to the members that this does reduce the budget for environmental protection in Pennsylvania by \$7 million. I do not think that we ought to be doing that, because currently we are not enforcing environmental regulation to the extent necessary now. So despite the worthy intent of this legislation, I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Tangretti.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If I might, Mr. Speaker, I would ask a few questions of the maker of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Buxton, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman from Harrisburg could further elaborate on some of the questions that the gentlelady from Lancaster was asking in that, more specifically, if the department were to contract with an organization that does provide for abortions or abortion counseling, could some of this money be used by that organization to supplant some of those other services they perform and use those moneys for abortions or abortion counseling?

Mr. BUXTON. Mr. Speaker, it is the legislative intent and the intent of those who support this legislation that no moneys, even if it were to go to a provider that supported abortion or abortion counseling, be used for that purpose.

Mr. TANGRETTI. And further, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman from Harrisburg would agree then, if the legislative intent is such — and I have no doubts that it is not and I think it is something that we all want to do, although there are those of us who are concerned about the subject matter at hand — would you agree, sir, to allow for the restrictive contracted language that exists presently that the administration has through the department worked out that guarantees in effect that this money would not be used for abortion or abortion counseling?

Mr. BUXTON. I would support that.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Birmelin.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Mr. Speaker, I would like the opportunity to interrogate the maker of this amendment, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me take you back to a statement that you made earlier as to what these services were that were to be provided dealing with the issue of contraceptives. The contraceptive distribution to the women who would be included in this appropriation that you are making, would that include minors under the age of 18?

Mr. BUXTON. No, it would not. This amendment is intended to provide insurance coverage to women age 20 to 44.

Mr. BIRMELIN. And how do we know that — your amendment itself does not say that — how do we know that that is the age that it will be applied to? What guarantee can you give us that that is the case?

Mr. BUXTON. I have no legislative guarantee, only to advise you that the proponents of this amendment and those of us who will be working with the Department of Welfare to establish this program have established the age limit of 20 to age 44.

Mr. BIRMELIN. So in effect, your amendment does not restrict it to any age group. You are depending on some follow-through on the Department of Welfare and others to make that a fact. Is that correct?

Mr. BUXTON. That is correct.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Thank you.

I have concluded my interrogation, Mr. Speaker. I would like to speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. BIRMELIN. I am a little bit concerned about this, that we are being asked to appropriate money for what many of us here would probably not object to if it were the age group that the maker of the amendment has indicated — that is, ages 21 and above — but there are many of us who really do feel very strongly that we should not continue in the path that we have taken in the distributing of Federal funds, and that is distributing contraceptives to minor children without any parental knowledge and/or consent.

So for that reason alone I am going to vote "no" on this amendment. I think it is a risk that we should not take. This amendment should have had that restrictive language in it if they were going to propose it, and quite frankly, I do not trust the Department of Public Welfare to do what I believe to be the right thing, and so I am going to ask my colleagues to vote "no." Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—130

Bard	Evans	Masland	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Snyder
Belfanti	George	McGill	Solobay
Bishop	Gigliotti	McIlhinney	Staback
Blaum	Gladeck	Melio	Stairs
Boyes	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Browne	Grucela	Miller, R.	Steil
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhai	Oliver	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hasay	Petrarca	Thomas
Cohen, L. I.	Herman	Pistella	Tigue
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Platts	Travaglio
Colafella	James	Preston	Treilo
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Trich
Corrigan	Kaiser	Readshaw	Tulli
Costa	Keller	Reinard	Vance
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Van Horne
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Veon
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Waiko
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Washington
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Williams
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Ross	Wright
Dermody	Levdanský	Rubley	Yewcic
DeWeese	Lucyk	Ruffing	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Manderino	Sainato	Yudichak
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	
Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti	Ryan,
Eachus	Marsico	Serafini	Speaker

NAYS—68

Adolph	Fairchild	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Fargo	Maher	Saylor
Armstrong	Fichter	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Fleagle	Major	Schuler
Barley	Flick	McIlharten	Semmel
Barrar	Forcier	McNaughton	Seyfert
Bastian	Gannon	Metcalfe	Stern
Benninghoff	Geist	Micozzie	Stevenson
Birmelin	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stritmatter
Bunt	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Orie	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Perzel	Vitali
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Wilt

Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Wogan
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Egolf	Leh	Samuelson	Zug

NOT VOTING—3

Kenney	Myers	Petrone
--------	-------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time turns to packet 12. I am going to go through this packet to see if there are any other members who are willing to withdraw their amendments.

Cohen?

Staback is withdrawn. The gentleman, Mr. Staback, is your amendment 1276 withdrawn? Yes, it is withdrawn? Thank you.

Mr. Micozzie, 1277?

Ms. Williams, 1278? Withdrawn.

Bunt, 1279? Run.

Michlovic, 1280? Run.

Scrimenti, 1281?

Kirkland, I show 1282 withdrawn.

Bebko-Jones, 1283? Run.

Battisto, 1284? Run.

Mr. Gordner? 1285 and 1439 are withdrawn. Thank you.

Mr. Vitali, 1286? Run.

Mr. Staback, 1287? Run.

Battisto, 1288? Run.

Stern, 1291? Mr. Stern, are you running 1291? Thank you.

I show the next three amendments on the page — 1292, 1294, and 1295 — being withdrawn by the gentleman, Mr. Colafella.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COHEN offered the following amendment No. A1274:

Amend Bill, page 194, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 1812. Transfer from Department of Corrections.—An amount equal to or greater than 1% of the total Commonwealth funds appropriated to the Department of Corrections is transferred to the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency for community-oriented policing grants. These funds shall be distributed to municipalities operating local law enforcement agencies or municipalities contracting for local law enforcement services with another municipality. Grants to municipalities shall be based on the following formula: 50% shall be based on population, and 50% shall be based on the number of Part I offenses under the Pennsylvania Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program for the preceding calendar year.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the Cohen amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment seeks to allocate \$10.8 million to the Commission on Crime and Delinquency to provide statewide funding to aid communities in hiring additional policemen or providing for additional man-hours of existing policemen to deter crime in our neighborhoods. Under this amendment, every municipality will receive additional funds for community-oriented policing services.

Mr. Speaker, many in this House have been advocates of putting more cops on the beat or what is often known as community policing. The public supports these efforts to provide for more police in our neighborhoods. This amendment offers the House an opportunity to support our many neighborhoods in their efforts to provide a safe and secure environment to live in and to raise families. This amendment provides the opportunity to place the equivalent of 270 more policemen on the streets in our neighborhoods, assuming it costs \$40,000 a policeman per year.

This amendment distributes half the money based solely on population, and the other half is based on the number of serious crimes reported in each municipality. By the number of serious crimes, I mean those reported in part I of the Uniform Crime Report, including the crimes of murder, manslaughter, rape, robbery, assault, burglary, larceny, vehicular homicide, and arson.

Distributing half the money based on population, I believe, recognizes the political realities of the Commonwealth that all communities in some measure feel threatened by crime either in their own community or in neighboring communities.

Distributing money based on the number of serious crimes recognizes that we must fight crime where it occurs. We must begin to put our resources where the problem is greatest in order to see that the problem does not spread as it has been spreading to historically relatively safe and secure neighborhoods.

I sent each member of the House a printout which delineates how much money will be available to Pennsylvania's municipalities, by county, should this amendment pass.

Mr. Speaker, as the rule requires, my amendment takes 1 percent from the Department of Corrections to fund these additional crime prevention efforts, spending a little bit less on incarceration and a little bit more on crime prevention, but if that is unacceptable to the conference committee, I am sure they could find other sources for this money.

The question before us today is, will the House support hiring additional police protection to deter crime in our neighborhoods? I hope the answer to this is yes. I hope we can send a message to the prospective criminals that they are going to be caught and they should not commit crimes.

I urge support for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

CONSTITUTIONAL POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, is recognized.
Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to challenge the constitutionality of this amendment.

What we are doing, what we are being asked to do by this amendment, is to delegate our responsibility to, in this case, the Department of Corrections to determine the amount of money to be appropriated to this community policing program. The specific language indicates that it is to be a figure greater than 1 percent, so we do not have a fixed appropriation here. We just have a vague reference that allows that decision. The decision as to the final amount that will be appropriated, that decision rests with the Department of Corrections the way this amendment is drafted.

So therefore, Mr. Speaker, I challenge the constitutionality of this amendment under Article II, section I, of the Pennsylvania Constitution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Barley, raises the point of order that amendment No. A1274 is unconstitutional.

Under the rules, the Speaker is required to submit questions affecting the constitutionality of an amendment to the House for decision. At this time the Chair now does that.

On the question.

Will the House sustain the constitutionality of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cohen, on the question of constitutionality.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, the clear intention of this bill is to take a specific amount of money—1 percent, which is \$10,800,000—and give it to local communities throughout the State for more police protection. That is its specific intent.

The language that Mr. Barley complains about can easily be cleaned up in conference committee. As we all know, the conference committee starts out with a blank slate. They could easily write the language to make it more specific.

I would urge you all to support more police in the local communities by voting for the constitutionality of this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of constitutionality, Mr. Chadwick.

Mr. CHADWICK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman from Philadelphia asks us to vote for this as constitutional because it can be cleaned up in conference, but the fact of the matter is, the plain language before us that we have to vote on says, "An amount equal to or greater than...." so it is not a specific appropriation. The language clearly indicates that this is unconstitutional. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of constitutionality, those believing the amendment to be constitutional shall vote "aye"; those believing it to be unconstitutional shall vote in the negative.

On the question recurring.

Will the House sustain the constitutionality of the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—104

Battisto	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	George	McGeehan	Staback
Bishop	Gigliotti	Melio	Steelman

Blaum	Gordner	Michlovic	Stetler
Butkovitz	Grucela	Mundy	Sturla
Buxton	Gruitza	Myers	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhai	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Horsey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	James	Petrone	Travaglio
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Pistella	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Walko
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Williams
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wogan
Dermody	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
DeWeese	Lucy	Sainato	Yewcic
Donatucci	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Eachus	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak

NAYS-97

Adolph	Fargo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Armstrong	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Gannon	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Geist	Metcalfe	Snyder
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Birmelin	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Boyes	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Browne	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Bunt	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Truc
Clark	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Cornell	Hutchinson	Platts	Wilt
Dailey	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Wright
Dally	Kenney	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Krebs	Rohrer	Zug
DiGirolamo	Leh	Ross	
Druce	Lynch	Rubley	Ryan,
Egolf	Maher	Sather	Speaker
Fairchild	Maitland	Saylor	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the constitutionality of the amendment was sustained.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman. Mr. Cohen, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you. Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the members of the House for voting for the constitutionality of this amendment. I and people throughout the State would deeply appreciate a very strong vote

for more police to deter crime by passing this amendment. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-156

Adolph	Druce	Marsico	Saylor
Argall	Eachus	Mayernik	Schuler
Armstrong	Egolf	McCall	Scrimenti
Bard	Evans	McGeehan	Semmel
Barrar	Feese	McGill	Serafini
Bastian	Fichter	McIlhinney	Shaner
Battisto	Fleagle	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Flick	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	Frankel	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Freeman	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stetler
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Grucela	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Gruitza	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Habay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hanna	Petrarca	Thomas
Casorio	Harhai	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Hasay	Pippy	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Pistella	Trello
Clymer	James	Platts	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Vitali
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Walko
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Washington
Costa	Krebs	Roberts	Williams
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright
Daley	Lederer	Rooney	Yewcic
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
Dempsey	Lucy	Ruffing	Zug
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Mann	Santoni	Speaker
Donatucci	Markosek		

NAYS-44

Baker	Geist	Maitland	Schroder
Barley	Gordner	Major	Seyfert
Benninghoff	Harhart	Masland	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Hennessey	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Herman	Miller, R.	Stairs
Chadwick	Hershey	Miller, S.	Steil
Clark	Hess	Nailor	Stern
Fairchild	Hutchinson	Nickol	Tulli
Fargo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Forcier	Leh	Raymond	Wilt
Gannon	Lynch	Sather	Zimmerman

NOT VOTING-1

True

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MICOZZIE offered the following amendment No. A1277:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 23,010,000

Amend Sec. 203, page 29, lines 21 through 23, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 1,000,000

Amend Sec. 210, page 47, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 17,018,000

Amend Sec. 218, page 93, by inserting between lines 4 and 5
For construction of the National

World War II Memorial
State appropriation..... 1,500,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the World War II Memorial Committee has requested that each State donate \$1 for each of the veterans of World War II for a World War II Memorial in Washington, D.C.

I rise to support this amendment and ask my colleagues to do so in memory of those who have served in World War II. If any of you have seen the movie "Saving Private Ryan" or have read any books on World War II, whether it be "D-Day, June 6, 1944," and those of us who are a little older than the rest of you can remember, when we were young children or teenagers, could remember the World War II—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The gentleman is entitled to be heard. Conferences on the floor and in the side aisles, please break up.

Mr. Micozzie.

Mr. MICOZZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I was saying, those of us who have been around a little longer than the rest of you could remember, when we were young teenagers, could remember World War II, and if you read the books that I just mentioned or the movies of Omaha Beach, and Utah Beach, the Battle of the Bulge, and all those other battles which our young men, 19 years old and 20 years old, spent. I think \$1.5 million to this memorial is a tribute to those men who served, men and women who served, and those who died.

I ask for its support. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argali	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masiand	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	Mcllhartan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Mcllhinney	Snyder
Beardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Mercalfè	Stairs
Birmein	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshail	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Oric	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Corneli	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rublely	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1279:

Amend Sec. 219, page 101, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 1,449,247,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 105, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 531,633,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 105, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Federal appropriation..... 370,343,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would increase the community mental retardation services State appropriation by \$3,012,000. This will earn additional Federal funds and increase — increase — the number of community placement opportunities for 200 more individuals on county waiting lists for 4 months of funding. It moves money around on the MA (medical assistance) capitation. Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

- Adolph, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cam, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Giladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadowicz, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Mercalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orié, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigue, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Horne, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams

- Dailey, Dalcly, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Evans, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as guests of Representative Katie True, the gentleman, Mr. Stan Tyson, and his daughter, Janelle, from Elizabethtown, Lancaster County. They are seated to the left of the Speaker. Please rise; will the guests please rise.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MICHLOVIC offered the following amendment No. A1280:

Amend Sec. 212, page 60, line 11, by inserting after "schools." Payments to school districts shall be retroactive to the first day of an approved placement in an approved private school.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the Michlovic amendment, the gentleman is recognized.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, due to a recent Federal court order, the guidelines were changed for placement for special education and approved private school placements in our schools so that students would not have to wait until the State approves the procedure. Heretofore, the school district approved the placement and then the State approved the placement and then the child was moved. Through this Federal court case, the child moves immediately after the local school district rules.

The Department of Education has now set up a procedure whereby the payment for that student does not come until the State

approves that payment, which means that the local school district, which is already picking up 60 percent of the cost of the approved private school, now has to pick up for however long the State takes to decide that they are going to approve this placement, and it also provides an incentive for the State not to rule for a longer and longer period because then they do not have to pay.

My amendment simply requires the payments to be retroactive from the day that the school decides that the approved private school is made, and on any case where the State later comes to approve it, the payments will be retroactive to the point where the child actually moves to the new school.

I ask the members of the House to approve the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Beltanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Oric	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. J.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenny	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubleby	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Alien

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SCRIMENTI offered the following amendment No. A1281:

Amend Sec. 202, page 28, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

For a competitive grant program for the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency to target law enforcement activities in areas that show an increase in crime.

State appropriation 3,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 41, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,857,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Scrimenti, is recognized.

Mr. SCRIMENTI. Mr. Speaker, this amendment would provide \$3 million to help establish a competitive grant program under the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

I ask for your affirmative vote.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Mann	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fleagle	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Belardi	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Belfanti	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	George	Melio	Stairs
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Steelman
Bishop	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla

Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Santoni	
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS-3

Gordner	Miller, S.	Samuelson
---------	------------	-----------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. KIRKLAND offered the following amendment No. A1282:

Amend Sec. 215, page 74, line 3, by inserting after "Health." At least \$1,000,000 shall be appropriated for a Health Care Advocate to assist consumers in the implementation of the provisions of Article XXI of the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, as well as any other insurance and HMO-related issues.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kirkland.

Mr. KIRKLAND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly. This amendment would set aside \$1 million from the general government operations line of the Department of Health to create a Health Care Consumer Advocate to assist consumers in the implementation of Act 68 as well as any other insurance and HMO-related (health maintenance organization) issues.

I would ask for an affirmative vote from the members.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Mercalfé	Stairs
Bimmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Alien

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. BEBKO-JONES offered the following amendment No. A1283:

Amend Sec. 215, page 79, by inserting between lines 5 and 6
 For costs related to the SHOUT
 Program in counties of the third class

State appropriation.....	250,000
Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation.....	120,814,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Bebko-Jones.

Ms. BEBKO-JONES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1283 is simply an amendment appropriating \$250,000 to the Department of Health under their program of the HIV/AIDS (human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome) program.

Mr. Speaker, if you recall, the Federal government appropriated money for a pilot program to the State for 3 years, to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Federal dollars have stopped, Mr. Speaker, but the need has not.

The \$250,000, Mr. Speaker, will continue the SHOUT (Serenity Hall Outreach) Program in the counties of the third class. The money, Mr. Speaker, would be transferred from the Department of Revenue. The SHOUT Program will continue to provide the continuous awareness of HIV and AIDS prevention, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Could I interrogate the sponsor of the amendment briefly, please?

The SPEAKER. The lady indicates she will stand for interrogation. Mr. Barley may begin.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The question I have, Mr. Speaker, the amendment refers to the SHOUT Program, and it indicates that this money would be available to counties of the third class that have a SHOUT Program. Could you tell me which counties in Pennsylvania have the SHOUT Program?

Ms. BEBKO-JONES. Erie County has a SHOUT Program. Mr. Speaker. I do not know of the other counties that have that program. I would have to refer to the Department of Health.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That concludes my interrogation. I would like to comment.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman is recognized.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That clarifies the point I was interested in.

We did in fact check with the Department of Health. They are not familiar with the program, and to my knowledge, Erie County is the only county in the State that has the SHOUT Program.

So for the benefit of the members, I think they need to be aware that this appropriation is being made to benefit one program in one county, so it would not be of any benefit to other third-class counties in Pennsylvania. So I think you should cast your vote accordingly.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Bebko-Jones, for the second time on the question.

Ms. BEBKO-JONES. Mr. Speaker, the previous speaker is correct that Erie County has the only SHOUT outreach program, but we are speaking on HIV and AIDS, Mr. Speaker, that not only affects Erie County but affects this entire Commonwealth, and if we are going to continue to prevent and educate the people on awareness of HIV and AIDS, this is a good start. Other counties in the third class can certainly begin their own programs. Erie has been a leader in this program. Mr. Speaker.

So again, I would ask my colleagues to support this amendment as I have supported many of your amendments when it only affected your particular counties. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Battisto.

Mr. BATTISTO. Mr. Speaker, I am offering amendment 1284, and I am told it is an agreed-upon amendment in any event—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The matter before the House right now is the amendment of Ms. Bebko-Jones.

Mr. BATTISTO. Oh; I thought you called me.

The SPEAKER. Anything further on the Bebko-Jones amendment?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-146

Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Serafini
Bard	Frankel	McCall	Seyfert
Battisto	Freeman	McGechan	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McIlhinney	Solobay
Belardi	George	Melio	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Bishop	Gladeck	Miller, S.	Stelman
Blaum	Gordner	Mundy	Steil
Boyes	Grucela	Myers	Stern
Browne	Gruitza	O'Brien	Stetler
Bunt	Haluska	Oliver	Stevenson
Butkovitz	Hanna	Orie	Stritmatter
Buxton	Harhai	Pesci	Sturla
Caltagirone	Harhart	Petrarca	Surra
Cappabianca	Hasay	Petrone	Tangretti
Carn	Hess	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Horsey	Pippy	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hutchinson	Pistella	Thomas
Clark	Jadlowiec	Preston	Tigue
Cohen, M.	James	Ramos	Travaglio
Colafella	Josephs	Readshaw	Trello
Cornell	Kaiser	Reinard	Trich

Corrigan	Keller	Rieger	Tulli
Costa	Kenney	Roberts	Van Home
Coy	Kirkland	Robinson	Veon
Curry	Krebs	Roebuck	Vitali
Daley	LaGrotta	Rooney	Walko
Dally	Laughlin	Ross	Washington
DeLuca	Lawless	Rublely	Williams
Dermody	Lederer	Ruffing	Wilt
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Sainato	Wogan
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Samuelson	Wojnaroski
Donatucci	Lucyk	Santoni	Wright
Eachus	Lynch	Sather	Youngblood
Evans	Manderino	Schroder	Yudichak
Fairchild	Mann	Scrimenti	Zimmerman
Feese	Markosek		

NAYS—55

Adolph	Egolf	Major	Rohrer
Argall	Fargo	Marsico	Saylor
Baker	Fleagle	Masland	Schuler
Barley	Flick	McGill	Semmel
Barrar	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Godshall	Metcalfe	Snyder
Birmelin	Habay	Micozzie	True
Chadwick	Hennessey	Miller, R.	Vance
Civera	Herman	Nailor	Yewcic
Clymer	Hershey	Nickol	Zug
Cohen, L. I.	Leh	Perzel	
Dailey	Maher	Platts	Ryan, Speaker
Dempsey	Maitland	Raymond	
Druce			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BATTISTO offered the following amendment No. A1284:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 17,010,000

Amend Sec. 227, page 122, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 441,909,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Battisto.

Mr. BATTISTO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1284 would add \$6.4 million to the State System of Higher Education line item, and it would take the same amount away from the Technology 2000 Program. I ask for an affirmative vote for this amendment.

On the question recurring.
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Maher	Santoni	
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Ryan, Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	
Evans	Manderino		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mayemik

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. VITALI offered the following amendment No. A1286:

Amend Sec. 221, page 115, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 2,668,000

Amend Sec. 221, page 115, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 For Campaign Finance Data Management for the collection, dissemination and public disclosure of campaign finance data.

State appropriation..... 398,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment earmarks \$398,000 from the budget of the Department of State for campaign finance data management. It is simply an earmarking of funds as opposed to a shifting between departments.

Mr. Speaker, you may be aware that the Governor has instituted a program for the electronic filing of campaign expense reports. Currently, that program is in phase one. We have devoted money in previous budgets to that purpose, and phase one involves the gubernatorial candidates putting their data on the Internet.

The Governor, in his address to this General Assembly on the budget in February, indicated his desire to see this amount, \$398,000, in this year's budget for that, for phase two as it were. This amount would be for other candidates, both the General Assembly and others, for the purpose of putting campaign finance data on the Internet, and the purpose of the program is to allow the public to see and be better aware of the political contributions that are being made to State government, to see the effect of contributions on the activities of State government, in an effort to reduce the influence of money.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is totally in conformance with what the Governor wants, and I would ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayemik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder

Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Bimmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkowitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. J.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafilella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGiroilamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maier	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Alien

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STABACK offered the following amendment No. A1287:

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 15, by inserting after "recipients." This appropriation includes sufficient funds to provide that the family fee for subsidized child day care for a child enrolled in a part-time child day-care program shall be one-half the fee for a child enrolled in a full-time child day-care program.

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 22, by inserting after "Grants." " " This appropriation includes sufficient funds to provide that the family fee for subsidized child day care for a child enrolled in a part-time child day-care program shall be one-half the fee for a child enrolled in a full-time child day-care program.

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment." " " This appropriation includes sufficient funds to provide that the family fee for subsidized child day care for a child enrolled in a part-time child day-care program shall be one-half the fee for a child enrolled in a full-time child day-care program.

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 27, by inserting after "Services." " " This appropriation includes sufficient funds to provide that the family fee for subsidized child day care for a child enrolled in a part-time child day-care program shall be one-half the fee for a child enrolled in a full-time child day-care program.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the gentleman, Mr. Staback, is recognized.

Mr. STABACK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1287 simply clarifies that in the subsidized day-care program, there are indeed sufficient Federal and State funds to ensure that the family fee for part-time child day care is one-half the family fee assessed the family whose child is enrolled in full-time child care. The amendment, Mr. Speaker, simply guarantees that parents eligible for subsidized day care will not be required to pay fees for full-time care if their child is involved in part-time care.

Mr. Speaker, there is no increase in additional spending, and I would ask for an affirmative vote on the measure.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Senmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayemik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Mercalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler

Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Stritmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistiella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. For the general information of the House, that amendment that was just voted upon was the 101st amendment that was added to the bill.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as the guests of Representatives Freeman and Grucela, members of the Paxinosa Elementary School in Easton. Would these guests please rise.

Today as the guests of Representative Saylor are JoAnn Miller, Martha Miranda, and Lora-Lynn Stevens, librarians from York County. Also, there is a guest page of Representative Saylor's here, Dave Fetterman. Would all these guests please rise.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(PATRICIA H. VANCE) PRESIDING**

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **BATTISTO** offered the following amendment No. **A1288**:

Amend Sec. 227, page 122, line 5, by inserting after "Government."
This appropriation is conditional upon a zero increase in tuition for Pennsylvania resident students for the 1999-2000 school year.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Battisto.

Mr. **BATTISTO**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This is an agreed-upon amendment, so just roll it, please.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Schuler.

Mr. **SCHULER**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

It may be agreed to by some; I am not in agreement with it. I do recognize that Representative Battisto did add to the budget \$6.4 million to the State System of Higher Education, and I do appreciate that, Madam Speaker.

However, I want to remind the members of the House that last year the State System did not increase tuition. I think that was one of the few institutions throughout the Commonwealth that did not raise tuition. To ask the State System not to increase tuition this year, I think that is being quite unfair to the State System. I do not believe we are asking any of the other universities not to increase their tuition. It may not be necessary to increase tuition if some of these amendments that have been added to the budget remain in the budget, but we are not certain of that.

So to place this on the State Board at this time, I think, is totally unfair, and I would ask the members to consider that and vote against this amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Monroe County, Mr. Battisto, for the second time.

Mr. **BATTISTO**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I want to make it very clear that this no increase was contingent upon the amount of money that we put into the State System line item in the previous amendment. So obviously, if the conferees in their final accounting do not add money to the State System line item, this would not take effect. This would take effect only if the amount of money that we added to the line item is also included in the final budget.

I ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. **BLAUM**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise in support of the amendment, and I wonder sometimes if the members are in touch with the people that they represent and the importance of issues like these to families all across

Pennsylvania, that cross all kinds of demographic lines throughout the Commonwealth. What the gentleman, Mr. Battisto, is asking for is not unreasonable in light of the significant increase that has been given to the State System, to ask that tuition for Pennsylvania kids, which continues each and every year to go up and up and up by significant percentages, that it be stopped in light of the increase that has recently been given. That is not unreasonable. That is the right thing to do for Pennsylvania working families trying to afford a college education for their kids, and I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Sather
Argall	Fairchild	Mann	Saylor
Armstrong	Feese	Markosek	Schroder
Baker	Fichter	Marsico	Scrimenti
Bard	Fleagle	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Flick	Mayemik	Serafini
Barrar	Forcier	McCall	Seyfert
Bastian	Frankel	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Freeman	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Mellhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Geist	Mellhinney	Snyder
Belfanti	George	McNaughton	Soiboy
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Birmelin	Gladeck	Metcalfe	Stairs
Bishop	Godshall	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Micozzie	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, R.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Mundy	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Myers	Sturia
Buxton	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Nickol	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Orie	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	Tiguo
Chadwick	Hershey	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Tuffi
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Platts	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Ramos	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Raymond	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Readshaw	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roberts	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Roebuck	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rooney	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ross	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Rublely	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Ruffing	Zug
Druce	Maher	Sainato	
Eachus	Maitland	Samuelson	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS—3

Fargo	Schulier	Steelman
-------	----------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STERN offered the following amendment No. A1291:

Amend Sec. 212, page 64, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 1,000,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 66, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 1,525,000

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stern.

Mr. STERN. Madam Speaker, I would ask that the House approve amendment 1291. What this would do would be to appropriate \$500,000 under rural initiatives and would take the money, \$500,000, from under a line item entitled "administrative/instructional consolidation" that the Governor proposed to increase from \$1 million to \$1.5 million. We would hold that line item even, and what the \$500,000 item would do would be to benefit the Southern Tier Education Council, and that would benefit the residents of Bedford and Somerset Counties.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset County, Dr. Bastian.

