

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 1984

SESSION OF 1984 168TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 35

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Most loving and ever-vigilant Lord, as workmen of Thine we pause as we invoke Thy blessing upon the members of this House of Representatives. Draw them close to Thee and share with them the protection of Thy grace and power. Direct them by Thy word of truth so that Thy will may be accomplished in our daily lives. Keep them ever watchful so that the allurements and pitfalls of life may not drag them down. And, Gracious Father, as Thou dost bestow upon them Thy love and care, use them as productive stewards of Thine for the welfare of this great Commonwealth as well as the constituents they serve. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 924 and HB 1800 be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2177 By Representatives TELEK, MRKONIC, GRUPPO, CIMINI, BOWSER, BELFANTI, HALUSKA, KUKOVICH, KOSINSKI, J. L. WRIGHT, PHILLIPS, LUCYK, E. Z. TAYLOR, BOOK, PRESTON, COLAFELLA, SEVENTY, DeLUCA,

MICOZZIE, FISCHER, SALVATORE and JOHNSON

An Act establishing a program for making certain payments to elderly veterans from excess lottery funds.

Referred to Committee on MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, May 16, 1984.

No. 2178 By Representatives MILLER and WAMBACH

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, authorizing residential customers to refuse certain access charges.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, May 16, 1984.

No. 2179 By Representative MILLER

An Act amending the "State Adverse Interest Act," approved July 19, 1957 (P. L. 1017, No. 451), further defining the term "State agency" to include the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, May 16, 1984.

No. 2180 By Representatives SAURMAN, LEVIN, VROON, COLE, NAHILL, REBER, CORNELL, PETERSON, ROBBINS, McCLATCHY, HERMAN, FLICK, WESTON, LASHINGER, CESSAR, SIRIANNI, BUNT, PUNT, CLYMER, J. L. WRIGHT, ARTY, HAGARTY, BOYES, FARGO, DUFFY, WAMBACH, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, GLADECK, BOWSER, WASS, BURD and CIMINI

An Act establishing a prescription drug education program for senior citizens; imposing further duties on the Departments of Aging and Health; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, May 16, 1984.

HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 226 By Representatives DAVIES, GALLEN, LEVIN, FRYER and LEHR

Urging Chief Justice Robert N. C. Nix, Jr., to investigate the public allegations regarding Justice Nicholas Papadakos and his excessive spending to procure his judicial office space and his statements relating to the assigned duties and responsibilities of the branches of government.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 16, 1984.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 1339, PN 1972

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, May 16, 1984.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 547, PN 614**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 547, PN 614

An Act amending Title 51 (Military Affairs) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for pay of officers and enlisted personnel.

SB 447, PN 487

An Act amending the act of October 4, 1978 (P. L. 864, No. 167), entitled "Storm Water Management Act," further providing for grants and reimbursements.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. There is a bill on today's calendar which has not been caucused on by either the Republican Party or the Democratic Party. It is essential that that bill be passed today. Therefore, the majority leader is announcing that there is an immediate caucus of the Democratic Party, an immediate caucus of the Democratic Party, in the caucus room on the first floor.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip to make a similar announcement.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, it is requested that all Republicans report to our caucus room immediately at the call of the recess. The need for the caucus is because the bill has not been caucused on, but it will not take very long - a matter of moments.

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader wish to add anything to the comments?

Mr. MANDERINO. No, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the Chief Clerk to make an announcement on the squawk boxes for the members in their offices asking them

to report to their respective caucuses immediately. We should be back on the floor, Mr. Speaker, within 20 minutes.

The SPEAKER. Immediate caucus of the Republican Party; immediate caucus of the Democratic Party. We will return to the floor to the active calendar at 11:30.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The House stands in recess until 11:30.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, May 15, 1984, will be postponed until the Journal is in print. The Chair hears no objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport, will be stricken from leave for the week. He is here, and his name will be added to the master roll.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for the day. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—194

Afflerbach	Durham	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Evans	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fargo	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fattah	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fee	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Fischer	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Flick	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Freind	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Fryer	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gallagher	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gamble	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	George	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Gladeck	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Godshall	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Carn	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hasay	O'Brien	Telek
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Herman	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Hershey	Oliver	Truman

Cordisco	Honaman	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reinard	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Speaker

ADDITIONS—4

Hutchinson Letterman Mayernik Punt

NOT VOTING—1

Hoefel

EXCUSED—3

Marmion Sweet Wozniak

LEAVE ADDED—1

Hoefel

LEAVE OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair now turns to leaves of absence.

Does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky, have any requests for leaves?

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask leave of absence for the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. HOEFFEL, for today.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, leave is granted. The Chair hears no objection.

Does the minority whip have any requests for leaves?

No requests from Mr. Hayes. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**HB 273, PN 306**

By Rep. F. E. TAYLOR

An Act providing for the regulation of travel promoters; requiring that certain bonds be secured for the benefit of customers; requiring bank deposits; and providing penalties.

BUSINESS AND COMMERCE.**WELCOME**

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House, as the guests of Henry Livengood and Paul Wass and Representative Burd, the son and the grandson of former Judge J. Frank Graff. They are to the left of the Speaker. Welcome.

Those of you who do not know may be interested in being told that J. Frank Graff, before whom this Speaker practiced

as a young man and who held him in great awe, served on the common pleas bench, I believe it was for 58 years. Is that correct?

Mr. GRAFF. 58 years 6 months and 2 days.

The SPEAKER. 58 years 6 months and 2 days. Longer than any person has ever served, not only in the Commonwealth but in the history of the courts of this world. J. Frank Graff. We are delighted to have his grandson and his son here. Welcome.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. Mr. Hutchinson's name will be added to the master roll.

CONSERVATION COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, the Conservation Committee will have a hearing at the call of the Speaker's recess in room 401.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Punt's name will be added to the master roll.

