

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, APRIL 29, 1986

SESSION OF 1986

170TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 32

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

##### PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, our compassionate Lord, we bow before Thee as humble stewards of Thine; we are aware of our shortcomings and the many times we have failed to measure up to that which Thou wouldst have us do and be. We recognize our mistakes and failures to act in accordance with Thy will and way, but we are conscious of Thy power of forgiveness and ability to wipe the slate clean.

O God, we do pray that Thou wilt forgive us for the sins of omission as well as commission, and work in and through us that we may accomplish that which is acceptable and pleasing in Thy sight. Heavenly Father, exemplify in and through us Thy truth in all of life, and grant us Thy peace. Amen.

##### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

##### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is advised that the Journal for Monday, April 28, 1986, is not yet in print. Therefore, the Chair will postpone the approval of that Journal until it is in print, unless there be objection, and the Chair does not hear objection.

##### SENATE MESSAGE

##### ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate, April 28, 1986

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, May 5, 1986, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, That when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, May 5, 1986, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

##### SENATE MESSAGE

##### SENATE CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE RESOLUTION

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in **HR 33, PN 461**.

##### WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House, as guests of Representative Coy, a group of officials from Southampton Township, Franklin County, and also representatives from the Society of Farm Women. They are here as the guests of Representative Coy, who is one of our bright, new Representatives. We are delighted you sent him with us. We welcome you to the hall of the House.

Representative Gene Saloom has guests here. They are Richard and Alberta Harrer, John Truxel, and Donald Rodgers. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are glad to have you here.

Representative Elinor Taylor has the women from the Uwchlan and Caln Clubs from Chester County. Welcome to the hall of the House, ladies. We are glad to have you here.

##### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee. Are there any requests?

Mr. FEE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave for the gentleman from Union County, Mr. SHOWERS, for today.

The SPEAKER. The Chair hears no objection to the granting of the leave. The leave is granted.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave for the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. TELEK, for the day, and the gentleman from York County, Mr. SNYDER, for the day.

The SPEAKER. The Chair hears no objection, and the leaves are granted.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee. Do you have additions to the leaves?

Mr. FEE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave for the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWEESE, for today.

The SPEAKER. He is already on leave, I think, for today. I think we granted that leave for today yesterday. It is perfectly all right. The leave is granted.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 2164, PN 2949** By Rep. LETTERMAN

An Act providing for the establishment and operation of a Statewide system for the prevention and control of rabies; imposing additional powers and duties on the Departments of Health and Agriculture and the Pennsylvania Game Commission; providing for emergency declarations; and fixing penalties for violations.

**GAME AND FISHERIES.**

**HB 2278, PN 3155** By Rep. SALOOM

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), known as the "Liquor Code," relieving officers of club licensees from liability on account of damages inflicted upon third persons off the licensed premises by customers of the licensee, with certain limitations.

**LIQUOR CONTROL.**

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

**SB 1088, PN 1337**

An Act designating a section of Traffic Route 8 situate in Venango County, Pennsylvania, as The Richard C. Frame Memorial Highway.

**SB 1216, PN 1574**

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594, No. 203), entitled "Township State Highway Law," returning State Highway Route 02003 in Allegheny County to the State highway system; and repealing authority for its conveyance.

**SB 1342, PN 2047**

An Act amending the act of October 4, 1978 (P. L. 876, No. 169), entitled "Pennsylvania Crime Commission Act," reestablishing and further providing for vacancies and the powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Crime Commission.

**MASTER ROLL CALL**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for today. The members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

**PRESENT—195**

Acosta	Dininni	Lashinger	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Battisto	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Birmelin	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Black	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Blaum	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Freeman	Majale	Sirianni
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	George	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonik	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Chadwick	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Cimini	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clark	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Clymer	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Cohen	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Colafella	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cole	Itkin	Piccola	Wiggins
Cornell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wilson
Coslett	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Deal	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker
Dietz			

**ADDITIONS—1**

Cordisco

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—5**

DeWeese      Showers      Snyder, G.      Telek  
Evans

**FILMING PERMISSION**

The SPEAKER. The Chair has given permission for Mackenzie Carpenter to film on the floor of the House.

## CALENDAR

## BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**HB 1503, PN 3379; HB 1504, PN 3380; and HB 1160, PN 2976.**

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2242, PN 3082**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," further providing for general health administration in cities of the third class.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

## BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2242 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2243, PN 3083**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), known as "The Third Class City Code," further providing for the administration of public health.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

## BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2243 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**HB 2244, PN 3084.**

## BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2063, PN 3020**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," providing that rules and regulations adopted by boards of school directors shall not prevent the photographing, videotaping or recording of meetings of the board.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LASHINGER offered the following amendments No. A1465:

Amend Title, page 1, line 8, by inserting after "board"  
; and further providing for contracts with private residential rehabilitative institutions and for day treatment programs

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 9 and 10  
Section 2. Sections 914.1-A(b) and 1310(c) of the act, amended December 17, 1982 (P.L.1379, No.316), are amended to read:

Section 914.1-A. Contracts with Private Residential Rehabilitative Institutions.—\* \* \*

(b) The actual cost, not to exceed one and one-half times the tuition charges of the school district in which such private residential rehabilitative institution is located, shall be borne by the school district of the child's residence. If the school district of residence of the child cannot be determined, the costs shall be borne by the Department of Education. The department shall effectuate necessary procedures for the transfer of funds from the school district of residence to the school district or intermediate unit in which the private residential rehabilitative institution is located. In effectuating the transfer of funds, the department may deduct the appropriate amount from the basic instructional subsidy of any school district which had resident students that were provided educational services by a private residential rehabilitative institution.

\* \* \*

Section 1310. Assignment of Pupils to Schools.—\* \* \*

(c) The actual cost, not to exceed one and one-half times the tuition charges of the school district in which such day treatment program is located, shall be borne by the school district of the child's residence. If the school district of residence of the child cannot be determined, the costs shall be borne by the Department of Education. The department shall effectuate necessary procedures for the transfer of funds from the school district of residence to the school district in which the day treatment program is located. In effectuating the transfer of funds, the department may deduct the appropriate amount from the basic instructional subsidy of any school district which had resident students who were provided educational services by an approved children and youth agency.

\* \* \*

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 10, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment was offered a week or two ago to another School Code bill on the House calendar. I am

once again offering the amendment that will take care of a problem regarding a number of what are known as PRRI (private residential rehabilitative institutions) facilities across the Commonwealth. A few weeks ago I indicated to the members where those facilities were located throughout the Commonwealth.

Very basically, and hopefully concisely, the amendment will allow schools that are currently educating and rehabilitating our court-adjudicated delinquents who are referred through our juvenile system into the schools, the schools find that they then do not have placements for these children, nor in most cases do they want—

The SPEAKER. Just a moment, Mr. Lashinger. Hold a minute. Come here to the podium, please.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

### WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. Representative Greenwood and the Bucks County delegation have members of the Bucks County Conservancy here. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are glad to have you here.

Tom Snyder and John Bothwell are here as guests of Representatives Fox, Nahill, and Cornell. We are glad to have you here. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And there are visitors from DuBois here as the guests of Representative Distler. We are glad to have you here.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 2063 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger. Mr. Lashinger, you may continue.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to make it clear for the members, the Speaker brought it to my attention that this is identical to an amendment that was offered. There was some concern. This is a like amendment to a different section of a separate piece of legislation.

Again, on the substance side, this amendment will allow those schools, the private residential rehabilitative institutions across the Commonwealth, to educate the court-adjudicated delinquents whom they are currently educating. There has been a shortfall, a dollar shortfall, in each of these facilities. In order to rectify that problem, we are restructuring the formula, and through the restructuring of the formula, we are increasing the money available to those schools by 50 percent. Currently the formula is that it is 100 percent of the tuition rate of the school district in which the facility is currently located. We are increasing that to be 150 percent of the tuition rate in the district in which the facility is located.

For that reason, and for all of the reasons that we had originally discussed the last time this amendment was offered, I would ask for the support of the chamber. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the House has already rejected this amendment on another occasion just about 2 weeks ago. The problem is not with the issue that Mr. Lashinger seeks to address. There is a real problem. The difficulty and the reason for rejection was the specific solution that he proposes, which would increase the financial burden on school districts around the Commonwealth by approximately \$2.25 million. I think that we ought to address the problem but not as Mr. Lashinger suggests.

The difficulty is, one, we are putting an additional burden on school districts; and two, we are putting that burden on school districts at a time when they have no discretion over these placements. The placements are in fact made by the courts. I therefore believe that the financial burden ought to be on the Commonwealth rather than on the local school districts.

I intend to offer another amendment to this bill to address this issue in a similar fashion, with the exception being that we would ask the Commonwealth to pick up this additional burden rather than the local school districts. If the Lashinger amendment is defeated, I intend to offer that amendment immediately.

I would urge you to defeat the Lashinger amendment so that we can offer this amendment subsequent to the consideration of the Lashinger amendment. The issue is, should local school districts pay this financial burden or should it be the Commonwealth? Because school districts cannot afford it and because the placements are made by the courts, not by the school districts, I think it is appropriate that the Commonwealth, rather than school districts, have this additional financial burden. For that reason, I ask you to defeat the Lashinger amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the lady from Chester, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate Representative Lashinger?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, do I understand correctly that the reimbursement would go directly from the school district of origin to the residential school? The reason I ask that question is because I want to know what the involvement of the IU (intermediate unit) is in this cost separation.

Mr. LASHINGER. The answer to that question is that the formula will continue to operate the way it does now. The school district of origin has this as a deduction right now from their school subsidy moneys for each student in a PRRI. The conduit for the moneys or the contract, the contracting agent for the moneys, has been the intermediate unit, so the payment to the PRRI has gone from the Commonwealth to the individual IU's to the schools. They will continue to contract. I have seen the Cowell amendment, and I believe that it also has no effect on that method.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Thank you very much.

Just to follow up on that, when the school of origin cannot be determined, then that determination is made by the Department of Education?

Mr. LASHINGER. That is correct, and then the State in that situation is paying for the entire cost for that student.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Am I incorrect if I would assume that there would be some kind of a delay in the payment under those circumstances?

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, not that I am aware of. There should not be any reason for any delay.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Do you know whether we have on record the number of students for whom we cannot find the school of origin? Is it 50 percent? Is it 10 percent?

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, the fiscal impact on that line item that appeared in the budget for that last year was \$150,000. Knowing the cost per student as I do today, my guess would be that proportionally, that is a very small number of students. Our guess is, under this proposal, that that will increase by approximately 50 percent, which means an additional cost to the Commonwealth of \$75,000. So it is my belief we will go from \$150,000 to \$225,000 in that area.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That concludes my interrogation.

The SPEAKER. For the second time, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to make some comments relative to Representative Cowell's comments.

Representative Cowell is absolutely correct. He has an amendment that, if this amendment does not pass, I plan to support, because we both agree that the problem needs to be resolved, but we both disagree on the solution to the problem.

Very quickly, very clearly for the members, the decision that is before you: While we both agree, or I believe we all agree, that the program should continue to be funded because our school districts are not prepared to handle these programs on an individual basis, the question becomes— And we wrote this into the public policy 7 years ago when the likes of Representative Sirianni, Representative Taylor, and myself worked on this problem. The public policy decision then was that the cost be borne by the school district of origin. I think it is reasonable that we make those school districts from where the children originate responsible for funding the programs to educate and rehabilitate their children. So the public policy question is, under the Lashinger amendment, are you going to continue to do what we have done for the last 7 years, and that is, make the school districts from where the children originate responsible, or wait and with the Cowell amendment make all of the citizens of the Commonwealth responsible—which would happen under the Cowell amendment—for placements of these children and the education of these children in the PRRI's across the Commonwealth, despite the fact that these children do not originate out of your individual school districts?