Mr. BASTIAN. Madam Speaker, I thank you.

I rise to support my colleague's amendment from Bedford-Blair. Bedford County and Somerset County have many common problems, including high unemployment and including low total income. We need to educate ourselves out of that problem.

I urge you to vote for this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.

Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsley	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair turns to packet No. 13.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COHEN offered the following amendment No. A1298:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 18,410,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 23,702,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 12,164,000

Amend Sec. 210, page 47, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 14,768,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 57, by inserting between lines 4 and 5

For grants for the purchase of textbooks for students enrolled in school districts and vocational technical schools. Payments to school districts shall be equal to an amount derived by multiplying the Average Daily Membership of a school district by the product of 21 times the Market Value Personal Income Aid Ratio of a school district.

State appropriation..... 20,000,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 66, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 16,346,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, Mr. Barley signals to me that this is an agreed-to amendment, so I can be brief.

This amendment provides \$20 million for more school textbooks all across Pennsylvania. We have passed it before by overwhelming margins. I urge us to pass it again. Thank you.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Strike the vote, please.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Madam Speaker, I stand to support this amendment, and I am glad it is an agreed-upon amendment. It is just that I want the record to reflect that if this amendment becomes a part of the budget, that no moneys go to Philadelphia County unless Philadelphia County can provide the General Assembly with assurances that kids have access to books and instructional materials. I do not want to hear anything else about principals. We said, do one thing, and principals decided to do another. The School District of Philadelphia must recognize that kids need books and instructional materials to learn, and I do

not want to see a dollar of this go to Philadelphia County until we have those assurances.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimanti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlharran	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Binnelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Gruccela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkowitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perze!	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Alien

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **BLAUM** offered the following amendment No. **A1300**:

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 15, by inserting after "recipients."

No expenditures from this appropriation may be made to an individual directly providing child-care services if that individual, or any individual at least 18 years of age who resides in that individual's home, is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee or is subject to disqualification under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c)(2) (relating to information relating to prospective child care personnel).

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 22, by inserting after "Grants."

No expenditures from this appropriation may be made to an individual directly providing child-care services if that individual, or any individual at least 18 years of age who resides in that individual's home, is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee, or is subject to disqualification under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c)(2).

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment."

No expenditures from this appropriation may be made to an individual directly providing child-care services if that individual, or any individual at least 18 years of age who resides in that individual's home, is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee, or is subject to disqualification under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c)(2).

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 27, by inserting after "Services."

No expenditures from this appropriation may be made to an individual directly providing child-care services if that individual, or any individual at least 18 years of age who resides in that individual's home, is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee, or is subject to disqualification under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c)(2).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. **Blaum**.

Mr. **BLAUM**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

In the budget is \$48 million for subsidized day care. What this amendment says is that none of it can be spent on any day-care setting where the people providing the day care or anyone 18 years of age or older living in that day-care setting does not have a criminal or child abuse background check.

I believe it is agreed to, and I ask the members for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	Mclhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Mclhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haiuska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lcvdanský	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman, Mr. Blaum, offering 1301? 1301 is over temporarily.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SOLOBAY offered the following amendment No. A1302:

Amend Sec. 209, page 45, line 20, by inserting after "citizens."
At least 20% of this appropriation shall be available for grants to repair, renovate and construct municipally-owned facilities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Solobay.

Mr. SOLOBAY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment just clarifies an appropriation of the \$20 million that is already in the community and economic development fund for community revitalization. What it will do is secure 20 percent of that appropriation for repair, renovation, and construction of municipal facilities. Right now, they are kind of caught in between the capital budget improvements of the million-dollar-plus projects and sometimes fall into categories where they need more than the small grants that are able to be offered through DCED (Department of Community and Economic Development). So this will help them secure some other projects, and I ask for everyone's support.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I would like to point out to the members that what this amendment in effect does, it restricts the use of the money within DCED for the Community Revitalization Program, and it in effect would take money away from our volunteer fire companies. It would take money away from our community-based neighborhood organizations, our charitable organizations, and our senior citizens centers. By directing a specific percentage of this to local governments who have taxing authority and have the ability to raise money on their own for what are worthy projects, we are sacrificing a segment of this budget and, again, depriving

the organizations such as the volunteer fire companies and others that I have mentioned from being able to receive the money.

So I think it is important to understand that, and I am going to be voting "no" on this amendment, because I do not think we should be making those decisions here. We have already discussed the Community Revitalization Program as being a program that has served many communities very well. It is a competitive program. It is a program that has been, you know, very open and very fair, and so I do not think we should be micromanaging the program from the floor of the House. So I would urge all members to vote "no."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Solobay, for the second time.

Mr. SOLOBAY. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman's comments, and there is no one more cognizant of the fire service needs and wants than myself. This was a project asked by a majority of municipalities throughout my district and other districts of other members who, again, fall in the cracks that they cannot provide the project dollars for the capital opportunities but fall in this category where they have projects that need moneys more than the smaller amounts DCED offers.

Again, I understand also the competitiveness of this project, and this percentage, probably looking at the grants that are supplied, falls right into that category that they receive that amount anyway as far as history would show in the loan program.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	Mayemik	Shaner
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belfanti	George	McGeehan	Staback
Bishop	Gigliotti	Melio	Steelman
Blaum	Gordner	Michlovic	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruccia	Mundy	Sturla
Buxton	Gruitza	Myers	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Pesci	Thomas
Cam	Harhai	Petrarca	Tiguc
Casorio	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Cawley	James	Pistella	Trello
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Trich
Colafella	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Veon
Costa	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Williams
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Demody	Levdansky	Ruffing	Yewcic
DeWeese	Lucy	Sainato	Youngblood
Donatucci	Manderino	Samuelson	Yudichak
Eachus	Mann	Santoni	

NAYS—102

Adolph	Fargo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Armstrong	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Serafini
Barclay	Flick	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
	Geist	Metcalf	Snyder

Benninghoff	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Birmelin	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Boyes	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Browne	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Bunt	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Herman	Orie	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	True
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wilt
Dailey	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Dally	Krebs	Reinard	Wright
Dempsey	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Ross	Zug
Druce	Lynch	Rubley	
Egolf	Maher	Sather	Ryan,
Fairchild	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SOLOBAY offered the following amendment No. A1304:

Amend Sec. 209, page 45, line 8, by inserting after "projects" , including, but not limited to, municipally owned facilities,

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Solobay.

Mr. SOLOBAY. Madam Speaker, basically similar as the previous without putting any restriction on the amount to the fund.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Mann	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fleagle	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Bastian	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McJhattan	Snyder
Belardi	Gannon	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	Geist	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	George	Metcalfe	Stairs

Birmelin	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Grucela	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Habay	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Haluska	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhai	Oliever	Taylor, J.
Cam	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Hennessey	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Hess	Phillips	True
Clymer	Horsely	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Van Horne
Colafella	James	Ramos	Veon
Cornell	Josephs	Raymond	Vitali
Corrigan	Kaiser	Readshaw	Walko
Costa	Keller	Reinard	Washington
Coy	Kenney	Rieger	Williams
Curry	Kirkland	Roberts	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Yeweic
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rubley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	
Druce	Maher	Santoni	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	

NAYS-4

Krebs	McIlhinney	Platts	Steil
-------	------------	--------	-------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WRIGHT offered the following amendment No. A1308:

Amend Sec. 207, page 34, by inserting between lines 29 and 30
For contracts with recognized established organizations which provide information, supportive services and related activities to families caring for older relatives.

State appropriation 500,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 79, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 26,336,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wright.

Mr. WRIGHT. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This appropriates half a million dollars for the Department of Aging to help care for our older citizens. It is to expand a program which they have always wanted to do, to make it more of a caregiving to more social type of work for our older citizens, especially when families come and are looking for direction for services to provide for them. The department is shorthanded. This will give an additional \$500,000, which they can either directly or they can contract out with various statewide groups to provide services for them.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stell
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Vance
Colafeilla	Josephs	Ramos	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Veon
Cornigan	Keller	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Yewic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Maher	Santoni	
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Saylor	Speaker
Evans	Manderino		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Perzel

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GRUCELA offered the following amendment No. A1309:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 18,410,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 36, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

For transfer to the Agricultural

Conservation Easement Purchase Fund.

State appropriation 20,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 20,000,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Grucela.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I rise to offer amendment 1309. This amendment would transfer \$20 million to the Agricultural Conservation Easement Purchase Fund. The farmland preservation program, if funded at the present level, will carry a 10-year backlog. Additional revenue must be approved for the easement purchase program. This action is needed simply to sustain the current level of preservation.

Pennsylvania currently ranks second in the nation in total farmland preservation. We are doing a good job, but we need to continue to do well and to even do better and to make Pennsylvania number one. We must recognize the importance of farmland preservation for the future and fund it accordingly. Farmland preservation also has a bearing on rising school costs and the property tax issue that I have been addressing. One-point-six children per new home does not cover the per-pupil cost to a district. In fact, they lose money.

Pennsylvania has established the most ambitious farmland preservation program in the nation. I ask for the members' support to continue to have Pennsylvania do a good job and to become number one. Thank you.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Oliver	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Trelio
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lch	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Maher	Santoni	
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Ryan, Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	
Evans	Manderino		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Marsico

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CAPPABIANCA offered the following amendment No. A1310:

Amend Sec. 207, page 35, lines 5 and 6, by striking out all of said lines and inserting
of the Department of Agriculture,
including additional staffing for the
Bureau of Weights and Measures.

State appropriation 26,720,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 66, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 18,246,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cappabianca.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The brief purpose of this amendment is to provide an increase of \$600,000 for the Bureau of Weights and Measures, specifically to increase their staffing. Recently, the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee issued a report which pointed to a number of deficiencies within that bureau. Among the concerns outlined in the report was insufficient staffing and funding for inspection programs, and therefore, Madam Speaker, I would appreciate an affirmative vote on this particular amendment.

We are taking a like amount; we are reducing the general government operations of the Department of Environmental Protection to make up the \$600,000. I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayermik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trelio
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich

Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WASHINGTON offered the following amendment No. A1311:

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, lines 27 and 28, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Services. This appropriation includes sufficient funding to inspect 25% of all registered family day-care homes."

Federal appropriation.....	81,861,000
----------------------------	------------

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Washington.

Ms. WASHINGTON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Amendment 1311 increases the Federal appropriation for child care in the Department of Public Welfare to provide sufficient funds to inspect 25 percent of all registered family day-care homes in the fiscal year July 1, 1999, ending June 30, 2000.

Currently, DPW (Department of Public Welfare) inspects about 5 percent of all family day-care homes since there is no requirement as to the specific number of homes that must be inspected annually. Based on one year's estimate, this appropriation increase should provide sufficient funds so that at least one out of every four homes is inspected by DPW in the coming year. Our goal is to have DPW inspect every registered

family day-care home at least once every 4 years. At the current rate of inspections, a family day-care home would only be inspected once in 20 years.

The additional \$350,000 in Federal funds which this amendment adds to the Federal appropriation for child care is derived from the remaining Federal windfall dollars that represent the savings to the State related to the welfare reform. Federal law permits the State to transfer up to 30 percent of the TANF (Temporary Assistance to Needy Families) block grant to the child care development fund. Our calculations show that of the \$215.85 million that could be transferred to the child block grant, the proposed budget only transfers \$132.60 million, leaving another \$83.25 million that can be appropriated for child-care services. This amendment taps \$350,000 of that \$83.25 million in Federal funds that has not yet been appropriated for the next fiscal year, and I urge your support for this amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Hengie	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barnat	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhatten	Smith, S. II.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanne	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug

Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. MUNDY offered the following amendment No. A1312:

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, lines 27 and 28, by striking out all of said lines and inserting Services." This appropriation includes sufficient funds for the development of a comprehensive State plan relating to early childhood and child day care services by September 1, 2000. The department will coordinate the plan with the Department of Health and the Department of Education, arrange for public participation through four public hearings and will address issues relating to the availability, affordability and quality of services.

Federal appropriation.....	81,571,000
----------------------------	------------

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimanti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayemik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Surla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.

Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistiella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kerney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailley	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Daily	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. EACHUS offered the following amendment No. A1313:

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 15, by inserting after "recipients." The department shall submit a report to the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives no later than November 1, 1999, on costs and services related to subsidized day care, including, but not limited to, the number of children served, types of child care utilized, administration of child care services, inspections of day-care programs, resource and referral programs, training of child care providers and data collection.

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 22, by inserting after "Grants." The department shall submit a report to the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives no later than November 1, 1999, on costs and services related to subsidized day care, including, but not limited to, the number of children served, types of child care utilized, administration of child care services, inspections of day-care programs, resource

and referral programs, training of child care providers and data collection.

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment."

The department shall submit a report to the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives no later than November 1, 1999, on costs and services related to subsidized day care, including, but not limited to, the number of children served, types of child care utilized, administration of child care services, inspections of day-care programs, resource and referral programs, training of child care providers and data collection.

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 27, by inserting after "Services."

The department shall submit a report to the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives no later than November 1, 1999, on costs and services related to subsidized day care, including, but not limited to, the number of children served, types of child care utilized, administration of child care services, inspections of day-care programs, resource and referral programs, training of child care providers and data collection.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Eachus.

Mr. EACHUS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Amendment 1313 requires the Department of Public Welfare to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees by November of this year - 1999 - on costs and services related to subsidized day care that are funded by State and Federal programs. The information will give us a better understanding of various aspects of the subsidized day-care system and enable us to perform legislative oversight.

At a minimum, the report will include information concerning the number of children served, the types of child care used, the administration of child-care services, inspections of day-care programs, training of day-care providers, and data collection. With millions of dollars earmarked for subsidized day care, it is not unreasonable for us to ask for clear, concise information and give us a complete understanding of how this money is spent.

I do appreciate the Department of Public Welfare's providing the Appropriations Committee with this information, and I thank the House for their support this afternoon. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti

Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Mejio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmeijn	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Burkowitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawiess	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rublely	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Allen

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who wishes Mr. Allen to be added to the master roll call.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. YUDICHAK offered the following amendment No. A1314:

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment." This appropriation includes sufficient funds for community child day-care resource and referral programs which assist parents in finding appropriate and affordable day care and help communities plan for and develop programs which meet local child day-care needs.

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 28, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Federal appropriation..... 82,709,000

On the question. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Yudichak.

Mr. YUDICHAK. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I rise to offer amendment 1314. This amendment increases the Federal appropriation for subsidized day care by \$1.198 million in order to establish community day-care resource and referral programs across the State. The funds for this increase will come from the Federal windfall in welfare reform.

The purpose of this amendment, Madam Speaker, is to help all parents across the Commonwealth get better access to information on safe and affordable child care. We have a unique opportunity to provide parents and children with the necessary information that will help families find safe and affordable child care.

Let us vote to support our working families. I ask for my colleagues' support of amendment 1314. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

- Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cam, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Manderino, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayermik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Ori...

- Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadowiec, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Thomas, Tigie, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Home, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment No. A1317:

Amend Sec. 211, page 51, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 886,840,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 57, lines 1 and 2, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

open. A school district with a market value income aid ratio equal to or greater than .5000 and with a population per square mile of 80 or less and not already qualified under any other small district assistance formula contained within this appropriation shall be eligible for payments at the rate of \$65 per average daily membership.

State appropriation..... 3,684,248,000

On the question. Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, this amendment appropriates \$6.9 million for the purpose of providing assistance to those small schools that are not covered by the Governor's small district proposal.

This amendment, Madam Speaker, will benefit schools in the following counties. We will not mention the names of the legislators; those of you that are involved know: Bedford, Blair, Bradford, Butler, Centre, Clarion, Clearfield, Clinton, Crawford, Erie, Huntingdon, Indiana, Jefferson, Juniata, Lycoming, Perry, Snyder, Susquehanna, Tioga, Union, Venango, Warren, Washington, and Wyoming.

Madam Speaker, there is not anyone here that does not realize and does not understand that these schools are not benefiting with the same proportion as some of the bigger schools. Now, this does not take any money away from any school district. It does not take any money away from any district that has been assigned the sparsity formula. What it does do is it helps those small districts that we have forgotten.

Now, Madam Speaker, probably the first rebuttal that I will get from my friend, the Appropriations chairman, will be that we are taking these moneys away from the Bureau of Corrections. He is absolutely accurate, but I want to remind him, in case he does not tell you, that there is \$33½ million in that budget this year, and more than that, he probably will not tell you that last year we lapsed \$18 million. Now, we can play with \$51 million. We can help these schools that you and I represent to the tune of \$6 million, which will be a very important vote and it will do a very important thing: It will help your communities and the ratepayers that pay the bill in these schools.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Madam Speaker, what the gentleman from the rural district does not understand is, if it is \$1 additional for children, then it should be voted on and it should be okay. Children, Madam Speaker, are intellectual capital, Madam Speaker, in which case we should consider always increasing the value of capital in whatever area it might be, whether it is children or whether it is buildings. But if we have a choice, Madam Speaker, between buildings and human beings, we should always choose human beings, and with that, Madam Speaker, I urge support for the George amendment 1317.

Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I think there are truly some issues that need to be pointed out and that members need to be made aware of.

Number one, we have an absolute responsibility as elected members of the General Assembly to provide for safe and secure communities throughout Pennsylvania. That is one of our most important responsibilities. And yes, a component of that means that we must adequately fund prisons so that criminals are kept behind bars. We cannot afford to have them roaming through our neighborhoods and endangering the lives of our families and our children.

What the gentleman attempts to do in this amendment is to take money from the State corrections institutions and transfer it to only 42 school districts out of the 501. There are actually 42 school districts that would benefit by this amendment. The other 459 would not. I think it is extremely important that we take seriously our responsibility of keeping our communities safe, making sure that prisoners and criminals are where they belong, and that is behind bars.

So, Madam Speaker, I am not opposed to helping these poorer school districts, but we need to do it in the appropriate way. This is not the appropriate way to do it, and so I would recommend that we vote "no" on this amendment today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, for the second time.

Mr. GEORGE. Madam Speaker, my colleague, the gentleman from Lancaster, almost blamed me for the rampant problem in Pennsylvania in regard to all of those criminals that we are responsible for. Yet today, a dozen times when I heard the explanations of amendments, we all insisted and supported programs that allowed our young people to be educated and given the education necessary for them to go on and pursue a career that would keep them out of that dim area of those that unfortunately have not been able to prescribe to that that we insist on under our laws.

Madam Speaker, this could have been done should the gentleman have really had a concern for those 43 school districts. Most of them are on his side of the aisle, and so, Madam Speaker—

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

"Madam" Speaker has been substituted.

Mr. GEORGE. I certainly know that you are not a "Madam" Speaker and I apologize, and I will not let it happen again. I am really sorry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may continue.

Mr. GEORGE. But by the same token, Mr. Speaker, how dare we stand on this floor and impugn any individual that wants to help his people. How dare we insist that we do not have an obligation to help these smaller schools that they have already forgotten this year. You said in your own words, Mr. Speaker, there have been 105 or 106 amendments that have been accepted in this document thus far, which means that the majority of us on 106 or 107 times have agreed that the document as put out was not sufficient to care for that that we in our hearts and our minds believe should be cared and taken care of.

I am going to vote for this amendment and take care of my small district and yours. I am asking that all of us, and, Mr. Speaker, I mentioned to you the other night where four of us legislators — I can mention the names: Mr. Benninghoff and Mr. Hanna, Bud George and Mr. Surra — we met with these judges of the Superior Court. And, you know, Mr. Speaker, I am not as learned as you and Mr. Barley and all these people, and they brought up a word that was in that pamphlet that was brought to bind by a Supreme Court justice in Wisconsin, and it said, in seven or eight different manners, "interdependency." Honest to goodness, Mr. Speaker, I ran immediately to my dictionary, and when I interpreted it, it said, to depend on each other. Now, Mr. Barley may not know what interdependency is all about, but I do, because I would vote for his school district, and if he does not want to vote for mine, I am asking him to vote for the other 31 on his side.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—139

Baker	Forcier	Mann	Santoni
Barrar	Frankel	Markosek	Saylor
Bastian	Freeman	Marsico	Scrimenti
Battisto	Gannon	Masland	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	George	Mayernik	Serafini
Belardi	Gigliotti	McCall	Shaner
Belfanti	Gordner	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Benninghoff	Grucela	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Binnell	Gruitza	McIlbinney	Snyder
Bishop	Habay	McNaughton	Solobay
Blaum	Haluska	Melio	Staback
Butkovitz	Hanna	Michlovic	Stairs
Buxton	Harhai	Miller, R.	Steelman
Caltagirone	Harhart	Mundy	Stetler
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Myers	Sturla
Carn	Herman	Nailor	Surra
Casorio	Horsey	Nickol	Tangretti
Cawley	Hutchinson	O'Brien	Thomas
Chadwick	Jadlowiec	Oliver	Tigue
Clark	James	Pesci	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Petrarca	Trello
Colafella	Kaiser	Petrone	Trich
Corrigan	Keller	Pistella	Tulli
Costa	Kirkland	Platts	Van Home
Coy	Krebs	Preston	Veon
Curry	LaGrotta	Ramos	Vitali
Daley	Laughlin	Readshaw	Walko
DeLuca	Lederer	Reinard	Washington
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wilt
DeWeese	Levdansky	Roberts	Wojnaroski
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Robinson	Wright
Donatucci	Lynch	Roebuck	Yeweic
Druce	Maher	Rooney	Youngblood
Eachus	Major	Ruffing	Yudichak
Evans	Manderino	Sainato	

NAYS—63

Adolph	Fairchild	McGill	Seyfert
Allen	Fargo	Metcalfe	Steil
Argall	Feese	Micozzie	Stern
Armstrong	Fichter	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Bard	Fleagle	Orie	Strittmatter
Barley	Flick	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Boyes	Geist	Phillips	Taylor, J.
Browne	Gladeck	Pippy	True
Bunt	Godshall	Raymond	Vance
Civera	Hasay	Rohrer	Williams
Clymer	Hershey	Ross	Wogan
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Rubley	Zimmerman
Cornell	Kenny	Samuelson	Zug
Dailey	Lawless	Sather	
Dally	Leh	Schroder	Ryan,
Dempsey	Maitland	Schuler	Speaker
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns now to packet 14.
Mr. Browne, you have two amendments. Are they both to be offered?

1323? Mr. Coy, are you offering 1323? Mr. Coy?

1324 is withdrawn. 1325 is withdrawn.

Mr. Flick, are you offering 1326?

1327 is withdrawn.

Mr. Hanna, 1331 and 32, are they withdrawn? Pardon me; you are going to run them. Not now; I am just going through the cover page.

Sainato, 1335, is that to be offered?

Ms. Steelman, 36? Offered.

Solobay and Pistella are both withdrawn.

1346, Steelman? Offered.

1347, Clymer, is that to be offered?

1348, Ms. Mundy, is that to be offered?

1350, Colafella, is withdrawn.

1357, Travaglio?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BROWNE offered the following amendment No. A1320:

Amend Sec. 207, page 34, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

For a public education program on

long-term care.

State appropriation..... 100,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 34,900,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Browne.

Mr. BROWNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1320 appropriates \$100,000 for a public education program through the Department of Aging for a long-term-care insurance education program. It takes \$100,000 out of the DCED line item for opportunity grant money.

As the members know, the biggest challenge that the Federal government is facing is trying to sustain Social Security and Medicare programs. We will face a similar challenge in the near future in regard to funding for Medicaid because of the population shift over the next several decades. One way to combat this problem is to educate people on the financial benefits of long-term-care insurance, and that is what this education program will be for. It will not only benefit the citizens of Pennsylvania but State government as far as funding for Medicaid, and I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Connell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGiroIamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BROWNE offered the following amendment No. A1321:

Amend Sec. 212, page 66, by inserting between lines 12 and 13
For grants to early Head Start
programs.

State appropriation 2,500,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 16, by striking out all of said line
and inserting

State appropriation 208,894,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman is recognized.
Mr. BROWNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1321 appropriates \$2.5 million within
the Department of Education for early Head Start.

Early Head Start is a relatively new program that serves around
1,300 children from zero to 3 in the Commonwealth. It has
tremendous benefits based on the fact that the services provided in
this program help children with emotional and cognitive
development which would be necessary for them to succeed in
their later childhood and school-age years. Two-point-five million
dollars will allow an approximately additional 500 new
participants in this program. It is especially important concerning
the fact that most States have seen the need for this and have
appropriated millions of dollars to early childhood programs,
where Pennsylvania has fallen behind.

The offset for the \$2.5 million is the State appropriation for
cash grants. I think that is appropriate based on a recalculation of
caseload, and also, early Head Start is a good partner for our
welfare programs. I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio

Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COY offered the following amendment No. A1323:

Amend Sec. 208, page 39, by inserting between lines 23 and 24 For indemnity fund for damage from bottles/cans.	
State appropriation.....	150,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 66, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation.....	18,696,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. This amendment may appear in your packets as a Cappabianca amendment.

On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Coy, is recognized.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment provides \$150,000 for an indemnity fund for damage from bottles and cans for farmers and others that would sustain damage, and I submit further remarks for the record and ask for an affirmative vote.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. COY submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This amendment would provide \$150,000 for an indemnity fund for damage from bottles and cans.

For over a decade farmers have asked this General Assembly to pass a bottle bill. For longer than that, farmers have had to endure financial loss associated with the damage caused by illegally discarded bottles and cans into their fields. It ends up in their crops, livestock, or machinery and causes damage and death.

If the problem of discarded bottles and cans in farm fields continues, discarded bottles or cans can result in adulterated crops, sicken or kill expensive livestock, and break down costly machinery. The problem is real.

This amendment would provide for some remuneration to farmers who incur financial losses as a result of adulterated crops, damage to machinery, and sick, injured, or killed livestock.

This is an alternative remedy to assist farmers who suffer significant economic losses due to bottle and can litter. The problem is very real and needs to be addressed once and for all.

The \$150,000 comes from DEP (Department of Environmental Protection) general government operations.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayermik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeenan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman

Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HANNA offered the following amendment No. A1331:

Amend Sec. 211, page 50, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	29,373,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 211, page 51, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	890,794,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	118,664,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 227, page 122, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	441,909,000
--------------------------	-------------

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN TEMPORARILY

Mr. HANNA. Mr. Speaker, if I could, I would like to offer amendment 1332 first.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, amendment 1331 is temporarily withdrawn.

The clerk will read 1332.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HANNA offered the following amendment No. A1332:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	19,110,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 211, page 50, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	29,373,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 211, page 51, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	890,794,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 214, page 72, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	55,788,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	118,664,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 227, page 122, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	448,209,000
--------------------------	-------------

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question. Mr. Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment 1332 essentially constitutes full funding for the State System of Higher Education. If we adopt this 5.5-percent increase in their budget, it will not be necessary—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield, please.

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. It is the understanding of the Chair that your amendment is out of order due to the fact that we have used up the excess \$724,000 or \$725,000 that was available for programs, and under the rules of the House, you are now out of order, so the amendment cannot be offered.

Mr. HANNA. Mr. Speaker, amendment 1332 takes funds from other areas.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

Will the gentleman come to the desk.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER. The explanation that the Chair has received is the moneys that you were going to use are from the year 2000 line, which moneys have already been taken by an earlier amendment. Now, if you want to, I will put this amendment over temporarily and give you an opportunity to meet with the people who are keeping score.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Without objection, this amendment is over temporarily.

The clerk is instructed to read amendment 1331 offered by the gentleman, Mr. Hanna. 1332 is temporarily withdrawn until we have checked it out.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HANNA reoffered the following amendment No. A1331:

Amend Sec. 211, page 50, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	29,373,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 211, page 51, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	890,794,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 118,664,000

Amend Sec. 227, page 122, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 441,909,000

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1331 is the same type of amendment as 1332, and although it is not a 5½-percent increase for the State System of Higher Education, it constitutes a 4-percent increase for the State System of Higher Education, and I would request the members' favorable vote.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. On the question, those in favor will vote "aye"; opposed, "no"— The gentleman, Mr. Schuler.

Mr. SCHULER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to raise a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. SCHULER. Mr. Speaker, 1331 amendment adds \$6.4 million to the State System of Higher Education. My question is, would this supersede the Battisto amendment with the clause in it dealing with no tuition?