CALENDAR**BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 115, PN 2957**, entitled:

An Act providing for agricultural development; establishing the Agricultural Development Advisory Board and providing for its powers and duties; providing for certain guaranteed loans and interest deferral; providing further duties of the secretary and Department of Agriculture and the secretary and Department of Commerce; establishing the Agricultural Development Fund; and making an appropriation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 115 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 2035, PN 2958.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 928, PN 1999**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," providing for compensation plans for school administrators; and providing for collective bargaining in cases of professional employee termination.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 928, PN 1999, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Mayernik's name will be added to the master roll.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bill, having been called up, was considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1579, PN 2978.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2099, PN 2956**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for industrial cogeneration and small power productions.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2099, PN 2956, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1950, PN 2602**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, raising the income ceiling for senior citizens.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1950, PN 2602, be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

SB 1045, PN 1754; and SB 1220, PN 1663.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. Mr. Letterman's name will be added to the master roll.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 952, PN 1993**, entitled:

An Act to provide supplemental appropriations from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt, for allowable net operating costs for intermediate care facilities and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984, and for the bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983; and to further appropriate the Federal Augmentation to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 1983 to June 30, 1984, for allowable net operating costs for intermediate care facilities and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments No. A2029:

Amend Sec. 218, page 15, line 25, by inserting after "APPROPRIATIONS"

for long-term care case mix adjustment

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, line 5, by striking out "SHOULD" and inserting shall

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, line 6, by striking out "FOLLOWING" and inserting using

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, line 8, by inserting after "CEILINGS"

, including the continuation of adjustments made to the alignment of standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) groupings

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, lines 8 and 9, by striking out "NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS SHOULD" in line 8 and all of line 9

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, lines 12 through 14, by striking out "THREE AND FIVE-TENTHS NURSING" in line 12, all of line 13 and "SERVICES AND" in line 14

Amend Sec. 218, page 16, lines 22 through 27, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, line 9, by striking out "SHOULD" and inserting shall

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, line 10, by striking out "FOLLOWING" and inserting using

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, line 12, by inserting after "CEILINGS"

, including the continuation of adjustments made to the alignment of standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) groupings

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, lines 12 and 13, by striking out "NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS SHOULD" in line 12 and all of line 13

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, lines 16 through 18, by striking out "THREE AND FIVE-TENTHS NURSING" in line 16, all of line 17 and "SERVICES AND" in line 18

Amend Sec. 218, page 17, lines 26 through 30; page 18, line 1, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Sec. 503, page 28, line 28, by inserting after "DATE.—"

(a)

Amend Sec. 503, page 28, by inserting after line 29

(b) That part of section 218 which makes appropriations for long-term care case mix adjustment shall be retroactive to January 1, 1984.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to technical amendment. It makes some technical changes, and it removes some redundant language from the bill. It is supported by the profit, the nonprofit, and county nursing homes, and I urge support of the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Afflerbach	Fargo	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fattah	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Mayermik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Steighner
Carn	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Stewart
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Stuban
Cimini	Harper	Mrkoncic	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	Noye	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Brien	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Olasz	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Oliver	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Peterson	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
Deluca	Kasunic	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pistella	Williams.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pott	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Cohen Pratt Rudy

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Robbins
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mochlmann	Steighner
Carn	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Suban
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irviss,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Evans	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Cohen Rudy

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 58, PN 58**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the transportation of property of unusual value, including money and securities, in armored motor vehicles.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendments No. A1803:

Amend Title, page 1, line 4, by removing the period after "vehicles" and inserting

regulating construction costs of public utilities; and limiting rate increases.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 16 and 17

Section 2. Title 66 is amended by adding a section to read:

§ 514. Construction cost of electric generating units.

(a) Submission of estimate.—No later than 30 days after construction of an electric generating unit is begun, either in this Commonwealth or in some other state, any public utility operating in this Commonwealth and owning any share in that unit shall submit to the commission an estimate of the cost of constructing that unit. If the public utility acquires ownership of any share in an electric generating unit which is under construction on the date of acquisition, the public utility shall, within 30 days of the date of acquisition, submit an estimate of the cost of constructing that unit which was formulated no later than 30 days from the beginning of construction.

(b) Access to evidence.—From and after the beginning of construction of an electric generating unit, the commission, or its designee, and the Consumer Advocate, or his designee, shall have reasonable access to the construction site and to any oral or documentary evidence relevant to determining the necessity and propriety of any construction cost. If a public utility objects to any request by the commission or the Consumer Advocate, or persons designated by the commission or the Consumer Advocate, for access to the construction site or to any oral or documentary evidence, the objection shall be decided in the same manner as an on-the-record proceeding pursuant to Chapter 3 (relating to public utility commission). The affected public utility shall have the burden of proof in sustaining any such objection.

(c) Definition.—As used in this section the term "construction" includes any work performed on an electric generating unit which is expected to require the affected public utility to incur an aggregate of at least \$100,000,000 of expenses which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are capital expenses and not operating or maintenance expenses.

Section 3. Section 1308 of Title 66 is amended by adding a subsection to read:

§ 1308. Voluntary changes in rates.

* * *

(f) Limitation on rate increases by certain public utilities.—Whenever there is filed with the commission any tariff stating a new rate based in whole or in part on the cost of constructing an electric generating unit, the commission shall compare the estimated construction cost filed in accordance with section 514(a) (relating to construction cost of electric generating units) with the actual construction cost submitted by the utility in support of that tariff. If the actual construction cost exceeds the estimated construction cost, the rate determined by the commission under this section shall not be based on any part of that excess unless the public utility proves that part of the excess to have been necessary and proper. In making its determination under this subsection,

the commission shall consider all relevant and material evidence, including evidence obtained pursuant to section 514. For purposes of this subsection "construction" includes any work performed on an electric generating unit which required, or is expected to require, the affected public utility to incur an aggregate of at least \$100,000,000 of expenses which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are capital expenses and not operating or maintenance expenses.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 17, by striking out "2" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 5. (a) The amendments affecting 66 Pa.C.S. §§ 102 and 2501(b)(2) of this act shall take effect in 60 days.

(b) The amendments affecting 66 Pa.C.S. §§ 514 and 1308(f) of this act shall take effect immediately. In the case of construction of an electric generating unit begun, but not completed, prior to the effective date, the affected public utility shall, within 30 days after the effective date, submit an estimate of the cost of constructing that unit which was formulated no later than 30 days from the beginning of construction. For the purposes of 66 Pa.C.S. §§ 514 and 1308(f), such estimates shall be deemed to have been filed in accordance with section 514(a).

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is basically the same as legislation we passed 192 to 3 earlier in the session. It deals with trying to control cost overruns in the construction of powerplants. Basically, the way it would work is that a power company would be required to submit to the Public Utility Commission its original estimate of the construction costs, and then when it comes in for its rate increase based on what the actual construction costs turned out to be, would be required to justify to the Public Utility Commission any difference between those two numbers.

