

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1984

SESSION OF 1984

168TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 57

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS)
IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Amid the hustle and bustle of life in the busy world around us, we come apart from all of the turmoil and anxiety to spend some quiet moments with Thee.

O God, we thank Thee for the assurance of Thy love and tender care; we give thanks to Thee for Thy ever-conscious presence which motivates and stirs the lives of each of us; and we express our gratitude for Thy continued peace which expresses Thy satisfaction in deeds of dedication and consecration in Thy service.

Heavenly Father, keep these stewards of Thine in the hollow of Thy hand, protect them with Thy indwelling spirit, and grant them Thy commendation and approval. In Thy blest name and for Thy sake, we pray. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal for Tuesday, September 18, 1984, will be postponed until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no objection thereto.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence. Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky, have any leaves of absence for the Democratic Party?

The gentleman indicates there are no such requests.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip. Are there any requests for leaves?

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request leave for the gentleman from Washington, Mr. FISCHER, for the day, and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. SALVATORE, for the day.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, and the Chair hears no such objection, the leaves are granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is going to call the master roll call for today. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—194

Afflerbach	Durham	Linton	Richardson
Alderette	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Angstadt	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Rudy
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Ryan
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saloom
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Saurman
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Scheetz
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Semmel
Book	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Geist	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Burns	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miller	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Morris	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	Mrkoncic	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Tiguc
Cohen	Herman	Noye	Trello
Colafella	Hershey	O'Brien	Truman
Cole	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cordisco	Honaman	Olasz	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, J. I.
Dininni	Lehr	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Punt	Zwilk
Donatucci	Letterman	Rappaport	
Dorr	Levi	Reber	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Reinard	Speaker

ADDITIONS—4

Itkin Lucyk Showers Stuban

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Fischer Salvatore Sirianni Spencer

**HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 2447 By Representatives ANGSTADT,
E. Z. TAYLOR, SCHULER, FLICK,
NAHILL, CESSAR, SEMMEL, FARGO,
J. L. WRIGHT, PUNT, HAGARTY,
WASS, HERSHEY, PISTELLA,
SCHEETZ, GODSHALL, MICHLOVIC,
MARMION and BOOK

An Act amending the "Liquor Code," approved April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), imposing enforcement powers and duties on the Attorney General.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, September 19, 1984.

No. 2448 By Representatives WOGAN, SEMMEL and LASHINGER

An Act regulating the practice of plumbing by master plumbers and apprentice plumbers, including business partnerships and corporations; creating the State Board of Plumbing Examiners, with certain powers and duties; prescribing penalties; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2449 By Representatives WAMBACH, CIMINI, BLAUM and HASAY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, changing the definition of "emergency vehicle."

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, September 19, 1984.

No. 2450 By Representatives WAMBACH and MOWERY

An Act designating the bridge across the Susquehanna River between Walnut Street in Harrisburg, Dauphin County to Front Street in Wormleysburg, Cumberland County as the "Peoples' Bridge."

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2451 By Representatives CALTAGIRONE, JAROLIN, WOGAN and PERZEL

An Act providing for gaming machines and small games of chance; establishing the Gaming Commission and providing for its powers and duties; providing for enforcement, the licensing of manufacturers, distributors, vendors and operators, the operation of gaming machines and small games of chance; providing for the disposition of revenues, for local option, for the preemption of certain local taxes; and providing penalties.

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2452 By Representatives KLINGAMAN, HUTCHINSON and DININNI

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, relating to the licensure of persons to operate vehicles registered to ambulance or rescue squads.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, September 19, 1984.

No. 2453 By Representatives F. E. TAYLOR and L. E. SMITH

An Act amending the "Banking Code of 1965," approved November 30, 1965 (P. L. 847, No. 356), providing for the transfer of fiduciary accounts.

Referred to Committee on BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2454 By Representatives SEVENTY, DUFFY, DAWIDA and TRELIO

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Election Code," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), further providing for nominating petitions and affidavits; further providing for certain vacancies in public office; further providing for vacancies in certain elections; further providing for ballot forms; and providing for the duties of magistrates and district justices on primary and election days.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2455 By Representatives LAUGHLIN, COLAFELIA, PRATT, LESCOVITZ and ALDERETTE

An Act amending "The Fourth to Eighth Class County Assessment Law," approved May 21, 1943 (P. L. 571, No. 254), further providing for the values to be used in determining taxability in appeals of assessments.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2456 By Representatives COLE, DAVIES, SALOOM, COY, SHOWERS, G. M. SNYDER, COLAFELIA, FEE, HUTCHINSON, BOOK, ALDERETTE, McCLATCHY, HALUSKA, SCHEETZ, MORRIS, E. Z. TAYLOR, HERSHEY, SWEET, MARKOSEK, SCHULER, RUDY, JACKSON, MOEHLMANN, SEMMEL, GEORGE, PIEVSKY, GALLAGHER, COSLETT, MACKOWSKI, CAPPABIANCA, WASS, PRESTON and DOMBROWSKI

An Act adopting an agreement relating to the Chesapeake Bay; and creating the Chesapeake Bay Commission.

Referred to Committee on FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS, September 19, 1984.

No. 2457 By Representatives PETRARCA, MRKONIC, WARGO, PETRONE,

VAN HORNE, SALOOM, HAYES, GEIST,
MACKOWSKI and COSLETT

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, granting an exemption from real property taxes to veterans who are 100% disabled.

Referred to Committee on MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, September 19, 1984.

No. 2458 By Representatives CORDISCO,
PETRARCA and BALDWIN

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), providing for refunds of taxes paid on the purchase of certain mobile homes.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2459 By Representatives HASAY, LETTERMAN
and GODSHALL

An Act amending "The Game Law," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225, No. 316), providing for the use of a portion of the antlerless deer permit fee.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, September 19, 1984.

No. 2460 By Representatives FREIND, RYAN,
ARTY, CIVERA, DURHAM, FLICK,
GANNON, MICOZZIE, SPITZ and
R. C. WRIGHT

An Act amending the "County Pension Law," approved August 31, 1971 (P. L. 398, No. 96), further providing for interest on member contribution accounts.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2461 By Representatives FREIND, RYAN,
ARTY, CIVERA, DURHAM, FLICK,
GANNON, MICOZZIE, SPITZ and
R. C. WRIGHT

An Act amending the "Second Class County Code," approved July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), further providing for interest on contributions of members.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2462 By Representatives SERAFINI, CAWLEY,
BELARDI, LAUGHLIN, CAPPABIANCA,
COSLETT and MACKOWSKI

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, regulating the disclosure of payment device numbers.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, September 19, 1984.

No. 2463 By Representatives SERAFINI,
CAPPABIANCA, BELARDI, COSLETT,
MACKOWSKI, GEIST and PETRARCA

An Act amending the "State Highway Law," approved June 1, 1945 (P. L. 1242, No. 428), requiring that contracts for projects on heavily traveled highways provide for increased working hours.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, September 19, 1984.

No. 2464 By Representatives SERAFINI, MICOZZIE,
MADIGAN, CAPPABIANCA and
W. W. FOSTER

An Act amending Title 22 (Detectives and Private Police) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, authorizing the appointment of policemen by private residential communities and public utilities.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2465 By Representatives MICOZZIE, CIVERA,
ARTY, FLICK, GANNON, SERAFINI,
BELARDI, R. C. WRIGHT, DURHAM
and DONATUCCI

An Act amending the "Local Tax Collection Law," approved May 25, 1945 (P. L. 1050, No. 394), providing for tax notices of past due amounts.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, September 19, 1984.

No. 2466 By Representatives MICOZZIE, CIVERA,
ARTY, GANNON, SERAFINI, BELARDI,
CAWLEY, R. C. WRIGHT, DURHAM and
DONATUCCI

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), providing for an expanded computation of distance for transported pupils.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, September 19, 1984.

No. 2467 By Representatives ARTY, DURHAM,
MICOZZIE, CIVERA and F. E. TAYLOR

An Act amending the "Municipal Police Pension Law," approved May 29, 1956 (1955 P. L. 1804, No. 600), further providing for contributions to pension funds.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, September 19, 1984.

No. 2468 By Representatives MADIGAN, MORRIS,
GRIECO, HALUSKA, RUDY, SALOOM,
WASS, HERSHEY, FARGO, PHILLIPS
and STUBAN

An Act amending the "Auctioneer and Auction Licensing Act," approved December 22, 1983 (P. L. 327, No. 85), establishing a limit on liability for certain sales by auctioneers.

Referred to Committee on AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS, September 19, 1984.

No. 2469 By Representatives CAPPABIANCA,
AFFLERBACH, F. E. TAYLOR,
O'DONNELL, DUFFY, ITKIN,
VAN HORNE, BOYES, ARTY, POTT,
KOSINSKI, FLICK, PISTELLA,
PETERSON, PRATT, SERAFINI,
PETRARCA, TRELIO, MORRIS,
COLAFELLA, DeLUCA, BELARDI,

D. W. SNYDER, WOGAN, MERRY and
DeWEESE

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), providing for the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Office of Attorney General; creating a Small Business Advisory Board; providing for assessments on public utilities; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS,
September 19, 1984.

No. 2470 By Representatives FREEMAN, GRUPPO,
RYBAK and KOWALYSHYN

An Act establishing the authority of the Department of Environmental Resources to enter into an agreement with the Chain Dam Hydroelectric Associates, a private developer, to lease the State-owned facility, known as the Chain Dam, located in Palmer Township (near the City of Easton) in Northampton County on the Lehigh River.

Referred to Committee on CONSERVATION,
September 19, 1984.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 262 By Representative PICCOLA

Amend Rule 27 of the Rules of the House of Representatives, relating to the reprinting of bills.

Referred to Committee on RULES, September 19, 1984.