The SPEAKER. It is the view of the Chair that both amendments could be in simultaneously.

Mr. SCHULER. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Table listing names of members who voted 'yea', including Adolph, Alien, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Casorio, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Manderino, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orié, Perzel, Saylor, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangrenti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas

Table listing names of members who did not vote or were excused, including Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Corneli, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadlowiec, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Tigue, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Horne, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A1332 WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Mr. Hanna.

Mr. HANNA. Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw amendment 1332.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAINATO offered the following amendment No. A1335:

Amend Sec. 212, page 66, by inserting between lines 12 and 13
For financially distressed school

districts.
State appropriation..... 6,900,000
Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting
State appropriation..... 114,164,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. SAINATO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment basically helps distressed school districts in Pennsylvania. It provides \$6.9 million and goes back to the fiscal year 1993-94. This helps school districts that have been hurt by unemployment, they have lost their tax bases, and we have provided money for them for the past 6 years. I would like to continue to keep this program going in the budget. I think it is very important. It is very important for New Castle, and it is very important for the other distressed school districts in Pennsylvania.

So I ask my colleagues to support this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Basuan	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlharta	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Sojobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stoil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Truc
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Waiko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdanskyy	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. STEELMAN offered the following amendment No. A1336:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 7,497,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 28,202,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 65, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 172,412,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Several years ago we adopted a formula for funding community colleges that included a provision called the variable State share. The variable State share formula was meant to assist colleges that had unusually high expenses. An unintended consequence of that formula was that it penalizes community colleges that have relatively low expenses by reducing the percentage of reimbursement that they receive from the Commonwealth. This is particularly onerous in the case of those community colleges that are both economical and fast growing. One of the conclusions of the study of community colleges by the Subcommittee on Higher Education last session was that we should eliminate the variable State share, and Representative Snyder has introduced legislation, HB 1152, that, among other good things, would eliminate the variable State share. In that case, it would be ideal if we had money in next year's budget fully to fund all of our community colleges, and the purpose of this amendment is to add \$1 million to the community college budget in order to ensure that we can fully fund all of our community colleges, including the youngest, fastest growing, and most economical.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert

Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Oric	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsley	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yeweic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. STEELMAN offered the following amendment No. A1346:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 21,810,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 37, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 4,600.000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady.
Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am offering this amendment jointly with Dr. Bastian, who is a very appropriate cosponsor since this amendment would increase the appropriation for the Animal Health Commission by \$1.6 million. The commission, which is responsible for research on animal health, monitoring animal health, and identifying, preventing, and controlling negative effects of animal health on human health within the Commonwealth, has been level-funded since 1995. It very badly needs an infusion of money in order to upgrade laboratory equipment and research facilities in general. The money will come from the Y2K line. I have been informed that there is \$1,610,000 left in that line, and this appropriation coincidentally is for \$1,600,000.

I would very much appreciate your support on this necessary amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Dr. Bastian.

Mr. BASTIAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to second my colleague from Indiana County. I know well the problems of communicable diseases from animals to humans. I have dealt with it for 35 years. The veterinary profession in toto would be very happy with this appropriation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS--202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Oric	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsley	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko

Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rublely	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samueison	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CLYMER offered the following amendment No. A1347:

Amend Sec. 209, page 47, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation.....	17,200,000
Amend Sec. 218, page 89, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation.....	2,000,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker. Pennsylvania always had and continues to have a consistent military presence that has been unequalled by any other State. However, over the past years, the reduction in our Armed Forces has had States scrambling to keep those reserve units in their States out of harm's way. As we all recognize, across our nation a number of reserve units had been phased out or were consolidated with other units. From my observation, those States having well-maintained armories and well-trained reserve units make a strong case for their continued existence.

Pennsylvania has had to fight hard in a very competitive arena to maintain its current level of National Guard units. An additional \$1 million for armory maintenance and repair for its 96 armories, which train 18,000 guardsmen, will help Pennsylvania achieve its strategy of readiness, and hopefully, Mr. Speaker, Washington will look favorably on keeping our present number of guardsmen from further reduction.

I ask for an affirmative vote on my amendment, and I balance that with money taken from the PEDFA (Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority)-Pennsylvania Technology Investment Authority. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring.
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Masiand	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Mellhinney	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belardi	George	Melio	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gladeck	Michkovic	Steelman
Birmelin	Godshall	Micozzie	Stell
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platis	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Koller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Waiko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rublely	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan.
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker
Evans	Manderino	Saylor	

NAYS—1

Cohen, L. J.

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. MUNDY offered the following amendment No. A1348:

Amend Sec. 214, page 72, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 55,159,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 81, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 40,802,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

- Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egoif, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadlowiec, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhatten, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orrie, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigue, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Home, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TRAVAGLIO offered the following amendment No. A1357:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 7,947,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 77, lines 10 and 11, by striking out "centers." in line 10, all of line 11 and inserting centers, at least \$50,000 of which shall be used to transfer public health services from the pilot program sites to State-run facilities.

State appropriation..... 16,695,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

- Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadlowiec, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Manderino, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhatten, Gannon, Geist, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orrie, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Saylor, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigue, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Home, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood

DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair is reviewing at this time the amendments contained in packet 15.

Mr. Michlovic, are you offering your 1358? Thank you.

Mr. Costa, 1359? Thank you.

Evans is withdrawn.

Eachus, 1362? Offered.

DeLuca, 1363? DeLuca?

Travaglio, 1365?

Sturla, 1366? Offered.

Bunt, 1367?

Bunt, 1368, 1369, 1370, and 1371?

The gentleman, Mr. Dermody, 1372? Offered.

The gentleman, Mr. Taylor, 1374?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MICHLOVIC offered the following amendment No. A1358:

Amend Sec. 219, page 97, line 30, by inserting after "including" prevention services to the extent such services are permitted under Federal and State law, and

Amend Sec. 219, page 104, line 15, by removing the period after "services" and inserting

, including prevention service to the extent such services are permitted under Federal and State law.

Amend Sec. 219, page 105, line 13, by removing the period after "programs" and inserting

and funding for prevention services to the extent such services are permitted under Federal and State law.

Amend Sec. 219, page 107, line 5, by inserting after "programs"

, including prevention service to the extent such services are permitted under Federal and State law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1358 is a change in language that allows a little more flexibility in the use of funding particularly for mental health/mental retardation services, children and youth services, and drug and alcohol services. In many cases, clients from these various programs are in other programs, and the fact that they are mixing the various services prohibits, because of our categorical lines, the use of services for the same client.

My amendment would allow flexibility in two ways. One, it allows the mixing of some services, and the second way that it helps is that it provides money for prevention as opposed to just dealing with the problem after it has already arisen, and by providing the money, making the moneys available for prevention, we are really cutting the cost on the amount of expenditures over the long term on these services.

I think it is a good amendment. It does not cost any more money. It just provides more flexibility in the way we do things, and I would ask the members of the House to adopt the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalf	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trelo
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski

DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COSTA offered the following amendment No. A1359:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	22,410,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	5,153,000
--------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 206, page 34, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	626,415,000
--------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 209, page 45, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	18,000,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	20,053,000
--------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 230, page 125, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

For grants for sewer projects. Grants shall be made in conjunction with PennVest approved loans for projects to meet United States Department of Environmental Protection requirements regarding combined sewer overflow and sanitary sewer overflow issues.

State appropriation.....	5,000,000
--------------------------	-----------

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Costa, I regret to inform you that there is not any money.

Mr. COSTA. Bad timing. I understand it. Mr. Speaker, but if I could, I would like to make a comment, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, under unanimous consent, is in order.

Mr. COSTA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I realize my timing was just a little bit off, but actually, what I wanted to do is at this time I would like to thank

Representative Barley and Representative Evans and all the Appropriations Committee, including my Representative, Dan Frankel, for putting back the \$44 million for the sewer funds. This is something that is not a very exciting subject, but it actually saves all our municipalities sewer fees and taxes.

So I want to thank the Appropriations Committee for restoring that money, and again, I apologize for my bad timing. Thank you for allowing me to say that.

The SPEAKER. It sounds like you just made some friends, though, with the Appropriations Committee, and you will have better luck next time.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. EACHUS offered the following amendment No. A1362:

Amend Sec. 302, page 172, line 5, by inserting after "Fund." No deductible will be required by the department for PACENET. The department shall submit a request for a supplemental appropriation by December 1, 1999, if additional funds will be needed for fiscal year 1999-2000.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Eachus.

Mr. EACHUS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I offer A1362. A1362 captures the savings within the PACE Program and eliminates \$500 deductibles which are currently under the PACENET Program, increases the income eligibility by \$2,000 for individuals and married couples, and I believe this is an important step forward for a number of reasons.

The projection on the PACENET Program, when it originally was put forward, was that 50,000 senior citizens would join the PACENET Program. Currently that number is far, far less. I believe that only 7,000 people statewide have actually taken advantage and seen the benefit of the PACENET Program, after the high cash deductibles. This captures the money saved within the PACENET Program, which is currently being underutilized, and puts it into the PACE Program and does away with all cash deductibles and higher copayments.

I believe this is an important step forward for senior citizens, for their protection with regard to lifesaving prescription drugs, and I urge the House of Representatives to support me on this amendment today. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Serafini

Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barley	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Freeman	McLhattan	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Belfanti	George	Melio	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfé	Steelman
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Van Horne
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Yewwic
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rubiey	Yudichak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGiroilamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	
Druce	Maher	Santoni	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Rieger

EXCUSED-0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeLUCA offered the following amendment No. A1363:

Amend Sec. 215, page 74, line 15, by inserting after "Operation." Including funds to maintain fiscal year 1998-1999 funding levels for the violence prevention grant program.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 1363 is an amendment that clarifies that the Federal appropriation of \$3,766,000 under the Department of Health for the administration and operation for the fiscal year of 1998-1999 funding levels for the violence prevention program stay the same. Now, let me state that this program has been successful in reducing the tolerance level of violence and firearms-related injuries in our various counties through the coalition of building, education, training, and technical assistance.

This is a very valuable program in our various counties, and not only should this program be maintained at the present funding levels, but we should be expanding on it, and let me specify, these are Federal funds, not State funds. So I would hope that during the conference committee, the conferees in the House would specify either maintaining this program at the same level or expanding on it, because it is a program that has been successful in our communities and certainly should be expanded to our other counties throughout the Commonwealth. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barley	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Freeman	McLhattan	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Belfanti	George	Melio	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfé	Steelman
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Van Horne
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright

DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Yewcic
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rubley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	
Druce	Maher	Santoni	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Rieger

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **TRAVAGLIO** offered the following amendment No. **A1365**:

Amend Sec. 215, page 77, line 10, by inserting after "centers." Funding is contingent upon submission of the final evaluation report on the Community Health Pilot Project program to the General Assembly on or before June 30, 1999, pursuant to the act of July 2, 1996 (P.L.518, No.87), entitled "An act amending the act of April 27, 1905 (P.L.312, No.218), entitled 'An act creating a Department of Health, and defining its powers and duties,' further providing for organization and for administrative powers and duties; and making repeals."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Schroder
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bard	Fleagle	Mayermik	Seyfert
Barley	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	McGechan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Solobay
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Staback
Belfanti	George	Melio	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Steelman
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson

Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pisteila	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Van Horne
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Roberts	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnarowski
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Wright
DeLuca	Lederer	Rooney	Yewcic
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rubley	Yudichak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Sainato	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Samuelson	
Druce	Maher	Santoni	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Major	Saylor	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Rieger

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

GUEST INTRODUCED

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair at this time would like to introduce to the House a guest of Representative Belardi's, Maj. Frank E. Koscelnak, the director of the Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement of the Pennsylvania State Police. Would the gentleman please rise.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **STURLA** offered the following amendment No. **A1366**:

Amend Sec. 801, page 176, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting
vehicle miles traveled.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, desires recognition.

Mr. BARLEY. Just very briefly, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to point out for the members that the gentleman from Lancaster distributed a worksheet on what the formula would mean to your respective county and your area, so I am assuming that everybody has received that and has paid attention to that and would just suggest that they would want to more than likely vote accordingly.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Fargo.

Mr. FARGO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think we had better look at this amendment very closely before we pass it. When we passed the latest gas tax increase, the formula was changed at that time to the present one, which really corrected a lot of inequities, especially in the rural counties that had not been receiving anywhere near a fair amount of reimbursement for maintenance purposes. That formula was agreed upon by the urban-area legislators, and an agreement was made on it at that particular time. This changes it, and I do not think it is reasonable, really, to disregard actual lane miles, which is what this does, and go to the actual miles being traveled. Lane miles has an awful lot to do with the cost of maintaining what you have in your particular district, and to just disregard lane miles is not acceptable, in my mind, and I think it ought to be looked at very carefully. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The General Assembly has been about this business for a long time, on a fair and equitable formula statewide. Representative Saylor did his own formula and other Representatives on the floor of this House. We came together, doing what we should as good politicians, in consensus agreement.

For that reason I would urge a "no" vote on this amendment and get about the business of building and fixing Pennsylvania's roads as we debated it last year. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Baker.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise in opposition to this amendment.

I think the members need to take a look at that printout sheet if they have not already. This amendment only helps 18 counties; it hurts 49 counties. In other words, 75 percent of the counties in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania will see a decrease in funding under this funding formula, and only 18 will see an increase. Now, for those individuals representing counties that were already negatively impacted by the last funding formula, this is another hit to your area in funding maintenance.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this amendment, and I think what we have right now needs to be improved, but I do not believe that we need to hurt 75 percent of the counties in the process. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Sturla, will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, I heard the previous speaker talking about how it would hurt certain counties in the State. What exactly does it do to the funding for the Philadelphia area, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, Philadelphia County would gain an additional \$791,000 under this amendment.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, is there any other county in the State that loses that amount of money, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I do not believe there are any individual counties that lose that amount of money.

Mr. HORSEY. But, Mr. Speaker, collectively the other counties would lose that amount of money. Is that correct, because, Mr. Speaker— Well, go ahead. Excuse me, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, yes, there are— As was pointed out, I had passed out a piece of paper earlier today that shows what each county gains and what each county loses, and as has been pointed out, there are only 18 counties that gain money and there are 49 counties that lose money. However, I will point out that those 18 counties in the State that gain money represent 70 percent of the State's population and therefore represent 70 percent of the potholes hit by people and 70 percent of the cars on the road and 70 percent of those people that get upset with the road conditions in Pennsylvania.

So I would urge people to look at this list, see whether your county gains or whether it loses. If your county loses, I would urge you to vote against this amendment, but I still believe that that means that 70 percent of the legislature will vote for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. HORSEY. So, Mr. Speaker, these are the roads that are traveled most often?

Mr. STURLA. Yes, Mr. Speaker. This sends dollars to those roads that are heavily traveled in the State of Pennsylvania. We hear the complaint about the roads in Pennsylvania not being good, and part of the reason for that is that we treat almost all roads in Pennsylvania equally, whether they get 10 cars a day or whether they get 10,000 cars a day. This starts to send the money to those counties that have high populations and high traffic.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, with the kind of money you are offering, Mr. Speaker, I have to vote "yes" on this amendment and urge my colleagues to do the same. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York County, Mr. Platts.

Mr. PLATTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment stand for interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. He indicates that he will. You may proceed.

Mr. PLATTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman explain specifically how he has arrived at the numbers, and using York County, which shows a possible increase of \$93,000, what is the scientific knowledge or basis of information you are using?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, this is based on PennDOT figures. Currently the formula is set up that 50 percent of the allocation is based on lane miles, 50 percent is based on vehicle miles. This allocation, under this amendment, would be 100 percent based on vehicle miles. Again, it is where the cars actually are, not where there is road but more deer than cars.

Mr. PLATTS. But, Mr. Speaker, would you agree that lane miles is an exact number; vehicle miles is an estimated number,

because we are not able to track every car and where it drives and how far it drives?

Mr. STURLA. That is true. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that if we actually tracked the cars that actually drove the actual lane miles, these 18 counties would be getting more money than we do under this formula, so this is actually a pretty fair formula when you consider that we would be getting more money if it was not.

Mr. PLATTS. Mr. Speaker. I am going to use two counties, Cumberland and York. In Cumberland County, it shows about twice as much of an increase as for York County. Is that because for Cumberland you are including the turnpike and I-81, that go through that county, in coming to the calculation of vehicle miles traveled?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, I do not believe that the turnpike miles are counted in this formula, but I would have to check on that.

Mr. PLATTS. You are not certain. But it is accurate that if you have a county that has a lot of local State roads, you are going to be at a disadvantage to those that have an interstate where there is a lot more volume of traffic going through your county but not necessarily local traffic?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker. I will agree with you that it has to do with volumes of traffic, and yes, that is true, and those are the roads that get beat the most day in and day out with heavy truck traffic, so yes.

Mr. PLATTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That ends my interrogation. I would like to speak on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. PLATTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think for several reasons I would urge a "no" vote. One is because we are going to a formula that is more subjective and less objective. I think that is not the right direction we want to go. I also think because areas, as I have referenced, with an interstate are going to be given an advantage over areas with maybe fewer interstates and more local State roads — another reason you should vote "no."

This is not equity, this is not fairness, and even though York County shows a minimal increase, I am still going to vote "no" and encourage a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Sturla, for the second time. Thank you. The gentleman waives off.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—121

Adolph	Evans	McGeehan	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Smith, B.
Bard	Flick	McIlhinney	Snyder
Barley	Frankel	Melio	Sraback
Barrar	Freeman	Metcalfe	Steil
Battisto	Gannon	Michlovic	Stetler
Belardi	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stevenson
Bishop	Gladeck	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Blaum	Godshall	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Grucela	O'Brien	Tangretti
Bunt	Habay	Orie	Taylor, J.

Butkovitz	Harhai	Perzel	Thomas
Buxton	Harhart	Petrarca	Tigue
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrone	Travaglio
Carn	Hershey	Pippy	Trello
Casorio	Horsey	Pistella	True
Cawley	James	Preston	Van Horne
Civera	Josephs	Ramos	Vitali
Clymer	Kaiser	Raymond	Walko
Cohen, L. I.	Keiler	Readshaw	Washington
Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Williams
Costa	Kirkland	Roberts	Wogan
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dally	Leh	Rooney	Youngblood
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
Dermody	Maher	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DiGiroliamo	Manderino	Samuelson	
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Ryan,
Druce	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker
Eachus	Mayernik	Schuler	

NAYS—79

Allen	Fairchild	Lucyk	Saylor
Argall	Fargo	Lynch	Scrimenti
Baker	Feese	Maitland	Serafiri
Bastian	Fleagle	Major	Seyfert
Bebko-Jones	Forcier	Marsico	Shaner
Belfanti	Geist	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	George	McCall	Solobay
Birmelin	Gordner	McIlhattan	Stairs
Boyes	Gruitza	McNaughton	Steelman
Cappabianca	Haluska	Miller, R.	Stern
Chadwick	Hanna	Nailor	Surra
Clark	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen, M.	Herman	Pesci	Trich
Colafella	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Cornell	Hutchinson	Platts	Vance
Coy	Jadlowiec	Rieger	Veon
Daley	Krebs	Robinson	Wilt
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Ross	Yewcic
DeWeese	Laughlin	Sainato	Zug
Egolf	Lescovitz	Sather	

NOT VOTING—2

Mundy	Oliver
-------	--------

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Bunt, there are five amendments of yours on my list. Are any of them withdrawn? No?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1367:

Amend Sec. 208, page 35, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 25,970,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 39, by inserting between lines 23 and 24
 For the Agricultural Law Center.
 State appropriation..... 150,000

On the question.
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. I will try to expedite them for you though, Mr. Speaker.

A1367, Mr. Speaker, this amendment creates a new line item in the Department of Agriculture's budget in the amount of \$150,000 for the Ag Law Center. Now, this law center was created by the legislature during the past fiscal year, and initial funding was provided by the department from their research line item. The center is now a reality, and it provides a unique and valuable service, and it merits its own appropriation. This amendment is revenue neutral to the department, since it reduces the department's general government operations by a corresponding \$150,000.

On the question recurring.
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Sather
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Mellhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Steelman
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhaj	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carr	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Van Horne
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Veon
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Walko
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Wilt
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wright
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Yewcic

Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Yudichak
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Speaker
Egoif	Major		

NAYS—1

Saylor

NOT VOTING—1

Stairs

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1368:

Amend Sec. 208, page 39, by inserting between lines 23 and 24
 For indemnity payments to farmers
 for damage to livestock or farm machinery
 caused by litter.
 State appropriation..... 150,000
 Amend Sec. 213, page 70, line 2, by striking out all of said line and
 inserting
 State appropriation..... 69,869,000

On the question.
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. BUNT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment creates an indemnity fund in the Department of Agriculture in the amount of \$150,000 for damage to livestock or equipment caused by roadside litter. For years farm groups have unsuccessfully advocated that the State initiate a returnable-container program or so-called bottle bill. As an alternative, these groups, with support from other interests as well, have proposed an indemnity program for damages. This amendment provides funding for such a program with \$150,000 from the \$70-million environmental protection operations line in the Department of Environmental Protection budget.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. COY. Earlier I submitted and the House adopted an amendment to this bill dealing with this same subject, and I am wondering whether or not this is duplicative in nature, or maybe it is duplicative.

The SPEAKER. Maybe it is the same thing.

Mr. COY. Or maybe it is the same thing.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Coy, we will have to look to your other amendment to answer your question.

Mr. BUNT. I am not aware of the same substance contained in the language, but if the gentleman, Mr. Coy, indicates that it is, I will withdraw the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Coy, that was the Cappabianca-faced amendment?

Mr. COY. Yes.

The SPEAKER. And what did that amendment do?

Mr. COY. It provided the \$150,000 for the indemnity fund for litter abatement and damages and so on.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Coy. The amendment is withdrawn.

Mr. Bunt, doublecheck, because we do not have the Cappabianca amendment here. I am sorry; I am advised by staff that Mr. Coy is exactly right. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1369:

Amend Sec. 208, page 36, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 3,650,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 1,100,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, A1369 adds an additional half a million dollars to the agricultural research line item in the Department of Agriculture's budget. Even though the bill proposes \$3.15 million for FY 1999-2000, in reality, because of multiyear commitments, less than \$300,000 of this will be available for new research projects this year.

Agriculture is in an era of unprecedented change. The only way our individual farmers will remain competitive is to stay at the leading edge of the newest technologies and practices. One of the best ways to target research that is most critically needed by and applicable to our farmers is through this targeted competitive grant program of the Department of Agriculture. This amendment takes the money from the new CyberStart initiative, which, while innovative, lacks the economic significance of the State's largest industry.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Scyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Gruceia	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carr	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pisiella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Horn
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright
Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zug
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	Ryan,
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Speaker
Egolf	Major	Sather	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Yudichak

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1370:

Amend Sec. 208, page 35, lines 5 and 6, by striking out all of said lines and inserting of the Department of Agriculture, including \$250,000 for the prevention and control of Johne's Disease.

State appropriation..... 26,295,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 3,825,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment increases the general government operations line of the Department of Agriculture's budget by \$175,000 and designates a total of \$250,000 of that line to be used for Johne's disease prevention and control. Johne's disease is also known as paratuberculosis. It is a highly infectious and economically costly disease of dairy cattle, which has the potential to become epidemic in this State. These funds will provide additional startup funds for an effective statewide prevention and control program. Money for this program is from a reduction in the new \$4-million interactive marketing initiative within DCED.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' in 201, including Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benningshoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cam, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, and Cornell.

Table listing names of members who were present or voting, including Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Saimato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt, Wogan, Wojnarowski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, and Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Petrone

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BUNT offered the following amendment No. A1371:

Amend Sec. 208, page 37, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 3,750,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 3,250,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Bunt.

Mr. BUNT. Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like to withdraw this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DERMODY offered the following amendment No. A1372:

Amend Sec. 209, page 46, line 11, by inserting after "employment" , including manufacturing training and teaching factories

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment amends the language for the \$10-million appropriation to the Department of Community and Economic Development for community conservation and employment. Here it will have employment to include manufacturing training and teaching factories. It is revenue neutral, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, throughout the Commonwealth and particularly in western Pennsylvania, we have machinist jobs and jobs in the tool-and-die industry that are going unfilled because we lack qualified people to take the jobs. This amendment would help our teaching factories — the Manufacturing Assistance Center at the University of Pittsburgh; at the Applied Research Center in Harmarville, in my district, which is now a teaching factory, teaching people, training people to take these jobs — maintain their technology, maintain the expertise they need to help our young people go to work and stay in western Pennsylvania.

So, Mr. Speaker, I ask for the support of the members.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Schroder
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Fleagle	Mayemik	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Shaner
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Snyder
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Solobay
Belfanti	George	Melio	Staback
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stern
Boyes	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Stevenson
Bunt	Habay	Myers	Stritmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Caitagirono	Harhai	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	True
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vance
Colafella	Josephs	Preston	Van Home
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Veon
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Vitali
Costa	Kenney	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Washington
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Williams
Dailey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Daley	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Dally	Lawless	Roebuck	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Lederer	Rohrer	Wright

Dempsey	Leh	Rooney	Yewcic
Dermody	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rubley	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Lynch	Sainato	Zug
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TAYLOR offered the following amendment No. A1374:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 21,910,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 47, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

For a Youth Development Safe

Communities Program.

State appropriation 15,000,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 74, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 23,017,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 39,096,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 95, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 247,377,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 101, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,442,259,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Mr. Taylor, I regret to inform you that there are inadequate funds to accommodate your amendment, and therefore, it is out of order under our rules.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to amendments contained in packet 16. Let me review them quickly.

1375, Laughlin? Withdrawn.

1376, Levdansky? Is this on? All right.

1377, Coy? Withdrawn.

1497, Williams, is that on? Thank you.

Mr. Veon's 1382 has been before the House.

O'Brien, 1383, is that on?

1384, McIlhattan, is that on? Yes.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LEVDANSKY offered the following amendment No. A1376:

Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 10, by inserting after "Welfare."

The department shall develop a Statewide strategy to improve wages and benefits of direct care workers as an incentive to assure the appropriate quality of care is provided to Pennsylvanians from the human service and social programs funded through the department, including mental health and mental retardation services, behavioral health services, child welfare services, child care and attendant care.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, last session the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee performed a report that studied the salary levels and their impact on the quality of care for direct-care workers in community-based MH/MR facilities across the Commonwealth. And just very quickly, Mr. Speaker, two critical findings of the report are as follows. One of the critically important findings was that the State target funds to increase direct-care workers' salaries. And unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, I had drafted three amendments that would appropriate significant amounts of money to achieve this end, but unfortunately, given the fact that no moneys remain available, those amendments are out of order, and thus, I am not offering them. However, Mr. Speaker, the report also goes on to indicate another significant finding, and let me read it to you very briefly. It says that the legislature should "ensure that COLAs and any savings resulting from deinstitutionalization are passed on to community providers."

Mr. Speaker, what I have drafted is amendment A1376. This amendment, while not containing any funding, given that there are no funds left during the debate today, this amendment would simply direct that the department shall develop a statewide strategy to improve the wages and benefits of direct-care workers.

Mr. Speaker, we do not have any money to address the significant issue of direct-care workers in this Commonwealth, and the reality is, Mr. Speaker, that 43,000 workers in direct-care facilities across the Commonwealth, the salary for these people averages about \$15,854 a year. Mr. Speaker, these people, these

workers, that provide the vital, necessary care to the most fragile and dependent of all Pennsylvanians, these critical-care workers, Mr. Speaker, deliver that care, that quality care, and at the same time, we pay them less than poverty-level wages to work on behalf of the needy in our State. Mr. Speaker, the wages are so low for direct-care workers that last year alone, 42 percent of direct-care workers, working in community facilities in Pennsylvania, left their jobs in seek of employment largely because they need a higher wage and salary in order to support their families.

Mr. Speaker, what this amendment would do would just simply direct the Department of Public Welfare to develop a statewide strategy that we could begin to implement in succeeding years so that we could raise the wages and salaries of these workers that provide this necessary and critical care.

Mr. Speaker, again, I just want to point out as well that the DPW secretaries for MH/MR have indicated that they support the concept of using savings resulting from moving residents to the community-based programs from the State facilities. In principle, they have acknowledged that this is an issue that we need to address. What this amendment would do, Mr. Speaker, would be simply to direct that the department shall begin the process of devising a strategy to address this issue.

