

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, JUNE 29, 1992

SESSION OF 1992

176TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 48

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (ROBERT W. O'DONNELL) PRESIDING

##### PRAYER

REV. CLYDE W. ROACH, Chaplain of the House of Representatives, from Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

O God, our Father, give unto each member and the leadership of this House moments of silence to be with You this afternoon as they prepare for the duties of the day. Turn their thoughts to You and open their hearts and minds to the inspiration of Your Holy Spirit, that they will have wisdom in their decisions and understanding in their thinking.

As they grapple with a budget for this Commonwealth, we ask for Your divine guidance. Deliver these legislators from the temptation of believing that they can do it by themselves. Rather cause them to make You a part of the process, and we will be assured of victory.

In Your dear name we pray. Amen.

##### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

##### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, June 24, 1992, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

##### JOURNAL APPROVED

The SPEAKER. The Journal of Wednesday, April 1, 1992, however, is in print and, without objection, will be accepted for the record.

The House will now recess until the call of the Chair. We expect to return at 3:30 p.m.; the members should expect to return at 3:30 p.m.

### RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the majority caucus room at 2 o'clock.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There will be a meeting of the Appropriations Committee at 2:10 in the majority caucus room. The 2:10 meeting of the Appropriations Committee is a rescheduling of a meeting originally scheduled for 12:45.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will now recess until the call of the Chair.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2881 By Representatives DEMPSEY, LEH, RAYMOND, DeLUCA, SCHULER, CESSAR, JOHNSON, ARMSTRONG, E. Z. TAYLOR, PESCI, FARGO, LAUGHLIN, D. R. WRIGHT, HERMAN, JADLOWIEC, BUSH, STABACK, HESS, TRELLO, CARLSON, NAHILL, REBER, ARGALL, FAIRCHILD, BATTISTO, ULIANA, WILLIAMS, COY, MICOZZIE, PHILLIPS, M. N. WRIGHT, KASUNIC, CLARK, NOYE, BELFANTI, SAURMAN, KING, DERMODY, ARNOLD, MELIO, HAGARTY, D. W. SNYDER, STAIRS and HALUSKA

An Act amending the act of May 21, 1931 (P. L. 149, No. 105), known as "The Liquid Fuels Tax Act," further providing for exemption from taxation.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 29, 1992.

No. 2882 By Representatives KUKOVICH, FREEMAN, ROBINSON, VEON,

JOSEPHS, LEVDANSKY, HUGHES and  
RICHARDSON

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), known as the "Pennsylvania Election Code," further providing for the rules for determining residence.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT,  
June 29, 1992.

**No. 2883** By Representative CORNELL

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), known as the "Second Class County Code," further providing for the expenses of county officers for attending certain meetings.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT,  
June 29, 1992.

**No. 2884** By Representatives GRUITZA, LAWLESS,  
SALOOM, TRELLO, LINTON, DURHAM  
and TRICH

An Act providing for a one-family and two-family residential building code; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 29,  
1992.

**No. 2885** By Representatives CALTAGIRONE and  
ANGSTADT

An Act amending the act of June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), known as "The Third Class City Code," further providing for the removal of certain officers by council.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, June 29,  
1992.

**No. 2886** By Representatives FAJT, DeLUCA,  
KOSINSKI, HERSHEY, BATTISTO,  
ADOLPH and WILLIAMS

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for speed timing devices.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION,  
June 29, 1992.

**No. 2887** By Representative HESS

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the seizure of vehicles driven by persons charged with driving under influence of alcohol or controlled substance.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION,  
June 29, 1992.

#### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 362** By Representatives GODSHALL,  
CALTAGIRONE, PICCOLA, DERMODY,  
HECKLER, GERLACH, PESCI,  
DEMPSEY, NAHILL, MARSICO,  
BATTISTO, HARLEY, M. N. WRIGHT,  
TRELLO, FARGO, BUSH, TIGUE, DENT,

SAURMAN, DeLUCA, ARNOLD,  
STABACK, LANGTRY, J. TAYLOR and  
CESSAR

A Resolution urging the Speaker of the House of Representatives to appoint a committee to investigate the extraordinary expenditure of funds for prison construction.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 29, 1992.

#### SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 424, PN 2349**

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS,  
June 29, 1992.

**SB 569, PN 2344**

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 29, 1992.

**SB 795, PN 2354**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 29, 1992.

**SB 1097, PN 2393**

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND  
RURAL AFFAIRS, June 29, 1992.

**SB 1362, PN 1584**

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION,  
June 29, 1992.

**SB 1434, PN 2346**

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION,  
June 29, 1992.

**SB 1789, PN 2394**

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 29,  
1992.

**SB 1795, PN 2401**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 29, 1992.

#### BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 1620, PN 3836**

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), known as the "Liquor Code," further providing for the definition of "airport restaurant," for administrative law judges and for licenses for distilleries, wineries, bailees and transporters; providing for liquor licenses for concessionaires in cities of the second class A; further providing for brewery licenses, for liquor and malt and brewed beverage licensing for incorporated units of national veterans' organizations and for exemptions from licensure for distilleries, wineries, bailees and carriers; and making repeals.

## COMMUNICATIONS FROM GOVERNOR

### BILLS SIGNED BY GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor presented the following communications from His Excellency, the Governor:

APPROVAL OF HB's 1313, 1345, 1492, 1515, 1627, 1628, 1970, and 2069.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1345, Printer's No. 1563, entitled "AN ACT authorizing the Department of Corrections to grant a license to the United States Park Service to erect and maintain certain radio telecommunications equipment on the land of the State Correctional Institution at Cresson, Cambria County."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1515, Printer's No. 2462, entitled "AN ACT amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for missing children."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1627, Printer's No. 2597, entitled "AN ACT repealing certain obsolete laws relating to animals."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1628, Printer's No. 1910, entitled "AN ACT repealing the act of March 11, 1842 (P.L. 62, No. 36), entitled 'An act for regulating and maintaining Line Fences, and for other purposes.'"

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1970, Printer's No. 2992, entitled "AN ACT amending the act of May 23, 1945 (P.L. 926, No. 369), entitled, as amended, 'An act for the protection of the public health by regulating the conduct and operation of public eating and drinking places within this Commonwealth; requiring their licensing; imposing certain duties on the Department of Environmental Resources of this Commonwealth and on the local health authorities; and providing penalties,' providing for certain definitions relating to bed and breakfast homestead or inn; AND FURTHER PROVIDING FOR LICENSES."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 2069, Printer's No. 2996, entitled "AN ACT authorizing the Department of Transportation, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to the Borough of Olyphant certain land situate in the Borough of Olyphant, Lackawanna County."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 26, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1313, Printer's No. 3592, entitled "AN ACT providing for Commonwealth support for the Agriculture Education Loan Forgiveness Program for Pennsylvania residents who graduate from institutions of higher education and who apply their degrees in agriculture to family farms within this Commonwealth."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 26, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day approved and signed House Bill 1492, Printer's No. 3701, entitled "AN ACT establishing a rural leadership training program; providing for rules and regulations; and imposing duties on the Department of Community Affairs."

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

### COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

#### BILL VETOED BY GOVERNOR

The Secretary to the Governor presented the following communication from His Excellency, the Governor:

#### VETO OF HB 1721.

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 26, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

I am returning herewith, without my approval, House Bill 1721, Printer's No. 3495, entitled "AN ACT amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, ~~providing for incorrectly identified debtors, and~~ regulating testimony of defendants as to other offenses."

For nearly a century it has been the rule in this Commonwealth that no defendant in a criminal proceeding shall be compelled to answer questions on cross-examination about convictions of prior crimes of dishonesty or falsehood. The purpose of this rule is to prevent the predisposition and tainting of the minds of the jury with inferences that the defendant is guilty without giving the proper deference to the presumption of innocence until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

This prohibition preventing cross-examination about prior crimes must not be misunderstood to completely prohibit the prosecutor from presenting evidence of such crimes to the jury to disprove the reliability of the veracity or truthfulness of a defendant who testifies as a witness. The current state of the law permits the prosecutor to put such evidence on the record during the time allotted to the Commonwealth to rebut the case presented by the defense.

This bill changes almost one hundred years of criminal procedure by relieving the prosecution from the responsibility of showing that the defendant was convicted of prior crimes of dishonesty or falsehood, and permitting the prosecution to force admissions from the mouth of a defendant who voluntarily takes a seat before the jury in order to defend against the accusations of criminal conduct. This is a substantial change in a rule of evidence which has consistently been applied in case after case since 1911, and which most likely helped to save defendants from being convicted of crimes for which they were unjustly accused.

I cannot approve this bill because no reasonable or legitimate justification has been presented to me for overturning a long and well accepted rule of evidence intended to ensure fairness in criminal trials, and because it flies in the face of the very purpose and intent of the rule to avoid the creation of a predisposition in the minds of the jury which threatens the presumption of innocence. It unfairly increases the tactical advantage of prosecutors at the expense of presumptively innocent defendants.

For all of these reasons, I hereby disapprove this bill and return it to the General Assembly without my signature.

Robert P. Casey  
Governor

### BILL AND VETO MESSAGE TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the veto message for HB 1721 be placed upon the table.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### COMMUNICATION FROM GOVERNOR

The SPEAKER. Communication from His Excellency, the Governor, which is signed by the gentleman, Mr. Carmo, which the clerk will read.

The following communication was read:

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Governor's Office  
Harrisburg

June 25, 1992

To the Honorable, the House of Representatives  
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In accordance with the Concurrent Resolution adopted by the House on June 23, 1992 and adopted by the Senate on June 23, 1992, which recalls from the Governor for the purpose of further consideration House Bill 734, Printer's No. 3702, entitled "An act designating the section of L.R. 238, Spur C, in Mercer County, Pennsylvania, as the Nick Strimbu Junior Industrial Corridor," I herewith return the original bill.

Walter L. Carmo  
Secretary for  
Legislative Affairs

### PETITION REFERRED

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to Article VI, section 4, of the Pennsylvania Constitution, I am referring a petition for impeachment, submitted by Dr. Joseph Mayercheck, to the House Judiciary Committee. The committee is authorized to make a preliminary inquiry into this matter and may, if warranted, report their findings to the House.

The following communication was submitted:

Dr. Joseph A. Mayercheck  
140 B Sandune Drive  
Pittsburgh, PA. 15239

Speaker of the House of Representatives  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Honorable Robert W. O'Donnell  
110 Main Capitol Bldg.  
Harrisburg, PA. 17120

June 24, 1992

Dear Speaker O'Donnell,

Please accept this letter and the enclosed documentation to implement the Impeachment process against Judges Lawrence W. Kaplan and W. Terrence O'Brien of the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 29, 1992.

**ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of additions and deletions for sponsorships of bills, which the clerk will file.

(Copy of list is on file with the Journal clerk.)

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Steighner. Mr. STEIGHNER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have no leaves to report at this time.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Hayes.

Mr. HAYES. I request a leave for the gentleman from Blair County, Mr. JOHNSON, for the day.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave is granted.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

**PRESENT—199**

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafigliola	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak

Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonjic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

LEAVES CANCELED—1

Johnson

**CALENDAR**

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1812, PN 2330**, entitled:

An Act appropriating money from the Sunny Day Fund to the Department of Commerce for various projects throughout this Commonwealth for fiscal year 1992-1993.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—186**

Acosta	Dermody	Langtry	Ryan
Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Saloom
Allen	Durham	Lawless	Saurman
Anderson	Evans	Lee	Scheetz
Angstadt	Fairchild	Leh	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Semmel
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Serafini
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Snyder, G.
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Staback
Birmelin	Gamble	McNally	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Maiale	Steelman
Black	Geist	Markosek	Steighner
Blaum	George	Marsico	Stetler
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Stish
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Oliver	Trich
	Herman	Perzel	Tulli

Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Uliana
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clymer	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Cohen	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Colafrella	James	Piccola	Vroon
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Pitts	Wambach
Cole	Josephs	Raymond	Williams
Cornell	Kasunic	Reber	Wilson
Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Coy	King	Richardson	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Ritter	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Robinson	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Roebuck	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—14

Cowell	Gigliotti	Mrkonic	Pistella
DeLuca	Hughes	Murphy	Preston
Fajt	Kaiser	Olasz	Trello
Freind	Levdansky		

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The two-thirds majority required by Act 32 of 1985 having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1625, PN 2008**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 2, 1984 (P. L. 568, No. 113), entitled, as amended, "Employee-Ownership Assistance Program Act," extending the final date for approvals.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Leverdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner

Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafrella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

EXCUSED—1

Mrkonic

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1110, PN 2066**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P. L. 789, No. 285), entitled, as amended, "The Insurance Department Act of one thousand nine hundred and twenty-one," regulating exclusions for certificates of authority to do business.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1379, PN 2325**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 10, 1987 (P. L. 246, No. 47), entitled "Financially Distressed Municipalities Act," changing the short title of the act; further providing for standing to request a determination, for determination procedure, for contents of the coordinator's plan, for plan implementation, for termination of status, for economic and community development assistance priority and for emergency financial aid for distressed municipalities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Acosta	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Adolph	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Allen	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Anderson	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Angstadt	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Argall	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Armstrong	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Barley	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Battisto	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,

Dermody LaGrotta Robinson Speaker  
 Donatucci Langtry Roebuck

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Birmelin Freind Mrkonic  
 EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1393, PN 2411**, entitled:

An Act providing for licensure of screening mammography service providers; prescribing powers and duties of the Department of Health; establishing radiation machine and facility inspection procedures; providing for licensure revocation and nonrenewal; and imposing penalties.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
 Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

- |             |           |           |               |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Acosta      | Donatucci | Langtry   | Rudy          |
| Adolph      | Durham    | Laughlin  | Ryan          |
| Allen       | Evans     | Lawless   | Saloom        |
| Anderson    | Fairchild | Leh       | Saurman       |
| Angstadt    | Fajt      | Lescovitz | Scheetz       |
| Argall      | Fargo     | Levdansky | Schuler       |
| Armstrong   | Farmer    | Linton    | Scrimenti     |
| Arnold      | Fee       | Lloyd     | Semmel        |
| Barley      | Fleagle   | Lucyk     | Serafini      |
| Battisto    | Flick     | McCall    | Smith, B.     |
| Belardi     | Foster    | McGeehan  | Smith, S. H.  |
| Belfanti    | Freeman   | McHale    | Snyder, D. W. |
| Billow      | Gallen    | McHugh    | Snyder, G.    |
| Birmelin    | Gamble    | McNally   | Staback       |
| Bishop      | Gannon    | Maiale    | Stairs        |
| Black       | Geist     | Markosek  | Steelman      |
| Blaum       | George    | Marsico   | Steighner     |
| Bowley      | Gerlach   | Mayernik  | Stetler       |
| Boyes       | Gigliotti | Melio     | Stish         |
| Broujos     | Gladeck   | Merry     | Strittmatter  |
| Brown       | Godshall  | Michlovic | Stuban        |
| Bunt        | Gruitza   | Micozzie  | Sturla        |
| Bush        | Gruppo    | Mihalich  | Surra         |
| Butkovitz   | Hagarty   | Mundy     | Tangretti     |
| Caltagirone | Haluska   | Nahill    | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Cappabianca | Hanna     | Nailor    | Taylor, F.    |
| Carlson     | Harley    | Nickol    | Taylor, J.    |
| Carn        | Harper    | Noye      | Telek         |
| Carone      | Hasay     | Nyce      | Thomas        |
| Cawley      | Hayden    | O'Brien   | Tigue         |
| Cessar      | Hayes     | Olasz     | Tomlinson     |

Chadwick Heckler Oliver Trello  
 Civera Herman Perzel Trich  
 Clark Hershey Pesci Tulli  
 Clymer Hess Petrarca Uliana  
 Cohen Hughes Petrone Van Horne  
 Colafrilla Itkin Phillips Vance  
 Colaizzo Jadowiec Piccola Veon  
 Cole James Pistella Vroon  
 Cornell Jarolin Pitts Wambach  
 Corrigan Josephs Preston Williams  
 Cowell Kaiser Raymond Wilson  
 Coy Kasunic Reber Wogan  
 DeLuca Kenney Reinard Wozniak  
 DeWeese King Richardson Wright, D. R.  
 Daley Kosinski Rieger Wright, M. N.  
 Davies Krebs Ritter  
 Dempsey Kruszewski Robinson O'Donnell,  
 Dent Kukovich Roebuck Speaker  
 Dermody LaGrotta

NAYS—1

Lee

NOT VOTING—3

Freind Mrkonic Murphy  
 EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 145, PN 2360**, entitled:

An Act creating a Disincentives Study Panel and providing for its duties.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 145 be recommitted to the Rules Committee.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1324, PN 2412**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, regulating off-road lighting equipment on vehicles; providing for Pennsylvania Turnpike extensions and improvements; and making a repeal.

On the question,



Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colaella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Freind Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 960, PN 3839**, entitled:

An Act exempting owners of dog training and special retriever training areas from any civil or criminal actions relating to noise pollution.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Staback.

Mr. STABACK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Senate amendment added to HB 960 was technical in nature. It had no impact on the intent of the bill.

I have no problem with it and would ask for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colaella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	Jarolin	Phillips	Veon

Cornell	Josephs	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Kaiser	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kasunic	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kenney	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	King	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kosinski	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kruszewski	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kukovich	Ritter	
Dent	LaGrotta	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Langtry	Roebuck	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Acosta	James	Mrkonic	Richardson
Freind			

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1028, PN 3865**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P. L. 682, No. 284), known as "The Insurance Company Law of 1921," providing for the continuation of basic property insurance for 180 days after the insured's death; and providing for payment of certain fire loss claims.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Acosta	Dermody	Laughlin	Ryan
Adolph	Donatucci	Lawless	Saloom
Allen	Durham	Lescovitz	Saurman
Anderson	Evans	Levdansky	Scheetz
Angstadt	Fairchild	Linton	Schuler
Argall	Fajt	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Semmel
Arnold	Fleagle	McCall	Serafini
Barley	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Battisto	Foster	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Freeman	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Belfanti	Gallen	McNally	Staback
Billow	Gamble	Maiale	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Markosek	Steelman
Black	Geist	Marsico	Steighner
Blaum	George	Mayernik	Stetler
Bowley	Gerlach	Melio	Stish
Boyes	Gigliotti	Merry	Strittmatter
Broujos	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stuban
Brown	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Mihalich	Surra
Bush	Gruppo	Mundy	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.

Caltagirone	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harley	Noye	Telek
Carn	Harper	Nyce	Thomas
Carone	Hasay	O'Brien	Tigue
Cawley	Hayden	Olasz	Tomlinson
Cessar	Hayes	Oliver	Trello
Chadwick	Heckler	Perzel	Trich
Civera	Herman	Pesci	Tulli
Clark	Hershey	Petrarca	Uliana
Cohen	Hess	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafrella	Hughes	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Piccola	Veon
Cole	James	Pistella	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Raymond	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Reber	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Reinard	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Ritter	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Robinson	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Roebuck	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Rudy	Speaker

NAYS—11

Birmelin	Farmer	Langtry	Nickol
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Lee	Smith, S. H.
Fargo	King	Leh	

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Richardson
--------	---------	------------

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1302, PN 3841**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), known as the "Second Class County Code," authorizing the county commissioners in counties of the second class to impose a fee on civil and criminal cases filed in the court of common pleas to help defray the cost of maintaining records; and further providing for fiscal years and budgets.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those in favor will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Acosta	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rudy
Adolph	Durham	Lawless	Ryan
Allen	Evans	Lee	Saloom
Anderson	Fairchild	Leh	Saurman
Angstadt	Fajt	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Argall	Fargo	Levdansky	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Semmel

Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Serafini
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Black	George	Markosek	Steelman
Blaum	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Hagarty	Mundy	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Murphy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harper	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Carone	Hayden	Nyce	Thomas
Cawley	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Cessar	Heckler	Olasz	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Trich
Clark	Hess	Pesci	Tulli
Clymer	Hughes	Petrarca	Uliana
Cohen	Itkin	Petrone	Van Horne
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Piccola	Veon
Cole	Jarolin	Pistella	Vroon
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach
Corrigan	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Raymond	Wilson
Coy	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Ritter	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Speaker
Dermody	Langtry		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Gallen	Mihalich	Mrkonic
--------	--------	----------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1323, PN 3833**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those voting to nonconcur will vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?  
The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Geist	McNally	Staback
Black	George	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gigliotti	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Godshall	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gruitza	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Hagarty	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hasay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Telek
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Thomas
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tigue
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colaifella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—1

Perzel

NOT VOTING—5

Carone	Gannon	Mrkonic	Tomlinson
Freind			

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 2521, PN 3724**, entitled:

An Act providing for the transfer of funds within the Capital Facilities Fund designated for State Highway and Bridge Authority projects and Advanced Construction Interstate projects to highway projects of the Department of Transportation; and providing for the transfer of the balance of funds designated for site development projects.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Freind	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gallen	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gamble	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Gannon Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS  
TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to **SB 1436, PN 2356**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 847, No. 356), entitled "Banking Code of 1965," further providing for authorized offices.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those voting to nonconcur will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Rudy
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Ryan
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Saloom
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saurman
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Scheetz
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Semmel
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Serafini
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McNally	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Black	George	Markosek	Steelman
Blaum	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Hagarty	Mundy	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Murphy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harper	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Carone	Hayden	Nyce	Thomas
Cawley	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Cessar	Heckler	Olasz	Tomlinson

Chadwick	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Trich
Clark	Hess	Pesci	Tulli
Clymer	Hughes	Petrarca	Uliana
Cohen	Itkin	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Cole	James	Piccola	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Raymond	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Ritter	
Dent	Kukovich	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Speaker

NAYS—4

Colaizzo	Freeman	McHale	Mihalich
----------	---------	--------	----------

NOT VOTING—2

Gannon	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to the House amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

SENATE ADOPTS REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has adopted the Report of the Committee of Conference on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses on **SB 727, PN 2402**.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. DeWEESE called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **SB 727, PN 2402**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," providing for collective bargaining; further providing for payments on account of transportation of nonpublic school pupils and for reimbursement on leases and debt; granting pupils the right to refuse to dissect, vivisect or otherwise harm or destroy animals; and making a repeal.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the report of the committee of conference?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lescovitz	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Levdansky	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Freind	McHugh	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gallen	McNally	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gamble	Maiale	Staback
Black	Gannon	Markosek	Stairs
Blaum	Geist	Marsico	Steelman
Bowley	George	Mayernik	Steighner
Boyes	Gerlach	Melio	Stetler
Broujos	Gigliotti	Merry	Stish
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Micozzie	Stuban
Bush	Gruitza	Mihalich	Sturla
Butkowitz	Gruppo	Mundy	Surra
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Murphy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Hanna	Nailor	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harley	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carone	Harper	Noye	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Nyce	Thomas
Cessar	Hayden	O'Brien	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayes	Olasz	Tomlinson
Civera	Heckler	Oliver	Trello
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Trich
Clymer	Hershey	Pesci	Tulli
Cohen	Hess	Petrarca	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Petrone	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Cole	James	Piccola	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Krebs	Rieger	
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS—3

Langtry	Leh	Vroon
---------	-----	-------

NOT VOTING—2

Hughes	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the report of the committee of conference was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Mr. DeWEESE called up **SR 142, PN 2054**, entitled:

A Concurrent Resolution memorializing Congress to restore funds for State grants under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Serimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafrella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

DISCHARGE RESOLUTION

The SPEAKER. Discharge Resolution 29, over for today.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I am calling up on page 25 Discharge Resolution 29.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Ryan, calls up Discharge Resolution 29.

