

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, JUNE 19, 1995

SESSION OF 1995

179TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 54

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (J. SCOT CHADWICK) PRESIDING

#### PRAYER

REV. B. PENROSE HOOVER, senior pastor of Salem Lutheran Church, Lebanon, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Holy God, after a brief respite and time of rest, we again turn our minds and energies to the legislative business of this Commonwealth. We look to You for clear vision, sound judgment, and the guidance of Your spirit.

We give You thanks for our system of government and this representative legislature that You have ordained. Grant that all elected officials, representatives, and officers may be continually mindful of their high calling to public trust. Guide them to use their authority unselfishly and for the common good of all.

Protect those liberties which are the cornerstone of our society. Let our speech be free, but responsible; our deliberations direct, but honest; our decisions just and wise and in the interests of all Pennsylvanians.

Bless the citizens of this great Commonwealth, that in the free exercise of their individual rights and liberties, these elected officials may receive support, proper respect, and may grow in public service.

Bless and guide our every purpose this day, that our collective work may be a blessing for all. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Friday, June 16, 1995, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1759** By Representatives RUBLEY, SCHRODER, HERSHEY, FLICK, HENNESSEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, PITTS, FARGO, WAUGH, FICHTER, STEIL, BATTISTO, SEMMEL, JOSEPHS, CIVERA, TRELLO and ARMSTRONG

An Act providing for the appointment of local tax study commissions by political subdivisions; providing for powers and duties of the local tax study commission; providing for the submission of certain tax questions to the electors of a political subdivision; authorizing the imposition of a business premises use and occupancy tax; and providing limitations on revenue raised by political subdivisions in certain cases.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1760** By Representatives L. I. COHEN, GEORGE, WAUGH, E. Z. TAYLOR, FARMER, PETTIT, TRELLO, BELARDI, PISTELLA, HORSEY, MERRY, FARGO, BELFANTI, YOUNGBLOOD and BARD

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.789, No.285), known as The Insurance Department Act of 1921, requiring hearing examiners of the Insurance Department to issue decisions within 60 days.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1761** By Representatives L. I. COHEN, PETTIT, ITKIN, TRELLO, JOSEPHS, MELIO, GRUPPO, BELARDI, RUDY, BATTISTO, RUBLEY, BARD, CURRY and McGILL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, increasing the tax on cigarettes; and establishing the Breast Cancer Fund.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1762** By Representatives L. I. COHEN, ITKIN, TRELLO, SHANER, MELIO, GRUPPO, BELARDI, RUDY, RUBLEY, BARD, STEELMAN, CURRY and McGILL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing for a personal income tax return checkoff for breast cancer research; and establishing the Breast Cancer Research Fund.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1763** By Representatives L. I. COHEN, HENNESSEY, ITKIN, PETTIT, TRELLO, MELIO, TIGUE, CURRY, RICHARDSON and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act providing for the construction, reconstruction, development, erection, acquisition, extension, improvement, rehabilitation and equipment of community residences for clients of the Department of Public Welfare and other State and community-based human services facilities; authorizing the incurring of indebtedness and the issue and sale of bonds by the Commonwealth for this purpose contingent upon electorate approval; creating a special fund in the State Treasury to be known as the Housing Construction Bond Fund; imposing powers and duties upon the Department of General Services; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1764** By Representatives CIVERA, COLAIZZO, HERMAN, BELARDI, SCHULER, GIGLIOTTI, COY, DEMPSEY, PESCI, TIGUE, CURRY, MELIO, WOGAN, MILLER, YOUNGBLOOD, McCALL, BUNT, L. I. COHEN, E. Z. TAYLOR, O'BRIEN, STAIRS, J. TAYLOR, BOSCOLA, MICOZZIE, STABACK, TRELLO, LUCYK, RAYMOND, LEH, SURRA and ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of December 14, 1988 (P.L.1192, No.147), known as the Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, extending the provisions of the act to include survivors of police officers and firefighters.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1765** By Representatives CIVERA, KUKOVICH, SCHULER, LAUGHLIN, L. I. COHEN, KENNEY, GRUPPO, MICOZZIE, O'BRIEN, NYCE, DALEY, WOGAN, COLAIZZO, GIGLIOTTI, MILLER, BELFANTI, STERN, BUNT, STABACK, STAIRS, PISTELLA, SERAFINI, BELARDI, RUBLEY, LUCYK, E. Z. TAYLOR, COY, JAROLIN, DeLUCA, TRELLO, ITKIN, GEIST and J. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 14, 1988 (P.L.1192, No.147), known as the Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, extending the provisions of the act to include survivors of police officers and firefighters; and deleting the provisions relating to modification of postretirement adjustment.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1766** By Representatives MUNDY, HASAY, SURRA, STEELMAN, MANDERINO, JAROLIN, VAN HORNE, HERMAN, SHANER, STETLER, STABACK, McCALL, LAUGHLIN, GORDNER, MAJOR, BIRMELIN, GEORGE, D. R. WRIGHT, EVANS, COY, VEON, ROONEY, BELFANTI, HANNA, RUDY, CHADWICK, SEMMEL and BELARDI

An Act directing the Department of Environmental Resources to develop and implement a program for the suppression of native forest insect pests in residential areas; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1767** By Representative PHILLIPS

An Act amending the act of June 17, 1913 (P.L.507, No.335), referred to as the Intangible Personal Property Tax Law, further providing for application of the act.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1768** By Representatives MASLAND, WAUGH, DENT, MAITLAND, LYNCH, WOGAN, ARMSTRONG, PETTIT, GORDNER, PLATTS, E. Z. TAYLOR, TRELLO, BELARDI, GODSHALL, VANCE, FAJT, L. I. COHEN, FARGO, DeLUCA, SAYLOR, LEH, CLARK, DiGIROLAMO, GEIST, BATTISTO, CORNELL and EGOLF

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for voluntary manslaughter.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1769** By Representative M. N. WRIGHT

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for emergency lights on certain private vehicles and for equipment standards.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1770** By Representatives LaGROTTA, SAINATO, FAJT, BELARDI, STABACK, JAROLIN, KELLER, LEH, PESCI, SHANER, VAN HORNE, MARKOSEK, MELIO, TRELLO, LAUGHLIN and BATTISTO

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for annual hauling permits and for permit for movement during course of manufacture.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1771** By Representatives SCRIMENTI, ARGALL, LEVDANSKY, GORDNER, GANNON, STABACK, LUCYK, BELARDI, BELFANTI, BEBKO-JONES, BOSCOLA, L. I. COHEN, SURRA, TRELLO, RUDY and YOUNGBLOOD

An Act establishing the Volunteer Fire Company Challenge Grant Program; authorizing an income tax checkoff to fund the program; providing for a tax credit; and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1772** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on self-storage services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1773** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, DENT, PETTIT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, FARMER, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, CARONE, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on computer programming and other computer-related services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1774** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, FARMER, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, CARONE, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on employment agency, help supply and other personnel supply services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1775** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, PETTIT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, FARMER, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, CARONE, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on disinfecting or pest control services and building maintenance or cleaning services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1777** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on adjustment services, collection services and credit reporting services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1778** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, PETTIT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, CARONE, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on lawn care services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1779** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on lobbying services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1780** By Representatives CLYMER, GEIST, CORRIGAN, MARKOSEK, MILLER, M. N. WRIGHT, COY, BIRMELIN, FAJT, GODSHALL, HASAY, HERMAN, S. H. SMITH, BROWN, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, PETTIT, HERSHEY, MICOZZIE, ADOLPH, LEH, E. Z. TAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, LYNCH, TRELLO, STISH, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, WOGAN, LEVDANSKY, TANGRETTI, SERAFINI, ROHRER, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, STEELMAN, REBER and CORNELL

