

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 22, 1988

SESSION OF 1988

172D OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 44

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

O God, our Loving and Most Gracious Heavenly Father, Thou art the protector of all that put their trust in Thee and without whom nothing is strong, nothing is holy. We pray that Thou wilt increase and multiply upon us Thy mercy, that Thou being our ruler and guide, we may so pass through things temporal, that we finally lose not the things eternal. We beseech Thee to touch the lives of these workmen of Thine, that they may bring forth in daily life and conversation those things which are acceptable and pleasing unto Thee. Through the expansion of Thy love, the extension of Thy protective care, and the commendation of Thy blessed peace, we humbly pray. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was delivered by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is informed that the Journal for Tuesday, June 21, 1988, is not yet in print. Therefore, without objection, and the Chair hears no objection, the approval of that Journal will be delayed until the Journal is in fact in print.

SENATE MESSAGE

ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate, June 20, 1988

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Regular Session of the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, June 27, 1988, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the Regular Session of the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, June 27, 1988, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. We have two guest pages here who are the friends of Kenny Brandt - Lara Bair and Tina Mowrer. Where are the two girls? They are off being guest pages. Well, that is good. They are working.

How about Brad Harris? Where is Brad? Welcome to the hall of the House, Brad. He is the guest of Representative Sam Hayes.

Mike Veon has in the gallery Cadette Girl Scout Troop No. 253 and Senior Troop No. 254. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are delighted to have you here.

Their leader is Ruth Scialabba. Welcome to Ruth, also. We are delighted to have you here.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. On leaves of absence, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee.

Mr. FEE. There are no leaves for the Democratic side at this time, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

No leaves for Mr. Hayes. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The members are urged to come on the floor. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for the day. On the master roll call, members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—197

Acosta	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Reber
Angstadt	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reinard
Argall	Dorr	Langtry	Richardson
Arty	Duffy	Lashingner	Rieger
Barley	Durham	Leh	Ritter
Battisto	Evans	Lescovitz	Robbins
Belardi	Fargo	Letterman	Roebuck
Belfanti	Farmer	Levdansky	Rudy
Billow	Fattah	Linton	Ryan
Birmelin	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Black	Fischer	Lloyd	Saloom
Blaum	Flick	Lucyk	Saurman
Book	Foster	McCall	Scheetz
Bortner	Fox	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowley	Freeman	McHale	Semmel
Bowser	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Boyes	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Brandt	Gamble	Maine	Showers
Broujos	Gannon	Manderino	Sirianni
Bunt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Burd	George	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Burns	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bush	Godshall	Melio	Snyder, G.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Merry	Staback
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stairs
Carlson	Hagarty	Micozzie	Steighner
Carn	Haluska	Miller	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Moehlmann	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Clark	Heckler	Murphy	Tigue
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Trello
Cohen	Hershey	Noye	Van Horne
Colafella	Hess	O'Brien	Veon
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cornell	Howlett	Olasz	Wambach
Corrigan	Hughes	Oliver	Wass
Cowell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Weston
Coy	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrone	Wogan
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jarolin	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Johnson	Pievsky	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kasunic	Pitts	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pressmann	
Dietterick	Kenney	Preston	Irvis,
Dininni	Kitchen	Punt	Speaker
Distler	Kosinski	Raymond	

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—0

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 2516, PN 3526 (Amended)

By Rep. MORRIS

An Act amending the act of July 2, 1984 (P.L.545, No.109), known as the "Capital Loan Fund Act," further providing for loans to agricultural processors.

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes a summer intern working for Representative Olasz - Christine Stover. Welcome to the hall of the House, Christine. We are delighted to have you here.

John Showers has a summer intern, Elsa Linke. Elsa is here to the left of the Speaker. Welcome, Elsa, to the hall of the House. We are delighted to have you here.

HOUSE BILLS

INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2576 By Representatives MELIO, DeLUCA, COY, SHOWERS, STUBAN, LIVENGOOD, GAMBLE, DUFFY, BROUJOS, RAYMOND, McHALE, D. W. SNYDER, JACKSON, MERRY, ROBBINS, BARLEY, FOSTER, STABACK, COLAFELLA and SWEET

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), known as "The First Class Township Code," further providing for the sale of township real property.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1988.

No. 2577 By Representatives TRELLO, DeLUCA, SEVENTY, VAN HORNE, PISTELLA, MELIO, ROEBUCK, VROON, NAHILL, HALUSKA, VEON, STABACK, GANNON, CESSAR, ACOSTA, OLASZ, ITKIN, KASUNIC, BELARDI, BILLOW, MICOZZIE, JOHNSON, BOWSER, E. Z. TAYLOR, LANGTRY and FARMER

An Act amending the act of May 9, 1986 (P. L. 165, No. 53), entitled, "An act requiring banks and other lending institutions to notify the mortgagor when the mortgage has been paid," requiring lending institutions holding mortgages for real estate to forward certain real estate tax bills; and providing for a limited penalty.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, June 22, 1988.

No. 2578 By Representative KOSINSKI

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for creditable nonstate service.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 22, 1988.

No. 2579 By Representatives BATTISTO, McCALL, STABACK, BRANDT, BIRMEIN, VROON, OLASZ, CLARK, BOWSER, NOYE, PUNT, CARN, EVANS, OLIVER, KOSINSKI, J. TAYLOR and BURNS

An Act amending the act of April 28, 1961 (P. L. 111, No. 50), known as the "Tourist Promotion Law," further defining "eligible costs" and "regional tourist promotion agency."

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, June 22, 1988.

No. 2580 By Representatives CAWLEY, BELARDI, JAROLIN, FREEMAN, BORTNER, PISTELLA, DALEY, VAN HORNE, MILLER, VEON, MICHLOVIC, BOOK, MAIALE, DISTLER, ITKIN and KUKOVICH

An Act providing for the location and operation of community residential facilities.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, June 22, 1988.

No. 2581 By Representatives STUBAN, HASAY, SHOWERS, LUCYK, DIETTERICK and BELFANTI

An Act designating a highway bridge on Route 93 in Columbia and Luzerne Counties as the Berwick-Nescopeck Veterans Memorial Bridge.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 22, 1988.

No. 2582 By Representatives SALOOM, PUNT and PETRARCA

An Act amending the act of May 5, 1933 (P. L. 284, No. 104), known as the "Malt Beverage Tax Law," extending the emergency malt or brewed beverage tax credits; and increasing the maximum credit.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, June 22, 1988.

No. 2583 By Representatives COHEN, BELFANTI, PRESSMANN, KASUNIC, FREEMAN, CARN, LEVDANSKY, DeLUCA, WOZNIAK and BILLOW

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P. L. 762, No. 340), referred to as the "State Workmen's Insurance Fund Law," providing for the transfer of certain investment earnings; and making appropriations.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 22, 1988.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 301 By Representatives MURPHY, GAMBLE, MICHLOVIC, DAWIDA, PRESTON and PETRONE

Directing the House Transportation Committee to investigate expansion of the mass transportation system in Allegheny County.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 22, 1988.

No. 302 By Representatives MURPHY, GEORGE, HASAY, LEVDANSKY, PRESTON, DUFFY, PISTELLA and MICHLOVIC

Directing the House Conservation Committee to examine dangers to water supplies of communities reliant on the Allegheny River and the safeguards required to protect residents and water supplies.

Referred to Committee on RULES, June 22, 1988.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

You had better pay attention. You are going to get the first inkling of what today holds for you.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to advise the members on my side of the aisle that there will be an immediate Democratic caucus. The majority leader would like everyone on our side of the aisle to be in the majority caucus room promptly. Thank you.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye. Do you require a caucus?

The current plan is to caucus immediately for the Democratic side and to return at 1:30, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, Republicans will caucus at 12:30.

The SPEAKER. Immediate Democratic caucus; 12:30 caucus for the Republican Party. Please be prepared to return to the floor of the House at 1:30.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess until 1:30.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 1438, PN 2207.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1282, PN 2208**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 343, No. 176), entitled, as amended, "The Fiscal Code," further providing for deposits, investments, depositories and interest on deposits.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 1282 be recommitted for a fiscal note to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**SB 1441 PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. SB 1441 will be passed over for today, without objection. The Chair hears no objection.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 951, PN 2940**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P. L. 682, No. 284), known as "The Insurance Company Law of 1921," providing for experience rating, for reporting of certain insurance data, for a liability underwriting services plan, for a standby joint underwriting association for general liability insurance, for procedure for review of rate filings and for a notice of intent to withdraw; and making repeals.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 951 be placed upon the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 951 be lifted from the tabled calendar and appear on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1628, PN 2403**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 40 (Insurance) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to insurance; and making repeals.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1628 be placed upon the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1628 be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed upon the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2206, PN 2905**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of , 1988 (P. L. , No.), entitled "An act amending Title 40 (Insurance) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to insurance; making conforming changes to the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes; and making repeals," making revisions, corrections and additions relating to insurance.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2206 be placed upon the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2206 be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed upon the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

HB 2461 PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Mr. Flick, we are going to go over temporarily the bill that you are going to object to. Take your argument to the majority leader and see if you can get it ironed out there. But we will go over it temporarily so that you do not have to worry about it being over and you being shut out.

HB 2461 will go over temporarily.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1225, PN 3410**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 7, 1982 (P. L. 784, No. 225), known as the "Dog Law," further providing for offenses relating to dogs used for law enforcement.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Acosta | Dombrowski | Kukovich | Raymond |
| Angstadt | Donatucci | LaGrotta | Reber |
| Argall | Dorr | Langtry | Reinard |
| Arty | Duffy | Lashinger | Richardson |
| Barley | Durham | Leh | Rieger |
| Battisto | Evans | Lescovitz | Ritter |
| Belardi | Fargo | Letterman | Robbins |
| Belfanti | Farmer | Levdansky | Roebuck |
| Billow | Fattah | Linton | Rudy |
| Birmelin | Fee | Livengood | Ryan |
| Black | Fischer | Lloyd | Rybak |
| Blaum | Flick | Lucyk | Saloom |
| Book | Foster | McCall | Saurman |
| Bortner | Fox | McClatchy | Scheetz |
| Bowley | Freeman | McHale | Schuler |
| Bowser | Freind | McVerry | Semmel |
| Boyes | Gallen | Maiale | Serafini |
| Brandt | Gamble | Maine | Showers |
| Broujos | Gannon | Manderino | Sirianni |
| Bunt | Geist | Manmiller | Smith, B. |
| Burd | George | Markosek | Smith, S. H. |
| Burns | Gladeck | Mayernik | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bush | Godshall | Melio | Snyder, G. |
| Caltagirone | Gruitza | Merry | Staback |
| Cappabianca | Gruppo | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Carlson | Hagarty | Micozzie | Steighner |
| Carn | Haluska | Miller | Stuban |
| Cawley | Harper | Moehlmann | Sweet |
| Cessar | Hasay | Morris | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Chadwick | Hayden | Mowery | Taylor, F. |
| Civera | Hayes | Mrkonic | Taylor, J. |
| Clark | Heckler | Murphy | Tigue |
| Clymer | Herman | Nahill | Trello |
| Cohen | Hershey | Noye | Van Horne |
| Colafella | Hess | O'Brien | Veon |
| Cole | Honaman | O'Donnell | Vroon |
| Cornell | Howlett | Olasz | Wambach |
| Corrigan | Hughes | Oliver | Wass |
| Cowell | Hutchinson | Perzel | Weston |
| Coy | Itkin | Petrarca | Wiggins |
| DeLuca | Jackson | Petrone | Wogan |
| DeVerter | Jadlowiec | Phillips | Wozniak |
| DeWeese | Jarolin | Piccola | Wright, D. R. |

- | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| Daley | Johnson | Pievsy | Wright, J. L. |
| Davies | Josephs | Pistella | Wright, R. C. |
| Dawida | Kasunic | Pitts | Yandrisevits |
| Dempsey | Kennedy | Pressmann | |
| Dietterick | Kenney | Preston | Irvis, |
| Dininni | Kitchen | Punt | Speaker |
| Distler | Kosinski | | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Seventy

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2279, PN 3236**, entitled:

An Act regulating the inspection and approval of antique boilers; providing for certain powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry; providing a penalty; and making a repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Acosta | Dombrowski | Kukovich | Raymond |
| Angstadt | Donatucci | LaGrotta | Reber |
| Argall | Dorr | Langtry | Reinard |
| Arty | Duffy | Lashinger | Richardson |
| Barley | Durham | Leh | Rieger |
| Battisto | Evans | Lescovitz | Ritter |
| Belardi | Fargo | Letterman | Robbins |
| Belfanti | Farmer | Levdansky | Roebuck |
| Billow | Fattah | Linton | Rudy |
| Birmelin | Fee | Livengood | Ryan |
| Black | Fischer | Lloyd | Rybak |
| Blaum | Flick | Lucyk | Saloom |
| Book | Foster | McCall | Saurman |
| Bortner | Fox | McClatchy | Scheetz |
| Bowley | Freeman | McHale | Schuler |
| Bowser | Freind | McVerry | Semmel |
| Boyes | Gallen | Maiale | Serafini |
| Brandt | Gamble | Maine | Showers |
| Broujos | Gannon | Manderino | Sirianni |
| Bunt | Geist | Manmiller | Smith, B. |
| Burd | George | Markosek | Smith, S. H. |
| Burns | Gladeck | Mayernik | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bush | Godshall | Melio | Snyder, G. |
| Caltagirone | Gruitza | Merry | Staback |
| Cappabianca | Gruppo | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Carlson | Hagarty | Micozzie | Steighner |
| Carn | Haluska | Miller | Stuban |
| Cawley | Harper | Moehlmann | Sweet |
| Cessar | Hasay | Morris | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Chadwick | Hayden | Mowery | Taylor, F. |
| Civera | Hayes | Mrkonic | Taylor, J. |