No. 263 By Representatives SALVATORE,
WESTON, PERZEL, O'BRIEN and
WOGAN

Memorializing the President and Congress to pass legislation granting American citizenship posthumously on Marine Corporal Wladyslaw Staniszewski.

Referred to Committee on RULES, September 19, 1984.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 939, PN 2184

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT,
September 19, 1984.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Stuban's name will be added to the master roll.

CALENDAR

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1983, PN 3292.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2226, PN 3178**, entitled:

An Act reenacting and amending the "Soil Conservation Law," approved May 15, 1945 (P. L. 547, No. 217), further providing for county boards; and providing for nomination of district directors and for additional duties for the Department of Environmental Resources, the State Conservation Commission and district boards.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2226, PN 3178, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 2028, PN 2756; HB 2116, PN 3177; HB 2245, PN 3249; HB 2251, PN 3299; HB 2308, PN 3217; HB 434, PN 3317; HB 1735, PN 2221; SB 1196, PN 2302; and HB 455, PN 516.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1400, PN 1686**, entitled:

An Act requiring State-related universities and member institutions of the State System of Higher Education to divest themselves of investments in the Republic of South Africa.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1400, PN 1686, be recommitted to the Committee on Finance.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1590, PN 3275**, entitled:

An Act providing a tax credit for donated computer equipment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1590 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1175, PN 1735**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," establishing a State Honors Program for qualified senior students.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 1175 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 975, PN 3442**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), further defining taxable income and permissible deductions for public utilities.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 975 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2171, PN 2966**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Tax Reform Code of 1971," approved March 4, 1971 (P. L. 6, No. 2), providing an increase in the discount rate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2171 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2211, PN 3454**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Urban Mass Transportation Law," approved January 22, 1968 (P. L. 42, No. 8), further providing for grants for transportation.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2211 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2213, PN 3455**, entitled:

An Act amending the "State Lottery Law," approved August 26, 1971 (P. L. 351, No. 91), further providing for the use of funds.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2213 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1892, PN 3319**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pharmacy Act," approved September 27, 1961 (P. L. 1700, No. 699), further providing for per diem for board members.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1892 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1972, PN 2649; HB 225, PN 3316; HB 1220, PN 3174; HB 1437, PN 1749; HB 2010, PN 3175; and HB 2046, PN 2780.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 731, PN 814**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Attorney General for the payment of certain moral claims against the Commonwealth.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 731 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1204, PN 3378; and HB 2125, PN 3380.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1740, PN 2238**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 9, 1936 (Sp. Sess., P. L. 13, No. 4), entitled, as reenacted and amended, "An act imposing an emergency State tax on liquor, as herein defined, sold by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board; providing for the collection and payment of such tax; and imposing duties upon the Department of Revenue and the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board," increasing the rate of tax; and specifying that the increase be used for drug and alcohol programs.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1740, PN 2238, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 2324, PN 3251; HB 450, PN 511; HB 949, PN 3440; HB 950, PN 3441; HB 1258, PN 3444; HB 1259, PN 3445; and HB 1646, PN 3279.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2047, PN 3327**, entitled:

An Act amending "The County Code," approved August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), further regulating flags placed on graves.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2047 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2252**,
PN 3456, entitled:

An Act amending "The General County Assessment Law," approved May 22, 1933 (P. L. 853, No. 155), providing for refunds for errors in assessments.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that **HB 2252** be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2253**,
PN 3457, entitled:

An Act amending the "Third Class County Assessment Board Law," approved June 26, 1931 (P. L. 1379, No. 348), providing for refunds for errors in assessments.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that **HB 2253** be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2254**,
PN 3124, entitled:

An Act amending "The Second Class Township Code," approved May 1, 1933 (P. L. 103, No. 69), further providing for the sale of land to a volunteer fire company, volunteer ambulance service or volunteer rescue squad located within the township; and providing for the sale of land to a redevelopment authority.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that **HB 2254** be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2255**,
PN 3125, entitled:

An Act amending "The First Class Township Code," approved June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), further providing for the sale of land to a volunteer fire company, volunteer ambulance service or volunteer rescue squad located within the township; and providing for the sale of land to a redevelopment authority.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that **HB 2255** be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 2376, PN 3372; and SB 117, PN 2067.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 713**,
PN 1079, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 12, 1943 (P. L. 259, No. 120), entitled, as reenacted and amended, "Foreign Casualty Insurance Premium Tax Allocation Law," requiring treasurers to make payments within 60 days of receipt of State moneys to the pension or retirement fund.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that **SB 713** be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 714, PN 1080**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1895 (P. L. 408, No. 289), entitled, as amended, "Foreign Fire Insurance Premium Tax Allocation Law," requiring treasurers to make payments within 60 days of receipt of the State moneys for relief or pension associations.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 714 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 1385, PN 2284; and HB 2100, PN 3379.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1483, PN 3446**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 19, 1980 (P. L. 15, No. 9), entitled "An act establishing the State Real Estate Commission and providing for the licensing of real estate brokers and salesmen," further providing for civil penalties and for the regulation of promotional land sales.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1483 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1112, PN 1968**, entitled:

An Act regulating the practice of speech-language pathologists, audiologists and teachers of the hearing impaired; creating the State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language and Hearing with certain powers and duties; and prescribing penalties.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 1112 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 1406, PN 2141.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 204, PN 3315**, entitled:

An Act relating to the conducting and operating of small games of chance by nonprofit associations; providing for license fees and their disposition; imposing duties on county treasurers and district attorneys; prescribing penalties; and repealing inconsistent acts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 204, PN 3315, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1834, PN 3246**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Secretary of Public Welfare, to convey to the City of Allentown a parcel of land situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1834, PN 3246, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1875, PN 2453.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2281, PN 3186**, entitled:

An Act designating a dam in Allentown, Lehigh County, as the Samuel W. Frank Memorial Dam.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2281 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2331, PN 3256**, entitled:

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Department of Public Welfare, to convey a tract of land to the Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 5 of Philadelphia, situate in the City and County of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2331 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2195, PN 3033**, entitled:

An Act designating a section of Route 225 in Northumberland County as the "Corporal David William Witmer Memorial Highway."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2195 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

MEMBERS' PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lucyk's; the gentleman, Mr. Showers'; and the gentleman, Mr. Itkin's names will be added to the master roll.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House, as the guest of Representative Belfanti, Mary Ann Baranowski. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And the Chair welcomes Don Flad, Jr., who is here as the guest of Representative Robert Freeman. Welcome to the hall of the House.

ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt from the majority leader of the following additions and deletions for sponsorships of bills, which the clerk will file.

The following list of additions and deletions was submitted:

ADDITIONS:

HB 101, Michlovic; HB 637, Broujos; HB 664, Donatucci; HB 975, Seventy; HB 1130, Arty; HB 1956, Cornell, Baldwin; HB 2037, Belfanti; HB 2153, G. M. Snyder; HB 2251, Seventy; HB 2327, Fischer; HB 2358, Gladeck, DeLuca; HB 2359, Gladeck, DeLuca; HB 2361, Saurman, Salvatore, R. C. Wright; HB 2370, Dawida; HB 2380, Stevens; HB 2392, Salvatore, Herman, E. Z. Taylor, Johnson, Book; HB 2393, Book, Johnson, E. Z. Taylor, DeLuca, Salvatore; HB 2407, Petrone; HB 2424, Lashinger, Angstadt, Alderette; HB 2425, Alderette; HB 2436, McVerry, Book, Herman; HB 2443, Colafella, Angstadt, Alderette; HR 207, Johnson; HR 211, Pitts, Gladeck, Bunt, Godshall, Reinard, Honaman, Levi, Wass, A. C. Foster, Fargo, Davies, Vroon, Herman, Scheetz, Johnson, Cimini.

DELETIONS:

HB 2159, Wogan, Maiale, Salvatore; HB 2251, Greenwood.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 193, PN 3462**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDMENTS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 1329, PN 2235**.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

SB 582, PN 1768

An Act amending the act of May 13, 1915 (P. L. 286, No. 177), entitled, as amended, "Child Labor Law," providing for transferable work permits.

SB 1329, PN 2235

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting multiple filings; defining rate base; and regulating valuation.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 450, PN 2285**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of January 22, 1968 (P. L. 42, No. 8), entitled, as amended, "An act empowering and authorizing the Department of Transportation to establish and administer certain grant programs for the betterment of mass transportation systems and facilities throughout the Commonwealth; providing for State grants to transportation companies, municipalities, counties, or their instrumentalities and to agencies and instrumentalities of the Commonwealth for studies, research, demonstration programs, promotion programs, purchase of service projects, and capital improvement projects under certain conditions; authorizing grants by counties or municipalities in metropolitan areas to local transportation organizations, authorizing the creation of a transportation authority to function in each metropolitan area consisting of any county of the first class and all nearby counties within a radius of twenty miles of any such first class county, as a body corporate and politic for the purpose of establishing an integrated mass transportation system with all pertinent powers including, but not limited to, leasing, acquiring, owning, operating and maintaining a system for, or otherwise providing for, the transportation of persons, authorizing the borrowing of money and issuance of bonds therefor, conferring the right of eminent domain on the authority; altering the jurisdic-

tion of the Public Utility Commission, authorizing the acceptance of grants from Federal, State and local governments, limiting actions against the authority and exempting it from taxation, authorizing counties and municipalities to enter into compacts for the financing of each authority and to make appropriations in accordance with such compacts, creating a citizen advisory committee, conferring exclusive jurisdiction upon certain courts with respect to matters relating to such authority, empowering each authority to function outside of the metropolitan area under certain terms and conditions," further defining "average fare."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Mannmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Telek
Clymer	Hernan	Nahill	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	Noye	Trello
Colafella	Hoeffel	O'Brien	Truman
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Olasz	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Oliver	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pistella	Wogan
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wozniak
Deal	Lashinger	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Punt	Zwilk
Donatucci	Letterman	Rappaport	
Dorr	Levi	Reber	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Reinard	Speaker
Durham	Linton	Richardson	

NAYS—0
NOT VOTING—1

Spitz

EXCUSED—4

Fischer Salvatore Sirianni Spencer

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1309, PN 2003**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1945 (P. L. 1198, No. 418), entitled, as amended, "Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act," providing for the reming of areas previously affected by mining.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Robbins
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Rudy
Angstadt	Fee	McClatchy	Ryan
Armstrong	Flick	McHale	Rybak
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saloom
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Saurman
Barber	Freeman	McVerry	Scheetz
Battisto	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Belardi	Fryer	Madigan	Semmel
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Book	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Michiovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Truman
Cordisco	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Kasunic	Petrarca	Wambach

Coy	Kennedy	Petrone	Wargo
Deluca	Klingaman	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kosinski	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daley	Kukovich	Pistella	Williams
Davies	Lashingier	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Lehr	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Levi	Rappaport	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levin	Reber	
Dorr	Linton	Reinard	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Richardson	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd	Rieger	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Carn Hutchinson Johnson Wright, J. L.