I would hope that you would opt and agree that what we have been doing the last 7 years is correct. If you agree, then you should endorse the Lashinger amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for a negative vote on the Lashinger amendment.

It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that there is a problem in terms of trying to cover the increasing costs at residential facilities. However, it seems to me that with the financial burdens placed upon our local school districts, those costs could be better expanded throughout the Commonwealth. Directly or indirectly, we are going to have to fund the increased costs of those residential centers, but it seems to me that the best place to lay those costs is through additional appropriations from our Commonwealth surplus. Therefore, I would ask for a negative vote on the Lashinger amendment and would hope that we could support the Cowell amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell, for the second time on the amendment.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to clarify something that was mentioned by Representative Lashinger. We are not suggesting that school districts should be relieved of any current financial obligation for these court-placed residents. School districts would continue to be responsible for up to 100 percent of their normal tuition rate. What is at issue is, who will bear the responsibility for the excess cost?

Mr. Lashinger suggests that the institutions need additional money. I agree. I am suggesting that the additional money they need, in excess of the 100-percent tuition rate, which now comes and would continue to come from school districts, should be borne by the Commonwealth rather than the school districts. School districts would continue to pay the 100-percent tuition rate. The question is, what happens with the excess cost? I suggest that much like we handle special education costs generally, where the Commonwealth has responsibility for excess costs, in this case as well the Commonwealth should bear the financial responsibility.

If you think the school districts ought to pay the extra burden, vote for the Lashinger amendment. If you think it ought to be the Commonwealth that pays for the excess costs, vote against this and for the amendment I will offer later. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—60

Afflerbach	Distler	Kennedy	Rieger
Arty	Donatucci	Kenney	Robbins
Birmelin	Fattah	Kosinski	Ryan
Black	Foster	Lashinger	Saurman
Book	Fox	Mackowski	Semmel

Bowser	Gallen	Mayernik	Serafini
Brandt	Geist	Moehlmann	Siriani
Bunt	Gladeck	Mowery	Swift
Burns	Godshall	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Greenwood	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cimini	Hagarty	Perzel	Truman
Clymer	Hasay	Phillips	Weston
Cornell	Hayes	Pressmann	Wilson
Coslett	Honaman	Reber	Wogan
Dietz	Johnson	Reinard	Wright, J. L.

NAYS—134

Acosta	Deal	Letterman	Richardson
Angstadt	Dininni	Levdansky	Roebeck
Argall	Dombrowski	Linton	Rudy
Baldwin	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Barber	Duffy	Lloyd	Saloom
Barley	Durham	Lucyk	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Schuler
Belardi	Fee	McHale	Seventy
Belfanti	Fischer	McVerry	Smith, B.
Blaum	Flick	Maiale	Smith, L. E.
Bortner	Freeman	Manderino	Snyder, D. W.
Bowley	Freind	Manmiller	Staback
Boyes	Fryer	Markosek	Stairs
Broujos	Gallagher	Merry	Steighner
Burd	Gamble	Michlovic	Stevens
Bush	Gannon	Micozzie	Stewart
Caltagirone	George	Miller	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Carlson	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Carn	Haluska	Murphy	Tigle
Cawley	Harper	Noye	Trello
Chadwick	Herman	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Civera	Hershey	Olasz	Veon
Clark	Howlett	Oliver	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafrilla	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Jackson	Piccola	Wiggins
Cowell	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pitts	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kukovich	Pott	Yandrisevits
Daley	Langtry	Preston	
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Irvis,
Dawida	Lescovitz	Raymond	Speaker

NOT VOTING—2

Cordisco	McClatchy
----------	-----------

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendments No. A1625:

Amend Title, page 1, line 8, by removing the period after "board" and inserting

; and further providing for contracts with private residential rehabilitative institutions and for day treatment programs.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

Section 2. Sections 914.1-A(b) and 1310(c) of the act, amended December 17, 1982 (P.L.1378, No.316), is amended to read:

Section 914.1-A. Contracts with Private Residential Rehabilitative Institutions.—\*\*\*

(b) The actual cost, not to exceed one and one-half times the tuition charges of the school district in which such private residential rehabilitative institution is located, shall be borne [by the school district of the child's residence. If the] as follows:

(1) The full tuition charge of the school district in which the private residential rehabilitative institution is located shall be paid by the school district of the child's residence.

(2) Any additional charges not to exceed one-half of the tuition charge under clause (1) shall be paid by the Commonwealth. If the school district of residence of the child cannot be determined, the costs shall be borne by the Department of Education. The department shall effectuate necessary procedures for the transfer of funds from the school district of residence to the school district or intermediate unit in which the private residential rehabilitative institution is located. In effectuating the transfer of funds, the department may deduct the appropriate amount from the basic instructional subsidy of any school district which had resident students that were provided educational services by a private residential rehabilitative institution.

\*\*\*

Section 1310. Assignment of Pupils to Schools.—\*\*\*

(c) The actual cost, not to exceed one and one-half times the tuition charges of the school district in which such day treatment program is located, shall be borne [by the school district of the child's residence. If the school district of] as follows:

(1) The full tuition charge of the school district in which the private residential rehabilitative institution is located shall be paid by the school district of the child's residence.

(2) Any additional charges not to exceed one-half of the tuition charge under clause (1) shall be paid by the Commonwealth. If the school district of residence of the child cannot be determined, the costs shall be borne by the Department of Education. The department shall effectuate necessary procedures for the transfer of funds from the school district of residence to the school district in which the day treatment program is located. In effectuating the transfer of funds, the department may deduct the appropriate amount from the basic instructional subsidy of any school district which had resident students who were provided educational services by an approved children and youth agency.

\*\*\*

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is amendment 1625, the amendment to which I just alluded. It attempts to deal with the same problem that Representative Lashinger correctly was seeking to address. It provides that the school districts would continue to be responsible for 100 percent of the tuition rate for court-placed youngsters in these rehabilitation institutions. It also provides that an additional 50 percent—not to exceed 50 percent—of the tuition rate will become the financial responsibility of the Commonwealth, so that the institutions would be eligible for a total of not more than 150 percent of the tuition rate - 100 percent coming from school districts and up to an additional 50 percent coming from the Commonwealth.

I urge support for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Mayernik, on the Cowell amendment.

Mr. MAYERNIK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the Cowell amendment. In my legislative district I have Holy Family Institute. It is located in the Avonworth School District, which has the highest tax millage in Allegheny County for school districts. In this school district there are children in Holy Family who are placed there due to court placements. The taxpayers of Avonworth School District must bear the cost to educate these court-placed students from the different schools.

If you voted against the Lashinger amendment last time, you can comfortably vote for this amendment because this places the cost on the State, where it should be. Again, this is not taxing your school districts, but this is coming out of the General Fund. It just is not fair, Mr. Speaker, that the residents of Avonworth and of seven other school districts throughout the Commonwealth should have to bear the cost for court-appointed students.

I ask for an affirmative vote on the Cowell amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Acosta	Dietz	Lashinger	Reinard
Afflerbach	Dininni	Laughlin	Richardson
Angstadt	Distler	Lescovitz	Rieger
Argall	Dombrowski	Letterman	Robbins
Arty	Donatucci	Levdansky	Roebuck
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Rudy
Barber	Durham	Livengood	Ryan
Barley	Fargo	Lloyd	Rybak
Battisto	Fattah	Lucyk	Saloom
Belardi	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Belfanti	Fischer	McClatchy	Scheetz
Birmelin	Flick	McHale	Schuler
Black	Foster	McVerry	Semmel
Blaum	Fox	Mackowski	Serafini
Book	Freeman	Maiale	Seventy
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Staback
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Stairs
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Steighner
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Stevens
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stewart
Burd	George	Miller	Suban
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Sweet
Bush	Godshall	Morris	Swift
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carn	Hagarty	Nahill	Tigue
Cawley	Haluska	Noye	Trello
Cessar	Harper	O'Brien	Truman
Chadwick	Hasay	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cimini	Hayes	Olasz	Veon
Civera	Herman	Oliver	Vroon
Clark	Hershhey	Perzel	Wambach
Clymer	Honaman	Petrarca	Wass
Cohen	Howlett	Petrone	Weston
Colafella	Hutchinson	Phillips	Wiggins
Cole	Itkin	Piccola	Wilson
Cornell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wogan
Coslett	Jarolin	Pistella	Wozniak
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Josephs	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Yandrisevits

Daley	Kenney	Punt	
Davies	Kosinski	Raymond	Irvis,
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	Speaker
Deal	Langtry		

NAYS—3

Dorr	Sirianni	Smith, B.
------	----------	-----------

NOT VOTING—1

Cordisco

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. REINARD offered the following amendment No. A1858:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 510), page 2, line 7, by inserting after "recording"

public

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Reinard.

Mr. REINARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is a technical amendment that simply clarifies the intent of the bill to meetings open to the public. I ask for your support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Dietz	Langtry	Richardson
Afflerbach	Dininni	Lashinger	Rieger
Angstadt	Distler	Laughlin	Robbins
Argall	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Arty	Donatucci	Letterman	Rudy
Baldwin	Dorr	Levdansky	Ryan
Barber	Duffy	Linton	Rybak
Barley	Durham	Livengood	Saloom
Battisto	Fargo	Lloyd	Saurman
Belardi	Fattah	Lucyk	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Schuler
Birmelin	Fischer	McClatchy	Semmel
Black	Flick	McHale	Serafini
Blaum	Foster	McVerry	Seventy
Book	Fox	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bortner	Freeman	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Fryer	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallagher	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gallen	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gamble	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Gannon	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	Geist	Miller	Stewart
Burns	George	Moehlmann	Suban
Bush	Gladeck	Morris	Sweet
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mowery	Swift
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruitza	Murphy	Taylor, F.

Carn	Gruppo	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hagarty	Noye	Tigue
Cessar	Haluska	O'Brien	Trello
Chadwick	Harper	O'Donnell	Truman
Cimini	Hasay	Olasz	Van Horne
Civera	Hayes	Oliver	Veon
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Vroon
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Wambach
Cohen	Honaman	Petrone	Wass
Colafella	Howlett	Phillips	Weston
Cole	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wiggins
Cornell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wilson
Coslett	Jackson	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wozniak
Coy	Johnson	Pott	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Preston	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kennedy	Punt	
Davies	Kenney	Raymond	Irvis,
Dawida	Kosinski	Reber	Speaker
Deal	Kukovich	Reinard	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Cordisco	Maiale	Wright, J. L.
----------	--------	---------------

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STEVENS offered the following amendment No. A1747:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 510), page 2, lines 7 through 9, by striking out "meetings" in line 7, all of line 8 and "AND EXECUTIVE SESSIONS CALLED BY THE BOARD UNDER" in line 9 and inserting all meetings of the board, including work meetings or work sessions, no matter how designated, except that the board may restrict that portion of any work meeting or executive session where the sole item for discussion relates to matters specified in section 3(1) and (2) of

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Stevens.

Mr. STEVENS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would sunshine school boards. The purpose of this bill is to allow the public to come to public meetings and videotape and record the proceedings.