Clark	Heckler	Murphy	Tigue
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Trello
Cohen	Hershey	Noye	Van Horne
Colafella	Hess	O'Brien	Veon
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cornell	Howlett	Olasz	Wambach
Corrigan	Hughes	Oliver	Wass
Cowell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Weston
Coy	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrone	Wogan
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jarolin	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Johnson	Pievsky	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kasunic	Pitts	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pressmann	
Dietterick	Kenney	Preston	Irvis,
Dininni	Kitchen	Punt	Speaker
Distler	Kosinski		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Seventy

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2473, PN 3484**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 3, 1985 (P. L. 164, No. 45), known as the "Emergency Medical Services Act," excluding volunteer fire and ambulance departments from certain provisions of the act.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Acosta	Distler	Kosinski	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Raymond
Arty	Duff	Langtry	Reber
Barley	Durham	Lashing	Reinard
Battisto	Evans	Lescovitz	Rieger
Belardi	Fargo	Letterman	Ritter
Belfanti	Farmer	Levdansky	Robbins
Billow	Fattah	Linton	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fee	Livengood	Rudy
Black	Fischer	Lloyd	Ryan
Blaum	Flick	Lucyk	Rybak
Book	Foster	McCall	Saloom
Bortner	Fox	McClatchy	Saurman
Bowley	Freeman	McHale	Scheetz
Bowser	Freind	McVerry	Schuler
Boyes	Gallen	Maiale	Semmel
Brandt	Gamble	Maine	Serafini
Broujos			Showers

Bunt	Geist	Manderino	Sirianni
Burd	George	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Burns	Gladeck	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Melio	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Merry	Staback
Carlson	Hagarty	Michlovic	Stairs
Carn	Haluska	Micozzie	Steighner
Cawley	Harper	Miller	Stuban
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Sweet
Chadwick	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Clark	Heckler	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	Murphy	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	Nahill	Trello
Colafella	Hess	Noye	Van Horne
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien	Veon
Cornell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Vroon
Corrigan	Hughes	Olasz	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wass
Coy	Itkin	Perzel	Weston
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Josephs	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kasunic	Pistella	
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pitts	Irvis,
Dietterick	Kenney	Pressmann	Speaker
Dininni	Kitchen		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Gannon	Seventy	Wiggins	Wogan
Richardson			

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1144, PN 3454**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to convey to the Canon-McMillan School District 3.109 acres of land, more or less, situate in the Borough of Canonsburg, Washington County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Punt
Angstadt	Donatucci	Kukovich	Raymond
Argall	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reber
Arty	Duff	Langtry	Reinard
Barley	Durham	Lashing	Richardson
Battisto	Evans	Leh	Rieger

Belardi	Fargo	Lescovitz	Ritter
Belfanti	Farmer	Letterman	Robbins
Billow	Fattah	Levdansky	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fee	Linton	Rudy
Black	Fischer	Livengood	Ryan
Blaum	Flick	Lloyd	Rybak
Book	Foster	Lucyk	Saloom
Bortner	Fox	McCall	Saurman
Bowley	Freeman	McClatchy	Scheetz
Bowser	Freind	McHale	Schuler
Boyes	Gallen	McVerry	Semmel
Brandt	Gamble	Maiale	Serafini
Broujos	Gannon	Maine	Showers
Bunt	Geist	Manderino	Sirianni
Burd	George	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Burns	Gladeck	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Melio	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Merry	Staback
Carlson	Hagarty	Michlovic	Stairs
Carn	Haluska	Micozzie	Steighner
Cawley	Harper	Miller	Stuban
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Sweet
Chadwick	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Clark	Heckler	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	Murphy	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	Nahill	Trello
Colafella	Hess	Noye	Van Horne
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien	Veon
Cornell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Vroon
Corrigan	Hughes	Olasz	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wass
Coy	Itkin	Perzel	Weston
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrarca	Wogan
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, J. L.
Dawida	Josephs	Pievsky	Wright, R. C.
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pistella	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kennedy	Pitts	
Dininni	Kenney	Pressmann	Irvis,
Distler	Kitchen	Preston	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Daley	Seventy	Wiggins
-------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1371, PN 3453**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Public Welfare, to convey to Kirwan Heights Volunteer Fire Department a tract of land situate in Collier Township, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Distler	Kosinski	Punt
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Raymond
Argall	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reber
Arty	Dorr	Langtry	Reinard
Barley	Duffy	Lashinger	Richardson
Battisto	Durham	Leh	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Lescovitz	Ritter
Belfanti	Farmer	Letterman	Robbins
Billow	Fattah	Levdansky	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fee	Linton	Rudy
Black	Fischer	Livengood	Ryan
Blaum	Flick	Lloyd	Rybak
Book	Foster	Lucyk	Saloom
Bortner	Fox	McCall	Saurman
Bowley	Freeman	McClatchy	Scheetz
Bowser	Freind	McHale	Schuler
Boyes	Gallen	McVerry	Semmel
Brandt	Gamble	Maiale	Serafini
Broujos	Gannon	Maine	Showers
Bunt	Geist	Manderino	Sirianni
Burd	George	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Burns	Gladeck	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Melio	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Merry	Staback
Carlson	Hagarty	Michlovic	Stairs
Carn	Haluska	Micozzie	Steighner
Cawley	Harper	Miller	Stuban
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Sweet
Chadwick	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Clark	Heckler	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Herman	Murphy	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	Nahill	Trello
Colafella	Hess	Noye	Van Horne
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien	Veon
Cornell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Vroon
Corrigan	Hughes	Olasz	Wambach
Cowell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wass
Coy	Itkin	Perzel	Weston
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrarca	Wogan
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Josephs	Pievsky	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kasunic	Pistella	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pitts	
Dietterick	Kenney	Pressmann	Irvis,
Dininni	Kitchen	Preston	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Fargo	Seventy	Wiggins
-------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2293**, **PN 3452**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Agriculture, to convey and confirm two tracts of land located in Penn Township, Snyder County, Pennsylvania, to Randall W. Bailey and Ellen S. Bailey, his wife, and Rick L. Bailey and Kathy A. Bailey, his wife.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Acosta | Distler | Kitchen | Preston |
| Angstadt | Dombrowski | Kosinski | Punt |
| Argall | Donatucci | Kukovich | Raymond |
| Arty | Dorr | LaGrotta | Reber |
| Barley | Duffy | Langtry | Reinard |
| Battisto | Durham | Lashingier | Rieger |
| Belardi | Evans | Leh | Ritter |
| Belfanti | Fargo | Lescovitz | Robbins |
| Billow | Farmer | Letterman | Roebuck |
| Birmelin | Fattah | Levdansky | Rudy |
| Black | Fee | Linton | Ryan |
| Blaum | Fischer | Livengood | Rybak |
| Book | Flick | Lloyd | Saloom |
| Bortner | Foster | Lucyk | Saurman |
| Bowley | Fox | McCall | Scheetz |
| Bowser | Freeman | McClatchy | Schuler |
| Boyes | Freind | McHale | Semmel |
| Brandt | Gallen | McVerry | Serafini |
| Broujos | Gamble | Maiale | Showers |
| Bunt | Gannon | Maine | Sirianni |
| Burd | Geist | Manderino | Smith, B. |
| Burns | George | Manmiller | Smith, S. H. |
| Bush | Gladeck | Markosek | Snyder, D. W. |
| Caltagirone | Godshall | Mayernik | Snyder, G. |
| Cappabianca | Gruitza | Melio | Staback |
| Carlson | Gruppo | Merry | Stairs |
| Carn | Hagarty | Michlovic | Steighner |
| Cawley | Haluska | Micozzie | Stuban |
| Cessar | Harper | Miller | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Chadwick | Hasay | Moehlmann | Taylor, F. |
| Civera | Hayden | Morris | Taylor, J. |
| Clark | Hayes | Mowery | Tigue |
| Clymer | Heckler | Mrkonic | Trello |
| Cohen | Herman | Murphy | Van Horne |
| Colafella | Hershey | Nahill | Veon |
| Cole | Hess | Noye | Vroon |
| Cornell | Honaman | O'Brien | Wambach |
| Corrigan | Howlett | O'Donnell | Wass |
| Cowell | Hughes | Olasz | Weston |
| Coy | Hutchinson | Oliver | Wogan |
| DeLuca | Itkin | Perzel | Wozniak |
| DeVertter | Jackson | Petrarca | Wright, D. R. |
| DeWeese | Jadlowiec | Petrone | Wright, J. L. |
| Daley | Jarolin | Phillips | Wright, R. C. |
| Davies | Johnson | Piccola | Yandrisevits |
| Dawida | Josephs | Pistella | |
| Dempsey | Kasunic | Pitts | Irvis, |
| Dietterick | Kennedy | Pressmann | Speaker |
| Dininni | Kenney | | |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

- | | | | |
|------------|---------|-------|---------|
| Pjevsky | Seventy | Sweet | Wiggins |
| Richardson | | | |

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1763**, **PN 2198**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for inability to pay fine and costs.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. **MANDERINO**. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1763 be placed upon the tabled calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. **MANDERINO**. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1763 be lifted from the tabled calendar and returned to the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2417**, **PN 3223**, entitled:

An Act designating a section of Route 255 in Elk County, Pennsylvania, as the General Edward Meyer Boulevard.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Distler	Kitchen	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	Kukovich	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reber
Barley	Duffy	Langtry	Reinard
Battisto	Durham	Lashinger	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Leh	Ritter
Belfanti	Fargo	Lescovitz	Robbins
Billow	Farmer	Letterman	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fattah	Levdansky	Rudy
Black	Fee	Linton	Ryan
Blaum	Fischer	Livengood	Rybak
Book	Flick	Lloyd	Saloom
Bortner	Foster	Lucyk	Saurman
Bowley	Fox	McCall	Scheetz
Bowser	Freeman	McClatchy	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	McHale	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	McVerry	Serafini
Broujos	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Bunt	Gannon	Maine	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burns	George	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Carlson	Gruppo	Merry	Stairs
Carn	Hagarty	Michlovic	Steighner
Cawley	Haluska	Micozzie	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	Miller	Sweet
Chadwick	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Heckler	Mrkonic	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Murphy	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	Nahill	Van Horne
Cole	Hess	Noye	Veon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Brien	Vroon
Corrigan	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cowell	Hughes	Olasz	Wass
Coy	Hutchinson	Oliver	Weston
DeLuca	Itkin	Perzel	Wogan
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Josephs	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pistella	
Dietterick	Kennedy	Pitts	Irvis,
Dininni	Kenney	Pressmann	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Richardson	Seventy	Wiggins
------------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2427, PN 3233**, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge over the Allegheny River in Allegheny County, as the Joseph F. Bonetto Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Acosta	Distler	Kosinski	Punt
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Raymond
Argall	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reber
Arty	Dorr	Langtry	Reinard
Barley	Duffy	Lashinger	Rieger
Battisto	Durham	Leh	Ritter
Belardi	Evans	Lescovitz	Robbins
Belfanti	Fargo	Letterman	Roebuck
Billow	Farmer	Levdansky	Rudy
Birmelin	Fattah	Linton	Ryan
Black	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Saloom
Book	Flick	Lucyk	Saurman
Bortner	Foster	McCall	Scheetz
Bowley	Fox	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowser	Freeman	McHale	Semmel
Boyes	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Brandt	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Broujos	Gamble	Maine	Sirianni
Bunt	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burd	George	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Burns	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bush	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Merry	Stairs
Carlson	Hagarty	Michlovic	Steighner
Carn	Haluska	Micozzie	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Miller	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clark	Heckler	Mrkonic	Tigue
Clymer	Herman	Murphy	Trello
Cohen	Hershey	Nahill	Van Horne
Colafella	Hess	Noye	Veon
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wambach
Corrigan	Hughes	Olasz	Wass
Cowell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Weston
Coy	Itkin	Perzel	Wogan
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Josephs	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kasunic	Pistella	
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pitts	Irvis,
Dietterick	Kenney	Pressmann	Speaker
Dininni	Kitchen	Preston	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Gannon	Richardson	Seventy	Wiggins
--------	------------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2476, PN 3318**, entitled:

An Act designating a certain bridge crossing the Susquehanna River in Columbia County as the Fort McClure Veterans Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Acosta | Distler | Kitchen | Preston |
| Angstadt | Dombrowski | Kosinski | Punt |
| Argall | Donatucci | Kukovich | Raymond |
| Arty | Dorr | LaGrotta | Reber |
| Barley | Duffy | Langtry | Reinard |
| Battisto | Durham | Lashinger | Rieger |
| Belardi | Evans | Leh | Ritter |
| Belfanti | Fargo | Lescovitz | Robbins |
| Billow | Farmer | Letterman | Roebuck |
| Birmelin | Fattah | Lvdansky | Rudy |
| Black | Fee | Linton | Ryan |
| Blaum | Fischer | Livengood | Rybak |
| Book | Flick | Lloyd | Saloom |
| Bortner | Foster | Lucyk | Saurman |
| Bowley | Fox | McCall | Scheetz |
| Bowser | Freeman | McClatchy | Schuler |
| Boyes | Freind | McHale | Semmel |
| Brandt | Gallen | McVerry | Serafini |
| Broujos | Gamble | Maiale | Sirianni |
| Bunt | Gannon | Maine | Smith, B. |
| Burd | Geist | Manderino | Smith, S. H. |
| Burns | George | Manmiller | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bush | Gladeck | Markosek | Snyder, G. |
| Caltagirone | Godshall | Mayernik | Staback |
| Cappabianca | Gruitza | Melio | Stairs |
| Carlson | Gruppo | Merry | Steighner |
| Carn | Hagarty | Michlovic | Stuban |
| Cawley | Haluska | Micozzie | Sweet |
| Cessar | Harper | Miller | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Chadwick | Hasay | Moehlmann | Taylor, F. |
| Civera | Hayden | Morris | Taylor, J. |
| Clark | Hayes | Mowery | Tigue |
| Clymer | Heckler | Mrkonic | Trello |
| Cohen | Herman | Murphy | Van Horne |
| Colafella | Hershey | Nahill | Veon |
| Cole | Hess | Noye | Vroon |
| Cornell | Honaman | O'Brien | Wambach |
| Corrigan | Howlett | O'Donnell | Wass |
| Cowell | Hughes | Olasz | Weston |
| Coy | Hutchinson | Oliver | Wogan |
| DeLuca | Itkin | Perzel | Wozniak |
| DeVerter | Jackson | Petrarca | Wright, D. R. |
| DeWeese | Jadlowiec | Petrone | Wright, J. L. |
| Daley | Jarolin | Phillips | Wright, R. C. |
| Davies | Johnson | Piccola | Yandrisevits |
| Dawida | Josephs | Pievsky | |
| Dempsey | Kasunic | Pistella | |
| Dietterick | Kennedy | Pitts | Irvis, |
| Dininni | Kenney | Pressmann | Speaker |

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

- | | | | |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Richardson | Seventy | Showers | Wiggins |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS
TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **SB 321, PN 2159**, with information that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House by amending said amendments in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definitions of "common carrier by motor vehicle" and "contract carrier by motor vehicle"; and providing for inspection of highway crossing safety devices by railroads or carriers.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The **SPEAKER**. Mr. Lloyd, would you explain to the floor of the House what the Senate did to the House amendments to see whether we should concur.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. **LLOYD**. The only change, Mr. Speaker, which the Senate made was to correct the effective date. There were a number of amendments put in on the floor of the House. One of those was put in by Mr. Battisto, and because of the section numbers, the wrong ones were made effective immediately. The Senate has corrected that mistake, and I would suggest that the House concur in the Senate amendments.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Those who wish to concur in the Senate amendments to House amendments will vote "aye"; those who wish to non-concur will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The **SPEAKER**. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

- | | | | |
|----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Acosta | Distler | Kitchen | Punt |
| Angstadt | Dombrowski | Kosinski | Raymond |
| Argall | Donatucci | Kukovich | Reber |
| Arty | Dorr | LaGrotta | Reinard |
| Barley | Duffy | Lashinger | Rieger |
| Battisto | Durham | Leh | Ritter |
| Belardi | Evans | Lescovitz | Robbins |

Belfanti	Fargo	Letterman	Roebuck
Billow	Farmer	Levdansky	Rudy
Birmelin	Fattah	Linton	Ryan
Black	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Saloom
Book	Flick	Lucyk	Saurman
Bortner	Foster	McCall	Scheetz
Bowley	Fox	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowser	Freeman	McHale	Semmel
Boyes	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Brandt	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Broujos	Gamble	Maine	Sirianni
Bunt	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burd	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Burns	George	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bush	Gladeck	Mayermik	Snyder, G.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Melio	Staback
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Merry	Stairs
Carlson	Gruppo	Michlovic	Steighner
Carn	Hagarty	Micozzie	Stuban
Cawley	Haluska	Miller	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hayes	Mrkonic	Tigue
Clymer	Heckler	Murphy	Trello
Cohen	Herman	Nahill	Van Horne
Colafella	Hershey	Noye	Veon
Cole	Hess	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wambach
Corrigan	Howlett	Olasz	Wass
Cowell	Hughes	Oliver	Weston
Coy	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wogan
DeLuca	Itkin	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Jarolin	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Johnson	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Josephs	Pistella	
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pitts	Irvis,
Dieterick	Kennedy	Pressmann	Speaker
Dininni	Kenney	Preston	

NAYS—1

Langtry

NOT VOTING—3

Richardson

Seventy

Wiggins

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to the House amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

RESOLUTION

Mr. HASAY called up HR 298, PN 3486, entitled:

Directing the House Committee on Conservation to hold hearings on the problem of litter in this Commonwealth.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Distler	Kitchen	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	Kukovich	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reber
Barley	Duffy	Langtry	Reinard
Battisto	Durham	Lashingier	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Leh	Ritter
Belfanti	Fargo	Lescovitz	Robbins
Billow	Farmer	Letterman	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fattah	Levdansky	Rudy
Black	Fee	Linton	Ryan
Blaum	Fischer	Livengood	Rybak
Book	Flick	Lloyd	Saloom
Bortner	Foster	Lucyk	Saurman
Bowley	Fox	McCall	Scheetz
Bowser	Freeman	McClatchy	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	McHale	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	McVerry	Serafini
Broujos	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Burd	Gannon	Maine	Sirianni
Burns	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bush	George	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Cappabianca	Godshall	Mayermik	Snyder, G.
Carlson	Gruitza	Melio	Stairs
Carn	Gruppo	Merry	Steighner
Cawley	Hagarty	Michlovic	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Micozzie	Sweet
Chadwick	Harper	Miller	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hayes	Mowery	Tigue
Cohen	Heckler	Mrkonic	Trello
Colafella	Herman	Murphy	Van Horne
Cole	Hershey	Nahill	Veon
Cornell	Hess	Noye	Vroon
Corrigan	Honaman	O'Brien	Wambach
Cowell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wass
Coy	Hughes	Olasz	Weston
DeLuca	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wogan
DeVerter	Itkin	Perzel	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jackson	Petrarca	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Johnson	Piccola	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Josephs	Pievsky	
Dieterick	Kasunic	Pistella	Irvis,
Dininni	Kennedy	Pitts	Speaker
	Kenney	Pressmann	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Richardson

Seventy

Staback

Wiggins

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 2570, PN 3500, entitled:

An Act itemizing appropriations required from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1988, to June 30, 1989, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
 Mr. BOWLEY offered the following amendments No. A3862:

Amend Sec. 201, page 3, line 30, by inserting after "activities."
 Of this amount, \$300,000 shall be allocated by the department for a timber bridge research, design and construction program.

Amend Sec. 201, page 4, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation..... 183,860,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Warren, Mr. Bowley.

Mr. BOWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment authorizes an additional \$300,000 to the Department of Transportation, which comes out of the Motor License Fund, for a timber bridge research, design, and construction program. We, the Commonwealth, have a chance to lead the country in using hardwoods in timber bridge construction, and this amount will be matched by the Federal Government, the United States Forest Service.

I would ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Acosta	Dininni	Kukovich	Raymond
Angstadt	Distler	LaGrotta	Reber
Argall	Dombrowski	Langtry	Reinard
Arty	Donatucci	Lashinger	Rieger
Barley	Dorr	Leh	Ritter
Battisto	Duffy	Lescovitz	Robbins
Belardi	Durham	Letterman	Roebuck
Belfanti	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Billow	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Birmelin	Farmer	Lucyk	Rybak
Black	Fischer	McCall	Saloom
Blaum	Flick	McClatchy	Saurman
Book	Foster	McHale	Scheetz
Bortner	Fox	McVerry	Schuler
Bowley	Freeman	Maiale	Semmel
Bowser	Freind	Maine	Serafini
Boyes	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Brandt	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Broujos	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bunt	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Burd	George	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G.
Bush	Godshall	Michlovic	Staback
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miller	Steighner
Carlson	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Chadwick	Hayden	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Heckler	Noye	Trello
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Van Horne
Cohen	Hershey	O'Donnell	Veon
Colafella	Hess	Olasz	Vroon
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Wambach
Cornell	Howlett	Perzel	Wass
Corrigan	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Weston

Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wogan
Coy	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wozniak
DeLuca	Jarolin	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Johnson	Pievsky	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kasunic	Pitts	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kennedy	Pressmann	
Dawida	Kenney	Preston	Irvis,
Dempsey	Kitchen	Punt	Speaker
Dietterick	Kosinski		

NAYS—2

Itkin	Levdansky
-------	-----------

NOT VOTING—7

Fattah	Hughes	Richardson	Wiggins
Fee	Linton	Seventy	

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Acosta	Distler	Kitchen	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	Kukovich	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reber
Barley	Duffy	Langtry	Reinard
Battisto	Durham	Lashinger	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Leh	Ritter
Belfanti	Fargo	Lescovitz	Robbins
Billow	Farmer	Letterman	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fattah	Levdansky	Rudy
Black	Fee	Linton	Ryan
Blaum	Fischer	Livengood	Rybak
Book	Flick	Lloyd	Saloom
Bortner	Foster	Lucyk	Saurman
Bowley	Fox	McCall	Scheetz
Bowser	Freeman	McClatchy	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	McHale	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	McVerry	Serafini
Broujos	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Bunt	Gannon	Maine	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burns	George	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Carlson	Gruppo	Merry	Stairs
Carn	Hagarty	Michlovic	Steighner
Cawley	Haluska	Micozzie	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	Miller	Sweet
Chadwick	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Heckler	Mrkonic	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Murphy	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	Nahill	Van Horne
Cole	Hess	Noye	Veon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Brien	Vroon
Corrigan	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wambach

Cowell	Hughes	Olasz	Wass
Coy	Hutchinson	Oliver	Weston
DeLuca	Itkin	Perzel	Wogan
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Josephs	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pistella	
Dietterick	Kennedy	Pitts	Irvis,
Dininni	Kenney	Pressmann	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Richardson	Seventy	Wiggins
EXCUSED—0		

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2461 CONTINUED

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. On page 14 we passed over temporarily HB 2461 under objection of Mr. Flick. Mr. Flick has now informed the Chair that he is satisfied to let the bill go over, without objection, and the bill will be marked over, without objection.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2184, PN 3104**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), known as "The Borough Code," further providing for the awarding of contracts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. HECKLER offered the following amendments No. A3856:

Amend Title, page 1, line 4, by inserting after "for" public employment and for

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

Section 1. Section 1121 of the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P.L.1656, No.581), known as The Borough Code, is amended to read:

Section 1121. Appointment, Suspension, Reduction, Discharge, Powers; Mayor to Have Control.—Borough council may, subject to the civil service provisions of this act, if they be in effect at the time, appoint and remove, or suspend, or reduce in rank, one or more suitable persons, citizens of the United States of America, as borough policemen, who shall be ex officio constables of the borough, and shall and may, within the borough or upon property owned or controlled by the borough or by a municipal authority of the borough whether such property is within or outside the limits of the borough, without warrant and upon view, arrest, and commit for hearing any and all persons guilty of breach of the peace, vagrancy, riotous or disorderly conduct or drunkenness, or who may be engaged in the commission of any unlawful act tending to imperil the personal security

or endanger the property of the citizens, or for violating any ordinance of the borough for the violation of which a fine or penalty is imposed, and notwithstanding any statute pertaining to the same or similar offenses. Any person so arrested shall be received for confinement by the keepers of the jails, lockups, or station houses within the county.

The borough council may designate one of said policemen as chief of police. The mayor of the borough shall have full charge and control of the chief of police and the police force, and he shall direct the time during which, the place where and the manner in which, the chief of police and the police force shall perform their duties, except that council shall fix and determine the total weekly hours of employment that shall apply to the policemen.

Policemen shall have authority to serve and execute all criminal process for the violation of borough ordinances which may be issued by the mayor, and shall charge the same fees and costs as constables of the borough, but such fees and costs shall be collected by the mayor and by him paid into the borough treasury.