Mr. RYAN called up **Discharge Resolution No. 29**, entitled:

In the House, June 10, 1992

Discharging Committee on Health and Welfare from further consideration of House Bill No. 2577, Printer's No. 3365.

RESOLVED, That House Bill No. 2577, Printer's No. 3365, entitled "An act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L. 31, No.21), entitled 'An act to consolidate, editorially revise, and codify the public welfare laws of the Commonwealth,' further providing for payments in certain cases" having been referred to the Committee on Health and Welfare on March 30, 1992, and the committee not having reported the same to the House of Representatives for a period of over 15 legislative days, the committee is discharged from further consideration thereof.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair advises the members that the debate on this subject is limited to the reasons for and against discharge.

On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as the House knows and as really, I guess, the State knows, we are in the process of attempting to pass a budget with a day or two days to go. One of the matters that has been of great concern to members of my caucus and I am sure to members of the other side, a good many of them at least, has been the subject of welfare reform.

Now, going back some time, a bill was placed into committee, this particular bill, HB 2577—I will get the date when that was actually placed in committee—and it was really a rather simple bill. In fact, it was so simple that a lot of my friends on the other side, in fact 39 of the members from the other side, led by Representative Stetler introduced HB 2636, which is really a copy of it, except that the difference was there was a 6-month waiting period whereas my bill had a 1-year waiting period, which I am prepared to amend down to the 6-month period, that provides when someone moves into Pennsylvania, they can receive welfare grants under both aid to families with dependent children and general assistance no more than they received in their prior State so that people would not be tempted to come to Pennsylvania just to receive welfare benefits. They would receive what we give them here or what they got in the State where they left, one or the other. They would not receive more in Pennsylvania than they would have received from their other State, however, during a period of 1 year, and if I am successful with the amendment, during a period of 6 months.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend?

The gentleman is urged to confine his remarks to the merits of discharge.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this bill was introduced on March 30 and sent to the Health and Welfare Committee, and it is still there. Interestingly enough, the Stetler bill, the third sponsor of that bill was Mr. Richardson. I think it will go a long way—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

The gentleman is further urged—

Mr. RYAN. I am finished.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is further urged to not mention members by name on the House floor.

Mr. RYAN. Oh. I was just talking about sponsors.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is urged not to use the names of members on the House floor.

Mr. RYAN. I apologize to the Speaker. I have never heard that it is improper to mention the name of a sponsor of a bill. I say that sincerely, and I believe it is proper to do so, but I will abide by your wishes today.

In any event, Mr. Speaker, I would greatly appreciate it if the members of the House would support the discharge of this committee so that we can take a first step toward some welfare reform.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the motion to discharge this bill from committee, HB 2577.

I think that if we consider what is happening to Pennsylvania, we are rapidly becoming a magnet State for welfare recipients, and I think it is high time that we move this bill out of committee and deal with it so that we can have that 12-month or 6-month waiting period. I would be very happy also to see it go to a 6-month period, and by discharging this bill and getting it on the calendar and moving ahead with it and voting for it, I think we will go a long way toward alleviating this problem that we are facing here in Pennsylvania. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, this issue has been debated aggressively in our caucuses, in our committees, and most recently in our budget negotiations. The House Democratic Caucus, through its negotiators, was not only anxious but willing to allow the substance of this measure to be considered, and we were moving in the direction of compromise on this specific language.

I think that Mr. Ryan probably had in mind this discharge resolution on Friday night when he decided to abort our negotiations instead of carrying them to term. If they had been carried to term, I believe that these words and phrases that he is attempting to enact into law now would have been a part of an overall compromise.

There is not, there is not a prevailing disinclination on the part of the Democratic Caucus against some kind of residency. There is not a prevailing disinclination on the part of the Democratic Caucus against taking a very stern look at

people who come in across State lines. We had dialogue with the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, with his counterparts in the Republican Senate, the Democratic Senate, and within our own Democratic Caucus, and there was some substantial element of compromise that we were ready to move toward, and most of what he is trying to do was acceptable as a part of a general package. It is not as if we are not attempting to make some steps on welfare reform.

But I would ask that the Democratic Caucus withstand the temptation that Mr. Ryan is proffering and that we allow our system to move forward in its traditional manner. This discharge resolution is inappropriate at this time especially in light of the fact that most of us wanted to see this thing enacted as a part of a general compromise. He aborted the compromise, and I think this matter needs to be dealt with at another time, not now, not here, and not on a discharge resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair reminds the gentleman to not refer to members on the floor by name.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I took the microphone prepared to start talking about what has been going on for the past week or 10 days, as the gentleman has opened that door, but rather than let my Irish get out of control, I am going to sit down for the moment and ask simply that— Do not worry about whether or not we as negotiators can agree on welfare reform. Worry about whether or not you are in favor of this type reform, not whether I am or he is. Are you? And if you are in favor of this type reform, I am telling you this is the only way you are ever going to get it, because they are never going to let it out.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Flick.

Mr. FLICK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The gentleman from Greene County, I believe, indicated that meetings were ongoing and that he believed he had the best interest of the General Assembly in hand as he set the stage for what was to be negotiated. I wonder if he has changed his rules and will now allow members— I saw articles in the press across the Commonwealth that he refused to allow even members of his own caucus to attend these meetings.

Mr. Speaker, how can we believe—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

Mr. FLICK. All right. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There is a greater measure of latitude that the leaders enjoy in these debates, and the gentleman is urged to confine his remarks to the merits of the discharge.

Mr. FLICK. Thank you.

Well, Mr. Speaker, that is exactly why we have this discharge procedure in this Assembly, so that in the event there are issues that we wish to discuss on this floor, just by virtue of one person's unwillingness to bring it to the floor, we as the membership can bring a measure to the floor, and I think members from both sides of the aisle are willing, they are prepared, and they are ready to address this issue.

I would urge an affirmative vote on the discharge resolution.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—97**

Adolph	Fairchild	King	Ryan
Allen	Fargo	Krebs	Saurman
Anderson	Farmer	Langtry	Scheetz
Angstadt	Fleagle	Lawless	Schuler
Argall	Flick	Lee	Semmel
Armstrong	Foster	Leh	Serafini
Arnold	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Gallen	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Black	Geist	Merry	Snyder, G.
Boyes	Gerlach	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Nahill	Stish
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bush	Gruppo	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Harley	Nyce	Telek
Chadwick	Hasay	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Hayes	Perzel	Tulli
Clark	Heckler	Phillips	Uliana
Clymer	Herman	Piccola	Vance
Cornell	Hershey	Pitts	Vroon
Davies	Hess	Raymond	Wilson
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Reber	Wogan
Dent	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Durham			

**NAYS—99**

Acosta	Fajt	Linton	Roebuck
Battisto	Fee	Lloyd	Rudy
Belardi	Freeman	Lucyk	Saloom
Billow	Gamble	McCall	Scrimenti
Bishop	George	McHale	Staback
Blaum	Gigliotti	McNally	Steelman
Bowley	Gruitza	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Haluska	Markosek	Stetler
Butkovitz	Hanna	Mayernik	Stuban
Caltagirone	Harper	Melio	Sturla
Cappabianca	Hayden	Michlovic	Surra
Carn	Hughes	Mihalich	Tangretti
Carone	Itkin	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	James	Murphy	Thomas
Cohen	Jarolin	Olasz	Tigue
Colafella	Josephs	Oliver	Trello
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Pesci	Trich
Cole	Kasunic	Petrarca	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kosinski	Petrone	Veon
Cowell	Kruszewski	Pistella	Wambach
Coy	Kukovich	Preston	Wozniak
DeLuca	LaGrotta	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rieger	
Daley	Lescovitz	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Levdansky	Robinson	Speaker
Evans			

**NOT VOTING—4**

Belfanti	Donatucci	Mrkonic	Williams
----------	-----------	---------	----------

**EXCUSED—1**

Johnson

The question was determined in the negative, and the resolution was not adopted.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Belfanti, rise?

Mr. BELFANTI. Mr. Speaker, I would like one of the mechanics to come check my switch. Nothing operated. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman should be recorded in the negative.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Birmelin.

Mr. BIRMELIN. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Thank you.

On SB 1379, PN 2325, I was in my seat and did press the button, and it did not record. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

**HB 1028 RECONSIDERED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in possession of a reconsideration motion submitted by the gentleman, Mr. Tomlinson, in which he moves that the vote by which HB 1028 was passed today be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—193**

Acosta	Donatucci	Laughlin	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Lawless	Ryan
Allen	Evans	Lee	Saloom
Anderson	Fairchild	Leh	Saurman
Angstadt	Fajt	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Argall	Fargo	Levdansky	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Semmel
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Serafini
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freind	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McNally	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	Maiale	Stairs
Black	Geist	Markosek	Steelman
Blaum	George	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach



Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Ritter	Speaker
Dermody	Langtry	Robinson	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Broujos	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Foster	Krebs	Rudy	

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The House has immediately before it concurrence in Senate amendments to HB 1028.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think this bill that was before us on concurrence probably got past a number of us, at least on our side of the aisle, and I would think it is of concern to both sides of the aisle.

This bill with the Senate amendments would now require that if anyone had a claim, a fire insurance claim, before that payment could be made by the insuring company, that individual, if the claim were \$5,000 or more—and that is not a very big claim in today's costs—they would have to go to the municipality and get a certificate indicating that they had no back taxes, that there were no costs involved with the removal of debris or anything like that, and that is for everyone, not just for those who might be suspect; every single person, every single one of your constituents who would have a claim would have to do this. There are costs involved with this process. It would delay the payment of the claim.

It is not in the best interest of our constituents, in my opinion, and I would ask that we nonconcur and send this bill back to a conference committee. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. GRUITZA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the language that was placed into this bill in the Senate, I do not have the legislation right here in front of me. However, several months ago I passed around a memo on this legislation and many of you were visited in your offices, I think, or contacted by mayors or councilmen or representatives from the League of Cities to deal with a very serious problem that is occurring in all of our districts and all of our municipalities. The problem is centered on buildings, homes that are primarily in situations where a home or building has been destroyed or partially destroyed by fire or something else and there is an insurance claim where the owners of these properties take the money and run, and they leave the costs

behind to the taxpayers in our communities to pay for the demolition or whatever else is involved to secure that property, and the property owner walks away with the insurance proceeds and avoids the costs that may be incurred to protect the public from that property or to even tear the building down and secure that lot.

So this was a piece of legislation that I think—I do not know the exact number—but many of you cosponsored it. It is a good bill. It has the support of the League of Cities; it has the support of our local governments across the State. And maybe some little regulation may have some impact on our insureds, but the bottom line is it is going to save our taxpayers a lot of money that they are not going to have to pay for some deadbeat who takes the insurance proceeds and leaves town.

So I urge that we do vote to concur with the Senate amendments. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this legislation and ask for concurrence in particularly that section that has been questioned.

For many of us that live in urban areas, this is a very important section. I can take you if you had the time, as many could who live particularly in cities, around their neighborhoods and show you house after house that had a fire in it. The owner often had insurance and then just abandoned the property; collected the insurance money and never invested it back into the property. Often the properties are vacant and vandalized. Oftentimes they are boarded up and they remain boarded for years and years afterwards. Ultimately the municipality often will take these properties over for taxes, and the cost to the taxpayer then is not only the lost taxes but to pay to have the property demolished, and it is for that reason that this legislation has been offered. I think it is a very practical solution to a problem that plagues many urban areas in this Commonwealth. It saves the taxpayers money, and it requires the insurance companies to provide some additional protection so that the taxpayers will not end up footing the bill.

I urge your concurrence to the bill, not only for the basic purpose for which the bill originally was passed in the House but for the Senate amendments also. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Wozniak.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support this bill.

I just recently had a circumstance, and it is not the first time, in which an abandoned building that was burned down was boarded up. It became a problem with rats and rodents and skunks and other problems, and we had to work with the city to get it cleaned up and put some pressure onto the owner of that building. Historically what the owner of that structure was doing was getting fined by the city. He would go down to the magistrate and he would pay an insignificant amount of fine, and the situation would remain there until somebody complained and he went to the magistrate again.

If anything happens, this bill does not go far enough, and I think we should bring some strong pressure down on those irresponsible people that do not care about their neighbors, who possibly have a number of properties, and these are just tax writeoffs, and they do not wish to improve them.

Mr. Speaker, this is a problem not only in urban centers but also in the rural areas, too, where perhaps there is not a large population base to do the complaining.

I think we ought to pass this bill, see how successful it is, and if it does not get to the heart of the problem, come back with even tougher legislation to enforce codes and to make sure that those people take care of blighted properties. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reinard.

Mr. REINARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the previous speakers on this piece of legislation were mainly bringing their comments or keeping their comments in line with cities. I would have to remind the House that we are not specifically talking about only cities under this legislation but the entire Commonwealth.

For your information, a \$5,000 structural damage loss to a property is very, very minor, and if you are going to clearly understand the subject matter, every time an individual property owner has something happen to their house that causes structural damage exceeding \$5,000, if that person happens to be living in a temporary residence for some period of time, they are still then going to have to go through and get their municipality, through their tax collector's office, to give them the approval to take back to get their reimbursement which they have been waiting for in order to get their structure back in shape.

I think the \$5,000 limit is very low. I think the comments made previously regarding cities have a lot of validity, but this legislation is a little bit more broad based than that, and for those reasons I think that we should reconsider and vote negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Could Representative Gruitza or somebody else stand for interrogation on this bill? Mr. Murphy?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, indicates he is willing to be interrogated. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as a result of the Senate amendment, is the following scenario correct? If my house is insured for its replacement cost, which is very common among fire insurance right now, and my home incurs substantial damage as a result of a fire—let us assume it is \$150,000 of damage—is the insurance company reimbursement going to add additional moneys for the municipality's reserve, since for every \$20,000, \$1,000 goes to the municipality, and in this case it would be approximately \$7,500? Does that \$7,500 come out of my \$150,000 that I need to replace my home, or is that in addition to the \$150,000?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, when you say \$7,500, are you talking about the money that would be put in an escrow account?

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. That is correct. Out of every \$20,000, \$1,000 goes to the municipality.

Mr. MURPHY. That escrow account does not go permanently to the municipality. It goes only to the municipality until the work is completed on the house, assuming there are no taxes or other liens owed. So the money does not go permanently to the municipality; it only is put in an escrow to assure that the work is being done on the house. The fear, Mr. Speaker, is that the property would have a fire and that you would not repair your house but in fact would walk away from it and leave it there for the taxpayer to demolish.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, if that money is placed in escrow, that money is returned at what time?

Mr. MURPHY. It is my understanding that the money would be returned basically when you phase the work in on the last part of the work so that the work was being completed and there was assurance to the municipality that the house was being rehabilitated.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I guess my concern is, I do not see where it says phasing in. In other words, in this case, there will be a shortfall of money to repair or replace the home while the municipality is holding on to it, and my concern is, Mr. Speaker, where is that extra money to come from for the homeowner to do the necessary repairs or replacement since it could be a significant amount of money?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, the funds that are put in escrow, according to the legislation, the language in the bill, will be returned to the insured if the municipality has not incurred any cost for removal, repairs, or securing the property. So that would be quickly assessed, it seems to me. If the building has been damaged and it was 150,000 dollars' worth of damage and you began to invest that money, long before you have exhausted the entire investment, your insurance, in rehabbing the building, the money would be returned by the municipality because they could see that you were investing in improving the house.

It seems to me, in a practical way, that these escrow funds are going to be held in effect for the front end. The house is on fire; it burns. Quickly you can assess whether the owner is going to make repairs and repair the house or not. So the language in the bill would give the municipality the power to assess whether they were going to have any costs. If they did have costs incurred, they would obviously be able to deduct it from the escrow, but if they did not have any costs, the escrow would be released to the owner.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you.

One final question, Mr. Speaker.

I concur with your intentions of trying to develop an escrow account, Mr. Speaker, but I see nothing in this legislation that does not prohibit a municipality from holding that money until you actually are issued a new occupancy certificate after all the inspections are done, after the municipality is assured that the home is ready for reoccupancy, and that is my concern, Mr. Speaker, that there is nothing here that says for phasing in or nothing that allows a homeowner to apply until after the repairs are completely finished, and the loss of funds

during that interim may pose an undue hardship on a person who, first of all, endures such a catastrophe and then, second of all, has to go out and secure additional financing to make up for this difference. Is there any way of addressing that in this legislation as it is currently proposed? In other words, where is it addressed that a homeowner can get that money when it is necessarily needed?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, the bill does not specifically address when the money is needed. It seems to me what the bill addresses is the ability of the municipality to control their costs. This says if the municipality has to incur costs, the escrow money would be invested in that. I would think that the municipality and the homeowner would work closely together in this and there would be regular communication to assure that the work is being completed, and if the homeowner needed the additional money to be done, certainly that money can come in after there has been significant work already done. I do not see that there is a problem here. There is no reason for the municipality to want to keep the money. The municipality does not make money on it.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A couple things occurred to me, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, insurance is not something that is a windfall. You buy insurance to protect yourself against a loss. Now, if that is going to be used for other purposes, then in fact that house may not be repaired or reconstructed, because what you have done is, you take those tax dollars off the top and you have suddenly started to tell people how they are going to spend their dollars. You are saying to them, you are going to pay this right now, whether you want to or not, and if you cannot rebuild your home and move back into it, that is just too bad; we will maybe put you into welfare somewhere, and then we can take care of you in a different situation.

Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that if this bill is so good for our cities and our urban areas, it makes sense to send this bill to a conference committee and let us restrict it to those areas where it seems as though this is such a big problem.

Five thousand dollars of damage is not sufficient incentive for anyone to walk away from their home. If you are talking about a total destruction, that is something else, but \$5,000 could be a side porch, it could be a section of the wall, it could be anything, which is not enough incentive for someone to walk away. But if you take those dollars and they come right off the top of the insurance dollars, you are going to prohibit that person from making the repairs that are necessary.

If this were amended or if this bill were addressing the Lottery Fund, I would say fine; let us do it. This lottery money is not something that someone has depended on in order to meet their obligations that are necessary to provide a roof and a shelter for them.

One other thing, Mr. Speaker. There is a provision that because of this in even the urban developments—and I would suggest that it ought to be looked at—there is a very strong probability that this could be a disincentive for people, for

businesses, to move into a blighted area, because it is going to make it noncompetitive with areas that have not this provision. I think that has to be looked at also in this picture.

I think it is more complicated than it appears on the surface. I think that there is a great deal of potential for some serious problems for those of us who have constituents who look to their insurance in order to be sure that the risks that they face are going to be covered, and I think that we have no right to step in and say, we are going to take your money which you invested for the purpose of which you were going to have your building repaired, you were going to— This is not a windfall at all. It is only a repayment for loss and the cost of that loss.

Mr. Speaker, I think we need to send this bill to the conference committee and have this looked at more carefully. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Civera.

Mr. CIVERA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the prime sponsor of the bill stand for a brief interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. CIVERA. Mr. Speaker, in these Senate amendments, is it clear in what was placed in by the Senate that the municipalities in the Commonwealth would have to adopt an ordinance in order to comply with—

Mr. GRUITZA. Yes.

Mr. CIVERA. Could you show me where in the bill it says that?

Mr. GRUITZA. It is right in the bill here. There is language in the bill that says that unless they adopt an ordinance to implement this, that it does not come in. I have to find it here, but it is in the bill.

Mr. CIVERA. Well, okay.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman interrogating Mr. Murphy?

Mr. CIVERA. Whoever stood for the interrogation, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Well, let us keep it to one. Now, Mr. Murphy, I think, was recognized.

Okay. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, is in position. Does the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, have an answer for Mr. Civera?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, it is in section 508(c). This language would only apply to municipalities that have adopted an ordinance authorizing the procedure.

Mr. CIVERA. Okay. Mr. Speaker, in other words, if a municipality did not adopt an ordinance, then therefore it would not come under this bill that we are passing today.

Mr. MURPHY. That is correct.

Mr. Speaker, for your information, it is on page 5, basically from about lines 10 to 14.

Mr. CIVERA. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I make a brief statement, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. CIVERA. Mr. Speaker, I think we should concur with the Senate amendments for the simple reason, in the municipi-

pality that I represent, which is Upper Darby Township in Delaware County, we had many of these homes that were rent investment homes that were single-family dwellings that burned down in a fire, and the insurance companies paid the claims and the owners of those properties walked away from them, causing that what the insured value of the home was versus what the actual home was valued at were two different items, and town meetings after town meetings, constituents after constituents going to those council meetings complaining that what these homes have done to their surrounding neighbors was detrimental.

I think the fact is that if the municipality wants to adopt the ordinance and wants to become part of this law, it may do so, but I think we have a responsibility here in the General Assembly to prohibit that type of activity, and therefore, I would agree that we concur with HB 1028, with Senate amendments. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. Heckler, seeking recognition?

Mr. HECKLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would also ask to interrogate the sponsor, Mr. Murphy, if I could.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he is willing to be interrogated. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. HECKLER. So that we will understand clearly, you have been asked, Mr. Speaker, about the question of whether the provisions of this bill apply only to those municipalities which adopt resolutions or ordinances implementing it. Does that apply to all of the provisions of this bill governing the payment of insurance benefits?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, the answer is, to my understanding, yes. If I read page 5, line 9, correctly, "This subsection only applies to municipalities that have adopted an ordinance authorizing the procedure described in subsections (c) and (d) of this section and applies only to fire losses that occur after the adoption of the ordinance. The ordinance shall designate the officer authorized to carry out the duties of this section."

Mr. HECKLER. Mr. Speaker, specifically you have read the language which speaks of subsections. I would have thought that section 508 which contains what appeared to me to be subsections (a) and (b), which provide for certain payments, would not have been affected by this language. Am I incorrect, or can you shed some light on that?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, you are correct because this is the subsection that deals with the escrow account.

Mr. HECKLER. Mr. Speaker, then is it not correct that subsections (a) and (b) do provide for, essentially, a lien against insurance proceeds or the requirement that the insurance company pay to the municipality for taxes and some other kinds of potential obligations before they would pay directly to the insured?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, (a) and (b) require only that the insured gets a certificate from the municipality. Subsections (c) and (d) are the ones in which the money is required to be paid into an escrow account.

