

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

MONDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1987

SESSION OF 1987

171ST OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 60

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

#### PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

O Holy and Most Righteous Father, we see Thee as the summit of perfection in all of life, and we know that Thou dost desire that same example in the life of each of us. However, we are aware that we fall far short of that attainment. We are conscious that we do those things which we should not do, and we fail to do those things which we should do. In our failures and shortcomings, we turn, O God, to Thee, knowing that Thou art gracious, merciful, and forgiving. We beseech Thee to be forgiving for our past mistakes and cleanse our hearts and minds from all unrighteousness. Then we pray that Thou wilt fill our lives with the fullness of Thy love, direct our steps in the accomplishment of Thy way, and grant us that peace which the world cannot give. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was delivered by members and visitors.)

#### HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1764** By Representatives PUNT, NOYE, FLICK, FARGO, SAURMAN, CORNELL, BUNT, MERRY, KENNEDY, BURD, BOOK, LANGTRY, JACKSON, HECKLER, BRANDT, FOX, BOWSER, SCHEETZ, SIRIANNI, VROON, GLADECK, DISTLER, DORR, BLACK, BARLEY and E. Z. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of December 5, 1936 (2nd Sp.Sess., 1937 P. L. 2897, No. 1), known as the "Unemployment Compensation Law," further providing for partial benefits and for the composition of the Unemployment Compensation Advisory Council; requiring referrals for suitable work; further providing for employee contributions, for eligibility, for compensation, for rate and amount of compensation and for practice and proce-

dures; providing for legislative supervision of the Unemployment Compensation Fund and the unemployment compensation system; and making an editorial correction.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1765** By Representatives SEVENTY and PISTELLA

An Act amending the act of August 1, 1975 (P. L. 169, No. 87), entitled "An act relating to pensions for employees of the City of Pittsburgh," further providing for eligibility for benefits.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1766** By Representatives COY, SWEET, FOSTER, TELEK and MELIO

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, modifying eligibility for special early retirement in the State system.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1767** By Representative DALEY

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for contempt for noncompliance with visitation or partial custody order.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1768** By Representative DOMBROWSKI

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), known as the "Liquor Code," authorizing consumption of liquor and malt or brewed beverages in certain billiard rooms; and reestablishing the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1769** By Representatives DOMBROWSKI, BOYES, CAPPABIANCA, BOWSER, MERRY and REBER

An Act amending the act of December 17, 1981 (P. L. 435, No. 135), known as the "Race Horse Industry Reform Act," further providing for simulcasts by racing corporations who did not have a 1986 racing schedule.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1770** By Representatives DOMBROWSKI, BOYES, CAPPABIANCA, BOWSER, MERRY and REBER

An Act amending the act of December 17, 1981 (P. L. 435, No. 135), known as the "Race Horse Industry Reform Act," further providing for the collection of the State admissions tax on certain simulcast horse races.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1771** By Representatives FLICK, LANGTRY, PITTS, HECKLER, BARLEY, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, FREIND and SIRIANNI

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for the minimum number of school days.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1772** By Representatives FLICK, LANGTRY, PITTS, HECKLER, BARLEY, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, FREIND and SIRIANNI

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," further regulating strikes by employees.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1773** By Representatives KOSINSKI, LEVDANSKY, KUKOVICH, JAROLIN, TIGUE, OLASZ, FREEMAN, HOWLETT, MAIALE, VEON, BALDWIN, JOSEPHS, CORRIGAN, BELARDI, GRUITZA, BORTNER, TELEK, R. C. WRIGHT, DURHAM, BOYES and WOGAN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing certain employees additional causes of action against employers for the removal of warning, guard or safety devices from machinery, tools or other implements.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1774** By Representatives KOSINSKI, LEVDANSKY, KUKOVICH, JAROLIN, TIGUE, FREEMAN, HOWLETT, MAIALE, VEON, BALDWIN, JOSEPHS, CORRIGAN, BELARDI, TELEK, R. C. WRIGHT, DURHAM and BOYES

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing certain employees additional causes of action against employers for toxic pollution at the worksite.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1775** By Representatives VAN HORNE, BRANDT, PRESTON, CAPPABIANCA, BURD, DORR, GEIST and MARKOSEK

An Act amending the act of October 28, 1966 (1st Sp.Sess., P. L. 55, No. 7), known as the "Goods and Services Installment Sales Act," reenacting provisions relating to service charges; further providing for fees; and making a repeal.

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1776** By Representatives WOGAN, O'BRIEN, KENNEY, KOSINSKI, FOX, WESTON, BOYES, DISTLER, RAYMOND, PETRONE, MORRIS, JOHNSON, DURHAM, STABACK, HUGHES, PRESSMANN, McHALE, CIVERA, DeLUCA, FISCHER, CORNELL, SEMMEL, OLASZ and ARTY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for health services.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1777** By Representatives WOGAN and KENNEY

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), known as the "Pennsylvania Election Code," further providing for late filing fees.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1778** By Representative WILSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for speeding offenses.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1779** By Representative WILSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, revising the schedule for points assessed for speeding; and providing that certain speeding offenses shall not be reported to the department.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1780** By Representatives GLADECK and LASHINGER

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Environmental Resources for rehabilitation, maintenance and management of lands under the jurisdiction of the department in East Norriton Township and West Norriton Township, Montgomery County.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1781** By Representatives LAUGHLIN, VEON, LaGROTTA, LESCOVITZ, COLAFELLA, McCALL, WAMBACH, STABACK and SALOOM

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the addition of ten railroad safety inspectors.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1782** By Representatives LAUGHLIN, WAMBACH, VEON, LaGROTTA, LESCOVITZ, COLAFELLA, McCALL, STABACK and MELIO

An Act amending the act of November 6, 1985 (P. L. 305, No. 76), entitled "An act amending the act of July 7, 1947 (P. L. 1368, No. 542), entitled, as amended, 'An act amending, revising and consolidating the laws relating to delinquent county, city, except of the first and second class and second class A, borough, town, township, school district, except of the first class and school districts within cities of the second class A, and institution districts taxes, providing when, how and upon what property, and to what extent liens shall be allowed for such taxes, the return and entering of claims therefor; \* \* \*; and repealing existing laws,' \* \* \*; and making a repeal," extending the time limit on provisions relating to extension of the redemption period.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1783** By Representatives BURD, LIVENGOOD, VAN HORNE, J. L. WRIGHT, TRELLO, FARGO, GODSHALL, NOYE, MERRY, ROBBINS, FARMER, JOHNSON, GEIST and BOOK

An Act amending the act of July 7, 1980 (P. L. 380, No. 97), known as the "Solid Waste Management Act," adding a definition; further providing for the definition of "solid waste"; further providing for powers and duties of the department and of the Environmental Quality Board; and providing for certain handling of composite ceramic manufacturing process waste.