The borough may, by ordinance establish a police department consisting of chief, captain, lieutenant, sergeants, or any other classification desired by the council, and council may, subject to the civil service provisions of this act, if they be in effect at the time, designate the individuals assigned to each office, but the mayor shall continue to direct the manner in which the persons assigned to the office shall perform their duties. The mayor may, however, delegate to the chief of police or other officers supervision over and instruction to subordinate officers in the manner of performing their duties. The mayor may appoint special policemen during an emergency in which the safety and welfare of the borough and the public is endangered and auxiliary policemen may be appointed as provided by general law. Part-time policemen may be employed as needed. Special policemen, auxiliary policemen and part-time policemen shall possess all powers of arrest without a warrant and other related powers granted to full-time policemen under this act.

The borough council may assign the chief of police or any member of the police force to undergo a course of training at any training school for policemen established and made available by the State or Federal government, and may provide for the payment by the borough of his expenses while in attendance in such school.

In any case in which a borough has heretofore appointed policemen or established a police department by action of council but not by or pursuant to an ordinance regularly enacted, such action shall be deemed to have been a valid exercise of the legislative power of the borough for all purposes the same as though an ordinance had been enacted, and all policemen appointed thereunder shall occupy the same status and shall have the same rights and privileges as in the case of policemen appointed under authority of an ordinance.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 7, by striking out "1" and inserting

2

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 9 and 10, by striking out "of February 1, 1966 (1965 P.L.1656, No.581), known as The Borough Code"

Amend Sec. 2, page 4, line 21, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Heckler.

Mr. HECKLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I thank the courtesy of the Chair for holding this bill over yesterday so that this amendment could be prepared.

A common pleas court in Monroe County ruled that a drunk-driving arrest which had resulted in a conviction should be reversed and thrown out because the police officer who made that arrest was a part-time borough police officer.

This amendment amends the Borough Code to authorize the existence of part-time police officers and to make clear that all of the various sorts of part-time police officers authorized by law possess the powers of arrest. This does not change the qualifications. All of these officers must comply with the Municipal Police Officers' Training Act and other requirements. We merely need to make sure that our courts are not going to be in the position of invalidating arrests made by these officers.

I would urge your support for this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Warren, Mr. Bowley.

Mr. BOWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman who drafted this amendment stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Heckler indicates he will so stand. You are in order, and you may proceed.

Mr. BOWLEY. Mr. Speaker, under your amendment, if I read that correctly, all special policemen, auxiliary policemen, and part-time policemen will now have all the powers of arrest without a warrant and other related powers granted to full-time policemen regardless if they have gone through these training courses that a policeman would have. Would you please explain that?

Mr. HECKLER. No. It is correct that this is making clear that all of those officers have full arrest powers. This amendment merely amends the Borough Code; it has nothing to do with the Municipal Police Officers' Training Act, which is freestanding legislation. It is my understanding that any officer who is employed in any of these capacities has to comply with the firearms training and the other training that is required of all police officers.

This situation, and I do not want to take the House's time with too long a discussion of the case, but the judge got persuaded after the fact that because the Borough Code had not been updated and, for instance, the special and emergency police officers are only referred to in times of emergency, that this officer did not have the power to arrest and therefore threw out the case. In fact, there are presently hundreds and hundreds of part-time police officers, all fully trained, all fully recognized by the State, who are serving in boroughs across the State, and we do not want to be in a situation where what they are doing in law enforcement is invalidated.

Mr. BOWLEY. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, may I make a comment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has the floor.

Mr. BOWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I request that perhaps the chairman of the Local Government Committee or whichever committee this bill came through could speak on this to make sure we are not doing what I think we are doing?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Sweet, do you volunteer?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, I thought I was recognized for the purpose of responding to interrogation.

The SPEAKER. You are, in fact. The gentleman, Mr. Bowley, has some problems about an explanation of what this would do. He wishes to ask you a question.

You may proceed, Mr. Bowley.

Mr. BOWLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would you please address the comments made by the maker of this amendment? As I read this amendment, it appears that we are giving all power of arrest without a warrant to those people who are not full-time policemen.

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding, and I have spoken briefly with the author of the amendment, that the purpose of this amendment is to make it clear that part-time policemen have the power of arrest. There is apparently a common pleas court decision or apparently several common pleas court decisions in different parts of the State of Pennsylvania that have called into question the arrest powers of part-time policemen, and I believe that that is what the gentleman, Mr. Heckler, is trying to address. Now, whether or not his amendment goes beyond that I do not know, and I would need to take a look at it more carefully myself.

I do not want to complicate this, Mr. Speaker, but if I ask Mr. Heckler a question, I think we can get at the problem.

The SPEAKER. Very well. Mr. Heckler will be happy to answer.

You may proceed, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, if your amendment is enacted into law, would it do anything to expand the arrest powers of part-time police beyond what those powers were prior to some common pleas court decisions that were recently handed down?

Mr. HECKLER. No, Mr. Speaker. It is my understanding and my intention that it would not, and it is my belief that the language of this amendment would not expand those powers either beyond what full-time police officers possess or what part-time police officers exercised prior to those decisions.

Mr. SWEET. So it is clearly the intent of your amendment that part-time police officers will have only those powers of arrest that they had under the law as we understood it prior to these court cases which have come up in one or two of our counties in Pennsylvania.

Mr. HECKLER. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. SWEET. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I believe that probably answers the questions that Mr. Bowley had in mind. If not, I am willing to respond to further interrogation he might have.

Mr. BOWLEY. Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I may.

The SPEAKER. You may continue.

Mr. BOWLEY. Mr. Speaker, my concern is the terms "special policemen" and "auxiliary policemen." I do not have any problems with the full-time policemen or the part-time policemen. My problem is special policemen and auxiliary policemen. Is that defined somewhere in the act?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. All right. We will go over HB 2184 and the amendment temporarily. When we call the bill back up, it will be with the amendment offered by Mr. Heckler. Over temporarily until we can get the question cleared up on HB 2184.

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1786, PN 3409**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," reestablishing the Industrial Board; providing for its composition, powers and duties; further providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry; providing for a Policy, Planning and Evaluation Advisory Committee; making an editorial change; and making repeals.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. We have already read the message from the Senate, and the question before the House is, what did the Senate do; what amendments did the Senate insert in HB 1786 in which it is asking the concurrence of the House?

To answer that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Pressmann.

Mr. PRESSMANN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in a nutshell, what we did in the House is we took out Senate confirmation for the Industrial Board. They put it back in. We are now asking for confirmation on Senate amendments.

We urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. Those who wish to concur in Senate amendments inserted into HB 1786 will vote "aye"; those who do not wish to concur will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Acosta	Distler	Kitchen	Punt
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Raymond
Argall	Donatucci	Kukovich	Reber
Arty	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reinard
Barley	Duffy	Lashinger	Rieger
Battisto	Durham	Leh	Ritter
Belardi	Evans	Lescovitz	Robbins
Belfanti	Fargo	Levdansky	Roebuck
Billow	Farmer	Linton	Ryan
Birmelin	Fattah	Livengood	Rybak
Black	Fee	Lloyd	Saloom
Blaum	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Book	Flick	McCall	Scheetz
Bortner	Foster	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowley	Fox	McHale	Semmel
Bowser	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Boyes	Freind	Maiale	Showers
Brandt	Gallen	Maine	Sirianni
Broujos	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.

Bunt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Burd	George	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Bush	Godshall	Melio	Staback
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Merry	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Michlovic	Steighner
Carlson	Hagarty	Micozzie	Stuban
Carn	Haluska	Miller	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, F.
Chadwick	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hayes	Mrkonjic	Tigue
Clymer	Heckler	Murphy	Trello
Cohen	Herman	Nahill	Van Horne
Colafella	Hershey	Noye	Veon
Cole	Hess	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wambach
Corrigan	Howlett	Olasz	Wass
Cowell	Hughes	Oliver	Weston
Coy	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wogan
DeLuca	Itkin	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Jarofin	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Johnson	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Josephs	Pistella	
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pitts	Irvis,
Dietterick	Kennedy	Pressmann	Speaker
Dininni	Kenney	Preston	

NAYS—3

Clark	Langtry	Letterman
-------	---------	-----------

NOT VOTING—5

Gamble	Rudy	Seventy	Wiggins
Richardson			

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**BILLS ON THIRD
CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2191, PN 2876**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 12, 1972 (P. L. 762, No. 180), referred to as the "Intergovernmental Cooperation Law," regulating joint purchases and bids on such purchases.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. CLARK offered the following amendments No. A3875:

Amend Title, page 1, line 3, by removing the period after "purchases" and inserting
; and further providing for council of governments and certain nonprofit corporations.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 7.1), page 1, line 18, by inserting after "Act,"

This act shall apply to council of governments including nonprofit corporations operating as council of governments.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Clark.

Mr. CLARK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

All this does is add some clarifying language to the legislation dealing with councils of governments. We had a solicitor render an opinion in the Allegheny Valley North Council of Governments that his council of governments was not covered by the Pennsylvania Steel Procurement Act. In other words, he wanted to use foreign steel in a hydroelectric project, and this is just clarifying language that will make certain that they cannot use foreign steel.

I would appreciate the members' support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Acosta	Distler	Kosinski	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	Langtry	Reber
Barley	Duffy	Lashingner	Reinard
Battisto	Durham	Leh	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Lescovitz	Ritter
Belfanti	Fargo	Letterman	Robbins
Billow	Farmer	Levdansky	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fattah	Linton	Rudy
Black	Fee	Livengood	Ryan
Blaum	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Book	Flick	Lucyk	Saurman
Bortner	Foster	McCall	Scheetz
Bowley	Fox	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowser	Freeman	McHale	Semmler
Boyes	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Brandt	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Broujos	Gamble	Maine	Sirianni
Bunt	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burd	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Burns	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bush	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Merry	Stairs
Carlson	Hagarty	Michlovic	Steighner
Carn	Haluska	Micozzie	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Miller	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clark	Heckler	Mrkonic	Tigue
Clymer	Herman	Murphy	Trello
Cohen	Hershey	Nahill	Van Horne
Colafella	Hess	Noye	Veon
Cole	Honaman	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wambach
Corrigan	Hughes	Olasz	Wass
Cowell	Itkin	Oliver	Weston
Coy	Jackson	Perzel	Wogan
DeLuca	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jarolin	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Johnson	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Josephs	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kasunic	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kennedy	Pistella	
Dempsey	Kenney	Pitts	Irvis,
Dietterick	Kitchen	Pressmann	Speaker
Dininni			

NAYS—2

George Saloom

NOT VOTING—4

Hutchinson Richardson Seventy Wiggins

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Acosta	Dininni	Kitchen	Preston
Angstadt	Distler	Kosinski	Punt
Argall	Dombrowski	LaGrotta	Raymond
Arty	Donatucci	Langtry	Reber
Barley	Dorr	Lashingner	Reinard
Battisto	Duffy	Leh	Rieger
Belfanti	Durham	Lescovitz	Ritter
Billow	Evans	Letterman	Robbins
Birmelin	Fargo	Levdansky	Roebuck
Black	Farmer	Linton	Rudy
Blaum	Fattah	Livengood	Ryan
Book	Fee	Lucyk	Saurman
Bortner	Fischer	McCall	Scheetz
Bowley	Flick	McClatchy	Schuler
Bowser	Foster	McVerry	Semmel
Boyes	Fox	Maiale	Serafini
Brandt	Freind	Maine	Showers
Broujos	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Bunt	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Burd	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Burns	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bush	Gladeck	Melio	Snyder, G.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Merry	Staback
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stairs
Carlson	Gruppo	Micozzie	Steighner
Carn	Hagarty	Miller	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Moehlmann	Sweet
Chadwick	Harper	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Heckler	Murphy	Trello
Cohen	Herman	Nahill	Van Horne
Colafella	Hershey	Noye	Veon
Cole	Hess	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Donnell	Wambach
Corrigan	Howlett	Olasz	Wass
Cowell	Hughes	Oliver	Weston
Coy	Itkin	Perzel	Wogan
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Johnson	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Josephs	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kasunic	Pievsky	
Dawida	Kennedy	Pistella	Irvis,
Dempsey	Kenney	Pitts	Speaker
Dietterick			

NAYS—14

Belardi	Hasay	McHale	Saloom
Cawley	Jarolin	Pressmann	Tigue
Freeman	Kukovich	Rybak	Yandrisevits
George	Lloyd		

NOT VOTING—4

Hutchinson	Richardson	Seventy	Wiggins
------------	------------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2184 CONTINUED

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. On page 17, the bill that we were on before we marked it over temporarily, on part-time borough policemen, will now go over for today. That is HB 2184. HB 2184 will now be marked over on page 17.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1176, PN 2083, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 25, 1986 (P. L. 89, No. 33), entitled "An act amending the act of March 23, 1972 (P. L. 136, No. 52), entitled 'An act relating to the practice of psychology, providing for licensing of psychologists, making certain acts illegal and providing penalties,' reestablishing the State Board of Psychologist Examiners as the State Board of Psychology;....," further providing for the issuance of licenses.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendments No. A3330:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 20), page 2, line 6, by striking out "GRADUATED FROM" and inserting completed

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 20), page 2, line 15, by inserting after "aet" by December 31, 1994,

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a technical amendment to make sure that the language in the bill is parallel and to make absolutely certain that the grandfather window for the psychology licensing requires that the documentation not be submitted until December 31, 1994.