Mr. HECKLER. Well, let me pose then a hypothetical question. Let us say we enact this legislation and the municipality in which I live does not adopt such an ordinance. I have a fire and I seek to get a certificate, and my municipality, not having bothered to enact an ordinance, does not care to issue that certificate. Am I going to be able to get my insurance proceeds?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, they would not issue the certificate if you owed taxes or they felt it was going to cost them money to do some repairs or removal of something. That is the reason they would not issue the certificate.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman will suspend.

The question is whether or not only subsections (c) and (d) are affected by the adoption of the ordinance or whether subsections (a) and (b) are similarly affected.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, just subsections (c) and (d).

Mr. HECKLER. May I be recognized to speak, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. HECKLER. Mr. Speaker, it is quite evident that this is an excellent idea for those municipalities that have that problem and a potential burden upon many other municipalities in which what we may be doing by passing this legislation is guaranteeing that 1 year or 2 years from now, your office is going to be getting calls from people who have had their homes burned down or damaged by fire saying, how come I have got to wait for my proceeds and go through this hullabaloo? Why can I not get my money? You voted for this cotton-picking bill.

I would urge that we nonconcur not because this does not make a lot of sense for some municipalities but rather because this does need to be dealt with in conference committee. It needs to be cleared up, specifically the questions of these procedures either being completely inapplicable in those municipalities that do not care to be involved or certainly being applicable in those municipalities that do need to be clarified.

I would urge that we nonconcur in the hopes that this can be quickly resolved and back to us for passage in a good form. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. GRUITZA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want to make one brief point, and I will make it brief.

This is not a novel piece of legislation. This is not an experiment in fire claims that is being tried out for the first time by Pennsylvania. This particular legislation and the language that was adopted in this legislation originally was modeled after language that appears in many other State statutes, and specifically, this language was taken from the Ohio State statute, and I have been told, in my background research and in discussing this with people involved in this, that this statute and this language has worked very well for quite some time for the State of Ohio. So I think a lot of the concerns that have been raised here are a little bit out of hand and without merit. It seems to me that if legislation like this would work so well in a neighboring State, all of the calamity that is being predicted with this legislation is not going to occur here in Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is unfortunate that the amendment was introduced in the Senate and we do not have the ability to find out exactly what language was utilized for this bill, but all we can go by is the statute itself and also realize that each municipality is going to have to interpret this statute.

On page 4 of the bill, Mr. Speaker, in subsection (c), it states that where there is a fixed amount - for instance, if I have it insured for \$100,000 flat - \$1,000 out of every \$20,000 would be put into a fund, but in the example that I had asked earlier, Mr. Speaker, where perhaps I have replacement costs, it said whenever there is an agreement between the insured and the insurance company, which is necessary when you have replacement costs, it says a contractor shall sign an estimate of the costs of removing, repairing, or securing the building or other structure, and it says the insurance company shall transfer the insurance proceeds specified in the estimate to the municipality. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, the way the statute is written, if my cost is \$150,000 to repair the building, the \$150,000 gets transferred to the municipality. Then in section (d) it states that the money shall be returned to the insured when the repairs, removal, or securing of the building or other structure have been completed and the required proof is received by the designated officer.

Mr. Speaker, this is not making these things up in terms of looking for issues in this legislation. The specific language of the bill states that the total amount of the repair shall go to the municipality and they shall hold it until there is proof that it is completed.

Mr. Speaker, why have insurance if all the money is going to go to the municipality and then you are going to have to go out and get a loan in order to repair it and then go back to the municipality and ask for your money back after the repairs are completed. I mean, the purpose for having insurance is to allow people to immediately do the repairs to their homes and to have the financial resources in order to do that.

I think what this bill will do, if followed as the language specifically states, would result in more abandoned homes rather than trying to address a specific problem that the makers are intending to serve. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Mr. Speaker, I concur with Representative Heckler that this may be good in some of the urban areas, but I am not sure that it is good in some of the areas where a lot of us, the more rural areas, live in, and as I read this on page 2, it says, "No insurance company, association or exchange doing business in this Commonwealth shall pay a claim of a named insured for fire damage to a structure located within a municipality where the amount recoverable for the fire loss to the structure under all policies exceeds five thousand dollars...." This says all municipalities, any fire damage within a municipality anyplace in the State, so I am not sure where we come in and say that it is only the municipalities that have adopted an ordinance that are affected. I think this affects every municipality in the State of Pennsyl-

vania and would also really like it to go to conference committee to come back with a little bit more clarity on that issue.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I again urge concurrence on this bill. I think the bill is important. I think the bill as it is written is satisfactory. It will be helpful for municipalities to have this legislation to deal with the many problems that are caused when buildings are damaged by fire.

I can imagine even the securing of buildings, the problems that individuals, volunteer fire departments, and municipalities have in securing a building after a fire. It is often critical that it be done quickly. It provides an attractive nuisance to have a vacant house open for any length of time, and this can happen in suburban as well as urban areas. For that reason I think this gives the municipality the power to move quickly to deal with this problem, and it is a problem that while not confined to urban areas, is particularly critical in those areas, and I urge your support. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—106

Acosta	Dermody	Laughlin	Robinson
Adolph	Evans	Lawless	Roebuck
Allen	Fairchild	Levdansky	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Linton	Scrimenti
Angstadt	Fee	Lucyk	Serafini
Battisto	Foster	McCall	Snyder, G.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Gamble	McHale	Steighner
Billow	Geist	McNally	Stetler
Bishop	George	Maiale	Stish
Blaum	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Sturla
Broujos	Gruitza	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harper	Mundy	Telek
Cappabianca	Hayden	Murphy	Thomas
Carn	Hayes	Olasz	Tigue
Civera	Hughes	Oliver	Trich
Clark	Itkin	Pesci	Uliana
Cohen	James	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	Jarolin	Phillips	Veon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kruszewski	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—89

Argall	Fargo	Langtry	Saloom
Armstrong	Farmer	Lee	Saurman
Arnold	Fleagle	Leh	Scheetz
Barley	Flick	Lescovitz	Schuler
Birmelin	Freind	Lloyd	Semmel
Black	Gallen	McHugh	Smith, B.
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Boyes	Gladeck	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Godshall	Mayernik	Stairs
Bunt	Gruppo	Melio	Steelman
Bush	Hagarty	Merry	Strittmatter
Carlson	Hanna	Nahill	Stuban
Carone	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, F.

Cessar	Heckler	Noye	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Nyce	Tomlinson
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Tulli
Colaifella	Hess	Perzel	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Kenney	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	King	Reinard	Wogan
Davies	Krebs	Rudy	Wright, M. N.
Durham			

NOT VOTING—5

Donatucci	Mrkonic	Petrone	Trello
Gannon			

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A**

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 734, PN 3702**, entitled:

An Act designating the section of L.R. 238, Spur C, in Mercer County, Pennsylvania, as the Nick Strimbu Junior Industrial Corridor.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

**RULES SUSPENDED**

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. **GRUITZA**. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the House rules to permit consideration of an amendment to **HB 734**.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Acosta	Dermody	Langtry	Rudy
Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Ryan
Allen	Durham	Lawless	Saloom
Anderson	Evans	Lee	Saurman
Angstadt	Fairchild	Leh	Scheetz
Argall	Fajt	Lescovitz	Schuler
Armstrong	Fargo	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Arnold	Farmer	Linton	Semmel
Barley	Fee	Lloyd	Serafini
Battisto	Fleagle	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Foster	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Freeman	McHale	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gallen	McNally	Staback
Bishop	Gamble	Maiale	Stairs
Black	Geist	Markosek	Steelman
Blaum	George	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban

Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	Olasz	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Pesci	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Petrarca	Uliana
Cohen	Itkin	Petrone	Van Horne
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Piccola	Veon
Cole	Jarolin	Pistella	Vroon
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach
Corrigan	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Raymond	Wilson
Coy	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Ritter	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Freind	Hughes	Mrkonic	O'Brien
Gannon	McHugh		

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

Mr. **GRUITZA** offered the following amendments No. **A2979**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 5 through 8, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. The section of S.R.3004 in Mercer County where it crosses over S.R.0018 in Shenango Township to the intersection with S.R.0718 in Wheatland Borough and the section of S.R.0718 where it intersects with S.R.3004 in Wheatland Borough to Connelly Boulevard in the City of Sharon is hereby designated and shall be known as the Nick Strimbu, Jr., Industrial Corridor.

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 11, by striking out "Strimbu Junior" and inserting  
Strimbu, Jr.,

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Gruitza.

Mr. **GRUITZA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is a technical amendment, not quite as controversial as the last bill. This merely designates the correct road that we were intending to honorarily designate for this gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Donatucci	Laughlin	Ryan
Adolph	Durham	Lawless	Saloom
Allen	Evans	Lee	Saurman
Anderson	Fairchild	Leh	Scheetz
Angstadt	Fajt	Lescovitz	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Linton	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Farmer	Lloyd	Semmel
Arnold	Fee	Lucyk	Serafini
Barley	Fleagle	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Foster	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Billow	Freind	McNally	Staback
Birmelin	Gallen	Maiale	Stairs
Bishop	Geist	Markosek	Steelman
Black	George	Marsico	Steighner
Blaum	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Bowley	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Broujos	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban
Brown	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Bush	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carn	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Carone	Hayden	Nyce	Tiguc
Cawley	Hayes	Olasz	Tomlinson
Cessar	Heckler	Oliver	Trello
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Trich
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Uliana
Clymer	Hughes	Petrone	Van Horne
Cohen	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Colafrilla	James	Piccola	Veon
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Pistella	Vroon
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach
Corrigan	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Raymond	Wilson
Coy	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
DeLuca	King	Reinard	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Ritter	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Rudy	Speaker
Dermody	Langtry		

NAYS—1

Roebuck

NOT VOTING—7

Cole	Gannon	Levdansky	O'Brien
Gamble	Itkin	Mrkonic	

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments as amended?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	F eagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Freeman	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freind	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Geist	McNally	Staback
Black	George	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tiguc
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafrilla	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments as amended were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED**  
**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1650, PN 2355**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of January 8, 1960 (1959 P. L. 2119, No. 787), entitled, as amended, "Air Pollution Control Act," adding and amending certain definitions; further providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Environmental Resources, the Environmental Quality Board and the Environmental Hearing Board; further providing for plans and permits; providing for certain fees and civil penalties, for acid control, for hazardous air pollutants and for control of volatile organic compounds from gasoline dispensing facilities; further providing for certain procedures; providing for compliance; establishing the Compliance Advisory Panel and providing for its powers and duties; further providing for enforcement, for criminal and civil penalties and for the abatement and restraint of violations; and making editorial changes.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. HAYDEN offered the following amendments No. A3081:

Amend Sec. 5 (Sec. 4.4), page 16, lines 23 through 26, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 5), page 20, lines 13 through 19, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(13) Adopt by regulation alternative volatile organic compound emission limitations for aerospace coatings and solvents, including extreme performance coatings, which are required to be used by the United States Department of Defense, the United States Department of Transportation, and the National Aeronautic and Space Administration or to meet military and aerospace specifications, provided such alternative limitations are authorized by the Clean Air Act.

Amend Sec. 6 (Sec. 5), page 20, lines 20 through 28, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(b) In adopting regulations containing transportation control measures, the board shall not have the authority to adopt any regulation limiting or expanding any municipalities' authority under the Municipal Planning Code to regulate land development, subdivision approval, zoning revision, building permit or any other development activity unless specifically required by the Clean Air Act.

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6.6), page 41, line 12, by inserting after "(d)"

(1)

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6.6), page 41, lines 27 through 30; page 42, lines 1 through 4, by striking out "IN THE CASE" in line 27, all of lines 28 through 30, page 41, all of lines 1 through 4, page 42 and inserting

(2) In the case of coke oven batteries, the department may not impose health risk-based emission standards more stringent than Federal requirements until eight (8) years after promulgation of maximum achievable control technology (MACT) standards, and not until the year 2020 for coke oven batteries which satisfy the requirements of section 112(i)(8)(A) of the Clean Air Act.

(3) Notwithstanding the limitation in clause (2), where the operation of a coke oven battery would result in serious, substantial and demonstrable harm to public health, welfare and the environment, the department may impose health risk-based emission standards by regulation which utilized proven, commercially available and economically available methods of technology.

(i) The department shall not impose health risk-based emission standards until after January 1, 1998, for those coke oven batteries which satisfy the applicable MACT or lowest achievable emission rate (LAER) standards.

(ii) After January 1, 1998, the department shall only impose health risk-based emission standards adopted pursuant to section 112(f) of the Clean Air Act, and if no such emission standards are adopted pursuant to section 112(f) of the Clean Air Act, the department may adopt such emission standards, provided that such standards are consistent with the criteria and the factors set forth in clause (1) and section 112(f) of the Clean Air Act, and until such time as health risk-based standards are enacted by the Federal Government pursuant to section 112(f) of the Clean Air Act.

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6.7), page 45, line 12, by striking out "1996" and inserting

1993

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 6.7), page 45, by inserting between lines 20 and 21

(h) The department shall implement the functional testing and certification requirements specified in EPA's Stage II enforcement and technical guidance documents developed under section 182 of the Clean Air Act to meet the Clean Air Act requirements for areas classified as moderate, serious, severe or extreme ozone nonattainment.

Amend Sec. 9 (Sec. 7.4), page 48, line 23, by striking out "SHALL" and inserting

may

Amend Bill, page 60, by inserting between lines 29 and 30

Section 7.13. Air Quality Improvement Fund.—(a) The Governor is hereby authorized to transfer three million dollars (\$3,000,000), or as much thereof as may be necessary from the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund, as established in section 602.3 of the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," to a separate account in the State Treasury to be known as the Air Quality Improvement Fund, which shall be a special fund administered by the Department of Commerce. All transferred funds from the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund shall be repaid to that fund from repayments of assistance and other funds in the Air Quality Improvement Fund within ten (10) years in the following manner: in the fifth (5) year after the date of enactment all repayments in the Air Quality Improvement Fund shall be transferred to the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund; in the succeeding years all repayments shall be transferred annually to the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund until the entire three million dollars (\$3,000,000) has been repaid; and if at the end of the ten (10) year period from the date of enactment the entire three million dollars (\$3,000,000) has not been repaid, additional funds from the Air Quality Improvement Fund shall be transferred to the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund to provide the balance of the three million dollars (\$3,000,000). The transfer of funds to the Air Quality Improvement Fund shall be made hereunder by warrant of the State Treasurer upon requisition of the Governor.

(b) In addition to the funds transferred in accordance with subsection (a), any funds as may be appropriated by the General Assembly, provided by private sources, or secured from the Federal Government to aid small businesses, in accordance with the provisions of subsection (c) shall be deposited into the fund.

(c) All moneys in the Air Quality Improvement Fund are hereby appropriated, with the approval of the Governor, to the Department of Commerce and shall be used to provide assistance to Pennsylvania businesses to meet the requirements of this act. The funds shall be used by an eligible business to reduce or prevent air pollution through the purchase and installation of air pollution control equipment and facilities, the purchase and installation of equipment to make operational changes and to modify production practices. In no case shall the assistance



exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per applicant. The Department of Commerce shall require companies to repay the funds provided in accordance with terms the Department of Commerce shall determine, but, in no case shall the repayment period be longer than ten (10) years from the date the funds were provided. All funds from the Hazardous Sites Cleanup Funds deposited into the Air Quality Improvement Fund shall be used to provide assistance to small businesses which own or operate stationary sources.

(d) All transfers, repayments, appropriations, contributions and deposits made to the fund shall be immediately credited in full to the fund and earnings on the money held in the fund shall also be credited to the fund.

Amend Sec. 14 (Sec. 11), page 74, lines 21 through 26, by striking out "THE DEPARTMENT SHALL NOT HAVE THE POWER TO RESTRICT OR DENY" in line 21 and all of lines 22 through 26

Amend Sec. 14 (Sec. 12), page 78, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(h) Nothing in this act shall affect the Municipal Planning Code unless required by the Clean Air Act.

Amend Sec. 17, page 84, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

(2) Section 6.7 of the act shall take effect November 15, 1992.

Amend Sec. 17, page 84, line 9, by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(3)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Hayden.

Mr. HAYDEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment was worked on with the assistance of Representative Reber and is cosponsored by Representative Reber. We continued negotiations with the Senate over the course of the past week to try to reconcile the differences between House and Senate versions of Pennsylvania Clean Air Act implementation. This amendment is offered in order to prevent the necessity for a nonconcurrency vote and a conference committee report.

So this amendment was worked on with Senate Democratic and Senate Republican staffers and members. It makes the following changes to SB 1650:

We address the Stage II issue in such a manner that it would delay the effectiveness of the regulation yet not jeopardize Pennsylvania's implementation of the Clean Air Act regarding VOC (volatile organic compound) emissions.

Regarding the issue of land use concerns, the thought was that the language contained in the Senate version was too broad and perhaps jeopardized other authorities of the DER (Department of Environmental Resources) in administering the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act.

We resolved the language in the context of the high-performance coating issue for your friendly neighborhood helicopter maker.

We also inserted here a small business Air Quality Improvement Fund, which is an addition to both the House and the Senate versions, which puts an immediate fund of \$3 million available to small sources who must comply for the first time with the Pennsylvania Air Pollution Control Act.

In addition, the Senate contained a version whereby the Governor was required to petition that Pennsylvania become a member of the ozone transport region. We retained that concept in this bill yet made it a "may" rather than a "shall" provision.

The last issue deals with the coke oven emissions issue. Our bill did not have a specific treatment or a separate treatment for coke oven emissions, yet the Senate bill did. The issue revolved around whether health-risk-based emission standards would ever be applied to coke oven emissions prior to the year 2020. We have what is in this amendment a compromise which recognizes that the industry is going to make changes between now and 1998 in exchange for less emission standards to be imposed against them by the Congress from 1998 to the year 2020. We did not close the door on the possibility that health-risk-based emission standards could be applied, but we certainly restricted their application under the amendment.

I request the full support of the members for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to say, to keep my remarks as brief as possible, that I concur as to the substantive remarks analyzing the amendment that Representative Hayden has here previously noted.

I also would like to submit for the benefit of all the members that we have had a joint bipartisan review of this by both Senate and House members as well as their staff, and I think I would be remiss, Mr. Speaker— It is too often that we do not allow credit to be given to many of those who deserve such, but we had a tremendous amount of technical assistance from staff members on both the House as well as the Senate side, including Senator Brightbill and his point man on this issue, David Hess; Ron Ramsey for Senator Musto—their help was invaluable—and certainly on our side of the aisle, led by Representative Hayden as well as Sue Germanio of the majority leader's staff, and last but certainly not least, Fred Taylor, legal counsel to the House Republican staff. I want to thank all of them personally for the assistance they gave us on formulating this well-drafted finalization, technical compromise, to in essence avoid the necessity of an ultimate nonconcurrency and a conference committee on the Clean Air Act implementation.

I would certainly urge an affirmative vote on amendment 3081. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair was reluctant to interrupt the gentleman's gracious remarks but would just like to note that members should not be mentioned by name.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Hayden-Reber amendment.

In particular, this amendment affects the coke oven emission standards, which is rather important to those of us that represent communities in which coke ovens are situated.

There are 26 coke oven batteries statewide, and 12 of them happen to be at the Clairton Works in the heart of my legislative district. I happen to be interested in this issue from the perspective of one who represents people, who lives near these people, these facilities, and also from the perspective of workers who work in these facilities.

I want to point out that under this amendment, in exchange for locking in the technology-based standards under the Clean Air Act, the steel industry agrees to significant investments and must meet stronger emission standards under this bill and under this amendment, and specifically, batteries under the MACT (maximum achievable control technology) standards must meet residual risk standards by the year 2003 or they must shut down, and batteries under the LAER standards, which is the lowest achievable emission rate standards, must meet even tighter standards in order to earn an extension of the residual risk provision until the year 2020.

I also want to point out that this amendment is very complicated and complex and is an agreement between the industry and also the United Steelworkers of America and with the United Mine Workers of America.

I would urge the members to support the amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafilella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon

Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Uliana
		EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY  
The SPEAKER. This bill will be over temporarily.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise? Mr. ULIANA. Mr. Speaker, on the last vote, on amendment A3081, my switch did not function. I wish to be recorded as voting in the affirmative.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1443, PN 2357, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled the "Public School Code of 1949," providing for kindergarten classes for children three years of age, for financial incentives for participation in the national school breakfast program, for budgeting and auditing procedures for approved private schools and for the reimbursement or payments to community colleges; and further providing for the cost of tuition and maintenance of certain exceptional children.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

## VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Strittmatter.

Mr. STRITTMATTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was just reminded that this bill was marked to go over today and to be run tomorrow, and I talked with the leader about this. I have an amendment that had been circulated before, and in fact, I have another amendment that is going to be drafted with some of the compromise language for tomorrow. I would ask that the bill be put over.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Hayes.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, this piece of legislation was not on our list of bills to caucus on today, and the Republican Caucus has not had the opportunity to meet on this bill, regardless of amendments.

## BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. SB 1443, over temporarily.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2773, PN 3745**, entitled:

An Act providing for uniform health insurance claim forms; imposing powers and duties on the Insurance Department; imposing penalties; and making a repeal.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. TRICH offered the following amendments No. A3066:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, by inserting between lines 13 and 14 "Health care provider." A person, corporation, facility, institution or other entity licensed, certified or approved by the Commonwealth to provide health care or professional medical services. The term includes, but is not limited to, a physician, a professional nurse, a certified nurse-midwife, podiatrist, hospital, nursing home, ambulatory surgical center or birth center.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 4, by inserting after "1921" , including any preferred provider organization subject to section 630 of the act

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, by inserting between lines 8 and 9 "Public health care coverage." The State program of medical assistance established under the act of June 13, 1967 (P.L.31, No.21), known as the Public Welfare Code.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, lines 10 through 16, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(a) General rule.—Each health insurance claim form processed or otherwise used by an insurer, including those used by the Department of Public Welfare for public health care coverage, shall be the uniform claim form developed by the department. The claim form shall be identical in form and content except as provided in subsection (c). The department shall, in consultation with the Department of Public Welfare, insurers and health care providers or their representatives, first consider the feasibility of utilizing the UB-82/HCF A-1450 and HCFA 1500 forms, or their successors, as a uniform claim form. If these forms are deemed to be unsatisfactory, the department shall, in consultation with the Department of Public Welfare, insurers and health care providers or their representatives, develop a uniform claim form for use by all insurers, the Department of Public Welfare's public health care coverage program and health care providers. The uniform claim form shall contain blank spaces at appropriate places in the document for approved additional information requests under subsection (c).

(b) Implementation.—The feasibility study and subsequent development of the uniform claim form shall be complete within 180 days of the effective date of this act. All insurers, the Department of Public Welfare's public health care coverage program and health care providers shall be required to use the uniform claim form within 120 days after the uniform claim form is developed. The department may consider a request from the Department of Public Welfare for an extension in meeting the implementation schedule of this section.