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, repealing provisions imposing sales and use tax on secretarial or editing services.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1781** By Representatives TRAVAGLIO, GEIST, TRELLO, COY, GEORGE, COWELL, BELFANTI, MARKOSEK, DeLUCA, STEELMAN, TANGRETTI, VAN HORNE, PESCI, SHANER, GIGLIOTTI, JAROLIN, WALKO, COLAFELLA, LaGROTTA, SAINATO, COLAIZZO, BELARDI, SURRA, MELIO, DeWEESE, MAYERNIK, PETRARCA, GAMBLE, D. R. WRIGHT, LESCOVITZ, READSHAW, WOZNAK and DERMODY

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, eliminating the minimum capital stock and franchise tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1782** By Representatives FARGO, FAJT, BROWNE, LESCOVITZ, RAYMOND, ITKIN, WAUGH, BOYES, COY, PITTS, EVANS, GANNON, HERSHEY, SHEEHAN, BAKER, JADLOWIEC, WILLIAMS, D. W. SNYDER, SEMMEL, PISTELLA, WALKO, MERRY, NYCE, DEMPSEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, LAWLESS, STEIL, PETTIT, WOGAN, STURLA, HERMAN, FAIRCHILD, LYNCH, SATHER, HABAY, BELARDI, TRELLO, S. H. SMITH, MELIO, TIGUE, DeLUCA, MIHALICH, LEH, GODSHALL, FARMER, VANCE, TRUE, SCHULER, ALLEN, GORDNER, MUNDY, SCRIMENTI, STETLER, KAISER, BOSCOLA, READSHAW, ROONEY, NICKOL, KING, STERN, NAILOR, VAN HORNE, BARD, CONTI, HASAY, KENNEY, STISH, BUNT, STEELMAN, PHILLIPS, COLAFELLA, OLASZ, TULLI, DRUCE, RICHARDSON, ARGALL, HARHART, SCHRODER, O'BRIEN, MARKOSEK, WOZNAK, YEWIC, SURRA, HORSEY and TRAVAGLIO

An Act relating to the practice of public accounting; providing for the examination, education and experience requirements for certification of certified public accountants and for the licensing of certified public accountants, public accountants and firms; requiring continuing education and peer review; providing for the organization and ownership of firms; providing the procedures and grounds for discipline and reinstatement of licensees; prescribing the powers and duties of the State Board of Accountancy; providing for ownership of working papers and confidentiality; regulating the professional responsibility of licensees; defining unlawful acts and the penalties therefor; and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1820** By Representatives FLICK, DeLUCA, CAWLEY, BUNT, REBER, CLARK, MILLER, FARMER, EGOLF, PITTS, GODSHALL, GEIST, L. I. COHEN, SCHULER, FAIRCHILD, MICOZZIE, GANNON, ADOLPH, FARGO, LEH, SATHER, COY, TRELLO, STABACK, LYNCH, HENNESSEY, LAUGHLIN, MERRY, RUBLEY, SEMMEL and HALUSKA

An Act amending the act of June 17, 1913 (P.L.507, No.335), referred to as the Intangible Personal Property Tax Law, providing an exemption for the market value of money market accounts.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1821** By Representatives CURRY, PISTELLA, YOUNGBLOOD, TRELLO, HALUSKA, DRUCE and STEIL

An Act amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, further providing for plat approval.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1822** By Representatives HANNA, LYNCH, ROBINSON, JAROLIN, VAN HORNE, BELARDI, L. I. COHEN, BUNT, CAPPABIANCA, CORRIGAN, BOSCOLA, TRELLO, STEELMAN, VEON, CAWLEY, M. COHEN, SAYLOR, YOUNGBLOOD, BATTISTO and RICHARDSON

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for computer hardware grants to school districts and State-owned institutions of higher learning; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 19, 1995.

**No. 1823** By Representatives HANNA, GORDNER, TIGUE, GODSHALL, BELARDI, READSHAW, TRELLO, STABACK, BELFANTI, MERRY, COLAIZZO, LEVDANSKY and CARONE

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Game Commission.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, June 19, 1995.

### ACTUARIAL NOTES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair acknowledges receipt of the following actuarial reports from the Public Employee Retirement Commission: an actuarial note for HB 975, PN 1092; an actuarial note for HB 483, PN 503; and an actuarial note for HB 1302, PN 1487.

(Copies of actuarial notes are on file with the Journal clerk.)

### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1081, PN 1204, and HB 1542, PN 1815, be removed from the table.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS RECOMMITTED**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move to recommit HB 1081, PN 1204, and HB 1542, PN 1815, to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**REPUBLICAN AND DEMOCRATIC CAUCUSES**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Republicans will caucus immediately; a Democratic Caucus has been scheduled for 1:30, and we will return to the floor at 2:30.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House stands in recess until 2:30 p.m.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING****SENATE MESSAGE****HOUSE AMENDMENTS  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to the Senate amendments to **HB 1169, PN 2087**.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

**HB 1169, PN 2087**

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1995, to June 30, 1996, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1995; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Ben Franklin/IRC Partnership Fund, the Tuition Payment Fund and the Banking Department Fund to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the

restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1995, to June 30, 1996, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1995, to June 30, 1996, and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1995; and to provide for the additional appropriation of State and Federal funds for the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1994, to June 30, 1995, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1994.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING**

Mr. GEIST. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a little bit later on in the session when we reach a point where there is a break, I would like to call a meeting off the floor of the Transportation Committee on the balcony in the back by the Lieutenant Governor's Office.

The SPEAKER. *If the gentleman will advise me when that time arrives.*

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER**

The SPEAKER. Among the many guests that we welcome to the hall of the House, we probably are about to introduce our youngest – that is, the brand-new baby of Mr. and Mrs. Scott Hutchinson. The baby looks like she would fit right in Scott's pocket.

As I confess, the baby's name is Sophie Hutchinson and arrived in time to buy a Father's Day gift yesterday.

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Barley, for the purpose of leaves of absence.

The gentleman indicates there are none.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. ROEBUCK, and without objection, leave is granted.

The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Itkin.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take today's master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—202

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Van Home
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Coy	King	Reber	Williams
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rubley	Zug
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Roebuck

LEAVES ADDED—1

James

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House the daughters of the Representative from Bucks County, Mr. DiGirolamo -- his two daughters, Gina and Mary. They are here today as guest pages, seated in front of the Speaker. Will the guest pages please rise.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND  
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

**HB 214, PN 2214 (Amended)**

By Rep. FLICK

An Act recognizing the current and historical common language of the citizens of the United States; designating the use of the common language for official acts of this Commonwealth and its municipalities; and providing for a right of action.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today several guest pages as the guests of Representative Todd Platts of York County -- Jessica Miller and Randi Wuorinen, students from West York School District. Would the guest pages please rise.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Pages 1 through 7 are over for the day.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 580, PN 2118**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for wrongful death actions and for exceptions to governmental immunity.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-201

Adolph	Egolf	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Farmer	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Feese	Masland	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Merry	Stairs
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscota	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Nyce	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrone	Treffo
Clark	Hess	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Williams
Coy	King	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rublely	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-1

Lawless

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The balance of the bills on page 8 are over.

\*\*\*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 227, PN 2182**, entitled:

An Act regulating the licensure of electrical contractors; establishing the State Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors and providing for its powers and duties; providing penalties; and making an appropriation.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. CIVERA offered the following amendment No. **A4007**:

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 17, by striking out "licensed" and inserting

State-licensed

Amend Sec. 6, page 8, line 8, by inserting after "license" under this act

Amend Sec. 10, page 11, line 7, by striking out "Licensed" and inserting

State-licensed

Amend Sec. 10, page 11, line 8, by striking out "licensed" and inserting

State-licensed

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is, will the House agree to the amendment offered by the gentleman, Mr. Civera, being amendment A4007? On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Civera.

Mr. CIVERA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, basically, this is a technical amendment. In the bill where the word "license" is, we made a mistake and did not refer to it as a State license, and that is what we are doing in this amendment. We are referring to it as a State license, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.

Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Van Horne
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Coy	King	Reber	Williams
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rublely	Zug
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, from Philadelphia.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the sponsor of this bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Godshall, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, may begin.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, can you share with me the purpose of this bill and what you are hoping to accomplish from it?

Mr. GODSHALL. The purpose of the bill, Mr. Speaker, is to grant a statewide license to electrical contractors if they desire to get such a license, and in some cases, as the case down in the southeast, we have electrical contractors who maybe have to pick up licenses from each of 50, 75, or even more municipalities. If they get a statewide license, this would preempt that situation.

Mr. THOMAS. So it is your expectation that this State Board of Examiners would establish statewide regulations for electrical contractors?

Mr. GODSHALL. If they so choose to get a statewide license.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. Does that mean that an electrical contractor who is licensed in, say, Philadelphia County will also be able to apply for a statewide license?

Mr. GODSHALL. The answer to that is yes.

Mr. THOMAS. Pardon me?

Mr. GODSHALL. Yes.

Mr. THOMAS. What is the fiscal cost for the creation and management of this State Board of Examiners?

Mr. GODSHALL. It is self-supporting based on the fees of the licensees.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. And I guess my last question: What is the benefit, if any, of an electrical contractor being licensed in a particular municipality and also having a statewide license?

Mr. GODSHALL. There would be no direct benefit in that case. It would be up to the individual contractor, but by getting a statewide license, by getting a State license, this man would then not have to get an individual license from any and all the municipalities that he is going to work in.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Godshall, will please yield.

There is entirely too much noise on the floor.

Mr. GODSHALL. A man who gets a statewide—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

Mr. GODSHALL. I am sorry.

The SPEAKER. Conferences on the floor, please break up.

The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The benefit of getting a license, a statewide license, which is good in any municipality throughout the State, this would allow the person, say an individual who maybe does work in 100 municipalities, from avoiding buying a license in each of the 100 municipalities.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. So the statewide license would afford an electrical contractor an opportunity to do work anywhere in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Mr. GODSHALL. That is correct.

Mr. THOMAS. And that statewide license would supersede the license of the local municipality.

Mr. GODSHALL. That is correct.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay. And there is absolutely no contribution that the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has to make towards the existence and maintenance of this State Board of Examiners, that that will be funded solely from fees.

Mr. GODSHALL. I believe the original bill called for an \$85,000 expenditure, and that money is to be reimbursed by the fees, the license fees, charged to these contractors.



Mr. THOMAS. Okay. So the Commonwealth will be providing \$85,000 or something thereabouts as startup for this board, and then the board will reimburse the Commonwealth?

Mr. GODSHALL. That is correct.

Mr. THOMAS. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, nothing in particular intended; it is just that there needed to be clarification. We have electrical contractors all over the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania who are going to be affected one way or the other by this bill, and I just needed to have that additional information. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Civera, from Delaware. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. CIVERA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HB 227 when it was introduced in January of this year, what we see today on our desks is not the original form of HB 227. There were several amendments that were placed in in the committee, in the Professional Licensure Committee. There were public hearings on HB 227, and what we received from those public hearings, the bill was amended while it was in committee.

This bill would allow— And this is one of the main things that we have to be concerned about. In the surrounding States that surround the State of Pennsylvania, there are electricians that are licensed, independent contractors that are licensed, and what happens is because those States have a license required to do that type of work, if our contractors decide to go into those surrounding States, they are prohibited, because there is no reciprocity between the two States. But yet, if they want to come into Pennsylvania, because of no licensure requirement, they are allowed to do so, and what happens in reality with that concept is that Pennsylvania then again loses jobs to a surrounding State.

This would curtail some of that activity, and what we did in this bill was that in the rural areas of Pennsylvania that require no license, in those boroughs and townships, basically we left it the same. We are not saying to those boroughs and townships that they must be licensed by the State. If an electrical contractor chooses to go into those boroughs and townships that do not require a license, they will still be allowed to stray into that borough and township. If the contractor does decide that he wants to get a statewide license, then he can operate anywhere in the State of Pennsylvania. If a contractor only wants to work in the city of Philadelphia and just wants to take a city-of-Philadelphia license out for an electrician, he may do so or she may do so.

We then went one step further. We just did not license independent contractors; we licensed the people that actually do the wiring in the commercial properties and in the residential properties to be consistent with the other boards that require a journeyman's license, that require an apprenticeship's license.

Today with HB 227, I think we make great strides. The boroughs and townships and cities of the first class and supervisors of the second- and third-class cities, they have agreed with this type of concept. We do not take any dollars away from the permits that those boroughs and townships require. Everything basically stays the same except there will be a board that will overlook, a statewide electricians' board, that will overlook the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

I think this is a good bill. I think it is something that both Representative Lloyd and myself, Representative Godshall, have worked hard on, and I believe that the bill should pass the House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Adolph	Fairchild	Maitland	Sather
Allen	Fajt	Major	Saylor
Argall	Fargo	Manderino	Schroder
Armstrong	Farmer	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Fichter	Marsico	Scriminti
Bard	Fleagle	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Flick	Mayernik	Serafini
Battisto	Gamble	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Gannon	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belardi	Geist	McGill	Smith, B.
Belfanti	George	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gladeck	Michlovic	Staback
Blaum	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Boscola	Gordner	Mihalich	Steelman
Boyes	Gruitza	Miller	Stern
Brown	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Habay	Nailor	Stish
Bunt	Haluska	Nickol	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Hanna	Nyce	Sturla
Buxton	Harhart	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Hasay	Olasz	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Herman	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hershey	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hutchinson	Pettit	Trelfo
Clymer	Itkin	Phillips	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Jaccola	True
Cohen, M.	James	Pistella	Tuffi
Colafella	Jarolin	Pitts	Vance
Colaizzo	Josephs	Platts	Van Horne
Cornell	Kaiser	Preston	Veon
Corpora	Keller	Ramos	Vitali
Corrigan	Kenney	Raymond	Walko
Cowell	King	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Kirkland	Reber	Wagh
Curry	Kukovich	Reinard	Williams
Daley	LaGrotta	Richardson	Wogan
DeLuca	Laughlin	Rieger	Wozniak
Dent	Lawless	Roberts	Wright, D. R.
Dermody	Lederer	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
DeWeese	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rooney	Youngblood
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rublely	Zimmerman
Druce	Lloyd	Rudy	
Durham	Lucyk	Sainato	Ryan,
Egolf	Lynch	Santoni	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—7

Clark	Dempsey	Krebs	Zug
Conti	Feese	Steil	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\*\*\*

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HB 1616 and HB 714 are over.

GUEST INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as a guest page, the son of Representative Mike Waugh. His son, Joe, is seated to the front of the Speaker with the other pages. Would Joe Waugh please rise.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS AS AMENDED

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to the following HB 861, PN 2122, as further amended by the House Rules Committee:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1929 (P.L.1798, No.591), referred to as the Forest Reserves Municipal Financial Relief Law, increasing the amount paid by the Commonwealth; and providing for an annual charge for tax-exempt lands.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Sturla, desires to be recognized for debate on the question. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of HB 861.

Mr. Speaker, I believe the crux of what HB 861 proposes to do is to compensate local municipalities that happen to have State-owned forest lands in their district. Now, whether they are State-owned forest lands or not, the real concern is that those local municipalities are not receiving property taxes as a result of the fact that the State owns these forest lands. What we are really trying to get at here is giving some compensation to those municipalities in lieu of those payments of local taxes, local property taxes, and I believe the way the formula is proposed right now, it will compensate about one-quarter to one-third of the amount that the municipalities would otherwise have gotten had these been on the tax rolls.

The reason I rise in support of this is because I believe at some point in time this legislature needs to address a further problem, and that is, all properties which do not pay local taxes in the

State of Pennsylvania and how we compensate local municipalities as a result of that. Currently there are many municipalities that have upwards of 25 percent of their properties which are tax-exempt, and we do nothing to compensate them. I think this is the first step in the right direction toward, at some point in time, compensating other municipalities that run into this same situation as a result of nonprofits in their district. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from York, Mr. Smith.