Referred to Committee on CONSERVATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1784** By Representatives BURD, LIVENGOOD, VAN HORNE, J. L. WRIGHT, TRELLO, FARGO, GODSHALL, NOYE, MERRY, ROBBINS, FARMER, JOHNSON, GEIST and BOOK

An Act requiring the Department of Environmental Resources to assert its responsibility with regard to the regulation of co-disposal waste disposal facilities; and further establishing regulations pertaining to such co-disposal activities.

Referred to Committee on CONSERVATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1785** By Representatives COWELL, COLAFELLA, LESCOVITZ, COY, CALTAGIRONE, HERMAN, RYBAK, LIVENGOOD, E. Z. TAYLOR, TELEK, TIGUE, WASS, EVANS, BURNS, DALEY, LINTON, CLARK, MARKOSEK, MRKONIC, VAN HORNE, MELIO, VEON, SWEET, MORRIS, KASUNIC, OLASZ, KOSINSKI, FOX, BOWLEY, LaGROTTA, WIGGINS, LETTERMAN, ROEBUCK, HAYDEN, BATTISTO, COLE, LUCYK, BROUJOS, PRESTON, ITKIN, SHOWERS, McJERRY, STABACK, STUBAN, O'DONNELL, PISTELLA, DeLUCA, MICHLOVIC, LEVDANSKY,

OLIVER, HARPER, RITTER, PRESSMANN, FREEMAN, BORTNER, MAYERNIK, CAWLEY, GRUPPO, WILSON, McCALL, WAMBACH, DeWEESE, BLAUM, CAPPABIANCA, GRUITZA, KUKOVICH, JOSEPHS, MAINE, McHALE, BALDWIN, WOGAN and KENNEY

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for further additional supplemental annuities.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1786** By Representatives COHEN, PRESSMANN, RITTER, LEVDANSKY, FREEMAN, DeLUCA, KOSINSKI, KASUNIC, BELARDI, VEON and BELFANTI

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," reestablishing the Industrial Board; providing for its composition, powers and duties; further providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Labor and Industry; providing for a Policy, Planning and Evaluation Advisory Committee; making an editorial change; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, October 5, 1987.

**No. 1787** By Representatives DOMBROWSKI, BOYES, CAPPABIANCA, BOWSER and STEIGHNER

An Act amending the act of May 18, 1984 (P. L. 263, No. 62), entitled "A supplement to the act of December 20, 1983 (P. L. 288, No. 76), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1983-1984,' itemizing public improvement projects, furniture and equipment projects, redevelopment assistance projects and transportation assistance projects to be constructed or acquired or assisted by the Department of General Services, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Community Affairs or the Pennsylvania Fish Commission, together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects to be constructed or acquired or assisted by the Department of General Services, the Department of Transportation or the Department of Community Affairs; stating the estimated useful life of the projects; authorizing issuing officials to undertake limited temporary borrowing through negotiated settlements; and making an appropriation," changing the designation of a marine project in Presque Isle State Park.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 5, 1987.

### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. The Journal for Wednesday, September 30, 1987, not yet being in print, without objection, the Chair will pass over that approval until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no such objection.

**LEAVES OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence.  
The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee.

Mr. FEE. There are no absentees on the Democratic side at this time, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. If any leaves occur, if you will stand, the Chair will recognize you.

Mr. FEE. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

At this time I would like to request a leave for the lady from Chester County, Mrs. Elinor TAYLOR, for the day.

The SPEAKER. The leave is granted, there being no objection. The Chair hears no objection.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 558;  
HB 702;  
HB 1452; and  
HB 1718.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**APPOINTMENTS BY SPEAKER**

The SPEAKER. The clerk will read the following two communications from the Chair for the record.

The following communications were read:

House of Representatives  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg

September 29, 1987

Honorable N. Mark Richards  
Secretary of Health  
802 Health and Welfare Building  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to House Bill 942, PN 1946 (Act 67 of 1987), I am hereby appointing Representative Robert Belfanti as the majority member on the Vietnam Veterans Health Initiative Commission.

Sincerely,  
K. Leroy Irvis  
The Speaker

KLI:amm

cc: Honorable James J. Manderino  
Governor's Office of Boards & Commissions  
Honorable John Zubeck  
Vincent W. Raba, Esq.  
Clancy Myer, Esq.

House of Representatives  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Harrisburg

September 29, 1987

Honorable Boyd E. Wolff  
Secretary of Agriculture  
211 Agriculture Building  
2301 North Cameron Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17110-9508

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to House Bill 689, PN 1492 (Act 16 of 1987), I am hereby appointing Representative David R. Wright to serve on the board of directors of the Center for Rural Pennsylvania.

Sincerely,  
K. Leroy Irvis  
The Speaker

KLI:amm

cc: Honorable James J. Manderino  
Mr. Henry Sallusti  
Honorable John Zubeck  
Vincent W. Raba, Esq.  
Clancy Myer, Esq.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 772, PN 832**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 772, PN 832**

An Act designating a certain bridge on Legislative Route 176 as the Joseph V. Grieco - Fort Antes Bridge.

**WELCOMES**

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes, as a guest of Representative Lucyk, Mr. Robert DeFant. Please rise, sir. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are delighted to have you here.

We have two new interns and aides to the left of the Speaker. We welcome them to the floor of the House. Anthony Ogden and Yi Wang, please rise. Mr. Yi is a citizen of Mainland China, and he is here with us for the rest of the year and perhaps a little longer, if he wishes, to observe the methods of democratic government. We are delighted to have him here. Thank you, gentlemen.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1453, PN 1719; HB 1743, PN 2138; SB 852, PN 1368; and HB 1183, PN 2169.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of SB 345, PN 369, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), entitled "Tax Reform Code of 1971," providing for the exclusion of construction of hydroelectric generating facilities from the tax on utilities.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 345 be recommitted for a fiscal note to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question, Will the House agree to the motion? Motion was agreed to.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for today. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—199

Table listing names of members present: Acosta, Angstadt, Argall, Arty, Baldwin, Barley, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Birmelin, Black, Blaum, Book, Bortner, Bowley, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Broujos, Bunt, Burd, Burns, Bush, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Carlson, Carn, Cawley, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Evans, Fargo, Farmer, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, Fox, Freeman, Freind, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Godshall, Gruitza, Gruppo, Hagarty, Haluska, Harper, Hasay, Hayden, Lashinger, Laughlin, Leh, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levdansky, Linton, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McVerry, Maiaie, Maine, Manderino, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Melio, Merry, Michlovic, Micozzie, Miller, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Robbins, Roebuck, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Saurman, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, D. W., Snyder, G., Staback, Stairs, Steighner, Stevens, Stuban, Sweet, Taylor, F.