I think that this is the least that we could do for those direct-care workers that provide the necessary and critical care to the most fragile of Pennsylvanians, and I request your support.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny County, Miss Orie.

Miss ORIE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of amendment 1376. I believe we must take a serious look at the situation facing the State's mental health/mental retardation direct-care workers. These dedicated direct-care workers provide care and support 365 days a year, 24 hours a day. These workers take on a huge responsibility but only have a minimal salary.

Mental health/mental retardation workers in Pennsylvania are entirely dependent upon the General Assembly for funding. Unlike most other human services, where clients may have access to significant private resources or insurance coverage, people with lifelong disabilities of mental illness or mental retardation are dependent on the General Assembly to fund their needed services. In fact, the average MH/MR direct-care worker in community living arrangements receives a salary of \$14,956 a year. This is significantly below the 1998 Federal poverty guideline for a family of four, which is \$16,450 per year.

Undoubtedly, MH/MR direct-care workers exemplify exceptional dedication and commitment to assisting those with disabilities. It is only fair that we address their salary concerns, and I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise and ask the members to support the Levdansky amendment.

Last session we voted and directed the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to do a report and survey of the wages paid to direct-care workers in MH/MR, and Representative Levdansky referred to that in his remarks, and I think that members should all really take a look at that report, a copy of which was distributed to all of us earlier in this session, because it really does show both the yeoman's job that our direct-care workers are doing, the low wages in that industry, the real turnover that is happening as a result of those low wages, and a direct correlation that that turnover can

have on the impact of the quality of care to our most vulnerable citizens.

This is a chance to continue with step number two. It is a step short of the real money that we have debated earlier and that we all, I think, recognize is needed, but this is a real opportunity to at least say we have to go the next step. we have to put a strategy in place, and if \$90 million of direct aid in 1 year is too much for one big bite of the apple, then we ought to figure out a way to get some medium bites of the apple in successive years that will bring those wages up to par.

Thank you. Vote "yes."

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, Mr. Snyder, who requests that the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. GLADECK, be placed on leave for the balance of today's session. Without objection, leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS-199' in four columns: Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. J., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Dailey, Daley, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jadlowiec, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Mann, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michiovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orije, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Saylor, Schroder, Schuier, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tiguc, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Horne, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt

Table listing names of members who did not vote: Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dennody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Evans, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS-1

Wogan

NOT VOTING-1

Butkovitz

EXCUSED-1

Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A1497:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 20,878,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 66, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

For programs to support campus based public and community service. Such programs must demonstrate Statewide support by colleges and universities for initiatives to develop community service and service learning opportunities.

State appropriation 175,000

On the question.

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. This amendment appears on packet 16. However, it appears as PN 1381. There was a technical amendment permitted which advanced the printer's number to 1497. Is that correct?

Ms. WILLIAMS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The lady is recognized on the amendment.

Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Campus Compact is a program that is held in over 60 of our colleges and universities, in which 93,000 students last year did more than 2 million hours of community service in the communities where the colleges are located - from tutoring to helping elderly, to providing food, to restoring homes.

I ask that you vote for this amendment, which would reallocate \$175,000 in the Department of Education to this terrific program, which encourages volunteerism, community service, and hopefully

will engage many of our students to stay in the communities and stay in Pennsylvania after they graduate. It will connect them to our State.

Thank you very much.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Veon, who requests that the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. EVANS, be placed on leave for the balance of today's session. Without objection, leave will be granted. The Chair hears none.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 980 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Sommel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsley	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rublely	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. O'BRIEN offered the following amendment No. A1383:

Amend Sec. 204, page 31, by inserting between lines 7 and 8 For charitable nonprofit conversions.	
State appropriation	903,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 71, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	8,247,000
Amend Sec. 214, page 72, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	57,338,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. O'Brien.

Mr. O'BRIEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The purpose of this appropriation is to allow the Attorney General's Office to review the charitable nonprofit conversions. You may recall that I offered an amendment at the end of last session, while we were in the temporary House chambers, and it received overwhelming support from this body. Primarily this review will pertain mostly to nonprofit hospitals, nursing homes, and rehabilitative centers. It is to maintain the traditional role and responsibility of the charitable trusts and organizations section of the Attorney General's Office.

Pennsylvania has joined the national trend, seeing health-care institutions merge, consolidate, lease back, convey, transfer, exercise options, enter joint ventures, affiliate, collaborate, and affect other means of disposition of their assets. Oversight of these transactions is complicated and unique. This will require the review of voluminous documents; necessitate conversations, phone calls, and meetings. As it has occurred in the AHERF (Allegheny Health, Education and Research Foundation) bankruptcy, litigation can potentially take place on several fronts at the same time -- namely, bankruptcy court, orphan's court, and Federal district court.

The AG's role is to make sure that the proceeds in these charitable trusts are protected and continue to be used for the bona fide purposes of the charitable trusts. Opponents are well represented on the various matters at issue.

To demonstrate the number of these time-intensive reviews, to demonstrate that the number of these reviews has escalated dramatically, let me just show you, in the 7 years prior to 1997,

there were only four transactions reviewed. In 1997 there were 22 transactions reviewed. In 1998 there were 41 new fundamental change transactions. In 1999 there are 25 transactions under review from the previous year, including the AHERF issue, and they have verbal notice of at least a dozen more.

This appropriation is necessary for this timely review that we have decided is important to this legislative body, and I ask for your favorable consideration.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Gordner.

Mr. GORDNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment.

A hospital in my legislative district, the Berwick Hospital, recently this year became the first voluntary not-for-profit hospital to convert to a for-profit entity. That happened on March 1 of this year. The Attorney General's Office provided very valuable help and assistance in making sure that that transition occurred properly and that the folks of my legislative area were well represented in that purchase. Unfortunately, at this point our State still does not have law with teeth in it that deals with this issue, and until that happens, we need to rely upon the Attorney General's Office to provide that review, and this amendment would go a long way toward supporting that. So I would hope that we would all support this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. O'Brien, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, where are these revenues being taken from?

Mr. O'BRIEN. There is \$450,000 coming from the Department of General Services and \$453,000 from the Environmental Stewardship Fund.

Mr. HORSEY. And what exactly are these funds going to be used for, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. O'BRIEN. As I have stated, the Attorney General is responsible for the fiduciary obligation of reviewing the charitable trusts — namely, the AHERF situation and any other nonprofit-to-profit conversion, profit-to-nonprofit, but the only time that the Attorney General's Office has been notified or brought into these conversions is when it is brought to their notice. We have substantive legislation by way of amendment that was passed by this General Assembly when we were in the temporary House chambers. That is continuing to be reviewed by the Attorney General's Office, and we hope to have an amendment that will substantiate in form this appropriation.

Mr. HORSEY. So, Mr. Speaker, we are allocating funds without knowing exactly what they are going to be used for. Is that true, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. O'BRIEN. No. This legislative body has already voted overwhelmingly to support an amendment that would allow the Attorney General to review these transactions. We anticipate that this legislation is going to be acted upon in the near future, and we want the appropriation in the budget so that that mission can be accomplished.

Mr. HORSEY. So, Mr. Speaker, have the rules been written up for this amendment? Has the enabling legislation been passed in this chamber for this particular action to be taken?

Mr. O'BRIEN. This adds an increase in the appropriation to the Attorney General's Office for this specific purpose.

Mr. HORSEY. We are increasing without knowing, Mr. Speaker, whether he needs an increase?

Mr. O'BRIEN. There are lawyers and staff that are reviewing these transactions as we speak. So the need is already present. Members of the Attorney General's Office are already responding to this need. There is an anticipation, as I have stated in my remarks, that the number of transactions is dramatically increasing, so this appropriation is in anticipation of those transactions increasing, and I can review for you again, Mr. Speaker, the history of that increase.

Prior to 1997, there were only four transactions. In 1997 there were 22 transactions. In 1998 there were 41 new fundamental change transactions. In 1999 there are 25 transactions left over from last year including the AHERF issue, and there are at least 12 that have verbally been brought to the attention of the Attorney General's Office. So that number is going to increase. This appropriation reflects the projection of the increased workload the Attorney General's Office will sustain in the upcoming year.

Mr. HORSEY. So, Mr. Speaker, you said projection, which means, Mr. Speaker, the real cases have not actually been brought up for litigation. Is that true?

Mr. O'BRIEN. Mr. Speaker, this appropriations process is about projecting what our costs are going to be over the next year. This is no different than any other amendments that have been voted on. The Attorney General's Office has very conservatively projected what their increased cost is going to be and is asking for the resources to respond to something that this legislative body has determined is an appropriate function of that office.

Mr. HORSEY. But, Mr. Speaker, we can allocate the funding once we know exactly what the cost is going to be as opposed to doing it ahead of time. Is that not true, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. O'BRIEN. No, Mr. Speaker, because you cannot ask the Attorney General's Office what they are going to do over the next year — who they are going to be investigating, what issues they are going to take up. This is a very conservative estimate based on the last several years of the increase in the transactions that have been brought to them for review in anticipation of what that workload is going to look at. It is a function of the office.

Mr. HORSEY. Okay.

On the issue, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, we are going to allocate funds for the Attorney General based on what he may need, Mr. Speaker, and there is nothing in this legislation that suggests that he needs \$7 million, Mr. Speaker. We are going to just throw money away and give it to him and he is going to be allowed to do with it whatever he wants, and there is no doubt in my mind, Mr. Speaker, that next year he will be back again for another increase, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to vote "no" on the amendment, Mr. Speaker, and I urge my colleagues to also.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Egolf	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Forcier	McIlhatten	Smith, B.
Bastian	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Habay	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Haluska	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nickoi	Struttmatter
Bunt	Harhai	O'Brien	Sturia
Butkovitz	Harhart	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Orie	Tangretti
Calitagirone	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Cam	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Cawley	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Chadwick	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Civera	James	Pistella	True
Clark	Josephs	Platts	Tullii
Clymer	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Walko
Corrigan	Krebs	Reinard	Washington
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wilr
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wogan
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wright
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
Dermody	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Santoni	
Donatucci	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Druce	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Eachus	Mann		

NAYS—8

Cohen, M.	Grucela	Mundy	Thomas
Freeman	Horsey	Rooney	Vitali

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. McILHATTAN offered the following amendment No. A1384:

Amend Sec. 213, page 71, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,700,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 72, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

For the Beaver Creek Dam Project,

Clarion County.

State appropriation 3,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. McILHATTAN submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

My amendment 1384 provides for \$3 million to be allocated to the Beaver Creek Dam Project.

The Beaver Creek Dam Project is a regional environmental/sportsmen project which has been under serious development for the past 10 years in northwestern Pennsylvania.

One hundred forty acres have been purchased and deeded to the Beaver Creek Project. Plans are to construct a dam on this acreage which will create a 90-acre lake and a large fresh-water reservoir to provide public water supply to the surrounding area. All the engineering for the dam has been completed, paid for, and it is now ready to construct.

In addition, 800 acres surrounding the lake and reservoir have been purchased and deeded to the project. Twelve water impoundments ranging from one-half acre to 10 acres have been constructed on this acreage. These impoundments have been stocked by the Pennsylvania Fish Commission and are now enjoyed by many Pennsylvania anglers. Also, an environmental center has been established on this acreage that provides environmental stewardship education to the public school students in the region.

Local conservation, environmental, wildlife, and sportsmen organizations have raised over \$1,450,000 to assist in developing this project.

The Beaver Creek Project has been honored by the Pennsylvania Environmental Council by being one of only three projects to be considered for the prestigious Environmental Three Rivers Environmental Award to be decided in June of this year.

Mr. Speaker, the hardworking people of northwestern Pennsylvania have certainly done an outstanding job in investing their own money and hard work to develop their project. I feel now is time for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to make a commitment to this project, and I am asking my colleagues to join me in supporting this amendment, which will take \$3 million from the Environmental Stewardship Fund and allocate it to the Beaver Creek Dam Project.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Adolph	Eachus	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	Egolf	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Fairchild	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fargo	Mayernik	Serafini
Baker	Feese	McCall	Seyfert
Bard	Fichter	McGeehan	Shaner
Barley	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, B.

Barrar	Flick	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Forcier	McIlhinney	Snyder
Battisto	Frankel	McNaughton	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Belardi	Geist	Metcalfe	Stairs
Belfanti	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stell
Birmelin	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Bishop	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stetler
Blaum	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Carn	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Cawley	Horscy	Phillips	Trello
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clark	James	Platts	Tulli
Clymer	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Colafella	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Cornell	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Daley	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
Dally	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeLuca	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
Dempsey	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Dermody	Maitland	Santoni	Zug
DeWeese	Major	Sather	
DiGirolamo	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Donatucci	Mann	Schroder	Speaker
Druce			

NAYS—9

Freeman	Josephs	Mundy	Samuelson
Gordner	Lescovitz	Rooney	Yewcic
Grucela			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time is going to return to the packets where amendments had been placed over temporarily.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. JOSEPHS offered the following amendment No. A1132:

Amend Sec. 215, page 74, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 23,167,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 79, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,878,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. This amendment was in the name of Evans and is in your packet as Evans.

On the question, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment takes some \$23 million from general government operations of the Department of Health and adds it to the Department of Health appropriation for AIDS prevention education.

I ask everybody's support for this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masiand	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayermik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCali	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Stell
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horscy	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic

Dempsey	Levdansky	Ruble	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGiroiarno	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to packet No. 8.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BELARDI offered the following amendment No. A1194:

Amend Sec. 214, page 72, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation.....	52,788,000
Amend Sec. 230, page 125, by inserting between lines 5 and 6	
For grants to augment PENNVEST	
loans for local sewage construction	
projects to be used solely to reduce the	
periodic residential sewer-user fees.	
State appropriation.....	5,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Belardi.

Mr. BELARDI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment, 1194, would appropriate \$5 million to supplement PENNVEST loans for use as grants for local sewer construction projects. These grants through PENNVEST would be used solely to reduce residential sewage fees.

This same amendment passed the House last year 191 to 6. I would appreciate another affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. BELARDI submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This amendment would appropriate \$5 million to supplement PENNVEST loans for use as grants for local sewer construction projects. These grants through PENNVEST would be used solely to reduce residential sewage fees.

The corresponding reduction in the budget would come from the general government operations line item of the Department of General Services.

As the Federal Environmental Protection Agency and our Department of Environmental Protection require more communities to install modern sewage systems, the costs to homeowners in our smaller townships have become unaffordable.

No one disputes the need for sewers, but when these \$20-million sewer projects are divided among the relatively few households in a small municipality, the costs to these residents become much more than they should be expected to pay.

This same amendment passed the House last year 191 to 6. I would appreciate another affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhatten	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigloti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steeleman
Birmelin	Gordner	Milfer, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic

Dempsey	Lucyk	Rublek	Youngblood
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Kenney

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BELARDI offered the following amendment No. A1237:

Amend Sec. 801, page 177, by inserting between lines 10 and 11
 For security walls pilot projects.
 State appropriation..... 2,000,000

Amend Sec. 807, page 180, line 19, by striking out all of said line
 and inserting
 State appropriation..... 18,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Belardi. Mr. BELARDI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would appropriate \$2 million to the Department of Transportation for sound barrier pilot projects. The corresponding reduction in the budget would come from the tort claims under the Motor License Fund maintained by the Department of General Services.

Mr. Speaker, we could make good use of our gas taxes and other drivers' fees by providing this money now for sound barriers to benefit the residents living near our busiest highways.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote. Thank you.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. BELARDI submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This amendment would appropriate \$2 million to the Department of Transportation for sound barrier pilot projects.

The corresponding reduction in the budget would come from tort claims under the Motor License Fund maintained by the Department of General Services.

The sharp increase of heavy truck traffic on our interstate highways over the past few years has now reached the point where residential areas

in any proximity at all to a highway are subject to noise, dirt, and vibrations nearly 24 hours a day.

Being smack in the middle of the Northeast corridor, Pennsylvania will most likely continue to bear a disproportionate share of truck traffic into the foreseeable future.

In the meantime we can make good use of our gas taxes and other drivers' fees by providing this money now for sound barriers to benefit the residents living near our busiest highways.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimanti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Home
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yeweic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BELARDI offered the following amendment No. A1243:

Amend Sec. 801, page 176, line 25. by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 94,945,000

Amend Sec. 801, page 177, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

For grants to cities of the second class A for the amelioration of roadway storm water drainage and flood problems.

State appropriation..... 5,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Belardi.

Mr. BELARDI. Thank you. Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment, A1243, appropriates \$5 million to the Department of Transportation for grants to second-class-A cities for storm water runoff projects.

The corresponding budget reduction would come from the administration of the Department of Transportation.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. BELARDI submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This amendment would appropriate \$5 million to the Department of Transportation for grants to second-class-A cities for storm water runoff projects.

The corresponding budget reduction would come from the administration of the Department of Transportation.

For many years the city of Scranton, which remains a Commonwealth-sanctioned distressed municipality, has struggled with storm water runoff problems aggravated by occasional major floods, particularly in the East Mountain area.

The accumulated effects of this runoff left unattended over the years has resulted in widespread chronic property damage to many Scranton residents.

Additionally, a major city thoroughfare is practically washed away at both shoulders and now actually constitutes a threat to public safety.

This is clearly a project the city of Scranton cannot afford to adequately address on its own. Likewise, we cannot afford to let it deteriorate to the point of no return.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Fairchild | Mann | Saylor |
| Allen | Fargo | Markosek | Schroder |
| Argall | Feese | Marsico | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Fichter | Masland | Scrimenti |
| Baker | Fleagle | Mayemik | Semmel |
| Bard | Flick | McCall | Serafini |
| Barley | Forcier | McGeehan | Seyfert |
| Barrar | Frankel | McGill | Shaner |
| Bastian | Freeman | McIlhattan | Smith, B. |
| Battisto | Gannon | McIlhinney | Smith, S. H. |
| Bebko-Jones | Geist | McNaughton | Snyder |
| Belardi | George | Melio | Solobay |
| Belfanti | Gigliotti | Metcalfe | Staback |
| Benninghoff | Godshall | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Birmelin | Gordner | Micozzie | Steelman |
| Bishop | Grucela | Miller, R. | Stern |
| Blaum | Gruitza | Miller, S. | Stetler |
| Boyes | Habay | Mundy | Stevenson |
| Bunt | Haluska | Myers | Strittmatter |
| Butkowitz | Hanna | Nailor | Sturla |
| Buxton | Harhai | Nickol | Surra |
| Caltagirone | Harhart | O'Brien | Tangretti |
| Cappabianca | Hasay | Oliver | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Cam | Hennessey | Orie | Taylor, J. |
| Casorio | Herman | Perzel | Thomas |
| Cawley | Hershey | Pesci | Tigie |
| Chadwick | Hess | Petrarca | Travaglio |
| Civera | Horsey | Petrone | Trello |
| Clark | Hutchinson | Phillips | Trich |
| Clymer | Jadfowicz | Pippy | True |
| Cohen, L. I. | James | Pistella | Tulli |
| Cohen, M. | Josephs | Platts | Vance |
| Colafella | Kaiser | Preston | Van Home |
| Cornell | Kelier | Ramos | Veon |
| Corrigan | Kenney | Raymond | Vitali |
| Costa | Kirkland | Readshaw | Walko |
| Coy | Krebs | Reinard | Washington |
| Curry | LaGrotta | Rieger | Williams |
| Dailey | Laughlin | Roberts | Wilt |
| Daley | Lawless | Robinson | Wogan |
| Dally | Lederer | Roebuck | Wojnaroski |
| DeLuca | Leh | Rohrer | Wright |
| Dempsey | Lescovitz | Rooney | Yewcic |
| Dermody | Levdansky | Ross | Youngblood |
| DeWeese | Lucyk | Rubley | Yudichak |
| DiGirolamo | Lynch | Ruffing | Zimmerman |
| Donatucci | Maher | Sainato | Zug |
| Druce | Maitland | Samuelson | |
| Eachus | Major | Santoni | Ryan, |
| Egolf | Manderino | Sather | Speaker |

NAYS—2

Browne Steil

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeLUCA reoffered the following amendment No. A1254:

Amend Sec. 220, page 114, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 120,564,000

Amend Sec. 222, page 118, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

For a pilot program for matching grants to municipalities for the sidewalks along State roads.

State appropriation..... 500,000

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment appropriates \$500,000 for a pilot program for matching grants to municipalities for a sidewalk program along State highways. Let me say that this amendment can be a start to alleviate a lot of problems in our school districts by providing these sidewalks, and it is a start.

So I would appreciate an affirmative vote on this amendment. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' in two columns: Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Bastian, Battisto, Bebko-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boyes, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carn, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Cornell, Corrigan, Costa, Coy, Curry, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Forcier, Frankel, Freeman, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Godshall, Gordner, Grucela, Gruitza, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Jachowicz, James, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McIlhinney, McNaughton, Melio, Metcalfe, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, R., Miller, S., Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Oliver, Orije, Perzei, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, Solobay, Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tiguae, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Horne, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Williams, Wilt.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' or 'NOT VOTING' or 'EXCUSED': Dailey, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dermody, DeWeese, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Maher, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Mann, Roebuck, Rohrer, Rooney, Ross, Rubley, Ruffing, Sainato, Samuelson, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, Yewcic, Youngblood, Yudichak, Zimmerman, Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BLAUM offered the following amendment No. A1301:

Amend Sec. 219, page 99, line 15, by inserting after "recipients."

Provided that individuals directly providing child-care services subsidized through this appropriation and anyone 18 years of age and older who resides in the individual's home for at least 30 days in a calendar year are required to submit child abuse and criminal background clearances to the department. The department shall refuse to authorize the use of funds for child care if the department verifies that the individual providing the child care or individual 18 years of age or older residing in the individual's home is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; or the individual has been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c) (relating to information relating to prospective child-care personnel). The department may authorize the use of funds on a provisional basis for a single period not to exceed 30 days if the individual has applied for the clearances and provided a copy of the request forms to the department and if the individual swears or affirms in writing that he is not named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; and

has not been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c).

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 21, by inserting after "adjustment."

Provided that individuals directly providing child-care services subsidized through this appropriation and anyone 18 years of age or older who resides in the individual's home for at least 30 days in a calendar year are required to submit child abuse and criminal background clearances to the department. The department shall refuse to authorize the use of funds for child care if the department verifies that the individual providing the child care or individual 18 years of age or older residing in the individual's home is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; or the individual has been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c). The department may authorize the use of funds on a provisional basis for a single period not to exceed 30 days if the individual has applied for the clearances and provided a copy of the request forms to the department and if the individual swears or affirms in writing that he is not named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; and has not been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c).

Amend Sec. 219, page 109, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Services." Provided that individuals directly providing child-care services subsidized through this appropriation and anyone 18 years of age and older who resides in the individual's home for at least 30 days in a calendar year are required to submit child abuse and criminal background clearances to the department. The department shall refuse to authorize the use of funds for child care if the department verifies that the individual providing the child care or individual 18 years of age or older residing in the individual's home is named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; or the individual has been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c). The department may authorize the use of funds on a provisional basis for a single period not to exceed 30 days if the individual has applied for the clearances and provided a copy of the request forms to the department and if the individual swears or affirms in writing that he is not named in the Statewide central register of child abuse as the perpetrator of

a founded report, a founded report for school employee, an indicated report or an indicated report for school employee; and has not been convicted of an offense under 23 Pa.C.S. § 6344(c).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. A1301 is over temporarily.

Mr. Blaum, do you have an amendment, 1301, to be offered?

The gentleman indicates that the amendment is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time is prepared to go to packet 17. Each one of these amendments will require a separate suspension of the rules. Let me run through the list and see if any are withdrawn.

The Chair's marked-up calendar shows the first three, Ms. Josephs being the sponsor — 1196, 1197, and 1198 — are withdrawn.

Mr. Gordner, 1403, is withdrawn.

Mrs. Cohen, 1405? Withdrawn.

Mr. Hasay, 1418. Are you going to run that? Withdrawn.

Mrs. Rubley, 1427? Withdrawn.

Mr. Gordner, 1439? Withdrawn.

All the balance of the— Mr. Colafella, A1458?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The Chair advises the gentleman, Mr. Colafella, it will be necessary to suspend the rules to consider this amendment, and under the rule that prevails today, that will mean you need 136 votes to suspend the rules.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized on the question of suspension of the rules only.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask the members of the House to suspend the rules which will enable community colleges in Pennsylvania to get an extra \$60 per FTE (full-time equivalent). This is a very, very important piece of legislation—

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman for explaining the amendment.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we tried to be as fair as we possibly could with all of the members, giving a lot of latitude on the number of days that they would have in advance to file amendments. That was from

roughly the 23d of March through the 5th of April. That was 12 days, Mr. Speaker.

I have been accused several times of running a freight train and trying to push things out and get things done quickly. We did not do that on this particular bill, Mr. Speaker. Everyone was given an opportunity; that is why there were 280 amendments. It was a very fair process. It was fair to both sides of the aisle. Everyone knew what the deadline was, and that is why there were roughly 280 amendments filed properly and timely.

I would have to ask the members not to suspend the rules no matter what party or what member asked to suspend the rules, because everyone was given a fair opportunity to put their amendments to HB 980.

So, Mr. Speaker, again I would like to ask the members to vote "no" on all the suspensions of the rules.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—100

Battisto	Freeman	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	George	McGeehan	Solobay
Belardi	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Belfanti	Gordner	Metcalfe	Stairs
Bishop	Grucela	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Horsev	Petrarca	Thomas
Cam	James	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	Josephs	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Kaiser	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Trich
Colafigliola	Kirkland	Readshaw	Tulli
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Van Home
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Veon
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Vitali
Curry	Lescovitz	Rooney	Walko
Daley	Levdansky	Ruffing	Washington
DeLuca	Lucyk	Sainato	Williams
Dermody	Manderino	Samuelson	Wojnaroski
DeWeese	Mann	Santoni	Yewcic
Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti	Youngblood
Frankel	Mayernik	Semmel	Yudichak

NAYS—98

Adolph	Egolf	Maher	Rubley
Allen	Fairchild	Maitland	Sather
Argall	Fargo	Major	Saylor
Baker	Feese	Marsico	Schroder
Bard	Fichter	Masland	Schuler
Barley	Fleagle	McGill	Serafini
Barrar	Flick	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Bastian	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Geist	Micozzie	Snyder
Boyes	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Browne	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Bunt	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Chadwick	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Civera	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Herman	Orie	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hershey	Perzei	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Cornell	Hutchinson	Pippy	Wilt
Dailey	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wogan
Dally	Kenny	Raymond	Wright

Dempsey	Krebs	Reinard	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Rieger	
Donatucci	Leh	Rohrer	Ryan,
Druce	Lynch	Ross	Speaker

NOT VOTING—2

Armstrong	Zimmerman
-----------	-----------

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to amendments contained in packet 18. Like the last packet, this, too, would require a suspension of the rules; each of these would require a suspension of the rules.

The Chair recognizes— Mr. DeLuca, are you offering this? Withdrawn.

Mr. Thomas, you have five amendments here. Do you want them withdrawn or do you want to try and suspend the rules for each one? The gentleman withdraws the amendments. The Chair thanks the gentleman for that.

The Chair is in error apparently.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I will be withdrawing 1469, 1470, 1471, but I will go forward on 1472, 1473, and 1474.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, will be required to suspend the rules; 136 affirmative votes you need.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question – and this is only on suspension of the rules; you are not to debate the merits of your amendment – Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to suspend the rules to allow for this amendment to be considered.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belardi	George	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Stairs

Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafrèlla	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti	

NAYS—101

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McLhattan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McLhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	True
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Wilt
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wogan
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wright
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zug
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Rubley	Ryan,
Druce	Maher	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, is recognized in connection with A1473. At this time it would be appropriate to move to suspend the rules.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, once again, this is something that was taken out of the budget and something that I would like for us to consider, consider the merits of returning it to the budget, and so to that end. I need a suspension of the rules in order to move forward on this amendment. and I ask for a favorable vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belardi	George	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Stairs
Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafrèlla	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti	

NAYS—101

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McLhattan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McLhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	True
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Wilt
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wogan
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wright
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zug
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Rubley	Ryan,
Druce	Maher	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas is recognized in connection with A1474.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to suspend the rules in order to offer amendment 1474.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	George	McGeehan	Solobay
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Cam	Horsey	Perrone	Tigue
Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek		

NAYS—102

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stem
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter

Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	True
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Wright
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Zug
DiGirolo	Lynch	Rublely	
Druce	Maher	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair at this time turns to packet 19. To consider these amendments it will be necessary to suspend the rules, which requires 136 votes.