I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Acosta	Distler	Kitchen	Preston
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Kosinski	Punt
Argall	Donatucci	Kukovich	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	LaGrotta	Reber
Barley	Duffy	Langtry	Reinard
Battisto	Durham	Lashinger	Rieger
Belardi	Evans	Leh	Ritter
Belfanti	Fargo	Lescovitz	Robbins
Billow	Farmer	Letterman	Roebuck
Birmelin	Fattah	Levdansky	Rudy
Black	Fee	Linton	Ryan
Blaum	Fischer	Livengood	Rybak
Book	Flick	Lloyd	Saloom
Bortner	Foster	Lucyk	Saurman
Bowley	Fox	McCall	Scheetz
Bowser	Freeman	McClatchy	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	McHale	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	McVerry	Serafini
Broujos	Gamble	Maiale	Showers
Bunt	Gannon	Maine	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Manderino	Smith, B.
Burns	George	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Gladeck	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Melio	Staback
Carlson	Gruppo	Merry	Stairs
Carn	Hagarty	Michlovic	Steighner
Cawley	Haluska	Micozzie	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	Miller	Sweet
Chadwick	Hasay	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayden	Morris	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayes	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Heckler	Mrkonic	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Murphy	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	Nahill	Van Horne
Cole	Hess	Noye	Veon
Cornell	Honaman	O'Brien	Vroon
Corrigan	Howlett	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cowell	Hughes	Olasz	Wass
Coy	Hutchinson	Oliver	Weston
DeLuca	Itkin	Perzel	Wogan
DeVerter	Jackson	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Jarolin	Phillips	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Johnson	Piccola	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Josephs	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kasunic	Pistella	
Dieterick	Kennedy	Pitts	Irvis,
Dininni	Kenney	Pressmann	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Richardson	Seventy	Wiggins
------------	---------	---------

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAURMAN offered the following amendments No. A2804:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 20), page 2, line 8, by striking out "1986" and inserting

1987

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 20), page 2, line 16, by striking out "1986" and inserting
1987

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment appears rather innocuous. It is, however, a serious amendment, particularly for some persons who have been in touch with me, changing the date a year, from 1986 to 1987. The problem arises from the fact that when the Psychology Board made the change in the deadline—

The SPEAKER. Just a moment, Mr. Saurman.

Please, we are dealing with the registration of psychologists. Mr. Saurman has advised us that the amendment must be taken seriously, and the Chair agrees. The Chair would ask that you quiet down and listen to the argument.

Try it now, Mr. Saurman. The Chair apologizes.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There were a number of questions that arose about the adequacy of the notice sent by the board to graduate degree programs in Pennsylvania. The board only sent notices of the prospective change in the law to the departments of psychology in Pennsylvania. Persons enrolled in other departments, either in other States or other behavioral sciences, did not have an opportunity to receive that notice. The notice was only sent out in July of 1986, and it was a 5 months' notice, leaving only 2 months for individuals who wanted to be licensed without obtaining the doctoral degree to enroll in a graduate degree program.

No one is arguing that people included in the window are more qualified than those who enrolled after 9/30/86. The argument is that persons who were not enrolled in a graduate degree program, such as my constituent, are being penalized simply on the basis of not having been in the right place at the right time.

The time period between the signing of the act and the cutoff deadline was about 5 months, too short a period of time for adequate phasing in of such an important change in the law. The board was responsible for notifying affected persons of the change. As we note above, they did not send out notices until July, more than 2 months after the act had been signed, and they spent almost half of that time in promulgating administrative regulations.

We are attempting to fix the problem which was created by those regulations at this time. Had the board tried to make an all-out effort to really blanket the State with information, many more people would have applied by the September 30, 1986, deadline. If in fact more people had known about the change, those who had not applied to graduate school might well have decided to take that step by applying to graduate school in order to earn their master's and apply for licensure.

We know that the board, probably without legal authority, extended the statutory deadline of September 30, 1986,

administratively, for persons whose letters of intent had been received at the board on time. In other words, if the letters were there and they had not acted on them, they did extend it; however, they made no exceptions then to that original deadline.

Looking at other professional licensing statutes which have closed off licensing opportunities in a similar fashion for a given class of persons, two examples suggest that 5 months was just too short a period. One of those two classes is new public accountants. They are no longer able to register, but they were given 12 months in which to register. Professional engineers may no longer apply and receive a land surveyor's license by virtue of holding the professional engineer license. The open registration period was 2 years from the effective date. In one instance, 1 full year; in the other instance, 2 years; but in the case of psychology, only 5 months - an effective period, however, of only 3 months.

Mr. Speaker, for that reason I would ask that this amendment be adopted in order that those persons who had they known would in fact have been able to apply, and by putting it at 1987 instead of 1986, we are not opening a Pandora's box and there is in fact a deadline on that period. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, on the amendment.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment.

The gentleman in his explanation has confused two different deadlines, in my opinion. Normally, when we have a grandfather clause, when there is some requirement of either licensing as opposed to nonlicensing or some additional requirements that people have to go through before they can be licensed, we provide a window of time in which people can register their intention with the licensing board. We did that, and in fact the bill before you extends that window until 1994, so those people who were in the pipeline who were relying on the old law had an opportunity and have an opportunity until 1994 to submit all the documentation and take the examination and get licensed under the terms and conditions of that old law. What really is at stake here is the transition from having to have a master's degree to take the examination for licensure to having to have a doctorate.

Now, what Mr. Saurman's amendment would do is not to extend the window of time during which these people can notify the board of their intentions. He is changing the point at which you in fact had to have had some particular status; in other words, the period of time when you needed to be in the pipeline. What we said under the psychology sunset act was that if you were already a student or you had completed your student work and were undergoing practical experience as of September 30, 1986, you would then be allowed to take the examination under the old rules. What Mr. Saurman wants to do is to say that people who had not become students by September 30, 1986, should be able to come in and apply to school and start their schooling sometime later, maybe even not until the fall of 1987, and still be allowed to fit within that class who reasonably relied on the old law and would have

been hurt had we required them to take a tougher graduation requirement in order to sit for the licensure examination. The effect of doing this is likely to make maybe several thousand of additional people eligible.

What is important to recognize is that there are several thousand people who, in reliance on what we did in 1985, thought that they were entitled to be licensed under the grandfather clause and, because they had not submitted all the necessary information on time, have now been told that they cannot apply. This bill as it is currently before us fixes that problem and removes that apprehension for those several thousand people. If we attach this amendment, we are going to add in people who had no reasonable reliance and we are going to jeopardize those people who did have a reasonable reliance.

The Psychology Board and the Psychology Association are opposed to this amendment. If this amendment goes in, this bill is likely to end up in a conference committee, and we may very well have the window smaller when we come out of the conference committee than it is in the bill now.

For all of those reasons, I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to be on the same side as Mr. Saurman. I have listened to Bill. He knows all about the licensing. I know when people are getting screwed, and these people should have a chance to be able to take the test. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. That type of address is called being succinct, and we will even accept the odd verb that you used in that statement.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola. Would you like to argue with Mr. Hutchinson?

Mr. PICCOLA. Reluctantly, yes, Mr. Speaker.

I have to agree entirely with the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd's remarks. While I have sympathy for Mr. Hutchinson and Mr. Saurman's position, we adopted a statute in 1986 which clearly set forth the guidelines for eligibility to submit your credentials to the licensing board sometime in the future, and we said that you had to have those eligibility requirements by September of 1986. Everyone was notified about that. Now, almost 2 years later, we are extending that by another year. We are changing the ground rules after the game has been started.

I agree with Mr. Lloyd that should this amendment be adopted, this bill will probably go into conference, and I do not even know what would come out of it at that point in time. I think that the intent of the bill is a good one, to make sure that those people who have obtained their eligibility by the date of September of 1986 are able to present those credentials to the licensing board at a later point in time, but I would urge the House to reject the Saurman amendment so that the date upon which they can obtain those eligibility requirements is extended, because I think it would do a disservice not only to those people but to the entire profession of psychology.

I urge that the amendment be defeated.

The SPEAKER. For the second time, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson. And would you this time, Amos, be a trifle more explicit in your words.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. I will get a call next week, and they will ask me if they can change that word to something else, and I will tell them yes.

Mr. Saurman alluded to the fact that we did this to the barbers; we did it to the auditors; we took auditors out of the law and let CPA's have everything; we gave them the second chance. We have given other people the second chance, and I think that this should be voted "yes," and very definitely, let us quit— The idea is that they do not tell everybody; they do not have a good communication with all these people out there, and some people never get the word. That always happens, but I think these people ought to have another chance. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. For the second time, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just very briefly, the problem is that that 5-month period was too short because 2 months of it was taken up in promulgating the regulations.

I would like to make a general statement with reference to this, a problem that I see that follows many of the laws that we pass, which Mr. Piccola indicated when the bill was passed: There is a real logistic problem in terms of notifying people. In this instance and where we have boards established, they have that responsibility for notifying people and for that transmission of our wishes, to put it into effect. In this case, 5 months was not long enough, because as I say, effectively they were 3 months and many of the groups who are affected were not contacted, and therefore, we are asking for this extension simply so that everyone has that opportunity. And we are not extending it forever; there is a time limit put on it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I had the good fortune of serving on the Professional Licensure Committee at the time that we worked on the Psychology Association's psychological bill. It appears to me that we are now coming in 2 years later trying to end-run many of the things that we set out to do.

Quite frankly, I rise in opposition to the Saurman amendment and ask that the members of my caucus and members of both sides of the aisle vote likewise.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, for the second time.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, just to try to make the record clear and to answer and explain to those people who feel that somehow we are doing wrong to people who reasonably rely. There is a mixture here of apples and oranges. There are two sets of people and two time limits.

This bill, at the insistence of the House Professional Licensure Committee, would give 6 more years, 6 more years—more, I think, than any other profession when they went into something like this ever had—6 more years for the people who were enrolled in school in 1986 to qualify under the old law.

What Mr. Saurman's amendment does is not address the rights of those people who were students in 1986. He wants to say those people who had not even thought about being students in 1986 and who decided sometime later that, gee, I can get to be a licensed psychologist with a master's degree instead of with a Ph.D. if I can get the law changed. Those are not people who had any reliance; they are not people who had applied to school; they are not people who were in school; and they are not the kind of people that we have taken care of in other acts. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry.

Mr. McVERRY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Saurman amendment.

The sunset process in 1986 relative to the psychologists' reenactment bill was a long and arduous one. Sound policy decisions were made at that time, as had been described by the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, and I believe it would be improvident for us to sidestep those policy decisions now and change the time limitation. The appropriate people were in the pipeline at the time and made the decision, and we should not be changing it 2 years later.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—71

Argall	Foster	McCall	Semmel
Arty	Fox	McClatchy	Serafini
Barley	Freind	Markosek	Showers
Blaum	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Book	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gladeck	Mowery	Snyder, G.
Bunt	Godshall	Mrkonic	Staback
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Stairs
Clark	Heckler	Noye	Taylor, J.
Colafella	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Cornell	Hutchinson	Olasz	Yeon
Dieterick	Johnson	Perzel	Vroon
Distler	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wass
Dorr	Kenney	Phillips	Wogan
Duffy	LaGrotta	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Lashingner	Reber	
Farmer	Lescovitz	Saloom	Irvis,
Fischer	Letterman	Saurman	Speaker
Flick			

NAYS—124

Acosta	DeWeese	Jarolin	Pistella
Angstadt	Daley	Josephs	Pressmann
Battisto	Davies	Kasunic	Preston
Belardi	Dawida	Kitchen	Punt
Belfanti	Dempsey	Kosinski	Raymond
Billow	Dininni	Kukovich	Reinard
Birmelin	Dombrowski	Langtry	Richardson
Black	Donatucci	Leh	Rieger
Bortner	Evans	Levdansky	Ritter
Bowley	Fargo	Linton	Robbins
Bowser	Fattah	Livengood	Roebuck
Boyes	Fee	Lloyd	Rudy

Broujos	Freeman	Lucyk	Ryan
Burd	Gallen	McHale	Rybak
Burns	Gamble	McVerry	Scheetz
Bush	Gannon	Maiale	Schuler
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Maine	Sirianni
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Manderino	Smith, S. H.
Carlson	Haluska	Manmiller	Steighner
Carn	Harper	Melio	Stuban
Cessar	Hasay	Michlovic	Sweet
Chadwick	Hayden	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Miller	Taylor, F.
Clymer	Herman	Moehlmann	Trello
Cohen	Hess	Morris	Van Horne
Cole	Honaman	Murphy	Wambach
Corrigan	Howlett	O'Donnell	Weston
Cowell	Hughes	Oliver	Wiggins
Coy	Itkin	Petrone	Wozniak
DeLuca	Jackson	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Pievsky	Yandrisevits

NOT VOTING—2

Seventy Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—0

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson, under a point of order.

What is the gentleman's point of order?

Mr. HUTCHINSON. I do not want to be under a point of order. I want to say a few remarks.