Table listing names of members: Cessar, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, Colafella, Cole, Cornell, Corrigan, Cowell, Coy, DeLuca, DeVerter, DeWeese, Daley, Davies, Dawida, Dieterick, Dininni, Distler, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Hayes, Heckler, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Honaman, Howlett, Hughes, Hutchinson, Itkin, Jackson, Jadlowiec, Jarolin, Johnson, Josephs, Kasunic, Kennedy, Kenney, Kosinski, Kukovich, LaGrotta, Langtry, Mrkonic, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Brien, O'Donnell, Olasz, Oliver, Perzel, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pistella, Pitts, Pressmann, Preston, Punt, Raymond, Reber, Reinard, Taylor, J., Telek, Tighe, Trello, Truman, Van Horne, Veon, Vroon, Wambach, Wass, Weston, Wiggins, Wilson, Wogan, Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Wright, R. C., Yandrisevits, Irvis, Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 444, PN 482, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), known as "The Second Class Township Code," further providing for assessments for fire protection services.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members in yeas: Acosta, Angstadt, Argall, Arty, Baldwin, Barley, Battisto, Belardi, Belfanti, Birmelin, Black, Blaum, Book, Bortner, Bowley, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Broujos, Bunt, Burd, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Evans, Fargo, Farmer, Fattah, Fee, Fischer, Flick, Foster, Fox, Freeman, Freind, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, George, Gladeck, Lashinger, Laughlin, Leh, Lescovitz, Letterman, Levdansky, Linton, Livengood, Lloyd, Lucyk, McCall, McClatchy, McHale, McVerry, Maiaie, Maine, Manderino, Manmiller, Markosek, Mayernik, Melio, Rieger, Ritter, Robbins, Roebuck, Rudy, Ryan, Rybak, Saloom, Saurman, Scheetz, Schuler, Semmel, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Sirianni, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, D. W., Snyder, G., Staback

Burns	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Bush	Gruitza	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Miller	Stuban
Carlson	Haluska	Moehlmann	Sweet
Carn	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayes	Mrkonic	Telek
Chadwick	Heckler	Murphy	Tigue
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Truman
Clymer	Hess	O'Brien	Van Horne
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Veon
Colafella	Howlett	Olasz	Vroon
Cole	Hughes	Oliver	Wambach
Cornell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wass
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrarca	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wiggins
Coy	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wilson
DeLuca	Jarolin	Piccola	Wogan
DeVertter	Johnson	Pistella	Wozniak
DeWeese	Josephs	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dininni	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Distler	LaGrotta	Reinard	Speaker
Dombrowski	Langtry	Richardson	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Harper           Pievsky

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 829, PN 1839**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), known as "The Borough Code," increasing the penalty for ordinance violations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the minority leader on final passage.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Freeman, consent to a brief period of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Freeman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, Mr. Ryan.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, this bill is part of a series of bills, all of which allow local governments to increase fines from \$300 to \$1,000 for violation of their various ordinances, as I read this series of bills. Is that accurate?

Mr. FREEMAN. That is correct.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I am concerned that we authorize local governments to raise these fines from \$300 to \$1,000 for a couple of reasons. One, we do not know what type violation we are authorizing them to increase the fines for. It is not like when we pass a Crimes Code bill here and we know exactly what we are allowing the increased penalties for.

The purpose of my interrogation— And I am not necessarily against these bills, but I would like to know the background of these bills. Was it local governments themselves that asked you to get involved in this? Is this something that you had a vision and introduced these bills? Tell us a little bit about it, because I am naturally reluctant to vote for them on their own.

Mr. FREEMAN. In answer to the gentleman's question, I was contacted by a local city councilman who urged that the maximum level of fines for ordinances be increased. Originally the intent was for \$3,000, but in committee it was decided to go for \$1,000. I should note, too, that a Senate version of one of these bills, dealing with cities of the third class, has already passed in the Senate and will probably be coming up for a vote in this chamber sometime in the very near future.

It is my understanding that the maximum level of fines, which currently is at \$300, has not been raised for well over 20 years. It is also my understanding that all of the various local government associations support these bills as a means to try and give their ordinances some real teeth, particularly to deal with such very bothersome situations as slumlords who frequently will just violate codes and code standards with the intent of just paying the measly \$300 fine rather than complying with codes. There are also a number of concerns that local ordinances do not carry weight in other areas as well.

Now, obviously, in any situation the local councils will determine what level of fine, but this merely increases the ceiling, which has not been done for 20 years. So it was at the behest of a local government official and with the support of local government associations.

Mr. RYAN. Do I understand the gentleman to say—and I had a little difficulty hearing him—that one local government official approached you about this problem and you put the bills together, but since that time you have in fact heard from local government associations that they are in back of these bills?

Mr. FREEMAN. That is correct. In fact, I believe at the Local Government Committee meeting someone from I believe it was the Boroughs Association came forward to voice their support, and there may have been someone there from the Townships Association as well, if I am not mistaken.

Mr. RYAN. I thank the gentleman, Mr. Speaker, and I have concluded my interrogation.

My own concerns—and I am not trying to push my concerns on anyone; I am just simply voicing them—I cannot imagine that a local government would increase a fine for, you know, maybe burning your leaves in October from \$300 to \$1,000, or it could get out of hand with parking fines and

the like. But I am going to vote "no." You suit yourselves. And I have checked with my own staff, and I understand that indeed the local governments have gone on record saying that they, if not like, certainly do not disapprove of these bills; but speaking only for myself, I think they go too far.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would also like to interrogate the sponsor of the legislation.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Freeman indicates he will stand for further interrogation. You may proceed. You are in order.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding of local government ordinances that generally they do not place a fine for each type of ordinance that they establish—the example was burning of leaves, burning trash, parking illegally on a borough street—that generally they just have a clause in their ordinances. After this law would be passed, for instance, it is my understanding they would just pass an ordinance that would say that the municipality has the right to fine under the township or municipal ordinance up to \$1,000 and just basically amend their limit. Is that correct, or do they actually have to go back and put into ordinance a specific fine for every specific ordinance?

Mr. FREEMAN. It is my understanding that ordinances carry specific fines, but there might be instances where they would leave it open. I would assume they would all carry a specific fine. I should note, though, that the sum of money that would be actually fined would depend upon the ruling, also, of the district justice. Even if there were an ordinance that would have a fine of \$1,000, the district justice could easily reduce that fine, as is often the case - another reason why the \$300 limit was way too low.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Also, Mr. Speaker, is it not true that with a local ordinance the fines are cumulative? In other words, if I have my car illegally parked on the borough street, every day that that car is parked there an additional fine can be imposed. In other words, in 5 days I could theoretically be subject to a \$1,000 fine if they decide to go for the maximum fine.