Ms. Mundy.

Ms. MUNDY. Mr. Speaker, I am withdrawing 1448 and running 1449, please.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The lady moves that the rules of the House be suspended to permit her to offer amendment 1449 to HB 980.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I was not going to offer this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The question is suspension.

Ms. MUNDY. Yes.

I was not going to offer this amendment, which is why I did not file it timely. It never, frankly, occurred to me that everyone would not be using the money in the CyberStart line item to fund day care and other worthwhile programs, but since only a portion of the CyberStart money was used by other amendments, I decided to offer this amendment, and that is why I need to suspend the rules.

This amendment eliminates the balance in the CyberStart program—

The SPEAKER. The lady is venturing afield. The question is suspension of the rules, not the merits of the amendment.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was simply explaining the amendment so people know what we are suspending the rules to do, but I would ask your support in

suspending the rules to eliminate the balance of the CyberStart program and use it for worthwhile projects.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	George	McGeehan	Solobay
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trelo
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek		

NAYS—102

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	Mellhattan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	Mellhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Mercalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	True
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Wright
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Rubley	
Druce	Maher	Sather	
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman. Mr. Lescovitz, A1485. Is that amendment to be—

The gentleman is recognized for the purpose of moving to suspend the rules.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we have spent 2 days and have gone through over 18 packets of amendments changing this year's 1999-2000 budget.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is suspension of the rules, not the history of the House for the past 2 days.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Mr. Speaker, I did not make a motion to suspend the rules yet.

The SPEAKER. You were recognized for that purpose. If that is not what you intend to do, you are no longer recognized.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. I will be ready to suspend the rules after I make a comment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I am not going to burden the House with an argument on this, but I will talk to you later about it.

You may proceed.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The purpose of our 2 days is to add language to the appropriations bill which members of the House of Representatives believe should be in this year's budget appropriations.

What I would like to do, Mr. Speaker, is put language into this year's budget similar to the language that is in Congress that states that the conferees during the conference committee shall be strictly limited to the considerations of disagreement between the Senate and the House, therefore ensuring the language and the changes that we put into this budget appropriations bill cannot be amended out by the conference committee report.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Lescovitz—

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, in order to do that, I would like to suspend the rules to offer amendment A1485.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—98

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	George	McGeehan	Solobay
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue

Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Van Home
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek		

NAYS—102

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McIlhatten	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	True
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Wright
Daily	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Rubley	
Druce	Maher	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladock

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A1238 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel, who moves that the vote by which amendment A1238 to HB 980 was defeated on the 12th day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Allen	Fairchild	Mann	Samuelson
Argall	Fargo	Markosek	Santoni
Armstrong	Feese	Marsico	Saylor
Baker	Fichter	Masland	Schroder
Bard	Fleagle	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	McCall	Semmel
Barrar	Forcier	McGeehan	Serafini
Bastian	Frankel	McGill	Seyfert
Battisto	Freeman	McIlhatten	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Belardi	Geist	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	George	Melio	Snyder
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Solobay
Birmelin	Gordner	Michlovic	Staback
Bishop	Grucela	Micozzie	Steelman
Blaum	Gruitza	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Myers	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	Nailor	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Nickol	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hershey	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Trich
Clark	James	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Josephs	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	Keller	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Kenney	Preston	Walko
Cornell	Kirkland	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Krebs	Raymond	Williams
Costa	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Wilt
Coy	Laughlin	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Lawless	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lederer	Roberts	Wright
Daily	Leh	Robinson	Yewic
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rohrer	Yudichak
Dermody	Lucyk	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Maher	Ross	
Donatucci	Maitland	Rubley	Ryan,
Druce	Major	Ruffing	Speaker
Eachus	Manderino	Sainato	

NAYS—15

Adolph	Godshall	Schuler	True
Dailey	Hasay	Stairs	Tulli
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Steil	Zimmerman
Egolf	Sather	Stern	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladock

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A1238:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 16,764,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 47, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

For Allegheny County home rule

transition grant.

State appropriation..... 400,000

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of amendment A1238, does anyone care to debate this?

Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Mr. Speaker, would the maker of the amendment please rise for a brief period of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Mayernik? Apparently the gentleman is not willing to be interrogated.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. I would just like to speak on final passage then, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Mr. Speaker, yesterday we defeated this amendment by a small margin and it is back for reconsideration here.

I am led to wonder whether or not the words that we speak in this chamber mean anything. Do we mean what we say or do we utter words and claims simply for political expediency from time to time?

Mr. Speaker, last session a piece of legislation was rammed through this House that created a change in the form of Allegheny County government. That legislation, when it passed, was touted as a reform form of government that was going to bring cost efficiencies to Allegheny County, a streamlined form of government, more cost effective for the taxpayers.

Mr. Speaker, during debate on that legislation, let me give you a couple of quotes from the advocates. One advocate said, "The commissioner system is obviously inefficient, fractious, and very expensive. The new structure will be less costly, more efficient..." — less costly and more efficient, but now they want another \$400,000 to implement it.

Another member, Mr. Speaker, said this, and I quote: "The bottom line is, let us decide what type of government we want in Allegheny County, and I can assure you, we will never come back to you again. You will never get involved in our issues." They said they will not come back, Mr. Speaker, and less than 1 year later they are back asking for a \$400,000 handout.

Mr. Speaker, the advocates of this form of government change in Allegheny County spent over \$6 million to convince the voters that they ought to adopt a change, which the voters did in Allegheny County by less than 500 votes, not a very good mandate, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just last week the Allegheny County Controller's Office reported that Allegheny County has a budget fund balance of \$66 million. Now, that may be down from the \$76-million budget surplus that we enjoyed up to 3 and 4 years ago, but, Mr. Speaker, at \$66 million that Allegheny County has in its present fund balance, that if there are to be — and I am not sure that there are going to be — but if there are transition costs, that is

something that Allegheny County can pay for without dipping into the State Treasury and the State funds.

Mr. Speaker, I would hope that we just do not utter words for political expediency on this floor. I would hope that we mean what we say and we say what we mean. If the advocates of this legislation mean that this form of government is going to be less expensive, then they ought to be able to back it up with actions and not be back here asking for another handout from the State taxpayers of \$400,000.

Again I urge a rejection of the Mayernik amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, on the question.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in order to ask the members to vote "yes" on this particular amendment.

Mr. Speaker, we have made a decision here as a General Assembly to have a transitional form of government in Allegheny County to change from a rule by committee, if you will, to a single executive style of government. Now, whether that is or is not a good idea or whether that will or will not be a good idea is really not for debate here today. That decision has been made, and whether those of us here like it or not, it is going to cost us this money to make this particular switch.

Now, depending on how you feel about the issue, I think you have to point out or we have to point out that our constituents will pay for this one way or another. They will either have to pay— They will either get this \$400,000 grant from the State or our constituents in Allegheny County will have to take it out of their own property taxes to pay for this.

Now, I would ask my colleagues here on both sides of the aisle and all over the State but particularly those from Allegheny County to put aside some of their petty political differences that might be evident here. I have been in this job a long time, Mr. Speaker, and there are a lot of local officials that I am not happy with and there are a lot of local officials that do not always do what I want them to do, but the one thing I would never do would be to make my constituents pay more out of their property tax just because I had a political issue to solve. I would ask that our colleagues here of all the counties put aside these petty political differences and support this.

My message to those of you who do not reside in Allegheny County — and you might say, you know, why are we giving this money to Allegheny County? — I would say that this \$400,000 that we are asking for is really a tiny amount. You and I both know that we spend hours every day here spending far more money than this on much more frivolous things than making one of our county governments more efficient. This is really a small amount to ask you to help us out with here in Allegheny County, and I would hope all of my Allegheny County colleagues would realize that this money is going to come out of their constituents' property taxes if we do not get this particular issue.

The debate about whether this is good or whether it is bad or who we were having problems with back home or who said what to whom back when is really not anything that has anything to do with this debate here today. The question is, do we want our constituents back home to have to fork out more in property taxes to be able to pay for this? I am trying to help my constituents get a little bit of a break that way. This is something that we as the legislature in our inimitable desire to make for better efficient

government in Pennsylvania last year made the decision, and now I think it is our responsibility to stand behind that decision and come up with this really relatively small amount of money for Allegheny County.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Barley, from Lancaster. Will the gentleman yield for a moment. We will take you up later.

The gentleman, Mr. Gigliotti.

Mr. GIGLIOTTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to adamantly oppose this amendment, and I am going to give you a few reasons why.

Now, the maker of this amendment is a very personal friend of mine. This is nothing to do with personalities, but, Mr. Speaker, let me just tell you what is going on in Allegheny County. Two years ago they lobbied all of us to go to home-rule charter in Allegheny County and put it on the ballot. The people of Allegheny County chose to go with the single executive. That race is going on right now.

There are 67 counties in the State of Pennsylvania. Are we going to give all 67 counties \$400,000 if they change their government? Listen. It was the people's choice of Allegheny County to go to single county executive. We gave them the road to go. They voted it in. Now we are going to give them— They said they were going to save — from three commissioners down to one commissioner — single executive was going to save millions and millions of dollars.

Now, here we go again, between Murphy and Dawida, coming up here for \$400,000 to start the government. Now, I do not know who is going to win that county executive race and it is none of our business, but I am going to tell you, if you are going to give, if you are going to give \$400,000 to Allegheny County, then we ought to give \$400,000 to every other county for a startup government. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Elk, Mr. Surra.

Mr. SURRA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I rise to oppose the Mayernik amendment.

I wonder, if Elk County was doing this, if I could get \$400,000, or Warren County, or Luzerne County, or York County, or Adams County, Lehigh County, Fayette County.

Mr. Speaker. I like the discussion that it is going to cause the property taxes to go up in Allegheny County but it is okay to ask the rest of our taxpayers to pay for it. And the ironic thing, Mr. Speaker, some of the people that are backing this amendment yesterday voted against the House Democratic proposal to bring real tax reform for property tax payers throughout the whole State of Pennsylvania.

So I urge everyone to vote "no."

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this amendment, and I just want to speak briefly on it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. DeLUCA. Pardon me?

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. DeLUCA. My good friend from Allegheny County mentioned that the taxpayers from Allegheny, the property owners, would have to pick up this \$400,000. Well, let me say to my good

friend, Allegheny County has a surplus, approximately \$60 million. So I do not want to be scaring the taxpayers who are watching this program to say that their property taxes are going up. That is not true.

If you want to vote for this, you vote for it on the merits of it and not on the scare tactics of raising property taxes in Allegheny County. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Mayernik, desire recognition?

Mr. MAYERNIK. Tough acts to follow.

As we have all the pomp and circumstance and all of the gestures and mannerisms of everybody taking their position in demagoguery today, we have to remember that there is a reason why we have been sent here by the taxpayers, and that is to be responsible.

The members have all espoused their positions, and a lot of the members from Allegheny County are going back to the positions they had originally on this piece of legislation as we passed it through this House by a very large margin, and many of the members of the GOP from Allegheny County supported it. In fact, I think it was unanimously supported or pretty close to it.

We had sent a referendum ballot question to the voters of Allegheny County asking them if they wanted to move forward into the new millennium, and we as members of the General Assembly have always said that we want new, innovative ways of having governments, we want new technology, and we want to move into the new millennium, and this General Assembly has done that by permitting the people of Allegheny County to pass the home-rule charter and asking them now, coming back to the General Assembly, asking us to help fund that new form of government and move into that new millennium.

This is nothing but a one-time interim funding for support of new government, and as we all laugh and kind of have a good time here today, we still have a duty and responsibility to vote to move forward our Commonwealth and our municipalities and our government, and I would ask for an affirmative vote to help Allegheny County do that today. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Kaiser.

Mr. KAISER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, last session we passed legislation which would allow the home-rule question on the ballot. That was passed by the majority of voters in Allegheny County. I personally supported the legislation on this floor, and when I went in to vote on election day, I voted for it. I thought it was good legislation and I thought it would move Allegheny County ahead.

I know personally, when people would call my office up on issues regarding Allegheny County, I would tell them I have nothing to do with county issues and they would have to contact the three county commissioners. Under this new form of government, not only do we have a single county exec, but each district will have a councilperson. So if there are any problems or concerns that an individual in Allegheny County has, they can contact that councilperson. It is no different than what we do here. If one of your constituents has a need or a concern, they contact you. With the new county council, they can contact their councilperson directly.

I think it is good legislation that we passed here last session. The people of Allegheny County supported it; it passed, and this small amount of money will help lay the foundation for that.

Unfortunately, some people disagree with it, but it was passed here and it was passed on election day.

So the only thing I ask, that you please support the Mayernik amendment. I think it is good for Allegheny County.

And as far as some of the comments made in this discussion, if any other county would like to change their type of government from a three-commissioner type to a single county exec and county council, I would be happy to help them out because I think it is good government. I think the people of Allegheny County now will have a councilperson to represent them regarding county issues.

So I thank the General Assembly here for their time. Please support the Mayernik amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—54

Alien	Fargo	Masland	Ruffing
Argall	Flick	Mayernik	Sainato
Barley	Frankel	McCall	Schroder
Barrar	Godshall	McGill	Scrimenti
Belardi	Hanna	Michlovic	Shaner
Blaum	Harhai	Orie	Steelman
Boyes	Hennessey	Perzel	Stevenson
Bunt	Horshey	Petrone	Van Horne
Cam	Kaiser	Pippy	Wilt
Cohen, L. I.	Kenney	Preston	Wogan
Cornell	Laughlin	Raymond	Zug
Corrigan	Maher	Readshaw	
Daley	Markosek	Roberts	Ryan,
Eachus	Marsico	Roebuck	Speaker

NAYS—145

Adolph	Fairchild	Manderino	Serafini
Armstrong	Feese	Mann	Seyfert
Baker	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Forcier	McIlhinney	Snyder
Battisto	Freeman	McNaughton	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Belfanti	Geist	Metcalf	Stairs
Benninghoff	George	Micozzie	Steil
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Stern
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stetler
Browne	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhart	Nickol	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Casorio	Herman	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Chadwick	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trello
Clymer	James	Platts	Trich
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Ramos	True
Colafella	Keller	Reinard	Tulli
Costa	Kirkland	Rieger	Vance
Coy	Krebs	Robinson	Veon
Curry	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Vitali
Dailey	Lawless	Rooney	Walko
Daily	Lederer	Ross	Washington
DeLuca	Leh	Rublely	Williams
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Samuelson	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Levdansky	Santoni	Wright
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor	Youngblood

Donatucci	Maitland	Schuler	Yudichak
Druce	Major	Semmel	Zimmerman
Egolf			

NOT VOTING—1

Grucela

EXCUSED—2

Evans

Gladeck

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A1384 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Josephs, who moves that the vote by which amendment 1384 was — defeated, Ms. Josephs? — passed to HB 980. PN 1088, on the 13th day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Saylor
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schroder
Argall	Feese	Masland	Schuler
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Frankel	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	Metcalf	Snyder
Belardi	Gannon	Michlovic	Solobay
Belfanti	Geist	Micozzie	Staback
Birmelin	George	Miller, R.	Stelman
Bishop	Gigliotti	Miller, S.	Stetler
Blaum	Godshall	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gordner	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Grucela	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Orie	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhai	Petrarca	Thomas
Cam	Harhart	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	Hennessey	Phillips	Travaglio
Cawley	Hershey	Pippy	Trello
Chadwick	Hess	Pistella	Trich
Civera	Horshey	Platts	True
Clark	Jadlowiec	Preston	Van Horne
Clymer	James	Ramos	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Raymond	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Readshaw	Walko
Colafella	Keller	Reinard	Washington
Cornell	Kenney	Rieger	Williams
Corrigan	Kirkland	Roberts	Wilt
Costa	Krebs	Robinson	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Rohrer	Wright

Dailey	Lawless	Rooney	Yewcic
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rublely	Yudichak
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Maier	Sainato	Zug
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	
Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Sather	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—23

Barrar	Hasay	Mellhattan	Stairs
Bastian	Herman	McIlhinney	Steil
Benninghoff	Hutchinson	McNaughton	Stern
Dally	Leh	Nailor	Tulli
Dempsey	Lynch	Semmel	Vance
Druce	Major	Serafini	

NOT VOTING—2

Lederer	Oliver
---------	--------

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A1384**:

Amend Sec. 213, page 71, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation.....	5,700,000
--------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 213, page 72, by inserting between lines 23 and 24
For the Beaver Creek Dam Project,
Clarion County.

State appropriation.....	3,000,000
--------------------------	-----------

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I assume that the maker of the amendment is temporarily not in the hall of the House. Is that the case?

The SPEAKER. You were the moving party on reconsideration. I have recognized you.

Ms. JOSEPHS. All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I guess I would have interrogated him had he been present, which is my question. If not, I will speak.

The SPEAKER. Speak.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you.

The information that we have on this side of the aisle—
Mr. Speaker, I would really appreciate it if we could have a little bit more quiet here.

The SPEAKER. Conferences on the floor, please break up; conferences on the floor, please break up.

Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you; thank you.

The information that we have on this side of the aisle about this amendment — and those of you who are listening may remember that the maker did not get up and explain his amendment, and he is not here to answer questions, so I can only rely on our own information — is that he wants to take money from the Department of Environmental Protection, Environmental Stewardship Fund, and add an appropriation from that money to a project in Clarion County, which I assume is his district — the Beaver Creek Dam Project.

Now, I recall in an earlier amendment, the lady from Beaver was admonished by one of the members on the other side, though, for asking for a very important project in her district. She was told that we do not do these individual-type things. It is not good public policy and the general appropriations bill is not meant for that.

It does seem to me that amendment 1384 does the same kind of thing, and unless I can get some kind of an explanation, I would be inclined and did vote "no" for it the first time and would suggest that if we are going to have consistent public policy on the floor of this House, that we should all vote "no." Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—120

Adolph	Fleagle	Masland	Sather
Allen	Flick	McGeehan	Saylor
Argall	Forcier	McGill	Schroder
Baker	Gannon	McNaughton	Schuler
Barley	Geist	Metcalfe	Scrimenti
Barrar	Godshall	Micozzie	Semmel
Bastian	Habay	Miller, R.	Serafini
Belardi	Hanna	Miller, S.	Seyfert
Birmelin	Harhai	Nailor	Smith, B.
Boyes	Harhart	Nickol	Smith, S. H.
Browne	Hasay	O'Brien	Snyder
Bunt	Hennessey	Oliver	Staback
Buxton	Herman	Orie	Stairs
Chadwick	Hershey	Perzel	Stevenson
Civera	Hess	Pesci	Strittmatter
Clark	Horsey	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Petrone	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Taylor, J.
Corrigan	Keller	Pippy	Trello
Dailey	Kenney	Platts	Tulli
Daley	Krebs	Preston	Vance
Dally	LaGrotta	Raymond	Van Home
DeLuca	Lawless	Readshaw	Wilt
Dempsey	Lederer	Rieger	Wogan
Donatucci	Leh	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Druce	Lucyk	Roebuck	Youngblood
Egolf	Lynch	Rohrer	Zug
Fairchild	Maher	Ross	
Fargo	Maitland	Ruffing	Ryan,
Feese	Major	Sainato	Speaker
Fichter	Marsico		

NAYS—78

Armstrong	DeWeese	Markosek	Steil
Bard	DiGirolamo	Mayernik	Stern
Battisto	Eachus	McCall	Stetler
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McIlhinney	Sturla
Belfanti	Freeman	Melio	Surra
Benninghoff	George	Michlovic	Thomas
Bishop	Gigliotti	Mundy	Tigue

Blaum	Gordner	Myers	Travaglio
Butkovitz	Grucela	Pistella	Trich
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Ramos	True
Cappabianca	Haluska	Reinard	Veon
Carn	James	Roberts	Vitali
Casorio	Josephs	Rooney	Walko
Clymer	Kaiser	Rublely	Washington
Cohen, M.	Kirkland	Samuelson	Williams
Colafella	Laughlin	Santoni	Wright
Costa	Lescovitz	Shaner	Yewcic
Coy	Levdansky	Solohay	Yudichak
Curry	Manderino	Steelman	Zimmerman
Dermody	Mann		

NOT VOTING—2

Cawley McIlhattan

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley, on final passage.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to take one moment and first of all thank all the members of the General Assembly for their cooperation. We had a very cooperative spirit here as we debated the general appropriation bill. It was actually less than 24 hours ago when we began the debate. We had a process through our hearings that was very fair, very open, and again, we had a lot of bipartisan cooperation. So I think we made a major step here today as we are moving through the process of passing the budget that will serve this State as we enter the 21st century.

So again, I just want to extend my thank-yous to all of the members and to the members of the Appropriations Committee, and as we are looking forward to building an even better Pennsylvania, I ask all the members to support the bill on final passage.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like for the denouement, because a climax has not arrived yet. We will not have a climax until the Senate gets through their work and we do more work and the Governor gets a chance to affix his signature to the final proposal. So no climax right now, just a denouement before the climax.

What I am interested in and I hope we all are interested in is that in the work that the honorable gentleman from Lancaster has enumerated, preeminent in that work product, in our view, is the *Grucela amendment and the property tax reduction of \$100 million* that will go out to all of our constituents in this State.

The preeminent objective of many of us in the Assembly, at least on our side of the aisle and hopefully many of you, especially those of you from McKean and Snyder and Union and Warren and Monroe and those rural counties along the New York border, property tax reduction is a vital, central, and incontrovertible fact of our political life, and we cannot allow machinations in the State Senate to change what we did with welfare, change what we did with day care, change what we did with community policing, but most importantly from our perspective, the preeminent issue, the issue that achieves paramouncy for us, is property tax reduction, not just reform but reduction — \$100 million; Grucela amendment. That is what we want to leave today.

Now, many people think this is a comparatively specious process, disingenuous to the extreme because so much of our work product, so much of what we labored to do, will be torn out, ripped out, eviscerated by the Senate. Some people are so, so jaded and jaundiced by this system that they think that the honorable gentleman from Lancaster and some of his compeers in the State Senate have already put together the final piece of legislation, that this exercise was one in futility. I hope not.

But we are, inherently in the world of politics and in the world of politicians, supposed to hammer home a point from time to time, and our point, Mr. Speaker, is that property tax reductions of \$100 million are in this document that we are sending to the Senate, and no matter how much massage, no matter how much molestation, no matter how much aberration they seem to create over there on the other side of the building, hopefully our brothers and sisters in the Senate will embrace the Grucela amendment, will embrace property tax reduction. We need property tax reduction in the 1999-2000 budget. This is our chance, and we want to make sure that that message is taken to the State Senate loud and clear.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. I am confused, Mr. Speaker. I thought that the members of the other side of the aisle believed in a 50-percent property tax reduction for all of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and it seems that I was just asking our people to give me a total of how much money was spent on amendments over the last couple of days: somewhere around 4 — I have the exact figure, Mr. Speaker, for final jeopardy here — \$534,000,972. Now, with that figure, we could have probably done what the members of the other side of the aisle said they believed in, which they did not, because I do not believe, Mr. Speaker, that next year after we did what they said they wanted to do, that any of them would have had the courage to vote against money for women, infants, and children, to vote against money for rape crisis, to vote against money for child care, for day care. None of them would have had the courage to do that. So they come up with *one-fifth — one-fifth* — of the amount of money that they said they believed in, and they are telling the people of Pennsylvania, oh, we have helped you; we have saved you from a tax we do not even impose.

I do not understand what the game was, Mr. Speaker. We were told the minimum amount of money they had to put in it was \$431 million. They come up with \$100 million and tell the people of Pennsylvania, we accomplished our goal, after they spent \$500 million. Something is not — Something does not — I am just confused; that is all, because the message you send is mixed. So I guess that next week or, well, a couple weeks from now when we increase the amount of money that we spend on the property tax

rent rebate for seniors, I guess you will all be “no” when we do that, because I know that you really believe in this property tax reduction, the same way you believed in it in 1991 when you had control of this General Assembly.

Yesterday I started reading the list of the taxes that we have not gotten ourselves back out of yet with 4 years of help from a lot of members on your side of the aisle and all of the members on our side of the aisle. You have crippled Pennsylvania with what you did. You would cripple it again with this sham of a property tax reduction program that you call fair.

Mr. Speaker, we have done a good job here. I am quite sure the conferees will come back with a fair, adjusted State budget where everybody will benefit from what we are doing — children, women, seniors, everybody in the Commonwealth — not just a few of their friends. I do not even know who they are, Mr. Speaker, because they cut their figure from \$500 million to \$100 million because they do not even believe in that.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese. Sir, rebuttal.

Mr. DeWEESE. I remember reading somewhere where St. Paul’s Corinthian community was full of people suing each other, denouncing each other, and committing incest and offering pagan sacrifices. We have not gotten to that level yet, and since most all of us are not bereft of humor, even though many of us are inclined to pagan sacrifices, I want to remind my honorable colleague and worthy member from Philadelphia that we came within a handful of votes of achieving our goal to have property tax reduction realized throughout the State — \$400 million to \$500 million a year for 5 years — which would have been substantially less than what the honorable gentleman engineered for the business community during the first quadrennium of the Ridge administration.

So he is willing to give this much back to big business over the first 4 years. We tried to give this much back to our middle class in property tax reductions, not this much, just this much over the next quadrennium, the Governor’s next 4 years, and amidst the galaxy of talent on your side of the aisle, all we hear is some cachinnations about our system will not work. Well, what do you mean it will not work? You have not tried it yet. And by the way, you did go along, you all went along with 100 million bucks for property tax reduction. We are for that. We wanted more, but we are, we are in a political milieu. We take what we can get the votes for. Unfortunately, some of our members voted with you guys on constitutionality and we got a few votes shy of our objective.

So we get, we get the one-shot deal, but reading between the lines of the honorable gentleman’s comments, reading between the lines, I was able to, I was able to glean that we are probably not going to get a conference committee report with property tax reductions in there. So notwithstanding, notwithstanding the remarks of the gentleman from Lancaster, the Appropriations chairman, lauding us — nothing wrong with laudation; I am sure there is nothing wrong with being complimented about our work here for the last 2 days — but last year we worked very hard, and a whole lot of what we did, our work product, was thrown out of the conference report. The House sends the message over there; they take it, change it radically, and then send it back, and we pro forma vote on it.

All I am saying, Mr. Speaker, is that we should focus on property tax reduction over the next 4 years of Governor Ridge’s administration. We are not asking you to be as audacious as we were when we reached out and gave major corporations a very substantial tax decrease, and most of us voted for it, but enough is enough. What about the middle class? What about the middle class, including middle-class senior citizens, not just the senior citizens who are the most bereft? We want to help them, too, but we want to help our middle class.

I think that when we send this document to the State Senate, the State Senate needs to be politely admonished that property tax reductions are the preeminent focus of the House Democrats and hopefully many of our friends — our friends — on the Republican side of the aisle.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Perzel.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Colafella — Mr. Perzel will yield — do you seek recognition on this?

Mr. COLAFELLA. Yes.

The SPEAKER. Final passage.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, time and time again in this House we have had Republican members remind us about how we had to vote for increased taxes in 1991, and you are absolutely right. We had to raise taxes at an exorbitant level in this State, but, you see, in 1991 President George Bush was in office, and in 1991 this country was in a deep recession, and every State in this nation had to raise taxes, so we Democrats had to raise taxes because this country was in a recession. But in 1998 and 1999, we have a Democratic President. Every State in this nation has tremendous surpluses, and that is why you do not have to raise taxes. I mean, it is very, very simple. We had a recession in 1991, we had a Republican administration, and that is why we raised taxes. We did what we had to do for the people of Pennsylvania, and as James Carville said, it is the economy, stupid.

People in this country know that things are going well. That is why States are doing well. So what we want to do is to reduce property taxes in 1999 because we have money. But see, in 1991 we would have loved to have reduced property taxes also, but we were in a recession. But we did not criticize President Bush because we were in a recession, but I am doing it now. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Grucela, on final passage? The gentleman, Mr. Grucela, on final passage.