EXCUSED—4

Fischer Salvatore Sirianni Spencer

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1800, PN 3458**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1976 (P. L. 424, No. 101), referred to as the Emergency and Law Enforcement Personnel Death Benefits Act, further providing for benefits.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Rudy
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Ryan
Armstrong	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Baldwin	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Freeman	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freind	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	George	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Michiovic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stevens

Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	Nahill	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	Noye	Tigue
Colafrella	Hoefel	O'Brien	Trello
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Olasz	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Iescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Evans	Livengood		

NAYS—1

Pitts

NOT VOTING—1

Burd

EXCUSED—4

Fischer Salvatore Sirianni Spencer

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Pitts. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote on HB 1800 to the affirmative. I voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED

The House proceeded to **SB 1044, PN 2309**, on final passage postponed, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Public Welfare for legal services.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(A roll-call vote was taken.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The Chair apologizes. The Chair's calendar was incorrectly marked. It was not marked for debate. The Chair has been informed by the distinguished gentleman and former Speaker, Mr. Ryan, that there was to be debate on this. The clerk will strike the vote.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Mark SB 1044 over temporarily. Mark it for debate. It will be voted on later today. Mark it over temporarily and mark it for debate.

RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Page 14. Resolutions. HR 260, PN 3469. Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader. Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman, Mr. Gallagher, would stand for a brief period of interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Gallagher, indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Ryan, is in order.

The House will stand at ease for just a moment. There seems to be again some confusion.

The majority leader suggests that as long as we are going over debatable items that we go over this one until this afternoon. Without objection, mark HR 260 over temporarily.

HR 261. Is there debate on this resolution so we do not get fouled up again?

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I think it is highly likely that on each of the resolutions I am going to have some comments, if you want to mark your calendar to that effect.

The SPEAKER. All right. Thank you very much.

Mark HR 261, HR 264, and HR 265 over temporarily.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I apologize to the Chair. I have no comments on Drug Awareness Month.

The SPEAKER. I think it is better, though, for conformity. We will mark all of them over temporarily.

We do have a very distinguished guest with us, and the Chair has been trying to clear as much of the calendar as possible to give that guest ample time to speak to us.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair would suggest that this is a historic occasion. To the Chair's knowledge, this body has not been so addressed by a Minister of Industry and Trade from Ontario, Canada, before.

We on this continent have been very fortunate in the fact that we have maintained for well over 200 years a peaceful border between Canada and the United States of America. Their people and our people flow easily back and forth without friction, without war. Part of the reason is that we have always been able to talk to each other, and therefore, the

Speaker was delighted to welcome to speak to this General Assembly, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the Minister of Industry and Trade for Ontario, the Honorable Frank S. Miller. He brings with him Michael Perik, Assistant Minister of Industry and Trade; John Dunlap, Agent General; Michael Minnich; David Rodgers; Gene Kolber; Gordon MacNeil; and James Anthony. Welcome to the hall of the House.

MINISTER OF INDUSTRY AND TRADE PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair now takes great pleasure and quite sincere pleasure in inviting to this podium to speak to this assemblage of the representatives of the people of this Commonwealth, the Honorable Frank S. Miller, Minister of Industry and Trade of Ontario.

I give you the Minister of Industry and Trade of Ontario, Frank S. Miller.

HON. FRANK S. MILLER. Mr. Speaker and distinguished members of this House, I have sat here for a few moments watching the order of business and the way you do business, and I have been very impressed. You do it a lot faster than we do. But more importantly, I am particularly honored to address this Assembly and to bring you greetings from my Province and from my Premier. As an elected official myself and a member in our own Parliament, roughly the equivalent of this Assembly, our own rules would not let me do this because nobody but a member may address the House, so I particularly feel honored today to have that privilege, and as your Speaker has said, for the first time insofar as we know has that privilege been accorded.

Now, my purpose in visiting Pennsylvania is really to open a new office to represent the interests of Ontario here, and our objective is a simple one - to increase the ties of trade and investment which already link your State to my Province.

Canada and the United States enjoy the most prolific and profitable trading relationships on Earth. Our country purchases more of your exports than does Japan and most of Europe, and you in turn are by far our most important trading partner. In fact, U.S. sales just to Ontario employ more than 1.6 million Americans. Last year the business community of Pennsylvania sold 1.5 billion dollars' worth of goods and services to the people of Ontario, making our Province one of the largest employers, in effect, in your State, in a rather indirect way. At the same time, over 100 Pennsylvania companies own and operate subsidiaries in Ontario. We want the flow of these investments and trade to multiply in the years ahead, and we are confident that they will do so, thereby serving the best interests of the citizens of both of our regions.

Now, the recent election of a new Federal Government in Canada, a government committed to closer ties with your country, augurs well for the future of our relationship. However, as you know, there is one issue that has threatened to disrupt this relationship; that has been the issue of import restrictions on steel products.

In the past few months I have met with members of Congress to put forward the argument that further trade restrictions on steel between Canada and the U.S. would be bad economic policy for two reasons: first, our exports are not causing problems for your industry; and secondly, these restrictions will hurt the American people and the people of Pennsylvania.

Yesterday your President demonstrated his support for the unique trading relationship between the two countries and rejected the limitations on steel imports recommended by the ITC (International Trade Commission), but other initiatives before Congress give as much concern to us as the ITC proposals. So I would like to present on this chance our arguments against restrictions on steel trade between the countries.

First, that we are not dumping steel on your market is a fact that no reputable person suggests. We maintain an orderly sales practice and we sell at a fair price, and prominent American businessmen, like Lee Iacocca, whose company is one of our largest customers, has publicly declared against steel trade restrictions between Canada and the U.S.

Secondly, a good part of the steel we ship to your State is here to be processed and sent back to Ontario in a more finished form. It would certainly not serve your interests to disrupt that flow of goods.

Third, the Canadian steel industry buys more goods and services from your country than it sells to your markets. For example, our steel industry purchases almost all of its coking coal and much of its iron ore from American mines, many of them in this State.

Fourth, we are your best customer anywhere in the world. We are even your largest export market for steel. So restrictions which diminish our economy reduce your exports.

So if restrictions are imposed on Canadian steel exports, the United States will only succeed in reducing its own production and taking jobs from American miners, manufacturers, and steelworkers.

I will interject in my speech here to say this does not mean we disagree with actions to prevent dumping. We support you on those, and indeed we have the same problem from the same countries in our own land. We are talking specifically about our bilateral arrangements.

My government has abiding faith in the common sense and the fairness of the American people and its governments. We are working hard to put the facts before the decisionmakers in your country in the firm belief that when the nature and value of our trade with you is recognized, nothing will be done to inhibit it. Last year alone it amounted to \$90 billion between the two countries.

Our two nations are among the most prosperous on Earth, in large part because we maintain open economic systems founded on the principle of free enterprise. So the free flow of trade between us is a further expression of our commitment to a system that rewards initiatives and risk. I hope that in the months ahead, our two nations will reaffirm their commitment to an open and free relationship in which trade between us is encouraged.

I want to thank you, Mr. Speaker, and this House for giving me this opportunity.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Minister, we thank you very much for thinking enough about the people of the Commonwealth and the economy of our State to come here to address us. You will be welcome any time you wish to come back, sir. Thank you again.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1078**, **PN 2066**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 31, 1945 (P. L. 1198, No. 418), entitled, as amended, "Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Act," providing for a separation of requirements for license issuance and permit issuance; affecting the license application requirement of liability insurance for water supply restoration or replacement; establishing content requirements of landowner consent forms; providing for public hearings and publication of notice; providing for conservation of reclaimed land; requiring advertisement for bids for reclamation of bond forfeiture areas; creating a Reclamation Advisory Board and establishing its duties; prohibiting certain contracts; requiring contractors to pay workers at a particular rate; and requiring publication of contracts awarded.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The SPEAKER. We are informed that some of the amendments to SB 1078 are not yet ready. Does the majority leader want to offer his amendment now?