Mr. FREEMAN. Well, there again, you are talking about what the J.P.'s decision is. The elected justice of the peace would determine what amount of that fine would be levied. And I should note, too, that we should not be getting hysterical here. We are talking about ordinances which on the whole are not going to change. A parking ticket will still probably cost \$1 or \$3, obviously. No one in his right mind in city government is going to boost a parking fee up above a reasonable level. We are talking about giving local government the tools they need to keep our communities clean, healthy, and safe - to make sure that slumlords clean up their act and to make sure that anyone who decides to pollute or to trash a neighborhood be fined an appropriate sum.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the proposal.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, in committee I was one of the few members who opposed the legislation, and it is with some reluctance, because I have served in local government and I feel in certain circumstances there certainly is a need to raise the fines for certain types of violations. There are violators who find that it is cheaper to pay the fine than it is to discontinue the violation of a municipal ordinance. However, the sponsor of the bill said that it is up to the district justice. However, the municipality has quite a bit of discretion as far as what a fine will be for any particular case or person. So one person could theoretically have a \$50 fine; another person may have a \$1,000 fine for the same type of violation. Also, the district justice would have quite a bit of latitude, again the point being made about the cumulation of fines for every day of violation. Right now most of your local ordinances can pretty well be resolved at the district justice level, but when we start getting into major fines of this nature, you are going to have to have most people going in with attorneys because of the potential cost that they may be facing in fines and penalties.

I would like to support this type of legislation, but as Representative Ryan already noted, it is so vague and allows so much for our discretion as far as the use of this fine and penalty that at this time I would have to oppose it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini, on final passage.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I have just a few short questions.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Freeman has agreed to stand for further interrogation. You are in order, and you may proceed, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Would your fine be a mandatory \$300 to \$1,000, or would it be up to the discretion of the municipality?

Mr. FREEMAN. It would be up to the discretion of the municipality to determine within that limit what the fine would be. It would not carry a mandatory fine. All the bill would do is allow the local entities of government to raise it up to a maximum of \$1,000. And I think if you look at ordinances today, you would see that it is only serious cases that would carry the present maximum. Regardless of that fact, also you have to be able— If the ordinance is challenged and the fine is challenged, it is very likely that the justice of the peace will not go for the maximum fine, so it is in very rare cases you would actually see a \$1,000 limit. But in cases where a serious offense is being committed to a community, it would give some tools to the local entity of government.

Mr. SERAFINI. So then it is right to believe that a borough could assess a fine anywhere from \$300, which is the maximum now, up to \$1,000, and that fine would be on any violation of any ordinance in a municipality with the actual amount being up to the magistrate's discretion?

Mr. FREEMAN. I think it is better to portray it as a situation where currently a fine can carry the lowest possible sum to \$300. Under these bills we raise that ceiling to a maximum of \$1,000, with the very real likelihood that that will very seldom be used and that the ordinances can individually carry whatever is considered appropriate by the local councils from the, say, \$1 figure up to the \$1,000 figure, and again with the justices of the peace having the ability to reduce that depending upon the findings of the case.

Mr. SERAFINI. However, in order to have that reduced, the only individual who you are saying would have that discretion would be the justice of the peace. It would not be the local municipality once they set the limit of the fine.

Mr. FREEMAN. I do not think I follow. Could you repeat that, please.

Mr. SERAFINI. What I am trying to say is that if a \$1,000 fine were assessed for not having sidewalks in front of your home, then the municipality would assess that fine on anyone who did not have sidewalks, and if that homeowner wanted to contest that fine, they would have to go to a justice of the peace.

Mr. FREEMAN. As currently exists with present ordinances dealing with any kind of fine.

Mr. SERAFINI. And that is the manner in which it would be handled.

Mr. FREEMAN. Yes.

Mr. SERAFINI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Crawford, Mr. Merry.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this bill for these reasons: As the sponsor has indicated, this bill did come before the Local Government Committee, and it was not unanimously agreed to. In fact, there was much debate on the bill. In my opinion, it was only a courtesy that the bill was put out to see what Mr. Freeman could do with it on the floor.

Here are the problems, Mr. Speaker: As previous remarks have indicated, in most cases the local government has the ability to make these penalties on a per-day basis, so even at \$300, many times you could be dealing with thousands and thousands of dollars of potential fines. In addition, local ordinances normally provide for the possibility of 90 days in jail. So under present law they have as a maximum \$300 per day possible maximum fine and, in addition, up to 90 days in jail.

I suggest to the members, at what point do we want to start adding on penalties? Do we want to require people to be put into the stockade? Shall we get out our electric chair and provide this as a maximum penalty? What is local government looking for but conformance to an ordinance. They are not seeking an income from these fines. They are trying to get conformance. It does not require \$1,000 per day or days in jail.

I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the bill is not too far from being correct now, that there never was any suggestion that it had to be tied to the rate of inflation. Three hundred dollars was a very large fine, and in most cases local people do not use the \$300. They do not use jail penalties. They may only have

\$100 a day. But I suggest that since this legislature does look at caps, that the law as it exists now is very sufficient, and I do urge the members to vote against this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that HB 829, which is before the House at the present time on final passage, is a similar concept, if you will, to a number of bills that follow it on the calendar to be voted today. I would submit to the members of the House that in some instances—and I must emphasize the word “some”—there probably are ordinances of the various municipalities that are covered by this concept that would justify the possibility of increased penalties to the \$1,000 limit. But I would submit to the members of the House that each one of the ordinances of each of these municipalities will have to be individually reviewed and amended to accommodate this language, if in fact it takes place. Now, that in and of itself becomes a monumental task, cost and otherwise—a number of other factors that are for consideration by the municipalities.

I would submit that if there are concerns in some areas where there should be a \$1,000 fine attached for the violation of a local ordinance, we ought to do as we do in other circumstances where we deviate from the standard summary offense maximum pecuniary fine of \$300, and that is specifically spell out the type of violation and then attach a \$1,000 maximum to that particular violation, or alternatively we should at least give the municipality the opportunity to assess a \$1,000 ordinance penalty. Where in fact the conduct that is being carried out appears to be that heinous that it necessitates a \$1,000 fine, then we move forward and establish that.

But, Mr. Speaker, I think to change the general, broad enabling language for ordinances of the respective municipalities, we are doing a disservice in many ways. Many of those ways have been expressed already today by the various speakers. I think we are also being overreactive. I think we are being burdensome and costly, if you will, to our local municipalities to accommodate this.