Mr. GRUCELA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in my short time in office I attended about six seminars/town meetings on Act 50 that was passed in the legislature last year, and there was no mixed message to me. The message to me was loud and clear, Mr. Speaker. The letters that I receive in my office, the calls I get, are no mixed message. The message to me is, we want our property taxes reduced and we want them reduced now. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Since the gentleman is new, I would like to remind him that when the Democrats put their local tax reform proposal on the ballot, it was defeated by a million votes. That is just for public consumption, in case you forgot.

Mr. Speaker, in 1991 when we did the budget, I just heard how President Bush did this. But that year we had an almost 11-percent increase in spending. I figured this was coming. That was the largest increase in 20 years. So it was excessive spending that drove us into problems, and it was your side of the aisle that caused the excessive spending, Mr. Speaker.

I wanted to bring— You know, I am tired of hearing that we do not care about the average Pennsylvanian. We did, on our side of the aisle, away with the capital gains on the sale of a home. We did away with the widow's tax. We did away with anybody, with this budget coming up, making under \$26,000 a year paying any personal income tax at all in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We are about to do away with the gross receipts tax on natural gas that people get into their homes to heat their homes during the winter, Mr. Speaker. We have been able to cut an enormous number of taxes.

Now, I do not know what to answer when you talk about pagan sacrifices, Mr. Speaker, so I will stay away from that one. I wanted to mention that the total cost of the property tax reduction plan proposed by the other side of the aisle is \$7,297,000,344, or roughly half of what our entire State budget is today, and if we put, if we put that \$100 million they put toward the program today, you would be about \$250 million short of bonding the thing out. So you do not even have enough money to bond it out. So if you really believed in it, you would not have done all 500 million dollars' worth of different tax cuts; you would have stuck it all in what you told us you believed in. But you do not believe in it. You did not believe it when you said it, you did not believe it when you all put up your amendments, and you never believed it was going to be in the budget this coming June, Mr. Speaker.

So do not kid the people of Pennsylvania. You never meant it when you said it. You were in charge for 12 years. You could have done it and you never, ever talked about it, and you have no intention of doing it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The members will proceed— Does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, desire further recognition?

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This should not be about blame placing. This should not be, this should not be about retrospection; it should be about prospectation. We are in the minority. We are the ones that should be upset. We are the ones that should be acrimonious and vituperative. We are not. We are confident; we are sanguine; we are hopeful.

He put and you put a vote up the other day for the \$100-million tax reduction for back home. It is a start. It is a good start. We are only saying with some feeling of amity here as we conclude the budget debate that that is our number one priority and that if you led the way— And I figuratively doff my hat to you. You led the way; most of us supported you when you reduced business taxes, but for the first 4 years— this is so easy for me to understand. Mr. Speaker— for the first 4 years we had a \$3.2-billion reduction in business taxes. Okay; that was the priority that we pursued under your aegis.

Now, we want to make the next 4 years a property-tax-reduction focus. The gentleman and his staff and your team are very talented, very capable. You are able to realize this goal if you make it a priority. We are only saying that property taxes and their reduction in this State, as has been done by the Honorable George Bush, Jr., in Texas, is a priority. We want to reduce property taxes like Republican Governor John Engler in Michigan. That is our priority. Christie Todd Whitman, the Republican Governor of

New Jersey, is getting ready to reduce property taxes by a billion dollars here within the next several weeks. That is her priority. We want it to be our priority.

So without vehemence, without melodrama, without anything engendered except bonhomie, good spirit, and hope, we are saying to the State Senate, please consider our property tax reduction. All you guys, in spite of the comments of the last speaker, all you folks voted for the Grucela amendment. It is not a fallacious proposal; it is a worthy one, and it is an excellent beginning to reduce property taxes in our Commonwealth. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Trello, final passage.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, in my 35 or 38 years in government, on many occasions I have been asked to judge affairs like debates or costumes in Halloween parades, and I am very happy to say that I listened very, very closely to this debate, and I declare this debate a draw.

Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Scrafni
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stem
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carr	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafilella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak

DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egoif			

NAYS-1

Lawless

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1011, PN 1119**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 1999, to June 30, 2000, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1999.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Adolph	Fargo	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Frankel	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Geist	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	George	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gordner	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Grucela	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stern

Blaum	Habay	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Haluska	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafrilla	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Major	Santoni	
Eachus	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Egoif	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild			

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Cam

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1020, PN 1128**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsy	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenny	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1021, PN 1129**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fleagle	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Forcier	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Frankel	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Gannon	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Geist	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	George	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	Gigliotti	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gordner	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Grucela	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gruitza	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Habay	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Haluska	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Horsy	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clark	James	Pistella	True
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenny	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Fargo

EXCUSED—2

Evans Gladeck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1022, PN 1130**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A1422**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 3 and 4

For the expenses of providing quarterly complaint information reports to the Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee of the Senate and the Consumer Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives and public access to complaint information for all public utilities and electric generation suppliers licensed by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. Quarterly reports to these committees shall be provided no later than 30 days from the end of each calendar quarter.

State appropriation.....	100,000
--------------------------	---------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On the question of the adoption of the George amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. **GEORGE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment does not in any way take any money away. What it attempts to do that I believe it is necessary we do this, it requires that \$100,000 of the PUC's (Pennsylvania Utility Commission's) appropriation be used to provide quarterly complaint information reports to the General Assembly and to provide public access to this information. I believe that it is most necessary in that you must understand that no longer will that generated power come under the auspices of the PUC, and therefore, Mr. Speaker, I believe that there should be some hold,

some effort, some ability to know whether or not people are being treated right, those that have a complaint.

Mr. Speaker, during the electric pilot program, we had five people in our office participate in the pilot program. Five people is my recollection. Two people had power companies that lied to them about the rates; two people in our office were slammed, switched to other power companies without their permission; and, Mr. Speaker, one person was dropped from the pilot program, and, Mr. Speaker, that person was me. So five out of five, Mr. Speaker — five customers, five mistakes. Where do they think they are, in Pennsylvania?

So, Mr. Speaker, you can choose an electric company, but, Mr. Speaker, are we giving the consumers an intelligent choice? If you were to call the PUC and ask about complaints about power companies, you would not be able to find out about the complaints from December 1998. In fact, you would not know about the complaints from January 1998. This is unacceptable. We are simply saying, the people have a right to be protected. The—

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman will yield for a moment.

The question has been raised with the Chair as to whether or not this is a proper amendment in light of the fact that you are spending an additional \$100,000.

Mr. **GEORGE**. Mr. Speaker—

The **SPEAKER**. Mr. George, on that—

Mr. **GEORGE**. —I do not think we are spending an additional; we are just asking them, if you will, Mr. Speaker, to utilize \$100,000 of their appropriation for the purpose of putting this program—

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman will yield for a moment so the Parliamentarian can check the original bill.

The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. **GEORGE**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is a fact that if you want to know about the complaints from March 1998, you might have to wait until July 2000. My amendment, Mr. Speaker, is a message to the PUC, and the message is, give the consumer an intelligent choice. I have asked the PUC to do this. They have refused. Now it is a matter for those of us that are members of this General Assembly.

I believe this is the proper choice, to accept this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. George.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Soiboy
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil

Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tiguc
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Home
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Daily	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.

Bastian	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Birmelin	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Orie	Taylor, F. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carn	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Tiguc
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Home
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Krebs	Rieger	Washington
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lawless	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Daily	Leh	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Lynch	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maher	Samueison	Zug
Donatucci	Maitland	Santoni	
Druce	Major	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Mann		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

Evans Gladeck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1023, PN 1131**, entitled:

An Act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1999-2000.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On the question of final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I just want to bring to the members' attention that this bill is the capital budget, which increases the amount of spending and also includes the money that was appropriated under the stadium bill. So therefore, members who voted to oppose the stadiums and taxpayer funding of the stadiums in Pennsylvania may want to think twice about approving this piece of legislation.

I urge a "no" vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Adolph	Druce	Major	Saylor
Allen	Eachus	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Egolf	Mann	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fairchild	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Fargo	Marsico	Seyfert
Bard	Feese	Mayemik	Shaner
Barley	Fichter	McCall	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Flick	McGill	Snyder
Battisto	Forcier	McIlhattan	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Frankel	McIlhinney	Staback
Belardi	Freeman	McNaughton	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	Melio	Steelman
Benninghoff	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Gordner	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Grucela	Nailor	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhai	Perzel	Thomas
Carn	Harhart	Pesci	Tigue
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Cawley	Herrman	Petrone	Trello
Chadwick	Hershey	Phillips	Trich
Civera	Hess	Pippy	True
Clark	Horsey	Pistella	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Preston	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Raymond	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Cornell	Kaiser	Reinard	Williams
Corrigan	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
Costa	Kenney	Roberts	Wogan
Coy	Kirkland	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Curry	Krebs	Roebuck	Wright
Dailey	LaGrotta	Rooney	Yewcic
Daley	Laughlin	Ross	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Rubley	Yudichak
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ruffing	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Zug
Dermody	Lucyk	Samuelson	
DeWeese	Lynch	Santoni	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sather	Speaker
Donatucci	Maitland		

NAYS—16

Birmelin	Leh	Nickol	Serafini
Haluska	Masland	Platts	Taylor, E. Z.
Hasay	Metcalfe	Rohrer	Vance
Lawless	Miller, S.	Schuler	Vitali

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 981, PN 1089**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P.L.743, No.176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; providing for preference to Pennsylvania residents in tuition; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; providing for public support and capital improvements; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the Commonwealth; requiring the President to make an annual report of the operations of Lincoln University," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Colafella, who offers the following amendment, which the clerk will now read.

(The clerk read amendment No. A1334.)

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment simply increases the amount of moneys to be given to Lincoln University by \$200,000.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The gentleman, Mr. Colafella, that was close.

Mr. COLAFELLA. I know. I tried though.

The SPEAKER. Yes, you did. That would be out of order, because—

Mr. COLAFELLA. I know.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Mr. Colafella.

Mr. COLAFELLA. I rise—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman withdraws his amendment?

Mr. COLAFELLA. No, I do not. I rise to suspend the rules so I can introduce my amendment.

The SPEAKER. That is in order.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for a suspension of the rules so we can provide an extra \$200,000 to Lincoln University.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, those in favor of suspension of the rules— Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. There was ample time to file the amendments, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask the members to cast a “no” vote on the suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of suspension will vote “aye”; opposed, “no.”

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Frankel	Mayernik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	McCall	Solobay
Belardi	George	McGechan	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Melio	Stairs
Bishop	Gordner	Michlovic	Stelman
Blaum	Gruela	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harhai	Peurarca	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cawley	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Van Home
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Costa	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeWeese	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti	

NAYS—101

Adolph	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Metcalfe	Snyder
Bastian	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Birmelin	Habay	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, J.

Chadwick	Herman	Orie	True
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Wilt
Cohen, L. J.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wogan
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Wright
Dailey	Krebs	Reinard	Zimmerman
Dally	Lawless	Rohrer	Zug
Dempsey	Leh	Ross	
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Rubley	Ryan,
Druce	Maher	Sather	Speaker
Egolf	Maitland	Saylor	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Evans	Gladeck
-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is very straightforward. It simply would require the university to comply with the law that is already on our books, the Right-to-Know Law, and I would encourage an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair was in error, Mr. Robinson. The clerk will read the Robinson amendment.

Mr. ROBINSON offered the following amendment No. A1340:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by inserting after “1.”

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 5 and 6

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman, Mr. Robinson, just given are appropriate.

Mr. ROBINSON. Pardon me, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I stand in opposition to the Robinson amendment. The Right-to-Know Law is a part of Pennsylvania’s statutes. Lincoln University, like all other universities, will comply with the Right-to-Know Law, and therefore, I do not think that this provision in the Lincoln appropriation is necessary or in any subsequent nonpreferred appropriation.

So I would ask for a “no” vote on the Robinson amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the question, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I appreciate the gentleman's comments, but I do not want anyone to be confused about the intent of this particular amendment.

There will be similar amendments offered for the other three Commonwealth schools. I believe that all four of those institutions — the University of Pittsburgh, Penn State University, Temple University, and Lincoln University — should be operating out of the same set of procedures, certainly those procedures that come by way of State law. The Right-to-Know Law gives to State government the right to know what is being done with the taxpayers' money. There are other institutions in this Commonwealth that are subject to the Right-to-Know Law. It is simply my attempt to make sure that these four universities are subject to the same law.

I encourage an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A little course in memory. In 1994 this body, in a bipartisan vote, adopted accountability for the State-relateds. Resulting in that accountability for letting people in the Commonwealth to know the business of these institutions, they have been required to submit ever since, as well as this budget, information that we have a right to know. And for your information, in front of me I have literature from the different schools. Whether it be Lincoln, Temple, Penn State, or Pitt, all these schools have submitted these documents, and certainly they have been very thorough in providing this information, whether it is regarding the faculty salaries or other matters that should be of interest to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania.

So what I am informing the members of the General Assembly is, these institutions have done this and have done this quite openly, and it is available right now. So let us not increase more bureaucracy that does not legitimately help education and really takes away from the quality of education. Over the years, Lincoln, as well as the other institutions that amendments will be offered in a few moments for, has very willingly and openly discussed with the Education Committee, and any member that wants to know about the institutions, it is there.

So in conclusion I want to remind the members that these are State-related institutions, not State owned. So we must treat them in a way that is unique to that description of their affiliation with the Commonwealth.

But the information is here. Any member that wants to know about these schools, particularly Lincoln right now, or Temple or Penn State or Pitt to follow, it is here, and I will be glad to share it with you. And certainly, Mr. Robinson, I hope you can see what is available and learn more about these fine institutions.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair requests the gentleman, Mr. Chadwick, to preside temporarily.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(J. SCOT CHADWICK) PRESIDING**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this amendment. This amendment is not necessary.

The institutions, Lincoln and the other institutions, have been complying with any requests, and I may add all requests, that we have made of them through the Appropriations Committee. As the chairman of the Education Committee has already indicated, they supply us with what I consider to be more than adequate documentation, and they are covered under the other standard right-to-know laws.

So I do not believe that this is at all necessary, and again I would urge the members to vote "no" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, Mr. Colafella.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise also to oppose my good friend, Mr. Robinson's amendment. The institutions have developed appropriate processes over the last 5 years to take care of things.

The right to know presents a major problem for major universities, because they sometimes have to compete with other universities in the selection and hiring of faculty members, and there are times when they cannot telegraph, for example, how much they are going to pay a particular faculty member because they may lose that particular faculty member. For that reason and for the fact that they have really provided right-to-know information, I ask for a "no" vote on this particular amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Lawless, is recognized.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Mr. Robinson's amendment.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Robinson is asking for nothing more than we ask for our State-owned universities, our 14 State-owned universities. Simply, we are asking for accountability to the taxpayers.

You know, earlier today we heard about tuition increases, and people were upset about holding certain funding because the State institutions were raising their tuitions after last year having held tuitions. I do not hear any of that about the Temples, the Penn States, the Lincolns. Where are they held accountable? And in fact, if the majority Appropriations chairman as well as the other people who spoke in opposition to this amendment really felt the way they do, that it is not needed, it does not matter, then why not just vote "yes"? Why not vote "yes," because it just does not matter so it will not hurt anything. If it helps us just a little bit more, it is worth voting for.

I urge a positive vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Blair County, Mr. Geist, is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We have been down this road before. We have had very good compliance from the State-relateds. I agree with Representative Stairs, Representative Colafella, and others that they have done a darn good job, and there is no reason for this General Assembly to be passing this amendment today.

I would urge a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, is recognized for the second time.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the record is clear. This amendment for all practical purposes is moot, unless the author of the amendment can say to the chair of the Education Committee that the information that he is currently in possession of is information that is not relevant nor does it speak to accountability. I think we have heard from the chair of the Education Committee. He has the information. The information is available to anybody that wants the information, and we have not had any hostile resistance on behalf of the State-relateds to provide us with any information that we have requested, especially that which is requested under the Right-to-Know Law.

Mr. Speaker, let us move forward. Vote "no" on the Robinson amendment so we can proceed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Thomas, you got away with one. Members are only allowed to speak once on these. The Chair apologizes to the members.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the Democratic whip, who requests a leave for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. CARN. Without objection, the leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection, and the leave is granted.

The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave for the gentleman from Wayne County, Mr. BIRMELIN. Without objection, the leave will be granted. The Chair hears no objection, and the leave is granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 981 CONTINUED

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey, is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry, please.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state the point.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, is there a fiscal note needed on this amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Horsey, under rule 19(a), these nonpreferred appropriations speak for themselves and do not require a fiscal note.

Mr. HORSEY. On the issue; on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the gentleman is recognized.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, about a year ago there was a university that got in some trouble, but they got in trouble, Mr. Speaker, because they provided an overabundance of information, Mr. Speaker. Their willingness to cooperate really superseded what they really needed to hand in and provide for, the point being, Mr. Speaker, that once again the process is open for people to pursue information if they need it.

I am opposed to the Robinson amendment, Mr. Speaker, and I would urge my colleagues to do the same. And if the gentleman from Allegheny, if there is something he needs to know, I would advise that he go through the channels and seek that information

out, you know, and a determination will be made, probably by him, that this amendment is unnecessary. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair does not see any other members standing at the microphone.

Mr. Robinson, you can speak twice. Do you care to speak a second time on your amendment? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. ROBINSON. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate all the comments that have been made, and certainly there is a degree of truth in all those comments, and I certainly would not want anyone to think that I am trying to impugn the integrity of anyone at Lincoln University.

Let me first say that some of the difficulties that Lincoln experienced last year came from the fact that this Commonwealth did not have an opportunity to gather valuable information prior to those difficulties, and even though information was requested from Lincoln and Temple and Penn State and Pitt, as the chair of the Education Committee has accurately indicated, that information comes to us after — after — taxpayers' dollars have been spent, and I would daresay that that information is relatively useless.

I think the Appropriations chair appreciates also that it is true that most of the information we have requested from the universities, they have been forthright and they have presented it. There is no doubt about that. But we should not have to depend upon the good wishes of college administrators to find out what is happening to the taxpayers' money. We should not have to depend upon the actions of the board of trustees to determine whether or not we should have information relative to how taxpayers' money is being spent. The Right-to-Know Law is put in place so that the people of this Commonwealth have a right to know — have a right to know how their government is operating and how their tax dollars are spent.

Mr. Speaker, I would say that most of the members in this chamber agree with me; most of the members in this chamber agree with me that we ought to have more accountability in higher education, and I believe that most of the members believe that we ought to be able to find out from our colleges and universities how our tax dollars are being spent.

Now, those of us who have spent a lot of time studying this matter recognize that the universities and colleges in question here today have resisted, have resisted being held accountable based on the Right-to-Know Law that is on the record. Over and over again these colleges and universities have said that they do not believe we have a right to look in their books, that we do not have a right to ask certain questions. Mr. Speaker, that is the same kind of logic that the football and baseball teams used when they came here to ask us for millions of dollars for new stadiums. They told us they wanted to do business with us, but they did not want us looking in their books. I am suggesting that in the field of education that relates directly to the prosperity and growth of this Commonwealth, where we spend billions of dollars, we have a right to know.

Let me ask a rhetorical question, Mr. Speaker. If it is good enough for our State System schools, the schools we own, to give us the information that we have a right to know about, why is it not good for Penn State and Pitt and Temple and Lincoln? Why do we have to wait until the tragedy that occurred at Lincoln occurs at Pitt or Temple or Penn State before we take action?

Mr. Speaker, the Auditor General of this State did a comprehensive study of the situation at Lincoln University and he made some recommendations. Are those recommendations

reflected in HB 981? No, they are not reflected in HB 981. My amendment is an attempt to address not only the recommendations of the Auditor General but what I consider to be good common sense, that as prudent legislators we ought to have the right to know how taxpayers' dollars are being spent.

Mr. Speaker, this is not a new issue. This issue has been around this legislature at least for the 11 years that I have been here, and I have said each and every year that I will continue to try to convince a majority of my colleagues. Republicans and Democrats, that until we hold these universities accountable, we will not be able to seriously develop a comprehensive higher education policy in this State where we will know how taxpayers' dollars are actually being spent. The issue of higher tuition keeps coming up; the issue of faculty; the issue of salaries keeps coming up, but we refuse, we refuse to say to these colleges and universities, if you want our money, we have a right to know. They tell us that they will not be competitive if they let us know, if they let us know what they are actually doing.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage everyone in this General Assembly, in this chamber in particular, to think very carefully before you vote and to make sure that if you believe what I believe, that the same rules and regulations that govern our 14 State-owned universities ought to govern these four State-relateds, you will vote "yes" on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has been advised that I was right the first time. I should have stayed with my initial instincts. Members are allowed to speak twice on nonpreferreds. The Chair apologizes to the members.

Given that, does the gentleman, Mr. Stairs, seek recognition a second time? I saw the gentleman at the microphone. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Petrone, from Allegheny County on the amendment.

Mr. PETRONE. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Robinson amendment, and the reason I do so is that for 14 years as a member of the Appropriations Committee, I got to know the responsible participants in the process from Pitt and Penn State extremely well. I can assure you that our State dollars were well spent in developing the programs for our students by these universities.

In addition, there are several more key points that make the reason not to adopt this amendment unique. Among them are the law was intended to apply to State agencies that rely primarily upon a single revenue stream. Universities have multiple and complex revenue streams that make direct application of this law difficult. And also, the law does not take into account the need to protect information that is unique to universities, such as discoveries that emanate from ongoing research and information that could affect student privacy. In addition, applying the Right-to-Know Law to universities in other States has had a detrimental effect on faculty recruitment efforts and has led to a salary system that tends more toward equitability and mediocrity rather than one that is based on merit.

These are just a few of the many reasons to oppose this legislation. It would weaken the strength of these universities and their ability to deliver a good education system for all of our Pennsylvanians. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—55

Bebko-Jones	Freeman	Michlovic	Serafini
Belardi	George	Mundy	Solobay
Belfanti	Godshall	Pesci	Steelman
Bishop	Gruccia	Petrarca	Steil
Blaum	Hasay	Pistella	Sturla
Caltagirone	Josephs	Preston	Surra
Cawley	Kirkland	Ramos	Tangretti
Cohen, M.	Krebs	Roberts	Tigue
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Trello
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Trich
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	True
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ruffing	Veon
Dermody	Lynch	Schuler	Walko
DeWeese	Melio	Scrimenti	

NAYS—143

Adolph	Feese	Markosek	Saylor
Allen	Fichter	Marsico	Schroder
Argali	Fleagle	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Flick	Mayernik	Seyfert
Baker	Forcier	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Frankel	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Geist	McIlhatten	Snyder
Bastian	Gigliotti	McIlhinney	Staback
Battisto	Gordner	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gruitza	Metcalfe	Stern
Boyes	Habay	Micozzie	Stetler
Browne	Haluska	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Bunt	Hanna	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Harhai	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Harhart	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Nickol	Thomas
Casorio	Herman	O'Brien	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Orie	Vance
Clark	Horsey	Perzel	Van Horne
Clymer	Hutchinson	Petrone	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Washington
Colafella	James	Pippy	Williams
Cornell	Kaiser	Platts	Wilt
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Wogan
Coy	Kenney	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Dailey	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wright
Dally	Lederer	Rieger	Yewcic
Dempsey	Leh	Rohrer	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Ross	Yudichak
Donatucci	Maher	Rubley	Zimmerman
Druce	Maitland	Sainato	Zug
Eachus	Major	Samuelson	
Egolf	Manderino	Santoni	Ryan,
Fairchild	Mann	Sather	Speaker
Fargo			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to welcome a group of eighth graders from the Spring Cove Middle School in Roaring Spring, who are visiting with us today. They are here as the guests of Representative Jerry Stern, and they are seated in the balcony. Would they please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 981 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Colafella, still intend to offer his amendment? The gentleman is aware he will have to suspend the rules to do that. The gentleman is recognized for that purpose.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Mr. Speaker, as you know, the need to suspend the rules for nonpreferred appropriations is necessary because they are not revenue neutral. So it was not because I was not on time, but it is necessary to do so.

Are we on 982, HB 982?

Mr. Speaker, on HB 982 I am requesting an increase to the University of Pittsburgh budget by \$2.4 million. This money is necessary in order to help get from imposing some high tuition rates, and I ask very much for your support of suspending the rules so that we can vote for an increase—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman suspend. We are still on 981.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGechan	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhantan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra

Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Major	Santoni	
Eachus	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—1

Haluska

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin Cam Evans Gladeck

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 982, PN 1090**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp.Sess., P.L.87, No.3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; providing for public support and capital improvements; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the Commonwealth; requiring the chancellor to make an annual report of the operations of the University of Pittsburgh," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ROBINSON offered the following amendment No. A1176:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

The General Assembly declares that the purpose of this act is to provide support for the University of Pittsburgh and to ensure the prudent and sound fiscal management of the University of Pittsburgh and the future viability of the University of Pittsburgh's education mission to its students.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

Section 3. (a) Payments made to the University of Pittsburgh on account of the appropriations provided under section 1 shall be made by the Secretary of Education as provided in section 5 subject to the limitations and conditions described in subsection (b).

(b) The Secretary of Education shall not release any payment until the Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh agree in writing with the following:

(1) That the trustees will, upon the request of the chairman or minority chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate or the chairman or minority chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives, provide full, complete and accurate documentation of any financial transaction or any other information that may be requested.

(2) That the trustees will adopt policies intended to provide greater fiscal accountability and sound management, including, but not limited to, adopting an annual capital budget itemizing all construction improvement projects, furniture, furniture and equipment acquisition, all other nonrecurring, nonemergency expenses related to the physical infrastructure of the university; adopting and adhering to competitive bidding guidelines that would be applicable to all nonemergency university contracts involving similar services, identical locations or the same contractor, regardless of the date of the contract, that may in the aggregate exceed \$5,000; requiring the submission of purchase requisitions before the completion of purchase orders; and requiring the completion of and maintaining the appropriate records for all contracted services.

(3) The trustees will adopt a code of conduct governing the actions of all university employees, board members and their respective families, which meets or exceeds the requirements of the act of July 19, 1957 (P.L.1017, No.451), known as the State Adverse Interest Act, and 65 Pa.C.S. Ch. 11 (relating to ethics standards and financial disclosure).

(4) The trustees will adopt a waste disposal protocol which meets or exceeds applicable Federal, State and local standards, including the immediate elimination of existing environmental contamination and other environmental hazards on university property.

(5) The trustees will appoint an oversight committee which shall be composed of at least one qualified building or renovation professional, one tenured faculty member and one currently enrolled student to review and approve any future renovation to the president's home. In addition, the trustees will specifically approve all nonmaintenance or nonroutine operating expenses and expenditures for the president's house, before any future work is commenced.

(6) The trustees will adopt a building and construction protocol designed to ensure university compliance with all applicable Federal, State and local building and safety code requirements to which any university action may be subject.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 16, by striking out "3" and inserting 4

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 22, by striking out "4" and inserting 5

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 1, by striking out "5" and inserting 6

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, line 26, by striking out "6" and inserting 7

Amend Sec. 7, page 6, line 8, by striking out "7" and inserting 8

Amend Sec. 7, page 6, line 8, by striking out "6" and inserting 7

Amend Bill, page 6, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

Section 9. The Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh shall submit a report detailing remedial measures taken by the university to ensure compliance with the obligations imposed on the trustees by this act and shall provide evidence of a record of demonstrated compliance by June 30, 2000, to the Auditor General and the chairman and minority chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the chairman and minority chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives.

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, line 17, by striking out "8" and inserting 10

Amend Sec. 9, page 10, line 5, by striking out "9" and inserting 11

Amend Sec. 10, page 10, line 11, by striking out "10" and inserting 12

Amend Sec. 11, page 10, line 21, by striking out "11" and inserting 13

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would draw the members' close attention to this amendment. It is a little different than the previous amendment, but the general purpose is the same, and that is to gather necessary information from our Commonwealth universities so that we can make prudent decisions here in the General Assembly relative to appropriations.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment in part arises out of the difficult challenges that Lincoln University had to face. Some of those challenges were challenges that the university created for itself. But most of those challenges, I believe, were created because there was a lack of accountability, accountability to this Commonwealth, and that accountability can only come from this General Assembly passing the appropriate legislation, sending the appropriate instruction to Lincoln, to Temple, to Penn State, and to the University of Pittsburgh.