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the amendments that are not here to SB 1078, my understanding is, are simple technical, and the bill has to go through the Senate and they can be added over there. I would like to offer this amendment and then move the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MANDERINO offered the following amendments No. A3853:

Amend Title, page 1, line 15, by striking out "and"

Amend Title, page 1, line 16, by inserting after "awarded"
; and providing for publication of regulations

Amend Bill, page 19, lines 7 through 22, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 3, page 19, line 23, by striking out "3" and inserting

2

Amend Bill, page 23, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

Section 3. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 18.8. Publication of Regulations.—All regulations proposed or promulgated by the Environmental Quality Board pursuant to this act or section 15 of the act of October 10, 1980 (P.L. 835, No. 155), entitled "An act amending the act of May 31, 1945 (P.L. 1198, No. 418), entitled, as amended, 'An act providing for the conservation and improvement of land affected in connection with surface mining; regulating such mining; and providing penalties,' adding definitions, providing for permits to conduct certain mining operations, establishing procedures for

making application for permits, providing for the deposit of collateral, further providing for the rule making powers of the Department of Environmental Resources, designating areas unsuitable for surface mining, further providing for mine conservation inspectors superseding certain ordinances, further providing for deposits into the Surface Mining Conservation and Reclamation Fund, changing remedies, imposing additional penalties, creating the Small Operators' Assistance Fund, making an editorial change, exempting the surface mining of anthracite," shall, within ninety (90) days of adoption or proposal, be submitted to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the amendment that I propose to SB 1078 has to do with publication of the regulations adopted by the Environmental Quality Board.

Presently, the Environmental Quality Board adopts regulations which are thereafter promulgated after going through an administrative procedure. Sometimes this administrative procedure is ungodly long, and years—years, literally—after regulations are adopted by the Quality Board they are still not followed or they do not become the law of the land to govern the situation that was covered by the regulation. This requires that the publication of the regulations be made within 90 days, giving 90 days' time for the administrative procedures so that when the regulations are adopted, they will become law and be followed.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, the amendment speaks to one other aspect of the bill, that aspect of the bill that requires that the Secretary of Environmental Resources suspend regulations when the Federal Government suspends parallel regulations covering the same subject matter. Mr. Speaker, I simply keep the law as it is today and say that the Secretary may suspend regulations if the Secretary deems that such suspension following the Federal regulation suspension is in the best interests of the people of the Commonwealth.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the adoption of the Manderino amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Manderino, indicates he will so stand. Mr. Noye is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, the regulations that you are requesting be printed within 90 days, is that on final rule-making? Is that just limited to final rulemaking?

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, that is either on proposed rules or final rules, I am informed.

Publication follows too long a period of time at the present time—in some cases, not in all cases—and it just seems that we need to spur the administrative agencies that look over the regulations for form, et cetera, to do it within 90 days. Elsewise, some of the regulations never get implemented.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I agree with the majority leader that this needs to be done. I can foresee some problems developing in meeting even the 90 days which are proposed, but in the long run, I think it would be a benefit to the members who follow those issues before the EQB to have this done, and I would support his amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass, on the amendment.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Manderino, indicates he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Wass is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, coming from Indiana County, where we have approximately 40,000 acres of reclamation lands, I have a deep concern about anything in this legislation that would nullify or suppress the ambitions of the coal companies to get involved in the reclamation of our lands.

I want to pose the question, if you find it necessary for additional regulations beyond the regulations that are now established, whether additional regulations are truly needed in SB 1078?

Mr. MANDERINO. I do not understand your question, Mr. Speaker. I am not sure— Are you asking me whether I think SB 1078 is strong enough?

Mr. WASS. Yes.

Mr. MANDERINO. I would like to see it stronger, frankly, but this is what the committee has come out with. I understand it was subject to a working of the committee, and I am willing to live with it as a good piece of legislation. That really has nothing to do with my amendment, but that is the opinion that I would offer.

Mr. WASS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman finished with his interrogation?

Mr. WASS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, wish to be recognized now?

Mr. LLOYD. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. LLOYD. I would like to interrogate the majority leader.

The SPEAKER. The majority leader indicates he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Lloyd, you may proceed.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I am concerned with the portion of the amendment which would strike from the bill on page 19 lines 7 through 22, and I would like to understand the difference between the way the procedure would work under the bill as opposed to how it would work under the amendment. It is my understanding that under the bill, if the Federal Government were to drop regulations that it has required and if Pennsylvania's Secretary of Environmental Resources were to decide that the only reason we had those regulations in our State was because we had to have them and that there is really no particularly strong reason, they really are not relevant to Pennsylvania at all—

Mr. MANDERINO. Then he, under my amendment, would have the power to suspend such.

Mr. LLOYD. Okay. But under the bill he must suspend that, and that is the difference between your amendment and the bill. Is that correct?

Mr. MANDERINO. That is exactly right.

Mr. LLOYD. But under the bill, even though the Secretary would act to suspend, that would still be subject to subsequent review and action by the Environmental Quality Board which could reinstitute that regulation, would it not?

Mr. MANDERINO. Yes, but that is a procedure that seems to me is unnecessary and we can shortcut the procedure.

You know, to give the Secretary of Environmental Resources the power to decide whether or not that regulation was adopted only to comply with Federal law is a very subjective determination.

Mr. LLOYD. Well, I guess, Mr. Speaker, my concern is that the amendment has been explained as a way to shorten the process, and it seems to me that there is a real risk that it is going to lengthen the process.

Mr. MANDERINO. I do not believe it will lengthen the process. The attempt is to shorten the process, not only shorten the process but not to suspend just because the Federal Government suspends a regulation.

Mr. LLOYD. Well, Mr. Speaker, would I not be correct that under the bill without the amendment, if the Secretary were to decide that this is a requirement that may make sense in Wyoming but does not make any sense in Pennsylvania, he could suspend that regulation and it would then not be applicable unless the EQB reinstated it, whereas under your amendment he would have to go through this procedure that you outlined in order to suspend that, and so the length of time that it would take to get it suspended would be longer under the amendment than under the bill.

Mr. MANDERINO. Well, you know, I do not see it that way. I see it as allowing the environmental laws of Pennsylvania and the regulations under the environmental laws that have been enforced and have been lived by over the years to remain in effect as Pennsylvania's laws until someone in this State, and not at the Federal level, decides to suspend it.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George, on the amendment.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am in agreement with the majority leader in that it could be quite possible that the Federal arm would suspend a regulation that we in Pennsylvania as legislators might believe is too important to suspend and we would want to add that additional protection. That is why I think we ought to support Mr. Manderino's amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise asking everyone for an affirmative vote. I believe that this piece of legislation is one of the most important steps that this House of Representatives has taken to enhance the beauty of our land, to bring back what I consider the blight that has been left over a 50-year period. Without this piece of legislation, the coal-stripping people will never touch land that has already been destroyed. With this piece of legislation, they can now start to go in on land that has already been degraded and they can start to clean this land up.

I hope that all of you will give us an affirmative vote, and I look for a much stronger piece of legislation coming sometime in January or February to make it even more feasible for them to go in and help clean up the damage that has already been made. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could go over this amendment until we get back to the bill when we take up the other amendments?

The SPEAKER. The request has been made by the majority leader that we take care of this amendment now. The Chair would suggest to Mr. Wass that if he wishes to speak against the amendment or for the amendment, the Chair will recognize him, but the Chair is not going to delay any further.

MOTION TO PLACE BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese, on the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. DeWEESE. I move that we pass over this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Your motion is to put the bill, together with the amendment, on third consideration postponed.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that motion, the majority leader is recognized.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I ask for a negative vote.

The SPEAKER. On the motion, those in favor of placing the bill together with the amendment on third consideration postponed will vote "aye"; those opposed, "no."

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—14

Cawley	Godshall	Michlovic	Pitts
DeWeese	Hasay	Mowery	Wass
Donatucci	Lloyd	Piccola	Wright, D. R.
Flick	McIntyre		

NAYS—176

Afflerbach	Durham	Levi	Robbins
Alderette	Evans	Levin	Rudy
Angstadt	Fargo	Linton	Ryan
Armstrong	Fattah	Livengood	Rybak

Arty	Fee	Lucyk	Saloom
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McHale	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	McMonagle	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Broujos	George	Marmion	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Merry	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Olasz	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	Perzel	Van Horne
Cordisico	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Pistella	Weston
Deluca	Kennedy	Pott	Wiggins
DeVertter	Klingaman	Pratt	Williams
Daley	Kosinski	Preston	Wogan
Davies	Kowalshyn	Punt	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lashinger	Reber	Zwilk
Dininni	Laughlin	Reinard	
Dombrowski	Lehr	Richardson	Irvis,
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rieger	Speaker
Duffy	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—8

Deal	Miller	Pievsky	Wilson
McVerry	Mrkonic	Spitz	Wright, R. C.

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, I rise to oppose the amendment. My reading of the bill is that the Secretary of Environmental Resources is only going to be empowered to suspend a regulation that was adopted solely for the purpose of complying with Federal law. It seems to me that this amendment is going to, as Mr. Lloyd said, prolong the regulatory process rather than accomplish the objective of the bill, which is to speed it up. If this regulation was only adopted for the purpose of complying with Federal law and it is now found by the Secretary that there is no longer a Federal mandate to have that regulation, then I think that regulation should be suspended, and if in fact we need that regulation in Pennsylvania, let the Secretary come

back to the EQB, propose it, let it be published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin, and let us follow the EQB procedures. Let us not take a bill that was designed to short-circuit the regulatory process because of Federal law and impose some new requirement.