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 24, by striking out "(B)" and inserting

(c)

Amend Sec. 3, page 3, line 1, by striking out "SPACE" and inserting

spaces

Amend Sec. 3, page 3, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "ON FORMS APPROVED BY" and inserting

to

Amend Sec. 3, page 3, lines 8 and 9, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, lines 15 through 17, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, line 18, by striking out "6" and inserting

5

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 24 and 25 Section 6. Federal compliance.

In the event the Federal Government enacts a uniform claim form for mandatory use by all insurers and the Department of Public Welfare's public health care coverage program, the department shall adopt the Federal form for use by all insurers, the Department of Public Welfare's public health care coverage program and health care providers within 90 days of the enactment of the Federal legislation or the effective date included in the Federal act, whichever is later.

Section 7. Repeals.

All acts and parts of acts are repealed insofar as they are inconsistent with this act.

Amend Sec. 7, page 3, line 25, by striking out "7" and inserting

8

Amend Sec. 7, page 3, line 26, by striking out "IN 180 DAYS" and inserting immediately

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Trich.

Mr. TRICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 2773 is designed to cut down on both confusion and cost not only for the consumers but also for the medical providers and also the insurance companies that deal with medical insurance costs.

This particular amendment, which was drafted with the help of Representative Vance, does two of the following things: First of all, the amendment itself defines the role for the Insurance Department as to how we will implement this new singular-type insurance form; and secondly, and perhaps even more importantly, it broadens the scope of the intent of this legislation, further broadening the abilities for us to save very, very important health care cost dollars.

Therefore, I would in fact urge the support of the amendment but would also ask that Representative Vance please

take a moment to also share some of her remarks on this issue.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Vance.

Mrs. VANCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support this amendment because it gives added credence to the bill itself. It covers all providers, including those using medical assistance forms, and gives a structure and timeframe to either using the Federal forms or their successor, and failing this, it gives clear instructions to develop a form acceptable to all users. It will make it easier for medical personnel, elderly in nursing homes, and all people to understand and use these forms.

I urge a "yes" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Acosta	Dermody	Kruszewski	Ritter
Adolph	Donatucci	Kukovich	Robinson
Allen	Durham	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Anderson	Evans	Langtry	Rudy
Angstadt	Fairchild	Laughlin	Ryan
Argall	Fajt	Lawless	Saloom
Armstrong	Fargo	Lee	Saurman
Arnold	Farmer	Leh	Schuler
Barley	Fee	Lescovitz	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fleagle	Levdansky	Semmel
Belardi	Flick	Linton	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster	Lloyd	Smith, B.
Billow	Freeman	Lucyk	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Freind	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gallen	McGeehan	Snyder, G.
Black	Gamble	McHale	Staback
Blaum	Gannon	McHugh	Stairs
Bowley	Geist	McNally	Steelman
Boyes	George	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Gerlach	Markosek	Stetler
Brown	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stish
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Strittmatter
Bush	Godshall	Merry	Stuban
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carone	Harley	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Harper	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hasay	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayden	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Heckler	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Herman	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hershey	Perzel	Uliana
Colaella	Hess	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Hughes	Petrarca	Vance
Cole	Itkin	Petrone	Veon
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vroon
Corrigan	James	Piccola	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wilson
Coy	Josephs	Pitts	Wogan
DeLuca	Kaiser	Preston	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kasunic	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Davies	King	Reinard	
Dempsey	Kosinski	Richardson	
Dent	Krebs	Rieger	O'Donnell, Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Melio	Mrkonc	Surra	Williams
Micozzie	Scheetz		

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pesci	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaella	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cole	James	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Krebs	Rieger	
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich		

Dermody LaGrotta Robinson Speaker  
Donatucci

NAYS—0  
NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**RULES SUSPENDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House rules be suspended to permit immediate consideration of HR 364.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowicz	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak

DeWeese King Reber Wright, D. R.  
Daley Kosinski Reinard Wright, M. N.  
Dempsey Krebs Richardson  
Dent Kruszewski Rieger O'Donnell,  
Dermody Kukovich Ritter Speaker  
Donatucci LaGrotta Robinson

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Davies Mrkonic Strittmatter  
EXCUSED—1

Johnson

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

The SPEAKER. The House has immediately before it HR 364, which will be read by the clerk.

The following resolution was read:

**House Resolution No. 364**

**A RESOLUTION**

Congratulating the Village of Yeagertown on the occasion of its 150th Anniversary.

WHEREAS, The territory where the Village of Yeagertown exists was purchased from the Iroquois Indians in July 1754, by the Penn family and warranted to Everhart Martin in 1755; and

WHEREAS, Christopher Martin inherited his father's estate and erected a sawmill and gristmill on the east side of the Kishacoquillas Creek about 1792; and

WHEREAS, The land passed from Christopher Martin to George Hanawalt, and then to James Mayes on January 6, 1796, who erected the stone dwelling at the north end of Yeagertown, along with a stone barn, a distillery and a stone tavern, and operated the sawmill and gristmill; and

WHEREAS, On May 6, 1806, James Mayes sold the property to Philip Diehl; and

WHEREAS, In 1810, Philip Diehl sold the property to Robert Forsythe who operated the mill until his death in 1824, whereafter the mill was owned by the Forsythe heirs and operated by a Campbell and an Oliver; and

WHEREAS, In 1842, John Oliver, Jr., sold the mill and 50 acres to John Jacob Yeager, who settled there with his wife, eight sons and a daughter, and who operated the mill; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Yeagertown was named in honor of John Jacob Yeager; and

WHEREAS, In 1859, Jeremiah M. Yeager, son of Jacob, bought the mill, tore down the original structure and erected a new mill and operated it until his death in 1906; and

WHEREAS, In 1906, the mill passed to the youngest of Jacob Yeager's sons, Jesse Orrin Yeager, who added lumber and coal to the Yeager Mill business; and

WHEREAS, Around the turn of the century, a power plant was constructed at the mill which generated enough electricity for all of Yeagertown; and

WHEREAS, The mill prospered until its closing in 1917; and

WHEREAS, The former Lake to Sea Highway, now known as Route 322, contributed to the rapid growth of the Village of Yeagertown; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Yeagertown's land is blessed with areas conducive to hunting, fishing and planting; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Yeagertown has, throughout its history, grown and prospered through the skills and labors of its residents, embracing and exemplifying the energy, dedication and diversity from which our Nation and this Commonwealth draw their strength; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Yeagertown continues to grow and prosper and has become a valuable small business district and an outstanding family community in this Commonwealth; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives honor the Village of Yeagertown on its 150th Anniversary Sesquicentennial Celebration.

Ruth C. Rudy  
Daniel F. Clark  
Ruth B. Harper  
Michael C. Gruitza  
Andrew Billow, Jr.  
David R. Wright  
Joseph W. Battisto

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Acosta	Donatucci	Kukovich	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	LaGrotta	Robinson
Allen	Evans	Langtry	Roebuck
Anderson	Fairchild	Laughlin	Rudy
Angstadt	Fajt	Lawless	Ryan
Argall	Fargo	Lee	Saloom
Armstrong	Farmer	Leh	Saurman
Arnold	Fee	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Barley	Fleagle	Levdansky	Schuler
Battisto	Flick	Linton	Scrimenti
Belardi	Foster	Lloyd	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	Lucyk	Serafini
Billow	Freind	McCall	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Black	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Geist	McNally	Staback
Bowley	George	Maiale	Stairs
Boyes	Gerlach	Markosek	Steelman
Broujos	Gigliotti	Marsico	Steighner
Brown	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stetler
Bunt	Godshall	Melio	Stish
Bush	Gruitza	Merry	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Carlson	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carone	Harper	Nahill	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Nailor	Thomas
Cessar	Hayden	Nickol	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayes	Noye	Trello
Civera	Heckler	Nyce	Trich
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Uliana
Cohen	Hess	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafigella	Hughes	Perzel	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pesci	Veon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	James	Petrone	Wambach
Corrigan	Jarolin	Phillips	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Piccola	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Pistella	Wogan
DeLuca	Kasunic	Pitts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Reber	
Dempsey	Krebs	Reinard	O'Donnell,

Dent Kruszewski Richardson Speaker  
Dermody

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Mrkonic Stuban Taylor, F. Tomlinson  
Rieger

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1650 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafigella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson

DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2629 be taken from the table and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

**HB 960, PN 3839**

An Act exempting owners of dog training and special retriever training areas from any civil or criminal actions relating to noise pollution.

**HB 1028, PN 3865**

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P. L. 682, No. 284), known as "The Insurance Company Law of 1921," providing for the continuation of basic property insurance for 180 days after the insured's death; and providing for payment of certain fire loss claims.

**HB 1302, PN 3841**

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), known as the "Second Class County Code," authorizing the county commissioners in counties of the second class to impose a fee on civil and criminal cases filed in the court of common pleas to help defray the cost of maintaining records; and further providing for fiscal years and budgets.

**HB 1323, PN 3833**

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992.

**HB 2521, PN 3724**

An Act providing for the transfer of funds within the Capital Facilities Fund designated for State Highway and Bridge Authority projects and Advanced Construction Interstate projects to highway projects of the Department of Transportation; and providing for the transfer of the balance of funds designated for site development projects.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Melio.

Mr. MELIO. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

On HB 2773, amendment 3066, I would like to be in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. MELIO. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Wright.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1959, amendment 2855, I was in my seat but not recorded. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Surra.

Mr. SURRA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 2773, amendment 3066, my switch failed to record my vote, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Krebs.

Mr. KREBS. Mr. Speaker, on HR 344 I would like to be recorded as a "yes."

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Fee.

Mr. FEE. Mr. Speaker, on HB 1959, amendment 2855, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

**RULES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, regarding some logistical information for the members of the House, the Rules Committee will meet at 5:30 p.m. in the majority caucus room.

**APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING**

Mr. DeWEESE. The Appropriations Committee of the House will meet at 5:40, 10 minutes later, in the majority caucus room.

**DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS**

Mr. DeWEESE. We will at that point break for dinner, and the Democratic Caucus will get back together at 7, and we will return to the floor at 8.

That is the schedule for this evening.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, could give us some indication of the subjects that

we are going to have all this time to ponder during the 10 minutes between the Rules Committee and the Appropriations Committee. I know the Rules Committee is a deliberate body, and I am wondering what we are going to be deliberating.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese. The gentleman is deliberating.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would be happy to interrogate—

Mr. DeWEESE. We were trying to get, Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. We were trying to get some prose description of several of the measures.

We will be dealing with not only HB 1320, which is Mr. Loeper's budget proposal, but also SB 9, which deals with maple syrup urine disease, and HB 2723, the Consumer Advocate budget. That will be the deliberation that we will share at 5:30.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Ten minutes would seem to be plenty of time to handle those matters.

Mr. Speaker, one other thing.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Is the Chair able to advise or the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, able to advise us, of those bills left on the calendar, are any of them to be voted today or amendments to be offered, so we have all of these things for caucus?

The SPEAKER. SB 1443 and SB 1536 are the only items left on the calendar.

The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes.

Mr. RYAN. And is the gentleman aware of any amendments that we might have for caucus or Rules?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Strittmatter, evidenced an interest in amending SB 1443.

The Chair urges anyone who is going to offer amendments to either of those two bills to circulate them to the respective caucuses.

When we recess, it will be until the call of the Chair. The Chair anticipates returning at 8 p.m.

The gentleman, Mr. Evans, and the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, have indicated an interest in amending SB 1536.

Is there any further business to be brought before the House?

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Veon.

The gentleman, Mr. Veon, indicates that the meeting that is usually held at the Harrisburg Area Community College on Tuesday will reconvene shortly at the same location. Any questions on the subject can be referred to the gentleman, Mr. Veon, who is immediately over here.

#### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, in the haste to recess, we did not announce that there will be a Republican caucus at 7:15.

The SPEAKER. A Republican caucus at 7:15.

#### RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will now be in recess until the call of the Chair.

#### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

#### BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

##### SB 1747, PN 2237

By Rep. EVANS

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

##### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### SB 1748, PN 2238

By Rep. EVANS

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

##### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### SB 1749, PN 2239

By Rep. EVANS

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

##### APPROPRIATIONS.

##### SB 1750, PN 2240

By Rep. EVANS

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

##### APPROPRIATIONS.



**SB 1760, PN 2250** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1761, PN 2251** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making appropriations to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1762, PN 2252** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1763, PN 2253** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1766, PN 2256** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1767, PN 2257** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1768, PN 2258** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1769, PN 2259** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1770, PN 2260** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1771, PN 2261** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making appropriations to St. Christopher's Hospital, Philadelphia.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1772, PN 2262** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1773, PN 2263** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1774, PN 2264** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1775, PN 2265** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1776, PN 2266** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Rehabilitation Institute of Pittsburgh.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1777, PN 2267** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1778, PN 2268** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1779, PN 2269** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1780, PN 2270** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to The Carnegie for the Carnegie Museum of Natural History and the Carnegie Science Center.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1781, PN 2271** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1782, PN 2272** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1783, PN 2273** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1784, PN 2274** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Afro-American Historical and Cultural Museum for operating expenses.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 1785, PN 2275** By Rep. EVANS  
An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILLS ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM RULES COMMITTEE**

**HB 1320, PN 3735** By Rep. DeWEESE

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Pennsylvania Economic Revitalization Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund and the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992.

RULES.

**HB 2467, PN 3682** By Rep. DeWEESE

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Commerce.

RULES.

**SB 9, PN 2395** By Rep. DeWEESE

An Act amending the act of September 9, 1965 (P. L. 497, No. 251), entitled "An act requiring physicians, hospitals and other institutions to administer or cause to be administered tests for phenylketonuria and other metabolic diseases upon infants in certain cases," further providing for a newborn child screening program.

RULES.

**RULES SUSPENDED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that rule 30 be suspended to permit HB 2801 to go immediately to the calendar without referral to the Rules Committee.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucy	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freind	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	
Donatucci			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—1

Johnson

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

**AMENDED HOUSE BILL  
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 2801, PN 3856**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

**HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 2508, PN 3255**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.  
**Mr. DeWEESE**. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 532 be taken from the table and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**SB 532, PN 1996.**

**BILL RECOMMITTED**

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.  
**Mr. DeWEESE**. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 532 be recommitted to the Rules Committee.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**CALENDAR CONTINUED**

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1536, PN 2389**, entitled:

An Act providing for the adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Fish Fund and the Boat Fund.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
**Mr. EVANS** offered the following amendments No. A3113:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 3 through 10, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Itemizing Fiscal Year 1992-1993 capital budget projects in the categories of highway projects and Fish Fund and Boat Fund projects to be constructed or acquired by the Department of Transportation or the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, together with their estimated financial costs; and stating the estimated useful life of the projects.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 13 through 20; pages 2 through 4, lines 1 through 30; page 5, lines 1 through 8, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

**CHAPTER 1**

**PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS**

Section 101. Short title.

This act shall be known and may be cited as the Capital Budget Project Itemization Act for 1992-1993.

Section 102. Total authorizations.

(a) Highway projects.—The total authorization for the capital projects in the category of highway projects itemized in Chapter 3 and to be constructed by the Department of Transportation, its successors or assigns, and to be financed from current revenues of the Motor License Fund, shall be \$40,064,000.

(b) Fish Fund and Boat Fund authorizations.—The total authorizations for the capital projects in the category of public improvement projects for acquisition and development as itemized in Chapter 5 and to be financed out of current revenues of the Fish Fund and the Boat Fund shall be \$2,800,000.

**CHAPTER 3**

**ITEMIZATION OF HIGHWAY PROJECTS**

Section 301. Itemization of highway projects.

Additional capital projects in the category of public highway projects to be constructed by the Department of Transportation, its successors or assigns, and to be financed from current revenues of the Motor License Fund, are hereby itemized, together with their respective estimated financial costs, as follows:

Project	Total Project Allocation
(1) Allegheny County	
(i) S.R.0051	
(A) S.R.0051 from Crane Avenue to Parkway, pavement rehabilitation (Base Construction Allocation - \$1,102,000) (Design and Contingencies - \$38,000)	1,140,000
(2) Blair County	
(i) S.R.0022	
(A) S.R.0022 and Allegheny Street intersection, Hollidaysburg Borough, intersection improvement (Base Construction Allocation - \$773,000) (Land Allocation - \$20,000) (Design and Contingencies - \$60,000)	853,000
(3) Elk County	
(i) S.R.0219	
(A) Wilcox Street to the Halsey Road intersection, including part in McKean County, restoration (Base Construction Allocation - \$2,600,000) (Design and Contingencies - \$100,000)	2,700,000
(4) Erie County	
(i) I-90	
(A) I-90 from Ohio line, Milepost 0.0 to Milepost 18.5, restoration (Base Construction Allocation - \$1,800,000)	1,850,000

(Design and Contingencies - \$50,000)	
(5) Fayette County	
(i) S.R.0119	
(A) S.R.0119 from North Connellsville to Everson, Bullsken Township, restoration	9,175,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$8,300,000)	
(Land Allocation - \$700,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$175,000)	
(6) Greene County	
(i) I-79	
(A) I-79 from Milepost 4 to Milepost 8.4, 2 miles south and 2 miles north of Kirby, interchange restoration	2,020,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$2,000,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$20,000)	
(7) Jefferson County	
(i) S.R.0219	
(A) S.R.0219 from I-80 to Brockway Borough, Washington and Snyder Townships, restoration	3,500,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$3,350,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$150,000)	
(8) Lancaster County	
(i) S.R.0030	
(A) I-283 from Dauphin County line to Elizabethtown Road, Elizabethtown Borough and Mt. Joy Township, reconstruction	7,677,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$7,285,000)	
(Land Allocation - \$28,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$364,000)	
(9) Mifflin County	
(i) S.R.0322	
(A) S.R.0322 from Milroy to and including Seven Mountains, Armagh Township, including Centre County portion, restoration	4,550,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$4,400,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$150,000)	
(10) Washington County	
(i) S.R.0022	
(A) S.R.0022 from Allegheny County line to S.R.0018, restoration	6,599,000
(Base Construction Allocation - \$6,524,000)	
(Design and Contingencies - \$75,000)	

CHAPTER 5

PENNSYLVANIA FISH AND BOAT COMMISSION PROJECTS

Section 501. Total authorizations.

Additional capital projects in the category of public improvement projects for acquisition and development by the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission and to be financed out of current revenues of the Fish Fund and the Boat Fund are hereby itemized, together with their respective estimated financial costs.

Section 502. Project description.

(a) Bucks County

- (1) Acquisition and development of 25 acres (more or less) of land, including the spring or springs of water arising thereon and the buildings, structures, improvements and other appurtenances thereto, known as the

Ingham Springs property, Solebury Township	\$850,000
(b) Centre County	
(1) Acquisition of lands, waters and interests in lands and waters along and adjacent to Spring Creek from the headwaters in Harris Township downstream to the confluence with Bald Eagle Creek in Boggs Township	\$1,750,000
(c) Franklin County	
(1) Acquisition of lands, waters and interests in lands along the Falling Springs Branch, from the headwaters in Guilford Township downstream to the confluence with the Conococheague Creek	\$200,000

Section 503. Financing of projects.

The funding sources for the following projects are:

- (1) Bucks County; Fish Fund \$850,000.
- (2) Centre County; Fish Fund \$1,750,000.
- (3) Franklin County; Fish Fund \$200,000.

CHAPTER 7

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Section 701. Estimated useful life.

The General Assembly states that the estimated useful life of the projects itemized in this act is 30 years.

Section 702. Federal funds.

All moneys received from the Federal Government for the construction of the projects specifically itemized in this act are also hereby appropriated for those projects.

Section 703. Allocation of funds.

Whenever as determined by the Department of Transportation that the full estimated financial costs of the projects itemized in Chapter 3 are not necessary for the proper design, acquisition or construction of such projects, the excess funds no longer required may be allocated by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation to increase the estimated costs of any one or more of the projects specifically itemized in a capital budget.

CHAPTER 9

EFFECTIVE DATE

Section 901. Effective date.

This act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Evans.

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, my understanding, first, is that this is an agreed-upon amendment. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, I would like to give somewhat of an explanation on this amendment.

This amendment, Mr. Speaker, would add needed summer highway authorization to the bill, and the purpose of it, Mr. Speaker, is the finance will come from the Motor License Fund revenue.

The highway projects across the State are ready for construction. Failure to move these projects will mean loss in construction jobs, and the delay will also mean a loss in the summer construction season for these projects. These projects will stimulate the State's economy and create jobs.

I would ask that members on both sides of the aisle vote for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The Chair notes the presence of Representative Johnson in the hall of the House. His name will be added to the master roll.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1536 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Acosta	Donatucci	Kukovich	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	LaGrotta	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Leh	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Freind	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Staback
Black	Gannon	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	Geist	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	George	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gerlach	Mayermik	Stetler
Broujos	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Suban
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Hayden	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Heckler	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafrella	Hughes	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Veon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	James	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Jarolin	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Josephs	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kaiser	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kasunic	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	King	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kosinski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Krebs	Ritter	Speaker
Dermody	Kruszewski	Robinson	

NAYS—1

Thomas

NOT VOTING—3

Langtry	Maiale	Mrkonic
---------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. This bill will be over temporarily.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILLS ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 2467, PN 3682**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Commerce.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those voting to nonconcur will vote "no."

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Rudy
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Ryan
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Saloom
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saurman
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Scheetz
Argall	Fargo	Leh	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Semmel
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Serafini
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Smith, B.
Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Stairs
Black	Geist	McNally	Steelman
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayermik	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Suban
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello

Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Rieger	
Dempsey	Krebs	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kruszewski	Robinson	Speaker
Dermody	Kukovich	Roebuck	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Maiale	Mrkonic	Reber
--------	--------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS  
TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to **SB 9, PN 2395**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of September 9, 1965 (P. L. 497, No. 251), entitled "An act requiring physicians, hospitals and other institutions to administer or cause to be administered tests for phenylketonuria and other metabolic diseases upon infants in certain cases," further providing for a newborn child screening program.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; opposed, "nay."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Rudy
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Ryan
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Saloom
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saurman
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Scheetz
Argall	Fargo	Leh	Schuler
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Semmel
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Serafini
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Smith, B.

Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Stairs
Black	Geist	McNally	Steelman
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pesci	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cole	James	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Preston	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
DeLuca	Kasunic	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Rieger	
Dempsey	Krebs	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kruszewski	Robinson	Speaker
Dermody	Kukovich	Roebuck	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Maiale	Mihalich	Mrkonic
--------	--------	----------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to the House amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN  
SENATE AMENDMENTS CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 1320, PN 3735**, entitled:

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Pennsylvania Economic Revitalization Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund and the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund to the Executive

Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a point of parliamentary inquiry?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. RYAN. The rules that were adopted here, I am going to say 3 or 4 weeks ago—I really do not remember exactly when it was—but it was a temporary rule that we adopted—well, we did not really adopt it; you guys adopted it over there—that—

The SPEAKER. To the gentleman's inquiry, the answer is yes, the House adopted it.

Mr. RYAN. Yes. Would you tell me if that rule applies to tonight's situation? It is my understanding that it does not because it referred to HB 2696.