The SPEAKER. That is how we get you up there, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. All right.

The SPEAKER. Regardless of whether it is a point of order, you are up there. Go ahead.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. I am not a Bible-quoting man, but the Bible says, not seven times seven do you forgive a person, it is seventy times seven, and there was no loving in this House today. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, will take note that the Chair holds a law degree and did vote with the gentleman. All right. All the other lawyers then.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Acosta	Dombrowski	Kukovich	Raymond
Angstadt	Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reber
Argall	Dorr	Langtry	Reinard
Arty	Duffy	Lashingner	Richardson
Barley	Durham	Leh	Rieger
Battisto	Evans	Lescovitz	Ritter

Belardi	Fargo	Levdansky	Robbins
Belfanti	Farmer	Linton	Roebuck
Billow	Fattah	Livengood	Rudy
Birmelin	Fee	Lloyd	Ryan
Black	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Book	Flick	McCall	Saloom
Bortner	Foster	McClatchy	Saurman
Bowley	Fox	McHale	Scheetz
Bowser	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	Maiale	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	Maine	Serafini
Broujos	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Bunt	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Markosek	Smith, B.
Burns	George	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Bush	Gladeck	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Merry	Snyder, G.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Michlovic	Staback
Carlson	Gruppo	Micozzie	Stairs
Carn	Hagarty	Miller	Steighner
Cawley	Haluska	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	Morris	Sweet
Chadwick	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayden	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Heckler	Nahill	Tigue
Cohen	Herman	Noye	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	O'Brien	Van Horne
Cole	Hess	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Olasz	Wambach
Corrigan	Howlett	Oliver	Wass
Cowell	Hughes	Perzel	Weston
Coy	Itkin	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeLuca	Jackson	Petrone	Wogan
DeVerter	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wozniak
DeWeese	Jarolin	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Johnson	Pievsky	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kasunic	Pitts	Yandrisevits
Dempsey	Kennedy	Pressmann	
Dietterick	Kenney	Preston	Irvis,
Dininni	Kitchen	Punt	Speaker
Distler	Kosinski		

NAYS—4

Blaum	Hutchinson	Letterman	Veoh
-------	------------	-----------	------

NOT VOTING—1

Seventy

EXCUSED—0

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 2520, PN 3530 (Amended)

By Rep. DeWEESE

An Act amending the act of October 15, 1975 (P. L. 390, No. 111), known as the "Health Care Services Malpractice Act," further providing for practice and procedure in medical malpractice actions.

JUDICIARY.

LIQUOR CONTROL COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce a committee meeting of the House Liquor Control Committee at the call of the recess at the rear of the House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Mr. Speaker, likewise, I would like to call a meeting of the House Committee on Local Government in the rear of the House immediately.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Mr. Broujos has a resolution in which he is asking those of us on the floor with him to join him in memorializing the USS Pennsylvania, which is a new submarine. It has been launched and has been christened, and the resolution is at the clerk's desk. The clerk is instructed to sign the Speaker's name on that resolution. Those who wish to have their names signed on the resolution— Well, let us put it this way: If there is anyone who objects to having his or her name placed on that resolution commemorating the submarine USS Pennsylvania, you will instruct the clerk privately not to include your name.

Mr. Clerk, if you have not been given instructions to the contrary, you will include the name of each person seated on the floor of the House.

INTERROGATION

The SPEAKER. Do you wish to speak, Mr. Ryan?

Mr. RYAN. Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I may.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. I wonder if the gentleman, Mr. Barbush, would consent to a brief interrogation, or if not, Mr. O'Donnell.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker?

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman would advise this side of the aisle as to what our plans might be for the balance of this week's session.

Mr. O'DONNELL. To return this evening and begin work at 8 o'clock, and it is anticipated we will finish our work in about an hour and a half, and then we will not need to be here tomorrow at all.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

When might we have some idea as to what we will be doing between 8 and 8:30? I ask that for the following reasons: A, if it requires caucus, we, of course, would like to know about it in advance of session so that we might caucus; and B, if it

involves bills that are unexpected—by that I mean other than those that we had on the floor today prepared for voting—it may be that we would want to prepare amendments, and I am curious as to when we might know what the majority's plans are in this regard. As a matter of courtesy, I ask.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, there is no point in my being coy.

Mr. RYAN. No; I am interrogating you, Mr. O'Donnell. He is behind you.

Mr. O'DONNELL. I understand.

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes. They were a little slow. They were not prepared for that one.

Mr. O'DONNELL. We will not have the full agenda until tonight at 8, and second, there is no problem with due process. Whatever matter is presented tonight, there will be an opportunity for a caucus and a review and amendments, et cetera, and I am aware of what I am saying and I am aware that I am saying it into the record.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I thank the gentleman.

The SPEAKER. Those members who wish to correct a vote, please rise in place so we can get to you.

The other members may now leave the floor. We shall be declaring a recess subject to the call of the Chair. The recess will be subject to the call of the Chair.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Union, Mr. Showers.

Mr. SHOWERS. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2476 my vote failed to be recorded. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. McHale.

Mr. McHALE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on HB 2191 my vote was incorrectly recorded. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Staback.

Mr. STABACK. Mr. Speaker, on HR 298 I missed the vote. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, on amendment No. A3875 to HB 2191, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Members who are still on the floor and those in their offices are alerted to the fact that the Speaker will be calling you back

on the floor no later than 8 o'clock. You may be called prior to that time, but all of you are to be back on the floor at 8 o'clock unless called at an earlier time.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wogan.

Mr. WOGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 2473 I was not recorded for some reason. I would have voted in the affirmative had I been successfully able to vote.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my switch did not function on HB 2185 yesterday, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. Christopher Ruser and Kevin Hepp are guest pages of Representative Lashinger.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 1786, PN 3409

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," reestablishing the Industrial Board; providing for its composition, powers and duties; further providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry; providing for a Policy, Planning and Evaluation Advisory Committee; making an editorial change; and making repeals.

SB 321, PN 2159

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definitions of "common carrier by motor vehicle" and "contract carrier by motor vehicle"; and providing for inspection of highway crossing safety devices by railroads or carriers.

SENATE MESSAGE

**HOUSE AMENDMENTS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 1022, PN 2160**.

SENATE MESSAGE

**HOUSE AMENDMENTS
NONCONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has nonconcurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 613, PN 2188**, and has appointed Senators MOORE, MADIGAN and ZEMPRELLI a committee of conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

MOTION INSISTING UPON AMENDMENTS

Mr. MANDERINO moved that the House insist upon its amendments nonconcurred in by the Senate to SB 613, PN 2188, and that a committee of conference on the part of the House be appointed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

**APPOINTMENT OF
COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a committee of conference on the part of the House on SB 613, PN 2188: Messrs. COHEN, BELFANTI and PITTS.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. Representative Belfanti has Linda Davis, who is the executive director of Shamokin Revitalization Corporation. She is to the right of the Speaker. Welcome, Linda. We are glad to have you here.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES**

HB 2568, PN 3506 By Rep. SWEET
An Act amending the act of July 10, 1987 (P. L. 246, No. 47), known as the "Financially Distressed Municipalities Act," providing for the establishment of a revolving fund; further providing for criteria to declare a municipality distressed and for the publication of certain notices; establishing a revolving loan fund; further providing for expiration of the act; and making a repeal.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

HB 2582, PN 3540 By Rep. SALOOM
An Act amending the act of May 5, 1933 (P. L. 284, No. 104), known as the "Malt Beverage Tax Law," extending the emergency malt or brewed beverage tax credits; and increasing the maximum credit.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

SENATE MESSAGE

**AMENDED HOUSE BILL
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 423, PN 3482**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

FILMING PERMISSION

The SPEAKER. Permission is being given to WPVI and to "The People's Business" to film on the floor of the House.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. My question is, are we being filmed officially as of yet or—

The SPEAKER. Yes, yes, we are. The announcement was made about 48 seconds ago.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, sir.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, it occurs to me that we have been called back for an 8 o'clock session, it is now 8:30 and we have done nothing, and I am wondering, rather than continuing to do little until the votes are apparently here, if we could know what we are going to do so that we perhaps could go to caucus now and get that over with. This is in the interest of trying to save some time a little later on. I think it would be nice if we knew why we were here so we could caucus or, if appropriate, prepare amendments rather than at some later date be told, no, we do not have the time to do it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman makes a point. I counted the members on his side of the aisle who are in attendance and I counted the ones on my side. We outnumber you right now, Mr. Ryan. You have more who did not make the 8 o'clock meeting than I do. And I want to be perfectly fair with you. I want all your members here when I explain to them what I expect to do tonight. I do not want any of them to say you did not get an explanation.

Mr. RYAN. Well, my guys learn pretty quick.

Mr. MANDERINO. I am not done yet, Mr. Ryan. When I turn off the microphone, you can take the microphone.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I have every intention of informing the other side of what we would like to do this evening. Matters are being printed for distribution. We have in our possession a House bill that comes to us on concurrence in Senate amendments. A supplemental calendar has been printed. I do not think any of this comes as news to the leaders on the other side. It is my intention to ask this House and ask both sides of the aisle to suspend the rules of the House so that we can amend the Senate bill, so that we can place in the Senate bill the version of the budget that this House passed and sent to the Senate modified by the difference in the revenues that we thought would be available and that the Budget Secretary has certified, and we want to distribute that amendment to members of the House prior to caucus so that you can take that to caucus with you and decide what position you would like to take.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. Ryan can get his copy of the amendment very shortly. My aide informs me that the copies of the amendment are now here for distribution.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the point.

Mr. RYAN. The temporary rules that we adopted the other day, are they still in effect in that this is still a general appropriation bill?

The SPEAKER. The temporary rule is still in effect, and, Mr. Ryan, the Speaker raised that very question with the Parliamentarian before we broke for recess. But the temporary rule applies to amendments to the general appropriation bill and not to the suspension of the rules in order to amend a House bill which is not in effect the general appropriation bill. We looked at it earlier to make sure that we were on safe grounds with that.

Mr. RYAN. I thought that would be the answer.

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, perhaps the esteemed minority leader would grace the Assembly with the information of how long his caucus might last.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, instead of the rhetoric that is going on, I wonder if he would be kind enough to meet me in the middle of the court here and perhaps we can find out just exactly what is going on. If he has a summary of what all this does, it would be a whole lot easier than our staff people trying to figure out if indeed this is word for word what we saw before. If it is not word for word, if we could have a high-lighted copy so we would know where the differences are.

All of this, I think, is reasonable to request, and if we cannot have that, then we are going to need sufficient time to analyze this what appears to be a 108-page amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, in essence—and I am trying to get the page reference number—the bill is identical, word for word, to the appropriation bill that this House passed and sent to the Senate with the exception, as I had indicated, of an amendment that was placed in the bill which reduces every State fund, General Fund appropriation in the bill proportionately, so that the certified revenue estimate that was made by the Budget Secretary is the figure that is used to fund this bill and not a figure that we used, which was about \$35 million higher—\$39 million higher.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the gentleman briefly?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Manderino indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman advises us that the bill has been reduced proportionately to reflect approximately a \$35-million reduction in spending.

Mr. MANDERINO. Thirty-nine million dollars or thereabouts.

Mr. RYAN. I am sorry.

Mr. MANDERINO. But not by reducing any of the line items in the budget but by adding an amendment in section 906, which is on page 107 of the bill, which in effect says that all of the above line items will be reduced proportionately to fit the revenue estimate assigned by the Governor. And as I say, that reduces about, for people who want to put it in perspective, if I might—Every million dollars in the budget, if the line item were \$1 million, that million-dollar line item would be reduced by about \$3,900; every hundred thousand dollars in the budget would be reduced by about \$360. That is just to give you some idea of what we are talking about.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, if I may continue, does that include such fixed items as debt service, cash grants, all of the items that—

Mr. MANDERINO. All of the 14—

Mr. RYAN. Pardon me. My switch is on. It is my turn. —all of the items that were sacrosanct last week that we were not permitted to touch because it would not be right, and I take it that you now have reduced all of them to reflect their paying a proportion of the \$39-plus-or-minus million. Is that accurate?

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, every line item in the budget that spends State funds in General Fund appropriations is reduced, including the 14 that, in the rule that this House adopted, were not to be amended.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Speaker's good friend, Hefty Fryer, does not want to be announced, but he is up here; Hefty Fryer is up here.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the point.

Mr. RYAN. And again, I am afraid I might know the answer to this one, too. Assuming for a moment—I think the members ought to listen to this; it may be of some interest to them—assuming for the purpose of this inquiry that the amendment that we have here before us is agreed to or it goes in or whatever, what happens when I want to offer amendments to it, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. At that point in time—and this is an advisory opinion; the Chair would have to take time to make sure it was right—but at first glance it would appear to the Chair and to the Parliamentarian that at that point you would have a general appropriation bill in front of you and the temporary rule would obtain.

Mr. RYAN. Now, Mr. Speaker, I kind of thought that might be the first thought of the Speaker.