In the mid-1970's, some of you were here and voted on the sunshine bill - the open meeting bill. There was a decision in Commonwealth Court that slammed the door on the Sunshine Law and said that only meetings at which formal votes are taken are open to the public. But we all know that that is being evaded by closed-door work sessions where decisions are being made. School boards deal with megabucks; they can raise property taxes.

What this amendment would do is allow the public to attend and observe work sessions. It would still allow school boards not to have the public in attendance for those exceptions already under the Sunshine Law. So if the Sunshine Law allows a closed meeting now for personnel matters or labor negotiations, then this amendment would still permit them to close the doors for that reason, but it would open up the meetings and the work sessions to the public, as I believe they should be.

I think we should take a strong stand for open government here. The public's right to know cannot be delayed. I think that school boards are dealing with big money, and they can raise property taxes.

The wording is very similar to that which has already passed the Senate, and I do not see any problem, if we amend this bill, that the Senate would not go along. So I ask for your support for this very important sunshine amendment.

### WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House a delegation from the Shrewsbury area. They are guests of Representative A. C. Foster. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are glad to have you here.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 2063 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. On the Stevens amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Stevens consent to interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will so stand. You are in order, and you may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, there is moving through the legislature a sunshine reform bill. I believe it is SB 303. It has passed the Senate, I believe unanimously, with the support of all involved or interested parties - local government, newspaper publishers, Common Cause, League of Women Voters. Members of this House are being asked to support that bill as it has been passed by the Senate and as it will be considered by the State Government Committee, I believe, Thursday of this week. That seems to be the consensus approach to sunshine.

The question is, does your amendment differ from the language in SB 303 in any way?

Mr. STEVENS. Not pertaining to school boards, but SB 303 if it passes will take precedence over this anyway. The problem has been, with all due respect, we have had sunshine bills moving through this legislature for 10 years and nothing is being done. But if SB 303 passes this House, that will take precedence, and I support that bill.

Mr. COWELL. Well, Mr. Speaker, my concern is that we use language that has been agreed to by all the advocates of sunshine legislation.

The language that you propose in your amendment, does it replicate the language that has been agreed to by all the proponents of sunshine in SB 303?



Mr. STEVENS. To the best of my knowledge, it in no way interferes or restricts what SB 303 says, and if we pass SB 303, again, that will take precedence.

Mr. COWELL. Does this go beyond any language that appears in SB 303?

Mr. STEVENS. Pardon?

Mr. COWELL. Does the language in your amendment go beyond or add to any of the language that appears in SB 303?

Mr. STEVENS. It does not go beyond it; no.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this amendment. This is a very important factor in encouraging people to represent them on school boards. At interworking sessions of the school board, we find that people express themselves on their particular concepts or ideas and this information becomes very informative to other members. But when there are other people present, they are hesitant about expressing themselves, therefore denying the other members of the board the knowledge that they might have on any particular subject.

I think it is important that we give the boards an opportunity to express themselves in closed sessions on particular subjects that concern the entire community. Therefore, I ask for a negative vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini, on the amendment.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support Representative Stevens' amendment. I believe that we have waited far too long for our school boards to become responsible to the people of Pennsylvania, the people who pay their taxes and whose tax dollars these school boards are represented to spend. They are in charge of our children's education and the money that we spend in taxes to support our real estate taxes and the tax of Pennsylvania.

I support Representative Stevens' amendment, and I am happy to see that it has finally come to light.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—168

Afflerbach	Deal	Letterman	Rieger
Angstadt	Dietz	Levdansky	Robbins
Argall	Dininni	Linton	Rudy
Arty	Distler	Livengood	Ryan
Baldwin	Donatucci	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Durham	Lucyk	Saloom
Barley	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Battisto	Fischer	McClatchy	Scheetz
Belardi	Foster	McHale	Schuler
Belfanti	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Birmelin	Freind	Mackowski	Serafini
Black	Fryer	Maiale	Seventy
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Geist	Mayernik	Stairs
Boyes	George	Michlovic	Steighner
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stevens
Bunt	Godshall	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban

Bush	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swift
Carlson	Hagarty	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hayes	O'Donnell	Trello
Chadwick	Herman	Olasz	Truman
Cimini	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Civera	Howlett	Perzel	Veon
Clark	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Clymer	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cohen	Jarolin	Phillips	Wass
Colafella	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Cole	Josephs	Pievsky	Wilson
Cornell	Kasunic	Pistella	Wogan
Coslett	Kennedy	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Kenney	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Coy	Kosinski	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Deluca	Kukovich	Punt	Yandrisevits
DeVerter	Langtry	Raymond	
Daley	Lashinger	Reber	Irvis,
Davies	Laughlin	Reinard	Speaker
Dawida	Lescovitz		

NAYS—20

Acosta	Dorr	Gallagher	Noye
Bortner	Duffy	Haluska	Preston
Broujos	Fargo	Hershey	Richardson
Cappabianca	Flick	Hutchinson	Sirianni
Dombrowski	Fox	Merry	Wozniak

NOT VOTING—8

Burd	Fattah	Roebuck	Tigue
Cordisco	Mowery	Staback	Wiggins

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DALEY offered the following amendments No. A1794:

Amend Title, page 1, line 8, by removing the period after "board" and inserting  
; and providing for weather emergency for 1985-1986.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 1501.5. Weather Emergency of 1985-86.—(a) For the school year of 1985-86 all public and approved private kindergartens, elementary and secondary schools, vocational-technical schools and intermediate unit programs of instruction shall make every effort to keep open for at least one hundred seventy-six (176) days of instruction for students and, in the alternative, the Secretary of Education shall authorize, without need of application, each school district to have the option of computing the instructional time on an hourly basis, rather than a daily basis, of nine hundred (900) hours for elementary and nine hundred ninety (990) hours for secondary schools. No public or approved private kindergarten, elementary or secondary school, vocational-technical school, or intermediate unit program of instruction which was closed because of the weather emergency caused by flood conditions and which makes a good faith effort as determined by the Secretary of Education to keep open for at least one hundred seventy-six (176) days or the optional hourly basis of instruction

for students shall receive less subsidy payments or reimbursements than it would otherwise be entitled to receive on account of the school year 1985-86. No employe of any school closed by reason of the weather emergency of 1985 shall receive more or less compensation than that to which the employe would otherwise have been entitled to from the school district, intermediate unit or vocational-technical school, had the weather emergency of 1985 not occurred.

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 10, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment will do is it will address the problem with the weather emergency in the 1985-86 calendar year for many school districts that had to close due to flooding in western Pennsylvania. What this would do is, instead of providing for the 180-day mandatory school year, this would set it down to 176 days.

I ask for a positive vote on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—180

Afflerbach	Distler	Lescovitz	Richardson
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger
Argall	Donatucci	Levdansky	Robbins
Arty	Dorr	Linton	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Livengood	Ryan
Barley	Durham	Lloyd	Rybak
Battisto	Fargo	Lucyk	Saloom
Belardi	Fattah	McCall	Saurman
Birmelin	Fee	McClatchy	Scheetz
Black	Fischer	McHale	Schuler
Blaum	Flick	McVerry	Semmel
Book	Foster	Mackowski	Serafini
Bowser	Fox	Maiale	Seventy
Boyes	Freeman	Manderino	Smith, B.
Brandt	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Fryer	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gallagher	Mayernik	Staback
Burd	Gallen	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Gamble	Michlovic	Steighner
Bush	Gannon	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Geist	Miller	Stewart
Cappabianca	George	Morris	Stuban
Carlson	Gladeck	Mowery	Sweet
Carn	Godshall	Mrkonic	Swift
Cawley	Greenwood	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Chadwick	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cimini	Haluska	O'Brien	Tigue
Civera	Harper	O'Donnell	Trello
Clark	Hasay	Olasz	Truman
Clymer	Hayes	Oliver	Van Horne
Cohen	Herman	Perzel	Veon
Colafella	Hershey	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Honaman	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Howlett	Phillips	Wass
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wilson
Coy	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
Deluca	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
DeVertter	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.

Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	
Deal	Kosinski	Reber	Irvis,
Dietz	Lashinger	Reinard	Speaker
Dininni	Laughlin		

NAYS—10

Belfanti	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Bortner	Kukovich	Pott	Yandrisevits
Bowley	Langtry		

NOT VOTING—6

Acosta	Cordisco	Roebuck	Wiggins
Barber	Hutchinson		

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Afflerbach	Dininni	Laughlin	Richardson
Angstadt	Distler	Lescovitz	Rieger
Argall	Dombrowski	Letterman	Robbins
Arty	Donatucci	Levdansky	Roebuck
Baldwin	Dorr	Livengood	Rudy
Barley	Duffy	Lloyd	Ryan
Battisto	Durham	Lucyk	Rybak
Belardi	Fargo	McCall	Saloom
Belfanti	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Birmelin	Fischer	McHale	Scheetz
Black	Flick	McVerry	Schuler
Blaum	Foster	Mackowski	Semmel
Book	Fox	Maiale	Serafini
Bortner	Freeman	Manderino	Seventy
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Staback
Bunt	Gannon	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	George	Miller	Stevens
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Godshall	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Sweet
Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swift
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Tigue
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Trello
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Truman
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Van Horne
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Veon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Vroon
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
Cornell	Jackson	Piccola	Weston
Coslett	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wilson

Cowell	Johnson	Pistella	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Pitts	Wozniak
DeLuca	Kasunic	Pott	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Kenney	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kosinski	Punt	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kukovich	Raymond	
Deal	Langtry	Reber	Irvis,
Dietz	Lashingner	Reinard	Speaker

NAYS—4

Broujos	Freind	Hutchinson	Sirianni
---------	--------	------------	----------

NOT VOTING—6

Acosta	Cordisco	Linton	Wiggins
Barber	Fattah		

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passes finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy, rise?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Just to correct a vote, Mr. Speaker.

I missed the Lashingner amendment A1465 to HB 2063. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Why does the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Mowery, rise?

Mr. MOWERY. Mr. Speaker, on the Stevens amendment A1747 to HB 2063, my vote was not recorded. I would like it to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 244, PN 3047**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1985 (P. L. 457, No. 112), known as the "Medical Practice Act of 1985," further providing for the definitions of "clinical clerk" and "foreign medical college"; and further establishing standards for medical training.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Acosta	Dininni	Kukovich	Richardson
Angstadt	Distler	Langtry	Rieger
Argall	Dombrowski	Lashingner	Robbins
Arty	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Baldwin	Dorr	Letterman	Rudy
Barley	Duffy	Levdansky	Ryan
Battisto	Durham	Linton	Rybak
Belardi	Fargo	Livengood	Saloom
Belfanti	Fattah	McCall	Saurman
Birmelin	Fee	McClatchy	Scheetz
Black	Fischer	McHale	Schuler
Blaum	Flick	McVerry	Semmel
Book	Foster	Mackowski	Serafini
Bortner	Fox	Maiale	Seventy
Bowley	Freeman	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Fryer	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gallagher	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gallen	Merry	Staback
Bunt	Gamble	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Gannon	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Geist	Miller	Stevens
Bush	George	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Godshall	Mowery	Swift
Carlson	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruitza	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Haluska	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Hayes	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Hershey	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Honaman	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Howlett	Phillips	Weston
Cornell	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wilson
Coslett	Itkin	Pievsky	Wogan
Cowell	Jackson	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Jarolin	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Johnson	Pott	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kasunic	Preston	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	
Dawida	Kenney	Raymond	Irvis,
Deal	Kosinski	Reinard	Speaker
Dietz			

NAYS—3

Afflerbach	Lloyd	Lucy
------------	-------	------

NOT VOTING—6

Barber	Laughlin	Sweet	Wiggins
Cordisco	Reber		

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passes finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently hit the wrong button, and before I could correct my vote, the Speaker had locked it on HB 2063, the Stevens amendment A1747. I want to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 68, PN 72**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), known as the "Liquor Code," providing special occasion permits for local arts agencies.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

**BILL TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 68 be placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 68 be returned to the active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

**BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 488, PN 3342**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for annual permits for and inspection of construction trucks.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the question, will the House adopt the Senate amendments, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. There were two amendments to delete and one to add. The one to add was for 5 years instead of the lifetime of the truck. These are construction trucks or the cement trucks. They only made them in one class and they allow them to go through until they are worn out. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, will the House agree with the Senate amendments, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Hutchinson indicates he will stand for interrogation. You are in order, and you may proceed, sir.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, could you share with us if the garbage trucks will now have the axle weight enforced on them?