Mr. B. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support concurrence in HB 861.

Members received from me a communication last week that urged nonconcurrence. Since then, the 10-percent surcharge was removed from the bill in the Rules Committee. That surcharge would have cost the Game Commission \$8 1/2 million.

The surcharge has been removed. The land conservancies now support the bill, and I rise to support the bill and urge you to vote for concurrence. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. From Tioga, the gentleman, Mr. Baker.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise, too, in support of HB 861.

As a member that represents a legislative district that contains approximately 200,000 acres that would be impacted by this legislation, I would like to say that it appears now that those individuals that heretofore had opposed this legislation are now supporting it. The Pennsylvania State Grange supports this legislation, the State Township Association, the County Commissioners Association, the leadership of the Federation of Sportsmen, the leadership of the Unified Sportsmen of Pennsylvania, and the administration and the Senate leadership.

Please vote "yes" for this legislation. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-200

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caron	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas

Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Clark	Hess	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colafrella	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Williams
Coy	King	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Laughlin	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lawless	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rudy	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Levdansky	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-2

Petrone Travaglio

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments as amended by the Rules Committee were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**RESOLUTIONS**

Mr. PERZEL called up **HR 103, PN 1454**, entitled:

A Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to amend the Constitution of the United States to restore to the states and Congress the power to enact laws to protect the nation's flag from intentional acts of desecration.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

**RESOLUTION RECOMMENDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that HR 103 be recommitted to the Committee on Rules.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\*\*\*

Mr. HALUSKA called up **HR 137, PN 1798**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Tourism and Recreational Development Committee and the Environmental Resources and Energy Committee jointly to study, evaluate and make recommendations regarding the establishment of overnight facilities and associated amenities on Commonwealth-owned lands.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Members of the House, please listen to see if I am accurate. I have an indication that there are eight amendments: Mr. Godshall, Mr. Reber, Mr. David Wright, and Mr. Vitali, who has five amendments, according to my records. Do I hear any pleasant news?

The gentleman, Mr. Wright.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. I believe Representative Vitali and I are withdrawing our amendments.

The SPEAKER. That is pleasant news. Thank you.

Mr. Vitali, did the gentleman, Mr. Wright, speak for you?

Mr. VITALI. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. Due to the electronic problems we are having with the board, I do not want to use it any more than we have to until the summer recess, so I am going to pull those nine votes up.

The SPEAKER. I always thought there was some hope for salvaging you, Mr. Vitali. I am sure that many of the members do not realize that the two of us went to the same high school, the same college, and the same law school, and they wondered then what happened.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

Mr. GODSHALL offered the following amendment No. **A3515**:

Amend Second Whereas Clause, page 1, line 13, by striking out "game lands,"

Amend Resolution, page 3, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

RESOLVED, That the committees review the current status of development in and around State park and forest land and whether such development, as it may impact any or all parks and forests, is complimentary or detrimental to the continued use of the parks and forests for recreation, environmental education and the preservation of the natural native features and resources, including, but not limited to, flora and fauna of the parks and forests; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the committees shall study areas in proximity to State parks and forests and make recommendations as to whether any need for future development of overnight hospitality facilities can best be accommodated by private development offsite or onsite of State parks and forests. The study shall also include other states which have programs to prohibit, restrict, limit or permit private development of park and forest lands; and be it further

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Godshall.  
Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is being offered by myself and Representative Michlovic, Democratic chair of the House Tourism Committee. It is an amendment that was requested by the Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen's Clubs, and a couple other organizations had some input.

What we have done with the amendment is specifically taken out Pennsylvania game lands from any kind of consideration. Although there are no State parks in game lands, we have taken "game lands" out. What we have done here is said that we are going to look at the possibility of some kind of development in the State parks, while not interfering with the natural native features, and we also have to look at the economic effects of such development outside of the State parks or the land adjacent to the State parks.

So it is a good amendment. As I said, it was asked for by the Federation of Sportsmen's Clubs and a couple other groups, sportsmen's groups, and I have no problem in asking for your concurrence. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to agree with Representative Godshall that this amendment would help this resolution, and I urge for you to vote for it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of adoption of the Godshall amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Merry.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, could I see a copy of the amendment, please.

The SPEAKER. Will one of the pages see to it that the gentleman, Mr. Merry, has a copy of the amendment.

Mr. MERRY. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Smith.

Mr. B. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would you see if Representative Godshall will stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Godshall, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. B. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I am interested, not only do you delete "game lands" in this amendment, but I wanted to be certain that game lands are not going to be part of the study by the Tourism and Recreational Development Committee for possible development in any manner whatsoever. Is that accurate?

Mr. GODSHALL. That is an accurate statement.

Mr. B. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the Godshall amendment but wish to make several comments.

Although I believe the amendment attempted to address the game land situation, even if the amendment passes — and I hope that it does — game lands are still not excluded from this study. The phrase "Commonwealth-owned lands," which includes game lands, appears on page 3 of the resolution, lines 10 through 12. The problem is not totally corrected.

I also would like to point out again, although I support the Godshall amendment, it is the Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen's position to oppose HR 137, regardless of whether this passes or not. I believe that this amendment simply makes an objectionable resolution less objectionable.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, F. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hershcy	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Truc
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Colaella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Van Horne
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Coy	King	Reber	Williams
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rubleby	Zug
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

Mr. REBER offered the following amendment No. A3516:

Amend Third Resolve Clause, page 3, line 19, by inserting after "expenses"

, not to exceed \$50,000,

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County – I almost said "general" – the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Captain.

This particular amendment simply limits the amount of funds to be expended in the course of the studies, which is talked about in the resolution, "not to exceed \$50,000." You may recall a few weeks ago we inserted similar language into a select committee resolution which was embarking upon a study.

I would urge your adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to agree with Representative Reber. This is a good amendment, and I urge your vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	Egolf	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Fairchild	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fajt	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fargo	Manderino	Scrimanti
Bard	Farmer	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tiguc
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	True

Cohen, M.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Van Horne
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Coy	King	Reber	Williams
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Daley	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dermody	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeWeese	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Leh	Rubley	Zug
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rudy	
Druce	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Roebuck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The SPEAKER. On the question, will the House agree to the resolution as amended, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition to HR 137 on two basic grounds.

The first is that this study simply is not needed. I want to remind you again that the Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen's Clubs opposes this resolution. I have circulated and you should all have in your mailboxes an Action Alert which they sent out to their constituents opposing. I will not read the whole thing, but I will read the final paragraph. It says as follows: "House Resolution 137 would authorize spending tax dollars for yet another study on the feasibility of hotels in the parks. Every study so far conducted has not supported the commercialization of parks. Why waste money on a question we already know the answer to." For whatever it is worth, the Sierra Club also opposes.

I also want to point out that Pennsylvania Parks 2000 has conducted a 4-year study on the issue of State parks and their usage. In addition to that 4-year study, the House and Senate, the Joint Air and Water Pollution Control and Conservation Committee, or the Joint Conservation Committee, for short, is in the process of conducting a study of State parks, forests, and their usage. Just last week, this body authorized \$305,000 to the Joint Conservation Committee to continue its work for another year. That committee has nine members of this House and nine members of the Senate as well as four full-time staffers. They have been studying this situation since 1989. They have conducted five public hearings. They have visited State parks in Kentucky. They have visited State parks in West Virginia. Just in

May, the Joint Conservation Committee was in Jim Thorpe. In July, again, a 2-day public hearing and tour is scheduled for Wellsboro. You have a situation where this issue is already being studied by another committee.

Additionally, this is something clearly our constituents do not want. As I made reference to the State Parks 2000 study, the survey of should there be rustic lodges in the park, of all those surveyed, 72 percent believe that there should not. Clearly, I can go on with the studies, but we talked about them last week. The public does not want this.