Mr. Speaker, this is especially important in those areas where powerplants are currently under construction - for example, the Limerick powerplant, the Susquehanna powerplant, the Beaver Valley and Perry powerplants.

As I indicated, this passed the House overwhelmingly before, and I would ask for a similar vote today.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, a question of interrogation to the gentleman, if he would.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, indicates he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Davies is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Did I misunderstand the former, that you said it passed 119 to 3, or did you mean 190 to 3?

Mr. LLOYD. According to the computer, it was 192 to 3.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Godshall	Micozie	Spencer
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Deal	Lashingier	Preston	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Evans	Livengood		

NAYS—2

Bowser Pott

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Mark the bill over temporarily.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 897, PN 1726**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 24, 1893 (P. L. 129, No. 82), entitled "An act to empower boroughs and cities to establish a police pension fund, to take property in trust therefor and regulating and providing for the regulation of the same," eliminating mandatory retirement.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Suban
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
DeLuca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievskey	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,

Durham
Evans

Linton
Livengood

Rieger
Robbins

Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Cappabianca

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel

Marmion

Sweet

Wozniak

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 898, PN 1766**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of February 1, 1966 (1965 P. L. 1656, No. 581), entitled "The Borough Code," eliminating certain mandatory retirement.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Book	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Suban
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon

Cowell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Coy	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Deluca	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Hoefel	Marmion	Sweet	Wozniak
--------	---------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 70, PN 79**, entitled:

An Act authorizing dry cleaners and laundries in cities of the first class to dispose of certain unclaimed goods to certain institutions.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. DAWIDA offered the following amendments No. A1372:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 and 2, by striking out "in cities of the first class"

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "goods"
or donate the goods

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 5 and 6
Section 1. Unclaimed garments.

Any garment left with a retail dry cleaner or retail launderer for dry cleaning or laundering which is not redeemed within 60 days may be disposed of by the dry cleaner or launderer without any liability or responsibility for the garment or any proceeds realized therefrom provided the requirements of section 2 are complied with.

Section 2. Notice.

Before any garments may be disposed of pursuant to section 1, the retail dry cleaner or retail launderer must have first sent a certified letter, return receipt requested to the owner of the garment or garments as listed on the dry cleaning or laundry ticket. The retail dry cleaner or retail launderer must wait 30 days after the letter was mailed before he may dispose of the garment or garments. The owner of the garments has the right to redeem such garment or garments during this time period.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 6 and 7, by striking out all of line 6 and "the first class," in line 7 and inserting

Section 3. Donations.

Any dry cleaner or laundry

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 4. Effective date.

This act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, Representative Salvatore and Representative Caltagirone also had amendments to this, as well as mine. We worked it out together where we think this one amendment will meet all of our needs.

Representative Salvatore came up with a very good idea with regard to donations of clothes left in dry cleaners. We wanted to extend that to the rest of the State and to clarify what rules dry cleaners actually have to operate under with regard to abandoned clothing left in there. We think we have come up with a bill and an amendment that is suitable to all parties, will benefit everyone involved, and I urge your consideration for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman once again try to explain his amendment in definitive terms so the members know what he is attempting to do?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, my amendment, with regard to unclaimed garments, would say that if they are not redeemed within 60 days, they may be disposed of by the dry cleaner. The dry cleaner will have to send a certified letter, return receipt requested, to the owner of the garment or garments. The dry cleaner or retail launderer must wait 30 days after the letter was mailed before he may dispose of the garment or garments.

What we have is a situation where many people go into dry cleaners and leave their clothes there indefinitely. Many of the dry-cleaning establishments are in malls and such where they do not have the kind of capacity to keep the clothes. We want to make it definitive when and where they may dispose of the garments and what their rights and duties are. Essentially, their duty is they must send a certified letter before the garments can be disposed of, and their trade-off, their benefit, is that in 60 days and 30 days following that letter, they may dispose of the garments. Mr. Salvatore's bill allows them to dispose of such garments to charitable institutions.

The SPEAKER. Are there further questions?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wright.

Mr. J. L. WRIGHT. May I interrogate Mr. Dawida, please?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Dawida indicates he will stand for interrogation. Mr. Wright is in order and he may proceed.

Mr. J. L. WRIGHT. Would you please reemphasize the changes from the bill to your amendment? As I read it, you are changing 6 months to 60 days and changing cities of the first class to the entire State. Is that correct?

Mr. DAWIDA. Only partially. Mr. Salvatore's amendment allows that after 6 months charitable institutions may receive the bounty of this particular bill. I say there is an additional element to it and that is in 60 days. You are right. In 60 days, unclaimed garments can be disposed of by the dry cleaner for the entire State of Pennsylvania.

Mr. J. L. WRIGHT. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I would caution the entire House to realize that if the bill is amended, it is only a 60-day period, and they may have some trouble explaining this to their constituents.

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We will pass over HB 70 and Mr. Dawida's amendment temporarily.

There are several members who do not understand the purport of this amendment and wish to discuss it with Mr. Dawida. We will come back to it.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon, rise?

Mr. VROON. I would also like to add that I have not seen a copy of the amendment at all. I wonder if it has been properly circulated.

The SPEAKER. We were told that the amendment had been circulated, but will the pages see to it that members who do not have the amendment get copies of it.

Thank you, Mr. Vroon.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1173, PN 2867**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the penalty for interfering with custody of children.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz

Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Carn	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkonc	Stuban
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cordisco	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Daley	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Deal	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwikel
Dorr	Levi	Reinard	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Rieger	Speaker
Evans	Livengood	Robbins	

NAYS—1

DeWeese

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel	Marmion	Sweet	Wozniak
---------	---------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1931, PN 2891**, entitled:

An Act establishing the priority of advances made under mortgages and the validity of mortgages with no outstanding indebtedness.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz

Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mochlmann	Steighner
Carn	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Cohen	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cordisco	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Durham	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Evans	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Dininni Punt

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 750, PN 865**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for interpreters for certain deaf persons in civil proceedings.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 750, PN 865, be placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 750, PN 865, be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 70 RESUMED

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair has been informed that there has been quite a dispute over the Dawida amendment. The Chair would suggest that we pass over the bill today, give the debaters an opportunity to get out and figure out how to write the amendment or not to write the amendment.