Mr. HUTCHINSON. No. The garbage trucks are taken out of this bill. There was an amendment in this bill about garbage trucks with a compressor and a compactor on the back. That was deleted from this bill over in the Senate.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, you are telling me that the axle weights do not affect the garbage trucks?

Mr. HUTCHINSON. There are not garbage trucks in this bill since the Senate took it out. These are just construction trucks and some concrete trucks that were used or bought and they do not make them anymore. They were a little bit too heavy for the roads and we were letting them use them for 5 years and now we are giving them 5 more until they are worn out. There is nothing in the bill about garbage trucks right now.

Mr. WASS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, those in favor of adopting the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 488 will vote "aye," as recommended by the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson. Those opposed will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—188**

Acosta	Dietz	Kukovich	Reinard
Afflerbach	Dininni	Langtry	Richardson
Angstadt	Distler	Lashingier	Rieger
Arty	Dombrowski	Laughlin	Robbins
Baldwin	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Barber	Dorr	Letterman	Rudy
Barley	Duffy	Levdansky	Ryan
Battisto	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Belardi	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Belfanti	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Birmelin	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Black	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Blaum	Flick	McHale	Serafini
Book	Foster	McVerry	Seventy
Bortner	Fox	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bowley	Freeman	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Freind	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Fryer	Manmiller	Staback
Brandt	Gallagher	Markosek	Stairs
Broujos	Gallen	Mayernik	Steighner
Bunt	Gamble	Merry	Stevens
Burd	Gannon	Michlovic	Stewart
Burns	Geist	Micozzie	Suban

Bush	George	Miller	Sweet
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Swift
Cappabianca	Godshall	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Greenwood	Mowery	Taylor, F.
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Tigue
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Trello
Chadwick	Haluska	O'Brien	Truman
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Veon
Clark	Hayes	Oliver	Vroon
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Wambach
Cohen	Hershey	Petrarca	Wass
Colafella	Honaman	Petrone	Weston
Cole	Howlett	Phillips	Wiggins
Cornell	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wilson
Coslett	Itkin	Pievsky	Wogan
Cowell	Jackson	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Jarolin	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Johnson	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Josephs	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kasunic	Punt	
Davies	Kennedy	Raymond	Irvis,
Dawida	Kenney	Reber	Speaker
Deal	Kosinski		

**NAYS—6**

Argall	Pitts	Snyder, D. W.	Yandrisevits
Noye	Semmel		

**NOT VOTING—2**

Cordisco	Linton
----------	--------

**EXCUSED—5**

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Tigue. Why do you rise?

Mr. TIGUE. Mr. Speaker, on amendment 1747 to HB 2063, I failed to vote. If I had voted, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**GAME AND FISHERIES COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. For the purpose of announcing a committee meeting, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like a continuance of the meeting I had this morning in the back of the room. It would only take a short while, and we will look at the boating registration bill.

**CONSERVATION COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call a meeting of the Committee on Conservation at the rear of the chamber concerning an IRRC (Independent Regulatory Review Commission) regulation at your call of recess.

**ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. PITTS**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts.

Mr. PITTS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce an open house for the members and staff today until 3 o'clock. The Textile Lab down on 10th and Market, which is doing the work on the Civil War flags, is open today. There will be the conservators there to show you all the flags and the work that they are doing. If you would like a map as to how to get there—it is a few blocks away—they will be on my desk here. Everyone is welcome to go down and see the exciting work that is being done on the Civil War flags. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday on final passage of HB 1475, my switch failed to work. If it had, I would have liked to have been recorded in the affirmative.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the inquiry.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Had the Speaker not ruled that the back of the chambers was not a meeting room for committees under the rules of the House?

The SPEAKER. I do not believe the Speaker has ever ruled that, but the Speaker would state informally that he does not favor such a position because it does annoy the other members who are sitting in the rear of the hall of the House. But in the absence of the House members, and that is what is about to occur, the Chair has no objection to that.

**BUSINESS AND COMMERCE COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. F. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce to the members of the Business and Commerce Committee that at the adjournment of today's session we will reconvene in the majority caucus room on the subject material we were discussing this morning. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Staback.

Mr. STABACK. Mr. Speaker, on the Stevens amendment A1747 to HB 2063, my switch failed to register. Had it, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On amendment 1794 to HB 2063, I incorrectly read the amendment and voted in the negative. After a thorough review of the amendment, I would like to correct the record and change that vote to a positive vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

Just in case the members of the Fish and Game Committee cannot see me and I am too small, I am right over here in this corner. Okay? Thank you.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, the Democrats will go into caucus at 1 o'clock. We will only need about 30 minutes to caucus. We are going to be caucusing on a few bills, but in particular and most importantly, the PUC bill. So all the members should be there promptly at 1 o'clock so we can begin and have sufficient time to cover all the facets of the legislation.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Republicans will caucus at 1 o'clock, 1 o'clock in the caucus room. Mr. Speaker, we may need to request an extension of a couple minutes because of that one particular bill.

The SPEAKER. The extension will be granted. If you will alert the Speaker that you need it, the Speaker will certainly grant it.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House will stand in recess until 1:30 p.m.

### RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 1:45 p.m.; further extended until 2 p.m.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes, as guests of Representative A. C. Foster, Charles and Laretta Miller and Marvin Krone of Springfield Township. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are delighted to have you here.

### SENATE MESSAGE

#### HOUSE AMENDMENTS NONCONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has nonconcurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 1259**, **PN 2076**, and has appointed Senators WENGER, MOORE and KELLEY a committee of conference to confer with a similar committee of the House of Representatives (if the House of Representatives shall appoint such committee) on the subject of the differences existing between the two Houses in relation to said bill.

#### MOTION INSISTING UPON AMENDMENTS

Mr. MANDERINO moved that the House insist upon its amendments nonconcurred in by the Senate to **SB 1259**, **PN 2076**, and that a committee of conference on the part of the House be appointed.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

#### APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair appoints as a committee of conference on the part of the House on **SB 1259**, **PN 2076**: Messrs. MORRIS, CALTAGIRONE and REBER.  
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

### CALENDAR CONTINUED

#### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2080**, **PN 3197**, entitled:

An Act providing for the establishment, operation and administration of the Emergency Food Program; and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. HAYES offered the following amendments No. A1684:

Amend Sec. 6, page 4, line 25, by inserting before "The"

(a) General powers.—

Amend Sec. 6, page 6, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

(b) County distribution.—Rules and regulations promulgated by the commission under any provision of this act shall require the actual distribution of the agricultural and food commodities obtained through any Federal or State food program to be through the board of commissioners in each county of this Commonwealth. The commission shall promulgate rules and regulations which provide a fair and equitable allocation of all available agricultural and food commodities so all counties of this Commonwealth and their needy residents have an opportunity to benefit from Federal and State food programs. The commission shall determine the allocation of agricultural and food commodities in cooperation with the board of commissioners in each of the counties of this Commonwealth. The board of commissioners in a county may delegate its authority to an agency or local county board of volunteer and charitable organizations within the county.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The language of HB 2080 provides a great deal of rule-making power to the commission being created by the legislation. Nowhere is there assurance presently in the legislation that the 67 counties across Pennsylvania will in fact be recognized and their citizens recognized with regard to the food commodity programs.

The amendment I am offering this afternoon, Mr. Speaker, would require that rules and regulations promulgated by the commission would have to take into consideration the needs of the counties and the needy residents residing therein, and that there would have to be a great deal of coordination taking place between the 67 counties, the boards of commissioners, and the commission created by HB 2080.

I believe that if we are to guarantee the people we represent that there is going to be an equal and fair sharing of whatever food commodities are available, that we must adopt this type of language, and I urge a "yes" vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Our intention with HB 2080 was to accomplish what Mr. Hayes wants to do with this amendment.

To that end, I think this is a clarifying amendment, and I would agree to its passage and also ask for a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of the record, I assume it is not your intention to alter in any way the way this food gets distributed within the county of Philadelphia, inasmuch as we do not have commissioners to perform the same kinds of duties there.

The SPEAKER. The question is being directed to Mr. Hayes, for the record.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. The gentleman's observation is correct. There is no intention to change anything with regard to the home-rule county of Philadelphia. It is just to assure that Philadelphia gets its fair share of whatever food is available for allocation.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Dininni	Lashinger	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barley	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Battisto	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Belardi	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Black	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Blaum	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Book	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Bortner	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowley	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Broujos	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Bunt	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	George	Miller	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cornell	Itkin	Piccola	Wilson
Coslett	Jackson	Pievsky	Wogan
Cowell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Josephs	Pott	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kennedy	Preston	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kenney	Punt	
Dawida	Kosinski	Raymond	Irvis,
Deal	Kukovich	Reber	Speaker





On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Acosta	Dininni	Lashingier	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barley	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Battisto	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Belardi	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Black	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Blaum	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Book	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Bortner	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowley	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Broujos	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Bunt	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	George	Miller	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cornell	Itkin	Piccola	Wilson
Coslett	Jackson	Pievsky	Wogan
Cowell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Josephs	Pott	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kennedy	Preston	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kenney	Punt	
Dawida	Kosinski	Raymond	Irvis,
Deal	Kukovich	Reber	Speaker
Dietz	Langtry	Reinard	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Barber	Cordisco	Wiggins	
DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

EXCUSED—5

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Acosta	Dininni	Lashingier	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Battisto	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Belardi	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Belfanti	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Birmelin	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Black	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Blaum	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Book	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Bortner	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Bowley	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Bunt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Burd	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Burns	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Bush	George	Miller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Carlson	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Clark	Herman	Olasz	Van Horne
Clymer	Hershey	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Vroon
Colafella	Howlett	Petrarca	Wambach
Cole	Hutchinson	Petrone	Wass
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Weston
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wilson
Cowell	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wogan
Coy	Johnson	Pistella	Wozniak
Deluca	Josephs	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Kennedy	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Kenney	Preston	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Kosinski	Punt	
Deal	Kukovich	Raymond	Irvis,
Dietz	Langtry	Reber	Speaker
		Reinard	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Barber	Cordisco	Wiggins	
DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

EXCUSED—5

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passes finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**WELCOMES**

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House a group of residents from Lawrenceville and North Side, who are here as the guests of Representative Tom Murphy. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Representative Dave Mayernik has as his guests a group of people here from the North Hills and North Boroughs areas of Allegheny County. Welcome to the hall of the House. We are delighted to have you here.

Now the Chair instructs the members to be quiet; take your seats. We are about to take a condolence resolution on the death of a very brave young man.