And finally and most importantly, Mr. Speaker, I think we are doing a grave disservice to the various constituents across the Commonwealth, because what could happen here is a situation where some municipalities may amend their ordinances to a \$1,000 fine and you may very well have a neighboring municipality which has the exact same ordinance but does not amend it, so in essence violations in one municipality are a maximum of a \$300 fine and that same violation in an adjoining municipality would be a \$1,000 fine. There is no mandatory language in these enabling acts, as I see it, that necessitates this to be done on a uniform basis. It is this equal protection concept that glaringly jumps out in this day and age of judicial restraint and original intent and everything else that we have been hearing a lot about that I think we should be cognizant when we are dealing with things that are going to be given broad scope and in fact may be discriminatorily applied by municipalities in the adoption process. I am fearful of this

more than anything else, Mr. Speaker, and for that reason I would urge a negative vote, at least at this time, on the broad overexpansiveness of these particular bills as drawn. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello, followed by Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the bills for one simple reason: Being a former local councilman in my borough of Coraopolis, I work very closely with all the municipalities in my legislative district, and not one local elected official has indicated to me that they want these fines increased from \$300 to \$1,000. Along with myself and the Allegheny County delegation, we work very closely with the Allegheny County League of Municipalities, which represents over 130 municipalities, and there has never been an occasion where one member of that organization has told us that the fines are too low. Remember one thing though: If one of your constituents, if this bill passes, gets fined \$1,000 and they ask why, the answer is going to be, well, those guys in Harrisburg mandated that we do this. So the decision is very simple. If you want that to happen to you, you vote "yes." I am going to vote "no" because I do not want anybody to come back and say, well, your Representative, Freddie Trello, voted to raise it to \$1,000, and that is why I am voting "no," and I wish you would too. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I think we have stirred this up enough.

I move that HB 829 be recommitted to the committee from which it came.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I thought you indicated the line of succession in which you would recognize people, and I think it is unfair for Mr. Ryan to make the motion until these people who want to be heard are heard.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, if that is the case—I was sitting here chatting—and if that is the case, I have no objection at all to that. I withdraw my motion.

The SPEAKER. All right. We do have a list. We will call upon you after all the debate is in.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd. What is your point?

Mr. LLOYD. Well, my point is resolved by Mr. Ryan's withdrawing his amendment, because I was going to offer a motion which is different from the one that Mr. Ryan was going to offer.

The SPEAKER. You are recognized on final passage. You may now offer your statement or whatever your offering is, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my concern is that Mr. Freeman indicated in response to interrogation that the justice of the peace would have the right to reduce a fine from \$1,000 down. As I read the bills, however, it seems to me that the boroughs and the townships might in fact have the power to do exactly what we

do, which is to say, we want a \$1,000 mandatory fine, and if in fact they do that, then the district justice would not have any ability to reduce the amount. I think that problem ought to be addressed as well as some of the others.

### BILL PLACED ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED CALENDAR

Mr. LLOYD. So therefore, Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 829 be placed on the final passage postponed calendar so that Mr. Freeman can work on amendments.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion, the motion being that HB 829 be placed on the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—186

Acosta	Dorr	Langtry	Reinard
Angstadt	Duffy	Lashinger	Rieger
Argall	Durham	Laughlin	Ritter
Arty	Evans	Leh	Robbins
Baldwin	Fargo	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Barley	Farmer	Letterman	Rudy
Battisto	Fattah	Levdansky	Ryan
Belardi	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Belfanti	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Birmelin	Flick	Lucyk	Scheetz
Black	Foster	McCall	Schuler
Blaum	Fox	McClatchy	Semmel
Book	Freind	McHale	Serafini
Bortner	Gallen	McVerry	Seventy
Bowley	Gamble	Maine	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	George	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Godshall	Melio	Snyder, G.
Burns	Gruitza	Merry	Staback
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Haluska	Miller	Stevens
Carlson	Harper	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hasay	Morris	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayes	Mrkonic	Telek
Chadwick	Heckler	Murphy	Tigle
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Trello
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Truman
Clymer	Hess	O'Brien	Veon
Colafella	Honaman	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cole	Howlett	Olasz	Wambach
Cornell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wass
Cowell	Itkin	Perzel	Weston
Coy	Jackson	Petrarca	Wiggins
DeLuca	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Wilson
DeVerter	Jarolin	Phillips	Wogan
DeWeese	Johnson	Piccola	Wozniak
Davies	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kasunic	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Dietterick	Kennedy	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Kenney	Preston	Yandrisevits
Distler	Kosinski	Punt	
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Raymond	Irvis,
Donatucci	LaGrotta	Reber	Speaker

NAYS—6

Broujos	Freeman	Sweet	Van Horne
Daley	Saloom		

NOT VOTING—7

Cohen	Hughes	Maiale	Richardson
Corrigan	Linton	Pievsky	

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

FILMING PERMISSION

The SPEAKER. WPVI has been given permission to film on the floor of the House.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Freeman. What is your request on HB 830, HB 831, and HB 832?

Mr. FREEMAN. Mr. Speaker, if we can at this time, we should pass the bills over until we can have time to talk about it with those who are concerned.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, HB 830, HB 831, and HB 832 will be passed over for the day. The Chair hears no objection.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, rise?

Mr. DAVIES. A parliamentary inquiry.

Was that permission granted without any time restraints?

The SPEAKER. Oh, of course not. The time restraints are always, unless it is "The People's Business," no more than 10 minutes. The Chair never permits any cameraman to work on the floor except "The People's Business" for more than 10 minutes. If any of them do not know that, the Chair puts them off the floor very quickly.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, sir.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1053, PN 1163**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), known as "The Borough Code," further providing for the imposition and collection of sanitary sewer rentals.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Acosta	Dombrowski	Langtry	Ritter
Angstadt	Donatucci	Lashinger	Robbins
Argall	Dorr	Laughlin	Roebuck
Arty	Duffy	Leh	Rudy
Baldwin	Durham	Lescovitz	Ryan
Barley	Evans	Letterman	Rybak
Battisto	Fargo	Levdansky	Saloom
Belardi	Farmer	Livengood	Saurman
Belfanti	Fattah	Lloyd	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fee	Lucyk	Schuler
Black	Fischer	McCall	Semmel
Blaum	Flick	McClatchy	Serafini
Book	Foster	McHale	Seventy
Bortner	Fox	McVerry	Showers
Bowley	Freeman	Maine	Sirianni
Bowser	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, S. H.
Brandt	Gamble	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, G.
Bunt	George	Melio	Staback
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Godshall	Michlovic	Steighner
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Miller	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Sweet
Carlson	Haluska	Morris	Taylor, F.
Carn	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hasay	Mrkoncic	Telek
Cessar	Hayden	Murphy	Tigue
Chadwick	Hayes	Nahill	Trello
Civera	Heckler	Noye	Truman
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Van Horne
Clymer	Hershey	O'Donnell	Veon
Cohen	Hess	Olasz	Vroon
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Wambach
Cole	Howlett	Perzel	Wass
Cornell	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Weston
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrone	Wiggins
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wilson
Coy	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Wogan
DeLuca	Jarolin	Pistella	Wozniak
DeVerter	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	
Dietterick	Kosinski	Reber	Irvis,
Dininni	Kukovich	Reinard	Speaker
Distler	LaGrotta	Rieger	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—6

Gannon	Linton	Pievsky	Richardson
Hughes	Maiale		

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, rise?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I am trying to get recognized because my switch did not work at all, and I want to make sure that I was recorded on the last vote, HB 1053. It just started to malfunction completely. It does not work either way, and I would like to have someone check it.