Now, the question might be, well, why not; what is another study going to hurt? Well, I think that another study would confuse the matter, but my fear is, the study that is suggested to be undertaken — and I mean no disrespect to Representative Haluska, who is a very able advocate for his district — but there is a park in that district where there has been pressure to do a resort-type development. It is my fear that this study simply may be a justification for the conclusion that parks should be commercialized. I think this study is just going to cloud the issue.

I think, let us allow the Joint Conservation Committee, which you just funded \$300,000 to last week, to complete its work; let us take a look at the 4-year study of the DER (Department of Environmental Resources). We do not need another study. The sportsmen say no; the Sierra Club says no; the people of your district say no. It is just not needed.

I urge a “no” vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Nyce.

Mr. NYCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this resolution for a different reason. This caucus and this body has recently passed some legislation which will promote the competitiveness of private businesses across the Commonwealth, and I have serious concerns about allowing the use of public land for any development because of the problems that arise in making decisions over who gets those advantages. Right now many of these State park lands have hotels that already exist in that area. Those people have invested their own money, their own effort, in building their facilities and would now be in direct competition with anyone who gets the definitive advantage of being able to locate a facility within one of these public parks.

I would urge the members to think seriously about handing out or doling out those advantages in direct competition with those private business interests who have already gone ahead and invested their own sweat equity and money to build a facility near a State park.

I encourage the members to vote “no” on this issue. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I wanted to, first of all, respond to some of the comments of Representative Vitali.

The same year 2000 study said the following: “The Pennsylvania state park system has traditionally been geared towards rustic overnight accommodations. Until three years ago, the options for staying overnight at a state park were limited to primitive cabins or camping. The primitive cabins, constructed in the 1930s, offer no...water, central heat, or modern bath facilities. The lack of modern cabins led some Pennsylvanians to use the state parks in neighboring states.”

It goes on to say that the cabins are overutilized. After only 1 full year, the usage rate of the modern cabins constructed in the last couple years, there is a remarkable usage rate. “It clearly demonstrates,” it says, quote, “that an unfulfilled demand is present that the parks had not previously addressed. However, the current number of cabins is not adequate to meet the demands.”

I want to address Mr. Nyce’s comments. In furthering my comments on what the previous speaker had said, I just want to address the issue of the sportsmen. The Federation of Sportsmen’s Clubs did send a letter out in opposition to this proposal. However, that was prior to placing an amendment into the resolution. I have gotten no letters since the amendment was placed into the resolution.

I also would like to call to your attention that we are not going to be looking at State game lands, which are paid for exclusively by the sportsmen. State game lands are paid for exclusively by the sportsmen. They should have the say since they are the ones that put up the bucks. As far as our 114 State parks that are in existence in Pennsylvania, they were paid for by all Pennsylvanians, whether it is Project 70 or even before. All Pennsylvanians paid for the State parks.

Some people, beyond the federation, feel that some of our parks should be a little more user-friendly. Some of them would like to see the State parks go beyond nature walks. Some of them would like to see the State parks be used for cross-country skiing, horseback riding, swimming, boating. These people are the ones that paid for the State parks. They should have a little bit of say into their use. We have no intention of developing with commercial development 114 State parks. We do have an intention of looking at what is in those State parks in certain given areas.

And then — and I want to make this absolutely clear — in the resolution, it says the two committees — and this was passed unanimously by the Tourism Committee — the Tourism and the Conservation Committees will make recommendations regarding the establishment of overnight facilities and other development. All this resolution does is say we should look at what is out there and then make recommendations back to this body. Nobody has any right to go ahead on those recommendations until, again, they are acted on by the House of Representatives.

That should clarify, I think, all of anybody’s concerns regarding this legislation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Wright, from Clarion County.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I want to commend Representative Haluska and Representative Godshall for their work on this resolution and for the amendments which I think make it totally appropriate for us to pass.

What the resolution does not do, it does not legislate any kind of facilities anywhere in Pennsylvania. It simply says we need to look at this issue.

One of the issues, it seems to me, over the past few weeks that has surfaced is the difference between conservation and preservation. I believe in conservation, I believe in the wise use of our natural resources, but I think it is inappropriate for us, particularly for those heirs whose forebears made a fortune selling land to the State for the purpose of a State park, to stand in the way of any kind of progress and development on these facilities. I would not suggest that there needs to be any development, certainly not on all of our State parks, but I think it is appropriate

for the Committee on Tourism and the Committee on Conservation to look at this issue.

The State parks belong to every Pennsylvanian. They do not belong to the Federation of Sportsmen, they do not belong to the Sierra Club, and we should not allow these people to dictate to us what we study, what we make an inquiry into, and what final recommendations we are permitted to review.

So for those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I support Representative Godshall in this effort, and I commend him, and I think it is appropriate for us to pass this resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Haluska, for the first time on this issue.

Mr. HALUSKA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to read a few things here to contradict some of the previous speakers.

We do have 114 State parks. I think everybody is aware of that. We have over 270,000 acres just in State parks. We have 295 cabins; 127 of those are modern, meaning they have facilities, and 164 are rustic.

In 1994, the last year we have statistics, in June, in our modern cabins, we had an 80-percent rental rate, in July we had a 99-percent rental rate, and in August we had a 98-percent rental rate. So if this tells you anything, it tells you Pennsylvanians will come to our State parks; they will use them if the facilities are there.

Fifty-six of our State parks have concessions run by private enterprise. Whether we know it or not, private-public partnerships are already a part of our park system, and I think maybe that they should grow.

We have over 1.3 million acres of game lands in Pennsylvania. Like I said, we have 278,000 acres in State parks, and we have 2.1 million acres in State forests. We are now deficit-funding our State park system by \$41 million, and what we hope to do with this study is a site-specific study. We want to look at our State parks and where they need the help, like the parks along the borders with other States where a parking fee may be something that we want to look into, or whether it be, like Representative Godshall said, some more bicycle rentals, some more nature trails. There are a lot of things in our State parks that appeal to a lot of people in Pennsylvania, and we would like to make our State parks more usable by more Pennsylvanians who also pay taxes, not just the 7,000 campsites that we have out there.

This is not an attack on the Federation of Sportsmen, but Dwight Weaver, the president of this organization, lives in my county. I have asked Dwight for a meeting since February. I have not been able to get Dwight to the table even to talk to him about this issue, and if this resolution does pass, I will get Dwight to a meeting, and I will talk to him.

I know the Pocono area has some reservation, but the Poconos are a different area than the rest of Pennsylvania. A lot of these parks are in very rural areas in Pennsylvania which could use overnight lodging. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Nyce, for the second time on the issue.

Mr. NYCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to make sure that my remarks were not misinterpreted.

Could I have some attention, please?

The SPEAKER. Conferences on the House floor, please break up.

## GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. While I have this brief interruption, I would like to take advantage of welcoming to the hall of the House Chris and Becky Young and their sons, C.J. and Will. They are here as the guests of Representative Greg Fajt. The guests are seated here to the left of the rostrum.

## CONSIDERATION OF HR 137 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Nyce, is recognized.

Mr. NYCE. Mr. Speaker, a previous speaker made a comment about the Poconos and about the fact that there are vested interests. Let me tell you, the vested interest in the Poconos represents those small business people who have a corner store or a fish-and-bait operation or a canoe rental or a cross-country ski rental. These are not vested interests that I am speaking on behalf of; they are the very people who when their business drops off 10 percent would not be able to make their mortgage payments.

I question the validity of a study like this, and I wonder whether or not the properties that will be built on these beneficial public lands, whether those facilities will be taxed for real estate tax purposes and whether or not the same rules will apply to them as apply to the person who had to go out and find the private funding to build their own facility. This is a serious concern. These "mom and pop" operations that are scattered around the rural areas as well as the more populated areas depend to a large degree on the influx of the traveling public to visit these State parks for their very well-being and the well-being of their families. This is not a question of large vested interests. These are the small businesses that survive around these State park facilities.