**CONDOLENCE RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

The SPEAKER. All of you will recall the tremendous surge of tragedy which swept over us as Americans when we recognized that the "Screaming Eagles," the 101st Air Division, had crashlanded in Gander Bay. All of us suffered with the families of those young men. We have such a family here with us today.

The Chair invites Representative Daley to read the condolence resolution and invites the mother and the father of Captain Kosh and the widow to stand behind him.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is an honor and a privilege to come this afternoon to present the family of a brave and honorable Pennsylvanian who gave his life in service to his country, and if I could, Mr. Speaker, read this resolution.

WHEREAS, Captain John K. Kosh, Sr. of Pittsburgh, was among the two hundred forty-eight soldiers from the 101st Airborne Division who were tragically killed in the worst air disaster in the United States military history; and

WHEREAS, Captain John K. Kosh, Sr. was a member of the elite 101st Airborne Division's "Screaming Eagles" involved in the multinational peacekeeping force on Egypt's Sinai Peninsula; and

WHEREAS, A 1976 graduate of Ringgold High School, he attended Gannon College and graduated from California University of Pennsylvania with a biological science degree. In February 1983 he entered the service at Fort Dix, New Jersey, and subsequently became an honor graduate from the Officers Basic Course at Fort McClellan, Alabama. He served in the Sinai in 1985 where he was a platoon leader for the Alpha Company. He was awarded a unit citation, the Army Commendation Medal for superior work, the Meritorious Service Medal and the Multinational Force and Observers Medal; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania notes with sadness the passing of a fine soldier, Captain John K. Kosh, Sr.; expresses its heartfelt condolences to his wife, Laurel; his three children, John Kevin, Helen Ann, and Michael Jeffrey; his parents, John P. and Mary; and his sister, Mrs. Eric Carson;...

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The members will rise in place. (Members stood.)

The SPEAKER. The resolution is unanimously adopted.

Mrs. Kosh, Mr. and Mrs. Kosh, the House of Representatives today has endeavored to show you in what high regard we hold your deceased son and husband, who died in the noblest of all events for a soldier - keeping the peace rather than waging war. We are proud of him; we understand your suffering. We trust no other families in America will ever have to suffer this again. Thank you for coming.

**STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. We are going to hold a brief recess during which time pictures will be taken. However, there is a committee meeting to be called.

Is the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Oliver, ready to call his committee meeting?

Mr. OLIVER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

There will be a meeting of the State Government Committee immediately in room 401. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The House will stand at ease.

**HOUSE BILLS  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

**No. 2427** By Representatives AFFLERBACH, MARKOSEK, PRESSMANN, KOSINSKI, GREENWOOD, FOX, YANDRISSEVITS, SEMMEL, RYBAK, FREEMAN and HALUSKA

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, exempting certain nonprofit organizations from registration fees.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 29, 1986.

**No. 2428** By Representatives TRELLO, CAWLEY, KOSINSKI, BELFANTI, WIGGINS, CALTAGIRONE, PETRONE, RICHARDSON, ITKIN, F. TAYLOR, STEWART, SHOWERS, KUKOVICH, SWEET, RAYMOND, KENNEY, CIVERA, BELARDI, VEON, STABACK, DeLUCA, HARPER, SEVENTY and BALDWIN

An Act amending the act of July 14, 1961 (P. L. 637, No. 329), known as the "Wage Payment and Collection Law," further providing for the time of payment of wages.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, April 29, 1986.

**No. 2429** By Representatives TRELLO, LEVDANSKY, JOHNSON, GALLAGHER, PETRARCA, BUNT, COHEN, PRESTON, LASHINGER, GANNON and PETRONE

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, including enforcement officers and

investigators in the Office of Attorney General within the definition of "enforcement officer" for retirement purposes.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 29, 1986.

**No. 2430** By Representatives STAIRS, PETRARCA, WASS, BOWSER and GRUPPO

An Act amending the act of June 21, 1939 (P. L. 566, No. 284), known as "The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act," providing further benefits; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, April 29, 1986.

### SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 1341, PN 2059**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 29, 1986.

**SB 1391, PN 2058**

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 29, 1986.

### WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House from the Nazareth area, the L.O.R.A. Club - the Ladies of Retirement Age. I did not know that ladies ever got to retirement age but these ladies apparently have. Welcome to the hall of the House. They are the guests of Representative Gruppo. Glad to have you here.

### SENATE MESSAGE

#### AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1970, PN 3390**; and **HB 2264, PN 3361**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

### BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 488, PN 3342**

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for annual permits for and inspection of construction trucks.

### WELCOME

The SPEAKER. Representative Dietz has here as his guests Phyllis Strayer, who is a friend of Sandy's, and Mrs. Sandy Cuppett, who is the daughter of Representative Dietz.

Katie Elizabeth Cuppett is here also, and is that the granddaughter or the great-granddaughter I see in your arms? Granddaughter in your arms? Stand up so they can see her. She is sound asleep. She is only 3 months old and she has had enough of us already. Congratulations. We are glad you brought her here.

### MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco's name will be added to the master roll.

### BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**HB 2157, PN 2940** By Rep. LETTERMAN

An Act amending Title 30 (Fish) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the registration of boats; imposing additional penalties for registration violations and actions by issuing agents; and granting the commission additional powers.

GAME AND FISHERIES.

### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1343, PN 2044**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing as an agency of the General Assembly; further providing for meetings and the powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing and for the rejection of sentencing guidelines; providing for audits, existing rules and present members; reestablishing the Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing; and making a repeal.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. RYAN offered the following amendments No. A1957:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 6 and 7, by striking out "and for the REJECTION of sentencing guidelines"

Amend Sec. 4, page 4, lines 10 through 20, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 4. Title 42 is amended by adding a section to read: § 2156. Severability of subchapter.

The provisions of this subchapter are severable. If any provision of this subchapter or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this subchapter which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

Amend Sec. 5, page 4, line 21, by striking out "Section 218(e)" and inserting

(a) Section 218(c) and (e)

Amend Sec. 5, page 4, line 23, by striking out "is" and inserting

are

Amend Sec. 5, page 4, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

(b) All other acts and parts of acts are repealed insofar as they are inconsistent with this act.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment, I believe, is agreed to. I have discussed it with the majority leader. I also discussed it with Mr. McVerry.

In committee Mr. McVerry inserted an amendment into this bill which I would take out with my amendment. It seems, Mr. Speaker, that the Superior Court, in reviewing a criminal case as to constitutionality, reviewed a provision of the present law which did not require that the joint resolutions in the sentencing guidelines be forwarded to the Governor's Office for his approval or disapproval. Mr. McVerry inserted an amendment to provide that in the future these guidelines would be subject to the approval of the Governor.

Because there is some doubt as to whether or not there is an appeal now pending before the Supreme Court on this very question, it was decided that the prudent way to act would be to remove Mr. McVerry's amendment but, rather than jeopardize any criminal convictions because of this possible unconstitutionality, insert a provision to make the law severable so that should a court in the future find the bill unconstitutional, it would not affect the outcome of that particular criminal trial.

I think this has been generally agreed to and deemed to be a prudent way of handling this matter, and I would accordingly ask that the amendment be adopted.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Acosta	Dietz	Langtry	Reinard
Afflerbach	Dininni	Lashingner	Richardson
Angstadt	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Argall	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Arty	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Baldwin	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Barber	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barley	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Battisto	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Belardi	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Black	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Blaum	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Book	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Bortner	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowley	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Broujos	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Bunt	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	George	Miller	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Carlson	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carn	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.

Chadwick	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Clark	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clymer	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Cohen	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Colafella	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Cole	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cornell	Itkin	Piccola	Wilson
Coslett	Jackson	Pievsky	Wogan
Cowell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Josephs	Pott	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kennedy	Preston	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kenney	Punt	
Dawida	Kosinski	Raymond	Irvis,
Deal	Kukovich	Reber	Speaker

NAYS—1

Caltagirone

NOT VOTING—1

Wiggins

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese      Showers      Snyder, G.      Telek  
Evans

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Dininni	Lashingner	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Battisto	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Birmelin	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Black	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Blaum	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	George	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.

Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cordisco	Itkin	Piccola	Wiggins
Cornell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wilson
Coslett	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Deal	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker
Dietz			

NAYS—1

Caltagirone

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese      Showers      Snyder, G.      Telek  
Evans

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passes finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

**HENDERSON SIMON PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House a gentleman, Mr. Henderson Simon, who is an elected official from the island of Antigua. He serves there in Parliament and as Minister Without Portfolio in the Ministry of Public Utility and Communications. Please be on your good behavior; he is making a tour of Pennsylvania to study our governmental process. We want at least the people of Antigua to believe that we are orderly, intelligent, and progressive, no matter how we do look.

Mr. Simon, will you please take the microphone in front of you.

This is the Honorable Henderson Simon, member of Parliament on the island of Antigua. Mr. Simon.

Mr. SIMON. Mr. Speaker, members of the House of Representatives of Pennsylvania, it is indeed a pleasure for me to say a few words to you here this afternoon. As I looked around the House and as I listened, it reminded me very much of parliamentary sessions in Antigua-Barbuda. So, you know, do not feel ashamed about it; we do have this all around - hot debate, you know, in our Parliament as well.

Over the past 4 weeks I have had the wonderful pleasure of touring some parts of the United States of America under the

auspices of the United States Information Service, having to look at your parliamentary system and also at some of the developments taking place in the field of engineering, especially new environmental resources. I myself happen to be a civil and sanitary engineer by profession.

I am also very honored to be here with you today. I happen to be in Pennsylvania to meet also with representatives of the firm of Gannett Fleming, who are doing a water project in Antigua through the auspices of the U.S. AID (Agency for International Development). Through one of the engineers, Mr. Dick Ulp, he was able to arrange this meeting here for me today.

Once more, just let me say how much of a pleasure it is for me to say these few words to you this afternoon, and it is my pleasure to be here with you in Pennsylvania. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much, Mr. Simon.

**WELCOME**

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House, as guests of Representative Nick Colafella, attorneys Bob Doty and Andy Roman. Welcome to the hall of the House.