Without objection, the Chair passes over for today HB 70. The Chair hears no objection.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair notices in the hall of the House several groups of children.

At the rear of the hall of the House, Mrs. Rudy has as her guests 35 students from the Bellefonte Area Middle School. They will be presented with a citation, and the Chair welcomes them to the hall of the House.

Mr. Gannon has here as his guests a group of students - David Dinerman, James Roberts, John Croddy, Jeffrey Green, Ellyn Specker, Margie Pittock, Bill Eagar, Hal Schelling, Elizabeth Johnson, Julie Hoppe, and Amanda Greenfield - together with their teachers, Mr. Stephen Goodwin and Miss Irma Zimmer.

Representative Carmel Sirianni has George Miller and Vivian Beaman. They are guests of Miss Sirianni and the Sullivan County delegation.

The wife of Representative Book is checking up on him to make sure he is spending all the time on the floor that he tells her he is, Mrs. Mary Ann Book. If he does not attend, I will write you a note. Do not worry.

We have three students from the Unionville-Chadds Ford High School - Nancy Herring, Robert Weir, and Dan Pitts. Dan is the son of Representative Pitts, so I guess that is a son checking up on his father.

Representative Foster from York has David Nelson here as his guest.

Representative Saurman has Audrey Dougherty here as his guest.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2114, PN 2857**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1984.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. MURPHY offered the following amendments No. A2067:

Amend Title, page 1, line 5, by inserting after "1984"
; providing for investments in Pennsylvania industries

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

Section 3. The board shall, within six months of the effective date of this act, detail a program of investment for the economic redevelopment and capitalization of Pennsylvania industries. Recognizing the initial duty of the fund to prudent investments which protect the pensions of retirees, the General Assembly requires that the board develop a venture capital fund in which a minimum of 1% of the pension fund's assets be invested. Included in the report shall be information on how the board exercised its stewardship on behalf of Pennsylvania industries over the past three years. This report shall also detail how the board intends to use fund assets for the benefit of jobs in Pennsylvania.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 10, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we have over the last couple of years attempted to put Pennsylvania's economy back to work. We have passed legislation, the Ben Franklin Partnership, which this year we have asked \$20 million for. We successfully passed in the primary election a \$190-million bond issue. But all those efforts pale; they pale to the amount of money that is in the two pension funds, the State employees' and the retired school system employees'. There is \$7 million in that pension fund, and that pension fund is one of the major assets that influence what happens with business in Pennsylvania. Many States have come to realize this. In fact, about 20 have actively looked toward their pension funds to assist them in investing in their industries in their States. That is not to ignore the prudent investment policy that pension funds continue to practice. They should continue to practice that, but at

the same time they can take into account their investments that affect the State's industries.

Most recently there was a case where Mesa Petroleum attempted to take over one of the major corporations in Pittsburgh, Gulf Oil. It so happened that one of the pension funds voted their stock in favor of Mesa Petroleum's takeover, which will cost Pennsylvania thousands of jobs when Gulf Oil eventually is merged into Socal. That kind of investment policy raises serious questions as to how successful we can be in turning Pennsylvania's economy around when we have a \$7-billion force often working against the purposes of which we are trying to invest in industries.

My amendment requires that the pension funds—and the amendment is the same to HB 2114 and HB 2115—inform the General Assembly within 6 months of a detailed program of their investments for economic revitalization and capitalization in Pennsylvania and further requires that the board develop a venture capital fund in which a minimum of 1 percent of the pension fund's assets will be invested.

We do not ask that the pension fund ignore the prudent investment policy they have practiced. We ask that they take into account the effect of their investment policy on Pennsylvania's industries.

I ask your support for this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Mowery, on the amendment.

Mr. MOWERY. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Although I agree with many of the comments that were made by Representative Murphy, I would like to stand up and oppose this particular amendment.

Even though there is a lot of money today perceived by most of us as assets of our two large pension plans in Pennsylvania, we must also realize that each year we are putting in an additional \$35 million to \$40 million out of the General Fund to keep those plans active, without any additional benefits or any changes in what the benefits currently are.

I think that to open the plan up to venture capital, even though it is most needed for the reasons that Representative Murphy mentioned, I think it is also going to run, possibly, a substantial amount more as far as our already large contributions into these plans.

For those reasons I would suggest that we do not put this amendment in this particular bill, which is primarily designed to pay for the administrative expenses of this particular large pension plan. I think that the idea of using venture capital is something that is to be considered, and I think it should stand on its own merits at another time. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman, Mr. Murphy, stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Hayes, is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. HAYES. Do I understand you to say, Mr. Speaker, that there would have to be a minimum of 1 percent invested as venture capital but there would not be a maximum amount to be allowed?

Mr. MURPHY. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. HAYES. In other words, it could be as high as 10 percent or 20 percent?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, that is entirely up to the board of the pension fund.

Mr. HAYES. But this General Assembly would not be making a policy decision as to whether it would be 5 percent, 10 percent, 15 percent. We are just saying it would have to be a minimum of 1 percent?

Mr. MURPHY. That is correct, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. HAYES. Does the gentleman believe that that is prudent for this General Assembly to do, give the Retirement Board a blank check to invest as much as it wants in venture capital?

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I find your comments ironic only in that we all, I think, know well the prudent practices the pension board has followed. In fact, they have been so prudent that their return has been far less than what other pension funds have been, and they have not been willing to make these kinds of investments. So it seems to me that if we are going to err, we are going to err on the side of helping Pennsylvania's economy rather than hindering it by this kind of language.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson, interfere with the debate?

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, this bill does not have to go today, and I think Mr. Murphy—

The SPEAKER. Unless it is a personal privilege—

Mr. HUTCHINSON. It is a personal privilege, but I want to make a statement first.

The SPEAKER. You must state your point of personal privilege.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. I make a motion that we—

The SPEAKER. No. You are out of order. Sit down, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Why am I out of order? Would you explain that to me?

The SPEAKER. Because you do not have the right to interrupt debate of members except for a specific purpose. You are interrupting, and you must not be allowed to do that.