I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Sweet.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader on the amendment.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, most of the regulations in the area that we are talking about were adopted—most of the regulations were adopted—to meet Federal primacy requirements, which means most of our regulations in the area of the mining that we are dealing with in this bill were adopted for that reason. Does that mean that every one of those, any time the Federal Government suspends the same, any one of them, we will have no regulation in Pennsylvania dealing with that subject matter? That is what you are doing if you have an automatic suspension. There is not going to be a decision on anyone's part in Pennsylvania on whether or not that regulation is good or is bad for the mining industry in Pennsylvania. All I am simply saying is, let somebody be accountable for the decision that it be suspended in Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Ryan
Arty	Flick	McHale	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Semmel
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Colafella	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Truman
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wargo
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wass

DeLuca	Kasunic	Phillips	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daley	Klingaman	Pistella	Williams
Davies	Kosinski	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Kukovich	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwikl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	
Dorr	Levin	Reinard	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Richardson	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—7

Cawley	Gruitza	Lloyd	Sweet
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Piccola	

NOT VOTING—4

Levi	Mrkonic	Spitz	Wright, R. C.
------	---------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Are the gentleman, Mr. George, and the gentleman, Mr. Baldwin, content to withdraw their amendments at this time? Mr. Baldwin has his amendment ready? Is the gentleman, Mr. George, going to wait for his amendment or withdraw it? Withdraw it.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BALDWIN offered the following amendment No. A4040:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 3.1), page 6, line 24, by striking out "experimental test burns" and inserting "burning"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Baldwin.

Mr. BALDWIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment refers to a specific clause in the bill that is designed to prohibit the issuance of a permit for underground burning of anthracite coal. The language in the bill as it stands now prohibits underground experimental test burns, and there is some concern that that leaves a loophole that it could be argued that it is not an experimental test burn. So my amendment would just clean up the language and make it clear that it prohibits all underground burning of anthracite coal.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucy	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Semmel
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	O'Donnell	Trello
Colafella	Hoefel	Olasz	Truman
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Speaker
Durham	Linton		

NAYS—2

McVerry	Wright, J. L.
---------	---------------

NOT VOTING—4

Cornell	Itkin	Miller	Spitz
---------	-------	--------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—195

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Rieger
Alderette	Fargo	Lucy	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Ryan
Arty	Flick	McHale	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Semmel
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Seventy
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Michlovic	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Hershey	O'Brien	Trello
Colafella	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Truman
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Dawida	Lashinger	Pott	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Reber	
Dorr	Levin	Reinard	Irvis,
Duffy	Livengood	Richardson	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Itkin	Linton	Spitz
-------	--------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1044 RESUMED

On the question recurring, Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. On final passage, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, the minority caucus chairman, Mr. Noye, advises me that we did not caucus on this particular bill, so I think it appropriate that some mention be made as to what it is.

What this bill would propose to do would be to make available to legal services the sum of \$1 million. Now, the bill, when it was originally introduced, had a provision for \$2 million. It was amended down to the sum of \$1 million.

Now, most everybody knows how I feel about legal services.

The SPEAKER. Would you care to elaborate?

Mr. RYAN. No; I would just ask the Speaker to pull out of the record over the past 22 years my various debates on the subject. However, I am mellowing, perhaps, in my old age, and after a number of conferences, although I intend to vote "no" on this particular bill, I would say that if my switch could be light red or light green, I probably would have been in one of those directions.

The purpose of my comments at this time is really to inform our caucus as to just what is before them at this time, because we did not cover it, evidently, in caucus. The bill as you see it on your calendar, I believe, says it is \$2 million. It was reduced to \$1 million by amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to hear the debate on the part of his distinguished friend and a former Speaker, but is it not amazing that the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, considers that he is mellowing when Senator Tilghman suggests \$2 million, and he is mellowing because he cuts Tilghman by \$1 million? If that is the kind of mellowing he is doing, Tilghman better watch out for his reputation.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Tilghman is older than I am, and I have not had an opportunity to mellow as much as he.

The SPEAKER. Mellow away.

On the question recurring, Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—164

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (164 total). Includes names like Afflerbach, Duffy, Lucyk, Rieger, Alderette, Durham, McCall, Robbins, etc.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (34 total). Includes names like Burns, Grieco, Misceovich, Stuban, Caltagirone, Gruitza, Moehlmann, Sweet, etc.

NAYS—34

Table listing names of members who voted 'NOT VOTING' (0 total). Includes names like Armstrong, Geist, McClatchy, Saurman, Bowser, Gladeck, Mackowski, Scheetz, etc.

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (4 total). Includes Fischer, Salvatore, Sirianni, Spencer.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

RESOLUTIONS

Mr. GALLAGHER called up HR 260, PN 3469, entitled:

Amending House Resolution No. 257, adopted June 28, 1984, entitled "A resolution appointing a select committee to investigate the circumstances surrounding the need for Limerick Unit II Nuclear Generating Station proposed by the Philadelphia Electric Company and the potential impact on residential and industrial ratepayers if it is completed," authorizing the committee to hire a staff and assistants; and providing for payment of their expenses and the committee's expenses.

On the question, Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Gallagher.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Gallagher, explain briefly HR 260. The Chair intends to ask each sponsor to make this explanation to speed things up.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

HR 260 amends HR 257, which we adopted on June 28. Unfortunately, when the resolution was drafted, we forgot to put in the language to authorize the select committee appointed by the Speaker to engage such staff and assistants as it deems necessary and set the maximum amount of fees. What HR 260 does is authorize the select committee appointed by the Speaker after this original resolution was adopted unanimously by the House to hire such staff and assistants as it deems necessary, and fees and expenses thereof are to be paid from the accounts under the control of the Chief Clerk not to exceed \$25,000. That is what the amendment does, Mr. Speaker, add staffing.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman advise us as to whether or not a decision has been made with respect to hiring staff?

Mr. GALLAGHER. The select committee has met twice. We interviewed one person; the second one was interviewed last Friday. The second interview, the second person as a consultant to the committee, was tentatively hired based upon hoping that this amendment to the resolution is adopted.

Mr. RYAN. And who was hired?

Mr. GALLAGHER. The Mark Widoff firm of Harrisburg.

Mr. RYAN. And who is Mark Widoff?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mark Widoff is an attorney. He is a former Consumer Advocate, the first Consumer Advocate in Pennsylvania. He has a firm of three other attorneys and other staff. He has done work in representing the consumer before the Public Utility Commission, and particularly in cases mattering PECO (Philadelphia Electric Company) as well as other gas and oil utilities around the State. That is part of his record.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Widoff also was with the Department of Education during the Shapp administration. Is that correct?

Mr. GALLAGHER. I am sorry, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. RYAN. Was he with the Shapp administration's Department of Education? I am really seeking information on that.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Yes. He was a deputy attorney general assigned to the Department of Education and worked with the House and Senate on the recodification of the School Code many years ago. He most recently was engaged by Senator Frank O'Connell for a select committee in the Senate to do a water problem over there. So he is not just a Democrat—I do not even know what his registration is—but because he was engaged under the Shapp administration does not follow that he was just a Shapp person when Senator O'Connell hired him. He was engaged tentatively by the select committee without any objection. I would say it was under unanimous approval that we engaged the Widoff firm.

Mr. RYAN. Did Mr. Widoff's law firm give you an estimate of its cost or a contract as to how much its costs would be?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Yes, he did, Mr. Speaker, just like the other union associates do, and his amount was \$60 an hour with an estimate of a maximum of \$15,000 with out-of-pocket expenses and travel and copying material, et cetera.

Mr. RYAN. And if his estimate is \$15,000, why is this resolution \$25,000?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Well, Mr. Speaker, this is what the committee has decided. We thought that at least \$25,000 would be adequate, because if we hired the other firm, they were at \$24,000, and they are an out-of-State firm and they are not in the best interests of the Commonwealth, we do not think. But if we did not go for the figure of \$25,000, we would have been running out of money. The committee might need additional staff beyond the Widoff firm, and if we do not use it, it will be returned back to the Chief Clerk.

Mr. RYAN. It is my understanding, Mr. Speaker—perhaps you can correct me if I am wrong—that the only anticipated expenditures you have are with the Widoff firm, which, by contract, has capped its expenses at approximately \$15,000. The sum of \$25,000 is in the resolution, which I think is high, but that is not all that important for the purpose of my debate.

The question I would like answered now, Mr. Speaker, is, when will your committee have a report back to this General Assembly?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, by resolution, we have to make a report to the full House, and in the resolution it says that the select committee report back as soon as the study and investigation are completed together with its findings and recommendations for legislation. It does give us the time frame that we are to do this before the end of the term without being written in there. The last resolve says, "...That the select committee report back as soon as its study and investigation are completed together with its findings and recommendations for legislation," period. That is the resolution.

Mr. RYAN. Now, my question to you is, when do you expect to be able to make that report to the full House now that we are going to spend \$25,000 with probably 8 or 10 legislative days left in this session?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, we expect to have it as soon as possible. We are planning on a meeting either next week or the following week in Coatesville and then possibly in Limerick itself, and then there are recommendations from the committee members to hold hearings in Bucks County and in Philadelphia. We hope to have it ready for after election at least. We should be back in session after election. We hope to have a report.

Mr. RYAN. So is it your best judgment that your committee, after spending this \$25,000, will be in a position to report back to the House while we still have sufficient legislative days in both the House and the Senate to pass any recommendations you might make?

Mr. GALLAGHER. We anticipate to do it that way. We hope to do it that way and hope that everything will work out so that it will be back in time for us, the committee, to make a report to the House with recommendations of legislation that the committee finds necessary.

Mr. RYAN. Well, I understand that, but will it be in time to do something this session?

Mr. GALLAGHER. It is our hope that it will be in time to do it for this session, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. RYAN. And last, it is my understanding from the resolution that you are really looking to see whether or not the Limerick II generating station is needed and the impact it would have on residential and commercial users. Why, under those circumstances, would you need a lawyer as your staff person instead of a research-type person? Why do you need a lawyer to determine that?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Mr. Speaker, the committee needs a consultant, whether he be a lawyer or a lay person, who is familiar with the utilities, with the electric companies, and with the water companies and gas companies, who is familiar with the PUC and familiar with PECO in particular, whether it is a lawyer or a lay person, as long as they can provide us with the consultation that is needed to the committee so the committee can select and request the proper information from the utilities and from the Public Utility Commission so that we can make a cogent report to the House.