**BILLS ON CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS CONTINUED**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 1639, PN 3357**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the appointment, terms, compensation and qualifications of and restrictions on commissioners; providing for a director of operations, the Office of Trial Staff, the Office of Special Assistants and the Director of Operations and their powers and duties; further providing for procedures, reports, budget requests and audits and for rate increase requests; providing for management efficiency investigators and for fuel purchase audits; limiting recovery of certain employee meeting expenses; making provisions for retirement of electric generating units and outages of electric generating units; providing for the regulation of excess capacity costs; restricting rate setting procedures of telephone companies; requiring that certain data be supplied by electric utilities; further regulating the recovery of advertising expenses and the recovery of club dues; authorizing the commission to order conservation and load management; regulating coin telephone service; authorizing certain costs to be part of rate base in electric generating facilities utilizing coal; and reestablishing the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, in view of the amendments that were placed into the bill on the Senate floor that seriously eroded the protections that are afforded in the legislation for the consumers of Pennsylvania relative to energy production

with regard to excess capacity and CWIP (construction work in progress), I would ask for a nonconcurrency in the Senate amendments, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, will the House concur in Senate amendments to HB 1639, the gentleman, Mr. Laughlin, recommends that the vote be in the negative.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—2

Mackowski Noye

NAYS—193

Acosta	Dietz	Langtry	Rieger
Afflerbach	Dininni	Lashinger	Robbins
Angstadt	Distler	Laughlin	Roebuck
Argall	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rudy
Arty	Donatucci	Letterman	Ryan
Baldwin	Dorr	Levdansky	Rybak
Barber	Duffy	Linton	Saloom
Barley	Durham	Livengood	Saurman
Battisto	Fargo	Lloyd	Scheetz
Belardi	Fattah	Lucyk	Schuler
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Semmel
Birmelin	Fischer	McClatchy	Serafini
Black	Flick	McHale	Seventy
Blaum	Foster	McVerry	Sirianni
Bortner	Fox	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowley	Freeman	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Freind	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Fryer	Markosek	Staback
Brandt	Gallagher	Mayernik	Stairs
Broujos	Gallen	Merry	Steighner
Bunt	Gamble	Michlovic	Stevens
Burd	Gannon	Micozzie	Stewart
Burns	Geist	Miller	Stuban
Bush	George	Moehlmann	Sweet
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Morris	Swift
Cappabianca	Godshall	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Carn	Gruitza	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Tigue
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien	Trello
Chadwick	Haluska	O'Donnell	Truman
Cimini	Harper	Olasz	Van Horne
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Veon
Clark	Hayes	Perzel	Vroon
Clymer	Herman	Petrarca	Wambach
Cohen	Hershey	Petrone	Wass
Colafella	Honaman	Phillips	Weston
Cole	Howlett	Piccola	Wiggins
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Pievsky	Wilson
Cornell	Itkin	Pistella	Wogan
Coslett	Jackson	Pitts	Wozniak
Cowell	Jarolin	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Johnson	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
Deluca	Josephs	Preston	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Punt	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kennedy	Raymond	
Davies	Kenney	Reber	Irvis,
Dawida	Kosinski	Reinard	Speaker
Deal	Kukovich	Richardson	

NOT VOTING—1

Book

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese Showers Snyder, G. Telek  
Evans

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendments were not concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 2023, PN 3360**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of June 18, 1984 (P. L. 384, No. 81), known as the "Amusement Ride Inspection Act," further providing for the minimum amount of insurance.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clarion, Mr. Wright.

Mr. D. R. WRIGHT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HB 2023 left the House with a provision in which the liability insurance requirements would be reduced. The Senate offered an amendment and sent it to us which establishes two classes—at least it purports, I should say, to establish two classes—so that the liability insurance premiums would be based upon two different classes. I had considerable difficulty with this because I was getting what I believed to be conflicting information about the impact of this particular provision placed in the bill by the Senate. I was concerned because there was no classification system existing in the department, that really what the classification system in the bill related to was an inspector classification rather than a classification of amusement parks.

The second concern that I had was that what classifications did exist were not based on any rational criteria. As a matter of fact, there was no criteria established for these classifications.

Thirdly, because of these problems, I was concerned about implementation of the bill as it was amended in the Senate. I do, however, have a letter from the Department of Agriculture which says that while they do not take any position on this bill either for or against, the department will act expeditiously to enforce this legislation if passed and signed by the Governor.

With that assurance and with the understanding that there may be some potential problems with the bill—which I hope do not occur—but with the understanding that the department will work with us to expeditiously enforce this bill, I would ask for concurrence in Senate amendments.

The SPEAKER. On the question, those who agree to concur will vote "aye," as recommended by Mr. Wright; those who disagree will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—190

Acosta	Dietz	Lashinger	Richardson
Afflerbach	Dininni	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Distler	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Dombrowski	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Dorr	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Duffy	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Durham	Lloyd	Saloom
Battisto	Fargo	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Fattah	McCall	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fee	McClatchy	Schuler
Birmelin	Fischer	McHale	Semmel
Black	Flick	McVerry	Serafini
Blaum	Foster	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Fox	Maiale	Sirianni
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	George	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Nahill	Taylor, F.
Carn	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Brien	Tigue
Cessar	Harper	O'Donnell	Trello
Chadwick	Hasay	Olasz	Truman
Cimini	Hayes	Oliver	Van Horne
Civera	Herman	Perzel	Veon
Clark	Hershey	Petrarca	Vroon
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Phillips	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Piccola	Weston
Cordisco	Jackson	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wilson
Coslett	Johnson	Pitts	Wogan
Cowell	Josephs	Pott	Wozniak
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kosinski	Raymond	
Davies	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Deal	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker

NAYS—6

Cohen	Freeman	Murphy	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Itkin		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 2081, PN 3283**, with information that the Senate

has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," further providing for the organization and operation of the State Transportation Commission; and reestablishing the State Transportation Commission in conformity with the Sunset Act.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. I would like to have a concurrence. All they did was make a few technical arrangements. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Dininni	Lashinger	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Battisto	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Birmelin	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Black	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Blaum	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	George	Miller	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift
Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cordisco	Itkin	Piccola	Wiggins
Cornell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wilson
Coslett	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Deal	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker

Dietz

NAYS—0  
NOT VOTING—1

Burd

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese      Showers      Snyder, G.      Telek  
Evans

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

\* \* \*

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 2200, PN 3286**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of November 22, 1978 (P. L. 1166, No. 274), referred to as the "Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency Law," adding a definition; further providing for the membership, powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; reestablishing the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; and making an editorial change.

On the question,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lebanon, Mr. Moehlmann.

Mr. MOEHLMANN. Mr. Speaker, I urge concurrence in the Senate amendments. The amendments the Senate added were technical in nature, having to do with the sunset process.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Acosta	Dininni	Lashing	Richardson
Afflerbach	Distler	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Donatucci	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Dorr	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Duffy	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Durham	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Fargo	Lloyd	Saloom
Battisto	Fattah	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Fee	McCall	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fischer	McClatchy	Schuler
Birmelin	Flick	McHale	Semmel
Black	Foster	McVerry	Serafini
Blaum	Fox	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Freeman	Maiale	Sirianni
Bortner	Freind	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowley	Fryer	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gannon	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Geist	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	George	Miller	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mowery	Swift

Carlson	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Murphy	Taylor, F.
Cawley	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	Noye	Tigue
Chadwick	Harper	O'Brien	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	O'Donnell	Truman
Civera	Hayes	Olasz	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Oliver	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Perzel	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Petrone	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Phillips	Weston
Cordisco	Itkin	Piccola	Wiggins
Cornell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wilson
Coslett	Jarolin	Pistella	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kosinski	Raymond	
Dawida	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Deal	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker
Dietz			

NAYS—0  
NOT VOTING—1

Burd

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese      Showers      Snyder, G.      Telek  
Evans

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

### BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 293, PN 2104**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," further providing for minimum staffing levels at State mental institutions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

### BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader. Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, this bill, I am told, has fiscal impact. I notice the gentleman, Mr. Pievsky, agreeing with me. I would ask that it be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

The SPEAKER. SB 293, on the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, is to be recommitted for a fiscal note.

In the opinion of the Chair also, the bill does require a fiscal note.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?



The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—179

Acosta	Dininni	Langtry	Reber
Afflerbach	Distler	Lashingier	Reinard
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Laughlin	Richardson
Argall	Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rieger
Arty	Dorr	Letterman	Robbins
Baldwin	Duffy	Levdansky	Roebuck
Barber	Durham	Linton	Rudy
Barley	Fargo	Livengood	Ryan
Battisto	Fattah	Lloyd	Rybak
Belardi	Fee	Lucy	Saloom
Birmelin	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Black	Flick	McClatchy	Scheetz
Blaum	Foster	McHale	Schuler
Book	Fox	McVerry	Semmel
Bortner	Freeman	Mackowski	Seventy
Bowley	Freind	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Fryer	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gallen	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gamble	Mayernik	Staback
Bunt	Gannon	Merry	Steighner
Bush	Geist	Michlovic	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stuban
Carlson	Godshall	Miller	Swift
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Taylor, F.
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Haluska	Mrkonic	Tigue
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Truman
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Van Horne
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Veon
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Vroon
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Weston
Cordisco	Howlett	Oliver	Wiggins
Cornell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wilson
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wogan
Cowell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wozniak
Coy	Jarolin	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Johnson	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Josephs	Pott	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kasunic	Pressmann	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kennedy	Preston	
Dawida	Kenney	Punt	Irvis,
Deal	Kosinski	Raymond	Speaker
Dietz			

NAYS—13

Belfanti	George	Phillips	Stewart
Burns	Petrarca	Serafini	Trello
Caltagirone	Petrone	Stairs	Wass
Clark			

NOT VOTING—4

Burd	Greenwood	Kukovich	Sweet
------	-----------	----------	-------

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese	Showers	Snyder, G.	Telek
Evans			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Book.

Mr. BOOK. I have a vote. Mr. Speaker, on concurrence in HB 1639 my vote was not registered. I would like to vote "no" on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

RESOLUTION

Mr. FOX called up **HR 281, PN 3344**, entitled:

Commending President Reagan on his action against Libya.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, to offer an amendment.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. We do not have a copy of that amendment. I wonder if the gentleman would be good enough to give us one.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Richardson, have an amendment? Is there an amendment for Mr. Richardson?

Read the Pistella amendment. The Richardson amendment just came down and is now being duplicated.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

Mr. PISTELLA offered the following amendments No. A1828:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out "Congratulating President Reagan on his" and inserting

Commending President Reagan and the men and women of our armed forces on their

Amend fifth whereas clause, page 1, line 15, by striking out "therefore be it" and inserting  
and

WHEREAS, When directed to take action, the men and women of the Sixth Fleet performed admirably in carrying out near-perfect airstrikes with carrier-based planes, as did Third Air Force personnel using British-based F-111 bombers; therefore be it

Amend first resolve clause, page 2, line 2, by striking out "congratulate" and inserting  
commend

Amend Resolution, page 2, by inserting between lines 4 and 5  
RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives express its congratulations and thanks to the personnel of the aircraft carrier U.S.S. America and U.S.S. Coral Sea and their supporting ships, and the Third Air Force personnel who so brilliantly and courageously carried out the mission; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives express its heartfelt condolences to the families of Captain Fernando L. Ribas-Dominicci, the pilot, and Captain Paul F. Lorence, the weapons officer, who lost their lives when their F-111 was shot down; and be it further

Amend last resolve clause, page 2, line 5, by striking out "a copy" and inserting  
copies

Amend last resolve clause, page 2, line 6, by removing the period after "Reagan" and inserting

, to the Commanding Officer of the Sixth Fleet, to the Commanding Officer of the Third Air Force F-111's based in Britain, and to the families of Captain Fernando L. Ribas-Dominicci and Captain Paul F. Lorence.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the effort that is undertaken by my amendment is to do the following: It would change it from being a congratulatory resolution to being a resolution commending the President of the United States; in addition, to including those men and women who actually executed the orders of the President of the United States, which I believe was in fact overlooked by our eagerness to draft a resolution so honoring the President.

What this in fact will do is commend the men and women of the Sixth Fleet, in particular those men and personnel serving on the U.S.S. America and the U.S.S. Coral Sea and their support ships; in addition, commending those men and women who serve in the Third Air Force, particularly those who were stationed at the British bases and flew the F-111 bombers.

In addition, it would also extend the condolences of this House of Representatives to the families of Captain Fernando L. Ribas-Dominicci, the pilot, and Captain Paul F. Lorence, the weapons officer, who lost their lives in the execution of this mission.

It also asks that a copy of this resolution be sent to the President, the commanding officer of the Sixth Fleet, and the commanding officer of the Third Air Force; in addition, to the families of those two men who lost their lives.

I would appreciate the support of the House.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Fox, on the amendment.