Mr. Hayes, you may continue.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe the essence of Mr. Murphy's response was there would not be a limit of any kind whatsoever established by this General Assembly with regard to how much money could be taken from the Retirement Fund for venture capital purposes, and if I understand his rationale, he said essentially this, that historically the Retirement Board has been hesitant or whatever with regard to making risky-type investments. But I do not believe that we should be limited to just what the

history may have been in our consideration of this amendment. I believe that we should be thinking to the future and what could possibly happen with regard to our Retirement Board and what they may want to do in the area of venture capital. It seems to me that we should be prudent as we embark upon this public policy to not only establish a minimum but also to establish a maximum, and on the basis of that, I will oppose the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BILL PLACED ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson. Do you wish to make a motion?

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, since these two bills do not have to be passed until the 1st of July, I would like to make a motion to hold these two bills over. Do I have to do it individually? HB 2114 and HB 2115.

The SPEAKER. That is correct.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2114, PN 2857, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2115, PN 2858**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1984.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL PLACED ON THIRD CONSIDERATION POSTPONED CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2115, PN 2858, be placed on the third consideration postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 58 RESUMED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STEIGHNER offered the following amendments No. A2031:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "transportation of" in line 2 and all of line 3 and inserting
issuance of certificate of convenience for

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 7 through 18; pages 2 and 3, lines 1 through 30; and page 4, lines 1 through 29, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. Title 66 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes is amended by adding a section to read:

§ 1103.1. Armored vehicles.

A certificate of public convenience or contract carrier permit to provide the transportation of property of unusual value, including money and securities, in armored vehicles, shall be granted by order of the commission without proof of the need for the service if the commission finds or determines that the applicant is capable of providing dependable armored vehicle service to the public according to the rules and regulations of the commission.

Section 2. This act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Van Horne, who is speaking for Mr. Steighner.

Mr. VAN HORNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Steighner is out. I would like to offer this amendment.

Specifically, what it does is it requires the so-called fitness amendment, and that will require three basic things of the armored carriers: one, that they are bonded; two, that they are insured; and three, that they have personnel without any criminal records.

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the amendment. It is my belief that this amendment is not necessary, because in the capability clause in the amendment, the capability should really be decided by the contractual negotiations between the prospective carrier and the individual who purchases the service. There are no businesses, I believe, in the State of Pennsylvania that would allow a carrier to transport their dollars or securities if it was not capable of doing so in an acceptable fashion. It is my view that this amendment simply is not necessary. It is the goal of this bill to take the armored car carrier business out of the hands of the PUC (Public Utility Commission) and open it to free enterprise. I think that this amendment simply is a step backward. I would appreciate very much your negative vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. I also rise in opposition to the Steighner amendment. I would like to point out that first of all the PUC is on record as supporting SB 58 and the deregulation of the armored car industry. Also, there are only about five or six States in the Union that actually regulate the carrying of

moneys in armored cars. It is really a business transaction between two businesses, and I also oppose the Steighner amendment. It would take it back again in the hands of the PUC.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Would the gentleman, Mr. Van Horne, consent to interrogation, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will so stand. The gentleman, Mr. Rappaport, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. I apologize to the gentleman, Mr. Speaker, since I realize he is pinch-hitting.

I believe that we have passed legislation setting up certain requirements for armed guards who work for out-of-State security companies. Would this legislation in any way affect that legislation?

Mr. VAN HORNE. I believe not, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this amendment, because this in effect negates the intent of the bill. We are trying to open up competition and let all these people engage in the business if they can get business and if they can qualify. I do not see any reason in the world why we have to protect those few businesses which are obviously intending to protect their own interests by this type of an amendment. I think this is a free enterprise principle, and therefore, I would recommend that we vote "no" on the amendment and preserve the free enterprise principle and let everybody go into the business who feel they can qualify. There is no need for regulation of this, in my opinion, and I urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

For the second time on the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Van Horne.

Mr. VAN HORNE. The only thing I would like to say, Mr. Speaker, is I do not think it negates the provision of deregulation. I think it provides additional consumer safeguards. For the benefit of the members, 17 other States have deregulated armored carriers, and they, too, have provided for this fitness amendment in that legislation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. I would yield to Representative Vroon, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. This time I would like to interrogate Mr. Van Horne.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Van Horne, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Vroon, is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. VROON. Would you, Mr. Speaker, enlighten us as to just how this amendment would safeguard consumer interests? How is the consumer involved in this business at all?

Mr. VAN HORNE. It would provide, Mr. Speaker, for bonding and for insurance.

Mr. VROON. How does that affect the consumer? My opinion and my observation is that these people haul securities and funds not for consumers but for companies, banks and people who have these securities and who pass them from one point to another. Is that not true, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. VAN HORNE. I would guess, but I would think they are also consumers.

Mr. VROON. Okay. If you look at it that way, it is quite all right, but I would also say that any astute consumer in that sense of the term, do you not think that consumer would like to learn first what the qualifications are of the people who are bidding for his business, and do you not think he is astute enough to insure the safety of his shipment?

Mr. VAN HORNE. Would you repeat the question?

Mr. VROON. If what you say is true, that these businesses which use this type of service are consumers, then in that sense, do you not think those consumers are certainly astute enough to select a company which has proved its capabilities and all of the safeguards that they have to observe in order to insure safe shipment of the securities and the money and whatever?

Mr. VAN HORNE. I would think on the surface that would be true, but just in recent history the J. David Company in California in their loss of multimillions of dollars proves that sometimes that is not the case.

Mr. VROON. Okay.

Mr. Speaker, may I just make a couple observations?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I think it is apparent from the interrogation that these people who are so-called consumers are not in need of protection, and I do not think there is any need, therefore, to continue regulating this business. Let us let it go free.

The SPEAKER. For the second time on the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. I would like to point out that I have a company in my district that has, I think, for 7 or 8 years been trying to get through the big companies that monopolize this industry. There are roadblocks thrown continuously in their way. The PUC has agreed that there is no need for regulation in this industry. The PUC has agreed that this is really a business transaction between two companies. The insurance in this industry is really hard to come by. There are inspections as to trucks; there are inspections as to facilities. It is a business relationship, and I would urge you to go along with the PUC recommendation, urge you that we vote against the Steighner amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I can agree with some of the comments that have been made by members on both sides of the aisle in

regards to the need to deregulate the armored car industry here in this State, as I am sure they have also been approached by their constituents asking for support of SB 58. However, what this Steighner-Van Horne amendment attempts to do is this: It attempts to put into place by statute some of the same regulations that are covered by other common carriers or contract carriers, and by that it is attempting to institute two things - that those people who are going to be involved in the armored car business in the State of Pennsylvania must have insurance and they must be bonded.