This amendment is much more comprehensive, and it is designed to make sure that we have sufficient information well in advance of any challenges and also to help us craft and shape a direction for these universities as they spend, as they leverage, our tax dollars. That is the purpose of this amendment.

Again, Mr. Speaker, I believe that a vast majority of the men and women sitting in this chamber agree with me. My challenge is to convince you that we need to put in place procedures that apply to all of these universities, all four of them. The biggest tragedy of the Lincoln situation was restrictions were put in place for Lincoln without recognition of the source of the problem, and the source of the problem is here in Harrisburg. This is an attempt for more comprehensive information gathering beforehand -- not after they spend the money, not after there is a problem, but beforehand.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Last year as we did the budget, Lincoln had an unfortunate situation and the legislature voted to put a watchful eye on them, passed legislation to try to correct this problem. Fortunately, the

problem is resolved. Lincoln was able to correct this problem, and there is no need to do it this year for Lincoln.

Likewise, there is no need at this time, because a problem does not exist at the other State-relateds, whether it be Pitt, Penn State, or Temple, to pass this kind of emergency legislation. I want to assure the members that this was only for an emergency last year. Lincoln was very quick to correct it, the problem has been solved, and there is no need to go to this extraordinary measure to look for things that are not there.

So I would hope that the members would vote against this for Pitt as well as the other institutions, if Representative Robinson offers this amendment. So I hope you members can follow my lead in opposing this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Robinson, for the second time.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the comments of the majority chairman of the House Education Committee, and I certainly believe he is sincere in his belief, as I believe the chair of our Appropriations Committee is sincere in his belief, that whatever information is necessary from these universities we can secure. But it is really not about what they believe, Mr. Speaker; it is about what this Commonwealth needs so that all of us, not just the majority chair of the Education Committee or the majority chair of Appropriations can be satisfied, but so that all of us can be satisfied.

Mr. Speaker, this is also not about personalities. This is not about whom we trust at Penn State or Pitt; this is about accountability and the taxpayers' dollars. Mr. Speaker, this is also about the very comprehensive recommendations that came from the Auditor General relative to the challenge at Lincoln, and while those recommendations only related to Lincoln University, I think it shed some light on what we ought to do, what we ought to do legislatively, relative to our Commonwealth universities — those universities that get maybe 17 or 18 percent of their budget from the Commonwealth.

Two other points if I might, Mr. Speaker. One, the trustees are identified in this amendment as those persons who have the responsibility to shape the policies, the rules and regulations, that will put them in compliance with this amendment. It is their responsibility, the people who already have the fiscal and fiduciary responsibility at these universities. We are asking them to do what they are already doing, but now do it because this Commonwealth is requesting it.

My last comment, Mr. Speaker: Someone suggested that if these universities are subject to either right-to-know or subject to additional scrutiny in terms of information gathering, that somehow they will be less competitive. Let me suggest to my colleagues that a seventh grade student who is proficient in computer science can probably gather a lot of the information that I would like this General Assembly to gather by a knowledge of the Internet. In fact, someone suggested to me, if I wanted to know Joe Paterno's salary, I could easily find it by getting on the Internet. They are probably right. But I am not interested in Joe Paterno's salary; that is between him and the university, but I am interested in gathering sufficient information so that I as a legislator and the rest of you can make prudent decisions about the allocations to Pitt, Penn State, Lincoln, and Temple and so we can hold them accountable.

I encourage each and every one of you who agree with me to vote "yes" on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, on the amendment.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Robinson amendment, and I also rise with the compelled desire to set the record straight and demonstrate just how broad, just how broad our intervention is with respect to the State-relateds. And since Lincoln University is continuously used as a lighthouse to call for change, I think that what we should probably be doing is lifting Lincoln University up for the quality of education that it provides and for the kind of talent that it turns out. I think that Representative Washington and Representative Myers, who are graduates of Lincoln University, are exemplary of the kind of product that Lincoln University is producing.

Now, how did we get to Lincoln University as this lighthouse? Number one, it was a minority Senator, not a majority Senator but a minority Senator, who had questions about whether or not Lincoln University was conducting its business in an appropriate way. As a Senator and as a member of the Pennsylvania General Assembly, like the architect of this amendment, like anybody in this body, we all have the capacity to raise questions and to demand answers in response to those questions. So a minority Senator had some questions; those questions were answered. That minority Senator articulated some conditions; those conditions were satisfied. The Auditor General had some questions; those questions were answered, concerns satisfied. The Attorney General had some questions; those questions were answered, concerns satisfied.

Mr. Speaker, as a member of this body, we all are empowered with the capacity to raise questions about State-related, about State-owned, and all State-related, all State-owned institutions have an obligation to provide that information that we might request or satisfy or address those concerns that we might raise, and their willful failure to do so can result in a recommendation to the Education Committee, can result in a recommendation to the Appropriations Committee, can result in a recommendation to any one of 21 oversight bodies within this General Assembly.

So, Mr. Speaker, Lincoln speaks to how broad our ability to question and to demand answers as elected officials. So inherent in our responsibility is not only a right to know but a right to inquire and a right to demand satisfactory answers in response to our inquiry. Mr. Speaker, this amendment like the other one is moot, and there are no exceptions that will require us to impose this on Lincoln or any other State-related.

So, Mr. Speaker, that is the record, the factual record, as it relates to Lincoln University, and, Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, let us reject this and move on to something more substantive. We do not need to impose something that already exists. We do not need to require something that we already have the capacity to do. All we need to do is to pass this appropriation, these nonpreferences, and let these institutions get on with educating the children of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and others. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, on the amendment.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am not going to belabor the issue here today. I think the chairman of the House Education Committee did a fine job of basically enunciating the reasons why this is unnecessary.

I can say as chairman of the House Appropriations Committee, the University of Pittsburgh has been very straightforward, very cooperative. They have provided any and all information that we have asked of them, and I would see this as a burden that is totally and completely unnecessary and would urge members to vote "no" on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman from Beaver County, Mr. Colafella, seek recognition on the amendment? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I do not think that the legislature should be involved in micromanaging the affairs of a university. I think what we want is the board of trustees at the University of Pittsburgh to manage their business, and for those reasons I ask for a "no" vote for this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington County, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be short.

I think something stinks in Pittsburgh, Mr. Speaker, and I cannot understand quite honestly why we are fumbling over one another trying to stop this type of legislation. I mean, I think that we have worked long and hard with all these institutions to have accountability, and that is what Mr. Robinson wants. He wants to ensure accountability. Maybe something does stink in Pittsburgh and we all just simply do not want to be illuminated as to the smell.

But I am saying, if you do not want accountability, maybe let us vote it down, and if you do not want to have Pitt step forward and be accountable for buildings and the president's home, let us vote it down. But I am saying to you today, Mr. Speaker, he wants accountability. You know, it is like sending Madeline Albright to the Far East, to Albania, to negotiate. Mr. Robinson is on the board at Pitt. He is there. He knows what is going on. He is coming back and reporting to you that he thinks something stinks in Pittsburgh. He wants accountability.

Vote "yes," Mr. Speaker. Let us clear the air. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—54

Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Michlovic	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	Mundy	Scrimenti
Bishop	George	Pesci	Solobay
Blaum	Grucela	Petrarca	Steelman
Caltagirone	James	Pistella	Steil
Casorio	Josephs	Preston	Sturla
Cawley	Kirkland	Ramos	Surra
Cohen, M.	Krebs	Roberts	Tangretti
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Trello
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Trich
Curry	Lescovitz	Rohrer	True
Daley	Levdansky	Rooney	Veon
DeLuca	Lynch	Ruffing	Walko
Dermody	Melio		

NAYS—143

Adolph	Fichter	Markosek	Semmel
Allen	Fleagle	Marsico	Serafini
Argall	Flick	Masland	Seyfert
Armstrong	Forcier	Mayernik	Shaner

Baker	Frankel	McCall	Smith, B.
Bard	Gannon	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Geist	Mellhattan	Snyder
Barrar	Gigliotti	McLhinney	Staback
Bastian	Godshall	McNaughton	Stairs
Battisto	Gordner	Metcalfe	Stern
Belfanti	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stetler
Benninghoff	Habay	Miller, R.	Stevenson
Boyes	Haluska	Miller, S.	Strittmatter
Browne	Hanna	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Harhai	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Harhart	Nickol	Thomas
Buxton	Hasay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Oliver	Travaglio
Chadwick	Herman	Orie	Tulli
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Vance
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Van Home
Clymer	Horsey	Phillips	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pippy	Washington
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Platts	Williams
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Wilt
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Wogan
Dailey	Kenney	Reinard	Wojnaroski
Dally	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright
Dempsey	Lederer	Ross	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rubley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Lucyk	Sainato	Yudichak
Druce	Maher	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Eachus	Maitland	Santoni	Zug
Egolf	Major	Sather	
Fairchild	Manderino	Saylor	Ryan,
Fargo	Mann	Schroder	Speaker
Feese			

NOT VOTING—1

McGill

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ROBINSON offered the following amendment No. A1385:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by inserting after "1."

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I do not want to belabor the point or attempt to be disingenuous. Certainly I need to correct the record. Mr. Daley

indicated that I am a trustee of the University of Pittsburgh. I served on the trustee board from 1990 to 1997 as a Commonwealth trustee. I am no longer a member of that board. But it should be noted that while I was a member of that board, I was a staunch supporter of right-to-know. I was a staunch supporter of the university providing to the Commonwealth information in addition to what they were presently giving, providing.

Also, this General Assembly should be aware, in 1991 some of you who were here took the bold step of indeed including right-to-know in legislation for these four universities. Some of you may have forgotten that you voted for right-to-know, that you voted for it, that it went into legislation that went over to the Senate. Unfortunately, our colleagues in the Senate sometimes are not as wise as we are, and they were unable to see the wisdom of what we were trying to do, and they removed those provisions.

One other point that I think really needs to be stressed, and that is, about 1990, 1991, because of some of the challenges faced by the University of Pittsburgh when they were attempting to provide an adequate severance package for then president Wesley Posvar, our Auditor General at that time, Barbara Hafer, was requested by the board of trustees at the University of Pittsburgh to do a comprehensive audit. Our Auditor General did that comprehensive audit, and two things were apparent in her report: One, she felt she did not have the appropriate legislative authority to do more than what she did, to essentially determine that the university had received the State appropriation and had spent it and spent it in a fashion that none of the money was missing. She also made some recommendations to us, which my amendments reflect, and that is, she recommended that this General Assembly very specifically pass a law or two that would direct her and future Auditor Generals as to what information we would have them gather if we had to audit a university, a Commonwealth university. And with all due respect to my colleague and friend, Representative Thomas, the Auditor General's report, while it was comprehensive, Auditor General Robert Casey, he was bound by the same restrictions that bound Auditor General Barbara Hafer, and so he could not give to us all the sufficient information we might need to assist Lincoln University, to assist them. That is the purpose of this amendment and my previous amendments, to assist these universities to be accountable.

Again, Mr. Speaker, I do not think we ought to be making a decision on this amendment based on the personalities — whom we know at Penn State or whom we know at Pitt or whom we know at Lincoln or whom we know at Temple. We should be making this decision based on what is proper and correct for the people of this Commonwealth and in what ways can we hold these universities accountable for the tax dollars that they are spending each and every day. Unfortunately, unfortunately, unlike the State System, we cannot track the State dollars that are going into those four universities; we cannot track the money in and track it out and tell anybody how it is spent.

I ask those who believe like I do that we need more accountability in higher education to vote for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs, is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, we are talking about the amendments of right-to-know. A moment ago it was Lincoln; now it is Pitt. I want to inform the members that in 1994 and every year since that, including this

year, we have demanded accountability to our State-relateds, and as I mentioned to the members earlier, I just happen to have with me the volumes of information on Pitt University, on their school, so if anybody has any questions on their accountability or their reporting, certainly see me and we will be glad to share this information with you.

So I would ask my members and those members who voted a moment ago, who voted "yes" on this right-to-know, this information is available, it is here, and any pertinent questions you have on the institution, feel free to get in touch with us and we will share it with you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey, on the amendment.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman stand for interrogation on his amendment? It is real brief, if he will.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman, Mr. Robinson, willing to stand for interrogation? The gentleman indicates that he is. The gentleman, Mr. Horsey, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, I just have one question with three parts, and that is, Mr. Speaker, I heard the previous speaker speak, and I just need to know, can you give me an idea of three things that you would like to know that are not in the reports that are already provided? Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. ROBINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That is certainly an excellent question.

This is not about what Representative Robinson wants to know. As both Mr. Stairs and Mr. Barley accurately said, information that has been requested of these universities has been forthcoming, except, except that they subject themselves to the Right-to-Know Law. The Right-to-Know Law was not passed by Representative Robinson; it was passed by people far wiser than myself before I came here. So there is nothing, there is nothing I am looking for. The Right-to-Know Law will provide each and every one of you with the information that you need, but that information will have to come from those universities because it is required, not because it is requested.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment or still interrogation?

Mr. HORSEY. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, I love the brother from Allegheny County. I do not quite understand the amendment. I am going to oppose the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Once again, for many of the reasons that have already been stated when we were debating this issue as it related to Lincoln and also the chairman of the Education Committee, I, too, oppose the amendment and would ask all members to vote "no" on the amendment before us.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Robinson, for the second time? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. ROBINSON. One closing comment, Mr. Speaker.

Let me be clear that my interest is not motivated by any incident that has occurred at any of the universities in question. For the 11 years that I have been in this General Assembly and the nearly 10 years that I have been on the House

Education Committee, I have supported right-to-know. I have supported full disclosure, and I have supported accountability in higher education. These amendments are consistent with positions that Representative Robinson has maintained for the last 11 years. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—55

Baker	DeWeese	Lynch	Schuler
Bebko-Jones	Freeman	Melio	Scrimenti
Belardi	George	Metcalfe	Serafini
Bishop	Godshall	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Steil
Caltagirone	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Casorio	Hasay	Pesci	Surra
Cawley	James	Petrarca	Tangretti
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Ramos	Tigue
Costa	Kirkland	Robinson	Trich
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	True
Daley	Lawless	Rohrer	Veon
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Walko
Dermody	Levdansky	Schroder	

NAYS—143

Adolph	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Allen	Fleagle	Mayemik	Seyfert
Argall	Flick	McCall	Shaner
Armstrong	Forcier	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bard	Frankel	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Gannon	McIlhattan	Snyder
Barrar	Geist	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bastian	Gigliotti	McNaughton	Staback
Battisto	Gordner	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gruitza	Miller, R.	Stern
Benninghoff	Habay	Miller, S.	Stetler
Boyes	Hanna	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Herman	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hershey	Perzel	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Keller	Preston	Washington
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Williams
Corrigan	Krebs	Readshaw	Wilt
Coy	LaGrotta	Reinard	Wogan
Dailey	Lederer	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Dally	Leh	Roberts	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Maher	Rubley	Youngblood
Donatucci	Maitland	Ruffing	Yudichak
Druce	Major	Sainato	Zimmerman
Eachus	Manderino	Samuelson	Zug
Egolf	Mann	Santoni	
Fairchild	Markosek	Sather	Ryan,
Fargo	Marsico	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands the gentleman's third amendment is withdrawn.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Now, the gentleman, Mr. Colafella, I believe, wishes to make a motion to suspend the rules to offer an amendment. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. COLAFELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As you know, we have to suspend the rules because it is revenue neutral, not because it was not timely.

I am asking for an increase for the University of Pittsburgh of \$2.4 million. This will help the university, and this is what they would really like to have, and I would appreciate your support of suspending the rules.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On suspension, the gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the motion to suspend the rules. This amendment is out of order. There is no reduction in the line. It is clearly in violation of the House rules, and so I would ask all members to oppose this motion to suspend the rules.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Battisto	Freeman	Mayemik	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	George	McCall	Solobay
Belardi	Gigliotti	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gordner	Melio	Stairs
Bishop	Grucela	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Haluska	Myers	Sturla
Buxton	Hanna	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Harhai	Pesci	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Herman	Petrarca	Thomas
Casorio	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	James	Pistella	Travaglio
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Trello
Colafella	Kaiser	Ramos	Trich
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Costa	Kirkland	Rieger	Veon
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Vitali
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Waiko
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Washington
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Williams
Dermody	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
Donatucci	Manderino	Samuelson	Youngblood
Eachus	Mann	Santoni	Yudichak
Frankel	Markosek	Scrimenti	

NAYS--99

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Feese	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Fichter	McGill	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McIlhinney	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McNaughton	Smith, B.
Barley	Gannon	Metcalfe	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Geist	Micozzie	Snyder
Bastian	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Habay	Miller, S.	Stern
Boyes	Harhart	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Hasay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hershey	Orie	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hess	Perzel	True
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Kenney	Platts	Wilt
Cornell	Krebs	Raymond	Wogan
Dailey	Lawless	Reinard	Wright
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lynch	Ross	Zug
DiGirolamo	Maher	Rubley	
Druce	Mariland	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Major	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild			

NOT VOTING--0

EXCUSED--4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring.
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment No. A1423:

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 20 and 21

Section 11. (a) *The Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh shall apply moneys appropriated under this act only for such purposes as are authorized under this act and for securing agreements with hospitals, health systems and medical facilities for the placement of graduates and students in those hospitals, health systems and medical facilities that are located in health professional shortage areas as designated in accordance with section 332 of the Public Health Service Act (58 Stat. 682, 42 U.S.C. § 254e).*

(b) *The Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh shall submit a report to the Governor, the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives on or before September 1, 2000, relating to the number of medical school graduates and students placed in health professional shortage areas.*

Amend Sec. 11, page 10, line 21, by striking out "11" and inserting 12

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield County, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, if you will indulge me for a moment.

Mr. Speaker, as you probably have noticed, I have prepared a similar amendment for all of the medical schools here in Pennsylvania, and at this time, sir, you have called up the bill that involves the University of Pittsburgh.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I would like you and the members of this House to understand that it is not my purpose or my intent or my rationale to give any medical school any problems. It is only my intent, Mr. Speaker, to make sure that the medical schools understand what most of us have been told by our constituency, and that is that no matter how many students that we school in Pennsylvania with Pennsylvania tax dollars, underwriting the cost of that education, for some reason there is only 65 or 70 percent of that enrollment. Mr. Speaker, that are Pennsylvania residents.

There is also another matter, Mr. Speaker, if I may, where I am sure most of us understand that under the Federal law, a hospital cannot turn anyone down at its doors and must accept that patient and must attempt in every fashion to stabilize that patient, where, Mr. Speaker, a medical facility need not abide by that.

So, Mr. Speaker, with the knowledge that even the fine City of Brotherly Love is truly an area that suffers with medical deprivation and areas mostly in the rural area, Mr. Speaker, suffer from those that are medically underserved -- in other words, 50 doctors in one town and not another doctor for another half a mile of square radius -- so what I have attempted to do, Mr. Speaker, I have attempted to insist that these schools of medicine, when they accept these moneys that you and I will vote on today, that they will make every attempt to utilize from their class the rotation students and place them in areas, Mr. Speaker, areas of medical deprivation and medically underserved, simply do it by volunteering, and then after they have accomplished this, Mr. Speaker-- Mr. Speaker, maybe they do not think it is important, but if you would remind them that it is important.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House will come to order.

Members will please take their conversations outside the hall of the House. Will members that are standing in the aisles please take their seats.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

So what we are insisting in the amendment or what we wanted to insist is that these health systems and medical facilities would provide for the placement of graduates and students in these hospitals or health systems or medical facilities that would tend to that problem of medical need and medically underserved. Mr. Speaker, we did not threaten to take their money; we did not threaten to mandate or put them in any duress if they did not. We simply said, do this, and then at the end of the year, the fiscal year 2000, that you will send a letter to the gentleman, Mr. Barley, the majority chairman, and the gentleman, the minority chairman, and to the Governor, advising us that you in fact understand what we want and you are going to abide by it and you are going to let the Appropriations chairmen understand that if you are not going to abide and concern yourself about our concerns, you are not going to get that same type of money next year.

Mr. Speaker, may I add that Penn State has agreed to do this voluntarily. They are about to send 16 doctors into the rural area within the next couple of weeks, and that is a move that we believe should be done, and I am grateful for their volunteering this.

So, Mr. Speaker, if you will, I met, as most of you do from time to time, with all of these medical people out in the lobby, and they are all screaming to the high heavens, and they are all being victimized, and oh, it is terrible what I am attempting to do to them on this very day, Mr. Speaker. But again, Mr. Speaker, I do not feel bad. I feel bad when there is some problem that I cannot fix. I feel bad, Mr. Speaker, as you do, when we know there is a sick patient that cannot get care.

So I have agreed with these medical schools that I will pull this amendment if in fact you still agree that during the next year you will make available to the majority and minority chairmen of the Appropriations Committee and the Governor of this Commonwealth and let us know whether or not you want to help us with this situation, and I insist that, God willing, should they not, should they violate their promise. I will be back, and hopefully all of you will be back, and we will tend to this business firsthand.

We are providing tax dollars. The purpose of that is to train doctors. The end result of that is to have those individuals come into the areas where they are needed and to practice their skills and to heal the sick. That is what it is all about. We should not be at the task of spending all these dollars to train doctors that are going to move out of the State or do not live in the State to start with.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. GEORGE. So with your permission, Mr. Speaker, I have word that these medical schools will send these letters to Mr. Barley and Mr. Evans and copy myself so that we know what is going on. They promised to do whatever it is that this amendment insists upon, and that is basically placing the rotation students in an area where they are needed, and therefore, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw this amendment — if I am going to get that applause. I am going to withdraw them one at a time, Mr. Speaker — and the rest of the amendments that deal with this amendment, and I thank you for not only your courtesy but continually for your allowing me this flexibility. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
PRESIDING

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert

Bard	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Froeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gruccela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Sterler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturia
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Home
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Major	Santoni	
Eachus	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—1

Haluska

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin Cam Evans Gladeck

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 983, PN 1091**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P.L.213, No.227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges." making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; and providing for a basis

for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. If I may, Mr. Bunt, do you intend to offer both amendments? Withdrawn.

Mr. Robinson, do you intend to offer amendments to HB 983? I have you marked for two amendments. Withdrawn.

Mr. Cappabianca, I have you listed for— Withdrawn.

Mr. George, is this one of the amendments that you have withdrawn? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McLhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McLhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yeweic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak

DiGirolamo	Maier	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Major	Santoni	
Eachus	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—1

Haluska

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 984, PN 1092**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.843, No.355), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; providing for preference to Pennsylvania residents in tuition; providing for public support and capital improvements; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the Commonwealth; requiring the President to make an annual report of the operations of Temple University," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Robinson, you have two amendments. Are they withdrawn?

Mr. George, this, too, is another amendment? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Fargo	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Hanna	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Major	Santoni	
Eachus	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—1

Haluska

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carr	Evans	Gladek
----------	------	-------	--------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 985, PN 1093**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Michiovic	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, S.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Thomas
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trello
Clark	James	Pistella	Trich
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	True
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Vance
Colafella	Kenney	Raymond	Van Horne
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Veon
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reinard	Vitali
Costa	Laughlin	Rieger	Walko
Coy	Lawless	Roberts	Washington
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Williams
Dailey	Leh	Roebuck	Wilt
Dally	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wogan
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Wright
Dermody	Lynch	Rubley	Yewcic
DeWeese	Maher	Ruffing	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Sainato	Yudichak
Donatucci	Major	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Druce	Manderino	Santoni	Zug
Eachus	Mann	Sather	
Egolf	Markosek	Saylor	Ryan,
Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—3

Haluska Hanna Krebs

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin Carn Evans Gladeck

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 986, PN 1094**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, S.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Thomas
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trello
Clark	James	Pistella	Trich
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	True
Cohen, L. J.	Kaiser	Preston	Tulli

Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Vance
Colafella	Kenney	Raymond	Van Horne
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Veon
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reinard	Vitali
Costa	Laughlin	Rieger	Walko
Coy	Lawless	Roberts	Washington
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Williams
Dailey	Leh	Roebuck	Wilt
Daley	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wogan
Dally	Levdansky	Rooney	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lucy	Ross	Wright
Dempsey	Lynch	Rubley	Yewcic
Dermody	Maher	Ruffing	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maitland	Sainato	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Major	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni	Zug
Druce	Mann	Sather	
Eachus	Markosek	Saylor	Ryan,
Egolf	Marsico	Schroder	Speaker
Fairchild			

NAYS—3

Haluska Hanna Krebs

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin Carn Evans Gladeck

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 987, PN 1095**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Scrimenti
Allen	Fargo	Mayernik	Semmel
Argall	Feese	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Seyfert
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Solobay

Battisto	Gannon	Metcalf	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Steelman
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Phillips	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Pippy	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pistella	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Platts	Trich
Clark	James	Preston	True
Clymer	Josephs	Ramos	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Reinard	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Rohrer	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Rooney	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Rubley	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Sainato	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Samuelson	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Santoni	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Major	Sather	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Saylor	
Druce	Mann	Schroder	Ryan,
Eachus	Markosek	Schuler	Speaker
Egolf	Marsico		

NAYS—5

Gordner	Hanna	Krebs	Petrarca
Haluska			

NOT VOTING—1

Petrone

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 988, PN 1096**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the University of the Arts, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Adolph	Fargo	Mayermik	Semmel
Allen	Feese	McCall	Serafini
Argall	Fichter	McGeehan	Seyfert
Armstrong	Fleagle	McGill	Shaner
Baker	Flick	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bard	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Frankel	McNaughton	Snyder
Barrar	Freeman	Melio	Solobay
Bastian	Gannon	Michlovic	Staback
Battisto	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	George	Miller, R.	Steelman
Belardi	Gigliotti	Miller, S.	Steil
Belfanti	Godshall	Mundy	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Myers	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Nailor	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	O'Brien	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	Oliver	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Orie	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Petrone	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Phillips	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Pippy	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pistella	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Platts	Trich
Clark	James	Preston	True
Clymer	Josephs	Ramos	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Reinard	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Williams
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wilt
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wogan
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lucyk	Rubley	Wright
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Yewcic
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Major	Santoni	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	Zug
Druce	Mann	Saylor	
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	Ryan,
Egolf	Marsico	Schuler	Speaker
Fairchild	Masland	Scrimenti	

NAYS—8

Benninghoff	Haluska	Krebs	Metcalf
Gordner	Hanna	Lawless	Petrarca

NOT VOTING—1

Curry

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 989, PN 1097**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Scrimenti
Allen	Fargo	Mayernik	Semmel
Argall	Feese	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Seyfert
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Shaner
Bard	Flick	McLhattan	Smith, B.
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Snyder
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Solobay
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalfe	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Steelman
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich
Clark	James	Platts	True
Clymer	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Raymond	Van Horne
Colafella	Kennedy	Readshaw	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Daily	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
DeLuca	Lucy	Ruble	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman

DiGirolamo	Major	Santoni	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	
Druce	Mann	Saylor	Ryan,
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker
Egolf	Marsico	Schuler	

NAYS—5

Gordner	Hanna	Krebs	Petrarca
Haluska			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 990, PN 1098**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Argall	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Forcier	McLhattan	Shaner
Barley	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gordner	Miller, S.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Taylor, J.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 992, PN 1100**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Alien	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetter
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Surla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich
Clymer	James	Platts	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Colafrilla	Keller	Raymond	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rubley	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Petrarca
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—1

Zimmerman

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 993, PN 1101**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question.
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetter
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Surla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich

Clymer	James	Platts	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
Dempsey	Lucy	Rubley	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DiGiroloamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS-4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Petrarca
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 994, PN 1102**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Philadelphia Health and Education Corporation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-192

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayermik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.

Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Mercalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Mundy	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Petrone	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Phillips	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Pippy	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Pistella	Trelo
Clark	Jadlowiec	Platts	Trich
Clymer	James	Preston	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Ramos	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Veon
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Vitali
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Walko
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Washington
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Williams
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wilt
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
DeLuca	Lucy	Rubley	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
DiGiroloamo	Major	Santoni	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Druce	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	
Egolf	Marsico		

NAYS-6

Haluska	Kirkland	Petrarca	Wogan
Hanna	Krebs		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 995, PN 1103**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **BARLEY** offered the following amendment No. **A1319**:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 9, by striking out "Labor and Industry" and inserting

Public Welfare

Amend Sec. 3, page 1, line 12, by striking out "Labor and Industry" and inserting

Public Welfare

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. Mr. Barley.