The SPEAKER. If the Chair may reframe the gentleman's inquiry, the temporary rule that was adopted by the House would not apply to HB 1320.

Mr. RYAN. That being the case, Mr. Speaker, this bill, HB 1320—continuing my parliamentary inquiry—is caught under one of the other rules that was adopted I believe earlier this year or perhaps last year as one of the permanent rules, which requires on a concurrence such as this one that I suspend the rules of the House in order to offer an amendment. Is that accurate or inaccurate?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. RYAN. I thought that.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a statement and then a motion.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, obviously HB 1320 that is before us is the vehicle that the majority party has decided to use in connection with at least moving or advancing the cause of the appropriations for this year.

For reasons which I will express later after the consideration of my amendment, if in fact it is considered, I think this bill, HB 1320, should go to a conference committee perhaps,

and if not, and if not, certain amendments, a number of amendments, should be included in the bill. I have one, for instance, that I think should be offered, and it is not unlike one that Mr. DeWeese had in his bill, which provides money for the Veterinary School.

### POINT OF ORDER

Mr. DeWEESE. Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Point of order.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. DeWEESE. The gentleman is commencing to have conversation on his amendments.

The SPEAKER. Technically the matter before the House is the concurrence in Senate amendments, but the gentleman is about to make a motion, and we can either take the motion and the gentleman can address the motion or we can have the gentleman speak and then put his motion.

In any event, the Chair would urge the gentleman to avoid speaking about the merits of his amendments, which are not before the House.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, that is the purpose of my taking the floor, to talk about changing this bill so that the School of Veterinary Medicine and the ITEC - Information Technology Education for the Commonwealth - computer problems that exist out at Clarion University can be addressed.

You are telling me I am not permitted to speak on this?

The SPEAKER. The rules provide limitations on debate, and one of the limitations is that you are not allowed to speak on matters that are not before the House, and the gentleman's amendment at this point is not before the House. If the gentleman's motion is successful, the amendment will probably be before the House.

Mr. RYAN. So I am not speaking on my amendment, which I have not offered.

The SPEAKER. One would hope not.

### MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, at this time I would move that the rules be suspended to permit me to offer amendment A3112 to HB 1320, PN 3735.

The SPEAKER. Would the gentleman like to also include in his motion the other amendment that he is considering?

Mr. RYAN. If this is accepted, that will not be necessary. But, yes. All right.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

The gentleman, Mr. Ryan, moves to suspend the rules to permit consideration of the above-mentioned amendment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

Mr. RYAN. May I speak on the question?

The SPEAKER. Well—

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote. The clerk will strike the vote.

It is technically not a debatable motion.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. I realized that as soon as it was run, and I would ask that the roll call be taken.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, seeking recognition?

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I cannot be, I cannot be more clear in my request to the members of the House to oppose suspension of the rules. If we move to suspend the rules, naturally the measure if adopted would go back to the Senate and we would find ourselves in the same dilemma that we have been in in recent days and recent weeks.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman—

Mr. DeWEESE. I would ask that—

Mr. RYAN. I would ask that—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RYAN. I would ask that the gentleman abide by the rules of the House as explained to me by him.

The SPEAKER. Well, as I indicated to the gentleman when he rose to speak, two things: one, that technically it is not a debatable motion and that the leaders are generally given greater latitude, and then I proceeded to recognize the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, who then withdrew and would like to move this along.

If the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, would finish his remarks, then perhaps the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, would like to address the issue.

Mr. DeWEESE. I would ask that the members of the Democratic Caucus and any other members who wish to join us in this procedural vote vigorously vote in the negative against suspension of the rules. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would urge that only those members who are present and in their seats be permitted to vote, and I would request an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RYAN. Is the gentleman, Mr. Trello, on the floor of the House?

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman would suspend.

This takes a constitutional majority to suspend the rules, and so it is only the "yes" votes that are of legal significance.

The Chair would request the gentleman's acquiescence to tally the vote.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—91

Adolph	Fairchild	King	Saurman
Allen	Fargo	Langtry	Scheetz
Anderson	Farmer	Lawless	Schuler
Angstadt	Fleagle	Lee	Semmel
Argall	Flick	Leh	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster	McHale	Smith, B.
Barley	Gannon	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Geist	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Black	Gerlach	Merry	Snyder, G.
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Bush	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harley	Nyce	Telek
Cessar	Hasay	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Hayes	Perzel	Tulli
Civera	Heckler	Phillips	Uliana
Clark	Herman	Piccola	Vance
Clymer	Hershey	Pitts	Vroon
Davies	Hess	Raymond	Wilson
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Reber	Wogan
Dent	Johnson	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Durham	Kenney	Ryan	

NAYS—104

Acosta	Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy
Arnold	Evans	Linton	Saloom
Battisto	Fajt	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belardi	Fee	Lucyk	Staback
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Steelman
Billow	Gamble	McGeehan	Steighner
Bishop	George	McNally	Stetler
Blaum	Gigliotti	Markosek	Stish
Bowley	Gruitza	Mayernik	Stuban
Broujos	Haluska	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hanna	Michlovic	Surra
Caltagirone	Harper	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hayden	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hughes	Murphy	Thomas
Carone	Itkin	Olasz	Tigue
Cawley	James	Oliver	Trello
Cohen	Jarolin	Pesci	Trich
Colafiglia	Josephs	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Petrone	Veon
Cole	Kasunic	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Kosinski	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Krebs	Richardson	Wozniak
Coy	Kruszewski	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Ritter	
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Daley	Laughlin	Roebuck	Speaker
Dermody	Lescovitz		

NOT VOTING—6

Cornell	Gallen	Mrkonic	Nahill
Freind	Maiale		

EXCUSED—0

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?



The SPEAKER. Those in favor—

Mr. RYAN. Is this on the question of concurrence?

The SPEAKER. This is on the question. Is there anyone seeking recognition on the question?

The Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, this bill came over from the Senate some time ago, and I believe that when it came over, I made comments to the effect that I thought it was a pretty good bill, not perfect, but then there have been few perfect bills that have passed this House, I suppose, and under any number of circumstances I would urge my caucus to support this bill. However—

The SPEAKER. In the interest of time, the Chair would urge the members' close attention.

Mr. RYAN. —however, earlier today a meeting took place that I found very disturbing. It was a meeting that I am sure I will hear catcalls on from the other side, but before you do it, I ask you to remember that it is a long road that has no turns. Put yourself in the position of the people on this side. And before I keep going into that, I want you to— And I am deadly serious about what I am talking about, and God knows, you know, I can fool around and joke with the best of you, but here this year to a great extent I have felt and the members of my caucus have felt, Mr. Speaker, that we have been shorted; we really have not been part of this process to a great extent. Now, let me tell you why I say that.

For the first time in I do not know how many years, we have had a number of parliamentary moves designed to cut off debate. We found one that I had never heard of before, I had never seen in action before, designed by the Appropriations Committee chairman on the other side, I guess—I think it was he who presented it—and that was the one that limited the amendments to the general appropriation bill to one amendment from all of us, not just from this side but from everybody in this House, one amendment, and the only perfect person in this whole House under these rules, which were adopted by all of you, that could offer this one amendment was me. I had to be the spokesperson for all of you, the rank and file of both the Republican and the Democrat side. This was absolutely legislation at its worst. Now, back to today, back to today.

We met for the past couple of weeks, and I think an honest effort was made to a great extent by all of us to come together with some kind of a budget. There were some differences that were financial, there were some differences that were philosophical, and that is the way it has always been and that is the way it always will be.

Today, however, we came to town, and at 12:30 this afternoon I went down to the office of the Secretary of the Budget, Mr. Hershock. Now, we started into a, quote, "private conversation," and I stopped him—and the people there were Mr. Evans, myself, Senator Fumo, Senator Mellow, Senator Loeper—I stopped him and I said, is this conversation embargoed, is this privileged, or is it and may it be repeated? And the answer is it is open; it is an open door. Three times I asked that question and wrote it down, and this is what I was

told, and this is what causes me at this time to urge my members and any fair-thinking members on the other side to give some thought to what you are about to do.

I was told first by the gentleman, Mr. Hershock, that if this bill goes through—and this almost makes no sense to me—but if this bill goes through, that it has no legislative initiative moneys in it, except a small amount equal to one-tenth of what it was last year, and that money would be reserved for the Democrats. The Republicans would receive none. I was told by the Secretary of the Budget that the legislative accounts would be evened up by the Governor by striking moneys in the various accounts. I suppose most of that would be mine because over the years I have not spent as much as some of the others, although the Speaker is sitting on \$2.75 million in his checkbook, which I also called to the Secretary's attention and asked if that counted for him, and he said he was not sure about that. I guess he did not want to take on the gentleman, Mr. O'Donnell. I do not blame him. He is a worthy adversary, and he has got a big hammer up there on his desk.

The other thing, the other thing he said was this: There will be no—he did not say there would be 10 percent, 15 percent, 20 percent, 30 or 40 or 50 or 60 or 70 percent—he said there will be no money for State-aided schools, none, zero. Now, what that means—well, those of you who are clapping probably should enroll for a course or two to make yourselves a little brighter—but what that means is the great medical center of the metropolitan Philadelphia area— And if Philadelphia is nothing else, it is one of the great medical centers not of the United States but perhaps of the world with its many, many medical schools, pharmaceutical schools, pharmaceutical plants, and medical facilities generally, and they would all get zero.

I want to thank you for being so polite.

Mr. Speaker, may I have the attention of the House?

The SPEAKER. I think the gentleman has provoked the attention.

The Chair would urge the members to be quiet, and in the interest of time, we can finish this debate.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

Our problems started pretty much, according to the newspapers, on the question of welfare reform, and I think there is a lot of truth to that. When I say "our problems," I am referring to the problem of trying to resolve the differences really between the caucuses, and today I heard a Senator from the other party agree with me that the tie-up seemed to be, to a great extent, with you folks over there on the question of welfare reform. Now, I am not being critical on that, because everybody has to take a stand somewhere. Right? But let us see what we are taking a stand on.

I think the folks on this side of the aisle, I think my instructions, if you will, when we went into the process of negotiating a budget, I think my instructions were pretty much that we owe the teachers of Pennsylvania our support when the question of their retirement fund comes up, and many of us, on both sides of the aisle, signed papers to that effect, as to how

we would or would not support the teachers' retirement fund and the legislation that might affect it. Members of my side of the aisle instructed me—and it may be that you did the same thing with them; I am not saying that you did or did not—there is \$125 million that is written into the law today, that you folks are going to have to change because I am not, there is \$125 million written into the law for basic education and it is nowhere in this budget, and someone is going to have to change that law. I am not.

The other thing that people on this side of the aisle were very concerned with was higher education. We came to realize after a while— We wanted 100-percent funding for higher education, and kicking and screaming and being dragged to the truth fountain, I guess, we saw that we just were not going to be able to get that full 100 percent. We agreed in our own minds as leaders, before we talked to our caucus—and this was true with the Senate Republican leaders—that some reduction was going to have to take place. The Governor made recommendations, and we were agreeing pretty much with the Governor on that score.

We did not agree with the Governor, do not agree with the Governor, and will not agree with the Governor nor will we agree with your caucus leaders that the State-aided schools do not deserve the support of this General Assembly. We stand behind the State-aided schools of Pennsylvania, and if you are going to do it, you are going to do it on your own. We are not going to help you do that. We are not going to help you put them under. We are not going to help you close the University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine, which is what they said they would have to do, and I believe it, because all of a sudden, we are taking \$15 or \$16 million away from them. That \$15 or \$16 million—I forget the exact number; maybe it was \$14 million—that Mr. Evans, the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, put into the Ag line here a couple, 3 weeks ago, that is not in this bill, you know. You know it is not there, and the Governor has since said that he is not going to do it.

Now, I got a copy of a wire service story—I wish I had brought it with me, but I do not think I did; it may be that it is here—where Secretary Hershock is quoted by Mr. Coleman saying that “Casey would cut most of the spending for private universities, said state Budget Secretary Michael Hershock. The governor also would push for supplemental appropriations later this year to beef up spending for state police, services for the handicapped and state agencies,” he said. But he told five of us there would be no money for them. I do not know which time he was telling the truth, when he talked with the press or when he talked to five legislative leaders. I would guess it is a tossup.

Welfare reform - that was an important part of our program. Now, there are two ways you can go about welfare reform. You can do something that is meaningful in 1 or 2 bills, and you can do something that is long in 50 bills but accomplishes little, or you can do something in between. We tried the last two things, I think.

There are any number of things that the majority Appropriations Committee chairman proposed by way of welfare reform proposals, but when you put them all together—and he is Appropriations chairman—when you put them all together, they did not amount to \$5 million in new savings. I mean, we did things like ordering someone to keep track of when people moved into Pennsylvania and let us change the name of the department, but his recommendations did nothing about saving any money.

I probably went too far the other way in the minds of many people, not in my mind but in the minds of many people. I went so far at one point to say that people between the ages of 18 and 45 who are able-bodied, who have not just lost their unemployment comp benefits—they are excluded from it—they should be taken off the welfare rolls. They get \$200 a month three times a year and a medical card. That is \$200 million that we could save the people of Pennsylvania, and we would be one of, I think, 33 other States if we did it. Now, that was considered radical. I tried to compromise that down and talk in terms of, let us do it then and just take the \$600 away from these able-bodied 18- to 45-year-old people. That is \$600 a year they get. Yeah, that is a lot, but it does not make or break an individual, in my judgment, but that \$60 million could make or break a budget, I suggest.

There were other things that made no sense whatsoever to me, that I met with resistance on. We talked in terms of having to wait, a waiting period, before you went onto welfare. I said, how about 90 days? I started off, of course, higher. I said, how about 90 days? Well, the gentleman said 30 days for residence, be a resident of Pennsylvania. A senior citizen today in Pennsylvania has to be here for 90 days before they can get a PACE (Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly) card, but you can walk into Pennsylvania from any State and get welfare the day you walk in. That does not seem right to me. It really does not seem fair.

Speaking of fair, we in Pennsylvania say to our senior citizens, you senior citizens who have a PACE card, if you want something other than a generic drug, you can get it but you have to pay the difference. If you want a brand-name drug, you pay the difference, Mr. Senior Citizen, and what do we say to the welfare recipient? We say, if you are not happy with your generic drug, well, you can insist on and get the name-brand drug and the drugstore pays for it. Now, this is not right. It makes no sense to me, and these are things that I thought should be changed.

I do not know. I do not know who is going to pay these tuition bills for the students of Pennsylvania who for the second or third year in a row are not getting an increase in their schools, are not getting an increase in State aid. I wonder who is going to pay the tuition increases at the medical schools of Pennsylvania. Most of these young students today, most of the young students today— I know what you are going to say because I have said it myself - doctors make too much money. The truth of the matter is, they may but it is many years from now, and they are coming out of medical school today owing \$100,000 and \$150,000 for their tuition, room, and board,

and I do not know what happens to the medical school tuitions when we take them completely out of the programs that we have had here in Pennsylvania for at least as many years as I have been here.

I am going to say this seriously to you. The members of my caucus had to listen to most of this before, and considering this House and the lateness of the hour—and when I say “considering this House,” it is because I know how we, we, all of us, are inclined to chat a little bit rather than listen to what is going on—I want to say sincerely thank you, because I think you have been very polite. That does not mean that you are going to support me, but nevertheless, I thank you.

But really, think about the fairness of what I have been told is going to happen, and it is the simple fact that who is in the majority by a couple of votes. Just think what a couple of votes does. It gives somebody the right to say we are taking your initiative money away from you; we are taking your legislative accounts down and away from you or some of them. That is tough stuff, and remember that long road with no turns. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Evans.

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, we on this side of the aisle have tried every way you can think of to make this process work. Bill DeWeese, as a new majority leader, and Dwight Evans, in his second time around as chairman of the House Appropriations Committee and his second budget, approached this budget probably like the Mike Sturlas, the Sara Steelmans—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is urged to refrain from mentioning members by name.

Mr. EVANS. Well, forgive me, Mr. Speaker. I will say the new members of the process, that you come in with some sense of enthusiasm and openness and you approach this process feeling and hoping that you can make a difference.

Mr. Speaker, approaching this particular budget, I really felt this particular year that it would be different than last year. Mr. Speaker, unfortunately, we went 34 days past the deadline, and John and Jane Q. Citizen out there are looking at Democrats and Republicans and the Governor alike and saying that something is wrong with the process. Something is wrong with the process when we are not able as a body to agree to disagree without blowing up the process.

Mr. Speaker, we have to meet a deadline. We have a responsibility like John and Jane Q. Citizen who get up every single day and go to work and do their job, and at the end of the week, they get paid for that responsibility. Well, we have a responsibility, too. That is not to say you all will agree with what we come out with, because there are 203 members in this House and there are 50 in the State Senate and there is a Governor, and one thing they have always said about this process is that it is not a perfect process. It is an up-and-down process. It is a process that moves left to right. It is a process that takes a lot of work and a lot of effort.

Let me just give you a little history, Mr. Speaker, because I think it is important to have some history so that we can keep things in perspective.

Mr. Speaker, I know in this caucus on April 4—and this caucus can tell you—before we broke, I told our caucus that on May 4 we were going to introduce a budget, and as soon as they came back from the primary, they needed to be prepared to discuss a budget. On May 6, Mr. Speaker, we introduced a budget. No, it was not a perfect budget, but we introduced a budget. On May 13, for 2 hours with Mr. Pitts, we had discussions and amendments to make adjustments in the budget, and as a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, two amendments were accepted to that budget that moved over to the Senate. On May 19, Mr. Speaker, we had a debate where the minority leader, Mr. Speaker, offered an amendment, and it was an amendment that had to be a balanced-budget amendment, voted up or down. Mr. Speaker, that amendment lost, and we passed the bill over to the Senate.

Mr. Speaker, the Senate Republicans did not introduce their budget until about the second week of June, and they passed it on June 10. Mr. Speaker, from June 15 to June 26, the majority leader and I, in that idealism I talked to you about that new members come with to this process, tried in the spirit of cooperation to come out with a compromise, because we knew that the Senate Republicans had their budget and the House Democrats had their budget and we knew in the bottom line that we had to come in the middle, and we were prepared to negotiate.

Mr. Speaker, I was one of the first ones to say from my caucus, even when some people disagreed with me, that we have got to do something about the welfare system; that the welfare system is not working; that it must change. I was one of the first ones to say that. I told the minority leader that; I told the majority leader that, and I am saying it publicly that we have to make a change. I was prepared to do that, but no, Mr. Speaker, I was not prepared to take extreme measures. I was not prepared, Mr. Speaker, when I know full well that there is an economy in a deep recession and that people need some alternatives. All of you would generally agree if we asked you the question of what is true welfare reform, all of you will have different definitions of what welfare reform is.

So on June 6, Mr. Speaker, unfortunately about 1 o'clock in the morning, the minority leader got up in the room where we were sitting and got upset because the majority leader of this House and the majority leader of the Senate were having a discussion and walked out of the room. Now, I said to myself, Mr. Speaker, as a person who has been around this process, if I agree to disagree, I am going to stay there until we try to work it out, because I have learned, Mr. Speaker, that everybody here is not going to agree with me, and I may not like what you do, but I know full well I have got to be around here and I have got a responsibility. Remember what I was saying earlier about John and Jane Q. Citizen, about meeting a responsibility.

So on June 26 negotiations break up. The majority leader and I, with the rest of our leadership team, sat back and said, well, what do we do? We hear people tell us we have got to have a budget by June 30. The Governor calls. The Governor says to us or the Budget Secretary asks us to come together

one more time today. He asked us to come together. We sat together for 2 1/2 hours and said, can we bring this together? Well, Mr. Speaker, we sat in that room for 2 1/2 hours and we tried to figure out a way how to bring this together, and unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, it did not come together.

Now, we have got to make a decision, because that is what we all are elected for. No, Mr. Speaker, this is not perfect. This is the Senate Republican budget. It is a budget that reflects the times we live in - the times in which it is easier to care about institutions than people; the times in which it is easier than in any time in recent memory to pigeonhole and categorize individuals for the sole purposes of division, separation, and a nasty kind of versus-them mentality.

So, Mr. Speaker, we felt on this particular side, since it is June 29—and it is not March 29, it is not April 29, it is not May 29, but it is June 29—the day before we are supposed to pass a budget, Mr. Speaker, there is something fundamentally going wrong, Mr. Speaker, when the process gets gridlocked and you cannot solve things. We have to make a decision, Mr. Speaker, and that decision that we are recommending is concurrence on HB 1320.

No, it is not the House Democratic budget, Mr. Speaker. No, it is not the budget that we sent over to the Senate, because if the Senate was about cooperation, Mr. Speaker, there would have been some discussion about that particular budget. But this is a budget that we are willing to take, and we are willing to meet the obligations of this State. We are willing to try to say that we have got to move on. Yes, we still have some differences, and yes, there is some unfinished business that we have to conduct, but I am saying to you, Mr. Speaker, when is enough enough? When did we begin to say that we have a responsibility and an obligation to pass a budget on time? We have gotten so used to being around here and avoiding making the tough decisions that we call passing a budget on time July 3, July 4, July 5, July 6. Well, Mr. Speaker, that public that is out there knows that our responsibility is to pass this budget on June 30. That is our responsibility. No matter if you agree or disagree, the bottom line is, we have a responsibility.

Now, understand, I like to play politics as much as the next person, but, Mr. Speaker, there are 12 million people; there are State workers; there are people who are expecting that we are supposed to do something.

Now, you may disagree with Dwight Evans; you may disagree with what I am about, but I share with you, Mr. Speaker, I would hope you would never, never abdicate your responsibility, and that is to pass a budget, and that is to pass a budget on June 30, not July 1, not July 2, not July 3, but June 30.

So in conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I hope that this is not just a partisan vote. I hope that all of you will look at this and say to yourselves that this is a bipartisan action that we need to take, because I believe strongly, Mr. Speaker, if we are ever going to get people to again really look at this process as working and as making a difference, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Democrats and Republicans alike to vote for this particular bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Smith.

Mr. S. H. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am probably stepping out of my bounds, seeing how we usually let this debate fall between the leaders, but, Mr. Speaker, there is something really confusing about this process.

Last year when it came around about this time and we did not have a budget, the word was, oh, we have got to be responsible and we have got to do this thing responsibly, and if that means we have got to raise taxes, that is the responsible thing to do. That is what I read in the newspapers all last summer, through June and July, as the pressure built. I can remember talking to constituents back home, and as the pressure got heavier and heavier, the word was, just pass a budget; just pass a budget. Well, Mr. Speaker, I can recall trying to explain to people that I did not think that is what they elected us to do, to just pass a budget. They elected us to come down here and try to pass a budget that served the Commonwealth well and hopefully within each of our individual legislative districts, that it would serve our districts well, and I was not elected to come down here and agree to something that someone else wanted to do because it was time to pass the budget. It was June 30, and we had to have a budget.