The SPEAKER. How would you wish to be recorded?

Mr. RICHARDSON. In the affirmative, please, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. In the affirmative. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Check your switch on the next vote and see if it is working. We will watch for you.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1055, PN 1165**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), known as "The Borough Code," further providing for advertising relating to contracts.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—194**

Acosta	Dombrowski	Langtry	Rieger
Angstadt	Donatucci	Lashingier	Ritter
Argall	Dorr	Laughlin	Robbins
Arty	Duffy	Leh	Roebuck
Baldwin	Durham	Lescovitz	Rudy
Barley	Evans	Letterman	Ryan
Battisto	Fargo	Ievdanskoy	Rybak
Belardi	Farmer	Livengood	Saloom
Belfanti	Fattah	Lloyd	Saurman
Birmelin	Fee	Lucyk	Scheetz
Black	Fischer	McCall	Schuler
Blaum	Flick	McClatchy	Semmel
Book	Foster	McHale	Serafini
Bortner	Fox	McVerry	Seventy
Bowley	Freeman	Maine	Showers
Bowser	Freind	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Melio	Snyder, G.
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Staback
Burns	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Bush	Gruitza	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mochlmann	Stuban
Carlson	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayden	Murphy	Telek
Chadwick	Hayes	Nahill	Tigue

Civera	Heckler	Noye	Trello
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Truman
Clymer	Hershey	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cohen	Hess	Olasz	Veon
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Howlett	Perzel	Wambach
Cornell	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wass
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrone	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wiggins
Coy	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Wilson
DeLuca	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
DeVerter	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kosinski	Reber	
Dininni	Kukovich	Reinard	Irvis,
Distler	LaGrotta	Richardson	Speaker

**NAYS—0**

**NOT VOTING—5**

Gannon	Linton	Maiale	Pievsky
Hughes			

**EXCUSED—1**

Taylor, E. Z.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**WELCOME**

The SPEAKER. Here as the guest of the Montgomery County delegation we have Clive Wilkinson from Birmingham, England. He is presently the financial and commercial director of the Birmingham Repertory Theatre. Mr. Wilkinson, are you to the left of the Speaker? Please rise. Welcome to the floor of the House, Mr. Wilkinson.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1153, PN 1301**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), known as "The Second Class Township Code," further providing for the composition of park or recreation boards.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—196**

Acosta	Donatucci	Lashinger	Richardson
Angstadt	Dorr	Laughlin	Rieger
Argall	Duffy	Leh	Ritter
Arty	Durham	Lescovitz	Robbins
Baldwin	Evans	Letterman	Roebuck
Barley	Fargo	Levdansky	Rudy
Battisto	Farmer	Linton	Ryan
Belardi	Fattah	Livengood	Rybak
Belfanti	Fee	Lloyd	Saloom
Birmelin	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Black	Flick	McCall	Scheetz
Blaum	Foster	McClatchy	Schuler
Book	Fox	McHale	Semmel
Bortner	Freeman	McVerry	Serafini
Bowley	Freind	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	Gallen	Maine	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manderino	Sirjanni
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Broujos	George	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Godshall	Melio	Snyder, G.
Burns	Gruitza	Merry	Staback
Bush	Gruppo	Michlovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Haluska	Miller	Stevens
Carlson	Harper	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hasay	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Hayden	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Heckler	Murphy	Telek
Civera	Herman	Nahill	Tigue
Clark	Hershey	Noye	Trello
Clymer	Hess	O'Brien	Truman
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Colafella	Howlett	Olasz	Veon
Cole	Hughes	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wambach
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrarca	Wass
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Weston
Coy	Jadlowiec	Phillips	Wiggins
DeLuca	Jarolin	Piccola	Wilson
DeVerter	Johnson	Pistella	Wogan
DeWeese	Josephs	Pitts	Wozniak
Daley	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dininni	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Distler	LaGrotta	Reinard	Speaker
Dombrowski	Langtry		

**NAYS—1**

Wright, J. L.

**NOT VOTING—2**

Gannon            Pievsky

**EXCUSED—1**

Taylor, E. Z.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**STATEMENT BY MR. HALUSKA**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska, under unanimous consent.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address an issue of an article that was submitted to all the members of the House of Representatives this past Friday. It was a mailing from the Pennsylvania Anti-Fluoridation Coalition, which has blatantly and deceptively suggested that the information that they were submitting came from the LORL (Legislative Office for Research Liaison) office. This is not the case. I know for a fact that LORL does not attempt to make political decisions for any of us; rather LORL consistently provides us with balanced information in response to inquiries that we initiate.

Regardless of your position on fluoridation, I urge each and every one of you to look at the LORL report that was already sent to you rather than be misled. This tactic is a common practice of those who oppose not only water fluoridation but also who opposed other health measures in the past. Innuendos, misquotes, and misinterpretations are recognized research with an attitude that anything goes in trying to accomplish their goals.

I have spoken to Representative Richardson, who is the chairman of the Health and Welfare Committee who currently has HB 1004, and he intends to hold public hearings on this issue and to bring in qualified people to address this most important health measure. I thank you for your attention.

The SPEAKER. The members are urged not to leave. We have other business before us. Do not walk away from your seats. There will be other votes taken on the floor today.

And especially to the Republican members, Mr. Noye has informed the Chair that he is going to call a caucus meeting for tomorrow morning. The Chair does not yet know the time but will recognize Mr. Noye to announce it.

**STATEMENT BY MR. KUKOVICH**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich, under unanimous consent.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As chairman of the LORL committee, I want the record to be clear on the matter that Representative Haluska just discussed. Apparently, what had happened was that upon the request of an individual member on the fluoridation issue, a lobbying group against fluoridation received a copy of that report and sent this out to all of the members, which was very misleading about what was represented within the LORL report.