Now, I can appreciate the question that has arisen as to whether or not an individual who uses this is going to be a consumer in the generic sense of its term or whether or not it would be an industry, but I do not think those individuals or organizations that will be transferring securities, large amounts of money, are going to know whether or not that armored car carrier is going to have an individual in his employment who may in fact have been a convicted criminal or convicted felon. Those are some of the provisions that we have to have covered by this amendment.

I do not see this as being an attempt to hamstring any organization or any individual from doing business in this State. What we are asking to be done is that the consumers in this State, be they private individuals or corporations, individuals or businesses dealing with securities, funds, precious metals, valuables, et cetera, be given a bare minimum of protection by insurance and bonding. That is all. I would appreciate the support of the members for the Steighner amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, for the second time on the amendment, the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Gladeck.

Mr. GLADECK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the gentleman, Mr. Van Horne, stand for one question, please?

The SPEAKER. Mr. Van Horne indicates he will so stand. Mr. Gladeck may proceed.

Mr. GLADECK. Mr. Speaker, could you tell me if under this amendment an individual corporation that currently provides carrier service would be permitted to file objections to someone who was proposing to enter the field?

Mr. VAN HORNE. I have no idea on that, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. GLADECK. Excuse me? I could not hear that.

Mr. VAN HORNE. I am not sure.

Mr. GLADECK. All right. I think that is a very important point, because if you are not sure about that, what happens is that you basically are back to square one. If they are able to file—

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman through with his interrogation?

Mr. GLADECK. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Would you like to make a statement?

Mr. GLADECK. Yes, please.

The SPEAKER. You may proceed.

Mr. GLADECK. If we cannot get a clear answer on that, I do not think that we have any business passing this amendment, because if we pass it and the current car carriers are able

to file objections, then you are basically back to square one where we are without the legislation. So on the basis of that, I would appreciate very much a negative vote on the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Afflerbach	Dombrowski	Linton	Richardson
Alderette	Donatucci	Livengood	Rieger
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Rudy
Barber	Durham	Lucyk	Rybak
Battisto	Evans	McCall	Saloom
Belardi	Fattah	McHale	Seventy
Belfanti	Fee	McIntyre	Showers
Blaum	Freeman	McMonagle	Steighner
Boyes	Fryer	Manderino	Stewart
Broujos	Gallagher	Markosek	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gamble	Mayermik	Taylor, F. E.
Cappabianca	George	Michlovic	Tigue
Carn	Gruitza	Miscevich	Trello
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Truman
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Van Horne
Cohen	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Wachob
Colafella	Itkin	Olasz	Wambach
Cole	Jarolin	Oliver	Wargo
Cordisco	Kasunic	Petrarca	Wiggins
Cowell	Kosinski	Petrone	Williams
Coy	Kukovich	Pievsy	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Laughlin	Pistella	Zwikl
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Pratt	
Daley	Letterman	Preston	Irvis,
Dawida	Levin	Rappaport	Speaker
Deal			

NAYS—92

Angstadt	Geist	Madigan	Saurman
Armstrong	Gladeck	Maiale	Scheetz
Arty	Godshall	Manmiller	Schuler
Book	Greenwood	Merry	Semmel
Bowser	Grieco	Miller	Serafini
Brandt	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Bunt	Hagarty	Morris	Smith, B.
Burd	Hasay	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Hayes	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Cimini	Herman	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Clymer	Hershey	O'Brien	Spencer
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Spitz
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Stairs
DeVerter	Johnson	Phillips	Stevens
Davies	Kennedy	Piccola	Swift
Dietz	Klingaman	Pitts	Taylor, E. Z.
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pott	Telek
Dorr	Lashinger	Punt	Vroon
Fargo	Lehr	Reber	Wass
Fischer	Levi	Reinard	Weston
Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Robbins	Wogan
Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Ryan	Wright, J. L.
Gallen	Mackowski	Salvatore	Wright, R. C.

NOT VOTING—7

Burns	Flick	Gannon	Wilson
Civera	Freind	Micozzie	

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel	Marmion	Sweet	Wozniak
---------	---------	-------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—192

Afflerbach	Evans	Lloyd	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	McCall	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McHale	Salvatore
Baldwin	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Battisto	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belardi	Fryer	Mackowski	Semmel
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Seventy
Book	Gamble	Manderino	Showers
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Sirianni
Boyes	Geist	Markosek	Smith, B.
Brandt	George	Mayermik	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Godshall	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spencer
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Steighner
Carn	Hagarty	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Swift
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Herman	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hershey	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Honaman	Olasz	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Itkin	Perzel	Truman
Cornell	Jackson	Peterson	Van Horne
Coslett	Jarolin	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Coy	Kasunic	Piccola	Wargo
Deluca	Kennedy	Pievsy	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kosinski	Pitts	Wiggins
Daley	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Preston	Wogan
Deal	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reinard	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Irvis,
Duffy	Linton	Robbins	Speaker
Durham	Livengood		

NAYS—2

Mowery	Vroon
--------	-------

NOT VOTING—4

Civera	Flick	Freind	Petrarca
--------	-------	--------	----------

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 922, PN 2984**; and **HB 1608, PN 2985**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady from Centre, Mrs. Rudy, rise?

Mrs. RUDY. To record a vote, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The lady may do so.

Mrs. RUDY. On SB 952, amendment 2029, I was out of my seat when the vote was taken. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. And on SB 952 I wish to be recorded in the affirmative also. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. Mr. Speaker, I request that my vote be placed in the affirmative on those bills from yesterday's session which were cast before my name was added to the master roll. Those bills were HB 1811, HB 2111, HB 2113, HB 1551, HB 1866, SB 994, HB 1838, HB 1951, SB 672, SB 447, and HB 1904.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's request will be honored, and it will appear in the record.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 922, PN 2984**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the "Race Horse Industry Reform Act," approved December 17, 1981 (P. L. 435, No. 135), providing for monitoring of wagering on video screens and providing for experimental simulcasting.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request that we concur in the Senate amendments to HB 922. They did two things in the Senate. They added intrastate simulcast racing, which would allow the betting on three races a night at the Erie track that are being simulcast from the Meadows track. The second change they made in the bill is Representative Seventy's original bill that provided for monitoring of wagering on video screens in the betting areas at the track.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote. It is vitally important that we pass this bill today and get it to the Governor so that we have enough time to get the gears in motion at the Erie track to run this summer. Without this bill, the physical plant will lay idle once again. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. I yield to the gentleman, Mr. Dorr.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I do not think I have any objection to this bill, but we do not have a copy of the bill in front of us, and I would like to ask that we wait until we at least can take a look at the bill. I was advised just a few minutes ago that the bill room does not even have the bill yet.