Mr. FOX. This is an agreed-to amendment, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Acosta	Deal	Langtry	Reinard
Afflerbach	Dietz	Lashingner	Richardson
Angstadt	Dininni	Laughlin	Rieger
Argall	Distler	Lescovitz	Robbins
Arty	Dombrowski	Letterman	Roebuck
Baldwin	Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy
Barber	Dorr	Linton	Ryan
Barley	Duffy	Livengood	Rybak
Battisto	Durham	Lloyd	Saloom
Belardi	Fargo	Lucyk	Saurman
Belfanti	Fattah	McCall	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fee	McClatchy	Schuler
Black	Fischer	McHale	Semmel
Blaum	Flick	McVerry	Serafini
Book	Foster	Mackowski	Seventy
Bortner	Fox	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowley	Freeman	Manderino	Smith, B.

Bowser	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Fryer	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallagher	Mayernik	Staback
Broujos	Gallen	Merry	Steighner
Bunt	Gamble	Michlovic	Stevens
Burd	Gannon	Micozzie	Stewart
Burns	Geist	Miller	Stuban
Bush	George	Moehlmann	Sweet
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Morris	Swift
Cappabianca	Godshall	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Carn	Gruitza	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Tigue
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Trello
Chadwick	Haluska	O'Brien	Truman
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Civera	Hasay	Olasz	Veon
Clark	Hayes	Oliver	Vroon
Clymer	Herman	Perzel	Wambach
Cohen	Hershey	Petrarca	Wass
Colafella	Honaman	Petrone	Weston
Cole	Howlett	Phillips	Wiggins
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wilson
Cornell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wogan
Coslett	Jackson	Pistella	Wozniak
Cowell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Johnson	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Deluca	Josephs	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kasunic	Preston	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kennedy	Punt	
Davies	Kenney	Raymond	Iris,
Dawida	Kosinski	Reber	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Kukovich Stairs

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese Showers Snyder, G. Telek  
Evans

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands that Mr. McClatchy's amendment is to be offered third. Is that right, Mr. McClatchy? And Mr. Richardson's amendment is not yet duplicated. We shall have to wait for duplication of that amendment.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Are you insisting on your amendment?

Mr. RICHARDSON. No, Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. What is the gentleman's point?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, in light of Mr. Pistella's amendment, A1828, I have a parliamentary inquiry as to the way that our amendments were drawn, that perhaps maybe they may not be apropos now to the line and section

that was originally in the original resolution. I am raising the question as to whether or not that may preclude already my—

The SPEAKER. Just a moment. I cannot hear a word of it.

Would you please state your question now?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I am just asking whether or not A1828 now would in fact supersede our amendments—

The SPEAKER. Mr. Richardson, we cannot answer that; we do not have a copy of your amendment.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I sent them up to the desk.

The SPEAKER. Well, they have to be duplicated. We cannot answer the question until we see your amendment.

Do you have a copy in your hands, Mr. Richardson?

Mr. Ryan, Mr. Manderino, Mr. O'Donnell, would you come here, please? This is on the language of the Richardson amendment, which may have an adverse effect upon what the House has just taken up.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER. Mr. Richardson wishes to withdraw his amendment but wishes to debate, however, the resolution.

Mr. McClatchy, is there any need now to recognize you on an amendment?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I will withdraw my amendment.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The SPEAKER. We are now on the question of whether the House will adopt HR 281 as amended by Mr. Pistella. That is the question.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, if I understand correctly, now what we have done is we have amended HR 281 so that the concern over the language that was in the present resolution has now been stricken. I just want to raise a couple of concerns as they relate to HR 281.

Number one, I think that it is important to recognize that we cannot superimpose our will and wish upon any member of this House as to what he or she thinks, but that as to facts, we should always relate ourselves to whatever the facts are. This same House raised several questions some time ago concerning whether or not a preoccupation even of our own minority leader of being involved in international politics, whether or not it should be raised on the floor of this House of Representatives. As it came about, we raised the question then as related specifically to the terrorism in South Africa continuing to be used against those people who live right there. We said that we felt that there was a need to end terrorism and the destruction of life there because we did not feel it was proper and felt that there should be some action taken on behalf of those citizens who in fact have gone through torment every single day, where babies in fact are being killed, but seemingly we did not get the support of this House.

The other question was raised—

## POINT OF ORDER

Mr. GLADECK. Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Gladeck. Why do you rise, Mr. Gladeck?

Mr. GLADECK. I rise because I would like to know if the gentleman is making a point of order or if he is making a speech.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is debating the resolution, the passage of the resolution. He has withdrawn his amendment. He is debating whether or not the House should or should not pass the resolution.

Mr. GLADECK. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. You may continue, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

As a result, we find ourselves in this question as to whether or not the United States has taken action in Libya, which has now caused the death of some lives of babies and other innocent people and some of our own American soldiers who were in fact gunned down in that recent attack. I raise the question as to whether or not that is in fact a position that all of us can actually say will in fact end terrorism because of what we have seen taking place just recently in Libya. In fact, it raises the question so much that so many persons have now wondered whether or not there is in fact more fear of terrorism and the fact that there has been less ridership of airplanes and other abroad travel in the United States, which raises many questions for many people who in fact want to travel but now do not feel that they can, in fact, in good faith travel.

I just believe that if we are about the business of consistency, that we have to begin to look on one hand how we handle one situation where we call it constructive engagement in South Africa to work out a meaningful way to go after sanctions and also trying to work out—

Mr. GLADECK. Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Just a moment. The House will be at ease.

## POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Mr. Gladeck, what is your point of order?

Mr. GLADECK. My point of order is, Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate it if you would ask the gentleman, Mr. Richardson, to confine his remarks to the content of HR 281, which as long as I read it, I do not see the words "South Africa" written in here anywhere.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Gladeck, your point is well taken, and the Chair was trying to decide whether Mr. Richardson was arguing for or against the resolution.

Mr. Richardson, confine your remarks to what is before us on the floor of the House - whether or not we should adopt the resolution. If there are reasons that you wish to give for adopting or reasons you wish to give for not adopting, we will listen to the reasons, but do not wander from the subject matter.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you so very much, Mr. Speaker. I can almost remember vividly how we had this same argument on South Africa, how many other countries were

brought in as a point of describing how this in fact was tied all into the same international politics, but I will be, of course, judged by the ruling of the Chair.

I would indicate, Mr. Speaker, that terrorism anywhere is a threat to terrorism everywhere, and if we are going to talk about terrorism, no matter where it is, that we should also recognize that regardless of what other country or town or area that it is in—

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

Mr. RICHARDSON. —wherever those people are being killed, we should fight against terrorism, since that is in the bill.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, we have before us a resolution which has been amended by the gentleman, Mr. Pistella, that, among other things, is a commemorative resolution for the men who died in that attack. I think that if this gentleman wants to talk about South Africa again, he should submit his amendment and not subject us to this constantly.

I tell you, Mr. Speaker—and I have never done it before—if he keeps it up, I am going to move the previous question and hope that it passes.

Mr. RICHARDSON. You are entitled to do whatever you have to do, Mr. Speaker, as we all, sir, have a right to debate on this floor.

The SPEAKER. Just a moment, Mr. Richardson.

What we are checking for is to see if the word “terrorism” or “terror” had been used in the original resolution, and of course, we have now found it.

If the gentleman addresses himself to that issue of the resolution, he will be in order. If he deviates from that, he will be out of order.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, in reviewing this, I would just like to then ask for a parliamentary inquiry.

On page 1, line 1, of Representative Pistella’s amendment, it took out “Congratulating” and then inserted “Commending President Reagan and the men and women of our armed forces on their...” and then it goes to the fifth whereas clause, which would be on line 13, and therefore the—

The SPEAKER. Just a moment, Mr. Richardson. The Chair is having difficulty hearing the question, let alone answering it.

What is your question, Mr. Richardson?

Mr. RICHARDSON. The question is, is line 3 still a part of the amendment? I guess that is the question.

The SPEAKER. Do you mean line 3 of the original amendment?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER. Of the original resolution, or line 3 of the amendment?

Mr. RICHARDSON. The original line 3 of the resolution.

The SPEAKER. Just a moment.

A quick reading of the amendment and the resolution indicates that line 2 and line 3 of the original resolution are still in there.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I would like to know whether or not “international terrorism” is still a part of this, and if it is, am I in order still to speak to international terrorism?

The SPEAKER. “International terrorism,” in the opinion of the Chair, the words are still there, and if you will recall, Mr. Richardson, the Chair said that “terrorism” was included. The objection that was raised by Mr. Ryan was over your mentioning of South Africa, not about the mentioning of terrorism.

Mr. RICHARDSON. And after you told me not to mention that, Mr. Speaker, I did not, and he still raised that point because he was upset.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may continue with his debate on the resolution.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

As I was indicating, it is very clear to me that terrorism anywhere is a threat to terrorism everywhere, and that wherever terrorism is, it should be made very clear to all of us that we should be about the business of trying to eradicate terrorism. Any threat to any citizen in this United States or anywhere else where people are being killed and babies are being maimed needs to be raised as a very legitimate and serious question to many of us who are more sincere about our endeavors towards wiping out all of this crime wherever it exists. And it seems to me that when you see this international crime, and the point that I have pointed out in the past, that the resolution, while we commend on one hand all of the other efforts of our men and women who went into action, there are still lines that indicate to me that we have not proven beyond any shadow of a doubt that there was evidence that in fact coming from so-called international terrorism, that there was in fact any court that has ruled that in fact this came out of Libya, number one; number two, that there was an identification of Syria and the fact that we do not take any military stance against Syria, which also was a part of the same question raised around this whole question around terrorism, and I think that if we are to be very clear about this whole question, it seems to me that we must raise some other legitimate questions concerning where do we stand. For example, if we can remind the members of this House, there has been no resolution admonishing the President for his inactivity and his indecisiveness—

The SPEAKER. Mr. Richardson, please. You are obviously now going far afield of what is before us. You are limited to debate on this floor to the subject matter in front of you, and that will be this resolution.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Okay.

The SPEAKER. If your statement is, the resolution does not go far enough, then your objection is corrected with an amendment. If you are telling the House you are against it, then you must say that. If you are for it, you must say that.

But you are not allowed to wander all over the place about terrorism.

You may continue.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

It is hard to stand on the floor of this House and give an argument when many times there has been opportunity to at least give some very clear knowledge on this issue as being one that has not surfaced before before us, particularly in this situation. I am just concerned with the fact that we are taking a double standard of justice, and if there is anything that can be made out of my brief stand on the floor today, that is that we need to be calling on all the parties involved to resolve a very present crisis that is in front of us.

I want to commend Representative Pistella on his move to at least try to bring some solvency to how we can begin to deal with an issue like this. The rest of the language of this resolution, HR 281, should not in any way begin to tell whether or not on one hand we have one person who we feel has done some terrorist acts, and then on the other hand we have individuals who feel that a direct action against another particular country should in fact be the resolute to our particular problem without bringing all those parties together. We have been told that we should wait and use whatever mechanisms are necessary to prevent us from going to war. I just want to see us not move to another Vietnam. What I think is that if we are going to be at war, we should be at war. If we are not going to be at war, I have heard nothing from Congress at this point to indicate that we have set forth a direction of war in this United States or moving forward in that degree.

In those regards, Mr. Speaker, on behalf of those of us who feel very upset about where we are, I would like to know whether or not the amendment of Mr. Pistella's, in fact a particular part of his amendment that has gone in, whether or not a vote in favor of the resolution as amended by him would in fact clearly delineate whether or not we are voting for the American troops and those loved ones who have been killed here or whether or not the support of the other half of the resolution would indicate a negative vote. I am asking whether or not a negative vote against this resolution would in fact vote against the part that Mr. Pistella has already offered.