As Representative Haluska said, there is in your mail today a copy of the report, which is well balanced and will straighten out exactly the work that LORL did. Normally, LORL only sends out a copy of their work to the individual legislator, but I had requested the director, because of the misleading representation that took place in Representative Haluska's case, to send a copy of the report to every member of the House.

I would also like to inform the body that the director of LORL has requested an apology from those individuals of that organization responsible and it should be forthcoming and we will make that not only available to Representative

Haluska but to all the members of the House. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

#### STATEMENT BY MR. RICHARDSON

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, rise?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, to ask for unanimous consent to address the House with a brief statement.

The SPEAKER. No objection being heard, unanimous consent is granted. You may speak.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, for the particular interest of Representative Ryan, who last week raised some questions concerning some information that we had concerning our documentation with respect to the year 200, I would want to point out specifically that last week I also indicated that we would bring substantial information here today to bring to the members of this House of Representatives—

The SPEAKER. Just a moment, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. Ryan voiced some objections last week. Mr. Richardson is replying. Some of you are precluding Mr. Ryan from even hearing the replies. Thank you.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Last week I had an opportunity to say on the floor of this House that I was concerned with the remarks made by the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, who indicated that people should listen with interest to what I had to say because it was of a particular interest to the whole celebration of the "We the People 200."

The original model of the Statue of Liberty, which I talked about last week, can be found, Mr. Speaker, at the New York Museum, and that is at 5th Avenue and 103d Street. I particularly have this information. The phone number there is (212) 534-1672. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, if that is not good enough information, I would further direct the attention of the members of this House that in the New York Times Magazine, Part 2, of May 18, 1986, that an article written by Earnest de Laboulaye, who is a Frenchman himself who wrote this article, can be found in that article. If that, Mr. Speaker, is not evidence enough, in the New York Post of June 17, 1986, you will also find that same article. And finally, Mr. Speaker, if that is not good enough information in terms of documentation, I would direct the attention of the members of this House to say that at the French Mission of the U.N. (United Nations), you may ask for the original French material on the Statue of Liberty and also the name of Mr. Bartholdi, who has the original model.

It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that the information that we have thus far concerning the whole plight of the Statue of Liberty and where it sits in the harbor is that there were some seven to eight different variations of a model that finally was approved and adopted but after much change, and I think that next week when we circulate the information to the members of this House with those articles intact so that the information can be properly disseminated correctly, you will then have the same information that I have and also the

research that was done quite extensively, and that was because of the winning of the Civil War, not the Revolutionary War.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. GEORGE

The SPEAKER. Now the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George, to make an announcement about some legislative business.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing two bills dealing with low-level radioactive waste, and these bills, I want to advise the members, will be up at the front desk for their signature should they choose to become cosponsors.

As they are all aware, the Congress has imposed certain regulations and deadlines for the States to comply with, and the Low-Level Radioactive Disposal Act of 1982 insists that we deal with this before the end of the year. We met the first part of the deadline when we passed the Appalachian Low-Level Compact bill, but should we fail to pass this measure, Mr. Speaker, we would place in jeopardy more than \$700,000 of Federal moneys and we would also have a surcharge imposed on our generators, and that could amount up to \$12 million.

The House Conservation Committee intends to live up to the obligation of the law, and we ask that we all have your support in working with this legislation in the coming weeks. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

#### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

##### HB 1275, PN 2195 (Amended)

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of July 12, 1972 (P. L. 781, No. 185), known as the "Local Government Unit Debt Act," further defining "project"; including capital costs as project costs; further providing for small borrowing for capital purposes; and further providing for the determination of the useful life of projects.

##### LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

##### HB 1276, PN 2196 (Amended)

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of May 2, 1945 (P. L. 382, No. 164), known as the Municipality Authorities Act of 1945," further defining "local government unit" and "provide financing for insurance reserves"; and providing for financing of insurance reserves.

##### LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

##### SB 131, PN 684

By Rep. SWEET

An Act authorizing a county, with the written recommendation of its recorder of deeds or commissioner of records, by ordinance of its governing body, to establish a uniform parcel identifier system by providing for a depository agency of the county's tax maps, including additions, deletions and revisions to such maps, and by providing for the assignment by such depository agency of uniform parcel identifiers for each parcel on the map in order to facilitate conveyancing and its tax assessment and to establish a modern land record system.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

SB 132, PN 137

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of March 18, 1875 (P. L. 32, No. 36), entitled "An act requiring recorders of deeds to prepare and keep in their respective offices general, direct and ad sectum indexes of deeds and mortgages recorded therein, prescribing the duty of said recorders and declaring that the entries in said general indexes shall be notice to all persons," prescribing entries of uniform parcel identifiers to be made by recorders of deeds in certain counties in the indexes for deeds and indexes for mortgages; and making a repeal.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

SB 133, PN 685

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of April 1, 1909 (P. L. 91, No. 53), entitled "An act relating to deeds for conveying or releasing lands, construing words and phrases used therein, and prescribing a form of deed and acknowledgment which may be used for conveying or releasing lands," providing for the description of land conveyed or released in a deed or other instrument by the use of the county tax parcel number of the land.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

SB 134, PN 139

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of April 22, 1929 (P. L. 620, No. 258), entitled "An act directing the recorder of deeds of each county of the Commonwealth to refuse for record all deeds or other transfers of real estate, or interest in real estate, unless a certificate is attached to said instruments giving the precise residence of the grantee or grantees named therein;....," requiring the uniform parcel identifier to be included or endorsed on such deeds or other transfers of real estate, or interest in real estate in certain counties.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

SB 209, PN 1416 (Amended)

By Rep. SWEET

An Act amending the act of March 30, 1811 (P. L. 145, No. 99), entitled "An act to amend and consolidate the several acts relating to the settlement of the public accounts and the payment of the public monies, and for other purposes," authorizing deferred compensation programs for officers and employees of the Commonwealth and political subdivisions; and authorizing the payment of certain commissions.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I want to correct a sunshine notice that has previously been published. The Education Committee will meet on Thursday, October 8, at 9 o'clock rather than 9:30 in the Woodland Hills School District. And on Friday, October 9, the Education Committee will meet in Johnstown at 12 o'clock rather than 9:30 as previously published. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum, to make a committee announcement.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a House Judiciary Committee meeting tomorrow at 10 o'clock in room 401.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee when a recess has been declared in the majority leader's office.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. There is one vote to be taken on the floor today which we have not yet taken.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Wozniak.