Mr. Speaker, what is confusing to me is last year we dragged our way through this process, grinding slowly, trying to come to some kind of an agreement, whether it was 102 people in this end of the building and 26 in the other and someone in the Governor's Office who was willing to sign it, because we were going to be responsible. All of a sudden this year the tide has changed. Now, to be responsible, we are just going to pass any budget that does not really come complete.

I believe the truth is, what we are doing here today is passing a budget so that the newspapers and the TV stations and the radio stations tomorrow say, "Budget passed by June 30; legislature is on time." Yet I know and I believe everybody in here knows that we are going to be back here sometime soon fixing this thing up. It is not a complete budget, and I am just confused about that because I think that also relates to some of that enthusiasm that the gentleman from Philadelphia related in terms of the new members. I think most of us still come down here trying to do what is right, and I have got to question whether the new members came down here to see red and green on the board, not voting what their conscience is, just red and green, whatever the leader says to vote.

Mr. Speaker, I urge that we do not approve this budget this evening because I do not believe it is a complete budget. I believe we will be back here doctoring it up and that the only reason we are passing this budget tonight is so that the news media will report it as "Budget passed; end of story." It is not the end of the story, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I did not plan to stand up and speak today, but we heard from the rank and file from the other side of the aisle, and I think we should hear a comment or two from the rank and file of this side of the aisle.

We rank-and-file members from this side of the aisle strongly suspect that we were drug into a 7-week delay in adopting last year's budget so that ample fodder would be available for this year's election brochures. We rank-and-file members on this side of the aisle again strongly suspect that the leadership of the minority party wants to once again have us miss our July 1 constitutional deadline, even if it is by a day or two, so that additional inserts can be printed for this year's campaign brochures.

Mr. Speaker, what we have before us today is a Republican budget, and I do not like it. I think it is mean spirited in many respects, and I think it is okay in some others, but this is a budget that most of the members from across the aisle hailed as a much better document than what we passed here in the House a few months before the Senators amended it. It is a much worse document, in my opinion, than the compassionate budget and balanced budget that we sent to them, but nonetheless, what we have before us is a Republican budget.

The minority leader should be urging his members to adopt this budget, not on the speculation of a conversation held with Mike, the minority chairman, with a conversation held with the Appropriations chairman sometime this afternoon. We are not voting on the speculation of a conversation that was held earlier today. We are voting on a document that is in print. In fact, we did not even have to pay to reprint it. It is in print; it is before us. It does contain many of the items that the minority leader said will not be in the final document once it leaves the Governor's Office, but that is not our job, especially the rank and file, to try and guess what the Governor is going to blue-line and what he is not. I suspect that if the Governor takes the blue line to this document, it is going to force the leadership of the four caucuses to come back to Harrisburg and hammer away and pound out some compromises and some supplementals, and I have been here 12 years, and I never recall a year that has gone by where we did not have to vote supplementals for line items.

Today the document we have before us will fund almost every program at last year's levels for at least a period of 11 months. That is what the members ought to be looking at today. As I said earlier, I am not thrilled with this document, but yes, we do have a responsibility, and we can meet that responsibility by adopting this budget tonight. We can always return, and I suspect that maybe we will not return until after the November elections and the brochures have all gone out, but return we will, and we will correct the deficiencies that our side of the aisle finds with this budget as well as those with their side of the aisle.

I hope that we can get the rank and file from the other side to support this budget, and I believe that is what their leadership ought to be asking them to do. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a lameduck, I guess it is not the question of the budget; I guess it is the question of the entire process. I have never heard such a copout in my entire life as this is not the responsibility of the rank and file. It is the actual responsibility of

the rank and file. That is why this process is in the deathdays that it is in right now, because the rank and file is not going to stand up for what their particular needs are in their district and leave it to leadership. That is exactly what the problem is. You can pull all the copouts you want, and you can have the Governor rewrite this thing, just as he did in the past year. What did he do? He made a deal. He did not live up to the deal. I can go over the items, item for item, in education that he did not live up to, and you are willing to sit there, take a copout, and take some more of the same medicine for a process that is just about ready to fall on its face because nobody has the guts to stick up for what they want.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. The gentleman's extravagant manifestation of intrepidity from the microphone just now was not the same, was not the same when Richard Thornburgh was the chief executive at the dawn of the last decade and Republicans controlled the process. It was, it was, it was a different speech that we heard from that same microphone a decade earlier. I just think that is a very interesting phenomenon, and that is obviously a part of our chamber and our collective endeavors.

Mr. Speaker, budgetmaking is a harried and hectored and fragmented process intrinsically. There is no way that 12 million people send 253 ambassadors to Harrisburg and there is a collective conclusion devoid of tumult, suspicion, hurly-burly, wheeling and dealing, doubt, hope, and a lot of politics. That is just inherent in the process.

But notwithstanding the minority leader's lamentations about what Mr. Casey may or may not do with the proverbial blue pencil, it is the same kind of endeavor that was exercised by another chief executive, again at the dawn of the last decade. It is a constitutional obligation from a coequal branch, the executive branch, to overview the process, to overview the documentation which is forwarded to his desk, and in the early 1980's, with Republican nabobs in charge of both chambers and Richard Thornburgh as the chief superintendent of our Commonwealth, the same kind of exercise took place that is taking place tonight.

Now, for many moons we have accepted the practice that those who have the fortitude and the commitment to vote to raise the revenue share and share alike the distribution of discretionary funds. The gentleman from Jefferson County or the Jefferson County collection or any other group who did not vote to raise funds last year were still given ample opportunity to avail themselves of discretionary funds through their caucus leadership team, and maybe that is as it should be and maybe it is not, but the chief executive now, under these comparatively unfavorable and comparatively unpalatable circumstances, will have a chance to exercise some discretion on his part when those of us in this chamber, including almost 100 in the other side who did not vote to raise taxes last year but still simultaneously, together with the men and women they represent in their districts, were the collective beneficiaries of a lot of pain and political courage evinced by the membership on this side.

Mr. Ryan made some jocular reference to a variety of accounts, and I believe it is preferable to allow our machinery to perpetuate with leaders and committees discussing and deliberating the expenditures of these accounts, and we are, have been, and always will be an independent branch, and it will not be my desire in the dialogue that I engender with the Governor to maltreat any internal account that we have.

It should be noted, Mr. Speaker, that over the years, no matter which team is in the majority, it costs a substantial number of tens of thousands of dollars to pay for the logistics, to pay for the salaries of staff for each member, so if one team had 105 and the other team had 92 and there was a vacancy or two, the team that had 105 would be paying a substantial amount of money more out of their accounts. When you are in the majority, you are going to drain your account faster than if you are in the minority and to allow for some remedy, albeit not a drastic remedy, not a laceration of one party's account at the expense of another. I would not look favorably upon that.

As much as anyone might declaim against my involvement in this arena, I would hope, like the gentleman from Blair County, the honorable whip for many years, that I will be at least remembered as focusing on the institution, as being committed to the chamber and to the House, and I would not look favorably upon any executive prerogative that would cavalierly dismiss our internal accounts beyond a reasonable circumstance, beyond an adjustment that would take into account the tough economic times that we are dealing in. Republican members and especially Republican leaders, over the span, have indicated a strong and abiding concern for fiscal responsibility, and without any humor at all, I would suggest that the Budget Secretary and the executive branch will do everything they can to realize some cuts in our executive accounts, but not at the expense of fairness.

I would like to close by saying that I believe at one point in our negotiations we were probably only \$20 or \$30 million apart in our State-aided perspective, and I cannot help but think that we would have been a lot better off if the Republican leadership in the House had thought a little bit about Gannon and Bucknell, Lafayette, Waynesburg, W&J (Washington and Jefferson), Geneva; the tens and tens and tens of colleges and universities in this State that do not receive State aid. But that was not the position embraced by the Republican team in the negotiation setting. If we had only gone to 50 percent of State-aided, 50 percent of that roughly \$80 million, I think we could have patched the hole in the negotiations and we could have had a collective effort.

Notwithstanding our failure on that, I would ask that we vote to concur in this budget because we will have, notwithstanding the jaundice exhibited by the gentleman from Jefferson County, we will have done a budget on time, we will have executed a budget on time, and in the 12 months of the year, I believe that is our preeminent obligation.

I would ask for an affirmative vote on concurrence. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. Mr. Speaker, this is the 28th budget on which I have had to vote. I voted against most of them, and I voted for most of the taxes. I voted for taxes last year and I voted for taxes before when it was a Democratic tax package, because I felt that it was necessary to pass a budget and to fund the Commonwealth. This one disturbs me, I guess, more than any other, and it seems to me that Mr. DeWeese is the shepherd and you are the sheep. Well, I just want you to ask your—I do not know if you like being sheep or not, but just imagine if Mr. DeWeese is a Judas goat.

The SPEAKER. Those voting to concur will vote "aye"; those voting to nonconcur will vote "nay."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—102

Acosta	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Ritter
Arnold	Evans	Levdansky	Robinson
Battisto	Fajt	Linton	Roebuck
Belardi	Fee	Lloyd	Rudy
Belfanti	Foster	Lucyk	Saloom
Billow	Freeman	McCall	Scrimenti
Bishop	Gamble	McGeehan	Staback
Blaum	George	McNally	Steelman
Bowley	Gigliotti	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Gruitza	Markosek	Stetler
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mayernik	Stish
Caltagirone	Hanna	Melio	Stuban
Cappabianca	Harper	Michlovic	Sturla
Carone	Hayden	Mihalich	Surra
Cawley	Hughes	Mundy	Tangretti
Cohen	Itkin	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Colafrilla	James	Nickol	Trello
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Olasz	Trich
Cole	Josephs	Oliver	Van Horne
Corrigan	Kaiser	Pesci	Veon
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrarca	Wambach
Coy	Kosinski	Petrone	Williams
DeLuca	Krebs	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kruszewski	Preston	
Daley	Kukovich	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—93

Adolph	Fairchild	King	Saurman
Allen	Fargo	Langtry	Scheetz
Anderson	Farmer	Lawless	Schuler
Angstadt	Fleagle	Lee	Semmel
Argall	Flick	Leh	Serafini
Armstrong	Gallen	McHale	Smith, B.
Barley	Gannon	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Geist	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Black	Gerlach	Merry	Snyder, G.
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Godshall	Nahill	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Bush	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harley	Nyce	Telek
Cessar	Hasay	O'Brien	Thomas
Chadwick	Hayes	Perzel	Tomlinson
Civera	Heckler	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Herman	Piccola	Uliana
Clymer	Hershey	Pitts	Vance
Cornell	Hess	Raymond	Vroon
Davies	Jadlowiec	Reber	Wilson
Dempsey	Johnson	Reinard	Wogan
Dent	Kenney	Ryan	Wright, M. N.

Durham

NOT VOTING—6

Carn Laughlin Tigie Wozniak  
Freind Mrkonic

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

**HB 1320, PN 3735**

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Pennsylvania Economic Revitalization Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund and the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1992, to June 30, 1993, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992; to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1991, to June 30, 1992, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1992.

**HB 2467, PN 3682**

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Commerce.

**HB 2508, PN 3255**

An Act amending the act of July 2, 1984 (P. L. 520, No. 105), known as the "Business Infrastructure Development Act," further providing for the expiration of the act.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady, Mrs. Laughlin, rise?

Mrs. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, to correct the record.

My switch failed to operate. I want to be recorded in the affirmative on HB 1320.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady. Her remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Wozniak.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Mr. Speaker, I was pushing that button and it did not register, and I want to be registered as an affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Tigie.

Mr. TIGUE. Mr. Speaker, my switch failed to operate. I want to be recorded in the affirmative on the concurrence of HB 1320.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1747, PN 2237**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—192**

Acosta	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Adolph	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Allen	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Anderson	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Angstadt	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Argall	Farmer	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Fee	Linton	Semmel
Arnold	Fleagle	Lloyd	Serafini
Barley	Flick	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Battisto	Foster	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Stairs
Black	Geist	Markosek	Steelman
Blaum	George	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.

Carlson	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hayden	Nyce	Thomas
Cawley	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Cessar	Heckler	Olasz	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Perzel	Trich
Clark	Hess	Pesci	Tulli
Clymer	Hughes	Petrarca	Uliana
Cohen	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafella	James	Phillips	Vance
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Piccola	Veon
Cole	Johnson	Pistella	Vroon
Cornell	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach
Corrigan	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Reber	Wilson
Coy	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
DeLuca	King	Richardson	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Ritter	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Roebuck	Speaker
Dermody	LaGrotta		
Donatucci	Langtry		

NAYS—1

Godshall

NOT VOTING—8

Billow	Freind	Maiale	Noye
DeWeese	Itkin	Mrkonic	Schuler

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The record will reflect a positive vote by the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, and the gentleman, Mr. Itkin.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1748, PN 2238**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Acosta	Dent	Kruszewski	Roebuck
Adolph	Dermody	Kukovich	Rudy
Allen	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Ryan
Anderson	Durham	Laughlin	Saloom
Angstadt	Evans	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fairchild	Leh	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fajt	Lescovitz	Schuler
Arnold	Fargo	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Barley	Farmer	Linton	Semmel
Battisto	Fee	Lloyd	Serafini
Belardi	Fleagle	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Flick	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Foster	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Freeman	McHale	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Staback
Black	Gannon	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	Geist	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	George	Marsico	Stetler
Boyes	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stish
Broujos	Gigliotti	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harley	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Harper	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Chadwick	Heckler	Olasz	Trich
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Tulli
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	Uliana
Clymer	Hess	Pesci	Van Horne
Cohen	Hughes	Petrarca	Vance
Colafella	Itkin	Petrone	Veon
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vroon
Cole	James	Piccola	Wambach
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Williams
Corrigan	Johnson	Pitts	Wogan
Cowell	Josephs	Preston	Wozniak
Coy	Kaiser	Reber	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kasunic	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
DeWeese	Kenney	Richardson	
Daley	King	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Davies	Kosinski	Ritter	Speaker
Dempsey	Krebs	Robinson	

NAYS—5

Gallen	Lawless	Nailor	Steelman
Hasay			

NOT VOTING—7

Freind	Maiale	Raymond	Wilson
Langtry	Mrkonic	Tomlinson	

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.



\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1749, PN 2239**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related university in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Acosta	Donatucci	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lescovitz	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Levdansky	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McNally	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	Maiale	Staback
Black	Geist	Markosek	Stairs
Blaum	George	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pesci	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cole	James	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Preston	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
DeLuca	Kasunic	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Rieger	
Dempsey	Krebs	Ritter	O'Donnell,

Dent  
Dermody

Kukovich  
LaGrotta

Robinson

Speaker

NAYS—2

Leh

Steelman

NOT VOTING—3

Freind

Kruszewski

Mrkonic

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1750, PN 2240**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth;....," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Black	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Blaum	Geist	Markosek	Stairs
Bowley	George	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gerlach	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Noye	Thomas

Cessar	Hayden	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayes	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Heckler	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Uliana
Colaifella	Hughes	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	James	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kasunic	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—2

Leh Steelman

NOT VOTING—5

Billow Kenney Maiale Mrkonic  
Freind

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1760, PN 2250**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Acosta	Donatucci	Kukovich	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	LaGrotta	Robinson
Allen	Evans	Langtry	Roebuck
Anderson	Fairchild	Laughlin	Rudy
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Ryan
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Saloom
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Saurman
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scheetz
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Schuler
Battisto	Flick	Lucy	Scrimenti
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Serafini
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Snyder, G.
Black	Geist	Markosek	Staback

Blaum	George	Marsico	Stairs
Bowley	Gerlach	Mayernik	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaifella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Reinard	
Dempsey	Krebs	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kruszewski	Rieger	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS—9

Carone Leh Steelman Tigue  
Hanna Snyder, D. W. Stetler Wogan  
Lawless

NOT VOTING—5

DeWeese Kenney Maiale Mrkonic  
Freind

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese's vote will be recorded in the affirmative.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1761, PN 2251**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—185

Acosta	Dent	Krebs	Richardson
Adolph	Dermody	Kruszewski	Rieger
Allen	Donatucci	Kukovich	Ritter
Anderson	Durham	LaGrotta	Robinson
Angstadt	Evans	Langtry	Roebuck
Argall	Fairchild	Laughlin	Rudy
Armstrong	Fajt	Lee	Ryan
Arnold	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saloom
Barley	Fee	Levdansky	Scheetz
Battisto	Fleagle	Linton	Schuler
Belardi	Flick	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Foster	Lucyk	Semmel
Billow	Freeman	McCall	Serafini
Birmelin	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gamble	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Black	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Geist	McNally	Staback
Bowley	George	Markosek	Stairs
Boyes	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Broujos	Gigliotti	Mayermik	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Melio	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Michlovic	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mihalich	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Telek
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Thomas
Cawley	Hasay	Nailor	Tomlinson
Cessar	Hayden	Nickol	Trello
Chadwick	Hayes	Noye	Trich
Civera	Heckler	Nyce	Tulli
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Uliana
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Van Horne
Cohen	Hess	Oliver	Vance
Colafella	Hughes	Perzel	Veon
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pesci	Vroon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	James	Petrone	Wilson
Corrigan	Jarolin	Phillips	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kaiser	Pitts	Wright, M. N.
DeWeese	Kasunic	Preston	
Daley	Kenny	Raymond	O'Donnell,
Davies	King	Reber	Speaker
Dempsey	Kosinski	Reinard	

NAYS—11

Carone	Lawless	Steelman	Tangretti
Fargo	Leh	Stetler	Tigue
Hanna	Snyder, D. W.	Stish	

NOT VOTING—5

Freind	Mrkonic	Saurman	Williams
Maiale			

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1762, PN 2252, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Acosta	Dent	Kukovich	Richardson
Adolph	Dermody	LaGrotta	Rieger
Allen	Donatucci	Langtry	Ritter
Anderson	Durham	Laughlin	Robinson
Angstadt	Evans	Lawless	Roebuck
Argall	Fairchild	Lee	Rudy
Armstrong	Fajt	Lescovitz	Ryan
Arnold	Farmer	Levdansky	Saloom
Barley	Fee	Linton	Saurman
Battisto	Fleagle	Lloyd	Scheetz
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Schuler
Belfanti	Foster	McCall	Scrimenti
Billow	Freeman	McGeehan	Semmel
Birmelin	Gallen	McHale	Serafini
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Smith, B.
Black	Gannon	McNally	Snyder, G.
Blaum	George	Maiale	Staback
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Steighner
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayermik	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nailor	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nickol	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	Noye	Trello
Civera	Herman	Nyce	Trich
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Olasz	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Veon
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Vroon
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Petrone	Williams
Corrigan	Josephs	Phillips	Wilson
Cowell	Kaiser	Piccola	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pistella	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kenny	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	King	Preston	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kosinski	Raymond	
Davies	Krebs	Reber	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Reinard	Speaker

NAYS—11

Carone	Hanna	Snyder, D. W.	Stish
Fargo	Leh	Steelman	Tigue
Geist	Smith, S. H.	Stetler	

NOT VOTING—4

Freind James Mrkonic Vance

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1763, PN 2253**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Robinson
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Roebuck
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Rudy
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Ryan
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Saloom
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Saurman
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Scheetz
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Schuler
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Scrimenti
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Serafini
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McNally	Smith, S. H.
Black	Gannon	Maiale	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Geist	Markosek	Staback
Bowley	George	Marsico	Stairs
Boyes	Gerlach	Mayernik	Steighner
Broujos	Gigliotti	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayden	Noye	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Hayes	Nyce	Trello
Civera	Heckler	O'Brien	Trich
Clark	Herman	Olasz	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Oliver	Uliana
Cohen	Hess	Perzel	Van Horne
Colaifella	Hughes	Pesci	Vance
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrarca	Veon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vroon
Cornell	James	Phillips	Wambach
Corrigan	Jarolin	Piccola	Williams
Cowell	Johnson	Pistella	Wilson
Coy	Josephs	Pitts	Wogan

DeLuca	Kasunic	Preston	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Reinard	
Dempsey	Krebs	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kruszewski	Rieger	Speaker
Dermody	Kukovich		

NAYS—9

Carone	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Stish
Hanna	Levdansky	Stetler	Tigue
Kaiser			

NOT VOTING—4

Bishop Freind Mrkonic Steelman

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1766, PN 2256**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Acosta	Dermody	Krebs	Ritter
Adolph	Donatucci	Kruszewski	Robinson
Allen	Durham	Kukovich	Roebuck
Anderson	Evans	LaGrotta	Rudy
Angstadt	Fairchild	Langtry	Ryan
Argall	Fajt	Laughlin	Saloom
Armstrong	Fargo	Lawless	Saurman
Arnold	Farmer	Lee	Scheetz
Barley	Fee	Lescovitz	Schuler
Battisto	Fleagle	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Semmel
Belfanti	Foster	McCall	Serafini
Billow	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gallen	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Black	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Blaum	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Bowley	George	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	Gerlach	Marsico	Stetler
Broujos	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Melio	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Michlovic	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Micozzie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mihalich	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, J.

Carn	Harley	Nahill	Telek
Cawley	Harper	Nailor	Thomas
Cessar	Hasay	Nickol	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Hayden	Noye	Trello
Civera	Hayes	Nyce	Trich
Clark	Heckler	O'Brien	Tulli
Clymer	Herman	Olasz	Uliana
Cohen	Hershey	Oliver	Van Horne
Colaella	Hess	Perzel	Vance
Colaizzo	Hughes	Pesci	Veon
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wambach
Corrigan	James	Phillips	Williams
Cowell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wilson
Coy	Johnson	Pistella	Wogan
DeLuca	Josephs	Pitts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kaiser	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kasunic	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kenney	Reber	
Dempsey	King	Reinard	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kosinski	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—7

Carone	Levdansky	Steelman	Tigue
Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Stish	

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Linton	Mrkonic	Richardson
--------	--------	---------	------------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1767, PN 2257**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Acosta	Dent	Kruszewski	Richardson
Adolph	Dermody	Kukovich	Rieger
Allen	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Ritter
Anderson	Durham	Langtry	Robinson
Angstadt	Evans	Laughlin	Roebuck
Argall	Fairchild	Lawless	Rudy
Armstrong	Fargo	Lee	Ryan
Arnold	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saloom
Barley	Fee	Linton	Saurman
Battisto	Fleagle	Lloyd	Scheetz
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Schuler
Belfanti	Foster	McCall	Scrimenti
Billow	Freeman	McGeehan	Semmel
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Serafini
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Smith, B.

Black	Geist	McNally	Smith, S. H.
Blaum	George	Maiale	Snyder, G.
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Staback
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stairs
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Steighner
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harley	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hayden	Nailor	Telek
Cessar	Hayes	Nickol	Thomas
Chadwick	Heckler	Noye	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Nyce	Trello
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Olasz	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Oliver	Uliana
Colaella	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Vance
Cole	James	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrone	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Piccola	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Pistella	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Pitts	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Preston	Wozniak
Daley	King	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Reinard	

NAYS—10

Carone	Leh	Steelman	Stish
Fajt	Levdansky	Stetler	Tigue
Hanna	Snyder, D. W.		