The SPEAKER. In the opinion of the Chair, if you vote in the negative, that vote could be interpreted as refusing to commend the President and refusing to commend the men and women of the 6th Fleet, whom you have just voted to commend. But if you refuse to commend them and vote "no" on the resolution, I think that would be your privilege.

Mr. RICHARDSON. All right.

Mr. Speaker, finally, I would just like to say that I would like to commend the members of this House of Representatives for giving us an opportunity to voice what we feel is a very dangerous precedent here on the floor of this House with respect to such a major issue affecting this country and the fact that many of us have a strong feeling about where we are at this present time in this country and how many people are thinking back home that maybe there is a better way to resolve the situation that we presently have in front of us. I thank the members' indulgence.

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, in addition to refusing to commend the President and the members of the 6th Fleet, a "no" vote would also, it seems to me, refuse to express heartfelt condolences to the families of the personnel who died in the process of that particular action.

The SPEAKER. The Chair agrees with you. It simply had not read far enough down there. The Chair does agree.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. For that reason, I shall vote for HR 281, but I wish to add some reservations of mine to this particular action of the House. I think that really the verdict is not in yet on whether this particular action will indeed reduce terrorism throughout the world. I think that I have had reservations about that policy ever since I first heard of the attack, because I have been in a position to have to go to a foreign country over an incident in the Gulf of Tonkin some years ago. I recall that in the House of Congress at that time there was a great move to support the President for actions taken in the Gulf of Tonkin incident that was similar to this kind of an incident at that time, and that many of those people who voted on that were very regretful much later.

I want to add my concern and words of caution about our endorsing the macho-man foreign policy that President Reagan seems to be taking here, and I think that we ought to be careful in the future. I will vote for the resolution because I do wish to offer my condolences to those brave soldiers who lost their lives. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Deal.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak against HR 281. I do it for a couple of reasons. One is that while I am prepared and feel it a moral obligation to commend the President of these United States for taking action which he believes is necessary to protect our country, I could have easily supported that kind of resolution. I think this resolution went too far afield, and then I look at lines 13 to 15, which state that "Although realizing that retaliation will not end terrorism, the President felt...." I am in no position to tell what the President realized or what his intention was. I could have certainly agreed that we realize that certain things were taking place, and I do not mind voting for what I feel, but for me to sit here and be a fortuneteller and tell why the President did what he did because he thought, I do not know what he thought. Therefore, Mr. President, I cannot, in all good conscience, vote for this resolution.

The SPEAKER. I trust all of you noticed that.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I am sorry.

The SPEAKER. Oh, now you have reduced me again.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, because I hold you in such great esteem, I might at one time or another use an adjective to support that great position which you hold and the personality and image in which you carry it.

The SPEAKER. The boos are stricken from the record.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to make sure that my decision to vote would not be misinterpreted. I believe that all of us, as Americans and as responsible people, ought to certainly support our President and our government leaders and civic leaders when they do things in our best interests. But I think sometimes in our haste to send certain messages we may go too far, and I believe that is what we did in this resolution.

Mr. Speaker, I am somewhat— And I waited so long because I had expected one of the other members on the floor to have raised a similar question, because that member of this House has always led the parade to oppose us dithering and dabbling in foreign policy. I had held great respect for that individual and had begun to wonder whether or not sometimes we had gone too far in our role. But when I sit here today and find that that same leading of the charge, those same dynamic remarks that caution us about our role and where we stand, that I did not hear that same kind of speech, I sit here somewhat disappointed in the lack of leadership that I expected to continue to prevail from that same source.

Nevertheless, Mr. Speaker, I shall vote “no” against HR 281.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I could not help but think the remarks of the gentleman were directed towards any number of my earlier speeches and/or comments with respect to attempting—attempting—to influence the Federal Government in connection with international affairs.

There is a clear distinction, Mr. Speaker, between the resolution that is before us today and the other resolutions that I made my remarks about on earlier occasions. That distinction is that in this case we are commending the President on an action that he has taken, a decision that has been made. In the other cases that I object to and feel we have no business interfering, it is when we are asking the President or the Congress, perhaps, to take some action that we believe it should take in international affairs when we are not blessed with sufficient knowledge really to make a judgment. That is the distinction, Mr. Speaker.

I would be happy to talk to you about it at any time off the record. We have expended too much of our time so far today on matters that are extraneous to the heart of this resolution, which is to address the Libyan retaliation, if you will, and also to commemorate the men of the fleet and to express our sorrow at the loss of those lives.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Deal, for the second time on the resolution.

Mr. DEAL. For the second and last time, Mr. Speaker, if you will indulge me.

The SPEAKER. That will be correct. It will be the last time.

Mr. DEAL. Yes, sir.

Are you recognizing me now, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, having in front of me the Pistella amendment, for me to vote against the resolution it would appear that I am not willing to commend all of the other

parties, which will cause me to reconsider my first decision. However, in voting I would want to say that I do have problems saying what the President thought. But I will say that, after having had my leader place in front of me a clearer picture of the Pistella amendment, I will reconsider my remarks.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

That is the point that the Chair tried to point out earlier, how a negative vote could be misconstrued.

On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Well, I certainly have mixed emotions about this resolution, but I certainly would like to extend my condolences to the men who lost their lives. But I would just like to say I am going to vote for the resolution, but I do think—it is just my opinion—that our President could have made a greater effort toward this situation, especially with France and the other countries.

I will not say any more about that, but that is just my opinion.

The SPEAKER. For the record, and the Chair thinks it speaks for every member on this floor, there is no one serving in the House of Representatives who does not offer condolences to the fallen and to the families of the fallen. I think all of us agree on that question. And perhaps all of us ought to be grateful that we are not serving as President of the United States and having to make such an awesome decision, as the President must have made with information which we are not privy to.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Fox.

Mr. FOX. Mr. Speaker, I think the House is ready to vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Fattah, on the resolution.

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, just before we vote, I think that one thing has been neglected and that we should mention. I am also sure that all of us understand that this particular strike was not as surgical as we would have wanted it to be, and there were some innocent lives lost on the other side. I would assume that we all feel some sorrow in that regard, too, and I would just like the record to reflect that. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair agrees with you, and I think every member on this floor agrees that it would have been better if no lives had to be sacrificed. Let us not debate those things which we agree on.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution as amended?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Acosta	Dawida	Lashing	Reinard
Afflerbach	Deal	Laughlin	Rieger
Angstadt	Dietz	Lescovitz	Robbins
Argall	Dininni	Letterman	Roebuck
Arty	Distler	Levdansky	Rudy
Baldwin	Dombrowski	Linton	Ryan
Barber	Donatucci	Livengood	Rybak
Barley	Dorr	Lloyd	Saurman

Battisto	Duffy	Lucyk	Scheetz
Belardi	Durham	McCall	Schuler
Belfanti	Fargo	McClatchy	Semmel
Birmelin	Fee	McHale	Serafini
Black	Fischer	McVerry	Seventy
Blaum	Flick	Mackowski	Sirianni
Book	Foster	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bortner	Fox	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freind	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Fryer	Markosek	Staback
Boyes	Gallagher	Mayernik	Stairs
Brandt	Gallen	Merry	Steighner
Broujos	Gamble	Michlovic	Stevens
Bunt	Gannon	Micozzie	Stewart
Burd	Geist	Miller	Stuban
Burns	George	Moehlmann	Sweet
Bush	Gladeck	Morris	Swift
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Taylor, F.
Carlson	Gruitz	Murphy	Taylor, J.
Carn	Gruppo	Nahill	Tigue
Cawley	Hagarty	Noye	Trello
Cessar	Haluska	O'Brien	Truman
Chadwick	Harper	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cimini	Hasay	Olasz	Veon
Civera	Hayes	Oliver	Vroon
Clark	Herman	Perzel	Wambach
Clymer	Hershey	Petrarca	Wass
Cohen	Honaman	Petrone	Weston
Colafella	Howlett	Phillips	Wiggins
Cole	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wilson
Cordisco	Itkin	Pievsky	Wogan
Cornell	Jackson	Pistella	Wozniak
Coslett	Jarolin	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Johnson	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, R. C.
DeLuca	Kennedy	Preston	Yandrisevits
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	
Daley	Kosinski	Raymond	Irvis,
Davies	Langtry	Reber	Speaker

NAYS—2

Josephs Richardson

NOT VOTING—4

Fattah Freeman Kukovich Saloom

EXCUSED—5

DeWeese Showers Snyder, G. Telek  
Evans

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution as amended was adopted.

**WELCOME**

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House Cheryl Siemanis and students from the Holy Redeemer Elementary School in Minersville. They are here as guests of Representative Lucyk. Welcome to the hall of the House.

**BILL AND VETO MESSAGE  
REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 66 be lifted from the table and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**VETO OVERRIDE RECONSIDERED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the vote to override the veto message of HB 66, which was defeated on the 15th day of April, be reconsidered.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**BILL AND VETO MESSAGE TABLED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 66, PN 3039, be returned to the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**LIQUOR CONTROL COMMITTEE MEETING**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, there will be a meeting of the *Liquor Control Committee immediately following at the rear of the House.* Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**REMARKS ON VOTES**

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Fox, rise?

Mr. FOX. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2063, the Stevens amendment 1747, I wish to correct the record. My vote was shown in the negative. It should have been in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Mr. FOX. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. J. J. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, a correction of the vote.

On amendment 1652 to HB 2080, I was not recorded, and I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

**HB 2023, PN 3360**

An Act amending the act of June 18, 1984 (P. L. 384, No. 81), known as the "Amusement Ride Inspection Act," further providing for the minimum amount of insurance.

**HB 2081, PN 3283**

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," further providing for the organization and operation of the State Transportation Commission; and reestablishing the State Transportation Commission in conformity with the Sunset Act.

**HB 2200, PN 3286**

An Act amending the act of November 22, 1978 (P. L. 1166, No. 274), referred to as the "Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency Law," adding a definition; further providing for the membership, powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; reestablishing the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency; and making an editorial change.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 864, PN 990**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further defining the term "superannuation age" to include policemen of the Delaware River Port Authority.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 1611, PN 2046**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act requiring municipalities to install teletypewriters in the police headquarters to enable deaf residents to communicate requests for assistance in emergencies; and providing for Commonwealth reimbursement of the installation expense.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 2275, PN 3152**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), known as the "Second Class County Code," further providing for civil service examinations and for appeals to the civil service commission.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 2276, PN 3153**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1974 (P. L. 296, No. 94), entitled "An act providing for the appointment, promotion, reduction in rank, suspension, furlough, discharge and reinstatement of deputy sheriffs in counties of the second class; extending civil service coverage to such deputies; and providing penalties," further providing for civil service examinations.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 2400, PN 3335**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Social Responsibility Fund and authorizing an election by members to contribute thereto.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 2401, PN 3336**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, establishing the Social Responsibility Fund and authorizing an election by members to contribute thereto.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**SB 251, PN 623**

By Rep. SALOOM

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), entitled "Liquor Code," prohibiting tampering with identification cards; and increasing penalties.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

**SB 303, PN 1910**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act requiring public agencies to hold certain meetings and hearings open to the public; and providing penalties.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. There being no further business to be brought before this day's session, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bradford, Mr. Chadwick.

Mr. CHADWICK. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, April 30, 1986, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 4:01 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.