Mr. **BARLEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment A1319 would just simply move the appropriation back to Welfare. Now, it is in Welfare in the current fiscal year. The Governor's proposed budget moved it to Labor and Industry. So we are simply keeping the appropriation in the Department of Public Welfare, where it is today and where it has been in the past, and so I ask the members to support the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayemik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McLhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McLhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kennedy	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Krebs	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Daily	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ruble	Yewcic
Denmody	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak

DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Sather	
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Ryan,
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS—3

Haluska	Hanna	Laughlin
---------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—1

Rieger

EXCUSED—4

Bimmelin	Carr	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McLhattan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McLhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kennedy	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko

Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGiroloamo	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Santoni	
Eachus	Mann	Sather	Ryan.
Egolf	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS-4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 996, PN 1104**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-193

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayermik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler

Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clark	James	Pistella	True
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Rublely	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGiroloamo	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni	
Druce	Mann	Sather	Ryan.
Eachus	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Marsico	Schroder	

NAYS-5

Gordner	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
Haluska			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 997, PN 1105**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder
Alien	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Santoni	
Eachus	Mann	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 998, PN 1106**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Solobay
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Surra
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Thomas
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trello
Clark	James	Pistella	Trich
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Preston	True
Cohen, M.	Keiler	Ramos	Tulli
Colafella	Kenney	Raymond	Vance
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Van Horne
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reinard	Veon
Costa	Laughlin	Rieger	Vitali
Coy	Lawless	Roberts	Walko
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Washington
Dailey	Leh	Roebuck	Williams
Daley	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wilt
Dally	Levdansky	Rooney	Wogan
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ross	Wojnaroski
Dempsey	Lynch	Rubley	Wright
Dermody	Maher	Ruffing	Yewcic
DeWeese	Maitland	Sainato	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Major	Samuelson	Yudichak
Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni	Zimmerman
Druce	Mann	Sather	Zug
Eachus	Markosek	Saylor	
Egolf	Marsico	Schroder	Ryan,
			Speaker

NAYS—5

Gordner	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
Haluska			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 999, PN 1107**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	True
Clymer	James	Pistella	Tulli

Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Walko
Costa	LaGrotta	Reinard	Washington
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Yewic
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS—4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—1

Rieger

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1000, PN 1108**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGill	Seyfert

Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsy	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Rubley	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Santoni	
Eachus	Mann	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS—4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Steelman
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1001, PN 1109**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayemik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Horsy	Phillips	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich
Clark	James	Platts	True
Clymer	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Raymond	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Readshaw	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Rubley	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Major	Santoni	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	
Druce	Mann	Saylor	Ryan,
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker
Egolf	Marsico		

NAYS—6

Gordner	Hanna	Petrarca	Steelman
Haluska	Krebs		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1002, PN 1110**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayemik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalfe	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pippy	Treilo
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich
Clark	James	Platts	Truc
Clymer	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Raymond	Van Home
Colafella	Kenney	Readshaw	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Dailey	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnarowski
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Rubley	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Major	Santoni	Zug

Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Druce	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	
Egolf	Marsico		

NAYS—6

Gordner	Hanna	Petrarca	Steelman
Haluska	Krebs		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Bimmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1003, PN 1111**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to The Children's Institute, Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayemik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue

Cawley	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Clymer	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Colafella	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Costa	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnarowski
Dally	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rublely	Youngblood
Dermody	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan,
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS-5

Haluska	Krebs	Petrarca	Steciman
Hanna			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1004, PN 1112**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to St. Christopher's Hospital, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-194

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Mayemik	Scrimenti

Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanu	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Berninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bukovitz	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	James	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	Josephs	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Keller	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko
Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnarowski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lynch	Rublely	Youngblood
DeWeese	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DiGirolo	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
Donatucci	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Druce	Manderino	Santoni	
Eachus	Mann	Sather	Ryan,
Egolf	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker

NAYS-4

Haluska	Hanna	Krebs	Steciman
---------	-------	-------	----------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1005, PN 1113**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Mayermik	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	Melio	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Metcalf	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Miller, R.	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, S.	Stern
Bishop	Grucela	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Harhai	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Hasay	Oliver	Tangretti
Buxton	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Casorio	Hess	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Horsey	Phillips	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trello
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Trich
Clark	James	Platts	True
Clymer	Josephs	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Raymond	Van Horne
Colafella	Kenney	Readshaw	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Roberts	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Rohrer	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ruble	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Sainato	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Samuelson	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Major	Santoni	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Sather	
Druce	Mann	Saylor	Ryan,
Eachus	Markosek	Schroder	Speaker
Egolf	Marsico		

NAYS—6

Gordner	Hanna	Petrarca	Steelman
Haluska	Krebs		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Bimelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
---------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1006, PN 1114**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Argall	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bard	Flick	McGill	Shaner
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Snyder
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Solobay
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalf	Staback
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Stern
Bishop	Gordner	Miller, S.	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Sturla
Butkovitz	Harhai	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Orie	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Trello
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Trich
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	True
Cohen, L. I.	James	Platts	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Vance
Colafella	Kaiser	Ramos	Van Horne
Cornell	Keller	Raymond	Veon
Corrigan	Kenney	Readshaw	Vitali
Costa	Kirkland	Reinard	Walko
Coy	LaGrotta	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Laughlin	Roberts	Williams
Dailey	Lawless	Robinson	Wilt
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Wogan
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rooney	Wright
Dempsey	Levdansky	Ross	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Ruble	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lynch	Ruffing	Yudichak
	Maher	Sainato	Zimmerman

DiGirolamo	Maitland	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Major	Santoni	
Druce	Manderino	Sather	Ryan.
Eachus	Mann	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf	Markosek	Schroder	

NAYS-5

Haluska	Krebs	Petrarca	Steelman
Hanna			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Bimelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
---------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1007, PN 1115**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-191

Adolph	Fairchild	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Fargo	Masland	Schuler
Argall	Feese	Mayermik	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fichter	McCall	Semmel
Baker	Fleagle	McGeehan	Serafini
Bard	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Barley	Forcier	McIlhattan	Shaner
Barrar	Frankel	McIlhinney	Smith, B.
Bastian	Freeman	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Gannon	Melio	Snyder
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Metcalfe	Solobay
Belardi	George	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Benninghoff	Godshall	Miller, R.	Steil
Bishop	Grucela	Miller, S.	Stern
Blaum	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Habay	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Harhai	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hasay	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Hennessey	Oliver	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Herman	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.

Cappabianca	Hershey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Casorio	Hess	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Tigue
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Phillips	Travaglio
Civera	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Trello
Clark	James	Pistella	Trich
Clymer	Josephs	Platts	True
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Preston	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Keller	Ramos	Vance
Coiafella	Kenney	Raymond	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Readshaw	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reinard	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Rieger	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Roberts	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Wilt
Dailey	Leh	Roebuck	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Rohrer	Wojnaroski
Dally	Levdansky	Rooney	Wright
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ross	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lynch	Rubley	Youngblood
Dermody	Maher	Ruffing	Yudichak
DeWeese	Maitland	Sainato	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Major	Samuelson	Zug
Donatucci	Manderino	Santoni	
Druce	Mann	Sather	Ryan.
Eachus	Markosek	Saylor	Speaker
Egolf			

NAYS-7

Gordner	Hanna	Petrarca	Van Home
Haluska	Krebs	Steelman	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Bimelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
---------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constintion having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1012, PN 1120**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Mercer Museum in Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti
Allen	Egolf	Marsico	Semmel
Argall	Fairchild	Masland	Serafini
Armstrong	Fargo	Mayernik	Seyfert
Baker	Feese	McCall	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder
Barrar	Flick	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bastian	Frankel	McNaughton	Staback
Battisto	Freeman	Melio	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Michlovic	Steil
Belardi	Geist	Micozzie	Stern
Belfanti	George	Miller, R.	Stetler
Bishop	Gigliotti	Miller, S.	Stevenson
Blaum	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Boyes	Grucela	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhai	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Travaglio
Cawley	Hershey	Phillips	Trello
Chadwick	Hess	Pippy	Trich
Civera	Horsey	Pistella	True
Clark	James	Preston	Tulli
Clymer	Josephs	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Raymond	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Keller	Readshaw	Veon
Colafella	Kenney	Reinard	Vitali
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Walko
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Washington
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Williams
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Wilt
Curry	Lederer	Rohrer	Wogan
Dailey	Leh	Rooney	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Wright
Dally	Levdansky	Rublely	Yewcic
DeLuca	Lucyk	Ruffing	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lynch	Sainato	Yudichak
Dermody	Maher	Samuelson	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Maitland	Sather	Zug
DiGirolo	Major	Saylor	
Donatucci	Manderino	Schroder	Ryan,
Druce	Mann	Schuler	Speaker

NAYS—16

Benninghoff	Hanna	McIlhattan	Platts
Forcier	Hutchinson	Mercalfe	Santoni
Gordner	Jadlowiec	Nickol	Smith, S. H.
Haluska	Krebs	Petrarca	Steelman

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered. That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1013, PN 1121**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum.

On the question.

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti
Allen	Egolf	Marsico	Semmel
Argall	Fairchild	Mayernik	Serafini
Armstrong	Fargo	McCall	Seyfert
Baker	Feese	McGeehan	Shaner
Bard	Fichter	McGill	Smith, B.
Barley	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Snyder
Barrar	Flick	McIlhinney	Solobay
Bastian	Frankel	McNaughton	Staback
Battisto	Freeman	Melio	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	Michlovic	Steil
Belardi	Geist	Micozzie	Stern
Belfanti	George	Miller, S.	Stetler
Bishop	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stevenson
Blaum	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Boyes	Grucela	Nailor	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhai	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Travaglio
Cawley	Hershey	Phillips	Trello
Chadwick	Hess	Pippy	Trich
Civera	Horsey	Preston	True
Clark	James	Ramos	Tulli
Clymer	Josephs	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Readshaw	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Keller	Reinard	Veon
Colafella	Kenney	Rieger	Vitali
Cornell	Kirkland	Roberts	Walko
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Robinson	Washington
Costa	Laughlin	Roebuck	Williams
Coy	Lawless	Rohrer	Wilt
Curry	Lederer	Rooney	Wogan
Dailey	Leh	Ross	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lescovitz	Rublely	Wright
Dally	Levdansky	Ruffing	Yewcic
DeLuca	Lucyk	Sainato	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lynch	Samuelson	Yudichak
Dermody	Maher	Santoni	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Maitland	Sather	Zug
DiGirolo	Major	Saylor	
Donatucci	Manderino	Schroder	Ryan,
Druce	Mann	Schuler	Speaker

NAYS—16

Benninghoff	Hanna	Masland	Pistella
Forcier	Hutchinson	Metcalf	Platts

Gordner	Jadlowiec	Miller, R.	Smith, S. H.
Haluska	Krebs	Petrarca	Steelman

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1014, PN 1122**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Adolph	Egolf	Mayernik	Semmel
Allen	Fairchild	McCall	Serafini
Argall	Fargo	McGeehan	Seyfert
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Shaner
Baker	Fichter	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McIlhinney	Snyder
Barley	Flick	McNaughton	Solobay
Barrar	Frankel	Melio	Staback
Bastian	Freeman	Michlovic	Stairs
Battisto	Gannon	Micozzie	Steelman
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, S.	Steil
Belardi	George	Mundy	Stern
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Myers	Stetler
Bishop	Godshall	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	Grucela	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gruitza	O'Brien	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Surra
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrarca	Thomas
Cappabianca	Herman	Petrone	Tigue
Casorio	Hershey	Phillips	Travaglio
Cawley	Hess	Pippy	Trello
Chadwick	Horsy	Pistella	Trich
Civera	James	Preston	True
Clark	Josephs	Ramos	Tulli
Clymer	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Reinard	Veon

Colafella	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Cornell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Corrigan	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Costa	Lawless	Roebuck	Williams
Coy	Lederer	Rohrer	Wilt
Curry	Leh	Rooney	Wogan
Dailey	Lescovitz	Ross	Wojnaroski
Daley	Levdansky	Rubley	Wright
Dally	Lucyk	Ruffing	Yewcic
DeLuca	Lynch	Sainato	Youngblood
Dempsey	Maher	Samuelson	Yudichak
Dermody	Maitland	Santoni	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Major	Sather	Zug
DiGirolamo	Manderino	Saylor	
Donatucci	Mann	Schroder	Ryan,
Druce	Markosek	Schuler	Speaker
Eachus	Marsico	Scrimenti	

NAYS—13

Benninghoff	Hanna	Krebs	Miller, R.
Forcier	Hutchinson	Masland	Platts
Gordner	Jadlowiec	Metcalf	Smith, S. H.
Haluska			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1015, PN 1123**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Adolph	Egolf	Mayernik	Serafini
Allen	Fairchild	McCall	Seyfert
Argall	Fargo	McGeehan	Shaner
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Smith, B.
Baker	Fichter	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bard	Fleagle	McNaughton	Snyder
Barley	Flick	Melio	Solobay
Barrar	Frankel	Michlovic	Staback
Bastian	Freeman	Micozzie	Stairs
Battisto	Gannon	Miller, R.	Steelman

Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, S.	Steil
Belardi	George	Mundy	Stern
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Myers	Stetler
Bishop	Godshall	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	Grucela	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gruitza	O'Brien	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Surra
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrone	Thomas
Cappabianca	Herman	Phillips	Tigue
Casorio	Hershey	Pippy	Travaglio
Cawley	Hess	Pistella	Trello
Chadwick	Horsey	Preston	Trich
Civera	James	Ramos	True
Clark	Josephs	Raymond	Tulli
Clymer	Kaiser	Readshaw	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Reinard	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Rieger	Veon
Colafella	Kirkland	Roberts	Vitali
Cornell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Walko
Corrigan	Laughlin	Roebuck	Washington
Costa	Lawless	Rooney	Williams
Coy	Lederer	Ross	Wilt
Curry	Lescovitz	Rubley	Wogan
Dailey	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lucyk	Sainato	Wright
Dally	Lynch	Samuelson	Yewcic
DeLuca	Maher	Santoni	Youngblood
Dempsey	Maitland	Sather	Yudichak
Dermody	Major	Saylor	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder	Zug
DiGirolamo	Mann	Schuler	
Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti	Ryan,
Druce	Marsico	Semmel	Speaker
Eachus			

NAYS--15

Benninghoff	Hanna	Leh	Petrarca
Forcier	Hutchinson	Masland	Platts
Gordner	Jadlowiec	McIlhatten	Rohrer
Haluska	Krebs	Metcalf	

NOT VOTING--0

EXCUSED--4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1016, PN 1124**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the African-American Museum in Philadelphia for operating expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS--186

Adolph	Egolf	Mayernik	Semmel
Allen	Fairchild	McCall	Serafini
Argall	Fargo	McGeehan	Seyfert
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Shaner
Baker	Fichter	McIlhatten	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Flick	McNaughton	Snyder
Barrar	Frankel	Melio	Solobay
Bastian	Freeman	Michlovic	Staback
Battisto	Gannon	Micozzie	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, R.	Steelman
Belardi	George	Miller, S.	Steil
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stern
Bishop	Godshall	Myers	Stetler
Blaum	Grucela	Nailor	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	O'Brien	Sturla
Bunt	Harhai	Oliver	Surra
Butkovitz	Harhart	Orie	Tangretti
Buxton	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Herman	Petrarca	Thomas
Casorio	Hershey	Petrone	Tigue
Cawley	Hess	Phillips	Travaglio
Chadwick	Horsey	Pippy	Trello
Civera	Hutchinson	Pistella	Trich
Clark	James	Preston	True
Clymer	Josephs	Ramos	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, M.	Keller	Readshaw	Van Home
Colafella	Kenney	Reinard	Veon
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Costa	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Williams
Curry	Lederer	Rooney	Wilt
Dailey	Lescovitz	Ross	Wogan
Daley	Levdansky	Rubley	Wojnaroski
Dally	Lucyk	Ruffing	Wright
DeLuca	Lynch	Sainato	Yewcic
Dempsey	Maher	Samuelson	Youngblood
Dermody	Maitland	Santoni	Yudichak
DeWeese	Major	Sather	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Manderino	Saylor	Zug
Donatucci	Mann	Schroder	
Druce	Markosek	Schuler	Ryan,
Eachus	Marsico	Scrimenti	Speaker

NAYS--12

Benninghoff	Haluska	Krebs	Metcalf
Forcier	Hanna	Leh	Platts
Gordner	Jadlowiec	Masland	Rohrer

NOT VOTING--0

EXCUSED--4

Birmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1017, PN 1125**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—179

Adolph	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Allen	Fairchild	Mayernik	Serafini
Argall	Fargo	McCall	Seyfert
Armstrong	Feese	McGeehan	Shaner
Baker	Fichter	McGill	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McIlhinney	Snyder
Barley	Flick	McNaughton	Solobay
Barrar	Frankel	Melio	Staback
Bastian	Freeman	Michlovic	Stairs
Battisto	Gannon	Micozzie	Steil
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, R.	Stern
Belardi	George	Miller, S.	Stetler
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stevenson
Bishop	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Blaum	Grucela	Nailor	Sturla
Boyes	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Tangretti
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Hasay	Pesci	Thomas
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrone	Tigue
Cappabianca	Herman	Phillips	Travaglio
Casorio	Hershey	Pippy	Trello
Cawley	Hess	Pistella	Trich
Chadwick	Horsey	Preston	True
Civera	James	Ramos	Tulli
Clark	Josephs	Raymond	Vance
Clymer	Kaiser	Readshaw	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Reinard	Veon
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Rieger	Vitali
Colafella	Kirkland	Roberts	Walko
Cornell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Washington
Corrigan	Laughlin	Roebuck	Williams
Costa	Lawless	Rooney	Wilt
Coy	Lederer	Ross	Wogan
Curry	Lescovitz	Rublely	Wojnaroski
Dailey	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wright
Daley	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
Dally	Lynch	Samuelson	Youngblood
DeLuca	Maher	Santoni	Yudichak
Dempsey	Maitland	Sather	Zimmerman
Dermody	Major	Saylor	Zug
DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder	

DiGirolamo	Mann	Schuler	Ryan,
Donatucci	Markosek	Serimenti	Speaker
Druce			

NAYS—19

Benninghoff	Hanna	Masland	Platts
Egolf	Hutchinson	McIlhattan	Rohrer
Forcier	Jadlowiec	Metcalfe	Smith, S. H.
Gordner	Krebs	Nickol	Steelman
Haluska	Leh	Petrarca	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Bimmelin	Carn	Evans	Gladeck
----------	------	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1018, PN 1126**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Carnegie Museums of Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	Eachus	Mayernik	Serafini
Allen	Fairchild	McCall	Seyfert
Argall	Fargo	McGeehan	Shaner
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Smith, B.
Baker	Fichter	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bard	Fleagle	McNaughton	Snyder
Barley	Flick	Melio	Solobay
Barrar	Frankel	Michlovic	Staback
Bastian	Freeman	Micozzie	Stairs
Battisto	Gannon	Miller, R.	Steelman
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, S.	Steil
Belardi	George	Mundy	Stern
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Myers	Stetler
Bishop	Godshall	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	Grucela	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gruitza	O'Brien	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Surra
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrone	Thomas
Cappabianca	Herman	Phillips	Tigue

Casorio	Hershey	Pippy	Travaglio
Cawley	Hess	Pistella	Trello
Chadwick	Horsey	Preston	Trich
Civera	James	Ramos	Truc
Clark	Josephs	Raymond	Tullii
Clymer	Kaiser	Readshaw	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Reinard	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Rieger	Veon
Colafella	Kirkland	Roberts	Vitali
Cornell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Walko
Corrigan	Laughlin	Roebuck	Washington
Costa	Lawless	Rooney	Williams
Coy	Lederer	Ross	Wilt
Curry	Lescovitz	Rubley	Wogan
Dailey	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lucyk	Sainato	Wright
Dally	Lynch	Samuelson	Yewcic
DeLuca	Maher	Santoni	Youngblood
Dempsey	Maitland	Sather	Yudichak
Dermody	Major	Saylor	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder	Zug
DiGirolamo	Mann	Schuler	
Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti	Ryan,
Druce	Marsico	Semmel	Speaker

NAYS—16

Benninghoff	Haluska	Krebs	Metcalf
Egolf	Hanna	Leh	Petrarca
Forcier	Hutchinson	Masland	Platts
Gordner	Jadlowiec	McIlhattan	Rohrer

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1019, PN 1127**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Whitaker Center for Science and the Arts in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Adolph	Egolf	Mayernik	Serafini
Allen	Fairchild	McCall	Seyfert
Argall	Fargo	McGeehan	Shaner
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Smith, B.
Baker	Fichter	McIlhinney	Smith, S. H.
Bard	Fleagle	McNaughton	Snyder
Barley	Flick	Melio	Solobay
Barrar	Frankel	Michlovic	Staback
Bastian	Freeman	Micozzie	Stairs
Battisto	Gannon	Miller, R.	Steelman
Bebko-Jones	Geist	Miller, S.	Steil
Belardi	George	Mundy	Stern
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Myers	Stetler
Bishop	Godshall	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	Grucela	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gruitza	O'Brien	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Oliver	Surra
Bunt	Harhai	Orie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Petrone	Thomas
Cappabianca	Herman	Phillips	Tigue
Casorio	Hershey	Pippy	Travaglio
Cawley	Hess	Pistella	Trello
Chadwick	Horsey	Platts	Trich
Civera	James	Preston	Truc
Clark	Josephs	Ramos	Tullii
Clymer	Kaiser	Raymond	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Readshaw	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Reinard	Veon
Colafella	Kirkland	Rieger	Vitali
Cornell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Walko
Corrigan	Laughlin	Robinson	Washington
Costa	Lawless	Roebuck	Williams
Coy	Lederer	Rooney	Wilt
Curry	Leh	Ross	Wogan
Dailey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Wojnaroski
Daley	Levdansky	Ruffing	Wright
Dally	Lucyk	Sainato	Yewcic
DeLuca	Lynch	Samuelson	Youngblood
Dempsey	Maher	Santoni	Yudichak
Dermody	Maitland	Sather	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Major	Saylor	Zug
DiGirolamo	Manderino	Schroder	
Donatucci	Mann	Schuler	Ryan,
Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti	Speaker
Eachus	Marsico	Semmel	

NAYS—13

Benninghoff	Hanna	Krebs	Metcalf
Forcier	Hutchinson	Masland	Petrarca
Gordner	Jadlowiec	McIlhattan	Rohrer
Haluska			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Birmelin	Cam	Evans	Gladeck
----------	-----	-------	---------

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Before anyone leaves, we will be in session tomorrow morning, a voting session, at 11 o'clock.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. DIGIROLAMO

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. DiGirolamo, for an announcement.

Mr. DIGIROLAMO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to remind the members about the upcoming Prayer Breakfast that is scheduled for May 4. That is 3 weeks from today. The Prayer Breakfast is going to be held at the Radisson, which is in Camp Hill, right across the river. It is certainly an opportunity for all of us to gather together and share in all the friendships and the privileges and the gifts that we share here in Pennsylvania.

You should have the invitation at your office. We will be accepting reservations till next week.

Thank you. Mr. Speaker.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Bishop.

Ms. BISHOP. I would like to correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order.

Ms. BISHOP. On HB 981 my switch malfunctioned on amendments 1340, 1176, 1385, and 1386. I was voted in the positive. I wish to be voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

Ms. BISHOP. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Wojnaroski.

Mr. WOJNAROSKI. Mr. Speaker, I would like to also correct the record, if I may.

Mr. Speaker, on HB 980, amendment A1366, I would like to be recorded as in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Ms. Steelman, are you seeking recognition?

Ms. STEELMAN. Yes, sir, to correct the record.

The SPEAKER. The lady may proceed.

Ms. STEELMAN. On HB 987, PN 1095; HB 989, PN 1097; and HB 991, PN 1099, my vote was recorded in the affirmative. Those should be recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just to remind the Democratic—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. Coy has an announcement for the Democratic Caucus. Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just to remind members that there will be a caucus tomorrow morning at 10:30 in the caucus room. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Oh, for the benefit of the members, it was thought that today was going to extend beyond this time and dinner was ordered in for 5:30 in the caucus rooms, good dinner.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. Mr. Grucela.

Mr. GRUCELA. Mr. Speaker, I also rise to correct the record.

On HB 980, amendment 1238, my switch malfunctioned, and I wish to be recorded as a "no" vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Dailey.

Mrs. DAILEY. Mr. Speaker, I wish to make a correction on HB 980, amendment 1264. My switch malfunctioned. I voted in the affirmative. I would like to vote in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

Any further corrections of the record?

Mr. Casorio.

Mr. CASORIO. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. I thought earlier you were waving for someone else.

Mr. CASORIO. I was waving at Freddie, but thank you. Mr. Speaker.

I would like to correct the record, if I could, on HB 981, amendment 1340. I was recorded as voting in the negative. I would like to be recorded as voting in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. Your remarks will be spread upon the record.

Mr. CASORIO. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Flick, for what purpose do you rise?

Mr. FLICK. Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker; an observation.

Apparently the new voting boxes do not work any better than the old ones that we had. You might wish to have someone look into them.

The SPEAKER. Thank you for that.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On amendment 1258 to HB 980, I was not recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Yudichak.

Mr. YUDICHAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to correct the record.

On amendment 1369 I would like to vote in the affirmative on that amendment.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. YUDICHAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

STATEMENT BY MR. GORDNER

The SPEAKER. Mr. Gordner.

Mr. GORDNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A point of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of personal privilege.

Mr. GORDNER. For the benefit of the Speaker, the last 2 days I have had a computer on my desk, and as we have gone through

the 100-plus amendments, I have been able to scroll through and check all of those, and from my standpoint, it was very successful. Rather than going through all the packets, we were able to look at each amendment, touch the amendment text: the next amendment automatically came up. It was extremely helpful to go through that process.

One critique would be the split screen, where they pull up the amendment and the bill; it is difficult to see that process, but that is my only critique of the system. I thought the last 2 days was a good test of it, and at least from the amendment perspective, it worked very well.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

By way of further information, 54 more computers are being unpacked at the moment and getting ready to put in service, and I am going to guess it will be some time in the fall when we have the computers programmed to do everything that we are hoping they will do for us. In the meantime, we will keep trying to improve it or at least get the ideas down on improvements.

Members of the staff within the sound of my voice, dinner has been prepared for all of you. It is in room 60 in the East Wing. I believe that dinners are ready as of 5:30.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 130 By Representatives DeLUCA, BLAUM, CORRIGAN, MELIO, CIVERA, BARRAR, CLYMER, M. COHEN, COSTA, DALEY, FAIRCHILD, FICHTER, GEIST, GEORGE, HENNESSEY, HERSHEY, HORSEY, JAMES, JOSEPHS, KIRKLAND, LAUGHLIN, MARKOSEK, ORIE, PESCI, PRESTON, READSHAW, ROBERTS, ROEBUCK, ROONEY, RUFFING, SOLOBAY, STABACK, SURRA, TANGRETTI, TRELLO, WASHINGTON, WOJNAROSKI and YOUNGBLOOD

A Resolution memorializing Congress to urge the Department of Housing and Urban Development to review any policies and procedures in effect with regard to placing nonsenior citizens in senior citizen housing.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 13, 1999.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, who requests an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 114, PN 1450 (Amended) By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution recognizing that the existing level of interstate and intrastate high-speed and conventional passenger and commuter rail

services offered throughout this Commonwealth restricts economic development, hinders the traveling public and degrades Pennsylvania's natural resources; and calling for the cooperative efforts of the Governor, the General Assembly, Amtrak and other rail entities to resolve the problem.

RULES.

HR 130, PN 1445

By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution memorializing Congress to urge the Department of Housing and Urban Development to review any policies and procedures in effect with regard to placing nonsenior citizens in senior citizen housing.

RULES.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 945, PN 1329

By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, defining the offense of identity theft.

JUDICIARY.

HB 963, PN 1446 (Amended)

By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentencing procedure for murder of the first degree.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1072, PN 1218

By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sale or illegal use of certain solvents.

JUDICIARY.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Any further announcements? Corrections of the record?

Do the majority or minority leaders have any further business?

Hearing none, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Miller.

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, April 14, 1999, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to, and at 5:17 p.m., e.d.t., the House
adjourned.