Let us suppose for a minute that I want to add \$5 million to a mental health line somewhere, wherever it is, and I reproduced, Mr. Speaker, what I have here, except I add the \$5 million to mental health and I offer this the way every member of this House offers an amendment every day of the legislative year; it looks exactly like the amendment that was immediately before it, and the ruling of this Chair has been that the last amendment in takes priority. So my judgment, Mr. Speaker, based on your rulings, not my rulings incidentally but based on your rulings, is that each one of these amendments would be an amendment to the bill that is before us now and not the bill as amended by the gentleman, Mr. Manderino, if he is successful with his amendment. I am wondering if you would comment on that.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, or any other person on the floor of the House were to offer an amendment once the rules were suspended in general— And the Chair is not playing games with language now. Normally the motion to suspend the rules is simply to suspend the rules, but if the motion is to suspend the rules in general, then the object which Mr. Ryan held up would classify as an amendment and would be admissible for debate and a vote. If on the other hand the motion to suspend the rules was limited and if the House agreed to that suspension, then, of course, nothing except the limitation opening would be available.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Next question: The temporary rules that were adopted by the Democrat majority last week have a provision that no amendment can be considered unless that amendment was introduced and received by the Reference Bureau prior to 5 o'clock on the 9th day of June 1988, which is some, oh, 2 weeks ago, I guess, give or take a couple of days. Is it the thought of the Chair, who has always prided himself on fairness, that this particular provision is still appropriate and still controls?

The SPEAKER. The answer is yes. The only exception that could be made under the temporary rule would be for the Committee on Appropriations for special and proper reasons by a majority vote to waive the deadline.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, the one thing my sainted mother taught me was how to count, and if you guys got 99 over there, I understand what is going to happen tonight. But before it happens, I would like the opportunity to go to caucus for half an hour to find out whether we want—when I say “we,” I am referring now to my caucus—whether we want to go through what might very well be an exercise in futility, and that is preparing amendments, relying on Mr. Pievsky's Appropriations Committee to waive the rules. I am not going to embarrass the gentleman by asking him under interrogation if he intends to have any meetings. If he does, I am sure he would be happy to stand up and tell me. But I think first I must discuss with my caucus whether or not we want to go through that exercise or, if we were off the record, do something else. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 498, PN 3501**; and **HB 1480, PN 3498**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Manderino is being very, very generous tonight. I told him I needed a half hour; he told me to take an hour. My slow Irish brain started to ferment and I thought to myself, he needs an hour to get one more person in, I know it. Do you want to vote it now?

Mr. MANDERINO. If that were true, Mr. Ryan, you know we are not going to vote it for an hour regardless of what you say.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

Mr. RYAN. That is right. We will take the hour, Mr. Speaker. We really, I think, need only a half hour, but I would like our members to go on up to caucus, and I will explain to them the facts of life.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

Has the Senate bill been read across the desk?

The SPEAKER. Yes. It was read just now.

Mr. MANDERINO. Have the copies of the amendment that will be offered on the suspension of the rules been distributed?

The SPEAKER. They have been distributed.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, then I ask for a recess for 1 hour, and I would ask that all Democrats attend a caucus that will be held in the majority caucus room.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess. Immediate caucus by both parties. We will return to the floor at 10 minutes to 10; 1 hour.

RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 10:05 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**CONSIDERATION OF SB 1441 CONTINUED****DECISION OF CHAIR RESCINDED**

The SPEAKER. On page 4 of the regular calendar, the Chair announced that SB 1441 would go over for the day. Without objection, the Chair rescinds that announcement. The Chair hears no objection.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1441, PN 2095**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 1441 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1135 be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Before we had the recess, the Chair announced that a resolution, a resolution authored by Mr. Broujos, was awaiting the signature of all members who wished to sign it. It was a resolution about the submarine being named for Pennsylvania. During the recess the page which had the names of the members who wished to sign it disappeared. Did you find it? All right. Correction: There were the names of some people who did not want their names on the resolution, and that page disappeared.

Now, the Chair is going to change its announcement and the Chair asks you to listen. Some members protested the Chair's announcement pointing out that it puts some of the members on the floor in precarious positions. Now, if you remember, Mr. Wass cast a very innocent vote which cost him some criticism when he put his name on what all of us thought, including the Speaker, was a quite innocent resolution congratulating a particular religious group for 1,000 years of existence. The Chair thought that was innocent enough but the Chair was wrong. There were people who objected to that and they protested to Mr. Wass. To make sure that does not happen, from now on the Chair will say, if you wish your name added to the resolution, you will inform the clerk before the resolution is filed. It is the opposite of what the Chair has been announcing. Is that clear?

There is a resolution now by Mr. Broujos. He has requested that all people who wish to have their name added add their names. Those who wish to have their names added will, at an appropriate time, tell the clerk to add their names. Mr. Clerk, you may add the name of the Speaker on that resolution.

Mr. Pistella has pointed out that the Chair used the verb "file" about the resolution. What the Chair meant to say is, before the clerk has put the resolution away; we do not mean before it is actually recorded, but before the resolution has been removed and put away, sign your name on it. The next time this situation arises, the Chair will explain it again and perhaps we can get the whole thing straightened out.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1480, PN 3498**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), known as the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," further providing for the utilities gross receipts tax.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be suspended so that I may offer an amendment to the Senate amendments. The amendment that I ask for the suspension of the rules to offer is amendment A3896.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Manderino, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would request that the members vote in the negative on the gentleman's motion to suspend rules so that he may offer amendment I believe it is A3396—but in any event, his version of the budget—to this bill. The effect of the amendment the gentleman intends to offer is to wipe out a tax decrease that is presently in that bill. I think, Mr. Speaker, we have already sent one budget over to the Senate. The Senate has sent us a tax-relief bill, a cut, and I think this House should have the opportunity to vote on the tax cut.

Accordingly, I would oppose any motion to suspend the rules to permit amending this tax-cut bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman is correct. We have sent a budget bill to the Senate. The Senate in its inimitable fashion has committed it to committee and not seen fit to move it, give it any readings, consider it, and I would expect that where it resides at this time is where it will continue to reside, the bill that we sent them.

Mr. Speaker, two things are accomplished by the suspension of the rules and the insertion of the amendment that I have asked be inserted if the rules are suspended, and that is, first we demonstrate our choice of budget and we also send a signal on whether or not this tax cut, which the Governor has deplored, is something that this House wants to pass or does not want to pass, because my amendment lifts and eliminates the tax cut completely.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTES CHALLENGED

Mr. RYAN. Prior to closing the vote, I would appreciate an opportunity to review this for—

The SPEAKER. The Chair remembers that you asked for that. If you stand to challenge, if you advise whom you are challenging, the Chair will repeat the name.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, is the machine malfunctioning or is the switching of the votes, as I see them, occurring because members are moving their switches?

The SPEAKER. The ones that the Chair can check, those members are in their seats and they have changed their votes on several occasions, but the members that the Chair can check quickly are actually in their seats.

Mr. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am not asking that the time be extended.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Ryan, do you have challenges?

Mr. RYAN. I am like Mr. Manderino. I get nervous when I see some of those lights flickering back and forth myself. Under the circumstances, I am afraid they might flash over just as the Chair locks, so to prevent any problems here I wonder if the gentleman, Mr. Wiggins, is on the floor of the House?

The SPEAKER. Is Mr. Wiggins on the floor of the House?

Mr. RYAN. I am sorry. He is indeed.

The SPEAKER. He is.

Mr. RYAN. The gentleman, Mr. Clark? Right there. Indeed he is.

The gentleman, Mr. Carn?

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. Carn, voted?

Mr. RYAN. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Carn, is not now voted. He was. His vote has been taken off.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you.

The gentleman, Mr. Richardson?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Richardson is not voted, and the Chair does not see him on the floor.

Mr. RYAN. I apologize.

The SPEAKER. Are there other challenges now?

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, just so the record is straight, let us call the names on the other side of the aisle.

Is the gentleman, Mr. Wright, here?

The SPEAKER. Mr. James Wright is not in his seat, and his vote has been stricken.

Further challenges?

Mr. MANDERINO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Oh, I do not have to challenge. They are moving off the board quickly.

Mr. Speaker, I cannot find any, unless the same nervousness that Mr. Ryan suffers I suffer from. I wish the votes would stay where they are.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Acosta	Duffy	Livengood	Rieger
Battisto	Fattah	Lloyd	Ritter
Belardi	Fee	Lucyk	Roebuck
Belfanti	Freeman	McCall	Rudy
Billow	Gamble	McHale	Rybak
Blaum	George	Maiale	Saloom
Bortner	Gruitza	Maine	Showers
Bowley	Haluska	Manderino	Staback
Broujos	Harper	Markosek	Steighner
Caltagirone	Hayden	Mayernik	Suban
Cappabianca	Howlett	Melio	Sweet
Cawley	Hughes	Michlovic	Taylor, F.
Clark	Hutchinson	Morris	Tigue
Cohen	Itkin	Mrkonic	Trello
Colafiglia	Jarolin	Murphy	Van Horne
Cole	Josephs	O'Donnell	Veon
Corrigan	Kasunic	Olasz	Wambach
Cowell	Kitchen	Oliver	Wiggins
Coy	Kosinski	Petrarca	Wozniak

DeLuca	Kukovich	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	LaGrotta	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Daley	Lescovitz	Pistella	
Dawida	Letterman	Pressmann	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Levdansky	Preston	Speaker
Donatucci	Linton		

NAYS—92

Angstadt	Dininni	Hess	Punt
Argall	Distler	Jackson	Raymond
Arty	Dorr	Jadlowiec	Reber
Barley	Durham	Johnson	Reinard
Birmelin	Evans	Kennedy	Robbins
Black	Fargo	Langtry	Ryan
Book	Farmer	Lashinger	Saurman
Bowser	Flick	Leh	Scheetz
Boyes	Foster	McClatchy	Schuler
Brandt	Fox	McVerry	Semmel
Bunt	Freind	Manmiller	Serafini
Burd	Gallen	Merry	Sirianni
Burns	Gannon	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Carlson	Geist	Miller	Smith, S. H.
Cessar	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Snyder, D. W.
Chadwick	Godshall	Mowery	Snyder, G.
Civera	Gruppo	Nahill	Stairs
Clymer	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cornell	Hasay	O'Brien	Vroon
DeVerter	Hayes	Perzel	Wass
Davies	Heckler	Phillips	Weston
Dempsey	Herman	Piccola	Wogan
Dietterick	Hershey	Pitts	Wright, R. C.

NOT VOTING—9

Bush	Honaman	Richardson	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Kenny	Seventy	Wright, J. L.
Fischer			

EXCUSED—0

Less than a majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. What is the gentleman's point?

Mr. RYAN. At the moment, Mr. Speaker, what is before the House?

The SPEAKER. Nothing. The point before the House was the suspension of the rules, and that point failed.

Mr. RYAN. All right.

The SPEAKER. The Chair is going to ascertain what is the wish of the majority leader at this point.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, my understanding—and I could be wrong—is that what was before the House was final passage of a bill—

The SPEAKER. No.

Mr. RYAN. Or concurrence in Senate amendments to a bill—

The SPEAKER. No. The Chair was very careful in not stating that. The Chair stopped short of stating, the question is, will the House concur in Senate amendments, so that there would not be two points before the House. If the majority leader indicates that he wishes to go further, the point before

the House will be concurrence in Senate amendments. But it was not placed by the Chair.

Mr. RYAN. Is it appropriate at this time for me to ask that this bill be called up for the question of concurrence?

The SPEAKER. Yes; you may do that.

REQUEST TO CALL UP HB 1480

Mr. RYAN. I call the bill up on the question of concurrence.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Ryan, calls up on supplemental calendar A HB 1480 for concurrence in Senate amendments. The clerk has already read the extract from the Journal of the Senate.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I think the House ought to express whether or not we are willing to cut taxes the way Mr. Ryan wants to cut taxes - in short, all the line items in the budget that are needed to fund this Commonwealth - and I will not oppose his motion. I will ask, however, that all members vote in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella, on the question.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, I have to confess my ignorance as to the content of this bill. Would it be appropriate to ask for an explanation?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

This started out as your bill originally. Would you explain what the Senate amendments have done?

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, when this bill passed the House—it was last year at budget time—this was a bill that originally had extended the small business incubator program and it made some changes in that program. The Senate at that time had passed a similar measure, and the Senate bill was chosen as the one to become law.

The Senate has gutted this amendment and taken it from being a bill dealing with the Small Business Incubators Act to being a bill dealing with the gross receipts tax, and as I read the bill, it would lower the gross receipts tax from 44 mills to 39 mills.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, if I may, just to go a step further, I agree with the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd. What it is, it is a \$53-million decrease in your constituents' utility bills.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, if my constituency were USX and Bell Telephone and all the big corporations of this Commonwealth, I might agree with Mr. Ryan, but my constituents who are residential owners of property who pay residential rates on utilities, they may save all of 17 cents on an electric bill; 26 cents on a heating bill; and luckily they may

save a dime a month on their telephone bill. This is a big business tax giveaway of \$53 million this year, \$63 million next year, and \$63 million-plus as the tax escalates off into the future.