I would encourage the members to vote very cautiously. Any time a study like this is proposed, I have to tell you, there is an intent; there is an intent to this study. I would be willing to bet the intent of the study is not to find out that we should not do it; it is to find a way to make it happen.

I encourage the members to vote "no" on the resolution. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Vitali, for the second time on this question.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

If you oppose the commercialization of State parks, I ask you to oppose this resolution, but that is not really the issue. The issue is not should we or should we not have more commercial development in State parks? The issue with this resolution is, do we need another study? I think as a fiscal conservative that your answer should be no. As I said before, the DER has just undertaken a 4-year study of this, and right now we have just appropriated another \$300,000 to the Joint Conservation Committee and they are studying it. The issue really is not should we or should we not commercially develop? The issue really is, do we need another study? The Citizens Advisory Council has also conducted a study. I do not think we need another study here. I think we ought to wait for the results of the objective study that is going on right now.

I just want to clear something up that Representative Godshall says. It is true that the Sportsmen had sent a letter out 2 weeks ago in conjunction with the DER split bill opposing commercialization, and it is true that I circulated last week another letter from the Sportsmen again calling to vote "no" on this, but as early as

a half hour ago, I spoke with John Hohenwarter, who is the government liaison with the Sportsmen, who has read the Godshall amendment, and they still oppose it. It does not totally solve the game lands problem, and even if it did, they still oppose it.

With regard to Representative Wright's comments, I agree; we should not be voting against things because the Sportsmen are against them or the Sierra Club is against them. But again, I cite the polling studies done in conjunction with State Parks 2000 which says over 70 percent of the constituents we represent do not want to do this.

I just think that I agree that this is a pretext, this study is a pretext, to justify a conclusion, and I do not think we ought to do that. I think we have an objective study going on and we should wait for the results, and I think that is the prudent, responsible thing to do no matter what you feel about the issue of commercialization of State parks. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield County, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I know that the matter which we discuss at this time may not seem one that should have our great and legitimate concern. But I am reminded, Mr. Speaker, that back under the Thornburgh administration — and at that time I was the majority chairman of the Committee on Conservation — we let some of these parks absolutely and profoundly go downhill in that the administration did not see fit to put the proper dollars in maintaining parks such as Black Moshannon, where the gentleman, Mr. Herman, and I had the privilege of visiting. They left a ski compactor machine broken down for more than 7 years because they had it in their mind they did not want the State to be involved in this type of recreation.

I think after that time we surely and most certainly understand that even though we love the pristine about a park, that sportsmen are not the only ones that can take advantage of a park — our older citizens who do not want to travel a great distance out of State; our younger people who do not have the accommodations to do these things.

So I think, Mr. Speaker, we are the General Assembly and we should look into the matter, and in fact when it is over, the decision should be made by what the findings are. I believe that we should do something legitimately so that we can set our sights on what the people expect of us.

No, Mr. Speaker, I do not believe, as one of the speakers insisted, that all of our people are against upgrading these facilities. I would say opposite. I would say that most of the people wonder why the parks and the recreation areas are not advanced and that the time in which they can be visited is not long enough and that the accommodations of those parks are not in the best interests of our older citizens.

I would ask that we accept the resolution. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Smith.

Mr. S. H. SMITH. Mr. Speaker, I just temporarily yield to Mr. Reber, who has a burning desire to speak on this.

## DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. I thank the Chair, and I thank Representative Smith, although I did not see any smoke or flames emanating from my seat or my person.

Notwithstanding that fact, Mr. Speaker, I think it is important for the record to reflect accurately — and I mean that sincerely — accurately some of the things that have been expressed here today.

For the record, Mr. Speaker, I would submit in toto the State Parks 2000 Questionnaire Summary. I have that in my hand and will submit it for the record.

Representative Vitali referred to, on a number of occasions, percentages in excess of 70 percent. If one peruses the empirical data contained in the questionnaire — and it was data that was collected in 1989 and a report issued in 1992 — you will not see in this particular report anything in excess of 70 percent.

Notwithstanding that fact and not to quibble over documents and percentages, I think it is important, I think it is extremely important, that we do what the Citizens Advisory Council has said as of November 14, 1994, on this particular issue, and that particular issue is that the general concept of rustic lodges in State parks, which was raised in the State Parks 2000 preliminary report but was not in fact specifically addressed in its final report, be looked into further; that the specifics of when and where such lodges may be appropriate has never, has never been subject to statewide public debate. The Citizens Advisory Council is concerned that through statewide public debate, we carefully define the circumstances in which such proposals might be considered as well as what types of proposals will be considered before embarking on any precedent-setting action of such import. Mr. Speaker, as the Citizens Advisory Council concludes, this can occur only after statewide debate.

Mr. Speaker, as majority chairman, and I know I can speak for the minority chairman of the Environmental Resources Committee, who during his 12-year tenure never hid from public debate, I can tell the members of this House that the committee this term will certainly not hide from public debate.

I have absolutely no intention of seeing any of the concerns that were represented by Representative Nyce in any way causing harm to any of the existing operations — small, medium, large businesses — in the Commonwealth. I think it is important that the statewide debate on this issue as framed in the Haluska resolution, HR 137, be done in a well-thought-out and a well-reasoned fashion as called for by the Citizens Advisory Council and certainly as represented and drafted in the resolution itself and the amendments of Representative Godshall that have been adopted here today.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important that we do not do anything precipitous in regard to the parks and forests in what we do or do not allow in the future. From my own perspective I think the status quo is very nice, but I certainly am not one to sit back and not take the advice of the Citizens Advisory Council in asking us to take this to a public forum, a full statewide public forum, one which I feel can only be accomplished by the members of the General Assembly through the two committees that are charged with this duty under HR 137 to embark upon that particular maiden voyage, if you will, to bring about full and statewide open and robust debate.



Mr. Speaker, I respectfully urge the adoption of HR 137 and would, for the record, ask the insertion of the references that I have heretofore made. Thank you.

Mr. REBER submitted a questionnaire summary and a position statement for the Legislative Journal.

(For documents, see Appendix.)

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Smith.

Mr. S. H. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to touch on two items that have been mentioned previously in the debate.

First of all, regarding the effective policy that might be out there regarding the development of State parks, it is my opinion that currently there is really no policy, that the policy can literally turn on the twist of an election, and I think that it is important for us within the General Assembly to take upon setting forth a clear direction. Parks 2000 and previous evaluations or surveys on this issue have not yielded a clear message to the caretakers of our park system exactly what direction the people want to go. There may be clear messages there, but it has not been translated, and I think that it is important for us to carry that ball over the line and develop that policy.

Secondly, regarding the comments about park site development, that was actually the issue that probably drew me into this debate initially, that I felt that park site development was not being considered, and I think that the Godshall amendment, at least as I read it — I hope someone corrects me if I am wrong — but I believe the Godshall amendment actually does draw that aspect into this study, into this resolution's area of review. I think it is important that we would take a look at how that is being evaluated as a part of this overall study.

I would urge an affirmative vote on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from York, Mr. Waugh.

Mr. WAUGH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be very brief in regard to HR 137.

I represent a district that is fortunate enough to have one of our State parks — I forget what the number is, but one is in the area that I represent — and I have had the opportunity on occasion to just maybe spend a relaxing evening or weekend afternoon at the Codorus State Park, and I have to tell you, it is really a blessing for our region. The natural resources that we have are outstanding. We have a lake with clear blue water. We have forests of tall trees and shrubs of all sorts of varieties. We have deer, geese, other wildlife, and a hike through this area is just a nature lover's dream. Also, mixed in with all of these natural resources is a marina with sailboats and some under-10-horsepower powerboats for fishermen, an Olympic-size swimming pool with a concession stand, a campground — I believe there are some 100 or 150 campsites there — and an outdoor amphitheater used by many of the local civic organizations, schools, and churches.

My point is, we have a very nice balance and blend of nature, natural resources, with a bit of commercialization. It makes Codorus State Park, I believe, one of our State's nicest and best. What else could I say since it is in my area? And I am not here to promote total commercialization of these parks, but I am here to say that it is possible to strike a blend and a mix that contributes to the economy and the vitality of all of our districts.