Mr. WOZNIAK. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be temporarily suspended so that we may immediately take up HR 163. This motion has been approved by both leaders.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Donatucci	Lashinger	Rieger
Angstadt	Dorr	Laughlin	Ritter
Argall	Duffy	Leh	Robbins
Arty	Durham	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Baldwin	Evans	Letterman	Rudy
Barley	Fargo	Levdansky	Ryan
Battisto	Farmer	Livengood	Rybak
Belardi	Fattah	Lloyd	Saloom
Belfanti	Fee	Lucyk	Saurman
Birmelin	Fischer	McCall	Scheetz
Black	Flick	McClatchy	Schuler
Blaum	Foster	McHale	Semmel
Book	Fox	McVerry	Serafini
Bortner	Freeman	Maine	Seventy
Bowley	Freind	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Broujos	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, G.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Staback
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Stairs
Bush	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Carlson	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayden	Nahill	Telek
Chadwick	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Civera	Heckler	O'Brien	Trello
Clark	Herman	O'Donnell	Truman
Clymer	Hershey	Olasz	Van Horne
Colafella	Hess	Oliver	Veon
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Vron
Cornell	Howlett	Petrarca	Wambach
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrone	Wass

Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Weston
Coy	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Wilson
DeLuca	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
DeVertter	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kosinski	Reber	
Dininni	Kukovich	Reinard	Irvis,
Distler	LaGrotta	Richardson	Speaker
Dombrowski	Langtry		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Cohen	Hutchinson	Maiale	Wiggins
Hughes	Linton	Pievsky	

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. Mr. Wozniak calls up for immediate consideration HR 163, which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

#### House Resolution No. 163

#### A RESOLUTION

Designating the week of October 4 through 10, 1987, as "Respiratory Care Profession Week" in Pennsylvania.

WHEREAS, Respiratory care professionals are an integral part of all health care teams; and

WHEREAS, Respiratory care professionals provide essential services in medical emergencies and also assist in the diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation of patients suffering from cardiopulmonary disorders; and

WHEREAS, The dedication and hard work of these valuable health care professionals should be recognized on the 40th anniversary of the respiratory care profession; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives recognize the respiratory care profession and declare the week of October 4 through 10, 1987, as "Respiratory Care Profession Week" in Pennsylvania.

John N. Wozniak  
James L. Wright, Jr.  
William C. Rybak  
Robert D. Robbins  
Mark B. Cohen  
Edward G. Staback  
Mary Ann Arty  
Mario J. Civera, Jr.  
Ivan Itkin  
Thomas M. Tighe  
Terry E. Van Horne  
Thomas C. Corrigan, Sr.  
Ronald R. Cowell  
Edgar A. Carlson  
Anthony J. Melio  
Samuel W. Morris  
Frank W. Yandrisevits  
Joseph A. Petrarca  
Richard A. Geist

Chris R. Wogan  
Jon D. Fox  
Roger Raymond Fischer  
John J. Taylor  
Fred Belardi  
Carmel Sirianni  
Nicholas A. Colafella  
Fred A. Trello  
Edwin G. Johnson  
Michael R. Veon  
Paul McHale  
Anthony M. DeLuca  
Dick L. Hess  
David P. Richardson, Jr.  
Richard D. Olasz  
Dennis M. O'Brien  
Frank A. Serafini

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Acosta	Donatucci	Lashinger	Rieger
Angstadt	Dorr	Laughlin	Ritter
Argall	Duffy	Leh	Robbins
Arty	Durham	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Baldwin	Evans	Letterman	Rudy
Barley	Fargo	Levdansky	Ryan
Battisto	Farmer	Linton	Rybak
Belardi	Fee	Livengood	Saloom
Belfanti	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Birmelin	Flick	Lucyk	Scheetz
Black	Foster	McCall	Schuler
Blaum	Fox	McClatchy	Semmel
Book	Freeman	McHale	Serafini
Bortner	Freind	McVerry	Seventy
Bowley	Gallen	Maine	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, S. H.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Melio	Snyder, G.
Burd	Godshall	Merry	Staback
Burns	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stairs
Bush	Gruppo	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Haluska	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carlson	Harper	Morris	Sweet
Carn	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hayden	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayes	Murphy	Telek
Chadwick	Heckler	Nahill	Tighe
Civera	Herman	Noye	Trello
Clark	Hershey	O'Brien	Truman
Clymer	Hess	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cohen	Honaman	Olasz	Veon
Colafella	Howlett	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Hughes	Perzel	Wambach
Cornell	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wass
Corrigan	Itkin	Petrone	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wiggins
Coy	Jadlowiec	Piccola	Wilson
DeLuca	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
DeVertter	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
DeWeese	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	Yandrisevits
Dietterick	Kosinski	Reber	
Dininni	Kukovich	Reinard	Irvis,
Distler	LaGrotta	Richardson	Speaker
Dombrowski	Langtry		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Fattah                      Maiale                      Pievsky

EXCUSED—1

Taylor, E. Z.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. On the matter of a caucus, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, the Democrats will caucus this afternoon, not tomorrow morning, this afternoon at 2:15 in the majority caucus room.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. On the matter of a caucus, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus tomorrow morning; Republicans tomorrow morning at 10 a.m.; 10 a.m. tomorrow morning. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Republicans caucus at 10 a.m. tomorrow morning. Democrats caucus at 2:15 this afternoon.

The desk will remain open pending the reports of committees. There is no further need for the members to remain on the floor.

### HOUSE BILL INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 1763**                      By Representatives HERSHEY, PITTS,  
NOYE, TRELLO, HECKLER, GEIST,  
SIRIANNI, BUNT and BARLEY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for inability to pay fine and costs.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 5, 1987.

### REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon. Why do you rise?

Mr. GANNON. Mr. Speaker, I was away from my seat on constituent business on one roll-call vote. On the motion to place HB 829 on the final passage postponed calendar, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

### BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**SB 16, PN 21**    By Rep. MANDERINO  
An Act designating a certain bridge crossing the Susquehanna River as the Veterans Memorial Bridge.

RULES.

**SB 140, PN 1070**    By Rep. MANDERINO  
An Act amending the act of November 26, 1975 (P. L. 438, No. 124), entitled, as amended, "Child Protective Services Law," further providing for the confidentiality of records; and providing for the employment of certain persons on a provisional basis.

RULES.

### RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HR 104, PN 1442**    By Rep. MANDERINO  
Providing for the appointment of a select committee to study autism in this Commonwealth, focusing primarily on the availability, or lack thereof, of services to autistic children.

RULES.

**HR 145, PN 2119**    By Rep. MANDERINO  
Providing for a select Committee on Veterans' Health Care.

RULES.

**HR 156, PN 2173**    By Rep. MANDERINO  
Designating October 6, 1987, as "German-American Day in Pennsylvania."

RULES.

### BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

### ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Tuesday, October 6, 1987, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 2:18 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.