You know, there were hearings held by the House Policy Committee on the Republican side of the aisle on whether or not there ought to be tax cuts, and they were warned, I think, by the Economy League of this Commonwealth that tax cuts during times of expanding economy are not a very good idea, and business does not really look to tax cuts, tax cuts, tax cuts as much as they want to look to a stability in the tax climate of an individual State. To have a yo-yo cut now in an expanding economy, and if that economy begins not to expand or begins to slow down, which everyone is predicting, we will be in a position of having changed our tax rate and have to change it again, and Mr. Ryan knows that. That is what this thing is all about.

This thing is about attempting to make a Democratic Governor of this Commonwealth have to, in his term of office, ask for a tax increase, because there is no way we can fund the increases that the Republican budget, the Republican proposals from the Senate, we cannot fund their expenditures and also have a tax cut, and they know that. The budget that they sent here is so phony that I will bet when I put it up for passage, if I ever do, I will not get a majority of your side of the aisle to vote for that budget. And I still have that budget. I will try it. You will have your chance; you will have your chance.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for a negative vote on the bill that is before us.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, the information I have is that the \$50-some-odd million, \$53 million, that would be realized in savings through this bill are saved about 50 percent by the business community and 50 percent by the residential communities.

I listened as Mr. Manderino said, "And the minority leader, Mr. Ryan, knows that this is designed"—or words to that effect—"to create a problem for Governor Casey." That is not so. Mr. Ryan and a number of us did the same thing to Governor Thornburgh, only he did not resist. We reduced taxes year after year, not every single one, but we reduced taxes three or four times during the Thornburgh administration and we raised them once. We reduced taxes here last year with Governor Casey over his objections, and then we all heard Governor Casey give speeches saying, we have sent the right message to industry; Pennsylvania is the place to go; we reduced our taxes again this year. That was last year's speech. I daresay that Governor Casey once again—and I would not blame him, do not misunderstand that; I would not blame him—I daresay when this is successful and this tax is reduced, Governor Casey will again proudly say—because he will be part of it; he will have signed it—will say, Pennsylvania again has sent the right signal to the business community that we are reducing taxes here, so come to Pennsylvania, the birthplace of America, and build your company. Stay in Pennsylvania

and expand your company, because that expansion and that new building represents jobs for Pennsylvania, which is a subject very, very dear to the heart of the majority leader, Mr. Manderino, the Governor, Mr. Casey, the former Governor, Mr. Thornburgh, and every member of this House and the Senate.

I think this is a good bill, and maybe it is a dime a week on your telephone bill. That is \$5.20. It might get you out to lunch one day on just the savings. All of these savings are important when they are cumulative, and if we take a little bit here and a little bit there out of the budgets, we save a little bit here and a little bit there and give it to our people, it is that much more that they have to use.

So, Mr. Speaker, once again I ask the members to concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate into this particular House bill. Mr. Lloyd will be proud to have his name on this bill when it reflects this tax reduction.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, unless the Constitution of Pennsylvania changed on me, unless we still have three branches of government and Mr. Ryan missed it, the tax cut that this General Assembly enacted was signed into law by Governor Casey. He did not do the opposition that you want to attribute to him.

Mr. Speaker, in order to provide these few pennies that we are talking about for the residential owners in Pennsylvania, we cut every nickel of economic development moneys out of Pennsylvania's programs. Every 10-cent piece is missing from the Senate budget in programs to provide jobs for this Commonwealth. In addition, it cut the sewer and water program, PENNVEST, that everybody in here not only voted for but then loaded a capital budget bill up with millions and millions of dollars of projects that were needed in your districts. And they probably were needed in your districts, but all of a sudden, somehow this tax cut of a few pennies means a heck of a lot more than all those water quality projects, all those sewer projects. Mr. Lashinger, how do you feel about that? Those were some of your babies.

Mr. Speaker, again, I do not really want to debate this thing to death, because it is late at night. There are many things that we could talk about that are missing in the budget that was sent to us from the Senate that this tax cut costs, because we are going to do that. We are going to point them out chapter and verse, and you will have your chance to decide whether that is the kind of a budget you want or whether you want the kind of budget that we have provided consistently, a compassionate budget in Pennsylvania. The General Assembly has always done I think a decent job by the people of Pennsylvania, and we are going to do it again this year. We just may have to pull more of you kicking and screaming to that kind of a budget.

Mr. Speaker, we can start dragging you kicking and screaming by indicating that we are not going to have the budget suffer, have programs suffer, have the cut even in food banks that the Senate made to the budget that we sent them in order to pay for this utility gross receipts tax cut

which is skewed in favor of the large corporations of this Commonwealth. If there were as many, if there were as many large corporations in this Commonwealth who use the utilities as many as there are residences, your 50-50 argument may have some little merit. But you know and I know that it has so little merit.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Manderino consent to interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Manderino indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my recollection is that some 170 members of this House as recently as last week voted for a budget bill that we sent to the Senate. Is that approximately correct?

Mr. MANDERINO. 170 is a little high; 160-plus, whether it was plus 3, plus 4.

Mr. COWELL. And is it true that although we felt that we had a balanced budget at that time, subsequent to that the Secretary of the Budget has signed a revenue estimate which reflects projected revenues some \$66 million less than what we provided for in that budget that enjoyed the support of 160-some Republican and Democratic members?

Mr. MANDERINO. Yes. That is correct. There is a difference, but for projected revenues we made the adjustment that had to be made, and that was only a \$39-million difference, and that is the only difference that my budget analysts tell us we have to make in the amendment that is before us.

Mr. COWELL. But at this point, before we consider additional tax reductions, we need to reduce by some \$39 million then that budget which 160 Republicans and Democrats voted to approve?

Mr. MANDERINO. In order to fulfill our constitutional mandate to send a balanced budget to the Governor, that is correct.

Mr. COWELL. And if we would pass this bill and this bill would become law and further reduce revenues by another estimated \$53 million, would it be reasonable to assume that we would have to further reduce that budget that we passed by approximately \$53 million?

Mr. MANDERINO. You are entirely correct, with one exception, Mr. Speaker, that I do not think is important except in noting that the existence of the possibility is there that we do not have to reduce the budget at all. We could fund a General Fund budget at the level that we sent to the Senate, but the nonpreferreds would have to be abated drastically.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, might I make some comments, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman has the floor. He may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I recognize that it is very, very tempting for individuals to vote in favor of an apparent tax reduction this evening. It would also be very, very premature for us to act finally on such an important issue.

I remind you that if we vote to nonconcur in the Senate amendments, we will in fact put this bill in a position where it can go to a conference committee, and the issue of a tax reduction of one sort or another can be considered in a more timely fashion as we make final decisions about the General Fund budget and the nonpreferred budgets.

If we make a decision this evening to reduce revenues by some \$53 million, we further limit our ability to do what 160-some members of this House said they wanted to do, and that was to fund the General Fund budget at certain levels with the understanding that the nonpreferreds would also receive fair consideration.

It would be absolutely premature for us to make a decision this evening to further reduce revenues for the next fiscal year at the same time when many of our school districts are asking us to consider additional money; at the same time when our colleagues in the Senate have sent us a budget that would provide for 8-percent increases for higher education when our budget provided for or was predicated upon 5 1/2-percent increases. It would be premature to make that kind of decision this evening when county commissioners from practically every county in the State are asking us in Harrisburg to meet our obligations, our real obligations to more adequately fund children and youth services, which are seriously underfunded year after year after year; at the same time when many of our mental health and mental retardation community activists, advocates, are asking us to more adequately fund some of those dollars; at the same time when representatives of community-based hospitals are asking us to pay a fair share in terms of reimbursement rates for the services they provide for citizens of the Commonwealth.

We have not spoken finally on whether or not we are going to meet those obligations or how we will meet those obligations, and until we do, I would suggest that it would be irresponsible and untimely to make a decision that would seriously impact on the revenue picture for the State. I think that we should do that as we have always done it - at approximately the same time. Let us deal with the budget. Let us determine what the revenue picture looks like, what the revenue needs are, and then we can speak in a timely, fair fashion to the issue of taxes.

At this time I would urge that we nonconcur and send this bill to a conference committee so the issue of taxes can be resolved at the same time we resolve the issues of the budget.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum, on the question.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this bill. This bill before us I believe is not a tax cut. It is barely a brush burn. What it is—and I do not believe that the people of Pennsylvania are going to be fooled one iota—what it means is a very few pennies in their pocket in exchange for a cut of some \$50 million in services, very important services, in a very, very tight budget that we are trying to pass this year. I believe that this bill represents politics at its worst, and it is an insult to the people of Pennsylvania.

If we want to talk about tax cuts, let us talk about Bob-Casey-size tax cuts. His proposal of \$400 million to pay off the unemployment compensation debt—the largest tax cut in history, proposed by this Governor—that is the kind of proposal that we should be working on tonight, not trying to pass this puny piece of legislation which is, I believe, an insult to the people of Pennsylvania.

I ask that the members of this House on both sides of the aisle defeat this unrealistic proposal and let us get the budget process moving. We have 1 week left to complete it. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to ask nonconcurrency in this bill.

I just read an article. Even big business, the Chamber of Commerce, admitted that this tax cut does not mean anything. It would only be pennies; pennies. It is not worth the effort. Plus some small businesses are saying that it would only complicate paperwork by merely reducing the size of the tax budget only by pennies. It is not worth it.

I receive letters every day from the community health centers, from the community colleges begging for help. Community mental health centers are closing every day, and we are talking about taking those pennies away from them. We should send those pennies to keep community health centers open. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. Those in favor of concurring in the amendments will vote "aye"; those opposed will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—93

Angstadt	Distler	Jackson	Raymond
Argall	Dorr	Jadlowiec	Reber
Arty	Durham	Johnson	Reinard
Barley	Fargo	Kennedy	Robbins
Birmelin	Farmer	Langtry	Ryan
Black	Flick	Lashinger	Saurman
Book	Foster	Leh	Scheetz
Bowser	Fox	McClatchy	Schuler
Boyes	Freind	McVerry	Semmel
Brandt	Gallen	Manmiller	Serafini
Bunt	Gannon	Merry	Sirianni
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Burns	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, S. H.
Carlson	Godshall	Moehlmann	Snyder, D. W.
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Snyder, G.
Chadwick	Hagarty	Nahill	Stairs
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, J.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Brien	Vroon
Cornell	Heckler	Perzel	Wass
DeVerter	Herman	Phillips	Weston
Davies	Hershey	Piccola	Wogan
Dempsey	Hess	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Dietterick	Honaman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dininni			

NAYS—98

Acosta	Duffy	Linton	Richardson
Battisto	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Belardi	Fattah	Lloyd	Ritter
Belfanti	Fee	Lucyk	Roebuck

Billow	Freeman	McCall	Rybak
Blaum	Gamble	McHale	Saloom
Bowley	George	Maiale	Seventy
Broujos	Gruitza	Maine	Showers
Caltagirone	Haluska	Manderino	Staback
Cappabianca	Harper	Markosek	Steighner
Carn	Hayden	Mayernik	Stuban
Cawley	Howlett	Melio	Sweet
Clark	Hughes	Michlovic	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hutchinson	Morris	Tigue
Colafella	Itkin	Mrkonjic	Trello
Cole	Jarolin	Murphy	Van Horne
Corrigan	Josephs	O'Donnell	Veon
Cowell	Kasunic	Olasz	Wambach
Coy	Kitchen	Oliver	Wiggins
DeLuca	Kosinski	Petrarca	Wozniak
DeWeese	Kukovich	Petrone	Wright, D. R.
Daley	LaGrotta	Pievsky	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Lescovitz	Pistella	
Dombrowski	Letterman	Pressmann	Irvis,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Preston	Speaker

NOT VOTING—6

Bortner	Fischer	Rudy	Taylor, E. Z.
Bush	Kenney		

EXCUSED—0

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 1328, PN 2212

Referred to Committee on CONSERVATION, June 22, 1988.

ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt from the majority leader of the following additions and deletions for sponsorships of bills, which the clerk will file.

The following list was submitted:

ADDITIONS:

HB 1919, Lescovitz; HB 2244, Hasay; HB 2436, Richardson; HB 2437, Richardson; HB 2438, Richardson; HB 2439, Richardson; HB 2441, Richardson; HB 2456, Richardson; HB 2458, Steighner; HB 2460, Donatucci; HB 2461, Donatucci; HB 2487, Richardson; HB 2488, Richardson; HB 2517, Billow, Ritter; HB 2519, Corrigan; HB 2520, Corrigan; HB 2527, Stairs; HB 2535, McCall; HB 2572, Richardson; HR 280, Richardson; HR 299, Hess, Miller, D. W. Snyder, Hasay, E. Z. Taylor, Cornell, Flick.

DELETION:

HB 2277, Battisto.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the remaining bills on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House now do adjourn to the time appointed in the concurrent resolution adopted earlier, if we adopted one earlier.

The SPEAKER. Moved by the majority leader that this House do now adjourn until Monday, June 27, 1988, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 10:45 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.