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Mrkonic
--------	---------

Gallen

O'Donnell,  
Speaker

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1768, PN 2258**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Acosta	Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson
Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Allen	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Anderson	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Argall	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Armstrong	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Arnold	Farmer	Linton	Schuler
Barley	Fee	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fleagle	Lucyk	Semmel
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Billow	Freeman	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Melio	Strittmatter
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Stuban
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Surra
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Telek
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Thomas
Cawley	Hasay	Noye	Tomlinson
Cessar	Hayden	Nyce	Trello
Chadwick	Hayes	O'Brien	Trich
Civera	Heckler	Olasz	Tulli
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Uliana
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Van Horne
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Vance
Colaella	Hughes	Petrarca	Veon
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Vroon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	James	Piccola	Williams
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Wogan
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kasunic	Reber	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Daley	King	Richardson	
Davies	Kosinski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Krebs	Ritter	Speaker
Dent	Kruszewski		

NAYS—8

Carone	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Stish
Jarolin	Levdansky	Stelman	Tigue

NOT VOTING—5

Freind	Mayernik	Mrkonic	Raymond
Gallen			

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1769, PN 2259, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Adolph	Donatucci	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Durham	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Evans	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lescovitz	Saurman
Argall	Fajt	Lloyd	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fargo	Lucyk	Schuler
Arnold	Farmer	McCall	Scrimenti
Barley	Fee	McGeehan	Semmel
Battisto	Fleagle	McHale	Serafini
Belardi	Flick	McHugh	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Foster	McNally	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Freeman	Maiale	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gallen	Markosek	Staback
Bishop	Gamble	Marsico	Stairs
Black	Gannon	Mayernik	Stelman
Blaum	Geist	Melio	Steighner
Bowley	George	Merry	Stetler
Boyes	Gerlach	Michlovic	Stish
Broujos	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Mundy	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Murphy	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nahill	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Nickol	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hasay	Nyce	Telek
Cessar	Hayden	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Hayes	Olasz	Trello
Civera	Heckler	Oliver	Trich
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Pesci	Uliana
Cohen	Hess	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaella	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cole	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Johnson	Pistella	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Cowell	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
Coy	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeLuca	King	Reber	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kruszewski	Ritter	
Dempsey	Kukovich	Robinson	O'Donnell,
Dent	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Speaker
Dermody	Langtry		

NAYS—14

Acosta	Hughes	Levdansky	Snyder, D. W.
Carone	James	Linton	Thomas
Hanna	Kaiser	Richardson	Tigue
Harper	Leh		

NOT VOTING—3

Carn	Freind	Mrkonic
------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1770, PN 2260**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Robinson
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Saurman
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Scheetz
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Schuler
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Semmel
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Smith, B.
Billow	Gamble	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McNally	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Geist	Maiale	Staback
Black	George	Markosek	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Bowley	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Broujos	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Bunt	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Bush	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Hasay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hayden	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayes	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Heckler	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Hershey	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hughes	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Itkin	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Petrone	Veon
Cole	Jarolin	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.

Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS—6

Carone	Leh	Steelman	Tigue
Hanna	Snyder, D. W.		

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Gallen	Linton	Mrkonic
--------	--------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1771, PN 2261**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to St. Christopher's Hospital, Philadelphia.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Acosta	Donatucci	Kruszewski	Rieger
Adolph	Durham	Kukovich	Ritter
Allen	Evans	LaGrotta	Robinson
Anderson	Fairchild	Langtry	Roebuck
Angstadt	Fajt	Laughlin	Rudy
Argall	Fargo	Lawless	Ryan
Armstrong	Farmer	Lee	Saloom
Arnold	Fee	Lescovitz	Saurman
Barley	Fleagle	Levdansky	Scheetz
Battisto	Flick	Linton	Schuler
Belardi	Foster	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Freeman	Lucyk	Semmel
Billow	Gallen	McCall	Serafini
Birmelin	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bishop	Gannon	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Black	Geist	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Blaum	George	McNally	Staback
Bowley	Gerlach	Maiale	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Markosek	Steighner
Broujos	Gladeck	Marsico	Stetler
Brown	Godshall	Mayernik	Stish
Bunt	Gruitza	Melio	Strittmatter
Bush	Gruppo	Merry	Stuban
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Michlovic	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Tangretti
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hasay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayden	Nickol	Telek
Chadwick	Hayes	Noye	Thomas

Civera	Heckler	Nyce	Tigue
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Cohen	Hess	Oliver	Trich
Colaifella	Hughes	Perzel	Tulli
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pesci	Uliana
Cole	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cornell	James	Petrone	Vance
Corrigan	Jarolin	Phillips	Veon
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Vroon
Coy	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
DeLuca	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
DeWeese	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
Daley	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Davies	King	Reber	Wozniak
Dempsey	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Dent	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dermody			

NAYS—4

Carone	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Steelman
--------	-----	---------------	----------

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Mrkonic
Micozzie	O'Donnell, Speaker

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1772, PN 2262**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.  
On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lucy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHugh	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McNally	Stairs
Black	Geist	Maiale	Steelman
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Stetler

Boyes	Gighotti	Mayernik	Stish
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Stuban
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayden	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Colaifella	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Vance
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	James	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kaiser	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kasunic	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	King	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kosinski	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell, Speaker
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	

NAYS—3

Carone	Leh	Snyder, D. W.
--------	-----	---------------

NOT VOTING—4

Freind	Krebs	Mrkonic	Petrarca
--------	-------	---------	----------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1773, PN 2263**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Evans	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Fairchild	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fajt	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fargo	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saurman
Argall	Fee	Levdansky	Scheetz



Armstrong	Fleagle	Linton	Schuler
Arnold	Flick	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Barley	Foster	Lucyk	Semmel
Battisto	Freeman	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Geist	McNally	Staback
Black	George	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gigliotti	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Godshall	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gruitza	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Hagarty	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hasay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Telek
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Thomas
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tigue
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Trich
Cohen	Hughes	Perzel	Tulli
Colafella	Itkin	Pesci	Uliana
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	James	Petrone	Vance
Cornell	Jarolin	Phillips	Veon
Corrigan	Johnson	Piccola	Vroon
Cowell	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Coy	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
DeLuca	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeWeese	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
Daley	King	Reber	Wozniak
Davies	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Dempsey	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dent	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dermody	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—3

Carone           Leh                   Snyder, D. W.

NOT VOTING—3

Bishop           Freind               Mrkonic

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1774, PN 2264**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Durham	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Langtry	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gannon	McHugh	Staback
Black	Geist	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	George	Maiale	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Perzel	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—2

Leh                   Snyder, D. W.

NOT VOTING—4

Bishop           Freind               Mrkonic           Petrarca

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1775, PN 2265, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

- Acosta Durham LaGrotta Rudy
Adolph Evans Langtry Ryan
Allen Fairchild Laughlin Saloom
Anderson Fajt Lawless Saurman
Angstadt Fargo Lee Scheetz
Argall Farmer Lescovitz Schuler
Armstrong Fee Levdansky Scrimenti
Arnold Fleagle Lloyd Semmel
Barley Flick Lucyk Serafini
Battisto Foster McCall Smith, B.
Belardi Freeman McGeehan Smith, S. H.
Belfanti Gallen McHale Snyder, D. W.
Billow Gamble McHugh Snyder, G.
Birmelin Gannon McNally Staback
Bishop Geist Maiale Stairs
Black George Markosek Steelman
Blaum Gerlach Marsico Steighner
Bowley Gigliotti Mayernik Stetler
Boyes Gladeck Melio Stish
Broujos Godshall Merry Strittmatter
Brown Gruitza Michlovic Stuban
Bunt Gruppo Micozzie Sturla
Bush Hagarty Mihalich Surra
Butkovitz Haluska Mundy Tangretti
Caltagirone Hanna Murphy Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca Harley Nahill Taylor, F.
Carlson Harper Nailor Taylor, J.
Carn Hasay Nickol Telek
Cawley Hayden Noye Thomas
Cessar Hayes Nyce Tigue
Chadwick Heckler O'Brien Tomlinson
Civera Herman Olasz Trello
Clark Hershey Perzel Trich
Clymer Hess Pesci Tulli
Cohen Hughes Petrarca Uliana
Colafrella Itkin Petrone Van Horne
Colaizzo Jadlowiec Phillips Vance
Cole James Piccola Veon
Cornell Jarolin Pistella Vroon
Corrigan Johnson Pitts Wambach
Cowell Josephs Preston Williams
Coy Kaiser Raymond Wilson
DeLuca Kasunic Reber Wogan
DeWeese Kenney Reinard Wozniak
Daley King Richardson Wright, D. R.
Davies Kosinski Rieger Wright, M. N.
Dempsey Krebs Ritter
Dent Kruszewski Robinson O'Donnell,
Dermoddy Kukovich Roebuck Speaker
Donatucci

NAYS—1

Leh

NOT VOTING—5

Carone Linton Mrkonic Oliver
Freind

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1776, PN 2266, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Rehabilitation Institute of Pittsburgh.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

- Acosta Dermody Kukovich Robinson
Adolph Donatucci LaGrotta Roebuck
Allen Durham Langtry Rudy
Anderson Evans Laughlin Ryan
Angstadt Fairchild Lawless Saloom
Argall Fajt Lee Saurman
Armstrong Fargo Lescovitz Scheetz
Arnold Farmer Levdansky Schuler
Barley Fee Linton Scrimenti
Battisto Fleagle Lloyd Semmel
Belardi Flick Lucyk Serafini
Belfanti Foster McCall Smith, B.
Billow Freeman McGeehan Smith, S. H.
Birmelin Gallen McHale Snyder, G.
Bishop Gamble McHugh Staback
Black Gannon McNally Stairs
Blaum Geist Maiale Steighner
Bowley George Markosek Stetler
Boyes Gerlach Marsico Stish
Broujos Gigliotti Mayernik Strittmatter
Brown Gladeck Melio Stuban
Bunt Godshall Merry Sturla
Bush Gruitza Michlovic Surra
Butkovitz Gruppo Micozzie Tangretti
Caltagirone Hagarty Mihalich Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca Haluska Mundy Taylor, F.
Carlson Harley Murphy Taylor, J.
Carn Nahill Nailor Telek
Carone Hasay Nailor Thomas
Cawley Hayden Nickol Tigue
Cessar Hayes Noye Tomlinson
Chadwick Heckler Nyce Trello
Civera Herman O'Brien Trich
Clark Hershey Olasz Tulli
Clymer Hess Perzel Uliana
Cohen Hughes Pesci Van Horne
Colafrella Itkin Petrarca Vance

Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kenney	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—4

Hanna	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Steelman
-------	-----	---------------	----------

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Oliver
--------	---------	--------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1777, PN 2267**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	Kukovich	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	LaGrotta	Robinson
Allen	Evans	Langtry	Roebuck
Anderson	Fairchild	Laughlin	Rudy
Angstadt	Fajt	Lawless	Ryan
Argall	Fargo	Lee	Saloom
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saurman
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Scheetz
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Schuler
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Serafini
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Black	Geist	McNally	Staback
Blaum	George	Maiale	Stairs
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti

Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cessar	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Chadwick	Hayes	Noye	Tomlinson
Civera	Heckler	Nyce	Trello
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Trich
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Tulli
Cohen	Hess	Oliver	Uliana
Colaifella	Hughes	Perzel	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Itkin	Pesci	Vance
Cole	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Veon
Cornell	James	Petrone	Vroon
Corrigan	Jarolin	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Pistella	Wilson
DeLuca	Kaiser	Pitts	Wogan
DeWeese	Kasunic	Preston	Wozniak
Daley	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Davies	King	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kosinski	Reinard	
Dent	Krebs	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kruszewski	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—5

Carone	Snyder, D. W.	Steelman	Tigue
Leh			

NOT VOTING—2

Freind	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1778, PN 2268**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Robinson
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Angstadt	Fargo	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Scheetz
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Schuler
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Semmel
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Serafini
Belfanti	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Billow	Gamble	McHale	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.

Bishop	Geist	McNally	Staback
Black	George	Maiiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Broujos	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Merry	Suban
Bunt	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Bush	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Tigie
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Perzel	Uliana
Colafrilla	Itkin	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Vance
Cole	James	Petrone	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Phillips	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Piccola	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Richardson	
Dent	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—4

Fajt	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Steelman
------	-----	---------------	----------

NOT VOTING—3

Carone	Freind	Mrkonic
--------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1779, PN 2269**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Allen	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Anderson	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Fargo	Lescovitz	Saurman
Armstrong	Farmer	Linton	Scheetz
Arnold	Fee	Lloyd	Schuler
Barley	Fleagle	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Battisto	Flick	McCall	Semmel
Belardi	Foster	McGeehan	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	McHale	Smith, B.
Billow	Gallen	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Black	Gamble	McNally	Staback
Blaum	Gannon	Maiiale	Stairs
Bowley	Geist	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Stish
Brown	Gerlach	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gigliotti	Merry	Suban
Bush	Gladeck	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Godshall	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Harley	Nailor	Telek
Cessar	Harper	Nickol	Tomlinson
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Trello
Clark	Hayden	Nyce	Trich
Clymer	Hayes	O'Brien	Tulli
Cohen	Heckler	Olasz	Uliana
Colafrilla	Hershey	Oliver	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Hess	Perzel	Vance
Cole	Itkin	Pesci	Veon
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Vroon
Corrigan	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Williams
Coy	Josephs	Pistella	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Pitts	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Preston	Wozniak
Daley	King	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Reinard	
Dent	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—25

Acosta	Hanna	Leh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Herman	Levdansky	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Hughes	Marsico	Steelman
Broujos	James	Piccola	Stetler
Carone	Kaiser	Richardson	Thomas
Chadwick	Lawless	Robinson	Tigie
Fajt			

NOT VOTING—2

Freind	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1780, PN 2270**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to The Carnegie for the Carnegie Museum of Natural History and the Carnegie Science Center.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Acosta	Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson
Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Allen	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Anderson	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Fajt	Lescovitz	Saurman
Armstrong	Fargo	Levdansky	Scheetz
Arnold	Farmer	Lloyd	Schuler
Barley	Fee	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fleagle	McCall	Semmel
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster	McHale	Smith, B.
Billow	Freeman	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gallen	McNally	Staback
Black	Gamble	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gannon	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Geist	Mayernik	Stish
Boyes	George	Melio	Strittmatter
Broujos	Gerlach	Merry	Suban
Brown	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Sturla
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Surra
Bush	Godshall	Mihalich	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Haluska	Nailor	Telek
Carn	Harley	Nickol	Thomas
Carone	Harper	Noye	Tomlinson
Cawley	Hasay	Nyce	Trello
Cessar	Hayden	O'Brien	Trich
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Tulli
Clark	Heckler	Oliver	Uliana
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Van Horne
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Vance
Colaifella	Hughes	Petrarca	Veon
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Vroon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	James	Pistella	Williams
Corrigan	Jarolin	Pitts	Wilson
Cowell	Johnson	Preston	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Raymond	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kaiser	Reber	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kasunic	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kenney	Richardson	
Davies	King	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Kosinski	Ritter	Speaker
Dent	Kruszewski		

NAYS—14

Birmelin	Krebs	Piccola	Steelman
Chadwick	Lawless	Smith, S. H.	Stetler
Hanna	Leh	Snyder, D. W.	Tigue
Herman	Marsico		

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Linton	Mrkonic
--------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1781, PN 2271**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Acosta	Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson
Adolph	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Allen	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Anderson	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Fajt	Lescovitz	Saurman
Armstrong	Fargo	Linton	Scheetz
Arnold	Farmer	Lloyd	Schuler
Barley	Fee	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Battisto	Fleagle	McCall	Semmel
Belardi	Flick	McGeehan	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster	McHale	Smith, B.
Billow	Freeman	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gallen	McNally	Staback
Black	Gamble	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gannon	Markosek	Steighner
Bowley	Geist	Mayernik	Stish
Boyes	George	Melio	Strittmatter
Broujos	Gerlach	Merry	Suban
Brown	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Sturla
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Surra
Bush	Godshall	Mihalich	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Haluska	Nailor	Telek
Carn	Harley	Nickol	Thomas
Carone	Harper	Noye	Tomlinson
Cawley	Hasay	Nyce	Trello
Cessar	Hayden	O'Brien	Trich
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Tulli
Clark	Heckler	Oliver	Uliana
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Van Horne
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Vance
Colaifella	Hughes	Petrarca	Veon
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Vroon
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	James	Pistella	Williams
Corrigan	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Preston	Wogan
Coy	Kaiser	Raymond	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kasunic	Reber	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kenney	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Daley	King	Richardson	

Davies	Kosinski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Ritter	Speaker
Dent			

NAYS—16

Birmelin	Jarolin	Levdansky	Snyder, D. W.
Chadwick	Krebs	Marsico	Steelman
Hanna	Lawless	Piccola	Stetler
Herman	Leh	Smith, S. H.	Tigue

NOT VOTING—2

Freind	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1782, PN 2272**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Acosta	Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter
Adolph	Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson
Allen	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Anderson	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Angstadt	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Argall	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Armstrong	Fajt	Lescovitz	Saurman
Arnold	Fargo	Linton	Scheetz
Barley	Farmer	Lloyd	Schuler
Battisto	Fee	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Belardi	Fleagle	McCall	Semmel
Belfanti	Flick	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Billow	Foster	McHale	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Freeman	McHugh	Staback
Black	Gallen	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	Gamble	Maiale	Steighner
Bowley	Gannon	Markosek	Stish
Boyes	Geist	Mayermik	Strittmatter
Broujos	George	Melio	Stuban
Brown	Gerlach	Merry	Sturla
Bunt	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Surra
Bush	Gladeck	Micozzie	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Godshall	Mihalich	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carlson	Hagarty	Nahill	Telek
Carn	Haluska	Nailor	Thomas
Carone	Harley	Nickol	Tomlinson
Cawley	Harper	Noye	Trello
Cessar	Hayden	Nyce	Trich
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Tulli

Clark	Heckler	Olasz	Uliana
Clymer	Herman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cohen	Hershey	Perzel	Vance
Colafella	Hess	Pesci	Veon
Colaizzo	Hughes	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Williams
Corrigan	James	Pistella	Wilson
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Preston	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kaiser	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kasunic	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Kenney	Reinard	
Davies	King	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Kosinski	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—17

Birmelin	Krebs	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Chadwick	Lawless	Piccola	Steelman
Hanna	Leh	Serafini	Stetler
Hasay	Levdansky	Smith, S. H.	Tigue
Jarolin			

NOT VOTING—2

Freind	Mrkonic
--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1783, PN 2273**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—159

Acosta	Donatucci	Kukovich	Rieger
Adolph	Durham	LaGrotta	Ritter
Allen	Evans	Langtry	Robinson
Angstadt	Fairchild	Laughlin	Roebuck
Argall	Fargo	Lee	Ryan
Arnold	Farmer	Lescovitz	Saloom
Barley	Fee	Linton	Saurman
Battisto	Fleagle	Lloyd	Scheetz
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Schuler
Belfanti	Foster	McCall	Scrimenti
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Serafini
Bishop	Gamble	McHale	Smith, B.
Black	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Geist	McNally	Stairs
Bowley	George	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Gerlach	Markosek	Strittmatter
Bush	Gigliotti	Mayermik	Stuban

Butkovitz	Gladeck	Melio	Surra
Caltagirone	Godshall	Michlovic	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Mihalich	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hagarty	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Telek
Cessar	Harley	Nahill	Thomas
Civera	Harper	Noye	Tomlinson
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Trello
Clymer	Hayden	Olasz	Trich
Cohen	Hayes	Oliver	Tulli
Colaifella	Heckler	Perzel	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Hershey	Pesci	Veon
Cole	Hughes	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Corrigan	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Williams
Cowell	James	Pistella	Wilson
Coy	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
DeLuca	Josephs	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kasunic	Raymond	Wright, M. N.
Daley	King	Reber	
Davies	Kosinski	Reinard	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Richardson	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS—39

Anderson	Freeman	Levdansky	Snyder, D. W.
Armstrong	Hanna	Marsico	Staback
Birmelin	Herman	Merry	Steelman
Boyes	Hess	Nailor	Stetler
Brown	Jarolin	Nickol	Stish
Bunt	Kaiser	Nyce	Sturla
Carone	Kenney	Piccola	Tigue
Chadwick	Krebs	Rudy	Uliana
Dent	Lawless	Semmel	Vance
Fajt	Leh	Smith, S. H.	

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Wogan
--------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1784, PN 2274**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Afro-American Historical and Cultural Museum for operating expenses.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Robinson
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Roebuck
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lee	Rudy

Argall	Fajt	Lescovitz	Ryan
Armstrong	Fargo	Levdansky	Saloom
Arnold	Farmer	Linton	Saurman
Barley	Fee	Lloyd	Scheetz
Battisto	Fleagle	Lucyk	Schuler
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Foster	McGeehan	Semmel
Billow	Freeman	McHale	Serafini
Bishop	Gallen	McHugh	Smith, B.
Black	Gamble	McNally	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Gannon	Maiale	Staback
Bowley	Geist	Markosek	Stairs
Boyes	George	Mayernik	Steighner
Broujos	Gerlach	Melio	Stetler
Brown	Gigliotti	Merry	Strittmatter
Bush	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stuban
Butkovitz	Godshall	Micozzie	Sturla
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mihalich	Surra
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mundy	Tangretti
Carlson	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Harper	Nickol	Telek
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Clark	Hayden	Nyce	Tomlinson
Clymer	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Cohen	Heckler	Olasz	Trich
Colaifella	Herman	Oliver	Tulli
Colaizzo	Hershey	Perzel	Uliana
Cole	Hess	Pesci	Van Horne
Cornell	Hughes	Petrarca	Veon
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrone	Vroon
Cowell	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	James	Pistella	Williams
DeLuca	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
DeWeese	Josephs	Preston	Wozniak
Daley	Kaiser	Raymond	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kasunic	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	King	Reinard	
Dent	Kosinski	Richardson	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—20

Anderson	Hanna	Lawless	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Jarolin	Leh	Steelman
Bunt	Kenney	Marsico	Stish
Carone	Krebs	Piccola	Tigue
Chadwick	Kruszewski	Smith, S. H.	Vance

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Wogan
--------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1785, PN 2275**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—169

Acosta	Donatucci	Kruszewski	Ritter
Adolph	Durham	Kukovich	Robinson
Allen	Evans	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Angstadt	Fairchild	Langtry	Ryan
Argall	Fargo	Laughlin	Saloom
Arnold	Farmer	Lee	Saurman
Barley	Fee	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Battisto	Fleagle	Linton	Schuler
Belardi	Flick	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Belfanti	Foster	Lucyk	Semmel
Billow	Freeman	McCall	Serafini
Bishop	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Black	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, G.
Blaum	Gannon	McHugh	Staback
Bowley	Geist	McNally	Stairs
Boyes	George	Maiale	Steighner
Broujos	Gerlach	Markosek	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Melio	Stuban
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Sturla
Bush	Gruitza	Michlovic	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Micozzie	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mihalich	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mundy	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Telek
Cawley	Hasay	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayden	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Hayes	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Cohen	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Colaella	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Colaizzo	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Corrigan	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Pitts	Williams
DeLuca	Josephs	Preston	Wogan
DeWeese	Kaiser	Raymond	Wozniak
Daley	Kasunic	Reber	
Davies	Kenney	Reinard	O'Donnell,
Dempsey	King	Richardson	Speaker
Dent	Kosinski	Rieger	

NAYS—29

Anderson	Gladeck	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Armstrong	Hanna	Mayernik	Steelman
Birmelin	Heckler	Nailor	Stetler
Carone	Krebs	Nickol	Stish
Chadwick	Lawless	Piccola	Tigue
Clymer	Leh	Rudy	Wilson
Dermody	Levdansky	Smith, S. H.	Wright, M. N.
Fajt			

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonik	Wright, D. R.
--------	---------	---------------

EXCUSED—0

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Freeman.