The SPEAKER. The bill, the Chair is informed, is not in print. That is contrary to what the Chair was first informed. That means, Mr. Dombrowski, even if the House were to concur, that the Speaker could not sign a bill which is not in print. It could not get to the Senate today. The Chair is informed that the Senate has already adjourned.

The Chair would suggest that we withdraw the motion for concurrence and make it on Monday when we return. Is that acceptable to Mr. Dombrowski? It does have to be, unfortunately.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski, who withdraws his motion for concurrence in Senate amendments to HB 922 because the bill is not in print.

HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2183 By Representatives SHOWERS, FRYER, LEVI, DUFFY, RUDY, DeLUCA, GAMBLE, BROUJOS, SAURMAN, BALDWIN and BELFANTI

An Act amending the "Third Class County Assessment Board Law," approved June 26, 1931 (P. L. 1379, No. 348), providing for the right to appeal before the board for persons suffering catastrophic losses to their property.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT,
May 16, 1984.

No. 2184 By Representatives SHOWERS, FRYER,
LEVI, DUFFY, RUDY, DeLUCA,
GAMBLE, BROUJOS, SAURMAN,
BALDWIN and BELFANTI

An Act amending the "General County Assessment Law,"
approved May 22, 1933 (P. L. 853, No. 155), providing for
appeal by persons suffering catastrophic losses to their property.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT,
May 16, 1984.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from
Monroe, Mr. Battisto.

Mr. BATTISTO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House rules
be temporarily suspended so that I may offer for immediate
consideration a resolution.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, may we have copies of the reso-
lution?

The SPEAKER. Before we vote on it, you certainly shall,
Mr. Ryan.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Rudy
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Ryan
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saloom
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Scheetz
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Schuler
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Semmel
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Seventy
Book	Gallagher	Maiale	Showers
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Sirianni
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Broujos	Geist	Mayermik	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Speneer
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonc	Stuban
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Brien	Telek
Cohen	Herman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Hershey	Olasz	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Truman
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
DeLuca	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston

DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton	Robbins	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Morris

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

A majority of the members elected to the House having
voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the
affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from
Monroe, Mr. Battisto.

Mr. Battisto, have you circulated the resolution?

Mr. BATTISTO. Mr. Speaker, this is a noncontroversial
resolution.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Battisto, noncontroversial or not, it
must be circulated. Do you have the resolution?

Mr. BATTISTO. Yes, I do.

The SPEAKER. Submit the resolution to the clerk and we
will have it duplicated.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, there are any number of resolu-
tions offered from time to time on the floor of the House that
really have not been circulated, and that does not bother me;
it honestly does not - many congratulatory resolutions and
things of that nature. However, I would appreciate it, and I
am sure the majority leader, Mr. Manderino, would appreci-
ate it, if the members from either side would submit the reso-
lutions to us prior to the suspension of the rules so that we
know whether we think it is important enough to suspend our
rules to consider it on any given day. I have not seen this reso-
lution, and I am sure it is noncontroversial if the gentleman
says it is, but I would like to see it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, rather than delay the
House, since the rules have been suspended for presentation,
a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the point.

Mr. MANDERINO. May he present the same on Monday
after duplication under the suspension that took place today?

The SPEAKER. Certainly.

Mr. MANDERINO. Then I would, Mr. Speaker, just ask
this to wait rather than let the House be delayed with the
duplication of the resolution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome back to the hall of the House a gentleman who was elected here the same year that the Speaker was elected, now the chairman of the County Commissioners of Allegheny County, Tom Foerster. Tom, welcome.

If you younger members do not know it, Tom added to the lexicon of the House of Representatives the phrase which I hear some of you younger members using without knowing its origin, "little Joe." So whenever you hear a member talk about "little Joe," Tom Foerster coined the phrase when he sat back with the Speaker back in the rear rows some 120 years ago, I think it must have been. Maybe only 118.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, if I may.

The gentleman, Mr. Battisto's resolution is a congratulatory resolution of the East Stroudsburg University "Warriors" men's gymnastic team. I, of course, have no objection to this being run immediately, without going to the expense and trouble of reproducing it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

However, the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, is absolutely correct. The Speaker has served in the role of majority leader and minority leader. If you wish to suspend the rules, you are well advised to listen to Mr. Ryan and to Mr. Manderino. Let the leaders know in advance why, so that we do not suspend rules frivolously.

RESOLUTION ADOPTED

The SPEAKER. The clerk will read the resolution.

The following resolution was read:

House Resolution No. 228

A RESOLUTION

Congratulating the 1983-1984 East Stroudsburg University "Warriors" men's gymnastic team on winning the NCAA Division II men's gymnastics championship.

WHEREAS, The 1983-1984 East Stroudsburg University men's gymnastics team won the NCAA Division II men's gymnastics championship; and

WHEREAS, This is the second straight year the Warriors won the national title; and

WHEREAS, The Warriors set a school record in this year's meet and produced seven NCAA Division II All-Americans, highest in school history and produced two NCAA Division II national champions with one gymnast winning three titles; and

WHEREAS, Five team members have qualified for NCAA Division I championships at UCLA April 12 through April 14, 1984; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania hereby honors and congratulates the 1983-1984 East Stroudsburg University men gymnasts on their various achievements and on winning the NCAA Division II National Championship; and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be presented to Head Coach Bruno Klaus and to each of the 1983-1984 Warrior gymnasts, its staff and coaches.