With that, I would just like to support HR 137. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Michlovic, is recognized.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to respond to a couple of things that have been said.

First, to the gentleman, Mr. Vitali's arguments that the Air and Water Conservation Committee is studying this and we should not proceed until we find what the results of that study are. I just want to alert the members of the House that that study is a followup of an earlier study from October of 1989, an earlier study in which it was recommended that we do exactly what we are trying to do in HR 137, but they were applying a \$50,000 study to just two sites. It says in the study, and I quote, "Prince Gallitzin and Moraine State Parks should be studied to determine if they are suitable for resort development. The studies should include legal, economic, and environmental assessments. Approximately \$50,000 should be spent on each study." We are talking about \$50,000 to study all of the State's parks with an eye toward doing what is appropriate, whenever appropriate, if something should be appropriate. I think that we ought to take the direction of the Air and Water Committee and apply it, begin applying it. We cannot just continue studying it; we have got to start looking toward an eye to actually doing something on this.

I want to note, too, that this subject is not within the purview of the Joint Legislative Air and Water Pollution Control Committee. Its commission is really in the area of pollution and the environment. Our study is taking the two committees that are assigned to pollution control and tourism, and we are trying to balance. That is why we did not assign it to one committee or the other. We are trying to apply it to both committees so that we get a balanced approach and we get a balanced product in the end.

I want to talk a moment about financial impact. Perhaps it was lost in some of the discussion that Mr. Haluska made. He made a point that we are losing \$41 million a year on our State parks. I mean, we are losing it, but we are also enjoying proceeds from sales tax from various entrepreneurs that are selling things there. But we are losing about \$41 million if you take the revenue we receive back from those State parks for the overnight stays, et cetera. I think we have to address that. I think that in most other States they have already addressed it. This resolution will help us direct and get at that point.

In Pennsylvania over 35 million visitors come to our State parks, but we only have 1.7 million of them staying overnight. That is less than 5 percent of all visitors that utilize our parks stay overnight. That is part of the issue we have to start addressing here.

In response to the gentleman, Mr. Nyce's argument that the small entrepreneurs — and in his district in the Poconos, sometimes they are very large entrepreneurs that own the hotels and resorts that have been there for years — in some of those cases, those entrepreneurs can benefit from this—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

There is entirely too much conversation on the floor. Members will please take their seats.

The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I was talking about the direct competition from other entrepreneurs. Mr. Nyce made the argument that somehow these folks that we are talking about establishing the resorts will have special advantages. Perhaps they will have an advantage of

being a little closer to a State park, but I want to remind you, given that 5-percent overnight stay statistic and the statistic that Mr. Godshall talked about earlier, where we were actually losing overnight stays to other States — people were going to other parks instead of to our areas — if those visitors do not come to that park, none of those entrepreneurs benefit, and that is what we are trying to resolve here. We are trying to get more people to come to our parks because our parks have more to offer. They have perhaps a hotel or a first-class accommodation that people can stay in, and they do not have to go to another State to take advantage of that.

Finally, I want to end with a little comment about a recent trip that the Tourism Committee took to Wellsboro in Tioga County this past month. We learned there that that county depends almost solely upon tourism for any expansion of its economy. It has either State parks or State forest lands or Game Commission lands totally within its borders. There is no place for the cities and the municipalities in that county to expand or to develop. What they have to develop is their tourist industry, and in order to do that, we are going to have to get visitors really interested in going there. When the committee was there, we talked about ways of trying to enhance that, but one of the fastest ways we can do that is by making it easier for visitors to go there, to stay overnight.

I want to remind you that tourism takes a variety of shapes and forms. Ecotourism is one of the fastest growing components of the tourist industry, particularly among senior citizens — going out in the woods, particularly in the fall, seeing the colors. Going on visits to our State parks and to our areas is a business, but it is also an enjoyment for many Pennsylvanians that are right now, in many cases, restricted from doing so either because there are not the accommodations for them to do that or there is not the pattern of doing that or the bus trips going there. We are trying to expand all of that. And for all of these reasons, it really does take some examination of the question as we are attempting to do here in HR 137.

I urge all the members of the House to support the resolution. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, who had requested that the Chair return to leaves of absence and add the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. JAMES. Without objection, the gentleman, Mr. James, is added to the list of those entitled to a leave of absence. The Chair hears no objection.

**CONSIDERATION OF HR 137 CONTINUED**

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—154**

Adolph	Fajt	Maitland	Rooney
Allen	Fargo	Major	Rubley
Argall	Fichter	Manderino	Sainato
Armstrong	Fleagle	Markosek	Sather
Baker	Flick	Marsico	Saylor
Barley	Gamble	Masland	Schroder
Battisto	Gannon	Mayernik	Schuler

Belardi	Geist	McGeehan	Semmel
Belfanti	George	McGill	Serafini
Birmellin	Gigliotti	Melio	Shaner
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Smith, B.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Smith, S. H.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Buxton	Haluska	Mihalich	Staback
Caltagirone	Hanna	Miller	Stairs
Carone	Hasay	Nailor	Steelman
Chadwick	Hennessey	Nickol	Stern
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Strittmatter
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tangretti
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pesci	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Travaglio
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Trello
Conti	Jadlowiec	Pettit	Trich
Cornell	Jarolin	Phillips	True
Corpora	Kaiser	Piccola	Tulli
Corrigan	Keller	Pitts	Van Horne
Cowell	Kenney	Platts	Walko
Daley	King	Preston	Washington
DeLuca	Kirkland	Ramos	Waugh
Dempsey	Kukovich	Raymond	Williams
Dent	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Wogan
Dermody	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Lederer	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
DiGirolamo	Leh	Richardson	Youngblood
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zimmerman
Druce	Levdansky	Roberts	
Durham	Lueyk	Robinson	Ryan,
Fairchild	Lynch	Rohrer	Speaker

**NAYS—44**

Bard	Egolf	Lloyd	Stish
Bebko-Jones	Evans	McCall	Sturla
Bishop	Farmer	Mundy	Surra
Blaum	Feese	Nyce	Taylor, J.
Boscola	Gordner	Pistella	Thomas
Boyes	Gruppo	Rudy	Vance
Browne	Habay	Santoni	Veon
Cappabianca	Harhart	Scrimenti	Vitali
Cawley	Josephs	Sheehan	Wozniak
Cohen, M.	Krebs	Steil	Yewcic
Coy	Lawless	Stetler	Zug

**NOT VOTING—3**

Carn	Curry	Olasz
------	-------	-------

**EXCUSED—2**

James	Roebuck
-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution as amended was adopted.

**RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35**

Mr. B. SMITH called up HR 176, PN 2121, entitled:

A Resolution commemorating August 14, 1995, as the 50th anniversary of "Victory over Japan Day" and observing September 1 through 3, 1995, as the official commemoration of the 50th anniversary of the end of World War II.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS-199**

Adolph	Egolf	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	Evans	Major	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Fajt	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Fargo	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Farmer	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Feese	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	McCall	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	Merry	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	George	Micozzie	Steelman
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	Nailor	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nyce	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Olasz	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Pesci	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrarca	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Petrone	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Pettit	Trelio
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Piccola	True
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Pistella	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Vance
Colafella	Jarolin	Platts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Preston	Veon
Conti	Keller	Ramos	Vitali
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Walko
Corpora	King	Readshaw	Washington
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reber	Waugh
Cowell	Krebs	Reinard	Williams
Coy	Kukovich	Richardson	Wogan
Curry	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wozniak
Daley	Laughlin	Roberts	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Lawless	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lederer	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dent	Leh	Rooney	Youngblood
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Levdansky	Rudy	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lloyd	Sainato	
Donatucci	Lucyk	Santoni	
Druce	Lynch	Sather	
Durham			Ryan, Speaker

**NAYS-0**

**NOT VOTING-2**

Horshey                      Josephs

**EXCUSED-2**

James                      Roebuck

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

**RESOLUTIONS**

**RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. The balance of the resolutions on page 10 and page 11 are over.