We not only have to look at Limerick II; the select committee is supposed to pay particular attention to, one, the potential impact on ratepayers - consumers and industries - located within the utility service area; two, the potential alternative sources of electric energy to that service area. So there are two different big items that we have to look into - the impact on the ratepayers - consumers and industries - and as far as is there some other method of alternative services. We have Lukens Steel that is looking for cogeneration; we have Scott Paper that is looking for cogeneration; we have U.S. Steel in Bucks County looking for alternative electric energy to run their mills. We are looking for expanding the service area so that PP&L could come in there and help Lukens, and that is what Lukens was looking for, but they were turned down on that. There are many things that we can look into.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I am truly amazed at the confidence this gentleman has, after having gone through that long list, that this select committee is going to be able to make a study of all of these important things, do all of this, despite the fact that I guess the PUC, the Nuclear Energy Commission, and God knows how many other governmental agencies have looked into it, but this select committee is going to make all of these determinations, do it while they are campaigning vigorously for reelection, and have this information back to us prior to our adjournment; in fact, in enough time for us to have legislation suggested by it, if necessary, in time to pass both the House and the Senate. Now, it is a politically hot football—the whole Limerick thing—in the southeastern part of Pennsylvania as well as the rest of the State, I suppose.

I am going to vote “no” on this because I do not happen to believe this committee can do anything along the scope that Mr. Gallagher has just described for \$15,000 or \$20,000 - do a decent job looking into cogeneration, looking into Limerick I, Limerick II, how it affects commercial users, how it affects residential users, do all of these wonderful things really within

the next 6 or 8 weeks while campaigning at the same time, and I mean that literally, campaigning at the same time. I rather suspect that that is the principal purpose of the committee. But I am voting “no” for that reason, because I do not have confidence that we are going to get our money’s worth; not that it is not an important issue. It is an important issue. I am not going to suggest that anyone follow me on my vote. I think you have to look to your own situation. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Are you certain, Mr. Gallagher, that you want to speak again?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Just briefly, to let Mr. Ryan know that we are going to diligently apply ourselves to provide the information needed to the General Assembly. Mr. Ryan indicated that he thinks it is a political football. It is a shame that he says that, because the prime sponsor is one of his members and I do not think he is using that as a political football.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, that is exactly right. The prime sponsor is one of our members, but the leader and the person calling the shots here is the majority leader. My information is that Mr. Widoff was picked by the majority leader, for whatever reasons I do not know. My further information is that members of our committee were told if Widoff was not hired, no one would be hired.

Mr. MANDERINO. Your information stinks.

Mr. RYAN. He is not mellowing with age at all, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. No mellowing there.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Greenwood.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, I am asking for a “yes” vote on this resolution.

The Limerick Nuclear Generating Station has been described by Lieutenant Governor Scranton as the most important economic issue facing southeastern Pennsylvania. We are talking here about the further investment of billions of dollars into the rate base which is going to increase the electric rates of every consumer in PECO’s service area—and we are talking about Philadelphia, Montgomery County, Bucks County, Chester County, et cetera—by 50 percent over the next few years, and the request in this resolution for a maximum and only up to a maximum of \$25,000 to study over the next 2 months the prudence of investing three or four more billion dollars into a plant that is of very dubious need, I think, is hardly extravagant. We are looking into not only the costs to the ratepayers but we are looking into the impacts on employment of some of the largest manufacturers in that area.

I think this committee is working very hard. We have already done some good work; we have some good work ahead of us to do. As far as our time limit, as far as I am concerned, if we can come up with some recommendations, some useful recommendations, for legislation by the end of this session that can be introduced in the next session that have to

do with the way public utilities are regulated in general, I think this will be a very worthwhile use of funds and time and energy and well worth our efforts. So I would strongly ask for all of the members to support the resolution, and particularly ask those members who live and represent ratepayers in the PECO service area to support us in this effort. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wright.

Mr. J. L. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I am amazed, utterly amazed, that the minority leader questions the ability of a Bucks County legislator. As a matter of fact, I am shockingly amazed. As a matter of fact, there are two Bucks County legislators on that committee, and their expertise and their ability is so great that they do not need any money to do the job and they will still get it done on time. So I would recommend that we save the \$25,000 and rely upon the well-known expertise of legislators from Bucks County.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Following that sort of logic, it would indicate that the people of the Commonwealth are wasting their money by paying the Bucks County Representatives because they are so good that they can do this job without any money. I suspect that the logic will come short of that conclusion.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, sometimes I think we are wasting our money on all of us.

The SPEAKER. No comment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—129

Afflerbach	Evans	McClatchy	Saloom
Alderette	Fattah	McHale	Seventy
Angstadt	Fee	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Freeman	McMonagle	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fryer	Maiale	Snyder, G. M.
Belardi	Gallagher	Manderino	Steighner
Belfanti	Gamble	Manmiller	Stewart
Blaum	George	Mayernik	Suban
Broujos	Greenwood	Michlovic	Sweet
Bunt	Grieco	Miscevich	Taylor, E. Z.
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mrkonic	Tigue
Carr	Harper	Murphy	Trello
Cawley	Hasay	Nahill	Truman
Cimini	Hershey	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Clark	Hoeffel	Olasz	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson	Oliver	Wachob
Colafella	Itkin	Perzel	Wambach
Cole	Jarolin	Petrarea	Wargo
Cordisco	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
Cornell	Kosinski	Phillips	Weston
Cowell	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Wiggins
Coy	Kukovich	Pievsky	Williams
Deluca	Lashinger	Pistella	Wilson
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pratt	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Preston	Wozniak
Davies	Letterman	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Levin	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Deal	Linton	Reinard	Zwinkl
Dininni	Livengood	Richardson	
Dombrowski	Lloyd	Rieger	Irvis,
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rudy	Speaker
Duffy	McCall	Rybak	

NAYS—65

Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Klingaman	Pott
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Lehr	Punt
Book	Freind	Levi	Robbins
Bowser	Gallen	McVerry	Ryan
Boyes	Gannon	Mackowski	Saurman
Brandt	Geist	Madigan	Scheetz
Burd	Gladeck	Markosek	Schuler
Cessar	Godshall	Marmion	Semmel
Civera	Gruppo	Merry	Serafini
Clymer	Hagarty	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Coslett	Hayes	Moehimann	Snyder, D. W.
DeVerter	Herman	Mowery	Stairs
Dietz	Honaman	Noye	Stevens
Dorr	Jackson	O'Brien	Swift
Durham	Johnson	Peterson	Telek
Fargo	Kennedy	Pitts	Wright, J. L.
Flick			

NOT VOTING—4

Baldwin	Cappabianca	Miller	Spitz
---------	-------------	--------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. KOSINSKI called up **HR 261, PN 3470**, entitled:

Creating a Special Investigative Lottery Fund Task Force.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Kosinski.

Mr. KOSINSKI. Mr. Speaker, what this resolution does is extend the life of the special investigative committee on HR 238 from the end of September until November 12.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, the problem I have with all of these special committees that are out on the field right now, I think, is very apparent. Number one, we really are not doing credit to our committee system and to the staff that we have on board on a 12-month basis when we create a lot of these special committees. I am not suggesting that that is the case with this particular committee; I am just saying it as a general statement.

We, back in June, I suspect—I believe it was in June—passed the resolution with respect to the committee that the gentleman is interested in, the idea being that if they could find something over the summer months that was going to require legislation, they would be back to us in early or late September and we would have some time to address the issue prior to our adjournment. Now we again are being requested to extend the life of a committee for an additional month or 6 weeks, whatever it was, I did not hear the exact date; November 20, which is 10 days before we adjourn under the Constitution. No one seriously expects that we are going to accomplish anything after that November date of November 12. I do not believe it is realistic to think that we will get any legislation into law through the House and the Senate that late in the leg-

islative term. It is just a general feeling I have that this whole use of special committees is being abused.

I am going to vote for this because it is going to pass anyway and it probably needs an extension of time to be done, but I think we all should look more closely at these special committees.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, the House, in its wisdom, established the committee. The chairman of the committee is before us saying he needs additional time to complete the work of the committee. It is not necessary and I am sure that most of the committees that are reporting in the month of November and in the month of October do not expect that their reports recommending legislation will be implemented in legislation in this session. That does not say that the reports that they file with the House and with the Chief Clerk will not be of value and use in the next session of the Assembly.

I ask for an affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Kosinski.

Mr. KOSINSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just to allay some of the fears of Mr. Ryan, the committee was not established until the end of July. Due to vacations of members of the committee, we were not able to have a full meeting until the end of August. At that time we had staff on board, and I must say the staff members we have presently are doing a very good job in researching. Someone said that we have had two committee meetings already, and we have planned two more - one on September 27 and another on October 3. We are doing our work. We do hope to have a report, and if the recommendations are not going to be used in this session, I hope to be around next session to introduce some of the findings of that committee report. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Afflerbach	Duffy	Levin	Rieger
Alderette	Durham	Linton	Robbins
Angstadt	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Armstrong	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Arty	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Baldwin	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Barber	Flick	McClatchy	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Scheetz
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Schuler
Belfanti	Freeman	McMonagle	Semmel
Blaum	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Book	Fryer	Mackowski	Seventy
Bowser	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Boyes	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Gannon	Markosek	Snyder, G. M.
Bunt	Geist	Marmion	Stairs
Burd	George	Mayermik	Steighner
Burns	Godshall	Merry	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Michlovic	Stewart

Cappabianca	Grieco	Micozzie	Stuban
Carn	Gruitza	Miller	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Miscevich	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Haluska	Mowery	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Harper	Mrkonic	Telek
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Tigue
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Trello
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Truman
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Vroon
Cordisco	Honaman	Oliver	Wachob
Cornell	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wambach
Coslett	Itkin	Peterson	Wargo
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wass
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Weston
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Williams
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wilson
Daey	Kosinski	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deal	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Irvis,
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—5

Gladeck	Noye	Piccola	Snyder, D. W.
Moehlmann			

NOT VOTING—3

Jackson	Madigan	Spitz
---------	---------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. MAYERNIK called up **HR 264, PN 3471**, entitled:

Urging the Governor to declare October as "Drug Awareness Month."