Mr. FREEMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I move that we suspend rule 15. The hour of 11 o'clock having arrived, it is necessary for the House to suspend rule 15 in order for us to continue in session.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Saurman
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Schuler
Arnold	Fleagle	Linton	Scrimenti
Barley	Flick	Lloyd	Semmel
Battisto	Foster	Lucyk	Serafini
Belardi	Freeman	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gallen	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Geist	McNally	Staback
Black	George	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	Gerlach	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gigliotti	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Godshall	Melio	Stish
Brown	Gruitza	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stuban
Bush	Hagarty	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hasay	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hayden	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayes	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Heckler	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Herman	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hess	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hughes	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Itkin	Pesci	Uliana
Colaella	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	James	Petrone	Vance
Cole	Jarolin	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci			



NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Freind Mrkonic

EXCUSED—0

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, the Chair is in possession of a motion to reconsider filed by the gentleman, Mr. Wozniak. The motion was delivered after the bill in question had left the possession of the House.

We will take corrections of the record at the conclusion of the day's session.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1536 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MURPHY offered the following amendments No. A3122:

Amend Sec. 102, page 1, line 28 (A3113), by striking out "\$2,800,000" and inserting \$3,200,000

Amend Sec. 502, page 3, by inserting between lines 35 and 36 (A3113)

(a) Allegheny County

(1) Design, development and construction of two boat ramps in the Pittsburgh Pool in the Ohio, Monongahela or Allegheny Rivers \$400,000

Amend Sec. 502, page 3, line 36 (A3113), by striking out "(a)" and inserting

(b)

Amend Sec. 502, page 3, line 44 (A3113), by striking out "(b)" and inserting

(c)

Amend Sec. 502, page 3, line 50 (A3113), by striking out "(c)" and inserting

(d)

Amend Sec. 503, page 3, by inserting between lines 57 and 58 (A3113)

(1) Allegheny County; Boat Fund \$400,000.

Amend Sec. 503, page 3, line 58 (A3113), by striking out "(1)" and inserting

(2)

Amend Sec. 503, page 3, line 59 (A3113), by striking out "(2)" and inserting

(3)

Amend Sec. 503, page 4, line 1 (A3113), by striking out "(3)" and inserting

(4)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, this adds \$400,000 to the Boat and Fish Fund for a capital project for the Fish Commis-

sion to design and develop and construct two additional new public boat ramps in the Pittsburgh Pool in the Ohio, Monongahela, and the Allegheny Rivers. Presently there is one public boat ramp in that pool, and as many of you know, Allegheny County has the largest number of boat registrations in the country. It has caused a great deal of problems in that neighborhood where it is located and also caused great inconvenience for boaters in Allegheny County. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Cessar.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the gentleman's amendment.

I just might add, Mr. Speaker, that Allegheny County, where they have three wonderful rivers, has more riverboat traffic on it than any other inland waterway in the country. So I support that and ask for the members on this side to support it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Gigliotti.

Mr. GIGLIOTTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am asking you to support this amendment from Mr. Murphy because the district he is talking about is mine. It is my district, and I need a couple more ramps in somebody else's district.

So please support this amendment for me. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lescovitz	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Levdansky	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Linton	Scheetz
Argall	Farmer	Lloyd	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	McCall	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	McGeehan	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	McHale	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McHugh	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gallen	McNally	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gamble	Maiale	Snyder, G.
Black	Gannon	Markosek	Staback
Blaum	Geist	Marsico	Stairs
Bowley	George	Mayernik	Steelman
Boyes	Gerlach	Melio	Steighner
Broujos	Gigliotti	Merry	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Mihalich	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mundy	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Murphy	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nailor	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Noye	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nyce	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	Olasz	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Trich
Cohen	Hess	Pesci	Tulli
Colafiglia	Hughes	Petrarca	Uliana
Colaizzo	Itkin	Petrone	Van Horne
Cole	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Vance
Cornell	James	Piccola	Veon
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Vroon
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Wambach

Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Williams
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci	LaGrotta		

NAYS—10

Armstrong	Jarolin	Leh	Stetler
Clark	Lawless	Saurman	Wilson
Gladeck	Lee		

NOT VOTING—3

Billow	Freind	Mrkonic
--------	--------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Leh	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Staback
Black	Geist	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	George	Maiale	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Suban
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Perzel	Uliana
Colafella	Itkin	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Vance

Cole	James	Petrone	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Freind	Mrkonic	Phillips
--------	---------	----------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, the schedule for the rest of the evening will call for a brief recess of the House, meetings of several committees, and an important vote after that break, so the members are urged to not leave the House.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House rules be suspended to permit immediate consideration of HR 367, offered by the gentleman, Mr. Sturla.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	Durham	Langtry	Roebuck
Adolph	Evans	Laughlin	Rudy
Allen	Fairchild	Lawless	Ryan
Anderson	Fajt	Lee	Saloom
Angstadt	Fargo	Leh	Scheetz
Argall	Farmer	Lescovitz	Schuler
Armstrong	Fee	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Arnold	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Barley	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Battisto	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Billow	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Birmelin	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Black	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Blaum	George	Markosek	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Michlovic	Suban
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Mihalich	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti

Cappabianca	Hanna	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nailor	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nickol	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Noye	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Trich
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Uliana
Colaella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenny	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker
Donatucci	LaGrotta		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Bishop	Linton	Mrkonic	Saurman
Freind			

EXCUSED—0

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

**RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

The SPEAKER. The rules are suspended to permit consideration of HR 367, called up by the gentleman, Mr. Sturla, which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

**House Resolution No. 367**

**A RESOLUTION**

Honoring the City of Lancaster on its 250th Anniversary.

WHEREAS, The original settlers from England and Germany first moved to the City of Lancaster at a time when William Penn's family still controlled much of this Commonwealth's land; and

WHEREAS, Founder James Hamilton on May 19, 1742, obtained borough status for the city; and

WHEREAS, Throughout its long history, Lancaster has been blessed with a wealth of commerce based on the agricultural products surrounding the growing city, such as tobacco, corn, wheat and fruits. The industry of the area also supplied the wagons, firearms, textiles, home supplies and foodstuffs for the settlers who stopped to gather supplies for their movement west; and

WHEREAS, Lancaster was and is the home for many of our nation's pioneers in the world of commerce and industry and was the birthplace of Hershey Foods, Woolworth's, Dodge Cork and Armstrong World Industries; and

WHEREAS, Throughout the City of Lancaster's history, the city has benefitted from and shared with the nation a succession

of civic leaders, ranging from General Edward Hand, to Thaddeus Stevens and President Buchanan, whose leadership and guidance have helped it grow and flourish. Mayor Janice C. Stork, the first woman mayor in the city's history, presently administers to the governmental needs of the community; and

WHEREAS, Lancaster continues to attract people from diverse cultural backgrounds in search of hope and opportunity. It is a strong community which encourages tolerance and respect for all individuals. The arts have flourished in this environment, nurtured by patrons of music, theater and the visual arts. Nathaniel West, Charles Demuth, David Brumbach, the Fulton Theater, the Independent Eye Theater Group, the Lancaster Symphony and Lancaster Opera Workshop and the Pennsylvania School of Art and Design, are all a tribute to the spirit that lives in the city; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives recognize and applaud the community of Lancaster on the occasion of its 250th Anniversary for manifesting the highest ideals and aspirations of our forefathers of Pennsylvania and the United States.

P. Michael Sturla

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. Sturla, seeking recognition?

Mr. STURLA. Mr. Speaker, with regard to HR 367, I would just like to invite all the members to come and visit Lancaster this summer. We will be celebrating this birthday for the summer months, and you are all welcome. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Acosta	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Roebuck
Adolph	Durham	Langtry	Rudy
Allen	Evans	Laughlin	Ryan
Anderson	Fairchild	Lawless	Saloom
Angstadt	Fajt	Lee	Saurman
Argall	Fargo	Leh	Scheetz
Armstrong	Farmer	Lescovitz	Schuler
Arnold	Fee	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Barley	Fleagle	Linton	Semmel
Battisto	Flick	Lloyd	Serafini
Belardi	Foster	Lucyk	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Billow	Gallen	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gamble	McHale	Snyder, G.
Bishop	Gannon	McHugh	Staback
Black	Geist	McNally	Stairs
Blaum	George	Maiale	Steelman
Bowley	Gerlach	Markosek	Steighner
Boyes	Gigliotti	Marsico	Stetler
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stish
Brown	Godshall	Melio	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruitza	Merry	Stuban
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Sturla
Butkovitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Heckler	Nyce	Tomlinson
Civera	Herman	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Olasz	Trich

Clymer	Hess	Oliver	Tulli
Cohen	Hughes	Perzel	Uliana
Colaifella	Itkin	Pesci	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Vance
Cole	James	Phillips	Veon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Vroon
Corrigan	Johnson	Pistella	Wambach
Cowell	Josephs	Pitts	Williams
Coy	Kaiser	Preston	Wilson
DeLuca	Kasunic	Raymond	Wogan
DeWeese	Kenney	Reber	Wozniak
Daley	King	Reinard	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kosinski	Richardson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Krebs	Rieger	
Dent	Kruszewski	Ritter	O'Donnell,
Dermody	Kukovich	Robinson	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Freind            Mrkonic            Petrarca

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

#### LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. At the call of the recess, there will be a meeting of the Labor Relations Committee in the lounge, which is hereby designated as a committee room.

#### RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. At 11:30 there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the majority caucus room, and we expect to return here at 11:45 and finish the day's business.

#### CONSERVATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I would like permission to call a meeting of the Conservation Committee for 10 a.m. in room 40 Tuesday morning.

#### COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Taylor.

Mr. F. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce a cancellation of the Business and Commerce Committee meeting tomorrow morning at 10 a.m. It is being canceled.

#### REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Trich.

Mr. TRICH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to offer remarks for the record.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order, and the clerk will accept the gentleman's remarks for inclusion in the record.

Mr. TRICH submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

After months of debate, negotiations, and posturing, the 1992-93 budget was completed and passed on time. Although that in itself should be considered a positive, my vote will be made in the affirmative to save the Commonwealth and its people from the types of difficulties and disruptions that were witnessed during last year's budget mess.

Even though my vote was in support of this measure, it is not a vote without reservation. HB 1320 originated in the House and was altered in the Senate, altered to the point where, without corrective measures by the Governor and perhaps even the legislature at a later date, the approved budget has shortfalls - shortfalls that will have to be addressed because the House Republican leadership decided to take a hard-line, noncooperative position in the budget process. Unfortunately, a majority of us had to cast a vote for something that was less than perfect to do our duty in a responsible and timely fashion.

I for one want to go on record on voicing my congratulations to the leadership of the remaining three caucuses for their abilities to at least produce this document, HB 1320 as amended. By doing so, we have kept our government doing the work of the people.

#### VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Fajt.

Mr. FAJT. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

On June 24, amendment A2711 of HB 1959, my switch malfunctioned. I would like to be recorded in the "yea" column. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Arnold.

Mr. ARNOLD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, for correction of the record.

On final passage of SB 1173 and amendment 2643, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

Also, on final passage of HB 2390, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 1747, PN 2237, my switch malfunctioned. I was recorded in the negative. I want to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Leh.

Mr. LEH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 1747 and SB 1748, I was recorded in the affirmative. I wish to be recorded in the negative. My switch malfunctioned.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone.

Ms. CARONE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

I believe on SB 1775 my vote was not cast. It should be a "no."

Also, on June 24, HR 344, my vote was not recorded. It should be in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

On HB 1323 I was inadvertently recorded in the negative, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Phillips.

Mr. PHILLIPS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 1536 I was not recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Schuler.

Mr. SCHULER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I was not recorded on SB 1747, PN 2237. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

**RECESS**

The SPEAKER. The House will now be in recess until the call of the Chair.

**AFTER RECESS**

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM RULES COMMITTEE**

**SB 6, PN 2440 (Amended)**

By Rep. DeWEESE

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for the use of increased State allocations, for payments on account of transportation of nonpublic school pupils, for payments on account of building costs, for limitation of certain payments, for payments to intermediate units, for special education payments, for extraordinary special education expenses and for certain payment guarantees; providing for a summer employment program for disadvantaged youth; and imposing powers and duties on the Department of Education.

RULES.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

**HB 2150, PN 3912 (Amended)**

By Rep. PISTELLA

An Act prohibiting the dismissal or discipline of employees in certain cases; and providing for a penalty.

LABOR RELATIONS.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR C**

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 2801, PN 3856**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 6, 1956 (1955 P. L. 1414, No. 465), known as the "Second Class County Port Authority Act," further providing for the powers of the authority; prohibiting smoking, eating and drinking in certain public conveyances owned or operated by a port authority created under the act; and providing a penalty.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of concurrence will vote "aye"; those opposed, "nay."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—194**

Acosta	Dermody	LaGrotta	Robinson
Adolph	Donatucci	Langtry	Roeback
Allen	Durham	Laughlin	Rudy
Anderson	Evans	Lawless	Ryan
Angstadt	Fairchild	Lee	Saloom
Argall	Fajt	Leh	Saurman
Armstrong	Fargo	Lescovitz	Scheetz
Arnold	Farmer	Levdansky	Schuler
Barley	Fee	Linton	Scriminti
Battisto	Fleagle	Lloyd	Semmel
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Serafini
Belfanti	Foster	McCall	Smith, B.
Billow	Freeman	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gallen	McHale	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Gamble	McHugh	Snyder, G.
Black	Gannon	McNally	Staback
Blaum	Geist	Maiale	Stairs
Bowley	George	Markosek	Steelman
Boyes	Gerlach	Marsico	Steighner
Broujos	Gigliotti	Mayermik	Stetler
Brown	Gladeck	Melio	Stish
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Strittmatter
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stuban
Butkowitz	Hagarty	Micozzie	Sturla
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mihalich	Surra
Cappabianca	Hanna	Mundy	Tangretti
Carlson	Harley	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Nailor	Telek
Cawley	Hayden	Nickol	Thomas
Cessar	Hayes	Nyce	Tomlinson
Chadwick	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Herman	Olasz	Trich
Clark	Hershey	Oliver	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Perzel	Uliana
Cohen	Hughes	Pesci	Van Horne
Colafrella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vance
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Veon
Cole	James	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Jarolin	Piccola	Wambach
Corrigan	Josephs	Pistella	Williams
Cowell	Kaiser	Pitts	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wogan
DeLuca	Kenney	Raymond	Wozniak
DeWeese	King	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kosinski	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Davies	Krebs	Richardson	
Dempsey	Kruszewski	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Dent	Kukovich	Ritter	Speaker

**NAYS—2**

Gruitza

Tigue

**NOT VOTING—5**

Freind  
Johnson

Mrkonic

Noye

Taylor, F.

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS  
TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS AS AMENDED**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to the following **SB 6, PN 2440**, as further amended by the House Rules Committee:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for the use of increased State allocations, for payments on account of transportation of nonpublic school pupils, for payments on account of building costs, for limitation of certain payments, for payments to intermediate units, for special education payments, for extraordinary special education expenses and for certain payment guarantees; providing for a summer employment program for disadvantaged youth; and imposing powers and duties on the Department of Education.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Langtry.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Mr. Speaker, on SB 6 is there someone here that can tell us what the House amendments are?

The SPEAKER. The Chair does not note any volunteers.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. We found a volunteer. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, consents to interrogation. The lady may proceed.

Mr. HAYES. Just to focus attention of the House of Representatives in answer to the lady's question, SB 6, as amended by the Rules Committee here in the House of Representatives, would have the House retreat from its promise of providing an ESBE (equalized subsidy for basic education) increase of \$125 million this year and reduce that commitment to a hold harmless for the upcoming school year 1992-93.

There are also provisions dealing with special education that would cause those dollars to go out, 45 percent of this year's payments, the 1992-93 payments, to go out under the current formula, and then at some point between now and the end of those 45 percents of payments, the General Assembly would have to decide whether it wants to change the special ed formula, and it also has a provision dealing with Federal dollars and youth training programs. But in the main the one which would probably attract the most attention and the most concern would be the one dealing with the hold harmless versus the \$125-million promise that was made last year.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments as amended by the Rules Committee?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—106

Acosta	Evans	Linton	Robinson
Anderson	Fajt	Lloyd	Roebuck
Arnold	Fee	Lucyk	Rudy
Battisto	Freeman	McCall	Saloom
Belardi	Gamble	McGeehan	Scrimenti
Belfanti	George	McHale	Staback
Billow	Gigliotti	McHugh	Steighner
Bishop	Haluska	McNally	Stish
Blaum	Hanna	Maiale	Stuban
Bowley	Harper	Markosek	Surra
Broujos	Hayden	Mayernik	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Hughes	Melio	Taylor, F.
Caltagirone	Itkin	Michlovic	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	James	Mihalich	Thomas
Carr	Jarolin	Mundy	Tigue
Cohen	Josephs	Murphy	Trello
Colafella	Kaiser	O'Brien	Trich
Colaizzo	Kasunic	Olasz	Van Horne
Cole	Kenney	Oliver	Veon
Corrigan	Kosinski	Pesci	Wambach
Cowell	Krebs	Petrarca	Williams
Coy	Kruszewski	Petrone	Wogan
DeLuca	Kukovich	Pistella	Wozniak
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Laughlin	Richardson	
Dermody	Lescovitz	Rieger	O'Donnell,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—93

Adolph	Durham	Jadlowiec	Saurman
Allen	Fairchild	Johnson	Scheetz
Angstadt	Fargo	King	Schuler
Argall	Farmer	Langtry	Semmel
Armstrong	Fleagle	Lawless	Serafini
Barley	Flick	Lee	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Foster	Leh	Smith, S. H.
Black	Gallen	Marsico	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, G.
Brown	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Bunt	Gerlach	Nahill	Steelman
Bush	Gladeck	Nailor	Stetler
Carlson	Godshall	Nickol	Strittmatter
Carone	Gruitza	Noye	Sturla
Cawley	Gruppo	Nyce	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hagarty	Perzel	Telek
Chadwick	Harley	Phillips	Tomlinson
Civera	Hasay	Piccola	Tulli
Clark	Hayes	Pitts	Uliana
Clymer	Heckler	Raymond	Vance
Cornell	Herman	Reber	Vroon
Davies	Hershey	Reinard	Wilson
Dempsey	Hess	Ryan	Wright, M. N.
Dent			

NOT VOTING—2

Freind Mrkonic

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to the House amendments as amended by the Rules Committee were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 2801, PN 3856**

An Act amending the act of April 6, 1956 (1955 P. L. 1414, No. 465), known as the "Second Class County Port Authority Act," further providing for the powers of the authority; prohibiting smoking, eating and drinking in certain public conveyances owned or operated by a port authority created under the act; and providing a penalty.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. SCRIMENTI**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Scrimenti.

Mr. SCRIMENTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The meeting scheduled tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock of the northwest caucus is canceled. For all members attending that, that meeting will be canceled. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to adjourn until 11 a.m. tomorrow morning, at which time there will be a token session and business to be done but no votes to be taken.

**VOTE CORRECTION**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to correct the record.

On amendment A2979 to HB 734, I was recorded as not voting. I would like the record to reflect that I wish to be voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, tomorrow will be a nonvoting session, and it is expected that the adjournment resolution adopted tomorrow will be for September 21.

**VOTE CORRECTIONS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, the other day I was not recorded as voting on HB 1828, amendment 1353. Had I been in my seat, I would like to have been recorded in the affirmative.

On HB 1959, amendment 2942, I would also like to have been recorded in the affirmative, and on HB 2140 on concurrence, I would like to have my vote recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

On HB 1028 I would like to be recorded in the negative, and on SB 6 I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Fajt.

Mr. FAJT. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently missed the vote on SB 1812, which pertains to the appropriation of money to the Sunny Day Fund. I would like to be recorded as voting "yes" on SB 1812. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Bishop.

Ms. BISHOP. Mr. Speaker, I was recorded as not voting on final passage of SB 1763, PN 2253. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Gigliotti.

Mr. GIGLIOTTI. Mr. Speaker, would you please place me on the record as an affirmative vote on SB 1812. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Donatucci.

Mr. DONATUCCI. Mr. Speaker, I was recorded as not voting on HB 1028. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kruszewski.

Mr. KRUSZEWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record on final passage of SB 1749. My switch malfunctioned, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on SB 1812. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday, June 23, I was not recorded as voting on HB 2791 or SB 345. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on both bills.

Also, today I was not recorded as voting on SB 1772, SB 1774, or HR 367. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on these three votes as well. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kaiser.

Mr. KAISER. Mr. Speaker, I was not recorded as having voted on final passage of SB 1812. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Olasz.

Mr. OLASZ. Mr. Speaker, my switch malfunctioned and did not record my vote on SB 1812. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Billow.

Mr. BILLOW. Mr. Speaker, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative with regard to final passage of SB 1747, final

passage of SB 1750, and amendment 3122 to SB 1536. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Krebs.

Mr. KREBS. Mr. Speaker, I was not recorded as voting on final passage of SB 1772. I would like to be recorded as a "yes" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Mr. Speaker, I am not recorded as voting on final passage of SB 1812 or on amendment 2979 to HB 734. A review of the master roll call will show that I was in attendance, and I would like to have the record corrected to show an affirmative vote on both bills. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. F. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I was not recorded as voting on HR 364 and HB 2801. I would appreciate being recorded in the affirmative on both of these bills. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stuban.

Mr. STUBAN. Mr. Speaker, please record my affirmative vote on HR 364, PN 3904. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, I was recorded as not voting on final passage of SB 1812. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Petrone.

Mr. PETRONE. Mr. Speaker, I was recorded as not voting on concurrence for HB 1028. I wish to be recorded as an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, the record shows that I was recorded as not voting on final passage on SB 1812 and HB 1028. I am requesting that the record show that I support both bills and would have voted in the affirmative. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Tuesday, June 30, 1992, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 1 a.m., e.d.t., June 30, 1992, the House adjourned.