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Geist, with respect to a Transportation Committee meeting.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

At the break we will have a Transportation Committee meeting at the back of the House, and we would urge all members to be there promptly.

The SPEAKER. There is an immediate meeting of the Transportation Committee in the back.

**APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester County, Mr. Pitts, with respect to an announcement on the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. PITTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

At the break, immediately, I would like to call a meeting of the House Appropriations Committee in the conference room, 245.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Durham.

Mrs. DURHAM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a reminder to the members of the Consumer Affairs Committee that there will be an immediate meeting in room 39E. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

**GUEST INTRODUCED**

The SPEAKER. We have a special guest today, Eric Mills, who is the son of the Democratic leader's scheduler, the person that the Democratic leader could not do without, Margaret Tricarico, and the guest page is, I believe, seated right in front of me. Would he please stand up. Eric.

**VOTE CORRECTION**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Travaglio.

Mr. TRAVAGLIO. Would this be the time to correct the voting record?

The SPEAKER. In regular session, yes.

Mr. TRAVAGLIO. On HB 861 my button must have malfunctioned. It did not register. I would like to be voting in the affirmative, sir.

The SPEAKER. That is that machine problem we were talking about.

The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.  
Mr. TRAVAGLIO. Thank you, sir.

**HOUSE BILL  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 1776** By Representatives WOGAN, McGEEHAN, LEH, GAMBLE, O'BRIEN, MAYERNIK, BIRMELIN, FICHTER, BUNT, M. N. WRIGHT, GODSHALL, CLARK, FAIRCHILD, FARGO, BROWN, GEIST, KENNEY, PETTIT, STISH, TRELLO, OLASZ, GIGLIOTTI, YEWIC, STERN, KING, SCHRODER, DeLUCA, MERRY, E. Z. TAYLOR, J. TAYLOR, CHADWICK, GLADECK, S. H. SMITH, WAUGH, SEMMEL, MICOZZIE, HABAY, FLICK, ARMSTRONG, DEMPSEY, LYNCH, CORNELL, MARSICO, ROHRER, HERSHEY, SCHULER and TRUE

An Act prohibiting certain public agencies and public utilities from discriminating or according preferential treatment with respect to employment, education and contracting.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 19, 1995.

**RULES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel, with respect to a Rules Committee meeting.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, we would like to have a Rules Committee meeting at the desk.

The SPEAKER. There is an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

**BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HB 1301, PN 2139**

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of June 18, 1974 (P.L.359, No.120), referred to as the Municipal Police Education and Training Law, further providing for definitions, for training and for automatic certification.

RULES.

**HB 1325, PN 2140**

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for odometer disclosure requirements; and providing for insurance company's right to odometer records.

RULES.

**HB 1571, PN 2116**

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act providing for a real estate transfer disclosure statement.

RULES.

**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**HB 1301, PN 2139; HB 1325, PN 2140; and HB 1571, PN 2116.**

**BILLS RECOMMITTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the Republican leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 1301;  
HB 1325; and  
HB 1571.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RULES SUSPENDED**

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader have any further business in connection with the regular session?

Mr. PERZEL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman state it.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, Representative Kenney has a land transfer in his legislative district. Both the Democrat Caucus and the Republican Caucus have caucused on HB 1616.

I would like to ask that we suspend the rules to allow Representative Kenney to run his bill.

We do not expect to be here a whole lot longer – a couple days this week, until Thursday maximum; maybe 1 day next week – and we would just like to help George move that along so that land transfer can occur for Crown Cork & Seal.

I would like to move that we suspend the rules to allow him to do that.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Egolf	Maitland	Sather
Allen	Fairchild	Major	Saylor
Argall	Fajt	Manderino	Schroder
Armstrong	Fargo	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Farmer	Marsico	Scrimenti
Bard	Feese	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Fichter	Mayernik	Serafini
Battisto	Fleagle	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belardi	Gamble	McGill	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gannon	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Geist	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Mihalich	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Stetler
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Stish
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Strittmatter
Buxton	Habay	Nyce	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	O'Brien	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	Olasz	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Thomas
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Horsey	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Jadlowicc	Pistella	Vance
Colaifella	Jarolin	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Josephs	Platts	Veon
Conti	Kaiser	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Keller	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Kenney	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	King	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	Kirkland	Reber	Williams
Coy	Krebs	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Kukovich	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Laughlin	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lawless	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Leh	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Rudy	
Donatucci	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lynch		

NAYS-3

Hanna	Steil	Tigue
-------	-------	-------

NOT VOTING-2

Bishop	Evans
--------	-------

EXCUSED-2

James	Roebuck
-------	---------

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1616, PN 1917**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of Military Affairs and the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to the Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development certain land situate in the City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-201

Adolph	Egolf	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Farmer	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Feese	Masland	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	Mayernik	Sheehan
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Merry	Stairs
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscola	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Nyce	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Cawley	Hennessey	Pesci	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	Petrarca	Travaglio
Civera	Hershey	Petrone	Trello
Clark	Hess	Pettit	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Phillips	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Piccola	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colaifella	Jadlowicc	Pitts	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Conti	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	King	Reber	Williams
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	

Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Durham	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-2

James                      Roebuck

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader have any further business in regular session?

Does the Democratic floor leader have any further business in regular session?

Are there any corrections of the record in regular session? Any announcements of committee meetings or reports of committees in regular session?

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Geist, have an announcement?

Mr. GEIST. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The Transportation Committee meeting will be held now at the break between the regular session and special session. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands that Transportation is meeting, Consumer Affairs is meeting, Appropriations is meeting, and those three committees I know made announcements, it occurs to me. Other than that, the Chair hears no further business.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair declares this House, the regular session, in recess to the call of the Chair.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**SB 800, PN 1282 (Amended)**                      By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for annual hauling permits, for prohibiting use of hearing impairment devices, for fines for reckless driving and for permit for movement during course of manufacture.

TRANSPORTATION.

**BILL REPORTED AND REREFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INSURANCE**

**HB 1030, PN 1166**                      By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for customary charges for treatment.

TRANSPORTATION.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 800 be removed from the table.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL RECOMMITTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 800 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**HR 172, PN 2099**                      By Rep. PERZEL

A Concurrent Resolution requesting the United States Secretary of the Interior to delete the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania from the list of "Affected States" as designated by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to section 1339 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

RULES.

**BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**HB 255, PN 237**                      By Rep. PITTS

An Act amending the act of May 21, 1943 (P.L.571, No.254), known as The Fourth to Eighth Class County Assessment Law, providing exemptions for concert music halls.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1130, PN 1259**                      By Rep. PITTS

An Act amending the act of June 23, 1931 (P.L.932, No.317), known as The Third Class City Code, further providing for sales of personal property.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 1335, PN 2096**

By Rep. PITTS

An Act requiring the certification of individuals engaged in lead-based paint activities; establishing minimum training requirements for the various occupations that perform lead-based paint activities; requiring training to be provided by accredited training programs; establishing standards for performing lead-based paint activities; providing for the enforcement of standards; requiring the licensure of lead contractors; establishing interim regulations; establishing fees for accreditation, certification and licensure; requiring prior notification; establishing the Lead-Based Paint Abatement Advisory Committee; establishing reciprocal arrangements with other states; and further providing for a continuing appropriation.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1098, PN 1283 (Amended)**

By Rep. PITTS

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), entitled, as amended, The Fiscal Code, providing for the Zoological Enhancement Fund, for transfers from the Motor License Fund and for automatic revival and priority of tax liens; and further providing for redevelopment assistance capital projects.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**SB 1098, PN 1283.****ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader or Democratic floor leader have any further business?

Hearing none, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. McGill.

Mr. MCGILL. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Tuesday, June 20, 1995, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 5:59 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.