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Mayernik.

Mr. MAYERNIK. This would declare the month of October as Drug Awareness Month. I have been approached by several of the Chemical People in my area, and they have asked to have this recognition. It also coincides with Allegheny County proclaiming the month of October as Drug Awareness Month. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Durham	Linton	Richardson
Alderette	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Angstadt	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Armstrong	Fattah	Lucyk	Rudy
Arty	Fee	McCall	Ryan

Baldwin	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Schuler
Blaum	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Book	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Bowser	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Brandt	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Broujos	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bunt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Burd	George	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Caltagirone	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Carn	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Cawley	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Sweet
Civera	Haluska	Morris	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	Murphy	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	Nahill	Tigue
Cole	Hoeffel	Noye	Trello
Cordisco	Honaman	O'Donnell	Truman
Cornell	Hutchinson	Olasz	Van Horne
Coslett	Itkin	Oliver	Vroon
Cowell	Jackson	Perzel	Wachob
Coy	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Deluca	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeVertter	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Phillips	Weston
Daley	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
Davies	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wilson
Deal	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pott	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Punt	Zwinkl
Dorr	Letterman	Rappaport	
Duffy	Levi	Reber	Irvis,
	Levin	Reinard	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Harper	O'Brien	Spitz	Wright, J. L.
--------	---------	-------	---------------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. LINTON called up **HR 265, PN 3472**, entitled:

Amending House Resolution No. 227, adopted May 22, 1984, entitled "A resolution providing for the establishment of a select committee to conduct an investigation to ascertain the degree to which minority and women-owned businesses have been utilized in contracts awarded by State agencies and to determine if State agencies are conducting effective contract compliance reviews," giving the committee subpoena powers.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Linton.

Mr. LINTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HR 265, in short, just asks that the House grant the select committee that was appointed as a result of HR 227 subpoena power to allow us, as a last resort, to request information from various agencies and departments which we have not been able to receive at this point. I am just asking, Mr. Speaker, for an affirmative vote on that resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Hagarty.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, may I request permission to interrogate the maker of the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order, and the gentleman, Mr. Linton, indicates he will so stand. The lady may proceed.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Mr. Speaker, what information, specifically, have you not received that you believe you need subpoena power to receive at this time?

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the committee, if you recall, on July 12 we sent a letter to 25 State agencies requesting data, upstart data, statistical data, to give the committee startup information to begin our investigation. To date, we have not received information from 9 of those 25 agencies, and of the information that we received from the remaining of those agencies, all is not in the nature of the request.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, after we have been out on the road conducting some of the hearings, there is now a need to request some specific information relating to inquiries that were made by many of the public members of our Commonwealth who have addressed concerns related to the investigation of the select committee. That is the information that we will need to request in the short period of time that is now remaining.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Mr. Speaker, is it your intention to complete this investigation so that legislative action can be taken in this session?

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, as was stated earlier in previous debate, it is my intention to have a report as was required by the resolution which was passed in the House on May 22, which indicated that we must make recommendations and report within 6 months of the passage of that resolution. That is what we are attempting to do. I am hoping that we can get the data that we need in order to comply with the request of the House resolution.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Mr. Speaker, am I then correct in assuming that you are not going to attempt to take legislative action this session as a result of this investigation?

Mr. LINTON. Mr. Speaker, once again, as was indicated in previous debate, very often this House has had various investigative committees set up to do investigations and sometimes those reports were not done in a timely fashion or timely enough in order to get legislation passed through both the House and the Senate. It is my expectation that we make recommendations that we can use in future dealings with legislation related to this issue.

Mrs. HAGARTY. Thank you.

May I address the resolution, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The lady is in order and may proceed.

Mrs. HAGARTY. This committee was impaneled on May 22 to investigate the degree to which minority and women-owned businesses have been utilized in contracts awarded by State agencies. The chairman of the committee delayed any action until July 12, a delay of almost 2 months, at which time he sent a letter to 24 State agencies requesting a tremendous amount of information contained in 10 points and permitting them 10 working days to respond. This was not done by the committee, rather by the chairman and his staff.

To explain to this House the information that was requested, let me tell you that the chairman requested from every State agency and every department every contract that has been awarded in the past 10 years, the geographical location for performance of that contract, the name of the contractor, whether the contractor was a minority or a woman, the contact person, and the amount of the contract. To further give this House an idea of what is involved in complying with this information, I can tell you that one of the agencies that has not responded has informed us—the Housing Finance Agency—that since August they have had one staff person assigned to complete this project. This information is not computerized in most of the agencies or departments.

To give you a further idea of what is involved in this effort, the chairman also requested all information on sole-source contracts. They were advised the Department of General Services had 44 file drawers of sole-source contracts, and they were then invited to come and review the 44 file drawers.

The agencies have attempted, and I think have made a serious effort, and the departments, to comply with the requests that have been made. To date, 10 of the 13 departments have complied. It is my understanding that three are further committed to responding. Out of the 5 of the 10 agencies that have not responded, we know that the Attorney General's Office is responding; we have information that Housing Finance is working on this and that a tremendous amount of time and effort is currently being made by all of the agencies and all of the departments which have not yet responded to do so.

A meeting was held on August 21, a month and a week later, for the first time to discuss the information that was needed. It was determined at that time that we would determine what information we received from the agencies and meet again to decide what further information was necessary. A second letter was sent requesting the same information, and the response that I have indicated was forthcoming.

To date, after all of this time, Mr. Speaker, the committee has never been called to discuss or evaluate the information received in preparing to come before this House to ask for this subpoena power. The response of the chairman to this request has been that we will meet next week after he has received subpoena power, to evaluate the information he has received. My question is, how can you request subpoena power when you do not yet know what information has been provided, when

the committee as a whole has not had an opportunity to meet and decide what information is still relevant?

Mr. Speaker, when I asked Mr. Linton the same question at the committee meeting yesterday as to what information he wanted, he informed me that he had not been provided with certain reports by the Office of Minority Business Information in the Department of Commerce. When we questioned the Department of Commerce, it was determined that no formal request has even been made for that information.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the chairman has failed to prove his case that subpoena power is necessary. Subpoena is a serious and important legal process. There is no need for it in this case. We should wait to determine and evaluate the information that has been received to determine what further relevant information is necessary. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Stevens.

Mr. STEVENS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I ask a question of Representative Linton?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order. Mr. Linton indicates that he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Stevens, you may proceed.

Mr. STEVENS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Before I could vote on this proposal to have subpoena power, I was wondering if you could just generally tell me exactly what the goal of this report is. What is it trying to accomplish?

Mr. LINTON. Sure.

As the resolution indicated, the effort was to determine the degree in which minority and women-owned businesses have in fact been utilized by State agencies, and also as indicated in the resolution, it is required to evaluate the effectiveness of our departments to in fact monitor contract compliance. It is my hope that after conducting the hearings, the report that we will provide to the House would reflect on those two areas.

Mr. STEVENS. So this basically deals with the concept of affirmative action.

Mr. LINTON. No; that is not just a concept of affirmative action, sir.

Mr. STEVENS. Is the concept of affirmative action part of this committee's consideration in looking at minority-owned businesses and women-owned businesses and how they get the State contracts or how many they get or so on?

Mr. LINTON. Sir, as I indicated to you that—

The SPEAKER. Will both gentlemen yield.

Mr. Stevens, you are going to have to relate your questions to the question on the floor, not what the general attitude of the committee may be or may not be or what its general powers are, but the need for or the lack of need for subpoena powers. Limit yourself to those questions.

Mr. STEVENS. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That is all I have.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—105

Afflerbach	Dorr	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Duffy	Lucyk	Rybak
Angstadt	Evans	McCall	Saloom
Barber	Fattah	McHale	Seventy
Battisto	Fee	McIntyre	Steighner
Belardi	Freeman	McMonagle	Stewart
Belfanti	Fryer	Maiale	Stuban
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Sweet
Broujos	Gamble	Markosek	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	George	Mayernik	Telek
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Michlovic	Tigue
Carn	Haluska	Miscevich	Trello
Cawley	Harper	Morris	Truman
Clark	Hoeffel	Mrkonic	Van Horne
Cohen	Hutchinson	Murphy	Wachob
Colafella	Itkin	O'Donnell	Wambach
Cole	Jarolin	Olasz	Wargo
Cordisco	Kasunic	Oliver	Wiggins
Cowell	Kosinski	Petrarca	Williams
Coy	Kowalshyn	Petrone	Wozniak
Deluca	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pistella	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Lescovitz	Pratt	Zwinkl
Dawida	Letterman	Preston	
Deal	Levin	Rappaport	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Linton	Richardson	Speaker
Donatucci	Livengood	Rieger	

NAYS—86

Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Reber
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Reinard
Book	Freind	Mackowski	Robbins
Bowser	Gallen	Madigan	Ryan
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Saurman
Brandt	Gladeck	Marmion	Scheetz
Bunt	Godshall	Merry	Schuler
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Semmel
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Smith, B.
Cessar	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Snyder, D. W.
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Snyder, G. M.
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Stairs
Cornell	Hershey	O'Brien	Stevens
Coslett	Honaman	Perzel	Swift
DeVerter	Jackson	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
Davies	Johnson	Phillips	Vroon
Dietz	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
Dininni	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
Durham	Lashingier	Pott	Wogan
Fargo	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Flick	Levi		

NOT VOTING—7

Baldwin	Hayes	Showers	Wilson
Gannon	Serafini	Spitz	

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A
BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 193, PN 3462**, with information that the Senate

has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the act of June 30, 1984 (No. 11A), entitled "An act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs," increasing the appropriation; and deleting provisions vetoed by the Governor.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What the Senate has done is they have gutted HB 193, and it is now a supplemental appropriation for the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs. The bill increases the appropriation for the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs by \$1,025,000. That figure is \$500,000 above the Governor's original request.