Joseph W. Battisto
Leonard Q. Gruppo
Keith R. McCall
Kurt D. Zwikl
Paul McHale

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Afflerbach	Evans	Livengood	Robbins
Alderette	Fargo	Lloyd	Rudy
Angstadt	Fattah	Lucyk	Ryan
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Flick	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Scheetz
Belardi	Freeman	McVerry	Schuler
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Semmel
Blaum	Fryer	Madigan	Serafini
Book	Gallagher	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	Gallen	Manderino	Showers
Boyes	Gamble	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Gannon	Markosek	Smith, B.
Broujos	Geist	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	George	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Spencer
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Stairs
Carn	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stevens
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonc	Stuban
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Herman	O'Brien	Telek
Colafella	Hershey	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Olasz	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson	Oliver	Truman
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Jarolin	Petrone	Wambach
Coy	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Deluca	Kasunic	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Daley	Kosinski	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Deal	Lashinger	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, R. C.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Donatucci	Letterman	Reinard	
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Irvis,
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Durham	Linton		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Petrarca Vroon

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr, rise?

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I understand the gentleman, Mr. Manderino, now has in print HB 922. I do not have any objection to running it now that we have seen it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair has been informed that the bill which appeared on the supplemental calendar, HB 922, on concurrence in Senate amendments is now in print.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the bill is in print and the minority leader has reviewed it with Mr. Dorr, who had an objection, and they are willing to allow the bill to be moved and voted on, and I think they concur in the amendments.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, although I have not had an opportunity to study it closely, I have been assured by two of our distinguished members, Mr. Bowser and Mr. Merry, that it is important for the Erie area, and I am willing that it be run.

I understand, for the benefit of many of us who are not overly enthusiastic about gambling legislation, that this is not the type of thing that is going to defeat you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 922, PN 2984**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending the "Race Horse Industry Reform Act," approved December 17, 1981 (P. L. 435, No. 135), providing for monitoring of wagering on video screens and providing for experimental simulcasting.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. It is moved by the gentleman, Mr. Dombrowski, that the House do concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, on concurrence.

Mr. DAVIES. One question of interrogation of either one of the two gentlemen.

The SPEAKER. Which gentlemen? Do you mean the majority or the minority leaders?

Mr. DAVIES. No; I guess it is Mr. Dombrowski.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Dombrowski, will you stand for interrogation? The gentleman, Mr. Davies, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Again, without the benefit of the bill, and even all of the assurances of the gentleman who has spoken prior, am I to assume then that this only affects the Commodore Downs racing situation relative to a changeover to a harness facility and in no way at the same time affects any of those existing television installations and operations so far established in the Commonwealth?

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. This, Mr. Speaker, provides for simulcast racing intrastate between the Meadows and Commodore Downs, period.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

That satisfies my inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Those in favor of concurring in amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 922 will vote "aye"; those opposed will vote "no."

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—168

Afflerbach	Dorr	Livengood	Rieger
Alderette	Duffy	Lucyk	Ryan
Angstadt	Durham	McCall	Rybak
Arty	Evans	McClatchy	Saloom
Baldwin	Fattah	McHale	Salvatore
Barber	Fee	McIntyre	Saurman
Battisto	Flick	McMonagle	Semmel
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Serafini
Belfanti	Freeman	Mackowski	Seventy
Blaum	Freind	Madigan	Showers
Book	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gamble	Markosek	Spencer
Broujos	Gannon	Mayernik	Stairs
Bunt	Geist	Merry	Steighner
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stevens
Burns	Godshall	Micozzie	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stuban
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Swift
Carn	Gruitza	Morris	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Taylor, F. E.
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Tigue
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Trello
Civera	Harper	Noye	Truman
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Van Horne
Cohen	Hershey	Olasz	Vroon
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Wachob
Cole	Hutchinson	Perzel	Wambach
Cordisco	Itkin	Peterson	Wargo
Cornell	Jarolin	Petrarca	Weston
Coslett	Kasunic	Petrone	Wiggins
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Williams
Coy	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wilson
Deluca	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wogan
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Lashingier	Pratt	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Laughlin	Preston	Wright, R. C.
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwinkl
Deal	Lescovitz	Reber	
Dininni	Letterman	Reinard	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Levin	Richardson	Speaker

Donatucci Linton

NAYS—28

Armstrong	George	Lloyd	Rudy
Clymer	Hayes	Miller	Scheetz
DeVerter	Herman	Mrkonjc	Schuler
Dietz	Jackson	Phillips	Sirianni
Fargo	Johnson	Pitts	Snyder, G. M.
Fischer	Klingaman	Punt	Telek
Foster, Jr., A.	Levi	Robbins	Wass

NOT VOTING—2

O'Donnell Spitz

EXCUSED—4

Hoeffel Marmion Sweet Wozniak

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair is in receipt of a list of additions and deletions of sponsors filed by the majority leader.

The following list of additions and deletions was submitted:

ADDITIONS:

HB 509, Cawley; HB 709, Serafini; HB 760, Broujos; HB 853, Serafini; HB 1802, Salvatore; HB 1824, Baldwin; HB 1827, Serafini; HB 1941, Serafini; HB 1950, Petrone; HB 1967, Petrarca; HB 2014, Deal; HB 2035, Fargo, Rudy, Greenwood; HB 2104, Vroon; HB 2108, Alderette; HB 2116, Wass, D. R. Wright, Baldwin; HB 2126, Alderette; HB 2127, Alderette; HB 2133, McIntyre, Johnson; HB 2147, Salvatore; HB 2158, DeLuca, Petrone, Michlovic, Pratt; HB 2167, Salvatore; HB 2172, Semmel, Phillips.

DELETIONS:

HB 1552, O'Brien; HB 1958, Robbins.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****HB 1164, PN 1352**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending the "Civil Service Act," approved August 5, 1941 (P. L. 752, No. 286), further providing for the political activities of individuals covered by civil service.

STATE GOVERNMENT.**BILL REPORTED AND REREFERRED TO
COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE****SB 948, PN 1940**

By Rep. OLIVER

An Act amending the act of September 27, 1961 (P. L. 1700, No. 699), entitled "Pharmacy Act," changing and adding definitions; providing for the licensing and permit requirements to practice pharmacy or conduct a pharmacy, for continuing education of pharmacists; and for revocation and suspension of licenses and permits; changing the composition and powers and duties of the Pennsylvania State Board of Pharmacy; further providing for unlawful acts; making editorial changes; and making a repeal.

STATE GOVERNMENT.**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Fattah.

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, May 21, 1984, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 12:40 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.