This bill will solve the crisis situation regarding the Board of Professional Engineers. The passage of this bill will allow the October test for engineers to take place as scheduled, and the additional test sites sought by the engineers will also be provided for future tests.

I urge an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker

The SPEAKER. It is moved by the gentleman, Mr. Pievsky, that the House do concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 193.

On that question, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to reemphasize what the gentleman, Mr. Pievsky, said for the benefit of our caucus. We did not have this bill before us yesterday because it was on its way over from the Senate, but this does solve the problem that many of us have been hearing about from our various engineering friends and associates, and this is by agreement with that professional society, and I think it is in the best interest of all of us that we pass it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate Mr. Pievsky.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Pievsky indicates he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Wilson may proceed. He is in order.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, I did not get a chance to see the entire HB 193 as amended. Does this in fact appropriate the money to the Department of State, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, as a whole or as a line item for the professional engineers?

The original bill that was vetoed, I understand, was an appropriation of some \$2 million strictly for the engineers, separate from all other 21 licensing boards.

Mr. PIEVSKY. It is part of one line item.

Mr. WILSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks both gentlemen.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rudy
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Rybak
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saloom
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Marmion	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Mowery	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Herman	Murphy	Telek
Clymer	Hershey	Nahill	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Noye	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	O'Brien	Truman
Cole	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Olasz	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Oliver	Wachob
Coslett	Jarolin	Perzel	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Peterson	Wargo
Coy	Kasunic	Petrarca	Wass
Deluca	Kennedy	Petrone	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Piccola	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Pott	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Reber	
Duffy	Levin	Reinard	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

DeWeese	Harper	Phillips	Spitz
Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Civera.

Mr. CIVERA. Mr. Speaker, on SB 1044 I was recorded in the negative, and I would like to change that vote to the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Phillips.

Mr. PHILLIPS. Mr. Speaker, on HB 193 my vote was not recorded. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on HR 265 I was away from my seat visiting with some senior high school students from Ridley Senior High School, and if I had been in my seat, I would have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the rules of the House be temporarily suspended so that I may offer a resolution for immediate consideration.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Reinard
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Richardson
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rieger
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Robbins
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Rudy
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Ryan
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Rybak
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Saloom
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Saurman
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Scheetz
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Schuler
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Semmel
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Serafini
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Seventy
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Showers
Broujos	George	Marmion	Smith, B.
Bunt	Gladeck	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Godshall	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Carn	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stevens
Cawley	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cessar	Haluska	Morris	Stuban
Cimini	Hasay	Mowery	Swift
Civera	Hayes	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hershey	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.

Clymer	Hoeffel	Nahill	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Noye	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson	O'Brien	Trello
Cole	Itkin	O'Donnell	Truman
Cornell	Jackson	Olasz	Van Horne
Coslett	Jarolin	Oliver	Vroon
Cowell	Johnson	Perzel	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Peterson	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Petrarca	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Petrone	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Phillips	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalshyn	Piccola	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pievsky	Wogan
Dawida	Lashinger	Pistella	Wozniak
Deal	Laughlin	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Preston	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levi	Punt	
Dorr	Levin	Rappaport	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Reber	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Cordisco	Herman	Sweet	Williams
Harper	Spitz	Wachob	

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

RESOLUTION ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley, who offers the following resolution which the clerk will read.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 267

A RESOLUTION

Amending House Resolution No. 252, adopted June 27, 1984, entitled "A resolution directing the Speaker of the House of Representatives to appoint a special bipartisan House Committee to study the reasons for and solution to the many industrial plant closings in Pennsylvania," extending the time for filing the committee report.

RESOLVED, That the Last Resolve Clause of House Resolution No. 252, adopted June 27, 1984, be amended to read:

RESOLVED, That the select committee report its findings and recommendations to the House of Representatives within [90] 150 days.

Peter J. Daley
Richard A. Kasunic
Fred Taylor
Robert E. Belfanti, Jr.
Allen Kukovich

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Briefly, what this amendment will do is extend the life of the Special Committee to Investigate Industrial Plant Closings from 90 days to 150 days, and I ask the members for support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I hope the gentleman, Mr. Daley, noticed that I did not oppose the suspension of rules, where I think I could have won. I am just going to make my comments for the record again that I think we are wasting our taxpayers' money by having these committees go on and on and on to a point that we adjourn by operation of law. If the subject matter was so important, then these committees should have been initiated at a much earlier time than they were. All of these committees came into being, for the most part, this summer before we adjourned for summer recess. All of the problems, with the possible exception of the one dealing with the lottery that came up late in the year, all of these problems were present.

I think it is perfectly obvious that the committees came into being probably for political purposes because we are all running for election at this time. Their time is being extended to get us beyond the campaign and beyond election day, either because we have been too busy campaigning or too busy vacationing. The problems are not new. The problems have been here for the whole term. Plant closings are not new, far from it. I guess if anything, we are doing a little bit better than we were 2 years ago when this particular resolution maybe should have been introduced.

I am annoyed, Mr. Speaker, at a number of things, as I have pointed out. Most recently, I was told that a staff member was transferred from the Democratic staff onto a committee payroll just to get the Chief Clerk to make that payment. In fact, I believe it was a staff member of the gentleman to my right, Mr. Sweet. This is abuse of our process.

This particular subject that Mr. Daley is dealing with is an important one to the Commonwealth. I am going to vote "yes" on it, but the abuse of the system for what I believe to be purely political purposes, I think, is wrong. We are raiding our Treasury for the basest of reasons. If these subjects were important in June when the resolutions were passed, they were just as important the June before, and I think the coincidence of 10 or 12 of these special committees coming into being in the summer of an election year is something that the people should give some thought to. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to enlighten the members of the House that of the 90 days that this committee was in existence, 10 to 15 days we were waiting for the minority leader to appoint some Republican members. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—175

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lucyk	Rieger
Alderette	Fattah	McCall	Robbins
Angstadt	Fee	McClatchy	Rudy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Ryan
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Rybak
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Saloom
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Broujos	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	George	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Gladeck	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Godshall	Merry	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Carn	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Cohen	Hayes	O'Brien	Tigue
Colafella	Herman	O'Donnell	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	Olasz	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Phillips	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Piccola	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalyszyn	Pievsky	Williams
Davies	Kukovich	Pistella	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Pitts	Wogan
Deal	Laughlin	Pott	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Preston	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Punt	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Levin	Rappaport	Zwikl
Dorr	Linton	Reber	
Duffy	Livengood	Reinard	Irvis,
Durham	Lloyd	Richardson	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—21

Armstrong	Gannon	Levi	Saurman
Bowser	Hershey	Marmion	Scheetz
Brandt	Honaman	Moehlmann	Schuler
Clymer	Jackson	Mowery	Swift
Cornell	Kennedy	Noye	Vroon
Flick			

NOT VOTING—2

Baldwin	Spitz
---------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Fischer	Salvatore	Sirianni	Spencer
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

MEETING OF SELECT COMMITTEE ON STEEL PROCUREMENT

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Colafella, wishes to announce that the Select Committee on Steel Procurement will meet in the rear of the hall of the House after this break.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. You are all invited to come to the majority caucus room. Your host will be the Minister from Ontario. He is hosting a luncheon there for the members. All of you are invited.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky, wishes to make an announcement.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, immediately upon the call of the adjournment, there will be a brief meeting of the Appropriations Committee at the rear of the House.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

HB 193, PN 3462

An Act amending the act of June 30, 1984 (No. 11A), entitled "An act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs," increasing the appropriation; and deleting provisions vetoed by the Governor.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 530, PN 2090 By Rep. COHEN

An Act extending benefits to police chiefs or heads of police departments of political subdivisions of the Commonwealth who have been removed from bargaining units by the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board.

LABOR RELATIONS.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. There being no further business to be brought before this day's session, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, September 24, 1984, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 12:53 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.

HOUSE RECALLED

The House was recalled at 1:30 p.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS)
IN THE CHAIR**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recalls the House to order subject to the adjournment motion which permits us to bring it back into order.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**HB 1483, PN 3538 (Amended)**

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the act of February 19, 1980 (P. L. 15, No. 9), entitled "An act establishing the State Real Estate Commission and providing for the licensing of real estate brokers and salesmen," further providing for civil penalties and for the regulation of promotional land sales.

APPROPRIATIONS.**SB 402, PN 2087**

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act relating to the development of oil and gas and coal; imposing duties and powers on the Department of Environmental Resources; imposing notification requirements to protect land-owners; and providing for definitions, for various requirements to regulate the drilling and operation of oil and gas wells, for gas storage reservoirs, for various reporting requirements, including certain requirements concerning the operation of coal mines, for well permits, for distance requirements, for well casing requirements, for safety device requirements, for storage reservoir obligations, for well bonding requirements, for a Well Plugging Restricted Revenue Fund to enforce oil and gas well plugging requirements, for the creation of an Oil and Gas Technical Advisory Board, for oil and gas well inspections, for enforcement and for penalties.

APPROPRIATIONS.**SB 1112, PN 1968**

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act regulating the practice of speech-language pathologists, audiologists and teachers of the hearing impaired; creating the State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language and Hearing with certain powers and duties; and prescribing penalties.

APPROPRIATIONS.**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. Now the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, September 24, 1984, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 1:33 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.